

ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP
Form DEF 14A
March 25, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material under Rule 14a-12

Anadarko Petroleum Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

- .. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

- .. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

March 25, 2013

TO OUR STOCKHOLDERS:

The 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Anadarko Petroleum Corporation will be held at The Woodlands Waterway Marriott Hotel and Convention Center, 1601 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, Texas, 77380 on Tuesday, May 14, 2013, at 8:00 a.m. (Central Daylight Time).

The attached Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and proxy statement provide information concerning the matters to be considered at the Annual Meeting. The Annual Meeting will cover only the business contained in the proxy statement and will not include a management presentation.

Pursuant to rules promulgated by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, we are also providing access to our proxy materials over the Internet. As a result, we are mailing to most of our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) instead of a paper copy of this proxy statement, a proxy card and our 2012 annual report. The Notice contains instructions on how to access those documents over the Internet, as well as instructions on how to request a paper copy of our proxy materials. All stockholders who do not receive a Notice should receive a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail. We believe that the Notice process will allow us to provide you with the information you need in a timelier manner, will save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to you, and will conserve natural resources.

Your vote is important and we encourage you to vote even if you are unable to attend the Annual Meeting. You may vote by Internet or by telephone using the instructions on the Notice, or, if you received a paper copy of the proxy card, by signing and returning it in the postage pre-paid envelope provided for your convenience. You may also attend and vote at the Annual Meeting.

Very truly yours,

JAMES T. HACKETT

Executive Chairman of the Board

Table of Contents

1201 Lake Robbins Drive

The Woodlands, Texas 77380-1046

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Anadarko Petroleum Corporation will be held at The Woodlands Waterway Marriott Hotel and Convention Center, 1601 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, Texas, 77380 on Tuesday, May 14, 2013, at 8:00 a.m. (Central Daylight Time) to consider the following proposals:

- (1) elect eleven directors;
- (2) ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent auditor for 2013;
- (3) an advisory vote to approve the Company's named executive officer compensation;
- (4) if presented, a vote on a stockholder proposal set forth on pages 89 through 91 in the accompanying proxy statement; and

(5) transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof. If you are a holder of record of common stock at the close of business on March 19, 2013, the record date, then you are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Please take the time to vote by following the Internet or telephone voting instructions provided. If you received a paper copy of the proxy card, you may also vote by completing and mailing the proxy card in the postage-prepaid envelope provided for your convenience. You may also attend and vote at the Annual Meeting. **You may revoke your proxy at any time before the vote is taken by following the instructions in this proxy statement.**

As a stockholder, your vote is very important and the Company's Board of Directors strongly encourages you to exercise your right to vote.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Amanda M. McMillian

Deputy General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

March 25, 2013

The Woodlands, Texas

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials

for the Stockholder Meeting to be Held on May 14, 2013:

The Proxy Statement and Annual Report for 2012 are available at

www.edocumentview.com/APC

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>	1
<u>Questions and Answers about the Annual Meeting</u>	1
<u>ANADARKO BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u>	7
<u>ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	7
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	17
<u>Director Compensation Table for 2012</u>	28
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	29
<u>SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	31
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	32
<u>COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS COMMITTEE REPORT ON 2012 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	33
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	34
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	63
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	63
<u>All Other Compensation Table for 2012</u>	65
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2012</u>	65
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2012</u>	68
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2012</u>	70
<u>Pension Benefits for 2012</u>	70
<u>Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation for 2012</u>	75
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control</u>	77
<u>TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS</u>	84
<u>INDEPENDENT AUDITOR</u>	85
<u>ITEM 2 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR</u>	85
<u>ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	87
<u>ITEM 3 ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE THE COMPANY S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION</u>	87
<u>STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL</u>	89
<u>ITEM 4 STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL REPORT ON POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS</u>	89

Table of Contents

1201 Lake Robbins Drive

The Woodlands, Texas 77380-1046

PROXY STATEMENT

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

May 14, 2013

We are furnishing you this proxy statement in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our Board of Directors (Board) to be voted at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (Annual Meeting) of Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, a Delaware corporation, sometimes referred to as the Company, Anadarko, us, we or like terms. The Annual Meeting will be held on Tuesday, May 14, 2013, at 8:00 a.m. (Central Daylight Time). The proxy materials, including this proxy statement, proxy card or voting instructions and our 2012 annual report, are being distributed and made available on or about March 29, 2013.

In accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), we are providing our stockholders access to our proxy materials on the Internet. Accordingly, a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) will be mailed to most of our stockholders on or about March 29, 2013. Stockholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials on a website referred to in the Notice or request a printed set of the proxy materials to be sent to them by following the instructions in the Notice.

The Notice also provides instructions on how to inform us to send future proxy materials to you electronically by e-mail or in printed form by mail. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by e-mail, you will receive an e-mail next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting site. Your election to receive proxy materials by e-mail or printed form will remain in effect until you terminate it.

Choosing to receive future proxy materials by e-mail will allow us to provide you with the information you need in a timelier manner, save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to you, and conserve natural resources.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING

Where and when is the Annual Meeting?

The Annual Meeting will be held at The Woodlands Waterway Marriott Hotel and Convention Center, 1601 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, Texas, 77380, on Tuesday, May 14, 2013, at 8:00 a.m. (Central Daylight Time).

Who may vote?

You may vote if you were a holder of record of Anadarko common stock as of the close of business on March 19, 2013, the record date for the Annual Meeting. Each share of Anadarko

common stock is entitled to one vote at the Annual Meeting. On the record date, there were 508,197,786 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. There are no cumulative voting rights associated with Anadarko common stock.

May I attend the Annual Meeting?

Yes. Attendance is limited to stockholders of record as of the record date for the Annual Meeting and Company employees. Admission will be on a first-come, first-served basis. You may be asked to present valid picture

Table of Contents

General Information

identification, such as a driver’s license or passport. If your shares of common stock are held in the name of a bank, broker, or other holder of record and you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, you must present proof of your ownership, such as a current bank or brokerage account statement reflecting ownership as of the record date for the Annual Meeting, to be admitted. Cameras, recording devices, cell phones and other electronic devices cannot be used during the Annual Meeting.

Why did I receive a Notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials this year instead of a full set of proxy materials?

In accordance with SEC rules, we are providing access to our proxy materials over the Internet. As a result, we have sent to most of our stockholders a Notice instead of a paper copy of the proxy materials. The Notice contains instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet and how to request a paper copy. In addition, stockholders may request to receive future proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by e-mail. A stockholder’s election to receive proxy materials by mail or e-mail will remain in effect until the stockholder terminates it.

Why didn’t I receive a Notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials?

We are providing certain stockholders, including those who have previously requested to receive paper copies of the proxy materials, with paper copies of the proxy materials instead of a Notice. If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by Anadarko in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receive all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions provided with your proxy materials and on your proxy card or voting instruction card to vote using the Internet. When prompted, indicate that you agree

to receive or access stockholder communications electronically in the future.

Can I vote my stock by filling out and returning the Notice?

No. The Notice will, however, provide instructions on how to vote by Internet, by telephone, by requesting and returning a paper proxy card, or by submitting a ballot in person at the Annual Meeting.

How can I access the proxy materials over the Internet?

Your Notice or proxy card will contain instructions on how to view our proxy materials for the Annual Meeting on the Internet. Our proxy materials are also available at www.edocumentview.com/APC.

What am I voting on and how does the Board recommend that I vote?

Proposal	Board Vote Recommendation
Election of Directors	FOR EACH DIRECTOR NOMINEE

Management Proposals

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

Ratification of KPMG LLP
as Independent Auditor
for 2013

FOR

Advisory Vote to Approve
the Company's Named
Executive Officer
Compensation

FOR

Stockholder Proposal

Provide a Report
Regarding Political
Contributions

AGAINST

Table of Contents

General Information

What is the effect of an advisory vote?

Because your vote with respect to approval of our named executive officer (NEO) compensation is advisory, it will not be binding upon the Board. However, our Compensation and Benefits Committee (Compensation Committee) and the Board will take the outcome of the vote into account when considering future compensation arrangements for our executive officers.

Why should I vote?

Your vote is very important regardless of the amount of stock you hold. The Board strongly encourages you to exercise your right to vote as a stockholder of the Company.

How do I vote?

You may vote by any of the following four methods:

(i) *Internet*. Vote on the Internet at <http://www.proxyvote.com>. This website also allows electronic proxy voting using smartphones, tablets and other web-connected mobile devices (additional charges may apply pursuant to your service provider plan). Simply follow the instructions on the Notice, or if you received a proxy card by mail, follow the instructions on the proxy card and you can confirm that your vote has been properly recorded. If you vote on the Internet, you can request electronic delivery of future proxy materials. Internet voting facilities for stockholders of record will be available 24 hours a day and will close at 11:59 p.m. (Eastern Daylight Time) on May 13, 2013.

(ii) *Telephone*. Vote by telephone by following the instructions on the Notice or, if you received a proxy card, by following the instructions on the proxy card. Easy-to-follow voice prompts allow you to vote your stock and confirm that your vote has been properly recorded. Telephone voting facilities for stockholders of record will be available 24 hours a day and will close at 11:59 p.m. (Eastern Daylight Time) on May 13, 2013.

(iii) *Mail*. If you received a proxy card by mail, vote by mail by completing, signing, dating and returning your proxy card in the pre-addressed, postage-paid envelope provided. If you vote by mail and your proxy card is returned unsigned, then your vote cannot be counted. If you vote by mail and the returned proxy card is signed without indicating how you want to vote, then your proxy will be voted as recommended by the Board. If mailed, your completed and signed proxy card must be received by May 13, 2013.

(iv) *Meeting*. You may attend and vote at the Annual Meeting.

The Board recommends that you vote using one of the first three methods discussed above, as it is not practical for most stockholders to attend and vote at the Annual Meeting. Using one of the first three methods discussed above to vote will not limit your right to vote at the Annual Meeting if you later decide to attend in person. If your stock is held in street name (for example, held in the name of a bank, broker, or other holder of record), you must obtain a proxy executed in your favor from your bank, broker or other holder of record to be able to vote at the Annual Meeting.

If I vote by telephone or Internet and received a proxy card in the mail, do I need to return my proxy card?

No.

If I vote by mail, telephone or Internet, may I still attend the Annual Meeting?

Yes.

Can I change my vote?

Yes. You may revoke your proxy before the voting polls are closed at the Annual Meeting, by the following methods:

voting at a later time by Internet or telephone until 11:59 p.m. (Eastern Daylight Time) on May 13, 2013;

voting in person at the Annual Meeting;

Table of Contents

General Information

delivering to Anadarko's Corporate Secretary a proxy with a later date or a written revocation of your most recent proxy; or

giving notice to the inspector of elections at the Annual Meeting.

If you are a street name stockholder and you vote by proxy, you may later revoke your proxy by informing the holder of record in accordance with that entity's procedures.

How many votes must be present to hold the Annual Meeting?

Your stock is counted as present at the Annual Meeting if you attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person or if you properly return a proxy by Internet, telephone or mail. In order for us to hold our Annual Meeting, holders of a majority of our common stock entitled to vote must be present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting. This is referred to as a quorum. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted as present for purposes of determining a quorum.

What is a broker non-vote?

The New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) permits brokers to vote their customers' stock held in street name on routine matters when the brokers have not received voting instructions from their customers. The NYSE does not, however, allow brokers to vote their customers' stock held in street name on non-routine matters unless they have received voting instructions from their customers. In such cases, the uninstructed shares for which the broker is unable to vote are called broker non-votes.

What routine matters will be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

The ratification of the independent auditor is the only routine matter on which brokers may vote in their discretion on behalf of customers who have not provided voting instructions.

What non-routine matters will be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

The election of directors, the advisory vote to approve our NEO compensation and the stockholder proposal, if presented, are non-routine matters on which brokers are not allowed to vote unless they have received voting instructions from their customers.

How many votes are needed to approve each of the proposals or, with respect to the advisory vote, to be considered the recommendation of the stockholders?

(i) *Election of Directors.* The election of each director requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast for such director. Under our By-Laws, a majority of votes are cast for the election of a director if the number of votes cast for the director exceeds the number of votes cast against the director. For this purpose, abstentions and broker non-votes are not counted as a vote cast either for or against the director.

(ii) *Independent Auditor.* The ratification of the independent auditor requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the stock entitled to vote and present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions will have the same effect as votes cast against the proposals.

(iii) *NEO Compensation.* Our NEO compensation will be considered approved by our stockholders in an advisory manner upon the affirmative vote of a majority of the stock entitled to vote and present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting. For this purpose, abstentions will have the same effect as votes cast against the proposal. Broker non-votes are not counted as a vote cast either for or against the proposal.

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

(iv) *Stockholder Proposal*. The approval of the stockholder proposal, if presented, requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the stock entitled to vote and present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting. For this purpose,

Table of Contents

General Information

abstentions will have the same effect as votes cast against the proposal. Broker non-votes are not counted as a vote cast either for or against the proposal.

Could other matters be decided at the Annual Meeting?

We are not aware of any matters that will be considered at the Annual Meeting other than those set forth in this proxy statement. However, if any other matters arise at the Annual Meeting, the persons named in your proxy will vote in accordance with their best judgment.

Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

We will announce the preliminary voting results at the Annual Meeting and disclose the final voting results in a current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC within four business days of the date of the Annual Meeting unless only preliminary voting results are available at that time. To the extent necessary, we will file an amended report on Form 8-K to disclose the final voting results within four business days after the final voting results are known. You may access or obtain a copy of these and other reports free of charge on the Company's website at <http://www.anadarko.com>, or by contacting our investor relations department at investor@anadarko.com. Also, the referenced Form 8-K, any amendments thereto and other reports filed by the Company with the SEC are available to you over the Internet at the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

How can I view the stockholder list?

A complete list of stockholders of record entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be available for viewing during ordinary business hours for a period of ten days before the Annual Meeting at our offices at 1201 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, Texas 77380-1046.

Who pays for the proxy solicitation related to the Annual Meeting?

We do. In addition to sending you these materials or otherwise providing you access to these materials, some of our directors and officers as well as management and non-management employees may contact you by telephone, mail, e-mail or in person. You may also be solicited by means of press releases issued by Anadarko, postings on our website at <http://www.anadarko.com>, advertisements in periodicals, or other media forms. None of our officers or employees will receive any extra compensation for soliciting you. We have retained Morrow & Co., LLC, 470 West Ave., Stamford, CT 06902, to assist us in soliciting your proxy for an estimated fee of \$12,500, plus reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. Morrow ensures that brokers, custodians and nominees will supply additional copies of the proxy materials for distribution to the beneficial owners. We will also reimburse banks, nominees, fiduciaries, brokers and other custodians for their costs of sending the proxy materials to the beneficial owners of Anadarko common stock.

Who will tabulate and certify the vote?

Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., an independent third party, will tabulate and certify the vote, and will have a representative to act as the independent inspector of elections for the Annual Meeting.

If I want to submit a stockholder proposal for the 2014 Annual Meeting, when is that proposal due?

If you are an eligible stockholder and want to submit a proposal for possible inclusion in the proxy statement relating to the 2014 Annual Meeting, your proposal must be delivered to the attention of our Corporate Secretary and must be received at our principal office, 1201 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, Texas 77380-1046, no later than November 29, 2013. We will

Table of Contents

General Information

only consider proposals that meet the requirements of the applicable rules of the SEC and our By-Laws.

If I want to nominate a director for the 2014 Annual Meeting, when is that nomination due?

If you are an eligible stockholder and want to nominate an individual for election to our Board, our By-Laws provide that you must provide your nomination in writing to our Corporate Secretary (at the same address noted above) no later than the close of business on February 13, 2014, and no earlier than the close of business on January 14, 2014.

How can I obtain a copy of the Annual Report on Form 10-K?

Stockholders may request a free copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K by submitting such request to Investor Relations, Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, 1201 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, Texas 77380-1046 or via e-mail at investor@anadarko.com. Alternatively, stockholders can access our Annual Report on Form 10-K on Anadarko's website at <http://www.anadarko.com>. Also, our Annual Report on Form 10-K and other reports filed by the Company with the SEC are available to you over the Internet at the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Will I get more than one copy of the proxy statement, annual report or Notice if there are multiple stockholders at my address?

In some cases, only one copy of this proxy statement, annual report or Notice is being delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless we have received contrary instructions from one or more of the stockholders. We will deliver promptly, upon a written or oral request, a separate copy of this proxy statement, annual report or Notice to a stockholder at a shared address to which a single copy of the document was delivered. Stockholders sharing an address may also submit requests for delivery of a single copy of the proxy statement, annual report or Notice, but in such event will still receive separate proxies for each account. To request separate or single delivery of these materials now or in the future, a stockholder may submit a written request to the Corporate Secretary, Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, 1201 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, Texas 77380-1046 or a stockholder may make a request by calling the Corporate Secretary at (832) 636-1000, or by contacting our transfer agent, Computershare, P.O. Box 358015, Pittsburgh, PA 15252-8015.

Table of Contents

Anadarko Board of Directors

ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Restated Certificate of Incorporation provides that all directors are to be elected annually and that any director (or the entire Board) may be removed with or without cause at and after the Annual Meeting at which he or she is elected. If a nominee is unavailable for election, then the proxies will be voted for the election of another nominee proposed by the Board or, as an alternative, the Board may reduce the number of directors to be elected at the Annual Meeting. The Board is not aware of any reason why the director nominees would not be able to serve as directors of the Company.

Our By-Laws provide for the election of directors by the majority vote of stockholders in uncontested elections. This means the number of votes cast for a nominee's election must exceed the number of votes cast against such nominee's election in order for him or her to be elected to the Board. In addition, each incumbent nominee is required to provide an irrevocable letter of resignation that states that he or she will resign if that director does not receive the required majority vote. If a director were to fail to receive a majority of votes cast and the Board were to accept the resignation tendered, then that director would cease to be a director of Anadarko. Each of the eleven incumbent director nominees named below has submitted an irrevocable letter of resignation that becomes effective if he or she does not receive a majority of the votes cast for his or her election and the Board decides to accept such resignation.

As discussed in more detail on page 22 of this proxy statement, the Board considers several qualifications, characteristics and other factors when evaluating individual directors, as well as the composition of the Board as a whole. As part of this process, the Board and its Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee review the particular experiences, qualifications, attributes and skills that caused the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board to determine that the person should serve as a director of the Company. The biographies of each of the nominees below contain information regarding the person's experience and director positions held currently or at any time during the last five years, and information regarding involvement in certain legal or administrative proceedings, to the extent applicable. They also highlight the particular experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills that caused the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board to conclude that the person should be nominated to serve as a director of the Company.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND EXECUTIVE CHAIRMAN TRANSITION

In February 2012, the Company announced the transition of James T. Hackett from Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to Executive Chairman and the appointment of R. A. Walker as President and CEO of the Company effective at the Company's Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2012 (the 2012 Annual Meeting). Mr. Hackett will serve as Executive Chairman through the Annual Meeting, after which Mr. Walker will assume the additional role of Chairman of the Board (Chairman). After the Annual Meeting, Mr. Hackett will cease to serve as Executive Chairman but will continue as a Senior Vice President of the Company until his retirement in June 2013. In anticipation of Mr. Hackett's retirement, the Board did not nominate Mr. Hackett for re-election to the Board.

Table of Contents

Anadarko Board of Directors

JAMES T. HACKETT

Mr. Hackett, 59, has served as Executive Chairman of the Company since May 2012. Prior to this position, he served as Chief Executive Officer and as a director of the Company from December 2003 and assumed the additional role of Chairman of the Board of the Company in January 2006. He also served as President of the Company from December 2003 to February 2010. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Hackett was the Chief Operating Officer of Devon Energy Corporation (Devon), an oil and natural gas exploration and production company, from April 2003 to December 2003 following Devon's merger with Ocean Energy, Inc. (Ocean). Mr. Hackett was President and Chief Executive Officer of Ocean, an oil and gas exploration company, from March 1999 to April 2003 and was Chairman of the Board from January 2000 to April 2003. In addition, Mr. Hackett has held positions with Duke Energy, Pan Energy, NGC Corp., Burlington Resources and Amoco Oil Co. He is currently a director of The Welch Foundation and previously served as Chairman of the Board of the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. In addition to Mr. Hackett's current directorships of public companies noted to the right, in the past five years he also served on the boards of Halliburton Company and Temple-Inland, Inc.

In addition to his extensive experience as a senior energy industry executive, Mr. Hackett has over 35 years of financial, marketing and exploration and engineering experience in the industry. Additionally, as Chairman of the Board of the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas he gained unique insights into global fiscal markets, monetary policy and banking operations. His service on the board of directors of several other public companies provides him with a broad perspective on various corporate governance and other matters. He has served as Chairman of America's Natural Gas Alliance and is a leading industry spokesperson on domestic energy policy matters. He also has significant involvement in various civic and charitable organizations.

Director Since:

December 2003

Not Independent -

Management

Current Directorships:

Bunge Limited

Cameron International Corporation

Fluor Corporation

Table of Contents

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES LISTED BELOW.

Nominees for Director Nominated by the Board of Directors for Terms Expiring in 2014

NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR NOMINATED FOR THE BOARD FOR TERMS EXPIRING IN 2014

At the Annual Meeting, the terms of our twelve incumbent directors will expire. Eleven of those incumbent directors have been nominated and, if elected at this Annual Meeting, will hold office until the expiration of each of their one-year terms in 2014. As of the Annual Meeting, the number of directors shall be decreased from twelve to eleven.

KEVIN P. CHILTON

General Chilton, 58, retired as Commander of the United States Strategic Command, Offutt Air Force Base, Nebraska, in February 2011, where he was responsible for the plans and operations for all U.S. forces conducting strategic deterrence and Department of Defense space and cyberspace operations. General Chilton served in the Air Force for more than 34 years in a wide variety of assignments including pilot, test pilot, instructor and astronaut, while earning numerous major awards and decorations.

General Chilton's service as Deputy Program Manager of Operations, International Space Program and Director of Politico-Military Affairs, Asia-Pacific and Middle East, Joint Staff, the Pentagon, provides him with an invaluable blend of political, legislative, international and regulatory knowledge and experience. He also gained valuable managerial, financial and executive experience with his involvement in preparing the Air Force five-year budget/program for several years.

Director Since:

May 2011

Independent

Current Directorships:

Level 3 Communications, Inc.

Orbital Sciences Corporation

LUKE R. CORBETT

Mr. Corbett, 66, has been a retired business executive since Kerr-McGee Corporation's (Kerr-McGee) merger with Anadarko in August 2006. He served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Kerr-McGee from 1999 until August 2006. Mr. Corbett had been with Kerr-McGee since 1985 when he joined its Exploration and Production Division as vice president of geophysics. In subsequent years, he held a wide array of senior executive positions with Kerr-McGee. In addition to Mr. Corbett's current directorship of a public company noted to the right, in the past five years he also served on the board of Noble Corporation.

Director Since:

August 2006

Mr. Corbett brings invaluable perspective and industry-specific business acumen and managerial experience to the Board as the former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Kerr-McGee and as an industry veteran with decades of technical experience in the exploration and production industry. The knowledge and experience he has attained through his service on other public company boards also enables Mr. Corbett to provide a keen understanding of various corporate governance matters.

Independent

Current Directorships:

OGE Energy Corp.

Table of Contents

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES LISTED BELOW.

Nominees for Director Nominated by the Board of Directors for Terms Expiring in 2014

H. PAULETT EBERHART

Ms. Eberhart, 59, has been the President and Chief Executive Officer of CDI Corp. (CDI), a provider of engineering and information technology outsourcing and professional staffing services, since January 2011. From 2009 until January 2011, Ms. Eberhart was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of HMS Ventures, a privately held business involved with technology services and the acquisition and management of real estate. She served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Invensys Process Systems, Inc. (Invensys), a process automation company, from January 2007 to January 2009. From 2003 until March 2004, Ms. Eberhart was President of Americas of Electronic Data Systems Corporation (EDS), an information technology and business process outsourcing company. From 2002 to 2003, she was Senior Vice President of EDS and President of Solutions Consulting. She was also a member of the Executive Operations Team and Investment Committee of EDS. Ms. Eberhart was an employee of EDS from 1978 to 2004. Ms. Eberhart is a Certified Public Accountant. In addition to Ms. Eberhart's current directorships of public companies noted to the right, in the past five years she also served on the board of Fluor Corporation.

Ms. Eberhart brings a wealth of accounting and financial experience to the Board, as well as managerial, manufacturing and global experience, through her numerous years of service as an executive officer for EDS, Invensys and CDI. She also held various other operating and financial positions during her 26 years at EDS. In addition, she gained significant experience through her service on the boards of other public companies and her involvement with various civic and charitable organizations.

Director Since:

August 2004

Independent

Current Directorships:

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.

CDI Corp.

Table of Contents

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES LISTED BELOW.

Nominees for Director Nominated by the Board of Directors for Terms Expiring in 2014

PETER J. FLUOR

Mr. Fluor, 65, has been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Texas Crude Energy, Inc., a private, independent oil and gas exploration company located in Houston, Texas, since 1990. He has been employed by Texas Crude Energy, Inc. since 1972 and took over the responsibilities of President in 1980. Mr. Fluor serves as lead director of Fluor Corporation.

Mr. Fluor brings 40 years of exploration and production operations, exploration and production service, finance, banking and managerial experience to the Board as a result of his experience at Texas Crude Energy, Inc. (most recently as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer), as well as his service as a director of other public companies and involvement with various civic and charitable organizations.

Director Since:

August 2007

Independent

Current Directorships:

Fluor Corporation

Cameron International Corporation

RICHARD L. GEORGE

Mr. George, 62, was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer of Suncor Energy Inc., an integrated energy company, in 1991 and served as Chief Executive Officer of that company until his retirement in May 2012. In 2011, he was named Canadian Energy Person of the Year by the Energy Council of Canada. He has also served on the board of directors of the Canadian Council of Chief Executives since 2003. In 2008, he was inducted into the Canadian Petroleum Hall of Fame. Mr. George was named a member of the Order of Canada in 2007 for his leadership in the development of Canada's natural resources sector, for his efforts to provide economic opportunities to Aboriginal communities and for his commitment to sustainable development. In addition to Mr. George's current directorships of public companies noted to the right, in the past five years he also served on the boards of Canadian Pacific Railway, Suncor Energy Inc., and Transocean.

Director Since:

May 2012

Independent

Mr. George's extensive leadership roles and career experiences in the global energy industry field provide invaluable insight to the Board and strategically assist Anadarko as it pursues its expanding business opportunities.

Current Directorships:

Royal Bank of Canada

Table of Contents

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES LISTED BELOW.

Nominees for Director Nominated by the Board of Directors for Terms Expiring in 2014

PRESTON M. GEREN III

Mr. Geren, 61, has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Sid W. Richardson Foundation, a private philanthropic organization, since July 2011. From April 2010 through June 2011, he served as Senior Advisor to the Board of Directors of the Sid W. Richardson Foundation. Mr. Geren retired as Secretary of the Army in September 2009, a position in which he had served since July 2007. Prior to that appointment, Mr. Geren served as Under Secretary of the Army from February 2006 until he was named Acting Secretary of the Army in March 2007. Mr. Geren served as Acting Secretary of the Air Force from July 2005 to November 2005. He joined the Department of Defense in September 2001 to serve as Special Assistant to the Secretary of Defense with responsibilities in the areas of inter-agency initiatives, legislative affairs and special projects. Prior to joining the Department of Defense, he was an attorney and businessman in Ft. Worth, Texas. From 1989 until his retirement in 1997, Mr. Geren was a member of the U.S. Congress, representing the 12th Congressional District of Texas for four terms. In 1997, he was appointed to the Board of Directors of Union Pacific Resources Group, Inc. (UPR), where he served until UPR was acquired by Anadarko in 2000. He then served as a director of the Company from July 2000 until his resignation in July 2005 to accept the appointment as Acting Secretary of the Air Force. Mr. Geren's recent service as a director of the Company began in October 2009.

Director Since:

October 2009

Independent

Current Directorships:

Texas Capital Bancshares, Inc.

Mr. Geren's several years of service as a member of the U.S. Congress and various positions within the Department of Defense, such as Secretary of the Army, have enabled Mr. Geren to bring to the Board a unique mix of executive, political, legislative, international and regulatory knowledge and experience. He also brings to the Board leadership experience attained through his previous service on the boards of other public companies and involvement with various civic and charitable organizations.

Table of Contents

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES LISTED BELOW.

Nominees for Director Nominated by the Board of Directors for Terms Expiring in 2014

CHARLES W. GOODYEAR

Mr. Goodyear, 55, a retired business executive, was the former Chief Executive designate of Temasek Holdings (Pte) LTD, an Asian investment company wholly owned by the Singapore's Ministry of Finance, from February 2009 to August 2009. From 1999 to January 2008, Mr. Goodyear served in numerous leadership roles at BHP Billiton, the world's largest diversified natural resource company, including as its Chief Executive Officer from 2003 to 2007 after having served as its Chief Development Officer and Chief Financial Officer since 1999.

Director Since:
March 2012

Mr. Goodyear has a lengthy record of public company executive leadership roles in the natural resource industry on a worldwide level as well as significant finance, investment banking and merger and acquisition experience. Mr. Goodyear's career experiences position him to provide invaluable insight to the Board and enhance its ability to direct a sustainable and growing enterprise.

Independent

JOHN R. GORDON

Mr. Gordon, 64, is Senior Managing Director of Deltec Asset Management LLC, an investment firm located in New York, New York. He was President of Deltec Securities Corporation from 1988 until it was converted into Deltec Asset Management LLC. Prior to joining Deltec Asset Management LLC, Mr. Gordon was a managing director of Kidder, Peabody & Co., where he spent 12 years in the firm's corporate finance department.

Director Since:
April 1988

Mr. Gordon's role as Senior Managing Director of Deltec Asset Management LLC (a registered investment company) since 1988 provides him with significant finance and banking experience (including in the energy industry) as well as considerable managerial expertise. He also has significant involvement in various civic and charitable organizations.

Independent

Table of Contents

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES LISTED BELOW.

Nominees for Director Nominated by the Board of Directors for Terms Expiring in 2014

ERIC D. MULLINS

Mr. Mullins, 50, has served as the Co-Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors of LRE GP, LLC, the general partner of LRR Energy, L.P., a company which operates, acquires, exploits and develops producing oil and natural gas properties, since May 2011. He also serves as the Managing Director and Co-Chief Executive Officer of Lime Rock Resources, a company that he co-founded in 2005 which acquires, operates and improves lower-risk oil and natural gas properties. Prior to co-founding Lime Rock Resources, Mr. Mullins served as a Managing Director in the Investment Banking Division of Goldman Sachs where he led numerous financing, structuring and strategic advisory transactions in the division's Natural Resources Group.

Mr. Mullins' career experiences and knowledge in financing and strategic mergers and acquisitions for exploration and production companies greatly assists and enhances the Board's ability to direct a sustainable and growing enterprise.

Director Since:

May 2012

Independent

Current Directorships:

LRE GP, LLC

Table of Contents

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES LISTED BELOW.

Nominees for Director Nominated by the Board of Directors for Terms Expiring in 2014

PAULA ROSPUT REYNOLDS

Ms. Reynolds, 56, has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Preferwest, LLC, a business advisory group, since October 2009. She served as Vice Chairman and Chief Restructuring Officer of American International Group Inc. (AIG), an insurance and financial services company located in New York, New York, from October 2008 to September 2009. Prior to her appointment to that position, she served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Safeco Corporation (Safeco), a property and casualty insurance company located in Seattle, Washington, until its acquisition by Liberty Mutual Group in September 2008. Prior to joining Safeco in January 2006, she served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of AGL Resources Inc., a regional energy services company from August 2002 to December 2005. Ms. Reynolds also previously served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Houston-based Duke Energy North America, a subsidiary of Duke Energy, which operated power-generating facilities across the United States, and as Senior Vice President of Pacific Gas Transmission Company, which owned and operated a major natural gas pipeline in the Pacific Northwest. In addition to Ms. Reynolds' current directorships of public companies noted to the right, in the past five years she also served on the board of Safeco.

Ms. Reynolds has significant finance, banking, government relations and managerial experience, most recently attained through her experience as Vice Chairman and Chief Restructuring Officer of AIG, as well as through her Chief Executive Officer and other senior executive officer roles at companies in both the insurance and energy sectors. In addition to her extensive energy and insurance experience, she has served as a director of several other public companies across a variety of industries, which brings to the Board a broad perspective on various business and corporate governance matters.

Director Since:

August 2007

Independent

Current Directorships:

BAE Systems plc

Delta Air Lines, Inc.

TransCanada Corporation

Table of Contents

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES LISTED BELOW.

Nominees for Director Nominated by the Board of Directors for Terms Expiring in 2014

R. A. WALKER

Mr. Walker, 56, was named Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company in May 2012, in addition to the role of President, which he assumed in February 2010. In February 2013, the Company announced that Mr. Walker was elected as Chairman, effective at the end of the Annual Meeting. He previously served as Chief Operating Officer from March 2009 until his appointment as Chief Executive Officer. He served as Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer from September 2005 until his appointment as Chief Operating Officer. He also served as Chairman of the Board of Western Gas Holdings, LLC from August 2007 to September 2009. Mr. Walker serves on the Board of Trustees for the Houston Museum of Natural Science. In addition to his current directorships of public companies noted to the right, in the past five years he also served on the boards of Western Gas Equity Holdings, LLC and Western Gas Holdings, LLC, both of which are subsidiaries of Anadarko, and on the board of Temple-Inland, Inc.

Mr. Walker has more than 30 years of experience in the energy industry, with a focus on exploration and production, including finance, institutional investing, and mergers and acquisitions. His service on the boards of directors of several other public companies provides him with a broad perspective on various corporate governance and other matters. He also has significant involvement in various civic and charitable organizations.

Director Since:

May 2012

Not Independent -

Management

Current Directorships:

CenterPoint Energy, Inc.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

Our Board recognizes that excellence in corporate governance is essential in carrying out our responsibilities to our stakeholders, including our stockholders, employees, customers, communities, and creditors, as well as to the environment. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines, By-Laws, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Code of Ethics for the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, and written charters for the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, all as amended from time to time, can be found on the Company's website at <http://www.anadarko.com/About/Pages/Governance.aspx>. These documents provide the framework for our corporate governance. Any of these documents will be furnished in print free of charge to any stockholder who requests one or more of them. You can submit such a request to the Corporate Secretary at 1201 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, Texas 77380-1046.

Under the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, directors are expected to attend regularly scheduled Board of Director meetings and meetings of committees on which they serve, as well as the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Each director that served on our Board during 2012 attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and the committees on which he or she served. There were eight Board meetings and 25 Board committee meetings in 2012. In addition, all of the incumbent directors except for one attended the 2012 Annual Meeting.

BOARD LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE

On February 19, 2013, the Company announced that Mr. Walker was elected Chairman, effective at the end of the Company's Annual Meeting. Mr. Walker, as the Company's CEO, will work in concert with the rest of our majority-independent Board and the independent Lead Director, Mr. Gordon, to oversee the execution of the Company's strategy. The Board believes that this structure ensures open communication between the Board and executive management and promotes consistent and effective leadership of both the Board and executive management. Given Mr. Walker's successful leadership transition during 2012, the Board believes that a combined Chairman and CEO role is currently the best approach to promote long-term stockholder value for several reasons:

Promotes Unified Approach on Corporate Strategy Development and Execution Maintaining a combined role enables the Company's CEO to act as a bridge between management and the Board, helping both to act with a common purpose. This also fosters consensus building and alignment on strategy and tactical execution of a Board-approved vision and strategy at the top levels within the Company;

Requires that CEO Recognize Importance of Good Corporate Governance Maintaining a combined position requires that the CEO's responsibilities include a mastery of good corporate governance, a focus on broad stakeholder interests, and an open channel of communication, and requires the CEO to work together with the Lead Director as a team and to appreciate the vital importance of good governance practices in executing the Company's strategy;

Provides Clear Lines of Accountability A combined position has the practical effect of simplifying the accountability of the executive management team, thereby reducing potential confusion and fractured leadership that could result from reporting to two individuals as opposed to one; and

Provides Clear Roadmap for Stockholder/Stakeholder Communications A combined position provides the Company's stakeholders the opportunity to deal with one versus several points of overall authority, which we believe results in more efficient and effective communications with stakeholders.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

Currently Mr. Hackett, our former CEO, serves as Executive Chairman. As previously announced, Mr. Hackett will serve as Executive Chairman through the Company's Annual Meeting and will retire from the Company in June 2013. The Board believes that the temporary separation of the positions of Chairman and CEO was an important part of the succession transition process and that the Company benefitted from Mr. Hackett's experience and expertise in the energy industry while expanding Mr. Walker's role in creating and implementing the Company's strategic vision for the future through his leadership as CEO.

Role of Lead Director. In connection with Mr. Walker's election as Chairman, and consistent with industry best practices, the Board also further enhanced the duties of the Lead Director to ensure the Company maintains a corporate-governance structure with appropriate independence and balance. Our independent Lead Director's duties are closely aligned with the role of an independent, non-executive chairman. As Lead Director elected exclusively by the independent directors, Mr. Gordon's role is to assist the Chairman and the remainder of the Board in assuring effective corporate governance in managing the affairs of the Board and the Company. Mr. Gordon serves as a liaison between the Chairman and the independent directors and works with the Chairman to approve all meeting agendas. He presides at (i) executive sessions of the non-employee directors, which are held in conjunction with each regularly scheduled quarterly meeting of the Board, (ii) executive sessions of the independent directors, which are held at least once a year, and (iii) any other meetings as determined by the Lead Director. Mr. Gordon also approves information sent to the Board and approves meeting schedules to assure there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items. In addition, as Lead Director, Mr. Gordon has authority to call special meetings of the Board and is also a member of the Board's Executive Committee, providing additional representation for the independent directors in all actions considered by the Executive Committee between Board meetings. Mr. Gordon is required, if requested by major stockholders, to be available for consultation and direct communication.

THE BOARD'S ROLE IN RISK OVERSIGHT

The Board's role in the identification, assessment, oversight and management of potential risks that could affect the Company's ability to achieve its strategic, operational and financial objectives consists of (i) reviewing and discussing the Company's risk framework and risk management policies, (ii) facilitating appropriate coordination among the Board's committees with respect to oversight of risk management by delegating oversight of significant financial and compensation risks to the Audit Committee and Compensation Committee, respectively, and (iii) periodically meeting with members of management, including members of the Company's internal standing Risk Council, to identify, review and assess the Company's major risk exposures and steps taken to monitor, mitigate, report and respond to such exposures.

Board Committees. The Audit Committee is responsible for oversight of the Company's significant financial risk exposures and periodically reviews and discusses with members of management those financial risk exposures and the steps being taken to identify, monitor and mitigate such exposures. With the assistance of the Compensation Committee's independent executive compensation consultant, the Compensation Committee is responsible for the oversight of the annual risk assessment of the Company's compensation programs.

Internal Risk Council. In order to facilitate oversight of potential risk exposures to the Company that have not been specifically delegated to any Board committee, the Board periodically meets with members of the Company's internal Risk Council to review and assess the Company's risk-management processes and to discuss significant risk exposures. Members of management comprise

Table of Contents**Corporate Governance**

the Company's Risk Council and provide periodic reports to the CEO, the Audit Committee and the full Board regarding the Company's risk profile and risk management strategies. In addition, the Company's internal audit function regularly provides additional perspective and insight regarding potential risks facing the Company.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE RISK ASSESSMENT

The Compensation Committee reviewed a comprehensive compensation risk assessment conducted independently by Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (FWC), the Compensation Committee's executive compensation consultant. The assessment focused on the design and application of the Company's executive and non-executive compensation programs and whether such programs encourage excessive risk taking by executive officers and other employees. Based on the outcomes of this assessment and the Compensation Committee's review, the Compensation Committee believes that the Company's compensation programs (i) do not motivate our executive officers or our non-executive employees to take excessive risks, (ii) are well designed to encourage behaviors aligned with the long-term interests of stockholders and (iii) are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. Anadarko's compensation programs are designed to support and reward appropriate risk taking and include the following:

an appropriate balance of fixed versus variable pay, cash and equity pay components, operating and financial performance measures, short-term and long-term performance periods, extended vesting schedules, and established formulas and discretion;

established policies to mitigate compensation risk including significant stock ownership guidelines for officers of the Company, insider-trading prohibitions, clawback provisions, and specified caps on incentive awards; and

independent Compensation Committee oversight, which also extends to incentive plans below the executive officer level.

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

The Board has four standing committees: (i) the Audit Committee, (ii) the Compensation Committee, (iii) the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and (iv) the Executive Committee. For each of the current committees of the Board, the table below shows the current membership, the principal functions and the number of meetings held in 2012:

Name, Members and Meetings	Principal Functions
AUDIT COMMITTEE(1)	Discusses the integrity of the Company's accounting policies, internal controls, financial reporting practices and the financial statements with management, the independent auditor and internal audit.
H. Paulett Eberhart (Chair)(2)	Reviews and discusses with management significant financial risk exposures, and the steps management has taken to identify, monitor and mitigate such exposures.
Kevin P. Chilton	Monitors the qualifications, independence and performance of the Company's internal audit function and independent auditor, and meets periodically with management, internal audit and the independent auditor in separate executive sessions.
Charles W. Goodyear	
Eric D. Mullins	

Paula Rosput Reynolds

Meetings in 2012: 9

Table of Contents**Corporate Governance****Name, Members and Meetings****Principal Functions****AUDIT COMMITTEE
(Continued)**

Establishes and maintains procedures for the submission, receipt, retention and treatment of complaints and concerns received by the Company regarding accounting, internal controls or auditing matters, including those complaints and concerns received through the confidential anonymous Anadarko Hotline.

Monitors compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and the business practices and ethical standards of the Company.

Approves the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of the Company's independent auditor and establishes guidelines for the retention of the independent auditor for any permissible services.

Oversees the work of the Company's independent reserve engineering consultant, including meeting with the Company's internal reserve engineers and the independent reserve engineering consultant, and meets with the independent reserve engineering consultant in executive session.

Prepares the Audit Committee report, which is on page 32.

COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS COMMITTEE

Ensures that our compensation objectives and philosophy are implemented through a compensation strategy that strategically aligns the interests of our executive officers with those of our stockholders. Approves and evaluates the Company's director and officer compensation plans, policies and programs.

Peter J. Fluor (Chair)

Richard L. George

Preston M. Geren III

John R. Gordon

Meetings in 2012: 8

Conducts an annual review and evaluation of the CEO's performance in light of the Company's goals and objectives.

Retains, and is directly responsible for the oversight of, compensation or other consultants to assist in the evaluation of director or executive compensation and otherwise to aid the Compensation Committee in meeting its responsibilities. For additional information on the role of compensation consultants, please see Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 34.

Annually reviews the Company's compensation-related risk profile to confirm that compensation-related risks are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Periodically reviews and discusses with its independent compensation consultants and senior management the Company's policy on executive severance arrangements, and recommends any proposed changes to the Board to the extent required by the Compensation Committee charter.

Reviews the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, disclosures for advisory votes by stockholders on executive compensation, including frequency of such votes, and other relevant disclosures made in the proxy statement.

Produces an annual Compensation Committee report, which is on page 33.

Table of Contents**Corporate Governance**

Name, Members and Meetings	Principal Functions
NOMINATING AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE	<p>Recommends nominees for director to the full Board and ensures such nominees possess the director qualifications set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines.</p> <p>Reviews the qualifications of existing Board members before they are nominated for re-election to the Board.</p> <p>Recommends members of the Board for committee membership.</p> <p>Proposes Corporate Governance Guidelines for the Company and reviews them annually.</p> <p>Oversees the Company's compliance structure and programs.</p> <p>Develops and oversees an evaluation process for the Board and its committees.</p> <p>Oversees the emergency and expected CEO succession plans.</p> <p>Reviews and approves related-person transactions in accordance with the Board's procedures.</p>
Preston M. Geren III (Chair)	
Kevin P. Chilton	
Luke R. Corbett	
H. Paulett Eberhart	
Peter J. Fluor	
Richard L. George	
Charles W. Goodyear	
John R. Gordon	
Eric D. Mullins	
Paula Rosput Reynolds	
Meetings in 2012: 5	Reviews and investigates any reports to the confidential anonymous Anadarko Hotline regarding significant non-financial matters.
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE	Acts with the power and authority of the Board, in accordance with the Company's By-Laws, in the management of the business and affairs of the Company while the Board is not in session.
James T. Hackett (Chair)	Approves specific terms of financing or other transactions that have previously been approved by the Board.
H. Paulett Eberhart	
Peter J. Fluor	
Preston M. Geren III	
John R. Gordon(3)	
R. A. Walker	
Meetings in 2012: 3	

- (1) None of the Audit Committee members serve on the audit committee of more than two other public companies.
- (2) The Board has determined that Ms. Eberhart qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under the rules of the SEC based upon her education and employment experience as more fully detailed in Ms. Eberhart's biography set forth above. The Board has also determined that Ms. Eberhart is independent, as independence for audit committee members is defined in Rule 10A-3(b)(1) of the Exchange Act.
- (3) In his capacity as Lead Director.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Director Independence

In accordance with NYSE rules, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), and the rules and regulations adopted thereunder, and the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board must affirmatively determine the independence of each director and director nominee in accordance with the Company's director independence standards, which are contained in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines found on the Company's website at <http://www.anadarko.com/About/Pages/Governance.aspx>.

Based on the standards contained in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, and the recommendation by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Board has determined that each of the following non-employee director nominees is independent and has no material relationship with the Company that could impair such nominee's independence:

Kevin P. Chilton
Luke R. Corbett
H. Paulett Eberhart
Peter J. Fluor
Richard L. George

Preston M. Geren III
Charles W. Goodyear
John R. Gordon
Eric D. Mullins
Paula Rosput Reynolds

Mr. Hackett is not independent because he is the Executive Chairman of the Board and Mr. Walker is not independent because he is the President and CEO of the Company.

For information regarding our policy on Transactions with Related Persons, please see page 84 of this proxy statement.

Selection of Directors

The Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines require that with respect to Board vacancies, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (or a subcommittee thereof): (a) identify the personal characteristics needed in a director nominee so that the Board as a whole will possess such qualifications as more fully identified below; (b) compile, through such means as the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers appropriate, a list of potential director nominees thought to possess the individual qualifications identified in the Corporate Governance Guidelines, as well as any additional specific qualifications the Board deems appropriate at the time; (c) engage an outside consultant, as necessary, to assist in the search for qualified nominees; (d) review the background, character, experience and temperament of each potential nominee; (e) conduct interviews, and, if appropriate recommend that other members of the Board and/or management interview such potential nominee; and (f) evaluate each potential nominee in relation to the culture of the Company and the Board, which emphasizes independent thinking and teamwork.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

As stated in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, one of the core competencies our Board has identified in assessing the qualifications of the Board as a whole is a diversity of experience, professional expertise, perspective and age. The Board recognizes that such diversity is an important factor in board composition and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee ensures that such diversity considerations are discussed in connection with each candidate for director. For the past several years, our Board has reviewed on at least an annual basis a director skillset chart that identifies characteristics that the Board believes contribute to an effective and well-functioning board and that the Board as a whole should possess. The factors the Board considers include the following:

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> other board service (both prior and current) current or former experience as CEO of a public company public company executive service (both prior and current) financial expertise banking/finance expertise exploration and production operations expertise 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> oil and gas service company expertise international business experience government relations experience marketing/commodity risk management experience manufacturing/operations experience civic/charitable experience
---	---

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers these and other factors and the extent to which such skillsets can be represented when evaluating potential candidates for the Board. Together, this diversity of skillsets, experiences and personal backgrounds allows our directors to provide the diversity of thought that is critical to the Board's decision-making and oversight process.

Annual Evaluations

The Board and each of the independent committees have conducted self-evaluations related to their performance in 2012. The performance evaluations were supervised by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the results were discussed by the applicable committee and the Board. The Board and each committee have implemented any necessary changes as a result of these evaluations.

Communication with the Directors of the Company

The Board welcomes questions or comments about the Company and its operations. Interested parties who wish to communicate with the Board, including the Lead Director, the non-employee or independent directors, or any individual director, may contact the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee at nominating_governance@apcdirector.com or at Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, Attn: Corporate Secretary, 1201 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, Texas, 77380-1046. If requested, any questions or comments will be kept confidential to the extent reasonably possible. Depending on the subject matter, the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, with the assistance of the Corporate Secretary, will:

forward the communication to the director or directors to whom it is addressed;

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

refer the inquiry to the General Counsel for referral to the appropriate corporate department if it is a matter that does not appear to require direct attention by the Board or an individual director; or

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

not forward the communication if it is primarily commercial in nature or if it relates to an improper or irrelevant topic. These procedures may change from time to time, and you are encouraged to visit our website for the most current means of contacting our directors. If you wish to request copies of any of our governance documents, please see page 17 of this proxy statement for instructions on how to obtain them.

Stockholder Participation in the Selection of Director Nominees

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee did not receive any names of individuals suggested for nomination to the Company's Board by stockholders during the past year. For nomination at the 2014 Annual Meeting, the Board will consider individuals identified by stockholders on the same basis as nominees identified from other sources. To nominate a director for the 2014 Annual Meeting, a stockholder must follow the procedures described in the Company's By-Laws, which require that the stockholder give written notice to the Company's Corporate Secretary at the Company's principal executive offices. The notice to the Corporate Secretary must include the following:

the name and address of the stockholder and beneficial owner, if any, as they appear on the Company's books;

the class or series and number of shares of the Company which are, directly or indirectly owned (including through a partnership) beneficially and of record by the stockholder and such beneficial owner and any derivative instrument directly or indirectly owned beneficially by such stockholder;

any proxy, contract, arrangement, understanding, or relationship pursuant to which such stockholder has a right to vote any shares of any security of the Company;

any economic interest in any security of the Company, including any short interest, and any rights to dividends on the shares of the Company owned beneficially by such stockholder that are separated or separable from the underlying shares of the Company;

any performance-related fees (other than an asset-based fee) that such stockholder (including such stockholder's immediate family) is entitled to based on any increase or decrease in the value of shares of the Company or derivative instruments, if any, as of the date of such notice;

a representation as to whether the stockholder or the beneficial owner, if any, intends or is part of a group which intends to deliver a proxy statement and/or form of proxy to holders of at least the percentage of the Company's outstanding capital stock required to elect the nominee and/or otherwise to solicit proxies from stockholders in support of such nomination;

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

all information relating to such stockholder and beneficial owner, if any, that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement or other filings required to be made in connection with solicitations of proxies for election of directors in a contested election pursuant to Section 14 of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder (including such person's written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as a director if elected);

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

a description of all direct and indirect compensation and other material monetary agreements, arrangements and understandings during the past three years, and any other material relationships, between or among such stockholder and beneficial owner, if any, and their respective affiliates and associates and each proposed nominee, and his or her respective affiliates and associates;

with respect to each nominee for election or reelection to the Board, a completed and signed questionnaire, representation and agreement that the nominee is not and will not become a party to the following:

any agreement, arrangement or understanding as to how such person, if elected as a director of the Company, will act or vote on any issue or question that has not been disclosed to the Company;

any voting commitment that could limit or interfere with such person's ability to comply, if elected as a director of the Company, with such person's fiduciary duties under applicable law;

any agreement, arrangement or understanding with any person or entity other than the Company with respect to any direct or indirect compensation, reimbursement or indemnification in connection with service or action as a director that has not been disclosed; and

any such other information as may reasonably be required by the Company to determine the eligibility of such proposed nominee to serve as an independent director of the Company or that could be material to a reasonable stockholder's understanding of the independence, or lack thereof, of such nominee.

In addition, the nominee must be in compliance, if elected as a director of the Company, and agree to continue to comply with all applicable publicly disclosed corporate governance, conflict of interest, confidentiality and stock ownership and trading policies and guidelines of the Company.

Generally, nominations must be received no earlier than the close of business on the 120th day prior to, and no later than the close of business on the 90th day prior to, the first anniversary of our last annual meeting of stockholders, or, if the nomination is with respect to a special meeting of stockholders, not earlier than the close of business on the 120th day prior to, and no later than the close of business on the 90th day prior to, such special meeting. For more information on stockholder participation in the selection of director nominees, please refer to that section in our Corporate Governance Guidelines and our By-Laws, which are posted on the Company's website at <http://www.anadarko.com/About/Pages/Governance.aspx>.

Directors' Continuing Education

The Company's Director Education Policy encourages all members of the Board to attend director education programs appropriate to their individual backgrounds to stay abreast of developments in corporate governance and best practices relevant to their contribution to the Board as well as their responsibilities in their specific committee assignments. The Director Education Policy provides that the Company will reimburse directors for all costs associated with attending any director education program.

Table of Contents**Corporate Governance*****Compensation and Benefits Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation***

The Compensation Committee is made up of four independent directors. None of our executive officers currently serve, or in the past year have served, as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving on our Board or our Compensation Committee.

Director Compensation

Non-employee directors receive a combination of cash and stock-based compensation designed to attract and retain qualified candidates to serve on the Board. Messrs. Hackett and Walker do not receive any compensation for their service as directors. In setting non-employee director compensation, the Board considers the significant amount of time that non-employee directors spend in fulfilling their duties to the Company and its stockholders as well as the skill level required by the Company's Board members. The Compensation Committee is responsible for determining the type and amount of compensation for non-employee directors. To assist in the 2012 annual review of director compensation, the Compensation Committee directly retained FWC as its outside independent compensation consultant to provide benchmark compensation data and recommendations for compensation program design.

Retainer and Meeting Fees. The following is a schedule of current annual retainers and meeting fees for non-employee directors in effect during 2012 and payable on a quarterly basis:

Type of Fee	Amount (\$)
Annual Board Retainer	70,000
Additional Annual Retainer to Chairperson of Audit Committee and of Compensation Committee	25,000
Additional Annual Retainer to Chairperson of Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	15,000
Additional Annual Retainer for Non-Employee Director Serving as Lead Director	25,000
Additional Annual Retainer to Audit Committee and Compensation Committee Members	6,000
Additional Annual Retainer for Other Committee Members	3,000
Fee for each Board Meeting Attended (plus expenses related to attendance)	2,000
Fee for each Board Committee Meeting Attended (plus expenses related to attendance)	2,000
Additional Fee for Non-Employee Director Residing Outside North America to Attend Each In-Person Board Meeting in the U.S.	4,000

Non-employee directors may elect to receive their retainer and meeting fees in cash, common stock, or deferred cash under the Anadarko Deferred Compensation Plan described below, or any combination thereof. The amount of stock issued to non-employee directors for payment in lieu of their cash fees is determined at the end of the quarter for which compensation is earned, and is calculated by dividing the closing stock price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant into the applicable fee for that period. This election option provides non-employee directors a method to invest in the Company as a stockholder and align their interests with the interests of the Company's stockholders.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-employee Directors. Non-employee directors are eligible to participate in the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan. The Deferred Compensation Plan allows non-employee directors to defer receipt of up to 100% of their retainers and meeting fees, and to allocate the deferred amounts among a group of notional accounts that mirror the gains and/or losses of various investment funds, including common stock of the Company. The interest rate earned on the deferred amounts is not above-market or preferential. In general, deferred amounts are distributed to the participant upon leaving the Board or at a specific date as elected by the participant. Messrs. Fluor and Geren and Ms. Reynolds elected to defer compensation during 2012.

Stock Plan for Non-employee Directors. Stock-based awards made to non-employee directors are made pursuant to the Anadarko Petroleum Corporation 2008 Director Compensation Plan. In addition to the retainer and meeting fee compensation, non-employee directors receive annual equity grants. Equity grants to non-employee directors are automatically awarded each year on the date of the Company's annual meeting of stockholders. For 2012, each non-employee director received an annual equity grant with a value targeted at approximately \$250,000. For U.S. and Canadian-resident non-employee directors, 100% of the value was delivered in deferred shares. Non-employee directors may elect to receive these shares on a specific date, but not earlier than one year from the date of grant, or when they leave the Board. For non-employee directors residing in the United Kingdom, 100% of the value was delivered in restricted shares, which vest on the fifth anniversary of the date of grant.

Stock Ownership Guidelines for Non-employee Directors. Non-employee directors are required to hold stock with a value equivalent to seven times the annual Board retainer and have three years from the date of their initial election to the Board to comply with the guidelines. All non-employee directors, except for Mr. Mullins, who joined the Board in 2012, currently exceed the Company's stock ownership guidelines.

Other Compensation. Non-employee directors are covered under the Company's Accidental Death & Dismemberment Plan and the Company pays the annual premium for such coverage on behalf of each non-employee director. The Company also provides each non-employee director with Personal Excess Liability coverage and pays the annual premium on their behalf. The Company maintains an Aid to Education Program under which certain gifts by employees, officers, non-employee directors and retired employees to qualified institutions of learning are matched on a two-to-one basis. The maximum contribution matched per donor, per calendar year is \$2,500, resulting in a maximum Company yearly match of \$5,000.

Table of Contents**Corporate Governance****DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE FOR 2012**

The following table sets forth information concerning total non-employee director compensation earned during the 2012 fiscal year by each incumbent director who served on the Board in 2012, other than Messrs. Hackett and Walker, who do not receive any compensation for their service as a director:

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash(\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Non- qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)(3)	Total(\$)
Kevin P. Chilton	123,000	250,053	0	0	0	4,036	377,089
Luke R. Corbett	97,000	250,053	0	0	0	4,036	351,089
H. Paulett Eberhart	154,000	250,053	0	0	0	4,036	408,089
Peter J. Fluor(4)	152,000	250,053	0	0	0	4,036	406,089
Richard L. George(5)	67,691	250,053	0	0	0	3,468	321,212
Preston M. Geren III(4)	140,000	250,053	0	0	0	4,036	394,089
Charles W. Goodyear	105,965	312,609	0	0	0	3,781	422,355
John R. Gordon	152,000	250,053	0	0	0	4,036	406,089
Eric D. Mullins	67,691	250,053	0	0	0	3,468	321,212
Paula Rospot Reynolds(4)	117,000	250,053	0	0	0	4,036	371,089

(1) For all non-employee directors, except for Mr. Goodyear, the amounts included in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of 3,767 deferred shares granted to each non-employee director on May 15, 2012, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For Mr. Goodyear, the amount includes 729 deferred shares granted upon his appointment to the Board on March 1, 2012, and 3,767 restricted shares granted on May 15, 2012, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The value ultimately realized by each director may or may not be equal to this determined value. For a discussion of valuation assumptions, see Note 15 Share-Based Compensation of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2012, each of the non-employee directors had aggregate outstanding deferred shares as follows: Gen. Chilton 7,213; Mr. Corbett 18,890; Ms. Eberhart 22,890; Mr. Fluor 20,561; Mr. George 3,767; Mr. Geren 12,340; Mr. Goodyear 729; Mr. Gordon 35,666; Mr. Mullins 3,767; and Ms. Reynolds 18,612. Mr. Goodyear also had 3,767 restricted shares outstanding as of December 31, 2012.

(2) The non-employee directors did not receive any stock option awards in 2012; however, as of December 31, 2012, each of the non-employee directors had aggregate outstanding vested and exercisable stock options as follows: Gen. Chilton 0; Mr. Corbett 27,100; Ms. Eberhart 24,600; Mr. Fluor 5,650; Mr. George 0; Mr. Geren 13,900; Mr. Goodyear 0; Mr. Gordon 32,100; Mr. Mullins 0; and Ms. Reynolds 5,650. There were no unvested options as of December 31, 2012.

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (3) For all non-employee directors, except for Messrs. George, Goodyear, and Mullins, the amounts in this column include annual premiums paid by the Company for each director's benefit in the amount of \$136 and \$1,400 for Accidental Death & Dismemberment (AD&D) coverage and Personal Excess Liability (PEL) coverage, respectively. For Messrs. George and Mullins, the amount includes \$86 for AD&D coverage and \$882 for PEL coverage. For Mr. Goodyear, the amount includes \$114 for AD&D coverage and \$1,167 for PEL coverage. For all non-employee directors the amounts also include a \$2,500 charitable donation made on their behalf to a charity of their choice.
- (4) Messrs. Fluor and Geren each deferred all of their retainer and meeting fees into the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan. Ms. Reynolds deferred half of her retainer and meeting fees into the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (5) Mr. George elected to receive all of his fees in common stock.

Table of Contents

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information provided below summarizes the beneficial ownership of our NEOs, each of our directors and director nominees, all of our directors, director nominees and executive officers as a group, and owners of more than five percent of our outstanding common stock.

Beneficial ownership generally includes those shares of common stock held by someone who has investment and/or voting authority of such shares or has the right to acquire such common stock within 60 days. The ownership includes common stock that is held directly and also stock held indirectly through a relationship, a position as a trustee, or under a contract or understanding.

DIRECTORS, DIRECTOR NOMINEES AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth the number and percentage of Anadarko common stock beneficially owned by our NEOs, each of our directors and director nominees, and all of our executive officers, directors and director nominees as a group as of March 4, 2013:

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership			
	Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned(1)(2)	Stock Acquirable Within 60 Days	Total Beneficial Ownership(3)(4)	Percent of Class
James T. Hackett	336,336	782,008	1,118,344	*
R. A. Walker(5)	144,910	491,019	635,929	*
Robert G. Gwin	49,886	349,670	399,556	*
Charles A. Meloy	96,720	143,853	240,573	*
Robert P. Daniels(6)	72,790	161,083	233,873	*
Robert K. Reeves(7)	124,388	321,332	445,720	*
Kevin P. Chilton	7,213	0	7,213	*
Luke R. Corbett	18,890	27,100	45,990	*
H. Paulett Eberhart	22,890	24,600	47,490	*
Peter J. Fluor	21,561	5,650	27,211	*
Richard L. George	13,460	0	13,460	*
Preston M. Geren III	17,200	13,900	31,100	*
Charles W. Goodyear	14,496	0	14,496	*
John R. Gordon	181,726	32,100	213,826	*
Eric D. Mullins	3,767	0	3,767	*
Paula Rosput Reynolds	25,412	5,650	31,062	*
All directors, director nominees and executive officers as a group (18 persons)	1,187,268	2,439,106	3,626,374	*

* Less than one percent.

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (1) This column does not include shares of common stock that the directors or executive officers of the Company have the right to acquire within 60 days of March 4, 2013. This column does include shares of common stock held in the Company's Benefits Trust as a result of the director compensation and deferral elections made in accordance with our benefit plans described elsewhere in this proxy statement. Those shares are subject to shared voting power with the trustee under that Trust and receive dividend equivalents on such shares, but the individuals do not have the power to dispose of, or direct the disposition of, such shares until such shares are distributed to them. In addition, some shares of common stock reflected in this column for certain individuals are subject to restrictions.

Table of Contents

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

- (2) This column does not include the following number of restricted stock units, which do not have voting rights but do receive dividend equivalents and are payable (after taxes are withheld) in the form of Company common stock: Mr. Hackett, 65,436; Mr. Gwin, 37,915; Mr. Walker, 101,809; Mr. Meloy, 37,920; Mr. Daniels, 43,183 and Mr. Reeves, 37,619.
- (3) In addition to the Anadarko common stock reported in the table, as of December 1, 2012, the directors and executive officers beneficially owned common units of Western Gas Partners, LP (WES) as follows: Mr. Hackett, 28,600; Mr. Walker, 6,900; Mr. Gwin, 10,000; Mr. Meloy, 3,000; Mr. Daniels, 18,150; Mr. Reeves, 9,000; Ms. Eberhart, 1,000; and Ms. Reynolds, 19,758. The Company owns a majority interest in WES indirectly through its wholly-owned subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2012, there were 104,660,553 common units of WES outstanding.
- (4) In addition to the Anadarko common stock reported in the table, as of December 12, 2012, the directors and executive officers beneficially owned common units of Western Gas Equity Partners, LP (WGP) as follows: Mr. Hackett, 80,000; Mr. Walker, 5,000; Mr. Gwin, 200,000; Mr. Meloy, 5,000; Mr. Daniels, 20,000; Mr. Reeves, 9,000; Mr. Chilton, 900; Mr. Fluor, 289,601; Mr. George, 5,000; Mr. Geren, 2,000; Mr. Gordon, 25,000; and Ms. Reynolds, 9,000. As of December 31, 2012, there were 218,895,515 common units of WGP outstanding.
- (5) Includes 108,000 share of common stock held by a limited liability company (LLC) of which Mr. Walker exercises investment control. The membership interests in the LLC are held by Mr. Walker, his wife and family trusts of which he is the trustee.
- (6) Includes 63,766 shares of common stock held by a family limited partnership (FLP) of which Mr. Daniels exercises investment control. The limited partner interests in the FLP are held by Mr. Daniels and family trusts.
- (7) Includes 95,000 shares of common stock held by a FLP. Two LLCs serve as the general partners of the FLP. Mr. Reeves serves as the sole manager of one of the LLCs and his wife serves as the sole manager of the other. The limited partner interests in the FLP are held by family trusts of which Mr. Reeves is the trustee. Mr. Reeves disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares.

CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following table shows the beneficial owners of more than five percent of the Company's common stock as of December 31, 2012, based on information available as of February 14, 2013:

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Common Stock	BlackRock Inc.	36,093,242(1)	7.22%

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

	40 East 52nd Street		
	New York, NY 10022		
Common Stock	Wellington Management Company, LLP	33,766,711(2)	6.76%
	280 Congress Street		
	Boston, MA 02210		
Common Stock	FMR LLC	30,419,481(3)	6.09%
	82 Devonshire Street		
	Boston, MA 02109		

- (1) Based upon its Schedule 13G/A filed February 8, 2013, with the SEC with respect to Company securities held as of December 31, 2012, BlackRock Inc. has sole voting power as to 36,093,242 shares of common stock and sole dispositive power as to 36,093,242 shares of common stock.
- (2) Based upon its Schedule 13G filed February 14, 2013, with the SEC with respect to Company securities held as of December 31, 2012, Wellington Management Company, LLP has shared voting power as to 14,167,444 shares of common stock and shared dispositive power as to 33,766,711 shares of common stock.
- (3) Based upon its Schedule 13G/A filed February 14, 2013, with the SEC with respect to Company securities held as of December 31, 2012, FMR LLC has sole voting power as to 3,327,073 shares of common stock and sole dispositive power as to 30,419,481 shares of common stock.

Table of Contents

Section 16(A) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company's directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company's equity securities, to file with the SEC and any exchange or other system on which such securities are traded or quoted, initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of the Company's common stock and other equity securities. Officers, directors and more than 10% stockholders are required by the SEC's regulations to furnish the Company and any exchange or other system on which such securities are traded or quoted with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they filed with the SEC.

To the Company's knowledge, based solely on a review of the copies of such reports furnished to the Company and written representations that no other reports were required, the Company believes that all reporting obligations of the Company's officers, directors and more than 10% stockholders under Section 16(a) were satisfied during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Audit Committee Report

The following report of the Audit Committee of the Company, dated February 19, 2013, shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall this report be incorporated by reference into any filing made by the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

The Audit Committee of the Board is responsible for independent, objective oversight of the Company's accounting functions and internal controls over financial reporting. The Audit Committee is composed of five directors, each of whom is independent as defined by the NYSE listing standards. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter approved by the Board of Directors, which is available on the Company's web site at <http://www.anadarko.com/About/Pages/Governance.aspx>.

Management is responsible for the Company's internal controls over financial reporting. The independent auditor is responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in the United States of America and issuing a report thereon. The independent auditor is also responsible for performing independent audits of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting. The Audit Committee's responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes.

KPMG LLP served as the Company's independent auditor during 2012 and was appointed by the Audit Committee to serve in that capacity for 2013 (and we are seeking ratification by the Company's stockholders at this Annual Meeting of such appointment). KPMG LLP has served as the Company's independent auditor since its initial public offering in 1986.

In connection with these responsibilities, the Audit Committee met with management and the independent auditor to review and discuss the December 31, 2012 audited consolidated financial statements and matters related to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Audit Committee also discussed with the independent auditor the matters required by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 (Communication with Audit Committees), as amended.

The Audit Committee also received written disclosures and the letter from the independent auditor required by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Rule 3526 regarding the independent auditor's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and the Audit Committee discussed with the independent auditor that firm's independence.

Based upon the Audit Committee's review and discussions with management and the independent auditor referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended that the Board of Directors include the audited consolidated financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC.

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

H. Paulett Eberhart, Chairperson

Kevin P. Chilton

Charles W. Goodyear

Eric D. Mullins

Paula Rosput Reynolds

Table of Contents

Compensation and Benefits Committee Report on 2012 Executive Compensation

The Compensation Committee, the members of which are listed below, is responsible for establishing and administering the executive compensation programs of the Company. The Compensation Committee of the Company has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

THE COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS COMMITTEE

Peter J. Fluor, Chairman

Richard L. George

Preston M. Geren III

John R. Gordon

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis focuses on the following:

*executive summary (including our response to the 2012 say-on-pay advisory vote);
our pay-for-performance philosophy and practices;
how we make compensation decisions;
elements of our compensation program; and
analysis of 2012 compensation actions.*

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Strong Operating and Financial Results; Tronox Litigation Impacted Total Stockholder Return

The Company achieved strong operating and financial results for 2012, overcoming many of the challenges impacting the broader oil and natural gas industry, including low natural gas prices, uncertain political and regulatory environments, and continued uncertainty regarding the global and domestic economy. The Company's outstanding performance in 2012 reflects a clear trend of consistent high-quality additions to its proved reserves, increasing year-over-year margins, increasing year-over-year production (including record sales volumes for both 2012 and 2011), and efficient capital allocation, all while maintaining a total recordable incident rate that is favorable to the industry average for safety performance. For 2011 and 2010, this operational and financial outperformance was recognized by the market and resulted in relative total stockholder return (TSR) outperformance of the S&P 500 as well as our industry peer group.

Notwithstanding the Company's strong operational and financial performance, relative TSR performance for the three-year period ended 2012 was below the median of our specified industry peers. Given that the Company has continued to build upon its 2011 and 2010 success, delivering even stronger results in 2012, the relative TSR experienced by the Company in 2012 does not appear to be based solely on Company performance. (See page 36 for a comparison of Anadarko's cumulative total return performance relative to its industry peer group and the S&P 500 for the three-year periods ended 2010, 2011 and 2012.) Rather, we believe this relative market underperformance is largely due to the uncertainty surrounding the ongoing litigation relating to Tronox Incorporated. The Company remains confident in the merits of its position in the litigation and does not believe a loss resulting from the litigation is probable. For additional information regarding the nature and status of this matter, see Note 17 Contingencies Tronox Litigation in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

During 2012, Anadarko was also recognized by various independent organizations for the Company's leadership, innovation and environmental stewardship in its operating areas. The most notable awards included a top-five ranking in the Nation's Top Workplaces, recognition as one of Forbes Magazine's Most Innovative Companies, the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission's Award for Environmental Protection and Community Relations, and the Platts Global Energy Award for Engineering Technology, as well as two awards from The Oil Council for Explorer of the Year and Large Cap Company of the Year. For a full list of Anadarko's awards and recognitions see <http://www.anadarko.com/Responsibility/Pages/AwardsRecogniton.aspx>.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Additionally, Anadarko announced several significant milestones and achievements during 2012, including:

Algeria Tax Resolution. The Company resolved its Algeria tax dispute in a mutually beneficial manner that resulted in a benefit to the Company of approximately \$4.4 billion of net present value, including amended contract terms.

Continued Exploration Success. The Company continued its industry-leading exploration success in 2012, including the Paon discovery in Côte d'Ivoire. Additionally, the Company extended its offshore Mozambique exploration success beyond the Prosperidade complex with the discoveries of Golfinho and Atum, each of which ranked among the five largest discoveries in the world for 2012 according to I.H.S. Energy.

Successful Monetization of Assets. The Company completed over \$1.3 billion of asset monetization transactions, including carried-interest arrangements valued at almost \$1 billion for the deepwater Lucius development in the Gulf of Mexico and the Salt Creek Enhanced Oil Recovery project in Wyoming.

Advancement of the Mozambique LNG Development. The Company reached a Heads of Agreement with Eni S.p.A., establishing foundational principles for the coordinated development of common natural gas reservoirs offshore Mozambique. In addition, the Company awarded Front-End Engineering and Design contracts for both the offshore installation and an initial, four-train onshore LNG park.

Western Gas Equity Partners, LP (WGP). The Company successfully completed the initial public offering of WGP, which established a market value, as of February 20, 2013, of more than \$6.8 billion for Anadarko's remaining 91-percent interest.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Comparison of Three-Year Cumulative Total Stockholder Return

The charts below illustrate the cumulative total return to our stockholders for the three-year periods ending 2010, 2011 and 2012 relative to the cumulative total return of our industry peer group (listed on page 42) and the S&P 500 Index. An investment of \$100 (with reinvestment of all dividends) is assumed to have been made in the Company's common stock, in the S&P 500 Index and in the industry peer group at the beginning of each three-year period reflected in the graphs below.

Copyright© 2011, 2012, 2013 S&P, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies Inc. All rights reserved.

The information contained in the graphs above is furnished and not filed, and is not incorporated by reference into any document that incorporates this proxy statement by reference.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Impact of Company Performance on Executive Compensation

As summarized below, the Compensation Committee (the Committee) believes that the compensation programs that were in place during 2012 operated as intended and the incentive compensation received by our NEOs appropriately reflected the Company's performance results.

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis***Successful CEO Transition and Significant Changes to Our Compensation Program*

We took several actions in 2012 and early 2013 that demonstrate our continued commitment to good corporate governance and sound compensation practices. Such actions serve to further link pay with performance, allow us to attract, retain and motivate talented executive officers, and align the interest of executive officers with the long-term interests of our stockholders.

CEO Succession Plan. In February 2012, the Company announced the transition of Mr. Hackett from Chairman and CEO to Executive Chairman and the appointment of Mr. Walker as President and CEO of the Company effective at the Company's 2012 Annual Meeting. Mr. Hackett will serve as Executive Chairman through the Company's 2013 Annual Meeting, after which he will cease to serve as Executive Chairman but will continue as a Senior Vice President of Anadarko until his retirement in June 2013. This smooth transition enabled the Company's Board and executive officers to remain focused on effective execution of the Company's strategy, continuing the Company's strong operational and financial results over time.

CEO Compensation. In connection with Mr. Walker's appointment to CEO, the targeted annual total direct compensation for Mr. Walker (which includes the annual equity award value granted in November 2012 and excludes the promotional equity award granted in May 2012) was approximately \$14 million, reflecting a 22% decrease in the level of targeted annual total direct compensation of approximately \$18 million targeted for Mr. Hackett as CEO for 2011.

Name	Year	Base Salary (\$)	Target Annual Bonus	Target Annual Bonus (\$)	Annual Equity Award Value (\$)	Targeted Annual Total Direct Compensation (\$)
Mr. Walker	2012	1,300,000	130%	1,690,000	11,022,070	14,012,070
Mr. Hackett	2011	1,700,000	130%	2,210,000	14,086,355	17,996,355

CEO Employment Arrangement. In connection with his appointment to President and CEO, Mr. Walker:

is employed on an at-will basis without an individual employment agreement;

waived his outstanding key employee change-of-control contract and entered into a Severance Agreement (discussed in more detail on page 60) which reduced the level of change-of-control severance benefits that he was formerly eligible to receive; and

agreed to reimburse the Company for personal use of our aircraft over a certain limit.

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

2012 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (2012 Omnibus Plan). Our stockholders approved the 2012 Omnibus Plan in May 2012, which:

implemented a double-trigger provision that provides for accelerated vesting of awards only in the event of both a change of control of the Company and the termination of the participant's employment without cause or for good reason during the applicable protection period; and

further strengthened our no-repricing policy.

Dividend Reinvestment Not Paid Until Vesting. The Committee determined that, beginning in May 2012, dividend equivalents on restricted stock unit awards granted to executive officers

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

must be reinvested in shares of the Company's common stock and will be paid upon the applicable vesting of the underlying award (rather than paid in cash on a current basis).

Performance Unit Awards. Effective with performance units granted in 2012 under the 2012 Omnibus Plan, the terms of the awards have been revised to eliminate the automatic payout at target upon a change-of-control event and are now subject to both the double-trigger provision (as discussed above) and a performance measurement upon the date of the change of control. Specifically, following a change of control, the value of outstanding performance units will be determined based on Anadarko's actual TSR performance as of the change-of-control date and stock price as of the first trading day preceding the change-of-control date. The determined value, based on actual performance, will be converted into time-vested restricted stock units of the surviving company, with such value then subject to the surviving company's stock price performance. We believe that eliminating the automatic payout at target provision further strengthens the performance element of these awards.

Mix of Equity Awards. Our equity-based incentive program is balanced with a combination of non-qualified stock options, restricted stock units and performance units. In February 2013, the Committee determined that, for equity-based grants made to our senior executive officers in 2013, no more than 25% of such grant will consist of time-vested restricted stock units and no less than 35% of such grant will consist of performance units, thus increasing the emphasis on awards tied to the achievement of specified performance criteria.

Hedging and Pledging Policy. In March 2013, the Company formalized the Board's current practice and strengthened its Insider Trading Policy to expressly prohibit directors, officers and other employees from entering into equity derivative or other financial instruments that would have the effect of limiting downward market risk of owning the Company's securities (including equity securities received as part of the Company's compensation program). In addition, the revised policy prohibits directors and officers from purchasing Company securities on margin and pledging such securities as security for loans (including holding Company securities in a margin account).

Committee Consideration of 2012 Stockholder Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation

The Committee is continuously mindful of stockholders' views on executive compensation and remains focused on ensuring proper alignment of pay with performance. In February 2012, prior to the 2012 stockholder advisory vote to approve executive compensation, we announced significant changes to our compensation program related to the CEO transition. At our 2012 Annual Meeting, more than 85% of our stockholders voted in support of our executive compensation program. We believe the stockholders' strong support reaffirmed our compensation program. In addition to all of the compensation changes discussed above, since our 2012 Annual Meeting we have continued to engage in periodic dialogue with stockholders and have solicited feedback from stockholders representing approximately 43% of the company's outstanding common stock.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

OUR PAY-FOR-PERFORMANCE PHILOSOPHY AND PRACTICES

The main objective of our executive compensation program is to pay for performance while aligning executives' interests with stockholder interests. Our compensation philosophy recognizes the value of rewarding our NEOs for their past performance and motivating them to continue to excel in the future. We provide competitive pay levels to attract and retain the best talent and we structure pay to support our business objectives with appropriate rewards for short-term operating results and long-term stockholder value creation.

What We Do

Require a Majority of Pay At-Risk More than 85% of our executive officers' target total compensation opportunity is at-risk, including our annual target bonuses and annual long-term incentive awards. A smaller portion is represented by base salary, or fixed, compensation.

Emphasize Long-Term Performance We believe that long-term performance is the most important measure of our success and we manage our operations and business for the long-term benefit of our stockholders. Accordingly, our equity-based incentives (which represent 79% for the CEO and 75%, on average, of target total compensation for the other NEOs) emphasize and reward long-term absolute and relative stock-price performance.

Maintain a Competitive Compensation Package We provide a compensation package designed to attract, retain, motivate and reward experienced and talented executive officers. We believe that total compensation opportunities should be reflective of each executive officer's role, skills, experience level and individual contributions to the Company and that our executive officers should be motivated to contribute as team members to Anadarko's overall success, as opposed to merely achieving specific individual objectives.

Require Robust Stock Ownership To align executive and stockholder interests, we require stock ownership levels equal to 6 times base salary for the Executive Chairman and the CEO and 2.5 times base salary for the other executive officers, with holding requirements under certain circumstances.

Provide for Double-Trigger Equity Acceleration Upon a Change of Control Under the 2012 Omnibus Plan, accelerated vesting of equity awards due to a change of control will only occur upon the executive officer's termination without cause or for good reason during the applicable protection period following such change of control.

Provide for Clawback Provisions Each award under the 2008 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (2008 Omnibus Plan) and the 2012 Omnibus Plan may be subject to forfeiture or repayment if the Company is required to prepare an accounting restatement as a result of material noncompliance with applicable rules.

Structure Incentive Compensation to Be Deductible Stock options, restricted stock, performance units and cash awards granted under our 2012 Omnibus Plan are intended to be fully deductible by satisfying the performance-based requirements under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (IRC). Under the 2012 Omnibus Plan, the Committee is prohibited from taking any action that would cause awards intended to qualify as performance-based to fail to satisfy the IRC Section 162(m) requirements.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

What We Don't Do

No Employment Contracts We do not have an employment contract with any executive officer other than our outgoing Executive Chairman who will retire in June 2013.

No Tax Gross-Ups on Perquisites We do not provide tax gross-ups on executive perquisites, except where such gross-ups are considered a normal benefit under the Company's standard relocation program available to all employees.

No Permitted Short Sales or Derivative Transactions in Company Stock We prohibit all non-employee directors and employees of the Company from engaging in any short-term, speculative securities transactions related to the Company, including engaging in short sales, buying or selling put or call options, and trading in options of the Company.

No New Excise Tax Gross-ups In February 2011 we eliminated the excise tax gross-up provision in key employee change-of-control contracts executed with newly appointed and/or newly hired senior executive officers who are not otherwise subject to any existing agreements and replaced it with a best-of-net provision (as described on page 58). The CEO's Severance Agreement includes a best-of-net provision.

No Payment of Current Dividends or Dividend Equivalents on Unvested Awards Beginning in May 2012, dividend equivalents on restricted stock unit awards granted to executive officers must be reinvested in shares of the Company's common stock and will be paid upon the applicable vesting of the underlying award (rather than paid in cash on a current basis).

No Repricing The 2008 and 2012 Omnibus Plans expressly prohibit repricing of stock options and stock appreciation rights, unless approved by stockholders.

No Hedging or Pledging We prohibit directors, officers and other employees from entering into equity derivative or other financial instruments that would have the effect of limiting downward market risk of owning the Company's securities (including equity securities received as part of the Company's compensation program). We also prohibit directors and officers from purchasing Company securities on margin and pledging such securities as security for loans (including holding Company securities in a margin account).

HOW WE MAKE COMPENSATION DECISIONS

The Committee has overall responsibility for approving and evaluating the director and officer compensation plans, policies and programs of the Company. The Committee is also responsible for producing a Compensation Committee report reviewing the Company's Compensation Discussion and Analysis. The Committee uses several different tools and resources in reviewing elements of executive compensation and making compensation decisions. These decisions, however, are not purely formulaic and the Committee exercises judgment and discretion in making them. In making compensation decisions, the Committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees and individual directors when the Committee determines that such action is appropriate.

Compensation Consultant. The Committee has retained FWC as an independent consultant to provide advice on executive compensation matters. The decision to engage FWC was made by the Committee and FWC reports directly and exclusively to the Committee; however, at the Committee's direction, the consultant works directly with management to review or prepare materials for the

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Committee's consideration. While engaged as the Committee's consultant, FWC did not perform any services for us outside the scope of its arrangement with the Committee. During 2012, the Committee conducted a review and determined that there were no conflicts of interest as a result of the Committee's engagement of FWC. The Committee did not engage any consultant other than FWC during 2012 to provide executive compensation consulting services.

In 2012, FWC attended all of the Committee meetings and provided the Committee with market analyses and an annual independent assessment of the risk associated with the Company's compensation programs. In addition, FWC advised the Committee on the following: market trends; regulatory and governance developments and how they may impact our executive compensation programs; the design and structure of our executive compensation programs to ensure linkage between pay and performance; setting the pay for our Executive Chairman and the President and CEO; and compensation recommendations for the other executive officers, in consultation with the CEO.

Benchmarking Peers. In 2012, FWC conducted an annual independent review of the Company's industry peer group for the Committee to use as a reference point for assessing competitive executive compensation data (including base salary, target annual incentives and annualized long-term incentive grant values). This review included a review of Anadarko's peers as designated by Institutional Shareholder Services, peers of direct peers, and companies included in Anadarko's broad Global Industry Classification Standard Industry Group in each case to assess whether there were companies that should be added to or deleted from Anadarko's existing peer group based on relevant size, scope and nature of business operations. FWC determined that, within the current peer group, the Company's revenues are at the median and its total assets and market capitalization approximate the 75th percentile. Following this review, the Committee determined that the 12 current industry peer companies, as listed below, remain appropriate for comparison.

Apache Corporation
Chesapeake Energy Corporation
Chevron Corporation
ConocoPhillips

Devon Energy Corporation
EOG Resources, Inc.
Hess Corporation
Marathon Oil Corporation

Noble Energy, Inc.
Occidental Petroleum Corporation
Pioneer Natural Resources Company
Plains Exploration & Production Company

Within the oil and gas industry, there are a very limited number of companies that closely resemble us in size, scope and nature of business operations. Our industry peer group contains companies in our industry that vary in these respects because we compete with these companies for talent and believe the selected companies are currently the most appropriate with respect to executive compensation benchmarking. The differences and similarities between us and the companies in our industry peer group are taken into consideration when referencing benchmarks for executive compensation decisions.

Role of CEO and/or Other Executive Officers in Determining Executive Compensation. The Committee, after reviewing the information provided by FWC and considering other factors and with input from FWC, determines each element of compensation for our Executive Chairman and our CEO. When making determinations about each element of compensation for the other executive officers, the Committee also considers recommendations from our CEO. Additionally, at the Committee's request, our executive officers may assess the design of, and make recommendations related to, our compensation and benefit programs, including recommendations related to the performance measures used in our incentive programs. The Committee is under no obligation to use these recommendations. Executive officers and others may also attend Committee meetings when invited to do so.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Tally Sheets. The Committee uses tally sheets in its annual executive compensation review to enhance the analytical data used by the Committee to evaluate our executive officer compensation and to provide the Committee with a consolidated source for viewing the aggregate value of all elements of executive compensation. The Committee does not assign a specific weighting to the tally sheets in their overall decision-making process, but uses them to gain additional perspective and as a reference in the decision-making process.

Other Considerations. In addition to the above resources, the Committee considers other factors when making compensation decisions, such as individual experience, individual performance, internal pay equity, development and succession status, and other individual or organizational circumstances. With respect to equity-based awards, the Committee also considers the expense of such awards, the impact on dilution, and the relative value of each element comprising total target executive compensation.

ELEMENTS OF OUR COMPENSATION PROGRAM

Our executive compensation program includes direct and indirect compensation elements. We believe that a majority of an executive officer's total compensation opportunity should be performance-based; however, we do not have a specified formula that dictates the overall weighting of each element. The Committee determines total compensation opportunity based on a review of competitive compensation data, consistency with our compensation philosophy and its judgment as a committee. In doing so, the Committee considers any specific circumstances related to the Company and/or the executive officer.

As illustrated in the charts below, 79% of the current CEO and 75%, on average, of target total compensation for the other NEOs is provided through equity-based incentives that are dependent upon long-term corporate performance and stock-price appreciation. Any value ultimately realized for these long-term equity-based awards is directly tied to Anadarko's absolute and relative stock-price performance.

The charts above are based on the following: current base salaries, as discussed on page 45; target bonus opportunities approved by the Committee in 2012 for 2013, as discussed on page 46; and the estimated grant date value for the 2012 annual equity awards (excluding the value of any one-time awards), as discussed on page 51. They do not reflect the compensation for Mr. Hackett, who is retiring in June 2013.

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis***Direct Compensation Elements*

The direct compensation elements are outlined in the table below. The indirect compensation elements are outlined in a table on page 53. Each element of direct compensation is generally benchmarked against the 50th and 75th percentiles of our industry peer group.

		Direct	
		Compensation Element	Primary Purpose
Total Direct Compensation	<i>Fixed Pay</i>	Base Salary	Provides a fixed level of competitive compensation to attract and retain executive talent Compensates executive officers for their level of responsibility, relative expertise and experience, and in some cases their potential for advancement
		Annual Incentive Program	Motivates and rewards executive officers for achieving annual Company objectives aligned with value creation Recognizes individual contributions to Company performance
		Restricted Stock Units	Aligns the interests of executive officers with stockholders by emphasizing long-term share ownership and stock appreciation Provides a forfeitable ownership stake to encourage executive retention
	<i>Variable At-Risk Pay</i>	Stock Options	Aligns the interests of executive officers with stockholders by rewarding long-term growth in our stock value Provides a forfeitable ownership stake to encourage executive retention
		Performance Units	Recognizes Company performance relative to its industry peers under common external market conditions Motivates and rewards the achievement of long-term strategic Company objectives Provides a forfeitable long-term incentive to encourage executive retention

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis****ANALYSIS OF 2012 COMPENSATION ACTIONS**

The following is a discussion of the specific actions taken by the Committee in 2012 related to each of our direct compensation elements. Each element is reviewed annually, as well as at the time of a promotion, other change in responsibilities, other significant corporate events or a material change in market conditions. Variances in the amount of compensation awarded to each NEO generally reflect differences in individual responsibility and experience as well as the competitive levels provided to officers in comparable positions in our industry peer group. Our CEO's compensation is higher than the compensation of the other NEOs. This difference in compensation is supported by the industry peer group benchmark data, which is substantially higher for the CEO role than for the other NEO positions, and is indicative of the greater responsibility the CEO position entails for the strategic direction, financial condition, operating results and image of the Company.

Base Salary

Mr. Walker received a base salary increase on May 15, 2012, in connection with his appointment to President and CEO. Other than Mr. Walker, the NEOs did not receive a base salary increase in 2012, including Mr. Hackett, who will be retiring effective June 2013. The table below reflects the base salaries during 2012:

Name	Salary as of January 1, 2012(\$)	Salary as of December 31, 2012(\$)	Increase%
Mr. Hackett	1,700,000	1,700,000	0.0%
Mr. Walker	800,000	1,300,000	62.5%
Mr. Gwin	715,000	715,000	0.0%
Mr. Meloy	600,000	600,000	0.0%
Mr. Daniels	600,000	600,000	0.0%
Mr. Reeves	650,000	650,000	0.0%

As a newly appointed CEO, Mr. Walker's base salary is positioned below the median of the most recent benchmark data. Messrs. Gwin's and Reeves's base salaries are competitively positioned between the 50th and 75th percentiles of the benchmark data. The base salaries for Messrs. Meloy and Daniels are positioned in the top quartile of the benchmark data. Each of our industry peer companies structures its operations group differently, which results in varying levels of leadership responsibility. While we consider the available industry peer group benchmark data for Messrs. Meloy's and Daniels's functional positions, we place a greater emphasis on internal pay equity within our executive team in determining their compensation levels and have chosen to compensate Messrs. Meloy and Daniels at the same base salary levels. We believe the salaries for Messrs. Meloy and Daniels appropriately reflect more than 25 years of experience that each executive officer has in the oil and gas industry and the value we place on their technical knowledge and leadership within the Company.

Performance-Based Annual Cash Incentives (Bonuses)

Our executive officers participate in the Annual Incentive Program (AIP), which is part of our 2012 Omnibus Plan that was approved by our stockholders in May 2012. In March 2012, the Committee established a baseline AIP performance hurdle for the NEOs of \$2.5 billion of Cash Flows from Operating Activities (Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities) as calculated in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, but excluding the effect of any significant (*i.e.*, \$100 million or greater) legal settlements/satisfaction of judgments (as described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Sources

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

of Cash Operating Activities) for the fiscal year as published in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. If this performance hurdle was not achieved, the NEOs subject to Section 162(m) of the IRC would earn no AIP bonuses for the year under the 2012 Omnibus Plan. If the performance hurdle was met, the bonus pool would be funded at the maximum bonus opportunity level for each NEO. The Committee may apply negative discretion in determining actual awards, taking into consideration our actual performance against corporate annual performance goals, each individual officer's performance and contributions, and other factors. The Committee does not have the discretion to increase bonuses above funded amounts. The AIP bonus pool was fully funded for the 2012 performance year because the Company exceeded the established performance hurdle.

If the initial performance hurdle is met, the Committee uses the following formula as a guideline for determining individual bonus payments:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Individual base} & & \text{Individual target} & & \text{AIP} & & \text{Individual} \\ \text{salary earnings} & \times & \text{bonus opportunity (equal to} & \times & \text{performance} & \text{+/-} & \text{performance} \\ \text{for the year} & & \text{a \% of base salary)} & & \text{score \%} & & \text{adjustments} \\ & & & & & & \text{(if any)} \\ & & & & & & = \\ & & & & & & \text{Actual} \\ & & & & & & \text{bonus} \\ & & & & & & \text{earned} \end{array}$$

Individual Target Bonus Opportunities. Individual target bonus opportunities, set as a percentage of base salary, are generally established to provide bonus opportunities between the 50th and 75th percentile levels of our industry peer group. The bonus targets for Messrs. Meloy and Daniels were established based on internal equity factors as discussed above in the Base Salary section, which positions their target opportunities in the top quartile of the benchmark data. Executive officers may earn from 0% to 200% of their individual bonus target. The bonus targets for 2012 are shown in the table below. Mr. Walker's target bonus opportunity for 2012 was increased on a pro-rata basis to 130% effective with his appointment to President and CEO. As part of its annual review of executive compensation in November 2012, the Committee made no changes to the NEO bonus targets for 2013, other than to increase Mr. Reeves's target bonus opportunity to 95% to align his bonus target with the other Senior Vice Presidents.

Name	Minimum Payout as a % of Salary	Target Payout as a % of Salary	Maximum Payout as a % of Salary
Mr. Hackett	0%	130%	260%
Mr. Walker	0%	119%	238%
Mr. Gwin	0%	95%	190%
Mr. Meloy	0%	95%	190%
Mr. Daniels	0%	95%	190%
Mr. Reeves	0%	90%	180%

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

AIP Performance Score. In determining the performance score under the Company's AIP for 2012, the Committee approved the following internal operational, financial and safety goals:

Performance Goals	Purpose
<i>Operational:</i>	The primary business objectives for an exploration and production company are to find reserves at a competitive cost while generating economic value for its stockholders and assuring that these reserves are prudently converted into production and cash flow. Including specific operational goals on reserve additions (before price revisions and divestitures) and sales volumes provides a direct line of sight for our employees and gives them a direct stake in our operational successes.
Reserve Additions	
Sales Volumes	
<i>Financial(1):</i>	These financial goals focus on financial discipline and encourage employees to manage costs relative to gross margins and the commodity price environment.
Capital Expenditures	
EBITDAX/BOE	
<i>Safety:</i>	The health and safety of our employees is very important to us and critical to our success. Accordingly, we include among our performance goals a target total recordable incident rate per 100 employees so that employees are focused on maintaining a safe work environment.
Total Recordable Incident Rate	

- (1) For AIP purposes, Capital Expenditures excludes the capital expenditures of WES and expenditures for acquisitions of reserves, expenditures related to the construction of another office building in The Woodlands, and capital which is carried or subsequently reimbursed by a partner (in the case of a carry arrangement completed during the year) or other party (in the case of a divestiture where the effective date differs from the closing date), whether or not the reimbursed capital was previously booked. EBITDAX/BOE is calculated as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion, amortization, and exploration expenses divided by sales volumes for the year. It excludes results from financial instruments (including commodity hedges), gains/losses on sales of assets, major legal-related settlements and other income/expense items.

Previously the AIP included a Cash Cost Management factor (CCM) (calculated as oil and gas lease operating expense plus general and administrative expense divided by total sales volumes) that acted as a potential multiplier on the AIP performance results and was intended to encourage employees to focus on efficiencies that impact controllable cash costs. The CCM multiplier was introduced into the AIP in 2008 to bring focus on more effectively controlling costs. At that time, the Company ranked in the bottom quartile in a survey of independent exploration and production companies in controllable costs per barrel produced. As a result of targeted efforts and the continuous improvement displayed throughout the entire organization, the Committee determined that it was appropriate to discontinue the use of the CCM factor for 2012 because cost focus had been successfully integrated into the Company's culture and is captured in the EBITDAX/BOE performance goal.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

As illustrated in the charts below, the Committee establishes increasingly challenging annual operational performance goals under our AIP that are necessary to generate competitive returns and advance our longer-term growth objectives, without compromising the safety of our employees. For 2012 the Committee again increased the targeted goals for reserve additions, sales volumes and safety performance (as compared to the targets established for 2011) and the Company outperformed each goal with record-setting operational results and a continued commitment to safety.

- (1) U.S. Oil and Natural Gas Industry averages for 2010 and 2011 as published in the American Petroleum Institute Workplace Injuries and Illnesses Safety (WIIS) Report for 2003 - 2011. The 2012 data is not yet available.

For 2012 the Company also outperformed the targeted financial goals established by the Committee for Capital Expenditures and EBITDAX/BOE (as reflected in the table below), which demonstrates our continued commitment to financial discipline by spending efficiently and maximizing margins. Because the targeted performance levels for Capital Expenditures and EBITDAX/BOE may fluctuate each year based on the oil and gas operating budget approved by the Board of Directors and the commodity price environment in which we operate, historical performance charts are not relevant for these two performance goals. The Committee believes that the targets established for all of the AIP performance goals appropriately require the executive officers to strive for strong performance on key metrics that will result in strong TSR over time.

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

The table below reflects the relative weighting, the 2012 target and the 2012 performance results for each performance goal under the AIP. Each of the performance goals is capped at 275% and the total AIP score cannot exceed 200%.

2012 AIP Performance Goals	Relative Weighting Factor	AIP Target Performance	AIP Performance Results(1)	AIP Performance Score(1)
Reserve Additions (before price revisions and divestitures), MMBOE	25%	400	434	49%
Sales Volumes, MMBOE	25%	258	268	58%
Capital Expenditures, \$MM	20%	\$ 6,900	\$ 6,636	25%
EBITDAX/BOE	20%	\$ 29.60	\$ 31.06	24%
Total Recordable Incident Rate (Safety)	10%	0.55	0.44	15%
Total	100%			171%

(1) The Committee did not make any adjustments to the measured 2012 AIP performance results or overall calculated 2012 AIP performance score.

Individual Performance Adjustments. The Committee may make an adjustment to an executive officer's bonus payment based on individual performance to recognize an individual's significant contributions that may not be reflected in the overall AIP performance score. Such adjustment cannot result in a bonus payment that exceeds the maximum bonus opportunity funded for each NEO by the achievement of the prescribed IRC Section 162(m) performance hurdle. The Committee did not make individual performance adjustments for any NEO's 2012 bonus payments in recognition of the team effort necessary to drive the Company's success.

Actual Bonuses Earned for 2012. The AIP awards for 2012 are shown in the table below and are reflected in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table.

Name	Base Salary Earnings for 2012 (\$)		Target Bonus as % of Base Salary		AIP Performance Score		Individual Performance Adjustments		Actual Bonus Award (\$)
Mr. Hackett	1,700,000	X	130%	X	171%	+	0	=	3,779,100
Mr. Walker	1,105,769	X	119%	X	171%	+	0	=	2,248,890
Mr. Gwin	715,000	X	95%	X	171%	+	0	=	N/A(1)
Mr. Meloy	600,000	X	95%	X	171%	+	0	=	974,700
Mr. Daniels	600,000	X	95%	X	171%	+	0	=	974,700
Mr. Reeves	650,000	X	90%	X	171%	+	0	=	1,000,350

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (1) In recognition of the value realized in 2012 by Mr. Gwin from his Unit Appreciation Rights (UARs) (which were granted in April 2008 when he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the general partner of Western Gas Partners, LP and were exercised in connection with the initial public offering of Western Gas Equity Partners, LP), and unrelated to his performance, after consultation with management, and with Mr. Gwin's concurrence, the Committee determined that Mr. Gwin's AIP award for 2012 would be zero. For additional discussion of the UARs see the Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2012 Table on page 70.

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis*****Equity Compensation***

Annual equity-based awards for executive officers are typically made at the regularly scheduled Committee meeting in November. Equity awards for newly hired executive officers are made on the executive officer's first day of employment with us. Equity awards for executive officers made in connection with promotions are approved by the Committee and the grant date is generally effective the date of appointment.

Our annual awards are determined based on a targeted dollar value. The 2012 targeted equity award value was allocated 40% in non-qualified stock options, 35% in restricted stock units, and 25% in performance units. This allocation provides a combination of equity-based awards that is performance-based in absolute and relative terms, while also encouraging retention. In addition, the use of restricted stock units and performance units enables us to better manage our potential stock dilution. The Committee has determined that, for equity-based grants made to our senior executive officers in 2013, no more than 25% of such grant will consist of time-vested restricted stock units and no less than 35% of such grant will consist of performance units. For additional details on the terms of these awards see page 66.

With respect to the restricted stock units, the Committee establishes objective performance criteria for each calendar year that must be achieved before any restricted stock units are awarded to executive officers the following year. If the performance criteria are achieved, the Committee may make awards of restricted stock units to the executive officers. The restricted stock units awarded vest pro-rata annually over three years, beginning with the first anniversary of the grant date. All of the restricted stock unit awards made in November 2012 were made after the Company's achievement of the 2011 performance criteria, which was to obtain \$2.2 billion in Cash Flows from Operating Activities (Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities), as calculated in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the fiscal year as published in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

With respect to performance units, the Committee has established TSR as the performance criteria because it provides a relative comparison of our performance against an industry peer group. The industry peer group for our awards granted in 2012 is listed below:

Apache Corporation	EOG Resources, Inc.	Occidental Petroleum Corporation
Chevron Corporation	Hess Corporation	Pioneer Natural Resources Company
ConocoPhillips	Marathon Oil Corporation	Plains Exploration & Production Company
Devon Energy Corporation	Noble Energy, Inc.	

If any of these peer companies undergoes a change in corporate capitalization or a corporate transaction (including, but not limited to, a going-private transaction, bankruptcy, liquidation, merger or consolidation) during the performance period, the Committee shall undertake an evaluation to determine whether such peer company will be replaced. The Committee has pre-approved Murphy Oil Corporation, Nexen, Inc., and Chesapeake Energy Corporation as replacement companies (in that order).

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

The following table reflects the payout scale for the annual performance unit program:

Final TSR Ranking	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Payout as % of Target	200%	182%	164%	146%	128%	110%	92%	72%	54%	0%	0%	0%

Below is an example of how the performance unit payout scale works, assuming an executive officer received a target award of 20,000 performance units.

Total Target Award	Performance Period	Target	Relative TSR Ranking for the Performance Period	Payout %	Number of Performance Units Earned(1)	Timing of Payout
		Performance Units for Each Performance Period				
20,000	50% tied to a two-year performance period	10,000 (20,000 x 50%)	3 rd	164%	16,400 units (10,000 x 164%)	Paid after end of two-year performance period
performance units	50% tied to a three-year performance period	10,000 (20,000 x 50%)	10 th	0%	0 units (10,000 x 0%)	No payout after end of three-year performance period

(1) Each performance unit earned is a right to receive a cash payment equal to the closing price of one share of our common stock on the date the Committee certifies the performance results for the respective performance period.

Equity Awards Made During 2012

On November 5, 2012, the Committee approved the following awards under our 2012 Omnibus Plan. These awards are included in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 67.

Name	Number of Stock Options	Number of Restricted Stock Units	Target Number of Performance Units
Mr. Hackett	0	0	0
Mr. Walker(1)	169,600	54,456	34,335
Mr. Gwin	60,447	19,409	12,238

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

Mr. Meloy	62,054	19,925	12,563
Mr. Daniels	62,054	19,925	12,563
Mr. Reeves	47,316	15,193	9,579

- (1) In connection with his appointment to President and CEO on May 15, 2012, Mr. Walker received a promotional equity award equivalent to \$3,250,000 of value that was delivered 40% in stock options (52,511 stock options), 35% in restricted stock units (17,137 restricted stock units) and 25% in performance units (10,507 performance units). This award was to recognize his increased responsibilities as CEO and provide appropriate annual compensation related to such role until the Company's next annual equity awards to executive officers in November 2012. The stock options and restricted stock units awarded to Mr. Walker will vest pro-rata annually over three years, beginning with the first anniversary of the grant date. The performance units are subject to the same performance criteria as performance unit awards for executive officers, except that the two- and three-year performance periods for the grant to Mr. Walker began on May 15, 2012 and end on May 14, 2014 and May 14, 2015, respectively.

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

In determining these annual awards, the Committee considered each NEO's contribution, individually and collectively as an executive team, to the successful execution of the Company's strategic goals for the year; the Company's stock price performance; the importance of retaining and motivating the executive team for the execution of the Company's long-term strategy; and the applicable benchmarking data. Based on these considerations, the Committee determined that for each NEO, other than Mr. Walker, it was appropriate to hold the 2012 annual award values flat relative to their 2011 award values.

Mr. Walker's annual equity award value is positioned at the median of the benchmark data. The equity values for Messrs. Gwin, Meloy, Daniels, and Reeves are positioned in the top quartile of the benchmark data to recognize their extensive industry experience and their leadership positions in the Company. Pursuant to the terms of his Letter Agreement, which is described on page 59, Mr. Hackett was not granted any equity-based awards in 2012.

Performance Units Results for Performance Periods Ended in 2012

In January 2013, the Committee certified the performance results for the 2009 and 2010 annual performance unit awards for the three-year and two-year performance periods, respectively, that ended December 31, 2012. Under the provisions of these awards, the targeted performance units were subject to our relative TSR performance against the defined TSR peer group discussed under the Equity Compensation section on page 50. TSR performance is based on the difference between (1) the average closing stock price for the 30 trading days preceding the beginning of the performance period, and (2) the average closing stock price for the last 30 trading days of the performance period, plus dividends paid for the performance period, and further adjusted for any other distributions or stock splits, where applicable.

For the performance periods ended December 31, 2012, the performance results and Anadarko's ranking, as highlighted, were as follows:

2009 Annual Award Three-Year Performance Period (January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2012)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	APC 8	9	10	11	12
Final TSR Ranking								8				
TSR	141.5%	68.5%	62.7%	52.1%	49.3%	48.5%	33.1%	22.7%	1.3%	-10.3%	-19.7%	-20.2%
Payout as % of Target	200%	182%	164%	146%	128%	110%	92%	72%	54%	0%	0%	0%

2010 Annual Award Two-Year Performance Period (January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	APC 8	9	10	11	12
Final TSR Ranking								8				
TSR	50.5%	36.9%	32.0%	30.7%	26.1%	26.0%	19.8%	10.4%	-14.0%	-26.7%	-29.6%	-31.2%
Payout as % of Target	200%	182%	164%	146%	128%	110%	92%	72%	54%	0%	0%	0%

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

The following table lists the number of performance units awarded at minimum, target, and maximum levels and the actual number of performance units earned by the NEOs for the three-year and two-year performance periods that ended December 31, 2012:

Name	2009 Annual Performance Unit Award				2010 Annual Performance Unit Award			
	Minimum	Target	Maximum	Actual #	Minimum	Target	Maximum	Actual #
	# Units	# Units	# Units	Units Earned	# Units	# Units	# Units	Units Earned
Mr. Hackett	0	44,400	88,800	31,968	0	28,418	56,836	20,461
Mr. Walker	0	16,350	32,700	11,772	0	12,874	25,748	9,269
Mr. Gwin	0	10,600	21,200	7,632	0	8,199	16,398	5,903
Mr. Meloy	0	14,200	28,400	10,224	0	7,343	14,686	5,287
Mr. Daniels	0	14,200	28,400	10,224	0	7,343	14,686	5,287
Mr. Reeves	0	8,250	16,500	5,940	0	6,418	12,836	4,621

Indirect Compensation Elements

As identified in the table below, the Company provides certain benefits and perquisites (considered indirect compensation elements) that are considered typical within our industry and necessary to attract and retain executive talent. The value of each element of indirect compensation is generally structured to be competitive within our industry.

Indirect Compensation

Element	Primary Purpose
Retirement Benefits	Attracts talented executive officers and rewards them for extended service
Other Benefits (for example, health care, paid time off, disability and life insurance) and Perquisites	Offers secure and tax-advantaged vehicles for executive officers to save effectively for retirement Enhances executive welfare and financial security Provides a competitive package to attract and retain executive talent, but does not constitute a significant part of an executive officer's compensation
Severance Benefits	Attracts and helps retain executives in a volatile and consolidating industry Provides transitional income following an executive's involuntary termination of employment

Retirement Benefits

Our executive officers participate in the following retirement and related plans:

Anadarko Employee Savings Plans. The Anadarko Employee Savings Plan (401(k) Plan) is a tax-qualified retirement savings plan that allows participating U.S. employees to contribute up to 30% of eligible compensation, on a before-tax basis or on an after-tax basis (via a Roth or traditional after-tax contribution), into their 401(k) Plan accounts. Eligible compensation includes base salary and AIP bonus payments. Under the 401(k) Plan, we match an amount equal to one dollar for each dollar contributed by participants up to six percent of their total eligible compensation. The 401(k) Plan is subject to applicable IRC limitations regarding participant and Company contributions. Due to IRC

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

limitations that restrict the amount of benefits payable under tax-qualified plans, we also sponsor a non-qualified Savings Restoration Plan. The Savings Restoration Plan accrues a benefit equal to the excess, if any, of Company matching and Personal Wealth Account (PWA) contributions that would have been allocated to a participant's 401(k) Plan account each year without regard to the IRC limitation over amounts that were, in fact, allocated to a participant's account. The Savings Restoration Plan permits participants to allocate the Company contributions among a group of notional accounts that mirror the gains or losses of various investment funds provided in the 401(k) Plan (but excluding the Company stock fund). Notional earnings are credited to their account based on the market rate of return provided by the investment funds.

Amounts deferred, if any, under the 401(k) Plan and the Savings Restoration Plan (collectively, the Savings Plans) by the NEOs are included, respectively, in the Salary and Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation columns of the Summary Compensation Table. Our matching contributions allocated to the NEOs under the Savings Plans are included in the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table.

Pension Plans. Anadarko provides funded, tax-qualified retirement benefits for all U.S. employees. Due to IRC limitations that restrict the amount of benefits payable under tax-qualified plans, we also sponsor non-qualified restoration plans that cover the executive officers and certain other employees. The pension plans do not require contributions by participants and a participant becomes vested in his or her benefit at the completion of three years of service as defined in the pension plans. Eligible compensation covered by the pension plans includes base salary and AIP bonus payments.

In 2011, recognizing the high percentage of employees eligible to retire and based upon a recommendation from the Committee, the Board provided all participants in our pension plans, excluding Mr. Hackett who was CEO at such time, a one-time election to either (1) continue accruing benefits under their applicable pension plans, or (2) accrue future benefits under a cash balance plan, the PWA, which went into effect for employees hired on or after January 1, 2007. This one-time election was designed to increase employee retention by minimizing the impact of interest rate fluctuations on early retirement decisions and to accelerate the migration of employees into the PWA. Messrs. Walker, Gwin, Daniels, and Reeves chose to continue receiving benefits under their legacy pension plans and Mr. Meloy chose to accrue benefits under the PWA beginning in 2012. The details of each of these pension plans are discussed beginning on page 70.

Mr. Hackett, upon meeting certain employment conditions, is provided supplemental pension benefits under his Employment Agreement, which is described on page 59. He is also provided a special service credit under our non-qualified Retirement Restoration Plan to be applied towards his eligibility for our retiree medical and dental benefit programs. This benefit will accrue in a manner similar to the special pension crediting in Mr. Hackett's Employment Agreement, which was provided to account for certain retirement benefits from his prior employer that were foregone when he was hired by Anadarko in 2003. Messrs. Walker and Reeves each have supplemental retirement benefits under our non-qualified Retirement Restoration Plan that provide for special service credits of eight years and five years, respectively, if they each remain employed by us until the age of 55. Messrs. Walker and Reeves vested in these benefits in 2012. The service credits are considered applicable service towards our retirement benefit programs, including pension and retiree medical and dental benefits. These supplemental retirement benefits were provided to Messrs. Walker and Reeves in 2007 to recognize that they were mid-career hires that we would like to retain for the remainder of their careers. Providing them additional service credits recognizes a portion of their prior industry experience and service years which directly benefit us and our stockholders. Mr. Meloy is eligible to

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

receive supplemental pension benefits under the terms of his retention agreement, which was entered into in August 2006 in connection with the closing of the Kerr-McGee acquisition (2006 Retention Agreement).

The accrued benefits for each of the NEOs, including the benefits related to any special service credits are discussed in the Pension Benefits Table on page 74. The Committee does not intend to grant any additional pension credits to executive officers and has not done so since 2007.

Other Benefits

We provide other benefits such as medical, dental, and vision insurance, flexible spending and health savings accounts, paid time off, payments for certain relocation costs, disability coverage and life insurance to each executive officer. These benefits are also provided to all other eligible U.S.-based employees. Certain employees, including the executive officers, are eligible for participation in the Company's Management Life Insurance Plan, which provides an additional life insurance benefit of two times base salary.

We also maintain a Deferred Compensation Plan for certain employees, including the executive officers. This Plan allows participants to voluntarily defer receipt of up to 75% of their salary and/or up to 100% of their AIP bonus payments and allocate the deferred amounts among a group of notional accounts that mirror the gains and/or losses of various investment funds provided in the 401(k) Plan (but excluding the Company stock fund). In general, deferred amounts are distributed to the participant upon termination or at a specific date as elected by the participant. We do not subsidize or match these deferred amounts. Details regarding participation in the plan by the NEOs are discussed beginning on page 75.

Perquisites

We provide a limited number of perquisites to the executive officers. These perquisites are assessed annually by the Committee as part of the total competitive review and include the following:

Financial Counseling, Tax Preparation and Estate Planning. Executive officers are eligible to receive reimbursement for eligible expenses up to a specified annual maximum. For 2012, financial counseling and tax preparation benefits were reimbursed up to a maximum of \$22,920 in the first year of use and up to a maximum of \$13,720 for each following year. The estate planning services are made available to executive officers on an as-needed basis and the services have typically been used once every three years. All expenses related to financial counseling, tax preparation and estate planning are considered taxable income to the executive officer.

Executive Physical Program. Executive officers are eligible to receive reimbursement for an annual physical exam.

Personal Excess Liability Insurance. We pay an annual premium to maintain excess liability coverage on behalf of each executive officer. The annual premium is imputed and considered taxable income to the officer.

Personal Use of Company Aircraft. We maintain aircraft for business travel purposes. Executive officers may, from time to time, use such aircraft for personal travel. When so used, the compensation related to such personal use is imputed and considered taxable income to the executive officer as required by applicable statutes and regulations.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Club Memberships. We reimburse certain executive officers for monthly dues and any additional business expenses related to certain club memberships.

Entertainment Events and Other. We purchase tickets to various sporting and entertainment events for business purposes. We have also leased recreational facilities for business purposes. If not being used for business purposes, we may make these tickets and facilities available to our employees, including our executive officers, as a form of recognition and reward for their efforts.

Until his retirement in June 2013, we will continue to provide secondary monitoring of Mr. Hackett's home security system and the use of a Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) vehicle to promote our business interests and natural gas as a clean alternative fuel. Previously, pursuant to our security policy, we required Mr. Hackett to use our aircraft for both personal use and business travel. Effective with the CEO transition on May 15, 2012, neither Mr. Hackett nor Mr. Walker are required to use our aircraft for personal travel under the Company's security policy. Also at that time the Committee adopted personal usage limits for Messrs. Hackett and Walker allowing them to continue to use the aircraft for a limited amount of personal travel (for which they will have imputed income) and, to the extent their usage exceeds such amount, requiring them to reimburse the Company pursuant to time-sharing agreements. The prior year's aggregate incremental direct operating costs for each aircraft is used to calculate the amount of personal usage. Mr. Walker was allowed up to \$156,250 of personal usage for the period from May 15, 2012 through December 31, 2012. He will be allowed up to \$250,000 of personal usage for the 2013 calendar year. Mr. Hackett is allowed up to \$250,000 of personal usage for the period from May 15, 2012 through May 14, 2013. After such time, he will no longer have personal use of our aircraft.

The incremental costs of the various perquisites provided are included in the "All Other Compensation" column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 63 and in the All Other Compensation Table and supporting footnotes following the Summary Compensation Table on page 65. We do not provide any tax gross-ups on these perquisites.

Severance Benefits

Post-termination and change-of-control severance benefits are typical within our industry. The Company currently provides the severance benefits described below to its executive officers. We believe these plans are necessary to attract and retain executive talent, provide continuity of management in the event of an actual or threatened change of control and provide executive officers with the security to make decisions that are in the best long-term interest of the stockholders. On a periodic basis, the Committee, in consultation with its executive compensation consultant, will review, consider and adjust, as necessary and appropriate, the provisions of post-termination and change-of-control severance benefits provided to executive officers.

Officer Severance Plan. Our executive officers are eligible for benefits under the Officer Severance Plan. Benefits provided under this plan may vary depending upon the executive officer's level within the organization and years of service with us and are made at the discretion of the Committee. Executive officers receiving benefits under the Officer Severance Plan are required to execute an agreement releasing us from any and all claims from any and all kinds of actions arising from the executive officer's employment with us or the termination of such employment. Neither Mr. Hackett nor Mr. Walker participates in this plan. Mr. Hackett's severance benefits are included in his Employment Agreement, which is described on page 59, and Mr. Walker's severance benefits are included in his Severance Agreement, which is described on page 60.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The typical severance benefits that may be provided for our executive officers following the occurrence of an involuntary termination event (as described on page 77) include:

a payment equal to two times annual base salary plus one year's target bonus under our AIP;

if provided, a pro-rata bonus under our AIP for the year of termination, which will be payable at the end of the performance period, based on actual Company performance as certified by the Committee;

if applicable, the present value of retiree life insurance;

the option to continue existing medical and dental coverage levels at current active employee rates for up to six months. After six months, we will pay the cost of COBRA until the first to occur of (a) 18 months or (b) the officer obtaining comparable coverage as a result of employment with another employer;

the vesting of some or all unvested restricted stock, unvested restricted stock units and stock options; and

a payout, if any, of outstanding performance units, which will be made at the end of the performance period based on actual Company performance results.

Key Employee Change-of-Control Contracts. We have key employee change-of-control contracts with all of our executive officers, including the NEOs, with the exception of Messrs. Hackett and Walker. Mr. Hackett's change-of-control severance benefits are included in his Employment Agreement, which is described on page 59 and Mr. Walker's change-of-control severance benefits are included in his Severance Agreement, which is described on page 60.

If we experience a change of control (as defined on page 77) during the term of the contract, then the contract becomes operative for a specified protection period. These contracts generally provide that the executive officer's terms of employment (including position, work location, compensation and benefits) will not be adversely changed during the protection period. If we (or any successor in interest) terminate the executive officer's employment (other than for cause (as defined on page 77), death or disability), the executive officer terminates for good reason (as defined on page 78) during such protection period, or upon certain terminations prior to a change of control or in connection with or in anticipation of a change of control, the executive officer is generally entitled to receive certain payments and benefits. In 2012, no payments were paid under the change-of-control contracts.

In February 2011, the Committee approved changes to the contracts that reduced the level of post-change-of-control severance benefits under the Key Employee Change-of-Control Contracts, on a prospective basis, for newly appointed and newly hired executive officers who are not otherwise subject to an existing agreement. The table below summarizes the general provisions of the contracts (our current NEOs have contracts that were entered into prior to February 2011).

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

Key Employee Change-of-Control Contracts Entered Into Prior to February 2011	Key Employee Change-of-Control Contracts Entered Into Post-February 2011
Initial three-year term automatically extended each year unless either party provides notice not to extend	Initial three-year term automatically extended each year unless either party provides notice not to extend
Modified single-trigger provision(1)	Double-trigger provision(2)
Three-year protection period	Two-year protection period
2.9 times base salary plus AIP bonus (based on highest AIP bonus paid over last three years)	2.9 times base salary plus AIP bonus (based on highest AIP bonus paid over last three years)
Up to three additional years of matching contributions into the Savings Restoration Plan	Up to three additional years of matching contributions into the Savings Restoration Plan
Up to three additional years of age and service credits under the Company's retirement and pension plans	Up to three additional years of age and service credits under the Company's retirement and pension plans
Three years continuation of medical, dental, and life insurance benefits	Three years continuation of medical, dental, and life insurance benefits
Three years of financial planning benefits	No continuation of financial planning benefits
Excise tax gross-up(3)	Best-of-net tax provision (<i>i.e.</i> , no tax gross-up by the Company)(4)
Outplacement services up to a maximum of \$30,000	Outplacement services up to a maximum of \$30,000
Officer is subject to a confidentiality provision	Officer is subject to a confidentiality provision

- (1) A good reason provision allowing an executive officer to terminate for any reason during the 30-day period immediately following the first anniversary of a change of control and receive severance benefits.
- (2) Severance payments are made only in the event of both a change of control and the termination of the executive officer's employment without cause or for good reason during the applicable protection period.
- (3) The executive officer will be entitled to receive a payment in an amount sufficient to make the executive whole for any excise tax on excess parachute payments imposed under IRC Section 4999.
- (4) Requires the Company to either (1) reduce the amount of certain severance benefits otherwise payable so that such severance benefits will not be subject to the tax imposed by IRC Section 4999, or alternatively (2) pay the full amount of severance benefits to the executive officer (but with no tax gross-up), whichever produces the better after-tax result for the executive officer.

As a condition to receipt of change-of-control severance benefits, the executive officer must remain employed by us and provide services commensurate with his or her position until the executive officer is terminated pursuant to the provisions of the contract. The executive officer must also agree to retain in confidence any and all confidential information known to him or her concerning us and our business so long as the information is not otherwise publicly disclosed.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Change of Control Treatment of Outstanding Unvested Equity Awards. The treatment of unvested outstanding equity awards upon a change of control of Anadarko is prescribed by the applicable plan document under which the awards were granted. The Company's 2008 Omnibus Plan, which governs awards made prior to May 15, 2012, included a single-trigger provision for the accelerated vesting of equity awards upon a change of control. The 2012 Omnibus Plan, which governs awards made on or after May 15, 2012, includes a double-trigger provision that provides that, unless otherwise specified in the award agreement, there is only accelerated vesting of awards in the event of both a change of control of the Company and the termination of the participant's employment without cause or for good reason during the applicable protection period. All equity awards issued under the 2012 Omnibus Plan contain this double-trigger feature.

DIRECTOR AND OFFICER INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENTS

We have entered into indemnification agreements with our directors and certain executive officers, in part to enable us to attract and retain qualified directors and executive officers. These agreements require us, among other things, to indemnify such persons against certain liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers, to advance their expenses for proceedings for which they may be indemnified, and to cover such person under any directors' and officers' liability insurance policy that we may maintain from time to time. These agreements are intended to provide indemnification rights to the fullest extent permitted under applicable Delaware law and are in addition to any other rights our directors and executive officers may have under our Restated Certificate of Incorporation, By-Laws and applicable law.

AGREEMENTS WITH EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Mr. Hackett

Employment Agreement

Under the terms of his amended and restated employment agreement, which was entered into in November 2009 (Employment Agreement), Mr. Hackett receives a minimum annual base salary (currently \$1,700,000), and is eligible for an annual incentive cash bonus at a target of not less than 130% of annual base salary with a maximum annual incentive cash bonus of 260% of base salary. This agreement also outlines certain payments and benefits to be paid to Mr. Hackett in the event of his termination of employment under various termination scenarios. The scenarios are discussed in more detail beginning on page 77. We will provide a gross-up payment to Mr. Hackett to the extent any of the above payments become subject to the federal excise tax relating to excess parachute payments. Pre-change-of-control severance benefits are conditioned upon the execution of a mutual release between us and Mr. Hackett.

Mr. Hackett's Employment Agreement provides that since he remained employed by us through December 3, 2008, he received a special pension benefit, computed so that his total pension benefits from us will equal those to which he would have been entitled if his actual years of employment with us were doubled. This service-crediting provision was implemented when Mr. Hackett was hired in 2003 in order to compensate for projected retirement benefits forgone in leaving his former employer.

Letter Agreement

In connection with the Board's announcement of Mr. Hackett's transition to Executive Chairman, the Company entered into a letter agreement with him on February 16, 2012 (Letter Agreement), which provides for the transition of his relationship with the Company and the effective and full transition of Mr. Walker into the CEO position prior to Mr. Hackett's retirement on June 4, 2013. After the Annual Meeting, Mr. Hackett will cease to serve as Executive Chairman but will continue as a Senior Vice President of Anadarko until his retirement. Pursuant to the Letter Agreement, Mr. Hackett has agreed

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

that his retirement will be treated for all purposes as a voluntary termination of his employment that does not constitute a good reason termination (as defined in his Employment Agreement). Mr. Hackett also agreed that the Company will not grant him any additional equity awards or equity-based awards from and after the date of the Letter Agreement and that these changes in his employment relationship and compensation arrangements and other matters will not trigger any rights under his Employment Agreement.

The Letter Agreement prescribes that, in addition to the vested benefits to which he is entitled in connection with a voluntary termination under his Employment Agreement or any Company plan or arrangement, the Company will provide him with the following benefits upon his retirement:

he will receive a prorated AIP bonus for calendar year 2013 to be paid at the same time as annual bonuses are paid to other executive officers who remain employed by the Company, which will be based on actual Company performance and subject to adjustment at the discretion of the Committee in accordance with the terms of the AIP;

all of his unvested stock options outstanding on such date will fully vest and remain exercisable under the terms that apply to retirement as provided under the applicable plan and award agreement;

all of his unvested restricted stock units outstanding on such date will fully vest; and

all of his unvested performance units outstanding on such date will fully vest and become payable (without proration and as if he had remained employed by the Company until the end of the applicable performance period) at the same time as payments are made to other executive officers who remain employed and hold similar awards, based on actual achievement of performance targets as determined by the Committee.

The benefits described above will not be provided in the event of any termination of Mr. Hackett's employment with the Company that occurs prior to June 4, 2013. Any termination of Mr. Hackett's employment with the Company prior to June 4, 2013, will be subject to all of the terms and conditions of his Employment Agreement, and the applicable Company plans or programs impacted by such termination event.

Mr. Walker Severance Agreement

In conjunction with the Board's announcement that Mr. Walker would be appointed President and CEO, the Committee determined that Mr. Walker's employment should be continued on an at-will basis. On February 16, 2012, the Company and Mr. Walker entered into a Severance Agreement to combine and restructure certain severance benefits previously provided to him under the Officer Severance Plan and through his key employee change-of-control contract. Effective May 15, 2012, Mr. Walker was no longer eligible to receive benefits under the Officer Severance Plan and waived the severance benefits under his key employee change-of-control contract. The general provisions of the Severance Agreement are described in the tables below:

Severance Benefits Outside of a Change of Control

Prorated annual bonus based on actual performance for the year of termination

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

Two times the sum of his annual base salary and annual target bonus for the year of termination

Up to six months continued participation in the Company's medical and dental care plans at active employee rates and reimbursement for the cost of up to 18 additional months of COBRA continuation coverage

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis****Change-of-Control Severance Benefits**

Double-trigger provision

Three-year protection period following Change of Control

2.5 times salary plus the higher of target bonus for the year of termination or the average bonus for the last two years

Up to three additional years of matching contributions into the Savings Restoration Plan

Up to three additional years of age and service credits under the Company's retirement and pension plans

Three years continuation of medical, dental, and life insurance benefits

Best-of-net tax provision (*i.e.*, no tax gross-up by the Company)

Outplacement services up to a maximum of \$30,000

Subject to a confidentiality provision

The above descriptions of Mr. Hackett's Employment Agreement and Letter Agreement and Mr. Walker's Severance Agreement are not a full summary of all of the terms and conditions of these agreements and are qualified in their entirety by the full text of the agreements, which are on file with the SEC.

STOCK OWNERSHIP GUIDELINES

We have maintained stock ownership guidelines for executive officers since 1993 with the goal of promoting equity ownership and aligning our executive officers' interests with our stockholders. These guidelines must be met within three years after becoming subject to them. Currently, all of our executive officers either meet or exceed their specified guidelines. The ownership guidelines are currently established at the following minimum levels:

Position	Guideline	Ownership Status as of 12/31/2012
Executive Chairman	6 x base salary	Exceeds
Chief Executive Officer	6 x base salary	Exceeds
Senior Vice Presidents	2.5 x base salary	Exceeds
Vice Presidents	2 x base salary	Exceeds

The Committee reviews the stock ownership levels annually. In determining stock ownership levels, we include shares of common stock held directly by the executive officer (including shares beneficially owned in a trust, by a limited liability company or partnership, and by a spouse and/or minor children, unless the non-management director or officer expressly disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares); shares of common stock held indirectly through the Anadarko Employee Savings Plan; deferred share balances resulting from an investment in the Company Stock Fund as defined in the Anadarko Petroleum Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan provided such balance is payable in shares; unvested restricted stock, and unvested restricted stock units. For those executive officers of Anadarko who are also officers of WES, any WES equity they own is also included in the calculation to determine their compliance. Outstanding performance units and unexercised stock options are not included. If an executive officer does not satisfy the stock ownership requirements within the applicable timeframe, he or she must retain all shares acquired on the vesting of equity awards or the exercise of stock options (net of exercise costs and taxes) until compliance is achieved. Because of our robust ownership levels, other than as described above we do not maintain separate holding requirements for our equity awards.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

Together with the Committee, the Company carefully reviews and takes into account current tax, accounting and securities regulations as they relate to the design of our compensation programs and related decisions.

IRC Section 162(m) limits a company's ability to deduct compensation paid in excess of \$1 million during any fiscal year to each of certain NEOs, unless the compensation is performance-based as defined under federal tax laws. Stock options, performance units and cash awards granted under our 2008 and 2012 Omnibus Plans and our 1999 Stock Incentive Plan are intended to satisfy the performance-based requirements and, as such, are designed to be fully deductible. Since 2008, the Committee has approved an annual program intended to qualify our restricted stock awards (including restricted shares and restricted stock units) as performance-based compensation under IRC Section 162(m). The Committee reviews and considers the deductibility of our executive compensation programs; however, the Committee believes it is important to provide compensation that is not fully deductible when necessary to retain and motivate certain executive officers and when it is in the best interest of the Company and our stockholders. For these reasons, Messrs. Hackett and Walker each receive a base salary above \$1 million, and therefore the portion of base salary in excess of \$1 million is not deductible.

Awards of stock options, performance units, restricted shares and restricted stock units under our 2012 Omnibus Plan, 2008 Omnibus Plan and 1999 Stock Incentive Plan are accounted for under FASB ASC Topic 718.

The benefits payable under non-qualified plans for our officers and directors are unsecured obligations to pay. Assets to pay these benefits may be held under the Company's Benefits Trust, which is subject to the claims of the general creditors of the Company.

CONCLUSION

We believe our total executive compensation program is designed to pay for performance. It aligns the interests of our executive officers with those of our stockholders and provides executive officers with the necessary motivation to maximize the long-term operational and financial performance of the Company, while using sound financial controls and high standards of integrity. We also believe that total compensation for each executive officer should be, and is, commensurate with the execution of specified short- and long-term operational, financial and strategic objectives. The programs currently offered have been critical elements in the successful hiring of several executive officers and have been equally effective in retaining executive officers during a period of strong competitive demand and a shortage of talented executives within the oil and gas exploration and production industry. We believe that the quality of our executive compensation program will continue to be reflected in positive long-term operational, financial and stock-price performance.

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation****SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

The following table summarizes the compensation for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 for our Executive Chairman, CEO, Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and our three highest paid executive officers other than our CEO and CFO:

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(2)	Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation (\$)(4)	Total (\$)
							(\$)(3)		
James T. Hackett(5) Executive Chairman	2012	1,700,000	0	5,518,542	1,954,048	3,779,100	4,149,580	615,984	17,717,254
	2011	1,582,789	0	8,384,127	5,702,228	3,127,590	3,680,756	692,551	23,170,041
	2010	1,567,500	0	9,246,818	4,257,091	2,975,115	5,530,662	751,524	24,328,710
R. A. Walker(6) President and Chief Executive Officer	2012	1,105,769	0	8,578,095	5,694,735	2,248,890	1,545,387	492,009	19,664,885
	2011	742,500	0	3,892,653	2,647,467	1,128,600	1,105,184	290,270	9,806,674
Robert G. Gwin Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer	2010	704,039	0	4,189,092	1,928,577	1,027,896	1,541,374	253,540	9,644,518
Charles A. Meloy Senior Vice President, US Onshore Exploration and Production	2012	715,000	0	2,361,475	1,566,974	0	840,846	188,380	5,672,675
	2011	715,000	0	2,333,484	1,586,972	1,032,460	637,703	146,829	6,452,448
Robert P. Daniels Senior Vice President, International and Deepwater Exploration	2010	657,500	0	2,667,995	1,228,291	1,093,094	468,084	156,650	6,271,614
Robert K. Reeves(8) Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Administrative Officer	2012	600,000	0	2,424,231	1,608,632	974,700	1,729,903	173,034	7,510,500
	2011	577,885	0	2,395,464	1,629,204	834,465	2,253,427	148,653	7,839,098
5,000,000(7)	2010	575,000	5,000,000(7)	2,389,254	1,099,929	955,937	3,307,281	129,251	13,456,652
Robert K. Reeves(8) Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Administrative Officer	2012	600,000	0	2,424,231	1,608,632	974,700	1,425,005	113,439	7,146,007
2011	577,885	0	2,395,464	1,629,204	834,465	1,330,904	121,801	6,889,723	
2010	575,000	0	3,389,266	1,099,929	955,937	2,007,439	134,735	8,162,306	
2012	650,000	0	1,848,466	1,226,577	1,000,350	992,888	109,674	5,827,955	
2011	621,869	0	2,826,579	1,242,190	850,717	872,784	121,759	6,535,898	

(1)

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

The amounts included in these columns represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards made to NEOs in 2012 computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, disregarding estimated forfeitures. The value ultimately realized by the NEOs upon the actual vesting of the award(s) or the exercise of the stock option(s) may or may not be equal to this determined value. For a discussion of valuation assumptions, see Note 15 Share-Based Compensation of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The values in the Stock Awards column represent the grant date fair values for both restricted stock unit and performance unit awards. The performance unit awards are subject to market conditions and have been valued based on the probable outcome of the market conditions as of the grant date.

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

Mr. Hackett did not receive any stock or option awards in 2012. The values reported for him reflect the incremental fair value of awards modified in 2012 pursuant to his Letter Agreement, discussed on page 59, in connection with his transition to Executive Chairman and the effective and full transition of Mr. Walker into the CEO position prior to Mr. Hackett's retirement in June 2013. For Mr. Walker, the amounts include the value of his promotional equity awards, discussed on page 51, that were granted to him upon his appointment to President and CEO. The table below reflects the 2012 compensation for Messrs. Hackett and Walker including their annual equity awards received in 2012 and excluding the impact of these modifications and promotional awards.

Name	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
							Earnings (\$)		
James T. Hackett	2012	1,700,000	0	0	0	3,779,100	4,149,580	615,984	10,244,664
R. A. Walker	2012	1,105,769	0	6,625,512	4,396,558	2,248,890	1,545,387	492,009	16,414,125

- (2) The amounts in this column reflect the incentive cash bonus awards for 2012 that were determined by the Compensation Committee and paid out in February 2013 pursuant to the Company's AIP. These awards are discussed in further detail beginning on page 45.
- (3) The amounts in this column reflect the actuarial increase in the present value of benefits under the Company's pension plans determined by using interest rate and mortality rate assumptions consistent with those used in the Company's financial statements and includes amounts that the NEO may not currently be entitled to receive because such amounts are not vested. The Company's Deferred Compensation Plan does not provide for above-market or preferential earnings so no such amounts are included.
- (4) The amounts shown in this column are described further in the All Other Compensation Table below.
- (5) Mr. Hackett served as Chairman and CEO of the Company until May 15, 2012. At that time he assumed the title of Executive Chairman. Mr. Hackett will serve in such capacity through the Company's Annual Meeting and will retire in June 2013.
- (6) Mr. Walker served as President and Chief Operating Officer until May 15, 2012. At that time he assumed the position of President and CEO.
- (7) The \$5,000,000 reflected in the Bonus column for Mr. Meloy in 2010 is a cash retention bonus paid to him as part of his 2010 Retention Agreement entered into on August 2, 2010, to retain his continued service and leadership to the Company through August 2012.

- (8) Compensation information for 2010 is not reflected for Mr. Reeves because he was not an NEO for that year.

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation****All Other Compensation Table for 2012**

The following table describes each component of the All Other Compensation column for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 in the Summary Compensation Table:

Name	Personal Use of Aircraft (\$)(1)	Payments by the Company to Employee 401(k) Plan and Savings Restoration Plan		Club Membership Dues (\$)(2)	Financial/Tax/Estate Planning (\$)	Excess Liability Insurance (\$)	Tax Benefit (\$)	Other (\$)(3)	Total (\$)
		Savings Restoration Plan (\$)	Club Membership Dues (\$)(2)						
James T. Hackett(4)	294,263	289,655	406	0	1,400	0	30,260	615,984	
R. A. Walker(4)	307,773	134,062	21,312	18,681	1,400	0	8,781	492,009	
Robert G. Gwin	56,893	104,848	11,519	13,720	1,400	0	0	188,380	
Charles A. Meloy	0	143,447	14,467	13,720	1,400	0	0	173,034	
Robert P. Daniels	0	86,068	12,251	13,720	1,400	0	0	113,439	
Robert K. Reeves	0	90,043	10,641	6,895	1,400	0	695	109,674	

- (1) The value of personal aircraft use is based on the Company's aggregate incremental direct operating costs, including cost of fuel, maintenance, landing and ramp fees, and other miscellaneous trip-related variable costs. Because the Company's aircraft are used predominantly for business purposes, fixed costs, which do not change based on use of the aircraft, are excluded.
- (2) The amounts disclosed represent the payment of club membership fees. For those clubs not used exclusively for business, the entire amount has been included, although we believe that only a portion of this cost represents a perquisite.
- (3) The amount reflected in this column for Mr. Hackett represents \$8,362 for his use of a Company-provided CNG vehicle and \$21,898 for the cost of legal fees related to the review of his Letter Agreement. The CNG vehicle is being used by Mr. Hackett to promote the Company's business interests and the use of natural gas as a clean alternative fuel. He is imputed income for any personal use of the car, including expenses for commuting to work. The value of personal use of the CNG vehicle is based on the aggregate incremental cost including cost of fuel provided by the Company, lease payments and maintenance expenses. The amount reflected in this column for Mr. Walker represents \$7,653 for an executive physical exam and \$1,128 for the cost of legal fees related to the review of his Severance Agreement. The amount reflected in this column for Mr. Reeves represents the reimbursement of \$695 for an executive physical exam.

(4)

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

Previously, the Company's security policy required the CEO to use Company aircraft for personal use as well as business travel. The Company discontinued this policy effective as of May 15, 2012. At that time, the Compensation Committee also adopted personal usage limits for Messrs. Hackett and Walker which are discussed in more detail on page 56. The amount reported above reflects the value of personal aircraft use for the full year, including use prior to the adoption of personal usage limits. The value of travel to board meetings for companies other than Anadarko or its affiliates and civic organizations for which the NEOs serve as directors is considered personal use and is included in the amount reported above. Compensation is imputed for personal use of our aircraft by the NEOs and their guests.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS IN 2012

The Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table sets forth information concerning annual incentive awards, performance units, restricted stock units and stock options granted or modified during 2012 for each of the NEOs as described below.

Non-equity incentive plan awards. Values disclosed reflect the estimated cash payouts under the Company's AIP, as discussed on page 45, based on actual salaries earned in 2012. If threshold levels

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

of performance are not met, the payout can be zero. If maximum levels of performance are achieved, the payout can be 200% of each NEO's target. The amounts actually paid to the NEOs for 2012 are disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column.

Equity incentive plan awards. Awards reported reflect performance units, as discussed on page 50, which are denominated as an equivalent of one share of our stock and, if earned, are paid in cash. Executive officers may earn from 0% to 200% of the targeted award based on the Company's relative TSR performance against a specified peer group over a designated performance period. The threshold value reported represents the lowest earned amount, other than zero, based on a defined payout scale. Fifty percent of the award is tied to a two-year performance period and the remaining fifty percent is tied to a three-year performance period. Executive officers do not have voting rights with respect to performance units, and unless after a change of control the award has been converted into restricted stock units of the surviving company, no dividend equivalents are paid on the awards.

Stock awards. Awards reported reflect restricted stock unit awards that vest pro-rata annually over three years, beginning with the first anniversary of the grant date. Dividend equivalents are reinvested in shares of the Company's common stock and paid upon the applicable vesting of the underlying award. Awards are eligible to be voluntarily deferred.

Stock option awards. Stock options vest pro-rata annually over three years, beginning with the first anniversary of the date of grant and have a term of seven years. The exercise price is not less than the market price on the date of grant and repricing of stock options to a lower exercise price is prohibited, unless approved by stockholders.

2012 award modifications. In conjunction with the announcement that Mr. Hackett would transition from CEO to Executive Chairman on May 15, 2012, the Company entered into a Letter Agreement (described on page 59) that modified his outstanding restricted stock unit and stock option awards so that upon his retirement in June 2013, any unvested awards would fully vest. In addition, his unvested performance units outstanding at the time of his retirement were modified so that they would fully vest without proration, rather than vest on a pro-rata basis, and become payable at the end of the applicable performance period based on actual Company performance. Per the terms of the Letter Agreement, Mr. Hackett did not receive any additional equity-based awards in 2012.

On November 5, 2012, the Compensation Committee modified Mr. Walker's May 15, 2012 performance unit award to provide that, upon a Change of Control, the value of any outstanding performance units will be calculated based on the Company's TSR performance and the price of the Company's common stock at the time of the Change of Control and converted into restricted stock units of the surviving company. Such restricted stock units will be credited with dividend equivalents which will be accrued and reinvested in additional restricted stock units of the surviving company that vest with the underlying award. In addition, the award was modified so that, except in the event of the participant's death or a separation of service within two years following certain Change-of-Control events, all payments upon a separation of service that does not result in a forfeiture of the award will be paid at the end of the performance period. In the event of a separation from service that does not result in a forfeiture of the performance units within two years following certain Change-of-Control events, the performance units will generally be paid on the first business day that is at least six months and one day following the applicable separation from service.

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(1)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	Units (#)	Options (#)		
James T. Hackett		0	2,210,000	4,420,000							
	5/15/2012(2)								62,681	63.34	930,086
	5/15/2012(2)								125,032	83.95	1,023,962
	5/15/2012(2)							26,524			1,760,663
	5/15/2012(2)							38,912			2,582,979
	5/15/2012(2)					2,558	4,737	9,474			
R. A. Walker	5/15/2012(2)				2,378	13,211	26,422				851,681
		0	1,315,140	2,630,280							
	5/15/2012(3)								52,511	66.38	1,298,177
	5/15/2012(3)							17,137			1,137,554
	5/15/2012(3)				2,837	10,507	21,014				815,029
	11/5/2012								169,600	70.70	4,396,558
Robert G. Gwin	11/5/2012							54,456			3,850,039
	11/5/2012				9,270	34,335	68,670				2,775,473
	11/5/2012(4)				2,837	10,507	21,014				0
		0	679,250	1,358,500							
	11/5/2012								60,447	70.70	1,566,974
	11/5/2012							19,409			1,372,216
Charles A. Meloy	11/5/2012				3,304	12,238	24,476				989,259
		0	570,000	1,140,000							
	11/5/2012								62,054	70.70	1,608,632
Robert P. Daniels	11/5/2012							19,925			1,408,698
	11/5/2012				3,392	12,563	25,126				1,015,533
	11/5/2012								62,054	70.70	1,608,632
Robert K. Reeves	11/5/2012							19,925			1,408,698
	11/5/2012				3,392	12,563	25,126				1,015,533
	11/5/2012								47,316	70.70	1,226,577
Robert K. Reeves	11/5/2012							15,193			1,074,145
	11/5/2012				2,586	9,579	19,158				774,321
	11/5/2012										

(1) Unless otherwise noted, the amounts in this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of awards made to NEOs in 2012 computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, disregarding estimated forfeitures. The value ultimately realized by each NEO upon the actual vesting of the award(s) or exercise of the stock option(s) may or may not be equal to this determined value. For a discussion of the valuation assumptions, see Note 15 Share-Based Compensation of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8 in our

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

- (2) These awards reflect Mr. Hackett's November 9, 2010 and November 8, 2011 stock option, restricted stock unit and performance unit awards that were modified, as discussed in the narrative to the table, on May 15, 2012. The fair value shown reflects the incremental fair value computed as of the modification date in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.
- (3) These awards reflect the equity awards Mr. Walker received upon his appointment to President and CEO on May 15, 2012.
- (4) This award represents Mr. Walker's May 15, 2012 performance unit award that was modified, as discussed in the narrative to the table, on November 5, 2012. The modification of terms did not affect the estimated fair value and as such, the incremental fair value computed as of the modification date in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 was zero.

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation****OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END 2012**

The following table reflects outstanding stock option awards and unvested and unearned stock awards (both time-based and performance-contingent) as of December 31, 2012, assuming a market value of \$74.31 a share (the closing stock price of the Company's stock on December 31, 2012).

Name	Option Awards(1)		Option Exercise Price(\$)	Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards(2)(3)		Equity Incentive Plan Awards		
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options				Restricted Stock/Units		Performance Units		Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have
	Exercisable(#)	Unexercisable(#)			Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested(#)	Not Vested (\$)	
James T. Hackett(4)	250,000	0	59.87	11/6/2014	26,524	1,970,998	31,968	2,375,542	
	146,529	0	35.18	11/4/2015	38,912	2,891,551	40,923	3,040,988	
	197,600	0	65.44	11/10/2016			25,364	1,884,799	
	125,363	62,681	63.34	11/9/2017					
	62,516	125,032	83.95	11/8/2018					
R. A. Walker	46,400	0	48.69	12/4/2013	12,016	892,909	11,772	874,777	
	41,000	0	48.90	1/10/2014	18,066	1,342,484	18,539	1,377,633	
	62,200	0	59.87	11/6/2014	17,205	1,278,504	11,776	875,075	
	182,900	0	35.18	11/4/2015	54,522	4,051,530	9,666	718,280	
	72,700	0	65.44	11/10/2016			34,335	2,551,434	
	56,793	28,396	63.34	11/9/2017					
	29,026	58,050	83.95	11/8/2018					
	0	52,511	66.38	5/15/2019					
	0	169,600	70.70	11/5/2019					
Robert G. Gwin	27,000	0	50.69	1/16/2013	7,653	568,694	7,632	567,134	
	19,100	0	48.69	12/4/2013	10,830	804,777	11,807	877,378	
	41,000	0	40.51	1/10/2014	19,432	1,443,992	7,060	524,629	
	21,700	0	59.87	11/6/2014			12,238	909,406	
	22,300	0	64.69	3/12/2015					
	78,600	0	35.18	11/4/2015					
	66,200	0	34.95	3/1/2016					
	47,200	0	65.44	11/10/2016					
	36,171	18,085	63.34	11/9/2017					
	17,399	34,797	83.95	11/8/2018					
	0	60,447	70.70	11/5/2019					
Charles A. Meloy	34,600	0	59.87	11/6/2014	6,853	509,246	10,224	759,745	
	26,500	0	35.18	11/4/2015	11,118	826,179	10,574	785,754	
	32,500	0	65.44	11/10/2016	19,949	1,482,410	7,247	538,525	
	32,391	16,195	63.34	11/9/2017			12,563	933,557	
	17,862	35,723	83.95	11/8/2018					
	0	62,054	70.70	11/5/2019					

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

Robert P. Daniels	19,100	0	48.69	12/4/2013	6,853	509,246	10,224	759,745
	40,100	0	59.87	11/6/2014	5,263	391,094	10,574	785,754
	95,400	0	35.18	11/4/2015	11,118	826,179	7,247	538,525
	32,500	0	65.44	11/10/2016	19,949	1,482,410	12,563	933,557
	32,391	16,195	63.34	11/9/2017				
	17,862	35,723	83.95	11/8/2018				
	0	62,054	70.70	11/5/2019				
Robert K. Reeves	35,500	0	48.69	12/4/2013	5,990	445,117	5,940	441,401
	41,000	0	48.90	1/10/2014	8,477	629,926	9,242	686,773
	50,900	0	59.87	11/6/2014	7,941	590,096	5,526	410,637
	115,300	0	35.18	11/4/2015	15,211	1,130,329	9,579	711,815
	36,700	0	65.44	11/10/2016				
	28,313	14,156	63.34	11/9/2017				
	13,619	27,237	83.95	11/8/2018				
	0	47,316	70.70	11/5/2019				

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

- (1) The table below shows the vesting dates for the respective unexercisable stock options listed in the above Outstanding Equity Awards Table:

Vesting Date	Mr. Hackett	Mr. Walker	Mr. Gwin	Mr. Meloy	Mr. Daniels	Mr. Reeves
5/15/2013		17,504				
11/5/2013		56,534	20,149	20,685	20,685	15,772
11/8/2013	62,516	29,025	17,398	17,861	17,861	13,618
11/9/2013	62,681	28,396	18,085	16,195	16,195	14,156
5/15/2014		17,503				
11/5/2014		56,533	20,149	20,684	20,684	15,772
11/8/2014	62,516	29,025	17,399	17,862	17,862	13,619
5/15/2015		17,504				
11/5/2015		56,533	20,149	20,685	20,685	15,772

- (2) The table below shows the vesting dates for the respective restricted stock units, including any dividend equivalents accrued but unvested, listed in the above Outstanding Equity Awards Table:

Vesting Date	Mr. Hackett	Mr. Walker	Mr. Gwin	Mr. Meloy	Mr. Daniels	Mr. Reeves
5/15/2013		5,735				
11/5/2013		18,174	6,477	6,650	6,650	5,071
11/8/2013	19,456	9,033	5,415	5,559	5,559	8,208
11/9/2013	26,524	12,016	7,653	6,853	12,116	5,990
5/15/2014		5,735				
11/5/2014		18,174	6,477	6,649	6,649	5,070
11/8/2014	19,456	9,033	5,415	5,559	5,559	8,210
5/15/2015		5,735				
11/5/2015		18,174	6,478	6,650	6,650	5,070

- (3) The table below shows the performance periods for the respective performance units listed in the above Outstanding Equity Awards Table. The number of outstanding units disclosed is calculated based on our performance as of December 31, 2012, for each award. The estimated payout percentages reflect our relative performance ranking as of December 31, 2012, and are not necessarily indicative of what the payout percent earned will be at the end of the performance period. For awards that were granted in 2012 with performance periods beginning in 2013, target payout has been assumed.

Performance Period	Performance to						
	Date Payout %	Mr. Hackett	Mr. Walker	Mr. Gwin	Mr. Meloy	Mr. Daniels	Mr. Reeves
1/1/2010 to 12/31/2012	72%	31,968	11,772	7,632	10,224	10,224	5,940
1/1/2011 to 12/31/2012	72%	20,461	9,269	5,903	5,287	5,287	4,621
1/1/2011 to 12/31/2013	72%	20,462	9,270	5,904	5,287	5,287	4,621
1/1/2012 to 12/31/2013	72%	12,682	5,888	3,530	3,623	3,623	2,763
1/1/2012 to 12/31/2014	72%	12,682	5,888	3,530	3,624	3,624	2,763
5/15/2012 to 5/14/2014	92%		4,833				
5/15/2012 to 5/14/2015	92%		4,833				
1/1/2013 to 12/31/2014	100%		17,167	6,119	6,281	6,281	4,789
1/1/2013 to 12/31/2015	100%		17,168	6,119	6,282	6,282	4,790

- (4) Per the terms of his Letter Agreement, the vesting of Mr. Hackett's outstanding stock options and restricted stock units will accelerate upon his retirement on June 4, 2013, so long as he stays employed until that date.

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation****OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN 2012**

The following table provides information about the aggregate dollar value realized during 2012 by the NEOs for Anadarko awards, including option exercises, vesting of restricted stock units and performance unit payouts, and Western Gas Holdings, LLC (WGH) unit appreciation right exercises.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)(1)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting #(2)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(1)
James T. Hackett	234,937	11,594,822	244,541	18,750,997
R. A. Walker(3)	72,800	1,845,758	88,009	6,704,241
Robert G. Gwin	20,000(4)	53,898,600(4)	57,483	4,448,542
Charles A. Meloy	0	0	77,952	5,775,159
Robert P. Daniels	8,900	365,824	86,763	6,429,065
Robert K. Reeves(5)	14,800	436,378	54,601	4,145,159

- (1) The value realized reflects the taxable value to the NEO as of the date of the option exercise, unit appreciation right exercise, vesting of restricted stock units, or payment of performance unit awards.
- (2) The numbers disclosed include restricted stock units and performance unit awards paid in shares and cash, respectively, for which restrictions lapsed during 2012.
- (3) Mr. Walker's value includes the exercise of expiring stock options purchased with shares of Company common stock previously held by Mr. Walker. On August 31, 2012 and November 6, 2012, respectively, Mr. Walker transferred 39,233 and 16,950 shares of Company common stock to the Company (based on the applicable stock prices of \$69.27 and \$73.04) as consideration for the exercise price and applicable withholding tax.
- (4) This represents the cash value recognized by Mr. Gwin upon the exercise of UARs under the WGH Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Plan in connection with the initial public offering of WGP. The UARs were granted in April 2008 while he was serving as President and Chief Executive Officer of WGH. The values disclosed above do not include 20,000 distribution equivalent rights (DERs) that vested in connection with the initial public offering of WGP and had a realized value at vesting of \$238,600. For additional discussion of the UARs and DERs see *Note 5 Transactions with Affiliates - The Incentive Plan* under Item 8 of WES's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which shall not be incorporated by reference into this proxy statement.
- (5) Mr. Reeves's value includes the exercise of expiring stock options purchased with shares of Company common stock previously held by Mr. Reeves. On November 6, 2012, Mr. Reeves transferred 11,003 shares of Company common stock to the Company (based on the stock

price of \$73.04) as consideration for the exercise price and applicable withholding tax.

PENSION BENEFITS FOR 2012

The Company maintains the Anadarko Retirement Plan (the APC Retirement Plan) and the Kerr-McGee Corporation Retirement Plan (the KMG Retirement Plan), both of which are funded tax-qualified defined benefit pension plans. In addition, the Company maintains the Anadarko Retirement Restoration Plan, or the APC Retirement Restoration Plan, and the Kerr-McGee Benefits Restoration Plan, or the KMG Restoration Plan, both of which are unfunded, non-qualified pension benefit plans that are designed to provide for supplementary pension benefits due to limitations imposed by the IRC that restrict the amount of benefits payable under tax-qualified plans.

APC Retirement Plan and APC Retirement Restoration Plan

The APC Retirement Plan covers all U.S.-based Anadarko employees, except for legacy Kerr-McGee employees. The APC Retirement Restoration Plan covers certain U.S.-based Anadarko employees, except

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

for legacy Kerr-McGee employees, who are affected by certain IRC limitations. For those employees hired prior to January 1, 2007, which includes all of the NEOs except Mr. Meloy (who is a participant in the KMG Retirement Plan), benefits under these plans are based upon the employee's years of service and the average monthly earnings during the 36 highest paid consecutive months of the last 120 months of employment with the Company.

The APC Retirement Plan and the APC Restoration Plan (collectively, APC Retirement Plans) do not require contributions by employees. An employee becomes vested in his or her benefit at the completion of three years of service. Compensation covered by the APC Retirement Plans includes base salary and payments under the AIP. The maximum amount of compensation for 2012 that may be considered in calculating benefits under the APC Retirement Plan was \$250,000 due to the annual IRC limitation. Compensation in excess of \$250,000 was recognized in determining benefits payable under the APC Retirement Restoration Plan.

For employees hired prior to January 1, 2007, benefits under the APC Retirement Plans are calculated as a life-only annuity (meaning that benefits end upon the participant's death) and are equal to the sum of the following:

1.4% x average compensation x years of service with the Company; plus

0.4% x (average compensation - covered compensation) x years of service with the Company (limited to 35 years).

Covered compensation is the average (without indexing) of the Social Security taxable wage base during the 35-year period ending with the last day of the year in which an individual reaches Social Security retirement age. Benefits are calculated based on a normal retirement age of 65; however, employees may receive a reduced early retirement benefit as early as age 55. Employees may choose to receive their benefits under several different forms provided under the APC Retirement Plan. Employees receive their benefits from the APC Retirement Restoration Plan in the form of a lump-sum payment.

As of December 31, 2012, Messrs. Hackett, Walker and Reeves were the only NEOs eligible for early retirement under the APC Retirement Plans. Early retirement benefits are calculated using the formula described above; however, the value is multiplied by an early retirement reduction factor as follows:

Age	Early Retirement Factor
62 and older	100%
61	97%
60	94%
59	91%
58	88%
57	85%
56	82%
55	79%

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation*****KMG Retirement Plan and KMG Restoration Plan***

The KMG Retirement Plan covers all U.S.-based, legacy Kerr-McGee employees who have not incurred a break in service of greater than one year since the date Kerr-McGee was acquired by Anadarko. The KMG Restoration Plan covers certain legacy Kerr-McGee U.S.-based employees that are affected by the IRC limitations. Benefits under these plans are based upon the employee's years of service and the average monthly earnings during the 36 highest paid consecutive months of the last 120 months of employment.

The KMG Retirement Plan and the KMG Restoration Plan (collectively, KMG Retirement Plans) do not require contributions by employees. An employee becomes vested in his or her benefit at the completion of three years of service. Compensation covered by the KMG Retirement Plans includes base salary and payments under the AIP. The maximum amount of compensation for 2012 that may be considered in calculating benefits under the KMG Retirement Plan was \$250,000 due to the annual IRC limitation. Compensation in excess of \$250,000 was recognized in determining benefits payable under the KMG Restoration Plan.

Benefits under the KMG Retirement Plans are calculated as a life-only annuity for single participants, and a joint and 50% contingent annuity for married participants who are eligible for retirement. Benefits under this plan are equal to the sum of Part A and Part B:

Part A:

$1.1\% \times \text{average compensation} \times \text{years of service prior to March 1, 1999}$; plus

$0.5\% \times (\text{average compensation} - \text{covered compensation}) \times \text{years of service prior to March 1, 1999}$ (limited to 35 years).

Part B:

$1.667\% \times \text{average compensation} \times \text{years of service on or after March 1, 1999}$ (limited to 30 years); plus

$0.75\% \times \text{average compensation} \times \text{years of service on or after March 1, 1999}$ in excess of 30 years; less

$1\% \times \text{primary Social Security benefit} \times \text{years of service on or after March 1, 1999}$ as of age 65 (limited to 30 years) \times (years of service on or after March 1, 1999 divided by years of service on or after March 1, 1999 at age 65).

Covered compensation is the average (without indexing) of the Social Security taxable wage base during the 35-year period ending with the last day of the year in which an individual reaches Social Security retirement age. Benefits are calculated based on a normal retirement age of 65; however, employees may receive a reduced early retirement benefit as early as age 52. Employees may choose to receive their benefits under several different forms provided under the KMG Retirement Plan. Employees receive their benefits from the KMG Restoration Plan in the form of a lump-sum payment.

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

Mr. Meloy is eligible for early retirement under the KMG Restoration Plan. Early retirement benefits under the KMG Retirement Plans are calculated using the formula described above, however, the value is multiplied by an early retirement reduction factor as follows:

Age Benefit Payments Start	First Formula Percentage of Normal Retirement Age Benefit Payable (Age Reductions for Benefits Earned Before March 1, 1999)		Second Formula Percentage of Normal Retirement Age Benefit Payable (Age Reductions for Benefits Earned On or After March 1, 1999)
	Part A	Part B	
62 and older	100%	100%	100%
61	100%	95%	100%
60	100%	90%	100%
59	95%	85%	95%
58	90%	80%	90%
57	85%	75%	85%
56	80%	67.5%	80%
55	75%	60%	75%
54	70%	55%	70%
53	65%	50%	65%
52	60%	45%	60%

As of December 31, 2011, legacy participants in both the APC and KMG Retirement Plans, excluding Mr. Hackett as CEO, were given a one-time option to either (1) continue to accrue benefits as outlined above (Option 1) or (2) accrue future benefits under the PWA using the same cash balance formula as employees hired on or after January 1, 2007 (Option 2). For participants electing Option 2, the above formulae were modified such that:

Future accruals consist of pay credits (outlined in the table below, with points equal to the sum of age and years of service) and interest credits;

Consistent with the treatment of employees hired on or after January 1, 2007, Anadarko will make an additional contribution each year to the Employee Savings Plan (and/or the Savings Restoration Plan, to the extent required) of four percent of eligible compensation;

Service and average compensation used in determining benefits under the above final average pay formulae were frozen as of December 31, 2011;

If retirement eligible on or before December 31, 2012, the lump sum interest rate used in determining the lump sum value of pre-2012 accruals would be no greater than 3.18%; and

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

If not retirement eligible on or before December 31, 2012, the lump sum interest rate used in determining the lump sum value of pre-2012 accruals would be no greater than the rate in effect on the date the participant first becomes eligible for early retirement.

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

Messrs. Daniels, Gwin, Walker, and Reeves chose to continue receiving benefits under Option 1. Mr. Meloy chose to accrue benefits under the PWA beginning in 2012, according to Option 2. The current pay credits provided under the PWA are as follows:

Points	Pay Credit
80 or more	13%
70	11%
60	9%
50	7%
40	6%
Less than 40	5%

The present values provided in the table below are based on the pension benefits accrued through December 31, 2012, assuming that such benefit is paid in the same form as reflected in the accounting valuation. The benefits are assumed to commence at the specified plan's earliest unreduced retirement age, which is age 62 for those NEOs under the APC Retirement Plans and age 55 for Mr. Meloy under the KMG Retirement Plans pursuant to his 2006 Retention Agreement. All pre-retirement decrements such as pre-retirement mortality and terminations have been ignored for the purposes of these calculations. The interest rate used for discounting payments back to December 31, 2012, is 3.00% in the APC Restoration Plan and 3.75% in the APC Retirement Plan; and 2.75% in the KMG Restoration Plan and 3.50% in the KMG Retirement Plan, consistent with the discount rates used in the accounting valuation. The long-term interest rate used for converting the benefit to a lump-sum form of payment is set at 100 basis points less than the discount rate, but not less than the most recently published 30-year Treasury rate. Lump sums for NEOs who have locked in or will lock in a known interest rate pursuant to Option 2 (PWA) choice are valued using such lock-in rate. The interest rate used for calculating the values below is 2.88%.

PENSION BENEFITS

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years of Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)	Payments During 2012 (\$)
James T. Hackett(1)	APC Retirement Plan	9.000	513,777	0
	APC Retirement Restoration Plan	18.000	22,261,882	0
R. A. Walker(2)	APC Retirement Plan	7.000	355,219	0
	APC Retirement Restoration Plan	15.000	6,548,206	0
Robert G. Gwin	APC Retirement Plan	7.000	279,676	0
	APC Retirement Restoration Plan	7.000	2,030,349	0
Charles A. Meloy(3)	KMG Retirement Plan	30.583	1,637,837	0
	KMG Restoration Plan	35.583	20,427,499	0
Robert P. Daniels	APC Retirement Plan	27.000	1,272,654	0
	APC Retirement Restoration Plan	27.000	7,729,196	0
Robert K. Reeves(2)	APC Retirement Plan	9.000	442,912	0
	APC Retirement Restoration Plan	14.000	4,231,196	0

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (1) The value of Mr. Hackett's APC Retirement Restoration Plan benefit in the table includes the effect of the additional pension service credits equal to nine years of credited service provided under his Employment Agreement, which was originally effective as of December 2003 and reflected future retirement benefits forfeited with his prior employer. Mr. Hackett vested in these additional pension service credits on

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

December 3, 2008. Mr. Hackett's total pension value as of December 31, 2012, excluding these additional pension service credits, is \$11,381,854.

- (2) The value of Messrs. Walker's and Reeves's APC Retirement Restoration benefit in the table includes the effect of the additional pension service credits equal to eight and five years of credited service, respectively, provided in 2007 to recognize that they were mid-career hires that we would like to retain for the remainder of their careers. Providing them additional service credits recognized a portion of their prior industry and service years, which directly benefits us and our stockholders. Messrs. Walker and Reeves vested in these additional pension service credits on February 20, 2012 and December 12, 2012, respectively. Messrs. Walker's and Reeves's total pension values as of December 31, 2012, excluding these additional pension service credits are \$3,212,926 and \$2,996,540, respectively.
- (3) The value of Mr. Meloy's KMG Retirement Restoration Plan benefit includes the effect of the additional pension service credits equal to five years of credit service provided under his 2006 Retention Agreement. Mr. Meloy vested in these additional pension service credits on August 10, 2009. The additional pension service credit was included in the 2006 Retention Agreement to compensate him for certain severance benefits he was otherwise entitled to receive under the change-of-control agreement he had with Kerr-McGee. Mr. Meloy's total pension value as of December 31, 2012, excluding these additional pension service credits is \$15,655,441.

NON-QUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION FOR 2012

The Company maintains a Deferred Compensation Plan for certain employees, including the NEOs. Under this Plan, certain employees may voluntarily defer receipt of up to 75% of their salary and/or up to 100% of their AIP payments. The Company does not match these deferred amounts. In general, deferred amounts are distributed to the participant upon separation from service or at a specific date as elected by the participant. At the time deferral elections are made, participants also elect to receive their distributions in either lump-sum or annual installments not exceeding 15 years.

Due to IRC limitations that restrict the amount of benefits payable under the tax-qualified 401(k) Plan, the Company sponsors a non-qualified Savings Restoration Plan. The Savings Restoration Plan accrues a benefit equal to the excess, if any, of Company matching and PWA contributions that would have been allocated to a participant's 401(k) Plan account each year without regard to IRC limitations over amounts that were, in fact, allocated to a participant's account. After a participant reaches the IRC limitations under the 401(k) Plan, the Company makes contributions on their behalf up to the six-percent match on eligible compensation they would have otherwise been entitled to receive under the 401(k) Plan and, if applicable, an additional four percent of eligible compensation for PWA participants. Eligible compensation includes base salary and AIP bonus payments. In general, deferred amounts are distributed to the participant in lump-sum upon separation from service.

Both the Deferred Compensation Plan and the Savings Restoration Plan permit participants to allocate the deferred amounts among a group of notional accounts that mirror the gains and/or losses of various investment funds provided in the 401(k) Plan (but excluding the Company stock fund). These notional accounts do not provide for above-market or preferential earnings. Each participant directs investments of the individual accounts set up for the participant under the plans and may make changes in the investments as often as daily. Since each executive officer chooses the investment vehicle or vehicles (including a selection of funds ranging from fixed income to emerging markets, as well as other equity, debt and mixed investment strategies in between) and may change their allocations from time to time, the return on the investment will depend on how well each underlying investment fund performed during the time the executive officer chose it as an investment vehicle. The aggregate performance of such investment is reflected in the Aggregate Earnings/Losses in 2012 column.

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

Executive officers were given the opportunity to make voluntary deferral elections for all of their annual restricted stock unit and performance unit awards granted under the Company's 1999 Stock Incentive Plan and the 2008 and 2012 Omnibus Plans. Any earnings and/or losses attributable to the deferred shares otherwise payable under these awards are based on the performance of the Company's stock over the deferral period. In general, deferred awards are distributed to the participant, in the form of Company common stock or cash, as designated by the Compensation Committee at the time of grant, upon termination or at a specific date as elected by the participant. The Company does not subsidize or match any deferrals of compensation into these plans.

Name	Executive Contributions in 2012 (\$)	Company Contributions in 2012 (\$)	Aggregate Earnings/(Losses) in 2012 (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals / Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at End of 2012 (\$)
James T. Hackett					
Deferred Compensation Plan	0	0	0	0	0
Savings Restoration Plan(1)	0	274,655	229,341	0	2,391,697
1999 Stock Incentive Plan(2)	0	0	(242,148)	0	8,907,911
2008 Omnibus Plan	0	0	0	0	0
2012 Omnibus Plan	0	0	0	0	0
R. A. Walker					
Deferred Compensation Plan	0	0	0	0	0
Savings Restoration Plan(1)	0	126,678	63,169	0	700,450
1999 Stock Incentive Plan	0	0	0	0	0
2008 Omnibus Plan	0	0	0	0	0
2012 Omnibus Plan	0	0	0	0	0
Robert G. Gwin					
Deferred Compensation Plan	0	0	0	0	0
Savings Restoration Plan(1)	0	98,248	49,700	0	484,880
1999 Stock Incentive Plan	0	0	0	0	0
2008 Omnibus Plan	0	0	0	0	0
2012 Omnibus Plan	0	0	0	0	0
Charles A. Meloy					
Deferred Compensation Plan	0	0	0	0	0
Savings Restoration Plan(1)	0	134,216	69,158	0	680,470
1999 Stock Incentive Plan	0	0	0	0	0
2008 Omnibus Plan	0	0	0	0	0
2012 Omnibus Plan	0	0	0	0	0
Robert P. Daniels					
Deferred Compensation Plan(3)	0	0	236,647	0	1,593,334
Savings Restoration Plan(1)	0	80,530	45,617	(245,100)	459,941
1999 Stock Incentive Plan	0	0	0	0	0
2008 Omnibus Plan	0	0	0	0	0
2012 Omnibus Plan	0	0	0	0	0
Robert K. Reeves					
Deferred Compensation Plan	0	0	0	0	0
Savings Restoration Plan(1)	0	84,043	46,455	0	571,883
1999 Stock Incentive Plan	0	0	0	0	0
2008 Omnibus Plan	0	0	0	0	0

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

2012 Omnibus Plan	0	0	0	0	0
-------------------	---	---	---	---	---

- (1) Company contributions in the Savings Restoration Plan are reported in the Summary Compensation Table for each of the NEOs under the All Other Compensation column for the fiscal year 2012. The Savings Restoration Plan Aggregate Balance includes amounts reported in the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table for 2012 as well as amounts previously reported in prior Summary Compensation Tables. The amounts currently or previously reported in the Summary Compensation Table for each NEO are as

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation

follows: Mr. Hackett \$1,744,578; Mr. Walker \$571,854; Mr. Gwin \$331,242; Mr. Meloy \$520,315; Mr. Daniels \$312,695; and Mr. Reeves \$294,040.

- (2) Mr. Hackett elected in 2007 to defer receipt of any shares earned under his 2007 annual performance share award. In accordance with his election, 71,750 shares earned for the performance period ended December 31, 2009, and 48,125 shares earned for the performance period ended December 31, 2010, were deferred until the earlier of November 28, 2013, or separation from service. The aggregate balance at the end of 2012 represents the value of all deferred shares as of December 31, 2012, based on the closing stock price of \$74.31.

- (3) Mr. Daniels's balance in the Deferred Compensation Plan includes \$366,203 previously reported in prior Summary Compensation Tables.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE OF CONTROL

The following tables reflect potential payments to our NEOs under existing contracts, agreements, plans or arrangements, whether written or unwritten, for various scenarios involving a change of control or termination of employment of each NEO, assuming a termination date of December 31, 2012, and, where applicable, using the closing price of our common stock of \$74.31 (as reported on the NYSE as of December 31, 2012).

The following are general definitions that apply to the termination scenarios detailed below. These definitions have been summarized and are qualified in their entirety by the full text of the applicable plans or agreements to which our NEOs are parties.

Involuntary Termination is generally defined as any termination that does not result from the following termination events: resignation; retirement; for cause; death; qualifying disability; extended leave of absence; continued failure to perform duties or responsibilities; a termination in connection with any corporate sale transaction where continued employment is available; or a termination if the NEO is eligible to receive benefits from a Key Employee Change-of-Control Contract, or under an employment or severance agreement.

For Cause is generally defined as the following:

the willful and continued failure of the executive officer to perform substantially the executive officer's duties with the Company or one of its affiliates (other than any such failure resulting from incapacity due to physical or mental illness) or material breach of any material provision in an employment agreement (if applicable), after written demand for substantial performance is delivered to the executive officer by the Board or the CEO of the Company which specifically identifies the manner in which the Board or CEO believes that the executive officer has not substantially performed the executive officer's duties; or

the willful engaging by the executive officer in illegal conduct or gross misconduct which is materially and demonstrably injurious to the Company.

A Change of Control is generally defined as any one of the following occurrences:

any individual, entity or group acquires beneficial ownership of 20% or more of either the outstanding shares of our common stock or our combined voting power;

individuals who constitute the Board (as of the date of either a given change-of-control contract or an award agreement under our equity plans, as applicable) cease to constitute a majority of the Board, provided that an individual whose election or nomination as a director

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation

is approved by a vote of at least a majority of the directors as of the date of either the change-of-control contract or an award agreement under our equity plans, as applicable, will be deemed a member of the incumbent Board;

a reorganization, merger or consolidation or sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets or the acquisition of assets of another entity, unless following the business combination,

all or substantially all of the beneficial owners of our outstanding common stock prior to the business combination own more than 60% of the outstanding common stock of the corporation resulting from the business combination;

no person, entity or group owns 20% or more of the outstanding voting securities of the corporation resulting from the business combination; and

at least a majority of the board of the corporation resulting from the business combination were members of our Board prior to the business combination; or

approval by our stockholders of our complete liquidation or dissolution.

Good Reason is generally defined as any one of the following occurrences within three years of a Change of Control:

diminution in the executive officer's position, authority, duties or responsibilities that were effective immediately prior to the Change of Control, excluding for this purpose an isolated, insubstantial and inadvertent action not taken in bad faith and which is remedied by the Company promptly after receipt of notice thereof given by the executive officer;

any failure by the Company to provide compensation to the executive officer at levels that were effective immediately prior to the Change of Control, excluding for this purpose an isolated, insubstantial and inadvertent action not taken in bad faith and which is remedied by the Company promptly after receipt of notice thereof given by the executive officer;

any material change in the location, as defined in the applicable agreement, where the executive officer was employed immediately preceding the Change of Control, or the Company requiring the executive officer to travel on Company business to a substantially greater extent than required immediately prior to the Change of Control;

any termination by the executive officer for any reason during the 30-day period immediately following the first anniversary of a Change of Control (such occurrence is not part of the good reason definition under Mr. Walker's Severance Agreement);

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

any purported termination by the Company of the executive officer's employment otherwise than as expressly permitted in their Change-of-Control, Employment or Severance Agreement; or

any failure by the Company to require any successor (whether direct or indirect, by purchase, merger, consolidation or otherwise) to assume the terms provided in the executive officer's Change-of-Control or Employment or Severance Agreement.

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

In February 2011, the Compensation Committee eliminated on a prospective basis the Good Reason provision allowing an executive officer to terminate for any reason during the 30-day period immediately following the first anniversary of a Change of Control for all key employee change-of-control contracts executed with any newly appointed and/or newly hired senior executive officers who are not otherwise subject to an existing agreement. The new Severance Agreement for Mr. Walker also excludes this modified single-trigger provision.

Disability is generally defined as the absence of the executive officer from his or her duties with the Company on a full-time basis for 180 business days as a result of incapacity due to mental or physical illness that is determined to be total and permanent by a physician selected by the Company or its insurers and acceptable to the executive officer or the executive officer's legal representative.

Additional details of the post-termination arrangements can be found on page 56. As described in additional detail on page 59, Mr. Hackett's Letter Agreement modified the potential payments payable to Mr. Hackett upon his retirement on June 4, 2013. However, in the event of Mr. Hackett's termination prior to June 4, 2013, the potential payments payable to Mr. Hackett are governed solely by his Employment Agreement. Accordingly, with respect to Mr. Hackett, the following tables reflect potential payments under his Employment Agreement, assuming a termination date of December 31, 2012.

Involuntary For Cause Termination

	Mr. Hackett(\$)	Mr. Walker(\$)	Mr. Gwin(\$)	Mr. Meloy(\$)	Mr. Daniels(\$)	Mr. Reeves(\$)
Cash Severance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0

Voluntary Termination (Including Retirement)

	Mr. Hackett\$(1)	Mr. Walker\$(1)	Mr. Gwin(\$)	Mr. Meloy\$(1)	Mr. Daniels(\$)	Mr. Reeves\$(1)
Prorated Portion of Performance Unit Awards(2)	1,799,009	1,023,388	0	486,291	0	400,017
Total	1,799,009	1,023,388	0	486,291	0	400,017

(1) As of December 31, 2012, Messrs. Hackett, Walker, Meloy and Reeves were eligible for retirement.

(2) Under the terms of the performance unit agreements, retirement-eligible participants receive a prorated payout, paid after the end of the performance period, based on actual performance and the number of months worked during the performance period. Messrs. Hackett's, Walker's, Meloy's and Reeves's values reflect an estimated payout based on performance to date through December 31, 2012, which is not indicative of the payout they will receive at the end of the performance period based on actual performance.

Involuntary Not For Cause Termination

	Mr. Hackett(\$)	Mr. Walker(\$)	Mr. Gwin(\$)	Mr. Meloy(\$)	Mr. Daniels(\$)	Mr. Reeves(\$)
Cash Severance(1)	11,730,000	4,502,950	2,109,250	1,770,000	1,770,000	1,885,000
Pro-rata AIP Bonus(2)	3,779,100	1,627,023	0	974,700	974,700	1,000,350
Accelerated Equity Compensation(3)	8,955,478	13,739,298	5,106,801	5,084,450	5,475,543	4,587,406
Retirement Restoration Plan Benefits(4)	8,222,488	0	0	4,032,983	0	0
Health and Welfare Benefits(5)	113,022	118,520	60,008	41,827	529,476	69,555

Total	32,800,088	19,987,791	7,276,059	11,903,960	8,749,719	7,542,311
--------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	------------------	------------------

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

- (1) Mr. Hackett's value assumes three times his base salary plus target AIP bonus; Mr. Walker's value assumes two times his current base salary plus his target AIP bonus (with his target AIP bonus calculated as the product of his salary in effect at the beginning of the year and his incentive target bonus percentage for the year); all other NEO values assume two times base salary plus one times target AIP bonus.
- (2) All payments, if provided, will be paid at the end of the performance period following the Committee's certification of corporate performance. Mr. Walker's value is calculated assuming his base salary in effect at the beginning of the year. All other NEO values in the table are based on base salary earnings for the year and reflect the actual bonuses awarded under the Company's 2012 AIP as discussed on page 49.
- (3) Reflects the in-the-money value of unvested stock options, the estimated current value of unvested performance units and the value of unvested restricted stock units, all as of December 31, 2012. In the event of an involuntary termination, unvested performance units would be paid after the end of the applicable performance periods based on actual performance. Current values reflect performance to date estimates as of December 31, 2012.
- (4) Reflects the lump-sum present value of additional benefits related to the Company's supplemental pension benefits which are contingent upon the termination event. All values include special pension credits, provided through an employment agreement, retention agreement, the APC Retirement Restoration Plan or the KMG Restoration Plan, respectively. On a case-by-case basis, the Compensation Committee may approve a special retirement benefit enhancement that is equivalent to the additional supplemental pension benefits that would have accrued assuming they were eligible for subsidized early retirement benefits. Messrs. Hackett, Walker, Meloy, and Reeves are not eligible for this supplemental benefit because they were eligible for early retirement as of December 31, 2012. If the Compensation Committee were to have approved this special benefit for the other NEOs, the incremental value as of December 31, 2012, to the Retirement Restoration Plan benefits disclosed above would have been \$843,246 for Mr. Gwin and \$3,290,423 for Mr. Daniels.
- (5) Mr. Hackett's value represents 18 months of health and welfare benefit coverage; all other NEO values represent a total of 24 months of health and welfare benefit coverage. All amounts are present values determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 715. Mr. Daniels's value also includes the present value of a retiree death benefit in the Management Life Insurance Plan (MLIP). The MLIP provides for a retiree death benefit equal to one times final base salary. This retiree death benefit is only applicable to participants who were employed by the Company on June 30, 2003. Therefore, this benefit is only applicable to Mr. Daniels.

Change of Control: Involuntary Termination or Voluntary For Good Reason

	Mr. Hackett(\$)	Mr. Walker(\$)	Mr. Gwin(\$)	Mr. Meloy(\$)	Mr. Daniels(\$)	Mr. Reeves(\$)
Cash Severance(1)	11,730,000	5,945,620	5,243,473	4,654,790	4,654,790	4,481,553
Pro-rata AIP Bonus(2)	2,210,000	1,627,023	1,093,094	1,005,100	1,005,100	895,363
Accelerated Equity Compensation(3)	10,279,768	14,347,502	5,481,427	5,446,654	5,837,748	4,880,636
Retirement Restoration Plan Benefits(4)	5,966,232	1,162,276	1,937,740	4,032,983	3,675,687	866,884
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation(5)	868,966	437,148	314,543	430,340	258,204	270,129
Health and Welfare Benefits(6)	250,310	176,952	90,056	66,809	559,517	104,293
Outplacement Assistance	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
Financial Counseling(7)	0	0	44,530	44,530	44,530	44,530

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

Excise Tax and Gross-Up(8)	0	0	4,766,887	0	0	0
Best-of-Net Tax Adjustment(9)	N/A	(2,519,150)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	31,335,276	21,207,371	19,001,750	15,711,206	16,065,576	11,573,388

80

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

- (1) Mr. Hackett's value assumes three times his base salary plus target AIP bonus; Mr. Walker's value assumes 2.5 times his current base salary plus his average annual bonus earned for the two most recently completed fiscal years; all other NEO values assume 2.9 times the sum of base salary plus the highest AIP bonus paid in the past three years.
- (2) Mr. Hackett's value assumes payment of pro-rata AIP bonus based on the target AIP bonus percentage and base salary in effect as of December 31, 2012; Mr. Walker's value assumes payment of a pro-rata AIP bonus based on his target AIP bonus percentage in effect for the year of termination, his base salary in effect at the beginning of the year and the Company's actual performance under the Company's 2012 AIP; all other NEO values assume the full-year equivalent of the highest annual AIP bonus the officer received over the past three years.
- (3) Includes the in-the-money value of unvested stock options, the value of unvested restricted stock units and the estimated current value of unvested performance units, all as of December 31, 2012. Upon a Change of Control, unvested performance units granted prior to 2012 would be paid at target. Upon a Change of Control, the value of any outstanding performance units granted in 2012 will be calculated based on the Company's TSR performance and the price of the Company's Common Stock at the time of the Change of Control and converted into restricted stock units of the surviving company. In the event of an involuntary not for cause or voluntary for good reason termination within two years following a Change of Control, the units will generally be paid on the first business day that is at least six months and one day following the separation from service. In the event of an involuntary not for cause or voluntary for good reason termination that is more than two years following a Change of Control, the units will be paid at the end of the performance period. For performance units payable based on actual performance, current values reflect performance to date estimates as of December 31, 2012.
- (4) Reflects the lump-sum present value of additional benefits related to the Company's supplemental pension benefits which are contingent upon the termination event. For Messrs. Gwin and Daniels, who as of December 31, 2012 were not retirement eligible, the values include a special retirement benefit enhancement that is equivalent to the additional supplemental pension benefits that would have accrued assuming the NEOs were eligible for subsidized early retirement benefits. All values include special pension credits, provided through an employment agreement, retention agreement, the APC Retirement Restoration Plan, the KMG Restoration Plan or a key employee change-of-control contract, respectively.
- (5) Includes the value of an additional three years of employer contributions into the Savings Restoration Plan based on each officer's current contribution rate to the Plan.
- (6) Values represent 36 months of health and welfare benefit coverage. All amounts are present values determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 715. Mr. Daniels's value also includes the present value of a retiree death benefit in the MLIP. The MLIP provides for a retiree death benefit equal to one times final base salary. This retiree death benefit is only applicable to participants who were employed by the Company on June 30, 2003. Therefore, this benefit is only applicable to Mr. Daniels.
- (7) Values assume financial counseling services continue for three years after termination. Mr. Hackett does not currently use this Company-provided service and therefore benefits are not assumed to be extended to him after termination. Per the terms of Mr. Walker's

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

Severance Agreement, he is not eligible for post-termination financial counseling benefits.

- (8) Values estimate the total payment required to make each executive officer whole for the 20% excise tax imposed by IRC Section 4999. Mr. Walker is no longer eligible for this excise tax gross-up benefit per the terms of his Severance Agreement.
- (9) Reflects the aggregate impact of the best-of-net tax adjustment as prescribed under Mr. Walker's Severance Agreement (as discussed on page 60).

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation***Disability*

	Mr. Hackett(\$)	Mr. Walker(\$)	Mr. Gwin(\$)	Mr. Meloy(\$)	Mr. Daniels(\$)	Mr. Reeves(\$)
Cash Severance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pro-rata AIP Bonus(1)	2,210,000	1,315,140	679,250	570,000	570,000	585,000
Accelerated Equity Compensation(2)	10,279,768	14,347,502	5,481,427	5,446,654	5,837,748	4,880,636
Health and Welfare Benefits(3)	1,135,447	1,011,721	481,557	377,361	360,025	371,198
Total	13,625,215	16,674,363	6,642,234	6,394,015	6,767,773	5,836,834

- (1) Represents payment of a pro-rata target AIP bonus based on target bonus percentages effective for the 2012 AIP and eligible earnings as of December 31, 2012.
- (2) Includes the in-the-money value of unvested stock options, the value of unvested restricted stock units and the estimated current value of unvested performance units, all as of December 31, 2012. In the event of a termination as a result of a disability, unvested performance units granted prior to 2012 would be paid at target. Performance units granted in 2012 would be paid after the end of the applicable performance period, based on actual performance. For performance units payable based on actual performance, current values reflect performance to date estimates as of December 31, 2012.
- (3) Reflects the continuation of additional death benefit coverage provided to officers of the Company until age 65. All amounts are present values determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 715.

Death

	Mr. Hackett(\$)	Mr. Walker(\$)	Mr. Gwin(\$)	Mr. Meloy(\$)	Mr. Daniels(\$)	Mr. Reeves(\$)
Cash Severance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pro-rata AIP Bonus(1)	2,210,000	1,315,140	679,250	570,000	570,000	585,000
Accelerated Equity Compensation(2)	10,279,768	14,409,964	5,481,427	5,446,654	5,837,748	4,880,636
Life Insurance Proceeds(3)	9,130,060	2,928,510	2,463,394	2,067,183	2,067,183	2,239,449
Total	21,619,828	18,653,614	8,624,071	8,083,837	8,474,931	7,705,085

- (1) Represents payment of a pro-rata target AIP bonus based on target bonus percentages effective for the 2012 AIP and eligible earnings as of December 31, 2012.
- (2) Includes the in-the-money value of unvested stock options, the target value of unvested performance units, and the value of unvested restricted stock units, all as of December 31, 2012.

- (3) Includes amounts payable under additional death benefits provided to officers and other key employees of the Company. These liabilities are not insured, but are self-funded by the Company. Proceeds are not exempt from federal taxes; values shown include an additional tax gross-up amount to equate benefits with nontaxable life insurance proceeds. Values exclude death benefit proceeds from programs available to all employees.

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

In addition to the benefits outlined above for each termination scenario, each of the NEOs would be paid following termination for any reason, the following vested amounts under our nonqualified benefit programs, which have been previously earned but not paid:

	Mr. Hackett(\$)	Mr. Walker(\$)	Mr. Gwin(\$)	Mr. Meloy(\$)	Mr. Daniels(\$)	Mr. Reeves(\$)
Retirement Restoration Plan Benefits(1)	24,098,366	7,502,673	1,608,508	20,257,028	6,149,004	4,908,366
Non-qualified Deferred Compensation(2)	11,299,608	700,450	484,880	680,470	2,053,275	571,883
Health and Welfare Benefits(3)	86,297	124,097	0	0	0	132,743
Total	35,484,271	8,327,220	2,093,388	20,937,498	8,202,279	5,612,992

- (1) Reflects the lump-sum present value of vested benefits related to the Company's supplemental pension benefits.
- (2) Reflects the combined vested balances in the non-qualified Savings Restoration Plan and Deferred Compensation Plan. Mr. Hackett's value includes the shares he earned and deferred under the 1999 Stock Incentive Plan in settlement for his 2007 annual performance share award for the performance periods ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2010.
- (3) Messrs. Hackett's, Walker's and Reeves's values represent the lump-sum value of vested subsidized retiree medical benefits. This benefit is coordinated with any additional continuation of health and welfare benefits. In the event of an involuntary not for cause termination, Messrs. Hackett's, Walker's and Reeves's vested benefits would be reduced to \$63,380, \$93,746 and \$102,420, respectively. In the event of an involuntary not for cause or voluntary for good reason termination following a change of control, Messrs. Hackett's, Walker's and Reeves's vested benefits would be reduced to \$41,677, \$79,265 and \$87,976, respectively.

Table of Contents

Transactions with Related Persons

The Company recognizes that related-person transactions can present potential or actual conflicts of interest and it is the Company's preference that related-person transactions are avoided as a general matter. However, the Company also recognizes that there are situations, including certain transactions negotiated on an arm's length basis, where related-person transactions may be in, or may not be inconsistent with, the best interest of the Company and our stockholders. Therefore, the Company has written procedures for the approval, ratification and review of ongoing related-person transactions. Either the Board's Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or the full Board (as determined by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee) will review, ratify or approve, as necessary, any related-person transactions prior to the transaction being entered into, or ratify any related person transactions that have not been previously approved, in which a director, five-percent owner, executive officer or immediate family member of any such person has a material interest, and where the transaction is in an amount in excess of \$120,000, either individually or in the aggregate of several transactions during any calendar year. This review typically occurs in connection with regularly scheduled Board meetings.

In addition to those matters described above, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has approved in advance the following categories of related-person transactions: (i) the rates and terms involved in such transactions where the Company's standard rates and terms for such transactions apply; and (ii) the hiring of a related person (including immediate family members) as an employee of the Company (but not an officer), provided that total annual compensation (meaning base salary, annual incentive bonus and other amounts to be reported on a W-2) does not exceed \$120,000.

Table of Contents**Independent Auditor****ITEM 2 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR**

The Audit Committee has appointed KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, to audit the Company's financial statements for 2013. The Board, at the request of the Audit Committee, is asking you to ratify that appointment.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF KPMG LLP TO AUDIT THE COMPANY'S FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR 2013. If the stockholders do not ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP, the Audit Committee will make the final determination of the independent auditor for 2013.

KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, served as the Company's independent auditor during 2012. Representatives of KPMG LLP will be present at the Annual Meeting to make a statement, if they desire to do so, and to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

The following table presents fees for the audits of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements for 2012 and 2011 and for other services provided by KPMG LLP.

	2012	2011
Audit Fees	\$ 6,042,000	\$ 5,600,000
Audit-related Fees	871,000	590,000
Tax Fees	4,000	913,000
All Other Fees	0	0
Total	\$ 6,917,000	\$ 7,103,000

Audit fees are primarily for the audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements included in the Form 10-K, including the audit of the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting, and the reviews of the Company's financial statements included in the Forms 10-Q. KPMG LLP also served as the independent auditor of WES and fees for the audit of WES's annual consolidated financial statements for 2012 and 2011 were \$948,000 and \$907,000, respectively, which are not included in the table above. In addition, KPMG LLP served as the independent auditor of WGP in connection with its initial public offering in December 2012. Fees for the audit of WGP's annual consolidated financial statements for 2012 were \$450,000, which are not included in the table above.

Audit-related fees are primarily for the audits of the Company's benefit plans, other audits, consents, comfort letters and certain financial accounting consultation. Audit-related fees related to WES for 2012 and 2011 were \$665,000 and \$650,000, respectively, which are not included in the table above. Audit-related fees related to WGP for 2012 were \$275,000, which are not included in the table above.

Tax fees are primarily for tax planning compliance and services. The Audit Committee has concluded that the provision of tax services is compatible with maintaining KPMG LLP's independence.

The Audit Committee adopted a Pre-Approval Policy with respect to services which may be performed by KPMG LLP. This policy lists specific audit, audit-related, and tax services as well as any other services that KPMG LLP is authorized to perform and sets out specific dollar limits for each specific service, which may not be exceeded without additional Audit Committee authorization. The Audit Committee receives quarterly reports on the status of expenditures pursuant to that Pre-Approval Policy.

Table of Contents

Independent Auditor

The Audit Committee reviews the policy at least annually in order to approve services and limits for the current year. Any service that is not clearly enumerated in the policy must receive specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee or by its Chairperson, to whom such authority has been conditionally delegated, prior to engagement. During 2012, no fees for services outside the scope of audit, review, or attestation that exceed the waiver provisions of 17 CFR 210.2-01(c)(7)(i)(C) were requested of or approved by the Audit Committee.

Table of Contents

Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation

ITEM 3 ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE THE COMPANY'S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 enables our stockholders to vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's NEOs, as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to the SEC's compensation disclosure rules. The Board recognizes the importance of our stockholders' opportunity for an advisory say-on-pay vote as a means of expressing views regarding the compensation practices and programs for our NEOs. Based upon the outcome of our 2011 say-on-pay frequency vote, the Company will hold an annual advisory say-on-pay vote until the next say-on-pay frequency vote, which, in accordance with applicable law, will occur no later than the Company's annual meeting of stockholders in 2017.

As described in detail under the heading Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Committee believes that the main objective of our executive compensation program is to pay for performance while aligning executives' interests with stockholder interests. We pay competitive levels of compensation to attract and retain experienced, talented executives and we structure pay to support our business objectives with appropriate rewards for short-term operating results and long-term stockholder value creation. Accordingly, our compensation philosophy recognizes the value of rewarding our executive officers for their past performance and motivating them to continue to excel in the future. The incentive compensation earned and paid to our NEOs for 2012 and the decisions made by the Committee impacting compensation for our NEOs in 2012 reflect the pay-for-performance alignment of our compensation programs and adherence to our compensation philosophy. Specifically:

As a result of the Company's achievement of record-setting sales volumes and reserve growth, financial discipline through prudent capital spending and efficient cost management, and a continued commitment to the safety of our employees, a performance score of 171% was achieved under the Annual Incentive Program for 2012 for the NEOs.

Under our long-term incentive program, the relative total stockholder return performance for the two- and three-year performance periods ended December 31, 2012, was below the median of our peers and our NEOs earned a below-target payout of 72% (out of a maximum 200%) of their performance units for these periods.

The NEOs did not receive a base salary increase, other than Mr. Walker who received a base salary increase in connection with his appointment to President and CEO.

The 2012 annual equity award values for each NEO were held flat relative to 2011 award values, except for Mr. Walker who was appointed to President and CEO.

Table of Contents

Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation

As described on page 38, we made several significant changes to our compensation program in 2012 and early 2013, which enhance the practices that we believe contribute to good governance. These practices include:

What We Do	What We Don't Do
Require a Majority of Pay At-Risk	No Employment Contracts
Emphasize Long-Term Performance	No Tax Gross-Ups on Perquisites
Maintain a Competitive Compensation Package	No Permitted Short Sales or Derivative Transactions in Company Stock
Require Robust Stock Ownership	No New Excise Tax Gross-Ups
Provide for Double-Trigger Equity Acceleration Upon a Change of Control	No Payment of Current Dividends or Dividend Equivalents on Unvested Awards (beginning with awards granted in 2012)
Provide for Clawback Provisions Applicable to Incentive Awards	No Repricing of Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights
Structure Incentive Compensation to Be Deductible	No Hedging or Pledging of Company Stock

Please read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 34 for additional details about our executive compensation program, including information about the compensation of our NEOs during 2012.

The Board has determined that the Company's NEO compensation aligns with our business strategy, focuses on long-term value creation for our stockholders and delivers competitive pay relative to our performance.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL, ON AN ADVISORY BASIS, OF THE COMPANY'S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO THE COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE RULES OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, WHICH DISCLOSURE SHALL INCLUDE THE COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS, THE SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE, AND THE RELATED TABLES AND DISCLOSURE IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

Table of Contents

Stockholder Proposal

ITEM 4 STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL REPORT ON POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS

The New York State Common Retirement Fund, located at 633 Third Avenue-31st Floor, New York, NY 10017, telephone (212) 681-4489, is the beneficial owner of more than \$2,000 worth of the Company's common stock, and has notified the Company that it intends to present the following resolution at the meeting for action by the stockholders.

What is the Proposal?

Resolved, that the shareholders of **Anadarko Petroleum** (Company) hereby request that the Company provide a report, updated semiannually, disclosing the Company's:

1. Policies and procedures for making, with corporate funds or assets, contributions and expenditures (direct or indirect) to (a) participate or intervene in any political campaign on behalf of (or in opposition to) any candidate for public office, or (b) influence the general public, or any segment thereof, with respect to an election or referendum.
2. Monetary and non-monetary contributions and expenditures (direct and indirect) used in the manner described in section 1 above, including:
 - a. The identity of the recipient as well as the amount paid to each; and
 - b. The title(s) of the person(s) in the Company responsible decision-making.

The report shall be presented to the board of directors or relevant board committee and posted on the Company's website.

STOCKHOLDER SUPPORTING STATEMENT

As long-term shareholders of Anadarko Petroleum, we support transparency and accountability in corporate spending on political activities. These include any activities considered intervention in any political campaign under the Internal Revenue Code, such as direct and indirect political contributions to candidates, political parties, or political organizations; independent expenditures; or electioneering communications on behalf of federal, state or local candidates.

Disclosure is consistent with public policy, in the best interest of the company and its shareholders, and critical for compliance with federal ethics laws. Moreover, the Supreme Court's *Citizens United* decision recognized the importance of political spending disclosure for shareholders when it said "[D]isclosure permits citizens and shareholders to react to the speech of corporate entities in a proper way. This transparency enables the electorate to make informed decisions and give proper weight to different speakers and messages. Gaps in transparency and accountability may expose the company to reputational and business risks that could threaten long-term shareholder value.

Anadarko contributed at least \$1,616,005 in corporate funds since the 2002 election cycle. (CQ: <http://moneyline.cq.com> and National Institute on Money in State Politics: <http://www.followthemoney.org>)

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

However, relying on publicly available data does not provide a complete picture of the Company's political spending. For example, the Company's payments to trade associations used for political activities are undisclosed and unknown. In some cases, even management does not know how trade

Table of Contents

Stockholder Proposal

associations use their company's money politically. The proposal asks the Company to disclose all of its political spending, including payments to trade associations and other tax exempt organizations used for political purposes. This would bring our Company in line with a growing number of leading companies, including Exelon, Merck and Microsoft that support political disclosure and accountability and present this information on their websites.

The Company's Board and its shareholders need comprehensive disclosure to be able to fully evaluate the political use of corporate assets. We urge your support for this critical governance reform.

What does the Board recommend?

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THE ABOVE

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

In 2012, the Board adopted a Political and Public Engagement Policy that addresses the items to be included in the reports requested in the proposal. The Policy can be found on the Company's website at <https://www.anadarko.com/Responsibility/Pages/PoliticalContributions.aspx>. As a result of this Policy and the additional actions described below, the Board believes that the requested reports would result in an unnecessary and unproductive use of the Company's time and resources.

Anadarko believes that it is in the best interest of Anadarko and its stockholders for the Company to participate in the political process. The oil and gas industry, and as a result, the Company's business and operations, are directly affected by political developments, including but not limited to policies related to energy, tax, and the environment. Anadarko has a stake in helping to elect candidates who understand and support the oil and gas industry. Accordingly, the Company maintains a government relations program to educate public officials about our position on issues significant to the Company's business, and to support those candidates who advocate pro-growth, free enterprise economic policies and for causes consistent with Company goals and interests. Anadarko participates in the political process only to the extent that it is permissible under federal, state, and local laws, rules and regulations. Its political contributions originate from corporate funds, where permitted by law, as well as through Anadarko's non-partisan political action committee (APC PAC), which is financed through voluntary contributions made by eligible employees.

To ensure that Anadarko's political activities are transparent to the Company's stockholders and other stakeholders, the Policy requires the Company to post on its website, at least annually, a report regarding its political contributions and its contributions to 501(c)(4) social welfare organizations. The report identifies Anadarko's corporate and PAC political contributions in the United States, as well as contributions to state or local ballot measure committees and other organizations organized under Section 527 of the Internal Revenue Code. The report also discloses all 501(c)(4) social welfare organizations to which the Company made payments in excess of \$25,000 in the aggregate for a fiscal year that are paid or managed through Anadarko's Government Relations department.

The Company participates in various industry trade associations. The Company's dues and other payments to trade associations are used for a wide variety of purposes by those organizations, such as educational initiatives, developing and publishing technical industry standards and providing professional development and research. Some trade associations also engage in certain lobbying activities that seek to promote legislative solutions that are sound and responsible and appropriately advance Anadarko's business goals and interests. Since the primary reason for membership in such associations is not political, the Company believes that it is not necessary to report such payments.

Table of Contents

Stockholder Proposal

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board, a committee which consists solely of independent directors, has oversight responsibility for the Policy and for Anadarko's political activity. The Policy requires that the Vice President, Government Relations provide an annual report to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The report must detail the Company's political contributions, contributions to 501(c)(4) social welfare organizations and trade association payments.

In addition, the Policy establishes the Company's internal approval process to ensure that its political contributions comply with applicable laws and are consistent with the Company's public policy agenda and business priorities. Pursuant to the policy, no Company resources, including the use of Company premises, use of Company equipment, or monetary payments, may be contributed to any political candidate, political committee (other than for the administrative or solicitation expenses of the APC PAC, as permitted by law), political party, ballot measure committee, trade association, or 501(c)(4) social welfare organization, or to any other organization for the purpose of attempting to influence elections or ballot measures without advance approval by the Vice President, Government Relations or the Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Administrative Officer.

The Policy further requires that all political contributions made with Company funds or resources, or made through APC PAC, must promote the interests of the Company and must be made without regard for the personal political preferences of Company officers or executives. Contribution decisions are made based upon the following principles:

Any political activity must appropriately advance the Company's business goals and interests.

The Company advocates for sound and responsible legislative and regulatory policies.

The Company supports candidates who support the oil and gas industry.

The Board believes that the Policy substantially fulfills the purposes of the reports requested in the proposal. Requiring the Company to provide such additional reports would result in an unnecessary and unproductive use of the Company's time and resources.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE AGAINST THIS STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Amanda M. McMillian
Deputy General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Dated: March 25, 2013

The Woodlands, Texas

See enclosed proxy card please vote promptly

Table of Contents

*1201 LAKE ROBBINS DRIVE
THE WOODLANDS, TX 77380*

**WE ENCOURAGE YOU TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF INTERNET OR TELEPHONE
VOTING. BOTH ARE AVAILABLE 24 HOURS A DAY, 7 DAYS A WEEK.**

VOTE BY INTERNET www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the website and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by Anadarko Petroleum Corporation in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

M53739-P33134-Z59525

KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS

DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION
ONLY

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

**ANADARKO PETROLEUM
CORPORATION**

Edgar Filing: ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" ITEMS 1, 2 AND 3.

Vote On Directors

	For	Against	Abstain
--	------------	----------------	----------------

Nominees:

1a. Kevin P. Chilton
1b. Luke R. Corbett
1c. H. Paulett Eberhart
1d. Peter J. Fluor

1e. Richard L. George
-----------------------	----	----	----

1f. Preston M. Geren III
--------------------------	----	----	----

1g. Charles W. Goodyear
-------------------------	----	----	----

1h. John R. Gordon
--------------------	----	----	----

1i. Eric D. Mullins
---------------------	----	----	----

1j. Paula Rosput Reynolds
---------------------------	----	----	----

1k. R. A. Walker
------------------	----	----	----

For address changes and/or comments, please check this box and write them on the back where indicated.

Vote On Proposals

	For	Against	Abstain
2. Ratification of Appointment of KPMG LLP as Independent Auditor.
3. Advisory Vote to Approve Named Executive Officer Compensation.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST ITEM 4.

4. Stockholder Proposal - Report on Political Contributions.
--	----	----	----

The shares represented by this proxy, when properly executed, will be voted in the manner directed herein by the undersigned stockholder(s). **If no direction is made, this proxy will be voted FOR Items 1, 2 and 3, and AGAINST Item 4.** If any other matters come properly before the meeting, or if cumulative voting is required, the person named in this proxy will vote in their discretion.

Please indicate if you plan to attend this meeting.
Yes **No**

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name by authorized officer.

Each signatory to this proxy acknowledges receipt from Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, prior to execution of this proxy, of a notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and a proxy statement dated March 25, 2013.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX]

Date

Signature (Joint Owners)

Date

Table of Contents

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting:

The Notice and Proxy Statement and 10-K/Annual Report are available at:

<http://www.edocumentview.com/APC>

“ FOLD AND DETACH HERE ”

M53740-P33134-Z59525

ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORPORATION

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

May 14, 2013

The undersigned hereby appoint(s) R. A. Walker, Robert G. Gwin and Robert K. Reeves, and each of them, as proxies, each with the power to appoint his substitute, and hereby authorizes them to represent and vote, as designated on the reverse side of this proxy, all of the shares of Common Stock of Anadarko Petroleum Corporation that the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at 8:00 a.m., Central Daylight Time, on May 14, 2013, at The Woodlands Waterway Marriott Hotel and Convention Center and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

THIS PROXY, WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED, WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED BY THE UNDERSIGNED. IF NO SUCH DIRECTIONS ARE MADE, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE ELECTION OF THE NOMINEES LISTED ON THE REVERSE SIDE FOR THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND AS RECOMMENDED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR EACH PROPOSAL.

PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE PROXY CARD PROMPTLY USING THE ENCLOSED REPLY ENVELOPE.

Address Changes/Comments: _____

(If you noted any Address Changes/Comments above, please mark the corresponding box on the reverse side.)

(CONTINUED AND TO BE SIGNED ON THE REVERSE SIDE)