

SPORT HALEY INC
Form 10-Q
May 15, 2009
[Table of Contents](#)

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

o TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

Commission File No. 0-51715

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

COLORADO
(State of other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

84-1111669
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

4600 E. 48th Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80216

Edgar Filing: SPORT HALEY INC - Form 10-Q

(Address of principal executive offices)

(303) 320-8800

(Issuer's telephone number including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "accelerated filer" and "non-accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller Reporting Company ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate the number of shares outstanding in each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at May 8, 2009
Common Stock, no par value	2,284,490

Table of Contents

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
PART 1 FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)	
ITEM 1	
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
<u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS</u>	3
<u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	4
<u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS</u>	5
<u>NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	6
<u>ITEM 2</u>	
<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	19
<u>ITEM 4</u>	
<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	31
<u>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>ITEM 1</u>	
<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	33
<u>ITEM 1A</u>	
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	33
<u>ITEM 4</u>	
<u>SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS</u>	33
<u>ITEM 5</u>	
<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	34
<u>ITEM 6</u>	
<u>EXHIBITS</u>	35
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	36
EXHIBITS	
10.4.4	ADDENDUM TO LEASE AGREEMENT, DATED APRIL 28, 2009, BY AND BETWEEN THOMAS J. HILB, INDIVIDUALLY, AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE CONNIE HILB TRUST, AND SPORT-HALEY, INC.
31.1	CERTIFICATION OF DONALD W. JEWELL
31.2	CERTIFICATION OF PATRICK W. HURLEY
32.1	CERTIFICATIONS OF DONALD W. JEWELL AND PATRICK W. HURLEY

Table of Contents**SPORT-HALEY, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	March 31, 2009 (Unaudited)	June 30, 2008 (***)
<u>ASSETS</u>		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,395,000	\$ 2,830,000
Marketable securities		200,000
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$346,000 and \$278,000, respectively	1,903,000	2,791,000
Inventories	6,165,000	5,947,000
Prepaid expenses and other	146,000	264,000
Total current assets	9,609,000	12,032,000
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net		
Other assets	122,000	197,000
	3,000	3,000
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 9,734,000	\$ 12,232,000
<u>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</u>		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$ 482,000	\$ 736,000
Accrued royalties payable	53,000	52,000
Accrued commissions payable	138,000	180,000
Accrued payroll payable	49,000	138,000
Accrued customs duties payable	828,000	685,000
Accrued other expenses payable	179,000	177,000
	1,729,000	1,968,000
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
Minimum royalties payable (current)		80,000
TOTAL LIABILITIES - CURRENT	1,729,000	2,048,000
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock, no par value; 1,500,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding		
Common stock, no par value; 15,000,000 shares authorized; 2,284,490 shares issued and outstanding	8,481,000	8,481,000
Additional paid-in capital	1,621,000	1,621,000
Retained earnings (deficit)	(2,097,000)	82,000
Total shareholders' equity	8,005,000	10,184,000
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 9,734,000	\$ 12,232,000

*** Taken from the audited balance sheet at that date.

Edgar Filing: SPORT HALEY INC - Form 10-Q

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SPORT-HALEY, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2009 (Unaudited)	2008 (Unaudited) As Corrected (Note 2)	2009 (Unaudited)	2008 (Unaudited) As Corrected (Note 2)
NET SALES	\$ 2,852,000	\$ 4,751,000	\$ 8,901,000	\$ 12,690,000
COST OF GOODS SOLD				
Cost of goods sold	2,125,000	2,970,000	6,039,000	7,861,000
Impairments	254,000	5,000	585,000	179,000
Total cost of goods sold	2,379,000	2,975,000	6,624,000	8,040,000
GROSS PROFIT	473,000	1,776,000	2,277,000	4,650,000
OTHER OPERATING COSTS				
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,416,000	1,901,000	4,288,000	5,272,000
Royalty expense	53,000	179,000	209,000	447,000
Total other operating costs	1,469,000	2,080,000	4,497,000	5,719,000
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(996,000)	(304,000)	(2,220,000)	(1,069,000)
Other income, net	(1,000)	196,000	43,000	276,000
Provision for income taxes		(2,000)	(2,000)	(9,000)
NET LOSS	\$ (997,000)	\$ (110,000)	\$ (2,179,000)	\$ (802,000)
NET LOSS PER SHARE				
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.43)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.95)	\$ (0.35)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING				
Basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding	2,284,000	2,284,000	2,284,000	2,284,000

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2009 (Unaudited)	2008 (Unaudited) As Corrected (Note 2)
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net loss	\$ (2,179,000)	\$ (802,000)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	117,000	157,000
Impairments	585,000	179,000
Allowance for doubtful accounts	47,000	28,000
Cash provided (used) due to changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	841,000	161,000
Inventories	(803,000)	(1,163,000)
Other assets	117,000	11,000
Accounts payable	(254,000)	(797,000)
Accrued expenses and other	(65,000)	(205,000)
Net cash used by operating activities	(1,594,000)	(2,431,000)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from maturity of marketable securities	200,000	
Purchase of fixed assets	(41,000)	(7,000)
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	159,000	(7,000)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Borrowings under line of credit		1,850,000
Repayments under line of credit		(1,350,000)
Net cash provided by financing activities		500,000
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(1,435,000)	(1,938,000)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	2,830,000	4,464,000
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 1,395,000	\$ 2,526,000
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Income taxes	\$ 2,000	\$ 8,000

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation Our condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Sport-Haley, Inc. (Sport Haley) and Reserve Apparel Group LLC (Reserve Apparel). Sport Haley and Reserve Apparel may collectively be referred to as the Company, we, us or our. All significant inter-company transactions between Sport Haley and Reserve Apparel have been eliminated.

Nature of Operations Sport Haley, organized as a Colorado corporation in January 1991, designs, purchases or contracts for the manufacture of, markets and distributes women s and men s fashion golf apparel and outerwear under the SPORT HALEY® and Ben Hogan® labels. Our fashion golf apparel collections, known for their innovative designs, quality fabrics, generous fits and classic styles, are primarily marketed in the premium and mid-priced markets through a network of independent sales representatives to golf professional shops, country clubs, resorts and exclusive department stores within the United States. Ben Hogan® fashion golf apparel is distributed per the terms of a license agreement with Callaway Golf Company (Callaway).

Reserve Apparel, formed in November 2005, designed, purchased or contracted for the manufacture of, marketed and distributed branded golf apparel and outerwear under the Top-Flite® label to mass retailers and other big-box, high sales volume retail stores. Since September 2006 Reserve Apparel has been a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sport Haley. Top-Flite® branded golf apparel was distributed per the terms of a license agreement with Callaway. We discontinued marketing Top-Flite® Branded apparel in November 2007, and in March 2008 the license agreement was amended to remove the Top-Flite® brand, effective January 1, 2008.

Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Our condensed consolidated balance sheets and interim condensed consolidated statements of operations and cash flows include all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring items) necessary for their fair representation. The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities.

Certain information in footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements has been condensed or omitted in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information included in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with financial statements and notes thereto and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the year ended June 30, 2008, included in the Company s filing on Form 10-K.

Reclassification Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the March 31, 2009, presentation. Such reclassifications had no affect on net income (loss) in any of the periods presented.

Edgar Filing: SPORT HALEY INC - Form 10-Q

Marketable Securities Marketable securities generally consisted of certificates of deposit that had an initial maturity of greater than three months and were classified as held-to-maturity. Due to the short-term nature of the investments, there were no material

Table of Contents

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

unrealized gains or losses on these investments. As a result, the carrying values of marketable securities approximated their fair value.

Accounts Receivable Accounts receivable contain amounts due from our independent wholesale sales representatives, primarily for sample garments. The total of amounts due from sales representatives represents less than 5% of our total accounts receivable.

Stock-Based Compensation In January 2008, we adopted a new stock option plan, entitled the Sport-Haley 2008 Stock Option Plan (the 2008 Plan), which was approved by our shareholders in February 2008. Under the 2008 Plan, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors is authorized to grant up to 50,000 non-qualified stock options and up to 150,000 incentive stock options. No options or other awards have been granted under the 2008 Plan.

Our former Stock Option Plan (the Former Plan) expired by its terms in February 2003. Option agreements that were issued in accordance with the Former Plan prior to its expiration generally remain in force until the earlier of their exercise, the expiration of certain time periods after the termination of a grantee's employment (or other relationship with the Company) or expiration by their terms.

At March 31, 2009, there were outstanding options to purchase 577,000 shares of our common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$2.71 to \$3.60 per share and expiration dates between January 2010 and January 2013. All outstanding options are fully vested. No options were exercised during the three months or nine months ended March 31, 2009. During the nine months ended March 31, 2009, 9,000 options expired.

Recent Pronouncements In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 162 (SFAS 162), *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (GAAP). The current GAAP hierarchy, as set forth in the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Statement on Auditing Standards No. 69, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, has been criticized because: (i) it is directed to the auditor rather than the entity; (ii) it is complex; and, (iii) it ranks FASB Statements of Financial Accounting Concepts. The FASB believes that the GAAP hierarchy should be directed to entities, because it is the entity (not its auditors) that is responsible for selecting accounting principles for financial statements that are presented in conformity with GAAP. Accordingly, the FASB concluded that the GAAP hierarchy should reside in the accounting literature established by the FASB and is issuing SFAS 162 to achieve that result. SFAS 162 is effective 60 days following the Securities and Exchange Commission's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendments to AU Section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. Our adoption of SFAS 162 upon its effective date is not expected to have a material effect on our financial condition or the results of our operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161 (SFAS 161), *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 131), *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, establishes, among other things, the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and for hedging activities.

Table of Contents

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SFAS 161 amends and expands the disclosure requirements of SFAS 131 with the intent to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of: (i) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments; (ii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations; and, (iii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. To meet those objectives, SFAS 161 requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of gains and losses on derivative instruments and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. SFAS 161 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after November 15, 2008, with earlier adoption encouraged. SFAS 161 further encourages, but does not require, comparative disclosures for earlier periods at initial adoption. Our adoption of SFAS 161 did not materially affect our financial condition or the results of our operations.

We have reviewed all other recently issued, but not yet effective, accounting pronouncements and do not believe the future adoption of any such pronouncements may be expected to cause a material impact on our financial condition or the results of our operations.

NOTE 2 CORRECTION OF PREVIOUSLY ISSUED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As previously reported, in August 2008, we determined that we were underpaying customs duties with regard to imported fashion apparel for which fabric had been purchased separately from another foreign supplier. In order to correct the amount of duty payable to US Customs and Border Protection for such imports, we voluntarily submitted a Prior Disclosure in November 2008. A Prior Disclosure is a specific procedure proscribed by US Customs and Border Protection for the purpose of identifying and correcting amounts which were previously declared or omitted from declaration. Our Prior Disclosure corrected amounts with regard to our imports for the five-year period immediately prior to the date the Prior Disclosure was submitted to US Customs and Border Protection, and we requested that the National Finance Center contact us regarding a payment plan for the balance due. At March 31, 2009, the balance due with regard to the Prior Disclosure totaled \$828,000, including accrued interest of \$113,000. To date, we have not been contacted by either US Customs and Border Protection or the National Finance Center with regard to our Prior Disclosure or a payment arrangement therefor.

Our financial statements for the three-month and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2008, which are included in this report on Form 10-Q, have been corrected based upon our Prior Disclosure. Corrections of the Company's prior period financial statements resulted in a reduction of \$404,000 in total shareholders' equity as of July 1, 2007, which added to the \$119,000 correction for the nine months ended March 31, 2008, brought the reduction in shareholders' equity to \$523,000 as of March 31, 2008. The following comparison of condensed consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2008, the comparisons of condensed consolidated statements of income for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2008, and the comparison of condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended March 31, 2008, present the effects resulting from correcting the Company's financial statements for the aforementioned reason.

[Table of Contents](#)

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

COMPARISON OF CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	As Corrected (Unaudited)	MARCH 31, 2008 Effect of Correction (Unaudited)	As Previously Reported (Unaudited)
<u>ASSETS</u>			
CURRENT ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,526,000	\$	\$ 2,526,000
Accounts receivable, net of allowances	2,877,000		2,877,000
Inventories	6,870,000	74,000	6,796,000
Prepaid expenses and other	192,000		192,000
Total current assets	12,465,000	74,000	12,391,000
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net	249,000		249,000
OTHER ASSETS	3,000		3,000
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 12,717,000	\$ 74,000	\$ 12,643,000
<u>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</u>			
CURRENT LIABILITIES			
Notes payable	\$ 500,000	\$	\$ 500,000
Accounts payable	769,000		769,000
Accrued royalties payable	141,000		141,000
Accrued commissions payable	199,000		199,000
Accrued payroll	113,000		113,000
Accrued customs duties payable	597,000	597,000	
Other	184,000		184,000
	2,503,000	597,000	1,906,000
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES			
Minimum royalties payable (current)	38,000		38,000
TOTAL LIABILITIES - CURRENT	2,541,000	597,000	1,944,000
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Preferred stock, no par value			
Common stock, no par value	8,481,000		8,481,000
Additional paid-in capital	1,621,000		1,621,000
Retained earnings	74,000	(523,000)	597,000
Total shareholders' equity	10,176,000	(523,000)	10,699,000
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 12,717,000	\$ 74,000	\$ 12,643,000

[Table of Contents](#)

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

COMPARISON OF CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008		
	As Corrected (Unaudited)	Effect of Correction (Unaudited)	As Previously Reported (Unaudited)
NET SALES	\$ 4,751,000	\$	\$ 4,751,000
COST OF GOODS SOLD			
Cost of goods sold	2,970,000	30,000	2,940,000
Inventory impairments	5,000		5,000
Total cost of goods sold	2,975,000	30,000	2,945,000
GROSS PROFIT	1,776,000	(30,000)	1,806,000
OTHER OPERATING COSTS			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,901,000		1,901,000
Royalty expense	179,000		179,000
Total other operating costs	2,080,000		2,080,000
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(304,000)	(30,000)	(274,000)
Other income, net	196,000		196,000
Provision for income taxes	(2,000)		(2,000)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (110,000)	\$ (30,000)	\$ (80,000)
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE			
Basic	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.04)
Diluted	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.04)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING			
Basic	2,284,000		2,284,000
Diluted	2,284,000		2,284,000

[Table of Contents](#)

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

COMPARISON OF CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	NINE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008		
	As Corrected (Unaudited)	Effect of Correction (Unaudited)	As Previously Reported (Unaudited)
NET SALES	\$ 12,690,000	\$	\$ 12,690,000
COST OF GOODS SOLD			
Cost of goods sold	7,861,000	119,000	7,742,000
Inventory impairments	179,000		179,000
Total cost of goods sold	8,040,000	119,000	7,921,000
GROSS PROFIT	4,650,000	(119,000)	4,769,000
OTHER OPERATING COSTS			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	5,272,000		5,272,000
Royalty expense	447,000		447,000
Total other operating costs	5,719,000		5,719,000
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(1,069,000)	(119,000)	(950,000)
Other income, net	276,000		276,000
Provision for income taxes	(9,000)		(9,000)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (802,000)	\$ (119,000)	\$ (683,000)
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE			
Basic	\$ (0.35)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.30)
Diluted	\$ (0.35)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.30)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING			
Basic	2,284,000		2,284,000
Diluted	2,284,000		2,284,000

Table of Contents

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

COMPARISON OF CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	NINE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2008		
	As Corrected (Unaudited)	Effect of Correction (Unaudited)	As Previously Reported (Unaudited)
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net loss	\$ (802,000)	\$ (119,000)	\$ (683,000)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	157,000		157,000
Impairments	179,000		179,000
Allowance for doubtful accounts	28,000		28,000
Cash provided (used) due to changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	161,000		161,000
Inventories	(1,163,000)	(2,000)	(1,161,000)
Other assets	11,000		11,000
Accounts payable	(797,000)		(797,000)
Accrued expenses and other	(205,000)	121,000	(326,000)
Net cash used by operating activities	(2,431,000)		(2,431,000)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Purchase of fixed assets	(7,000)		(7,000)
Net cash used by investing activities	(7,000)		(7,000)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Borrowings under line of credit	1,850,000		1,850,000
Repayments under line of credit	(1,350,000)		(1,350,000)
Net cash provided by financing activities	500,000		500,000
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(1,938,000)		(1,938,000)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS,			
beginning of period	4,464,000		4,464,000
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS,			
end of period	\$ 2,526,000	\$	\$ 2,526,000
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Income taxes	\$ 8,000	\$	\$ 8,000

Table of Contents**SPORT-HALEY, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****NOTE 3** **INVENTORIES**

	March 31, 2009	June 30, 2008
Inventories consisted of the following:		
Component	\$ 308,000	\$ 331,000
Finished goods	5,857,000	5,616,000
	\$ 6,165,000	\$ 5,947,000

Included in finished goods inventories are allowances for inventory write-downs of \$695,000 and \$168,000 as of March 31, 2009, and June 30, 2008, respectively.

NOTE 4 **LINE OF CREDIT AGREEMENT**

In September 2007, we entered into a business loan agreement with Bank of Oklahoma N.A. (Bank of Oklahoma), which was amended in October 2008. The amended business loan agreement, which expires on September 30, 2009, provides for a maximum loan amount of \$3,000,000, collateralized by deposit amounts maintained at Bank of Oklahoma or its affiliates. While the maximum principal amount allowed under the business loan agreement is \$3,000,000, the Company may not borrow amounts under the business loan agreement in excess of the combined amounts of its collateralized deposits. We had collateralized deposits of \$605,000 at March 31, 2009. Borrowings under the business loan agreement accrue interest at the Bank of Oklahoma Financial (BOKF) National Prime Rate less 1.500%. We generally entered into the business loan agreement to facilitate the issuance of letters of credit for inventory purchases from offshore suppliers. We did not have any balances due on the business loan agreement at March 31, 2009, or June 30, 2008, respectively. Outstanding letters of credit reduce the amount available for borrowing under the business loan agreement. At March 31, 2009, and June 30, 2008, we had outstanding letters of credit of \$262,000 and \$1,144,000, respectively, issued through Bank of Oklahoma for purchase obligations under outstanding letters of credit.

In May 2008, we amended the revolving line of credit agreement that we maintained with US Bank National Association (US Bank) for the past several years. The amended revolving line of credit agreement, which expired on December 5, 2008, provided for a maximum loan amount of \$200,000, collateralized by a certificate of deposit held at US Bank in the amount of \$200,000, which earned a market rate of interest. Borrowings under the amended line of credit agreement accrued interest at 1.250% plus the one-month LIBOR rate. At December 5, 2007, the line of credit had previously been amended to provide for a maximum loan amount of \$2,000,000, collateralized by a certificate of deposit held at US Bank in the amount of \$2,000,000. We generally maintained the line of credit to facilitate the issuance of letters of credit for inventory purchases from offshore suppliers and, if necessary, to fund any temporary working capital needs. We did not have any balances due on the line of credit at March 31, 2009, or June 30, 2008, respectively. Outstanding letters of credit reduced the amount available for borrowing under the line of credit agreement. At March 31, 2009, and June 30, 2008, we had outstanding letters of credit of \$0 and \$0, respectively, issued through US Bank for purchase obligations under outstanding letters of credit.

Table of Contents**SPORT-HALEY, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****NOTE 5** **COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

The license agreement with Callaway requires us to pay royalties based upon sales of Ben Hogan® fashion apparel. We record royalties governed by the license agreement with Callaway as other operating costs in the periods when such sales are recognized. Certain terms of the license agreement provide for minimum royalties on a calendar year basis. We expected that royalties generated on sales of Ben Hogan® fashion apparel would be insufficient to meet the contractual minimum royalty requirement for calendar 2008 and, accordingly, we had recorded a contingent liability totaling \$164,000 with respect to the calendar year 2008 minimum royalty requirement as of September 30, 2008. In February 2009, we renegotiated certain terms of the license agreement with Callaway, whereby minimum royalties due for 2008 were reduced to \$350,000. Because sales of Ben Hogan® apparel generated royalties in excess of \$350,000 for 2008, at December 31, 2008, we reversed the contingent liability of \$164,000. We expect that royalties generated on sales of Ben Hogan® fashion apparel will be sufficient to meet the contractual minimum royalty requirement for calendar 2009.

In April 2009, we reached an agreement with our landlord to reduce the monthly rent paid on our office and warehouse facility to \$11,000 per month from May 2009 through April 2010. The amended lease agreement for our facility previously required monthly rent payments of \$24,000. In exchange for the reduced monthly rent payments, we agreed to vacate approximately 16,000 of the 82,500 square feet of available space in the building.

NOTE 6 **EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Effective with the year ended June 30, 1998, we adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 128 (SFAS 128), *Earnings per Share*. SFAS 128 requires the presentation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share. The following table provides a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share:

	Three Months ended March 31, 2009		
	Net Income (Loss)	Weighted Average Shares	Per Share
Basic loss per share	\$ (997,000)	2,284,000	\$ (0.43)
Effect of dilutive securities options [A]			
Diluted earnings per share	\$ (997,000)	2,284,000	\$ (0.43)

Table of Contents**SPORT-HALEY, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	Three Months ended March 31, 2008		
	Net Income (Loss)	Weighted Average Shares	Per Share
Basic loss per share	\$ (110,000)	2,284,000	\$ (0.05)
Effect of dilutive securities options [A]			
Diluted loss per share	\$ (110,000)	2,284,000	\$ (0.05)

	Nine Months ended March 31, 2009		
	Net Income (Loss)	Weighted Average Shares	Per Share
Basic loss per share (as corrected)	\$ (2,179,000)	2,284,000	\$ (0.95)
Effect of dilutive securities options [A]			
Diluted loss per share	\$ (2,179,000)	2,284,000	\$ (0.95)

	Nine Months ended March 31, 2008		
	Net Income (Loss)	Weighted Average Shares	Per Share
Basic loss per share (as corrected)	\$ (802,000)	2,284,000	\$ (0.35)
Effect of dilutive securities options [A]			
Diluted loss per share	\$ (802,000)	2,284,000	\$ (0.35)

[A] All securities options were anti-dilutive and therefore excluded from the loss per share calculations due to the loss for the period presented.

NOTE 7**SEGMENT INFORMATION**

The consolidated Company has two operating segments which consist of designing, purchasing or contracting for the manufacture of and wholesale sales of women's and men's: (1) fashion golf apparel and outerwear; and, (2) branded golf apparel, which we discontinued marketing as of November 2007. SPORT HALEY® and Ben Hogan® fashion golf apparel and outerwear collections are primarily marketed by Sport Haley in the premium and mid-priced markets through a network of independent wholesale sales representatives to golf professional shops, country clubs, resorts and exclusive department stores within the United States. Top-Flite® men's branded apparel was marketed by Reserve Apparel to

mass retailers and other big-box type high sales volume retail stores

Table of Contents**SPORT-HALEY, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

within the United States. Ben Hogan® fashion apparel and Top-Flite® branded apparel have been distributed pursuant to a license agreement with Callaway.

Reserve Apparel distributed Top-Flite® apparel exclusively to Wal-Mart from March 2006 through August 2006, after which we aggressively marketed Top-Flite® apparel to several mass retailers and big-box type high sales volume retail stores. We expected our marketing efforts would result in the establishment of business relationships with one or more mass retailers and other big-box type high sales volume retail stores. However, we only received one order for Top-Flite® apparel from such a retailer for a test in 50 of its stores, which we shipped in September and October 2007. Since our concerted efforts failed to generate orders from a major customer, we discontinued marketing apparel bearing the Top-Flite® label in November 2007. In March 2008, we reached an agreement with Callaway to remove the Top-Flite® brand from the license agreement, effective January 1, 2008.

Condensed consolidated balance sheets information and condensed consolidated statements of operations information for each of our segments are presented in the tables below.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets Segment Information as of March 31, 2009, and June 30, 2008 (in thousands):

	March 31, 2009			June 30, 2008		
	Fashion Apparel	Branded Apparel	Total	Fashion Apparel	Branded Apparel	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,395	\$	\$ 1,395	\$ 2,798	\$ 32	\$ 2,830
Marketable securities				200		200
Accounts receivable, net of allowances	1,786	117	1,903	2,439	352	2,791
Inventories	6,165		6,165	5,947		5,947
Prepaid expenses	146		146	264		264
Property and equipment, net	122		122	197		197
Other assets	3		3	3		3
Total Assets	\$ 9,617	\$ 117	\$ 9,734	\$ 11,848	\$ 384	\$ 12,232
Accounts payable	\$ 482	\$	\$ 482	\$ 500	\$ 236	\$ 736
Accrued royalties payable	53		53	52		52
Accrued commissions payable	138		138	180		180
Accrued payroll	49		49	138		138
Accrued customs duties payable	828		828	685		685
Other liabilities	179		179	177		177
	1,729		1,729	1,732	236	1,968
Minimum royalties payable (current)				80		80
Total Liabilities - Current	\$ 1,729	\$	\$ 1,729	\$ 1,812	\$ 236	\$ 2,048

Table of Contents**SPORT-HALEY, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Segment Information for the Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, as corrected (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2009			Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 (As Corrected)		
	Fashion Apparel	Branded Apparel	Total	Fashion Apparel	Branded Apparel	Total
Net Sales	\$ 2,852	\$	\$ 2,852	\$ 4,739	\$ 12	\$ 4,751
Cost of goods sold	2,125		2,125	2,953	17	2,970
Inventory impairments	254		254	5		5
Total cost of goods sold	2,379		2,379	2,958	17	2,975
Gross profit	473		473	1,781	(5)	1,776
Selling, general and admin expenses	1,415	1	1,416	1,897	4	1,901
Royalty expense	53		53	181	(2)	179
Total other operating costs	1,468	1	1,469	2,078	2	2,080
Loss from operations	(995)	(1)	(996)	(297)	(7)	(304)
Other income (expense), net	(1)		(1)	18	178	196
Provision for income taxes				(2)		(2)
Net income (loss)	\$ (996)	\$ (1)	\$ (997)	\$ (281)	\$ 171	\$ (110)

	Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009			Nine Months Ended March 31, 2008 (As Corrected)		
	Fashion Apparel	Branded Apparel	Total	Fashion Apparel	Branded Apparel	Total
Net Sales	\$ 8,901	\$	\$ 8,901	\$ 12,532	\$ 158	\$ 12,690
Cost of goods sold	6,039		6,039	7,723	138	7,861
Inventory impairments	585		585	159	20	179
Total cost of goods sold	6,624		6,624	7,882	158	8,040
Gross profit	2,277		2,277	4,650		4,650
Selling, general and admin expenses	4,246	42	4,288	5,140	132	5,272
Royalty expense	209		209	438	9	447
Total other operating costs	4,455	42	4,497	5,578	141	5,719
Loss from operations	(2,178)	(42)	(2,220)	(928)	(141)	(1,069)
Other income (expense), net	43		43	97	179	276
Provision for income taxes	(2)		(2)	(2)	(7)	(9)
Net income (loss)	\$ (2,137)	\$ (42)	\$ (2,179)	\$ (833)	\$ 31	\$ (802)

Table of Contents

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 8 LITIGATION

As previously reported, in March 2007, Sport Haley and Reserve Apparel (the Plaintiffs) filed a lawsuit in the District Court for the City and County of Denver in the State of Colorado. The suit alleged, among other things, that a former inventory broker to Reserve Apparel, 1130746 Ontario Ltd., a Canadian corporation incorporated in the Province of Ontario, Canada, d/b/a Originals Apparel Group Co., or Originals Apparel Group, and Francis Tat-Mo Chan (the Defendants), knowingly, wrongfully and without authorization converted Plaintiff s funds and were unjustly enriched. At the conclusion of the trial in September 2008, the Court awarded judgment in the Plaintiff s favor and against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in the amount of \$291,135. On November 4, 2008, the Defendants filed an appeal of the District Court s judgment in the Colorado Court of Appeals. The appeal is pending. At this time, we cannot determine whether the Court of Appeals will affirm or reverse, in whole or in part, the District Court s judgment. In order to collect from the former broker and its principal, assuming the Court of Appeals affirms the judgment we obtained from the District Court, we will need to domesticate the judgment in the province of Ontario, Canada. Since collection of the previously awarded judgment is not assured, we have maintained a reserve for potential non-recovery in the amount of \$175,000.

Table of Contents

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

**ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

This Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements, within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The words may, will, expect, anticipate, continue, estimate, project, intend, similar expressions, variations or the negative of these words, and any statement regarding possible or assumed future results of operations of our business, the markets for our products, anticipated expenditures, regulatory developments or competition, or other statements regarding matters that are not historical facts, are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain such identifying words. The reader should be aware that our actual results could differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements. Our financial condition and the results of our operations will depend on a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the following: our ability to successfully anticipate fashion trends, design favorably accepted fashion golf apparel, effectively advertise and communicate within the marketplace, and penetrate our chosen distribution channels; competition within golf apparel markets; business conditions and growth in the fashion golf apparel market and the general economy; our ability to successfully forecast sales and optimize inventory levels; our ability to successfully manage risks associated with licensed apparel, such as the Ben Hogan® apparel collections; loss of certain third party suppliers, and/or delays in receiving garments from third party suppliers caused by various factors, including lost or reduced manufacturing capacity of significant suppliers, labor shortages, timely performance of third parties, transportation difficulties, and others; significant delays in deliveries from third party suppliers; unsatisfactory recourse with regard to nonconforming goods received from foreign suppliers; political and international trade relations; changes in international trade quota systems for apparel; significant reliance upon several individual foreign suppliers; reliance upon a certain foreign person responsible for maintaining relationships with and monitoring the performance of certain of our significant foreign suppliers; consumer spending on golf apparel; general global economic and political conditions resulting from threats or acts of war or terrorism and responses thereto; access to capital; maintaining satisfactory relationships with commercial banking institutions; establishing controls with regard to and maintaining the integrity of technology and information systems; and, reliance upon executive officers and key employees. Additional information on these and other factors that could affect our financial results is included in the discussion below and in our Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2008. There may be other factors not mentioned above, in the discussion below or included in our Securities and Exchange Commission filings that may cause actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking statement. The reader should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement. Neither the Company nor any of its corporate officers or key employees assumes any obligation to update any forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future event or development, except as required by securities laws.

All references to fiscal years are references to Sport Haley's fiscal year, which ends on June 30.

OVERVIEW

Sport-Haley, Inc. designs, purchases or contracts for the manufacture of, markets and distributes women's and men's fashion golf apparel and outerwear under the SPORT HALEY® and Ben Hogan® labels. We are represented by a network of independent wholesale sales representatives, most of whom solicit sales of both SPORT HALEY® and Ben Hogan® fashion apparel collections on our behalf.

SPORT HALEY® fashion apparel is comprised almost exclusively of women's garments and accessories, designed by utilizing classic styles with contemporary influences intended to develop and maintain brand recognition and loyalty, on or off the golf course. While each product in the SPORT HALEY® apparel line is sold separately, the line is intentionally designed in groups with coordinated

Table of Contents

styles, color schemes and fabrics to encourage customers to purchase multiple garments. SPORT HALEY® women's fashion apparel includes a variety of fabrics and weave patterns, including interlock, pique, French terry, jersey and twill, and may feature a unique trim, a special fabric finish or extra needlework. The SPORT HALEY® name has been highly recognized as a women's fashion apparel brand within the premium and mid-priced golf apparel markets for over 20 years, in part, because of the cohesiveness of our design staff. Catherine B. Blair, our Vice President

Merchandising and Design, has provided direction for the SPORT HALEY® brand for over 16 years. Ms. Blair is keenly aware of fashion trends within the women's golf apparel market and has kept our SPORT HALEY® fashion apparel collections on the leading edge of the market by including moisture wicking technical performance fabrics, such as Aerocool® and Dry-Tech® 18, and several leading edge, younger looking, athletic-style garments, while maintaining the time-honored elegance of the SPORT HALEY® brand. Certain of our premium priced SPORT HALEY® garments are trimmed with Swarovski® Austrian cut crystals, the unique and patented cutting process which produces ultimate brilliance, and come with a Made with Crystallized Swarovski® Elements hangtag for authenticity. The technical performance fabrics, athletic-style garments and Swarovski® trims have all been well received by our customers.

Ben Hogan® men's fashion apparel is designed utilizing elegant time-honored classic styles intended to garner nearly instantaneous brand recognition. The Ben Hogan® label has been widely recognized within the golf apparel industry for several years for continually providing elegant men's fashion golf apparel of impeccable quality in keeping with the image of Mr. Hogan. While each product in the Ben Hogan® apparel line is sold separately, the line is intentionally designed in groups with coordinated styles, color schemes and fabrics to encourage customers to purchase multiple garments. Ben Hogan® apparel is manufactured using a variety of fabrics, including wool, silk and cashmere, and weave patterns, including pique, jersey and twill, and most pieces feature a unique trim, a special fabric finish or extra needlework. Ben Hogan® men's apparel is marketed in the premium-price markets to elite golf professional shops, upscale resorts and exclusive department stores. We distribute Ben Hogan® apparel pursuant to our license agreement with Callaway.

Top-Flite® branded golf apparel was designed for distribution to large retail stores in the low-price markets pursuant to our license agreement with Callaway. Branded apparel is generally produced in mass quantities and usually utilizes much simpler designs and is of much lesser quality than the fashion apparel garments which we sell in the premium and mid-price markets. While our fashion golf apparel was generally designed for two separate selling seasons each year, branded golf apparel may be designed for four or more shorter selling periods each year. Reserve Apparel distributed Top-Flite® apparel exclusively to Wal-Mart from March 2006 through August 2006, after which we aggressively marketed the Top-Flite® apparel line to several mass retailers and big-box type high sales volume retail stores. While we expected our marketing efforts would result in the establishment of business relationships with one or more mass retailers and other big-box type high sales volume retail stores, we only received one order for Top-Flite® apparel from such a retailer for a test in 50 of its stores, which we shipped in September and October 2007. Since our concerted efforts failed to generate orders from a major customer, we discontinued marketing apparel bearing the Top-Flite® label in November 2007, and in March 2008 the license agreement with Callaway was amended to remove the Top-Flite® brand, effective January 1, 2008.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), which require us to make estimates and judgments that

Table of Contents

affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the related disclosures. A summary of those significant accounting policies can be found in the Footnotes to the Financial Statements included in our Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. The estimates used by management are based upon our historical experiences combined with management's understanding of current facts and circumstances. Certain of our accounting policies are considered critical as they are both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and the results of our operations and require significant or complex judgments on the part of management. We believe that the following critical accounting policies affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements.

Inventories

Our inventories, predominately comprised of finished goods, are generally valued at the lower of cost (weighted average) or market. Costs include amounts paid to suppliers for packaged apparel and/or materials and labor, import costs, such as customs duties, freight-in and other miscellaneous costs, and allocated overhead. Excess fashion apparel inventories, consisting of discontinued or aging merchandise, are a natural component of a seasonal apparel business. While certain fashion apparel items will sell out in any particular selling season, quantities of other fashion apparel items will remain at the end of each selling season. We market excess fashion apparel finished goods inventories at reduced wholesale prices to our customers in the normal course of business. Remaining excess inventories are liquidated through other markets. In the ordinary course of business, we maintain reserves for inventory write-downs due to the obsolescence of excess inventories. We perform analyses on a quarterly basis to identify unsold excess inventories and adjust our reserves for inventory obsolescence to reduce the carrying value of excess inventories to their estimated net realizable value, which is estimated based on management's disposition plans and historical experiences. Generally, branded apparel inventories were not prone to impairment, because we usually ordered branded apparel in quantities per specific customer orders.

We believe that the recent significant downturn in the United States economy negatively impacted the value of our excess fashion apparel inventories, and we adjusted the carrying value of our excess fashion apparel inventories, accordingly. We adjusted our reserve for inventory obsolescence and recorded impairments of \$254,000 and \$585,000 with regard to fashion apparel inventories for the three-month and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2009. Comparatively, we adjusted our reserve for inventory obsolescence and recorded impairments of \$5,000 and \$179,000 with regard to fashion apparel inventories for the three-month and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2008. The balances of our reserves for inventory obsolescence totaled \$695,000, \$168,000 and \$178,000 at March 31, 2009, June 30, 2008, and March 31, 2008, respectively. While we believe that our processes produce fair valuations of our excess inventories, if actual future market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional allowances may be required.

Deferred Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are recognized for the expected tax consequences in future years for differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts, based upon enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Our significant deferred tax assets are related to net operating loss carry forwards for federal and state income tax purposes, stock-based compensation and an unrealized loss for tax purposes on a common stock investment. Governing regulations require that our operating losses be carried forward for income tax purposes. We maintain a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to the

Table of Contents

net amount expected to be recovered in future periods. The estimates for deferred tax assets and the corresponding valuation allowance require us to exercise complex judgments. We periodically review and adjust those estimates based upon the most current information available. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 (SFAS 109), *Accounting for Income Taxes*, and based upon a review at March 31, 2009, of our utilization of deferred tax assets, we maintained a valuation allowance that effectively reduces our net deferred tax assets to zero. While we continue to explore various strategies to return the Company to profitability, including increasing our presence in retail markets for our SPORT HALEY® and Ben Hogan® fashion apparel, we cannot be certain that our efforts will result in the generation of future profits. Because the recoverability of deferred tax assets is directly dependent upon future operating results, actual recoverability of deferred tax assets may differ materially from our estimates.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Our allowance for doubtful accounts reflects a reserve that reduces our customer accounts receivable to the net amount estimated to be collectible. Estimating the credit worthiness of customers and the recoverability of customer accounts requires us to exercise considerable judgment. In estimating the reserve for uncollectible accounts, we consider factors such as general economic and industry-specific conditions, historical and anticipated customer performance. From time to time, we also identify delinquent customer accounts for which a specific reserve is required. At March 31, 2009, our allowance for doubtful accounts totaled \$265,000, including a specific reserve of \$175,000 with respect to the potential non-recovery of a net amount due to Reserve Apparel from a former inventory broker. In September 2008, the Court awarded judgment in our favor and against the former inventory broker and its principal in the amount of \$291,135. In November, 2008, the former inventory broker filed an appeal of the District Court's judgment in the Colorado Court of Appeals. In order to collect from the former broker and its principal, assuming the Court of Appeals affirms the judgment we obtained from the District Court, we will need to domesticate the judgment in the province of Ontario, Canada. Since collection of the previously awarded judgment is not assured, we have maintained a reserve for potential non-recovery in the amount of \$175,000. While we consider our processes to be adequate to effectively quantify our exposure to doubtful accounts, changes in economic, industry or specific customer conditions may result in recoverability of our doubtful accounts that differs materially from our estimates.

Allowance for Sales Returns

We record allowances for sales returns as net adjustments to customer accounts receivable. When recording an allowance, the net method reduces customer accounts receivable and net sales by the estimated gross margin effect of the anticipated sales return. Generally, our selling terms preclude return of our products subsequent to the sale. However, we have traditionally maintained limited programs that offer various customers the right to return certain fashion apparel items under predefined conditions, which we include as a component within our estimate of allowance for sales returns. We review historical data and consider factors such as general economic and industry-specific conditions and anticipated customer performance when evaluating the adequacy of our allowance for sales returns. At March 31, 2009, our allowance for sales returns was \$81,000. Significant changes in general economic or industry conditions may yield sales returns that differ materially from our estimates.

Table of Contents

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The golf apparel business is highly seasonal in nature, and we believe that our balance sheet amounts at March 31, 2009, are more meaningful when compared with the corresponding balance sheet amounts at March 31, 2008, rather than with the corresponding balance sheet amounts at June 30, 2008.

Our primary sources of liquidity are derived from our available cash and cash equivalents and cash flows from operations. We require cash for our general working capital purposes. Our working capital requirements are highly seasonal in nature, with greater requirements from approximately August through October and February through April of each fiscal year, due to the purchases of finished goods inventories for the spring/summer and fall/holiday selling seasons, respectively. We do not anticipate requiring material amounts of cash for capital expenditures over the next 12 months.

Working capital was \$7,880,000, \$9,984,000 and \$9,924,000 at March 31, 2009, June 30, 2008, and March 31, 2008, as corrected, respectively. Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$1,395,000, \$2,830,000 and \$2,526,000 at March 31, 2009, June 30, 2008, and March 31, 2008, respectively. For purposes of the statements of cash flows, we consider all highly liquid instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents, if they are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and present an insignificant risk of change in value due to changes in interest rates. As of March 31, 2009, we had accrued \$828,000 in import duties due to US Customs and Border Protection, including accrued interest thereon of \$113,000, with regard to the Prior Disclosure we submitted in November 2008. We expect to negotiate the terms of a payment plan with the National Finance Center. If we are required to pay the entire balance of import duties and interest in a lump sum payment with regard to the Prior Disclosure, our cash on hand will be significantly impacted and we may need to obtain additional sources of capital to sustain the working capital needs of our operations. However, we can give no assurance that such sources of capital will be available to us, given the current status of capital markets in the United States and other factors. Our capital needs will depend on many factors, including the need to finance required inventory levels, the success of current sales and marketing programs, and our ability to timely collect customer accounts receivable.

When compared with the June 30, 2008, balance of \$2,791,000, our net accounts receivable balance at March 31, 2009, decreased by \$888,000, or 32%, to \$1,903,000. Our net accounts receivable balance at March 31, 2009, decreased by \$974,000, or 34%, when compared with the March 31, 2008, balance of \$2,877,000. The decreases correlate with corresponding decreases in net sales between the comparable periods. Our net accounts receivable balance relating to fashion apparel was \$1,786,000 at March 31, 2009, a decrease of \$653,000, or 27%, from the balance of \$2,439,000 at June 30, 2008, and a decrease of \$695,000, or 28%, from the balance of \$2,481,000 at March 31, 2008. Our net accounts receivable balance relating to branded apparel was \$117,000 at March 31, 2009, a decrease of \$235,000, or 67%, from the balance of \$352,000 at June 30, 2008, and a decrease of \$279,000, or 70%, from the balance of \$396,000 at March 31, 2008. Changes in our accounts receivable balances provided operating cash of \$888,000 and \$189,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Our fashion apparel inventories increased by \$218,000, or 4%, from \$5,947,000 at June 30, 2008, to \$6,165,000 at March 31, 2009. Our fashion apparel inventories increased by \$981,000, or 17%, from \$5,886,000 at June 30, 2008, as corrected, to \$6,867,000 at March 31, 2008, as corrected. When compared with the balance of \$6,867,000 at March 31, 2008, as corrected, our inventories at March 31, 2009, decreased by \$702,000, or 10%. Our branded apparel inventories were less than \$1,000 at March 31, 2009, and June 30, 2008, and were \$3,000 at March 31, 2008. Fluctuations in our finished goods

Table of Contents

inventories are caused in part by the timing associated with the delivery of ocean shipments, generally with terms of free on board at the port of departure. Pending ocean deliveries of finished goods at March 31, 2009, June 30, 2008, and March 31, 2008, totaled approximately \$986,000, \$264,000 and \$692,000 respectively. Changes in our inventory balances used operating cash of \$218,000 and \$984,000 for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, as corrected, respectively.

Our continued reliance on foreign suppliers sustains the risk that our revenues could be adversely affected if a foreign shipment or shipments were received late or lost. We maintain insurance for risk of loss relating to goods shipped from our foreign and domestic suppliers. However, our predominant reliance upon a certain foreign person and certain significant foreign suppliers also sustains the risk that we would be left with inadequate or unsatisfactory recourse should the goods received from a foreign supplier be nonconforming.

Total liabilities decreased by \$319,000, or 16%, at March 31, 2009, to \$1,729,000 from \$2,048,000 at June 30, 2008. Total liabilities decreased by \$502,000, or 16%, at March 31, 2008, to \$2,541,000, as corrected, from \$3,043,000 at June 30, 2007, as corrected. At March 31, 2009, total liabilities decreased by \$812,000, or 32%, from \$2,541,000 at March 31, 2008, as corrected. The decrease between the comparative March 31 amounts was comprised of the following: \$500,000 decrease in notes payable; \$287,000 decrease in trade accounts payable; \$88,000 decrease in accrued royalties payable; \$61,000 decrease in accrued sales commissions payable; \$64,000 decrease in accrued payroll payable; \$231,000 increase in accrued customs duties payable; \$5,000 decrease in other accrued expenses; and, \$38,000 decrease in minimum royalties payable. The decrease in trade accounts payable relate to the differences between the nature and timing of payments, and the decreases in accrued royalties payable and sales commissions payable relate to a corresponding decrease in net sales between the comparative three month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, as corrected. At March 31, 2008, accrued payroll expenses included \$46,000 in severance and other compensation payable to our former regional sales managers. One of our former regional sales managers received severance compensation through August 2008. Accrued severance and other compensation was \$0 at March 31, 2009. Changes in our total liabilities used operating cash of \$319,000 and \$1,002,000 for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, as corrected, respectively.

Due to the combination of the factors discussed above, and others, during the nine months ended March 31, 2009, our operating activities used cash of \$1,594,000. Comparatively, during the nine months ended March 31, 2008, our operating activities used cash of \$2,431,000.

For the nine months ended March 31, 2009, investing activities provided cash of \$159,000, primarily from the maturity of a marketable security. Comparatively, for the nine months ended March 31, 2008, investing activities used cash of \$7,000. During the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, we expended \$41,000 and \$7,000, respectively, for the purchase of property and equipment, and \$117,000 and \$157,000, respectively, in depreciation and amortization was charged to current operations.

In September 2007, we entered into a business loan agreement with Bank of Oklahoma N.A. (Bank of Oklahoma), which was amended in October 2008. The amended business loan agreement, which expires on September 30, 2009, provides for a maximum loan amount of \$3,000,000, collateralized by deposit amounts maintained at Bank of Oklahoma or its affiliates. While the maximum principal amount allowed under the business loan agreement is \$3,000,000, the Company may not borrow amounts under the business loan agreement in excess of the combined amounts of its collateralized deposits. We had collateralized deposits of \$605,000 at March 31, 2009. Borrowings under the business loan agreement

Table of Contents

accrue interest at the Bank of Oklahoma Financial (BOKF) National Prime Rate less 1.500%. We generally entered into the business loan agreement to facilitate the issuance of letters of credit for inventory purchases from offshore suppliers. We did not have any balances due on the business loan agreement at March 31, 2009, or June 30, 2008, respectively. Outstanding letters of credit reduce the amount available for borrowing under the business loan agreement. At March 31, 2009, and June 30, 2008, we had outstanding letters of credit of \$262,000 and \$1,144,000, respectively, issued through Bank of Oklahoma for purchase obligations under outstanding letters of credit.

In May 2008, we amended the revolving line of credit agreement that we maintained with US Bank National Association (US Bank) for the past several years. The amended revolving line of credit agreement, which expired on December 5, 2008, provided for a maximum loan amount of \$200,000, collateralized by a certificate of deposit held at US Bank in the amount of \$200,000, which earned a market rate of interest. Borrowings under the amended line of credit agreement accrued interest at 1.250% plus the one-month LIBOR rate. At December 5, 2007, the line of credit had previously been amended to provide for a maximum loan amount of \$2,000,000, collateralized by a certificate of deposit held at US Bank in the amount of \$2,000,000. We generally maintained the line of credit to facilitate the issuance of letters of credit for inventory purchases from offshore suppliers and, if necessary, to fund any temporary working capital needs. We did not have any balances due on the line of credit at March 31, 2009, or June 30, 2008, respectively. Outstanding letters of credit reduced the amount available for borrowing under the line of credit agreement. At March 31, 2009, and June 30, 2008, we had outstanding letters of credit of \$0 and \$0, respectively, issued through US Bank for purchase obligations under outstanding letters of credit.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Total net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2009, were \$2,852,000, a decrease of \$1,899,000, or 40%, from total net sales of \$4,751,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2008, primarily due to a decrease in net sales of fashion apparel as explained below. Net sales of fashion apparel for the three months ended March 31, 2009, were \$2,852,000, a decrease of \$1,887,000, or 40%, from net sales of fashion apparel of \$4,739,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Net sales of branded apparel for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, were \$0 and \$12,000, respectively. Our net sales also include embroidery and shipping revenues.

Total net sales for the nine months ended March 31, 2009, were \$8,901,000, a decrease of \$3,789,000, or 30%, from total net sales of \$12,690,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2008, primarily due to a decrease in net sales of fashion apparel as explained below. Net sales of fashion apparel for the nine months ended March 31, 2009, were \$8,901,000, a decrease of \$3,631,000, or 29%, from net sales of fashion apparel of \$12,532,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. Net sales of branded apparel for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, were \$0 and \$158,000, respectively. Our net sales also include embroidery and shipping revenues.

Our consolidated gross margins may not be comparable to other companies within the golf apparel industry. We have consistently included the cost of merchandise sold, including import charges, in-bound freight, allocated overhead, freight out, embroidery costs and other charges in our cost of goods sold. Our overhead allocation includes our production costs plus a portion of our distribution costs, such as receiving and inspection costs, but we include some of the other costs of distribution, such as a portion of our warehousing and other handling costs, in selling, general and administrative expenses. Our gross margins also include, on a consolidated basis, the operations of Reserve Apparel, which distributed Top-Flite®

Table of Contents

branded apparel until November 2007 to the mass retail market at much lower price points and gross margins than those expected to be achieved in the premium and mid-price markets where we distribute our SPORT HALEY® and Ben Hogan® fashion apparel brands. Other fashion apparel companies within the golf industry may or may not also operate within the lower price markets. We include royalty payments, relating to sales of licensed apparel, in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Fashion apparel

Our fashion apparel business is seasonal in nature, and sales occurring from January through June, which comprise our third and fourth quarters of each fiscal year, are generally disproportionately higher than sales occurring from July through December.

Net sales of fashion apparel for the three months ended March 31, 2009, were \$2,852,000, a decrease of \$1,887,000, or 40%, from net sales of fashion apparel of \$4,739,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Net sales of fashion apparel for the nine months ended March 31, 2009, were \$8,901,000, a decrease of \$3,631,000, or 29%, from net sales of fashion apparel of \$12,532,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. The decreases in sales of fashion apparel for the comparative three-month and nine-month periods was primarily comprised of decreases in sales of SPORT HALEY® and Ben Hogan® fashion apparel between the respective periods. Net sales of our SPORT HALEY® fashion apparel collections were \$1,647,000 and \$2,196,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, a decrease of \$549,000, or 25%, and were \$5,189,000 and \$5,945,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, a decrease of \$756,000, or 13%. Net sales of our Ben Hogan® fashion apparel collections were \$1,117,000 and \$2,397,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, a decrease of \$1,280,000, or 53%, and were \$3,438,000 and \$6,185,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, a decrease of \$2,747,000, or 44%. The remainder of our fashion apparel net sales for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, were comprised of embroidery and shipping revenues.

We consider the current recession of the United States economy to be a large contributing factor to the decrease in our fashion apparel sales. Our customers and other apparel distributors have indicated that cutbacks in consumer spending has caused reductions of fifty percent or more in apparel sales during the economic downturn over the last several months. We believe that our SPORT HALEY® fashion apparel brand may be better positioned to maintain market share in weak economic times than our Ben Hogan® fashion apparel brand, which is subject to greater competition within the country club and resort markets in which we primarily operate. Historically, the country club and resort markets have preferred to sell high-quality apparel that was not widely distributed within other retail markets. However, the broad market acceptance of major apparel brands such as Nike, Adidas and Under Armour, which are primarily comprised of performance fabrics and are globally distributed into numerous markets other than country clubs and resorts, appear to have diluted the value associated with the exclusivity of fashion apparel brands such as Ben Hogan®. It appears that men's apparel brands have been adversely affected more than have women's brands by these and other such major apparel brands. We consider Ben Hogan® fashion apparel, which is marketed in accordance with a licensing agreement we maintain with Callaway for such apparel, to be a key component of our fashion apparel business. However, Callaway's lack of support for the Ben Hogan® brand over the last couple of years may have also contributed to the diluted value of the brand. We have continued to focus our marketing efforts with regard to Ben Hogan® fashion apparel on increasing our market presence in upscale retail stores and on penetrating further into corporate markets, in order to bolster future sales. Over the past year, we have introduced Ben Hogan®

Table of Contents

fashion apparel to a few upscale retail stores and we have continued to search for ways to increase our presence in the corporate market, but net sales to date have not been significant within either of those markets. We have focused our marketing efforts with regard to SPORT HALEY® fashion apparel on maintaining market share within our core business channels and increasing our market presence in upscale retail markets.

Gross profit with regard to our fashion apparel is generally affected by sales discounts offered to customers in accordance with various marketing programs, payment discounts offered to certain customers, dispositions of excess inventories at reduced sales prices and the valuation of excess inventories to the lower of cost or market. The disposition of excess inventories negatively impacts our gross profit percentage even when such inventories are properly valued to the lower of cost or market, because such valuation causes sales to be recorded with gross profit at or near zero. We believe that the recent significant downturn in the United States economy negatively impacted the value of our excess fashion apparel inventories, and we reduced the carrying value of our excess fashion apparel inventories accordingly. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, we recorded net sales of \$322,000 and loss of \$180,000, with regard to the disposition of excess fashion apparel inventories. For the nine months ended March 31, 2009, we recorded net sales of \$471,000 and loss of \$213,000, with regard to the disposition of excess fashion apparel inventories. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, we recorded net sales of \$143,000 and profit of \$7,000, and for the nine months ended March 31, 2008, we recorded net sales of \$530,000 and profit of \$9,000 with regard to the disposition of excess fashion apparel inventories. For the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2009, we recorded impairments of \$254,000 and \$585,000, respectively, with regard to the valuation of excess fashion apparel inventories to the lower of cost or market. Comparatively, for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2008, we recorded impairments of \$5,000 and \$159,000, respectively, with regard to the valuation of excess fashion apparel inventories to the lower of cost or market. As percentage of net sales, gross profit of our fashion apparel, was 17% and 26% for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2009, and was 38% and 37% for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2008, as corrected, respectively.

Selling, general and administrative expenses with regard to fashion apparel decreased by \$482,000, or 25%, to \$1,415,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$1,897,000 for the comparable three-month period in the prior fiscal year. Selling, general and administrative expenses with regard to fashion apparel decreased by \$894,000, or 17%, to \$4,246,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2009, from \$5,140,000 for the comparable nine-month period in the prior fiscal year. The decrease between the comparative nine-month periods was generally comprised of decreases in advertising expenses, tradeshow costs, personnel costs due to layoffs and job eliminations, sales commissions on correspondingly lower sales and less significant decreases in numerous other expense categories due to the concerted efforts of management to minimize overall expenses. As a percentage of net sales, selling, general and administrative expenses with regard to our fashion apparel were 50% and 40%, for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, as corrected, and were 48% and 41%, for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, as corrected, respectively.

We recorded royalty expense of \$53,000 and \$181,000 for the three months, and \$209,000 and \$438,000, for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in royalty expense was attributable to a corresponding decrease in sales of Ben Hogan® fashion apparel for the comparative periods and to the reversal in December 2008 of our September 2008 accrual for calendar year 2008 minimum royalties. Royalty expense is due to Callaway in accordance with the license they previously granted us to market men's fashion apparel bearing the Ben Hogan® label. In February 2009, we renegotiated certain terms of the license agreement with Callaway, whereby minimum royalties due for

Table of Contents

2008 were reduced to \$350,000. Because sales of Ben Hogan® apparel generated royalties in excess of \$350,000 for 2008, at December 31, 2008, we reversed the contingent liability of \$164,000, which we had recorded as of September 30, 2008, by reducing royalty expense by \$84,000 and recording other income of \$80,000.

Loss from operations with regard to fashion apparel for the three months ended March 31, 2009, was (\$995,000), a difference of (\$698,000), or (235%), from (\$297,000) for the comparable three-month period in the prior fiscal year, as corrected. Loss from operations with regard to fashion apparel for the nine months ended March 31, 2009, was (\$2,178,000), a difference of (\$1,250,000), or (135%), from (\$928,000) for the comparable nine-month period in the prior fiscal year, as corrected.

Branded apparel

We previously considered Top-Flite® branded apparel, which we marketed pursuant to our licensing agreement with Callaway for such apparel, to be a key component in our business strategies, designed to return the Company to sustained profitability. We marketed Top-Flite® branded apparel to Wal-Mart and other mass retailers and big-box type high sales volume retail stores from November 2005 to November 2007. Net sales of Top-Flite® branded apparel of \$12,000 and \$158,000 for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2008, were comprised of shipments to a retailer for a test in 50 of its stores and the disposition of inventories related to the cancellation by that retailer of a portion of its original purchase order. Since our concerted efforts failed to generate orders from a major customer, we discontinued marketing apparel bearing the Top-Flite® label in November 2007, and in March 2008 the license agreement with Callaway was amended to remove the Top-Flite® brand, effective January 1, 2008.

Our distribution of Top-Flite® branded apparel to the mass retail market generally generated gross margins lower than those expected to be achieved in the premium and mid-price markets where we distribute our SPORT HALEY® and Ben Hogan® fashion apparel brands. Wholesale prices are generally expected to be much lower for branded apparel than for higher quality fashion apparel, and gross margins are also expected to be lower for branded apparel than for fashion apparel. Other companies within the golf apparel industry may or may not operate within the lower-price branded apparel markets. As a percentage of net sales, gross margins with respect to net sales of branded apparel were 0% and 0% for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2009, and were (42%) and 0% for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2008.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$1,000 with regard to branded apparel for the three months ended March 31, 2009, a decrease of \$3,000, or 75%, from \$4,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$42,000 with regard to branded apparel for the nine months ended March 31, 2009, a decrease of \$90,000, or 68%, from \$132,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses was primarily due to the discontinuance of our marketing efforts with regard to Top-Flite® branded apparel in November 2007. Selling, general and administrative expenses for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2009, primarily consisted of legal expenses with regard to the September 2008 trial of a lawsuit we previously filed against a former inventory broker. As a percentage of net branded apparel sales, related selling, general and administrative expenses were 0% and 0%, for the three months ended March 31, 2009, and were 33% and 84%, for the nine months ended March 31, 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

Royalty expense was due to Callaway through December 31, 2007, in accordance with the license they previously granted us to market branded apparel bearing the Top-Flite® label. We recorded royalty expense of \$0 and \$0 for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2009, and we recorded royalty expense of (\$2,000) and \$9,000 for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2008, respectively, with regard to net sales of branded apparel.

Loss from operations with regard to branded apparel was (\$1,000) and (\$42,000) for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2009, differences of \$6,000, or 80%, and \$99,000, or 70%, from (\$7,000) and (\$141,000) for the three months and nine months ended December 31, 2008, respectively.

Other income

Other income, net, was (\$1,000) for the three months ended March 31, 2009, a decrease of \$197,000, or 101%, from \$196,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Other income, net, was \$43,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2009, a decrease of \$233,000, or 84%, from \$276,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. Other income, net, generally consisted of interest earned on cash and cash equivalent balances held at various financial institutions, interest due on customs duties owed with regard to our Prior Disclosure that we submitted to US Customs and Border Protection in November 2008, and other miscellaneous income. The decreases for the comparable three-month and nine-month periods were generally attributable to lower rates of interest earned on our lower balance of cash and cash equivalents during the respective periods plus the reversal of \$80,000 at December 31, 2008, for calendar year 2008 minimum royalties that had been accrued at September 30, 2008, with regard to our Ben Hogan® apparel sales combined with the reversal of \$178,000 at March 31, 2008, with regard to the extinguishment and forgiveness of calendar year 2007 minimum royalties related to the removal of the Top-Flite® brand from our license agreement with Callaway.

Net Loss

Net loss for the three months ended March 31, 2009, was (\$997,000), a difference of (\$887,000), or (806%), as compared with the net loss of (\$110,000) for the three months ended March 31, 2008, as corrected. Net loss for the nine months ended March 31, 2009, was (\$2,179,000), a difference of (\$1,377,000), or (172%), as compared with the net loss of (\$802,000) for the nine months ended March 31, 2008, as corrected. We had federal and state net operating loss carry forwards of \$9,789,000 and \$11,726,000 at March 31, 2009, that we estimated may be recoverable at income tax rates of 34% and 5%, respectively. In accordance with SFAS 109 and based upon a review at March 31, 2009, of our utilization of deferred tax assets, we maintained a valuation allowance that effectively reduces our net deferred tax assets to zero. We continue to explore various strategies to return the Company to profitability, including maintaining market share for our SPORT HALEY® fashion apparel and recovering market share for our Ben Hogan® fashion apparel within our core business of resort and country club markets, as well as expanding our SPORT HALEY® and Ben Hogan® fashion apparel distribution channels deeper into retail and corporate markets. However, we cannot be certain that our efforts will result in the generation of future profits sufficient to offset our significant net operating loss carry forwards prior to their expirations. Accordingly, we did not record benefits from income taxes associated with the corresponding losses for the respective three-month or nine-month periods ended March 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

Earnings (loss) per share

Basic and diluted losses per common share were (\$0.43) and (\$0.05) for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, as corrected, respectively. Basic and diluted losses per common share were (\$0.95) and (\$0.35) for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, as corrected, respectively. All securities options were anti-dilutive and therefore excluded from the per share calculations with respect to periods in which net losses were incurred.

Book value

Our book value per common share was \$3.50 at March 31, 2009, \$4.46 at June 30, 2008, and \$4.45 at March 31, 2008, as corrected.

Table of Contents

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

ITEM 4 CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We designed our disclosure controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance of achieving our desired disclosure control objectives. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file pursuant to the Securities Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), are recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any control and procedure, no matter how well designed and operated, can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and in reaching a reasonable level of assurance management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in reaching a reasonable level of assurance that: (a) all material information relating to the Company, required to be disclosed in this report, was made known to management in a timely manner; and (b) information was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and regulations.

(b) Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our internal controls over financial reporting will, in all instances, prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived or operated, can only provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. While our control systems provide a reasonable assurance level, the design of our control systems reflects the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of such controls were considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the financial reports of Sport-Haley, Inc. have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple errors or mistakes. Additionally, a control can be circumvented by the individual act of some person, by collusion of two or more persons, or by management's override of a specific control. The design of any system of controls is also based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

During fiscal 2008, under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, we completed the design, documentation and implementation of our internal control over financial reporting. We believe that we have designed our controls to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in

accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. As previously

Table of Contents

reported, in August 2008, we became aware that our controls were ineffective to prevent the underpayment of customs duties on certain of our imports of fashion apparel purchased from foreign suppliers, which revealed a significant deficiency in our internal control over financial reporting. A significant deficiency in internal controls means a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those responsible for oversight of the registrant's financial reporting. In March 2009, we completed an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting by utilizing the framework set forth in the report entitled *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* published by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, known as COSO. Our March 2009 assessment revealed no material weaknesses and no significant deficiencies, other than the underpayment of customs duties, nor any deficiencies which, when combined with other deficiencies, could be considered to be a significant deficiency in our internal control over financial reporting. However, due to the significant deficiency discovered in August 2008, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of June 30, 2008.

Except as noted above, there have been no significant changes in our internal controls over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act, during the three months ended March 31, 2009, that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

As previously reported, in March 2007, Sport Haley and Reserve Apparel (the Plaintiffs) filed a lawsuit in the District Court for the City and County of Denver in the State of Colorado. The suit alleged, among other things, that a former inventory broker to Reserve Apparel, 1130746 Ontario Ltd., a Canadian corporation incorporated in the Province of Ontario, Canada, d/b/a Originals Apparel Group Co., or Originals Apparel Group, and Francis Tat-Mo Chan (the Defendants), knowingly, wrongfully and without authorization converted Plaintiff s funds and were unjustly enriched. At the conclusion of the trial in September 2008, the Court awarded judgment in the Plaintiff s favor and against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in the amount of \$291,135. On November 4, 2008, the Defendants filed an appeal of the District Court s judgment in the Colorado Court of Appeals. The appeal is pending. At this time, we cannot determine whether the Court of Appeals will affirm or reverse, in whole or in part, the District Court s judgment. In order to collect from the former broker and its principal, assuming the Court of Appeals affirms the judgment we obtained from the District Court, we will need to domesticate the judgment in the province of Ontario, Canada. Since collection of the previously awarded judgment is not assured, we have maintained a reserve for potential non-recovery in the amount of \$175,000.

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2008.

ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Sport Haley held its annual meeting of shareholders on April 17, 2009. The following matters were considered and approved by the shareholders:

- (a) To elect directors to hold office for one-year terms or until their successors are elected and qualified:

	Votes Against	Total
Votes		

Edgar Filing: SPORT HALEY INC - Form 10-Q

	For	or Withheld	Voted
Ronald J. Norick	1,367,022	621,643	1,988,665
James R. TenBrook	1,367,022	621,643	1,988,665
Mark J. Stevenson	1,367,022	621,643	1,988,665
Donald W. Jewell	1,367,022	621,643	1,988,665
Samuel A. Kidston	237,125	0	237,125
Lloyd M. Sems	237,125	0	237,125

At the annual meeting of shareholders, Samuel A. Kidston and Lloyd M. Sems nominated themselves for the two open seats on the board of directors. Each Mr. Kidston and Mr. Sems voted shares held by proxy for one another and withheld voting for each of the other nominees. Sport-Haley, Inc. ratified and confirmed the votes of Mr. Kidston and Mr. Sems, and they were duly elected as directors.

Table of Contents

(b) To ratify the appointment of Eide Bailly LLP as the independent registered public accountants for Sport Haley:

Votes For	1,208,119
Votes Against	532,474
Votes Abstaining	248,072
Total Voted	1,988,665

(c) To authorize to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof:

Votes For	1,208,119
Votes Against	532,474
Votes Abstaining	10,947
Non-Voted	237,125

ITEM 5 OTHER INFORMATION

On April 28, 2009, we reached an agreement with our landlord to reduce the monthly rent paid on our office and warehouse facility to \$11,000 per month from May 2009 through April 2010. The amended lease agreement for our facility previously required monthly rent payments of \$24,000. In exchange for the reduced monthly rent payments, we agreed to vacate approximately 16,000 of the 82,500 square feet of available space in the building. A copy of the addendum is attached as Exhibit 10.4.4 and is incorporated herein by this reference.

On April 28, 2009, we issued a press release announcing the election of two new outside Board members, Samuel A. Kidston and Lloyd M Sems.

On April 21, 2009, we issued a press release announcing that our Board of Directors had recently appointed a Special Committee to explore the possibility of taking proscribed steps to relieve the Company of its public reporting obligations under applicable securities laws.

As previously reported, we received a letter from the Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. ("Nasdaq"), dated November 14, 2008, notifying us of Nasdaq's position that the Company is not in compliance with the rules for continued listing on the Nasdaq Capital Market, specifically Nasdaq Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(14), because our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2008, did not contain a completed management report on internal control over financial reporting as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. In March 2009, we completed the testing of our internal controls, and on April 13, 2009, we amended our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2008, to include a completed management report on internal control over financial reporting. On April 16, 2009, we received a letter from the Nasdaq Stock Market stating that we regained compliance with the rule and that this matter was closed.

Edgar Filing: SPORT HALEY INC - Form 10-Q

On February 18, 2009, we reached an agreement with Callaway to amend the terms of our license agreement with them. Terms of the amendment provide us with non-exclusive licenses to develop, manufacture, market and distribute golf apparel bearing the Ben Hogan® and Tour Edition® trademarks through December 31, 2013, providing that Callaway may terminate the agreement upon 60 days advance written notice. The amendment also provides

Table of Contents

for substantial reductions in the minimum annual royalty requirements for calendar years 2008 through 2013. Based upon the reduced annual minimum royalty requirement for calendar 2008, we reversed the \$164,000 contingent liability that we had recorded as of September 31, 2008, by reducing royalty expense by \$84,000 and recording other income of \$80,000 at December 31, 2008.

ITEM 6 **EXHIBITS**

Exhibit 10.4.4 Addendum to Lease Agreement, dated April 28, 2009, by and between Thomas J. Hilb, individually, and as trustee of the Connie Hilb Trust, and Sport-Haley, Inc.

Exhibit 31.1 Certification of Donald W. Jewell pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)

Exhibit 31.2 Certification of Patrick W. Hurley pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)

Exhibit 32.1 Certifications of Donald W. Jewell and Patrick W. Hurley pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Table of Contents

SPORT-HALEY, INC.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SPORT-HALEY, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: May 15, 2009

/s/ PATRICK W. HURLEY
Patrick W. Hurley
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)