

ASTA FUNDING INC  
Form 10-Q  
February 09, 2012  
[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, DC 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2011

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from            to

Commission file number: 0-26906

**ASTA FUNDING, INC.**

# Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

<b>Delaware</b> (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	<b>22-3388607</b> (IRS Employer Identification No.)
<b>210 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey</b> (Address of principal executive offices)	<b>07632</b> (Zip Code)
<b>Registrant's telephone number: (201) 567-5648</b>	

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report: N/A

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of February 8, 2012, the registrant had approximately 14,641,456 common shares outstanding.

**Table of Contents**

**ASTA FUNDING, INC.**

**INDEX TO FORM 10-Q**

	<b>September 30,</b>
<u>Part I. Financial Information</u>	<b>3</b>
<u>Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<b>3</b>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 (unaudited) and September 30, 2011</u>	<b>3</b>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three month periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)</u>	<b>4</b>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the three month period ending December 31, 2011 (unaudited)</u>	<b>5</b>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three month periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)</u>	<b>6</b>
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	<b>7</b>
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<b>28</b>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<b>39</b>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<b>39</b>
<u>Part II. Other Information</u>	<b>40</b>
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<b>40</b>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<b>40</b>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<b>40</b>
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<b>40</b>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<b>40</b>
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	<b>40</b>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<b>40</b>
<u>Signatures</u>	<b>42</b>

**Table of Contents****PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	September 30, December 31, 2011 (Unaudited)	September 30, September 30, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 83,793,000	\$ 84,347,000
Investments:		
Available-for-sale	18,688,000	13,515,000
Certificates of deposit	8,607,000	9,060,000
Restricted cash	1,020,000	1,031,000
Consumer receivables acquired for liquidation (at net realizable value)	109,366,000	115,195,000
Other investments	4,360,000	
Due from third party collection agencies and attorneys	2,014,000	2,084,000
Prepaid and income taxes receivable	1,818,000	3,369,000
Furniture and equipment, net	480,000	563,000
Deferred income taxes	13,767,000	14,358,000
Other assets	4,262,000	4,529,000
 Total assets	 \$ 248,175,000	 \$ 248,051,000
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
Non recourse debt	\$ 69,157,000	\$ 71,604,000
Other liabilities	2,572,000	3,167,000
Dividends payable	293,000	293,000
Income taxes payable	31,000	31,000
 Total liabilities	 72,053,000	 75,095,000
<b>Commitments and contingencies</b>		
<b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 5,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding	none	
Common stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 30,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding	14,639,456 shares	
at December 31, 2011 and 14,639,456 at September 30, 2011	146,000	146,000
Additional paid-in capital	75,098,000	74,793,000
Retained earnings	101,061,000	98,377,000
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(113,000)	(290,000)
Treasury stock (at cost)	(70,000)	(70,000)
 Total stockholders' equity	 176,122,000	 172,956,000

## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 248,175,000	\$ 248,051,000
--	----------------	----------------

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

**Table of Contents****ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations****(Unaudited)**

	<b>September 30, Three Months Ended December 31, 2011</b>	<b>September 30, Three Months Ended December 31, 2010</b>
<b>Revenues</b>		
Finance income, net	\$ 9,790,000	\$ 10,759,000
Other income	649,000	79,000
	10,439,000	10,838,000
<b>Expenses</b>		
General and administrative	4,766,000	5,481,000
Interest expense (fiscal year 2012 Related Party \$0; fiscal year 2011 Related Party \$86,000)	674,000	879,000
	5,440,000	6,360,000
Income before income taxes	4,999,000	4,478,000
Income tax expense	2,022,000	1,812,000
Net income	\$ 2,977,000	\$ 2,666,000
Net income per share Basic	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.18
Net income per share Diluted	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.18
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:		
Basic	14,639,456	14,606,121
Diluted	14,880,979	14,827,767
See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements		

## Table of Contents

### ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income

(Unaudited)

	September 30, Common Stock Shares	September 30, Amount	September 30, Additional Paid-in Capital	September 30, Accumulated Retained Earnings	September 30, Other Comprehensive Income	September 30, Treasury Stock	September 30, Total Stockholders Equity
Balance, September 30, 2011	14,639,456	\$ 146,000	\$ 74,793,000	\$ 98,377,000	\$ (290,000)	\$ (70,000)	\$ 172,956,000
Stock based compensation expense			305,000				305,000
Dividends				(293,000)			(293,000)
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax					177,000		177,000
Net income		\$	\$	\$ 2,977,000	\$	\$	\$ 2,977,000
Balance, December 31, 2011	14,639,456	\$ 146,000	\$ 75,098,000	\$ 101,061,000	\$ (113,000)	\$ (70,000)	\$ 176,122,000

#### Comprehensive income is as follows:

	September 30, Three Months Ended 12/31/11	September 30, Three Months Ended 12/31/10
Net income	\$ 2,977,000	\$ 2,666,000
Other comprehensive income, net of tax - foreign currency translation		65,000
Other comprehensive income, net of tax-unrealized loss on marketable securities	177,000	
Comprehensive income	\$ 3,154,000	\$ 2,731,000
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (113,000)	\$ 74,000

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

**Table of Contents****ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(Unaudited)**

	<b>September 30, Three Months Ended December 31, 2011</b>	<b>September 30, Three Months Ended December 31, 2010</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 2,977,000	\$ 2,666,000
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	90,000	68,000
Deferred income taxes	472,000	455,000
Stock based compensation	305,000	998,000
Changes in:		
Other assets	267,000	(638,000)
Due from third party collection agencies and attorneys	70,000	1,103,000
Income taxes payable and receivable	1,551,000	1,400,000
Other liabilities	(595,000)	(726,000)
Net cash provided by operating activities	5,137,000	5,326,000
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchase of consumer receivables acquired for liquidation	(1,351,000)	(2,883,000)
Principal collected on receivables acquired for liquidation	7,161,000	10,245,000
Principal collected on receivables accounts represented by account sales	19,000	101,000
Foreign exchange effect on receivables acquired for liquidation		(11,000)
Investment in available-for-sale securities	(4,877,000)	
Proceeds from maturities of certificates of deposit	453,000	
Other investments	(4,360,000)	
Capital expenditures	(7,000)	(164,000)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(2,962,000)	7,288,000
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Changes in restricted cash	11,000	102,000
Dividends paid	(293,000)	(292,000)
Repayment of debt	(2,447,000)	(15,601,000)
Net cash used in financing activities	(2,729,000)	(15,791,000)
<b>Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>(554,000)</b>	<b>(3,177,000)</b>
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	84,347,000	84,235,000



# Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	83,793,000	\$	81,058,000
--	----	------------	----	------------

## Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Cash paid for:

Interest (Related Party: 2012	\$0; 2011	\$122,000)	\$	671,000	\$	943,000
Income taxes			\$	2,000	\$	2,000

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

---

**Table of Contents**

**ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 1 Business and Basis of Presentation**

*Business*

Asta Funding, Inc., together with its wholly owned significant operating subsidiaries Palisades Collection LLC, Palisades Acquisition XVI, LLC ( Palisades XVI ), VATIV Recovery Solutions LLC ( VATIV ), ASFI Pegasus Holdings, LLC ( APH ), Fund Pegasus, LLC ( Fund Pegasus ), Pegasus Funding, LLC ( Pegasus ) and other subsidiaries, not all wholly owned, and not considered material (the Company, we or us ), is engaged in the business of purchasing, managing for its own account and servicing distressed consumer receivables, including charged-off receivables, semi-performing receivables and performing receivables. The primary charged-off receivables are accounts that have been written-off by the originators and may have been previously serviced by collection agencies. Semi-performing receivables are accounts where the debtor is currently making partial or irregular monthly payments, but the accounts may have been written-off by the originators. Performing receivables are accounts where the debtor is making regular monthly payments that may or may not have been delinquent in the past. Distressed consumer receivables are the unpaid debts of individuals to banks, finance companies and other credit providers. A large portion of the Company's distressed consumer receivables are MasterCard(R)®, Visa(R)®, other credit card accounts, and telecommunication accounts which were charged-off by the issuers for non-payment. The Company acquires these portfolios at substantial discounts from their face values. The discounts are based on the characteristics (issuer, account size, debtor residence and age of debt) of the underlying accounts of each portfolio. In addition, the Company is involved in investing in personal injury claims, as described in more detail in Note 5 below.

*Basis of Presentation*

The condensed consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2011, the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three month periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the condensed consolidated statement of stockholders' equity as of and for the three months ended December 31, 2011 and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the three month periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, are unaudited. The September 30, 2011 financial information included in this report has been extracted from our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly our financial position at December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011, the results of operations for the three month periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and cash flows for the three month periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 have been made. The results of operations for the three month periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for any other interim period or the full fiscal year.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission and therefore do not include all information and note disclosures required under generally accepted accounting principles. The Company suggests that these financial statements be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates including management's estimates of future cash flows and the resulting rates of return.

*Recent Accounting Pronouncements*

In December 2011, Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) FASB Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) No. 2011-12, amended ASC Topic 220 Comprehensive Income. The amendments defer certain disclosure requirements regarding reclassifications within ASU No. 2011-05, until the FASB can deliberate further on these requirements. The amendments in this update are effective for the annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2012 and must be applied retrospectively. The implementation of ASU 2011-12 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, *Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)*, which amends and simplifies the rules related to testing goodwill for impairment. The revised guidance allows an entity to make an initial qualitative evaluation, based on the entity's events and circumstances, to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The results of this qualitative assessment determine whether it is necessary to perform the currently required two-step impairment test. The new guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. As allowed by ASU 2011-08, the Company early adopted this guidance for its fiscal year 2011 goodwill impairment test. Adoption of this guidance has not had a material effect on the Company's result of operations or financial condition.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 1 Business and Basis of Presentation (continued)**

*Recent Accounting Pronouncements (continued)*

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)*, in order to improve the comparability, consistency and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income. This standard eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. This update requires that all non-owner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In the two-statement approach, the first statement should present total net income and its components followed consecutively by a second statement that should present total other comprehensive income, the components of other comprehensive income, and the total of comprehensive income. This update is effective for public companies for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted because compliance with the amendments is already permitted. Adoption of this update is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820)*, which results in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements for US Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU No. 2011-04 is effective for the first annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. Adoption of this update is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition but may have an effect on disclosures.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-29, *Business Combinations (Topic 805)*, to improve consistency in how the pro forma disclosures are calculated. Additionally, ASU 2010-29 enhances the disclosure requirements and requires description of the nature and amount of any material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to a business combination. The guidance will become effective for us with the reporting period beginning October 1, 2011, and should be applied prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is after the effective date. Early adoption is permitted. Other than requiring disclosures for prospective business combinations, the adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosure about Fair Value Measurements*, which amends the authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*. The update requires the following additional disclosures:

- a. Separately disclosed amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and a description of the reasons for the transfers; and
- b. Information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements need to be disclosed separately in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using Level 3.

The update provides for amendments to existing disclosures as follows:

- a. Fair value measurement disclosures are to be made for each class of assets and liabilities;
- b. Disclosures about valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The update also includes conforming amendments to guidance on employers' disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets.

The update is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years

## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption in fiscal year 2011 did not have a material effect and future adoption is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition.

**Table of Contents****ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Note 1 Business and Basis of Presentation** *(continued)**Recent Accounting Pronouncements (continued)*

In December 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-17, *Consolidations (Topic 810)*, which represents a revision to former FASB Interpretation No. 46 (Revised December 2003), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, and changes how a reporting entity determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. ASU 2009-17 also requires a reporting entity to provide additional disclosures about its involvement with variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. ASU 2009-17 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009 and for interim periods within the first annual reporting period. The Company adopted ASU 2009-17 as of October 1, 2010, which did not have a material effect on its financial statements.

*Subsequent Events*

The Company has evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to the Condensed Balance Sheet date of December 31, 2011, for items that should potentially be recognized or disclosed in these financial statements. The Company did not identify any items which would require disclosure in or adjustment to the Financial Statements.

*Reclassifications*

Certain items in the prior period's financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation.

**Note 2 Principles of Consolidation**

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

**Note 3 Investments***Available-for-Sale*

Investments classified as available-for-sale at December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011 consist of the following:

	September 30, Amortized Cost	September 30, Unrealized Gains	September 30, Unrealized Losses	September 30, Fair Value
December 31, 2011	\$ 18,877,000	\$ 52,000	\$ (241,000)	\$ 18,688,000
September 30, 2011	\$ 14,000,000	\$	\$ (485,000)	\$ 13,515,000

The available-for-sale investments did not have any contractual maturities. There were no sales during fiscal year 2012.

At December 31, 2011, there were three investments in an unrealized loss position, all of which had current unrealized losses which had existed for 12 months or less. There was one investment at December 31, 2011 in an unrealized gain position. All four of the investments were in an unrealized loss position as of September 30, 2011. All of these securities are considered to be acceptable credit risks. Based on the evaluation of the available evidence, including recent changes in market rates and credit rating information, management believes the aggregate decline in fair

## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

value for these instruments is temporary. In addition, management has the ability but does not believe it will be required to sell these investment securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery or maturity. Should the impairment of any of these securities become other than temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized in net income in the period in which the other-than-temporary impairment is identified.

**Table of Contents****ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Note 3 Investments (continued)***Certificates of deposit*

Certificates of deposit consist of the following:

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, September 30, 2011
Certificates of deposits in banks	\$ 8,607,000	\$ 9,060,000

Certificates of deposit are generally nonnegotiable and nontransferable, and may incur substantial penalties for withdrawal prior to maturity, which will be within one year. Of the amounts shown above, the following amounts are classified as brokered certificates of deposit:

	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2011
Brokered certificates of deposit	\$ 985,000	\$ 1,483,000

Brokered certificates of deposit are subject to market fluctuations if sold prior to maturity; however, it is the Company's intention to hold all certificates of deposit to maturity. All of the brokered securities referenced above are FDIC insured for the principal investment.

**Note 4 Consumer Receivables Acquired for Liquidation**

Accounts acquired for liquidation are stated at their net estimated realizable value and consist primarily of defaulted consumer loans to individuals throughout the country and in Central and South America.

The Company accounts for its investments in consumer receivable portfolios, using either:

the interest method; or

the cost recovery method.

The Company accounts for its investment in finance receivables using the interest method under the guidance of FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC), Receivables—Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality, (ASC 310). Under the guidance of ASC 310, static pools of accounts are established. These pools are aggregated based on certain common risk criteria. Each static pool is recorded at cost and is accounted for as a single unit for the recognition of income, principal payments and loss provision.



## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

Once a static pool is established for a quarter, individual receivable accounts are not added to the pool (unless replaced by the seller) or removed from the pool (unless sold or returned to the seller). ASC 310 requires that the excess of the contractual cash flows over expected cash flows not be recognized as an adjustment of revenue or expense or on the balance sheet. ASC 310 initially freezes the internal rate of return, referred to as IRR, estimated when the accounts receivable are purchased, as the basis for subsequent impairment testing. Significant increases in actual or expected future cash flows may be recognized prospectively through an upward adjustment of the IRR over a portfolio's remaining life. Any increase to the IRR then becomes the new benchmark for impairment testing. Rather than lowering the estimated IRR if the collection estimates are not received or projected to be received, the carrying value of a pool would be impaired, or written down to maintain the then current IRR. Under the interest method, income is recognized on the effective yield method based on the actual cash collected during a period and future estimated cash flows and timing of such collections and the portfolio's cost. Revenue arising from collections in excess of anticipated amounts attributable to timing differences is deferred until such time as a review results in a change in the expected cash flows. The estimated future cash flows are reevaluated quarterly.

**Table of Contents**

**ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 4 Consumer Receivables Acquired for Liquidation** *(continued)*

The Company uses the cost recovery method when collections on a particular pool of accounts cannot be reasonably predicted. Under the cost recovery method, no income is recognized until the cost of the portfolio has been fully recovered. A pool can become fully amortized (zero carrying balance on the balance sheet) while still generating cash collections. In this case, all cash collections are recognized as revenue when received.

The Company's extensive liquidating experience is in the field of distressed credit card receivables, telecommunication receivables, consumer loan receivables, retail installment contracts, consumer receivables, and auto deficiency receivables. The Company uses the interest method for accounting for asset acquisitions within these classes of receivables when it believes it can reasonably estimate the timing of the cash flows. In those situations where the Company diversifies its acquisitions into other asset classes and the Company does not possess the same expertise, or the Company cannot reasonably estimate the timing of the cash flows, the Company utilizes the cost recovery method of accounting for those portfolios of receivables. At December 31, 2011, approximately \$28.6 million of the consumer receivables acquired for liquidation are accounted for using the interest method, while approximately \$80.8 million are accounted for using the cost recovery method, of which \$75.6 million is concentrated in one portfolio, a \$300 million portfolio purchase in March 2007 (the "Portfolio Purchase").

The Company aggregates portfolios of receivables acquired sharing specific common characteristics which were acquired within a given quarter. The Company currently considers for aggregation portfolios of accounts, purchased within the same fiscal quarter, that generally meet the following characteristics:

same issuer/originator;

same underlying credit quality;

similar geographic distribution of the accounts;

similar age of the receivable; and

same type of asset class (credit cards, telecommunication, etc.)

**Table of Contents**

**ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 4 Consumer Receivables Acquired for Liquidation** *(continued)*

The Company uses a variety of qualitative and quantitative factors to estimate collections and the timing thereof. This analysis includes the following variables:

the number of collection agencies previously attempting to collect the receivables in the portfolio;

the average balance of the receivables, as higher balances might be more difficult to collect while low balances might not be cost effective to collect;

the age of the receivables, as older receivables might be more difficult to collect or might be less cost effective. On the other hand, the passage of time, in certain circumstances, might result in higher collections due to changing life events of some individual debtors;

past history of performance of similar assets;

time since charge-off;

payments made since charge-off;

the credit originator and its credit guidelines;

our ability to analyze accounts and resell accounts that meet our criteria for resale;

the locations of the debtors, as there are better states to attempt to collect in and ultimately the Company has better predictability of the liquidations and the expected cash flows. Conversely, there are also states where the liquidation rates are not as favorable and that is factored into our cash flow analysis;

financial condition of the seller

## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

jobs or property of the debtors found within portfolios. In the Company's business model, this is of particular importance as debtors with jobs or property are more likely to repay their obligation and conversely, debtors without jobs or property are less likely to repay their obligation; and

The ability to obtain timely customer statements from the original issuer.

The Company obtains and utilizes, as appropriate, input, including but not limited to monthly collection projections and liquidation rates, from third party collection agencies and attorneys, as a further evidentiary matter, to assist in evaluating and developing collection strategies and in evaluating and modeling the expected cash flows for a given portfolio.

**Table of Contents****ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Note 4 Consumer Receivables Acquired for Liquidation (continued)**

The following tables summarize the changes in the balance sheet account of consumer receivables acquired for liquidation during the following periods:

	September 30, For the Three Months Ended	September 30, For the Three Months Ended	September 30, For the Three Months Ended
	Interest Method	Cost Recovery Method	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 31,193,000	\$ 84,002,000	\$ 115,195,000
Acquisitions of receivable portfolios, net	857,000	494,000	1,351,000
Net cash collections from collection of consumer receivables acquired for liquidation	(12,698,000)	(4,241,000)	(16,939,000)
Net cash collections represented by account sales of consumer receivables acquired for liquidation	(31,000)		(31,000)
Finance income recognized (1)	9,238,000	552,000	9,790,000
Balance, end of period	\$ 28,559,000	\$ 80,807,000	\$ 109,366,000
Revenue as a percentage of collections	72.6%	13.0%	57.7%

(1) Includes \$8.6 million derived from fully amortized interest method pools.

	September 30, For the Three Months Ended	September 30, For the Three Months Ended	September 30, For the Three Months Ended
	Interest Method	Cost Recovery Method	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 46,348,000	\$ 100,683,000	\$ 147,031,000
Acquisitions of receivable portfolios, net	2,659,000	224,000	2,883,000
Net cash collections from collection of consumer receivables acquired for liquidation	(15,579,000)	(5,371,000)	(20,950,000)
Net cash collections represented by account sales of consumer receivables acquired for liquidation	(155,000)		(155,000)
Effect of foreign currency translation		11,000	11,000
Finance income recognized (1)	10,065,000	694,000	10,759,000
Balance, end of period	\$ 43,338,000	\$ 96,241,000	\$ 139,579,000

## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

Revenue as a percentage of collections	64.0%	12.9%	51.0%
--	-------	-------	-------

(1) Includes \$8.8 million derived from fully amortized interest method pools.

**Table of Contents****ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Note 4 Consumer Receivables Acquired for Liquidation (continued)**

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had \$109.4 million in consumer receivables acquired for liquidation, of which \$28.6 million are accounted for on the interest method. Based upon current projections, net cash collections, applied to principal for interest method portfolios will be as follows for the twelve months in the periods ending:

	<b>September 30,</b>
September 30, 2012 (nine months remaining)	\$ 13,951,000
September 30, 2013	8,820,000
September 30, 2014	4,413,000
September 30, 2015	793,000
September 30, 2016	640,000
September 30, 2017	31,000
September 30, 2018	
September 30, 2019	
September 30, 2020	
 Total	 \$ 28,648,000
Deferred revenue	(89,000)
 Total	 \$ 28,559,000

Accretable yield represents the amount of income the Company can expect to generate over the remaining life of its existing portfolios based on estimated future net cash flows as of December 31, 2011. Changes in accretable yield for the three month periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	<b>September 30, Three Months Ended December 31, 2011</b>	<b>September 30, Three Months Ended December 31, 2010</b>
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 7,473,000	\$ 15,255,000
Income recognized on finance receivables, net	(9,238,000)	(10,065,000)
Additions representing expected revenue from purchases	245,000	732,000
Reclassifications from nonaccretable difference	8,020,000	7,952,000
 Balance at end of period	 \$ 6,500,000	 \$ 13,874,000

## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

During the three months ended December 31, 2011, the Company purchased \$3.1 million of face value of charged-off consumer receivables at a cost of \$1.4 million, of which \$0.9 million is classified under the interest method. The interest method has been utilized as the Company has obtained the required input from various third parties to reasonably estimate the timing of cash flows. The receivables purchased during the first quarter of fiscal year 2012 include litigation-related medical accounts receivable portfolios whereby the Company is assigned the revenue stream. As a portion of the accounts are performing, the cost of the portfolio is higher than the traditional charged off non-performing assets. At December 31, 2011, the estimated remaining net collections on the interest method receivables purchased in the three months ended December 31, 2011 is \$1.1 million, of which \$0.8 million represents principle.



**Table of Contents****ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Note 4 Consumer Receivables Acquired for Liquidation (continued)**

The following table summarizes collections on a gross basis as received by the Company's third-party collection agencies and attorneys, less commissions and direct costs for the three month periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

	<b>September 30, For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2011</b>	<b>September 30, For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2010</b>
Gross collections (1)	\$ 25,965,000	\$ 33,384,000
Commissions and fees (2)	8,995,000	12,279,000
 Net collections	 \$ 16,970,000	 \$ 21,105,000

- (1) Gross collections include: collections from third-party collection agencies and attorneys, collections from in-house efforts, and collections represented by account sales.
- (2) Commissions and fees are the contractual commission earned by third party collection agencies and attorneys, and direct costs associated with the collection effort, generally court costs. Includes a 3% fee charged by a servicer on gross collections received by the Company in connection with the Portfolio Purchase. Such arrangement was consummated in December 2007. The fee is charged for asset location, skiptracing and ultimately suing debtors in connection with this portfolio purchase.

**Note 5 Other Investments**

On December 28, 2011, the Company, through a newly-formed indirect subsidiary, APH, entered into a joint venture (the "Venture") with Pegasus Legal Funding, LLC ("PLF"). The joint venture purchases interests in personal injury claims from claimants who are a party to personal injury litigation with the expectation of a settlement in the future. The personal injury claims are purchased by Pegasus, a newly-formed subsidiary in which APH owns 80% and PLF owns 20% of the outstanding membership interests. Pegasus advances to each claimant funds on a non-recourse basis at an agreed upon interest rate in anticipation of a future settlement. The interest purchased by Pegasus in each claim consists of the right to receive from such claimant part of the proceeds or recoveries which such claimant receives by reason of a settlement, judgment or award with respect to such claimant's claim.

In connection with the Venture, pursuant to a Revolving Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement"), Security Agreement (the "Security Agreement") and Secured Revolving Credit Note (the "Note"), Fund Pegasus, LLC, our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, agreed to fund Pegasus an aggregate of up to \$109 million over a five (5) year period, payable in one or more installments up to a maximum of \$21.8 million per year, consisting of up to \$20,000,000 to purchase claims and up to \$1.8 million to cover Pegasus' overhead expenses (which amount includes a 4% management fee payable by Pegasus to PLF). The amounts shall accrue interest at the annual rate of 1%, which interest shall be paid monthly to Fund Pegasus by Pegasus.

In anticipation of the Venture, the Company provided funds to PLF an aggregate of \$4,360,000, consisting of \$4,000,000 to invest in claims and \$360,000 to cover overhead expenses. Pursuant to the Security Agreement, all funds provided by Fund Pegasus to Pegasus will be secured by a

first-priority lien on all of Pegasus's assets.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 5 Other Investments** *(continued)*

The rights, duties and obligations of APH and PLF with respect to Pegasus are set forth in an Operating Agreement (the "Operating Agreement"), which provides, among other things, that all profits and losses of Pegasus will be allocated to APH and PLF

on a pro rata basis in accordance with their respective ownership interests, subject to certain exceptions including the repayment of the loan made to Pegasus by Fund Pegasus prior to the distribution of profits. The Company has agreed, among other things, to guarantee the funding obligations of Fund Pegasus to Pegasus. The Operating Agreement also provides that Fund Pegasus has the right to suspend financing to Pegasus, and APH has the right to terminate the Operating Agreement, if there is a material change in the applicable laws or case law affecting Pegasus's business. In addition, if returns for any consecutive twelve month period after the first year of operations do not exceed 15%, APH may terminate the Operating Agreement without penalty. If the Company enters into new personal injury claim funding ventures in the future, PLF shall have the right to participate in such ventures by purchasing up to 20% of the equity in such ventures.

Any cash received by Pegasus ("Distributable Cash Flow") from the payment to Pegasus with respect to all or part of a purchased claim upon the adjudication or settlement of such claim ("Liquidation"), shall be distributed by Pegasus within ten days following the end of each calendar month, subject to certain exceptions, in the following order:

(i) to Fund Pegasus, until Fund Pegasus has received an amount equal to the funds provided to Pegasus corresponding to each claim for which a Liquidation has been received by Pegasus during the prior 90 day period;

(ii) to Fund Pegasus in the amount equal to 9% of the amount paid to Fund Pegasus under Section (i) above; and

(iii) to APH and PLF in accordance with their respective percentage interests in Pegasus.

PLF has granted to APH an option to purchase 50% of the outstanding equity interests of PLF. The option is exercisable within 18 months of the effective date of the Operating Agreement. If APH chooses to exercise this option, the percentage interests of Pegasus owned by APH and PLF shall automatically adjust such that APH and PLF will each own 50% of the outstanding membership interests of Pegasus. Moreover, APH has a right to purchase PLF's current investment portfolio at a discount within fourteen days of the effective date of the Operating Agreement, at APH's discretion and subject to applicable third party consents.

Max Alperovich and Alexander Khanas, who are members of PLF, will manage the day-to-day operations of Pegasus. In addition to paying to PLF a 4% management fee on funds advanced to purchase claims, Pegasus will also pay to each of Messrs. Alperovich and Khanas the sum of \$100,000 per annum pursuant to consulting agreements entered into by Messrs. Alperovich and Khanas, respectively, and Pegasus.

In addition, A.L. Piccolo & Co., Inc., which is owned by Louis Piccolo, a director of the Company, will receive a fee from Pegasus which is calculated at \$350,000 per \$10,000,000 loaned to Pegasus by Fund Pegasus up to a maximum of \$700,000, which fee is payable over eight years from Pegasus's operating expenses during the term of the Operating Agreement and thereafter by PLF and its affiliates.

**Note 6 Furniture & Equipment**

Furniture and equipment consist of the following as of the dates indicated:

Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, December 31, 2011	September 30, September 30, 2011
Furniture	\$ 310,000	\$ 310,000
Equipment	3,297,000	3,290,000
Software	180,000	180,000
Leasehold improvements	99,000	99,000
Total	3,886,000	3,879,000
Less accumulated depreciation	3,406,000	3,316,000
Balance, end of period	\$ 480,000	\$ 563,000

---

**Table of Contents**

**ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 7 Non Recourse Debt**

***Receivables Financing Agreement***

In March 2007, Palisades XVI borrowed approximately \$227 million under the Receivable Financing Agreement, as amended in July 2007, December 2007, May 2008, February 2009 and October 2010, in order to finance the Portfolio Purchase. The Portfolio Purchase had a purchase price of \$300 million (plus 20% of net payments after Palisades XVI recovers 150% of its purchase price plus cost of funds, which recovery has not yet occurred). Prior to the modification, discussed below, the debt was full recourse only to Palisades XVI and accrued interest at the rate of approximately 170 basis points over LIBOR. The original term of the agreement was three years. This term was extended by each of the Second, Third, Fourth and Fifth Amendments to the Receivables Financing Agreement as discussed below. Proceeds received as a result of the net collections from the Portfolio Purchase are applied to interest and principal of the underlying loan. The Portfolio Purchase is serviced by Palisades Collection LLC, which has engaged unaffiliated subservicers for a majority of the Portfolio Purchase.

Since the inception of the Receivables Financing Agreement amendments have been signed to revise various terms of the Receivables Financing Agreement. Currently the Fifth Amendment is in effect.

On October 26, 2010, Palisades XVI entered into the Fifth Amendment to the Receivables Financing Agreement (the Fifth Amendment). The effective date of the Fifth Amendment was October 14, 2010. The Fifth Amendment (i) extends the expiration date of the Receivables Financing Agreement to April 14, 2014; (ii) reduces the minimum monthly total payment to \$750,000; (iii) accelerated the Company's guaranty credit enhancement of \$8,700,000, which was paid upon execution of the Fifth Amendment; (iv) eliminated the Company's limited guaranty of repayment of the loans outstanding by Palisades XVI; and (v) revises the definition of Borrowing Base Deficit, as defined in the Receivables Financing Agreement, to mean the excess, if any, of 105% of the loans outstanding over the borrowing base.

In connection with the Fifth Amendment, on October 26, 2010, the Company entered into the Omnibus Termination Agreement (the Termination Agreement). The limited recourse subordinated guaranty, discussed under the Fourth Amendment, was eliminated upon signing the Termination Agreement.

The aggregate minimum repayment obligations required under the Fifth Amendment including interest and principal for fiscal years ending September 30, 2012 through 2014 are \$6.8 million, \$9.0 million and \$53.4 million, respectively.

On December 31, 2011 and 2010, the outstanding balance on this loan was approximately \$69.2 million and \$79.3 million, respectively. The applicable interest rate at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 3.77% and 3.76%, respectively. The average interest rate of the Receivable Financing Agreement was 3.75% and 3.76% for the periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. The Company's average debt obligation (excluding the subordinated debt related party) for the periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, was approximately \$70.3 million and \$82.7 million, respectively.

Other significant amendments to the Receivable Financing Agreement are as follows:

**Second Amendment** Receivables Financing Agreement, dated December 27, 2007, revised the amortization schedule of the loan from 25 months to approximately 31 months. BMO charged Palisades XVI a fee of \$475,000 which was paid on January 10, 2008.

**Third Amendment** Receivables Financing Agreement, dated May 19, 2008, extended the payments of the loan through December 2010. The lender also increased the interest rate from 170 basis points over LIBOR to approximately 320 basis points over LIBOR, subject to automatic reduction in the future if additional capital contributions are made by the parent of Palisades XVI.

## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

Fourth Amendment Receivables Financing Agreement, dated February 20, 2009, among other things, (i) lowered the collection rate minimum to \$1 million per month (plus interest and fees) as an average for each period of three consecutive months, (ii) provided for an automatic extension of the maturity date from April 30, 2011 to April 30, 2012 should the outstanding balance be reduced to \$25 million or less by April 30, 2011 and (iii) permanently waived the previous termination events. The interest rate remained unchanged at approximately 320 basis points over LIBOR, subject to automatic reduction in the future should certain collection milestones be attained.

**Table of Contents**

**ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 7 Non Recourse Debt** *(continued)*

***Receivables Financing Agreement*** *(continued)*

As additional credit support for repayment by Palisades XVI of its obligations under the Receivables Financing Agreement and as an inducement for BMO to enter into the Fourth Amendment, the Company provided BMO a limited recourse, subordinated guaranty, secured by the assets of the Company, in an amount not to exceed \$8.0 million plus reasonable costs of enforcement and collection. Under the terms of the guaranty, BMO could not exercise any recourse against the Company until the earlier of (i) five years from the date of the Fourth Amendment and (ii) the termination of the Company's then-existing senior lending facility or any successor senior facility.

***Bank Leumi Credit Agreement***

On December 14, 2009, Asta Funding, Inc. and its subsidiaries other than Palisades XVI, entered into the Bank Leumi Credit Agreement which permitted maximum principal advances of up to \$6 million. The term of the agreement was through December 31, 2010. The interest rate was a floating rate equal to the Bank Leumi Reference Rate plus 2%, with a floor of 4.5%. The loan was secured by collateral consisting of all of the assets of the Company other than those of Palisades XVI. In addition, other collateral for the loan consisted of a pledge of cash and securities by GMS Family Investors, LLC, an investment company owned by members of the Stern family in the form of cash and securities with a value of 133% of the loan commitment. There were no financial covenant restrictions for the Bank Leumi Credit Agreement. On December 14, 2009, approximately \$3.6 million of the Bank Leumi credit line was drawn and used to reduce to zero the remaining balance of the IDB Credit Facility described below. The Bank Leumi Credit Agreement balance was reduced to zero in January 2010.

On December 30, 2011, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries, obtained a \$20,000,000 Senior Secured Discretionary Credit Facility (the "Credit Facility") from Bank Leumi pursuant to a Loan Agreement (the "Loan Agreement") between certain of the Company's subsidiaries and Bank Leumi. Under the Loan Agreement, certain of our subsidiaries issued a Revolving Note (the "Note") to Bank Leumi in the principal amount of up to \$20,000,000. Any outstanding balance under the Credit Facility accrues interest at an annual rate equal to the Prime Rate plus 50 basis points. The Company and certain of its subsidiaries have agreed to serve as guarantors of the obligations of the borrower subsidiaries and have entered into Guaranty Agreements. Pursuant to a series of Security Agreements and Pledge Agreements, the Credit Facility is collateralized by first priority perfected liens on substantially all of the Company's assets and the assets of its subsidiaries, except those of Palisades XVI. The Credit Facility is subject to an administrative fee of \$75,000 upon the first drawdown of the Credit Facility. The Loan Agreement contains standard and customary representations and warranties, covenants, events of default and other provisions including financial covenants that require us to: (i) maintain a minimum net worth of \$150 million; and (ii) incur no net loss in any fiscal year. The term of the Credit Facility is through February 23, 2013. As of February 8, 2012, the Company has not drawn on the Credit Facility.

***Subordinated Debt Related Party***

On April 29, 2008, the Company obtained a subordinated loan pursuant to a subordinated promissory note from an entity (the "Family Entity"). The Family Entity is a greater than 5% shareholder of the Company and is beneficially owned and controlled by Arthur Stern, a Director of the Company, Gary Stern, the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and other members of their family. The loan was in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$8.2 million, bore interest at a rate of 6.25% per annum, was payable interest only each quarter until its maturity date of January 9, 2010, subject to prior repayment in full of the Company's previous senior loan facility. The subordinated loan was incurred by the Company to resolve certain issues related to the activities of one of the subservicers utilized by Palisades Collection LLC under the Receivables Financing Agreement. Proceeds from the subordinated loan were used initially to further collateralize the Company's revolving loan facility with the Bank Group and was used to reduce the balance due on that facility as of May 31, 2008. In December 2009, the subordinated debt-related party maturity date was extended through December 31, 2010. In addition, the interest rate was changed to 10% per annum effective January 2010. The remaining \$4.4 million subordinated loan balance was repaid during the first quarter of fiscal year 2011, including the final payment of \$2.4 million on December 30, 2010, reducing the balance to zero.





---

**Table of Contents**

**ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 8 Commitments and Contingencies**

*Employment Agreements*

In January 2007, the Company entered into an employment agreement (the "Employment Agreement") with Gary Stern, its Chairman, President and Chief Executive, which expired on December 31, 2009. This Employment Agreement was not renewed and Mr. Stern is continuing in his current roles at the discretion of the Board of Directors until a new agreement is signed. The Company intends to negotiate a new employment agreement with Mr. Stern during fiscal year 2012.

On November 30, 2009, the Company entered into a consulting services agreement with Cameron Williams, its former Chief Operating Officer. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company paid Mr. Williams a monthly fee of \$20,833.33 for the one year period ending December 31, 2010 in exchange for certain consulting services. In addition, in exchange for a release of all claims and liabilities, we paid Mr. Williams a fee of \$100,000, reimbursed his monthly COBRA costs of up to \$1,000 per month, and accelerated vesting of 16,667 stock options, exercisable at a price of \$2.95 per share, held by Mr. Williams. Also, Mr. Williams signed another release in favor of the Company and was paid \$20,833.37 at the end of this consulting term in December 2010.

*Leases*

The Company leases its facilities in Englewood Cliffs and Sugar Land, Texas. Please refer to the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes thereto in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, for additional information.

*Litigation*

In the ordinary course of its business, the Company is involved in numerous legal proceedings. The Company regularly initiates collection lawsuits, using its network of third party law firms, against consumers. Also, consumers occasionally initiate litigation against the Company, in which they allege that the Company has violated a federal or state law in the process of collecting their account. The Company does not believe that these matters are material to its business or financial condition. The Company is not involved in any material litigation in which it is a defendant.

**Note 9 Income Recognition, Impairments, and Commissions and Fees**

*Income Recognition*

The Company accounts for its investment in consumer receivables acquired for liquidation using the interest method under the guidance of ASC 310. In ASC 310 static pools of accounts are established. These pools are aggregated based on certain common risk criteria. Each static pool is recorded at cost and is accounted for as a single unit for the recognition of income, principal payments and loss provision.

Once a static pool is established for a quarter, individual receivable accounts are not added to the pool (unless replaced by the seller) or removed from the pool (unless sold or returned to the seller). ASC 310 requires that the excess of the contractual cash flows over expected cash flows not be recognized as an adjustment of revenue or expense or on the balance sheet. ASC 310 initially freezes the internal rate of return ("IRR"), estimated when the accounts receivable are purchased, as the basis for subsequent impairment testing. Significant increases in actual, or expected future cash flows may be recognized prospectively through an upward adjustment of the IRR over a portfolio's remaining life. Any increase to the IRR then becomes the new benchmark for impairment testing. Under ASC 310, rather than lowering the estimated IRR if the collection estimates are not received or projected to be received, the carrying value of a pool would be written down to maintain the then current

IRR.

Finance income is recognized on cost recovery portfolios after the carrying value has been fully recovered through collections or amounts written down.

**Table of Contents**

**ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 9 Income Recognition, Impairments and Commissions and Fees** *(continued)*

*Impairments*

The Company accounts for its impairments in accordance with ASC 310, which provides guidance on how to account for differences between contractual and expected cash flows from an investor's initial investment in loans or debt securities acquired in a transfer if those differences are attributable, at least in part, to credit quality. Increases in expected cash flows are recognized prospectively through an adjustment of the internal rate of return while decreases in expected cash flows are recognized as impairments. ASC 310 makes it more likely that impairment losses and accretable yield adjustments for portfolios' performances which exceed original collection projections will be recorded, as all downward revisions in collection estimates will result in impairment charges, given the requirement that the IRR of the affected pool be held constant. There were no impairments recorded during the quarters ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

The Company's analysis of the timing and amount of cash flows to be generated by our portfolio purchases are based on the following attributes:

the type of receivable, the location of the debtor and the number of collection agencies previously attempting to collect the receivables in the portfolio. The Company has found that there are better states to try to collect receivables and we factor in both better and worse states when establishing our initial cash flow expectations.

the average balance of the receivables influences our analysis in that lower average balance portfolios tend to be more collectible in the short-term and higher average balance portfolios are more appropriate for our law suit strategy and thus yield better results over the longer term. As the Company has significant experience with both types of balances, it is able to factor these variables into our initial expected cash flows;

the age of the receivables, the number of days since charge-off, any payments since charge-off, and the credit guidelines of the credit originator also represent factors taken into consideration in our estimation process. For example, older receivables might be more difficult and/or require more time and effort to collect;

past history and performance of similar assets acquired. As the Company purchase portfolios of like assets, we accumulate a significant historical data base on the tendencies of debtor repayments and factor this into our initial expected cash flows;

the Company's ability to analyze accounts and resell accounts that meet its criteria;

jobs or property of the debtors found within portfolios. With our business model, this is of particular importance. Debtors with jobs or property are more likely to repay their obligation through the lawsuit strategy and, conversely, debtors without jobs or property are less likely to repay their obligation. The Company believes that debtors with jobs or property are more likely to repay because courts have mandated the debtor must pay the debt. Ultimately, the debtor with property will pay to clear title or release a lien. The Company also believes that these debtors generally might take longer to repay and that is factored into our initial expected cash

flows; and

credit standards of the issuer.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 9 Income Recognition, Impairments and Commissions and Fees** *(Continued)*

The Company acquires accounts that have experienced deterioration of credit quality between origination and the date of its acquisition of the accounts. The amount paid for a portfolio of accounts reflects the Company's determination that it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the portfolio of accounts' contractual terms. The Company considers the expected payments and estimates the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows for each acquired portfolio coupled with expected cash flows from accounts available for sales. The excess of this amount over the cost of the portfolio, representing the excess of the accounts' cash flows expected to be collected over the amount paid, is accreted into income recognized on finance receivables accounted for on the interest method over the expected remaining life of the portfolio.

The Company believes it has significant experience in acquiring certain distressed consumer receivable portfolios at a significant discount to the amount actually owed by underlying debtors. The Company acquires these portfolios only after both qualitative and quantitative analyses of the underlying receivables are performed and a calculated purchase price is paid so that we believe our estimated cash flow offers us an adequate return on our acquisition costs after our servicing expenses. Additionally, when considering larger portfolio purchases of accounts, or portfolios from issuers with whom the Company has limited experience, it has the added benefit of soliciting its third party collection agencies and attorneys for their input on liquidation rates and, at times, incorporate such input into the estimates that the Company uses for its expected cash flows.

As a result of the recent and current challenging economic environment and the impact it has had on the collections, for the non-medical account portfolio purchases acquired since the beginning of fiscal year 2009, the Company has extended its time frame of the expectation of recovering 100% of its invested capital to within a 24-29 month period from an 18-28 month period, and the expectation of recovering 130-140% of invested capital to a period of 7 years, which is an increase from the previous 5-year expectation. The medical accounts have a shorter 3-year collection curve based on the nature of these accounts. The Company routinely monitors these expectations against the actual cash flows and, in the event the cash flows are below its expectations and it believes there are no reasons relating to mere timing differences or explainable delays (such as can occur particularly when the court system is involved) for the reduced collections, an impairment would be recorded as a provision for credit losses. Conversely, in the event the cash flows are in excess of our expectations and the reason is due to timing, it would defer the excess collection as deferred revenue.

*Commissions and fees*

Commissions and fees are the contractual commissions earned by third party collection agencies and attorneys, and direct costs associated with the collection effort- generally court costs. The Company expects to continue to purchase portfolios and utilize third party collection agencies and attorney networks.

**Note 10 Income Taxes**

Deferred federal and state taxes principally arise from (i) recognition of finance income collected for tax purposes, but not yet recognized for financial reporting; (ii) provision for impairments/credit losses; and (iii) stock based compensation expense for stock option grants and restricted stock awards recorded in the statement of operations for which no cash distribution has been made. Other components consist of state net operating loss ( NOL ) carry-forwards. The provision for income tax expense for the three month periods ending December 31, 2011 and 2010, reflects income tax expense at an effective rate of 40.5% in both years.

The corporate federal income tax returns of the Company for 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 are subject to examination by the IRS, generally for three years after they are filed. The state income tax returns and other state filings of the Company are subject to examination by the state taxing authorities, for various periods generally up to four years after they are filed.

## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

In April 2010, the Company received notification from the IRS that the Company's 2008 and 2009 federal income tax returns would be audited. This audit is currently in progress. In addition, the Company's 2010 federal income tax return has been included in the ongoing audit.

**Table of Contents****ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Note 11 Net Income Per Share**

Basic per share data is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated similarly, except that it includes the dilutive effect of the assumed exercise of securities, including the effect of shares issuable under the Company's stock based compensation plans. With respect to the assumed proceeds from the exercise of dilutive options, the treasury stock method is calculated using the average market price for the period.

The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted per share data for the three months ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	September 30, Net Income	September 30, December 31, 2011 Weighted Average Shares	September 30, Per Share Amount	September 30, Net (Income)	September 30, December 31, 2010 Weighted Average Shares	September 30, Per Share Amount
Basic	\$ 2,977,000	14,639,456	\$ 0.20	\$ 2,666,000	14,606,121	\$ 0.18
Effect of Dilutive Stock		241,523			221,646	
Diluted	\$ 2,977,000	14,880,979	\$ 0.20	\$ 2,666,000	14,827,767	\$ 0.18

At December 31, 2011, 1,160,249 options at a weighted average exercise price of \$12.48 were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation as they were antidilutive.

At December 31, 2010, 778,699 options at a weighted average exercise price of \$14.59 were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation as they were antidilutive.

**Table of Contents****ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Note 12 Stock Based Compensation**

The Company accounts for stock-based employee compensation under ASC 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation (ASC 718). ASC 718 requires that compensation expense associated with stock options and other stock based awards be recognized in the statement of operations, rather than a disclosure in the notes to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company (Compensation Committee) granted 360,000 stock options, of which 150,000 options were awarded to the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and 30,000 stock options each were awarded to the Chief Financial Officer, the General Counsel and the Senior Vice President of the Company. Additionally, an aggregate of 60,000 stock options were issued to six non-employee directors of the Company. The exercise price of these options, issued on December 13, 2011, was equal to the market price on that date. The weighted average assumptions used in the option pricing model were as follows:

	September 30,
Risk-free interest rate	0.08%
Expected term (years)	10.0
Expected volatility	103.9%
Dividend yield	1.03%

On December 22, 2011, the remaining 60,000 stock options were granted to selected full time employees of the Company, who had been employed at the Company for at least six months prior to the date of grant. The exercise price of all stock options was at the market price on the date of the grant. 330,000 stock options granted in December 2011 vest in one installment three years after the date of grant. 30,000 stock options vest in three equal installments starting on the first anniversary of the grant.

The weighted average assumptions used in the option pricing model were as follows:

	September 30,
Risk-free interest rate	0.08%
Expected term (years)	10.0
Expected volatility	95.7%
Dividend yield	1.03%

In December 2010, the Compensation Committee granted 324,800 stock options, of which 30,000 options were issued to each non-employee independent director for a total of 150,000 stock options. 60,000 stock options were awarded to the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and 30,000 stock options each were awarded to the Chief Financial Officer, the General Counsel and the Senior Vice President of the Company. The remaining 24,800 stock options were granted to full time employees of the Company, who had been employed at the Company for at least six months prior to the date of grant. The exercise price of all stock options was equal to the market price on the date of the grant. All stock options and restricted shares granted in December 2010 vest in three equal annual installments, commencing on the date of grant/issuance. The weighted average assumptions used in the option pricing model were as follows:

	September 30,
Risk-free interest rate	0.17%



## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

Expected term (years)	10.0
Expected volatility	106.9%
Dividend yield	0.98%

Additionally, in December 2010, the Compensation Committee issued 32,765 shares of restricted stock to the Chief Executive Officer.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 13 Stock Option Plans**

*Equity Compensation Plan*

On December 1, 2005, the Board of Directors adopted the Company's Equity Compensation Plan (the "Equity Compensation Plan"), subject to the approval of the stockholders of the Company. The Equity Compensation Plan was adopted to supplement the Company's existing 2002 Stock Option Plan. In addition to permitting the grant of stock options as are permitted under the 2002 Stock Option Plan, the Equity Compensation Plan allows the Company flexibility with respect to equity awards by also providing for grants of stock awards (i.e. restricted or unrestricted), stock purchase rights and stock appreciation rights. One million shares were authorized for issuance under the Equity Compensation Plan. The Equity Compensation Plan was ratified by the stockholders on March 1, 2006. The following description does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Equity Compensation Plan, which is included as an exhibit to the Company's reports filed with the SEC.

The general purpose of the Equity Compensation Plan is to provide an incentive to the Company's employees, directors and consultants, including executive officers, employees and consultants of any subsidiaries, by enabling them to share in the future growth of the business. The Board of Directors believes that the granting of stock options and other equity awards promotes continuity of management and increases incentive and personal interest in the welfare of the Company by those who are primarily responsible for shaping and carrying out its long range plans and securing our growth and financial success.

The Board believes that the Equity Compensation Plan will advance the Company's interests by enhancing its ability to (a) attract and retain employees, directors and consultants who are in a position to make significant contributions to its success; (b) reward employees, directors and consultants for these contributions; and (c) encourage employees, directors and consultants to take into account the Company's long-term interests through ownership of its shares.

The Company has 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock authorized for issuance under the Equity Compensation Plan and 265,569 shares were available as of December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, approximately 85 of the Company's employees were eligible to participate in the Equity Compensation Plan.

*2002 Stock Option Plan*

On March 5, 2002, the Board of Directors adopted the Asta Funding, Inc. 2002 Stock Option Plan (the "2002 Plan"), which plan was approved by the Company's stockholders on May 1, 2002. The 2002 Plan was adopted in order to attract and retain qualified directors, officers and employees of, and consultants to, the Company. The following description does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the 2002 Plan, which is included as an exhibit to the Company's reports filed with the SEC.

The 2002 Plan authorizes the granting of incentive stock options (as defined in Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code")) and non-qualified stock options to eligible employees of the Company, including officers and directors of the Company (whether or not employees) and consultants of the Company.

The Company has 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock authorized for issuance under the 2002 Plan and 6,034 shares were available as of December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, approximately 102 of the Company's employees were eligible to participate in the 2002 Plan.

*1995 Stock Option Plan*

## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

The 1995 Stock Option Plan expired on September 14, 2005. The plan was adopted in order to attract and retain qualified directors, officers and employees of, and consultants, to the Company. The following description does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the 1995 Stock Option Plan, which is included as an exhibit to the Company's reports filed with the SEC.

The 1995 Stock Option Plan authorized the granting of incentive stock options (as defined in Section 422 of the Code) and non-qualified stock options to eligible employees of the Company, including officers and directors of the Company (whether or not employees) and consultants to the Company.

**Table of Contents****ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Note 13 Stock Option Plans (Continued)**

The Company authorized 1,840,000 shares of Common Stock authorized for issuance under the 1995 Stock Option Plan. All but 96,002 shares were utilized. As of September 14, 2005, no more options could be issued under this plan.

Compensation expense for stock options and restricted stock is recognized over the vesting period. Compensation expense for restricted stock is based upon the market price of the shares underlying the awards on the grant date.

The following table summarizes stock option transactions under the 2002 Stock Option Plan, the 1995 Stock Option Plan and the Equity Compensation Plan:

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Three Months Ended December 31, 2011	September 30, Three Months Ended December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding options at the beginning of period	1,294,271	\$ 11.41	922,039	\$ 12.70
Options granted	360,000	7.87	324,800	6.89
Options exercised	0	0	0	0
Options forfeited	(1,400)	6.10	(1,400)	5.79
Outstanding options at the end of period	1,652,871	\$ 10.64	1,245,439	\$ 11.18
Exercisable options at the end of period	1,131,538	\$ 11.84	954,109	\$ 12.56

The following table summarizes information about the 2002 Stock Option Plan, the 1995 Stock Option Plan and the Equity Compensation Plan outstanding options as of December 31, 2011:

Range of Exercise Price	September 30, Weighted Number Outstanding	September 30, Options Outstanding Weighted Remaining Contractual Life (in Years)	September 30, Weighted Average Exercise Price	September 30, Options Exercisable Number Exercisable	September 30, Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$2.8751 \$5.7500	189,200	3.7	\$ 3.95	185,000	\$ 3.97
\$5.7501 \$8.6250	860,400	9.1	7.78	376,600	7.73
\$8.6251 \$14.3750	50,000	9.5	11.50	16,667	11.50
\$14.3751 \$17.2500	198,611	1.9	14.88	198,611	14.88

## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

\$17.2501	\$20.1250	339,660	2.8	18.23	339,660	18.23
\$25.8751	\$28.7500	15,000	5.0	28.75	15,000	28.75
		1,652,871	6.3	\$ 10.64	1,131,538	\$ 11.86

The Company recognized \$283,000 and \$852,000 of compensation expense related to the stock option grants during each of the three month periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, there was \$3,238,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to stock option awards.

There was no intrinsic value of the outstanding and exercisable options as of December 31, 2011.

**Table of Contents****ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Note 13 Stock Option Plans (Continued)**

The following table summarizes information about restricted stock transactions:

	September 30, 2011	September 30, Three Months Ended December 31, 2011	September 30, 2010	September 30, Three Months Ended December 31, 2010
	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested at the beginning of period	21,843	\$ 19.73	17,669	\$ 19.73
Awards granted			32,765	7.63
Vested	(10,921)	7.63	(28,591)	15.11
Forfeited				
Unvested at the end of period	10,922	\$ 7.63	21,843	\$ 7.63

The Company recognized \$22,000 and \$146,000 of compensation expense related to the restricted stock awards during the three month periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, there was \$80,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards.

**Note 14 Stockholders' Equity**

During September 2011, the Company declared a cash dividend aggregating \$293,000 (\$0.02 per share) which was paid November 1, 2011. In December 2011, the Company declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.02 per share, or \$293,000, for shareholders of record as of December 30, 2011, which was paid on February 1, 2012. As of December 31, 2011, stockholders' equity includes an amount for other comprehensive loss of \$113,000, which relates to the Company's investment in available-for-sale securities. At December 31, 2011, stockholders' equity also includes \$70,000 related to treasury stock purchases.

**Note 15 Fair Value Of Financial Measurements and Disclosures***Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

FASB ASC 825, Financial Instruments, (ASC 825), requires disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized on the balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. Because there are a limited number of market participants for certain of the Company's assets and liabilities, fair value estimates are based upon judgments regarding credit risk, investor expectation of economic conditions, normal cost of administration and other risk characteristics, including interest rate and prepayment risk. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of judgment, which significantly affect the estimates.

The estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments is summarized as follows:

Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

	September 30, December 31, 2011 Carrying Amount	September 30, Fair Value	September 30, September 30, 2011 Carrying Amount	September 30, Fair Value
Financial assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 83,793,000	\$ 83,793,000	\$ 84,347,000	\$ 84,347,000
Available-for-sale investments	18,688,000	18,688,000	13,515,000	13,515,000
Certificates of deposit	8,607,000	8,607,000	9,060,000	9,060,000
Consumer receivables acquired for liquidation	109,366,000	128,467,000	115,195,000	135,234,000
Financial liabilities				
Debt and subordinated debt (related party)	69,157,000	69,157,000	71,604,000	71,604,000

---

**Table of Contents**

**ASTA FUNDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**Note 15 Fair Value Of Financial Measurements and Disclosures** *(continued)*

*Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)*

Disclosure of the estimated fair values of financial instruments often requires the use of estimates. The Company uses the following methods and assumptions to estimate the fair value of financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents The carrying amount approximates fair value.

Available-for-sale investments The available-for-sale securities consist of mutual funds that are valued based on quoted prices in active markets.

Certificates of deposit The carrying amount approximates fair value.

Consumer receivables acquired for liquidation The Company computed the fair value of the consumer receivables acquired for liquidation using its proprietary forecasting model. The Company's forecasting model utilizes a discounted cash flow analysis. The Company's cash flows are an estimate of collections for consumer receivables based on variables fully described in Note 4: Consumer Receivables Acquired for Liquidation. These cash flows are discounted to determine the fair value.

Debt and subordinated debt (related party) The carrying value of debt and subordinated debt (related party) approximates fair value as the majority of these loan balances are variable rate and short-term in nature.

*Fair Value Hierarchy*

The Company recorded its available-for-sale investments at estimated fair value on a recurring basis. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include estimated fair value information regarding its available-for-sale investments as of December 31, 2011, as required by FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820). ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. ASC 820 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input significant to the fair value measurement.

Level 1 Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to assess at the measurement date.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices in markets that are not active for identical or similar assets or liabilities; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3- Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and significant to the fair value of the or liabilities that are developed using the reporting entities' estimates and assumptions, which reflect those that market participants would use.

The Company's available-for-sale investments are classified as Level 1 financial instruments based on the classifications described above. There have been no transfers in/out of Level 1. Additionally, there have been no investments in Level 2 or Level 3 to date.



**Note 16 Subsequent Events**

On February 7, 2012, the Board of Directors approved, an incentive compensation plan to be known as the Asta Funding, Inc. 2012 Stock Option and Performance Award Plan ( the 2012 Plan ). The 2012 Plan permits the grant of non-qualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, shares of restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance shares. The purpose of the 2012 Plan is to promote the success and enhance the value of the Company by providing those participating in the Company s success with an incentive for outstanding performance. However, the 2012 Plan would become null and void and have no effect, and all 2012 Plan awards granted would be cancelled if the 2012 Plan is not approved by the Company s stockholders on or before February 7, 2013.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

#### **Caution Regarding Forward Looking Statements**

*This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical facts included or incorporated by reference in this annual report on Form 10-K, including without limitation, statements regarding our future financial position, business strategy, budgets, projected revenues, projected costs and plans and objective of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, expects, intends, plans, projects, estimates, anticipates, or believes or the negative thereof or any variation there on or similar terminology or expressions.*

*We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees and are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and assumptions about us that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Important factors which could materially affect our results and our future performance include, without limitation, our ability to purchase defaulted consumer receivables at appropriate prices, changes in government regulations that affect our ability to collect sufficient amounts on our defaulted consumer receivables, our ability to employ and retain qualified employees, changes in the credit or capital markets, changes in interest rates, deterioration in economic conditions, negative press regarding the debt collection industry which may have a negative impact on a debtor's willingness to pay the debt we acquire, and statements of assumption underlying any of the foregoing, as well as other factors set forth under Item 1A. Risk Factors in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011.*

*All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us, or persons acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by the foregoing. Except as required by law, we assume no duty to update or revise any forward-looking statements.*

#### **Overview**

Asta Funding, Inc., together with its wholly owned significant operating subsidiaries Palisades Collection LLC, Palisades Acquisition XVI, LLC ( Palisades XVI ), VATIV Recovery Solutions LLC ( VATIV ), ASFI Pegasus Holdings, LLC ( APH ), Fund Pegasus, LLC ( Fund Pegasus ), Pegasus Funding, LLC ( Pegasus ), and other subsidiaries, not all wholly owned, and not considered material (the Company, we or us ), primarily engaged in the business of acquiring, managing, servicing and recovering on portfolios of consumer receivables. These portfolios generally consist of one or more of the following types of consumer receivables:

*charged-off receivables* accounts that have been written-off by the originators and may have been previously serviced by collection agencies;

*semi-performing receivables* accounts where the debtor is currently making partial or irregular monthly payments, but the accounts may have been written-off by the originators; and

*performing receivables* accounts where the debtor is making regular monthly payments that may or may not have been delinquent in the past.

We acquire these consumer receivable portfolios at a significant discount to the amount actually owed by the borrowers. We acquire these portfolios after a qualitative and quantitative analysis of the underlying receivables and calculate the purchase price so that our estimated cash flow offers us an adequate return on our acquisition costs and servicing expenses. After purchasing a portfolio, we actively monitor its performance and review and adjust our collection and servicing strategies accordingly.

We purchase receivables from credit grantors and others through (i) privately negotiated direct sales and (ii) auctions in which sellers of receivables seek bids from several pre-qualified debt purchasers. We pursue new acquisitions of consumer receivable portfolios on an ongoing basis through:

## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

our relationships with industry participants, collection agencies, investors and our financing sources;

brokers who specialize in the sale of consumer receivable portfolios; and

other sources.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Personal Injury Litigation Funding Business**

We have recently entered into a joint venture with Pegasus Legal Funding, LLC, pursuant to which we purchase interests in personal injury claims from claimants who are a party to personal injury litigation with the expectation of a settlement in the future. Through the joint venture, we advance to each personal injury claimant funds on a non-recourse basis at an agreed upon interest rate in anticipation of a future settlement. The interest purchased by us in each claim consists of the right to receive from such claimant part of the proceeds or recoveries which such claimant receives by reason of a settlement, judgment or award with respect to such claimant's claim.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

We account for our investments in consumer receivable portfolios, using either:

the interest method; or

the cost recovery method.

As we believe our extensive liquidating experience in certain asset classes such as distressed credit card receivables, telecom receivables, consumer loan receivables and mixed consumer receivables has matured, we use the interest method when we believe we can reasonably estimate the timing of the cash flows. In those situations where we diversify our acquisitions into other asset classes and we do not possess the same expertise, or we cannot reasonably estimate the timing of the cash flows, we utilize the cost recovery method of accounting for those portfolios of receivables.

We account for our investment in finance receivables using the interest method under the guidance of FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 310, Receivables—Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorating Credit Quality, (ASC 310). Static pools of accounts are established. These pools are aggregated based on certain common risk criteria. Each static pool is recorded at cost and is accounted for as a single unit for the recognition of income, principal payments and loss provision. We currently consider for aggregation portfolios of accounts, purchased within the same fiscal quarter, that generally have the following characteristics:

same issuer/originator;

same underlying credit quality;

similar geographic distribution of the accounts;

similar age of the receivable; and

same type of asset class (credit cards, telecommunications, etc.).

After determining that an investment will yield an adequate return on our acquisition cost after servicing fees, including court costs which are expensed as incurred, we use a variety of qualitative and quantitative factors to determine the estimated cash flows. As previously mentioned, included in our analysis for purchasing a portfolio of receivables and determining a reasonable estimate of collections and the timing thereof, the following variables are analyzed and factored into our original estimates:

the number of collection agencies previously attempting to collect the receivables in the portfolio;

the average balance of the receivables;

the age of the receivables (as older receivables might be more difficult to collect or might be less cost effective);

past history of performance of similar assets as we purchase portfolios of similar assets, we believe we have built significant history on how these receivables will liquidate and cash flow;

number of months since charge-off;

payments made since charge-off;

## **Table of Contents**

the credit originator and their credit guidelines;

the locations of the debtors as there are better states to attempt to collect in and ultimately we have better predictability of the liquidations and the expected cash flows. Conversely, there are also states where the liquidation rates are not as good and that is factored into our cash flow analysis;

financial wherewithal of the seller;

jobs or property of the debtors found within portfolios (with our business model) this is of particular importance as debtors with jobs or property are more likely to repay their obligation and conversely, debtors without jobs or property are less likely to repay their obligation; and

the ability to obtain customer statements from the original issuer.

We will obtain and utilize, as appropriate, input including, but not limited to, monthly collection projections and liquidation rates, from our third party collection agencies and attorneys, as a further evidentiary matter, to assist us in developing collection strategies and in modeling the expected cash flows for a given portfolio.

We acquire accounts that have experienced deterioration of credit quality between origination and the date of our acquisition of the accounts. The amount paid for a portfolio of accounts reflects our determination that it is probable we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the portfolio of accounts' contractual terms. We consider the expected payments and estimate the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows for each acquired portfolio coupled with expected cash flows from accounts available for sales. The excess of this amount over the cost of the portfolio, representing the excess of the accounts' cash flows expected to be collected over the amount paid, is accreted into income recognized on finance receivables over the expected remaining life of the portfolio.

We believe we have significant experience in acquiring certain distressed consumer receivable portfolios at a significant discount to the amount actually owed by underlying debtors. We acquire these portfolios only after both qualitative and quantitative analyses of the underlying receivables are performed and a calculated purchase price is paid so that we believe our estimated cash flow offers us an adequate return on our costs, including servicing expenses. Additionally, when considering portfolio purchases of accounts, or portfolios from issuers from whom we have little or limited experience, we have the added benefit of soliciting our third party collection agencies and attorneys for their input on liquidation rates and, at times, incorporate such input into the price we offer for a given portfolio and the estimates we use for our expected cash flows.

As a result of the recent and current challenging economic environment and the impact it has had on the collections, for the non-medical account portfolio purchases acquired since the beginning of fiscal year 2009, we have extended our time frame of the expectation of recovering 100% of our invested capital to within a 24-29 month period from an 18-28 month period, and the expectation of recovering 130-140% of invested capital to a period of seven years, which is an increase from the previous five year expectation. The medical accounts have a shorter three year collection curve based on the nature of these accounts. We routinely monitor these expectations against the actual cash flows and, in the event the cash flows are below our expectations and we believe there are no reasons relating to mere timing differences or explainable delays (such as can occur particularly when the court system is involved) for the reduced collections, an impairment would be recorded as a provision for credit losses. Conversely, in the event the cash flows are in excess of our expectations and the reason is due to timing, we would defer the excess collection as deferred revenue.

We use the cost recovery method when collections on a particular pool of accounts cannot be reasonably predicted. Under the cost recovery method, no income is recognized until the cost of the portfolio has been fully recovered. A pool can become fully amortized (zero carrying balance on the balance sheet) while still generating cash collections. In this case, all cash collections are recognized as revenue when received.

## **Table of Contents**

In the following discussions, most percentages and dollar amounts have been rounded to aid presentation. As a result, all figures are approximations.

### **Results of Operations**

#### ***Three-Months Period Ended December 31, 2011, Compared to the Three-Months Ended December 31, 2010***

*Finance income.* For the three months ended December 31, 2011, finance income decreased \$1.0 million or 9.0% to \$9.8 million from \$10.8 million for the three months ended December 31, 2010. The decrease is primarily due to the lower level of portfolio purchases over the last three and a half years and, as a result, the increased number of our portfolios that are in the later stages of their yield curves. The average balance of consumer receivables acquired for liquidation decreased to \$112.3 million for the three month period ended December 31, 2011 from \$143.3 million for the three month period ended December 31, 2010. Income from fully amortized portfolios (zero basis revenue) decreased \$0.2 million to \$8.6 million in the three months ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$8.8 million in the same prior year period. We acquired \$1.4 million in new portfolios with a face value of \$3.1 million in the first quarter of fiscal year 2012 as compared to \$2.9 million with a face value of \$7.6 million in the first quarter of fiscal year 2011. The portfolios acquired were medical related litigation receivables.

Net collections for the three months ended December 31, 2011 decreased 19.4% to \$17.0 million from \$21.1 million for the same prior year period. The decrease is due to the lower level of purchases over the last three and a half years and the general slow down of the economy. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, gross collections decreased 7.4% to \$26.0 million from \$33.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2010. Commissions and fees associated with gross collections from our third party collection agencies and attorneys decreased \$3.3 million, or 26.7%, to \$9.0 million from \$12.3 million for the three months ended December 31, 2010. Commissions and fees amounted to 34.6% of gross collections for the three month period ended December 31, 2011, compared to 36.8% in the same period of the prior year.

*Other income.* Other income amounted to \$649,000 for three month period ended December 31, 2011 as compared to \$79,000 in the three month period ended December 31, 2010. Other income consisted primarily of service fee income and interest income from the banks. Interest income increased due to the increase level of cash & cash equivalents, assets available for sale and certificates of deposit.

*General and administrative expenses.* During the three-month period ended December 31, 2011, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.7 million, or 13%, to \$4.8 million from \$5.5 million for the three-months ended December 31, 2010. The decrease is primarily attributable to lower stock based compensation expense, as most of the recent stock option grants cliff vest in December 2014, with the expense being more ratably applied over the three year vesting period.

*Interest expense.* During the three month period ended December 31, 2011, interest expense decreased \$0.2 million or 23.3% from \$0.9 million to \$0.7 million for the same period in the prior year. The lower interest expense in the 2011 fiscal quarter is primarily a reflection of the continuing pay-down of the BMO loan and the pay-off of the Asta Group loan in December 2010.

*Income tax expense.* Income tax expense, consisting of federal and state income taxes, for three months ended December 31, 2011 was \$2.0 million as compared to \$1.8 million for the three months ended December 31, 2010. The state portion of the income tax provision for the first quarter of fiscal year 2012 and 2011 has been offset against state net operating loss carryforwards, and as a result no state taxes are currently payable.

*Net income.* For the three months ended December 31, 2011, net income was \$3.0 million as compared to \$2.7 million for the corresponding prior year period. The increase is primarily due to lower interest expense and reduced general and administrative expenses, partially offset by a decrease in finance income.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our primary sources of cash from operations include collections on the receivable portfolios that we have acquired. Our primary uses of cash include our acquisition of receivable portfolios, interest payments, costs involved in the collections of consumer receivables, taxes and dividends, if approved, and repayment of debt. We currently rely on cash provided by operations to provide the funds necessary for the acquisition of receivables and general operations of the business.

#### *Receivables Financing Agreement*

In March 2007, Palisades XVI borrowed approximately \$227 million under the Receivables Financing Agreement, as amended in July 2007, December 2007, May 2008, February 2009 and October 2010, with BMO in order to finance the Portfolio Purchase. The Portfolio Purchase had a purchase price of \$300 million (plus 20% of net payments after Palisades XVI recovers 150% of its purchase price plus cost of funds, which recovery has not yet occurred). Prior to the modification, discussed below, the debt was full recourse only to Palisades XVI and provided for an interest rate of approximately 170 basis points over LIBOR. The original term of the agreement was three years. This term was extended by each of the Second, Third, Fourth and Fifth Amendments to the Receivables Financing Agreement as discussed below. Since the inception of the Receivables Financing Agreement amendments have been signed to revise various terms of the Receivables Financing Agreement. The following is a summary of the material amendments:

**Second Amendment** Receivables Financing Agreement, dated December 27, 2007 revised the amortization schedule of the loan from 25 months to approximately 31 months. BMO charged Palisades XVI a fee of \$475,000 which was paid on January 10, 2008. The fee was capitalized and is being amortized over the remaining life of the Receivables Financing Agreement.

**Third Amendment** Receivables Financing Agreement, dated May 19, 2008 extended the payments of the loan through December 2010. The lender also increased the interest rate from 170 basis points over LIBOR to approximately 320 basis points over LIBOR, subject to automatic reduction in the future if additional capital contributions are made by the parent of Palisades XVI.

**Fourth Amendment** Receivables Financing Agreement, dated February 20, 2009, among other things, (i) lowered the collection rate minimum to \$1 million per month (plus interest and fees) as an average for each period of three consecutive months, (ii) provided for an automatic extension of the maturity date from April 30, 2011 to April 30, 2012 should the outstanding balance be reduced to \$25 million or less by April 30, 2011 and (iii) permanently waived the previous termination events. The interest rate remained unchanged at approximately 320 basis points over LIBOR, subject to automatic reduction in the future should certain collection milestones be attained.

As additional credit support for repayment by Palisades XVI of its obligations under the Receivables Financing Agreement and as an inducement for BMO to enter into the Fourth Amendment, we provided BMO a limited recourse, subordinated guaranty, secured by our assets, in an amount not to exceed \$8.0 million plus reasonable costs of enforcement and collection. Under the terms of the guaranty, BMO cannot exercise any recourse against us until the earlier of (i) five years from the date of the Fourth Amendment and (ii) the termination of our existing senior lending facility or any successor senior facility.

On October 26, 2010, Palisades XVI entered into the Fifth Amendment to the Receivables Financing Agreement (the **Fifth Amendment**). The effective date of the Fifth Amendment was October 14, 2010. The Fifth Amendment (i) extends the expiration date of the Receivables Financing Agreement to April 30, 2014, (ii) reduces the minimum monthly total payment to \$750,000, (iii) accelerates the our guarantee credit enhancement of \$8,700,000, which was paid upon execution of the Fifth Amendment, (iv) eliminates our limited guarantee of repayment of the loans outstanding by Palisades XVI, and (v) revises the definition of **Borrowing Base Deficit**, as defined in the Receivables Financing Agreement, to mean the excess, if any, of 105% of the loans outstanding over the borrowing base.



## **Table of Contents**

In connection with the Fifth Amendment, on October 26, 2010, we entered into the Omnibus Termination Agreement (the "Termination Agreement"). The limited recourse subordinated guaranty discussed under the Fourth Amendment, was eliminated upon signing the Termination Agreement.

The aggregate minimum repayment obligations required under the Fifth Amendment including interest and principal for fiscal years ending September 30, 2012 through 2014, are \$6.8 million, \$9.0 million and \$53.4 million, respectively.

On December 31, 2011 and 2010, the outstanding balance on this loan was approximately \$69.2 million, and \$79.3 million, respectively. The applicable interest rate at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 3.77% and 3.76%, respectively. The average interest rate of the Receivable Financing Agreement was 3.75 and 3.76% for the periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We were in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2011.

### **Senior Secured Discretionary Credit Facility**

On December 30, 2011, we and certain of our subsidiaries obtained a \$20,000,000 Senior Secured Discretionary Credit Facility (the "Credit Facility") from Bank Leumi pursuant to a Loan Agreement (the "Loan Agreement") between certain of our subsidiaries and Bank Leumi. Under the Loan Agreement, certain of our subsidiaries issued a Revolving Note (the "Note") to Bank Leumi in the principal amount of up to \$20,000,000. Any outstanding balance under the Credit Facility accrues interest at an annual rate equal to the Prime Rate plus 50 basis points. We and certain of our subsidiaries have agreed to serve as guarantors of the obligations of the borrower subsidiaries and have entered into Guaranty Agreements. Pursuant to a series of Security Agreements and Pledge Agreements, the Credit Facility is collateralized by first priority perfected liens on substantially all of our assets and the assets of our subsidiaries, except those of Palisades XVI. The Credit Facility is subject to an administrative fee of \$75,000 upon the first drawdown of the Credit Facility. The Loan Agreement contains standard and customary representations and warranties, covenants, events of default and other provisions including financial covenants that require us to: (i) maintain a minimum net worth of \$150 million; and (ii) incur no net loss in any fiscal year. The term of the Credit Facility is through February 23, 2013. As of December 31, 2011 we have not utilized this facility.

### **Bank Leumi Credit Agreement**

On December 14, 2009, We and our subsidiaries (other than Palisades XVI), entered into the Bank Leumi Credit Agreement (the "BL Credit Agreement") which permitted maximum principal advances of up to \$6 million. The term of the agreement was through December 31, 2010. The interest rate was a floating rate equal to the Bank Leumi Reference Rate plus 2%, with a floor of 4.5%. The loan was secured by collateral consisting of all of the assets of the Company other than those of Palisades XVI. In addition, other collateral for the loan consisted of a pledge of cash and securities by GMS Family Investors, LLC, an investment company owned by members of the Stern family in the form of cash and securities with a value of 133% of the loan commitment. There were no financial covenant restrictions for the Bank Leumi Credit Agreement. On December 14, 2009, approximately \$3.6 million of the Bank Leumi credit line was drawn and used to reduce to zero the remaining balance of the IDB Credit Facility described below. The Bank Leumi Credit Agreement balance was reduced to zero in January 2010.

### **IDB Credit Facility**

The Eighth Amendment to the IDB Credit Facility, entered into on July 10, 2009 (the "IDB Credit Facility"), granted an initial \$40 million line of credit from a consortium of banks for portfolio purchases and working capital and was scheduled to reduce to zero by December 31, 2009. The IDB Credit Facility accrued interest at the lesser of LIBOR plus an applicable margin, or the prime rate minus an applicable margin, based on certain leverage ratios, with a minimum rate of 5.5%. The IDB Credit Facility was collateralized by all of our assets, other than the assets of Palisades XVI, and contained financial and other covenants. The IDB Credit Facility's commitment termination date was December 31, 2009. This IDB Credit Facility was repaid in full on December 14, 2009.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Subordinated Debt – Related Party**

On April 29, 2008, we obtained a subordinated loan pursuant to a subordinated promissory note from an entity (the "Family Entity") that is a greater than 5% shareholder of the Company and which is beneficially owned and controlled by Arthur Stern, a director of the Company, Gary Stern, the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and other members of the Stern family. The loan was in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$8.2 million, bore interest at a rate of 6.25% per annum, was payable interest only each quarter until its maturity date of January 9, 2010, subject to prior repayment in full of the IDB Credit Facility. The subordinated loan was incurred by us to resolve certain issues related to the activities of one of the subservicers utilized by Palisades Collection LLC under the Receivables Financing Agreement. Proceeds from the subordinated loan were used initially to further collateralize our IDB Credit Facility and was used to reduce the balance due on that facility as of May 31, 2008. In December 2009, the subordinated debt-related party maturity date was extended through December 31, 2010. In addition the interest rate was changed to 10% per annum effective January 2010. Approximately \$3.8 million of the loan was repaid in fiscal year 2010, with the remaining \$4.4 million repaid during the first quarter of fiscal year 2011, including the final payment of \$2.4 million on December 30, 2010, reducing the balance to zero.

### **Other Investments – Pegasus Funding, LLC**

On December 28, 2011, we, through a newly-formed indirect subsidiary, ASFI Pegasus Holdings, LLC ("APH"), entered into a joint venture (the "Venture") with Pegasus Legal Funding, LLC ("PLF"). The Venture will purchase interests in personal injury claims from claimants who are a party to a personal injury litigation with the expectation of a settlement in the future. The personal injury claims will be purchased by Pegasus, a newly-formed subsidiary in which APH owns 80% and PLF owns 20% of the outstanding membership interests. Pegasus will advance to each claimant funds on a non-recourse basis at an agreed upon interest rate in anticipation of a future settlement. The interest purchased by Pegasus in each claim will consist of the right to receive from such claimant part of the proceeds or recoveries which such claimant receives by reason of a settlement, judgment or award with respect to such claimant's claim.

In connection with the Venture, pursuant to a Revolving Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement"), Security Agreement (the "Security Agreement") and Secured Revolving Credit Note (the "Note"), Fund Pegasus agreed to fund Pegasus an aggregate of up to \$109 million over a five (5) year period, payable in one or more installments up to a maximum of \$21.8 million per year, consisting of up to \$20 million to purchase claims and up to \$1.8 million to cover Pegasus' overhead expenses (which amount includes a 4% management fee payable by Pegasus to PLF). The amounts shall accrue interest at the annual rate of 1%, which interest shall be paid monthly to Fund Pegasus by Pegasus.

In anticipation of the Venture, we provided funds to PLF an aggregate of \$4,360,000, consisting of \$4,000,000 to purchase claims and \$360,000 to cover overhead expenses. Pursuant to the Security Agreement, all funds provided by Fund Pegasus to Pegasus will be secured by a first-priority lien on all of Pegasus's assets.

The rights, duties and obligations of APH and PLF with respect to Pegasus are set forth in an Operating Agreement (the "Operating Agreement"), which provides, among other things, that all profits and losses of Pegasus will be allocated to APH and PLF on a pro rata basis in accordance with their respective ownership interests, subject to certain exceptions including the repayment of the loan made to Pegasus by Fund Pegasus prior to the distribution of profits. We have agreed, among other things, to guarantee the funding obligations of Fund Pegasus to Pegasus. The Operating Agreement also provides that Fund Pegasus has the right to suspend financing to Pegasus, and APH has the right to terminate the Operating Agreement, if there is a material change in the applicable laws or case law affecting Pegasus's business. In addition, if returns for any consecutive twelve month period after the first year of operations do not exceed 15%, APH may terminate the Operating Agreement without penalty. If we enter into new personal injury claim funding ventures in the future, PLF shall have the right to participate in such ventures by purchasing up to 20% of the equity in such ventures.

Any cash received by Pegasus ("Distributable Cash Flow") from the payment to Pegasus with respect to all or part of a purchased claim upon the adjudication or settlement of such claim ("Liquidation"), shall be distributed by Pegasus within ten days following the end of each calendar month, subject to certain exceptions, in the following order:

- (i) to Fund Pegasus, until Fund Pegasus has received an amount equal to the funds provided to Pegasus corresponding to each claim for which a Liquidation has been received by Pegasus during the prior 90 day period;
- (ii) to Fund Pegasus in the amount equal to 9% of the amount paid to Fund Pegasus under Section (i) above; and
- (iii) to APH and PLF in accordance with their respective percentage interests in Pegasus.



## **Table of Contents**

PLF has granted to APH an option to purchase 50% of the outstanding equity interests of PLF. The option is exercisable within 18 months of the effective date of the Operating Agreement. If APH chooses to exercise this option, the percentage interests of Pegasus owned by APH and PLF shall automatically adjust such that APH and PLF will each own 50% of the outstanding membership interests of Pegasus. Moreover, APH has a right to purchase PLF's current investment portfolio at a discount within fourteen days of the effective date of the Operating Agreement, at APH's discretion and subject to applicable third party consents.

Max Alperovich and Alexander Khanas, who are members of PLF, will manage the day-to-day operations of Pegasus. In addition to paying to PLF a 4% management fee on funds advanced to purchase claims, Pegasus will also pay to each of Messrs. Alperovich and Khanas the sum of \$100,000 per annum pursuant to consulting agreements entered into by Messrs. Alperovich and Khanas, respectively, and Pegasus.

In addition, A.L. Piccolo & Co., Inc., which is owned by Louis Piccolo, a director of the Company, will receive a fee from Pegasus which is calculated at \$350,000 per \$10,000,000 loaned to Pegasus by Fund Pegasus up to a maximum of \$700,000, which fee is payable over eight years from Pegasus's operating expenses during the term of the Operating Agreement and thereafter by PLF and its affiliates.

### *Cash Flow*

As of December 31, 2011, our cash decreased \$0.5 million to \$83.8 million from \$84.3 million at September 30, 2011.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$5.1 million during the three months ended December 31, 2011 compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$5.3 million during the three months ended December 31, 2010. The decrease is primarily due to a decrease in amounts due from third party collection agencies and attorneys. Net cash used in investing activities was \$3.0 million during the three month period ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$7.3 million provided by investing activities during the three months ended December 31, 2010, reflecting investments in available-for-sale securities and an interest in personal injury claims in the current fiscal year. Net cash used in financing activities decreased from \$15.8 million in the period ended December 30, 2010 to \$2.7 million in the current period. This decrease is a reflection of the lower level of debt repayment in the current fiscal year. The primary reason for the decrease in cash is the lower net collections in the fiscal 2012 quarter, \$21.1 million, compared to \$29.4 million in the fiscal 2011 quarter.

Our cash requirements have been and will continue to be significant and have, in the past, depended on external financing to acquire consumer receivables and operate the business. Significant requirements include repayments under our debt facilities, purchase of consumer receivable portfolios, interest payments, costs involved in the collections of consumer receivables, and taxes. In addition, dividends are paid if approved by the Board of Directors. Acquisitions have been financed primarily through cash flows from operating activities and a credit facility. We believe we will be less dependent on a credit facility in the short-term as our cash flow from operations will be sufficient to purchase portfolios and operate the business. However, as the collection environment remains challenging, we may seek additional financing.

We are cognizant of the current market fundamentals in the debt purchase and company acquisition markets which, because of significant supply and tight capital availability, could result in increased buying opportunities. Accordingly, we filed a \$100 million shelf registration statement with the SEC which was declared effective during the third quarter of 2010. As of the date of this report, we have not issued any securities under this registration statement. The outcome of any future transaction(s) is subject to market conditions.

Our business model affords us the ability to sell accounts on an opportunistic basis; however, account sales have been immaterial in recent quarters.

## Table of Contents

The following tables summarize the changes in the balance sheet of the investment in consumer receivables acquired for liquidation during the following periods:

	September 30, For the Three Months Ended	September 30, For the Three Months Ended	September 30, For the Three Months Ended
	Interest Method	Cost Recovery Method	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 31,193,000	\$ 84,002,000	\$ 115,195,000
Acquisitions of receivable portfolios, net	857,000	494,000	1,351,000
Net cash collections from collection of consumer receivables acquired for liquidation	(12,698,000)	(4,241,000)	(16,939,000)
Net cash collections represented by account sales of consumer receivables acquired for liquidation	(31,000)		(31,000)
Finance income recognized (1)	9,238,000	552,000	9,790,000
Balance, end of period	\$ 28,559,000	\$ 80,807,000	\$ 109,366,000
Revenue as a percentage of collections	72.6%	13.0%	57.7%

(1) Includes \$8.1 million derived from fully amortized interest method pools.

	September 30, For the Three Months Ended	September 30, For the Three Months Ended	September 30, For the Three Months Ended
	Interest Method	Cost Recovery Method	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 46,348,000	\$ 100,683,000	\$ 147,031,000
Acquisitions of receivable portfolios, net	2,659,000	224,000	2,883,000
Net cash collections from collection of consumer receivables acquired for liquidation	(15,579,000)	(5,371,000)	(20,950,000)
Net cash collections represented by account sales of consumer receivables acquired for liquidation	(155,000)		(155,000)
Effect of foreign currency translation		11,000	11,000
Finance income recognized (1)	10,065,000	694,000	10,759,000
Balance, end of period	\$ 43,338,000	\$ 96,241,000	\$ 139,579,000
Revenue as a percentage of collections	64.0%	12.9%	51.0%

(1) Includes \$8.8 million derived from fully amortized interest method pools.

**Table of Contents***Off Balance Sheet Arrangements*

As of December 31, 2011, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partners, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As such, we are not materially exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in such relationships.

**Additional Supplementary Information:**

We do not anticipate collecting the majority of the purchased principal amounts. Accordingly, the difference between the carrying value of the portfolios and the gross receivables is not indicative of future revenues from these accounts acquired for liquidation. Since we purchased these accounts at significant discounts, we anticipate collecting only a portion of the face amounts. During the three months ended December 31, 2011, we purchased portfolios with an aggregate purchase price of \$1.4 million, having a face value of \$3.1 million. The receivables purchased during the first quarter of 2012 include litigation-related medical accounts receivable portfolio whereby we are assigned the revenue stream. During the three months ended December 31, 2010, we purchased \$7.6 million of face value portfolios with an aggregate purchase price of \$2.9 million.

For additional information regarding our methods of accounting for our investment in finance receivables, the qualitative and quantitative factors we use to determine estimated cash flows, and our performance expectations of our portfolios, see **Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies** above.

**Collections Represented by Account Sales**

Period	September 30, Collections Represented By Account Sales	September 30, Finance Income Earned
Three months ended December 31, 2011	\$ 31,000	\$ 12,000
Three months ended December 31, 2010	\$ 155,000	\$ 54,000

**Portfolio Performance (1)**

(Interest method portfolios only)

Purchase Period	September 30, Purchase Price (2)	September 30, Cash Collections Including Cash Sales (3)	September 30, Estimated Remaining Collections (4)	September 30, Total Estimated Collections (5)	September 30, Total estimated Collections as a Percentage of Purchase Price
2001	65,120,000	105,638,000		105,638,000	162%
2002	36,557,000	48,251,000		48,251,000	132%
2003	115,626,000	219,314,000		219,314,000	190%
2004	103,743,000	188,667,000	74,000	188,741,000	182%
2005	126,023,000	219,998,000	2,204,000	222,202,000	176%
2006	163,392,000	260,539,000	4,841,000	265,380,000	162%
2007	109,235,000	100,856,000	14,912,000	115,768,000	106%
2008	26,626,000	46,827,000	127,000	46,954,000	176%
2009	19,127,000	31,061,000	4,041,000	35,102,000	184%
2010	7,698,000	14,604,000	1,170,000	15,774,000	205%
2011	6,619,000	1,957,000	6,648,000	8,605,000	130%
2012	857,000	49,000	1,042,000	1,091,000	127%

## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

- (1) Total collections do not represent full collections of the Company with respect to this or any other year.
- (2) Purchase price refers to the cash paid to a seller to acquire a portfolio less the purchase price refunded by a seller due to the return of non-compliant accounts (also defined as put-backs).
- (3) Net cash collections include: net collections from our third-party collection agencies and attorneys, net collections from our in-house efforts and collections represented by account sales.
- (4) Does not include collections from portfolios that are zero basis.
- (5) Total estimated collections refer to the actual net cash collections, including cash sales, plus estimated remaining net collections.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In December 2011, Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) No. 2011-12, amended ASC Topic 220 Comprehensive Income. The amendments defer certain disclosure requirements regarding reclassifications within ASU No. 2011-05, until the FASB can deliberate further on these requirements. The amendments in this update are effective for the annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2012 and must be applied retrospectively. The implementation of ASU 2011-12 is not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) No. 2011-08, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)*, which amends and simplifies the rules related to testing goodwill for impairment. The revised guidance allows an entity to make an initial qualitative evaluation, based on the entity's events and circumstances, to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The results of this qualitative assessment determine whether it is necessary to perform the currently required two-step impairment test. The new guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. As allowed by ASU 2011-08, we early adopted this guidance for its fiscal year 2011 goodwill impairment test.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)*, in order to improve the comparability, consistency and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income. This standard eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. This update requires that all non-owner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In the two-statement approach, the first statement should present total net income and its components followed consecutively by a second statement that should present total other comprehensive income, the components of other comprehensive income, and the total of comprehensive income. This update is effective for public companies for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted because compliance with the amendments is already permitted. Adoption of this update is not expected to have a material effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820)*, which results in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements for US GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU No. 2011-04 is effective for the first annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. Adoption of this update is not expected to have a material effect on our results of operations or financial condition but may have an effect on disclosures.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-29, *Business Combinations (Topic 805)*, to improve consistency in how the pro forma disclosures are calculated. Additionally, ASU 2010-29 enhances the disclosure requirements and requires description of the nature and amount of any material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to a business combination. The guidance became effective for us with the reporting period beginning October 1, 2011, and should be applied prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is after the effective date. Early adoption is permitted. Other than requiring disclosures for prospective business combinations, the adoption of this update is not expected to have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*, which amends the authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*. The update requires the following additional disclosures:

- a. Separately disclosed the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and a description of the reasons for the transfers; and
- b. Information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements need to be disclosed separately in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using Level 3.

The update provides for amendments to existing disclosures as follows:

- a. Fair value measurement disclosures are to be made for each class of assets and liabilities; and
- b. Disclosures about valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The update also includes conforming amendments to guidance on employers' disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets.



## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

The update is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption in fiscal year 2011 did not have a material effect and future adoption is not expected to have a material effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

We are exposed to various types of market risk in the normal course of business, including the impact of interest rate changes and changes in corporate tax rates. A material change in these rates could adversely affect our operating results and cash flows. At December 31, 2011, our Receivable Financing Agreement, which is variable debt, had an outstanding balance of \$69.2 million. A 25 basis-point increase in interest rates would have increased our interest expense for the current quarter by approximately \$44,000 based on the average debt outstanding during the period. We do not currently invest in derivative financial or commodity instruments.

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

#### *a. Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

As of December 31, 2011, we carried out the evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures required by Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2011, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is: (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

#### *b. Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting.*

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with our evaluation that occurred during our fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents**

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

In the ordinary course of our business, we are involved in numerous legal proceedings. We regularly initiate collection lawsuits, using our network of third party law firms, against consumers. Also, consumers occasionally initiate litigation against us, in which they allege that we have violated a federal or state law in the process of collecting their account. We do not believe that these ordinary course matters are material to our business and financial condition. As of the date of this Form 10-Q, we are not involved in any material litigation in which we are a defendant.

**Item 1A. Risk factors**

There were no material changes in any risk factors previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities & Exchange Commission on December 14, 2011.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

None.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures**

None.

**Item 5. Other Information**

On December 12, 2011, we entered into a consulting agreement (the "Consulting Agreement") with A. L. Piccolo & Co., Inc. ("A.L. Piccolo"), which is owned by Louis Piccolo, a director of the Company. The Consulting Agreement provides that A.L. Piccolo will provide consulting services to us, which includes analysis of proposed debt and equity transactions, due diligence and financial analysis and management consulting services (the "Services"). Under the Consulting Agreement, we will pay A.L. Piccolo an annual consulting fee of \$150,000, payable in equal monthly installments, and a bonus of \$25,000 for each new transaction that we close with A.L. Piccolo's assistance, other than any transactions pending on the effective date of the Consulting Agreement. We may pay an additional bonus to A.L. Piccolo in the sole discretion of our chief executive officer. In addition, during each year of the term of the Consulting Agreement, we will grant to A.L. Piccolo an option to purchase 30,000 shares of our common stock, which option will vest in three annual installments on the first, second, and third anniversaries of the grant date. The Consulting Agreement expires on December 12, 2013.

The description of the Consulting Agreement set forth above is qualified in its entirety by reference to a copy of such agreement filed as Exhibit 10.1 to this report and incorporated herein by this reference.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

(a) Exhibits.

## Edgar Filing: ASTA FUNDING INC - Form 10-Q

- 10.1 Consulting Agreement, dated December 12, 2011, by and between the Company and A.L. Piccolo & Co., Inc.
- 10.2 Revolving Credit Agreement, dated December 28, 2011, by and between Pegasus Funding, LLC and Fund Pegasus, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 4, 2012).
- 10.3 Security Agreement, dated December 28, 2011, by and between Pegasus Funding, LLC and Fund Pegasus, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 4, 2012).
- 10.4 Secured Revolving Credit Note, dated December 28, 2011, by Pegasus Funding, LLC in favor of Fund Pegasus, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 4, 2012).

---

**Table of Contents**

10.5	Operating Agreement of Pegasus Funding, LLC, dated December 28, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 4, 2012).
10.6	Loan Agreement, dated December 30, 2011, by and between certain subsidiaries of the Company and Bank Leumi USA (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 6, 2012).
10.7	Form of Revolving Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 6, 2012).
10.8	Form of Guaranty (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 6, 2012).
10.9	Form of Security Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 6, 2012).
10.10	Form of Pledge Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 6, 2012).
31.1	Certification of the Registrant's Chief Executive Officer, Gary Stern, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of the Registrant's Chief Financial Officer, Robert J. Michel, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of the Registrant's Chief Executive Officer, Gary Stern, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of the Registrant's Chief Financial Officer, Robert J. Michel, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**ASTA FUNDING, INC.**

(Registrant)

Date: February 9, 2012

By: /s/ Gary Stern  
Gary Stern, President, Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: February 9, 2012

By: /s/ Robert J. Michel  
Robert J. Michel, Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

---

**Table of Contents**

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.1	Consulting Agreement, dated December 12, 2011, by and between the Company and A.L. Piccolo & Co., Inc.
31.1	Certification of the Registrant's Chief Executive Officer, Gary Stern, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of the Registrant's Chief Financial Officer, Robert J. Michel, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of the Registrant's Chief Executive Officer, Gary Stern, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of the Registrant's Chief Financial Officer, Robert J. Michel, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.