HUMANA INC Form 10-K February 19, 2014 Table of Contents

### **UNITED STATES**

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

### **FORM 10-K**

#### ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

OR

# " TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

**Commission file number 1-5975** 

to

# HUMANA INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State of incorporation) 61-0647538 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

40202

(Zip Code)

500 West Main Street Louisville, Kentucky (Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (502) 580-1000

 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

 Title of each class
 Name of exchange on which registered

 Common stock, \$0.16 2/3 par value
 New York Stock Exchange

 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

#### Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (\$232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer "Non-accelerated filer "Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No b

The aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of June 30, 2013 was \$13,163,683,546 calculated using the average price on June 28, 2013 of \$84.60.

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant s Common Stock as of January 31, 2014 was 154,034,320.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Parts II and III incorporate herein by reference portions of the Registrant s Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A with respect to the Annual Meeting of Stockholders scheduled to be held on April 29, 2014.

#### HUMANA INC.

#### INDEX TO ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

#### For the Year Ended December 31, 2013

	Part I	Page
Item 1.	Business	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	19
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	37
Item 2.	Properties	38
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	39
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	39
	Part II	
Item 5.	Market for the Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	40
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	43
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	44
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	87
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	89
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	136
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	136
Item 9B.	Other Information	137
	Part III	
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	138
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	141
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	141
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	142
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	142
	Part IV	
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	143
	Signatures and Certifications	155

#### **Forward-Looking Statements**

Some of the statements under Business, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and elsewhere in this report may contain forward-looking statements which reflect our current views with respect to future events and financial performance. These forward-looking statements are made within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and we are including this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events, trends and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including the information discussed under the section entitled Risk Factors in this report. In making these statements, we are not undertaking to address or update them in future filings or communications regarding our business or results. Our business is highly complicated, regulated and competitive with many different factors affecting results.

#### PART I

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS General

Headquartered in Louisville, Kentucky, Humana Inc. and its subsidiaries, referred to throughout this document as we, us, our, the Company or Humana, is a leading health care company that offers a wide range of insurance products and health and wellness services that incorporate an integrated approach to lifelong well-being. As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately 12.0 million members in our medical benefit plans, as well as approximately 7.8 million members in our specialty products. During 2013, 75% of our total premiums and services revenue were derived from contracts with the federal government, including 15% derived from our individual Medicare Advantage contracts in Florida with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, under which we provide health insurance coverage to approximately 415,200 members as of December 31, 2013.

Humana Inc. was organized as a Delaware corporation in 1964. Our principal executive offices are located at 500 West Main Street, Louisville, Kentucky 40202, the telephone number at that address is (502) 580-1000, and our website address is www.humana.com. We have made available free of charge through the Investor Relations section of our web site our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements, and, if applicable, amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, or 2013 Form 10-K, contains both historical and forward-looking information. See Item 1A. Risk Factors in this 2013 Form 10-K for a description of a number of factors that may adversely affect our results or business.

#### **Health Care Reform**

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and The Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (which we collectively refer to as the Health Care Reform Law) enacted significant reforms to various aspects of the U.S. health insurance industry. While regulations and interpretive guidance on many provisions of the Health Care Reform Law have been issued to date by the Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, the Department of Labor, the Treasury Department, and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, or NAIC, there are certain provisions of the law that will require additional guidance and clarification in the form of regulations and interpretations in order to fully understand the impact of the law on our overall business.

Certain significant provisions of the Health Care Reform Law include, among others, mandated coverage requirements, mandated benefits and guarantee issuance associated with commercial medical insurance, rebates to policyholders based on minimum benefit ratios, adjustments to Medicare Advantage premiums, the establishment of federally facilitated or state-based exchanges coupled with programs designed to spread risk among insurers, an annual insurance industry premium-based assessment, and a three-year commercial reinsurance fee. Implementation dates of the Health Care Reform Law began in September 2010 and continue through 2018. The Health Care Reform Law is discussed more fully in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under the section titled Health Care Reform.

#### **Business Segments**

On January 1, 2013, we reclassified certain of our businesses to correspond with internal management reporting changes and renamed our Health and Well-Being Services segment as Healthcare Services. Our Employer Group segment now includes our health and wellness businesses, including HumanaVitality and Lifesynch s employee assistance programs, which had historically been reported in our Healthcare Services segment. The Retail segment now includes our contract with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, to administer the Limited Income Newly Eligible Transition, or LI-NET, prescription drug plan program as well as our state-based contracts for Medicaid members, both of which had historically been reported in our Other Businesses category. Prior period segment financial information has been recast to conform to the 2013 presentation. See Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data for segment financial information.

We manage our business with three reportable segments: Retail, Employer Group, and Healthcare Services. In addition, the Other Businesses category includes businesses that are not individually reportable because they do not meet the quantitative thresholds required by generally accepted accounting principles. These segments are based on a combination of the type of health plan customer and adjacent businesses centered on integrated care delivery for our health plans and other customers, as described below. These segment groupings are consistent with information used by our Chief Executive Officer to assess performance and allocate resources.

The Retail segment consists of Medicare and commercial fully-insured medical and specialty health insurance benefits, including dental, vision, and other supplemental health and financial protection products, marketed directly to individuals, and includes our contract with Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, to administer the LI-NET prescription drug plan program, and contracts with various states to provide Medicaid, dual eligible, and Long-Term Support Services benefits, collectively our state-based contracts. The Employer Group segment consists of Medicare and commercial fully-insured medical and specialty health insurance benefits, including dental, vision, and other supplemental health and voluntary benefit products, as well as administrative services only products, or ASO, and our health and wellness products primarily marketed to employer groups. The Healthcare Services segment includes services offered to our health plan members as well as to third parties, including pharmacy, provider services, home based services, integrated behavioral health services, and predictive modeling and informatics services. The Other Businesses category consists of our military services, primarily our TRICARE South Region contract, Puerto Rico Medicaid, and closed-block long-term care insurance policies.

The results of each segment are measured by income before income taxes. Transactions between reportable segments consist of sales of services rendered by our Healthcare Services segment, primarily pharmacy, provider, and behavioral health, to our Retail and Employer Group customers. Intersegment sales and expenses are recorded at fair value and eliminated in consolidation. Members served by our segments often utilize the same provider networks, enabling us in some instances to obtain more favorable contract terms with providers. Our segments also share indirect costs and assets. As a result, the profitability of each segment is interdependent. We allocate most operating expenses to our segments. Assets and certain corporate income and expenses are not allocated to the segments, including the portion of investment income not supporting segment operations, interest expense on corporate debt, and certain other corporate expenses. These items are managed at the corporate level. These corporate amounts are reported separately from our reportable segments and included with intersegment eliminations.

#### **Our Products**

Our medical and specialty insurance products allow members to access health care services primarily through our networks of health care providers with whom we have contracted. These products may vary in the degree to which members have coverage. Health maintenance organizations, or HMOs, generally require a referral from the member 's primary care provider before seeing certain specialty physicians. Preferred provider organizations, or PPOs, provide members the freedom to choose a health care provider without requiring a referral. However PPOs generally require the member to pay a greater portion of the provider 's fee in the event the member chooses not to use a provider participating in the PPO 's network. Point of Service, or POS, plans combine the advantages of HMO plans with the flexibility of PPO plans. In general, POS plans allow members to choose, at the time medical services are needed, to seek care from a provider within the plan's network or outside the network. In addition, we offer services to our health plan members as well as to third parties that promote health and wellness, including pharmacy, provider services, integrated wellness, and home based services. At the core of our strategy is our integrated care delivery model, which unites quality care, high member engagement, and sophisticated data analytics. Our approach to primary, physician-directed care for our members aims to provide quality care that is consistent, integrated, cost-effective, and member-focused. The model is designed to improve health outcomes and affordability for individuals and for the health system as a whole, while offering our members a simple, seamless healthcare experience. The discussion that follows describes the products offered by each of our segments.

#### **Our Retail Segment Products**

This segment is comprised of products sold on a retail basis to individuals including medical and supplemental benefit plans described in the discussion that follows. The following table presents our premiums and services revenue for the Retail segment by product for the year ended December 31, 2013:

Retail Segment Premiums	Percent of Consolidated	
and	Premiums and	
Services Revenue	Services Revenue	
(dollars	in millions)	
\$ 22,481	54.9%	
3,025	7.4%	
25,506	62.3%	
1,160	2.8%	
328	0.8%	
210	0.5%	
27,204	66.4%	
16	0.1%	
\$ 27,220	66.5%	
	Premiums and Services Revenue (dollars \$ 22,481 3,025 25,506 1,160 328 210 27,204 16	

#### Individual Medicare

We have participated in the Medicare program for private health plans for over 30 years and have established a national presence, offering at least one type of Medicare plan in all 50 states. We have a geographically diverse membership base that we believe provides us with greater ability to expand our network of PPO and HMO providers. We employ strategies including health assessments and clinical guidance programs such as lifestyle and fitness programs for seniors to guide Medicare beneficiaries in making cost-effective decisions with respect to their health care. We believe these strategies result in cost savings that occur from making positive behavior changes.

Medicare is a federal program that provides persons age 65 and over and some disabled persons under the age of 65 certain hospital and medical insurance benefits. CMS, an agency of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, administers the Medicare program. Hospitalization benefits are provided under Part A, without the payment of any premium, for up to 90 days per incident of illness plus a lifetime reserve aggregating 60 days. Eligible beneficiaries are required to pay an annually adjusted premium to the federal government to be eligible for physician care and other services under Part B. Beneficiaries eligible for Part A and Part B coverage under original Medicare are still required to pay out-of-pocket deductibles and coinsurance. Throughout this document this program is referred to as original Medicare. As an alternative to original Medicare, in geographic areas where a managed care organization has contracted with CMS pursuant to the Medicare Part C. Pursuant to Medicare Part C, Medicare Advantage organizations contract with CMS to offer Medicare Advantage plans to provide benefits at least comparable to those offered under original Medicare. Our Medicare Advantage plans are discussed more fully below. Prescription drug benefits are provided under Part D.

#### Individual Medicare Advantage Products

We contract with CMS under the Medicare Advantage program to provide a comprehensive array of health insurance benefits, including wellness programs, chronic care management, and care coordination, to Medicare eligible persons under HMO, PPO, and Private Fee-For-Service, or PFFS, plans in exchange for contractual payments received from CMS, usually a fixed payment per member per month. With each of these products, the beneficiary receives benefits in excess of original Medicare, typically including reduced cost sharing, enhanced prescription drug benefits, care coordination, data analysis techniques to help identify member needs, complex case management, tools to guide members in their health care decisions, care management programs, wellness and prevention programs and, in some instances, a reduced monthly Part B premium. Most Medicare Advantage plans offer the prescription drug benefit under Part D as part of the basic plan, subject to cost sharing and other limitations. Accordingly, all of the provisions of the Medicare Part D program described in connection with our stand-alone prescription drug plans in the following section also are applicable to most of our Medicare Advantage plans. Medicare Advantage plans may charge beneficiaries monthly premiums and other copayments for Medicare-covered services or for certain extra benefits. Generally, Medicare-eligible individuals enroll in one of our plan choices between October 15 and December 7 for coverage that begins on the following January 1.

Our Medicare HMO and PPO plans, which cover Medicare-eligible individuals residing in certain counties, may eliminate or reduce coinsurance or the level of deductibles on many other medical services while seeking care from participating in-network providers or in emergency situations. Except in emergency situations or as specified by the plan, most HMO plans provide no out-of-network benefits. PPO plans carry an out-of network benefit that is subject to higher member cost-sharing. In some cases, these beneficiaries are required to pay a monthly premium to the HMO or PPO plan in addition to the monthly Part B premium they are required to pay the Medicare program.

Most of our Medicare PFFS plans are network-based products with in and out of network benefits due to a requirement that Medicare Advantage organizations establish adequate provider networks, except in geographic areas that CMS determines have fewer than two network-based Medicare Advantage plans. In these areas, we offer Medicare PFFS plans that have no preferred network. Individuals in these plans pay us a monthly premium to receive typical Medicare Advantage benefits along with the freedom to choose any health care provider that accepts individuals at rates equivalent to original Medicare payment rates.

CMS uses monthly rates per person for each county to determine the fixed monthly payments per member to pay to health benefit plans. These rates are adjusted under CMS s risk-adjustment model which uses health status indicators, or risk scores, to improve the accuracy of payment. The risk-adjustment model, which CMS implemented pursuant to the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (BBA) and the Benefits and Improvement Protection Act of 2000 (BIPA), generally pays more for members with predictably higher costs and uses principal hospital

inpatient diagnoses as well as diagnosis data from ambulatory treatment settings (hospital outpatient department and physician visits) to establish the risk-adjustment payments. Under the risk-adjustment methodology, all health benefit organizations must collect from providers and submit the necessary diagnosis code information to CMS within prescribed deadlines.

At December 31, 2013, we provided health insurance coverage under CMS contracts to approximately 2,068,700 individual Medicare Advantage members, including approximately 415,200 members in Florida. These Florida contracts accounted for premiums revenue of approximately \$6.0 billion, which represented approximately 27% of our individual Medicare Advantage premiums revenue, or 15% of our consolidated premiums and services revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Our HMO and PPO products covered under Medicare Advantage contracts with CMS are renewed generally for a calendar year term unless CMS notifies us of its decision not to renew by May 1 of the calendar year in which the contract would end, or we notify CMS of our decision not to renew by the first Monday in June of the calendar year in which the contract would end. All material contracts between Humana and CMS relating to our Medicare Advantage products have been renewed for 2014, and all of our product offerings filed with CMS for 2014 have been approved.

#### Individual Medicare Stand-Alone Prescription Drug Products

We offer stand-alone prescription drug plans, or PDPs, under Medicare Part D, including a PDP plan co-branded with Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., or the Humana-Walmart plan. Generally, Medicare-eligible individuals enroll in one of our plan choices between October 15 and December 7 for coverage that begins on the following January 1. Our stand-alone PDP offerings consist of plans offering basic coverage with benefits mandated by Congress, as well as plans providing enhanced coverage with varying degrees of out-of-pocket costs for premiums, deductibles, and co-insurance. Our revenues from CMS and the beneficiary are determined from our PDP bids submitted annually to CMS. These revenues also reflect the health status of the beneficiary and risk sharing provisions as more fully described in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under the section titled Medicare Part D Provisions. Our stand-alone PDP contracts with CMS are renewed generally for a calendar year term unless CMS notifies us of its decision not to renew by May 1 of the calendar year in which the contract would end, or we notify CMS of our decision not to renew by the first Monday in June of the calendar year in which the contract would end. All material contracts between Humana and CMS relating to our Medicare stand-alone PDP products have been renewed for 2014, and all of our product offerings filed with CMS for 2014 have been approved.

We have administered CMS s LI-NET prescription drug plan program since 2010. This program allows individuals who receive Medicare s low-income subsidy to also receive immediate prescription drug coverage at the point of sale if they are not already enrolled in a Medicare Part D plan. CMS temporarily enrolls newly identified individuals with both Medicare and Medicaid into the LI-NET prescription drug plan program, and subsequently transitions each member into a Medicare Part D plan that may or may not be a Humana Medicare plan.

#### Medicare and Medicaid Dual Eligible and Long-Term Care Support Services

Medicare beneficiaries who also qualify for Medicaid due to low income or special needs are known as dual eligible beneficiaries, or dual eligibles. The dual eligible population represents a disproportionate share of Medicaid and Medicare costs. There were approximately 9 million dual eligible individuals in the United States in 2013, trending upward due to Medicaid eligibility expansions and individuals aging in to the Medicare program. These dual eligibles may enroll in a privately-offered Medicare Advantage product, but may also receive assistance from Medicaid for Medicaid benefits, such as nursing home care and/or assistance with Medicare premiums and cost sharing. The dual eligible population is a strategic area of focus for us and we are leveraging the capabilities of our integrated care delivery model, including care management programs particularly as they relate to chronic conditions, to expand our services to this population. As of December 31, 2013, we served approximately 312,300 dual eligible members in our Medicare Advantage plans and approximately 954,900 dual eligible members in our stand-alone prescription drug plans.

Since the enactment of the Health Care Reform Law, states are pursuing stand-alone dual eligible CMS demonstration programs in which Medicare, Medicaid, and Long-Term Care Support Services (LTSS) benefits are more tightly integrated. Eligibility for participation in these stand-alone dual eligible demonstration programs may require state-based contractual relationships in existing Medicaid programs. We were successful in our bids for state-based contracts in Florida and Virginia in 2013 and in Ohio, Illinois, and Kentucky in 2012. Ohio, Illinois, and Virginia are contracts for stand-alone dual eligible demonstration programs serving individuals dually eligible for both the federal Medicare program and the state-based Medicaid program. We partner with organizations, including CareSource Management Group Company, to serve individuals in certain states. We began serving members in Kentucky and certain LTSS regions in Florida in 2013, and we expect to begin serving new members in Ohio, Illinois, Virginia, and Florida at various dates between the first quarter and third quarter of 2014.

LTSS eligible beneficiaries heavily overlap with the dual eligible population. On September 6, 2013, we acquired American Eldercare Inc., or American Eldercare, the largest provider of nursing home diversion services in the state of Florida, serving frail and elderly individuals in home and community-based settings. American Eldercare complements our core capabilities and strength in serving seniors and disabled individuals with a unique focus on individualized and integrated care, and has contracts to provide Medicaid long-term support services across the entire state of Florida. The enrollment effective dates for the various regions range from August 2013 to March 2014.

#### Individual Commercial Coverage

Our individual health plans are marketed under the HumanaOne<sup>®</sup> brand. We offer products both on and off of the public exchange, including exchange offerings in certain metropolitan areas in 14 states. We offer products on exchanges where we can achieve an affordable cost of care, including HMO offerings and select networks in most markets. Our off-exchange products offered in 22 states are primarily PPO and POS offerings, including plans issued prior to 2014 that were previously underwritten. In addition, we offer most of our on exchange products off exchange as well. Policies issued prior to the enactment of the Health Care Reform Law on March 23, 2010 are grandfathered policies. Grandfathered policies are exempt from the requirements of the Health Care Reform Law, including mandated benefits. However, our grandfathered plans include provisions that guarantee renewal of coverage for as long as the individual chooses. Policies issued between March 23, 2010 and December 31, 2013 are required to conform to the Health Care Reform Law, including mandated benefits, upon renewal in 2014 or 2015, depending on the state.

The initial open enrollment period began for plans effective January 1, 2014 offered through federally facilitated, federal-state partnerships or state-based exchanges for individuals on October 1, 2013. Federal and state regulatory changes in December 2013 extended the enrollment deadline for January 1, 2014 insurance coverage from December 15, 2013 to December 24, 2013, required plans to accept payment for policies with a start date of January 1, 2014 as late as December 31, 2013 (we voluntarily extended our deadline for payment to January 31, 2014 and voluntarily extended our deadline for payment to February 28, 2014 for policies with a start date of February 1), and allowed certain individuals to remain in their existing underwritten off-exchange health plans that are not compliant with the Health Care Reform Law. Individuals have until March 31, 2014 to enroll for insurance without paying the penalty imposed by the Health Care Reform Law.

Prior to 2014, our HumanaOne<sup>®</sup> plans primarily were offered as PPO plans in 27 states where we could generally underwrite risk and utilize our existing networks and distribution channels. As indicated above, this individual product included provisions mandated by law to guarantee renewal of coverage for as long as the individual chooses.

Rewards-based wellness programs are included with many individual products. We also offer optional benefits such as dental, vision, life, and a portfolio of financial protection products.

#### Informatics

As discussed previously, at the core of our strategy is our integrated care delivery model. We are focused on proactive clinical outreach and member engagement. Accordingly, our Retail Segment uses the informatics and predictive modeling capabilities of our Healthcare Services segment to identify members in need of clinical intervention, as further described under the section titled Our Healthcare Services Segment Products herein.

#### **Employer Group Segment Products**

This segment is comprised of products sold to employer groups including medical and supplemental benefit plans as well as health and wellness products as described in the discussion that follows. The following table presents our premiums and services revenue for the Employer Group segment by product for the year ended December 31, 2013:

Employer Group Segment Premiums	Percent of Consolidated		
and Services Revenue (dollars	Premiums and Services Revenue s in millions)		
\$ 5,117	12.5%		
4,710	11.5%		
8	0.0%		
4,718	11.5%		
1,095	2.7%		
10,930	26.7%		
357	0.9%		
\$ 11,287	27.6%		
\$ 51	n/a		
\$ 51			
	Group Segment Premiums and Services Revenue (dollars \$ 5,117 4,710 8 4,718 1,095 10,930 357 \$ 11,287 \$ 51		

#### n/a not applicable

#### Employer Group Commercial Coverage

Our commercial products sold to employer groups include a broad spectrum of major medical benefits with multiple in-network coinsurance levels and annual deductible choices that employers of all sizes can offer to their employees on either a fully-insured, through HMO, PPO, or POS plans, or self-funded basis. Our plans integrate clinical programs, plan designs, communication tools, and spending accounts. We participate in the Federal Employee Health Benefits Program, or FEHBP, primarily with our HMO offering in certain markets. FEHBP is the government s health insurance program for Federal employees, retirees, former employees, family members, and spouses. As with our individual commercial products, the employer group offerings include HumanaVitality<sup>®</sup>, our wellness and loyalty reward program.

#### Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

Our administrative services only, or ASO, products are offered to employers who self-insure their employee health plans. We receive fees to provide administrative services which generally include the processing of claims, offering access to our provider networks and clinical programs, and responding to customer service inquiries from members of self-funded employers. These products may include all of the same benefit and product design characteristics of our fully-insured HMO, PPO, or POS products described previously. Under ASO contracts, self-funded employers generally retain the risk of financing substantially all of the cost of health benefits. However, more than half of our ASO customers purchase stop loss insurance coverage from us to cover catastrophic claims or to limit aggregate annual costs.

As with individual commercial policies, employers can customize their offerings with optional benefits such as dental, vision, life, and a portfolio of voluntary benefit products.

#### Group Medicare Advantage and Medicare stand-alone PDP

We offer products that enable employers that provide post-retirement health care benefits to replace Medicare wrap or Medicare supplement products with Medicare Advantage or stand-alone PDPs from Humana. These products offer the same types of benefits and services available to members in our individual Medicare plans discussed previously and can be tailored to closely match an employer s post-retirement benefit structure.

#### Wellness

We offer wellness solutions including our Humana Vitality® wellness and loyalty rewards program, health coaching, and fitness programs.

We provide employee assistance programs and coaching services primarily to our employer group customers. Services include a comprehensive turn-key coaching program, an enhancement to a medically based coaching protocol and a platform that makes coaching programs more efficient.

HumanaVitality, LLC, a joint venture with Discovery Holdings Ltd., provides our members with access to a science-based, actuarially driven wellness and loyalty program that features a wide range of well-being tools and rewards that are customized to an individual s needs and wants. A key element of the program includes a sophisticated health-behavior-change model supported by an actuarially sound incentive program.

#### **Our Healthcare Services Segment Products**

The products offered by our Healthcare Services segment are key to our integrated care delivery model. This segment is comprised of stand-alone businesses that offer services including pharmacy solutions, provider services, home based services, integrated behavioral health services, and predictive modeling and informatics services to other Humana businesses, as well as external health plan members, external health plans, and other employers or individuals and are described in the discussion that follows. Our intersegment revenue is described in Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. The following table presents our services revenue for the Healthcare Services segment by line of business for the year ended December 31, 2013:

	Healthcare Services Segment Premiums and Services Revenue	Percent of Consolidated Premiums and Services Revenue ollars in millions)
Intersegment revenue:	(0	
Pharmacy solutions	\$ 13,079	n/a
Provider services	1,120	n/a
Home based services	326	n/a
Integrated behavioral health services	126	n/a
Total intersegment revenue	\$ 14,651	
External services revenue:		
Provider services	\$ 1,127	2.8%
Home based services	94	0.2%
Pharmacy solutions	59	0.1%
Integrated behavioral health services	2	0.0%
Total external services revenue	\$ 1,282	3.1%

#### n/a not applicable

#### **Pharmacy** solutions

Humana Pharmacy Solutions<sup>®</sup>, or HPS, manages traditional prescription drug coverage for both individuals and employer groups in addition to providing a broad array of pharmacy solutions. HPS also operates prescription mail order services for brand, generic, and specialty drugs and diabetic supplies through RightSourceRx<sup>®</sup>, as well as research services.

#### **Provider services**

Our subsidiary, Concentra Inc.<sup>®</sup>, acquired in 2010, delivers primary care, occupational medicine, urgent care, physical therapy, and wellness services to employees and the general public through its operation of medical centers and worksite medical facilities.

Our CAC Medical Centers, or CAC, in South Florida operate full-service, multi-specialty medical centers staffed by primary care providers and medical specialists practicing cardiology, endocrinology, geriatric medicine, internal medicine, ophthalmology, neurology, and podiatry.

Our subsidiary, Metropolitan Health Networks, Inc., or Metropolitan, and our noncontrolling equity interest in MCCI Holdings, LLC, or MCCI, both acquired in 2012, are Medical Services Organizations, or MSOs, that coordinate medical care for Medicare Advantage beneficiaries and Medicaid recipients, primarily in Florida and Texas. These MSOs represent key components of our integrated care delivery model which we believe is scalable to new markets.

#### Integrated behavioral health services

Corphealth, Inc. (d/b/a LifeSynch<sup>®</sup>), a Humana subsidiary, offers care management services through an innovative suite of integrated products, integrating behavioral health services with wellness programs, and employee assistance programs and work-life services.

#### Home based services

Via in-home care, telephonic health counseling/coaching, and remote monitoring, we are actively involved in the care management of our customers with the greatest needs.

Home based services include the operations of SeniorBridge Family Companies, Inc., or SeniorBridge, acquired in 2012, and Humana Cares<sup>®</sup>. As a chronic-care provider of in-home care for seniors, we provide innovative and holistic care coordination services for individuals living with multiple chronic conditions, individuals with disabilities, fragile and aging-in-place members and their care givers. We focus our deployment of these services in geographies, such as Florida, with a high concentration of members living with multiple chronic conditions.

#### Informatics

We supplement our existing clinical capabilities with technology. We believe that technology represents a significant opportunity in health care that positively impacts our members. We have enhanced our health information technology capabilities enabling us to create a more complete view of an individual s health, designed to connect, coordinate and simplify health care while reducing costs. These capabilities include our health care analytics engine, which reviews millions of clinical data points each day to provide members, providers, and payers real-time clinical insights and gaps-in-care data to improve health outcomes, as well as technology that allows disparate electronic health record systems and Humana to share data that gives providers a comprehensive view of the patient and enables the exchange of essential health information in real-time. As we have integrated these and related assets into our operations over the past few years, we have enhanced our ability to leverage predictive modeling capabilities that enable us to anticipate, rather than react to, our members health needs.

#### **Other Businesses**

Products and services offered by our Other Businesses are described in the discussion that follows. The following table presents our premiums and services revenue for our Other Businesses for the year ended December 31, 2013:

	Other	Percent of	
	Businesses	Consolidated	
	Premiums	Premiums	
	and	and	
	Services Revenue	Services Revenue	
	(dollars	in millions)	
Premiums:			
Military services	\$ 25	0.1%	
Puerto Rico Medicaid	629	1.5%	
Closed-block long-term care insurance policies	41	0.1%	
Total premiums	695	1.7%	
Services	454	1.1%	
Total premiums and services revenue	\$ 1,149	2.8%	

#### **Military Services**

Under our TRICARE South Region contract with the United States Department of Defense, or DoD, we provide administrative services to arrange health care services for the dependents of active duty military

personnel and for retired military personnel and their dependents. Currently, three health benefit options are available to TRICARE beneficiaries. In addition to a traditional indemnity option, participants may enroll in an HMO-like plan with a point-of-service option or take advantage of reduced copayments by using a network of preferred providers, similar to a PPO.

We have participated in the TRICARE program since 1996 under contracts with the DoD. On April 1, 2012, we began delivering services under our current TRICARE South Region contract that the Defense Health Agency, or DHA (formerly known as the TRICARE Management Activity), awarded to us on February 25, 2011. Under the current contract, we provide administrative services, including offering access to our provider networks and clinical programs, claim processing, customer service, enrollment, and other services, while the federal government retains all of the risk of the cost of health benefits. Accordingly, we account for revenues under the current contract net of estimated health care costs similar to an administrative services fee only agreement. Our current TRICARE South Region contract covers approximately 3,101,800 eligible beneficiaries as of December 31, 2013 in Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, Mississippi, Alabama, Tennessee, Louisiana, Arkansas, Texas, Oklahoma, and Kentucky (the Fort Campbell area only). The South Region is one of the three regions in the United States as defined by the Department of Defense. We have subcontracted with third parties to provide selected administration and specialty services under the contract. The current 5-year South Region contract, which expires March 31, 2017, is subject to annual renewals on April 1 of each year during its term at the government s option. On January 27, 2014, we received notice from the DHA of its intent to exercise its option to extend the TRICARE South Region contract through March 31, 2015.

#### Puerto Rico Medicaid

Our Puerto Rico Medicaid business consisted of contracts with the Puerto Rico Health Insurance Administration, or PRHIA, for the East, Southeast, and Southwest. On June 26, 2013, the Puerto Rico Health Insurance Administration notified us of its election not to renew these contracts which ended June 30, 2013. Contractual transition provisions required the continuation of insurance coverage for beneficiaries through September 30, 2013 and also require an additional period of time thereafter to process residual claims.

#### **Closed Block of Long-Term Care Insurance Policies**

We have a non-strategic closed block of approximately 33,300 long-term care insurance policies associated with our acquisition of KMG America Corporation in 2007. Long-term care insurance policies are intended to protect the insured from the cost of long-term care services including those provided by nursing homes, assisted living facilities, and adult day care as well as home health care services. No new policies have been written since 2005 under this closed block.

#### Membership

The following table summarizes our total medical membership at December 31, 2013, by market and product:

		Retail Segment Individual			Employer Group Segment (in thousands) Group Medicare Advantage Fully- and					
	Individual	Medicare	Individual	State-	insured	stand-		04		D
	Medicare Advantage	stand- alone PDP		based	commercial Group	alone PDP	ASO	Other Businesses	Total	Percent of Total
Florida	415.2	278.6	82.1	70.0	152.7	22.8	ASU 38.7	0	1,060.1	8.8%
Texas	153.9	278.0	107.7	0.0	293.6	58.3	111.9	0	949.7	7.9%
Kentucky	55.8	120.1	25.0	15.5	104.5	76.3	488.5	0	885.7	7.4%
Ohio	61.4	105.7	7.7	0	53.2	148.4	141.1	0	517.5	4.3%
Illinois	72.9	106.8	39.0	0	134.0	9.0	93.4	0	455.1	3.8%
California	24.2	348.6	5.1	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	378.2	3.2%
Georgia	72.2	63.4	72.2	0	120.3	7.6	41.1	0	376.8	3.1%
Wisconsin	49.1	62.4	13.6	0	88.7	13.1	116.0	0	342.9	2.9%
Missouri/Kansas	81.8	140.4	15.2	0	44.8	5.3	7.4	0	294.9	2.5%
Tennessee	108.3	74.3	22.4	0	44.6	2.1	38.8	0	290.5	2.4%
Louisiana	114.9	38.7	20.8	0	50.4	6.7	29.2	0	260.7	2.2%
Virginia	107.4	86.3	4.3	0	0	3.5	0	0	201.5	1.7%
North Carolina	71.8	117.9	5.8	0	0.1	0.5	0	0	196.1	1.6%
Indiana	54.2	78.4	8.7	0	21.7	3.7	18.0	0	184.7	1.5%
Michigan	48.6	96.8	12.0	0	10.3	13.1	2.6	0	183.4	1.5%
Colorado	27.6	61.4	37.0	0	18.4	6.2	0.4	0	151.0	1.3%
Arizona	45.2	53.1	19.3	0	24.8	1.5	2.1	0	146.0	1.2%
Military services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,101.8	3,101.8	25.9%
Others	504.2	1,214.5	102.2	0	74.8	55.1	33.5	23.4	2,007.7	16.8%
Totals	2,068.7	3,271.7	600.1	85.5	1,237.0	433.3	1,162.8	3,125.2	11,984.3	100.0%

#### **Provider Arrangements**

We provide our members with access to health care services through our networks of health care providers whom we employ or with whom we have contracted, including hospitals and other independent facilities such as outpatient surgery centers, primary care providers, specialist physicians, dentists, and providers of ancillary health care services and facilities. These ancillary services and facilities include laboratories, ambulance services, medical equipment services, home health agencies, mental health providers, rehabilitation facilities, nursing homes, optical services, and pharmacies. Our membership base and the ability to influence where our members seek care generally enable us to obtain contractual discounts with providers.

We use a variety of techniques to provide access to effective and efficient use of health care services for our members. These techniques include the coordination of care for our members, product and benefit designs, hospital inpatient management systems, the use of sophisticated analytics, and enrolling members into various care management programs. The focal point for health care services in many of our HMO networks is the primary care provider who, under contract with us, provides services to our members, and may control utilization of appropriate services by directing or approving hospitalization and referrals to specialists and other providers. Some physicians may have arrangements under which they can earn bonuses when certain target goals relating to the provision of quality patient care are met. We have available care management programs related to complex chronic conditions such as congestive heart failure and coronary artery disease. We also have programs for prenatal and premature infant care, asthma related illness, end stage renal disease, diabetes, cancer, and certain other conditions.

#### Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

We typically contract with hospitals on either (1) a per diem rate, which is an all-inclusive rate per day, (2) a case rate or diagnosis-related groups (DRG), which is an all-inclusive rate per admission, or (3) a discounted charge for inpatient hospital services. Outpatient hospital services generally are contracted at a flat rate by type of service, ambulatory payment classifications, or APCs, or at a discounted charge. APCs are similar to flat rates except multiple services and procedures may be aggregated into one fixed payment. These contracts are often multi-year agreements, with rates that are adjusted for inflation annually based on the consumer price index, other nationally recognized inflation indexes, or specific negotiations with the provider. Outpatient surgery centers and other ancillary providers typically are contracted at flat rates per service provided or are reimbursed based upon a nationally recognized fee schedule such as the Medicare allowable fee schedule.

Our contracts with physicians typically are renewed automatically each year, unless either party gives written notice, generally ranging from 90 to 120 days, to the other party of its intent to terminate the arrangement. Most of the physicians in our PPO networks and some of our physicians in our HMO networks are reimbursed based upon a fixed fee schedule, which typically provides for reimbursement based upon a percentage of the standard Medicare allowable fee schedule.

The terms of our contracts with hospitals and physicians may also vary between Medicare and commercial business. A significant portion of our Medicare network contracts, including those with both hospitals and physicians, are tied to Medicare reimbursement levels and methodologies.

The Budget Control Act of 2011, enacted on August 2, 2011, increased the United States debt ceiling conditioned on deficit reductions to be achieved over the next ten years. The Budget Control Act of 2011 also established a twelve-member joint committee of Congress known as the Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction to propose legislation to reduce the United States federal deficit by \$1.5 trillion for fiscal years 2012-2021. The failure of the Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction to achieve a targeted deficit reduction by December 23, 2011 triggered an automatic reduction, including aggregate reductions to Medicare payments to providers of up to 2 percent per fiscal year. These reductions took effect on April 1, 2013, and the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013, enacted on December 26, 2013, extended the reductions for two years. We expect a corresponding substantial reduction in our obligations to providers. Due to the uncertainty around the application of any such reductions, there can be no assurances that we can completely offset any reductions to the Medicare healthcare programs applied by the Budget Control Act of 2011. See Legal Proceedings and Certain Regulatory Matters in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

#### Capitation

For some of our medical membership, we share risk with providers under capitation contracts where physicians and hospitals accept varying levels of financial risk for a defined set of membership, primarily HMO membership. Under the typical capitation arrangement, we prepay these providers a monthly fixed-fee per member, known as a capitation (per capita) payment, to cover all or a defined portion of the benefits provided to the capitated member.

We believe these risk-based models represent a key element of our integrated care delivery model at the core of our strategy. Our health plan subsidiaries may enter into these risk-based contracts with third party providers or our owned provider subsidiaries, including Metropolitan, an MSO acquired December 21, 2012.

At December 31, 2013, approximately 671,000 members, or 5.6% of our medical membership, were covered under risk-based contracts, including 561,500 individual Medicare Advantage members, or 27.1% of our total individual Medicare Advantage membership. The termination of our Puerto Rico Medicaid contract effective September 30, 2013 resulted in a decline in the total number of members covered under risk-based contracts from 1,131,700 members at December 31, 2012.

Physicians under capitation arrangements typically have stop loss coverage so that a physician s financial risk for any single member is limited to a maximum amount on an annual basis. We typically process all claims and monitor the financial performance and solvency of our capitated providers. However, we delegated claim processing functions under capitation arrangements covering approximately 116,200 HMO members, including 84,400 individual Medicare Advantage members, at December 31, 2013, with the provider assuming substantially all the risk of coordinating the members health care benefits. Capitation expense under delegated arrangements for which we have a limited view of the underlying claims experience was approximately \$693 million, or 2.1% of total benefits expense, for the year ended December 31, 2013. We remain financially responsible for health care services to our members in the event our providers fail to provide such services.

#### Accreditation Assessment

Our accreditation assessment program consists of several internal programs, including those that credential providers and those designed to meet the audit standards of federal and state agencies as well as external accreditation standards. We also offer quality and outcome measurement and improvement programs such as the Health Care Effectiveness Data and Information Sets, or HEDIS, which is used by employers, government purchasers and the National Committee for Quality Assurance, or NCQA, to evaluate health plans based on various criteria, including effectiveness of care and member satisfaction.

Providers participating in our networks must satisfy specific criteria, including licensing, patient access, office standards, after-hours coverage, and other factors. Most participating hospitals also meet accreditation criteria established by CMS and/or the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations.

Recredentialing of participating providers occurs every two to three years, depending on applicable state laws. Recredentialing of participating providers includes verification of their medical licenses, review of their malpractice liability claims histories, review of their board certifications, if applicable, and review of applicable quality information. A committee, composed of a peer group of providers, reviews the applications of providers being considered for credentialing and recredentialing.

We request accreditation for certain of our health plans and/or departments from NCQA, the Accreditation Association for Ambulatory Health Care, and the URAC. Accreditation or external review by an approved organization is mandatory in the states of Florida and Kansas for licensure as an HMO. Certain commercial businesses, like those impacted by a third-party labor agreement or those where a request is made by the employer, may require or prefer accredited health plans.

NCQA reviews our compliance based on standards for quality improvement, credentialing, utilization management, member connections, and member rights and responsibilities. We have achieved and maintained NCQA accreditation in most of our commercial, Medicare and Medicaid HMO/POS markets with enough history and membership, except Puerto Rico, and for many of our PPO markets.

#### Sales and Marketing

We use various methods to market our products, including television, radio, the Internet, telemarketing, and direct mailings.

At December 31, 2013, we employed approximately 1,800 sales representatives, as well as approximately 1,100 telemarketing representatives who assisted in the marketing of individual Medicare and individual commercial health insurance and specialty products in our Retail segment, including making appointments for sales representatives with prospective members. We also market our individual Medicare products via a strategic alliance with Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., or Wal-Mart. This alliance includes stationing Humana representatives in certain Wal-Mart stores, SAM S CLUB locations, and Neighborhood Markets across the country which provides an opportunity to enroll Medicare eligible individuals in person. In addition, we market our individual Medicare

and individual commercial health insurance and specialty products through licensed independent brokers and agents. For our Medicare products, commissions paid to employed sales representatives and independent brokers and agents are based on a per unit commission structure, regulated in structure and amount by CMS. For our individual commercial health insurance and specialty products, we generally pay brokers a commission based on premiums, with commissions varying by market and premium volume. In addition to a commission based directly on premium volume for sales to particular customers, we also have programs that pay brokers and agents based on other metrics. These include commission bonuses based on sales that attain certain levels or involve particular products. We also pay additional commissions based on aggregate volumes of sales involving multiple customers.

In our Employer Group segment, individuals may become members of our commercial HMOs and PPOs through their employers or other groups, which typically offer employees or members a selection of health insurance products, pay for all or part of the premiums, and make payroll deductions for any premiums payable by the employees. We attempt to become an employer s or group s exclusive source of health insurance benefits by offering a variety of HMO, PPO, and specialty products that provide cost-effective quality health care coverage consistent with the needs and expectations of their employees or members. In addition, we have begun to offer plans to employer groups through private exchanges. Employers can give their employees a set amount of money and then direct them to a private exchange. There, employees can shop for a health plan and other benefits based on what the employer has selected as options. We also sell group Medicare Advantage products through large employers. We use licensed independent brokers, independent agents, and employees to sell our group products. Many of our larger employer group customers are represented by insurance brokers and consultants who assist these groups in the design and purchase of health care products. We pay brokers and agents using the same commission structure described above for our individual commercial health insurance and specialty products.

#### Underwriting

Beginning in 2014, the Health Care Reform Law requires all individual and group health plans to guarantee issuance and renew coverage without pre-existing condition exclusions or health-status rating adjustments. Accordingly, newly issued individual and group health plans are not subject to underwriting in 2014 and beyond. Further, underwriting techniques are not employed in connection with our Medicare, military services, or Medicaid products because government regulations require us to accept all eligible applicants regardless of their health or prior medical history.

Prior to 2014, through the use of internally developed underwriting criteria, we determined the risk we were willing to assume and the amount of premium to charge for our commercial products. In most instances, employer and other groups had to meet our underwriting standards in order to qualify to contract with us for coverage.

#### Competition

The health benefits industry is highly competitive. Our competitors vary by local market and include other managed care companies, national insurance companies, and other HMOs and PPOs, including HMOs and PPOs owned by Blue Cross/Blue Shield plans. Many of our competitors have larger memberships and/or greater financial resources than our health plans in the markets in which we compete. Our ability to sell our products and to retain customers may be influenced by such factors as those described in the section entitled Risk Factors in this 2013 Form 10-K.

#### **Government Regulation**

Diverse legislative and regulatory initiatives at both the federal and state levels continue to affect aspects of the nation s health care system.

Our management works proactively to ensure compliance with all governmental laws and regulations affecting our business. We are unable to predict how existing federal or state laws and regulations may be changed or interpreted, what additional laws or regulations affecting our businesses may be enacted or proposed, when and which of the proposed laws will be adopted or what effect any such new laws and regulations will have on our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

For a description of certain material current activities in the federal and state legislative areas, see the section entitled Risk Factors in this 2013 Form 10-K.

#### **Certain Other Services**

#### Captive Insurance Company

We bear general business risks associated with operating our Company such as professional and general liability, employee workers compensation, and officer and director errors and omissions risks. Professional and general liability risks may include, for example, medical malpractice claims and disputes with members regarding benefit coverage. We retain certain of these risks through our wholly-owned, captive insurance subsidiary. We reduce exposure to these risks by insuring levels of coverage for losses in excess of our retained limits with a number of third-party insurance companies. We remain liable in the event these insurance companies are unable to pay their portion of the losses.

#### **Centralized Management Services**

We provide centralized management services to each of our health plans and to our business segments from our headquarters and service centers. These services include management information systems, product development and administration, finance, human resources, accounting, law, public relations, marketing, insurance, purchasing, risk management, internal audit, actuarial, underwriting, claims processing, billing/enrollment, and customer service.

#### Employees

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately 52,000 employees and an additional approximately 2,500 medical professionals working under management agreements between Concentra and affiliated physician-owned associations. We believe we have good relations with our employees and have not experienced any work stoppages.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

If we do not design and price our products properly and competitively, if the premiums we charge are insufficient to cover the cost of health care services delivered to our members, if we are unable to implement clinical initiatives to provide a better health care experience for our members, lower costs and appropriately document the risk profile of our members, or if our estimates of benefits expense are inadequate, our profitability may be materially adversely affected. We estimate the costs of our benefits expense payments, and design and price our products accordingly, using actuarial methods and assumptions based upon, among other relevant factors, claim payment patterns, medical cost inflation, and historical developments such as claim inventory levels and claim receipt patterns. These estimates, however involve extensive judgment, and have considerable inherent variability because they are extremely sensitive to changes in claim payment patterns and medical cost trends.

We use a substantial portion of our revenues to pay the costs of health care services delivered to our members. These costs include claims payments, capitation payments to providers (predetermined amounts paid to cover services), and various other costs incurred to provide health insurance coverage to our members. These costs also include estimates of future payments to hospitals and others for medical care provided to our members. Generally, premiums in the health care business are fixed for one-year periods. Accordingly, costs we incur in excess of our benefit cost projections generally are not recovered in the contract year through higher premiums. We estimate the costs of our future benefit claims and other expenses using actuarial methods and assumptions based upon claim payment patterns, medical inflation, historical developments, including claim inventory levels and claim receipt patterns, and other relevant factors. We also record benefits payable for future payments. We continually review estimates of future payments relating to benefit claims costs for services incurred in the current and prior periods and make necessary adjustments to our reserves. However, these estimates involve extensive judgment, and have considerable inherent variability that is sensitive to claim payment patterns and medical cost trends. Many factors may and often do cause actual health care costs to exceed what was estimated and used to set our premiums. These factors may include:

increased use of medical facilities and services, including prescription drugs;

increased cost of such services;

our membership mix;

variances in actual versus estimated levels of cost associated with new products, benefits or lines of business, product changes or benefit level changes;

changes in the demographic characteristics of an account or market;

changes or reductions of our utilization management functions such as preauthorization of services, concurrent review or requirements for physician referrals;

changes in our pharmacy volume rebates received from drug manufacturers;

catastrophes, including acts of terrorism, public health epidemics, or severe weather (e.g. hurricanes and earthquakes);

the introduction of new or costly treatments, including new technologies;

#### Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

#### medical cost inflation; and

government mandated benefits or other regulatory changes, including any that result from Health Care Reform Law. Key to our operational strategy is the implementation of clinical initiatives that we believe provide a better health care experience for our members, lower these costs, and appropriately document the risk profile of our members. Our profitability and competitiveness depend in large part on our ability to appropriately manage health care costs through, among other things, the application of medical management programs such as our chronic care management program.

In addition, we also estimate costs associated with long-duration insurance policies including long-term care, life insurance, annuities, and certain health and other supplemental insurance policies sold to individuals for which some of the premium received in the earlier years is intended to pay anticipated benefits to be incurred in future years. At policy issuance, these future policy benefit reserves are recognized on a net level premium method based on interest rates, mortality, morbidity, and maintenance expense assumptions. Interest rates are based on our expected net investment returns on the investment portfolio supporting the reserves for these blocks of business. Mortality, a measure of expected death, and morbidity, a measure of health status, assumptions are based on published actuarial tables, modified based upon actual experience. The assumptions used to determine the liability for future policy benefits are established and locked in at the time each contract is issued and only change if our expected future experience deteriorates to the point that the level of the liability, together with the present value of future gross premiums, are not adequate to provide for future expected policy benefits and maintenance costs (i.e. the loss recognition date). Because these policies have long-term claim payout periods, there is a greater risk of significant variability in claims costs, either positive or negative. We perform loss recognition tests at least annually in the fourth quarter, and more frequently if adverse events or changes in circumstances indicate that the level of the liability, together with the present value of future gross premiums, may not be adequate to provide for future expected policy benefits and maintenance costs. Future policy benefits payable include \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2013 associated with a non-strategic closed block of long-term care insurance policies acquired in connection with the 2007 KMG America Corporation acquisition. Long-term care insurance policies provide nursing home and home health coverage for which premiums are collected many years in advance of benefits paid, if any. Therefore, our actual claims experience will emerge many years after assumptions have been established. The risk of a deviation of the actual interest, morbidity, mortality, and maintenance expense assumptions from those assumed in our reserves are particularly significant to our closed block of long-term care insurance policies. We monitor the loss experience of these long-term care insurance policies, and, when necessary, apply for premium rate increases through a regulatory filing and approval process in the jurisdictions in which such products were sold. However, to the extent premium rate increases or loss experience vary from the assumptions we have locked in, additional future adjustments to reserves could be required. For example, we were required to unlock, modify our assumptions, and increase future policy benefits payable in the fourth quarter of each of 2010 and 2013.

Failure to adequately price our products or estimate sufficient benefits payable or future policy benefits payable may result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

We are in a highly competitive industry. Some of our competitors are more established in the health care industry in terms of a larger market share and have greater financial resources than we do in some markets. In addition, other companies may enter our markets in the future, including emerging competitors in the Medicare program. We may also face increased competition due to participation by other insurers in the health insurance exchanges implemented under the Health Care Reform Law. We believe that barriers to entry in our markets are not substantial, so the addition of new competitors can occur relatively easily, and customers enjoy significant flexibility in moving between competitors. Contracts for the sale of commercial products are generally bid upon or renewed annually. While health plans compete on the basis of many factors, including service and the quality and depth of provider networks, we expect that price will continue to be a significant basis of competition. In addition to the challenge of controlling health care costs, we face intense competitive pressure to contain premium prices. Factors such as business consolidations, strategic alliances, legislative reform, and marketing practices create pressure to contain premium price increases, despite being faced with increasing medical costs.

The policies and decisions of the federal and state governments regarding the Medicare, military, and Medicaid programs in which we participate have a substantial impact on our profitability. These governmental policies and decisions, which we cannot predict with certainty, directly shape the premiums or other revenues to us under the programs, the eligibility and enrollment of our members, the services we provide to our members, and our administrative, health care services, and other costs associated with these programs. Legislative or regulatory actions, such as those resulting in a reduction in premium payments to us, an increase in our cost of administrative and health care services, or additional fees, taxes or assessments, may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

Premium increases, introduction of new product designs, and our relationships with our providers in various markets, among other issues, could also affect our membership levels. Other actions that could affect membership levels include our possible exit from or entrance into Medicare or commercial markets, or the termination of a large contract.

If we do not compete effectively in our markets, if we set rates too high or too low in highly competitive markets to keep or increase our market share, if membership does not increase as we expect, if membership declines, or if we lose membership with favorable medical cost experience while retaining or increasing membership with unfavorable medical cost experience, our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows may be materially adversely affected.

# If we fail to effectively implement our operational and strategic initiatives, including our Medicare initiatives, our state-based contracts strategy, and our participation in the new health insurance exchanges, our business may be materially adversely affected, which is of particular importance given the concentration of our revenues in these products.

Our future performance depends in large part upon our management team s ability to execute our strategy to position us for the future. This strategy includes opportunities created by the expansion of our Medicare programs, including our HMO, PPO, and stand-alone PDP products, the successful implementation of our integrated care delivery model, our strategy with respect to state-based contracts, including those covering members dually eligible for the Medicare and Medicaid programs, and our participation in health insurance exchanges.

We have made substantial investments in the Medicare program to enhance our ability to participate in these programs. Over the last few years we have increased the size of our Medicare geographic reach through expanded Medicare product offerings. We are offering both the stand-alone Medicare prescription drug coverage and Medicare Advantage health plan with prescription drug coverage in addition to our other product offerings. We offer the Medicare prescription drug plan in 50 states as well as Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia. The growth of our Medicare products is an important part of our business strategy. Any failure to achieve this growth may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows. In addition, the expansion of our Medicare products in relation to our other businesses may intensify the risks to us inherent in Medicare products. There is significant concentration of our revenues in Medicare products, with approximately 74% of our total premiums and services revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013 generated from our Medicare products, in particular our contracts with CMS in Florida. These expansion efforts may result in less diversification of our revenue stream and increased risks associated with operating in a highly regulated industry, as discussed further below.

The recently implemented Health Care Reform Law created a federal Medicare-Medicaid Coordination Office to serve dual eligibles. This Medicare-Medicaid Coordination Office has initiated a series of state demonstration projects to experiment with better coordination of care between Medicare and Medicaid. Depending upon the results of those demonstration projects, CMS may change the way in which dual eligibles are serviced. If we are unable to implement our strategic initiatives to address the dual eligibles opportunity, including our participation in state-based contracts, or if our initiatives are not successful at attracting or retaining dual eligible members, our business may be materially adversely affected.

Additionally, our strategy includes the growth of our commercial products, including participation in the new health insurance exchanges, introduction of new products and benefit designs, including HumanaVitality and other wellness products, growth of our specialty products such as dental, vision and other supplemental products, the adoption of new technologies, development of adjacent businesses, and the integration of acquired businesses and contracts.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully implement our operational and strategic initiatives, including implementing our integrated care delivery model, that are intended to position us for future

growth or that the products we design will be accepted or adopted in the time periods assumed. Failure to implement this strategy may result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

## If we fail to properly maintain the integrity of our data, to strategically implement new information systems, to protect our proprietary rights to our systems, or to defend against cybersecurity attacks, our business may be materially adversely affected.

Our business depends significantly on effective information systems and the integrity and timeliness of the data we use to run our business. Our business strategy involves providing members and providers with easy to use products that leverage our information to meet their needs. Our ability to adequately price our products and services, provide effective and efficient service to our customers, and to timely and accurately report our financial results depends significantly on the integrity of the data in our information systems. As a result of our past and on-going acquisition activities, we have acquired additional information systems. We have reduced the number of systems we operate, have upgraded and expanded our information systems capabilities, and are gradually migrating existing business to fewer systems. Our information systems require an ongoing commitment of significant resources to maintain, protect, and enhance existing systems and develop new systems to keep pace with continuing changes in information processing technology, evolving industry and regulatory standards, and changing customer preferences. If the information we rely upon to run our businesses was found to be inaccurate or unreliable or if we fail to maintain effectively our information systems and data integrity, we could have operational disruptions, have problems in determining medical cost estimates and establishing appropriate pricing, have customer and physician and other health care provider disputes, have regulatory or other legal problems, have increases in operating expenses, lose existing customers, have difficulty in attracting new customers, or suffer other adverse consequences.

We depend on independent third parties for significant portions of our systems-related support, equipment, facilities, and certain data, including data center operations, data network, voice communication services and pharmacy data processing. This dependence makes our operations vulnerable to such third parties failure to perform adequately under the contract, due to internal or external factors. A change in service providers could result in a decline in service quality and effectiveness or less favorable contract terms which may adversely affect our operating results.

We rely on our agreements with customers, confidentiality agreements with employees, and our trade secrets and copyrights to protect our proprietary rights. These legal protections and precautions may not prevent misappropriation of our proprietary information. In addition, substantial litigation regarding intellectual property rights exists in the software industry, including litigation involving end users of software products. We expect software products to be increasingly subject to third-party infringement claims as the number of products and competitors in this area grows.

A cybersecurity attack that bypasses our information technology, or IT, security systems causing a security breach may lead to a material disruption of our IT business systems and/or the loss of business information. If a cybersecurity attack were to be successful, we could be materially adversely affected due to the theft, destruction, loss, misappropriation or release of confidential data or intellectual property, operational or business delays resulting from the disruption of our IT systems, or negative publicity resulting in reputation or brand damage with our customers, brokers, agents, providers, and other stakeholders.

There can be no assurance that our IT process will successfully improve existing systems, develop new systems to support our expanding operations, integrate new systems, protect our proprietary information, defend against cybersecurity attacks, or improve service levels. In addition, there can be no assurance that additional systems issues will not arise in the future. Failure to adequately protect and maintain the integrity of our information systems and data, or to defend against cybersecurity attacks, may result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

#### Our business may be materially adversely impacted by CMS s adoption of the new coding set for diagnoses.

Federal regulations related to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended (HIPAA) contain minimum standards for electronic transactions and code sets, and for the privacy and security of protected health information. ICD-9, the current system of assigning codes to diagnoses and procedures associated with hospital utilization in the United States, will be replaced by ICD-10 code sets on October 1, 2014. For dates of service on or after that date, health plans and providers will be required to use ICD-10 codes for such diagnoses and procedures. While we have prepared for the transition to ICD-10, if unforeseen circumstances arise, it is possible that we could be exposed to investigations and allegations of noncompliance, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows. In addition, if some providers continue to use ICD-9 codes on claims after October 1, 2014, we will have to reject such claims, which may lead to claim resubmissions, increased call volume and provider and customer dissatisfaction. Further, providers may use ICD-10 codes differently than they used ICD-9 codes in the past, which could result in lost revenues under risk adjustment. During the transition to ICD-10, certain claims processing and payment information we have historically used to establish our reserves may not be reliable or available in a timely manner. If we do not adequately implement the new ICD-10 coding set, our results of operations, financial position and cash flows may be materially adversely affected.

## We are involved in various legal actions and governmental and internal investigations, any of which, if resolved unfavorably to us, could result in substantial monetary damages. Increased litigation and negative publicity could increase our cost of doing business.

We are or may become a party to a variety of legal actions that affect our business, including breach of contract actions, employment and employment discrimination-related suits, employee benefit claims, securities laws claims, and tort claims.

In addition, because of the nature of the health care business, we are subject to a variety of legal actions relating to our business operations, including the design, management, and offering of products and services. These include and could include in the future:

claims relating to the methodologies for calculating premiums;

claims relating to the denial of health care benefit payments;

claims relating to the denial or rescission of insurance coverage;

challenges to the use of some software products used in administering claims;

claims relating to our administration of our Medicare Part D offerings;

medical malpractice actions based on our medical necessity decisions or brought against us on the theory that we are liable for providers alleged malpractice;

claims arising from any adverse medical consequences resulting from our recommendations about the appropriateness of providers proposed medical treatment plans for patients;

allegations of anti-competitive and unfair business activities;

#### Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

provider disputes over compensation or non-acceptance or termination of provider contracts or provider contract disputes relating to rate adjustments resulting from the Balance Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended (commonly referred to as sequestration);

disputes related to ASO business, including actions alleging claim administration errors;

qui tam litigation brought by individuals who seek to sue on behalf of the government, alleging that we, as a government contractor, submitted false claims to the government including, among other allegations, resulting from coding and review practices under the Medicare risk-adjustment model;

claims related to the failure to disclose some business practices;

claims relating to customer audits and contract performance;

claims relating to dispensing of drugs associated with our in-house mail-order pharmacy; and

professional liability claims arising out of the delivery of healthcare and related services to the public. In some cases, substantial non-economic or punitive damages as well as treble damages under the federal False Claims Act, Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act and other statutes may be sought.

While we currently have insurance coverage for some of these potential liabilities, other potential liabilities may not be covered by insurance, insurers may dispute coverage, or the amount of our insurance may not be enough to cover the damages awarded. In addition, some types of damages, like punitive damages, may not be covered by insurance. In some jurisdictions, coverage of punitive damages is prohibited. Insurance coverage for all or some forms of liability may become unavailable or prohibitively expensive in the future.

The health benefits industry continues to receive significant negative publicity reflecting the public perception of the industry. This publicity and perception have been accompanied by increased litigation, including some large jury awards, legislative activity, regulation, and governmental review of industry practices. These factors may adversely affect our ability to market our products or services, may require us to change our products or services, may increase the regulatory burdens under which we operate, and may require us to pay large judgments or fines. Any combination of these factors could further increase our cost of doing business and adversely affect our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

See Legal Proceedings and Certain Regulatory Matters in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. We cannot predict the outcome of these matters with certainty.

# As a government contractor, we are exposed to risks that may materially adversely affect our business or our willingness or ability to participate in government health care programs.

A significant portion of our revenues relates to federal and state government health care coverage programs, including the Medicare, military, and Medicaid programs. These programs accounted for approximately 77% of our total premiums and services revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013. These programs involve various risks, as described further below.

At December 31, 2013, under our contracts with CMS we provided health insurance coverage to approximately 415,200 individual Medicare Advantage members in Florida. These contracts accounted for approximately 15% of our total premiums and services revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013. The loss of these and other CMS contracts or significant changes in the Medicare program as a result of legislative or regulatory action, including reductions in premium payments to us or increases in member benefits without corresponding increases in premium payments to us, may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

At December 31, 2013, our military services business primarily consisted of the TRICARE South Region contract which covers approximately 3,101,800 beneficiaries. For the year ended December 31, 2013, premiums and services revenue associated with the TRICARE South Region contract accounted for approximately 1% of our total premiums and services revenue. On April 1, 2012, we began delivering services under the current TRICARE South Region contract that the Defense Health Agency, or DHA (formerly known as the TRICARE Management Activity), awarded to us on February 25, 2011. The current 5-year South Region contract, which expires March 31, 2017, is subject to annual renewals on April 1 of each year during its term at the government s option. On January 27, 2014, we received notice from the DHA of its intent to exercise its option to extend the TRICARE

South Region contract through March 31, 2015. The loss of the TRICARE South Region contract, should it occur, may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

There is a possibility of temporary or permanent suspension from participating in government health care programs, including Medicare and Medicaid, if we are convicted of fraud or other criminal conduct in the performance of a health care program or if there is an adverse decision against us under the federal False Claims Act. As a government contractor, we may be subject to qui tam litigation brought by individuals who seek to sue on behalf of the government, alleging that the government contractor submitted false claims to the government. Litigation of this nature is filed under seal to allow the government an opportunity to investigate and to decide if it wishes to intervene and assume control of the litigation. If the government does not intervene, the lawsuit is unsealed, and the individual may continue to prosecute the action on his or her own.

CMS uses a risk-adjustment model which apportions premiums paid to Medicare Advantage, or MA, plans according to health severity. The risk-adjustment model pays more for enrollees with predictably higher costs. Under this model, rates paid to MA plans are based on actuarially determined bids, which include a process that bases our prospective payments on a comparison of our beneficiaries risk scores, derived from medical diagnoses, to those enrolled in the government s original Medicare program. Under the risk-adjustment methodology, all MA plans must collect and submit the necessary diagnosis code information from hospital inpatient, hospital outpatient, and physician providers to CMS within prescribed deadlines. The CMS risk-adjustment model uses this diagnosis data to calculate the risk-adjusted premium payment to MA plans. We generally rely on providers, including certain providers in our network who are our employees, to code their claim submissions with appropriate diagnoses, which we send to CMS as the basis for our payment received from CMS under the actuarial risk-adjustment model. We also rely on providers to appropriately document all medical data, including the diagnosis data submitted with claims.

CMS is continuing to perform audits of various companies selected MA contracts related to this risk adjustment diagnosis data. These audits are referred to herein as Risk-Adjustment Data Validation Audits, or RADV audits. RADV audits review medical records in an attempt to validate provider medical record documentation and coding practices which influence the calculation of premium payments to MA plans.

On February 24, 2012, CMS released a Notice of Final Payment Error Calculation Methodology for Part C Medicare Advantage Risk Adjustment Data Validation (RADV) Contract-Level Audits . The final payment error calculation methodology provides that, in calculating the economic impact of audit results for an MA contract, if any, the results of the audit sample will be extrapolated to the entire MA contract based upon a comparison to benchmark audit data in the government fee-for-service program. This comparison to the government program benchmark audit is necessary to determine the economic impact, if any, of audit results because the government program data set, including any attendant errors that are present in that data set, provides the basis for MA plans risk adjustment to payment rates.

The final methodology, including the first application of extrapolated audit results to determine audit settlements, is expected to be applied to the next round of RADV contract level audits to be conducted on 2011 premium payments. Selected MA contracts will be notified of an audit at some point after the close of the final reconciliation for the payment year being audited. The final reconciliation occurs in August of the calendar year following the payment year. On November 5, 2013, we were notified that one of our Medicare Advantage contracts had been selected for audit for contract year 2011.

Estimated audit settlements are recorded as a reduction of premiums revenue in our consolidated statements of income, based upon available information. During 2012, we completed internal contract level audits of certain 2011 contracts based on the RADV audit methodology prescribed by CMS. Included in these internal contract level audits was an audit of our Private Fee-For-Service business

which we used to represent a proxy of the benchmark audit data in the government fee-for-service program which has not yet been released. We based our accrual of estimated audit settlements for contract years 2011 (the first year that application of extrapolated audit results is applicable) and 2012 on the results of these internal contract level audits for contract year 2011. Estimates derived from these results were not material to our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows. However, as indicated, we are awaiting additional guidance from CMS regarding the benchmark audit data in the government fee-for-service program. Accordingly, we cannot determine whether such audits will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

Our CMS contracts which cover members prescription drugs under Medicare Part D contain provisions for risk sharing and certain payments for prescription drug costs for which we are not at risk. These provisions, certain of which are described below, affect our ultimate payments from CMS.

The premiums from CMS are subject to risk corridor provisions which compare costs targeted in our annual bids to actual prescription drug costs, limited to actual costs that would have been incurred under the standard coverage as defined by CMS. Variances exceeding certain thresholds may result in CMS making additional payments to us or require us to refund to CMS a portion of the premiums we received (known as a risk corridor ). We estimate and recognize an adjustment to premiums revenue related to the risk corridor payment settlement based upon pharmacy claims experience. The estimate of the settlement associated with these risk corridor provisions requires us to consider factors that may not be certain, including member eligibility differences with CMS. Our estimate of the settlement associated with the Medicare Part D risk corridor provisions was a net payable of \$26 million at December 31, 2013.

Reinsurance and low-income cost subsidies represent payments from CMS in connection with the Medicare Part D program for which we assume no risk. Reinsurance subsidies represent payments for CMS s portion of claims costs which exceed the member s out-of-pocket threshold, or the catastrophic coverage level. Low-income cost subsidies represent payments from CMS for all or a portion of the deductible, the coinsurance and co-payment amounts above the out-of-pocket threshold for low-income beneficiaries. Monthly prospective payments from CMS for reinsurance and low-income cost subsidies are based on assumptions submitted with our annual bid. A reconciliation and settlement of CMS s prospective subsidies against actual prescription drug costs we paid is made after the end of the year.

Settlement of the reinsurance and low-income cost subsidies as well as the risk corridor payment is based on a reconciliation made approximately 9 months after the close of each calendar year. This reconciliation process requires us to submit claims data necessary for CMS to administer the program. Our claims data may not pass CMS s claims edit processes due to various reasons, including discrepancies in eligibility or classification of low-income members. To the extent our data does not pass CMS s claim edit processes, we may bear the risk for all or a portion of the claim which otherwise may have been subject to the risk corridor provision or payment which we would have otherwise received as a low-income subsidy or reinsurance claim. In addition, in the event the settlement represents an amount CMS owes us, there is a negative impact on our cash flows and financial condition as a result of financing CMS s share of the risk. The opposite is true in the event the settlement represents an amount we owe CMS.

The Budget Control Act of 2011, enacted on August 2, 2011, increased the United States debt ceiling conditioned on deficit reductions to be achieved over the next ten years. The Budget Control Act of 2011 also established a twelve-member joint committee of Congress known as the Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction to propose legislation to reduce the United States federal deficit by \$1.5 trillion for fiscal years 2012-2021. The failure of the Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction to achieve a targeted deficit reduction by December 23, 2011 triggered an automatic reduction, including aggregate reductions to Medicare payments to providers of up to 2 percent per fiscal year. These reductions took effect on April 1, 2013, and the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013, enacted on December 26, 2013, extended the reductions for two years. We expect a corresponding substantial

reduction in our obligations to providers. Due to the uncertainty around the application of any such reductions, there can be no assurances that we can completely offset any reductions to the Medicare healthcare programs applied by the Budget Control Act of 2011.

We are also subject to various other governmental audits and investigations. Under state laws, our HMOs and health insurance companies are audited by state departments of insurance for financial and contractual compliance. Our HMOs are audited for compliance with health services by state departments of health. Audits and investigations are also conducted by state attorney generals, CMS, the Office of the Inspector General of Health and Human Services, the Office of Personnel Management, the Department of Justice, the Department of Labor, and the Defense Contract Audit Agency. All of these activities could result in the loss of licensure or the right to participate in various programs, including a limitation on our ability to market or sell products, the imposition of fines, penalties and other civil and criminal sanctions, or changes in our business practices. The outcome of any current or future governmental or internal investigations cannot be accurately predicted, nor can we predict any resulting penalties, fines or other sanctions that may be imposed at the discretion of federal or state regulatory authorities. Nevertheless, it is reasonably possible that any such outcome of litigation, penalties, fines or other sanctions could be substantial, and the outcome of these matters may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or audit results or sanctions could negatively affect our industry or our reputation. In addition, disclosure of any adverse investigation or audit results or sanctions could negatively affect our industry or our reputation in various markets and make it more difficult for us to sell our products and services.

Recently implemented health care reform, including The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and The Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations (including restricting revenue, enrollment and premium growth in certain products and market segments, restricting our ability to expand into new markets, increasing our medical and operating costs by, among other things, requiring a minimum benefit ratio on insured products, lowering our Medicare payment rates and increasing our expenses associated with a non-deductible health insurance industry fee and other assessments); our financial position (including our ability to maintain the value of our goodwill); and our cash flows. In addition, if we are unable to adjust our business model to address the non-deductible health insurance industry fee and other assessments, including a three-year commercial reinsurance fee, such as through the reduction of our operating costs or adjustments to premium pricing or benefit design, there can be no assurance that the non-deductible health insurance industry fee and other assessments would not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and The Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (which we collectively refer to as the Health Care Reform Law) enacted significant reforms to various aspects of the U.S. health insurance industry. While regulations and interpretive guidance on many provisions of the Health Care Reform Law have been issued to date by the Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, the Department of Labor, the Treasury Department, and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, there are certain provisions of the law that will require additional guidance and clarification in the form of regulations and interpretations in order to fully understand the impact of the law on our overall business.

The provisions of the Health Care Reform Law include, among others, imposing a significant new non-deductible health insurance industry fee and other assessments on health insurers, limiting Medicare Advantage payment rates, stipulating a prescribed minimum ratio for the amount of premiums revenue to be expended on medical costs for insured products, additional mandated benefits and guarantee issuance associated with commercial medical insurance, requirements that limit the ability of health plans to vary premiums based on assessments of underlying risk, and heightened scrutiny by state and federal regulators of our business practices, including our Medicare bid and pricing practices. The Health Care Reform Law also specifies benefit design guidelines, limits rating and pricing practices, encourages additional competition (including potential incentives

for new market entrants), establishes federally-facilitated or state-based exchanges for individuals and small employers (with up to 100 employees) coupled with programs designed to spread risk among insurers, and expands eligibility for Medicaid programs. In addition, the Health Care Reform Law has increased and will continue to increase federal oversight of health plan premium rates and could adversely affect our ability to appropriately adjust health plan premiums on a timely basis. Financing for these reforms will come, in part, from material additional fees and taxes on us and other health plans and individuals beginning in 2014, as well as reductions in certain levels of payments to us and other health plans under Medicare. Implementation dates of the provisions of the Health Care Reform Law began in September 2010 and continue through 2018.

Implementing regulations and related interpretive guidance continue to be issued on certain provisions of the Health Care Reform Law. Given the breadth of possible changes and the uncertainties of interpretation, implementation, and timing of these changes, which we expect to occur over the next several years, the Health Care Reform Law will change the way we do business, potentially impacting our pricing, benefit design, product mix, geographic mix, and distribution channels. The response of other companies to Health Care Reform Law and adjustments to their offerings, if any, could cause meaningful disruption in the local health care markets. It is reasonably possible that the Health Care Reform Law and related regulations, as well as future legislative changes, including legislative restrictions on our ability to manage our provider network, in the aggregate may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations (including restricting revenue, enrollment and premium growth in certain products and market segments, restricting our ability to expand into new markets, increasing our medical and operating costs, lowering our Medicare payment rates and increasing our expenses associated with the non-deductible health insurance industry fee and other assessments); our financial position (including our ability to maintain the value of our goodwill); and our cash flows (including the receipt of amounts due under the commercial risk adjustment, risk corridor, and reinsurance provisions of the Health Care Reform Law in 2015 related to claims paid in 2014). If we fail to effectively implement our operational and strategic initiatives with respect to the implementation of the Health Care Reform Law, our business may be materially adversely affected. In addition, if we are unable to adjust our business model to address the non-deductible health insurance industry fee and other assessments, including a three-year commercial reinsurance fee, such as through the reduction of our operating costs or adjustments to premium pricing or benefit design, there can be no assurance that the non-deductible health insurance industry fee and other assessments would not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

# Our participation in, and the operational functionality of, the new federal and state health insurance exchanges, which have experienced certain technical difficulties in their early implementation, and which entail uncertainties associated with mix and volume of business, could adversely affect our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

The Health Care Reform Law requires the establishment of health insurance exchanges for individuals and small employers to purchase health insurance that became effective January 1, 2014. Open enrollment on the exchanges began on October 1, 2013 and continues until March 31, 2014. Among other things, the exchanges have websites where individuals and small employers can shop for and purchase health insurance. Certain health insurance exchange websites have experienced technical difficulties in their early implementation. The accessibility and functionality of the exchange websites and the accuracy of the information we are provided from them by the federal and state governments are central to both the enrollment process and our ability to understand and service this new member population.

The Health Care Reform Law requires insurers participating on the health insurance exchanges to offer a minimum level of benefits and includes guidelines on setting premium rates and coverage limitations. We may be adversely selected by individuals who have a higher acuity level than the anticipated pool of participants in this market. In addition, the risk corridor, reinsurance, and risk adjustment provisions of the Health Care Reform Law, established to apportion risk for insurers, may not be effective in appropriately mitigating the financial risks related to our products. When we established premiums, we had limited information about the individuals accessing these newly established exchanges. In addition, regulatory changes to the implementation of the Health

Care Reform Law that allowed individuals to remain in plans that are not compliant with the Health Care Reform Law may have an adverse effect on our pool of participants in the health insurance exchange. All of these factors may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows if our premiums are not adequate or do not appropriately reflect the acuity of these individuals. Any variation from our expectations regarding acuity, enrollment levels, adverse selection, or other assumptions used in setting premium rates could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

Our business activities are subject to substantial government regulation. New laws or regulations, or changes in existing laws or regulations or their manner of application, including reductions in Medicare Advantage payment rates, could increase our cost of doing business and may adversely affect our business, profitability, financial condition, and cash flows.

In addition to the Health Care Reform Law, the health care industry in general and health insurance are subject to substantial federal and state government regulation:

### Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH Act)

The use of individually identifiable health data by our business is regulated at federal and state levels. These laws and rules are changed frequently by legislation or administrative interpretation. Various state laws address the use and maintenance of individually identifiable health data. Most are derived from the privacy provisions in the federal Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, or HIPAA. HIPAA includes administrative provisions directed at simplifying electronic data interchange through standardizing transactions, establishing uniform health care provider, payer, and employer identifiers, and seeking protections for confidentiality and security of patient data. The rules do not provide for complete federal preemption of state laws, but rather preempt all inconsistent state laws unless the state law is more stringent.

These regulations set standards for the security of electronic health information. Violations of these rules could subject us to significant criminal and civil penalties, including significant monetary penalties. Compliance with HIPAA regulations requires significant systems enhancements, training and administrative effort. HIPAA can also expose us to additional liability for violations by our business associates (e.g., entities that provide services to health plans and providers).

The HITECH Act, one part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, significantly broadened the scope of the privacy and security regulations of HIPAA. On January 17, 2013, HHS issued the omnibus final rule on HIPAA privacy, security, breach notification requirements and enforcement requirements under the HITECH Act, and a final regulation for required changes to the HIPAA Privacy Rule for the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, or GINA. The omnibus final rule became effective on March 26, 2013, with a compliance date of September 23, 2013. Among other requirements, the HITECH Act and Omnibus final rule mandates individual notification in the event of a breach of unsecured, individually identifiable health information, provides enhanced penalties for HIPAA violations, requires business associates to comply with certain provisions of the HIPAA privacy and security rule, and grants enforcement authority to states Attorney Generals in addition to the HHS Office of Civil Rights.

In addition, there are numerous federal and state laws and regulations addressing patient and consumer privacy concerns, including unauthorized access or theft of personal information. State statutes and regulations vary from state to state and could impose additional penalties. Violations of HIPAA or applicable federal or state laws or regulations could subject us to significant criminal or civil penalties, including significant monetary penalties. Compliance with HIPAA and other privacy regulations requires significant systems enhancements, training and administrative effort.

#### American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA)

On February 17, 2009, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, or ARRA, was enacted into law. In addition to including a temporary subsidy for health care continuation coverage issued pursuant to the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act, or COBRA, ARRA also expands and strengthens the privacy and security provisions of HIPAA and imposes additional limits on the use and disclosure of protected health information, or PHI. Among other things, ARRA requires us and other covered entities to report any unauthorized release or use of or access to PHI to any impacted individuals and to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services in those instances where the unauthorized activity poses a significant risk of financial, reputational or other harm to the individuals, and to notify the media in any states where 500 or more people are impacted by any unauthorized release or use of or access to PHI. ARRA also requires business associates to comply with certain HIPAA provisions. ARRA also establishes higher civil and criminal penalties for covered entities and business associates who fail to comply with HIPAA s provisions and requires the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services to issue regulations implementing its privacy and security enhancements.

#### Workers Compensation Laws and Regulations

In performing services for the workers compensation industry through our subsidiary Concentra, we must comply with applicable state workers compensation laws. Workers compensation laws generally require employers to assume financial responsibility for medical costs, lost wages, and related legal costs of work-related illnesses and injuries. These laws generally establish the rights of workers to receive benefits and to appeal benefit denials, prohibit charging medical co-payments or deductibles to employees, may restrict employers rights to select healthcare providers or direct an injured employee to a specific provider to receive non-emergency workers compensation medical care, and may include special requirements for physicians providing non-emergency care for workers compensation patients, including requiring registration with the state agency governing workers compensation, as well as special continuing education and training, licensing and other regulatory requirements. To the extent that we are governed by these regulations, we may be subject to additional licensing requirements, financial oversight, and procedural standards for beneficiaries and providers.

#### Corporate Practice of Medicine and Other Laws

As a corporate entity, Humana Inc. is not licensed to practice medicine. Many states in which we operate through our subsidiaries limit the practice of medicine to licensed individuals or professional organizations comprised of licensed individuals, and business corporations generally may not exercise control over the medical decisions of physicians. Statutes and regulations relating to the practice of medicine, fee-splitting between physicians and referral sources, and similar issues vary widely from state to state. Under management agreements between certain of our subsidiaries and affiliated physician-owned professional groups, these groups retain sole responsibility for all medical decisions, as well as for hiring and managing physicians and other licensed healthcare providers, developing operating policies and procedures, implementing professional standards and controls, and maintaining malpractice insurance. We believe that our health services operations comply with applicable state statutes regarding corporate practice of medicine, fee-splitting, and similar issues. However, any enforcement actions by governmental officials alleging non-compliance with these statutes, which could subject us to penalties or restructuring or reorganization of our business, may result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

# Anti-Kickback, Physician Self-Referral, and Other Fraud and Abuse Laws

A federal law commonly referred to as the Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits the offer, payment, solicitation, or receipt of any form of remuneration to induce, or in return for, the referral of Medicare or other governmental health program patients or patient care opportunities, or in return for the purchase, lease, or order of items or services that are covered by Medicare or other federal governmental health programs. Because the prohibitions contained in the Anti-Kickback Statute apply to the furnishing of items or services for which

payment is made in whole or in part, the Anti-Kickback Statute could be implicated if any portion of an item or service we provide is covered by any of the state or federal health benefit programs described above. Violation of these provisions constitutes a felony criminal offense and applicable sanctions could include exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

Section 1877 of the Social Security Act, commonly known as the Stark Law, prohibits physicians, subject to certain exceptions described below, from referring Medicare or Medicaid patients to an entity providing designated health services in which the physician, or an immediate family member, has an ownership or investment interest or with which the physician, or an immediate family member, has entered into a compensation arrangement. These prohibitions, contained in the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993, commonly known as Stark II, amended prior federal physician self-referral legislation known as Stark I by expanding the list of designated health services to a total of 11 categories of health services. The professional groups with which we are affiliated provide one or more of these designated health services. Persons or entities found to be in violation of the Stark Law are subject to denial of payment for services furnished pursuant to an improper referral, civil monetary penalties, and exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

Many states also have enacted laws similar in scope and purpose to the Anti-Kickback Statute and, in more limited instances, the Stark Law, that are not limited to services for which Medicare or Medicaid payment is made. In addition, most states have statutes, regulations, or professional codes that restrict a physician from accepting various kinds of remuneration in exchange for making referrals. These laws vary from state to state and have seldom been interpreted by the courts or regulatory agencies. In states that have enacted these statutes, we believe that regulatory authorities and state courts interpreting these statutes may regard federal law under the Anti-Kickback Statute and the Stark Law as persuasive.

We believe that our operations comply with the Anti-Kickback Statute, the Stark Law, and similar federal or state laws addressing fraud and abuse. These laws are subject to modification and changes in interpretation, and are enforced by authorities vested with broad discretion. We continually monitor developments in this area. If these laws are interpreted in a manner contrary to our interpretation or are reinterpreted or amended, or if new legislation is enacted with respect to healthcare fraud and abuse, illegal remuneration, or similar issues, we may be required to restructure our affected operations to maintain compliance with applicable law. There can be no assurances that any such restructuring will be possible or, if possible, would not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

#### Environmental

We are subject to various federal, state, and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of human health and the environment. If an environmental regulatory agency finds any of our facilities to be in violation of environmental laws, penalties and fines may be imposed for each day of violation and the affected facility could be forced to cease operations. We could also incur other significant costs, such as cleanup costs or claims by third parties, as a result of violations of, or liabilities under, environmental laws. Although we believe that our environmental practices, including waste handling and disposal practices, are in material compliance with applicable laws, future claims or violations, or changes in environmental laws, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

#### State Regulation of Insurance-Related Products

Laws in each of the states (and Puerto Rico) in which we operate our HMOs, PPOs and other health insurance-related services regulate our operations including: licensing requirements, policy language describing benefits, mandated benefits and processes, entry, withdrawal or re-entry into a state or market, rate increases, delivery systems, utilization review procedures, quality assurance, complaint systems, enrollment requirements, claim payments, marketing, and advertising. The HMO, PPO, and other health insurance-related products we offer are sold under licenses issued by the applicable insurance regulators.

Our licensed insurance subsidiaries are also subject to regulation under state insurance holding company and Puerto Rico regulations. These regulations generally require, among other things, prior approval and/or notice of new products, rates, benefit changes, and certain material transactions, including dividend payments, purchases or sales of assets, intercompany agreements, and the filing of various financial and operational reports.

#### Any failure to manage operating costs could hamper profitability.

The level of our operating costs impacts our profitability. While we proactively attempt to effectively manage such expenses, increases or decreases in staff-related expenses, additional investment in new products (including our opportunities in the Medicare programs, state-based contracts, participation in health insurance exchanges, and expansion of clinical capabilities as part of our integrated care delivery model), investments in health and well-being product offerings, acquisitions, new taxes and assessments (including the non-deductible health insurance industry fee and other assessments under the Health Care Reform Law), and implementation of other regulatory requirements may occur from time to time.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully contain our operating costs in line with our membership and this may result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

# Any failure by us to manage acquisitions and other significant transactions successfully may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

As part of our business strategy, we frequently engage in discussions with third parties regarding possible investments, acquisitions, strategic alliances, joint ventures, and outsourcing transactions and often enter into agreements relating to such transactions in order to further our business objectives. In order to pursue this strategy successfully, we must identify suitable candidates for and successfully complete transactions, some of which may be large and complex, and manage post-closing issues such as the integration of acquired companies or employees. Integration and other risks can be more pronounced for larger and more complicated transactions, transactions outside of our core business space, or if multiple transactions are pursued simultaneously. The failure to successfully integrate acquired entities and businesses or failure to produce results consistent with the financial model used in the analysis of our acquisitions may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows. If we fail to identify and complete successfully transactions that further our strategic objectives, we may be required to expend resources to develop products and technology internally.

# If we fail to develop and maintain satisfactory relationships with the providers of care to our members, our business may be adversely affected.

We employ or contract with physicians, hospitals and other providers to deliver health care to our members. Our products encourage or require our customers to use these contracted providers. A key component of our integrated care delivery strategy is increases in the number of providers who share medical cost risk with us or have financial incentives to deliver quality medical services in a cost-effective manner.

In any particular market, providers could refuse to contract with us, demand higher payments, or take other actions that could result in higher health care costs for us, less desirable products for customers and members or difficulty meeting regulatory or accreditation requirements. In some markets, some providers, particularly hospitals, physician specialty groups, physician/hospital organizations, or multi-specialty physician groups, may have significant market positions and negotiating power. In addition, physician or practice management companies, which aggregate physician practices for administrative efficiency and marketing leverage, may compete directly with us. If these providers refuse to contract with us, use their market position to negotiate unfavorable contracts with us or place us at a competitive disadvantage, or do not enter into contracts with us that encourage the delivery of quality medical services in a cost-effective manner, our ability to market products or to be profitable in those areas may be adversely affected.

In some situations, we have contracts with individual or groups of primary care providers for an actuarially determined, fixed, per-member-per-month fee under which physicians are paid an amount to provide a basket of required medical services to our members. This type of contract is referred to as a capitation contract. The inability of providers to properly manage costs under these capitation arrangements can result in the financial instability of these providers and the termination of their relationship with us. In addition, payment or other disputes between a primary care provider and specialists with whom the primary care provider contracts can result in a disruption in the provision of services to our members or a reduction in the services available to our members. The financial instability or failure of a primary care provider to pay other providers for services rendered could lead those other providers to demand payment from us even though we have made our regular fixed payments to the primary provider. There can be no assurance that providers with whom we contract will properly manage the costs of services, maintain financial solvency or avoid disputes with other providers. Any of these events may have a material adverse effect on the provision of services to our members and our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

# Our pharmacy business is highly competitive and subjects us to regulations in addition to those we face with our core health benefits businesses.

Our pharmacy business competes with locally owned drugstores, retail drugstore chains, supermarkets, discount retailers, membership clubs, and Internet companies as well as other mail-order and long-term care pharmacies. Our pharmacy business also subjects us to extensive federal, state, and local regulation. The practice of pharmacy is generally regulated at the state level by state boards of pharmacy. Many of the states where we deliver pharmaceuticals, including controlled substances, have laws and regulations that require out-of-state mail-order pharmacies to register with that state s board of pharmacy. In addition, some states have proposed laws to regulate online pharmacies, and we may be subject to this legislation if it is passed. Federal agencies further regulate our pharmacy operations. Pharmacies must register with the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration and individual state controlled substance authorities in order to dispense controlled substances. In addition, the FDA inspects facilities in connection with procedures to effect recalls of prescription drugs. The Federal Trade Commission also has requirements for mail-order sellers of goods. The U.S. Postal Service, or USPS, has statutory authority to restrict the transmission of drugs and medicines through the mail to a degree that may have an adverse effect on our mail-order operations. The USPS historically has exercised this statutory authority only with respect to controlled substances. If the USPS restricts our ability to deliver drugs through the mail, alternative means of delivery are available to us. However, alternative means of delivery could be significantly more expensive. The Department of Transportation has regulatory authority to impose restrictions on drugs inserted in the stream of commerce. These regulations generally do not apply to the USPS and its operations. In addition, we are subject to CMS rules regarding the administration of our PDP plans and intercompany pricing between our PDP plans and our pharmacy business.

We are also subject to risks inherent in the packaging and distribution of pharmaceuticals and other health care products, and the application of state laws related to the operation of internet and mail-order pharmacies. The failure to adhere to these laws and regulations may expose us to civil and criminal penalties.

#### Changes in the prescription drug industry pricing benchmarks may adversely affect our financial performance.

Contracts in the prescription drug industry generally use certain published benchmarks to establish pricing for prescription drugs. These benchmarks include average wholesale price, which is referred to as AWP, average selling price, which is referred to as ASP, and wholesale acquisition cost. It is uncertain whether payors, pharmacy providers, pharmacy benefit managers, or PBMs, and others in the prescription drug industry will continue to utilize AWP as it has previously been calculated, or whether other pricing benchmarks will be adopted for establishing prices within the industry. Legislation may lead to changes in the pricing for Medicare and Medicaid programs. Regulators have conducted investigations into the use of AWP for federal program payment, and whether the use of AWP has inflated drug expenditures by the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

Federal and state proposals have sought to change the basis for calculating payment of certain drugs by the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Adoption of ASP in lieu of AWP as the measure for determining payment by Medicare or Medicaid programs for the drugs sold in our mail-order pharmacy business may reduce the revenues and gross margins of this business which may result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

# If we do not continue to earn and retain purchase discounts and volume rebates from pharmaceutical manufacturers at current levels, our gross margins may decline.

We have contractual relationships with pharmaceutical manufacturers or wholesalers that provide us with purchase discounts and volume rebates on certain prescription drugs dispensed through our mail-order and specialty pharmacies. These discounts and volume rebates are generally passed on to clients in the form of steeper price discounts. Changes in existing federal or state laws or regulations or in their interpretation by courts and agencies or the adoption of new laws or regulations relating to patent term extensions, and purchase discount and volume rebate arrangements with pharmaceutical manufacturers, may reduce the discounts or volume rebates we receive and materially adversely impact our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

#### Our ability to obtain funds from certain of our licensed subsidiaries is restricted by state insurance regulations.

Because we operate as a holding company, we are dependent upon dividends and administrative expense reimbursements from our subsidiaries to fund the obligations of Humana Inc., our parent company. Certain of our insurance subsidiaries operate in states that regulate the payment of dividends, loans, or other cash transfers to Humana Inc., and require minimum levels of equity as well as limit investments to approved securities. The amount of dividends that may be paid to Humana Inc. by these insurance subsidiaries, without prior approval by state regulatory authorities, or ordinary dividends, is limited based on the entity s level of statutory income and statutory capital and surplus. In most states, prior notification is provided before paying a dividend even if approval is not required. Actual dividends paid may vary due to consideration of excess statutory capital and surplus and expected future surplus requirements related to, for example, premium volume and product mix.

Although minimum required levels of equity are largely based on premium volume, product mix, and the quality of assets held, minimum requirements vary significantly at the state level. Our state regulated insurance subsidiaries had aggregate statutory capital and surplus of approximately \$5.5 billion and \$5.1 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which exceeded aggregate minimum regulatory requirements of \$3.5 billion and \$3.4 billion, respectively. A significant increase in premium volume will require additional capitalization from our parent company. Excluding Puerto Rico subsidiaries, the amount of ordinary dividends that may be paid to our parent company in 2014 is approximately \$840 million in the aggregate. This compares to dividends that were paid to our parent company in 2013 of approximately \$967 million. However, actual dividends paid from the subsidiaries to the parent in 2014 could be reduced as a result of the proposed statutory accounting for the health insurance industry fee, discussed below, combined with higher surplus requirements associated with premium growth due to increases in membership.

The NAIC is continuing discussions regarding the statutory accounting for the health insurance industry fee required by the Health Care Reform Law which in its present form would restrict surplus in the year preceding payment, beginning in 2014. Accordingly, in addition to recording the full-year 2014 assessment in the first quarter of 2014, we may be required to restrict surplus for the 2015 assessment ratably in 2014. In 2014, we expect to pay the federal government in the range of \$525 million to \$575 million for the annual health insurance industry fee. In 2015, the health insurance industry fee increases by 41% for the industry taken as a whole. Accordingly, absent changes in market share, we would expect a similar increase in our fee in 2015. The health insurance industry fee is not deductible for tax purposes.

Dividends from our non-insurance companies such as in our Healthcare Services segment are generally not restricted by Departments of Insurance.

In the event that we are unable to provide sufficient capital to fund the obligations of Humana Inc., our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows may be materially adversely affected.

#### Downgrades in our debt ratings, should they occur, may adversely affect our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

Claims paying ability, financial strength, and debt ratings by recognized rating organizations are an increasingly important factor in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies. Ratings information is broadly disseminated and generally used throughout the industry. We believe our claims paying ability and financial strength ratings are an important factor in marketing our products to certain of our customers. Our 7.20%, 8.15%, 3.15%, and 4.625% senior notes contain a change of control provision that may require us to purchase the notes under certain circumstances. Our 7.20% and 8.15% senior notes are subject to an interest rate adjustment if the debt ratings assigned to the notes are downgraded (or subsequently upgraded). In addition, our debt ratings impact both the cost and availability of future borrowings. Each of the rating agencies reviews its ratings periodically and there can be no assurance that current ratings will be maintained in the future. Our ratings reflect each rating agency s opinion of our financial strength, operating performance, and ability to meet our debt obligations or obligations to policyholders, but are not evaluations directed toward the protection of investors in our common stock and should not be relied upon as such.

Historically, rating agencies take action to lower ratings due to, among other things, perceived concerns about liquidity or solvency, the competitive environment in the insurance industry, the inherent uncertainty in determining reserves for future claims, the outcome of pending litigation and regulatory investigations, and possible changes in the methodology or criteria applied by the rating agencies. In addition, rating agencies have come under regulatory and public scrutiny over the ratings assigned to various fixed-income products. As a result, rating agencies may (i) become more conservative in their methodology and criteria, (ii) increase the frequency or scope of their credit reviews, (iii) request additional information from the companies that they rate, or (iv) adjust upward the capital and other requirements employed in the rating agency models for maintenance of certain ratings levels.

We believe that some of our customers place importance on our credit ratings, and we may lose customers and compete less successfully if our ratings were to be downgraded. In addition, our credit ratings affect our ability to obtain investment capital on favorable terms. If our credit ratings were to be lowered, our cost of borrowing likely would increase, our sales and earnings could decrease, and our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows may be materially adversely affected.

#### Changes in economic conditions may adversely affect our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

The U.S. economy continues to experience a period of slow economic growth and high unemployment. We have closely monitored the impact that this volatile economy is having on our operations. Workforce reductions have caused corresponding membership losses in our fully-insured commercial group business. Continued weakness in the U.S. economy, and any continued high unemployment, may materially adversely affect our medical membership, results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

Additionally, the continued weakness of the U.S. economy has adversely affected the budget of individual states and of the federal government. This could result in attempts to reduce payments in our federal and state government health care coverage programs, including the Medicare, military services, and Medicaid programs, and could result in an increase in taxes and assessments on our activities. We cannot predict the future funding levels of, or other such changes to, these programs. Although we could attempt to mitigate or cover our exposure from increased costs through, among other things, increases in premiums, there can be no assurance that we will be able to mitigate or cover all of such costs which may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

In addition, general inflationary pressures may affect the costs of medical and other care, increasing the costs of claims expenses submitted to us.

#### The securities and credit markets may experience volatility and disruption, which may adversely affect our business.

Volatility or disruption in the securities and credit markets could impact our investment portfolio. We evaluate our investment securities for impairment on a quarterly basis. This review is subjective and requires a high degree of judgment. For the purpose of determining gross realized gains and losses, the cost of investment securities sold is based upon specific identification. For debt securities held, we recognize an impairment loss in income when the fair value of the debt security is less than the carrying value and we have the intent to sell the debt security or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of our amortized cost basis, or if a credit loss has occurred. When we do not intend to sell a security in an unrealized loss position, potential other-than-temporary impairments are considered using variety of factors, including the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost; adverse conditions specifically related to the industry, geographic area or financial condition of the issuer or underlying collateral of a security; payment structure of the security after the balance sheet date. For debt securities, we take into account expectations of relevant market and economic data. We continuously review our investment portfolios and there is a continuing risk that declines in fair value may occur and additional material realized losses from sales or other-than-temporary impairments arealized losses from sales or other-than-temporary impairments arealized losses from sales or other-than-temporary impairment structure of the security after the balance sheet date. For debt securities, we take into account expectations of relevant market and economic data. We continuously review our investment portfolios and there is a continuing risk that declines in fair value may occur and additional material realized losses from sales or other-than-temporary impairments may be recorded in future periods.

We believe our cash balances, investment securities, operating cash flows, and funds available under our credit agreement or from other public or private financing sources, taken together, provide adequate resources to fund ongoing operating and regulatory requirements, acquisitions, future expansion opportunities, and capital expenditures for at least the next twelve months, as well as to refinance or repay debt, and repurchase shares. However, continuing adverse securities and credit market conditions may significantly affect the availability of credit. While there is no assurance in the current economic environment, we have no reason to believe the lenders participating in our credit agreement will not be willing and able to provide financing in accordance with the terms of the agreement.

Our access to additional credit will depend on a variety of factors such as market conditions, the general availability of credit, both to the overall market and our industry, our credit ratings and debt capacity, as well as the possibility that customers or lenders could develop a negative perception of our long or short-term financial prospects. Similarly, our access to funds could be limited if regulatory authorities or rating agencies were to take negative actions against us. If a combination of these factors were to occur, we may not be able to successfully obtain additional financing on favorable terms or at all.

# Given the current economic climate, our stock and the stocks of other companies in the insurance industry may be increasingly subject to stock price and trading volume volatility.

Since 2008, the stock markets have experienced significant price and trading volume volatility. Company-specific issues and market developments generally in the insurance industry and in the regulatory environment may have contributed to this volatility. Our stock price has fluctuated and may continue to materially fluctuate in response to a number of events and factors, including:

the enactment of, and the potential for additional, health care reform;

general economic conditions;

quarterly variations in operating results;

natural disasters, terrorist attacks and epidemics;

changes in financial estimates and recommendations by securities analysts;

operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors may deem comparable;

press releases or negative publicity relating to our competitors or us or relating to trends in our markets;

regulatory changes;

adverse outcomes from litigation and government or regulatory investigations;

sales of stock by insiders;

changes in our credit ratings;

limitations on premium levels or the ability to raise premiums on existing policies;

increases in minimum capital, reserves, and other financial strength requirements; and

limitations on our ability to repurchase our common stock.

These factors could materially reduce our stock price. In addition, broad market and industry fluctuations may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operating performance.

**ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS** None.

### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The following table lists, by state, the number of medical centers and administrative offices we owned or leased at December 31, 2013:

		Medical Centers		Administrative Offices	
	Owned	Leased	Owned	Leased	Total
Florida	10	106	2	112	230
Texas	4	61	2	42	109
California		26		21	47
Georgia	1	23		17	41
Kentucky	2	9	10	11	32
Illinois		20		12	32
Colorado		22		8	30
Arizona	1	18		9	28
Tennessee		13		13	26
Virginia		14		12	26
Michigan		22		3	25
Ohio		9		16	25
Pennsylvania		18		7	25
New Jersey		14		10	24
Louisiana		11		11	22
New York		3		13	16
South Carolina		2	7	7	16
Missouri		11		4	15
Wisconsin		8	1	6	15
Connecticut		11		3	14
Indiana		7		7	14
Maryland		10		4	14
North Carolina		6		8	14
Oklahoma		8		6	14
Nevada		7		5	12
Puerto Rico				9	9
Others		45		53	98
Total	18	504	22	429	973

The medical centers we operate are primarily located in Florida and Texas, including full-service, multi-specialty medical centers staffed by primary care providers and medical specialists, urgent care facilities, and worksite medical facilities. Of the medical centers included in the table above, approximately 59 of these facilities are leased or subleased to our providers to operate.

Our principal executive office is located in the Humana Building, 500 West Main Street, Louisville, Kentucky 40202. In addition to the headquarters in Louisville, Kentucky, we maintain other principal operating facilities used for customer service, enrollment, and/or claims processing and certain other corporate functions in Louisville, Kentucky; Green Bay, Wisconsin; Tampa, Florida; Cincinnati, Ohio; San Antonio, Texas; and San Juan, Puerto Rico.

# ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are party to a variety of legal actions in the ordinary course of business, certain of which may be styled as class-action lawsuits. Among other matters, this litigation may include employment matters, claims of medical malpractice, bad faith, nonacceptance or termination of providers, anticompetitive practices, improper rate setting, provider contract rate disputes, failure to disclose network discounts and various other provider arrangements, general contractual matters, intellectual property matters, and challenges to subrogation practices. See Legal Proceedings and Certain Regulatory Matters in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. We cannot predict the outcome of these suits with certainty.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

# PART II

# ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

# Market Information

Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol HUM. The following table shows the range of high and low closing sales prices as reported on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Price for each quarter in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	High	Low
Year Ended December 31, 2013		
First quarter	\$ 81.52	\$ 66.01
Second quarter	\$ 85.17	\$72.10
Third quarter	\$ 99.60	\$ 82.93
Fourth quarter	\$ 105.25	\$91.21
Year Ended December 31, 2012		
First quarter	\$ 95.50	\$ 85.15
Second quarter	\$ 91.85	\$ 74.53
Third quarter	\$ 77.03	\$61.60
Fourth quarter	\$ 76.20	\$ 64.39
four Capital Stock		

Holders of our Capital Stock

As of January 31, 2014, there were approximately 3,600 holders of record of our common stock and approximately 40,750 beneficial holders of our common stock.

#### Dividends

The following table provides details of dividend payments in 2012 and 2013:

Record	Payment	Amount	Total
Date	Date	per Share	Amount (in millions)
2012 payments			
12/30/2011	1/31/2012	\$0.25	\$41
3/30/2012	4/27/2012	\$0.25	\$41
6/29/2012	7/27/2012	\$0.26	\$42
9/28/2012	10/26/2012	\$0.26	\$41
2013 payments			
12/31/2012	1/25/2013	\$0.26	\$42
3/28/2013	4/26/2013	\$0.26	\$41
6/28/2013	7/26/2013	\$0.27	\$42
9/30/2013	10/25/2013	\$0.27	\$42

In October 2013, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.27 per share that was paid on January 31, 2014 to stockholders of record as of the close of business on December 31, 2013, for an aggregate amount of \$42 million. Declaration and payment of future quarterly dividends is at the discretion of the Board and may be adjusted as business needs or market conditions change.

# Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

#### Stock Total Return Performance

The following graph compares our total return to stockholders with the returns of the Standard & Poor s Composite 500 Index (S&P 500) and the Dow Jones US Select Health Care Providers Index (Peer Group) for the five years ended December 31, 2013. The graph assumes an investment of \$100 in each of our common stock, the S&P 500, and the Peer Group on December 31, 2008, and that dividends were reinvested when paid.

	12/31/08	12/31/09	12/31/10	12/31/11	12/31/12	12/31/13
HUM	\$ 100	\$ 118	\$ 147	\$ 237	\$ 188	\$ 292
S&P 500	\$ 100	\$ 126	\$ 146	\$ 149	\$ 172	\$ 228
Peer Group	\$ 100	\$ 137	\$ 153	\$ 168	\$ 197	\$ 271

The stock price performance included in this graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

#### **Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

The following table provides information about purchases by us during the three months ended December 31, 2013 of equity securities that are registered by us pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act:

	Total Number of Shares	Average Price Paid	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans	Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans
Period	Purchased (1)	per Share	or Programs (1)(2)	or Programs (1)
October 2013	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 781,118,739
November 2013	1,191,867	98.18	1,191,867	664,123,417
December 2013	802,930	104.10	802,930	580,555,202
Total	1,994,797	\$ 100.56	1,994,797	

(1) As announced on May 1, 2013, in April 2013, the Board of Directors replaced its previously approved share repurchase authorization of up to \$1 billion with a current authorization for repurchases of up to \$1 billion of our common shares exclusive of shares repurchased in connection with employee stock plans, expiring on June 30, 2015. Under the current share repurchase authorization, shares may be purchased from time to time at prevailing prices in the open market, by block purchases, or in privately-negotiated transactions, subject to certain regulatory restrictions on volume, pricing, and timing. As of February 1, 2014, the remaining authorized amount under the current authorization totaled approximately \$580 million.

(2) Excludes 0.1 million shares repurchased in connection with employee stock plans.

# ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

	2	013 (a)		2012 (b) Iollars in millio	ns, exco	2011 ept per commo		010 (c) e results)		2009
Summary of Operating Results:										
Revenues:										
Premiums	\$	38,829	\$	37,009	\$	35,106	\$	32,712	\$	29,927
Services		2,109		1,726		1,360		555		520
Investment income		375		391		366		329		296
Total revenues		41,313		39,126		36,832		33,596		30,743
Operating expenses:										
Benefits		32,564		30,985		28,823		27,117		24,784
Operating costs		6,355		5,830		5,395		4,380		4,014
Depreciation and amortization		333		295		270		245		237
Total operating expenses		39,252		37,110		34,488		31,742		29,035
F F		-,				,		,		_,,
Income from operations		2,061		2,016		2,344		1,854		1,708
Interest expense		140		105		109		105		106
		1.001		1.011		0.005		1 7 40		1 (00
Income before income taxes		1,921		1,911		2,235		1,749		1,602
Provision for income taxes		690		689		816		650		562
Net income	\$	1,231	\$	1,222	\$	1,419	\$	1,099	\$	1,040
Basic earnings per common share	\$	7.81	\$	7.56	\$	8.58	\$	6.55	\$	6.21
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	7.73	\$	7.47	\$	8.46	\$	6.47	\$	6.15
Dividends declared per common share	\$	1.07	\$	1.03	\$	0.75	\$	0.00	\$	0.00
Financial Position:										
Cash and investments	\$	10,938	\$	11,153	\$	10,830	\$	10,046	\$	9,111
Total assets	φ	20,735	φ	19,979	φ	17,708	Ŷ	16,103	φ	14,153
Benefits payable		3,893		3,779		3,754		3,469		3,222
Debt		2,600		2,611		1,659		1,669		1,678
Stockholders equity		9,316		8,847		8,063		6,924		5,776
Cash flows from operations	\$	1,716	\$	1,923	\$	2,079	\$	2,242	\$	1,422
Key Financial Indicators:	Ψ	1,710	Ψ	1,725	φ	2,079	φ	2,212	Ψ	1,122
Benefit ratio		83.9%		83.7%		82.1%		82.9%		82.8%
Operating cost ratio		15.5%		15.1%		14.8%		13.2%		13.2%
Membership by Segment:		15.570		13.170		14.070		13.270		13.270
Retail segment:										
Medical membership	(	5,026,000		5,553,800	4	4,795,000	2	3,681,900	1	3,781,200
Specialty membership		1,042,500		948,700		782,500		510,000		297,300
Employer Group segment:		.,		210,700		.02,000		210,000		
Medical membership		2,833,100		2,852,400		2,794,900	2	3,009,500	4	3,117,800
Specialty membership		5,780,800		7,136,200		5,532,600		5,517,500		5,761,900
Other Businesses:		,,		,,	,	,,		, .,===	,	,,
Medical membership	-	3,125,200		3,682,600	-	3,594,700	3	3,595,200	2	3,435,000
Consolidated:		, , <del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>						. ,		
Total medical membership	1	1,984,300	1	2,088,800	1	1,184,600	1(	),286,600	1(	),334,000
Total specialty membership		7,823,300		8,084,900		7,315,100		7,027,500		7,059,200
		,,		.,		,,		,,		,,=00

# Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

- (a) Includes benefits expense of \$243 million (\$154 million after tax, or \$0.99 per diluted common share) for reserve strengthening associated with our non-strategic closed block of long-term care insurance policies.
- (b) Includes the acquired operations of Arcadian Management Services, Inc. from March 31, 2012, SeniorBridge Family Companies, Inc. from July 6, 2012, and Metropolitan Health Networks, Inc. from December 21, 2012.
- (c) Includes the acquired operations of Concentra Inc. from December 21, 2010. Also includes operating costs of \$147 million (\$93 million after tax, or \$0.55 per diluted common share) for the write-down of deferred acquisition costs associated with our individual commercial medical policies and benefits expense of \$139 million (\$88 million after tax, or \$0.52 per diluted common share) associated with reserve strengthening for our non-strategic closed block of long-term care insurance policies.

# ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS Executive Overview

#### General

Headquartered in Louisville, Kentucky, Humana is a leading health care company that offers a wide range of insurance products and health and wellness services that incorporate an integrated approach to lifelong well-being. By leveraging the strengths of our core businesses, we believe that we can better explore opportunities for existing and emerging adjacencies in health care that can further enhance wellness opportunities for the millions of people across the nation with whom we have relationships. We believe we have an obligation to make achievement and maintenance of good health easier for everyone.

Our industry relies on two key statistics to measure performance. The benefit ratio, which is computed by taking total benefits expense as a percentage of premiums revenue, represents a statistic used to measure underwriting profitability. The operating cost ratio, which is computed by taking total operating costs as a percentage of total revenue less investment income, represents a statistic used to measure administrative spending efficiency.

#### **Business Segments**

On January 1, 2013, we reclassified certain of our businesses to correspond with internal management reporting changes and renamed our Health and Well-Being Services segment as Healthcare Services as further described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. Prior period segment financial information has been recast to conform to the 2013 presentation.

We manage our business with three reportable segments: Retail, Employer Group, and Healthcare Services. In addition, the Other Businesses category includes businesses that are not individually reportable because they do not meet the quantitative thresholds required by generally accepted accounting principles. These segments are based on a combination of the type of health plan customer and adjacent businesses centered on integrated care delivery for our health plans and other customers, as described below. These segment groupings are consistent with information used by our Chief Executive Officer to assess performance and allocate resources.

The Retail segment consists of Medicare and commercial fully-insured medical and specialty health insurance benefits, including dental, vision, and other supplemental health and financial protection products, marketed directly to individuals, and includes our contract with Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, to administer the Limited Income Newly Eligible Transition, or LI-NET, prescription drug plan program, and contracts with various states to provide Medicaid, dual eligible, and Long-Term Support Services benefits, collectively our state-based contracts. The Employer Group segment consists of Medicare and commercial fully-insured medical and specialty health insurance benefits, including dental, vision, and other supplemental health and voluntary benefit products, as well as administrative services only products, or ASO, and our health and wellness products primarily marketed to employer groups. The Healthcare Services segment includes services offered to our health plan members as well as to third parties, including pharmacy, provider services, home based services, integrated behavioral health services, and predictive modeling and informatics services. The Other Businesses category consists of our military services, primarily our TRICARE South Region contract, Puerto Rico Medicaid, and our closed-block of long-term care insurance policies.

The results of each segment are measured by income before income taxes. Transactions between reportable segments consist of sales of services rendered by our Healthcare Services segment, primarily pharmacy, provider, and behavioral health, to our Retail and Employer Group customers. Intersegment sales and expenses are recorded at fair value and eliminated in consolidation. Members served by our segments often utilize the same provider networks, enabling us in some instances to obtain more favorable contract terms with providers. Our segments also share indirect costs and assets. As a result, the profitability of each segment is interdependent.

We allocate most operating expenses to our segments. Assets and certain corporate income and expenses are not allocated to the segments, including the portion of investment income not supporting segment operations, interest expense on corporate debt, and certain other corporate expenses. These items are managed at the corporate level. These corporate amounts are reported separately from our reportable segments and included with intersegment eliminations.

#### Seasonality

One of the product offerings of our Retail segment is Medicare stand-alone prescription drug plans, or PDPs, under the Medicare Part D program. These plans provide varying degrees of coverage. Our quarterly Retail segment earnings and operating cash flows are impacted by the Medicare Part D benefit design and changes in the composition of our membership. The Medicare Part D benefit design results in coverage that varies as a member s cumulative out-of-pocket costs pass through successive stages of a member s plan period which begins annually on January 1 for renewals. These plan designs generally result in us sharing a greater portion of the responsibility for total prescription drug costs in the early stages and less in the latter stages. As a result, the PDP benefit ratio generally decreases as the year progresses. In addition, the number of low-income senior members as well as year-over-year changes in the mix of membership in our stand-alone PDP products affects the quarterly benefit ratio pattern.

Our Employer Group segment also experiences seasonality in the benefit ratio pattern. However, the effect is opposite of Medicare stand-alone PDP in the Retail segment, with the Employer Group s benefit ratio increasing as fully-insured members progress through their annual deductible and maximum out-of-pocket expenses. Similarly, our fully-insured individual commercial medical products in our Retail segment experience seasonality in the benefit ratio like the Employer Group segment, particularly our high-deductible health plans, or HDHPs.

In addition, the Retail segment also experiences seasonality in the operating cost ratio as a result of costs incurred in the second half of the year associated with the Medicare and individual health care exchange marketing season.

#### 2013 Highlights

Consolidated

Our 2013 results reflect the continued implementation of our strategy to offer our members affordable health care combined with a positive consumer experience in growing markets. At the core of this strategy is our integrated care delivery model, which unites quality care, high member engagement, and sophisticated data analytics. Our approach to primary, physician-directed care for our members aims to provide quality care that is consistent, integrated, cost-effective, and member-focused, provided by both employed physicians and physicians with network contract arrangements. The model is designed to improve health outcomes and affordability for individuals and for the health system as a whole, while offering our members a simple, seamless healthcare experience. We believe this strategy is positioning us for long-term growth in both membership and earnings. At December 31, 2013, approximately 561,500 members, or 27.1%, of our individual Medicare Advantage membership were in risk arrangements under our integrated care delivery model, as compared to 511,700 members, or 26.5%, at December 31, 2012.

In addition, our pretax results for the year ended December 31, 2013 reflect improved operating performance across most of our major business lines, including membership growth in our individual and group Medicare Advantage products, as described below. The improved operating performance reflects our continued focus and executional discipline involved in key initiatives like our chronic care program, including increased care management professional staffing and clinical assessments.

Comparisons of the benefit ratios and operating cost ratios for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are impacted by the transition to the current TRICARE South Region contract on

April 1, 2012, which is accounted for similar to an administrative services fee only agreement as described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. Our previous contract was accounted for similar to our fully-insured products.

As more fully described herein under the section titled Benefits Expense Recognition actuarial standards require the use of assumptions based on moderately adverse experience, which generally results in favorable reserve development, or reserves that are considered redundant. We experienced favorable medical claims reserve development related to prior fiscal years of \$474 million in 2013, \$257 million in 2012, and \$372 million in 2011. Year-over-year comparisons of the benefit ratio were positively impacted by the \$217 million increase in favorable prior-period medical claims reserve development from 2012 to 2013.

Year-over-year comparisons of diluted earnings per common share are favorably impacted by a lower number of shares used to compute diluted earnings per common share reflecting the impact of share repurchases.

Our operating cash flow of \$1.7 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to operating cash flow of \$1.9 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012. Our operating cash flows for 2013 reflect earnings and enrollment activity, including increased marketing and distribution costs during the annual election period for Medicare beneficiaries resulting in higher sales, as well as investment spending for health care exchanges and new state-based contracts, and higher Medicare Part D risk corridor payments related to settlements for prior years, including \$158 million related to the 2011 contract year. For 2014, the effect of the commercial risk adjustment, risk corridor, and reinsurance provisions of the Health Care Reform Law will impact the timing of our operating cash flows, as we expect to build a receivable in 2014 that will be collected in 2015. It is reasonably possible that the receivable could be material to our operating cash flow in 2014. In 2014, we expect our operating cash flows to decline from 2013.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we repurchased 5.8 million shares in open market transactions for \$502 million and paid dividends to stockholders of \$168 million.

In July 2013, we amended and restated our 5-year \$1.0 billion unsecured revolving credit agreement to, among other things, extend its maturity to July 2018 from November 2016 as described under the section titled Future Sources and Uses of Liquidity Credit Agreement.

In 2014, we expect to pay the federal government in the range of \$525 million to \$575 million for the annual health insurance industry fee. This fee is not deductible for tax purposes, which will significantly increase our effective income tax rate in 2014. We expect to offset the impact of the health insurance industry fee on our results of operations in 2014 through pretax income improvement, however, there can be no assurances that we will be able to do so. The health insurance industry fee is further described below under the section titled Health Care Reform.

Retail Segment

In a December 2013 call, CMS updated the medical cost trend assumptions that are used to determine Medicare Advantage funding changes for 2015. Based on the preliminary fee-for-service medical cost trend estimates from CMS, the impact of payment cuts associated with the Health Care Reform Law, quality bonuses, sunset of the Star quality CMS demo in 2015, risk coding and recalibration, and the impact of the health insurance industry fee, we estimate 2015 Medicare Advantage rate reductions of 6% to 7%. We expect the CMS preliminary rate announcement for calendar year 2015 to be issued on February 21, 2014. We expect to seek alternatives to minimize the disruption to Medicare beneficiaries this level of rate decline may cause, however, there can be no assurances that we will be able to do so. Such alternatives include additional investments in clinical management programs, operating cost efficiencies, benefit changes, market exits and other operating strategies.

Automatic across-the-board budget cuts under the Budget Control Act of 2011 and the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012, known as sequestration, commenced in March 2013, including a 2% reduction in Medicare Advantage and Medicare Part D payments beginning April 1, 2013. While we believe we can reduce Medicare Advantage payments to providers under our network provider contracts in connection with sequestration, a number of hospitals and other providers have asserted that we are not entitled to do so, which have led and may lead to arbitration demands or other litigation regarding these matters. While we believe our senior members benefits may be adversely impacted, we believe we can effectively design Medicare Advantage products based upon these levels of rate reduction while continuing to remain competitive compared to both the combination of original Medicare with a supplement policy as well as Medicare Advantage products offered by our competitors. Nonetheless, there can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully execute operational and strategic initiatives that we have assumed when designing our plan benefit offerings and premiums for 2014. Failure to execute these strategies may result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position, and cash flows.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, our Retail segment pretax income grew by 10.5%, primarily driven by individual Medicare Advantage and Medicare stand-alone PDP membership growth in excess of 7%.

January 2014 individual Medicare Advantage membership increased approximately 250,000 members, or 12%, from December 31, 2013. January 2014 Medicare stand-alone PDP membership, excluding the LI-NET prescription drug plan program, increased approximately 500,000 members, or 16%, from December 31, 2013. These increases reflect net membership additions for the 2014 enrollment season.

Star Ratings issued by CMS in October 2013 indicated that 55% to 60% of our Medicare Advantage members are now in plans with an overall Star Rating of four or more stars. We have 18 Medicare Advantage plans that achieved a rating of four or more stars, an increase of 50% from the previous year. We are offering nine Medicare Advantage plans that achieved a 4.5 Star Rating. Beginning in 2015, plans must have a Star Rating of four or higher to qualify for quality bonuses in the basic premium rates.

We were successful in our bids for state-based contracts in Florida and Virginia in 2013 and Ohio, Illinois, and Kentucky in 2012. Ohio, Illinois, and Virginia are contracts for stand-alone dual eligible demonstration programs serving individuals dually eligible for both the federal Medicare program and the state-based Medicaid program. We partner with organizations, including CareSource Management Group Company, to serve individuals in certain states. Medicaid membership in our Retail Segment at December 31, 2013 increased 33,400 members from December 31, 2012, primarily driven by the addition of our Kentucky Medicaid contract effective January 1, 2013 and Florida Long-Term Support Services contracts in certain regions, including American Eldercare Inc. We expect to begin serving new members in Ohio, Illinois, Virginia, and Florida at various dates between the first quarter and third quarter of 2014. While we expect the Medicaid and dual-eligible demonstration business to result in future growth, the mix of lower margin Medicaid and dual-eligible demonstration business with the higher margin Medicare Advantage business may result in a decline in Retail segment margins over time.

On September 6, 2013, we acquired American Eldercare Inc., or American Eldercare, the largest provider of nursing home diversion services in the state of Florida, serving frail and elderly individuals in home and community-based settings. American Eldercare complements our core capabilities and strength in serving seniors and disabled individuals with a unique focus on individualized and integrated care, and has contracts to provide Medicaid long-term support services across the entire state of Florida. The enrollment effective dates for the various regions range from August 2013 to March 2014.

While we do not expect our quarterly earnings progression to be significantly different from our recurring historical patterns, we do anticipate a slightly lower earnings run rate in the first half of 2014 due to the continuing administrative spending to support our state-based contracts.

On October 1, 2013, the initial open enrollment period began for plans effective January 1, 2014 offered through federally facilitated, federal-state partnerships or state-based exchanges for individuals and small employers (with up to 100 employees), including certain metropolitan areas in the 14 states where we have public exchange offerings. In addition, federal and state regulatory changes in December 2013 extended the enrollment deadline for January 1, 2014 insurance coverage from December 15, 2013 to December 24, 2013, required plans to accept payment for policies with a start date of January 1, 2014 as late as December 31, 2013 (we voluntarily extended our deadline for payment to January 31, 2014 and voluntarily extended our deadline for payment to February 1). The December regulations also allowed certain individuals to remain in their existing underwritten off-exchange health plans that are not compliant with the Health Care Reform Law, which has led to much higher retention of our existing underwritten off-exchange health plans. We believe that this is occurring at other carriers as well and will result in an overall deterioration of the risk pool in plans compliant with the Health Care Reform Law, as more previously underwritten members remain with their current carriers rather than enter the exchanges. However, we expect that the commercial risk adjustment, risk corridor, and reinsurance provisions of the Health Care Reform Law will mitigate this deterioration to some extent.

Enrollment applications for our 2014 health care exchange offerings exceeded 200,000 through January 31, 2014. Applicants are required to pay their premiums to be enrolled in our plans. The health care exchange open enrollment process began on October 1, 2013 and continues through March 31, 2014.

#### Employer Group Segment

As discussed in the detailed Employer Group segment results of operations discussion that follows, the Employer Group segment pretax income improved 16.2% for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Fully-insured group Medicare Advantage membership of 429,100 at December 31, 2013 increased 58,300 members, or 15.7%, from 370,800 at December 31, 2012 primarily due to the January 2013 addition of a new large group retirement account.

Membership in HumanaVitality<sup>®</sup>, our wellness and loyalty rewards program, rose 66% to 2,831,000 at December 31, 2013 from 1,705,400 at December 31, 2012.

#### Healthcare Services Segment

As discussed in the detailed Healthcare Services segment results of operations discussion that follows, our Healthcare Services segment pretax income improved 18.6% for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Improvement in the quality of care for members is a key element of our integrated care delivery model. We have accelerated our process for identifying and reaching out to members in need of clinical intervention. At December 31, 2013, we had approximately 280,200 members with complex chronic conditions in the Humana Chronic Care Program, an 86% increase compared with approximately 151,000 members at December 31, 2012, reflecting enhanced predictive modeling capabilities and focus on proactive clinical outreach and member engagement, particularly for our Medicare Advantage membership. We believe these initiatives lead to better health outcomes for our members and lower health care costs.

Year-over-year comparisons of results for the Healthcare Services segment are impacted by the December 21, 2012 acquisition of Metropolitan Health Networks, Inc., or Metropolitan, and the July 6, 2012 acquisition of SeniorBridge Family Companies, Inc., or SeniorBridge. Metropolitan is a Medical Services Organization, or MSO, that coordinates medical care for Medicare Advantage beneficiaries and Medicaid recipients, primarily in Florida. SeniorBridge is a chronic-care provider of in-home care for seniors that expanded our existing clinical and home health capabilities and strengthened our

offerings for members with complex chronic-care needs. The Metropolitan and SeniorBridge acquisitions provide us with components of a successful integrated care delivery model that has demonstrated scalability to new markets. *Other Businesses* 

As discussed in Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, future policy benefits payable include \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2013 associated with our non-strategic closed-block of long-term care insurance policies acquired in connection with the 2007 acquisition of KMG. Approximately 33,300 policies remain in force as of December 31, 2013. No new policies have been written since 2005 under this closed block. During 2013, we recorded net benefits expense of \$243 million (\$154 million after-tax, or \$0.99 per diluted common share) for reserve strengthening related to this closed-block of long-term care insurance policies.

On June 26, 2013, the Puerto Rico Health Insurance Administration notified us of its election not to renew our three-year Medicaid contracts for the East, Southeast, and Southwest regions which ended June 30, 2013. Contractual transition provisions required the continuation of insurance coverage for beneficiaries through September 30, 2013 and also require an additional period of time thereafter to process residual claims.

On January 27, 2014, we were notified by the Defense Health Agency of its intent to exercise its option to extend our TRICARE South Region contract through March 31, 2015.

Comparisons of the benefit ratios for the year ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 within Other Businesses are impacted by the transition to the current TRICARE South Region contract on April 1, 2012, including a decrease in profitability under the current contract in connection with our bid strategy, and the beneficial effect of a favorable settlement of contract claims with the Department of Defense, or DoD, in the first quarter of 2013 primarily associated with previously disclosed litigation settled in the second quarter of 2012.

#### Health Care Reform

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and The Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (which we collectively refer to as the Health Care Reform Law) enacted significant reforms to various aspects of the U.S. health insurance industry. While regulations and interpretive guidance on many provisions of the Health Care Reform Law have been issued to date by the Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, the Department of Labor, the Treasury Department, and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, or NAIC, there are certain provisions of the law that will require additional guidance and clarification in the form of regulations and interpretations in order to fully understand the impact of the law on our overall business.

Implementation dates of the Health Care Reform Law began in September 2010 and continue through 2018, and many aspects of the Health Care Reform Law are already effective and have been implemented by us. Certain significant provisions of the Health Care Reform Law include, among others, mandated coverage requirements, mandated benefits and guarantee issuance associated with commercial medical insurance, rebates to policyholders based on minimum benefit ratios, adjustments to Medicare Advantage premiums, the establishment of federally facilitated or state-based exchanges coupled with programs designed to spread risk among insurers, an annual insurance industry premium-based assessment, and a three-year commercial reinsurance fee. The following outlines certain provisions of the Health Care Reform Law:

<u>Currently Effective with Phased-In Implementation</u>: In 2012, additional cuts to Medicare Advantage plan payment benchmarks began to take effect (with plan payment benchmarks ultimately ranging from 95% in high-cost areas to 115% in low-cost areas of Medicare fee-for-service rates), with changes

being phased-in over two to six years, depending on the level of payment reduction in a county. In addition, since 2011 the gap in coverage for Medicare Part D prescription drug coverage has been incrementally closing.

Certain provisions in the Health Care Reform Law tie Medicare Advantage premiums to the achievement of certain quality performance measures (Star Ratings). Beginning in 2012, Medicare Advantage plans with an overall Star Rating of three or more stars (out of five) were eligible for a quality bonus in their basic premium rates. By law, quality bonuses were limited to the few plans that achieved four or more stars as an overall rating, but CMS, through its demonstration authority, expanded the quality bonus to three Star plans for a three year period through 2014. Beginning in 2015, quality bonus amounts will be determined by the provisions in the Health Care Reform Law. In part, this means that plans must have a Star Rating of four or higher to qualify for bonus money. Star Ratings issued by CMS in October 2013 indicated that 55% to 60% of our Medicare Advantage members are now in plans that will qualify for quality bonus payments in 2015, down from 99% in 2014, primarily due to an increase in the minimum overall Star program rating from three stars in 2014 to four stars in 2015. Beginning in 2015, plans must have a Star Rating of four or higher to qualify for quality bonuses in the basic premium rates. We have 18 Medicare Advantage plans that achieved a rating of four or more stars, an increase of 50% from the previous year. We are offering nine Medicare Advantage plans that achieved a 4.5 Star Rating. Plans that earn an overall Star Rating of five continue to be eligible to enroll members year round. Notwithstanding successful historical efforts to improve our Star Ratings and other quality measures, there can be no assurances that we will be successful in maintaining or improving our Star Ratings in future years. Additionally, as a result of the expiration of the quality bonus demonstration, for plans that maintain a four Star or higher rating in 2015, other provisions of the Health Care Reform Law may, in certain areas of the country, reduce the amount of the quality bonus that is added to the basic premium rate. Accordingly, our plans may not be eligible for full level quality bonuses, which, in isolation, could adversely affect the benefits such plans can offer, reduce membership, and/or reduce profit margins.

In addition, on October 1, 2013, the initial open enrollment period began for plans effective January 1, 2014 offered through federally facilitated, federal-state partnerships or state-based exchanges for individuals and small employers (with up to 100 employees), including certain metropolitan areas in the 14 states where we have public exchange offerings. In addition, federal and state regulatory changes in December 2013 extended the enrollment deadline for January 1, 2014 insurance coverage from December 15, 2013 to December 24, 2013, required plans to accept payment for policies with a start date of January 1, 2014 as late as December 31, 2013 (we voluntarily extended our deadline for payment to January 31, 2014 and voluntarily extended our deadline for payment to February 28, 2014 for policies with a start date of February 1), and allowed certain individuals to remain in their existing underwritten off-exchange health plans that are not compliant with the Health Care Reform Law. The initial enrollment period continues through March 31, 2014. See Risk Factors in this report.

<u>Newly Effective in 2014</u>: Beginning in 2014, the Health Care Reform Law requires: all individual and group health plans to guarantee issuance and renew coverage without pre-existing condition exclusions or health-status rating adjustments; the elimination of annual limits on coverage on certain benefits; the establishment of federally facilitated, federal-state partnerships or state-based exchanges for individuals and small employers (with up to 100 employees) coupled with programs designed to spread risk among insurers; the introduction of plan designs based on set actuarial values; the establishment of a minimum benefit ratio of 85% for Medicare Advantage plans; and insurance industry assessments, including an annual health insurance industry fee and a three-year \$25 billion industry wide commercial reinsurance fee. The annual health insurance industry fee levied on the insurance industry is \$8 billion in 2014 with increasing annual amounts thereafter, growing to \$14 billion by 2017, and is not deductible for income tax purposes, which will significantly increase our effective income tax rate in 2014 to approximately 45% to 47%. The NAIC is continuing discussions regarding the statutory accounting for the health insurance industry fee which in its present form would restrict surplus in the



year preceding payment, beginning in 2014. Accordingly, in addition to recording the full-year 2014 assessment in the first quarter of 2014, we may be required to restrict surplus for the 2015 assessment ratably in 2014. Accordingly, dividends from the subsidiaries to the parent in 2014 could be reduced. In 2014, we expect to pay the federal government in the range of \$525 million to \$575 million for the annual health insurance industry fee. In 2015, the health insurance industry fee increases by 41% for the industry taken as a whole. Accordingly, absent changes in market share, we would expect a similar increase in our fee in 2015.

The Health Care Reform Law also specifies benefit design guidelines, limits rating and pricing practices, encourages additional competition from the establishment of two multi-state plans (one not-for-profit; one for-profit) administered through the Office of Personnel Management, and expands eligibility for Medicaid programs. In addition, the Health Care Reform Law has increased and will continue to increase federal oversight of health plan premium rates and could adversely affect our ability to appropriately adjust health plan premiums on a timely basis. Financing for these reforms will come, in part, from material additional fees and taxes on us (as discussed above) and other health plans and individuals beginning in 2014, as well as reductions in certain levels of payments to us and other health plans under Medicare as described in this report.

As discussed above, implementing regulations and related interpretive guidance continue to be issued on certain provisions of the Health Care Reform Law. Given the breadth of possible changes and the uncertainties of interpretation, implementation, and timing of these changes, the Health Care Reform Law will change the way we do business, potentially impacting our pricing, benefit design, product mix, geographic mix, and distribution channels. The response of other companies to the Health Care Reform Law and adjustments to their offerings, if any, could cause meaningful disruption in local health care markets. It is reasonably possible that the Health Care Reform Law and related regulations, as well as future legislative changes, including legislative restrictions on our ability to manage our provider network, in the aggregate may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations (including restricting revenue, enrollment and premium growth in certain products and market segments, restricting our ability to expand into new markets, increasing our medical and operating costs, further lowering our Medicare payment rates and increasing our expenses associated with the non-deductible health insurance industry fee and other assessments); our financial position (including our ability to maintain the value of our goodwill); and our cash flows (including the receipt of amounts due under the commercial risk adjustment, risk corridor, and reinsurance provisions of the Health Care Reform Law in 2015 related to claims paid in 2014). If we are unable to adjust our business model to address the non-deductible health insurance industry fee and other assessments, including the three-year commercial reinsurance fee, such as through the reduction of our operating costs or adjustments to premium pricing or benefit design, there can be no assurance that the non-deductible health insurance industry fee and other assessments, including the three can be no assurance that the non-deductible health insurance industry fee and

We intend for the discussion of our financial condition and results of operations that follows to assist in the understanding of our financial statements and related changes in certain key items in those financial statements from year to year, including the primary factors that accounted for those changes. Transactions between reportable segments consist of sales of services rendered by our Healthcare Services segment, primarily pharmacy, provider, and behavioral health services, to our Retail and Employer Group customers and are described in Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

# Comparison of Results of Operations for 2013 and 2012

Certain financial data on a consolidated basis and for our segments was as follows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

## Consolidated

			Ch	hange	
		2012 rs in millions, excep	•	Percentage	
	col	mmon share results	5)		
Revenues:					
Premiums:	¢ 27 204	¢ 25 001	¢ 2 202	0.00	
Retail	\$ 27,204	\$ 25,001	\$ 2,203 792	8.8% 7.8%	
Employer Group Other Businesses	10,930 695	10,138 1,870	(1,175)	(62.8)%	
Other Businesses	093	1,870	(1,173)	(02.8)%	
Total premiums	38,829	37,009	1,820	4.9%	
Services:					
Retail	16	24	(8)	(33.3)%	
Employer Group	357	370	(13)	(3.5)%	
Healthcare Services	1,282	1,024	258	25.2%	
Other Businesses	454	308	146	47.4%	
Total services	2,109	1,726	383	22.2%	
Investment income	375	391	(16)	(4.1)%	
Total revenues	41,313	39,126	2,187	5.6%	
Operating expenses:					
Benefits	32,564	30,985	1,579	5.1%	
Operating costs	6,355	5,830	525	9.0%	
Depreciation and amortization	333	295	38	12.9%	
Total operating expenses	39,252	37,110	2,142	5.8%	
Income from operations	2,061	2,016	45	2.2%	
Interest expense	140	105	35	33.3%	
Income before income taxes	1,921	1,911	10	0.5%	
Provision for income taxes	690	689	10	0.1%	
Net income	\$ 1,231	\$ 1,222	\$9	0.7%	
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 7.73	\$ 7.47	\$ 0.26	3.5%	
Benefit ratio (a)	83.9%	83.7%		0.2%	
Operating cost ratio (b)	15.5%	15.1%		0.4%	
Effective tax rate	35.9%	36.1%		(0.2)%	

(a) Represents total benefits expense as a percentage of premiums revenue.

(b) Represents total operating costs as a percentage of total revenues less investment income.

# Table of Contents

# Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

## Summary

Net income was \$1.2 billion, or \$7.73 per diluted common share, in 2013 compared to \$1.2 billion, or \$7.47 per diluted common share, in 2012. The increase in net income primarily was driven by improved operating performance across most of our major business lines, including Medicare Advantage membership growth in our Retail and Employer group segments. These increases were partially offset by benefits expense of \$0.99 per diluted common share in 2013 for reserve strengthening associated with our closed-block of long-term care

insurance policies included with Other Businesses as discussed in Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. Year-over-year comparisons of diluted earnings per common share are favorably impacted by a lower number of shares used to compute diluted earnings per common share in 2013 reflecting the impact of share repurchases.

#### Premiums Revenue

Consolidated premiums increased \$1.8 billion, or 4.9%, from 2012 to \$38.8 billion for 2013 primarily due to increases in both Retail and Employer Group segment premiums mainly driven by higher average individual and group Medicare Advantage membership, partially offset by the impact of sequestration which became effective April 1, 2013 as well as a decline in premiums for Other Businesses. The decline in premiums for Other Businesses primarily reflects the transition to the current TRICARE South Region contract effective April 1, 2012, and the termination of the Puerto Rico Medicaid contracts effective September 30, 2013. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, on April 1, 2012, we began delivering services under the current TRICARE South Region contract that the DHA awarded to us on February 25, 2011. We account for revenues under the current contract net of estimated healthcare costs similar to an administrative services fee only agreement, and as such there are no premiums recognized under the current contract. Our previous contract was accounted for similar to our fully-insured products and as such we recognized premiums under the previous contract. Average membership is calculated by summing the ending membership for each month in a period and dividing the result by the number of months in a period. Premiums revenue reflects changes in membership and average per member premiums. Items impacting average per member premiums include changes in premium rates as well as changes in the geographic mix of membership, the mix of product offerings, and the mix of benefit plans selected by our membership.

#### Services Revenue

Consolidated services revenue increased \$383 million, or 22.2%, from 2012 to \$2.1 billion for 2013 primarily due to an increase in services revenue in our Healthcare Services segment and an increase in services revenue for our Other Businesses due to the transition to the current TRICARE South Region contract on April 1, 2012. The increase in services revenue in our Healthcare Services segment primarily resulted from the acquisitions of Metropolitan on December 21, 2012 and SeniorBridge on July 6, 2012, and growth in our provider services operations.

#### Investment Income

Investment income totaled \$375 million for 2013, a decrease of \$16 million from 2012, as higher average invested balances were more than offset by lower interest rates and lower net realized capital gains year-over-year.

#### Benefits Expense

Consolidated benefits expense was \$32.6 billion for 2013, an increase of \$1.6 billion, or 5.1%, from 2012 primarily due to a year-over-year increase in the Retail and Employer Group segments benefits expense, mainly driven by an increase in the average number of Medicare members, partially offset by a decrease in benefits expense for Other Businesses in 2013. The decrease in benefits expense for Other Businesses primarily was due to the transition to the current administrative services only TRICARE South Region contract on April 1, 2012 and the termination of the Puerto Rico Medicaid contracts effective September 30, 2013. We do not record benefits expense under the current TRICARE South Region contract. Our previous contract was accounted for similar to our fully-insured products and as such we recorded benefits expense under the previous contract. Retail segment benefits expense increased \$1.9 billion, or 8.9%, from 2012 to 2013 primarily due to membership growth. As more fully described herein under the section entitled Benefits Expense Recognition , actuarial standards require the use of assumptions based on moderately adverse experience, which generally results in

favorable reserve development, or reserves that are considered redundant. We experienced favorable medical claims reserve development related to prior fiscal years of \$474 million in 2013 and \$257 million in 2012. These increases in favorable medical claims reserve development primarily resulted from claims trend for the prior year ultimately developing more favorably than originally expected across most of our major business lines and increased financial recoveries. The increase in financial recoveries primarily resulted from claim audit process enhancements as well as increased volume of claim audits and expanded audit scope.

The consolidated benefit ratio for 2013 was 83.9%, an increase of 20 basis points from 2012 primarily due to reserve strengthening associated with our closed-block of long-term care insurance policies included with Other Businesses as discussed above, partially offset by the increase in favorable prior-year medical claims reserve development of \$217 million from 2012 to 2013.

#### **Operating** Costs

Our segments incur both direct and shared indirect operating costs. We allocate the indirect costs shared by the segments primarily as a function of revenues. As a result, the profitability of each segment is interdependent.

Consolidated operating costs increased \$525 million, or 9.0%, in 2013 compared to 2012 primarily due to an increase in operating costs in our Retail and Healthcare Services segments. The increase in the Retail segment primarily reflects investment spending for exchanges under the Health Care Reform Law and new state-based contracts as well as increased marketing spending for Medicare.

The consolidated operating cost ratio for 2013 was 15.5%, increasing 40 basis points from 2012. The impact of the current TRICARE South Region contract being accounted for as an administrative services fee only arrangement beginning April 1, 2012 was partially offset by improved operating leverage in our Retail and Employer Group segments.

#### Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization for 2013 totaled \$333 million, an increase of \$38 million, or 12.9%, from 2012 primarily due to capital expenditures and depreciation and amortization associated with 2012 and 2013 acquisitions.

#### Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$140 million for 2013 compared to \$105 million for 2012, an increase of \$35 million, or 33.3%. In December 2012, we issued \$600 million of 3.15% senior notes due December 1, 2022 and \$400 million of 4.625% senior notes due December 1, 2042.

#### Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate during 2013 was 35.9% compared to the effective tax rate of 36.1% in 2012. We expect our effective income tax rate to increase significantly in 2014 to approximately 45% to 47% due to the non-deductible health insurance industry fee levied on the insurance industry beginning in 2014. See Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data for a complete reconciliation of the federal statutory rate to the effective tax rate.



#### **Retail Segment**

			Cha	ange
	2013	2012	Members	Percentage
Membership:				
Medical membership:				
Individual Medicare Advantage	2,068,700	1,927,600	141,100	7.3%
Medicare stand-alone PDP	3,271,700	3,052,700	219,000	7.2%
Total Retail Medicare	5,340,400	4,980,300	360,100	7.2%
Individual commercial	600,100	521,400	78,700	15.1%
State-based Medicaid	85,500	52,100	33,400	64.1%
Total Retail medical members	6,026,000	5,553,800	472,200	8.5%
	, ,	, ,	,	
Individual specialty membership (a)	1,042,500	948,700	93,800	9.9%
	,- ,	- ,	,	

(a) Specialty products include dental, vision, and other supplemental health and financial protection products. Members included in these products may not be unique to each product since members have the ability to enroll in multiple products.

			Ch	ange
	2013	2012 (in millions)	Dollars	Percentage
Premiums and Services Revenue:				
Premiums:				
Individual Medicare Advantage	\$ 22,481	\$ 20,788	\$ 1,693	8.1%
Medicare stand-alone PDP	3,025	2,853	172	6.0%
Total Retail Medicare	25,506	23,641	1,865	7.9%
Individual commercial	1,160	1,004	156	15.5%
State-based Medicaid	328	185	143	77.3%
Individual specialty	210	171	39	22.8%
Total premiums	27,204	25,001	2,203	8.8%
Services	16	24	(8)	(33.3)%
Total premiums and services revenue	\$ 27,220	\$ 25,025	\$ 2,195	8.8%
Income before income taxes	\$ 1,283	\$ 1,161	\$ 122	10.5%
Benefit ratio	84.2%	84.2%		0.0%
Operating cost ratio Pretax Results	10.9%	11.1%		(0.2)%

Retail segment pretax income was \$1.3 billion in 2013, an increase of \$122 million, or 10.5%, compared to 2012 primarily reflecting improved operating performance over the prior year. The improved operating performance primarily was driven by membership growth as well as a decrease in the operating cost ratio.

Enrollment

# Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

Individual Medicare Advantage membership increased 141,100 members, or 7.3%, from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 reflecting net membership additions for the 2013 enrollment season and sales to newly-eligible Medicare beneficiaries throughout the year. Effective January 1, 2013, we divested approximately 12,600 members acquired with Arcadian Management Services, Inc. in accordance with our previously disclosed agreement with the United States Department of Justice.

Medicare stand-alone PDP membership increased 219,000 members, or 7.2%, from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 reflecting net membership additions, primarily for our Humana-Walmart plan offering, for the 2013 enrollment season.

Individual commercial medical membership increased 78,700 members, or 15.1%, from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 primarily reflecting net new sales in 2013. On October 1, 2013, the initial open enrollment period began for plans effective January 1, 2014 offered through federally facilitated, federal-state partnerships or state-based exchanges for individuals and small employers (with up to 100 employees), including certain metropolitan areas in the 14 states where Humana has public exchange offerings.

State-based Medicaid membership increased 33,400 members, or 64.1%, from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013, primarily driven by the addition of our Kentucky Medicaid contract and Florida Long-Term Support Services contracts, including American Eldercare.

Individual specialty membership increased 93,800 members, or 9.9%, from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 primarily driven by increased membership in dental and vision offerings. *Premiums revenue* 

Retail segment premiums increased \$2.2 billion, or 8.8%, from 2012 to 2013 primarily due to a 7.6% increase in average individual Medicare Advantage membership in 2013. Individual Medicare Advantage per member premiums increased approximately 0.5% in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily reflecting the impact of sequestration which became effective on April 1, 2013. Benefits expense

The Retail segment benefit ratio of 84.2% for 2013 was comparable to that of 2012. The Retail segment s benefits expense for 2013 included the beneficial effect of \$347 million in favorable prior-year medical claims reserve development versus \$192 million in 2012. This increase in favorable prior-year medical claims reserve development primarily was driven by claims trend for the prior year ultimately developing more favorably than originally expected and increased financial recoveries. The increase in financial recoveries primarily resulted from claim audit process enhancements as well as increased volume of claim audits and expanded audit scope. This favorable prior-year medical claims reserve development decreased the Retail segment benefit ratio by approximately 130 basis points in 2013 versus approximately 80 basis points in 2012.

Operating costs

The Retail segment operating cost ratio of 10.9% for 2013 decreased 20 basis points from 2012. This decrease reflects scale efficiencies associated with servicing higher year-over-year membership together with our continued focus on operating cost efficiencies, partially offset by investment spending for exchanges under the Health Care Reform Law and new state-based contracts as well as increased Medicare marketing spending.

# **Employer Group Segment**

			Cha	nge
	2013	2012	Members	Percentage
Membership:				
Medical membership:				
Fully-insured commercial group	1,237,000	1,211,800	25,200	2.1%
ASO	1,162,800	1,237,700	(74,900)	(6.1)%
Group Medicare Advantage	429,100	370,800	58,300	15.7%
Medicare Advantage ASO	0	27,700	(27,700)	(100.0)%
Total group Medicare Advantage	429,100	398,500	30,600	7.7%
Group Medicare stand-alone PDP	4,200	4,400	(200)	(4.5)%
Total group Medicare	433,300	402,900	30,400	7.5%
Total group medical members	2,833,100	2,852,400	(19,300)	(0.7)%
Group specialty membership (a)	6,780,800	7,136,200	(355,400)	(5.0)%

(a) Specialty products include dental, vision, and other supplemental health and voluntary benefit products. Members included in these products may not be unique to each product since members have the ability to enroll in multiple products.

			C	hange
	2013	2012 (in millions)	Dollars	Percentage
Premiums and Services Revenue:				
Premiums:				
Fully-insured commercial group	\$ 5,117	\$ 4,996	\$ 121	2.4%
Group Medicare Advantage	4,710	4,064	646	15.9%
Group Medicare stand-alone PDP	8	8	0	0.0%
Total group Medicare	4,718	4,072	646	15.9%
Group specialty	1,095	1,070	25	2.3%
Total premiums	10,930	10,138	792	7.8%
F				
Services	357	370	(13)	(3.5)%
50111005	557	570	(15)	(3.5)/0
Total promiums and correlate revenue	\$ 11,287	\$ 10,508	\$ 779	7.4%
Total premiums and services revenue	\$ 11,207	\$ 10,508	\$119	7.470
<b>T 10 .</b> .	¢ 222	¢ 077	¢ 45	16.00
Income before income taxes	\$ 322	\$ 277	\$ 45	16.2%
Benefit ratio	83.5%			(0.1)%
Operating cost ratio	16.2%	16.5%		(0.3)%
Pretax Results				

# Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

Employer Group segment pretax income increased \$45 million, or 16.2%, to \$322 million in 2013 reflecting improved operating performance primarily due to group Medicare Advantage membership growth and lower benefit and operating cost ratios, as described below.

Enrollment

Fully-insured commercial group medical membership increased 25,200 members, or 2.1% from December 31, 2012 as higher small group business membership was partially offset by lower membership in large group accounts. Approximately 61% of our fully-insured commercial group medical membership was in small group accounts at December 31, 2013 compared to 59% at December 31, 2012.

Fully-insured group Medicare Advantage membership increased 58,300 members, or 15.7%, from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 primarily due to the January 2013 addition of a new large group retirement account.

Effective January 1, 2013 we lost our sole group Medicare Advantage ASO account which had 27,700 members at December 31, 2012.

Group ASO commercial medical membership decreased 74,900 members, or 6.1%, from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 primarily due to continued pricing discipline in a highly competitive environment for self-funded accounts.

Group specialty membership decreased 355,400 members, or 5.0%, from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 primarily due to a decline in vision membership related to our planned discontinuance of certain unprofitable product distribution partnerships. *Premiums revenue* 

Employer Group segment premiums increased \$792 million, or 7.8%, from 2012 to 2013 primarily due to higher average group Medicare Advantage medical membership.

#### Benefits expense

The Employer Group segment benefit ratio decreased 10 basis points from 83.6% in 2012 to 83.5% in 2013 primarily due to higher favorable prior-year medical claims reserve development, partially offset by growth in our group Medicare Advantage products which generally carry a higher benefit ratio than our fully-insured commercial group products. The Employer Group segment s benefits expense included the beneficial effect of \$128 million in favorable prior-year medical claims reserve development versus \$48 million in 2012. The increase in favorable prior-year medical claims reserve development from 2012 to 2013 primarily was driven by claims trend for the prior year ultimately developing more favorably than originally expected and increased financial recoveries. The increase in financial recoveries primarily resulted from claim audit process enhancements as well as increased volume of claim audits and expanded audit scope. This favorable prior-year medical claims reserve development decreased the Employer Group segment benefit ratio by approximately120 basis points in 2013 versus approximately 50 basis points in 2012.

#### Operating costs

The Employer Group segment operating cost ratio of 16.2% decreased 30 basis points from 2012. This decrease primarily reflects continued savings as a result of our operating cost reduction initiatives and growth in our group Medicare Advantage products which generally carry a lower operating cost ratio than our fully-insured commercial group products, partially offset by investment spending in technology capabilities.

#### Healthcare Services Segment

			Ch	ange
	2013	2012 (in millions)	Dollars	Percentage
Revenues:				
Services:				
Provider services	\$ 1,127	\$ 967	\$ 160	16.5%
Home based services	94	40	54	135.0%
Pharmacy solutions	59	16	43	268.8%
Integrated behavioral health services	2	1	1	100.0%
Total services revenues	1,282	1,024	258	25.2%
Intersectment revenues:				
Intersegment revenues: Pharmacy solutions	13,079	11,352	1,727	15.2%
Provider services	1,120	410	710	173.2%
Home based services	326	167	159	95.2%
Integrated behavioral health services	126	133	(7)	(5.3)%
6				
Total intersegment revenues	14,651	12,062	2,589	21.5%
Total services and intersegment revenues	\$ 15,933	\$ 13,086	\$ 2,847	21.8%
Income before income taxes	\$ 549	\$ 463	\$ 86	18.6%
Operating cost ratio Pretax results	95.6%	95.8%		(0.2)%

Healthcare Services segment pretax income of \$549 million for 2013 increased \$86 million from 2012 as revenue growth and the pretax income contribution from our home based services and pharmacy solutions businesses, as well as the acquisition of Metropolitan, were partially offset by previously-planned investment spending associated with the integration and build-out of provider practices. The growth in pretax income associated with our home based services business reflects the increase in home health services provided to our Medicare Advantage members.

Script Volume

Humana Pharmacy Solutions<sup>®</sup> script volumes for the Retail and Employer Group segment membership increased to approximately 274 million in 2013, up 15% versus scripts of approximately 238 million in 2012. The increase primarily reflects growth associated with higher average medical membership for 2013 than in 2012.

Services revenue

Services revenue increased \$258 million, or 25.2% from 2012 to \$1.3 billion for 2013 primarily due to the acquisitions of Metropolitan and SeniorBridge as well as growth in our provider services operations. Intersegment revenues

# Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

Intersegment revenues increased \$2.6 billion, or 21.5%, from 2012 to \$14.7 billion for 2013 primarily due to growth in our pharmacy solutions business as it serves our growing membership, particularly Medicare stand-alone PDP, and the acquisition of Metropolitan in the fourth quarter of 2012.

Operating costs

The Healthcare Services segment operating cost ratio of 95.6% for 2013 decreased 20 basis points from 95.8% for 2012 primarily due to scale efficiencies associated with growth in our pharmacy solutions business.

## **Other Businesses**

Our Other Businesses pretax loss of \$193 million for 2013 compared to a pretax loss of \$18 million for 2012. The pretax losses in 2013 and 2012 included net expense of \$243 million and \$29 million, respectively, for reserve strengthening for our closed-block of long-term care insurance policies further discussed in Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. In addition, 2013 reflects the loss of our Medicaid contracts in Puerto Rico effective September 30, 2013 offset by the beneficial effect of a favorable settlement of contract claims with the DoD primarily associated with litigation settled in 2012.

## Comparison of Results of Operations for 2012 and 2011

Certain financial data on a consolidated basis and for our segments was as follows for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

#### Consolidated

			Cha	ange
	2012	2011	Dollars	Percentage
	(dollars in mill	ions, except per commo	n share results)	
Revenues:				
Premiums:				
Retail	\$ 25,001	\$ 21,831	\$ 3,170	14.5%
Employer Group	10,138	8,877	1,261	14.2%
Other Businesses	1,870	4,398	(2,528)	(57.5)%
Total premiums	37,009	35,106	1,903	5.4%
Services:				
Retail	24	16	8	50.0%
Employer Group	370	356	14	3.9%
Healthcare Services	1,024	903	121	13.4%
Other Businesses	308	85	223	262.4%
Total services	1,726	1,360	366	26.9%
Investment income	391	366	25	6.8%
Total revenues	39,126	36,832	2,294	6.2%
Operating expenses:				
Benefits	30,985	28,823	2,162	7.5%
Operating costs	5,830	5,395	435	8.1%
Depreciation and amortization	295	270	25	9.3%
Total operating expenses	37,110	34,488	2,622	7.6%
In the former and the second	2.016	2 244	(228)	(14.0)07
Income from operations	2,016	2,344	(328)	(14.0)%
Interest expense	105	109	(4)	(3.7)%
Income before income taxes	1,911	2,235	(324)	(14.5)%
Provision for income taxes	689	816	(127)	(15.6)%
Net income	\$ 1,222	\$ 1,419	\$ (197)	(13.9)%
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 7.47	\$ 8.46	\$ (0.99)	(11.7)%

# Table of Contents

# Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

Benefit ratio (a)	83.7%	82.1%	1.6%
Operating cost ratio (b)	15.1%	14.8%	0.3%
Effective tax rate	36.1%	36.5%	(0.4)%

(a) Represents total benefits expense as a percentage of premiums revenue.

(b) Represents total operating costs as a percentage of total revenues less investment income.

#### Summary

Net income was \$1.2 billion, or \$7.47 per diluted common share, in 2012 compared to \$1.4 billion, or \$8.46 per diluted common share, in 2011 primarily due to lower operating results in the Retail segment, partially offset by improved operating performance in the Employer Group and Healthcare Services segments. During 2012, we experienced a significant increase in the Retail segment benefit ratio primarily associated with our individual Medicare Advantage products primarily due to a planned increase in the target benefit ratio associated with positioning for the Health Care Reform Law funding changes and minimum benefit ratio requirements and a higher benefit ratio experienced on new membership than the assumptions used in our 2012 Medicare bids. Our diluted earnings per common share for 2012 included \$0.18 per diluted common share for benefits expense related to the settlement of a litigation matter associated with our military services business, as well as \$0.11 per diluted common share for benefits expense associated with reserve strengthening associated with our closed block of long-term care insurance policies in our Other Businesses as discussed in Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

#### Premiums Revenue

Consolidated premiums increased \$1.9 billion, or 5.4%, from 2011 to \$37.0 billion for 2012 primarily due to increases in both Retail and Employer Group segment premiums mainly driven by higher average individual and group Medicare Advantage membership, partially offset by lower premiums for our Other Businesses due to the transition to the current TRICARE South Region contract. As discussed previously, on April 1, 2012, we began delivering services under the current TRICARE South Region contract that the TMA awarded to us on February 25, 2011. We account for revenues under the current contract net of estimated healthcare costs similar to an administrative services fee only agreement, and as such there are no premiums recognized under the current contract. Our previous contract was accounted for similar to our fully-insured products and as such we recognized premiums under the previous contract.

#### Services Revenue

Consolidated services revenue increased \$366 million, or 26.9%, from 2011 to \$1.7 billion for 2012, primarily due to an increase in services revenue for our Other Businesses due to the transition to the current TRICARE South Region contract on April 1, 2012, and an increase in services revenue in our Healthcare Services segment from growth in our Concentra operations and the acquisition of SeniorBridge on July 6, 2012.

#### Investment Income

Investment income totaled \$391 million for 2012, an increase of \$25 million from 2011, primarily reflecting net capital gains realized in 2012 as a result of ordinary portfolio management during the year.

## Benefits Expense

Consolidated benefits expense was \$31.0 billion for 2012, an increase of \$2.2 billion, or 7.5%, from 2011 primarily due to a year-over-year increase in Retail and Employer Group segment benefits expense in 2012, mainly driven by an increase in the average number of Medicare members, partially offset by a decrease in benefits expense for Other Businesses primarily due to the transition to the current administrative services only TRICARE South Region contract on April 1, 2012. We do not record benefits expense under the current contract. Our previous contract was accounted for similar to our fully-insured products and as such we recorded benefits expense under the previous contract. Retail segment benefits expense increased \$3.3 billion, or 18.5%, from 2011 to 2012 and Employer Group segment benefits expense increased \$1.2 billion, or 15.8%, from 2011 to 2012. We experienced favorable medical claims reserve development related to prior fiscal years of \$257 million in 2012 and \$372 million in 2011.

The consolidated benefit ratio for 2012 was 83.7%, increasing 160 basis points from the 2011 benefit ratio of 82.1%, primarily driven by increases in both the Retail and Employer Group segments benefit ratios as

described further in our segment results discussion that follows. The \$115 million decline in favorable prior-period medical claims reserve development from 2011 to 2012 negatively impacted year-over-year comparisons of the benefit ratio.

## **Operating** Costs

Our segments incur both direct and shared indirect operating costs. We allocate the indirect costs shared by the segments primarily as a function of revenues. As a result, the profitability of each segment is interdependent.

Consolidated operating costs increased \$435 million, or 8.1%, during 2012 compared to 2011, primarily due an increase in operating costs in our Retail Segment as a result of Medicare Advantage growth.

The consolidated operating cost ratio for 2012 was 15.1%, increasing 30 basis points from the 2011 operating cost ratio of 14.8% as the impact of the current TRICARE South Region contract being accounted for as an administrative services fee only arrangement was partially offset by improved operating leverage.

#### Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization for 2012 totaled \$295 million, an increase of \$25 million, or 9.3%, from 2011, primarily reflecting depreciation and amortization expense associated with the acquisitions of Anvita in the fourth quarter of 2011, Arcadian in the first quarter of 2012, SeniorBridge in the third quarter of 2012, and other health and wellness businesses during 2012.

#### Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$105 million for 2012, compared to \$109 million for 2011, a decrease of \$4 million, or 3.7%. In March 2012, we repaid \$36 million of junior subordinated debt that carried a higher interest rate than our senior notes. In December 2012, we issued \$600 million of 3.15% senior notes due December 1, 2022 and \$400 million of 4.625% senior notes due December 1, 2042.

#### Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate during 2012 was 36.1% compared to the effective tax rate of 36.5% in 2011. See Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data for a complete reconciliation of the federal statutory rate to the effective tax rate.

## **Retail Segment**

			Cha	inge
	2012	2011	Members	Percentage
Membership:				
Medical membership:				
Individual Medicare Advantage	1,927,600	1,640,300	287,300	17.5%
Medicare stand-alone PDP	3,052,700	2,613,800	438,900	16.8%
Total Retail Medicare	4,980,300	4,254,100	726,200	17.1%
Individual commercial	521,400	493,200	28,200	5.7%
State-based Medicaid	52,100	47,600	4,500	9.5%
Total Retail medical members	5,553,800	4,794,900	758,900	15.8%
Individual specialty membership (a)	948,700	782,500	166,200	21.2%
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

(a) Specialty products include dental, vision, and other supplemental health and financial protection products. Members included in these products may not be unique to each product since members have the ability to enroll in multiple products.

				ange	
	2012	2011 (in millions)	Dollars	Percentage	
Premiums and Services Revenue:					
Premiums:					
Individual Medicare Advantage	\$ 20,788	\$18,100	\$ 2,688	14.9%	
Individual Medicare stand-alone PDP	2,853	2,570	283	11.0%	
Total individual Medicare	23,641	20,670	2,971	14.4%	
Individual commercial	1,004	861	143	16.6%	
State-based Medicaid	185	176	9	5.1%	
Individual specialty	171	124	47	37.9%	
Total premiums	25,001	21,831	3,170	14.5%	
Services	24	16	8	50.0%	
Total premiums and services revenue	\$ 25,025	\$ 21,847	\$ 3,178	14.5%	
Income before income taxes	\$ 1,161	\$ 1.630	\$ (469)	(28.8)%	
Benefit ratio	84.2%	81.3%	\$ (409)	(28.8)%	
Operating cost ratio	11.1%	11.1%		0.0%	
x Results	11.170	11.170		0.070	

Retail segment pretax income was \$1.2 billion in 2012, a decrease of \$469 million, or 28.8%, from \$1.6 billion in 2011, primarily driven by a year-over-year increase in the benefit ratio as described below.

Enrollment

# Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

Individual Medicare Advantage membership increased 287,300 members, or 17.5%, from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 primarily due to the 2012 enrollment season, as well as age-in enrollment throughout the year. We acquired approximately 62,600 members with Arcadian effective March 31, 2012. As discussed previously, we divested approximately 12,600 members acquired with Arcadian effective January 1, 2013 in accordance with our agreement with the United States Department of Justice.

Individual Medicare stand-alone PDP membership increased 438,900 members, or 16.8%, from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 primarily from higher gross sales during the 2012 enrollment season, particularly for our Humana-Walmart plan offering, supplemented by dual-eligible and age-in enrollments throughout the year.

Individual specialty membership increased 166,200, or 21.2%, from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 primarily driven by increased membership in dental offerings.

#### Premiums revenue

Retail segment premiums increased \$3.2 billion, or 14.5%, from 2011 to 2012 primarily due to a 17.6% increase in average individual Medicare Advantage membership. Individual Medicare Advantage per member premiums decreased approximately 2% in 2012 compared to 2011, primarily driven by a higher percentage of members that aged-in that generally carry a lower risk score than other members and accordingly a lower premium per member as well as lower per member premiums for members acquired in connection with the Arcadian acquisition effective March 31, 2012. Individual Medicare stand-alone PDP premiums revenue increased \$283 million, or 11.0%, in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to an 18.9% increase in average individual PDP membership, partially offset by a decrease in individual Medicare stand-alone PDP per member premiums. This was primarily a result of sales of our Humana-Walmart plan.

Benefits expense

The Retail segment benefit ratio increased 290 basis points from 81.3% in 2011 to 84.2% in 2012. During 2012, we experienced a significant increase in the benefit ratio for our individual Medicare Advantage products primarily due to a planned increase in the target benefit ratio associated with positioning for the Health Care Reform Law funding changes and minimum benefit ratio requirements, a higher benefit ratio experienced on new membership than the assumptions used in our 2012 Medicare bids, and increased outpatient utilization for both new and existing members. In addition, year-over-year comparisons of the benefit ratio were negatively impacted by lower favorable prior-period medical claims reserve development in 2012 than in 2011 and a year-over-year increase in clinicians and other health care quality expenditures given our continuing growth in membership. The Retail segment s pretax income for 2012 included the beneficial effect of \$192 million in favorable prior-period medical claims reserve development decreased the Retail segment benefit ratio by approximately 80 basis points in 2012 versus approximately 110 basis points in 2011.

#### Operating costs

The Retail segment operating cost ratio of 11.1% for 2012 was comparable of that for 2011 primarily due to scale efficiencies associated with servicing higher year-over-year membership together with our continued focus on operating cost efficiencies, partially offset by higher year-over-year clinical, provider, and technological infrastructure spending.

## **Employer Group Segment**

			Cha	ange
	2012	2011	Members	Percentage
Membership:				
Medical membership:				
Fully-insured commercial group	1,211,800	1,180,200	31,600	2.7%
ASO	1,237,700	1,292,300	(54,600)	(4.2)%
Group Medicare Advantage	370,800	290,600	80,200	27.6%
Medicare Advantage ASO	27,700	27,600	100	0.4%
, and the second s				
Total group Medicare Advantage	398,500	318,200	80,300	25.2%
Group Medicare stand-alone PDP	4,400	4,200	200	4.8%
<b>r</b>	.,	-,		
Total group Medicare	402,900	322,400	80,500	25.0%
Total group medical members	2,852,400	2,794,900	57,500	2.1%
0 0-0	2,002,100	_,,	27,000	,
Group specialty membership (a)	7,136,200	6,532,600	603,600	9.2%
	- , ,	, - ,	- /	

(a) Specialty products include dental, vision, and other supplemental health and voluntary benefit products. Members included in these products may not be unique to each product since members have the ability to enroll in multiple products.

				ange
	2012	2011 (in millions)	Dollars	Percentage
Premiums and Services Revenue:				
Premiums:				
Fully-insured commercial group	\$ 4,996	\$4,782	\$ 214	4.5%
Group Medicare Advantage	4,064	3,152	912	28.9%
Group Medicare stand-alone PDP	8	8	0	0.0%
Total group Medicare	4,072	3,160	912	28.9%
Group specialty	1,070	935	135	14.4%
Total premiums	10,138	8,877	1,261	14.2%
Services	370	356	14	3.9%
Total premiums and services revenue	\$ 10,508	\$ 9,233	\$ 1,275	13.8%
Income before income taxes	\$ 277	\$ 218	\$ 59	27.1%
Benefit ratio	83.6%	82.4%		1.2%
Operating cost ratio	16.5%	18.1%		(1.6)%
x Results				

# Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

Employer Group segment pretax income increased \$59 million, or 27.1%, to \$277 million in 2012 primarily due to improvement in the operating cost ratio partially offset by an increase in the benefit ratio as described below.

# Enrollment

Fully-insured commercial group medical membership increased 31,600 members, or 2.7%, from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 primarily due to growth in small group membership partially offset by declines in large group business. Approximately 59% of our fully-insured commercial group medical membership was in small group accounts at December 31, 2012 compared to 56% at December 31, 2011.

6	5
o	0

Fully-insured group Medicare Advantage membership increased 80,200 members, or 27.6%, from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 primarily due to the January 2012 addition of a new large group retiree account.

Group ASO commercial medical membership decreased 54,600 members, or 4.2%, from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 primarily due to continued pricing discipline in a highly competitive environment for self-funded accounts.

Group specialty membership increased 603,600 members, or 9.2%, from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 primarily due to increased cross-selling of our specialty products to our medical membership and growth in stand-alone specialty product sales. *Premiums revenue* 

Employer Group segment premiums increased by \$1.3 billion, or 13.8%, from 2011 to \$10.1 billion for 2012 primarily due to higher average group Medicare Advantage and fully-insured commercial group medical membership. In addition, 2012 included the beneficial effect of approximately \$25 million associated with revising estimates regarding calculations of 2011 premium rebates payable associated with minimum benefit ratios required under the Health Care Reform Law. This change in estimate was attributable to the refinement of the state-level calculations based on the run out of claims during 2012.

#### Benefits expense

The Employer Group segment benefit ratio of 83.6% for 2012 increased 120 basis points from 82.4% in 2011 primarily due to higher membership in our group Medicare Advantage products which generally carry a higher benefit ratio than our fully-insured commercial group products. In addition, year-over-year comparisons of the benefit ratio were negatively impacted by lower favorable prior-period medical claims reserve development in 2012 than in 2011. These increases were partially offset by the beneficial effect on the benefit ratio in 2012 of a reduction in prior-year premium rebate estimates discussed above. Fully-insured group Medicare Advantage members represented 13.0% of total Employer Group segment medical membership at December 31, 2012 compared to 10.4% at December 31, 2011. The Employer Group segment 's pretax income for 2012 included the beneficial effect of \$48 million in favorable prior-period medical claims reserve development decreased the Employer Group segment benefit ratio by approximately 50 basis points in 2012 versus approximately 130 basis points in 2011.

## Operating costs

The Employer Group segment operating cost ratio of 16.5% for 2012 improved 160 basis points from 18.1% for 2011 primarily reflecting growth in our group Medicare Advantage products which generally carry a lower operating cost ratio than our fully-insured commercial group products and continued savings as a result of our operating cost reduction initiatives.

#### Healthcare Services Segment

			Ch	ange
	2012	2011 (in millions)	Dollars	Percentage
Revenues:				
Services:				
Provider services	\$ 967	\$ 880	\$87	9.9%
Home based services	40	0	40	100.0%
Pharmacy solutions	16	11	5	45.5%
Integrated behavioral health services	1	12	(11)	(91.7)%
Total services revenues	1,024	903	121	13.4%
Intersegment revenues:				
Pharmacy solutions	11,352	9,886	1,466	14.8%
Provider services	410	368	42	11.4%
Home based services	167	84	83	98.8%
Integrated behavioral health services	133	171	(38)	(22.2)%
Total intersegment revenues	12,062	10,509	1,553	14.8%
Total services and intersegment revenues	\$ 13,086	\$ 11,412	\$ 1,674	14.7%
Income before income taxes	\$ 463	\$ 377	\$ 86	22.8%
Operating cost ratio Pretax results	95.8%	96.0%		(0.2)%

Healthcare Services segment pretax income increased \$86 million, or 22.8%, from 2011 to \$463 million in 2012 primarily due to growth in our pharmacy solutions business, including higher utilization of our mail-order pharmacy by our members. *Script Volume* 

Humana Pharmacy Solutions<sup>®</sup> script volumes for the Retail and Employer Group segment membership increased to approximately 238 million in 2012, up approximately 15.5% versus scripts of approximately 206 million in 2011. The year-over-year increase primarily reflects growth associated with higher average medical membership together with an increase in mail order penetration for our Retail segment medical membership in 2012 than in 2011.

Services revenue

Provider services revenue increased \$87 million from 2011 to \$967 million in 2012 primarily due to growth in our Concentra operations and the acquisition of SeniorBridge in July 2012. Intersegment revenues

Intersegment revenues increased \$1.6 billion, or 14.8%, from 2011 to \$12.0 billion for 2012 primarily due to growth in our pharmacy solutions business, including our mail-order pharmacy, as it serves our growing membership, particularly Medicare stand-alone PDP.

The Healthcare Services segment operating cost ratio improved 20 basis points from 2011 to 95.8% for 2012 reflecting scale efficiencies associated with growth in our pharmacy solutions business, including higher script volumes in our mail-order pharmacy business.

#### **Other Businesses**

Pretax loss for our Other Businesses of \$18 million for 2012 compared to pretax income of \$41 million for 2011. The year-over-year decline primarily reflects the combined effect of costs in connection with a litigation settlement associated with our military services business in 2012, reserve strengthening for our closed block of long-term care insurance policies in 2012, and a change in profitability under the current TRICARE South Region contract in connection with our bid strategy.

#### Liquidity

Our primary sources of cash include receipts of premiums, services revenue, and investment and other income, as well as proceeds from the sale or maturity of our investment securities and borrowings. Our primary uses of cash include disbursements for claims payments, operating costs, interest on borrowings, taxes, purchases of investment securities, acquisitions, capital expenditures, repayments on borrowings, dividends, and share repurchases. Because premiums generally are collected in advance of claim payments by a period of up to several months, our business normally should produce positive cash flows during periods of increasing premiums and enrollment. Conversely, cash flows would be negatively impacted during periods of decreasing premiums and enrollment. From period to period, our cash flows may also be affected by the timing of working capital items. The use of operating cash flows may be limited by regulatory requirements which require, among other items, that our regulated subsidiaries maintain minimum levels of capital and seek approval before paying dividends from the subsidiaries to the parent. Our use of operating cash flows derived from our non-insurance subsidiaries, such as in our Healthcare Services segment, is generally not restricted by Departments of Insurance.

For 2014, the effect of the commercial risk adjustment, risk corridor, and reinsurance provisions of the Health Care Reform Law will impact the timing of our operating cash flows, as we expect to build a receivable in 2014 that will be collected in 2015. It is reasonably possible that the receivable could be material to our operating cash flow in 2014. In 2014, we expect our operating cash flows to decline from 2013. Any amounts receivable or payable associated with these risk limiting programs should not have a significant impact on subsidiary capital and surplus.

For additional information on our liquidity risk, please refer to Item 1A. Risk Factors in this report.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased to \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2013 from \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2012. The change in cash and cash equivalents for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is summarized as follows:

	2013	2012 (in millions)	2011
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 1,716	\$ 1,923	\$ 2,079
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,182)	(1,965)	(1,358)
Net cash used in financing activities	(702)	(29)	(1,017)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (168)	\$ (71)	\$ (296)

## **Cash Flow from Operating Activities**

The change in operating cash flows over the three year period primarily results from the corresponding change in earnings, enrollment activity, and the timing of working capital items as discussed below. Cash flows were positively impacted by annual Medicare enrollment gains because premiums generally are collected in advance of claim payments by a period of up to several months. In addition, 2013 operating cash flows were impacted by increased marketing and distribution costs associated with higher sales during the annual election period for Medicare beneficiaries for 2014, investment spending for new state-based contracts and exchanges under the Health Care Reform Law, and higher Medicare Part D risk corridor payments related to settlements for prior years, including \$158 million related to the 2011 contract year.

Comparisons of our operating cash flows also are impacted by other changes in our working capital. The most significant drivers of changes in our working capital are typically the timing of receipts for premiums and payments of benefits expense. We illustrate these changes with the following summaries of receivables and benefits payable.

The detail of total net receivables was as follows at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	2013	2012	2011 (in mill	2013 ions)	Change 2012	2011
Medicare	\$ 576	\$ 422	\$ 336	\$ 154	\$ 86	\$120
Commercial and other	405	346	315	59	31	(53)
Military services	87	59	468	28	(409)	41
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(118)	(94)	(85)	(24)	(9)	(33)
Total net receivables	\$ 950	\$ 733	\$ 1,034	217	(301)	75
Reconciliation to cash flow statement:						
Provision for doubtful accounts				37	26	31
Receivables from acquisition				(3)	(51)	0
Change in receivables per cash flow statement resulting in cash from operations				\$ 251	\$ (326)	\$ 106

Medicare receivables are impacted by revenue growth associated with growth in individual and group Medicare membership and the timing of accruals and related collections associated with the CMS risk-adjustment model.

Military services receivables at December 31, 2013 and 2012 primarily consist of administrative services only fees owed from the federal government for administrative services provided under our current TRICARE South Region contract. Military services receivables at December 31, 2011 primarily consisted of estimated claims owed from the federal government for health care services provided to beneficiaries and underwriting fees under our previous TRICARE South Region contract. The \$409 million decrease in military services receivables from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 primarily resulted from the transition to our current TRICARE South Region contract which we account for similar to an administrative services fee only agreement. As such, beginning April 1, 2012, payments of the federal government s claims and related reimbursements for the current TRICARE South Region contract are classified with receipts (withdrawals) from contract deposits as a financing item in our consolidated statements of cash flows.

The increases in commercial and other receivables as well as the allowance for doubtful accounts in 2013 and 2012 primarily are due to growth in the business. In addition, commercial and other receivables for 2013, 2012, and 2011 include \$178 million, \$166 million, and \$144 million, respectively, of patient services receivables related to Concentra which was acquired in December 2010. Also related to Concentra, the allowance for doubtful accounts increased \$33 million from 2010 to 2011 as a result of the requirement to record acquired balances at fair value at the acquisition date. Commercial and other receivables also reflect the timing of reimbursements from the Puerto Rico Health Insurance Administration under our Medicaid contracts that terminated effective September 30, 2013.

As discussed above, we expect receivables to increase in 2014 as a result of the commercial risk adjustment, risk corridor, and reinsurance provisions of the Health Care Reform Law effective in 2014.

The detail of benefits payable was as follows at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	2013	2012	2011 in millions)	2013	Change 2012	2011
IBNR (1)	\$ 2,586	\$ 2,552	\$ 2,056	\$ 34	\$ 496	\$5
Reported claims in process (2)	381	315	376	66	(61)	239
Military services benefits payable (3)	0	4	339	(4)	(335)	84
Other benefits payable (4)	926	908	983	18	(75)	(43)
Total benefits payable	\$ 3,893	\$ 3,779	\$ 3,754	114	25	285
Payables from acquisition				(5)	(66)	(29)
5 1					( )	
Change in benefits payable per cash flow statement resulting in cash from						
operations				\$109	\$ (41)	\$ 256
•					. ,	

- (1) IBNR represents an estimate of benefits payable for claims incurred but not reported (IBNR) at the balance sheet date. The level of IBNR is primarily impacted by membership levels, medical claim trends and the receipt cycle time, which represents the length of time between when a claim is initially incurred and when the claim form is received (i.e. a shorter time span results in a lower IBNR).
- (2) Reported claims in process represents the estimated valuation of processed claims that are in the post claim adjudication process, which consists of administrative functions such as audit and check batching and handling, as well as amounts owed to our pharmacy benefit administrator which fluctuate due to bi-weekly payments and the month-end cutoff.
- (3) Military services benefits payable primarily represents the run-out of the claims liability associated with our previous TRICARE South Region contract that expired on March 31, 2012. A corresponding receivable for reimbursement by the federal government is included in the military services receivable in the previous receivables table.
- (4) Other benefits payable include amounts owed to providers under capitated and risk sharing arrangements.

The increase in benefits payable in 2013 primarily was due to an increase in the amount of processed but unpaid claims due to our pharmacy benefit administrator, which fluctuate due to month-end cutoff, and an increase in IBNR, primarily as a result of Medicare Advantage membership growth. The increase in benefits payable in 2012 primarily was due to an increase in IBNR, primarily as a result of Medicare Advantage membership growth, partially offset by a \$335 million decrease in the military services benefits payable due to the run-out of claims under the previous TRICARE South Region contract that expired on March 31, 2012, a decrease in amounts owed to providers under capitated and risk sharing arrangements, and a decrease in the amounts due to our pharmacy benefit administrator which fluctuate due to month-end cutoff. Under the current TRICARE South Region contract effective April 1, 2012, the federal government retains the risk of the cost of health benefits and related benefit obligation as further described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. The increase in benefits payable in 2011 primarily was due to an increase in processed but unpaid claims, including amounts due to our pharmacy benefit administrator, which fluctuate due to month-end cutoff, and an increase in military services benefits payable.

In addition to the timing of receipts for premiums and services fees and payments of benefits expense, other working capital items impacting operating cash flows primarily resulted from the timing of payments for the Medicare Part D risk corridor provisions of our contracts with CMS, changes in the timing of the collection of pharmacy rebates, and the timing of payments for premium rebates associated with minimum benefit ratios required under the Health Care Reform Law. In 2014, our operating cash flows will also be impacted by the timing of payments and receipts associated with the commercial risk adjustment, risk corridor, and reinsurance provisions of the Health Care Reform Law as discussed above.

#### Cash Flow from Investing Activities

Our ongoing capital expenditures primarily relate to our information technology initiatives, support of services in our provider services operations including medical and administrative facility improvements necessary for activities such as the provision of care to members, claims processing, billing and collections, wellness solutions, care coordination, regulatory compliance and customer service. Total capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions, were \$441 million in 2013, \$410 million in 2012, and \$346 million in 2011. Excluding acquisitions, we expect total capital expenditures in 2014 in a range of approximately \$525 million to \$575 million primarily reflecting increased spending associated with growth in our provider services and pharmacy businesses in our Healthcare Services segment.

We reinvested a portion of our operating cash flows in investment securities, primarily investment-grade fixed income securities, totaling \$592 million in 2013, \$320 million in 2012, and \$796 million in 2011.

Cash consideration paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired, was \$187 million in 2013, \$1.2 billion in 2012, and \$226 million in 2011. Cash paid for acquisitions in 2013 primarily related to the American Eldercare and other health and wellness related acquisitions. In 2012, acquisitions included Metropolitan, Arcadian, SeniorBridge and other health and wellness and technology related acquisitions. Acquisitions in 2011 included Anvita and other Retail segment acquisitions.

#### **Cash Flow from Financing Activities**

Receipts from CMS associated with Medicare Part D claim subsidies for which we do not assume risk were \$155 million less than claims payments during 2013, \$341 million less than claims payments during 2012, and \$378 million less than claim payments during 2011. Under our current administrative services only TRICARE South Region contract that began April 1, 2012, health care cost payments for which we do not assume risk were less than reimbursements from the federal government by \$5 million in 2013 and exceeded reimbursements by \$56 million in 2012. See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data for further description.

We repurchased 5.8 million shares for \$502 million in 2013, 6.25 million shares for \$460 million in 2012, and 6.8 million shares for \$492 million in 2011 under share repurchase plans authorized by the Board of Directors. We also acquired common shares in connection with employee stock plans for an aggregate cost of \$29 million in 2013, \$58 million in 2012, and \$49 million in 2011.

As discussed further below, we paid dividends to stockholders of \$168 million in 2013, \$165 million in 2012, and \$82 million in 2011.

In December 2012, we issued \$600 million of 3.15% senior notes due December 1, 2022 and \$400 million of 4.625% senior notes due December 1, 2042. Our net proceeds, reduced for the discount and cost of the offering, were \$990 million. We used the proceeds from the offering primarily to finance the acquisition of Metropolitan, including the retirement of Metropolitan s indebtedness, and to pay related fees and expenses.

In March 2012, we repaid, without penalty, junior subordinated long-term debt of \$36 million.

The remainder of the cash used in or provided by financing activities in 2013, 2012, and 2011 primarily resulted from proceeds from stock option exercises and the change in book overdraft.

## Future Sources and Uses of Liquidity

## Dividends

The following table provides details of dividend payments in 2011, 2012, and 2013 under our Board approved quarterly cash dividend policy:

Record	Payment	Amount	Total
Date	Date	per Share	Amount (in millions)
2011 payments			
6/30/2011	7/28/2011	\$0.25	\$41
9/30/2011	10/28/2011	\$0.25	\$41
2012 payments			
12/30/2011	1/31/2012	\$0.25	\$41
3/30/2012	4/27/2012	\$0.25	\$41
6/29/2012	7/27/2012	\$0.26	\$42
9/28/2012	10/26/2012	\$0.26	\$41
2013 payments			
12/31/2012	1/25/2013	\$0.26	\$42
3/28/2013	4/26/2013	\$0.26	\$41
6/28/2013	7/26/2013	\$0.27	\$42
9/30/2013	10/25/2013	\$0.27	\$42

In October 2013, the Board declared a cash dividend of \$0.27 per share that was paid on January 31, 2014 to stockholders of record on December 31, 2013, for an aggregate amount of \$42 million. Declaration and payment of future quarterly dividends is at the discretion of the Board and may be adjusted as business needs or market conditions change.

## Stock Repurchase Authorization

In April 2013, the Board of Directors replaced its previously approved share repurchase authorization of up to \$1 billion (of which \$557 million remained unused) with the current authorization for repurchases of up to \$1 billion of our common shares exclusive of shares repurchased in connection with employee stock plans, expiring on June 30, 2015. Under the current share repurchase authorization, shares may be purchased from time to time at prevailing prices in the open market, by block purchases, or in privately-negotiated transactions, subject to certain regulatory restrictions on volume, pricing, and timing. As of February 1, 2014, the remaining authorized amount under the current authorization totaled approximately \$580 million.

## Senior Notes

In December 2012, we issued \$600 million of 3.15% senior notes due December 1, 2022 and \$400 million of 4.625% senior notes due December 1, 2042. Our net proceeds, reduced for the discount and cost of the offering, were \$990 million. We used the proceeds from the offering primarily to finance the acquisition of Metropolitan, including the retirement of Metropolitan s indebtedness, and to pay related fees and expenses. We previously issued \$500 million of 6.45% senior notes due June 1, 2016, \$500 million of 7.20% senior notes due June 15, 2018, \$300 million of 6.30% senior notes due August 1, 2018, and \$250 million of 8.15% senior notes due June 15, 2038. The 7.20% and 8.15% senior notes are subject to an interest rate adjustment if the debt ratings assigned to the notes are downgraded (or subsequently upgraded). In addition, our 7.20%, 8.15%, 3.15%, and 4.625% senior notes, which are unsecured, may be redeemed at our option at any time at 100% of the principal amount plus accrued interest and a specified make-whole amount. Our senior notes are more fully discussed in Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

## **Credit Agreement**

In July 2013, we amended and restated our 5-year \$1.0 billion unsecured revolving credit agreement to, among other things, extend its maturity to July 2018 from November 2016. Under the amended and restated credit agreement, at our option, we can borrow on either a competitive advance basis or a revolving credit basis. The revolving credit portion bears interest at either LIBOR plus a spread or the base rate plus a spread. The LIBOR spread, currently 110 basis points, varies depending on our credit ratings ranging from 90.0 to 150.0 basis points. We also pay an annual facility fee regardless of utilization. This facility fee, currently 15.0 basis points, may fluctuate between 10.0 and 25.0 basis points, depending upon our credit ratings. The competitive advance portion of any borrowings will bear interest at market rates prevailing at the time of borrowing on either a fixed rate or a floating rate based on LIBOR, at our option.

The terms of the credit agreement include standard provisions related to conditions of borrowing, including a customary material adverse effect clause which could limit our ability to borrow additional funds. In addition, the credit agreement contains customary restrictive and financial covenants as well as customary events of default, including financial covenants regarding the maintenance of a minimum level of net worth of \$7.3 billion at December 31, 2013 and a maximum leverage ratio of 3.0:1. We are in compliance with the financial covenants, with actual net worth of \$9.3 billion and actual leverage ratio of 1.0:1, as measured in accordance with the credit agreement as of December 31, 2013. In addition, the credit agreement includes an uncommitted \$250 million incremental loan facility.

At December 31, 2013, we had no borrowings outstanding under the credit agreement. We have outstanding letters of credit of \$5 million issued under the credit agreement at December 31, 2013. No amounts have been drawn on these letters of credit. Accordingly, as of December 31, 2013, we had \$995 million of remaining borrowing capacity under the credit agreement, none of which would be restricted by our financial covenant compliance requirement. We have other customary, arms-length relationships, including financial advisory and banking, with some parties to the credit agreement.

#### Liquidity Requirements

We believe our cash balances, investment securities, operating cash flows, and funds available under our credit agreement or from other public or private financing sources, taken together, provide adequate resources to fund ongoing operating and regulatory requirements, acquisitions, future expansion opportunities, and capital expenditures for at least the next twelve months, as well as to refinance or repay debt, and repurchase shares.

Adverse changes in our credit rating may increase the rate of interest we pay and may impact the amount of credit available to us in the future. Our investment-grade credit rating at December 31, 2013 was BBB+ according to Standard & Poor s Rating Services, or S&P, and Baa3 according to Moody s Investors Services, Inc., or Moody s. A downgrade by S&P to BB+ or by Moody s to Ba1 triggers an interest rate increase of 25 basis points with respect to \$750 million of our senior notes. Successive one notch downgrades increase the interest rate an additional 25 basis points, or annual interest expense by \$2 million, up to a maximum 100 basis points, or annual interest expense by \$8 million.

In addition, we operate as a holding company in a highly regulated industry. Humana Inc., our parent company, is dependent upon dividends and administrative expense reimbursements from our subsidiaries, most of which are subject to regulatory restrictions. We continue to maintain significant levels of aggregate excess statutory capital and surplus in our state-regulated insurance subsidiaries. Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments at the parent company were \$508 million at December 31, 2013 and \$346 million at December 31, 2012 reflecting higher profit contribution from non-regulated businesses. Our use of operating cash flows derived from our non-insurance subsidiaries, such as in our Healthcare Services segment, is generally not restricted by Departments of Insurance. Our subsidiaries paid dividends to the parent of \$967 million in 2013, \$1.2 billion in 2012, and \$1.1 billion in 2011. The decline in dividends to the parent in 2013 primarily was a result of higher

surplus requirements associated with premium growth. Refer to our parent company financial statements and accompanying notes in Schedule I Parent Company Financial Information. Regulatory requirements, including subsidiary dividends to the parent, are discussed in more detail in the following section. Excluding Puerto Rico subsidiaries, the amount of ordinary dividends that may be paid to our parent company in 2014 is approximately \$840 million in the aggregate. However, actual dividends paid from the subsidiaries to the parent in 2014 could be reduced as a result of the proposed statutory accounting for the health insurance industry fee, discussed below, combined with higher surplus requirements associated with premium growth due to increases in membership.

The NAIC is continuing discussions regarding the statutory accounting for the health insurance industry fee required by the Health Care Reform Law which in its present form would restrict surplus in the year preceding payment, beginning in 2014. Accordingly, in addition to requiring recognition of the full-year 2014 assessment in the first quarter of 2014, we may be required to restrict surplus for the 2015 assessment ratably in 2014. This proposal does not affect our financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, under which the fee is expensed ratably throughout the payment year. In September 2014, we expect to pay the federal government in the range of \$525 million to \$575 million for the annual health insurance industry fee. In 2015, the health insurance industry fee increases by 41% for the industry taken as a whole. Accordingly, absent changes in market share, we would expect a similar increase in our fee in 2015.

## **Regulatory Requirements**

For a detailed discussion of our regulatory requirements, including aggregate statutory capital and surplus as well as dividends paid from the subsidiaries to the parent, please refer to Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

#### **Contractual Obligations**

We are contractually obligated to make payments for years subsequent to December 31, 2013 as follows:

		More than			
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years (in millions	3-5 Years	5 Years
Debt	\$ 2,550	0	500	800	1,250
Interest (1)	1,548	146	277	208	917
Operating leases (2)	904	228	346	187	143
Purchase obligations (3)	253	132	91	30	0
Future policy benefits payable and other long-term liabilities (4)	2,563	70	356	213	1,924
Total	\$ 7,818	576	1,570	1,438	4,234

(1) Interest includes the estimated contractual interest payments under our debt agreements.

- (2) We lease facilities, computer hardware, and other furniture and equipment under long-term operating leases that are noncancelable and expire on various dates through 2025. We sublease facilities or partial facilities to third party tenants for space not used in our operations which partially mitigates our operating lease commitments. An operating lease is a type of off-balance sheet arrangement. Assuming we acquired the asset, rather than leased such asset, we would have recognized a liability for the financing of these assets. See also Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.
- (3) Purchase obligations include agreements to purchase services, primarily information technology related services, or to make improvements to real estate, in each case that are enforceable and legally binding on us and that specify all significant terms, including: fixed or minimum levels of service to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the appropriate timing of the transaction. Purchase obligations exclude agreements that are cancelable without penalty.

(4) Includes future policy benefits payable ceded to third parties through 100% coinsurance agreements as more fully described in Note 18 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. We expect the assuming reinsurance carriers to fund these obligations and reflected these amounts as reinsurance recoverables included in other long-term assets on our consolidated balance sheet. Amounts payable in less than one year are included in trade accounts payable and accrued expenses in the consolidated balance sheet.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

As of December 31, 2013, we were not involved in any special purpose entity, or SPE, transactions. For a detailed discussion off-balance sheet arrangements, please refer to Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

#### **Guarantees and Indemnifications**

For a detailed discussion our guarantees and indemnifications, please refer to Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

#### **Government Contracts**

For a detailed discussion of our government contracts, including our Medicare, Military, and Medicaid contracts, please refer to Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements and accompanying notes requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. We continuously evaluate our estimates and those critical accounting policies related primarily to benefits expense and revenue recognition as well as accounting for impairments related to our investment securities, goodwill, and long-lived assets. These estimates are based on knowledge of current events and anticipated future events and, accordingly, actual results ultimately may differ from those estimates. We believe the following critical accounting policies involve the most significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

#### **Benefits Expense Recognition**

Benefits expense is recognized in the period in which services are provided and includes an estimate of the cost of services which have been incurred but not yet reported, or IBNR. IBNR represents a substantial portion of our benefits payable as follows:

	December 31, 2013	Percentage December 31, of Total 2012 (dollars in millions)		013 of Total 20		Percentage of Total
IBNR	\$ 2,586	66.4%	\$	2,552	67.5%	
Reported claims in process	381	9.8%		315	8.3%	
Other benefits payable	926	23.8%		912	24.2%	
Total benefits payable	\$ 3,893	100.0%	\$	3,779	100.0%	

Our reserving practice is to consistently recognize the actuarial best point estimate within a level of confidence required by actuarial standards. Actuarial standards of practice generally require a level of confidence

such that the liabilities established for IBNR have a greater probability of being adequate versus being insufficient, or such that the liabilities established for IBNR are sufficient to cover obligations under an assumption of moderately adverse conditions. Adverse conditions are situations in which the actual claims are expected to be higher than the otherwise estimated value of such claims at the time of the estimate. Therefore, in many situations, the claim amounts ultimately settled will be less than the estimate that satisfies the actuarial standards of practice.

We develop our estimate for IBNR using actuarial methodologies and assumptions, primarily based upon historical claim experience. Depending on the period for which incurred claims are estimated, we apply a different method in determining our estimate. For periods prior to the most recent three months, the key assumption used in estimating our IBNR is that the completion factor pattern remains consistent over a rolling 12-month period after adjusting for known changes in claim inventory levels and known changes in claim payment processes. Completion factors result from the calculation of the percentage of claims incurred during a given period that have historically been adjudicated as of the reporting period. For the most recent three months, the incurred claims are estimated primarily from a trend analysis based upon per member per month claims trends developed from our historical experience in the preceding months, adjusted for known changes in estimates of recent hospital and drug utilization data, provider contracting changes, changes in benefit levels, changes in member cost sharing, changes in medical management processes, product mix, and weekday seasonality.

The completion factor method is used for the months of incurred claims prior to the most recent three months because the historical percentage of claims processed for those months is at a level sufficient to produce a consistently reliable result. Conversely, for the most recent three months of incurred claims, the volume of claims processed historically is not at a level sufficient to produce a reliable result, which therefore requires us to examine historical trend patterns as the primary method of evaluation. Changes in claim processes, including recoveries of overpayments, receipt cycle times, claim inventory levels, outsourcing, system conversions, and processing disruptions due to weather or other events affect views regarding the reasonable choice of completion factors. Claim payments to providers for services rendered are often net of overpayment recoveries for claims paid previously, as contractually allowed. Claim overpayment recoveries can result from many different factors, including retroactive enrollment activity, audits of provider billings, and/or payment errors. Changes in patterns of claim overpayment recoveries can be unpredictable and result in completion factor volatility, as they often impact older dates of service. The receipt cycle time measures the average length of time between when a medical claim was initially incurred and when the claim form was received. Increases in electronic claim submissions from providers decrease the receipt cycle time. If claims are submitted or processed on a faster (slower) pace than prior periods, the actual claim may be more (less) complete than originally estimated using our completion factors, which may result in reserves that are higher (lower) than required.

Medical cost trends potentially are more volatile than other segments of the economy. The drivers of medical cost trends include increases in the utilization of hospital facilities, physician services, new higher priced technologies and medical procedures, and new prescription drugs and therapies, as well as the inflationary effect on the cost per unit of each of these expense components. Other external factors such as government-mandated benefits or other regulatory changes, the tort liability system, increases in medical services capacity, direct to consumer advertising for prescription drugs and medical services, an aging population, lifestyle changes including diet and smoking, catastrophes, and epidemics also may impact medical cost trends. Internal factors such as system conversions, claims processing cycle times, changes in medical management practices and changes in provider contracts also may impact our ability to accurately predict estimates of historical completion factors or medical cost trends. All of these factors are considered in estimating IBNR and in estimating the per member per month claims trend for purposes of determining the reserve for the most recent three months. Additionally, we continually prepare and review follow-up studies to assess the reasonableness of the estimates generated by our process and methods over time. The results of these studies are also considered in determining the reserve for the most recent three months. Each of these factors requires significant judgment by management.

The completion and claims per member per month trend factors are the most significant factors impacting the IBNR estimate. The portion of IBNR estimated using completion factors for claims incurred prior to the most recent three months is generally less variable than the portion of IBNR estimated using trend factors. The following table illustrates the sensitivity of these factors assuming moderate adverse experience and the estimated potential impact on our operating results caused by reasonably likely changes in these factors based on December 31, 2013 data:

Completion	Factor (a):	Claims Trend Factor (b				
Factor	Decrease in	Factor	Decrease in			
Change (c)	Benefits Payable	Change (c)	Benefits Payable			
	(dollars in	n millions)				
1.60%	\$(335)	(4.75%)	\$(345)			
1.20%	\$(251)	(4.25%)	\$(309)			
0.80%	\$(168)	(3.50%)	\$(254)			
0.60%	\$(126)	(3.00%)	\$(218)			
0.40%	\$ (84)	(2.50%)	\$(182)			
0.20%	\$ (42)	(2.00%)	\$(145)			
0.10%	\$ (21)	(1.50%)	\$(109)			

(a) Reflects estimated potential changes in benefits payable at December 31, 2013 caused by changes in completion factors for incurred months prior to the most recent three months.

(b) Reflects estimated potential changes in benefits payable at December 31, 2013 caused by changes in annualized claims trend used for the estimation of per member per month incurred claims for the most recent three months.

(c) The factor change indicated represents the percentage point change.

The following table provides a historical perspective regarding the accrual and payment of our benefits payable, excluding military services. Components of the total incurred claims for each year include amounts accrued for current year estimated benefits expense as well as adjustments to prior year estimated accruals.

	2013	2012 (in millions)	2011
Balances at January 1	\$ 3,775	\$ 3,415	\$ 3,214
Acquisitions	5	66	29
Incurred related to:			
Current year	32,711	30,198	25,834
Prior years	(474)	(257)	(372)
Total incurred	32,237	29,941	25,462
Paid related to:			
Current year	(29,103)	(26,738)	(22,742)
Prior years	(3,021)	(2,909)	(2,548)
Total paid	(32,124)	(29,647)	(25,290)
Balances at December 31	\$ 3,893	\$ 3,775	\$ 3,415

The following table summarizes the changes in estimate for incurred claims related to prior years attributable to our key assumptions. As previously described, our key assumptions consist of trend and completion factors estimated using an assumption of moderately adverse conditions. The amounts below represent the difference between our original estimates and the actual benefits expense ultimately incurred as determined from subsequent claim payments.

		Favorable Development by Changes in Key Assumptions 2013 2012 2012 2013					
	2	2013 Factor				2	2011 Factor
	Amount	Change (a)	Amount (dollars in	Change (a) millions)	Amount	Change (a)	
Trend factors	\$ (233)	(3.4)%	\$ (138)	(2.4)%	\$ (189)	(3.8)%	
Completion factors	(241)	1.2%	(119)	0.7%	(183)	1.2%	
Total	\$ (474)		\$ (257)		\$ (372)		

## (a) The factor change indicated represents the percentage point change.

As previously discussed, our reserving practice is to consistently recognize the actuarial best estimate of our ultimate liability for claims. Actuarial standards require the use of assumptions based on moderately adverse experience, which generally results in favorable reserve development, or reserves that are considered redundant. We experienced favorable medical claims reserve development related to prior fiscal years of \$474 million in 2013, \$257 million in 2012, and \$372 million in 2011. The table below details our favorable medical claims reserve development related to prior fiscal years by segment for 2013, 2012, and 2011.

	Favorable	Favorable Medical Claims Reserve			
	2013	Development 2013 2012 2011			nge 2012
		(	in millions)		
Retail Segment	\$ (347)	\$ (192)	\$ (245)	\$ (155)	\$ 53
Employer Group Segment	(128)	(48)	(114)	(80)	66
Other Businesses	1	(17)	(13)	18	(4)
Total	\$ (474)	\$ (257)	\$ (372)	\$ (217)	\$ 115

The favorable medical claims reserve development for 2013, 2012, and 2011 primarily reflects the consistent application of trend and completion factors estimated using an assumption of moderately adverse conditions. In addition, the favorable medical claims reserve development during 2013 reflects better than originally expected utilization across most of our major business lines and increased financial recoveries. The increase in financial recoveries primarily resulted from claim audit process enhancements as well as increased volume of claim audits and expanded audit scope. All lines of business benefitted from these improvements. Favorable development during 2011 reflects improvements in the claims processing environment and, to a lesser extent, better than originally estimated utilization. The improvements during 2011 resulted from increased audits of provider billings as well as system enhancements that improved claim recovery functionality.

We continually adjust our historical trend and completion factor experience with our knowledge of recent events that may impact current trends and completion factors when establishing our reserves. Because our reserving practice is to consistently recognize the actuarial best point estimate using an assumption of moderately adverse conditions as required by actuarial standards, there is a reasonable possibility that variances between actual trend and completion factors and those assumed in our December 31, 2013 estimates would fall towards the middle of the ranges previously presented in our sensitivity table.

Benefits expense associated with military services and provisions associated with future policy benefits excluded from the previous table was as follows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	2013	2012 (in millions)	2011
Military services	\$ (27)	\$ 908	\$ 3,247
Future policy benefits	354	136	114
Total	\$ 327	\$ 1,044	\$ 3,361

Military services benefit expense for 2013 reflects the beneficial effect of a favorable settlement of contract claims with the DoD partially offset by expenses associated with our contracts with the Veterans Administration. Military services benefits payable of \$4 million and \$339 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, primarily consisted of our estimate of incurred healthcare services provided to beneficiaries under our previous TRICARE South Region contract which were in turn reimbursed by the federal government. This amount was generally offset by a receivable from the federal government. There was no military services benefits payable at December 31, 2013 due to the transition to the current TRICARE South Region contract on April 1, 2012, which is accounted for as an administrative services only contract as more fully described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. This transition is also the primary reason for the decline in military services benefits expense from 2011 to 2013. Our previous TRICARE contract that expired on March 31, 2012 contained provisions where we shared the risk with the federal government for the cost of health benefits. Therefore, the impact on our income from operations from changes in estimate for TRICARE benefits payable was reduced substantially by the federal government s share of the risk. The net change in income from operations as determined retrospectively, after giving consideration to claim development occurring in the current period, was an increase of approximately \$2 million for 2011.

Future policy benefits payable of \$2.2 billion and \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, represent liabilities for long-duration insurance policies including long-term care insurance, life insurance, annuities, and certain health and other supplemental policies sold to individuals for which some of the premium received in the earlier years is intended to pay anticipated benefits to be incurred in future years. At policy issuance, these reserves are recognized on a net level premium method based on interest rates, mortality, morbidity, and maintenance expense assumptions. Interest rates are based on our expected net investment returns on the investment portfolio supporting the reserves for these blocks of business. Mortality, a measure of expected death, and morbidity, a measure of health status, assumptions are based on published actuarial tables, modified based upon actual experience. The assumptions used to determine the liability for future policy benefits are established and locked in at the time each contract is issued and only change if our expected future experience deteriorates to the point that the level of the liability, together with the present value of future gross premiums, are not adequate to provide for future expected policy benefits and maintenance costs (i.e. the loss recognition date). Because these policies have long-term claim payout periods, there is a greater risk of significant variability in claims costs, either positive or negative. We perform loss recognition tests at least annually in the fourth quarter, and more frequently if adverse events or changes in circumstances indicate that the level of the liability, together with the present value of future expected policy benefits and more frequently if adverse events or changes in circumstances indicate that the level of the liability, together with the present value of policy benefits and maintenance costs.

Future policy benefits payable include \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2012 associated with a non-strategic closed block of long-term care insurance policies acquired in connection with the 2007 KMG acquisition. Approximately 33,300 policies remain in force as of December 31, 2013. No new policies have been written since 2005 under this closed block. Future policy benefits payable includes amounts charged to accumulated other comprehensive income for an additional liability that would exist on our closed-block of long-term care insurance policies if unrealized gains on the sale of the investments backing such products had been realized and the proceeds reinvested at then current yields. There was no additional liability at December 31, 2013 and \$119 million of additional liability at December 31, 2012. Amounts charged to accumulated other comprehensive income are net of applicable deferred taxes.

Long-term care insurance policies provide nursing home and home health coverage for which premiums are collected many years in advance of benefits paid, if any. Therefore, our actual claims experience will emerge many years after assumptions have been established. The risk of a deviation of the actual interest, morbidity, mortality, and maintenance expense assumptions from those assumed in our reserves are particularly significant to our closed block of long-term care insurance policies. We monitor the loss experience of these long-term care insurance policies and, when necessary, apply for premium rate increases through a regulatory filing and approval process in the jurisdictions in which such products were sold. To the extent premium rate increases and/or loss experience vary from our loss recognition date assumptions, future adjustments to reserves could be required.

During 2013, we recorded a loss for a premium deficiency. The premium deficiency was based on current and anticipated experience that had deteriorated from our locked-in assumptions from the previous December 31, 2010 loss recognition date, particularly as they related to emerging experience due to an increase in life expectancies and utilization of home health care services. Based on this deterioration, and combined with lower interest rates, we determined that our existing future policy benefits payable, together with the present value of future gross premiums, associated with our closed-block of long-term care insurance policies were not adequate to provide for future policy benefits and maintenance costs under these policies; therefore we unlocked and modified our assumptions based on current expectations. Accordingly, during 2013 we recorded \$243 million of additional benefits expense, with a corresponding increase in future policy benefits payable of \$350 million partially offset by a related reinsurance recoverable of \$107 million included in other long-term assets.

During 2012, we recorded a change in estimate associated with future policy benefits payable for our closed-block of long-term care insurance policies resulting in additional benefits expense of \$29 million and a corresponding increase in future policy benefits payable. This change in estimate was based on current claim experience demonstrating an increase in the length of the time policyholders already in payment status remained in such status. Future policy benefits payable was increased to cover future payments to policyholders currently in payment status.

For our closed block of long-term care policies, when modeled in the aggregate, unfavorable scenarios based on reasonably likely adverse variations in our assumptions could require a reserve increase of approximately 9.6%, which for the year ended December 31, 2013 would have resulted in additional benefits expense of approximately \$100 million, net of reinsurance. Generally accepted accounting principles do not allow us to unlock our assumptions for favorable items. We believe that the modeled amount provides a reasonable estimate of the possible changes in the reserve balance for our closed-block of long-term care insurance policies. However, it is reasonably possible that the variability in assumptions, in the aggregate, could result in a material impact on our reserve levels.

In addition, future policy benefits payable include amounts of \$215 million at December 31, 2013, \$220 million at December 31, 2012, and \$224 million at December 31, 2011 which are subject to 100% coinsurance agreements as more fully described in Note 18 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, and as such are offset by a related reinsurance recoverable included in other long-term assets.

## **Revenue Recognition**

We generally establish one-year commercial membership contracts with employer groups, subject to cancellation by the employer group on 30-day written notice. Our Medicare contracts with CMS renew annually. Our military services contracts with the federal government and our contracts with various state Medicaid programs generally are multi-year contracts subject to annual renewal provisions.

Our commercial contracts establish rates on a per employee basis for each month of coverage based on the type of coverage purchased (single to family coverage options). Our Medicare and Medicaid contracts also establish monthly rates per member. However, our Medicare contracts also have additional provisions as outlined in the following separate section.

Premiums revenue and administrative services only, or ASO, fees are estimated by multiplying the membership covered under the various contracts by the contractual rates. In addition, we adjust revenues for estimated changes in an employer s enrollment and individuals that ultimately may fail to pay, and for estimated rebates under the minimum benefit ratios required under the Health Care Reform Law. We estimate policyholder rebates by projecting calendar year minimum benefit ratios for the individual, small group, and large group markets, as defined by the Health Care Reform Law using a methodology prescribed by the Department of Health and Human Services, separately by state and legal entity. Beginning in 2014, Medicare Advantage plans are also subject to minimum benefit ratio requirements under the Health Care Reform Law. Estimated calendar year rebates recognized ratably during the year are revised each period to reflect current experience. Enrollment changes not yet processed or not yet reported by an employer group or the government, also known as retroactive membership adjustments, are estimated based on available data and historical trends. We routinely monitor the collectibility of specific accounts, the aging of receivables, historical retroactivity trends, estimated rebates, as well as prevailing and anticipated economic conditions, and reflect any required adjustments in the current period s revenue.

We bill and collect premium remittances from employer groups and members in our Medicare and other individual products monthly. We receive monthly premiums from the federal government and various states according to government specified payment rates and various contractual terms. Changes in revenues from CMS for our Medicare products resulting from the periodic changes in risk-adjustment scores derived from medical diagnoses for our membership are recognized when the amounts become determinable and the collectibility is reasonably assured.

#### Medicare Part D Provisions

We cover prescription drug benefits in accordance with Medicare Part D under multiple contracts with CMS. The payments we receive monthly from CMS and members, which are determined from our annual bid, represent amounts for providing prescription drug insurance coverage. We recognize premiums revenue for providing this insurance coverage ratably over the term of our annual contract. Our CMS payment is subject to risk sharing through the Medicare Part D risk corridor provisions. In addition, receipts for reinsurance and low-income cost subsidies as well as receipts for certain discounts on brand name prescription drugs in the coverage gap represent payments for prescription drug costs for which we are not at risk.

The risk corridor provisions compare costs targeted in our bids to actual prescription drug costs, limited to actual costs that would have been incurred under the standard coverage as defined by CMS. Variances exceeding certain thresholds may result in CMS making additional payments to us or require us to refund to CMS a portion of the premiums we received. As risk corridor provisions are considered in determining the rate, we estimate and recognize an adjustment to premiums revenue related to these risk corridor provisions based upon pharmacy claims experience to date as if the annual contract were to terminate at the end of the reporting period. Accordingly, this estimate provides no consideration to future pharmacy claims experience. We record a receivable or payable at the contract level and classify the amount as current or long-term in the consolidated balance sheets based on the timing of expected settlement.

The estimate of the settlement associated with risk corridor provisions requires us to consider factors that may not be certain at period end, including member eligibility and risk adjustment score differences with CMS as well as pharmacy rebates from manufacturers. These factors have an offsetting effect on changes in the risk corridor estimate. In 2013, we paid \$207 million related to our reconciliation with CMS regarding the 2012 Medicare Part D risk corridor provisions compared to our estimate of \$194 million at December 31, 2012. In 2012, we paid \$131 million and in January 2013 we paid \$158 million related to our reconciliation with CMS regarding the 2011 Medicare Part D risk corridor provisions compared to our estimate of \$380 million related to our reconciliation with CMS regarding the 2010 Medicare Part D risk corridor provisions compared to our estimate of \$345 million at December 31, 2010. The net liability associated with the 2013 risk corridor estimate, which will be settled in 2014, was \$46 million at December 31, 2013.

Reinsurance and low-income cost subsidies represent funding from CMS in connection with the Medicare Part D program for which we assume no risk. Reinsurance subsidies represent funding from CMS for its portion of prescription drug costs which exceed the member s out-of-pocket threshold, or the catastrophic coverage level. Low-income cost subsidies represent funding from CMS for all or a portion of the deductible, the coinsurance and co-payment amounts above the out-of-pocket threshold for low-income beneficiaries. Monthly prospective payments from CMS for reinsurance and low-income cost subsidies are based on assumptions submitted with our annual bid. A reconciliation and related settlement of CMS s prospective subsidies against actual prescription drug costs we paid is made after the end of the year. The Health Care Reform Law mandates consumer discounts of 50% on brand name prescription drugs for Part D plan participants in the coverage gap. These discounts are funded by CMS and pharmaceutical manufacturers while we administer the application of these funds. We account for these subsidies and discounts as a deposit in our consolidated balance sheets and as a financing activity in our consolidated statements of cash flows. We do not recognize premiums revenue or benefits expense for these subsidies or discounts. Receipt and payment activity is accumulated at the contract level and recorded in our consolidated balance sheets in other current assets or trade accounts payable and accrued expenses depending on the contract balance at the end of the reporting period. Gross financing receipts were \$4.6 billion and gross financing withdrawals were \$4.8 billion during 2013. CMS subsidy and brand name prescription drug discount activity recorded to the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2013 was \$743 million to other current assets and \$30 million to trade accounts payable and accrued expenses.

Settlement of the reinsurance and low-income cost subsidies as well as the risk corridor payment is based on a reconciliation made approximately 9 months after the close of each calendar year. Settlement with CMS for brand name prescription drug discounts is based on a reconciliation made approximately 14 to 18 months after the close of each calendar year. We continue to revise our estimates with respect to the risk corridor provisions based on subsequent period pharmacy claims data.

#### Medicare Risk-Adjustment Provisions

CMS utilizes a risk-adjustment model which apportions premiums paid to Medicare Advantage plans according to health severity. The risk-adjustment model pays more for enrollees with predictably higher costs. Under the risk-adjustment methodology, all Medicare Advantage plans must collect and submit the necessary diagnosis code information from hospital inpatient, hospital outpatient, and physician providers to CMS within prescribed deadlines. The CMS risk-adjustment model uses this diagnosis data to calculate the risk-adjusted premium payment to Medicare Advantage plans. Rates paid to Medicare Advantage plans are established under an actuarial bid model, including a process that bases our payments on a comparison of our beneficiaries risk scores, derived from medical diagnoses, to those enrolled in the government s original Medicare program. We generally rely on providers, including certain providers in our network who are our employees, to code their claim submissions with appropriate diagnoses, which we send to CMS as the basis for our payment received from CMS under the actuarial risk-adjustment model. We also rely on providers to appropriately document all medical data, including the diagnosis data submitted with claims. We estimate risk-adjustment revenues based on medical diagnoses for our membership. The risk-adjustment model is more fully described in Item 1. Business under the section titled Individual Medicare.

#### Military services

In 2013, revenues associated with our military services business represented approximately 1% of total premiums and services revenue. Military services premiums and services revenue primarily is derived from our TRICARE South Region contract with the Department of Defense, or DoD. On April 1, 2012, we began delivering services under our current TRICARE South Region contract with the DoD. Under the current contract, we provide administrative services, including offering access to our provider networks and clinical programs, claim processing, customer service, enrollment, and other services, while the federal government retains all of the risk of the cost of health benefits. Under the terms of the current TRICARE South Region contract, we do not record premiums revenue or benefits expense in our consolidated statements of income related to these health

care costs and related reimbursements. Instead, we account for revenues under the current contract net of estimated health care costs similar to an administrative services fee only agreement. The current contract includes fixed administrative services fees and incentive fees and penalties. Administrative services fees are recognized as services are performed.

Our TRICARE members are served by both in-network and out-of-network providers in accordance with the current contract. We pay health care costs related to these services to the providers and are subsequently reimbursed by the DoD for such payments. We account for the payments of the federal government s claims and the related reimbursements under deposit accounting in our consolidated balance sheets and as a financing activity under receipts (withdrawals) from contract deposits in our consolidated statements of cash flows. For the first nine months of the new contract, April 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012, health care cost payments were \$2.1 billion, exceeding reimbursements of \$2.0 billion by \$56 million. For 2013, health care cost reimbursement were \$3.2 billion, exceeding payments of \$3.2 billion by \$5 million.

Our previous TRICARE South Region contract that expired on March 31, 2012 provided a financial interest in the underlying health care cost; therefore, we reported revenues on a gross basis. We shared the risk with the federal government for the cost of health benefits incurred under our previous contract, earning more revenue or incurring additional cost based on the variance of actual health care costs from an annually negotiated target health care cost as described below. TRICARE revenues consisted generally of (1) an insurance premium for assuming underwriting risk for the cost of civilian health care services delivered to eligible beneficiaries; (2) health care services provided to beneficiaries which were in turn reimbursed by the federal government; and (3) administrative services fees related to claim processing, customer service, enrollment, and other services. We recognized the insurance premium as revenue ratably over the period coverage was provided. Health care services reimbursements were recognized as revenue in the period health services were provided. Administrative services fees were recognized as revenue in the period services were performed.

As indicated above, our previous TRICARE South Region contained provisions where we shared the risk with the federal government for the cost of health benefits. Annually, we negotiated a target health care cost amount, or target cost, with the federal government and determined an underwriting fee. Any variance from the target cost was shared. We earned more revenue or incurred additional costs based on the variance of actual health care costs versus the negotiated target cost. We received 20% for any cost underrun, subject to a ceiling that limited the underwriting profit to 10% of the target cost. We paid 20% for any cost overrun, subject to a floor that limited the underwriting loss to negative 4% of the target cost. A final settlement occurred 12 to 18 months after the end of each contract year to which it applied. We deferred the recognition of any revenues for favorable contingent underwriting fee adjustments related to cost underruns until the amount was determinable and the collectibility was reasonably assured. We estimated and recognized unfavorable contingent underwriting fee adjustments related to cost overruns underwriting fee adjustments related to cost underwriting fee adjustments related to cost overruns underwriting fee adjustments related to cost overruns underwriting fee adjustments related to cost overruns currently in operations as an increase in benefits expense.

#### Patient services

Patient services revenue associated with provider services in our Healthcare Services segment are primarily related to Concentra. Patient services revenue includes (1) workers compensation injury care and related services and (2) other healthcare services related to employer needs or statutory requirements. Patient services revenues are recognized in the period services are provided to the customer when the sales price is fixed or determinable, and are net of contractual allowances.

The provider reimbursement methods for workers compensation injury care and related services vary on a state-by-state basis. Most states have fee schedules pursuant to which all healthcare providers are uniformly reimbursed. The fee schedules are determined by each state and generally prescribe the maximum amounts that may be reimbursed for a designated procedure. In the states without fee schedules, healthcare providers are reimbursed based on usual, customary, and reasonable fees charged in the particular state in which the services are provided. We include billings for services in revenue net of allowance for estimated differences between list prices and allowable fee schedule rates or amounts allowed as usual, customary and reasonable, as applicable.

Revenue for other healthcare services is recognized on a fee-for-service basis at estimated collectible amounts at the time services are rendered. Our fees are determined in advance for each type of service performed.

#### **Investment Securities**

Investment securities totaled \$9.8 billion, or 47.3% of total assets at December 31, 2013, and \$9.8 billion, or 49% of total assets at December 31, 2012. Debt securities, detailed below, comprised this entire investment portfolio at December 31, 2013 and at December 31, 2012. The fair value of debt securities were as follows at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	December		December	•		
	31,	Percentage	31,	Percentage		
	2013	of Total	2012	of Total		
		(dollars in n	nillions)			
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government corporations and agencies:						
U.S. Treasury and agency obligations	\$ 584	6.0%	\$ 618	6.3%		
Mortgage-backed securities	1,820	18.6%	1,603	16.3%		
Tax-exempt municipal securities	2,971	30.3%	3,071	31.2%		
Mortgage-backed securities:						
Residential	22	0.2%	34	0.3%		
Commercial	673	6.9%	659	6.7%		
Asset-backed securities	63	0.6%	68	0.7%		
Corporate debt securities	3,667	37.4%	3,794	38.5%		
Total debt securities	\$ 9,800	100%	\$ 9,847	100.0%		

Approximately 95% of our debt securities were investment-grade quality, with a weighted average credit rating of AA- by S&P at December 31, 2013. Most of the debt securities that were below investment-grade were rated BB, the higher end of the below investment-grade rating scale. Our investment policy limits investments in a single issuer and requires diversification among various asset types.

Tax-exempt municipal securities included pre-refunded bonds of \$222 million at December 31, 2013 and \$311 million at December 31, 2012. These pre-refunded bonds were secured by an escrow fund consisting of U.S. government obligations sufficient to pay off all amounts outstanding at maturity. The ratings of these pre-refunded bonds generally assume the rating of the government obligations at the time the fund is established. Tax-exempt municipal securities that were not pre-refunded were diversified among general obligation bonds of U.S. states and local municipalities as well as special revenue bonds. General obligation bonds, which are backed by the taxing power and full faith of the issuer, accounted for \$1.1 billion of these municipals in the portfolio. Special revenue bonds, issued by a municipality to finance a specific public works project such as utilities, water and sewer, transportation, or education, and supported by the revenues of that project, accounted for \$1.7 billion of these municipals. Our general obligation bonds are diversified across the U.S. with no individual state exceeding 12%. In addition, certain monoline insurers guarantee the timely repayment of bond principal and interest when a bond issuer defaults and generally provide credit enhancement for bond issues related to our tax-exempt municipal securities. We have no direct exposure to these monoline insurers. We owned \$548 million and \$627 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of tax-exempt securities guarantee from the monoline insurers. The equivalent weighted average S&P credit rating of these tax-exempt securities without the guarantee from the monoline insurer was AA-.

Our direct exposure to subprime mortgage lending is limited to investment in residential mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities backed by home equity loans. The fair value of securities backed by Alt-A and subprime loans was \$1 million at December 31, 2013 and \$2 million at December 31, 2012. There are no collateralized debt obligations or structured investment vehicles in our investment portfolio.

The percentage of corporate securities associated with the financial services industry was 23% at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Gross unrealized losses and fair values aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position were as follows at December 31, 2013:

	Less than Fair Value	( Uni	onths Gross realized osses	]	2 montl Fair Talue (in mi	Gi Unre	ross ealized osses		] air alue	Unr	ross ealized osses
December 31, 2013											
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government corporations											
and agencies:											
U.S. Treasury and agency obligations	\$ 231	\$	(6)	\$	5	\$	0	\$	236	\$	(6)
Mortgage-backed securities	1,076		(47)		21		(1)	1	,097		(48)
Tax-exempt municipal securities	693		(28)		57		(5)		750		(33)
Mortgage-backed securities:											
Residential	6		0		1		0		7		0
Commercial	270		(8)		40		(1)		310		(9)
Asset-backed securities	35		(1)		0		0		35		(1)
Corporate debt securities	594		(28)		17		(2)		611		(30)
Total debt securities	\$ 2,905	\$	(118)	\$	141	\$	(9)	\$3	,046	\$	(127)

Under the other-than-temporary impairment model for debt securities held, we recognize an impairment loss in income in an amount equal to the full difference between the amortized cost basis and the fair value when we have the intent to sell the debt security or it is more likely than not we will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of our amortized cost basis. However, if we do not intend to sell the debt security, we evaluate the expected cash flows to be received as compared to amortized cost and determine if a credit loss has occurred. In the event of a credit loss, only the amount of the impairment associated with the credit loss is recognized currently in income with the remainder of the loss recognized in other comprehensive income.

When we do not intend to sell a security in an unrealized loss position, potential other-than-temporary impairment is considered using a variety of factors, including the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost; adverse conditions specifically related to the industry, geographic area or financial condition of the issuer or underlying collateral of a security; payment structure of the security; changes in credit rating of the security by the rating agencies; the volatility of the fair value changes; and changes in fair value of the security after the balance sheet date. For debt securities, we take into account expectations of relevant market and economic data. For example, with respect to mortgage and asset-backed securities, such data includes underlying loan level data and structural features such as seniority and other forms of credit enhancements. A decline in fair value is considered other-than-temporary when we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. We estimate the amount of the credit loss component of a debt security as the difference between the amortized cost and the present value of the expected cash flows of the security. The present value is determined using the best estimate of future cash flows discounted at the implicit interest rate at the date of purchase. The risks inherent in assessing the impairment of an investment include the risk that market factors may differ from our expectations, facts and circumstances factored into our assessment may change with the passage of time, or we may decide to subsequently sell the investment. The determination of whether a decline in the value of an investment is other than temporary requires us to exercise significant diligence and judgment. The discovery of new information and the passage of time can significantly change these judgments. The status of the general economic environment and significant changes in the national securities markets influence the determination of fair value and the assessment of investment impairment. There is a continuing risk that declines in fair value may occur and additional material realized losses from sales or other-than-temporary impairments may be recorded in future periods.

The recoverability of our non-agency residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities is supported by factors such as seniority, underlying collateral characteristics and credit enhancements. These residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities at December 31, 2013 primarily were composed of senior tranches having high credit support, with over 99% of the collateral consisting of prime loans. The weighted average credit rating of all commercial mortgage-backed securities was AA+ at December 31, 2013.

All issuers of securities we own that were trading at an unrealized loss at December 31, 2013 remain current on all contractual payments. After taking into account these and other factors previously described, we believe these unrealized losses primarily were caused by an increase in market interest rates and tighter liquidity conditions in the current markets than when the securities were purchased. At December 31, 2013, we did not intend to sell the securities with an unrealized loss position in accumulated other comprehensive income, and it is not likely that we will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. As a result, we believe that the securities with an unrealized loss were not other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2013. There were no material other-than-temporary impairments in 2013, 2012, or 2011.

#### Goodwill and Long-lived Assets

At December 31, 2013, goodwill and other long-lived assets represented 27% of total assets and 61% of total stockholders equity, compared to 27% and 62%, respectively, at December 31, 2012.

We are required to test at least annually for impairment at a level of reporting referred to as the reporting unit, and more frequently if adverse events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset may be impaired. A reporting unit either is our operating segments or one level below the operating segments, referred to as a component, which comprise our reportable segments. A component is considered a reporting unit if the component constitutes a business for which discrete financial information is available that is regularly reviewed by management. We are required to aggregate the components of an operating segment into one reporting unit if they have similar economic characteristics. Goodwill is assigned to the reporting unit that is expected to benefit from a specific acquisition. The carrying amount of goodwill for our reportable segments has been retrospectively adjusted to conform to the 2013 segment change discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

We use a two-step process to review goodwill for impairment. The first step is a screen for potential impairment, and the second step measures the amount of impairment, if any. Our strategy, long-range business plan, and annual planning process support our goodwill impairment tests. These tests are performed, at a minimum, annually in the fourth quarter, and are based on an evaluation of future discounted cash flows. We rely on this discounted cash flow analysis to determine fair value. However outcomes from the discounted cash flow analysis are compared to other market approach valuation methodologies for reasonableness. We use discount rates that correspond to a market-based weighted-average cost of capital and terminal growth rates that correspond to long-term growth prospects, consistent with the long-term inflation rate. Key assumptions in our cash flow projections, including changes in membership, premium yields, medical and operating cost trends, and certain government contract extensions, are consistent with those utilized in our long-range business plan and annual planning process. If these assumptions differ from actual, including the impact of the ultimate outcome of the Health Care Reform Law, the estimates underlying our goodwill impairment tests could be adversely affected. Goodwill impairment tests completed in each of the last three years did not result in an impairment loss. The fair value of our reporting units with significant goodwill exceeded carrying amounts by a substantial margin. A 100 basis point increase in the discount rate would not have a significant impact on the amount of margin for any of our reporting units with significant goodwill.

Long-lived assets consist of property and equipment and other finite-lived intangible assets. These assets are depreciated or amortized over their estimated useful life, and are subject to impairment reviews. We periodically review long-lived assets whenever adverse events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable. In assessing recoverability, we must make assumptions regarding estimated future

cash flows and other factors to determine if an impairment loss may exist, and, if so, estimate fair value. We also must estimate and make assumptions regarding the useful life we assign to our long-lived assets. If these estimates or their related assumptions change in the future, we may be required to record impairment losses or change the useful life, including accelerating depreciation or amortization for these assets. There were no material impairment losses in the last three years.

## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our earnings and financial position are exposed to financial market risk, including those resulting from changes in interest rates.

The level of our pretax earnings is subject to market risk due to changes in interest rates and the resulting impact on investment income and interest expense. Prior to 2009, under interest rate swap agreements, we exchanged the fixed interest rate under all of our senior notes for a variable interest rate based on LIBOR using interest rate swap agreements. We terminated all of our interest rate swap agreements in 2008. We may re-enter into interest rate swap agreements in the future depending on market conditions and other factors. Amounts borrowed under the revolving credit portion of our \$1.0 billion unsecured revolving credit agreement bear interest at either LIBOR plus a spread or the base rate plus a spread. There were no borrowings outstanding under our credit agreement at December 31, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

Interest rate risk also represents a market risk factor affecting our consolidated financial position due to our significant investment portfolio, consisting primarily of fixed maturity securities of investment-grade quality with a weighted average S&P credit rating of AA- at December 31, 2013. Our net unrealized position declined \$478 million from a net unrealized gain position of \$728 million at December 31, 2012 to a net unrealized gain position of \$250 million at December 31, 2013. At December 31, 2013, we had gross unrealized losses of \$127 million on our investment portfolio primarily due to an increase in market interest rates and tighter liquidity conditions in the current markets than when the securities were purchased, and as such, there were no material other-than-temporary impairments during 2013. While we believe that these impairments are temporary and we currently do not have the intent to sell such securities, given the current market conditions and the significant judgments involved, there is a continuing risk that future declines in fair value may occur and material realized losses from sales or other-than-temporary impairments may be recorded in future periods.

Duration is the time-weighted average of the present value of the bond portfolio s cash flow. Duration is indicative of the relationship between changes in fair value and changes in interest rates, providing a general indication of the sensitivity of the fair values of our fixed maturity securities to changes in interest rates. However, actual fair values may differ significantly from estimates based on duration. The average duration of our investment portfolio, including cash and cash equivalents, was approximately 4.3 years as of December 31, 2013 and 4.0 years as of December 31, 2012. Based on the duration including cash equivalents, a 1% increase in interest rates would generally decrease the fair value of our securities by approximately \$462 million.

We have also evaluated the impact on our investment income and interest expense resulting from a hypothetical change in interest rates of 100, 200, and 300 basis points over the next twelve-month period, as reflected in the following table. The evaluation was based on our investment portfolio and our outstanding indebtedness at December 31, 2013 and 2012. Our investment portfolio consists of cash, cash equivalents, and investment securities. The modeling technique used to calculate the pro forma net change in pretax earnings considered the cash flows related to fixed income investments and debt, which are subject to interest rate changes during a prospective twelve-month period. This evaluation measures parallel shifts in interest rates and may not account for certain unpredictable events that may affect interest income, including unexpected changes of cash flows into and out of the portfolio, changes in the asset allocation, including shifts between taxable and tax-exempt securities, and spread changes specific to various investment categories. In the past ten years, changes in 3 month LIBOR rates during the year have exceeded 300 basis points once, have not changed between 200 and

300 basis points, have changed between 100 and 200 basis points four times, and have changed by less than 100 basis points five times.

	Increase (decrease) in pretax earnings given an interest rate decrease of X basis points			pretax intere	ease) in given an rease of nts	
	(300)	(200)	(100) (in mil	100	200	300
As of December 31, 2013			(in mi	mons)		
Investment income (a)	\$ (24)	\$(16)	\$ (8)	\$ 26	\$ 52	\$ 79
Interest expense (b)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pretax	\$ (24)	\$ (16)	\$ (8)	\$ 26	\$ 52	\$ 79
As of December 31, 2012						
Investment income (a)	\$(16)	\$(16)	\$(10)	\$ 33	\$ 68	\$ 102
Interest expense (b)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pretax	\$ (16)	\$ (16)	\$ (10)	\$ 33	\$ 68	\$ 102

(a) As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, some of our investments had interest rates below 3% so the assumed hypothetical change in pretax earnings does not reflect the full 3% point reduction.

(b) The interest rate under our senior notes is fixed. There were no borrowings outstanding under the credit agreement at December 31, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

# ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA Humana Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	2013 (in millio	ber 31, 2012 ns, except mounts)
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,138	\$ 1,306
Investment securities	8,090	8,001
Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$118 in 2013 and \$94 in 2012:	950	733
Other current assets	2,122	1,670
Total current assets	12,300	11,710
Departy and againment not	1,218	1,098
Property and equipment, net Long-term investment securities	1,218	1,098
Goodwill	3,733	3,640
		1,685
Other long-term assets	1,774	1,085
Total assets	\$ 20,735	\$ 19,979
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Benefits payable	\$ 3,893	\$ 3,779
Trade accounts payable and accrued expenses	1,821	2,042
Book overdraft	403	324
Unearned revenues	206	230
Total current liabilities	6,323	6,375
Long-term debt	2,600	2,611
Future policy benefits payable	2,207	1,858
Other long-term liabilities	289	288
Total liabilities	11,419	11,132
Commitments and contingencies (Note 15)		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock, \$1 par; 10,000,000 shares authorized; none issued	0	0
Common stock, \$0.16 2/3 par; 300,000,000 shares authorized; 196,275,506 shares issued in 2013 and 194,470,820	0	0
shares issued in 2012	33	32
Capital in excess of par value	2,267	2,101
Retained earnings	8,942	7,881
Accumulated other comprehensive income	158	386
Treasury stock, at cost, 42,245,097 shares in 2013 and 36,138,955 shares in 2012	(2,084)	(1,553)
Total stockholders equity	9,316	8,847
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 20,735	\$ 19,979

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

#### Humana Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

		the year ended Decem	
	2013	2012	2011
P	(in m	illions, except per share	e results)
Revenues:			
Premiums	\$ 38,829	\$ 37,009	\$ 35,106
Services	2,109	1,726	1,360
Investment income	375	391	366
Total revenues	41,313	39,126	36,832
Operating expenses:			
Benefits	32,564	30,985	28,823
Operating costs	6,355	5,830	5,395
Depreciation and amortization	333	295	270
Total operating expenses	39,252	37,110	34,488
Income from operations	2,061	2,016	2,344
Interest expense	140	105	109
Income before income taxes	1,921	1,911	2,235
Provision for income taxes	690	689	816
Net income	\$ 1,231	\$ 1,222	\$ 1,419
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 7.81	\$ 7.56	\$ 8.58
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 7.73	\$ 7.47	\$ 8.46
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 1.07	\$ 1.03	\$ 0.75

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# Humana Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	For the 2013	mber 31, 2011	
Net income	\$ 1,231	(in millions) \$ 1,222	\$ 1,419
Other comprehensive (loss) income:			
Change in gross unrealized investment gains/losses	(338)	164	299
Effect of income taxes	124	(60)	(109)
Total change in unrealized investment gains/losses, net of tax	(214)	104	190
Reclassification adjustment for net realized gains included in investment income	(22)	(33)	(11)
Effect of income taxes	8	12	4
Total reclassification adjustment, net of tax	(14)	(21)	(7)
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(228)	83	183
Comprehensive income	\$ 1,003	\$ 1,305	\$ 1,602

91

#### Humana Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

	Common	stocl	ĸ					Ac	cumulated				
					pital In cess of			Corr	Other prehensive				Total
	Issued Shares	Am	ount		Par Value		etained arnings		Income (Loss)		easury Stock	Stoc	kholders Equity
				(dollar	s in mil	lion	s, share a	amoun	ts in thousan	ds)			
Balances, January 1, 2011	190,245	\$	32	\$	1,737	\$	5,529	\$	120	\$	(494)	\$	6,924
Net income							1,419						1,419
Other comprehensive income									183				183
Common stock repurchases											(541)		(541)
Dividends declared					0		(123)						(123)
Stock-based compensation					67								67
Restricted stock grants and restricted stock unit													
vesting	11		0										0
Restricted stock forfeitures	(105)		0		0								0
Stock option exercises	3,079		0		134								134
Stock option and restricted stock tax benefit					0								0
Balances, December 31, 2011	193,230		32		1,938		6,825		303		(1,035)		8,063
Net income					-,/		1,222				(1,020)		1,222
Other comprehensive income							,		83				83
Common stock repurchases											(518)		(518)
Dividends declared					0		(166)				( /		(166)
Stock-based compensation					82								82
Restricted stock grants and restricted stock unit													
vesting	15		0										0
Restricted stock forfeitures	(1)		0		0								0
Stock option exercises	1,227		0		60								60
Stock option and restricted stock tax benefit					21								21
Balances, December 31, 2012	194,471		32		2,101		7,881		386		(1,553)		8,847
Net income							1,231						1,231
Other comprehensive loss									(228)				(228)
Common stock repurchases									. ,		(531)		(531)
Dividends declared					0		(170)				, í		(170)
Stock-based compensation					92								92
Restricted stock unit vesting	563		0										0
Stock option exercises	1,242		1		66								67
Stock option and restricted stock tax benefit	÷				8								8
Balances, December 31, 2013	196,276	\$	33	\$	2,267	\$	8,942	\$	158	\$	(2,084)	\$	9,316

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# Humana Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For th 2013	e year ended Decem 2012 (in millions)	ber 31, 2011
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net income	\$ 1,231	\$ 1,222	\$ 1,419
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	426	338	303
Stock-based compensation	92	82	67
Net realized capital gains	(22)	(33)	(11)
Provision (benefit) for deferred income taxes	42	(80)	22
Provision for doubtful accounts	37	26	31
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effect of businesses acquired:			
Receivables	(251)	326	(106)
Other assets	(330)	(253)	(183)
Benefits payable	109	(41)	256
Other liabilities	313	300	194
Unearned revenues	(24)	(43)	26
Other	93	79	61
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,716	1,923	2,079
Cash flows from investing activities			
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(187)	(1,235)	(226)
Proceeds from sale of business	34	0	0
Purchases of property and equipment	(441)	(410)	(346)
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment	4	0	10
Purchases of investment securities	(3,261)	(3,221)	(3,678)
Maturities of investment securities	1,077	1,497	1,623
Proceeds from sales of investment securities	1,592	1,404	1,259
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,182)	(1,965)	(1,358)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Receipts (withdrawals) from contract deposits, net	(150)	(397)	(378)
Proceeds from issuance of senior notes, net	0	990	0
Repayment of long-term debt	0	(36)	0
Common stock repurchases	(531)	(518)	(541)
Dividends paid	(168)	(165)	(82)
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	8	22	15
Change in book overdraft	79	18	(103)
Proceeds from stock option exercises and other, net	60	57	72
Net cash used in financing activities	(702)	(29)	(1,017)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(168)	(71)	(296)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	1,306	1,377	1,673
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 1,138	\$ 1,306	\$ 1,377
Supplemental cash flow disclosures:			

# Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

Interest payments	\$ 146	\$ 110	\$ 114
Income tax payments, net	\$ 734	\$ 745	\$ 874
Details of businesses acquired in purchase transactions:			
Fair value of assets acquired, net of cash acquired	\$ 196	\$ 1,535	\$ 266
Less: Fair value of liabilities assumed	(9)	(300)	(40)
Cash paid for acquired businesses, net of cash acquired	\$ 187	\$ 1,235	\$ 226

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# 93

### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### **1. REPORTING ENTITY**

#### Nature of Operations

Headquartered in Louisville, Kentucky, Humana is a leading health care company that offers a wide range of insurance products and health and wellness services that incorporate an integrated approach to lifelong well-being. References throughout these notes to consolidated financial statements to we, us, our, Company, and Humana, mean Humana Inc. and its subsidiaries. We derived approximately 75% of our total prem and services revenue from contracts with the federal government in 2013, including 15% related to our federal government contracts with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, to provide health insurance coverage for individual Medicare Advantage members in Florida. CMS is the federal government s agency responsible for administering the Medicare program.

### Health Care Reform

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and The Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (which we collectively refer to as the Health Care Reform Law) enacted significant reforms to various aspects of the U.S. health insurance industry. While regulations and interpretive guidance on many provisions of the Health Care Reform Law have been issued to date by the Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, the Department of Labor, the Treasury Department, and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, or NAIC, there are certain provisions of the law that will require additional guidance and clarification in the form of regulations and interpretations in order to fully understand the impact of the law on our overall business.

Certain significant provisions of the Health Care Reform Law include, among others, mandated coverage requirements, mandated benefits and guarantee issuance associated with commercial medical insurance, rebates to policyholders based on minimum benefit ratios, adjustments to Medicare Advantage premiums, the establishment of federally facilitated or state-based exchanges coupled with programs designed to spread risk among insurers, an annual insurance industry premium-based assessment, and a three-year commercial reinsurance fee. Implementation dates of the Health Care Reform Law began in September 2010 and continue through 2018.

### 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Basis of Presentation**

Our financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Humana Inc. and subsidiaries that the Company controls, including variable interest entities associated with medical practices for which we are the primary beneficiary. We do not own many of our medical practices but instead enter into exclusive long-term management agreements with the affiliated Professional Associations, or P.A.s, that operate these medical practices. Based upon the provisions of these agreements, these affiliated P.A.s are variable interest entities and we are the primary beneficiary, and accordingly we consolidated the affiliated P.A.s. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. The areas involving the most significant use of estimates are the estimation of benefits payable, future policy benefits payable, the impact of risk sharing provisions related to our Medicare contracts, the valuation and related impairment recognition of investment

### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

securities, and the valuation and related impairment recognition of long-lived assets, including goodwill. These estimates are based on knowledge of current events and anticipated future events, and accordingly, actual results may ultimately differ materially from those estimates.

### **Business Segment Reclassifications**

On January 1, 2013, we reclassified certain of our businesses to correspond with internal management reporting changes and renamed our Health and Well-Being Services segment as Healthcare Services. Our Employer Group segment now includes our health and wellness businesses, including HumanaVitality and Lifesynch s employee assistance programs, which had historically been reported in our Healthcare Services segment. The Retail segment now includes our contract with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, to administer the Limited Income Newly Eligible Transition, or LI-NET, prescription drug plan program as well as our state-based contracts for Medicaid members, both of which had historically been reported in our Other Businesses category. Prior period segment financial information has been recast to conform to the 2013 presentation. See Note 16 for segment financial information.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash, time deposits, money market funds, commercial paper, other money market instruments, and certain U.S. Government securities with an original maturity of three months or less. Carrying value approximates fair value due to the short-term maturity of the investments.

#### **Investment Securities**

Investment securities, which consist entirely of debt securities, have been categorized as available for sale and, as a result, are stated at fair value. Investment securities available for current operations are classified as current assets. Investment securities available for our long-term insurance products and professional liability funding requirements, as well as restricted statutory deposits, are classified as long-term assets. For the purpose of determining gross realized gains and losses, which are included as a component of investment income in the consolidated statements of income, the cost of investment securities sold is based upon specific identification. Unrealized holding gains and losses, net of applicable deferred taxes, are included as a component of stockholders equity and comprehensive income until realized from a sale or other-than-temporary impairment.

Under the other-than-temporary impairment model for debt securities held, we recognize an impairment loss in income in an amount equal to the full difference between the amortized cost basis and the fair value when we have the intent to sell the debt security or it is more likely than not we will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of our amortized cost basis. However, if we do not intend to sell the debt security, we evaluate the expected cash flows to be received as compared to amortized cost and determine if a credit loss has occurred. In the event of a credit loss, only the amount of the impairment associated with the credit loss is recognized currently in income with the remainder of the loss recognized in other comprehensive income.

When we do not intend to sell a security in an unrealized loss position, potential other-than-temporary impairment is considered using a variety of factors, including the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost; adverse conditions specifically related to the industry, geographic area or financial condition of the issuer or underlying collateral of a security; payment structure of the security; changes in credit rating of the security by the rating agencies; the volatility of the fair value changes; and changes in fair value of the security after the balance sheet date. For debt securities, we take into account expectations of relevant market and economic data. For example, with respect to mortgage and asset-backed securities, such data includes underlying loan level data and structural features such as seniority and other forms of credit enhancements. A

#### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

decline in fair value is considered other-than-temporary when we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. We estimate the amount of the credit loss component of a debt security as the difference between the amortized cost and the present value of the expected cash flows of the security. The present value is determined using the best estimate of future cash flows discounted at the implicit interest rate at the date of purchase.

#### **Receivables and Revenue Recognition**

We generally establish one-year commercial membership contracts with employer groups, subject to cancellation by the employer group on 30-day written notice. Our Medicare contracts with CMS renew annually. Our military services contracts with the federal government and our contracts with various state Medicaid programs generally are multi-year contracts subject to annual renewal provisions.

#### Premiums Revenue

We bill and collect premium remittances from employer groups and members in our Medicare and other individual products monthly. We receive monthly premiums from the federal government and various states according to government specified payment rates and various contractual terms. Changes in revenues from CMS for our Medicare products resulting from the periodic changes in risk-adjustment scores derived from medical diagnoses for our membership are recognized when the amounts become determinable and the collectibility is reasonably assured.

Premiums revenue is estimated by multiplying the membership covered under the various contracts by the contractual rates. Premiums revenue is recognized as income in the period members are entitled to receive services, and is net of estimated uncollectible amounts, retroactive membership adjustments, and adjustments to recognize rebates under the minimum benefit ratios required under the Health Care Reform Law. We estimate policyholder rebates by projecting calendar year minimum benefit ratios for the individual, small group, and large group markets, as defined by the Health Care Reform Law using a methodology prescribed by the Department of Health and Human Services, separately by state and legal entity. Beginning in 2014, Medicare Advantage are also subject to minimum benefit ratio requirements under the Health Care Reform Law. Estimated calendar year rebates recognized ratably during the year are revised each period to reflect current experience. Retroactive membership adjustments result from enrollment changes not yet processed, or not yet reported by an employer group or the government. We routinely monitor the collectibility of specific accounts, the aging of receivables, historical retroactivity trends, estimated rebates, as well as prevailing and anticipated economic conditions, and reflect any required adjustments in current operations. Premiums received prior to the service period are recorded as unearned revenues.

#### Medicare Part D

We cover prescription drug benefits in accordance with Medicare Part D under multiple contracts with CMS. The payments we receive monthly from CMS and members, which are determined from our annual bid, represent amounts for providing prescription drug insurance coverage. We recognize premiums revenue for providing this insurance coverage ratably over the term of our annual contract. Our CMS payment is subject to risk sharing through the Medicare Part D risk corridor provisions. In addition, receipts for reinsurance and low-income cost subsidies as well as receipts for certain discounts on brand name prescription drugs in the coverage gap represent payments for prescription drug costs for which we are not at risk.

The risk corridor provisions compare costs targeted in our bids to actual prescription drug costs, limited to actual costs that would have been incurred under the standard coverage as defined by CMS. Variances exceeding

#### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

certain thresholds may result in CMS making additional payments to us or require us to refund to CMS a portion of the premiums we received. As risk corridor provisions are considered in our overall annual bid process, we estimate and recognize an adjustment to premiums revenue related to these provisions based upon pharmacy claims experience to date as if the annual contract were to terminate at the end of the reporting period. Accordingly, this estimate provides no consideration to future pharmacy claims experience. We record a receivable or payable at the contract level and classify the amount as current or long-term in the consolidated balance sheets based on the timing of expected settlement.

Reinsurance and low-income cost subsidies represent funding from CMS in connection with the Medicare Part D program for which we assume no risk. Reinsurance subsidies represent funding from CMS for its portion of prescription drug costs which exceed the member s out-of-pocket threshold, or the catastrophic coverage level. Low-income cost subsidies represent funding from CMS for all or a portion of the deductible, the coinsurance and co-payment amounts above the out-of-pocket threshold for low-income beneficiaries. Monthly prospective payments from CMS for reinsurance and low-income cost subsidies are based on assumptions submitted with our annual bid. A reconciliation and related settlement of CMS s prospective subsidies against actual prescription drug costs we paid is made after the end of the year. The Health Care Reform Law mandates consumer discounts of 50% on brand name prescription drugs for Part D plan participants in the coverage gap. These discounts are funded by CMS and pharmaceutical manufacturers while we administer the application of these funds. We account for these subsidies and discounts as a deposit in our consolidated balance sheets and as a financing activity in our consolidated statements of cash flows. We do not recognize premiums revenue or benefit expenses for these subsidies or discounts. Receipt and payment activity is accumulated at the contract level and recorded in our consolidated balance sheets in other current assets or trade accounts payable and accrued expenses depending on the contract balance at the end of the reporting period.

Settlement of the reinsurance and low-income cost subsidies as well as the risk corridor payment is based on a reconciliation made approximately 9 months after the close of each calendar year. Settlement with CMS for brand name prescription drug discounts is based on a reconciliation made approximately 14 to 18 months after the close of each calendar year. We continue to revise our estimates with respect to the risk corridor provisions based on subsequent period pharmacy claims data. See Note 6 for detail regarding amounts recorded to the consolidated balance sheets related to the risk corridor settlement and subsidies from CMS.

#### Military services

Military services premiums and services revenue primarily is derived from our TRICARE South Region contract with the Department of Defense, or DoD. On April 1, 2012, we began delivering services under the current TRICARE South Region contract with the DoD. Under the current contract, we provide administrative services, including offering access to our provider networks and clinical programs, claim processing, customer service, enrollment, and other services, while the federal government retains all of the risk of the cost of health benefits. Under the terms of the current TRICARE South Region contract, we do not record premiums revenue or benefits expense in our consolidated statements of income related to these health care costs and related reimbursements. Instead, we account for revenues under the current contract net of estimated health care costs similar to an administrative services fee only agreement.

Our TRICARE members are served by both in-network and out-of-network providers in accordance with the current contract. We pay health care costs related to these services to the providers and are subsequently reimbursed by the DoD for such payments. We account for the payments of the federal government s claims and the related reimbursements under deposit accounting in our consolidated balance sheets and as a financing activity under receipts (withdrawals) from contract deposits in our consolidated statements of cash flows. For the

#### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

first nine months of the current contract, April 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012, health care cost payments were \$2.1 billion, exceeding reimbursements of \$2.0 billion by \$56 million. For 2013, health care cost reimbursements were \$3.2 billion, exceeding payments of \$3.2 billion by \$5 million.

Our previous TRICARE South Region contract that expired on March 31, 2012 provided a financial interest in the underlying health care cost; therefore, we reported revenues on a gross basis. We shared the risk with the federal government for the cost of health benefits incurred under our previous contract, earning more revenue or incurring additional cost based on the variance of actual health care costs from an annually negotiated target health care cost. TRICARE revenues consisted generally of (1) an insurance premium for assuming underwriting risk for the cost of civilian health care services delivered to eligible beneficiaries; (2) health care services provided to beneficiaries which were in turn reimbursed by the federal government; and (3) administrative services fees related to claim processing, customer service, enrollment, and other services. We recognized the insurance premium as revenue ratably over the period coverage was provided. Health care services reimbursements were recognized as revenue in the period health services were provided. Administrative services fees were recognized as revenue in the period services were performed. We deferred the recognition of any contingent revenues for favorable variances until the end of the contract period when the amount was determinable and the collectibility was reasonably assured. We estimated and recognized contingent benefits expense for unfavorable variances currently in our results of operations.

#### Services Revenue

#### Patient services revenue

Patient services include workers compensation injury care and related services as well as other healthcare services related to employer needs or statutory requirements. Patient services revenues are recognized in the period services are provided to the customer when the sales price is fixed or determinable, and are net of contractual allowances.

#### Administrative services fees

Administrative services fees cover the processing of claims, offering access to our provider networks and clinical programs, and responding to customer service inquiries from members of self-funded groups. Revenues from providing administration services, also known as administrative services only, or ASO, are recognized in the period services are performed and are net of estimated uncollectible amounts. ASO fees are estimated by multiplying the membership covered under the various contracts by the contractual rates. Under ASO contracts, self-funded employers retain the risk of financing substantially all of the cost of health benefits. However, many ASO customers purchase stop loss insurance coverage from us to cover catastrophic claims or to limit aggregate annual costs. Accordingly, we have recorded premiums revenue and benefits expense related to these stop loss insurance contracts. We routinely monitor the collectibility of specific accounts, the aging of receivables, as well as prevailing and anticipated economic conditions, and reflect any required adjustments in current operations. ASO fees received prior to the service period are recorded as unearned revenues.

On April 1, 2012, we began delivering services under our current TRICARE South Region contract with the DoD. As discussed previously, under the current contract, we provide administrative services, including offering access to our provider networks and clinical programs, claim processing, customer service, enrollment, and other services, while the federal government retains all of the risk of the cost of health benefits. We account for revenues under the current contract net of estimated health care costs similar to an administrative services fee only agreement. The current contract includes fixed administrative services fees and incentive fees and penalties. Administrative services fees are recognized as services are performed.

### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Receivables

Receivables, including premium receivables, patient services revenue receivables, and ASO fee receivables, are shown net of allowances for estimated uncollectible accounts, retroactive membership adjustments, and contractual allowances.

#### **Policy Acquisition Costs**

Policy acquisition costs are those costs that relate directly to the successful acquisition of new and renewal insurance policies. Such costs include commissions, costs of policy issuance and underwriting, and other costs we incur to acquire new business or renew existing business. We expense policy acquisition costs related to our employer-group prepaid health services policies as incurred. These short-duration employer-group prepaid health services policies typically have a one-year term and may be cancelled upon 30 days notice by the employer group.

Life insurance, annuities, and certain health and other supplemental policies sold to individuals are accounted for as long-duration insurance products because they are expected to remain in force for an extended period beyond one year and premium received in the earlier years is intended to pay anticipated benefits to be incurred in future years. As a result, we defer policy acquisition costs, primarily consisting of commissions, and amortize them over the estimated life of the policies in proportion to premiums earned. Deferred acquisition costs are reviewed to determine if they are recoverable from future income. See Note 17.

Beginning in 2014, health policies sold to individuals that conform to the Health Care Reform Law are accounted for under a short-duration model and accordingly policy acquisition costs are expensed as incurred because premiums received in the current year are intended to pay anticipated benefits in that year.

#### Long-Lived Assets

Property and equipment is recorded at cost. Gains and losses on sales or disposals of property and equipment are included in operating costs. Certain costs related to the development or purchase of internal-use software are capitalized. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives ranging from 3 to 10 years for equipment, 3 to 7 years for computer software, and 20 to 40 years for buildings. Improvements to leased facilities are depreciated over the shorter of the remaining lease term or the anticipated life of the improvement.

We periodically review long-lived assets, including property and equipment and other intangible assets, for impairment whenever adverse events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable. Losses are recognized for a long-lived asset to be held and used in our operations when the undiscounted future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset are less than its carrying value. We recognize an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying value over the fair value of the asset. A long-lived asset held for sale is reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. Depreciation expense is not recognized on assets held for sale. Losses are recognized for a long-lived asset to be abandoned when the asset ceases to be used. In addition, we periodically review the estimated lives of all long-lived assets for reasonableness.

#### Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the unamortized excess of cost over the fair value of the net tangible and other intangible assets acquired. We are required to test at least annually for impairment at a level of reporting referred to as the reporting unit, and more frequently if adverse events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset may be impaired. A reporting unit either is our operating segments or one level below the operating segments,

### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

referred to as a component, which comprise our reportable segments. A component is considered a reporting unit if the component constitutes a business for which discrete financial information is available that is regularly reviewed by management. We aggregate the components of an operating segment into one reporting unit if they have similar economic characteristics. Goodwill is assigned to the reporting unit that is expected to benefit from a specific acquisition.

We use a two-step process to review goodwill for impairment. The first step is a screen for potential impairment, and the second step measures the amount of impairment, if any. Impairment tests are performed, at a minimum, in the fourth quarter of each year supported by our long-range business plan and annual planning process. We rely on an evaluation of future discounted cash flows to determine fair value of our reporting units. Impairment tests completed for 2013, 2012, and 2011 did not result in an impairment loss.

Other intangible assets primarily relate to acquired customer contracts/relationships and are included with other long-term assets in the consolidated balance sheets. Other intangible assets are amortized over the useful life, based upon the pattern of future cash flows attributable to the asset. This sometimes results in an accelerated method of amortization for customer contracts because the asset tends to dissipate at a more rapid rate in earlier periods. Other than customer contracts, other intangible assets generally are amortized using the straight-line method. We review other finite-lived intangible assets for impairment under our long-lived asset policy.

#### Benefits Payable and Benefits Expense Recognition

Benefits expense includes claim payments, capitation payments, pharmacy costs net of rebates, allocations of certain centralized expenses and various other costs incurred to provide health insurance coverage to members, as well as estimates of future payments to hospitals and others for medical care and other supplemental benefits provided prior to the balance sheet date. Capitation payments represent monthly contractual fees disbursed to primary care and other providers who are responsible for providing medical care to members. Pharmacy costs represent payments for members prescription drug benefits, net of rebates from drug manufacturers. Receivables for such pharmacy rebates are included in other current assets in the consolidated balance sheets. Other supplemental benefits include dental, vision, and other supplemental health and financial protection products.

We estimate the costs of our benefits expense payments using actuarial methods and assumptions based upon claim payment patterns, medical cost inflation, historical developments such as claim inventory levels and claim receipt patterns, and other relevant factors, and record benefit reserves for future payments. We continually review estimates of future payments relating to claims costs for services incurred in the current and prior periods and make necessary adjustments to our reserves.

We reassess the profitability of our contracts for providing insurance coverage to our members when current operating results or forecasts indicate probable future losses. We establish a premium deficiency liability in current operations to the extent that the sum of expected future costs, claim adjustment expenses, and maintenance costs exceeds related future premiums under contracts without consideration of investment income. For purposes of determining premium deficiencies, contracts are grouped in a manner consistent with our method of acquiring, servicing, and measuring the profitability of such contracts. Losses recognized as a premium deficiency result in a beneficial effect in subsequent periods as operating losses under these contracts are charged to the liability previously established. Because the majority of our member contracts renew annually, we do not anticipate recording a material premium deficiency liability, except when unanticipated adverse events or changes in circumstances indicate otherwise.

We believe our benefits payable are adequate to cover future claims payments required. However, such estimates are based on knowledge of current events and anticipated future events. Therefore, the actual liability could differ materially from the amounts provided.

### Humana Inc.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Future policy benefits payable

Future policy benefits payable include liabilities for long-duration insurance policies including long-term care, life insurance, annuities, and certain health and other supplemental policies sold to individuals for which some of the premium received in the earlier years is intended to pay anticipated benefits to be incurred in future years. At policy issuance, these reserves are recognized on a net level premium method based on interest rates, mortality, morbidity, and maintenance expense assumptions. Interest rates are based on our expected net investment returns on the investment portfolio supporting the reserves for these blocks of business. Mortality, a measure of expected death, and morbidity, a measure of health status, assumptions are based on published actuarial tables, modified based upon actual experience. Changes in estimates of these reserves are recognized as an adjustment to benefits expense in the period the changes occur. We perform loss recognition tests at least annually in the fourth quarter, and more frequently if adverse events or changes in circumstances indicate that the level of the liability, together with the present value of future gross premiums, may not be adequate to provide for future expected policy benefits and maintenance costs. We adjust future policy benefits payable for the additional liability that would have been recorded if investment securities backing the liability had been sold at their stated aggregate fair value and the proceeds reinvested at current yields. We include the impact of this adjustment, if any, net of applicable deferred taxes, with the change in unrealized investment gain (loss) in accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders equity. As discussed above, beginning in 2014, health policies sold to individuals that conform to the Health Care Reform Law are accounted for under a short-duration model under which policy reserves are not established because premiums received in the current year are intended to pay anticipated benefits in that year.

### **Book Overdraft**

Under our cash management system, checks issued but not yet presented to banks that would result in negative bank balances when presented are classified as a current liability in the consolidated balance sheets. Changes in book overdrafts from period to period are reported in the consolidated statement of cash flows as a financing activity.

#### Income Taxes

We recognize an asset or liability for the deferred tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax bases of assets or liabilities and their reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements. These temporary differences will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years when the reported amounts of the assets or liabilities are recovered or settled. We also recognize the future tax benefits such as net operating and capital loss carryforwards as deferred tax assets. A valuation allowance is provided against these deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Future years tax expense may be increased or decreased by adjustments to the valuation allowance or to the estimated accrual for income taxes.

We record tax benefits when it is more likely than not that the tax return position taken with respect to a particular transaction will be sustained. A liability, if recorded, is not considered resolved until the statute of limitations for the relevant taxing authority to examine and challenge the tax position has expired, or the tax position is ultimately settled through examination, negotiation, or litigation. We classify interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions in our provision for income taxes.

#### **Derivative Financial Instruments**

At times, we may use interest-rate swap agreements to manage our exposure to interest rate risk. The differential between fixed and variable rates to be paid or received is accrued and recognized over the life of the agreements as adjustments to interest expense in the consolidated statements of income. We were not party to any interest-rate swap agreements in 2013, 2012, or 2011.

### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **Stock-Based Compensation**

We generally recognize stock-based compensation expense, as determined on the date of grant at fair value, on a straight-line basis over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award (the vesting period). However, for awards granted to retirement eligible employees, the compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the requisite service period or the period from the date of grant to an employee s eligible retirement date. We estimate expected forfeitures and recognize compensation expense only for those awards which are expected to vest. We estimate the grant-date fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. In addition, we report certain tax effects of stock-based compensation as a financing activity rather than an operating activity in the consolidated statement of cash flows. Additional detail regarding our stock-based compensation plans is included in Note 12.

### Earnings Per Common Share

We compute basic earnings per common share on the basis of the weighted-average number of unrestricted common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per common share is computed on the basis of the weighted-average number of unrestricted common shares outstanding plus the dilutive effect of outstanding employee stock options and restricted shares, or units, using the treasury stock method.

#### Fair Value

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value are categorized into a fair value hierarchy based on whether the inputs to valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use. The fair value hierarchy includes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value as described below.

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt securities that are traded in an active exchange market.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments as well as debt securities whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 includes assets and liabilities whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques reflecting our own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use as well as those requiring significant management judgment.

Fair value of actively traded debt securities are based on quoted market prices. Fair value of other debt securities are based on quoted market prices of identical or similar securities or based on observable inputs like interest rates generally using a market valuation approach, or, less frequently, an income valuation approach and are generally classified as Level 2. We obtain at least one quoted price for each security from a third party pricing service. These prices are generally derived from recently reported trades for identical or similar securities, including adjustments through the reporting date based upon observable market information. When quoted prices are not available, the third party pricing service may use quoted market prices of comparable

### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

securities or discounted cash flow analyses, incorporating inputs that are currently observable in the markets for similar securities. Inputs that are often used in the valuation methodologies include benchmark yields, reported trades, credit spreads, broker quotes, default rates, and prepayment speeds. We are responsible for the determination of fair value and as such we perform analysis on the prices received from the third party pricing service to determine whether the prices are reasonable estimates of fair value. Our analysis includes a review of monthly price fluctuations as well as a quarterly comparison of the prices received from the pricing service to prices reported by our third party investment advisor. In addition, on a quarterly basis we examine the underlying inputs and assumptions for a sample of individual securities across asset classes, credit rating levels, and various durations.

Fair value of privately held debt securities, as well as auction rate securities, are estimated using a variety of valuation methodologies, including both market and income approaches, where an observable quoted market does not exist and are generally classified as Level 3. For privately-held debt securities, such methodologies include reviewing the value ascribed to the most recent financing, comparing the security with securities of publicly-traded companies in similar lines of business, and reviewing the underlying financial performance including estimating discounted cash flows. Auction rate securities are debt instruments with interest rates that reset through periodic short-term auctions. From time to time, liquidity issues in the credit markets have led to failed auctions. Given the liquidity issues, fair value could not be estimated based on observable market prices, and as such, unobservable inputs were used. For auction rate securities, valuation methodologies include consideration of the quality of the sector and issuer, underlying collateral, underlying final maturity dates, and liquidity.

#### **Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

There are no recently issued accounting standards that apply to us or that will have a material impact on our results of operations, financial condition, or cash flows.

### **3. ACQUISITIONS**

On September 6, 2013, we acquired American Eldercare Inc., or American Eldercare, the largest provider of nursing home diversion services in the state of Florida, serving frail and elderly individuals in home and community-based settings. American Eldercare complements our core capabilities and strength in serving seniors and disabled individuals with a unique focus on individualized and integrated care, and has contracts to provide Medicaid long-term support services across the entire state of Florida. The enrollment effective dates for the various regions range from August 2013 to March 2014. The allocation of the purchase price resulted in goodwill of \$76 million and other intangible assets of \$75 million. The goodwill was assigned to the Retail segment and is deductible for tax purposes. The other intangible assets, which primarily consist of customer contracts and technology, have a weighted average useful life of 9.3 years. The purchase price allocation is preliminary, subject to completion of valuation analyses, including, for example, refining assumptions used to calculate the fair value of other intangible assets.

On December 21, 2012, we acquired Metropolitan Health Networks, Inc., or Metropolitan, a Medical Services Organization, or MSO, that coordinates medical care for Medicare Advantage beneficiaries and Medicaid recipients, primarily in Florida. We acquired all of the outstanding shares of Metropolitan and repaid all outstanding debt of Metropolitan for a transaction value of \$851 million, plus transaction expenses. The total consideration of \$851 million exceeded our estimated fair value of the net tangible assets acquired by approximately \$827 million, of which we allocated \$263 million to other intangible assets and \$564 million to goodwill. A majority of the goodwill was assigned to the Healthcare Services segment and a portion to our Retail segment. The goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes. The other intangible assets, which primarily consist of customer contracts and trade names, have a weighted average useful life of 8.4 years.

### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

On October 29, 2012, we acquired a noncontrolling equity interest in MCCI Holdings, LLC, or MCCI, a privately held MSO headquartered in Miami, Florida that coordinates medical care for Medicare Advantage and Medicaid beneficiaries primarily in Florida and Texas.

The Metropolitan and MCCI transactions provide us with components of a successful integrated care delivery model that has demonstrated scalability to new markets. A substantial portion of the revenues for both Metropolitan and MCCI are derived from services provided to Humana Medicare Advantage members under capitation contracts with our health plans. In addition, Metropolitan and MCCI provide services to Medicare Advantage and Medicaid members under capitation contracts with third party health plans. Under these capitation agreements with Humana and third party health plans, Metropolitan and MCCI assume financial risk associated with these Medicare Advantage and Medicaid members.

On July 6, 2012, we acquired SeniorBridge Family Companies, Inc., or SeniorBridge, a chronic-care provider of in-home care for seniors, expanding our existing clinical and home health capabilities and strengthening our offerings for members with complex chronic-care needs. The allocation of the purchase price resulted in goodwill of \$99 million and other intangible assets of \$14 million. The goodwill was assigned to the Healthcare Services segment and is not deductible for tax purposes. The other intangible assets, which primarily consist of customer contracts, trade name, and technology, have a weighted average useful life of 5.2 years.

Effective March 31, 2012, we acquired Arcadian Management Services, Inc., or Arcadian, a Medicare Advantage health maintenance organization (HMO) serving members in 15 U.S. states, increasing Medicare membership and expanding our Medicare footprint and future growth opportunities in these areas. The allocation of the purchase price resulted in goodwill of \$44 million and other intangible assets of \$38 million. The goodwill was assigned to the Retail segment and is not deductible for tax purposes. The other intangible assets, which primarily consist of customer contracts and provider contracts, have a weighted average useful life of 9.7 years.

On December 6, 2011, we acquired Anvita, Inc., or Anvita, a San Diego-based health care analytics company. The Anvita acquisition provides scalable analytics solutions that produce clinical insights which we expect to enhance our ability to improve the quality and lower the cost of health care for our members and customers. The allocation of the purchase price resulted in goodwill of \$116 million and other intangible assets of \$60 million. The goodwill was assigned to the Retail segment and is not deductible for tax purposes. The other intangible assets, which primarily consist of technology, have a weighted average useful life of 6.5 years.

The results of operations and financial condition of American Eldercare, Metropolitan, SeniorBridge, Arcadian, and Anvita have been included in our consolidated statements of income and consolidated balance sheets from the acquisition dates. In addition, during 2013, 2012 and 2011, we acquired other health and wellness and technology related businesses which, individually or in the aggregate, have not had, or are not expected to have, a material impact on our results of operations, financial condition, or cash flows. Acquisition-related costs recognized in each of 2013, 2012, and 2011 were not material to our results of operations. The pro forma financial information assuming the acquisitions had occurred as of the beginning of the calendar year prior to the year of acquisition, as well as the revenues and earnings generated during the current year were not material for disclosure purposes.

104

# Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# 4. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Investment securities classified as current and long-term were as follows at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains (in mill		Gross ed Unrealized Losses n millions)		Fair Value
December 31, 2013						
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government corporations and agencies:						
U.S. Treasury and agency obligations	\$ 584	\$	6	\$	(6)	\$ 584
Mortgage-backed securities	1,834		34		(48)	1,820
Tax-exempt municipal securities	2,911		93		(33)	2,971
Mortgage-backed securities:						
Residential	22		0		0	22
Commercial	662		20		(9)	673
Asset-backed securities	63		1		(1)	63
Corporate debt securities	3,474		223		(30)	3,667
Total debt securities	\$ 9,550	\$	377	\$	(127)	\$ 9,800
December 31, 2012						
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government corporations and agencies:						
U.S. Treasury and agency obligations	\$ 602	\$	16	\$	0	\$ 618
Mortgage-backed securities	1,519		85		(1)	1,603
Tax-exempt municipal securities	2,890		185		(4)	3,071
Mortgage-backed securities:						
Residential	33		2		(1)	34
Commercial	615		44		0	659
Asset-backed securities	66		2		0	68
Corporate debt securities	3,394		402		(2)	3,794
Total debt securities	\$ 9,119	\$	736	\$	(8)	\$ 9,847

105

### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Gross unrealized losses and fair values aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position were as follows at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively:

	Less than 12 months			12 mor			Total			
		(	Gross		G	ross		(	Fross	
	Fair	Un	realized	Fair	Unre	alized	Fair	Unrealized		
	Value	I	losses	Value	Losses millions)		Value	I	osses	
December 31, 2013						ĺ.				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government corporations										
and agencies:										
U.S. Treasury and agency obligations	\$ 231	\$	(6)	\$ 5	\$	0	\$ 236	\$	(6)	
Mortgage-backed securities	1,076		(47)	21		(1)	1,097		(48)	
Tax-exempt municipal securities	693		(28)	57		(5)	750		(33)	
Mortgage-backed securities:										
Residential	6		0	1		0	7		0	
Commercial	270		(8)	40		(1)	310		(9)	
Asset-backed securities	35		(1)	0		0	35		(1)	
Corporate debt securities	594		(28)	17		(2)	611		(30)	
Total debt securities	\$ 2,905	\$	(118)	\$ 141	\$	(9)	\$ 3,046	\$	(127)	
D										
<u>December 31, 2012</u>										
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government corporations										
and agencies:	ф <i>с</i> с	¢	0	<b>•</b> •	Φ.	0	¢ 50	¢	0	
U.S. Treasury and agency obligations	\$ 56	\$	0	\$ 2	\$	0	\$ 58	\$	0	
Mortgage-backed securities	38		0	25		(1)	63		(1)	
Tax-exempt municipal securities	233		(3)	27		(1)	260		(4)	
Mortgage-backed securities:	0		0	4		(1)	4		(1)	
Residential	0		0	4		(1)	4		(1)	
Commercial	94		0	0		0	94		0	
Asset-backed securities	2		0	4		0	6		0	
Corporate debt securities	104		(2)	4		0	108		(2)	
Total debt securities	\$ 527	\$	(5)	\$ 66	\$	(3)	\$ 593	\$	(8)	

Approximately 95% of our debt securities were investment-grade quality, with a weighted average credit rating of AA- by S&P at December 31, 2013. Most of the debt securities that were below investment-grade were rated BB, the higher end of the below investment-grade rating scale. At December 31, 2013, 7% of our tax-exempt municipal securities were pre-refunded, generally with U.S. government and agency securities. Tax-exempt municipal securities that were not pre-refunded were diversified among general obligation bonds of U.S. states and local municipalities as well as special revenue bonds. General obligation bonds, which are backed by the taxing power and full faith of the issuer, accounted for 40% of the tax-exempt municipals that were not pre-refunded in the portfolio. Special revenue bonds, issued by a municipality to finance a specific public works project such as utilities, water and sewer, transportation, or education, and supported by the revenues of that project, accounted for the remaining 60% of these municipals. Our general obligation bonds are diversified across the United States with no individual state exceeding 12%. In addition, 18% of our tax-exempt securities were insured by bond insurers and had an equivalent weighted average S&P credit rating of AA- exclusive of the bond insurers guarantee. Our investment policy limits investments in a single issuer and requires diversification among various asset types.

106

### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The recoverability of our non-agency residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities is supported by factors such as seniority, underlying collateral characteristics and credit enhancements. These residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities at December 31, 2013 primarily were composed of senior tranches having high credit support, with over 99% of the collateral consisting of prime loans. The weighted average credit rating of all commercial mortgage-backed securities was AA+ at December 31, 2013.

The percentage of corporate securities associated with the financial services industry at December 31, 2013 and 2012 was 23%.

All issuers of securities we own that were trading at an unrealized loss at December 31, 2013 remain current on all contractual payments. After taking into account these and other factors previously described, we believe these unrealized losses primarily were caused by an increase in market interest rates and tighter liquidity conditions in the current markets than when the securities were purchased. At December 31, 2013, we did not intend to sell the securities with an unrealized loss position in accumulated other comprehensive income, and it is not likely that we will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. As a result, we believe that the securities with an unrealized loss were not other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2013.

The detail of realized gains (losses) related to investment securities and included within investment income was as follows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011:

	2013	2012 (in millions)	2011
Gross realized gains	\$ 33	\$ 38	\$ 33
Gross realized losses	(11)	(5)	(22)
Net realized capital gains	\$ 22	\$ 33	\$ 11

There were no material other-than-temporary impairments in 2013, 2012, or 2011.

The contractual maturities of debt securities available for sale at December 31, 2013, regardless of their balance sheet classification, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Amortized Cost (in mil	Fair Value lions)
Due within one year	\$ 395	\$ 398
Due after one year through five years	2,011	2,096
Due after five years through ten years	2,759	2,870
Due after ten years	1,804	1,858
Mortgage and asset-backed securities	2,581	2,578
Total debt securities	\$ 9,550	\$ 9,800

### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### **5. FAIR VALUE**

### Financial Assets

The following table summarizes our fair value measurements at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, for financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

		Fair Va Quoted Prices in	alue Measuremen	ts Using
	Fair Value	Active Markets (Level 1) (in mill	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) lions)	Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
December 31, 2013				
Cash equivalents	\$ 876	\$ 876	\$ 0	\$ 0
Debt securities:				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government corporations and agencies:				
U.S. Treasury and agency obligations	584	0	584	0
Mortgage-backed securities	1,820	0	1,820	0
Tax-exempt municipal securities	2,971	0	2,958	13
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Residential	22	0	22	0
Commercial	673	0	673	0
Asset-backed securities	63	0	62	1
Corporate debt securities	3,667	0	3,644	23
Total debt securities	9,800	0	9,763	37
Total invested assets	\$ 10,676	\$ 876	\$ 9,763	\$ 37
December 31, 2012				
Cash equivalents	\$ 1,177	\$ 1,177	\$ 0	\$ 0
Debt securities:				
U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government corporations and agencies:				
U.S. Treasury and agency obligations	618	0	618	0
Mortgage-backed securities	1,603	0	1,603	0
Tax-exempt municipal securities	3,071	0	3,058	13
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Residential	34	0	34	0
Commercial	659	0	659	0
Asset-backed securities	68	0	67	1
Corporate debt securities	3,794	0	3,770	24
Total debt securities	9,847	0	9,809	38
Total invested assets	\$ 11,024	\$ 1,177	\$ 9,809	\$ 38

# Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

There were no material transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during 2013 or 2012.

### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Our Level 3 assets had a fair value of \$37 million at December 31, 2013, or less than 0.4% of our total invested assets. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, the changes in the fair value of the assets measured using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) were comprised of the following:

				F	or the ye	ears en	ded De	cember 3	1,			
	Private Placemen	Au R	013 ction ate ırities	Total P	Private lacemen	Auc Ra ts Secu	)12 ction ate rities illions)		Private	Au F	2011 action Rate urities	Total
Beginning balance at January 1	\$ 25	\$	13	\$ 38	\$ 25	\$	16	\$ 41	\$14	\$	52	\$ 66
Total gains or losses:												
Realized in earnings	0		0	0	0		0	0	1		1	2
Unrealized in other comprehensive income	0		0	0	0		0	0	0		1	1
Purchases	0		0	0	0		0	0	17		0	17
Sales	0		0	0	0		(3)	(3)	(7)		(38)	(45)
Settlements	(1)		0	(1)	0		0	0	0		0	0
Balance at December 31	\$ 24	\$	13	\$ 37	\$ 25	\$	13	\$ 38	\$ 25	\$	16	\$ 41

#### Financial Liabilities

Our long-term debt, recorded at carrying value in our consolidated balance sheets, was \$2,600 million at December 31, 2013 and \$2,611 million at December 31, 2012. The fair value of our long-term debt was \$2,751 million at December 31, 2013 and \$2,923 million at December 31, 2012. The fair value of our long-term debt is determined based on Level 2 inputs, including quoted market prices for the same or similar debt, or if no quoted market prices are available, on the current prices estimated to be available to us for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities.

#### Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

As disclosed in Note 3, we completed our acquisitions of American Eldercare, Metropolitan, SeniorBridge, Arcadian, Anvita, and other companies during 2013, 2012, and 2011. The values of net tangible assets acquired and the resulting goodwill and other intangible assets were recorded at fair value using Level 3 inputs. The majority of the related tangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed were recorded at their carrying values as of the respective dates of acquisition, as their carrying values approximated their fair values due to their short-term nature. The fair values of goodwill and other intangible assets acquired in these acquisitions were internally estimated primarily based on the income approach. The income approach estimates fair value based on the present value of the cash flows that the assets are expected to generate in the future. We developed internal estimates for the expected cash flows and discount rates in the present value calculations. Other than assets acquired and liabilities assumed in these acquisitions, there were no assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during 2013, 2012, or 2011.

### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### 6. MEDICARE PART D

As discussed in Note 2, we cover prescription drug benefits in accordance with Medicare Part D under multiple contracts with CMS. The consolidated balance sheets include the following amounts associated with Medicare Part D as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2						
	Risk Corridor Settlement	Corridor Subsidies/ Settlement Discounts		Risk Corridor Settlement lions)		Sub	MS sidies/ counts
Other current assets	\$ 45	\$	743	\$	37	\$	635
Trade accounts payable and accrued expenses	(71)		(30)	(	393)		(77)
Net current (liability) asset	\$ (26)	\$	713	\$ (	356)	\$	558

At December 31, 2012, the net risk corridor payable balance included a payable of \$158 million related to the 2011 contract year that was paid in January 2013.

# 7. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET

Property and equipment was comprised of the following at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2013	2012
	(in mil	lions)
Land	\$ 20	\$ 20
Buildings and leasehold improvements	693	620
Equipment	639	728
Computer software	1,396	1,145
	2,748	2,513
Accumulated depreciation	(1,530)	(1,415)
-		
Property and equipment, net	\$ 1,218	\$ 1,098

Depreciation expense was \$309 million in 2013, \$263 million in 2012, and \$249 million in 2011, including amortization expense for capitalized internally developed and purchased software of \$172 million in 2013, \$151 million in 2012, and \$139 million in 2011.

### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### 8. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The carrying amount of goodwill for our reportable segments has been retrospectively adjusted to conform to the 2013 segment change discussed in Note 2, as well as refinements in our estimates regarding the allocation of purchase price associated with our Metropolitan acquisition discussed in Note 3. Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for our reportable segments for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Retail	Employe Group	Health & Well -Being Services (in millions)	Ot Busir		Total
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 762	\$ 196	\$ 1,733	\$	49	\$ 2,740
Acquisitions	172	0	679		43	894
Subsequent payments/adjustments	(3)	9	0		0	6
Balance at December 31, 2012 Acquisitions	\$ 931 76	\$ 205 0	. ,	\$	92 0	\$ 3,640 116
Dispositions	0	Ő			0	(17)
Subsequent payments/adjustments	0	C	· · ·		0	(6)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 1,007	\$ 205	\$ 2,429	\$	92	\$ 3,733

The following table presents details of our other intangible assets included in other long-term assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Weighted		2013			2012			
	Average Life	Cost		mulated rtization	Net (in	Cost millions)		mulated rtization	Net
Other intangible assets:									
Customer contracts/relationships	9.6 yrs	\$ 792	\$	310	\$482	\$ 733	\$	237	\$ 496
Trade names and technology	13.1 yrs	200		40	160	190		21	169
Provider contracts	15.0 yrs	51		23	28	51		19	32
Noncompetes and other	6.5 yrs	52		29	23	51		17	34
Total other intangible assets	10.3 yrs	\$ 1,095	\$	402	\$ 693	\$ 1,025	\$	294	\$ 731

Amortization expense for other intangible assets was approximately \$117 million in 2013, \$75 million in 2012, and \$54 million in 2011. The following table presents our estimate of amortization expense for each of the five next succeeding fiscal years:

For the years ending December 31,:

(in millions)

# Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

2014	\$ 114
2015	103
2016	95
2017	85
2018	78

### Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### 9. BENEFITS PAYABLE

Activity in benefits payable, excluding military services, was as follows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	2013	2012 (in millions)	2011
Balances at January 1	\$ 3,775	\$ 3,415	\$ 3,214
Acquisitions	5	66	29
Incurred related to:			
Current year	32,711	30,198	25,834
Prior years	(474)	(257)	(372)
Total incurred	32,237	29,941	25,462
Paid related to:			
Current year	(29,103)	(26,738)	(22,742)
Prior years	(3,021)	(2,909)	(2,548)
Total paid	(32,124)	(29,647)	(25,290)
Balances at December 31	\$ 3,893	\$ 3,775	\$ 3,415

Amounts incurred related to prior years vary from previously estimated liabilities as the claims ultimately are settled. Negative amounts reported for incurred related to prior years result from claims being ultimately settled for amounts less than originally estimated (favorable development).

Actuarial standards require the use of assumptions based on moderately adverse experience, which generally results in favorable reserve development, or reserves that are considered redundant. We experienced favorable medical claims reserve development related to prior fiscal years of \$474 million in 2013, \$257 million in 2012, and \$372 million in 2011. The favorable medical claims reserve development for 2013, 2012, and 2011 primarily reflects the consistent application of trend and completion factors estimated using an assumption of moderately adverse conditions. In addition, the favorable medical claims reserve development during 2013 reflects better than originally expected utilization across most of our major business lines and increased financial recoveries. The increase in financial recoveries primarily resulted from claim audit process enhancements as well as increased volume of claim audits and expanded audit scope. All lines of business benefitted from these improvements. Favorable development during 2011 reflects improvements in the claims processing environment and, to a lesser extent, better than originally estimated utilization. The improvements during 2011 resulted from increased audits of provider billings as well as system enhancements that improved claim recovery functionality.

Benefits expense associated with military services and provisions associated with future policy benefits excluded from the previous table was as follows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	2013	2012	2011
		(in millions)	
Military services	\$ (27)	\$ 908	\$ 3,247
Future policy benefits	354	136	114

Total

# Edgar Filing: HUMANA INC - Form 10-K

\$ 327

\$ 1,044

\$ 3,361

Military services benefit expense for 2013 reflects the beneficial effect of a favorable settlement of contract claims with the DoD partially offset by expenses associated with our contracts with the Veterans Administration.

## Humana Inc.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Military services benefits payable of \$4 million and \$339 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, primarily consisted of our estimate of incurred healthcare services provided to beneficiaries under our previous TRICARE South Region contract which were in turn reimbursed by the federal government, as more fully described in Note 2. This amount was generally offset by a corresponding receivable due from the federal government. There was no military services benefits payable at December 31, 2013 due to the transition to the current TRICARE South Region contract on April 1, 2012, which is accounted for as an administrative services only contract as more fully described in Note 2. This transition is also the primary reason for the decline in military services benefits expense from 2011 to 2013.

The higher benefits expense associated with future policy benefits payable during 2013 relates to reserve strengthening for our closed block of long-term care insurance policies acquired in connection with the 2007 KMG America Corporation, or KMG, acquisition more fully described in Note 17.

### **10. INCOME TAXES**

The provision for income taxes consisted of the following for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	2013	2012 (in millions)	2011
Current provision:			
Federal	\$ 595	\$ 708	\$732
States and Puerto Rico	53	61	62
Total current provision	648	769	794
Deferred provision (benefit)	42	(80)	22
Provision for income taxes	\$ 690	\$ 689	\$816

The provision for income taxes was different from the amount computed using the federal statutory rate for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 due to the following:

	2013	2012 (in millions)	2011
Income tax provision at federal statutory rate	\$672	\$ 669	\$ 782
States, net of federal benefit, and Puerto Rico	32	27	35
Tax exempt investment income	(26)	(26)	(25)
Nondeductible executive compensation	6	14	11
Other, net			