

Spectra Energy Corp.
Form 10-Q
August 07, 2009
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

or

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-33007

SPECTRA ENERGY CORP

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

20-5413139
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

5400 Westheimer Court

Houston, Texas 77056

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

713-627-5400

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Number of shares of Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding as of July 31, 2009: 645,907,507

Table of Contents

SPECTRA ENERGY CORP
FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED

June 30, 2009

INDEX

	Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008</u>	7
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008</u>	8
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	9
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	41
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	54
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	54
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	55
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	55
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	55
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	55
<u>Signatures</u>	57

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This document includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements are based on management's beliefs and assumptions. These forward-looking statements are identified by terms and phrases such as: anticipate, believe, intend, estimate, expect, continue, should, could, may, plan, project, predict, will, potential, forecast, and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to be materially different from the results predicted. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in any forward-looking statement include, but are not limited to:

state, federal and foreign legislative and regulatory initiatives that affect cost and investment recovery, have an effect on rate structure, and affect the speed at and degree to which competition enters the natural gas industries;

outcomes of litigation and regulatory investigations, proceedings or inquiries;

weather and other natural phenomena, including the economic, operational and other effects of hurricanes and storms;

the timing and extent of changes in commodity prices, interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates;

general economic conditions, which can affect the long-term demand for natural gas and related services;

potential effects arising from terrorist attacks and any consequential or other hostilities;

changes in environmental, safety and other laws and regulations;

results of financing efforts, including the ability to obtain financing on favorable terms, which can be affected by various factors, including credit ratings and general market and economic conditions;

increases in the cost of goods and services required to complete capital projects;

declines in the market prices of equity and debt securities and resulting funding requirements for defined benefit pension plans;

growth in opportunities, including the timing and success of efforts to develop U.S. and Canadian pipeline, storage, gathering, processing and other infrastructure projects and the effects of competition;

the performance of natural gas transmission and storage, distribution, and gathering and processing facilities;

the extent of success in connecting natural gas supplies to gathering, processing and transmission systems and in connecting to expanding gas markets;

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

the effects of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by accounting standard-setting bodies;

conditions of the capital markets during the periods covered by the forward-looking statements; and

the ability to successfully complete merger, acquisition or divestiture plans; regulatory or other limitations imposed as a result of a merger, acquisition or divestiture; and the success of the business following a merger, acquisition or divestiture.

In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the events described in the forward-looking statements might not occur or might occur to a different extent or at a different time than Spectra Energy Corp has described. Spectra Energy Corp undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements.****SPECTRA ENERGY CORP****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(Unaudited)****(In millions, except per-share amounts)**

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Operating Revenues				
Transportation, storage and processing of natural gas	\$ 622	\$ 588	\$ 1,230	\$ 1,178
Distribution of natural gas	215	296	850	1,026
Sales of natural gas liquids	64	185	173	404
Other	36	64	68	125
Total operating revenues	937	1,133	2,321	2,733
Operating Expenses				
Natural gas and petroleum products purchased	153	275	658	896
Operating, maintenance and other	256	335	520	615
Depreciation and amortization	144	148	280	293
Property and other taxes	67	64	131	125
Total operating expenses	620	822	1,589	1,929
Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net		32	10	32
Operating Income	317	343	742	836
Other Income and Expenses				
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	40	243	207	452
Other income and expenses, net	14	10	23	21
Total other income and expenses	54	253	230	473
Interest Expense	146	149	296	307
Earnings From Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes	225	447	676	1,002
Income Tax Expense From Continuing Operations	67	136	206	308
Income From Continuing Operations	158	311	470	694
Income (Loss) From Discontinued Operations, net of tax	(1)	(2)	2	1
Net Income	157	309	472	695
Net Income Noncontrolling Interests	17	14	34	33

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

Net Income	Controlling Interests	\$ 140	\$ 295	\$ 438	\$ 662
-------------------	------------------------------	--------	--------	--------	--------

Common Stock Data

Weighted-average shares outstanding				
Basic	645	630	637	631
Diluted	646	633	638	634
Earnings per share from continuing operations				
Basic	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.69	\$ 1.05
Diluted	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.69	\$ 1.04
Earnings per share				
Basic	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.69	\$ 1.05
Diluted	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.69	\$ 1.04
Dividends per share	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.46

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SPECTRA ENERGY CORP
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

(In millions)

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 301	\$ 214
Receivables, net	565	795
Inventory	229	279
Other	136	162
Total current assets	1,231	1,450
Investments and Other Assets		
Investments in and loans to unconsolidated affiliates	2,214	2,152
Goodwill	3,654	3,381
Other	350	417
Total investments and other assets	6,218	5,950
Property, Plant and Equipment		
Cost	18,512	17,569
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	4,227	3,930
Net property, plant and equipment	14,285	13,639
Regulatory Assets and Deferred Debits	924	885
Total Assets	\$ 22,658	\$ 21,924

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SPECTRA ENERGY CORP
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

(In millions, except per-share amounts)

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 260	\$ 285
Short-term borrowings and commercial paper		936
Taxes accrued	145	105
Interest accrued	170	158
Current maturities of long-term debt	979	821
Other	771	739
Total current liabilities	2,325	3,044
Long-term Debt	8,605	8,290
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities		
Deferred income taxes	2,952	2,789
Regulatory and other	1,560	1,566
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	4,512	4,355
Commitments and Contingencies		
Preferred Stock of Subsidiaries	225	225
Stockholders Equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par, 22 million shares authorized, no shares outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.001 par, 1 billion shares authorized, 646 million and 611 million shares outstanding at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively	1	1
Additional paid-in capital	4,664	4,104
Retained earnings	1,012	899
Accumulated other comprehensive income	770	536
Total controlling interests	6,447	5,540
Noncontrolling interests	544	470
Total stockholders equity	6,991	6,010
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 22,658	\$ 21,924

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**SPECTRA ENERGY CORP****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(Unaudited)****(In millions)**

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 472	\$ 695
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	286	300
Deferred income tax expense	124	35
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(207)	(452)
Distributions received from unconsolidated affiliates	39	439
Other	305	124
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,019	1,141
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Capital expenditures	(375)	(608)
Investments in and loans to unconsolidated affiliates	(51)	(322)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(295)	(274)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities		(880)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities	32	910
Distributions received from unconsolidated affiliates	148	149
Other	(3)	1
Net cash used in investing activities	(544)	(1,024)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt	2,219	1,400
Payments for the redemption of long-term debt	(1,902)	(903)
Net decrease in short-term borrowings and commercial paper	(936)	(48)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(136)	(25)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	2	16
Proceeds from the issuance of Spectra Energy common stock	448	
Proceeds from the issuance of Spectra Energy Partners, LP common units	208	
Repurchases of Spectra Energy common stock		(284)
Dividends paid on common stock	(314)	(292)
Other	9	13
Net cash used in financing activities	(402)	(123)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	14	1
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	87	(5)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	214	94

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 301	\$ 89
--	--------	-------

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**SPECTRA ENERGY CORP****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY****(Unaudited)****(In millions)**

	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income								
	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Net Gains (Losses) on Cash Flow Hedges	Other	Noncontrolling Interests	Total	
December 31, 2008	\$ 1	\$ 4,104	\$ 899	\$ 881	\$ (17)	\$ (328)	\$ 470	\$ 6,010	
Net income			438				34	472	
Foreign currency translation adjustments				211			4	215	
Reclassification of cash flow hedges into earnings					(4)			(4)	
Unrealized mark-to-market net gain on hedges					5			5	
Spectra Energy common stock issuance		448						448	
Spectra Energy Partners, LP common unit issuance		25					168	193	
Pension and benefits impact of SFAS No. 158						22		22	
Reclassification of deferred gain on sale of units of Spectra Energy Partners, LP		59						59	
Dividends on common stock			(325)					(325)	
Stock-based compensation		3						3	
Distributions to noncontrolling interests							(140)	(140)	
Contributions from noncontrolling interests							2	2	
Other, net		25					6	31	
June 30, 2009	\$ 1	\$ 4,664	\$ 1,012	\$ 1,092	\$ (16)	\$ (306)	\$ 544	\$ 6,991	
December 31, 2007	\$ 1	\$ 4,658	\$ 368	\$ 2,033	\$ (8)	\$ (195)	\$ 581	\$ 7,438	
Net income			662				33	695	
Foreign currency translation adjustments				(145)			(3)	(148)	
Unrealized mark-to-market net gain on hedges					14			14	
Pension and benefits impact of SFAS No. 158						26		26	
Common stock repurchases		(284)						(284)	
Dividends on common stock			(292)					(292)	
Stock-based compensation		20						20	
Acquisition of Spectra Energy Income Fund							(206)	(206)	
Distributions to noncontrolling interests							(25)	(25)	
Contributions from noncontrolling interests							16	16	

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

Other, net	11				(1)				10							
June 30, 2008	\$	1	\$	4,405	\$	738	\$	1,888	\$	6	\$	(169)	\$	395	\$	7,264

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SPECTRA ENERGY CORP

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. General

The terms we, our, us and Spectra Energy as used in this report refer collectively to Spectra Energy Corp and its subsidiaries unless the context suggests otherwise. These terms are used for convenience only and are not intended as a precise description of any separate legal entity within Spectra Energy.

Nature of Operations. Spectra Energy Corp, through its subsidiaries and equity affiliates, owns and operates a large and diversified portfolio of complementary natural gas-related energy assets, operating in three key areas of the natural gas industry: gathering and processing, transmission and storage, and distribution. We provide transportation and storage of natural gas to customers in various regions of the northeastern and southeastern United States, the Maritime Provinces in Canada and the Pacific Northwest in the United States and Canada, and in the province of Ontario, Canada. We also provide natural gas sales and distribution services to retail customers in Ontario, and natural gas gathering and processing services to customers in Western Canada. In addition, we own a 50% interest in DCP Midstream, LLC (DCP Midstream), one of the largest natural gas gatherers and processors in the United States.

Basis of Presentation. The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include our accounts, our majority-owned subsidiaries where we have control and those variable interest entities, if any, where we are the primary beneficiary. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and reflect all normal recurring adjustments that are, in our opinion, necessary to fairly present our results of operations and financial position. Amounts reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations are not necessarily indicative of amounts expected for the respective annual periods due to the effects of seasonal temperature variations on energy consumption, primarily in our gas distribution operations, as well as changing commodity prices on certain of our processing operations and other factors.

Use of Estimates. To conform with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States, we make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Although these estimates are based on our best available knowledge at the time, actual results could differ.

Change in Accounting Policy. We perform our goodwill impairment test annually and evaluate goodwill when events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying value may not be recoverable. Since the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, we have performed the annual impairment testing of goodwill using August 31 as the measurement date. Our financial and strategic planning process, including the preparation of long-term cash flow projections, commences in October and typically concludes in January of the following year. These long-term cash flow projections are a key component in performing our annual impairment test of goodwill. This planning cycle has created significant constraints in the availability of both information and human resources needed to provide the appropriate projections to be used in the goodwill impairment test using the August 31 test date. Accordingly, effective with our 2009 annual impairment test, we have changed our goodwill impairment test date from August 31 to April 1. We believe that using the April 1 date will alleviate the information and resource constraints that historically existed during the third quarter and will better coincide with the completion of our long-term financial projections. We believe that this accounting change is to an alternative accounting principle that is preferable under the circumstances and does not result in the delay, acceleration or avoidance of an impairment charge. We have determined that this change in accounting principle does not result in adjustments to our financial statements when applied retrospectively under the requirements of SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3, as we did not record any goodwill impairment charges in any of the prior periods presented.

Table of Contents

We completed our goodwill impairment test as of April 1, 2009 and no impairments were identified. See Note 11 for further discussion.

Recasts and Reclassifications. We adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, effective January 1, 2009. When adopting the presentation and disclosure items, retrospective application to conform previously reported financial statements to the new presentation requirements is required. Accordingly, the 2008 data contained in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the related information contained in this report have been recast to reflect the reporting requirements of SFAS No. 160. See Note 21 for further discussion of SFAS No. 160.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 160, we accounted for sales of stock by a subsidiary under Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 51, Accounting for Sales of Stock of a Subsidiary. Under SAB No. 51, companies could elect, via an accounting policy decision, to record a gain on the sale of stock of a subsidiary equal to the amount of proceeds received in excess of the carrying value of the shares. We had elected to treat such excesses as gains in earnings. Effective upon the adoption of SFAS No. 160, sales of stock by a subsidiary are required to be accounted for as equity transactions in those instances where a change in control does not take place, which effectively nullified the SAB No. 51 gain alternative. As a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 160, a \$59 million deferred gain associated with the formation of Spectra Energy Partners, LP (Spectra Energy Partners), a majority-owned subsidiary, was reclassified from Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities Regulatory and Other to Additional Paid-in Capital on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of January 1, 2009.

The Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and all related information contained in this report have been recast to reflect the operating results of certain natural gas gathering and processing facilities within the Western Canada Transmission & Processing segment as discontinued operations. See Note 6 for further discussion.

2. Acquisitions

Ozark Gas Transmission and Ozark Gas Gathering Systems. On May 4, 2009, Spectra Energy Partners acquired all of the ownership interests of NOARK Pipeline System, Limited Partnership (NOARK) from Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P. (Atlas) for approximately \$295 million in cash. NOARK's assets consist of 100% ownership interests in Ozark Gas Transmission, L.L.C., a 565-mile Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) regulated interstate natural gas transmission system, and Ozark Gas Gathering, L.L.C., a 365-mile, fee-based, state-regulated natural gas gathering system. The transaction was initially funded by Spectra Energy Partners with \$218 million drawn on its bank credit facility, \$70 million borrowed under a credit facility with Spectra Energy and \$7 million of cash on hand. This transaction was partially refinanced by Spectra Energy Partners in the second quarter of 2009 through the issuance of 9.8 million limited partner units to the public and 0.2 million general partner units, resulting in net proceeds of \$212 million and a reduction of our ownership interest in Spectra Energy Partners from 84% to 74%. Funds from the sale of the partner units were used by Spectra Energy Partners to repay the \$70 million owed to Spectra Energy and \$142 million of the amount initially drawn on the Spectra Energy Partners bank credit facility.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the preliminary fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of May 4, 2009. Subsequent adjustments may be recorded upon the completion of the valuation and the final determination of the purchase price allocation.

	Purchase Price Allocation (in millions)
Purchase price	\$ 295
Current assets	5
Property, plant and equipment, net	145
Regulatory assets and deferred debits	5
Current liabilities	(3)
Deferred credits and other liabilities	(1)
Total assets acquired/liabilities assumed	151
Goodwill	\$ 144

Pro forma results of operations reflecting the acquisition of NOARK, part of the U.S. Transmission segment, as if it had occurred as of the beginning of the periods presented in this report do not materially differ from actual reported results.

Spectra Energy Income Fund. In May 2008, we acquired the 24.4 million units of the Spectra Energy Income Fund that were held by non-affiliated holders at a purchase price of 11.25 Canadian dollars per unit, for a total purchase price of 279 million Canadian dollars (approximately \$274 million).

3. Business Segments

We manage our business in four reportable segments: U.S. Transmission, Distribution, Western Canada Transmission & Processing and Field Services. The remainder of our business operations is presented as Other, and consists of unallocated corporate costs, wholly owned captive insurance subsidiaries, employee benefit plan assets and liabilities, and other miscellaneous activities.

Our chief operating decision maker regularly reviews financial information about each of these business units in deciding how to allocate resources and evaluate performance. All of the business units are considered reportable segments under SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information. There is no aggregation within our defined business segments.

U.S. Transmission provides transportation and storage of natural gas for customers in various regions of the northeastern and southeastern United States and the Maritime Provinces in Canada. The natural gas transmission and storage operations in the U.S. are primarily subject to the rules and regulations of the FERC.

Distribution provides retail natural gas distribution service in Ontario, Canada, as well as natural gas transportation and storage services to other utilities and energy market participants. These services are provided by Union Gas Limited (Union Gas), and are primarily subject to the rules and regulations of the Ontario Energy Board (OEB).

Western Canada Transmission & Processing provides transportation of natural gas, natural gas gathering and processing services, and natural gas liquids (NGLs) extraction, fractionation, transportation, storage and marketing to customers in western Canada and the northern tier of the United States. This segment conducts business primarily through BC Pipeline, BC Field Services, and the NGL marketing and Midstream businesses. BC Pipeline's and BC Field Services' operations are primarily subject to the rules and regulations of Canada's National Energy Board (NEB).

Table of Contents

Field Services gathers and processes natural gas and fractionates, markets and trades NGLs. It conducts operations through DCP Midstream, which is owned 50% by us and 50% by ConocoPhillips. Field Services gathers raw natural gas through gathering systems located in nine major natural gas producing regions: Mid-Continent, Rocky Mountain, East Texas-North Louisiana, Barnett Shale, Gulf Coast, South Texas, Central Texas, Antrim Shale and Permian Basin.

Our reportable segments offer different products and services and are managed separately as business units. Management evaluates segment performance based on earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) from continuing operations, after deducting noncontrolling interests related to those profits.

On a segment basis, EBIT excludes discontinued operations, represents all profits from continuing operations (both operating and non-operating) before deducting interest and taxes, and is net of noncontrolling interests related to those profits. Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments are managed centrally, so the associated realized and unrealized gains and losses from foreign currency transactions and interest and dividend income on those balances are excluded from the segments' EBIT.

Transactions between reportable segments are accounted for on the same basis as transactions with unaffiliated third parties.

Table of Contents

Business Segment Data

	Unaffiliated Revenues	Intersegment Revenues	Total Revenues (a) (in millions)	Segment EBIT / Consolidated Earnings from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes (a)
Three Months Ended June 30, 2009				
U.S. Transmission	\$ 413	\$ 1	\$ 414	\$ 234
Distribution	284		284	40
Western Canada Transmission & Processing	239		239	58
Field Services				24
Total reportable segments	936	1	937	356
Other	1	11	12	(12)
Eliminations		(12)	(12)	
Interest expense				(146)
Interest income and other (b)				27
Total consolidated	\$ 937	\$	\$ 937	\$ 225
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008				
U.S. Transmission	\$ 399	\$ 1	\$ 400	\$ 244
Distribution	353		353	54
Western Canada Transmission & Processing	380		380	91
Field Services				216
Total reportable segments	1,132	1	1,133	605
Other	1	11	12	(28)
Eliminations		(12)	(12)	
Interest expense				(149)
Interest income and other (b)				19
Total consolidated	\$ 1,133	\$	\$ 1,133	\$ 447
Six Months Ended June 30, 2009				
U.S. Transmission	\$ 816	\$ 3	\$ 819	\$ 451
Distribution	992		992	192
Western Canada Transmission & Processing	510		510	139
Field Services				174
Total reportable segments	2,318	3	2,321	956
Other	3	21	24	(36)
Eliminations		(24)	(24)	
Interest expense				(296)
Interest income and other (b)				52
Total consolidated	\$ 2,321	\$	\$ 2,321	\$ 676

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008

U.S. Transmission	\$	801	\$	2	\$	803	\$	470
Distribution		1,153				1,153		219
Western Canada Transmission & Processing		777				777		220
Field Services								408
Total reportable segments		2,731		2		2,733		1,317
Other		2		19		21		(48)
Eliminations				(21)		(21)		
Interest expense								(307)
Interest income and other (b)								40
Total consolidated	\$	2,733	\$		\$	2,733	\$	1,002

(a) Excludes amounts associated with entities included in discontinued operations.

(b) Includes foreign currency transaction gains and losses and the elimination of noncontrolling interests related to EBIT.

Table of Contents

4. Regulatory Matters

Union Gas. The OEB issued a decision under the incentive regulation framework in January 2009 providing for slight increases in rates for Union Gas small-volume customers and slight decreases for large-volume customers. Beginning April 1, 2009, the new rates were retroactively applied to January 1, 2009.

In the second quarter of 2009, we recorded an \$11 million charge to Operating Revenues Distribution of Natural Gas as a result of a settlement with Union Gas stakeholders in June 2009 that was subsequently approved by the OEB. The settlement preserves the incentive regulation framework and replaces the provision for a review of the framework with a 90/10 sharing mechanism, in favor of customers, for any utility earnings of 300 basis points or more above the benchmark utility return on equity (ROE) for the year and is retroactive to 2008. The \$11 million charge represents the adjustment to credit customers with 90% of Union Gas 2008 utility earnings that exceeded the 2008 benchmark utility ROE by 300 basis points.

Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline Limited Partnership (M&N LP). During 2008, M&N LP operated under an NEB-approved toll settlement that expired December 31, 2008. M&N LP obtained approval to operate under interim rates, effective January 1, 2009, that were set to equal the 2008 rates. The final 2009 toll settlement rates were approved by the NEB in April 2009. M&N LP implemented the new rates on a prospective basis effective May 1, 2009 such that the total tolls charged during 2009 will result in revenues equal to those had the new 2009 rates been in effect for the entire year.

Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, L.L.C. (M&N LLC). On July 1, 2009, M&N LLC filed a rate case with the FERC. The rate case includes the impact of the Phase IV expansion facilities that went into service January 15, 2009 and results in lower recourse rates. The lower recourse rates did not impact the rates negotiated with customers for service, which are charged to customers for over 90% of M&N LLC's capacity, including the Phase IV expansion facilities.

5. Income Taxes

Income tax expense from continuing operations for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 was \$67 million and \$206 million, respectively, compared to \$136 million and \$308 million in the same periods in 2008, decreasing primarily as a result of lower earnings in 2009.

The effective tax rate for income from continuing operations for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was 29.8% as compared to 30.4% for the same period in 2008. The effective tax rate for income from continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was 30.5% as compared to 30.7% for the same period in 2008.

We recognized no material changes in unrecognized tax benefits during the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009. Although uncertain, we believe it is reasonably possible that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits could decrease by approximately \$28 million prior to June 30, 2010. The anticipated changes in unrecognized tax benefits relate to expiration of statutes of limitations and expected audit settlements focused primarily on classification of certain tax attributes, transfer pricing and income allocation.

6. Discontinued Operations

In December 2008, we closed on the sale of our interests in the Nevis and Brazeau River natural gas gathering and processing facilities, which were part of the Western Canada Transmission & Processing segment. Results of operations of these assets are reflected as discontinued operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the 2008 periods presented.

In June 2008, we entered into a settlement agreement related to certain liquefied natural gas transportation contracts under which our Spectra Energy LNG Sales Inc. subsidiary's claims were satisfied pursuant to commercial transactions involving the purchase of propane from certain parties. We subsequently entered into associated agreements with an affiliate of DCP Midstream and another party for the sale of these propane volumes. Net purchases and sales of propane under these arrangements are reflected as Other discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the results classified as Income (Loss) From Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax, in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

	Operating Revenues	Pre-tax Earnings (Loss)	Income Tax Expense (Benefit) (in millions)	Income (Loss) From Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax
Three Months Ended June 30, 2009				
Other	\$ 23	\$ (1)	\$	\$ (1)
Total consolidated	\$ 23	\$ (1)	\$	\$ (1)
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008				
Western Canada Transmission & Processing	\$ 8	\$ (3)	\$ (1)	\$ (2)
Other	30	1	1	
Total consolidated	\$ 38	\$ (2)	\$	\$ (2)
Six Months Ended June 30, 2009				
Other	\$ 66	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$ 2
Total consolidated	\$ 66	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$ 2
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008				
Western Canada Transmission & Processing	\$ 16	\$ 1	\$	\$ 1
Other	30	1	1	
Total consolidated	\$ 46	\$ 2	\$ 1	\$ 1

7. Comprehensive Income

Components of comprehensive income are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 2008 (in millions)		Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 2008 (in millions)	
Net income	\$ 157	\$ 309	\$ 472	\$ 695
Other comprehensive income (loss)				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	420	25	215	(148)
Unrealized mark-to-market net gain on hedges (a)	11	17	5	14
Reclassification of cash flow hedges into earnings (b)	(4)		(4)	
Pension and benefits impact of SFAS No. 158 (c)	18	6	22	26
Total comprehensive income, net of tax	602	357	710	587
Less: comprehensive income noncontrolling interests	23	18	38	30
Comprehensive income controlling interests	\$ 579	\$ 339	\$ 672	\$ 557

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

- (a) Net of \$6 million and \$4 million of tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$3 million of tax expense for both the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. See Note 16 for further details of these amounts.
- (b) Net of \$4 million tax benefit for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. See Note 16 for further details of these amounts.
- (c) Net of \$7 million and \$1 million of tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$9 million of tax expense and \$15 million of tax benefits for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents**8. Earnings per Common Share**

Basic earnings per common share (EPS) is computed by dividing net income from controlling interests by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income from controlling interests by the diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other agreements to issue common stock, such as stock options, stock-based performance unit awards and phantom stock awards, were exercised, settled or converted into common stock.

The following table presents our basic and diluted EPS calculations:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 20092008		Six Months Ended June 30, 20092008	
	(in millions, except per-share amounts)			
Income from continuing operations, net of tax controlling interests	\$ 141	\$ 297	\$ 436	\$ 663
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax controlling interests	(1)	(2)	2	(1)
Net income controlling interests	\$ 140	\$ 295	\$ 438	\$ 662
Weighted-average common shares, outstanding				
Basic	645	630	637	631
Diluted	646	633	638	634
Basic earnings per common share				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.69	\$ 1.05
Discontinued operations, net of tax				
Total basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.69	\$ 1.05
Diluted earnings per common share				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.69	\$ 1.04
Discontinued operations, net of tax				
Total diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.69	\$ 1.04

Weighted-average shares used to calculate diluted EPS includes the effect of certain options and restricted stock awards. Certain other options and stock awards related to approximately 11 million and six million shares for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and 12 million and six million shares for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, were not included in the calculation of diluted EPS because either the option exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares during these periods or performance measures related to the awards had not yet been met.

Table of Contents

9. Inventory

Inventory consists primarily of natural gas and NGLs held in storage for transmission and processing, and also includes materials and supplies. Natural gas inventories primarily relate to the Distribution segment in Canada and are valued at costs approved by the OEB. The difference between the approved price and the actual cost of gas purchased is recorded in either accounts receivable or other current liabilities, as appropriate, for future disposition with customers, subject to approval by the OEB. The remaining inventory is recorded at cost, primarily using average cost. The components of inventory are as follows:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
	(in millions)	
Natural gas	\$ 114	\$ 180
NGLs	29	16
Materials and supplies	86	83
Total inventory	\$ 229	\$ 279

10. Investments in and Loans to Unconsolidated Affiliates

Our most significant investment in unconsolidated affiliates is our 50% interest in DCP Midstream, which is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The following represents summary financial information for DCP Midstream, presented at 100%.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(in millions)			
Operating revenues	\$ 1,806	\$ 4,831	\$ 3,733	\$ 8,877
Operating expenses	1,718	4,475	3,541	8,109
Operating income	88	356	192	768
Net income	22	321	65	698
Net income attributable to members' interests	50	433	80	816

As a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 160 on January 1, 2009, DCP Midstream reclassified to equity certain deferred gains on sales of common units in its master limited partnership, DCP Midstream Partners, LP (DCP Partners). In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 08-06, Equity Method Investment Accounting Considerations, our proportionate 50% share, totaling \$135 million, was recorded in Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Affiliates in the first quarter of 2009.

As further discussed in Note 6, we entered into a propane sales agreement with an affiliate of DCP Midstream in the second quarter of 2008. We recorded revenues of \$10 million and \$14 million in the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$44 million and \$14 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, associated with this agreement, classified within Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax.

We have made loans to Steckman Ridge, LP, an equity affiliate, in connection with the construction of its storage facilities. The loan receivable from Steckman Ridge, LP, including accrued interest, totaled \$65 million at June 30, 2009 and \$45 million at December 31, 2008.

On May 27, 2009, we received a \$148 million special distribution from Gulfstream Natural Gas System, L.L.C. (Gulfstream), a 50% owned equity affiliate, from the proceeds of a debt issuance by Gulfstream, of which \$144 million was classified as Cash Flows from Investing Activities—Distributions Received From Unconsolidated Affiliates on the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Table of Contents**11. Goodwill**

We completed our annual goodwill impairment test as of April 1, 2009 and no impairments were identified. We primarily use a discounted cash flow analysis to determine fair value for each reporting unit. Key assumptions in the determination of fair value include the use of an appropriate discount rate and estimated future cash flows. In estimating cash flows, we incorporate expected long-term growth rates in key markets served by our operations, regulatory stability, the ability to renew contracts, commodity prices (where appropriate), and foreign currency exchange rates, as well as other factors that affect our revenue, expense and capital expenditure projections.

The long-term growth rates used for our reporting units reflect continued expansion of our assets, driven by new natural gas supplies such as shale gas in North America and, notwithstanding the current economic downturn, increasing demand for capacity on our pipeline systems. However, even if we assumed a zero growth rate for any reporting unit, there would be no impairment of goodwill.

We continue to monitor the effects of the economic downturn that global economies are currently facing on the long-term cost of capital utilized to calculate our reporting unit fair values. However, a 1% increase in the weighted-average cost of capital assumption for any of our reporting units would not result in an impairment of goodwill. Additionally, for our regulated businesses in Canada, if an increase in the cost of capital occurred, the effect on the corresponding reporting unit's fair value would be ultimately offset by a similar increase in the reporting unit's regulated revenues since those rates include a component that is based on the reporting unit's cost of capital.

The following table presents activity within goodwill based on the reporting unit determination.

	December 31, 2008	Increases (a) (in millions)	June 30, 2009
U.S. Transmission	\$ 2,019	\$ 213	\$ 2,232
Distribution	727	32	759
Western Canada Transmission & Processing	635	28	663
Total consolidated	\$ 3,381	\$ 273	\$ 3,654

- (a) Increases consist of \$144 million of goodwill at U.S. Transmission associated with the May 2009 acquisition of NOARK (See Note 2 for further discussion) and foreign currency translation.

Table of Contents**12. Debt and Credit Facilities****Available Credit Facilities and Restrictive Debt Covenants**

	Expiration Date	Credit Facilities Capacity	Outstanding at June 30, 2009				Total
			Commercial Paper	Revolving Loan (in millions)	Letters of Credit		
Spectra Energy Capital, LLC	2012	\$ 1,500(a)	\$	\$	\$ 11		\$ 11
Westcoast Energy, Inc.	2011	172(b)					
Union Gas	2012	430(c)					
Spectra Energy Partners	2012	500		240			240
Total		\$ 2,602	\$	\$ 240	\$ 11		\$ 251

- (a) Credit facility contains a covenant requiring the debt-to-total capitalization ratio to not exceed 65%. Amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility are classified within Short-Term Borrowings and Commercial Paper on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.
- (b) U.S. dollar equivalent at June 30, 2009. Credit facility is denominated in Canadian dollars totaling 200 million Canadian dollars and contains a covenant that requires the debt-to-total capitalization ratio to not exceed 75%.
- (c) U.S. dollar equivalent at June 30, 2009. Credit facility is denominated in Canadian dollars totaling 500 million Canadian dollars and contains a covenant that requires the debt-to-total capitalization ratio to not exceed 75% and a provision which requires Union Gas to repay all borrowings under the facility for a period of two days during the second quarter of each year.

The issuance of commercial paper, letters of credit and other borrowings reduces the amount available under the credit facilities.

Our credit agreements contain various financial and other covenants, including the maintenance of certain financial ratios. Failure to meet those covenants beyond applicable grace periods could result in accelerated due dates and/or termination of the agreements. As of June 30, 2009, we were in compliance with those covenants. In addition, our credit agreements allow for acceleration of payments or termination of the agreements due to nonpayment, or in some cases, due to the acceleration of other significant indebtedness of the borrower or some of its subsidiaries. None of the debt or credit agreements contain material adverse change clauses.

Debt Issuance

On May 14, 2009, M&N LLC, a 78% owned subsidiary, issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of its 7.5% Senior Notes due 2014. Net proceeds from the offering were used to fund cash distributions to its members. Spectra Energy's share of those cash distributions were used for general corporate purposes.

Table of Contents**13. Fair Value Measurements**

The following table presents, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels, assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

Description	Balance Sheet Caption	Total	June 30, 2009 (in millions)		
			Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Money market instruments	Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 113	\$	\$ 113	\$
Corporate debt securities	Cash and cash equivalents	132		132	
Long-term derivative assets	Investments and other assets-other	33		9	24
Money market funds	Investments and other assets-other	30	30		
Total Assets		\$ 308	\$ 30	\$ 254	\$ 24
Long-term derivative liabilities	Deferred credits and other liabilities-regulatory and other	\$ 18	\$	\$ 18	\$
Total Liabilities		\$ 18	\$	\$ 18	\$

Description	Balance Sheet Caption	Total	December 31, 2008 (in millions)		
			Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Money market funds	Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 60	\$ 60	\$	\$
Debt securities issued by foreign governments	Cash and cash equivalents	6	6		
Corporate debt securities	Cash and cash equivalents	105		105	
Money market funds	Current assets-other	13	13		
Short-term derivative assets	Current assets-other	13		13	
Money market funds	Investments and other assets-other	51	51		
Corporate debt securities	Investments and other assets-other	25		25	
Long-term derivative assets	Investments and other assets-other	89		53	36
Total Assets		\$ 362	\$ 130	\$ 196	\$ 36
Long-term derivative liabilities	Deferred credits and other liabilities-regulatory and other	\$ 23	\$	\$ 23	\$
Total Liabilities		\$ 23	\$	\$ 23	\$

The following table reconciles assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

	Short-Term Derivative Assets	Short-Term Derivative Liabilities	Long-Term Derivative Assets (in millions)	Long-Term Derivative Liabilities
Three Months Ended June 30, 2009				
Fair value at March 31, 2009	\$	\$	\$ 26	\$
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):				
Included in earnings			(3)	
Included in Investments and Other Assets Other			3	
Included in other comprehensive income			(2)	

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

Fair value at June 30, 2009	\$	\$	\$	24	\$
-----------------------------	----	----	----	----	----

Total gains (losses) for the period included in earnings (or changes in net assets) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets held at June 30, 2009

	\$	\$	\$	(3)	\$
--	----	----	----	-----	----

Table of Contents

	Short-Term Derivative Assets	Short-Term Derivative Liabilities	Long-Term Derivative Assets	Long-Term Derivative Liabilities
(in millions)				
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008				
Fair value at March 31, 2008	\$ 51	\$ (7)	\$ 62	\$
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):				
Included in earnings				
Included in regulatory assets	55			
Included in other comprehensive income		2	17	
Normal purchases and sales election under SFAS No. 133				
Purchases, issuances and settlements	2	5		
Fair value at June 30, 2008	\$ 108	\$	\$ 79	\$
Total gains (losses) for the period included in earnings (or changes in net assets) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets held at June 30, 2008	\$	\$	\$	\$
Six Months Ended June 30, 2009				
Fair value at December 31, 2008	\$	\$	\$ 36	\$
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):				
Included in earnings			(4)	
Included in Investments and Other Assets Other			1	
Included in other comprehensive income			(9)	
Fair value at June 30, 2009	\$	\$	\$ 24	\$
Total gains (losses) for the period included in earnings (or changes in net assets) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets held at June 30, 2009	\$	\$	\$ (4)	\$
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008				
Fair value at December 31, 2007	\$	\$	\$ 47	\$ (21)
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):				
Included in earnings			11	(11)
Included in regulatory assets	105			
Included in other comprehensive income		(5)	21	
Normal purchases and sales election under SFAS No. 133				32
Purchases, issuances and settlements	3	5		
Fair value at June 30, 2008	\$ 108	\$	\$ 79	\$
Total gains (losses) for the period included in earnings (or changes in net assets) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets held at June 30, 2008	\$	\$	\$ 11	\$ (11)

Table of Contents

Level 2 Valuation Techniques

Fair values of our financial instruments, primarily money market instruments and corporate debt securities that are actively traded in the secondary market, are determined based on market-based prices. These valuations may include inputs such as quoted market prices of the exact or similar instruments, broker or dealer quotations, or alternative pricing sources that may include models or matrix pricing tools, with reasonable levels of price transparency.

Level 3 Valuation Techniques

Financial instruments are considered Level 3 when their values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques where at least one significant model assumption or input is unobservable. Level 3 financial instruments also include those for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

The fair values of Level 3 derivative instruments are estimated using proprietary valuation models that utilize both market observable and unobservable parameters. The long-term derivative asset and liability is valued using internal valuation models and techniques that include such inputs as forward natural gas and power prices, forward interest rates and foreign currency assumptions. The short-term derivative asset is valued based upon interest rates, natural gas options pricing for current and future months including volatility, foreign exchange fluctuations and swap values.

Financial Instruments. The fair value of financial instruments, excluding derivatives included elsewhere in this Note and in Note 16, is summarized in the following table. Judgment is required in interpreting market data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates determined as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, are not necessarily indicative of the amounts we could have realized in current markets.

	June 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Book Value	Approximate Fair Value	Book Value	Approximate Fair Value
	(in millions)			
Long-term debt (a)	\$ 9,584	\$ 10,022	\$ 9,111	\$ 8,996
Long-term SFAS No. 115 securities	10	10	46	46
Other long-term assets	444	438	430	427

(a) Includes current maturities.

The fair value of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, short-term investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable, short-term borrowings and commercial paper are not materially different from their carrying amounts because of the short-term nature of these instruments or because the stated rates approximate market rates.

During 2009, there were no adjustments to assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

14. Commitments and Contingencies

Environmental

We are subject to various international, federal, state and local regulations regarding air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste disposal and other environmental matters. These regulations can change from time to time, imposing new obligations on us.

Table of Contents

Like others in the energy industry, we and our affiliates are responsible for environmental remediation at various contaminated sites. These include some properties that are part of our ongoing operations, sites formerly owned or used by us, and sites owned by third parties. Remediation typically involves management of contaminated soils and may involve groundwater remediation. Managed in conjunction with relevant international, federal, state/provincial and local agencies, activities vary with site conditions and locations, remedial requirements, complexity and sharing of responsibility. If remediation activities involve statutory joint and several liability provisions, strict liability, or cost recovery or contribution actions, we or our affiliates could potentially be held responsible for contamination caused by other parties. In some instances, we may share liability associated with contamination with other potentially responsible parties, and may also benefit from insurance policies or contractual indemnities that cover some or all cleanup costs. All of these sites generally are managed in the normal course of business or affiliated operations.

Included in Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities Regulatory and Other on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are accruals related to extended environmental-related activities totaling \$17 million at both June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. These accruals represent provisions for costs associated with remediation activities at some of our current and former sites, as well as other environmental contingent liabilities.

Litigation

Duke Energy Retirement Cash Balance Plan. A class action lawsuit was filed in federal court in South Carolina in 2006 against Duke Energy Corporation (Duke Energy) and the Duke Energy Retirement Cash Balance Plan. A second similar class action was also filed in 2006 alleging similar claims and seeking to represent the same class of plaintiffs, but this second case was dismissed without prejudice, and only the first case has moved forward. Various causes of action were alleged in the class action lawsuit, including violations of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act. These allegations arise out of the conversion of the Duke Power Company Employees Retirement Plan into the Duke Power Company Retirement Cash Balance Plan. The plaintiffs seek to represent present and former participants in the Duke Energy Retirement Cash Balance Plan. This group is estimated to include approximately 36,000 persons. Duke Energy filed its answer in March 2006, and various motions were thereafter filed by the parties, including plaintiffs motion to certify a class, Duke Energy s motion to dismiss, and cross motions for summary judgment filed by both the plaintiffs and Duke Energy. The Court issued a series of rulings in June 2008 denying the plaintiffs class certification motion, dismissing certain of the causes of action originally filed by plaintiffs and allowing other causes of action to proceed. As a result of these rulings, the plaintiffs re-filed a new Amended Class Action Complaint in June 2008 asserting and re-pleading the claims which the Court is allowing to proceed. Duke Energy filed a motion to dismiss in July 2008 requesting the dismissal of plaintiffs breach of fiduciary claims. Plaintiffs filed a new motion to certify a class action in August 2008 and Duke Energy has filed a response to this motion. The Court issued an Order on March 31, 2009 denying Duke Energy s motion to dismiss plaintiffs breach of fiduciary claims. A hearing on the issue of class certification of plaintiffs remaining claims was held on April 29, 2009. We await the Court s decision.

In connection with the spin-off from Duke Energy in January 2007, we agreed to share with Duke Energy any liabilities or damages associated with this matter that relate to our employees that may be members of a plaintiff class if one is certified. At mediation, plaintiffs quantified their claims as being in excess of \$150 million. It is not possible to predict with certainty the damages, if any, that we might incur in connection with this matter. However, based upon our current estimate of the number of our employees that could be included in any plaintiff class, we believe that the final disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Other Litigation and Legal Proceedings. We are involved in other legal, tax and regulatory proceedings in various forums arising in the ordinary course of business, including matters regarding contract, royalty, measurement and payment claims, some of which involve substantial monetary amounts. We have insurance

Table of Contents

coverage for certain of these losses should they be incurred. We believe that the final disposition of these proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

We had no material reserves as of June 30, 2009 or December 31, 2008 related to litigation matters in accordance with our best estimate of probable loss as defined by SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies.

Legal costs related to the defense of loss contingencies are expensed as incurred.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

See Note 15 for a discussion of guarantees and indemnifications.

15. Guarantees and Indemnifications

We have various financial guarantees and indemnifications which are issued in the normal course of business. As discussed below, these contracts include financial guarantees, stand-by letters of credit, debt guarantees, surety bonds and indemnifications. We enter into these arrangements to facilitate a commercial transaction with a third party by enhancing the value of the transaction to the third party. To varying degrees, these guarantees involve elements of performance and credit risk, which are not included on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The possibility of having to honor our contingencies is largely dependent upon future operations of various subsidiaries, investees and other third parties, or the occurrence of certain future events.

We have issued performance guarantees to customers and other third parties that guarantee the payment and performance of other parties, including certain non-wholly owned entities. In connection with our spin-off from Duke Energy, certain guarantees that were previously issued by us have been assigned to, or replaced by, Duke Energy as guarantor in 2006. For any remaining guarantees of other Duke Energy obligations, Duke Energy has indemnified us against any losses incurred under these guarantee arrangements. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could have been required to make under these performance guarantees as of June 30, 2009 was approximately \$431 million, which has been indemnified by Duke Energy, as discussed above. Approximately \$5 million of the performance guarantees expire in 2009 and 2010, with the remaining performance guarantees expiring after 2010 or having no contractual expiration.

We have also issued joint and several guarantees to some of the Duke/Fluor Daniel (D/FD) project owners, guaranteeing the performance of D/FD under its engineering, procurement and construction contracts and other contractual commitments. D/FD is one of the entities transferred to Duke Energy in connection with our spin-off from Duke Energy. Substantially all of these guarantees have no contractual expiration and no stated maximum amount of future payments that we could be required to make. Fluor Enterprises Inc., as 50% owner in D/FD, has issued similar joint and several guarantees to the same D/FD project owners. In accordance with the D/FD partnership agreement, each of the partners is responsible for 50% of any payments to be made under those guarantees.

Westcoast Energy Inc. (Westcoast), a wholly owned subsidiary, has issued performance guarantees to third parties guaranteeing the performance of unconsolidated entities, such as equity method investments, and of entities previously sold by Westcoast to third parties. Those guarantees require Westcoast to make payment to the guaranteed third party upon the failure of such unconsolidated or sold entity to make payment under some of its contractual obligations, such as debt, purchase contracts and leases. Certain guarantees that were previously issued by Westcoast for obligations of entities that remained a part of Duke Energy are considered guarantees of third-party performance; however, Duke Energy has indemnified us against any losses incurred under these guarantee arrangements. The maximum potential amount of future payments Westcoast could have been required to make under those performance guarantees of non-wholly owned entities and third-party entities as of June 30, 2009 was \$56 million. These guarantees have no contractual expiration.

Table of Contents

We have entered into various indemnification agreements related to purchase and sale agreements and other types of contractual agreements with vendors and other third parties. These agreements typically cover environmental, tax, litigation and other matters, as well as breaches of representations, warranties and covenants. Typically, claims may be made by third parties for various periods of time, depending on the nature of the claim. Our potential exposure under these indemnification agreements can range from a specified amount, such as the purchase price, to an unlimited dollar amount, depending on the nature of the claim and the particular transaction. We are unable to estimate the total potential amount of future payments under these indemnification agreements due to several factors, such as the unlimited exposure under certain guarantees.

At June 30, 2009, the amounts recorded for the guarantees and indemnifications described above, including the indemnifications by Duke Energy to us, are not material, both individually and in the aggregate.

16. Risk Management and Hedging Activities, Credit Risk and Financial Instruments

We are exposed to the impact of market fluctuations in the prices of NGLs and natural gas marketed and purchased primarily as a result of our investment in DCP Midstream and ownership of the Empress operations in Canada. Exposure to interest rate risk exists as a result of the issuance of variable and fixed-rate debt and commercial paper. We are exposed to foreign currency risk from our Canadian operations. We employ established policies and procedures to manage our risks associated with these market fluctuations, which may include the use of forward physical transactions as well as other commodity derivatives, primarily within DCP Midstream, such as swaps and options.

Derivative Portfolio Carrying Value as of June 30, 2009

Asset/(Liability)	Maturity in 2009	Maturity in 2010	Maturity in 2011 (in millions)	Maturity in 2012 and Thereafter	Total Carrying Value
Hedging	\$ (1)	\$ 3	\$ 4	\$ 27	\$ 33
Undesignated				(18)	(18)
Total	\$ (1)	\$ 3	\$ 4	\$ 9	\$ 15

These amounts represent the combination of amounts presented as assets (liabilities) for unrealized gains and losses on mark-to-market and hedging transactions on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and do not include any derivative positions of DCP Midstream.

Commodity Cash Flow Hedges. Certain of our operations are exposed to market fluctuations in the prices of natural gas and NGLs related to natural gas gathering, distribution, processing and marketing activities. We closely monitor the potential effects of commodity price changes and may choose to enter into contracts to protect margins for a portion of future sales and fuel expenses by using financial commodity instruments, such as swaps, forward contracts and options, as cash flow hedges for natural gas and NGL transactions, primarily within the operations of DCP Midstream and Western Canada Transmission & Processing.

The ineffective portion of commodity cash flow hedges from continuing operations is reported in Other Income and Expenses, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings. We are party to natural gas purchase contracts to hedge forecasted purchases. These contracts are for notional amounts of 32 million British thermal units as of June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2009, \$1 million of pre-tax deferred net losses on derivative instruments related to commodity cash flow hedges were accumulated in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and are expected to be recognized in earnings during the next twelve months as the hedged transactions occur. However, due to the volatility of the commodity markets, the corresponding value in AOCI will likely change prior to its reclassification into earnings.

Interest Rate Hedges. Changes in interest rates expose us to risk as a result of our issuance of variable and fixed-rate debt and commercial paper. We manage our interest rate exposure by limiting our variable-rate exposures to percentages of total capitalization and by monitoring the effects of market changes in interest rates. We also enter into financial derivative instruments, including, but not limited to, interest rate swaps and U.S. Treasury lock agreements to manage and mitigate interest rate risk exposure.

For interest rate derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the derivative as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk is recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Gains and losses recognized were as follows:

		Three Months Ended June 30,			
		2009	2008		
		(in millions)			
		Gain (Loss)			
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Caption		Gain (Loss)	Gain (Loss)	on	Gain (Loss)
		on Swaps	on Borrowings	Swaps	on Borrowings
Interest expense		\$	\$	\$ 2	\$ (1)

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Caption	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2009	2008		
	(in millions)			
	Gain (Loss) on Swaps	Gain (Loss) on Borrowings	Gain (Loss) on Swaps	Gain (Loss) on Borrowings
Interest expense	\$	\$	\$ (3)	\$ 3

In the first quarter of 2009, as a result of low interest rates, we settled existing fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps on \$848 million of long-term debt. Gains on the settlements, totaling \$67 million, were recorded as follows in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet: \$5 million as a reduction to Interest Accrued, \$21 million as a reduction to Current Maturities of Long-term Debt and \$41 million as a reduction to Long-term Debt. The gains recorded as reductions of debt will be amortized in Interest Expense over the lives of the associated debt. In the first half of 2009, we entered into interest rate swap agreements to mitigate our exposure to variable interest rates on \$190 million of loans outstanding under certain revolving loan facilities. As of June 30, 2009, the notional amount of our total outstanding interest rate swaps was \$190 million.

Foreign Currency Hedges. We are exposed to foreign currency risk from investments and operations in international affiliate businesses, which is limited to Canada. To mitigate risks associated with foreign currency fluctuations, contracts may be denominated in or indexed to the U.S. dollar and/or local inflation rates, or investments may be naturally hedged through debt denominated or issued in the foreign currency. We may also use foreign currency derivatives, where possible, to manage risk related to foreign currency fluctuations. There were no significant foreign currency derivative transactions during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 or 2008. To monitor our currency exchange rate risks, we use sensitivity analysis, which measures the effect of devaluation of the Canadian dollar.

Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets Caption		June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
			(in millions)	
Asset Derivatives				
Natural gas purchase contract	Investments and other assets	other	\$ 24	\$ 36
Interest rate swaps	Investments and other assets	other	9	53
Total			\$ 33	\$ 89

		June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments		(in millions)	
Liability Derivatives			
Interest rate swaps	Deferred credits and other liabilities regulatory and other	\$ 18	\$ 23

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
Cash Flow Hedging Derivatives	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(in millions)			
Natural gas purchase contract	\$ 8	\$ 17	\$ 1	\$ 21
Interest rate swaps	3		4	(7)
Total	\$ 11	\$ 17	\$ 5	\$ 14

Cash Flow Hedging Derivatives	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Caption	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
		2009	June 30, 2008	2009	June 30, 2008
(in millions)					
Natural gas purchase contracts	Other income and expenses, net	\$ 3	\$	\$ 2	\$

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
Cash Flow Hedging Derivatives	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(in millions)			
Natural gas purchase contract	\$ (5)	\$	\$ (5)	\$
Interest rate swaps	1		1	

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ (4)	\$	\$ (4)	\$
-------	--------	----	--------	----

Credit Risk. Our principal customers for natural gas transportation, storage and gathering and processing services are industrial end-users, marketers, exploration and production companies, local distribution companies and utilities located throughout the United States and Canada. We have concentrations of receivables from natural gas utilities and their affiliates, industrial customers and marketers throughout these regions, as well as retail distribution customers in Canada. These concentrations of customers may affect our overall credit risk in that risk factors can negatively affect the credit quality of the entire sector. Where exposed to credit risk, we

Included in Other Current Liabilities and Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities Regulatory and Other are collateral liabilities of \$85 million at June 30, 2009 and \$121 million at December 31, 2008, which represent cash collateral posted by third parties with us.

On February 13, 2009, we issued 32.2 million shares of Spectra Energy common stock and received net proceeds of \$448 million. We used the net proceeds to repay commercial paper as it matured. Borrowings from the commercial paper were used primarily for capital expenditures and for other general corporate purposes.

As previously discussed, in the second quarter of 2009, Spectra Energy Partners issued 9.8 million limited partner units and 0.2 million general partner units in connection with the refinancing of the purchase of NOARK, resulting in net proceeds of \$212 million and a reduction of our ownership interest in Spectra Energy Partners from 84% to 74%. See Note 2 for further discussion.

In connection with the sale of the partner units, a \$40 million gain (\$25 million net of tax) resulting from the dilution of our ownership interest in Spectra Energy Partners was recorded to Additional Paid-in Capital on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(in millions)			
Net Income Controlling Interests	\$ 140	\$ 295	\$ 438	\$ 662
Increase in Additional Paid-in Capital	25		25	
Total change from Net Income Controlling Interests and transfers from Noncontrolling Interests	\$ 165	\$ 295	\$ 463	\$ 662

Retirement Plans. We have a qualified non-contributory defined benefit (DB) retirement plan for U.S. employees and non-qualified plans for various executive retirement and savings plans. Our Westcoast subsidiary maintains qualified and non-qualified contributory DB and defined contribution (DC) retirement plans covering substantially all employees of our Canadian operations.

Our policy is to fund amounts for our U.S. qualified retirement plans on an actuarial basis to provide assets sufficient to meet benefits to be paid to plan participants. We did not make contributions to our U.S. retirement plans in the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, and do not currently anticipate making contributions to these plans during the remainder of 2009.

Table of Contents

Our policy is to fund our DB retirement plans in Canada on an actuarial basis and in accordance with Canadian pension standards legislation in order to accumulate assets sufficient to meet benefit obligations. Contributions to the DC retirement plan are determined in accordance with the terms of the plan. We made contributions to the Canadian qualified DB plans of \$17 million and \$18 million during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We anticipate that we will make total contributions of approximately \$53 million to the Canadian DB plans in 2009. We also made contributions to the Canadian DC plan of \$2 million during each of the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. We anticipate that we will make total contributions of approximately \$5 million to the Canadian DC plans in 2009.

Qualified Pension Plans Components of Net Periodic Pension Cost

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(in millions)			
U.S.				
Service cost benefit earned	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 5	\$ 5
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	6	7	13	14
Expected return on plan assets	(8)	(9)	(16)	(18)
Amortization of loss	1		2	1
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 2	\$ 1	\$ 4	\$ 2
Canada				
Service cost benefit earned	\$ 3	\$ 4	\$ 6	\$ 8
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	9	10	18	20
Expected return on plan assets	(10)	(12)	(20)	(24)
Amortization of loss		1	1	3
Amortization of prior service costs	1		1	
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 6	\$ 7

Non-Qualified Pension Benefits Plans Components of Net Periodic Pension Cost

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(in millions)			
U.S.				
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1
Canada				
Service cost benefit earned	\$ 1	\$	\$ 1	\$ 1
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	1	2	2	3
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 3	\$ 4

Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans. We provide certain health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees on a contributory and non-contributory basis. Employees are eligible for these benefits if they have met age and service requirements at retirement, as defined in the

plans.

Table of Contents**Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost**

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2009		Six Months Ended June 30, 2009	
	2008	2008	2009	2008
	(in millions)			
U.S.				
Interest cost on accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 7	\$ 7
Expected return on plan assets	(2)	(2)	(3)	(3)
Amortization of net transition liability	2	2	3	3
Amortization of loss	1	1	1	1
Net periodic other post-retirement benefit cost	\$ 4	\$ 4	\$ 8	\$ 8
Canada				
Service cost benefit earned	\$	\$	\$ 1	\$ 1
Interest cost on accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation	1	2	2	3
Net periodic other post-retirement benefit cost	\$ 1	\$ 2	\$ 3	\$ 4

20. Consolidating Financial Information

Spectra Energy Corp has agreed to fully and unconditionally guarantee the payment of principal and interest under all series of notes outstanding under the Senior Indenture of Spectra Energy Capital, LLC (Spectra Capital), a wholly owned, consolidated subsidiary. In accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules, the following condensed consolidating financial information is presented. The information shown for us and Spectra Capital is presented utilizing the equity method of accounting for investments in subsidiaries, as required. The non-guarantor subsidiaries column represents all wholly owned subsidiaries of Spectra Capital. This information should be read in conjunction with our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

Table of Contents**Spectra Energy Corp****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations****Three Months Ended June 30, 2009****(In millions)**

	Spectra Energy Corp	Spectra Capital	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Total operating revenues	\$	\$	\$ 937	\$	\$ 937
Total operating expenses	(7)		627		620
Gains on sales of other assets and other, net					
Operating income	7		310		317
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates			40		40
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	135	215		(350)	
Other income and expenses, net		16	(2)		14
Interest expense		52	94		146
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	142	179	254	(350)	225
Income tax expense from continuing operations	2	44	21		67
Income from continuing operations	140	135	233	(350)	158
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax			(1)		(1)
Net income	140	135	232	(350)	157
Net income noncontrolling interests			17		17
Net income controlling interests	\$ 140	\$ 135	\$ 215	\$ (350)	\$ 140

Table of Contents

Spectra Energy Corp
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

Three Months Ended June 30, 2008

(In millions)

	Spectra Energy Corp	Spectra Capital	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Total operating revenues	\$	\$	\$ 1,133	\$	\$ 1,133
Total operating expenses	5	1	816		822
Gains on sales of other assets and other, net			32		32
Operating income (loss)	(5)	(1)	349		343
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates			243		243
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	299	475		(774)	
Other income and expenses, net	(1)	4	7		10
Interest expense		51	98		149
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	293	427	501	(774)	447
Income tax expense (benefit) from continuing operations	(2)	128	10		136
Income from continuing operations	295	299	491	(774)	311
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax			(2)		(2)
Net income	295	299	489	(774)	309
Net income noncontrolling interests			14		14
Net income controlling interests	\$ 295	\$ 299	\$ 475	\$ (774)	\$ 295

Table of Contents**Spectra Energy Corp****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations****Six Months Ended June 30, 2009****(In millions)**

	Spectra Energy Corp	Spectra Capital	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Total operating revenues	\$	\$	\$ 2,321	\$	\$ 2,321
Total operating expenses	5	1	1,583		1,589
Gains on sales of other assets and other, net			10		10
Operating income (loss)	(5)	(1)	748		742
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates			207		207
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	441	681		(1,122)	
Other income and expenses, net		23			23
Interest expense		109	187		296
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	436	594	768	(1,122)	676
Income tax expense (benefit) from continuing operations	(2)	153	55		206
Income from continuing operations	438	441	713	(1,122)	470
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax			2		2
Net income	438	441	715	(1,122)	472
Net income noncontrolling interests			34		34
Net income controlling interests	\$ 438	\$ 441	\$ 681	\$ (1,122)	\$ 438

Table of Contents**Spectra Energy Corp****Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations****Six Months Ended June 30, 2008****(In millions)**

	Spectra Energy Corp	Spectra Capital	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Total operating revenues	\$	\$	\$ 2,733	\$	\$ 2,733
Total operating expenses	10	1	1,918		1,929
Gains on sales of other assets and other, net			32		32
Operating income (loss)	(10)	(1)	847		836
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates			452		452
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	670	1,027		(1,697)	
Other income and expenses, net	(2)	6	17		21
Interest expense		109	198		307
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	658	923	1,118	(1,697)	1,002
Income tax expense (benefit) from continuing operations	(4)	253	59		308
Income from continuing operations	662	670	1,059	(1,697)	694
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax			1		1
Net income	662	670	1,060	(1,697)	695
Net income noncontrolling interests			33		33
Net income controlling interests	\$ 662	\$ 670	\$ 1,027	\$ (1,697)	\$ 662

Table of Contents**Spectra Energy Corp****Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet****June 30, 2009****(In millions)**

	Spectra Energy Corp	Spectra Capital	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 3	\$ 298	\$	\$ 301
Receivables (payables) consolidated subsidiaries	(9)	248	(223)	(16)	
Receivables other		3	562		565
Other current assets	7	27	331		365
Total current assets	(2)	281	968	(16)	1,231
Investments in and loans to unconsolidated affiliates		391	1,823		2,214
Investments in consolidated subsidiaries	8,388	11,455		(19,843)	
Advances receivable (payable) consolidated subsidiaries	(1,762)	2,371	(262)	(347)	
Goodwill			3,654		3,654
Other assets	35	22	293		350
Property, plant and equipment, net			14,285		14,285
Regulatory assets and deferred debits	1	14	909		924
Total Assets	\$ 6,660	\$ 14,534	\$ 21,670	\$ (20,206)	\$ 22,658
Accounts payable (receivable) consolidated subsidiaries	\$	\$ 41	\$ (25)	\$ (16)	\$
Accounts payable other	6	72	182		260
Short-term borrowings and commercial paper		347		(347)	
Accrued taxes payable (receivable)	(51)	49	147		145
Current maturities of long-term debt		498	481		979
Other current liabilities	40	101	800		941
Total current liabilities	(5)	1,108	1,585	(363)	2,325
Long-term debt		2,979	5,626		8,605
Deferred credits and other liabilities	218	2,059	2,235		4,512
Preferred stock of subsidiaries			225		225
Total stockholders' equity	6,447	8,388	11,999	(19,843)	6,991
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 6,660	\$ 14,534	\$ 21,670	\$ (20,206)	\$ 22,658

Table of Contents

Spectra Energy Corp

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

December 31, 2008

(In millions)

	Spectra Energy Corp	Spectra Capital	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 60	\$ 154	\$	\$ 214
Receivables (payables) consolidated subsidiaries	(25)	250	(220)	(5)	
Receivables other	1	11	783		795
Other current assets	39	35	367		441
Total current assets	15	356	1,084	(5)	1,450
Investments in and loans to unconsolidated affiliates		368	1,784		2,152
Investments in consolidated subsidiaries	7,375	10,482		(17,857)	
Advances receivable (payable) consolidated subsidiaries	(1,937)	3,298	(992)	(369)	
Goodwill			3,381		3,381
Other assets	40	66	311		417
Property, plant and equipment, net			13,639		13,639
Regulatory assets and deferred debits	1	15	869		885
Total Assets	\$ 5,494	\$ 14,585	\$ 20,076	\$ (18,231)	\$ 21,924
Accounts payable (receivable) consolidated subsidiaries	\$ 5	\$ 41	\$ (41)	\$ (5)	\$
Accounts payable other	1	124	160		285
Short-term borrowings and commercial paper		1,137	168	(369)	936
Accrued taxes payable (receivable)	(297)	266	136		105
Current maturities of long-term debt		648	173		821
Other current liabilities	19	106	772		897
Total current liabilities	(272)	2,322	1,368	(374)	3,044
Long-term debt		3,009	5,281		8,290
Deferred credits and other liabilities	226	1,879	2,250		4,355
Preferred stock of subsidiaries			225		225
Total stockholders equity	5,540	7,375	10,952	(17,857)	6,010
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 5,494	\$ 14,585	\$ 20,076	\$ (18,231)	\$ 21,924

Table of Contents**Spectra Energy Corp****Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows****Six Months Ended June 30, 2009****(In millions)**

	Spectra Energy Corp	Spectra Capital	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net income	\$ 438	\$ 441	\$ 715	\$ (1,122)	\$ 472
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization			286		286
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates			(207)		(207)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(441)	(681)		1,122	
Distributions received from unconsolidated affiliates			39		39
Other	53	200	176		429
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	50	(40)	1,009		1,019
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Capital expenditures			(375)		(375)
Investments in and loans to unconsolidated affiliates		(23)	(28)		(51)
Acquisition of NOARK			(295)		(295)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities			32		32
Distributions received from unconsolidated affiliates			148		148
Other			(3)		(3)
Net cash used in investing activities		(23)	(521)		(544)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt			2,219		2,219
Payments for the redemption of long-term debt		(163)	(1,739)		(1,902)
Net decrease in short-term borrowings and commercial paper		(768)	(168)		(936)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests			(136)		(136)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests			2		2
Proceeds from the issuance of Spectra Energy common stock	448				448
Proceeds from the issuance of Spectra Energy Partners, LP common units			208		208
Dividends paid on common stock	(314)	(8)		8	(314)
Distributions and advances to parent	(196)	945	(741)	(8)	
Other	12		(3)		9
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(50)	6	(358)		(402)

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash		14	14
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(57)	144	87
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	60	154	214
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 3	\$ 298	\$ 301

Table of Contents**Spectra Energy Corp****Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows****Six Months Ended June 30, 2008****(In millions)**

	Spectra Energy Corp	Spectra Capital	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net income	\$ 662	\$ 670	\$ 1,060	\$ (1,697)	\$ 695
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization			300		300
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates			(452)		(452)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(670)	(1,027)		1,697	
Distributions received from unconsolidated affiliates			439		439
Other	(179)	292	46		159
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(187)	(65)	1,393		1,141
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Capital expenditures			(608)		(608)
Investments in and loans to unconsolidated affiliates		(105)	(217)		(322)
Acquisition of Spectra Energy Income Fund			(274)		(274)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities			(880)		(880)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities			910		910
Distributions received from unconsolidated affiliates			149		149
Other			1		1
Net cash used in investing activities		(105)	(919)		(1,024)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt		500	900		1,400
Payments for the redemption of long-term debt			(903)		(903)
Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings and commercial paper		105	(153)		(48)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests			(25)		(25)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests			16		16
Repurchases of Spectra Energy common stock	(284)				(284)
Dividends paid on common stock	(292)	(7)		7	(292)
Distributions and advances to parent	763	(428)	(328)	(7)	
Other			13		13
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	187	170	(480)		(123)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash			1		1
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents			(5)		(5)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period			94		94

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	\$	\$	89	\$	\$	89
--	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

Table of Contents

21. New Accounting Pronouncements

The following new accounting pronouncements were adopted during the six months ended June 30, 2009:

SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 157, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which delayed the effective date of SFAS No. 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statement on a recurring basis (at least annually). The adoption of the provisions of SFAS No. 157 for the measurement of our asset retirement obligations and for our goodwill impairment test did not have any impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

SFAS No. 141R, Business Combinations. In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, which replaces SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations. SFAS No. 141R requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize all and only the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction, establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed, and requires the acquirer to disclose to investors and other users all of the information they need to evaluate and understand the nature and financial effect of the business combination. SFAS 141R applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 141R effective January 1, 2009.

SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements. In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160 which requires all entities to report noncontrolling (minority) interests in subsidiaries as equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS No. 160 eliminates the diversity that existed in accounting for transactions between an entity and noncontrolling interests by requiring that they be treated as equity transactions. We adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 160 effective January 1, 2009 as required.

When adopting the presentation and disclosure items, retrospective application to conform previously reported financial statements to the new presentation requirements is required. Changes to reflect the new measurement guidance for increases or decreases in ownership and other changes must be done prospectively. The new requirements for noncontrolling interests, results of operations and comprehensive income of subsidiaries change the presentation of operating results, related per-share information and equity. SFAS No. 160 requires net income and comprehensive income to be displayed for both the controlling and the noncontrolling interests. Additional required disclosures and reconciliations include a separate schedule that shows the effects of any transactions with the noncontrolling interests on the equity attributable to the controlling interest.

As discussed in Note 1, as a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 160, a deferred gain associated with the formation of Spectra Energy Partners totaling \$59 million was reclassified from Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities Regulatory and Other to Additional Paid-in Capital on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of January 1, 2009.

In November 2008, the FASB ratified EITF 08-06, which addresses certain effects of SFAS No. 141R and SFAS No. 160 on an entity's accounting for equity-method investments. The consensus indicates, among other things, that transaction costs for an investment should be included in the cost of the equity-method investment (and not expensed) and shares subsequently issued by the equity-method investee that reduce the investor's ownership percentage should be accounted for as if the investor had sold a proportionate share of its investment, with gains or losses recorded through earnings. EITF 08-06 was effective for us for transactions occurring after December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

As discussed in Note 10, a \$135 million increase to Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Affiliates was recorded in the first quarter of 2009 related to DCP Midstream's reclassification of certain deferred gains on sales of common units in its master limited partnership to equity as a result of their adoption of SFAS No. 160.

SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, which expands the disclosure requirements for SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* with the intent to provide users of financial statements an enhanced understanding of how and why derivative instruments are used, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and its related interpretations and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. We adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 161 effective January 1, 2009 as required. See Note 16 for the disclosures required by SFAS No. 161.

FSP No. FAS 142-3, Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets. In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 142-3, which amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. The adoption of the provisions of FSP No. FAS 142-3 on January 1, 2009 had no impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

EITF 07-01, Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements. In December 2007, the FASB ratified a consensus reached by the EITF to define collaborative arrangements and to establish reporting requirements for transactions between participants in a collaborative arrangement and between participants in the arrangement and third parties. A collaborative arrangement is a contractual arrangement that involves a joint operating activity. These arrangements involve two (or more) parties who are both (a) active participants in the activity and (b) exposed to significant risks and rewards dependent on the commercial success of the activity. An entity should report the effects of applying EITF 07-01 as a change in accounting principle through retrospective application to all prior periods presented for all arrangements existing as of the effective date. The adoption of the provisions of EITF 07-01 on January 1, 2009 had no impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

FSP No. EITF 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities. In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. EITF 03-6-1, which addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing EPS under the two-class method. The adoption of the provisions of FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 on January 1, 2009 had no material effect on our computation of EPS.

SFAS No. 165, Subsequent Events. In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, which, absent related provisions contained in existing authoritative guidance, establishes general standards for the accounting for and disclosure of events that occur subsequent to the balance sheet date but before the financial statements of an entity are issued or are available to be issued. The adoption of the provisions of SFAS No. 165 by us effective June 30, 2009 did not have any impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

The following new accounting pronouncement has been issued, but not yet adopted as of June 30, 2009:

FSP No. FAS 132(R)-1, Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets. In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 132(R)-1, which requires additional disclosures about plan assets for sponsors of defined benefit pension and postretirement plans including expanded information regarding investment strategies, major categories of plan assets and concentrations of risk within plan assets. Additionally, this FSP requires disclosures similar to those required under SFAS No. 157 with respect to the fair value of plan assets such as the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value and information with respect to classification of plan assets in terms of the hierarchy of the source of information used to determine their value. The disclosures under this FSP are required for annual periods ending after December 15, 2009. We are currently evaluating the requirements of these additional disclosures.

Table of Contents

22. Subsequent Events

We have evaluated significant events and transactions that occurred from July 1, 2009 through the date of this report and have determined that there were no events or transactions other than those disclosed in this report, if any, that would require recognition or disclosure in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

INTRODUCTION

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. As previously discussed, the 2008 data contained in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the related information presented in this report have been recast to reflect the reporting requirements of SFAS No. 160, which was adopted January 1, 2009, and to reflect the operating results of certain Western Canada Transmission & Processing natural gas gathering and processing facilities as discontinued operations. See Notes 6 and 21 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Executive Overview

For the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, we reported net income from controlling interests of \$140 million and \$295 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, we reported net income from controlling interests of \$438 million and \$662 million, respectively. The decrease for the three and six-month periods primarily reflects lower earnings from Field Services and Western Canada Transmission & Processing as a result of lower NGL prices associated with lower crude oil prices during the first six months of 2009. Crude oil averaged \$51 per barrel for the six months ended June 30, 2009 versus \$111 per barrel during the same period in 2008. The decrease in earnings was partially offset by the recognition of a \$135 million deferred gain (\$85 million after-tax) in the first quarter of 2009 associated with partnership units previously issued by DCP Partners.

The highlights for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009 include:

U.S. Transmission's earnings decreased due primarily to lower margins from gas processing in 2009 and a customer bankruptcy settlement in the second quarter of 2008, partially offset by earnings from expansion projects placed into service late in 2008 and in 2009 and lower project development costs,

Distribution results reflect a weaker Canadian dollar and an earnings sharing settlement in the second quarter of 2009 related to prior year earnings, partially offset by higher storage and transportation revenues,

Western Canada Transmission & Processing earnings decreased primarily as a result of lower NGL prices related to the Empress processing plant and a weaker Canadian dollar, partially offset by higher gathering and processing revenues,

Field Services earnings reflect lower NGL and natural gas prices in 2009, partially offset by the recognition of a deferred gain associated with partnership units previously issued by DCP Partners, and

Other reported lower expenses in the 2009 periods.

In the first six months of 2009, we reported \$426 million of capital and investment expenditures, excluding the \$295 million acquisition of NOARK. Approximately \$1.1 billion is projected for the full year and includes expansion capital of approximately \$600 million.

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

On February 13, 2009, in order to further protect our capitalization structure against a potential extreme decline in the Canadian dollar, we issued 32.2 million shares of our common stock and received net proceeds of \$448 million.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2009, we have approximately \$2.6 billion in credit facilities and expect to continue to utilize commercial paper and revolving lines of credit, as needed, to fund our liquidity needs throughout 2009.

On May 4, 2009, Spectra Energy Partners acquired all of the ownership interests of NOARK from Atlas for approximately \$295 million in cash. In the second quarter of 2009, Spectra Energy Partners issued 9.8 million limited partner units to the public and 0.2 million general partner units, resulting in net proceeds of \$212 million and a reduction of our ownership interest in Spectra Energy Partners from 84% to 74%. The proceeds were used to partially repay the funds borrowed in connection with the acquisition. See Note 2 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(in millions)			
Operating revenues	\$ 937	\$ 1,133	\$ 2,321	\$ 2,733
Operating expenses	620	822	1,589	1,929
Gains on sales of other assets and other, net		32	10	32
Operating income	317	343	742	836
Other income and expenses	54	253	230	473
Interest expense	146	149	296	307
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	225	447	676	1,002
Income tax expense from continuing operations	67	136	206	308
Income from continuing operations	158	311	470	694
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(1)	(2)	2	1
Net income	157	309	472	695
Net income noncontrolling interests	17	14	34	33
Net income controlling interests	\$ 140	\$ 295	\$ 438	\$ 662

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Same Periods in 2008

Operating Revenues. Operating revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased by \$196 million or 17% and \$412 million or 15%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008. The decreases were driven primarily by:

the effects of a weaker Canadian dollar on revenues at Western Canada Transmission & Processing and Distribution, and

lower NGL prices associated with the Empress operations at Western Canada Transmission & Processing, partially offset by

higher storage and transportation revenues at Distribution.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased by \$202 million or 25% and \$340 million or 18%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008. The decreases were driven primarily by:

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

the effects of a weaker Canadian dollar at Western Canada Transmission & Processing and Distribution,

lower prices of natural gas purchased for the Empress facility, and

lower project development costs at U.S. Transmission.

Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net. Gains on sales of other assets and other, net for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased \$32 million and \$22 million, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008. The decreases were primarily due to a 2008 second quarter customer bankruptcy settlement.

Table of Contents

Operating Income. Operating income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased by \$26 million, or 8%, and \$94 million, or 11%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008 primarily due to lower NGL product prices associated with the Empress operations at Western Canada Transmission & Processing, a weaker Canadian dollar and a 2008 customer bankruptcy settlement at U.S. Transmission, partially offset by higher storage and transportation revenues at Distribution.

Other Income and Expenses. Other income and expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased by \$199 million, or 79%, and \$243 million, or 51%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008. The decreases were attributable to lower equity in earnings from Field Services, reflecting primarily lower commodity prices, partially offset by a gain recognized in the first quarter of 2009 associated with partnership units previously issued by DCP Partners.

Income Tax Expense from Continuing Operations. Income tax expense from continuing operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased by \$69 million and \$102 million, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008 as a result of decreased earnings from continuing operations. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, the effective tax rate was 29.8% compared to 30.4% for the same period in 2008. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was 30.5% compared to 30.7% in the same period in 2008.

For a more detailed discussion of earnings drivers, see the segment discussions that follow.

Segment Results

We evaluate segment performance based on EBIT from continuing operations, after deducting noncontrolling interests related to those profits. On a segment basis, EBIT excludes discontinued operations, represents all profits from continuing operations (both operating and non-operating) before deducting interest and taxes, and is net of noncontrolling interests related to those profits. Cash, cash equivalents and investments are managed centrally, so the gains and losses on foreign currency remeasurement, and interest and dividend income on those balances, are excluded from the segments' EBIT. We consider segment EBIT to be a good indicator of each segment's operating performance from its continuing operations, as it represents the results of our ownership interests in operations without regard to financing methods or capital structures.

Our segment EBIT may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of another company because other entities may not calculate EBIT in the same manner. Segment EBIT is summarized in the following table, and detailed discussions follow.

EBIT by Business Segment

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(in millions)			
U.S. Transmission	\$ 234	\$ 244	\$ 451	\$ 470
Distribution	40	54	192	219
Western Canada Transmission & Processing	58	91	139	220
Field Services	24	216	174	408
Total reportable segment EBIT	356	605	956	1,317
Other	(12)	(28)	(36)	(48)
Total reportable segment and other EBIT	344	577	920	1,269
Interest expense	146	149	296	307
Interest income and other (a)	27	19	52	40
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes.	\$ 225	\$ 447	\$ 676	\$ 1,002

(a) Includes foreign currency transaction gains and losses and the elimination of the noncontrolling interests related to EBIT.

Table of Contents

Noncontrolling interests as presented in the following segment-level discussions includes only noncontrolling interests related to EBIT of non-wholly owned entities. It does not include noncontrolling interests related to interest and taxes of those operations. The amounts discussed below include intercompany transactions that are eliminated in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

U.S. Transmission

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	Increase (Decrease)	2009	2008	Increase (Decrease)
	(in millions, except where noted)					
Operating revenues	\$ 414	\$ 400	\$ 14	\$ 819	\$ 803	\$ 16
Operating expenses						
Operating, maintenance and other	121	151	(30)	264	277	(13)
Depreciation and amortization	62	58	4	121	116	5
Gains on sales of other assets and other, net		32	(32)	10	32	(22)
Operating income	231	223	8	444	442	2
Other income and expenses	21	34	(13)	41	55	(14)
Noncontrolling interests	18	13	5	34	27	7
EBIT	\$ 234	\$ 244	\$ (10)	\$ 451	\$ 470	\$ (19)
Proportional throughput, TBtu (a)	574	476	98	1,287	1,113	174

(a) Trillion British thermal units. Revenues are not significantly affected by pipeline throughput fluctuations, since revenues are primarily composed of demand charges.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Same Period in 2008

Operating Revenues. The \$14 million increase was driven primarily by:

a \$40 million increase from expansion projects placed in service late in 2008 and in 2009,

a \$9 million increase in transportation and other revenues primarily from the acquisition of Ozark Gas Transmission, L.L.C. (Ozark Gas Transmission) in May 2009, and

a \$4 million increase in transportation and storage revenues from recoveries of fuel and electric power costs passed through to customers, partially offset by

a \$29 million decrease in processing revenues associated with pipeline operations, caused by lower prices and volumes, and

a \$5 million decrease resulting from a weaker Canadian dollar at M&N LP.

Operating, Maintenance and Other. The \$30 million decrease was driven primarily by:

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

a \$34 million decrease in project development costs, reflecting a net benefit of \$24 million in 2009 primarily due to a reimbursement of project development costs by customers on northeast expansions compared to expensed project development costs of \$10 million in 2008, and

a \$7 million decrease in pipeline integrity costs, primarily due to the timing of pipeline integrity work, partially offset by

a \$5 million increase in operating costs primarily from higher fuel and electric power costs passed through to customers,

a \$4 million increase from expansion projects placed in service late in 2008 and in 2009, and

a \$4 million increase from Ozark Gas Transmission acquired in May 2009.

Table of Contents

Depreciation and Amortization. The \$4 million increase was primarily driven by expansion projects placed into service late in 2008 and in 2009.

Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net. The \$32 million decrease primarily reflects a customer bankruptcy settlement in June 2008.

Other Income and Expenses. The \$13 million decrease was primarily a result of lower capitalization of interest on construction projects and from the discontinuance of SFAS No. 71, Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation, accounting treatment by Southeast Supply Header, LLC (SESH), an equity investee. These decreases were partially offset by earnings from expansion projects on Gulfstream and SESH placed into service in late 2008.

Noncontrolling Interests. The \$5 million increase was driven by an increase in the noncontrolling interests ownership percentage resulting from the Spectra Energy Partners public sale of additional partner units in the second quarter of 2009 and higher earnings from Spectra Energy Partners and M&N LLC.

EBIT. The \$10 million decrease was primarily due to a customer bankruptcy settlement in the prior period, and lower processing revenues. These decreases were partially offset by higher earnings from expansion projects and lower project development costs.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Same Period in 2008

Operating Revenues. The \$16 million increase was driven primarily by:

a \$65 million increase from expansion projects placed in service late in 2008 and in 2009,

a \$12 million increase in transportation and storage revenues from recoveries of fuel and electric power costs passed through to customers, and

a \$9 million increase in transportation and other revenues primarily from Ozark Gas Transmission acquired in May 2009, partially offset by

a \$58 million decrease in processing revenues associated with pipeline operations, caused by lower prices and volumes, and

a \$12 million decrease resulting from a weaker Canadian dollar at M&N LP.

Operating, Maintenance and Other. The \$13 million decrease was driven primarily by:

a \$34 million decrease in project development costs, reflecting a net benefit of \$18 million in 2009 primarily due to a reimbursement of project development costs by customers on northeast expansions compared to expensed project development costs of \$16 million in 2008, partially offset by

a \$12 million increase in operating costs primarily from higher fuel and electric power costs passed through to customers,

an \$8 million increase from expansion projects placed in service late in 2008 and in 2009, and

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

a \$4 million increase from Ozark Gas Transmission acquired in May 2009.

Depreciation and Amortization. The \$5 million increase was primarily driven by expansion projects placed into service late in 2008 and in 2009.

Gains on Sales of Other Assets and Other, net. The \$22 million decrease was primarily driven by a customer bankruptcy settlement of \$31 million in June 2008, partially offset by a customer settlement of \$10 million in 2009 resulting from the cancellation of a capital project.

Table of Contents

Other Income and Expenses. The \$14 million decrease was primarily a result of lower capitalization of interest on construction projects and from the discontinuance of SFAS No. 71 accounting treatment by SESH. These decreases were partially offset by earnings from expansion projects on Gulfstream and SESH placed into service in late 2008.

Noncontrolling Interests. The \$7 million increase was driven by an increase in the noncontrolling interests ownership percentage resulting from the Spectra Energy Partners public sale of additional partner units in the second quarter of 2009 and higher earnings from Spectra Energy Partners and M&N LLC.

EBIT. The \$19 million decrease was primarily due to lower processing revenues and a customer bankruptcy settlement in the prior period. These decreases were partially offset by higher earnings from expansion projects and lower project development costs.

Distribution

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	Increase (Decrease) (in millions, except where noted)	2009	2008	Increase (Decrease)
Operating revenues	\$ 284	\$ 353	\$ (69)	\$ 992	\$ 1,153	\$ (161)
Operating expenses						
Natural gas purchased	120	158	(38)	555	650	(95)
Operating, maintenance and other	82	94	(12)	163	191	(28)
Depreciation and amortization	42	46	(4)	82	93	(11)
Operating income	40	55	(15)	192	219	(27)
Other income and expenses		(1)	1			
EBIT	\$ 40	\$ 54	\$ (14)	\$ 192	\$ 219	\$ (27)
Number of customers, thousands				1,314	1,296	18
Heating degree days, Fahrenheit	918	899	19	4,616	4,550	66
Pipeline throughput, TBtu	129	151	(22)	456	479	(23)

Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Same Period in 2008

Operating Revenues. The \$69 million decrease was driven primarily by:

a \$47 million decrease resulting from a weaker Canadian dollar,

a \$32 million decrease from lower natural gas prices passed through to customers without a mark-up, and

an \$11 million decrease due to a settlement on 2008 earnings to be shared with customers, partially offset by

a \$15 million increase resulting from a charge in 2008 due to an unfavorable decision from the OEB related to unregulated storage revenues,

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

a \$9 million increase in storage and transportation revenues attributable to growth of the storage system and an increase in short-term transportation services provided to customers, and

a \$7 million increase due to growth in the number of customers.

Table of Contents

Natural Gas Purchased. The \$38 million decrease was driven primarily by:

a \$32 million decrease from lower natural gas prices passed through to customers without a mark-up, and

a \$21 million decrease resulting from a weaker Canadian dollar, partially offset by

a \$6 million increase due to growth in the number of customers, and

a \$6 million increase related to fuel used in operations.

Operating, Maintenance and Other. The \$12 million decrease was driven primarily by a weaker Canadian dollar.

Depreciation and Amortization. The \$4 million decrease was driven primarily by a weaker Canadian dollar.

EBIT. The \$14 million decrease was primarily a result of the 2008 earnings sharing settlement reached in June 2009 and a weaker Canadian dollar. These decreases were partially offset by higher storage and transportation revenues.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Same Period in 2008

Operating Revenues. The \$161 million decrease was driven primarily by:

a \$214 million decrease resulting from a weaker Canadian dollar,

a \$28 million decrease in customer usage of natural gas due to conservation efforts and the impacts of the economic recession, and

an \$11 million decrease due to a settlement on 2008 earnings to be shared with customers, partially offset by

a \$31 million increase due to growth in the number of customers,

a \$28 million increase in storage and transportation revenues attributable to growth of the storage system and an increase in short-term transportation services provided to customers,

a \$27 million increase from higher natural gas prices passed through to customers without a mark-up, and

a \$15 million increase resulting from a charge in 2008 due to an unfavorable decision from the OEB related to unregulated storage revenues.

Natural Gas Purchased. The \$95 million decrease was driven primarily by:

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

a \$123 million decrease resulting from a weaker Canadian dollar, and

a \$26 million decrease in customer usage of natural gas due to conservation efforts and the impacts of the economic recession, partially offset by

a \$27 million increase due to growth in the number of customers, and

a \$27 million increase from higher natural gas prices passed through to customers without a mark-up.
Operating, Maintenance and Other. The \$28 million decrease was driven primarily by a weaker Canadian dollar.

Depreciation and Amortization. The \$11 million decrease was driven primarily by a weaker Canadian dollar.

Table of Contents

EBIT. The \$27 million decrease was primarily a result of a weaker Canadian dollar, the 2008 earnings sharing settlement reached in June 2009 and lower customer usage of natural gas. These decreases were partially offset by higher storage and transportation revenues.

Western Canada Transmission & Processing

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	Increase (Decrease)	2009	2008	Increase (Decrease)
	(in millions, except where noted)					
Operating revenues	\$ 239	\$ 380	\$ (141)	\$ 510	\$ 777	\$ (267)
Operating expenses						
Natural gas and petroleum products purchased	34	118	(84)	105	248	(143)
Operating, maintenance and other	108	128	(20)	196	232	(36)
Depreciation and amortization	35	41	(6)	67	77	(10)
Operating income	62	93	(31)	142	220	(78)
Other income and expenses	(4)	(2)	(2)	(3)	1	(4)
Noncontrolling interests					1	(1)
EBIT	\$ 58	\$ 91	\$ (33)	\$ 139	\$ 220	\$ (81)
Pipeline throughput, TBtu	136	142	(6)	298	304	(6)
Volumes processed, TBtu	164	170	(6)	331	343	(12)
Empress inlet volumes, TBtu	198	208	(10)	409	425	(16)

Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Same Period in 2008

Operating Revenues. The \$141 million decrease was driven primarily by:

a \$112 million decrease due to lower NGL product prices associated with the Empress operations, and

a \$37 million decrease as a result of a weaker Canadian dollar, partially offset by

a \$15 million increase resulting primarily from higher gathering and processing revenues due to higher firm volumes.

Natural Gas and Petroleum Products Purchased. The \$84 million decrease was driven primarily by:

a \$79 million decrease arising from lower prices of natural gas purchased for the Empress facility, and

a \$5 million decrease caused by a weaker Canadian dollar.

Operating, Maintenance and Other. The \$20 million decrease was driven primarily by:

a \$15 million decrease caused by a weaker Canadian dollar, and

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

a \$12 million decrease in plant fuel and electricity costs at the Empress facility.

Depreciation and Amortization. The \$6 million decrease was driven primarily by a weaker Canadian dollar.

EBIT. The \$33 million decrease was driven primarily by lower NGL product prices that negatively impacted the Empress operations, as well as a weaker Canadian dollar, partially offset by higher gathering and processing revenues.

Table of Contents

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Same Period in 2008

Operating Revenues. The \$267 million decrease was driven primarily by:

a \$195 million decrease due mainly to lower NGL product prices associated with the Empress operations, and

a \$101 million decrease as a result of a weaker Canadian dollar, partially offset by

a \$27 million increase resulting primarily from higher gathering and processing revenues due to higher firm volumes.

Natural Gas and Petroleum Products Purchased. The \$143 million decrease was driven primarily by:

a \$122 million decrease arising from lower prices of natural gas purchased for the Empress facility, and

a \$21 million decrease caused by a weaker Canadian dollar.

Operating, Maintenance and Other. The \$36 million decrease was driven primarily by:

a \$41 million decrease caused by a weaker Canadian dollar, and

a \$17 million decrease in plant fuel and electricity costs at the Empress facility, partially offset by

a \$13 million increase in maintenance and other project costs.

Depreciation and Amortization. The \$10 million decrease was driven primarily by a weaker Canadian dollar.

EBIT. The \$81 million decrease was driven primarily by lower NGL product prices that negatively impacted the Empress operations, as well as a weaker Canadian dollar, partially offset by higher gathering and processing revenues.

Field Services

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	Increase (Decrease)	2009	2008	Increase (Decrease)
	(in millions, except where noted)					
Operating expenses	\$	\$	1	\$	\$	\$
Operating loss		(1)	1			
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	24	217	(193)	174	408	(234)
EBIT	\$ 24	\$ 216	\$ (192)	\$ 174	\$ 408	\$ (234)

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

Natural gas gathered and processed/transported, TBtu/d (a,b)	6.9	7.5	(0.6)	6.9	7.3	(0.4)
NGL production, MBbl/d (a,c)	359	375	(16)	345	378	(33)
Average natural gas price per MMBtu (d)	\$ 3.50	\$ 10.92	\$ (7.42)	\$ 4.19	\$ 9.48	\$ (5.29)
Average NGL price per gallon (e)	\$ 0.62	\$ 1.49	\$ (0.87)	\$ 0.59	\$ 1.42	\$ (0.83)

- (a) Reflects 100% of volumes.
- (b) Trillion British thermal units per day.
- (c) Thousand barrels per day.
- (d) Million British thermal units. Average price based on NYMEX Henry Hub.
- (e) Does not reflect results of commodity hedges.

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Same Period in 2008

EBIT. Lower equity earnings of \$193 million were primarily the result of the following variances, each representing our 50% ownership portion of the earnings drivers at DCP Midstream:

a \$210 million decrease from commodity-sensitive processing arrangements, due to decreased commodity prices,

a \$22 million decrease in gathering and processing margins primarily attributable to lower volumes due primarily to reduced drilling and lower recoveries and efficiencies, and

a \$13 million decrease due to higher net interest expense resulting from the increased debt associated with growth, acquisitions and a special distribution paid in 2008, partially offset by

a \$29 million increase in marketing margins related to timing,

a \$16 million increase in earnings from DCP Partners primarily as a result of lower mark-to-market losses on hedges used to protect distributable cash flows, and

a \$7 million increase primarily as a result of lower operating and maintenance expenses due to hurricane insurance recoveries and cost reduction initiatives, partially offset by higher depreciation expense as a result of capital spending and acquisitions in 2008.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Same Period in 2008

EBIT. Lower equity earnings of \$234 million were primarily the result of the following variances, each representing our 50% ownership portion of the earnings drivers at DCP Midstream:

a \$383 million decrease from commodity-sensitive processing arrangements, due to decreased commodity prices,

a \$44 million decrease in gathering and processing margins primarily attributable to lower volumes due primarily to reduced drilling and lower recoveries and efficiencies, and

a \$21 million decrease due to higher net interest expense resulting from the increased debt associated with growth, acquisitions and a special distribution paid in 2008, partially offset by

a \$135 million gain associated with partnership units previously issued by DCP Partners,

a \$47 million increase in marketing margins related to higher NGL trading results and derivatives timing,

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

a \$22 million increase in earnings from DCP Partners primarily as a result of lower mark-to-market losses on hedges used to protect distributable cash flows, and

a \$10 million increase primarily as a result of lower operating and maintenance expenses due to hurricane insurance recoveries and cost reduction initiatives, partially offset by higher depreciation expense as a result of capital spending and acquisitions in 2008.

Matters Affecting Future Field Services Results

In the near term, softening of natural gas prices, potential reduction in available capital and the recent downturn in the economy are having an effect on levels of drilling activity. The impact of these factors will vary across Field Services' broad geographic locations. Generally, we have seen a decrease in drilling levels in the first half of 2009. Although we have not seen a significant impact on Field Services' throughput volumes in the first half of 2009 due to reduced drilling levels, throughput volumes could further decline in the latter part of 2009 and beyond should natural gas prices and reduced drilling levels remain at current levels or decline further. Most of the reduced drilling is occurring in our lower margin regions which will somewhat mitigate the impact to our earnings.

Table of Contents**Other**

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	Increase (Decrease)	2009	2008	Increase (Decrease)
	(in millions, except where noted)					
Operating revenues	\$ 12	\$ 12	\$	\$ 24	\$ 21	\$ 3
Operating expenses	28	38	(10)	60	66	(6)
Operating loss	(16)	(26)	10	(36)	(45)	9
Other income and expenses	4	(2)	6		(3)	3
EBIT	\$ (12)	\$ (28)	\$ 16	\$ (36)	\$ (48)	\$ 12

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Same Periods in 2008

EBIT. The \$16 million and \$12 million increases in EBIT, for the three and six-months periods respectively, reflect lower expenses primarily as a result of expenses that are expected to be incurred later in 2009 compared to 2008.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 contained discussions of our critical accounting policies and estimates that require the use of significant estimates and judgment. See also Note 11 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 for further discussion regarding significant estimates and judgment used in our annual goodwill impairment test as of April 1, 2009.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net working capital was negative \$1,094 million as of June 30, 2009, which included current maturities of long-term debt of \$979 million. We will rely primarily upon cash flows from operations and additional financing transactions to fund our liquidity and capital requirements for the next 12 months, including issuances of short-term and long-term debt. See Financing Cash Flows and Liquidity for discussions of effective shelf registrations and available credit facilities.

Operating Cash Flows

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased \$122 million to \$1,019 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008, driven mainly by a \$400 million decrease in distributions received from unconsolidated affiliates in 2009, primarily from DCP Midstream, partially offset by higher approved gas cost collections from customers in 2009 compared to 2008 and proceeds of \$62 million from the termination of fair value hedges in 2009. The gas cost collections have been deferred and will be refunded to customers in future periods.

Investing Cash Flows

Cash flows used in investing activities decreased \$480 million to \$544 million in the first six months of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008. This change was driven primarily by a \$504 million decrease in capital and investment expenditures in 2009 as a result of the planned reduction in capital expansion levels for 2009 compared to 2008.

Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 2008 (in millions)	
Capital and Investment Expenditures (a)		
U.S. Transmission	\$ 215	\$ 680
Distribution	97	159
Western Canada Transmission & Processing	100	75
Other	14	16
 Total	 \$ 426	 \$ 930

(a) Excludes the acquisition of NOARK.

Capital and investment expenditures for the six months ended June 30, 2009 consisted of \$233 million for expansion projects and \$193 million for maintenance and other projects.

As previously discussed, on May 4, 2009, Spectra Energy Partners acquired all of the ownership interests of NOARK from Atlas for approximately \$295 million in cash. See Note 2 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

We continue to project 2009 capital and investment expenditures of approximately \$1.1 billion, excluding the acquisition of NOARK, consisting of approximately \$500 million for U.S. Transmission, \$200 million for Distribution and \$400 million for Western Canada Transmission & Processing. Total projected 2009 capital and investment expenditures include approximately \$600 million of expansion capital expenditures and \$500 million for maintenance and upgrades of existing plants, pipelines and infrastructure to serve growth. We will continue to assess short and long-term market requirements and will adjust our capital plans as required. We anticipate placing approximately \$650 million of capital expansion projects into service in 2009.

On May 27, 2009, we received a \$148 million special distribution from Gulfstream, a 50% owned equity affiliate, from the proceeds of a debt issuance by Gulfstream, of which \$144 million was classified as Cash Flows from Investing Activities Distributions Received From Unconsolidated Affiliates on the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Financing Cash Flows and Liquidity

Our consolidated capital structure includes long-term debt, short-term borrowings, commercial paper and preferred stock of subsidiaries. As of June 30, 2009, our capital structure was 57% debt, 38% common equity of controlling interests and 5% noncontrolling interests and preferred stock of subsidiaries.

Net cash used in financing activities totaled \$402 million in the first six months of 2009 compared to \$123 million in the first six months of 2008. This change was driven primarily by:

a \$936 million decrease in short-term borrowings in 2009 compared to a \$48 million decrease in the 2008 period,

a \$111 million increase in distributions to noncontrolling interests in 2009 compared to the same period in 2008, primarily from proceeds of the new debt issuance at M&N, LLC, and

\$317 million of net proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt in 2009 compared to \$497 million in 2008, partially offset by

proceeds of \$448 million in 2009 from the issuance of Spectra Energy common stock,

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

proceeds of \$208 million in 2009 from the issuance of Spectra Energy Partners' common units, and

repurchases of Spectra Energy common stock in 2008 of \$284 million.

Table of Contents

As previously discussed, on May 4, 2009, Spectra Energy Partners acquired all of the ownership interests of NOARK from Atlas for approximately \$295 million in cash. The transaction was initially funded by Spectra Energy Partners with \$218 million drawn on its bank credit facility, \$70 million borrowed under a credit facility with Spectra Energy and \$7 million of cash on hand. This transaction was partially refinanced by Spectra Energy Partners in the second quarter of 2009 through the issuance of 9.8 million limited partner units to the public and 0.2 million general partner units, resulting in net proceeds of \$212 million and a reduction of our ownership interest in Spectra Energy Partners from 84% to 74%. Funds from the sale of the partner units were used by Spectra Energy Partners to repay the \$70 million owed to Spectra Energy and \$142 million of the amount initially drawn on the Spectra Energy Partners bank credit facility.

On May 14, 2009, M&N LLC issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of its 7.5% Senior Notes due 2014. Net proceeds from the offering were used to fund cash distributions to its members. Spectra Energy's share of those cash distributions were used for general corporate purposes.

As previously discussed, on February 13, 2009, in order to further protect our capitalization structure against a potential extreme decline in the Canadian dollar, we issued 32.2 million shares of our common stock and received net proceeds of \$448 million. We used the net proceeds to repay commercial paper as it matured. Borrowings from the commercial paper were used primarily for capital expenditures and for other general corporate purposes.

Available Credit Facilities and Restrictive Debt Covenants. See Note 12 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of available credit facilities and related financial and other covenants.

The terms of our Spectra Capital credit agreement requires our consolidated debt-to-total-capitalization ratio to be 65% or lower. As of June 30, 2009, this ratio was 57%. Our equity and, as a result, this ratio, are sensitive to significant movements of the Canadian dollar relative to the U.S. dollar due to the significance of our Canadian operations.

Credit Ratings

	Standard and Poor's	Moody's Investor Service	Dominion Bond Rating Service
As of July 31, 2009			
Spectra Capital (a)	BBB	Baa2	Not applicable
Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (a)	BBB+	Baa1	Not applicable
Westcoast (a)	BBB+	Not applicable	A (low)
Union Gas (a)	BBB+	Not applicable	A
Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, L.L.C. (a)	BBB	Baa3	Not applicable
Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline Limited Partnership (b)	A	A2	A

(a) Represents senior unsecured credit rating.

(b) Represents senior secured credit rating.

The above credit ratings are dependent upon, among other factors, the ability to generate sufficient cash to fund capital and investment expenditures, while maintaining the strength of the current balance sheet. These credit ratings could be negatively affected if, as a result of market conditions or other factors, they are unable to maintain the current balance sheet strength or if earnings or cash flow outlooks deteriorate materially.

On April 28, 2009, Standard & Poor's affirmed Spectra Energy Corp.'s long-term credit rating at BBB+ (investment grade) and lowered its outlook from stable to negative, citing concerns over the impact of low commodity prices. Spectra Capital's and Texas Eastern Transmission, LP's (Texas Eastern's) outlooks were also lowered to negative at that time.

Table of Contents

On July 15, 2009, Moody's Investor Service downgraded Spectra Capital's senior unsecured debt rating from Baa1 to Baa2 and Texas Eastern's senior unsecured debt from A3 to Baa1, citing concerns primarily over the impact of low commodity prices and borrowings for capital expansion. Moody's also affirmed Spectra Capital's outlook as stable. This downgrade, which results in ratings that are still investment grade, does not trigger any debt acceleration clauses in our debt and credit agreements.

Dividends. We currently anticipate an average dividend payout ratio over time of approximately 60% of estimated annual net income from controlling interests per share of common stock and expect to continue our policy of paying regular cash dividends. The actual payout ratio, however, may vary from year to year depending on earnings levels. The declaration and payment of dividends are subject to the sole discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon many factors, including the financial condition, earnings and capital requirements of our operating subsidiaries, covenants associated with certain debt obligations, legal requirements, regulatory constraints and other factors deemed relevant by our Board of Directors. A dividend of \$0.25 per common share was declared on July 2, 2009 and will be paid on September 14, 2009.

Other Financing Matters. We have an automatic shelf registration statement on file with the SEC to register the issuance of unspecified amounts of various equity and debt securities. In addition, as of the date of this filing, certain of our subsidiaries had 800 million Canadian dollars (approximately \$688 million) available under shelf registrations for issuances in the Canadian market, of which 400 million expires in August 2010 and 400 million expires in September 2010.

OTHER ISSUES

New Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 21 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Our exposure to market risk is described in Item 7A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. We believe the exposure to market risk has not changed materially at June 30, 2009.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of June 30, 2009, and, based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that these controls and procedures are effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated changes in internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2009 and found no change that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

For information regarding material legal proceedings, see Note 14 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, careful consideration should be given to the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, which could materially affect our financial condition or future results. There were no changes to those risk factors at June 30, 2009.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

At our annual meeting of shareholders on May 7, 2009, our shareholders elected Gregory L. Ebel, Peter B. Hamilton and Michael E.J. Phelps to serve as Class III directors until the 2010 annual meeting of shareholders and until such Director's successor is duly elected and qualified. Below is a tabulation of votes with respect to each nominee for director at the meeting:

Nominee	For	Against/ Withheld
Gregory L. Ebel	525,110,021	8,803,455
Peter B. Hamilton	524,988,733	8,924,743
Michael E.J. Phelps	521,567,089	12,346,387

In addition, our shareholders approved an amendment to Spectra Energy's Restated Certificate of Incorporation, which provides for the phased elimination of the structure of our classified Board of Directors and other confirming changes, and ratified the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP to act as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2009. Below is a tabulation of votes with respect to each proposal:

Proposal	For	Against	Abstain
Approval of amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation	518,592,438	13,217,939	2,103,097
Ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent registered public accounting firm for 2009	527,574,118	5,017,864	1,321,492

Item 6. Exhibits.

The agreements included as exhibits to this Form 10-Q contain representations and warranties by each of the parties to the applicable agreement. These representations and warranties have been made solely for the benefit of the other parties to the applicable agreement and:

should not in all instances be treated as categorical statements of fact, but rather as a way of allocating the risk to one of the parties if those statements prove to be inaccurate;

have been qualified by disclosures that were made to the other party in connection with the negotiation of the applicable agreement, which disclosures are not necessarily reflected in the agreement;

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

may apply standards of materiality in a way that is different from what may be viewed as material to you or other investors; and

were made only as of the date of the applicable agreement or such other date or dates as may be specified in the agreement and are subject to more recent developments.

Table of Contents

Accordingly, these representations and warranties may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time. We acknowledge that, notwithstanding the inclusion of the foregoing cautionary statements, we are responsible for considering whether additional specific disclosures of material information regarding material contractual provisions are required to make the statements in this Form 10-Q not misleading.

(a) Exhibits

Exhibit Number

3.1	Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Spectra Energy Corp (filed as Exhibit No. 3.1 to Form 8-K of Spectra Energy Corp on May 13, 2009).
3.2	Amended and Restated By-Laws of Spectra Energy Corp (Amended and Restated as of May 8, 2009) (filed as Exhibit No. 3.2 to Form 8-K of Spectra Energy Corp on May 13, 2009).
*4.1	Indenture, dated May 14, 2009, between Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, LLC and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas.
*4.2	First Supplemental Indenture, dated May 14, 2009, between Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, LLC and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas.
*10.1	Tax Matters Agreement by and among Duke Energy Corporation, Spectra Energy Corp, and The Other Spectra Energy Parties, dated as of December 13, 2006.
*10.2	Transition Services Agreement by and between Duke Energy Corporation and Spectra Energy Corp, dated as of December 13, 2006.
*10.3	Employee Matters Agreement by and between Duke Energy Corporation and Spectra Energy Corp, dated as of December 13, 2006.
*10.3.1	First Amendment to Employee Matters Agreement, dated as of September 28, 2007, by and between Duke Energy Corporation and Spectra Energy Corp.
*10.4	Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of February 24, 2005, by and between Enterprise GP Holdings LP and DCP Midstream, LLC.
*10.5	Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of DCP Midstream, LLC by and between ConocoPhillips Gas Company and Duke Energy Enterprises Corporation, dated as of July 5, 2005.
*10.6	Loan Agreement, dated as of February 25, 2005, between DCP Midstream, LLC and Duke Capital LLC.
*18.1	Accountants' Preferability Letter Regarding Change in Accounting Principles.
*31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
*101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
*101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
*101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

*101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

* Filed herewith.

Previously filed as an exhibit to prior reports. This document is being refiled to include previously omitted schedules.

Table of Contents

The total amount of securities of the registrant or its subsidiaries authorized under any instrument with respect to long-term debt not filed as an exhibit does not exceed 10% of the total assets of the registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. The registrant agrees, upon request of the Securities and Exchange Commission, to furnish copies of any or all of such instruments to it.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SPECTRA ENERGY CORP

Date: August 7, 2009

/s/ GREGORY L. EBEL
Gregory L. Ebel
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 7, 2009

/s/ J. PATRICK REDDY
J. Patrick Reddy
Chief Financial Officer