HANCOCK JOHN BANK & THRIFT OPPORTUNITY FUND

Form DEF 14A

| February 07, 2008 As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 7, 2008. |
|---|
| SCHEDULE 14A |
| (RULE 14A-101) |
| INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT |
| FILE NUMBER 811-8568 |
| SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION |
| PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(A) OF THE |
| SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (AMENDMENT NO) |
| x Filed by the Registrant |
| o Filed by a Party other than the Registrant |
| Check the appropriate box: |
| o Preliminary Proxy Statement |
| x Definitive Proxy Statement |
| o Definitive Additional Materials |

| o Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-11(c) or Rule 14a-12 |
|--|
| JOHN HANCOCK BANK AND THRIFT OPPORTUNITY FUND |
| (Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter) |
| JOHN HANCOCK BANK AND THRIFT OPPORTUNITY FUND |
| (Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement) |
| Payment of filing fee (check the appropriate box): |
| o \$125 per Exchange Act Rules 0-11(c) (1) (ii), 14a-6 (i) (1), or |
| 14a-6 (i) (2) or Item 22(a) (2) or schedule 14A (sent by wire transmission). |
| o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials. |
| X No fee required. |
| |

John Hancock Bank and Thrift Opportunity Fund

February 7, 2008

Dear Fellow Shareholder:

As an investor in the John Hancock Bank and Thrift Opportunity Fund, you are cordially invited to attend the annual shareholder meeting on Monday, March 31, 2008, at 2:00 P.M., Eastern Time, to be held at John Hancock Funds, 601 Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2805.

The proposal set forth in the enclosed proxy statement is a routine item. A routine item is one that occurs annually and makes no fundamental or material changes to a fund s investment objectives, policies or restrictions, or to the fund s investment management contracts.

Elect your fund s Board of Trustees

The proposal asks you to elect two Trustees to serve until their respective successors are elected and qualified. Your proxy statement includes a brief description of each nominee s background.

Your vote is important!

Please complete the enclosed proxy ballot form, sign it and mail it to us immediately. For your convenience, a postage-paid return envelope has been provided. Your prompt response will help avoid the cost of additional mailings at your fund s expense.

If you have any questions, please call 1-800-852-0218, Monday through Friday, between 9:00 A.M. and 7:00 p.m., Eastern Time.

Thank you in advance for your prompt action on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Keith F. Hartstein Chief Executive Officer

JOHN HANCOCK BANK AND THRIFT OPPORTUNITY FUND 601 Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02210

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS To Be Held on March 31, 2008

This is the formal agenda for your fund s shareholder meeting. It tells you what matters will be voted on and the time and place of the meeting, in case you want to attend in person.

To the Shareholders of John Hancock Bank and Thrift Opportunity Fund:

A shareholder meeting for your fund will be held at 601 Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts, on Monday, March 31, 2008, at 2:00 P.M., Eastern Time, to consider the following:

- (1) To elect two Trustees to serve until their respective successors are elected and qualified.
- (2) To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment of the meeting.

Your Trustees recommend that you vote in favor of the proposal.

Shareholders of record as of the close of business on January 24, 2008, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the fund s annual meeting and at any related follow-up meeting. The proxy statement and proxy card are being mailed to shareholders on or about February 7, 2008.

Whether or not you expect to attend the meeting, please complete and return the enclosed proxy in the accompanying envelope. No postage is necessary if mailed in the United States.

By order of the Board of Trustees,

Thomas M. Kinzler Corporate Secretary

February 7, 2008

JOHN HANCOCK BANK AND THRIFT OPPORTUNITY FUND 601 Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02210

> ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS To Be Held on March 31, 2008

PROXY STATEMENT

This proxy statement contains the information you should know before voting on the proposal described in the notice. The fund will furnish without charge a copy of its Annual Report to any shareholder upon request. If you would like a copy of your fund s report, please send a written request to the attention of the fund at 601 Congress Street, Boston, MA 02210 or call John Hancock Funds at 1-800-892-9552.

This proxy statement is being used by your fund s Trustees to solicit proxies to be voted at the annual meeting of your fund s shareholders. The meetings will be held at 601 Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts, on Monday, March 31, 2008 at 2:00 P.M., Eastern Time.

If you sign the enclosed proxy card and return it in time to be voted at the meeting, your shares will be voted in accordance with your instructions. Signed proxies with no instructions will be voted FOR the proposal. If you want to revoke your proxy, you may do so before it is exercised at the meeting by filing a written notice of revocation with the fund at 601 Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02210, by returning a signed proxy with a later date before the meeting or, if attending the meeting and voting in person, by notifying the fund s secretary (without complying with any formalities) at any time before your proxy is voted.

Record Ownership

The Trustees have fixed the close of business on January 24, 2008 as the record date to determine which shareholders are entitled to vote at the meeting. Shareholders are entitled to one vote per share on all business relating to the fund of the meeting or any postponements of the meeting. On the record date, there were 84,400,000 shares of beneficial interest of the fund outstanding.

The fund s management does not know of anyone who beneficially owned more than 5% of the fund s shares outstanding on the record date (Beneficial ownership means voting power and/or investment power, which includes the power to dispose of shares.)

1

PROPOSAL ELECTION OF TRUSTEES

General

The fund s Board of Trustees (the Board) consists of seven members. The Board is divided into three staggered term classes; one class containing three Trustees and two classes containing two Trustees. The term of one class expires each year and no term continues for more than three years after the applicable election. Each class of Trustees will stand for election at the conclusion of their respective three-year terms. Classifying the Trustees in this manner may prevent replacement of a majority of the Trustees for up to a two-year period.

As of the date of this proxy, each nominee for election currently serves as a Trustee of the fund. Using the enclosed proxy card, you may authorize the proxies to vote your shares for the nominees or you may withhold from the proxies authority to vote your shares for one or more of the nominees. If no contrary instructions are given, the proxies will vote FOR the nominees. Each of the nominees has consented to his or her nomination and has agreed to serve if elected. If, for any reason, any nominee should not be available for election or able to serve as a Trustee, the proxies will exercise their voting power in favor of a substitute nominee, if any, as the fund s Trustees may designate. The fund has no reason to believe that it will be necessary to designate a substitute nominee.

Proposal

Messrs. Carlin and Cunningham are the current nominees for election.

Vote Required For Proposal

The vote of a plurality of the votes cast by the shares of the fund is sufficient to elect the nominees to serve as Trustees of the fund.

Information Concerning Trustees

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the nominees for election to the Board. The table shows his or her principal occupation or employment and other directorships during the past five years and the number of John Hancock funds overseen by the Trustees. The table also lists the Trustees who are not currently standing for election: The terms of Messrs. Ladner and Moore will expire at the 2009 annual meeting and the terms of Messrs. Boyle and Pruchansky and Ms. McGill Peterson will expire at the 2010 annual meeting.

| Name, (Year of Birth), Address ⁽¹⁾ and Position with the Fund | Principal Occupation(s) and other Directorships during the Past Five Years | | John Hancock Funds Overseen |
|--|---|------------------|---|
| | NOMINEES STANDING FOR ELECTION TERM TO EXPIRE IN 2011 | | |
| James F. Carlin (1940) Interim Chairman and Independent Trustee | Director and Treasurer, Alpha Analytical Inc. (chemical analysis) (since 1985); Part Owner and Treasurer, Lawrence Carlin Insurance Agency, Inc. (since 1995); Part Owner and Vice President, Mone Lawrence Carlin Insurance Agency, Inc. (until 2005); Chairman and CEO, Carlin Consolidated, Inc. (management/investments) (since 1987); Trustee, Massachusetts Health and Education Tax Exempt Trust (1993 2003). | 1994 | 55 |
| William H. Cunningham (1944) Independent Trustee | Director of the following: Hicks Acquisition Company I, Inc. (since 2007); Lincoln National Corporation (insurance) (since 2006); Hayes Lemmerz International, Inc. (diversified automotive parts supply company) (since 2003); Advisory Director, J.P. Morgan-Chase Bank (formerly Texas Commerce Bank Austin); Symtx, Inc. (electronic manufacturing) (since 2001); Southwest Airlines (since 2000); and Introgen (manufacturer of biopharmaceuticals) (since 2000). Former Director of the following: LIN Television (until 2008); Jefferson-Pilot Corporation (diversified life insurance company) (until 2006); Adorno/Rogers Technology, Inc. (until 2004); Hire.com (until 2004); Pinnacle Foods Corporation (until 2003); Advisory Director, Q Investments (until 2003); rateGenius (until 2003); Viasystems Group, Inc. (electronic manufacturer) (until 2003); WilTel Communications (until 2003); Agile Ventures (until 2001); AskRed.com (until 2001); ClassMap.com (until 2001); eCertain (until 2001); New Century Equity Holdings (formerly Billing Concepts) (until 2001); STC Broadcasting, Inc. and Sunrise Television Corp. (until 2001). Former Chancellor, University of Texas System and former President of the University of Texas, Austin, Texas; Chairman and CEO, IBT Technologies (until 2001). | 1994 | 55 |
| Name, (Year of Birth), Address ⁽¹⁾ and Position with the Fund | Principal Occupation(s) and other Directorships during the Past Five Years | Trustee Since | Number of John Hancock Funds Overseen |
| | TRUSTEES NOT STANDING FOR ELECTION TERM TO EXPIRE IN 2009 | | |
| Charles L. Ladner (1938) Independent Trustee | Chairman and Trustee, Dunwoody Village, Inc. (retirement services) (until 2003); Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, UGI Corporation (public utility holding company) (retired 1998); Vice President and Director, AmeriGas, Inc. (retired 1998); Director, AmeriGas Partners, L.P. (gas | 1994 | 55 |

Number of

| Name, (Year of Birth), Address ⁽¹⁾ and Position with the Fund | | | John Hancock Funds Overseen |
|--|--|------------------|---|
| | distribution) (until 1997); Director, EnergyNorth, Inc. (until 1997); Director, Parks and History Association (until 2007). | | |
| John A. Moore (1939) Independent Trustee | President and Chief Executive Officer, Institute for Evaluating Health Risks (nonprofit institution) (until 2001); Senior Scientist, Sciences International (health research) (until 2003); Former Assistant Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, Principal, Hollyhouse (consulting) (since 2000); Director, CIIT (nonprofit research) (since 2002). | 2002 | 55 |
| | 4 | | |
| Name, (Year of Birth), Address ⁽¹⁾ and Position with the Fund | Principal Occupation(s) and other Directorships during the Past Five Years | Trustee Since | Number of John Hancock Funds Overseen |
| | TRUSTEES NOT STANDING FOR ELECTION TERM TO EXPIRE IN 2010 | | |
| James R. Boyle* (1959) Non-Independent Trustee | Executive Vice President, Manulife Financial Corporation (since 1999); President, John Hancock Variable Life Insurance Company (March 2007 to Present); Executive Vice President, John Hancock Life Insurance Company (since June 2004); Chairman and Director, John Hancock Advisers, LLC (the Adviser), The Berkeley Financial Group, LLC (The Berkeley Group) (holding company) and John Hancock Funds, LLC (since 2005); Senior Vice President, The Manufacturers Life Insurance Company (U.S.A.) (until 2004). | 2005 | 265 |
| Patti McGill Peterson (1943) Independent Trustee | Senior Associate, Institute for Higher Education Policy (since 2007); Executive Director, Council for International Exchange of Scholars and Vice President, Institute of International Education (until 2007); Senior Fellow, Cornell Institute of Public Affairs, Cornell University (until 1998); Former President of Wells College, Aurora, New York and St. Lawrence University, Canton, NY; Director, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (until 2003); Director, Ford Foundation, International Fellowships Program (since 2002); Director, Lois Roth Endowment (since 2002); Director, Council for International Exchange (since 2003). | 2002 | 55 |
| Steven R. Pruchansky (1944) Independent Trustee | Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Greenscapes of Southwest Florida, Inc. (since 2000); Director and President, Greenscapes of Southwest Florida, Inc. (until 2000); Managing Director, JonJames, LLC (real estate) (since 2001); Director, First Signature Bank & Trust Company (until 1991); Director, Mast Realty Trust (until 1994); President, Maxwell Building Corp. (until 1991). | 1994 | 55 |

^{*} Interested person, as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, of the fund and the Adviser.

Number of

Executive Officers

The table below lists the fund s executive officers.

Name, (Year of Birth), Address⁽¹⁾ and Position with the Fund

Principal Occupation(s) and other Directorships during Past Five Years

Keith F. Hartstein (1956) President and Chief Executive Officer Senior Vice President, Manulife Financial Corporation (since 2004); Director, President and Chief Executive Officer, the Adviser, The Berkeley Group, John Hancock Funds, LLC (since 2005); Director of the following: MFC Global Investment Management (U.S.), LLC (MFC Global (U.S.)) (since 2005); and John Hancock Signature Services, Inc. (Signature Services) (since 2005). Director, Chairman and President, NM Capital Management, Inc. (since 2005); President and Chief Executive Officer of the following: John Hancock Investment Management Services, LLC (JHIMS) (since 2006); John Hancock Funds (JHF), John Hancock Funds II (JHF II), John Hancock Funds III (JHF III) and John Hancock Trust (JHT) (since 2005); Member, Investment Company Institute Sales Force Marketing Committee (since 2003); Director, President and Chief Executive Officer, MFC Global (U.S.) (2005 2006); Executive Vice President, John Hancock Funds, LLC (until 2005).

Thomas M. Kinzler (1955) Secretary and Chief Legal Officer Vice President and Counsel, John Hancock Life
Insurance Company (U.S.A.) (since 2006); Secretary and
Chief Legal Officer, JHF and JHF III (since 2006);
Secretary, JHF II and Assistant Secretary, JHT (since
June 2007); Vice President and Associate General
Counsel for Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance
Company (1999 2006); Secretary and Chief Legal
Counsel, MML Series Investment Fund (2000 2006);
Secretary and Chief Legal Counsel, MassMutual
Institutional Funds (2000 2004); Secretary and Chief
Legal Counsel, MassMutual Select Funds and
MassMutual Premier Funds (2004 2006).

Francis V. Knox, Jr. (1947) Chief Compliance Officer Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer, JHIMS, the Adviser and MFC Global (U.S.) (since 2005); Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer, JHF, JHF II, JHF III and JHT (since 2005); Vice President and Assistant Treasurer, Fidelity Group of Funds (until 2004); Vice President and Ethics & Compliance Officer, Fidelity Investments (until 2001).

Charles A. Rizzo (1957) Chief Financial Officer Chief Financial Officer, JHF, JHF II, JHF III and JHT (since June 2007); Assistant Treasurer, Goldman Sachs Mutual Fund Complex (registered investment companies) (2005 June 2007); Vice President, Goldman Sachs (2005 June 2007); Managing Director and Treasurer of Scudder Funds, Deutsche Asset Management (2003 2005);

| Namo | e, (Year | of B | rth), | Address(1) |
|-------|----------|------|-------|------------|
| and I | Position | with | the l | Fund |

Principal Occupation(s) and other Directorships during Past Five Years

| and Fosition with the Fund | other Directorships during Fast Five Tears |
|--|---|
| | Director, Tax and Financial Reporting, Deutsche Asset Management (2002 2003); Vice President and Treasurer, Deutsche Global Fund Services (1999 2002). |
| Gordon M. Shone (1956) Treasurer | Senior Vice President, John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A.) (since 2001); Treasurer, JHF (since 2006); JHF II, JHF III and JHT (since 2005); Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, JHT (2003 2005); Vice President, John Hancock Investment Management Services, Inc., John Hancock Advisers, LLC (since 2006) and The Manufacturers Life Insurance Company (U.S.A.) (1998 2000). |

Name, (Year of Birth), Address⁽¹⁾ and Position with the Fund

Principal Occupation(s) and other Directorships during Past Five Years

John G. Vrysen (1955) Chief Operating Officer Senior Vice President, Manulife Financial Corporation (since 2006); Director, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, the Adviser, The Berkeley Group and John Hancock Funds, LLC (since June 2007); Chief Operating Officer, JHF, JHF II, JHF III, and JHT (since June 2007); Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, the Adviser, The Berkeley Group and John Hancock Funds, LLC (2005 until June 2007); Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, John Hancock Investment Management Services, LLC (2005 to 2007), Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, MFC Global (U.S.) (since 2005); Director, Signature Services (since 2005); Chief Financial Officer, JHF, JHF II, JHF III and JHT (2005 June 2007); Vice President and General Manager, John Hancock Fixed Annuities, U.S. Wealth Management (until 2005); Vice President, Operations Manulife Wood Logan (2000 2004).

The Board currently has four standing Committees: the Audit and Compliance Committee, the Governance Committee, the Contracts/Operations Committee and the Investment Performance Committee. Each Committee is comprised of Independent Trustees who are not interested persons.

The current membership of each Committee is set forth below:

| Audit and Compliance | Governance | Contracts/Operations | Investment Performance |
|--|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Messrs. Ladner, Moore and Ms. McGill Peterson | All Independent Trustees | Messrs. Carlin, Cunningham, and Pruchansky | All Independent Trustees |

All members of the fund s Audit and Compliance Committee are Independent under the Revised Listing Rules of the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE), and each member is financially literate with at least one having accounting or financial management expertise. The Board has adopted a written charter for the Audit and Compliance Committee, which is included as Attachment 1 to this proxy statement. The Audit and Compliance Committee recommends to the full Board the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm for the fund,

⁽¹⁾ Business address for all Trustees and officers is 601 Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2805.

monitors and oversees the audits of the fund, communicates with the independent registered public accounting firm and the internal auditors on a regular basis and provides a forum for the independent registered public accounting firm to report and discuss any matters it deems appropriate at any time. The Audit and Compliance Committee reports that it has (1) reviewed and discussed the fund s audited financial statements with management; (2) discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters relating to the quality of the fund s financial reporting as required by SAS 61; (3) received written disclosures and an independence letter from the independent auditors required by Independent Standards Board Standard No. 1 and discussed with the auditors their independence; and (4) based on these discussions, recommended to the Board that the fund s financial statements be included in the fund s annual report for the last fiscal year (see Attachment 2).

All of the Independent Trustees are members of the Governance Committee. The Governance Committee makes recommendations to the Board on issues related to corporate governance applicable to the Independent Trustees and to the composition and operation of the Board and recommends nominees to serve as members of the Board. Among other duties, the Governance Committee determines the compensation paid to the Independent Trustees. All members of the Governance Committee are Independent under the NYSE s Revised Listing Rules and are Independent Trustees. The Board has adopted a written charter for the Governance Committee, which is included as Attachment 3 to this proxy. The Governance Committee selects and nominates for elections candidates for Independent Trustees. The Trustees who are not Independent Trustees and the Officers of the fund are nominated and selected by the Board.

In reviewing a potential nominee and in evaluating the renomination of current Independent Trustees, the Governance Committee expects to apply the following criteria: (i) the nominee s reputation for integrity, honesty and adherence to high ethical standards, (ii) the nominee s business acumen, experience and ability to exercise sound judgments, (iii) a commitment to understand the fund and the responsibilities of a trustee of an investment company, (iv) a commitment to regularly attend and participate in meetings of the Board and its Committees, (v) the ability to understand potential conflicts of interest involving management of the fund and to act in the interests of all shareholders, and (vi) the absence of a real or apparent conflict of interest that would impair the nominee s

7

ability to represent the interests of all the shareholders and to fulfill the responsibilities of an Independent Trustee. The Governance Committee does not necessarily place the same emphasis on each criterion and each nominee may not have each of these qualities.

As long as an existing Independent Trustee continues, in the opinion of the Governance Committee, to satisfy the criteria listed above, the Committee generally would favor the renomination of an existing Trustee rather than a new candidate. Consequently, while the Governance Committee will consider nominees recommended by shareholders to serve as Trustees, the Governance Committee may only act upon such recommendations if there is a vacancy on the Board or the Governance Committee determines that the selection of a new or additional Trustee is in the best interests of the funds. In the event that a vacancy arises or a change in Board membership is determined to be advisable, the Governance Committee will, in addition to any shareholder recommendations, consider candidates identified by other means, including candidates proposed by members of the Governance Committee. The Governance Committee may retain a consultant to assist the Committee in a search for a qualified candidate.

Any shareholder recommendation must be submitted in compliance with all of the pertinent provisions of Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), to be considered by the Governance Committee. In evaluating a nominee recommended by a shareholder, the Governance Committee, in addition to the criteria discussed above, may consider the objectives of the shareholder in submitting that nomination and whether such objectives are consistent with the interests of all shareholders. If the Board determines to include a shareholder s candidate among the slate of its designated nominees, the candidate s name will be placed on the fund s proxy card. If the Board determines not to include such candidate among its designated nominees and the shareholder has satisfied the requirements of Rule 14a-8, the shareholder s candidate will be treated as a nominee of the shareholder who originally nominated the candidate. In that case, the candidate will not be named on the proxy card distributed with the fund s proxy statement. Each of the nominees for election as Trustee was recommended by the Governance Committee.

Shareholders may communicate with the members of the Board as a group or individually. Any such communication should be sent to the Board or an individual Trustee in care of the secretary of the fund at the address on the notice of this meeting. The Secretary may determine not to forward any letter to the members of the Board that does not relate to the business of the fund.

The Contracts/Operations Committee oversees the initiation, operation and renewal of the various contracts between the fund and other entities. These contracts include advisory, custodial and transfer agency agreements and arrangements with other service providers.

The Investment Performance Committee monitors and analyzes the investment performance of the funds generally, consults with the Adviser as necessary if a fund is considered to require special attention, and reviews fund peer groups and other comparative standards as necessary.

The Board and each Committee held five meetings during the fund s fiscal year. With respect to the fund, no Trustee attended fewer than 75% of the aggregate of (1) the total number of Board meetings; and (2) the total number of meetings held by all Committees on which he or she served. The fund holds joint meetings of the Trustees and all Committees.

8

Trustee Ownership

The following table provides a dollar range indicating each Trustee s ownership of equity securities of the fund as well as aggregate holdings of shares of equity securities of all John Hancock Funds overseen by the Trustee, as of December 31, 2007.

Trustee Holdings(1)

| | Bank and Thrift Op | All John Hancock Funds Overseen | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Name of Trustee | Shares | Dollar Range | Dollar Range | |
| | | \$1 | Over | |
| James F. Carlin | 400 | \$10,000 | \$100,000 | |
| | | | Over | |
| William H. Cunningham | | | \$100,000 | |
| | | \$1 | Over | |
| Charles L. Ladner | 605 | \$10,000 | \$100,000 | |
| | | \$1 | Over | |
| Dr. John A. Moore | 1,000 | \$10,000 | \$100,000 | |
| | | \$1 | Over | |
| Patti McGill Peterson | 136 | \$10,000 | \$100,000 | |
| | | \$1 | Over | |
| Steven R. Pruchansky | 100 | \$10,000 | \$100,000 | |

Non-Independent Trustee

James R. Boyle

Compliance with Section 16(a) Reporting Requirements

Section 16(a) of the Exchange requires a fund s executive officers, Trustees and persons who own more than 10% of a fund s shares (10% Shareholders) to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Executive officers, Trustees and 10% Shareholders are also required by SEC regulations to furnish each fund with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely on a review of the copies of these reports furnished to the funds and representations that no other reports were required to be filed, each fund believes that during the past fiscal year its executive officers, Trustees and 10% Shareholders complied with all applicable Section 16(a) filing requirements.

Remuneration of Trustees and Officers

⁽¹⁾ The amounts reflect the aggregate dollar range of equity securities beneficially owned by the Trustees in the fund and in all John Hancock funds overseen by each Trustee. For each Trustee, the amounts reflected include share equivalents of certain John Hancock funds in which the Trustee is deemed to be invested pursuant to the Deferred Compensation Plan for Independent Trustees, as more fully described under Remuneration of Trustees and Officers. The information as to beneficial ownership is based on statements furnished to the funds by the Trustees. Each of the Trustees has all voting and investment powers with respect to the shares indicated. None of the Trustees beneficially owned individually, and the Trustees and executive officers of the funds as a group did not beneficially own, in excess of one percent of the outstanding shares of any fund.

The following table provides information regarding the compensation paid by the fund and the other investment companies in the John Hancock fund complex to the Independent Trustees for their services for the year ended October 31, 2007. Any non-Independent Trustee and each of the fund s officers are interested persons of the Adviser, are compensated by the Adviser and/or its affiliates and receive no compensation from the funds for their services.

| Independent Trustees | Aggregate Compensation from the Fund | Total Compensation All Funds in John Hancock Fund Complex ⁽¹⁾ |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| James F. Carlin | \$ 4,116 | \$ 145,250 |
| Richard P. Chapman, Jr.*# | 1,051 | 35,500 |
| William H. Cunningham* | 4,116 | 145,250 |
| Ronald R. Dion* | 8,533 | 315,250 |
| Charles L. Ladner* | 4,123 | 146,000 |
| Dr. John A. Moore* | 4,939 | 181,000 |
| Patti McGill Peterson* | 4,124 | 151,000 |
| Steven R. Pruchansky* | 4,932 | 180,250 |
| Totals | \$35,934 | \$1,299,500 |

9

Mr. Dion, former Chairman of the Board of Trustees, passed away on November 30, 2007.

Material Relationships of the Independent Trustees

As of December 31, 2007, none of the Independent Trustees, nor any immediate family member, owned shares of the Adviser or is a principal underwriter of the fund, nor does any such person own shares of a company controlling, controlled by or under common control with the Adviser or a principal underwriter of the fund.

There have been no transactions by the fund since the beginning of the fund s last two fiscal years, nor are there any transactions currently proposed in which the amount exceeds \$120,000 and in which any trustee of the fund or any immediate family members has or will have a direct or indirect material interest, nor have any of the foregoing persons been indebted to the fund in an amount in excess of \$120,000 at any time since that date.

No Independent Trustee, nor any immediate family member, has had in the past five years, any direct or indirect interest, the value of which exceeds \$120,000, in the Adviser, a principal underwriter of the fund or in a person (other than a registered investment company) directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by or under common control with the Adviser or principal underwriter of the fund. Moreover, no Independent Trustee or his or her immediate family member has, or has had in the last two fiscal years of the fund, any direct or indirect relationships or

⁽¹⁾ The total compensation paid by the John Hancock fund complex to the Independent Trustees for the calendar year ended December 31, 2007. All the Independent Trustees were Trustees of 57 funds in the John Hancock fund complex.

^{*} As of December 31, 2007, the value of the aggregate accrued deferred compensation amount from all funds in the John Hancock fund complex for Mr. Chapman was \$92,193, Mr. Cunningham was \$240,195, Mr. Dion was \$859,304, Dr. Moore was \$363,017, Mr. Pruchansky was \$388,329, Mr. Ladner was \$89,569 and Ms. McGill Peterson was \$79,183 under the John Hancock Deferred Compensation Plan for Independent Trustees (the Plan). Under the Plan, an Independent Trustee may elect to have his or her deferred fees invested by a fund in shares of one or more funds in the John Hancock fund complex and the amount paid to the Trustees under the Plan will be determined based upon the performance of such investments. Deferral of Trustees fees does not obligate any fund to retain the services of any Trustee or obligate any fund to pay any particular level of compensation to the Trustee.

[#] Mr. Chapman retired on March 20, 2007.

material interest in any transaction or in any currently proposed transaction, in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, in which the following persons were or are a party: the fund, an officer of the fund, any investment company sharing the same investment adviser or principal underwriter as the fund or any officer of such a company, any investment adviser or principal underwriter of the fund or any officer of such a party, any person directly or indirectly controlled by or under common control with the investment adviser or principal underwriter of the fund, or any officer of such a person.

Within the last two completed fiscal years of the fund, no officer of any investment adviser or principal underwriter of the fund or of any person directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by or under common control with, the investment adviser or principal underwriter of the fund, has served as a director on a board of a company where any of the Independent Trustees or nominees of the fund, or immediate family members of such persons, has served as an officer.

Legal Proceedings

There are no material pending legal proceedings to which any Trustee or affiliated person is a party adverse to the fund or any of its affiliated persons or has a material interest adverse to the fund or any of its affiliated persons. In addition, there have been no legal proceedings that are material to an evaluation of the ability or integrity of any trustee or executive officer of the fund within the past five years.

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Trustees of the fund, including a majority of the fund s Independent Trustees, have selected PricewaterhouseCoopers LLC (PricewaterhouseCoopers) to act as independent registered public accounting firm for the fund s fiscal year ending October 31, 2008.

Representatives from PricewaterhouseCoopers are expected to be present at the shareholders meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so. The PricewaterhouseCoopers representatives will also be available to respond to appropriate questions at the meeting.

10

The following table sets forth the aggregate fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers for the fund s 2006 and 2007 fiscal years for professional services rendered for: (i) the audit of the fund s annual financial statements and the review of financial statements included in the fund s reports to stockholders, (ii) assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the audit of the fund s financial statements, (iii) tax compliance, tax advice or tax planning and (iv) all services other than (i), (ii) and (iii). The table also discloses the aggregate fees paid during the 2006 and 2007 calendar years to PricewaterhouseCoopers by the Adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with, the Adviser that provides ongoing services to the fund (Adviser Affiliates).

| | Aud | it Fees | | Related ees | Tax | x Fees | All O | ther Fees |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|------|----------------|---------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Bank & Thrift Opportunity | \$26,050 | \$26,050 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,700 | \$3,700 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |
| Adviser and Adviser Affiliates | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$872,192 | \$1,655,823 |

The fund s Audit and Compliance Committee has adopted procedures to pre-approve audit and non-audit services for the fund and the Adviser and Adviser Affiliates. These procedures identify certain types of audit and non-audit services that are anticipated to be provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers during a calendar year and, provided the services are within the scope and value standards set forth in the procedures, pre-approve those engagements. The scope and value criteria are reviewed annually. These procedures require both audit and non-audit sources to be approved by the Audit and Compliance Committee prior to engaging PricewaterhouseCoopers.

In recommending PricewaterhouseCoopers as the fund s independent registered public accounting firm, the Audit and Compliance Committee has considered the compensation provided to PricewaterhouseCoopers for audit and non-audit services to the Adviser and Adviser Affiliates and has determined that such compensation is not incompatible with maintaining PricewaterhouseCoopers independence. The aggregate amount of non-audit fees paid by the fund, the Adviser and Adviser Affiliates that provide services to the fund, which includes amounts described above,

were \$878,892 and \$1,662,523 for the fiscal years ending October 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively. All such non-audit services were pre-approved in accordance with the fund s policy.

MISCELLANEOUS

Voting; Quorum; Adjournment

The following vote is required to approve the proposal:

| Proposal | Vote Required |
|----------------------|--|
| Election of Trustees | A plurality of all votes cast, assuming a quorum exists.* A plurality means that the two nominees up for election receiving the greatest number of votes will be elected as Trustees, regardless of the number of votes cast. |

^{*} In order for a quorum to exist, a majority of the shares outstanding and entitled to vote must be present at the meeting, either in person or by proxy, determined in accordance with the table below.

The proposal in this proxy statement is considered a routine matter on which brokers holding shares in street name may vote without instruction under the rules of the NYSE.

The following table summarizes how the quorum and voting requirements are determined.

| Shares | Quorum | Voting |
|---|--|---|
| In General | All shares present in person or by proxy are counted in determining whether a quorum exists. | Shares present in person will be voted in person by the shareholder at the meeting. Shares present by proxy will be voted by the proxyholder in accordance with instructions supplied in the proxy. |
| Broker Non-Vote | Considered present at meeting. | Not voted. Same effect as a vote against a proposal. |
| Proxy with No Voting Instruction (other than Broker Non-Vote) | Considered present for determining whether a quorum exists. | Will be voted for the proposal by the proxyholder. |

| Shares | Quorum | Voting |
|-----------------|---|---|
| Vote to Abstain | Considered present for determining whether a quorum exists. | Disregarded. Because abstentions are not votes cast, abstentions will have no effect on whether a proposal is approved. |

If a quorum is not present, the persons named as proxies may vote their proxies to adjourn the meeting to a later date. If a quorum is present, but there are insufficient votes to approve any proposal, the persons named as proxies may propose one or more adjournments of the meeting to permit further solicitation. Shareholder action may be taken on one or more proposals prior to such adjournment. Proxies instructing a vote for a proposal will be voted in favor of an adjournment with respect to that proposal and proxies instructing a vote against a proposal will be voted

against an adjournment with respect to that proposal.

Expenses and Methods of Solicitation

The costs of the meeting, including the solicitation of proxies, will be paid by the fund. Persons holding shares as nominees will be reimbursed by the fund, upon request, for their reasonable expenses in sending soliciting material to the principals of the accounts. In addition to the solicitation of proxies by mail, Trustees, officers and employees of the fund or of the Adviser may solicit proxies in person or by telephone. John Hancock Advisers, LLC, 601 Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02210, serves as the fund s investment adviser and administrator. Mellon Investor Services LLC has been retained to assist in the solicitation of proxies at a cost of approximately \$2,500 plus reasonable expenses.

Telephone Voting

In addition to soliciting proxies by mail, by fax or in person, the fund may also arrange to have votes recorded by telephone by officers and employees of the fund or by the personnel of the Adviser, the transfer agent or the solicitor. The telephone voting procedure is designed to verify a shareholder s identity, to allow a shareholder to authorize the voting of shares in accordance with the shareholder s instructions and to confirm that the voting instructions have been properly recorded.

A shareholder will be called on a recorded line at the telephone number in the fund s account records and will be asked to provide the shareholder s Social Security number or other identifying information.

The shareholder will then be given an opportunity to authorize proxies to vote his or her shares at the meeting in accordance with the shareholder s instructions.

Alternatively, a shareholder may call the Funds Voice Response Unit to vote:

Read the proxy statement and have your proxy card at hand.

Call the toll-free-number located on your proxy card.

Follow recorded instructions.

With both methods of telephone voting, to ensure that the shareholder s instructions have been recorded correctly, the shareholder will also receive a confirmation of the voting instructions.

If the shareholder decides after voting by telephone to attend the meeting, the shareholder can revoke the proxy at that time and vote the shares at the meeting.

Internet Voting

You will also have the opportunity to submit your voting instructions via the Internet by utilizing a program provided through a vendor. Voting via the Internet will not SIZE="2">McCORMICK & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and do not include all the information and notes required by United States generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In our opinion, the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments, which are of a normal and recurring nature, necessary to present fairly the financial position and the results of operations for the interim periods.

The results of consolidated operations for the three and six month periods ended May 31, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. Historically, our consolidated sales and net income are lower in the first half of the fiscal year and increase in the second half. The increase in sales and earnings in the second half of the year is mainly due to the U.S. consumer business cycle, where customers typically purchase more products in the fourth quarter due to the holiday season.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007.

Accounting and Disclosure Changes

In March 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. This standard is intended to improve financial reporting by requiring transparency about the location and amounts of derivative instruments in an entity s financial statements; how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No 133; and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect its financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for our first quarter of 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements. This standard outlines the accounting and reporting for ownership interest in a subsidiary held by parties other than the parent. SFAS No. 160 is effective for our first quarter of 2010. We have not yet determined the impact from adoption of this new accounting pronouncement on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, Business Combinations. This standard establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. This standard also establishes disclosure requirements which will enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141R is effective for us for acquisitions made after November 30, 2009. We have not yet determined the impact from adoption of this new accounting pronouncement on our financial statements, however, the implementation of SFAS No. 141R may have a material impact on our financial statements for businesses we acquire post-adoption.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans. This standard requires us to (a) record an asset or a liability on our balance sheet for our pension plans overfunded or underfunded status (b) record any changes in the funded status of our pension and postretirement plans in the year in which the changes occur (reported in comprehensive income) and (c) measure our pension and postretirement assets and liabilities at November 30 versus our current measurement date of September 30. We complied with the requirement to record the funded status and provide additional disclosures with our filing for our year ended November 30, 2007. The requirement to change the measurement date is effective for our year ending November 30, 2009. The impact of measuring the funded status as of November 30, 2009 will be dependent upon interest rates, market performance and other factors at the measurement date and therefore cannot be determined.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. This standard defines fair value and provides guidance for measuring fair value and the necessary disclosures. This standard does not require any new fair value measurements but rather applies to all other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. In February 2008, the FASB issued a one-year deferral for non-financial assets and liabilities to comply with SFAS No. 157. We adopted SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and liabilities in the first quarter of 2008 (see Note 10 for further details). There was no material effect upon adoption of this new accounting pronouncement on our financial statements. We have not yet determined the impact on our financial statements from adoption of SFAS No. 157 as it pertains to non-financial assets and liabilities for our first quarter of 2009.

On December 1, 2007, we adopted FASB Interpretation 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company s financial statements. FIN 48 sets a threshold for financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. For each tax position, we must determine whether it is more likely than not that

7

Table of Contents

the position will be sustained on audit based on the technical merits of the position, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation. A tax position that meets the more likely than not recognition threshold is then measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. See Note 8 for further details.

2. ACQUISITIONS

Acquisitions of brands are part of our growth strategy to increase sales and profits and improve margins.

On February 20, 2008, we purchased Billy Bee Honey Products Ltd. (Billy Bee) for \$76.4 million in cash, a business which operates in North America and is primarily included in our consumer segment from the date of acquisition. Billy Bee markets and sells under the Billy Bee and Doyon brands. The annual sales of this business are approximately \$37.0 million and include branded, private label and industrial products.

The excess purchase price over the estimated fair value of the tangible net assets purchased was \$71.6 million. The allocation of the purchase price is based on preliminary estimates, subject to revision after appraisals have been finalized. Revisions to the allocation, which may be significant, will be reported as changes to various assets and liabilities, including goodwill and other intangible assets. As of May 31, 2008, \$18.6 million was allocated to other intangible assets and \$53.0 million to goodwill. We expect the final valuation to result in a value for brands and other intangible assets, a portion of which will be amortizable and a portion of which will be non-amortizable. We have included an estimate of intangible asset amortization in our income statement since the date of acquisition.

On November 13, 2007, we signed a definitive agreement with Unilever to purchase the assets of the Lawry s business for \$605 million in cash. The transaction is expected to close in the second half of 2008. Lawry s manufactures and sells a variety of marinades and seasoning blends under the well-known Lawry s and Adolph s brands. The acquisition includes the rights to the brands as well as related inventory and a small number of dedicated production lines. It does not include any employees or manufacturing facilities. The closing of the Lawry s acquisition is subject to the expiration or termination of the Hart-Scott-Rodino (HSR) waiting period and other customary closing conditions. We are continuing to work with the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) on the HSR regulatory review process. We have agreed to pay Unilever a \$30 million termination fee, subject to certain limited conditions, in the event that HSR clearance is not obtained.

On July 30, 2007, we purchased Thai Kitchen SA (Thai Kitchen) for \$12.8 million in cash, a business which operates in Europe and is included in our consumer segment from the date of acquisition. This acquisition complements our U.S. purchase of Simply Asia Foods in 2006. The annual sales are approximately \$7.0 million.

8

On January 31, 2007, we purchased the assets of Fish Crisp Enterprises, Inc. (Fish Crisp) for \$3.1 million in cash. This business operates in North America and is included in our consumer segment from the date of acquisition. Fish Crisp markets and sells seafood products under the Rocky Madsen s Fish Crisp Original and Gourmet Grill brands and has annual sales of approximately \$2 million.

3. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of average shares outstanding (in millions):

| | Three mont May | Six months ended May 31, | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Average shares outstanding basic | 128.7 | 130.2 | 128.3 | 130.2 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Stock options, Restricted Stock Units (RSUs) and employee stock purchase plan | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.6 |
| | | | | |
| Average shares outstanding diluted | 131.5 | 133.6 | 131.3 | 133.8 |

The following table sets forth the stock options and RSUs for the three and six months ended May 31, 2008 and 2007 which were not considered in our earnings per share calculation since they were anti-dilutive.

| | Three months ended May 31, | Six months ended May 31, | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|--|--|
| | 2008 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| Anti-dilutive securities | 3.3 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.6 | | |

The following table sets forth the common stock activity for the three and six months ended May 31, 2008 and 2007 under the Company s stock option and employee stock purchase plans and the repurchases of common stock under its stock repurchase program (in millions):

| | ended | d Six months ended May 31, | | |
|-----|-------|-------------------------------------|------|--|
| 008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | |
| .8 | .4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | |
| | 1.2 | | 1.5 | |
| • | | May 31, 008 2007 .8 .4 1.2 | | |

As of May 31, 2008, \$49 million remained of the \$400 million share repurchase authorization.

4. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following table sets forth the components of comprehensive income (in millions):

| | Th | Three months ended May 31, | | | Six months ended May 31, | | |
|--|-----------|----------------------------|----|------|-----------------------------|----------|--|
| | 2008 2007 | | | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | |
| Net income | \$ | 53.3 | \$ | 41.4 | \$ 104.8 | \$ 85.7 | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), (net of tax): | | | | | | | |
| Pension and other postretirement costs | | 2.7 | | (.7) | 2.0 | (.7) | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | | 28.5 | | 29.1 | 52.6 | 28.3 | |
| Derivative financial instruments | | 2.0 | | (.3) | .5 | .3 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Comprehensive income | \$ | 86.5 | \$ | 69.5 | \$ 159.9 | \$ 113.6 | |

The following table sets forth the components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax where applicable (in millions):

| | May 31, 2008 | May 31, 2007 | ember 30, 2007 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | \$ 399.2 | \$ 251.8 | \$ 346.6 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on foreign currency exchange contracts | (1.4) | (.9) | (2.1) |
| Fair value of open interest rate swaps | (3.8) | (2.4) | (9.9) |
| Unamortized value of settled interest rate swaps | (6.3) | | |
| Pension and other postretirement costs | (72.3) | (80.2) | (74.3) |
| | | | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | \$ 315.4 | \$ 168.3 | \$ 260.3 |

5. PENSION AND POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

The following table presents the components of our pension expense of the defined benefit plans for the three months ended May 31 (in millions):

| | United | States | International | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|--|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | |
| Defined benefit plans | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 2.7 | \$ 3.0 | \$ 1.5 | \$ 1.6 | |
| Interest costs | 6.5 | 6.1 | 3.0 | 2.6 | |
| Expected return on plan assets | (6.6) | (6.2) | (3.2) | (2.4) | |
| Amortization of prior service costs | | | .1 | | |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 1.2 | 2.5 | .6 | .8 | |
| | | | | | |
| Total pension expense | \$ 3.8 | \$ 5.4 | \$ 2.0 | \$ 2.6 | |

The following table presents the components of our pension expense of the defined benefit plans for the six months ended May 31 (in millions):

| United | States | Intern | ational |
|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |

Edgar Filing: HANCOCK JOHN BANK & THRIFT OPPORTUNITY FUND - Form DEF 14A

| Defined benefit plans | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|
| Service cost | \$ 5.3 | \$ 5.9 | \$ 3.0 | \$ 3.3 |
| Interest costs | 13.1 | 12.3 | 6.0 | 5.2 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (13.2) | (12.4) | (6.3) | (4.7) |
| Amortization of prior service costs | | | .1 | |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 2.4 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| | | | | |
| Total pension expense | \$ 7.6 | \$ 10.8 | \$ 3.9 | \$ 5.3 |

We do not expect to make any contributions to our major U.S. pension plan in 2008 as we were in an overfunded status as of November 30, 2007. In the first quarter of 2007, we made a \$22 million contribution to our major U.S. pension plan. Contributions to international plans and our nonqualified U.S. plan are generally funded throughout the year. Total contributions to our pension plans in 2008 are expected to be approximately \$27 million.

The following table presents the components of our other postretirement benefits expense (in millions):

| | Th | Three months ended May 31, | | | Six months ended May 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------|----|------|-----------------------------|--------|
| | 2 | 800 | 2 | 007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Other postretirement benefits | | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ | .8 | \$ | .9 | \$ 1.7 | \$ 1.8 |
| Interest costs | | 1.6 | | 1.4 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
| Amortization of prior service costs | | (.3) | | (.3) | (.7) | (.6) |
| Amortization of (gains)/losses | | .3 | | .2 | .5 | .4 |
| | | | | | | |
| Total other postretirement expense | \$ | 2.4 | \$ | 2.2 | \$ 4.7 | \$ 4.5 |

6. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth the stock-based compensation recorded in selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expense (in millions):

| | Th | ree moi | nths (| ended | Six months ended | | |
|----------------------------------|----|---------|--------|-------|------------------|---------|--|
| | | May | 31, | | May 31, | | |
| | 2 | 2008 | 2 | 007 | 2008 | 2007 | |
| Stock-based compensation expense | \$ | 8.2 | \$ | 8.6 | \$ 11.9 | \$ 13.3 | |

Our 2008 annual grant of stock options and restricted stock units (RSU) occurred in the second quarter, similar to the 2007 annual grant. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of an option granted in 2008 was \$7.20 and in 2007 was \$6.83. The fair values of option grants in the stated periods were computed using the following range of assumptions for our various stock compensation plans:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|
| Risk-free interest rates | 1.4-3.6% | 4.5-5.1% |
| Dividend yield | 2.3% | 2.0-2.1% |
| Expected volatility | 18.7-24.7% | 13.4-24.9% |
| Expected lives | 6.1 | 1.9-5.3 |

As of May 31, 2008 the intrinsic value (the difference between the exercise price and the market price) for all options outstanding was \$139.2 million and the intrinsic value for all options exercisable was \$138.4 million. The total intrinsic value of all options exercised was as follows (in millions):

| | T | Three months ended May 31, | | | Six months ended May 31, | | |
|---|----|----------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| Total intrinsic value for all options exercised | \$ | 15.6 | \$ 3.8 | \$ 22.2 | \$ 16.5 | | |

The following is a summary of all option activity for the six months ended May 31:

| | 20 | 008 | 2007 | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|--|-------|---|--|--|
| (shares in millions) | Number of Shares | Weighted- Average Number Exercise of Price Shares | | Weighted- Average Exercise Price | | |
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 14.2 | \$ 26.38 | 15.8 | \$ 25.31 | | |
| Granted | .6 | 37.58 | .7 | 38.20 | | |
| Exercised | (1.2) | 18.26 | (1.1) | 22.80 | | |
| Forfeited | | | (.1) | 33.70 | | |
| Outstanding at end of May | 13.6 | 27.57 | 15.3 | 26.05 | | |
| Exercisable at end of May | 12.2 | \$ 26.41 | 12.6 | \$ 23.99 | | |

The following is a summary of all of our RSU activity for the six months ended May 31:

| | 2 | 008 | 2007 | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--|
| | Number of | Weighted- Average Grant-Date | Number of | Weighted- Average Grant-Date | |
| (shares in thousands) | Shares | Fair Value | Shares | Fair Value | |
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 373 | \$ 36.47 | 280 | \$ 32.88 | |
| Granted | 279 | 37.58 | 257 | 38.28 | |
| Vested | (261) | 35.71 | (155) | 33.02 | |
| Forfeited | (3) | 37.33 | (4) | 34.16 | |
| Outstanding at end of May | 388 | \$ 37.78 | 378 | \$ 36.47 | |

7. RESTRUCTURING ACTIVITIES

In November 2005, the Board of Directors approved a restructuring plan to consolidate our global manufacturing, rationalize our distribution facilities, improve our go-to-market strategy, eliminate administrative redundancies and rationalize our joint venture partnerships. We estimate total pre-tax charges of \$115 to \$120 million for this program. The segment breakdown of the total charges is expected to be approximately 65% related to the consumer segment and 35% related to the industrial segment. Of these charges, we expect approximately \$90 million will consist of severance and other personnel costs and approximately \$50 million for other exit costs. Asset write-offs are expected to be \$10 to

Table of Contents 22

12

\$15 million, exclusive of the \$34 million pre-tax gain on the redemption of our Signature Brands, L.L.C. joint venture (Signature) recorded in 2006. We expect the cash related portion of the charges will be \$95 to \$100 million, of which approximately \$9 million is expected to be spent in 2008, net of cash received for asset sales. The actions being taken are expected to reduce positions by approximately 1,200 by November 2008.

The following is a summary of restructuring activities (in millions):

| | Three months ended May 31, | | Six mont May | |
|---|----------------------------|--------|-----------------|---------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Pre-tax restructuring charges/(credits) | | | | |
| Other restructuring charges/(credits) | \$ (4.6) | \$ 6.4 | \$ (0.9) | \$ 13.8 |
| Recorded in cost of goods sold | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| | | | | |
| (Increase) reduction in operating income | (3.1) | 7.2 | 0.8 | 15.0 |
| Income tax effect | 1.0 | (2.2) | (0.3) | (4.8) |
| Loss on sale of unconsolidated operations, net of tax | | 0.5 | | 0.8 |
| | | | | |
| (Increase) reduction in net income | \$ (2.1) | \$ 5.5 | \$ 0.5 | \$ 11.0 |

During the three months ended May 31, 2008, we recorded \$2.0 million of severance costs, primarily associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in Canada and Europe. In addition, we recorded \$2.5 million of other exit costs related to the consolidation of production facilities in Europe and the reorganization of distribution networks in the U.K. These restructuring charges were offset by a \$7.6 million credit related to the disposal of assets. This credit was primarily the result of a gain on the disposal of our Salinas manufacturing facility, which was consolidated with other manufacturing facilities in 2007. Of the 1,200 positions expected to be reduced, 1,034 positions have been eliminated as of May 31, 2008. From inception of the project in November 2005, we have incurred \$96.6 million of restructuring charges, including the \$8.4 million gain on disposal of our Salinas manufacturing facility in 2008 and the \$33.7 gain recorded on the redemption of our Signature investment in 2006.

During the six months ended May 31, 2008, we recorded \$3.1 million of severance costs, primarily associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in Europe and Canada. In addition, we recorded \$4.7 million of other exit costs related to the consolidation of production facilities in Europe and the reorganization of distribution networks in the U.S and U.K. These restructuring charges were offset by a \$7.0 million credit related to the disposal of assets. This credit was the result of a gain on the disposal of our Salinas manufacturing facility, which was consolidated with other manufacturing facilities in 2007.

During the three months ended May 31, 2007, we recorded \$3.0 million of severance costs, primarily associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in the U.S. In addition, we recorded

13

Table of Contents

\$3.1 million of other exit costs related to closure of manufacturing facilities in Salinas, California and Hunt Valley, Maryland. The remaining \$1.1 million is comprised of write-downs of assets which is primarily the accelerated depreciation of assets related to the closure of the manufacturing facilities in Salinas, California and Hunt Valley, Maryland.

During the six months ended May 31, 2007, we recorded \$5.2 million of severance costs, primarily associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in the U.S. and Europe. In addition, we recorded \$7.9 million of other exit costs related to closure of manufacturing facilities in Salinas, California and Hunt Valley, Maryland. The remaining \$1.9 million is comprised of inventory write-offs related to the closure of the manufacturing facilities in Salinas, California and Hunt Valley, Maryland and accelerated depreciation of assets.

Also in the three and six months ended May 31, 2007, we recorded net losses, after tax, of \$0.5 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, in connection with unconsolidated joint venture transactions initially recorded in 2006. These losses are shown on the line entitled Loss on sale of unconsolidated operations in our income statement.

The business segment components of the restructuring charges/(credits) recorded in 2008 and 2007 are as follows (in millions):

| | | Three months ended May 31, | | hs ended 31, |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|--------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Consumer | \$ (4.7) | \$ 4.2 | \$ (2.2) | \$ 9.5 |
| Industrial | 1.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 5.5 |
| Total restructuring (credit) charges | \$ (3.1) | \$ 7.2 | \$ 0.8 | \$ 15.0 |

Consumer: The restructuring credits in 2008 include severance costs associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in Europe and Canada, other exit and inventory write-off costs related to the consolidation of production facilities in Europe and the U.S. and the reorganization of distribution networks in the U.S and U.K. These restructuring charges were offset by the \$8.4 million gain recorded on disposal of our Salinas manufacturing facility in 2008 (recorded as an asset related credit). The restructuring charges in 2007 include severance costs associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in Europe, other exit and inventory write-off costs related to the closure of the manufacturing facility in Salinas, California and accelerated depreciation of assets.

Industrial: The restructuring charges in 2008 include severance and other exit costs related to the reduction of administrative personnel and the consolidation of production facilities in Europe. The charges in 2007 include severance costs associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in the U.S., other exit

14

costs related to closure of a manufacturing facility in Hunt Valley, Maryland and consolidation of production facilities in Europe.

During 2008 and 2007, the following cash was (received) spent on our restructuring plan (in millions):

| | Three months ended | d Six months end | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------|--|
| | May 31, | May 31, | | |
| | 2008 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | |
| Total cash (received) spent | \$ (9.7) \$ 9.9 | \$ (4.7) | \$ 30.0 | |

The cash received for the three and six months ended May 31, 2008 include \$14.4 million received from the sale of our Salinas manufacturing facility in April, 2008. From inception of the project in November 2005, \$78.6 million in cash has been spent on the restructuring plan, including the \$14.4 million cash received from the Salinas sale and \$9.2 million cash received on redemption of our Signature investment in 2006.

The major components of the restructuring charges and the remaining accrual balance related to the restructuring plan are as follows (in millions):

| | and p | Severance and personnel costs | | everance re l personnel ch | | sset lated arges/ edits) | ed ges/ Other | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------|----|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------|--|--|
| Second Quarter 2008: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at Feb 29, 2008 | \$ | 5.7 | \$ | | \$ | .1 | \$ | 5.8 | | |
| Restructuring charges | | 2.0 | | (7.6) | | 2.5 | | (3.1) | | |
| Amounts utilized | | (2.5) | | 7.6 | | (2.3) | | 2.8 | | |
| Balance at May 31, 2008 | \$ | 5.2 | \$ | | \$ | .3 | \$ | 5.5 | | |
| Six months ended May 31, 2008: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at Nov 30, 2007 | \$ | 7.1 | \$ | | \$ | .4 | \$ | 7.5 | | |
| Restructuring charges | - | 3.1 | - | (7.0) | - | 4.7 | | .8 | | |
| Amounts utilized | | (5.0) | | 7.0 | | (4.8) | | (2.8) | | |
| Balance at May 31, 2008 | \$ | 5.2 | \$ | | \$ | .3 | \$ | 5.5 | | |
| Second Quarter 2007: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at Feb 28, 2007 | \$ | 9.2 | \$ | | \$ | .9 | \$ | 10.1 | | |
| Restructuring charges | Ψ | 3.0 | | 1.1 | Ψ. | 3.1 | 4 | 7.2 | | |
| Amounts utilized | | (6.7) | | (1.1) | | (3.3) | | (11.1) | | |
| Balance at May 31, 2007 | \$ | 5.5 | \$ | | \$ | .7 | \$ | 6.2 | | |
| Six months ended May 31, 2007: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at Nov 30, 2006 | \$ | 20.3 | \$ | | \$ | 3.1 | \$ | 23.4 | | |
| Restructuring charges | | 5.2 | | 1.9 | | 7.9 | | 15.0 | | |
| Amounts utilized | | (20.0) | | (1.9) | | (10.3) | | (32.2) | | |
| Balance at May 31, 2007 | \$ | 5.5 | \$ | | \$ | .7 | \$ | 6.2 | | |

15

Table of Contents

INCOME TAXES

On December 1, 2007, we adopted FASB Interpretation 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. Upon adoption, we recorded the cumulative effect of this change in accounting principle of \$12.8 million as a reduction to the opening balance of retained earnings.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 1, 2007 was \$26.5 million, the recognition of which would have an effect of \$24.8 million on the effective tax rate. We have historically classified unrecognized tax benefits in other accrued liabilities. As a result of the adoption of FIN 48, unrecognized tax benefits were reclassified to other long-term liabilities, unless expected to be paid within one year.

We record interest and penalties related to our federal, state, and non-U.S. income taxes in income tax expense. As of December 1, 2007, we had accrued \$2.3 million of interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits.

There were no significant changes to unrecognized tax benefits during the six months ended May 31, 2008. We do not anticipate a significant change to the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve months.

We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state and non-U.S. jurisdictions. The open years subject to tax audits varies depending on the tax jurisdiction. In major jurisdictions, with few exceptions, we are no longer subject to income tax audits by taxing authorities for years before 2003. In 2007, the Internal Revenue Service commenced an examination of our U.S. income tax return for the tax year 2005 that is anticipated to be completed by the end of fiscal year 2008 or in early fiscal year 2009. Based on our current knowledge, we do not believe that the outcome of the examination will have a material impact on our financial statements.

Income taxes for the six months ended May 31, 2008 include \$0.7 million in discrete tax benefits, mostly for the reduction of accruals recorded for the settlement of state tax audits.

Income taxes for the six months ended May 31, 2007 include \$1.9

16

Table of Contents

million of discrete tax benefits due to new tax legislation enacted in The Netherlands in December 2006 that reduced the corporate income tax rate and the settlement of certain U.S. tax issues.

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In December 2007, we issued \$250 million of 5.75% notes due 2017. Net interest is payable semiannually in arrears in January and July of each year. These notes were also subject to an interest rate hedge as further disclosed below. The net proceeds from this offering were used to repay \$150 million of debt that matured in the first quarter of 2008 with the remainder used to repay short-term debt.

In August 2007, we entered into \$150 million of forward treasury lock agreements to manage the interest rate risk associated with the forecasted issuance of \$250 million of fixed rate notes issued in December 2007. We cash settled these treasury lock agreements for a loss of \$10.5 million simultaneous with the issuance of the notes and effectively fixed the interest rate on the \$250 million notes at a weighted average fixed rate of 6.25%. The loss on these agreements has been deferred in other comprehensive income and will be amortized over the ten-year life of the notes as a component of interest expense.

10. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

In the first quarter of 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements for financial assets and liabilities. This standard defines fair value, provides guidance for measuring fair value and requires certain disclosures. This standard does not require any new fair value measurements, but rather applies to all other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. This standard does not apply measurements related to share-based payments, nor does it apply to measurements related to inventory.

SFAS No. 157 discusses valuation techniques, such as the market approach (comparable market prices), the income approach (present value of future income or cash flow), and the cost approach (cost to replace the service capacity of an asset or replacement cost). The statement utilizes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The following is a brief description of those three levels:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.

17

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that reflect the reporting entity s own assumptions.

Our population of financial assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements and the necessary disclosures are as follows (in millions):

| | Fair Value | | Using Fair value | | | lue Hiera | rchy Level |
|------------------------------|------------|--------|------------------|--------|----|-----------|---------------|
| | 5 | /31/08 | L | evel 1 | L | evel 2 | 3 |
| <u>Assets</u> | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 47.3 | \$ | 47.3 | \$ | | \$ |
| Long-term investments | | 50.1 | | 50.1 | | | |
| Total | \$ | 97.4 | \$ | 97.4 | \$ | | \$ |
| <u>Liabilities</u> | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$ | 681.7 | \$ | | \$ | 681.7 | \$ |
| Interest rate derivatives | | 0.4 | | | | 0.4 | |
| Foreign currency derivatives | | 2.2 | | | | 2.2 | |
| Total | \$ | 684.3 | \$ | | \$ | 684.3 | \$ |

The fair values of long-term investments are based on quoted market prices from various stock and bond exchanges. The long-term debt fair values are based on quotes for like instruments with similar credit ratings and terms. The fair values for interest rate and foreign currency derivatives are based on quoted market prices from various banks for similar instruments.

11. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We operate in two business segments: consumer and industrial. The consumer and industrial segments manufacture, market and distribute spices, herbs, seasoning blends and other flavors throughout the world. Our consumer segment sells to retail outlets, including grocery, mass merchandise, warehouse clubs, discount and drug stores under the McCormick brand and a variety of brands around the world, including Zatarain s , Simply Asia , Thai Kitchen , Ducros , Vahine , Silvo , Schwartz , Club House and Billy Bee . Our industrial segment sel manufacturers and the food service industry both directly and indirectly through distributors.

In each of our segments, we produce and sell many individual products which are similar in composition and nature. It is impractical to segregate and identify revenue and profits for each of these individual product lines.

We measure segment performance based on operating income excluding

18

restructuring charges from our restructuring programs as these programs are managed separately from the business segment. Although the segments are managed separately due to their distinct distribution channels and marketing strategies, manufacturing and warehousing are often integrated to maximize cost efficiencies. We do not segregate jointly utilized assets by individual segment for internal reporting, evaluating performance or allocating capital. Because of manufacturing integration for certain products within the segments, products are not sold from one segment to another but rather inventory is transferred at cost. Intersegment sales are not material.

| | Consumer | Industrial (in millions) | Total |
|--|----------|--------------------------|------------|
| Three months ended May 31, 2008 | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 417.5 | \$ 346.6 | \$ 764.1 |
| Restructuring charges/(credits) | (4.7) | 1.6 | (3.1) |
| Operating income excluding restructuring charges/(credits) | 55.8 | 21.6 | 77.4 |
| Income from unconsolidated operations | 3.3 | 1.2 | 4.5 |
| Three months ended May 31, 2007 | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 372.5 | \$ 314.7 | \$ 687.2 |
| Restructuring charges | 4.2 | 3.0 | 7.2 |
| Operating income excluding restructuring charges | 53.1 | 21.0 | 74.1 |
| Income from unconsolidated operations | 3.9 | 1.1 | 5.0 |
| | Consumer | Industrial | Total |
| Cir d d d. M 21, 2000 | | (in millions) | |
| Six months ended May 31, 2008 | ¢ 927 0 | \$ 660.2 | ¢ 1 400 1 |
| Net sales Pastworkwing changes ((anadita)) | \$ 827.9 | | \$ 1,488.1 |
| Restructuring charges/(credits) | (2.2) | 3.0 | .8 |

122.7

\$747.3

7.4

9.5

9.2

113.3

36.0

2.6

5.5

35.0

2.4

592.6

158.7

\$1,339.9

10.0

15.0

148.3

11.6

The following table is a reconciliation of operating income excluding restructuring charges/(credits) to operating income (in millions):

Operating income excluding restructuring charges/(credits)

Operating income excluding restructuring charges

Income from unconsolidated operations

Income from unconsolidated operations

Six months ended May 31, 2007

Restructuring charges

Net sales

| | Three months ended May 31, 2008 | | onths ended 31, 2007 |
|--|--|----|-------------------------|
| Operating income excluding restructuring charges | \$ 77.4 | \$ | 74.1 |
| Restructuring charges/(credits) | (3.1) | | 7.2 |
| Operating income | \$ 80.5 | \$ | 66.9 |

| | - | nths ended 31, 2008 | Six months ended May 31, 2007 | | |
|--|----|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--|
| Operating income excluding restructuring charges | \$ | 158.7 | \$ | 148.3 | |
| Less: Restructuring charges | | .8 | | 15.0 | |
| Operating income | \$ | 157.9 | \$ | 133.3 | |

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OVERVIEW

Our Business

We are a global leader in the manufacture, marketing and distribution of spices, herbs, seasonings and other flavors to the entire food industry. Customers range from retail outlets and food service providers to food manufacturers. Our major sales, distribution and production facilities are located in North America and Europe. Additional facilities are based in Mexico, Central America, Australia, China, Singapore, Thailand and South Africa.

We operate in two business segments, consumer and industrial. Profit margins in our consumer business are higher than the profit margins in our industrial business, which is consistent with the experience of other manufacturers operating in the same business segments. On average, approximately 80% of our product costs are from materials and packaging and approximately 20% are from labor and overhead. Across both segments, we have the customer base and product breadth to participate in all types of eating occasions, whether it is cooking at home, dining out, purchasing a quick service meal or enjoying a snack.

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to improve margins, invest in our business and increase sales and profits. We believe this strategy is as effective now as when we developed it in 1998.

Improving Margins - Our goal is to improve profit margins with cost savings from our restructuring program and supply chain initiatives, the elimination of lower margin business, acquisitions of high-margin brands and the introduction of higher-margin, more value-added products. The restructuring program that is underway is designed to improve our global supply chain. This plan, which was announced in 2005 and will extend through 2008, is intended to reduce our complexity and create an organization more focused on growth opportunities.

While our long-term goal is to improve profit margins, we do not expect to achieve this goal in 2008. This is primarily due to the effects of higher commodity costs that we began to experience in the second half of 2007 and which are continuing into 2008. While

20

Table of Contents

we have been able to offset the dollar impact of these higher costs through our pricing actions, we do not expect to improve profit margin in 2008.

Investing in the Business - We are investing in our consumer business by revitalizing our McCormick brand spices and seasonings in the United States. We started introducing new labels and new flip-top caps in 2006 and are continuing to roll out new store shelving displays that make shopping easier for the consumer and restocking easier for retailers. These projects are expected to be completed in 2008. A similar effort is underway that is designed to drive growth of our Schwartz brand in the U.K. and the Ducros brand in France. In the second half of 2008, we are relaunching our entire line of Vahine dessert items with significantly upgraded packaging and have already received a great response from retailers in France. Our consumer business is also driven by marketing spending to support our brands.

Through acquisitions we are adding leading brands to extend our reach into new geographic regions where we currently have little or no distribution. We have a particular interest in emerging markets that offer high growth potential. In our developed markets, we are seeking brands that have a niche position and meet a growing consumer trend.

We have made significant progress in transforming our U.S. industrial business. We are simplifying the business and focusing our resources on large customers who have the greatest potential to add profitable sales. Over the years we have grown industrial sales by maintaining a commitment to service and quality while working with our strategic partners to expand markets and develop new products.

Across all of our businesses, we are focused on monitoring and leading the latest trends through innovation. Convenience, freshness, wellness and ethnic flavors drive consumer demand and are a part of our new product development and acquisition strategy.

Increasing Sales and Profits - With good visibility into our business prospects and operating environment, growth objectives are used as internal goals and to provide a financial outlook for our shareholders. Our current objectives in 2008 are to grow sales at a high single digit rate and earnings per share 8 to 10%. Sales growth will benefit from higher pricing taken to offset higher input costs, as well as favorable foreign exchange rates and the addition of Billy Bee Honey Products. Beginning in 2009, we expect to grow sales annually by 4 to 6% and earnings per share by 9 to 11%.

21

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - COMPANY

| | Three mon May | | Six month May | |
|---------------------|------------------|----------|------------------|------------|
| (in millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Net sales | \$ 764.1 | \$ 687.2 | \$ 1,488.1 | \$ 1,339.9 |
| Percent growth | 11.2% | | 11.1% | |
| Gross profit | \$ 297.9 | \$ 271.8 | \$ 583.7 | \$ 536.2 |
| Gross profit margin | 39.0% | 39.6% | 39.2% | 40.0% |

The sales increase of 11.2% for the second quarter includes 3.9% for the favorable impact of foreign currency. While higher pricing contributed significantly to the sales growth, the combination of volume and product mix also had a positive effect that included the impact of new products and new distribution, as well as 1.7% in incremental sales from the acquisitions of Billy Bee in Canada and Thai Kitchen in Europe. For the six months ended May 31, 2008, the sales increase of 11.1% versus the same period last year includes 3.9% from the favorable impact of foreign currency. The remaining 7.2% increase was due to pricing actions, along with favorable product mix and higher volumes, including a 1.0% increase from acquisitions.

Gross profit increased 9.6% and 8.9% for the second quarter and first half of the year, respectively, while the gross profit margin remains under pressure from higher and more volatile commodity and energy costs. Through a combination of pricing actions, cost savings and favorable product mix, we have an effective offset to these dollar cost increases. However, on a gross profit margin basis these changes had a net unfavorable impact of 60 basis points for the quarter and 80 basis points for the first six months of the year. Restructuring charges in both 2008 and 2007 had a negligible impact on our gross profit margin.

| | Three mon | Three months ended | | s ended |
|--|-----------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| | May 31, | | May 31, | |
| (in millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Selling, general & administrative expense (SG&A) | \$ 222.0 | \$ 198.5 | \$ 426.7 | \$ 389.1 |
| Percent of net sales | 29.1% | 28.9% | 28.7% | 29.0% |

The primary reason for the increase in SG&A as a percentage of net sales in the second quarter is our increase in marketing support by \$4.8 million with print advertising, interactive media and other programs designed to drive sales of our branded products. For the six months ended May 31, 2008, our marketing support has increased by 10% over the prior year, however, SG&A as a percentage of net sales decreased primarily as a result of the cost savings from reductions in operating expenses as part of our restructuring program. For the full year 2008, we expect to increase advertising 10% with an even greater increase in our total marketing support which includes point of sale materials, sampling and other sales building programs.

| | Three months ended | May 31, | |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|
| | May 31, | | |
| (in millions) | 2008 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Interest expense | \$ 12.7 \$ 15.2 | \$ 27.5 | \$ 29.1 |
| Other income, net | 3.0 2.2 | 6.4 | 4.0 |

Lower interest rates led to a favorable variance in interest expense, offsetting the fact that total average debt outstanding was higher in 2008 when compared to 2007. In addition, the increase in other income was due to higher interest income in the three and six months ended May 31, 2008 versus the same periods in the prior year.

| | Three months ended May 31, | | Six months ended May 31, | |
|---|----------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------|
| (in millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Income from consolidated operations before income taxes | \$ 70.8 | \$ 53.9 | \$ 136.8 | \$ 108.2 |
| Income taxes | 21.8 | 16.8 | 41.7 | 32.7 |
| Effective tax rate | 30.8% | 31.2% | 30.5% | 30.2% |

The effective tax rate for the first six months of 2008 includes \$0.7 million in discrete tax benefits, mostly for the reduction of accruals recorded for the settlement of state tax audits. Excluding the effect of these tax benefits, the effective tax rate for fiscal year 2008 is estimated to be 31%, which is consistent with our 2007 effective tax rate. The effective tax rate for the first half of 2007 includes \$1.9 million of discrete tax benefits due to new tax legislation in The Netherlands that reduced the corporate income tax rate and the settlement of certain U.S. tax issues.

Income from unconsolidated operations for the three and six months ended May 31, 2008 decreased by \$0.5 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2007. These decreases for both the quarter and year were primarily driven by the higher cost of soy oil, which is impacting our joint venture in Mexico. Soy oil is the primary ingredient in mayonnaise, which is the leading product for this joint venture. If the price of soy oil stays at the current level, it is likely that we will continue to report lower levels of joint venture profit throughout 2008 when compared to 2007.

The following table outlines the major components of the change in diluted earnings per share from 2007 to 2008:

| | Three months ended May 31, | | Six months ended May 31, | |
|---|--------------------------------|----|-----------------------------|--|
| 2007 Earnings per share - diluted | \$ 0.31 | \$ | 0.64 | |
| Restructuring activities | 0.06 | | 0.08 | |
| Higher operating income | 0.02 | | 0.06 | |
| Lower interest expense/higher interest income | 0.02 | | 0.02 | |
| Lower unconsolidated income | | | (0.01) | |
| Effect of lower shares outstanding | | | 0.01 | |
| | | | | |
| 2008 Earnings per share - diluted | \$ 0.41 | \$ | 0.80 | |

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - SEGMENTS

We measure segment performance based on operating income excluding restructuring charges from our restructuring program as this program is managed separately from our business segments.

CONSUMER BUSINESS

| | | Three months ended May 31, | | Six months ended May 31, | |
|--|----------|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|
| (in millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | |
| Net sales | \$ 417.5 | \$372.5 | \$ 827.9 | \$ 747.3 | |
| Percent growth | 12.1% | | 10.8% | | |
| Operating income excluding restructuring charges | 55.8 | 53.1 | 122.7 | 113.3 | |
| Operating income margin, excluding restructuring charges | 13.4% | 14.3% | 14.8% | 15.2% | |

The 12.1% increase in sales in the second quarter of 2008 as compared to the second quarter of 2007, included an impact of 5.0% from favorable foreign currency rates and 2.6% from recent acquisitions. About 70% of the remaining increase came from pricing actions and 30% from favorable mix and higher volume.

In the Americas, sales increased 11.4% in the second quarter of 2008, compared to the second quarter of 2007, with 1.8% of the increase coming from favorable foreign exchange rates and another 3.0% from the Billy Bee acquisition. The remaining increase of 6.6% had similar contributions from pricing and from favorable product mix and increased volume. New products, new distribution, marketing and merchandizing played important roles in achieving these results. We are not seeing any measurable pull back by U.S. consumers following our February price increase. While our latest consumer takeaway analysis showed an increase in private label purchases, there was a nearly equal increase in consumer purchases of our branded products.

Second quarter 2008 sales in Europe increased 12.6% compared to the second quarter of 2007, with the majority of the increase, 11%, coming from favorable foreign exchange rates. In addition, higher pricing had a positive effect on sales, as well as the 2007 acquisition of Thai Kitchen in Europe which added 2.0% this quarter. Our markets in the U.K. and France are also benefiting

24

Table of Contents

from improved store merchandizing, product innovation and marketing support. In several smaller markets we are working to improve our financial performance with changes in distribution systems, merchandising, product packaging and marketing.

In the Asia/Pacific region sales increased 17.4% in the second quarter of 2008 compared to the second quarter of 2007. Of this increase, 13.2% was due to the impact of favorable foreign exchange rates. While we continue to grow sales in China at a double-digit pace, our consumer business in Australia was down slightly. In both Australia and China, we are introducing improved merchandising systems which have met with success in the U.S. and Europe.

For the six months ended May 31, 2008, sales from McCormick's consumer business increased 10.8% compared to the same period last year. Higher volume, price and product mix added 6.2%, while favorable foreign exchange rates increased sales by 4.6%.

Second quarter 2008 operating income excluding restructuring charges for our consumer business increased \$2.7 million, or 5.1%. This was below the 12.1% increase in sales, due mainly to increased investments in marketing to grow our brands.

In the second quarter of 2008, we recorded \$4.7 million of restructuring credits in the consumer business, compared to \$4.2 million in restructuring charges for the same period of 2007. The restructuring credits in the second quarter of 2008 include severance costs associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in Europe and Canada, other exit and inventory write-off costs related to the consolidation of production facilities in Europe and the U.S. and the reorganization of distribution networks in the U.K. These restructuring charges were offset by a credit related to the disposal of assets. This credit was the result of an \$8.4 million gain recorded on disposal of our Salinas manufacturing facility, which was consolidated with other manufacturing facilities in 2007. The restructuring charges in the second quarter of 2007 include severance costs associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in the U.S., other exit costs related to the closure of the manufacturing facility in Salinas, California and accelerated depreciation of assets.

For the six months ended May 31, 2008, operating income excluding restructuring charges for the consumer business increased 8.3% compared to the same period of 2007. The growth in operating income was mostly the result of higher sales.

During the six months ended May 31, 2008, we recorded \$2.2 million of restructuring credits in the consumer business, compared to \$9.5 million in restructuring charges for the same period of 2007. The restructuring charges in 2008 include severance costs associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in Europe and Canada, other exit and inventory write-off costs related to the consolidation of production facilities in Europe and the U.S. and

25

the reorganization of distribution networks in the U.S and U.K. These restructuring charges were offset by a credit related to the disposal of assets. This credit was the result of an \$8.4 million gain recorded on disposal of our Salinas manufacturing facility, which was consolidated with other manufacturing facilities in 2007. The restructuring charges in 2007 include severance costs associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in the U.S. and Europe, other exit and inventory write-off costs related to the closure of the manufacturing facility in Salinas, California and accelerated depreciation of assets.

INDUSTRIAL BUSINESS

| | | Three months ended May 31, | | Six months ended May 31, | | |
|--|----------|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| | | (in millions) | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 346.6 | \$ 314.7 | \$ 660.2 | \$ 592.6 | | |
| Percent growth | 10.1% | | 11.4% | | | |
| Operating income excluding restructuring charges | 21.6 | 21.0 | 36.0 | 35.0 | | |
| Operating income margin, excluding restructuring charges | 6.2% | 6.7% | 5.5% | 5.9% | | |

The second quarter sales increase of 10.1% from the second quarter of 2007 includes a favorable foreign exchange rate impact of 2.5%. The remaining increase was largely due to higher pricing.

In the Americas, industrial sales increased 8.2% in the second quarter of 2008 compared to the same period in 2007. Excluding the impact of foreign exchange rates, sales were up 6.2%. Higher pricing, in response to commodity cost increases, more than offset a decline from product mix and volume. We had success with a number of new products for snacks, beverages, poultry and side dishes. However, demand was down this quarter for some of our core restaurant products as customers shifted their emphasis to other menu items.

In Europe, industrial sales increased 9.6% in the second quarter of 2008 compared to the same period of 2007, which included a favorable foreign exchange rate impact of 0.7%. Higher pricing drove two thirds of the remaining increase and favorable product mix and volume the other one third.

In the Asia/Pacific region, industrial sales increased 26.9% in the second quarter of 2008 compared to the second quarter of 2007, which included a favorable foreign exchange rate impact of 12.7%. Higher volumes and a positive sales mix resulted from increased sales of seasonings for chicken sold to restaurant customers and snack seasonings sold to food manufacturers. Pricing had a minimal impact in the second quarter.

Table of Contents

For the six months ended May 31, 2008, total industrial sales increased 11.4% compared to the same period last year, with 8.5% from favorable pricing, product mix and volumes and 2.9% from favorable foreign exchange rates.

In the second quarter of 2008, industrial business operating income excluding restructuring charges increased 2.9%, compared to the second quarter of 2007. We were able to offset the impact of higher commodity costs and deliver a modest profit increase in our industrial business.

In the second quarter of 2008, \$1.6 million of restructuring charges were recorded in the industrial business, compared to \$3.0 million in the second quarter of 2007. The restructuring charges in 2008 include severance and other exit costs related to the reduction of administrative personnel and the consolidation of production facilities in Europe. The charges in the second quarter of 2007 include severance costs associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in the U.S. and other exit costs related to closure of a manufacturing facility in Hunt Valley, Maryland.

For the six months ended May 31, 2008, operating income excluding restructuring charges for the industrial business increased 2.9% compared to the same period of 2007. The benefit of our increased sales was largely offset by higher commodity costs.

During the six months ended May 31, 2008, \$3.0 million of restructuring charges were recorded in the industrial business, compared to \$5.5 million for the same period of 2007. The charges in 2008 include severance costs associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in the U.S., other exit costs related to closure of a manufacturing facility in Hunt Valley, Maryland and consolidation of production facilities in Europe. The charges in 2007 include severance costs associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in the U.S., other exit costs related to closure of a manufacturing facility in Hunt Valley, Maryland and consolidation of production facilities in Europe.

RESTRUCTURING ACTIVITIES

As part of our plan to improve margins, we announced in September 2005 significant actions to improve the effectiveness of our supply chain and reduce costs. At that time, we also stated that a comprehensive review of our global industrial business was underway to identify improvements. These actions were included in the comprehensive restructuring plan which the Board of Directors approved in November 2005. As part of this plan, over a three-year period, we are consolidating our global manufacturing, rationalizing our distribution facilities, improving our go-to-market strategy, eliminating administrative redundancies and rationalizing our joint venture partnerships. In addition, for

27

the industrial business, we are reallocating resources to key customers and taking pricing actions on lower volume products to meet new margin targets. Through 2007, these actions reduced the number of industrial business customers by 30% and products in the U.S. by approximately 20%. Sales related to these customers and products represented approximately 2 to 5% of industrial business sales in the U.S. As these sales had minimal profit, this reduction improved margins. These reductions also facilitated the consolidation of certain manufacturing facilities.

The restructuring plan has reduced complexity and increased the organizational focus on growth opportunities in both the consumer and industrial businesses. We are projecting up to \$55 million (\$37 million after-tax) of annual cost savings by the end of 2008. In 2006, we realized \$10 million (\$7 million after-tax) of annual cost savings and another \$35 million (\$24 million after-tax) in 2007. This has improved margins and increased earnings per share, offset higher costs, as well as allowed us to invest a portion of these savings in sales growth drivers such as brand advertising. These savings are reflected in both cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expenses in the income statement.

Total pre-tax charges under this restructuring plan are estimated to be \$115 to \$120 million with approximately 65% related to the consumer segment and 35% related to the industrial segment. Of these charges, we expect approximately \$90 million will consist of severance and other personnel costs and approximately \$50 million of other exit costs. Asset write-offs are expected to be \$10 to \$15 million, exclusive of the \$34 million pre-tax gain on the redemption of our Signature Brands, L.L.C. joint venture (Signature) recorded in 2006.

Restructuring charges to date include \$10.7 million recorded in 2005, \$50.4 million recorded during 2006 (including the gain on Signature) and \$34.8 million recorded in 2007. In the three and six months ended May 31, 2008, we recorded \$3.1 million restructuring credits and \$0.8 million restructuring charges, respectively. We expect to incur a total of approximately \$20 million in charges for the 2008 year. For the total plan, the cash related portion of the charges will be approximately \$95 to \$100 million, with total cash spent to date of \$78.6 million, after offsetting the \$14.4 million net cash received from the Salinas sale in April, 2008 and \$9.2 million in net cash received from the redemption of Signature. We expect to spend approximately \$9 million in 2008, net of cash received from asset sales. We intend to fund this spending through internally generated funds. A significant portion of the cash expenditures will be related to employee severance. The actions being taken are expected to reduce positions by approximately 1,200 by November 2008. Of the expected position reduction, 1,034 positions have been eliminated as of May 31, 2008.

28

The following is a summary of restructuring activities (in millions):

| | Three months ended May 31, | | | Six months ended May 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------|--------|----|-----------------------------|----------|---------|
| | 2 | 2008 | 2 | 007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Pre-tax restructuring charges/(credits) | | | | | | |
| Other restructuring charges/(credits) | \$ | (4.6) | \$ | 6.4 | \$ (0.9) | \$ 13.8 |
| Recorded in cost of goods sold | | 1.5 | | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| | | | | | | |
| (Increase) reduction in operating income | | (3.1) | | 7.2 | 0.8 | 15.0 |
| Income tax effect | | 1.0 | | (2.2) | (0.3) | (4.8) |
| Loss on sale of unconsolidated operations, net of tax | | | | 0.5 | | 0.8 |
| | | | | | | |
| (Increase) reduction in net income | \$ | (2.1) | \$ | 5.5 | \$ 0.5 | \$ 11.0 |
| | | | | | | |
| (Increase) reduction in earnings per share - diluted | \$ | (0.02) | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | \$ 0.08 |

During the three months ended May 31, 2008, we recorded \$2.0 million of severance costs, primarily associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in Canada and Europe. In addition, we recorded \$2.5 million of other exit costs related to the consolidation of production facilities in Europe and the reorganization of distribution networks in the U.K. These restructuring charges were offset by a \$7.6 million credit related to the disposal of assets. This credit was primarily the result of a gain on the disposal of our Salinas manufacturing facility, which was consolidated with other manufacturing facilities in 2007.

During the six months ended May 31, 2008, we recorded \$3.1 million of severance costs, primarily associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in Europe and Canada. In addition, we recorded \$4.7 million of other exit costs related to the consolidation of production facilities in Europe and the reorganization of distribution networks in the U.S and U.K. These restructuring charges were offset by a \$7.0 million credit related to the disposal of assets. This credit was primarily the result of a gain on the disposal of our Salinas manufacturing facility, which was consolidated with other manufacturing facilities in 2007. From inception of the project in November 2005, we have incurred \$96.6 million of restructuring charges, including the \$8.4 million gain on disposal of our Salinas manufacturing facility in 2008 and the \$33.7 gain recorded on the redemption of our Signature investment in 2006.

During the three months ended May 31, 2007, we recorded \$3.0 million of severance costs, primarily associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in the U.S. In addition, we recorded \$3.1 million of other exit costs related to closure of manufacturing facilities in Salinas, California and Hunt Valley, Maryland. The remaining \$1.1 million is write-downs of assets which is primarily the accelerated depreciation of assets related to the closure of the manufacturing facilities in Salinas, California and Hunt Valley, Maryland.

During the six months ended May 31, 2007, we recorded \$5.2 million

Table of Contents

of severance costs, primarily associated with the reduction of administrative personnel in the U.S. and Europe. In addition, we recorded \$7.9 million of other exit costs related to closure of manufacturing facilities in Salinas, California and Hunt Valley, Maryland. The remaining \$1.9 million is comprised of asset write-downs for inventory write-offs related to the closure of the manufacturing facilities in Salinas, California and Hunt Valley, Maryland and accelerated depreciation of assets.

Also in the three and six months ended May 31, 2007, we recorded net losses, after tax, of \$0.5 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, in connection with unconsolidated joint venture transactions initially recorded in 2006.

During the six months ended May 31, 2008 and 2007, we received \$4.7 million and spent \$30.0 million, respectively, in cash on the restructuring plan. The cash received in 2008 includes \$14.4 million received from the sale of our Salinas manufacturing facility in April, 2008.

MARKET RISK SENSITIVITY

Foreign Exchange Risk

We utilize foreign currency exchange contracts to enhance our ability to manage foreign currency exchange risk. The fair value of our portfolio of forward and option contracts was an unrealized loss of \$2.2 million as of May 31, 2008, compared to an unrealized losses of \$1.4 million as of May 31, 2007 and \$2.7 million as of November 30, 2007. The notional value of our portfolio of forward and option contracts was \$60.9 million as of May 31, 2008, compared to the \$42.3 million notional value as of May 31, 2007 and the \$63.1 million notional value as of November 30, 2007. The quarterly fluctuation in notional value is a result of our decisions on foreign currency exposure coverage, based on our foreign currency exposures.

Interest Rate Risk

We manage our interest rate exposure by entering into both fixed and variable rate debt arrangements. In addition, we use interest rate swaps to minimize worldwide financing costs and to achieve a desired mix of fixed and variable rate debt. As of May 31, 2008, we had a total of \$225 million, notional value, of interest rate swap contracts outstanding. The fair value of our interest rate swaps changed from a \$10.8 million loss as of November 30, 2007 to a \$0.4 million loss as of May 31, 2008 due to the settlement of the treasury lock agreements in December 2007 (see Note 9 of the financial statements) and changes in interest rates.

Credit Risk

There has been no significant change to our credit risk since the disclosure in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007.

30

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS

As of May 31, 2008, there have been no material changes in our contractual obligations and commercial commitments outside the ordinary course of business since November 30, 2007.

LIQUIDITY AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

| | | Six months ended May 31, | | |
|---|---------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| | (in mi | (in millions) | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 92.7 | \$ (8.0) | | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (103.2) | (37.8) | | |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 4.2 | 33.1 | | |

In the statement of cash flows, the changes in operating assets and liabilities are presented excluding the translation effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, as these do not reflect actual cash flows. Accordingly, the amounts in the statement of cash flows do not agree with changes in the operating assets and liabilities that are presented in the balance sheet.

Due to the cyclical nature of the business, we generate much of our cash flow in the fourth quarter of the fiscal year.

Operating Cash Flow - The increase in operating cash flow is driven by lower payments for restructuring actions and strong collections of receivables in the first half of 2008 as compared to the first half of 2007. Payments for income taxes were less in the first six months of 2008 as compared to those made in the prior year. Also, during the six months ended May 31, 2007, we made a \$22 million contribution to our major U.S. pension plan. We have not made any contributions to our major U.S. pension plan in 2008 as we were in an overfunded status as of November 30, 2007.

Investing Cash Flow - The increase in cash flow used for investing is due to \$76.4 million in cash used for the acquisition of Billy Bee in the current year, as compared to \$3.0 million in cash used for the purchase of Fish Crisp in the prior year. The \$76.4 million is being financed through cash from operations and short-term credit facilities. We spent \$25.4 million on net capital expenditures (capital expenditures less proceeds from sale of fixed assets) in the first half of 2008, compared to \$34.7 million for the same period last year. Net capital expenditures for the fiscal year 2008 are expected to be approximately \$90 million.

31

Table of Contents

Financing Cash Flow - The decrease in cash flow provided by financing activities when compared to the prior year is primarily due to a change in the need for short-term borrowings. In the first six months of 2008, we borrowed \$250 million (\$248.3 million in net proceeds) to repay \$150 million of debt that matured during the quarter and repaid short-term debt with the remainder (see Note 9 of the financial statements). In the first six months of 2007, we increased short-term borrowings by \$115.1 million to fund operating and investing cash requirements.

There were no shares repurchased during the six months ended May 31, 2008. In the six months ended May 31, 2007, we repurchased 1,520,000 shares for \$57.6 million. As of May 31, 2008, \$49 million remained of the \$400 million share repurchase authorization. The amount of share repurchases in 2008 will be less than prior years in anticipation of the Lawry s acquisition (see Note 2 of the financial statements). During the six months ended May 31, 2008, we received proceeds of \$18.7 million from exercised options compared to \$27.9 million in the same period in the prior year. We increased dividends paid to \$56.5 million for the six months ended May 31, 2008 compared to \$52.1 million in the same period last year. Dividends paid in the first quarter of 2008 were declared on November 27, 2007.

Our ratio of debt-to-total capital (total capital includes debt, minority interest and shareholders equity) was 38.0% as of May 31, 2008, down from 43.1% at May 31, 2007 and 39.8% at November 30, 2007. The increase in shareholders equity due to the weaker U.S. dollar, earnings in excess of dividends and issuance of common stock under employee benefit programs caused the decrease in debt-to-total capital compared to May 31, 2007 and November 30, 2007. During a quarter, our short-term borrowings vary, but are lower at the end of a quarter. The average short-term borrowings outstanding for the six months ended May 31, 2008 and May 31, 2007 was \$237.6 million and \$325.4 million, respectively. Total average debt outstanding for the six months ended May 31, 2008 and May 31, 2007 was \$957.4 million and \$895.6 million, respectively.

The reported values of our assets and liabilities are significantly affected by fluctuations in foreign exchange rates between periods. At May 31, 2008, the exchange rates for the Euro, the Canadian dollar, the Australian dollar and the British pound sterling were higher than the same period last year. Exchange rate fluctuations resulted in an increase in accounts receivable of approximately \$28 million, inventory of approximately \$15 million, goodwill of approximately \$75 million and other comprehensive income of approximately \$147 million since May 31, 2007. At May 31, 2008, the exchange rates for the Euro, the Canadian dollar and Australian dollar were also higher than at November 30, 2007. Exchange rate fluctuations resulted in increases in accounts receivable of approximately \$10 million,

32

Table of Contents

inventory of approximately \$4 million, goodwill of approximately \$29 million and other comprehensive income of approximately \$53 million since November 30, 2007.

We believe that internally generated funds and the existing sources of liquidity under our credit facilities are sufficient to meet current liquidity needs and fund ongoing operations.

In November 2007, we entered into a definitive agreement to purchase the assets of Lawry s for \$605 million in cash. Upon the closing of this transaction (expected in the second half of fiscal year 2008 subject to FTC clearance), we will finance this purchase through cash from operations, bank lines and commercial paper borrowings. The commercial terms of additional bank facilities have been negotiated and we anticipate no difficulties in obtaining financing. Post-closing, an appropriate longer-term capital structure will be put in place.

ACCOUNTING AND DISCLOSURE CHANGES

In March 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. This standard is intended to improve financial reporting by requiring transparency about the location and amounts of derivative instruments in an entity s financial statements; how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No 133; and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect its financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for our first quarter of 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements. This standard outlines the accounting and reporting for ownership interest in a subsidiary held by parties other than the parent. SFAS No. 160 is effective for our first quarter of 2010. We have not yet determined the impact from adoption of this new accounting pronouncement on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, Business Combinations. This standard establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. This standard also establishes disclosure requirements which will enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141R is effective for us for acquisitions made after November 30, 2009. We have not yet determined the impact from adoption of this new accounting pronouncement on our financial statements, however, the implementation of SFAS No. 141R may have a material impact on our financial statements for businesses we acquire post-adoption.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers

33

Table of Contents

Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans. This standard requires us to (a) record an asset or a liability on our balance sheet for our pension plans—overfunded or underfunded status (b) record any changes in the funded status of our pension and postretirement plans in the year in which the changes occur (reported in comprehensive income) and (c) measure our pension and postretirement assets and liabilities at November 30 versus our current measurement date of September 30. We complied with the requirement to record the funded status and provide additional disclosures with our filing for our year ended November 30, 2007. The requirement to change the measurement date is effective for our year ending November 30, 2009. The impact of measuring the funded status as of November 30, 2009 will be dependent upon interest rates, market performance and other factors at the measurement date and therefore cannot be determined.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. This standard defines fair value and provides guidance for measuring fair value and the necessary disclosures. This standard does not require any new fair value measurements but rather applies to all other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. In February 2008, the FASB issued a one-year deferral for non-financial assets and liabilities to comply with SFAS No. 157. We adopted SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and liabilities in the first quarter of 2008 (see Note 10 for further details). There was no material effect upon adoption of this new accounting pronouncement on our financial statements. We have not yet determined the impact on our financial statements from adoption of SFAS No. 157 as it pertains to non-financial assets and liabilities for our first quarter of 2009.

On December 1, 2007, we adopted FASB Interpretation 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company s financial statements. FIN 48 sets a threshold for financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. For each tax position, we must determine whether it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit based on the technical merits of the position, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation. A tax position that meets the more likely than not recognition threshold is then measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. See Note 8 for further details.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In the first quarter of 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and liabilities. This standard does not apply measurements related to share-based payments, nor does it apply to measurements related to inventory.

SFAS No. 157 discusses valuation techniques, such as the market approach (comparable market prices), the income approach (present

34

value of future income or cash flow), and the cost approach (cost to replace the service capacity of an asset or replacement cost). The statement utilizes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The following is a brief description of those three levels:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that reflect the reporting entity s own assumptions.

We disclosed the fair values of our financial instruments in a table in Note 10 of our financial statements. The fair values of long-term investments are based on quoted market prices from various stock and bond exchanges. The fair values of our long-term investments are impacted by future changes in the stock and bond markets. The long-term debt fair values are based on quotes for like instruments with similar credit ratings and terms. The fair values for interest rate and foreign currency derivatives are based on quoted market prices from various banks for similar instruments. The fair values of our long-term debt and derivative instruments are impacted by changes in interest rates and the credit markets.

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Certain statements contained in this report, including those related to the expected results of operations of businesses acquired by us, the expected impact of the prices of raw materials on our results of operations and gross margins, the expected margin improvements, expected trends in net sales and earnings performance and other financial measures, annualized savings and other benefits from our streamlining and restructuring activities, the holding period and market risks associated with financial instruments, the impact of foreign exchange fluctuations, the adequacy of internally generated funds and existing sources of liquidity, such as the availability of bank financing and our ability to issue additional debt or equity securities, and our expectations regarding purchasing shares of our common stock under the existing authorizations, are forward-looking statements—within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements are based on management—s current views and assumptions and involve risks and uncertainties that could be materially affected by external factors such as damage to our reputation or brand name, business interruptions due to natural disasters or similar unexpected events, actions of competitors, customer relationships and financial condition, the ability to

35

Table of Contents

achieve expected cost savings and margin improvements, the successful acquisition and integration of new businesses, fluctuations in the cost and availability of raw and packaging materials, and global economic conditions generally which would include interest and inflation rates as well as foreign currency fluctuations, and other risks described in the our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2007. Actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly, any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

ITEM 3 QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

For information regarding our exposure to certain market risks, see Market Risk Sensitivity in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations above and Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007. Except as described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations above, there have been no significant changes in our financial instrument portfolio or market risk exposures since our November 30, 2007 fiscal year end.

ITEM 4 CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company s management, with the participation of the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective in providing reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in this report has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported as of the end of the period covered by this report.

No change occurred in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f)) during our last fiscal quarter which was identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15(a) as materially affecting, or reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

36

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are no material pending legal proceedings in which the Registrant or any of its subsidiaries is a party or in which any of their property is the subject.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in the Registrant s Form 10-K for Fiscal Year Ended November 30, 2007.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table summarizes our purchases of Common Stock (CS) and Common Stock Non-Voting (CSNV) during the second quarter of 2008:

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

| | | | Total Number of Shares | Annovimata |
|---------------------------------|------------------|----------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | Purchased | Approximate Dollar Value |
| | | | as Part | of Shares that |
| | | Average | of | May Yet Be |
| | | Price | Publicly | Purchased |
| | | Paid | Announced | Under the |
| | Total Number of | per | Plans or | Plans or |
| Period | Shares Purchased | Share | Programs | Programs |
| April 1, 2008 to April 30, 2008 | CS 500 | \$ 37.39 | 500 | \$49.1 million |
| Total | CS 500 | \$ 37.39 | 500 | \$ 49.1 million |

In June 2005, the Board of Directors approved an additional \$400 million share repurchase authorization. As of May 31, 2008, \$49.1 million remained of the \$400 million authorization. This amount is expected to be sufficient for fiscal year 2008 share repurchases.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

- (a) The Company held its Annual Meeting of stockholders on April 2, 2008.
- (b) Response included below in (c).

(c)

1. The following individuals were elected by the stockholders to the Board of Directors by the votes indicated.

| Name | Votes For | Votes Against | Abstentions |
|------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| John P. Bilbrey | 11,293,488 | 35,949 | 18,214 |
| James T. Brady | 11,299,986 | 30,810 | 16,855 |
| J. Michael Fitzpatrick | 11,277,882 | 29,013 | 40,756 |
| Freeman A. Hrabowski | 11,265,581 | 38,412 | 43,658 |
| Robert J. Lawless | 11,275,920 | 59,269 | 12,463 |
| Michael D. Mangan | 11,267,134 | 38,544 | 41,973 |
| Joseph W. McGrath | 11,273,060 | 31,179 | 43,413 |
| Margaret M.V. Preston | 11,298,239 | 30,821 | 18,593 |
| George A. Roche | 11,272,081 | 33,532 | 42,039 |
| William E. Stevens | 11,301,998 | 28,220 | 17,434 |
| Alan D. Wilson | 11,279,455 | 29,891 | 38,305 |

There were no broker non-votes with respect to the election of directors.

- 2. The stockholders approved the 2007 Omnibus incentive Plan by a vote of 9,693,038 for and 39,032 votes against, with 17,765 abstentions and 1,277,002 broker non-votes.
- 3. The stockholders ratified the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2008 by a vote of 11,290,855 votes for and 39,032 votes against, with 17,765 abstentions and no broker non-votes.
- (d) No response required.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are attached or incorporated herein by reference:

Exhibit Number

(3) Articles of Incorporation and By-Laws

Restatement of Charter of McCormick & Company, Incorporated dated April 16, 1990

Articles of Amendment to Charter of McCormick & Company, Incorporated dated April 1, 1992

Description

Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4 of Registration Form S-8, Registration No. 33-39582 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 25, 1991.

Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4 of Registration Form S-8, Registration Statement No. 33-59842 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 19, 1993.

38

Articles of Amendment to Charter of McCormick & Company, Incorporated dated March 27, 2003

Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4 of Registration Form S-8, Registration Statement No. 333-104084 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 28, 2003.

By-Laws of McCormick & Company, Incorporated Restated and Amended on June 24, 2008

Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3(i) of the Registrant s Form 8-K, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 26, 2008.

- (4) Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures
 - (i) See Exhibit 3 (Restatement of Charter)
 - (ii) Summary of Certain Exchange Rights, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 31, 2001 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 12, 2001.
 - (iii) Indenture dated December 5, 2000 between Registrant and SunTrust Bank, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4(iii) of Registrant s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 31, 2003, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 14, 2003. Registrant hereby undertakes to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon its request, copies of additional instruments of Registrant with respect to long-term debt that involve an amount of securities that do not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the Registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis, pursuant to Regulation S-K, Item 601b(4)(iii)(A).
 - (iv) Indenture dated December 5, 2007 between Registrant and The Bank of New York, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.1 of Registrant s Form 8-K dated December 4, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 10, 2007. Registrant hereby undertakes to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon its request, copies of additional instruments of Registrant with respect to long-term debt that involve an amount of securities that do not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the Registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis, pursuant to Regulation S-K, Item 601b(4)(iii)(A).
 - (v) Form of 5.20% Notes due 2015, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.2 of the Registrant s Form 8-K dated December 1, 2005, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 6, 2005.
 - (vi) Form of 5.80% Notes due 2011, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.2 of the Registrant s Form 8-K dated July 10, 2006, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 13, 2006.
 - (vii) Form of 5.75% Notes due 2017, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.2 of the Registrant s Form 8-K dated December 4, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 10, 2007.

(10) Material contracts

(i) Registrant s supplemental pension plan for certain senior officers, as amended and restated effective June 19, 2001, is contained in the McCormick Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, a copy of which

39

was attached as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 31, 2001, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 12, 2001, and incorporated by reference herein. Amendment Number 1 to the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, effective January 1, 2005, which agreement is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10(iv) of Registrant s 10-K for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2004, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 27, 2005. *

- (ii) The 2001 Stock Option Plan, in which officers and certain other management employees participate, is set forth on pages 33 through 36 of the Registrant s definitive Proxy Statement dated February 15, 2001, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2001, and incorporated by reference herein.*
- (iii) The 1997 Stock Option Plan, in which officers and certain other management employees participate, is set forth in Exhibit B of the Registrant's definitive Proxy Statement dated February 19, 1997, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 18, 1997, and incorporated by reference herein.*
- (iv) The 2002 McCormick Mid-Term Incentive Plan, which is provided to a limited number of senior executives, is set forth on pages 23 through 31 of the Registrant s definitive Proxy Statement dated February 15, 2002, as filed with the Commission on February 15, 2002, and incorporated by reference herein.*
- (v) 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan, in which officers and certain other management employees participate, is set forth in Exhibit A of the Registrant's definitive Proxy Statement dated February 17, 2004, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 17, 2004, and incorporated by reference herein.*
- (vi) 2004 Directors Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan, provided to members of the Registrant s Board of Directors who are not also employees of the Registrant, is set forth in Exhibit B of the Registrant s definitive Proxy Statement dated February 17, 2004 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 17, 2004, and incorporated by reference herein.*
- (vii) Directors Share Ownership Program, provided to members of the Registrant's Board of Directors who are not also employees of the Registrant, is set forth on page 28 of the Registrant's definitive Proxy Statement dated February 17, 2004 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 17, 2004, and incorporated by reference herein.*
- (viii) Deferred Compensation Plan, as restated on January 1, 2000, and amended on August 29, 2000, September 5, 2000 and May 16, 2003, in which directors, officers and certain other management employees participate, a copy of which Plan document and amendments was attached as Exhibit 10(viii) of the Registrant s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 31, 2003 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 14, 2003, and incorporated by reference herein.*
- (ix) 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan, effective January 1, 2005, in which directors, officers and certain other management employees participate, which agreement is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10(xii) of Registrant s 10-K for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2004, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 27, 2005.*

40

Table of Contents

- (x) The 2007 Employees Stock Purchase Plan, in which employees participate, is set forth in Exhibit A of the Registrant s definitive Proxy Statement dated February 16, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 16, 2007, and incorporated by reference herein.*
- (xi) Asset Purchase Agreement, dated November 13, 2007, between the Registrant and Conopco, Inc., which agreement is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.1 of the Registrant s Form 8-K dated November 13, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 13, 2007.
- (xii) Consulting Agreement, dated January 1, 2008, among the Registrant, CKB Consulting LLC and Robert J. Lawless, which agreement is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10 (xiii) of the Registrant s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 28, 2008.*
- (xiii) The 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan, in which directors, officers and certain other management employees participate, is set forth in Exhibit A of the Registrant s definitive Proxy Statement dated February 20, 2008, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 20, 2008, and incorporated by reference herein*

(31) Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications

Attached.

(32) Section 1350 Certifications

Attached.

41

^{*} Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

Date: July 9, 2008

Date: July 9, 2008

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

42

McCORMICK & COMPANY, INCORPORATED

By: /s/ Gordon M. Stetz, Jr. Gordon M. Stetz, Jr.

Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer

By: /s/ Kenneth A. Kelly, Jr. Kenneth A. Kelly, Jr.

Senior Vice President & Controller