

CHINA FUND INC  
Form PRE 14A  
January 23, 2018

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE 14A**

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

**Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

**The China Fund, Inc.**

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):



(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Preliminary Proxy Subject to Completion

**THE CHINA FUND, INC.**

c/o State Street Bank and Trust Company

P.O. Box 5049, One Lincoln Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02206-5049

February [ ], 2018

Dear Stockholders:

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Meeting) of The China Fund, Inc. (the Fund) will be held at 12:00 P.M., Eastern Time, on Tuesday, March 27, 2018, at the offices of State Street Bank and Trust Company, One Lincoln Street, Boston, MA 02111. A Notice and Proxy Statement regarding the Meeting, proxy card for your vote, and postage prepaid envelope in which to return your proxy card are enclosed.

The matters on which you, as a stockholder of the Fund, are being asked to vote are: 1) the election of two Fund directors; and 2) if properly presented at the Meeting, the consideration of a stockholder proposal to terminate all investment advisory and management agreements between the Fund and Allianz Global Investors U.S. LLC (Allianz) (the Termination Proposal).

Emerging Markets Country Fund (EMC), a stockholder of the Fund, has notified the Board that at the Meeting it intends to propose two nominees (the EMC Nominees) for election to the Fund's Board of Directors. The Board of Directors believes EMC's Director nominations and the Termination Proposal together are part of a plan to force the Fund to cease operations and liquidate. City of London Investment Management Company Limited (City of London), which is the sponsor of the Termination Proposal, is EMC's investment adviser and has described its preference for liquidation of the Fund in an SEC filing. The Board strongly believes that a forced liquidation would be inconsistent with the best interests of the Fund and its stockholders particularly since in 2017 the Fund delivered a return to the stockholders of over 37%. The Board also believes that its Director nominees are better qualified than the EMC Nominees and will serve the interests of all the Fund's stockholders better than the EMC Nominees.

**The Board of Directors recommends that on the enclosed white proxy card you vote FOR the election of the Fund directors named on the white proxy card and AGAINST the Termination Proposal. If EMC, City of London or their affiliates present a proposal to elect the EMC Nominees at the Meeting, the Board of Directors recommends that you vote AGAINST that proposal.**

You may receive proxy solicitation materials from EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates, including an opposition proxy statement and proxy card. The Board of Directors recommends that you NOT return any proxy card furnished by or on behalf of EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates. If you have previously signed a proxy card furnished by EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates, you can revoke that proxy and vote for the Board of Directors' nominees and on any other matter to be properly considered at the Meeting by signing, dating and returning the WHITE proxy card in the enclosed postage pre-paid envelope, or by authorizing your proxy electronically via the Internet using the Internet address on the white proxy card or by telephone using the toll-free number on the white proxy card.

Respectfully,

Brian F. Link

*Secretary*

**YOU ARE STRONGLY URGED TO VOTE. YOU MAY DO SO BY TELEPHONE; BY INTERNET; OR BY COMPLETING, DATING AND SIGNING THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD, AND MAILING IT IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED FOR THAT PURPOSE. THIS SOLICITATION IS BEING MADE BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE FUND.**

---

**THE CHINA FUND, INC.**

**NOTICE OF THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

**March 27, 2018**

**To the Stockholders of**

**The China Fund, Inc.:**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Meeting ) of The China Fund, Inc. (the Fund ) will be held at the offices of State Street Bank and Trust Company, One Lincoln Street, Boston, MA 02111, on Tuesday, March 27, 2018, at 12:00 P.M., Eastern Time, for the following purposes:

1. To elect two Class I directors, to serve for a term expiring on the date on which the annual meeting of stockholders is held in 2021;
2. If properly presented at the Meeting, to consider a stockholder proposal to terminate all investment advisory and management agreements between the Fund and Allianz Global Investors U.S. LLC ( Allianz ) (the Termination Proposal ); and
3. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting or any adjournments thereof.

**The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the election of the Fund directors named on the WHITE proxy card and AGAINST the Termination Proposal.**

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on February 2, 2018 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Meeting or any adjournments thereof.

You are cordially invited to attend the Meeting. If you are a stockholder entitled to vote and you do not expect to attend the Meeting in person, you can vote by telephone or by Internet or by completing, dating and signing the enclosed form of white proxy card and returning it promptly in the envelope provided for that purpose. If you vote in that manner but then attend the Meeting, you can vote in person at the Meeting. This solicitation is being made by the Board of Directors of the Fund.

Emerging Markets Country Fund ( EMC ) has notified the Board that at the Meeting it intends to propose two nominees (the EMC Nominees ) for election to the Fund s Board of Directors. The Board of Directors believes EMC s Director nominations and the Termination Proposal together are part of a plan to force the Fund to cease operations and liquidate. City of London Investment Management Company Limited ( City of London ), which is the sponsor of the Termination Proposal, is EMC s investment adviser and has described its preference for liquidation of the Fund in an SEC filing. The Board strongly believes that a forced liquidation would be inconsistent with the best interests of the Fund and its stockholders particularly since in 2017 the Fund delivered a return to the stockholders of over 37%. The Board also believes that its Director nominees are better qualified than the EMC Nominees and will serve the interests of all the Fund s stockholders better than the EMC Nominees.

You may receive proxy solicitation materials from EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates, including an opposition proxy statement and proxy card. The Board of Directors recommends that you NOT return any proxy card furnished by or on behalf of EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates. If you have previously signed a proxy card furnished by EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates, you can revoke that proxy and vote for the Board of Directors nominees and on any other matter to be properly considered at the Meeting by signing, dating and returning the WHITE proxy card in the enclosed postage pre-paid envelope, or by authorizing your proxy electronically via the Internet using the Internet address on the white proxy card or by telephone using the toll-free number on the white proxy card.

Preliminary Proxy Subject to Completion

**YOU ARE STRONGLY URGED TO VOTE. YOU MAY DO SO BY TELEPHONE; BY INTERNET; OR BY COMPLETING, DATING AND SIGNING THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD, AND MAILING IT IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED FOR THAT PURPOSE. THIS SOLICITATION IS BEING MADE BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE FUND.**

**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE MEETING ON MARCH 27, 2018:** This Notice, Proxy Statement and Form of WHITE proxy card are available on the Internet at [            ].

By order of the Board of Directors,

Brian F. Link

Secretary

February [    ], 2018

**THE CHINA FUND, INC.**

**c/o State Street Bank and Trust Company**

**P.O. Box 5049, One Lincoln Street**

**Boston, Massachusetts 02206-5049**

**PROXY STATEMENT**

**PROXY SUMMARY**

This Proxy Statement addresses the two Proposals we expect will be considered at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Meeting) of The China Fund, Inc. (the Fund). The two Proposals are (1) a proposal to elect two directors and (2) a proposal to terminate all the Fund's investment advisory and management agreements with Allianz Global Investors U.S. LLC (Allianz) (the Termination Proposal).

The Fund's Board of Directors (the Board) has nominated Joe Rogers and Richard Shore for re-election as directors. Emerging Markets Country Fund (EMC), a stockholder of the Fund, has notified the Fund that it intends to propose two different nominees (the EMC Nominees) at the Meeting, for election to the Fund's Board. You are being asked to choose between these two sets of nominees.

City of London Investment Management Company Limited (City of London), which is EMC's investment adviser, is the sponsor of the Termination Proposal. In filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), EMC and City of London have confirmed they are acting in concert and that their two proposals are related, and form part of a plan to alter the Fund's strategic direction. In its Termination Proposal, City of London is not proposing to replace Allianz, only to remove it. As a result, if the Termination Proposal were adopted, the Fund would have no investment manager and in practical terms would be unable to manage its investment portfolio.

The Board believes EMC and City of London are seeking through their two proposals (to elect the EMC Nominees and adopt the Termination Proposal) to place the Fund in a seriously weakened position in which the Fund would have no choice but to submit to whatever further proposals EMC and City of London might then make. EMC and City of London have indicated these further proposals (to be made after the Meeting) might include liquidation of the Fund, or some type of business combination transaction involving the Fund and other investment vehicles. Presumably, EMC and City of London also could propose something else that has not yet been identified. Any business combination proposal that EMC and City of London might propose may involve conflicts of interest affecting them. For example, merging the Fund with one or more smaller funds in which City of London has substantial positions might be beneficial for City of London but not for other stockholders of the Fund.

The Board believes this approach on the part of EMC and City of London is unfair to the Fund's other stockholders and is inconsistent with the best interests of the Fund. The Board believes that if EMC and City of London have a specific proposal to make (such as liquidation, or a merger with one or more other funds), they should describe the proposal in detail and present it to the Fund's stockholders in circumstances in which the stockholders are free to vote no. If the Termination Proposal were adopted, the Fund's stockholders likely would *not* feel free to vote no on a proposal presented by City of London or EMC, because the stockholders probably would believe the alternative of having the Fund continue its operations without an investment adviser is not viable.

The Board OPPOSES this attempt by EMC and City of London to place the Fund in a vulnerable position in which EMC and City of London could be able to pressure the Fund's stockholders to approve actions the stockholders would not otherwise endorse. The Board strongly recommends that you protect your interests by voting FOR the Board's nominees for director, Joe Rogers and Richard Shore, and AGAINST the Termination Proposal. The Board believes Joe Rogers and Richard Shore will provide a safe pair of hands capable of helping protect the interests of all the Fund's stockholders, and that your rejection of the Termination Proposal will put a stop to City of London's bullying.

**Your vote is important. It will determine the future of the Fund. Please carefully consider the following points:**

**No Disclosed Plan For The Future of The Fund**

EMC and City of London have not said specifically what they would seek to have the Fund do if the Fund's stockholders were to approve the Termination Proposal and elect the EMC Nominees. In their proxy statement, City of London and EMC say only that the EMC Nominees, if elected, would consider reinstating the Fund's share buyback program, liquidating the Fund, or seeking the consolidation of the Fund with one or more other closed-end funds focused on the emerging markets.

The Board believes this lack of a clear, specific plan is especially troubling because once the Fund's relationship with Allianz ended (which would be 60 days after the vote on the Termination Proposal), the Fund would be incapable of operating. In that situation, rapid action would be needed to protect the value of the stockholders' investments in the Fund. If City of London and EMC do not know for sure what they would seek to have the Fund do after firing Allianz, that is troubling. If City of London and EMC do know and are not saying, that is worse.

**No Explanation of How the Fund Would Operate Without an Investment Adviser**

The Fund exists to own and manage an investment portfolio. The Fund has no employees and instead (like other similar funds) relies entirely on an investment adviser (presently Allianz). If the Fund is going to continue to operate it needs an investment adviser. In their proxy statement, City of London and EMC say they seek to ensure that the Fund is managed properly in the future, but give no explanation of how the Fund could be managed properly if the Termination Proposal were approved and as a result the Fund had no access to management resources. If the Termination Proposal were approved by stockholders, it is unlikely that the Fund would be able to engage another investment adviser (and obtain the required stockholder approval to do so) without City of London's support. The Board believes there is no reason to believe City of London would vote for the appointment of a replacement adviser, because if City of London wanted to replace (rather than simply fire) Allianz it presumably would have made a proposal to that effect.

If City of London and EMC intend to force a liquidation of the Fund, they should say so and should submit a liquidation proposal to the stockholders that explains the likely risks, costs and consequences. If City of London and EMC wish to force a merger of the Fund with one or more other funds, they should say so and should identify the prospective merger partners and the terms of the merger they wish to pursue. If City of London and EMC wish to force a replacement of the Fund's investment adviser, they should say so and should identify their preferred replacement. If City of London and EMC have some other plan for the Fund, they should reveal it. Without this information, stockholders cannot know what the implications would be of electing the EMC Nominees and approving the Termination Proposal.

**To protect your investment in the Fund against the uncertainty and potential loss of value that could follow election of the EMC Nominees and adoption of the Termination Proposal, you should vote FOR the Board's director nominees and AGAINST the Termination Proposal**

**The Termination Proposal is an Attempt by City of London to Punish the Board For a Proposal That Received a Majority of Votes Cast**

In an SEC filing, City of London has tied the Termination Proposal to its opposition to the Board's proposal to engage Open Door Investment Management, Ltd. (Open Door) as the Fund's investment adviser. The Open Door proposal was approved by a substantial majority of the shares voted on the proposal (nearly 60%), but the proposal narrowly missed obtaining the necessary vote for approval, because of City of London's opposition. Incongruously, City of London faults the Board for retaining Allianz as the Fund's investment adviser, after City of London prevented the Fund from replacing Allianz with a new investment adviser.

## INTRODUCTION

This Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of the Fund for use at the Meeting, to be held at the offices of State Street Bank and Trust Company, One Lincoln Street, Boston, MA 02111, on Tuesday, March 27, 2018, at 12:00 P.M., Eastern Time, and at any adjournments thereof.

This Proxy Statement and a white proxy card are being mailed to stockholders on or about February [ ], 2018. Any stockholder giving a proxy has the power to revoke it by giving a superseding proxy by phone, Internet or mail, in each case following the applicable procedure described on the white proxy card, or by submitting a notice of revocation to the Fund prior to the date of the Meeting or in person at the Meeting. All properly executed white proxy cards received in time for the Meeting will be voted as specified or, if no specification is made, will have no effect on the election of the directors and will have the effect of a vote against the Termination Proposal. If your shares are held by a broker and you do not instruct your broker how you want your shares to be voted and EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates delivers a competing set of proxy materials to brokers, your shares will not be voted on the election of directors, and that will have no effect on the election of directors, and your shares will not be voted on the Termination Proposal, and that will have the same effect as a vote against that proposal.

The presence at the Meeting, in person or by proxy, of stockholders entitled to cast a majority of the votes eligible to be cast at the Meeting will constitute a quorum. For purposes of determining the presence of a quorum for transacting business at the Meeting, shares represented by executed proxies that are returned without voting instructions will be treated as shares that are present for quorum purposes. Abstentions are included in the determination of the number of shares present at the Meeting for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

If a quorum is not present at the Meeting, or in the event that a quorum is present at the Meeting but sufficient votes to approve Proposal 1 are not received, the chairman of the Meeting or the stockholders present at the Meeting may adjourn the Meeting from time to time to a date not more than 120 days after the original record date without notice other than announcement at the Meeting. At any such adjourned Meeting at which a quorum is present, any business may be transacted which might have been transacted at the Meeting as originally notified. The Fund may set a subsequent record date and give notice of it to stockholders, in which case the meeting may be held not more than 120 days beyond the subsequent record date. The Fund may postpone or cancel the Meeting by making a public announcement of such postponement or cancellation before the Meeting. Notice of the date, time, and place to which the Meeting is postponed is required to be given not less than ten days prior to such date and otherwise in the manner set forth in the Fund's By-Laws.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on February 2, 2018 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Meeting and at any adjournments thereof. Stockholders on the record date will be entitled to one vote for each share held, with no shares having cumulative voting rights. As of the record date, the Fund had [ ] shares of common stock outstanding.

EMC has notified the Board that at the Meeting it intends to propose the EMC Nominees for election to the Fund's Board of Directors. The Board of Directors believes EMC's Director nominations and the Termination Proposal together are part of a plan to force the Fund to cease operations and liquidate. City of London, which is the sponsor of the Termination Proposal, is EMC's investment adviser and has described its preference for liquidation of the Fund in an SEC filing. The Board strongly believes that a forced liquidation would be inconsistent with the best interests of the Fund and its stockholders—particularly since in 2017 the Fund delivered a return to the stockholders of over 37%. The Board also believes that its Director nominees are better qualified than the EMC Nominees and will serve the interests of all the Fund's stockholders better than the EMC Nominees.

You may receive proxy solicitation materials from EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates, including an opposition proxy statement and proxy card. The Board of Directors recommends that you NOT return any proxy card furnished by or on behalf of EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates. If you have previously signed a proxy card furnished by EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates, you can revoke that proxy and vote for the Board of Directors' nominees and on any other matter to be properly considered at the Meeting by signing, dating and returning the WHITE proxy card in the enclosed postage pre-paid envelope, or by authorizing your proxy electronically via the Internet using the Internet address on the white proxy card or by telephone using the toll-free number on the white proxy card.

Management of the Fund knows of no items of business, other than the election of directors and the Termination Proposal, that will be presented for consideration at the Meeting. If any other matters are properly presented, it is the intention of the persons named in the enclosed white proxy card to vote in accordance with their best judgment.

**The Fund will furnish, without charge, a copy of its annual report for its fiscal year ended October 31, 2017 to any stockholder requesting the report. Requests for the annual report should be made to The China Fund, Inc., c/o Computershare Fund Services, P.O. Box 505000, Louisville, KY 40233, by accessing the Fund's website at [www.chinafundinc.com](http://www.chinafundinc.com) or by calling (866) 209-2870.**

---

## IMPORTANT INFORMATION

The proxy statement discusses important matters affecting the Fund. Please take the time to read the proxy statement, and then cast your vote. **You may obtain additional copies of the Notice of Meeting, Proxy Statement and form of WHITE proxy card by calling (866) 209-2870 or by accessing [ ].** There are multiple ways to vote. Choose the method that is most convenient for you. To vote by telephone or Internet, follow the instructions provided on the WHITE proxy card. To vote by mail simply fill out the WHITE proxy card and return it in the enclosed postage-paid reply envelope. **Please do not return your WHITE proxy card if you vote by telephone or Internet.** To vote in person, attend the Meeting and cast your vote. The Meeting will be held at the offices of State Street Bank and Trust Company, One Lincoln Street, Boston, MA 02111.

## PROPOSAL 1

### ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Fund's By-Laws provide that the Board of Directors is to be divided into three classes: Class I, Class II and Class III. The terms of office of the present directors (each a Director) in each class expire at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in the year indicated or thereafter in each case when their respective successors are elected and qualified: Class I, 2018; Class II, 2019; and Class III, 2020. At each subsequent annual election, Directors chosen to succeed those whose terms are expiring will be identified as being in the same class and will be elected for a three-year term. The effect of these staggered terms is to limit the ability of other entities or persons to acquire control of the Fund by delaying the replacement of a majority of the Board of Directors.

The term of Messrs. Joe O. Rogers and Richard Shore will expire at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. At a meeting held on December 5, 2017, the Board of Directors nominated each of Messrs. Joe O. Rogers and Richard Shore to serve as Class I Directors of the Fund until the Fund's 2021 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until each of their successors is duly elected and qualified.

The persons named in the accompanying form of white proxy card intend, in the absence of contrary instructions, to vote all proxies for the elections of Messrs. Joe O. Rogers and Richard Shore to serve for a term expiring on the date on which the Annual Meeting of Stockholders is held in 2021, or until their successors are elected and qualified. Mr. Rogers and Mr. Shore each have indicated they will serve if elected. If either of them should be unable to serve, an event not now anticipated, the proxies will be voted for such person, if any, as is designated by the Board of Directors to replace the applicable nominee. The election of a Director will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the election of directors at the Meeting. For this purpose, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the election. **If you hold your shares in the Fund through a broker and EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates delivers proxy materials to brokers soliciting proxies for the election of directors, your shares will not be voted unless you provide instructions to your broker. Also in that case, shares covered by executed proxies that are furnished by brokers without instructions on the election of directors will not be voted. To ensure your vote for the election of Mr. Rogers and Mr. Shore is counted, you must either provide voting instructions in the manner indicated on the white proxy card if you do not hold your shares through a broker or instruct your broker to vote your shares for Mr. Rogers and Mr. Shore.**

As noted in the Proxy Summary, EMC has notified the Fund that it intends to propose the EMC Nominees at the Meeting for election to the Board and, together with City of London, has filed a proxy statement seeking approval of the EMC Nominees. The Board believes that its nominees, Mr. Rogers and Mr. Shore, would better serve the interests of the Fund's stockholders. In this connection, the Board believes it important that stockholders consider the following:

#### **The EMC Nominees Serve on a Fund Board That Exhibited Many of The Same Supposed Faults Attributed by City of London and EMC to the Fund's Board**

The EMC Nominees serve as directors (and one of them as Chairman) of the Korea Fund, Inc. (the Korea Fund), an emerging market closed-end country fund similar in many respects to the Fund. In their

proxy statement, City of London and EMC fault the Board for: the overall level of fees paid to the Board, the fact the Board's members hold only modest amounts of the Fund's shares, and the Board's authorization of an additional retainer fee paid to the Chairman of the Fund's Audit Committee. But the average fees paid to members of the Board are roughly the same as the fees paid to the Korea Fund board; the share ownership levels of the Korea Fund by the EMC Nominees are comparable to those of the Board's nominees for director; and the Korea Fund pays the Chairman of its Audit Committee the same additional annual retainer as the Fund pays its Audit Committee Chairman. City of London and EMC also criticize the investment performance (overseen by Allianz as the Fund's investment adviser) for being below its benchmark, but the investment performance of the Korea Fund, whose investment adviser also happens to be Allianz, also has been below its benchmark. Finally, City of London and EMC criticize the discount below net asset value (NAV) at which the Fund's shares trade, but the Korea Fund's shares currently trade at a greater discount (and shares of closed end funds generally trade at a discount to NAV).

In view of the foregoing, there appears to be little basis to conclude that electing the EMC Nominees would address any of the criticisms leveled by City of London and EMC at the Board. The Board believes based on this record that EMC probably nominated the EMC Nominees because it believes they would be more likely to support initiatives proposed by City of London and EMC than the Board's nominees (who have demonstrated a willingness to stand up to City of London and EMC, including for example in continuing to pursue last year's Open Door nomination in the face of severe criticism from City of London). The Board believes the Fund and its stockholders will be better served by the Board's nominees for election of directors, both because of their willingness to stand up to City of London and EMC when they deem it appropriate and because the Board's nominees have experience dealing with the various issues that are specific to the Fund, and the EMC nominees do not.

### **The Open Door Proposal Was in The Best Interest of The Fund**

As noted in the Proxy Summary, City of London's Termination Proposal was prompted by the Board's seeking in 2017 to engage Open Door as the Fund's investment adviser. The Board's decision to recommend replacing Allianz with Open Door was primarily based on two factors: (1) during the ten-year period that the two principals of Open Door managed the Fund while associated with another investment adviser, the Fund achieved its best sustained investment performance relative to its benchmark since the Fund's inception, substantially outperforming the Fund's benchmark; and (2) Open Door would provide the Fund with a Greater China investment strategy that focuses more on smaller-capitalization and entrepreneurial companies, listed primarily in Shanghai, Shenzhen, Hong Kong and Taipei, than do most other investment funds investing in the Greater China markets. The Board also noted that Open Door believes that this strategy would differentiate the Fund from other closed-end funds and ETFs, with index-anchored, large-capitalization approaches to investing in Greater China. While Allianz, with the Board's encouragement, had been seeking to invest a greater portion of the Fund's assets in smaller capitalization issuers, including issuers not represented in the Fund's benchmark, the Board felt that Open Door had a better approach to achieve success with a somewhat similar strategy.

The Board was aware when it recommended replacing Allianz with Open Door that one of the principals of Open Door had been sanctioned by the SEC with regard to transactions in direct investments while the principal was the portfolio manager of the Fund and this was disclosed in the Fund's proxy statement seeking approval of Open Door. The Board gained comfort in proposing to engage Open Door from the facts that the other principal of Open Door, and not the sanctioned principal, would manage the Fund and that the Fund has no present intention to make direct investments (i.e., private equity-type investments). As importantly, the Board was advised that the Fund's Chief Compliance Officer (CCO) and Counsel had conducted a review of Open Door's compliance program and compliance capabilities, including meeting with Open Door's compliance personnel at Open Door's San Francisco office. The CCO noted for the Board that he considered Open Door's engagement of an outsourced chief compliance officer to supplement its internal compliance staff. He explained that this chief compliance officer and the compliance company he is engaged by provides independent oversight of Open Door's compliance program. He also noted that Open Door has an experienced, Mandarin speaking compliance manager that manages day-to-day compliance matters and works closely with management at Open Door as well as the chief compliance officer and that personnel of the compliance company regularly meet with the compliance manager. Lastly, the CCO noted that Open Door has engaged independent legal counsel to advise it as

necessary on any compliance related matters. Based on this review, the Fund's CCO advised the Board that Open Door's compliance program appeared to be reasonably designed to prevent violations of the Federal securities laws, with Open Door appearing to have compliance personnel capable of implementing the program effectively.

**Continued Retention of Allianz Was Also in the Best Interest of the Fund**

In their proxy statement, City of London and EMC criticize the Board's decision to continue the Fund's investment advisory and management agreements with Allianz, noting that the Fund has underperformed its benchmark, performance the Board characterized as adequate. In making this decision, the Board noted that the recent sub-par performance relative to the benchmark largely reflects Allianz's overweighting, relative to the Fund's benchmark Index (MSCI Golden Dragon), of small- and mid-capitalization stocks in the Fund's portfolio. The Board supports an investing approach that seeks to outperform its benchmark by taking positions that may not closely conform to the benchmark and believes the Fund's stockholders generally support this approach. In addition, the Board understands that the Fund's recent underperformance may be largely a matter of temporary technical factors, such as heavy flows from foreign investors concentrated on larger capitalization stocks.

City of London and EMC also criticize the Board for apparent inconsistency in approving the continuation of the investment advisory and management agreements with Allianz after proposing to replace Allianz with Open Door as the Fund's investment adviser. This criticism is disingenuous. The Board thought Open Door could do a better job, and recommended that the stockholders vote to approve that replacement. Because of City of London's opposition, that proposal failed (narrowly) to garner sufficient support even though a majority of shares voted were in favor of the recommendation. After the stockholders failed to approve the Open Door proposal by a sufficient margin, the Board had no choice but to make the best of the Fund's existing relationship with Allianz. While the Board hoped the Open Door proposal would be approved by stockholders for the reasons discussed above, the Board was also comfortable that Allianz was employing an investment strategy that the Board supported and believed would be to the Fund's benefit in the long run. Unlike City of London and EMC, the Board is unwilling to place the Fund in jeopardy by firing Allianz without a replacement being immediately available.

**INFORMATION RELATING TO PROPOSAL 1**

**Information Concerning the Nominees and Members of the Board of Directors**

The following table provides information concerning the nominees and other members of the Board of Directors of the Fund each of whom is not an interested person of the Fund, as that term is defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"), and therefore is deemed to be independent.

Name (Age) and Address of Directors or Nominees for Director	Position(s) Held with Fund	Director Since (Term Ends)	Principal Occupation(s) or Employment During Past Five Years	Number of Funds in the Complex <sup>(1)</sup> Overseen by the Director or Nominee	Other Directorships Held by Director or Nominee for Director in Publicly Held Companies
Joe O. Rogers (69)* 2477 Foxwood Drive Chapel Hill, NC 27514	Chairman of the Board and Director	1992 (2018)	President, Rogers International LLC (investment consultation) (2010-Present); Visiting Professor, Fudan University School of Management (2010-2012).	1	None.

Edgar Filing: CHINA FUND INC - Form PRE 14A

Name (Age) and Address of Directors or Nominees for Director	Position(s) Held with Fund	Director Since (Term Ends)	Principal Occupation(s) or Employment During Past Five Years	Number of Funds in the Complex <sup>(1)</sup> Overseen by the Director or Nominee	Other Directorships Held by Director or Nominee for Director in Publicly Held Companies
Richard Shore (56)* 1100 New York Avenue, NW Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20005	Director	2014  (2018)	Partner, Gilbert LLP (law firm) (2001-Present); Director, Reneo Consulting LLC (strategic consulting firm) (2014-Present).	1	None.
<b>CLASS II</b> Michael F. Holland (73) 375 Park Avenue New York, New York 10152	Director	1992  (2019)	Chairman, Holland & Company LLC. (investment adviser) (1995-Present).	1	Director, The Holland Balanced Fund, Inc., Reaves Utility Income Fund and Blackstone Funds; Co-Chairman and Trustee, State Street Master Funds and State Street Institutional Funds and Trustee, SSgA Funds.
Li Jin (47) 204 Moss Hill Rd Boston, MA 02130	Director	2013  (2019)	Professor, Oxford University (2012-Present); Chair Professor, Peking University (2012-Present); Assistant and Associate Professor, Harvard University (2001-2012).	1	None.

Name (Age) and Address of Directors or Nominees for Director	Position(s) Held with Fund	Director Since (Term Ends)	Principal Occupation(s) or Employment During Past Five Years	Number of Funds in the Complex <sup>(1)</sup> Overseen by the Director or Nominee	Other Directorships
					Held by Director or Nominee for Director in Publicly Held Companies
Gary L. French (66) 1307 61st Street NW Bradenton, FL 34209	Director	2013  (2019)	Real estate investor; Manager Member, Warners Bayou Investments I, LLC and Palma Sola Investments I, LLC (2011-Present); Senior Consultant, Regulatory Fundamentals Group (development and distribution of software and related consulting services) (2011-2017).	1	Independent Trustee, J.P. Morgan Exchange  Traded Fund Trust (2014-present).
<b>CLASS III</b> William C. Kirby (67) Harvard University CGIS South Building 1730 Cambridge Street Cambridge, MA 02138	Director	2007  (2020)	T.M Chang Professor of China Studies (2006-Present); Spangler Family Professor of Business Administration (2006-Present); Chairman, Harvard China Fund (2006-Present); Harvard University Distinguished Service Professor (2006-Present); Director, John K. Fairbank Center for Chinese Studies, Harvard University (2006-2014); Dean of the Faculty of Arts and Sciences Harvard University (2002-2006).	1	Chairman, The Taiwan Fund, Inc.  (2016-present); Director, Cabot Corporation.
Linda C. Coughlin (65) 10 Delia Drive Holderness, NH 03245	Director	2015  (2020)	Founder and CEO, Great Circle Associates, LLC (management consultation) (2008-present).	1	None.

\* Nominee

(1) The term Fund Complex means two or more registered investment companies that share the same investment adviser or principal underwriter or hold themselves out to investors as related companies for the purposes of investment and investor services.

## Leadership Structure and Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has general oversight responsibility with respect to the business and affairs of the Fund. The Board of Directors is responsible for overseeing the operations of the Fund in accordance with the provisions of the 1940 Act, other applicable laws and the Fund's Articles of Incorporation. The Board is composed of seven Independent Directors and one of the Independent Directors serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors. Generally, the Board acts by majority vote of all of the Directors, including a majority vote of the Independent Directors if required by applicable law. The Fund's day-to-day operations are managed by Allianz Global Investors U.S. LLC, the Fund's Investment Manager, and other service providers who have been approved by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors meets periodically throughout the year to oversee the Fund's activities, review contractual arrangements with service providers, oversee compliance with regulatory requirements and review performance. The Board has determined that its leadership structure is appropriate given the size of the Board of Directors, the fact that all of the Directors are not interested persons, and the nature of the Fund.

The Directors were selected to serve and continue on the Board of Directors based upon their skills, experience, judgment, analytical ability, diligence, ability to work effectively with other Directors and a commitment to the interests of stockholders and a demonstrated willingness to take an independent and questioning view of management. Each Director also has considerable familiarity with the Fund and the Administrator, and their operations, as well as the special regulatory requirements governing regulated investment companies and the special responsibilities of investment company directors as a result of his substantial prior service as a Director or officer of the Fund and, in several cases, as a director or executive of other investment companies. In addition to those qualifications, the following is a brief summary of the specific experience, qualifications or skills that led to the conclusion, as of the date of this proxy statement, that each person identified below should serve as a Director for the Fund. References to the qualifications, attributes and skills of the Directors are pursuant to requirements of the SEC, and do not constitute a holding out of the Board of Directors or any Director as having any special expertise and should not be considered to impose any greater responsibility or liability on any such person or on the Board of Directors by reason thereof than the normal responsibility and liability of an investment company board member or board. As required by rules the SEC has adopted under the 1940 Act, the Fund's Independent Directors select and nominate all candidates for Independent Director positions.

**Joe O. Rogers:** Mr. Rogers has served as a Director of the Fund since the Fund's inception in 1992. He has provided business and investment consulting services for over 30 years. Mr. Roger's experience includes service as the president, vice president or partner in business and investment consulting firms including Rogers International LLC, PHH Asia Corporation and PHH Fantus Consulting. He served as the U.S. Ambassador to the Asian Development Bank under President Ronald Reagan. Mr. Rogers also served the U.S. House of Representatives in various capacities including Executive Director and International Relations Counselor of the Republican Conference and served as the chief economist and budget advisor to Senator William Armstrong. He has lectured on economics and finance at various U.S. based and internationally based universities and served as a Visiting Professor of Finance at Fudan University in Shanghai from 2010 to 2012.

**Richard Shore:** Mr. Shore has served as a Director of the Fund since 2014. He is a founding director of Reneo Consulting LLC, a strategic consulting firm, and a founding partner of Gilbert LLP, a boutique law firm. He is a recognized authority on complex insurance and liability matters. His work involves strategic advice, litigation, alternative dispute resolution and complex, multi-party settlement negotiations. Mr. Shore is also a frequent writer and speaker on insurance coverage and dispute resolution topics.

**Michael F. Holland:** Mr. Holland has served as a Director of the Fund since the Fund's inception in 1992. He has worked in the investment management industry for over 40 years. Mr. Holland's experience includes service as the Chairman of Holland & Company LLC, which he founded in 1995, and as the chief executive officer, chairman or vice chairman of major U.S. asset management firms including Salomon Brothers Asset Management, First Boston Asset Management and Oppenheimer & Co., Inc. He is a regular guest on several financial television programs and is regularly quoted in leading financial publications. Mr. Holland also serves on the boards of other charitable and listed companies.

**Li Jin:** Mr. Li Jin has served as a Director of the Fund since 2013. He currently serves as Chair Professor of Finance at Guanghua School of Management in Peking University and Professor of Finance at Oxford University Said Business School. He also acts as an Assistant Dean to the Guanghua School of Management and the Co-Chair of the Finance Department at the school. Before he joined Oxford and Peking Universities, Mr. Li Jin taught in the finance department at the Harvard Business School from 2001 to 2012.

**Gary L. French:** Mr. French has served as a Director of the Fund since 2013. Currently, Mr. French is primarily a private investor focusing on residential real estate acquisition, refurbishment and sales as well as commercial real estate financing. He served as a Senior Consultant with Regulatory Fundamentals Group, providing development, distribution of software and related consulting services from 2011 to 2017. He served as a Senior Vice President and Business Head of Fund Administration Division at State Street Bank and Trust Company from 2002 to 2010. He brings over 30 years of investment management industry experience to the Board of Directors. Mr. French also serves on the board of another listed company.

**William C. Kirby:** Mr. Kirby has served as a Director of the Fund since 2007. He is T. M. Chang Professor of China Studies at Harvard University and Spangler Family Professor of Business Administration at Harvard Business School. Mr. Kirby is a historian of modern China, whose work examines China's business, economic and political development in an international context. He has served the academic community for over 30 years. Mr. Kirby joined Harvard University in 1992, where he currently serves various positions including Chairman of the Harvard China Fund. He has also served as the Director of the John K. Fairbank Center for Chinese Studies, Dean of the Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Chair of the Council on East Asian Studies and the Director of the National Resource Center for East Asia for Harvard University. Prior to joining Harvard University, Mr. Kirby served as the Dean of University College, Director of Asian Studies and Director of International Affairs at Washington University. Mr. Kirby has published numerous books and articles related to Chinese business and history.

**Linda C. Coughlin:** Ms. Coughlin has served as a Director of the Fund since 2015. She is the Founder and CEO of Great Circle Associates, LLC, a management consulting firm that specializes in business, organization and communication strategy and implementation and executive coaching. She has also served as chair of the boards of three families of funds and President of the Americas Mutual Funds Group at Scudder Investments, responsible for four North American based retail businesses including three families of open and closed end mutual funds, the Americas offshore mutual funds group and the firm's defined contribution business.

The Fund's Board of Directors has an Audit Committee, which is responsible for reviewing financial and accounting matters. The Fund's Audit Committee is comprised of all of the Directors, all of whom are not interested persons of the Fund (as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act), and its actions are governed by the Fund's written Audit Committee Charter. The current members of the Audit Committee are Ms. Coughlin and Messrs. French, Holland, Kirby, Li Jin, Rogers and Shore. All members of the Audit Committee are independent as independence is defined in the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards, as may be modified or supplemented. The Audit Committee was established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the 1934 Act). The Audit Committee met five times during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2017. The Audit Committee has a charter which is available on the Fund's website ([www.chinafundinc.com](http://www.chinafundinc.com)).

The Fund's Board of Directors has a Nominating and Compensation Committee, comprised of the current members of the Audit Committee, which is responsible for nominating candidates to fill any vacancies on the Board of Directors. All of the members of the Nominating and Compensation Committee are independent as independence is defined in the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards, as may be modified or supplemented and are not interested persons of the Fund. Currently, the Nominating and Compensation Committee does not have a policy to consider nominees recommended by stockholders. The Nominating and Compensation Committee believes that it is not necessary to have such a policy because the Board of Directors consists entirely of Directors who are not interested persons of the Fund (as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act). The Nominating and Compensation Committee evaluates a

candidate's qualifications for Board of Directors membership and the candidate's independence from the Fund's Investment Manager and other principal service providers. The Nominating and Compensation Committee does not have specific minimum qualifications that must be met by candidates recommended by the Nominating and Compensation Committee and there is not a specific process for identifying such candidates. In nominating candidates, the Nominating and Compensation Committee takes into consideration such factors as it deems appropriate. These factors may include judgment, skill, diversity, experience with businesses or other organizations of comparable size, the interplay of the candidate's experience with the experience of other Board of Directors members, requirements of the New York Stock Exchange and the SEC to maintain a minimum number of independent or non-interested directors, requirements of the SEC as to disclosure regarding persons with financial expertise on the Fund's audit committee and the extent to which the candidate generally would be a desirable addition to the Board of Directors and any committees of the Board of Directors. The Nominating and Compensation Committee believes the Board of Directors generally benefits from diversity of background, experience and views among its members, and considers this a factor in evaluating the composition of the Board of Directors, but has not adopted any specific policy in this regard. The Nominating and Compensation Committee met one time during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2017. The Nominating and Compensation Committee has a charter which is available on the Fund's website ([www.chinafundinc.com](http://www.chinafundinc.com)).

The Fund does not have a specified process for stockholders to send communications to the Board of Directors because stockholders are able to communicate directly with the Board of Directors at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders and the Fund's reports to stockholders disclose contact information which may be used to direct communications to the Board of Directors.

The Fund does not have a policy regarding Board of Directors member's attendance at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. However, six Directors attended the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Fund's Board of Directors held four regular meetings and five special meetings during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2017. Each Director attended at least seventy-five percent of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board of Directors and of any committee on which he or she served.

#### **Risk Oversight**

The day-to-day operations of the Fund, including the management of risk, is performed by third party service providers, such as the Fund's Investment Manager (Allianz Global Investors U.S. LLC) and Administrator (State Street Bank and Trust Company). The Directors are responsible for overseeing the Fund's service providers and thus have oversight responsibilities with respect to risk management performed by those service providers. Risk management seeks to identify and address risks, i.e., events or circumstances that could have material adverse effects on the business, operations, stockholder services, investment performance or reputation of the Fund. The Fund and its service providers employ a variety of processes, procedures and controls to identify certain of those possible events or circumstances, to lessen the probability of their occurrence and/or to mitigate the effects of such events or circumstances if they do occur.

Not all risks that may affect the Fund can be identified nor can controls be developed to eliminate or mitigate their occurrence or effects. It may not be practical or cost effective to eliminate or mitigate certain risks, the processes and controls employed to address certain risks may be limited in their effectiveness, and some risks are simply beyond the reasonable control of the Fund or the Investment Manager or other service providers. Moreover, it is necessary to bear certain risks (such as investment-related risks) to achieve the Fund's goals. As a result of the foregoing and other factors, the Fund's ability to manage risk is subject to substantial limitations.

Risk oversight forms part of the Board of Directors' general oversight of the Fund and is addressed as part of various Board of Directors and Committee activities. As part of its regular oversight of the Fund, the Board of Directors, directly or through a Committee, interacts with and reviews reports from, among others, the Investment Manager, the Fund's Chief Compliance Officer and the Fund's independent registered public accounting firm, as appropriate, regarding risks faced by the Fund. The Board of Directors is responsible for overseeing the nature, extent and quality of the services provided to the Fund

by the Investment Manager and receives information about those services at its regular meetings. In addition, on an annual basis, in connection with its consideration of whether to renew the Fund's Advisory Agreements, the Board of Directors meets with the Investment Manager to review the services provided. Among other things, the Board of Directors regularly considers the Investment Manager's adherence to the Fund's investment restrictions and compliance with various Fund policies and procedures and with applicable securities regulations. The Board of Directors has appointed a Chief Compliance Officer who oversees the implementation and testing of the Fund's compliance program and reports to the Board of Directors regarding compliance matters for the Fund and its service providers. The Board of Directors, with the assistance of the Investment Manager, reviews investment policies and risks in connection with its review of the Fund's performance. In addition, as part of the Board of Directors' oversight of the Fund's Investment Manager and other service provider agreements, the Board of Directors may periodically consider risk management aspects of their operations and the functions for which they are responsible.

**Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the 1934 Act requires the Fund's officers and Directors, and beneficial owners of more than ten percent of a registered class of the Fund's equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange. Section 30(h) of the 1940 Act extends the reporting requirements under Section 16(a) of the 1934 Act to the investment adviser or manager of the Fund and the officers and directors of such investment adviser or manager. The Fund believes that its officers and Directors and the officers of the Investment Manager have complied with all applicable filing requirements for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2017.

**Officers of the Fund**

The following table provides information concerning each of the officers of the Fund.

Name (Age) and	Principal Occupation(s) or Employment		
Address of Officers	Position(s) Held with Fund	Officer Since	During Past Five Years
Joseph Quirk (49)  Allianz Global Investors U.S.  LLC 1633 Broadway  New York, NY 10019	President	2014	Managing Director and Head of Fund Operations for Allianz Global Investors (2008-Present).
Patrick Keniston (54)  Foreside Fund Officer Services,  LLC Three Canal Plaza, Suite 100 Portland, ME 04101	Chief Compliance Officer	2011	Managing Director, Foreside Fund Officer Services, LLC (2008-Present).
Monique Labbe (44)  Foreside Fund Officer Services, LLC 10 High Street #302  Boston, MA 02210	Treasurer	2015	Fund Principal Financial Officer, Foreside Fund Officer Services, LLC (2014-present); Principal/Assistant Vice President, State Street Global Advisers (2012-2014); Director/Assistant Vice President, State Street Corporation (2005-2012).
Brian Link (45)  100 Summer Street, SUM0703  Boston, MA 02111	Secretary	2014	Vice President and Managing Counsel, State Street Bank and Trust Company (2007-Present).

**Ownership of Securities**

The following table sets forth information regarding the ownership of securities in the Fund by Directors and Nominees for Director as of December 31, 2017.

Name of Director or Nominee	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in the Fund	Aggregate Dollar Range of Equity Securities in all Funds Overseen or to be Overseen by Director or Nominee in the Fund Complex <sup>(1)</sup>
Joe O. Rogers	\$50,001 - \$100,000	\$50,001 - \$100,000
Richard Shore	None	None
Michael F. Holland	\$50,001 - \$100,000	\$50,001 - \$100,000
Li Jin	None	None
Gary L. French	\$10,001 - \$50,000	\$10,001 - \$50,000
William C. Kirby	\$10,001 - \$50,000	\$10,001 - \$50,000
Linda C. Coughlin	None	None

- (1) The term "Fund Complex" means two or more registered investment companies that share the same investment adviser or principal underwriter or hold themselves out to investors as related companies for the purposes of investment and investor services. The Fund is the only fund in the Fund Complex overseen by the Directors.

**Transactions with and Remuneration of Officers and Directors**

The aggregate remuneration for Directors was \$468,000 during the year ended October 31, 2017 and, for that period, the aggregate amount of expenses reimbursed by the Fund for Directors' attendance at directors' meetings was \$139,247. Each Director currently receives fees, paid by the Fund, of \$3,000 for each directors' meeting and committee meeting attended and an annual fee of either \$35,000 (for the Chairman of the Fund), \$30,000 (for the Chairman of the Audit Committee), or \$20,000 (for the other Directors).

The following table sets forth the aggregate compensation from the Fund paid to each Director during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2017. The Fund does not compensate the officers of the Fund.

Name of Director	Aggregate Compensation From Fund <sup>(1)</sup>	Pension or Retirement Benefits Accrued As Part of Fund Expenses	Estimated Annual Benefits Upon Retirement	Total Compensation From Fund and Fund Complex Paid To Directors <sup>(2)</sup>
Joe O. Rogers	\$80,000			\$80,000
Richard Shore	\$59,000			\$59,000
Michael F. Holland	\$62,000			\$62,000
Li Jin	\$71,000			\$71,000
Gary L. French	\$75,000			\$75,000
William C. Kirby	\$65,000			\$65,000
Linda C. Coughlin	\$56,000			\$56,000

- (1) Includes compensation paid to Directors by the Fund. The Fund's Directors did not receive any pension or retirement benefits as compensation for their service as Directors of the Fund.
- (2) There is one fund in the Fund Complex overseen by the Directors.

**Stockholder Approval**

The election of the Board's nominees (Mr. Rogers and Mr. Shore) as Directors will require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock of the Fund cast on the election of directors at the Meeting. Pursuant to the Fund's By-laws, if the EMC Nominees are not nominated any Director who is nominated by the Board of Directors for re-election at the Meeting and is not re-elected at the Meeting will be deemed to have tendered to the Board of Directors his resignation as a Director, with such resignation to take effect 30 days after the date of the Meeting unless the Board of Directors unanimously decides to reject that Director's tender of resignation, in which case the Director will

Edgar Filing: CHINA FUND INC - Form PRE 14A

continue in office until his or her death, resignation or removal or until his or her successor shall have been elected and shall have been qualified.

---

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE FUND RECOMMENDS (1) THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE PROPOSAL TO RE-ELECT JOE ROGERS AND RICHARD SHORE, AND (2) THAT YOU DO NOT VOTE FOR THE EMC NOMINEES AND DO NOT SIGN ANY PROXY CARD THAT MAY BE PROVIDED BY OR ON BEHALF OF EMC, CITY OF LONDON OR THEIR AFFILIATES.**

**PROPOSAL 2**

**APPROVAL OF PROPOSED TERMINATION OF ALL INVESTMENT ADVISORY AND MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE FUND AND ALLIANZ**

The Termination Proposal is a proposal to terminate all the investment advisory and management agreements between the Fund and Allianz ( Advisory Agreements ). The Termination Proposal calls for the removal, but not the replacement, of Allianz as the Fund's investment adviser. The Termination Proposal will be voted on only if it is properly presented at the Meeting.

City of London submitted the Termination Proposal for inclusion in this Proxy Statement on September 11, 2017. The text furnished by City of London for inclusion in this Proxy Statement is set forth later in this section. City of London has provided evidence to the Fund that it beneficially owns shares of the Fund with a market value over \$2,000.<sup>1</sup>

*Statement of the Board of Directors in Opposition to The Termination Proposal:*

The Fund's Board, all of whom are Independent Directors, has carefully considered Proposal 2 and, for the reasons set forth below, strongly opposes the termination of the Fund's Advisory Agreements with Allianz and unanimously recommends a vote AGAINST Proposal 2.

The Board bases its recommendation on the fact that the Proposed Termination would be inconsistent with the best interests of the Fund and its stockholders, the Fund's performance has been adequate and has improved over the past one-year period and that terminating the Adviser would likely lead to liquidation of the Fund and in any case would be disruptive and expensive to Fund stockholders.

The Board believes that stockholders strongly benefit from the services, experiences and resources of the current investment adviser, Allianz, and there is an important continuing service to be provided to stockholders by maintaining Allianz as the Fund's investment adviser, subject to continued 1940 Act annual reviews.

The Board believes that comparing the Fund to its benchmark index, the MSCI Golden Dragon Index (the Index ), should not be the sole judgment for the performance of the Fund. For example, over the last several years, the performance of the Fund has suffered from an overweighting of the Fund's portfolio in small and mid-capitalization stocks while the performance of the Index was being driven by the flow of investments into large capitalization stocks by exchange traded funds and other foreign investors. The Board supports an investment approach that seeks to outperform the Index by taking positions that may not closely conform to the Index. Also important to the Board in evaluating Allianz is how it has positioned the Fund to capture the growing investment opportunity represented by the domestic Chinese economy and longer term structural trends in the region. The idiosyncrasies of the Index on the other hand mean that its performance can be driven by short and medium term cyclical and liquidity flows. This may not truly reflect the underlying value or longer term investment opportunities in the region. The Board continues to have confidence in the Adviser's investment management of the Fund.

<sup>1</sup> Additional information regarding City of London's status as a stockholder, including the number of shares it owns, is available from the Fund upon oral or written request.

The Board believes that the Proponent's proposal to terminate the Advisory Agreements would have a significant adverse impact on the day-to-day operations of the Fund and could result in loss of share value for Fund stockholders. We hope that you will support Allianz's continued management of the Fund by voting AGAINST the Proposed Termination.

*Termination Would Likely Lead to Liquidation of the Fund*

It is clear from the Proponent's correspondence with the Fund that the purpose of the Proponent's proposal is to force liquidation of the Fund. If Proposal 2 is approved the Fund would be required to provide 60 days' notice of termination to the Adviser. The Board would then need to put in place new investment advisory agreements with the Adviser or another investment adviser. Under the 1940 Act, any new advisory agreements could continue in effect for only 150 days without stockholder approval. Any such approval would require a favorable vote by a majority of the Fund's outstanding voting securities (as defined in the 1940 Act). For these purposes, as required by the 1940 Act, the vote of a majority of the Fund's outstanding voting securities means the affirmative vote of (a) 67% or more of the shares of the Fund's common stock present at a meeting, if the holders of more than 50% of the outstanding shares are present or represented by proxy or (b) more than 50% of the outstanding shares of the Fund, whichever is less. The Board believes it highly unlikely that such a vote could be obtained, particularly in the face of opposition by the Proponent and other stockholders that support the Proponent's proposal.

*Other Impacts of Proposed Termination*

The termination of the Advisory Agreements could lead to the loss of access to all administrative personnel and services currently provided by the Adviser, which would cause substantial disruption to the Fund's business and operations. The Adviser and its affiliates currently provide the Fund with certain administrative services and officers and other personnel that are necessary for the operations of the Fund.

The Board believes that it would be very difficult and time-consuming to replace the Adviser and the services it currently provides for the Fund with an investment adviser of similar quality that would provide such services at a comparable price. Moreover, the Fund could be subject to additional costs of a transition to a new investment adviser, such as trading costs associated with adjusting the Fund's portfolio. In any event, there is no assurance that future investment performance would be comparable to or better than the returns achieved under the existing Advisory Agreements.

For these reasons, the Board believes that the termination of the Advisory Agreements would cause significant distraction to the management of the Fund, is likely to disrupt the business and operations of the Fund and could be detrimental to the value of the Fund's shares. If the Advisory Agreements with the Adviser are terminated, the Board intends to carefully evaluate the situation at the time and take all appropriate actions to act in the best interests of the Fund and its stockholders under the circumstances. If the Fund is unable to secure the services of a suitable investment adviser or cannot obtain stockholder approval of new investment advisory agreements, it may become in the best interests of the Fund's stockholders to liquidate the Fund. Under Maryland law, liquidation of the Fund requires stockholder approval by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast. If it becomes in the best interests of the Fund's stockholders to liquidate the Fund, there could be a protracted period of time before the Fund obtains either the requisite affirmative stockholder vote to liquidate or a resolution as to the appropriate outcome under state law in the Maryland courts.

\* \* \*

After taking the foregoing considerations into account, the Board of Directors, including all of the Independent Directors, believes that the Termination Proposal is contrary to the best interests of the Fund and its stockholders. In addition, when considering the joint arrangement between City of London and EMC to propose the EMC Nominees it is clear to the Board of Directors that the Proponent only has its self-interests in mind and not those of long term stockholders.

The text provided by City of London for inclusion in this Proxy Statement is as follows:

*The matter proposed by City of London to be brought before the Meeting:*

**RESOLVED:** All investment advisory and management agreements between The China Fund, Inc. ( the Fund ) and Allianz Global Investors U.S. LLC ( Allianz ) shall be terminated by the Fund, pursuant to the right of stockholders as embodied in Section 15(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 and as required to be included in such agreements, at the earliest date the Fund is legally permitted to do so.

*The Proponent s statement in support of the matter:*

The investment results achieved by Allianz, the Fund s investment manager, did not keep pace with the benchmark index over an extended period. As such, Allianz, in its capacity as Manager, delivered unsatisfactory net asset value ( NAV ) investment performance. Therefore, all investment advisory and management agreements between the Fund and Allianz should be terminated by the Fund.

As outlined in the Fund s Semi-Annual Report to Stockholders April 30, 2017 , the Board considered the renewal of the investment management and portfolio management agreements. The Manager s affiliate assumed its responsibilities effective April 6, 2012, and thus had crossed the five-year threshold at the date of the Semi-Annual Report.

According to the section of the Semi-Annual Report entitled Board Deliberations Regarding Approval of Investment Advisory Agreements, the Board determined that the Fund underperformed the MSCI Golden Dragon Index for the one-, three- and five-year periods ended December 31, 2016 and outperformed the Index for the 10-year period ended December 31, 2016. The section went on to state that The Directors concluded that, despite this general underperformance when compared to the Fund s benchmark, the performance of the Fund was adequate.

The facts are that the NAV performance delivered by Allianz for the rolling periods ending June 30, 2017, according to the Fund s own published investment results, lagged the benchmark index by 4.79% for the 1-year period and 2.62% annualized for the 3-year period, equating to a cumulative underperformance of approximately 7.5%. This is weak investment performance.

The Board deemed Allianz s performance to be adequate on March 28, 2017, but later that same day the Board resolved to select Open Door Investment Management Ltd. as successor to Allianz. Clearly, the Board s actions and its words on that day stand in opposition to each other.

For the reasons outlined above, we urge stockholders to exercise their right pursuant to Section 15(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act to vote to terminate all investment advisory and management agreements with Allianz Global Investors U.S. LLC.

#### **Stockholder Approval**

Approval of the Termination Proposal would require the affirmative vote of a majority of the Fund s outstanding shares of common stock. As defined in the 1940 Act, a majority of the outstanding shares means the lesser of 67% of the voting securities present at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders, if a quorum is present, or 50% of the outstanding securities. For this purpose, abstentions and broker non-votes will have the effect of a vote against the Proposed Termination.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE FUND UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE AGAINST THE TERMINATION PROPOSAL.**

**MANNER OF VOTING PROXIES**

Votes cast by proxy or in person at the Meeting will be tabulated by the inspectors of election appointed for the Meeting. The inspectors of election will determine whether or not a quorum is present at the Meeting. The inspectors of election will treat withheld votes and broker non-votes, if any, as present for purposes of determining a quorum. Broker non-votes occur when shares are held by brokers or nominees, typically in street name, for which proxies have been returned but (a) voting instructions have not been received from the beneficial owners or persons entitled to vote, (b) the broker or nominee does not have discretionary voting power or elects not to exercise discretion on a particular matter and (c) the shares are present at the Meeting.

**Voting by direct holders of Fund shares.**

If you hold your shares directly (not through a broker-dealer, bank or other financial institution) and if you return a signed and dated white proxy card that does not specify how you wish to vote on a proposal, your shares will be voted **FOR** the election of Fund Directors in Proposal 1 and **AGAINST** the Proposed Termination in Proposal 2.

**Voting of shares held through brokers.**

Before the Meeting, broker-dealer firms holding Fund shares in street name for the benefit of their customers and clients will request instructions from those customers and clients on how to vote their beneficially-owned shares at the Meeting. The Fund understands that, under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, such broker-dealer firms may for certain routine matters, without instructions from their customers and clients, grant discretionary authority to the proxies designated by the Board of Directors to vote if no instructions have been received prior to the date specified in the broker-dealer firm's request for voting instructions. **If EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates delivers a competing set of proxy materials to brokers, the New York Stock Exchange rules governing brokers' discretionary authority will not permit such brokers to exercise discretionary authority regarding any of the proposals to be voted at the Meeting, whether routine or not.** In that situation, if you hold your shares through a broker, **to ensure your vote for the election of Mr. Rogers and Mr. Shore is counted, you must provide voting instructions to your broker.**

Brokers that do not receive competing sets of proxy materials from EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates will be able to exercise discretionary authority regarding routine matters that is, the election of two Class I directors to serve for a term expiring on the date on which the annual meeting of stockholders is held in 2021 or until each of their successors is duly elected and qualified (**Proposal 1**).

The proposal to elect directors will be treated as a routine matter only if none of EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates delivers a competing set of proxy materials to brokers; and in that event only, beneficial owners who do not provide proxy instructions to their broker may have their shares voted by broker-dealer firms on the proposal to elect directors. The Termination Proposal is a non-routine matter and accordingly shares of beneficial owners who do not provide proxy instructions to their broker will NOT be voted on the Termination Proposal. If none of EMC, City of London or any of their affiliates delivers a competing set of proxy materials to brokers, a properly executed proxy card or other authorization by a beneficial owner of Fund shares that does not specify how the beneficial owner's shares should be voted on Proposal 1 or Proposal 2 may be deemed an instruction to vote such shares in favor of Proposal 1 and against Proposal 2.

**Voting of shares held through other financial institutions.**

If you hold shares of the Fund through a bank or other financial institution or intermediary (called a service agent), the service agent may be the record holder of your shares. At the Meeting, a service agent will vote shares for which it receives instructions from its customers in accordance with those instructions. A properly executed proxy card or other authorization by a stockholder that does not specify how the

stockholder's shares should be voted on a proposal may be deemed to authorize a service provider to vote such shares in favor of Proposal 1 and against Proposal 2. Depending on its policies, applicable law or contractual or other restrictions, a service agent may be permitted to vote shares with respect to which it has not received specific voting instructions from its customers.

In those cases, the service agent may, but is not required to, vote such shares in the same proportion as those shares for which the service agent has received voting instructions. This practice is commonly referred to as "echo voting." If you beneficially own shares that are held in "street name" through a broker-dealer or that are held of record by a service agent, and if you do not give specific voting instructions for your shares, they may not be voted at all or, as described above, they may be voted in a manner that you may not intend. Therefore, you are strongly encouraged to give your broker-dealer or service agent specific instructions as to how you want your shares to be voted.

## GENERAL INFORMATION

### Investment Adviser

Allianz Global Investors U.S. LLC acts as the Adviser to the Fund pursuant to an Investment Advisory and Management Agreement and a Direct Investment Management Agreement, each between the Adviser and the Fund. The principal business address of the Adviser is 1633 Broadway, 43<sup>rd</sup> Floor, New York, New York 10019.

### Fund Administration

State Street Bank and Trust Company acts as Administrator to the Fund pursuant to an Administration Agreement between the Administrator and the Fund. The principal business address of the Administrator is State Street Financial Center, One Lincoln Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02111.

### Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Tait, Weller & Baker LLP ( "Tait Weller" ) served as the Fund's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal years ended October 31, 2016 and October 31, 2017, auditing and reporting on the annual financial statements of the Fund and reviewing certain regulatory reports and the Fund's federal income tax returns. Tait Weller also performed other professional audit and certain allowable non-audit services, including tax services, when the Fund engaged it to do so. Representatives of Tait Weller are not expected to be available at the Meeting.

The engagement of Tait Weller as the Fund's independent registered public accounting firm was approved by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors and ratified by the full Board of Directors.

Audit Fees. The aggregate fees billed by Tait Weller in connection with the annual audit of the Fund for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2016 and October 31, 2017 were \$79,500 and \$79,500, respectively.

Audit-Related Fees. For the fiscal years ended October 31, 2016 and October 31, 2017, Tait Weller did not bill the Fund any fees for assurances and related services that were reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Fund's financial statements and were not reported under the section Audit Fees above.

Tax Fees. The aggregate fees billed by Tait Weller for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning (consisting of a review of the Fund's income tax returns and tax distribution requirements) for the fiscal years ended October 31, 2016 and October 31, 2017 were \$13,000 and \$13,000, respectively.

All Other Fees. For the fiscal years ended October 31, 2016 and October 31, 2017, Tait Weller did not bill the Fund any fees for products and services other than those disclosed above.

**Audit Committee Pre-approval.** The Audit Committee's policy is to pre-approve all auditing and non-auditing services to be provided to the Fund by the Fund's independent registered public accounting firm. All of the audit and the tax services described above for which Tait Weller billed the Fund fees for the fiscal years ended October 31, 2016 and October 31, 2017 were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

**Non-Audit Fees.** For the fiscal years ended October 31, 2016 and October 31, 2017, Tait Weller did not provide any non-audit services to Allianz Global Investors U.S. LLC, the Fund's Investment Manager, or any other entity in the Fund's Investment Company Complex.

**Audit Committee Report**

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the Fund's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2017 with management of the Fund and with Tait Weller, and has discussed with Tait Weller the matters required to be discussed by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ( PCAOB ) Standard No. 16 (Communication with Audit Committees), as may be modified or supplemented. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter on auditor independence from Tait Weller required by PCAOB Rule 3526 (Communications with Audit Committees Concerning Independence), as may be modified or supplemented, and has discussed with Tait Weller its independence. Based on the Audit Committee review and discussions referred to in the two preceding sentences, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements of the Fund for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2017 be included in its annual report to stockholders and the Fund's annual report filed with the SEC.

Linda C. Coughlin    Audit Committee Member

Gary L. French    Audit Committee Chairman

Michael F. Holland    Audit Committee Member

Li Jin    Audit Committee Member

William C. Kirby    Audit Committee Member

Joe O. Rogers    Audit Committee Member

Richard Shore    Audit Committee Member

**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS**

Set forth below is information with respect to persons who are registered as beneficial owners of more than 5% of the Fund's outstanding shares as of February 2, 2018.

Title Of Class	Name and Address	Shares	Percent of Class
Common Stock	CEDE & CO	[       ]	[       ]%
	Bowling Green STN		
	P. O. Box 20		
	New York, NY 10274-0020		

The shares held by Cede & Co. include the accounts set forth below. This information is based on publicly available information such as Schedule 13D, 13G and Form 4 disclosures filed with the SEC or other similar regulatory filings from foreign jurisdictions.

Title Of Class	Name and Address	Shares	Percent of Class
Common Stock		[       ]	[       ]%

Edgar Filing: CHINA FUND INC - Form PRE 14A

City of London Investment  
Management Co. Ltd.

77 Gracechurch Street

London EC3V 0AS

England  
Lazard Asset Management

[ ]

[ ]%

Common Stock

30 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, NY 10112

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Proxies will be solicited by mail and may be solicited in person or by telephone or facsimile or other electronic means, by officers of the Fund or personnel of the Administrator. The Fund has retained D.F. King & Co. to assist in the proxy solicitation. The total cost of proxy solicitation services, including legal and printing fees, is estimated at \$65,000, plus out-of-pocket expenses. The expenses connected with the solicitation of proxies including proxies solicited by the Fund's officers or agents in person, by telephone or by facsimile or other electronic means will be borne by the Fund. The Fund will reimburse banks, brokers, and other persons holding the Fund's shares registered in their names or in the names of their nominees for their expenses incurred in sending proxy materials to and obtaining proxies from the beneficial owners of such shares.

In the event that sufficient votes in favor of the proposals set forth in the Notice of this Meeting are not received by March 27, 2018, the persons named as attorneys in the enclosed proxy may propose one or more adjournments of the Meeting to permit further solicitation of proxies. Any such adjournment will require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present in person or by proxy at the session of the Meeting to be adjourned. The persons named as proxies in the enclosed proxy will vote in favor of such adjournment those proxies which they are entitled to vote in favor of the proposal for which further solicitation of proxies is to be made. They will vote against any such adjournment those proxies required to be voted against such proposal. The costs of any such additional solicitation and of any adjourned session will be borne by the Fund.

**PARTICIPANT INFORMATION**

**Information Regarding Participants in the Solicitation**

Under applicable SEC rules and regulations, members of the Board and the Fund's President are participants with respect to the Fund's solicitation of proxies in connection with the Meeting. The following sets forth certain information about the persons who are participants.

**Directors and Officers**

The names, addresses and principal occupations of the Fund's Directors and President are set forth under Information Relating to Proposal 1 above in this proxy statement.

**Information Regarding Ownership of the Fund's Securities by Participants**

The number of Fund securities beneficially owned by the Fund's Directors and President as of December 31, 2017 is set forth below.

<b>Name of Director or Nominee</b>	<b>Number of Shares owned</b>
Joe O. Rogers	3,370
Richard Shore	None
Michael F. Holland	4,225
Li Jin	None
Gary L. French	2,500
William C. Kirby	1,538
Linda C. Coughlin	None
Joseph Quirk	None

---

**Information Regarding Transactions of the Fund's Securities by Participants**

None of the Fund's Directors or officers engaged in purchases or sales of the Fund's securities during the period from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017.

**Miscellaneous Information Regarding Participants**

Except as described in this Section of this proxy statement, neither any participant nor any of their respective associates or affiliates (together, the Participant Affiliates) is either a party to any transaction or series of transactions since January 1, 2017 or has knowledge of any current proposed transaction (i) to which the Fund was or is to be a participant, (ii) in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000 and (iii) in which any participant or Participant Affiliate had, or will have, a direct or indirect material interest. Furthermore, except as described in this section of this proxy statement, (a) no participant or Participant Affiliate, directly or indirectly, beneficially owns any securities of the Fund, and (b) no participant owns any securities of the Fund of record but not beneficially.

Except as described in this section of this proxy statement, no participant or Participant Affiliate has entered into any agreement or understanding with any person with respect to any future employment by the Fund or any of its affiliates or any future transactions to which the Fund or any of its affiliates will or may be a party.

Except as described in this section of this proxy statement, there are no contracts, arrangements or understandings by any participant or Participant Affiliate since January 1, 2017 with any person with respect to any securities of the Fund, including, but not limited to, joint ventures, loan or option arrangements, puts or calls, guarantees against loss or guarantees of profit division of losses or profits, or the giving or withholding of proxies.

Except as described in this section of this proxy statement, and excluding any Director or executive officer of the Fund acting solely in that capacity, no person who is a party to an arrangement or understanding pursuant to which a nominee for election as director is proposed to be elected has any substantial interest, direct or indirect, by security holdings or otherwise, in any matter to be acted upon at the Meeting.

**STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS**

In order to submit a stockholder proposal to be considered for inclusion in the Fund's proxy statement for the Fund's 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, stockholder proposals must be received by the Fund (addressed to The China Fund, Inc., c/o Secretary of the Fund/State Street Bank and Trust Company, P.O. Box 5049, One Lincoln Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02206-5409) not later than [ ]. Any stockholder who desires to bring a proposal at the Fund's 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders without including such proposal in the Fund's Proxy Statement, must deliver written notice thereof to the Secretary of the Fund (addressed to The China Fund, Inc., c/o Secretary of the Fund / State Street Bank and Trust Company, P.O. Box 5049, One Lincoln Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02206-5049), not before December 27, 2018 and not later than January 26, 2019.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Brian F. Link

Secretary

The China Fund, Inc.

c/o State Street Bank and Trust Company

P.O. Box 5049

One Lincoln Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02206-5409

February [ ], 2018



**EVERY STOCKHOLDER S VOTE IS IMPORTANT**

**EASY VOTING OPTIONS:**

**VOTE ON THE INTERNET**

**Log on to:**

**[www.proxy-direct.com](http://www.proxy-direct.com)**

**or scan the QR code**

**Follow the on-screen instructions**

*available 24 hours*

**VOTE BY PHONE**

**Call 1-800-337-3503**

**Follow the recorded instructions**

*available 24 hours*

**VOTE BY MAIL**

**Vote, sign and date this Proxy**

**Card and return in the**

**postage-paid envelope**

**VOTE IN PERSON**

**Attend Stockholder Meeting**

**One Lincoln Street**

**Boston, MA 02111**

**on March 27, 2018**

**Please detach at perforation before mailing.**

**PROXY**

**THE CHINA FUND, INC.**

**PROXY**

**ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

**TO BE HELD ON MARCH 27, 2018**

**THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS.** The undersigned stockholder(s) of The China Fund, Inc. (the Fund ), revoking previous proxies, hereby appoints Monique Labbe and Brian F. Link, or any one of them, proxies of the undersigned, with full power of substitution to vote and act for and in the name and stead of the undersigned at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Fund ( Annual Meeting ), to be held at the offices of State Street Bank and Trust Company, One Lincoln Street, Boston, MA 02111 at 12:00 p.m., local time, on March 27, 2018, and at any and all adjournments thereof, according to the number of votes the undersigned would be entitled to cast if personally present.

**In their discretion, the proxy holders named above are authorized to vote upon such other matters as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.**

**Receipt of the Notice of the Annual Meeting and the accompanying Proxy Statement is hereby acknowledged. If this Proxy is executed but no instructions are given, the votes entitled to be cast by the undersigned will be cast FOR the nominees for directors and AGAINST the stockholder proposal.**

**VOTE VIA THE INTERNET: [www.proxy-direct.com](http://www.proxy-direct.com)**

**VOTE VIA THE TELEPHONE: 1-800-337-3503**

**PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THIS PROXY PROMPTLY USING THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.**

[CHI [ ] [ ]]

**EVERY STOCKHOLDER S VOTE IS IMPORTANT**

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for**

**The China Fund, Inc.**

**Stockholders Meeting to Be Held on March 27, 2018**

The Proxy Statement for this meeting is available at: <https://www.proxy-direct.com/>[\_\_\_\_\_]

**Please detach at perforation before mailing.**

In their discretion, the proxy holders are authorized to vote upon the matters set forth in the Notice of Meeting and Proxy Statement dated February [ ], 2018 and upon all other such matters as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

**TO VOTE MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS SHOWN IN THIS Example:**

**A** **Proposals** The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote **FOR** All the Nominees listed and **AGAINST** the proposed termination.

- |   |            |                 |                |
|---|------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1. Election of Directors:   | <b>FOR</b> | <b>WITHHOLD</b> | <b>FOR ALL</b> |
|   | <b>ALL</b> | <b>ALL</b>      | <b>EXCEPT</b>  |
| 01. Joe O. Rogers   |            |                 |                |
| 02. Richard Shore   |            |                 |                |
| To serve for a term expiring on the date on which the annual meeting of stockholders is held in 2021 or until their successors are elected and qualified.   |            |                 |                |
| 2. If properly presented at the Annual Meeting, to consider a stockholder proposal to terminate all investment advisory and management agreements between the Fund and Allianz Global Investors U.S. LLC. | <b>FOR</b> | <b>AGAINST</b>  | <b>ABSTAIN</b> |

**INSTRUCTIONS:** To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark the box **FOR ALL EXCEPT** and write the name of the nominee(s) for which you would like to withhold authority on the following line.

**B** **Authorized Signatures** This section must be completed for your vote to be counted. Sign and Date Below

Date  
(mm/dd/yyyy)  
Please print  
date below  
/        /

**Signature 1 Please keep signature within the box**

**Signature 2 Please keep signature within the box**

60899990010999999999  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

CHI [        ]

M XXXXXXXX

+