

ACETO CORP
Form 10-Q
February 08, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2012
Commission file number 000-04217

ACETO CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New York
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

11-1720520
(I.R.S. Employer Identification
Number)

4 Tri Harbor Court, Port Washington, NY 11050
(Address of principal executive offices)

(516) 627-6000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

www.aceto.com
(Registrant's website address)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every interactive data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: ACETO CORP - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes ☐ No ☒

The registrant had 27,326,237 shares of common stock outstanding as of February 4, 2013.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
QUARTERLY REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-------------------------------|--|----|
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | | 3 |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements | 3 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets – December 31, 2012 (unaudited) and June 30, 2012 | 3 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income – Six Months Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited) | 4 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income – Three Months Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited) | 5 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income – Three and Six Months Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited) | 6 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Six Months Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited) | 7 |
| | Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) | 8 |
| | Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 18 |
| Item 2. | Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 19 |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 31 |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 32 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | | 32 |
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | 32 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 32 |
| Item 2. | Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 32 |
| Item 3. | Defaults Upon Senior Securities | 32 |
| Item 4. | Mine Safety Disclosures | 32 |
| Item 5. | Other Information | 33 |

| | | |
|------------|-------------------|----|
| Item 6. | Index to Exhibits | 33 |
| Signatures | | 34 |
| Exhibits | | |

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except per-share amounts)

| | December 31, 2012 (unaudited) | June 30, 2012 |
|---|--|------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$25,326 | \$24,862 |
| Investments | 1,549 | 1,518 |
| Trade receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts (Dec, \$880; June, \$887) | 77,918 | 74,744 |
| Other receivables | 3,982 | 2,979 |
| Inventory | 97,373 | 84,687 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 2,314 | 2,231 |
| Deferred income tax asset, net | 1,027 | 948 |
| Total current assets | 209,489 | 191,969 |
| Property and equipment, net | 11,848 | 11,705 |
| Property held for sale | 3,752 | 3,752 |
| Goodwill | 33,541 | 33,495 |
| Intangible assets, net | 42,475 | 45,251 |
| Deferred income tax asset, net | 4,695 | 4,719 |
| Other assets | 9,647 | 8,389 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$315,447 | \$299,280 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$7,197 | \$6,713 |
| Accounts payable | 43,982 | 42,007 |
| Accrued expenses | 26,973 | 24,921 |
| Total current liabilities | 78,152 | 73,641 |
| Long-term debt | 40,454 | 39,052 |
| Long-term liabilities | 12,475 | 12,943 |
| Environmental remediation liability | 5,096 | 5,633 |
| Deferred income tax liability | 9 | 8 |
| Total liabilities | 136,186 | 131,277 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 6) | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value, 40,000 shares authorized; 27,297 and 26,937 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively | 273 | 269 |

Edgar Filing: ACETO CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Capital in excess of par value | 66,625 | 64,071 |
| Retained earnings | 108,680 | 102,344 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 3,683 | 1,319 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 179,261 | 168,003 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$315,447 | \$299,280 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements and accountants' review report.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(unaudited and in thousands, except per-share amounts)

| | Six Months Ended December 31, | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net sales | \$225,704 | \$212,024 |
| Cost of sales | 183,491 | 172,861 |
| Gross profit | 42,213 | 39,163 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 27,988 | 27,097 |
| Operating income | 14,225 | 12,066 |
| Other income (expense): | | |
| Interest expense | (1,078) | (1,411) |
| Interest and other income, net | 1,573 | 1,248 |
| | 495 | (163) |
| Income before income taxes | 14,720 | 11,903 |
| Income tax provision | 5,387 | 4,282 |
| Net income | \$9,333 | \$7,621 |
| Net income per common share | \$0.35 | \$0.29 |
| Diluted net income per common share | \$0.34 | \$0.29 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | |
| Basic | 26,882 | 26,520 |
| Diluted | 27,272 | 26,686 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements and accountants' review report.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(unaudited and in thousands, except per-share amounts)

| | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net sales | \$113,956 | \$110,707 |
| Cost of sales | 93,248 | 90,063 |
| Gross profit | 20,708 | 20,644 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 14,096 | 13,528 |
| Operating income | 6,612 | 7,116 |
| Other income (expense): | | |
| Interest expense | (532) | (656) |
| Interest and other income, net | 1,018 | 704 |
| | 486 | 48 |
| Income before income taxes | 7,098 | 7,164 |
| Income tax provision | 2,585 | 2,576 |
| Net income | \$4,513 | \$4,588 |
| Net income per common share | \$0.17 | \$0.17 |
| Diluted net income per common share | \$0.17 | \$0.17 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | |
| Basic | 26,959 | 26,565 |
| Diluted | 27,316 | 26,737 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements and accountants' review report.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(unaudited and in thousands)

| | Six Months Ended December 31, | | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$9,333 | \$7,621 | \$4,513 | \$4,588 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 2,311 | (4,841) | 1,269 | (2,185) |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swaps | 53 | (103) | 58 | 73 |
| Comprehensive income | \$11,697 | \$2,677 | \$5,840 | \$2,476 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements and accountants' review report.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited and in thousands)

| | Six Months Ended December 31, | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$9,333 | \$7,621 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,475 | 3,460 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 30 | 140 |
| Non-cash stock compensation | 906 | 598 |
| Deferred income taxes | (52) | (243) |
| Earnings on equity investment in joint venture | (1,217) | (1,331) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Investments-trading securities | - | 475 |
| Trade accounts receivable | (2,118) | 4,965 |
| Other receivables | (761) | 1,423 |
| Inventory | (11,279) | (3,328) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (64) | (120) |
| Other assets | 76 | 607 |
| Accounts payable | 1,332 | (4,847) |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 2,388 | (4,336) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 2,049 | 5,084 |
| Investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of investments | (1,050) | (76) |
| Sales of investments | 1,029 | - |
| Payments received on notes receivable | - | 269 |
| Purchases of property and equipment, net | (765) | (545) |
| Payments for intangible assets | (192) | (609) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (978) | (961) |
| Financing activities: | | |
| Payment of cash dividends | (2,977) | - |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 1,124 | 122 |
| Excess tax benefit on stock option exercises and restricted stock | 332 | 20 |
| Payment of deferred consideration | (1,470) | - |
| Repayment of bank loans | (5,114) | (3,149) |
| Borrowings of bank loans | 7,000 | - |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (1,105) | (3,007) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | 498 | (1,538) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | 464 | (422) |
| Cash at beginning of period | 24,862 | 28,664 |
| Cash at end of period | \$25,326 | \$28,242 |

Non-Cash Item

The Company had a non-cash item excluded from the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows during the six months ended December 31, 2011 of \$2,661 related to dividends declared but not paid.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements and accountants' review report.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited and in thousands, except per-share amounts)

(1) Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements of Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries (“Aceto” or the “Company”) included herein have been prepared by the Company and reflect all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for all periods presented. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results which may be achieved for the full year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses reported in those financial statements. These judgments can be subjective and complex, and consequently actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions. The Company’s most critical accounting policies relate to revenue recognition; royalty income; partnered products; allowance for doubtful accounts; inventories; goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets; long-lived assets; environmental and other contingencies; income taxes; and stock-based compensation.

These condensed consolidated financial statements do not include all disclosures associated with consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP. Accordingly, these statements should be read in conjunction with the Company’s consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company’s Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2012.

(2) Stock-Based Compensation

At the annual meeting of shareholders of the Company, held on December 6, 2012, the Company’s shareholders approved the amended and restated Aceto Corporation 2010 Equity Participation Plan (the “Plan”). Under the Plan, grants of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights, and stock bonuses (collectively, “Stock Awards”) may be made to employees, non-employee directors and consultants of the Company, including the chief executive officer, chief financial officer and other named executive officers. The maximum number of shares of common stock of the Company that may be issued pursuant to Stock Awards granted under the Plan will not exceed, in the aggregate, 5,250 shares.

During fiscal year 2012, the Company granted 217 stock options to employees at an exercise price equal to the market value of the common stock on the date of grant, determined in accordance with the Plan. These options vest over three years and have a term of ten years from the date of grant. Compensation expense was determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Total compensation expense related to stock options for the six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$163 and \$171, respectively and \$80 and \$98 for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of December 31, 2012, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to option awards is \$408.

In order to determine the fair value of stock options on the date of grant, the Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, including an estimate of forfeiture rates. Inherent in this model are assumptions related to expected stock-price volatility, risk-free interest rate, expected life and dividend yield. The Company uses an expected stock-price volatility assumption that is a combination of both historical volatility, calculated based on the daily closing prices of its common stock over a period equal to the expected life of the option and implied volatility, utilizing market data of actively traded options on Aceto’s common stock, which are obtained from public data sources. The Company believes that the historical volatility of the price of its common stock over the expected life of

the option is a reasonable indicator of the expected future volatility and that implied volatility takes into consideration market expectations of how future volatility might differ from historical volatility. Accordingly, the Company believes a combination of both historical and implied volatility provides the best estimate of the future volatility of the market price of its common stock. The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury issues with a term equal to the expected life of the option. The Company uses historical data to estimate expected dividend yield, expected life and forfeiture rates. The fair values of the options granted in fiscal year 2012 were estimated based on the following weighted average assumptions:

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| | Six months ended December 31, 2011 |
| Expected life | 5.7 years |
| Expected volatility | 48.10 % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.59 % |
| Dividend yield | 3.24 % |

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited and in thousands, except per-share amounts)

There were no stock options granted in the six months ended December 31, 2012.

During the six months ended December 31, 2012, the Company granted 120 shares of restricted common stock to its employees that vest over three years and 25 shares of restricted common stock to its non-employee directors, which vest over one year. In addition, the Company also issued a target grant of 84 performance-vested restricted stock units, which grant could be as much as 126 if certain performance criteria and market conditions are met.

Performance-vested restricted stock units will cliff vest 100% at the end of the third year following grant in accordance with the performance metrics set forth in the applicable employee performance-vested restricted stock unit grant.

During the year ended June 30, 2012, the Company granted 103 shares of restricted common stock to its employees that vest over three years and 38 shares of restricted common stock to its non-employee directors, which vest over one year. In addition, the Company also issued a target grant of 49 performance-vested restricted stock units, which grant could be as much as 73 if certain performance criteria and market conditions are met. Performance-vested restricted stock units will cliff vest 100% at the end of the third year following grant in accordance with the performance metrics set forth in the applicable employee performance-vested restricted stock unit grant.

For the three and six months ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$378 and \$736, respectively, related to restricted common stock and restricted stock units. For the three and six months ended December 31, 2011, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$216 and \$420, respectively, related to restricted common stock and restricted stock units. As of December 31, 2012, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards and units is approximately \$2,565.

(3) Common Stock

On February 7, 2013, the Company's board of directors declared a regular quarterly dividend of \$0.055 per share to be distributed on March 26, 2013 to shareholders of record as of March 15, 2013.

On December 6, 2012, the Company's board of directors declared a regular quarterly dividend of \$0.055 per share which was paid on December 28, 2012 to shareholders of record as of December 17, 2012.

On September 6, 2012, the Company's board of directors declared a regular quarterly dividend of \$0.055 per share which was paid on September 28, 2012 to shareholders of record as of September 17, 2012.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited and in thousands, except per-share amounts)

(4) Net Income Per Common Share

Basic income per common share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted income per common share includes the dilutive effect of potential common shares outstanding. The following table sets forth the reconciliation of weighted average shares outstanding and diluted weighted average shares outstanding:

| | Six months ended December 31, | | Three months ended December 31, | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 26,882 | 26,520 | 26,959 | 26,565 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock awards and units | 390 | 166 | 357 | 172 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 27,272 | 26,686 | 27,316 | 26,737 |

There were 576 and 1,732 common equivalent shares outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, that were not included in the calculation of diluted income per common share for the six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, because their effect would have been anti-dilutive. There were 565 and 1,685 common equivalent shares outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, that were not included in the calculation of diluted income per common share for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, because their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

(5) Debt

Long-term debt

| | December 31, 2012 | June 30, 2012 |
|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Revolving bank loans | \$ 16,000 | \$ 11,000 |
| Term bank loans | 28,000 | 31,000 |
| Mortgage | 3,651 | 3,765 |
| | 47,651 | 45,765 |
| Less current portion | 7,197 | 6,713 |
| | \$ 40,454 | \$ 39,052 |

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited and in thousands, except per-share amounts)

Credit Facilities

On December 31, 2010, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with two U.S. financial institutions. Aceto may borrow, repay and reborrow during the period ending December 31, 2015, up to but not exceeding at any one time outstanding \$40,000 (the "Revolving Loans"). The Revolving Loans may be (i) Adjusted LIBOR Loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement), (ii) Alternate Base Rate Loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement) or (iii) a combination thereof. As of December 31, 2012, the Company borrowed Revolving Loans aggregating \$16,000, which loans are Adjusted LIBOR Loans at interest rates ranging from 2.06% to 3.25% at December 31, 2012. The Credit Agreement also allows for the borrowing of up to \$40,000 (the "Term Loan"). The Company borrowed a Term Loan of \$40,000 on December 31, 2010. The Term Loan interest may be payable as an (i) Adjusted LIBOR Loan, (ii) Alternate Base Rate Loan, or (iii) a combination thereof. As of December 31, 2012, the remaining amount outstanding under the original amortizing Term Loan is \$28,000 and is payable as an Adjusted LIBOR Loan at an interest rate of 2.06% at December 31, 2012. The Term Loan is payable as to principal in twenty (20) consecutive quarterly installments, which commenced on March 31, 2011 and will continue on each June 30, September 30 and December 31st thereafter, each in the amount set forth below opposite the applicable installment, provided that the final payment on the Term Loan Maturity Date (as defined in the Credit Agreement) shall be in an amount equal to the then outstanding unpaid principal amount of the Term Loan:

| Installment | Amount |
|---------------|----------|
| 1 through 8 | \$ 1,500 |
| 9 through 12 | \$ 1,750 |
| 13 through 16 | \$ 2,000 |
| 17 through 20 | \$ 3,250 |

As such, the Company has classified \$7,000 of the Term Loan as short-term in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012. The Credit Agreement also provides that commercial letters of credit shall be issued to provide the primary payment mechanism in connection with the purchase of any materials, goods or services by the Company in the ordinary course of business. The Company had open letters of credit of approximately \$65 and \$199 as of December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively. The terms of these letters of credit are all less than one year. No material loss is anticipated due to non-performance by the counterparties to these agreements.

The Credit Agreement provides for a security interest in all personal property of the Company. The Credit Agreement contains several financial covenants including, among other things, maintaining a minimum level of debt service. The Company is also subject to certain restrictive covenants, including, among other things, covenants governing liens, limitations on indebtedness, limitations on cash dividends, guarantees, sale of assets, sales of receivables, and loans and investments. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2012.

Mortgage

On June 30, 2011, the Company entered into a mortgage payable for \$3,947 on its new corporate headquarters, in Port Washington, New York. This mortgage payable is secured by the land and building and is being amortized over a period of 20 years. The mortgage payable bears interest at 5.92% and matures on June 30, 2021.

(6) Commitments, Contingencies and Other Matters

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to various claims which have arisen in the normal course of business. The impact of the final resolution of these matters on the Company's results of operations in a particular reporting period is not known. Management is of the opinion, however, that the ultimate outcome of such matters will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company's financial condition or liquidity.

On October 29, 2012, a lawsuit was filed in the United Kingdom by United Phosphorous Limited ("UPL") against Aceto Agricultural Chemicals Corporation ("AACC"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. In the lawsuit, UPL alleges, among other things, that AACC breached a 1995 agreement regarding European sales of a potato sprout suppression product, by selling the product in Europe. UPL claims damages of approximately £4,500 (approximately US \$7,200) plus an unspecified amount of additional damages. While the impact of the resolution of the matter, including any legal and other associated costs, on the Company's consolidated results of operations in a particular reporting period is not known at this time, after a detailed review and careful analysis of the allegations, AACC strongly denies the allegations, believes that UPL's claims are without merit and intends to vigorously defend the lawsuit.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited and in thousands, except per-share amounts)

In fiscal years 2011, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company received letters from the Pulvair Site Group, a group of potentially responsible parties (PRP Group) who are working with the State of Tennessee (the State) to remediate a contaminated property in Tennessee called the Pulvair site. The PRP Group has alleged that Aceto shipped hazardous substances to the site which were released into the environment. The State had begun administrative proceedings against the members of the PRP Group and Aceto with respect to the cleanup of the Pulvair site and the PRP Group has begun to undertake cleanup. The PRP Group is seeking a settlement of approximately \$1,700 from the Company for its share to remediate the site contamination. Although the Company acknowledges that it shipped materials to the site for formulation over twenty years ago, the Company believes that the evidence does not show that the hazardous materials sent by Aceto to the site have significantly contributed to the contamination of the environment and thus believes that, at most, it is a de minimus contributor to the site contamination. Accordingly, the Company believes that the settlement offer is unreasonable. The impact of the resolution of this matter on the Company's results of operations in a particular reporting period is not known. However, management believes that the ultimate outcome of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or liquidity.

The Company has environmental remediation obligations in connection with Arsynco, Inc. (Arsynco), a subsidiary formerly involved in manufacturing chemicals located in Carlstadt, New Jersey, which was closed in 1993 and is currently held for sale. Based on continued monitoring of the contamination at the site and the approved plan of remediation, the Company received an estimate from an environmental consultant stating that the costs of remediation could be between \$8,400 and \$10,200. Remediation commenced in fiscal 2010, and as of December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, a liability of \$7,028 and \$7,566, respectively, is included in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets for this matter. In accordance with GAAP, management believes that the majority of costs incurred to remediate the site will be capitalized in preparing the property which is currently classified as held for sale. An appraisal of the fair value of the property by a third-party appraiser supports the assumption that the expected fair value after the remediation is in excess of the amount required to be capitalized. However, these matters, if resolved in a manner different from those assumed in current estimates, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, operating results and cash flows when resolved in a future reporting period.

In connection with the environmental remediation obligation for Arsynco, in July 2009, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with BASF Corporation (BASF), the former owners of the Arsynco property. In accordance with the settlement agreement, BASF paid for a portion of the prior remediation costs and going forward, will co-remediate the property with the Company. In accordance with the contract, BASF paid \$550 related to past response costs and will pay a proportionate share of the future remediation costs. Accordingly, the Company recorded a gain of \$550 in fiscal 2009. This \$550 gain relates to the partial reimbursement of costs of approximately \$1,200 that the Company previously expensed. The Company also recorded an additional receivable from BASF, with an offset against property held for sale, representing its estimated portion of the future remediation costs. The balance of this receivable for future remediation costs as of December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012 is \$3,163 and \$3,405, respectively, which is included in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

In March 2006, Arsynco received notice from the EPA of its status as a PRP under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) for a site described as the Berry's Creek Study Area. Arsynco is one of over 150 PRPs which have potential liability for the required investigation and remediation of the site. The estimate of the potential liability is not quantifiable for a number of reasons, including the difficulty in determining the extent of contamination and the length of time remediation may require. In addition, any estimate of liability must also consider the number of other PRPs and their financial strength. Based on prior practice in similar situations, it is possible that the State may assert a claim for natural resource damages with respect to the Arsynco site itself, and

either the federal government or the State (or both) may assert claims against Arsynco for natural resource damages in connection with Berry's Creek; any such claim with respect to Berry's Creek could also be asserted against the approximately 150 PRPs which the EPA has identified in connection with that site. Any claim for natural resource damages with respect to the Arsynco site itself may also be asserted against BASF, the former owners of the Arsynco property. In September 2012, Arsynco entered into an agreement with three of the other PRPs that had previously been impleaded into New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, et al. v. Occidental Chemical Corporation, et al., Docket No. ESX-L-9868-05 (the "NJDEP Litigation") and were considering impleading Arsynco into same. Arsynco entered into agreement to avoid impleader. Pursuant to agreement, Arsynco agreed to (1) a tolling period that would not be included when computing the running of any statute of limitations that might provide a defense to the NJDEP Litigation; (2) the waiver of certain issue preclusion defenses in the NJDEP Litigation; and (3) arbitration of certain potential future liability allocation claims if the other parties to the agreement are barred by a court of competent jurisdiction from proceeding against Arsynco. Since an amount of the liability cannot be reasonably estimated at this time, no accrual is recorded for these potential future costs. The impact of the resolution of this matter on the Company's results of operations in a particular reporting period is not known. However, management believes that the ultimate outcome of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or liquidity.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited and in thousands, except per-share amounts)

A subsidiary of the Company markets certain agricultural protection products which are subject to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). FIFRA requires that test data be provided to the EPA to register, obtain and maintain approved labels for pesticide products. The EPA requires that follow-on registrants of these products compensate the initial registrant for the cost of producing the necessary test data on a basis prescribed in the FIFRA regulations. Follow-on registrants do not themselves generate or contract for the data. However, when FIFRA requirements mandate that new test data be generated to enable all registrants to continue marketing a pesticide product, often both the initial and follow-on registrants establish a task force to jointly undertake the testing effort. The Company is presently a member of several such task force groups, which requires payments for such memberships. In addition, in connection with its agricultural protection business, the Company plans to acquire product registrations and related data filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency to support such registrations and other supporting data for several products. The acquisition of these product registrations and related data filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency as well as payments to various task force groups could approximate \$4,129 through fiscal 2014, of which \$121 and \$242 has been accrued as of December, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively.

(7) Fair Value Measurements

GAAP defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly fashion between market participants at the measurement date. GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy for those instruments measured at fair value that distinguishes between assumptions based on market data (observable inputs) and the Company's assumptions (unobservable inputs). The hierarchy consists of three levels:

Level 1 – Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 – Inputs other than Level 1 inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable; and

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

On a recurring basis, Aceto measures at fair value certain financial assets and liabilities, which consist of cash equivalents, investments and foreign currency contracts. The Company classifies cash equivalents and investments within Level 1 if quoted prices are available in active markets. Level 1 assets include instruments valued based on quoted market prices in active markets which generally include corporate equity securities publicly traded on major exchanges. Time deposits are very short-term in nature and are accordingly valued at cost plus accrued interest, which approximates fair value, and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. The Company uses foreign currency forward contracts (futures) to minimize the risk caused by foreign currency fluctuation on its foreign currency receivables and payables by purchasing futures with one of its financial institutions. Futures are traded on regulated U.S. and international exchanges and represent commitments to purchase or sell a particular foreign currency at a future date and at a specific price. Aceto's foreign currency derivative contracts are classified within Level 2 as the fair value of these hedges is primarily based on observable forward foreign exchange rates. At December 31, 2012 the Company had foreign currency contracts outstanding that had a notional amount of \$70,752. Unrealized gains (losses) on hedging activities for the six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$381 and (\$866), respectively, and are included in interest and other income, net, in the condensed consolidated statements of income. The contracts have varying maturities of less than one year.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Credit Agreement, the Company is required to deliver Hedging Agreements (as defined in the Credit Agreement) fixing the interest rate on not less than \$20,000 of the Term Loan. Accordingly, in March 2011, the Company entered into an interest rate swap for a notional amount of \$20,000, which has been designated as a cash flow hedge. The expiration date of this interest rate swap is December 31, 2015. The unrealized loss to date associated with this derivative, which is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in the condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012, is \$374. Aceto's interest rate swap is classified within Level 2 as the fair value of this hedge is primarily based on observable interest rates.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited and in thousands, except per-share amounts)

As of December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, the Company had \$1,904 and \$1,779, respectively, of contingent consideration that was recorded at fair value in the Level 3 category, which related to the acquisition of Rising, which was completed during fiscal 2011. The contingent consideration was calculated using the present value of a probability weighted income approach.

During the fourth quarter of each year, the Company evaluates goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles for impairment at the reporting unit level using an undiscounted cash flow model using Level 3 inputs. Additionally, on a nonrecurring basis, the Company uses fair value measures when analyzing asset impairment. Long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangible assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. If it is determined such indicators are present and the review indicates that the assets will not be fully recoverable, based on undiscounted estimated cash flows over the remaining amortization periods, their carrying values are reduced to estimated fair value. Measurements based on undiscounted cash flows are considered to be Level 3 inputs.

The following tables summarize the valuation of the Company's financial assets and liabilities which were determined by using the following inputs at December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012:

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2012 Using

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total |
|---|---|---|--|--------|
| Cash equivalents: | | | | |
| Time deposits | - | \$ 826 | - | \$ 826 |
| Investments: | | | | |
| Time deposits | - | 1,549 | - | 1,549 |
| Foreign currency contracts-assets (1) | - | 584 | - | 584 |
| Foreign currency contracts-liabilities (2) | - | 188 | - | 188 |
| Derivative liability for interest rate swap (3) | - | 374 | - | 374 |
| Contingent consideration (3) | - | - | \$ 1,904 | 1,904 |

(1) Included in "Other receivables" in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2012.

(2) Included in "Accrued expenses" in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2012.

- (3) Included in “Long-term liabilities” in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2012.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited and in thousands, except per-share amounts)

Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2012 Using

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total |
|---|---|---|--|-------|
| Cash equivalents: | | | | |
| Time deposits | - | \$814 | - | \$814 |
| Investments: | | | | |
| Time deposits | - | 1,518 | - | 1,518 |
| Foreign currency contracts-assets (4) | - | 138 | - | 138 |
| Foreign currency contracts-liabilities (5) | - | 661 | - | 661 |
| Derivative liability for interest rate swap (6) | - | 427 | - | 427 |
| Contingent consideration (6) | - | - | \$ 1,779 | 1,779 |

(4) Included in “Other receivables” in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2012.

(5) Included in “Accrued expenses” in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2012.

(6) Included in “Long-term liabilities” in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2012.

The carrying values of all financial instruments classified as a current asset or current liability are deemed to approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments. The fair values of the Company’s notes receivable and short-term and long-term bank loans were based upon current rates offered for similar financial instruments to the Company.

(8) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the “FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2011-05, “Presentation of Comprehensive Income”, which eliminates the option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in stockholders’ equity and requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. This pronouncement is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12 “Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards

Update No. 2011-05” . ASU 2011-12 deferred certain aspects of ASU 2011-05. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted this guidance in this first quarter of fiscal 2013. As this guidance only amends the presentation of the components of comprehensive income, the adoption did not have an impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited and in thousands, except per-share amounts)

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, “Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment”, to allow entities to use a qualitative approach to test goodwill for impairment. ASU 2011-08 permits an entity to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If it is concluded that this is the case, it is necessary to perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test. Otherwise, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. ASU 2011-08 is effective for the Company in fiscal 2013 and earlier adoption is permitted. The Company adopted ASU 2011-08 at the beginning of fiscal 2013. This adoption did not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, “Balance Sheet (Topic 210), Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities”, which requires companies to disclose information about financial instruments that have been offset and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. Companies will be required to provide both net (offset amounts) and gross information in the notes to the financial statements for relevant assets and liabilities that are offset. This update is effective for the Company in its first quarter of fiscal 2014 and will be applied retrospectively. The Company does not believe adoption of this new guidance will have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, “Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment (the revised standard)”, which allows companies the option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether further impairment testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets is necessary. Under this guidance, an entity is required to perform a quantitative impairment test if qualitative factors indicate that it is more likely than not that indefinite-lived intangible assets are impaired. The qualitative factors are consistent with the guidance established for goodwill impairment testing and include identifying and assessing events and circumstances that would most significantly impact, individually or in the aggregate, the carrying value of the indefinite-lived intangible assets. The revised standard is effective for the Company in fiscal 2014 and early adoption is permitted. The adoption of ASU 2012-02 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In October 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-04, “Technical Corrections and Improvements.” ASU 2012-04 contains certain technical corrections and conforming fair value amendments to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. The amendments that do not have transition guidance were effective upon issuance. The amendments that are subject to the transition guidance will be effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2012-04 will not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

(9) Segment Information

The Company's business is organized along product lines into three principal segments: Human Health, Pharmaceutical Ingredients and Performance Chemicals. In fiscal 2012, the Company reconfigured and renamed its three business segments to more accurately reflect the scope of its business activities. As such, the Company has recasted the segment information as if the composition of its reportable segments had existed in the prior period presented.

Human Health - includes finished dosage form generic drugs and nutraceutical products.

Pharmaceutical Ingredients – includes pharmaceutical intermediates and active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs).

Performance Chemicals - The Performance Chemicals segment is made up of two product groups: Specialty Chemicals and Agriculture Protection Products. Specialty chemicals includes a variety of chemicals which make plastics, surface coatings, textiles, fuels and lubricants perform to their designed capabilities. Dye and pigment intermediates are used in the color-producing industries such as textiles, inks, paper, and coatings. Organic intermediates are used in the production of agrochemicals. In addition, Aceto is a supplier of diazos and couplers to the paper, film and electronics industries.

Agricultural Protection Products includes herbicides, fungicides and insecticides that control weed growth as well as control the spread of insects and other microorganisms that can severely damage plant growth. The Agricultural Protection Products segment also includes a sprout inhibitor for potatoes and an herbicide for sugar cane.

The Company's chief operating decision maker evaluates performance of the segments based on net sales, gross profit and income before income taxes. Unallocated corporate amounts are deemed by the Company as administrative, oversight costs, not managed by the segment managers. The Company does not allocate assets by segment because the chief operating decision maker does not review the assets by segment to assess the segments' performance, as the assets are managed on an entity-wide basis.

ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited and in thousands, except per-share amounts)

Six Months Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| | Human Health | Pharmaceutical Ingredients | Performance Chemicals | Unallocated Corporate | Consolidated Totals |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 2012 | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 56,260 | \$ 80,393 | \$ 89,051 | \$ - | \$ 225,704 |
| Gross profit | 17,010 | 12,047 | 13,156 | - | 42,213 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 7,371 | 3,638 | 5,381 | (1,670) | 14,720 |
| 2011 | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 50,061 | \$ 80,957 | \$ 81,006 | \$ - | \$ 212,024 |
| Gross profit | 15,062 | 12,469 | 11,632 | - | 39,163 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 6,846 | 3,949 | 4,091 | (2,983) | 11,903 |

Three Months Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| | Human Health | Pharmaceutical Ingredients | Performance Chemicals | Unallocated Corporate | Consolidated Totals |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 2012 | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 29,851 | \$ 39,785 | \$ 44,320 | \$ - | \$ 113,956 |
| Gross profit | 8,907 | 5,471 | 6,330 | - | 20,708 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 4,252 | 1,085 | 2,296 | (535) | 7,098 |
| 2011 | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 27,308 | \$ 38,648 | \$ 44,751 | \$ - | \$ 110,707 |
| Gross profit | 8,471 | 5,697 | 6,476 | - | 20,644 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 4,295 | 1,069 | 2,501 | (701) | 7,164 |

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Shareholders
Aceto Corporation

We have reviewed the condensed consolidated balance sheet of Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and the related condensed consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, and cash flows for the three-month and six-month periods ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 included in the accompanying Securities and Exchange Commission Form 10-Q for the period ended December 31, 2012. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the consolidated balance sheet of Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated September 7, 2012, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2012, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

Melville, New York
February 8, 2013

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT RELATING TO THE SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This Quarterly Report contains forward-looking statements as that term is defined in the federal securities laws. The events described in forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report may not occur. Generally, these statements relate to our business plans or strategies, projected or anticipated benefits or other consequences of our plans or strategies, financing plans, projected or anticipated benefits from acquisitions that we may make, or projections involving anticipated revenues, earnings or other aspects of our operating results or financial position, and the outcome of any contingencies. Any such forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, estimates and projections of management. We intend for these forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe-harbor provisions for forward-looking statements. Words such as “may,” “will,” “expect,” “believe,” “anticipate,” “project,” “plan,” “estimate,” and “continue,” and their opposites and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. We caution you that these statements are not guarantees of future performance or events and are subject to a number of uncertainties, risks and other influences, many of which are beyond our control that may influence the accuracy of the statements and the projections upon which the statements are based. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth or implied by any forward-looking statement include, but are not limited to, our ability to remain competitive with competitors, risks associated with the generic product industry, dependence on a limited number of suppliers, risks associated with healthcare reform and reductions in reimbursement rates, difficulty in predicting revenue stream and gross profit, industry and market changes, the effect of fluctuations in operating results on the trading price of our common stock, inventory levels, reliance on outside manufacturers, risks of incurring uninsured environmental and other industry specific liabilities, governmental approvals and regulations, risks associated with hazardous materials, potential violations of government regulations, product liability claims, reliance on Chinese suppliers, potential changes to Chinese laws and regulations, potential changes to laws governing our relationships in India, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, tax assessments, changes in tax rules, global economic risks, risk of unsuccessful acquisitions, effect of acquisitions on earnings, indemnification liabilities, terrorist activities, reliance on key executives, litigation risks, volatility of the market price of our common stock, changes to estimates, judgments and assumptions used in preparing financial statements, failure to maintain effective internal controls, compliance with changing regulations, as well as other risks and uncertainties discussed in our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including, but not limited to, our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 and other filings. Copies of these filings are available at www.sec.gov.

Any one or more of these uncertainties, risks and other influences could materially affect our results of operations and whether forward-looking statements made by us ultimately prove to be accurate. Our actual results, performance and achievements could differ materially from those expressed or implied in these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether from new information, future events or otherwise.

NOTE REGARDING DOLLAR AMOUNTS

In this quarterly report, all dollar amounts are expressed in thousands, except for per-share amounts.

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is intended to provide the readers of our financial statements with a narrative discussion about our business. The MD&A is provided as a supplement to and should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and the accompanying notes.

Executive Summary

We are reporting net sales of \$225,704 for the six months ended December 31, 2012, which represents a 6.5% increase from the \$212,024 reported in the comparable prior period. Gross profit for the six months ended December 31, 2012 was \$42,213 and our gross margin was 18.7% as compared to gross profit of \$39,163 and gross margin of 18.5% in the comparable prior period. Our selling, general and administrative costs (SG&A) for the six months ended December 31, 2012 increased to \$27,988 from \$27,097 which we reported in the prior period. Our net income increased to \$9,333, or \$0.34 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$7,621, or \$0.29 per diluted share in the prior period.

Our financial position as of December 31, 2012 remains strong, as we had cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$26,875, working capital of \$131,337 and shareholders' equity of \$179,261.

Our business is separated into three principal segments: Human Health, Pharmaceutical Ingredients and Performance Chemicals. In fiscal 2012, we reconfigured and renamed our three business segments to more accurately reflect the scope of our business activities. As such, we recasted the segment information as if the composition of our reportable segments had existed in the prior period presented.

Products that fall within our Human Health segment include finished dosage form generic drugs and nutraceutical products. On December 31, 2010, we acquired certain assets of Rising. This acquisition was a natural extension of our successful business model which provides customers and suppliers additional opportunities to penetrate the end user segment of the pharmaceutical market. With the Rising brand label, we have been able to expand our direct involvement in the pharmaceutical distribution space through greater global awareness of our capabilities in the marketing of pharmaceutical intermediates, active ingredients and the ultimate end-products, finished dosage form generics.

Aceto supplies the raw materials used in the production of nutritional and packaged dietary supplements, including vitamins, amino acids, iron compounds and biochemicals used in pharmaceutical and nutritional preparations. Aceto's identification of a change in the attitudes of Europeans towards nutritional products led to the decision to globalize this business and create an operating model to focus on it. This globally structured business has become the model for all of our business segments, providing international reach and perspective for our customers.

The Pharmaceutical Ingredients segment has two product groups: Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) and Pharmaceutical Intermediates.

As the use of generic drugs has grown significantly over the years, we believe Aceto's presence in this market also increased dramatically, both domestically and internationally. We supply APIs to the major generic drug companies, who we believe view Aceto as a valued partner in their effort to develop and market generic drugs. The process of introducing a new API from pipeline to market spans a number of years and begins with Aceto partnering with a generic pharmaceutical manufacturer and jointly selecting an API, several years before the expiration of a composition of matter patent, for future generisizing. We then identify the appropriate supplier, and concurrently utilizing our global technical network, ensure they meet the highest standards of quality to comply with regulations. The generic pharmaceutical company will submit the ANDA for FDA approval or European-equivalent approval. The introduction of the API to market occurs after all the development testing has been completed and the ANDA or European-equivalent is approved and the patent expires or is deemed invalid. Aceto, at all times, has a robust pipeline of APIs poised to reach commercial levels, both in the United States and Europe.

Aceto has long been a supplier of pharmaceutical intermediates, the complex chemical compounds that are the building blocks used in producing APIs. These are the critical components of all drugs, whether they are already on the market or currently undergoing clinical trials. Faced with significant economic pressures as well as ever-increasing regulatory barriers, the innovative drug companies look to Aceto as a source for high quality intermediates. Utilizing our global sourcing, regulatory support and quality assurance network, Aceto works with the large, global pharmaceutical companies, sourcing lower cost, quality pharmaceutical intermediates that will meet the same high level standards adhered to by their current commercial products.

According to an IMS Health press release on July 12, 2012, "Following several years of slowing growth, the global market for medicines is poised to rebound from an expected low point of 3-4 percent growth in 2012 to 5-7 percent in 2016, according to a new forecast issued by the IMS Institute for Healthcare Informatics. The report, The Global Use of Medicines: Outlook through 2016, found that annual global spending on medicines will rise from \$956 billion in

2011 to nearly \$1.2 trillion in 2016, representing a compound annual growth rate of 3-6 percent. Growth in annual global spending is forecast to more than double by 2016 to as much as \$70 billion, up from a \$30 billion pace this year, driven by volume increases in the pharmerging markets and an uptick in spending in developed nations.”

The Performance Chemicals segment includes specialty chemicals and agricultural protection products.

Aceto is a major supplier to many different industrial segments that require outstanding performance from chemical raw materials and additives. We provide chemicals which make plastics, surface coatings, textiles, fuels and lubricants perform to their designed capabilities. These additive specialty products include antioxidants, photo initiators, catalysts, curatives, brighteners and adhesion promoters.

Aceto is a supplier of chemicals to ecofriendly technologies, which are critical in protecting and enhancing the world's ecology.

We provide specialty chemicals for the food, beverage and fragrance industries. Aceto's raw materials are also used in sophisticated technology products, such as high-end electronic parts (circuit boards and computer chips) and binders for specialized rocket fuels. Aceto is also a leader in the supply of diazos and couplers to the paper and film industries. Specific end uses for these products include microfilm, blueprints and photo tooling of printed circuit boards.

We also provide organic intermediates and colorants. The color producing industry manufactures a wide assortment of products and Aceto is the supplier of choice to these producers of "color." From textiles and plastics to inks and paints, our specialty colorant intermediates allow manufacturers to develop an endless rainbow of colorful possibilities.

According to a January 16, 2013 Federal Reserve Statistical Release, in the fourth quarter of calendar year 2012, the index for consumer durables, which impacts the Specialty Chemicals business of the Performance Chemicals segment, rose at an annual rate of 8.9%.

Aceto's agricultural protection products include herbicides, fungicides and insecticides which control weed growth as well as the spread of insects and microorganisms that can severely damage plant growth. The agricultural world is dependent on a large variety of deterrent products and we believe Aceto has become a valued partner to the global generic agricultural industry by providing superior quality functional products. One of Aceto's most widely used agricultural protection products is a sprout inhibitor that extends the storage life of potatoes. We work with the large agrochemical distributors to provide alternate sources for key products. Utilizing our global sourcing and regulatory capabilities, we identify and qualify manufacturers either producing the product or with knowledge of the chemistry necessary to produce the product and then file an application with the EPA for a product registration. Aceto has an ongoing working relationship with manufacturers in China and India to determine which of the non-patented, or generic, agricultural protection products they produce can be effectively marketed in the Western world. Over the past several years, we have successfully brought numerous products to market. In addition, we have a strong pipeline, which includes future additions to our product portfolio. The combination of our global sourcing and regulatory capabilities makes the generic agricultural market a niche for us and we will continue to offer new product additions in this market as we move forward. In the National Agricultural Statistics Services release dated June 29, 2012, the total crop acreage planted in 2012 increased by 3.4% to 326 million acres. The number of peanut acres planted in 2012 was up almost 34% from 2011 levels while sugarcane acreage harvested increased approximately 2.2% from 2011. In addition, the potato acreage harvested in 2012 was relatively consistent to the 2011 level.

We believe our main business strengths are sourcing, regulatory support, quality assurance and marketing and distribution. With business operations in nine countries, we distribute more than 1,100 chemical compounds used principally as finished products or raw materials in the pharmaceutical, nutraceutical, agricultural, coatings and industrial chemical consuming industries. We believe that we are currently one of the largest merchant buyers of pharmaceutical and performance chemicals for export from Asia, purchasing from over 500 different manufacturers in China and 200 manufacturers in India.

In this MD&A, we explain our general financial condition and results of operations, including, among other things, the following:

factors that affect our business
our earnings and costs in the periods presented
changes in earnings and costs between periods
sources of earnings

the impact of these factors on our overall financial condition

As you read this MD&A section, refer to the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income, which present the results of our operations for the three and six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. We analyze and explain the differences between periods in the specific line items of the condensed consolidated statements of income.

Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies

As disclosed in our Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2012, the discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. In preparing these financial statements, we were required to make estimates and assumptions relating to critical accounting estimates and policies that affect the amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We regularly evaluate our estimates including those related to allowances for bad debts, partnered products, inventories, goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets, long-lived assets, environmental and other contingencies, income taxes and stock-based compensation. We base our estimates on various factors, including historical experience, advice from outside subject-matter experts, and various assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, which together form the basis for our making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Since June 30, 2012, there have been no significant changes to the assumptions and estimates related to those critical accounting estimates and policies.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Six Months Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Six Months Ended December 31, 2011

Net Sales by Segment
Six months ended December 31,

| Segment | 2012 | | 2011 | | Comparison 2012 Over/(Under) 2011 | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| | Net sales | % of Total | Net sales | % of Total | \$ Change | % Change | |
| Human Health | \$56,260 | 24.9 | % \$50,061 | 23.6 | % \$6,199 | 12.4 | % |
| Pharmaceutical Ingredients | 80,393 | 35.6 | 80,957 | 38.2 | (564) | (0.7) |) |
| Performance Chemicals | 89,051 | 39.5 | 81,006 | 38.2 | 8,045 | 9.9 | |
| Net sales | \$225,704 | 100.0 | % \$212,024 | 100.0 | % \$13,680 | 6.5 | % |

Gross Profit by Segment
Six months ended December 31,

| Segment | 2012 | | 2011 | | Comparison 2012 Over/(Under) 2011 | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| | Gross Profit | % of Sales | Gross Profit | % of Sales | \$ Change | % Change | |
| Human Health | \$17,010 | 30.2 | % \$15,061 | 30.1 | % \$1,949 | 12.9 | % |
| Pharmaceutical Ingredients | 12,047 | 15.0 | 12,470 | 15.4 | (423) | (3.4) |) |
| Performance Chemicals | 13,156 | 14.8 | 11,632 | 14.4 | 1,524 | 13.1 | |
| Gross profit | \$42,213 | 18.7 | % \$39,163 | 18.5 | % \$3,050 | 7.8 | % |

Net Sales

Net sales increased \$13,680, or 6.5%, to \$225,704 for the six months ended December 31, 2012, compared with \$212,024 for the prior period. We reported sales increases in our Human Health and Performance Chemicals business segments offset by a decrease in sales of Pharmaceutical Ingredients.

Human Health

Net sales for the Human Health segment increased by \$6,199 for the six months ended December 31, 2012, to \$56,260, which represents a 12.4% increase over net sales of \$50,061 for the prior period, largely driven by new generic product launches at Rising, offset by a reduction in domestic sales of nutritional products due to lower than expected orders of existing products.

Pharmaceutical Ingredients

Net sales for the Pharmaceutical Ingredients segment were relatively flat at \$80,393 for the six months ended December 31, 2012, when compared to the \$80,957 for the prior period. Even though sales were consistent to the prior period, we experienced a decline of \$1,926 in sales of intermediates, which represent key components used in the manufacture of certain drug products, which were sold in the United States. This decrease in sales is offset by a \$1,909 increase in sales of APIs sold abroad.

Performance Chemicals

Net sales for the Performance Chemicals segment increased to \$89,051 for the six months ended December 31, 2012, an increase of \$8,045, or 9.9%, from net sales of \$81,006 for the prior period. Sales of our specialty chemicals sold internationally increased \$1,994 over the prior year, primarily in Singapore and France. Our Performance Chemicals also experienced an increase in sales of our agricultural protection products, primarily sales of our sprout inhibitor products, which are utilized on potato crops and sales of a wide-range insecticide used on various crops including cereals, citrus, cotton, grapes, ornamental grasses and vegetables. In addition, we had an increase in sales of a broad-spectrum herbicide.

Gross Profit

Gross profit increased to \$42,213 (18.7% of net sales) for the six months ended December 31, 2012, as compared to \$39,163 (18.5% of net sales) for the prior period.

Human Health

Human Health gross profit increased to \$17,010 for the six months ended December 31, 2012 when compared to the prior period of \$15,061. The gross margin remained consistent at 30.2% for the six months ended December 31, 2012 compared to 30.1% for the prior period. The increase in gross profit in the Human Health segment primarily relates to increased sales volume of Rising products.

Pharmaceutical Ingredients

Pharmaceutical Ingredients' gross profit of \$12,047 for the six months ended December 31, 2012 was \$423 or 3.4% lower than the prior period, due primarily to the decline in sales of pharmaceutical intermediates. The gross margin at 15.0% for the six months ended December 31, 2012 was relatively consistent to the prior period's gross margin of 15.4%.

Performance Chemicals

Gross profit for the Performance Chemicals segment increased to \$13,156 for the six months ended December 31, 2012, versus \$11,632 for the prior period, an increase of \$1,524 or 13.1%. The gross margin at 14.8% for the six months ended December 31, 2012 was relatively flat compared to the prior period's gross margin of 14.4%. The increase in gross profit is related to sales volume increase in sales of agricultural protection products.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

SG&A increased \$891 or 3.3%, to \$27,988 for the six months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$27,097 for the prior period. As a percentage of sales, SG&A decreased to 12.4% for the six months ended December 31, 2012 versus 12.8% for the prior period. The primary reason for the increase in SG&A is due to increased research and

development expenses related to certain Rising products. In addition, the Company recorded during the six months ended December 31, 2011, approximately \$884 of one-time costs associated with the separation of certain executive management employees.

Operating Income

For the six months ended December 31, 2012, operating income was \$14,225 compared to \$12,066 in the prior period, an increase of \$2,159 or 17.9%. This increase was due to the overall increase in gross profit of \$3,050 offset by the increase in SG&A of \$891 from the comparable prior period.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$1,078 for the six months ended December 31, 2012, a decrease of \$333 from the prior period. The decrease is primarily due to lower average loan balance outstanding as of December 31, 2012 versus December 31, 2011, as well as a lower Adjusted LIBOR rate during the period.

Interest and Other Income, Net

Interest and other income, net was \$1,573 for the six months ended December 31, 2012, which represents an increase of \$325 from \$1,248 in the prior period, mainly due to an increase in foreign exchange gains.

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the six months ended December 31, 2012 was 36.6% versus 36.0% for the prior period. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to the expected mix of profits from higher tax rate jurisdictions in fiscal 2013.

Three Months Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended December 31, 2011

Net Sales by Segment
Three months ended December 31,

| Segment | 2012 | | 2011 | | Comparison 2012 Over/(Under) 2011 | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| | Net sales | % of Total | Net sales | % of Total | \$ Change | % Change | |
| Human Health | \$29,851 | 26.2 | % \$27,308 | 24.7 | % \$2,543 | 9.3 | % |
| Pharmaceutical Ingredients | 39,785 | 34.9 | 38,648 | 34.9 | 1,137 | 2.9 | |
| Performance Chemicals | 44,320 | 38.9 | 44,751 | 40.4 | (431) | (1.0) |) |
| Net sales | \$113,956 | 100.0 | % \$110,707 | 100.0 | % \$3,249 | 2.9 | % |

Gross Profit by Segment
Three months ended December 31,

| Segment | 2012 | | 2011 | | Comparison 2012 Over/(Under) 2011 | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| | Gross Profit | % of Sales | Gross Profit | % of Sales | \$ Change | % Change | |
| Human Health | \$8,907 | 29.8 | % \$8,471 | 31.0 | % \$436 | 5.1 | % |
| Pharmaceutical Ingredients | 5,471 | 13.8 | 5,697 | 14.7 | (226) | (4.0) |) |
| Performance Chemicals | 6,330 | 14.3 | 6,476 | 14.5 | (146) | (2.3) |) |
| Gross profit | \$20,708 | 18.2 | % \$20,644 | 18.6 | % \$64 | 0.3 | % |

Net Sales

Net sales increased \$3,249, or 2.9%, to \$113,956 for the three months ended December 31, 2012, compared with \$110,707 for the prior period. We reported sales increases in our Human Health and Pharmaceutical Ingredients business segments offset by a decrease in sales of Performance Chemicals.

Human Health

Net sales for the Human Health segment increased by \$2,543 for the three months ended December 31, 2012, to \$29,851, which represents a 9.3% increase over net sales of \$27,308 for the prior period, largely driven by new generic product launches at Rising, offset by a reduction in domestic sales of nutritional products due to lower than expected orders of existing products.

Pharmaceutical Ingredients

Net sales for the Pharmaceutical Ingredients segment were \$39,785 for the three months ended December 31, 2012, an increase of \$1,137 or 2.9% from net sales of \$38,648 for the prior period. There were increases in both API and intermediates, realized by our international locations, particularly Germany. These increases were partially offset by weaker sales in our domestic operations.

Performance Chemicals

Net sales for the Performance Chemicals segment decreased slightly to \$44,320 for the three months ended December 31, 2012, a decrease of \$431, or 1.0%, from net sales of \$44,751 for the prior period. Sales of our specialty chemicals decreased \$635 over the prior year, due primarily to a decline in sales of agricultural intermediates. Overall, domestic sales of specialty chemicals were down from the prior year due partly to Hurricane Sandy that occurred at the end of October, 2012, whereby companies experienced a temporary pull back on production.

Gross Profit

Gross profit increased to \$20,708 (18.2% of net sales) for the three months ended December 31, 2012, as compared to \$20,644 (18.6% of net sales) for the prior period.

Human Health

Human Health gross profit increased to \$8,907 for the three months ended December 31, 2012 when compared to the prior period of \$8,471. The gross margin decreased to 29.8%, for the three months ended December 31, 2012 compared to 31.0% for the prior period. The increase in gross profit in the Human Health segment primarily relates to increased sales volume of Rising products. The decrease in gross margin is attributable to an unfavorable product mix on certain Rising products and a decrease in royalty income of approximately \$308.

Pharmaceutical Ingredients

Pharmaceutical Ingredients' gross profit of \$5,471 for the three months ended December 31, 2012 was \$226 or 4.0% lower than the prior period. The gross margin for the three months ended December 31, 2012 declined to 13.8% from the prior period's gross margin of 14.7% due primarily to unfavorable product mix on certain APIs sold from our international operations.

Performance Chemicals

Gross profit for the Performance Chemicals segment decreased to \$6,330 for the three months ended December 31, 2012, versus \$6,476 for the prior period, a decrease of \$146 or 2.3%. Gross margin for the quarter decreased slightly to 14.3% compared to the prior period gross margin of 14.5%. The decrease in gross profit is related to overall unfavorable product mix on a variety of agricultural protection products.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

SG&A increased \$568 or 4.2%, to \$14,096 for the three months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$13,528 for the prior period. As a percentage of sales, SG&A increased to 12.4% for the three months ended December 31, 2012 versus 12.2% for the prior period. The primary reason for the increase in SG&A is due to increased research and development expenses related to certain Rising products, as well increased stock-based compensation expense due to increased financial performance and increased attorney costs related to the UPL lawsuit.

Operating Income

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, operating income was \$6,612 compared to \$7,116 in the prior period, a decrease of \$504 or 7.1%. This decrease was due to the overall increase in SG&A of \$568 offset by an increase in gross profit of \$64 from the comparable prior period.

Interest and Other Income, Net

Interest and other income, net was \$1,018 for the three months ended December 31, 2012, which represents an increase of \$314 from \$704 in the prior period, mainly due to an increase in foreign exchange gains, as well as an increase in income related to a joint venture.

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the three months ended December 31, 2012 was 36.4% versus 36.0% for the prior period. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to the expected mix of profits from higher tax rate jurisdictions in fiscal 2013.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flows

At December 31, 2012, we had \$25,326 in cash and cash equivalents, of which \$16,248 was outside the United States, \$1,549 in short-term investments, all of which is held outside the United States and \$47,651 in long-term debt (including the current portion). Working capital was \$131,337 at December 31, 2012 versus \$118,328 at June 30, 2012. The \$16,248 of cash held outside of the United States is fully accessible to meet any liquidity needs of the countries in which Aceto operates. The majority of the cash located outside of the United States is held by our European operations and can be transferred into the United States. Although these amounts are fully accessible, transferring these amounts into the United States or any other countries could have certain tax consequences. A deferred tax liability will be recognized when we expect that we will recover undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries in a taxable manner, such as through receipt of dividends or sale of the investments. The Company intends to permanently reinvest these undistributed earnings and has no plan for further repatriation. A portion of our cash is held in operating accounts that are with third party financial institutions. While we monitor daily the cash balances in our operating accounts and adjust the cash balances as appropriate, these cash balances could be impacted if the underlying financial institutions fail or are subject to other adverse conditions in the financial markets. To date, we have experienced no loss or lack of access to cash in our operating accounts.

Our cash position at December 31, 2012 increased \$464 from the amount at June 30, 2012. Operating activities for the six months ended December 31, 2012 provided cash of \$2,049, for this period, as compared to \$5,084 for the comparable period. The \$2,049 was comprised of \$9,333 in net income and \$3,142 derived from adjustments for non-cash items less a net \$10,426 decrease from changes in operating assets and liabilities. The non-cash items included \$3,475 in depreciation and amortization expense, \$1,217 of earnings on an equity investment in a joint venture and \$906 in non-cash stock compensation expense. Trade accounts receivable increased \$2,118 during the six months ended December 31, 2012, due to a slight increase in days sales outstanding, from June 30, 2012, as well as an increase in sales in this quarter as compared to the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012. Inventories increased by \$11,279 due primarily to an increase in inventories of certain APIs and nutritional products held in stock at our German subsidiaries, for anticipated sales in the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2013, as well as for the first quarter in fiscal 2014. In addition, inventories also increased due to in-transit inventory at December 31, 2012, of a broad-spectrum herbicide, which was sold in the third quarter of fiscal 2013. Accounts payable increased by \$1,332 due to timing of

payments processed at the end of the quarter. Accrued expenses and other liabilities increased \$2,388 due primarily to an increase in Value Added Tax (VAT) for our foreign subsidiaries, particularly Germany and an increase in price concessions and partnered products liabilities related to increased sales from Rising. These increases are offset in part by a decrease in accrued compensation as fiscal 2012 performance award payments were made in September 2012. Our cash position at December 31, 2011 decreased \$422 from the amount at June 30, 2011. Operating activities for the six months ended December 31, 2011 provided cash of \$5,084, for this period, as compared to cash provided by operations of \$2,698 for the six months ended December 31, 2010. The \$5,084 was comprised of \$7,621 in net income and \$2,624 derived from adjustments for non-cash items less a net \$5,161 decrease from changes in operating assets and liabilities.

Investing activities for the six months ended December 31, 2012 used cash of \$978, primarily related to the purchases of investments of \$1,050 and payments for intangible assets and property and equipment of \$957, offset by proceeds received upon sale of investments of \$1,029. Investing activities for the six months ended December 31, 2011 used cash of \$961, primarily related to purchases of property and equipment and intangible assets.

Financing activities for the six months ended December 31, 2012 used cash of \$1,105 primarily from \$5,114 of repayment of bank borrowings and \$2,977 of payment of cash dividends. In addition, the Company paid \$1,470 of deferred consideration to the sellers of Rising. This use of cash was offset by bank borrowings of \$7,000 and \$1,124 proceeds received from exercise of stock options. Financing activities for the six months ended December 31, 2011 used cash of \$3,007, primarily from \$3,149 of bank loan repayments.

Credit Facilities

We have available credit facilities with certain foreign financial institutions. These facilities provide us with lines of credit of \$8,804, as of December 31, 2012. We are not subject to any financial covenants under these arrangements.

On December 31, 2010, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with two U.S. financial institutions. Aceto may borrow, repay and reborrow during the period ending December 31, 2015, up to but not exceeding at any one time outstanding \$40,000 (the "Revolving Loans"). The Revolving Loans may be (i) Adjusted LIBOR Loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement), (ii) Alternate Base Rate Loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement) or (iii) a combination thereof. As of December 31, 2012, the Company borrowed Revolving Loans aggregating \$16,000, which loans are Adjusted LIBOR Loans at interest rates ranging from 2.06% to 3.25% at December 31, 2012. The Credit Agreement also allows for the borrowing of up to \$40,000 (the "Term Loan"). The Company borrowed a Term Loan of \$40,000 on December 31, 2010. The Term Loan interest may be payable as an (i) Adjusted LIBOR Loan, (ii) Alternate Base Rate Loan, or (iii) a combination thereof. As of December 31, 2012, the remaining amount outstanding under the original amortizing Term Loan is \$28,000 and is payable as an Adjusted LIBOR Loan at an interest rate of 2.06% at December 31, 2012.

The Credit Agreement also provides that commercial letters of credit shall be issued to provide the primary payment mechanism in connection with the purchase of any materials, goods or services by us in the ordinary course of business. At December 31, 2012, we had utilized \$44,065 in bank loans and letters of credit, leaving \$23,935 of this facility unused. The terms of these letters of credit are all less than one year. No material loss is anticipated due to non-performance by the counterparties to these agreements.

The Credit Agreement provides for a security interest in all of our personal property. The Credit Agreement contains several financial covenants including, among other things, maintaining a minimum level of debt service. We are also subject to certain restrictive covenants, including, among other things, covenants governing liens, limitations on indebtedness, limitations on cash dividends, guarantees, sale of assets, sales of receivables, and loans and investments. We were in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2012.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Credit Agreement, we are required to deliver Hedging Agreements (as defined in the Credit Agreement) fixing the interest rate on not less than \$20,000 of the Term Loan. Accordingly, in March 2011, we entered into an interest rate swap for a notional amount of \$20,000, which has been designated as a cash flow hedge. The expiration date of this interest rate swap is December 31, 2015.

Working Capital Outlook

Working capital was \$131,337 at December 31, 2012 versus \$118,328 at June 30, 2012. In March 2010, we purchased a building in Port Washington, New York, which is now the site of our global headquarters. We moved our corporate

offices into this new building in April 2011. On June 30, 2011, we entered into a mortgage payable for \$3,947 on this new corporate headquarters. This mortgage payable is secured by the land and building and is being amortized over a period of 20 years. The mortgage payable bears interest at 5.92% and matures on June 30, 2021.

We continually evaluate possible acquisitions of or investments in businesses that are complementary to our own, and such transactions may require the use of cash. In connection with our agricultural protection business, we plan to continue to acquire product registrations and related data filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency as well as payments to various task force groups, which could approximate \$4,129 over the next twelve months.

In connection with Arsynco, the Company could pay out approximately \$1,900 in fiscal 2013, related to the environmental remediation obligation.

In accordance with the purchase agreement, as amended, related to the Rising acquisition, \$7,970 of deferred consideration was to be paid by Aceto over a four year period with \$1,500 paid in February 2012, \$1,470 paid in December 2012, \$1,500 to be paid not later than fifty-six days following the third anniversary of the closing date of the purchase and \$3,500 to be paid not later than fifty-six days following the fourth anniversary of the closing date of the purchase.

We believe that our cash, other liquid assets, operating cash flows, borrowing capacity and access to the equity capital markets, taken together, provide adequate resources to fund ongoing operating expenditures, the repayment of our bank loans and the anticipated continuation of cash dividends for the next twelve months.

Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the “FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2011-05, “Presentation of Comprehensive Income”, which eliminates the option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in stockholders’ equity and requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. This pronouncement is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12 “Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05”. ASU 2011-12 deferred certain aspects of ASU 2011-05. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted this guidance in this first quarter of fiscal 2013. As this guidance only amends the presentation of the components of comprehensive income, the adoption did not have an impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, “Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment”, to allow entities to use a qualitative approach to test goodwill for impairment. ASU 2011-08 permits an entity to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If it is concluded that this is the case, it is necessary to perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test. Otherwise, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. ASU 2011-08 is effective for the Company in fiscal 2013 and earlier adoption is permitted. The Company adopted ASU 2011-08 at the beginning of fiscal 2013. This adoption did not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, “Balance Sheet (Topic 210), Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities”, which requires companies to disclose information about financial instruments that have been offset and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. Companies will be required to provide both net (offset amounts) and gross information in the notes to the financial statements for relevant assets and liabilities that are offset. This update is effective for the Company in its first quarter of fiscal 2014 and will be applied retrospectively. The Company does not believe

adoption of this new guidance will have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, “Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment (the revised standard)”, which allows companies the option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether further impairment testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets is necessary. Under this guidance, an entity is required to perform a quantitative impairment test if qualitative factors indicate that it is more likely than not that indefinite-lived intangible assets are impaired. The qualitative factors are consistent with the guidance established for goodwill impairment testing and include identifying and assessing events and circumstances that would most significantly impact, individually or in the aggregate, the carrying value of the indefinite-lived intangible assets. The revised standard is effective for the Company in fiscal 2014 and early adoption is permitted. The adoption of ASU 2012-02 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In October 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-04, “Technical Corrections and Improvements.” ASU 2012-04 contains certain technical corrections and conforming fair value amendments to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. The amendments that do not have transition guidance were effective upon issuance. The amendments that are subject to the transition guidance will be effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2012-04 will not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market Risk Sensitive Instruments

The market risk inherent in our market-risk-sensitive instruments and positions is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in investment market prices, foreign currency exchange-rates and interest rates.

Investment Market Price Risk

We had short-term investments of \$1,549 at December 31, 2012. Those short-term investments consisted of time deposits. Time deposits are short-term in nature and are accordingly valued at cost plus accrued interest, which approximates fair value.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

In order to reduce the risk of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, we hedge some of our transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currencies applicable to each of our various entities. The instruments used for hedging are short-term foreign currency contracts (futures). The changes in market value of such contracts have a high correlation to price changes in the currency of the related hedged transactions. At December 31, 2012, we had foreign currency contracts outstanding that had a notional amount of \$70,752. The difference between the fair market value of the foreign currency contracts and the related commitments at inception and the fair market value of the contracts and the related commitments at December 31, 2012, was not material.

We are subject to risk from changes in foreign exchange rates for our subsidiaries that use a foreign currency as their functional currency and are translated into U.S. dollars. These changes result in cumulative translation adjustments, which are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). On December 31, 2012, we had translation exposure to various foreign currencies, with the most significant being the Euro. The potential loss as of December 31, 2012, resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in quoted foreign currency exchange rates amounted to \$6,506. Actual results may differ.

Interest rate risk

Due to our financing, investing and cash-management activities, we are subject to market risk from exposure to changes in interest rates. We utilize a balanced mix of debt maturities along with both fixed-rate and variable-rate debt to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates. Our financial instrument holdings were analyzed to determine their sensitivity to interest rate changes. In this sensitivity analysis, we used the same change in interest rate for all maturities. All other factors were held constant. If there were an adverse change in interest rates of 10%, the expected effect on net income related to our financial instruments would be immaterial. However, there can be no assurances that interest rates will not significantly affect our results of operations.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Credit Agreement, the Company is required to deliver Hedging Agreements (as defined in the Credit Agreement) fixing the interest rate on not less than \$20,000 of the Term Loan. Accordingly, in March 2011, the Company entered into an interest rate swap for a notional amount of \$20,000, which has been designated as a cash flow hedge. The expiration date of this interest rate swap is December 31, 2015. The unrealized loss to date associated with this derivative, which is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012, is \$374. Aceto's interest rate swap is classified within Level 2 as the fair value of this hedge is primarily based on observable interest rates.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, with assistance from other members of our management, have reviewed the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2012 and, based on their evaluation, have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of such date.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that occurred during our fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As previously described in our Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2012, we are subject to various environmental proceedings for which there were no material changes during the three months ended December 31, 2012.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risk factors disclosed under Part I- in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2012 which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows. The risks and uncertainties described in our Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2012 are not the only ones we face. Additionally, risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results or cash flows.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Index to Exhibits

- 10.1 Aceto Corporation Executive Performance Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on October 18, 2012).
- 10.2 Amended and Restated Aceto Corporation 2010 Equity Participation Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on October 18, 2012).
- 10.3* Second Amendment, dated as of December 21, 2012, to Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2010, by and among Aceto Corporation, Rising Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Pearl Ventures Inc., Ronald Gold and David B. Rosen.
- 10.4* Amendment No. 6, dated as of December 31, 2012 to the Credit Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2010, by and among Aceto Corporation, Aceto Agricultural Chemicals Corporation, CDC Products Corporation, Aceto Pharma Corp., ACCI Realty Corp., Arsynco Inc., Aceto Realty LLC, Rising Pharmaceuticals. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent for the Lenders.
- 15.1* Letter re unaudited interim financial information
- 31.1* Certifications of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2* Certifications of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1* Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2* Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS** XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

*Filed herewith

** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ACETO CORPORATION

DATE February 8,
2013

BY /s/ Salvatore Guccione

Salvatore Guccione, President and Chief
Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

DATE February 8, 2013

BY /s/ Douglas Roth
Douglas Roth, Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)