

NONE

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO .

Check if no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is contained in this Form 10-KSB, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB. X

The issuer's revenues for the most recent fiscal year were \$591,253.

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by nonaffiliates, based on the closing sale price of the over-the-counter market on April 24, 2007 was 2,765,104. As of April 24, 2007, there were 24,995,358 shares of Common Stock, no par value, outstanding.

MIRENCO, INC.

FORM 10-KSB

Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1. Description of Business

Item 2. Properties

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

PART II

Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation

Item 7. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Item 8. Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on

Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Item 8A. Controls and Procedures

Item 8B. Information Requiring Disclosure on Form 8-K

PART III

Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control

Persons, Compliance With Section 16(a) of the
Exchange Act

Item 10. Executive Compensation

Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and
Management

Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Item 13. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

SIGNATURES

The Balance of This Page Left Intentionally Blank

Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Statements.

The discussion in this Report on Form 10-KSB, including the discussion in Item 1 and Item 6, contain forward-looking statements that have been made pursuant to the provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Such forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about the Company's business, based on management's current beliefs and assumptions made by management. Words such as "expects", "anticipates", "intends", "believes", "plans", "seeks", "estimates", and similar expressions or variations of these words are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Additionally, statements that refer to the Company's estimated or anticipated future results, sales or marketing strategies, new product development or performance or other non-historical facts are forward-looking and reflect the Company's current perspective based on existing information. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual results and outcomes may differ materially from what is expressed or forecasted in any such forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include those set forth below in Item 1 as well as previous public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The discussion of the Company's financial condition and results of operations included in Item 6 should also be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes included in Item 7 of this annual report. The Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

The Balance of This Page Left Intentionally Blank

PART I

ITEM 1. Description of Business

(a) Development

Mirencos, Inc. was organized and incorporated in the State of Iowa on February 21, 1997. We develop, market and distribute technologically advanced products for throttle control of internal combustion vehicles to improve fuel efficiency, reduce vehicle maintenance costs, and reduce environmental emissions. Mirencos also offers consultative services in evaluating diesel engines through its Combustion Management Program which consists of testing procedures, comparison to other engines on its proprietary data base and making recommendations for maintenance activities and/or application of Mirencos patented technology.

(b) Business

Our primary products are derived from technology patented in the United States, Mexico and Canada. These products are DriverMax®, DriverMax-CX® DriverMax® Software, HydroFire®Injection, HydroFire®Fluid, HydroFire®Lubricant and EconoCruise®.

In addition to products, Mirencos, Inc. offers consultative services with its Combustion Management Program.

(1) Products and services

DriverMax® and DriverMax-CX® are devices that improve engine exhaust emissions and fuel mileage while reducing vehicle maintenance costs using precise programmable computer management of the vehicle's throttle position. The device controls the fuel flow directly proportional to the engine's combustion capability and desired rate of acceleration. This product is designed for diesel powered vehicles, with a high frequency of starting and stopping such as buses, over-the-road trucks, delivery vehicles and construction vehicles.

The DriverMax-CX® product is primarily the same as the DriverMax® except it operates on electronically digitally controlled engines, thus opening up a completely new market relative to the extra heavy-duty diesel engine. We are working in conjunction with the United States Department of Energy to develop a prototype of this technology, perform appropriate testing and launch production of this product. The application for this technology is the same as the DriverMax®. It has been particularly effective in the reduction of black smoke (opacity) in off-road construction equipment as well as heavy-duty underground equipment used in mining, gravel, and sandpit operations.

The HydroFire® System is a sophisticated superset of the DriverMax® technology, providing all the benefits of the DriverMax® plus the additional benefit of cutting oxides of nitrogen (NOx) emissions under performance conditions where NOx is produced. Specifically, NOx is produced under heavy loads and high engine temperatures. When these conditions occur, HydroFire®Injection injects a patented fluid, HydroFire®Fluid, into the engine to reduce the NOx production by approximately 50%. The HydroFire®Fluid is a patented water-alcohol-lubricant mixture for which we have patented the blending process. Specifically, water cuts the NOx production, alcohol serves as antifreeze for the water, and HydroFire®Lubricant serves to thwart the potentially solvent and/or corrosive characteristics of the alcohol in the engine and/or storage containers. HydroFire® Systems are designed primarily for heavy transport vehicles such as buses and over-the-road trucks.

EconoCruise®, currently in development, is a highly sophisticated throttle control system which provides advanced levels of "intelligence" to common cruise control technology. EconoCruise® utilizes Global Positioning System

signals to "know" the topography of the road ahead, thereby allowing the vehicle to best manage throttle and emissions. We anticipate that this product will be marketable to the population of existing vehicles as an "add-on" and that the rights to the patented technology and proprietary design work will be marketable to automakers.

Mirencos, Inc. also offers MDEP (Mirencos Diesel Evaluation Procedure).

MDEP consists of an evaluation of a diesel engine based on a comparison with like engines. An evaluation is completed by performing a modified SAE-J1667 as well as a MIR 120 Second Transient evaluation. Mirencos has developed an extensive database of evaluation results for thousands of diesel engines using these techniques.

From these results Mirencos can evaluate the condition of the engine, determine commonalities among engine types, evaluate the entire fleet and recommend appropriate maintenance procedures for each specific vehicle. From these results, we can also make recommendations for the appropriate application and programmable setting of DriverMax® to best suit the engine.

Mirencos MDEP has been successfully applied in the underground mining industry to reduce diesel particulate matter. This industry is under strict regulation from the Mining Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) to reduce particulate emissions for the safety of its workers. During 2005, Mirencos technicians applied the combustion management program, DriverMax® and DriverMax-CX® products in approximately 20 mines throughout the United States.

(2) Marketing methods

Mirencos marketing strategy is primarily the direct sale by Mirencos sales force of our methodology, the Combustion Management Program and our technologies, DriverMax® and the DriverMax-CX®. These products can demonstrate an economic benefit to our customers and potential customers, particularly those whose fleets have a high degree of stops and accelerations in their duty cycle. The methodology promotes the use of the technology and the technology promotes the use of the methodology or each product or service can be marketed independently.

(3) Competition

The market for our products and services is characterized by rapid technological developments, frequent new product introductions and evolving, varying industry and regulatory standards. We believe there is no known automotive retrofit device that can compete with our current or contemplated spectrum of products. Mirencos Inc.'s technologies and solutions are aimed at reducing wasted fuel and excess emissions. Mirencos, Inc.'s Combustion Management Program also includes a financing option for its products and services. Our greatest advantage over other competing products is that Mirencos overall program keeps engines burning fuel efficiently thereby extending the vehicle's useful life. This is the Mirencos advantage over other environmental solutions which either filter engine exhaust emissions (with the risk of clogging) or exhaust catalysts that burn fuel with no useful application of the energy produced.

Other products Mirencos must consider as competitive include: catalytic converters, exhaust traps, fuel additives and other specialized products such as alternative fuels.

(4) Production suppliers

We currently outsource the production of DriverMax® according to our specifications to ICE Corporation, an FAA certified electronic manufacturing company located in Manhattan, Kansas. Generally all materials required to

manufacture and assemble our product line are readily available shelf items. Orders are typically manufactured and delivered within, at most, a ten week time frame. Payment terms are standard for the industry. We are not required to order or accept delivery of any product based on a predetermined time schedule, and production unit costs decrease with increasing quantities.

At the present time, we intend to continue outsourcing production to companies that can meet our specifications for high quality and reliability. Management has contacted other companies capable of producing our products at the desired levels should the need arise.

(5) Patents and trademarks

Mirenc, Inc. owns the following patents. Patents number1 through 5 were purchased from American Technologies on April 30, 1999:

1. United States Patent Number 4,958,598, issued September 25, 1990, entitled "Engine Emissions Control Apparatus Method."
2. United States Patent Number 5,315,977, "Fuel Limiting Method and Apparatus for an Internal Combustion Vehicle" issued May 31, 1994.
3. Canadian Patent Number 1,289,430, issued September 24, 1991, entitled "Engine Modification Apparatus Fuel."
4. Mexican Patent Number 180,658, "Fuel Limiting Method and Apparatus (Staged Fueling). Registration date January 17, 1996.
5. Canadian Patent Number 2,065,912, issued June 1, 1999, entitled "Fuel Limiting Method and Apparatus for an Internal Combustion Vehicle." Application date April 13, 1992.
6. United States Patent Number 6,370,472 B1 issued April 9, 2002 entitled Method and Apparatus for Reducing Unwanted Vehicle Emissions Using Satellite Navigation.
7. United States Patent Number 6,845,314 B2 issued January 18, 2005 Method and apparatus for remote communication of vehicle combustion performance parameters.

As part of the purchase agreement for the patents listed in paragraphs 1-5, Mirenc, Inc. agreed to pay American Technologies a 3% royalty of annual gross sales for a period of twenty years, which began November 1, 1999.

In addition to the above described patents, we have filed for and obtained the following Registered Trademarks:

1. HydroFire®Fluid
2. HydroFire®Injection
3. HydroFire®Lubricant
4. DriverMax®
5. EconoCruise®
6. "SmartFoot™
7. "Satellite-to-Throttle™

(6) Government regulation

Currently, all conventional vehicles, as well as most alternate fuel vehicles and certain retrofit technologies legally sold in the United States, must be "verified" by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to qualify for the "Low

Emission Vehicle" ("LEV") classification necessary to meet federal fleet vehicle conversion requirements. Our products have not been verified by the EPA, however, our marketing efforts to federal fleets is non-existent.

In addition, The Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) has been performing extensive air quality testing in underground mines. This activity has produced a new emphasis on the underground mining industry to consider new methods to improve the air quality for its employees. We have made significant inroads in marketing both our methodology and technology in the underground mining markets.

We believe our products to be "retrofit devices" as defined under EPA regulations. We are, however, subject to the regulatory risk that the EPA may construe distribution of the products to be also governed by "fuel additive" regulations. These more stringent regulations sometimes require scientific testing for both acute and chronic toxicity, which is not required for approval of pollution control products deemed as "retrofit devices". Such additional compliance procedures could substantially delay the wide commercialization of HydroFire® products. We believe the EPA "fuel additive" regulations do not apply to our DriverMax® products, since our product does not involve the introduction of additives into the engine air intake system, as those terms are defined in EPA regulations and generally understood in the automotive engineering community.

We are not aware of any proposed regulatory changes that could have a material adverse effect on our operations and/or sales efforts. Further, we have not been required to pay any fines for, and are not aware of any issues of, noncompliance with environmental laws.

(8) Research and development

The Company expenses research and development costs as incurred, classifying them as operating expenses. Such costs include certain prototype products, test parts, consulting fees, and costs incurred with third parties to determine feasibility of products. Costs incurred for research and development were \$64,801 and \$60,898 for 2006 and 2005, respectively.

(9) Employees

As of December 31, 2006 and April 24, 2007, we had 17 and 16 full-time employees, respectively. There have been no management-labor disputes, and we are not a party to any collective bargaining agreement.

ITEM 2. Properties

Mirencos, Inc. owns a 21,600 square foot office, warehouse and distribution facility located in Radcliffe, Iowa. The building is located on 1.2 acres of land.

In addition, Mirencos, Inc. rents an adjacent shop building from an officer and stockholder.

ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings

None.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None

ITEM 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

(a) Market Information

Effective June 15, 2001, Mirencos, Inc. common stock began initial trading on the over-the-counter "bulletin board" market under the symbol "MREO.OB".

Price Range of Common Stock

The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of the Company's common stock as obtained from the Quotes tab at the Internet site www.quotemedia.com. These prices reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions. The stock did not begin trading until the second quarter of 2001.

Fiscal Period	2006		2005	
-----	-----		-----	
	High	Low	High	Low
	----	---	----	---
First Quarter	.40	.125	.29	.17
Second Quarter	.38	.27	.30	.15
Third Quarter	.38	.20	.39	.20
Fourth Quarter	.20	.15	.37	.20

During 2006, the Company issued non registered securities to accredited investors only as follows:

Common stock	4,595,604 shares	\$531,996
--------------	------------------	-----------

(b) Approximate Number of Equity Security Holders

Approximate Number of
Record Holders

Edgar Filing: MIRENCO INC - Form 10KSB

Title of Class	as of December 31, 2005
-----	-----
Common Stock, no par value	3,600(1)

(1) Included in the number of stockholders of record are shares held in "nominee" or "street" name.

(c) Dividend History and Restrictions

The Company has never paid a cash dividend on its common stock and has no present intention of paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Future dividends, if any, will be determined by the Board of Directors in light of the circumstances then existing, including the Company's earnings, financial requirements, general business conditions and any future possible credit agreement restrictions.

(d) Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

All of the above Stock Compensation Plans were approved by the Company's shareholders.

There were no individual stock compensation arrangements outside of the formal plans indicated in the table above.

Name of Plan	Number of securities Authorized for issuance Under the plan	Number of securities awarded plus number of securities to be issued Upon exercise of options, Warrants or rights granted During last fiscal Year	Number of securities to be issued upon exer- cise of outstanding options, warrants or rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance
--------------	---	--	--	--

1998 Common Stock Compensation Plan	1,200,000 shares	367,400 shares	299,400 shares	832,600 shares
--	------------------	----------------	----------------	----------------

1999 Common Stock Compensation Plan	750,000 shares	450,000 shares	450,000 shares	300,000 shares
--	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------

2001 Common Stock Compensation				
--------------------------------------	--	--	--	--

Edgar Filing: MIRENCO INC - Form 10KSB

Plan	250,000 shares	23,060 shares	18,560 shares	222,940 shares
2004 Common Stock Compensation Plan	1,000,000 shares	458,750 shares	455,750 shares	541,250 shares

ITEM 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation

General and Background

We have incurred annual losses since inception, while developing and introducing our original products and focusing management and other resources on capitalizing the Company to support future growth. The losses incurred to date are considered normal for a development stage company. Relatively high management, personnel, consulting and marketing expenditures were incurred in prior years in preparation for the commercialization of our products. We expect distribution, selling, general and administrative expenses to increase directly with sales increases, however, as a percentage of sales, these expenses should decline.

Plan of Operation

The Company is making the transition from research and development to sales and service. We believe this transition timing is appropriate for sales of our products and service. Due to increased regulation and economic issues, Mirencos recognizes the growing importance of tailpipe emissions control and the cost of vehicle operation. We believe that market attention to tailpipe emissions and demand for our DriverMax® technology and our Mirencos Diesel Evaluation Procedure methodology will increase as we make the market aware of our products and services.

From January 2003 until July 2003, the Company concentrated on verification by the EPA and the California Air Resources Board (CARB). The verification efforts were considered important to receive federal monies for the DriverMax® technology and to receive certification from CARB as an emissions control device.

In July 2003, the Company shifted its emphasis since its primary markets are outside the Federal Government and its technology had already been certified by CARB as a fuel saving device.

Approximately August 1, 2003, the Company began changing from a Research and Development Company to a Marketing Company. The Company began determining its markets, the effectiveness of its efforts in Mexico and Canada, the effectiveness of its international sales representative and the effectiveness of its other sales representative

and distribution arrangements in relation to its markets.

Mirencos determined its markets to be segmented into eight groups:

1.

Metropolitan Transit Authorities

2.

Bus Manufacturers

3.

Mining Operations

4.

School Buses

5.

Government Entities

6.

Over-the Road Transportation Companies

7.

Company Owned Fleets

8.

Other (Construction, Agriculture, etc.)

Mirencos determined the most effective approach to each of these markets was the development of a long term strategy to develop its distribution and sales representative network in addition to its own internal sales staff. The sale of Mirencos technology and methodology is an extensive process with an educational approach required. Before we can attract quality sales representatives and distributors, we have to develop an internal customer base in specific markets. We can then repeat our successes in the sales representative and distributor sales channel.

MirencO had established several relationships with sales representatives and distributorships which did not meet the criteria necessary to promote a successful relationship for either MirencO or the outside sales entity. These relationships were eliminated. MirencO has established, or is in the process of establishing new industry specific relationships.

MirencO continues to develop its data base as a significant component to its MirencO Diesel Evaluation Procedure. With over 1,000,000 data points and a growing number of engines involved, the Program allows for a comparison of like engines to determine commonalities which are useful in recommended maintenance and technology application.

While the Company is expanding its marketing activities, certain research and development activities continue. These activities are concentrated in expanding current DriverMax® applications. In addition, the Company is researching other fuel saving products, both proprietary and other equipment manufacturing, to offer to its customers. In that respect, the Company has become an authorized reseller for Network Car, Inc. to market its Networkfleet product which is a vehicle tracking and diagnostic reporting product that focuses on productivity and fuel efficiency.

Most recently, the FuelTracks fuel sensor has been developed to meet the needs of a major industrial client, Rogers Group, Inc. This latest development was designed to measure fuel usage on a real time basis in conjunction with Qualcomm's GlobalTRACS unit. The FuelTracks fuel sensor has proven to work successfully providing fuel usage within a fine tolerance.

Combining the ability to measure fuel usage with the FuelTracks fuel sensor and the combustion condition of an engine with MDEP, an emission factor can now be determined for every vehicle. An emission factor allows for real-time tracking of total emissions produced by each vehicle based on the vehicles actual emissions and total fuel consumed.

The Balance of This Page Left Intentionally Blank

Results of Operations

Sales decreased \$128,869 in the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the same period for 2005. During 2006, we have continued to focus management and other resources on developing our products and markets.

During 2006, we continued developing the new sales strategy founded upon collecting emissions data before and after the use of our products and providing continuing emission testing services of our installed products. In addition, the Company believes the development of a data base cataloguing the results of testing without the use of Mirencos products has provided a source of information for fleet operators for determining the need, and in some cases, the nature of maintenance needed. In 2003, the Company established its internal sales department to promote direct sales and develop relationships with professional distributors established in the industries identified. To date, the Company is in negotiations with several distributors that meet the criteria to promote and install its products.

Total cost of sales was approximately 67% of total revenue in 2006 compared to 60% of total revenue in 2005. Management believes cost of sales will range between 40% and 60% of sales as increased unit sales levels cover production overhead and unit costs.

Operating expenses in 2006 decreased \$190,890 from 2005. The decrease is attributable to efforts to hold down costs while devoting efforts to sales development.

Royalty expense for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was 3% of sales calculated per the patent purchase agreement with American Technologies.

Our net loss decreased from \$719,622 in 2005 to \$619,850 in 2006 as a result of a reduction in operating expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have not yet commenced generating substantial revenue.

The Company expects to incur losses until we are able to generate sufficient income and cash flows to meet operating expenditures and other requirements.

Since our inception in 1997, we have primarily relied on the sources of funds discussed in "Cash Flows" below to finance our testing and operations. The proceeds raised from the Iowa-Only Offering, net of the Rescission Offer, will not be adequate to continue our operations, including the contemplated expansion of sales efforts, inventories, and accounts receivable through the next twelve months.

Since acceptance or the affirmative rejection or failure to respond to the Rescission Offer does not act as a release of claims, eligible Iowa-Only Offering Stockholders who have accepted, rejected, or failed to respond to the Rescission Offer would retain any rights of claim they may have under federal securities laws. Any subsequent claims by an Iowa-Only Offering Stockholder would be subject to any defenses we may have, including the running of the statute of limitations and/or estoppel. In general, to sustain a claim based on violations of the registration provisions of federal securities laws, the claim must be brought within one year after discovery of the violation upon which the claim is based, in this case, based on the date of the sale (or three years from the date of the original sale of Iowa only offering shares). Under the principle of estoppel, the person bringing a claim must carry the burden of proof of why he or she took no action under the Rescission Offer and/or how he or she may have been injured. Subsequent to year end, on February 26, 2004, the statute of limitations expired with respect to claims regarding the Iowa only offering and the

liability was reclassified to equity on the balance sheet as of February 29, 2004.

The Company began an additional private offering, to accredited investors only, in February, 2004. The intent is to raise up to \$1,250,000 from the issuance of notes with common stock and common stock warrants. In June 2004, the Board of Directors authorized the sale of common stock with warrants and common stock without warrants to facilitate the addition of capital. As of April 23, 2007 the Company raised \$1,985,981.

Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2006 and 2005

Since our inception, February 21, 1997, through December 31, 2001, our activities were organizational, devoted to developing a business plan and raising capital. Indirect and administrative costs, such as management salaries, have been expensed in the accompanying statements of operations during the period in which they were incurred. Capital fund raising costs, which are both directly attributable to our offerings and incremental, have been treated as offering costs in the accompanying balance sheets.

Subsequent to 2001, the Company has devoted its efforts to marketing, product identification and application of its Program model.

Net cash used in operating activities for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$515,756 and \$465,895, respectively. The use of cash in operating activities was primarily related to our net losses from operations net of depreciation..

Net cash used in investing activities for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$50 and \$16,804, respectively. Investing activities in 2006 consisted of the capitalization of patient expense. Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$530,491 compared to \$454,556 provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2005. Equity and borrowed funds from stockholders and others were obtained in the year ended December 31, 2005. Principal payments on long-term debt were made in both years.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

We do not believe any recently issued accounting standards will have an impact on our financial statements.

Going Concern

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern. However, the Company has incurred and may continue to incur net losses in the future. The Company has a stockholders' equity of \$58,078 as of December 31, 2006; and if revenues do not increase substantially in the near future, additional sources of funds will be needed to maintain operations. These matters give rise to substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

Management and other personnel have been focused on product and service development in lieu of product marketing. In an effort to make the transition from a development stage company to a viable business entity, the Company's management team has diligently explored several market segments relative to the Company's product and service lines over the past three years. From that exploration, the Company has decided it is in its best interests to explore the use of existing, well-established distribution channels for marketing and selling the DriverMax® product line. Management also believes a large market exists for the Company's testing services and the information provided by those services. A combination of the products and services has been developed as a long-term program for current

and potential customers, particularly in regulated markets. a. Management will focus on the Company's efforts on the sales of products, services, and programs with sensible controls over expenses. Management believes these steps, if successful, will improve the Company's liquidity and operating results, allowing it to continue in existence.

The financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the possible inability of the Company to continue as a going concern.

The Balance of This Page Left Intentionally Blank

ITEM 7. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Financial Statements and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Mirencos, Inc.

December 31, 2006 and 2005

C O N T E N T S

Page

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM 16

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

BALANCE SHEET	17	
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS	18	
STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		19
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS	20	
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	21	

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT
REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

Board of Directors and Stockholders

MIRENCO, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of MIRENCO, Inc. as of December 31, 2006, and the related statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of MIRENCO, Inc. as of December 31, 2006, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note B, the Company incurred a net loss of \$619,850 and \$719,622 during the years ended

Edgar Filing: MIRENCO INC - Form 10KSB

December 31, 2006 and 2005. This, among others factors, as discussed in Note B to the financial statements, raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note B. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Stark Winter Schenkein & Co., LLP

Denver, Colorado

April 24, 2007

5

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

I-

MIRENCO, INC.
BALANCE SHEET
December 31, 2006

ASSETS	
CURRENT ASSETS	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 19,669
Accounts receivable	45,917
Inventories	111,046
Prepaid expense	10,037
Total current assets	186,669
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation of \$392,631	 495,860
PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS, net of accumulated amortization of \$8,078	 8,179
	\$ 690,708
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	
CURRENT LIABILITIES	
Current portion of note payable	\$ 12,656
Accounts payable	175,779
Accrued expenses	63,879
Due to officers and affiliates	174,599
Other current liabilities	15,500
Dividends on convertible redeemable preferred stock	32
Notes payable to related parties	35,179
Total current liabilities	477,624
NOTE PAYABLE, less current portion	98,159
NOTES PAYABLE TO RELATED PARTIES, less current portion	42,947
SHARES SUBJECT TO MANDATORY REDEMPTION	13,900

STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Preferred stock, no stated value: 50,000,000 shares authorized, no shares outstanding	
Common stock, no par value: 100,000,000 shares authorized, 22,782,558 shares issued and outstanding	9,830,701
Additional paid-in capital	1,714,954
Deferred compensation	(432)
Accumulated (deficit)	(11,487,145)
	58,078
	\$ 690,708

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

MIRENCO, INC.
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
Years ended December 31,

	2006	2005
Sales	\$ 591,253	\$ 720,122
Cost of sales	395,060	434,127
Gross profit	196,193	285,995
Operating Expenses:		
Salaries and wages	523,949	614,270
Royalty expenses	17,696	21,604
Advertising	12,907	17,027
Other general and administrative expenses	259,258	332,198
	813,810	985,099
(Loss) from operations	(617,617)	(699,104)
Other income (expense)		
Interest income	4	3
Gain from settlement of accounts payable	19,601	-
Interest expense	(21,838)	(20,521)

(2,233) (20,518)

NET (LOSS) \$ (619,850) \$ (719,622)

Net (loss) per share - basic and diluted \$ (0.03) \$ (0.04)

Weighted-average shares outstanding -
basic and diluted 20,668,227 16,960,418

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

6

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

I-

MIRENCO, INC.
STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
Years Ended December 31, 2006 and 2005

	Common Stock		Additional	Accumulated	Deferred	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	(Deficit)	Compensation	
Balance January 1, 2005	14,074,082	\$ 8,752,385	\$ 1,714,954	\$ (10,147,673)	\$ (3,933)	\$ 315,733
Issuance of stock for cash	3,530,872	458,330	-	-	-	458,330
Issuance of stock for accounts payable	355,000	60,750	-	-	-	60,750
Amortization of deferred compensation	-	-	-	-	1,751	1,751
Net (loss)	-	-	-	(719,622)	-	(719,622)
Balance December 31, 2005	17,959,954	9,271,465	1,714,954	(10,867,295)	(2,182)	116,942
Issuance of stock for accounts payable	227,000	27,240	-	-	-	27,240
Issuance of stock for cash	4,595,604	531,996	-	-	-	531,996
Amortization of deferred compensation	-	-	-	-	1,750	1,750
Net (loss)	-	-	-	(619,850)	-	(619,850)

Balance December 31, 2006	22,782,558	\$	9,830,701	\$	1,714,954	\$	(11,487,145)	\$	(432)	\$	58,078
---------------------------------	------------	----	-----------	----	-----------	----	--------------	----	-------	----	--------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

MIRENCO, INC.
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
Years ended December 31,

	2006	2005
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net (loss)	\$ (619,850)	\$ (719,622)
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) to net cash and cash equivalents used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	41,703	44,945
Dividends	32	-
Gain on settlement of accounts payable	(19,601)	-
Amortization of deferred compensation	1,750	1,751
(Increase) decrease in assets		
Accounts receivable	(8,046)	36,006
Inventories	13,911	(6,353)
Other	5,373	(10,398)
Increase (decrease) in liabilities		
Accounts payable	1,578	83,130
Due to officers and affiliates	32,825	104,821
Accrued expenses	34,569	(175)
Net cash (used in) operating activities	(515,756)	(465,895)
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchase of property and equipment	-	(10,397)
Capitalization of patent expense	(50)	(6,407)
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(50)	(16,804)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Proceeds from capital lease	-	10,021
Payments capital leases	(2,886)	(1,406)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	531,996	458,330
Proceeds from issuance of redeemable preferred stock	13,900	-

Principal payments on long-term debt:			
Banks and others		(7,676)	(7,862)
Related parties		(4,843)	(4,527)
Net cash provided by financing activities		530,491	454,556
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		14,685	(28,143)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year		4,984	33,127
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$	19,669	\$ 4,984
Supplementary disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the year for interest	\$	22,291	\$ 20,521
Cash paid during the year for income taxes	\$	-	\$ -
Supplementary disclosure of significant non-cash and financing investing activities:			
Issuance of stock for accounts payable	\$	27,240	\$ 60,750
Gain from settlement of accounts payable	\$	19,601	\$ -
Transfer of inventory to property, plant, & equipment	\$	-	\$ 4,530

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

MIRENCO, Inc.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A summary of the significant accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements follows.

1.

Nature of Business

MIRENCO, Inc. (the Company) was organized and incorporated as an Iowa corporation on February 21, 1997. The Company develops, markets and distributes certain technologically advanced products for which it has exclusive licensing rights. These products are for throttle control of internal combustion vehicles, primarily to improve fuel efficiency, reduce vehicle maintenance costs, reduce environmental emissions and improve overall vehicle performance. The Company's products are sold primarily in the domestic market.

2.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of 3 months or less to be cash equivalents.

3.

Revenue Recognition

In general, the Company records revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered or product delivery has occurred, the sales price to the customer is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. The following policies reflect specific criteria for the various revenues streams of the Company:

Revenue is recognized from sales when a product is shipped and from services when they are performed.

4.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are stated at estimated net realizable value. Accounts receivable are comprised of balances due from customers net of estimated allowances for uncollectible accounts. In determining collectability, historical trends are evaluated and specific customer issues are reviewed to arrive at appropriate allowances.

5.

Inventories

Inventories, consisting of purchased finished goods ready for sale, are stated at the lower of cost (as determined by the first-in, first-out method) or market.

6.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method, whereby deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates applied to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Deferred tax assets are recognized to the extent management believes that it is more likely than not that they will be realized.

7.

Patents and Trademarks

Patents and trademarks will be amortized on the straight-line method over their remaining legal lives of 7 years as of December 31, 2006. The Company recorded patent amortization expense of \$1,443 and \$908, respectively, in 2006 and 2005. Patents are stated net of amortization of \$8,078.

8.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. The Company provides for depreciation on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of 3 years for computer equipment, 5 years for manufacturing and test equipment and other equipment, and 39 years for buildings.

9.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The carrying value of long-lived assets is reviewed on a regular basis for the existence of facts and circumstances that suggest impairment. During 2006 and 2005, no material impairment has been indicated. Should there be an impairment, in the future, the Company will measure the amount of the impairment based on the amount that the carrying value of the impaired assets exceed the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposal of the from the impaired assets.

10.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for equity instruments issued to employees for services based on the fair value of the equity instruments issued and accounts for equity instruments issued to other than employees based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instruments, whichever is more reliably measurable.

The Company accounts for stock based compensation in accordance with SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." The provisions of SFAS 123 allow companies to either expense the estimated fair value of stock options or to continue to follow the intrinsic value method set forth in APB Opinion 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" (APB 25) but disclose the pro forma effects on net income (loss) had the fair value of the options been expensed. The Company has elected to continue to apply APB 25 in accounting for its stock option incentive plans.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123R (revised 2004) *Share-Based Payment*. This statement requires that the cost resulting from all share-based transactions be recorded in the financial statements. This statement establishes fair value as the measurement objective in accounting for share-based payment arrangements and requires all entities to apply a fair-value-based measurement in accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees. The Statement also establishes fair value as the measurement objective for transactions in which an entity acquires goods or services from non-employees in share-based transactions. The Statement replaces SFAS 123 *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* and supercedes APB Opinion No.25 *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. The provisions of this Statement were effective for the Company beginning with its fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

11.

Net Loss Per Share

The Company calculates net income (loss) per share as required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 128, "Earnings per Share." Basic earnings (loss) per share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares and dilutive common stock equivalents outstanding. During periods in which the Company incurs losses common stock equivalents, if any, are not considered, as their effect would be anti dilutive.

12.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value estimates discussed herein are based upon certain market assumptions and pertinent information available to management as of December 31, 2006. The respective carrying value of certain on-balance-sheet financial instruments approximated their fair values. These financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses and notes payable. Fair values were assumed to approximate carrying values for these financial instruments because they are short term in nature and their carrying amounts approximate fair values.

The carrying value of the Company's long-term debt approximated its fair value based on the current market conditions for similar debt instruments.

13.

Royalty Expense

Royalty expense is recorded and paid based upon the sale of products, services, and rights related to patents according to a contractual agreement (see Note J).

14.

Advertising

Advertising costs are charged to expense as incurred and were \$12,095 and \$17,027 for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

15. *Software Development Costs*

In connection with the development of software, the Company will incur external costs for software, and consulting services, and internal costs for payroll and related expenses of its technology employees directly involved in the development. Purchased software costs will be capitalized in accordance with Statement of Position 98-1 Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use. All other costs will be reviewed for determination of whether capitalization or expense as product development cost is appropriate in accordance with

Statement of Position 98-1.

16. *Research and Development*

The Company expenses research and development costs as incurred. Such costs include certain prototype products, test parts, consulting fees, and costs incurred with third parties to determine feasibility of products. Costs incurred for research and development were \$64,642 and \$60,898 in 2006 and 2005, respectively.

17. *Use of Estimates*

In preparing financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Significant estimates are used when accounting for the Company's carrying value of inventory, fixed assets, depreciation, accruals, taxes and contingencies, which are discussed in the respective notes to the financial statements.

18. *Segment Information*

The Company follows SFAS 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information." Certain information is disclosed, per SFAS 131, based on the way management organizes financial information for making operating decisions and assessing performance. The Company currently operates in a single segment and will evaluate additional segment disclosure requirements as it expands its operations.

19. *Recent Pronouncements*

In August 2005, the FASB issued SFAS 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections." This statement applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle and to changes required by an accounting pronouncement if the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions, and it changes the requirements for accounting for and reporting them. Unless it is impractical, the statement requires retrospective application of the changes to prior periods' financial statements. This statement is effective for accounting changes and correction of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005.

SFAS 155 - "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments--an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140" This Statement, issued in February 2006, amends FASB Statements No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", and No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities". This Statement resolves issues addressed in Statement 133 Implementation Issue No. D1, "Application of Statement 133 to Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets."

This Statement:

a. Permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation

b. Clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of Statement 133

c. Establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation

d. Clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives

Amends Statement 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument.

This Statement is effective for the Company for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of the Company's fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007.

The fair value election provided for in paragraph 4(c) of this Statement may also be applied upon adoption of this Statement for hybrid financial instruments that had been bifurcated under paragraph 12 of Statement 133 prior to the adoption of this Statement. Earlier adoption is permitted as of the beginning of our fiscal year, provided we have not yet issued financial statements, including financial statements for any interim period, for that fiscal year. Provisions of this Statement may be applied to instruments that we hold at the date of adoption on an instrument-by-instrument basis.

The Company is currently reviewing the effects of adoption of this statement but it is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

SFAS 156 - "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets--an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140"

This Statement, issued in March 2006, amends FASB Statement No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities", with respect to the accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. This Statement:

1. Requires an entity to recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract in certain situations.
2. Requires all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable.
3. Permits an entity to choose either the amortization method or the fair value measurement method for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities.
4. At its initial adoption, permits a one-time reclassification of available-for-sale securities to trading securities by entities with recognized servicing rights, without calling into question the treatment of other available-for-sale securities under Statement 115, provided that the available-for-sale securities are identified in some manner as offsetting the entity's exposure to changes in fair value of servicing assets or servicing liabilities that a servicer elects to subsequently measure at fair value.
5. Requires separate presentation of servicing assets and servicing liabilities subsequently measured at fair value in the statement of financial position and additional disclosures for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities.

Adoption of this Statement is required as of the beginning of the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007. The adoption of this statement is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements". This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands

disclosure about fair value measurement. The implementation of this guidance is not expected to have any impact on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 106, and 132(R)" ("SFAS No. 158"). SFAS No. 158 requires companies to recognize a net liability or asset and an offsetting adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income to report the funded status of defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans. SFAS No. 158 requires prospective application, recognition and disclosure requirements effective for the Company's fiscal year ending December 31, 2007. Additionally, SFAS No. 158 requires companies to measure plan assets and obligations at their year-end balance sheet date. This requirement is effective for the Company's fiscal year ending December 31, 2009. The Company does not expect that it will have a material impact on its financial statements.

In September 2006, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") SAB No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements." This SAB provides guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior year misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements for the purpose of a materiality assessment. SAB 108 establishes an approach that requires quantification of financial statement errors based on the effects of each of the company's balance sheet and statement of operations financial statements and the related financial statement disclosures. The SAB permits existing public companies to record the cumulative effect of initially applying this approach in the first year ending after November 15, 2006 by recording the necessary correcting adjustments to the carrying values of assets and liabilities as of the beginning of that year with the offsetting adjustment recorded to the opening balance of retained earnings. Additionally, the use of the cumulative effect transition method requires detailed disclosure of the nature and amount of each individual error being corrected through the cumulative adjustment and how and when it arose. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that SAB 108 may have on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes-an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109." This Interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This Interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. The Interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 and the Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that FASB No. 48 may have on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

NOTE B - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern.

However, the Company has incurred and may continue to incur net losses in the future. Net losses for the years ending December 31, 2006 and 2005 were (\$619,850) and (\$719,622) respectively, and the company had negative working capital of (\$290,955) at December 31, 2006. If revenues do not increase substantially in the near future, additional sources of funds will be needed to maintain operations. These matters give rise to substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

Management and other personnel have been focused on product and service development in lieu of product marketing. The Company's management team has diligently explored several market segments relative to the Company's product and service lines over the past 3 years. From that exploration, the Company has decided it is in its best interests to explore the use of existing, well-established distribution channels for marketing and selling the DriverMax® product line. Management also believes a large market exists for the Company's testing services and the information provided by those services. A combination of the products and services has been developed as a long-term program for current and potential customers, particularly in regulated markets. Management will focus on the Company's efforts on the sales of products, services, and programs with sensible controls over expenses. Management believes these steps, if successful, will improve the Company's liquidity and operating results, allowing it to continue in existence.

The financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the possible inability of the Company to continue as a going concern.

NOTE C - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consisted of the following at December 31, 2006:

Land and building	\$ 569,748
Computer equipment	81,241
Manufacturing and test equipment	85,799
Tool and die	29,025
Other equipment	122,678
	888,491
Less accumulated depreciation	392,631
	\$ 495,860

The Company recorded \$40,239 and \$43,965 respectively, of depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005.

NOTE D - ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses consisted of the following at December 31, 2006:

Royalty	\$	4,254
Payroll and payroll taxes		58,808
Other		817
	\$	63,879

The Balance of This Page Left Intentionally Blank

NOTE E - NOTES PAYABLE

Notes payable consisted of the following at December 31, 2006:

	Total	Current Portion	Long-term Portion
Note payable to bank in monthly installments of \$1,621, including principal and variable interest, currently 11%, guaranteed by stockholder, guaranteed by Small Business Administration	\$ 105,087	\$ 9,103	\$ 95,984
Capital lease payable to leasing company in monthly installments of \$376, including principal and interest of 20.625%, maturing in July 2008.	5,728	3,553	2,175
	\$ 110,816	\$ 12,656	\$ 98,159

Maturities of notes payable are as follows:

\$	\$	\$
2007 12,656	2008 11,213	2009 9,002
\$	\$	\$
2010 10,218	2011 11,598	Thereafter 56,129

NOTE F NOTES PAYABLE TO RELATED PARTIES

Notes payable to related parties consisted of the following a December 31, 2006:

Edgar Filing: MIRENCO INC - Form 10KSB

	Total	Current Portion	Long-term Portion
Notes payable to investors, 9% interest payable quarterly, principal due in March and April 2007	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ -
Note payable to related Company in monthly installments of \$689, including principal and interest of 6.75% maturing May 2009	48,126	5,179	42,947
	\$ 78,126	\$ 35,179	\$ 42,947

Maturities of related party notes payable are as follows:

\$	\$	\$
2007 35,179	2008 5,540	2009 37,407

NOTE G - CONCENTRATION OF CUSTOMERS

The Company had 3 customers that accounted for 81% of 2006 sales and 2 customers that accounted for 46% of 2005 sales. At December 31, 2006, the Company had 5 customers that accounted for 98% of sales.

NOTE H - LEASES

The Company entered into a lease agreement with its majority stockholder for the land on which the Company had constructed a new facility. The lease established a perpetual term commencing October 1, 2000 at no rental cost to the Company. The Company purchased the land in 2003. (See Note J).

NOTE I - INCOME TAXES

The Company accounts for income taxes under SFAS 109 which requires use of the liability method. SFAS 109 provides that deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded based on the differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their carrying amounts for financial reporting purposes, referred to as temporary differences. Deferred tax assets and liabilities at the end of each period are determined using the currently enacted tax rates applied to taxable income in the periods in which the deferred tax assets and liabilities are expected to be settled or realized.

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate to income before provision for income taxes. The sources and tax effects of the differences are as follows:

Income tax provision at federal statutory rate	34%
Effect of Operating Losses	(34%)
	---%

As of December 31, 2006, the Company has a net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$8,359,850. This loss carryforward will be available to offset future taxable income. If not used, this carryforward will expire through 2026. The deferred tax asset of approximately \$2,800,000 relating to the operating loss carryforward has been fully reserved as of December 31, 2006. The increase the valuation allowance related to the deferred tax asset was approximately \$300,000 during 2006. The principal difference between the accumulated deficit for income tax purposes and for financial reporting purposes is related to stock based compensation.

NOTE J - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In 2006 the Company incurred rent expense in the amount of \$9,600 payable to an officer and majority stockholder, which is included in due to officers and affiliates at December 31, 2006. Payments to related parties in 2005 amounted to \$3,108 for interest.

On April 30, 1999, the Company entered into an agreement to acquire patents and trademarks for an initial price of \$25,000 from a company whose stockholders have controlling ownership in the Company. The patents and trademarks were recorded as a lump-sum purchase at the affiliate's carrying value, \$9,800, at the date of purchase. The remaining \$15,200 was recorded as a distribution to stockholders. In July 2000, upon the completed sale of 1,000,000 shares of stock to the public and in accordance with the patent purchase agreement a payment of \$225,000 was paid and was accounted for as a distribution to stockholders. Also, the agreement provides for royalty payments in the amount of 3% of gross sales (including product sales, service revenues, and all revenues from sales of patent rights) for the 20 years which began November 1, 1999. This agreement can be terminated by the seller if the Company fails to make the above payments or becomes insolvent. From January 1, 1999 to October 31, 1999, the Company paid royalties for the use and potential marketing of the patents to the company that owned the patents based on 3% of sales calculated at an established unit price (\$495) and minimum quantities (40 to 80 units per month), with payments generally made quarterly. The Company incurred royalty expense to a company partially owned by the majority stockholder of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, in the amounts of \$17,696 and \$21,604 respectively.

The Balance of This Page Left Intentionally Blank

NOTE K - COMMON STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

Options

During 1998, the Company established a nonqualified stock option plan (the 1998 Plan) pursuant to which options for up to 1,200,000 shares of the Company's authorized but unissued common stock may be granted to employees and certain non-employees. During 1999, the Company adopted the 1999 Stock Option Plan (the 1999 Plan), which provides for granting of options to officers, employees, advisors, and consultants of the Company, for the purchase of up to a total of 750,000 shares of the Company's authorized but unissued common stock. During 2001, the Company adopted the 2001 Stock Option Plan (the 2001 Plan), which provides for the granting of up to a total of 250,000 shares of the Company's authorized but unissued common stock. During 2004, the Company adopted the 2004 Stock Option Plan (the 2004 Plan) which provides for the granting up to a total of 1,000,000 shares of the Company's authorized but unissued common stock.

SFAS 123 requires the Company to provide proforma information regarding net income and earnings per share as if compensation cost for the Company's stock option plans had been determined in accordance with the fair value based method prescribed in SFAS 123. The fair value of the option grants is estimated on the date of grant utilizing the Black-Scholes optionpricing model. The following weighted average assumptions were used for grants during 2005: expected life of options of 10 years, expected volatility of 150%, risk-free interest rate of 3.0% and no dividend yield.

During 2005 the Company granted a total of 1,086,500 options. The options are fully vested. Of these, 36,500 are exercisable at \$.31, the fair market value at the date of grant, and expire nine years from the date of grant. In addition, in 2005, the Company granted 1,050,000 options to officers, with an exercise price of \$.15 per share. Substantially all of the options were granted to employees, officers and directors. No compensation costs have been recorded in conjunction with the issuances of the options. Management of the Company believes there is no material difference between the reported net loss and the pro-forma net loss.

During 2006 the Company granted 165,000 options as shown below. The options are fully vested. Of these, 135,000 were issued to employees and are exercisable at \$.1525, the fair market value at the date of grant, and expire eight years from the date of grant. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 5,000 options to directors to purchase common stock at \$.15625 per share, 5,000 options at \$.2750 per share, 5,000 options at \$.3750 per share, and 5,000 options at \$.2625 per share. These options are exercisable at that price until January 31, 2014. In addition, 10,000 options to purchase common stock at \$.3375 per share were issued to an employee, also exercisable through January 31, 2014. No compensation costs have been recorded in conjunction with the issuances of the options. Management of the Company believes there is no material difference between the reported net loss and the pro-forma net loss.

Weighted-

	Number of shares		average
	Outstanding	Exercisable	exercise
			price
			per share
Outstanding, January 1, 2005	1,022,710	1,022,710	\$ 2.37
Granted	1,086,500	1,086,500	0.15
Exercised	-	-	-
Outstanding, December 31, 2005	2,109,210	2,109,210	1.23
Granted	165,000	165,000	0.18
Exercised	-	-	-
Outstanding, December 31, 2006	2,274,210	2,274,210	\$ 1.15

The following table summarizes information about options outstanding at December 31, 2006 under the Compensatory Stock Option Plans:

Options outstanding	Options exercisable
Weighted-average	

Edgar Filing: MIRENCO INC - Form 10KSB

Range of exercise prices	Number outstanding	(in years) remaining contractual life	Weighted-average exercise price	Number exercisable	Weighted-average exercise price
\$0.12-\$5.00	2,274,210	6.21	\$ 1.15	2,274,210	\$ 1.15

Warrants

The Company extended the expiration date of 275,116 warrants which were to expire on June 25, 2006, to December 31, 2006 at which time they expired. The warrants entitle the warrant holders to acquire one common share for each warrant at \$1.00 per share.

In conjunction with the return of 1,000,000 common shares in 2004 the Company issued 1,000,000 warrants to purchase common stock at \$.25 per share to an officer. The warrants have no expiration date.

In addition, in conjunction with the cash sale of common shares discussed in Note L the Company issued 366,580 warrants to purchase common stock at \$.20 per share. These warrants expire on June 30, 2006. The Company extended the expiration date of these warrants to March 31, 2007 at which time they expired.

NOTE L - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

During 2004, the Company issued 12,500 shares of common stock in conjunction with a private placement debt offering to qualified investors only. The fair market value of these shares of \$5,250 has been recorded as deferred compensation and is being amortized over the term of the debt of 36 months. Amortization of \$1,750 and \$1,751 was

recorded in 2006 and 2005, respectively. In 2006, the Company sold 4,595,604 shares for \$531,966 cash. In 2005, the Company sold 5,596,212 shares for \$519,801. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 227,000 shares of its common stock to an officer for notes and accounts payable in the aggregate amount of \$27,240. The shares were issued at \$0.12 per share, the fair market value on the date of issue.

NOTE M REDEEMABLE, CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK

In December 2006, Mirencos offered minimum \$3,000 investments for 25,000 shares of its common stock at \$0.12 per share, plus 500 shares of convertible, redeemable preferred stock valued by the Company at \$1 per share. In connection with this offering, 13,900 shares of the convertible, redeemable preferred stock were issued. Each preferred share is convertible at the holder's option, to five shares of the Company's common stock, and carries a cumulative 6% dividend rate through December 31, 2011. The preferred shares may be redeemed by the Company any time after December 31, 2009, and must be fully redeemed on December 31, 2011, together with all cumulative dividends in arrears. Accordingly, the preferred shares are presented as shares subject to mandatory redemption in the accompanying financial statements.

NOTE N SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Through April 24, 2007, the Company issued an aggregate of 2,212,800 shares of common stock for cash aggregating \$208,380. The shares sold for cash were restricted and were sold at a discount from the trading price of the common shares on the subscription dates.

ITEM 8. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None

ITEM 8A. Controls and procedures

With the participation of management, the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer evaluated the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the date of this report. Based upon this evaluation, the chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that material information required to be disclosed is included in the reports that it files with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

There were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or, to the knowledge of the management of the Company, in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the evaluation date.

ITEM 8 A. Other Information Required to be Reported on Form 8-K

PART III

ITEM 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons, Compliance With Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

As of the date of this Annual Report, based solely upon a review of copies of Forms 3, 4 and 5 and amendments thereto furnished to the Company during its most recent fiscal year, management believes that all directors and officers, both past and present, are in compliance with the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Biographical summaries concerning individuals serving on the Board of Directors, the Company's executive officers and significant employees, are shown below.

Dwayne L. Fosseen, age 61, is founder, Chief Executive Officer, Chairman of the Board of Directors, and Principal (controlling) Stockholder of Mirencos, Inc. Mr. Fosseen has personally been involved in major projects with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the U.S. Department of Energy, the Iowa Corn Growers Board, the National BioDiesel Board and the Iowa Soybean Promotions Board. Mr. Fosseen has over 15 years' experience in the field of heavy-duty engines and has directed major EPA testing efforts at Ortech Corporation, an international emissions testing company.

Richard A. Musal, age 60, became Chief Financial Officer in December, 2002. Mr. Musal graduated from Central Missouri State University in 1972 and became a certified public accountant in 1973. Mr. Musal, formerly a partner with McGladrey and Pullen has served as CFO and COO at several companies throughout his career. In August, 2003 he was elected a Director, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer.

Director Tim Neugent is a graduate of Marquette University and has is currently Vice President of American Auto Finance and has owned and operated several companies in central Iowa. Mr. Neugent brings valuable marketing expertise to the Board of Directors.

Merlin Hanson, Director is a Certified Public Accountant and a graduate of the University of Minnesota. Mr. Hanson is a retired partner with McGladrey and Pullen with over 35 years with the firm. Mr. Hanson has served on many boards and most significantly, was the Chairman of the Board for Goodwill Industries, International.

Don D. Williams, age 72, a lifelong resident of Williams, Iowa, has been involved in the grain business and is a major producer of livestock. Mr. Williams has also been associated with real estate as a licensed associate. Mr. Williams has served as an officer of the County Farm Bureau Board, Heart of Iowa Realtors Board, and the County Compensation and Extension Board. A director of Mirencos, Inc. since June 1, 1998, Mr. Williams is also a veteran of the Korean War.

Mr. Hanson, Mr. Williams and Mr. Musal serve on the Company's Audit Committee. Mr. Hanson qualifies as a financial expert with his long career as a Certified Public Accountant with practice experience in reporting matters for publicly held companies.

As of the filing of this report, the Registrant has not adopted a code of ethics for its Chief Executive Officer or its Chief Financial Officer because of the financial constraints. The Company's Board of Directors intends to provide a

code of ethics in 2007.

ITEM 10. Executive Compensation

The following table sets forth the compensation of the named executive officers for each of the Company's last three completed fiscal years.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Options Awarded	All Other Compensation
Dwayne Fosseen, CEO	2006	\$ 31,904	\$ -	4,000	\$ 3,600
	2005	\$ 38,697	\$ -	6,000	\$ -
	2004	\$ 75,000	\$ -	4,000	\$ -
Richard A. Musal, COO & CFO	2006	\$ 39,250	\$ -	4,000	\$ 10,810
	2005	\$ 89,092	\$ -	3,000	\$ 5,574
	2004	\$ -	\$ -	181,000	\$ 88,000

Option Grants

There were 4,000 grants of stock options made to Mr. Musal and 4,000 grants of options made to Mr. Fosseen during the Year Ended December 31, 2006 and 3,000 grants of stock options made to Mr. Musal and 3,000 grants of options made to Mr. Fosseen during the year ended December 31, 2005.

Aggregate Option Exercises and Fiscal Year-End Option Value

No stock options were exercised during the year ended December 31, 2006, and no stock options were exercised during the year ended December 31, 2005.

Compensation of Directors

In 2006 Directors were awarded stock options for 20,000 shares, compared to stock options for 14,000 shares in 2005.

ITEM 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth the individuals serving on the Board of Directors, the Company's executive officers and significant employees, and information with respect to the number of shares of the Company's common stock beneficially owned by each of them directly or indirectly, as of March 31, 2007. The number of shares beneficially owned includes shares, if any, held in the name of the spouse, minor children, or other relatives of the individual

Edgar Filing: MIRENCO INC - Form 10KSB

living in his home, as well as shares, if any, held in the name of another person under an arrangement whereby the individual enjoys the right to vote or the use of the income, or whereby the individual can vest or re-vest title in himself or herself at once or at some future time.

Name, Position and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount Beneficially Owned		Percent of Class
Dwayne Fosseen, Director, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer 206 May Street Radcliffe, IA 50230	9,797,695	(a)	38.30%
Richard A. Musal, Director, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer 206 May Street Radcliffe, IA 50230	1,329,500	(b)	5.20%
Don Williams, Director 206 May Street Radcliffe, IA 50230	415,700	(c)	1.62%
Tim Neugent, Director 206 May Street Radcliffe, IA 50230	44,000	(d)	0.17%
Merlin Hanson, Director 206 May Street Radcliffe, IA 50230	16,000	(e)	0.06%
All Directors and Officers as a group (5 persons)	11,602,895		45.35%

(a)

Dwayne Fosseen's beneficial ownership includes 1,439,150 shares which are acquirable pursuant to the exercise of outstanding stock options and warrants, 2,000 shares owned by family members in his household and 35,450 shares, which are acquirable pursuant to the exercise of outstanding stock options owned by his spouse.

(b)

Richard Musal's beneficial ownership includes 872,000 shares which are acquirable pursuant to the exercise of outstanding stock options.

(c)

Don Williams's beneficial ownership includes 17,000 shares, which are acquirable pursuant to the exercise of outstanding stock options.

(d)

Tim Neugent's beneficial ownership includes 19,000 shares, which are acquirable pursuant to the exercise of outstanding stock options.

(e)

Merlin Hanson's beneficial ownership includes 16,000 shares, which are acquirable pursuant to the exercise of outstanding stock options.

(f)

The beneficial ownership of all directors and officers as a group includes 300,950 shares which are acquirable pursuant to the exercise of outstanding stock options and 2,095,000 shares which are acquirable pursuant to the exercise of outstanding warrants.

ITEM 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

In 2006 the Company incurred rent expense in the amount of \$9,600 payable to an officer and majority stockholder. Payments to related parties in 2005 amounted to \$3,810 for interest.

On April 30, 1999, the Company entered into an agreement to acquire patents and trademarks from a company that Mr. Fosseen has a controlling ownership in the Company for an initial price of \$25,000. The patents and trademarks were recorded as a lump-sum purchase at the affiliate's carrying value, \$9,800, at the date of purchase. The remaining \$15,200 was recorded as a distribution to stockholders. Another payment per terms of the patent purchase agreement, \$225,000, was paid in July 2000 and accounted for as a distribution to stockholders upon the completed sale of 1,000,000 shares of stock offered to the public. Also, the agreement provides for royalty payments in the amount of 3% of gross sales (including product sales, service revenues, and all revenues from sales of patent rights) for 20 years, which began November 1, 1999. This agreement can be terminated by the seller if the Company fails to make the above payments or becomes insolvent. From January 1 to October 31, 1999, the Company paid royalties for the use and potential marketing of the patents to the company that owned the patents based on 3% of sales calculated at an established unit price (\$495) and minimum quantities (40 to 80 units per month), with payments generally made quarterly. The Company incurred royalty expense to a company partially owned by the majority stockholder of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 in the amounts of \$17,696 and \$21,604 respectively.

ITEM 13. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

The following are the exhibits to this annual report.

3.2(a)

Articles of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation (Incorporated by reference to the Company's 10QSB for the quarter ended June 30, 2004 filed on August 10, 2004)

3.2(b)

Certificate of Incorporation and Certificates of Amendment to the Certification of Incorporation of Registrant (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement filed on July 10, 2000).

3.3

Bylaws of Registrant (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement filed on July 10, 2000).

10.2(d)

Stock Option Agreement between Registrant and Betty Fosseen (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement filed on July 10, 2000).

10.2(f)

Stock Option Agreement between Registrant and J. Richard Relick (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement filed on July 10, 2000).

10.4

Purchase Agreement Between Registrant and American Technologies, LLC (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement filed on July 10, 2000).

10.5

Environmental Regulatory Approvals with the U.S. Environment Protection Agency and California Air Resources Board (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement filed on July 10, 2000).

10.6

Summary of Patents and Associated Service Marks (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement filed on July 10, 2000).

10.7

Copies of U.S. and Canadian Patents Issued to Dwayne L. Fosseen (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement filed on July 10, 2000).

10.8

Summary of Mexican Patents and Associated Protections Issued to Dwayne L. Fosseen (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement filed on July 10, 2000).

10.9

Rental Agreement Between Registrant and Fosseen Manufacturing & Development, Inc (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement filed on July 10, 2000).

10.13(a)

Stock Option Agreement between Registrant and Betty Fosseen (incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement Amendment filed on April 17, 2001).

1.14

2001 Common Stock Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to the Company's 10KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001).

10.29

Employment Agreement with Richard A. Musal. (Incorporated by reference to the Company's 10QSB filed November 19, 2004)

10.30 2004 Common Stock Compensation Plan (Incorporated by reference to the Company's 10KSB, filed April 15, 2005).

10.34

Reseller agreement with Network Car, Inc. dated April 12, 2006. (incorporated by reference to the Company's 10QSB filed May 22, 2006.).

* 31.1

Certificate of Principal Executive Officer dated April 25, 2007.

* 31.2

Certificate of Principal Financial Officer dated April 25, 2007.

* 32.1

Dwayne Fosseen's Certification dated April 25, 2007 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, as adopted pursuant to, SECTION 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

* 32.2

Glynis M. Hendrickson's Certification dated April 25, 2007 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, as adopted pursuant to SECTION 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Filed with this report.

ITEM 14.

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The Company's board of directors reviews and approves audit and permissible non-audit services performed by its independent accountants, as well as the fees charged for such services. In its review of non-audit service fees and its appointment of Stark Winter Schenkein & Co., LLP as the Company's independent accountants, the board of directors considered whether the provision of such services is compatible with maintaining independence. All of the services provided and fees charged by Stark Winter Schenkein & Co., LLP in 2006 and 2005 were approved by the board of directors.

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed by for professional services for the audit of the annual financial statements of the Company and the reviews of the financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB for 2006 and 2005 were \$20,100 and \$29,950, respectively, net of expenses.

Audit-Related Fees

There were no other fees billed by during the last two fiscal years for assurance and related services that were reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements and not reported under "Audit Fees" above.

Tax Fees

There were no fees billed during the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered for tax compliance.

All Other Fees

There were no other fees billed by during the last two fiscal years for products and services provided.

The Balance of This Page Left Intentionally Blank

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the Registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Mirencο, Inc.
(Registrant)

By: /s/ Glynis M. Hendrickson

Glynis M. Hendrickson

Chief Financial Officer

Date: April 25, 2007

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Dwayne Fosseen

Dwayne Fosseen

Chairman of the Board,

Chief Executive Officer

and Director

Date: April 25, 2007

By:: /s/ Don Williams

Don Williams

Director

Date: April 25, 2007

By:: /s/ *Richard A. Musal*

Richard A. Musal

Director

and Secretary

EXHIBIT 31.1

PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, Dwayne Fosseen, Chief Executive Officer and President of Mirencos, Inc. (the Small business issuer) certify that:

1.

I have reviewed this report on Form 10-KSB of Small business issuer

2.

Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3.

Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the small business issuer

as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4.

The small business issuer's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the small business issuer and have:

(a)

Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the small business issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;

(b)

Evaluated the effectiveness of the small business issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(c)

Disclosed in this report any change in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the small business issuer's most recent fiscal quarter (the small business issuer's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and

5.

The small business issuer's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the small business issuer's auditors and the audit committee of small business issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the small business issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 25, 2007

/s/Dwayne Fosseen

Dwayne Fosseen,

President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATE OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER

I, Glynis M. Hendrickson, Chief Financial Officer of Mirengo, Inc. (the Small business issuer) certify that:

1.

I have reviewed this report on Form 10-KSB of Small business issuer

2.

Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3.

Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the small business issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4.

The small business issuer's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 (f) and 15d-15(f)) for the small business issuer and have:

(a)

Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the small business issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;

;

(b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the small business issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(c)

Disclosed in this report any change in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the small business issuer's most recent fiscal quarter (the small business issuer's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and

5.

The small business issuer's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the small business issuer's auditors and the audit committee of small business issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the small business issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 25, 2007

/s/ Glynis M. Hendrickson

Glynis M. Hendrickson,

Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Dwayne Fosseen, Chief Executive Officer of Mirencos, Inc. (the Company), certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

(1)

The Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the period ended December 31, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the Report), fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2)

The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Dwayne Fosseen

Dwayne Fosseen

Chief Executive Officer and President

April 25, 2007

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Glynis M. Hendrickson, Chief Financial Officer of Mirencos, Inc. (the "Company"), certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

(1)

The Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the period ended December 31, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2)

The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Glynis M. Hendrickson

Glynis M. Hendrickson

Chief Financial Officer

April 25, 2007

