Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. Form 424B5 June 28, 2012 Table of Contents

> Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(5) Registration No. 333-171697

Class of securities registered Common units representing limited partner interests Amount to be registered 15,525,000 Offering price per unit \$44.57 Aggregate offering price \$691,949,250 Amount of registration fee \$79,298(1)

(1) The filing fee, calculated in accordance with Rule 457(r), has been transmitted to the SEC in connection with the securities offered from Registration Statement File No. 333-171697 by means of this prospectus supplement.

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT

(To Prospectus Dated January 13, 2011)

13,500,000 Common Units

Representing Limited Partner Interests

Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.

We are selling 13,500,000 common units representing limited partner interests.

Our common units are listed on the New York Stock Exchange, or the NYSE, under the symbol ETP. The last reported sale price of our common units on the NYSE on June 27, 2012 was \$45.98 per common unit.

Investing in our common units involves risks. Please read <u>Risk Factors</u> beginning on page S-11 of this prospectus supplement and beginning on page 4 of the accompanying prospectus.

| | | Underwriting Discounts Price to and Public Commissions | Proceeds to ETP (Before Expenses) |
|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| | Price to Public | | |
| Per Common Unit | \$ 44.57 | \$ 1.34 | \$ 43.23 |
| Total | \$ 601,695,000 | \$ 18,090,000 | \$ 583,605,000 |

To the extent that the underwriters sell more than 13,500,000 common units, the underwriters have the option to purchase up to an additional 2,025,000 common units from us at the initial price to public less the underwriting discount.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved these securities or determined if this prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

Delivery of the common units will be made on or about July 3, 2012.

Joint Book-Running Managers

BofA Merrill Lynch Barclays

Table of Contents

Morgan Stanley

UBS Investment Bank

Citigroup Goldman, Sachs & Co. J.P. Morgan

Senior Co-Managers

Wells Fargo Securities

Raymond James

Junior Co-Managers

RBC Capital Markets

Stifel Nicolaus Weisel

Simmons & Company International

June 28, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT

| | с · | | | |
|---|------|--|--|--|
| About This Prospectus Supplement | S-i | | | |
| Prospectus Supplement Summary | S-1 | | | |
| Risk Factors | S-11 | | | |
| Use of Proceeds | S-39 | | | |
| Price Range of Common Units and Distributions | S-39 | | | |
| CAPITALIZATION | S-40 | | | |
| Description of Units | S-41 | | | |
| Cash Distribution Policy | S-49 | | | |
| MATERIAL TAX CONSIDERATIONS | S-54 | | | |
| Underwriting | S-57 | | | |
| Legal Matters | S-62 | | | |
| Experts | S-62 | | | |
| WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION | S-62 | | | |
| PROSPECTUS | | | | |

| 1 |
|----|
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 4 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 34 |
| 42 |
| 47 |
| 54 |
| 69 |
| 71 |
| 71 |
| 71 |
| |

ABOUT THIS PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT

This document is in two parts. The first part is the prospectus supplement, which describes the specific terms of this offering of common units. The second part is the accompanying prospectus, which gives more general information, some of which may not apply to the common units. Generally, when we refer only to the prospectus, we are referring to both parts combined. If the information relating to the offering varies between the prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, you should rely on the information in this prospectus supplement.

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus supplement, the accompanying prospectus, any free writing prospectus we may authorize to be delivered to you and the documents we have incorporated by reference. Neither we nor the underwriters have authorized anyone else to give you additional or different information. We are not offering the common units in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted. You should not assume that the information in this prospectus supplement, in the accompanying prospectus or any free writing prospectus we may authorize to be delivered to you is accurate as of any date other than the date on the front of those documents. You should not assume that any information contained in the documents incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus is accurate as of any date other than the respective dates of those documents. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since those dates.

Edgar Filing: Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. - Form 424B5

None of Energy Transfer Partners, L.P., the underwriters or any of their respective representatives is making any representation to you regarding the legality of an investment in our common units by you under applicable laws. You should consult with your own advisors as to legal, tax, business, financial and related aspects of an investment in the common units.

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT SUMMARY

This summary highlights information included or incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement. It does not contain all of the information that may be important to you. You should read carefully the entire prospectus supplement, the accompanying prospectus, the documents incorporated by reference and the other documents to which we refer herein for a more complete understanding of our business and the terms of this offering, as well as the tax and other considerations that are important to you in making your investment decision.

Unless the context otherwise requires, references to (1) Energy Transfer, ETP, we, us, our and similar terms, as well as references to the Partnership, are to Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. and all of its operating limited partnerships and subsidiaries and (2) ETE are to Energy Transfer Equity, L.P., the owner of our general partner. Unless we indicate otherwise, the information presented in this prospectus supplement assumes that the underwriters do not exercise their option to purchase additional common units.

Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.

Overview

We are a publicly traded limited partnership that owns and operates a diversified portfolio of energy assets. Our natural gas operations include intrastate natural gas gathering and transportation pipelines, two interstate pipelines, natural gas gathering, processing and treating assets located in Texas, New Mexico, Arizona, Louisiana, Arkansas, Alabama, Mississippi, West Virginia, Colorado and Utah, and three natural gas storage facilities located in Texas. These assets include approximately 18,000 miles of pipeline in service and a 50% interest in two joint ventures that have approximately 5,585 miles of interstate pipeline in service. Our intrastate and interstate pipeline systems transport natural gas from several significant natural gas producing areas, including the Barnett Shale in the Fort Worth Basin in north Texas, the Bossier Sands in east Texas, the Permian Basin in west Texas and New Mexico, the Eagle Ford Shale in south Texas, the San Juan Basin in New Mexico, the Fayetteville Shale in Arkansas, and the Haynesville Shale in north Louisiana. Our gathering and processing operations are conducted in many of these same producing areas as well as in the Piceance and Uinta Basins in Colorado and Utah. We also hold a 70% interest in a joint venture that owns and operates natural gas liquids, or NGLs, storage, fractionation and transportation assets in Texas, Louisiana and Mississippi.

Our Business

Intrastate Transportation and Storage Operations

We own and operate approximately 8,300 miles of intrastate natural gas transportation pipelines and three natural gas storage facilities. We own the largest intrastate pipeline system in the United States. Our intrastate pipeline system interconnects to many major consumption areas in the United States. Our intrastate transportation and storage segment focuses on the transportation of natural gas from various natural gas producing areas to major natural gas consuming markets through connections with other pipeline systems. Our intrastate natural gas pipeline system has an aggregate throughput capacity of approximately 14.3 billion cubic feet per day, or Bcf/d, of natural gas. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we transported an average of 11.3 Bcf/d of natural gas through our intrastate natural gas pipeline system.

We also provide natural gas storage services for third parties for which we charge storage fees as well as injection and withdrawal fees from the use of our three natural gas storage facilities. Our storage facilities have an aggregate working gas throughput capacity of approximately 74 Bcf. In addition to our natural gas storage services, we utilize our Bammel gas storage facility to engage in natural gas storage transactions in which we seek to find and profit from pricing differences that occur over time. These transactions typically involve a purchase of physical natural gas that is injected into our storage facilities and a related sale of natural gas pursuant to financial futures contracts at a price sufficient to cover our natural gas purchase price and related carrying costs and provide for a gross profit margin.

Our intrastate transportation and storage operations accounted for approximately 41% and 49% of our total consolidated operating income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Interstate Transportation Operations

We own and operate the Transwestern pipeline, an open-access natural gas interstate pipeline extending from the gas producing regions of west Texas, eastern and northwest New Mexico, and southern Colorado primarily to pipeline interconnects off the east end of its system and to pipeline interconnects at the California border. Transwestern comprises approximately 2,690 miles of pipeline with a throughput capacity of 2.1 Bcf/d. The Transwestern pipeline has access to three significant gas basins: the Permian Basin in west Texas and eastern New Mexico, the San Juan Basin in northwest New Mexico and southern Colorado, and the Anadarko Basin in the Texas and Oklahoma panhandle. Natural gas sources from the San Juan Basin and surrounding producing areas can be delivered eastward to Texas intrastate and mid-continent connecting pipelines and natural gas market hubs as well as westward to markets like Arizona, Nevada and California. Transwestern s customers include local distribution companies, producers, marketers, electric power generators and industrial end-users.

We also own and operate a 195-mile 42-inch interstate natural gas pipeline, which we refer to as the Tiger Pipeline. The Tiger Pipeline connects to our dual 42-inch pipeline system near Carthage, Texas, extends through the heart of the Haynesville Shale and ends near Delhi, Louisiana, with interconnects to at least seven interstate pipelines at various points in Louisiana. The Tiger Pipeline was placed in service in December 2010 with an initial capacity of 2.0 Bcf/d. The Tiger Pipeline was expanded in August 2011, bringing its total capacity to 2.4 Bcf/d.

As of March 2012, we own a 50% interest in the entity that owns 100% of Florida Gas Transmission Company LLC, or FGT. FGT owns an approximately 5,400 mile interstate natural gas pipeline system that originates in Texas and has the throughput capacity to deliver 3.1 Bcf/d of natural gas to the Florida peninsula. Please read Recent Developments Citrus Acquisition below. We also own a 50% interest in a joint venture that owns the 185-mile Fayetteville Express pipeline in Arkansas and Mississippi.

Our interstate transportation segment accounted for approximately 19% and 13% of our total consolidated operating income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Midstream Operations

We own and operate approximately 7,400 miles of in-service natural gas gathering pipelines, two natural gas processing plants, 15 natural gas treating facilities, and 11 natural gas conditioning facilities. Our midstream segment focuses on the gathering, compression, treating, blending, processing and marketing of natural gas, and our operations are currently concentrated in major producing basins, including the Barnett Shale in north Texas, the Bossier Sands in east Texas, the Austin Chalk trend and Eagle Ford Shale in south Texas, the Permian Basin in west Texas, the Piceance and Uinta Basins in Colorado and Utah and the Haynesville Shale in north Louisiana. Many of our midstream assets are integrated with our intrastate transportation and storage assets.

In February 2011, we announced that we had entered into multiple long-term agreements with shippers to provide additional transportation services from the Eagle Ford Shale located in south Texas. We completed the initial phase of the Rich Eagle Ford Mainline pipeline, or REM pipeline, in October 2011. The initial phase consists of 160 miles of 30-inch pipeline and has an initial capacity of 400 million cubic feet per day, or MMcf/d, with the ability to expand capacity to 800 MMcf/d. This rich gas gathering system originates in Dimmitt County, Texas and extends to our Chisholm Pipeline for ultimate deliveries to our existing processing plants and to a new 120 MMcf/d processing plant, which we also announced in connection with the REM pipeline. In April 2011 and February 2012, we announced that we had entered into additional long-term fee-based agreements with multiple producers to provide natural gas gathering, processing and liquids services from the Eagle Ford Shale. To facilitate these agreements, we will expand the REM pipeline and construct a new processing facility in Jackson County, Texas.

Our midstream segment accounted for approximately 22% and 21% of our total consolidated operating income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

NGL Transportation and Services Operations

In May 2011, we and Regency Energy Partners LP, or Regency, announced that ETP-Regency Midstream Holdings, LLC, or ETP-Regency LLC, a joint venture owned 70% by us and 30% by Regency, completed the acquisition of all of the membership interests in LDH Energy Asset Holdings LLC for \$1.98 billion in cash. Following the closing of this transaction, which we refer to as the LDH Acquisition, ETP-Regency LLC was renamed Lone Star NGL LLC, or Lone Star. We and Regency each made an initial capital contribution to Lone Star in proportion to our respective equity interests to fund the purchase price for the LDH Acquisition. Lone Star is managed by a two-person board of directors, with us and Regency each having the right to appoint one director.

Lone Star owns and operates a diverse set of midstream energy assets that represent critical infrastructure connecting high-growth production areas to end-markets. The Lone Star assets include NGL and refined products storage facilities located in Mont Belvieu, Texas and Hattiesburg, Mississippi; a 12-inch long-haul intrastate NGL pipeline, which we refer to as the West Texas Pipeline, originating in the Permian Basin in west Texas, passing through the Barnett Shale production area and terminating at Mont Belvieu; NGL fractionation and natural gas processing facilities near Baton Rouge and New Orleans, Louisiana; and a 20% equity interest in the Sea Robin wet gas processing plant near Henry Hub, Louisiana. The Mont Belvieu storage facility has approximately 43 million barrels, or MMBbls, of capacity in 24 underground salt dome caverns. The Hattiesburg facility has 3.9 MMBbls of usable capacity in three salt dome caverns, with 9.6 MMBbls of total cavern capacity, and two brine ponds with combined capacity of over 75 thousand barrels, or MBbls. The intrastate pipeline assets include the 1,066-mile West Texas Pipeline with 144 MBbls per day, or MBPD, of capacity, 12 pump stations providing 21,500 horsepower of compression, and over 20 injection points. The NGL fractionation and processing facilities consist of one fractionation unit with 25 MBPD of capacity, two cryogenic processing plants with combined capacity of 82 MMcf/d. The Sea Robin wet gas processing plant has 850 MMcf/d of natural gas capacity and 26 MBPD of NGL capacity.

In May 2011, we announced that Lone Star will construct a 100 MBPD NGL fractionation facility at Mont Belvieu. We will utilize a substantial amount of this fractionation capacity to handle NGL barrels we will deliver from the new processing facility we plan to build in Jackson County, Texas, a facility supported by multiple 10-year contracts with producers as part of our Eagle Ford Shale projects. Additionally, Regency plans to provide NGL barrels to this facility for fractionation. As part of this project, Lone Star will also develop additional storage facilities for NGLs and other liquids. The project will also include interconnectivity infrastructure to provide NGL suppliers with significant access to storage, other fractionators, pipelines and multiple markets along the Texas and Louisiana Gulf Coast. Total cost of this project is expected to be between \$375 million and \$400 million and is expected to be completed in the first quarter of 2013.

In June 2011, we announced that Lone Star will construct an approximately 530-mile intrastate NGL pipeline, which we refer to as the West Texas Gateway NGL Pipeline, that extends from Winkler County in west Texas to our planned Jackson County processing facility. In addition, Lone Star has secured capacity on our proposed 20-inch NGL pipeline from Jackson County to Mont Belvieu. Lone Star s new pipeline will have a minimum capacity of approximately 130 MBPD with the potential to upsize the pipeline capacity depending on ongoing negotiations. The project currently has over 65% of the capacity subscribed with key producers and processors under 15-year agreements, and is expected to be completed by the first quarter of 2013. Currently, this project is expected to be completed as an approximately 570-mile NGL pipeline with total estimated cost of \$917 million.

In February 2012, Lone Star announced the construction of a second 100 MBPD NGL fractionation facility at Mont Belvieu. Supported by multiple long-term contracts, the second fractionator is necessary to handle the increasing NGL barrels delivered via our Woodford Shale, Eagle Ford Shale and Permian Basin infrastructure, including the West Texas Pipeline owned by Lone Star. This second fractionation facility is expected to be completed in the first quarter of 2014 at an estimated cost of \$350 million.

Retail Propane Operations

As of December 31, 2011, we were one of the three largest retail propane marketers in the United States, serving more than one million customers across the country. Our propane operations extended from coast to coast with concentrations in the western, upper midwestern, northeastern and southeastern regions of the United States.

In January 2012, we contributed our propane business to AmeriGas Partners, L.P., or AmeriGas, in exchange for consideration of approximately \$2.7 billion, as discussed in Recent Developments Propane Business Contribution below.

Business Strategy

Our business strategy is to increase unitholder distributions and the value of our common units. We believe we have engaged, and will continue to engage, in a well-balanced plan for growth through strategic acquisitions, internally generated expansion, and measures aimed at increasing the profitability of our existing assets. We intend to continue to operate as a diversified, growth-oriented master limited partnership with a focus on increasing the amount of cash available for distribution on each common unit.

We believe that we are well-positioned to compete in both the natural gas and NGL industries based on the following strengths:

We believe that the size and scope of our operations, our stable asset base and cash flow profile, and our investment grade status will be significant positive factors in our efforts to obtain new debt or equity financing in light of current market conditions.

Our experienced management team has an established reputation as highly-effective, strategic operators within our operating segments. In addition, our management team is motivated to effectively and efficiently manage our business operations through performance-based incentive compensation programs and through ownership of a substantial equity position in ETE, the entity that indirectly owns our general partner and therefore benefits from incentive distribution payments we make to our general partner.

We intend to accomplish our business strategy by executing on the following operating strategies:

Enhancing profitability of existing assets. We intend to increase the profitability of our existing asset base by adding new volumes of natural gas under long-term producer commitments, undertaking additional initiatives to enhance utilization and reducing costs by improving operations.

Engaging in construction and expansion opportunities. We intend to leverage our existing infrastructure and customer relationships by constructing and expanding systems to meet new or increased demand for midstream and transportation services.

Increasing cash flow from fee-based businesses. We intend to seek to increase the percentage of our midstream business conducted with third parties under fee-based arrangements in order to reduce our exposure to changes in the prices of natural gas and NGLs.

Growing through acquisitions. We intend to continue to make strategic acquisitions of midstream, transportation and storage assets in our current areas of operation that offer the opportunity for operational efficiencies and the potential for increased utilization and expansion of our existing and acquired assets.

Recent Developments

Pending Sunoco Merger and Holdco Restructuring

On April 30, 2012, we announced our entry into a definitive merger agreement whereby we will acquire Sunoco, Inc., or Sunoco, for a purchase price of approximately \$5.3 billion based on our unit closing price on April 27, 2012. Under the terms of the merger agreement, Sunoco shareholders would receive, for each Sunoco common share, either \$50.00 in cash, 1.0490 ETP common units or a combination of \$25.00 in cash and 0.5245 ETP common units. The aggregate cash paid and ETP common units issued will be capped so that the cash and ETP common units will each represent 50% of the aggregate consideration. Upon closing, Sunoco shareholders are expected to own approximately 20% of our outstanding limited partner interests. This transaction is expected to close in the third or fourth quarter of 2012.

Consummation of the Sunoco merger is subject to customary conditions, including, without limitation: (i) the adoption of the Sunoco merger agreement by the shareholders of Sunoco, (ii) the receipt of required regulatory approvals, (iii) the effectiveness of a registration statement on Form S-4 relating to the ETP common units to be issued, and (iv) the absence of any law, injunction, judgment or ruling prohibiting or restraining the Sunoco merger or making the consummation of the Sunoco merger illegal.

Sunoco indirectly owns a 2% general partner interest in Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., or Sunoco Logistics, as well as all of the incentive distribution rights and a 32.4% limited partner interest in Sunoco Logistics. Sunoco also generates cash flow from a portfolio of 4,900 retail outlets for the sale of gasoline and middle distillates in 23 states in the east coast, midwest and southeast areas of the United States.

Sunoco Logistics is a publicly traded limited partnership that owns and operates a logistics business consisting of a geographically diverse portfolio of complementary pipeline, terminalling and crude oil acquisition and marketing assets. The refined products pipelines business consists of approximately 2,500 miles of refined products pipelines located in the northeast, midwest and southwest United States, and equity interests in four refined products pipelines. The crude oil pipeline business consists of approximately 5,400 miles of crude oil pipelines, located principally in Oklahoma and Texas. The terminal facilities business consists of approximately 42 million shell barrels of refined products and crude oil terminal capacity (including approximately 22 million shell barrels of capacity at the Nederland Terminal on the Gulf Coast of Texas and approximately 5 million shell barrels of capacity at the Eagle Point terminal on the banks of the Delaware River in New Jersey). The crude oil acquisition and marketing of crude oil and is principally conducted in Oklahoma and Texas and consists of approximately 120 crude oil transport trucks and approximately 120 crude oil truck unloading facilities.

Under the Sunoco merger agreement, immediately prior to, or contemporaneously with, the effective time of the merger, Sunoco will contribute:

the equity interests of Sunoco Partners LLC (which currently holds the 2% general partner interest, incentive distribution rights, and 32.4% limited partner interest in Sunoco Logistics) to us in exchange for 50,706,000 newly issued ETP Class F units, and

its cash on hand to us in exchange for a number of newly issued ETP Class F units equal to the amount of such cash divided by \$50.00.

We refer to this transaction as the Sunoco Logistics restructuring, and the Sunoco Logistics restructuring will only occur if all of the conditions to the closing of the Sunoco merger have been satisfied or waived. For a description of the Class F units, please read Description of Units Common Units, Class E Units, Class F Units and General Partner Interest and Cash Distribution Policy.

In conjunction with the Sunoco merger, ETE has agreed to reduce the quarterly distributions that ETE, as the holder of our incentive distribution rights, is entitled to receive from us by an aggregate of \$210 million over 12 consecutive quarters following the closing of the Sunoco merger.

On June 15, 2012, following the approval of (i) the conflicts committee of the board of directors of Energy Transfer Partners, L.L.C., the general partner of Energy Transfer Partners GP, L.P., our general partner, or the ETP board of directors, (ii) the ETP board of directors, (iii) the special committee and the conflicts committee of the board of directors of LE GP, LLC, the general partner of ETE, or the ETE board of directors, and (iv) the ETE board of directors, we, ETE and our respective relevant subsidiaries entered into a transaction agreement, pursuant to which, immediately following the closing of the Sunoco merger and the Sunoco Logistics restructuring, (a) ETE will contribute its interest in Southern Union Company, or Southern Union, to ETP Holdco Corporation, or Holdco, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of ETP, in exchange for a 60% equity interest in Holdco and (b) we will contribute Sunoco (exclusive of our interests in Sunoco Logistics) to Holdco and will retain a 40% equity interest in Holdco. We refer to the transactions contemplated by the transaction agreement as the Holdco restructuring.

The transaction agreement related to the Holdco restructuring is subject to the closing of the Sunoco merger, as well as other customary closing conditions. The transaction agreement also contains customary representations, warranties, interim covenants and indemnification provisions. Pursuant to the terms of the transaction agreement, we and ETE have also agreed to enter into a stockholders agreement upon the closing of the Holdco restructuring, which will provide that we will appoint three of the five members of Holdco s board of directors, while ETE will appoint the remaining two members. We and ETE will each have consent rights to certain significant actions by Holdco. The stockholders agreement will also contain customary transfer restrictions, as well as drag-along rights and tag-along rights that are triggered in certain circumstances.

Citrus Acquisition

On March 26, 2012, ETE consummated the acquisition of Southern Union and, concurrently with the closing of the Southern Union acquisition, CrossCountry Energy, LLC, or CrossCountry, a subsidiary of Southern Union, merged with one of our subsidiaries and, in connection therewith, we paid \$1.9 billion in cash and issued \$105 million of ETP common units. As a result of the consummation of the Citrus acquisition, we own CrossCountry, which in turn owns a 50% interest in Citrus Corp. The other 50% interest in Citrus Corp. is indirectly owned by Kinder Morgan, Inc. Citrus Corp. owns 100% of the Florida Gas Transmission pipeline system, which originates in Texas and delivers natural gas to the Florida peninsula.

Propane Business Contribution

On January 12, 2012, we contributed our propane operations, consisting of Heritage Operating, L.P., or HOLP, and Titan Energy Partners, L.P. to AmeriGas. We received approximately \$1.46 billion in cash and approximately 29.6 million AmeriGas common units valued at \$1.12 billion at the time of the contribution. AmeriGas also assumed approximately \$71.0 million of existing HOLP debt. The cash proceeds were used to complete a tender offer in January 2012 and to pay down borrowings on our revolving credit facility.

Our Principal Executive Offices

We are a limited partnership formed under the laws of the State of Delaware. Our executive offices are located at 3738 Oak Lawn Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75219. Our telephone number is (214) 981-0700. We maintain a website at http://www.energytransfer.com that provides information about our business and operations. Information contained on this website, however, is not incorporated into or otherwise a part of this prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus.

Our Organizational Structure

As a limited partnership, we are managed by our general partner, Energy Transfer Partners GP, L.P., which in turn is managed by its general partner, Energy Transfer Partners, L.L.C. Energy Transfer Partners, L.L.C. is ultimately responsible for the business and operations of our general partner and conducts our business and operations, and the board of directors and officers of Energy Transfer Partners, L.L.C. make decisions on our behalf.

The chart below depicts our organizational structure and ownership as of June 20, 2012 after giving effect to this offering (assuming no exercise of the underwriters option to purchase additional common units and that the general partner does not make a capital contribution to maintain its current approximate 1.5% general partner interest).

(1) Includes approximately 586,000 common units owned by our officer and directors.

The diagrams below illustrate the organizational structure of us, ETE, Sunoco and Sunoco Logistics prior to the closing of the merger and after the closing of the merger and completion of the Sunoco Logistics restructuring and Holdco restructuring (in each case, the ownership interest of ETE in us does not give effect to this offering).

The Offering

| Common units offered | 13,500,000 common units |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| | 2,025,000 common units if the underwriters exercise in full their option to purchase additional common units. |
| Units outstanding after this offering | 243,359,035 common units, or 245,384,035 common units if the underwriters exercise in full their option to purchase an additional 2,025,000 common units. |
| Use of proceeds | We will receive net proceeds of approximately \$583.1 million from the sale of the 13,500,000 common units offered hereby, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses. We will use the net proceeds from this offering and from the underwriters exercise of their option to purchase additional common units, if any, to repay amounts outstanding under our amended and restated revolving credit facility, to fund capital expenditures related to pipeline construction projects and for general partnership purposes. Please read Use of Proceeds. |
| | Affiliates of certain of the underwriters are lenders under our amended and restated revolving credit facility and, accordingly, will receive a substantial portion of the proceeds from this offering. Please read Underwriting Relationships with Underwriters. |
| Cash distributions | Under our partnership agreement, we must distribute all of our cash on hand at the end of each quarter, less reserves established by our general partner. We refer to this cash as available cash, and we define its meaning in our partnership agreement. We declared a quarterly cash distribution for our first quarter of 2012 of \$0.89375 per common unit, or \$3.575 on an annualized basis. We paid this cash distribution on May 15, 2012. Purchasers of the common units in this offering will not be entitled to this quarterly cash distribution. Please read Cash Distribution Policy. |
| Limited call right | If at any time our general partner and its affiliates own more than 80% of our outstanding common units, our general partner has the right, but not the obligation, to purchase all of the remaining common units at a price not less than the then-current market price of the common units. Management and other affiliates of our general partner will own approximately 22% of our outstanding common units after this offering. |
| Limited voting rights | Our general partner manages and operates us. Unlike the holders of common stock in a corporation, you will have only limited voting rights on matters affecting our business. You will have no right to elect our general partner or its officers or directors. Our general partner may not be removed except by a vote of the holders of at least 66 2/3% of the outstanding units, including units owned by our general partner and its affiliates, voting together as a single class. Management and other affiliates of our general partner will own approximately 22% of our outstanding common units after this offering. |

| Material tax considerations | For a discussion of material federal income tax considerations that may be relevant to prospective unitholders who are individual citizens or residents of the United States, please read Material Tax Considerations. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Exchange listing | Our common units are traded on the NYSE under the symbol ETP. |
| Risk factors | There are risks associated with this offering and our business. You should consider carefully the risk factors beginning on page S-11 of this prospectus supplement and beginning on page 4 of the accompanying prospectus and the other risks identified in the documents incorporated by reference herein before making a decision to purchase common units in this offering. |

RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common units involves risk. You should carefully read and consider each of the following risk factors and the risk factors set forth in our, Sunoco s and Southern Union s respective Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, in each case as updated by subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, together with all of the other information included in, or incorporated by reference into, this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, before investing in our common units.

Risks Relating to the Sunoco Merger and the Holdco Restructuring

Our acquisition of Sunoco and the Holdco restructuring are subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions to closing.

Our acquisition of Sunoco Inc. is subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions to closing, including the adoption of the Sunoco merger agreement by the shareholders of Sunoco, the receipt of required regulatory approvals, the effectiveness of a registration statement on Form S-4 relating to the ETP common units to be issued in connection with the merger, and the absence of any law, injunction, judgment or ruling prohibiting or restraining the Sunoco merger or making the consummation of the Sunoco merger illegal. In the event those conditions to closing are not satisfied or waived, we would not complete the acquisition of Sunoco Inc.

Additionally, the Holdco restructuring is subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions to closing, including the closing of the Sunoco merger. We cannot predict with certainty whether and when these conditions will be satisfied. Any delay in completing the merger, and thereby the Holdco restructuring, could cause us not to realize, or delay the realization, of some or all of the benefits of the Sunoco merger and the Holdco restructuring.

Any acquisition we complete, including the Sunoco merger, is subject to substantial risks that could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and reduce our ability to make distributions to unitholders.

Any acquisition we complete, including the proposed Sunoco acquisition, involves potential risks, including, among other things:

the validity of our assumptions about revenues, capital expenditures and operating costs of the acquired business or assets, as well as assumptions about achieving synergies with our existing businesses;

the validity of our assessment of environmental liabilities, including legacy liabilities;

a significant increase in our interest expense and financial leverage resulting from any additional debt incurred to finance the acquisition consideration, which could offset the expected accretion to our unitholders from such acquisition and could be exacerbated by volatility in the credit or debt capital markets;

a failure to realize anticipated benefits, such as increased distributable cash flow per unit, enhanced competitive position or new customer relationships;

a decrease in our liquidity by using a significant portion of our available cash or borrowing capacity to finance the acquisition;

difficulties operating in new geographic areas or new lines of business;

the incurrence or assumption of unanticipated liabilities, losses or costs associated with the business or assets acquired for which we are not indemnified or for which the indemnity is inadequate;

Edgar Filing: Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. - Form 424B5

the inability to hire, train or retrain qualified personnel to manage and operate our growing business and assets, including any newly acquired business or assets;

the diversion of management s attention from our existing businesses; and

the incurrence of other significant charges, such as impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets, asset devaluation or restructuring charges.

If we consummate future acquisitions, our capitalization and results of operations may change significantly. As we determine the application of our funds and other resources, unitholders will not have an opportunity to evaluate the economics, financial and other relevant information that we will consider.

Also, our reviews of businesses or assets proposed to be acquired are inherently incomplete because it generally is not feasible to perform an in-depth review of businesses and assets involved in each acquisition given time constraints imposed by sellers. Even a detailed review of assets and businesses may not necessarily reveal existing or potential problems, nor will it permit a buyer to become sufficiently familiar with the assets or businesses to fully assess their deficiencies and potential. Inspections may not always be performed on every asset, and environmental problems are not necessarily observable even when an inspection is undertaken.

The completion of the Sunoco merger and the Holdco restructuring may require us to obtain debt or equity financing, or a combination thereof, which may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all.

The Sunoco merger agreement requires that we pay Sunoco shareholders a combination of cash and ETP common units as consideration for Sunoco common shares. We plan to fund the cash payment partially with Sunoco s cash on hand and with borrowings under our amended and restated revolving credit facility. The incurrence of this additional indebtedness will increase our overall level of debt and adversely affect our ratios of total indebtedness to EBITDA and EBITDA to interest expense, both on a current basis and a pro forma basis taking into account our merger with Sunoco. As of March 31, 2012, our unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated long-term debt (including current maturities) after giving effect to the Sunoco merger and the Holdco restructuring would have been approximately \$15.8 billion. If we are unable to finance the cash portion of the consideration for the Sunoco merger with borrowings under our amended and restated revolving credit facility, we could be required to seek alternative financing, the terms of which may not be attractive to us, or we may be unable to fulfill our obligations under the Sunoco merger agreement.

Pending litigation against us and Sunoco could result in an injunction preventing completion of the merger, the payment of damages in the event the merger is completed and/or may adversely affect the combined company s business, financial condition or results of operations following the Sunoco merger.

In connection with the Sunoco merger, purported shareholders of Sunoco have filed several shareholder class action lawsuits against us, Sunoco, the Sunoco board of directors and others. Among other remedies, the plaintiffs seek to enjoin the Sunoco merger. If a final settlement is not reached, or if a dismissal is not obtained, these lawsuits could prevent or delay completion of the Sunoco merger and result in substantial costs to us and Sunoco, including any costs associated with the indemnification of directors. Additional lawsuits may be filed against us and/or Sunoco related to the Sunoco merger. The defense or settlement of any lawsuit or claim that remains unresolved at the time the merger is completed may adversely affect the combined company s business, financial condition or results of operations.

Failure to successfully combine our businesses and the businesses of Sunoco in the expected time frame may adversely affect our future results, which may adversely affect the value of our common units that Sunoco shareholders would receive in the Sunoco merger.

The success of the Sunoco merger will depend, in part, on our ability to realize the anticipated benefits from combining our businesses with the businesses of Sunoco. To realize these anticipated benefits, our and Sunoco s businesses must be successfully combined. If the combined company is not able to achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits of the merger may not be realized fully or at all or may take longer to realize than expected. In addition, the actual integration may result in additional and unforeseen expenses, which could reduce the anticipated benefits of the merger.

We and Sunoco, including our respective subsidiaries, have operated and, until the completion of the merger, will continue to operate independently. It is possible that the integration process could result in the loss of key employees, as well as the disruption of each company s ongoing businesses or inconsistencies in their standards, controls, procedures and policies. Any or all of those occurrences could adversely affect the combined company s ability to maintain relationships with customers and employees after the merger or to achieve the anticipated benefits of the merger. Integration efforts between the two companies will also divert management attention and resources. These integration matters could have an adverse effect on each of us and Sunoco.

The Sunoco merger and related transactions could trigger substantial tax liabilities for Sunoco and Sunoco shareholders.

In January 2012, Sunoco distributed the shares of SunCoke Energy, Inc., or SunCoke, to Sunoco shareholders in a transaction intended to qualify as a tax-free spin-off for U.S. federal income tax purposes. We refer to this transaction as the Spin-Off. Prior to consummating the Spin-Off, Sunoco received an opinion from Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, special counsel to Sunoco, and a private letter ruling from the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, in each case, to the effect that the Spin-Off qualified as a transaction that is described in Sections 355(a) and 368(a)(1)(D) of the Internal Revenue Code. The U.S. federal income tax treatment of the Spin-Off depends, among other things, on the Spin-Off not being part of a plan (or series of related transactions) pursuant to which one or more persons acquire, directly or indirectly, a 50% or greater interest in Sunoco or SunCoke, and Sunoco and SunCoke made representations in support of the tax opinion to the effect that, among other things, the Spin-Off was not part of such a plan (or series of related transactions). In the event the Sunoco merger were treated as part of a plan (or series of related transactions) that includes the Spin-Off, or any other requirements necessary for tax-free treatment were not satisfied, the Spin-Off would be taxable to Sunoco (and, possibly, the Sunoco shareholders) and Sunoco would recognize a substantial amount of taxable gain. Neither we nor Sunoco has requested a ruling from the IRS or an opinion of counsel regarding the impact of the Sunoco merger on the U.S. federal income tax treatment of the Spin-Off, and there can be no assurance that the IRS will not assert that the Spin-Off is taxable as a result of the Sunoco merger. If the Spin-Off is treated as a taxable transaction for U.S. federal income tax purposes, it could negatively impact the value of our investment in Sunoco.

In addition, under proposed Treasury Regulations, which if finalized in their current form would be effective for the calendar year during which the Sunoco merger occurs and subsequent calendar years, Sunoco could be treated as redeeming a portion of the Sunoco common stock acquired by us pursuant to the Sunoco merger in exchange for ETP Class F units received by Sunoco pursuant to the Sunoco Logistics restructuring. In the event the proposed Treasury Regulations were finalized in a manner that applied to the Sunoco would recognize taxable gain to the extent that the principles of the proposed Treasury Regulations apply to the Sunoco merger, Sunoco would recognize taxable gain to the extent that the fair market value of the assets deemed distributed in redemption of Sunoco common stock exceeded the adjusted tax basis of such assets. Such deemed redemption could also result in the receipt of a deemed distribution by us. Such a deemed distribution would be treated as a dividend to the extent of Sunoco s current and accumulated earnings and profits, and as a return of capital to the extent of our basis in its Sunoco common stock. Any portion of the deemed distribution in excess of such basis would be treated as gain from the sale or exchange of Sunoco stock, and would be allocated to former Sunoco shareholders to the extent such gain is attributable to any built-in gain in their Sunoco common stock that was realized but not recognized as a result of the Sunoco merger. If Sunoco recognizes taxable gain from such deemed redemption for U.S. federal income tax purposes, it could negatively impact the value of our investment in Sunoco.

Risks Relating to Sunoco

Volatility in refined product margins could materially affect Sunoco s business, operating results and the likelihood of Sunoco s successful completion of a sale of Sunoco s refining assets and the ultimate value which may be realized upon such sale.

The profitability of Sunoco s refining business depends to a large extent upon the relationship between the acquisition price for crude oil and other feedstocks that Sunoco uses in its refineries, and the wholesale prices at which Sunoco sells its refined products. The volatility of prices for crude oil and other feedstocks and refined products, and the overall balance of supply and demand for these commodities, could have a significant impact on this relationship. Retail marketing margins also have been volatile, and vary with wholesale prices, the level

of economic activity in Sunoco s marketing areas and as a result of various logistical factors. Although an increase or decrease in the price for crude oil may result in a similar increase or decrease in prices for refined products, there may be a time lag in the realization of the similar increase or decrease in prices for refined products. In many cases, it is very difficult to increase refined product prices quickly enough to recover increases in the costs of products being sold. The effect of changes in crude oil prices on operating results therefore depends in part on how quickly refined product prices adjust to reflect these changes. A substantial or prolonged increase in crude oil prices without a corresponding increase in refined product prices, a substantial or prolonged decrease in refined product prices without a corresponding decrease in crude oil prices, or a substantial or prolonged decrease in demand for refined products could have a significant negative effect on Sunoco s earnings and cash flows.

Sunoco may experience significant changes in its results of operations due to planned or announced additions to refining capacity by its competitors, variations in the level of refined product imports into the United States, changes in product mix (including increasing usage of renewable biofuels) or competition in pricing. Demand for the refined products Sunoco manufactures also may be reduced due to a local or national recession, or other adverse economic conditions, resulting in lower spending by businesses and consumers on gasoline and diesel fuel. In addition, Sunoco s profit margins may decline as a direct result of unpredictable factors in the global marketplace, many of which are beyond Sunoco s control, including:

Cyclical nature of the businesses in which Sunoco operates: Refined product inventory levels and demand, crude oil price levels and availability and refinery utilization rates are all cyclical in nature. Historically, the refining industry has experienced periods of actual or perceived inadequate capacity and tight supply, causing prices and profit margins to increase, and periods of actual or perceived excess capacity, resulting in oversupply and declining capacity utilization rates, prices and profit margins. Sunoco is currently in a period of oversupply, largely as a result of reduced gasoline demand in North America and over capacity in Europe and North America. The cyclical nature of this business results in volatile profits and cash flows over the business cycle. Additionally, due to the seasonality of refined products markets and refinery maintenance schedules, results of operations for any particular quarter of a fiscal year are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year.

Changes in energy and raw material costs: Sunoco purchases large amounts of energy and raw materials for its businesses. The aggregate cost of these purchases represents a substantial portion of Sunoco s cost of doing business. The prices of energy and raw materials generally follow price trends for crude oil and natural gas, which may be highly volatile and cyclical. Furthermore, across Sunoco s businesses, there are a limited number of suppliers for some of Sunoco s raw materials and utilities and, in some cases, the number of sources for and availability of raw materials are specific to the particular geographic region in which a facility is located. Accordingly, if one of these suppliers were unable to meet its obligations under present supply arrangements or were unwilling to sell to Sunoco, Sunoco could suffer reduced supplies or be forced to incur increased costs for its raw materials.

Geopolitical instability: Instability in the global economic and political environment can lead to volatility in the costs and availability of energy and raw materials, and in the prices for refined products. This may place downward pressure on Sunoco s results of operations. This is particularly true of developments in and relating to oil-producing countries, including terrorist activities, military conflicts, embargoes, internal instability or actions or reactions of governments in anticipation of, or in response to, such developments.

Changes in transportation costs: Sunoco utilizes the services of third parties to transport crude oil and refined products to and from its refineries. If Sunoco exits the refining business, it will likely continue to require those services for the acquisition of gasoline and diesel for its retail marketing business. The cost of these services is significant and prevailing rates can be very volatile depending on market conditions. Increases in crude oil or refined product transportation rates could

result in increased raw material costs or product distribution costs. Sunoco s operating results also may be affected by refined product and crude oil pipeline throughput capacities, and accidents or interruptions in transportation.

Impact of environmental and other regulations affecting the composition of gasoline and other refined products: Governmental regulations and policies, particularly in the areas of taxation, energy and the environment, also have a significant impact on Sunoco s activities. Federally mandated standards for use of renewable biofuels, such as ethanol and biodiesel in the production of refined products, are transforming traditional gasoline and diesel markets in North America. These regulatory mandates present production and logistical challenges for both the petroleum refining and ethanol industries, and may require additional capital expenditures or expenses by Sunoco. Sunoco may have to enter into arrangements with other parties to meet its obligations to use advanced biofuels, with potentially uncertain supplies of these new fuels. If Sunoco is unable to obtain or maintain sufficient quantities of ethanol to support its blending needs, its sale of ethanol blended gasoline could be interrupted or suspended which could result in lower profits. There also will be compliance costs related to these regulations. Sunoco may experience a decrease in demand for refined petroleum products due to new federal requirements for increased fleet mileage per gallon or due to replacement of refined petroleum products by renewable fuels. In addition, tax incentives and other subsidies making renewable fuels more competitive with refined petroleum products may reduce refined petroleum product margins and the ability of refined petroleum products to compete with renewable fuels. A structural expansion of production capacity for such renewable biofuels could lead to significant increases in the overall production, and available supply, of gasoline and diesel in markets that Sunoco supplies. This potential increase in supply of gasoline and diesel could result in lower refining margins for us, particularly in the event of a contemporaneous reduction in demand, or during periods of sustained low demand for such refined products. In addition, a significant shift by consumers to more fuel-efficient vehicles or alternative fuel vehicles (such as ethanol or wider adoption of gas/electric hybrid vehicles), or an increase in vehicle fuel economy, whether as a result of technological advances by manufacturers, legislation mandating or encouraging higher fuel economy or the use of alternative fuel, or otherwise, also could lead to a decrease in demand, and reduced margins, for the refined petroleum products that Sunoco markets and sells.

It is possible that any, or a combination, of these occurrences could have a material adverse effect on Sunoco s business or results of operations.

Changes in general economic, financial and business conditions could have a material effect on Sunoco s business or results of operations.

Weakness in general economic, financial and business conditions can lead to a decline in the demand for the refined products that Sunoco sells. Such weakness can also lead to lower demand for transportation and storage services provided by Sunoco. It is possible that any, or a combination, of these occurrences could have a material adverse effect on Sunoco s business or results of operations.

Weather conditions and natural disasters could materially and adversely affect Sunoco s business and operating results.

The effects of weather conditions and natural disasters can lead to volatility in the costs and availability of energy and raw materials, which can negatively impact Sunoco s operations or those of its customers and suppliers.

Sunoco s inability to obtain adequate supplies of crude oil could affect its business in a materially adverse way.

Sunoco currently meets all of its crude oil requirements through purchases from third parties. Most of the crude oil processed at its refineries is light-sweet crude oil. It is possible that an adequate supply of crude oil or other feedstocks may not be available to Sunoco s refineries to sustain its current level of refining operations. In addition, Sunoco s inability to process significant quantities of less-expensive heavy-sour crude oil could be a competitive disadvantage.

Sunoco purchases crude oil from different regions throughout the world, including a significant portion from West Africa, and Sunoco is subject to the political, geographic and economic risks of doing business with suppliers located in these regions, including:

trade barriers;

national and regional labor strikes;

political unrest;

increases in duties and taxes;

changes in contractual terms; and

changes in laws and policies governing foreign companies.

Substantially all of these purchases are made in the spot market, or under short-term contracts. In the event that Sunoco is unable to obtain crude oil in the spot market, or one or more of its supply arrangements is terminated or cannot be renewed, Sunoco will need to find alternative sources of supply. In addition, Sunoco could experience an interruption of supply or an increased cost to deliver refined products to market if the ability of the pipelines or vessels to transport crude oil or refined products is disrupted because of accidents, governmental regulation or third-party action. If Sunoco cannot obtain adequate crude oil volumes of the type and quality it requires, or if Sunoco is able to obtain such types and volumes only at unfavorable prices, its results of operations could be affected in a materially adverse way.

If Sunoco completes its exit from the refining business, Sunoco will be entirely dependent upon third parties for the supply of refined products such as gasoline and diesel for its retail marketing business.

Currently, a substantial percentage of the refined products Sunoco sells in its retail marketing facilities in the northeast United States are manufactured at its refinery in Philadelphia, PA. After Sunoco s planned exit from refining operations, it will be required to purchase these products from other manufacturers. Sunoco may also need to contract for new ships, barges, pipelines or terminals which Sunoco has not historically used to transport these products to its markets. The inability to acquire refined products and any required transportation services at prices no less favorable than the market-based transfer price between Sunoco s refining and supply and retail marketing business segments or the failure of Sunoco s suppliers to deliver product in accordance with Sunoco s supply agreements may have a material adverse impact on Sunoco s business or results of operations.

The adoption of derivatives legislation by the United States Congress could have an adverse effect on Sunoco s ability to hedge risks associated with its business.

Sunoco uses swaps, options, futures, forwards and other derivative instruments to hedge a variety of commodity price risks and to achieve ratable pricing of crude oil purchases, to convert certain expected refined product sales to fixed or floating prices, to lock in what Sunoco considers to be acceptable margins for various refined products and to lock in the price of a portion of Sunoco s electricity and natural gas purchases or sales and transportation costs. Sunoco does not hold or issue derivative instruments for speculative purposes. The United States Congress recently adopted comprehensive financial reform legislation that establishes federal oversight and regulation of the over-the-counter derivatives market and entities, such as Sunoco, that participate in that market. The new legislation was signed into law by the President on July 21, 2010, and required the Commodities Futures Trading Commission, or CFTC, and the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, to promulgate rules and regulations implementing the new legislation. The CFTC also has proposed regulations to set position limits for certain futures and option contracts in the major energy markets, although it is not possible at this time to predict whether or when the CFTC will adopt those rules or include comparable provisions in its rulemaking under the new legislation. The financial reform legislation may also require Sunoco to comply with margin requirements in connection with its derivative activities, although the

application of those provisions to Sunoco is uncertain at this time. The financial reform legislation also requires many counterparties to Sunoco s derivative instruments to spin off some of their derivatives activities to a separate entity, which may not be as creditworthy as the current counterparty. The new legislation and any new regulations could significantly increase the cost of derivative contracts (including requirements to post collateral, which could adversely affect Sunoco s available liquidity), materially alter the terms of derivative contracts, reduce the availability of derivatives to protect against risks Sunoco encounters, reduce Sunoco s ability to monetize or restructure its existing derivative contracts, and increase its exposure to less creditworthy counterparties. If Sunoco reduces its use of derivatives as a result of the legislation and regulations, its results of operations may become more volatile and its cash flows may be less predictable, which could adversely affect its ability to plan for and fund capital expenditures. Finally, the legislation was intended, in part, to reduce the volatility of oil and natural gas prices, which some legislators attributed to speculative trading in derivatives and commodity instruments related to oil and natural gas. Sunoco s revenues could therefore be adversely affected if a consequence of the legislation and regulations is to lower commodity prices. Any of these consequences could have a material adverse effect on Sunoco, its financial condition, and its results of operations.

Sunoco depends upon Sunoco Logistics for a substantial portion of the logistics network that serves its refineries and Sunoco owns a significant equity interest in Sunoco Logistics.

Sunoco indirectly owns a 2% general partner interest in Sunoco Logistics, as well as all of the incentive distribution rights and a 32.4% limited partner interest in Sunoco Logistics. Sunoco Logistics owns and operates refined product and crude oil pipelines and terminals and conducts crude oil and refined product acquisition and marketing activities. Sunoco Logistics generates revenues by charging tariffs for transporting petroleum products and crude oil through its pipelines, by charging fees for terminalling and storing refined products and crude oil and by purchasing and selling crude oil and refined products. Sunoco Logistics serves Sunoco s refineries under long-term pipelines and terminals, storage and throughput agreements. Furthermore, Sunoco s financial statements include the consolidated results of Sunoco Logistics. Sunoco Logistics is subject to its own operating and regulatory risks, including, but not limited to:

its reliance on its significant customers, including Sunoco;

competition from other pipelines;

environmental regulations affecting pipeline operations;

operational hazards and risks;

pipeline tariff regulations affecting the rates it can charge;

limitations on additional borrowings and other restrictions due to its debt covenants; and

other financial, operational and legal risks.

The occurrence of any of these risks could directly or indirectly affect Sunoco Logistics , as well as Sunoco s, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows as Sunoco Logistics is a consolidated subsidiary of Sunoco. Additionally, these risks could affect Sunoco Logistics ability to continue operations, which could affect its ability to serve Sunoco s logistics network needs.

A material decrease in demand or distribution of crude oil or refined products available for transport through Sunoco Logistics pipelines or terminal facilities could materially and adversely affect Sunoco s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The volume of crude oil transported through Sunoco Logistics crude oil pipelines and terminal facilities depends on the availability of attractively priced crude oil produced or received in the areas serviced by its assets. A period of sustained crude oil price declines could lead to a decline in drilling activity, production and import

levels in these areas. Similarly, a period of sustained increases in the price of crude oil supplied from any of these areas, as compared to alternative sources of crude oil available to Sunoco s customers, could materially reduce demand for crude oil in these areas. In either case, the volumes of crude oil transported in Sunoco Logistics crude oil pipelines and terminal facilities could decline, and it could likely be difficult to secure alternative sources of attractively priced crude oil supply in a timely fashion or at all.

Similarly, a decrease in market demand for refined products could also impact throughput at Sunoco Logistics pipelines and terminals. Material factors that could lead to a sustained decrease in market demand for refined products include a sustained recession or other adverse economic condition that results in lower purchases of refined petroleum products, higher refined products prices due to an increase in the market price of crude oil, changes in economic conditions or other factors, higher fuel taxes or other governmental or regulatory actions that increase, directly or indirectly, the cost of gasoline or other refined products or a shift by consumers to more fuel-efficient or alternative fuel vehicles or an increase in fuel economy.

If Sunoco Logistics is unable to replace any significant volume declines with additional volumes from other sources, Sunoco s financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially and adversely affected.

Rate regulation or market conditions may not allow Sunoco Logistics to recover the full amount of increases in the costs of its pipeline operations. A successful challenge to Sunoco Logistics pipeline rates could materially and adversely affect Sunoco s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The primary ratemaking methodology used by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, or FERC, to authorize increases in the rates of petroleum pipelines is price indexing. If the changes under the indexing methodology are not large enough to fully reflect actual increases to Sunoco Logistics pipeline costs, its financial condition and Sunoco s could be adversely affected. If applying the index methodology results in a rate increase that is substantially in excess of the pipeline s actual cost increases, or it results in a rate decrease that is substantially less than the pipeline s actual cost decrease, Sunoco Logistics may be required to reduce its pipeline rates. The FERC s ratemaking methodologies may limit Sunoco Logistics ability to set rates based on its costs or may delay the use of rates that reflect increased costs. In addition, if the FERC s indexing methodology changes, the new methodology could materially and adversely affect Sunoco Logistics and Sunoco s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Under the Energy Policy Act adopted in 1992, certain interstate pipeline rates were deemed just and reasonable or grandfathered. Revenues are derived from such grandfathered rates on most of Sunoco Logistics FERC-regulated pipelines. A person challenging a grandfathered rate must, as a threshold matter, establish a substantial change since the date of enactment of the Energy Policy Act, in either the economic circumstances or the nature of the service that formed the basis for the rate. If the FERC were to find a substantial change in circumstances, then the existing rates could be subject to detailed review and there is a risk that some rates could be found to be in excess of levels justified by the pipeline s costs. In such event, the FERC could order Sunoco Logistics to reduce pipeline rates prospectively and to pay refunds to shippers.

In addition, a state commission could also investigate Sunoco Logistics intrastate pipeline rates or terms and conditions of service on its own initiative or at the urging of a shipper or other interested party. If a state commission found that such pipeline rates exceeded levels justified by Sunoco Logistics costs, the state commission could order a reduction in the rates.

Any reduction in the capability of Sunoco Logistics shippers to utilize either its pipelines or interconnecting third-party pipelines could cause a reduction of volumes transported in Sunoco Logistics pipelines and through its terminals.

Sunoco and the other users of Sunoco Logistics pipelines and terminals are dependent upon those pipelines, as well as connections to third-party pipelines, to receive and deliver crude oil and refined products. Any interruptions or reduction in the capabilities of Sunoco Logistics pipelines or these interconnecting pipelines due to testing, line repair, reduced operating pressures, or other causes would result in

reduced volumes transported in Sunoco Logistics pipelines or through its terminals. Similarly, if additional shippers begin transporting volume over interconnecting pipelines, the allocations to Sunoco Logistics existing shippers on these interconnecting pipelines could be reduced, which also could reduce volumes transported in its pipelines or through its terminals. Allocation reductions of this nature are not infrequent and are beyond Sunoco Logistics control. Any such interruptions or allocation reductions that, individually or in the aggregate, are material or continue for a sustained period of time could have a material adverse effect on Sunoco Logistics results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

Sunoco Logistics does not own all of the land on which its pipelines and terminal facilities are located and Sunoco does not own all of the land on which its direct retail service stations are located, and Sunoco leases certain facilities and equipment, and Sunoco is subject to the possibility of increased costs to retain necessary land use which could disrupt Sunoco s operations.

Sunoco Logistics does not own all of the land on which certain of its pipelines and terminal facilities are located and Sunoco does not own all of the land on which its retail service stations are located, and, therefore, Sunoco and Sunoco Logistics are subject to the risk of increased costs to maintain necessary land use. Sunoco Logistics obtains the rights to construct and operate certain of its pipelines and related facilities on land owned by third parties and governmental agencies for a specific period of time. The loss of these rights, through its inability to renew right-of-way contracts on acceptable terms or increased costs to renew such rights, could have a material adverse effect on Sunoco Logistics and Sunoco s financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Whether Sunoco Logistics has the power of eminent domain for its pipelines varies from state to state, depending upon the type of pipeline (e.g., crude oil or refined products) and the laws of the particular state. In either case, Sunoco Logistics must compensate landowners for the use of their property and, in eminent domain actions, such compensation may be determined by a court. The inability to exercise the power of eminent domain could negatively affect Sunoco Logistics business if it was to lose the right to use or occupy the property on which its pipelines are located. Sunoco also has rental agreements for approximately 29% of the company- or dealer-operated retail service stations where Sunoco currently controls the real estate and Sunoco Logistics has rental agreements for certain logistics facilities. As such, both Sunoco and Sunoco Logistics are subject to the possibility of increased costs under rental agreements with landowners, primarily through rental increases and renewals of expired agreements. Sunoco is also subject to the risk that such agreements may not be renewed. Additionally, certain facilities and equipment (or parts thereof) used by Sunoco are leased from third parties for specific periods. Sunoco s inability to renew equipment leases or otherwise maintain the right to utilize such facilities and equipment on acceptable terms, or the increased costs to maintain such rights, could have a material adverse effect on Sunoco s results of operations and cash flows.

Sunoco is subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations that require substantial expenditures and affect the way Sunoco operates, which could affect its business, future operating results or financial position in a materially adverse way.

Sunoco is subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations, including those relating to the protection of the environment, waste management, discharge of hazardous materials, and the characteristics and composition of refined products. Certain of these laws and regulations also impose obligations to conduct assessment or remediation efforts at Sunoco s facilities as well as at formerly owned properties or third-party sites where Sunoco has taken wastes for disposal. Environmental laws and regulations may impose liability on Sunoco for the conduct of third parties, or for actions that complied with applicable requirements when taken, regardless of negligence or fault. Environmental laws and regulations are subject to frequent change, and often become more stringent over time. Of particular significance to Sunoco are:

Greenhouse gas emissions: Through the operation of Sunoco s refineries and marketing facilities, Sunoco s operations emit greenhouse gases, or GHG, including carbon dioxide. There are various legislative and regulatory measures to address monitoring, reporting or restriction of GHG emissions that are in various stages of review, discussion or implementation. These include federal and state actions to develop programs for the reduction of GHG emissions as well as proposals that would create a cap and trade system that would require Sunoco to purchase carbon emission

allowances for emissions at Sunoco s manufacturing facilities and emissions caused by the use of the fuels that Sunoco sells. In response to findings that emissions of GHGs present an endangerment to public health and the environment, the United States Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, has adopted regulations under existing provisions of the federal Clean Air Act that require a reduction in emissions of GHGs from motor vehicles and also may trigger construction and operating permit review for GHG emissions from certain stationary sources. The EPA has asserted that the final motor vehicle GHG emission standards triggered Prevention of Significant Deterioration, or PSD, and Title V permit requirements for stationary sources, commencing when the motor vehicle standards took effect on January 2, 2011. The EPA has published its final rule to address the permitting of GHG emissions from stationary sources under the PSD and Title V permitting programs, pursuant to which these permitting programs have been tailored to apply to certain stationary sources of GHG emissions in a multi-step process, with the largest sources first subject to permitting. It is anticipated that facilities required to obtain PSD permits for their GHG emissions also will be required to reduce those emissions according to best available control technology standards for GHG that have yet to be developed. These EPA rulemakings could adversely affect Sunoco s operations and restrict or delay Sunoco s ability to obtain air permits for new or modified facilities. In addition, the EPA published a final rule in October 2009 requiring the reporting of GHG emissions from specified large GHG emission sources in the United States, including petroleum refineries, on an annual basis beginning in 2011 for emissions occurring after January 1, 2010. Moreover, the United States Congress has from time to time considered adopting legislation to reduce emissions of GHGs and almost one-half of the states have already taken legal measures to reduce emissions of GHGs primarily through the planned development of GHG emission inventories and/or regional GHG cap and trade programs. Most of these cap and trade programs work by requiring major sources of emissions, such as electric power plants, or major producers of fuels, such as petroleum refineries, to acquire and surrender emission allowances. The number of allowances available for purchase is reduced each year in an effort to achieve the overall GHG emission reduction goal. The adoption of any legislation or regulations that requires reporting of GHGs or otherwise limits emissions of GHGs from Sunoco s equipment and operations could require Sunoco to incur costs to reduce emissions of GHGs associated

with Sunoco's operations or could adversely affect demand for the refined petroleum products that Sunoco produces and markets. Sunoco is also subject to liabilities resulting from its current and past operations, including legal and administrative proceedings related to product liability, contamination from refining operations, past disposal practices, mercury mining, leaks from pipelines and underground storage tanks, premises-liability claims, allegations of exposures of third parties to toxic substances and general environmental claims. Legacy sites include inactive or formerly owned terminals and other logistics assets, divested retail sites, refineries, mercury mines and chemical plants. Resolving such liabilities may result in the assessment of sanctions requiring the payment of monetary fines and penalties, incurrence of costs to conduct corrective actions or pursue investigatory and remedial activities, payment of damages in settlement of claims and suits, and issuance of injunctive relieve or orders that could limit some or all of Sunoco's operations and have a material adverse effect on Sunoco's business or results of operations. In February 2012, Sunoco announced that it intends to contribute approximately \$250 million by the end of 2012 to establish a segregated environmental fund by means of a captive insurance arrangement to be used for the remediation of environmental obligations, related to substantially all current and former operations other than Sunoco's current logistics and retail operations. Although Sunoco has established financial reserves for its environmental liabilities, ongoing remediation activities may result in the discovery of additional contamination which may increase environmental remediation liabilities. Accordingly, we cannot guarantee that current reserves will be adequate to cover all future liabilities even for currently known contamination.

Compliance with current and future environmental laws and regulations could require Sunoco to make significant expenditures, increasing the overall cost of operating its businesses, including capital costs to construct, maintain and upgrade equipment and facilities. To the extent these expenditures are not ultimately reflected in the prices of Sunoco s products or services, Sunoco s operating results would be adversely affected.

Sunoco s failure to comply with these laws and regulations could also result in substantial fines or penalties against Sunoco or orders that could limit Sunoco s operations and have a material adverse effect on its business or results of operations.

Certain federal and state government regulators have sought compensation from companies like Sunoco for natural resource damages as an adjunct to remediation programs. Because Sunoco is involved in a number of remediation sites, a substantial increase in natural resource damage claims at such remedial sites could result in substantially increased costs to Sunoco.

Sunoco Logistics business is subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations that govern the product quality specifications of the petroleum products that Sunoco Logistics stores and transports.

The petroleum products that Sunoco Logistics stores and transports are sold by its customers for consumption into the public market. Various federal, state and local agencies have the authority to prescribe specific product quality specifications to commodities sold into the public market. Changes in product quality specifications could reduce Sunoco Logistics throughput volume, require Sunoco Logistics to incur additional handling costs or require the expenditure of significant capital. In addition, different product specifications for different markets impact the fungibility of products transported and stored in Sunoco Logistics pipeline systems and terminal facilities and could require the construction of additional storage to segregate products with different specifications. Sunoco Logistics may be unable to recover these costs through increased revenues.

In addition, the operations of Sunoco Logistics butane blending services are reliant upon gasoline vapor pressure specifications. Significant changes in such specifications could reduce butane blending opportunities, which would affect Sunoco Logistics ability to market its butane blending services licenses.

Product liability claims and litigation could adversely affect Sunoco s business and results of operations.

Product liability is a significant commercial risk. Substantial damage awards have been made in certain jurisdictions against manufacturers and resellers based upon claims for injuries caused by the use of or exposure to various products. Failure of Sunoco s products to meet required specifications could result in product liability claims from Sunoco s shippers and customers and Sunoco may be required to change or modify its product specifications, which can be costly and time consuming. There can be no assurance that product liability claims against Sunoco would not have a material adverse effect on Sunoco s business or results of operations.

Along with other refiners, manufacturers and sellers of gasoline, Sunoco is a defendant in numerous lawsuits that allege methyl tertiary butyl ether, or MTBE, contamination in groundwater. Plaintiffs, who include water purveyors and municipalities responsible for supplying drinking water and private well owners, are seeking compensatory damages (and in some cases injunctive relief, punitive damages and attorneys fees) for claims relating to the alleged manufacture and distribution of a defective product (MTBE-containing gasoline) that contaminates groundwater, and general allegations of product liability, nuisance, trespass, negligence, violation of environmental laws and deceptive business practices. There has been insufficient information developed about the plaintiffs legal theories or the facts that would be relevant to an analysis of the ultimate liability to Sunoco. These allegations or other product liability claims against Sunoco could have a material adverse effect on Sunoco s business or results of operations.

Federal and state legislation and/or regulation could have a significant impact on market conditions and/or adversely affect Sunoco s business and results of operations.

From time to time, new legislation or regulations are adopted by the federal government and various states or other regulatory bodies. Any such federal or state legislation or regulations, including but not limited to any potential environmental rules and regulations, tax legislation, energy policy legislation or legislation affecting trade or commercial practices, could have a significant impact on market conditions and could adversely affect Sunoco s business or results of operations in a material way. For example, certain pending legislative and regulatory proposals effectively could limit, or even eliminate, use of the last-in, first-out, or

LIFO, inventory method for financial and income tax purposes. Although the final outcome of these proposals cannot be ascertained at this time, the ultimate impact to Sunoco of the transition from LIFO to another inventory method could be material. However, Sunoco s pending exit from the refining business should significantly reduce its exposure to this issue.

Disputes under long-term contracts could affect Sunoco s business and future operations in a materially adverse way.

Sunoco has numerous long-term contractual arrangements across Sunoco s businesses that frequently include complex provisions. Interpretation of these provisions may, at times, lead to disputes with customers and/or suppliers. Unfavorable resolutions of these disputes could have a significant adverse effect on Sunoco s business and results of operations.

Competition from companies having greater financial and other resources than Sunoco does could materially and adversely affect Sunoco s business and results of operations.

Sunoco competes with domestic refiners and marketers in the northeastern and midwestern United States and with foreign refiners that import products into the United States. In addition, Sunoco competes with producers and marketers in other industries that supply alternative forms of energy and fuels to satisfy the requirements of Sunoco s industrial, commercial and individual consumers. Certain of Sunoco s competitors have larger and more complex refineries, and may be able to realize lower per-barrel costs or higher margins per barrel of throughput. Several of Sunoco s principal competitors are integrated national or international oil companies that are larger and have substantially greater resources than Sunoco does. Unlike these competitors, which have access to proprietary sources of controlled crude oil production, Sunoco obtains substantially all of its feedstocks from unaffiliated sources. Because of their integrated operations and larger capitalization, these companies may be more flexible in responding to volatile industry or market conditions, such as shortages of crude oil and other feedstocks or intense price fluctuations.

Sunoco has taken significant measures to expand and upgrade units in its refineries by installing new equipment and redesigning older equipment to improve refinery capacity. However, these actions involve significant uncertainties, since upgraded equipment may not perform at expected throughput levels, the yield and product quality of new equipment may differ from design specifications and modifications may be needed to correct equipment that does not perform as expected. Any of these risks associated with new equipment, redesigned older equipment, or repaired equipment could lead to lower revenues or higher costs or otherwise have an adverse effect on future results of operations and financial condition. Newer facilities owned by competitors will often be more efficient than some of Sunoco s facilities, which may put Sunoco at a competitive disadvantage. Over time, some of Sunoco s facilities may become obsolete, or be unable to compete, because of the construction of new, more efficient facilities.

Sunoco also faces strong competition in the market for the sale of retail gasoline and merchandise. Sunoco s competitors include service stations operated by fully integrated major oil companies and other well-recognized national or regional retail outlets, often selling gasoline or merchandise at aggressively competitive prices.

Pipeline operations of Sunoco Logistics face significant competition from other pipelines for large volume shipments. These operations also face competition from trucks for incremental and marginal volumes in areas served by Sunoco Logistics pipelines. Sunoco Logistics refined product terminals compete with terminals owned by integrated petroleum companies, refining and marketing companies, independent terminal companies and distribution companies with marketing and trading operations.

The actions of Sunoco s competitors, including the impact of foreign imports, could lead to lower prices or reduced margins for the products Sunoco sells, which could have an adverse effect on Sunoco s business or results of operations.

Sunoco is exposed to the credit and other counterparty risk of its customers in the ordinary course of its business.

Sunoco has various credit terms with virtually all of its customers, and its customers have varying degrees of creditworthiness. Although Sunoco evaluates the creditworthiness of each of its customers, Sunoco may not always be able to fully anticipate or detect deterioration in their creditworthiness and overall financial condition, which could expose Sunoco to an increased risk of nonpayment or other default under its contracts and other arrangements with them. In the event that a material customer or customers default on their payment obligations to Sunoco, this could materially adversely affect Sunoco s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Sunoco maintains insurance against many, but not all, potential losses or liabilities arising from operating hazards in amounts that it believes to be prudent. Failure by one or more insurers to honor their coverage commitments for an insured event could materially and adversely affect Sunoco s future cash flows, operating results and financial condition.

Sunoco s business is subject to hazards and risks inherent in refining operations and the transportation and storage of crude oil and refined products. These risks include explosions, fires, spills, adverse weather, natural disasters, mechanical failures, security breaches at Sunoco s facilities, labor disputes and maritime accidents, any of which could result in loss of life or equipment, business interruptions, environmental pollution, personal injury and damage to Sunoco s property and that of others. In addition, certain of Sunoco s facilities provide or share necessary resources, materials or utilities, rely on common resources or utilities for their supply, distribution or materials or are located in close proximity to other of Sunoco s facilities. As a result, an event, such as the closure of a transportation route, could adversely affect more than one facility. Sunoco s refineries, pipelines and storage facilities also may be potential targets for terrorist attacks.

Sunoco maintains insurance against many, but not all, potential losses or liabilities arising from operating hazards in amounts that Sunoco believes to be prudent. Sunoco s insurance program includes a number of insurance carriers. Disruptions in the U.S. financial markets have resulted in the deterioration in the financial condition of many financial institutions, including insurance companies. In light of this uncertainty, it is possible that Sunoco may not be able to obtain insurance coverage for insured events. Sunoco s failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on its future cash flows, operating results and financial condition.

Sunoco s operating facilities, and in particular its refineries, require substantial capital expenditures to maintain their reliability and efficiency. If Sunoco is unable to complete capital projects at their expected costs and/or in a timely manner, or if the market conditions assumed in Sunoco s project economics deteriorate, Sunoco s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows could be materially and adversely affected.

Delays or cost increases related to capital spending programs involving engineering, procurement and construction of new facilities (or improvements and repairs to Sunoco s existing facilities) could adversely affect Sunoco s ability to achieve forecasted internal rates of return and operating results. Delays in making required changes or upgrades to Sunoco s facilities could subject us to fines or penalties as well as affect Sunoco s ability to supply certain products Sunoco makes. Such delays or cost increases may arise as a result of unpredictable factors in the marketplace, many of which are beyond Sunoco s control, including:

denial or delay in issuing regulatory approvals and/or permits;

unplanned increases in the cost of construction materials or labor;

disruptions in transportation of modular components and/or construction materials;

severe adverse weather conditions, natural disasters or other events (such as equipment malfunctions, explosions, fires or spills) affecting Sunoco s facilities, or those of vendors and suppliers;

shortages of sufficiently skilled labor, or labor disagreements resulting in unplanned work stoppages;

market-related increases in a project s debt or equity financing costs; and/or

nonperformance or force majeure by, or disputes with, vendors, suppliers, contractors or sub-contractors involved with a project. Sunoco s refineries consist of many processing units, a number of which have been in operation for many years. Equipment, even if properly maintained, may require significant capital expenditures to keep it operating at optimum efficiency. One or more of the units may require unscheduled downtime for unanticipated maintenance or repairs that are more frequent than Sunoco s scheduled turnarounds for such units. Scheduled and unscheduled maintenance could reduce Sunoco s revenues during the period of time that the units are not operating. The need for significant future capital spending to maintain Sunoco s refineries may have a material adverse impact on the likelihood of Sunoco s successful completion of a sale of its refining assets and the ultimate value which may be realized upon such sale.

Sunoco s forecasted internal rates of return are also based upon Sunoco s projections of future market fundamentals that are not within Sunoco s control, including changes in general economic conditions, available alternative supply and customer demand.

Any one or more of these factors could have a significant impact on Sunoco s business. If Sunoco was unable to make up the delays associated with such factors or to recover the related costs, or if market conditions change, it could materially and adversely affect Sunoco s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Sunoco has various credit agreements and other financing arrangements that impose certain restrictions on Sunoco and may limit Sunoco s flexibility to undertake certain types of transactions. If Sunoco fails to comply with the terms and provisions of its debt instruments, the indebtedness under them may become immediately due and payable, which could have a material adverse effect on Sunoco s financial position.

Several of Sunoco s existing debt instruments and financing arrangements contain restrictive covenants and that limit Sunoco s financial flexibility and that of its subsidiaries. Sunoco s credit facilities require the maintenance of collateral and certain financial ratios, satisfaction of certain financial condition tests and, subject to certain exceptions, impose restrictions on:

incurrence of additional indebtedness;

issuance of preferred stock by Sunoco s subsidiaries;

incurrence of liens;

sale and leaseback transactions;

agreements by Sunoco s subsidiaries, which would limit their ability to pay dividends, make distributions or repay loans or advances to Sunoco; and

fundamental changes, such as certain mergers and dispositions of assets.

Sunoco Logistics has credit facilities which also contain certain covenants. Increased borrowings by Sunoco Logistics will raise the level of Sunoco s total consolidated net indebtedness, and could restrict Sunoco s ability to borrow money or otherwise incur additional debt. If Sunoco does not comply with the covenants and other terms and provisions of its credit facilities, Sunoco will be required to request a waiver under, or an amendment to, those facilities. If Sunoco cannot obtain such a waiver or amendment, or if Sunoco fails to comply with the covenants and other terms and provisions of Sunoco s indentures, Sunoco would be in default under its debt instruments. Any defaults may cause the indebtedness under the facilities to become immediately due and payable, which could have a material adverse effect on Sunoco s financial position.

Sunoco s ability to meet its debt service obligations depends upon its future performance, which is subject to general economic conditions, industry cycles and financial, business and other factors affecting its operations, many of which are beyond Sunoco s control. A portion of Sunoco s cash flow from operations is needed to pay the principal of, and interest on, Sunoco s indebtedness and is not available for other purposes. If Sunoco is unable to generate sufficient cash flow from operations, Sunoco may have to sell assets, refinance all or a portion of its indebtedness or obtain additional financing. Any of these actions could have a material adverse effect on Sunoco s financial position.

The tax treatment of Sunoco Logistics depends on its status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, as well as not being subject to a material amount of entity level taxation by individual states. If the IRS treats Sunoco Logistics as a corporation or it becomes subject to a material amount of entity level taxation for state tax purposes, it would substantially reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to its unitholders.

The anticipated after-tax economic benefit of our investment in the common units of Sunoco Logistics depends largely on Sunoco Logistics being treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. Sunoco Logistics has not requested, and does not plan to request, a ruling from the IRS on this matter. The IRS may adopt positions that differ from the ones Sunoco Logistics has taken. A successful IRS contest of the federal income tax positions Sunoco Logistics takes may impact adversely the market for its common units, and the costs of any IRS contest will reduce Sunoco Logistics cash available for distribution to its unitholders. If Sunoco Logistics was treated as a corporation for federal income tax at the corporate tax rate, and likely would pay state income tax at varying rates. Distributions to its unitholders generally would be subject to tax again as corporate distributions. Treatment of Sunoco Logistics as a corporation would result in a material reduction in its anticipated cash flow and after-tax return to its unitholders. Current law may change so as to cause Sunoco Logistics to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or to otherwise subject it to a material level of entity level taxation. States are evaluating ways to subject partnerships to entity level taxation through the imposition of state income, franchise and other forms of taxation. If any of these states were to impose a tax on Sunoco Logistics, the cash available for distribution to its unitholders would be reduced.

The tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships or our investment in Sunoco Logistics common units could be subject to potential legislative, judicial or administrative changes and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis.

The present federal income tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships, including Sunoco Logistics, or our investment in its common units, may be modified by administrative, legislative or judicial interpretation at any time. Any modification to the federal income tax laws and interpretations thereof may or may not be applied retroactively. Moreover, any such modification could make it more difficult or impossible for Sunoco Logistics to meet the exception which allows publicly traded partnerships that generate qualifying income to be treated as partnerships (rather than corporations) for U.S. federal income tax purposes, affect or cause Sunoco Logistics to change its business activities, or affect the tax consequences of our investment in Sunoco Logistics common units. For example, members of the United States Congress have been considering substantive changes to the definition of qualifying income and the treatment of certain types of income earned from partnerships. We are unable to predict whether any of these changes, or other proposals, will ultimately be enacted. Any such changes could negatively impact the value of our investment in Sunoco Logistics common units.

Poor performance in the financial markets could have a material adverse effect on the level of funding of Sunoco s pension obligations, on the level of pension expense and on Sunoco s financial position. In addition, any use of current cash flow to fund Sunoco s pension could have a significant adverse effect on Sunoco s financial position.

Sunoco has substantial benefit obligations in connection with its noncontributory defined benefit pension plans. Sunoco has made contributions to the plans over the past several years to improve their funded status, and Sunoco expects to make additional contributions to the plans in the future as well. The projected benefit obligation of Sunoco s funded defined benefit plans at December 31, 2011 exceeded the market value of Sunoco s plan assets

by \$160 million. Sunoco expects that upon its exit from the refining business, defined benefit pension plans will be frozen for all participants and no additional benefits will be earned. As a result of the workforce reduction, divestments and the shutdown of Sunoco s Eagle Point refinery, Sunoco incurred noncash settlement and curtailment losses and special termination benefits in these plans during 2011, 2010 and 2009 totaling approximately \$60, \$55 and \$130 million pretax, respectively. Sunoco expects to incur additional settlement losses related to the exit from the refining business. In 2010, Sunoco contributed \$234 million to its funded defined benefit plans consisting of \$144 million of cash and 3.59 million shares of Sunoco common stock valued at \$90 million. Sunoco also intends to make cash contributions of approximately \$80 million in 2012. Poor performance of the financial markets, or decreases in interest rates, could result in additional significant charges to shareholders equity and additional significant increases in future pension expense and funding requirements. To the extent that Sunoco has to fund its pension obligations with cash from operations, Sunoco may be at a disadvantage to some of its competitors who do not have the same level of obligations that Sunoco has.

A portion of Sunoco s workforce is unionized, and Sunoco may face labor disruptions that could materially and adversely affect its operations.

Approximately 18% of Sunoco s employees are covered by a number of collective bargaining agreements with various terms and dates of expirations. There can be no assurances that Sunoco will not experience a work stoppage in the future as a result of labor disagreements. A labor disturbance at any of Sunoco s major facilities could have a material adverse effect on Sunoco s operations.

Sunoco has outsourced various functions to third-party service providers, which decreases its control over the performance of these functions. Disruptions or delays at Sunoco s third-party outsourcing partners could result in increased costs, or may adversely affect service levels and Sunoco s public reporting. Fraudulent activity or misuse of proprietary data involving our outsourcing partners could expose Sunoco to additional liability.

As part of Sunoco s long-term strategy, Sunoco is continually looking for opportunities to provide essential business services in a more cost-effective manner. In some cases, this requires the outsourcing of functions or parts of functions that can be performed more effectively by external service providers. Sunoco has previously outsourced various functions to third parties and expect to continue this practice with other functions in the future.

While outsourcing arrangements may lower Sunoco s cost of operations, they also reduce Sunoco s direct control over the services rendered. It is uncertain what effect such diminished control will have on the quality or quantity of products delivered or services rendered, on Sunoco s ability to quickly respond to changing market conditions, or on Sunoco s ability to ensure compliance with all applicable domestic and foreign laws and regulations. Sunoco believes that it conducts appropriate due diligence before entering into agreements with its outsourcing partners. Sunoco relies on its outsourcing partners to provide services on a timely and effective basis. Although Sunoco continuously monitors the performance of these third parties and maintains contingency plans in case they are unable to perform as agreed, Sunoco does not ultimately control the performance of its outsourcing partners. Much of Sunoco s outsourcing takes place in developing countries and, as a result, may be subject to geopolitical uncertainty. The failure of one or more of Sunoco s third-party outsourcing partners to provide the expected services on a timely basis at the prices Sunoco expects, or as required by contract, due to events such as regional economic, business, environmental or political events, information technology system failures, or military actions, could result in significant disruptions and costs to Sunoco s operations, which could materially adversely affect Sunoco s business, financial condition, operating results and cash flow and Sunoco s ability to file its financial statements with the SEC in a timely or accurate manner.

Sunce s failure to generate significant cost savings from these outsourcing initiatives could adversely affect its profitability and weaken its competitive position. Additionally, if the implementation of Sunce s outsourcing initiatives is disruptive to its business, Sunce could experience transaction errors, processing inefficiencies, and the loss of sales and customers, which could cause its business and results of operations to suffer.

As a result of these outsourcing initiatives, more third parties are involved in processing Sunoco s information and data. Breaches of Sunoco s security measures or the accidental loss, inadvertent disclosure or

unapproved dissemination of proprietary information or sensitive or confidential data about Sunoco or its clients, including the potential loss or disclosure of such information or data as a result of fraud or other forms of deception, could expose Sunoco to a risk of loss or misuse of this information, result in litigation and potential liability for Sunoco, lead to reputational damage to Sunoco brand, increase Sunoco s compliance costs, or otherwise harm Sunoco s business.

Sunoco s operations could be disrupted if Sunoco s information systems fail, causing increased expenses and loss of sales.

Sunoco s business is highly dependent on financial, accounting and other data processing systems and other communications and information systems, including its enterprise resource planning tools. Sunoco processes a large number of transactions on a daily basis and rely upon the proper functioning of computer systems. If a key system was to fail or experience unscheduled downtime for any reason, even if only for a short period, Sunoco s operations and financial results could be affected adversely. Sunoco s systems could be damaged or interrupted by a security breach, fire, flood, power loss, telecommunications failure or similar event. Sunoco has a formal disaster recovery plan in place, but this plan may not entirely prevent delays or other complications that could arise from an information systems failure. Sunoco s business interruption insurance may not compensate it adequately for losses that may occur.

Security breaches and other disruptions could compromise Sunoco Logistics information and expose Sunoco Logistics to liability, which would cause its business and reputation to suffer.

In the ordinary course of Sunoco Logistics business, Sunoco Logistics collects and stores sensitive data, including intellectual property, its proprietary business information and that of its customers, suppliers and business partners, and personally identifiable information of its employees, in Sunoco Logistics data centers and on its networks. The secure processing, maintenance and transmission of this information is critical to Sunoco Logistics operations and business strategy. Despite Sunoco Logistics security measures, its information technology and infrastructure may be vulnerable to attacks by hackers or breached due to employee error, malfeasance or other disruptions. Any such breach could compromise Sunoco Logistics networks and the information stored there could be accessed, publicly disclosed, lost or stolen. Any such access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings, liability under laws that protect the privacy of personal information, regulatory penalties, disruption of Sunoco Logistics operations, damage to its reputation, and loss of confidence in its products and services, which could adversely affect its business.

Risks Relating to Southern Union

Southern Union has substantial debt and may not be able to obtain funding or obtain funding on acceptable terms because of deterioration in the credit and capital markets. This may hinder or prevent Southern Union from meeting its future capital needs.

Southern Union has a significant amount of debt outstanding. Some of Southern Union s debt obligations contain financial covenants concerning debt-to-capital ratios and interest coverage ratios. Southern Union s failure to comply with any of these covenants could result in an event of default which, if not cured or waived, could result in the acceleration of outstanding debt obligations or render it unable to borrow under certain credit agreements. Any such acceleration or inability to borrow could cause a material adverse change in Southern Union s financial condition.

Southern Union relies on access to both short- and long-term credit as a significant source of liquidity for capital requirements not satisfied by the cash flow from its operations. A deterioration in Southern Union s financial condition could hamper its ability to access the capital markets.

Global financial markets and economic conditions have been, and may continue to be, disrupted and volatile. The current weak economic conditions have made, and may continue to make, obtaining funding more difficult.

Due to these factors, Southern Union cannot be certain that funding will be available if needed and, to the extent required, on acceptable terms. If funding is not available when needed, or is available only on unfavorable terms, Southern Union may be unable to grow its existing business, complete acquisitions, refinance

its debt or otherwise take advantage of business opportunities or respond to competitive pressures, any of which could have a material adverse effect on Southern Union s revenues and results of operations.

Further, because of the need for certain state regulatory approvals in order to incur long-term debt, Southern Union may not be able to access the capital markets on a timely basis. Restrictions on Southern Union s ability to access capital markets could affect its ability to execute its business plan or limit its ability to pursue improvements or acquisitions on which it may otherwise rely for future growth.

Credit ratings downgrades could increase Southern Union s financing costs and limit its ability to access the capital markets.

Southern Union is not party to any lending agreement that would accelerate the maturity date of any obligation due to a failure to maintain any specific credit rating, nor would a reduction in any credit rating, by itself, cause an event of default under any of Southern Union s lending agreements. However, if its current credit ratings are downgraded below investment grade or if there are times when it is placed on credit watch, Southern Union could be negatively impacted as follows:

Borrowing costs associated with existing debt obligations could increase in the event of a credit rating downgrade;

The costs of refinancing debt that is maturing or any new debt issuances could increase due to being placed on credit watch or due to a credit rating downgrade;

The costs of maintaining certain contractual relationships could increase, primarily related to the potential requirement for Southern Union to post collateral associated with its derivative financial instruments; and

Regulators may be unwilling to allow Southern Union to pass along increased debt service costs to natural gas customers. The financial soundness of Southern Union s customers could affect its business and operating results and Southern Union s credit risk management may not be adequate to protect against customer risk.

As a result of the recent disruptions in the financial markets and other macroeconomic challenges that have impacted the economy of the United States and other parts of the world, Southern Union s customers may experience cash flow concerns. As a result, if customers operating and financial performance deteriorates, or if they are unable to make scheduled payments or obtain credit, customers may not be able to pay, or may delay payment of, accounts receivable owed to Southern Union. Southern Union s credit procedures and policies may not be adequate to fully eliminate customer credit risk. In addition, in certain situations, Southern Union may assume certain additional credit risks for competitive reasons or otherwise. Any inability of Southern Union s customers to pay for services could adversely affect Southern Union s financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Southern Union depends on distributions from its subsidiaries and joint ventures to meet its obligations.

Southern Union is dependent on the earnings and cash flows of, and dividends, loans, advances or other distributions from, its subsidiaries to generate the funds necessary to meet its obligations. The availability of distributions from such entities is subject to their earnings and capital requirements, the satisfaction of various covenants and conditions contained in financing documents by which they are bound or in their organizational documents, and in the case of the regulated subsidiaries, regulatory restrictions that limit their ability to distribute profits to Southern Union.

Southern Union s growth strategy entails risk for investors.

Southern Union may actively pursue acquisitions in the energy industry to complement and diversify its existing businesses. As part of its growth strategy, Southern Union may:

examine and potentially acquire regulated or unregulated businesses, including transportation and storage assets and gathering and processing businesses within the natural gas industry;

enter into joint venture agreements and/or other transactions with other industry participants or financial investors;

selectively divest parts of its business, including parts of its core operations; and

continue expanding its existing operations.

Southern Union s ability to acquire new businesses will depend upon the extent to which opportunities become available, as well as, among other things:

its success in valuing and bidding for the opportunities;

its ability to assess the risks of the opportunities;

its ability to obtain regulatory approvals on favorable terms; and

its access to financing on acceptable terms.

Once acquired, Southern Union s ability to integrate a new business into its existing operations successfully will largely depend on the adequacy of implementation plans, including the ability to identify and retain employees to manage the acquired business, and the ability to achieve desired operating efficiencies. The successful integration of any businesses acquired in the future may entail numerous risks, including:

the risk of diverting management s attention from day-to-day operations;

the risk that the acquired businesses will require substantial capital and financial investments;

the risk that the investments will fail to perform in accordance with expectations; and

the risk of substantial difficulties in the transition and integration process.

These factors could have a material adverse effect on Southern Union s business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows, particularly in the case of a larger acquisition or multiple acquisitions in a short period of time.

Southern Union is subject to operating risks.

Southern Union s operations are subject to all operating hazards and risks incident to handling, storing, transporting and providing customers with natural gas or NGL, including adverse weather conditions, explosions, pollution, release of toxic substances, fires and other hazards, each of which could result in damage to or destruction of its facilities or damage to persons and property. If any of these events were to occur, Southern Union could suffer substantial losses. Moreover, as a result, Southern Union has been, and likely will be, a defendant in legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. While Southern Union maintains insurance against many of these risks to the extent and in amounts that it believes are reasonable, Southern Union s insurance coverages have significant deductibles and self-insurance levels, limits on maximum recovery, and do not cover all risks. There is also the risk that the coverages will change over time in light of increased premiums or changes in the terms of the insurance coverages that could result in Southern Union s decision to either terminate certain coverages, increase deductibles and self-insurance levels, or decrease maximum recoveries. In addition, there is a risk that the insurers may default on their coverage obligations. As a result, Southern Union s results of operations, cash flows or financial condition could be adversely affected if a significant event occurs that is not fully covered by insurance.

The success of the pipeline and gathering and processing businesses depends, in part, on factors beyond Southern Union s control.

Third parties own most of the natural gas transported and stored through the pipeline systems operated by Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP, or Panhandle, a wholly owned subsidiary of Southern Union. Additionally, third parties produce all of the natural gas gathered and processed by Southern Union, and third parties provide all of the NGL transportation and fractionation services for Southern Union Gas Services, or SUGS, a wholly owned subsidiary of Southern Union. As a result, the volume of natural gas or NGL transported, stored, gathered, processed or fractionated depends on the actions of those third parties and is beyond Southern Union s control. Further, other factors beyond Southern Union s and those third parties control may unfavorably impact Southern Union s ability to maintain or increase current transmission, storage, gathering or processing rates, to renegotiate existing contracts as they expire or to remarket unsubscribed capacity. High utilization of contracted capacity by firm customers reduces capacity available for interruptible transportation and parking services.

The success of the pipeline and gathering and processing businesses depends on the continued development of additional natural gas reserves in the vicinity of their facilities and their ability to access additional reserves to offset the natural decline from existing sources connected to their systems.

The amount of revenue generated by Panhandle ultimately depends upon its access to reserves of available natural gas. Additionally, the amount of revenue generated by SUGS depends substantially upon the volume of natural gas gathered and processed and NGL extracted. As the reserves available through the supply basins connected to these systems naturally decline, a decrease in development or production activity could cause a decrease in the volume of natural gas available for transmission, gathering or processing. If production from these natural gas reserves is substantially reduced and not replaced with other sources of natural gas, such as new wells or interconnections with other pipelines, and certain of Southern Union s assets are consequently not utilized, Southern Union may have to accelerate the recognition and settlement of asset retirement obligations, or AROs. Investments by third parties in the development of new natural gas reserves or other sources of natural gas in proximity to Southern Union s facilities depend on many factors beyond Southern Union s control. Revenue reductions or the acceleration of AROs resulting from the decline of natural gas reserves and the lack of new sources of natural gas may have a material adverse effect on Southern Union s business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The pipeline and gathering and processing businesses revenues are generated under contracts that must be renegotiated periodically.

The revenues of Panhandle and SUGS are generated under contracts that expire periodically and must be replaced. Although Southern Union will actively pursue the renegotiation, extension and/or replacement of all of its contracts, it cannot assure that it will be able to extend or replace these contracts when they expire or that the terms of any renegotiated contracts will be as favorable as the existing contracts. If Southern Union is unable to renew, extend or replace these contracts, or if Southern Union renews them on less favorable terms, it may suffer a material reduction in revenues and earnings.

The expansion of Southern Union s pipeline and gathering and processing systems by constructing new facilities subjects Southern Union to construction and other risks that may adversely affect the financial results of Southern Union s pipeline and gathering and processing businesses.

Southern Union may expand the capacity of its existing pipeline, storage, LNG, and gathering and processing facilities by constructing additional facilities. Construction of these facilities is subject to various regulatory, development and operational risks, including:

Southern Union s ability to obtain necessary approvals and permits from the FERC and other regulatory agencies on a timely basis and on terms that are acceptable to it;

the ability to access sufficient capital at reasonable rates to fund expansion projects, especially in periods of prolonged economic decline when Southern Union may be unable to access capital markets;

the availability of skilled labor, equipment, and materials to complete expansion projects;

adverse weather conditions;

potential changes in federal, state and local statutes, regulations, and orders, including environmental requirements that delay or prevent a project from proceeding or increase the anticipated cost of the project;

impediments on Southern Union s ability to acquire rights-of-way or land rights or to commence and complete construction on a timely basis or on terms that are acceptable to it;

Southern Union s ability to construct projects within anticipated costs, including the risk that Southern Union may incur cost overruns, resulting from inflation or increased costs of equipment, materials, labor, contractor productivity, delays in construction or other factors beyond its control, that Southern Union may not be able to recover from its customers;

the lack of future growth in natural gas supply and/or demand; and

the lack of transportation, storage or throughput commitments or gathering and processing commitments. Any of these risks could prevent a project from proceeding, delay its completion or increase its anticipated costs. There is also the risk that a downturn in the economy and its potential negative impact on natural gas demand may result in either slower development in Southern Union s expansion projects or adjustments in the contractual commitments supporting such projects. As a result, new facilities could be delayed or may not achieve Southern Union s expected investment return, which may adversely affect Southern Union s business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The inability to continue to access lands owned by third parties could adversely affect Southern Union s ability to operate and/or expand its pipeline and gathering and processing businesses.

The ability of Panhandle or SUGS to operate in certain geographic areas will depend on their success in maintaining existing rights-of-way and obtaining new rights-of-way. Securing additional rights-of-way is also critical to Southern Union s ability to pursue expansion projects. Even for Panhandle, which generally has the right of eminent domain, Southern Union cannot assure that it will be able to acquire all of the necessary new rights-of-way or maintain access to existing rights-of-way upon the expiration of the current rights-of-way or that all of the rights-of-way will be obtainable in a timely fashion. Southern Union s financial position could be adversely affected if the costs of new or extended rights-of-way materially increase or Southern Union is unable to obtain or extend the rights-of-way timely.

Federal, state and local jurisdictions may challenge Southern Union s tax return positions.

The positions taken by Southern Union in its tax return filings require significant judgment, use of estimates, and the interpretation and application of complex tax laws. Significant judgment is also required in assessing the timing and amounts of deductible and taxable items. Certain positions may be challenged successfully by federal, state and local jurisdictions.

Southern Union is subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations regulating the environmental aspects of its business that may increase its costs of operation, expose it to environmental liabilities and require it to make material unbudgeted expenditures.

Southern Union is subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations regulating the environmental aspects of its business (including air emissions), which are complex, change from time to time and have tended to become increasingly strict. These laws and

regulations have necessitated, and in the future may

necessitate, increased capital expenditures and operating costs. In addition, certain environmental laws may result in liability without regard to fault concerning contamination at a broad range of properties, including currently or formerly owned, leased or operated properties and properties where Southern Union disposed of, or arranged for the disposal of, waste.

Southern Union is currently monitoring or remediating contamination at several of its facilities and at waste disposal sites pursuant to environmental laws and regulations and indemnification agreements. Southern Union cannot predict with certainty the sites for which it may be responsible, the amount of resulting cleanup obligations that may be imposed on it or the amount and timing of future expenditures related to environmental remediation because of the difficulty of estimating cleanup costs and the uncertainty of payment by other potentially responsible parties.

Costs and obligations also can arise from claims for toxic torts and natural resource damages or from releases of hazardous materials on other properties as a result of ongoing operations or disposal of waste. Compliance with amended, new or more stringently enforced existing environmental requirements, or the future discovery of contamination, may require material unbudgeted expenditures. These costs or expenditures could have a material adverse effect on Southern Union s business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, particularly if such costs or expenditures are not fully recoverable from insurance or through the rates charged to customers or if they exceed any amounts that have been reserved.

Southern Union s business could be affected adversely by union disputes and strikes or work stoppages by its unionized employees.

As of December 31, 2011, approximately 765 of Southern Union s 2,437 employees were represented by collective bargaining units under collective bargaining agreements. In the coming months, Southern Union anticipates participating in discussions with United Steel Workers Local 348 with respect to the renewal of a collective bargaining agreement that expired on May 27, 2012, but remains in effect pending the expected negotiation of a new agreement. This collective bargaining unit currently includes approximately 219 employees. Southern Union cannot predict the results of any such collective bargaining negotiations or whether any such negotiations will result in a work stoppage. Any future work stoppage could, depending on the affected operations and the length of the work stoppage, have a material adverse effect on Southern Union s business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Southern Union is subject to risks resulting from the moratorium in 2010 on and the resulting increased costs of offshore deepwater drilling.

The United States Department of Interior, or DOI, implemented a six-month moratorium on offshore drilling in water deeper than 500 feet in response to the blowout and explosion on April 20, 2010 at the British Petroleum Plc deepwater well in the Gulf of Mexico. The offshore drilling moratorium was implemented to permit the DOI to review the safety protocols and procedures used by offshore drilling companies, which review will enable the DOI to recommend enhanced safety and training needs for offshore drilling companies. The moratorium was lifted in October 2010. Additionally, the United States Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement (formerly the United States Mineral Management Service) has been fundamentally restructured by the DOI with the intent of providing enhanced oversight of onshore and offshore drilling operations for regulatory compliance enforcement, energy development and revenue collection. Certain enhanced regulatory mandates have been enacted with additional regulatory mandates expected. The new regulatory requirements will increase the cost of offshore drilling and production operations. The increased regulations and cost of drilling operations could result in decreased drilling activity in the areas serviced by Southern Union. Furthermore, the imposed moratorium did result in some offshore drilling companies relocating their offshore drilling operations for currently indeterminable periods of time to regions outside of the United States. Business decisions to not drill in the areas serviced by Southern Union resulting from the increased regulations and costs could result in a reduction in the future development and production of natural gas reserves in the vicinity of Southern Union s facilities, which could adversely affect Southern Union s business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Southern Union s businesses require the retention and recruitment of a skilled workforce and the loss of employees could result in the failure to implement its business plans.

Southern Union s businesses require the retention and recruitment of a skilled workforce including engineers and other technical personnel. If Southern Union is unable to retain its current employees (many of whom are retirement eligible) or recruit new employees of comparable knowledge and experience, Southern Union s business could be negatively impacted.

The costs of providing pension and other postretirement health care benefits and related funding requirements are subject to changes in pension fund values, changing demographics and fluctuating actuarial assumptions and may have a material adverse effect on Southern Union s financial results. In addition, the passage of the Health Care Reform Act in 2010 could significantly increase the cost of providing health care benefits for Southern Union employees.

Southern Union provides pension plan and other postretirement healthcare benefits to certain of its employees. The costs of providing pension and other postretirement health care benefits and related funding requirements are subject to changes in pension and other postretirement fund values, changing demographics and fluctuating actuarial assumptions that may have a material adverse effect on Southern Union s future financial results. In addition, the passage of the Health Care Reform Act of 2010 could significantly increase the cost of health care benefits for its employees. While certain of the costs incurred in providing such pension and other postretirement healthcare benefits are recovered through the rates charged by Southern Union s regulated businesses, Southern Union may not recover all of its costs and those rates are generally not immediately responsive to current market conditions or funding requirements. Additionally, if the current cost recovery mechanisms are changed or eliminated, the impact of these benefits on operating results could significantly increase.

Risks Relating to Southern Union s Transportation and Storage Business

The transportation and storage business is highly regulated.

Southern Union s transportation and storage business is subject to regulation by federal, state and local regulatory authorities. FERC, the United States Department of Transportation and various state and local regulatory agencies regulate the interstate pipeline business. In particular, FERC has authority to regulate rates charged by Panhandle for the transportation and storage of natural gas in interstate commerce. FERC also has authority over the construction, acquisition, operation and disposition of these pipeline and storage assets. In addition, the U.S. Coast Guard has oversight over certain issues including the importation of LNG.

Southern Union s rates and operations are subject to extensive regulation by federal regulators as well as the actions of Congress and state legislatures and, in some respects, state regulators. Southern Union cannot predict or control what effect future actions of regulatory agencies may have on its business or its access to the capital markets. Furthermore, the nature and degree of regulation of natural gas companies has changed significantly during the past several decades and there is no assurance that further substantial changes will not occur or that existing policies and rules will not be applied in a new or different manner. Should new and more stringent regulatory requirements be imposed, Southern Union s business could be unfavorably impacted and Southern Union could be subject to additional costs that could adversely affect its financial condition or results of operations if these costs are not ultimately recovered through rates.

Southern Union s transportation and storage business is also influenced by fluctuations in costs, including operating costs such as insurance, postretirement and other benefit costs, wages, outside contractor services costs, asset retirement obligations for certain assets and other operating costs. The profitability of regulated operations depends on the business ability to collect such increased costs as a part of the rates charged to its customers. To the extent that such operating costs increase in an amount greater than that for which revenue is received, or for which rate recovery is allowed, this differential could impact operating results. The lag between an increase in costs and the ability of Southern Union to file to obtain rate relief from FERC to recover

those increased costs can have a direct negative impact on operating results. As with any request for an increase in rates in a regulatory filing, once granted, the rate increase may not be adequate. In addition, FERC may prevent the business from passing along certain costs in the form of higher rates.

FERC may also exercise its Section 5 authority to initiate proceedings to review rates that it believes may not be just and reasonable. FERC has recently exercised this authority with respect to several other pipeline companies, as it had in 2007 with respect to Southern Union s Southwest Gas Storage Company. If FERC were to initiate a Section 5 proceeding against Southern Union and find that Southern Union s rates at that time were not just and reasonable due to a lower rate base, reduced or disallowed operating costs, or other factors, the applicable maximum rates Southern Union is allowed to charge customers could be reduced and the reduction could potentially have a material adverse effect on Southern Union s business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In 2010, in response to an intervention and protest filed by BG LNG Services, or BGLS, regarding its rates with Trunkline LNG Company, LLC, or Trunkline LNG, applicable to certain LNG expansions, FERC determined that there was no reason at that time to expend FERC s resources on a Section 5 proceeding with respect to Trunkline LNG even though cost and revenue studies provided by Southern Union to FERC indicated Trunkline LNG s revenues were in excess of its associated cost of service. However, since the current fixed rates expire at the end of 2015 and revert to tariff rate for these LNG expansions as well as the base LNG facilities for which rates were set in 2002, a Section 5 proceeding could be initiated at that time and result in significant revenue reductions if the cost of service remains lower than revenues.

A rate reduction is also a possible outcome with any Section 4 rate case proceeding for the regulated entities of Panhandle, including any rate case proceeding required to be filed as a result of a prior rate case settlement. A regulated entity s rate base, upon which a rate of return is allowed in the derivation of maximum rates, is primarily determined by a combination of accumulated capital investments, accumulated regulatory basis depreciation, and accumulated deferred income taxes. Such rate base can decline due to capital investments being less than depreciation over a period of time, or due to accelerated tax depreciation in excess of regulatory basis depreciation.

The pipeline businesses are subject to competition.

The interstate pipeline business of Panhandle competes with those of other interstate and intrastate pipeline companies in the transportation and storage of natural gas. The principal elements of competition among pipelines are rates, terms of service and the flexibility and reliability of service. Natural gas competes with other forms of energy available to Southern Union s customers and end-users, including electricity, coal and fuel oils. The primary competitive factor is price. Changes in the availability or price of natural gas and other forms of energy, the level of business activity, conservation, legislation and governmental regulations, the capability to convert to alternate fuels and other factors, including weather and natural gas storage levels, affect the demand for natural gas in the areas served by Panhandle.

Substantial risks are involved in operating a natural gas pipeline system.

Numerous operational risks are associated with the operation of a complex pipeline system. These include adverse weather conditions, accidents, the breakdown or failure of equipment or processes, the performance of pipeline facilities below expected levels of capacity and efficiency, the collision of equipment with pipeline facilities (such as may occur if a third party were to perform excavation or construction work near the facilities) and other catastrophic events beyond Southern Union s control. In particular, Southern Union s pipeline system, especially those portions that are located offshore, may be subject to adverse weather conditions, including hurricanes, earthquakes, tornadoes, extreme temperatures and other natural phenomena, making it more difficult for Southern Union to realize the historic rates of return associated with these assets and operations. A casualty occurrence might result in injury or loss of life, extensive property damage or environmental damage. Insurance proceeds may not be adequate to cover all liabilities or expenses incurred or revenues lost.

Fluctuations in energy commodity prices could adversely affect the pipeline businesses.

If natural gas prices in the supply basins connected to the pipeline system of Panhandle are higher than prices in other natural gas producing regions able to serve Southern Union s customers, the volume of natural gas

transported by Southern Union may be negatively impacted. Natural gas prices can also affect customer demand for the various services provided by Southern Union.

The pipeline businesses are dependent on a small number of customers for a significant percentage of their sales.

Panhandle s top two customers accounted for 43% of its 2011 revenue. The loss of any one or more of these customers could have a material adverse effect on Southern Union s business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Risks Relating to Southern Union s Gathering and Processing Business

Southern Union s gathering and processing business is unregulated.

Unlike Southern Union s returns on its regulated transportation and distribution businesses, the natural gas gathering and processing operations conducted at SUGS are not regulated for cost-based ratemaking purposes and may potentially have a higher level of risk in recovering incurred costs than Southern Union s regulated operations.

Although SUGS operates in an unregulated market, the business is subject to certain regulatory risks, most notably environmental and safety regulations. Moreover, Southern Union cannot predict when additional legislation or regulation might affect the gathering and processing industry, nor the impact of any such changes on Southern Union s business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Southern Union s gathering and processing business is subject to competition.

The gathering and processing industry is expected to remain highly competitive. Most customers of SUGS have access to more than one gathering and/or processing system. Southern Union s ability to compete depends on a number of factors, including the infrastructure and contracting strategies of competitors in Southern Union s gathering region and the efficiency, quality and reliability of Southern Union s plant and gathering system.

In addition to SUGS current competitive position in the gathering and processing industry, its business is subject to pricing risks associated with changes in the supply of, and the demand for, natural gas and NGL. Since the demand for natural gas or NGL is influenced by commodity prices (including prices for alternative energy sources), customer usage rates, weather, economic conditions, service costs and other factors beyond the control of Southern Union, volumes processed and/or NGL extracted during processing may, after analysis, be reduced from time to time based on existing market conditions.

Southern Union s profit margin in the gathering and processing business is highly dependent on energy commodity prices.

SUGS gross margin is largely derived from (i) percentage of proceeds arrangements based on the volume and quality of natural gas gathered and/or NGL recovered through its facilities and (ii) specified fee arrangements for a range of services. Under percent-of-proceeds arrangements, SUGS generally gathers and processes natural gas from producers for an agreed percentage of the proceeds from the sales of the resulting residue natural gas and NGL. The percent-of-proceeds arrangements, in particular, expose SUGS revenues and cash flows to risks associated with the fluctuation of the price of natural gas, NGL and crude oil and their relationships to each other.

The markets and prices for natural gas and NGL depend upon many factors beyond Southern Union s control. These factors include demand for these commodities, which fluctuates with changes in market and economic conditions, and other factors, including:

the impact of seasonality and weather;

general economic conditions;

the level of domestic crude oil and natural gas production and consumption;

the level of worldwide crude oil and NGL production and consumption;

the availability and level of natural gas and NGL storage;

the availability of imported natural gas, LNG, NGL and crude oil;

actions taken by foreign oil and natural gas producing nations;

the availability of local, intrastate and interstate transportation systems;

the availability of NGL transportation and fractionation capacity;

the availability and marketing of competitive fuels;

the impact of energy conservation efforts;

the extent of governmental regulation and taxation; and

the availability and effective liquidity of natural gas and NGL derivative counterparties.

To manage its commodity price risk related to natural gas and NGL, Southern Union uses a combination of puts, fixed-rate (i.e., receive fixed price) or floating-rate (i.e. receive variable price) index and basis swaps, NGL gross processing spread puts and fixed-rate swaps and exchange-traded futures and options. These derivative financial instruments allow Southern Union to preserve value and protect margins because changes in the value of the derivative financial instruments are highly effective in offsetting changes in physical market commodity prices and reducing basis risk. Basis risk exists primarily due to price differentials between cash market delivery locations and futures contract delivery locations. However, Southern Union does not fully hedge against commodity price changes, and therefore retains some exposure to market risk. Accordingly, any adverse changes to commodity prices could result in decreased revenue and increased cost.

A reduction in demand for NGL products by the petrochemical, refining or heating industries could materially adversely affect Southern Union s gathering and processing business.

The NGL products Southern Union produces have a variety of applications, including for use as heating fuels, petrochemical feed stocks and refining blend stocks. A reduction in demand for NGL products, whether because of general economic conditions, new government regulations, reduced demand by consumers for products made with NGL products, increased competition from petroleum-based products due to pricing differences, mild winter weather, severe weather such as hurricanes causing damage to Gulf Coast petrochemical facilities or other reasons, could result in a decline in the value of the NGL products Southern Union sells and/or reduce the volume of NGL products Southern Union produces.

Operational risks are involved in operating a gathering and processing business.

Numerous operational risks are associated with the operation of a natural gas gathering and processing business. These include adverse weather conditions, accidents, the breakdown or failure of equipment or processes, the performance of processing and fractionation facilities below

expected levels of capacity or efficiency, the collision of equipment with facilities and catastrophic events such as explosions, fires, earthquakes, floods, landslides, tornadoes, lightning or other similar events beyond Southern Union s control. A casualty occurrence might result in injury or loss of life, extensive property damage or environmental damage. Insurance proceeds may be inadequate to cover all liabilities or expenses incurred or revenues lost.

Southern Union does not obtain independent evaluations of natural gas reserves dedicated to its gathering and processing business, potentially resulting in future volumes of natural gas available to Southern Union being less than anticipated.

Southern Union does not obtain independent evaluations of natural gas reserves connected to its gathering systems due to the unwillingness of producers to provide reserve information, as well as the cost of such evaluations. Accordingly, Southern Union does not have independent estimates of total reserves dedicated to its gathering systems or the anticipated life of such reserves. If the total reserves or estimated lives of the reserves connected to Southern Union s gathering systems are less than anticipated and Southern Union is unable to secure additional sources of natural gas, then the volumes of natural gas in the future and associated gross margin could be less than anticipated. A decline in the volumes of natural gas and associated NGL in Southern Union s gathering and processing business could have a material adverse effect on its business.

Southern Union depends on two natural gas producers for a significant portion of its supply of natural gas. The loss of these producers or the replacement of its contracts on less favorable terms could result in a decline in Southern Union s volumes and/or gross margin.

SUGS two largest natural gas suppliers for the year ended December 31, 2011 accounted for approximately 29% of Southern Union s wellhead throughput under multiple contracts. The loss of all or even a portion of the natural gas volumes supplied by these producers or the extension or replacement of these contracts on less favorable terms, if at all, as a result of competition or otherwise, could reduce Southern Union s gross margin. Although these producers represent a large volume of natural gas, the gross margin per unit of volume is significantly lower than the average gross margin per unit of volume on Southern Union s gathering and processing system due to the lack of need for services required to make the natural gas merchantable (e.g. high pressure, low NGL content, essentially transmission pipeline quality natural gas).

Southern Union depends on one NGL customer for a significant portion of its sales of NGLs. The loss of this customer or the replacement of its contract on less favorable terms could result in a decline in Southern Union s gross margin.

Through December 31, 2014, SUGS has contracted to sell its entire owned or controlled output of NGL to Conoco Phillips Company, or Conoco. Pricing for the NGL volumes sold to Conoco throughout the contract period are based on OPIS pricing at Mont Belvieu, Texas delivery points. For the year ended December 31, 2011, Conoco accounted for approximately 27% and 62% of Southern Union s and SUGS operating revenues, respectively.

Risks Relating to Southern Union s Distribution Business

The distribution business is highly regulated and Southern Union s revenues, operating results and financial condition may fluctuate with the distribution business ability to achieve timely and effective rate relief from state regulators.

Southern Union s distribution business is subject to regulation by the Missouri Public Service Commission and the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. These authorities regulate many aspects of Southern Union s distribution operations, including construction and maintenance of facilities, operations, safety, the rates that can be charged to customers and the maximum rate of return that Southern Union is allowed to realize. The ability to obtain rate increases depends upon regulatory discretion.

The distribution business is influenced by fluctuations in costs, including operating costs such as insurance, postretirement and other benefit costs, wages, changes in the provision for the allowance for doubtful accounts associated with volatile natural gas costs and other operating costs. The profitability of regulated operations depends on the business ability to recover costs related to providing services to its customers. To the extent that such operating costs increase in an amount greater than that for which rate recovery is allowed, this differential could impact operating results until the business files for and is allowed an increase in rates. The lag

between an increase in costs and the rate relief obtained from the regulators can have a direct negative impact on operating results. As with any request for an increase in rates in a regulatory filing, once granted, the rate increase may not be adequate. In addition, regulators may prevent the business from passing along some costs in the form of higher rates.

The distribution business operating results and liquidity needs are seasonal in nature and may fluctuate based on weather conditions and natural gas prices.

The natural gas distribution business is a seasonal business with a significant percentage of annual operating revenues and earnings before interest and taxes occurring in the traditional winter heating season in the first and fourth calendar quarters. The business is also subject to seasonal and other variations in working capital due to changes in natural gas prices and the fact that customers pay for the natural gas delivered to them after they use it, whereas the business is required to pay for the natural gas before delivery. As a result, fluctuations in natural gas prices may have a significant effect on results of operations and cash flows.

Operational risks are involved in operating a distribution business.

Numerous risks are associated with the operations of a natural gas distribution business. These include adverse weather conditions, accidents, the breakdown or failure of equipment or processes, the performance of suppliers processing facilities below expected levels of capacity or efficiency, the collision of equipment with facilities and catastrophic events such as explosions, fires, earthquakes, floods, landslides, tornadoes, lightning or other similar events beyond Southern Union s control. A casualty occurrence might result in injury or loss of life, extensive property damage or environmental damage. Insurance proceeds may be inadequate to cover all liabilities or expenses incurred or revenues lost.

The distribution business has recorded certain assets that may not be recoverable from its customers.

The distribution business records certain assets on Southern Union s balance sheet resulting from the regulatory process that could not be recorded under generally accepted accounting principles for nonregulated entities. When establishing regulatory assets, the distribution business considers factors such as rate orders from its regulators, previous rate orders for substantially similar costs, written approval from the regulators and analysis of recoverability from legal counsel to determine the probability of future recovery of these assets. Southern Union would be required to write-off any regulatory assets for which future recovery is determined not to be probable.

USE OF PROCEEDS

We will receive net proceeds of approximately \$583.1 million from the sale of the 13,500,000 common units we are offering, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses.

We will use the net proceeds of this offering and any net proceeds from the underwriters exercise of their option to purchase additional common units to repay amounts outstanding under our amended and restated revolving credit facility, to fund capital expenditures related to pipeline construction projects and for general partnership purposes.

As of June 22, 2012, an aggregate of approximately \$480.0 million of borrowings were outstanding under our amended and restated revolving credit facility, and there were \$30.3 million of letters of credit outstanding. The weighted average interest rate on the total amount outstanding at June 22, 2012 was 1.75%. Our amended and restated revolving credit facility matures on October 27, 2016. We use revolving credit loans to fund growth capital expenditures and working capital requirements.

The underwriters may, from time to time, engage in transactions with and perform services for us and our affiliates in the ordinary course of business. In particular, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC acted as our financial advisor in connection with our pending merger with Sunoco. Additionally, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated is a joint lead arranger and book runner for our amended and restated revolving credit facility. Affiliates of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Barclays Capital Inc., UBS Securities LLC, Goldman, Sachs & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC and RBC Capital Markets, LLC are lenders under our amended and restated revolving credit facility and, accordingly, will receive a substantial portion of the proceeds from this offering. Please read Underwriting Relationships with Underwriters.

PRICE RANGE OF COMMON UNITS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

Our common units are listed on the NYSE under the symbol ETP. The last reported sale price of the common units on the NYSE on June 27, 2012 was \$45.98. As of June 20, 2012, we had issued and outstanding 229,859,035 common units, which were beneficially held by approximately 340,000 unitholders. The following table sets forth the range of high and low sales prices of the common units, on the NYSE, as well as the amount of cash distributions paid per common unit for the periods indicated.

| | Price Ranges | | Cash |
|--|--------------|----------|---------------|
| | | | Distributions |
| | Low | High | per Unit (1) |
| Fiscal Year 2012 | | - | - |
| Second Quarter Ended June 30, 2012 (through June 27, 2012) | \$41.15 | \$ 51.00 | N/A (2) |
| First Quarter Ended March 31, 2012 | \$ 45.75 | \$ 50.12 | \$ 0.89375 |
| Fiscal Year 2011 | | | |
| Fourth Quarter Ending December 31, 2011 | \$ 38.08 | \$ 47.69 | \$ 0.89375 |
| Third Quarter Ended September 30, 2011 | \$ 40.25 | \$ 49.50 | \$ 0.89375 |
| Second Quarter Ended June 30, 2011 | \$ 44.75 | \$ 55.20 | \$ 0.89375 |
| First Quarter Ended March 31, 2011 | \$ 50.31 | \$ 55.50 | \$ 0.89375 |
| Fiscal Year 2010 | | | |
| Fourth Quarter Ended December 31, 2010 | \$48.01 | \$ 52.00 | \$ 0.89375 |
| Third Quarter Ended September 30, 2010 | \$ 44.97 | \$ 51.95 | \$ 0.89375 |
| Second Quarter Ended June 30, 2010 | \$ 40.06 | \$ 49.99 | \$ 0.89375 |
| First Quarter Ended March 31, 2010 | \$ 42.69 | \$ 47.76 | \$ 0.89375 |

(1) Distributions are shown in the quarter with respect to which they relate. For each of the indicated quarters for which distributions have been made, an identical per unit cash distribution was paid on any units subordinated to our common units outstanding at such time.

(2) Cash distributions in respect of the second quarter of 2012 have not been declared or paid.

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our consolidated cash and capitalization as of March 31, 2012 on:

an actual basis;

a pro forma basis to give effect to the consummation of the Sunoco merger, Sunoco Logistics restructuring and Holdco restructuring; and

a pro forma as adjusted basis to give effect to the public offering of 13,500,000 common units at an offering price of \$44.57 per common unit and the application of the net proceeds therefrom as set forth under Use of Proceeds.

The actual information in the table is derived from and should be read in conjunction with our historical financial statements, including the accompanying notes, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, and our unaudited pro forma financial statements related to the Sunoco merger, Sunoco Logistics restructuring and Holdco restructuring, including the accompanying notes, included in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 25, 2012, which are incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus.

| | | March 31, 2012 | Pro Forma |
|--|---------------|---|---------------|
| | Actual | Pro Forma (In thousands) | As Adjusted |
| Cash and cash equivalents(1) | \$ 156,535 | \$ 170,000 | \$ 563,105 |
| Debt, including current maturities: | | | |
| Senior notes | \$ 8,659,104 | \$ 8,659,104 | \$ 8,659,104 |
| Other debt(2) | 616 | 6,332,833 | 6,332,833 |
| Revolving credit facility(3) | 190,000 | 852,000 | 662,000 |
| Total debt | 8,849,720 | 15,843,937 | 15,653,937 |
| Partners capital: | | | |
| Common unitholders | 6,529,759 | 8,992,000 | 9,575,105 |
| General partner | 181,649 | 181,649 | 181,649 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 23,361 | 23,361 | 23,361 |
| | | | |
| Total partners capital | 6,734,769 | 9,197,010 | 9,780,115 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 712,964 | 7,033,000 | 7,033,000 |
| | | | |
| Total equity | 7,447,733 | 16,230,010 | 16,813,115 |
| 1 | , , | , | , -, -, |
| Total capitalization | \$ 16,297,453 | \$ 32,073,947 | \$ 32,467,052 |

(1) As of June 22, 2012, we had total cash and cash equivalents of \$156.0 million. We will use Sunoco s cash on hand, which as of March 31, 2012 was approximately \$1.985 billion, to fund a portion of the cash consideration of the Sunoco merger, with the remainder expected to be funded by borrowings under our amended and restated revolving credit facility.

- (2) Includes approximately \$2.8 billion of debt to be assumed in the Sunoco merger and approximately \$3.5 billion of debt assumed in the Holdco restructuring.
- (3) As of June 22, 2012, an aggregate of approximately \$480.0 million of borrowings were outstanding and \$30.3 million of letters of credit were issued under our amended and restated revolving credit facility.

DESCRIPTION OF UNITS

As of June 20, 2012, there were approximately 340,000 individual common unitholders, which includes common units held in street name. Our common units represent limited partner interests in us that entitle the holders to the rights and privileges specified in our Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership.

Common Units, Class E Units, Class F Units and General Partner Interest

As of June 20, 2012, we had 229,859,035 common units outstanding, of which 177,382,976 were held by the public, including approximately 586,000 common units held by our officers and directors, and 52,476,059 common units held by ETE. Our common units are listed for trading on the NYSE under the symbol ETP. The common units are entitled to distributions of available cash as described below under Cash Distribution Policy.

There are currently 8,853,832 Class E units outstanding, all of which were issued in conjunction with our purchase of the capital stock of Heritage Holdings Inc., or Heritage Holdings, in January 2004, and are owned by Heritage Holdings. The Class E units generally do not have any voting rights. These Class E units are entitled to aggregate cash distributions equal to 11.1% of the total amount of cash distributed to all unitholders, including the Class E unitholders, up to \$1.41 per unit per year. Although no plans are currently in place, management may evaluate whether to retire some or all of the Class E units at a future date.

In conjunction with the Sunoco merger, we will amend our partnership agreement to create the Class F units. The number of Class F units to be issued will be determined at the closing of the merger and will equal 50,706,00 Class F units, plus an amount equal to the amount of cash contributed by Sunoco to us immediately prior to or concurrent with the closing of the Sunoco merger divided by \$50.00. The Class F units generally will not have any voting rights. The Class F units to be issued to Sunoco in connection with the Sunoco merger will be entitled to aggregate cash distributions equal to 35% of the total amount of cash that is generated by us and our subsidiaries (other than Holdco) and available for distribution, up to a maximum of \$3.75 per Class F unit per year.

As of June 20, 2012, our general partner owned an approximate 1.5% general partner interest in us and the holders of common units and Class E units collectively owned an approximate 98.5% limited partner interest in us.

Issuance of Additional Securities

Our partnership agreement authorizes us to issue an unlimited number of additional partnership securities and rights to buy partnership securities for the consideration and on the terms and conditions established by our general partner in its sole discretion, without the approval of the unitholders. Any such additional partnership securities may be senior to the common units.

It is possible that we will fund acquisitions through the issuance of additional common units or other equity securities. Holders of any additional common units we issue will be entitled to share equally with the then-existing holders of common units in our distributions of available cash. In addition, the issuance of additional partnership interests may dilute the value of the interests of the then-existing holders of common units in our net assets.

In accordance with Delaware law and the provisions of our partnership agreement, we may also issue additional partnership securities that, in the sole discretion of the general partner, have special voting rights to which the common units are not entitled.

Upon issuance of additional partnership securities, our general partner has the right to make additional capital contributions to the extent necessary to maintain its then-existing general partner interest in us. In the event that our general partner does not make its proportionate share of capital contributions to us based on its then-current general partner interest percentage, its general partner percentage will be proportionately reduced in

the manner specified in our partnership agreement. Moreover, our general partner will have the right, which it may from time to time assign in whole or in part to any of its affiliates, to purchase common units or other equity securities whenever, and on the same terms that, we issue those securities to persons other than the general partner and its affiliates, to the extent necessary to maintain its percentage interest, including its interest represented by common units, that existed immediately prior to each issuance. The holders of common units will not have preemptive rights to acquire additional common units or other partnership securities.

Unitholder Approval

The following matters require the approval of the majority of the outstanding common units, including the common units owned by the general partner and its affiliates:

a merger of our partnership;

a sale or exchange of all or substantially all of our assets;

dissolution or reconstitution of our partnership upon dissolution;

certain amendments to the partnership agreement; and

the transfer to another person of the incentive distribution rights at any time, except for transfers to affiliates of the general partner or transfers in connection with the general partner s merger or consolidation with or into, or sale of all or substantially all of its assets to, another person.

The removal of our general partner requires the approval of not less than 66 2/3% of all outstanding units, including units held by our general partner and its affiliates. Any removal is subject to the election of a successor general partner by the holders of a majority of the outstanding common units, including units held by our general partner and its affiliates.

Amendments to Our Partnership Agreement

Amendments to our partnership agreement may be proposed only by our general partner. Certain amendments require the approval of a majority of the outstanding common units, including common units owned by the general partner and its affiliates. Any amendment that materially and adversely affects the rights or preferences of any class of partnership interests in relation to other classes of partnership interests will require the approval of at least a majority of the class of partnership interests so affected. Our general partner may make amendments to the partnership agreement without unitholder approval to reflect:

a change in our name, the location of our principal place of business or our registered agent or office;

the admission, substitution, withdrawal or removal of partners;

a change to qualify or continue our qualification as a limited partnership or a partnership in which the limited partners have limited liability or to ensure that neither we nor our operating partnership will be treated as an association taxable as a corporation or otherwise taxed as an entity for federal income tax purposes;

a change that does not adversely affect our unitholders in any material respect;

a change (i) that is necessary or advisable to (A) satisfy any requirements, conditions or guidelines contained in any opinion, directive, order, ruling or regulation of any federal or state agency or judicial authority or contained in any federal or state statute, or (B) facilitate the trading of common units or comply with any rule, regulation, guideline or requirement of any national securities exchange on which the common units are or will be listed for trading, (ii) that is necessary or

advisable in connection with action taken by our general partner with respect to subdivision and combination of our securities or (iii) that is required to effect the intent expressed in our partnership agreement;

a change in our fiscal year or taxable year and any changes that are necessary or advisable as a result of a change in our fiscal year or taxable year;

an amendment that is necessary to prevent us, or our general partner or its directors, officers, trustees or agents from being subjected to the provisions of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, the Investment Advisors Act of 1940, as amended, or plan asset regulations adopted under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended;

an amendment that is necessary or advisable in connection with the authorization or issuance of any class or series of our securities;

any amendment expressly permitted in our partnership agreement to be made by our general partner acting alone;

an amendment effected, necessitated or contemplated by a merger agreement approved in accordance with our partnership agreement;

an amendment that is necessary or advisable to reflect, account for and deal with appropriately our formation of, or investment in, any corporation, partnership, joint venture, limited liability company or other entity other than our operating partnership, in connection with our conduct of activities permitted by our partnership agreement;

a merger or conveyance to effect a change in our legal form; or

any other amendment substantially similar to the foregoing. Withdrawal or Removal of Our General Partner

Our general partner may withdraw as general partner without first obtaining approval of any unitholder by giving 90 days written notice, and that withdrawal will not constitute a violation of our partnership agreement. In addition, our general partner may withdraw without unitholder approval upon 90 days notice to our limited partners if at least 50% of our outstanding common units are held or controlled by one person and its affiliates other than our general partner and its affiliates.

Upon the voluntary withdrawal of our general partner, the holders of a majority of our outstanding common units, excluding the common units held by the withdrawing general partner and its affiliates, may elect a successor to the withdrawing general partner. If a successor is not elected, or is elected but an opinion of counsel regarding limited liability and tax matters cannot be obtained, we will be dissolved, wound up and liquidated, unless within 90 days after that withdrawal, the holders of a majority of our outstanding units, excluding the common units held by the withdrawing general partner and its affiliates, agree to continue our business and to appoint a successor general partner.

Our general partner may not be removed unless that removal is approved by the vote of the holders of not less than 66 2/3% of our outstanding units, including units held by our general partner and its affiliates, and we receive an opinion of counsel regarding limited liability and tax matters. In addition, if our general partner is removed as our general partner under circumstances where cause does not exist, our general partner will have the right to receive cash in exchange for its partnership interest as a general partner in us, its partnership interest as the general partner of any member of the Energy Transfer partnership group and its incentive distribution rights. Cause is narrowly defined to mean that a court of competent jurisdiction has entered a final, non-appealable judgment finding the general partner liable for actual fraud, gross negligence or willful or wanton misconduct in

its capacity as our general partner. Any removal of this kind is also subject to the approval of a successor general partner by the vote of the holders of the majority of our outstanding common units, including those held by our general partner and its affiliates.

While our partnership agreement limits the ability of our general partner to withdraw, it allows the general partner interest to be transferred if, among other things, the transferee assumes the rights and duties of our general partner, furnishes an opinion of counsel regarding limited liability and tax matters and agrees to purchase all (or the appropriate portion thereof, if applicable) of our general partner s general partner interest in us and any of our subsidiaries. In addition, our partnership agreement expressly permits the sale, in whole or in part, of the ownership of our general partner. Our general partner may also transfer, in whole or in part, any common units it owns.

Liquidation and Distribution of Proceeds

Upon our dissolution, unless we are reconstituted and continue as a new limited partnership, the person authorized to wind up our affairs (the liquidator) will, acting with all the powers of our general partner that the liquidator deems necessary or desirable in its good faith judgment, liquidate our assets. The proceeds of the liquidation will be applied as follows:

first, towards the payment of all of our creditors and the creation of a reserve for contingent liabilities; and

then, to all partners in accordance with the positive balance in their respective capital accounts. Under some circumstances and subject to some limitations, the liquidator may defer liquidation or distribution of our assets for a reasonable period of time. If the liquidator determines that a sale would be impractical or would cause a loss to our partners, our general partner may distribute assets in kind to our partners.

Limited Call Right

If at any time less than 20% of the total limited partner interests of any class are held by persons other than our general partner and its affiliates, our general partner will have the right to acquire all, but not less than all, of those common units at a price no less than their then-current market price. As a consequence, a unitholder may be required to sell his common units at an undesirable time or price. Our general partner may assign this purchase right to any of its affiliates or us.

Indemnification

Under our partnership agreement, in most circumstances, we will indemnify our general partner, its affiliates and their officers and directors to the fullest extent permitted by law, from and against all losses, claims or damages any of them may suffer by reason of their status as general partner, officer or director, as long as the person seeking indemnity acted in good faith and in a manner believed to be in or not opposed to our best interest and, with respect to any criminal proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe the conduct was unlawful. Any indemnification under these provisions will only be out of our assets. Our general partner shall not be personally liable for, or have any obligation to contribute or loan funds or assets to us to effectuate any indemnification. We are authorized to purchase insurance against liabilities asserted against and expenses incurred by persons for our activities, regardless of whether we would have the power to indemnify the person against liabilities under our partnership agreement.

Listing

Our outstanding common units are listed on the NYSE under the symbol ETP. Any additional common units we issue also will be listed on the NYSE.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent and registrar for the common units is American Stock Transfer & Trust Company.

Transfer of Common Units

Each purchaser of common units offered by this prospectus must execute a transfer application. By executing and delivering a transfer application, the purchaser of common units:

becomes the record holder of the common units and is an assignee until admitted into our partnership as a substituted limited partner;

automatically requests admission as a substituted limited partner in our partnership;

agrees to be bound by the terms and conditions of, and executes, our partnership agreement;

represents that such person has the capacity, power and authority to enter into the partnership agreement;

grants to our general partner the power of attorney to execute and file documents required for our existence and qualification as a limited partnership, the amendment of the partnership agreement, our dissolution and liquidation, the admission, withdrawal, removal or substitution of partners, the issuance of additional partnership securities and any merger or consolidation of the partnership; and

makes the consents and waivers contained in the partnership agreement, including the waiver of the fiduciary duties of the general partner to unitholders as described in Risk Factors Risks Related to Conflicts of Interests Our Partnership Agreement limits our General Partner s fiduciary duties to our Unitholders and restricts the remedies available to Unitholders for actions taken by our General Partner that might otherwise constitute breaches of fiduciary duty included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

An assignee will become a substituted limited partner of our partnership for the transferred common units upon the consent of our general partner and the recording of the name of the assignee on our books and records. Although the general partner has no current intention of doing so, it may withhold its consent in its sole discretion. An assignee who is not admitted as a limited partner will remain an assignee. An assignee is entitled to an interest equivalent to that of a limited partner for the right to share in allocations and distributions from us, including liquidating distributions. Furthermore, our general partner will vote and exercise other powers attributable to common units owned by an assignee at the written direction of the assignee.

Transfer applications may be completed, executed and delivered by a purchaser s broker, agent or nominee. We are entitled to treat the nominee holder of a common unit as the absolute owner. In that case, the beneficial holders rights are limited solely to those that it has against the nominee holder as a result of any agreement between the beneficial owner and the nominee holder.

Common units are securities and are transferable according to the laws governing transfer of securities. In addition to other rights acquired, the purchaser has the right to request admission as a substituted limited partner in our partnership for the purchased common units. A purchaser of common units who does not execute and deliver a transfer application obtains only:

the right to assign the common unit to a purchaser or transferee; and

the right to transfer the right to seek admission as a substituted limited partner in our partnership for the purchased common units.

Thus, a purchaser of common units who does not execute and deliver a transfer application:

will not receive cash distributions or federal income tax allocations, unless the common units are held in a nominee or street name account and the nominee or broker has executed and delivered a transfer application; and

may not receive some federal income tax information or reports furnished to record holders of common units. Until a common unit has been transferred on our books, we and the transfer agent, notwithstanding any notice to the contrary, may treat the record holder of the common unit as the absolute owner for all purposes, except as otherwise required by law or NYSE regulations.

Status as Limited Partner or Assignee

Except as described under Limited Liability, the common units will be fully paid, and the unitholders will not be required to make additional capital contributions to us.

Limited Liability

Assuming that a limited partner does not participate in the control of our business within the meaning of the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act, or the Delaware Act, and that he otherwise acts in conformity with the provisions of our partnership agreement, his liability under the Delaware Act will be limited, subject to possible exceptions, to the amount of capital he is obligated to contribute to us for his common units plus his share of any undistributed profits and assets. If it were determined, however, that the right or exercise of the right by the limited partners as a group to remove or replace the general partner, to approve some amendments to our partnership agreement, or to take other action under our partnership agreement, constituted participation in the control of our business for the purposes of the Delaware Act, then the limited partners could be held personally liable for our obligations under Delaware law, to the same extent as the general partner. This liability would extend to persons who transact business with us and who reasonably believe that the limited partner is a general partner. Neither our partnership agreement nor the Delaware Act specifically provides for legal recourse against our general partner if a limited partner were to lose limited liability through any fault of the general partner. While this does not mean that a limited partner could not seek legal recourse, we have found no precedent for this type of a claim in Delaware case law.

Under the Delaware Act, a limited partnership may not make a distribution to a partner if after the distribution all liabilities of the limited partnership, other than liabilities to partners on account of their partnership interests and liabilities for which the recourse of creditors is limited to specific property of our partnership, exceed the fair value of the assets of the limited partnership. For the purpose of determining the fair value of the assets of a limited partnership, the Delaware Act provides that the fair value of property subject to liability for which recourse of creditors is limited shall be included in the assets of the limited partnership only to the extent that the fair value of that property exceeds the nonrecourse liability. The Delaware Act provides that a limited partner who receives a distribution and knew at the time of the distribution that the distribution of the Delaware Act shall be liable to the limited partnership for the amount of the distribution for three years. Under the Delaware Act, an assignee who becomes a substituted limited partner of a limited partnership is liable for the obligations of his assignor to make contributions to our partnership, except the assignee is not obligated for liabilities unknown to him at the time he became a limited partner and which could not be ascertained from our partnership agreement.

Our subsidiaries currently conduct business in more than 40 states. To maintain the limited liability of our limited partners, we may be required to comply with legal requirements in the jurisdictions in which our subsidiaries conduct business, including qualifying our subsidiaries to do business there. Limitations on the liability of limited partners for the obligations of a limited partnership have not been clearly established in many jurisdictions. If it were determined that any of our subsidiaries were conducting business in any state

without compliance with the applicable limited partnership statute, or that our rights with respect to any such subsidiary constituted participation in the control of any such subsidiary s business for purposes of the statutes of any relevant jurisdiction, then we could be held personally liable for such subsidiary s obligations under the law of that jurisdiction.

Meetings; Voting

Except as described below regarding a person or group owning 20% or more of any class of units then outstanding, unitholders or assignees who are record holders of units on the record date will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, meetings of our limited partners and to act upon matters for which approvals may be solicited. Common units that are owned by an assignee who is a record holder, but who has not yet been admitted as a limited partner, shall be voted by our general partner at the written direction of the record holder. Absent direction of this kind, the common units will not be voted, except that, in the case of common units held by our general partner on behalf of non-citizen assignees, our general partner shall distribute the votes on those common units in the same ratios as the votes of limited partners on other units are cast.

Our general partner does not anticipate that any meeting of unitholders will be called in the foreseeable future. If authorized by our general partner, any action that is required or permitted to be taken by the unitholders may be taken either at a meeting of the unitholders or without a meeting if consents in writing describing the action so taken are signed by holders of the number of units as would be necessary to authorize or take that action at a meeting. Meetings of the unitholders may be called by our general partner or by unitholders owning at least 20% of the outstanding units of the class for which a meeting is proposed. Unitholders may vote either in person or by proxy at meetings. The holders of a majority of the outstanding units of the class or classes for which a meeting has been called represented in person or by proxy shall constitute a quorum unless any action by the unitholders requires approval by holders of a greater percentage of the units, in which case the quorum shall be the greater percentage.

Each record holder of a unit has a vote according to his percentage interest in us, although additional limited partner interests having special voting rights could be issued. However, if at any time any person or group, other than our general partner and its affiliates, owns, in the aggregate, beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the common units then outstanding, the person or group will lose voting rights on all of its common units and its common units may not be voted on any matter and will not be considered to be outstanding when sending notices of a meeting of unitholders, calculating required votes, determining the presence of a quorum or for other similar purposes. Common units held in nominee or street name account will be voted by the broker or other nominee in accordance with the instruction of the beneficial owner unless the arrangement between the beneficial owner and his nominee provides otherwise.

Any notice, demand, request, report or proxy material required or permitted to be given or made to record holders of common units under our partnership agreement will be delivered to the record holder by us or by the transfer agent.

Books and Reports

Our general partner is required to keep appropriate books of our business at our principal offices. The books will be maintained for both tax and financial reporting purposes on an accrual basis. Reporting for tax purposes is done on a calendar year basis.

We will furnish or make available to record holders of common units, within 120 days after the close of each fiscal year, an annual report containing audited financial statements and a report on those financial statements by our independent public accountants. Except for our fourth quarter, we will also furnish or make available summary financial information within 90 days after the close of each quarter.

We will furnish each record holder of a unit with information reasonably required for tax reporting purposes within 90 days after the close of each calendar year. This information is expected to be furnished in summary form so that some complex calculations normally required of partners can be avoided. Our ability to

furnish this summary information to unitholders will depend on the cooperation of unitholders in supplying us with specific information. Every unitholder will receive information to assist him in determining his federal and state tax liability and filing his federal and state income tax returns, regardless of whether he supplies us with information.

Our partnership agreement provides that a limited partner can, for a purpose reasonably related to his interest as a limited partner, upon reasonable demand and at his own expense, have furnished to him:

a current list of the name and last known address of each partner;

a copy of our tax returns;

information as to the amount of cash, and a description and statement of the agreed value of any other property or services, contributed or to be contributed by each partner and the date on which each became a partner;

copies of our partnership agreement, the certificate of limited partnership of the partnership, related amendments and powers of attorney under which they have been executed;

information regarding the status of our business and financial condition; and

any other information regarding our affairs as is just and reasonable. Our general partner may, and intends to, keep confidential from the limited partners trade secrets or other information the disclosure of which our general partner believes in good faith is not in our best interests or that we are required by law or by agreements with third parties to keep confidential.

CASH DISTRIBUTION POLICY

Following is a description of the relative rights and preferences of holders of our common units in and to cash distributions. Upon the issuance of any additional common units, the general partner may make, but is not obligated to make, capital contributions to maintain its then current general partner interest. In the event the general partner elects not to make such capital contribution, its general partner interest will be diluted accordingly. As of June 20, 2012, our general partner owned an approximate 1.5% general partner interest in us.

Distributions of Available Cash

General. We will distribute all of our available cash to our unitholders and our general partner within 45 days following the end of each fiscal quarter.

Definition of Available Cash. Available cash is defined in our partnership agreement and generally means, with respect to any calendar quarter, all cash on hand at the end of such quarter:

less the amount of cash reserves that are necessary or appropriate in the reasonable discretion of the general partner to:

provide for the proper conduct of our business;

comply with applicable law or any debt instrument or other agreement (including reserves for future capital expenditures and for our future credit needs); or

provide funds for distributions to unitholders and our general partner in respect of any one or more of the next four quarters;

plus all cash on hand on the date of determination of available cash for the quarter resulting from working capital borrowings made after the end of the quarter. Working capital borrowings are generally borrowings that are made under our credit facilities and in all cases are used solely for working capital purposes or to pay distributions to partners.

Operating Surplus and Capital Surplus

General. All cash distributed to unitholders will be characterized as either operating surplus or capital surplus. We distribute available cash from operating surplus differently than available cash from capital surplus.

Definition of Operating Surplus. Operating surplus for any period generally means:

our cash balance on the closing date of our initial public offering; plus

\$10.0 million (as described below); plus

all of our cash receipts since the closing of our initial public offering, excluding cash from interim capital transactions such as borrowings that are not working capital borrowings, sales of equity and debt securities and sales or other dispositions of assets outside the ordinary course of business; plus

our working capital borrowings made after the end of a quarter but before the date of determination of operating surplus for the quarter; less

all of our operating expenditures after the closing of our initial public offering, including the repayment of working capital borrowings, but not the repayment of other borrowings, and including maintenance capital expenditures; less

the amount of cash reserves that the general partner deems necessary or advisable to provide funds for future operating expenditures.

Definition of Capital Surplus. Generally, capital surplus will be generated only by:

borrowings other than working capital borrowings;

sales of debt and equity securities; and

sales or other disposition of assets for cash, other than inventory, accounts receivable and other current assets sold in the ordinary course of business or as part of normal retirements or replacements of assets.

Characterization of Cash Distributions. We will treat all available cash distributed as coming from operating surplus until the sum of all available cash distributed since we began operations equals the operating surplus as of the most recent date of determination of available cash. We will treat any amount distributed in excess of operating surplus, regardless of its source, as capital surplus. As reflected above, operating surplus includes \$10.0 million in addition to our cash balance on the closing date of our initial public offering, cash receipts from our operations and cash from working capital borrowings. This amount does not reflect actual cash on hand that is available for distribution to our unitholders. Rather, it is a provision that enables us, if we choose, to distribute as operating surplus up to \$10.0 million of cash we receive in the future from non-operating sources, such as asset sales, issuances of securities, and long-term borrowings, that would otherwise be distributed as capital surplus. We have not made, and we anticipate that we will not make, any distributions from capital surplus.

Incentive Distribution Rights

Incentive distribution rights represent the contractual right to receive an increasing percentage of quarterly distributions of available cash from operating surplus after the minimum quarterly distribution has been paid. Please read Distributions of Available Cash from Operating Surplus below. The general partner owns all of the incentive distribution rights.

Distributions of Available Cash from Operating Surplus

The terms of our partnership agreement require that we make cash distributions with respect to each calendar quarter within 45 days following the end of each calendar quarter. We are required to make distributions of available cash from operating surplus for any quarter in the following manner:

First, 100% to all common unitholders, Class E unitholders, Class F unitholders (when and if issued) and the general partner, in accordance with their percentage interests, until each common unit has received \$0.25 per unit for such quarter (the minimum quarterly distribution);

Second, 100% to all common unitholders, Class E unitholders, Class F unitholders (when and if issued) and the general partner, in accordance with their respective percentage interests, until each common unit has received \$0.275 per unit for such quarter (the first target distribution);

Third, 87% to all common unitholders, Class E unitholders, Class F unitholders (when and if issued) and the general partner, in accordance with their respective percentage interests, and 13% to the holders of incentive distribution rights, pro rata, until each common unit has received \$0.3175 per unit for such quarter (the second target distribution);

Fourth, 77% to all common unitholders, Class E unitholders, Class F unitholders (when and if issued) and the general partner, in accordance with their respective percentage interests, and 23% to the holders of incentive distribution rights, pro rata, until each

common unit has received \$0.4125 per unit for such quarter (the third target distribution); and

Fifth, thereafter, 52% to all common unitholders, Class E unitholders, Class F unitholders (when and if issued) and the general partner, in accordance with their respective percentage interests, and 48% to the holders of incentive distribution rights, pro rata. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the distributions on each Class E unit may not exceed \$1.41 per year and distributions on each Class F unit (when and if issued) may not exceed \$3.75 per year. In addition, the distributions to the holders of the incentive distribution rights will not exceed the amount the holders of the incentive distributions rights would otherwise receive if the available cash for distribution were reduced to the extent it constitutes amounts previously distributed with respect to the Class F units.

Distributions of Available Cash from Capital Surplus

The terms of our partnership agreement require that we make cash distributions with respect to each calendar quarter within 45 days following the end of each calendar quarter. We will make distributions of available cash from capital surplus, if any, in the following manner:

First, 100% to all unitholders and the general partner, in accordance with their respective percentage interests, until we distribute for each common unit an amount of available cash from capital surplus equal to the initial public offering price;

Thereafter, we will make all distributions of available cash from capital surplus as if they were from operating surplus. Our partnership agreement treats a distribution of capital surplus as the repayment of the initial unit price from the initial public offering, which is a return of capital. The initial public offering price per common unit less any distributions of capital surplus per unit is referred to as the unrecovered capital.

If we combine our units into fewer units or subdivide our units into a greater number of units, we will proportionately adjust our minimum quarterly distribution, our target cash distribution levels, and our unrecovered capital.

For example, if a two-for-one split of our common units should occur, our unrecovered capital would be reduced to 50% of our initial level. We will not make any adjustment by reason of our issuance of additional units for cash or property.

On January 14, 2005, our general partner announced a two-for-one split of our common units that was effected on March 15, 2005. As a result, our minimum quarterly distribution and the target cash distribution levels were reduced to 50% of their initial levels. Our adjusted minimum quarterly distribution and the adjusted target cash distribution levels are reflected in the discussion above under the caption Distributions of Available Cash from Operating Surplus.

In addition, if legislation is enacted or if existing law is modified or interpreted in a manner that causes us to become taxable as a corporation or otherwise subject to taxation as an entity for federal, state or local income tax purposes, we will reduce our minimum quarterly distribution and the target cash distribution levels by multiplying the same by one minus the sum of the highest marginal federal corporate income tax rate that could apply and any increase in the effective overall state and local income tax rates.

Distributions of Cash Upon Liquidation

General. If we dissolve in accordance with our partnership agreement, we will sell or otherwise dispose of our assets in a process called liquidation. We will first apply the proceeds of liquidation to the payment of our creditors. We will distribute any remaining proceeds to the unitholders and the general partner, in accordance with their capital account balances, as adjusted to reflect any gain or loss upon the sale or other disposition of our assets in liquidation.

Any further net gain recognized upon liquidation will be allocated in a manner that takes into account the incentive distribution rights of the general partner.

Manner of Adjustments for Gain. The manner of the adjustment for gain is set forth in our partnership agreement in the following manner:

First, to the general partner and the holders of units who have negative balances in their capital accounts to the extent of and in proportion to those negative balances;

Second, 100% to the Class F unitholders until the capital account for each Class F unit is equal to its original issue price;

Third, 100% to the common unitholders and the general partner, in accordance with their respective percentage interests, until the capital account for each common unit is equal to the sum of:

the unrecovered capital; and

the amount of the minimum quarterly distribution for the quarter during which our liquidation occurs;

Fourth, 1% to the Class E unitholders and 1% to the Class F unitholders, with the remainder being allocated 100% to the common unitholders and the general partner, in accordance with their respective percentage interests, until we allocate under this paragraph an amount per unit equal to:

the sum of the excess of the first target distribution per unit over the minimum quarterly distribution per unit for each quarter of our existence; less

the cumulative amount per unit of any distributions of available cash from operating surplus in excess of the minimum quarterly distribution per unit that we distributed 100% to the unitholders and the general partner, in accordance with their percentage interests, for each quarter of our existence;

Fifth, 87% to the common unitholders and the general partner, in accordance with their respective percentage interests, and 13% to the holders of the incentive distribution rights, pro rata, until we allocate under this paragraph an amount per unit equal to:

the sum of the excess of the second target distribution per unit over the first target distribution per unit for each quarter of our existence; less

the cumulative amount per unit of any distributions of available cash from operating surplus in excess of the first target distribution per unit that we distributed 87% to the unitholders and the general partner, in accordance with their percentage interests, and 13% to the holders of the incentive distribution rights, pro rata, for each quarter of our existence;

Sixth, 77% to the common unitholders and the general partner, in accordance with their respective percentage interests, and 23% to the holders of the incentive distribution rights, pro rata, until we allocate under this paragraph an amount per unit equal to:

Edgar Filing: Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. - Form 424B5

the sum of the excess of the third target distribution per unit over the second target distribution per unit for each quarter of our existence; less

the cumulative amount per unit of any distributions of available cash from operating surplus in excess of the second target distribution per unit that we distributed 77% to the unitholders and the general partner, in accordance with their respective percentage interests, and 23% to the holders of the incentive distribution rights, pro rata, for each quarter of our existence; and

Seventh, thereafter, 52% to the common unitholders and the general partner, in accordance with their respective percentage interests, and 48% to the holders of the incentive distribution rights, pro rata.

Manner of Adjustment for Losses. Upon our liquidation, we will generally allocate any loss to the general partner and the unitholders in the following manner:

First, 100% to the common unit holders, the Class E unitholders, the Class F unitholders and the general partner in proportion to the positive balances in the common unitholders capital accounts and the general partner s percentage interest, respectively, until the capital accounts of the common unitholders, the Class E unitholders and the Class F unitholders have been reduced to zero; and

Second, thereafter, 100% to the general partner.

Adjustments to Capital Accounts upon the Issuance of Additional Units. We will make adjustments to capital accounts upon the issuance of additional units. In doing so, we will allocate any unrealized and, for tax purposes, unrecognized gain or loss resulting from the adjustments to the unitholders and the general partner in the same manner as we allocate gain or loss upon liquidation. In the event that we make positive adjustments to the capital accounts upon the issuance of additional units, we will allocate any later negative adjustments to the capital accounts resulting from the issuance of additional units or upon our liquidation in a manner which results, to the extent possible, in the general partner s capital account balances equaling the amount which they would have been if no earlier positive adjustments to the capital accounts had been made.

MATERIAL TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The tax consequences to you of an investment in our common units will depend in part on your own tax circumstances. Although this section updates and adds information related to certain tax considerations, it should be read in conjunction with the risk factors included under the caption Tax Risks to Common Unitholders beginning on page 27 of the accompanying prospectus and the risk factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, and with Material Federal Income Tax Considerations in the accompanying prospectus, which provides a discussion of the principal federal income tax considerations associated with our operations and the purchase, ownership and disposition of our common units. The following discussion is limited as described under the caption Material Federal Income Tax Considerations in the accompanying prospectus.

All prospective unitholders are encouraged to consult with their own tax advisors about the federal, state, local and foreign tax consequences particular to their own circumstances. In particular, ownership of common units by tax-exempt entities, including employee benefit plans and IRAs, and foreign investors raises issues unique to such persons. The relevant rules are complex, and the discussions herein and in the accompanying prospectus do not address tax considerations applicable to tax-exempt entities and foreign investors, except as specifically set forth in the accompanying prospectus. Please read Material Federal Income Tax Considerations Tax-Exempt Organizations and Other Investors in the accompanying prospectus.

Partnership Status

The anticipated after-tax economic benefit of an investment in our common units depends largely on our being treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. If we were treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, we would pay federal income tax on our taxable income at the corporate tax rate, which is currently a maximum of 35%, and would likely pay additional state income tax at varying rates. Distributions to you would generally be taxed again as corporate distributions, and no income, gains, losses or deductions would flow through to you. Because a tax would be imposed upon us as a corporation, our cash available for distribution to you would be substantially reduced. Therefore, treatment of us as a corporation would result in a material reduction in the anticipated cash flow and after-tax return to the unitholders, likely causing a substantial reduction in the value of our common units.

Section 7704 of the Internal Revenue Code provides that publicly traded partnerships will, as a general rule, be taxed as corporations. However, an exception, referred to as the Qualifying Income Exception, exists with respect to publicly traded partnerships of which 90% or more of the gross income for every taxable year consists of qualifying income. Qualifying income includes income and gains derived from the transportation, storage and processing of crude oil, natural gas and products thereof, the retail and wholesale marketing of propane, the transportation of propane and natural gas liquids and certain related hedging activities. Other types of qualifying income include interest (other than from a financial business), dividends, gains from the sale of real property and gains from the sale or other disposition of capital assets held for the production of income that otherwise constitutes qualifying income. We estimate that less than 4% of our current gross income is not qualifying income. However, this estimate could change from time to time. Based upon and subject to this estimate, the factual representations made by us and our general partner and a review of the applicable legal authorities, Latham & Watkins LLP is of the opinion that at least 90% of our current gross income constitutes qualifying income and we are classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. For a more complete discussion of the qualifying income requirement and the importance of our status as a partnership, please read Material Federal Income Tax Considerations Partnership Status in the accompanying prospectus.

Current law may change so as to cause us to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or otherwise subject us to entity-level taxation. For example, members of Congress have recently considered legislation that could affect certain publicly traded partnerships. Several states currently impose entity-level taxes on partnerships, including us. In addition, because of widespread state budget deficits and other reasons, several additional states are evaluating ways to subject partnerships to entity-level taxation through the implementation

of state income, franchise or other forms of taxation. If any additional states were to impose a tax upon us as an entity, our cash available for distribution would be reduced. We are unable to predict whether any of these changes, or other proposals, will ultimately be enacted. Any such changes could negatively impact the value of an investment in our common units.

Administrative Matters

Nominee Reporting. Persons who hold an interest in us as a nominee for another person are required to furnish to us:

- (a) the name, address and taxpayer identification number of the beneficial owner and the nominee;
- (b) whether the beneficial owner is:
 - 1. a person that is not a United States person;
 - 2. a foreign government, an international organization or any wholly owned agency or instrumentality of either of the foregoing; or
 - 3. a tax-exempt entity;
- (c) the amount and description of units held, acquired or transferred for the beneficial owner; and
- (d) specific information including the dates of acquisitions and transfers, means of acquisitions and transfers, and acquisition cost for purchases, as well as the amount of net proceeds from dispositions.

Brokers and financial institutions are required to furnish additional information, including whether they are United States persons and specific information on units they acquire, hold or transfer for their own account. A penalty of \$100 per failure, up to a maximum of \$1,500,000 per calendar year, is imposed by the Internal Revenue Code for failure to report that information to us. The nominee is required to supply the beneficial owner of the units with the information furnished to us.

Additional Withholding Requirements

Withholding taxes may apply to certain types of payments made to foreign financial institutions (as specially defined in the Internal Revenue Code) and certain other non-U.S. entities. Specifically, a 30% withholding tax may be imposed on interest, dividends and other fixed or determinable annual or periodical gains, profits and income from sources within the United States (FDAP Income), or gross proceeds from the sale or other disposition of any property of a type which can produce interest or dividends from sources within the United States paid to a foreign financial institution or to a non-financial foreign entity, unless (i) the foreign financial institution undertakes certain diligence and reporting, (ii) the non-financial foreign entity either certifies it does not have any substantial U.S. owners or furnishes identifying information regarding each substantial U.S. owner or (iii) the foreign financial institution or non-financial foreign entity information and is subject to the diligence and reporting requirements in clause (i) above, it must enter into an agreement with the U.S. Treasury requiring, among other things, that it undertake to identify accounts held by certain U.S. persons or U.S.-owned foreign entities, annually report certain information about such accounts, and withhold 30% on payments to noncompliant foreign financial institutions and certain other account holders.

Although these rules currently apply to applicable payments made after December 31, 2012, the IRS has issued proposed Treasury Regulations providing that the withholding provisions described above will generally apply to payments of FDAP Income made on or after January 1, 2014 and to payments of relevant gross proceeds made on or after January 1, 2015.

The proposed Treasury Regulations described above will not be effective until they are issued in their final form, and as of the date of this prospectus, it is not possible to determine whether the proposed regulations will be finalized in their current form or at all. Each prospective unitholder should consult his own tax advisor regarding the possible implications withholding provisions may have on their investment in our common units.

Recent Legislative Developments

The present federal income tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships, including us, or an investment in our common units may be modified by administrative, legislative or judicial interpretation at any time. For example, from time to time, members of Congress propose and consider substantive changes to the existing federal income tax laws that affect publicly traded partnerships. Currently, one such legislative proposal would eliminate the qualifying income exception upon which we rely for our treatment as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Please read Material Federal Income Tax Considerations Partnership Status in the accompanying prospectus. We are unable to predict whether any such changes will ultimately be enacted. However, it is possible that a change in law could affect us and may be applied retroactively. Any such changes could negatively impact the value of an investment in our units.

UNDERWRITING

Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Barclays Capital Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, UBS Securities LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Goldman, Sachs & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC are acting as book-running managers of the offering and as representatives of the underwriters named below. Subject to the terms and conditions stated in the underwriting agreement dated the date of this prospectus supplement, each underwriter named below has severally agreed to purchase, and we have severally agreed to sell to that underwriter, the number of common units set forth opposite the underwriter s name.

| | Number of |
|---|--------------|
| Underwriters | Common Units |
| Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith | |
| Incorporated | 1,687,500 |
| Barclays Capital Inc. | 1,687,500 |
| Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC | 1,687,500 |
| UBS Securities LLC | 1,687,500 |
| Citigroup Global Markets Inc. | 1,350,000 |
| Goldman, Sachs & Co. | 1,350,000 |
| J.P. Morgan Securities LLC | 1,350,000 |
| Wells Fargo Securities, LLC | 1,350,000 |
| Raymond James & Associates, Inc. | 540,000 |
| RBC Capital Markets, LLC | 540,000 |
| Stifel, Nicolaus & Company Incorporated | 135,000 |
| Simmons & Company International | 135,000 |
| | |
| Total | 13,500,000 |

The underwriters are offering the common units subject to their acceptance of the common units from us and subject to prior sale. The underwriting agreement provides that the obligation of the underwriters to pay for and accept delivery of the common units offered by this prospectus supplement is subject to the approval of certain legal matters by their counsel and to certain other conditions. The underwriters are obligated to take and pay for all of the common units offered by this prospectus supplement if any such common units are taken. However, the underwriters are not required to take or pay for the common units covered by the underwriters option to purchase additional common units described below.

The underwriters propose to offer some of the common units directly to the public at the public offering price set forth on the cover page of this prospectus supplement and some of the common units to dealers at the public offering price less a concession not to exceed \$0.80 per common unit. If all of the common units are not sold at the initial public offering price, the representatives may change the public offering price and the other selling terms. The offering of the common units by the underwriters is subject to receipt and acceptance and subject to the underwriters right to reject any order in whole or in part.

Indemnification

We have agreed to indemnify the several underwriters against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, or to contribute to payments that may be required to be made in respect of these liabilities.

Option to Purchase Additional Common Units

We have granted to the underwriters an option, exercisable for 30 days from the date of this prospectus supplement, to purchase up to 2,025,000 additional common units at the public offering price less the underwriting discount. The underwriters may exercise the option to the extent that the underwriters sell more

than 13,500,000 common units representing limited partner interests in connection with this offering. To the extent the option is exercised, each underwriter must purchase a number of additional common units approximately proportionate to that underwriter s initial purchase commitment.

Lock-Up Agreements

We, our general partner and certain of its affiliates, including the executive officers and directors of our general partner s general partner, have agreed that, for a period of 45 days from the date of this prospectus supplement, we and they will not, without the prior written consent of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, dispose of or hedge or enter into any other agreement that transfers, in whole or in part, the economic consequences of ownership, establish or increase a put equivalent position or liquidate or decrease a call equivalent position within the meaning of Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, or file with the SEC a registration statement under the Securities Act relating to any of our common units or any securities convertible into or exchangeable for our common units. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated in its sole discretion may release any of the securities subject to these lock-up agreements at any time without notice. The restrictions described in this paragraph do not apply to, among other things:

the issuance and sale of common units pursuant to the underwriting agreement;

the issuance by us of common units upon the exercise of an option or warrant or the conversion of a security outstanding on the date of this prospectus supplement under our equity incentive plans;

the issuance of unit awards under our equity incentive plans;

the issuance and sale of common units pursuant to our distribution reinvestment programs;

the filing of a registration statement relating to, and the issuance and sale of common units pursuant to, an at-the-market or continuous equity offering or any equity distribution agreement related thereto; or

the issuance of common units to Sunoco shareholders in connection with the Sunoco merger. Our common units are traded on the NYSE under the symbol ETP.

Commissions and Expenses

The following table shows the underwriting discounts and commissions that we are to pay to the underwriters in connection with this offering. These amounts are shown assuming both no exercise and full exercise of the underwriters option to purchase additional common units.

| | No Exercise | Full Exercise |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Per Common Unit | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.34 |
| Total | \$ 18,090,000 | \$ 20,803,500 |

We estimate that our portion of the total expenses of this offering will be approximately \$500,000.

Stabilization, Short Positions and Penalty Bids

In order to facilitate the offering of the common units, the underwriters may engage in transactions that stabilize, maintain or otherwise affect the price of the common units. Specifically, the underwriters may sell more units than they are obligated to purchase under the underwriting agreement, creating a short position. A short sale is covered if the short position is no greater than the number of units available for purchase by the underwriters under the underwriters option to buy additional common units. The underwriters can close out a covered short sale by

Table of Contents

exercising the underwriters option to buy additional common units or purchasing units in the open market. In determining the source of units to close out a covered short sale, the underwriters will

consider, among other things, the open market price of units compared to the price available under the underwriters option to buy additional common units. The underwriters may also sell units in excess of the underwriters option to buy additional common units, creating a naked short position. The underwriters must close out any naked short position by purchasing units in the open market. A naked short position is more likely to be created if the underwriters are concerned that there may be downward pressure on the price of the common units in the open market after pricing that could adversely affect investors who purchase in this offering. As an additional means of facilitating this offering, the underwriters may bid for, and purchase, common units in the open market to stabilize the price of the common units. These activities may raise or maintain the market price of the common units above independent market levels or prevent or retard a decline in the market price of the common units. The underwriters are not required to engage in these activities and may end any of these activities at any time.

The underwriters may also impose a penalty bid. This occurs when a particular underwriter repays to the underwriters a portion of the underwriting discount received by it because the representatives have repurchased shares sold by or for the account of such underwriter in stabilizing or short covering transactions.

The compensation received by the underwriters in connection with this common unit offering will not exceed 8% of the gross proceeds from this common unit offering.

Electronic Distribution

A prospectus in electronic format may be made available on the websites maintained by one or more of the underwriters. The representatives may agree to allocate a number of common units to underwriters for sale to their online brokerage account holders. The representatives will allocate units to underwriters that may make Internet distributions on the same basis as other allocations. In addition, common units may be sold by the underwriters to securities dealers who resell units to online brokerage account holders.

Other than the prospectus in electronic format, the information on any underwriter s or selling group member s website and any information contained in any other website maintained by any underwriter or selling group member is not part of the prospectus or the registration statement of which this prospectus supplement forms a part, has not been approved and/or endorsed by us or any underwriter or selling group member in its capacity as underwriter or selling group member and should not be relied upon by investors.

Relationships with Underwriters

The underwriters and their respective affiliates are full service financial institutions engaged in various activities, which may include securities trading, commercial and investment banking, financial advisory, investment management, investment research, principal investment, hedging, financing and brokerage activities. In the ordinary course of their respective businesses, the underwriters and their affiliates have engaged, and may in the future engage, in financial advisory, commercial banking and/or investment banking transactions with us and our affiliates for which they received or will receive customary fees and expenses.

In particular, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC acted as our financial advisor in connection with our pending merger with Sunoco. Additionally, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated is a joint lead arranger and book runner for our amended and restated revolving credit facility, and affiliates of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Barclays Capital Inc., UBS Securities LLC, Goldman, Sachs & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC and RBC Capital Markets, LLC are lenders and agents under our amended and restated revolving credit facility for which they receive interest and fees as provided in the credit agreement related to the facility. We will use a substantial portion of the net proceeds of this offering to repay amounts outstanding under our amended and restated revolving credit facility. Because the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, or FINRA, views the common units offered hereby as interests in a direct participation program, the offering is being made in compliance with Rule 2310 of the FINRA Rules. Investor suitability with respect to the common units should be judged similarly to suitability with respect to other securities that are listed for trading on a national securities exchange.

In the ordinary course of their various business activities, the underwriters and their respective affiliates may make or hold a broad array of investments and actively trade debt and equity securities (or related derivative securities) and financial instruments (including bank loans) for their own account and for the accounts of their customers and may at any time hold long and short positions in such securities and instruments. Such investment and securities may involve securities and instruments of the issuer. The underwriters and their respective affiliates may also make investment recommendations and/or publish or express independent research views in respect of such securities or instruments and may at any time hold, or recommend to clients that they acquire, long and/or short positions in such securities and instruments.

Selling Restrictions

European Economic Area

In relation to each member state of the European Economic Area that has implemented the Prospectus Directive (each, a relevant member state), other than Germany, with effect from and including the date on which the Prospectus Directive is implemented in that relevant member state (the relevant implementation date), an offer of securities described in this prospectus may not be made to the public in that relevant member state other than:

to any legal entity which is a qualified investor as defined in the Prospectus Directive;

to fewer than 100 or, if the Relevant Member State has implemented the relevant provision of the 2010 PD Amending Directive, 150, natural or legal persons (other than qualified investors as defined in the Prospectus Directive), as permitted under the Prospectus Directive, subject to obtaining the prior consent of the relevant Dealer or Dealers nominated by the Issuer for any such offer; or

in any other circumstances falling within Article 3(2) of the Prospectus Directive. provided that no such offer of securities shall require us or any underwriter to publish a prospectus pursuant to Article 3 of the Prospectus Directive.

For purposes of this provision, the expression an offer of securities to the public in any relevant member state means the communication in any form and by any means of sufficient information on the terms of the offer and the securities to be offered so as to enable an investor to decide to purchase or subscribe for the securities, as the expression may be varied in that member state by any measure implementing the Prospectus Directive in that member state, and the expression Prospectus Directive means Directive 2003/71/EC (and amendments thereto, including the 2010 PD Amending Directive, to the extent implemented in the Relevant Member State), and includes any relevant implementing measure in the Relevant Member State. The expression 2010 PD Amending Directive 2010/73/EU.

We have not authorized and do not authorize the making of any offer of securities through any financial intermediary on their behalf, other than offers made by the underwriters with a view to the final placement of the securities as contemplated in this prospectus. Accordingly, no purchaser of the securities, other than the underwriters, is authorized to make any further offer of the securities on behalf of us or the underwriters.

United Kingdom

We may constitute a collective investment scheme as defined by section 235 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (FSMA) that is not a recognised collective investment scheme for the purposes of FSMA (CIS) and that has not been authorised or otherwise approved. As an unregulated scheme, it cannot be marketed in the United Kingdom to the general public, except in accordance with FSMA. This prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus are only being distributed in the United Kingdom to, and are only directed at (i) investment professionals falling within the description of persons in Article 14(5) of the Financial

Services and Markets Act 2000 (Promotion of Collective Investment Schemes) Order 2001, as amended (the CIS Promotion Order) or Article 19(5) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005, as amended (the Financial Promotion Order) or (ii) high net worth companies and other persons falling with Article 22(2)(a) to (d) of the CIS Promotion Order or Article 49(2)(a) to (d) of the Financial Promotion Order, or (iii) to any other person to whom it may otherwise lawfully be made, (all such persons together being referred to as relevant persons). The common units are only available to, and any invitation, offer or agreement to subscribe, purchase or otherwise acquire such common units will be engaged in only with, relevant persons. Any person who is not a relevant person should not act or rely on this document or any of its contents.

Germany

This document has not been prepared in accordance with the requirements for a securities or sales prospectus under the German Securities Prospectus Act (*Wertpapierprospektgesetz*), the German Sales Prospectus Act (*Verkaufsprospektgesetz*), or the German Investment Act (*Investmentgesetz*). Neither the German Federal Financial Services Supervisory Authority (*Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht* - BaFin) nor any other German authority has been notified of the intention to distribute the common units in Germany. Consequently, the common units may not be distributed in Germany by way of public offering, public advertisement or in any similar manner and this document and any other document relating to the offering, as well as information or statements contained therein, may not be supplied to the public in Germany or used in connection with any offer for subscription of the common units to the public in Germany or any other means of public marketing. The common units are being offered and sold in Germany only to qualified investors which are referred to in Section 3, paragraph 2 no. 1 in connection with Section 2 no. 6 of the German Securities Prospectus Act, Section 8f paragraph 2 no. 4 of the German Sales Prospectus Act, and in Section 2 paragraph 11 sentence 2 no. 1 of the German Investment Act. This document is strictly for use of the person who has received it. It may not be forwarded to other persons or published in Germany.

Netherlands

The common units may not be offered or sold, directly or indirectly, in the Netherlands, other than to qualified investors (*gekwalificeerde beleggers*) within the meaning of Article 1:1 of the Dutch Financial Supervision Act (*Wet op het financieel toezicht*).

Switzerland

This prospectus supplement and accompanying prospectus are being communicated in Switzerland to a small number of selected investors only. Each copy of this document is addressed to a specifically named recipient and may not be copied, reproduced, distributed or passed on to third parties. The common units are not being offered to the public in Switzerland, and neither this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, nor any other offering materials relating to the common units may be distributed in connection with any such public offering.

We have not been registered with the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority FINMA as a foreign collective investment scheme pursuant to Article 120 of the Collective Investment Schemes Act of June 23, 2006 (CISA). Accordingly, the common units may not be offered to the public in or from Switzerland, and neither this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, nor any other offering materials relating to the common units may be made available through a public offering in or from Switzerland. The common units may only be offered and this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus may only be distributed in or from Switzerland by way of private placement exclusively to qualified investors (as this term is defined in the CISA and its implementing ordinance).

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the common units offered by this prospectus supplement will be passed upon for us by Latham & Watkins LLP, Houston, Texas. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the underwriters by Andrews Kurth LLP, Houston, Texas.

EXPERTS

The audited consolidated financial statements and management s assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting of Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. appearing in Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement, have been so incorporated by reference in reliance upon the reports of Grant Thornton LLP, independent registered public accountants, upon the authority of said firm as experts in accounting and auditing in giving said reports.

The consolidated financial statements of Southern Union Company and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and for each of the three years ended December 31, 2011 incorporated in this prospectus supplement by reference to Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 25, 2012, have been so incorporated in reliance on the report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

The consolidated financial statements of Citrus Corp. and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and for each of the three years ended December 31, 2011, incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement by reference to Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 6, 2012, have been so incorporated in reliance on the report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

The consolidated financial statements of Sunoco, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, appearing in Sunoco, Inc. s Current Report (Form 8-K) dated June 22, 2012, have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, as set forth in their report thereon, included therein, and incorporated herein by reference. Such consolidated financial statements of Sunoco, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 are incorporated herein by reference upon such report given on the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We file annual, quarterly and other reports and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy any document we file at the SEC s public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-732-0330 for further information on the operation of the SEC s public reference room. Our SEC filings are available on the SEC s web site at http://www.sec.gov. We also make available free of charge on our website, at http://www.energytransfer.com, all materials that we file electronically with the SEC, including our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such materials are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. Additionally, you can obtain information about us through the New York Stock Exchange, 20 Broad Street, New York, New York 10005, on which our common units are listed.

The SEC allows us to incorporate by reference the information we have filed with the SEC. This means that we can disclose important information to you without actually including the specific information in

this prospectus supplement by referring you to other documents filed separately with the SEC. These other documents contain important information about us, our financial condition and results of operations. The information incorporated by reference is an important part of this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus. Information that we file later with the SEC will automatically update and may replace information in this prospectus supplement and information previously filed with the SEC.

We incorporate by reference in this prospectus supplement the documents listed below:

our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011;

our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012;

our current reports on Form 8-K filed January 4, 2012, January 13, 2012, January 17, 2012, March 28, 2012, April 30, 2012, May 1, 2012, June 6, 2012, June 18, 2012, June 20, 2012 and June 25, 2012 and our current report on Form 8-K/A filed January 9, 2012; and

all documents filed by us under Sections 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act between the date of this prospectus supplement and before the termination of this offering.

You may obtain any of the documents incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus from the SEC through the SEC s website at the address provided above. You also may request a copy of any document incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus (including exhibits to those documents specifically incorporated by reference in this document), at no cost, by visiting our internet website at http://www.energytransfer.com, or by writing or calling us at the address set forth below. Information on our website is not incorporated into this prospectus supplement, the accompanying prospectus or our other securities filings and is not a part of this prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus.

Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.

3738 Oak Lawn Avenue

Dallas, TX 75219

Attention: Thomas P. Mason

Telephone: (214) 981-0700

Prospectus

ENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P.

Common Units

Debt Securities

We may offer and sell the common units representing limited partner interests and debt securities of Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. as described in this prospectus from time to time in one or more classes or series and in amounts, at prices and on terms to be determined by market conditions at the time of our offerings.

We may offer and sell these securities to or through one or more underwriters, dealers and agents, or directly to purchasers, on a continuous or delayed basis. This prospectus describes the general terms of these common units and debt securities and the general manner in which we will offer the common units and debt securities. The specific terms of any common units and debt securities we offer will be included in a supplement to this prospectus. The prospectus supplement will also describe the specific manner in which we will offer the common units and debt securities.

Investing in our common units and debt securities involves risks. Limited partnerships are inherently different from corporations. You should carefully consider the risk factors described under <u>Risk Factors</u> beginning on page 4 of this prospectus before you make an investment in our securities.

Our common units are traded on the New York Stock Exchange, or the NYSE, under the symbol ETP. We will provide information in the prospectus supplement for the trading market, if any, for any debt securities we may offer.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is January 13, 2011.

TABLE OF CONTENTS