PLEXUS CORP Form DEF 14A December 11, 2013 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

PLEXUS CORP.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:
- " Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- " Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING

OF SHAREHOLDERS

on February 12, 2014

To the Shareholders of Plexus Corp.:

Plexus Corp. will hold its annual meeting of shareholders at the Milwaukee Marriott Downtown, 323 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202, on Wednesday, February 12, 2014, at 8:00 a.m. Central Time, for the following purposes:

- (1) To elect nine directors to serve until the next annual meeting and until their successors have been duly elected.
- (2) To ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Plexus independent auditors for fiscal 2014.
- (3) To hold an advisory vote to approve the compensation of the Company s named executive officers, as disclosed in Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Executive Compensation herein.

(4) To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof. All shareholders of record at the close of business on December 5, 2013, will be entitled to vote at the meeting or any adjournment of the meeting. On or about December 13, 2013, we expect to mail shareholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access our proxy statement and annual report, as well as vote, online.

We call your attention to the proxy statement accompanying this notice for a more complete statement about the matters to be acted upon at the meeting.

By order of the Board of Directors

Angelo M. Ninivaggi

Senior Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer,

General Counsel and Secretary

Neenah, Wisconsin

December 10, 2013

You may vote in person or by using a proxy as follows:

By internet:

Go to www.proxyvote.com. Please have the notice we sent to you in hand because it has your personal 12 digit control number(s) needed for your vote.

- By telephone: Call 1-800-6903 on a touch-tone telephone. Please have the notice we sent to you in hand because it has your personal 12 digit control number(s) needed for your vote.
- By mail: Please request written materials as provided on page 1 of the proxy statement. Complete, sign and date the proxy card, and return it to the address indicated on the proxy card.

If you later find that you will be present at the meeting or for any other reason desire to revoke your proxy, you may do so at any time before it is voted.

One Plexus Way

P.O. Box 156

Neenah, Wisconsin 54957-0156

PROXY STATEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| COMMONLY ASKED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING | 1 |
|---|----|
| SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT | 6 |
| ELECTION OF DIRECTORS | 8 |
| CORPORATE GOVERNANCE | 12 |
| Board of Directors Meetings | 12 |
| Director Independence | 12 |
| Board Leadership Structure | 12 |
| Board s Role in Risk Oversight | 13 |
| Board Committees | 13 |
| Communications with the Board | 17 |
| Code of Ethics, Committee Charters and Other Corporate Governance Documents | 17 |
| Social Responsibility | 17 |
| Directors Compensation | 18 |
| Stock Ownership Guidelines | 21 |
| Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance | 21 |
| COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS | 22 |
| Executive Summary | 22 |
| Executive Compensation Philosophy, Goals and Process | 24 |
| Overview of Executive Compensation and Benefits | 25 |
| Elements and Analysis of Direct Compensation | 26 |
| Elements and Analysis of Other Compensation | 34 |
| Tax Aspects of Executive Compensation | 37 |
| COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT | 38 |
| EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION | 39 |
| Summary Compensation Table | 39 |
| Grants of Plan-Based Awards | 42 |
| Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End | 45 |
| Option Exercises and Stock Vested | 49 |

| Nonqualified Deferred Compensation | 49 |
|--|----------|
| Employment Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control | 50 |
| COMPENSATION AND RISK | 54 |
| ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION | 55 |
| CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS | 55 |
| REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE | 55 |
| AUDITORS Fees and Services | 56 56 |

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

FEBRUARY 12, 2014

COMMONLY ASKED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING

Q: WHEN IS THIS PROXY MATERIAL FIRST AVAILABLE TO SHAREHOLDERS?

A: On or about December 13, 2013, Plexus Corp. (Plexus, we or the Company) expects to mail shareholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access the proxy material over the internet.

Q: WHY DID I RECEIVE A NOTICE OF INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS INSTEAD OF A PRINTED COPY OF THE PROXY MATERIAL?

A: Pursuant to the rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), we are permitted to provide access to our proxy material over the internet instead of mailing a printed copy of the proxy material to each shareholder. As a result, we are mailing shareholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions regarding how to access our proxy material, including our proxy statement and annual report, and vote via the internet. You will not receive a printed copy of the proxy material unless you request one by following the instructions included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or provided below.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for

the Shareholder Meeting to Be Held on February 12, 2014

The proxy statement and annual report are available at www.proxyvote.com.

At www.proxyvote.com, shareholders can view the proxy material, cast their vote and request to receive paper copies of the proxy material by mail.

Q: HOW CAN SHAREHOLDERS REQUEST PAPER COPIES OF THE PROXY MATERIAL?

A: Shareholders may request that paper copies of the proxy material, including an annual report, proxy statement and proxy card, be sent to them without charge as follows:

By internet: www.proxyvote.com

By email: Send a blank email with your 12 digit control number(s) in the subject line to sendmaterial@proxyvote.com

By telephone: 1-800-579-1639

When you make your request, please have your 12 digit control number(s) available; that control number was included in the notice that was mailed to you. To assure timely delivery of the proxy material before the annual meeting, please make your request no later than January 29, 2014.

Q: WHAT AM I VOTING ON?

A: At the annual meeting you will be voting on three proposals:

1. The election of nine directors to the board of directors to serve until Plexus next annual meeting and until their successors have been duly elected. This year s nominees are:

| Ralf R. Böer | Peter Kelly |
|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Stephen P. Cortinovis | Phil R. Martens |
| David J. Drury | Michael V. Schrock |
| | |

Dean A. Foate

Mary A. Winston

Rainer Jueckstock

- 2. A proposal to ratify the Audit Committee s selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Plexus independent auditors for fiscal 2014.
- An advisory proposal to approve the compensation of the Company s named executive officers, as disclosed in Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Executive Compensation herein.
 Q: WHAT ARE THE BOARD S VOTING RECOMMENDATIONS?

A: The board of directors is soliciting this proxy and recommends the following votes:

FOR each of the nominees for election to the board of directors;

FOR the ratification of the Audit Committee s selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Plexus independent auditors for fiscal 2014; and

FOR approval of the compensation of the Company s named executive officers. **Q:** WHAT VOTE IS REQUIRED TO APPROVE EACH PROPOSAL?

A: To conduct the annual meeting, more than 50% of the Plexus outstanding shares entitled to vote must be present in person or by duly authorized proxy. This is referred to as a quorum. Abstentions and shares that are the subject of broker non-votes will be counted for the purpose of determining whether a quorum exists; shares represented at a meeting for any purpose are counted in the quorum for all matters to be considered at the meeting.

Assuming a quorum is present, directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast in person or by proxy by the holders of Plexus common stock entitled to vote at the election at the meeting. Plurality means that the individuals who receive the highest number of votes are elected as directors, up to the number of directors to be chosen at the meeting. Any votes attempted to be cast against a candidate are not given legal effect and are not counted as votes cast in the election of directors. Therefore, any shares that are not voted, whether by withheld authority, broker non-vote or otherwise, have no effect in the election of directors except to the extent that the failure to vote for any individual results in another individual receiving a relatively larger number of votes.

Ratification of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Plexus independent auditors will be determined by a majority of the shares voting on that matter, assuming a quorum is present. In addition, assuming a quorum is present, the results of the advisory vote to approve the compensation of the Company s named executive officers will also be determined by a majority of shares voting on such matter. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not affect these votes, except insofar as they reduce the number of shares that are voted.

Q: WHAT IF I DO NOT VOTE?

A: The effect of not voting will depend on how your share ownership is registered.

If you own shares as a registered holder and you do not vote, the shares that you do not vote will not be represented at the meeting and will not count toward the quorum requirement. If a quorum is obtained, then the shares that you have not voted will not affect whether a proposal is approved or rejected.

If you are a shareholder whose shares are not registered in your name and you do not vote, then your bank, broker or other holder of record may still represent your shares at the meeting for purposes of obtaining a quorum. In the absence of your voting instructions, your bank, broker or other holder of record may or may not vote your shares in its discretion depending on the proposal before the meeting. Your broker may not vote your shares in its discretion of directors; therefore, you must vote your shares if you want them to be counted in the election of directors. In addition, your broker is also not permitted to vote your shares in its discretion regarding matters related to executive compensation, including advisory votes to approve executive compensation. However, your broker may vote your shares in its discretion on routine matters such as the ratification of the Plexus independent auditors.

Q: WHO MAY VOTE?

A: You may vote at the annual meeting if you were a shareholder of record of Plexus common stock as of the close of business on December 5, 2013, which is the Record Date. As of the Record Date, Plexus had 33,856,207 shares of common stock outstanding. Each outstanding share of common stock is entitled to one vote on each matter presented. Any shareholder entitled to vote may vote either in person or by duly authorized proxy.

Q: HOW DO I VOTE?

A: We offer four methods for you to vote your shares at the annual meeting in person; via the internet; by telephone; or by mail. You may vote in person at the annual meeting or authorize the persons named as proxies on the proxy card, Dean A. Foate, Ginger M. Jones and Angelo M. Ninivaggi, to vote your shares. We recommend that you vote as soon as possible, even if you are planning to attend the annual meeting, so that the vote count will not be delayed.

While we offer four methods, we encourage you to vote via the internet, as it is the most cost-effective method available. There is no charge to vote your shares via the internet, though you may incur costs associated with electronic access, such as usage charges from internet access providers. If you choose to vote your shares via the internet, there is no need for you to request or mail back a proxy card.

| By internet: | Go to www.proxyvote.com. Please have the notice we sent to you in hand because it has your personal 12 digit control number(s) needed for your vote. |
|---------------|--|
| By telephone: | On a touch-tone telephone, call 1-800-690-6903. Please have the notice we sent to you in hand because it has your personal 12 digit control number(s) needed for your vote. |
| By mail: | Please request written materials as provided on page 1 of the proxy statement. Complete, sign and date the proxy card, and return it to the address indicated on the proxy card. |

If your shares are not registered in your name, then you vote by giving instructions to the firm that holds your shares rather than using any of these methods. Please check the voting form of the firm that holds your shares to see if it offers internet or telephone voting procedures.

Q: WHAT DOES IT MEAN IF I RECEIVE MORE THAN ONE REQUEST TO VOTE?

A: It means your shares are held in more than one account. You should vote the shares on all of your proxy requests. You may help us reduce costs by consolidating your accounts so that you receive only one set of proxy materials in the future. To consolidate your accounts, please contact our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, toll-free at 1-800-937-5449.

Q: WHAT IF I OWN SHARES AS PART OF PLEXUS 401(k) RETIREMENT PLAN AND/OR EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLANS?

A: Shareholders who own shares as part of Plexus 401(k) Retirement Plan (the 401(k) Plan) and/or the Plexus 2000 and 2005 Employee Stock Purchase Plans (the Purchase Plans) will receive a separate means for proxy voting their shares held in each account. Shares held by the 401(k) Plan for which participant designations are received will be voted in accordance with those designations; those shares for which designations are not received will be voted proportionally, based on the votes for which voting directions have been received from participants. Shares held in accounts under the Purchase Plans will be voted in accordance with management recommendations, except for shares for which contrary designations from participants are received.

Q: WHO WILL COUNT THE VOTE?

A: Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. will use an automated system to tabulate the votes. Its representatives will also serve as the election inspectors.

Q: WHO CAN ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING?

A: All shareholders of record as of the close of business on December 5, 2013, can attend the meeting. However, seating is limited and will be on a first arrival basis.

To attend the annual meeting, please follow these instructions:

Bring proof of ownership of Plexus common stock and a form of identification; or

If a broker or other nominee holds your shares, bring proof of ownership of Plexus common stock through such broker or nominee and a form of identification.

Q: CAN I CHANGE MY VOTE AFTER I RETURN OR SUBMIT MY PROXY?

A: Yes. Even after you have submitted your proxy, proxies may be revoked at any time prior to the voting thereof either by written notice filed with the secretary or acting secretary of the meeting or by oral notice to the presiding officer during the meeting. Presence at the annual meeting of a shareholder who has appointed a proxy does not in itself revoke a proxy.

Q: MAY I VOTE AT THE ANNUAL MEETING?

A: If you complete a proxy card or vote via the internet, you may still vote in person at the annual meeting. To vote at the meeting, please either give written notice that you would like to revoke your original proxy to the secretary or acting secretary of the meeting or oral notice to the presiding officer during the meeting.

If a broker, bank or other nominee holds your shares and you wish to vote in person at the annual meeting you must obtain a proxy issued in your name from the broker, bank or other nominee; otherwise you will not be permitted to vote in person at the annual meeting.

Q: WHO IS MAKING THIS SOLICITATION?

A: This solicitation is being made on behalf of Plexus by its board of directors. Plexus will pay the expenses in connection with the solicitation of proxies. Upon request, Plexus will reimburse brokers, dealers, banks and voting trustees, or their nominees, for reasonable expenses incurred in forwarding copies of the proxy material and annual report to the beneficial owners of shares which such persons hold of record. Plexus will solicit proxies by mailing a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to all shareholders; paper copies of the proxy material will be sent upon request as provided above as well as in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. Proxies may be solicited in person, or by telephone, e-mail or fax, by officers and regular employees of Plexus who will not be separately compensated for those services.

Q: WHEN ARE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS AND SHAREHOLDER NOMINATIONS DUE FOR THE 2015 ANNUAL MEETING?

A: The Secretary must receive a shareholder proposal no later than August 15, 2014, in order for the proposal to be considered for inclusion in our proxy materials for the 2015 annual meeting. The 2015 annual meeting of shareholders is tentatively scheduled for February 18, 2015. To otherwise bring a proposal or nomination before the 2015 annual meeting, you must comply with our bylaws, which require written notice to the Secretary between October 4, 2014, and October 29, 2014. The purpose of this requirement is to assure adequate notice of, and information regarding, any such matter as to which shareholder action may be sought. If we receive your notice after October 29, 2014, then your proposal or nomination will be untimely. In addition, your proposal or nomination must comply with the procedural provisions of our bylaws. If you do not comply with these procedural provisions, your proposal or nomination can be excluded. Should the board nevertheless choose to present your proposal, the named Proxies will be able to vote on the proposal using their best judgment.

Q: WHAT IS THE ADDRESS OF THE SECRETARY?

A: The address of the Secretary is:

Plexus Corp.

Attn: Angelo M. Ninivaggi

One Plexus Way

P.O. Box 156

Neenah, Wisconsin 54957-0156

Q: WILL THERE BE OTHER MATTERS TO VOTE ON AT THIS ANNUAL MEETING?

A: We are not aware of any other matters that you will be asked to vote on at the annual meeting. Other matters may be voted on if they are properly brought before the annual meeting in accordance with our bylaws. If other matters are properly brought before the annual meeting, then the named proxies will vote the proxies they hold in their discretion on such matters.

For matters to be properly brought before the meeting, our bylaws require that we receive written notice, together with specified information, not less than 45 days nor more than 70 days before the first anniversary of the date in which proxy materials for the previous year s annual meeting were first made available to shareholders. We did not receive notice of any matters by the deadline for the 2014 annual meeting, which was October 30, 2013.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL

OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table presents certain information as of December 5, 2013, regarding the beneficial ownership of the Plexus common stock held by each director or nominee for director, each executive officer appearing in the Summary Compensation Table included in Executive Compensation, all directors and current executive officers as a group, and each known 5%-or-greater shareholder of Plexus. The specified individuals and entities have sole voting and sole dispositive powers as to all shares, except as otherwise indicated.

| | Shares | Percentage |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | Beneficially | of Shares |
| Name | Owned (1) | Outstanding |
| Ralf R. Böer | 74,089 | * |
| Stephen P. Cortinovis | 78,589 | * |
| David J. Drury | 50,589 | * |
| Dean A. Foate | 817,963 | 2.3% |
| Rainer Jueckstock | 0 | * |
| Peter Kelly | 65,689 | * |
| Phil R. Martens | 18,589 | * |
| Michael V. Schrock | 61,589 | * |
| Mary A. Winston | 36,589 | * |
| | 104 500 | |
| Steven J. Frisch | 104,798 | * |
| Ginger M. Jones | 128,405 | * |
| Todd P. Kelsey | 130,135 | * |
| Yong Jin Lim | 125,520 | * |
| | | |

All directors and current executive officers

| as a group (15 persons) | 1,844,998 | 5.2% |
|--|-----------|------|
| Disciplined Growth Investors, Inc. (2) | 3,223,397 | 9.6% |
| BlackRock, Inc. (3) | 2,949,082 | 8.7% |
| Barrow, Hanley, Mewhinney & Strauss, LLC (4) | 2,538,544 | 7.5% |
| The Vanguard Group, Inc. (5) | 2,042,179 | 6.0% |

* Less than 1%

(1) The amounts include shares subject to options granted under Plexus equity plans that are exercisable currently or within 60 days of December 5, 2013. The options include those held by the following individuals for the indicated number of shares: Mr. Böer (58,500), Mr. Cortinovis (61,000), Mr. Drury (35,000), Mr. Foate (632,222), Mr. Kelly (35,000), Mr. Martens (10,000), Mr. Schrock (45,000), Ms. Winston (24,000), Mr. Frisch (76,625), Ms. Jones (93,000), Mr. Kelsey (98,750) and Mr. Lim (83,125), and all directors and current executive officers as a group (1,364,347).

The amounts reported in the table also include shares subject to acquisition within 60 days of December 5, 2013, upon the vesting of restricted stock units (RSUs) granted under Plexus equity plans as follows: Mr. Böer (4,589), Mr. Cortinovis (4,589), Mr. Drury (4,589), Mr. Foate (32,800), Mr. Kelly (4,589), Mr. Martens (4,589), Mr. Schrock (4,589), Ms. Winston (4,589), Mr. Frisch (8,000), Ms. Jones (8,000), Mr. Kelsey (10,000) and Mr. Lim (8,000), and all directors and current executive officers as a group (109,723).

In addition, the amounts reported in the table for certain directors include deferred stock units, which are payable in shares of the Company s common stock on a one-for-one basis, as follows: Mr. Böer (4,000), Mr. Cortinovis (2,002), Mr. Martens (2,000) and Ms. Winston (4,000).

- (2) Disciplined Growth Investors, Inc. filed a report on Schedule 13G dated June 30, 2008, reporting that it held sole voting power as to 1,899,904 shares, shared voting power as to 268,950 shares and sole dispositive power as to 2,168,854 shares of common stock. Disciplined Growth Investors subsequently filed a report on Form 13F for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, showing sole investment power as to 3,223,397 shares and sole voting power as to 2,306,242 shares. The address of Disciplined Growth Investors, an investment adviser, is 150 South Fifth Street, Suite 2550, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402.
- (3) BlackRock, Inc. filed a report on Schedule 13G/A, dated December 31, 2012, reporting sole voting power and sole dispositive power as to 2,949,082 shares of common stock. BlackRock subsequently filed a report on Form 13F for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, showing minimal ownership of common stock; however, the reports on Form 13F filed by its affiliated entities for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, show, in the aggregate, ownership of greater than 5% of the common stock, with BlackRock Fund Advisors, a savings association under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, showing sole voting power and sole investment power as to 1,837,936 shares. The address of BlackRock, a parent holding company or control person under SEC rules, is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022.
- (4) Barrow, Hanley, Mewhinney & Strauss, LLC filed a report on Schedule 13G dated December 31, 2012, reporting sole voting power as to 883,632 shares, shared voting power as to 867,200 shares and sole dispositive power as to 1,750,832 shares of common stock. Barrow Hanley subsequently filed a report on Form 13F for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, showing sole voting power as to 1,345,782 shares and sole investment power as to 2,538,544 shares. The address of Barrow Hanley, an investment adviser, is 2200 Ross Avenue, 31st Floor, Dallas, Texas 75201.
- (5) The Vanguard Group, Inc. filed a report on Schedule 13G/A dated December 31, 2012, reporting sole voting power as to 51,010 shares, sole dispositive power as to 2,214,371 shares and shared dispositive power as to 49,410 shares of common stock. Vanguard subsequently filed a report on Form 13F for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, showing sole voting power as to 52,850 shares and sole investment power as to 1,990,929 shares. The address of Vanguard Group, an investment adviser, is 100 Vanguard Boulevard, Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Plexus believes that it needs to attract and retain talented, focused, and motivated leadership to develop the long-term strategy and deliver the economic profit that our shareholders expect. For Plexus, the concept of leadership is not limited to the leadership within the Company; leadership also includes the individuals who serve on Plexus board.

In accordance with Plexus bylaws, the board of directors has determined that there shall be nine directors elected at the annual meeting of shareholders to serve until their successors are duly elected and qualified. The persons who are nominated as directors, and for whom proxies will be voted unless a shareholder specifies otherwise, are named below. If any of the nominees should decline or be unable to act as a director, which is not foreseen, the proxies will be voted with discretionary authority for a substitute nominee designated by the board of directors. Plexus bylaws authorize up to ten directors, as determined by the board. The Plexus board may expand the board up to the number of directors authorized in Plexus bylaws and elect directors to fill empty seats, including those created by an expansion, between shareholders meetings.

Principal Occupation,

| Name and Age | Business Experience and Education (1) |
|---------------------------|--|
| Ralf R. Böer, 65 | Mr. Böer is a Partner at Foley & Lardner LLP, a national law firm, and was also its Chairman and Chief |
| Director since 2004 | Executive Officer from 2002 until 2011. Mr. Böer s practice includes international and domestic acquisitions, international business transactions and licensing and technology transfers. He is also a director of Fiskars Corporation, a global consumer products company. Mr. Böer obtained his B.A. from the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee and his J.D. from the University of Wisconsin Law School. |
| Stephen P. Cortinovis, 63 | Mr. Cortinovis is a private equity investor in Lasco Foods Company. He was previously a Partner of Bridley Capital Partners Limited, a private equity group, and prior thereto served as President Europe of |
| Director since 2003 | Emerson Electric Co., a diversified global technology company. He is also a director of Aegion Corporation (formerly Insituform Technologies, Inc.), a global infrastructure protection and rehabilitation company, as well as the chair of its Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. Mr. Cortinovis obtained both his B.A. and J.D. from St. Louis University. |
| David J. Drury, 65 | Mr. Drury is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Poblocki Sign Company LLC, an exterior and interior sign systems company, and was also its President until 2011. He is a director of Journal |
| Director since 1998 | Communications, Inc., a media holding company, as well as its lead director and the chair of its Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and its Executive Committee. In addition, Mr. Drury is a trustee of The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company, an insurance and financial products company. Mr. Drury earned his B.B.A. from the University of Wisconsin-Whitewater and is a Certified Public Accountant who practiced as such for 18 years. |
| Dean A. Foate, 55 | Mr. Foate has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Plexus since 2002, and as Chairman of the Board since February 2013. He was previously Chief Operating Officer and Executive Vice President |
| Director since 2000 | of Plexus, and President of Plexus Technology Group, Inc., Plexus engineering services business, prior thereto. Mr. Foate is also a director of Regal Beloit Corporation, an electrical motors and mechanical |
| Chairman since 2013 | products company. Mr. Foate earned his B.S. in Electrical and Computer Engineering from the University of Wisconsin-Madison and his Master of Science in Engineering Management from the Milwaukee School of Engineering. |

Principal Occupation,

| Name and Age Rainer Jueckstock, 54 <i>Director since 2013</i> | Business Experience and Education (1) Mr. Jueckstock has served as co-Chief Executive Officer of Federal-Mogul Corporation, an automotive and industrial equipment supplier, and Chief Executive Officer, Federal-Mogul Powertrain Segment, since July 2012; he also serves as a director of Federal-Mogul. Mr. Jueckstock joined Federal-Mogul in 1990 and has served in numerous operations, sales and finance leadership roles, most recently as Chief Executive Officer from April to July 2012, and as Senior Vice President-Powertrain Energy and a member of Federal-Mogul s Strategy Board since 2005. Prior to joining Federal-Mogul, he was a member of the German Military. Mr. Jueckstock earned a degree in Engineering from the Military College at Zittau, Germany. |
|---|--|
| Peter Kelly, 56 <i>Director since 2005</i> | Mr. Kelly has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of NXP Semiconductors N.V., a provider of high performance mixed signal and standard semi-conductor product solutions, since 2012; prior thereto he served as NXP Semiconductors Executive Vice President and General Manager of Operations since 2011. Mr. Kelly was Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of UGI Corp., a distributor and marketer of energy products and services, from 2007 until 2011. He previously served as Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of Agere Systems, a semi-conductor company, and as Executive Vice President of Agere s Global Operations Group. Mr. Kelly earned a B.S. from the University of Manchester (U.K.) Institute of Science and Technology and is a fellow of the Chartered Institute of Management Accountants. |
| Phil R. Martens, 53 <i>Director since 2010</i> | Mr. Martens has served as Chief Executive Officer of Novelis Inc., an aluminum rolled products producer, since 2011, and as its President since 2009. He was also Chief Operating Officer of Novelis Inc. from 2009 until 2011. Mr. Martens is also a director of Graphic Packaging Holding Company, a global provider of packaging solutions. Mr. Martens previously served as Senior Vice President and President, Light Vehicle Systems for ArvinMeritor, Inc., a supplier of integrated systems, modules and components; he was also President and Chief Executive Officer of Arvin Innovation, Inc. Prior thereto, he served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Plastech Engineered Products, Inc., an automotive component supplier, and held various engineering and leadership positions at Ford Motor Company. Mr. Martens obtained a B.S. from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University and an M.B.A. from the University of Michigan. In addition, he was awarded an honorary Doctorate in Engineering from Lawrence Technical Institution for his extensive contributions to the global automotive industry. (2) |
| Michael V. Schrock, 60 Director since 2006 Lead Director since 2013 | Mr. Schrock, who has served as the lead director of Plexus board since February 2013, is currently President and Chief Operating Officer of Pentair Ltd., a diversified manufacturer, but has announced his intention to retire from Pentair, effective December 31, 2013. He previously was President and Chief Operating Officer of Pentair s Technical Products and Filtration Divisions. Prior to joining Pentair, Mr. Schrock held various senior management positions with Honeywell International Inc., a diversified technology and manufacturing company, covering North America as well as the European, Africa and Middle East regions. Mr. Schrock earned a B.S. from Bradley University and an M.B.A. from Northwestern University, Kellogg School of Management. |

Principal Occupation,

| Name and Age | Business Experience and Education (1) | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Mary A. Winston, 52 | Ms. Winston has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Family Dollar Stores, | | | |
| | Inc., an owner and operator of general merchandise discount stores, since 2012. She served as Senior Vice | | | |
| Director since 2008 | President and Chief Financial Officer of Giant Eagle, Inc., a food retailer and food distributor, from 2008 | | | |
| | until 2012. Prior thereto, Ms. Winston was President and Founder of WinsCo Financial, LLC, a financial | | | |
| | solutions consulting firm, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Scholastic Corporation, | | | |
| | a children s publishing and media company, a Vice President of Visteon Corporation, an automotive parts | | | |
| | supplier, and a Vice President of Pfizer Inc., a global biopharmaceutical company. She is also a director of | | | |
| | Dover Corporation, a diversified manufacturing company, and the chair of its Audit Committee. Ms. | | | |
| | Winston obtained a B.B.A. from the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee and an M.B.A. from | | | |
| | Northwestern University, Kellogg School of Management, and is a Certified Public Accountant. | | | |

(1) Unless otherwise noted, all directors have been employed in their principal occupation listed above for the past five years or more.

(2) Plastech Engineered Products, Inc. filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection in 2008, approximately two years after Mr. Martens left the company.

The Company believes it is important for its board to be comprised of individuals with diverse backgrounds, skills and experiences. All board members are expected to meet Plexus board member selection criteria, which are listed below:

Impeccable honesty and integrity.

A high level of knowledge gained through formal education and/or specific practical experience.

Broad based business acumen, including a general understanding of operations management, marketing, finance, human resources management, corporate governance and other elements relevant to the success of a large publicly-traded company.

An understanding of the Company s business on a technical level.

Global thinking and focus as well as a general understanding of the world economy.

Strategic thinking and an ability to envision future opportunities and risks.

A willingness to engage in thoughtful debate and challenging discussions in a respectful manner.

A network of important contacts that can bring knowledge and assistance to Plexus.

A commitment to spend requisite time on board responsibilities.

In addition to the board member selection criteria identified above, the board and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee review the board s composition annually to ensure that an appropriate diversity of backgrounds, skills and experiences is represented. Important skills and experiences currently identified are as follows:

Significant experience as a chief executive officer and/or chief operating officer of a publicly-traded company, or of a major division of a publicly-traded company.

Financial and accounting skills as well as experience in a public company, preferably with experience as a controller and/or chief financial officer; any such person is expected to fulfill the SEC s requirements for an audit committee financial expert.

International experience with an understanding of conducting business on a global scale.

In-depth knowledge and significant practical experience in sales and marketing at an electronic manufacturing services (EMS) company or at another company in a related industry.

A manufacturing management background, ideally an engineer, from a large, well respected manufacturing-based company, preferably one that relies on supply chain management for a competitive advantage.

Considerable human resources management experience involving the design of both short-term and long-term compensation programs, and an understanding of benefit plans.

Experience managing succession planning and leadership development for a successful company.

The following is the Company s matrix of experience for the board, which together with the directors principal occupations and business experience described above, as well as the Company s board member selection criteria, provide the reasons that each individual is being re-nominated as a director. Boxes marked with an X in the matrix below indicate that the particular experience is one of the specific reasons that the individual has been re-nominated to serve on the board. The lack of an X does not mean that the director does not possess that experience, but rather that it is not a particular area of focus or expertise of the director that was specifically identified as a reason for that individual s nomination.

| | Mr. | Mr. | Mr. | Mr. | Mr. | Mr. | Mr. | Mr. | Ms. |
|--|------|------------|-------|-------|------------|-------|---------|---------|----------|
| | Böer | Cortinovis | Drury | Foate | Jueckstock | Kelly | Martens | Schrock | Winston |
| CEO/COO Experience | Doer | cortinovis | Drury | X | X | X | X | X | W Inston |
| Financial and Accounting Experience | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х |
| Global Business Experience | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Sales and Marketing Experience | | Х | | Х | Х | | Х | Х | |
| Manufacturing Management Background | | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Supply Chain Management Experience | | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Compensation and Benefits Experience | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Leadership Development and Succession Planning | | | | | | | | | |
| Experience | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Board of Directors Meetings

The board of directors held six meetings during fiscal 2013. As part of these meetings, non-management directors regularly meet without management present. All of our directors attended at least 75% of the total meetings of the board and the committees of the board on which they served; for Mr. Jueckstock, this refers to the period he served as a director. The Plexus board of directors conducts an annual self-evaluation process, reviewing the performance of each individual board member as well as the performance of the board as a whole.

Plexus encourages all of its directors to attend the annual meeting of shareholders. Plexus generally holds a board meeting coincident with the annual meeting of shareholders to minimize director travel obligations and facilitate their attendance at the shareholders meeting. All directors attended the 2013 annual meeting of shareholders.

Director Independence

As a matter of good corporate governance, we believe that the board of directors should provide a strong voice in the governance of our company. Therefore, under our corporate governance policies and in accordance with Nasdaq Global Select Market rules, at least a majority of our directors must be independent directors.

When the board of directors makes its determination regarding which directors are independent, the board first considers and follows the Nasdaq Global Select Market rules. The board also reviews other transactions and relationships, if any, involving Plexus and the directors or their family members or related parties; see Certain Transactions herein for a discussion of our policy regarding such transactions. Plexus expects its directors to inform it of any transaction, whether direct or indirect through an immediate family member or any business entity controlled by any of them, involving Plexus and the director; Plexus also surveys directors periodically to confirm this information. Plexus does not use any dollar amount to screen transactions that should be reported to the Company. The board reviews the information submitted by its directors for its separate determination of materiality and compliance with Nasdaq and other standards when it determines independence.

In determining independence for the coming year, the board considered two relationships that, upon review, the board did not believe affected the independence of the directors.

Mr. Kelly is an executive officer of NXP Semiconductors N.V., which is a supplier to Plexus Plexus payments to NXP s distributors in fiscal 2013 represented less than two-tenths of one percent of each of Plexus and NXPs annual revenues.

Mr. Schrock is currently an executive officer of Pentair Ltd., which is a supplier to Plexus. Plexus payments to Pentair in fiscal 2013 represented less than two-tenths of one percent of each of Plexus and Pentair s annual revenues.

Based on the applicable standards and the board s review and consideration, the board of directors has determined that Messrs. Böer, Cortinovis, Drury, Jueckstock, Kelly, Martens and Schrock, and Ms. Winston are each independent under applicable rules and guidelines. Mr. Foate, our Chief Executive Officer, is not considered to be independent.

Our independent directors have the opportunity to meet in executive session, without the other directors or management, as part of each regular board meeting. Mr. Schrock, the board s lead director, presides at these sessions.

Board Leadership Structure

Mr. Foate, our Chief Executive Officer, also serves as Chairman primarily due to his in-depth knowledge of the Company and EMS industry, keen understanding of the Company s operations and strategies, proven leadership and vision for Plexus, which position him to be able to provide strong and effective leadership of the board. In

addition, the board believes that Mr. Foate is in the best position as Chairman and CEO to lead board discussions regarding the Company s business and strategy, and to help the board respond quickly and effectively to any challenges faced by the Company.

The board does not have a policy that requires the separation of the roles of Chairman and CEO and believes the Company should adopt the board leadership structure that best serves its needs at any particular time. Pursuant to the Company s Corporate Governance Guidelines, since the Chairman is also the CEO, the independent directors, meeting in executive session, elected a lead director from among the independent directors. The Company believes that the designation of an independent lead director, whose duties are described below, provides essentially the same benefits as having an independent chairman in terms of oversight, access and an independent voice with significant input into corporate governance. Mr. Schrock currently serves as the board s lead director.

The duties of the board s lead director include: (i) presiding at all meetings of the board at which the Chairman is not present, including executive sessions of the independent directors; (ii) serving as liaison between the Chairman and the independent directors; (iii) together with the Chairman, approving the agendas for board meetings; (iv) together with the Chairman, approving meeting schedules to assure that there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items; (v) providing input to the Chairman as to the content, quality, quantity and timeliness of information from Company management to the board; (vi) having the authority to call meetings of the independent directors and develop the agendas for such meetings with input from the other independent directors; (vii) serving as a liaison for consultation and direct communication with major shareholders; and (viii) performing such other duties as the board or Chairman may from time to time delegate.

Board s Role in Risk Oversight

It is management s responsibility to manage the Company s enterprise risks on a day-to-day basis. Through regular updates, the board of directors oversees management s efforts to ensure that they effectively identify, prioritize, manage and monitor all material business risks to Plexus strategy.

The board delegates certain risk management oversight responsibilities to its committees. The Audit Committee reviews and discusses the Company s major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to identify, monitor and control such risks. The Compensation and Leadership Development Committee is responsible for overseeing risk related to the Company s compensation, leadership development and succession planning programs, including considering whether such programs are in line with the Company s strategic objectives and incentivize appropriate risk-taking. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is tasked with overseeing the management of risks associated with corporate governance, compliance and ethics.

Board Committees

The board of directors has three standing committees, all comprised solely of independent directors: Audit, Compensation and Leadership Development, and Nominating and Corporate Governance. The committees on which our directors currently serve, and the chairs of those committees, are identified in the following table:

| Director | Audit | Compensation and Leadership Development | Nominating and Corporate Governance |
|-----------------------|-------|---|---|
| Ralf R. Böer | | • | Chair |
| Stephen P. Cortinovis | Х | Chair | |
| David J. Drury | Chair | | Х |
| Rainer Jueckstock | Х | Х | |
| Peter Kelly | Х | Х | |
| Phil R. Martens | | Х | Х |
| Michael V. Schrock | | Х | Х |
| Mary A. Winston | Х | | |

Mr. Foate is not an independent director; therefore, he is not eligible to serve on these committees under Nasdaq rules or the committees charters.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee met eight times in fiscal 2013. All of the members of the Audit Committee are independent of Plexus under SEC and Nasdaq rules. The Audit Committee chooses the Company s independent auditors and oversees the audit process as well as the Company s accounting, finance and tax functions. Among its other responsibilities, the Committee also oversees the Company s ethics and whistle-blowing reporting programs, in conjunction with the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. See also Report of the Audit Committee.

Audit Committee Financial Experts

The board has determined that Messrs. Drury and Kelly and Ms. Winston are audit committee financial experts based on a review of each individual s educational background and business experience. All members of the Audit Committee are financially literate and meet the other SEC and Nasdaq requirements for Audit Committee membership.

Compensation and Leadership Development Committee

The Compensation and Leadership Development Committee (in this subsection, the Committee) held six meetings during fiscal 2013. All of the members of the Committee are independent of Plexus under SEC and Nasdaq rules. The Committee establishes the general compensation philosophies and plans for Plexus, determines the CEO s and other executive officers compensation and approves equity grants and awards under Plexus compensation plans. The Committee also considers and makes recommendations to the board with respect to other employee compensatory plans and arrangements. Further, the Committee is responsible for reviewing Plexus leadership structure, talent management efforts, leadership development and executive succession plans. The Committee may, in its sole discretion, retain or obtain the advice of compensation consultants, legal counsel or other advisers. In addition to the following subsection, see also Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Compensation Committee Report below for further information on the Committee s philosophies and practices, and its determinations in fiscal 2013.

Overview of the Compensation Decision-Making Process

In accordance with the philosophy and the goals described below in Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Plexus compensates its executive officers through salaries and various other compensation plans. The Committee considers many factors in its decision-making process about the compensation of Plexus leadership and the design of compensation plans Company-wide.

When determining compensation, the Committee compares the compensation of Plexus executive officers with that paid by other companies in the general industries in which Plexus recruits, comparable companies in the electronic manufacturing services industry, companies with similar financial profiles and several general and electronics industry published surveys. The Committee performed a full review of the composition of the peer group during fiscal 2010 because, due to acquisitions within the peer group and other changes, the Committee believed that certain companies had become less comparable to Plexus than when they were originally selected. The Committee intends to conduct reviews of the peer group and selection criteria on a periodic basis to ensure that both are appropriate. Consistent with the selection of its previous peer group, companies were chosen using filtering criteria, such as industry codes, peer companies identified as competitors, company size and employee base, profitability, geographic location, company complexity and recent financial performance; anomalies or special circumstances (primarily acquisitions or significant size differences) that caused certain companies to not be in fact comparable were also reviewed. In addition, the Committee also identified financial peers that were not in a similar business but which were similar in size and financial performance to Plexus.



Our resulting peer group for fiscal 2013 compensation planning consisted of:

| | Agilent Technologies, Inc. | Bruker Corporation | Molex Incorporated |
|----|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Altera Corporation | Celestica Inc. | Regal-Beloit Corporation |
| | Amphenol Corporation | Esterline Technologies Corporation | Sanmina Corporation |
| | Arris Group, Inc. | Harris Corporation | Teledyne Technologies Incorporated |
| | AVX Corporation | Invacare Corporation | Trimble Navigation Limited |
| | Benchmark Electronics, Inc. | Jabil Circuit, Inc. | Vishay Intertechnology, Inc. |
| Tl | he same peer group is also being used for fiscal 2014 ex | ecutive compensation planning. | |

When making compensation determinations, the Committee s analysis includes a review of the Company s financial results, an internal calibration of pay and equity award levels and an accumulated value analysis. In performing these analyses, the Committee continues to use tally sheets, which provide a comprehensive view of Plexus compensation payout exposure under various performance scenarios, and also assist in the Committee s evaluation of the reasonableness of compensation as a whole. The accumulated value analysis examines the CEO s accumulation of wealth through the deferred compensation plan and annual equity awards. These assessments also identify the proportionality of the CEO s pay to the pay of executives at other levels in the organization and compare this information with published survey data. In addition, the Committee uses the vested and unvested equity information to balance the level of existing awards with the desire to reward performance and to provide retention incentives.

In addition to reviewing compensation to help assure that it provides an incentive for strong Company performance, the Company and the Committee periodically review comparable information from peer group companies and other sources, as discussed above, to maintain a competitive compensation package that aids in executive retention and fairly compensates the executives for performance. However, it does not aim for any numerical or percentile tests within this comparable information. The Committee believes that it is important for it to use its judgment in applying this information in individual cases, rather than arbitrarily attempting to aim for a particular numerical equivalence. In that consideration, the Committee discusses total compensation (including outstanding equity awards) for all executive officers, the level of experience and leadership each provides, and financial and personal performance. The Committee believes this approach results in a comprehensive and thoughtful compensation review process because it allows the Committee to use discretion when appropriate in responding to particular circumstances. The Committee intends to continue these practices in the future.

Management Participation. Members of management, particularly the CEO and human resources personnel, regularly participate in the Committee s meetings at the Committee s request. Management s role is to contribute information to the Committee and provide staff support and analysis for its discussions. However, management does not make any recommendation for the CEO s compensation, nor does management make the final determination of the CEO s or the other executive officers amount or form of executive compensation. The CEO does recommend compensation for the other executive officers to the Committee, subject to the Committee s final decision. To assist in determining compensation recommendations for the other executive officers, the CEO considers Plexus compensation philosophy and, in partnership with the human resources management team, utilizes the same compensation decision-making process as the Committee. Decisions regarding the compensation of the CEO are made in executive sessions at which the Committee members participate with select members of human resources management to review competitive practices and overall plan expense; the CEO is not present for these discussions. The sessions generally focus on the CEO s compensation to peer group and survey data as well as Plexus overall performance relative to the companies in our peer group. Materials presented also include a pay comparison of the CEO to our other executive officers and a review of the CEO s vested and unvested equity grants, as well as accumulated value, in an effort to assess possible retention risks.

Use of Consultants. The Committee uses outside compensation consultants to assist it in analyzing Plexus compensation programs and in determining appropriate levels of compensation and benefits. The Committee is directly responsible for the appointment, termination, compensation and oversight of the work of any compensation

consultant(s), and considers the independence of any such consultant prior to retention. The Company provides appropriate funding, as determined by the Committee, for the payment of compensation to the compensation consultant(s) employed by the Committee. The Committee currently retains Towers Watson as its compensation consultant. After considering the factors set forth in SEC and Nasdaq rules, in accordance with the Committee s charter, the Committee does not believe its relationship with Towers Watson has given rise to any conflict of interest.

Plexus human resources personnel meet with the compensation consultants to help the consultants understand Plexus business model, organizational structure and compensation philosophy. This interaction provides the consultants with a framework to Plexus approach to compensation and its application. As part of its staff support function, Plexus human resources personnel also discuss results and conclusions with the compensation consultants. These discussions permit Plexus human resources personnel to be aware of the consultants recommendations and analysis, as well as to understand the rationale and methodology behind their conclusions.

For fiscal 2013 compensation planning, the Committee directed the Company s internal human resources staff to prepare an analysis of the Company s executive compensation package consistent with prior years. Plexus internal staff obtained market-based data to provide the Committee with the same data and analysis as in previous years. In future years, the Committee may retain Towers Watson or another independent compensation consultant to conduct a detailed analysis of the Company s executive compensation package.

For fiscal 2014 compensation planning, in furtherance of its emphasis on performance-based compensation, the Committee conducted a review of its long-term incentive strategy and engaged Towers Watson to prepare an analysis of, and recommendations regarding, long-term equity grant practices. As a result of such review, beginning in fiscal 2014, the Committee is modifying its long-term incentive strategy to include performance stock awards (designated as performance share units and settled in Plexus stock). For more information, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements and Analysis of Direct Compensation Long-Term Incentives Overview of Changes to Long-Term Incentive Strategy for Fiscal 2014.

Neither the Company nor the Committee places any limitations or restrictions on its consulting firms or their reviews. Towers Watson and previous consulting firms have been retained by the Committee only for projects related to the Company s executive and director compensation programs. The Company does provide substantive information about Plexus to help its consultants better understand the Company. Human resources personnel also meet with the consultants to discuss the consultants conclusions as to Plexus executive pay practices, organizational matters, the duties and responsibilities of particular positions, and overall conclusions based upon Plexus compensation principles and goals.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Each of the members of the Committee was an independent director and there were no relationships or transactions in fiscal 2013 with those members requiring disclosure under SEC rules. See, however, Director Independence above for certain other relationships that the board considered when determining the independence of the directors.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the Nominating Committee) met four times in fiscal 2013. All of the members of the Committee are independent of Plexus under Nasdaq rules. The Nominating Committee considers candidates for board membership, reviews the effectiveness of the board, makes recommendations to the board regarding directors compensation, monitors Plexus compliance and ethics efforts, and evaluates as well as oversees corporate governance and related issues.

The Nomination Process

The Nominating Committee generally utilizes a director search firm to identify candidates, but it evaluates those individuals on its own; the Committee would also consider candidates suggested by outside directors, management and/or shareholders. As described above in Election of Directors, in accordance with the Company s board member selection criteria, the Nominating Committee considers the diversity of backgrounds, skills and

experiences among board members in identifying areas which could be augmented by new members. To help assure that directors have the time to devote to their duties, Plexus directors may not serve on the boards of more than three additional public companies. The composition of the Board is reviewed annually to insure that an appropriate mix of skills, experiences and backgrounds is represented; the membership mix of the Board may also be changed as necessary to meet business needs.

The Nominating Committee would consider proposed nominees to the board submitted to it by shareholders. If a qualified candidate expresses a serious interest, and if there is a position available and the candidate s experience indicates that the candidate may be an appropriate addition to the board, the Nominating Committee would review the background of the candidate and, if appropriate, meet with the candidate. A decision would then be made whether to nominate that person to the board. The Nominating Committee s policy is to not evaluate proposed nominees differently depending upon who has proposed the potential nominee.

If a shareholder wishes to propose someone as a director for the Nominating Committee s consideration, the name of that nominee and related personal information should be forwarded to the Nominating Committee, in care of the Secretary, at least six months before the next annual meeting of shareholders to assure time for meaningful consideration by the Nominating Committee. See also Commonly Asked Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting for bylaw requirements for nominations. Plexus has neither received nor rejected any candidates put forward by significant shareholders.

Communications with the Board

Any communications to the board of directors should be sent to Plexus headquarters office in care of Plexus Secretary, Angelo M. Ninivaggi. Any communication sent to the board in care of the Chief Executive Officer, the Secretary or any other corporate officer is forwarded to the board. There is no screening process and any communication will be delivered directly to the director or directors to whom it is addressed. Any other procedures which may be developed, and any changes in those procedures, will be posted as part of our Corporate Governance Guidelines on Plexus website at www.plexus.com, under the link titled Investor Relations then Corporate Governance.

Code of Ethics, Committee Charters and Other Corporate Governance Documents

Plexus regularly reviews and augments its corporate governance practices and procedures. As part of its corporate governance practices, Plexus has adopted a Code of Conduct and Business Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines and written charters for each of its board committees discussed above. Plexus will be responding to and complying with related SEC and Nasdaq Global Select Market directives as they are finalized, adopted and become effective. Plexus has posted on its website, at www.plexus.com, under the link titled Investor Relations then Corporate Governance, copies of its Code of Conduct and Business Ethics, its Corporate Governance Guidelines, the charters for its Audit, Compensation and Leadership Development, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees, director selection criteria (included as an appendix to our Corporate Governance Guidelines), director and officer stock ownership guidelines, compensation clawback policy and other corporate governance documents. If those documents (including the committee charters, the Code of Conduct and Business Ethics are granted, or new procedures are adopted, those new documents, changes, waivers and/or procedures will be posted on Plexus website at www.plexus.com.

Social Responsibility

Plexus is committed to social responsibility within our business and global operations. Our commitment to social responsibility extends to human rights, labor practices, the environment, worker health and safety, fair operating practices and the Company s social impact in the communities where we operate. We consider a variety of standards for socially responsible practices, including local and federal legal requirements in the jurisdictions where we operate, the International Organization for Standardization s Guidance on Social Responsibility (ISO 26000) and standards established by the Electronics Industry Citizenship Coalition. Information about our corporate social responsibility efforts is available on our website at www.plexus.com/about-us/social-responsibility.

Directors Compensation

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the board of directors recommends, subject to board approval, compensation paid to non-employee directors, including equity awards to non-employee directors under the 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2008 Long-Term Plan). In determining the compensation paid to the non-employee directors, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers similar types of factors, including comparisons with peer companies and Company performance, that are considered by the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee when determining executive compensation.

Each Plexus director who was not a full-time Plexus officer or employee (all directors except Mr. Foate, who does not receive additional fees for serving on the board) received an annual director s fee of \$65,000 for fiscal 2013 service. Mr. Schrock received an additional fee of \$20,000 for serving as the board s lead director. The chairs and members of each committee received additional annual fees for service in such roles as follows:

| | Audit | | Compensation and Leadership Development | | Nominating and Corporate Governance | |
|--------|-------------|-----------|--|-----------|--|--|
| Role | Committee | Committee | | Committee | | |
| Chair | \$ 15,000 | \$ | 12,500 | \$ | 10,000 | |
| Member | \$ 9,000 | \$ | 7,500 | \$ | 5,250 | |
| | 1 1 1 10 11 | 1. 1 | 1 | 1 • 1• • | | |

Additionally, in certain circumstances directors may be reimbursed for attending educational seminars or, in each individual s capacity as a director, other meetings at Plexus behest. Directors do not receive board or committee meeting attendance fees.

Directors are eligible to defer their cash fees, as well as stock awards (excluding options), through the Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan. Prior to fiscal 2011, directors were eligible to defer their cash fees through Plexus supplemental executive retirement plan, which is described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis below.

Directors also participate in the 2008 Long-Term Plan, which permits the grant of stock options, stock-settled stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock (which may be designated as restricted stock awards or restricted stock unit (RSU) awards), unrestricted stock awards, performance stock awards and cash incentive awards. In the first quarter of fiscal 2013, stock options were granted to directors, at the same time as employee grants, and vested immediately on the grant date. The exercise price of the stock options was equal to the average of the high and low sale prices of Plexus stock on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on the trading day preceding the grant date (because the market was closed on the grant date). The Company began granting RSUs to non-employee directors in the second quarter of fiscal 2013, instead of a mix of stock options and unrestricted stock awards; the restrictions on the RSUs lapse on the first anniversary of the applicable grant date. The use of equity awards is designed to align directors

Director Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the compensation that was paid by Plexus to each of our non-employee directors in fiscal 2013:

| | Fees Earned or Paid in | Option Awards | Stock Awards | Other Benefits | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|
| Name | Cash (\$)(1) | (\$)(2) | (\$)(2) | (\$)(3) | Total (\$) |
| Ralf R. Böer | \$ 81,688 | \$ 14,358 | \$ 120,002 | | \$ 216,048 |
| Stephen P. Cortinovis | 94,875 | 14,358 | 120,002 | | 229,235 |
| David J. Drury | 95,688 | 14,358 | 120,002 | | 230,048 |
| Rainer Jueckstock (4) | 40,986 | | | | 40,986 |
| Peter Kelly | 79,875 | 14,358 | 120,002 | | 214,235 |
| Phil R. Martens | 79,563 | 14,358 | 120,002 | \$ 513 | 214,436 |
| John L. Nussbaum (5) | 42,003 | 14,358 | 120,002 | 533,519 | 709,882 |
| Michael V. Schrock | 94,563 | 14,358 | 120,002 | | 228,923 |
| Mary A. Winston | 72,750 | 14,358 | 120,002 | | 207,110 |

- (1) Includes annual retainer, committee and chairmanship fees and, in the case of Mr. Schrock, his fee for serving as lead director. In addition, the amounts also include meeting fees paid in the first quarter of fiscal 2013; meeting fees were eliminated beginning in calendar year 2013. See below regarding Mr. Nussbaum s compensation.
- (2) The amounts shown represent the grant date fair value computed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 of stock options and RSUs granted in fiscal 2013. Generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) require us to recognize compensation expense for stock options and other stock-related awards granted to our employees and directors based on the estimated fair value of the equity instrument at the time of grant. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period. The assumptions used to determine the valuation of the awards are discussed in footnote 10 to our consolidated financial statements.

The following table provides cumulative information about the grant date fair value of options and stock awards granted to directors in fiscal 2013, determined as of the respective grant dates in accordance with GAAP. It also provides the number of outstanding stock options and RSUs that were held by our non-employee directors at September 28, 2013. The Company began granting RSUs to directors in fiscal 2013; it previously granted unrestricted stock awards to directors.

| | Option | Awards | Stock Awards | | |
|----------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Grant Date Fair Value of 2013 Option | Number of Securities Underlying | Grant Date Fair Value of 2013 Stock | Number of Securities Underlying Stock Awards | |
| | Awards | Unexercised | Awards | That Have Not | |
| Name | (\$) | Options (#) | (\$) | Vested (#) | |
| Mr. Böer | \$ 14,358 | 58,500 | \$ 120,002 | 4,589 | |
| Mr. Cortinovis | 14,358 | 61,000 | 120,002 | 4,589 | |
| Mr. Drury | 14,358 | 55,000 | 120,002 | 4,589 | |
| Mr. Jueckstock | | | | | |
| Mr. Kelly | 14,358 | 55,000 | 120,002 | 4,589 | |

| | Option | Awards | Stock Awards | | |
|--------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| | Grant Date | | Grant Date | Number of | |
| | Fair Value of | Number of | Fair Value of | Securities | |
| | 2013 | Securities | 2013 | Underlying | |
| | Option | Underlying | Stock | Stock Awards | |
| | Awards | Unexercised | Awards | That Have Not | |
| Name | (\$) | Options (#) | (\$) | Vested (#) | |
| Mr. Martens | 14,358 | 10,000 | 120,002 | 4,589 | |
| Mr. Nussbaum | 14,358 | 8,750 | 120,002 | | |
| Mr. Schrock | 14,358 | 45,000 | 120,002 | 4,589 | |
| Ms. Winston | 14,358 | 24,000 | 120,002 | 4,589 | |

Each non-employee director serving at the time was awarded options for 1,250 shares on October 29, 2012; the options vested immediately on the grant date. Options granted to non-employee directors expire on the earlier of (a) ten years from the date of grant, or (b) two years after termination of service as a director. In addition, on January 21, 2013, each non-employee director serving at the time received RSUs for 4,589 shares; the average of the high and low trading prices of our shares on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on the preceding trading day was \$26.15 (the market was closed on the grant date). Messrs. Böer, Cortinovis and Drury each elected to defer receipt of the shares underlying the 2013 RSUs (which vest in January 2014).

(3) The current non-employee directors do not generally receive any additional benefits although they are reimbursed for their actual expenses of attending board, committee and shareholder meetings.

In fiscal 2013, the Company permitted Mr. Martens spouse to accompany him on the Company plane for travel to the August 2013 board meeting; the amount presented represents the estimated value of his spouse s trip and the related tax allowance. For Mr. Nussbaum, this column represents the amounts paid to him in fiscal 2013 under his deferred compensation arrangements plus the value of the health and other welfare benefits, as well as Company matching contributions to the 401(k) Plan, provided to him and other payments upon his retirement from the board. See the discussion in footnote 5 below.

(4) Mr. Jueckstock was elected to the board by shareholders on February 13, 2013.

(5) Mr. Nussbaum retired from the board as of February 13, 2013.

Mr. Nussbaum ceased being considered an executive officer or employee of Plexus when he retired as our Chief Executive Officer in 2002, and was eligible to receive board fees and equity grants as a non-employee director until his retirement from the board in February 2013. However, as a consequence of his many years of service as an executive officer of Plexus, he continues to be compensated under deferred compensation arrangements that were put in place during his service as an executive officer and as the non-executive Chairman of the Board. The arrangements are designed to provide specified retirement and death benefits to Mr. Nussbaum in addition to those provided under the 401(k) Plan. Plexus commitment was funded in prior years. If Mr. Nussbaum dies prior to receiving all of the 15-year annual installment payments, death benefit payments specified in the arrangement become due. Mr. Nussbaum has received payments under the special retirement arrangements since 2002, including payments of \$352,742 for fiscal 2011, \$366,853 for fiscal 2012 and \$380,852 for fiscal 2013.

In addition, in connection with Mr. Nussbaum s retirement from the board, he received a retirement bonus of \$111,000 and the Company also gave him his Company car, which was valued at \$36,173.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Plexus believes that it is important for directors and executive officers to maintain an equity stake in Plexus to further align their interests with those of our shareholders. Directors and executive officers must comply with stock ownership guidelines as determined from time to time by the board. The ownership guidelines for directors currently require that directors must own 5,000 shares of common stock within five years of election or appointment to the board, of which 2,000 shares must be owned within the first year of service. Unexercised stock options (whether or not vested) do not count toward a director s ownership for purposes of these guidelines. Currently, all of our directors are in compliance with these guidelines. The stock ownership guidelines for executive officers are discussed at Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements and Analysis of Direct Compensation Equity Ownership Guidelines.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires Plexus officers and directors, and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of Plexus common stock, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. SEC rules require these insiders to furnish Plexus with copies of all forms they file under Section 16(a).

All publicly-held companies are required to disclose the names of any insiders who fail to make any such filing on a timely basis within the preceding fiscal year, and the number of delinquent filings and transactions, based solely on a review of the copies of the Section 16(a) forms furnished to Plexus, or written representations that no such forms were required. On the basis of filings and representations received by Plexus, the Company believes that during fiscal 2013 our insiders have complied with all applicable Section 16(a) filing requirements.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The Compensation and Leadership Development Committee (in this section, the Committee) of the Plexus board of directors sets general compensation policies for Plexus. The Committee makes decisions with respect to the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and other Plexus executive officers, and grants equity and other awards. This section discusses the Committee s executive compensation philosophy and decisions on executive compensation.

Plexus provides further detail regarding executive compensation in the tables and other information included in the Executive Compensation section of this proxy statement.

Executive Summary

Fiscal 2013 Compensation Actions

Based on industry conditions at the beginning of the fiscal year and the Company s focus on improving operating profit, executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer, did not receive base salary adjustments for fiscal 2013, other than for increases in responsibilities resulting from promotions.

Three executive officers earned promotions in fiscal 2013 and received base salary increases, including Mr. Kelsey, who was appointed as the Company s Chief Operating Officer in June 2013, and Mr. Frisch, who was appointed as Executive Vice President, Global Customer Services in June 2013.

Annual incentive opportunity target levels under the Company s annual cash incentive plan, the Variable Incentive Compensation Plan (the VICP), remained unchanged for executive officers in fiscal 2013, other than for increases in responsibilities resulting from promotions.

As a result of the Company s fiscal 2013 performance, total payments to executives under the VICP were below the target payout level under the plan and represented 30.1% as compared to the target payout of 80% for corporate financial performance.

The Committee modified equity award grant levels for the Company s executive officers as a result of adjustments for market competitiveness, as well as to further tie executive compensation to Company performance. The following table illustrates these changes for the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table in this proxy statement (the named executive officers):

| Executive Officer | Fiscal : Equity ((#) | Grants | Total Grant Date Fair Value (\$) | Fiscal Equity ((# | Grants | Total Grant Date Fair Value (\$) | Increase in Grant Date Fair Value (%) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------|--|--------------------------|--------|--|---|
| | Options | RSUs | | Options | RSUs | | |
| Mr. Foate | 117,500 | 50,000 | \$ 2,730,669 | 91,750 | 38,000 | \$ 2,646,055 | 3.2% |
| Ms. Jones | 32,500 | 14,000 | \$ 759,993 | 23,750 | 10,000 | \$ 691,834 | 9.9% |
| Mr. Kelsey | 37,500 | 16,000 | \$ 872,666 | 28,750 | 12,000 | \$ 833,048 | 4.8% |
| Mr. Lim | 36,250 | 16,000 | \$ 858,308 | 23,750 | 10,000 | \$ 691,834 | 24.1% |
| Mr. Frisch | 36,250 | 16,000 | \$ 858,308 | 23,750 | 10,000 | \$ 691,834 | 24.1% |

Consideration of Shareholder Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation

At Plexus 2013 annual meeting of shareholders, the Company held a shareholder advisory vote to approve executive compensation. Approximately 96% of shares voting supported the proposal and, therefore, the advisory resolution regarding executive compensation was approved. Although the vote was non-binding, the Company, the board of directors and the Committee consider communications received from shareholders regarding the Company s executive compensation policies and decisions, including say-on-pay votes. The Committee reviewed the results of the vote and considered the high approval rate as an indication that shareholders generally support the Company s executive compensation philosophy, program and decisions.

Alignment of Executive Compensation with Shareholder Interests

The Company continues to place a greater emphasis on annual and long-term incentive opportunities, as opposed to base salary adjustments, since they are performance-based, represent compensation that is at risk, promote the creation of shareholder value and are intended to further align the interests of executive officers with those of our shareholders.

The Committee s long-term incentive strategy allows for the use of a portfolio approach when granting awards. The Committee uses a combination of equity awards in order to align all long-term incentives with the Company s overall performance and shareholder returns.

In fiscal 2013, the Committee conducted a review of its long-term incentive strategy and current market practices with input from Towers Watson, its compensation consultant. As a result of such review, the Committee is modifying its long-term incentive strategy beginning in fiscal 2014 to include performance stock awards (designated as performance share units and settled in Plexus stock) under the 2008 Long-Term Plan. The Committee believes that the addition of performance share units will provide further motivation for our executives to succeed in the long-term, and will further align the interests of our executives with those of our shareholders.

The Company s equity ownership guidelines require our CEO to own Plexus stock with a market value equal to at least three times his annual base salary; executive officers other than our CEO, including the named executive officers, are required to own, at a minimum, Plexus stock with a market value equal to one times their annual base salary. Executive officers are generally not permitted to sell Plexus shares until the ownership requirement is met. All executive officers have met the ultimate ownership amounts required by the guidelines.

Summary of Executive Compensation Practices and Governance

To achieve the objectives of our executive compensation program and our compensation philosophy, we:

base a majority of total compensation on performance-based and retention incentives (i.e., compensation that is at risk);

set annual and long-term incentive targets based on clearly disclosed, objective performance measures;

require executive officers to hold Plexus stock pursuant to equity ownership guidelines;

conduct annual assessments of risk associated with our executive compensation programs, policies and procedures;

mitigate undue risk associated with our compensation programs through a Clawback Policy;

enter into double trigger change in control agreements with executive officers, but do not otherwise enter into employment contracts with executives other than our CEO;

mitigate the potential dilutive effect of equity awards through a share repurchase program;

prohibit hedging transactions or short sales by our executive officers; and

do not provide significant perquisites.

Executive Compensation Philosophy, Goals and Process

The Committee s philosophy is to fairly compensate all employees, including executives, for their contributions to Plexus, appropriately motivate employees to provide value to Plexus shareholders and consider the ability of Plexus to fund any compensation decisions, plans or programs. Fair compensation must balance both short-term and long-term considerations and take into consideration competitive forces, best practices, and the performance of Plexus and the employee. Compensation packages should also motivate executives to make decisions and pursue opportunities that are aligned with the interests of our shareholders while not exposing the Company to inappropriate risk. Finally, the Committee considers Plexus financial condition, the conditions in Plexus industry and end markets, and the effects of those conditions on Plexus sales and profitability in making compensation decisions.

Plexus executive compensation program is designed to provide a rational, consistent reward system that:

attracts, motivates and retains the talent needed to lead a strong global organization;

drives global financial and operational success that creates shareholder value without encouraging inappropriate risk-taking;

encourages behaviors that improve Plexus performance and maximize shareholder value, and fosters a culture of Company ownership among executive officers; and

appropriately balances Company performance and individual contribution towards the achievement of success. For a discussion of the Committee's decision-making process, its use of consultants and the role of Plexus' executive officers and staff, see Corporate Governance Board Committees Compensation and Leadership Development Committee Overview of the Compensation

Decision-Making Process above in this proxy statement.

Focus on Growth and Return on Invested Capital

The Committee seeks to maintain a compensation program that aligns executive compensation with creating and maximizing value for our shareholders. The Committee and Company believe that shareholder value is maximized through revenue growth and generating a return on invested capital (ROIC) exceeding the Company s weighted average cost of capital (WACC). These metrics together, when achieved, deliver growth and economic profit. The importance of achieving revenue growth and ROIC goals has been emphasized by making a substantial component of each executive officer s compensation dependent on the Company s achievement of these goals, with executives maximizing their annual incentive compensation opportunity if the Company achieves its organic revenue growth and ROIC goals. In fiscal 2013, executive compensation continued to be weighted toward achieving these goals. The Company s annual incentive compensation plan uses return on capital employed (ROCE), a derivative measure to ROIC that excludes taxes and equity-based compensation costs. The Committee and the Company believe ROCE is the appropriate performance measure because it reflects the Company s operating performance, which is what the plan is designed to reward.

Overview of Executive Compensation and Benefits

Plexus uses the following compensation reward components working together to create competitive compensation arrangements for our executive officers:

| Reward Component | Purpose |
|----------------------|---|
| Base Salary | Base salary is intended to provide compensation which is not at risk; however, salary levels and subsequent increases are not guaranteed. Base salary is designed to offer regular fixed compensation for the fulfillment of the duties and responsibilities associated with the job roles of our executives and employees. In addition, base salary is a baseline consideration for attracting and retaining talented individuals. |
| Annual Incentive | Our annual cash incentive compensation plan, the VICP, is designed to reward employees for the achievement of important corporate financial goals. There is also a small component of the VICP that rewards employees for the attainment of individual and/or team objectives. The opportunity to earn annual cash incentive payments under the VICP provides a substantial portion of compensation that is at risk and that depends upon the achievement of measurable corporate financial goals and individual objectives. As distinguished from equity-based compensation, which is significantly affected by market factors that may be unrelated to our results, the design of the VICP offers incentives based on our direct performance. We use payouts from the VICP to provide further incentives for our executive officers and employees to achieve these corporate financial goals and individual objectives. As it applies to executive officers, the VICP is a sub-plan of the 2008 Long-Term Plan. |
| Long-Term Incentives | A substantial part of compensation, which is also at risk, is long-term equity-based compensation, awarded in fiscal 2013 in the form of stock options and RSUs under the 2008 Long-Term Plan. The award mix for fiscal 2014 is being modified to also include performance share units. Our long-term incentives are designed to tie a major part of our key executives total compensation opportunities to Plexus market performance and the long-term enhancement of shareholder value, as well as encourage the long-term retention of these executives. |
| Benefits | The health and well-being of our employees and their families is important to us. Therefore, we provide all of our employees in the United States with various benefits, such as health and life insurance. Offering these benefits also assists the Company in attracting, as well as retaining, executive officers and key personnel. |
| Retirement Plans | The Company maintains retirement plans to help our employees provide for their retirement on a tax-advantaged basis. Offering retirement plans helps the Company to attract and retain qualified employees, as well as meet competitive conditions. One of these retirement plans, the 401(k) Retirement Plan (the 401(k) Plan), includes a Plexus stock fund as one of its investment choices to permit employees to maintain Plexus ownership if they wish. The Company also provides a supplemental executive retirement plan under which certain executive officers may elect to defer some or all of their compensation and the Company makes additional contributions on their behalf. |
| Agreements | Only our Chief Executive Officer has an employment agreement, which is intended to help assure the continuing availability of his services over a period of time and protect the Company from competition post-employment. All executive officers have change in control agreements to help assure that they will not be distracted by personal interests in the case of a potential acquisition of Plexus and to assist in maintaining their continuing loyalty. |

Elements and Analysis of Direct Compensation

Overview of Direct Compensation

Plexus uses three primary components of total direct compensation salary, annual cash incentive payments under the VICP and long-term equity-based awards under the 2008 Long-Term Plan. Each of these components is complementary to the others, addressing different aspects of direct compensation and seeking to motivate employees, including executive officers, in varying ways.

The Committee does not use any specific numerical or percentage test to determine what percentage of direct compensation will be paid in base salary versus the compensation at risk through the VICP or equity-based compensation. However, the Committee believes that a meaningful portion of compensation should be at risk. VICP targets for executive officers other than the CEO ranged from 55% to 80% of base salary in fiscal 2013 with the opportunity to earn cash incentives beyond those levels if company financial goals were exceeded. In the case of the CEO, the potential target compensation at risk as a percentage of base salary was 110%, reflecting his overall greater responsibility for the Company. In fiscal 2013, long-term incentives for executive officers were in the form of stock options, which contain an inherent amount of risk since no value is received unless there is an appreciation in stock price, and RSUs that vest based on continued service. For fiscal 2014, as discussed below, long-term incentives will also include performance share units. After determining each element, the Committee also reviews the resulting total compensation to determine that it is reasonable as a whole.

Base salary adjustments and equity awards are generally targeted for implementation in the second quarter of each fiscal year. The Committee believes this timing aligns employee rewards with the Company s processes to evaluate employees performance and forges a strong link between performance and pay. However, in fiscal 2013, the Committee did not adjust the base salaries of the Company s executive officers (other than for promotions), and delayed base salary adjustments for employees until the third quarter of the fiscal year, as the Company focused on implementing initiatives intended to improve operating profit in response to industry conditions at the beginning of the fiscal year. The Committee anticipates going back to its normal schedule of base salary adjustments in fiscal 2014.

The resulting total targeted direct compensation mix used for fiscal 2013 for the Chief Executive Officer and the other named executive officers is illustrated in the charts below:

<u>CEO</u>

Other Named Executive Officers

Base Salary

Structure. The Company and the Committee review market-based comparisons, peer group analysis and other third-party survey data as reference points for compensation practices as well as sources of comparative information to assist in establishing appropriate base salaries for its executive officers. Through this form of benchmarking, we do not aim for particular numerical or percentage tests as compared to the peer group or the surveys, and we generally target base salaries within ranges near market medians of those groups, with adjustments made to reflect individual circumstances.

The Committee expects to make determinations of fiscal 2014 base salary adjustments for our executive officers in December 2013, after it has reviewed and considered the analysis discussed above in Corporate Governance Board Committees Compensation and Leadership Development Committee Overview of the Compensation Decision-Making Process Use of Consultants. The effective date of any base salary adjustment for our executive officers is generally targeted for January in order to be aligned with the Company s other U.S. salaried employees; however, as noted above, in fiscal 2013, the Company s did not grant base salary adjustments to its executive officers (other than for promotions).

Factors Considered in Determining Base Salary. Prior to establishing base salary increases for the CEO and approving salary levels for other executive officers, the Committee takes into consideration various factors. These factors include compensation data from the proxies of our peer group, salary increase trends for executive base pay and other information provided in published surveys. An in-depth total rewards analysis, including base salary, is completed annually for each executive position using the peer group and survey data as indicated above. The Committee also considers the individual executive officers duties and responsibilities and their relative authority within Plexus.

With respect to increases in CEO base salary (as well as other compensation actions that impact our CEO), the Committee uses this input and meets in executive session to discuss appropriate pay positioning and pay mix based on the data gathered. With respect to the other executive officers, the CEO uses similar data and submits his recommendations to the Committee for final determination. The data gathered in the determination process helps the Committee to test for fairness, reasonableness and competitiveness. However, taking into account the compensation policies and goals and a holistic approach to executive compensation packages, the Committee s final determination may incorporate the subjective judgments of its members as well.

Executive officer base salary increases may include the following two components:

<u>Competitive Adjustments</u>. If executive officer salaries fall below the competitive median range when we compare them to our peer group and survey data, we consider increasing the salaries to a more competitive level. In some cases these competitive adjustments may take place over a multi-year period and may depend on individual performance.

<u>Merit Increases</u>. If executive officer salaries are found to be at an appropriate level when we compare them to the peer group and general industry survey data for the position, then a separate merit increase may be provided based on individual performance, if appropriate.

2013 Base Salary Adjustments. In December 2012, the Committee determined that based on industry conditions at the beginning of the fiscal year and the Company s focus on improving operating profit that executive officers, including the CEO, would not receive base salary adjustments for fiscal 2013, other than for increases in responsibilities resulting from promotions. Although the CEO s last increase was in fiscal 2011, his base salary, which is higher than that of our other executive officers because of his more extensive and challenging duties and responsibilities, remains near the intended 50th percentile of peer group and market comparisons. The Committee also believed that the base salaries for the other executive officers continued to be generally aligned with peer group and market comparisons. Mr. Lim s compensation and benefits package also reflects regional survey data of the Asian markets. Three executive officers earned promotions in fiscal 2013, including Mr. Kelsey, whose annual base salary was increased by 32.4% as compared to his fiscal 2012 base salary upon his appointment as the Company s Chief Operating Officer in June 2013, and Mr. Frisch, whose annual base salary was increased by 16.7% upon his appointment as Executive Vice President, Global Customer Services in June 2013.

In recent years the Company has placed a greater emphasis on annual and long-term incentive opportunities, as opposed to base salary adjustments, since they are performance-based, represent compensation that is at risk, promote the creation of shareholder value and are intended to further align the interests of executive officers with those of our shareholders.

Presented below are the fiscal 2013 base salaries for our named executive officers:

| Executive Officer | Fiscal 2013 Base Salary |
|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Mr. Foate | \$ 800,000 |
| Ms. Jones | \$ 390,000 |
| Mr. Kelsey* | \$ 450,000 |
| Mr. Lim | \$ 355,000 |
| Mr. Frisch* | \$ 350,000 |

* In June 2013, Mr. Kelsey s annual base salary was increased from \$340,000 to \$450,000 and Mr. Frisch s annual base salary was increased from \$300,000 to \$350,000 in connection with their respective promotions.

Annual Incentive

Plan Structure. The VICP provides annual cash incentives to approximately 2,300 participants, including our CEO and other executive officers. For executive officers, the VICP is a sub-plan of the 2008 Long-Term Plan. The award opportunity levels for each participant are expressed as a percentage of base salary. For example, in fiscal 2013 the targeted award opportunity for our CEO was 110% of base salary, and the targeted award opportunities for other executive officers varied from 55% to 80% of base salaries; the award opportunities for other participants varied from 3% to 60% of base salaries. The targeted award opportunity for our CEO was last increased in fiscal 2012 to better align with peer group and market comparisons, and, in combination with the lack of a base salary increase, to shift a higher portion of his potential compensation toward performance-based elements of our compensation program. Annual incentive opportunity targets for our other executive officers also increased in fiscal 2012 as a result of adjustments for market competitiveness and, in certain cases, increases in responsibilities, as well as an increased emphasis on incentive compensation. The targeted award opportunities for Mr. Kelsey and Mr. Frisch were each increased by ten percentage points in June 2013 in connection with their respective promotions. Offering a greater percentage of compensation at risk was intended to more strongly link executive compensation with Company performance and shareholder returns.

Our CEO and other executive officers also have the opportunity to earn above their targeted award opportunities based on the achievement of corporate financial goals. Higher levels of duties and responsibilities within Plexus lead to higher cash incentive opportunities under the VICP because the Committee believes that the higher the level of responsibility, the more influence the individual can have on corporate performance. In addition, competitive factors make relatively higher reward possibilities important for those positions. For each executive officer, 80% of the targeted award is keyed to the corporate financial goals; the remaining 20% of the targeted award is keyed to the achievement of individual objectives. The table below lists the fiscal 2013 targeted VICP award opportunities for the named executive officers, expressed as a percentage of base salary:

| | Fiscal 2013 |
|-------------------|---------------------------|
| | Targeted Award as a |
| Executive Officer | Percentage of Base Salary |
| Mr. Foate | 110% |
| Ms. Jones | 70% |
| Mr. Kelsey* | 80% |
| Mr. Lim | 60% |
| Mr. Frisch* | 70% |

* In June 2013, Mr. Kelsey s targeted award was increased from 70% to 80% of his base salary and Mr. Frisch s targeted award was increased from 60% to 70% of his base salary in connection with their respective promotions.

The VICP provides for payments relating to corporate financial goals both below and over the targeted awards by establishing specific threshold levels of corporate performance at which payments begin to be earned and maximum payout levels beyond which no further payment is earned. The payout at the maximum payout level, which is based solely on achieving the corporate financial goals, is 180% of the targeted award for the CEO and the other executive officers. Payments to participants are not permitted under the VICP unless the Company achieves net income for the plan year.

Under the VICP, the Committee has the authority to adjust results, for example, to reflect acquisitions or unusual gains or charges. No such discretion was used by the Committee in fiscal 2013.

2013 Plan Design Company Goals. The specific corporate financial goals for fiscal 2013, each of which stood independently of the other with regard to award opportunities, were revenue and ROCE. The goals were chosen because they aligned performance-based compensation to the key financial metrics that the Company used internally to measure its ongoing performance and that it used in its financial plans. Our fiscal 2013 targets for these goals were set as part of the annual financial planning process. For each of the corporate financial goals, we also established specific threshold and maximum payout levels of achievement as part of that process.

For the purposes of the VICP, ROCE is defined as annual operating income before taxes and excluding equity-based compensation costs divided by the five-point quarterly average of Capital Employed during the year. Capital Employed is defined as equity plus debt less cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments. The Company excludes taxes and equity-based compensation costs because these factors do not reflect the operating performance of the Company, which is what the VICP is intended to reward. For the same reasons, the Committee may, at its discretion, exclude restructuring costs and/or non-recurring charges when determining ROCE for VICP awards; no such discretion was exercised by the Committee in fiscal 2013.

No award is paid for any component of the VICP if Plexus incurs a net loss for the fiscal year (excluding equity-based compensation costs and, at the Committee s sole discretion, non-recurring or restructuring charges). Awards for performance between the threshold level and target level are calculated by straight-line interpolation, as are awards between the target level and the maximum payout level.

For fiscal 2013, in accordance with Plexus strategic plan, the Committee set performance levels for each metric with a focus on achieving our enduring financial goals using the philosophy below:

| Revenue | Threshold Growth approximately equal to inflation | Target Midpoint between threshold and maximum payout | Maximum Payout 15% revenue growth | | | | |
|---------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| ROCE | Equal to Plexus WACC plus 300 basis points | Midpoint between threshold and maximum payout | Equal to Plexus WACC plus 800 basis points | | | | |
| | nat setting the maximum payout levels for rev | enue and ROCE consistent with our fin | ancial goals fully aligns employees with | | | | |

financial results that maximize value to our shareholders, without encouraging inappropriate risk-taking. Threshold levels for both metrics were set at the minimum levels of performance at which Plexus believes it begins generating value for our shareholders. Target levels for revenue and ROCE, which were set between the threshold and maximum payout levels, were intended to be challenging, but achievable, based on industry conditions and Plexus financial plan.

The following table sets forth the fiscal 2013 financial targets and potential VICP payout amounts (as a percent of targeted VICP cash incentive) for the named executive officers, at the threshold, target and maximum payout performance levels:

| | Thres | shold | Ta | rget | Maximum Payout | |
|---|----------|-----------|----------|------------|----------------|------------|
| Component | Goal | Payout | Goal | Payout | Goal | Payout |
| Revenue (in millions) | \$ 2,307 | 0% | \$ 2,480 | 40% | \$ 2,653 | 90% |
| ROCE | 15.0% | 0% | 17.5% | 40% | 20.0% | 90% |
| Individual Objectives | | up to 20% | | up to 20% | | up to 20% |
| Total Potential Incentive = Revenue + ROCE + Individual | | | | | | |
| Objectives | | up to 20% | | up to 100% | | up to 200% |

In fiscal 2013, revenue was \$2,228.0 million and ROCE was 16.9%. Therefore, the Company's performance was below the threshold level for revenue and between the threshold and target levels for ROCE. Plexus paid awards to executive officers and other employees based on ROCE performance only; total payments to executives represented 30.1% versus the target of 80% for corporate financial performance. Plexus' actual performance in fiscal 2013 as compared to these performance levels is illustrated by the following graphs:

Revenue (*in millions*)

2013 Plan Design Individual Objectives. Individual participants typically set several individual objectives for the plan year. Some of the individual objectives are shared by multiple executives when they work as part of a team to focus on an objective. Attainment of the individual objectives represents 20% of the potential targeted VICP award; however, no such award may be earned based on individual objectives unless the Company achieves net income for the plan year. The Committee determines and approves the individual objectives established for the CEO. The Committee also reviews and approves, with input from the CEO, the individual objectives established for the other executive officers. The Committee s assessment of all executive officers individual objectives is based on their likely impact on the achievement of the annual financial plan and other longer-term strategic priorities, their effect on shareholder value and their alignment with one another.

ROCE

For fiscal 2013, the Committee exercised its discretion and paid all Plexus employees participating in the VICP, and still employed as of the award payment date, including the executive officers, 100% of the 20% targeted award for individual objectives after considering the Company s performance in managing through a challenging fiscal year. This discretion is permitted under the 2008 Long-Term Plan since the Company achieved net income during fiscal 2013. Actual achievement of individual objectives by the named executive officers ranged from 87.9% to 95.0%, with the CEO achieving 89.0%; therefore, the discretion

exercised by the Committee amounted to an additional payout of \$19,360 for the CEO, and additional payouts to the other named executive officers from \$2,730 to \$5,314.

The following are summaries of the individual objectives for our named executive officers in fiscal 2013:

<u>Dean A. Foate</u>: Mr. Foate s individual objectives related to: validating the Company s business model in light of industry conditions; delivering supply chain excellence; strengthening the global manufacturing organization; and assessing the expansion of operations in Mexico and China.

<u>Ginger M. Jones</u>: Ms. Jones individual objectives related to: validating the Company s business model in light of industry conditions; and assessing the expansion of operations in Mexico and China.

<u>Todd P. Kelsey</u>: Mr. Kelsey s individual objectives related to: validating the Company s business model in light of industry conditions; delivering supply chain excellence; pursuing opportunities to expand the Company s engineering solutions business; enhancing new product introduction capabilities; assessing the expansion of operations in Mexico; and designing strategies to support intelligent regional growth.

<u>Yong Jin Lim</u>: Mr. Lim s individual objectives related to: validating the Company s business model in light of industry conditions; delivering supply chain excellence; strengthening the global manufacturing organization; enhancing new product introduction capabilities; assessing the expansion of operations in China; and designing strategies to support intelligent regional growth.

<u>Steven J. Frisch</u>: Mr. Frisch s individual objectives related to: validating the Company s business model in light of industry conditions; delivering supply chain excellence; strengthening the global manufacturing organization; pursuing opportunities to expand the Company s engineering solutions business; enhancing new product introduction capabilities; and designing strategies to support intelligent regional growth.

Long-Term Incentives

Plan Structure. Total compensation, consistent with practices in our industry, places a particular emphasis on equity-based compensation for executive officers. The shareholder-approved 2008 Long-Term Plan allows for various award types, including options, SARs, restricted stock, RSUs, unrestricted stock awards, performance stock awards and cash incentive awards. Those awards are intended to provide incentives to enhance corporate performance as well as to further align the interests of our executive officers with those of our shareholders. The Committee s policy is to not back-date equity grants and no equity grant was back-dated in fiscal 2013. The reported values of the long-term incentive opportunities under equity plans can vary significantly from year to year as a percentage of total direct compensation because they are determined by valuing the equity-based awards on the same basis that we use for financial statement purposes; that value depends significantly on our stock price and its volatility at the time of the awards.

The Committee s long-term incentive strategy allows for use of a portfolio approach when granting awards. For fiscal 2013, the Committee used a combination of stock options and RSUs in order to align all long-term incentives with the Company s overall performance and shareholder returns. For a discussion of changes to the Committee s long-term incentive strategy, which will include grants of performance share units beginning in fiscal 2014, see Overview of Changes to Long-Term Incentive Strategy for Fiscal 2014 below.

The Committee intends that each element of the portfolio addresses a different aspect of long-term incentive compensation, as set forth below:

Stock options provide rewards based upon the appreciation in value to shareholders, as measured by the increase in our share price, and there is no value to these awards if our share price does not increase.

RSUs provide an interest in the value of the Company s shares, because, even though they vest over time, they provide recipients with a certain equity interest, assuming continued employment. In addition to promoting retention, RSUs further align executives interests with the interests of shareholders and provide a long-term ownership mentality as well as motivation to succeed in the long-term because the value of RSUs does not solely depend upon increases in the market price of our shares, which may occur over a short period of time.

For senior non-executive key employees who are eligible for equity awards, Plexus uses a mix of RSUs and stock-settled stock appreciation rights (SARs). Stock-settled SARs provide rewards based upon the appreciation in value to shareholders as measured by the increase in our share price while promoting employee share ownership; stock-settled SARs also allow the Committee to preserve shares available under the plan and minimize dilution. For other non-executive employees eligible for equity awards, Plexus uses RSUs for the reasons noted above.

The allocation formulas used in fiscal 2013 for executive officers and other non-executive employees receiving equity grants are illustrated in the charts below:

Executive Officers

Senior Non-Executive Employees

Other Non-Executive Employees

Annual Award Determination and Allocation Process. Each year the Committee is presented a recommended total pool of equity awards for eligible participants. The Committee reviews the estimated cost of the pool and the recommended grant guidelines prior to making grants. Pursuant to its portfolio approach, in fiscal 2013, the Committee distributed the entire value of each grant among the following types of awards options, stock-settled SARs and RSUs as shown above. The awards are valued at their Black-Scholes fair-market value when making these determinations. For current executive officers, in fiscal 2013, the Committee used a distribution formula weighted toward stock options, so as to particularly promote increasing shareholder returns.

The Committee determines the grants for the CEO and other executive officers. The CEO provides the Committee with initial grant recommendations for each executive officer other than himself. The Committee determines the grant value for each executive officer by balancing the need to provide fair compensation with the desire to keep related compensation expense relatively stable from period to period. When making individual grants, the Committee considers each executive officer s duties, responsibilities and performance. Those in positions with more responsibility tend to receive larger grants to reflect their role in the Company and the market comparisons for their compensation. Also, as discussed above, for the CEO, the Committee uses the vested and unvested equity information, as well as the accumulated value analysis, to balance the level of existing awards with the desire to reward performance and to provide retention incentives.

For fiscal 2013, options for 117,500 shares and 50,000 RSUs were granted to the CEO, and options for 233,000 shares and 100,400 RSUs were granted to the other executive officers serving at the time as a group. These amounts increased from the fiscal 2012 grant levels as a result of adjustments for market competitiveness and the Committee s emphasis on further tying executive compensation to Company performance. In addition, the Committee continued its focus on increasing incentive award opportunities for our executive officers as a portion of total potential compensation, rather than approving larger base salary increases, in order to more strongly link executive compensation with Company performance and shareholder returns.

Equity awards are also allocated to high-performing key employees based upon recommendations by executive officers in accordance with a grant range grid, which assigns a range of grant sizes to each employee responsibility level.

Basis for Determination of Timing of Grants. The Committee makes quarterly stock option and stock- settled SARs grants rather than annual grants due to the volatility of the stock market and of Plexus stock in particular. Granting stock options and SARs all on one date in the year can make the strike price, its related expense, and the opportunity it represents to employees vary significantly in ways that do not necessarily reflect long-term performance of Plexus stock.

The Committee s formula to support the quarterly grant strategy states that the grant dates will occur three days subsequent to the release of quarterly earnings, not including the day of the release. The Committee uses future dates, as is permitted by the 2008 Long-Term Plan, because that minimizes the opportunity to choose a date based upon market performance known or knowable at the time of determination. The 2008 Long-Term Plan provides that the exercise price of a stock option is not permitted to be less than the fair market value on the stock option grant date. New hire option and stock-settled SAR grant levels are determined at or around the time of hire, and commence on the next quarterly grant date following the date of hire.

Grants of RSUs have generally been made once a year during the fiscal second quarter. Beginning in fiscal 2014, grants of performance share units will also occur during the fiscal second quarter; see Overview of Changes to Long-Term Incentive Strategy for Fiscal 2014 below for more information.

2013 Awards. Based on the Committee s long-term incentive strategy as well as individual responsibility and performance considerations, and reflecting all of the grants discussed above, in fiscal 2013, the Committee made total grants of options and RSUs to the named executive officers as follows:

| Executive | Options | RSUs |
|------------|---------|--------|
| Officer | (#) | (#) |
| Mr. Foate | 117,500 | 50,000 |
| Ms. Jones | 32,500 | 14,000 |
| Mr. Kelsey | 37,500 | 16,000 |
| Mr. Lim | 36,250 | 16,000 |
| Mr. Frisch | 36,250 | 16,000 |

Options vest in two annual increments and grants of RSUs vest on the third anniversary of the grant, all subject to early vesting on a change in control.

Overview of Changes to Long-Term Incentive Strategy for Fiscal 2014. In fiscal 2013, the Committee, in furtherance of its emphasis on performance-based compensation, conducted a review of its long-term incentive strategy and current market practices with input from Towers Watson, its compensation consultant. As a result of such review, the Committee is modifying its long-term incentive strategy to include grants of performance stock awards (designated as performance share units and settled in Plexus stock) under the 2008 Long-Term Plan in fiscal 2014 and beyond. Vesting will be dependent on the Company s relative total shareholder return (TSR) during a three year performance period as compared to the Russell 3000 Index and specific threshold, target and maximum performance levels will be set.

The equity grant allocation formula for executive officers previously discussed will change to 40% options, 35% RSUs and 25% performance share units; however, the Committee expects continuing to follow the previously discussed annual award determination and allocation processes. Grants of performance share units will occur in the fiscal second quarter, concurrent with grants of RSUs, although the TSR performance levels will be determined in the first fiscal quarter. The Committee believes that the addition of performance share units and the related changes to the allocation formula will provide further motivation for our executives to succeed in the long-term, and also more strongly align the interests of our executives with those of our shareholders.

Equity Ownership Guidelines. To complement the 2008 Long-Term Plan s goal of increasing the alignment between the interests of management and shareholders, the Committee adopted executive stock ownership guidelines. These guidelines require our CEO to own Plexus stock with a market value equal to at least three times his annual base salary; executive officers other than our CEO, including the named executive officers in the Summary Compensation Table below, are required to own, at a minimum, Plexus stock with a market value equal to one times their annual base salary. There is no specific time requirement to meet these guidelines. However, an executive officer is generally not permitted to sell Plexus shares that were acquired or awarded while an executive officer until the ownership requirement is met; there are exceptions, including financing the exercise of stock options and any applicable taxes when the shares will be held or with prior approval under special circumstances. All our executive officers have met the ultimate ownership amounts required by the guidelines.

Clawback Policy. Pursuant to the Plexus Corp. Executive Compensation Clawback Policy, in the event of a material restatement of the Company s financial results as a result of significant non-compliance with financial reporting requirements, the Committee will review incentive compensation that was paid to the Company s executive officers under the VICP (or any successor plan thereto) based solely on the achievement of specific corporate financial goals (covered compensation) during the period of the restatement. If any covered compensation would have been lower had the covered compensation been calculated based on the Company s restated financial results, the Committee will, as and to the extent it deems appropriate, recoup any portion of covered compensation paid in excess of what would have been paid based on the restated financial results. The Committee may seek the recovery of covered compensation for up to three years preceding the date on which the Company is required to restate its financial results.

This policy applies in addition to any right of recoupment against the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The policy does not apply in any situation where a restatement is not the result of significant non-compliance with financial reporting requirements, such as any restatement due to a change in applicable accounting rules, standards or interpretations, a change in segment designations or the discontinuance of an operation.

Anti-Hedging Policy. The Company s Insider Trading Policy explicitly prohibits directors, officers and employees from engaging in transactions designed to hedge or offset a decrease in the price of the Company s common stock. Short sales of the Company s securities are also prohibited under the Insider Trading Policy.

Elements and Analysis of Other Compensation

In addition to direct compensation, Plexus uses several other types of compensation, some of which are not subject to annual Committee action. These include benefits, retirement plans and employment or change in control agreements. These are intended to supplement the previously described compensation methodologies by focusing on long-term employee security and retention. Certain of these plans allow employees to acquire Plexus stock.

Benefits

Structure. We generally provide health and welfare benefits to our executive officers on the same basis as other salaried employees in the United States, although some benefit programs, as discussed elsewhere, are specifically targeted to our executive officers specific circumstances. Consistent with competitive practice, the Committee approves certain perquisites and other benefits for our CEO and the other executive officers in addition to those received by all U.S. salaried employees. The other benefits for certain of our executive officers are: a flexible perquisite benefit valued at up to \$15,000 per calendar year to be used for expenses such as personal financial planning, spouse travel costs in connection with business-related travel, club

memberships and/or tax and estate advice; a company car; and additional life and disability insurance due to the dollar limits of the Company s disability insurance policies. As a result of local law and custom, different but comparable insurance programs and other benefits may apply to personnel, including Mr. Lim, who are located in countries outside of the United States as well as to executive officers who may be temporarily assigned outside of the United States.

Retirement Planning 401(k) Plan

Structure. The 401(k) Plan, which is available to substantially all U.S. employees, allows employees to defer a portion of their annual salaries into their personal accounts maintained under the 401(k) Plan. In addition, Plexus matches a portion of each employee s contributions, up to a maximum of \$10,200 per calendar year. Employees have a choice of investment alternatives, including a Plexus stock fund, in which to invest those funds.

Retirement Planning Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

Structure. As a consequence of Internal Revenue Code limitations on compensation which may be attributed to tax qualified retirement plans (such as the 401(k) Plan), we have also developed a supplemental executive retirement plan for our executive officers to address their particular circumstances and promote long term loyalty to Plexus until retirement. Plexus supplemental executive retirement plan (the SERP) is a deferred compensation plan which allows participants to defer taxes on current income. Under this plan, executive officers (other than Mr. Lim), may elect to defer some or all of their compensation. Plexus may also make discretionary contributions. Additionally, Plexus has purchased Company-owned life insurance on the lives of certain executives to meet the economic commitments associated with this plan. The plan allows investment of deferred compensation amounts on behalf of the participants into individual accounts and within these accounts, into one or more designated mutual funds or investments. These investment choices do not include Plexus stock. Deferred amounts and any earnings which may be credited become payable upon termination, retirement from Plexus, or in accordance with the executive s individual deferral election.

All executive officers, other than Mr. Lim, participate in this program. Additionally, the Company can credit a participant s account with a discretionary employer contribution. Any employer contributions to the SERP require Board approval. The SERP provides a vehicle for the Company to restore the lost deferral and matching opportunity caused by tax regulation limitations on such deferrals and matched contributions for highly compensated individuals; the Committee believes these limitations make supplemental retirement plans common practice in general industry. The Committee also believes that further retirement compensation through the SERP is appropriate to meet the market for executive compensation and to provide a stronger incentive for executives to remain with Plexus through retirement.

Fiscal 2013 Plan Activity.

<u>Contribution Formula</u>. Under a funding plan adopted by the Committee, the SERP provides for an annual discretionary contribution of the greater of (a) 9% of the executive s total targeted cash compensation, minus Plexus permitted contributions to the executive officer s account in the 401(k) Plan, or (b) \$13,500. Total targeted cash compensation is defined as base salary plus the targeted annual incentive plan cash incentive at the time of the Company s contribution.

The Committee adopted this approach for discretionary contributions to reflect competitive practices based on the research, analysis and recommendations of Towers Watson, its compensation consultant for that program.

Employer Contributions. For fiscal 2013, the total employer contributions to the SERP accounts was \$311,499 for all participants as a group, including \$136,154 for the CEO. See footnote 5 to the Summary Compensation Table.

<u>Special Contribution</u>. The SERP also allows the Committee to make discretionary contributions over and above the annual contribution noted above. In fiscal 2013, the Committee did not make any such contributions to any of the executive officers, including the named executive officers.

Fiscal 2014 Payment Schedule. The annual contribution made by the Company will be paid throughout the year on a bi-weekly basis. This schedule allows for dollar cost averaging and spreads the expense of the contribution across the fiscal year. If necessary, a true-up payment will be made at the end of the fiscal year if the Company contribution for any executive officer is less than \$13,500.

Foreign Retirement Arrangements

Since Mr. Lim is not a United States resident, he does not participate in the SERP or the 401(k) Plan. Rather, he participates in the Employees Provident Fund which is mandated by Malaysian law. Under law, minimum contributions of 12% of an employee s wages (salary plus bonus) are required to be made by an employer; Plexus chose to make a contribution of 17% in fiscal 2013 in Mr. Lim s case since it is Plexus practice in Malaysia to make higher contributions than the statutory minimum for personnel with relatively high levels of seniority and responsibility.

Employment and Change in Control Agreements

Structure. We do not generally have employment agreements with our executive officers; however, Plexus does maintain an employment agreement with our Chief Executive Officer in order to recognize the importance of his position, to help assure Plexus of continuing availability of Mr. Foate s services over a period of time, and to protect the Company from competition post-employment. All executive officers and certain other key employees have change in control agreements (with the exception of Mr. Foate, who has change in control provisions as part of his employment agreement), to both help assure that executive officers will not be distracted by personal interests in the case of a potential acquisition of Plexus as well as to maintain their continuing loyalty. We also believe that competitive factors require us to provide these protections to attract and retain talented executive officers and key employees.

Mr. Foate s employment agreement is described below in Executive Compensation Employment Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control Mr. Foate s Employment Agreement. The change in control agreements with our executive officers (with the exception of Mr. Foate) are described below in Executive Compensation Employment Agreements and Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control Arrangements. Please refer to those discussions for a further explanation of those agreements.

Determination of Benefit Levels. In general, the change in control agreements with executive officers provide that, upon termination in the event of a change in control, executive officers will receive compensation equaling three times annual salary plus targeted bonus, a continuation of health and retirement benefits for that period, and a gross-up payment for excise taxes. In addition, under the 2008 Long-Term Plan (and its predecessor) upon a change in control, all unvested awards will automatically vest for all award holders. Certain other key employees also have change in control agreements on substantially the same terms, although generally with only one or two years of coverage. In determining which employees should have change in control agreements, the Committee utilizes its guidelines, which focus on position, responsibilities and compensation level in order to minimize subjectivity.

The Committee reviews the benefit levels under these agreements annually. It is the Committee s view that the level of benefits, combined with the double trigger requiring both a change in control and a termination of employment, provides an appropriate balancing of the interests of the Company, its shareholders and its executives. Benefit levels are believed to be both in line with competitive standards and Plexus overall compensation policy and level of other benefits, as well as necessary and appropriate to attract and retain executive talent. The Committee believes it is general market practice to provide that unvested awards will vest on a change in control, which is the case under the 2008 Long-Term Plan (and its predecessor), as approved by Plexus shareholders. Therefore, offering a package that is consistent with market practices, is appropriate to help motivate executives to focus on the Company s shareholders, even when the circumstance might jeopardize their employment. The Committee also intends that the potential

expense of the agreements is reasonable as compared to total enterprise value. The Committee estimated that the agreements represented approximately 3.0% of the average of fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2006 total enterprise value at the time they were adopted; potential expense was estimated at 3.6% of total enterprise value as of the date of the Committee s most recent determination. As noted above, the agreements contain a double trigger, which provides that benefits would only be paid to the executive officers in the event of a substantial impact upon their employment and compensation.

The Committee periodically reviews the scope and context of the change in control agreements. The Committee continues to believe, as noted above, that the change in control agreements will help motivate the executive officers to respond appropriately, for the benefit of the Company and its shareholders, in the case of a proposed acquisition of the Company which they might perceive would jeopardize their employment.

Tax Aspects of Executive Compensation

The Committee generally attempts to preserve the tax deductibility under the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) of all executive compensation. However, at times and under certain circumstances, it believes that it is more important to provide appropriate incentives irrespective of tax consequences.

Section 162(m) of the Code generally limits the corporate tax deduction for compensation paid to executive officers that is not performance-based to \$1 million annually per executive officer. Plexus has taken action with respect to the provisions of Section 162(m) so that compensation income relating to stock options, SARs, performance-based restricted stock and cash incentive awards, including those made to executive officers pursuant to the VICP, under the 2008 Long-Term Plan (and predecessor plans) is exempt. Compensation under these shareholder approved plans that is performance-based is generally not subject to the \$1 million limitation; however, the grant of restricted shares without performance goals would not be considered to be performance-based and therefore would be subject to the limit along with cash salaries and bonuses. As a result of shareholder approval of the 2008 Long-Term Plan, the Committee believes that most compensation income under the plan would not be subject to the Code s deduction limitation, other than any awards in the future of restricted stock or RSUs without performance goals, as is the case for time-vested RSUs. If restricted stock is granted without performance goals, the covered compensation of some individuals could exceed \$1 million and, in those circumstances, the excess would not currently be tax deductible, as was the case in fiscal 2013. As discussed above, the Committee will grant performance share units beginning in fiscal 2014, which are intended to qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) and, therefore, not be subject to the \$1 million limitation.

Other provisions of the Code also can affect the decisions we make. Section 280G of the Code imposes a 20% excise tax upon executive officers who receive excess payments upon a change in control of a publicly-held corporation to the extent the payments received by them exceed an amount approximating three times their average annual compensation. The excise tax applies to all payments over one times average annual compensation. Plexus would also lose its tax deduction for the excess payments. Our change in control agreements provide that benefits under them will be grossed up so that we also reimburse the executive officer for these tax consequences. Although the gross-up provisions in these agreements and the loss of deductibility would increase Plexus tax expense, the Committee believes it is important that the effects of this Code provision not negate the protections provided by these agreements.

The Code also provides a surtax under Section 409A, relating to various features of deferred compensation arrangements of publicly-held corporations for compensation deferred after December 31, 2004. Section 409A became fully effective on January 1, 2009. We conducted an extensive review of our benefit plans and employment arrangements to help assure they comply with Section 409A and that there are no adverse effects on Plexus or our executive officers as a result of these Code amendments. We made various changes to some of these plans and arrangements to ensure full compliance with the rules under Section 409A; however, we do not expect these changes to have a material tax or financial consequence on Plexus.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The duties and responsibilities of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee of the board of directors are described above under Corporate Governance Board Committees Compensation and Leadership Development Committee and are set forth in a written charter adopted by the board, which is available on the Company s website. The Committee reviews and reassesses this charter annually and recommends any changes to the board for approval.

As part of the exercise of its duties, the Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the above Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this proxy statement. Based upon that review and those discussions, the Committee recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be incorporated by reference in Plexus annual report to shareholders on Form 10-K and included in this proxy statement.

Members of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee:

Stephen P. Cortinovis, Chair

Rainer Jueckstock

Peter Kelly

Phil R. Martens

Michael V. Schrock

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

This section provides further information about the compensation paid to, and other compensatory arrangements with, our executive officers.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table sets forth a summary of the compensation which we paid for fiscal 2013 to our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and the three executive officers who had the highest compensation of our other executive officers (collectively, the named executive officers). More detailed information is presented in the other tables and explanations which follow the following table.

| | | | | 0. 1 | | Non-Equity Incentive | | |
|--|------|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | 0.1 | D | Stock | Option | Plan | All Other | TT (1 |
| N 1D'' 1D'' | V | Salary | Bonus | Awards | Awards | Compensation | Compensation | Total |
| Name and Principal Position | Year | (\$)(1) | (\$)(2) | (\$)(3) | (\$)(3) | (\$)(4) | (\$)(5) | (\$) |
| Dean A. Foate | 2013 | \$ 800,000 | \$ 0 | \$ 1,307,500 | \$ 1,423,169 | \$ 440,704 | \$ 190,134 | \$ 4,161,507 |
| Chairman, President and Chief | 2012 | 800,000 | 0 | 1,398,020 | 1,248,035 | 628,738 | 187,837 | 4,262,630 |
| Executive Officer | 2011 | 793,266 | 0 | 890,290 | 1,138,695 | 698,159 | 160,805 | 3,681,215 |
| Ginger M. Jones | 2013 | 390,000 | 0 | 366,100 | 393,893 | 136,718 | 99,079 | 1,385,790 |
| Senior Vice President and Chief | 2012 | 387,308 | 0 | 367,900 | 323,934 | 192,272 | 78,587 | 1,350,001 |
| Financial Officer | 2011 | 373,269 | 0 | 217,144 | 277,731 | 183,168 | 92,217 | 1,143,529 |
| Todd P. Kelsey | 2013 | 371,346 | 0 | 418,400 | 454,266 | 137,990 | 85,166 | 1,467,168 |
| Executive Vice President and Chief | 2012 | 337,308 | 0 | 441,480 | 391,568 | 166,152 | 74,809 | 1,411,317 |
| Operating Officer (6) | 2011 | 321,922 | 0 | 271,430 | 347,163 | 158,982 | 62,673 | 1,162,170 |
| Yong Jin Lim | 2013 | 366,569 | 0 | 418,400 | 439,908 | 110,147 | 138,954 | 1,473,978 |
| Regional President Plexus APAC | 2012 | 360,878 | 0 | 367,900 | 323,934 | 151,056 | 148,034 | 1,351,802 |
| | 2011 | 352,221 | 0 | 217,144 | 277,731 | 143,755 | 142,174 | 1,133,025 |
| Steven J. Frisch <i>Executive Vice President, Global</i> | 2013 | 315,385 | 0 | 418,400 | 439,908 | 100,160 | 93,010 | 1,366,863 |
| Customer Services (7) | | | | | | | | |

- (1) Includes amounts voluntarily deferred by the named persons under the Plexus Corp. 401(k) Retirement Plan (the 401(k) Plan), the Plexus supplemental executive retirement plan (the SERP) and, for Mr. Lim, the Malaysian Employees Provident Fund. The amounts deferred under the SERP are also included in the Executive Contributions in Last FY column of the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table below. As discussed in Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, executive officers did not receive base salary adjustments in fiscal 2013 (other than for increases in responsibilities resulting from promotions).
- (2) The Bonus column includes only discretionary bonus payments apart from our Variable Incentive Compensation Plan (VICP). Payments under the VICP, including payments for achieving individual objectives, are set forth in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column. Since our executive officers individual objectives are specific and performance against them is measured, we believe that payments under the VICP that relate to the achievement of individual objectives are properly reflected in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column.
- (3) This column represents the grant date fair value computed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 (ASC 718) of stock and option awards granted in fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011 under the 2008 Long-Term Plan, which are explained further below under Grants of Plan-Based Awards. These awards are not subject to performance conditions. Generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) require us to recognize compensation expense for stock options and other stock-related awards

granted to our employees and directors based on the estimated fair value of the equity instrument at the time of grant. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period. The assumptions that we used to determine the valuation of the awards are discussed in footnote 10 to our consolidated financial statements. Please also see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below for further information about the stock and option awards granted in fiscal 2013, and the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End table below relating to all outstanding stock and option awards at the end of fiscal 2013.

(4) The Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column represents amounts that were earned during fiscal 2013, fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2011, respectively, under the VICP. Under the VICP, annual cash incentives for executive officers are determined by a combination of the degree to which Plexus achieves specific pre-set corporate financial goals during the fiscal year and the executive officer s performance on individual objectives. We include more information about the VICP under Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements and Analysis of Direct Compensation Annual Incentive above, as well as under Grants of Plan-Based Awards below.

The amounts shown in the 2013 row were earned in fiscal 2013 but will be paid in fiscal 2014, the amounts shown in the 2012 row were earned in fiscal 2012 and were paid in fiscal 2013, and the amounts shown in the 2011 row were earned in fiscal 2011 and were paid in fiscal 2012.

(5) The amounts listed under the column entitled All Other Compensation in the table include Company contributions to the 401(k) Plan and the SERP (for Mr. Lim, this represents the Company s contribution to the Malaysian Employees Provident Fund), reimbursement made by Plexus under its executive flexible perquisite benefit, the value of the company car benefit provided to the executive, additional life and disability insurance coverage and benefits related to an overseas assignment. Per person detail is listed in the table below:

| | Year | Company Matching Contribution to 401(k) Plan | Company Contribution to SERP | Executive Flexible Perquisite Benefit | Company Car Benefit | Additional Life and Disability Insurance | Overseas Assignment | Total |
|------------|--------------|--|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2013 | \$ 10,200 | \$ 136,154 | \$ 15,473 | \$ 16,194 | \$ 12,113 | U | \$ 190,134 |
| Mr. Foate | 2012 2011 | 10,000 | 139,315 | 12,192 | 14,034 | 12,296 | | 187,837 |
| | 2011 | 9,800 10,200 | 108,768 47,971 | 19,320 26,773 | 10,581 13,103 | 12,336 1,032 | | 160,805 99,079 |
| Ms. Jones | 2012 | 10,000 | 50,119 | 10,000 | 7,256 | 1,212 | | 78,587 |
| | 2011 | 9,800 | 33,907 | 11,026 | 9,340 | 1,252 | \$ 26,892 | 92,217 |
| | 2013 | 10,277 | 47,005 | 13,793 | 13,113 | 978 | | 85,166 |
| Mr. Kelsey | 2012 | 10,446 | 40,862 | 10,317 | 12,119 | 1,065 | | 74,809 |
| | 2011 | 10,723 | 27,868 | 12,206 | 10,788 | 1,088 | | 62,673 |
| | 2013 | | 106,230 | | 19,367 | 13,357 | | 138,954 |
| Mr. Lim | 2012 | | 115,021 | | 19,275 | 13,738 | | 148,034 |
| | 2011 | | 107,516 | | 19,724 | 14,934 | | 142,174 |
| Mr. Frisch | 2013 | 10,569 | 35,354 | 16,489 | 15,136 | 873 | 14,589 | 93,010 |

Under the executive flexible perquisite benefit, executive officers may be reimbursed for expenses up to \$15,000 in a calendar year for miscellaneous expenses such as personal financial planning, spouse travel costs in connection with business-related travel, club memberships and/or tax and estate advice. This benefit is not grossed up for taxes. The amounts in the Executive Flexible Perquisite Benefit column above include the reimbursements under that program in the fiscal years listed; these amounts may exceed the calendar year limits due to the difference between the fiscal and calendar year.

Ms. Jones was on a temporary assignment for Plexus in Malaysia for a portion of fiscal 2011, and Mr. Frisch was on an expatriate assignment in Europe until June 2013. The amounts reported above in the Overseas Assignment column reflect benefits related to these assignments beyond those that were integral and necessary to the business purpose of the assignment. For Ms. Jones, this amount includes expenses for a rental car for her spouse, as well as the related tax gross-up, and a \$15,000 overseas allowance, which was not grossed up for taxes. For Mr. Frisch, this amount includes expenses for home and animal care expenses, as well as the related tax gross-ups, and a \$5,000 repatriation payment, which was not grossed up for taxes.

- (6) Mr. Kelsey was appointed as the Company s Chief Operating Officer on June 10, 2013; he previously served as Executive Vice President, Global Customer Services. As discussed in Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, in connection with Mr. Kelsey s promotion, his annual base salary was increased to \$450,000 at that time, and his targeted award opportunity under the VICP was increased to 80% of his annual base salary.
- (7) Mr. Frisch joined Plexus in 1990, was appointed an executive officer in fiscal 2007 and was promoted to Executive Vice President, Global Customer Services by the Company s Board of Directors effective June 2013; however, he is a named executive officer for the first time in fiscal 2013. In accordance with SEC rules, information for fiscal 2012 and 2011 is not required to be presented.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

2013

The table below sets forth information about stock and option awards that were granted to the named executive officers in fiscal 2013 under the 2008 Long-Term Plan, as well as information about *potential* cash incentive awards dependent on quantifiable corporate performance and individual goals that those executive officers could earn for fiscal 2013 performance (to be paid in fiscal 2014) under the VICP. As a result of fiscal 2013 corporate performance, cash incentive awards based on these criteria were earned in 2013, as set forth under the Non-Equity Incentive Compensation column in the Summary Compensation Table above. We provide further information about potential compensation under the VICP and awards under the 2008 Long-Term Plan in fiscal 2013, as well as additional information about those plans, following the table.

| | Award Type | | Estimated Future Payouts Under Non- Equity Incentive Plan Awards | | | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of | All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities | Exercise or Base Price of Option | Closing Market Price on Grant | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock |
|-----------|---------------|----------|--|------------|--------------|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | Grant | Fhreshold | Target | Maximum* | Stocks or | Underlying | Awards | Date | and Option |
| Name | | Date | (\$)(1) | (\$)(1) | (\$)(1) | Units (#) | Options (#) | (\$/sh) (2) | (\$/sh) (2) | Awards (\$) |
| Mr. Foate | VICP* | 12/14/12 | \$1 | \$ 880,000 | \$ 1,760,000 | | | | | |
| | RSUs (3) | 01/21/13 | | | | 50,000(3) | | | | \$ 1,307,500 |
| | Options | 10/29/12 | | | | | 23,750 | \$ 25.965 | \$ 26.30 | 272,797 |
| | - | 01/21/13 | | | | | 31,250 | 26.15 | 25.85 | |