

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC
Form 20-F
June 24, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F
ANNUAL REPORT

£

REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR.

S

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal period ended **December 31, 2007**

OR

£

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

OR

£

SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT 1934
(Date of event requiring this shell company report)

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-8797

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Province of British Columbia, Canada
(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

837 West Hastings Street, Suite 309, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 3N6
(Address of principal executive offices)

Page 1 of 135 Pages
The Exhibit Index is located on Page 88

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
<u>None</u>	<u>Not Applicable</u>

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Shares Without Par Value

(Title of Class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

None
(Title of Class)

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

Title of Class	Issued and Outstanding as of December 31, 2007
Common Shares Without Par Value	100,422,837

- 2 -

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Company is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer" and "large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One)

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

Item 17 Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the Company is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

(APPLICABLE ONLY TO ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PAST FIVE YEARS)

Indicate by check mark whether the Company has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court.

Yes No Not Applicable

This information set forth in this Form 20-F Annual Report is as at December 31, 2007 unless an earlier or later date is indicated.

References to "Anglo Swiss Resources Inc." and the "Company" in this Form 20-F Annual Report include any of its subsidiaries except to the extent the context requires otherwise.

Financial information is presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Canada. The major measurement differences between accounting principles generally accepted in Canada and in the United States, as applicable to the Company, are set forth in Item 5 of this Annual Report and in Note 11 to the accompanying Financial Statements of the Company.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements and information relating to the Company that are based on the beliefs of its management as well as assumptions made by and information available to Anglo Swiss. When used in this document the words, anticipate , believe , and expect and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company or its management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements relating to, among other things, regulatory compliance, the sufficiency of current working capital, the estimated cost and availability of funding for the continued exploration and development of the Company s exploration properties. Many factors could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Anglo Swiss to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements be expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Important factors are identified in this Annual Report and the attached financial statements.

Statements in this Annual Report regarding expected completion dates of feasibility studies, anticipated commencement dates of mining or metal production operations, projected quantities of future metal production and anticipated production rates, operating efficiencies, costs and

expenditures are forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially depending upon the availability of materials, equipment, required permits or approvals and financing, the occurrence of unusual weather or operating conditions, the accuracy of reserve estimates, lower than expected ore grades or the failure of equipment or processes to operate in accordance with specifications. See Item 3.D Key Information Risk Factors for other factors that may affect the Company's future financial performance.

Critical Accounting Estimates

A summary of all the Company's significant accounting policies is included in Note 2 to the annual financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Management is required to make assumptions and estimates that affect the valuation of its mineral properties. The carrying value of each property in the exploration or development stage is evaluated as to the project economics, including the timing of the exploration and/or development work, the work programs and the exploration results experienced by the Company or others. The review of the carrying value of each producing property is made by reference to the estimated future operating results and net cash flows. When the carrying value of a property exceeds its estimated net recoverable amount, provision is made for the decline in value.

Acquisition costs of mineral properties and development expenditures thereon are capitalized. Costs incurred for general explorations that do not result in the acquisition of mineral properties with ongoing exploration or development potential are charged to operations. Costs relating to properties abandoned are written off when such decision is made. When production is attained, the capitalized costs will be amortized using the unit of production method based upon estimated proven and probable recoverable reserves.

Future income tax assets and liabilities are computed based on differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities on the balance sheet and their corresponding tax values, using the enacted or substantively enacted, as applicable, income tax rates at each balance sheet date. Future income tax assets also result from unused loss carry forwards and other deductions. The valuation of future income tax assets is reviewed annually and adjusted, if necessary, by use of a valuation allowance to reflect the estimated realizable amount.

The Company follows the provisions of CIC Handbook Section 3870, which requires the fair value based method to be used for all stock-based awards. As a result, the Company is required to expense stock option benefits issued to employees and directors based on their vesting provisions. Accordingly, the fair value of the options at the date of the grant is determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and stock-based compensation is accrued and charged to operations, with an offsetting credit to options, on a straight-line basis over the vesting periods. The fair value of stock options granted to non-employees is re-measured at the earlier of each financial reporting or vesting date, and any adjustment is charged or credited to operations upon re-measurement. Option pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions regarding the expected volatility. Changes in assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, and therefore, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable measure of the fair value of the Company's stock options at the date of the grant or thereafter.

CURRENCY TRANSLATIONS

In this Form 20-F Annual Report, unless otherwise specified, all monetary amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars. See Item 3.A Key Information - Selected Financial Data for a summary of key exchange rates.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
FORM 20-F FOR ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTERPRETATION

2

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

2

CURRENCY TRANSLATIONS

3

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

6

ITEM 1.

IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISORS

10

ITEM 2.

OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

10

ITEM 3.

KEY INFORMATION

10

A.

Selected Financial Data

10

B.

Capitalization and Indebtedness

12

C.

Reasons For The Offer and Use of Proceeds

12

D.

Risk Factors

12

ITEM 4.

INFORMATION OF THE COMPANY

18

A.

History and Development of the Company

18

B.

Business Overview

23

C.

Organizational Structure

24

D.

Property, Plants and Equipment

25

ITEM 5.

OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

51

A.

Operating Results

51

B.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

.55

C.

Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc.

61

D.

Trend Information

61

E.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

61

F.

Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

61

G.

Safe Harbour

61

ITEM 6.

DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES

62

A.

Directors and Senior Management

62

B.

Compensation

63

C.

Board Practices

66

D.

Employees

66

E.

Share Ownership

67

ITEM 7.

MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

69

A.

Major Shareholders

69

B.

Related Party Transactions

70

C.

Interests of Experts and Counsel

70

ITEM 8.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

70

A.

Statements and Other Financial Information

70

B.

Significant Changes

70

ITEM 9.

THE OFFER AND LISTING

70

A.

Offer and Listing Details

70

B.

Plan of Distribution

72

C.

Markets

72

72

D.

Selling Shareholders

73

E.

Dilution

73

F.

Expenses of the Issue

73

ITEM 10.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

73

A.

Share Capital

73

B.

Memorandum and Articles of Association

75

C.

Material Contracts

75

D.

Exchange Controls

78

E.

Taxation

84

F.

Dividends and Paying Agents

84

G.

Statements by Experts

85

H.

Documents on Display

85

I.

Subsidiary Information

85

ITEM 11.

**QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES
ABOUT MARKET RISK**

85

PART II

ITEM 12.

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

85

ITEM 13.

DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARS AND DELINQUENCIES

85

ITEM 14.

**MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY
HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

85

ITEM 15.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

85

ITEM 16 RESERVED

ITEM 16A. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

86

ITEM 16B. CODE OF ETHICS

86

ITEM 16C. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES & SERVICE

87

ITEM 16D.

EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES

87

**ITEM 16E. PURCHASERS OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND
AFFILIATED PURCHASERS 88**

PART III

ITEM 17.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

88

ITEM 18.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

88

ITEM 19.

EXHIBIT INDEX

89

SIGNATURES	..	.90
CERTIFICATES		.91/92

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The following glossary, which is not exhaustive, should be used only as an adjunct to a thorough reading of the entire document of which it forms a part.

alluvial: A term used to identify particular types of, or minerals found associated with, deposits made by flowing water, such as alluvial gold.

alluvial diamonds: diamonds found in river sediments.

Arrangement: The arrangement under the Company Act, among the Company and the ASII shareholders and ASII and more particularly described under **Item 4.A Information of the Company History and Development of the Company**.

ASIHI: Anglo Swiss International Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, incorporated under the laws of the Cayman Islands.

ASII: Anglo Swiss Industries Inc., a company incorporated under the Company Act under the name 68063 B.C. Ltd. .

ASII Common Shares: The common shares without par value in the capital of ASII.

bedrock: the solid rock under deposits of gravels, sands, soil and silt.

beryl: A beryllium-aluminium silicate. Used as a gem when clear and well coloured. The grass green variety is known as emerald; light green, beryl; blue-green, aquamarine.

Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement: The Agreement dated May 1, 2000 between the Company and Hampton Court Resources Inc., respecting the Blu Starr Property, including amendments thereto. Agreement expired on April 30, 2003.

Board of Directors: The board of directors of the Company as elected or appointed from time to time. Also sometimes referred to as the Board .

breccia: A fragmental rock; any rock formation essentially composed of uncemented, or loosely consolidated, small angular-shaped fragments.

Canadamin S.A.: Compania Minera Canadamin S.A., a company incorporated under the laws of Ecuador, all of whose issued and outstanding shares are held by ASIHI.

Canadian GAAP: Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

carat: A unit employed in weighing diamonds and gemstones. The international metric carat (M.C.) of 200 milligrams is the standard.

carbonatite: A sulfide of copper and iron.

Catamayo Joint Participation Agreement: The Agreement dated May 1, 2000 between the Company and Hampton Court Resources Inc. respecting the Catamayo River Property.

chalcopryrite: A sulfide of copper and iron.

Company: Anglo Swiss Resources Inc., a company continued under the Company Act.

Company Act: The *Company Act* (British Columbia) R.S.B.C. 1996, c.62, as amended from time to time.

Company Class A Preferred: The Class A preferred shares without par value in the capital of the Company.

Company Class B Preferred: The Class B preferred shares without par value in the capital of the Company.

Company Class C Preferred: The Class C preferred shares without par value in the capital of the Company.

Company Common Shares: The common shares without par value in the capital of the Company.

concession: A form of entitlement under Ecuadorian law to either explore and develop a given area for minerals or to exploit or mine such area.

corundum: An aluminium oxide, Al_2O_3 occurring commonly as an accessory mineral in the metamorphic rocks, such as crystal-line limestone mica-schist, gneiss. The coloured and clear varieties form the gems sapphire, ruby, oriental emerald and oriental topaz.

deposit: A coherent geological body such as a mineralized body.

diamondiferous: Containing diamonds.

Director: A director of the Company as elected or appointed from time to time.

Dissenting Shareholders: ASII shareholders who validly exercised the rights of dissent provided to them in respect of the 409556 Sale or the Arrangement and receive fair value for their ASII common shares of ASII in accordance with Section 207 of the Company Act in respect of the 409556 Sale or with the Section 207 of the Company Act in respect of the Arrangement.

dome: A mountain having a smoothly rounded summit of rock that resembles the cupola or dome on a building.

doré bars: An unrefined gold and silver bar consisting of approximately 90% precious metals that would be further refined to almost pure precious metals.

electromagnetic survey (or EM survey): A survey of the conductive properties of rocks. Grade: (to contain a particular) quantity of ore or mineral relative to other constituents, in a specified quantity of rock.

epithermal: Applied to hydrothermal deposits formed at low temperature and pressure.

Exchange Act: The United States *Securities Exchange Act of 1934*, as amended from time to time.

fault: A fracture or a fracture zone along which there has been displacement of the two sides relative to one another parallel to the fracture. The displacement may be a few inches or many miles.

fluorite: A natural calcium fluoride, occurring in veins either alone or with metallic ores.

fracture: The general term to include any kind of discontinuity in a body of rock if produced by mechanical process such as shear stress or tensile stress, but not attended by movement on one side or the other.

garnet: A group of silicate minerals including several species with related chemical structure. Several principal garnets include almandine (iron aluminum) abrasive and gem, precious garnet and pyrope (manganese aluminum).

gemstone: A term that includes pearl, amber, coral, jet, or any stone of any variety of a gem mineral which is of sufficient beauty and durability for use as a personal ornament.

graphite: A allotropic form of carbon found in nature, molecular weight, 12.01; black, dark grey or steel grey; specific gravity 1.9 to 2.3; Mohs hardness 1 to 2.

hectare: A metric unit of land measure equal to 10,000 square metres or 2.471 acres.

iolite: A gem variety of the mineral cordierite; a silicate of magnesium and aluminum, found as an accessory mineral in granite, gneiss, schists and in contact metamorphic zones. Color is different shades of blue, Mohs hardness 7 to 7.5, transparent to translucent.

kimberlite: An igneous rock of mantle origin occurring in intrusive breccia pipes, which is sometimes diamond bearing.

kyanite: A natural silicate of aluminum, found in metamorphic rocks.

mantle: The ultramafic layer of the earth beneath the crust.

mineral: An inorganic substance having usually a definite chemical composition and, if formed under favourable conditions, having a certain characteristic atomic structure which is expressed in its crystalline form and other physical properties.

mineral claim: The portion of mining ground held under law by a claimant.

mineral resource: In-situ mineral occurrence from which valuable or useful minerals may be recovered.

mineralization: Implication that the rocks contain sulphide minerals and that these could be related to ore.

Option Joint Venture Agreement: That agreement dated February 10, 1995 between 409556 and Teck Corp. respecting the Kenville Mine Property.

ore: That part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted.

overburden: Material that overlies bedrock.

pipe: A roughly funnel-shaped, approximately vertical extrusion of volcanic breccia and kimberlite that may or may not contain diamonds.

placer: A place where gold is obtained by washing; an alluvial or glacial deposit, as of sand or gravel, containing particles of gold or other valuable minerals including gemstones.

Post-Arrangement Transactions: Post-Arrangement Transactions means those transactions that were undertaken immediately after the Arrangement and more particularly described under **Item 4.A Information of the Company History and Development of the Company**.

Pre-Arrangement Transactions: Pre-Arrangement Transactions means those transactions that were undertaken immediately before the Arrangement and more particularly described under **Item 4.A Information of the Company History and Development of the Company.**

prospect: Mineral occurrence with the potential for an economic deposit.

quality: the degree of excellence of a diamond, measured by its weight, colour, purity or clarity and its perfection of proportions and finish.

Reorganization: The Pre-Arrangement Transactions, the Arrangement and the Post-Arrangement Transactions.

reserve: That part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination.

ruby: Red transparent corundum (Al_2O_3) colour being due to traces of sufficient chromium. Mohs hardness 8-9, specific gravity of 4.

sapphire: Most commonly blue transparent corundum (Al_2O_3) colour being due to traces of cobalt, chromium, titanium: Mohs hardness 8-9, specific gravity of 4.

sediment: unconsolidated material, both mineral and organic, that has come to rest on, or is being transported over, the earth's surface by water, wind or ice.

short ton: A weight of 2,000 pounds. Also sometimes referred to as a ton .

strike: The direction, that is, the course or bearing of a vein or rock formation measured on a horizontal surface.

Teck Corp.: Teck Corporation, a Canadian-based, international mining company.

terrace: An alluvial deposit on a flat area of a river or former riverbank. Also known as a bench placer.

ton: See the definition of short ton .

tonne: A weight of 2,200 pounds. Also sometimes referred to as a metric tonne .

tourmaline: A complex aluminum silicate of hexagonal crystallization containing boron and other elements.

ultramafic: Igneous rocks of mantle origin with low silica contents.

U.S. GAAP: United States generally accepted accounting principles.

vein: A zone or belt of mineralized rock lying within boundaries clearly separating it from neighbouring rock. A mineralized zone has, more or less, a regular development in length, width and depth to give it a tabular form and is commonly inclined at a considerable angle to the horizontal. The term lode is commonly used synonymously for vein.

volcanic: Descriptive of rocks originating from volcanic activity.

409556: 409556 B.C. Ltd., previously a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company which has been now wound-up.

409556 Sale: The sale of all of the issued and outstanding shares of 409556 by ASII to the Company, and forming part of the Pre-Arrangement Transactions.

ITEM 1.

IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISORS

This Form 20-F is being filed as an annual report under the Exchange Act and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information in regards to the identity of the Directors, Senior Management and Advisors of the Company under this Item.

The Company has appointed DeVisser Gray, Chartered Accountants (DVG) to replace PricewaterhouseCoopers, LPP, Chartered Accountants (PWC) to act as their auditors effective Feb. 21, 2007 for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007. DVG has offices at 905 West Pender Street, Suite 401, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 1L6.

The Company and PWC were unaware of any reservations contained in the auditor s reporting with respect to the Company s financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005. Both the former and successor auditor have agreed to the statements in the Change of Auditor notice dated January 26, 2007 and filed electronically with SEDAR.

DVG are appointed annually by the shareholders to conduct an audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in Canada and the PCAOB.

ITEM 2.

OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

This Form 20-F is being filed as an annual report under the Exchange Act and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this Item.

ITEM 3.

KEY INFORMATION

A.

Selected Financial Data

The following table summarizes selected financial data for the Company (stated in Canadian dollars) prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP. The Reorganization, effective November 28, 1997, resulted in the Company, a former subsidiary of ASII, becoming the parent company and ASII and its other subsidiaries become the subsidiaries of the Company. This transaction was a reorganization of existing companies and had no impact on the financial statements except for authorized capital of the Company which is now 900,000,000 shares. The information in the tables was extracted from the more detailed financial statements and related notes included herein and should be read in conjunction with these financial statements and with the information appearing under **ITEM 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects**.

Results for the period ended December 31, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of results for future periods.

Selected Financial Data Prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP

Item	Dec. 31/2007	Dec. 31/2006	Dec. 31/2005	Dec. 31/2004	Dec. 31/2003
(a)	\$13,503	\$4,172	\$874	\$1,005	\$888
Interest income					
(b)	\$(2,357,792)	\$(687,461)	\$(482,478)	\$(427,123)	\$(269,542)
Net earnings (loss)					

(c)	\$(0.03)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.00)
Net earnings (loss) per common share					
(d)	\$9,717,623	\$5,436,393	\$5,453,577	3,959,764	\$3,843,615
Total assets					
(e)	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
Total long-term debt					
(f)	\$9,182,444	\$4,885,094	\$4,985,299	\$3,645,071	\$3,406,887
Net Assets					
(g)	\$18,866,832	\$13,314,402	\$13,216,707	\$11,545,745	\$11,224,585
Capital Stock					
(h)	100,422,837	69,252,688	67,402,688	50,005,688	44,825,688
No. of Common Shares					

Note 10 of the financial statements of the Company included herein sets forth the differences were such information to be presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Selected Financial Data Prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP

Item	Dec. 31/2007	Dec. 31/2006	Dec. 31/2005	Dec. 31/2004	Dec. 31/2003
(a)	\$13,503	\$4,172	\$874	\$1,005	\$888
Interest income					
(b)	\$(2,591,843)	\$(1,197,852)	\$(1,534,161)	\$(380,289)	\$(178,527)

Net earnings (loss)					
(c)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.00)
Net earnings (loss) per common share					
(d)	\$5,131,176	\$1,112,999	\$1,640,574	\$1,198,444	\$1,035,461
Total assets					
(e)	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
Total long-term debt ⁽¹⁾					
(f)					
Net					

Assets	\$4,595,997	\$561,700	\$1,172,296	\$883,751	\$598,733
(g)	\$18,866,832	\$13,314,402	\$13,216,707	\$11,545,745	\$11,224,585
Capital Stock					
(h)	100,422,837	69,252,688	67,402,688	50,005,688	44,825,688
No. of Common Shares					

The Company has not declared or paid any dividends in any of its last five financial years.

In this Annual Report on Form 20-F, unless otherwise specified, all monetary amounts are expressed in Canadian Dollars. On June 10, 2008 the exchange rate, based on the noon buying rate published by the Bank of Canada, for the conversion of United States dollars into Canadian dollars (the Noon Rate of Exchange) was \$0.9763 (US \$1.00 = CDN \$1.0243).

The following table sets out the high and low exchange rates exchange rates for each of the last six months.

	May 2008	April 2008	March 2008	February 2008	January 2008	December 2007
High for Period	1.0189	1.0270	1.0279	1.0190	1.0324	1.0131
Low for Period	0.9844	1.0033	0.9842	0.9719	0.9905	0.9785

The following table sets out the average exchange rates for the five most recent financial years calculated by using the average of the Noon Rate of Exchange on the last day of each month during the period.

	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
Average for the period	1.0748	1.1319	1.2135	1.3149	1.4377

Capitalization and Indebtedness

This Form 20-F is being filed as an annual report under the Exchange Act and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this Item.

Reasons For The Offer and Use of Proceeds

This Form 20-F is being filed as an annual report under the Exchange Act and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this Item.

Risk Factors

The following is a brief discussion of those distinctive or special characteristics of the Company's operations and industry, which may have a material impact on, or constitute risk factors in respect of, the Company's future financial performance.

Exploration and Development Risks

The Company is engaged in the business of acquiring and exploring mineral properties in the expectation of locating mineral reserves. The Company's property interests are in the exploration

stage only and are without a known body of commercial ore. Accordingly, there is little likelihood that the Company will realize any profits in the short to medium term. Any profitability in the future from the Company's business will be dependent upon locating mineral reserves, which itself is subject to numerous risk factors.

The business of exploring for minerals and mining involves a high degree of risk. Few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing, profitable mines. In developing its mineral deposits, the Company will be subjected to an array of complex economic factors and accordingly there is no assurance that a positive feasibility study or any projected results contained in a feasibility study of a mineral deposit will be attained.

Technical considerations, delays in obtaining governmental approvals, inability to obtain financing or other factors could cause delays in developing properties. Such delays could materially adversely affect the financial performance of the Company.

The business of mining is subject to a variety of risks such as cave-ins and other accidents, flooding, environmental hazards, the discharge of toxic chemicals and other hazards. Such occurrences may delay production, increase production costs or result in liability. The Company may have insurance in amounts that it considers to be adequate to protect itself against certain risks of mining and processing. However, the Company may become subject to liability for hazards against which it cannot insure itself or which it may elect not to insure against because of premium costs or other reasons. In particular, the Company is not insured for environmental liability or earthquake damage.

Title Matters

While the Company believes title to all of its properties is in good standing, this should not be construed as a guarantee of title. Other parties (including indigenous landowners) may dispute title to the mining properties in which the Company has an interest or the right to acquire an interest. The properties may be subject to prior unregistered agreements or transfer or land claims and title may be affected by undetected defects.

Only a small number of the mining claims in which the Company has an interest are held under governmental lease or patent. Accordingly, other parties may dispute the Company's title to its mining and other interests. Such claims may be subject to prior unregistered agreements or transfers or native land claims and title may be affected by undetected defects. There may also be liens or other encumbrances registered against the Company's mining claims from time to time. The Company has entered into, or may enter into, contractual arrangements to acquire interests in resource properties with governments or governmental agencies. Such contractual arrangements may be difficult to enforce.

Insurance

The Company currently has little or no insurance coverage for its plant and related equipment at any of its properties. In the event of one or more uninsured losses, any one of the Company's current projects would be rendered uneconomic.

Environmental and other Regulatory Requirements

The Company's potential mining and processing operations and exploration activities in Canada are subject to various federal, territorial and provincial laws governing land use, the protection of the environment, prospecting,

development, production, exports, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, mine safety and other matters. Such operations and exploration activities are also subject to substantial regulation under these laws by

governmental agencies and may require that the Company obtain permits from various governmental agencies.

The Company believes it is in substantial compliance with all material laws and regulations which currently apply to its various activities. There can be no assurance, however, that all permits which the Company may require for construction of mining facilities and conduct of mining operations will be obtainable on reasonable terms or that such laws and regulations would not have an adverse effect on any mining project which the Company might undertake.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations, and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions there-under, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of the mining activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations.

Amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining companies, or more stringent implementation thereof, could have a material adverse impact on the Company and cause increases in capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production at producing properties or require abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties.

Competition

Significant and increasing competition exists for the limited number of diamond/gemstone/precious and base metals acquisition opportunities available in Canada, the United States and beyond. As a result of this competition, some of which is with large established mining companies with substantial capabilities and greater financial and technical resources than the Company, the Company may be unable to acquire additional attractive opportunities on terms it considers acceptable.

Currency Fluctuations

The Company maintains its accounts in Canadian Dollars. The Company's operations are all situated within Canada and therefore, are not subject to foreign currency fluctuations. The Company does not at the present, nor in the future plan to engage in foreign currency transactions to hedge exchange rate risks.

Mineral Prices

The mining industry in general is intensely competitive and there is no assurance that, even if commercial quantities of mineral resources are discovered, a profitable market will exist for the sale of same. Factors beyond the control of the Company may affect the marketability of any substances discovered. The price of gold and gemstones has experienced volatile and significant price movements over short periods of time, and is affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company, including international economic and political trends, expectations of inflation, currency exchange fluctuations (specifically, the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies), speculative activities and increased production due to improved mining and production methods. The supply of and demand for diamond/gemstones/precious and base metals is affected by various factors, including political events, economic conditions and production costs in major commodities producing regions and governmental policies.

Sales and Refining

If production is achieved on any of the Company's properties, the diamonds, gemstones, or precious/base metals can be readily sold on numerous markets throughout the world and it is not difficult to ascertain its market price at any particular time. Doré bars that may be produced by the Company's future mining operations would be refined by a commercial refinery; and any precious/base metals produced would subsequently be purchased on a competitive basis. The Company believes that because of the availability of refiners, each able to supply all services that would be required by the Company, no material adverse affect is likely to result if the Company lost the services of any refiner. Because of the large number of available precious/base metals purchasers, the Company believes that it is not dependent upon the sale of its production to any customer, the loss of which would have material adverse affect on the business of the Company.

Sapphires are far less common than diamonds, with large gem quality rubies being anything from 30-50 times rarer than diamonds. Rubies and sapphires dominate the world gemstone market and account for over 50% of total sales. The world market for diamonds and precious/semi-precious gemstones is maintained mostly through well established markets throughout the world.

Dependence Upon Qualified Personnel

The Company is dependent upon its ability to attract and retain qualified personnel. No assurances can be given that the Company will be able to attract or retain any such persons.

Conflicts of Interest

Certain of the directors of the Company are directors of other reporting companies and to the extent that such other companies may participate in ventures in which the Company may participate, the directors of the Company may have a conflict of interest in negotiating and concluding terms respecting the extent of such participation. In the event that such a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of the directors of the Company, a director who has such a conflict will abstain from voting for or against the approval of such participation or such terms. In appropriate cases the Company will establish a special committee of independent directors to review a matter in which several directors, or management, may have a conflict. From time to time, several companies may participate in the acquisition, exploration and development of natural resource properties thereby allowing for their participating in larger programs, permitting involvement in a greater number of programs and reducing financial exposure in respect of any one program. It may also occur that a particular company will assign all or a portion of its interest in a particular program to another of these companies due to the financial position of the company making the assignment. In accordance with the laws of the Province of British Columbia, the directors of the Company are required to act honestly, in good faith and in the best interests of the Company. In determining whether or not the Company will participate in a particular program and the interest therein to be acquired by it, the directors will primarily consider the potential benefits to the Company, the degree of risk to which the Company may be exposed and its financial position at that time. Other than as indicated, the Company has no other procedures or mechanisms to deal with conflicts of interest.

Additional Funding Requirements

The Company has not received cash flow from operations in the past and positive cash flow is not expected in the next few years to satisfy the Company's operational requirements and cash commitments. In the past, the Company has relied on sales of equity securities to meet most of its cash requirements, together with management fees, property

payments and sales or joint ventures of properties. There can be no assurance that funding from these sources will be sufficient in the future to satisfy operational requirements and cash commitments.

The Company currently has significant financial resources on hand to undertake all of its planned exploration programs and meet its general and administrative expenses budgeted through the current fiscal year ending 2008. The Company completed four private placement of \$6,068,063 in 2007 and received \$491,516 from the exercise of options/warrants, both resulting in the issuance of common shares. **SEE ITEM 17. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Note 6.** The development of the Company's properties in the future will continue to depend upon the Company's ability to obtain financing through any or all of the joint venturing of projects, debt financing, equity financing or other means. There is no assurance that the Company will be successful in obtaining the required financing. Failure to obtain additional financing on a timely basis could cause the Company to forfeit its interest in its properties and reduce or terminate its operations on such properties.

History of Net Losses; Accumulated Deficit; Lack of Revenue From Operations

The Company has incurred significant net losses to date. Its deficit as of December 31, 2007, was \$11,978,406. The Company has not yet had any revenue from the exploration activities on its properties, nor has the Company yet found that development activity is warranted on any of its properties. Even if the Company does undertake development activity on any of its properties, the Company may continue to incur losses beyond the period of commencement of such activity. There is no certainty that the Company will produce revenue, operate profitably or provide a return on investment in the future.

Limited Experience with Development-Stage Mining Operations

The Company has limited experience in placing resource properties into production, and its ability to do so will be dependent upon using the services of appropriately experienced personnel or entering into agreements with other major resource companies that can provide such expertise. There can be no assurance that the Company will have available to it the necessary expertise when and if the Company places its resource properties into production.

Stock Subject to Penny Stock Rules

The capital stock of the Company would be classified as penny stock as defined in Reg. § 2403a51-1 promulgated under the Exchange Act. In response to perceived abuse in the penny stock market generally, the Exchange Act was amended in 1990 to add new requirements in connection with penny stocks. In connection with effecting any transaction in a penny stock, a broker or dealer must give the customer a written risk disclosure document that (a) describes the nature and level of risk in the market for penny stocks in both public offerings and secondary trading, (b) describes the broker's or dealer's duties to the customer and the rights and remedies available to such customer with respect to violations of such duties, (c) describes the dealer market, including bid and ask prices for penny stock and the significance of the spread between the bid and ask prices, (d) contains a toll-free telephone number for inquiries on disciplinary histories of brokers and dealers, and (e) defines significant terms used in the disclosure document or the conduct of trading in penny stocks. In addition, the broker-dealer must provide to a penny stock customer a written monthly account statement that discloses the identity and number of shares of each penny stock held in the customer's account, and the estimated market value of such shares. The extensive disclosure and other broker-dealer compliance related to penny stocks may result in reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for such stocks, thus limiting the ability of the holder to sell such stock.

Environmental and Other Regulatory Requirements

The current or future operations of the Company, including development activities and commencement of production on its properties, require permits from various governmental

authorities and such operations are and will be subject to laws and regulations governing prospecting, development, mining, production, exports, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, land use, environmental protection, mine safety and other matters. Companies engaged in the development and operation of mines and related facilities generally experience increased costs, and delays in production and other schedules as a result of the need to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permits. There can be no assurance that approvals and permits required to commence production on its various properties will be obtained. Additional permits and studies, which may include environmental impact studies conducted before permits can be obtained, may be necessary prior to operation of the properties in which the Company has interests and there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain or maintain all necessary permits that may be required to commence construction, development or operation of mining facilities at these properties on terms which enable operations to be conducted at economically justifiable costs.

The Company's potential mining and processing operations and exploration activities in Canada are subject to various federal and provincial and state laws governing land use, the protection of the environment, prospecting, development, production, exports, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, mine safety and other matters. Such operations and exploration activities are also subject to substantial regulation under these laws by governmental agencies and may require that the Company obtain permits from various governmental agencies. The Company believes it is in substantial compliance with all material laws and regulations, which currently apply to its activities. There can be no assurance, however, that all permits the Company may require for construction of mining facilities and conduct of mining operations will be obtainable on reasonable terms or such laws and regulations would not have a material adverse effect on any mining project the Company might undertake.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations, and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions there under, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of the mining activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations.

Amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining companies, or more stringent implementation thereof, could have a material adverse impact on the Company and cause increases in capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production at producing properties or abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties.

To the best of the Company's knowledge, it is currently operating in compliance with all applicable environmental regulations.

Dividends

All of the Company's available funds will be invested to finance the growth of the Company's business and therefore investors cannot expect and should not anticipate receiving a dividend on the Company's common shares in the foreseeable future.

Company's Officers and Directors Resident Outside U.S.; Potential Unenforceability of Civil Liabilities and Judgments

The Company and its officers are residents of countries other than the United States, and all of the Company's assets are located outside the United States. As a result, it may not be possible for

investors to effect service of process within the United States upon such persons or enforce in the United States against such persons judgments obtained in the United States courts, including judgments predicated upon the civil liability provisions of United States federal securities laws or state securities laws.

The Company believes that a judgment of a United States court predicated solely upon civil liability under the United States securities laws would probably be enforceable in Canada if the United States court in which the judgment was obtained has a basis for jurisdiction in the matter that was recognized by a Canadian court for such purposes.

However, there is doubt whether an action could be brought in Canada in the first instance on the basis of liability predicated solely upon such laws.

If the Company is Unable to Successfully Develop and Subsequently Generate Sufficient Cash Flow from its Properties, the Company Could be treated as a Passive Foreign Investment Company for U.S. Tax Purposes, Possibly Resulting in Additional Taxes to Its U.S. Stockholders and Less Liquidity for the Stock.

The Company, as a foreign corporation with U.S. stockholders, could potentially be treated as a passive foreign investment company (PFIC) for U.S. tax purposes. U.S. stockholders owning shares of a PFIC can be subject to adverse tax consequences. In general, the Company would be considered a PFIC if: 75% or more of its gross income in a taxable year is passive income such as dividends and interest; or, the average percentage of the Company's assets (by value) during the taxable year which produce passive income or which are held for production of same is at least 50%. A U.S. stockholder owning shares of a PFIC, who does not make certain elections for tax purposes, is subject to an additional tax and to an interest charge based on the value of deferral of tax for the period during which the common shares of the PFIC are owned. Also, gain realized on the disposition of common shares of the PFIC would be treated as ordinary income rather than capital gains. If U.S. stockholders are subject to adverse tax consequences related to their ownership of the Company's stock, they might be less willing to acquire the stock, which could result in reduced market activity and liquidity for the stock.

ITEM 4.

INFORMATION OF THE COMPANY

A.

History and Development of the Company

ASII, the parent of the Company prior to the Reorganization, was organized in 1966 under the laws of the Province of British Columbia, Canada. Prior to February 1, 1990, ASII was known as Carolin Mines Ltd. . Prior to May 1, 1992 ASII was known as Anglo Swiss Mining Corporation and contemporaneous with the change of ASII's name to Anglo Swiss Industries Inc. , a consolidation of ASII's shares on a 1 for 15 basis, was also completed.

The Company was incorporated under the laws of Canada on June 27, 1995 under the name 3160157 Canada Ltd. as a wholly owned subsidiary of Anglo Swiss Industries Inc. (ASII). On July 12, 1995, it changed its name to Canadian Sapphire Corporation . On October 8, 1997 it was continued as Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. under the Company Act. The continuance was implemented to repatriate the Company as a British Columbia company as the majority of its

direct business operations are located in that province. As a result of the Reorganization, holders of ASII Common Shares automatically became holders of Company Common Shares and the Company automatically became the holder of all the outstanding ASII Common Shares. This resulted in the Company becoming the new parent company of the Anglo Swiss group of companies. The financial statements of the Company are, in effect, the same as the financial statements of ASII would appear, if the Reorganization had not taken place.

On October 8, 1997, the Board of Directors of the Company and ASII approved the Reorganization. The completion of the Reorganization, effective November 28, 1997 (the Effective Date) resulted in holders of ASII Common Shares automatically becoming holders of the same number of common shares of the Company, formerly a wholly-owned subsidiary of ASII, and the Company automatically became the holder of all of the ASII Common Shares. The Reorganization resulted in a simplified corporate structure for the Anglo Swiss group of companies and the Company becoming the new parent company of the Anglo Swiss group of companies.

An annual and special general meeting of shareholders of ASII was held on November 24, 1997 to vote upon the Reorganization. All necessary approvals, including court and shareholder approvals, were received, and the Reorganization took effect on the Effective Date.

After the Reorganization, Company Common Shares provided their holders with, in all material respects, the same interests in the same assets as those shareholders had through their ASII Common Shares held immediately before the Reorganization. The financial statements of the Company are, in effect, the same as the financial statements of ASII would appear, if the Reorganization were not carried out.

Although ASII had expanded into gemstone exploration (through its then wholly-owned subsidiary, the Company) and into exploration of precious metals in Ecuador (through its then wholly-owned subsidiary, Canadamin S.A.), ASII was identified for the most part with precious metals exploration and development in British Columbia. Management of ASII was of the view that the Reorganization would maximize shareholder value as a new and simplified corporate structure and the name Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. would facilitate and reflect continued expansion into other segments of the natural resources industry and create administrative and other efficiencies. Management also believed that, as a result of the Reorganization, the Company would be more appropriately viewed in the marketplace as a more broadly-based resources company and its ability to pursue future financing would be enhanced.

The Reorganization occurred in 3 major steps, all of which occurred on the Effective Date:

Pre-Arrangement Transactions. ASII sold all of the issued and outstanding common shares of Canadamin S.A. to Anglo Swiss International Holdings Ltd. (ASIHI) in consideration for one Company Class A Preferred Share. ASII also sold all of the issued and outstanding common shares of 409556 to the Company in consideration of one Company Class C Preferred Share (the Pre-Arrangement Transactions).

Arrangement. ASII exchanged the single issued and outstanding Company Common Share it held for one Company Class B Preferred Share. All holders of ASII Common Shares, except for Dissenting Shareholders, then exchanged their ASII Common Shares for Company Common Shares on the basis of one Company Common Share for each ASII Common Share (the Arrangement).

Post-Arrangement Transactions. The Company redeemed for a promissory note the Company Class A Preferred Share, the Company Class B Preferred Share and the Company Class C Preferred Share acquired by ASII in the Pre-Arrangement and Arrangement Transactions. The Company adopted a stock option plan substantially the same as the 1997 Stock Option Plan and granted an option to purchase Company Common Shares to each person who held an option to purchase ASII Common Shares on the same terms and conditions as contained in the original grant pursuant to ASII's 1997 Stock Option Plan. The Company wound-up 409556 and changed its fiscal year end to December 31.

Finally, the name of ASII was changed to 68063 B.C. Ltd. to avoid any potential for confusion with the Company (the Post-Arrangement Transactions).

The foregoing transactions closed in the order set forth above with the closing of each prior transaction being a condition precedent to the closing of the subsequent transactions; however, all of the transactions closed in escrow so that none of the transactions would close unless they all closed. The Board of Directors had previously approved of the Reorganization. Although shareholder approval from the ASII shareholders was required for the 409556 Sale and the Arrangement, the Board would not have proceeded with the Reorganization unless it was satisfied that all parts of the Reorganization would be completed as set forth in the Plan of Arrangement or with such variations as, in the opinion of the Board of Directors, would not be unduly detrimental to the interests of ASII, its shareholders or the Company.

On December 9, 1997, the Company Common Shares were listed for trading on the Montreal Exchange in substitution for the ASII Common Shares. On October 1, 2001 the Company's shares began trading on the Canadian Venture Exchange (CDNX), subsequent to an agreement negotiated between the CDNX and the Montreal Exchange whereby, as approved by the regulatory authorities, the Montreal Exchange ceased operations as an equity exchange. On May 1, 2002 the CDNX changed its name to the TSX Venture Exchange. As at June 1, 2007, there were 74,252,688 common shares of the Company issued and outstanding.

The Company's head office and principal office address is located at 837 West Hastings Street, Suite 309, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V6C 3N6. The registered office of the Company is located at 700 West Georgia Street, Suite 2600, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V7Y 1B3.

The Company is a Canadian mining company engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mineral properties. Its primary assets are the Kenville Mine Property, the Blu Starr Gemstone Property, the McAllister Pipe Property, all located in south eastern British Columbia, between Nelson and Castlegar. The Company also acquired four distinct properties of merit in the Northwest Territories (NWT) of Canada for the potential to host diamonds in June of 2005 through to January of 2006. The diamond properties are all located in the Slave Craton/Lac de Gras region of the NWT the host to Canada's first two producing diamond mines. The Company has focused on two main claim groups resulting from exploration programs in 2006 and 2007 and accordingly dropped two of the four properties during 2007 resulting in a write-down of \$986,101 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. **See ITEM 4.D Information of the Company Property, Plants and Equipment** for a more complete description of these properties.

The Kenville Mine Property is 100% owned by the Company and is located near Nelson, British Columbia. It was previously owned by 409556, a former wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, which has now been wound up. **SEE ITEM 4.A History and Development of the Company.** On February 10, 1995, 409556 entered into an option joint venture agreement with Teck Corp. (the OJV Agreement). The OJV Agreement provided Teck Corp. with an option to earn a 70% undivided interest in the Kenville Mine Property within a four year period. Teck Corp. was able to exercise its option by making cash payments of \$100,000 to 409556 and by expending \$700,000 on exploration of the Kenville Mine Property.

On January 22, 1997, Teck Corp. informed 409556 of its intention not to continue with its option.

The Company entered into a confidentiality agreement with a major mining company on April 16, 1999. The agreement allowed this company to perform its due diligence and a geological assessment of the Kenville Mine Property. This Agreement was terminated on March 2, 2000.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, the Company re-entered into a three-year Option Joint Venture Agreement (OJVA) to explore the Kenville Mine property effective August 29, 2003. The Optionees to the OJVA were to earn a 70% interest to the mineral rights of the Kenville Mine property (the Company retains 100% ownership

of the surface rights, facilities,

buildings, equipment, etc.) by paying the Company \$100,000 (\$100,000 received, non-refundable prior to August 29, 2005) and expending \$700,000 over the three year exploration period, by August 29, 2006.

The OJVA lapsed on September 5, 2006, as the Optionee to the OJVA did not meet the required exploration expenditures of \$700,000 due by that date. During August of 2006, the Company was advised by the Optionees that they alleged to have completed the required expenditures of \$700,000 as required under the OJVA and served the Company with a lawsuit in an attempt to force a Joint Venture Partnership in December of 2006.

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. filed a statement of defense in response to the lawsuit commenced in the Supreme Court of British Columbia file no. S068401 dated December 28, 2006 by Tracer Enterprises Ltd., Babylon Enterprises Ltd., Foaming Holdings Ltd. and Glacial Holdings Inc., collectively the Optionees with respect to the Option Joint Venture Agreement (OJVA) on the Kenville Mine property. An additional identical suit was served in November of 2007 and both are being defended.

In its statement of defense, Anglo Swiss denied the allegations contained in the Optionees' statement of claim. In particular, Anglo Swiss says that the claim is without merit as it had been brought before the audit has determined whether the Optionees are entitled to exercise the option. A claim to have a joint venture declared at that stage would be an attempt to avoid the audit procedure that Anglo Swiss and the Optionees agreed to when they entered the OJVA. Further, Anglo Swiss has denied the Optionees' allegations of breach of contract or breach of duty of good faith as being without basis.

The Company commenced with an independent audit of the Optionees alleged exploration expenditures in accordance with the OJVA. The Optionees did not cooperate with the audit and the audit was completed with no evidence that \$700,000 in expenditures had been achieved. Anglo Swiss has retained Farris, Vaughan, Wills & Murphy LLP as counsel in this matter.

The Blu Starr Gemstone Property is owned by the Company. On May 16 and May 19, 1995, ASII entered into two option to purchase agreements to acquire 188 mineral claims in the Slocan Mining District of British Columbia representing over 11,000 acres of land. The Company's preliminary geological evaluation was conducted by Dr. Marylou Coyle, P.Geo., and confirmed a sapphire showing now referred to as the Blu Starr showing. Due to the confirmation of this showing, ASII exercised the two option agreements on July 7, 1995 and transferred such claims to the Company (all such claims along with nearby claims and other Property interests the Company has subsequently acquired are commonly referred to in the aggregate as the Blu Starr Property).

The Company has worked with the British Columbia Government's Geological Survey Office to explore the Blu Starr Property and has conducted processing and heat treatment evaluation in the United States and Sri Lanka. Exploration was commenced on July 3, 1996 consisting of mapping the outcropping hosting these occurrences and further prospecting of this Property to ensure optimum locations to commence sapphire/gemstone extraction. During this initial phase of exploration, a beryl occurrence was also identified on the Blu Starr Property. The Company subsequently acquired additional mineral claims aggregately representing over 4,300 acres of land and 13 contiguous placer claims totalling over 1,600 acres.

The Company entered into a Joint Participation Agreement with Hampton Court Resources Inc. (Hampton) on May 1, 2000 with respect to the Blu Starr Property, subsequently amended it on

May 25, 2000 (collectively, the Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement) and has since expired on April 30, 2003. Hampton has completed its participation in Phase I of the evaluation and earned a 10% interest in the Blu Starr Property. In Phase II of the Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement, Hampton Court was to earn another 10% working interest in the Property. The Company has not received any documentation or communication from Hampton Court since 2003 in regards to their overall interest in the Blu Starr Property. Hampton Court is currently undergoing corporate restructuring.

The Company filed a Notice of Work in 2004 and received a permit to perform drilling and trenching of the alluvial terraces held within the placer claims overlain on the Blu Starr mineral staked claims. The Company owns 100% of these placer claims. The permit was for 10 drill locations, sites were located by GPS, flagged and all approvals obtained by any private property owners during the fall of 2004. The drill program has been postponed while the Company focused all its efforts on the acquisition and exploration of the NWT diamond properties.

The Blu Starr hosts numerous occurrences of sapphire (15), iolite (11), gemstone quality garnet (2), as well as a flake graphite deposit; other minerals include aquamarine (beryl), tourmaline, titanite, moonstone and several varieties of quartz crystals. Previous exploration has confirmed that the geological setting and potential gem grades (carats per tonne) of the numerous showings are extremely promising.

Less than 10% of the Blu Starr property has been explored and mapped to date. The mineral claims are in good standing for a number of years, ranging from 2007 to 2011 due to the expenditures incurred by Hampton Court during May 1, 2000 through April 30, 2003.

A large flake graphite discovery outcropping over 2,000 meters has also been discovered on the Blu Starr Property and has been optioned to a nearby producer of graphite concentrate. The option allowed the graphite producer to perform testing and sampling of the graphite discovery by December 31, 2002, and has expired, un-exercised.

Management will not conduct any further exploration of this resource but will monitor the market and success of the local graphite producer. The graphite discovery is contained within the Blu Starr claim group and is also in good standing for a number of years.

The Company staked a number of mineral tenures known as the McAllister Pipe Property in south-eastern British Columbia for a total of 31,000 hectares in 2004 and 2005 for diamond exploration and optioned the Iva Fern claim group as they were situated within the McAllister group. In lieu of the Company's decision to focus on the Lac de Gras region of the Northwest Territories for diamond exploration in summer of 2005, the Company has retained only 1,959 hectares with 10 mineral cells of the McAllister property in January of 2006. This resulted in a write down of \$38,159 to this property during the year ended December 31, 2005. The Company did not re-new the option on the Iva Fern claim group in February 2006. The main focus of the McAllister property is the McAllister Diatreme, identified as the host of a potential diamondiferous lamproite. The Company will re-assess the merits of this property within the current exploration year.

The Company in 2005 made the decision to acquire diamond exploration opportunities in the Northwest Territories of Canada as it had identified key land positions within the Slave Craton and the Lac de Gras regions that could be acquired. The properties acquired at December 31, 2006 are:

Fry Inlet Diamond Property: the Company acquired the property consisting of 42 contiguous mineral claims, located immediately to the west of Fry Inlet Lake and directly 25 km east of the Ranch lake kimberlite and 25 km north of the BHP Billiton Diamonds Inc. Ekati Mine property. The claims were acquired in two separate transactions in June of 2005; the New Shoshoni

option/joint venture for up to 60% (23,587 hectares) and the PQ claims for a 100% (13,586 hectares interest totalling over 37,173 hectares. The PQ claim group was dropped in 2007 resulting in a write-down of \$601,601 to the carrying cost of this property.

Falcon Bay Diamond Property: the Company acquired a 100% interest to 25 semi-contiguous mineral claims (MS 1-25), covering approximately 21,229 hectares in the diamond producing area of Lac de Gras, NWT. This property is located approximately 35 kilometers southeast of the Diavik Diamond Mine and is proximal to the DO-27 Kimberlite, currently the focus of detailed exploration by Peregrine Diamonds, Southernera and others. This claim group was dropped in 2007 resulting in a write-down of \$270,000 to the carrying cost of this property.

Fishing Lake Diamond Property: the Company acquired a 100% interest to 6,730 hectares now known as the Fishing Lake Diamond property, located approximately 110 kilometers northwest of Yellowknife, NWT. The Fishing Lake Diamond Property claims cover dispersion trains of kimberlite indicator minerals identified in till sampling programs. These claims lie within a region of active diamond exploration by other diamond explorers and are midway between the Crosslake area kimberlites (Ashton, DeBeers, Diamonds North, et al) and the Big Hole target (GGL Diamond). This claim group was dropped in 2007 resulting in a write-down of \$114,500 to the carrying cost of this property.

The Lac de Gras Group of Claims: the Company acquired a 100% interest in and to 4 mineral claims consisting of approximately 10,330 acres located in the Lac de Gras area of the Northwest Territories, known as the UL 1&2 and the AFR 6&7 mineral claims. The AFR 6 & 7 claims subsequently lapsed and were replaced at no charge to the Company with three new claims, the UL 3, 4, and 5 claims. These three claims totalled 7,746 acres and are contiguous to the UL 1&2.

The Company Common Shares continue to trade on the TSX Venture Exchange (ASW), formerly known as the CDNX ; and in the United States on the Over The Counter Bulletin Board (OTC BB) (ASWRF). In February of 2004 the shares were also listed for quotation on the Berlin Exchange (AMO). **SEE ITEM. 9 THE OFFERING AND LISTING Offering and Listing Details**

B.

Business Overview

The Company is in the business of the acquisition, exploration, exploration management and purchase of mineral properties, with the primary aim of developing them to a stage where the Company can exploit them profitably. The Company also has advanced its properties through partnerships, whereby proven companies manage the property with expertise in developing, designing and operating the extraction of mineral resources. At that stage, the Company's operations would, to some degree, be dependent on the prevailing market prices for any of the minerals produced by such operations. The Company currently does not have any producing properties and its current operations on its various properties are in the exploration stages, working towards establishing economic concentrations of minerals.

Before during and after the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, the Company was engaged in continued exploration of its diamond, precious/base metals and gemstone properties in Canada.

The Company's future mineral exploration and mining activities may be affected in varying degrees by prevailing market prices, political stability and government regulations, the success of existing joint venture partners, all of which are beyond the control of the Company. The increase in world demand and the subsequent increase in the value of precious and base metals appears to be the beginning of a sustained improvement in the outlook for the mining industry and has

presented the Company an opportunity to complete four private placements totaling \$6,068,063 in 2007 significantly enhancing the Company's working capital.

The Company's mineral exploration activities have been funded through the sales of common shares, and although the Company has been successful in attracting joint venture partners to continue development and exploration of its properties, there is no assurance that this trend will continue indefinitely. The ongoing general and administrative obligations are dependent on this source as well and the Company expects to continue to utilize this source of financing until it develops cash flow from its operations. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company will be able to obtain required financing in the future on acceptable terms, or at all. The Company has working capital of \$3,582,255 at December 31, 2007; the Company expects to require additional financing in the future. Accordingly, there is substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. SEE ITEM 5B. FINANCIAL INFORMATION Liquidity and Capital Resources.

C.

Organizational Structure

The following chart sets out the Company's corporate structure and the mineral resource properties owned by each of the Company's subsidiaries:

1. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, the Company re-entered into a three-year Option Joint Venture Agreement (OJVA) to explore the Kenville Mine property effective August 29, 2003. The Optionees to the OJVA were to earn a 70% interest to the mineral rights of the Kenville Mine property (the Company retains 100% ownership of the surface rights, facilities, buildings, equipment, etc.) by paying the Company \$100,000 (\$100,000 received, non-refundable prior to August 29, 2005) and expending \$700,000 over the three year exploration period, by August 29, 2006. The Company is in litigation with the Optionees as it has determined that the required expenditures had not been incurred through an independent audit as per the terms of the OJVA.

2. The Company entered into a Joint Participation Agreement with Hampton Court Resources

Inc. (Hampton) on May 1, 2000 with respect to the Blu Starr Property, subsequently amended it on May 25, 2000 (collectively, the Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement) and has since lapsed on April 30, 2003. Hampton has completed its participation in Phase I of the evaluation and earned a 10% interest in the staked mineral claims only on the Blu Starr Gemstone Property.

3. The Fry Inlet claims were acquired in two separate transactions in June of 2005; the New Shoshoni option/joint venture for up to 60% (23,587 hectares), currently in the third year of a five year option. The PQ (13,586 hectares), Falcon Bay (21,229 hectares) and Fishing Lake (3,427 hectares) claims were for a 100% interest totalling over 26,014 hectares which have subsequently been dropped in 2007.

D.

Property, Plants and Equipment

All properties of the Company are in the exploration stage only and are without a known body of commercial ore. The Company has no producing properties and has not had any revenue from any mineral in the last three fiscal years. Reference should be made to the **Glossary of Terms** appearing commencing on page 5 of this Form 20-F Annual Report.

The Company owns the Kenville Mine Property, the Blu Starr Gemstone Property, the McAllister Pipe property and the NWT Diamond Properties. (Lac de Gras Group of 5 Claims and the Fry Inlet projects).

The Kenville Mine Property consists of 15 Crown granted (180.88 hectares) and 10 staked (385.82 hectares) mineral claims and three parcels of deeded fee simple surface property and is 100% owned by the Company.

The following map is the location of the Kenville Mine Property.

Location

The map on page 26 shows the location of the Kenville Mine Property.

The Kenville Mine Property is located near Nelson, British Columbia at an elevation of between 600 and 1,200 metres and is accessed by way of the Kenville Mine Road that connects with Highway 3A, three kilometres from the Taghum Bridge. It is approximately 35 kilometres east of the Castlegar Airport and 65 kilometres north of Cominco Ltd.'s smelter in Trail, British Columbia.

History

The Kenville Mine Property has a long history as a gold producer, and is notable as British Columbia's first producing hardrock gold mine. It was discovered prior to the 1880s and originally known as the Granite Poorman Mine. In 1946 Kenville Gold Mines Ltd., a company controlled by Quebec Gold Corporation and Noranda Mines Ltd., gained control of the Kenville Mine Property, built a 125 ton per day cyanide mill and commenced significant exploration, development and mining operations. Operations ceased in 1949, save for the continued milling of ore by individual lessors until 1954. Small amounts of high grade ore from the Kenville Mine Property were shipped in 1960 and 1961 directly to Cominco Ltd.'s smelter located in Trail, British Columbia. Noranda Mines Ltd. then removed all usable equipment from the Kenville Mine Property in 1962.

In 1969, Algoma Industries & Resources Ltd. (Algoma) acquired the Kenville Mine Property, reopened the 257 level and commenced dewatering activities. Mining activities were recommenced for a short time once Algoma rebuilt the primary crushing mill. Such activities ceased in 1986.

In 1987, Coral Industries Ltd. (Coral) acted as a trustee for a partnership of three individuals in arriving at an agreement to purchase the Kenville Mine Property from Algoma and exercised its rights to direct control of operations late in 1989. Coral invested approximately \$750,000 in anticipation of recommencing mining operations. Small scale mining production was commenced for the purposes of testing milling operations. The tests indicated that the mill was not properly designed but that the ore was amenable to the recovery of precious metals by a flotation process.

The Kenville Mine Property has remained dormant since December 1992. Since acquiring ownership of the Kenville Mine Property, 409556 only engaged in a small amount of test production for evaluating flotation results. The test was conducted at a custom milling operation of Bow Mines Ltd. located near Greenwood, British Columbia. Testing confirmed that ore from the Kenville Mine Property is amenable to flotation, with a recovery rate of greater than 85%.

409556 entered into an Option Joint Venture Agreement with Teck Corp. on February 10, 1995. The agreement provided Teck Corp. with the right to earn a 70% undivided interest to the Kenville Mine Property by the aggregate expenditure of \$800,000 over a maximum of four years (comprised cash payments of \$100,000 to 409556 and \$700,000 on exploration and development of the Kenville Mine Property).

On July 13, 1995, Teck Corp. commenced a diamond drilling program, with a total of five holes drilled with a total depth of 1,110 metres. The 1995 drill program was successful in locating several zones of copper, silver, gold and molybdenum mineralization and a significant new gold quartz vein. Due to these successful results, Teck Corp. initiated a continued program consisting of approximately 3.2 kilometres of surveyed grid, followed by an induced polarization survey in the Spring of 1996. After exercising the second year option on February 10, 1996, Teck Corp. conducted a magnetometer survey which again confirmed the anomalous zone identified by the previous season's exploration. These two programs identified a continuous anomaly approximately 250 metres by 1,000 metres along a NW/SE trend. Teck Corp. also informed 409556 of a new chalcopyrite outcrop occurrence on the southern part of the

Kenville Mine Property. This occurrence lies within the strong coincidental induced polarization chargeability and magnetic high zone. Teck Corp. completed a seven hole, 1317 metre diamond drill program in 1996 to test these anomalies.

Upon confirming its intention on February 10, 1996 to continue under the Option Joint Venture Agreement, Teck Corp. conducted a magnetometer survey on the Kenville Mine Property which again confirmed the anomalous zone identified by the previous season's exploration. These two programs identified a continuous anomaly approximately 250 metres by 1,000 metres along a NW/SE trend. Teck Corp. also informed the Company of a new chalcopyrite outcrop occurrence on the southern part of the Kenville Mine Property. This occurrence lies within the strong coincidental induced polarization chargeability and magnetic high zone. Teck Corp. completed a seven hole, 1317 meter diamond drill hole program in 1996 to test these anomalies.

On January 22, 1997, Teck Corp. informed 409556 of its intention not to continue with its option.

The Company entered into a confidentiality agreement with a major mining company on April 16, 1999. The agreement allowed the Company to perform its due diligence and a geological assessment of the Kenville Mine Property. This agreement was terminated on March 2, 2000.

This property was subject to an Option Joint Venture Agreement (OJVA) that lapsed on September 5, 2006, as the Optionee to the OJVA did not meet the required exploration expenditures of \$700,000 due by that date. During August of 2006, the Company was advised by the Optionees that they alleged to have completed the required expenditures of \$700,000 as required under the OJVA and served the Company with a lawsuit in an attempt to force a Joint Venture Partnership in December of 2006.

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. filed its statement of defense in response to this lawsuit commenced in the Supreme Court of British Columbia file no. S068401 dated December 28, 2006 by Tracer Enterprises Ltd., Babylon Enterprises Ltd., Foaming Holdings Ltd. and Glacial Holdings Inc., collectively the Optionees with respect to the Option Joint Venture Agreement (OJVA) on the Kenville Mine property. An additional identical suit was served in November of 2007 and both are being defended.

In its statement of defense, Anglo Swiss denied the allegations contained in the Optionees' statement of claim. In particular, Anglo Swiss says that the claim is without merit as it had been brought before the audit has determined whether the Optionees are entitled to exercise the option. A claim to have a joint venture declared at that stage would be an attempt to avoid the audit procedure that Anglo Swiss and the Optionees agreed to when they entered the OJVA. Further, Anglo Swiss has denied the Optionees' allegations of breach of contract or breach of duty of good faith as being without basis.

The Company commenced with an independent audit of the Optionees alleged exploration expenditures in accordance with the OJVA. The Optionees did not cooperate with the audit and the audit was completed with no evidence that \$700,000 in expenditures had been achieved. Anglo Swiss has retained Farris, Vaughan, Wills & Murphy LLP as counsel in this matter.

Geology

The Kenville Mine Property is located at the north and west end of a Mesozoic Island Arc represented by a sequence of Lower Jurassic Rosslund Group augite porphyry flows, pyroclastics and crystal tuffs of andestic and shoshonitic composition.

This sequence is intruded by coeval, usually stratabound, bodies of similar composition, including a stock referred to by the Geological Survey of Canada as of pseudodioritic composition, the Silver King Porphyries and by granodiorite of the Nelson Batholith. The Kenville Mine Property is underlain by one such pseudodioritic stock showing at least two intrusive phases.

Regionally, shear zones up to 100 metres in width have often localized hydrothermal alteration and sulphide mineralization. A major zone composed of multiple parallel shears known as the Silver King Shear Zone is projected to pass through the Kenville Mine Property. This zone can be identified in several properties covering the known exposure of the Island Arc, for a distance of more than 100 kilometres. Intrusive rocks of dioritic to granodioritic

composition showing varying degrees of hydrothermal alteration, shearing and mineralization underlie the Kenville Mine Property. Gold-quartz veins systems often form in extensional structures related to the regional shear zones.

Mature second growth larch, douglas fir, hemlock and western red and white cedar covers much of the Kenville Mine Property. Typically, snow precipitation is expected from about mid-November through to about mid-February and can accumulate to as much as three metres in the higher elevations. Heavy rain storms during spring time makes for a quick snow run-off. Except for the short lived storms, surface work is not stopped due to weather and underground work can progress year round.

Mineralization

The principal mineralized veins found on the Kenville Mine Property include, from east to west, the Beelzebub, Granite, Greenhorn, Poorman and Hardscrabble, across a 500+ metre width. This zone is extended further west by the Venango,

Dundee and Paradise system of veins. The mineralized veins found in the Kenville Mine itself are similar in character and trend at an azimuth of 330 to 350 degrees. The dip of the vein structures averages 45E to the north east but varies from 20E to 75E and ranges in width from a few centimetres to about two metres. Although no dip is more favoured than another by the average grade ores or widths of quartz, rich pockets with visible gold are reported to occur where the dip of the structures change. Predominant associated minerals are pyrite, chalcopyrite, marcasite and minor amounts of galena, scheelite, sphalerite and visible gold. Commonly, the higher grade gold is diagnosed by the presence of galena and/or sphalerite and/or white pyrite.

The tungsten content of quartz veins at the Venango and Shenango workings is higher than that present at the Kenville Mine Property. Scheelite has been observed in the 217 Flat vein, the Upper 217 (also referred to as the Jewellery Box area), the Yule vein and, to a lesser extent, in the Hardscrabble vein.

Scheelite is generally coarse and occurs as distinct veins within the quartz, usually independent of the sulphides. It appears that the scheelite content increases towards the south. Quartz is the main gangue mineral but pink feldspar, calcite and tourmaline are often present.

The veins vary in width, attitude and in the character of the quartz. The main veins are, for the most part, freewalled accompanied by a gouge selvage, while the flatter ore shoots are frozen to the walls. The veins are in narrow extensional fault zones with the hanging walls of each vein moving relatively upward and southward an unknown distance. From available data, there also appears to be present on the Kenville Mine Property, quartz stockwork zones of significant widths (15 metres) with economically significant values of copper and gold. A bulk sample of 180 short tons was shipped in the Summer of 1991 by rail to Asarco Incorporated in East Helena, Montana. The bulk sample graded 0.46 oz/ton of gold.

British Columbia Government records indicate that the production from the Kenville Mine Property totalled 199,232 short tons averaging 0.327 oz/ton gold and 0.14 oz/ton silver. Although copper, lead, zinc and tungsten were known to be present, no record of significant production of these metals is found. The historical silver to gold ratio for the mine was 0.43.

Permitting

The Company is currently permitted for the 2008 drill program of 10,000 meters which commenced in May of 2008. The Company holds the bonding required to enable it to carry on exploration activity at the Kenville Mine Property. The Company intends to continue with the development of the Kenville mine as it defends its position with respect to the OJVA, as it has disputed the amount of the expenditures claimed by the Optionees in their entirety.

Plant and Equipment

Facilities at the Kenville Mine Property include a shop and core storage; an engineering office; an office and assay lab; compressor facilities; a Mine Manager's residence and the plant itself. The plant consists of a coarse ore bin, jaw crusher, cone crusher and fine ore bin, with a crushing capacity of 100 short tons/day.

The underground workings at the Kenville Mine Property are extensive. The 257 level and the 217 heading were rehabilitated in 1986 with track-age and air supply piping to these two levels. Underground mine facilities are complete with all necessary mining and safety equipment on site. Electric power is supplied by the City of Nelson.

Fresh water is supplied from nearby Eagle Creek.

Encumbrances

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. has filed a statement of defense in response to a lawsuit commenced in the Supreme Court of British Columbia file no. S068401 dated December 28, 2006 by Tracer Enterprises Ltd., Babylon Enterprises Ltd., Foaming Holdings Ltd., Glacial Holdings Inc. and Gold Standard Resources Corp, collectively the Optionees with respect to the Option Joint Venture Agreement (OJVA) on the Kenville Mine property.

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. entered an option joint venture agreement with the Optionees on Sept. 5, 2002. Under the terms of the OJVA, the plaintiffs could earn a 70-per-cent interest in mineral rights associated with Anglo Swiss's Kenville mine property, located near Nelson, B.C., and could form a joint venture with Anglo Swiss through Sept. 5, 2006. The plaintiffs subsequently assigned 88 per cent of their potential interest in the property to Gold Standard Resources Corp.

In order to exercise their option, the Optionees were required to incur \$700,000 worth of expenditures in exploring and developing the property. The OJVA established an audit procedure to determine if the Optionees had fulfilled their obligations to Anglo Swiss. In their statement of claim the Optionees say they paid \$100,000 to Anglo Swiss, as agreed. They also allege they spent \$251,553 on the Nelson-area property in 2005, then another \$498,326 in 2006. The Optionees estimate they exceeded the \$700,000 mark on Aug. 5, 2006, and that, as a result, a 70-per-cent interest has been earned.

The Company has disputed the Work Assessment Reports and the amount of the expenditures claimed by the Optionees; and pursuant to the OJVA, Anglo Swiss appointed an auditor to confirm the exploration expenditures alleged by the Optionees. The audit was conducted without the cooperation of the Optionees and none of their alleged expenditures were proven.

In its statement of defense, Anglo Swiss denies the allegations contained in the Optionees' statement of claim. In particular, Anglo Swiss says that the claim is without merit as it has been brought before the audit had determined whether the Optionees are entitled to exercise their option. A claim to have a joint venture declared at that stage would be an attempt to avoid the audit procedure that Anglo Swiss and the Optionees agreed to when they entered the OJVA. Further, Anglo Swiss has denied the Optionees' allegations of breach of contract or breach of duty of good faith as being without basis. Anglo Swiss has retained Farris, Vaughan, Wills & Murphy LLP as counsel in this matter.

Exploration Recent Results

The 2007 geophysical program consisted of approximately 17 kilometres of IP chargeability and resistivity surveys over the presently established geochemical grid. The geophysical surveys were carried out on 50-metre line spacings throughout 1,100 metres (3,609 feet) of north-south extent of the established grid area. East-west grid lines averaged approximately 700 metres to cover the strongest portion of the coincident geochemical anomaly, which is variable in width between 100 to 300 metres.

Results of this geophysical work, in combination with past geochemical surveys and drill programs, were analysed to design the 2007 diamond drilling program, to test the mineral zone throughout its indicated extent.

The Kenville drill program was carried out throughout the month of October, 2007, and consisted of five diamond drill holes producing 1,364.9 metres or 4,478 feet of NTW-size drill core (56 millimetre or 2.2-inch diameter core). Drilling comprises a very small area within the porphyry system on the Kenville mine property and has successfully confirmed the geophysical model of a significant copper/gold porphyry system.

The presence of numerous intervals of widespread prospective copper mineralization with associated gold and silver values within a large body of diorite intrusive rock suggests a typical environment for the emplacement of a classic alkaline porphyry deposit. The continued exploration for an economic body of porphyry-style mineralization is a priority focus for the upcoming 2008 field season. A large body of widespread mineralization is indicated on the Kenville property by recently determined Induced Polarization (IP) targets and coincident geochemical soil anomalies

The program identified numerous high-grade gold, copper and silver grades, the most significant results of the 2007 phase one drill program are: 1) the confirmation of the geophysical model for a significant copper/gold porphyry zone; and 2) the identification of the Eagle vein, a high-grade gold quartz vein within the porphyry system.

The Eagle gold vein is situated within the western portion of the property, underlying the porphyry system. The vein system has an indicated strike length of 150 metres open to the north, south and to depth with variable widths of 0.8 metre up to 7.5 metres. The Eagle vein has assayed at 82.15 grams per tonne gold (2.4 ounces per ton gold) over 0.30 metre or 1.0 feet, 42.0 grams per tonne gold (1.225 ounces per ton gold) over 0.8 metre (2.6 feet) and 14.96 grams per tonne gold (0.43 ounce per ton gold) over 1.4 metres (4.5 feet). The Eagle vein system consistently carries very strong values in copper, gold and silver, often with associated bismuth, lead and locally molybdenum and tungsten.

As a result of these highly encouraging results, management has implemented the 10,000-metre 2008 spring drill program in May of 2008. Due to the high-grade gold results the Eagle vein is now considered a priority exploration target for the 2008 exploration program.

Blu Starr Property

The Company acquired the Blu Starr Property in 1995 in two separate transactions. On June 27, 1995, the Company acquired 174 claims from Andrew W. Molnar of Vancouver, British Columbia, in return for \$40,000, 1,600,000 Company Common Shares and a 1.5% net smelter royalty on any future non-gemstone product. On July 6, 1995, the Company acquired an additional 14 claims from Marc R. Goldenberg, Rodney L. Luchansky, Inyo R. Youngreen, Jean W. Demers and Brian G. Meszaros, collectively of the Slocan Valley, British Columbia, in consideration for the issuance of 600,000 Company Common Shares, a 3.5% net royalty interest from any gemstone production and a 2% net smelter return on any metal production from such claims. The Company subsequently acquired additional mineral claims aggregately representing over 4,300 acres and 13 contiguous placer claims totalling 1,606 acres.

Recent changes to the British Columbia Ministry of Energy and Mines in January of 2005 has implemented a change to on-line staking of all mineral tenures, which are now expressed in hectares; mineral claims on the Blu Starr total 7,312.77 hectares and the placer claims total 712.87 hectares.

The Company entered into a Joint Participation Agreement with Hampton Court Resources Inc. (Hampton) on May 1, 2000 with respect to the Blu Starr Property and subsequently amended it May 25, 2000 (collectively, the Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement) which has since lapsed on April 30, 2003. The Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement provided for Hampton to earn up to a 60% interest in the Blu Starr Property. Up to a 40% interest may be earned by Hampton expending \$1,000,000 in 3 work phases to be completed within 3 years from the date of the Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement. This agreement lapsed on April 30, 2003 with Hampton retaining a 10% interest in the mineral claims.

With respect to the 3 Phases, the Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement (expired April 30, 2003) contemplated as follows:

Phase 1. Hampton will expend a minimum of \$250,000 on an exploration and development program on the Blu Starr Property. This program must be completed within one year. During this period, Hampton will be responsible to maintain the Blu Starr Property in good standing. Hampton has successfully completed this program, earning a 10% interest in the Blu Starr Property.

Phase 2. Prior to the expiry of the time permitted to complete Phase 1, Hampton has elected to proceed with a Phase 2 work commitment. Hampton will expend a minimum of \$250,000 within one year on a work program agreed to between the parties. Hampton will again be responsible to maintain the Blu Starr Property in good standing during Phase 2. Upon successful completion of the program, Hampton will have earned an aggregate 20% interest in the Blu Starr Property.

Phase 3. Prior to the expiry of the time permitted to complete Phase 2, Hampton must elect whether to proceed with a Phase 3 work commitment or terminate its participation in any further earning. If it elects to proceed, Hampton will expend a minimum of \$500,000 on a work program agreed to between the parties within one year. Hampton will again be responsible to maintain the Blu Starr Property in good standing during Phase 3. Upon successful completion of this program, Hampton would have earned an aggregate 40% interest in the Blu Starr Property.

Hampton has only completed its participation in Phase I of the evaluation of the Blu Starr Property. In Phase II and Phase III of the Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement, Hampton Court was to earn an additional 30% working interest in the Property. The Company has not received any documentation or communication from Hampton Court since 2003 in regards to their overall interest in the Blu Starr Property. Hampton Court is currently undergoing corporate restructuring. This agreement lapsed on April 30, 2003. Hampton had previously elected not to participate in the placer mineral claims, accordingly the Company owns 100% of the placer claims and 90% of the staked mineral

cells.

Location

The Blu Starr Property is located in the Slocan Valley of British Columbia, and forms a portion of the Valhalla Metamorphic Core Complex. The main parts of the Valhalla Complex are located in the Valkyr range in the southern Selkirk Mountains of British Columbia. These ranges lie between Lower Arrow and Slocan Lakes in the West Kootenay district, northwest of the town of Nelson. The main showing of the Blu Starr Property is located at the confluence of the Slocan River and the Little Slocan River, approximately one kilometre north of the town of Passmore via Highway 6. The exact coordinates of the centre of the mineralized outcrop are 49 degrees 32.5 minutes latitude, and 117 degrees 39 minutes longitude. The showing area is easily accessed, and virtually all modern amenities are readily available in the area. The nearest major centre is the town of Castlegar, which is located in the Kootenay Mountains just north of the Washington/British Columbia border. Castlegar is 425 metres above sea level, with a district population of 15,000.

Castlegar is a popular vacation area, due to both its mountain activities and river wilderness, and the town has its own airport, which is serviced by all the major Canadian airlines. The outcrops themselves are exposed directly on Highway 6, along an abandoned Canadian Pacific Railway line which runs parallel to both Highway 6 and the Slokan River.

The following map shows the location of the Blu Starr Property.

History

The earliest exploratory work in this area was carried out by G.M. Dawson in 1889, who visited the Arrow Lakes and travelled up the Kootenay River to Kootenay Lake. Reconnaissance work was carried out in 1898 and 1899 by R.W. Brock; his results were published by McConnel and Brock (1904) and in Reports of the Geological Survey for 1899 and 1900. Further studies were carried out initially by Little in 1948-50 and part of 1952, and some of his observations were made in the areas of the Valhalla and Valkyr ranges (Little, 1960). This work was followed by the fundamental studies of the Valhalla gneiss complex in detail, carried out by J.E. Reesor between 1958 and 1960. His works were published in 1965 and a number of specific topical studies have been carried out since.

In 1991, prospector Rod Luchansky discovered sapphires along the old Canadian Pacific rail line near Passmore, British Columbia and subsequently named it the Blu Starr showing. Along with prospecting partners John Demers and Marc Goldenberg, the initial find was staked and exploration begun. In 1993, John Demers discovered the nearby Blu Moon sapphire showing, which was subsequently staked by the partners. From 1991 to 1995, the prospectors hand mined approximately 10 tonnes of high-grade ore, containing an estimated 55,000 rough carats of sapphire.

Geology

The following discussion of the geology of the Blu Starr Property is based upon a 1995 Report by Dr. Marylou Coyle, P.Geol., a 1996 Report by Kathleen Dixon, P.Geol. and Guylaine Gauthier. The Report was prior to the Canadian Securities Administrators NI 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects and does not meet the new disclosure requirements in that regard.

The Blu Starr Property is part of the Valhalla Metamorphic Core Complex, located within the Omineca belt of the Canadian Cordillera. The Valhalla complex belongs to a belt of domal metamorphic complexes trending north-south and extending from central British Columbia to New Mexico. In Canada, these complexes include, from north to south, The Pinnacles, the Frenchman Cap Dome, the Thor Odin Complex, the Malton Complex and the Valhalla Complex. They all share similar characteristics: high grade metamorphic rocks bordered by major ductile/brittle shears or faults, with low grade metamorphic rocks exposed in the hanging walls of these outward dipping faults.

The overall pattern of regular foliation throughout the Valhalla complex defines two roughly domal masses: the Valhalla and Passmore domes. The complex consists in its northern part of rugged east-west trending ridges reaching 2500 - 2800 metres elevation. The southern part of the complex, in the Passmore area, is not as rugged.

The Property area is separated from the major part of the complex by the northeast trending Perry Ridge, which is drained on its north side by the Little Slovan river, and by the Slovan river on its southern side.

The Valhalla Complex is approximately 100 kilometres long by 30 kilometres wide and trends roughly north-south. It is composed of sheets of granitic orthogneiss ranging in age from 100 to 59 Ma and paragneiss of uncertain age. The complex is roofed by the ductile Valkyr Shear zone on its west, north and south margins, and, by the ductile/brittle Slovan Lake fault zone on its east side. The hanging walls of the Valkyr Shear zone and the Slovan Lake fault include low grade metamorphic rocks mostly of the Nelson batholith and its satellites. The northern end of the complex is overlain by metasedimentary rocks of the Paleozoic and Triassic Nemo Lakes belt and Upper Triassic Slovan Group. The southern portion of the complex is overlain structurally by

lower grade greenschist volcanoclastic and volcanic rocks of the Early Jurassic Rosslund Group, as well as metasedimentary rocks thought to be correlative with the Pennsylvanian Mount Roberts Formation. The lower plate of the complex includes the Castlegar gneiss complex and the Trail gneiss.

The complex includes three paragneiss sheets of uncertain age and three granitic sheets dated by the U-Pb zircon method: the late Cretaceous Mulvey granodioritic gneiss (100 ± 5 Ma), the Paleocene Airy quartz-monzonite (62 ± 1 Ma), and the Paleocene - Eocene Ladybird granite (59 ± 1 Ma). Displacement on the Valkyr Shear zone closely followed, or was synchronous, with emplacement of Ladybird granitic rocks.

The ages and correlations of the three paragneiss sheets are uncertain. The uppermost paragneiss sheet, exposed around the periphery of the Valhalla dome and in the core of Passmore dome, comprises quartzofeldspathic gneisses with minor amphibolite, calc-silicates, and quartzite, and is intruded by leucogranite, pegmatites, and migmatites. The two lower paragneiss sheets are exposed north of the study area, in the lower reaches of Gwillim Creek in the Mulvey gneiss core of the Valhalla dome. In both cases, their upper boundaries are in sheared contact with the Mulvey gneiss in the Gwillim Creek shear zones.

The paragneiss and Mulvey gneiss are overlain by early Tertiary granitic rocks which occur around the periphery of the Valhalla dome and throughout Passmore dome. The Airy quartz-monzonite borders the hybrid gneiss on all but the eastern side of the complex, along the Slokan river. The Airy quartz monzonite is two kilometres thick in the Passmore dome area. It pinches out to the north and south. This unit is in turn mantled by the Ladybird granite, which is structurally the highest and most extensive granitoid sheet of the complex. It lies in the immediate footwalls of both the Valkyr Shear and Slokan Lake fault zones. It is a 0.5 to three kilometres thick variably foliated sheet of biotite leucocratic granite. Displacement on the Valkyr Shear zone closely followed, or was synchronous with, emplacement of Ladybird granitic rocks. Slightly younger late kinematic to post kinematic granite and pegmatite of the Ladybird intrusion suite intruded deformed rocks of the Valkyr Shear zone and have a U-Pb zircon lower intercept age of 56.5 ± 1.5 Ma.

The last phase of plutonism to occur in the Valhalla complex is represented by the post-kinematic syenitic Coryell intrusions (51.7 ± 0.5 Ma). They outcrop in the upper and lower plate of the Valkyr Shear zone. The Valhalla Complex is composed of high-grade metamorphic rocks of the garnet-amphibolite sub-facies. The paragneiss sheets are at sillimanite-potassium-feldspar grade and are polydeformed.

Mineralization

The following discussion of the mineralization of the Blu Starr Property is based upon a 1995 Report by Dr. Marylou Coyle, a 1996 Report by Kathleen Dixon, P.Geol. and Guylaine Gauthier, and reports generated by management of the Company following the 1997 and 1998 exploration seasons.

Sapphire mineralization on the Blu Starr Property is shown at 15 separate outcrops, with three main areas: the Blu Starr, the Blu Moon and the Sapphire Hill showings. On the Blu Starr showing, sapphire-bearing layers are exposed along the old Canadian Pacific railway grade, the Slokan River and the main highway. An estimate of the surface area within which gemstones are known to occur would be 250 metres in length, 50 metres in elevation and 50 metres in width, and is open to expansion.

It is difficult to assess the total contained carats of rough sapphire crystal due to erratic occurrence in small layers and high grade pockets hosted in felsic gneiss. However, selective mining to date has averaged 5,000 rough carats per tonne. The sapphires have a wide range in

size, occasionally exceeding 250 carats, and colour, with black and bronze predominating but good quality blue, grey, yellow, green and mixed colour stones being occasionally found. Some other notable specimen or gem-grade crystals occurring on the Blu Starr showing include red garnet, dark green epidote, black tourmaline and quartz.

At the Blu Moon showing, the sapphires are exposed in the face of a low bluff. The host rock is a foliated leucocratic biotite syenite gneiss, intruded by feldspar-amphibole pegmatite sills and dikes. Quartz is notably deficient. At the Blu Moon showing, the syenite gneiss unit has been tightly folded into a series of recumbent isoclinal folds with an amplitude of five to 10 metres. Small low-angle thrust faults were developed during the folding episode and host a series of narrow pegmatite sills. The best blue sapphires occur on the hinge of a recumbent fold, directly above a pegmatite sill and within the sill's metasomatic halo. This hinge zone has been traced down a shallow westerly plunge for more than 50 metres where it becomes covered with talus. The sapphires occur sporadically in the syenite gneiss over at least 50 metres in length, 10 metres in height and 10 metres in width, giving about 15,000 tonnes of potential mineralized host rock. Within the eastern part of this block, a well developed zone showing a consistent amount of visible sapphires measures about 20 metres long by three metres in height and width, giving about 500 tonnes of probable gem bearing ore as estimated by the Company.

The sapphires occur as hexagonal barrel-shaped crystals and plates, singly or in groups. Near the edges of the mineralized zones, the stones are often violet-bronze to blue-grey in colour, and commonly from one to 10 carats in size. In the core of the fold hinge, much larger sapphire crystals have formed, including a recently discovered blue crystal exceeding 150 carats in weight. The colour of the larger stones, often zoned, varies from sky-blue to cornflower and indigo blue, violet and purple. Inclusions of rutile silk which can form stars is common. Associated minerals include pink margarite mica and large golden brown zircon crystals. Veinlets and disseminations of translucent blue sodalite and yellow-green apatite crystals have also recently been discovered in the syenite gneiss.

In 1997, a 150 tonne composite bulk sample was permitted and mined from the Blu Moon showing on the Blu Starr Property. This sample was composed of talus rock accumulated below the main sapphire outcrop and approximately 20 tonnes of outcrop. The sample was sent to the Company's nearby Kenville Mine Property for sorting and manual extraction of sapphires. Extraction from approximately five tonnes of hand sorted high-grade material is estimated to contain 20,000 carats of rough sapphire.

During 1998, the Company discovered a third showing of sapphires, which was named Sapphire Hill, and although this showing is located in the vicinity of the Company's Blu Moon showing, it has a geological occurrence which more closely resembles the Blu Starr showing, located 2,000 metres across the valley floor. The sapphire-bearing zone, exposed in rock outcroppings and talus, stretches for more than 400 metres in length and 50 metres in elevation, and is open to expansion in all directions.

The Sapphire Hill showing has a surface area approximately twice the size of the Blu Starr showing, thereby more than tripling the Company's total potential sapphire resource. The new showing, a forested area of moderate topography with good road access, is ideally situated for exploration and development.

Initial heat treatment experiments have been successful in optimizing the colour range of the sapphire gemstones. Early results are very encouraging, with virtually all sapphires turning various shades of blue, including the preferred cornflower blue. The final heat treatments will be to drive the iron content out of the sapphires, producing blue, transparent gem roughs for faceting.

While no gemological valuation of the sapphire occurrences have been completed yet, the world price for comparable unheated, black star sapphire starts at approximately US\$10 per carat, and top quality coloured sapphires often rival diamonds and emeralds in price. The average wholesale price for top quality, blue sapphire is in the hundreds of dollars.

The almandine-pyrope garnet discovery of 1999 has provided to date over 250,000 carats of rough material from about two tonnes of mineralized pegmatite. The host quartz-feldspar-biotite-garnet pegmatite is transitional from a sill to a dike, and occurs within garnet amphibolite gneiss. The mineralized outcrop measures about 5 metres in length, varying from 30 to 130 centimetres in width, and more than three metres in depth. The garnet occurs as irregularly distributed single crystals up to 10 centimetres across and in vein-like masses up to 30 centimetres in width.

Initial cutting of the garnets has been completed in Sri Lanka with a very early rough estimate of about 30% of the sorted eye-clean, coarse garnet rough will cut gemstones from 0.25 ct. to 3 ct. in size. The colour of the finished stones is a brilliant, translucent cranberry red, with a hint of hot pink. Exploration and sampling of this showing continued through the 2000 season. Several other similar occurrences of large garnet crystals have been located on the property.

The initial iolite discovery of 1999, known as the Rainbow North Zone, outcrops at the base of a small bluff. The mineralized zone has been hand-trenched and is presently exposed for 20 metres along strike, 5 metres in thickness, and five metres in profile depth. The zone has a shallow dip to the east and is open along strike and to depth. The surrounding wallrock is composed of well-layered feldspar-quartz-biotite-hornblende gneiss with scattered garnet porphyroblasts. The iolite hostrock is a distinctive, dark-green fibrous metamorphic rock composed of biotite and fuchsite mica, tremolite-actinolite, iolite, quartz, feldspar, megacrystic almandine-pyrope garnets, and amphibole. Iolite content varies, averaging about 5% of the observed rock surface, which is highly altered due to weathering.

Additional minerals noted include amethyst, clear, rose and star quartz; schorl and dravite tourmaline crystals up to 10 cm in diameter, rutile, beryl, spinel, ilmenite, muscovite, chlorite and others. The amethyst and clear quartz crystals commonly display positive and negative scepters and complex twinning patterns.

The iolite occurs as large crystals in quartz vein stockworks and pegmatites, and as scattered masses throughout the hostrock in 11 different showings. The iolite crystals weather to irregular masses of translucent violet gem material on the outcrop surface. Beneath the weathered outcrop, the tabular iolite crystals display a greenish-brown retrograde alteration known as pinite, which is a fine-grained mixture of sericite mica and chlorite. The largest single crystals exceed 1,000 carats in weight, but much larger crystalline masses have resulted from complex twinning and intergrowths. A representative 2 metre by 2 metre panel sample averaging about 10 centimetres in depth was taken by hammer and moil from the south end of the mineralized zone. The total sample weight was approximately one tonne, from which 25 kilograms of very high-grade iolite crystal ore was extracted.

The second iolite zone discovered in 2000, known as Rainbow South Zone, is also found outcropping at the base of a low bluff, and is presently exposed for 10 metres along strike, two metres in thickness, and two metres in profile depth. This zone also has a shallow dip and is open to strike and to depth. General deposit morphology, mineralogy and alteration are similar to the Rainbow North Zone. Large, euhedral iolite crystals form in quartz veins and as scattered masses and crystals throughout the hostrock. A representative 2 metre by 2 metre panel sample averaging about 10 centimetres in depth was taken by hammer and moil was taken from the centre of the zone. The sample weight was approximately one tonne, which yielded about 25 kilograms of high-grade iolite crystal ore.

The two samples taken together contained more than 100,000 rough carats of iolite crystal, a small percentage of which may be of gem quality. The samples are currently stored at the Company's Kenville property in Nelson, British Columbia.

The first iolite gems were cut by master gem cutters and designers Bruce MacLellan of Mountain Gems Ltd. and Ken Dale of Rusty's Gems Ltd., who stated that the iolite is among the finest they have ever cut. The cutters both remarked on the superb blue-violet colour, excellent clarity, and intense brilliance of the gems. An additional small package of rough iolite has been successfully cut in Sri Lanka with the finished stones averaging 0.5 carat. A larger package of gem rough garnet and iolite is currently being cut in Sri Lanka consisting of approximately 2,000 carat of rough stones. The work programs completed by April 30, 2002 resulted in several new discoveries of potential economic importance including 13 new sapphire occurrences, 10 new iolite/anthophyllite occurrences, a potentially large and economic gem garnet occurrence, a new flake graphite occurrence and occurrences of amethyst quartz, rose quartz and titanite.

The 1606 acres of placer claims have been evaluated for their mineralogical and gem potential. Recommendations include a drill program of 12 holes, 500 meters of trenching to sample geophysical anomalies and 23 bulk samples to evaluate fluvial zones targeted on two separate terraces. A geological survey using ground penetrating radar technology was performed to profile shallow sediment conditions, infer depth and distribution of fluvial deposits and sub-alluvial or intra alluvial sediment/bedrock formations.

Permitting

Sufficient work was undertaken in 2000 through 2002 on the staked mineral claims and has been banked forward to provide the Company control of these mineral claims through 2007 up to 2011.

Anglo Swiss filed a Notice of Work in 2004 and received a permit to perform drilling and trenching of the alluvial terraces held within the placer claims overlain on the Blu Starr mineral staked claims. The Company owns 100% of these placer claims. The permit was for 10 drill locations, sites were located by GPS, flagged and all approvals obtained by any private property owners during the fall of 2004. The drill program was postponed in 2005 as the Company focused all its efforts on the acquisition of the McAllister and Northwest Territories Diamond properties. A joint venture partner knowledgeable in the coloured gem industry is currently being sourced to continue advancement of this property.

Plant and Equipment

Currently, no plant and equipment is situated on the Blu Starr Property, owing to its grassroots status. However, due to the proximity of the Blu Starr Property to the Kenville Mine Property (45 kilometres away), the facilities of the Kenville Mine Property will be utilized on an as needed basis.

Encumbrances

The Company is not aware of any material encumbrances against its ownership of the Blu Starr Property.

Exploration Current Results

The work programs to-date have resulted in several new discoveries of potential economic importance including 15 sapphire occurrences, 11 iolite/anthophyllite occurrences, a potentially large and economic gem-grade garnet

occurrence, a new flake graphite occurrence and occurrences of amethyst quartz, rose quartz and titanite.

The placer claims have been evaluated for their mineralogical and gem potential. Recommendations include a drill program of 10 holes totalling 500 meters to sample geophysical anomalies and bulk samples to evaluate fluvial zones targeted on two separate terraces. A geological survey using ground penetrating radar technology was performed to profile shallow sediment conditions, infer depth and distribution of fluvial deposits and sub-alluvial or intra alluvial sediment/bedrock formations.

The staked mineral claims are in good standing for various terms from August 2007 through 2011 from the work undertaken during a three year option period by Hampton Court Resources (for a 10% interest), ended April 2003.

The Company owns 100% of the placer claims which require work annually to retain the rights. The Company is reviewing the upcoming work requirements for the placer claims and will hold the placer claims for at least one more year as a joint venture partner is being sourced for the Blu Starr property in its entirety.

Graphite

A graphite zone located on the north-western area of the Blu Starr Property was discovered in 1999. Prospecting and geophysical field data have further extended the zone to the north and west. The discovery outcrops over 2,000 meters. Management will not conduct any further exploration of this resource but will monitor the market and success of a local producer of graphite. The graphite discovery is contained within the Blu Starr claim group and is also in good standing for a number of years.

McAllister Pipe Property Diamond Exploration

The Company staked a number of mineral tenures in south-eastern British Columbia for a total of 31,000 hectares in 2004 and 2005 for diamond exploration and optioned the Iva Fern claim group as they were situated within the McAllister group. In lieu of the Company's decision to focus on the Lac de Gras region for diamond exploration the Company has retained only 1,959 hectares with 10 mineral cells of the McAllister property. The Company did not re-new the option on the Iva Fern claim group in February 2006. The main focus of the McAllister property is the McAllister Diatreme, identified as the host of a potential diamondiferous lamproite. The Company will re-assess the merits of this property within the current exploration year. This reduction resulted in a write-down of \$38,159 to the value of this property.

Northwest Territories Diamond Properties

The Company in 2005 made the decision to acquire diamond exploration opportunities as it had identified key land positions within the Slave Craton/Lac de Gras region that could be acquired. Collectively within this annual report there have been five transactions and are referred to as four distinct properties of merit. The Lac de Gras Group of 5 Claims, the Fishing Lake and the Falcon Bay Diamond properties are at grassroots, early exploration status and

therefore the Company does not have extensive exploration data available at this time. These properties are the probable source areas for numerous unresolved KIM trains and include a known diamondiferous kimberlite which warrants additional exploration.

In light of the close proximity to producing diamond mines, the presence of numerous diamondiferous kimberlites and KIM's, management is of the opinion that these properties are highly prospective for the further discovery of diamonds. Anglo Swiss will continue to evaluate

other diamond properties within the Lac de Gras/Slave Craton area that meet its acquisition criteria.

The properties acquired at December 31, 2006 are:

Lac de Gras Group of 5 Claims:

The Company originally acquired a 100% interest in and to 4 mineral claims consisting of approximately 10,330 acres located in the Lac de Gras area of the Northwest Territories, known as the UL 1&2 and the AFR 6&7 mineral claims in January of 2006. The Company paid a total of \$12,500 and issued 1,000,000 common shares valued at \$125,000. The Vendors retained a 2% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property in that calendar quarter. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying \$1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 200,000 shares on the discovery of any kimberlite body to a cumulative total of 2,000,000 shares.

The vendor neglected to transfer the AFR 6 & 7 claims to the Company and subsequently the claims lapsed. A transfer agreement dated April 20, 2007 replaced the two lost claims at the vendors expense to the Company with three new claims, the UL 3, 4, and 5 claims. These claims totalled 7,746 acres and are contiguous to the UL 1&2.

Falcon Bay Diamond Property:

The Company acquired a 100% interest to 25 semi-contiguous mineral claims (MS 1-25), covering approximately 21,229 hectares in the diamond producing area of Lac de Gras, NWT. This property is located approximately 35 kilometers southeast of the Diavik Diamond Mine and is proximal to the DO-27 Kimberlite, currently the focus of detailed exploration by Peregrine Diamonds, Southernera and others.

The claims are collectively known as the Falcon Bay Diamond Property. The company paid a total of \$50,000 and issued 2,000,000 common shares valued at \$220,000. The vendors retained a 2% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property in that calendar quarter.

The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying \$1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 250,000 shares upon the discovery of the first new kimberlite and 100,000 shares on the discovery of each successive kimberlite body to a cumulative total of 1,000,000 shares.

The Company's claims cover KIM (kimberlite indicator minerals) anomalies and airborne geophysical anomalies which require further work to prioritize drill targets. The 2007 exploration program is being designed and budgeted to further define existing known anomalies located on these claims as they are surrounded by numerous diamondiferous kimberlites in all directions.

This property was dropped during 2007 as the Company has focused its NWT exploration programs to the Fry Inlet and URL group of claims.

Location

See Map on page 42 for the location of the Falcon Bay Diamond property.

Fishing Lake Diamond Property:

The Company acquired a 100% interest to 6,730 hectares now known as the Fishing Lake Diamond property, located approximately 110 kilometers northwest of Yellowknife, NWT. The mineral tenures are collectively known as the Till Claims (1-7) and are located on the Fishing

Lake area in the Northwest Territories. The company paid \$20,000 and issued 900,000 common shares valued at \$94,500. The vendors retained a 2% gross overriding royalty (GORR) based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying \$1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 150,000 shares for each individual kimberlite discovered by the company on the property.

The Fishing Lake Diamond Property claims cover dispersion trains of kimberlite indicator minerals identified in till sampling programs. These claims lie within a region of active diamond exploration by other diamond explorers and are midway between the Crosslake area kimberlites (Ashton, DeBeers, Diamonds North, et al) and the Big Hole target (GGL Diamond). Till samples previously collected during the 2004 and 2005 season confirmed earlier sampling program results and include G9 and G10 garnets.

This property was dropped during 2007 as the Company has focused its NWT exploration programs to the Fry Inlet and URL group of claims.

Location

See Map on page 41 for the location of the Fishing Lake Diamond property.

Fry Inlet Diamond Property

The Company acquired the property consisting of 29 contiguous mineral claims, located immediately to the west of Fry Inlet Lake and directly 25 km east of the Ranch lake kimberlite and 25 km north of the BHP Billiton Diamonds Inc. Ekati Mine property. The claims were acquired in two separate transactions in June of 2005; (i) the New Shoshoni option/joint venture for up to 60% (23,587 hectares) and (ii) the PQ claims for a 100% (13,586 hectares) interest totalling 37,173 hectares.

This PQ claim group was dropped during 2007 as the Company has focused its NWT exploration programs to the Fry Inlet s diamondiferous LI 201 Kimberlite and URL group of claims.

(i) The Company entered into an option and joint venture agreement with New Shoshoni Ventures Ltd. to acquire an undivided interest of 50%, plus a further option to earn an additional 10% interest in a number of mineral tenures totalling 23,587 hectares for diamond exploration. The claims are collectively known as the New Shoshoni Claims and are located near the Fry Inlet Lake in the Northwest Territories. The company paid a cash instalment of \$25,000 and issued 400,000 common shares valued at \$44,000. The agreement requires that the company pay an additional purchase price of \$105,000 through annual payments over a three year period commencing May 25, 2006. The company must also incur \$3,000,000 in exploration expenditures by February 2009. The vendors retained a 3% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying 2,500,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 250,000 shares upon the discovery of the first new kimberlite and 100,000 shares on the discovery of each successive kimberlite body to a cumulative total of 1,000,000 shares.

(ii) The Company acquired a 100% interest a number of mineral tenures totalling 13,586 hectares for diamond exploration during 2005. The claims are collectively known as the PQ Claims and are located on the Fry Inlet area in the Northwest Territories. The company paid a total of \$50,000 and issued 5,000,000 common shares valued at \$475,000. The vendors retained a 2% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property in that calendar quarter. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying \$1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 250,000 shares to a cumulative total of 1,000,000 shares for each kimberlite body discovered by the company on the property.

The Company s most advanced diamond property is the Fry Inlet Diamond Property as previous exploration programs by Kennecott Canada Exploration in 1997 identified a kimberlite body on the property (LI-201). Kennecott drilled four holes, two of which intersected LI-201, and retrieved 14 macro-diamonds and 46 micro-diamonds from 281.1 kg of kimberlite.

Geophysical surveys suggest that the body may be larger with only two preliminary drill holes encountering kimberlite. Encouraging macro and micro diamond counts indicate that LI-201 kimberlite has tapped the diamond stability field.

The Company received a report on the interpretation of the 2006 Fugro Airborne Survey (Fugro) data titled Report on the Geophysical Data on the Fry Inlet Project, Lac de Gras, NWT, Canada by Jeremy S. Brett, M.Sc., P.Geo., MPH Consulting Limited (MPH).

The MPH findings have far exceeded management's expectations as over 220 anomalies have been identified on the eastern portion of the Fry Inlet Property with 73 of the anomalies generated categorized as High-Priority by MPH. A total of 1,695 line-kilometers were flown in 2006 by Fugro with ~E-W 100 meter line-spacing. Single-sensor Magnetic and five-sensor Electromagnetic data were collected. The report states the airborne geophysical data is of excellent quality.

MPH Consulting Ltd. (MPH) interpreted the 2006 Fugro airborne survey data earlier this year and their report far exceeded management's expectations as MPH identified 39 magnetic anomalies conforming to an idealized Lac de Gras-style intrusive diatreme (16 ranked as A and 23 as B plus). There were another 34 electromagnetic anomalies (10 ranked as A and 24 as B plus) again conforming to an idealized diatreme model -- prime contexts for the possibility of diamond-bearing kimberlites.

The LI 201 kimberlite produced 14 macrodiamonds and 46 microdiamonds from a previous drill program in the 1990's and is contained within a cluster of seven anomalies that the 1500 meter drill program will test this year.

Location

See map on page 42 for the location of the Fry Inlet Diamond property.

The Fry Inlet Diamond Property is located approximately 360 km north-northeast of Yellowknife centred at approximately 65° 15' N latitude and 110° 57' W longitude. The claims are immediately to the west of Fry Inlet Lake, directly 25 km east of the Ranch lake kimberlite, consisting of 42 contiguous mineral claims covering 37,173 hectares.

The Fry Inlet Diamond Property, which hosts two documented kimberlites LI-201 and T-31, comprises the TML1 to TML12, TML16 to TML 20, PQ1 to PQ16, and PQ18 to PQ26 mineral claims. The property is located approximately 360 km north-northeast of the city of Yellowknife, Northwest Territories. The LI-201 and T-31 kimberlite are located on the TML 2 mineral claims at approximately 65° 15' N latitude and 110° 57' W longitude within NTS sheet 76E. Access to the area is from Yellowknife is the main staging area for all operations in this region. Access is via fixed wing aircraft equipped with wheels,

The property is located within the Canadian Arctic tundra, or Barren Lands. For the majority of the year, the area is covered with ice and snow. Summer begins in June, when melting commences and by October winter has returned. Temperatures range from highs of around 25°C during the brief summer months, to winter lows of -45°C which are often magnified by strong, constant winds. Daylight varies from nearly 24 hours in the summer to only a few hours per day during the winter.

The percentage of outcrop averages from about 25% to around 35%. Frost-heave and/or shattered subcrop is common. Flat to undulose muskeg, with or without scattered boulder fields are common. Glaciations has also produced scattered glaciofluvial landforms such as eskers, braided esker complexes and deltas, outwash plains, boulder fields and alluvial fans

Approximately 20 to 25% of the property is covered by lakes. River systems are juvenile and not deeply incised. Water levels vary greatly with the season; they are highest during spring runoff and almost dry at the end of summer.

Caribou, wolves, foxes, Arctic hares, ptarmigan, wolverines, ground squirrels and grizzly bears are native to the area. Muskoxen are occasionally seen. Most of the larger lakes contain fish and support bird life.

History

The Fry Inlet property is located approximately 360 km north-northeast of Yellowknife at approximately 65° 15' N latitude and 110° 57' W longitude. The claims are immediately to the west of Fry Inlet Lake and directly 25 km east of the Ranch lake kimberlite and 25 km north of the BHP Billiton Diamonds Inc Ekati Mine property.

There is no record of exploration on the Fry Inlet Diamond property for commodities other than diamonds. The property has been the subject of diamond exploration since the early 1990's. The LI-201 diamondiferous kimberlite was discovered on the property and numerous others occur on the claims to the south, west and east of the property.

The Fry Inlet Diamond property is located within the Archean Slave Structural Province and is predominantly underlain by Archean supracrustal metasedimentary schists and gneisses and minor metavolcanic rocks intruded by later granitoid plutons.

The Fry Inlet Diamond property is host to two documented kimberlites LI-201 and T-31. A search of the open file assessment reports indicates that portions of the property were previously explored by Kennecott Canada Exploration, Lytton Minerals, New Dolloy Varden, Benachee Resources and Inukshuk Capital. Past exploration programs included regional and detailed till sampling, airborne and ground geophysics, and drilling. The property lies within a diamondiferous kimberlite field. Less than one kilometre off the property to the south is the Vega kimberlite and seven kilometres east of the property is the DIA-1 kimberlite.

Till Sampling

Previous operators' till exploration programs focused on the east and west portions of the Fry Inlet Diamond property. Based on the previously released results there are areas of unresolved indicator anomalies, which are found in the north-western part of the property. Furthermore the centre portion of the property has undergone no reported sampling. These factors indicate that the property has the potential to host another diamondiferous kimberlite.

Geophysical Surveys

Airborne geophysical surveys have been conducted on the property. On the eastern portions, Kennecott flew 8412 Line km of Magnetic/resistivity/ Electromagnetic at 50 m line spacing at 20 meters and Benachee Resources and Inukshuk Capitol flew 18219.3 Line kms of Magnetic and Electromagnetic at 200 m line spacing at 20m height. On the western portion, New Dolly Varden flew 4825 Line kms of Magnetic and Electromagnetic at 200 m line spacing.

More recently the Company completed a 1,695 line kilometers survey flown in 2006 by Fugro Airborne Surveys with ~E-W 100 meter line-spacing. Single-sensor Magnetic and five-sensor Electromagnetic data were collected.

The Report on the Geophysical Data on the Fry Inlet Project, Lac de Gras, NWT, Canada by Jeremy S. Brett, M.Sc., P.Geol., MPH Consulting Limited (MPH) has identified 39 Magnetic anomalies conforming to an idealized Lac de Gras style intrusive diatreme (16 ranked as A and 23 as B+). There were another 34 Electromagnetic anomalies (10 ranked as A and 24 as B+) again conforming to an idealized diatreme model prime contexts for the possibility of diamond bearing kimberlites.

2007 Drill Program

Anglo Swiss Resources drilled a cluster of 7 targets including the significantly diamondiferous LI-201 kimberlite in August of 2007. Drilling on the LI-201 kimberlite was carried out over the period Aug. 22 to Sept. 19, 2007. The drilling program consisted of five core holes, totaling 421.6

metres (1,383.2 feet). Two of the drill holes contained intercepts of LI-201 kimberlite. Drill holes Y07-04 and Y07-05 intersected kimberlite totaling 20.25 metres and 14.75 metres, respectively. The material sampled from these two drill holes had a combined weight of 58.0 kilograms, and was obtained from six separate subsamples of split NQ-size drill core. The samples were sent to C.F. Minerals Research Ltd., of Kelowna, B.C., for processing and indicator mineral and microdiamond recovery, and a total of 30 diamonds were recovered. The "Diamond distribution in CIM square mesh sieve classes" table shows the stone size distribution for the combined sample.

DIAMOND DISTRIBUTION IN CIM SQUARE MESH SIEVE CLASSES (MM)

Combined	0.106	0.15	0.212	0.3	0.425	0.6	Total
weight(kg)	sieve	sieve	sieve	sieve	sieve	sieve	

58

7	9	1					17
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	----

Note: Thirteen additional microdiamonds passed through a 0.106-millimetre square mesh sieve for a total diamond count of 30 microdiamonds. In total, 17 diamonds greater than 0.106 mm have been recovered, which equates to 293 diamonds (more than 0.106 mm) per tonne of kimberlite.

The drill program tested geophysical targets as well as to confirm the location and nature of the previously discovered LI-201 kimberlite. Due to continuing mechanical problems throughout the drill program, and with the onset of winter conditions, the drilling program was considerably reduced from its originally intended 1,500-metre program.

The results obtained from the latest study confirm the diamondiferous nature of the LI-201 kimberlite. The company has conducted airborne geophysics over the body and believes that potential exists for additional phases of kimberlite beyond the area of the current drill intersections. Additional work on the compositions of the indicator mineral recovered and analyzed by C.F. Minerals from LI-201 is currently being carried out by Mineral Services Canada Inc. of North Vancouver, B.C. Mineral Services Canada Inc. will be retained to carry out interpretive studies pertaining to future diamond exploration programs by Anglo Swiss.

With only four drill holes that have intersected the LI-201 kimberlite (two by Kennecott and two by Anglo Swiss) over a small area (50 m by 75 m), the overall size and nature of the kimberlite zone requires further evaluation and testing. Anglo Swiss is in the third year of a five-year option agreement with New Shoshoni Ventures Ltd. that allows Anglo Swiss to earn a 60-per-cent interest in the Fry Inlet property by incurring \$6.2-million in exploration expenditures.

This pipe also produced 14 macrodiamonds and 46 microdiamonds from a previous drill program in the 1990 s.

Kennecott tested 281 kilograms of rock and found just 60 diamonds, but that could be misleading. Kennecott limits its diamond recoveries to stones larger than a 0.15 millimetre cut-off, a significantly larger limit than most other labs employ. As well, 14 of the stones measured longer than 0.5 millimetre in one dimension, and one was large enough to sit on a one-millimetre sieve. That sparks hope that the body could contain larger stones.

The Company plans to have Fugro survey the western portion of the Fry Inlet Property, ~ 2,398 line-kilometers, as there also appears to be a cluster-like assemblage of 5 targets to the north-west with 7 indicator mineral trains (KIM s) apparent. The trains appear to be dominated by eclogitic garnet and picroilme Initial field work consisting of a detailed airborne geophysical survey of the eastern portion of the property has been commissioned to Fugro Airborne Surveys Corp in February, 2006. A total of 1,695 line-kilometers were flown during this survey along

parallel flight lines spaced 100 meters apart. This program will re-evaluate the known diamondiferous kimberlite and evaluate the remainder of the claim block for potential sources of anomalous KIM s present locally.

The region has undergone several major periods of glaciation; however, only one till sheet can be recognized. At least 3 different ice directions have been recorded in the area, but the last, which was to the northwest, appears to be dominant.

Regional Geology

The Fry Inlet Diamond claims are within the Slave Structural Province of the Northwest Territories, northern Canada, which is an Archean segment of the North American Craton that covers 213,000 km². It is composed of granites, gneisses and supracrustal rocks. Sialic basement remnants are well documented in the western part of the Slave Province and include the oldest known rocks in the world, the Acasta gneisses, which have been dated at 4.0 Ga (Bowring and Housch, 1995). Metasedimentary and subordinate metavolcanic rocks of the Yellowknife Supergroup, deposited mainly between 2.71 and 2.61 Ga, dominate the supracrustal sequences. Syn- to post-volcanic granitoid plutons cover approximately 65% of the Slave (Padgham and Fyson, 1992). Four swarms of Proterozoic diabase dykes cut the older units: the dominant north-northwest trending (330°) Mackenzie swarm (1.27 Ga); the northerly trending (010°) Lac de Gras swarm (2.02 Ga); the east trending MacKay dykes (2.21 Ga); and the northeast trending Malley dykes (2.23 Ga) (LeCheminant and van Breeman, 1994). The Slave Province is a classical setting for diamondiferous kimberlites: a stable Archean craton with, as suggested by seismic tomography, a cool mantle root (Anderson et. al., 1992).

The Slave Province can be subdivided isotopically into an eastern and a western domain. Lead isotopic compositions for galena from volcanogenic massive sulphides, syn-volcanic veins and breccias are characterized by high ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb ratios in the western part of the Slave Province and by low ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb ratios in the eastern Slave. The high ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb ratios west of the boundary are interpreted to reflect derivation of a significant component of lead from an ancient upper crustal source, whereas the low ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb ratios east of the boundary suggests derivation from a mantle or juvenile crustal source (Thorpe et. al., 1992). Neodymium isotopic studies of supracrustal and granitoid rocks in the Slave Province support this interpretation.

The isotopic subdivision of the Slave Province is supported by the observation that Mesoarchean granitic and gneissic rocks with zircon U-Pb dates older than the Yellowknife Supergroup sequences have only been identified in the western part of the province (Bleeker and Davis, 1999) and that quartz arenites of circa 2.8 Ga and other supracrustal rocks older than the Yellowknife Supergroup occur only in the western domain. Further support for a distinct difference between the eastern and western Slave Province comes from magnetotelluric studies in the Slave, which indicate, among other things that the lithosphere beneath the western Slave Province is laterally homogeneous, thicker and more resistive than lithosphere to the east (Jones and Ferguson, 1997; Jones et. al., 1997). As well, the western Slave shows no conducting lower crust, which is in contrast to all other Archean cratons, such as the Superior, Kaapvall and Siberian cratons (Jones and Ferguson, 1997).

Kusky (1989) first suggested that the eastern and western parts of the Slave Province represented separate cratons that were accreted during the Archean along an east dipping subduction zone. Kusky (1989) termed the older, western part of the Slave the Anton Terrane and the eastern Slave, the Contwoto Terrane and Hackett River Arc. Current workers (eg. Bleeker and Davis, 1999) support these general subdivisions but use the terms Central and Northwestern Slave Basement Complex for the western Slave instead of Anton Complex, and Eastern Slave Province for the Contwoyto and Hackett terranes.

Recent lithoprobe studies support the accretion concept and suggest that, near surface the main suture is west-dipping with the western Slave (Central Slave Basement Complex) thrust over the Eastern Slave. In the lower crust and upper mantle, east-dipping reflectors delineate a coeval subduction zone and an accretionary wedge with the eastern Slave Province forming and indented into the western Slave (van der Velden and Cook, 2002).

Kimberlites intrude granites, supracrustal rocks and, in some cases, diabase dykes (Pell, 1995, 1997) in both the eastern and western parts of the Slave Province. To date, all economic and near economic kimberlites, including those at Ekati, Diavik, Gahcho Kué and Jericho are located in the eastern Slave Province. The Snap Lake kimberlite is located near the boundary of the two terranes, but east of Thorpe et al. (1992) Pb line.

Subsequent to kimberlite emplacement, the area was covered by Laurentide ice during the Late Wisconsinan glaciation, which climaxed about 20,000 years B.P. and is believed to have retreated about 9000 years ago. Local and regional ice flow patterns show considerable variation and in some areas there appear to have been at least three ice movement directions (Ward et al., 1996; Dredge et al. 1994).

Till is the most prominent surficial sediment type in the Slave Geological Province. At a regional scale, till can be divided into thin veneers, blanket deposits up to 10 m thick that include drumlins, and hummocky till up to 30 m thick (Dredge et al., 1999). Dredge et al. (1994) recognized only one till sheet formed by several glacial advances. Three dominant directions were identified, which from oldest to youngest are: southwest, west and west to northwest.

Glaciofluvial deposits, eskers and outwash plains, are also present in the Slave Province. In the Lac de Gras area, eskers are mainly west and northwest trending (Dredge et al., 1994; 1999).

Property Geology

The Fry Inlet Diamond property is in the Eastern Slave Province (Contwoyto Terrane) and is predominantly underlain by granitic rocks and lesser supracrustal rocks. Syn- to post kinematic 2-mica or k-spar megacrystic granites (<2600 Ma) underlie most of the northern part of the property. Pre- to syn-kinematic biotite and hornblende-rich granitoids (diorites, quartz diorites, tonalities, granodiorites, etc.) occur on the eastern part of the property and as a band across the southwestern part of the claims. Medium grade metaturbidites underlie the southern and southwestern part of the property.

Proterozoic diabase dykes in various orientations intrude the Archean rocks, the most dominant of which is the north-northwest trending (330°) Mackenzie swarm (1.27 Ga).

The claims are covered, to varying degrees, by a veneer of till, the majority of which is basal till and consists of well compacted clays containing angular to subangular clasts and a lesser amount of pebbles and cobbles. Local areas of lodgement till and ablation till are reported on the property. Till thickness is variable. Outcrops comprise between 1 and 25% of the area; in outcrop dominated areas, tills are only preserved in small gullies. In areas of no outcrop, till cover averages from a few centimetres to tens of metres in thickness. In areas where tills are thicker than one metre, mud boils are commonly and ubiquitously developed. Permafrost is generally found at a depth of 50 to 60 centimetres. Ice directions of 270 to 285° were reported in most of the area.

Mineralization

Diamonds are the high-pressure form of carbon and are produced deep within the earth's mantle, more than 150 kilometers beneath our feet. They have been sought by man for the past two millennia. Diamonds occur in primary (hardrock) and secondary (alluvial and marine placer) deposits. Although diamonds can be found in rocks as varied as high-pressure metamorphic

garnet-biotite gneisses and meteorites, the only economically significant primary source rocks known to date are kimberlites and olivine lamproites. Both of these rock types form as magmas deep in the mantle and rapidly rise through it, sampling diamonds along the way. It must be stressed that diamonds do not form in the kimberlite or lamproite, they are simply transported to a level within the earth's crust where we can access them, by these magmas.

Kimberlites are volatile-rich, potassic ultrabasic rocks that commonly exhibit a distinctive inequigranular texture resulting from the presence of macrocrysts (and sometimes megacrysts and xenoliths) set in a fine grained matrix. The megacryst and macrocryst assemblage in kimberlites includes anhedral crystals of olivine, magnesian ilmenite, pyrope garnet, phlogopite, Ti-poor chromite, diopside and enstatite. Some of these phases may be xenocrystic in origin. Matrix minerals include microphenocrysts of olivine and one or more of: monticellite, perovskite, spinel, phlogopite, apatite, and primary carbonate and serpentine (Pell, 1998a).

Lamproites are peralkaline and typically ultrapotassic (6 to 8% K₂O). They are characterized by the presence of one or more of the following primary phenocryst and/or groundmass constituents: forsteritic olivine; Ti-rich, Al-poor phlogopite and tetraferriphlogopite; Fe-rich leucite; Ti, K-richrichterite; diopside; and Fe-rich sanidine. Minor and accessory phases include priderite, apatite, wadeite, perovskite, spinel, ilmenite, armalcolite, shcherbakovite and jeppeite. Glass and mantle derived xenocrysts of olivine, pyrope garnet and chromite may also be present (Pell, 1998b).

Primary economic diamond deposits are more commonly associated with kimberlites than lamproites. From measurements of kimberlite distribution, Janse (1984) observed that kimberlites occur in clusters of up to 50 intrusions, each cluster no more than 40 km across. The distance between clusters is in the order of a hundred to several hundred kilometres. Kennedy (1964) first pointed out that diamondiferous kimberlites are restricted to cratons. Lamproites more commonly occur off craton, generally in Proterozoic mobile belts.

The model for a single diamond-bearing volcanic system includes a feeder magmatic dyke intrusion, diatreme breccia, an overlying crater with epiclastic reworked sediments and a surrounding ring of pyroclastic ejecta. The size of the crater and the depth, shape and complexity of the diatreme vary considerably. Diamond-bearing magmas are believed to rise along zones of structural weakness. The model commonly used to depict a typical kimberlite pipe is shown in Figure 5.

Permitting

The Company is permitted for a 5 year drill program with respect to the Fry Inlet property through 2010 and a work camp permit for up to 200 man days is in effect for the 2007 exploration season.

Plant & Equipment

Currently, no plant and equipment is situated on the Northwest Territories Properties, owing to their grassroots, early exploration status.

Encumbrances

The Company is not aware of any material encumbrances against its ownership of the Northwest Territories Properties.

Exploration Current Results

Fry Inlet 2008 Exploration

At present, Anglo Swiss is in the planning stages for carrying out a detailed program of ground geophysics that will test the known area of the LI-201 kimberlite and to locate extensions or larger masses related to the known kimberlite zone. The LI-201 kimberlite zone is located on the immediate edge of a small lake. Due to the recessive nature of kimberlite bodies, the small lake may represent a topographic depression caused by partial glacial erosion of a larger hidden body of kimberlite. The known area of the LI-201 kimberlite may represent a linear dike structure of kimberlite emanating from a larger kimberlite body underlying the adjacent lake. Part of the proposed geophysics program will be carried out over the lake area to test the hypothesis of a hidden kimberlite body under the lake.

2008 Airborne Geophysics

The Company also plans to perform airborne geophysics on the western portion of the Fry Inlet Property, ~ 2,398 line-kilometers, as there also appears to be a cluster-like assemblage of 5 targets to the north-west with 7 kimberlite indicator mineral trains (KIM s) apparent. The trains appear to be dominated by eclogitic garnet and picroilmenite grains. MPH Consulting Ltd. (MPH) will again analyze the flight data and report their findings.

Lac de Gras Group of 5 Claims

(UL 1 through 5 for 12,912 acres - formerly referred to as the Group of 4 Claims) are a 100% interest and located within the Ekati Trend . These claims are located within 10 kilometers of the diamond-bearing Wombat and Wallaby kimberlites where major exploration and definition programs are planned by Archon Minerals/BHP Billiton. The 2005 test of Wombat produced 91 microdiamonds from about 79 kilograms of kimberlite, including 11 stones that sat on a 0.425-millimetre mesh. The entire sample suggested a microdiamond grade of about 0.85 carat per tonne, although an accurate grade will take a much larger test and larger diamonds.

2008 Exploration

Exploration in 2008 will include detailed ground magnetic, ground frequency-domain electromagnetic (HLEM) and gravity grids. Ground penetrating radar data should also be considered to discriminate targets that are overlain by water. These data could possibly be used to discriminate the presence of porous kimberlitic material or structural features beneath lake-bottom clays and will include airborne geophysics and surface exploration similar to the Fry Inlet property.

ITEM 5.

OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

A.

OPERATING RESULTS

The following discussion of the financial condition, changes in financial condition and results of operations of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2007 and prior, should be read in conjunction with the financial statements of the Company and related notes included therein. The Company's financial statements are in Canadian dollars and are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Canada (Canadian GAAP). Note 11 of the financial statements of the Company, as well as Material Differences between Canadian and U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles , below, set forth the significant measurement

differences were such information to be presented in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP).

The Company is in the business of the acquisition, exploration, exploration management and purchase of mineral properties, with the primary aim of developing them to a stage where the Company can exploit them profitably. The Company also has advanced its properties through partnerships, whereby proven companies manage the property with expertise in developing, designing and operating the extraction of mineral resources. At that stage, the Company's operations would, to some degree, be dependent on the prevailing market prices for any of the minerals produced by such operations.

The Company currently does not have any producing properties and its current operations on its various properties are in the exploration stages, working towards establishing economic concentrations of minerals. Before, during and after the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, the Company was engaged in continued exploration of its Kenville Mine Property, The Fry Inlet and URL Diamond claims, the Blu Starr Gemstone Property, and the McAllister Pipe Property all located in Columbia. The Company's future mineral exploration and mining activities may be affected in varying degrees by prevailing market prices, political stability and government regulations, the success of existing joint venture partners, all of which are beyond the control of the Company.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2007 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2006

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, total assets increased to \$9.7 million from \$5.4 million at December 31, 2006 due to an increase in working capital due to four private placements completed within the period for \$6,068,063. The Company also received \$551,515 from options and warrants being exercised. The net income or loss experienced by Anglo Swiss is subject to extremely wide variations arising from such matters as property write-downs and disposition and charges for stock-based compensations. These non-cash charges are subject to variations from year to year.

Anglo Swiss's general and administrative cash expenses have been fairly consistent over the previous years, although in 2007 the Company incurred \$1,032,750 in G&A. Previous years the G&A expenses incurred were \$310,205 in 2006, \$267,293 in 2005 and \$279,816 in 2004. This has increased in 2007 to \$1,032,750 due to the aggressive exploration programs undertaken during this period and their related expenses. Management was also very successful in raising working capital and exploration funds in 2007. This statement demonstrates the Company's ability to raise the necessary funding to pursue our exploration targets and to administer the Company while doing so.

The largest increases incurred during the year ended December 31, 2007 were in Consulting fees -\$216,000 in 2007 compared to \$60,000 in 2006; depreciation of \$253,670 in 2007 compared to \$4,083; professional fees increased to \$136,351 in 2007 compared to \$38,121 and finally Shareholder's information increased to \$283,032 in 2007 compared to \$48,506.

The Company was able to take advantage of the increased interest in the mining sector in recent years; raising funds through private placements and becoming more active in property exploration and the related expenses in filing fees

and increasing the investor relations budget over the last two years. This has also been reflected in the value of the publically traded shares which has increase over 400% during 2007. Anglo Swiss total assets consist of cash and short-term deposits plus its resource property costs. Cash resources at year end 2007 was \$4,151,934 compared to year ended 2006 of \$64,871.

Because of the significant financings completed in 2007, Anglo Swiss is now well funded, with net cash and cash equivalents of \$4,117,434 and \$535,179 in current liabilities and no long term debt.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2005

The Company's loss over the past three years results from general and administration expenses, stock based compensation expensed and write offs of the deferred exploration costs attributed to the McAllister Group of claims as previously discussed. On January 1, 2004, the company adopted the fair value-based method of accounting for stock options granted to employees, directors and non-employees.

Anglo Swiss's general and administrative expenses have been fairly consistent over the three year period, incurring \$310,205 in 2006 and \$267,293 in 2005 and \$279,816 in 2004; showing an approximate increase of 10% in 2006 which is related to the costs incurred to the acquisitions in the NWT. The Company was able to take advantage of the increased interest in the mining sector in recent years; raising funds through private placements and becoming more active in property acquisitions, and the related expenses in filing fees and increasing the investor relations budget over the last two years.

Shareholder's information expense in 2006 was \$48,506 compared to \$40,113 for the year end 2005. The Company was more active starting in 2005 in all investor relations departments, taking advantage of the new interest in the market place for mining and junior mining companies after many years of disinterest. The Company among other things redesigned its website, built power point presentations and advertised in industry print media of the opportunities these properties offer.

Travel and promotion activities were \$9,072 and \$10,945 in 2006 and 2005 respectively. The Company did not attend any mining conferences during the past two years but is considering the benefits for the current year as results from exploration programs are received. Filing fees related to financings, option grants and property acquisitions were also higher for the years 2006 and 2005 -\$27,224 and \$28,571 than previous years a result of the increased activity of the Company within the mining sector.

Professional fees for the year ended 2006 were \$38,121 compared to the 2005 fiscal year of \$71,621, the 2005 year was increased largely due to legal fees (50% of which has been capitalized to the Kenville and Blu Starr properties) being accrued.

Anglo Swiss' total assets consist of cash and short-term deposits plus its resource property costs. Cash resources at year end 2006 were \$64,871 compared to \$603,639 at year end in 2005. A private placement was completed in April 2007 of \$500,000.

The Company's resource properties plus the plant and equipment at the Kenville Mine property, are carried at \$5,321,363 compared to \$4,813,133 at year-end 2005. The increase is a result of the acquisitions of the diamond properties in the NWT, Canada.

The current liabilities outstanding at December 31, 2006 increased to \$551,299 compared to \$468,278 at December 31, 2005. At year end 2006 the Company had a deficit of \$455,069 compared to working capital of \$153,366 for the 2005 year end. Amounts due to related parties increased from \$293,110 to \$328,620 at December 31, 2005 and 2006 respectively, as previously discussed.

General exploration expenses for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were \$8,743 and \$8,753 respectively compared to \$7,366 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. The bulk of exploration costs were attributed to the annual work required on the placer mineral claims on the Blu Starr property. The Company believes it has minimal risk in losing any of its mineral claims as they are all in good standing up to 2016 at the Kenville Mine property and 2007 to 2011 on the Blu Starr property. The Company does have to incur approximately \$7,500 to keep the placer claims located on the Blu Starr property in good standing during the current fiscal year.

The acquisition of the diamond properties in the NWT will require work each year to keep the claims in good standing. Work programs are being designed to meet the requirements on all the properties held in the NWT, a rough figure is \$2 per acre per year.

Anglo Swiss total assets consist of cash and short-term deposits plus its resource property costs. Cash resources at 2006 year-end were only \$64,871 compared to \$603,369 at year end 2005 with the close of the private placement due to the private placement completed on December 29, 2005 of \$734,700. The Company received \$160,000 in the fourth quarter of 2005 as directors exercised 1,600,000 options at \$0.10 per share. The balance of its resource properties and the plant and equipment at the Kenville Mine property, is \$5,321,363 at December 31, 2006 and \$4,813,133 at year-end 2005 compared to \$3,766,484 at year-end 2004.

At December 31, 2006 Anglo Swiss had paid up capital of \$13,314,402 (69,252,688 common shares) and in 2005 \$13,216,707 (67,402,688 common shares). The deficit in 2006 was \$9,649,616 and a deficit of \$8,962,155 in 2005, resulting in a shareholder's equity (or net assets) of \$4,885,094 for year end 2006, \$4,985,299 for year end 2005 and in 2004 - \$3,645,071. Anglo Swiss had a working capital deficit of (\$455,069) at December 31, 2006 and working capital of \$153,366 at December 31, 2005 and a working capital deficiency of (\$140,213) at December 31, 2004.

On December 29, 2006, the company closed a private placement for 855,000 units at \$0.10/unit for gross proceeds of \$85,000. Each unit consisted of one flow through share and one-half warrant to purchase an additional flow through share at \$0.20 for a one year period expiring on December 29, 2007.

In December of 2005 the company closed a private placement for 1,224,500 units at \$0.60/unit for gross proceeds of \$734,700. Each unit comprises one non flow-through common share (1,224,500 shares), five flow-through common shares (6,122,500 shares) and one share purchase warrant, entitling the holder to purchase an additional common share for \$0.22 for a two-year period expiring on December 29, 2007. There were 7,447,000 common shares issued as part of this private placement which included 100,000 shares paid as share issue costs. During the year ended 2005, 8,350,000 common shares were issued for a total of \$840,750 to purchase mineral claims for diamond exploration in the Northwest Territories.

Anglo Swiss has accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$188,442 at December 31, 2006 and \$153,156 at December 31, 2005 (2004 - \$136,824) due to directors and organizations controlled by directors. The Company incurred consulting fees of \$60,000 in the year ended December 31, 2006 (2005/2004 - \$60,000) for management

services provided by directors and officers or organizations controlled by such parties.

Included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities is \$139,954 (\$324 - 2006) to a law firm in which an officer is a partner of the firm during the year ended December 31, 2005.

The net loss for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 was \$791,439 or 0.01 per share compared to \$482,478 or \$0.01 per share as compared to a net loss for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 and in 2004, \$427,123 or \$0.01 per share. The options expensed for the 2006 year was \$481,234, 2005/\$177,026 and \$147,307 in 2004. Options set to directors, officers and consultants to the Company attributing to the loss for the current year.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2004

The Company's loss over the past years results from general and administration expenses, stock based compensation expensed and write offs of the deferred exploration costs attributed to the properties in Ecuador and the reduction to the McAllister Group of claims.

Anglo Swiss's general and administrative expenses have been fairly consistent over the past two years, incurring \$267,293 in 2005 and \$279,816 in 2004; both years higher than the \$196,597 incurred for the year ended 2003. The Company was able to take advantage of the increased interest in the mining sector in 2005 and 2004; raising funds through two private placements, the exercise of options and became more active in property acquisitions, incurring increase in the related expenses in filing fees and increasing the investor relations budget over the last two year period.

Shareholder's information expense was \$40,113 for the year end 2005, while in 2004 the amount was \$80,972; \$53,768 higher than the \$27,204 expensed in 2003. The Company was more active starting in 2004 in all investor relations departments, taking advantage of the new interest in the market place for mining and junior mining companies after many years of disinterest. The Company among other things redesigned its website, contracted with Stockgroup for six months of promotion, advertised in industry print media and aggressively contacted existing shareholders and new contacts in 2004 which resulted in a higher expenditure for that year.

Travel and promotion activities were \$10,945 in 2005, and in 2004 - \$17,161, due to increased activity commencing in 2004; this compared to \$7,386 for 2003. Filing fees related to the financing, option grants and property acquisitions were also higher for the years 2005 - \$28,571 and \$30,079 2004, from \$9,632 for the year ended December 31, 2003.

The Company has received the final anniversary payment of \$35,000 and has received a total of \$100,000, non-refundable over the term of this agreement.

General exploration expenses for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 were \$8,753 compared to \$7,366 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. The bulk of exploration costs were attributed to the annual work required on the placer mineral claims on the Blu Starr property. The Company believes it has minimal risk in losing any of its mineral claims as they are all in good standing up to 2016 at the Kenville Mine property and 2007 to 2011 on the Blu Starr property. The Company does have to incur approximately \$7,500 to keep the placer claims located on the Blu

Starr property in good standing during the current fiscal year. The Company has over its more recent years advanced its properties through partners who incurred exploration expenditures on the Company's properties under the terms of their respective option agreements.

Anglo Swiss' total assets consist of cash and short-term deposits plus its resource property costs. Cash resources at 2005 year-end of \$603,369 were higher than the 2004 year end cash position of \$167,735 due to the private placement completed on December 29, 2005 of \$734,700. The Company received \$160,000 in the fourth quarter of 2005 as directors exercised 1,600,000 options at \$0.10 per share. The balance of its resource properties and the plant and equipment at

the Kenville Mine property, is \$4,813,133 at year-end 2005 compared to \$3,766,484 at year-end 2004.

At December 31, 2005 Anglo Swiss had paid up capital of \$13,216,707, representing 67,402,688 common shares without par value, and a deficit of \$8,962,155 resulting in a shareholder's equity (or net assets) of \$4,985,299 (2004 - \$3,645,071). Anglo Swiss had working capital of \$153,366 at December 31, 2005 and a working capital deficiency of \$140,213 at December 31, 2004.

On December 29, 2005, the company closed a private placement for 1,224,500 units at \$0.60/unit for gross proceeds of \$734,700. Each unit comprises one non flow-through common share (1,224,500 shares), five flow-through common shares (6,122,500 shares) and one share purchase warrant, entitling the holder to purchase an additional common share for \$0.22 for a two-year period expiring on December 29, 2007. There were 7,447,000 common shares issued as part of this private placement which included 100,000 shares paid as share issue costs. During the year ended 2005, 8,350,000 common shares were issued for a total of \$840,750 to purchase mineral claims for diamond exploration in the Northwest Territories.

Anglo Swiss has accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$153,156 at December 31, 2005 (2004 - \$136,824) due to directors and organizations controlled by directors. The Company incurred consulting fees of \$60,000 in the year ended December 31, 2005 (2004 - \$60,000) for management services provided by directors and officers or organizations controlled by such parties.

Included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities is \$140,178 (2005 - \$139,854) to a law firm in which an officer is a partner of the firm during the year ended December 31, 2006.

The net loss for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 was 482,478 or \$0.01 per share as compared to a net loss for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 of \$427,123 or \$0.01 per share. The options expensed for the year was \$177,026 (\$147,307 in 2004) for options set to directors, officers and consultants to the Company attributing to the loss for the current year. Increases in shareholder information and filing fees also contributed to the loss plus the Company wrote down \$38,159 of the McAllister Pipe property.

B.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

In management's view given the nature of the Company's activities, which consists of the acquisition, exploration, exploration management and purchase of mineral properties, the most meaningful and material financial information

concerning the Company relates to its current liquidity and capital resources. The Company currently does not have any producing properties and its current operations on its various properties are in the exploration stages and have not derived any revenues from the sale of gold, gemstones or any other materials in the last three years. Before, during and after the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, the Company was engaged in continued exploration of its diamond, gold and precious/semi-precious gemstone properties, located in the Northwest Territories and south-eastern British Columbia. As a result, the Company's future mineral exploration and mining activities may be affected in varying degrees by prevailing market prices, political stability and government regulations, the success of existing or future partners, all of which are beyond the control of the Company.

The Company's mineral exploration activities have been funded through the sales of common shares, and while the Company has also been successful in continuing development and exploration of its properties, there is no assurance that these trends will continue indefinitely.

The ongoing general and administrative obligations are dependent on financings as well and the Company expects to continue to utilize this source of funding until it develops cash flow from its operations. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company will be able to obtain the required financing in the future on acceptable terms, or at all.

At December 31, 2007 the Company had working capital of \$3,828,978. Based on its existing working capital, the Company has sufficient funds to meet its general and administrative expenses and continue the exploration of its two key properties through 2008.

At December 31, 2007 Anglo Swiss had paid up capital of \$18,866,832 representing 100,422,837 common shares without par value, and an accumulated deficit of \$11,978,406, resulting in a shareholder's equity (or net assets) of \$9,182,444 (2006 - \$4,885,094). Anglo Swiss had a working capital deficiency of \$455,069 at December 31, 2006 and working capital of \$3,828,978 at December 31, 2007.

Management reviews the carrying value of the Company's interest in each mineral property at least annually to consider whether there are any conditions that may indicate impairment. Where estimates of future cash flows are not available and where exploration results or other information suggests an impairment has occurred, management assesses whether the carrying value can be recovered. Costs relating to properties abandoned are written off when the decision to abandon is made.

In lieu of the Company's decision to focus on the Fry Inlet property and URL claim group in the Lac de Gras region of Canada's Northwest Territories for diamond exploration the Company has dropped the PQ Claim group, the MS Claim Group (Falcon Bay), and the Till Claims (Fishing Lake) which resulted in an aggregate write-down of \$986,101 in the year ended 2007.

The Option Joint Venture Agreement on the Kenville property expired effective September 5, 2006 and the result is under litigation. The Company has received all anniversary payments; the last was paid prior to August 29, 2005. The Company has received \$100,000 in this regard, which is non-refundable. The Company has disputed the alleged expenditures pertaining to the 2005/2006 work programs. An audit was unable to determine the expenditures incurred as the Optionees refused to comply with the Audit. The Company is defending its 100% ownership of the Kenville property and is aggressively exploring this property in 2007 through 2008.

Management plans to continue to raise equity funding and work with joint venture partners to further advance its projects. While the company has been successful in raising funds in the past, there can be no assurance that it will be able to do so in the future.

The Company believes that there is minimal risk in losing any of its mineral claims as they are all in good standing up to 2016 at the Kenville Mine property and 2007 to 2011 on the Blu Starr property. The Company does have to incur approximately \$7,500 to keep the placer claims located on the Blu Starr property in good standing during the current year and about half that amount annually to retain the McAllister property.

The diamond properties held in the NWT require annual expenditures of approximately \$2 per acre and the Company intends to perform the required work or post a bond in lieu of. The Company has over its more recent years advanced its properties through partners who incurred exploration expenditures on the Company's properties under the terms of their option agreements and may utilise partners to advance some of the properties held in the NWT as well.

At December 31, 2007, the Company has working capital \$3,828,978. Four financings were completed in 2007 for \$6,068,063 allowing the Company to aggressively initiate work programs on its properties. While the Company has been successful in raising the necessary funds to finance its exploration activities to-date, there can be no assurance that it will be able to continue to do so. Accordingly, there may be some doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern.

If such funds are not available or cannot be obtained and its partnership arrangements are insufficient to cover the costs of the Company's mineral exploration activities, the Company will be forced to further curtail its exploration activities to a level for which funding is available or can be obtained.

Other than as discussed herein, the Company is not aware of any trends, demands, commitments, events or uncertainties that may result in the Company's liquidity either materially increasing or decreasing at present or in the foreseeable future. Material increases or decreases in the Company's liquidity will be substantially determined by the success for failure of its exploration programs on its properties.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2007 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2006.

As at December 31, 2007 the Company's current cash assets totalled \$4,117,434 and carried a working capital of \$3,828,978. In 2006 the Company had cash assets of \$64,871 at the year end December 31, 2006 and a deficit of \$455,069. The Company completed four private placements in 2007 for \$6,068,063.

The current liabilities (accounts payable and accrued liabilities) at December 31, 2007 decreased slightly to \$535,179 from \$551,299 in 2007. Related parties (directors and a law firm to which an officer of the Company is a partner in) increased to \$389,280 (2006 - \$328,620). The Company has no long term debt.

Share capital increased in 2007 due to the four private placements and the exercise of warrants and options for \$6,619,579 at \$0.10 to \$0.475 per share to \$18,866,832. At December 31, 2006 the Company showed \$13,314,402 in share capital.

The Company's largest cash outflow continues to be the ongoing general and administrative expense over the years. Anglo Swiss's G&A cash expenses have been fairly consistent over the previous years, although in 2007 the Company incurred \$1,032,750 in G&A. Previous years the G&A expenses incurred were \$310,205 in 2006, \$267,293 in 2005 and \$279,816 in 2004. This has increased in 2007 to \$1,032,750 due to the aggressive exploration programs undertaken during this period and their related expenses. Management was also very successful in raising working

capital and exploration funds in 2007. This statement demonstrates the Company's ability to raise the necessary funding to pursue our exploration targets and to administer the Company while doing so.

The largest increases incurred during the year ended December 31, 2007 were in Consulting fees -\$216,000 in 2007 compared to \$60,000 in 2006; depreciation of \$253,670 in 2007 compared to \$4,083; professional fees increased to \$136,351 in 2007 compared to \$38,121 and finally Shareholder's information increased to \$283,032 in 2007 compared to \$48,506.

The G&A expenses over this period do not include non-cash items of \$338,032 and \$481,234 for stock-based compensation for 2007 and 2006 year ends and a \$986,101 charge in 2007 for the write down of the NWT diamond properties as the Company focused its exploration on the Fry Inlet and URL group of claims. The Company expects to continue to advance its exploration properties through sourcing partners, thereby conserving its limited working capital.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2005.

As at December 31, 2006 the Company's current cash assets totalled \$64,871 and carried a working capital deficit of \$455,069. In 2005 the Company had cash assets of \$603,369 compared to \$167,735 at December 31, 2004. The Company realised three separate sources of cash over this period, the \$35,000 anniversary payments (\$35,000 per year in 2005 and 2004) from the Option Joint Venture Agreement in place at the Kenville property ; gross proceeds of \$160,000 from directors exercising options in 2005; and a private placement of \$734,700 in 2005 and a smaller financing of \$85,000 in 2006.

The current liabilities (accounts payable and accrued liabilities) at December 31, 2006 increased to \$551,299 from \$468,278 in 2005 as related parties (directors and a law firm to which an officer of the Company is a partner in) increased to \$328,620 (2005 - \$293,010).

Accounts payable at year end was \$30,839 and accrued liabilities were \$191,843 consists principally of accounting/audit fees of \$19,000, \$50,656 accrued for non-renounced expenditures of the 2005 flow through placement during 2006. The Company in 2006, 2005 and 2004 has accrued a charge for BC Capital Tax of \$75,300, annual property taxes of \$7,722 on the surface rights owned at the Kenville and a \$39,165 charge in respect to stumpage fees owed to the BC Ministry of Provincial Revenue by a mill during the logging at the Kenville property in 2004. The mill deducted the stumpage fee but to-date has not submitted the balance owed to the ministry.

The Company's working capital decreased in 2006 to a deficit of (\$455,069), 2005 had working capital of \$153,366 compared to a deficit of \$140,213 at year end December 31, 2004. Share capital increased in 2006 due to the private placement of \$85,000 at \$0.10 per share and \$125,000 for shares issued for mineral property acquisitions to \$13,314,402. December 31, 2005 showed \$13,216,707 and 2004 - \$11,545,745 in share capital.

The Company's largest cash outflow continues to be the ongoing general and administrative expense for the years, December 31, 2006 at \$310,205, 2005 at \$267,293 and \$279,816 for the year ended December 31, 2004. The G&A expenses over this period do not include non-cash items of \$481,234, \$177,026 and \$147,307 for stock-based compensation for the 2006, 2005 and 2004 years respectively and a \$38,159 charge in 2005 for the final write down of the McAllister mineral properties. The Company expects to continue to advance its exploration properties through sourcing partners, thereby conserving its limited working capital.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2004.

As at December 31, 2005 the Company's current cash assets totalled \$603,369 compared to \$167,735 at December 31, 2004. The Company realised three separate sources of cash over this period, the \$35,000 anniversary payment from the Option Joint Venture Agreement in place at the Kenville property; gross proceeds of \$160,000 from directors exercising options; and the private placement of \$734,700. During this period the Company's total liabilities increased to \$468,278 from \$314,693 at December 31, 2004.

The current liabilities (accounts payable and accrued liabilities) at December 31, 2005 increased to \$468,278 as related parties (directors and a law firm to which an officer of the Company is a partner in) increased to \$293,110 (2004 - \$203,939); current payables at year end were \$16,878; with the balance of \$158,289 in accrued liabilities for 2005.

Accrued liabilities consists principally of accounting/audit costs \$27,500, a charge for BC Capital Tax of \$75,300, annual property taxes of \$7,289 on the surface rights owned at the Kenville and a \$35,950 charge in respect to stumpage fees owed to the BC Ministry of Provincial Revenue by a mill during the logging at the Kenville property in 2004. The mill deducted the stumpage fee but to-date has not submitted the balance owed to the ministry.

The Company's working capital increased to \$153,366 compared to a deficit of \$140,213 at year end December 31, 2004. Share capital increased in 2005 due to the private placement of \$734,700 (plus shares issued for Agent issue costs), gross proceeds realized of \$160,000 from directors options and \$840,750 for shares issued for mineral property acquisitions to \$13,216,707. December 31, 2004 showed \$11,545,745 in share capital.

The Company's largest cash outflow continues to be the ongoing general and administrative expense for the years, December 31, 2005 at \$267,293 and \$279,816 for the year ended December 31, 2004. The G&A expenses do not include non-cash items of \$177,026 and \$147,307 for stock-based compensation for the 2005 and 2004 years respectively and a \$38,159 charge in 2005 for the final write down of the McAllister mineral properties. The Company expects to continue to advance its exploration properties through sourcing partners, thereby conserving its limited working capital.

Material Differences between Canadian and United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

The Company prepares its financial statements in accordance with accounting principals generally accepted in Canada (Canadian GAAP), which differs in certain respects from those principles that the company would have followed had its financial statements been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP).

Resource property and exploration costs

For U.S. GAAP purposes, the Company expenses exploration and acquisition costs incurred relating to unproven mineral properties. When proven and probable reserves are determined for a property, subsequent exploration and development costs of the property are capitalized. The capitalized costs of such properties are assessed periodically to ensure that the carrying value can be recovered on an undiscounted cash flow basis. If the carrying value cannot be recovered on this basis, the mineral properties are written down to fair value on a discounted cash flow basis.

Under U.S. GAAP, deferred exploration costs are written off as incurred. Had the Company presented its financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, its loss for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005

would have been 2,591,843, \$1,197,852 and \$1,534,161 respectively.

Outlook

For the remainder of the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008, the Company's activities will focus jointly primarily on the NWT diamond properties and the Kenville Mine property in south-eastern British Columbia.

NWT Diamond Claims

At present, Anglo Swiss is in the planning stages for carrying out a detailed program of ground geophysics that will test the known area of the LI-201 kimberlite and to locate extensions or larger masses related to the known kimberlite zone. The LI-201 kimberlite zone is located on the immediate edge of a small lake. Due to the recessive nature of kimberlite bodies, the small lake may represent a topographic depression caused by partial glacial erosion of a larger hidden body of kimberlite. The known area of the LI-201 kimberlite may represent a linear dike structure of kimberlite emanating from a larger kimberlite body underlying the adjacent lake. Part of the proposed geophysics program will be carried out over the lake area to test the hypothesis of a hidden kimberlite body under the lake.

Kenville Mine property

The 2008 exploration program, begin on May 1, 2008 and consists of a 10 thousand meter drill program contracted with Full Force Drilling Ltd. and a geophysical program by Insight Geophysics Inc. of Oakville, Ontario.

The Insight Geophysical program will consist of approximately 18 line km of gradient I.P. surveying (~8 km to the north and ~10 km to the east) and 5 Insight Sections. The geophysical surveys are designed to extend both porphyry copper-gold and gold-quartz vein systems known to be present at the Kenville property. The surveys will be run concurrently with the ongoing drill program and will assist in selecting future drill targets.

The results received from the 2007 drill program encountered not only porphyry copper/gold mineralization in all 5 holes; confirming the presence of a high grade quartz gold vein structure underlying the porphyry system in holes 4 & 5. We are extremely pleased with these findings, and are enthusiastically moving forward with the spring 2008 drill program to further explore this opportunity.

The 2008 Insight program will extend the known 1000+ meter (3,214 feet) porphyry copper/gold zone to the north; further define the extent of the newly discovered Eagle Creek gold vein to the north, south and to depth and lastly, re-define the existing multiple underground gold and silver bearing quartz vein systems on the eastern Kenville Mine portion of the property.

The underground gold bearing veins have renewed economical potential with gold at \$1000 per ounce. The Company is currently carrying out studies directed towards the evaluation of the economic potential of the underground workings, leading towards resumption of mineral production. The drilling of the porphyry copper/gold target and the Eagle Creek gold vein will be ongoing in 2008 with two drill rigs dedicated to this task.

The Company has significant funds on hand for the 2008 exploration year and due to the highly encouraging results from the 2007 geophysics and drill program the Company plans to:

1

Extensively drill the porphyry copper/gold zone,

2

Drill along strike on the Eagle Creek quartz gold vein structure on the western portion of the property, and

3

Re-examine the underground Kenville Mine gold vein structure utilizing Insight Geophysics and drilling for extensions of the existing gold veins. This area of the property had significant production from less than 182,000 tonnes of ore that ranked the property as the 26th top gold producer in BC.

The most significant results of the 2007 Phase One drill program were i) the confirmation of the geophysical model for a significant copper/gold porphyry zone and ii) the identification of the Eagle Gold Vein, a high-grade gold quartz vein with an indicated strike length of 150 meters, within the porphyry system. The 2007 drill program was highly successful as both the porphyry copper/gold system was confirmed, as well as the Eagle gold-silver-copper vein(s) positioned near the base of the porphyry mineral system.

Based on its existing working capital, the Company has enough funds on hand to complete its proposed exploration programs on its properties through to year end. While the Company has been successful in raising funds in the past, and sourcing partners to advance its properties, there can be no assurance that it will be able to do so in the future. Accordingly, there is some doubt about the ability of the company to continue as a going concern.

The Company does not have any material commitments for capital expenditures at the time of this report.

C.

Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc.

As the Company is a mineral exploration company with no producing properties, the information required by this section is inapplicable.

D.

Trend Information

As the Company is a mineral exploration company with no producing properties, the information required by this section is inapplicable.

E.

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

F.

Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

The Company has no contractual obligations of this type required to be disclosed in this section.

G.

Safe Harbour

This Annual Report and accompanying financial statements and management discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements and information relating to Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. (Anglo Swiss or the Company) that are based on the beliefs of its management as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to Anglo Swiss. When used in this document, the words anticipate , believe , estimate , and expect and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company or its management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements relating to, among other things, regulatory compliance, the sufficiency of current working capital, the estimated cost and availability of funding for the continued exploration and development of Anglo Swiss exploration properties. Many factors could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Anglo Swiss to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements

whether expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Important factors are identified in this Annual Report.

Management is required to make assumptions and estimates that affect the valuation of its mineral properties. The carrying value of each property in the exploration or development stage is evaluated as to the project economics, including the timing of the exploration and/or development work, the work programs and the exploration results experienced by the Company or others. The review of the carrying value of each producing property is made by reference to the estimated future operating results and net cash flows. When the carrying value of a property exceeds its estimated net recoverable amount, provision is made for the decline in value.

ITEM 6.

DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES

A.

Directors and Senior Management

Leonard R. Danard

Mr. Danard is a director and President and CEO of the Company. He has held this position since December 14, 1992. Prior to this date he was president of a private company, which held the Kenville mine property, the Company's main asset. He has spent in excess of 20 years in the resource sector where he held various senior management positions. Mr. Danard is 60 years old.

Christopher C. Robbins

Mr. Robbins is a director and Vice President of the Company. He has been a director since November 25, 1994 and vice-president since June of 1999. He has business experience in public relations, corporate governance and financing for over 20 years, both in private and public sectors. Before his involvement with Anglo Swiss, Mr. Robbins was a consultant to a telecommunications firm, which also went public. Mr. Robbins is 49 years old.

Leroy Wolbaum

Mr. Wolbaum has been a director of the Company since August 30, 1993. He resides in Nelson, British Columbia and is the Company's liaison for the Kenville, Blu Starr and McAllister properties. Mr. Wolbaum has extensive corporate experience as he has served on a number of boards for various public listed companies over the years. Mr. Wolbaum is 64 years old.

Glen C Macdonald, PGeo.

Mr. Macdonald is a professional geologist with over 30 years of exploration and mine development experience in the mineral industry. He has designed and managed exploration campaigns worldwide for both metals and gemstones,

and has concentrated primarily on Canadian diamond and gemstone exploration for the past 10 years. Mr. Macdonald will assume technical responsibility for development of the Company's gemstone exploration programs. Mr. Macdonald is 60 years old.

Greg Pendura, M.Ed.

Mr. Pendura has more than 35 years of experience in founding, financing and advising emerging private and public companies. Mr. Pendura has spent the last 12 years in the public sector with Resin Systems Inc. An original founder of the company he recently retired as President, CEO and Chairman of the Board. During his tenure with Resin Systems Inc., he was instrumental in the company achieving a market capitalization of over \$200 million as well as raising in excess of \$100 million during its formative years. Mr. Pendura is 60 years old.

Edward J. Nunn, Director

Mr. Nunn joined the board of directors in March 2008. He is a Registered Professional Engineer in British Columbia and has been associated with the mining industry for 42 years. Mr. Nunn has spent most of the 42 years primarily working in project engineering and management for domestic and international mine operating companies. His metal mining experience has been in gold, copper, molybdenum, lead and zinc while working for Cominco, Lornex Mining Corp., Echo Bay Mines and Granduc Operating Company. Mr. Nunn is 62 years old.

B.

Compensation

On January 29, 2008, the directors of the Company approved Executive Employment Agreements for two officers of the Company. These Agreements provide for combined annual base salaries totalling \$216,000, payment of performance bonuses at the Company's discretion, a benefits package and reimbursement of all expenses incurred in accordance with the Company's reimbursement policy.

On January 31, 2008, the directors of the Company approved a Directors and Officers Compensation package of \$5,000 per year for services rendered in that capacity effective from the Annual General Meeting of the Company held on June 18, 2007 through to June 18, 2008.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, the Company accrued a total \$311,282 (2006-\$188,442) in cash compensation due to directors and organizations controlled by directors. The Company also has \$77,998 (2006-\$140,178) due to a law firm in which an officer of the Company is a partner. These amounts do not take account of incentive stock options granted to or exercised by such directors and officers or other non-cash compensation, as more particularly described below. No other funds were set aside or accrued by the Company during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 to provide pension, retirement or similar benefits for directors or officers of the Company pursuant to any existing plan provided or contributed to by the Company under applicable Canadian laws.

The Company is required, under applicable securities legislation in Canada to disclose to its shareholders details of compensation paid to its executive officers. The following fairly reflects all material information regarding compensation paid to the Company's executive officers, which has been disclosed to the Company's shareholders under applicable Canadian law.

Cash and Non-Cash Compensation Executive Officers and Directors

The Company currently has three executive officers: Len Danard, President and CEO; Chris Robbins, Vice President; and Brian Canfield, Corporate Secretary (the Named Executive Officers). Mr. Canfield is a lawyer who practices in Vancouver, British Columbia.

The following table sets forth all annual and long term compensation for services in all capacities to the Company for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 in respect of the individuals who were, at December 31, 2007, the Named Executive Officers:

Name and Principal Position (a)	Year (b) ⁽¹⁾	Annual Compensation			Long Term Compensation			
		Salary (\$) (c)	Bonus (\$) (d)	Other Annual Compensation (\$) (e)	Awards	Payouts		All Other Compensation (\$) (i)
					Securities under Options granted (#) ⁽²⁾ (f)	Restricted Shares or Share Units (\$) (g)	LTIP Payouts (\$) (h)	
Len Danard	2007	120,000	Nil	Nil	4,000,000	n/a	n/a	Nil
President and CEO	2006	30,000	Nil	Nil	2,800,000	n/a	n/a	Nil
	2005	30,000	Nil	Nil	2,800,000			
Chris Robbins	2007	96,000	Nil	Nil	4,000,000	n/a	n/a	Nil
Vice President	2006	30,000	Nil	Nil	2,700,000	n/a	n/a	Nil
	2005	30,000	Nil	Nil	2,700,000			
Brian Canfield Secretary	2007	Nil	Nil	Nil	250,000	n/a	n/a	Nil
	2006	Nil	Nil	Nil	250,000	n/a	n/a	Nil
	2005	Nil			250,000			

(1)

Fiscal years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005.

(2)

Indicates total options held in each of the fiscal periods down.

Option Grants in Last Fiscal Year

The following table, if required, sets forth stock options granted during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 to the Named Executive Officers:

Name of Optionee	Position (Director/ Employee/ Consultant/ Management Company)	% of Total Options Granted*	No. of Options Granted	Exercise Price	Expiry Date	Market Value of Shares Underlying Options at Date of Grant (\$/share)
Leonard Danard	Director	23.5%	1,200,000	\$0.10	2012/07/18	\$0.10

Chris Robbins	Director	25.4%	1,300,000	\$0.10	2012/07/18	\$0.10
---------------	----------	-------	-----------	--------	------------	--------

* Percentage of total options granted during the year ended December 31, 2007.

Aggregated Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year-End Option Values

The following table, if required, sets forth details of all exercises of stock options during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 by the Named Executive Officers and the fiscal year-end value of unexercised options on an aggregated basis:

Name of Optionee	Securities Acquired on Exercise	Aggregate Value Realized(1)	Options at Fiscal year-End Exercisable/Un-exercisable	Value of Exercisable/Unexercised In-the-Money Options at Year-End
Len Danard	NIL		2,950,000/1,050,000	\$1,032,500/\$367,500
Chris Robbins	NIL		2,862,500/1,137,500	\$1,001,875/\$398,125
Brian Canfield	Nil		250,000/NIL	\$87,500/NIL

(1) Calculated using the closing price on the exercise date for a board lot of common shares on the TSX Venture Exchange.

Defined Benefit or Actuarial Plan Disclosure

The Company does not provide retirement benefits for directors and executive officers.

Termination of Employment, Change in Responsibilities and Employment Contracts.

The Company has entered into two formal employment agreements with its Named Executive Officers. On January 29, 2008, the directors of the Company approved Executive Employment Agreements for two officers of the Company. These Agreements provide for combined annual base salaries totalling \$216,000, payment of performance bonuses at the Company's discretion, a benefits package and reimbursement of all expenses incurred in accordance with the Company's reimbursement policy. **SEE EXHIBIT 7.1 and 7.2 EXECUTIVE EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS.**

Directors

On January 31, 2008, the directors of the Company approved a Directors and Officers Compensation package of \$5,000 per year for services rendered in that capacity effective from the Annual General Meeting of the Company held on June 18, 2007 through to June 18, 2008. The Company has no other arrangements, standard or otherwise, pursuant to which directors are compensated by the Company or its subsidiaries for their services in their capacity as directors, or for committee participation, or involvement in special assignments during the most recently completed financial year or subsequently up to and including the date of this Annual Report, except that directors are compensated for their actual expenses incurred in pursuance of their duties as directors and certain directors may be compensated for services rendered as consultants or experts.

The following table, if required, sets forth stock options granted by the Company during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 to directors who are not Named Executive Officers of the Company.

Name of Optionee	Position (Director/ Employee/ Consultant/ Management Company)	% of Total Options Granted	No. of Optioned Shares	Exercise Price	Expiry Date	Total No. of Options Granted in Past 12 Months
Leroy Wolbaum	Director	4%	200,000	\$0.10	2012/03/13	200,000
Greg Pendura	Director	19.6%	1,000,000	\$0.10	2012/03/13	1,000,000

The following table, if required, sets forth detail of all exercises of stock options during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 by directors who are not Named Executive Officers of the Company, and the fiscal year-end value of unexercised options on an aggregated basis:

Name of Optionee	Securities Acquired on Exercise	Aggregate Value Realized(1)	Options at Fiscal year-End Exercisable/Un-exercisable	Value of Exercisable/Unexercised In-the-Money Options at Year-End
Glen C Macdonald	NIL		2,500,000/NIL	\$875,000/\$0
Leroy Wolbaum	NIL		974,000/126,000	\$340,900/\$44,100
Greg Pendura	NIL		375,000/625,000	\$131,250/\$218,750

(1) Calculated using the closing price on the exercise date for a board lot of common shares on the TSX Venture Exchange.

C.

Board Practices

The Directors hold office for a term of one year or until the next annual general meeting of the Company, at which time all directors retire, and are eligible for re-election. Len Danard has been a director, President and the Chief Executive Officer of the Company since December 14, 1992. Leroy Wolbaum has been a director of the Company since August 30, 1993. Chris Robbins has been a director of the Company since November 25, 1994 and Vice President of the Company effective June 25, 1999. Brian Canfield has been a director and Corporate Secretary of the Company since June 25, 1999; Mr. Canfield resigned as a director on January 9, 2003 and is currently an officer of the Company. Mr Glen Macdonald became a director on September 28, 2004. Mr. Greg Pendura became a director on March 12, 2007.

The Company currently has three independent directors to serve as an Audit Committee. Three members of The Board of Directors are independent and meet and review and discuss along with the recommendations of the

Company's auditors, De Visser Gray, Chartered Accountants, effectively serving as an Audit Committee. The Board of Directors after receiving recommendations from the audit committee reviews the audited financial statements of the Company and liaises with the Company's auditors and makes recommendations whether or not to approve such statements. At the request of the Company's auditors, the Board of Directors convenes a meeting to consider any matters which the auditor believes should be brought to the attention of the Board of Directors or the shareholders of the Company.

D.

Employees

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 the Company had two employees, consisting of the CEO and Vice President who oversee the day to day development of the Company. The Company utilizes independent consultants and casual labour sporadically when it is undertaking exploration programs or staking mineral claims. The Company expects to continue to contract out

exploration responsibilities to the partners it attracts to its properties or independent contractors with expertise in their required fields.

E.

Share Ownership

See Item 6.A Directors, Senior Management and Employees Directors and Senior Management for a summary of all common shares of the Company held by the directors and senior management.

The following table sets forth a summary of the details of all options and warrants to purchase shares of the Company held by directors and senior management to the Company during the year ended December 31, 2007. As at December 31, 2007 there were an aggregate of 14,375,000 stock options outstanding of the 14,850,000 authorized under the Plan; the Company cancelled 196,875, while 200,000 options expired during the year.

Name

of Common

of Warrants

Beneficial Percentage

Exercise Expiry

Shares Held

or Options Held

Ownership(1)

Price

Date

Exercisable/

(Un-exercisable)

Len Danard

2,013,520

4.74%

N/A

N/A

800,000

\$0.10

02/12/2009

2,000,000

\$0.11

11/17/2010

150,000/(1,050,000)

\$0.10

07/18/2012

Chris Robbins

1,931,138

4.56%

N/A

N/A

700,000

\$0.10

02/12/2009

2,000,000

\$0.11

11/17/2010

162,500/(1,137,500)

\$0.10

07/18/2012

Leroy Wolbaum

1,549,020

2.48%

N/A

N/A

400,000

\$0.10

02/12/2009

500,000

\$0.11

11/17/2010

74,000/(126,000)

\$0.10

03/13/2012

Glen Macdonald

NIL

2.40%

N/A

N/A

500,000

\$0.10

09/28/2009

2,000,000/(NIL)

\$0.11

11/17/2010

Greg Pendura

110,000

375,000/(625,000)

0.46%

\$0.10

03/13/2012

Brian Canfield

250,000

0.49%

N/A

N/A

250,000/(NIL)

\$0.11

11/17/2010

Totals

5,853,678

9,911,500/(2,938,500)

15.13%

(1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. In computing the number of shares owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person, Common Shares subject to options and warrants held by that person that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of June 1, 2008, are deemed outstanding. Such shares, however, are not deemed outstanding for the purposes of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. This table has been prepared based on 103,438,679 Common Shares outstanding as of June 10, 2008, plus the exercisable shares that are deemed outstanding as listed in this table.

2007 Share Option Plan (the 2007 Plan)

Options for executive officers are granted initially at the time of employment. Option grants for all employees including executive officers are reviewed on an annual basis.

The 2007 Plan has been drafted to comply with Exchange policies and will seek approval at the upcoming annual general meeting by a ¾ majority of disinterested shareholders. It reserves 14,850,000 common shares for issuance pursuant to the exercise of options granted pursuant to the 2007 Plan being less than 20% of the number of issued and outstanding common shares as at the effective date of the 2007 Plan. Any common shares subject to a share option which for any reason is cancelled or terminated without having been exercised shall again be available for grant under the 2007 Plan.

The 2007 Plan provides that eligible persons there under include any director, officer, employee (full or part-time), consultant or management company employee of the Company or any affiliate of the Company designated by the directors under the 2007 Plan. The definition of consultant is the same as that contained in the policies of the Exchange.

The 2007 Plan is administered by the board of directors of the Company, or if appointed, by a special committee of directors appointed from time to time by the board of (the Option Committee). The board of directors has authority to determine, among other things, the persons to whom options are granted and the number of such options. At the time an option is granted, the board will also determine the exercise price of the option which, subject to a minimum price of \$0.10, shall be equal to the closing price of the common shares on the Exchange on the day immediately preceding the date of grant, and any vesting criteria or other restrictions with respect to the exercise-ability of the option. At a minimum, unless approval of the Exchange is received, options will vest in equal instalments, either monthly, quarterly or bi-annually, at the discretion of the board over a period of 18 months. Subject to any restrictions contained in the 2007 Plan, the board may also impose such other terms and conditions as it shall deem necessary or advisable at the time of the grant.

The term of the options will be determined by the board, but in any case must be no more than five years from the date of the grant. Options are not transferable other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution. If an optionee ceases to be an eligible person for any reason whatsoever, the option (to the extent that it has vested at the time of termination) is exercisable for a period of 90 days or until the option expiration date, whatever is earlier, after which time the options will terminate and be of no further force and effect. If an optionee dies, the legal representative of the optionee may exercise the option (to the extent that it has vested at the time of death) until the earlier of one year after the date of death and the option expiration date.

The 2007 Plan provides that the maximum number of common shares which may be reserved for issuance to any participant pursuant to options may not exceed 5% of the common shares

outstanding at the time of the grant (on a non-diluted basis) less the aggregate number of common shares reserved for issuance to such person under any option to purchase common shares under any other share compensation arrangement. Under the 2007 Plan, the maximum number of common shares that may be issued to any one participant, or to any one insider and the insider's associates, within a one year period pursuant to option exercises may not exceed 5% of the outstanding issue.

The maximum number of common shares which may be reserved for issuance to all the insiders of the Company pursuant to share options is limited to 20% of the common shares outstanding at the time of the grant (on a non-diluted basis) less the aggregate number of common shares reserved for issuance to insiders under any other share compensation arrangement.

The Company will not provide any optionee with financial assistance in order to enable such optionee to exercise share options granted under the 2007 Plan.

As at December 31, 2007 there were an aggregate of 14,375,000 stock options outstanding of the 14,850,000 authorized under the 2007 Stock Option Plan.

ITEM 7.

MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

A.

Major Shareholders

To the best of the Company's knowledge, the Company is not directly or indirectly owned or controlled by another corporation or any foreign government.

As at June 10, 2007 and except for the officers and directors as a group (**as summarized in Item 6.E Directors, Senior Management and Employees - Share Ownership**), the Company is aware of the following persons or groups of persons which are registered as owning more than 10% of the Company's issued and outstanding common shares.

Title of Class	Person or Group	Shares Owned	% of Class
Common Shares	CDS & Co.	73,924,074	71.46
Common Shares	DTC (formerly Cede & Co.)	11,466,581	11.09

(1) No officer or director individually owns or controls more than 10% of the Company's shares.

To the knowledge of the Company, it is not controlled by another corporation, any foreign government or by any other natural or legal persons severally or jointly.

As at June 10, 2007 there were 103,438,679 common shares of the Company issued and outstanding. Based on the records of the Company's registrar and transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company of Canada, of 510 Burrard Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, as at such date there were 2,831 registered holders of the Company's common shares resident in the United States, holding an aggregate 6,581,443 common shares. This number

represents approximately 6.36% of the total issued and outstanding common shares of the Company as at June 10, 2007.

B.

Related Party Transactions

There were no material transactions in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, or proposed material transactions between the Company or any of its subsidiaries and:

(a)

enterprises that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, control or are controlled by, or are under common control with, the Company;

(b)

associates;

(c)

individuals owning directly or indirectly, an interest in the voting power of the Company that gives them significant influence over the Company, and close members of any such individual's family;

(d)

key management personnel, that is, those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the Company, including directors and senior management of companies and close members of such individuals' families;

(e)

enterprises in which a substantial interest in the voting power is owned, directly or indirectly, by any person described in (c) or (d) or over which such person is able to exercise significant influence including enterprises owned by directors or major shareholders of the Company and enterprises that have a member of key management in common with the Company.

C.

Interests of Experts and Counsel

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the *Exchange Act* and, as such, there is not requirement to provide any information under this section.

ITEM 8.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Statements and Other Financial Information

This Annual Report contains the financial statements for the Company for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 which contain an Audit Report dated April 28, 2008, Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, Statements

of Loss and Deficit for the Fiscal Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 statements of Cash flows for the Fiscal Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 and Notes to the Financial Statements.

B.

Significant Changes

No significant change has occurred since the date of the annual financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

ITEM 9.

THE OFFER AND LISTING

A.

Offer and Listing Details

The high and low sale prices for the common shares of the Company on the TSX Venture Exchange, (formerly known as the CDNX) until June 10, 2008, for each of the six months, each fiscal quarter in each of the last two full financial years and subsequent period and each of the last five full financial years are as follows:

- 71 -

Time Period	High \$/Share	Low \$/Share
May 2008	0.295	0.215
April 2008	0.32	0.185
March 2008	0.33	0.19
February 2008	0.36	0.225
January 2008	0.56	0.23
December 2007	0.55	0.29
2007		
Fourth Quarter	0.67	0.16
Third Quarter	0.19	0.085
Second Quarter	0.125	0.085
First Quarter	0.12	0.05
2006		
Fourth Quarter	0.08	0.05
Third Quarter	0.095	0.065
Second Quarter	0.145	0.085
First Quarter	0.16	0.12
2005	0.18	0.065
2004	0.185	0.06
2003	0.11	0.03

The closing price of the Company's common shares on the TSX Venture Exchange, on June 10, 2008 was \$0.225.

The high and low sale prices (US\$) for the common shares of the Company on the Over The Counter Bulletin Board for each of the six months, each fiscal quarter in each of the last two full financial years and subsequent period and each of the last five full financial years are as follows:

Time Period	High \$/Share	Low \$/Share
May 2008	0.295	0.211
April 2008	0.319	0.166
March 2008	0.34	0.165
February 2008	0.36	0.20
January 2008	0.55	0.2275
December 2007	0.62	0.30

2007		
Fourth Quarter	0.72	0.29
Third Quarter	0.18	0.09
Second Quarter	0.126	0.06
First Quarter	0.10	0.025
2006		
Fourth Quarter	0.11	0.042
Third Quarter	0.125	0.048
Second Quarter	0.14	0.08
First Quarter	0.145	0.081
2005	0.15	0.05
2004	0.18	0.065
2003	0.10	0.012

The closing price of the Company's common shares on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board on June 1, 2007 was US\$0.102.

B.

Plan of Distribution

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the *Exchange Act* and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this section.

C.

Markets

Issuance and trading of the Company's common shares is currently governed in Canada by the *Company Act* (British Columbia) and the *Securities Act* of each of the Provinces of British Columbia, Alberta, Ontario, Quebec and Nova Scotia.

Principal Non-U.S. Trading Market

On May 1, 2002 the Canadian Venture Exchange (the "CDNX") changed its name to the TSX Venture Exchange. On October 1, 2001 the Company's shares began trading on the CDNX, subsequent to an agreement negotiated between the CDNX and the Montreal Exchange whereby, as approved by the regulatory authorities, the Montreal Exchange ceased operations as an equity exchange. On August 22, 1996 ASII received a conditional listing for its shares to be called for trading on the Montreal Exchange in Quebec, Canada. The shares were called for trading on October 18, 1996 under the trading symbol "ASW". Upon commencement of trading on the Montreal Exchange, ASII's shares were delisted from the Canadian Dealing Network of Toronto, Ontario, Canada where they had been listed under the symbol "ASWC" since May 17, 1995. Upon shareholder approval of the Reorganization held November 24, 1997, the

Company Common Shares were listed for trading on December 9, 1997 in substitution for ASII Common Shares under the same trading symbol ASW where they continue to trade.

Principal U.S. Trading Market

The Company's shares also currently trade on the Over The Counter Bulletin Board (OTC BB) under the symbol ASWRF. On April 25, 1994, ASII's trading symbol was changed to ASWCF and subsequently to ASWC on December 13, 1994. The Company's trading symbol was once again changed on January 26, 1999 to ASWRF as a result of the Reorganization.

European Listing

On February 12, 2004 the Company's shares were quoted on the Berlin Exchange under the symbol AMO. The Company believes the addition of a European listing, in conjunction with the TSX Venture Exchange and the OTC Bulletin Board (ASWRF) listing in the United States is

a positive corporate development in our efforts in creating greater worldwide exposure for the Company and its diamond/gemstone/precious and base metals properties. Europeans have historically been strong supporters and investors in the exploration and development of gold properties and more significantly gemstone exploration throughout the world. The shares to date appear to be quoted in Euros, but there does not appear to be any trading volumes as of the time of this report.

D.

Selling Shareholders

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the *Exchange Act* and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this section.

E.

Dilution

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the *Exchange Act* and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this section.

F.

Expenses of the Issue

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the *Exchange Act* and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this section.

ITEM 10.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A.

Share Capital

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the *Exchange Act* and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this section.

B.

Memorandum and Articles of Association

The Company was incorporated under the laws of Canada on June 27, 1995 under the name 3160157 Canada Ltd. as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Anglo Swiss Industries Inc. (ASII). On July 12, 1995, it changed its name to Canadian Sapphire Corporation . On October 8, 1997 it was continued as Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. under the *Company Act*. The continuance was implemented to repatriate the Company as a British Columbia company as the majority of its direct business operations are located in that province. As a result of the Reorganization, holders of ASII Common Shares automatically became holders of Company Common Shares and the Company automatically became the holder of all the outstanding ASII Common Shares. This resulted in the Company becoming the new parent company of the Anglo Swiss group of companies. The financial statements of the Company are, in effect, the same as the financial statements of ASII would appear, if the Reorganization had not taken place.

With respect to directors, the Articles of the Company provide that a director who is, in any way, directly or indirectly interested in an existing or proposed contract or transaction with the Company, or who holds any office or possesses any Property whereby, directly or indirectly, a duty or interest might be created to conflict with his duty or interest as a director, shall declare the nature and extent of his interest in such contract or transaction or the conflict or potential conflict with his duty and interest as a director, as the case may be, in accordance with the provisions of the Act and shall abstain from voting in respect thereof. This prohibition does not apply to:

(a)

any such contract or transaction relating to a loan to the company, which a director or a specified corporation or a specific firm in which he has an interest has guaranteed or joined in guaranteeing the repayment of the loan or any part of the loan;

(b)

any contract or transaction made or to be made with, or for the benefit of a holding corporation or a subsidiary corporation of which a director is a director;

(c)

any contract by a director to subscribe for or underwrite shares or debentures to be issued by the Company or a subsidiary of the Company, or any contract, arrangement or transaction in which a director is, directly or indirectly interested if all the other directors are also, directly or indirectly interested in the contract, arrangement or transaction;

(d)

determining the remuneration of the directors;

(e)

purchasing and maintaining insurance to cover directors against liability incurred by them as directors; or

(f)

the indemnification of any director by the Company.

The Articles of the Company also provide that the directors may from time to time on behalf of the Company borrow such money in such manner and amount, on such security, from such sources and upon such terms and conditions as they think fit; issue bonds, debentures and other debt obligations, either outright or as security for any liability or obligation of the Company, or any other person; and mortgage, charge, whether by way of specific or floating charge, or give other security on the undertaking, or on the whole or any part of the Property and assets of the Company (both present and future). Variation of these borrowing powers would require an amendment to the Articles of the Company which would, in turn, require the approval of the shareholders of the Company by way of a Special Resolution. A Special Resolution means a resolution cast by a majority of not less than $\frac{3}{4}$ of the votes cast by shareholder of the Company who, being entitled to do so, vote in person or by proxy at a general meeting of the Company of which notice as the Articles of the Company may provide and not being less than 21 days notice specifying the intention to propose the resolution as a special resolution, has been duly given (or, if every shareholder entitled to attend and vote at the meeting agrees, at a meeting of which less than 21 days notice has been given), or a resolution consented to in writing by every shareholder of the Company who would have been entitled to vote in person or by proxy at a general meeting of the Company, and a resolution so consented to is deemed to be a special resolution passed at a general meeting of the Company

There is no requirement in the Articles of the Company or in the Act requiring retirement or non--retirement of directors under an age limit requirement, nor is there any minimum shareholding required for a director's qualification.

Holders of common shares of the Company are entitled to vote at meetings of shareholders, and a Special Resolution, as described above, is required to effect a change in the rights of shareholders. Holders of common shares are not entitled to pre-emptive rights. Holders of common shares are entitled, rateably, to the remaining Property of the Company upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, and such holders receive dividends if, as, and when, declared by the directors of the Company. There are no restrictions on the purchase or redemption of common shares by the Company while there is an arrearage in the payment of dividends or sinking fund installments. There is no liability on the part of any shareholder to further capital calls by the Company nor any provision discriminating against any existing or prospective holder of securities of the Company as a result of such shareholder owning a

substantial number of shares. There are no limitations on the rights to own securities, including the rights of non-resident or foreign shareholders to hold or exercise voting rights on the securities imposed by the Act or by the constating document of the Company.

The Company is required to give its registered shareholders not less than 21 days notice of any general meeting of the Company unless all such shareholders consent to reduce or waive the

period. In addition, the Company is obliged to give notice to registrants and intermediaries who hold shares on behalf of the ultimate beneficial owners no fewer than 35 or more than 60 days prior to the date of the meeting. The Company then delivers, in bulk, proxy-related materials in amounts specified by the intermediaries. No shares of the Company owned by registrants or intermediaries may be voted at a general meeting of the Company unless all proxy-related materials are delivered to the ultimate beneficial owners of such shares. Such ultimate beneficial owner must then deliver a proxy to the Company within the time limited by the Company for the deposit of proxies in order to vote the shares in respect of which such person is the beneficial owner.

There is no provision in the Company's Articles that would have an effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of the Company and that would operate only with respect to a merger, acquisition or corporate restructuring involving the Company (or any of its subsidiaries).

Securities legislation in the Company's home jurisdiction of British Columbia requires that shareholder ownership must be disclosed once a person owns beneficially or has control or direction over greater than 10% of the issued shares of the Company. This threshold is higher than the 5% threshold under U.S. securities legislation at which shareholders must report their share ownership.

C.

Material Contracts

The Company has not completed any material contracts other than ordinary course of business during the last two fiscal years.

The Company entered into an Option Joint Venture Agreement in respect to the New Shoshoni diamond claims in the NWT of Canada. The agreement requires the following payments and exploration expenditures at the Company's option and therefore is not considered material prior to forming a Joint Venture:

i)

\$30,000 on or before May 25, 2006;PAID

ii)

\$35,000 on or before May 25, 2007;PAID

iii)

\$40,000 on or before May 25, 2008;PAID

a)

incur the following minimum annual expenditures on the Property:

b)

\$200,000 on or before twelve months from the date of Exchange approval of this Agreement; COMPLETED

c)

an additional \$400,000 on or before 24 months from the date of Exchange approval of this Agreement; COMPLETED

d)

an additional \$800,000 on or before 36 months from the date of Exchange approval of this Agreement; ONGOING

e)

an additional \$1,600,000 on or before 48 months from the date of Exchange approval of this Agreement;

Upon the Company completing the work requirements over the four year period and consequently completing the acquisition of this property and forming a Joint Partnership, the agreement is not considered material at the time of this annual report.

D.**Exchange Controls**

There are no governmental laws, decrees or regulations in Canada relating to restrictions on the export or import of capital, or affecting the remittance of interest, dividends or other payments to non-resident holders of the Company's common shares. Any remittances of dividends to United States residents are, however, subject to a 15% withholding tax (5% if the shareholder is a corporation owning at least 10% of the outstanding common shares of the Company) pursuant to Article X of the reciprocal tax treaty between Canada and the United States. **See Item 10.E Additional Information Taxation below.**

Except as provided in the Investment Canada Act (the Act), which has provisions which govern the acquisition of a control block of voting shares by non-Canadians of a corporation carrying on a Canadian business, there are no limitations specific to the rights of non-Canadians to hold or vote the common shares of the Company under the laws of Canada or the Province of British Columbia or in the charter documents of the Company.

Management of the Company considers that the following general summary fairly describes those provisions of the Act pertinent to an investment in the Company by a person who is not a Canadian resident (a non-Canadian).

The Act requires a non-Canadian making an investment which would result in the acquisition of control of the Canadian business to notify the Investment Review Division of Industry Canada, the federal agency created by the Act; or in the case of an acquisition of a Canadian business, the gross value of the assets of which exceeds certain threshold levels or the business activity of which is related to Canada's cultural heritage or national identity, to file an application for review with the Investment Review Division.

The notification procedure involves a brief statement of information about the investment on a prescribed form which is required to be filed with Investment Canada by the investor at any time up to 30 days following implementation of the investment. Once the completed notice has been filed, a receipt bearing the certified date will be issued to the non-Canadian investor. The receipt must advise the investor either that the investment proposal is unconditionally non-reviewable or that the proposal will not be reviewed as long as notice of review is not issued within 21 days of the date certified under the receipt. It is intended that investments requiring only notification will proceed without government intervention unless the investment is in a specific type of business activity related to Canada's cultural heritage and national identity.

If an investment is reviewable under the Act, an order for review must be issued within 21 days after the certified date on which notice of investment was received. An application for review in the form prescribed is required to be filed with Investment Canada prior to the investment taking place. Once the application has been filed, a receipt will be issued to the applicant, certifying the date on which the application was received. For incomplete applications, a deficiency notice will be sent to the applicant, and if not done within 15 days of receipt of application, the application will be deemed to be complete as of the date it was received. Within 45 days after the complete application has been received, the Minister responsible for the Investment Canada Act must notify the potential investor that the Minister is satisfied that investment is likely to be of net benefit to Canada. If within such 45-day period the Minister is unable to complete the review, the Minister has an additional 30 days to complete the review, unless the applicant agrees to a longer period. Within such additional period, the Minister must advise either that he is satisfied or not satisfied that the investment is likely to be of net benefit to Canada. If the time limits have elapsed, the Minister will be deemed to be satisfied that the investment is likely to be of net benefit to Canada. The investment may not be implemented until the review has been completed and the Minister is satisfied that the investment is likely to be of net benefit to Canada.

If the Minister is not satisfied that the investment is likely to be of net benefit to Canada, the non-Canadian must not implement the investment or, if the investment has been implemented, could be penalized by being required to divest himself of control of the business that is the subject of the investment. To date, the only types of business activities which have been prescribed by regulation as related to Canada's cultural heritage or national identity deal largely with publication, film and music industries. Because the Company's total assets are less than the \$5 million notification threshold, and because the Company's business activities would likely not be deemed related to Canada's cultural heritage or national identity, acquisition of a controlling interest in the Company by a non-Canadian investor would not be subject to even the notification requirements under the Act.

The following investments by non-Canadians are subject to notification under the Act:

1.
an investment to establish a new Canadian business; and
2.
an investment to acquire control of a Canadian business that is not re-viewable pursuant to the Act.

The following investments by a non-Canadian are subject to review under the Act:

1.
direct acquisitions of control of Canadian businesses with assets of \$5 million or more, unless the acquisition is being made by a World Trade Organization (WTO) member country investor (the United States being a member of the WTO);
2.
direct acquisitions of control of Canadian businesses with assets of \$218,000,000 or more by a WTO investor;
3.
indirect acquisitions of control of Canadian businesses with assets of \$5 million or more if such assets represent more than 50% of the total value of the assets of the entities, the control of which is being acquired, unless the acquisition is being made by a WTO investor, in which case there is no review;
4.
indirect acquisitions of control of Canadian businesses with assets of \$50 million or more even if such assets represent less than 50% of the total value of the assets of the entities, the control of which is being acquired, unless the acquisition is being made by a WTO investor, in which case there is no review; and
5.
an investment subject to notification that would not otherwise be re-viewable if the Canadian business engages in the activity of publication, distribution or sale of books, magazines, periodicals, newspapers, film or video recordings,

audio or video music recordings, or music in print or machine-readable form.

Generally speaking, an acquisition is direct if it involves the acquisition of control of the Canadian business or of its Canadian parent or grandparent and an acquisition is indirect if it involves the acquisition of control of a non-Canadian parent or grandparent of an entity carrying on the Canadian business. Control may be acquired through the acquisition of actual voting control by the acquisition of voting shares of a Canadian corporation or through the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of the Canadian business. No change of voting control will be deemed to have occurred if less than one-third of the voting control of a Canadian corporation is acquired by an investor.

A WTO investor, as defined in the Act, includes an individual who is a national of a member country of the World Trade Organization or who has the right of permanent residence in relation to that WTO member, a government or government agency of a WTO investor-controlled corporation, limited partnership, trust or joint venture and a corporation, limited partnership, trust or joint venture that is neither WTO-investor controlled or Canadian controlled of which two-thirds of its board of directors, general partners or trustees, as the case may be, are any combination of Canadians and WTO investors.

The higher thresholds for WTO investors do not apply if the Canadian business engages in activities in certain sectors such as uranium, financial services, transportation services or communications.

The Act specifically exempts certain transactions from either notification or review. Included among this category of transactions is the acquisition of voting shares or other voting interests by any person in the ordinary course of that person's business as a trader or dealer in securities.

E.

Taxation

Material Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences

Management of the Company believes that the following general summary accurately describes all material Canadian federal income tax consequences applicable to a holder of common shares of the Company who is a resident of the United States and who is not a resident of Canada and who does not use or hold, and is not deemed to use or hold, his common shares of the Company in connection with carrying on a business in Canada (a non-resident holder).

This summary is based upon the current provisions of the Income Tax Act (Canada) (the ITA), the regulations thereunder (the Regulations), the current publicly announced administrative and assessing policies of Revenue Canada, Taxation, and all specific proposals (the Tax Proposals) to amend the ITA and Regulations announced by the Minister of Finance (Canada) prior to the date hereof. This summary assumes that the Tax Proposals will be enacted in their form as of the date of this Annual Report. This description, except for the Tax Proposals, does not take into account or anticipate any changes in law, whether by legislative, governmental or judicial action, nor does it take into account provincial, territorial, or foreign tax considerations which may differ significantly from those discussed herein.

Dividends

Dividends paid on the common shares of the Company to a non-resident holder will be subject to withholding tax. The Canada-U. S. Income Tax Convention (1980) (the Treaty) provides that the normal 25% withholding tax rate under the ITA is reduced to 15% on dividends paid on shares of a corporation resident in Canada (such as the Company) to beneficial owners of the dividends who are residents of the United States, and also provides for a further reduction of this rate to 5% where the beneficial owner of the dividends is a corporation that is a resident of the United States which owns at least 10% of the voting shares of the corporation paying the dividend.

Capital Gains

Under the ITA, a taxpayer's capital gain or capital loss from a disposition of a share of the Company is the amount, if any, by which his proceeds of disposition exceed (or are exceeded by) the aggregate of his adjusted cost base of the share and reasonable expenses of disposition. One-half of a capital gain (the taxable capital gain) is included in income, and one-half of a capital loss in a year (the allowable capital loss) is deductible from taxable capital gains realized in the same year. The amount by which a shareholder's allowable capital loss exceeds his taxable

capital gains in a year may be deducted from a taxable capital gain realized by the shareholder in the three previous or any subsequent year, subject to certain restrictions in the case of a corporate shareholder.

A non-resident holder is not subject to tax under the ITA in respect of a capital gain realized upon the disposition of a share of a public corporation unless the share represents taxable Canadian Property to the holder thereof. The Company is a public corporation for purposes of the ITA and a common share of the Company will be taxable Canadian Property to a non-resident holder if, at any time during the period of five years immediately preceding the disposition, the non-resident holder, persons with whom the non-resident holder did not deal at arm's length, or the non-resident holder and persons with whom he did not deal at arm's length together owned not less than 25% of the issued shares of any class of shares of the Company.

Where a non-resident holder who is an individual ceased to be resident in Canada, and at the time he ceased to be a Canadian resident elected to have his the Company shares treated as taxable Canadian Property, he will be subject to Canadian tax on any capital gain realized on disposition of the Company's shares, subject to the relieving provisions of the Treaty described below. Shares of the Company may also be taxable Canadian Property to a holder if the holder acquired them pursuant to certain tax-deferred rollover transactions whereby the holder exchanged Property that was taxable Canadian Property for shares of the Company.

Where the non-resident holder realizes a capital gain on a disposition of the Company shares that constitute taxable Canadian Property, the Treaty relieves the non-resident shareholder from liability for Canadian tax on such capital gains unless:

(a)

the value of the shares is derived principally from real Property in Canada, including the right to explore for or exploit natural resources and rights to amounts computed by reference to production from natural resources, which is the case for the Company,

(b)

the non-resident holder is an individual who was resident in Canada for not less than 120 months during any period of 20 consecutive years preceding, and at any time during the 10 years immediately preceding, the disposition and the shares were owned by him when he ceased to be resident in Canada or are Property substituted for Property that was owned at that time, or

(c)

the shares formed part of the business Property of a permanent establishment or pertained to a fixed base used for the purpose of performing independent personal services that the shareholder has or had in Canada within the 12 months preceding the disposition.

Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences

The following summary is a general discussion of the material United States Federal income tax considerations to U.S. holders of shares of the Company under current law. This discussion assumes that U.S. holders hold their shares of the Company's common stock as capital assets within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). It does not discuss all the tax consequences that may be relevant to particular holders in

light of their circumstances or to holders subject to special rules, such as tax-exempt organizations, qualified retirement plans, financial institutions, insurance companies, real estate investment trusts, regulated investment companies, broker-dealers, non-resident alien individuals or foreign corporations whose ownership of shares of the Company is not effectively connected

with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States, shareholders who acquired their stock through the exercise of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation, shareholders who hold their stock as ordinary assets and not capital assets and other non-U.S. holders. In addition, U.S. holders may be subject to state, local or foreign tax consequences. No opinion or representation with respect to the United States Federal income tax consequences to any such holder or prospective holder is being made by the Company herein. Holders and prospective holders should therefore consult with their own tax advisors with respect to their particular circumstances. This discussion covers all material tax consequences.

The following discussion is based upon the sections of the Code, Treasury Regulations, published Internal Revenue Service (IRS) rulings, published administrative positions of the IRS and court decisions that are currently applicable, any or all of which could be materially and adversely changed, possibly on a retroactive basis, at any time. This discussion does not consider the potential effects, both adverse and beneficial, of any recently proposed legislation that, if enacted, could be applied, possibly on a retroactive basis, at any time. Accordingly, holders and prospective holders of shares of the Company should consult their own tax advisors about the Federal, state, local, estate, and foreign tax consequences of purchasing, owning and disposing of shares of the Company.

U. S. Holders

As used herein, a U.S. Holder includes a holder of shares of the Company who is a citizen or resident of the United States, a corporation created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or of any political subdivision thereof, any entity that is taxable as a corporation for U.S. tax purposes and any other person or entity whose ownership of shares of the Company is effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States. A U.S. Holder does not include persons subject to special provisions of Federal income tax law, such as tax exempt organizations, qualified retirement plans, financial institutions, insurance companies, real estate investment trusts, regulated investment companies, broker-dealers, non-resident alien individuals or foreign corporations whose ownership of shares of the Company is not effectively connected with conduct of trade or business in the United States, shareholders who acquired their stock through the exercise of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation and shareholders who hold their stock as ordinary assets and not as capital assets.

Distributions on Common Shares of the Company

U.S. Holders receiving dividend distributions (including constructive dividends) with respect to shares of the Company are required to include in gross income for United States Federal income tax purposes the gross amount of such distributions to the extent that the Company has current or accumulated earnings and profits as defined under U.S. Federal tax law, without reduction for any Canadian income tax withheld from such distributions. Such Canadian tax withheld may be credited, subject to certain limitations, against the U.S. Holder's United States Federal income tax liability or, alternatively, may be deducted in computing the U.S. Holder's United States Federal taxable income by those who itemize deductions. (See more detailed discussion at Foreign Tax Credit below). To the extent that distributions exceed current or accumulated earnings and profits of the Company, they will be treated first as a return of capital up to the U.S. Holder's adjusted basis in the shares and thereafter as gain from the sale or exchange of the shares. Preferential tax rates for net capital gains are applicable to a U.S. Holder that is an individual, estate or trust. There are currently no preferential tax rates for long term capital gains for a U.S. Holder that is a corporation.

Dividends paid on the shares of the Company will not generally be eligible for the dividends received deduction provided to corporations receiving dividends from certain United States

corporations. A U.S. Holder that is a corporation may, under certain circumstances, be entitled to a 70% deduction of the United States source portion of dividends received from the Company (unless the Company qualifies as a foreign personal holding company or a passive foreign investment company, as defined below) if such U.S. Holder owns shares representing at least 10% of the voting power and value of the Company. The availability of this deduction is subject to several complex limitations that are beyond the scope of this discussion.

In the case of foreign currency received as a dividend that is not converted by the recipient into U.S. dollars on the date of receipt, a U.S. Holder will have a tax basis in the foreign currency equal to its U.S. dollar value on the date of receipt. Generally, any gain or loss recognized upon a subsequent sale or other disposition of the foreign currency, including the exchange for U. S. dollars, will be ordinary income or loss. However, for tax years after 1997, an individual whose realized foreign exchange gain does not exceed U.S. \$200 will not recognize that gain, to the extent that there are not expenses associated with the transaction that meet the requirement for deductibility as a trade or business expense (other than travel expenses in connection with a business trip or as an expense for the production of income).

Foreign Tax Credit

A U.S. Holder who pays (or has withheld from distributions) Canadian income tax with respect to the ownership of shares of the Company may be entitled, at the option of the U.S. Holder, to either a deduction or a tax credit for such foreign tax paid or withheld. Generally, it will be more advantageous to claim a credit because a credit reduces United States Federal income taxes on a dollar-for-dollar basis, while a deduction merely reduces the taxpayer's income subject to tax. This election is made on a year-by-year basis and applies to all foreign taxes paid by (or withheld from) the U.S. Holder during that year. There are significant and complex limitations that apply to the credit, among which is the general limitation that the credit cannot exceed the proportionate share of the U.S. Holders United States Federal income tax liability that the U.S. Holder's foreign source income bears to his or its worldwide taxable income. In the determination of the application of this limitation, the various items of income and deduction must be classified into foreign and domestic sources. Complex rules govern this classification process. There are further limitations on the foreign tax credit for certain types of income such as passive income, high withholding tax interest, financial services income, shipping income, and certain other classifications of income. The availability of the foreign tax credit and the application of the limitations on the credit are fact specific and holders and prospective holders of shares of the Company should consult their own tax advisors regarding their individual circumstances.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

U.S. information reporting requirements may apply with respect to the payment of dividends to U. S. Holders of the Company's common shares. Under Treasury regulations currently in effect, non-corporate holders may be subject to backup withholding at a 31% rate with respect to dividends when such holder (1) fails to furnish or certify a correct taxpayer identification number to the payor in the required manner, (2) is notified by the IRS that it has failed to report payments of interest or dividends properly or (3) fails, under certain circumstances, to certify that it has been notified by the IRS that it is subject to backup withholding for failure to report interest and dividend payments. Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules from a payment to a U.S. Holder generally will be allowed as a credit against the U.S. Holder's U.S. federal income tax liability and may entitle the U.S. Holder to a refund, provided that the required information is furnished to the IRS. Certain U.S. Holders, including corporations, are not subject to backup withholding.

Disposition of Common Shares of the Company

A U.S. Holder will recognize a gain or loss upon the sale of shares of the Company equal to the difference, if any, between (i) the amount of cash plus the fair market value of any Property received, and (ii) the shareholder's tax basis in the shares of the Company. This gain or loss will be a capital gain or loss if the shares are a capital asset in the hands of the U.S. Holder, and will be a short-term or long-term capital gain or loss depending upon the holding period of the U.S. Holder. Gains and losses are netted and combined according to special rules in arriving at the overall capital gain or loss for a particular tax year. Deductions for net capital losses are subject to significant limitations. Corporate capital losses (other than losses of corporations electing under Subchapter S of the Code) are deductible to the extent of capital gains. Non--corporate taxpayers may deduct net capital losses, whether short-term or long-term, up to U.S.\$3,000 a year (U.S.\$1,500 in the case of a married individual filing separately). For U.S. Holders which are individuals, any unused portion of such net capital loss may be carried over to be used in later tax years until such net capital loss is thereby exhausted. For U.S. Holders which are corporations (other than corporations subject to Subchapter S of the Code), an unused net capital loss may be carried back three years from the loss year and carried forward five years from the loss year to be offset against capital gains until such net capital loss is thereby exhausted.

Currency Exchange Gains or Losses

U.S. holders generally are required to calculate their taxable incomes in United States dollars. Accordingly, a U.S. holder who purchases common shares of the Company with Canadian dollars will be required to determine the tax basis of such shares in United States dollars based on the exchange rate prevailing on the settlement date of the purchase (and may be required to recognize the unrealized gain or loss, if any, in the Canadian currency surrendered in the purchase transaction). Similarly, a U.S. holder receiving dividends or sales proceeds from common shares of the Company in Canadian dollars will be required to compute the dividend income or the amount realized on the sale, as the case may be, in United States dollars based on the exchange rate prevailing at the time of receipt in the case of dividends and on the settlement date in the case of sales on an established securities exchange. Gain or loss, if any, recognized on a disposition of Canadian currency in connection with the described transactions generally will be treated as ordinary gain or loss.

Other Considerations

In the following circumstances, the above sections of this discussion may not describe the United States Federal income tax consequences resulting from the holding and disposition of common shares of the Company (the Company does not believe that it will qualify in the next year, or has qualified within the past three fiscal years, as a foreign personal holding company, foreign investment company, passive foreign investment company or controlled foreign corporation as discussed below):

Foreign Personal Holding Company

If at any time during a taxable year more than 50% of the total combined voting power or the total value of the Company's outstanding shares is owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals who are citizens or residents of the United States and 60% or more of the Company's gross income for such year was derived from certain passive sources (e.g., from dividends received from its subsidiaries), the Company would be treated as a foreign personal holding company. In that event, U.S. Holders that hold common shares of the Company (on the earlier of the last day of the Company's tax year or the last date in which the Company was a foreign personal holding company) would be required to include in gross income for such year their allocable portions of such passive income to the extent the Company does not actually distribute such income.

Foreign Investment Company

If 50% or more of the combined voting power or total value of the Company's outstanding shares are held, directly or indirectly, by citizens or residents of the United States, United States domestic partnerships or corporations, or estates or trusts other than foreign estates or trusts (as defined by the Code Section 7701(a)(3i)), and the Company is found to be engaged primarily in the business of investing, reinvesting, or trading in securities, commodities, or any interest therein, it is possible that the Company might be treated as a foreign investment company as defined in Section 1246 of the Code, causing all or part of any gain realized by a U.S. Holder selling or exchanging common shares of the Company to be treated as ordinary income rather than capital gain.

Passive Foreign Investment Company

As a foreign corporation with U.S. Holders, the Company could potentially be treated as a passive foreign investment company (PFIC), as defined in Section 1297 of the Code, depending upon the percentage of the Company's income which is passive, or the percentage of the Company's assets which are producing passive income (generally 75% or more of its gross income in a taxable year is passive income, or the average percentage of the Company's assets (by value) during the taxable year which produce passive income or which are held for production of same is at least 50%). Passive income is generally defined to include gross income in the nature of dividends, interest, royalties, rents and annuities; excess of gains over losses from certain transactions in any commodities not arising *inter alia* from a PFIC whose business is actively involved in such commodities; certain foreign currency gains; and other similar types of income. U.S. Holders owning shares of a PFIC are subject to an additional tax and to an interest charge based on the value of deferral of tax for the period during which the common shares of the PFIC are owned, in addition to treatment of gain realized on the disposition of common shares of the PFIC as ordinary income rather than capital gain. However, if the U.S. Holder makes a timely election to treat a PFIC as a qualified electing fund (QEF) with respect to such shareholder's interest therein, the above-described rules generally will not apply. Instead, the electing U.S. Holder would include annually in his gross income his pro rata share of the PFIC's ordinary earnings and net capital gain regardless of whether such income or gain was actually distributed. A U. S. Holder of a QEF can, however, elect to defer the payment of United States Federal income tax as such income inclusions. Special rules apply to U.S. Holders who own their interests in a PFIC through intermediate entities or persons.

Effective for tax years of U.S. Holders beginning after December 31, 1997, U.S. Holders who hold, actually or constructively, marketable stock of a foreign corporation that qualifies as a PFIC may elect to mark such stock to the market (a mark-to-market election). If such an election is made, such U.S. Holder will not be subject to the special taxation rules of PFIC described above for the taxable years for which the mark-to-market election is made. A U.S. Holder who makes such an election will include in income for the taxable year an amount equal to the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the shares of the Company as of the close of such tax year over such U. S. Holder's adjusted basis in such shares. In addition, the U.S. Holder is allowed a deduction for the lesser of (i) the excess, if any, of such U.S. Holder's adjusted tax basis in the shares over the fair market value of such shares as of the close of the tax year, or (ii) the excess, if any of (A) the mark-to-market gains for the shares in the Company included by such U.S. Holder for prior tax years, including any amount which would have been included for any prior year but for Section 1291 interest on tax deferral rules discussed above with respect to a U.S. Holder, who has not made a timely QEF election during the year in which he holds (or is deemed to have held) shares in the Company and the Company is a PFIC (Non-Electing U.S. Holder), over (B) the mark-to-market losses for shares that were allowed as deductions for prior tax years. A U.S. Holder's adjusted tax basis in the shares of the Company will be increased or decreased to reflect

the amount included or deducted as a result of mark-to-market election. A mark-to-market election will apply to the tax year for which the election is made and to all later tax years, unless the PFIC stock ceases to be marketable or the IRS consents to the revocation of the election.

The IRS has issued proposed regulations that, subject to certain exceptions, would treat as taxable certain transfers of PFIC stock by a Non-Electing U. S. Holder that are generally not otherwise taxed, such as gifts, exchanges pursuant to corporate reorganizations, and transfers at death. Generally, in such cases, the basis of the Company's shares in the hands of the transferee and the basis of any Property received in the exchange for those shares would be increased by the amount of gain recognized. A U.S. Holder who has made a timely QEF election (as discussed below) will not be taxed on certain transfers of PFIC stock, such as gifts, exchanges pursuant to corporate reorganizations, and transfers at death. The transferee's basis in this case will depend on the manner of the transfer. The specific tax effect to the U.S. Holder and the transferee may vary based on the manner in which the shares of the Company are transferred. Each U.S. Holder should consult a tax advisor with respect to how the PFIC rules affect their tax situation.

The PFIC and QEF election rules are complex. U.S. Holders should consult a tax advisor regarding the availability and procedure for making the QEF election as well as the applicable method for recognizing gains or earnings and profits under the foregoing rules.

Controlled Foreign Corporation

If more than 50% of the voting power of all classes of stock or the total value of the stock of the Company is owned, directly or indirectly, by citizens or residents of the United States, United States domestic partnerships and corporations or estates or trusts other than foreign estates or trusts, each of whom owns 10% or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of the Company (United States shareholder), the Company could be treated as a controlled foreign corporation under Subpart F of the Code. This classification would effect many complex results including the required inclusion by such United States shareholders in income of their pro rata shares of Subpart F income (as specially defined by the Code) of the Company. Subpart F requires current inclusions in the income of United States shareholders to the extent of a controlled foreign corporation's accumulated earnings invested in excess passive assets (as defined by the Code). In addition, under Section 1248 of the Code, gain from the sale or exchange of stock by a holder of common shares of the Company who is or was a United States shareholder at any time during the five year period ending with the sale or exchange is treated as ordinary dividend income to the extent of earnings and profits of the Company attributable to the stock sold or exchanged. Because of the complexity of Subpart F, and because it is not clear that Subpart F would apply to the holders of common shares of the Company, a more detailed review of these rules is outside of the scope of this discussion.

If the Company is both a PFIC and controlled foreign corporation, the Company will generally not be treated as a PFIC with respect to United States shareholders of the controlled foreign corporation. This rule generally will be effective for taxable years of the Company ending with or within such taxable years of United States shareholders.

The foregoing summary is based upon the sections of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), Treasury Regulations, published Internal Revenue Service (IRS) rulings, published administrative positions of the IRS and court decisions that are currently applicable, any or all of which could be materially and adversely changed, possibly on a retroactive basis, at any time. This discussion does not consider the potential effects, both adverse and beneficial, of any recently proposed legislation that, if enacted, could be applied, possibly on a retroactive basis, at any time. Accordingly, holders and prospective holders of shares of the Company should consult their own tax advisors about the Federal, state, local, estate, and foreign tax consequences of purchasing, owning and disposing of shares of the Company.

F.

Dividends and Paying Agents

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the Exchange Act and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this section.

G.

Statements by Experts

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the Exchange Act and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this section.

H.

Documents on Display

Any documents referred to in this Annual Report may be inspected at the head office of the Company, 26th Floor, 700 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V7Y 1B3, during normal business hours.

I.

Subsidiary Information

There is no information relating to the Company's subsidiaries which must be provided in Canada and which is not otherwise called for by the body of generally accepted accounting principles used in preparing the financial statements. The Company has wound up its subsidiaries, Canadamin, S.A. and Anglo Swiss International Holdings Inc. in 2001.

ITEM 11. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company anticipates its primary market risk, if any, to be related to fluctuations in exchange rates. Exchange rate risk may arise if the Company is required to use different currencies for various aspects of its operations. At present, the functional currency of the Company is the Canadian dollar. Based on the Company's overall exchange rate risk as at December 31, 2005, the Company believes that a ten percent change in exchange rates would not have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations, or changes in financial position. The Company intends to monitor its exchange rate risk and take reasonable steps to reduce its exposure. The Company does not intend to purchase or sell derivative instruments for speculative purposes.

ITEM 12.

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

This Form 20-F is being filed as an annual report under the Exchange Act and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this item.

PART II

ITEM 13.

DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREAGES AND DELINQUENCIES

There has not been a material default in the payment of principal, interest, a sinking or purchase fund instalment, or any other material default not cured within thirty days, relating to indebtedness of the Company or any of its significant subsidiaries. There are no payments of dividends by the Company in arrears, nor has there been any other material delinquency relating to any class of preference shares of the Company.

ITEM 14.

MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

ITEM 15.**CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES****Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's chief executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2007 pursuant to Exchange Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, the Company's chief executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report were effective to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in the reports of the Company files and submits under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Managements Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company's CEO and CFO, and effected by the Company's Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the financial statements for external purposes in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements.

Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with policies and procedures may deteriorate.

Management has assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007. In making this assessment, management used the criteria described in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on Management's assessment in accordance with the Internal Control - Integrated Framework, management has concluded that internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2007.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

It should be noted that while management of the Company believes that its disclosure controls and procedures provide a reasonable level of assurance, management does not expect that its disclosure controls and procedures or internal financial controls will prevent all errors or fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived or operated, can

provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 16.

RESERVED

ITEM 16A. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

The Company at the time of this report does not have an audit committee financial expert as defined by the rules of the SEC. The Company will attempt to attract a person that qualifies as an expert in the current fiscal year. The audit committee members through their collected experience do meet a majority of the definitions of the SEC for an audit committee financial expert in both the private and public sectors. Both members have served as directors and officers of numerous public companies and have over the years developed a strong knowledge and understanding of generally accepted accounting principals, overseeing the preparation, audit and evaluation of financial statements and run private enterprises as individual owners.

ITEM 16B.

CODE OF ETHICS

The Company has adopted a code of ethics applicable to its directors, principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting procedures and persons performing similar functions. A copy of the Company's Code of Ethics will be made available to any person who requests it in writing to the Company's head office or through the Company's website at www.anglo-swiss.com.

ITEM 16C.

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

In respect of work undertaken for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company paid or accrued the following amounts of fees to De Visser Gray, Chartered Accountants for the following category of services:

Type of Fees

Amount Paid or Incurred

Percentage of Services 2007

Audit Fees

\$23,000

90.2%

Audit Related Fees

-

-

Tax Fees

\$2,500

9.8%

All other Fees

-

-

Total fees

\$25,500

100%

Type of Fees

Amount Paid or Incurred

Percentage of Services 2006

Audit Fees

\$17,000

87.2%

Audit Related Fees

-

-

Tax Fees

\$2,500

12.8%

All other Fees

-

-

Total fees

\$19,500

100%

For the purposes of this Item 16C, the following terms have the following meanings:

Audit fees - Fees billed for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and for services normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings in each fiscal year.

Audit-related fees - Fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements in each fiscal year reported on and that are not reported as audit fees.

Tax fees - Fees billed for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning services in each fiscal year reported on.

All other fees - Fees billed for products and services provided by the principal accountant other than services reported under the three categories above in each fiscal year reported on.

As required by Rule 2-01(c)(7)(i) of Regulation S-X, before the accountant was engaged by the Company to render audit or non-audit services, the Company's audit committee approved the engagement.

ITEM 16D.

EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES

The information referred to in this Item is not required for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, which is the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 20F.

ITEM 16E.

PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS

The information referred to in this Item is not required for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, which is the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 20F.

ITEM 17.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

See the Financial Statements and Exhibits listed in Item 19 hereof and filed as part of this Annual Report.

These financial statements were prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP and are expressed in Canadian dollars. Such financial statements have been reconciled to U.S. GAAP (see Note 11 therein). For a history of exchange rates in effect for Canadian dollars as against U.S. dollars, see page 12 of this Annual Report.

ITEM 18.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 19.

EXHIBITS

(a)

Certifications

C1 & C2

(b)

Financial Statements

F1 to F26

<u>Description of Document</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
Cover Sheet	F1
Management's Responsibility for Financial Reporting	F2
Auditors' Report dated April 28, 2008	F3
Balance Sheets as at December 31, 2007 and 2006	F4
Statements of Loss and Deficit for the Fiscal Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F5
Statements of Cash Flows for the Fiscal Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F6
Notes to the Financial Statements	F7

(c)

Exhibits

	<u>Page Number</u>
Exhibit 99.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C.99.1 Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sorbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	E99.1
Exhibit 99.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C.99.2 Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sorbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	E99.2

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description of Document</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
4.1.(1)	Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement	N/A
4.2(1)	Catamayo Joint Participation Agreement	N/A
5.2(2)	Purchase Agreement Till Claims 1-7, NWT	N/A
5.3(2)	New Shoshoni Option & Joint Venture Agreement, NWT	N/A
5.4(2)	Purchase Agreement PQ Claim 1-25, NWT	N/A
5.5(2)	Purchase Agreement MS Claims, NWT	N/A
5.6(3)	2007 Stock Option Plan	N/A
6.1(4)	Purchase Agreement for UL 1&2 and AFR 6&7 Claims	E1
6.2(4)	Claim Transfer Agreement for UL 3, 4 & 5	E10

Edgar Filing: ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC - Form 20-F

7.1	Executive Employment Agreement - Danard	E1
7.2	Executive Employment Agreement - Robbins	E10
(1)	The documents(s) are contained in the Company's Form 20-F filing for the year ended December 31, 1999 and are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.	

- (2) The document(s) are contained in the Company's Form 20-F filing for the year ended December 31, 2005 and are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.
- (3) The document(s) has been previously filed under a Form 6K dated January 18, 2006 #06534889, and are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.
- (4) The document(s) are contained in the Company's Form 20-F filing for the year ended December 31, 2006 and are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

SIGNATURES

The Company hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this Annual Report on its behalf.

Dated at Vancouver, British Columbia, this 18th. day of June 2008.

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC.

Len Danard

Per:

(signed) Len Danard

Title:

President

PAGE C1

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Len Danard, certify that:

1.

I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. (the Company);

2.

Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3.

Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;

4.

The Company s other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the Company and have:

a)

designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

b)

designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c)

evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d)

disclosed in this report any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting; and

5.

The Company's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Company's auditors and the audit committee of the Company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a)

all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarise and report financial information; and

b)

any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date:

June 18, 2008

SIGNED LEN DANARD

Len Danard, President

PAGE C2

I, Chris Robbins, certify that:

1.

I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. (the Company);

2.

Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3.

Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;

4.

The Company s other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the Company and have:

a)

designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

b)

designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c)

evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d)

disclosed in this report any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting; and

5.

The Company's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Company's auditors and the audit committee of the Company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a)

all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarise and report financial information; and

b)

any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date:

June 18, 2008

SIGNED CHRIS ROBBINS

Chris Robbins, Chief Financial Officer

F1

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.

(an exploration stage company)

Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

(expressed in Canadian dollars)

April 28, 2008

Management's Responsibility for Financial Reporting

The accompanying financial statements of the company have been prepared by management in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles and reconciled to United States generally accepted accounting principles and contain estimates based on management's judgement. Management considers that they maintain an appropriate system of internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are authorized, assets safeguarded, and proper records maintained.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has met with the company's independent auditors to review the scope and results of the annual audit and to review the financial statements and related financial reporting matters prior to submitting the financial statements to the Board for approval.

The company's independent auditors, De Visser Gray LLP, Chartered Accountants, are appointed by the shareholders to conduct an audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in Canada and the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and their report follows.

Len Danard
Len Danard
President and Chief Executive Officer

Chris Robbins
Chris Robbins
Vice-President

DE VISSER GRAY LLP

CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS

401 - 905 West Pender Street

Vancouver, BC Canada

V6C 1L6

Tel: (604) 687-5447

Fax: (604) 687-6737

AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Shareholders of Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. as at December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the consolidated statements of operations and deficit and cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in Canada and the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

In our opinion, these consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company as at December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2007 in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

The financial statements at December 31, 2005 and for the year then ended were audited by other auditors who expressed an opinion without reservation on those statements in their report to shareholders dated April 25, 2006.

De Visser Gray LLP

CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS

Vancouver, British Columbia

April 28, 2008

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.

(an exploration stage company)

Consolidated Balance Sheets

For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

(expressed in Canadian dollars)

	2007	2006
	\$	\$
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	4,117,434	64,871
Term deposits	34,500	-
Subscriptions receivable	112,370	-
GST and other amounts receivable	95,613	24,387
Prepaid expenses	4,240	6,972
	4,364,157	96,230
Reclamation bonds (note 3)	20,800	18,800
Property, plant and equipment (note 4)	746,219	997,969
Mineral properties (note 5)	4,586,447	4,323,394
	9,717,623	5,436,393
Liabilities		
Current liabilities		

Edgar Filing: ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC - Form 20-F

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	535,179	551,299
Shareholders' Equity		
Capital stock (note 6)		
Authorized		
500,000,000 common shares without par value		
400,000,000 preferred shares without par value		
Issued		
100,422,837 (2006 - 69,252,688) common shares	18,866,832	13,314,402
Options (note 7)	1,124,470	855,116
Warrants (note 7)	768,541	62,036
Contributed surplus (note 7)	401,007	303,156
Deficit	(11,978,406)	(9,649,616)
	9,182,444	4,885,094
	9,717,623	5,436,393

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.

(An Exploration Stage Company)

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

	2007	2006	2005
	\$	\$	\$
Continuance of operations (note 1)			
Outstanding matters (note 12)			
Expenses			
Interest and other income	(13,503)	(4,172)	(874)
Capital tax and interest recovery	(75,300)	-	-
Administrative (note 10(d))	100,157	47,169	34,836
Consulting fees (note 10(b))	216,000	60,000	60,000
Depreciation	253,670	4,083	5,034
Filing fees	27,188	27,224	28,571
Foreign exchange loss	4,902	-	-
General exploration	11,761	8,743	8,753
Interest	15,058	55,832	2,420
Professional fees	136,351	38,121	69,416
Shareholders and investor relations (note 10(c))	283,032	48,506	40,113
Stock-based compensation (note 7)	338,941	481,234	177,026
Transfer agent fees	17,241	15,627	8,079
Travel and promotion	56,193	9,072	10,945
Write-down of mineral properties	986,101	-	38,159
Loss before income taxes	2,357,792	791,439	482,478

Income tax recovery future income taxes	(29,002)	(103,978)	-
Loss for the year	2,328,790	687,461	482,478
Deficit - Beginning of year	9,649,616	8,962,155	8,479,677
Deficit - End of year	11,978,406	9,649,616	8,962,155
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	78,855,193	68,413,510	53,944,165
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.

(An Exploration Stage Company)

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

	2007	2006	2005
	\$	\$	\$
Cash flows used in operating activities			
Loss for the year	(2,328,790)	(687,461)	(482,478)
Items not affecting cash			
Depreciation	253,670	4,083	5,034
Write-down of mineral properties	986,101	-	38,159
Stock-based compensation	338,941	481,234	177,026
Income tax recovery	(29,002)	(103,978)	-
Capital tax and interest recovery	(75,300)	-	-
Changes in non-cash working capital			
Amounts receivable	(183,596)	(7,630)	(12,312)
Prepaid expenses	2,732	(5,725)	1,053
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	59,180	12,588	81,520
	(976,064)	(306,889)	(191,998)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Proceeds from issuance of private placements,			
options and warrants	6,619,579	85,000	894,700
Share issue costs	(303,378)	-	(89,771)

	6,316,201	85,000	804,929
Cash flows used in investing activities			
Purchase of equipment	(1,920)	(1,922)	-
Purchase of term deposit	(34,500)	-	-
Reclamation bonds	(2,000)	-	-
Mineral property costs	(1,249,154)	(314,957)	(177,027)
	(1,287,574)	(316,879)	(177,027)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	4,052,563	(538,768)	435,904

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.

(An Exploration Stage Company)

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Cash and cash equivalents - Beginning of year	64,871	603,639	167,735
Cash and cash equivalents - End of year	4,117,434	64,871	603,639
Supplemental disclosure of non cash Investing and financing activities			
Shares issued for mineral properties	-	125,000	840,750
Shares issued for agent issue costs	97,851	-	10,000
Excluded accounts payable relating to mineral properties	-	70,434	1,982

NOTES TO THE 2007 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. NATURE AND CONTINUANCE OF OPERATIONS

The Company is incorporated in British Columbia, Canada and is involved in the acquisition and exploration of mineral property interests in Canada. At the date of these financial statements, the Company has not been able to identify a known body of commercial grade ore on any of its properties and the ability of the Company to recover the costs it has incurred to date on these properties is dependent upon the Company being able to identify a commercial ore body, to finance its exploration and development costs and to resolve any environmental, regulatory, or other constraints which may hinder the successful development of the property. The Company is in the development stage with no source of operating revenue and is dependent upon equity financing to maintain its current operations.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Accounting and Consolidation

These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (Canadian GAAP). The significant measurement differences between these principles and those that would be applied under United States generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP), as they affect the company, are disclosed in note 11.

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Kenville Sand and Gravel Inc., which was incorporated on September 7, 2007.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of any contingent assets and liabilities as at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues earned and expenses incurred during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, balances held with banks and highly liquid deposits with an initial maturity of less than 90 days.

Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost and are depreciated over their estimated useful lives using the declining balance method. These assets will be written down to the fair value if the carrying value exceeds the estimated net recoverable amount. Property, plant and equipment is being amortized at the following rates: mine plant and equipment 25% and 30%, office equipment 20% and motor vehicles 30%.

Mineral properties

Acquisition costs of resource properties together with direct exploration expenditures thereon are deferred until the property to which they relate is placed into production, sold or abandoned or becomes impaired. Option or other payments received in respect of property interests are applied to reduce the carrying value

of the properties. The carrying values of mineral properties are, where necessary, written down to the estimated fair value based on discounted estimated future net cash flows. Mineral properties will be depreciated on a unit of production basis when the property is placed into production

The company reviews the carrying values of its resource properties whenever events or circumstances indicate that there may be a potential impairment. Where estimates of future cash flows are not available and where exploration results or other information suggest impairment has occurred, management assesses whether the carrying value can be recovered, and if not, an appropriate write-down is recorded.

Although the company has taken steps to verify title to mineral properties in which it has an interest, according to the usual industry standards for the stage of exploration of such properties, these procedures do not guarantee the company's title. Such properties may be subject to prior agreements or transfers and title may be affected by undetected defects in title registration.

Loss per share

Loss per share is calculated based on the weighted average number of common shares issued and outstanding during the year. The effect of potential issuances of shares under options and warrants would be anti-dilutive and therefore basic and diluted loss per share are the same.

Financial Instruments and Financial Risk

The Company's financial instruments consists of cash and cash equivalents, term deposits, amounts receivable, reclamation bonds, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, the fair values of which approximate their carrying amounts due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

Asset Retirement Obligations

The fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation is recognized on an undiscounted cash flow basis when a reasonable estimate of the fair value of the obligation can be made. The asset retirement obligation is recorded as a liability with a corresponding increase to the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. Subsequently, the asset

retirement cost is allocated to expense using a systematic and rational method and is adjusted to reflect period-to-period changes in the liability resulting from the passage of time and from revisions to either expected payment dates or the amounts comprising the original estimate of the obligation. As at December 31, 2007, the Company does not have any asset retirement obligations.

Future Income Taxes

The Company accounts for potential future net tax assets which are attributable to differences between the consolidated financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and which are measured using tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be settled. When the future realization of income tax assets does not meet the test of being more likely than not to occur, a valuation allowance in the amount of the potential future benefit is taken and no net asset is recognized. Such an allowance has been applied to all potential income tax assets of the Company.

Share Capital

Common shares issued for non-monetary consideration are recorded at the fair market value based upon the trading price of the Company's shares on the Toronto Stock Exchange.

Stock-based Compensation

The Company follows the Recommendations of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) in connection with accounting for stock option-based compensation. The standard now requires that all stock option-based awards made to consultants and employees be recognized in these consolidated financial statements and measured using a fair value-based method.

Consideration received on the exercise of stock options and compensation options and warrants is recorded as share capital. The related contributed surplus originally recognized when the options were granted, is transferred to share capital.

Flow-through Shares

Canadian tax legislation permits a company to issue securities referred to as flow-through shares whereby the investor may claim the tax deductions arising from the related resource expenditures. When resource expenditures are renounced to the investors and the Company has reasonable assurance that the expenditures will be completed, a future income tax liability is recognized and shareholders' equity is reduced in the year that the renouncement documents are filed with the tax authorities.

If the Company has sufficient unused tax loss carry-forwards to offset all or part of this future income tax liability and no future income tax assets have been previously recognized for these carry-forwards, a portion, of such unrecognized losses, is recorded as income up to the amount of the future income tax liability that was previously recognized on the renounced expenditures

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted the following new accounting standards issued by the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) relating to financial instruments. These new standards have been adopted on a prospective basis with no restatement to prior period financial statements.

Section 3855 Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement.

This standard sets out criteria for the recognition and measurement of financial instruments for fiscal years beginning on or after October 1, 2006. This standard requires all financial instruments within its scope, including derivatives, to be included on a Company's balance sheet and measured either at fair value or, in certain circumstances when fair value may not be considered most relevant, at cost or amortized cost. Changes in fair value are to be recognized in the statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

All financial instruments are classified into one of the following five categories: held for trading, held to maturity, loans and receivables, available for sale financial assets, or other financial liabilities. Initial and subsequent measurement and recognition of changes in the value of financial instruments depends on their initial classification:

Held to maturity investments, loans and receivables, and other financial liabilities are initially measured at fair value and subsequently measured at amortized cost.

Available for sale financial assets are measured at fair value. Revaluation gains and losses are included in other comprehensive income (loss) until the asset is removed from the balance sheet.

Held for trading financial instruments are measured at fair value. All gains and losses are included in net earnings (loss) in the period in which they arise.

All derivative financial instruments are measured at fair value, even when they are part of a hedging relationship. All gains and losses are included in net earnings (loss) in the period in which they arise.

In accordance with this new standard, the Company has classified its financial instruments as follows:

Investments are classified as available for sale investments. Such investments are measured at fair market value in the financial statements with unrealized gains or losses recorded in comprehensive income (loss). At the time securities are sold or otherwise disposed of, gains or losses are included in net earnings (loss).

(b)

Section 1530 Comprehensive Income (Loss).

Comprehensive income (loss) is the change in the Company's net assets that results from transactions, events, and circumstances from other than the Company's shareholders. This standard requires certain gains and losses that would otherwise be recorded as part of net earnings to be presented in other comprehensive income (loss) until it is considered appropriate to recognize into net earnings (loss). This standard requires the presentation of comprehensive income (loss), and its components in a separate financial statement that is displayed with the same prominence as the other financial statements.

Accounting Policies Not Yet Adopted

The following pronouncements recently issued by the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) will likely impact the Company's future accounting policies:

(i)

CICA Handbook Section 1535 - Capital Disclosures

This standard requires disclosure of an entity's objectives, policies and processes for managing capital, quantitative data about what the entity regards as capital and whether the entity has complied with any capital requirements and, if it has not complied, the consequences of such non-compliance. This standard is effective for the Company for interim and annual periods relating to fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the effects of adopting this standard.

(ii)

Financial Instruments - Disclosure (Section 3862) and Presentation (Section 3863)

These standards replace CICA 3861, Financial Instruments - Disclosure and Presentation. They increase the disclosures currently required, which will enable users to evaluate the significance of financial instruments for an entity's financial position and performance, including disclosures about fair value. In addition, disclosure is required of qualitative and quantitative information about exposure to risks arising from financial instruments, including specified minimum disclosures about credit risk, liquidity risk and market risk. The quantitative disclosures must provide information about the extent to which the entity is exposed to risk, based on information provided internally to the entity's key management personnel. This standard is effective for the Company for interim and annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2008. The Company expects that its disclosures will be expanded to incorporate the additional requirements.

(iii)

International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)

In February 2008, the Canadian Accounting Standards Board announced 2011 as the changeover date for publicly-listed companies to use IFRS, replacing Canada's own generally accepted accounting principles. The specific implementation is set for interim and annual financial statements relating to fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011. The transition date of January 1, 2011 will require restatement for comparative purposes of amounts reported by the Company for the year ended December 31, 2010. While the Company has begun assessing the adoption of IFRS for 2011, the financial reporting impact of the transition to IFRS cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

3. RECLAMATION BONDS

The Company has reclamation bonds outstanding totalling \$20,800 to satisfy certain performance obligations associated with the exploration of the Kenville and Blu Starr properties. These investments are recorded at market value and earn interest at market rates.

4. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

	2007		
	Cost	Accumulated depreciation	Net
	\$	\$	\$
Mine plant and equipment	1,090,003	355,931	734,072
Office equipment	32,846	27,039	5,807
Motor vehicles	19,564	13,224	6,340
	1,142,413	369,194	746,219
	2006		
	Cost	Accumulated depreciation	Net
	\$	\$	\$

Mine plant and equipment	1,090,003	105,559	984,444
Office equipment	30,424	25,492	4,932
Motor vehicles	19,564	10,971	8,593
	1,139,991	142,022	997,969

Mine plant and equipment were not depreciated in 2006 or 2005 as the equipment was not used.

5. MINERAL PROPERTIES

	Acquisition costs	Exploration expenditures	Total
	\$	\$	\$
Kenville (a)			
Balance - December 31, 2005	1,380,736	59,285	1,440,021
Acquisition cost recoveries	(3,260)	-	(3,260)
Expenditures	-	1,081	1,081

Balance - December 31, 2006	1,377,476	60,366	1,437,842
Acquisition cost recoveries	-	-	-
Expenditures	-	420,796	420,796
Balance - December 31, 2007	1,377,476	481,162	1,858,638
Blu Starr (b)			
Balance - December 31, 2005	812,306	544,183	1,356,489
Expenditures	-	11,283	11,283
Balance - December 31, 2006	812,306	555,466	1,367,772
Expenditures	-	15,157	15,157
Balance - December 31, 2007	812,306	570,623	1,382,929
McAllister (c)			
Balance - December 31, 2005	-	2,574	2,574
Expenditures	-	5,393	5,393
Balance - December 31, 2006	-	7,967	7,967
Expenditures	-	-	-
Balance - December 31, 2007	-	7,967	7,967
Till Claims (d)			
Balance - December 31, 2005	114,500	-	114,500
Acquisition costs	-	-	-
Balance - December 31, 2006	114,500	-	114,500
Write - down	(114,500)	-	(114,500)
Balance - December 31, 2007	-	-	-

New Shoshoni Claims (e)			
Balance - December 31, 2005	69,000	35,419	104,419
Acquisition costs	30,000	-	30,000
Expenditures	-	251,793	251,793

Balance - December 31, 2006	99,000	287,212	386,212
Acquisition costs	35,000	-	35,000
Expenditures	-	778,201	778,201
Balance - December 31, 2007	134,000	1,065,413	1,199,413
PQ Claims (f)			
Balance - December 31, 2005	525,000	-	525,000
Expenditures reclassified	-	76,601	76,601
Balance - December 31, 2006	525,000	76,601	601,601
Write-down and reclassification	(525,000)	(76,601)	(601,601)
Balance - December 31, 2007	-	-	-
Lac de Gras Claims (Falcon Bay) (g)			
Balance - December 31, 2005	270,000	-	270,000
Expenditures	-	-	-
Balance - December 31, 2006	270,000	-	270,000
Write-down	(270,000)	-	(270,000)
Balance - December 31, 2007	-	-	-
Group of Four Claims (h)			
Balance - December 31, 2005	-	-	-
Acquisition costs	137,500	-	137,500
Expenditures	-	-	-
Balance - December 31, 2006	137,500	-	137,500
Expenditures	-	-	-
Balance - December 31, 2007	137,500	-	137,500
Total mineral properties - December 31, 2006	3,335,782	987,612	4,323,394

Total mineral properties - December 31, 2007	2,461,282	2,125,165	4,586,447
--	-----------	-----------	-----------

Kenville, Canada

The Company has a 100% interest in the Kenville mineral property which, together with certain plant and equipment (note 4), is located near Nelson, British Columbia and was operated as a gold mine from June to December 1992 whereupon operations were terminated and the mine was operated on a care and maintenance basis. During 1997, the Company wrote down the plant and equipment to its present carrying value.

The Kenville property was subject to an Option Joint Venture Agreement ("OJVA") that lapsed on September 5, 2006, as the Optionees to the OJVA did not meet the required exploration expenditures of \$700,000 by that date. In August of 2006, the Company was advised by the Optionees that they alleged they had completed the required expenditures of \$700,000 as required under the option agreement. The Company has disputed the alleged expenditures in their entirety.

The Company has filed a statement of defense in response to a lawsuit commenced in the Supreme Court of British Columbia (file no. S068401 dated December 28, 2006) by Tracer Enterprises Ltd., Babylon Enterprises Ltd., Foaming Holdings Ltd., Glacial Holdings Inc. and Gold Standard Resources Corp., (collectively the "Optionees") with respect to the OJVA. Pursuant to the OJVA, Anglo Swiss appointed an Auditor to audit the exploration expenditures alleged by the Optionees.

The Optionees failed to comply with the Auditor's repeated requests for the documentation and therefore there is no basis to support the Optionees' alleged expenditures. It is the Company's position that the OJVA has been breached and is no longer in effect.

On a related matter, Terasen Gas commenced a lawsuit against the Optionees alleging certain of the work they performed on the Kenville Property adversely impacted its pipeline that runs through an easement on this Property. The Company has also been named a defendant in this lawsuit as the owner of the Property.

The Company has retained Farris, Vaughan, Wills & Murphy LLP as counsel in these matters. Refer also to note 12.

Blu Starr, Canada

The Company owns a number of gemstone mineral and placer claims collectively known as the Blu Starr property, located near Nelson and Slocan, British Columbia. In relation to 174 claims, the prior vendors retained a 1.5% net smelter return on any future non-gemstone production. In relation to another 14 claims, the vendors retained a 3.5% net royalty on gemstone production and a 2% net smelter return on any future metal production.

McAllister Group, Canada

The Company staked a number of mineral tenures totalling 31,000 hectares nearby Nelson, B.C. for diamond exploration during 2004 and 2005. In February 2005, the company entered into an option agreement to acquire a portion of mineral tenures within the 31,000 hectares. The Company paid an initial amount of \$5,000 and issued 50,000 common shares valued at \$7,250 for the first year term of the option purchase agreement. The company did not renew the second year option term for this agreement. In January 2006, the company reduced its number of mineral tenures within the McAllister Group down to 1,959 hectares from the 31,000 hectares initially held. This reduction resulted in a write-down of \$38,159 to the carrying cost of this property.

Till Claims, Canada

The Company acquired a 100% interest in a number of mineral tenures totalling 3,427 hectares for diamond exploration during 2005. The mineral tenures are collectively known as the Till Claims and are located on the Fishing Lake area in the Northwest Territories. The company paid \$20,000 and issued 900,000 common shares valued at \$94,500. The vendors retained a 2% gross overriding royalty (GORR) based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded

from the property. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying 1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 150,000 shares for each individual kimberlite discovered by the company on the property. The Company dropped these claims early in 2008, which resulted in a December 31, 2007 write-down of \$114,500 to the carrying cost of this property.

New Shoshoni Claims, Canada (Part of the Fry Inlet Property)

During 2005, the Company entered into an option and joint venture agreement with New Shoshoni Ventures Ltd. to acquire an undivided interest of 50%, plus a further option to earn an additional 10% interest in a number of mineral tenures totalling 23,587 hectares for diamond exploration. The claims are collectively known as the New Shoshoni Claims and are located near the Fry Inlet Lake in the Northwest Territories. The company paid a cash instalment of \$25,000 and issued 400,000 common shares valued at \$44,000. The agreement requires that the company pay an additional purchase price of \$105,000 (\$65,000 paid: \$35,000 2007, \$30,000 2006) through annual payments over a three year period commencing May 25, 2006. The company must also incur \$3,000,000 in exploration expenditures by July 2009. The vendors retained a 3% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property. The Company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying \$2,500,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 250,000 shares upon the discovery of the first new kimberlite and 100,000 shares on the discovery of each successive kimberlite body to a cumulative total of 1,000,000 shares.

PQ Claims, Canada (Part of the Fry Inlet Property)

The Company acquired a 100% interest a number of mineral tenures totalling 13,586 hectares for diamond exploration during 2005. The claims are collectively known as the PQ Claims and are located on the Fry Inlet area in the Northwest Territories. The company paid a total of \$50,000 and issued 5,000,000 common shares valued at \$475,000. The vendors retained a 2% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property in that calendar quarter. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying 1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 250,000 shares to a cumulative total of 1,000,000 shares for each kimberlite body discovered by the company on the property. The Company dropped this claim group during 2007 as it is focusing its diamond exploration in 2008 on the LI 201 diamondiferous pipe located on the New Shoshoni claim group. This reduction resulted in a current write-down of \$601,601 to the carrying cost of this property.

Lac de Gras Claims, Canada (Falcon Bay Property)

The Company acquired a 100% interest in a number of mineral tenures totalling 21,229 hectares for diamond exploration during 2005. The claims are collectively known as the Lac de Gras Claims and are located on the Falcon Bay Diamond Property in the Northwest Territories. The company paid a total of \$50,000 and issued 2,000,000 common shares valued at \$220,000. The vendors retained a 2% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property in that calendar quarter. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying 1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 250,000 shares upon the discovery of the first new kimberlite and 100,000 shares on the discovery of each successive kimberlite body to a cumulative total of 1,000,000 shares. The Company dropped this claim group during 2007 as it is focusing its diamond exploration in 2008 on the LI 201 diamondiferous pipe located on the New Shoshoni claim group. This reduction resulted in a current write-down of \$270,000 to the carrying cost of this property.

Group of Four Claims, Canada

On January 19, 2006 the Company acquired a 100% interest in four strategically located mineral claims covering approximately 4,180 hectares within the Lac de Gras area in the Northwest Territories. The company paid a total of \$12,500 and issued 1,000,000 common shares valued at \$125,000. The vendors retained a 2% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property in that calendar quarter. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying \$1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 200,000 shares to a cumulative total of 2,000,000 shares for each successive kimberlite body discovered by the Company on the property.

6. CAPITAL STOCK

Issued:

	Common shares	Amount \$
Balance - December 31, 2005	67,402,688	13,216,707
Capital stock issued		
Shares - issued for cash	850,000	85,000
- issued for property	1,000,000	125,000
Warrants	-	(8,327)
Income tax effect of renounced flow through expenditures	-	(103,978)
Balance - December 31, 2006	69,252,688	13,314,402
Shares - issued for cash, flow through	15,543,025	3,368,563
- issued for cash, non-flow through	12,220,000	2,699,500
Warrants exercised	2,260,857	436,889
Options exercised	328,125	32,813
Agent warrants exercised	818,142	81,814
Share issue costs	-	(401,229)
Fair value - warrants granted	-	(769,170)
- warrants exercised	-	62,665
- options exercised	-	69,587
- flow-through renunciation	-	(29,002)

Balance - December 31, 2007	100,422,837	18,866,832
-----------------------------	-------------	------------

During 2006, 1,000,000 common shares were issued for a total of \$125,000 to purchase mineral claims for diamond exploration in the Northwest Territories.

7. CONTRIBUTED SURPLUS, OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the change in options, warrants and contributed surplus was as follows:	Options	Warrants	Contributed Surplus
	\$	\$	\$
Balance - December 31, 2005	373,882	53,709	303,156
Stock based compensation	481,234	-	-

Fair value of warrants issued on private placement	-	8,327	-
Balance - December 31, 2006	855,116	62,036	303,156
Stock based compensation	338,941	-	-
Fair value of options exercised	(69,587)	-	-
Fair value of broker options granted as finders fees	-	-	97,851
Fair value of warrants issued on private placement	-	769,170	-
Warrants exercised	-	(62,665)	-
Balance - December 31, 2007	1,124,470	768,541	401,007

Options

The Company has a fixed stock option plan. Under the terms of the plan, the Company may grant options to eligible directors, officers and service providers of the company and its subsidiaries, up to a maximum of 14,850,000 common shares (11,000,000 common shares 2006). Options may be issued under the stock option plan at the sole discretion of the company's board of directors. The number of shares issuable to any one Optionee under the plan, together with all of the Company's previously established or proposed share compensation arrangements, shall not exceed 5% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares on a non-diluted basis. Options may be issued for a term of up to five years, with vesting provisions and the exercise price to be determined by the company's board of directors, provided that the exercise price is not less than the price issued in a press release or, if no press release is issued, the last closing price of the listed shares before the date of the stock option grant less the applicable discount. Stock options will not be granted at less than \$0.10 per share.

Contributed Surplus, Options and Warrants (continued)

During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the change in stock options outstanding is as follows:

	2007		2006	
	Number	Weighted	Number	Weighted

Edgar Filing: ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC - Form 20-F

	of shares	average	of shares	average
		exercise		exercise
		price		price
		\$		\$
Beginning of year	10,000,000)	0.11	10,475,000)	0.11
Granted	5,100,000)	0.10	1,025,000)	0.11
Exercised	(328,125)	0.10	-)	-
Cancelled	(196,875)	0.10	(1,500,000)	0.11
Expired	(200,000)	0.10	-)	-

End of year	14,375,000)	0.11	10,000,000)	0.11
Exercisable, End of year	11,475,000)	0.11	6,566,250)	0.11

Weighted average fair value of options granted during 2006 - \$0.11

Weighted average fair value of options granted during 2007 \$0.08

Weighted average remaining life of options outstanding December 31, 2007 3.15 years

At December 31, 2007, the following stock options are outstanding and exercisable:

Exercise Price	Options outstanding	Options exercisable	Expiry date	Remaining contractual life, outstanding options (years)
\$ 0.10	125,000	125,000	February 17, 2008	0.13
0.10	1,900,000	1,900,000	February 12, 2009	1.12
0.10	500,000	500,000	September 28, 2009	1.75
0.11	6,750,000	6,750,000	November 17, 2010	2.88
0.10	1,200,000	600,000	March 13, 2012	4.20
0.105	300,000	225,000	June 1, 2012	4.42
0.10	200,000	75,000	June 15, 2012	4.46
0.10	2,500,000	833,333	July 18, 2012	4.55
0.10	350,000	204,167	August 1, 2012	4.59
0.10	200,000	58,333	August 16, 2012	4.63
0.12	350,000	204,167	August 31, 2012	4.67
	14,375,000	11,475,000		

Contributed Surplus, Options and Warrants (continued)

The fair value of stock options was estimated at the grant date based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model, using the following assumptions:

	2007	2006	2005
Expected dividend yield	Nil	Nil	Nil
Average risk-free interest rate	3.75%	4.00%	4.00%
Expected life	5 years	5 years	5 years
Expected volatility	108%	108%	108%

Option pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected price volatility. Changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, and therefore, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable measure of the fair value of the company's stock options.

Broker options

As at December 31, 2007, 572,407 broker options were outstanding entitling the holders to acquire shares of the Company at an average price of \$0.40 per share exercisable up to December 7, 2009 as to 535,525 broker options and until December 18, 2009 as to 36,822 broker options.

Year Ended December 31, 2007

	Number of	Weighted Average
	Broker options	Exercise price
		\$
Beginning of year	-	-
Granted	572,407	0.40
Exercised	-	-
End of year	572,407	0.40

Contributed Surplus, Options and Warrants (continued)

Warrants

During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the change in warrants outstanding was as follows:

	2007		2006	
		Exercise		Exercise
	Number of	price	Number of	price
	warrants	\$	warrants	\$
Beginning of year	2,074,500	0.19	1,224,500	0.22
Granted	14,028,452	0.37	850,000	0.15
Exercised	(2,260,857)	0.19	-	-
Cancelled	(10,583)	-	-	-
End of year	13,831,512	0.37	2,074,500	0.19

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the company issued 13,881,512 units in connection with several private placements.

8. INCOME TAXES

The income taxes shown on the consolidated statements of loss and deficit differ from the amounts obtained by applying statutory rates due to the following:

	2007	2006	2005
Statutory tax rate	34.12%	34.12%	34.86%
	\$	\$	\$
Loss for the year	(2,328,790)	(687,461)	(482,478)
Provision for income taxes based on statutory rates	(794,583)	(234,562)	(168,192)
Permanent differences	513,877	131,661	68,797
Tax benefits not recognized	280,706	102,901	99,395
	-	-	-

Income Taxes (continued)

Future income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the company's future tax assets as of December 31 are as follows:

	2007	2006
	\$	\$
Capital and non-capital loss carry-forwards	666,900	442,195
Property, plant and equipment, mineral properties and other	422,777	(134,903)
Total future tax assets	1,089,677	307,293
Valuation allowance	(1,089,677)	(307,293)
Net future tax assets	-	-

c)

The company estimates that it has approximately \$2.34 million in non-capital losses, expiring between 2008 and 2027, to reduce future taxable income in Canada. The realization of income tax benefits related to these losses is uncertain and cannot be viewed as more likely than not. Accordingly, no future income tax asset has been recognized for accounting purposes.

9.

COMMITMENT

At December 31, 2007, the Company is to incur approximately \$2,331,174 (2006 - \$nil) in eligible exploration expenditures prior to December 31, 2008 (2006 - December 31, 2007) in order to complete obligations entered into under flow-through share purchase agreements.

10. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

a)

Included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities is \$311,282 (2006 - \$188,442) due to directors and organizations controlled by directors, and \$77,998 (2006 - \$140,178) due to a law firm in which an officer of the Company is a partner.

b)

The Company incurred consulting fees of \$216,000 (2006 - \$60,000; 2005 - \$60,000) for management services provided by directors and officers or organizations controlled by such parties.

c)

The Company incurred fees of \$126,575 (2006 - \$nil; 2005 - \$nil) for investor relations consulting services provided by a director and officers or organizations controlled by such parties.

These transactions were in the normal course of operations and were measured at the exchange amount, which is the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties

d)

In addition, the Company paid \$45,423 to the Canada Revenue Agency on behalf of an officer of the Company in respect of income taxes incurred by the officer resulting from the reimbursement of expenses paid on behalf of the Company. Legal fees of \$4,770 were also paid by the Company in relation to this matter.

11. MATERIAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN CANADIAN AND UNITED STATES GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

The company prepares its consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Canada (Canadian GAAP), which differ in certain respects from those principles that the company would have followed had its consolidated financial statements been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP).

The significant measurement differences between Canadian and U.S. GAAP are described below, and their effect on the consolidated financial statements is summarized as follows:

	2007	2006	2005
	\$	\$	\$
Consolidated statements of loss			
Loss for the year under Canadian GAAP	2,328,790	687,461	482,478
Mineral property expenditures for the year (a)	1,249,154	510,391	1,051,683
Exploration costs written off during the year (a)	(986,101)	-	-
Loss for the year under U.S. GAAP	2,591,843	1,197,852	1,534,161
Loss per share under U.S. GAAP	0.03	0.02	0.03
Mineral properties			
Balance per Canadian GAAP	4,586,447	4,323,394	3,813,003
Cumulative mineral property expenditures (a)	(4,586,447)	(4,323,394)	(3,813,003)
Balance under U.S. GAAP	-	-	-
Stock options and warrants			
Under Canadian GAAP	1,893,011	917,152	427,591
Stock-based compensation (c)	(80,594)	(80,594)	(80,594)
Balance under U.S. GAAP	1,812,417	836,558	346,997
Deficit			
Under Canadian GAAP	(11,978,406)	(9,649,616)	(8,962,155)
Stock-based compensation (c)	80,594	80,594	80,594
Cumulative mineral property expenditures (a)	(4,586,447)	(4,323,394)	(3,813,003)
Deficit under U.S. GAAP	(16,484,259)	(13,892,416)	(12,694,564)
Cash flows			
Cash flows from operating activities			

Edgar Filing: ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC - Form 20-F

Per Canadian GAAP	(976,064)	(306,889)	(190,016)
Exploration expenditures (a)	(1,249,154)	(385,391)	(177,027)
Per U.S. GAAP	(2,225,218)	(692,280)	(367,043)
Cash flows from investing activities			
Per Canadian GAAP	(1,287,574)	(316,879)	(177,027)
Exploration expenditures (a)	1,249,154	314,957	177,027
Per U.S. GAAP	(38,420)	(1,922)	-

a)

Mineral property and exploration costs

For U.S. GAAP purposes, the company expenses exploration and acquisition costs incurred relating to unproven mineral properties. When proven and probable reserves are determined for a property, subsequent exploration and development costs of the property are capitalized. The capitalized costs of such properties are assessed periodically to assess whether the carrying value can be recovered on an undiscounted cash flow basis. If the carrying value cannot be recovered on this basis, the mineral properties are written down to fair value on a discounted cash flow basis.

Mine plant and equipment

The equipment referred to in note 4 is plant and equipment relating to the Company's Kenville mine, which has been in a care and maintenance mode since 1997. Our stated policy is to depreciate this equipment based on its use in productive process over time. Since these assets were not used in the productive process in 2005 or 2006, no depreciation charge was recorded in those years on the Company's financial statements. These assets were used during 2007 and were depreciated according to company policy. It is management's view that the assets are still in suitable condition to be employed in the re-start of the Kenville mine which is in keeping with the company's objectives. In accordance with CICA 3061 and FAS 144, management performs annual impairment assessments of the mining assets and as a result of these assessments, no impairment was found to exist.

Accounting for stock-based compensation

Effective January 1, 2004 for Canadian GAAP, the company adopted CICA 3870, "Stock-based Compensation and Other Stock-based Payments" which requires an expense to be recognized in the financial statements for all forms of employee stock-based compensation. Adoption of CICA 3870 was applied retroactively, without restatement, as permitted by the standard. For U.S. GAAP purposes, the company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 148, "Accounting for Stock-based Compensation Transition and Disclosure". For U.S. GAAP, effective January 1, 2004, the company applied the modified prospective method of adoption included in SFAS 148 which recognizes stock-based employee compensation for 2004 as if the fair value based accounting method in this statement had been used to account for all employee awards granted, modified or settled in fiscal years beginning after December 14, 1994. Since all stock options granted from that date to January 1, 2004 vested immediately, application of the modified prospective method for U.S. GAAP purposes in 2004 did not have any additional impact on the stock-based compensation charge for 2004 under U.S. GAAP.

Flow through shares

During the year, the Company issued by way of private placements 10,705,000 units at \$0.10 per unit which resulted in gross proceeds of \$1,070,500 and 4,838,025 units at \$0.475 per unit which resulted in gross proceeds of

\$2,298,063. Each unit consists of one flow through common share and one-half share purchase warrant. According to SFAS 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, the proceeds should be allocated between the offering of the shares and the sale of tax benefits when the shares are offered. The allocation is made based on the difference between the quoted price of the shares and the amount the investor pays for the flow through share. A future income tax liability is recognized for the premium paid by the investors. The company did not receive any premiums to market on this issue.

e)

Accounting Changes and Error Corrections

FASB issued SFAS 154 Accounting Changes and Error Corrections to replace APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. SFAS 154 requires retrospective application of voluntary changes in accounting principles, unless deemed impracticable. Under existing US GAAP, a new principle is not applied to prior periods but instead the cumulative effect of the change is recognized in earnings in the period of the

change. SFAS 154 also carries forward without change the guidance for APB No. 20 for reporting the correction of an error in previously issued financial statement and the accounting for changes in estimates. This statement is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2005.

12. OUTSTANDING MATTERS

The Company received an additional claim with respect to the previously discussed law suit to the OJVA on the Kenville property on November 6, 2007, filed by Gold Standard Resource Corp (GSR) that duplicates the relief sought in the original claim dated December 27, 2006. The Company has filed its Statement of Defense and denies each and every allegation of fact contained in the claim with respect to the OJVA.

The Company believes that this suit is not properly brought forth as it is contrary to Rule 5(3) of the Rules of Court of British Columbia and that GSR is attempting to avoid ongoing attempts by Anglo Swiss to proceed with an application for the Plaintiffs to provide security for costs dated January 25, 2007. There is also an outstanding application by GSR to be added to the original claim dated March 8, 2007.

Anglo Swiss believes this claim to be without merit and is meant to cause the Company extra costs and to allow GSR to circumvent outstanding matters in the original claim and try to create a multiplicity of proceedings, contrary to the provision of the Law and Equity Act R.S.B.C.1996.c.253. Pursuant to the OJVA, in the first quarter of 2007 Anglo Swiss appointed an Auditor to audit the exploration expenditures alleged by the Optionees during the term of the OJVA. The Optionees failed to comply with the Auditor after repeated requests for the Audit materials and the Audit was completed with no basis to support the Optionees' alleged expenditures.

The Company will continue to defend its 100% ownership of the Kenville property. Anglo Swiss has retained Ferris, Vaughan, Wills & Murphy LLP as council in this matter.

13. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 7, 2008, the Company executed a Financial Advising Services Contract with Belair Capital Partners Inc. (Belair). The Company agreed to pay an advisory fee of \$25,000 per year and granted 65,000 stock options pursuant to the Company's stock option plan.

On January 29, 2008, the directors of the Company approved Executive Employment Agreements for two officers of the Company. These Agreements provide for combined annual base salaries totalling \$216,000, payment of performance bonuses at the Company's discretion, a benefits package and reimbursement of all expenses incurred in accordance with the Company's reimbursement policy.

On January 31, 2008, the directors of the Company approved a Directors and Officers Compensation package of \$5,000 per year for services rendered in that capacity effective from the Annual General Meeting of the Company held on June 18, 2007.

On January 31, 2008, the directors of the Company approved an Agreement with The Richmond Club Corp. for a period of 18 months to provide the Company with unlimited news release dissemination and SEDAR filing services in Canada and for related filing services with SEDAR and EDGAR in the United States. The fees for this service are a one-time annual base fee of \$5,745, \$1,450 per month and a stock option grant of 90,000 shares.

On March 11, 2008, the Company announced the addition of Edward J Nunn P. Eng., to its board of directors.

Exhibit 7.1

EXECUTIVE EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC.

PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

January 1, 2007

Mr. Leonard Danard
Suite 309 837 West Hastings Street
Vancouver, BC V6C 3N6

Dear Len:

Re:

**Terms of Employment with Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.
(the Company)**

This Agreement confirms the terms and conditions of your ongoing employment by the Company and will constitute your new employment agreement. Those terms and conditions are as follows:

Position and Duties. You will continue to be employed by, and will serve the Company as, its President and Chief Executive Officer, having the duties and functions described in Schedule A attached to this Agreement, and reporting directly to the Board of Directors (the Board) of the Company unless the Board notifies you otherwise in writing. You will also serve as a Director of the Company. Your duties and functions pertain to the Company and any of its subsidiaries from time to time and may be varied or added to from time to time by the Board, at its discretion, exercised reasonably. You will perform and carry out your duties and functions, and provide such additional services as may be reasonably required, in such manner as the Board may from time to time request.

Term. The terms and conditions of this Agreement will have effect as of and from January 1, 2007 (the Effective Date) and your employment as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company will continue until terminated as provided in this Agreement.

Base Salary. The Company will pay you a base salary (the Base Salary) at the rate of \$120,000 per year, payable every second Friday, in arrears, subject to the withholding of all applicable statutory deductions by the Company.

Annual Review. The Board will review your Base Salary annually. This review will not necessarily result in an increase in your Base Salary and any increase will be at the discretion of the Board, acting reasonably.

Performance Bonus. The Company will review the performance of your duties and functions under this Agreement annually and will pay you, at its discretion, a performance bonus. Payment of the performance bonus set out in this Section will be made to you within 90 days following the end of each fiscal year and will be subject to the withholding of all applicable statutory deductions by the Company.

Benefits. The Company will arrange for you, at the Company's expense, to be provided with health, medical, dental, disability, accident and life insurance and such other benefits as are reasonable and appropriate for an executive level benefits plan, as determined by the Board from time to time.

Vacation. During your employment with the Company under this Agreement, you will be entitled to an annual paid vacation as determined by the Board from time to time, not to be less than six weeks per annum, in addition to statutory holidays. Except with the prior written approval of the Company, you may carry over a maximum of 10 days of vacation to the next calendar year.

Expenses. During your employment under this Agreement, the Company will reimburse you for all expenses incurred in accordance with the Company's reimbursement policy in effect from time to time provided that, in any event, such reimbursement will include all reasonable travel and other expenses actually and properly incurred by you in connection with the performance of your duties and functions. For greater certainty, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, your cellular/BlackBerry expense and office parking expense will be covered. For all such expenses you will be required to keep proper accounts and to furnish statements and vouchers to the Company within a reasonable time after the date the expenses are incurred. Expenses submitted will be prioritized and reimbursed within five days.

No Other Compensation or Benefits. You expressly acknowledge and agree that unless otherwise expressly agreed in writing by the Company subsequent to execution of this Agreement by the parties hereto, you will not be entitled by reason of your employment by the Company or by reason of any termination of such employment, to any remuneration, compensation or benefits other than as expressly set forth in this Agreement.

Service to Company. During your employment under this Agreement you will:

well and faithfully serve the Company, at all times act in the best interests of the Company, and devote substantially the whole of your working time, attention and energies to the business and affairs of the Company;

comply with all policies and procedures from time to time formulated by the Board; and

not, without the prior approval of the Board, carry on or engage in any other business or occupation or become a director, officer, employee or agent of or hold any position or office with any other company, firm or person that competes directly with the Company.

Termination By Employee. You may resign as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company at any time, but only by giving the Company at least three months' prior written notice of the effective date of your resignation. On the giving of any such notice, the Company will have the right to waive the notice period, have you cease your employment immediately or at a specified date prior to the end of the notice period and pay you the Base Salary, as adjusted from time to time in accordance with Section 4 (Annual Review), for the notice period or remainder of the notice period, as applicable, plus such other sums owed for arrears of salary, vacation pay and, if granted pursuant to Section 5 (Performance Bonus), bonus. In this case, your resignation and the termination of your employment will be effective on the date the Company waives the notice period (or remainder thereof). If the Company elects to pay you such lump sum amount in lieu of the notice period, the Company will maintain the benefits and payments set out in Section 6 (Benefits) for three months after the date of your notice, but in all other respects your resignation and the termination of your employment will be effective immediately.

Termination by Company Without Cause. The Company may terminate your employment as President and Chief Executive Officer without cause by giving you written notice of the effective date of such termination and in all respects except as set out below, the termination of your employment will be effective immediately. On the giving of any such notice, and subject to your prior resignation as a Director of the Company, you and the Company agree as follows:

If your employment is terminated by the Company pursuant to this Section, the Company will pay to you as a lump sum 12 months of Base Salary, as referred to in Section 3 (Base Salary) and as adjusted from time to time in accordance with Section 4 (Annual Review), plus such other sums owed for arrears of salary, vacation pay and, if granted pursuant to Section 5 (Performance Bonus), bonus.

To the extent permitted by law and subject to the terms and conditions of any benefit plans in effect from time to time, the Company will maintain the benefits and payments set out in Section 6 (Benefits) of this Agreement for not less than six months from the date of termination.

The payments set out in this Section 12 will be in lieu of any applicable notice period.

You will not be required to mitigate the amount of any payment provided for in this Section 12 by seeking other employment or otherwise, nor will any sums actually received be deducted.

Termination by Company For Cause. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Company may terminate your employment as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and require that you resign as a Director of the Company for Cause (as that term is defined below in this Section). For the purposes of this Agreement, Cause is defined as: (a) acts or omissions constituting gross negligence, recklessness or willful misconduct on your part with respect to your obligations under this Agreement or otherwise relating to the business of the Company; (b) your material breach of this Agreement, including, without limitation, failing to substantially perform your duties contemplated under this Agreement as reasonably imposed by the Company (other than failure resulting from your death) which failure persists for 30 days following the Company's delivery to you of written notice specifying the details of any alleged failure to perform; and (c) your conviction for fraud, misappropriation or embezzlement, or any felony or crime of moral turpitude. In the event your employment is terminated in accordance with this Section 13, you will be entitled to receive only the Base Salary then in effect, prorated to the date of termination. All other obligations of the Company to you pursuant to this Agreement will become automatically terminated and completely extinguished.

Option to Terminate upon a Change in Control. While this Agreement remains in force, the Company will provide you with written notice on a timely basis of any Change in Control (as that term is defined below in this Section) and will provide details of the Change in Control, including without limitation, the reasons for the Change in Control, and the likely effect, if any, the Change in Control will have on the Company's business and its management. You will have the option for 30 days after receiving such notice, to terminate your employment with the Company in accordance with the same terms as contained in Section 12 (Termination by Company Without Cause) hereto, *mutatis mutandis* (the Termination Option). If you exercise the Termination Option, you have no obligation to mitigate by seeking other employment and there will be no offset against amounts due to you under this Agreement on account of any remuneration attributable to any subsequent employment you may obtain. A Change in Control is defined as any one of the following occurrences:

any person (as such term is defined in Section 2 of the *Canada Business Corporations Act*) acquires the beneficial ownership (as defined in Section 2 of such Act), directly or indirectly, of the securities of the Company representing more than 50% of: (i) the outstanding voting securities of the Company; or (ii) the combined voting power of the Company's then-outstanding securities;

the sale or disposition of all or substantially all of the Company's assets (or any transaction having similar effect) is consummated;

the Company is party to a merger or consolidation that results in the holders of voting securities of the Company outstanding immediately prior thereto failing to continue to represent (either by remaining outstanding or by being converted into voting securities of the surviving entity) more than 50% of the combined voting power of the voting securities of the Company or such surviving entity outstanding immediately after such merger or consolidation; or

the dissolution or liquidation of the Company.

Confidentiality. You understand and agree that in the course of your employment with the Company, both before and after the Effective Date, you have obtained and will obtain knowledge of Confidential Information (as hereinafter defined) relating to the business and affairs of the Company, or of its partners, subsidiaries or affiliates. You agree that unless the Company otherwise agrees in writing or except as required by law:

you will keep all Confidential Information acquired by you, disclosed to you or developed by you, as a result of or in connection with or during the course of your employment by the Company, whether before or after the Effective Date, strictly confidential;

all Confidential Information will, as between you and the Company, be and remain the property of the Company; and

you will not at any time, during or after your employment with the Company, disclose any Confidential Information to any third party or use any Confidential Information for any purposes other than those of the Company or for the benefit of any person or entity other than the Company.

For the purposes of this Agreement, Confidential Information means: (i) any information or knowledge relating to the relationship of the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates with any clients, customers, suppliers, principals, shareholders, licensees, contacts or prospects of the Company or of its subsidiaries or affiliates, or relating to the requirements, specifications, proposals, orders, contracts or transactions of or with any such clients, customers, suppliers, principals, shareholders, licensees, contacts or prospects of the Company or of its subsidiaries or affiliates; and (ii) any information or knowledge including, without limitation, any product, service, formula, pattern, design, system, program, device, software, plan, process, know how, research, discovery or invention that relates to the business or affairs of the Company or of its subsidiaries or affiliates, or results from its or their marketing, research and/or development activities, and is private and confidential in that it is not generally known or available to the public.

Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest. During your employment with the Company, you will promptly, fully and frankly disclose to the Company in writing:

the nature and extent of any interest you have or may have, directly or indirectly, in any contract or transaction or proposed contract or transaction of or with the Company or any subsidiary or affiliate of the Company;

every office you may hold or acquire, and every property you may possess or acquire, whereby directly or indirectly a duty or interest might be created in conflict with the interests of the Company or your duties and obligations under this Agreement; and

the nature and extent of any conflict referred to in subsection (b) above.

Avoidance of Conflicts of Interest. You acknowledge that it is the policy of the Company that all interests and conflicts of the sort described in Section 16 (Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest) be avoided,

and you agree to comply with all policies and directives of the Board from time to time regulating, restricting or prohibiting circumstances giving rise to interests or conflicts of the sort described in Section 16. During your employment with the Company, you will not enter into any agreement, arrangement or understanding with any other person or entity that would in any way conflict or interfere with this Agreement or your duties or obligations under this Agreement or that would otherwise prevent you from performing your obligations hereunder, and you represent and warrant that you have not entered into any such agreement, arrangement or understanding.

Restrictive Covenant. You agree that you will not, either alone or in partnership or in conjunction with any person, firm, company, syndicate, association or any other entity or group, whether as principal, agent, employee, director, officer, shareholder, consultant or in any capacity or manner, in the event of termination of your employment either pursuant to Section 11 (Termination by Employee), Section 12 (Termination by Company Without Cause) or Section 13 (Termination by Company for Cause) hereof, direct, entice or take away from the Company or attempt to do so or solicit for the purpose of doing so, any person that is an employee of the Company for a period of one year from the date of termination of your employment.

Governing Law. This Agreement will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of British Columbia and the laws of Canada applicable thereto and the parties hereby attorn to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of British Columbia.

Remedies. You acknowledge and agree that any breach or threatened breach of any of the provisions of Sections 15 (Confidentiality), 16 (Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest) or 17 (Avoidance of Conflicts of Interest) could cause irreparable damage to the Company or its subsidiaries or affiliates and that in the event of a breach or threatened breach thereof, the Company will have, in addition to any and all remedies at law or in equity, the right to an injunction, specific performance or other equitable relief as well as any equitable accounting of all your profits or benefits arising out of any such breach. It is further acknowledged and agreed that the remedies of the Company specified in this Agreement are in addition to and not in substitution for any rights or remedies of the Company at law or in equity and that all such rights and remedies are cumulative and not alternative and that the Company may have recourse to any one or more of its available rights or remedies as it will see fit.

Partial Invalidity. If any provision of this Agreement or any part thereof will for any reason be held to be invalid or unenforceable in any respect, then such invalid or unenforceable provision or part will be severable and severed from this Agreement and the other provisions of this Agreement will remain in effect and be construed as if such invalid or unenforceable provision or part had never been contained herein.

Waiver. Any waiver of any breach or default under this Agreement will only be effective if in writing signed by the party against whom the waiver is sought to be enforced, and no waiver will be implied by any other act or conduct or by any indulgence, delay or omission. Any waiver will only apply to the specific matter waived and only in the specific instance in which it is waived.

Exercise of Functions. The rights of the Company as provided in this Agreement may be exercised on behalf of the Company only by the Board or by a committee or person expressly designated for such purposes by the Board.

Effect of Agreement. The terms and conditions of this Agreement are in addition to and not in substitution for the obligations, duties and responsibilities imposed by law on employees of companies generally, and you agree to comply with such obligations, duties and responsibilities. Except as otherwise provided in this Agreement, this Agreement may only be varied by further written agreement signed by you and the Company. This Agreement supersedes any previous communications,

understandings and agreements between you and the Company regarding your employment. It is acknowledged and agreed that this Agreement is mutually beneficial and is entered into for \$1.00 and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, with the intent that it will constitute a legally binding agreement.

Further Assurances. Each of the parties will execute such further assurances and other documents and instruments and do such further and other things as may be necessary to implement and carry out the intent of this Agreement.

Schedules. The documents attached to this Agreement and referred to herein are hereby incorporated into and made a part of this Agreement, but the contractual effect of such documents will be determined and limited entirely by the references to such documents contained in the main body of this Agreement.

Notice. Any notice or other communication required or contemplated under this Agreement to be given by one party to the other will be delivered or mailed by prepaid registered post to the party to receive same at the address as set out below:

Leonard Danard:

Suite 309 837 West Hastings Street

Vancouver, BC V6C 3N6

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.:

Suite 309 837 West Hastings Street

Vancouver, BC V6C 3N6

Attention: Chris Robbins

Any notice delivered will be deemed to have been given and received on the first business day following the date of delivery. Any notice mailed will be deemed to have been given and received on the fifth business day following the date it was posted, unless between the time of mailing and actual receipt of the notice there will be a mail strike, slow-down or other labour dispute which might affect delivery of the notice by mail, then the notice will be effective only if actually delivered.

Time of Essence. Time is of the essence of this Agreement.

Agreement Personal. This Agreement is personal to you and to the Company and as such is not capable of assignment by either party.

Agreement Confidential. All parties will keep the terms and conditions of this Agreement confidential except as may be required to enforce any provision of this Agreement or as may otherwise be required by any law, regulation or other regulatory requirement.

Currency. All references to dollars or amounts of money in this Agreement will refer to lawful money of Canada, unless otherwise expressly indicated.

Fresh Consideration. The Company has provided you with \$10.00 fresh consideration for entering into this Agreement. You acknowledge receipt of this fresh consideration.

Counterparts/Electronic Transmission. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts with the same effect as if all parties had signed the same document. All counterparts will constitute one and the same agreement. This Agreement may be executed and transmitted electronically

and if so executed and transmitted this Agreement will be for all purposes as effective as if the parties had delivered an executed original Agreement.

Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement among the parties hereto and supersedes any prior agreements. There are not and will not be any verbal statements, representations, warranties, undertakings or agreements between the parties and this Agreement may not be amended or modified in any respect except by one or more written instruments signed by all of the applicable parties.

If you accept and agree to the foregoing, please confirm your acceptance and agreement by signing the enclosed duplicate copy of this letter where indicated below and by returning it to the Company. You are urged to consider fully all the above terms and conditions and to obtain independent legal advice or any other advice you feel is necessary before you execute this Agreement.

Yours truly,

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC.

Per:

Director

Accepted and agreed to by Leonard Danard effective the 1st of January, 2007.

Leonard Danard

SCHEDULE A

**DESCRIPTION OF DUTIES AND FUNCTIONS
OF THE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

.
review existing corporate objectives, strategies and utilization of resources. Develop, present, implement and maintain an operational business plan which sets out:

.
objectives of the Company;

.
strategies for achieving objectives;

.
timeframe for meeting objectives, milestones;

.
resources required;

.
allocation and utilization of resources; and

.
other matters consistent with an operational business plan;

.
oversee exploration and development operations at all of the Company's properties;

.
represent the Company at trade shows and investor conferences;

.
keep the Board apprised of corporate developments and activities; and

such other duties as may be reasonably requested by the Board from time to time that are consistent with the Employee's abilities and responsibilities.

EXHIBIT 7.2 E6

EXECUTIVE EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC.

PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

January 1, 2007

Mr. Christopher Robbins
Suite 309 837 West Hastings Street
Vancouver, BC V6C 3N6

Dear Chris:

Re:

**Terms of Employment with Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.
(the Company)**

This Agreement confirms the terms and conditions of your ongoing employment by the Company and will constitute your new employment agreement. Those terms and conditions are as follows:

.

Position and Duties. You will continue to be employed by, and will serve the Company as, a Vice President, having the duties and functions described in Schedule A attached to this Agreement, and reporting directly to the Chief Executive Officer (the CEO) of the Company unless the Board of Directors (the Board) notifies you otherwise in writing. You will also serve as a Director of the Company. Your duties and functions pertain to the Company and any of its subsidiaries from time to time and may be varied or added to from time to time by the CEO or the Board, at their discretion, exercised reasonably. You will perform and carry out your duties and functions, and provide such additional services as may be reasonably required, in such manner as the Board may from time to time request.

.

Term. The terms and conditions of this Agreement will have effect as of and from January 1, 2007 (the Effective Date) and your employment as Vice President of the Company will continue until terminated as provided in this Agreement.

.

Base Salary. The Company will pay you a base salary (the Base Salary) at the rate of \$96,000 per year, payable every second Friday, in arrears, subject to the withholding of all applicable statutory deductions by the Company.

.

Annual Review. The Board will review your Base Salary annually. This review will not necessarily result in an increase in your Base Salary and any increase will be at the discretion of the Board, acting reasonably.

.

Performance Bonus. The Company will review the performance of your duties and functions under this Agreement annually and will pay you, at its discretion, a performance bonus. Payment of the performance bonus set out in this Section will be made to you within 90 days following the end of each fiscal year and will be subject to the withholding of all applicable statutory deductions by the Company.

•

Benefits. The Company will arrange for you, at the Company's expense, to be provided with health, medical, dental, disability, accident and life insurance and such other benefits as are reasonable and appropriate for an executive level benefits plan, as determined by the Board from time to time.

•

Vacation. During your employment with the Company under this Agreement, you will be entitled to an annual paid vacation as determined by the Board from time to time, not to be less than six weeks per annum, in addition to statutory holidays. Except with the prior written approval of the Company, you may carry over a maximum of 10 days of vacation to the next calendar year.

•

Expenses. During your employment under this Agreement, the Company will reimburse you for all expenses incurred in accordance with the Company's reimbursement policy in effect from time to time provided that, in any event, such reimbursement will include all reasonable travel and other expenses actually and properly incurred by you in connection with the performance of your duties and functions. For greater certainty, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, your cellular/BlackBerry expense and office parking expense will be covered. For all such expenses you will be required to keep proper accounts and to furnish statements and vouchers to the Company within a reasonable time after the date the expenses are incurred. Expenses submitted will be prioritized and reimbursed within five days.

•

No Other Compensation or Benefits. You expressly acknowledge and agree that unless otherwise expressly agreed in writing by the Company subsequent to execution of this Agreement by the parties hereto, you will not be entitled by reason of your employment by the Company or by reason of any termination of such employment, to any remuneration, compensation or benefits other than as expressly set forth in this Agreement.

•

Service to Company. During your employment under this Agreement you will:

•

well and faithfully serve the Company, at all times act in the best interests of the Company, and devote substantially the whole of your working time, attention and energies to the business and affairs of the Company;

•

comply with all policies and procedures from time to time formulated by the Board; and

•

not, without the prior approval of the Board, carry on or engage in any other business or occupation or become a director, officer, employee or agent of or hold any position or office with any other company, firm or person that competes directly with the Company.

.

Termination By Employee. You may resign as Vice President of the Company at any time, but only by giving the Company at least three months prior written notice of the effective date of your resignation. On the giving of any such notice, the Company will have the right to waive the notice period, have you cease your employment immediately or at a specified date prior to the end of the notice period and pay you the Base Salary, as adjusted from time to time in accordance with Section 4 (Annual Review), for the notice period or remainder of the notice period, as applicable, plus such other sums owed for arrears of salary, vacation pay and, if granted pursuant to Section 5 (Performance Bonus), bonus. In this case, your resignation and the termination of your employment will be effective on the date the Company waives the notice period (or remainder thereof). If the Company elects to pay you such lump sum amount in lieu of the notice period, the Company will maintain the benefits and payments set out in Section 6 (Benefits) for three months after the date of your notice, but in all other respects your resignation and the termination of your employment will be effective immediately.

.

Termination by Company Without Cause. The Company may terminate your employment as Vice President without cause by giving you written notice of the effective date of such

termination and in all respects except as set out below, the termination of your employment will be effective immediately. On the giving of any such notice, and subject to your prior resignation as a Director of the Company, you and the Company agree as follows:

If your employment is terminated by the Company pursuant to this Section, the Company will pay to you as a lump sum 12 months of Base Salary, as referred to in Section 3 (Base Salary) and as adjusted from time to time in accordance with Section 4 (Annual Review), plus such other sums owed for arrears of salary, vacation pay and, if granted pursuant to Section 5 (Performance Bonus), bonus.

To the extent permitted by law and subject to the terms and conditions of any benefit plans in effect from time to time, the Company will maintain the benefits and payments set out in Section 6 (Benefits) of this Agreement for not less than six months from the date of termination.

The payments set out in this Section 12 will be in lieu of any applicable notice period.

You will not be required to mitigate the amount of any payment provided for in this Section 12 by seeking other employment or otherwise, nor will any sums actually received be deducted.

Termination by Company For Cause. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Company may terminate your employment as Vice President of the Company and require that you resign as a Director of the Company for Cause (as that term is defined below in this Section). For the purposes of this Agreement, Cause is defined as: (a) acts or omissions constituting gross negligence, recklessness or willful misconduct on your part with respect to your obligations under this Agreement or otherwise relating to the business of the Company; (b) your material breach of this Agreement, including, without limitation, failing to substantially perform your duties contemplated under this Agreement as reasonably imposed by the Company (other than failure resulting from your death) which failure persists for 30 days following the Company's delivery to you of written notice specifying the details of any alleged failure to perform); and (c) your conviction for fraud, misappropriation or embezzlement, or any felony or crime of moral turpitude. In the event your employment is terminated in accordance with this Section 13, you will be entitled to receive only the Base Salary then in effect, prorated to the date of termination. All other obligations of the Company to you pursuant to this Agreement will become automatically terminated and completely extinguished.

Option to Terminate upon a Change in Control. While this Agreement remains in force, the Company will provide you with written notice on a timely basis of any Change in Control (as that term is defined below in this Section) and will provide details of the Change in Control, including without limitation, the reasons for the Change in Control, and the likely effect, if any, the Change in Control will have on the Company's business and its management. You will

have the option for 30 days after receiving such notice, to terminate your employment with the Company in accordance with the same terms as contained in Section 12 (Termination by Company Without Cause) hereto, *mutatis mutandis* (the Termination Option). If you exercise the Termination Option, you have no obligation to mitigate by seeking other employment and there will be no offset against amounts due to you under this Agreement on account of any remuneration attributable to any subsequent employment you may obtain. A Change in Control is defined as any one of the following occurrences:

.

any person (as such term is defined in Section 2 of the *Canada Business Corporations Act*) acquires the beneficial ownership (as defined in Section 2 of such Act), directly or indirectly, of the securities of the Company representing more than 50% of: (i) the

outstanding voting securities of the Company; or (ii) the combined voting power of the Company's then-outstanding securities;

.

the sale or disposition of all or substantially all of the Company's assets (or any transaction having similar effect) is consummated;

.

the Company is party to a merger or consolidation that results in the holders of voting securities of the Company outstanding immediately prior thereto failing to continue to represent (either by remaining outstanding or by being converted into voting securities of the surviving entity) more than 50% of the combined voting power of the voting securities of the Company or such surviving entity outstanding immediately after such merger or consolidation; or

.

the dissolution or liquidation of the Company.

.

Confidentiality. You understand and agree that in the course of your employment with the Company, both before and after the Effective Date, you have obtained and will obtain knowledge of Confidential Information (as hereinafter defined) relating to the business and affairs of the Company, or of its partners, subsidiaries or affiliates. You agree that unless the Company otherwise agrees in writing or except as required by law:

.

you will keep all Confidential Information acquired by you, disclosed to you or developed by you, as a result of or in connection with or during the course of your employment by the Company, whether before or after the Effective Date, strictly confidential;

.

all Confidential Information will, as between you and the Company, be and remain the property of the Company; and

.

you will not at any time, during or after your employment with the Company, disclose any Confidential Information to any third party or use any Confidential Information for any purposes other than those of the Company or for the benefit of any person or entity other than the Company.

For the purposes of this Agreement, Confidential Information means: (i) any information or knowledge relating to the relationship of the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates with any clients, customers, suppliers, principals, shareholders, licensees, contacts or prospects of the Company or of its subsidiaries or affiliates, or relating to the requirements, specifications, proposals, orders, contracts or transactions of or with any such clients, customers, suppliers, principals, shareholders, licensees, contacts or prospects of the Company or of its subsidiaries or affiliates;

and (ii) any information or knowledge including, without limitation, any product, service, formula, pattern, design, system, program, device, software, plan, process, know how, research, discovery or invention that relates to the business or affairs of the Company or of its subsidiaries or affiliates, or results from its or their marketing, research and/or development activities, and is private and confidential in that it is not generally known or available to the public.

.

Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest. During your employment with the Company, you will promptly, fully and frankly disclose to the Company in writing:

.

the nature and extent of any interest you have or may have, directly or indirectly, in any contract or transaction or proposed contract or transaction of or with the Company or any subsidiary or affiliate of the Company;

every office you may hold or acquire, and every property you may possess or acquire, whereby directly or indirectly a duty or interest might be created in conflict with the interests of the Company or your duties and obligations under this Agreement; and

the nature and extent of any conflict referred to in subsection (b) above.

Avoidance of Conflicts of Interest. You acknowledge that it is the policy of the Company that all interests and conflicts of the sort described in Section 16 (Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest) be avoided, and you agree to comply with all policies and directives of the Board from time to time regulating, restricting or prohibiting circumstances giving rise to interests or conflicts of the sort described in Section 16. During your employment with the Company, you will not enter into any agreement, arrangement or understanding with any other person or entity that would in any way conflict or interfere with this Agreement or your duties or obligations under this Agreement or that would otherwise prevent you from performing your obligations hereunder, and you represent and warrant that you have not entered into any such agreement, arrangement or understanding.

Restrictive Covenant. You agree that you will not, either alone or in partnership or in conjunction with any person, firm, company, syndicate, association or any other entity or group, whether as principal, agent, employee, director, officer, shareholder, consultant or in any capacity or manner, in the event of termination of your employment either pursuant to Section 11 (Termination by Employee), Section 12 (Termination by Company Without Cause) or Section 13 (Termination by Company for Cause) hereof, direct, entice or take away from the Company or attempt to do so or solicit for the purpose of doing so, any person that is an employee of the Company for a period of one year from the date of termination of your employment.

Governing Law. This Agreement will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of British Columbia and the laws of Canada applicable thereto and the parties hereby attorn to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of British Columbia.

Remedies. You acknowledge and agree that any breach or threatened breach of any of the provisions of Sections 15 (Confidentiality), 16 (Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest) or 17 (Avoidance of Conflicts of Interest) could cause irreparable damage to the Company or its subsidiaries or affiliates and that in the event of a breach or threatened breach thereof, the Company will have, in addition to any and all remedies at law or in equity, the right to an injunction, specific performance or other equitable relief as well as any equitable accounting of all your profits or benefits arising out of any such breach. It is further acknowledged and agreed that the remedies of the Company specified in this Agreement are in addition to and not in substitution for any rights or remedies of the Company at law or in equity and that all such rights and remedies are cumulative and not alternative and that the Company may have

recourse to any one or more of its available rights or remedies as it will see fit.

.

Partial Invalidity. If any provision of this Agreement or any part thereof will for any reason be held to be invalid or unenforceable in any respect, then such invalid or unenforceable provision or part will be severable and severed from this Agreement and the other provisions of this Agreement will remain in effect and be construed as if such invalid or unenforceable provision or part had never been contained herein.

.

Waiver. Any waiver of any breach or default under this Agreement will only be effective if in writing signed by the party against whom the waiver is sought to be enforced, and no waiver will be implied by any other act or conduct or by any indulgence, delay or omission. Any waiver will only apply to the specific matter waived and only in the specific instance in which it is waived.

•

Exercise of Functions. The rights of the Company as provided in this Agreement may be exercised on behalf of the Company only by the Board or by a committee or person expressly designated for such purposes by the Board.

•

Effect of Agreement. The terms and conditions of this Agreement are in addition to and not in substitution for the obligations, duties and responsibilities imposed by law on employees of companies generally, and you agree to comply with such obligations, duties and responsibilities. Except as otherwise provided in this Agreement, this Agreement may only be varied by further written agreement signed by you and the Company. This Agreement supersedes any previous communications, understandings and agreements between you and the Company regarding your employment. It is acknowledged and agreed that this Agreement is mutually beneficial and is entered into for \$1.00 and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, with the intent that it will constitute a legally binding agreement.

•

Further Assurances. Each of the parties will execute such further assurances and other documents and instruments and do such further and other things as may be necessary to implement and carry out the intent of this Agreement.

•

Schedules. The documents attached to this Agreement and referred to herein are hereby incorporated into and made a part of this Agreement, but the contractual effect of such documents will be determined and limited entirely by the references to such documents contained in the main body of this Agreement.

•

Notice. Any notice or other communication required or contemplated under this Agreement to be given by one party to the other will be delivered or mailed by prepaid registered post to the party to receive same at the address as set out below:

Christopher Robbins:

Suite 309 837 West Hastings Street

Vancouver, BC V6C 3N6

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.:

Suite 309 837 West Hastings Street

Vancouver, BC V6C 3N6

Attention: Len Danard

Any notice delivered will be deemed to have been given and received on the first business day following the date of delivery. Any notice mailed will be deemed to have been given and received on the fifth business day following the date it was posted, unless between the time of mailing and actual receipt of the notice there will be a mail strike, slow-down or other labour dispute which might affect delivery of the notice by mail, then the notice will be effective only if actually delivered.

.

Time of Essence. Time is of the essence of this Agreement.

.

Agreement Personal. This Agreement is personal to you and to the Company and as such is not capable of assignment by either party.

•

Agreement Confidential. All parties will keep the terms and conditions of this Agreement confidential except as may be required to enforce any provision of this Agreement or as may otherwise be required by any law, regulation or other regulatory requirement.

•

Currency. All references to dollars or amounts of money in this Agreement will refer to lawful money of Canada, unless otherwise expressly indicated.

•

Fresh Consideration. The Company has provided you with \$10.00 fresh consideration for entering into this Agreement. You acknowledge receipt of this fresh consideration.

•

Counterparts/Electronic Transmission. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts with the same effect as if all parties had signed the same document. All counterparts will constitute one and the same agreement. This Agreement may be executed and transmitted electronically and if so executed and transmitted this Agreement will be for all purposes as effective as if the parties had delivered an executed original Agreement.

•

Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement among the parties hereto and supersedes any prior agreements. There are not and will not be any verbal statements, representations, warranties, undertakings or agreements between the parties and this Agreement may not be amended or modified in any respect except by one or more written instruments signed by all of the applicable parties.

If you accept and agree to the foregoing, please confirm your acceptance and agreement by signing the enclosed duplicate copy of this letter where indicated below and by returning it to the Company. You are urged to consider fully all the above terms and conditions and to obtain independent legal advice or any other advice you feel is necessary before you execute this Agreement.

Yours truly,

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC.

Per:

Director

Accepted and agreed to by Christopher Robbins effective the 1st of January, 2007.

Christopher Robbins

SCHEDULE A

**DESCRIPTION OF DUTIES AND FUNCTIONS
OF THE VICE PRESIDENT**

.
primary responsibility for the day to day operation of the Company;

.
oversee, supervise and manage all corporate and financial record keeping of the Company and serve as Chief Financial Officer as necessary;

.
provide guidance to the Company with respect to Corporate Governance and the filing of all regulatory documents with the applicable agencies and commissions in Canada and the United States;

.
communicate with external accountants and the auditors to the Company and assist in the preparation of financial statements for presentation to the Audit Committee;

.
oversee and prepare the annual reports including Form 20F for filing with the Securities Exchange Commission in the United States;

.
coordinate the development of financial projections and presentation for investment bankers, market makers and private placement investors;

.
participate and supervise all private placement, public offerings and borrowings by the Company and oversee all filings with Canada Revenue Agency with respect to Flow Through Private Placements and related forms;

.
keep the Board apprised of corporate developments and activities; and

such other duties as may be reasonably requested by the Board from time to time that are consistent with the Employee's abilities and responsibilities.

EXHIBIT 99.1

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the annual report on Form 20-F of Anglo Swiss resources Inc. (the Company) for the year ended December 31, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof, I hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The annual report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
2. The information contained in the annual report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: June 18, 2008

Len Danard

Len Danard

Chief Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 99.2

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the annual report on Form 20-F of Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. (the Company) for the year ended December 31, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof, I hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The annual report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
2. The information contained in the annual report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: June 18, 2008

Chris Robbins

Chris Robbins

Chief Financial Officer