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PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.
THE J. M. SMUCKER COMPANY

## CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME

(Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended October 31, |  | Six Months Ended October 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales | \$ 1,513,905 | \$ 1,278,913 | \$ 2,702,788 | \$ 2,326,225 |
| Cost of products sold | 1,002,517 | 772,171 | 1,749,890 | 1,401,595 |
| Cost of products sold restructuring | 11,804 | 12,072 | 21,470 | 21,525 |
| Cost of products sold merger and integration | 915 | 0 | 1,675 | 0 |
| Gross Profit | 498,669 | 494,670 | 929,753 | 903,105 |
| Selling, distribution, and administrative expenses | 236,602 | 222,821 | 453,154 | 426,082 |
| Amortization | 20,559 | 18,501 | 40,794 | 36,998 |
| Other restructuring costs | 10,356 | 8,345 | 20,253 | 26,449 |
| Other merger and integration costs | 6,871 | 2,773 | 11,556 | 5,429 |
| Loss on sale of business | 11,287 | 0 | 11,287 | 0 |
| Other operating expense net | 1,380 | 2,194 | 392 | 2,944 |
| Operating Income | 211,614 | 240,036 | 392,317 | 405,203 |
| Interest income | 324 | 572 | 626 | 1,005 |
| Interest expense | $(19,448)$ | $(18,505)$ | $(34,870)$ | $(35,044)$ |
| Other income (expense) net | 711 | (376) | 1,954 | 317 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 193,201 | 221,727 | 360,027 | 371,481 |
| Income taxes | 65,954 | 72,001 | 121,257 | 118,874 |
| Net Income | \$ 127,247 | \$ 149,726 | \$ 238,770 | \$ 252,607 |
| Earnings per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income | \$ 1.12 | \$ 1.25 | 2.09 | \$ 2.12 |
| Net Income Assuming Dilution | \$ 1.12 | \$ 1.25 | \$ 2.09 | \$ 2.11 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.96 | \$ 0.80 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

## THE J. M. SMUCKER COMPANY

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

|  | October 31, $2011 \quad$ April 30, 2011(Dollars in thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |
| CURRENT ASSETS |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 496,288 | \$ | 319,845 |
| Trade receivables, less allowances | 462,169 |  | 344,410 |
| Inventories: |  |  |  |
| Finished products | 704,537 |  | 518,243 |
| Raw materials | 439,414 |  | 345,336 |
|  | 1,143,951 |  | 863,579 |
| Other current assets | 91,299 |  | 109,165 |
| Total Current Assets | 2,193,707 |  | 1,636,999 |
| PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT |  |  |  |
| Land and land improvements | 87,492 |  | 77,074 |
| Buildings and fixtures | 383,144 |  | 347,950 |
| Machinery and equipment | 1,077,776 |  | 1,022,670 |
| Construction in progress | 129,348 |  | 76,778 |
|  | 1,677,760 |  | 1,524,472 |
| Accumulated depreciation | $(729,535)$ |  | $(656,590)$ |
| Total Property, Plant, and Equipment | 948,225 |  | 867,882 |
| OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS |  |  |  |
| Goodwill | 2,897,981 |  | 2,812,746 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 3,099,067 |  | 2,940,010 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 76,440 |  | 66,948 |
| Total Other Noncurrent Assets | 6,073,488 |  | 5,819,704 |
|  | \$ 9,215,420 | \$ | 8,324,585 |


| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ 260,653 | \$ 234,916 |
| Accrued trade marketing and merchandising | 97,023 | 62,588 |
| Other current liabilities | 187,245 | 185,172 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 544,921 | 482,676 |
| NONCURRENT LIABILITIES |  |  |
| Long-term debt | 2,071,852 | 1,304,039 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,031,280 | 1,042,823 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | 204,018 | 202,684 |
| Total Noncurrent Liabilities | 3,307,150 | 2,549,546 |
| SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |
| Common shares | 28,463 | 28,543 |
| Additional capital | 4,389,605 | 4,396,592 |


| Retained income | 977,704 | 866,933 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Amount due from ESOP Trust | $(2,572)$ | $(3,334)$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income | $(29,851)$ | 3,629 |
|  |  |  |
| Total Shareholders Equity | $5,363,349$ | $5,292,363$ |
|  | $\$ 9,215,420$ | $\$ 8,324,585$ |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

## THE J. M. SMUCKER COMPANY

## CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

|  | Six Months Ended October 31,2011(Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 238,770 | \$ | 252,607 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation |  | 55,796 |  | 56,646 |
| Depreciation restructuring and merger and integration |  | 23,127 |  | 21,440 |
| Amortization |  | 40,794 |  | 36,998 |
| Share-based compensation expense |  | 12,554 |  | 12,268 |
| Other noncash restructuring charges |  | 1,769 |  | 5,367 |
| Loss on sale of assets - net |  | 2,726 |  | 1,027 |
| Loss on sale of business |  | 11,287 |  | 0 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effect from business acquired: |  |  |  |  |
| Trade receivables |  | $(106,362)$ |  | $(177,018)$ |
| Inventories |  | $(272,613)$ |  | $(167,945)$ |
| Accounts payable and accrued items |  | 71,133 |  | 95,208 |
| Proceeds from settlement of interest rate swaps - net |  | 17,718 |  | 0 |
| Defined benefit pension contributions |  | $(4,496)$ |  | $(12,312)$ |
| Accrued and prepaid income taxes |  | $(33,069)$ |  | $(105,166)$ |
| Other net |  | 800 |  | 426 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 59,934 |  | 19,546 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Business acquired, net of cash acquired |  | $(362,846)$ |  | 0 |
| Additions to property, plant, and equipment |  | $(135,707)$ |  | $(62,073)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of business |  | 9,268 |  | 0 |
| Sale and maturity of marketable securities |  | 18,600 |  | 9,000 |
| Purchases of marketable securities |  | 0 |  | $(57,037)$ |
| Proceeds from disposal of property, plant, and equipment |  | 903 |  | 339 |
| Other net |  | $(2,250)$ |  | 11 |
| Net cash used for investing activities |  | $(472,032)$ |  | $(109,760)$ |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Repayments of long-term debt |  | 0 |  | $(10,000)$ |
| Proceeds from long-term debt - net |  | 748,560 |  | 400,000 |
| Quarterly dividends paid |  | $(104,825)$ |  | $(95,333)$ |
| Purchase of treasury shares |  | $(44,592)$ |  | $(5,147)$ |
| Proceeds from stock option exercises |  | 518 |  | 2,100 |
| Other net |  | $(5,101)$ |  | 2,476 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities |  | 594,560 |  | 294,096 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes |  | $(6,019)$ |  | 11 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents |  | 176,443 |  | 203,893 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period |  | 319,845 |  | 283,570 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | 496,288 |  | 487,463 |

## Edgar Filing: SMUCKER J M CO - Form 10-Q

( ) Denotes use of cash
See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

## THE J. M. SMUCKER COMPANY

## NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

## Note A Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments of a normal recurring nature considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year classifications.

Operating results for the six-month period ended October 31, 2011, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending April 30, 2012. For further information, reference is made to the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2011, as updated by the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October $13,2011$.

## Note B Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. ASU 2011-04 provides clarification about the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements and expands certain other disclosure requirements. This ASU will be effective February 1, 2012, for the Company. The Company anticipates the adoption of ASU 2011-04 will not impact the financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income, which eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of shareholders equity and requires the presentation of net income and other comprehensive income to be in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 does not change the components that are recognized in net income or other comprehensive income. This ASU will be effective May 1 , 2012, for the Company; however, early adoption is permitted. Adoption of this guidance requires retrospective application and will affect the presentation of certain elements of the Company $s$ financial statements, but will not otherwise have an impact on the financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, Testing Goodwill for Impairment, which simplifies the testing of goodwill for impairment. ASU 2011-08 will allow the Company the option to perform either a qualitative test or the first step of the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test to assess the likelihood that the estimated fair value of a reporting unit is less than the carrying amount. This ASU will be effective May 1, 2012, for the Company; however, early adoption is permitted. The Company anticipates that adoption of ASU 2011-08 could change the annual process for goodwill impairment testing, but will not impact the financial statements.

## Note C Rowland Coffee Acquisition

On May 16, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of the coffee brands and business operations of Rowland Coffee Roasters, Inc. ( Rowland Coffee ), a privately-held company headquartered in Miami, Florida, for $\$ 362.8$ million. The acquisition included a manufacturing, distribution, and office facility in Miami. The Company utilized cash on hand and borrowed $\$ 180.0$ million under its revolving credit facility to fund the transaction. In addition, the Company has incurred one-time costs of $\$ 5.7$ million directly related to the merger and integration of Rowland Coffee, which includes approximately $\$ 1.7$ million in noncash expense items that were reported in cost of products sold. The remaining charges were reported in other merger and integration costs in the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Income. Total one-time costs related to the acquisition are estimated to be between $\$ 25.0$ million and $\$ 30.0$ million, including approximately $\$ 15.0$ million of noncash
charges, primarily accelerated depreciation, associated with consolidating coffee production currently in Miami into the Company s existing facilities in New Orleans, Louisiana. The Company expects these costs to be incurred over the next two to four years.

Rowland Coffee was a leading producer of espresso coffee in the U.S., generating total net sales in excess of $\$ 110.0$ million in calendar 2010. The acquisition strengthens and broadens the Company s leadership in the U.S. retail coffee category by adding the leading Hispanic brands, Café Bustelo ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Café Pilon ${ }^{T M}$, to the Company s portfolio of brands.

The purchase price was allocated to the underlying assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. The Company determined the estimated fair values based on independent appraisals, discounted cash flow analyses, and estimates made by management. The purchase price exceeded the estimated fair value of the net identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired, and as such the excess was allocated to goodwill. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date.

| Assets acquired: | 33,971 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Current assets | 29,227 |
| Property, plant, and equipment | 213,500 |
| Intangible assets | 91,675 |
| Goodwill | $\$ 368,373$ |
| Total assets acquired | $\$$ |
| Liabilities assumed: | 5,527 |
| Current liabilities | $\$ 5,527$ |
| Total liabilities assumed | $\$ 362,846$ |

Goodwill of $\$ 84.9$ million and $\$ 6.8$ million was assigned to the U.S. Retail Coffee and the International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods segments, respectively. Of the total goodwill, $\$ 87.4$ million is deductible for tax purposes.

The purchase price allocated to the identifiable intangible assets acquired is as follows:

| Intangible assets with finite lives: | $\$ 147,800$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Customer relationships (19-year weighted-average useful life) | 1,600 |
| Trademark (10-year useful life) | $\$ 64,100$ |
| Intangible assets with indefinite lives: | $\$ 213,500$ |

The results of operations of the Rowland Coffee business are included in the Company s consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition and include $\$ 30.5$ million and $\$ 53.7$ million of total net sales and $\$ 3.6$ million and $\$ 5.3$ million of total segment profit included in the U.S. Retail Coffee and International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods segment financial results for the three months and six months ended October 31, 2011, respectively. If the acquisition had occurred on May 1, 2010, there would not have been a material impact to consolidated results for the three months or six months ended October 31, 2010.

Note D Restructuring
During calendar 2010, the Company announced its plan to restructure its coffee, fruit spreads, and Canadian pickle and condiments operations as part of its ongoing efforts to enhance the long-term strength and profitability of its leading brands. The initiative is a long-term investment to optimize production capacity and lower the overall cost structure. It includes capital investments for a new state-of-the-art food manufacturing
facility in Orrville, Ohio, and consolidation of coffee production in New Orleans, Louisiana. The Company s pickle and condiments production has been transitioned to third-party manufacturers.

The Company expects to incur restructuring costs of approximately $\$ 235.0$ million, of which $\$ 149.4$ million has been incurred through October 31, 2011. The balance of the costs is anticipated to be recognized over the next three fiscal years.

Upon completion in 2014, the restructuring plan will result in a reduction of approximately 850 full-time positions and the closing of six of the Company s facilities Memphis, Tennessee; Ste. Marie, Quebec; Sherman, Texas; Kansas City, Missouri; Dunnville, Ontario; and Delhi Township, Ontario. The Sherman facility closed in April 2011 and the last production run at the Dunnville and Delhi Township facilities was in November 2011.

The following table summarizes the restructuring activity, including the reserves established and the total amount expected to be incurred.

|  | Site Preparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Long-Lived Asset Charges |  | Employee <br> Separation |  |  | reparation and Equipment Relocation |  | Production Start-up |  | er Costs |  | Total |
| Total expected restructuring charge | \$ | 118,000 | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 23,500 |  | \$ 23,000 | \$ | 10,500 |  | 235,000 |
| Balance at May 1,2010 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 1,089 | \$ | 0 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 0 | \$ | 1,089 |
| Charge to expense |  | 53,569 |  | 36,010 |  | 6,192 |  | 5,194 |  | 992 |  | 101,957 |
| Cash payments |  | 0 |  | $(18,361)$ |  | $(6,192)$ |  | $(5,194)$ |  | (992) |  | $(30,739)$ |
| Noncash utilization |  | $(53,569)$ |  | $(8,540)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(62,109)$ |
| Balance at April 30, 2011 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 10,198 | \$ | 0 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 0 | \$ | 10,198 |
| Charge to expense |  | 21,451 |  | 11,051 |  | 5,537 |  | 3,000 |  | 684 |  | 41,723 |
| Cash payments |  | 0 |  | $(4,929)$ |  | $(5,537)$ |  | $(3,000)$ |  | (684) |  | $(14,150)$ |
| Noncash utilization |  | $(21,451)$ |  | $(1,769)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(23,220)$ |
| Balance at October 31, 2011 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 14,551 | \$ | 0 | \$ | \$ 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 14,551 |
| Remaining expected restructuring charge | \$ | 39,110 |  | 11,800 | \$ | 11,364 |  | \$ 14,790 | \$ | 8,545 |  | 85,609 |

During the three and six months ended October 31, 2011, total restructuring charges of $\$ 22,160$ and $\$ 41,723$, respectively, were reported in the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Income. Of the total restructuring charges, $\$ 11,804$ and $\$ 21,470$ were reported in cost of products sold in the three and six months ended October 31, 2011, respectively. During the three and six months ended October 31, 2010, total restructuring charges of $\$ 20,417$ and $\$ 47,974$, respectively, were reported in the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Income. Of the total restructuring charges, $\$ 12,072$ and $\$ 21,525$ were reported in cost of products sold in the three and six months ended October 31, 2010, respectively. The remaining charges were reported in other restructuring costs. The restructuring costs classified as cost of products sold primarily include long-lived asset charges for accelerated depreciation related to property, plant, and equipment that will be used at the affected production facilities until they are closed or sold.

Expected employee separation costs include severance, retention bonuses, and pension costs. Severance costs and retention bonuses are being recognized over the estimated future service period of the affected employees. The obligation related to employee separation costs is included in other current liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. For additional information on the impact of the restructuring plan on defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans, see Note J Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits.

Other costs include professional fees, costs related to closing the facilities, and miscellaneous expenditures associated with the Company s restructuring initiative and are expensed as incurred.

## Note E Share-Based Payments

The Company provides for equity-based incentives to be awarded to key employees and non-employee directors. These incentives are administered primarily through the 2010 Equity and Incentive Compensation

Plan, and currently consist of restricted shares, restricted stock units, deferred shares, deferred stock units, performance units, and stock options.

The following table summarizes amounts related to share-based payments.

|  | Three Months Ended October 31, |  | Six Months Ended October 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Share-based compensation expense included in selling, distribution, and administrative expenses | \$ 5,593 | \$ 5,968 | \$ 10,744 | \$ 10,308 |
| Share-based compensation expense included in other merger and integration costs | 929 | 973 | 1,810 | 1,960 |
| Share based compensation expense included in other restructuring costs | 22 | 109 | 65 | 174 |
| Total share-based compensation expense | \$ 6,544 | \$ 7,050 | \$ 12,619 | \$ 12,442 |
| Related income tax benefit | \$ 2,236 | \$ 2,293 | \$ 4,250 | \$ 3,981 |

As of October 31, 2011, total compensation cost related to nonvested share-based awards not yet recognized was approximately $\$ 40,217$. The weighted-average period over which this amount is expected to be recognized is approximately 3.4 years.

## Note F Common Shares

The following table sets forth common share information.

|  | October 31, 2011 | April 30, 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Common shares authorized | $150,000,000$ | $150,000,000$ |
| Common shares outstanding | $113,851,792$ | $114,172,122$ |
| Treasury shares | $14,753,373$ | $14,432,043$ |

## Note G Reportable Segments

The Company operates in one industry: the manufacturing and marketing of food products. Effective May 1, 2011, the Company s reportable segments have been modified to align segment financial results with the responsibilities of segment management, consistent with the executive appointments announced in March 2011. As a result, the Company has the following three reportable segments: U.S. Retail Coffee, U.S. Retail Consumer Foods, and International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods. The U.S. Retail Coffee segment primarily represents the domestic sales of Folgers ${ }^{\circledR}$, Dunkin Donuts ${ }^{\circledR}$, Millstone ${ }^{\circledR}$, Café Bustelo ${ }^{\circledR}$, and Café Pilon ${ }^{T M}$ branded coffee to retail customers; the U.S. Retail Consumer Foods segment primarily includes domestic sales of Smucker $s^{\circledR}$, Crisco ${ }^{\circledR}$, Jif ${ }^{\circledR}$, Pillsbury ${ }^{\circledR}$, Eagle Brand ${ }^{\circledR}$, Hungry Jack ${ }^{\circledR}$, and Martha White ${ }^{\circledR}$ branded products; and the International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods segment is comprised of products distributed domestically and in foreign countries through retail channels, foodservice distributors and operators (e.g., restaurants, schools and universities, health care operators), and health and natural foods stores and distributors.

Also effective May 1, 2011, certain specialty brands which were previously included in the U.S. Retail Consumer Foods segment are included in the International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods segment ( product realignments ). Segment performance for 2011 has been reclassified for these product realignments and the organizational changes described above.

The following table sets forth reportable segment information.

|  | Three Months Ended October 31, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended October 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2010 |  |
| Net sales: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Retail Coffee | \$ | 617,523 | \$ | 477,287 |  | 1,117,632 | \$ | 870,857 |
| U.S. Retail Consumer Foods |  | 615,192 |  | 543,045 |  | 1,074,692 |  | 991,567 |
| International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods |  | 281,190 |  | 258,581 |  | 510,464 |  | 463,801 |
| Total net sales |  | 1,513,905 |  | 1,278,913 |  | 2,702,788 |  | 2,326,225 |
| Segment profit: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Retail Coffee | \$ | 139,958 | \$ | 149,099 |  | 279,669 | \$ | 260,981 |
| U.S. Retail Consumer Foods |  | 115,955 |  | 113,127 |  | 194,974 |  | 206,482 |
| International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods |  | 38,991 |  | 51,420 |  | 77,536 |  | 86,941 |
| Total segment profit | \$ | 294,904 | \$ | 313,646 |  | 552,179 | \$ | 554,404 |
| Interest income |  | 324 |  | 572 |  | 626 |  | 1,005 |
| Interest expense |  | $(19,448)$ |  | $(18,505)$ |  | $(34,870)$ |  | $(35,044)$ |
| Share-based compensation expense |  | $(5,593)$ |  | $(5,968)$ |  | $(10,744)$ |  | $(10,308)$ |
| Cost of products sold restructuring |  | $(11,804)$ |  | $(12,072)$ |  | $(21,470)$ |  | $(21,525)$ |
| Cost of products sold merger and integration |  | (915) |  | 0 |  | $(1,675)$ |  | 0 |
| Other restructuring costs |  | $(10,356)$ |  | $(8,345)$ |  | $(20,253)$ |  | $(26,449)$ |
| Other merger and integration costs |  | $(6,871)$ |  | $(2,773)$ |  | $(11,556)$ |  | $(5,429)$ |
| Corporate administrative expenses |  | $(47,751)$ |  | $(44,452)$ |  | $(94,164)$ |  | $(85,490)$ |
| Other income (expense) net |  | 711 |  | (376) |  | 1,954 |  | 317 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ | 193,201 | \$ | 221,727 |  | 360,027 |  | 371,481 |

Note H Debt and Financing Arrangements

Long-term debt consists of the following:

|  | October 31, 2011 | April 30, 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 4.78\% Senior Notes due June 1, 2014 | $\$$ | 100,000 |
| 6.12\% Senior Notes due November 1, 2015 | 24,000 | 100,000 |
| 6.63\% Senior Notes due November 1, 2018 |  | 24,000 |
| 3.50\% Notes due October 15, 2021 |  | 748,566 |
| 5.55\% Senior Notes due April 1, 2022 | 400,000 | 380,039 |
| 4.50\% Senior Notes due June 1, 2025 | 400,000 | 400,000 |
|  |  |  |
| Total long-term debt | $\$$ | $2,071,852$ |

On October 18, 2011, the Company completed a public issuance of $\$ 750.0$ million in aggregate principal amount of 3.50 percent Notes due October 15, 2021. Interest is payable semiannually beginning April 15, 2012. The Company received proceeds of approximately $\$ 748.6$ million, net of an offering discount of $\$ 1.4$ million. The discount is being amortized to interest expense over the life of the 3.50 percent Notes resulting in an effective rate of 3.52 percent. The 3.50 percent Notes may be redeemed at any time prior to maturity, at the option of the Company. The 3.50 percent Notes are senior unsecured obligations and rank equally with the Company s other unsecured and unsubordinated debt and are guaranteed fully and unconditionally, on a joint and several basis, by J.M. Smucker LLC and The Folgers Coffee Company, two of the Company s wholly-owned subsidiaries. A portion of the net proceeds was used for the repayment of borrowings outstanding under the Company s revolving credit facility resulting from funding the Rowland Coffee acquisition. The remainder will be used for general corporate purposes, including the anticipated acquisition of the majority of Sara Lee Corporation s North American foodservice coffee and hot beverage business, expected to close near the beginning of calendar 2012.

In anticipation of the 3.50 percent Notes public issuance, the Company entered into a forward-starting interest rate swap agreement in August 2011 to partially hedge the risk of an increase in the benchmark interest rate during the period leading up to the public issuance. The interest rate

## swap was designated as a cash flow

hedge with a notional amount of $\$ 500.0$ million. On October 13, 2011, in conjunction with the pricing of the 3.50 percent Notes, the Company terminated the interest rate swap prior to maturity. The termination resulted in a loss of $\$ 6.2$ million, which will be amortized over the life of the related debt offering. For additional information, see Note M Derivative Financial Instruments.

In 2011, the Company entered into an interest rate swap on the 6.63 percent Senior Notes due November 1, 2018, converting the Senior Notes from a fixed to a variable-rate basis until maturity. The interest rate swap was designated as a fair value hedge of the underlying debt obligation with a notional amount of $\$ 376.0$ million. In August 2011, the Company terminated the interest rate swap agreement prior to maturity. As a result of the early termination, the Company received $\$ 27.0$ million in cash, which included $\$ 3.1$ million of interest receivable, and will realize a $\$ 23.9$ million reduction of future interest expense through November 1, 2018. The unamortized benefit at October 31, 2011, was $\$ 23.3$ million and the fair value adjustment of the interest rate swap at April 30, 2011, was $\$ 4.0$ million and both were recorded as an increase in the long-term debt balance. For additional information, see Note M Derivative Financial Instruments.

All of the Company s Senior Notes are unsecured and interest is paid semiannually. Scheduled payments are required on the 5.55 percent Senior Notes, the first of which is $\$ 50.0$ million on April 1, 2013, and on the 4.50 percent Senior Notes, the first of which is $\$ 100.0$ million on June 1, 2020.

On July 29, 2011, the Company entered into a second amended and restated credit agreement with a group of ten banks. The credit facility, which amends and restates in its entirety the $\$ 600.0$ million credit agreement dated as of January 31, 2011, provides for an unsecured revolving credit line of $\$ 1.0$ billion and matures July 29, 2016. The Company s borrowings under the credit facility bear interest based on prevailing U.S. Prime Rate, Canadian Base Rate, London Interbank Offered Rate, or Canadian Dealer Offered Rate, as determined by the Company. Interest is payable either on a quarterly basis or at the end of the borrowing term. At October 31, 2011, the Company did not have a balance outstanding under the revolving credit facility.

The Company s debt instruments contain certain financial covenant restrictions including consolidated net worth, a leverage ratio, and an interest coverage ratio. The Company is in compliance with all covenants.

## Note I Earnings per Share

The following tables set forth the computation of net income per common share and net income per common share assuming dilution.

|  | Three Months Ended October 31, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended October 31, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Computation of net income per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 127,247 | \$ | 149,726 | \$ | 238,770 | \$ | 252,607 |
| Net income allocated to participating securities |  | 1,297 |  | 1,501 |  | 2,424 |  | 2,478 |
| Net income allocated to common shareholders | \$ | 125,950 | \$ | 148,225 | \$ | 236,346 | \$ | 250,129 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding |  | ,731,758 |  | 118,313,698 | 112,927,273 |  |  | ,235,258 |
| Net income per common share | \$ | 1.12 | \$ | 1.25 | \$ | 2.09 | \$ | 2.12 |
|  | Three Months Ended October 31,$2011 \quad 2010$ |  |  |  | Six Months Ended October 31, 2011 |  |  |  |
| Computation of net income per share assuming dilution: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 127,247 | \$ | 149,726 | \$ | 238,770 | \$ | 252,607 |
| Net income allocated to participating securities |  | 1,297 |  | 1,500 |  | 2,423 |  | 2,476 |
| Net income allocated to common shareholders | \$ | 125,950 | \$ | 148,226 | \$ | 236,347 | \$ | 250,131 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding |  | ,731,758 |  | ,313,698 |  | ,927,273 |  | ,235,258 |


| Dilutive effect of stock options | 51,670 | 130,397 | 54,654 | 134,980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding assuming dilution | 112,783,428 | 118,444,095 | 112,981,927 | 118,370,238 |
| Net income per common share assuming dilution | \$ 1.12 | \$ 1.25 | \$ 2.09 | 2.11 |

The following table reconciles the weighted-average common shares used in the basic and diluted earnings per share disclosures to the total weighted-average shares outstanding.

|  | Three Months Ended October 31, | Six Months Ended October 31, |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding | $112,731,758$ | $118,313,698$ | $112,927,273$ | $118,235,258$ |
| Weighted-average participating shares outstanding | $1,161,277$ | $1,198,303$ | $1,158,018$ | $1,171,207$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total weighted-average shares outstanding | $113,893,035$ | $119,512,001$ | $114,085,291$ | $119,406,465$ |
| Dilutive effect of stock options | 51,670 | 130,397 | 54,654 | 134,980 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total weighted-average shares outstanding assuming dilution | $113,944,705$ | $119,642,398$ | $114,139,945$ | $119,541,445$ |

## Note J Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits

The components of the Company s net periodic benefit cost for defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans are shown below.

|  | Three MonthsDefined Benefit Pension Plans |  |  | October 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Other Po2011 | en | efits |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |  |  | 2010 |  |
| Service cost | \$ 1,976 | \$ | 1,861 | \$ 545 | \$ | 405 |
| Interest cost | 6,600 |  | 6,360 | 772 |  | 691 |
| Expected return on plan assets | $(6,714)$ |  | $(6,674)$ | 0 |  | 0 |
| Recognized net actuarial loss (gain) | 3,072 |  | 2,198 | 2 |  | (134) |
| Termination benefit cost | 0 |  | 735 | 0 |  | - |
| Curtailment | 0 |  | 181 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Other | 285 |  | 289 | (113) |  | (122) |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 5,219 | \$ | 4,950 | \$ 1,206 | \$ | 840 |



Upon completion of the restructuring plan discussed in Note D Restructuring, approximately 850 full-time positions will be reduced. The Company has included the estimated impact of the planned reductions in measuring the net periodic benefit cost of the defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans for the three months and six months ended October 31, 2011 and 2010. Included above are charges recognized during the three months and six months ended October 31, 2010, for termination benefits and curtailment as a result of the restructuring plan.

Note K Comprehensive Income

The following table summarizes the components of comprehensive income.

|  | Three Months Ended October 31, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended October 31, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 |  |  |  |

## Note L Contingencies

The Company, like other food manufacturers, is from time to time subject to various administrative, regulatory, and other legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company is a defendant in a variety of legal proceedings. The Company cannot predict with certainty the ultimate results of these proceedings or reasonably determine a range of potential loss. The Company s policy is to accrue costs for contingent liabilities when such liabilities are probable and amounts can be reasonably estimated. Based on the information known to date, the Company does not believe the final outcome of these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

## Note M Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company is exposed to market risks, such as changes in commodity prices, foreign currency exchange rates, and interest rates. To manage the volatility relating to these exposures, the Company enters into various derivative transactions. By policy, the Company historically has not entered into derivative financial instruments for trading purposes or for speculation.

Commodity Price Management. The Company enters into commodity futures and options contracts to manage the price volatility and reduce the variability of future cash flows related to anticipated inventory purchases of key raw materials, notably green coffee, edible oils, and flour. The Company also enters into commodity futures and options contracts to manage price risk for energy input costs, including natural gas and diesel fuel. The derivative instruments generally have maturities of less than one year.

Certain of the derivative instruments associated with the Company s U.S. Retail Coffee and U.S. Retail Consumer Foods segments meet the hedge criteria and are accounted for as cash flow hedges. The mark-to-market gains or losses on qualifying hedges are deferred and included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income to the extent effective, and reclassified to cost of products sold in the period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Cash flows related to qualifying hedges are classified consistently with the cash flows from the hedged item in the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows. In order to qualify as a hedge of commodity price risk, it must be demonstrated that the changes in the fair value of the commodity s futures contracts are highly effective in hedging price risks associated with the commodity purchased. Hedge effectiveness is measured and assessed at inception and on a monthly basis. The mark-to-market gains or losses on nonqualifying and ineffective portions of commodity hedges are recognized in cost of products sold immediately.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Hedging. The Company utilizes foreign currency forwards and options contracts to manage the effect of foreign currency exchange fluctuations on future cash payments primarily related to purchases of certain raw materials, finished goods, and fixed assets. The contracts generally have maturities of less than one year. At the inception of the contract, the derivative is evaluated and documented for hedge accounting treatment. Instruments currently used to manage foreign currency exchange exposures do not meet the requirements for hedge accounting treatment and the change in value of these instruments is immediately recognized in cost of products sold. If the contract qualifies for hedge accounting treatment, to the
extent the hedge is deemed effective, the associated mark-to-market gains and losses are deferred and included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income. These gains or losses are reclassified to earnings in the period the contract is executed. The ineffective portion of these contracts is immediately recognized in earnings.

Interest Rate Hedging. The Company utilizes interest rate swaps to mitigate the exposure to interest rate risk. At the inception of the contract, the instrument is evaluated and documented for hedge accounting treatment.

The Company s interest rate swap on the 6.63 percent Senior Notes due November 1, 2018, met the criteria to be designated as a fair value hedge. The Company received a fixed rate and paid variable rates, hedging the underlying debt and the associated changes in the fair value of the debt. The interest rate swap was recognized at fair value in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at April 30, 2011, and changes in the fair value were recognized in interest expense. Gains and losses recognized in interest expense on the instrument had no net impact to earnings as the change in the fair value of the derivative was equal to the change in fair value of the underlying debt. In August 2011, the Company terminated the interest rate swap on the 6.63 percent Senior Notes prior to maturity resulting in a gain of $\$ 23.9$ million which was deferred and will be recognized over the remaining life of the underlying debt as a reduction of future interest expense. The gain will be recognized as follows: $\$ 2.5$ million in 2012, $\$ 3.3$ million annually in 2013 through 2018, and $\$ 1.6$ million in 2019. For additional information, see Note H Debt and Financing Arrangements.

In August 2011, the Company entered into a forward-starting interest rate swap agreement to partially hedge the risk of an increase in the benchmark interest rate during the period leading up to the $\$ 750.0$ million 3.50 percent Notes public offering. The hedge was designated as a cash flow hedge. The mark-to-market gains or losses on the swap were deferred and included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income to the extent effective, and reclassified to interest expense in the period during which the hedged transaction affected earnings. In October 2011, in conjunction with the pricing of the 3.50 percent Notes, the Company terminated the interest rate swap prior to maturity resulting in a loss of $\$ 6.2$ million. The resulting loss will be recognized in interest expense ratably over the life of the related debt. The ineffective portion of the hedge was reclassified to interest expense upon termination of the swap. For additional information, see Note H Debt and Financing Arrangements.

The following table sets forth the fair value of derivative instruments recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

|  | October 31, 2011 |  |  | April 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Other Current Assets | Other Current Liabilities |  | Other Current Assets |  | Other Current Liabilities |  | Other <br> Noncurrent Liabilities |  |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commodity contracts | \$ 976 | \$ | 537 | \$ | 3,408 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| Interest rate contract | 0 |  | 0 |  | 5,423 |  | 0 |  | 1,384 |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | \$ 976 | \$ | 537 | \$ | 8,831 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 1,384 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commodity contracts | \$ 3,521 | \$ | 6,497 | \$ | 9,887 | \$ | 5,432 | \$ | 0 |
| Foreign currency exchange contracts | 44 |  | 71 |  | 317 |  | 3,204 |  | 0 |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | \$ 3,565 | \$ | 6,568 |  | 10,204 | \$ | 8,636 | \$ | 0 |
| Total derivatives instruments | \$4,541 | \$ | 7,105 |  | 19,035 |  | 8,636 | \$ | 1,384 |

The Company has elected to not offset fair value amounts recognized for commodity derivative instruments and its cash margin accounts executed with the same counterparty. The Company maintained cash margin accounts of \$13,309 and \$12,292 at October 31, 2011 and April 30, 2011, respectively, that are included in other current assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following table presents information on pre-tax commodity contract gains and losses recognized on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges.

|  | Three Months Ended October 31, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended October 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Losses) gains recognized in other comprehensive (loss) income (effective portion) | \$ | $(4,246)$ | \$ | 4,103 | \$ | $(10,260)$ | \$ | 13,034 |
| (Losses) gains reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income to cost of products sold (effective portion) |  | (416) |  | 6,329 |  | 5,692 |  | 6,292 |
| Change in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income | \$ | $(3,830)$ | \$ | $(2,226)$ | \$ | $(15,952)$ | \$ | 6,742 |
| (Losses) gains recognized in cost of products sold (ineffective portion) | \$ | (392) | \$ | 203 | \$ | (513) | \$ | 374 |

Included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income at October 31, 2011 and April 30, 2011, were deferred pre-tax losses of $\$ 6,522$ and deferred pre-tax gains of $\$ 9,430$, respectively, related to commodity contracts. The related tax impact recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income was a benefit of $\$ 2,372$ and expense of $\$ 3,430$ at October 31, 2011 and April 30, 2011, respectively. The entire amount of the deferred loss included in accumulated other comprehensive loss at October 31, 2011, is expected to be recognized in earnings within one year as the related commodity is sold.

The following table presents information on the pre-tax losses recognized on the interest rate swap designated as a cash flow hedge.

|  | $\begin{array}{cccc}\text { Three Months Ended October 31, } & \text { Six Months Ended October 31, } \\ 2011 & 2010 & 2011 & 2010\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Losses recognized in other comprehensive (loss) income (effective portion) |  | $(6,192)$ | \$ | 0 | \$ | $(6,192)$ | \$ | 0 |
| Losses reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income to interest expense (effective portion) |  | (18) |  | 0 |  | (18) |  | 0 |
| Change in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income | \$ | $(6,174)$ | \$ | 0 | \$ | $(6,174)$ | \$ | 0 |
| Losses recognized in interest expense (ineffective portion) | \$ | (19) | \$ | 0 | \$ | (19) | \$ | 0 |

Included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss at October 31, 2011, were deferred pre-tax losses of $\$ 6,174$ related to the termination of the interest rate contract. The related tax benefit recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss was $\$ 2,227$ at October 31, 2011. Approximately $\$ 300$ of the loss will be recognized over the next 12 months.

The following table presents the net realized and unrealized gains and losses recognized in cost of products sold on derivatives not designated as qualified hedging instruments.

|  | Three Months Ended October 31, <br> 20112010 |  |  |  | Six Months Ended October 31,$2011 \quad 2010$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gains on commodity contracts | \$ | 2,107 | \$ | 454 | \$ | 15,804 | \$ |  | 4,847 |
| Gains (losses) on foreign currency exchange contracts |  | 1,570 |  | (207) |  | 1,655 |  |  | 270 |
| Gains recognized in cost of products sold (derivatives not designated as hedging instruments) | \$ | 3,677 | \$ | 247 | \$ | 17,459 | \$ |  | 5,117 |

The following table presents the gross contract notional value of outstanding derivative contracts.

|  | October 31, 2011 | April 30,2011 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commodity contracts | $\$$ | 944,265 | $\$ 869,107$ |
| Foreign currency exchange contracts | 66,015 | 73,158 |  |
| Interest rate contract | 0 | 376,000 |  |

Note N Other Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements

Financial instruments, other than derivatives, that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash investments and trade receivables. Under the Company s investment policy, it may invest in securities deemed to be investment grade at the time of purchase. The Company determines the appropriate categorization of debt securities at the time of purchase and reevaluates such designation at each balance sheet date.

The fair value of the Company s financial instruments, other than its long-term debt, approximates their carrying amounts. The following table provides information on the carrying amount and fair value of the Company s financial instruments.

|  | October 31, 2011 |  |  |  | April 30, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Amount |  | Fair Value |  | Carrying <br> Amount |  | Fair Value |  |
| Marketable securities | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 18,600 | \$ | 18,600 |
| Other investments |  | 40,136 |  | 40,136 |  | 41,560 |  | 41,560 |
| Derivatives financial instruments, net |  | $(2,564)$ |  | $(2,564)$ |  | 9,015 |  | 9,015 |
| Long-term debt |  | 71,852 |  | 50,850 |  | 304,039 |  | 4,648,614 |

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Valuation techniques are based on observable and unobservable inputs. Observable inputs reflect readily obtainable data from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company s market assumptions.

The following table summarizes the fair values and the levels within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall for the Company s financial assets (liabilities) measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

|  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level |  | Significant <br> Observable <br> Inputs <br> (Level 2) |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |  | Fair Value at <br> October 31, 2011 |  | Fair Value at April 30, 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketable securities ${ }^{(A)}$ | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ 18,600 |
| Other investments: ${ }^{(B)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity mutual funds |  | 11,876 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 11,876 | 14,011 |
| Municipal obligations |  | 0 |  | 20,681 |  | 0 |  | 20,681 | 20,042 |
| Other investments |  | 536 |  | 7,043 |  | 0 |  | 7,579 | 7,507 |
| Derivatives: ${ }^{(C)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commodity contracts, net |  | $(2,537)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(2,537)$ | 7,863 |
| Foreign currency exchange contracts, net |  | (27) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | (27) | $(2,887)$ |
| Interest rate contract, net |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 4,039 |
| Total financial assets measured at fair value | \$ | 9,848 |  | 27,724 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 37,572 | \$ 69,175 |

(A) The Company s marketable securities consisted entirely of commercial paper at April 30, 2011, and were broker-priced and valued by a third party using an evaluated pricing methodology. An evaluated pricing methodology is a valuation technique which uses inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data. All securities had matured or were sold prior to October 31, 2011.
(B) The Company s other investments consist of funds maintained for the payment of benefits associated with nonqualified retirement plans. The funds include equity securities listed in active markets and municipal obligations valued by a third party using an evaluated pricing methodology. As of October 31, 2011, the Company s municipal obligations are scheduled to mature as follows: $\$ 647$ in 2012, $\$ 3,371$ in 2013, $\$ 742$ in 2014, $\$ 2,766$ in 2015, and $\$ 13,155$ in 2016 and beyond.
(C) The Company s commodity contract and foreign currency exchange contract derivatives are valued using quoted market prices. The Company s interest rate contract derivative was valued using the income approach, observable Level 2 market expectations at the measurement date, and standard valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single discounted present value. Level 2 inputs for
the interest rate contract were limited to quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability. For additional information, see Note M Derivative Financial Instruments.
Note O Income Taxes
During the three months ended October 31, 2011, the Company s effective tax rate increased to 34.1 percent, compared to 32.5 percent in the three months ended October 31, 2010. The increase in the effective tax rate in the three months ended October 31, 2011, is primarily due to a higher Canadian effective tax rate and an increase in state income tax expense compared to the three months ended October 31, 2010.

During the six months ended October 31, 2011, the Company s effective tax rate increased to 33.7 percent compared to 32.0 percent for the six months ended October 31, 2010. The increase in the effective tax rate in the six months ended October 31, 2011, is primarily due to an increase in the Canadian effective tax rate and higher state income tax expense compared to the six months ended October 31, 2010. Also contributing to the higher effective tax rate in the six months ended October 31, 2011, was a favorable federal income tax determination that was included in the six months ended October 31, 2010.

At October 31, 2011, the effective income tax rate varied from the U.S. statutory income tax rate primarily due to the domestic manufacturing deduction partially offset by state income taxes.

Within the next twelve months, it is reasonably possible that the Company could decrease its unrecognized tax benefits by an additional $\$ 1.1$ million, primarily as a result of expiring statute of limitations periods.

## Note P Guarantor and Non-Guarantor Financial Information

On October 13, 2011, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-3 registering certain securities described therein, including debt securities which are guaranteed by certain of the Company's subsidiaries. The Company issued $\$ 750.0$ million of Notes pursuant to the registration statement that are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by the following wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company: J.M. Smucker LLC and The Folgers Coffee Company (the "subsidiary guarantors"). Therefore, the following condensed consolidated financial information for the Company, the subsidiary guarantors, and the non-guarantor subsidiaries is provided. The principal elimination entries relate to investments in subsidiaries and intercompany balances and transactions, including transactions with the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary guarantors and non-guarantor subsidiaries. The Company has accounted for investments in subsidiaries using the equity method.

## CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME

Three Months Ended October 31, 2011

|  | The J.M. Smucker Company (Parent) |  | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non- <br> Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales | \$ | 1,181,087 | \$ 435,912 | \$ 1,121,799 | \$ (1,224,893) | \$ 1,513,905 |
| Cost of products sold |  | 1,034,958 | 398,999 | 801,196 | $(1,219,917)$ | 1,015,236 |
| Gross Profit |  | 146,129 | 36,913 | 320,603 | $(4,976)$ | 498,669 |
| Selling, distribution, and administrative expenses, restructuring, and merger and integration costs |  | 63,740 | 14,222 | 175,867 | 0 | 253,829 |
| Amortization |  | 1,381 | 0 | 19,178 | 0 | 20,559 |
| Loss on sale of business and other operating (income) expense net |  | (151) | 746 | 12,072 | 0 | 12,667 |
| Operating Income |  | 81,159 | 21,945 | 113,486 | $(4,976)$ | 211,614 |
| Interest (expense) income net |  | $(19,344)$ | 975 | (755) | 0 | $(19,124)$ |
| Other (expense) income net |  | (102) | 117 | 696 | 0 | 711 |
| Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries |  | 85,373 | 63,304 | 22,059 | $(170,736)$ | 0 |
| Income Before Income Taxes |  | 147,086 | 86,341 | 135,486 | $(175,712)$ | 193,201 |
| Income taxes |  | 19,839 | 325 | 45,790 | 0 | 65,954 |

## CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME

Three Months Ended October 31, 2010

|  | The J.M. Smucker Company (Parent) |  | Subsidiary <br> Guarantors | Non- <br> Guarantor <br> Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales | \$ | 993,660 | \$ 747,173 | \$ 1,100,630 | \$ (1,562,550) | \$ 1,278,913 |
| Cost of products sold |  | 799,379 | 681,419 | 865,580 | $(1,562,135)$ | 784,243 |
| Gross Profit |  | 194,281 | 65,754 | 235,050 | (415) | 494,670 |
| Selling, distribution, and administrative expenses, restructuring, and merger and integration costs |  | 55,344 | 18,045 | 160,550 | 0 | 233,939 |
| Amortization |  | 1,296 | 16,168 | 1,037 | 0 | 18,501 |
| Other operating (income) expense net |  | (440) | 784 | 1,850 | 0 | 2,194 |
| Operating Income |  | 138,081 | 30,757 | 71,613 | (415) | 240,036 |
| Interest (expense) income net |  | $(18,042)$ | 474 | (365) | 0 | $(17,933)$ |
| Other (expense) income net |  | (185) | 226 | (417) | 0 | (376) |
| Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries |  | 68,572 | 23,252 | 18,828 | $(110,652)$ | 0 |
| Income Before Income Taxes |  | 188,426 | 54,709 | 89,659 | $(111,067)$ | 221,727 |
| Income taxes |  | 38,700 | 4,135 | 29,166 | 0 | 72,001 |
| Net Income | \$ | 149,726 | \$ 50,574 | \$ 60,493 | \$ (111,067) | \$ 149,726 |

Six Months Ended October 31, 2011

|  | The J.M. Smucker Company (Parent) |  | Subsidiary <br> Guarantors | Non- <br> Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales | \$ | 2,098,196 | \$ 783,400 | \$ 1,875,188 | \$ (2,053,996) | \$ 2,702,788 |
| Cost of products sold |  | 1,815,543 | 717,294 | 1,286,153 | $(2,045,955)$ | 1,773,035 |
| Gross Profit |  | 282,653 | 66,106 | 589,035 | $(8,041)$ | 929,753 |
| Selling, distribution, and administrative expenses, restructuring, and merger and integration costs |  | 123,055 | 26,424 | 335,484 | 0 | 484,963 |
| Amortization |  | 2,678 | 0 | 38,116 | 0 | 40,794 |
| Loss on sale of business and other operating (income) expense net |  | (84) | 248 | 11,515 | 0 | 11,679 |
| Operating Income |  | 157,004 | 39,434 | 203,920 | $(8,041)$ | 392,317 |
| Interest (expense) income net |  | $(34,718)$ | 1,950 | $(1,476)$ | 0 | $(34,244)$ |
| Other income net |  | 689 | 234 | 1,031 | 0 | 1,954 |
| Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries |  | 154,959 | 109,623 | 39,667 | $(304,249)$ | 0 |
| Income Before Income Taxes |  | 277,934 | 151,241 | 243,142 | $(312,290)$ | 360,027 |
| Income taxes |  | 39,164 | 648 | 81,445 | 0 | 121,257 |
| Net Income | \$ | 238,770 | \$ 150,593 | \$ 161,697 | \$ $(312,290)$ | \$ 238,770 |

Six Months Ended October 31, 2010

|  | The J.M. Smucker Company (Parent) |  | Subsidiary <br> Guarantors | Non- <br> Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales |  | 1,815,967 | \$ 1,366,430 | \$ 1,993,956 | \$ (2,850,128) | \$ 2,326,225 |
| Cost of products sold |  | 1,471,456 | 1,232,349 | 1,549,599 | $(2,830,284)$ | 1,423,120 |
| Gross Profit |  | 344,511 | 134,081 | 444,357 | $(19,844)$ | 903,105 |
| Selling, distribution, and administrative expenses, restructuring, and merger and integration costs |  | 102,942 | 46,495 | 308,523 | 0 | 457,960 |
| Amortization |  | 2,593 | 32,336 | 2,069 | 0 | 36,998 |
| Other operating (income) expense net |  | (720) | 993 | 2,671 | 0 | 2,944 |
| Operating Income |  | 239,696 | 54,257 | 131,094 | $(19,844)$ | 405,203 |
| Interest (expense) income net |  | $(34,197)$ | 1,449 | $(1,291)$ | 0 | $(34,039)$ |
| Other (expense) income net |  | (264) | 384 | 197 | 0 | 317 |
| Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries |  | 105,233 | 42,514 | 36,088 | $(183,835)$ | 0 |
| Income Before Income Taxes |  | 310,468 | 98,604 | 166,088 | $(203,679)$ | 371,481 |
| Income taxes |  | 57,861 | 6,573 | 54,440 | 0 | 118,874 |
| Net Income | \$ | 252,607 | \$ 92,031 | \$ 111,648 | \$ $(203,679)$ | \$ 252,607 |

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

October 31, 2011

|  | The J.M. Smucker Company (Parent) |  | Subsidiary Guarantors |  | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Eliminations |  | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CURRENT ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 378,441 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 117,847 | \$ | 0 | \$ 496,288 |
| Inventories |  | 0 |  | 211,224 |  | 960,470 |  | $(27,743)$ | 1,143,951 |
| Other current assets |  | 472,970 |  | 7,526 |  | 72,972 |  | 0 | 553,468 |
| Total Current Assets |  | 851,411 |  | 218,750 |  | 1,151,289 |  | $(27,743)$ | 2,193,707 |
| PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT, NET |  | 211,315 |  | 353,085 |  | 383,825 |  | 0 | 948,225 |
| INVESTMENTS IN SUBSIDIARIES AND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTERCOMPANY |  | 5,431,898 |  | 900,978 |  | 818,718 |  | $(7,151,594)$ | 0 |
| OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goodwill |  | 976,617 |  | 0 |  | 1,921,364 |  | 0 | 2,897,981 |
| Other intangible assets, net |  | 439,210 |  | 0 |  | 2,659,857 |  | 0 | 3,099,067 |
| Other noncurrent assets |  | 55,635 |  | 15,135 |  | 5,670 |  | 0 | 76,440 |
| Total Other Noncurrent Assets |  | 1,471,462 |  | 15,135 |  | 4,586,891 |  | 0 | 6,073,488 |
|  | \$ | 7,966,086 |  | 1,487,948 | \$ | 6,940,723 |  | (7,179,337) | \$ 9,215,420 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | \$ | 252,493 |  | 110,933 | \$ | 181,495 | \$ | 0 | \$ 544,921 |
| NONCURRENT LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Long-term debt |  | 2,071,852 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 2,071,852 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 113,099 |  | 0 |  | 918,181 |  | 0 | 1,031,280 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities |  | 165,293 |  | 16,429 |  | 22,296 |  | 0 | 204,018 |
| Total Noncurrent Liabilities |  | 2,350,244 |  | 16,429 |  | 940,477 |  | 0 | 3,307,150 |
| SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |  | 5,363,349 |  | 1,360,586 |  | 5,818,751 |  | $(7,179,337)$ | 5,363,349 |
|  | \$ | 7,966,086 |  | 1,487,948 | \$ | 6,940,723 |  | (7,179,337) | \$ 9,215,420 |

April 30, 2011

|  | The J.M. Smucker Company (Parent) |  | Subsidiary Guarantors |  | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Eliminations |  | Consolidated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CURRENT ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 206,845 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 113,000 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 319,845 |
| Inventories |  | 0 |  | 182,531 |  | 700,750 |  | $(19,702)$ |  | 863,579 |
| Other current assets |  | 364,377 |  | 8,190 |  | 81,008 |  | 0 |  | 453,575 |
| Total Current Assets |  | 571,222 |  | 190,721 |  | 894,758 |  | $(19,702)$ |  | 1,636,999 |
| PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT, NET |  | 193,321 |  | 305,519 |  | 369,042 |  | 0 |  | 867,882 |
| INVESTMENTS IN SUBSIDIARIES AND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTERCOMPANY |  | 4,872,622 |  | 802,936 |  | 1,209,603 |  | $(6,885,161)$ |  | 0 |
| OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goodwill |  | 981,606 |  | 0 |  | 1,831,140 |  | 0 |  | 2,812,746 |
| Other intangible assets, net |  | 440,174 |  | 3,116 |  | 2,496,720 |  | 0 |  | 2,940,010 |


| Other noncurrent assets |  | 50,012 |  | 15,106 |  | 1,830 | 0 | 66,948 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Other Noncurrent Assets |  | 1,471,792 |  | 18,222 |  | 4,329,690 | 0 | 5,819,704 |
|  | \$ | 7,108,957 |  | 1,317,398 | \$ | 6,803,093 | \$ (6,904,863) | \$ 8,324,585 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | \$ | 234,262 | \$ | 81,239 | \$ | 167,175 | \$ 0 | \$ 482,676 |
| NONCURRENT LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Long-term debt |  | 1,304,039 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1,304,039 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 115,985 |  | 0 |  | 926,838 | 0 | 1,042,823 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities |  | 162,308 |  | 16,447 |  | 23,929 | 0 | 202,684 |
| Total Noncurrent Liabilities |  | 1,582,332 |  | 16,447 |  | 950,767 | 0 | 2,549,546 |
| SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |  | 5,292,363 |  | 1,219,712 |  | 5,685,151 | $(6,904,863)$ | 5,292,363 |
|  | \$ | 7,108,957 |  | 1,317,398 | \$ | 6,803,093 | \$ (6,904,863) | \$ 8,324,585 |

## CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS

Six Months Ended October 31, 2011

|  | The J.M. Smucker Company (Parent) |  | Subsidiary Guarantors |  | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Eliminations |  | Consolidated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net cash (used for) provided by operating activities | \$ | $(11,177)$ | \$ | 53,363 | \$ | 17,748 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 59,934 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business acquired, net of cash acquired |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(362,846)$ |  | 0 |  | $(362,846)$ |
| Additions to property, plant, and equipment |  | $(31,087)$ |  | $(68,215)$ |  | $(36,405)$ |  | 0 |  | $(135,707)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of business |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 9,268 |  | 0 |  | 9,268 |
| Sale and maturity of marketable securities |  | 18,600 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 18,600 |
| Proceeds from disposal of property, plant, and equipment |  | 26 |  | 158 |  | 719 |  | 0 |  | 903 |
| Other net |  | 3 |  | (1) |  | $(2,252)$ |  | 0 |  | $(2,250)$ |
| Net cash used for investing activities |  | $(12,458)$ |  | $(68,058)$ |  | $(391,516)$ |  | 0 |  | $(472,032)$ |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from long-term debt - net |  | 748,560 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 748,560 |
| Quarterly dividends paid |  | $(104,825)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(104,825)$ |
| Purchase of treasury shares |  | $(44,592)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(44,592)$ |
| Proceeds from stock option exercises |  | 518 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 518 |
| Intercompany |  | $(399,329)$ |  | 14,695 |  | 384,634 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Other net |  | $(5,101)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(5,101)$ |
| Net cash provided by financing activities |  | 195,231 |  | 14,695 |  | 384,634 |  | 0 |  | 594,560 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(6,019)$ |  | 0 |  | $(6,019)$ |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents |  | 171,596 |  | 0 |  | 4,847 |  | 0 |  | 176,443 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period |  | 206,845 |  | 0 |  | 113,000 |  | 0 |  | 319,845 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | 378,441 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 117,847 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 496,288 |

Six Months Ended October 31, 2010

|  |  | Smucker <br> y (Parent) |  | ubsidiary <br> uarantors |  | Guarantor bsidiaries | Elimi |  |  | nsolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net cash (used for) provided by operating activities | \$ | $(43,492)$ | \$ | 31,206 | \$ | 31,832 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 19,546 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additions to property, plant, and equipment |  | $(26,168)$ |  | $(15,505)$ |  | $(20,400)$ |  | 0 |  | $(62,073)$ |
| Sale and maturity of marketable securities |  | 9,000 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 9,000 |
| Purchases of marketable securities |  | $(57,037)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(57,037)$ |
| Proceeds from disposal of property, plant, and equipment |  | 0 |  | 300 |  | 39 |  | 0 |  | 339 |
| Other net |  | (48) |  | 36 |  | 23 |  | 0 |  | 11 |
| Net cash used for investing activities |  | $(74,253)$ |  | $(15,169)$ |  | $(20,338)$ |  | 0 |  | $(109,760)$ |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repayments of long-term debt |  | $(10,000)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(10,000)$ |
| Proceeds from long-term debt |  | 400,000 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 400,000 |
| Quarterly dividends paid |  | $(95,333)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(95,333)$ |
| Purchase of treasury shares |  | $(5,147)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(5,147)$ |
| Proceeds from stock option exercises |  | 2,100 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2,100 |


| Intercompany | 6,378 | $(16,037)$ | 9,659 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other net | 2,476 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,476 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities | 300,474 | $(16,037)$ | 9,659 | 0 | 294,096 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 182,729 | 0 | 21,164 | 0 | 203,893 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 217,730 | 0 | 65,840 | 0 | 283,570 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | $\$ ~ 400,459$ | $\$$ | 0 | $\$$ | 87,004 |

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

This discussion and analysis deals with comparisons of material changes in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the three-month and six-month periods ended October 31, 2011 and 2010. Results for the three and six months ended October 31, 2011, include the operations of Rowland Coffee Roasters, Inc. ( Rowland Coffee ) since the completion of the acquisition on May 16, 2011.

The Company is the owner of all trademarks, except Pillsbury ${ }^{\circledR}$, the Barrelhead logo, and the Doughboy character are trademarks of The Pillsbury Company LLC, used under license; Carnation ${ }^{\circledR}$ is a trademark of Société des Produits Nestlé S.A., used under license; Dunkin Donuts $^{\circledR}$ is a registered trademark of DD IP Holder LLC, used under license; Borden ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Elsie are trademarks used under license; and $K$-Cup ${ }^{\circledR}$ and K -Cups ${ }^{\circledR}$ are trademarks of Keurig, Incorporated.

Dunkin Donuts ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand is licensed to the Company for packaged coffee products sold in retail channels such as grocery stores, mass merchandisers, club stores, and drug stores. Information in this document does not pertain to Dunkin Donuts ${ }^{\circledR}$ coffee or other products for sale in Dunkin Donuts ${ }^{\circledR}$ restaurants.

## Results of Operations

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ee Months En } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | ars | October 31, $2010$ <br> in millions | Six | Months E 2011 per share | O | tober 31, <br> 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales |  | 1,513.9 |  | 1,278.9 |  | 2,702.8 | \$ | 2,326.2 |
| Gross profit | \$ | 498.7 | \$ | 494.7 | \$ | 929.8 | \$ | 903.1 |
| \% of net sales |  | 32.9\% |  | 38.7\% |  | 34.4\% |  | 38.8\% |
| Operating income | \$ | 211.6 | \$ | 240.0 | \$ | 392.3 | \$ | 405.2 |
| \% of net sales |  | 14.0\% |  | 18.8\% |  | 14.5\% |  | 17.4\% |
| Net income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 127.2 | \$ | 149.7 | \$ | 238.8 | \$ | 252.6 |
| Net income per common share assuming dilution | \$ | 1.12 | \$ | 1.25 | \$ | 2.09 | \$ | 2.11 |
| Gross profit excluding special project costs ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 511.4 | \$ | 506.7 | \$ | 952.9 | \$ | 924.6 |
| \% of net sales |  | 33.8\% |  | 39.6\% |  | 35.3\% |  | 39.7\% |
| Operating income excluding special project costs ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 241.6 |  | 263.2 | \$ | 447.3 | \$ | 458.6 |
| \% of net sales |  | 16.0\% |  | 20.6\% |  | 16.5\% |  | 19.7\% |
| Income excluding special project costs: ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income | \$ | 147.0 | \$ | 165.3 | \$ | 275.2 | \$ | 288.9 |
| Income per common share assuming dilution | \$ | 1.29 | \$ | 1.38 | \$ | 2.41 | \$ | 2.42 |

(1) Refer to Non-GAAP Measures located on page 29 for a reconciliation to the comparable GAAP financial measure.

Net sales in the second quarter and first six months of 2012 increased 18 percent and 16 percent, respectively, compared to 2011, as the impact of price increases and the contribution of the Rowland Coffee brands more than offset a one percent and two percent decline in volume in the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, compared to 2011. Gross profit increased approximately one percent and three percent in the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011. Operating income decreased 12 percent and three percent in the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, compared to 2011. The second quarter and first six months of 2012 were impacted by the loss on the sale of the Europe s Best ${ }^{\circledR}$ frozen fruit and vegetable business of $\$ 11.3$ million, sold in October, 2011. Restructuring and merger and integration costs ( special project costs ) increased in both the second quarter and first six months of 2012, compared to 2011. Excluding special project costs, operating income decreased eight percent and two percent in the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, compared to 2011.

The Company s net income per diluted share was $\$ 1.12$ and $\$ 1.25$ for the second quarters of 2012 and 2011, and $\$ 2.09$ and $\$ 2.11$ for the first six months of 2012 and 2011, respectively, a decrease of 10 percent for the quarter and one percent for the first six months. The Company s income per diluted share excluding special project costs decreased seven percent in the second quarter of 2012 to $\$ 1.29$, compared to $\$ 1.38$ in the second quarter of 2011, and was flat in the first six months of 2012 compared to 2011. Net income and net income excluding special project costs were impacted in the second quarter and the first six months of 2012 by an increase in the effective tax rate compared to 2011. The effective tax rate was 34.1 percent in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 32.5 percent in the second quarter of 2011, and increased from 32.0 percent in the first six months of 2011 to 33.7 percent in the first six months of 2012. The second quarter and first six months of 2012 benefited from a decrease in weighted-average common shares outstanding, as a result of the Company s share repurchase activity during the second half of 2011 and the second quarter of 2012.

## Net Sales



Amounts may not add due to rounding.
Net sales in the second quarter of 2012 increased $\$ 235.0$ million, or 18 percent, compared to the second quarter of 2011, due primarily to net price realization across many of the Company s brands. The Rowland Coffee brands acquired earlier in the year contributed approximately two percent to net sales for the second quarter of 2012 and, combined with the favorable impact of foreign exchange rates and sales mix, offset a one percent decline in volume, compared to the second quarter of 2011. Volume gains were realized in Pillsbury ${ }^{\circledR}$ baking mixes and Jif ${ }^{\circledR}$ peanut butter, but were more than offset by declines in nonbranded beverages, Crisco ${ }^{\circledR}$ oils, Folgers $^{\circledR}$ coffee, and Pillsbury ${ }^{\circledR}$ flour.

Net sales for the first six months were $\$ 2,702.8$ million in 2012, and increased $\$ 376.6$ million, or 16 percent, compared to the first six months of 2011, driven primarily by net price realization. The Rowland Coffee brands acquired during the first quarter of 2012 contributed approximately two percent to net sales for the first six months of 2012, and combined with favorable sales mix and the impact of foreign exchange, offset a two percent decline in volume, compared to the first six months of 2011. Volume gains were realized in Pillsbury ${ }^{\circledR}$ baking mixes, Santa Cruz Organic ${ }^{\circledR}$ beverages, Bick $s^{\circledR}$ pickles, and Jif ${ }^{\circledR}$ peanut butter, but were more than offset by volume declines in Crisco ${ }^{\circledR}$ shortening and oils, Folgers ${ }^{\circledR}$ coffee, and Pillsbury ${ }^{\circledR}$ flour.

## Operating Income

The following table presents components of operating income as a percentage of net sales.
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc} & \text { Three Months Ended October 31, } & \text { Six Months Ended October 31, } \\ & 2011 & 2010 & 2011 & 2010\end{array}\right)$

Amounts may not add due to rounding.

Gross profit increased $\$ 4.0$ million, or one percent, in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 2011, and increased $\$ 4.6$ million, excluding special project costs. The contribution from the Rowland Coffee acquisition along with the price increases taken over the past year effectively offset higher commodity costs and contributed to gross profit, while gross margin contracted as expected. Gross margin declined from 39.6 percent in the second quarter of 2011 to 33.8 percent in the second quarter of 2012, excluding special project costs. Significantly higher costs were realized for green coffee in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 2011. Costs were also higher for edible oils, flour, milk, sweetener, peanuts, and other raw materials.

While green coffee costs have moderated from earlier in the calendar year, the Company expects that it will continue to recognize considerably higher green coffee costs through the remainder of its fiscal year, compared to the prior year. Additionally, peanut costs are expected to escalate during the remainder of the year.

Selling, distribution, and administrative ( SD\&A ) expenses in the second quarter of 2012 increased six percent, compared to the second quarter of 2011 , but decreased as a percentage of net sales from 17.4 percent to 15.6 percent. Marketing expenses in the second quarter of 2012 were comparable to the second quarter of 2011. Over the same period, selling and general and administrative expenses increased 17 percent and 10 percent, respectively, while distribution expenses decreased one percent. The addition of the Rowland Coffee business represented approximately one-half of the overall increase in SD\&A expenses. In addition, higher amortization expense was recognized in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 2011, primarily related to the intangible assets associated with the Rowland Coffee acquisition.

Operating income decreased $\$ 28.4$ million, or 12 percent, in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 2011. Excluding special project costs in both periods, operating income decreased $\$ 21.7$ million, or eight percent, and declined from 20.6 percent of net sales in 2011 to 16.0 percent in 2012. Both operating income measures include a loss of $\$ 11.3$ million on the divestiture of the Europe sest ${ }^{\circledR}$ business in 2012.

Gross profit increased $\$ 26.6$ million, or three percent, in the first six months of 2012, compared to 2011, due to the contribution from the Rowland Coffee acquisition and net price realization, which effectively offset overall higher raw material costs, specifically green coffee, flour, edible oils, milk, and sweetener. Excluding special project costs, gross profit increased $\$ 28.3$ million, or three percent. Price increases taken over the past year to offset higher commodity costs contributed to incremental gross profit, but gross margin declined from 39.7 percent in the first six months of 2011 to 35.3 percent in 2012, excluding special project costs.

SD\&A expenses in the first six months of 2012 increased six percent, compared to the first six months of 2011, but decreased as a percentage of net sales from 18.3 percent to 16.8 percent, reflecting the impact of price increases on net sales. Marketing expenses for the first six months of 2012 were flat compared to 2011 . Over the same period, selling and general and administrative expenses increased 15 percent and 11 percent, respectively, while distribution expenses increased one percent. The increase in selling expense in the first six months of 2012, compared to 2011, was driven by the costs associated with the transition of certain retail customers from a broker to a direct sales force, the Rowland Coffee acquisition, and the impact of price increases on the variable component of selling expense. The addition of the Rowland Coffee business represented almost one-half of the overall increase in SD\&A expenses. Higher amortization expense was recognized in the first six months of 2012, compared to 2011, primarily related to the intangible assets associated with the Rowland Coffee acquisition.

Operating income decreased $\$ 12.9$ million, or three percent, in the first six months of 2012, compared to 2011, driven by the $\$ 11.3$ million loss on the sale of the Europe s Best ${ }^{\circledR}$ business. Operating margin for the first six months of 2012 was 14.5 percent, compared to 17.4 percent in 2011. Excluding the impact of special project costs in both periods, operating income decreased $\$ 11.3$ million, or two percent, and declined from 19.7 percent of net sales in 2011, to 16.5 percent in 2012.

## Other

Interest expense increased $\$ 0.9$ million in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 2011, representing the costs of higher debt outstanding somewhat offset by the benefit of the Company s interest rate swap activities and higher capitalized interest associated with the Company s capital expenditures. During the second quarter of 2012, the Company terminated two interest rate swaps resulting in a net settlement gain of $\$ 17.7$ million, to be recognized over the remaining life of the underlying debt instruments, including $\$ 0.6$ million in the second quarter of 2012. Interest expense was flat in the first six months of 2012, compared to 2011.

Income taxes decreased $\$ 6.0$ million in the second quarter of 2012, due to a $\$ 28.5$ million decrease in income before income taxes which more than offset the impact of an increase in the effective tax rate to 34.1 percent, compared to 32.5 percent in the second quarter of 2011. The increase in the effective tax rate in the second quarter of 2012 is primarily due to a higher Canadian effective tax rate and an increase in state income tax expense, compared to the second quarter of 2011. Income taxes increased $\$ 2.4$ million in the first six months of 2012, compared to 2011, due to an increase in the effective tax rate from 32.0 percent in the first six months of 2011 to 33.7 percent in the first six months of 2012, which was partially offset by a decrease in income before income taxes of $\$ 11.5$ million. The effective tax rate in the first six months of 2011 benefited from a favorable federal income tax determination related to a prior year, a lower Canadian effective tax rate, and lower state income tax expense relative to the first six months of 2012.

## Restructuring

In calendar 2010, the Company announced its plan to restructure its coffee, fruit spreads, and Canadian pickle and condiments operations as part of its ongoing efforts to enhance the long-term strength and profitability of its leading brands. The initiative is a long-term investment to optimize production capacity and lower the overall cost structure. It includes estimated capital investments of approximately $\$ 220.0$ million, to be incurred through 2014, for a new state-of-the-art food manufacturing facility in Orrville, Ohio, and consolidation of coffee production in New Orleans, Louisiana. The Company s pickle and condiments production has been transitioned to third-party manufacturers.

Upon completion in 2014, the restructuring plan will result in the closing of six of the Company s facilities Memphis, Tennessee; Ste. Marie, Quebec; Sherman, Texas; Kansas City, Missouri; Dunnville, Ontario; and Delhi Township, Ontario; and the reduction of approximately 850 full-time positions. The Sherman facility closed in April 2011 and the last production run at the Dunnville and Delhi Township facilities was in November 2011.

The Company expects to incur restructuring costs of approximately $\$ 235.0$ million, of which $\$ 149.4$ million has been incurred through October 31, 2011. Restructuring costs of $\$ 22.2$ million and $\$ 41.7$ million have been incurred in the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, compared to $\$ 20.4$ million and $\$ 48.0$ million in the second quarter and first six months of 2011, respectively. The restructuring is proceeding as planned and the balance of the costs is anticipated to be recognized over the next three fiscal years as the facilities are closed.

## Acquisitions

On May 16, 2011, the Company acquired the coffee brands and business operations of Rowland Coffee, a privately-held company headquartered in Miami, Florida, for $\$ 362.8$ million in cash. The Company completed the transaction with cash on hand and borrowings of $\$ 180.0$ million under its revolving credit facility.

Rowland Coffee s products are primarily sold under the leading Hispanic Café Bustel 18 and Café Pilon ${ }^{T M}$ brands with distribution in retail and foodservice channels concentrated in southern Florida and the northeastern U.S. It is a leading producer of espresso coffee in the U.S., generating total net sales in excess of $\$ 110.0$ million in calendar 2010. The acquisition includes a manufacturing, distribution, and office facility in Miami. Manufacturing operations are expected to be consolidated into the Company s existing coffee facilities in New Orleans, Louisiana, over the next two to four years. The total one-time costs of the acquisition are estimated to be between $\$ 25.0$ million and $\$ 30.0$ million, including approximately $\$ 15.0$ million of noncash charges associated with the closing of the Miami facilities, primarily accelerated depreciation.

On October 24, 2011, the Company announced the signing of a definitive agreement to acquire a majority of the North American foodservice coffee and hot beverage business of Sara Lee Corporation (Sara Lee ), a leading international coffee and tea company, for $\$ 400.0$ million. Terms of the deal call for $\$ 350.0$ million to be paid at the time of closing, with an additional $\$ 50.0$ million paid in declining installments over the next ten years. The Company anticipates completing the all cash transaction near the beginning of calendar 2012 utilizing proceeds from its recent issuance of 3.50 percent Notes. The acquisition includes Sara Lee s market-leading liquid coffee concentrate business sold under the licensed Douwe Egberts ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand, along with a variety of roast and ground coffee, cappuccino, tea, and cocoa products, sold through foodservice channels in North America. Liquid coffee concentrate adds a unique, high quality, and technology-driven form of coffee to the Company s existing foodservice product offering. In addition, the companies agreed to collaborate on liquid coffee technology by entering into a long-term foodservice innovation partnership.

The closing of the transaction will add approximately 450 employees to the Company, including sales, marketing, finance, customer service, and administrative functions located in the U.S., Canada, and Mexico; a state-of-the-art liquid coffee manufacturing facility in Suffolk, Virginia; a leased roast and ground coffee manufacturing facility in Harahan, Louisiana; and coffee equipment and service teams located throughout North America. In addition to licensing the Douwe Egberts ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand, the Company will also license the Cafitesse ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Pickwick ${ }^{\circledR}$ brands.

## Segment Results

Effective May 1, 2011, the Company s reportable segments have been modified to align segment financial results with the responsibilities of segment management, consistent with the executive appointments announced in March 2011. As a result, the Company has the following three reportable segments: U.S. Retail Coffee, U.S. Retail Consumer Foods, and International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods.

Also effective May 1, 2011, certain specialty brands which were previously included in the U.S. Retail Consumer Foods segment are included in the International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods segment ( product realignments ). As a result, segment performance for 2011 has been reclassified for the organizational changes and product realignments.

|  | Three Months Ended October 31, |  |  | Six Months Ended October 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% |  |  |  | \% |  |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | Increase (Decrease) (Dollars in | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \text { millions) } \end{aligned}$ | 2010 | Increase (Decrease) |
| Net sales: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Retail Coffee | \$ 617.5 | \$ 477.3 | 29\% | \$ 1,117.6 | \$ 870.9 | 28\% |
| U.S. Retail Consumer Foods | 615.2 | 543.0 | 13\% | 1,074.7 | 991.6 | 8\% |
| International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods | 281.2 | 258.6 | 9\% | 510.5 | 463.8 | 10\% |
| Segment profit: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Retail Coffee | \$ 140.0 | \$ 149.1 | (6\%) | \$ 279.7 | \$ 261.0 | 7\% |
| U.S. Retail Consumer Foods | 116.0 | 113.1 | 2\% | 195.0 | 206.5 | (6\%) |
| International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods | 39.0 | 51.4 | (24\%) | 77.5 | 86.9 | (11\%) |
| Segment profit margin: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Retail Coffee | 22.7\% | 31.2\% |  | 25.0\% | 30.0\% |  |
| U.S. Retail Consumer Foods | 18.8\% | 20.8\% |  | 18.1\% | 20.8\% |  |
| International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods U.S. Retail Coffee | 13.9\% | 19.9\% |  | 15.2\% | 18.7\% |  |

The U.S. Retail Coffee segment net sales increased 29 percent in the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter of 2011, primarily reflecting price increases taken over the last 12 months. Segment volume decreased four percent for the second quarter of 2012, excluding Rowland Coffee, compared to the second quarter of 2011. Volume declines for the Folgers ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand were in line with the overall segment while Dunkin Donuts ${ }^{\circledR}$ packaged coffee experienced a three percent decline. The acquisition of Rowland Coffee contributed approximately $\$ 26.7$ million to segment net sales, representing six percentage points of the segment net sales increase. Contributing to favorable sales mix in the second quarter of 2012, net sales of Folgers Gourmet Selections ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Millstone ${ }^{\circledR}$ K-Cups ${ }^{\circledR}$, increased $\$ 29.8$ million, compared to the second quarter of 2011, also representing six percentage points of segment net sales growth, while contributing only one percentage point growth to volume.
U.S. Retail Coffee segment profit decreased $\$ 9.1$ million, or six percent, in the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter of 2011. While overall pricing was higher in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 2011, it was more than offset by higher green coffee costs recognized.

For the first six months of 2012, net sales for the U.S. Retail Coffee segment increased 28 percent, compared to the first six month of 2011. Price increases taken over the past year, the Rowland Coffee acquisition, and favorable sales mix, more than offset a six percent decline in volume, compared to 2011. Although a portion of the favorable price-to-cost relationship in the first quarter of 2012 reversed in the second quarter as expected, segment profit for the first six months of 2012 increased $\$ 18.7$ million, or seven percent, compared to 2011, while segment profit margin decreased from 30.0 percent in 2011 to 25.0 percent in 2012. Higher green coffee costs will continue to be recognized through the remainder of fiscal 2012, compared to 2011, most significantly in the third quarter.

## U.S. Retail Consumer Foods

The U.S. Retail Consumer Foods segment net sales increased 13 percent in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 2011, primarily reflecting the impact of price increases while volume was flat with the prior year. $J i f^{\circledR}$ peanut butter net sales increased 22 percent and volume was up 10 percent in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 2011, reflecting the resumption of promotional activities and incremental demand in advance of an approximately 30 percent price increase that went into effect in November 2011. Smucker $s^{\circledR}$ fruit spreads net sales increased nine percent and volume was up three percent during the same period. Crisco ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand net sales increased 14 percent as a result of price increases, while volume was down 10 percent in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 2011, due to aggressive private-label price points at a few
key retailers. For the same period, net sales and volume for the Pillsbury ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand increased 10 percent and two percent, respectively, as volume gains in baking mixes exceeded declines in flour. Canned milk net sales increased six percent and volume was flat during the second quarter of 2012, compared to 2011.

The U.S. Retail Consumer Foods segment profit increased $\$ 2.8$ million, or two percent, in the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter of 2011. Higher raw material costs were recognized in the quarter, most significantly for oils, flour, milk, sweetener, and peanuts. Peanut costs are expected to escalate during the remainder of the year, with a greater impact on the Company s results in the fourth quarter. The Company expects that net price realization will offset the recognized costs. The increase in peanut costs is being driven by shortages in the 2011 peanut crop. The Company has taken actions to manage the challenges related to the 2011 peanut crop and believes its peanut supply will be adequate for the remainder of the fiscal year. Selling and distribution expenses were also higher, generally in line with the increase in net sales. Price increases effectively offset these higher costs in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 2011. Segment profit margin was 18.8 percent in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 20.8 percent in 2011.

Net sales for the U.S. Retail Consumer Foods segment increased eight percent, as price increases and favorable sales mix more than offset a volume decrease of one percent in the first six months of 2012, compared to 2011 . Segment profit decreased $\$ 11.5$ million or six percent in the first half of 2012, compared to 2011, and decreased as a percent of net sales from 20.8 percent in 2011 to 18.1 percent in 2012, as higher recognized raw material costs more than offset the impact of price increases taken across several categories.

On November 16, 2011, the Company announced a limited voluntary recall on two specific best-if-used-by dates of 16 ounce Smucker s $s^{\circledR}$ Natural Peanut Butter Chunky. No other products of the Company are affected by the recall. Based on the information known to date, the Company does not anticipate that the recall will have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

## International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods

Net sales in the International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods segment increased nine percent in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 2011. Excluding the Rowland Coffee acquisition net sales impact of $\$ 3.8$ million, the Europe $s$ Best ${ }^{\circledR}$ divestiture, and foreign exchange, segment net sales increased six percent over the same period. Price increases more than offset a three percent decline in volume and unfavorable sales mix. Volume gains in Santa Cruz Organic ${ }^{\circledR}$ beverages, Five Roses ${ }^{\circledR}$ flour, and Bick $s^{\circledR}$ pickles did not fully offset declines in nonbranded beverages and Folgers ${ }^{\circledR}$ coffee.

Segment profit decreased 24 percent in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 2011 primarily due to the $\$ 11.3$ million loss on the divestiture of the Europe s Best ${ }^{\circledR}$ business and an increase in marketing expenses. Segment profit margin declined in the second quarter of 2012 to 13.9 percent compared to 19.9 percent in the second quarter of 2011.

The International, Foodservice, and Natural Foods segment net sales increased 10 percent in the first six months of 2012, compared to 2011. Excluding acquisition, divestiture, and foreign exchange, segment net sales increased seven percent in the first six months of 2012, compared to 2011. Segment profit decreased 11 percent in the first six months of 2012, compared to 2011, reflecting the loss on the divestiture of the Europe $s$ Best $^{\circledR}$ business, which was partially offset by favorable foreign exchange and the Rowland Coffee acquisition, and declined from 18.7 percent in the first six months of 2011 to 15.2 percent in 2012.

Financial Condition Liquidity and Capital Resources
$\underline{\text { Liquidity }}$

|  | Six Months Ended October 31, |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in millions) | 2011 | 2010 |  |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 59.9 | $\$ 0.5$ |  |
| Net cash used for investing activities | $(472.0)$ | $(109.8)$ |  |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 594.6 | 294.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | $\$$ | 59.9 | $\$$ |
| Additions to property, plant, and equipment | $(135.7)$ | $(62.5$ |  |
| Free cash flow | $\$$ | $(75.8)$ | $\$$ |

Amounts may not add due to rounding.
On an annual basis, the Company s principal source of funds is cash generated from operations, supplemented by borrowings against the Company s revolving credit facility. Total cash and cash equivalents at October 31, 2011, were $\$ 496.3$ million compared to $\$ 319.8$ million at April 30, 2011.

The Company typically expects a significant use of cash to fund working capital requirements during the first half of each fiscal year, primarily due to the buildup of inventories to support the Fall Bake and Holiday period and the additional increase of coffee inventory in advance of the Atlantic hurricane season. As of October 31, 2011, inventory levels also include an additional buildup in preparation for the coffee production consolidation that is scheduled for later in fiscal 2012 under the Company s restructuring plan as well as the impact of commodity cost increases on overall higher inventory levels. The Company typically expects cash provided by operations in the second half of the fiscal year to exceed the first half of the year, upon completion of the Company s key promotional periods.

Cash provided by operating activities in the first six months of 2012 was $\$ 59.9$ million, compared to $\$ 19.5$ million in 2011, as cash generated from earnings offset working capital requirements in both periods. The increase in cash provided by operations in the first six months of 2012, compared to 2011, was driven by a decrease in working capital requirements as the increases in commodity costs on higher inventory levels were more than offset by the timing of income tax payments and the collection of trade receivable balances. As the Easter holiday occurred later in 2011, more of the collection cycle occurred in the first six months of 2012, compared to the first six months of 2011. Cash provided by operating activities in the first six months of 2012 included the net proceeds from the settlement of interest rate swaps of $\$ 17.7$ million.

Cash used for investing activities was $\$ 472.0$ million in the first six months of 2012, compared to $\$ 109.8$ million in the same period of 2011. The increase in cash used for investing activities in 2012, compared to 2011, was primarily related to the use of $\$ 362.8$ million for the Rowland Coffee acquisition. Capital expenditures were $\$ 135.7$ million in the first six months of 2012, reflecting expenditures associated with the Company s restructuring project, compared to $\$ 62.1$ million in 2011. The Company expects total capital expenditures of approximately $\$ 250.0$ million to \$275.0 million in 2012.

Cash provided by financing activities during the first six months of 2012 was $\$ 594.6$ million, consisting primarily of net proceeds of $\$ 748.6$ million from the debt issued, offset by quarterly dividend payments of $\$ 104.8$ million and the purchase of common shares of $\$ 44.6$ million. During the first six months of 2011, total cash of $\$ 294.1$ million was provided by financing activities consisting primarily of the issuance of $\$ 400.0$ million in Senior Notes offset by $\$ 95.3$ million in quarterly dividend payments. The increased dividend payments in 2012, compared to 2011, resulted from an increase in the quarterly dividend rate from $\$ 0.40$ per common share paid in the first and second quarters of 2011 to $\$ 0.44$ per common share and $\$ 0.48$ per common share paid in the first quarter and second quarters of 2012 , respectively, offset slightly by fewer shares outstanding.

## Capital Resources

The following table presents the Company s capital structure:

|  | October 31, 2011 | April 30, 2011 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |
| Long-term debt | $\$ 2,071.9$ | $\$$ | $1,304.0$ |
| Shareholders equity | $5,363.3$ | $5,292.4$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total capital | $\$ 7,435.2$ | $\$$ | $6,596.4$ |

Amounts may not add due to rounding.

On October 18, 2011, the Company completed a public offering of $\$ 750.0$ million in aggregate principal amount of 3.50 percent Notes due October 15,2021 . Interest is payable semiannually beginning April 15,2012 . The Company received proceeds of approximately $\$ 748.6$ million, net of an offering discount of $\$ 1.4$ million. The 3.50 percent Notes may be redeemed at any time prior to maturity, at the option of the Company. A portion of the net proceeds were used for the repayment of borrowings outstanding under the Company s revolving credit facility resulting from funding the Rowland Coffee acquisition. The remainder of the proceeds will be used for general corporate purposes, including the anticipated acquisition of the majority of Sara Lee Corporation s North American foodservice coffee and hot beverage business, expected to close near the beginning of calendar 2012.

On July 29, 2011, the Company entered into a second amended and restated credit agreement with a group of ten banks. The credit facility, which amends and restates in its entirety the $\$ 600.0$ million credit agreement dated as of January 31, 2011, provides for an unsecured revolving credit line of $\$ 1.0$ billion and matures July 29, 2016. At October 31, 2011, the Company did not have a balance outstanding under the revolving credit facility.

During the second quarter of 2012, the Company repurchased 540,900 common shares for approximately $\$ 38.9$ million under the Company s March 2011 Rule 10b5-1 trading plan, completing the purchase of shares included under the plan.

During November 2011, the Company repurchased 427,400 common shares for approximately $\$ 31.5$ million leaving 2,072,600 available for future repurchase.

Absent any other material acquisitions or other significant investments, the Company believes that cash on hand, combined with cash provided by operations and borrowings available under its credit facility, will be sufficient to meet cash requirements for the next 12 months, including capital expenditures, the payment of quarterly dividends, share repurchases, and interest on debt outstanding.

## Non-GAAP Measures

The Company uses non-GAAP measures including net sales excluding acquisitions, divestiture, and foreign exchange rate impact; gross profit, operating income, income, and income per diluted share, excluding special project costs; and free cash flow as key measures for purposes of evaluating performance internally. These non-GAAP measures are not intended to replace the presentation of financial results in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP ). Rather, the presentation of these non-GAAP measures supplements other metrics used by management to internally evaluate its businesses and facilitate the comparison of past and present operations. These non-GAAP measures may not be comparable to similar measures used by other companies and may exclude certain nondiscretionary expenses and cash payments. The following table reconciles certain non-GAAP financial measures to the comparable GAAP financial measure.

|  | Three Months Ended October 31, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended October 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010(Dollars in million |  | ept | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { re data) } \end{aligned}$ | 2010 |  |
| Reconciliation to gross profit: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Profit | \$ | 498.7 | \$ | 494.7 | \$ | 929.8 | \$ | 903.1 |
| Cost of products sold restructuring |  | 11.8 |  | 12.1 |  | 21.5 |  | 21.5 |
| Cost of products sold merger and integration |  | 0.9 |  |  |  | 1.7 |  |  |
| Gross profit excluding special project costs | \$ | 511.4 | \$ | 506.7 | \$ | 952.9 | \$ | 924.6 |
| Reconciliation to operating income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating income | \$ | 211.6 | \$ | 240.0 | \$ | 392.3 | \$ | 405.2 |
| Cost of products sold restructuring |  | 11.8 |  | 12.1 |  | 21.5 |  | 21.5 |
| Cost of products sold merger and integration |  | 0.9 |  |  |  | 1.7 |  |  |
| Other restructuring costs |  | 10.4 |  | 8.3 |  | 20.3 |  | 26.4 |
| Other merger and integration costs |  | 6.9 |  | 2.8 |  | 11.6 |  | 5.4 |
| Operating income excluding special project costs | \$ | 241.6 | \$ | 263.2 | \$ | 447.3 | \$ | 458.6 |
| Reconciliation to net income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income before income taxes | \$ | 193.2 | \$ | 221.7 | \$ | 360.0 | \$ | 371.5 |
| Cost of products sold restructuring |  | 11.8 |  | 12.1 |  | 21.5 |  | 21.5 |
| Cost of products sold merger and integration |  | 0.9 |  |  |  | 1.7 |  |  |
| Other restructuring costs |  | 10.4 |  | 8.3 |  | 20.3 |  | 26.4 |
| Other merger and integration costs |  | 6.9 |  | 2.8 |  | 11.6 |  | 5.4 |
| Income before income taxes, excluding special project costs |  | 223.1 |  | 244.9 |  | 415.0 |  | 424.9 |
| Income taxes, as adjusted |  | 76.2 |  | 79.6 |  | 139.8 |  | 136.0 |
| Income excluding special project costs | \$ | 147.0 | \$ | 165.3 | \$ | 275.2 | \$ | 288.9 |
| Weighted - average shares assuming dilution |  | 44,705 |  | 42,398 |  | 39,945 |  | 41,445 |
| Income per common share excluding special project costs assuming dilution | \$ | 1.29 | \$ | 1.38 | \$ | 2.41 | \$ | 2.42 |

Amounts may not add due to rounding.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

The Company does not have off-balance sheet arrangements, financings, or other relationships with unconsolidated entities or other persons, also known as variable interest entities. Transactions with related parties are in the ordinary course of business, conducted at an arm s length basis, and not material to the Company s results of operations, financial condition, or cash flows.

The following table summarizes the Company s contractual obligations at October 31, 2011.


Purchase obligations in the above table include agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding on the Company. Included in this category are certain obligations related to normal, ongoing purchase obligations in which the Company has guaranteed payment to ensure availability of raw materials and packaging supplies. The Company expects to receive consideration for these purchase obligations in the form of materials. The purchase obligations in the above table do not represent the entire anticipated purchases in the future, but represent only those items for which the Company is contractually obligated. The table excludes the liability for unrecognized tax benefits and tax-related net interest and penalties of approximately $\$ 23.5$ million under FASB Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) 740, Income Taxes, since the Company is unable to reasonably estimate the timing of cash settlements with the respective taxing authorities.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

The Company is exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, and commodity prices.
Interest Rate Risk. The fair value of the Company s cash and short-term investment portfolio at October 31, 2011, approximates carrying value. Exposure to interest rate risk on the Company s long-term debt is mitigated due to fixed-rate maturities. In an effort to achieve a mix of variable versus fixed-rate debt under favorable market conditions at the time, the Company entered into an interest rate swap in 2011 on a portion of its fixed-rate Senior Notes. The Company received a fixed rate and paid variable rates based on the London Interbank Offer Rate. The interest rate swap was designated as a fair value hedge against the changes in the fair value of the debt. The instrument was recognized at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at April 30, 2011, and changes in fair value were recognized in interest expense. The change in fair value of the interest rate swap was offset by the change in fair value of the long-term debt. In August 2011, the Company terminated this interest rate swap agreement prior to maturity. As a result of the early termination, the Company received $\$ 27.0$ million in cash, which included $\$ 3.1$ million of interest receivable, and will realize a $\$ 23.9$ million reduction of future interest expense through November 1,2018, the maturity date of the underlying debt. The unamortized benefit at October 31, 2011, was $\$ 23.3$ million and was recorded as an increase in the long-term debt balance.

In August 2011, the Company entered into a forward-starting interest rate swap agreement to partially hedge the risk of an increase in the benchmark interest rate during the period leading up to the $\$ 750.0$ million 3.50 percent Notes public offering. The hedge was designated as a cash flow hedge. The mark-to-market gains or losses on the swap were deferred and included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive (loss)
income to the extent effective, and reclassified to interest expense in the period during which the hedged transaction affected earnings. In October 2011, in conjunction with the pricing of the 3.50 percent Notes, the Company terminated the interest rate swap prior to maturity resulting in a loss of $\$ 6.2$ million. The resulting loss will be recognized in interest expense over the life of the related debt. The ineffective portion of the hedge was reclassified to interest expense upon termination of the swap.

Based on the Company s overall interest rate exposure as of and during the three-month and six-month periods ended October 31, 2011, including derivatives and other instruments sensitive to interest rates, a hypothetical 10 percent movement in interest rates would not materially affect the Company s results of operations. In measuring interest rate risk by the amount of net change in fair value of the Company s liabilities, a hypothetical one percent decrease in interest rates at October 31, 2011, would increase the fair value of the Company s long-term debt by approximately $\$ 90.6$ million.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk. The Company has operations outside the U.S. with foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities, primarily denominated in Canadian currency. Because the Company has foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities, financial exposure may result, primarily from the timing of transactions and the movement of exchange rates. The foreign currency balance sheet exposures as of October 31, 2011, are not expected to result in a significant impact on future earnings or cash flows.

The Company utilizes foreign currency exchange forwards and options contracts to manage the price volatility of foreign currency exchange fluctuations on future cash transactions. The contracts generally have maturities of less than one year. Instruments currently used to manage foreign currency exchange exposures do not meet the requirements for hedge accounting treatment and the change in value of these instruments is immediately recognized in cost of products sold. If the contract qualifies for hedge accounting treatment, to the extent the hedge is deemed effective, the associated mark-to-market gains and losses are deferred and included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income. These gains or losses are reclassified to earnings in the period the contract is executed. Based on the Company's hedged foreign currency positions as of October 31, 2011, a hypothetical 10 percent change in exchange rates would result in a loss of fair value of approximately $\$ 6.0$ million.

Revenues from customers outside the U.S. represented approximately 10 percent of net sales during the three-month and six-month periods ended October 31, 2011. Thus, certain revenues and expenses have been, and are expected to be, subject to the effect of foreign currency fluctuations and these fluctuations may have an impact on operating results.

Commodity Price Risk. Raw materials and other commodities used by the Company are subject to price volatility caused by supply and demand conditions, political and economic variables, weather, investor speculation, and other unpredictable factors. To manage the volatility related to anticipated commodity purchases, the Company uses futures and options with maturities generally less than one year. Certain of these instruments are designated as cash flow hedges. The mark-to-market gains or losses on qualifying hedges are included in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income to the extent effective, and reclassified into cost of products sold in the period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The mark-to-market gains or losses on nonqualifying, excluded, and ineffective portions of hedges are recognized in cost of products sold immediately.

The following sensitivity analysis presents the Company s potential loss of fair value resulting from a hypothetical 10 percent change in market prices.

| (Dollars in millions) | October 31, 2011 | April 30, 2011 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Raw material commodities: | $\$$ | 22.8 | $\$$ | 24.5 |
| High |  | 7.0 | 6.6 |  |
| Low | 14.4 | 14.7 |  |  |

Fair value was determined using quoted market prices and was based on the Company s net derivative position by commodity for the previous four quarters. The calculations are not intended to represent actual losses in fair value that the Company expects to incur. In practice, as markets move, the Company actively manages its risk and adjusts hedging, derivative, and purchasing strategies as appropriate. The commodities hedged have a high inverse correlation to price changes of the derivative commodity instrument; thus, the Company would expect that any gain or loss in the fair value of its derivatives would generally be offset by an increase or decrease in the fair value of the underlying exposures.

## Certain Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements included in this Quarterly Report contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of federal securities laws. The forward-looking statements may include statements concerning the Company s current expectations, estimates, assumptions, and beliefs concerning future events, conditions, plans, and strategies that are not historical fact. Any statement that is not historical in nature is a forward-looking statement and may be identified by the use of words and phrases such as expects, anticipates, believes, will, plans, and sim phrases.

Federal securities laws provide a safe harbor for forward-looking statements to encourage companies to provide prospective information. The Company is providing this cautionary statement in connection with the safe harbor provisions. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements as such statements are by nature subject to risks, uncertainties, and other factors, many of which are outside of the Company s control and could cause actual results to differ materially from such statements and from the Company s historical results and experience. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the following:
volatility of commodity markets from which raw materials, particularly green coffee beans, wheat, soybean oil, milk, peanuts, and sugar, are procured and the related impact on costs;
risks associated with derivative and purchasing strategies employed by the Company to manage commodity pricing risks, including the risk that such strategies could result in significant losses and adversely impact the Company s liquidity;
crude oil price trends and their impact on transportation, energy, and packaging costs;
the ability to successfully implement and realize the full benefit of price changes and the competitive response;
the success and cost of introducing new products and the competitive response;
the success and cost of marketing and sales programs and strategies intended to promote growth in the Company s businesses;
general competitive activity in the market, including competitors pricing practices and promotional spending levels;
the ability of the Company to successfully integrate acquired and merged businesses in a timely and cost effective manner;
the successful completion of the Company s anticipated acquisition of the majority of Sara Lee Corporation s North American foodservice coffee and hot beverage business;
the successful completion of the Company s restructuring programs, and the ability to realize anticipated savings and other potential benefits within the time frames currently contemplated;
the impact of food security concerns involving either the Company or its competitors products;
the impact of accidents and natural disasters, including crop failures and storm damage;
the concentration of certain of the Company s businesses with key customers and suppliers and the ability to manage and maintain key relationships;
the loss of significant customers, a substantial reduction in orders from these customers, or the bankruptcy of any such customer;
changes in consumer coffee preferences and other factors affecting the coffee business, which represents a substantial portion of the Company s business;
a downgrade in the Company s public credit rating by a rating agency;
the ability of the Company to obtain any required financing;
the timing and amount of the Company s capital expenditures, share repurchases, and restructuring costs;
impairments in the carrying value of goodwill, other intangible assets, or other long-lived assets or changes in useful lives of other intangible assets;
the impact of new or changes to existing governmental laws and regulations and their application;
the impact of future legal, regulatory, or market measures regarding climate change;
the outcome of current and future tax examinations, changes in tax laws, and other tax matters, and their related impact on the Company s tax positions;
foreign currency and interest rate fluctuations;
political or economic disruption;
other factors affecting share prices and capital markets generally; and
risks related to other factors described under Risk Factors in other reports and statements filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including its most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.
Readers are cautioned not to unduly rely on such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made, when evaluating the information presented in this Quarterly Report. The Company does not undertake any obligation to update or revise these forward-looking statements to reflect new events or circumstances.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Company s management, including the Company s principal executive officer and principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act )) as of October 31, 2011 (the Evaluation Date ). Based on that evaluation, the Company s principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that as of the Evaluation Date, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is (1) recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and (2) accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls. There were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended October 31, 2011, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The Company s business, operations, and financial condition are subject to various risks and uncertainties. The risk factors described in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2011, as revised in the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2011, should be carefully considered, together with the other information contained or incorporated by reference in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in the Company s other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with evaluating the Company, its business, and the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to the Company or that the Company currently deems immaterial also may affect the Company. The occurrence of any of these known or unknown risks could have a material adverse impact on the Company s business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.
(a) Not applicable.
(b) Not applicable.
(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

|  | (a) | (b) |  | (c) | (d) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly | Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares That May |
|  | Total Number of Shares Purchased |  | e Price Share | Announced Plans or Programs | Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
| August 1, 2011 August 31, 2011 | 546,561 | \$ | 71.76 | 540,900 | 2,500,000 |
| September 1, 2011 September 30, 2011 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 2,500,000 |
| October 1, 2011 October 31, 2011 | 2,506 |  | 63.71 | 0 | 2,500,000 |
| Total | 549,067 | \$ | 71.72 | 540,900 | 2,500,000 |

Information set forth in the table above represents activity in the Company s second fiscal quarter.
(a) Shares in this column include shares repurchased as part of publicly announced plans as well as shares repurchased from stock plan recipients in lieu of cash payments.
(d) In January 2011, the Board of Directors authorized management to repurchase up to five million common shares at its discretion with no established expiration date. As of October 31, 2011, the Company has repurchased 2,500,000 shares completing its March 2011 Rule 10b5-1 trading plan and $2,500,000$ shares remain available for future repurchase.
During November 2011, the Company repurchased 427,400 common shares for approximately $\$ 31.5$ million leaving 2,072,600 available for future repurchase.

Item 6. Exhibits.

See the Index of Exhibits that appears on Page No. 40 of this report.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

THE J. M. SMUCKER COMPANY
/s/ Richard K. Smucker
By: RICHARD K. SMUCKER
Chief Executive Officer
/s/ Mark R. Belgya
By: MARK R. BELGYA
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

## INDEX OF EXHIBITS

## Exhibit

No.

## Description

3.1 Amended Regulations of The J. M. Smucker Company, incorporated herein by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 19, 2011 (Commission File No. 001-5111).
4.1 Indenture, dated as of October 18, 2011, between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, incorporated herein by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 18, 2011 (Commission File No. 001-5111).
4.2 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 18, 2011, among the Company, the Guarantors, and U.S. Bank National Association, incorporated herein by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 18, 2011 (Commission File No. 001-5111).
4.7 Third Amended and Restated Intercreditor Agreement, dated June 11, 2010, among KeyBank National Association and Bank of Montreal, as administrative agents, and the other parties identified therein, incorporated herein by reference to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on October 13, 2011.
31.1 Certifications of Richard K. Smucker pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2 Certifications of Mark R. Belgya pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

32 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

