

EXPONENT INC
Form 10-K
February 28, 2013

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 28, 2012.

OR

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number 0-18655

EXPONENT, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: EXPONENT INC - Form 10-K

Delaware **77-0218904**
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

149 Commonwealth Drive, Menlo Park, California **94025**
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(650) 326-9400
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class | Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered |
|---|--|
| Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share | The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Edgar Filing: EXPONENT INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes" No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant based on the closing sales price of the Common Stock as reported on the NASDAQ National Market on June 29, 2012, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter, was \$585,921,945. Shares of the registrant's common stock held by each executive officer and director and by each entity or person that, to the registrant's knowledge, owned 10% or more of registrant's outstanding common stock as of June 29, 2012 have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates of the registrant. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

The number of shares of the issuer's Common Stock outstanding as of February 15, 2013 was 13,130,717.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement for the Registrant's 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 30, 2013, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

EXPONENT, INC.

FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT

FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 28, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| PART I | |
| Item 1. Business | 3 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 12 |
| Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments | 16 |
| Item 2. Properties | 17 |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings | 17 |
| Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures | 17 |
| PART II | |
| Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 17 |
| Item 6. Selected Financial Data | 19 |
| Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 19 |
| Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 29 |
| Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 29 |
| Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 29 |
| Item 9A. Controls and Procedures | 29 |
| Item 9B. Other Information | 30 |
| PART III | |
| Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 30 |
| Item 11. Executive Compensation | 30 |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 30 |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | 30 |
| Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services | 30 |
| PART IV | |
| Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules | 31 |
| Signatures | 58 |
| Exhibit Index | 59 |

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains, and incorporates by reference, certain “forward-looking” statements (as such term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and the rules promulgated pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), including but not limited to statements regarding future growth and market opportunities, revenue, margins, headcount, utilization and operating expenses, that are based on the beliefs of the Company’s management, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, the Company’s management. Such forward-looking statements are subject to the safe harbor created by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When used in this document and in the documents incorporated herein by reference, statements other than statements of current or historical fact are forward-looking statements. The words “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect” and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company or its management, identify certain of such forward-looking statements. Such statements reflect the current views of the Company or its management with respect to future events and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, the Company’s actual results, performance, or achievements could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, any such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such material differences include the possibility that the demand for our services may decline as a result of changes in general and industry specific economic conditions, the timing of engagements for our services, the effects of competitive services and pricing, tort reform and liabilities resulting from claims made against us. Additional risks and uncertainties are discussed in this Report under the heading “Risk Factors” and elsewhere. The inclusion of such forward-looking information should not be regarded as a representation by the Company or any other person that the future events, plans, or expectations contemplated by the Company will be achieved. The Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise any such forward-looking statements.

PART I

Item 1. Business

GENERAL

The history of Exponent, Inc. goes back to 1967, with the founding of the partnership Failure Analysis Associates, which was incorporated the following year in California and reincorporated in Delaware as Failure Analysis Associates, Inc. in 1988. The Failure Group, Inc. was organized in 1989 as a holding company for Failure Analysis Associates, Inc. and changed its name to Exponent, Inc. in 1998. Exponent, Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, “Exponent” or the “Company”) is a science and engineering consulting firm that provides solutions to complex problems. Our multidisciplinary team of scientists, physicians, engineers, business and regulatory consultants brings together more than 90 different technical disciplines to solve complicated issues facing industry and government today. Our professional staff can perform in-depth scientific research and analysis, or very rapid-response evaluations to provide

our clients with the critical information they need.

CLIENTS

General

Exponent serves clients in automotive, aviation, chemical, construction, consumer products, energy, government, health, insurance, manufacturing, technology and other sectors of the economy. Many of our engagements are initiated directly by large corporations or by lawyers or insurance companies, whose clients anticipate, or are engaged in, litigation related to their products, equipment, processes or service. Our services in failure prevention and technology evaluation have grown as the technological complexity of products has increased over the years.

Pricing and Terms of Engagements

We provide our services on either a fixed-price basis or on a time and material basis, charging hourly rates for each staff member involved in a project, based on his or her skills and experience. Our standard rates for professionals range from \$150 to \$600 per hour. Our engagement agreements typically provide for monthly billing, require payment of our invoices within 30 days of receipt and permit clients to terminate engagements at any time. Clients normally agree to indemnify us and our personnel against liabilities arising out of the use or application of the results of our work or recommendations.

SERVICES

Exponent provides high quality engineering and scientific consulting services to clients around the world. Our service offerings are provided on a project-by-project basis. Many projects require support from multiple practices. We currently operate 23 practices and centers in two operating segments, Engineering and other scientific and Environmental and health:

ENGINEERING AND OTHER SCIENTIFIC

- Biomechanics
- Biomedical Engineering

- Buildings & Structures
- Civil Engineering
- Construction Consulting
- Defense Technology Development
- Electrical Engineering & Computer Science
- Engineering Management Consulting
- Human Factors
- Industrial Structures
- Materials & Corrosion Engineering
- Mechanical Engineering
- Polymer Science & Materials Chemistry
- Statistical & Data Sciences
- Thermal Sciences
- Vehicle Analysis

ENVIRONMENTAL AND HEALTH

- Chemical Regulation & Food Safety
- Ecological & Biological Sciences
- Environmental & Earth Sciences

Epidemiology, Biostatistics & Computational Biology
Exposure Assessment & Dose Reconstruction
Occupational Medicine & Environmental Health
Toxicology & Mechanistic Biology

ENGINEERING AND OTHER SCIENTIFIC

Biomechanics

Our biomechanics staff uses engineering and biomedical science to solve complex problems at the intersection of biology and engineering. Our expertise is used to understand and evaluate the interaction between the human body as a biological system and the physical environment to explore the cause, nature, and severity of injuries.

During the past year our biomechanics staff performed analyses of human injury related to a variety of products including recreational vehicles, sporting goods, trucks, trains, aircraft and motor cars. They also looked at the implications of using protective devices (such as restraint systems and helmets) on reducing potential injuries and assessed injuries in the workplace, during recreational activities and in the home.

Biomedical Engineering

Our Biomedical Engineering Practice applies engineering principles to the medical field, including the evaluation of designs and performance of medical devices and biotechnology. Our engineers and scientists assist clients with characterization of biomaterials, biological tissues, and medical devices. As part of regulatory compliance, we can perform preclinical testing and formulate a related regulatory strategy, conduct design verification and validation, as well as design and manufacturing failure analyses, recall management, and medical device explant analysis. In addition, our staff can perform analysis of clinical outcomes for medical devices using administrative claims databases. Our expertise is also utilized in product liability, intellectual property litigation, technology acquisition and due diligence matters.

Buildings & Structures

The basic function of a building is to provide structurally sound, durable and environmentally controlled space to house and protect occupants and contents. If this basic function is not achieved, it is because one or more aspect(s) of

the building design or construction failed to perform its intended function. Our architects, engineers, and scientists have been investigating such failures for decades, and we use this experience to solve problems with building systems and components, including finding the best repair options and mitigating the risk of future failures.

During the past year, we have evaluated numerous problems with residential, commercial and industrial structures for insurers, attorneys and owners. Our evaluations often included property inspections, testing, engineering analysis and the development of repair recommendations. In addition, we have worked with owners to assess and mitigate the risk of failure associated with hazards such as hurricanes, earthquakes, tsunamis and aging infrastructure. We have assessed these risks to high-rise buildings, industrial facilities, pipelines and nuclear power plant structures. Finally, we continue to pursue activities to address green/sustainable building issues from both construction as well as human health perspectives.

Civil Engineering

Our Civil Engineering Practice provides broad expertise that includes geotechnical engineering, geological engineering, engineering geology, and geology to address a host of geo-failures, including landslides, foundation and retaining wall failures, dam and levee failures and earthwork construction claims. We also provide peer review services for complicated structures including for large international projects. Our Water Resources staff specializes in the application of proven hydrologic, hydraulic, hydrodynamic, and sediment transport research and science to provide scientifically sound and cost-effective solutions to our clients.

Over the past year, our consultants have been engaged in a number of investigations related to landslides, retaining wall and foundation failures, large construction claims, flooding and sediment transport, and peer review of large retaining wall designs. This practice has had a diverse portfolio of projects and clients that represent a broad spectrum of industries.

Construction Consulting

Our Construction Consulting Practice provides project advisory, risk analysis, strategic planning, dispute resolution, and financial damages services. During the past year, we expanded the practice by leveraging key client relationships in several construction sectors including energy and oil and gas. Our multi-disciplinary staff, which includes engineers, architects, construction managers, schedulers, accountants, and technical specialists, provides these services to both the public and private sectors for clients who represent a diverse mix of companies and agencies and are involved in a wide range of projects – from complex construction and capital projects to Department of Defense aerospace and defense procurements.

Our projects include many sectors of the construction industry as well as facilities and systems which include power plants, transmission and distribution facilities, petrochemical facilities, water/wastewater treatment plants, bridges and roads, marine structures, rail systems, tunnels, airports, detention facilities, commercial buildings, institutional buildings, industrial and manufacturing facilities, sporting arenas, resorts and gaming facilities, rotary and fixed-wing aircraft, shipbuilding, missiles, missile defense systems, simulators and space launch vehicles. We provide services to most construction, aerospace and defense industry participants: owners, lending agencies, prime contractors, subcontractors, designers, attorneys and insurance carriers.

Defense Technology Development

Drawing on our multidisciplinary science, engineering, testing, failure analysis, and failure prevention expertise, our Defense Technology Development Practice specializes in harnessing advanced technologies and practices from the commercial world to deliver innovation to our defense clients. We identify and leverage the best in commercial off-the-shelf technologies combined with custom development to deliver solutions ranging from fully integrated systems to mission support modules. Our focus is on cost effectiveness, ease of use, reliability, high quality and speed of engineering design and execution. Our engineers and scientists continue to work in Afghanistan war zone laboratories embedded with U.S. and NATO military personnel to ensure we understand their problems and can rapidly deliver solutions to high priority military technology capability gaps.

During the past year we continued to refine our subsurface threat detection system to provide a real-time Improvised Explosive Device (IED) and mine detection capability for a range of ground vehicles. Internationally, additional IED detection system deliveries were made to the U.K. Ministry of Defence for their operationally deployed route clearance capability. With the U.S. military, we completed a major development program to deliver next-generation Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) capability and performance. Our sales in the area of ISR (Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance) have continued with both the Rapid Deployment Integrated Surveillance Systems and the Mid-Range Thermal Imager System for soldiers in Afghanistan. We have increased our deployed engineer presence by operating the U.S. Army Rapid Equipping Force mobile expeditionary laboratories – or Ex-Labs. Also over the past year, we have expanded our mission support and technology evaluation services in the areas of personnel

identification, radio-frequency identification, physical and logical access control, biometrics, smart credentials, and data analytics for cyber security.

Electrical Engineering & Computer Science

Our team of electrical engineers and computer scientists performs a wide array of investigations ranging from electric power systems and equipment to integrated circuits, and contributes to safer, more reliable designs in new products. We operate laboratories for testing both heavy equipment and electronics. In addition we have a broad capability in analyzing computer software.

Our computer science expertise encompasses a breadth of areas including information and numerical sciences, algorithms and data structures, computer graphics, computer architecture, networking and communications, as well as security and cryptography. Our team of electrical engineers performs a wide array of investigations ranging from electric power systems to semiconductor devices. We operate laboratories for testing both software and light electronic equipment.

Engineering Management Consulting

This practice provides multi-disciplinary expertise and rapid response to assist clients with technical and management consulting services, often in extremely short time frames. Our consultants provide services in the areas of asset strategy and planning, project management, engineering, construction, maintenance, operations, environmental, and risk analysis. This practice primarily services the electric and gas utility industries, focusing on transmission and distribution as well as fossil fuel and nuclear generation.

We provide unique and advanced services in performing risk and reliability assessments. Our scientists and engineers assist our clients in minimizing losses in their business or operations. Accidents, unanticipated events, and system failures are the primary causes of deferred or lost production interruptions and may lead to loss of life, injury, property damage, and undesired releases. Our multi-disciplinary staff has performed diverse technical, business-interruption, and compliance-related risk and reliability assessments for chemical, petrochemical, petroleum, and manufacturing clients worldwide.

Human Factors

Our Human Factors staff evaluates human performance and safety in product and system use. Our consultants study how the limitations and capabilities of people, including memory, perception, reaction time, judgment, physical size and dexterity, affect the way they use a product, interact with an organization or environment, process information or participate in an activity.

We address the reliability of human memory and retrospective reporting in the gathering of fact-based evidence. We review warnings and labeling issues related to consumer products, pharmaceuticals, motor vehicles, medical devices and industrial products. In addition, we assist manufacturers with compliance of regulatory guidelines related to products and worked with them regarding analysis of adverse event reports and consumer complaints in publicly available databases overseen by the Consumer Product Safety Commission and the Food and Drug Administration.

During the past year our consultants published results of a study which reviewed the effects of low illumination on drivers and their ability to gauge the relative speed of, and distance to, visible objects. This paper, based on years of research in automotive human factors determined the difference between when a hazard can be detected and when the hazard must be detected to avoid collision. The magnitude of the difference can play a critical role in determining whether a collision may have been avoided in specific situations of low illuminance driving.

Industrial Structures

Our Industrial Structures Practice, based in Düsseldorf and Berlin, Germany, specializes in design and assessment of industrial structures subject to extreme conditions. Our Düsseldorf office has provided design reviews and assessments on more than 1,000 structures around the world, and our staff has participated in the creation of several engineering standards.

Our Industrial Structures Practice provides planning, assessment, rehabilitation and dismantling analysis of bearing structures in four particular areas: antenna masts, power plants, buildings and special structures like refractories or tanks. One service we provide in over 900 locations throughout the year is quality assurance of antenna masts for a variety of facilities including telecommunications, wind energy and industrial chimneys. Our consultants provide inspection services related to new construction and assess design deficiencies related to new and existing facilities, as well as assist our clients with on-time, quality construction on their projects.

With the use of our self-developed computer software for non-linear material behavior, close-to-reality assessment of a wide variety of structures such as cracked reinforced concrete structures, multi-layer refractories or masonry towers is provided. Beyond industrial structures, more and more commercial property projects are becoming part of this practice.

Materials & Corrosion Engineering

Our in-depth knowledge of materials and electrochemistry, combined with the breadth of collective expertise in many areas of engineering and science, is used to understand how and why materials fail, as well as to prevent future failures. Our engineers and scientists use their broad background in field investigations, root-cause assessments, and materials engineering to solve complex problems for both industrial and legal clients. During the past year we conducted failure analysis, failure prevention, and integrity assessment investigations for a wide variety of clients including medical, aerospace, chemical processing, pipeline, automotive, construction, consumer electronics, recreational, and other industries.

Mechanical Engineering

We provide clients with a thorough comprehension of current or alternative designs to determine potential vulnerabilities before failures occur, develop appropriate risk mitigation methods, and provide post failure investigations. Our consultants review the safety and reliability of processes and products for a variety of industries, including transportation, heavy industry, energy, and consumer products. Our staff develop and utilize detailed, validated computational models to evaluate equipment, consumer products and medical devices to solve a variety of technical challenges associated with their design and optimization. Our scientists and engineers also provide services in the area of intellectual property and are often asked to interpret the language of a patent from a scientific and engineering perspective and provide valuable insight regarding the proper technical interpretation of patent claims. During the past year our mechanical engineers worked on a wide variety of projects ranging from high profile consumer product recall investigations to pipeline integrity evaluations and worker safety issues. A recent area of study for the practice is an interdisciplinary evaluation of the effect of hydraulic fracturing operations on equipment as well as the environment and health of workers and people in the surrounding area.

Polymer Science & Materials Chemistry

Our polymer science and materials chemistry staff consults with industrial, government, and insurance clients, as well as their outside counsels, regarding polymers and textiles used in diverse applications and chemical aspects of batteries, drug delivery systems, and other products that depend on highly controlled manufacturing environments. We assist clients in understanding the short- and long-term performance of plastic, rubber, adhesive, coating, composite and power systems when challenged by physical, chemical, thermal and other operational stresses.

Our consultants participate in product development programs, perform failure analyses and provide support to clients involved in regulatory and legal proceedings. During the past year, significant program activities addressed combination drug devices, consumer electronics, medical devices, numerous battery-related applications, materials science aspects of health risk, industrial and high-performance textiles, coatings, plastics performance, sustainability, and polymer-related intellectual property, including trade secrets.

Statistical & Data Sciences

Our Statistical & Data Sciences staff comprises our company's core capabilities in statistical methodology and offers its expertise to serve clients at any and all stages of the empirical research process including product development, manufacturing, and regulatory stages. The practice specializes in determining whether a particular activity or product poses an unreasonable risk. Risk estimation involves establishing a reference period and then collecting information about the number of injuries (or other adverse events) suffered and the amount of exposure during this period. Through analysis and synthesis of client-supplied data, combined with information from public sources, we help clients measure their own risk in the context of similar risks and determine appropriate courses of action. During the past year, we worked on a variety of engineering, health, and environmental projects for government, industry, and legal clients. Our statisticians and data scientists performed assessments of manufacturing quality systems, investigated data mining methods to improve classification tools, examined the field reliability of electronic networks and computer equipment, and analyzed the extent of environmental pollution and its effects on natural resources and human health.

Thermal Sciences

We have investigated and analyzed thousands of fires and explosions ranging from high loss disasters at manufacturing facilities to small insurance claims. Information gained from these analyses has helped us assist clients in assessing preventive measures related to the design of their products. Based on our investigation experience, we also assist industry to minimize their risk of fires and explosions, provide regulatory consulting for permitting new industrial facilities, and assist manufacturers in addressing allegations of the risk of fires associated with consumer

products. Our engineers use fire modeling and other computational fire dynamics modeling tools to supplement our analytical, experimental, and field-based activities. Preventive services include process safety hazard analysis for the chemical and oil and gas industries, fire protection engineering and dust explosion consulting.

During the past year, a multi-disciplinary team of thermal science, mechanical engineering and materials and polymer scientists reviewed the impact of the use of lithium-ion batteries in a variety of consumer products. We also have expanded our work in the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) area assessing the potential impact of the risks posed by a LNG or flammable refrigerant release at a LNG facility to populations. We also perform hazard and risk analyses to identify and mitigate the likelihood and consequences of these potential releases.

Vehicle Analysis

We have performed thousands of investigations for the automotive, trucking, recreational vehicle, marine, aerospace, and rail industries. Internal research programs and client projects have resulted in technological contributions that have assisted manufacturers in the understanding of product performance and provided insight to government agencies in establishing policy and regulations. Information gained from these analyses has also assisted clients in assessing preventive measures related to the design of their products, as well as evaluating failures.

Our Test and Engineering Center located in Phoenix, Arizona, is the setting for our most complex tests, along with rigorous analysis of results. We have gained a worldwide reputation for our ability to mobilize resources expeditiously and efficiently, integrate a broad array of technical disciplines, and provide valuable insight that is objective and withstands rigorous scrutiny. Many of our projects involve addressing the cause of accidents and our clients rely on us to determine what happened in an accident and why it happened. In many cases, they also want us to assess what could have been done to reduce the severity of the accident or to mitigate occupant injuries to those involved. Whether the objective is design analysis, component testing, or accident reconstruction, our knowledge of vehicle systems and engineering principles coupled with our experience from conducting full-scale tests add insight and proficiency to every project.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND HEALTH

Chemical Regulation & Food Safety

Our Center for Chemical Regulation and Food Safety includes experienced staff of both technical and regulatory specialists who are experienced in dealing with foods, and with pesticide and non-pesticide products including conventional chemicals, biochemicals, antimicrobials/biocides, products of biotechnology, cosmetics and industrial chemicals. We provide practical, creative, scientific and regulatory support to meet global business objectives at every stage of the product cycle, from research and development to retail and beyond.

During the past year our chemical regulation & food safety staff have conducted a wide array of work. The European and U.S. sides of the Center were jointly involved with the submission of a new pesticide active ingredient and end-use product under Global Joint Review. The European side of our business was involved with many projects related to plant protection product regulatory submissions, from new active substances to product-specific dossiers for individual member states. In addition, we provided many specialist assessments relating to human and environmental exposure and product efficacy. The REACH (Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemical substances) team is working extensively on submissions for the May 2013 submission deadline. We continue to support safety assessments for food and cosmetics products. In the U.S. we continued to provide services related to pesticide active ingredient and end-use product development and registrations in the U.S. and Canada, registration review, import tolerances in the U.S. and Canada, due diligence, and data compensation, as well as the approval of new pesticide inert ingredients and new non-pesticide chemical approvals. Our food safety consultants assisted clients with food additives, food contact notifications, and nutrition-related analyses, as well as product safety proactive and reactive support services, recall and litigation support.

Ecological & Biological Sciences

Our ecological and biological scientists provide strategic support on issues related to natural resources damages associated with chemicals and forest fires, international environmental disputes, ecosystem service assessments for businesses, climate change, ecological risk assessment, novel remediation methods, restoration of wetlands and other natural resources, large development projects, resource utilization (mineral mining, oil and gas, wood pulp), and the use of chemicals and other products in commerce. The practice specializes in assessing the fate and effects of chemical, biological, and physical stressors on aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. The practice is comprised of nationally recognized experts that cover disciplines related to the ecological implications and risks associated with these projects. The practice recently expanded to include services related to sustainability.

Environmental & Earth Sciences

Our environmental scientists and engineers provide cost-effective, scientifically defensible and realistic assessments and solutions to complex environmental issues. We offer technical, regulatory and litigation support to industries that include manufacturing, mining and minerals, oil and gas, chemicals, forest products, railroads, aerospace, and trade associations. Our consultants specialize in the areas of environmental chemistry and forensics, hydrogeology, air toxics, modeling and monitoring, remediation consulting, environmental engineering and waste management, and evaluation of environmental and social risks for large international capital projects. Our work often involves complex and high visibility environmental scenarios, claims, or toxic tort matters, where evaluation of contamination and historical reconstruction of events, releases, and doses are central to problem resolution.

Epidemiology, Biostatistics & Computational Biology

Our health scientists apply epidemiology to examine and address complex health issues in a variety of settings. Through the principles of epidemiology, we analyze the interaction of host, agent, and environment to reach conclusions about the causes and occurrence of disease in human populations.

Our consultants combine the expertise of several medical specialties, exposure assessment professionals, and other scientists who have advanced degrees in statistics and public health. All of our physicians have graduate training in epidemiology and biostatistics. Our research work has included numerous community health assessments, disease cluster investigations, survey research, occupational cohort and case-control studies, exposure assessment studies, cancer modeling, meta-analyses, and state of the art reviews.

Our health economics and outcomes research scientists determine the value of new medical technologies. We specialize in evaluating the impact of pharmaceuticals, medical devices, biotechnology products, and diagnostics on treatment patterns, medical care resource utilization, and health care costs; we assess the changes in health outcomes that result from new medical technologies, including decreased mortality, reduced disease cases or symptoms, improved quality of life, and increased patient satisfaction; and we quantify changes in health outcomes relative to their costs and to the costs of competing health care interventions, allowing assessment of the appropriate value for these new medical technologies.

Exposure Assessment & Dose Reconstruction

Exposure assessment is the science of estimating human exposure to chemical, physical, and biological agents, accounting for the frequency, magnitude, and duration of the exposure events. Exposure estimates can be compared to toxicity benchmarks or guidelines to assess potential risks to human health, and provide critical inputs to human epidemiology studies, risk assessment, and regulatory compliance.

Our staff characterize potential exposures to evaluate health risks posed by chemical or physical agents. We are skilled in estimating multiple routes of exposure from consumer products, indoor air releases, and environmental releases of chemicals. We apply these skills in support of evaluations of a variety of potential sources of exposure including consumer products, indoor air releases, ambient air releases, and contaminated soil and water. We apply these evaluations to help companies evaluate product safety questions and evaluate compliance with the growing number of product safety regulations.

Our atmospheric scientists provide air quality and meteorological modeling, permitting, and licensing support services. Scientists in our Center investigate potential and accidental releases of chemicals to the atmosphere, simulate transport and fate of chemical substances, and develop measures of prevention and control, such as emergency preparedness and response. We also apply our skills to helping clients evaluate health risks associated with contaminated soil and groundwater.

Occupational Medicine & Environmental Health

This Center is composed of industrial hygienists, safety professionals, physicians, and other scientists, with specialized training in the anticipation, recognition, evaluation, risk assessment and control of human health hazards in occupational and other environmental settings.

Our staff assists and responds to clients facing health-related exposure issues or allegations of past exposures. Exposures may involve workers or the public, take place at industrial or office environments, at single family residences or multi-tenant buildings, involve consumer products or manufacturing processes. We help to investigate a broad variety of health concerns such as evaluating claims of illnesses from exposures to chemicals, dusts, molds and micro-organisms. We can assist in developing strategies to aid in controlling such exposures, when needed. In addition, our staff has extensive experience in addressing health issues related to medical devices, consumer products and sanitation. We have also been called to assist companies with their preventive health and safety program needs in the workplace and we can provide external verification of health services performance.

Toxicology & Mechanistic Biology

We have exceptional expertise and depth in toxicology and mechanistic biology. We provide knowledge and experience that improves decisions affecting the regulation of important substances in commerce. We work with our clients to resolve important issues that affect the safe use of a wide variety of substances. We evaluate the mechanisms by which substances can affect complex biological systems, provide perspectives on potential effects at realistic human and environmental exposure levels, and develop strategies to manage human health and environmental risks. We are recognized for our outstanding credentials and decades of experience from government, academia and industry.

During the past year we continued to provide toxicology and clinical toxicology support in nearly all phases of pharmaceutical and combination drug development from preclinical studies to post-marketing safety assessments. We reviewed existing data and developed new studies on potential endocrine toxicity of chemical substances. We continue to be very active in developing and reviewing data for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program. We are also extremely active in the research related to the identification, assessment, and prioritization of risks (the probability of adverse effects) associated with engineered nanomaterial development and manufacturing processes.

COMPETITION

The marketplace for our services is fragmented and we face different sources of competition in providing various services. In addition, the services that we provide to some of our clients can be performed in-house by those clients. Clients that have the capability to perform such services themselves will retain Exponent or other independent consultants because of independence concerns.

In each of our practices and centers, we believe that the principal competitive factors are: technical capability and breadth of services, ability to deliver services on a timely basis, professional reputation and knowledge of the litigation and regulatory processes. Although we believe that we generally compete favorably in each of these areas, some of our competitors may be able to provide services acceptable to our clients at lower prices.

We believe that the barriers to entry are low and that for many of our technical disciplines, competition is increasing. In response to competitive forces in the marketplace, we continue to look for new markets for our various technical disciplines.

BUSINESS SEGMENTS OVERVIEW

We report two operating segments based on two primary areas of service. One operating segment is a broad service group providing technical consulting in different practices primarily in the areas of engineering and technology development. Our other operating segment provides services in the area of environmental, epidemiology and health risk analysis. This operating segment provides a wide range of consulting services relating to environmental hazards and risks and the impact on both human health and the environment. For more information about the financial condition and results of operations of each segment, please see *Part II - "Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations"* and *"Item 8: Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."*

EMPLOYEES

As of December 28, 2012, we employed 960 full-time and part-time employees, including 713 engineering and scientific staff, 80 technical support staff and 167 administrative and support staff. Our staff includes 635 employees with advanced degrees, of which 415 employees have achieved the level of Ph.D., Sc.D. or M.D.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The address of our internet website is www.exponent.com. We make available, free of charge through our website, access to our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and other periodic Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) reports, along with amendments to all of those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after we file the reports with the SEC. Additionally, copies of materials filed by us with the SEC may be accessed at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street NE, Washington, D.C. or at the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. For information about the SEC's Public Reference Room, the public may contact 1-800-SEC-0330. Copies of material filed by us with the SEC may also be obtained by writing to us at our corporate headquarters, Exponent, Inc., Attention: Investor Relations, 149 Commonwealth Drive, Menlo Park, CA 94025, or by calling (650) 326-9400. The content of our internet website is not incorporated into and is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The executive officers of Exponent and their ages as of February 27, 2013 are as follows:

| Name | Age | Position |
|--------------------------------|-----|---|
| Paul R. Johnston, Ph.D. | 59 | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| Elizabeth L. Anderson, Ph.D. | 72 | Group Vice President |
| Paul D. Boehm, Ph.D. | 64 | Group Vice President |
| Robert D. Caligiuri, Ph.D. | 61 | Group Vice President |
| Catherine Ford Corrigan, Ph.D. | 44 | Group Vice President |
| Subbaiah V. Malladi, Ph.D. | 66 | Chief Technical Officer |
| John E. Moalli, Sc.D. | 48 | Group Vice President |
| John D. Osteraas, Ph.D. | 58 | Group Vice President |
| Richard L. Schlenker, Jr. | 47 | Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Secretary |

Executive officers of Exponent are appointed by the Board of Directors and serve at the discretion of the Board or until the appointment of their successors. There is no family relationship between any of the directors and officers of the Company.

Paul R. Johnston, Ph.D., joined the Company in 1981, was promoted to Principal Engineer in 1987, and to Vice President in 1996. In 1997, he assumed responsibility for the firm's network of offices. In July 2003, he was appointed Chief Operating Officer and added responsibility for the Health and Environmental Groups. In 2006, he assumed line responsibility for all of the firm's consulting groups. Dr. Johnston was named President in May 2007. He was named Chief Executive Officer and elected to the Board of Directors in May 2009. Dr. Johnston received his Ph.D. (1981) in Civil Engineering and M.S. (1977) in Structural Engineering from Stanford University. He received his B.A.I. (1976) in Civil Engineering with First Class Honors from Trinity College, University of Dublin, Ireland where he was elected a Foundation Scholar in 1975. Dr. Johnston is a Registered Professional Civil Engineer in the State of California and a Chartered Engineer in Ireland.

Elizabeth L. Anderson, Ph.D., joined the Company in June 2006 as a Group Vice President and Principal Scientist. Prior to joining Exponent, Dr. Anderson was President and CEO of Sciences International, a health and environmental consulting firm. Dr. Anderson received her Ph.D. (1970) in Organic Chemistry from The American University, M.S. (1964) in Organic Chemistry from the University of Virginia and B.S. (1962) in Chemistry from the College of William and Mary. Dr. Anderson is a Fellow of the Academy of Toxicological Sciences, a founder and past-President of the Society for Risk Analysis and Editor-in-Chief of the journal, *Risk Analysis: An International Journal*.

Paul D. Boehm, Ph.D., joined the Company in April 2004 as a Group Vice President and Principal Scientist. Prior to joining the Company, Dr. Boehm was Vice President and Market Manager, Oil and Gas Sector, at Battelle Memorial Institute from 2001 to 2004. From 1999 to 2001, Dr. Boehm was Vice President and Managing Director, Environmental Health and Safety Consulting at Arthur D. Little, Inc. Dr. Boehm received his Ph.D. (1977) and M.S. (1973) in Oceanography from the University of Rhode Island and B.S. (1970) in Chemical Engineering from the University of Rochester. Dr. Boehm has published more than 100 articles in peer-reviewed journals and authored numerous reports on environmental forensics and impact assessments. Dr. Boehm has been chosen to serve on several National Research Council panels.

Robert D. Caligiuri, Ph.D., joined the Company in 1987. He was promoted to Principal Engineer in 1990 and Group Vice President in 1999. Dr. Caligiuri received his Ph.D. (1977) and M.S. (1974) in Materials Science and Engineering from Stanford University and B.S. (1973) in Mechanical Engineering from the University of California, Davis. Prior to joining the Company he was a Program Manager and Materials Scientist for SRI International. He is a Registered Professional Metallurgical Engineer in the States of California, Utah, Michigan and North Carolina and a Fellow of the American Society for Materials.

Catherine Ford Corrigan, Ph.D., joined the Company in 1996. She was promoted to Principal in the Biomechanics practice in 2002, and was appointed Group Vice President in May 2012. Dr. Corrigan earned her Ph.D. in Medical Engineering and Medical Physics from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1996. Prior to joining Exponent, Dr. Corrigan was a researcher in the Orthopaedic Biomechanics Laboratory at Beth Israel Hospital and Harvard Medical School.

Subbaiah V. Malladi, Ph.D., joined the Company in 1982 as a Senior Engineer, becoming a Senior Vice President in January 1988 and a Corporate Vice President in September 1993. In October 1998, Dr. Malladi was appointed Chief Technical Officer of the Company. Dr. Malladi also served as a Director of the Company from March 1991 through September 1993. He was re-appointed as a Director in April 1996 and served on the Board until May 2005. He received a Ph.D. (1980) in Mechanical Engineering from the California Institute of Technology, M.Tech (1972) in Mechanical Engineering from the Indian Institute of Technology, B.E. (1970) in Mechanical Engineering from SRI Venkateswara University, India and B.S. (1966) in Physics, Chemistry and Mathematics from Osmania University, India. Dr. Malladi is a Registered Professional Mechanical Engineer in the State of California.

John E. Moalli, Sc.D., joined the Company in 1992. He was promoted to Principal in 1997, served as an Office and Practice Director and became Group Vice President in 2002. Dr. Moalli received his Sc.D. (1992) in Polymers from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and B.S. (1987) in Civil Engineering from Northeastern University. Dr. Moalli is a nationally recognized expert in polymeric materials and has an academic appointment at Stanford University.

John D. Oстераas, Ph.D., worked for the Company from 1982 to 1985 as a Senior Engineer. He rejoined the Company in 1990 as a Managing Engineer. He was promoted to Principal Engineer in 1992 and Group Vice President in 2006. Dr. Oстераas received his Ph.D. (1990) in Civil Engineering, M.S. (1977) in Civil Engineering: Structural Engineering from Stanford University and B.S. (1976) in Civil and Environmental Engineering from the University of Wisconsin. Dr. Oстераas is a Registered Professional Engineer in 16 states and is a Fellow of the American Society of Civil Engineers.

Richard L. Schlenker, Jr. joined the Company in 1990. Mr. Schlenker is the Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Secretary of the Company. He was appointed Executive Vice President in April 2010, Chief Financial Officer in July 1999 and Secretary of the Company in November 1997. Mr. Schlenker was the Director of

Human Resources from 1998 until his appointment as Chief Financial Officer. He was the Manager of Corporate Development from 1996 until 1998. From 1993 to 1996, Mr. Schlenker was a Business Manager, where he managed the business activities for multiple consulting practices within the Company. Prior to 1993, he held several different positions in finance and accounting within the Company. Mr. Schlenker holds a B.S. in Finance from the University of Southern California.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Exponent operates in a rapidly changing environment that involves a number of uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. These uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those mentioned elsewhere in this report and those set forth below.

Lack of sizable backlog may lead to less predictable, and perhaps lower, future revenues.

Revenues are primarily derived from services provided in response to client requests or events that occur without notice, and engagements, generally billed as services are performed, are terminable or subject to postponement or delay at any time by clients. As a result, backlog at any particular time is small in relation to our quarterly or annual revenues and is not a reliable indicator of revenues for any future periods. Revenues and operating margins for any particular quarter are generally affected by staffing mix, resource requirements and timing and size of engagements.

Failure to attract and retain key employees may adversely affect our business.

Exponent's business involves the delivery of professional services and is labor-intensive. Our success depends in large part upon our ability to attract, retain and motivate highly qualified technical and managerial personnel. Qualified personnel are in great demand and are likely to remain a limited resource for the foreseeable future. We cannot provide any assurance that we can continue to attract sufficient numbers of highly qualified technical and managerial personnel and to retain existing employees. The loss of key managerial employees, business generators or any significant number of employees could have a material adverse impact on our business, including our ability to secure and complete engagements.

Competition could reduce our pricing and adversely affect our business.

The markets for our services are highly competitive. In addition, there are relatively low barriers to entry into our markets and we have faced, and expect to continue to face, additional competition from new entrants into our markets. Competitive pressure could reduce the market acceptance of our services and result in price reductions that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The loss of a large client could adversely affect our business.

We currently derive a significant portion of our revenues from clients in the consumer electronics, insurance, petrochemical, transportation, and utilities industries and the government sector. The loss of any large client, organization or insurer could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our business can be adversely affected by downturns in the overall economy.

The markets that we serve are cyclical and subject to general economic conditions. The direction and relative strength of the global economy continues to be uncertain. If the economic growth in the United States, where we primarily operate, continues to be slow and not improve, our clients may consolidate or go out of business and thus demand for our services could be reduced significantly.

Our clients may be unable to pay for our services.

If a client's financial difficulties become severe, the client may be unwilling or unable to pay our invoices in the ordinary course of business, which could adversely affect collections of both our accounts receivable and unbilled services. On occasion, some of our clients have entered bankruptcy, which has prevented us from collecting amounts owed to us. The bankruptcy of a client with substantial accounts receivable could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We hold substantial investments that could present liquidity risks.

Our cash equivalent and short-term investment portfolio as of December 28, 2012, consisted primarily of obligations of state and local government agencies and the U.S. Treasury. We follow an established investment policy to monitor, manage and limit our exposure to interest rate and credit risk. The policy sets forth credit quality standards and limits our exposure to any one issuer, as well as our maximum exposure to various asset classes.

Investments in some financial instruments may pose risks arising from liquidity and credit concerns. As of December 28, 2012, we had no impairment charge associated with our investment portfolio relating to such adverse financial market conditions. Although we believe our current investment portfolio has a low risk of impairment, we cannot predict future market conditions or market liquidity and can provide no assurance that our investment portfolio will remain unimpaired.

Our business is dependent on our professional reputation.

The professional reputation of Exponent and its consultants is critical to our ability to successfully compete for new client engagements and attract or retain professionals. Proven or unproven allegations against us may damage our professional reputation. Any factors that damage our professional reputation could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our business can be adversely impacted by deregulation or reduced regulatory enforcement.

Public concern over health, safety and preservation of the environment has resulted in the enactment of a broad range of environmental and/or other laws and regulations by local, state and federal lawmakers and agencies. These laws and the implementing of new regulations affect nearly every industry, as well as the agencies of federal, state and local governments charged with their enforcement. To the extent changes in such laws, regulations and enforcement or other factors significantly reduce the exposures of manufacturers, owners, service providers and others to liability, the demand for our services may be significantly reduced.

Tort reform can reduce demand for our services.

Several of our practices have a significant concentration in litigation support consulting services. To the extent tort reform reduces the exposure of manufacturers, owners, service providers and others to liability, the demand for our litigation support consulting services may be significantly reduced.

Our quarterly results may vary.

Variations in our revenues and operating results occur from time to time, as a result of a number of factors, such as the significance of client engagements commenced and completed during a quarter, the timing of engagements, the number of working days in a quarter, employee hiring and utilization rates, and integration of companies acquired. Because a high percentage of our expenses, particularly personnel and facilities related expenses, are relatively fixed in advance of any particular quarter, a variation in the timing of the initiation or the completion of our client assignments can cause significant variations in operating results from quarter to quarter.

Our engagements may result in professional or other liability.

Our services typically involve difficult engineering and scientific assignments and carry risks of professional and other liability. Many of our engagements involve matters that could have a severe impact on a client's business, cause a client to lose significant amounts of money, or prevent a client from pursuing desirable business opportunities. Accordingly, if a client is dissatisfied with our performance, the client could threaten or bring litigation in order to recover damages or to contest its obligation to pay our fees. Litigation alleging that we performed negligently, disclosed client confidential information, lost or damaged evidence, infringed on patents, or otherwise breached our obligations to a client could expose us to significant liabilities to our clients or other third parties or tarnish our reputation.

Potential conflicts of interests may preclude us from accepting some engagements.

We provide litigation support consulting and other services primarily in connection with significant disputes, or other matters that are usually adversarial or that involve sensitive client information. The nature of our consulting services may preclude us from accepting engagements with other potential clients because of conflicts. Accordingly, the nature of our business limits the number of both potential clients and potential engagements.

The market price of our common stock may be volatile.

Many factors could cause the market price of our common stock to rise and fall. These include the risk factors listed above and below, changes in estimates of our performance or recommendations by securities analysts, future sales of shares of common stock in the public market, market conditions in the industry and economy as a whole, acquisitions or strategic alliances involving us or our competitors, restatement of financial results and changes in accounting principles or methods. In addition, the stock market often experiences significant price fluctuations. These fluctuations are often unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. When the market price of a company's stock drops significantly, shareholders often institute securities class action litigation against that company. Any litigation against us could cause us to incur substantial costs, divert the time and attention of our management and other resources, or otherwise harm our business.

Impairment of goodwill may require us to record a significant charge to earnings.

On our balance sheet, we have \$8,607,000 of goodwill subject to periodic evaluation for impairment. Failure to achieve sufficient levels of cash flow at reporting units, the loss of key employees, changes to the scope of operations of our business or a significant and sustained decline in our stock price could result in goodwill impairment charges. During times of financial market volatility, significant judgment is required to determine the underlying cause of the decline and whether stock price declines are short-term in nature or indicative of an event or change in circumstances.

Impairment of long-lived assets or restructuring activities may require us to record a significant charge to earnings.

Our long-lived assets, including our office and laboratory space in Menlo Park, California and our test and engineering center in Phoenix, Arizona, are subject to periodic testing for impairment. Failure to achieve sufficient levels of cash flow at reporting units could result in impairment of our long-lived assets. In addition, we have operating lease commitments for office, warehouse and laboratory space of \$26,256,000 as of December 28, 2012. Changes in the business environment could lead to changes in the scope of operations of our business. These changes, including the closure of one or more offices, could result in restructuring and/or asset impairment charges.

Our international operations create special risks that could adversely affect our business.

In addition to our offices in the United States, we have physical offices in the United Kingdom, Germany, Switzerland and China and conduct business in several other countries. We expect to continue to expand globally and our international revenues may account for an increasing portion of our revenues in the future. Our international operations carry special financial, business and legal risks, including cultural and language differences; employment laws and related factors that could result in lower utilizations, higher staffing costs, and cyclical fluctuations of utilization and revenues; currency fluctuations that adversely affect our financial position and operating results; burdensome regulatory requirements and other barriers to conducting business; managing the risks associated with engagements with foreign officials and governmental agencies, including the risks arising from the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act; greater difficulties in managing and staffing foreign operations; successful entry and execution in new markets; restrictions on the repatriation of earnings, and potentially adverse tax consequences.

Inherent risks related to government contracts may adversely affect our business.

We work for various United States and foreign governmental entities and agencies. Government entities reserve the right to audit our contracts and conduct inquiries and investigations of our business practices with respect to government contracts. Findings from an audit may result in fees being refunded to the government or prospective adjustment to previously agreed upon rates that will affect future margins. If a government client discovers improper or illegal activities in the course of audits or investigations, we may become subject to various civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, which may include termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines and suspensions or debarment from doing business with other agencies of the government. The inherent limitations of internal controls may not prevent or detect all improper or illegal activities, regardless of the adequacy of such controls. Government contracts, and the proceedings surrounding them, are often subject to more extensive scrutiny and publicity than other commercial contracts. Negative publicity related to our government contracts, regardless of whether it is accurate, may further damage our business by affecting our ability to compete for

new contracts.

A decline in the U.S. Government defense budget, changes in budgetary priorities or timing of contract awards may adversely affect our business.

Our operating results could be adversely affected by spending caps or changes in the budgetary priorities of the U.S. Government or the Department of Defense (DoD), as well as delays in program starts or the award of contracts or task orders under contracts. Current U.S. Government spending levels for defense-related programs may not be sustained and future spending and program authorizations may not increase or may decrease or shift to programs in areas in which we do not provide services or are less likely to be awarded contracts. Such changes in spending authorizations and budgetary priorities may occur as a result of the rapid growth of the federal budget deficit, increasing political pressure and legislation, including the Budget Control Act of 2011, designed to reduce overall levels of government spending, shifts in spending priorities from defense-related programs as a result of competing demands for federal funds, the number and intensity of military conflicts or other factors. The Budget Control Act of 2011 enacted 10-year discretionary spending caps which are expected to generate over \$1 trillion in savings for the U.S. Government, a significant portion of which comes from DoD baseline spending reductions. In addition, unless the Budget Control Act is amended, additional automatic spending cuts (referred to as sequestration) totaling \$1.2 trillion over 10 years will be triggered beginning in the U.S. Government fiscal year ending September 30, 2013. These spending cuts are expected to further reduce DoD, as well as other federal agency spending. The U.S. Government also conducts periodic reviews of U.S. defense strategies and priorities, which may shift DoD budgetary priorities, reduce overall U.S. Government spending or delay contract or task order awards for defense-related programs. In addition, changes to the DoD acquisition system and contracting models could affect whether and how we pursue certain opportunities and the terms under which we are able to do so. A significant decline in overall U.S. Government spending, the substantial reduction or elimination of particular defense-related programs or significant delays in contract or task order awards could adversely affect our business.

Governments may terminate, cancel, modify or curtail our contracts at any time prior to their completion.

Under our government contracts, the client generally has the right not to exercise options to extend or expand our contracts and may otherwise terminate, cancel, modify or curtail our contracts at its convenience. Any decision by the client not to exercise contract options or to terminate, cancel, modify or curtail our programs or contracts would adversely affect our revenues, revenue growth and profitability.

We could incur significant liabilities and suffer negative publicity if people or properties are harmed by the products and systems we sell or the services we offer.

We design, develop, manufacture, sell, service and maintain various products and systems. In some instances, we also train operators of such products and systems. Many of these products and systems utilize software algorithms that are probabilistic in nature and subject to significant technical limitations. There are many factors, some of which are beyond our control, which could result in the failure of our products or systems. The failure of our products or systems could lead to injury, death, extensive property damage and may lead to product liability, professional liability, or other claims against us. Further, if our products or systems fail, or are perceived to have failed, the negative publicity from such incident could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Changes in, or interpretations of, accounting principles could have a significant impact on our financial position and results of operations.

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). These principles are subject to interpretation by the SEC and various bodies formed to interpret and create appropriate accounting principles. A change in these principles can have a significant effect on our reported results and may even retroactively affect previously reported transactions.

For example, the U.S.-based Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) is currently working together with the International Accounting Standards Board (“IASB”) on several projects to further align accounting principles and facilitate more comparable financial reporting between companies who are required to follow GAAP under SEC regulations and those who are required to follow International Financial Reporting Standards outside of the U.S. These efforts by the FASB and IASB may result in different accounting principles under GAAP that may result in materially different financial results for us in areas including, but not limited to, principles for recognizing revenue and lease accounting.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

16

Item 2. Properties

Our Silicon Valley office facilities consist of a 153,738 square foot building, with office and laboratory space located on a 6.3-acre tract of land we own in Menlo Park, California and an adjacent 27,000 square feet of leased warehouse storage space.

Our Test and Engineering Center (TEC) occupies 147 acres in Phoenix, Arizona. We lease this land from the state of Arizona under a 30-year lease agreement that expires in January 2028 and have options to renew for two fifteen-year periods. We constructed an indoor test facility as well as an engineering and test preparation building at the TEC.

In addition, we lease office, warehouse and laboratory space in 18 other locations in 13 states and the District of Columbia, as well as in Germany, China, Switzerland and the United Kingdom. Leases for these offices, warehouse and laboratory facilities have terms generally ranging between one and ten years. Aggregate lease expense in fiscal 2012 for all leased properties was \$5,481,000.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Exponent is not engaged in any material legal proceedings.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Edgar Filing: EXPONENT INC - Form 10-K

Exponent's common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, under the symbol "EXPO". The following table sets forth for the fiscal periods indicated the high and low sales prices for our common stock.

| Stock prices by quarter | High | Low |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Fiscal Year Ended December 30, 2011: | | |
| First Quarter | \$45.53 | \$35.06 |
| Second Quarter | \$45.53 | \$39.86 |
| Third Quarter | \$47.93 | \$35.56 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$51.17 | \$38.13 |
| Fiscal Year Ended December 28, 2012: | | |
| First Quarter | \$53.31 | \$45.09 |
| Second Quarter | \$52.84 | \$45.56 |
| Third Quarter | \$57.16 | \$49.04 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$58.92 | \$47.50 |

As of February 15, 2013, there were 285 holders of record of our common stock. Because many of the shares of our common stock are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of stockholders, we believe that there are considerably more beneficial holders of our common stock than record holders.

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock. During the first quarter of 2013, we declared a dividend of \$0.15 per share and expect to pay quarterly dividends in the future, subject to a declaration by our Board of Directors. See Item 7 of Part II "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources."

The following table provides information on the Company's share repurchases (of Company common stock) for the quarter ended December 28, 2012 (in thousands, except price per share):

| | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan or Program |
|----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| September 29 to October 26 | - | \$ - | - | \$ 24,969 |
| October 27 to November 23 | - | - | - | \$ 24,969 |
| November 24 to December 28 | 75 | \$ 52.65 | 75 | \$ 21,011 |
| Total | 75 | \$ 52.65 | 75 | |

On February 9, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors authorized an additional \$35,000,000 for the repurchase of the Company's common stock. As of December 28, 2012, the Company had remaining authorization under its stock repurchase plan of \$21,011,000 to repurchase shares of common stock. On February 15, 2013, the Board of Directors authorized a further \$35,000,000 for the repurchase of the Company's common stock.

COMPANY STOCK PRICE PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The graph compares the Company's cumulative total stockholder return calculated on a dividend-reinvested basis from 2007 through 2012 with those of the Standard & Poor's ("S&P") 500 Index and the S&P SmallCap 600 Index. The Company does not have a comparable peer group and thus has selected the S&P Small Cap 600 Index. The graph assumes that \$100 was invested on the last day of 2007. Note that the historic stock price performance is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected consolidated financial data are derived from our consolidated financial statements. This data should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, and with Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

| (In thousands, except per share data) | Fiscal Year | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income Data: | | | | | |
| Revenues before reimbursements | \$266,562 | \$246,667 | \$221,860 | \$205,714 | \$206,194 |
| Revenues | \$292,653 | \$272,446 | \$248,753 | \$227,882 | \$228,838 |
| Operating income | \$57,620 | \$53,460 | \$43,241 | \$33,262 | \$36,722 |
| Net income | \$37,225 | \$32,695 | \$27,521 | \$22,127 | \$23,160 |
| Net income per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$2.70 | \$2.31 | \$1.92 | \$1.56 | \$1.57 |
| Diluted | \$2.60 | \$2.22 | \$1.83 | \$1.47 | \$1.47 |

Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$113,268 | \$84,439 | \$106,549 | \$67,895 | \$32,598 |
| Short-term investments | \$20,881 | \$25,260 | \$- | \$7,490 | \$24,772 |
| Working capital | \$163,673 | \$137,803 | \$136,860 | \$103,253 | \$82,073 |
| Total assets | \$315,417 | \$268,788 | \$258,892 | \$206,481 | \$183,090 |
| Long-term liabilities | \$27,217 | \$21,298 | \$17,358 | \$11,333 | \$6,761 |
| Total stockholders' equity | \$216,429 | \$186,715 | \$183,800 | \$150,071 | \$128,094 |

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

OVERVIEW

Exponent, Inc. is a science and engineering consulting firm that provides solutions to complex problems. Our multidisciplinary team of scientists, physicians, engineers, business and regulatory consultants brings together more than 90 different technical disciplines to solve complicated issues facing industry and government today. Our services include analysis of products, people, property, processes and finances related to litigation, product recall, regulatory compliance, research, development and design.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

In preparing our consolidated financial statements, we make assumptions, judgments and estimates that can have a significant impact on our revenue, operating income and net income, as well as on the value of certain assets and liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet. We base our assumptions, judgments and estimates on historical experience and various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. On a regular basis we evaluate our assumptions, judgments and estimates and make changes accordingly. We believe that the assumptions, judgments and estimates involved in the accounting for revenue recognition and estimating the allowance for doubtful accounts have the greatest potential impact on our consolidated financial statements, so we consider these to be our critical accounting policies. We discuss below the assumptions, judgments and estimates associated with these policies. Historically, our assumptions, judgments and estimates relative to our critical accounting policies have not differed materially from actual results. For further information on our critical accounting policies, see Note 1 of our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Revenue recognition. We derive our revenues primarily from professional fees earned on consulting engagements, product sales in our defense technology development practice, fees earned for the use of our equipment and facilities, as well as reimbursements for outside direct expenses associated with the services that are billed to our clients.

Substantially all of our engagements are service contracts performed under time and material or fixed-price billing arrangements. For time and material and fixed-price service projects, revenue is generally recognized as the services are performed. For substantially all of our fixed-price service engagements, we recognize revenue based on the relationship of incurred labor hours at standard rates to our estimate of the total labor hours at standard rates we expect to incur over the term of the contract. Our estimate of total labor hours we expect to incur over the term of the contract is based on the nature of the project and our past experience on similar projects. We believe this methodology achieves a reliable measure of the revenue from the consulting services we provide to our customers under fixed-price contracts.

Significant management judgments and estimates must be made and used in connection with the revenues recognized in any accounting period. These judgments and estimates include an assessment of collectability and, for fixed-price engagements, an estimate as to the total effort required to complete the project. If we made different judgments or utilized different estimates, the amount and timing of our revenue for any period could be materially different.

All contracts are subject to review by management, which requires a positive assessment of the collectability of contract amounts. If, during the course of the contract, we determine that collection of revenue is not reasonably assured, we do not recognize the revenue until its collection becomes reasonably assured, which in those situations would generally be upon receipt of cash. We assess collectability based on a number of factors, including past transaction history with the client, as well as the credit-worthiness of the client. Losses on fixed-price contracts are recognized during the period in which the loss first becomes evident. Contract losses are determined to be the amount by which the estimated total costs of the contract exceeds the total fixed price of the contract.

Estimating the allowance for doubtful accounts. We must make estimates of our ability to collect accounts receivable and our unbilled but recognized work-in-process. In circumstances where we are aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligations to us, we record a specific allowance to reduce the net recognized receivable to the amount we reasonably believe will be collected. For all other customers we recognize allowances for doubtful accounts based upon historical bad debts, customer concentration, customer credit-worthiness, current economic conditions, and aging of amounts due. As of December 28, 2012, our accounts receivable balance was \$85,361,000, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,666,000.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the percentage of revenues of certain items in our consolidated statements of income and the percentage increase (decrease) in the dollar amount of such items year to year:

| | PERCENTAGE OF REVENUES FOR FISCAL YEARS | | | PERIOD TO PERIOD CHANGE | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------|---------|----------------------------|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2012 vs. 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 |
| Revenues | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 7.4 % | 9.5 % |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Compensation and related expenses | 58.7 | 57.6 | 58.2 | 9.5 | 8.3 |
| Other operating expenses | 8.1 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 1.4 | 8.5 |
| Reimbursable expenses | 8.9 | 9.5 | 10.8 | 1.2 | (4.1) |
| General and administrative expenses | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 6.1 |
| | 80.3 | 80.4 | 82.6 | 7.3 | 6.6 |
| Operating income | 19.7 | 19.6 | 17.4 | 7.8 | 23.6 |
| Other income, net | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 203.8 | (60.8) |
| Income before income taxes | 21.1 | 20.1 | 18.8 | 12.6 | 17.4 |
| Provision for income taxes | 8.4 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 10.8 | 15.3 |
| Net income | 12.7 % | 12.0 % | 11.1 % | 13.9 % | 18.8 % |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Revenues for fiscal 2012 increased 7% and revenues before reimbursements increased 8% as compared to the prior year. This growth was due to solid overall demand for our consulting services from a diverse set of clients for both reactive and proactive projects and elevated levels of activity on a few major assignments. We continued to work on a steady flow of reactive projects related to litigation, insurance claims and product recalls for a diverse set of clients, with significant projects in the chemical, energy and automotive sectors. We continued to see an increase in proactive projects related to design consulting, regulatory consulting, and engineering management consulting. We assisted consumer electronics and medical device clients in their design and manufacturing efforts. In defense technology development, we continued to work on several projects related to the detection of improvised explosive devices. As a result, utilization increased to 73% as compared to 71% during prior year.

We made progress during fiscal 2012 in several of our strategic growth areas. In energy consulting, we continued to work on projects for several utilities and oil and gas clients to assist them with understanding why certain pipeline

failures have occurred as well as the evaluation of their pipeline risk management programs. In design consulting, we continued our work in battery consulting for a broad set of industries including consumer electronics, medical devices, automotive, aerospace, and battery suppliers. In our environmental and health groups, we performed evaluations of the human health exposure from processes and products and environmental risk assessments for historical, existing and future operations.

During fiscal 2012, we assisted clients with several high-profile investigations that engaged consultants across many of our practices. The growth in consulting revenues and the increase in utilization combined with moderate growth in other operating and general and administrative expenses resulted in a 14% increase in net income to \$37,225,000 during fiscal 2012 as compared to \$32,695,000 during the prior year. Diluted earnings per share increased to \$2.60 per share as compared to \$2.22 during the prior year due to the increase in net income and our ongoing share repurchase program. We were able to improve profitability and margins by effectively managing headcount over the past year to align our resources with demand and benefited from some major investigations which resulted in improved utilization and increased leverage of our cost structure.

We remain focused on selectively adding top talent and developing the skills necessary to expand upon our market position, providing clients with in-depth scientific research and analysis to determine what happened and how to prevent failures or exposures in the future, capitalizing on emerging growth areas, managing other operating expenses, generating cash from operations, maintaining a strong balance sheet and undertaking activities such as share repurchases to enhance shareholder value. We expect some of our major assignments to step down from their elevated levels of activity as they move through their project life cycle. We also expect a step down in the level of activity in our defense technology development practice due to the constraints on defense spending and reduction of forces in Afghanistan by the United States federal government.

OVERVIEW OF THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 28, 2012

Our revenues consist of professional fees earned on consulting engagements, product sales in our defense technology development practice, fees for use of our equipment and facilities, and reimbursements for outside direct expenses associated with the services performed that are billed to our clients.

We operate on a 52-53 week fiscal year with each year ending on the Friday closest to December 31st. The fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and January 1, 2010 included 52 weeks of activity.

During fiscal 2012, revenues increased 7% as compared to fiscal 2011. The increase in revenues was due to an increase in billable hours in our engineering and other scientific segment and our environmental and health segment. Billable hours increased 7.2% to 1,052,000 during fiscal 2012 as compared to 981,000 during fiscal 2011. The increase in billable hours was due to strong demand for our consulting services across a broad set of practices and elevated levels of activity on several major assignments. Technical full-time equivalent employees increased 4.7% to 692 during fiscal 2012 as compared to 661 during the fiscal 2011 due to our recruiting and retention efforts. Utilization increased to 73% for fiscal 2012 as compared to 71% during fiscal 2011. The increase in utilization was due to elevated levels of activity on several major assignments and our management of headcount to align resources with anticipated demand. Product sales in defense technology development decreased to \$9,213,000 during fiscal 2012 as compared to \$12,300,000 during fiscal 2011 due to lower sales of surveillance systems to the United States Army. We expect a significant decrease in sales of surveillance systems during fiscal 2013 as a result of the reduction of forces in Afghanistan.

FISCAL YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 28, 2012, AND DECEMBER 30, 2011

Revenues

| (In thousands except percentages) | Fiscal Years | | Percent Change | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Engineering and other scientific | \$213,304 | \$199,772 | 6.8 | % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 72.9 % | 73.3 % | | |
| Environmental and health | 79,349 | 72,674 | 9.2 | % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 27.1 % | 26.7 % | | |
| Total revenues | \$292,653 | \$272,446 | 7.4 | % |

The increase in revenues for our engineering and other scientific segment was due to an increase in billable hours. During fiscal 2012, billable hours for this segment increased by 6.5% to 738,000 as compared to 693,000 during fiscal 2011. The increase was due to strong demand for services in our mechanics and materials, electrical, thermal and engineering management consulting practices. Utilization increased to 75% for fiscal 2012 as compared to 73% for fiscal 2011 due in part to elevated levels of activity on a number of major assignments that engage consultants across many of our engineering and other scientific practices and our management of headcount to align resources with anticipated demand. Technical full-time equivalents increased 3.5% to 474 for fiscal 2012 from 458 for fiscal 2011 due to our recruiting and retention efforts. Product sales in defense technology development decreased to \$9,213,000 during fiscal 2012 as compared to \$12,300,000 during fiscal 2011 due to lower sales of surveillance systems to the United States Army.

The increase in revenues from our environmental and health segment was due to an increase in billable hours. During fiscal 2012, billable hours for this segment increased by 9.0% to 314,000 as compared to 288,000 during fiscal 2011. The increase in billable hours was due to strong demand for services in our environmental sciences, ecological sciences, and chemical regulation and food safety practices. Utilization increased to 69% for fiscal 2012 as compared to 68% for fiscal 2011 due to elevated levels of activity on a number of major assignments that engage consultants across many of our environmental and health practices and centers. Technical full-time equivalents increased by 7.4% to 218 during fiscal 2012 as compared to 203 for fiscal 2011 due to our recruiting and retention efforts.

Revenues are primarily derived from services provided in response to client requests or events that occur without notice and engagements are generally terminable or subject to postponement or delay at any time by our clients. As a result, backlog at any particular time is small in relation to our quarterly or annual revenues and is not a reliable indicator of revenues for any future periods.

Compensation and Related Expenses

| (In thousands except percentages) | Fiscal Years | | Percent Change |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Compensation and related expenses | \$171,809 | \$156,853 | 9.5 % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 58.7 % | 57.6 % | |

The increase in compensation and related expenses during fiscal 2012 was due to an increase in payroll, bonuses, fringe benefits and a change in the value of assets associated with our deferred compensation plan. Payroll increased \$6,387,000 and fringe benefits increased \$841,000 due to a 4.7% increase in technical full time equivalent employees and our annual salary increases. Bonuses increased \$4,102,000 due to a corresponding increase in profitability. During fiscal 2012, deferred compensation expense increased \$2,431,000 with a corresponding increase to other income (expense), net, as compared with prior year due to the change in value of assets associated with our deferred compensation plan. This increase consisted of an increase in the value of the plan assets of \$2,158,000 during fiscal 2012 and a decrease in the value of the plan assets of \$273,000 during fiscal 2011. We will continue to selectively hire key talent but expect headcount growth to slow during fiscal 2013 as we manage our headcount to reduce the impact of the step down in levels of activity on our major assignments.

Other Operating Expenses

| (In thousands except percentages) | Fiscal Years | | Percent Change |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|------|----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | |

Edgar Filing: EXPONENT INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|-----|---|
| Other operating expenses | \$23,574 | \$23,238 | 1.4 | % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 8.1 | % | 8.5 | % |

Other operating expenses include facilities-related costs, technical materials, computer-related expenses and depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements. The increase in other operating expenses was primarily due to an increase in occupancy expenses of \$509,000 partially offset by a decrease in technical materials of \$240,000. The increase in occupancy expenses was due to planned maintenance activities for our owned facilities and costs associated with the increase in technical full-time equivalent employees. The decrease in technical materials was due to a decrease in development activities for our defense technology development practice. We expect other operating expense to grow as we selectively add new talent and make investments in our corporate infrastructure.

Reimbursable Expenses

| (In thousands except percentages) | Fiscal Years | | Percent Change | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Reimbursable expenses | \$26,091 | \$25,779 | 1.2 | % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 8.9 | % | 9.5 | % |

Reimbursable expenses for fiscal 2012 remained relatively consistent with fiscal 2011. The amount of reimbursable expenses will vary from year to year depending on the nature of our projects.

General and Administrative Expenses

| (In thousands except percentages) | Fiscal Years | | Percent Change | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| General and administrative expenses | \$13,559 | \$13,116 | 3.4 | % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 4.6 | % | 4.8 | % |

The increase in general and administrative expenses during the fiscal 2012 was primarily due to an increase in travel and meals of \$689,000 partially offset by a decrease in legal fees of \$336,000. The increase in travel and meals was related to a bi-annual firm-wide managers' meeting that was held at the end of the third quarter of 2012. The decrease in legal fees was primarily due to legal claims in the prior year. We expect general and administrative expenses to increase as we expand our business development efforts, and pursue staff development initiatives.

Other Income (Expense), Net

| (In thousands except percentages) | Fiscal Years | | Percent Change |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Other income and expense, net | \$4,129 | \$1,359 | 203.8 % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 1.4 % | 0.5 % | |

Other income (expense), net, consists primarily of interest income earned on available cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, changes in the value of assets associated with our deferred compensation plan and rental income from leasing excess space in our Silicon Valley facility. During fiscal 2012, other income (expense), net increased \$2,431,000 with a corresponding increase to deferred compensation expense as compared to fiscal 2011 due to the change in value of assets associated with our deferred compensation plan. This year-over-year increase consisted of an increase in the value of the plan assets of \$2,158,000 during fiscal 2012 and a decrease in the value of the plan assets of \$273,000 during fiscal 2011. During fiscal 2012, rental income increased \$361,000 as compared to fiscal 2011 due to an increase in the occupancy rate for rental space in our Silicon Valley facility.

Income Taxes

| (In thousands except percentages) | Fiscal Years | | Percent Change |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Income taxes | \$24,524 | \$22,124 | 10.8 % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 8.4 % | 8.1 % | |
| Effective tax rate | 39.7 % | 40.4 % | |

The increase in income taxes was due to a corresponding increase in pre-tax income. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily due to a change in estimate associated with the Company's apportionment of income between the states.

FISCAL YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 30, 2011, AND DECEMBER 31, 2010**Revenues**

Edgar Filing: EXPONENT INC - Form 10-K

| (In thousands except percentages) | Fiscal Years | | Percent Change | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------|----------------|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | | |
| Engineering and other scientific | \$ 199,772 | \$ 184,146 | 8.5 | % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 73.3 % | 74.0 % | | |
| Environmental and health | 72,674 | 64,607 | 12.5 | % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 26.7 % | 26.0 % | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 272,446 | \$ 248,753 | 9.5 | % |

The increase in revenues for our engineering and other scientific segment was due to an increase in billable hours and higher billing rates. During fiscal 2011, billable hours for this segment increased by 8.1% to 693,000 as compared to 641,000 during fiscal 2010. This increase was due to strong demand for our services. Technical full-time equivalent employees increased 3.9% to 458 during fiscal 2011 from 441 during fiscal 2010 due to our recruiting and retention efforts. Utilization increased to 73% for fiscal 2011 as compared to 70% for fiscal 2010 due in part to elevated levels of activity on a number of major assignments and our management of headcount to align resources with anticipated demand. Product sales in defense technology development increased to \$12,300,000 during fiscal 2011 as compared to \$12,100,000 during fiscal 2010.

The increase in revenues from our environmental and health segment was due to an increase in billable hours and higher billing rates. The increase in billable hours was due to strong demand for our services. During fiscal 2011, billable hours for this segment increased by 11.2% to 288,000 as compared to 259,000 during fiscal 2010. Utilization decreased to 68% for fiscal 2011 as compared to 70% for fiscal 2010. The decrease in utilization was due in part to our investment in hiring experienced consultants during the current year. Technical full-time equivalent employees increased by 13.4% to 203 during fiscal 2011 as compared to 179 during fiscal 2010.

Compensation and Related Expenses

| (In thousands except percentages) | Fiscal Years | | Percent Change |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Compensation and related expenses | \$156,853 | \$144,842 | 8.3 % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 57.6 % | 58.2 % | |

The increase in compensation and related expenses during fiscal 2011 was due to an increase in payroll, bonuses, and fringe benefits partially offset by the change in the value of assets associated with our deferred compensation plan. Payroll increased \$7,941,000 and fringe benefits increased \$1,996,000 due to a 6.6% increase in technical full time equivalent employees and our annual salary increase on April 2, 2011. Bonuses increased by \$4,240,000 due to a corresponding increase in profitability. During fiscal 2011, deferred compensation expense decreased \$2,198,000 with a corresponding decrease to other income (expense), net, as compared to fiscal 2010 due to the change in value of assets associated with our deferred compensation plan. This decrease consisted of a decrease in the value of the plan assets of \$273,000 during fiscal 2011 and an increase in the value of the plan assets of \$1,925,000 during fiscal 2010.

Other Operating Expenses

| (In thousands except percentages) | Fiscal Years | | Percent Change |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Other operating expenses | \$23,238 | \$21,413 | 8.5 % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 8.5 % | 8.6 % | |

Other operating expenses include facilities-related costs, technical materials, computer-related expenses and depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements. The increase in other operating expenses was primarily due to an increase in occupancy expenses of \$788,000, an increase in computer expenses of \$450,000, and an increase in technical materials of \$281,000. The increase in occupancy expenses was due to planned maintenance activities for our owned facilities and costs associated with the increase in technical full-time equivalent employees. The increase in computer expenses and technical materials was associated with the increase in technical full-time equivalent employees and investments in our corporate infrastructure.

Reimbursable Expenses

| (In thousands except percentages) | Fiscal Years | Percent |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|

Edgar Filing: EXPONENT INC - Form 10-K

| percentages) | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Reimbursable expenses | \$25,779 | \$26,893 | (4.1)% |
| Percentage of total revenues | 9.5 % | 10.8 % | |

Reimbursable expenses for fiscal 2011 remained relatively consistent with fiscal 2010. The amount of reimbursable expenses will vary from year to year depending on the nature of our projects.

General and Administrative Expenses

| (In thousands except percentages) | Fiscal Years | | Percent |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| General and administrative expenses | \$13,116 | \$12,364 | 6.1 % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 4.8 % | 5.0 % | |

The increase in general and administrative expenses during fiscal 2011 was primarily due to an increase in contributions of \$272,000, an increase in travel and meals of \$260,000, an increase in marketing and business development of \$178,000, an increase in professional development of \$169,000 and several individually insignificant increases partially offset by a decrease in legal expenses of \$552,000. The increase in travel and meals, marketing and business development, and professional development were due to our continuing investment in employee and business development activities. The decrease in legal fees was due to a decrease in costs associated with legal claims during fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010.

Other Income and Expense

| (In thousands except percentages) | Fiscal Years | | Percent |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| Other income and expense, net | \$1,359 | \$3,467 | (60.8)% |
| Percentage of total revenues | 0.5 % | 1.4 % | |

Other income and expense, net, consists primarily of interest income earned on available cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, changes in the value of assets associated with our deferred compensation plan and rental income from leasing excess space in our Silicon Valley facility. During fiscal 2011, other income (expense), net, decreased \$2,198,000 with a corresponding decrease to deferred compensation expenses, as compared to fiscal 2010 due to a change in value of assets associated with our deferred compensation plan. This decrease consisted of a decrease in the value of the plan assets of \$273,000 during fiscal 2011 and an increase in the value of the plan assets of \$1,925,000 during fiscal 2010.

Income Taxes

| (In thousands except percentages) | Fiscal Years | | Percent Change |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Income taxes | \$22,124 | \$19,187 | 15.3 % |
| Percentage of total revenues | 8.1 % | 7.7 % | |
| Effective tax rate | 40.4 % | 41.1 % | |

The increase in income tax expense was due to a corresponding increase in pre-tax income. The decrease in the effective tax rate was due to a decrease in state taxes as a result of the mix of income earned in various state jurisdictions.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS NOT YET EFFECTIVE

There are no new accounting pronouncements that are not yet effective that will significantly impact our consolidated financial statements.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net cash provided by (used in): | | | |
| Operating activities | \$48,505 | \$46,596 | \$36,052 |
| Investing activities | \$(1,169) | \$(29,354) | \$4,930 |
| Financing activities | \$(18,859) | \$(39,344) | \$(2,220) |

We financed our business in fiscal 2012 through available cash and cash flows from operating activities. We invest our excess cash in cash equivalents and short-term investments. As of December 28, 2012, our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments were \$134,149,000 compared to \$109,699,000 at December 30, 2011. We believe our existing balances of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments will be sufficient to satisfy our working capital needs, capital expenditures, outstanding commitments, stock repurchases, dividends and other liquidity requirements over the next 12 months.

Generally, our net cash provided by operating activities is used to fund our day to day operating activities. First quarter operating cash requirements are generally higher due to payment of our annual bonuses accrued during the prior year. Our largest source of operating cash flows is cash collections from our clients. Our primary uses of cash from operating activities are for employee related expenditures, leased facilities, taxes, and general operating expenses including marketing and travel.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$48.5 million for fiscal 2012 as compared to \$46.6 million and \$36.1 million in fiscal 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increases in net cash provided by operating activities was due to increases in net income, increases in accrued bonuses which are paid in the year subsequent to the year accrued, and increases in non-cash compensation expense partially offset by higher accounts receivable due to higher revenues.

During fiscal 2012, 2011 and 2010, net cash (used in) provided by investing activities primarily related to the purchase and sale or maturity of short-term investments.

The decrease in cash used in financing activities during fiscal 2012, as compared to fiscal 2011, was due to a decrease in repurchases of our common stock under our stock repurchase programs. The increase in cash used in financing activities during fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, was due to an increase in the repurchase of our common stock under our stock repurchase programs.

We expect to continue our investing activities, including capital expenditures. Furthermore, cash reserves may be used to repurchase common stock under our stock repurchase programs, pay dividends or strategically acquire professional service firms that are complementary to our business.

The following schedule summarizes our principal contractual commitments as of December 28, 2012 (in thousands):

| Fiscal year | Operating lease commitments | Capital leases | Purchase Obligations | Total |
|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------|
| 2013 | \$ 7,139 | \$ 49 | \$ 3,851 | \$11,039 |
| 2014 | 6,202 | 48 | - | 6,250 |
| 2015 | 4,795 | - | - | 4,795 |
| 2016 | 3,355 | - | - | 3,355 |
| 2017 | 2,537 | - | - | 2,537 |
| Thereafter | 3,880 | - | - | 3,880 |
| | \$ 27,908 | \$ 97 | \$ 3,851 | \$31,856 |

The above table does not reflect unrecognized tax benefits of \$908,000, the timing of which is uncertain. Refer to Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion on unrecognized tax benefits.

We maintain a nonqualified deferred compensation plan for the benefit of a select group of highly compensated employees. Vested amounts due under the plan of \$24,697,000 were recorded as a long-term liability on our consolidated balance sheet at December 28, 2012. Company assets that are earmarked to pay benefits under the plan are held in a rabbi trust and are subject to the claims of our creditors. As of December 28, 2012, invested amounts under the plan of \$24,801,000 were recorded as a long-term asset on our consolidated balance sheet.

As permitted under Delaware law, we have agreements whereby we indemnify our officers and directors for certain events or occurrences while the officer or director is, or was serving, at our request in such capacity. The indemnification period covers all pertinent events and occurrences during the officer's or director's lifetime. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited; however, we have director and officer insurance coverage that reduces our exposure and enables us to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. We believe the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements in excess of applicable insurance coverage is minimal.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As part of our ongoing business, we do not engage in transactions that generate relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Regulation G, conditions for use of Non-Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("Non-GAAP") financial measures, and other SEC regulations define and prescribe the conditions for use of certain Non-GAAP financial information. Generally, a Non-GAAP financial measure is a numerical measure of a company's performance, financial position or cash flow that either excludes or includes amounts that are not normally excluded or included in the most directly comparable measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. We closely monitor two financial measures, EBITDA and EBITDAS, which meet the definition of Non-GAAP financial measures. We define EBITDA as net income before income taxes, interest income, depreciation and amortization. We define EBITDAS as EBITDA before stock-based compensation. We regard EBITDA and EBITDAS as useful measures of operating performance and cash flow to complement operating income, net income and other GAAP financial performance measures. Additionally, management believes that EBITDA and EBITDAS provide meaningful comparisons of past, present and future operating results. These measures are used to evaluate our financial results, develop budgets and determine employee compensation. These measures, however, should be considered in addition to, and not as a substitute or superior to, operating income, cash flows, or other measures of financial performance prepared in accordance with GAAP. A reconciliation of the Non-GAAP measures to the nearest comparable GAAP measure is set forth below.

The following table shows EBITDA as a percentage of revenues before reimbursements for fiscal years 2012, 2011 and 2010:

(in thousands, except percentages)

| | Fiscal Years | | |
|---|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenues before reimbursements | \$266,562 | \$246,667 | \$221,860 |
| EBITDA | \$66,132 | \$58,994 | \$50,833 |
| EBITDA as a % of revenues before reimbursements | 24.8 % | 23.9 % | 22.9 % |

The increase in EBITDA as a percentage of revenues before reimbursements for fiscal 2012 as compared to fiscal 2011 was primarily due to an increase in utilization, combined with other operating and general and administrative expenses growing at a slower rate than revenues. Utilization during fiscal 2012 was strong due to broad based demand for our services and the continuing benefit from a few major assignments. Utilization for fiscal 2012 increased to 73% as compared to 71% during fiscal 2011.

The increase in EBITDA as a percentage of revenues before reimbursements for fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010 was primarily due to an increase in utilization and our efforts to manage our cost structure. Utilization for fiscal 2011 increased to 71% as compared to 70% during fiscal 2010 due to elevated levels of activity on a few major

assignments.

28

The following table is a reconciliation of EBITDA and EBITDAS to the most comparable GAAP measure, net income, for fiscal 2012, 2011 and 2010:

| (in thousands) | Fiscal Years | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net Income | \$37,225 | \$32,695 | \$27,521 |
| Add back (subtract): | | | |
| Income taxes | 24,524 | 22,124 | 19,187 |
| Interest income, net | (328) | (236) | (198) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,711 | 4,411 | 4,323 |
| EBITDA | 66,132 | 58,994 | 50,833 |
| Stock-based compensation | 12,378 | 10,340 | 9,257 |
| EBITDAS | \$78,510 | \$69,334 | \$60,090 |

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Exponent is exposed to interest rate risk associated with our balances of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments. We manage our interest rate risk by maintaining an investment portfolio primarily consisting of debt instruments with high credit quality and relatively short average effective maturities in accordance with the Company's investment policy. The maximum effective maturity of any issue in our portfolio of cash equivalents and short-term investments is 3 years and the maximum average effective maturity of the portfolio cannot exceed 12 months.

If interest rates were to instantaneously increase or decrease by 100 basis points, the change in the fair value of our portfolio of cash equivalents and short-term investments would not have a material impact on our financial statements. We do not use derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio. Notwithstanding our efforts to manage interest rate risk, there can be no assurances that we will be adequately protected against the risks associated with interest rate fluctuations.

We are exposed to some foreign currency exchange rate risk associated with our foreign operations. Given the limited nature of these operations, we believe that any exposure would be minimal.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

See Item 15 of this Form 10-K for required financial statements and supplementary data.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as stated in their report which is included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Form 10-K.

(a) Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13(a)-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this annual report.

(b) Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 28, 2012.

(c) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act, during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially effect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Certain information required by Part III is omitted from this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We intend to file a definitive Proxy Statement pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and certain information included therein is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item with respect to our directors, code of ethics and compliance with section 16(a) of the Exchange Act is incorporated by reference to the sections of the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its

2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Proxy Statement") entitled "Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors," "Code of Business Conduct and Corporate Governance" and "Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act." See Item 1 for information regarding the executive officers of the Company.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the section of the Proxy Statement entitled "Executive Officer Compensation".

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the sections of the Proxy Statement entitled "Stock Ownership" and "Equity Compensation Plan Information".

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the sections of the Proxy Statement entitled "Related Party Transactions" and "Proposal No. 1 – Election of Directors".

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the section of the Proxy Statement entitled "Principal Accounting Fees and Services".

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

1. Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements of Exponent, Inc. and subsidiaries and the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm are included herewith:

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 32 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 | 33 |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 | 34 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 28, 2012 and December 30, 2011 | 35 |
| Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 | 36 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 | 38 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 39 |

2. Financial Statement Schedules

The following financial statement schedule of Exponent, Inc. for the years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is filed as part of this Report and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of Exponent, Inc. and subsidiaries:

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts | 57 |

Schedules other than those listed above have been omitted since they are either not required, not applicable, or the information is otherwise included elsewhere in the report.

3. Exhibits

(a) Exhibit Index

59

31

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Exponent, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Exponent, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 28, 2012 and December 30, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 28, 2012. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we have also audited the accompanying financial statement schedule II. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 28, 2012, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, appearing under Item 9A(b). Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule II, and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have

a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Exponent, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 28, 2012 and December 30, 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 28, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein. Also, in our opinion, Exponent, Inc. and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 28, 2012, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

KPMG LLP

San Francisco, California

February 27, 2013

Exponent, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Income

| (In thousands, except per share data) | Fiscal Years | | |
|--|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| Revenues before reimbursements | \$266,562 | \$246,667 | \$221,860 |
| Reimbursements | 26,091 | 25,779 | 26,893 |
| Revenues | 292,653 | 272,446 | 248,753 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Compensation and related expenses | 171,809 | 156,853 | 144,842 |
| Other operating expenses | 23,574 | 23,238 | 21,413 |
| Reimbursable expenses | 26,091 | 25,779 | 26,893 |
| General and administrative expenses | 13,559 | 13,116 | 12,364 |
| | 235,033 | 218,986 | 205,512 |
| Operating income | 57,620 | 53,460 | 43,241 |
| Other income: | | | |
| Interest income, net | 328 | 236 | 198 |
| Miscellaneous income, net | 3,801 | 1,123 | 3,269 |
| Income before income taxes | 61,749 | 54,819 | 46,708 |
| Provision for income taxes | 24,524 | 22,124 | 19,187 |
| Net income | \$37,225 | \$32,695 | \$27,521 |
| Net income per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$2.70 | \$2.31 | \$1.92 |
| Diluted | \$2.60 | \$2.22 | \$1.83 |
| Shares used in per share computations: | | | |
| Basic | 13,780 | 14,181 | 14,354 |
| Diluted | 14,293 | 14,751 | 15,069 |

See accompanying notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Exponent, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | | |
|---|--------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income | \$37,225 | \$32,695 | \$27,521 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax of \$(184), \$(8), and \$146, respectively | 249 | (72) | (53) |
| Unrealized (loss) gain arising during the period on investments, net of tax of \$19, \$(36), and \$21, respectively | (28) | 52 | (31) |
| Comprehensive income | \$37,446 | \$32,675 | \$27,437 |

See accompanying notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Exponent, Inc. and Subsidiaries**Consolidated Balance Sheets**

| (In thousands, except par value) | Fiscal Years | |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$113,268 | \$84,439 |
| Short-term investments | 20,881 | 25,260 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for contract losses and doubtful accounts of \$2,666 and \$2,339, respectively | 85,361 | 73,065 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 8,277 | 8,521 |
| Deferred income taxes | 7,657 | 7,293 |
| Total current assets | 235,444 | 198,578 |
| Property, equipment and leasehold improvements, net | 27,446 | 27,215 |
| Goodwill | 8,607 | 8,607 |
| Deferred income taxes | 18,359 | 14,692 |
| Deferred compensation plan assets | 24,801 | 18,911 |
| Other assets | 760 | 785 |
| | \$315,417 | \$268,788 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$10,386 | \$6,738 |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | 54,720 | 48,089 |
| Deferred revenues | 6,665 | 5,948 |
| Total current liabilities | 71,771 | 60,775 |
| Other liabilities | 988 | 700 |
| Deferred compensation | 24,697 | 18,756 |
| Deferred rent | 1,532 | 1,842 |
| Total liabilities | 98,988 | 82,073 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$.001 par value; 5,000 shares authorized; no shares outstanding | - | - |
| Common stock, \$.001 par value; 100,000 shares authorized; 16,427 shares issued | 16 | 16 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 123,693 | 108,071 |

Edgar Filing: EXPONENT INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | |
| Investment securities, available for sale | 24 | 52 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (274) | (523) |
| | (250) | (471) |
| Retained earnings | 206,057 | 179,432 |
| Treasury stock, at cost: 3,221 and 3,127 shares held, respectively | (113,087) | (100,333) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 216,429 | 186,715 |
| | \$315,417 | \$268,788 |

See accompanying notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Exponent, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

| (In thousands) | Common Stock | | Additional paid-in capital | Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | Retained earnings | Treasury Stock | | Total |
|---|--------------|--------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| | Shares | Amount | | | | Shares | Amount | |
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | 16,427 | \$ 16 | \$ 83,808 | \$ (367) | \$ 139,606 | 2,690 | \$(72,992) | \$ 150,071 |
| Employee stock purchase plan | - | - | 125 | - | - | (26) | 671 | 796 |
| Exercise of stock options, net of swaps | - | - | (82) | - | (6,059) | (330) | 8,220 | 2,079 |
| Tax benefit for stock option plans | - | - | 3,972 | - | - | - | - | 3,972 |
| Amortization of unrecognized stock-based compensation | - | - | 4,724 | - | - | - | - | 4,724 |
| Purchase of treasury shares | - | - | - | - | - | 244 | (6,899) | (6,899) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | - | - | - | (53) | - | - | - | (53) |
| Grant of restricted stock units to settle accrued bonus | - | - | 3,566 | - | - | - | - | 3,566 |
| Settlement of restricted stock units | - | - | (24) | - | (4,982) | (147) | 3,060 | (1,946) |
| Unrealized loss on investments | - | - | - | (31) | - | - | - | (31) |
| Net income | - | - | - | - | 27,521 | - | - | 27,521 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 16,427 | \$ 16 | \$ 96,089 | \$ (451) | \$ 156,086 | 2,431 | \$(67,940) | \$ 183,800 |
| Employee stock purchase plan | - | - | 222 | - | - | (21) | 672 | 894 |
| Exercise of stock options, net of swaps | - | - | (162) | - | (1,484) | (102) | 2,982 | 1,336 |
| Tax benefit for stock option plans | - | - | 2,378 | - | - | - | - | 2,378 |
| Amortization of unrecognized stock-based compensation | - | - | 5,044 | - | - | - | - | 5,044 |
| Purchase of treasury shares | - | - | - | - | - | 1,002 | (40,573) | (40,573) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | - | - | - | (72) | - | - | - | (72) |
| Grant of restricted stock units to settle accrued bonus | - | - | 4,538 | - | - | - | - | 4,538 |

Edgar Filing: EXPONENT INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-------|------------|-----------|------------|--------|-------------|------------|
| Settlement of restricted stock units | - | - | (38) | - | (7,865) | (183) | 4,526 | (3,377) |
| Unrealized gain on investments | - | - | - | 52 | - | - | - | 52 |
| Net income | - | - | - | - | 32,695 | - | - | 32,695 |
| Balance at December 30, 2011 | 16,427 | \$ 16 | \$ 108,071 | \$ (471) | \$ 179,432 | 3,127 | \$(100,333) | \$ 186,715 |

See accompanying notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: EXPONENT INC - Form 10-K

| (In thousands) | Common Stock | | Additional paid-in capital | Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | Retained earnings | Treasury Stock | | Total |
|---|--------------|--------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| | Shares | Amount | | | | Shares | Amount | |
| Balance at December 30, 2011 | 16,427 | \$ 16 | \$ 108,071 | \$ (471) | \$ 179,432 | 3,127 | \$(100,333) | \$ 186,715 |
| Employee stock purchase plan | - | - | 400 | - | - | (20) | 608 | 1,008 |
| Exercise of stock options, net of swaps | - | - | (358) | - | (3,123) | (200) | 6,088 | 2,607 |
| Tax benefit for stock option plans | - | - | 3,948 | - | - | - | - | 3,948 |
| Amortization of unrecognized stock-based compensation | - | - | 6,289 | - | - | - | - | 6,289 |
| Purchase of treasury shares | - | - | - | - | - | 480 | (23,395) | (23,395) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | - | - | - | 249 | - | - | - | 249 |
| Grant of restricted stock units to settle accrued bonus | - | - | 5,343 | - | - | - | - | 5,343 |
| Settlement of restricted stock units | - | - | - | - | (7,477) | (166) | 3,945 | (3,532) |
| Unrealized loss on investments | - | - | - | (28) | - | - | - | (28) |
| Net income | - | - | - | - | 37,225 | - | - | 37,225 |
| Balance at December 28, 2012 | 16,427 | \$ 16 | \$ 123,693 | \$ (250) | \$ 206,057 | 3,221 | \$(113,087) | \$ 216,429 |

See accompanying notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Exponent, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | | |
|---|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$37,225 | \$32,695 | \$27,521 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements | 4,711 | 4,411 | 4,323 |
| Amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on short-term investments | 560 | 344 | 35 |
| Deferred rent expense | (310) | (417) | 454 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 1,763 | 1,987 | 1,809 |
| Stock-based compensation | 12,378 | 10,340 | 9,257 |
| Deferred income tax provision | (4,194) | (3,663) | (3,229) |
| Tax benefit for stock option plans | (3,948) | (2,378) | (3,972) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (14,059) | (3,018) | (11,181) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (3,379) | (1,929) | (8,520) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 7,374 | (997) | 9,485 |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | 9,667 | 9,404 | 8,696 |
| Deferred revenues | 717 | (183) | 1,374 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 48,505 | 46,596 | 36,052 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (4,942) | (3,835) | (2,475) |
| Purchase of short-term investments | (515) | (26,599) | - |
| Maturity of short-term investments | 3,770 | - | 7,405 |
| Sale of short-term investments | 518 | 1,080 | - |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (1,169) | (29,354) | 4,930 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Tax benefit for stock option plans | 3,948 | 2,378 | 3,972 |
| Payroll taxes for restricted stock units | (3,531) | (3,527) | (1,946) |
| Repurchase of common stock | (22,887) | (40,573) | (7,145) |
| Exercise of share-based payment awards | 3,611 | 2,378 | 2,899 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (18,859) | (39,344) | (2,220) |
| Effect of foreign currency exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | 352 | (8) | (108) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 28,829 | (22,110) | 38,654 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 84,439 | 106,549 | 67,895 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$113,268 | \$84,439 | \$106,549 |

See accompanying notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Exponent, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

Exponent, Inc. together with its subsidiaries (collectively referred to as the “Company”) is a science and engineering consulting firm that provides solutions to complex problems. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company operates on a 52-53 week fiscal year with each year ending on the Friday closest to December 31st. Fiscal periods 2012, 2011 and 2010 included 52 weeks of activity and ended on December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Authorized Capital Stock

The Company committed to stockholders in a letter dated May 23, 2006 to limit its use of the increased authorized capital stock to 40 million common shares, and 2 million preferred shares, unless the approval of the Company’s stockholders is obtained subsequently, such as through a further amendment to the Company’s authorized capital stock.

Revenue Recognition

The Company derives its revenues primarily from professional fees earned on consulting engagements, product sales in its defense technology development practice, fees earned for the use of its equipment and facilities, as well as reimbursements for outside direct expenses associated with the services that are billed to its clients. Any taxes assessed on revenues relating to services provided to its clients are recorded on a net basis.

The Company reports service revenues net of subcontractor fees. The Company has determined that it is not the primary obligor with respect to these subcontractors because:

- its clients are directly involved in the subcontractor selection process;

the subcontractor is responsible for fulfilling the scope of work; and the Company passes through the costs of subcontractor agreements with only a minimal fixed percentage mark-up to compensate it for processing the transactions.

Reimbursements, including those related to travel and other out-of-pocket expenses, and other similar third party costs such as the cost of materials, are included in revenues, and an equivalent amount of reimbursable expenses are included in operating expenses. Any mark-up on reimbursable expenses is included in revenues.

Substantially all of the Company's engagements are performed under time and material or fixed-price billing arrangements. On time and material and fixed-price projects, revenue is generally recognized as the services are performed. For substantially all of the Company's fixed-price engagements, it recognizes revenue based on the relationship of incurred labor hours at standard rates to its estimate of the total labor hours at standard rates it expects to incur over the term of the contract. The Company believes this methodology achieves a reliable measure of the revenue from the consulting services it provides to its customers under fixed-price contracts given the nature of the consulting services the Company provides and the following additional considerations:

- the Company considers labor hours at standard rates and expenses to be incurred when pricing its contracts;
 - the Company generally does not incur set up costs on its contracts;
- the Company does not believe that there are reliable milestones to measure progress toward completion; if the contract is terminated early, the customer is required to pay the Company for time at standard rates plus materials incurred to date;
- the Company does not recognize revenue for award fees or bonuses until specific contractual criteria are met;
- the Company does not include revenue for unpriced change orders until the customer agrees with the changes;
 - historically the Company has not had significant accounts receivable write-offs or cost overruns; and its contracts are typically progress billed on a monthly basis.

Product revenue is recognized, when both title and risk of loss transfer to the customer and customer acceptance has occurred, provided that no significant obligations remain.

Gross revenues and reimbursements for the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, were:

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Gross revenues | \$298,818 | \$280,671 | \$260,413 |
| Less: Subcontractor fees | 6,165 | 8,225 | 11,660 |
| Revenues | 292,653 | 272,446 | 248,753 |
| Reimbursements: | | | |
| Out-of-pocket reimbursements | 6,426 | 6,162 | 4,870 |
| Other outside direct expenses | 19,665 | 19,617 | 22,023 |
| | 26,091 | 25,779 | 26,893 |
| Revenues before reimbursements | \$266,562 | \$246,667 | \$221,860 |

Significant management judgments and estimates must be made in connection with the revenues recognized in any accounting period. These judgments and estimates include an assessment of collectability and, for fixed-price engagements, an estimate as to the total effort required to complete the project. If the Company made different judgments or utilized different estimates, the amount and timing of its revenue for any period could be materially different.

All consulting contracts are subject to review by management, which requires a positive assessment of the collectability of contract amounts. If, during the course of the contract, the Company determines that collection of revenue is not reasonably assured, it does not recognize the revenue until its collection becomes reasonably assured, which in those situations would generally be upon receipt of cash. The Company assesses collectability based on a number of factors, including past transaction history with the client, as well as the credit-worthiness of the client. Losses on fixed-price contracts are recognized during the period in which the loss first becomes evident. Contract losses are determined to be the amount by which the estimated total costs of the contract exceeds the total fixed price of the contract.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported

amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Foreign Currency Translation

The Company translates the assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries, whose functional currency is the local currency, at exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses are translated at the average rates of exchange prevailing during the year. The adjustment resulting from translating the financial statements of such foreign subsidiaries is included in accumulated other comprehensive income, which is reflected as a separate component of stockholders' equity.

Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments such as money market mutual funds, commercial paper and debt securities with original remaining maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase.

Short-term Investments

Short-term investments consist of debt securities classified as available-for-sale and are carried at their fair value as of the balance sheet date. Short-term investments generally mature between three months and three years from the purchase date. Investments with maturities beyond one year are classified as short-term based on their highly liquid nature and because such marketable securities represent investments readily available for current operations.

The amortized cost of securities is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity. Such amortization is included in interest income. Realized gains or losses are determined on the specific identification method and are reflected in other income. Net unrealized gains and losses are recorded directly in accumulated other comprehensive income except for unrealized losses that are deemed to be other-than-temporary, which are reflected in net income.

Investments are reviewed on a regular basis to evaluate whether or not any security has experienced an other-than temporary decline in fair value. When assessing investments for other-than-temporary declines in fair value, the Company considers the significance of the decline in value as a percentage of the original cost, how long the market value of the investment has been less than its original cost, and any news that has been released specific to the investee.

Allowances for Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of customers to meet their financial obligations. In circumstances where the Company is aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligations a specific allowance is recorded to reduce the net recognized receivable to the amount the Company reasonably believes will be collected. For all other customers the Company recognizes allowances for doubtful accounts based upon historical bad debts, customer concentration, customer credit-worthiness, current economic conditions, aging of amounts due and changes in customer payment terms.

Property, Equipment and Leasehold Improvements

Property, equipment and leasehold improvements are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are recognized using the straight-line method. Buildings are depreciated over their estimated useful lives ranging from thirty to forty years. Equipment is depreciated over its estimated useful life, which generally ranges from two to seven years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of their estimated useful lives, generally seven years, or the term of the related lease.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the assets to future undiscounted cash flows to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. The Company has not recognized impairment losses on any long-lived assets in fiscal 2012, 2011 or 2010.

Goodwill

The Company assesses the impairment of goodwill annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may be impaired. The Company's annual goodwill impairment review is completed during the fourth quarter of each year. The Company evaluates goodwill for each reporting unit for impairment by assessing qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. The Company considers events and circumstances, including but not limited to, macroeconomic conditions, industry and market considerations, cost factors, overall financial performance, changes in management or key personnel, changes in strategy, changes in customers, a change in the composition or carrying amount of a reporting unit's net assets and changes in the price of its common stock. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, the Company determines that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, then the two-step goodwill impairment test is not performed.

If the two-step goodwill test is performed, the Company determines the existence of impairment by assessing the fair value of the applicable reporting unit, including goodwill, using expected future cash flows to be generated by the reporting unit. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized for any excess of the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill over the implied fair value of the goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation. The residual fair value after this allocation is the implied value of the reporting unit goodwill.

The Company completed its annual assessment for all reporting units with goodwill for fiscal 2012 and determined, after assessing the totality of the qualitative factors, that it is more likely than not that the fair value of each reporting unit is greater than its respective carrying amount. Accordingly there was no indication of impairment of goodwill for any of the Company's reporting units and the two-step goodwill impairment test was not performed. The Company did not recognize any goodwill impairment losses in fiscal years 2012, 2011 or 2010.

Deferred Revenues

Deferred revenues represent amounts billed to clients in advance of services provided, primarily on fixed-price projects. The Company had \$6,665,000 and \$5,948,000 in deferred revenues as of December 28, 2012 and December 30, 2011, respectively.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax basis and the financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities from changes in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance is recorded for deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. U.S. income taxes are provided on the earnings of foreign subsidiaries unless the subsidiaries earnings are considered permanently reinvested outside the U.S. An uncertain tax position is recognized if it is determined that it is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination. The tax position is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. The Company's policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expense. Accrued interest and penalties are insignificant at December 28, 2012.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, other assets and accounts payable. Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments are recorded at fair value. The carrying amount of the Company's accounts receivable, other assets and accounts payable approximates their fair values.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the entire award. The Company estimates the number of awards that are expected to vest and revises the estimate as actual forfeitures differ from that estimate. Estimated forfeiture rates are based on the Company's historical experience.

Net Income Per Share

Basic per share amounts are computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Dilutive per share amounts are computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding and potentially dilutive securities, using the treasury stock method if their effect would be dilutive.

The following schedule reconciles the denominators of the Company's calculation for basic and diluted net income per share:

Fiscal Years

| (In thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Shares used in basic per share computation | 13,780 | 14,181 | 14,354 |
| Effect of dilutive common stock options outstanding | 150 | 184 | 288 |
| Effect of unvested restricted stock units outstanding | 363 | 386 | 427 |
| Shares used in diluted per share computation | 14,293 | 14,751 | 15,069 |

There were no options excluded from the diluted per share calculation for the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

There have been no new accounting pronouncements made effective during the year ended December 28, 2012, that are of significance, or potential significance, to the Company.

Note 2: Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments

Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments consisted of the following as of December 28, 2012:

| (In thousands) | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Classified as current assets: | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 64,134 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 64,134 |
| Cash equivalents: | | | | |
| Money market securities | 49,134 | - | - | 49,134 |
| Total cash equivalents | 49,134 | - | - | 49,134 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 113,268 | - | - | 113,268 |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| State and municipal bonds | 20,841 | 41 | (1) | 20,881 |
| Total short-term investments | 20,841 | 41 | (1) | 20,881 |
| Total cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments | \$ 134,109 | \$ 41 | \$ (1) | \$ 134,149 |

Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments consisted of the following as of December 30, 2011:

| (In thousands) | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Classified as current assets: | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 39,991 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 39,991 |
| Cash equivalents: | | | | |
| Money market securities | 44,448 | - | - | 44,448 |
| Total cash equivalents | 44,448 | - | - | 44,448 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 84,439 | - | - | 84,439 |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| State and municipal bonds | 25,173 | 91 | (4) | 25,260 |
| Total short-term investments | 25,173 | 91 | (4) | 25,260 |
| Total cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments | \$ 109,612 | \$ 91 | \$ (4) | \$ 109,699 |

All cash equivalents had original remaining maturities of three months or less from date of purchase and short-term investments had original remaining maturities of 10 months or less from date of purchase, with an average effective maturity of 0.087 years as of December 28, 2012.

Note 3: Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis, including available-for-sale fixed income securities, trading fixed income and equity securities held in its deferred compensation plan and the liability associated with its deferred compensation plan. There have been no transfers between fair value measurement levels during the years ended December 28, 2012 and December 30, 2011. Any transfers between fair value measurement levels would be recorded on the actual date of the event or change in circumstances that caused the transfer. The fair value of these certain financial assets and liabilities was determined using the following inputs at December 28, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | | |
|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Total | Quoted Prices in Significant Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets | | | | |
| Money market securities ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 49,134 | \$ 49,134 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Fixed income available for sale securities ⁽²⁾ | 20,881 | - | 20,881 | - |
| Fixed income trading securities held in deferred compensation plan ⁽³⁾ | 9,911 | 9,911 | - | - |
| Equity trading securities held in deferred compensation plan ⁽³⁾ | 17,178 | 17,178 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 97,104 | \$ 76,223 | \$ 20,881 | \$ - |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Deferred compensation plan ⁽⁴⁾ | 26,984 | 26,984 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 26,984 | \$ 26,984 | \$ - | \$ - |

(1) Included in cash and cash equivalents on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

(2) Included in short-term investments on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

(3) Included in other current assets and deferred compensation plan assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

(4) Included in accrued liabilities and deferred compensation on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

The fair value of these certain financial assets and liabilities was determined using the following inputs at December 30, 2011 (in thousands):

| | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | | |
|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Total | Quoted Prices in Significant Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets | | | | |
| Money market securities ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 44,448 | \$ 44,448 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Fixed income available for sale securities ⁽²⁾ | 25,260 | - | 25,260 | - |
| Fixed income trading securities held in deferred compensation plan ⁽³⁾ | 7,456 | 7,456 | - | - |
| Equity trading securities held in deferred compensation plan ⁽³⁾ | 12,626 | 12,626 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 89,790 | \$ 64,530 | \$ 25,260 | \$ - |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Deferred compensation plan ⁽⁴⁾ | 19,927 | 19,927 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 19,927 | \$ 19,927 | \$ - | \$ - |

(1) Included in cash and cash equivalents on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

(2) Included in short-term investments on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

(3) Included in other current assets and deferred compensation plan assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

(4) Included in accrued liabilities and deferred compensation on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

Fixed income available-for-sale securities as of December 28, 2012 and December 30, 2011 represent primarily obligations of state and local government agencies. Fixed income and equity trading securities represent mutual funds held in the Company's deferred compensation plan. See Note 12 for additional information about the Company's deferred compensation plan.

At December 28, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company did not have any assets or liabilities valued using significant unobservable inputs.

The following financial instruments are not measured at fair value on the Company's consolidated balance sheet at December 28, 2012, but require disclosure of their fair values: accounts receivable, other assets and accounts payable. The estimated fair value of such instruments at December 28, 2012 approximates their carrying value as reported on the consolidated balance sheet. The fair values of such financial instruments are determined using the income approach based on the present value of estimated future cash flows. There have been no changes in the Company's valuation technique during fiscal 2012. The fair value of all of these instruments would be categorized as Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

There were no other-than-temporary impairments or credit losses related to available-for-sale securities during the years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Note 4: Property, Equipment and Leasehold Improvements

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | |
|---|--------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Property: | | |
| Land | \$4,450 | \$4,450 |
| Buildings | 34,491 | 33,983 |
| Construction in progress | 706 | 134 |
| Equipment: | | |
| Machinery and equipment | 29,687 | 27,142 |
| Office furniture and equipment | 7,067 | 6,457 |
| Leasehold improvements | 9,724 | 9,498 |
| | 86,125 | 81,664 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | 58,679 | 54,449 |
| Property, equipment and leasehold improvements, net | \$27,446 | \$27,215 |

Depreciation and amortization for the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, was \$4,711,000, \$4,411,000 and \$4,323,000, respectively.

Note 5: Goodwill

Below is a breakdown of goodwill, reported by segment as of December 28, 2012 and December 30, 2011:

| (In thousands) | Environmental and health | Engineering and other scientific | Total |
|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| Goodwill | \$ 8,099 | \$ 508 | \$8,607 |

There were no changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. There were no goodwill impairments or gains or losses on disposals for any portion of the Company's reporting units during the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Note 6: Inventory

At December 28, 2012 the Company had \$374,000 of finished goods inventory included in prepaid expenses and other current assets on its consolidated balance sheet. At December 30, 2011 the Company had \$739,000 and \$849,000 of finished goods and raw materials inventory, respectively, included in prepaid expenses and other current assets on its consolidated balance sheet.

Note 7: Other Significant Balance Sheet Components

Account receivable, net

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | |
|---|--------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Billed accounts receivable | \$54,653 | \$50,338 |
| Unbilled accounts receivable | 33,374 | 25,066 |
| Allowance for contract losses and doubtful accounts | (2,666) | (2,339) |
| Total accounts receivable, net | \$85,361 | \$73,065 |

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | |
|--|--------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Accounts payable | \$7,010 | \$4,215 |
| Accrued liabilities | 3,376 | 2,523 |
| Total accounts payable and other accrued liabilities | \$10,386 | \$6,738 |

Accrued payroll and employee benefits

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | |
|-------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Accrued bonuses payable | \$33,885 | \$29,736 |

Edgar Filing: EXPONENT INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| Accrued 401(k) contributions | 6,304 | 6,175 |
| Accrued vacation | 8,008 | 7,267 |
| Other accrued payroll and employee benefits | 6,523 | 4,911 |
| Total accrued payroll and employee benefits | \$54,720 | \$48,089 |

Other accrued payroll and employee benefits consist primarily of accrued wages, payroll taxes and disability insurance programs.

Note 8: Income Taxes

Income before income taxes includes income from foreign operations of \$3,423,000, \$2,361,000 and \$2,995,000 for fiscal 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Total income tax expense for the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consisted of the following:

| | Fiscal Years | | |
|----------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| (In thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Current | | | |
| Federal | \$23,562 | \$20,544 | \$17,383 |
| Foreign | 852 | 656 | 758 |
| State | 4,304 | 4,587 | 4,275 |
| | 28,718 | 25,787 | 22,416 |
| Deferred | | | |
| Federal | (3,378) | (3,013) | (2,474) |
| State | (816) | (650) | (755) |
| | (4,194) | (3,663) | (3,229) |
| Total | \$24,524 | \$22,124 | \$19,187 |

The Company's effective tax rate differs from the statutory federal tax rate of 35% as shown in the following schedule:

| | Fiscal Years | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| (In thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Tax at federal statutory rate | \$21,612 | \$19,187 | \$16,347 |
| State taxes, net of federal benefit | 2,409 | 2,568 | 2,361 |
| Tax exempt interest income | (104) | (74) | (62) |
| Non-deductible expenses | 296 | 240 | 205 |

Edgar Filing: EXPONENT INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Non-deductible stock-based compensation | (40) | 12 | 34 |
| Other | 351 | 191 | 302 |
| Tax expense | \$24,524 | \$22,124 | \$19,187 |
| Effective tax rate | 39.7 % | 40.4 % | 41.1 % |

47

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 28, 2012 and December 30, 2011 are presented in the following schedule:

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Accrued liabilities and allowances | \$14,503 | \$13,288 |
| Deferred compensation | 17,252 | 13,916 |
| Total deferred tax assets | 31,755 | 27,204 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| State taxes | (1,099) | (1,095) |
| Deductible goodwill | (2,829) | (2,724) |
| Property, equipment and leasehold improvements | (500) | (866) |
| Other | (1,311) | (534) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (5,739) | (5,219) |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$26,016 | \$21,985 |

Management believes it is more likely than not that the results of future operations will generate sufficient taxable income to realize the net deferred tax assets.

The Company is entitled to a deduction for federal and state tax purposes with respect to employees' stock award activity. The net deduction in taxes otherwise payable arising from that deduction has been credited to additional paid-in capital. For the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the net deduction in tax payable arising from employees' stock award activity was \$3,948,000, \$2,378,000 and \$3,972,000 respectively.

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the United States federal jurisdiction, California and various other state and foreign jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to United States federal income tax examination for years prior to 2009. The Company is no longer subject to California franchise tax examinations for years prior to 2008. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to state and local or non-United States income tax examination by tax authorities for years prior to 2008.

At December 28, 2012, the Company had unrecognized tax benefits of \$908,000, which primarily related to uncertainty regarding the sustainability of certain deductions taken on the Company's federal and state income tax returns. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$376,000 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to the current year | 83,000 |
| Additions for tax positions of prior years | - |
| Reductions due to lapse of statute of limitations | (55,000) |
| Reductions due to change in accounting method | (16,000) |
| Settlements | - |
| Balance at December 30, 2011 | \$388,000 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to the current year | 275,000 |
| Additions for tax positions of prior years | 317,000 |
| Reductions due to lapse of statute of limitations | (72,000) |
| Reductions due to change in accounting method | - |
| Settlements | - |
| Balance at December 28, 2012 | \$908,000 |

Unrecognized tax benefits are included in other liabilities in the accompanying balance sheet. To the extent these unrecognized tax benefits are ultimately recognized, they will impact the effective tax rate in a future period. There are no uncertain tax positions whose resolution in the next 12 months is expected to materially affect operating results.

Deferred income taxes have not been provided on the undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries. The amount of such earnings at December 28, 2012 was \$2,174,000. These earnings have been permanently reinvested and the Company does not plan to initiate any action that would precipitate the payment of income taxes thereon. The unrecognized deferred tax liability for these earnings is estimated to be approximately \$108,000.

Note 9: Stockholders' Equity

Preferred Stock

The Company has authorized 5,000,000 shares of undesignated preferred stock with a par value of \$0.001 per share. The Company committed to stockholders in a letter dated May 23, 2006 to limit its use to 2,000,000 preferred shares, unless the approval of the Company's stockholders is obtained subsequently, such as through a further amendment to the Company's authorized capital stock. None of the preferred shares were issued and outstanding at December 28, 2012 and December 30, 2011.

Treasury Stock

Net losses related to the re-issuance of treasury stock to settle restricted stock unit and stock option awards of \$10,600,000, \$9,349,000 and \$11,041,000 were recorded as a reduction to retained earnings during fiscal 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Repurchase of Common Stock

The Company repurchased 480,000 shares of its common stock for \$23,395,000 during the fiscal year ended December 28, 2012. The Company repurchased 1,002,000 shares of its common stock for \$40,573,000 during the fiscal year ended December 30, 2011. The Company repurchased 244,000 shares of its common stock for \$6,899,000 during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010. On February 9, 2012 the Board of Directors authorized an additional \$35,000,000 for the repurchase of Exponent's common stock. On May 25, 2011 the Board of Directors authorized an additional \$35,000,000 for the repurchase of Exponent's common stock. As of December 28, 2012, the Company had remaining authorization under its stock repurchase plan of \$21,011,000 to repurchase shares of common stock.

Note 10: Stock-Based Compensation

On May 29, 2008, the Company's stockholders approved the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan and the 2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP"). The 2008 Equity Incentive Plan and ESPP were previously adopted by the Company's Board of Directors on April 8, 2008, subject to stockholder approval. Upon stockholder approval of the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan and ESPP each of the following plans were terminated: the 1999 Stock Option Plan, the Restricted Stock Award Plan, the 1998 Stock Option Plan and the Employee Stock Purchase Plan established in 1992.

The 2008 Equity Incentive Plan allows for the award of stock options, stock awards (including stock units, stock grants and stock appreciation rights or other similar equity awards) and cash awards to officers, employees,

consultants and non-employee members of the Board of Directors. The total number of shares reserved for issuance under the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan is 2,414,075 shares of common stock, subject to adjustment resulting from a stock split or the payment of a stock dividend or any other increase or decrease in the number of issued shares of the Company's stock effected without receipt of consideration by the Company. As of December 28, 2012, 1,189,291 shares were available for grant under the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan.

The ESPP allows for officers and employees to purchase common stock through payroll deductions of up to 15% of a participant's eligible compensation. Shares of common stock are purchased under the ESPP at 95% of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on each purchase date. Subject to adjustment resulting from a stock split or the payment of a stock dividend or any other increase or decrease in the number of issued shares of the Company's stock effected without receipt of consideration by the Company, the total number of shares reserved for issuance under the ESPP was 200,000 shares of common stock. As of December 28, 2012, 81,796 shares were available for grant. Weighted average purchase prices for shares sold under all ESPP plans in fiscal 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$50.26, \$41.70 and \$30.72, respectively.

Restricted Stock Units

The Company grants restricted stock units to employees and outside directors. These restricted stock unit grants are designed to attract and retain employees, and to better align employee interests with those of the Company's stockholders. For a select group of employees, up to 40% of their annual bonus is settled with fully vested restricted stock unit awards. Under these fully vested restricted stock unit awards, the holder of each award has the right to receive one share of the Company's common stock for each fully vested restricted stock unit four years from the date of grant. Each individual who received a fully vested restricted stock unit award is granted a matching number of unvested restricted stock unit awards. These unvested restricted stock unit awards cliff vest four years from the date of grant, at which time the holder of each award will have the right to receive one share of the Company's common stock for each restricted stock unit award, provided the holder of each award has met certain employment conditions. In the case of retirement at 59 ½ years or older, all unvested restricted stock unit awards will continue to vest provided the holder of each award does all consulting work through the Company and does not become an employee for a past or present client, beneficial party or competitor of the Company.

The value of these restricted stock unit awards is determined based on the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The value of fully vested restricted stock unit awards issued is recorded as a reduction to accrued bonuses. The portion of bonus expense that the Company expects to settle with fully vested restricted stock unit awards is recorded as stock-based compensation during the period the bonus is earned. For the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense associated with accrued bonus awards of \$6,089,000, \$5,295,000 and \$4,533,000, respectively.

The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense associated with the unvested restricted stock unit awards of \$5,650,000, \$4,464,000 and \$4,077,000 during the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

The number of restricted stock unit awards outstanding as of December 28, 2012 is as follows⁽¹⁾:

| | Number of awards outstanding | Weighted- average fair value |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Balance as of January 1, 2010 | 534,237 | \$ 23.00 |
| Awards granted | 265,894 | 28.78 |
| Awards vested | (241,916) | 23.26 |
| Awards cancelled | (2,833) | 26.73 |
| Balance as of December 31, 2010 | 555,382 | \$ 25.64 |
| Awards granted | 249,997 | 38.59 |
| Awards vested | (260,064) | 28.29 |
| Awards cancelled | (2,981) | 29.58 |
| Balance as of December 30, 2011 | 542,334 | \$ 30.32 |
| Awards granted | 235,506 | 48.04 |
| Awards vested | (235,912) | 39.69 |
| Awards cancelled | (5,321) | 32.69 |
| Balance as of December 28, 2012 | 536,607 | \$ 33.95 |

⁽¹⁾ Does not include employee stock purchase or stock option plans.

Stock Options

The Company currently grants stock options under the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. Options are granted for terms of ten years and generally vest ratably over a four-year period from the grant date. The Company grants options at exercise prices equal to the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. During the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$37,000, \$34,000 and \$31,000, respectively, associated with stock options granted prior to, but not yet vested as of December 30, 2005. During the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$602,000, \$547,000 and \$616,000, respectively, associated with stock options granted after December 30, 2005.

Option activity is as follows⁽¹⁾:

| | Number of shares outstanding | Weighted- average exercise price | Weighted- average remaining contractual term (years) | Aggregate intrinsic value (in thousands) |
|---|------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Balance as of January 1, 2010 | 817,109 | \$ 11.74 | | |
| Options granted | 42,500 | 25.96 | | |
| Options cancelled | - | - | | |
| Options exercised | (348,469) | 7.67 | | |
| Balance as of December 31, 2010 | 511,140 | \$ 15.69 | | |
| Options granted | 30,000 | 37.72 | | |
| Options cancelled | - | - | | |
| Options exercised | (105,744) | 14.07 | | |
| Balance as of December 30, 2011 | 435,396 | \$ 17.60 | | |
| Options granted | 25,000 | 48.27 | | |
| Options cancelled | (2,000) | 6.50 | | |
| Options exercised | (200,268) | 13.01 | | |
| Balance as of December 28, 2012 | 258,128 | \$ 24.21 | 4.99 | \$ 7,611 |
| Vested and expected to vest as of December 28, 2012 | 252,180 | \$ 24.08 | 4.95 | \$ 7,471 |
| Exercisable at December 28, 2012 | 173,874 | \$ 19.39 | 3.84 | \$ 5,966 |

⁽¹⁾ Does not include restricted stock or employee stock purchase plans

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$7,484,000, \$2,995,000 and \$8,086,000, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the fiscal year ended December 28, 2012, and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 28, 2012. This amount changes based on the fair-value of the Company's stock.

Information regarding options outstanding at December 28, 2012 is summarized below:

Edgar Filing: EXPONENT INC - Form 10-K

| Range of exercise price | Outstanding | | Exercisable | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Number of options | Weighted-average remaining life in years | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Number of shares | Weighted average exercise price |
| \$6.57-7.02 | 42,000 | 0.18 | \$ 6.99 | 42,000 | \$ 6.99 |
| \$12.02-15.65 | 38,628 | 2.23 | \$ 13.59 | 30,628 | \$ 13.90 |
| \$18.37-25.96 | 92,500 | 6.15 | \$ 23.38 | 63,746 | \$ 22.56 |
| \$31.01-37.72 | 60,000 | 6.62 | \$ 34.37 | 37,500 | \$ 32.35 |
| \$48.27-48.27 | 25,000 | 9.12 | \$ 48.27 | - | \$ - |
| | 258,128 | 4.99 | \$ 24.21 | 173,874 | \$ 19.39 |

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to determine the fair value of options granted. The determination of the fair value of stock-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model is affected by the Company's stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of complex and subjective variables. These variables include expected stock price volatility over the term of the award, actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors, the risk-free interest rate and expected dividends.

The Company used historical exercise and post-vesting forfeiture and expiration data to estimate the expected term of options granted. The historical volatility of the Company's common stock over a period of time equal to the expected term of the options granted was used to estimate expected volatility. The risk-free interest rate used in the option-pricing model was based on United States Treasury zero coupon issues with remaining terms similar to the expected term on the options. The Company is required to estimate forfeitures at the time of grant and revise those estimates in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Historical data was used to estimate pre-vesting option forfeitures and stock-based compensation expense was recorded only for those awards that are expected to vest. All share based payment awards are recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the awards.

The assumptions used to value option grants for the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows:

| | Stock Option Plan | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Expected life (in years) | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.6 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.4% | 3.1 % | 3.2 % |
| Volatility | 39 % | 39 % | 40 % |
| Dividend yield | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % |

The weighted-average fair value of options granted during the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were \$19.51, \$16.51 and \$11.70, respectively.

The amount of stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Company's consolidated statements of income for the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is as follows:

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|
| (In thousands) | | | |
| Compensation and related expenses: | | | |
| Restricted stock units | \$ 11,345 | \$ 9,402 | \$ 8,257 |

Edgar Filing: EXPONENT INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| Stock option grants | 639 | 581 | 647 |
| Sub-total | 11,984 | 9,983 | 8,904 |

General and administrative expenses:

| | | | |
|--|----------|----------|---------|
| Restricted stock units | 394 | 357 | 353 |
| Sub-total | 394 | 357 | 353 |
| Total stock-based compensation expense | \$12,378 | \$10,340 | \$9,257 |

As of December 28, 2012, there was \$5,191,000 of unrecognized compensation cost, expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.4 years, related to unvested restricted stock unit awards and \$678,000 of unrecognized compensation cost, expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.2 years, related to unvested stock options. Total unrecognized compensation costs will be adjusted for future changes in estimated forfeitures.

A summary of the Company's unvested stock options is as follows:

| | Unvested options outstanding | Weighted- average fair value |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Balance of unvested stock options as of January 1, 2010 | 163,750 | \$ 9.31 |
| Options granted | 42,500 | 11.70 |
| Options vested | (70,250) | 8.19 |
| Options forfeited | - | - |
| Balance of unvested stock options as of December 31, 2010 | 136,000 | \$ 10.64 |
| Options granted | 30,000 | 16.51 |
| Options vested | (62,122) | 10.23 |
| Options forfeited | - | - |
| Balance of unvested stock options as of December 30, 2011 | 103,878 | \$ 12.58 |
| Options granted | 25,000 | 19.51 |
| Options vested | (44,624) | 12.27 |
| Options forfeited | - | - |
| Balance of unvested stock options as of December 28, 2012 | 84,254 | \$ 14.80 |

Note 11: Retirement Plans

The Company provides a 401(k) plan for its employees whereby the Company contributes to each eligible employee's 401(k) account 7% of the employee's eligible base salary plus overtime. The employee does not need to make a contribution to the plan to be eligible for the Company's 7% contribution. To be eligible under the plan, an employee must be at least 21 years of age and be either a full-time or part-time salaried employee. The 7% Company contribution will vest 20% per year for the first 5 years of employment and then immediately thereafter. The Company's expenses related to this plan were \$6,573,000, \$6,148,000, and, \$5,598,000 in fiscal 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Note 12: Deferred Compensation Plan

The Company maintains a nonqualified deferred compensation plan for the benefit of a select group of highly compensated employees. Under this plan, participants may elect to defer up to 100% of their compensation. Company assets that are earmarked to pay benefits under the plan are held in a rabbi trust and are subject to the claims of the Company's creditors. As of December 28, 2012 and December 30, 2011, the invested amounts under the plan totaled \$27,089,000 and \$20,082,000, respectively. These assets are classified as trading securities and are recorded at fair market value with changes recorded as adjustments to other income and expense.

As of December 28, 2012 and December 30, 2011, vested amounts due under the plan totaled \$26,984,000 and \$19,927,000, respectively. Changes in the liability are recorded as adjustments to compensation expense. During the fiscal years 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company recognized compensation expense of \$2,158,000, \$(273,000), and \$1,925,000 respectively, as a result of changes in the market value of the trust assets with the same amount being recorded as other income, net.

Note 13: Commitments and Contingencies

The following is a summary of the future minimum payments, required under non-cancelable operating leases, with terms in excess of one year, as of December 28, 2012:

(In thousands)

| Fiscal year | Lease commitments |
|-------------|-------------------|
| 2013 | \$ 7,139 |
| 2014 | 6,202 |
| 2015 | 4,795 |
| 2016 | 3,355 |
| 2017 | 2,537 |
| Thereafter | 3,880 |
| | \$ 27,908 |

Total rent expense from property leases in fiscal 2012, 2011, and 2010 was \$5,481,000, \$5,180,000 and \$5,030,000, respectively. Total expense from other operating leases in fiscal 2012, 2011, and 2010 was \$1,754,000, \$1,477,000 and \$1,400,000, respectively. The Company had \$3,851,000 in outstanding purchase commitments as of December 28, 2012. These commitments are expected to be fulfilled by the end of fiscal 2013.

In July of 2008, the Company was served with a writ by a former client. The writ did not articulate a claim. The Company met with the former client in November of 2008 and again in January of 2009 and learned in those discussions of potential claims against the Company arising out of the testimony delivered by one of the Company's employees. The former client claims that this testimony contributed to an adverse verdict against them. Given the uncertainty as to whether the claimant will choose to pursue one or more claims against the Company, and the nature of the potential claims against the Company, an estimated loss cannot be determined at this time. The Company believes it has a strong defense against all such potential claims and intends to vigorously defend itself. Further, the Company believes that some of the potential claims would be covered by insurance. Although the Company's ultimate liability in this matter (if any) cannot be determined, based upon information currently available, the Company believes, after consultation with legal counsel, the ultimate resolution of these potential claims will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In addition to the above matters, the Company is a party to various other legal actions from time to time and may be contingently liable in connection with claims and contracts arising in the normal course of business, the outcome of which the Company believes, after consultation with legal counsel, will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. All legal costs associated with litigation are expensed as incurred.

Note 14: Other Income, Net

Interest and other income, net, consisted of the following:

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | | |
|--|--------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest income | \$330 | \$237 | \$199 |
| Interest expense | (2) | (1) | (1) |
| Rental income | 1,671 | 1,310 | 1,390 |
| Gain (loss) on deferred compensation investments | 2,158 | (273) | 1,925 |
| (Loss) gain on foreign exchange | (61) | 70 | (69) |
| Other | 33 | 16 | 23 |
| Total | \$4,129 | \$1,359 | \$3,467 |

Note 15: Industry and Client Credit Risk

The Company serves clients in various segments of the economy. During fiscal 2012, 2011 and 2010 the Company provided services representing approximately 10%, 10% and 13%, respectively, of revenues to clients and to

organizations and insurers acting on behalf of clients in the transportation industry. During fiscal 2012, 2011 and 2010 the Company derived approximately 13%, 15% and 15%, respectively, of revenues from government agencies and contractors.

No single customer comprised more than 10% of the Company's revenues for the years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Agencies of the U.S. federal government comprised 11% of the Company's accounts receivable at December 28, 2012. Agencies of the U.S. federal government comprised 12% of the Company's accounts receivable at December 30, 2011.

Note 16: Supplemental Cash Flow Information

The following is supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | | |
|--|--------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Cash paid during the year: | | | |
| Income taxes | \$24,104 | \$21,669 | \$19,465 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Capital leases for equipment | \$- | \$142 | \$- |
| Unrealized (loss) gain on investments | \$(28) | \$52 | \$(31) |
| Vested stock unit awards granted to settle accrued bonus | \$5,343 | \$4,538 | \$3,566 |
| Stock repurchases payable to broker | \$508 | \$- | \$- |

Note 17: Segment Reporting

The Company has two operating segments based on two primary areas of service. The Engineering and other scientific operating segment is a broad service group providing technical consulting in different practices primarily in the areas of engineering and technology development. The Environmental and health operating segment provides services in the area of environmental, epidemiology and health risk analysis. This operating segment provides a wide range of consulting services relating to environmental hazards and risks and the impact on both human health and the environment.

Segment information is presented for selected data from the statements of income and statements of cash flows for fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010. Segment information for selected data from the balance sheets is presented for the fiscal years ended December 28, 2012 and December 30, 2011. The chief operating decision maker does not review total assets in his evaluation of segment performance and capital allocation.

Revenues

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Engineering and other scientific | \$213,304 | \$199,772 | \$184,146 |
| Environmental and health | 79,349 | 72,674 | 64,607 |
| Total revenues | \$292,653 | \$272,446 | \$248,753 |

Operating Income

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Engineering and other scientific | \$62,852 | \$57,779 | \$49,182 |
| Environmental and health | 25,752 | 22,367 | 20,801 |
| Total segment operating income | 88,604 | 80,146 | 69,983 |
| Corporate operating expense | (30,984) | (26,686) | (26,742) |
| Total operating income | \$57,620 | \$53,460 | \$43,241 |

Capital Expenditures

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Engineering and other scientific | \$3,264 | \$2,489 | \$1,706 |
| Environmental and health | 289 | 313 | 209 |
| Total segment capital expenditures | 3,553 | 2,802 | 1,915 |
| Corporate capital expenditures | 1,389 | 1,033 | 560 |
| Total capital expenditures | \$4,942 | \$3,835 | \$2,475 |

Depreciation and Amortization

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | | |
|----------------|--------------|------|------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |

| | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Engineering and other scientific | \$2,831 | \$2,700 | \$2,730 |
| Environmental and health | 286 | 227 | 195 |
| Total segment depreciation and amortization | 3,117 | 2,927 | 2,925 |
| Corporate depreciation and amortization | 1,594 | 1,484 | 1,398 |
| Total depreciation and amortization | \$4,711 | \$4,411 | \$4,323 |

Information regarding the Company's operations in different geographical areas:

Property, Equipment and Leasehold Improvements, net

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | |
|-------------------|--------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| United States | \$26,857 | \$26,953 |
| Foreign Countries | 589 | 262 |
| Total | \$27,446 | \$27,215 |

Revenues ⁽¹⁾

| (In thousands) | Fiscal Years | | |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| United States | \$260,760 | \$239,994 | \$220,527 |
| Foreign Countries | 31,893 | 32,452 | 28,226 |
| Total | \$292,653 | \$272,446 | \$248,753 |

⁽¹⁾Geographic revenues are allocated based on the location of the client.

Note 18: Subsequent Event

On February 15, 2013 the Company's Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.15 per share of the Company's common stock, payable March 29, 2013, to stockholders of record as of March 8, 2013. The Company expects to pay quarterly dividends in the future, subject to declaration by the Company's Board of Directors.

Comparative Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)

Summarized quarterly financial data is as follows:

| Fiscal 2012 (In thousands, except per share data) | March 30, 2012 | June 29, 2012 | September 28, 2012 | December 28, 2012 |
|--|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Revenues before reimbursements | \$ 66,470 | \$ 68,318 | \$ 66,725 | \$ 65,049 |
| Revenues | 71,925 | 74,484 | 73,298 | 72,946 |
| Operating income | 11,816 | 17,361 | 14,728 | 13,715 |
| Income before income taxes | 13,733 | 17,248 | 16,330 | 14,438 |
| Net income | \$ 8,201 | \$ 10,327 | \$ 10,225 | \$ 8,472 |
| Net income per share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.59 | \$ 0.75 | \$ 0.75 | \$ 0.62 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.57 | \$ 0.72 | \$ 0.72 | \$ 0.60 |
| Shares used in per share computations | | | | |
| Basic | 13,859 | 13,835 | 13,694 | 13,733 |
| Diluted | 14,419 | 14,316 | 14,196 | 14,208 |
| | | | | |
| Fiscal 2011 (In thousands, except per share data) | April 1, 2011 | July 1, 2011 | September 30, 2011 | December 30, 2011 |
| Revenues before reimbursements | \$ 64,183 | \$ 60,573 | \$ 61,387 | \$ 60,524 |
| Revenues | 73,473 | 65,106 | 65,951 | 67,916 |
| Operating income | 12,366 | 13,377 | 16,524 | 11,193 |
| Income before income taxes | 13,379 | 13,960 | 14,400 | 13,080 |
| Net income | \$ 8,003 | \$ 8,217 | \$ 8,744 | \$ 7,731 |
| Net income per share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.57 | \$ 0.63 | \$ 0.56 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.53 | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.60 | \$ 0.54 |
| Shares used in per share computations | | | | |
| Basic | 14,532 | 14,402 | 13,975 | 13,775 |
| Diluted | 15,140 | 14,971 | 14,554 | 14,322 |

Schedule II**Valuation and Qualifying Accounts**

| | Balance at Beginning of Year | Additions | | Deletions (1) Accounts Written-off Net of Recoveries | Balance at End of Year |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------|
| | | Provision Charged to Expenses | Provision Charged to Revenues | | |
| (In thousands) | | | | | |
| Year Ended December 28, 2012 | | | | | |
| Allowance for bad debt | \$ 819 | \$483 | \$ - | \$ (369) | \$ 933 |
| Allowance for contract losses | \$ 1,520 | \$- | \$ 1,280 | \$ (1,067) | \$ 1,733 |
| Year Ended December 30, 2011 | | | | | |
| Allowance for bad debt | \$ 702 | \$709 | \$ - | \$ (592) | \$ 819 |
| Allowance for contract losses | \$ 1,424 | \$- | \$ 1,278 | \$ (1,182) | \$ 1,520 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Allowance for bad debt | \$ 788 | \$641 | \$ - | \$ (727) | \$ 702 |
| Allowance for contract losses | \$ 1,929 | \$- | \$ 1,168 | \$ (1,673) | \$ 1,424 |

(1)Balance includes currency translation adjustments.

Recoveries of accounts receivable previously written off were \$110,000, \$105,000 and \$213,000 for the years ended December 28, 2012, December 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Schedules other than above have been omitted since they are either not required, not applicable, or the information is otherwise included in the Report.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

EXPONENT, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: February 27, 2013 /s/ Richard L. Schlenker, Jr.
Richard L. Schlenker, Jr., Executive Vice President,

Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Secretary

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|---|-------------------|
| /s/ Paul R. Johnston Paul R. Johnston, Ph.D. | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Richard L. Schlenker, Jr. Richard L. Schlenker, Jr. | Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Secretary (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Michael R. Gaulke Michael R. Gaulke | Chairman of the Board of Directors | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Samuel H. Armacost Samuel H. Armacost | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Mary B. Cranston Mary B. Cranston | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Leslie G. Denend Leslie G. Denend, Ph.D. | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Stephen C. Riggins Stephen C. Riggins | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ John B. Shoven John B. Shoven, Ph.D. | Director | February 27, 2013 |

EXHIBIT INDEX

The following exhibits are filed as part of, or incorporated by reference into (as indicated parenthetically), the Annual Report on Form 10-K:

- 3.1(i) Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference from the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 as filed on June 25, 1990, registration number 33-35562).
- 3.1(ii) Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 24, 2006).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on February 14, 2012).
- 4.1 Specimen copy of Common Stock Certificate of the Company (incorporated by reference from the Company's Registration Statement on Forms S-1 as filed on June 25, 1990, registration number 33-35562).
- *10.6 Exponent, Inc. 1998 Non Statutory Stock Option Plan dated October 24, 1998 (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 1999).
- 10.10 Exponent, Inc. 1999 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999).
- *10.11 Exponent, Inc. 1999 Restricted Stock Plan (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999).
- 10.15 Commercial Lease No. 03-53542 between the Company and the Arizona State Land Department, effective January 17, 1998 (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2003).
- *10.17 Exponent Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004).
- *10.19 Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into or proposed to be entered into between the Company and its officers and directors (incorporated by reference from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the

fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2006).

- 10.20 Services Agreement between the Company and Exponent Engineering P.C. (incorporated by reference from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal period ended March 31, 2006).
- *10.21 Employment Offer Letter between the Company and Dr. Elizabeth Anderson (incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 9, 2006).
- 10.24 Amendment No. 1 to Exponent, Inc. 1998 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan dated January 29, 2007 (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 29, 2006).
- 10.25 Amendment No. 1 to Exponent, Inc. 1999 Stock Option Plan dated January 29, 2007 (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 29, 2006).
- 10.26 Amendment No. 1 to Exponent, Inc. 1999 Restricted Stock Plan dated January 29, 2007 (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 29, 2006).
- 10.28 2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2009).

Form of Restricted Stock Unit Employee Bonus Grant Agreement under the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan
*10.31 (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2009).

Form of Restricted Stock Unit Employee Matching Grant Agreement under the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan
*10.32 (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2009).

Form of Restricted Stock Unit Director Grant Agreement under the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2009).
*10.33

Amended and Restated Restricted Stock Unit Bonus Grant Agreement under the 1999 Restricted Stock Plan
*10.34 (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2009).

Amended and Restated Restricted Stock Unit Matching Grant Agreement under the 1999 Restricted Stock Plan
*10.35 (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2009).

Amended and Restated Restricted Stock Unit Director Grant Agreement under the 1999 Restricted Stock Plan
*10.36 (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2009).

Exponent, Inc. Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (filed as Appendix A to the Company's Schedule 14A filed on April 19, 2012).
*10.37

Exponent, Inc. 401(k) Savings Plan, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2010 (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010).
10.38

First Amendment to the Exponent, Inc. 401(k) Savings Plan (as amended and restated January 1, 2010)
10.39 (incorporated by reference from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal period ended July 1, 2011).

Second Amendment to the Exponent, Inc. 401(k) Savings Plan (as amended and restated January 1, 2010)
10.40 (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2011).

*10.41

Edgar Filing: EXPONENT INC - Form 10-K

Form of Stock Option Agreement under the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2011).

10.42 Third Amendment to the Exponent, Inc. 401(k) Savings Plan (as amended and restated January 1, 2010).

*10.43 Amendment to Form of Stock Option Agreement under the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan.

21.1 List of subsidiaries.

23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a – 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a – 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

60

101.INSXBRL Instance Document

101.SCHXBRL Taxonomy Schema Document

101.CALXBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document

101.LABXBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document

101.PREXBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document

101.DEFBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document

* Indicates management compensatory plan, contract or arrangement