

PRECISION AUTO CARE INC
Form 10QSB
February 09, 2007

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-QSB

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2006

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 0-29478

PRECISION AUTO CARE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Virginia
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

54-1847851
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

Edgar Filing: PRECISION AUTO CARE INC - Form 10QSB

748 Miller Drive, S.E., Leesburg, Virginia 20175

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

703-777-9095

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year,
if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date 28,993,752 shares of Common Stock as of January 26, 2007.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format: Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

INDEX

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| <u>PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005</u> | 5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the six months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005</u> | 6 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005</u> | 7 |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 8 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation</u> | 11 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 15 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 16 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 5.</u> <u>Other Information</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> <u>Exhibits or Reports on Form 8-K</u> | 19 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 20 |

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933 (the Securities Act) and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. When used in this report, the words anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, intend and plan as they are used by Precision Auto Care, Inc. or its management are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. All statements regarding Precision Auto Care, Inc. or Precision Auto Care, Inc.'s expected future financial position, business strategy, cost savings and operating synergies, projected costs and plans, and objectives of management for future operations are forward-looking statements. Although Precision Auto Care, Inc. believes the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, no assurance can be given that such expectations will prove to have been correct. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements herein include, among others, the factors set forth in the Company's 10-KSB filing for the year ending June 30, 2006 under the caption Business Risk Factors, general economic and business and market conditions, changes in federal and state laws, and increased competitive pressure in the automotive aftermarket services business.

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****PRECISION AUTO CARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | December 31, 2006 (unaudited) | June 30, 2006 |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 4,204,993 | \$ 4,441,850 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$310,440 and \$228,642, respectively | 475,017 | 499,364 |
| Notes receivable, net of allowance of \$146,842 and \$184,856, respectively | 107,888 | 115,145 |
| Deferred tax asset | 435,289 | 447,710 |
| Other assets | 422,148 | 395,737 |
| Total current assets | 5,645,335 | 5,899,806 |
| Property and equipment, net | 152,784 | 121,681 |
| Goodwill | 8,941,744 | 8,711,744 |
| Notes receivable, net of allowance of \$162,701 and \$269,222, respectively | 167,247 | 140,385 |
| Deferred tax asset | 2,598,006 | 2,667,970 |
| Deposits and other | 78,599 | 23,685 |
| Total assets | \$ 17,583,715 | \$ 17,565,271 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Line-of-credit | \$ | \$ |
| Capital lease obligation- current | 8,402 | 7,853 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 209,858 | 255,138 |
| Taxes payable | 551,232 | 565,541 |
| Accrued commission payable | 285,817 | 314,077 |
| Accrued salaries and related expenses | 412,506 | 375,648 |
| Due to related party | 156,806 | 167,053 |
| Deferred revenue | 289,629 | 320,029 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,914,250 | 2,005,339 |
| Capital lease obligation, net of current portion | 31,003 | 35,346 |
| Total liabilities | 1,945,253 | 2,040,685 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Series A redeemable preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; 11,227 shares issued and outstanding | 116,312 | 116,312 |
| Stockholders equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; 39,000,000 shares authorized; 28,993,752 shares issued and outstanding | 289,938 | 289,938 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 67,792,638 | 67,809,836 |
| Accumulated deficit | (52,560,426) | (52,691,500) |
| Total stockholders equity | 15,522,150 | 15,408,274 |

Edgar Filing: PRECISION AUTO CARE INC - Form 10QSB

| | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 17,583,715 | \$ 17,565,271 |
|--|---------------|---------------|

See accompanying notes.

4

PRECISION AUTO CARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2006 (unaudited) | 2005 (unaudited) |
| Revenues: | | |
| Franchise royalties | \$ 2,523,264 | \$ 2,538,287 |
| Franchise development | 92,938 | 83,501 |
| Company-operated retail store | 129,421 | |
| Other | 77,857 | 95,778 |
| Total revenues | 2,823,480 | 2,717,566 |
| Direct costs: | | |
| Franchise support | 1,990,781 | 1,733,003 |
| Company-operated store | 122,874 | |
| Total direct costs | 2,113,655 | 1,733,003 |
| Contribution | 709,825 | 984,563 |
| General and administrative expense | 789,774 | 659,702 |
| Depreciation expense | 15,621 | 15,892 |
| Operating (loss) income | (95,570) | 308,969 |
| Interest expense | (3,681) | (2,465) |
| Other income | 50,787 | 37,093 |
| Total other income | 47,106 | 34,628 |
| (Loss) income before income tax expense | (48,464) | 343,597 |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | (11,371) | 136,142 |
| Net (loss) income | (37,093) | 207,455 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 582 | 582 |
| Net (loss) income applicable to common shareholders | \$ (37,675) | \$ 206,873 |
| Net income per common share- Basic | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.01 |
| Net income per common share- Diluted | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.01 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding- Basic | 28,993,752 | 28,942,252 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding- Diluted | 29,089,694 | 29,696,847 |

See accompanying notes.

PRECISION AUTO CARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| | Six Months Ended December 31, | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2006 (unaudited) | 2005 (unaudited) |
| Revenues: | | |
| Franchise royalties | \$ 5,187,246 | \$ 5,349,844 |
| Franchise development | 143,619 | 184,375 |
| Company-operated retail store | 129,421 | |
| Other | 154,742 | 159,327 |
| Total revenues | 5,615,028 | 5,693,546 |
| Direct costs: | | |
| Franchise support | 3,789,723 | 3,577,078 |
| Company-operated store | 122,874 | |
| Total direct costs | 3,912,597 | 3,577,078 |
| Contribution | 1,702,431 | 2,116,468 |
| General and administrative expense | 1,539,328 | 1,326,778 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 25,806 | 32,424 |
| Operating income | 137,297 | 757,266 |
| Interest expense | (5,127) | (3,091) |
| Other income | 104,396 | 65,128 |
| Total other income | 99,269 | 62,037 |
| Income before income tax expense | 236,566 | 819,303 |
| Provision for income taxes | 104,329 | 333,982 |
| Net income | 132,237 | 485,321 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 1,163 | 1,163 |
| Net income applicable to common shareholders | \$ 131,074 | \$ 484,158 |
| Net income per common share- Basic | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.02 |
| Net income per common share- Diluted | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.02 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding- Basic | 28,993,752 | 28,928,700 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding- Diluted | 29,170,408 | 29,779,044 |

See accompanying notes.

PRECISION AUTO CARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Six Months Ended December 31, | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2006 (unaudited) | 2005 (unaudited) |
| Operating activities: | | |
| Net income applicable to common shareholders | \$ 131,074 | \$ 484,158 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 25,806 | 32,424 |
| Bad debt expense | 20,000 | 14,342 |
| Loss on disposal of property and equipment | | 9,530 |
| Deferred taxes | 82,385 | 322,998 |
| Stock based benefit due to variable accounting | (45,633) | (147,170) |
| Stock based compensation | 28,435 | |
| Changes in assets and liabilities | | |
| Accounts and notes receivable | (15,258) | 38,497 |
| Prepaid expenses, deposits and other | (24,450) | (43,676) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (49,828) | (267,069) |
| Due to related party | (10,247) | 19,894 |
| Deferred revenue and other | (30,400) | 49,765 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 111,884 | 513,693 |
| Investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (13,784) | (28,649) |
| Purchase of company-operated store | (330,000) | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (343,784) | (28,649) |
| Financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants | | 19,730 |
| Payment of preferred stock dividends | (1,163) | (1,163) |
| Repayment of notes payable | (3,794) | (28,466) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (4,957) | (9,899) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (236,857) | 475,145 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 4,441,850 | 3,279,568 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 4,204,993 | \$ 3,754,713 |
| Cash paid for the period for: | | |
| Interest | \$ 5,127 | \$ 3,091 |
| Income taxes | \$ 54,173 | \$ 20,340 |
| Supplemental schedule of non cash investing and finance activities: | | |
| Property and equipment acquired under capital lease | \$ | \$ 47,875 |

See accompanying notes.

Precision Auto Care, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1 Interim Financial Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP) for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by US GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments consisting primarily of recurring accruals considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for such interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results, which may be expected for a full fiscal year. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in Precision Auto Care Inc. s (the Company) annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2006.

Unless the context requires otherwise, all references to the Company herein mean Precision Auto Care, Inc. and those entities owned or controlled by Precision Auto Care, Inc. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with US GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets , requires that goodwill no longer be amortized, but instead be tested for impairment at least annually. The Company engaged a valuation expert to assist in estimating the fair value of franchising operations utilizing a discounted future cash flow approach that estimates revenue, driven by assumed market growth rates and appropriate discount rates. These estimates are consistent with the plans and estimates we use to manage the underlying business. Impairment testing is performed in the first quarter of each fiscal year. Based upon the current year analysis, management concluded that the \$8.7 million carrying value of goodwill was not impaired. There was additional goodwill of \$230,000 associated with the purchase of the center during the second quarter that was not included in the annual impairment testing (see Note 4).

Accounting for Stock Based Compensation

On July 1, 2006 the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004) Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees, including grants of employee and director stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. SFAS 123(R) supersedes the Company s previous accounting under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No.25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25) for the periods beginning fiscal 2007.

The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method, which required the application of the accounting standard as of July 1, 2006. The Company s Consolidated Financial Statements as of and for the six months ended December 31, 2006 reflect the impact of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements for the prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include the impact of SFAS 123(R). As a result of the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company recognized a pre-tax charge of approximately \$28,000 (included in general and administrative expenses), \$16,000 after-tax and \$.00 per share on a diluted basis in the period ended December 31, 2006 associated with the expensing of stock options. Employee stock option compensation expense in 2007 includes the estimated fair value of options granted, amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire portion of the award. Pro forma stock based compensation of approximately \$71,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2005 was related to employee stock options, which the Company had been recognizing under previous accounting standards for disclosure purposes only.

SFAS 123(R) requires companies to estimate the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service periods in the Company s Consolidated Statement of Operations. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company accounted for the stock-based awards to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method, no stock-based compensation expense had been recognized in the Company s Consolidated Statement of Operations because the exercise price of the Company s stock option granted to employees and directors equaled the

fair market value of the underlying stock at the grant.

8

Edgar Filing: PRECISION AUTO CARE INC - Form 10QSB

A summary of option activity under all plans as of December 31, 2006, and changes during the period then ended is presented below:

| | Shares Under Option | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| June 30, 2006 | 1,601,700 | 0.89 | 5.0 |
| Options granted | | | |
| Options exercised | | | |
| Options forfeited | | | |
| December 31, 2006 | 1,601,700 | 0.89 | 4.7 |

No options were granted in the six months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The exercise price of options outstanding at December 31, 2006 ranged from \$0.25 to \$10.00 per share.

A summary of the status of the Company's non-vested shares as of December 31, 2006 and changes during the period is presented below:

| | Shares Under Option | Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--|------------------------|--|
| Non-vested shares at June 30, 2006 | 250,000 | .62 |
| Granted | | |
| Vested | 125,000 | |
| Forfeited | | |
| Non-vested shares at December 31, 2006 | 125,000 | .62 |

The modified transition method of SFAS 123(R) requires the presentation of pro forma information for periods presented prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R) regarding net income and income per share as if the Company had accounted for our stock plans under the fair value method of SFAS 123(R). For pro forma purposes, the fair value of stock options was estimated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model and amortizing on a straight-line basis. The pro forma amounts are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended December 31, 2005 | Six Months Ended December 31, 2005 |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| Net income applicable to common shareholders | \$ 206,873 | \$ 484,158 |
| Deduct: Total stock-based compensation benefit reported in net income under the intrinsic value method | 110,041 | 147,170 |
| Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards | 23,434 | 71,076 |
| Pro forma net income | \$ 73,398 | \$ 265,912 |
| Earnings per share: | | |
| Basic as reported | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.02 |
| Diluted as reported | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.02 |
| Basic pro forma | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.01 |
| Diluted pro forma | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.01 |
| Weighted average shares | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding Basic | 28,942,252 | 28,928,700 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding Diluted | 29,696,847 | 29,779,044 |

Reclassifications

Certain amounts on the prior period financial statements have been reclassified to be in conformity with the current period financial statements.

9

Note 3 Earnings Per Share

The Company reports earnings per share (EPS) in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 128, Earnings per Share which specifies the methods of computation, presentation, and disclosure. SFAS No. 128 requires the presentation of basic EPS and diluted EPS. Basic EPS is calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period plus the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents. The number of shares outstanding related to stock options and warrants at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was 1,945,320 and 2,008,798, respectively. Only stock options and warrants with exercise prices lower than the average market price of the common shares were included in the diluted EPS calculation. For the three and six months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, 432,950 and 182,950 shares attributable to outstanding stock options were not included in the computation of diluted income per share as they were anti-dilutive.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share:

| | Three Months Ended December 31, | | Six Months Ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (37,093) | \$ 207,455 | \$ 132,237 | \$ 485,321 |
| Preferred stock dividends | (582) | (582) | (1,163) | (1,163) |
| Net (loss) income applicable to common shareholders | \$ (37,675) | \$ 206,873 | \$ 131,074 | \$ 484,158 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Denominator for basic EPS weighted- average-shares. | 28,993,752 | 28,942,252 | 28,993,752 | 28,928,700 |
| Common stock equivalents- stock options and warrants. | 95,942 | 754,595 | 176,656 | 850,344 |
| Denominator for diluted EPS weighted- average-shares. | 29,089,694 | 29,696,847 | 29,170,408 | 29,779,044 |
| Basic earnings per share applicable to common shareholders | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.02 |
| Diluted earnings per share applicable to common shareholders | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.02 |

Note 4 Acquisition

On October 19, 2006, the Company purchased an existing Precision Tune Auto Care center in Northern Virginia. This center will be operated as a company-owned store. The Company purchased the assets of this center for \$330,000. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired at the date of acquisition:

| | |
|-----------------------|------------|
| Current assets | \$ 10,000 |
| Equipment | 40,000 |
| Intangible asset | 50,000 |
| Goodwill | 230,000 |
| Total assets acquired | \$ 330,000 |

Note 5 Contingencies

The Company is subject to litigation that could have a material adverse impact on its liquidity (see Part II Item 1. Legal Proceedings).

Note 6 Subsequent Event

On January 4, 2007, the Company and the area developer for the Dallas, Texas market mutually agreed to terminate the area developer agreement for the Dallas, Texas market for an amount slightly in excess of \$100,000. With no area developer for that market, the Company will support the franchisees and develop new stores in that market and will keep 100 percent of the royalty stream instead of splitting those monies with an area developer.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION

Introduction

The following discussion and analysis or plan of operation of Precision Auto Care, Inc. (the Company) should be read in conjunction with the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Item 1. - Financial Statements of this quarterly report and the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and the section titled Item 6. - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 28, 2006. Historical results and percentage relationships set forth herein are not necessarily indicative of future operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

The following is a summary of the Company's critical accounting policies. These critical accounting policies require estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses reported in the consolidated financial statements. Due to their nature, estimates involve judgments based on available information. Actual results or amounts could differ from estimates and the difference could have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements. Therefore, understanding these policies is important in understanding the reported results of operations and the financial position of the Company.

Revenue Recognition

The Company enters into domestic Area Development agreements and international Master License agreements which grant the area developer and master licensor, respectively, the right to sell, on the Company's behalf, Precision Tune Auto Care franchises within a specific geographic region. Revenue from the sale of Area Development agreements and international Master License agreements is recognized as all material services or conditions related to the agreements are satisfied.

Revenue from the sale of a franchise is recognized when all material services and conditions have been satisfied, generally at the opening of the franchised center.

The Company's royalty revenue is recognized as earned in accordance with the specific terms of each agreement and to the extent no known issues involving collection exist. In the case when revenues are not likely to be collected, the Company establishes reserves for such amounts. Such reserves are based upon our historical collection experience with the various franchisees taking into consideration the financial stability of such franchisees.

Product services in the form of equipment and other marketing materials related sales are recognized upon delivery to the franchisees.

Retail revenues are realized from providing maintenance and repair services, as well as from the parts that are provided as part of that service to the general public, are recognized when the service is performed.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets, requires that goodwill no longer be amortized, but instead be tested for impairment at least annually. Impairment testing is performed in the first quarter of each fiscal year. The Company engaged a valuation specialist in fiscal year 2007 to assist management with its test for impairment. The fair value of franchising operations was estimated utilizing a discounted cash flow approach that estimates revenue, driven by assumed market growth rates and appropriate discount rates. These estimates are consistent with the plans and estimates management uses to manage the underlying business. The fair value of the franchising operations exceeded its carrying value by a substantial margin. Based upon the above, management has concluded that the \$8.7 million carrying value of goodwill was not impaired. There was additional goodwill of \$230,000 associated with the purchase of the center during the second quarter that was not included in the annual impairment testing (see Note 4).

Income Taxes

The Company recognizes deferred income tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Deferred tax liabilities and assets reflect the effects of tax losses and the future income tax effects of temporary differences between the consolidated financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and are measured using enacted tax rates that apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. A valuation allowance is established when management is not able to conclude that it is more likely than not that the assets

will be realized in future years.

The Company regularly reviews the recoverability of its deferred tax assets and establishes a valuation allowance as deemed appropriate. As of December 31, 2006, the Company had a valuation allowance of \$2.9 million against deferred tax assets.

11

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Current Year Misstatements* . SAB No. 108 requires analysis of misstatements using both an income statement (rollover) approach and a balance sheet (iron curtain) approach in assessing materiality and provides for a one-time cumulative effect transition adjustment. SAB No. 108 is effective for our fiscal year 2007 annual financial statements. We are currently assessing the potential impact that the adoption of SAB No. 108 will have on our financial statements; the impact is not expected to be material.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* , which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements, but provides guidance on how to measure fair value by providing a fair value hierarchy used to classify the source of the information. This statement is effective for us beginning July 1, 2008. We are currently assessing the potential impact that the adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have on our financial statements.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company's financial statements in accordance with FAS 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* . FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The requirements of FIN 48 are effective for our fiscal year beginning July 1, 2007. The Company is in the process of evaluating this guidance and therefore has not yet determined the impact that FIN 48 will have on our financial statements upon adoption.

Results of Operations**Comparison of the three months ended December 31, 2006 to the three months ended December 31, 2005**

Summary (in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended December 31, | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------|----------|------|
| | 2006 | % | 2005 | % |
| Automotive care franchising revenue | \$ 2,616 | 93 | \$ 2,622 | 96 |
| Company-operated store retail revenue | 129 | 4 | | |
| Other | 78 | 3 | 96 | 4 |
| Total revenues | \$ 2,823 | 100 | \$ 2,718 | 100 |
| Automotive care franchising direct cost | 1,918 | 68 | 1,650 | 61 |
| Company-operated store cost | 123 | 4 | | |
| Other | 73 | 3 | 83 | 3 |
| Total direct costs | 2,114 | 75 | 1,733 | 64 |
| General and administrative | 789 | 28 | 660 | 24 |
| Depreciation expense | 15 | | 16 | |
| Operating (loss) income | (95) | (3) | 309 | 12 |
| Other | 47 | 1 | 35 | 1 |
| (Loss) earnings before taxes | (48) | (2) | 344 | 13 |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | (11) | (1) | 136 | (5) |
| Net (loss) income | (37) | (1) | 208 | 8 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 1 | | 1 | |
| Net (loss) income applicable to common shareholders | \$ (38) | (1)% | \$ 207 | 8 % |

Revenue. Total revenue for the three months ended December 31, 2006 was approximately \$2.8 million, an increase of approximately \$105,000 or 4%, compared with total revenue of approximately \$2.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to the revenue generated by the company-operated store that was purchased during the three months ended December 31, 2006.

Edgar Filing: PRECISION AUTO CARE INC - Form 10QSB

Automotive care franchising revenue for the three months ended December 31, 2006 was \$2.6 million, which was consistent with the three months ended December 31, 2005.

Company-operated store retail revenue for the three months ended December 31, 2006 was \$129,000. There was no comparable revenue for the three months ended December 31, 2005 (see Note 4).

12

Edgar Filing: PRECISION AUTO CARE INC - Form 10QSB

The Company recognized revenue from foreign franchisee operations of \$53,000 and \$82,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Other revenue for the three months ended December 31, 2006 was \$78,000, a decrease of approximately \$18,000, or 19%, compared to \$96,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2005. The decrease in other revenue was due to a decrease in revenue from rebates and training of \$28,000 offset by an increase of \$10,000 from support fees associated with the point of sale system.

Direct Cost. Total direct cost for the three months ended December 31, 2006 totaled \$2.1 million, an increase of \$381,000 or 22%, compared with \$1.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2005.

Automotive care franchising direct cost for the three months ended December 31, 2006 totaled \$1.9 million, an increase of \$268,000 or 16%, compared to \$1.6 million for the three months ended December 31, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to the incurred expenses of approximately \$170,000 for the convention held in Orlando, Florida during the three months ended December 31, 2006. Additionally, there were marketing expenses of approximately \$40,000 for utilizing the services of an outside marketing agency as well as a sponsorship program with NASCAR during the three months ended December 31, 2006. There were no comparable expenses in the three months ended December 31, 2005.

Company-operated store cost for the three months ended December 31, 2006 was \$123,000. There was no comparable expense for the three months ended December 31, 2005 (see Note 4).

Other direct cost for the three months ended December 31, 2006 totaled \$73,000, a decrease of \$10,000 or 12%, compared with \$83,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2005.

General and Administrative Expense. General and administrative expense was \$789,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2006, an increase of \$129,000 or 20%, compared with \$660,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2005. In the three months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company recorded a benefit of approximately \$27,000 and \$111,000, respectively, related to variable accounting for certain outstanding stock options because the Company's stock price declined during the last three months. In addition to this \$84,000 difference, in the quarter ending December 31, 2006, the Company recorded a pre-tax compensation expense of approximately \$8,000 due to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) (see Note 2) and \$20,000 of expenses relating to the company-operated store. There were no comparable expenses for the three months ended December 31, 2005. The remaining increase was primarily due to the increase in legal expenses relating to the enforcement of the franchise agreement during the three months ended December 31, 2006 (see Part II Item 1. Legal Proceedings).

Operating (Loss) Income. The Company recorded operating loss for the three months ended December 31, 2006 of approximately \$95,000 compared with operating income of \$309,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2005. The decrease was mainly attributed to the expense from the bi-annual convention held in Orlando, Florida and the difference in the benefit recorded related to variable accounting for stock options.

Other Income. The Company recorded Other Income of \$47,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2006, which represents an increase in Other Income of approximately \$12,000 compared to \$35,000 in Other Income for the three months ended December 31, 2005. This increase was due to an increase in interest income.

Income Taxes. The Company's effective tax rate for the three months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was approximately 39% and 40%, respectively.

Net (Loss) Income Applicable to Common Shareholders and Earnings Per Share. The Company recorded Net Loss Applicable to Common Shareholders of \$38,000, or \$0.00 per share, for the three months ended December 31, 2006 compared to Net Income Applicable to Common Shareholders of \$207,000, or \$0.01 per share, for the three months ended December 31, 2005.

Results of Operations**Comparison of the six months ended December 31, 2006 to the six months ended December 31, 2005**

Summary (in thousands)

| | Six Months Ended December 31, | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----|----------|-----|
| | 2006 | % | 2005 | % |
| Automotive care franchising revenue | \$ 5,331 | 95 | \$ 5,534 | 97 |
| Company-operated store retail revenue | 129 | 2 | | |
| Other | 155 | 3 | 159 | 3 |
| Total revenues | \$ 5,615 | 100 | \$ 5,693 | 100 |
| Automotive care franchising direct cost | 3,646 | 65 | 3,433 | 60 |
| Company-operated store cost | 123 | 2 | | |
| Other | 144 | 3 | 144 | 3 |
| Total direct costs | 3,913 | 70 | 3,577 | 63 |
| General and administrative | 1,539 | 27 | 1,327 | 23 |
| Depreciation expense | 26 | 1 | 32 | 1 |
| Operating income | 137 | 2 | 757 | 13 |
| Other | 99 | 2 | 62 | 1 |
| Earnings before taxes | 236 | 4 | 819 | 14 |
| Provision for income taxes | 104 | 2 | 334 | (6) |
| Net income | 132 | 2 | 485 | 8 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 1 | | 1 | |
| Net income applicable to common shareholders | \$ 131 | 2 | \$ 484 | 8 |

Revenue. Total revenue for the six months ended December 31, 2006 was approximately \$5.6 million, a decrease of approximately \$78,000, or 1%, compared with total revenue of approximately \$5.7 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005.

Automotive care franchising revenue for the six months ended December 31, 2006 was approximately \$5.3 million, a decrease of approximately \$203,000, or 4%, compared with automotive care revenue of approximately \$5.5 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005. The decrease in automotive care franchising revenue was due to a \$126,000 decrease in distribution and equipment sales, a \$41,000 decrease in domestic and international franchise development, a \$26,000 decrease in domestic royalty revenue which was primarily due to the franchisees paying more timely which allowed them to take advantage of the partners in profit program and pay a lower royalty percentage on their weekly sales. In addition, the company realized a \$10,000 decrease in international royalties.

Company-operated store retail revenue for the six months ended December 31, 2006 was \$129,000. There was no comparable revenue for the three months ended December 31, 2005 (see Note 4).

The Company recognized revenue from foreign franchisee operations of \$132,000 and \$164,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Other revenue for the six months ended December 31, 2006 was \$155,000, a decrease of approximately \$4,000, or 3%, compared to \$159,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2005.

Direct Cost. Total direct cost for the six months ended December 31, 2006 totaled approximately \$3.9 million, an increase of \$336,000 or 9%, compared with approximately \$3.6 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005.

Automotive care franchising direct cost for the six months ended December 31, 2006 totaled \$3.6 million, an increase of \$213,000 or 6%, compared to \$3.4 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to the incurred expenses of approximately \$170,000 for the convention held in Orlando, Florida during the six months ended December 31, 2006. Additionally, there were marketing expenses of approximately \$40,000 for utilizing the services of an outside marketing agency as well as a sponsorship program with

Edgar Filing: PRECISION AUTO CARE INC - Form 10QSB

NASCAR during the six months ended December 31, 2006. There were no comparable expenses in the six months ended December 31, 2005.

Company-operated store cost for the six months ended December 31, 2006 was \$123,000. There was no comparable expense for the six months ended December 31, 2005 (see Note 4).

Other direct cost for the six months ended December 31, 2006 totaled \$144,000, which was comparable to the six months ended December 31, 2005.

14

General and Administrative Expense. General and administrative expense was approximately \$1.5 million for the six months ended December 31, 2006, an increase of \$212,000 or 16%, compared with approximately \$1.3 million for the six months ended December 31, 2005. In the six months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company recorded a benefit of approximately \$46,000 and \$150,000, respectively, related to variable accounting for certain outstanding stock options because the Company's stock price declined during the last six months. In addition to this \$104,000 difference, in the six months ended December 31, 2006, the Company recorded a pre-tax compensation expense of approximately \$28,000 due to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) (see Note 2) and \$20,000 of expenses relating to the company-operated store. There were no comparable expenses for the six months ended December 31, 2005. The remaining increase was primarily due to the increase in legal expenses relating to the enforcement of the franchise agreement during the six months ended December 31, 2006 (see Part II Item 1. Legal Proceedings).

Operating Income. The Company recorded operating income for the six months ended December 31, 2006 of approximately \$137,000 compared with operating income of \$757,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2005. As discussed previously, there were multiple variables that attributed to the decrease in operating income during the six months ending December 31, 2006. The most significant variables were the convention expense, the decrease in automotive care franchising revenue and the impact of the difference in the benefit recorded related to variable accounting for stock options.

Other Income. The Company recorded Other Income of \$99,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2006, which represents an increase in Other Income of approximately \$37,000 compared to \$62,000 in Other Income for the six months ended December 31, 2005. This increase was due to an increase in interest income.

Income Taxes. The Company's effective tax rate for the six months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was approximately 44% and 41%, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate was due primarily to a decrease in the forecasted pre-tax book income for the year and an increase in the expected state taxes.

Net Income Applicable to Common Shareholders and Earnings Per Share. The Company recorded Net Income Applicable to Common Shareholders of \$131,000, or \$0.00 per share, for the six months ended December 31, 2006 compared to Net Income Applicable to Common Shareholders of \$484,000, or \$0.02 per share, for the six months ended December 31, 2005.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources and Uses of Cash

Cash at December 31, 2006 was \$4.2 million. During the period, cash provided by operations was \$112,000.

Cash used in investing activities for the six months ended December 31, 2006 was \$344,000. Cash used in investing activities during the six months ended December 31, 2006 consisted of the purchase of property and equipment of \$14,000 for use in the Company's franchise operations and \$330,000 for the purchase of a company-operated store.

Cash used in financing activities for the six months ended December 31, 2006 was \$5,000. Cash used in financing activities during the six months ended December 31, 2006 consisted primarily of the payments of dividends and a capital lease obligation.

Management believes that the Company's current cash balance, cash generated from operations, and the available \$250,000 credit line will be sufficient to meet the Company's working capital needs, capital expenditures, and contractual obligations for fiscal year 2007. At December 31, 2006, the entire line of credit was available.

Seasonality and Quarterly Fluctuations

Edgar Filing: PRECISION AUTO CARE INC - Form 10QSB

Seasonal changes may impact various sectors of the Company's business differently and, accordingly, the Company's operations may be affected by seasonal trends in certain periods. In particular, severe weather in winter months can adversely affect the Company because such weather makes it difficult for consumers in affected parts of the country to travel to Precision Auto Care centers.

ITEM 3. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(c) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

15

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is subject to litigation that could have a material adverse impact on its liquidity as follows:

Ebony Boyd v. Precision Auto Care, Inc. a/k/a PACI a/k/a Precision Tune Auto Care operating as Precision Franchising LLC, District Court of Oklahoma County, cj-2006-10179, Filed: December 13, 2006.

Plaintiff is a customer of Rockwell Tune, Inc., located in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Rockwell Tune, Inc. is a Precision Tune Auto Care (PTAC) franchisee. On or about March 23, 2005, Plaintiff was on the premises of Rockwell Tune, Inc. and was asked by an employee of Rockwell Tune, Inc. to enter the service bays. The Rockwell Tune, Inc. employee lowered a hydraulic lift that was supporting Plaintiff's vehicle and crushed Plaintiff's foot. Plaintiff filed a complaint on December 13, 2006 against Precision Auto Care, Inc. (PACI) requesting judgment in an amount in excess of \$10,000. Plaintiff did not file a complaint against the franchisee, Rockwell Tune, Inc. On December 27, 2006, Rockwell Tune, Inc. signed a letter acknowledging that it will indemnify PACI from all claims of this nature. Rockwell Tune, Inc. had insurance coverage on the date of the incident and Rockwell Tune, Inc.'s insurance company has agreed to defend PACI in this matter. PACI has advised Plaintiff's counsel that PACI is an improperly named defendant. Plaintiff's counsel has advised PACI that Plaintiff will file an amended complaint removing PACI from the case.

Precision Franchising LLC v. James Barger, Robert Hedayati, Pacific Coast Precision, Inc., Pars Ventures, Inc., USDC ED VA (Alexandria District), 1:06cv01346, Filed: November 29, 2006.

Defendants Pars Ventures, Inc. (Pars), James Barger (Barger) and Robert Hedayati (Hedayati) are parties to a Franchise Agreement with Precision Franchising LLC (PFL) to operate a Precision Tune Auto Care (PTAC) center located in Aliso Viejo, California. The Franchise Agreement prohibits Defendants Pars, Barger, and Hedayati from operating similar businesses to the franchised business at other locations. Defendant Pacific Coast Precision Inc. (PCPI) is party to an Area Development Agreement with PFL. The Area Development Agreement prohibits PCPI from infringing upon PFL's trademarks and trade dress, as well as from operating a similar business to the franchised business with the San Diego, Imperial, and Riverside counties of California.

Defendants Pars, Barger, Hedayati, and PCPI (collectively, Defendants) have been operating three locations in California using PFL's trademarks and trade dress without franchise agreements. PFL has repeatedly demanded that Defendants sign a franchise agreement for the three California locations without any response from Defendants. PFL filed a complaint against the Defendants on November 29, 2006. Defendants Pars and PCPI were served on 12/6/06 and Defendants Hedayati and Barger were served on 12/7/06.

Precision Franchising LLC v. Chadwick A. Coombs and Evelyn Coombs, USDC ED VA (Alexandria District), 1:06cv1148, Filed: October 11, 2006. Defendants are Precision Tune Auto Care (PTAC) franchisees (the Franchisees) whose Precision Tune Auto Care Franchise Agreement (the Franchise Agreement) expired on August 14, 2006. Per the Franchise Agreement, upon termination, Franchisees agreed to cease operating their franchised business and to cease using all of PTAC's proprietary marks and trade dress.

On August 28, 2006, PTAC sent a letter to Franchisees advising them that the Franchise Agreement terminated and that it must either be renewed or the Franchisees would be required to comply with all post-term covenants. On September 15, 2006, Franchisees responded by sending the lease to the formerly franchised location to PTAC and advised PTAC that they would vacate the premises. Franchisees advised PTAC that PTAC should lease the formerly franchised location. However, phone calls to the formerly franchised location revealed that Franchisees continued to operate an auto care center at the formerly franchised location until October 1, 2006. On October 1, 2006, Franchisees closed the formerly franchised location and opened an auto care center less than one mile from the formerly franchised location, in violation of the non-competition portion of their Franchise Agreement.

PTAC filed a complaint against the Franchisees on October 11, 2006 in the US District Court, Eastern District of Virginia's Alexandria Division. PTAC is seeking damages, together with pre- and post-judgment interest, and attorney's fees and costs. PTAC also seeks a permanent injunction.

On October 30, 2006, Franchisees filed an answer to PTAC's complaint and filed a counterclaim, as well as a motion to transfer the case to the Richmond Division of the US District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia and a motion to dismiss. On December 27, 2006, the judge ruled in favor of PTAC and denied the Franchisees' motions to dismiss and to transfer.

Edgar Filing: PRECISION AUTO CARE INC - Form 10QSB

Barras, et al. v. Precision Tune Auto Care, Inc., 16th Judicial District Court, St. Martin Parish, Louisiana, docket numbers 70616-F, 70629-B, 70628-B, 70630-D, filed May 18, 2006 (Barras) and May 24, 2006 (Escuriex, Berard-Linford, Bergeron). Served May 26, 2006 (Barras), May 30, 2006 (Berard-Linford, Bergeron), May 31, 2006 (Escuriex).

On May 21, 2005, an automobile operated by Steven Berard (Berard), in which Andrew Barras, Jr. (Barras), Berard s sister Stephanie Domingue (Domingue), and Windi Bergeron (Bergeron) were passengers, crossed into oncoming traffic and struck an automobile driven by Jennifer W. Escuriex (Escuriex). Domingue, Bergeron, and Escuriex were each injured (as well as four of Escuriex s passengers), while Barras and Berard were killed. The automobile operated by Berard was actually owned by Berard s grandmother, Jacqueline Berard.

16

Edgar Filing: PRECISION AUTO CARE INC - Form 10QSB

On May 24, 2006, Escuriex, Claudette Berard-Linford (Berard-Linford) (mother of Berard) on behalf of her son Berard and daughter Domingue, and Bergeron, each filed a Petition for Damages against PTAC. As in the Barras Petition for Damages, PTAC is one of more than dozen co-defendants. Escuriex, Berard-Linford, and Bergeron each claim that PTAC was negligent in servicing, testing and/or inspecting Jacqueline Berard's automobile, thereby making the accident and resulting injuries more likely.

Plaintiffs seek compensation for medical treatment, physical and mental pain and suffering, disability, loss of society, past and future loss of income and earning capacity, and past and future loss of enjoyment of life (although no exact amount is specified in the Petition for Damages).

PTAC intends to vigorously defend the claims filed against it. At this time, there is no evidence that Jacqueline Berard had her vehicle serviced by a Precision Tune Auto Care franchise and, if she did, PTAC and the franchisee have an independent contractor relationship since PTAC does not in any way control the business operations of its franchisees. Furthermore, the franchisee's insurance carrier has agreed to provide a defense and has retained counsel for that purpose. On July 13, 2006, PTAC filed its response to Bergeron and Berard-Linford's respective Petitions for Damages; on July 14, 2006, PTAC filed its response to Barras's Petition for Damages; on July 16, 2006, PTAC filed its response to Escuriex's Petition for Damages. In each case, PTAC denied the allegations asserted against it. The parties are currently conducting discovery. PTAC will file a Motion for Summary Judgment once discovery is completed.

Maureen Jones v. Precision Tune Auto Care, Inc. Precision Franchising LLC, Paul Blakeman, and Complete Car Care LLC, United States District Court, Western District of Oklahoma, Case No.: 06-CV-506, filed July 26, 2006, served August 14, 2006.

Complete Car Care LLC, (CCC) and Precision Franchising LLC (the Franchisor) are parties to a Precision Tune Auto Care Franchise Agreement (the Franchise Agreement) for the operation of a Precision Tune Auto Care center (the Center) in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Plaintiff Maureen Jones, a former employee of CCC, alleges that agents of CCC engaged in discrimination against her on account of her gender. Plaintiff also claims retaliatory behavior subsequent to her initial complaint. Plaintiff is suing under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and is seeking to hold Precision Franchising LLC (PFL) and its affiliate Precision Tune Auto Care, Inc. (PTAC) (collectively Franchisor Defendants) vicariously liable. In her complaint, Plaintiff asks for damages in excess of \$75,000.

Under the terms of the Franchise Agreement, CCC and Paul Blakeman (pursuant to the terms of a guarantee agreement) are required to indemnify and hold harmless the Franchisor Defendants for all claims made in connection with the operation of the Center. Accordingly, Franchisor Defendants sent to CCC on August 14, 2006 a letter demanding that CCC respond to Plaintiff's complaint and hold Franchisor Defendants harmless.

To date, CCC and Paul Blakeman have retained counsel and have provided assurances that they will seek to have the Franchisor Defendants removed from the suit.

Franchisor Defendants have retained counsel and filed an answer on September 5, 2006 denying the allegations of plaintiff. Franchisor Defendants believe they are not proper parties to the suit and will take all appropriate steps to obtain a dismissal. On December 28, 2006, Franchisor Defendants received notice from Plaintiff that she is agreeable to dismissing Franchisor Defendants from the case. Franchisor Defendants are in the process of preparing and filing a stipulation of dismissal.

Shaw v. Precision Tune Auto Care and Mark Hall, State of South Carolina, County of Greenville, Court of Common Pleas, 13th Judicial Circuit, Case No.: 2006-CP-23-3737, filed and served 6/19/2006.

Plaintiff Tammy Shaw claims that the employees of Woodruff Road Tune, Inc. (Woodruff), a Precision Tune Auto Care franchisee, caused damage to her vehicle. The named defendant, Mark Hall, is an agent of Woodruff. Plaintiff seeks actual damages not to exceed \$9,999.99, attorney's fees, and the costs of the action.

Because Woodruff is contractually obligated to indemnify PTAC and because PTAC and Woodruff are independent contractors, PTAC does not expect to incur liability. PTAC sent to Woodruff on June 19, 2006 a letter demanding that Woodruff hold PTAC harmless for all claims asserted by Shaw. Accordingly, Woodruff's insurance carrier has retained counsel to defend both Woodruff and PTAC's interests. On August 18, 2006, defendants filed their answer; each allegation was denied, and it was asserted that PTAC is not a proper defendant due to: (i) the independent contractor relationship between PTAC and Woodruff; and (ii) the fact that PTAC is merely a trademarked brand name and Precision Franchising LLC is the actual corporate entity.

United Bank, NA v. C. Eugene Deal, Miracle Partners, Inc., Star Auto Center, Inc., Common Pleas Court of Cuyahoga County, Ohio, Case No. 01-CV0019, Filed January 11, 2001.

Edgar Filing: PRECISION AUTO CARE INC - Form 10QSB

Miracle Partners, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, was party to a confessed judgment of approximately \$1.3 million. The subsidiary is currently inactive and has no assets. As such, management believes this judgment will have no material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations. Furthermore, the Company believes that it has a meritorious claim against Mr. Deal for misrepresentations made in connection with PACI's acquisition of Miracle Partners, Inc. in 1997 for all amounts covered by the judgment.

17

Lumnivision, S.A. de C.V. v. Praxis Afinaciones, S.A. de C.V., Third Civil Court, First Judicial District, Monterrey, Nuevo Laredo, Mexico.

Lumnivision filed suit against Praxis Afinaciones, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of PACI, seeking payment of 766,000 Mexican Pesos, plus interest at the rate of 5% per month, for services under a contract. Praxis Afinaciones denies the allegations and is defending the allegations in the lawsuit.

Resolved Matter

Precision Franchising LLC v. Ira A. Starr and Barbara Starr, USDC ED VA (Alexandria District), 1:06cv505, Filed: May 2, 2006.

Defendants are Precision Tune Auto Care (PTAC) franchisees (the Franchisees) whose Precision Tune Auto Care Franchise Agreement (the Franchise Agreement) expired in June 2006. Upon execution of the Franchise Agreement in 1995, Franchisees and Franchisees landlord entered into an Agreement and Contingent Assignment of Lease (ACAL). Upon the expiration of the Franchise Agreement, the ACAL permits Precision Franchising LLC (PFL) to assume Franchisees lease to the premises (the Premises) on which they operate the franchised business. Unbeknownst to PFL, Franchisees purchased from their landlord the Premises.

Franchisees subsequently expressed their desire not to renew the Franchise Agreement upon expiration; in turn, PFL then stated its intention to exercise its option under the ACAL. However, upon expiration of the Franchise Agreement, Franchisees refused to honor PFL's rights under the ACAL and commenced operating on the Premises a non-PTAC automobile maintenance business. The operation of such a business violates a non-competition covenant within the Franchise Agreement.

On April 24, 2006, Ira Starr, Barbara Starr and AllStarr Car Care, Inc. filed a complaint in the Circuit Court of Kanawha County, West Virginia against Precision Tune, Inc., PFL, Grimaud Enterprises, Inc. and David Grimaud (collectively the Franchisor Defendants). The suit was not served on Precision Tune Inc. until June 19, 2006 and was not served on PFL until June 20, 2006. Franchisees seek to enjoin the Franchisor Defendants from (i) exercising the option under the ACAL, and (ii) enforcing the non-competition covenant. To date, no monetary damages have been specified. In their complaint, Franchisees make a claim for promissory estoppel by alleging Franchisor Defendant's misrepresentations induced Franchisees to sign the Franchise Agreement. Franchisees also allege Franchisor Defendants breached the Franchise Agreement by failing to fulfill its obligations thereunder. PFL believes neither claim has merit, and both are pretexts to obscure Franchisees violation of the ACAL and non-competition covenants.

On May 2, 2006, PFL filed a complaint in the Federal District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia against Franchisees. PFL seeks to enforce the non-competition covenants and the ACAL, and prevent Franchisees from continuing to use PFL's proprietary point-of-sale software. PFL seeks more than \$75,000 in damages and seeks to enjoin Franchisees from operating an automotive maintenance business on the Premises.

On July 10, 2006, Franchisees served PFL with their answer, moved to dismiss or, in the alternative, transfer venue, and made a counterclaim for breach of contract, declaration of rights and to quiet title to real property. On July 24, 2006 PFL filed its opposition to Franchisees motion to dismiss or transfer venue. On July 31, 2006 Franchisees filed their rebuttal to opposition to dismiss or transfer venue. On August 11, 2006, a motion hearing was held in the US District Court in the Eastern District of Virginia regarding Franchisees motion to transfer the case and motion to dismiss.

On August 21, 2006, the judge ruled in favor of PFL and PFL successfully obtained removal and consolidation of all claims into a single suit, Precision Franchising LLC v. Ira A. Starr and Barbara Starr, to be adjudicated in the Federal District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. On November 6, 2006, PFL and Franchisees signed a settlement agreement and release. Per the terms of the settlement agreement, Franchisees renewed their Franchise Agreement and signed a promissory note. On November 7, 2006, the judge ordered a dismissal of the case without prejudice.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to other litigation in the ordinary course of business, including contract, franchisee and employment-related litigation. In the course of enforcing its rights under existing and former franchisee agreements, the Company is subject to complaints and letters threatening litigation concerning the interpretation and applicability of these agreements, particularly in cases involving defaults and terminations of franchisees.

The Company does not believe that any of the above proceedings will result in material judgments against the Company. There can be no assurance, however, that these suits will ultimately be decided in its favor. Any one of these suits may result in a material judgment against the Company, which could cause material adverse consequences to its operations.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders was held on November 15, 2006.

The following proposals were adopted by the margins indicated:

1. To elect the Board of Directors for the coming year.

| | Number of Shares | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | For | Withheld |
| Woodley A. Allen | 21,794,533 | 364,054 |
| Louis M. Brown, Jr. | 21,794,533 | 364,054 |
| Bassam N. Ibrahim | 21,794,833 | 363,754 |
| Peter C. Keefe | 21,675,383 | 483,204 |
| John D. Sanders, Ph.D | 21,795,033 | 363,554 |

2. To ratify the appointment of Yount, Hyde & Barbour, P.C. as independent auditors for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2007.

| | Number of Shares |
|---------|-------------------------|
| For | 21,771,672 |
| Against | 352,532 |
| Abstain | 34,383 |

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS OR REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (a) Exhibits

- 31.1* Written statement of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2* Written statement of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1* Written statement of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Filed herewith

- (b) Reports on Form 8-K

None.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on February 6, 2007.

Precision Auto Care, Inc.

By: /s/ Robert R. Falconi
Robert R. Falconi
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Duly Authorized Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|--|------------------|
| /s/ Robert R. Falconi Robert R. Falconi | President and Chief Executive Officer | February 6, 2007 |
| /s/ Mark P. Francis Mark P. Francis | Chief Financial Officer | February 6, 2007 |