

Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

CLICKNSETTLE COM INC  
Form 10KSB  
September 25, 2003

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

-----  
FORM 10-KSB

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934

Commission File Number: 0-21419

clickNsettle.com, Inc.  
(Name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or Other Jurisdiction  
of Incorporation or Organization)

23-2753988  
(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

1010 NORTHERN BOULEVARD, SUITE 336  
GREAT NECK, NEW YORK 11021  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(516) 829-4343  
(Issuer's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Title of each class Common Stock \$.001 Par Value	Name of each exchange on which registered Over the Counter Bulletin Board
--	--

Title of each class Common Stock \$.001 Par Value	Name of each exchange on which registered Boston Stock Exchange
--	--

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent files in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained in this Form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this form 10-KSB or any amendments to this Form 10-KSB.

State issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year. \$4,078,119

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates per the closing stock price of September 8, 2003 is \$273,812.

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

As of September 8, 2003, 1,408,176 shares of common stock of the issuer were outstanding.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format Yes  No

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part I. -- None Part II. -- None

Part III. -- Proxy statement to be filed by October 28, 2003

2

### PART I

From time to time, including in this annual report on Form 10-KSB, clickNsettle.com, Inc. (formerly NAM Corporation) (the "Company" or "we") may publish forward-looking statements relating to such matters as anticipated financial performance, business prospects, future operations, new products, research and development activities and similar matters. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements. In order to comply with the terms of the safe harbor, we note that a variety of factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations expressed in our forward-looking statements. The risks and uncertainties that may affect the operations, performance, development and results of our business include, without limitation, the following: changes in the insurance and legal industries; our inability to retain current or new hearing officers; changes in the public court systems; and the degree and timing of the market's acceptance of our arbitration and mediation programs and electronic oversight applications and other risks that are set forth herein.

#### ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

The Company

We operate in one business segment as a provider of arbitration and mediation services, also known as alternative dispute resolution services, or ADR services, and related electronic oversight applications, principally to insurance companies, law firms, corporations and municipalities. An ADR proceeding is an alternative forum to the public court system for resolving civil disputes.

An ADR proceeding streamlines the traditional cumbersome public litigation process. As compared to the public court system, an ADR proceeding generally offers litigants a faster resolution, confidentiality, reduced expenses, flexibility in procedures and solutions, and control over the process. With respect to business-to-business disputes, ADR proceedings can also preserve business relations among the parties because its nature is potentially less adversarial and disputes may be resolved promptly.

Our objective is to become the leading global provider of dispute resolution services by providing services and technology designed to enhance and streamline the traditional and often time-consuming and expensive legal process. We believe we are uniquely positioned within the ADR industry as we offer highly qualified hearing officers, premium services and innovative solutions designed to appeal to a client base which has become more sophisticated with the continuing acceptance and utilization of ADR. We have a patent pending on our inventions relating to dispute resolution processing and oversight.

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

Additionally, depending upon market acceptance, we will review the needs of our current and prospective customers and offer those solutions that we believe will be of the most value to our clients and to our shareholders. We believe that our marketing efforts going forward will best be directed towards large-scale applications that benefit from our proprietary electronic infrastructure. As such, our marketing emphasis will be driven by our unique capabilities as an administrator. Additionally, the staff presently dedicated to our existing client base will be charged with growing our business and exploiting our inherent market advantages. Therefore, our plan is as follows: (1) exploit potential revenue streams driven by our technological innovations in software, systems and intellectual property such as (i) the administration of high-volume, customized dispute resolution programs for large corporations, governmental bodies, law firms and agencies and (ii) the licensing and/or sale of dispute resolution-related software; (2) build brand recognition of NAM (National Arbitration

3

and Mediation) as the premier provider of dispute resolution solutions through our advertising campaign; (3) continue to attract and retain the services of highly talented, former top-tier judges and attorneys to act as independent and impartial hearing officers; and (4) broaden the type and complexity of the dispute resolution cases we administer.

We believe that the ADR business is a growing industry based upon (a) the continuing inability of the public court system to effectively manage its docket of civil cases; (b) the current economic slowdown together with the recent string of corporate failures and scandals which creates a fertile environment for ADR services, particularly oversight applications; (c) the growing acceptance of ADR as a forum for resolving disputes and (d) the significant cost savings which can be achieved with ADR's quicker, more efficient dispute resolution mechanisms as compared to the tremendous expense related to traditional litigation.

The Company was formed on January 12, 1994 under the laws of the State of Delaware. On October 31, 1994, we acquired all of the outstanding common stock of National Arbitration & Mediation, Inc. ("NA&M"), a New York corporation, formed on February 6, 1992, which was primarily owned by our Chief Executive Officer and President. NA&M began operations in March 1992 as a provider of ADR services. NA&M was merged into the Company as of the end of June 1999. In June 2000, shareholder approval was obtained to change the name of the Company from NAM Corporation to clickNsettle.com, Inc.

### Services Offered

**Arbitration:** Our arbitration procedure follows a format essentially similar to a non-jury trial in the public court system. Parties are given a forum in which to present their cases. Litigants utilize this process to save a significant amount in fees relative to traditional court costs and are spared the time delays and some of the cumbersome procedures commonly associated with public court trials. Our hearings are generally governed by our rules of procedure. The parties, however, may depart from these rules and proceed in the fashion they deem desirable for the resolution of the case. The parties select a panel member from approximately 1,700 hearing officers worldwide.

The hearings are private, thereby providing a level of confidentiality not readily available in the public court system. Subject to the parties' agreement, the proceedings may include discovery, examination of non-party witnesses, the filing of post-hearing briefs and other matters that may arise in the conduct of non-jury trials.

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

The arbitrations are usually one of the following: (i) a regular arbitration, in which the hearing officer has authority to issue a ruling and/or award a remedy without limitations; (ii) a "high/low" arbitration, where the parties may choose to set the parameters of the award by pre-selecting the high and low dollar limits that can be awarded by the hearing officer; and (iii) the so-called "baseball" arbitration, which typically involves the submission by each party of their last best figure and the reason why it should be accepted with the hearing officer's binding recommendation being restricted to either one figure or the other. These types of arbitration are not exclusive, and the parties may fashion whatever parameters are mutually agreed upon.

Generally, arbitration decisions are binding in nature and, unless otherwise stipulated by the parties, are appealable in only limited circumstances in the public court system. We do not currently offer any type of appeal procedure. Our arbitration decisions are generally enforceable in the public court system by following prescribed filing procedures in the applicable local jurisdiction.

4

**Mediation (Settlement Conferencing):** The mediation method used by us is settlement conferencing, a non-binding process. Settlement conferencing provides an opportunity for parties to reach an early, amicable resolution without undue expense and time-consuming litigation. The voluntary process of settlement conference mediation can be an effective tool for a wide variety of disputes, including tort claims and commercial conflicts.

The parties and a hearing officer attend the settlement conference. Each party may choose to submit a settlement conference memorandum setting forth a brief summary of facts, indicating, for example, why each party has or does not have liability and, if applicable, a statement of the party's damages. At the settlement conference, each party is given an opportunity to describe the facts of the case and explain its position. Thereafter, the hearing officer meets privately with each side on an alternating basis to evaluate their respective cases, and receives proposed concessions that each party might make, and potential settlement figures that each party may offer, with a view toward guiding the parties to the settlement of their dispute. Settlement figures and possible concessions are typically not discussed between a party and the hearing officer without the other party's express consent to disclosing its position. In the majority of instances, the settlement conference procedure results in the resolution of all issues.

**Other ADR Services:** In addition to mediations and arbitrations, we offer, among other services, ADR consulting and training, mock jury trials, specialized ADR video conferencing and other custom dispute resolution services depending on the sensitivities of our clients and their respective cases.

**Electronic Oversight Applications:** In combination with our existing services, we offer oversight applications that provide a new and efficient method to manage large-scale, high volume ADR programs, while affording parties transparency and integrity of process. We believe our unique innovations in this area will continue to meet with increased market acceptance.

### Marketing and Sales

Our comprehensive suite of ADR offerings is designed to streamline and administer non-judicial dispute resolution initiatives. Much of our marketing effort has been concentrated on demonstrating our complete line of services to our existing clientele as we believe there exists great potential to expand

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

these relationships. We believe our web-based management "toolkit" enables our clients to accurately assess the value of ADR and adds invaluable transparency and metrics to ensure the integrity of ADR initiatives. As such, we believe case referrals should increase. Further, we have also targeted our marketing efforts towards commercial and governmental entities, which are well suited to benefit from our patent-pending dispute resolution inventions that provide organizational oversight over large-scale, multi-dimensional ADR programs.

Our Account Executives are charged with the goal of pursuing new business as well as increasing the volume of business with existing clients through in-person meetings, presentations and educational seminars relating to ADR services. Each is equipped with various tools and metrics enabling them to gauge client activity and their own individual sales effectiveness. As of September 8, 2003, we employed 15 Account Executives to market our services. These individuals are salaried employees and are generally eligible for additional commissions/incentives based on revenue generated.

Our President and Executive Vice President of Sales are active in working with our Account Executives. Account Executives in our regional office first report to a Regional Manager

5

who then reports to the Executive Vice President of Sales. The employment agreements of the Executive Vice President of Sales and of the Regional Manager of our Massachusetts office provide for additional compensation based on the achievement of specified financial criteria for their respective areas.

The majority of our clients are insurance carriers, law firms and corporations. In fiscal years 2003 and 2002, no customer exceeded 10% of net revenues. We have a diversified customer base with our revenue distributed among more than 1,750 clients in both fiscal years 2003 and 2002.

When appropriate, we seek contracts with our clients. Further, we continue to enhance our efforts to obtain volume commitments from existing and new clients.

### Competition

The ADR business is highly competitive on an international, national and regional level. We believe that barriers to entry in the private ADR business are relatively low and new competitors can begin doing business relatively quickly. We believe this because the agreement to use ADR services only requires the consent of all parties to submit their dispute for resolution through a proposed ADR provider. There are two types of competitors: not-for-profit and for-profit entities. We believe the largest not-for-profit competitor is the American Arbitration Association and that it has a significant market share in complex commercial cases. The insurance industry has also continued its support for Arbitration Forums, a not-for-profit organization created to serve primarily the insurance subrogation market.

We believe that the domestic private ADR industry is, other than a few national entities, generally fragmented into small ADR service providers. We believe that Judicial Arbitration Mediation Services, Inc. ("JAMS") is the largest for-profit ADR provider in the country. Our competitors include, among others, JAMS, National Arbitration Forums and Island Arbitration and Mediation. In addition, several public court systems, including the federal and certain state courts in New York, our major market, have instituted court-coordinated programs. To the extent that the public courts reduce case backlogs and provide effective dispute resolution mechanisms, our business opportunities in such

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

markets may be reduced.

Increased competition could decrease the fees charged for our services, and limit our ability to obtain experienced hearing officers. This could have a materially adverse effect on our ability to be profitable in the future. In addition, we compete with other ADR providers to retain the services of qualified hearing officers.

As compared to the majority of our competitors, we believe that our total solution, comprised of a superior roster of highly qualified hearing officers available on a global basis and extensive case management tools, is unique. Further, we believe we have certain advantages that enable us to better serve our clients. These advantages include: (1) exclusive agreements with many of our most sought after hearing officers, who are generally former judges and respected attorneys and (2) our web-enabled dispute resolution case management and operational system, which provides transparency and ensures integrity of process, while also providing analysis of a client's entire ADR program on a regional, national or global basis. We cannot assure you, however, that these perceived advantages will enable us to compete successfully in the future.

6

### Government Regulation

ADR services that are offered by private companies, like us, are not presently subject to any form of local, state or federal regulation. ADR services that are offered by the public courts are subject to the rules set forth by each jurisdiction and the dictates of the individual judge assigned to preside over the dispute.

### Employees

As of September 8, 2003, we employed 34 persons, including one part-time employee; of these, three were in executive positions, 16 were Sales Managers and Account Executives and the remaining 15 employees support our operations with respect to information technology, accounting, scheduling, confirming, billing and other administrative duties. The Company also currently utilizes the service of a temporary employee who is eligible for long-term employment.

### Hearing Officers

As of September 8, 2003, we maintained relationships with approximately 1,700 hearing officers. We have exclusive agreements with respect to ADR proceedings with a number of these hearing officers. Such hearing officers accounted for approximately 66% of the number of in-person cases handled by us for the year ended June 30, 2003. The remaining non-exclusive hearing officers make their services available to us on a case-by-case basis. With the exception of the exclusive hearing officers, the remainder of our roster of hearing officers can provide their services to competing ADR providers. Compensation to the hearing officers is based on the number of proceedings conducted and the length of time of such proceedings.

### ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

We maintain two leased facilities, which are located in office buildings. Currently, we lease 9,080 square feet of space at 1010 Northern Boulevard, Great Neck, New York for our corporate headquarters and for providing hearing/conference facilities in the New York area. The lease expires June 2005. During fiscal year 2003, we entered into a sub-lease agreement for 2,750 square feet at our Great Neck facility for the period from March 2003 through June 2005

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

with sublease rentals of approximately \$73,900 per annum. We also lease 1,320 square feet of space, on a month-to-month basis, for our North Easton, Massachusetts office. We believe this space is adequate for our reasonably anticipated future needs.

The aggregate rental expense, net of sublease income of \$24,536, for all of our offices was \$238,647 during the year ended June 30, 2003.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are a party to legal matters arising in the general conduct of business. The ultimate outcome of such matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the results of operations or financial position.

### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

7

## PART II

### ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

A. Our Common Stock is quoted on the NASD's Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board under the trading symbol "CLIK" since March 5, 2003; prior thereto, our Common Stock was quoted on The Nasdaq SmallCap Market since we commenced public trading on November 18, 1996. Our Warrants, under the trading symbol "CLIKW," were quoted on the NASD's Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board since February 28, 2001; prior thereto, they were quoted on the Nasdaq SmallCap Market. On November 13, 2001, the Warrants expired. Before November 18, 1996, there was no public market for our securities. The following table sets forth the range of high and low closing sales prices (based on transaction data as reported by The Nasdaq SmallCap Market and the NASD's Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board) for each fiscal quarter during the periods indicated.

	Common Stock		Warrants	
	High	Low	High	Low
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Fiscal Year 2003:				
First quarter (07/1/02-9/30/02)	\$ 1.35	\$ 0.77	N/A	N/A
Second quarter (10/01/02-12/31/02)	0.77	0.57	N/A	N/A
Third quarter (01/01/03-03/31/03)	0.73	0.25	N/A	N/A
Fourth quarter (04/01/03-06/30/03)	0.95	0.55	N/A	N/A
Fiscal Year 2002:				
First quarter (07/1/01-9/30/01)	\$ 3.27	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.01
Second quarter (10/01/01-12/31/01)	2.75	0.65	0.01	0.00
Third quarter (01/01/02-03/31/02)	2.64	0.78	N/A	N/A
Fourth quarter (04/01/02-06/30/02)	1.53	1.11	N/A	N/A

On August 20, 2001, we effectuated a 1-for-3 reverse stock split of our common stock. All common stock prices above have been restated to reflect the reverse stock split. The above redeemable warrants have not been restated as the terms of exercise were instead adjusted. On September 8, 2003, the closing bid price for our common stock, as reported by the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board, was \$0.35.

As of August 29, 2003, there were approximately 480 holders of our common

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSEATTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

stock.

We have not paid any dividends upon our common stock. The payment of common stock dividends, if any, in the future rests within the discretion of our board of directors and will depend, among other things, upon our earnings, capital requirements and financial condition, as well as other relevant factors.

8

### ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### General

We provide alternative dispute resolution services, or ADR services, to insurance companies, law firms, corporations and municipalities. We focus the majority of our marketing efforts on developing and expanding relationships with these entities, which we believe are some of the largest consumers of ADR services. Furthermore, we believe that there is greater market acceptance and a positive trend relating to the utilization of ADR services as opposed to the traditional litigation process. The variety, complexity and volume of cases being submitted for ADR are illustrative and, we believe, accurate barometers of the integration of ADR into the legal landscape. Further, we see this trend continuing. We believe that with our global roster of qualified hearing officers, administrative capabilities, electronic oversight applications, knowledge of dispute resolution and reputation within the corporate and legal communities, we are uniquely positioned to provide a comprehensive total solution to disputing parties worldwide.

We opened for business in March 1992 in New York and currently operate from locations in New York and Massachusetts.

Our objective is to become the leading global provider of dispute resolution services by providing services and technology designed to enhance and streamline the traditional and often time-consuming and expensive legal process. We believe we are uniquely positioned within the ADR industry as we offer highly qualified hearing officers, premium services and innovative solutions designed to appeal to a client base which has become more sophisticated with the continuing acceptance and utilization of ADR. We have a patent pending on our inventions relating to dispute resolution processing and oversight. Additionally, depending upon market acceptance, we will review the needs of our current and prospective customers and offer those solutions that we believe will be of most value to our clients and to our shareholders. We believe that our marketing efforts going forward will best be directed towards large-scale applications that benefit from our proprietary electronic infrastructure. As such, our marketing emphasis will be driven by our unique capabilities as an administrator. Additionally, the staff presently dedicated to our existing client base will be charged with growing our business and exploiting our inherent market advantages. Therefore, our plan is as follows: (1) exploit potential revenue streams driven by our technological innovations in software, systems and intellectual property such as (i) the administration of high-volume, customized dispute resolution programs for large corporations, governmental bodies, law firms and agencies and (ii) the licensing and/or sale of dispute resolution-related software; (2) build brand recognition of NAM (National Arbitration and Mediation) as the premier provider of dispute resolution solutions through our advertising campaign; (3) continue to attract and retain the services of highly talented, former top-tier judges and attorneys to act as independent and impartial hearing officers; and (4) broaden the type and complexity of the dispute resolution cases we administer.



## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

### Future Trends

With the recent string of corporate failures and scandals, it is likely that individuals and groups will seek retribution via a legal outlet. At the same time, a greater emphasis has been placed on the protection of investors, employees and other groups as evidenced by many new proposed and

9

adopted corrective actions and laws. The confluence of the above in conjunction with the current economic slowdown creates a fertile environment for our services, particularly those related to oversight applications that can uniquely address and facilitate many of these areas of concern. Our suite of services enhance business practices by enabling our clients to better manage their operations through data driven features and, at the same time, produce cost savings given the tremendous expense related to traditional litigation versus our quicker, more efficient dispute resolution solutions.

We have and may continue to incur net losses in the future as a result of (a) continuing enhancements and other costs associated with our investment in technology and (b) our advertising expenses. Our advertising campaign commenced in August 2000 when we signed an agreement with American Lawyer Media, Inc., the nation's leading legal journalism and information company, to provide \$1,000,000 of advertising and promotional opportunities in their national and regional publications over a two-year period in exchange for 61,474 shares of our common stock (as adjusted for the 1-for-3 reverse stock split effectuated on August 20, 2001). At the time this advertising was contracted for, we were promoting our new corporate name, clickNsettle.com, as well as continuing to promote our established brand name, NAM (National Arbitration and Mediation). We believe that NAM is a proven and well-respected brand in the ADR industry. As part of our agreement, as amended, with American Lawyer Media, Inc., we agreed to purchase an additional \$250,000 of advertising. Such advertising is to be expended from May 2003 through June 2004. However, we currently anticipate that, at the conclusion of our present campaign, we will reduce our advertising expenses and we believe our revenues will not be adversely impacted.

Year Ended June 30, 2003 Compared to Year Ended June 30, 2002

### Results of Operations

Revenues. Revenues increased 3.1% to \$4,078,119 for the year ended June 30, 2003 from \$3,957,069 for the comparable prior period. We believe our revenue has been adversely affected by the consolidation and turmoil in the insurance industry, which represents a major portion of our clientele. This affects the number of cases heard. However, at the same time, the average dollars earned per hearing has increased. In a broader sense, we believe that lawsuits continue to be commenced and that our services should prove to be vital to insurers in their ability to address a growing caseload with reduced costs and increased efficiency. We believe our services will benefit clients as they seek to optimize efficiencies in the litigation process in order to improve their own financial outlook as, due to low interest rates, insurers cannot rely on investment income to offset operational and indemnity expenses. Additionally, plaintiffs benefit from a speedier resolution of their claims which is of greater importance in difficult economic times.

As we continue to add to our exclusive pool of highly qualified hearing officers, we believe our roster will enable us to attract a higher volume and diversity of cases. As a result, we believe the average dollars earned per hearing will continue to be favorably impacted.

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

Cost of Services. Cost of services increased 3.6% to \$1,006,562 for the year ended June 30, 2003 from \$971,255 for the year ended June 30, 2002. The cost of services as a percentage of revenues remained consistent between the years at approximately 25% for both fiscal years 2003 and 2002. The ratio of cost of services to revenues will fluctuate based on the type of cases administered, the number of hours per case and our ability (or inability) to take advantage of volume arrangements with hearing officers which usually lower the cost per case.

10

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing costs decreased 31.0% to \$1,137,489 for the year ended June 30, 2003 from \$1,649,643 for the year ended June 30, 2002. Sales and marketing costs as a percentage of revenues decreased to 28% in fiscal year 2003 from 42% in fiscal year 2002. Most of the decrease (approximately \$468,300) relates to advertising costs. Our initial agreement with American Lawyer Media, Inc., which provided us with \$1,000,000 of advertising and promotional opportunities in their national and regional publications over a two-year period, ended in August 2002. The related non-cash amount expensed for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 was \$18,285 and \$461,318, respectively. As part of our agreement, as amended, with American Lawyer Media, Inc., we agreed to purchase an additional \$250,000 of advertising. Such advertising is to be expended from May 2003 through June 2004. During the year ended June 30, 2003, we incurred \$22,835 of advertising expense related to this commitment. The remainder of the decrease in sales and marketing costs pertained primarily to salaries and related costs that declined by approximately \$54,800.

General and Administrative. General and administrative costs decreased 2.0% to \$2,437,805 for the year ended June 30, 2003 from \$2,488,719 for the year ended June 30, 2002. There was a large decrease (approximately \$169,100) in legal and professional fees which, in the prior year period, primarily related to fees incurred to apply for international patents and trademarks for our technology inventions and mergers and acquisitions activity that did not recur in the current year. Additionally, we reduced expenditures by approximately \$78,900 with respect to autos, depreciation, telephones and taxes. Offsetting these decreases was an increase of approximately \$208,000 relating to employee costs and related items (including benefits, payroll taxes, outside services and employee recruitment), an allowance provided on notes receivable and the increased cost of insurance. Employee costs rose due to the recruiting and staffing of computer programmers in our information technology department and for other administrative functions to further enhance and expand our comprehensive suite of ADR offerings. General and administrative costs as a percentage of revenues decreased to 60% for fiscal year 2003 from 63% for fiscal year 2002.

Other Income (Expenses). Other income (expenses) changed by \$99,160, from other expenses of (\$74,934) for the year ended June 30, 2002 to other income of \$24,226 for the year ended June 30, 2003. Other income (expenses) is composed primarily of investment income and realized gains (losses) generated from investments. Realized gains (losses) (which includes write-downs for other than temporary declines in the value of marketable securities) approximated (\$137,600) in fiscal year 2002 versus (\$12,400) in fiscal year 2003, an improvement of \$125,200. As an offset, net interest income generated primarily from investments in money market funds declined by approximately \$23,225 from \$49,084 in the prior year due to lower invested balances and a decline in the prevailing interest rates between the two years. At June 30, 2003, approximately 90% of cash equivalents and marketable securities were invested in money market funds (whose rate of return will fluctuate based on prevailing interest rates).

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

Income Taxes. Tax benefits resulting from net losses incurred for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 were not recognized as we recorded a full valuation allowance against the net operating loss carryforwards during the periods. As of June 30, 2003, we had net operating carryforwards for Federal tax purposes of approximately \$6,833,000 and net capital loss carryforwards for Federal tax purposes of approximately \$282,000, both with full valuation allowances.

Net Loss. For the year ended June 30, 2003, we had a net loss of \$479,511 as compared to a net loss of \$1,227,482 for the year ended June 30, 2002. The loss declined as we secured higher fees for services rendered to our clients as a result of an increase in the type and diversity of cases

11

heard, and due to a reduction in advertising expenses, enhanced operating efficiencies and improved investment results.

Year Ended June 30, 2002 Compared to Year Ended June 30, 2001

### Results of Operations

Revenues. Revenues increased 2.4% to \$3,957,069 for the year ended June 30, 2002 from \$3,866,058 for the year ended June 30, 2001. The increase in revenues is primarily attributable to a rise in the average dollars earned per hearing. Offsetting this increase was a decline in the number of hearings conducted. As New York is our primary market, the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 adversely impacted our business as there was a decline in the number of hearings conducted in the New York metropolitan area as well as at our satellite offices as a general malaise was experienced in the business community. The insurance industry, which was particularly hard hit by the recent events, represents a major portion of our clientele. Also, in the prior year, revenue of \$60,000 was generated from a unique, non-recurring video-conferencing contract that was fulfilled in the prior year. We continue to add to our exclusive pool of former, top-tier hearing officers and have highlighted such in our present advertising campaign. We believe this will enable us to attract a higher volume and diversity of cases, the nature of which demands the talented and well-respected hearing officers that we have to offer. As a result, we believe the average dollars earned per hearing will be favorably impacted.

Cost of Services. Cost of services increased 2.0% to \$971,255 for the year ended June 30, 2002 from \$952,263 for the year ended June 30, 2001. Additionally, the cost of services as a percentage of revenues remained consistent between the years at approximately 25% for both fiscal years 2002 and 2001. The ratio of cost of services as a percentage of revenues for fiscal year 2001 was favorably impacted by the recognition of revenue from a videoconferencing contract in which the use of hearing officers was not needed. The ratio of cost of services to revenues will fluctuate based on the number of hours per case and our ability (or inability) to take advantage of volume arrangements with hearing officers which may lower the cost per case.

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing costs decreased 26.1% to \$1,649,643 for the year ended June 30, 2002 from \$2,232,678 for the year ended June 30, 2001. Sales and marketing costs as a percentage of revenues decreased to 42% for fiscal year 2002 from 58% for fiscal year 2001. Most of the decrease (approximately \$501,600) relates to employee costs and related items (including benefits and payroll taxes) and travel and entertainment expenses. As part of our migration towards centralizing operations through the utilization of our web platform, we began migrating our marketing efforts toward fewer but more efficient primary customer service centers and national account arrangements, as

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

opposed to the continuation of running smaller and less efficient regional office locations. We believe that building on the present platform is the appropriate strategy to enhance operating results. As such, the consolidation of our offerings into a comprehensive suite of web-enabled dispute resolution tools enabled us to streamline personnel and related costs including travel and entertainment expenses. Additionally, promotions and trade show costs declined by approximately \$113,200 from fiscal year 2001 to fiscal year 2002 as we no longer were promoting our blind bid program. Advertising costs increased by approximately \$31,800 primarily through our advertising agreement with American Lawyer Media, Inc., which provided us with \$1,000,000 of advertising and promotional opportunities in their national and regional publications over a two-year period through August 2002. The related non-cash amount expensed in the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 approximated \$461,300 and \$290,400, respectively. Additional non-cash charges of \$18,300 for print advertising relating to this agreement will be incurred during the first quarter of fiscal year 2003. As part of our agreement, we will purchase \$250,000 of additional advertising after August 2002. Due to the economic slowdown,

12

many businesses are decreasing the level of advertising and therefore, as commercial clutter lessens, we believe our targeted campaign should be more prominent and receive more attention.

**General and Administrative.** General and administrative costs decreased 7.8% to \$2,488,719 for the year ended June 30, 2002 from \$2,699,081 for the year ended June 30, 2001. Furthermore, general and administrative costs as a percentage of revenues decreased to 63% for fiscal year 2002 from 70% for fiscal year 2001. A portion of the decrease (approximately \$140,000) relates to professional fees for various consulting services, a majority of which related to market research, systems evaluations and investor-relations projects which were completed by December 31, 2000. These initiatives were undertaken in order to position us for future growth and to enhance operating efficiencies. Secondly, we incurred approximately \$38,000 in one-time costs to promote our company to investors overseas in the prior fiscal year. By enhancing operational efficiencies, we reduced expenditures for employee recruitment, seminars, postage, printing, supplies and telephone that approximated \$83,100. Offsetting these declines was an increase in legal fees of approximately \$46,400 related to mergers and acquisitions activity and an investment made to obtain international patents for our web-enabled dispute resolution management and operational system.

**Other (Expenses) Income.** Other (expenses) income changed by (\$131,773) from other income of \$56,839 for the year ended June 30, 2001 to other expenses of (\$74,934) for the year ended June 30, 2002. Other (expenses) income is composed primarily of investment income and realized gains (losses) generated from investments. Net realized losses (which includes write-downs for other than temporary declines in the value of marketable securities) approximated (\$137,600) in fiscal year 2002 versus (\$223,100) in fiscal year 2001, an improvement of \$85,500. As an offset, net investment income generated primarily from investments in money market funds declined by approximately \$206,300 from \$255,400 in the prior fiscal year due to lower invested balances and a decline in the prevailing interest rates from fiscal year 2001 to fiscal year 2002. At June 30, 2002, approximately 85% of cash equivalents and marketable securities were invested in money market funds whose rate of return will fluctuate based on prevailing interest rates.

**Income Taxes.** Tax benefits resulting from net losses incurred for the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 were not recognized as we recorded a full valuation allowance against the net operating loss carryforwards during the

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSEATTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

periods. As of June 30, 2002, we had net operating loss carryforwards for Federal tax purposes of approximately \$6,634,000 and net capital loss carryforwards for Federal tax purposes of approximately \$204,000.

Net Loss. For the year ended June 30, 2002, we had a net loss of (\$1,227,482) as compared to a net loss of (\$1,961,125) for the year ended June 30, 2001. The loss declined as we were able to secure higher fees for services rendered to clients as well as realize operating efficiencies by streamlining and centralizing operations through the utilization of our enhanced processing system.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2003, the Company had a working capital surplus of \$1,492,023 as compared to \$1,833,092 at June 30, 2002. The decrease in working capital occurred primarily as a result of the net loss in fiscal year 2003.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$297,662 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003 versus \$491,220 in the prior fiscal year. Cash used in operating activities principally declined due to a reduction in the loss from operations which was partially offset by a decrease in non-cash charges for advertising.

13

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$179,382 for the year ended June 30, 2003 versus net cash used in investing activities of \$78,923 for the year ended June 30, 2002. The change in cash from investing activities was principally due to a higher level of net sales of marketable securities in fiscal year 2003 as compared to fiscal year 2002 where there was a higher level of net purchases of marketable securities.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$0 for the year ended June 30, 2003 versus \$71,163 for the year ended June 30, 2002. In the prior year, we purchased 36,500 shares of our common stock for an aggregate cost of \$71,163.

In accordance with the terms of our August 2000 advertising agreement, as amended, with American Lawyer Media, Inc., we agreed to purchase an additional \$250,000 of advertising. Such advertising is to be expended from May 2003 through June 2004. During the year ended June 30, 2003, we incurred \$22,835 of advertising expense related to this commitment.

We have incurred net losses and had negative cash flow from operations in each year in the seven-year period ended June 30, 2003. Cash and cash equivalents arising principally from equity transactions have provided sufficient working capital to fund losses incurred and capital expenditures, as well as to provide cash to redeem preferred stock outstanding and to purchase treasury stock. As of June 30, 2003, we had \$1,798,786 in aggregate cash and cash equivalents. We believe that, through the proper utilization of these existing funds, from revenue generated from existing and new web-based services and from further efficiencies achieved by utilizing an enhanced processing system, we will have sufficient cash to meet our needs over the next twelve months.

### Critical Accounting Policies

The Securities and Exchange Commission released Financial Reporting Release No. 60 which requires all companies to include a discussion of critical accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of their financial statements. The significant accounting policies and methods used in the

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

preparation of our consolidated financial statements are discussed in Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The following is a list of our critical accounting policies and a brief discussion of each:

- Revenue recognition
- Allowance for doubtful accounts
- Income taxes and valuation allowance

Revenue recognition - We principally derive our revenue from fees charged for arbitrations and mediations. Each party to a proceeding is charged an administrative fee, which generally includes the first hour of hearing/conference time. Additional fees are billed based on the total time spent by the hearing officer. Hearing officer time includes, but is not limited to, case review time, decision preparation time, telephone or verbal conference time, as well as actual hearing/conference time expended. The Company generally recognizes revenue when the arbitration or mediation occurs. Fees received prior to such arbitration or mediation are reflected as deferred revenue.

Allowance for doubtful accounts - Our allowance for doubtful accounts relates to trade accounts receivable. We perform ongoing evaluations of our customers and we extend or limit credit based upon payment history and the customer's current credit worthiness. The allowance for doubtful accounts is an estimate prepared by management based on analyses of historical bad debts, receivable agings, current

14

economic trends and any specific customer collection issues that have been identified. The allowance for doubtful accounts is reviewed periodically and adjustments are recorded as deemed necessary.

Income taxes and valuation allowance - We are required to estimate our actual current tax expense together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which would be included within our consolidated balance sheet. We then assess the likelihood that the deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and, to the extent we believe recovery is not likely, a valuation allowance is recognized. We have recorded a valuation allowance to the extent a portion or all of a deferred tax asset may not be realizable.

### Effect of Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure" ("SFAS No. 148"). SFAS No. 148 encourages, but does not require, companies to record compensation cost for stock-based compensation plans at fair value. In addition, SFAS No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and amends the disclosure requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS No. 123"). SFAS No. 148 requires disclosures in the summary of significant accounting policies in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results.

The Company elected to adopt, effective December 31, 2002, only the

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 148 and to continue to account for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and related interpretations. Accordingly, compensation expense is not recognized for options granted to employees and to members of the board of directors when such options are granted to board members in their capacity as directors.

In May 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 150 ("SFAS No. 150"), "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity." This statement establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures in its statement of financial position certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. In accordance with the standard, financial instruments that embody obligations for the issuer are required to be classified as liabilities. This statement shall be effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003 and otherwise shall be effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. As the Company has not issued any such financial instruments, the adoption of SFAS No. 150 is not expected to have a material impact on the financial position and results of operations of the Company.

### RISK FACTORS

Our business faces risks. These risks include those described below and may include additional risks of which we are not currently aware or which we currently do not believe are material. If any of the events or circumstances described in the following risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected. These risks should be read in conjunction with the other information set forth in this report.

15

#### We have Recent, and Anticipate Continuing, Losses

We have incurred operating losses each fiscal year of the seven-year period ended June 30, 2003. Going forward, we may continue to incur operating losses and make capital expenditures and, as a result, we will need to generate higher revenues to achieve and maintain profitability and provide working capital needed to fund losses. We cannot assure you that we can achieve or sustain profitability in the future. If revenues grow slower than we anticipate, or if operating expenses exceed our current expectations and cannot be adjusted accordingly, our business, the results of our operations, and our financial condition may be materially and adversely affected.

#### We Depend On Insurance-Related Disputes

The majority of our ADR business involves claims that are usually covered by insurance. We resolve many of these disputes in a matter of hours. Since our revenues are derived primarily from certain administrative and hourly fees, a high volume of these cases is required in order for us to generate revenues sufficient to maintain our operations. Although catastrophic injury, self-insured commercial and employment initiatives represent a growing percentage of our revenues, there can be no assurance that we will be able to continue to expand our insurance and non-insurance-related dispute business, or maintain or increase our current level of cases. In addition, we cannot assure you that changes in the insurance industry will not affect our business.

Possible Improvements in the Public Court System, Including Use of ADR Services, May Affect Our Business

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

The ADR industry, in general, furnishes an alternative to public dispute mechanisms, principally the local, state and federal court systems. Our marketing efforts have been based on our belief that there exists a high degree of dissatisfaction among litigants and their counsel with the public court system. If the public courts, in the markets we are currently serving or seek to serve, reduce case backlogs and provide effective settlement mechanisms at no, or substantially reduced cost to litigants, our business opportunities in such markets may be significantly reduced. Several public court systems, both on the federal and state level, including certain federal and state courts located in New York State, have instituted court coordinated ADR programs. Similar programs are under consideration in a number of states and may be adopted at any time. The success of such ADR programs could have a material adverse effect on our business by diminishing the demand for private ADR services.

### The Private ADR Services Business is Highly Competitive

The private ADR business is highly competitive, both on a national and regional level. Barriers to entry in the ADR business are relatively low, and new competitors can begin doing business relatively quickly. There are two types of competitors, not-for-profit and for-profit entities:

- o We believe that our largest not-for-profit competitor is the American Arbitration Association which has significant market share in complex commercial cases.
- o We believe that our largest for-profit competitor is JAMS.

At this time, we believe that numerous other private ADR firms are competing with us in the regions we currently serve. Increased competition could decrease the fees we are able to charge for our services and limit our ability to obtain qualified hearing officers. This could have a material adverse effect on our ability to be profitable in the future. Certain competitors

16

may have greater financial or other capabilities than us. Accordingly, there is no assurance that we can successfully compete in the present or future marketplace for ADR services.

### We Depend Upon Our Key Personnel

Our success will be largely dependent on the personal efforts of Roy Israel, our Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman of the Board of Directors. Although we have entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Israel, which expires in 2007, the loss of his services could have a material adverse effect on our business and prospects. We have obtained "key-man" life insurance on the life of Mr. Israel. We are the sole beneficiary in the amount of \$1 million. Our success is also dependent upon our ability to hire and retain qualified marketing and other personnel in our offices. We may not be able to hire or retain such necessary personnel.

### We Do Not Have Written Contracts with the Majority of Our Clients

We currently rely on our marketing efforts and relationships with insurance companies, law firms, corporations, and municipalities to obtain cases. We do not have written agreements with the majority of our clients, but we have instituted the process of obtaining written agreements with our existing clients and with new clients. We also rely on case referrals from our current clients. We may not continue to receive our current level of, or an adequate



## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

level of, referrals of cases. If we do not maintain such levels, there could be a material adverse effect on our business.

### We Depend Upon Qualified Hearing Officers

The market for our services depends on a perception by our clients that our hearing officers are impartial, qualified, and experienced. Our ability to retain qualified hearing officers in the event that competition increases would be uncertain. We have mitigated this risk by retaining exclusive hearing officers. Of the total number of cases heard during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003, approximately 66% were heard by exclusive hearing officers. Accordingly, at any time, the remaining hearing officers who are not under contract with us can refuse to continue to provide their services to us and are free to render services independently or through competing ADR services. If qualified hearing officers are unwilling or unable to continue to provide their services through us for any reason, including possible agreements to provide their services to our competitors on an exclusive basis, our business and operations could be materially and adversely affected.

### Our Current Stockholders Have the Ability to Exert Significant Control

Our executive officers, directors, and their affiliates beneficially own 625,856 shares or approximately 44.4% of the common stock outstanding based on 1,408,176 shares of common stock outstanding as of June 30, 2003. Of that number, Mr. Israel beneficially owns 401,713 shares or approximately 28.5% of the common stock. As a result, these stockholders acting in concert may have significant influence on votes to elect or remove any or all of our directors and to control substantially all corporate activities in which we are involved, including tender offers, mergers, proxy contests or other purchases of common stock that could give our stockholders the opportunity to realize a premium over the then prevailing market price for their shares of common stock.

17

### We May Be Unable to Protect Our Proprietary Technology and We May Be Sued for Infringing on the Rights of Others

Our success depends, in part, upon our ability to protect our proprietary software technology and operate without infringing upon the rights of others. We rely on a combination of methods to protect our proprietary intellectual property, technology and know-how, such as:

- o trade secret laws
- o trademark law
- o contractual provisions
- o certain technology and security measures
- o copyright law
- o patent law
- o confidentiality agreements

The steps we have taken regarding our proprietary technology, however, may be insufficient to deter misappropriation.

In the systems and software industries, it is common that companies

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSEATTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

receive notices from time to time alleging infringement of patents, copyrights or other intellectual property rights of others. We may from time to time be notified of claims that we may be infringing upon patents, copyrights or other intellectual property rights owned by third parties. Companies may pursue claims against us with respect to the alleged infringement of patents, copyrights or other intellectual property rights owned by third parties. Although we believe we have not violated or infringed upon any intellectual property patents and have taken measures to protect our own rights, there is no assurance that we will avoid litigation. Litigation may be necessary to protect our intellectual property rights and trade secrets, to determine the validity of and scope of the proprietary rights of others or to defend against third party claims of invalidity. Any litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources away from the day-to-day operation of our business.

Existing copyright, trademark, patent and trade secret laws afford only limited protection. Existing laws, in combination with the steps we have taken to protect our proprietary rights may be inadequate to prevent misappropriation of our technology or other proprietary rights. Also, such protections do not preclude competitors from independently developing products with functionality or features similar or superior to our products and technologies.

Our common stock is no longer listed on The Nasdaq SmallCap Market

On March 5, 2003, The Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel delisted our common stock from The Nasdaq SmallCap Market. Since that date, trading in our securities has been conducted in the over-the-counter market in the NASD's OTC Electronic Bulletin Board. As a result, an investor may find it more difficult to purchase, dispose of and to obtain accurate quotations as to the value of our securities.

In addition, as the trading price of our common stock has been less than \$5.00 per share, trading in our common stock is also subject to the requirements of Rule 15g-9 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under that rule, broker/dealers who recommend such low-priced securities to persons other than established customers and accredited investors must satisfy special sales practice requirements, including (a) a requirement that they make an individualized written suitability determination for the purchaser and (b) receive the purchaser's written consent prior to the transaction.

The Securities Enforcement Remedies and Penny Stock Reform Act of 1990 also requires additional disclosure in connection with any trades involving a stock defined as a penny stock (generally, any equity security not traded on an exchange or quoted on The Nasdaq SmallCap Market that has a

18

market price of less than \$5.00 per share), including the delivery, prior to any penny stock transaction, of a disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and the risks associated therewith. Such requirements could severely limit the market liquidity of our securities and the ability of stockholders to sell their securities in the secondary market.

### ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Information in response to this item is set forth in the Financial Statements, beginning on Page F-1 of this filing. On August 20, 2001, a 1-for-3 reverse stock split of our outstanding common stock was effectuated. Our shareholders previously approved this action in a meeting held on July 5, 2001. Also, in accordance with our redeemable warrant agreement, the terms of the then outstanding redeemable warrants were adjusted. Originally, each redeemable

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

warrant entitled the holder to purchase one share of common stock at a price of \$6 per share at any time from issuance until November 13, 2001. After the reverse stock split, in order to obtain one share of common stock, the redeemable warrant holder had to exercise 3 warrants and pay an aggregate of \$18 in cash. On November 13, 2001, the redeemable warrants expired.

All references to number of shares and per share data in the financial statements and accompanying notes for all periods presented have been restated to reflect the reverse stock split.

### ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

### ITEM 8A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that material information relating to the Company are made known to our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") and others in the Company involved in the preparation of this annual report, by others within the Company. Our CEO and CFO have reviewed our disclosure controls and procedures within 90 days prior to the filing of this annual report and have concluded that they are effective. There were no significant changes in our internal controls or other factors that could significantly affect our internal controls subsequent to the last date they were reviewed by our CEO and CFO.

19

### INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
	----
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants	F-2
Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-4
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Loss	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7 - F- 25

F-1

### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Board of Directors and Stockholders  
clickNsettle.com, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries (formerly known as NAM Corporation) (the

Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

"Company") as of June 30, 2003 and 2002, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and comprehensive loss, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of June 30, 2003 and 2002, and the consolidated results of their operations and their consolidated cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/S/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Melville, New York  
August 29, 2003

F-2

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

June 30,

ASSETS	2003
	-----
CURRENT ASSETS	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,798,786
Marketable securities	181,063
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$140,000 in 2003 and 2002)	435,667
Prepaid expenses and other current assets (net of allowance for doubtful note receivable of \$49,148 in 2003)	39,825
	-----
Total current assets	2,455,341
FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT - AT COST, less accumulated depreciation	143,824
OTHER ASSETS	42,975
	-----
	\$ 2,642,140
	=====

# Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

## LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

CURRENT LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	\$ 277,608
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	275,428
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	141,305
Deferred revenues	268,977
	-----
Total current liabilities	963,318
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES	
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	
Common stock - \$.001 par value; 15,000,000 shares authorized; 1,450,259 shares issued and outstanding in 2003 and 2002	1,450
Additional paid-in capital	10,111,577
Accumulated deficit	(8,394,247)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	43,960
Less common stock in treasury at cost, 42,083 shares in 2003 and 2002	(83,918)
	-----
Total stockholders' equity	1,678,822
	-----
	\$ 2,642,140
	-----

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

F-3

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Year ended June 30,

	2003	2002
	-----	-----
Net revenues	\$ 4,078,119	\$ 3,957,069
	-----	-----
Operating costs and expenses		
Cost of services	1,006,562	971,255
Sales and marketing expenses	1,137,489	1,649,643
General and administrative expenses	2,437,805	2,488,719
	-----	-----
	4,581,856	5,109,617
	-----	-----
Loss from operations	(503,737)	(1,152,548)
Other income (expenses)		
Investment income (loss)	13,448	(88,542)
Other income	10,778	13,608
	-----	-----
	24,226	(74,934)

Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

Loss before income taxes	(479,511)	(1,227,482)
Income taxes		
NET LOSS	\$ (479,511)	\$ (1,227,482)
Net loss per common share	\$ (.34)	\$ (.87)
Weighted-average shares outstanding - basic and diluted	1,408,176	1,415,020

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

F-4

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

Years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002

	Common stock		Additional	Accumulated	Accumu- lated other compre- hensive income	
	Shares	Amount	paid-in capital	deficit	(loss)	
Balances at June 30, 2001	4,350,776	\$ 4,351	\$10,106,484	\$ (6,687,254)	\$ (6,135)	\$ (
One-for-three reverse stock split effectuated August 20, 2001	(2,900,517)	(2,901)	2,901			
Compensation related to stock options			1,939			
Purchase of common shares for treasury						
Net loss				(1,227,482)		
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities					(14,979)	
Comprehensive loss						
Balances at June 30, 2002	1,450,259	1,450	10,111,324	(7,914,736)	(21,114)	(
Compensation related to stock options			253			
Net loss				(479,511)		

Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

Change in unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	65,074	-----	-----
Comprehensive loss									
Balances at June 30, 2003	1,450,259	\$ 1,450	\$10,111,577	\$ (8,394,247)	\$ 43,960	\$			
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

F-5

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Year ended June 30,

Cash flows from operating activities
Net loss
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities
Depreciation and amortization
(Gains) losses on sales of marketable securities
Write-down of marketable securities
Advertising in exchange for common stock
Compensation related to stock options
Provision for write-down of note receivable
Changes in operating assets and liabilities
(Increase) in accounts receivable
(Increase) in prepaid expenses and other current assets
Increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities
Increase in accrued payroll and employee benefits
(Decrease) increase in deferred revenues
Net cash used in operating activities
Cash flows from investing activities
Purchases of marketable securities
Proceeds from sales of marketable securities
Purchases of furniture and equipment
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities
Cash flows from financing activities
Purchase of treasury stock at cost
Net cash used in financing activities

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

### NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year

Cash and cash equivalents at end of year

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

F-6

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2003 and 2002

#### NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND NATURE OF BUSINESS

clickNsettle.com, Inc. ("CLIK") (formerly known as NAM Corporation) provides a broad range of Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") services, primarily arbitrations and mediations, principally in the United States. CLIK incorporated on January 12, 1994 and began operations on February 15, 1994. On October 31, 1994, National Arbitration & Mediation, Inc. ("NA&M"), which was primarily owned by CLIK's Chief Executive Officer, was acquired by and became a wholly-owned subsidiary of CLIK. The transaction was accounted for as a transfer of assets between companies under common control, with the assets and liabilities of NA&M combined with those of CLIK at their historical carrying values. NA&M also provided a broad range of ADR services, including arbitrations and mediations. NA&M began operations in March 1992.

#### NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A summary of the significant accounting and reporting policies applied on a consistent basis which conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America follows:

##### a. Basis of Presentation and Operations

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries include the accounts of its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Michael Marketing LLC and clickNsettle.com LLC (collectively referred to herein as the "Company"). The Company operates in one business segment, ADR. All significant intercompany transactions and balances were eliminated in consolidation.

The Company has incurred net losses and had negative cash flow from operations in each year in the seven-year period ended June 30, 2003. Cash and cash equivalents arising principally from equity transactions have provided sufficient working capital to fund losses incurred and capital expenditures, as well as to provide cash to redeem preferred stock outstanding and to purchase treasury stock. As of June 30, 2003, the Company had \$1,798,786 in aggregate cash and cash equivalents. Management believes that, through the proper utilization of these existing funds, from revenue generated from existing and new web-based services and from further efficiencies



## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

achieved by utilizing an enhanced processing system, it will have sufficient cash to meet its needs over the next twelve months.

F-7

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 2 (continued)

b. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

c. Revenue Recognition

The Company principally derives its revenues from fees charged for arbitrations and mediations. Each party to a proceeding is charged an administrative fee, which generally includes the first hour of hearing/conference time. Additional fees are billed based on the total time spent by the hearing officer. Hearing officer time includes, but is not limited to, case review time, decision preparation time, telephone or verbal conference time, as well as actual hearing/conference time expended. The Company generally recognizes revenue when the arbitration or mediation occurs. Fees received prior to such arbitration or mediation are reflected as deferred revenue.

In the event an arbitration or mediation is postponed, the postponing party is billed an adjournment fee. The Company recognizes adjournment fee revenue when the adjournment occurs.

In the event an arbitration or mediation is settled prior to the hearing/conference date, each party is billed a settlement fee which is recognized when the Company is informed of the settlement.

In the event an arbitration or mediation is canceled prior to the hearing/conference date, the canceling party is billed a cancellation fee which is recognized when the Company is informed of the cancellation.

d. Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company performs ongoing evaluations of its customers and extends or limits credit based upon payment history and the customer's current creditworthiness. The allowance for doubtful

F-8

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

### NOTE 2 (continued)

accounts is an estimate prepared by the Company based on analyses of historical bad debts, receivable agings, current economic trends and any specific customer collection issues that have been identified. The allowance for doubtful accounts is reviewed periodically and adjustments are recorded as deemed necessary.

#### e. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on hand and money market funds.

#### f. Marketable Securities

Investments classified as marketable securities include equity securities that are reported at their fair values. Unrealized gains or losses on these securities are reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of related tax effects, within stockholders' equity. The Company categorizes all equity securities as available-for-sale.

Investment income consists of interest, dividends and gains and losses on marketable securities. Interest and dividends are recognized when earned. Realized gains and losses on sales, maturities or liquidation of investments are determined on a specific identification basis. Fair values of investments are based on quoted market prices.

#### g. Furniture and Equipment

Furniture and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method to allocate the cost of those assets over their expected useful lives which range from two to ten years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the life of the remaining lease.

#### h. Product Development Costs

Product development costs include expenses incurred by the Company to develop, enhance, manage and operate the Company's technology platform and website. Costs incurred for internal use software in the preliminary project stage and for application maintenance, upgrades and enhancements are expensed. Costs incurred for application development are capitalized. No development costs have been capitalized during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002.

F-9

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

# Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

### NOTE 2 (continued)

i. Income Taxes

The Company follows the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes by applying statutory tax rates in effect at the balance sheet date to differences among the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities. The resulting deferred tax liabilities or assets are adjusted to reflect changes in tax laws or rates by means of charges or credits to income tax expense. A valuation allowance is recognized to the extent a portion or all of a deferred tax asset may not be realizable.

j. Advertising Costs

The cost of advertising is expensed when the advertising takes place. The Company incurred \$70,745 and \$539,071 for advertising and external public relations costs in fiscal 2003 and 2002, respectively. These amounts include \$18,285 and \$461,318 relating to non-cash advertising charges in fiscal 2003 and 2002, respectively (see Note 7(e)).

k. Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share

Basic earnings per share are based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding without consideration of potential common stock. Diluted earnings per share are based on the weighted-average number of common and potential common shares outstanding. The calculation takes into account the shares that may be issued upon exercise of stock options and warrants, reduced by the shares that may be repurchased with the funds received from the exercise, based on the average price during the period. Diluted earnings per share is the same as basic earnings per share, as potential common shares of 981,935 and 734,604, at June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, would be antidilutive as the Company incurred net losses for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002.

l. Accounting for Stock Options

In December 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure" ("SFAS No. 148"). SFAS No. 148 encourages, but does not require, companies to record compensation cost for stock-based compensation plans at fair value. In addition, SFAS No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and amends the disclosure requirements of Statement of Financial

F-10

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

# Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 2 (continued)

Accounting Standards No. 123 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS No. 123"). SFAS No. 148 requires disclosures in the summary of significant accounting policies in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results.

The Company elected to adopt, effective December 31, 2002, only the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 148 and to continue to account for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and related interpretations (see Note 7(i)). Accordingly, compensation expense is not recognized for options granted to employees and to members of the board of directors when such options are granted to board members in their capacity as directors.

If the Company had elected to recognize compensation expense based upon the fair value at the grant date for options granted to employees and to members of the board of directors consistent with the "fair value" methodology prescribed by SFAS No. 123, the Company's net loss attributable to common stockholders and net loss per share for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 would be increased to the pro forma amounts indicated below:

	2003	2002
	-----	-----
Net loss attributable to common stockholders		
As reported	\$ (479,511)	\$ (1,227,482)
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(419,983)	(660,476)
	-----	-----
Proforma net loss	\$ (899,494)	\$ (1,887,958)
	-----	-----
Net loss per common share:		
Basic and diluted - as reported	\$ (.34)	\$ (.87)
Basic and diluted - proforma	\$ (.64)	(1.33)

F-11

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 2 (continued)

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

These pro forma amounts may not be representative of future disclosures because they do not take into effect pro forma compensation expense related to awards made before 1996.

Compensation expense of \$253 and \$1,939 was recognized during the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, for options granted to consultants.

m. Effect of Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 150 ("SFAS No. 150"), "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity." This statement establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures in its statement of financial position certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. In accordance with the standard, financial instruments that embody obligations for the issuer are required to be classified as liabilities. This statement shall be effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003 and otherwise shall be effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. As the Company has not issued any such financial instruments, the adoption of SFAS No. 150 is not expected to have a material impact on the financial position and results of operations of the Company.

F-12

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 3 - COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The components of comprehensive loss, net of tax effects, are as follows:

	2003	2002
Net loss	\$ (479,511)	\$ (1,227,48
Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities, net of tax effects of \$0 in 2003 and 2002, respectively		
Unrealized gains (losses) arising in period	65,204	(39,90
Reclassification adjustment - (loss) gain included in net loss	(130)	24,92
Net unrealized gain (loss)	65,074	(14,97
Comprehensive loss	\$ (414,437)	\$ (1,242,46

Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) represents the unrealized gain (loss) on marketable equity securities, net of tax effects of \$0 in fiscal 2003 and 2002, respectively.

F-13

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 4 - MARKETABLE SECURITIES

Marketable securities, accounted for as available-for-sale securities, are carried at fair value. A summary of investments in marketable securities and a reconciliation of amortized cost to the fair value follow:

	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
	-----	-----	-----	-----
June 30, 2003				
Equity securities	\$137,103	\$ 43,960	\$	\$181,063
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total marketable securities	\$137,103	\$ 43,960	\$	\$181,063
	=====	=====	=====	=====
June 30, 2002				
Equity securities	\$340,714	\$ 23,432	\$ (44,546)	\$319,600
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total marketable securities	\$340,714	\$ 23,432	\$ (44,546)	\$319,600
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Proceeds on sales of securities were \$726,718 and \$54,826 for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. During fiscal 2003 and 2002, gross gains of \$69,736 and \$12, respectively, and gross losses of \$23,414 and \$22,619, respectively, were realized on these sales. During 2003 and 2002, the Company evaluated the carrying value of its investments in marketable equity securities and recorded write-downs for other than temporary declines in the value of such securities in the amount of \$58,733 and \$115,020, respectively. Such write-downs are included in investment income (loss) on the accompanying statements of operations. Net unrealized gains (losses) on marketable securities were \$43,960 and \$(21,114) at June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. During fiscal 2003 and 2002, no income taxes (benefits) were provided on the unrealized gains (losses) due to the Company's net operating loss.

F-14

# Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

## NOTE 5 - FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT

Furniture and equipment consist of the following:

	June 30,	
	2003	2002
Furniture	\$ 234,020	\$ 234,020
Equipment	561,451	549,633
Leasehold improvements	21,993	21,993
	817,464	805,646
Less accumulated depreciation	(673,640)	(588,707)
	\$ 143,824	\$ 216,939

Depreciation expense for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 was \$84,933 and \$101,826, respectively.

## NOTE 6 - INCOME TAXES

Temporary differences which give rise to deferred taxes are summarized as follows:

	2003	2002
Deferred tax assets		
Net operating loss and other carryforwards	\$ 2,770,000	\$ 2,660,000
Provision for bad debts	76,000	56,000
Deferred compensation	38,000	1,000
Deferred rent and other	52,000	53,000
Depreciation	33,000	24,000
Net deferred tax asset before valuation allowance	2,969,000	2,794,000
Valuation allowance	(2,969,000)	(2,794,000)
Net deferred tax asset	\$ --	\$ --

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

The Company has recorded a full valuation allowance to reflect the estimated amount of deferred tax assets which may not be realized.

F-15

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

#### NOTE 6 (continued)

The Company's effective income tax rate differs from the statutory Federal income tax rate as a result of the following:

	2003	2002
	-----	-----
Benefit at statutory rate	\$ (163,034)	\$ (417,344)
State and local benefit, net of Federal tax	(26,148)	(71,256)
Nondeductible expenses - net	14,858	14,563
Increase in the valuation allowance	174,324	474,037
	-----	-----
	\$           --	\$           --
	=====	=====

At June 30, 2003, the Company had a net operating loss carryforward for Federal income tax reporting purposes amounting to approximately \$6,833,000, expiring from 2012 through 2023. Additionally, the Company has a net capital loss carryforward for Federal income tax reporting purposes at June 30, 2003 of approximately \$282,000 which expires from 2006 through 2008. No income taxes were paid in the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002.

Under current tax law, the utilization of net operating losses will be restricted if significant changes in the Company's ownership were to occur. In addition, their use is limited to future earnings of the Company.

#### NOTE 7 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

##### a. Capitalization

On August 20, 2001, the Company effected a 1-for-3 reverse stock split. All references to number of shares and per share data in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes have been restated, except with respect to certain redeemable warrants which were adjusted (see Note 7 (f)), to reflect the reverse stock split. The par value of the common stock remained unchanged at \$.001 per share.

##### b. Preferred Stock

The Company's board of directors has authorized 5,000,000 shares of \$.001 par value preferred stock.

F-16



## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 7 (continued)

c. Series A Exchangeable Preferred Stock

On February 15, 2000, the Company issued 1,850 shares of its Series A Exchangeable Preferred Stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,850,000. On April 5, 2001, pursuant to approval by the board of directors, the Company redeemed all of its Series A Exchangeable Preferred Stock at par value. There were 1,800 shares outstanding before the redemption and the Company paid \$1,800,000 to redeem these shares.

In connection with the sale of the Series A Exchangeable Preferred Stock, the Company issued warrants to the preferred holders to purchase an aggregate of 18,750 shares of common stock at a price per share of \$31.56. The warrants expire on August 15, 2005.

d. Equity Line of Credit

On February 16, 2000, the Company entered into an Equity Line of Credit Agreement (the "Agreement") with Moldbury Holdings Limited. Under this Agreement, the Company had the right, until February 15, 2003, to require that Moldbury Holdings Limited purchase between \$500,000 and \$7,000,000 of the Company's common stock subject to certain limitations. The Company did not make any draw downs under the Agreement.

In connection with the Agreement, the Company issued a warrant to Moldbury Holdings Limited to purchase 15,000 shares of common stock at a price per share of \$28.02. The warrants expired on August 16, 2003.

e. Private Placements

On May 10, 2000, the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Stock Purchase Agreement") with ISO Investment Holdings, Inc. ("ISO"), whereby the Company issued 214,190 common shares, par value \$.001 per share, to ISO at a price of \$18.675 per share or \$4,000,000. In connection therewith, the Company issued a warrant to ISO to purchase 60,000 common shares at an exercise price of \$24.27 per share, exercisable on or after May 10, 2000 and expiring on August 15, 2005. The exercise price and number of warrant shares are subject to adjustment in certain circumstances (stock split, dilutive issuances at less than market price, etc.).

F-17

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

# Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

June 30, 2003 and 2002

## NOTE 7 (continued)

Pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, ISO has the right to designate one individual to be nominated as a member of the Company's board of directors. Additionally, under certain circumstances, ISO is entitled to purchase, upon the same terms, such number of securities to enable it to retain its fully diluted ownership position in the Company that it held immediately prior to a proposed issuance, sale or exchange of the Company's equity securities.

Pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, ISO has one demand registration right commencing May 10, 2002 and unlimited incidental registration rights commencing immediately. In the case of a demand for registration by ISO, the Company shall not be required to file any such registration statement unless the anticipated aggregate gross offering price is at least \$2,000,000. The registration rights granted under the Stock Purchase Agreement terminate upon the earlier of (i) May 10, 2004 and (ii) such time as ISO shall be permitted to sell all of its purchased securities in any three-month period under Rule 144 promulgated under the Securities Act.

On August 11, 2000, the Company entered into an advertising agreement with American Lawyer Media, Inc. ("ALM"), whereby the Company issued 61,474 fully vested, nonforfeitable common shares with a market value of \$770,000 to ALM in exchange for \$1 million of advertising and promotional opportunities over a two-year term. The number of shares issued by the Company was calculated based on the average per share closing price of the common stock for the five trading days prior to August 11, 2000. The Company initially recorded \$770,000 as prepaid advertising. Such amount was expensed as the advertising occurred. During the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, the Company expensed \$18,285 and \$461,318, respectively, of advertising costs related to this transaction (see Note 9(c)).

### f. Redeemable Warrants

In November 1996, the Company completed an initial public offering ("IPO") which consisted of 1,400,000 units, each unit consisting of one share of common stock and one redeemable warrant, not effected for the reverse split (see Note 7 (a)). In addition, there was an overallotment option for 210,000 units that was exercised by the underwriter. After the reverse stock split, in order to obtain one share of common stock, the warrant holder had to exercise three warrants and pay an aggregate of \$18 in cash, subject to adjustment, at any time from issuance until expiration. On November 13, 2001, the redeemable warrants expired.

F-18

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

### NOTE 7 (continued)

#### g. Underwriter's Warrants

In connection with the IPO, the Company sold to the underwriter, for nominal consideration, warrants to purchase from the Company 46,666 units (the "underwriter's warrants"). The underwriter's warrants were exercisable at \$17.40. The shares of common stock and redeemable warrants issuable upon exercise of the underwriter's warrants were identical to those offered to the public. On November 13, 2001, 40,833 of unexercised underwriter's warrants expired.

#### h. Treasury Stock

On March 14, 2003, the Company extended its March 1998 purchase plan (the "Purchase Plan"), pursuant to which the number of shares of common stock of the Company eligible for purchase under the Purchase Plan remained at an aggregate of 266,667 shares. The Purchase Plan shall expire on the earlier of all of the shares being purchased or March 14, 2004, provided, however, that the Purchase Plan may be discontinued at any time by the Company. There were no purchases during the year ended June 30, 2003. As of June 30, 2003, the Company had purchased an aggregate of 42,083 shares under the Purchase Plan for a total cost of \$83,918.

#### i. Stock Option Plan

The Company has an Incentive and Nonqualified Stock Option Plan (the "Plan") for employees, officers, directors, consultants and advisors of the Company, pursuant to which the Company may grant options to purchase up to 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The Plan is administered by the board of directors, which has the authority to designate the number of shares to be covered by each award and the vesting schedule of such award, among other terms. The option period during which an option may be exercised shall not exceed ten years from the date of grant and will be subject to such other terms and conditions of the Plan. Unless the board of directors provides otherwise, option awards terminate when a participant's employment or services end, except that a participant may exercise an option to the extent that it was exercisable on the date of termination for a period of time thereafter. The Plan will terminate automatically on April 1, 2006.

F-19

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

### NOTE 7 (continued)

Directors who are not officers of the Company receive annually, on the last trading day of June, stock options for 833 shares at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant. In December 2002, the Plan was amended to increase the number of options granted to each non-employee director from options to purchase 833 shares to options to purchase 2,500 shares.

Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

The Company's stock option awards granted to employees, directors and consultants as of and for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 are summarized as follows:

	2003		2002	
	Shares	Weighted-average exercise price	Shares	Weighted-average exercise price
Outstanding at beginning of year	637,521	\$5.55	392,356	\$ 8.50
Awards granted	362,500	\$0.27	259,165	\$ 1.46
Awards exercised	--	--	--	--
Awards canceled/forfeited	(115,170)	\$5.65	(14,000)	\$12.70
Outstanding at end of year	884,851	\$3.37	637,521	\$ 5.55
Options exercisable at year-end	424,851	\$6.43	308,523	\$ 7.44
Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the year		\$0.19		\$1.21

F-20

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 7 (continued)

The following information applies to options outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2003:

Range of exercise prices	Outstanding			Exercis
	Number outstanding	Weighted-average remaining life in years	Weighted-average exercise price	Number exercisable
\$ 0.25 - \$ 0.65	362,500	8.34	\$ 0.27	12,500

Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

\$ 0.93 - \$ 1.40	96,665	8.70	\$ 1.37	51,665
\$ 1.53 - \$ 3.56	152,187	3.59	\$ 1.75	87,187
\$ 4.12 - \$ 4.86	149,005	4.11	\$ 4.35	149,005
\$ 5.06 - \$14.81	30,996	3.46	\$ 7.49	30,996
\$15.00 - \$30.00	93,498	5.10	\$17.16	93,498
	-----			-----
	884,851			424,851
	=====			=====

Stock option awards are granted at prices equal to or above the closing bid price on the date of grant. For the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, 100,000 and 130,000 options, respectively, were granted above the closing bid price on the date of grant. As of June 30, 2003, 103,149 shares were available for granting of options under the Plan.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions for 2003 and 2002, respectively: a dividend yield of zero for both years; a risk-free interest rate of 1.94% in 2003 and 4.78% in 2002; an expected term of 2.97 years in 2003 and 3.97 years in 2002; an expected stock price volatility of 125.27% in 2003 and 149.01% in 2002; and a forfeiture rate of 15 % in 2003 and 2002, respectively.

F-21

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 7 (continued)

j. Stock Warrants

In April 2000, the Company entered into an agreement with a financial public relations firm whereby the Company granted warrants to purchase 3,333 shares of the Company's common stock. The warrants vested the earlier of six months from date of grant or upon termination of the agreement and were issued at a 25% premium to the market price of the common stock as of the date of grant. Once vested, the warrants were immediately exercisable. The warrants expire April 11, 2005. In August 2000, the Company terminated the agreement and no additional warrants in excess of the 3,333 warrants were granted.

k. Common Stock Reserved

At June 30, 2003, the Company has reserved for issuance 1,085,083 shares of its common stock issuable pursuant to the Company's stock option plan and the exercise of warrants issued to consultants and investors.

NOTE 8 - TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

Certain members of the board of directors perform services for the benefit of the Company. The related expenditures for these services for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 were \$37,525 and \$50,188, respectively.

Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

NOTE 9 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

a. Leases

As of June 30, 2003, the Company has lease agreements for equipment and office space. The minimum lease payments under noncancelable leases as of June 30, 2003, net of sublease rentals, are as follows:

2004	\$193,900
2005	190,700
2006	900
	-----
	\$385,500
	=====

F-22

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 9 (continued)

During fiscal year 2003, the Company entered into a sublease agreement for the period from March 2003 through June 2005 with sublease rentals of approximately \$73,900 per annum. Rent expense amounted to \$261,382 and \$285,233 for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, net of sublease income of \$24,536 for the year ended June 30, 2003.

b. Employment/Consulting Agreements

In March 2002, the Company entered into a new employment agreement with its Chief Executive Officer effective as of July 1, 2002 as the prior agreement expired June 30, 2002. The new agreement expires June 30, 2007 and provides for an annual base salary of \$301,100, an annual cost of living increase of the greater of 6% per annum or the increase in the Urban Consumer Price Index and an annual bonus based on the achievement of specified criteria with respect to Company revenues, cash flow and/or pretax income (loss). If this agreement is terminated other than for cause or as a result of a change in duties of the executive, the officer will be entitled to the greater of (i) his then current base salary and severance bonus for the remainder of the employment term or (ii) three times his then current base salary and severance bonus, to be paid over a one-year period. The severance bonus is 115% of the bonus paid for the full fiscal year immediately prior to termination. In addition, all unvested options shall immediately vest. If this agreement is terminated due to a change in control, the officer will be entitled to the same severance package as previously described but to be paid in one lump sum.

In August 2003, the Company hired an Executive Vice President of Sales (the "EVP"). In connection therewith, the Company entered into an employment agreement with the EVP effective as of September 8, 2003. The agreement provides for an annual base salary of \$175,000, an annual bonus based on the achievement of specified criteria with respect to the Company's revenues and options to purchase 40,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price equal to the closing bid price on the first day of

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

employment. The options vest over two years. If this agreement is terminated other than for cause on or before September 7, 2004, the EVP will be entitled to the greater of (i) base salary through September 7, 2004 or (ii) four weeks base salary. If this agreement is terminated other than for cause after September 7, 2004, the EVP will be entitled to four weeks base salary.

The Company has also entered into an employment agreement with a regional office manager. This agreement provides for additional compensation based on the profits of the manager's operation.

F-23

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 9 (continued)

c. Advertising

In accordance with the terms of the August 2000 advertising agreement, as amended, with ALM (see Note 7(e)), the Company will purchase \$250,000 of advertising subsequent to the initial two-year term. Such advertising is to be expended as follows: \$50,000 on or before August 11, 2003; \$100,000 on or before December 31, 2003; \$40,000 on or before March 31, 2004 and the remaining \$60,000 on or before June 30, 2004. During the year ended June 30, 2003, the Company incurred \$22,835 of advertising expense related to this commitment.

d. Litigation

The Company is a party to legal matters arising in the general conduct of business. The ultimate outcome of such matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

NOTE 10 - EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLAN

The Company has a noncontributory 401(k) savings and retirement plan, whereby eligible employees may contribute 15% of their salaries up to the maximum allowed under the Internal Revenue Code. Although the Company may make discretionary contributions, none were made in fiscal years 2003 and 2002.

NOTE 11 - ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

At June 30, 2003 and 2002, the Company's financial instruments included cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, receivables and accounts payable. The fair values of cash and cash equivalents, receivables and accounts payable approximated carrying values because of the short-term nature of these instruments. The estimated fair values of marketable securities are determined based on quoted market prices.

F-24

# Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

clickNsettle.com, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(formerly known as NAM Corporation)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

June 30, 2003 and 2002

## NOTE 12 - CREDIT CONCENTRATIONS

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities and accounts receivable.

The Company's cash and cash equivalents at North Fork Bank consist primarily of demand deposits and a money market fund. At June 30, 2003, the amount in excess of Federally insured limits was \$158,210. Additionally, the Company maintains other money market accounts and its equity portfolio at Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc., which insures these balances against its financial failure. Additionally, SIPC (The Securities Investor Protection Corporation) protects securities in the account up to \$500,000.

The Company sells its services principally to insurance companies and law firms. In fiscal years 2003 and 2002, no customer exceeded 10% of net revenues. The Company monitors exposure to credit losses and maintains allowances for anticipated losses considered necessary under the circumstances.

## NOTE 13 - NASDAQ LISTING

On September 25, 2002, the Company received a letter from The Nasdaq SmallCap Market that its common stock had failed to maintain a minimum market value of publicly held shares of \$1,000,000. As a result, the Company had been provided 90 calendar days, or until December 24, 2002, to regain compliance. The Company was not able to regain compliance. Additionally, on November 6, 2002, the Company received a letter from The Nasdaq SmallCap Market that its common stock had failed to maintain a minimum bid price of \$1.00 over the previous 30 consecutive trading days. As a result, the Company had been provided 180 calendar days, or until May 5, 2003, to regain compliance. Additionally, on December 23, 2002, the Company received a Nasdaq Staff Determination indicating that the Company failed to comply with the minimum \$2,500,000 stockholders' equity requirement for continued listing set forth in Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(2)(B), and that its securities were, therefore, subject to delisting from The Nasdaq SmallCap Market. The Company met with The Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel on January 30, 2003 to consider its request for continued listing of the Company's common stock on The Nasdaq SmallCap Market. On March 5, 2003, The Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel delisted the Company's securities from The Nasdaq SmallCap Market. Since that date, the Company's common stock has been listed on the OTC Bulletin Board.

F-25

## PART III

ITEM 9. (Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons, Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act); ITEM 10. (Executive Compensation); ITEM 11 (Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management); ITEM 12 (Certain Relationships and Related Transactions) and ITEM



## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSEATTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

14 (Principal Accountant Fees and Services) will be incorporated in the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days of June 30, 2003, and are incorporated herein by reference.

### ITEM 13. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

#### A. Exhibits

Exhibit Number -----	Description of Document -----
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (1)
3.1(b)	Certificate of Designation of Series A Exchangeable Preferred Stock (5)
3.1(c)	Certificate of Correction of Certificate of Designation of Series A Exchangeable Preferred Stock (6)
3.1(d)	Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation (8)
3.1(e)	Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (11)
3.2	By-Laws of the Company, as amended (3)
4.1	Stock Purchase Agreement dated May 10, 2000 (7)
4.2	Stock Purchase Warrant dated May 10, 2000 (7)
10.1	1996 Stock Option Plan, amended and restated (3)
10.2	Employment Agreement between Company and Roy Israel effective July 1, 2002 (12)
10.5	Employment Agreement between Company and Patricia Giuliani-Rheaume (2)
10.7	Lease Agreement for Great Neck, New York facility (1)
10.7.1	Amendment to Lease Agreement for Great Neck, New York facility (4)
10.7.2	Second Amendment to Lease Agreement for Great Neck, New York facility (10)
10.8	Exchangeable Preferred Stock and Warrants Purchase Agreement (5)
10.9	Preferred Stock Registration Rights Agreement (5)
10.11	Private Equity Line of Credit Agreement between Moldbury Holdings and Company (5)
10.12	Private Equity Line of Credit Registration Rights Agreement (5)
10.13	Stock Purchase Warrant for Moldbury Holdings Limited (5)
10.14	Advertising Agreement dated August 11, 2000 (9)
10.14.1	Amendment to Advertising Agreement dated August 11, 2000**
10.15	Employment Agreement between Company and Alan Littman**

## Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

- 11 Consent of Independent Certified Public Accountants\*\*
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification (CEO)\*\*
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification (CFO)\*\*
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certification (CEO)\*\*
- 32.2 Section 1350 Certification (CFO)\*\*

-----

- (1) Incorporated herein in its entirety by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2, Registration No. 333-9493, as filed with the Securities

20

and Exchange Commission on August 2, 1996.

- (2) Incorporated herein in its entirety by reference to the Company's 1997 Annual Report on Form 10-KSB.
- (3) Incorporated herein in its entirety by reference to the Company's 1998 Annual Report on Form 10-KSB.
- (4) Incorporated herein in its entirety by reference to the Company's 1999 Annual Report on Form 10-KSB.
- (5) Incorporated herein in its entirety by reference to the Company's SB-2 filed on March 28, 2000.
- (6) Incorporated herein in its entirety by reference to the Company's SB-2A filed on April 21, 2000.
- (7) Incorporated herein in its entirety by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed on May 17, 2000.
- (8) Incorporated herein in its entirety by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed on June 21, 2000.
- (9) Incorporated herein in its entirety by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2000.
- (10) Incorporated herein in its entirety by reference to the Company's 2000 Annual Report on Form 10-KSB.
- (11) Incorporated herein in its entirety by reference to the Company's 2001 Annual Report on Form 10-KSB.
- (12) Incorporated herein in its entirety by reference to the Company's 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-KSB.

\*\* Filed herewith.

### B. Reports on Form 8-K:

Form 8K was filed on May 16, 2003 by the Company to announce its revenues and results for the third quarter and nine months ended March 31, 2003.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

clickNsettle.com, Inc.

Date: September 23, 2003

By: /s/ Roy Israel

-----  
Roy Israel, Chairman of the  
Board, CEO and President

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: September 23, 2003

By: /s/ Roy Israel

-----  
Roy Israel, Chairman of the  
Board, CEO and President

Date: September 23, 2003

By: /s/ Patricia Giuliani-Rheaume

-----  
Patricia Giuliani-Rheaume, Vice  
President, Chief Financial Officer  
and Treasurer

Date: September 23, 2003

By: /s/ Kenneth G. Geraghty

-----  
Kenneth G. Geraghty, Director

Date: September 23, 2003

By: /s/ Randy Gerstenblatt

-----  
Randy Gerstenblatt, Director

Date: September 23, 2003

By: /s/ Corey J. Gottlieb

-----  
Corey J. Gottlieb, Director

Date: September 23, 2003

By: /s/ Anthony J. Mercorella

-----  
Anthony J. Mercorella, Director

Date: September 23, 2003

By: /s/ Robert M. Silverson, Jr.

-----  
Robert M. Silverson, Jr., Director

Edgar Filing: CLICKNSETTLE COM INC - Form 10KSB

Date: September 23, 2003

By: /s/ Willem F. Specht

-----  
Willem F. Specht, Director of  
Information Technology and Director