MID PENN BANCORP INC Form S-4 May 31, 2018 Table of Contents

As Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 31, 2018

Registration No. 333-

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM S-4

REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania (State or other jurisdiction of

6022 (Primary Standard Industrial **25-1666413** (IRS Employer

incorporation or organization)

Classification Code Number)

Identification No.)

349 Union Street

Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061

(717) 692-7105

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant s principal executive offices)

Rory G. Ritrievi

President and Chief Executive Officer

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

349 Union Street

Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061

(717) 692-7105

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies to:

Kenneth J. Rollins, Esq.

David W. Swartz, Esq.

Pillar+Aught

Stevens & Lee, P.C.

4201 E. Park Circle

111 North 6th Street

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17111

Reading, Pennsylvania 19603

(717) 308-9633

(610) 478-2184

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale of the securities to the public: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement becomes effective and upon completion of the merger described in the enclosed document.

If the securities being registered on this Form are being offered in connection with the formation of a holding company and there is compliance with General Instruction G, check the following box.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or emerging growth company.

See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, smaller reporting company and emerging growth company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act.

If applicable, place an X in the box to designate the appropriate rule provision relied upon on conducting this transaction:

Exchange Act Rule 13e-4(i) (Cross-Border Issuer Tender Offer)

Exchange Act Rule 14d-1(d) (Cross Border Third-Party Tender Offer

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of each class of	Amount	Proposed	Proposed	Amount of
securities to be registered	to be	maximum	maximum	registration fee ⁽³⁾
	registered ⁽¹⁾	offering price	aggregate offering price ⁽²⁾	

per share

Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share 2,569,069 N/A \$87,013,278 \$10,834

- (1) Represents the estimated maximum number of shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, of the registrant to be issued upon completion of the merger described in the joint proxy statement/prospectus contained herein (the merger), calculated as the product of (i) 6,646,369 shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, of First Priority Financial Corp., a Pennsylvania corporation (First Priority common stock), plus 733,892 shares of First Priority common stock reserved in connection with all outstanding options to purchase shares of First Priority common stock outstanding as of May 25, 2018, multiplied by (ii) 0.3481, the exchange ratio under the merger agreement.
- (2) Pursuant to Rules 457(c), 457(f)(1) and 457(f)(3) promulgated under the Securities Act and solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee, the proposed aggregate maximum offering price is equal to the product of (x) \$11.79 (the average of the high and low prices of First Priority common stock as reported on the OTCQX Market on May 25, 2018) and (y) 7,380,261, the estimated maximum number of shares of First Priority common stock to be exchanged in the merger.
- (3) Computed in accordance with Rule 457(f) under the Securities Act to be \$10,834, which is equal to 0.0001245 multiplied by the proposed maximum aggregate offering price of \$87,013,278.

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this joint proxy statement/prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell the securities offered by this joint proxy statement/prospectus until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This joint proxy statement/prospectus does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities in any jurisdiction where an offer or solicitation is not permitted.

PRELIMINARY SUBJECT TO COMPLETION DATED MAY 31, 2018

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

First Priority Financial Corp.

Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus

MERGER PROPOSED YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT

Dear Shareholder:

On January 16, 2018, Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., or Mid Penn, and First Priority Financial Corp., or First Priority, entered into a merger agreement under which First Priority will merge with and into Mid Penn, with Mid Penn remaining as the surviving entity. Before we complete the merger, the shareholders of Mid Penn and First Priority must approve and adopt the merger agreement.

Mid Penn shareholders will vote to adopt the merger agreement and on the other matters described below at a special meeting of shareholders to be held at [], local time, on [], 2018 at []. First Priority shareholders will vote to adopt the merger agreement and on the other matters described below at a special meeting of shareholders to be held at [], local time, on [], 2018 at [].

If the merger is completed, First Priority shareholders will have the right to receive for each share of First Priority common stock they own 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn common stock. Cash will be paid in lieu of any fractional shares, and all options to purchase First Priority common stock outstanding immediately prior to the merger will be cashed out. If the merger is completed, Mid Penn will issue between 2,309,632 and 2,571,010 shares of common stock, depending on the number of First Priority options that are exercised prior to completion of the merger.

The common stock of Mid Penn trades on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol MPB and the common stock of First Priority trades on the OTCQX Market under the symbol FPBK. On January 12, 2018, which was the last trading date preceding the public announcement of the proposed merger, the closing price of Mid Penn common stock and First Priority common stock was \$37.50 per share and \$9.00 per share, respectively. On [], 2018, the most recent practicable trading day prior to the printing of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the closing price of Mid Penn common stock and First Priority common stock was \$[] per share and \$[] per share, respectively. The market price of both Mid Penn common stock and First Priority common stock will fluctuate before the completion of the merger; therefore, you are urged to obtain current market quotations for Mid Penn common stock and First Priority common stock.

The Mid Penn board of directors has determined that the merger is advisable and in the best interests of Mid Penn and the Mid Penn board of directors unanimously recommends that the Mid Penn shareholders vote FOR the adoption of the merger agreement and FOR the approval of the other proposals described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

The First Priority board of directors has determined that the merger is advisable and in the best interests of First Priority and the First Priority board of directors unanimously recommends that the First Priority shareholders vote FOR the adoption of the merger agreement and FOR the approval of the other proposals described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Your vote is very important. Whether or not you plan to attend your shareholders meeting, please take the time to vote by completing and mailing the enclosed proxy card in accordance with the instructions on the proxy card. Mid Penn and First Priority shareholders may also cast their votes over the Internet or by telephone in accordance with the instructions on the Mid Penn or First Priority proxy card or voting instructions, as the case may be. We cannot complete the merger unless Mid Penn and First Priority shareholders approve and adopt the merger agreement.

You should read this entire joint proxy statement/prospectus, including the annexes hereto and the documents incorporated by reference herein, carefully because it contains important information about the merger and the related transactions. In particular, you should read carefully the information under the section entitled Risk Factors beginning on page [33]. You can also obtain information about Mid Penn and First Priority from documents that each has filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

We strongly support this combination of our companies and join with the other members of our boards of directors in enthusiastically recommending that you vote in favor of the merger.

/s/ Rory G. Ritrievi /s/ David E. Sparks Rory G. Ritrievi David E. Sparks

President and Chief Executive Officer Chairman and Chief Executive

Officer

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. First Priority Financial Corp.

The shares of Mid Penn common stock to be issued to First Priority shareholders in the merger are not deposits or savings accounts or other obligations of any bank or savings association, and are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other governmental agency.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved the merger described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or the Mid Penn common stock to be issued in the merger, or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus is [], 2018, and it is first being mailed or otherwise delivered to shareholders on or about [], 2018.

This document incorporates important business and financial information about Mid Penn that is not included in or delivered with this document. This information is available without charge to shareholders upon written or oral request at Mid Penn s address and telephone number listed on page []. To obtain timely delivery, shareholders must request the information no later than [], 2018. Please see Where You Can Find More Information on page [] for instructions to request this and certain other information regarding Mid Penn.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

349 UNION STREET

MILLERSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17061

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON [], [], 2018

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF MID PENN BANCORP, INC.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of shareholders of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., or Mid Penn, will be held at [], local time, on [], 2018, at [], to consider and vote on:

- 1. adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated January 16, 2018, by and between Mid Penn and First Priority Financial Corp., or First Priority, which provides for, among other things, the merger of First Priority with and into Mid Penn; and
- 2. a proposal to authorize the board of directors to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies, in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the proposal to approve the merger agreement.

These items are described in more detail in the accompanying joint proxy statement/prospectus and its annexes. You should read these documents in their entirety before voting. We have fixed [], 2018 as the record date for determining those Mid Penn shareholders entitled to vote at the special meeting. Accordingly, only shareholders of record at the close of business on that date are entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

Your board of directors has unanimously determined that the proposed merger is advisable and in the best interests of Mid Penn and unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the proposal to adopt the merger agreement. Your board of directors also recommends that you vote FOR proposal 2 listed above. In accordance with the terms of the merger agreement, each director, executive officer and ten percent (10%) shareholder of Mid Penn has agreed to vote all shares of Mid Penn common stock owned by him, her or it in favor of adoption of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares of Mid Penn common stock that you own. We cannot complete the merger unless at least 66 2/3% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock votes for adoption of the merger agreement.

Even if you plan to attend the special meeting in person, Mid Penn requests that you complete, sign, date and return, as promptly as possible, the enclosed proxy card in the accompanying prepaid reply envelope or submit your proxy by telephone or Internet prior to the special meeting to ensure that your shares of Mid Penn common stock will be represented at the special meeting. If you hold your shares in street name through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, you should follow the procedures provided by your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to vote your shares. If you fail to submit a proxy or to attend the special meeting and vote in person or do not provide your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee with instructions as to how to vote your shares, your shares of Mid Penn common stock will not be counted and will have the same effect as a vote against the approval of the merger agreement.

We urge you to vote as soon as possible so that your shares will be represented.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS,

/s/ Cindy L. Wetzel

Cindy L. Wetzel

Corporate Secretary

Millersburg, Pennsylvania

[], 2018

FIRST PRIORITY FINANCIAL CORP. 2 WEST LIBERTY BOULEVARD, SUITE 104 MALVERN, PENNSYLVANIA 19355

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON [],[], 2018

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF FIRST PRIORITY FINANCIAL CORP.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of shareholders of First Priority Financial Corp., or First Priority, will be held at [], local time, on [], 2018, at [], to consider and vote on:

- 1. adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated January 16, 2018, by and between Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., or Mid Penn, and First Priority, which provides for, among other things, the merger of First Priority with and into Mid Penn; and
- 2. a proposal to authorize the board of directors to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies, in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the proposal to approve the merger agreement.

These items are described in more detail in the accompanying joint proxy statement/prospectus and its annexes. You should read these documents in their entirety before voting. We have fixed [], 2018 as the record date for determining those First Priority shareholders entitled to vote at the special meeting. Accordingly, only shareholders of record at the close of business on that date are entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

Your board of directors has unanimously determined that the proposed merger is advisable and in the best interests of First Priority and unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the proposal to adopt the merger agreement. Your board of directors also recommends that you vote FOR proposal 2 listed above. In accordance with the terms of the merger agreement, each director and executive officer of First Priority has agreed to vote all shares of First Priority common stock owned by him or her in favor of adoption of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

We urge you to vote as soon as possible so that your shares will be represented.

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares of First Priority common stock that you own. We cannot complete the merger unless First Priority s shareholders approve the merger agreement by a majority of

votes cast at the meeting.

Even if you plan to attend the special meeting in person, First Priority requests that you complete, sign, date and return, as promptly as possible, the enclosed proxy card in the accompanying prepaid reply envelope or submit your proxy by telephone or Internet prior to the special meeting to ensure that your shares of First Priority common stock will be represented at the special meeting. If you hold your shares in street name through a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, you should follow the procedures provided by your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee to vote your shares. If you fail to submit a proxy or to attend the special meeting and vote in person or do not provide your bank, brokerage firm or other nominee with instructions as to how to vote your shares, as applicable, your shares of First Priority common stock will not be counted.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS,

/s Alice D. Flaherty

Alice D. Flaherty

Corporate Secretary

Malvern, Pennsylvania

[], 2018

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

This joint proxy statement/prospectus incorporates important business and financial information about Mid Penn from other documents that Mid Penn has filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, which we refer to as the SEC, and that are contained in or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. First Priority does not have a class of securities registered under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which we refer to as the Exchange Act, but is subject to the reporting requirements of Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act and files documents and reports with the SEC. This information is available for you to review at the SEC s public reference room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, DC 20549, and through the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

You may request copies of this joint proxy statement/prospectus and any of the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus or other information concerning Mid Penn, without charge, by telephone or written request directed to:

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

349 Union Street

Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061

Attention: Corporate Secretary

(717) 692-7105

You may also request a copy of this joint proxy statement/prospectus and or other information concerning First Priority, without charge, by telephone or written request directed to:

First Priority Financial Corp.

2 West Liberty Boulevard, Suite 104

Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355

Attention: Corporate Secretary

(610) 280-7100

To obtain timely delivery of these documents, you must request the information no later than [], 2018 in order to receive them before Mid Penn s special meeting of shareholders and no later than [], 2018 in order to receive them before First Priority s special meeting of shareholders.

The joint proxy statement/prospectus is also available on Mid Penn s website at www.midpennbank.com and on First Priority s website at www.fpbk.com. The information on Mid Penn s website is not part of this joint proxy statement/prospectus. References to Mid Penn s and First Priority s websites in this joint proxy statement/prospectus are intended to serve as textual references only.

ABOUT THIS JOINT PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS

This document, which forms part of a registration statement on Form S-4 filed with the SEC by Mid Penn (File No. 333-[]), constitutes a prospectus of Mid Penn under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, which we refer to as the Securities Act, with respect to the shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, of Mid Penn, which we refer to as Mid Penn common stock, to be issued pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of January 16, 2018, by and between Mid Penn and First Priority, which we refer to as the merger agreement. This document also constitutes a proxy statement of Mid Penn and First Priority under the Exchange Act. It also constitutes a notice of meeting with respect to the special meetings, at which each of Mid Penn and First Priority shareholders will be asked to vote to approve the merger agreement. Mid Penn has supplied all information contained or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus relating to Mid Penn, and First Priority has supplied all information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus relating to First Priority.

i

You should rely only on the information contained in or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Mid Penn and First Priority have not authorized anyone to provide you with information that is different from that contained in or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. This joint proxy statement/prospectus is dated [], 2018, and you should not assume that the information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus is accurate as of any date other than such date. Further, you should not assume that the information incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus is accurate as of any date other than the date of the incorporated document. Neither the mailing of this joint proxy statement/prospectus to First Priority shareholders nor the issuance by Mid Penn of shares of its common stock pursuant to the merger agreement will create any implication to the contrary.

ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF MID PENN SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF FIRST PRIORITY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF FOOTTDALE UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED) RISK FACTORS CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THE MERGER Background of the Merger First Priority is Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of First Priority is Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority is Financial Advisor Mid Penn is Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn is Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn is Financial Advisor Mid Penn is Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn is Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn is Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY is DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn Bank Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares: Letter of Transmittal: Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warnanties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers		Page
Questions about the Mid Penn Special Meeting Questions about the First Priority Special Meeting SUMMARY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF MID PENN SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF FIRST PRIORITY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF FIRST PRIORITY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF FIRST PRIORITY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF SCOTTDALE UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED) RISK FACTORS CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THE MERGER Background of the Merger First Priority s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of First Priority s Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority s Financial Advisor Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn s Board of Directors Opinion of Pirst Priority s Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Consideration to be Received in	QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MERGER AND THE SPECIAL MEETINGS	1
Questions about the First Priority Special Meeting SLIMMARY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF MID PENN SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF FIRST PRIORITY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF FIRST PRIORITY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF FROTTPALE UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED) RISK FACTORS CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THE MERGER Background of the Merger First Priority s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of First Priority s Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority s Financial Advisor Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares: Letter of Transmittal: Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	Questions about the Merger	1
SUMMARY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF MID PENN SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF FIRST PRIORITY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF FIRST PRIORITY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF SCOTTDALE UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED) RISK FACTORS CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THE MERGER Background of the Merger First Priority & Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of First Priority & Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority & Financial Advisor Mid Penn & Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn & Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn & Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY & DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	Questions about the Mid Penn Special Meeting	3
SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF MID PENN SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF FIRST PRIORITY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF SCOTTDALE UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED) RISK FACTORS CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THE MERGER Background of the Merger First Priority is Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of First Priority is Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority is Financial Advisor Mid Penn is Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn is Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn is Financial Advisor Mid Penn is Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares: Letter of Transmittal: Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warnanties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	Questions about the First Priority Special Meeting	6
SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF FIRST PRIORITY SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF SCOTTDALE UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED) RISK FACTORS CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THE MERGER Background of the Merger First Priority is Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of First Priority is Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority is Financial Advisor Mid Penn is Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn is Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn is Financial Advisor Mid Penn is Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY IS DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Consideration and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	<u>SUMMARY</u>	11
SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF SCOTTDALE UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED) RISK FACTORS CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THE MERGER Background of the Merger First Priority - S Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of First Priority - S Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority - S Financial Advisor Mid Penn - S Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn - S Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn - S Financial Advisor Soard of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters - Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY - S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A, Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares: Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF MID PENN	20
UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED) RISK FACTORS CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THE MERGER Background of the Merger First Priority - S Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of First Priority - s Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority - s Financial Advisor Mid Penn - s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn - s Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn - s Financial Advisor Modit Penn - s Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters - Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY - S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Consideration and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF FIRST PRIORITY	21
COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED) RISK FACTORS CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THE MERGER Background of the Merger First Priority. s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of First Priority. s Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority. s Financial Advisor Mid Penn. s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn. s Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn. s Financial Advisor Modif Penn. s Financial Advisor Opinion of Mid Penn. s Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid. Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority. Shareholders Have Dissenters. Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY. S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority. Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority. Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares: Letter of Transmittal: Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Marranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers		22
RISK FACTORS CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THE MERGER Background of the Merger First Priority s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of First Priority s Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority s Financial Advisor Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn s Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn s Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Flavid E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares: Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION	23
CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THE MERGER Background of the Merger First Priority s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of First Priority s Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority s Financial Advisor Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn s Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A, Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares: Letter of Transmittal: Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED)	31
THE MERGER Background of the Merger First Priority s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of First Priority s Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority s Financial Advisor Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn s Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn s Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger Rest Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	RISK FACTORS	33
Background of the Merger First Priority s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of First Priority s Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority s Financial Advisor Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn s Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Clossing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Consideration and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	39
First Priority s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of First Priority s Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority s Financial Advisor Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn s Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares: Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers		41
Recommendation of First Priority s Board of Directors Opinion of First Priority s Financial Advisor Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn s Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares: Letter of Transmittal: Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	Background of the Merger	41
Opinion of First Priority s Financial Advisor Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn s Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares: Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	<u>First Priority</u> s Reasons for the Merger	45
Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger Recommendation of Mid Penn s Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares: Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	Recommendation of First Priority s Board of Directors	48
Recommendation of Mid Penn s Board of Directors Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares: Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	Opinion of First Priority s Financial Advisor	48
Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Conversion of Shares: Letter of Transmittal: Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers		57
Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	Recommendation of Mid Penn s Board of Directors	59
First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers		59
Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment to David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers		71
INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers		71
Share Ownership First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers		73
First Priority Stock Options Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares: Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers		74
Indemnification and Insurance Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	*	74
Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	<u>First Priority Stock Options</u>	74
Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	<u>Indemnification and Insurance</u>	74
Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank	74
First Priority Bank Severance Plan THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	**	75
THE MERGER AGREEMENT Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers		75
Terms of the Merger Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers		75
Closing and Effective Time of the Merger Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers		76
Consideration to be Received in the Merger Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers		76
Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	<u>Closing and Effective Time of the Merger</u>	76
Dividends and Distributions Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	Consideration to be Received in the Merger	76
Representations and Warranties Covenants and Agreements First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates	77
Covenants and AgreementsSFirst Priority Bank Post-Closing OperationSShareholder MeetingsSAgreement Not to Solicit Other OffersS		77
First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	Representations and Warranties	77
Shareholder Meetings Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers		78
Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers	•	82
		82
Expenses and Fees		82
	Expenses and Fees	84

Indemnification and Insurance	84
Conditions to Complete the Merger	85
Termination of the Merger Agreement	86
Termination Fee	87
Amendment, Waiver and Extension of the Merger Agreement	87

iii

Table of Contents	
ACCOUNTING TREATMENT	87
MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE MERGER	88
THE MID PENN SPECIAL MEETING	90
MID PENN SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 1	
APPROVAL AND ADOPTION OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT	92
MID PENN SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 2	
AUTHORIZATION TO VOTE ON ADJOURNMENT OR OTHER MATTERS	93
INFORMATION ABOUT MID PENN BANCORP, INC.	94
Business	94
THE FIRST PRIORITY SPECIAL MEETING	95
FIRST PRIORITY SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 1	
ADOPTION OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT	97
FIRST PRIORITY SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 2	
AUTHORIZATION TO VOTE ON ADJOURNMENT OR OTHER MATTERS	97
INFORMATION ABOUT FIRST PRIORITY FINANCIAL CORP.	98
<u>Business</u>	98
<u>Competition</u>	99
<u>Properties</u>	99
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	99
Information about First Priority Designees to Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. Board of Directors	100
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Holders of First Priority	100
Compensation of David E. Sparks	102
<u>Transactions with Certain Related Persons</u>	104
Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of First	
Priority March 31, 2018	104
Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of First	
Priority December 31, 2017	125
COMPARISON OF SHAREHOLDERS RIGHTS	152
MARKET PRICE AND DIVIDEND INFORMATION	161
<u>LEGAL MATTERS</u>	163
<u>EXPERTS</u>	163
INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS BY REFERENCE	163
Annex A Agreement and Plan of Merger	A-1
Annex B Opinion of Griffin Financial Group LLC	B-1
Annex C Opinion of Sandler O Neill & Partners, L.P.	C-1
Annay D. Panneylyonia Statutory Prayicians Palating to Dissenter & Pights	D 1

iv

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MERGER AND THE SPECIAL MEETINGS

The following questions and answers briefly address some commonly asked questions about the merger (as defined below) and the shareholder meetings. They may not include all the information that is important to the shareholders of Mid Penn and First Priority. Shareholders of Mid Penn and First Priority should each read carefully this entire joint proxy statement/prospectus, including the annexes and other documents referred to in this document.

Questions about the Merger

Q: What is the merger?

A: Mid Penn and First Priority have entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated January 16, 2018, which is referred to as the merger agreement. A copy of the merger agreement is attached as Annex A to, and is incorporated by reference in, this joint proxy statement/prospectus. The merger agreement contains the terms and conditions of the proposed business combination of Mid Penn and First Priority. Under the merger agreement, First Priority will merge with and into Mid Penn, with Mid Penn remaining as the surviving entity. We refer to this transaction as the corporate merger. Immediately following the corporate merger, First Priority Bank, a wholly owned bank subsidiary of First Priority, will merge with and into Mid Penn s wholly owned bank subsidiary, Mid Penn Bank, with Mid Penn Bank as the surviving bank, and the separate corporate existence of First Priority Bank will cease. We refer to this transaction as the bank merger. We refer to the corporate merger and the bank merger collectively as the merger.

Following the completion of the merger, the merger agreement provides that Mid Penn will continue to operate the branches of First Priority Bank as a separate banking division of Mid Penn Bank under the name First Priority Bank, a Division of Mid Penn Bank.

Q: Why am I receiving these materials?

A: This document constitutes both a joint proxy statement of Mid Penn and First Priority and a prospectus of Mid Penn. It is a joint proxy statement because the boards of directors of both companies are soliciting proxies from their respective shareholders. It is a prospectus because Mid Penn will issue shares of its common stock in exchange for shares of First Priority common stock in the merger.

Mid Penn is sending these materials to its shareholders to help them decide how to vote their shares of Mid Penn common stock with respect to the proposed merger and the other matters to be considered at the Mid Penn special meeting described in *The Mid Penn Special Meeting*, beginning on page [].

First Priority is sending these materials to its shareholders to help them decide how to vote their shares of First Priority common stock with respect to the proposed merger and the other matters to be considered at the First Priority special meeting described in *The First Priority Special Meeting*, beginning on page [].

Information about these meetings, the merger and the other business to be considered at the meetings is contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. The merger cannot be completed unless shareholders of Mid Penn and First Priority each approve the merger.

Q: Why is Mid Penn proposing the merger?

A: The Mid Penn board of directors, in unanimously determining that the merger is in the best interests of Mid Penn, considered a number of key factors that are described under the heading *The Merger Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger*, beginning on page [].

Q: Why is First Priority proposing the merger?

A: The First Priority board of directors, in unanimously determining that the merger is in the best interests of First Priority, considered a number of key factors that are described under the heading *The Merger First Priority s Reasons for the Merger*, beginning on page [].

1

- Q: What will First Priority shareholders receive in the merger, and how will this affect holders of Mid Penn common stock?
- A: Upon completion of the merger, First Priority shareholders will be entitled to receive, for each share of First Priority common stock they own, 0.3481 shares, which we sometimes call the exchange ratio, of Mid Penn common stock. The exchange ratio is fixed and not subject to adjustment, except in limited circumstances. Because of the number of shares of Mid Penn common stock being issued in the merger, the percentage ownership interest in Mid Penn represented by the existing shares of Mid Penn common stock will be diluted. Mid Penn shareholders will not receive any merger consideration and will continue to own their existing shares of Mid Penn common stock after the merger.
- Q: What equity stake will First Priority shareholders hold in Mid Penn immediately following the merger?
- **A:** Following completion of the merger, current Mid Penn shareholders will own in the aggregate approximately 72.6% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock and First Priority shareholders will own approximately 27.4% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock.
- Q: What is the value of the per share merger consideration?
- A: On January 12, 2018, which was the last trading date preceding the public announcement of the proposed merger, the closing price of Mid Penn common stock was \$37.50 per share. On [], 2018, the most recent practicable trading day prior to the printing of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the closing price of Mid Penn common stock was \$[] per share. The market price of both Mid Penn common stock and First Priority common stock will fluctuate before the completion of the merger, therefore, you are urged to obtain current market quotations for Mid Penn common stock and First Priority common stock.
- Q: What happens if I am eligible to receive a fraction of a share of Mid Penn common stock as part of the merger consideration?
- **A:** If the aggregate number of shares of Mid Penn common stock that you are entitled to receive as part of the merger consideration includes a fraction of a share of Mid Penn common stock, you will receive cash in lieu of that fractional share. See the section entitled *The Merger Agreement Consideration to be Received in the Merger* beginning on page [] of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.
- Q: Who will be the directors and executive officers of the combined company following the merger?
- A: Following completion of the merger, the then current directors and executive officers of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank will continue in office. At the effective time of the merger, the boards of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank will be increased by four (4) directors and David E. Sparks, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of

First Priority, and three (3) of the other current directors of First Priority selected by the board of directors of First Priority, with the approval of Mid Penn s board of directors, will be added to the boards of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank. Additionally, Mr. Sparks will be appointed as Chief Strategic Advisor to the Chief Executive Officer of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and Mid Penn Bank, and Market President of First Priority Bank, a Division of Mid Penn Bank.

Q: When do you expect to complete the merger?

A: Subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the closing conditions described under the section entitled, *The Merger Agreement Conditions to Completion of the Merger* beginning on page [] of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including receipt of shareholder approvals at the respective special meetings of Mid Penn and First Priority, and continued effectiveness of regulatory approvals already received, we currently expect to complete the merger in the third quarter of 2018. It is possible, however, that factors outside of either company s control could result in us completing the merger at a later time or not completing it at all.

2

Q: What are the federal income tax consequences of the merger?

A: The merger has been structured to qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which is referred to as the Internal Revenue Code. It is a condition to the completion of the merger that each of Mid Penn and First Priority receive a written opinion from their respective legal counsel to the effect that the merger will be treated as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. Because First Priority shareholders will receive solely Mid Penn common stock for their shares (except for cash in lieu of fractional shares), First Priority shareholders should not recognize gain or loss except with respect to the cash they receive in lieu of a fractional share.

This tax treatment may not apply to all First Priority shareholders. Determining the actual tax consequences of the merger to First Priority shareholders can be complicated. First Priority shareholders should consult their own tax advisor for a full understanding of the merger s tax consequences that are particular to each shareholder. For further discussion of the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger, see *Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger*, beginning on page [].

Questions about the Mid Penn Special Meeting

- Q: What am I being asked to vote on at the Mid Penn special meeting?
- **A:** You are being asked to consider and vote on:
 - 1. adoption of the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus; and
 - 2. adjournment of the Mid Penn special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.
- Q: How does the Mid Penn board of directors recommend that I vote my shares?
- A: The Mid Penn board of directors recommends that the Mid Penn shareholders vote their shares as follows:
 - FOR adoption of the merger agreement; and

FOR an adjournment of the Mid Penn special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies. As of the record date, directors, executive officers and ten percent (10%) shareholders of Mid Penn and their affiliates had the right to vote [] shares of Mid Penn common stock, or []% of the outstanding Mid Penn common stock entitled to be voted at the special meeting. Each of the directors, executive officers and ten percent (10%) shareholders of Mid Penn has agreed to vote all shares of Mid Penn common stock owned by him, her or it in favor of adoption of the merger agreement.

Q: What do I need to do now?

A: After carefully reading and considering the information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, please submit your proxy as soon as possible so that your shares will be represented at the Mid Penn special meeting. Please follow the instructions set forth on the proxy card or on the voting instruction form provided by the record holder if your shares are held in the name of your broker or other nominee.

Q: Who is entitled to vote at the Mid Penn special meeting?

A: Mid Penn shareholders of record as of the close of business on [], 2018, which is referred to as the Mid Penn record date, are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Mid Penn special meeting.

Q: How many votes do I have?

A: Each outstanding share of Mid Penn common stock is entitled to one vote.

3

Q: How do I vote my Mid Penn shares?

A: You may vote your Mid Penn shares by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card, by Internet or by voting in person at the Mid Penn special meeting.

Voting by Proxy. You may vote your Mid Penn shares by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card. Your proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you submit a properly executed and dated proxy, but do not specify a choice on one of the proposals described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, your proxy will be voted in favor of that proposal.

Voting by Internet. If you are a registered shareholder, you may vote electronically through the Internet by following the instructions included on your proxy card. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee, you may be able to vote via the Internet. If so, the voting form your nominee sends you will provide Internet instructions.

Voting by Phone. Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call [] and then follow the instructions.

Voting in Person. If you attend the Mid Penn special meeting, you may deliver your completed proxy card in person or may vote by completing a ballot that will be available at the meeting. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee and you wish to vote at the meeting you will need to obtain a legal proxy from your bank or brokerage firm. Please consult the voting form sent to you by your bank or broker to determine how to obtain a legal proxy in order to vote in person at the special meeting.

Q: Why is my vote important?

- **A:** Because the merger cannot be completed without the affirmative vote of at least sixty-six and two-thirds percent (66 2/3%) of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock at the Mid Penn special meeting, every shareholder s vote is important.
- Q: If my shares of Mid Penn common stock are held in street name by my broker, will my broker automatically vote my shares for me?
- **A:** No. Your broker **CANNOT** vote your shares on any proposal at the Mid Penn special meeting without instructions from you. You should instruct your broker as to how to vote your shares, following the directions your broker provides to you.

Q: What if I fail to instruct my broker?

A:

If you do not provide your broker with instructions, your broker generally will not be permitted to vote your shares on the merger proposal or any other proposal (a so-called broker non-vote) at the Mid Penn special meeting. Because the affirmative vote of sixty-six and two-thirds percent (66 2/3%) of outstanding Mid Penn shares is necessary to approve the merger, any broker non-votes submitted by brokers or nominees in connection with the special meeting will in effect be a vote against the merger. For determining the number of votes cast with respect to the adjournment proposal, only those votes cast for or against the proposal are counted. Any broker non-votes submitted by brokers or nominees in connection with the special meeting will not be counted as votes for or against for determining the number of votes cast, but will be treated as present for quorum purposes.

Q: What constitutes a quorum for the Mid Penn special meeting?

A: As of the Mid Penn record date, [] shares of Mid Penn common stock were issued and outstanding, each of which will be entitled to one vote at the meeting. Under Mid Penn s bylaws, the presence, in person or by

4

proxy, of shareholders entitled to cast at least a majority of the votes that all shareholders are entitled to cast constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business at the special meeting. If you vote by proxy, your shares will be included for determining the presence of a quorum. Both abstentions and broker non-votes are also included for determining the presence of a quorum.

Q: Assuming the presence of a quorum, what is the vote required to approve the matters to be considered at the Mid Penn special meeting?

A: The affirmative vote of at least sixty-six and two-thirds percent (66 2/3%) of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock at the Mid Penn special meeting is required to approve the proposal to approve and adopt the merger agreement, and the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast, in person or by proxy, at the Mid Penn special meeting is required to approve the proposal to adjourn the Mid Penn special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies and any other matter that may properly come before the special meeting. Therefore, abstentions and broker non-votes will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the proposal to approve the merger, but will have no effect on the adjournment proposal.

Q: Do I have appraisal or dissenters rights?

A: No. Under Pennsylvania law, holders of Mid Penn common stock will not be entitled to exercise any appraisal rights in connection with the merger.

Q: Can I attend the Mid Penn special meeting and vote my shares in person?

A: Yes. All shareholders, including shareholders of record and those who hold their shares through banks, brokers, nominees or any other holder of record, are invited to attend the special meeting. Holders of record of Mid Penn common stock can vote in person at the special meeting. If you are not a shareholder of record, you must obtain a proxy, executed in your favor, from the record holder of your shares, such as a broker, bank or other nominee, to be able to vote in person at the special meeting. If you plan to attend the special meeting, you must hold your shares in your own name or have a letter from the record holder of your shares confirming your ownership and you must bring a form of personal photo identification with you in order to be admitted. We reserve the right to refuse admittance to anyone without proper proof of share ownership and without proper photo identification.

Q: Can I change my vote?

A: Yes. You may revoke any proxy at any time before it is voted by (1) signing and returning a proxy card with a later date (if you submitted your proxy by Internet or by telephone, you can vote again by Internet or telephone), (2) delivering a written revocation letter to Mid Penn s Corporate Secretary, or (3) attending the special meeting in person, notifying the Corporate Secretary and voting by ballot at the special meeting. Mid Penn s Corporate Secretary s mailing address is Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., 349 Union Street, Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

Any shareholder entitled to vote in person at the special meeting may vote in person regardless of whether a proxy has been previously given, and such vote will revoke any previous proxy, but the mere presence (without notifying Mid Penn's Corporate Secretary) of a shareholder at the special meeting will not constitute revocation of a previously given proxy. A shareholder may change his or her vote up and until the time that votes are counted but not thereafter.

Q:	How will proxies be solicited and who will bear the cost of soliciting votes for the Mid Penn special
	meeting?

A: Mid Penn has engaged [] ([]) to act as the proxy solicitor and to assist in the solicitation of proxies for the Mid Penn special meeting of shareholders. Mid Penn has agreed to pay [] approximately \$[], plus reasonable out-of-pocket expenses, for such services and will also indemnify [] against certain claims, costs, damages, liabilities, and expenses.

5

Mid Penn will bear the cost of preparing and assembling these proxy materials for the Mid Penn special meeting. The cost of printing and mailing these proxy materials will be shared equally between Mid Penn and First Priority. The solicitation of proxies or votes for the Mid Penn special meeting may also be made in person, by telephone, or by electronic communication by Mid Penn s directors, officers, and employees, none of whom will receive any additional compensation for such solicitation activities. In addition, Mid Penn may reimburse brokerage firms and other persons representing beneficial owners of shares for their expenses in forwarding solicitation material to such beneficial owners.

Q: Can additional proposals be presented at the Mid Penn special meeting?

A: No. Other than the proposals described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, no additional matters can be presented for a vote at the special meeting.

Q: Are there risks that I should consider in deciding whether to vote to approve the merger agreement?

A: Yes. You should consider the risk factors set out in the section entitled *Risk Factors* beginning on page [] of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: What if I hold stock of both Mid Penn and First Priority?

A: If you hold shares of both Mid Penn and First Priority, you will receive two separate packages of proxy materials. A vote as a Mid Penn shareholder for the merger proposal or any other proposals to be considered at the Mid Penn special meeting will not constitute a vote as a First Priority shareholder for the merger proposal or any other proposals to be considered at the First Priority special meeting, and vice versa. Therefore, please sign, date and return all proxy cards that you receive, whether from Mid Penn or First Priority, or submit separate proxies as both a Mid Penn shareholder and a First Priority shareholder as instructed.

Q: Whom should I contact if I have additional questions?

A: If you are a Mid Penn shareholder and have any questions about the merger, need assistance in submitting your proxy or voting your shares of Mid Penn common stock, or if you need additional copies of this document or the enclosed proxy card, you should contact [], the proxy solicitor for Mid Penn at []. You may also contact: Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

349 Union Street

Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061

Attention: Investor Relations

Telephone:	(717)	692-71	05

Questions about the First Priority Special Meeting

Q: What am I being asked to vote on at the First Priority special meeting?

- **A:** You are being asked to consider and vote on:
 - 1. adoption of the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus; and
 - 2. adjournment of the First Priority special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

6

Q: How does the First Priority board of directors recommend that I vote my shares?

A: The First Priority board of directors recommends that the First Priority shareholders vote their shares as follows:

FOR adoption of the merger agreement; and

FOR an adjournment of the First Priority special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies. As of the record date, directors and executive officers of First Priority and their affiliates had the right to vote [] shares of First Priority common stock, or []% of the outstanding First Priority common stock entitled to be voted at the First Priority special meeting. Each of the directors and executive officers of First Priority has agreed to vote all shares of First Priority common stock owned by him or her in favor of adoption of the merger agreement.

Q: What do I need to do now?

A: After carefully reading and considering the information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, please submit your proxy as soon as possible so that your shares will be represented at the First Priority special meeting. Please follow the instructions set forth on the proxy card or on the voting instruction form provided by the record holder if your shares are held in the name of your broker or other nominee.

Q: Who is entitled to vote at the First Priority special meeting?

A: First Priority shareholders of record as of the close of business on [], 2018, which is referred to as the First Priority record date, are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the First Priority special meeting.

Q: How many votes do I have?

A: Each outstanding share of First Priority common stock is entitled to one vote.

Q: How do I vote my First Priority shares?

A: You may vote your First Priority shares by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card or by voting in person at the First Priority special meeting.

Voting by Proxy. You may vote your First Priority shares by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card. Your proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you submit a properly executed and dated proxy, but do not specify a choice on one of the proposals described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, your proxy will be voted in favor of that proposal.

Voting by Internet. If you are a registered shareholder, you may vote electronically through the Internet by following the instructions included on your proxy card. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee, you may be able to vote via the Internet. If so, the voting form your nominee sends you will provide Internet instructions.

Voting by Phone. Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call [] and then follow the instructions.

Voting in Person. If you attend the First Priority special meeting, you may deliver your completed proxy card in person or may vote by completing a ballot that will be available at the meeting. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee and you wish to vote at the meeting, you will need to

7

obtain a legal proxy from your bank or brokerage firm. Please consult the voting form sent to you by your bank or broker to determine how to obtain a legal proxy in order to vote in person at the First Priority special meeting.

Q: Why is my vote important?

- **A:** Because the merger cannot be completed without the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the First Priority special meeting, every shareholder s vote is important.
- Q: If my shares of First Priority common stock are held in street name by my broker, will my broker automatically vote my shares for me?
- **A:** No. Your broker **CANNOT** vote your shares on any proposal at the First Priority special meeting without instructions from you. You should instruct your broker as to how to vote your shares, following the directions your broker provides to you. Please check the voting form used by your broker.

Q: What if I fail to instruct my broker?

A: If you do not provide your broker with instructions, your broker generally will not be permitted to vote your shares on the merger proposal or any other proposal (a so-called broker non-vote) at the First Priority special meeting. For purposes of determining the number of votes cast with respect to the merger proposal, only those votes cast for or against the proposal are counted. Broker non-votes, if any are submitted by brokers or nominees in connection with the special meeting, will not be counted as votes for or against for purposes of determining the number of votes cast, but will be treated as present for quorum purposes.

Q: What constitutes a quorum for the First Priority special meeting?

- **A:** As of the First Priority record date, [] shares of First Priority common stock were issued and outstanding, each of which will be entitled to one vote at the meeting. Under First Priority s bylaws, the presence, in person or by proxy, of shareholders entitled to cast at least a majority of the votes that all shareholders are entitled to cast constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business at the special meeting. If you vote by proxy, your shares will be included for determining the presence of a quorum. Both abstentions and broker non-votes are also included for determining the presence of a quorum.
- Q: Assuming the presence of a quorum, what is the vote required to approve the matters to be considered at the First Priority special meeting?

A:

The affirmative vote of a majority of all votes cast, in person and by proxy, at the meeting is required to approve all matters to be considered at the First Priority special meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not affect the outcome of any matters being voted on at the meeting.

- Q: Do any of First Priority s directors or executive officers have interests in the merger that may differ from those of First Priority shareholders?
- **A:** First Priority s directors and executive officers have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, those of First Priority shareholders generally. The members of First Priority s board of directors were aware of and considered these interests, among other matters, in evaluating the merger agreement and the merger, and in recommending that First Priority shareholders approve the merger agreement. For a description of these interests, refer to the section entitled *Interests of First Priority s Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger* beginning on page [] of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.
- Q: Do I have appraisal or dissenters rights?
- **A:** Yes. Under Pennsylvania law, First Priority shareholders have the right to dissent from the merger agreement and the merger and to receive a payment in cash for the fair value of their shares of First

8

Priority common stock as determined by an appraisal process. This value may be more or less than the value you would receive in the merger if you do not dissent. If you dissent, you will receive a cash payment for the value of your shares that will be fully taxable to you. To perfect your dissenters rights, you must follow precisely the required statutory procedures. See *First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger* beginning on page [].

Q: Can I attend the First Priority special meeting and vote my shares in person?

A: Yes. All shareholders, including shareholders of record and those who hold their shares through banks, brokers, nominees or any other holder of record, are invited to attend the special meeting. Holders of record of First Priority common stock can vote in person at the special meeting. If you are not a shareholder of record, you must obtain a proxy, executed in your favor, from the record holder of your shares, such as a broker, bank or other nominee, to be able to vote in person at the special meeting. If you plan to attend the special meeting, you must hold your shares in your own name or have a letter from the record holder of your shares confirming your ownership and you must bring a form of personal photo identification with you in order to be admitted. We reserve the right to refuse admittance to anyone without proper proof of share ownership and without proper photo identification.

Q: Can I change my vote?

A: Yes. You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted by (1) signing and returning a proxy card with a later date, (2) delivering a written revocation letter to First Priority s Corporate Secretary, or (3) attending the special meeting in person, notifying Corporate Secretary and voting by ballot at the special meeting. The mailing address for First Priority s Corporate Secretary is First Priority Financial Corp., 2 West Liberty Boulevard, Suite 104, Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355, Attention: Alice D. Flaherty.

Any shareholder entitled to vote in person at the special meeting may vote in person regardless of whether a proxy has been previously given, and such vote will revoke any previous proxy, but the mere presence (without notifying First Priority's Corporate Secretary) of a shareholder at the special meeting will not constitute revocation of a previously given proxy. A shareholder may change his or her vote up and until the time that votes are counted but not thereafter.

Q: How will proxies be solicited and who will bear the cost of soliciting votes for the First Priority special meeting?

A: First Priority has engaged [] ([]) to act as the proxy solicitor and to assist in the solicitation of proxies for the First Priority special meeting of shareholders. First Priority has agreed to pay [] approximately \$[], plus reasonable out-of-pocket expenses, for such services and will also indemnify [] against certain claims, costs, damages, liabilities, and expenses.

First Priority will bear the cost of preparing and assembling these proxy materials for the First Priority special meeting. The cost of printing and mailing these proxy materials will be shared equally between Mid Penn and First Priority. The solicitation of proxies or votes for the First Priority special meeting may also be made in person, by telephone, or by electronic communication by First Priority s directors, officers, and employees, none of whom will

receive any additional compensation for such solicitation activities. In addition, First Priority may reimburse brokerage firms and other persons representing beneficial owners of shares for their expenses in forwarding solicitation material to such beneficial owners.

Q: Can additional proposals be presented at the First Priority special meeting?

A: No. Other than the proposals described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, no additional matters can be presented for a vote at the special meeting.

9

Q: Are there risks that I should consider in deciding whether to vote to approve the merger agreement?

A: Yes. You should consider the risk factors set out in the section entitled *Risk Factors* beginning on page [] of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: What if I hold stock of both Mid Penn and First Priority?

A: If you hold shares of both Mid Penn and First Priority, you will receive two separate packages of proxy materials. A vote as a First Priority shareholder for the merger proposal or any other proposals to be considered at the First Priority special meeting will not constitute a vote as a Mid Penn shareholder for the merger proposal or any other proposals to be considered at the Mid Penn special meeting, and vice versa. Therefore, please sign, date and return all proxy cards that you receive, whether from Mid Penn or First Priority, or submit separate proxies as both a Mid Penn shareholder and a First Priority shareholder as instructed.

Q: Should I send in my First Priority stock certificates now?

A: No, please do NOT return your stock certificate(s) with your proxy. You will be provided separate instructions regarding the surrender of your stock certificates. You should then send your First Priority stock certificates to the exchange agent in accordance with those instructions.

Q: Whom should I contact if I have additional questions?

A: If you are a First Priority shareholder and have any questions about the merger, need assistance in submitting your proxy or voting your shares of First Priority common stock, or if you need additional copies of this document or the enclosed proxy card, you should contact [], the proxy solicitor for First Priority at []. You may also contact:

First Priority Financial Corp.

2 West Liberty Boulevard, Suite 104

Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355

Attention: Corporate Secretary

Telephone: (610) 280-7100

SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and may not contain all of the information that is important to you. We urge you to carefully read the entire joint proxy statement/prospectus and the other documents to which we refer in order to fully understand the merger and the related transactions. See Where You Can Find More Information. Each item in this summary refers to the page of this joint proxy statement/prospectus on which that subject is discussed in more detail.

Information about the Parties

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

Mid Penn is a Pennsylvania business corporation and bank holding company with its headquarters in Millersburg, Pennsylvania. At March 31, 2018, Mid Penn had total consolidated assets of \$1.4 billion. Mid Penn is the parent company of Mid Penn Bank, serving the community since 1868, which operates twenty-nine retail banking offices across eight counties in Pennsylvania.

Upon the closing of the merger of The Scottdale Bank & Trust Co., or Scottdale, with and into Mid Penn Bank, which occurred on January 8, 2018, Mid Penn Bank assumed all of the assets of Scottdale. As of December 31, 2017, Scottdale had approximately \$261 million in total assets and total deposits of approximately \$211 million, based upon Scottdale s December 31, 2017 Call Report filed with the FDIC.

The principal executive offices of Mid Penn are located at 349 Union Street, Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061 and its telephone number is (717) 692-2133.

Mid Penn common stock is traded on The Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol MPB.

First Priority Financial Corp.

First Priority is a Pennsylvania business corporation and bank holding company with its headquarters in Malvern, Pennsylvania. At March 31, 2018, First Priority had total consolidated assets of \$614.6 million. First Priority is the parent company of First Priority Bank, which operates eight retail banking offices in four counties in Pennsylvania.

The principal executive offices of First Priority are located at 2 West Liberty Boulevard, Suite 104, Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355, and its telephone number is (610) 280-7100.

First Priority common stock is traded on the OTCQX Market under the symbol FPBK.

The Merger and the Merger Agreement (page [])

The terms and conditions of the merger are contained in the merger agreement, which is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus and incorporated by reference herein. Please carefully read the merger agreement as it is the legal document that governs the merger.

Pursuant to the merger agreement, First Priority will merge with and into Mid Penn with Mid Penn as the surviving corporation. Immediately after the corporate merger, First Priority Bank will merge with and into Mid Penn Bank, with Mid Penn Bank as the surviving bank.

Following the completion of the merger, the merger agreement provides that Mid Penn will continue to operate the branches of First Priority Bank as a separate banking division of Mid Penn Bank under the name First Priority Bank, a Division of Mid Penn Bank.

Mid Penn Will Hold Its Special Meeting on [] (page [])

The Mid Penn special meeting will be held on [] at [], local time, at [], Pennsylvania. At the special meeting, Mid Penn shareholders will be asked to:

- 1. adopt the merger agreement; and
- 2. approve the adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies, in the event that there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt the merger agreement.

Record Date. Only holders of record of Mid Penn common stock at the close of business on [], 2018 will be entitled to vote at the special meeting. Each share of Mid Penn common stock is entitled to one vote. As of the Mid Penn record date, there were [] shares of Mid Penn common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the special meeting.

Required Vote. The affirmative vote, in person or by proxy, of at least sixty-six and two thirds percent (66 2/3%) of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock is required to approve the merger agreement, and a majority of the votes cast at the special meeting is required to approve the proposal to adjourn the Mid Penn special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies. The presence, in person or by proxy, of a majority of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock is necessary to constitute a quorum in order to transact business at the special meeting.

As of the record date, directors, executive officers and ten percent (10%) shareholders of Mid Penn and their affiliates had the right to vote [] shares of Mid Penn common stock, or []% of the outstanding Mid Penn common stock entitled to be voted at the special meeting. Each of the directors, executive officers and ten percent (10%) shareholders of Mid Penn has agreed to vote all shares of Mid Penn common stock owned by him, her or it in favor of adoption of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

First Priority Will Hold Its Special Meeting on [] (page [])

The First Priority special meeting will be held on [] at [], local time, at []. At the special meeting, First Priority shareholders will be asked to:

- 1. adopt the merger agreement; and
- 2. approve the adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies, in the event that there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt the merger agreement.

Record Date. Only holders of record of First Priority common stock at the close of business on [], 2018 will be entitled to vote at the special meeting. Each share of First Priority common stock is entitled to one vote. As of the First Priority record date, there were [] shares of First Priority common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the special meeting.

Required Vote. The affirmative vote, in person or by proxy, of a majority of votes cast is required to approve the merger agreement and the proposal to adjourn the First Priority special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional

proxies.

As of the record date, directors and executive officers of First Priority and their affiliates had the right to vote [] shares of First Priority common stock, or []% of the outstanding First Priority common stock entitled to be voted at the special meeting. Each of the directors and the executive officers of First Priority has agreed to vote all shares of First Priority common stock owned by him or her in favor of adoption of the merger agreement.

12

First Priority Shareholders Will Receive Shares of Mid Penn Common Stock in the Merger (page []).

Upon completion of the merger, each outstanding share of First Priority common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger, will be converted into the right to receive 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn common stock, which we refer to as the merger consideration. The exchange ratio is fixed and not subject to adjustment, except in limited circumstances.

On January 12, 2018, which was the last trading date preceding the public announcement of the proposed merger, the closing price of Mid Penn common stock was \$37.50 per share. On [], 2018, the most recent practicable trading day prior to the printing of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the closing price of Mid Penn common stock was \$[] per share. The market price of both Mid Penn common stock and First Priority common stock will fluctuate before the completion of the merger; therefore, you are urged to obtain current market quotations for Mid Penn common stock and First Priority common stock. The value of the merger consideration will fluctuate as the market price of Mid Penn common stock fluctuates before the completion of the merger. The market price of Mid Penn common stock at closing will not be known at the time of the First Priority special meeting and may be more or less than the current price of Mid Penn common stock or the price of Mid Penn common stock at the time of the First Priority special meeting or at the effective time of the merger.

Expected Material United States Federal Income Tax Treatment as a Result of the Merger (page [])

The merger is structured to be treated as a reorganization for United States federal income tax purposes. Each of Mid Penn and First Priority has conditioned the consummation of the merger on its receipt of a legal opinion that this will be the case. Because First Priority shareholders will receive solely Mid Penn common stock for their shares (except for cash in lieu of fractional shares), First Priority shareholders should not recognize gain or loss except with respect to the cash they receive instead of a fractional share.

This tax treatment may not apply to all First Priority shareholders. Determining the actual tax consequences of the merger to First Priority shareholders can be complicated. First Priority shareholders should consult their own tax advisor for a full understanding of the merger s tax consequences that are particular to each shareholder.

Exceptions to these conclusions or other considerations may apply, some of which are discussed beginning on page []. Determining the actual tax consequences of the merger to a First Priority shareholder can be complicated. For further information, please refer to *Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger* on page []. First Priority shareholders should also consult their own tax advisors for a full understanding of the federal income tax and other tax consequences of the merger as they apply specifically to them.

Accounting Treatment of the Merger (page [])

The merger will be treated as a business combination using the acquisition method of accounting with Mid Penn treated as the acquiror under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or US GAAP.

Market Prices and Share Information (page [])

Mid Penn common stock is listed on The Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol MPB. First Priority common stock is quoted on the OTCQX Market under the symbol FPBK.

The table below shows the last sale price of Mid Penn common stock and First Priority common stock, and the value of Mid Penn common stock received per share of First Priority common stock based upon the exchange

13

ratio, on January 12, 2018 (the last full trading day prior to announcement of the execution of the merger agreement) and [], 2018 (the last full trading day prior to the date of these materials).

		First		
	Mid Penn	Priority		Equivalent
	Common	Common	Exchange	Per
	Stock	Stock	Ratio	Share Value:
January 12, 2018	\$ 37.50	\$ 9.00	0.3481	\$ 13.05
At [], 2018	\$ []	\$ []	0.3481	\$ []

Because the exchange ratio is fixed and will be adjusted only in limited circumstances, including if Mid Penn declares any stock dividends or effects a stock split or reverse stock split, and the market price of Mid Penn common stock will fluctuate prior to the merger, the pro forma equivalent price per share of First Priority common stock will also fluctuate prior to the merger. First Priority shareholders will not know the final equivalent price per share of First Priority common stock when they vote on the merger. This information relates to the value of shares of First Priority common stock that will be converted into shares of Mid Penn common stock in the merger. You should obtain current stock price quotations for the shares.

Following completion of the merger, current Mid Penn shareholders will own in the aggregate approximately 72.6% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock and First Priority shareholders will own approximately 27.4% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock.

Opinion of First Priority s Financial Advisor (page [])

At the January 16, 2018 meeting at which the First Priority board of directors considered and approved the merger agreement, First Priority s financial advisor, Griffin Financial Group LLC, or Griffin, delivered its oral opinion to First Priority s board of directors, which was subsequently confirmed in writing, to the effect that, as of January 16, 2018, subject to the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations described in Griffin s opinion, the merger consideration was fair, from a financial point of view, to First Priority common equity shareholders.

The full text of Griffin s opinion is attached as Annex B to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. The opinion outlines the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken by Griffin in rendering its opinion.

First Priority shareholders should read the opinion and the summary description of Griffin s opinion contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus carefully in their entirety.

Griffin s opinion speaks only as of the date of the opinion. The opinion of Griffin does not reflect any developments that may have occurred or may occur after the date of its opinion and prior to the completion of the merger. First Priority does not expect that it will request an updated opinion from Griffin. The opinion was directed to First Priority s board of directors in connection with its consideration of the merger and is directed only as to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to First Priority common equity shareholders. Griffin s opinion does not constitute a recommendation to any First Priority shareholder as to how such shareholder should vote at any meeting of shareholders called to consider and vote upon the First Priority merger proposal. Griffin s opinion does not address the underlying business decision of First Priority to engage in the merger, the form or structure of the merger, the relative merits of the merger as compared to any

other alternative business strategies that might exist for First Priority or the effect of any other transaction in which First Priority might engage. Griffin did not express any opinion as to the fairness of the amount or nature of the compensation

14

to be received in the merger by First Priority s officers, directors, or employees, or class of such persons, if any, relative to the compensation to be received in the merger by any other shareholder of First Priority.

For further information, see The Merger Opinion of First Priority s Financial Advisor.

Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor (page [])

At the January 16, 2018 meeting at which the Mid Penn board of directors considered and approved the merger agreement, Mid Penn s financial advisor, Sandler O Neill & Partners, L.P., or Sandler, delivered its oral opinion to Mid Penn s board of directors, which was subsequently confirmed in writing, to the effect that, as of January 16, 2018, subject to the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations described in Sandler s opinion, the merger consideration was fair, from a financial point of view, to Mid Penn.

The full text of Sandler s opinion is attached as Annex C to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. The opinion outlines the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken by Sandler in rendering its opinion.

Mid Penn shareholders should read the opinion and the summary description of Sandler s opinion contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus carefully in their entirety.

Sandler s opinion speaks only as of the date of the opinion. The opinion of Sandler does not reflect any developments that may have occurred or may occur after the date of its opinion and prior to the completion of the merger. Mid Penn does not expect that it will request an updated opinion from Sandler. The opinion was directed to Mid Penn s board of directors in connection with its consideration of the merger and is directed only as to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to Mid Penn. Sandler s opinion does not constitute a recommendation to any Mid Penn shareholder as to how such shareholder should vote at any meeting of shareholders called to consider and vote upon the Mid Penn merger proposal. Sandler s opinion does not address the underlying business decision of Mid Penn to engage in the merger, the form or structure of the merger, the relative merits of the merger as compared to any other alternative business strategies that might exist for Mid Penn or the effect of any other transaction in which Mid Penn might engage. Sandler did not express any opinion as to the fairness of the amount or nature of the compensation to be received in the merger by Mid Penn s officers, directors, or employees, or class of such persons, if any, relative to the compensation to be received in the merger by any other shareholder of Mid Penn.

For further information, see *The Merger Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor*.

Board of Directors and Executive Officers of Mid Penn after the Merger (page [])

Following completion of the merger, the then current directors and executive officers of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank will continue in office. At the effective time of the merger, the boards of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank will be increased by four (4) directors. David E. Sparks, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of First Priority, and three (3) of the other current directors of First Priority selected by the board of directors of First Priority, with the approval of Mid Penn s board of directors, will be added to the boards of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank. Additionally, Mr. Sparks will be appointed as Chief Strategic Advisor to the Chief Executive Officer of Mid Penn Bank and Market President of First Priority Bank, a Division of Mid Penn Bank.

The Mid Penn Board of Directors Recommends That Mid Penn Shareholders Vote FOR Approval and Adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger (page [])

The Mid Penn board of directors believes that the merger is in the best interests of Mid Penn and has unanimously approved the merger and the merger agreement. The Mid Penn board of directors recommends that Mid Penn shareholders vote FOR approval and adoption of the agreement and plan of merger. The Mid Penn board also recommends that its shareholders vote FOR the proposal to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

The First Priority Board of Directors Recommends That First Priority Shareholders Vote FOR Approval and Adoption of the Agreement and Plan of Merger (page [])

The First Priority board of directors believes that the merger is in the best interests of First Priority and has unanimously approved the merger and the merger agreement. The First Priority board of directors recommends that First Priority shareholders vote FOR approval and adoption of the agreement and plan of merger. The First Priority board also recommends that its shareholders vote FOR the proposal to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

First Priority s Directors and Executive Officers Have Financial Interests in the Merger that May Differ from the Interests of First Priority Shareholders (page [])

In addition to their interests as First Priority shareholders, the directors and certain executive officers of First Priority have interests in the merger that are different from or in addition to interests of other First Priority shareholders. For purposes of the relevant First Priority agreements and plans, the completion of the merger will constitute a change in control. These additional interests may create potential conflicts of interest and cause some of these persons to view the proposed transaction differently than a First Priority shareholder may view it. The financial interests of First Priority s directors and executive officers in the merger include the following:

the appointment, effective at the closing of the merger, of David E. Sparks and three (3) other members of the board of directors of First Priority to the boards of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank and the payment of compensation to such individual in accordance with the policies of Mid Penn, which currently consists of the following payments to each of its non-employee directors: an annual retainer of \$30,000 for directors other than the Chairman and Vice-Chairman, and between \$500 and \$600 for each committee meeting attended, depending on the committee;

the appointment, effective at the closing of the merger, of Mr. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor to the Chief Executive Officer of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and Mid Penn Bank, and Market President of First Priority Bank, a Division of Mid Penn Bank;

the continued indemnification of current directors and executive officers of First Priority and its subsidiaries pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement;

certain of First Priority s named executive officers will be entitled to severance, change-in-control or other benefits and payments upon the closing of the merger; and

the acceleration of vesting of unvested First Priority options and restricted stock grants held by First Priority directors and officers, and the conversion of such First Priority options into the right to receive cash following the merger.

First Priority s board of directors was aware of these interests and took them into account in its decision to approve the agreement and plan of merger. For information concerning these interests, please see the discussion on page [] under the caption The Merger Interests of First Priority s Directors and Executive Officers in the

Merger. For more information concerning the closing conditions of the merger, please see the discussion on page [] under the caption *The Merger Agreement Covenants and Agreements*.

First Priority Shareholders Dissenters Rights to Appraisal Rights

Shareholders of First Priority will have appraisal or dissenters rights in connection with the merger. See *First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger* beginning on page [].

The Rights of First Priority Shareholders Will Change After the Merger (page [])

The rights of First Priority shareholders will change as a result of the merger due to differences in Mid Penn s and First Priority s governing documents. The rights of First Priority s shareholders are governed under Pennsylvania law and by First Priority s articles of incorporation and bylaws. Upon completion of the merger, First Priority shareholders will be governed under Pennsylvania law and by Mid Penn s articles of incorporation and bylaws. A description of shareholder rights under each of the Mid Penn and First Priority governing documents, and the material differences between them, is included in the section entitled *Comparison of Shareholders Rights* found on page [].

Conditions That Must Be Satisfied or Waived for the Merger to Occur (page [])

Currently, we expect to complete the merger in the third quarter of 2018. In addition to the approval of the merger proposal by the requisite vote of Mid Penn and First Priority shareholders and the receipt of all required regulatory approvals and expiration or termination of all statutory waiting periods in respect thereof, each as described herein, each party s obligation to complete the merger is also subject to the satisfaction or waiver (to the extent permitted under applicable law) of certain other conditions, including the effectiveness of the registration statement containing this joint proxy statement/prospectus, approval of the listing on the Nasdaq Stock Market of the Mid Penn common stock to be issued in the merger, the absence of any applicable law or order prohibiting the merger, the accuracy of the representations and warranties of the other party under the merger agreement (subject to the materiality standards set forth in the merger agreement), the performance by the other party of its respective obligations under the merger agreement in all material respects, delivery of officer certificates by the other party certifying satisfaction of the two preceding conditions and each of Mid Penn s and First Priority s receipt of a tax opinion to the effect that the merger will be treated as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code.

Neither First Priority nor Mid Penn can be certain when, or if, the conditions to the merger will be satisfied or waived, or that the merger will be completed.

No Solicitation of Other Offers (page [])

First Priority has agreed that it will not, and First Priority will cause its subsidiaries and each of their respective officers, directors, employees, representatives, agents, and affiliates not to, between the date of the merger agreement and the closing of the merger, directly or indirectly:

initiate, solicit, induce or encourage, or take any action to facilitate the making of, any inquiry, offer or proposal that constitutes, relates or could reasonably be expected to lead to an alternative acquisition proposal;

recommend or endorse an alternative acquisition transaction;

participate in any discussions or negotiations regarding an alternative acquisition proposal, or furnish or afford access to information or data to any person;

17

release anyone from, waive any provisions of, or fail to enforce any confidentiality agreement or standstill agreement to which First Priority is a party; or

enter into any agreement, agreement in principle or letter of intent with respect to any alternative acquisition proposal or approve or resolve to approve any alternative acquisition proposal or any agreement, agreement in principle or letter of intent relating to an alternative acquisition proposal.

The merger agreement does not, however, prohibit First Priority from furnishing information or access to a third party who has made an alternative acquisition proposal and participating in discussions and negotiating with such person prior to the receipt of shareholder approval if specified conditions are met. Among those conditions is a good faith determination by First Priority s board of directors that the acquisition proposal constitutes or that could reasonably be expected to lead to a proposal that is more favorable, from a financial point of view, to First Priority and its shareholders than the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and is reasonably capable of being completed on its stated terms, taking into account all financial, regulatory, legal and other aspects of the proposal.

For further discussion of the restrictions on solicitation of acquisition proposals from third parties, see *The Merger Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers* beginning on page [].

Termination of the Merger Agreement (page [])

We may mutually agree to terminate the merger agreement before completing the merger, even after First Priority or Mid Penn shareholder approval. In addition, either of us may decide to terminate the merger agreement, if (i) a court or governmental entity issues a final order that is not appealable prohibiting the merger, (ii) a bank regulator which must grant a regulatory approval as a condition to the merger denies such approval of the merger and such denial has become final and is not appealable, (iii) the shareholders of Mid Penn or First Priority fail to approve the merger at their respective special meetings, or (iv) the other party breaches the merger agreement in a way that would entitle the party seeking to terminate the agreement not to consummate the merger, subject to the right of the breaching party to cure the breach within 30 days following written notice. Either of us may terminate the merger agreement if the merger has not been completed by December 31, 2018, unless the reason the merger has not been completed by that date is a breach of the merger agreement by the company seeking to terminate the merger agreement.

Mid Penn may terminate the merger agreement if the First Priority board of directors, in connection with the receipt of an alternative acquisition proposal, (1) enters into a letter of intent, agreement in principle or an acquisition agreement with respect to the alternative acquisition proposal, (2) fails to make, withdraws, modifies or qualifies its recommendation of the merger agreement in a manner adverse to Mid Penn, or (3) has otherwise made a determination to accept the alternative acquisition proposal.

First Priority may terminate the merger agreement if First Priority receives an alternative acquisition proposal and has made a determination to accept the alternative acquisition proposal. First Priority may also terminate the merger agreement within five days of its receipt of written notice from Mid Penn that Mid Penn intends to consummate or enter into an agreement: (1) to acquire a third party or group by way of merger, consolidation, share exchange or similar transaction, (2) with respect to any transaction pursuant to which Mid Penn would acquire twenty-five percent (25%) or more of the assets of a third party, or (3) to issue or sell any equity or debt securities of Mid Penn (other than pursuant to existing stock purchase and dividend reinvestment plans maintained by Mid Penn).

However, if First Priority chooses to exercise this termination right, Mid Penn has the option, within forty-eight hours of receipt of notice from First Priority, to terminate the proposed transaction and prevent termination under this provision.

18

Termination Fee (page [])

First Priority will pay Mid Penn a termination fee of \$3,500,000 if the merger agreement is terminated under the following circumstances:

by Mid Penn because First Priority has received an alternative acquisition proposal, and First Priority (1) enters into a letter of intent, agreement in principle or an acquisition agreement with respect to the alternative acquisition proposal, (2) fails to make, withdraws, modifies or qualifies its recommendation of the merger agreement in a manner adverse to Mid Penn, or (3) has otherwise made a determination to accept the alternative acquisition proposal; or

by First Priority, if First Priority receives an alternative acquisition proposal and has made a determination to accept the alternative acquisition proposal in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement.

Additionally, First Priority will pay Mid Penn a termination fee of \$3,500,000 in the event that First Priority enters into a definitive agreement relating to, or consummates, an acquisition proposal within twelve months following termination of the merger agreement:

by Mid Penn because of a willful breach of the merger agreement by First Priority; or

by either Mid Penn or First Priority, if the shareholders of First Priority fail to approve the merger and either First Priority breached the non-solicitation provisions of the merger agreement or a third party publicly proposed or announced an alternative acquisition proposal.

Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger (page [])

Completion of the merger and the bank merger are subject to the receipt of all approvals required to complete the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including from the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the FRB), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC) and the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities (the PDB).

Notifications and/or applications requesting approval may also be submitted to various other federal and state regulatory authorities and self-regulatory organizations. Mid Penn and First Priority have agreed to use their reasonable best efforts to obtain all required regulatory approvals. As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, Mid Penn has received the requisite approvals or waivers from the FRB, the FDIC and the PDB, which remain effective as of the date of this document.

Risk Factors (page [])

You should consider all the information contained in or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus in deciding how to vote for the proposals presented in the joint proxy statement/prospectus. In particular, you should consider the factors described under Risk Factors.

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF MID PENN

The following table provides historical consolidated summary financial data for Mid Penn. The data for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, 2015, 2014 and 2013 are derived from Mid Penn s audited financial statements as of or for the periods then ended. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the full year or any other interim period. Mid Penn s management prepared the unaudited information on the same basis as it prepared Mid Penn s audited consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of Mid Penn s management, this information reflects all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of this data for those dates.

		Three Mor	nths l	s Ended Year Ended December 31,										
(Dollars in														
thousands, except	M	arch 31,	M	arch 31,										
per share data)		2018		2017		2017		2016		2015		2014		2013
INCOME:														
Total Interest														
Income	\$	12,980	\$	10,559	\$	43,892	\$	40,212	\$	36,490	\$	30,627	\$	28,983
Total Interest														
Expense		2,102		1,384		6,304		5,367		4,607		4,427		5,057
Net Interest														
Income		10,878		9,175		37,588		34,845		31,883		26,200		23,926
Provision for Loan		105		105		225		1.070		1.065		1.617		1.607
and Lease Losses		125		125		325		1,870		1,065		1,617		1,685
Noninterest		1 6 47		1 426		5.602		5.004		4 112		2.204		2.200
Income		1,647		1,436		5,693		5,924		4,113		3,284		3,290
Noninterest		11 102		7,802		21 267		20 010		26,759		20,704		19,391
Expense Income Before		11,183		7,802		31,367		28,818		20,739		20,704		19,391
Provision for														
Income Taxes		1,217		2,684		11,589		10,081		8,172		7,163		6,140
Provision for		1,217		2,004		11,509		10,001		0,172		7,103		0,140
Income Taxes		213		690		4,500		2,277		1,644		1,462		1,201
Net Income		1,004		1,994		7,089		7,804		6,528		5,701		4,939
Series A Preferred		1,001		1,,,,		7,007		7,001		0,520		3,701		1,535
Stock Dividends														
and Discount														
Accretion														14
Series B Preferred														
Stock Dividends														
and Redemption														
Premium										473		350		309
Series C Preferred														
Stock Dividends										17				
Net Income		1,004		1,994		7,089		7,804		6,038		5,351		4,616
Available to														
Common														

COMMON STOCK DATA PER SHARE Famings Per Common Share (Basic) South Stock South State (Basic) South	Shareholders							
PER SHARE:								
PER SHARE:								
Common Share Comm	PER SHARE:							
Common Share Comm	Earnings Per							
Reasic S	•							
Earnings Per Common Share Common Share Common Share Clully Diluted)		\$ 0.17	\$ 0.47	\$ 1.67	\$ 1.85	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.53	\$ 1.32
Common Share Cash Dividends Declared	` /							
Cauly Diluted)	_							
Cash Dividends		0.17	0.47	1.67	1.85	1.47	1.53	1.32
Declared	` '							
Cash Dividends	Declared		0.13	0.77	0.68	0.44	0.45	0.25
Paid 0.25 0.23 0.62 0.58 0.44 0.45 0.25 Book Value Per Common Share 22.72 17.08 17.85 16.65 16.58 15.48 13.71 Tangible Book Value Per Common Share 3.72								
Book Value Per Common Share Comm		0.25	0.23	0.62	0.58	0.44	0.45	0.25
Common Share 22.72 17.08 17.85 16.65 16.58 15.48 13.71 Tangible Book Value Per Common Share 18.21 16.04 16.82 15.59 15.49 15.13 13.35 AVERAGE SHARES S.		0,20	5.25	3.02	3.0		51.12	5.25
Tangible Book Value Per Common Share(a) 18.21 16.04 16.82 15.59 15.49 15.13 13.35 13.35 14.48 15.13 15.13		22.72	17.08	17.85	16.65	16.58	15.48	13.71
Value Per Common Share(***) 18.21 16.04 16.82 15.59 15.49 15.13 13.35 AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (BASIC): 5.974.949 4.233,308 4.236,616 4.229,284 4.106,548 3.495,705 3.491,653 AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (FULLY DILUTED): 5.974,949 4.233,308 4.236,616 4.229,284 4.106,548 3.495,705 3.491,653 AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (FULLY DILUTED): 5.974,949 4.233,308 4.236,616 4.229,284 4.106,548 3.495,705 3.491,653 BALANCE SHEET DATA: Available-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Fair Value \$122,342 \$119,525 \$93,465 \$133,625 \$135,721 \$141,634 \$122,803 Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 101,356 Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 Amortized Cost 131,293 Amort								
Common Share(a) 18.21 16.04 16.82 15.59 15.49 15.13 13.35 AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (BASIC):	· ·							
AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (BASIC): 5,974,949 4,233,308 4,236,616 4,229,284 4,106,548 3,495,705 3,491,653 AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (FULLY DILUTED): 5,974,949 4,233,308 4,236,616 4,229,284 4,106,548 3,495,705 3,491,653 BALANCE SHEET DATA: Available-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Fair Value \$122,342 \$119,525 \$93,465 \$133,625 \$135,721 \$141,634 \$122,803 Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 101,356 Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest 1,007,138 834,220 910,404 813,924 736,513 571,533 546,462 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,87 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 3,4611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414		18.21	16.04	16.82	15.59	15.49	15.13	13.35
SHARES OUTSTANDING CBASIC):					20.00	20117	20120	20.00
OUTSTANDING (BASIC): 5,974,949 4,233,308 4,236,616 4,229,284 4,106,548 3,495,705 3,491,653 AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (FULLY DILUTED): 5,974,949 4,233,308 4,236,616 4,229,284 4,106,548 3,495,705 3,491,653 BALANCE SHEET DATA: Available-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Fair Value \$122,342 \$119,525 \$93,465 \$133,625 \$135,721 \$141,634 \$122,803 Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 101,356 Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest 1,007,138 834,220 910,404 813,924 736,513 571,533 546,462 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 3,4161 3,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414								
CBASIC): 5,974,949 4,233,308 4,236,616 4,229,284 4,106,548 3,495,705 3,491,653								
AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (FULLY DILUTED): 5,974,949 4,233,308 4,236,616 4,229,284 4,106,548 3,495,705 3,491,653 BALANCE SHEET DATA: Available-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Fair Value \$ 122,342 \$ 119,525 \$ 93,465 \$ 133,625 \$ 135,721 \$ 141,634 \$ 122,803 Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 101,356 Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest 1,007,138 834,220 910,404 813,924 736,513 571,533 546,462 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings		5.974.949	4.233.308	4,236,616	4.229.284	4.106.548	3,495,705	3,491,653
SHARES OUTSTANDING (FULLY DILUTED): 5,974,949 4,233,308 4,236,616 4,229,284 4,106,548 3,495,705 3,491,653 BALANCE SHEET DATA: Available-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Fair Value \$122,342 \$119,525 \$93,465 \$133,625 \$135,721 \$141,634 \$122,803 Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 101,356 Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest 1,007,138 834,220 910,404 813,924 736,513 571,533 546,462 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 1,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414	` '	- , ,	, ,	, , , , , ,	, , , ,	,,-	., ,	-, - ,
OUTSTANDING (FULLY DILLY DILLY DILUTED): 5,974,949 4,233,308 4,236,616 4,229,284 4,106,548 3,495,705 3,491,653 BALANCE SHEET DATA: Available-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Fair Value \$122,342 \$119,525 \$93,465 \$133,625 \$135,721 \$141,634 \$122,803 Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 101,356 Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest 1,007,138 834,220 910,404 813,924 736,513 571,533 546,462 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings								
CFULLY DILUTED):								
DILUTED): 5,974,949 4,233,308 4,236,616 4,229,284 4,106,548 3,495,705 3,491,653 BALANCE SHEET DATA: Available-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Fair Value \$122,342 \$119,525 \$93,465 \$133,625 \$135,721 \$141,634 \$122,803 Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 101,356 Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest 1,007,138 834,220 910,404 813,924 736,513 571,533 546,462 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414								
BALANCE SHEET DATA: Available-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Fair Value \$122,342 \$119,525 \$93,465 \$133,625 \$135,721 \$141,634 \$122,803 Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 101,356 Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest 1,007,138 834,220 910,404 813,924 736,513 571,533 546,462 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414		5,974,949	4,233,308	4,236,616	4,229,284	4,106,548	3,495,705	3,491,653
SHEET DATA: Available-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Fair Value \$122,342 \$119,525 \$93,465 \$133,625 \$135,721 \$141,634 \$122,803 Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 101,356 Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest 1,007,138 834,220 910,404 813,924 736,513 571,533 546,462 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414		- , ,	, ,	, , -	, , , ,	,,	-,,	-, - ,
Available-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Fair Value \$ 122,342 \$ 119,525 \$ 93,465 \$ 133,625 \$ 135,721 \$ 141,634 \$ 122,803 Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost								
Investment Securities, at Fair Value \$ 122,342 \$ 119,525 \$ 93,465 \$ 133,625 \$ 135,721 \$ 141,634 \$ 122,803 Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost		;						
Value \$ 122,342 \$ 119,525 \$ 93,465 \$ 133,625 \$ 135,721 \$ 141,634 \$ 122,803 Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 101,356 <	Investment							
Value \$ 122,342 \$ 119,525 \$ 93,465 \$ 133,625 \$ 135,721 \$ 141,634 \$ 122,803 Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 101,356 <	Securities, at Fair							
Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 101,356 Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest 1,007,138 834,220 910,404 813,924 736,513 571,533 546,462 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414	· ·	\$ 122,342	\$ 119,525	\$ 93,465	\$ 133,625	\$ 135,721	\$ 141,634	\$ 122,803
Securities, at Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 101,356 Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest 1,007,138 834,220 910,404 813,924 736,513 571,533 546,462 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414	Held-For-Sale	,	,	,	,	,	,	
Amortized Cost 131,293 49,654 101,356 Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest 1,007,138 834,220 910,404 813,924 736,513 571,533 546,462 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414 7,414	Investment							
Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest 1,007,138 834,220 910,404 813,924 736,513 571,533 546,462 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414 7,414	Securities, at							
Net of Unearned Interest 1,007,138 834,220 910,404 813,924 736,513 571,533 546,462 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414 7,414	Amortized Cost	131,293	49,654	101,356				
Interest 1,007,138 834,220 910,404 813,924 736,513 571,533 546,462 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414	Loans and Leases,							
Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414	Net of Unearned							
Loan and Lease Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414	Interest	1,007,138	834,220	910,404	813,924	736,513	571,533	546,462
Losses 7,666 7,620 7,606 7,183 6,168 6,716 6,317 Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414	Allowance for							
Total Assets 1,391,217 1,072,938 1,170,354 1,032,599 931,638 755,657 713,125 Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414	Loan and Lease							
Total Deposits 1,212,423 971,887 1,023,568 935,373 777,043 637,922 608,130 Short-term Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414	Losses	7,666	7,620	7,606	7,183	6,168	6,716	6,317
Short-term 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414	Total Assets	1,391,217	1,072,938	1,170,354	1,032,599	931,638	755,657	713,125
Borrowings 34,611 31,596 578 23,833 Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414	Total Deposits	1,212,423	971,887	1,023,568	935,373	777,043	637,922	608,130
Long-term Debt 12,297 13,524 12,352 13,581 40,305 52,961 23,145 Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414	Short-term							
Subordinated Debt 17,335 7,416 17,338 7,414 7,414	Borrowings			34,611		31,596	578	23,833
	Long-term Debt	12,297	13,524	12,352	13,581	40,305	52,961	23,145
139,124 72,327 75,703 70,467 70,068 59,130 52,916	Subordinated Debt	17,335	7,416	17,338	7,414	7,414		
		139,124	72,327	75,703	70,467	70,068	59,130	52,916

Shareholders

Equity to Average

10.61%

6.77%

Assets

Equity RATIOS: Return on Average Assets (annualized) 0.30% 0.77% 0.64% 0.78% 0.74% 0.78% 0.71% Return on Average Shareholders Equity (annualized) 2.78% 11.33% 9.48% 10.71% 9.16% 9.95% 9.37% Cash Dividend Payout Ratio 0.00% 53.49% 37.13% 31.43% 29.93% 29.41% 18.94% Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses to Loans and Leases, Net of **Unearned Interest** 0.76% 0.91% 0.84% 0.88% 0.83% 1.18% 1.16% Average Shareholders

6.78%

7.28%

8.06%

7.80%

7.56%

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF FIRST PRIORITY

The following table provides historical consolidated summary financial data for First Priority. The data for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, 2015, 2014 and 2013 are derived from First Priority s audited financial statements as of or for the periods then ended. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the full year or any other interim period. First Priority s management prepared the unaudited information on the same basis as it prepared First Priority s audited consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of First Priority s management, this information reflects all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of this data for those dates.

		Three Moi	nths E	hs Ended Year Ended December 31,										
(Dollars in	M	anala 21	N	anah 21										
thousands, except per share data)	IVI	arch 31, 2018		arch 31, 2017		2017		2016		2015		2014		2013
INCOME:		2016		2017		2017		2016		2015		2014		2013
Total Interest														
Income	\$	6,354	\$	5,740	\$	24,053	\$	21,246	\$	19,510	\$	18,647	\$	17,649
Total Interest	Ψ	0,554	Ψ	3,740	Ψ	24,033	Ψ	21,240	Ψ	17,510	Ψ	10,047	Ψ	17,047
Expense		1,667		1,265		5,849		4,530		3,325		2,872		3,149
Net Interest		-,		-,		2,012		1,000		0,020		_,-,-		C, C 17
Income		4,687		4,475		18,204		16,716		16,185		15,775		14,500
Provision for Loan	l	,		,		,		,		,		·		,
and Lease Losses		20		10		385		710		610		1,132		645
Noninterest														
Income		164		178		1,041		1,545		1,155		1,007		739
Noninterest														
Expense		3,859		3,498		14,401		14,123		13,683		13,227		14,365
Income Before														
Provision for														
Income Taxes		972		1,145		4,459		3,428		3,047		2,423		229
Provision														
(Benefit) for														
Income Taxes ⁽³⁾		210		363		2,001		1,128		935		(4,502)		33
Net Income		762		782		2,458		2,300		2,112		6,925		196
Preferred Stock														
Dividends,														
including Net		77		77		206		407		001		570		522
Amortization		77		77		306		407		801		579		532
Net Income (Loss) Available to	1													
Common														
Shareholders		685		705		2,152		1,893		1,311		6,346		(336)
COMMON		003		703		2,132		1,093		1,511		0,540		(330)
STOCK DATA														
PER SHARE:														
LER SIII III.	\$	0.10	\$	0.11	\$	0.33	\$	0.29	\$	0.20	\$	0.98	\$	(0.06)
	7	0.20	7		-		-		-		-		7	()

Earnings (Loss) Per Common							
Share - Basic							
Earnings (Loss)							
Per Common							
Share - Fully							
Diluted	0.10	0.11	0.32	0.29	0.20	0.98	(0.06)
Cash Dividends							
Declared							
Cash Dividends							
Paid							
Book Value Per							
Common Share	7.19	6.96	7.16	6.84	6.58	6.33	5.12
Tangible Book							
Value Per							
Common Share ⁽²⁾	6.76	6.51	6.72	6.38	6.11	5.85	4.62
AVERAGE							
SHARES							
OUTSTANDING							
(BASIC):	6,636,000	6,534,000	6,559,000	6,514,000	6,469,000	6,443,000	5,822,000
AVERAGE	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,,	-,,	- ,- ,	.,,	-, -,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
SHARES							
OUTSTANDING							
(FULLY							
DILUTED):	6,974,000	6,705,000	6,785,000	6,570,000	6,538,000	6,457,000	5,822,000
BALANCE		, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,
SHEET DATA:							
Available-For-Sale							
Investment							
Securities, at Fair							
Value	\$ 35,798	\$ 36,780	\$ 52,373	\$ 70,560	\$ 94,704	\$ 75,557	\$ 78,636
Held-For-Sale							
Investment							
Securities, at							
Amortized Cost	18,548	18,923	18,665	19,043	19,886	15,956	10,963
Loans and Leases,							
Net of Unearned							
Interest	518,252	487,781	518,927	488,243	409,153	375,222	335,737
Allowance for							
Loan and Lease							
Losses	3,405	3,332	3,405	3,330	2,795	2,313	2,273
Total Assets	614,634	602,597	609,942	597,795	546,540	492,311	446,088
Total Deposits	511,986	467,971	523,150	467,688	406,687	378,209	357,420
Federal Home							
Loan Bank of							
Pittsburgh							
Advances	40,025	74,364	24,625	68,164	74,725	62,472	44,625
Subordinated Debt	9,238	9,213	9,231	9,207	9,201		
Shareholders							
Equity ⁽¹⁾	51,223	48,916	50,496	48,046	52,091	50,211	42,392
RATIOS:							

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Return on Average Assets							
(annualized)	0.52%	0.56%	0.43%	0.44%	0.44%	1.56%	0.05%
Return on Average Shareholders							
Equity							
(annualized)	6.06%	6.33%	4.92%	4.81%	4.11%	15.16%	0.47%
Cash Dividend							
Payout Ratio	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Allowance for							
Loan and Lease							
Losses to Loans							
and Leases, Net of							
Unearned Interest	0.66%	0.68%	0.66%	0.68%	0.68%	0.62%	0.68%
Average							
Shareholders							
Equity to Average							
Assets	8.54%	8.59%	8.66%	9.20%	10.64%	10.28%	10.50%

⁽¹⁾ For the year ended December 31, 2016, Shareholders Equity reflects a \$6 million redemption of preferred stock; See Note 13, Shareholders Equity of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

⁽²⁾ Tangible Book Value Per Common Share excludes goodwill and core deposits and other intangibles, net

⁽³⁾ For the year ended December 31, 2017, income tax expense included a non-recurring non-cash reduction in the value of First Priority s net deferred tax asset (DTA) which resulted in a charge of \$571 thousand as a result of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, enacted on December 22, 2017, which lowered the Company s future maximum corporate tax rate from 34 percent to 21 percent. For the year ended December 31, 2014 an income tax benefit of \$4.5 million resulted from the reversal of the valuation allowance on net deferred tax assets.

(Dollars in thousands, except per share

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF SCOTTDALE

The following table provides historical consolidated summary financial data for Scottdale. The data for the year ended December 31, 2017 are derived from Scottdale sunaudited financial statements as of or for the period then ended, and the data for the year ended December 31, 2016 are derived from Scottdale sudited financial statements as of or for the period then ended. The unaudited financial information for the year ended December 31, 2017 was prepared on the same basis as Scottdale sudited consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of Mid Penn sunangement, this information reflects all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of this data for those dates. Upon the closing of the merger of Scottdale with and into Mid Penn Bank effective January 8, 2018, Mid Penn Bank acquired all of the assets and assumed all of the liabilities of Scottdale.

Year Ended December	31,
---------------------	-----

data)	2	2017		2016		2015		2014		2013
INCOME:										
Total Interest Income	\$	6,618	\$	6,739	9	6,589	\$	7,003	\$	7,438
Total Interest Expense		510		520		689		862		860
Net Interest Income		6,108		6,219		5,900		6,141		6,578
Provision (Credit) for Loan and										
Lease Losses				(200)						
Noninterest Income		6,751		487		549		631		458
Noninterest Expense		5,745		6,253		5,544		4,956		5,458
Income Before Provision for Income Taxes		7,114		653		905		1,816		1,578
Provision (Credit) for Income Taxes		1,161		103		29		(46)		94
Net Income		5,953		550		876		1,862		1,484
COMMON STOCK DATA PER SHARE:										
Earnings Per Common Share (Basic)	\$	117.37	\$	10.84	9	19.39	\$	36.71	\$	29.25
Earnings Per Common Share (Fully Diluted)		117.37		10.84		19.39		36.71		29.25
Cash Dividends Declared		9.00		30.00		9.00		9.00		8.00
Cash Dividends Paid		9.00		30.00		9.00		9.00		8.00
Book Value Per Common Share		920.36		892.84		902.28		900.25		883.39
Tangible Book Value Per Common Share		920.36		892.84		902.28		900.25		883.39
AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING										
(BASIC):		50,718		50,718		50,718		50,718		50,718
AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING		00,710		00,710		20,710		00,710		00,710
(FULLY DILUTED):		50,718		50,718		50,718		50,718		50,718
BALANCE SHEET DATA:										
Available-For-Sale Investment Securities, at										
Fair Value	\$ 1	14,908	\$	77,282	9	66,859	\$	55,226	\$	54,643
Held-For-Sale Investment Securities, at	ΨΙ	11,500	4	77,202		, 00,057	Ψ	33,220	Ψ	5 1,0 15
Amortized Cost				100,558		101,683		115,260	1	21,291
Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest		69,869		63,379		59,210		59,794		61,341
Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses		541		553		756		755		756
Total Assets	2	60,735		263,476		262,234		259,992	2	257,892
		,,,,,		_ 50, 0		,		,		.,.,=

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Total Deposits	210,658	215,985	214,390	212,196	212,373
Short-term Borrowings					
Long-term Debt					
Subordinated Debt					
Shareholders Equity	46,679	45,283	45,762	45,659	44,804
RATIOS:					
Return on Average Assets	2.26%	0.21%	0.33%	0.72%	0.58%
Return on Average Shareholders Equity	12.90%	1.19%	1.88%	4.06%	3.40%
Cash Dividend Payout Ratio	7.67%	276.75%	46.42%	24.52%	27.35%
Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses to					
Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Interest	0.77%	0.87%	1.28%	1.26%	1.23%
Average Shareholders Equity to Average					
Assets	17.55%	17.51%	17.78%	17.68%	16.98%

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following unaudited pro forma combined condensed consolidated financial information has been prepared using the acquisition method of accounting, giving effect to the merger of Mid Penn with First Priority. The following unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial information is based upon the assumption that the total number of shares of First Priority common stock outstanding immediately prior to the completion of the merger will be 6,646,469 and utilizes the exchange ratio of 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn common stock for each share of First Priority common stock issued and outstanding as of the effective time of the merger, which will result in approximately 2,313,636 shares of Mid Penn common stock being issued in the transaction.

The following unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial statements as of and for the periods ended March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 combine the historical consolidated financial statements of Mid Penn and First Priority. The unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial statements give effect to the proposed merger as if the merger occurred on March 31, 2018 with respect to the consolidated balance sheet, and at the beginning of the applicable period, for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and for the year ended December 31, 2017, with respect to the consolidated income statement.

The notes to the unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial statements describe the pro forma amounts and adjustments presented below. THIS PRO FORMA DATA IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF THE OPERATING RESULTS THAT MID PENN WOULD HAVE ACHIEVED HAD IT COMPLETED THE MERGER AS OF THE BEGINNING OF THE PERIOD PRESENTED AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED AS REPRESENTATIVE OF FUTURE OPERATIONS.

The Mid Penn pro forma balance sheet and income statement information as of March 31, 2018 presented below reflects the acquisition of Scottdale by Mid Penn, which was completed on January 8, 2018. The Mid Penn pro forma income statement information as of December 31, 2017 does not reflect the acquisition of Scottdale by Mid Penn.

Certain reclassifications have been made to First Priority historical financial information in order to conform to Mid Penn s presentation of financial information.

The actual value of Mid Penn s common stock to be recorded as consideration in the merger will be based on the closing price of Mid Penn s common stock at the time of the merger completion date. The proposed merger is targeted for completion in the third quarter of 2018. There can be no assurance that the merger will be completed as anticipated. For purposes of the pro forma financial information, the fair value of Mid Penn common stock to be issued in connection with the merger of First Priority was based on Mid Penn s closing stock price of \$37.50 on January 12, 2018.

The pro forma financial information includes estimated adjustments, including adjustments to record assets and liabilities of First Priority at their respective fair values and represents the pro forma estimates by Mid Penn based on available fair value information as of the date of the merger agreement. In some cases, where noted, more recent information has been used to support estimated adjustments in the pro forma financial information.

We anticipate that the merger will provide the combined company with financial benefits that include reduced operating expenses. The unaudited pro forma combined condensed consolidated financial data, while helpful in illustrating the financial characteristics of the combined company under one set of assumptions, does not reflect the benefits of expected cost savings or opportunities to earn additional revenue and, accordingly, does not attempt to predict or suggest future results. It also does not necessarily reflect what the historical results of the combined company would have been had our companies been combined during these periods.

The unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial information presented below is based on, and should be read together with, the historical financial information that Mid Penn, First Priority and Scottdale have included in or incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as of and for the indicated periods.

Pro Forma Combined Consolidated Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2018

Unaudited (in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.	First Priority Financial Corp.	Unadjusted Combined	Pro Forma Adjustments		Pro Forma Combined
ASSETS	*	•		ŭ		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 20,866	\$ 5,474	\$ 26,340	\$ (3,890)	A	\$ 22,450
Interest-bearing balances with						
other financial institutions	5,346	25,021	30,367			30,367
Federal funds sold	32,963		32,963			32,963
Total cash and cash equivalents	59,175	30,495	89,670	(3,890)		85,780
Investment securities available for sale, at fair value	122,342	35,798	158,140			158,140
Investment securities held to					_	
maturity, at amortized cost	131,293	18,548	149,841	683	В	150,524
Loans held for sale	1,348		1,348			1,348
Loans and leases, net of	1 007 120	510.252	1 505 200	(7.056)	<i>C</i>	1.510.104
unearned interest	1,007,138	518,252	1,525,390	(7,256)	C	1,518,134
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	(7,666)	(3,405)	(11,071)	3,405	D	(7,666)
Net loans and leases	999,472	514,847	1,514,319	(3,851)		1,510,468
Bank premises and equipment,	20.015	2.025	22.040		Е	22.040
net Cash surrender value of life	20,015	2,025	22,040		E	22,040
insurance	13,106	3,342	16,448			16,448
Restricted investment in bank	15,100	3,342	10,440			10,440
stocks	2,759	2,032	4,791			4,791
Foreclosed assets held for sale	745	440	1,185	(145)	F	1,040
Deferred income taxes	3,821	937	4,758	761	G	5,519
Goodwill	22,528	2,725	25,253	31,825	Н	57,078
Core deposit and other	,-	_,		2 1,0 20		2,,0,0
intangibles, net	5,126	154	5,280	1,027	I	6,307
Accrued interest receivable and	ĺ		ŕ	ŕ		,
other assets	9,487	3,291	12,778			12,778
Total Assets	\$ 1,391,217	\$ 614,634	\$ 2,005,851	\$ 26,410		\$ 2,032,261
LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY						

Deposits:										
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 195,330	\$	69,457	\$	264,787	\$			\$	264,787
Interest-bearing demand	355,939		30,333		386,272					386,272
Money market	270,489		85,089		356,052					356,052
Savings	174,920		59,309		233,755					233,755
Time	215,745		267,798		483,543					483,543
Total Deposits	1,212,423		511,986	1	1,724,409				1	,724,409
Short-term borrowings			31,025		31,025					31,025
Long-term debt	12,297		9,000		21,297					21,297
Subordinated debt	17,335		9,238		26,573		308	J		26,881
Accrued interest payable and										
other liabilities	10,038		2,162		12,200		3,646	L		15,846
Total Liabilities	1,252,093		563,411	1	1,815,504		3,954		1	,819,458
Shareholders Equity:										
Preferred stock			3,404		3,404					3,404
Common stock	6,123		6,646		12,769		(4,333)	K		8,436
Additional paid-in capital	103,382		41,267		144,649		30,341	K		174,990
Retained earnings	33,525		362		33,887		(4,008)	K,L,M		29,879
Accumulated other										
comprehensive loss	(3,906)		(456)		(4,362)		456	K		(3,906)
_										
Total Shareholders Equity	139,124		51,223		190,347		22,456			212,803
Total Liabilities and										
Shareholders Equity	\$ 1,391,217	\$	614,634	\$ 2	2,005,851	\$	26,410		\$ 2	2,032,261
-										
Per Common Share Data:										
Common shares outstanding	6,122,717	6	5,646,469			(4	,332,833)		8	3,436,353
Book value per common share	\$ 22.72	\$	7.19						\$	25.25
Tangible book value per										
common share:										
Total common equity	\$ 139,124	\$	47,819						\$	213,045
Less: goodwill and intangibles	27,654		2,879							63,385
Total tangible equity	\$ 111,470	\$	44,940						\$	149,660
Tangible book value per										
common share	\$ 18.21	\$	6.76						\$	17.74

Pro Forma Combined Consolidated Statement of Income

For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2017

Unaudited (in thousands, except per share data)

		First				
		Priority				
	Mid Penn	Financial	Unadjusted			Pro Forma
	Bancorp, Inc.	Corp.	Combined	Adjustments		Combined
INTEREST INCOME						
Interest & fees on loans and leases	\$ 40,156	\$ 22,074	\$ 62,230	\$ 711	C	62,941
Interest on interest-bearing balances	18	201	219			219
Interest and dividends on investment						
securities:						
U.S. Treasury, government agency, and other taxable securities	2,490	1,199	3,689			3,689
State and political subdivision obligations,	2,490	1,199	3,069			3,069
tax-exempt	1,113	579	1,692			1,692
Interest on federal funds sold	1,115	319	115			1,092
interest on rederar runds sold	113		113			113
Total Interest Income	43,892	24,053	67,945	711		68,656
	- ,	,	, -			
INTEREST EXPENSE						
Interest on deposits	5,463	4,713	10,176			10,176
Interest on short-term borrowings	111	305	416			416
Interest on long-term and subordinated						
debt	730	831	1,561	(103)	J	1,458
				44.0.2		1.5.0.7.0
Total Interest Expense	6,304	5,849	12,153	(103)		12,050
NI	27.500	10.204	55.702	014		56.606
Net Interest Income	37,588	18,204	55,792	814		56,606
PROVISION/(CREDIT) FOR LOAN	205	205	710			710
AND LEASE LOSSES	325	385	710			710
Net Interest Income After Provision for						
Loan and Lease Losses	37,263	17 910	55.092	814		55 906
Loan and Lease Losses	37,203	17,819	55,082	014		55,896
NONINTEREST INCOME						
Income from fiduciary activities	845	168	1,013			1,013
Service charges on deposits	721	132	853			853
Net gain on sales of investment securities	42	416	458			458
Earnings from cash surrender value of life						
insurance	262	70	332			332
Mortgage banking income	872		872			872
ATM debit card interchange income	937	114	1,051			1,051

Merchant services income	335			335					335
Net gain on sales of SBA loans	800			800					800
Other income	879		141	1,020					1,020
Total Noninterest Income	5,693		1,041	6,734					6,734
NONINTEREST EXPENSE									
Salaries and employee benefits	16,929		8,273	25,202					25,202
Occupancy and equipment expense, net	4,048		1,844	5,892					5,892
Pennsylvania Bank Shares tax expense	451		354	805					805
FDIC Assessment	792		520	1,312					1,312
Legal and professional fees	802		690	1,492					1,492
Marketing and advertising expense	516		336	852					852
Software licensing and data processing	1,458		927	2,385					2,385
Telephone expense	497		81	578					578
Loss on sale/write-down of foreclosed									
assets	88		178	266					266
Intangible amortization	104		65	169		150	I		319
Merger and acquisition expense	619			619		5,213	L		5,832
Other expenses	5,063		1,133	6,196					6,196
Total Noninterest Expense	31,367		14,401	45,768		5,363			51,131
INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR									
INCOME TAXES	11,589		4,459	16,048		(4,549)			11,499
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	4,500		2,001	6,501		(588)	M		5,913
Trovision (benefit) for meonic taxes	1,500		2,001	0,501		(500)	141		5,715
NET INCOME	7,089		2,458	9,547		(3,961)			5,586
Preferred dividends			306	306					306
NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO									
COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$ 7,089	\$	2,152	\$ 9,241	\$	(3,961)		\$	5,280
Weighted average common shares									
outstanding:									
Basic	4,236,616		5,559,000			1,332,833)			462,783
Diluted	4,236,616	6	5,785,000		(4	1,332,833)		6,	462,783
Earnings per common share:									
Basic	\$ 1.67	\$	0.33					\$	0.94
Diluted	\$ 1.67	\$	0.32					\$	0.94

Pro Forma Combined Consolidated Statement of Income

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2018

Unaudited (in thousands, except per share data)

		First Priority				
	Mid Penn	Financial	Unadjusted	Pro Forma		Pro Forma
INTEREST INCOME	Bancorp, Inc.	Corp.	Combined	Adjustments		Combined
Interest & fees on loans and leases	\$ 11,337	\$ 5,885	\$ 17,222	\$ 237	С	17,459
Interest on interest-bearing balances	9	44	53	23 ,		53
Interest and dividends on investment	-					
securities:						
U.S. Treasury, government agency, and						
other taxable securities	924	328	1,252			1,252
State and political subdivision						
obligations, tax-exempt	542	97	639			639
Interest on federal funds sold	168		168			168
Total Interest Income	12,980	6,354	19,334	237		19,571
INTEREST EXPENSE						
Interest on deposits	1,780	1,333	3,113			3,113
Interest on short-term borrowings	12	125	137			137
Interest on long-term and subordinated						
debt	310	209	519	(26)	J	493
m . 11	2 102	1.667	2.760	(20)		2.742
Total Interest Expense	2,102	1,667	3,769	(26)		3,743
Net Interest Income	10,878	1 607	15 565	263		15 020
PROVISION FOR LOAN AND	10,878	4,687	15,565	203		15,828
LEASE LOSSES	125	20	145			145
LLAGE LOGGES	123	20	143			143
Net Interest Income After Provision for						
Loan and Lease Losses	10,753	4,667	15,420	263		15,683
Dour and Lease Losses	10,755	1,007	13,120	203		15,005
NONINTEREST INCOME						
Income from fiduciary activities	240	41	281			281
Service charges on deposits	203	29	232			232
Net gain on sales of investment						
securities	98		98			98
Earnings from cash surrender value of						
life insurance	64	16	80			80
Mortgage banking income	156		156			156

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

ATM debit card interchange income	265		286			286
Merchant services income	78		82			82
Net gain on sales of SBA loans	257		257			257
Other income	286	53	339			339
Total Noninterest Income	1,647	164	1,811			1,811
NONINTEREST EXPENSE						
Salaries and employee benefits	5,064	2,215	7,279			7,279
Occupancy and equipment expense, net	1,205	501	1,706			1,706
Pennsylvania Bank Shares tax expense	171	98	269			269
FDIC Assessment	228	3 142	370			370
Legal and professional fees	224	211	435			435
Marketing and advertising expense	189	58	247			247
Software licensing and data processing	514	258	772			772
Telephone expense	147	19	147			166
Loss on sale/write-down of foreclosed						
assets	2	$2 \qquad (45)$	(43)			(43)
Intangible amortization	248	3 15	248	38	I	301
Merger and acquisition expense	1,694	92	1,786	4,701	L	6,487
Other expenses	1,497	295	1,826			1,792
Total Noninterest Expense	11,183	3,859	15,042	4,739		19,781
INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR						
INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	1,217	972	2,189	(4,476)		(2,287)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	213		423	(680)	M	(2,287) (257)
1 Tovision (benefit) for income taxes	21.	210	723	(000)	171	(231)
NET INCOME	1,004	762	1,766	(3,796)		(2,030)
Preferred dividends	1,00	77	77	(3,770)		77
1 Totoffed dividends		, ,	, ,			7 7
NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO						
COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$ 1,004	\$ 685	\$ 1,689	(3,796)	\$	(2,107)
COMMON SITE INCESTED EACH	Ψ 1,00	Ψ 002	Ψ 1,009	(3,770)	Ψ	(2,107)
Weighted average common shares						
outstanding:						
Basic	5,974,949	6,636,000		(4,332,833)		8,278,116
Diluted	5,974,949	6,974,000		(4,332,833)		8,278,116
Earnings per common share:						
Basic	\$ 0.17				\$	
Diluted	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.10			\$	-0.11

Explanatory Notes to the Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Consolidated Financial Statements

A. Under the definitive merger agreement between Mid Penn and First Priority, shareholders of First Priority common stock will receive, for each share of First Priority held at the effective time of the merger, 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn common stock as merger consideration. This exchange ratio will not change as a result of changes in the Mid Penn share price. The projected value and allocation of the merger consideration paid by Mid Penn in common stock and cash following the closing of the merger of First Priority with and into Mid Penn, based upon the relevant provisions of the definitive merger agreement, is projected as follows:

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. Acquisition of First Priority Financial Corp.

Projected Acquisition Purchase Price Consideration Including Allocation Between Cash and Mid Penn Common Stock

(Dollars in Thousands except per share data)

Total Acquisition Purchase Price Consideration:

Cash Consideration Buyout of Outstanding Options: There are 733,892 options outstanding as of March 31, 2018 to purchase First Priority common stock, with a weighted average exercise price of \$5.77 per option. In accordance with the definitive merger agreement, outstanding options at the time of the merger will be converted to the right to receive cash at a per-option value of \$11.07 less the exercise price, without interest. The resulting cash consideration is projected at \$5.30 for each

First Priority common stock option outstanding. \$ 3,890

Stock Consideration: First Priority shareholders representing 6,646,469 shares outstanding as of March 31, 2018, will receive 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn common stock for each First Priority share as merger consideration. This exchange ratio will not change as a result of changes in the Mid Penn share price. Using this data, Mid Penn will issue approximately 2,313,636 new shares of common stock in connection with the merger. For purposes of this pro forma analysis, the estimated fair value of Mid Penn common stock was estimated at \$31.95 per share, which was the closing price of Mid Penn common stock as of March 31, 2018.

\$73,921

Total Acquisition Purchase Price Consideration:

\$77,811

B. The First Priority held-to-maturity portfolio will be maintained at the same classification post-merger, but in accordance with acquisition accounting requirements, will be acquired by Mid Penn at the fair value of the securities. As of March 31, 2018, the fair value of the held-to-maturity portfolio exceeded the amortized cost of these securities by \$683,000.

C.

The estimated adjustments to First Priority s loan portfolio, to reflect the acquisition fair value after the reversal of the allowance for loan and lease losses previously recorded by First Priority, include (i) estimated nonaccretable specific credit marks of \$150,000 representing approximately twenty-five percent of the balance of nonperforming loans as of March 31, 2018, and (ii) a combined amount of general portfolio accretable credit marks and interest rate marks on the loan portfolio estimated at \$7,106,000 which is based upon a preliminary evaluation of the general credit risk and interest rate risk profile of the loan portfolio, which includes a higher relative volume of commercial and industrial credits, and commercial real estate credits, as of March 31, 2018. The earnings impact of the accretable general and interest rate

27

adjustments is projected to be recognized over approximately ten years, using an amortization method based upon the expected average life of the acquired loan portfolio, resulting in an increase to pre-tax interest income of \$711,000 in the first year post-merger.

- D. This unaudited pro forma adjustment reflects the reversal of the First Priority allowance for loan losses of \$3,405,000 in accordance with the GAAP method of acquisition accounting requiring the recording of acquired loans at fair value.
- E. Given that premises and equipment owned by First Priority were all acquired in recent years and, based upon preliminary condition and value assessments, don t reflect either (i) significant concerns of obsolescence or inordinate deterioration beyond recorded depreciation, or (ii) significant appreciation, no material fair value adjustments to premises and equipment are projected.
- F. The foreclosed assets (other real estate owned) of First Priority as of March 31, 2018 totaled \$440,000. The fair value of any foreclosed real estate still held near the time of merger will be determined by certified appraisals or bona fide sales offers, adjusted for estimated selling costs, obtained closer to the actual merger date. For these pro forma financials, an estimated fair value mark of \$145,000 was assigned to the pre-merger carrying value of First Priority foreclosed real estate.
- G. The unaudited pro forma adjustments to the combined-entity result in a net deferred tax asset. Based upon Mid Penn s level of reported earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2018, and the full year ended December 31, 2017, and the projected earnings of Mid Penn and First Priority combined post-merger, Mid Penn believes it is probable that the combined entity will have sufficient future federal taxable income to fully realize the combined deferred tax asset benefits. The pro-forma combined deferred tax asset, including \$761,000 for the related deferred tax impact of acquisition accounting adjustments, was valued based upon an assumed corporate tax rate of 21%, which is the tax rate applicable to tax periods after December 31, 2017, as a result of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act enacted December 22, 2017.

28

H. For purposes of the unaudited pro forma combined consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2018, Goodwill of \$34,550,000 is projected to result from the Mid Penn acquisition of First Priority. However, the eventual amount actually recorded as Goodwill will be determined as part of the final acquisition accounting as of the merger date, and the Goodwill amount will be based on a purchase price using the value of Mid Penn common stock at closing and may be adjusted from this projection based on changes in financial condition and transactions subsequent to March 31, 2018, as additional information becomes available and updated analyses are performed. The Goodwill will not be amortized, but will be measured for impairment annually (or more frequently if warranted). The unaudited pro forma combined consolidated statement of income projects no Goodwill impairment in the first year post merger. The following reflects the unaudited pro forma calculation of Goodwill based upon estimated fair value adjustments to First Priority assets to be acquired and liabilities to be assumed:

Goodwill Resulting from the Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. Acquisition of First Priority Financial Corp.

Pro Forma as of December 31, 2017

(Dollars in Thousands)		
Acquisition Purchase Price Consideration (\$3,890 in Cash and \$73,921 in		
Mid Penn Stock) *:		\$77,811
Net Assets Acquired:		
First Priority Financial Corp. Common Stockholders Equity	\$47,819	
Core Deposit Intangible	1,181	
Adjustments to First Priority Assets acquired to reflect fair value:		
Investments to record the held-to-maturity portfolio to fair value	683	
Loans general credit and interest rate marks	(7,106)	
Loans specific credit marks	(150)	
Allowance for loan losses	3,405	
Other real estate owned	(145)	
Pre-transaction goodwill and remaining CDI of First Priority	(2,879)	
Deferred tax impact of fair value adjustments	761	
Adjustments to First Priority Liabilities assumed to reflect fair value:		
Subordinated Debt	(308)	
		43,261
Goodwill resulting from merger		\$ 34,550

I. The unaudited consolidated combined consolidated balance sheet projects a core deposit intangible asset (premium) of \$1,181,000 reflecting the evaluated stability and decay rate of First Priority s core deposits, and the combined entity s ability to generate increased long-term earnings from these deposits. The core deposit intangible will be amortized over a ten-year period using a sum of the year s digits basis, resulting in \$215,000 of additional intangible amortization in the first year post merger.

^{*} See the analysis and calculation of the merger consideration in Explanatory Note A.

- J. The unaudited pro forma combined consolidated financial statements reflect an adjustment of \$308,000 to reflect the estimated fair value of First Priority s higher-cost subordinated debt outstanding. The adjustment will be recognized using a straight-line amortization method based upon the estimated remaining maturity of the subordinated debt which approximates seven years.
- K. The unaudited pro forma adjustments to the common stock and additional paid-in-capital accounts, and to the number of common shares outstanding, reflect the impact of projected acquisition consideration of \$73,921,000 to be paid in Mid Penn common stock, as further detailed in Explanatory Note A. The adjustments to retained earnings and accumulated other comprehensive loss reflect the elimination of the respective balances in the First Priority equity accounts.

29

L. The unaudited consolidated combined consolidated statement of income reflects accruals for \$5,213,000 of combined merger-related expenses for both entities, including (i) \$2,828,000 of projected severance and retention bonus benefits to be accrued for and paid to certain First Priority employees expected to be displaced as of, or shortly after, the effective date of the merger including certain change-in-control payments made in accordance with IRC sections 280G and 4999; (ii) \$1,410,000 for First Priority s and Mid Penn s investment banking fees and expenses contingent upon the successful closing of the merger; (iii) \$609,000 for Mid Penn s and First Priority s combined legal, accounting and other professional fees related to the merger; (iv) \$326,000 of termination and conversion costs related to the core processing, storage and imaging, internet banking, and debit card processing service amendments related to the expansion of existing systems and service agreement for the merger-related conversion and integration of First Priority customer and account data; and (v) \$40,000 of other merger-related expenses.

Of the above merger-related expenses, a net liability of \$3,646,000 will be recorded at the time of legal closing as a result of \$4,238,000 of combined severance costs and investment banking fees, net of related taxes of \$592,000 representing the 21% tax benefit on the deductible portion of these liabilities.

For the consolidated statements of income for the year ended December 31, 2017 (before applying pro forma adjustments), Mid Penn and First Priority reported no merger expenses related to this combination, although Mid Penn reported merger expenses of \$619,000 related to its separate acquisition of The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company. For the consolidated statements of income for the three months ended March 31, 2018 (before applying pro forma adjustments), Mid Penn and First Priority combined reported a combined \$512,000 of expenses related to this combination, and Mid Penn also reported merger expenses of \$1,274,000 related to its separate acquisition of The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company.

M. The unaudited pro forma income tax adjustments assume a corporate tax rate of 21% related to (i) the deductible portion of merger-related expenses and (ii) fair value adjustments on pre-tax amounts, in the unaudited pro forma combined financial statements.

30

COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following table sets forth certain historical Mid Penn and First Priority per share data giving effect to the merger (which we refer to as pro forma information). In presenting the comparative pro forma information for the time period shown, we assumed that we had been merged on the date or at the beginning of the period indicated.

Mid Penn anticipates that the combined company will derive financial benefits from the merger that include reduced operating expenses and the opportunity to earn more revenue. The pro forma combined information, while helpful in illustrating the financial characteristics of Mid Penn following the merger under one set of assumptions, does not reflect these benefits and, accordingly, does not attempt to predict or suggest future results. The pro forma combined information also does not necessarily reflect what the historical results of Mid Penn would have been had our companies been combined during this period.

This data should be read together with Mid Penn s and First Priority s historical financial statements and notes thereto, included elsewhere in or incorporated by reference in this document. Please see Selected Consolidated Historical Financial Data of Mid Penn beginning on page [], Selected Consolidated Historical Financial Data of First Priority beginning on page [] and Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page []. The per share data is not necessarily indicative of the operating results that Mid Penn would have achieved had it completed the merger as of the beginning of the period presented and should not be considered as representative of future operations.

	As of and for the three months ended March 31, 2018		As of and for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017	
Comparative Per Share Data:				
Basic net income (loss) per common				
share:				
Mid Penn historical	\$	0.17	\$	1.67
First Priority historical		0.10		0.33
Pro forma combined ⁽¹⁾		(0.25)		0.82
Equivalent pro forma for one share of First				
Priority common stock ⁽³⁾		(0.09)		0.28
Diluted net income (loss) per common				
share:				
Mid Penn historical	\$	0.17	\$	1.67
First Priority historical		0.10		0.32
Pro forma combined ⁽¹⁾		(0.25)		0.82
Equivalent pro forma for one share of First				
Priority common stock ⁽³⁾		(0.09)		0.28
Book value per common share:				
Mid Penn historical	\$	22.72	\$	17.85
First Priority historical		7.19		7.16
Pro forma combined ⁽²⁾		24.82		22.14
Equivalent pro forma for one share of First				
Priority common stock ⁽³⁾		8.64		7.71
Tangible book value per common share:				
Mid Penn historical	\$	18.21	\$	16.82

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

First Priority historical	6.76	6.72
Pro forma combined ⁽²⁾	17.31	16.41
Equivalent pro forma for one share of First		
Priority common stock ⁽³⁾	6.02	5.71

	As of and for the three months ended March 31, 2018	twelve m	and for the onths ended er 31, 2017
Cash dividends declared per common			
share:			
Mid Penn historical	\$	\$	0.77
First Priority historical			
Pro forma combined ⁽⁴⁾			0.77
Equivalent pro forma for one share of First			
Priority common stock ⁽³⁾			0.27

- (1) The pro forma combined basic earnings and diluted earnings of Mid Penn s common stock is based on the pro forma combined net income per common share for Mid Penn and First Priority divided by the pro forma common shares or diluted common shares of the combined entity, assuming 100% of the outstanding shares of First Priority common stock are exchanged for Mid Penn common stock at the exchange ratio of 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn common stock for each share of First Priority common stock in accordance with the merger agreement. The pro forma information does not include anticipated cost savings or revenue enhancements.
- (2) The pro forma combined book value of Mid Penn s common stock is based on pro forma combined common shareholders equity of Mid Penn and First Priority divided by total pro forma common shares of the combined entities, assuming 100% of the outstanding shares of First Priority common stock are exchanged for Mid Penn common stock at the exchange ratio of 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn common stock for each share of First Priority common stock in accordance with the merger agreement. The pro forma information includes adjustments related to the estimated fair value of assets and liabilities and is subject to adjustment as additional information becomes available and as additional analysis is performed. The unaudited pro forma combined consolidated information does not include anticipated cost savings or revenue enhancements.
- (3) The pro forma equivalent per share amount is calculated by multiplying the pro forma combined per share amount by the exchange ratio of 0.3481, assuming 100% of the outstanding shares of First Priority common stock are exchanged for Mid Penn common stock at the exchange ratio of 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn common stock for each share of First Priority common stock in accordance with the merger agreement.
- (4) The pro forma cash dividends declared of Mid Penn s common stock is based on the pro forma combined cash dividends declared for Mid Penn and First Priority divided by the pro forma common shares or diluted common shares of the combined entity, assuming 100% of the outstanding shares of First Priority common stock are exchanged for Mid Penn common stock at the exchange ratio of 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn common stock for each share of First Priority common stock in accordance with the merger agreement.

RISK FACTORS

In considering whether to vote in favor of the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, you should consider all of the information included in this document and its annexes and all of the information we have incorporated by reference and the risk factors identified by Mid Penn with respect to its operations included in its filings with the SEC, including in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 and subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. See *Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference*. In addition, you should consider the following risk factors.

Because the market price of Mid Penn shares of common stock will fluctuate, First Priority shareholders cannot be sure of the value of the merger consideration they may receive.

Upon completion of the merger, each share of First Priority common stock will be automatically converted into the right to receive 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn common stock, which we call the exchange ratio. The sale prices for shares of Mid Penn common stock may vary from the sale prices of Mid Penn common stock on the date we announced the merger, on the date this joint proxy statement/prospectus was mailed to First Priority shareholders and on the date of the special meeting of the First Priority shareholders. Any change in the market price of Mid Penn shares of common stock prior to closing the merger may affect the value of the merger consideration that First Priority shareholders will receive upon completion of the merger. First Priority is not permitted to resolicit the vote of First Priority shareholders solely because of changes in the market price of Mid Penn shares of common stock. Because the exchange ratio is fixed, if Mid Penn s stock price declines prior to the completion of the merger, Mid Penn will not be required to adjust the exchange ratio. Stock price changes may result from a variety of factors, including general market and economic conditions, changes in our respective businesses, operations and prospects and regulatory considerations. Many of these factors are beyond our control. You should obtain current market quotations for shares of Mid Penn common stock.

Mid Penn may be unable to successfully integrate First Priority s operations and retain First Priority s employees.

The merger involves the integration of two companies that have previously operated independently. The difficulties of combining the operations of the two companies include, among other things: integrating personnel with diverse business backgrounds; combining different corporate cultures; and retaining key employees.

The process of integrating operations could cause an interruption of, or loss of momentum in, the activities of the business and the loss of key personnel. The integration of the two companies will require the experience and expertise of certain key employees of First Priority who are expected to be retained by Mid Penn. Mid Penn may not be successful in retaining these employees for the time period necessary to successfully integrate First Priority s operations with those of Mid Penn. The diversion of management s attention and any delays or difficulties encountered in connection with the merger and the integration of the two companies operations could have an adverse effect on the business and results of operations of Mid Penn following the merger.

Additionally, Mid Penn may not be able to successfully achieve the level of cost savings and other synergies that it expects, and may not be able to capitalize upon the existing customer relationships of First Priority to the extent anticipated, or it may take longer, or be more difficult or expensive than expected to achieve these goals. This could have an adverse effect on Mid Penn s business, results of operation and stock price.

The market price of Mid Penn shares of common stock after the merger may be affected by factors different from those currently affecting the shares of First Priority.

The businesses of Mid Penn and First Priority differ and, accordingly, the results of operations of the combined company and the market price of the combined company s shares of common stock may be affected by factors different from those currently affecting the independent results of operations of Mid Penn. For a discussion of the businesses of Mid Penn and First Priority, see *Information about Mid Penn* and *Information about First Priority* on pages [] and [], respectively.

33

First Priority and Mid Penn shareholders will have a reduced ownership percentage and voting interest after the merger and will exercise less influence over management.

First Priority s shareholders currently have the right to vote in the election of the board of directors of First Priority and on certain other matters affecting First Priority. When the merger occurs, each First Priority shareholder that receives shares of Mid Penn common stock will become a shareholder of Mid Penn with a percentage ownership of the combined organization that is much smaller than the shareholder s current percentage ownership of First Priority. Additionally, each Mid Penn shareholder will have a percentage ownership of the combined organization that is smaller than the shareholder s current ownership in Mid Penn. More specifically, following completion of the merger, current Mid Penn shareholders will own in the aggregate approximately 72.6% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock and First Priority shareholders will own approximately 27.4% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock.

Because of this, each institution s existing shareholders will have less influence on the management and policies of Mid Penn than they now have on the management and policies of the institution in which they currently own shares.

The proposed First Priority merger and Mid Penn s recently completed Scottdale merger may increase the risks associated with each of these mergers, as well as place a strain on Mid Penn s financial and personnel resources that could adversely impact Mid Penn s business.

On January 8, 2018, Mid Penn completed its acquisition of Scottdale. The First Priority merger is expected to close during the third quarter of 2018. The recent completion of the Scottdale merger and the pending First Priority merger will cause Mid Penn to continue to incur significant expenditures and will require substantial attention and effort from Mid Penn s management and other personnel. Mid Penn s current and planned operations, personnel, facility size and configuration, systems and internal procedures and controls might be inefficient or inadequate to support these efforts at the same time. In addition, the risks associated with each of these mergers, as described herein and in Mid Penn s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, may increase while the First Priority merger is pending. The increased risks and obligations associated with the mergers could place a strain on Mid Penn s financial position and personnel resources, which may adversely affect Mid Penn s stock price, revenues, results of operations and/or financial condition.

Future issuances of Mid Penn equity securities could dilute shareholder ownership and voting interest of Mid Penn shareholders.

Mid Penn s articles of incorporation currently authorize the issuance of up to 10 million shares of common stock, which is the maximum number of shares Mid Penn may have issued and outstanding at any one time. Mid Penn s ability to issue additional shares is reduced by the number of shares that are currently outstanding and already reserved for future issuances. Any future issuance of equity securities by Mid Penn may result in dilution in the percentage ownership and voting interest of Mid Penn shareholders. Also, any securities Mid Penn sells in the future may be valued differently and the issuance of equity securities for future services, acquisitions or other corporate actions may have the effect of diluting the value of shares held by Mid Penn shareholders.

The merger agreement limits First Priority s ability to pursue alternatives to the merger.

The merger agreement contains no shop provisions that, subject to specified exceptions, limit First Priority s ability to discuss, facilitate or commit to competing third-party proposals to acquire all or a significant part of First Priority. In addition, a termination fee is payable by First Priority under certain circumstances, generally involving the decision to pursue an alternative transaction. These provisions might discourage a potential competing acquiror that might have

an interest in acquiring all or a significant part of First Priority from considering or proposing that acquisition, even if it were prepared to pay consideration with a higher per share value than that proposed in the merger, or might result in a potential competing acquiror proposing to pay a lower per share price to acquire First Priority than it might otherwise have proposed to pay, if the merger with Mid Penn had not been announced.

First Priority shareholders have dissenters rights in the merger.

Dissenters rights are statutory rights that, if applicable under law, enable shareholders to dissent from an extraordinary transaction, such as a merger, and to demand that the corporation pay the fair value for their shares as determined by a court in a judicial proceeding instead of receiving the merger consideration offered to shareholders in connection with the extraordinary transaction.

Regulatory waivers and approvals already received may expire, be revoked or be amended to impose conditions that are not presently anticipated or cannot be met.

Before the transactions contemplated in the merger agreement, including the merger, may be completed, various waivers, approvals or consents must be obtained from various bank regulatory and other authorities, including the FRB, the FDIC and the PDB. These governmental entities may impose conditions on the completion of the merger or require changes to the terms of the merger agreement. Further, such approvals are subject to expiration if the transaction is not consummated within the time period provided in the approval.

As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, all requisite bank regulatory waivers and approvals have been received and remain effective, and none contain any unusual conditions to completion of the merger or require changes to the merger agreement. However, these waivers and approvals are subject to modification or revocation by the issuing regulatory authorities prior to the merger. Mid Penn may be required to request an extension of the expiration date for one or more approvals. Although Mid Penn and First Priority do not currently expect that any waivers or approvals will be revoked or modified to impose conditions on the merger or changes to the merger agreement, there can be no assurance that they will not be, and such conditions or changes could have the effect of delaying completion of the transactions contemplated in the merger agreement or imposing additional costs on or limiting Mid Penn s revenues, any of which might have a material adverse effect on Mid Penn following the merger.

First Priority s directors and executive officers have financial interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of First Priority shareholders.

First Priority s directors and executive officers have financial interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of First Priority shareholders. For example, David E. Sparks and three other current directors of First Priority will serve on the boards of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank after the merger, Mr. Sparks will be appointed Chief Strategic Advisor to the Chief Executive Officer of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank and Market President of First Priority Bank, a Division of Mid Penn Bank, and each will receive compensation for his services as an employee or director, as applicable. In addition, certain officers or employees may receive certain severance payments if they are terminated following the merger. For information concerning these interests, please see the discussion under the caption *The Merger Interests of First Priority s Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger* on page [].

The shares of Mid Penn common stock to be received by First Priority shareholders as a result of the merger will have different rights from the shares of First Priority common stock.

Upon completion of the merger, First Priority shareholders will become Mid Penn shareholders. Their rights as shareholders will be governed by Pennsylvania corporate law and the articles of incorporation and bylaws of Mid Penn. The rights associated with First Priority common stock are governed by the articles of incorporation and bylaws of First Priority and are different from the rights associated with Mid Penn common stock. See the section of this joint proxy statement/prospectus titled *Comparison of Shareholders Rights* beginning on page [] for a discussion of the different rights associated with Mid Penn common stock.

Termination of the merger agreement could negatively affect First Priority.

If the merger agreement is terminated, there may be various consequences, including the fact that First Priority s businesses may have been adversely impacted by the failure to pursue other beneficial opportunities due to the focus of management on the merger, without realizing any of the anticipated benefits of completing the merger.

If the merger agreement is terminated and First Priority s board of directors seeks another merger or business combination, First Priority shareholders cannot be certain that First Priority will be able to find a party willing to offer equivalent or more attractive consideration than the consideration Mid Penn has agreed to provide in the merger.

If the merger agreement is terminated and a different business combination is pursued, First Priority may be required to pay a termination fee of \$3,500,000 to Mid Penn under certain circumstances. See *The Merger Agreement Termination Fee* beginning on page [].

The fairness opinions obtained by First Priority and Mid Penn from their respective financial advisors will not reflect changes in circumstances subsequent to the date that such opinions were rendered.

First Priority has obtained a fairness opinion dated as of January 16, 2018, from its financial advisor, Griffin. Mid Penn has obtained a fairness opinion dated as of January 16, 2018, from its financial advisor, Sandler. Neither First Priority nor Mid Penn has obtained, and neither will obtain, an updated opinion as of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus from their respective financial advisor. Changes in the operations and prospects of Mid Penn or First Priority, general market and economic conditions and other factors that may be beyond the control of Mid Penn and First Priority may alter the value of Mid Penn or First Priority or the price of shares of Mid Penn common stock or First Priority common stock by the time the merger is completed. The opinions do not speak to the time the merger will be completed or to any other date other than the date of such opinions. As a result, the opinions will not address the fairness of the merger consideration, from a financial point of view, at the time the merger is completed. For a description of the opinion that First Priority received from Griffin, please see *The Merger Opinion of First Priority s Financial Advisor* beginning on page [] of this joint proxy statement/prospectus. For a description of the opinion that Mid Penn received from Sandler, please see *The Merger Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor* beginning on page [] of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

The merger agreement may be terminated in accordance with its terms and the merger may not be completed for other reasons.

The merger agreement is subject to a number of conditions that must be fulfilled in order to complete the merger. Those conditions include, among others: approval of the merger agreement by Mid Penn and First Priority shareholders, regulatory approvals, absence of orders prohibiting the completion of the merger, effectiveness of the registration statement of which this joint proxy statement/prospectus is a part, approval of the shares of Mid Penn common stock to be issued to First Priority shareholders for listing on the Nasdaq Global Select Market, the continued accuracy of the representations and warranties by both parties, the performance by both parties of their covenants and agreements, and the receipt by both parties of legal opinions from their respective tax counsels. See *The Merger Agreement Termination of the Merger Agreement* beginning on page [] for a more complete discussion of the circumstances under which the merger agreement could be terminated. The conditions to closing of the merger may not be fulfilled and the merger may not be completed.

We may fail to realize all of the anticipated benefits of the merger.

The success of the merger will depend, in part, on our ability to realize the anticipated benefits and cost savings from combining the businesses of Mid Penn and First Priority. However, to realize these anticipated benefits and cost savings, which include increased Mid Penn lending limits and access to stable core deposits, we must

36

successfully combine the businesses of Mid Penn and First Priority. If we are not able to achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the merger may not be realized fully or at all, or may take longer to realize than expected.

Mid Penn and First Priority have operated and, until the completion of the merger, will continue to operate, independently. It is possible that the integration process could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of each company s ongoing businesses or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect our ability to maintain relationships with clients, customers, depositors and employees or to achieve the anticipated benefits of the merger. Integration efforts between the two companies will also divert management attention and resources. These integration matters could have an adverse effect on Mid Penn or First Priority during the transition period.

Another expected benefit from the merger is an expected increase in the revenues of the combined company from anticipated sales of Mid Penn s greater variety of financial products, and from increased lending out of Mid Penn s substantially larger capital base, to First Priority s existing customers and to new customers in First Priority s market area who may be attracted by the combined company s enhanced offerings. An inability to successfully market Mid Penn s products to First Priority s customer base could cause the earnings of the combined company to be less than anticipated.

Failure to complete the merger could negatively affect the market price of Mid Penn's and First Priority's common stock.

If the merger is not completed for any reason, Mid Penn and First Priority will be subject to a number of material risks, including the following:

the market price of First Priority common stock may decline to the extent that the current market prices of its common stock already reflect a market assumption that the merger will be completed;

costs relating to the merger, such as legal, accounting and financial advisory fees, and, in specified circumstances, additional reimbursement and termination fees, must be paid even if the merger is not completed; and

the diversion of management s attention from the day-to-day business operations and the potential disruption to each company s employees and business relationships during the period before the completion of the merger may make it difficult to regain financial and market positions if the merger does not occur.

Unanticipated costs relating to the merger could reduce Mid Penn s future earnings per share.

Mid Penn and First Priority believe that they have reasonably estimated the likely incremental costs of the combined operations of Mid Penn and First Priority following the merger. However, it is possible that unexpected transaction costs such as taxes, fees or professional expenses or unexpected future operating expenses such as unanticipated costs to integrate the two businesses, increased personnel costs or increased taxes, as well as other types of unanticipated adverse developments, including negative changes in the value of First Priority s loan portfolio, could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations and financial condition of Mid Penn following the merger. In addition, if actual costs are materially different than expected costs, the merger could have a significant dilutive effect on

Mid Penn s earnings per share.

First Priority will be subject to business uncertainties and contractual restrictions while the merger is pending.

Uncertainty about the effect of the merger on employees and customers may have an adverse effect on First Priority and consequently on Mid Penn. These uncertainties may impair First Priority s ability to attract, retain and motivate key personnel until the merger is consummated, and could cause customers and others that deal

with First Priority to seek to change existing business relationships with First Priority. Retention of certain employees may be challenging while the merger is pending, as certain employees may experience uncertainty about their future roles with Mid Penn. If key employees depart because of issues relating to the uncertainty and difficulty of integration or a desire not to remain with Mid Penn, Mid Penn s business following the merger could be harmed. In addition, the merger agreement restricts First Priority from taking certain actions until the merger occurs without the consent of Mid Penn. These restrictions may prevent First Priority from pursuing attractive business opportunities that may arise prior to the completion of the merger. Please see the section entitled *The Merger Agreement Covenants and Agreements* beginning on page [] of this joint proxy statement/prospectus for a description of the restrictive covenants to which First Priority is subject under the merger agreement.

If the merger is not completed, First Priority and Mid Penn will have incurred substantial expenses without realizing the expected benefits of the merger.

First Priority and Mid Penn have both incurred substantial expenses in connection with the merger. The completion of the merger depends on the satisfaction of specified conditions and the continued effectiveness of regulatory approvals and the approval of Mid Penn s and First Priority s shareholders. First Priority and Mid Penn cannot guarantee that these conditions will be met. If the merger is not completed, these expenses could have an adverse impact on the financial condition and results of operations on a stand-alone basis for both First Priority and Mid Penn.

Litigation relating to the merger could require us to incur significant costs and suffer management distraction, as well as delay and/or enjoin the merger.

Neither First Priority nor Mid Penn is currently able to predict the outcome of any suit arising out of or relating to the proposed transaction that may be filed in the future. If any letters or complaints are filed, absent allegations that are material, First Priority and Mid Penn will not necessarily announce such filings.

First Priority and Mid Penn could be subject to demands or litigation related to the merger, whether or not the merger is consummated. Such actions may create additional uncertainty relating to the merger, and responding to such demands and defending such actions may be costly and distracting to management. Although there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcomes of any demand or any subsequent litigation, neither First Priority nor Mid Penn believes that the resolution of such demands or any subsequent litigation will have a material adverse effect on its respective financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

38

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This joint proxy statement/prospectus contains or incorporates by reference a number of forward-looking statements, including statements about the financial conditions, results of operations, earnings outlook and prospects of Mid Penn, First Priority and the potential combined company and may include statements for periods following the completion of the merger. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words such as plan, believe, expect, anticipate, intend, outlook, estimate, forecast, project and other similar words and expressions.

The forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties. The ability of either Mid Penn or First Priority to predict results or the actual effects of its plans and strategies, or those of the combined company, is subject to inherent uncertainty. Factors that may cause actual results or earnings to differ materially from such forward-looking statements include those beginning on page [] under *Risk Factors*, as well as, among others, the following:

those discussed and identified in public filings with the SEC and bank regulatory agencies made by Mid Penn and First Priority;

completion of the merger is dependent on, among other the things, the receipt of shareholder approvals, the timing of which cannot be predicted with precision and which may not be received at all, as well as the continued effectiveness of regulatory approvals, which may be revoked or expire;

the merger may be more expensive to complete than anticipated, including as a result of unexpected factors or events;

higher than expected increases in Mid Penn s or First Priority s loan losses or in the level of nonperforming loans;

higher than expected charges incurred by Mid Penn in connection with marking First Priority s assets to fair value;

a continued weakness or unexpected decline in the U.S. economy, in particular in Pennsylvania;

a continued or unexpected decline in real estate values within Mid Penn s and First Priority s market areas;

unanticipated reduction in Mid Penn s or First Priority s respective deposit bases or funding sources;

government intervention in the U.S. financial system and the effects of and changes in trade and monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including the interest rate risk policies of the FRB;

legislative and regulatory actions could subject Mid Penn to additional regulatory oversight which may result in increased compliance costs and/or require Mid Penn to change its business model;

the integration of First Priority s business and operations with those of Mid Penn may take longer than anticipated, may be more costly than anticipated and may have unanticipated adverse results relating to First Priority s or Mid Penn s existing businesses; and

the anticipated cost savings and other synergies of the merger may take longer to be realized or may not be achieved in their entirety, and attrition in key client, partner and other relationships relating to the merger may be greater than expected.

Because forward-looking statements are subject to assumptions and uncertainties, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these statements, which speak only as of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus or the date of any document incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

39

All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements concerning the merger or other matters addressed in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and attributable to Mid Penn or First Priority or any person acting on their behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Except to the extent required by applicable law or regulation, Mid Penn and First Priority undertake no obligation to update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

THE MERGER

Background of the Merger

During the course of developing its strategic plan, Mid Penn s board of directors and senior management team regularly consider various strategies for creating additional shareholder value, including growth through the expansion of its customer base in existing markets, as well as growth through the acquisition of community banks in existing or other markets. As part of this strategic planning process, Mid Penn routinely evaluates possible business combinations, and has completed two acquisitions in recent years Phoenix Bancorp, Inc. in 2015, and The Scottdale Bank & Trust Co. in the first quarter of 2018.

Since First Priority s formation, its board of directors and executive management have been committed to the goal of achieving shareholder returns through balanced growth and profitability. The company has defined its opportunity for shareholder value as strategic optionality, which has included organic growth, growth through acquisitions and product diversification, or a combination of these factors. First Priority s board of directors periodically reviews the landscape for financial institutions and considers the company s strategic options in light of that landscape.

First Priority has taken a number of actions since its organization to further its goals of providing shareholder value, including the acquisition of Prestige Community Bank (Newtown Pennsylvania) in 2008, the acquisitions of Affinity Bank (Berks County, Pennsylvania) in March 2013, the quotation of the Company s common stock on the OTCQX Market beginning in 2015, and the purchase of a \$64 million performing loan and relationship portfolio from a Philadelphia-based financial institution in August 2016.

These transactions and activities were also consistent with the board s view that competitive and other factors at play in the financial services sector made a larger relative size and scale for First Priority desirable in delivering acceptable shareholder returns.

Shortly after its integration of Affinity Bank, First Priority also commenced discussions with a local financial institution regarding a potential merger of equals transaction. Confidentiality agreements were signed and each party engaged an investment banker. A number of meetings occurred during 2014 and 2015 between the senior management teams. Social issues for the transaction were generally agreed to by the parties, but the parties, based on the advice from their respective investment bankers, could not reach agreement on financial terms for a transaction. Negotiations terminated in 2015.

During 2016, First Priority s Chief Executive Officer, at the board s direction and as part of the company s ongoing consideration of strategic paths, met with a number of other financial institutions, both smaller and larger, that were considered potential candidates for a business combination transaction with First Priority. With respect to smaller institutions, First Priority concluded, based on discussions with the institutions contacted, that these institutions either had no desire to sell or were not for sale at a price that First Priority was willing to pay. With respect to larger institutions, preliminary discussions on potential pricing or other issues relating to market structure, earnings potential, or other factors in a particular case resulted in discussions terminating at a preliminary stage.

First Priority s unsuccessful attempts to identify smaller institutions to acquire at an acceptable price, or to find an acceptable merger of equals transaction partner, resulted in a change in strategic emphasis. As financial institutions, including First Priority, faced stronger lending and deposit growth competition, along with higher capital levels required to support growth, First Priority changed its strategic focus to core deposit growth and access to lower cost core deposits. As part of this change in strategic focus, First Priority began to identify and consider potential business combination partners with low loan to deposit ratios and with lower cost deposits.

In late 2015, representatives of Griffin had introduced First Priority s Chief Executive Officer to executive management of a large regional bank holding company with headquarters outside Pennsylvania. Throughout

41

2016 and into March 2017, First Priority s Chief Executive Officer attended several meetings and discussions with executive management of this institution. The parties executed confidentiality agreements, prepared financial analyses on a potential transaction, and exchanged certain due diligence information. First Priority believed that this party had a favorable liquid market structure and the capital to support First Priority s operations and growth. The institution s preliminary indication of pricing, however, was below First Priority s expectations, and discussions terminated in early 2017.

In June 2016, First Priority s Chief Executive Officer contacted Mid Penn s Chief Executive Officer and requested an introductory meeting, which occurred on June 15, 2016. Several follow-up meetings occurred through the remainder of 2016 and into 2017 to discuss strategic direction for both institutions, corporate culture, financial results and capital, management alignment, and Mid Penn s market structure. During the course of these early discussions, Mid Penn commenced negotiations to acquire The Scottdale Bank & Trust Company, and the First Priority discussions were put on hold. Mid Penn announced the Scottdale transaction on March 29, 2017.

Following the suspension of the initial discussions with Mid Penn, during the remainder of 2016 and into 2017, First Priority continued to consider potential combinations with other financial institutions and, consistent with its focus on core deposits, First Priority s Chief Executive Officer and representatives of Griffin also had preliminary discussions with a number of larger institutions in nearby markets.

In April 2017, First Priority s Chief Executive Officer was introduced by a third party investment banker to the Chief Executive Officer of a publicly traded regional financial institution located outside of First Priority s market area. Several additional meetings occurred during May 2017, including meetings attended by First Priority s Chief Executive Officer and other senior management personnel at the offices of the other institution. Follow-up meetings to discuss financial and other aspects of a potential transaction were held throughout June and July 2017. First Priority s board of directors discussed a potential business combination at meetings held on June 22, 2017 and July 27, 2017, and, at the July 27 meeting, the board authorized the Strategic Finance Committee of the board to approve negotiation of a transaction. During August 2017, the parties exchanged a draft preliminary term sheet, for discussion purposes, containing certain terms for a potential transaction. First Priority s board of directors reviewed the proposed financial terms for a potential transaction at a meeting held on September 28, 2017, and agreed with management that such terms were not sufficient for First Priority to agree to the exclusivity provisions requested by the other party. The board directed First Priority s Chief Executive Officer to continue to pursue discussions with other parties, including Mid Penn.

Commencing in June of 2017 and continuing into the fall of 2017, Mid Penn s Chief Executive Officer met and had a number of conversations with representatives of Sandler, Mid Penn s financial advisor on the then-pending Scottdale transaction, to discuss the concept, pricing and structure of a potential business combination with First Priority.

On August 3, 2017, First Priority s Chief Executive Officer met with Mid Penn s Chief Executive Officer for an update on the status of Mid Penn s pending merger transaction with Scottdale and to revisit the initial discussions involving a possible business combination between First Priority and Mid Penn. Each concluded that continuing discussions made sense, and that there was a strong compatibility between the business cultures and strategies of each institution. First Priority s Chief Executive Officer attended a dinner meeting with Mid Penn s Chief Executive Officer and Mid Penn s Chairman and Vice Chairman on September 6, 2017. On September 7, 2017, a meeting of the Executive Committee of the board of directors of Mid Penn was held during which a potential business combination with First Priority was discussed, and it was agreed that preliminary discussions should continue between the parties. Thereafter, a series of meetings occurred throughout October and early November 2017 between each company s Chief Executive Officers and Chief Financial Officers.

During the September-October time period, First Priority, through its Chief Executive Officer, or through representatives of Griffin, contacted the larger financial institutions with which First Priority had previously discussed a possible business combination to determine whether any of them had interest in discussing a

transaction at pricing levels deemed appropriate by First Priority. First Priority also continued discussions with Mid Penn

At its regularly scheduled meeting on October 25, the Mid Penn board of directors was updated by senior management as to the status of the ongoing discussions with First Priority. Discussion was held concerning potential pricing and social terms, as well as opportunities and challenges associated with such a combination being in close proximity to the anticipated consummation of the Scottdale transaction.

First Priority s board of directors held its annual strategic planning meeting on November 16, 2017. Representatives of Griffin attended the November 16 board meeting. Griffin provided a landscape overview, a capital markets update, a discussion of the impact of size and scale on financial institution performance and returns, and a merger and acquisition market update. The discussion also included consideration of the possible effects of anticipated corporate tax reform, which eventually concluded with the passage of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act on December 22, 2017. Griffin suggested that, although general stock market and economic performance had improved dramatically over the past year, certain negative factors or uncertainties remained and should be considered in planning activities by financial institutions. These negative factors or uncertainties included continued pressure on margins resulting from high levels of competition and the need for investments in technology, the high levels of federal debt, declining regional growth rates, and compliance costs. Griffin also addressed the organic growth challenges for a smaller community bank, as well as the challenges for smaller community banks growing by acquisition given the depleted number of candidates for either acquisitions or merger of equals transactions. Alternatively, the ability to achieve an appropriate size and scale generally leads to improved efficiency ratios, higher returns on assets and equity, increased liquidity for shareholders, and higher valuations. Griffin suggested that First Priority partnering with the right larger institution was an alternative worth considering given the potential economic uncertainties and increasing information technology and compliance costs. Such a transaction could result in an immediate, substantial increase in size and scale, including a higher lending limit, and likely improvement in net interest margin, and improved returns on assets and equity. Such a transaction should also result in a stronger market structure, including a better mix of retail and institutional shareholders, a higher market capitalization and improved trading liquidity, a dividend yield and potentially higher valuations.

At the November 16, 2017 strategic planning meeting, First Priority s Chief Executive Officer also presented certain background and financial information on Mid Penn, as well as the status of his conversations with Mid Penn s Chief Executive Officer. He pointed out a number of potential strategic benefits to a transaction with Mid Penn, including the market diversification for both companies, the increased size and scale of the combined entity, the ability to access lower cost funding, the additions to management depth for both companies, higher resulting investor interest and exposure, a good cultural and operational fit with similar views on credit, risk, strategy and growth, an attractive pricing for First Priority given Mid Penn s trading multiples compared to peers, the ability to enhance First Priority s lending platform without a need to restructure, the fact that a transaction would be immediately accretive to pro forma earnings and moderately dilutive to pro forma tangible book value, and the opportunity for First Priority shareholders to receive a regular cash dividend. First Priority s Chief Executive Officer also summarized the material transaction points that had been discussed to date, including that the transaction would be an all-stock merger transaction structured as a tax-free reorganization, that the exchange ratio would be fixed at 165% of First Priority s tangible book value at September 30, 2017, that First Priority would operate as a separate division, and that First Priority would have three or four directors serving on the boards of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank following the transaction. First Priority s Chief Executive Officer also pointed out that Mid Penn s current market structure was potentially a negative factor due to a low institutional to retail investor mix and relatively low average daily trading volume. He noted, however, that the combined company should have a market capitalization in excess of \$200 million and a significantly improved average daily trading volume, including anticipated qualification of Mid Penn common stock for the Russell 2000 Index during 2018, which would significantly mitigate any shareholder liquidity concerns.

As a result of the prior contacts with other institutions and First Priority s discussions with representatives of Mid Penn, First Priority s board of directors and executive management concluded that exclusive negotiations with

43

Mid Penn for a period of time would be appropriate at the pricing levels discussed at the November 16 meeting. Accordingly, at the meeting held on November 16, 2017, First Priority s board of directors authorized First Priority s Chief Executive Officer to negotiate and execute a non-binding term sheet with Mid Penn substantially on the terms discussed. The board authorized the inclusion of an exclusivity period through January 31, 2018, the termination date for the non-binding term sheet.

On November 17, 2017, Mid Penn held a special meeting of its shareholders, at which the Scottdale transaction was approved.

At its regularly scheduled meeting on November 21, 2017, the Mid Penn board of directors was updated by executive management as to the status of the discussions with First Priority, including the specific transaction terms discussed between each party s Chief Executive Officer. Mid Penn s board of directors authorized Mid Penn s Chief Executive Officer to negotiate and execute a non-binding term sheet with First Priority substantially on the terms described above.

On November 30, 2017, First Priority s Chief Executive Officer met with Mid Penn s Chief Executive Officer, together with First Priority s and Mid Penn s respective financial advisors. The parties completed negotiations and agreed to a non-binding term sheet, which was finalized and executed on December 1, 2017. The non-binding term sheet was subject to a number of conditions, including negotiation and execution of board approved definitive merger documents by January 31, 2018, but included the pricing and other material terms that were eventually included in the definitive merger agreement. The term sheet also required, and each party agreed, to deal exclusively with the other for the purpose of completing due diligence and negotiating a definitive agreement through January 31, 2018, and, through that period, not to solicit acquisition proposals that could result in a sale or change in control of the party.

During December 2017, each of First Priority and Mid Penn were granted access to certain due diligence information included in electronic data rooms, and a more complete due diligence investigation was completed by each party. On December 14 and 15, 2017, members of First Priority s management team conducted a loan review of Mid Penn via remote access, and on December 18 and 19, 2017, members of the executive and senior management team of Mid Penn conducted on-site loan reviews of First Priority.

On December 21, 2017, Stevens & Lee, legal counsel for First Priority, was provided a draft merger agreement prepared by Pillar+Aught, legal counsel for Mid Penn. The parties and their respective advisers negotiated the terms of the merger agreement over the following weeks. The terms negotiated by the parties and their respective advisers included, but were not limited to, executory period covenants, termination provisions and their related fees, the treatment of First Priority s outstanding preferred stock, execution of affiliate voting agreements, and post-closing employee matters.

On January 5, 2018, the parties conducted in-person senior management interviews as part of their respective due diligence processes, and on January 10, 2018, representatives of Mid Penn and First Priority discussed the proposed transaction with representatives of the FRB, FDIC and PDB.

On January 16, 2018, First Priority s board of directors met to consider the proposed merger agreement and certain ancillary documents. First Priority s executive management, as well as representatives from Griffin, its financial advisor, and Stevens & Lee, its legal advisor, participated in the meeting. A detailed summary of the merger agreement negotiated to date, as well as certain ancillary documents, including a draft of Griffin s presentation materials to be reviewed at the meeting, had been made available to board members on January 15, 2018. At the January 16 meeting, representatives of Griffin made a presentation in which it summarized the transaction terms, pricing metrics, and the analyses it performed to evaluate the fairness of the merger consideration from a financial

point of view. Griffin s presentation also included an overview of First Priority s historical financial profile, stock price performance, and other data.

44

At the January 16 meeting, representatives of Griffin also delivered to First Priority s directors its oral opinion that, as of January 16, 2018, the merger consideration was fair to the holders of First Priority common stock. Representatives of Stevens & Lee reviewed in detail the terms of the merger agreement and ancillary documents, including the voting agreements required by Mid Penn to be executed by the directors and executive officers of First Priority and certain of their affiliates. Representatives of Stevens & Lee also discussed the proposed resolutions that the board would be requested to approve.

Following these presentations and discussions and review and discussion among the members of First Priority s board of directors, including consideration of the factors described under *Recommendation of the First Priority Board of Directors and Reasons for the Merger*, First Priority s board of directors determined that the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger of First Priority with Mid Penn, were advisable and in the best interests of First Priority and its shareholders, and the directors unanimously voted to adopt the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, recommended that First Priority s shareholders approve the merger agreement, and received the written confirmation of Griffin s opinion as to fairness of the merger consideration.

Also on January 16, 2018, the Mid Penn board of directors held a special meeting to review the final draft of the merger agreement. Representatives of Sandler and Pillar+Aught reviewed the provisions of the merger agreement in detail with the board of directors. Sandler reviewed its financial analyses of the merger and delivered an oral opinion, which was subsequently confirmed in writing, to the effect that, as of that date and subject to assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken set forth in the opinion, the merger consideration in the merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to Mid Penn. After careful consideration of these presentations and further discussion, the Mid Penn board of directors unanimously approved the merger agreement and agreed to recommend that Mid Penn s shareholders adopt and approve the merger agreement and the merger.

Upon completion of the First Priority and Mid Penn board meetings, the merger agreement and ancillary documents were executed and delivered by the parties. After the market closed on January 16, 2018, Mid Penn and First Priority issued a joint press release announcing the execution of the merger agreement.

First Priority s Reasons for the Merger

In reaching the conclusion that the merger agreement is in the best interests of and advisable for First Priority and its shareholders, and in approving the merger agreement, First Priority s board of directors consulted with executive management, its financial advisor and its legal counsel, and considered a number of factors, including, among others, the following, which are not presented in any order of priority:

the consideration and related exchange ratio offered by Mid Penn represents an attractive premium or multiple to First Priority s tangible common equity and core deposits at September 30, 2017, its earnings for the twelve-month period ended September 30, 2017, and its closing price on January 12, 2018, based on various analyses provided by Griffin;

the transaction is an all-stock transaction with a fixed exchange ratio, thereby permitting First Priority common shareholders to realize the benefits of any increases in value of Mid Penn common stock before and after closing of the transaction, especially in light of the anticipated qualification of Mid Penn common stock for the Russell 2000 Index during 2018, and the potential financial and operational benefits expected in

connection with Mid Penn s acquisition of Scottdale Bank & Trust;

on a pro forma combined basis, the transaction is estimated to be accretive to earnings per share in the first full year after completion of the transaction;

on a pro forma combined basis, the transaction is estimated to be accretive to book value per share within three years after completion of the transaction;

45

the understanding of First Priority s board of directors of the strategic options available to First Priority and the board of directors assessment of those options taking into account a number of factors, including the obstacles confronting a relatively smaller community financial institution to grow organically in First Priority s markets, and the decreasing number of smaller acquisition candidates available on acceptable terms to achieve appropriate size and scale;

the challenges facing community financial institutions in growing a franchise and enhancing shareholder value on a standalone basis given current market and operating conditions, including increased costs resulting from the continuing need to invest in technology and regulatory compliance, continued pressure on net interest margins resulting from competition and other factors, and anticipated challenges in funding continued loan growth in First Priority s market area through core deposits or otherwise;

the board s view that the relative size of a financial institution and related economies of scale, beyond the level it believed to be reasonably achievable by First Priority on an independent basis, was becoming increasingly important to continued success in the current and expected future financial services operating environment;

based on the pro forma combined company s size, scale, asset quality and sound capital levels, the combined company should be more competitive in terms of organic growth and acquisition opportunities;

based on discussions that First Priority s management and its financial advisor had with potential business combination partners during 2016 and 2017, the board s belief that the transaction offered by Mid was more attractive in its entirety than what other potential acquirers had preliminarily indicated;

the pro forma capital position of the combined company, and the fact that Mid Penn would be considered well capitalized after completing the transaction;

the lower cost of Mid Penn s deposits and its lower loan to deposit ratio, particularly after giving effect to the Scottdale acquisition, thereby permitting decreased reliance on wholesale funding and brokered deposits in connection with the combined companies lending activities in First Priority s markets;

the perceived relative value and potential future value of the Mid Penn common stock consideration;

the ability of Mid Penn to complete a merger transaction from a financial and regulatory perspective;

the geographic diversification to the markets of both companies resulting from the transaction, allowing First Priority access to central and western Pennsylvania markets and allowing Mid Penn access to southeastern Pennsylvania markets;

Mid Penn s business, operations, financial condition, asset quality, earnings and prospects, taking into account the results of First Priority s due diligence review of Mid Penn, including Mid Penn s strong historical financial performance against peers;

the historical stock market performance for First Priority and Mid Penn common stock;

the cash dividend rate payable on Mid Penn common stock, and the fact that First Priority has never paid a common stock cash dividend;

the fact that Mid Penn common stock is currently quoted on the Nasdaq Stock Market, which, coupled with the significantly greater market capitalization of the combined company at over \$300 million, and the anticipated qualification of Mid Penn common stock for the Russell 2000 Index during 2018, should result in increased liquidity for First Priority shareholders;

the terms of the merger agreement, including the representations and warranties of the parties, the covenants of the parties, the merger consideration, and the benefits to First Priority s employees;

the opinion and supporting financial analyses presented by Griffin to the First Priority board of directors to the effect that, as of the date of the opinion, and subject to and based on the qualifications

46

and assumptions set forth in the opinion, the merger consideration provided for in the merger agreement is fair, from a financial point of view, to the common equity shareholders of First Priority;

the commitment by Mid Penn to (i) elect four current directors of First Priority to the boards of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank as of closing with each director renominated for at least one additional three-year term and (ii) appoint David E. Sparks, First Priority s Chief Executive Officer, as Chief Strategic Advisor to the Chief Executive Officer of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank and as President of the First Priority Division of Mid Penn Bank, thereby retaining a level of influence over future strategic direction of the combined entity;

the commitment by Mid Penn to mitigate the potential disruption that can be caused by a merger by continuing to operate the First Priority franchise as a separate division of Mid Penn Bank following the merger;

the process conducted by First Priority s management and financial advisor during the period from 2015 through 2017 to identify potential business combination partners and to discuss preliminary levels of interest and, in some cases, terms with such parties; and

the likelihood of expeditiously obtaining the necessary regulatory approvals without unusual or burdensome conditions.

First Priority s board of directors also considered a number of potential risks and uncertainties associated with the merger in connection with its deliberation of the proposed transaction, including, without limitation, the following:

because the transaction is structured as a fixed exchange ratio, the possibility that the trading price of the Mid Penn common stock could decline prior to completion of the merger such that the value of the merger consideration received by First Priority shareholders at closing and thereafter could be less than the value at the time of the merger agreement;

Mid Penn s current stock market structure having a high retail to institutional investor mix and relatively low average daily trading volume, although the board observed that the combined company should have a market capitalization in excess of \$300 million and a significantly improved average daily trading volume, including anticipated qualification of Mid Penn common stock for the Russell 2000 Index during 2018, which could significantly mitigate any shareholder liquidity concerns

the potential risk of the need to divert management attention and resources from the operation of First Priority s business and towards the completion of the merger;

the restrictions on the conduct of First Priority s business after signing the merger agreement and before completion of the merger, which are customary for public company merger agreements involving financial

institutions, but which, subject to specific exceptions, could delay or prevent First Priority from undertaking business opportunities that may arise or any other action it would otherwise take with respect to the operations of First Priority absent the pending merger;

the potential risks associated with achieving anticipated cost synergies and savings and successfully integrating First Priority s business, operations and workforce with those of Mid Penn;

the merger-related costs;

that the interests of certain of First Priority s directors and executive officers may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of First Priority s other shareholders as described under the heading *Interests of First Priority s Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger*;

the risk that the conditions to the parties obligations to complete the merger agreement may not be satisfied, including the risk that necessary regulatory approvals or necessary shareholder approvals might not be obtained and, as a result, the merger may not be consummated;

47

the risk of potential employee attrition and/or adverse effects on business and customer relationships as a result of the pending merger;

that First Priority would be prohibited from affirmatively soliciting acquisition proposals after execution of the merger agreement and that it would be obligated to pay to Mid Penn a termination fee if the merger agreement is terminated under certain circumstances, which may discourage other parties potentially interested in a strategic transaction with First Priority from pursuing such a transaction; and

the other risks described under the heading Risk Factors.

The foregoing discussion of the information and factors considered by the board of directors of First Priority is not intended to be exhaustive, but includes the material factors considered by the board of directors of First Priority. In reaching its decision to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, the board of directors of First Priority did not quantify or assign any relative weights to the factors considered, and individual directors may have given different weights to different factors. The board of directors of First Priority considered all these factors as a whole, including discussions with, and questioning of First Priority s management and First Priority s independent financial and legal advisors, and overall considered the factors to be favorable to, and to support, its determination.

First Priority shareholders should be aware that First Priority s directors and executive officers have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, those of other First Priority shareholders. The board of directors of First Priority was aware of and considered these interests, among other matters, in evaluating and negotiating the merger agreement, and in recommending that the Merger Proposal be approved by the shareholders of First Priority. See *Interests of First Priority s Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger*.

This summary of the reasoning of the board of directors of First Priority and other information presented in this section is forward-looking in nature and, therefore, should be read in light of the factors discussed under the heading Forward-Looking Statements.

Recommendation of First Priority s Board of Directors

First Priority s board of directors believes that the terms of the transaction are in the best interests of First Priority and its shareholders and has unanimously approved the merger agreement. Accordingly, First Priority s board of directors unanimously recommends that First Priority s shareholders vote FOR adoption of the merger agreement and FOR an adjournment of the First Priority special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

Opinion of First Priority s Financial Advisor

First Priority engaged Griffin to serve as its financial advisor in connection with a potential business combination with Mid Penn in late December 2017. Griffin is a nationally recognized, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority-licensed investment banking firm which is regularly engaged in the valuation of financial institutions and their securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions and other corporate transactions. First Priority hired Griffin on the basis of Griffin s qualifications and experience in transactions similar to the merger to provide its opinion as to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration (as defined in the following sentence), to the common equity shareholders of First Priority in connection with the proposed merger of Mid Penn and First Priority. Pursuant to the merger agreement, each of the issued and outstanding shares of First Priority will be exchanged for the right to

receive 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn s common stock on a fixed exchange basis, subject to adjustments and limitations described in the merger agreement (the merger consideration).

At a meeting of the First Priority board of directors held on January 16, 2018 to evaluate the proposed merger with Mid Penn, Griffin reviewed the financial aspects of the proposed merger and provided its opinion to First

48

Priority s board that, as of such date, and subject to factors, qualifications, limitations and assumptions set forth in the opinion, the merger consideration in connection with the proposed merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to the common equity shareholders of First Priority.

The full text of Griffin s written opinion is attached as Annex B to this proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated herein by reference. First Priority s shareholders are urged to read the opinion in its entirety for a description of the assumptions made, matters considered, procedures followed and qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken by Griffin. Griffin s opinion is subject to the assumptions and conditions contained in its opinion and is necessarily based on economic, market and other conditions as in effect on, and the information made available to Griffin as of, the date of its opinion. The description of the opinion set forth herein is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of such opinion.

Griffin s opinion speaks only as of the date of the opinion. The opinion is addressed to the First Priority board of directors and is limited to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration in connection with the merger, to the common equity shareholders of First Priority. Griffin does not express an opinion as to the underlying decision by First Priority to engage in the merger or the relative merits of the merger compared to other strategic alternatives which may be available to First Priority.

In providing its opinion, Griffin, among other things:

reviewed a draft of the merger agreement;

reviewed and discussed with First Priority its financial information as of and for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016;

reviewed and discussed with Mid Penn its financial information as of and for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, including 2017 financial information giving pro forma effect to its acquisition of Scottdale Bank & Trust (Scottdale);

reviewed and discussed with management of First Priority and Mid Penn their respective balance sheet growth and earnings for 2017, as well as adjustments required by federal tax law changes, and growth trends for assets, loans, deposits, capital and earnings for future periods;

analyzed and discussed with First Priority and Mid Penn their respective views of the potential strategic implications and operational benefits of the merger anticipated by the managements of First Priority and Mid Penn;

discussed with the management of First Priority and Mid Penn matters relating to their respective financial condition, liquidity, net income, asset quality, reserve levels, capital adequacy, regulatory status, and stock market structure including investor mix;

reviewed and discussed with First Priority certain publicly available business and financial information concerning First Priority and Mid Penn pro forma with Scottdale and the economic and regulatory environments in which they operate;

discussed with Mid Penn the average daily trading of its common stock, the probability of its inclusion in the Russell 2000 in 2018 and related expectations for valuation and liquidity, and related matters;

held discussions with certain members of the management of First Priority and Mid Penn with respect to certain aspects of the merger, including past and current business operations, regulatory relations, financial condition, dividend and capital policies, opportunities within each of their core operating markets, and other matters as deemed appropriate by Griffin;

discussed with management of First Priority and Mid Penn their respective forecasts and related assumptions for future growth and their respective estimates of cost savings and transaction costs associated with the merger;

49

compared the proposed financial terms of the merger with the publicly available financial terms of certain transactions involving whole bank and thrift acquisitions as deemed relevant by Griffin;

compared the financial condition and implied valuation of First Priority and Mid Penn to the financial condition and performance and valuation of certain institutions deemed relevant by Griffin;

performed a relative contribution analysis;

evaluated, from publicly available sources and discussions with the management of Mid Penn, the capacity of Mid Penn to complete the merger on a timely basis;

evaluated and compared Mid Penn s market structure, stock market performance, ownership concentrations, common dividend, and trading history of its common stock to certain institutions deemed relevant by Griffin;

performed a pro forma transaction analyses combining projected balance sheet and income statement information for First Priority and Mid Penn;

performed discounted cash flow analyses; and

performed such other financial studies and analyses and considered such other information as deemed appropriate for the purpose of its opinion.

Griffin s opinion has been approved by its fairness opinion committee in conformity with its policies and procedures established under the requirement of Rule 5150 of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority. In conducting its review and providing its opinion, Griffin assumed and relied upon the accuracy and completeness of all information furnished to, discussed with, or reviewed by it or otherwise publicly available. Griffin did not independently verify the accuracy or completeness of any such information or assume any responsibility or liability for such verification or accuracy. Griffin did not review individual loan files or deposit information of First Priority or Mid Penn, nor did Griffin conduct or was Griffin provided with any valuation or appraisal of any assets, deposits or other liabilities of First Priority or Mid Penn. Griffin is not an expert in the evaluation of loan portfolios for purposes of assessing the adequacy of the allowance for losses with respect thereto, and accordingly, Griffin assumed that such allowances for losses are adequate. In relying on financial analyses and financial projections provided to or discussed with Griffin by First Priority or Mid Penn or derived therefrom, Griffin assumed that such analyses and projections have been reasonably prepared based on assumptions reflecting the best currently available information and judgments by management. Griffin expresses no view as to such analyses, forecasts, estimates, or the assumptions on which they were based. Griffin s review of Mid Penn and its ability to complete the merger was limited to publicly available information, certain management information and discussions with the management of Mid Penn regarding the past and current business operations, financial condition and future prospects of Mid Penn. Griffin is not a legal, regulatory, or tax expert and has relied on the assessments made by advisors to First Priority with respect to such issues.

For purposes of providing its opinion, Griffin assumed that, in all respects material to its analysis:

the representations and warranties of each party in the draft merger agreement are true and correct;

each party to the merger agreement will perform all of the covenants and agreements required to be performed by such party under such agreement in a manner that will not give Mid Penn or First Priority the ability to terminate the merger agreement or decline to close under the merger agreement;

all conditions to the completion of the merger, including required approvals by federal and state banking regulators and by the shareholders of First Priority and the shareholders of Mid Penn, will be satisfied in a manner that will not give Mid Penn or First Priority the ability to terminate the merger agreement or decline to close under the merger agreement;

all material governmental, regulatory, stockholder and any other consents and approvals necessary for the completion of the merger will be obtained without any adverse effect to First Priority or Mid Penn or to the contemplated benefits of the merger; and

50

the merger will be completed substantially in accordance with the terms set forth in the draft merger agreement provided to Griffin.

Griffin s opinion is necessarily based on economic, market and other conditions as in effect on, and the information made available to it as of, the date of its opinion. Subsequent developments may affect Griffin s opinion, and Griffin does not have any obligation to update, revise, confirm or reaffirm its opinion. Griffin s opinion is limited to the fairness, from a financial point of view, to the common equity shareholders of First Priority with regards to the merger consideration to be received by the common equity shareholders of First Priority in the merger as of the date of the opinion. Griffin expressed no opinion as to the fairness of the merger as of any subsequent date or to creditors or other stakeholders of First Priority or as to the underlying decision by First Priority to engage in the merger, the relative merits of the merger compared to other merger transactions available to First Priority, or the relative merits of the merger compared to other strategic alternatives that may be available to First Priority. Griffin did not, and was not asked to, contact any other interested parties other than those specifically indicated by the First Priority board of directors. Furthermore, Griffin did not take into account, and expresses no opinion with respect to, the amount or nature of any bonuses and any other compensation or consideration to any officers, directors, or employees of First Priority or Mid Penn paid or payable by reason or as a result of the merger.

The forecasts, projections and estimates of First Priority and Mid Penn prepared and provided to Griffin by the management of First Priority and Mid Penn, respectively, were not prepared with the expectation of public disclosure. All such information was based on numerous variables and assumptions that are inherently uncertain, including, without limitation, factors related to general economic and competitive conditions and that, accordingly, actual results could vary significantly from those set forth in such forecasts, projections and estimates. Griffin assumed, based on discussions with the management of First Priority and Mid Penn, respectively, and at the direction of and with the consent of the First Priority board of directors, that all such forecasts, projections and estimates referred to above provided a reasonable basis upon which Griffin could form its opinion and Griffin expressed no view as to any such information or the assumptions or bases therefor. Griffin relied on all such information without independent verification or analysis and did not in any respect assume any responsibility or liability for the accuracy or completeness thereof.

The preparation of the fairness opinion is a comprehensive and complex analytical process, involving various determinations as to the most appropriate and relevant methods of financial analysis and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances. Therefore, a fairness opinion is not readily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. In accordance with customary investment banking practice, Griffin employed generally accepted valuation methods in reaching its opinion. Estimates of the value of businesses or securities do not purport to be appraisals or to reflect the prices at which such businesses or securities might actually be sold. Accordingly, these analyses and estimates are inherently subject to substantial uncertainty. The following is a summary of the material financial analyses undertaken by Griffin and presented by Griffin to the First Priority board of directors in connection with rendering Griffin s opinion. The following summary, however, does not purport to be a complete description of the financial analyses performed by Griffin or the presentation made by Griffin to the board of directors. In arriving at its opinion, Griffin did not attribute any particular weight to any analysis or factor that it considered, but rather made qualitative judgments as to the significance and relevance of each analysis and factor. The financial analyses summarized within include information presented in tabular format. Accordingly, Griffin believes that its analyses and the summary of its analyses must be considered as a whole and that selecting portions of its analyses and factors or focusing on the information presented below in tabular format, without considering all analyses and factors or the full narrative description of the financial analyses, including the methodologies and assumptions underlying the analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the process underlying its analyses and opinion. The tables alone do not constitute a complete description of the financial analyses.

51

Summary of Proposal for Purposes of the Fairness Opinion

Pursuant to the merger agreement by and between First Priority and Mid Penn, at the effective time of the merger, First Priority will merge with and into Mid Penn, and each issued and outstanding share of First Priority s common stock, other than shares held in treasury or owned by Mid Penn and its affiliates, will be exchanged for the right to receive 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn s common stock, subject to adjustments and limitations described in the merger agreement. Shareholders of First Priority are expected to own 27.4% of Mid Penn following completion of the merger. Using the Mid Penn volume weighted average trading price of \$35.42 on January 12, 2018, the merger consideration is equal to \$12.33 per share, which is \$81.8 million in the aggregate and represents 183.7% of First Priority s tangible common equity at December 31, 2017, 30.8 times First Priority s earnings for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2017, an 11.7% tangible common equity premium to core deposits, and a 37% premium to First Priority s closing price on January 12, 2018 of \$9.00.

Acquirer Market Price and Selected Companies Analysis

Using publicly available information, Griffin reviewed the market price and market structure of Mid Penn. Shares of Mid Penn s common stock are listed on The NASDAQ Capital Market. Griffin identified the following information as of January 12, 2018.

52-week high (January 12, 2018)	\$ 37.80
52-week low (February 1, 2017)	\$ 24.25
Average daily trading volume during last 52 weeks	1,953
Price/Last Trailing Twelve Months (LTM) Earnings per Share (EPS) (x)	18.4
Price/Book Value (%)	205.5
Price/Tangible Book Value (TBV) (%)	217.4
Dividend Yield (%)	1.39

Using publicly available information, Griffin compared the financial performance and condition of Mid Penn to publicly traded banks, thrifts and bank holding companies headquartered in Pennsylvania with between \$1.0 billion and \$2.0 billion in total assets, return on average assets (ROAA) greater than 0.70%, and average daily trading volume of at least 1,000 shares during the last three months. Companies included in this group were:

ACNB Corporation Citizens & Northern Corporation Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. DNB Financial Corporation Franklin Financial Services Corporation Norwood Financial Corp. Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc. QNB Corp.

52

To perform this analysis, Griffin used financial information as of September 30, 2017 or the most recently available quarter, and market price information as of January 12, 2018, as reported by SNL Financial. Griffin s analysis showed the following concerning Mid Penn s and its peers financial condition, risk profile, valuation and liquidity:

		Est. MPB Pro Forma	Pee	ers
	MPB	for Scottdate	Average	Median
Offices	24	29	24	26
Total Assets (\$000)	1,153,373	1,429,715	1,309,489	1,212,735
NPAs & 90+ PD/ Assets (%)	0.74	0.60	0.99	0.95
Core Deposits/ Total Deposits (%)	92.57	93.88	93.61	95.29
ROAA (%)	0.81		0.94	0.90
ROAE (%)	11.76		8.99	9.01
Tang Common Equity/ Tang Assets (%)	6.37	8.11	9.66	9.09
Avg Daily Volume (3 mo)	1,953		9,486	9,265
Price/ Tangible Book (%)	217.4	200.5	164.5	163.7
Price/ Last-twelve-months EPS (x)	18.4		17.3	17.7

No company used as a comparison in the above analysis is identical to Mid Penn. Accordingly, an analysis of these results is not purely mathematical. Rather, it involves complex considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial and operating characteristics of the companies and of the banking environment at the time of the opinion.

Acquirer Discounted Cash Flow Analysis

Griffin performed a discounted cash flow analysis to estimate a range of the present value of estimated free cash flows that Mid Penn could generate on a stand-alone basis. In performing this analysis, Griffin utilized the following assumptions, among others:

growth and earnings assumptions for Mid Penn from Mid Penn management, which were pro forma for the Scottdale acquisition and utilized an effective tax rate of 15.4%;

a required capitalization level of 8.0% tangible common equity/tangible assets;

earnings in excess of required capital retention are distributed to shareholders;

discount rates ranging from 11% to 14% based on Mid Penn s estimated cost of equity capital; and

a projected terminal trading value multiple range of 160% to 200% of Mid Penn s forecasted 2023 required tangible book value.

These calculations resulted in a range of implied valuations for Mid Penn between \$26.87 per share and \$36.63 per share. The discounted cash flow present value analysis is a widely used valuation methodology that relies on

numerous assumptions, including asset and earnings growth rates, terminal values and discount rates and is not necessarily indicative of the actual value or expected value of Mid Penn.

53

First Priority Selected Companies Analysis

Using publicly available information, Griffin compared the financial performance and condition of First Priority to publicly traded banks, thrifts and bank holding companies headquartered in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Delaware and Maryland with between \$400 million and \$1.0 billion in total assets, ROAA for the last twelve months between 0.20% and 0.80%, NPAs as a percentage of total assets less than 3.0%, and average daily trading volume of at least 500 shares during the last three months. Companies included in this group were:

1st Colonial Bancorp, Inc. Highlands Bancorp, Inc. York Traditions Bank

Bancorp of New Jersey, Inc. Stewardship Financial Corporation

Emclaire Financial Corp Sussex Bancorp

To perform this analysis, Griffin used financial information as of September 30, 2017 or the most recently available quarter, and market price information as of January 12, 2018, as reported by SNL Financial. Griffin s analysis showed the following concerning First Priority s and its peers financial condition, risk profile, valuation and liquidity:

		Nationa	al Peers
	FPBK	Average	Median
Total Assets (000)	612,033	701,148	773,940
LTM Gross Loan HFI Growth	2.17	14.97	14.78
NPAs & 90+ PD/ Assets (%)	0.37	1.00	0.84
Core Deposits/ Total Deposits (%)	71.44	89.34	89.15
ROAA (%)	0.52	0.68	0.69
ROAE (%)	6.11	8.90	8.81
Tang Common Equity/ Tang Assets (%)	7.25	8.20	8.14
Avg Daily Volume (3 mo)	5,050	4,372	2,340
Price/ Tangible Book (%)	134.1	141.3	139.4
Price/ Last-twelve-months EPS (x)	22.5	16.6	14.6

No company used as a comparison in the above analysis is identical to First Priority. In addition, Griffin presumed that the trading valuations for peers exclude any change in control premium. Accordingly, an analysis of these results is not purely mathematical. Rather, it involves complex considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial and operating characteristics of the companies and of the banking environment at the time of the opinion.

Selected Transactions Analysis

Griffin reviewed publicly available information as reported by SNL Financial related to acquisitions announced since January 1, 2017 of banks, thrifts and bank holding companies headquartered in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, and Virginia with between \$300 million and \$1.0 billion in total assets and ROAA for the last twelve months of less than 1.00%.

Regional Selected Transactions

Acquirer Acquiree

Howard Bancorp Inc.
Old Line Bancshares Inc
Riverview Financial Corp.
Sussex Bancorp
Old Line Bancshares Inc

1st Mariner Bank
Bay Bancorp Inc.
CBT Financial Corp.
Community Bk of Bergen County
DCB Bancshares Inc.

54

Griffin also reviewed publicly available information as reported by SNL Financial related to acquisitions announced since January 1, 2017 of banks, thrifts and bank holding companies nationwide with between \$400 million and \$1.0 billion in total assets and LTM ROAA between 0.00% and 0.80%.

Nationwide Selected Transactions

Acquirer Acquiree

First Mid-Illinois Bancshares First BancTrust Corp.

FCB Financial Holdings Inc. Floridian Community Hldgs Inc.

Riverview Financial Corp. CBT Financial Corp.

Ameris Bancorp
Midland States Bancorp Inc.
Atlantic Coast Financial Corp.
Centrue Financial Corporation

Midland States Bancorp Inc. Centrue Financial Corporation
Old Line Bancshares Inc Bay Bancorp Inc.

Washington Federal Inc.

Anchor Bancorp

CenterState Bank Corp.

Sunshine Bancorp Inc

First Bancorp

ASB Bncp Inc

For each transaction referred to above, Griffin derived and compared, among other things, the following implied ratios:

- 1. Price per common share paid for the acquired company to last twelve months earnings per share of the acquired company based on the latest publicly available financial statements of the company available prior to the announcement of the acquisition;
- 2. Price per common share paid for the acquired company to tangible common equity per share of the acquired company based on the latest publicly available financial statements of the company available prior to the announcement of the acquisition; and
- 3. Aggregate deal value paid for the acquired company in excess of the company s tangible book as a ratio to the core deposits of the company based on the latest publicly available financial statements of the company available prior to the announcement of the acquisition.

The results of the analysis are set forth in the following table:

		Regional		National	
		Selected		Selected	
		Transactions		Transactions	
	FPBK	Average	Median	Average	Median
Target Financials					
Total Assets (\$000)	612,033	555,221	488,060	696,580	645,940
Prior Calendar Year Loan Growth (%)	2.17	8.23	11.67	23.52	14.58
TCE/TA (%)	7.25	7.79	8.12	10.58	10.10

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

NPAs/Total Assets (%)	0.37	1.68	2.07	1.36	1.21
LTM ROAA (%)	0.55	0.39	0.49	0.56	0.64
LTM ROAE (%)	6.44	4.13	5.43	5.15	5.34
Deal Value/Deal Ratios					
Deal Value (\$ in millions)	85.7	85.5	49.2	119.7	127.7
Deal Value/LTM Earnings (x)	30.8	28.3	29.0	31.5	29.9
Deal Value/TCE (%)	183.7	150.1	158.2	157.1	160.5
Premium/Core Deposits (%)	11.7	8.6	7.0	8.9	9.7

No company or transaction used as a comparison in the above analyses is identical to First Priority or the merger. Accordingly, an analysis of these results is not purely mathematical. Rather, it involves complex considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial and operating characteristics of the companies, of the banking environment at the time of the opinion, and the expected impact of tax reform on transaction valuation multiples.

55

Discounted Cash Flow Analysis

Griffin performed a discounted cash flow analysis to estimate a range of the present value of estimated free cash flows that First Priority could generate on a stand-alone basis. In performing this analysis, Griffin utilized the following assumptions, among others:

growth and earnings assumptions for First Priority from First Priority management which utilized an effective tax rate of 20%;

a required capitalization level of 8.0% TCE/TA;

earnings in excess of required capital retention are distributed to shareholders;

discount rates ranging from 11% to 14% based on First Priority s s estimated cost of equity capital; and

a projected terminal sale value multiple range of 160% to 200% of First Priority s forecasted 2023 required tangible book value.

These calculations resulted in a range of implied valuations for First Priority between \$8.80 per share and \$12.75 per share. The discounted cash flow present value analysis is a widely used valuation methodology that relies on numerous assumptions, including asset and earnings growth rates, terminal values and discount rates and is not necessarily indicative of the actual value or expected value of First Priority.

Financial Impact Analysis

Griffin performed pro forma transaction analyses that combined projected balance sheet and projected income statement information for First Priority and Mid Penn. Growth, earnings estimates and other assumptions (including, without limitation, purchase accounting adjustments, cost savings and related expenses) were provided by First Priority and Mid Penn. The analyses indicated that the merger is expected to be dilutive to Mid Penn s projected tangible book value per common share and accretive to Mid Penn s projected earnings per common share, and that Mid Penn is expected to maintain well-capitalized capital ratios but each of Mid Penn s tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio, leverage ratio, Tier 1 common equity ratio, Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio and total risk based capital ratio as of December 31, 2017 could be lower at the closing of the merger than on a stand-alone basis. Actual results are expected to be different from the assumptions used and the projected results, and these differences may be material.

Contribution Analysis

Griffin reviewed relative financial information relating to First Priority and Mid Penn to understand the relative contribution of net assets and operations to the combined entity. Relative contribution amounts are as follows:

Mid Penn First Priority

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Market Capitalization *	79.5%	20.5%
Total Assets *	70.0%	30.0%
Total Net Loans *	65.4%	34.6%
Total Deposits *	71.3%	28.7%
Common Equity *	73.8%	26.2%
Tangible Common Equity *	72.2%	27.8%
Net Income to Common (LTM)	76.3%	23.7%
Net Income to Common (YTD)	76.4%	23.6%

^{*} MPB amounts are adjusted for the estimated impact of the Scottdale transaction Based on the exchange ratio, Mid Penn shareholders will own 72.6% of the combined company, and former First Priority shareholders will own 27.4% of the combined company.

The summary set forth above is not a complete description of the analyses and procedures performed by Griffin in the course of arriving at its opinion.

As part of its investment banking business, Griffin is, from time to time, engaged in the valuation of bank and bank holding company securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions, public and private placement of listed and unlisted securities, rights offerings and other forms of valuations for various purposes. As specialists in the securities of banking companies, Griffin has experience in, and knowledge of, the valuation of banking enterprises. In the ordinary course of its business as a broker-dealer, Griffin may, from time to time, purchase securities from, and sell securities to, First Priority and Mid Penn. As a market maker in securities, Griffin may from time to time have a long or short position in, and buy or sell, debt or equity securities of institutions like and possibly including First Priority and Mid Penn for Griffin s own account and for the accounts of its customers. To the extent Griffin held any such positions, it was disclosed to First Priority and Mid Penn.

Pursuant to Griffin s engagement letter, First Priority agreed to pay Griffin a fee of \$750,000 contingent on the completion of the merger. First Priority has agreed to reimburse Griffin for reasonable out of pocket expenses incurred in connection with Griffin s engagement and to indemnify and hold harmless its partners, officers, directors, employees, agents and controlling persons from and against all losses, claims, judgments, liabilities, costs, damages and expenses based upon or arising from Griffin s engagement. During the two years preceding the date of its opinion to First Priority, Griffin received \$1,098.74 in expense reimbursements relating to an advisory engagement which expired in March of 2016. During the two years preceding the date of its opinion to First Priority, Griffin did not receive compensation for investment banking services from Mid Penn.

Stevens & Lee, which is the regular corporate counsel to First Priority, and Griffin are affiliated. Stevens & Lee has provided legal services as corporate counsel to Mid Penn, which is represented by other counsel in the merger.

Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger

The board of directors and senior management of Mid Penn periodically review and evaluate the economic and regulatory environments in which Mid Penn and its affiliated companies operate. Part of this review in recent years has included an acknowledgement of the effects of additional oversight and regulation on revenues, expenses and capital requirements for financial institutions, particularly community banks, as a result of the passage in 2010 of the Dodd-Frank Act and other factors, and consideration of competitive factors. The board of directors and senior management generally believe that greater size and scale can help a community-oriented financial institution address the costs of anticipated additional regulation, as well as provide additional revenue opportunities and provide a platform to compete more effectively with larger financial institutions. In light of these observations, Mid Penn has elected to pursue a controlled growth strategy, which may include both organic growth and the targeted acquisition of other financial institutions with strong performance characteristics in alignment with Mid Penn s community banking philosophy.

Mid Penn entered into the merger agreement to further implement this strategy, as well as to provide additional opportunities for revenue growth. Mid Penn s board of directors reviewed and discussed the transaction with senior management, as well as its financial and legal advisors, in unanimously determining that the merger was advisable and in the best interests of Mid Penn. In reaching its determination, the Mid Penn board of directors considered a number of factors, including:

the board s understanding of the business operations, management, financial condition, asset quality, product offerings, and prospects of First Priority based on, among other things, presentations by management and Mid Penn s financial advisor;

the board s concurrence with management that the merger provides Mid Penn with increased scale and will serve to extend Mid Penn s presence into the demographically attractive Southeastern

57

Pennsylvania markets that Mid Penn believes will be receptive to its services, strengthens Mid Penn s retail banking network, and provides a broader platform to sell non-banking services;

the board s view that First Priority s product offerings and business mix are compatible with those of Mid Penn and provide Mid Penn with opportunities to accelerate loan growth and to build upon the market share of secondary market loan generations, as well as opportunities to expand Mid Penn s insurance, wealth management, and mortgage banking activities;

the merger presents the opportunity to more readily deploy the excess capital and liquidity generated by the Scottdale acquisition;

the fact that the transaction will be immediately accretive to earnings per share (exclusive of one-time merger expenses) and is expected to have a tangible book value dilution earn back period of less than 3 years;

the fact that talented and experienced executives of First Priority will bring their industry and market knowledge to Mid Penn;

the board s view that First Priority, like Mid Penn, is focused on customer service and building relationships in local communities;

the fact that as a result of the transaction and the merger with Scottdale, Mid Penn is expected to become the ninth largest publicly traded, Pennsylvania headquartered depository institution having less than \$10 billion in assets;

the results of the due diligence examination of First Priority and its business operations, including asset quality and composition of its investment portfolio, undertaken by management with the assistance of Mid Penn s financial advisor;

the board s view that the combined company will have the potential for a stronger competitive position in a market place where relatively greater size and scale may become increasingly more important factors for financial performance and success;

the board s view that the combined company will increase shareholder value and enhance shareholder returns;

the financial information and analyses presented by Mid Penn s financial advisor, Sandler, and the opinion of Sandler delivered to Mid Penn s board of directors to the effect that, as of January 16, 2018, and based on and

subject to the various factors, limitations, considerations, qualifications and assumptions set forth in the opinion, the merger consideration was fair, from a financial point of view, to Mid Penn; and

the review by the board of directors with Pillar+Aught, its legal advisor, of the structure of the merger and the financial and other terms of the merger agreement.

The foregoing discussion of the information and factors considered by Mid Penn s board of directors is not exhaustive, but includes the material factors considered by the board. In view of the wide variety of factors considered by the board of directors of Mid Penn in connection with its evaluation of the merger and the complexity of these matters, the board of directors did not consider it practical to, and did not attempt to, quantify, rank or otherwise assign relative weights to the specific factors that it considered in reaching its decision. Mid Penn s board of directors evaluated the factors described above, including asking questions of Mid Penn s legal and financial advisors. In considering the factors described above, individual members of Mid Penn s board of directors may have given different weights to different factors. The board of directors relied on the experience and expertise of its legal advisors regarding the structure of the merger and the terms of the merger agreement and on the experience and expertise of its financial advisors for quantitative analysis of the financial terms of the merger. It should also be noted that this explanation of the reasoning of Mid Penn s board of directors and certain other information presented in this section are forward-looking in nature and, therefore, should be read in light of the factors discussed under the heading *Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements* on page [].

Recommendation of Mid Penn s Board of Directors

Mid Penn s board of directors believes that the terms of the transaction are in the best interests of Mid Penn and has unanimously approved the merger agreement. Accordingly, Mid Penn s board of directors unanimously recommends that Mid Penn shareholders vote FOR adoption of the merger agreement and FOR an adjournment of the Mid Penn special meeting, if necessary to solicit additional proxies.

Opinion of Mid Penn s Financial Advisor

By letter dated January 4, 2018, Mid Penn retained Sandler to render a fairness opinion to the Mid Penn board of directors in connection with Mid Penn s consideration of a possible business combination with First Priority. Sandler is a nationally recognized investment banking firm whose principal business specialty is financial institutions. In the ordinary course of its investment banking business, Sandler is regularly engaged in the valuation of financial institutions and their securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions and other corporate transactions.

Sandler rendered a fairness opinion in connection with the proposed merger and participated in certain of the negotiations leading to the execution of the merger agreement. At the January 16, 2018 meeting at which Mid Penn s board of directors considered and approved the merger agreement, Sandler delivered to the Mid Penn board of directors its oral opinion, which was subsequently confirmed in writing, to the effect that, as of such date, the exchange ratio provided for in the merger agreement was fair to Mid Penn from a financial point of view.

The full text of Sandler s opinion is attached as Annex C to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. The opinion outlines the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken by Sandler in rendering its opinion. The description of the opinion set forth below is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the opinion. Mid Penn common shareholders are urged to read the entire opinion carefully in connection with their consideration of the Mid Penn merger proposal.

Sandler s opinion speaks only as of the date of the opinion. The opinion was directed to Mid Penn s board of directors in connection with its consideration of the merger and is directed only to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the exchange ratio to Mid Penn. Sandler s opinion does not constitute a recommendation to any Mid Penn shareholder as to how such Mid Penn shareholder should vote at any meeting of shareholders called to consider and vote upon the Mid Penn merger proposal. It does not address the underlying business decision of Mid Penn to engage in the merger, the form or structure of the merger, the relative merits of the merger as compared to any other alternative business strategies that might exist for Mid Penn or the effect of any other transaction in which Mid Penn might engage. Sandler did not express any opinion as to the fairness of the amount or nature of the compensation to be received in the merger by any Mid Penn or First Priority officers, directors, or employees, or class of such persons, if any, relative to the compensation to be received in the merger by any other shareholders. Sandler s opinion was approved by Sandler s fairness opinion committee.

In connection with rendering its opinion, Sandler reviewed and considered, among other things:

a draft of the merger agreement, dated January 14, 2018;

certain publicly available financial statements and other historical financial information of Mid Penn that Sandler deemed relevant;

certain publicly available financial statements and other historical financial information of First Priority that Sandler deemed relevant;

certain internal financial projections for Mid Penn for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2021, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn;

59

certain internal financial projections for First Priority for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2021, as provided by the senior management of First Priority and confirmed with the senior management of Mid Penn;

the pro forma financial impact of the merger on Mid Penn based on certain assumptions relating to transaction expenses, purchase accounting adjustments and cost savings, as well as the redemption of First Priority s outstanding preferred stock prior to closing of the merger, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn;

the publicly reported historical price and trading activity for Mid Penn common stock and First Priority common stock, including a comparison of certain stock trading information for Mid Penn common stock and First Priority common stock and certain stock indices, as well as similar publicly available information for certain other companies, the securities of which are publicly traded;

a comparison of certain financial information for Mid Penn and First Priority with similar institutions for which information is publicly available;

the financial terms of certain recent business combinations in the bank and thrift industry (on a regional and nationwide basis), to the extent publicly available;

the current market environment generally and the banking environment in particular; and

such other information, financial studies, analyses and investigations and financial, economic and market criteria as Sandler considered relevant.

Sandler also discussed with certain members of the senior management of Mid Penn the business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects of Mid Penn and held similar discussions with the senior management of First Priority and its representatives regarding the business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects of First Priority.

In performing its review, Sandler relied upon the accuracy and completeness of all of the financial and other information that was available to Sandler from public sources, that was provided to Sandler by Mid Penn, First Priority or their respective representatives, or that was otherwise reviewed by Sandler and Sandler assumed such accuracy and completeness for purposes of rendering its opinion without any independent verification or investigation. Sandler further relied on the assurances of the respective senior managements of Mid Penn and First Priority that they were not aware of any facts or circumstances that would have made any of such information inaccurate or misleading in any material respect. Sandler was not asked to and did not undertake an independent verification of any of such information and Sandler did not assume any responsibility or liability for the accuracy or completeness thereof. Sandler did not make an independent evaluation or perform an appraisal of the specific assets, the collateral securing assets or the liabilities (contingent or otherwise) of Mid Penn or First Priority. Sandler rendered no opinion or evaluation on the collectability of any assets or the future performance of any loans of Mid Penn or First Priority. Sandler did not make an independent evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses of Mid Penn or First Priority, or the combined entity after the merger, and Sandler did not review any individual credit files relating to Mid

Penn or First Priority. Sandler assumed, with Mid Penn s consent, that the respective allowances for loan losses for both Mid Penn and First Priority were adequate to cover such losses and would be adequate on a pro forma basis for the combined entity.

In preparing its analyses, Sandler used certain internal financial projections for Mid Penn for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2021, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn. In addition, Sandler used certain internal financial projections for First Priority for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2021, as provided by the senior management of First Priority and confirmed with the senior management of Mid Penn. Sandler also received and used in its pro forma analyses certain assumptions relating to transaction expenses, purchase accounting adjustments and cost savings, as well as the redemption of First Priority s outstanding preferred stock prior to closing of the merger, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn. With respect to the foregoing information, the senior managements of Mid Penn and First Priority

60

confirmed to Sandler that such information reflected the best currently available projections and estimates of the senior managements of Mid Penn and First Priority as to the future financial performance of Mid Penn and First Priority, respectively, and Sandler assumed that the financial results reflected in such information would be achieved. Sandler expressed no opinion as to such projections or estimates, or the assumptions on which they were based. Sandler also assumed that there had been no material change in Mid Penn s or First Priority s assets, financial condition, results of operations, business or prospects since the date of the most recent financial statements made available to Sandler. Sandler assumed in all respects material to its analyses that Mid Penn and First Priority would remain as going concerns for all periods relevant to its analyses.

Sandler also assumed, with Mid Penn s consent, that (i) each of the parties to the merger agreement would comply in all material respects with all material terms and conditions of the merger agreement and all related agreements required to effect the merger, that all of the representations and warranties contained in such agreements were true and correct in all material respects, that each of the parties to such agreements would perform in all material respects all of the covenants and other obligations required to be performed by such party under such agreements and that the conditions precedent in such agreements were not and would not be waived, (ii) in the course of obtaining the necessary regulatory or third party approvals, consents and releases with respect to the merger, no delay, limitation, restriction or condition would be imposed that would have an adverse effect that would be material to Sandler s analysis on Mid Penn, First Priority or the merger, or any related transaction, (iii) the merger and any related transactions would be consummated in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement without any waiver, modification or amendment of any material term, condition or agreement thereof and in compliance with all applicable laws and other requirements, and (iv) the merger would qualify as a tax-free reorganization for federal income tax purposes. Finally, with Mid Penn s consent, Sandler relied upon the advice that Mid Penn had received from its legal, accounting and tax advisors as to all legal, accounting and tax matters related to the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. Sandler expressed no opinion as to any such matters.

Sandler s opinion was necessarily based on financial, regulatory, economic, market and other conditions as in effect on, and the information made available to Sandler as of, the date thereof. Events occurring after the date thereof could materially affect Sandler s opinion. Sandler had not undertaken to update, revise, reaffirm or withdraw its opinion or otherwise comment upon events occurring after the date thereof.

In performing its analyses, Sandler made numerous assumptions with respect to industry performance, general business, economic, market and financial conditions and other matters, which were beyond the control of Sandler, Mid Penn and First Priority. Any estimates contained in the analyses performed by Sandler were not necessarily indicative of actual values or future results, which could be significantly more or less favorable than suggested by these analyses. Additionally, estimates of the values of businesses or securities did not purport to be appraisals or to reflect the prices at which such businesses or securities could actually be sold. Accordingly, these analyses and estimates were inherently subject to substantial uncertainty. In addition, Sandler s opinion was among several factors taken into consideration by the Mid Penn board of directors in making its determination to approve the merger agreement and the merger. Consequently, the analyses described below should not be viewed as determinative of the decision of the Mid Penn board of directors with respect to the fairness of the merger or the exchange ratio. The type and amount of consideration payable in the merger were determined through negotiation between Mid Penn and First Priority and the decision to enter into the merger agreement was solely that of the Mid Penn board of directors.

The following is a summary of the material financial analyses presented by Sandler to the Mid Penn board of directors in connection with its opinion. The summary is not a complete description of the financial analyses underlying the opinion or the presentation made by Sandler to the Mid Penn board of directors, but summarizes the material analyses performed and presented in connection with such opinion. The financial analyses summarized below include information presented in tabular format. In order to fully understand the financial analyses, these tables must be

read together with the accompanying text. The tables alone do not constitute a complete description of the financial analyses. The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex analytic

61

process involving various determinations as to appropriate and relevant methods of financial analysis and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances. Therefore, a fairness opinion is not readily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. In arriving at its opinion, Sandler did not attribute any particular weight to any analysis or factor that it considered, but rather made qualitative judgments as to the significance and relevance of each analysis and factor. Accordingly, Sandler believes that its analyses and the summary of its analyses must be considered as a whole and that selecting portions of its analyses and factors or focusing on the information presented below in tabular format, without considering all analyses and factors or the full narrative description of the financial analyses, including the methodologies and assumptions underlying the analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the process underlying its analyses and opinion.

Summary of Proposed Merger Consideration and Implied Transaction Metrics

Sandler reviewed the financial terms of the proposed merger. Subject to the provisions of the merger agreement, at the effective time, each share of First Priority common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time will be converted into 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn common stock. Based upon Mid Penn s January 12, 2017 closing common stock price of \$37.50, a fixed exchange ratio equal to 0.3481, and 6,634,969 shares of First Priority common stock outstanding, Sandler calculated an implied transaction value per share of \$13.05 and an aggregate implied transaction value of approximately \$90.5 million. Sandler also calculated an implied transaction value per share of \$11.86 and an aggregate implied transaction value of approximately \$82.6 million based on Mid Penn s volume weighted average price for Mid Penn common stock for the ten trading days ended January 12, 2017 of \$34.08.

Sandler calculated the following implied transaction metrics:

	Closing Price ¹	10-Day VWAP²
Price / Last Twelve Months Earnings Per Share ended		
September 30, 2017	32.6x	29.7x
Price / Estimated 2018 Earnings Per Share ³	22.6x	20.5x
Price / September 30, 2017 Book Value Per Share	182%	166%
Price / September 30, 2017 Tangible Book Value Per		
Share	195%	177%
Price / September 30, 2017 Adjusted Tangible Book		
Value Per Share ⁴	197%	179%
Core Deposit Premium ⁵	11.1%	9.2%
1-Day Market Premium as of January 12, 2018	45.0%	31.8%

- (1) Based on Mid Penn s closing common stock price of \$37.50 on January 12, 2018
- (2) Based on Mid Penn s volume-weighted average common stock price of \$34.08 for the 10 trading days ended January 12, 2018
- (3) As provided by the senior management of First Priority
- (4) Adjusted tangible equity assumes a \$0.6 million, or \$0.08 per share, write-down of the deferred tax asset account
- (5) Core deposits equal to total deposits less jumbo CDs (greater than \$100,000) *Stock Trading History*

Sandler reviewed the historical publicly reported trading price of Mid Penn common stock for the one-year period ended January 12, 2018 and for the three-year period ended January 12, 2018. Sandler also reviewed the historical publicly reported trading price of First Priority common stock for the one-year period ended January 12, 2018 and for the period between March 12, 2015, the day on which First Priority common stock commenced quotation on the OTCQX®, and January 12, 2018. Sandler then compared the relationship between the movements in the prices of Mid Penn common stock and First Priority common stock to the movements in their respective peer groups (as described below) as well as certain stock indices.

Mid Penn s One-Year Stock Performance

	Beginning Value	Ending Value
	January 12, 2017	January 12, 2018
Mid Penn	100%	154.0%
MPB Peer Group	100%	111.3%
SNL U.S. Bank and Thrift Index	100%	121.5%
S&P 500 Index	100%	122.7%

Mid Penn s Three-Year Stock Performance

	Beginning Value January 12, 2015	Ending Value January 12, 2018
Mid Penn	100%	244.3%
MPB Peer Group	100%	148.3%
SNL U.S. Bank and Thrift Index	100%	159.4%
S&P 500 Index	100%	137.4%

First Priority s One-Year Stock Performance

	Beginning Value January 12, 2017	Ending Value January 12, 2018
First Priority	100%	135.5%
FPBK Peer Group	100%	118.7%
SNL U.S. Bank and Thrift Index	100%	121.5%
S&P 500 Index	100%	122.7%

First Priority s Stock Performance Since First OTCQX Quotation (March 12, 2015)

	Beginning Value	
	March 12,	Ending Value
	2015	January 12, 2018
First Priority	100%	180.0%
FPBK Peer Group	100%	133.9%
SNL U.S. Bank and Thrift Index	100%	152.0%
S&P 500 Index	100%	135.7%

Mid Penn Comparable Company Analysis

Using publicly available information, Sandler compared selected financial information for Mid Penn with a group of financial institutions selected by Sandler. The Mid Penn peer group consisted of banks and thrifts headquartered in Pennsylvania with total assets between \$750 million and \$3 billion, whose securities are publicly traded on the NYSE or NASDAQ Stock Market (the MPB Peer Group). Targets of announced merger transactions were excluded from the MPB Peer Group. The MPB Peer Group included the following companies:

ACNB Corporation
AmeriServ Financial, Inc.
CB Financial Services, Inc.
Citizens & Northern Corporation
CNB Financial Corporation
Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc.
DNB Financial Corporation

Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc.
Malvern Bancorp, Inc.
Meridian Bank
Norwood Financial Corp.
Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.
Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc.
Peoples Financial Services Corp.

63

Emclaire Financial Corp ESSA Bancorp, Inc.

Prudential Bancorp, Inc. Republic First Bancorp, Inc.

The analysis compared publicly available financial information for Mid Penn with the corresponding data for the MPB Peer Group as of or for the twelve months ended September 30, 2017, with pricing data as of January 12, 2018. The table below sets forth the data for Mid Penn (referred to as MPB in the table below) and the median, mean, high and low data for the MPB Peer Group:

		MPB Peer	MPB Peer	MPB Peer	MPB Peer
		Group	Group	Group	Group
	MPB	Median	Mean	High	Low
Total Assets (\$ in millions)	1,153	1,215	1,385	2,745	774
Loans / Deposits (%)	85.5	93.4	92.0	109.5	58.1
Non-Performing Assets ¹ / Total assets (%)	0.74	0.77	0.85	1.86	0.31
Tangible Common Equity/Tangible Assets (%)	6.37	9.43	9.39	14.49	6.41
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	6.76	9.49	10.05	14.81	7.82
Total RBC Ratio	10.69	14.09	15.13	24.83	11.93
CRE / Total RBC Ratio (%)	333.8	198.1	205.9	357.5	82.9
LTM Return on Average Assets (%)	0.81	0.82	0.75	1.27	0.35
LTM Return on Average Equity (%)	11.76	8.09	7.34	11.01	2.16
LTM Net Interest Margin (%)	3.78	3.57	3.44	3.87	2.72
LTM Efficiency Ratio (%)	69.1	63.5	67.9	89.3	56.2
Price/Tangible Book Value (%)	218	162	162	228	87
Price/LTM Earnings Per Share (x)	18.4	18.9	23.7	62.9	14.5
Price/2017 Est. Earnings Per Share ² (x)		22.0	26.2	58.7	15.7
Price/2018 Est. Earnings Per Share ² (x)		15.7	17.9	33.8	12.7
Current Dividend Yield (%)	1.4	2.2	2.0	4.3	0.0
LTM Dividend Ratio (%)	29.9	38.9	36.8	80.6	0.0
Market Value (\$ in millions)	230	196	217	502	74

Note: Regulatory data as of December 31, 2016 used if SEC data not available

- (1) Nonperforming assets defined as nonaccrual loans and leases, renegotiated loans and leases and real estate owned
- (2) Based on S&P Capital IQ median earnings per share estimate

First Priority Comparable Company Analysis

Using publicly available information, Sandler compared selected financial information for First Priority with a group of financial institutions selected by Sandler (the FPBK Peer Group). The FPBK Peer Group consisted of banks and thrifts headquartered in the Mid-Atlantic Region with total assets between \$500 million and \$750 million whose securities are publicly traded. Targets of announced merger transactions, non-stock institutions and unprofitable institutions over the last twelve months were excluded from the FPBK Peer Group. The FPBK Peer Group included the following companies:

1st Colonial Bancorp, Inc. American Bank Incorporated Berkshire Bancorp Inc. Elmira Savings Bank Honat Bancorp, Inc. Jonestown Bank and Trust Co.

Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc. CCFNB Bancorp, Inc. Centric Financial Corporation Country Bank Holding Company, Inc. Juniata Valley Financial Corp. MSB Financial Corp. Northumberland Bancorp Riverview Financial Corporation

64

Delmar Bancorp Dimeco, Inc. Steuben Trust Corporation

The analysis compared publicly available financial information for First Priority with the corresponding data for the FPBK Peer Group as of or for the twelve months ended September 30, 2017, unless otherwise noted, with pricing data as of January 12, 2018. The table below sets forth the data for First Priority (referred to as FPBK in the table below) and the median, mean, high and low data for the FPBK Peer Group:

		FPBK Peer	FPBK Peer	FPBK Peer	FPBK Peer
		Group	Group	Group	Group
	FPBK	Median	Mean	High	Low
Total Assets (\$ in millions)	612	567	594	740	512
Loans / Deposits (%)	99.5	90.9	89.4	117.4	63.2
Non-Performing Assets ¹ / Total assets (%)	0.37	0.75	1.12	2.95	0.20
Tangible Common Equity/Tangible Assets (%)	7.25	9.42	10.55	16.61	7.20
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	8.13	10.20	10.74	15.90	7.09
Total RBC Ratio	12.51	13.72	16.45	28.77	10.75
CRE / Total RBC Ratio (%)	203.0	148.4	197.4	402.6	40.8
LTM Return on Average Assets (%)	0.52	0.84	0.86	1.28	0.08
LTM Return on Average Equity (%)	6.11	8.64	8.10	12.34	0.94
LTM Net Interest Margin (%)	3.28	3.44	3.48	3.98	2.93
LTM Efficiency Ratio (%)	74.9	64.7	65.4	82.9	52.4
Price/Tangible Book Value (%)	134	129	131	175	87
Price/LTM Earnings Per Share (x)	22.5	15.2	21.8	90.0	12.6
Current Dividend Yield (%)	0.0	2.8	2.5	7.0	0.0
LTM Dividend Ratio (%)	0.0	37.4	58.3	366.7	0.0
Market Value (\$ in millions)	59	72	86	188	39

Note: Bank level regulatory data as of September 30, 2017 used when consolidated financial information not available

Note: Current common and/or average diluted shares outstanding not available for BERK, CYHC and DBCP

Note: Total Assets and Loans/Deposits as of June 30, 2017 for CYHC

(1) Nonperforming assets defined as nonaccrual loans and leases, renegotiated loans and leases and real estate owned *Selected Transactions Analysis*

Sandler reviewed two groups of recent merger and acquisition transactions consisting of a national group as well as a regional group. The national group consisted of nationwide bank and thrift transactions announced between January 1, 2017 and January 12, 2018 with disclosed deal values, target total assets between \$450 million and \$750 million, and target return on average assets over the last twelve months greater than zero (the Nationwide Precedent Transactions). The regional group consisted of bank and thrift transactions announced between January 1, 2016 and January 12, 2018 with targets headquartered in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, West Virginia or Maryland, and with target total assets between \$250 million and \$950 million (the Regional Precedent Transactions).

The Nationwide Precedent Transactions group was composed of the following transactions:

Acquiror: Target: First Foundation Inc. PBB Bancorp

First Mid-Illinois Bancshares First BancTrust Corp.
FCB Financial Holdings Inc. Floridian Community Holdings Inc.

WesBanco Inc. First Sentry Bancshares Inc.

espaneo me.

65

Acquiror: Target:

First Federal Bancorp MHC
Old Line Bancshares Inc.
Susser Bank Holdings LLC
Commerce Union Bancshares Inc.
Community First Inc.
Community First Inc.

Home Bancorp Inc. Saint Martin Bancshares Inc.

National Commerce Corp. FirstAtlantic Financial Holdings Inc.

Veritex Holdings Inc.

Heritage Financial Corp.

United Community Banks Inc.

Glacier Bancorp Inc.

SmartFinancial Inc.

Riverview Financial Corp.

Washington Federal Inc.

Liberty Bancshares Inc.

Puget Sound Bancorp Inc.

Four Oaks Fincorp Inc.

Columbine Capital Corp.

Capstone Bancshares Inc.

CBT Financial Corp.

Anchor Bancorp

First Busey Corp. Mid Illinois Bancorp Inc.

Using the latest publicly available information prior to the announcement of the relevant transaction, Sandler reviewed the following transaction metrics: transaction price to last-twelve-months earnings per share, transaction price to tangible book value per share, core deposit premium, and 1-day market premium. Sandler compared the indicated transaction metrics for the merger based on Mid Penn s closing common stock price on January 12, 2018 as well as based on Mid Penn s volume-weighted average stock price for the 10 day trading period ending January 12, 2018 to the median, mean, high and low metrics of the Nationwide Precedent Transactions group.

			Median	Mean	High	Low
			Nationwide	Nationwide	Nationwide	Nationwide
		10-Day VWAP	Precedent	Precedent	Precedent	Precedent
	MPB/FPBK ¹	MPB/FPBK ²	Transactions	Transactions	Transactions	Transactions
Transaction Price/ LTM Earnings						
Per Share (x)	32.6	29.7	19.4	21.2	39.3	9.6
Transaction Price/ Tangible Book						
Value Per Share (%)	195/1973	177/1793	185	179	233	99
Core Deposit Premium (%) ⁴	11.1	9.2	11.7	12.2	28.3	2.8
1-Day Market Premium (%) ⁵	45.0	31.8	44.2	38.9	76.5	2.6

- (1) Based on Mid Penn s closing stock price of \$37.50 on January 12, 2018
- (2) Based on Mid Penn s volume-weighted average stock price of \$34.08 for the 10-day trading period ended January 12, 2018
- (3) Adjusted tangible equity assumes a \$0.6 million, or \$0.08 per share, write-down of the deferred tax asset account
- (4) Core deposits equal to total deposits less jumbo CDs (greater than \$100,000)
- (5) As of January 12, 2018

The Regional Precedent Transactions group was composed of the following transactions:

Acquiror: Target:

CB Financial Services Inc. First WV Bancorp Inc.

WesBanco Inc.
Old Line Bancshares Inc.
Riverview Financial Corp.

Sussex Bancorp

Mid Penn Bancorp Inc.
Old Line Bancshares Inc.

First Sentry Bancshares Inc.

Bay Bancorp Inc. CBT Financial Corp.

Community Bank of Bergen County Scottdale Bank & Trust Company

DCB Bancshares Inc.

66

Acquiror: Target:

Bryn Mawr Bank Corp.

ACNB Corp.

Standard Financial Corp

Summit Financial Group Inc.

Royal Bancshares of PA

New Windsor Bancorp Inc.

Allegheny Valley Bancorp Inc.

First Century Bankshares Inc.

Revere Bank Monument Bank
DNB Financial Corp. East River Bank
Lakeland Bancorp Harmony Bank

Using the latest publicly available information prior to the announcement of the relevant transaction, Sandler reviewed the following transaction metrics: transaction price to last-twelve-months earnings per share, transaction price to tangible book value per share, core deposit premium, and 1-day market premium. Sandler compared the indicated transaction metrics for the merger based on Mid Penn s closing common stock price on January 12, 2018 as well as based on Mid Penn s volume-weighted average stock price for the 10 day trading period ending January 12, 2018 to the median, mean, high and low metrics of the Regional Precedent Transactions group.

		10 Day VW AD	Median Regional	Mean Regional	High Regional	Low Regional
		10-Day VWAP			Precedent	Precedent
	MPB/FPBK ¹	MPB/FPBK ²	Transactions	Transactions	Transactions	Transactions
Transaction Price/ LTM Earnings Per						
Share (x)	32.6	29.7	20.5	21.4	13.5	39.3
Transaction Price/ Tangible Book						
Value Per Share (%)	195/1973	177/1793	157	156	241	105
Core Deposit Premium (%) ⁴	11.1	9.2	6.6	7.0	14.5	0.7
1-Day Market Premium (%) ⁵	45.0	31.8	42.0	52.5	153.5	7.4

- (1) Based on Mid Penn s closing stock price of \$37.50 on January 12, 2018
- (2) Based on Mid Penn s volume-weighted average stock price of \$34.08 for the 10-day trading period ended January 12, 2018
- (3) Adjusted tangible equity assumes a \$0.6 million, or \$0.08 per share, write-down of the deferred tax asset account
- (4) Core deposits equal to total deposits less jumbo CDs (greater than \$100,000)
- (5) As of January 12, 2018

Net Present Value Analyses

Sandler performed an analysis that estimated the net present value per share of Mid Penn common stock, assuming Mid Penn performed in accordance with internal financial projections for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2021, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn. To approximate the terminal value of a share of Mid Penn common stock at December 31, 2021, Sandler applied price to 2021 earnings multiples ranging from 13.0x to 18.0x and multiples of December 31, 2021 tangible book value ranging from 135% to 210%. The terminal values were then discounted to present values using different discount rates ranging from 11.0% to 15.0%, which were chosen to reflect different assumptions regarding required rates of return of holders or prospective buyers of Mid Penn common stock. As illustrated in the following tables, the analysis indicated an imputed range of values per share of Mid Penn common stock of \$24.58 to \$38.17 when applying multiples of earnings and \$21.93 to \$37.72 when applying multiples of tangible book value.

Earnings Per Share Multiples

Discount						
Rate	13.0x	14.0x	15.0x	16.0x	17.0x	18.0x
11.00%	\$28.39	\$30.35	\$32.30	\$34.26	\$36.22	\$38.17
12.00%	\$27.37	\$29.26	\$31.14	\$33.02	\$34.90	\$36.79
13.00%	\$26.40	\$28.21	\$30.03	\$31.84	\$33.65	\$35.46
14.00%	\$25.47	\$27.22	\$28.96	\$30.71	\$32.46	\$34.20
15 00%	\$24.58	\$26.27	\$27.95	\$29.63	\$31.31	\$33.00

Tangible Book Value Multiples

SCO	

Rate	135%	150%	165%	180%	195%	210%
11.00%	\$25.31	\$27.79	\$30.27	\$32.75	\$35.23	\$37.72
12.00%	\$24.40	\$26.79	\$29.18	\$31.57	\$33.96	\$36.35
13.00%	\$23.54	\$25.84	\$28.14	\$30.44	\$32.74	\$35.04
14.00%	\$22.72	\$24.93	\$27.15	\$29.36	\$31.58	\$33.80
15.00%	\$21.93	\$24.06	\$26.20	\$28.33	\$30.47	\$32.60

Sandler also considered and discussed with the Mid Penn board of directors how this analysis would be affected by changes in the underlying assumptions, including variations with respect to net income. To illustrate this impact, Sandler performed a similar analysis, assuming Mid Penn s net income varied from 10% above projections to 10% below projections. This analysis resulted in the following range of per share values for Mid Penn common stock, applying the price to 2021 earnings multiples range of 13.0x to 18.0x referred to above and a discount rate of 13.17%.

Earnings Per Share Multiples

Annual	13.0x	14.0x	15.0x	16.0x	17.0x	18.0x
Aimuai	13.04	17.04	13.04	10.04	1 / .UA	10.04

Estimated

Variance						
(10.0%)	\$23.90	\$25.52	\$27.14	\$28.76	\$30.38	\$32.00
(5.0%)	\$25.07	\$26.78	\$28.49	\$30.20	\$31.91	\$33.62
0.00%	\$26.24	\$28.04	\$29.84	\$31.64	\$33.44	\$35.25
5.00%	\$27.41	\$29.30	\$31.19	\$33.08	\$34.98	\$36.87
10.00%	\$28.58	\$30.56	\$32.54	\$34.53	\$36.51	\$38.49

Discount

Sandler also performed two analyses that estimated the net present value per share of First Priority common stock under various circumstances. The first analysis assumed First Priority performed in accordance with internal financial projections for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2021, as provided by the senior management of First Priority and confirmed with the senior management of Mid Penn (FPBK Stand Alone NPV Analysis). Sandler used the same assumptions as the FPBK Stand Alone NPV Analysis for the second net present value analysis, but also included assumptions related to after-tax net cost savings, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn (FPBK Adjusted NPV Analysis).

For both the FPBK Stand Alone NPV Analysis and FPBK Adjusted NPV Analysis, to approximate the terminal value of a share of First Priority common stock at December 31, 2021, Sandler applied price to 2021 earnings multiples ranging from 11.0x to 16.0x and multiples of December 31, 2021 tangible book value ranging from 115% to 165%. The terminal values were then discounted to present values using different discount rates ranging from 11.0% to 15.0%, which were chosen to reflect different assumptions regarding required rates of return of holders or prospective buyers of First Priority common stock. As illustrated in the following tables, the FPBK Stand Alone NPV Analysis indicated an imputed range of values per share of First Priority common stock of \$5.53 to \$9.35 when applying multiples of earnings and the FPBK Adjusted NPV Analysis indicated an imputed range of values per share of First Priority common stock of \$9.66 to \$16.56 when applying multiples of earnings. In addition, as illustrated in the following tables, the FPBK Stand Alone NPV Analysis indicated an imputed range of values per share of First Priority common stock of \$6.21 to \$10.36 when applying multiples of tangible book value and the FPBK Adjusted NPV Analysis indicated an imputed range of values per share of First Priority common stock of \$7.06 to \$12.01 when applying multiples of tangible book value.

Earnings Per Share Multiples (FPBK Stand Alone NPV Analysis)

Discoulit						
Rate	11.0x	12.0x	13.0x	14.0x	15.0x	16.0x
11.00%	\$6.43	\$7.01	\$7.60	\$8.18	\$8.77	\$9.35
12.00%	\$6.19	\$6.75	\$7.31	\$7.88	\$8.44	\$9.00
13.00%	\$5.96	\$6.50	\$7.04	\$7.58	\$8.13	\$8.67
14.00%	\$5.74	\$6.26	\$6.78	\$7.31	\$7.83	\$8.35
15.00%	\$5.53	\$6.03	\$6.54	\$7.04	\$7.54	\$8.05

Earnings Per Share Multiples (FPBK Adjusted NPV Analysis)

Discount						
Rate	11.0x	12.0x	13.0x	14.0x	15.0x	16.0x
11.00%	\$11.27	\$12.33	\$13.39	\$14.44	\$15.50	\$16.56
12.00%	\$10.84	\$11.86	\$12.88	\$13.89	\$14.91	\$15.93
13.00%	\$10.43	\$11.41	\$12.39	\$13.37	\$14.35	\$15.33
14.00%	\$10.03	\$10.98	\$11.92	\$12.87	\$13.81	\$14.76
15.00%	\$9.66	\$10.57	\$11.48	\$12.39	\$13.30	\$14.21

Tangible Book Value Multiples (FPBK Stand Alone NPV Analysis)

Discount

Data	115%	125%	135%	145%	155%	165%
Rate	113%	123%	133%	143%	133%	103%
11.00%	\$7.22	\$7.85	\$8.48	\$9.11	\$9.74	\$10.36
12.00%	\$6.95	\$7.56	\$8.16	\$8.77	\$9.37	\$9.98
13.00%	\$6.70	\$7.28	\$7.86	\$8.44	\$9.02	\$9.61
14.00%	\$6.45	\$7.01	\$7.57	\$8.13	\$8.69	\$9.25
15.00%	\$6.21	\$6.75	\$7.30	\$7.84	\$8.38	\$8.92

Tangible Book Value Multiples (FPBK Adjusted NPV Analysis)

T	٠.					
1	11	SC	1	111	nt	٠
	,,	20	. ,	ш		

Rate	115%	125%	135%	145%	155%	165%
11.00%	\$8.26	\$9.01	\$9.76	\$10.51	\$11.26	\$12.01
12.00%	\$7.94	\$8.66	\$9.38	\$10.11	\$10.83	\$11.55
13.00%	\$7.63	\$8.33	\$9.02	\$9.72	\$10.42	\$11.11
14.00%	\$7.34	\$8.01	\$8.68	\$9.35	\$10.02	\$10.69
15.00%	\$7.06	\$7.71	\$8.36	\$9.00	\$9.65	\$10.29

Sandler also considered and discussed with the Mid Penn board of directors how this analysis would be affected by changes in the underlying assumptions, including variations with respect to net income. To illustrate this impact, Sandler performed a similar analysis assuming First Priority s net income varied from 10% above projections to 10% below projections for both the FPBK Stand Alone NPV Analysis and FPBK Adjusted NPV Analysis. These analyses resulted in the following ranges of per share values for First Priority common stock, applying the price to 2021 earnings multiples range of 11.0x to 16.0x referred to above and a discount rate of 13.17% in each case.

Earnings Per Share Multiples (FPBK Stand Alone NPV Analysis)

Estimated						
Variance	11.0x	12.0x	13.0x	14.0x	15.0x	16.0x
(10.0%)	\$5.33	\$5.81	\$6.30	\$6.78	\$7.27	\$7.75
(5.0%)	\$5.63	\$6.14	\$6.65	\$7.16	\$7.67	\$8.18
0.00%	\$5.92	\$6.46	\$7.00	\$7.54	\$8.07	\$8.61
5.00%	\$6.22	\$6.78	\$7.35	\$7.91	\$8.48	\$9.04
10.00%	\$6.51	\$7.11	\$7.70	\$8.29	\$8.88	\$9.47

Earnings Per Share Multiples (FPBK Adjusted NPV Analysis)

Annual

Estimated						
Variance	11.0x	12.0x	13.0x	14.0x	15.0x	16.0x
(10.0%)	\$9.77	\$10.69	\$11.61	\$12.53	\$13.45	\$14.37
(5.0%)	\$10.06	\$11.01	\$11.96	\$12.90	\$13.85	\$14.80
0.00%	\$10.36	\$11.33	\$12.31	\$13.28	\$14.26	\$15.23
5.00%	\$10.65	\$11.66	\$12.66	\$13.66	\$14.66	\$15.66
10.00%	\$10.95	\$11.98	\$13.01	\$14.04	\$15.06	\$16.09

In connection with its analyses, Sandler considered and discussed with the Mid Penn board of directors how the present value analyses would be affected by changes in the underlying assumptions. Sandler noted that the net present value analysis is a widely used valuation methodology, but the results of such methodology are highly dependent upon

the numerous assumptions that must be made, and the results thereof are not necessarily indicative of actual values or future results.

Pro Forma Merger Analysis

Sandler analyzed certain potential pro forma effects of the merger on Mid Penn assuming the merger closes at the end of the third calendar quarter of 2018. Sandler utilized the following information and assumptions: (i) certain internal financial projections for Mid Penn for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2021, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn, (ii) certain internal financial projections for First Priority for the years ending December 31, 2017 through December 31, 2021, as provided by the senior management of First

70

Priority and confirmed with the senior management of Mid Penn, and (iii) certain assumptions relating to transaction expenses, purchase accounting adjustments and cost savings, as well as the redemption of First Priority s outstanding preferred stock prior to closing of the merger, as provided by the senior management of Mid Penn. The analysis indicated that the merger could be accretive to Mid Penn s earnings per share (excluding one-time transaction costs and expenses) in the years ending December 31, 2018, December 31, 2019, December 31, 2020, and December 31, 2021 and dilutive to Mid Penn s estimated tangible book value per share at close.

In connection with this analysis, Sandler considered and discussed with the Mid Penn board of directors how the analysis would be affected by changes in the underlying assumptions and noted that the actual results achieved by the combined company may vary from projected results and the variations may be material.

Sandler s Relationship

Sandler acted as Mid Penn s financial advisor in connection with the merger and will receive a fee for its services in an amount equal to \$650,000, a significant portion of which is contingent upon consummation of the merger. Sandler received \$195,000 of the transaction fee upon the signing of the merger agreement and a \$150,000 fee upon rendering its fairness opinion to the Mid Penn board of directors, both of which amounts will be credited in full towards the portion of the transaction fee that will become payable to Sandler on the day of closing of the merger. Mid Penn has also agreed to indemnify Sandler against certain claims and liabilities arising out of Sandler s engagement and to reimburse Sandler for certain of its out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with Sandler s engagement.

In the two years preceding the date of its opinion, Sandler provided certain other investment banking services to, and received fees from, Mid Penn. Most recently, Sandler acted as financial advisor to Mid Penn in connection with Mid Penn s acquisition of Scottdale Bank & Trust Company, which transaction closed in January 2018. Sandler did not provide any investment banking services to First Priority in the two years preceding the date of its opinion. In the ordinary course of business as a broker-dealer, Sandler may purchase securities from and sell securities to Mid Penn, First Priority and their respective affiliates. Sandler may also actively trade the equity and debt securities of Mid Penn, First Priority and their respective affiliates for its own account and for the accounts of its customers.

Board of Directors and Management of Mid Penn Following Completion of the Merger

Following completion of the merger, the then current directors and executive officers of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank will continue to serve in their existing capacity at that time. Additionally, at the effective time of the merger, the boards of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank will be increased by four (4) directors and David E. Sparks, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of First Priority, and three (3) of the other current directors of First Priority selected by the board of directors of First Priority, with the approval of Mid Penn s board of directors, will be added to the boards of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank. Additionally, Mr. Sparks will be appointed as Chief Strategic Advisor to the Chief Executive Officer of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and Mid Penn Bank, and Market President of First Priority Bank, a Division of Mid Penn Bank.

First Priority Shareholders Have Dissenters Rights in the Merger

Dissenters rights are statutory rights that enable shareholders who object to extraordinary transactions, such as mergers, to demand that the corporation pay such shareholders the fair value of their shares as determined by a court in a judicial proceeding instead of receiving the consideration offered to shareholders in connection with the extraordinary transaction. Dissenters rights are not available in all circumstances and exceptions to those rights are set forth in the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law (PBCL). The term fair value in this instance means the value of a share of First Priority s common stock immediately before the day of the merger, taking into account all relevant

factors, but excluding any appreciation or depreciation in anticipation of the merger.

71

First Priority shareholders are entitled to dissenters rights in connection with the merger.

Set forth below is a summary of the terms under the PBCL, describing the steps that must be taken in order to exercise dissenting shareholder rights. Any First Priority shareholder considering exercising the shareholder s right to dissent from the proposed action and receive the fair value of his shares should read both this summary and the full text of the law, which is attached hereto as Annex D. Written notices or demands that are required concerning the exercise of dissenters rights should be sent to First Priority Financial Corp., 2 West Liberty Boulevard, Suite 104, Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355, Attention: Corporate Secretary or at such other address as may be indicated in subsequent instructions related to the exercise of such rights.

Any First Priority shareholder who wishes to dissent and exercise rights to an appraisal must:

file a written notice of intention to demand payment of the fair value of his or her shares (if the merger is completed), prior to the vote of shareholders on the merger at the special meeting;

make no change in the shareholder s beneficial ownership of stock from the date of the written notice through the day of the merger; and

not vote his or her stock for approval of the merger.

Voting in favor of the merger constitutes a waiver of dissenters—rights of appraisal. Further, neither a proxy marked against approval of the merger nor a vote at the special meeting against approval of the merger satisfies the necessary written notice of intention to dissent. A separate written notice must be filed with First Priority prior to the vote of shareholders on the merger, as described above.

If the merger is approved by the required vote of shareholders, First Priority will mail a notice to all dissenters who gave due notice of intention to demand payment of fair value and who did not vote for approval of the plan of merger. The notice will state where and when the dissenting shareholder must deliver a written demand for payment and where certificates for stock should be deposited in order to obtain payment. The notice will include a form for demanding payment and a copy of the law. The time set for receipt of the demand for payment and deposit of stock certificates will be not less than 30 days from the date of mailing of the notice.

Any dissenting First Priority shareholder who wishes to exercise appraisal rights must take each step in the indicated order and in strict compliance with the statute to preserve dissenters—rights. Any such shareholder who fails to follow the steps will lose his or her right to dissent and will, instead, receive the merger consideration.

Promptly after the merger, Mid Penn will send dissenters, who have timely filed the demand for payment and deposited their stock certificates, the amount that Mid Penn estimates to be the fair value of the stock. The remittance or notice will be accompanied by:

a closing balance sheet and statement of income of First Priority for a fiscal year ending not more than 16 months before the date of remittance or notice together with the latest available interim financial statements;

a statement of Mid Penn s estimate of the fair value of First Priority common stock; and

a notice of the right of the dissenter to demand supplemental payment, accompanied by a copy of the law. If a dissenting shareholder believes that the amount stated or remitted by Mid Penn is less than the fair value of the stock, the dissenter may send an estimate of the fair value of the stock to Mid Penn. If Mid Penn remits payment of the estimated value of a dissenter s stock and the dissenter does not file his or her own estimate within 30 days after Mid Penn mailed its remittance, the dissenter will be entitled to no more than the amount remitted by Mid Penn.

72

If any demands for payment remain unsettled within 60 days after the latest to occur of: (1) the merger, (2) the timely receipt of any shareholder demands for payment, or (3) the timely receipt of any estimates by dissenters of the fair value, then Mid Penn may file an application in the Court of Common Pleas of Dauphin County requesting that the court determine the fair value of the stock. If this happens, all dissenters, no matter where they reside, whose demands have not been settled, shall be made parties to the proceeding. In addition, a copy of the application will be delivered to each dissenter.

If Mid Penn fails to file the application, then any dissenter, on behalf of all dissenters who have made a demand and who have not settled their claim may file an application in the name of First Priority at any time within the 30-day period after the expiration of the 60-day period and request that the Dauphin County Court determine the fair value of the shares. The fair value determined by the court may, but need not, equal the dissenters—estimates of fair value. If no dissenter files an application, then each dissenter entitled to do so shall be paid Mid Penn—s estimate of the fair value of the common stock and no more, and may bring an action to recover any amount not previously remitted, plus interest at a rate the court finds fair and equitable.

The costs and expenses of any valuation proceedings in court, including the reasonable compensation and expenses of any appraiser appointed by the court to recommend a decision on the issue of fair value, will be determined by the court and assessed against First Priority except that any part of the costs and expenses may be apportioned and assessed by the court against all or any of the dissenters who are parties and whose action in demanding supplemental payment the court finds to be arbitrary, vexatious or in bad faith. In addition, dissenting shareholders generally will be responsible for their own costs and expenses, including, without limitation, the fees and expenses of their own legal counsel and experts.

Regulatory Approvals Required for the Merger

The merger is subject to the approval of the FRB under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the BHC Act) and the PDB under the Pennsylvania Banking Code (the Banking Code).

In reviewing Mid Penn s application for approval of the merger under the BHC Act, the FRB must consider, among other factors, the competitive effect of the merger, the managerial and financial resources and future prospects of Mid Penn, the effect of the merger on the convenience and needs of the communities to be served, including the records of performance of the subsidiary banks of the merging companies in meeting the credit needs of the communities under the Community Reinvestment Act, the effectiveness of Mid Penn in combating money laundering activities, and the extent to which the merger would result in greater or more concentrated risks to the stability of the United States banking or financial system. Applicable regulations require publication of notice of the application and an opportunity for the public to comment on the application in writing and to request a hearing. The FRB may waive the application requirement under certain conditions.

The bank merger of First Priority Bank with and into Mid Penn Bank is also subject to approval by the FDIC under the federal Bank Merger Act and by the PDB under the Banking Code. In general, the factors considered by the FDIC and the PDB to approve the bank merger are similar to the factors described above relating to the merger.

As of the date of this document, waivers and approvals have been received from the FRB, FDIC and PDB. Such waivers and approvals are subject to expiration, revocation or modification by the issuing regulatory authority. The merger will not proceed in the absence of regulatory approvals.

The parties are not aware of any other governmental approvals or actions that may be required to consummate the merger. If any other approval or action is required, it is contemplated that such approval or action would be sought.

There can be no assurance, however, that any additional approvals or actions will be obtained.

73

INTERESTS OF FIRST PRIORITY S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS IN THE MERGER

In considering the recommendation of the First Priority board of directors that you vote to approve the merger agreement, First Priority shareholders should be aware that First Priority s directors and executive officers have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, those of First Priority shareholders generally. The First Priority board was aware of and considered those interests, among other matters, in reaching its decisions to (1) approve and adopt the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby and (2) resolve to recommend the approval of the merger agreement to First Priority shareholders. First Priority shareholders should take these interests into account in deciding whether to vote FOR the proposal to approve the merger agreement. These interests are described in more detail below, and certain of them are quantified in the narrative below and the table below.

Share Ownership

As of [], 2018, First Priority s executive officers and directors and their affiliates own [] shares, representing []% of outstanding shares, of First Priority common stock and [] shares of Mid Penn common stock.

First Priority Stock Options

Under the terms of the merger agreement and the respective equity-based compensation plan and award agreements, at the effective time of the merger, all outstanding First Priority stock options that have not yet vested will be converted automatically into the right to receive cash equal to the product of (i) the total number of shares of First Priority common stock that were issuable upon exercise of the option and (ii) \$11.07 less the per share exercise price of the First Priority option, without interest.

As of [], 2018, the record date for First Priority s special meeting, First Priority s executive officers and directors (as a group) held unvested options to acquire an aggregate of [] shares of First Priority common stock. Assuming the merger occurs on [], 2018, First Priority s executive officers and directors will hold unvested options to purchase [] shares of First Priority common stock that will automatically be converted into the right to receive the above described cash payment.

Indemnification and Insurance

The merger agreement provides that for a period of six years after the effective time, Mid Penn will indemnify and hold harmless all present and former directors, officers and employees of First Priority and its subsidiaries against all costs and liabilities arising out of the fact that such person is or was a director, officer, or employee of First Priority or any of its subsidiaries and pertaining to matters, actions, or omissions existing or occurring at or prior to the effective time, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, and will also advance expenses to such persons to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law; however, if required, such person provides an undertaking to repay such advances if it is ultimately determined that such person is not entitled to indemnification.

Subject to certain limitations, the merger agreement also requires Mid Penn to maintain, for a period of six years after the completion of the merger, First Priority s existing directors and officers liability insurance policy, or policies with a substantially comparable insurer of at least the same coverage and amounts and containing terms and conditions that are no less advantageous to the insured, with respect to claims arising from facts or events that occurred at or prior to the completion of the merger. For additional information see the section entitled *The Merger***Agreement Indemnification and Insurance** beginning on page [] of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Appointment to Boards of Directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank

Effective at the closing of the merger, David E. Sparks, current Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of First Priority, and three (3) other members of the board of directors of First Priority will be appointed to the boards of

74

directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank. The payment of compensation to such individuals will be in accordance with the policies of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank. Currently, each non-employee director (other than the Chairman and Vice-Chairman) receives: an annual retainer of \$30,000, and between \$500 and \$600 for each committee meeting attended, depending on the committee.

Appointment of David E. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor and Market President

Effective at the closing of the merger, David E. Sparks will be appointed as Chief Strategic Advisor to the Chief Executive Officer of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank, and Market President of First Priority Bank, a Division of Mid Penn Bank. In such capacity, Mr. Sparks will receive an annual base salary at his current rate of \$310,000 per year (subject to normal annual adjustments), as well as reimbursement for the cost of a leased car, country club dues and life insurance premiums, each on the same terms as he currently enjoys as Chief Executive Officer of First Priority. So long as Mr. Sparks is employed by Mid Penn Bank, he will not receive compensation for his service on the boards of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank. Upon Mr. Sparks retirement as a full-time employee of Mid Penn Bank, Mid Penn Bank may retain his services as a non-employee consultant (up to 60% of his full-time schedule) at an hourly rate of not less than \$200 per hour. If Mid Penn Bank notifies Mr. Sparks of its intention not to retain him as a non-employee consultant, or offers to retain him at less than 60% of his full-time schedule and Mr. Sparks declines such role, then Mid Penn shall pay Mr. Sparks an amount equal to his then current annual base salary in a lump sum payment within twenty (20) days of his termination of employment.

Existing Employment Agreement of Steven A. Ehrlich

First Priority is a party to an employment agreement with Steven A. Ehrlich, President of First Priority and President and Chief Executive Officer of First Priority Bank. Mr. Ehrlich is also a director of both First Priority and First Priority Bank. The agreement has a term of three years that is automatically renewed on each anniversary date of the agreement for an additional year unless either party gives notice to the other of non-renewal at least 60 days prior to such anniversary date.

If First Priority were to terminate Mr. Ehrlich's employment without cause, or if Mr. Ehrlich were to terminate his employment for good reason, as defined, including but not limited to, a change in control of First Priority, he will be entitled to receive post termination benefits as follows: an amount equal to three (3) times the sum of (i) the highest salary paid to him in the year of termination or prior two calendar years; (ii) the highest bonus paid to him in one of the three calendar years prior to termination; and (iii) an adjustment for benefits. In addition, First Priority is required to reimburse Mr. Ehrlich for any excise tax liability incurred pursuant to Section 280G and 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code and for any additional taxes incurred as a result of such reimbursement. Mr. Ehrlich's current annual base salary is \$236,000.

First Priority Bank Severance Plan

First Priority Bank adopted a Severance Plan on December 13, 2013, in which all of its executive officers other than Mr. Ehrlich participates. Under the Severance Plan, each executive is entitled to receive a severance benefit equal to his or her continued base salary for a period of between six and eighteen months in the event that the executive s employment is terminated within one year following a change in control as a result of a work force reduction or job elimination, as well as the continuation of First Priority s portion of the cost of medical insurance provided under First Priority s group medical insurance plan for the duration of the severance payment period.

Under the merger agreement, if Mid Penn requests, First Priority shall, or shall cause First Priority Bank to, terminate the Severance Plan prior to the merger. In such event, Mid Penn has agreed to pay plan participants the amounts to

which he or she would have been entitled under the Severance Plan if such individual s employment is terminated within twelve (12) months following the merger.

75

THE MERGER AGREEMENT

The following describes certain aspects of the merger, including material provisions of the merger agreement. The following description of the merger agreement is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, the merger agreement, which is attached to this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex A and is incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. We urge you to read the merger agreement carefully and in its entirety, as it is the legal document governing this merger.

Terms of the Merger

Each of the First Priority board of directors and the Mid Penn board of directors has unanimously adopted the agreement and plan of merger, which provides for the merger of First Priority with and into Mid Penn. Mid Penn will be the surviving entity in the corporate merger. Each share of Mid Penn common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to completion of the merger will remain issued and outstanding as one share of common stock of Mid Penn. Each share of First Priority common stock issued and outstanding at the effective time of the merger (with the exception of Company-Owned Stock, as defined below) will be converted into shares of Mid Penn common stock, as described below. See *Consideration to Be Received in the Merger*. Company-Owned Stock means shares of First Priority stock held in treasury by First Priority or any of its subsidiaries or any shares of First Priority stock held by Mid Penn or any of its subsidiaries, other than in a fiduciary or agency capacity or as a result of debts previously contracted. Each share of First Priority common stock held as Company-Owned Stock immediately prior to the effective time of the merger will be canceled and retired and no consideration will be issued in exchange for Company-Owned Stock. Mid Penn does not own any shares of common stock of First Priority.

The Mid Penn articles of incorporation and bylaws will be the articles of incorporation and bylaws of the combined entity after completion of the merger. The merger agreement provides that Mid Penn may change the method of effecting the merger if and to the extent it deems such change to be necessary, appropriate, or desirable. No such change will alter the amount or kind of merger consideration to be provided under the merger agreement, adversely affect the tax treatment of the merger as a reorganization under Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, or materially impede or delay completion of the merger.

Closing and Effective Time of the Merger

The merger will become effective as set forth in the articles of merger to be filed with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. It currently is anticipated that the effective time of the merger will occur in the third quarter of 2018, but we cannot guarantee when or if the merger will be completed.

Consideration to Be Received in the Merger

As a result of the merger each First Priority shareholder will receive, with respect to each share of First Priority common stock held (excluding Company-Owned Stock), 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn common stock.

The implied value of the merger consideration will fluctuate as the market price of Mid Penn common stock fluctuates before the completion of the merger. This price will not be known at the time of the First Priority special meeting and may be more or less than the current price of Mid Penn common stock or the price of Mid Penn common stock at the time of the First Priority special meeting or at the completion of the merger. The exchange ratio is fixed and not subject to adjustment, except in limited circumstances.

No fractional shares of Mid Penn common stock will be issued to any holder of First Priority common stock upon completion of the merger. For each fractional share that would otherwise be issued, Mid Penn will pay cash in an amount equal to the fraction multiplied by the closing price for a share of Mid Penn common stock as reported on Nasdaq for the closing date. No interest will be paid or accrued on cash payable to holders in lieu of fractional shares.

Each option to purchase shares of First Priority common stock that is outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger will be automatically converted into the right to receive cash equal to the product of (i) the total number of shares of First Priority common stock that were issuable upon exercise of the option and (ii) \$11.07 less the per share exercise price of the First Priority option, without interest.

Conversion of Shares; Letter of Transmittal; Exchange of Certificates

The conversion of First Priority common stock into the right to receive the merger consideration will occur automatically at the effective time of the merger. As soon as reasonably practicable after completion of the merger, but in any event within five business days, the exchange agent will mail to each First Priority shareholder a letter of transmittal with instructions on how to exchange certificates representing shares of First Priority common stock for the merger consideration to be received in the merger pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement. You will be required to submit your certificates before you will receive your merger consideration. If a certificate for First Priority common stock has been lost, stolen or destroyed, the exchange agent will issue the consideration properly payable under the merger agreement upon receipt of appropriate evidence as to that loss, theft or destruction, appropriate evidence as to the ownership of that certificate by the claimant, and appropriate and customary indemnification. [] will be the exchange agent in the merger and will receive forms of election, exchange certificates for the merger consideration and perform other duties as explained in the merger agreement.

Dividends and Distributions

Until First Priority common stock certificates are surrendered for exchange, any dividends or other distributions declared after the effective time of the merger with respect to Mid Penn common stock into which shares of First Priority common stock may have been converted will accrue but will not be paid. Mid Penn will pay to former First Priority shareholders any unpaid dividends or other distributions, without interest, only after they have surrendered their First Priority stock certificates.

Pursuant to the merger agreement, prior to the effective time of the merger, First Priority and its subsidiaries may not declare or pay any dividend or distribution on its capital stock.

Representations and Warranties

The merger agreement contains customary representations and warranties of First Priority and Mid Penn relating to their respective businesses. The representations must be true and correct in accordance with the materiality standards set forth in the merger agreement, as of the date of the merger agreement and at the effective date of the merger as though made at and as of such time (except that representations and warranties that by their terms speak as of the date of the merger agreement or some other date must be true and correct as of such date). The representations and warranties in the merger agreement do not survive the effective time of the merger.

Each of Mid Penn and First Priority has made representations and warranties to the other regarding, among other things:

corporate matters, including due organization and qualification;

capitalization;

authority relative to execution and delivery of the merger agreement and the absence of breaches or violations of organizational documents or other obligations as a result of the merger;

required governmental filings and consents;

the timely filing of reports with governmental entities;

financial statements and the absence of undisclosed liabilities;

77

tax matters;
the absence of circumstances and events reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the business of First Priority and Mid Penn;
properties;
insurance coverage;
legal proceedings, and the absence of investigations by regulatory agencies;
compliance with applicable laws;
employee matters, including employee benefit plans;
environmental matters;
brokers, finders and financial advisors;
loan related matters;
related party transactions;
the vote required to approve the merger;
securities registration obligations;
intellectual property;
risk management instruments;
absence of fiduciary or trust accounts;

the preparation and filing of the reports filed by each party with the Securities and Exchange Commission; and

the receipt of the respective financial advisor s fairness opinion.

First Priority has also made representations and warranties to Mid Penn regarding credit card accounts, material contracts, real estate leases, and other certain types of contracts, labor matters and anti-takeover laws.

The representations and warranties described above and included in the merger agreement were made by Mid Penn and First Priority to each other. These representations and warranties were made as of specific dates, may be subject to important qualifications and limitations agreed to by Mid Penn and First Priority in connection with negotiating the terms of the merger agreement (including by reference to information contained in disclosure schedules delivered by the parties under the merger agreement), and may have been included in the merger agreement for the purpose of allocating risk between Mid Penn and First Priority rather than to establish matters as facts. The merger agreement is described herein, and included as Annex A, only to provide you with information regarding its terms and conditions, and not to provide any other factual information regarding First Priority, Mid Penn or their respective businesses. Accordingly, the representations and warranties and other provisions of the merger agreement should not be read alone, but instead should be read only in conjunction with the information provided elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and in the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See *Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference* on page [].

Covenants and Agreements

Each of First Priority and Mid Penn has undertaken customary covenants that place restrictions on it and its subsidiaries until the effective time of the merger. In general, each of Mid Penn and First Priority has agreed to operate its respective business in the usual, regular and ordinary course of business, use commercially reasonable

78

efforts to preserve intact its business organization and assets and maintain its rights and franchises, and voluntarily take no action that would be reasonably likely to materially and adversely affect the ability to obtain any regulatory approvals required for the merger or materially and adversely affect its ability to perform its covenants under the merger agreement.

In addition, First Priority has agreed that, with certain exceptions and except with Mid Penn s prior written consent (which, with certain exceptions, is not to be unreasonably withheld), that First Priority will not, and will not permit any of its subsidiaries to, among other things, undertake the following extraordinary actions:

change or waive any provision of its articles of incorporation, charter or bylaws, except as required by law, or appoint any new directors to its board of directors, except to fill any vacancy in accordance with its bylaws;

change the number of authorized or issued shares of its capital stock, issue any shares of capital stock, or issue or grant any right or agreement of any character relating to its authorized or issued capital stock or any securities convertible into shares of such stock, make any grant or award under any option or benefit plan, or split, combine or reclassify any shares of capital stock, or declare, set aside or pay any dividend or other distribution in respect of capital stock, or redeem or otherwise acquire any shares of capital stock;

enter into, amend in any material respect or terminate any material contract or agreement (including without limitation any settlement agreement with respect to litigation) except in the ordinary course of business or as required by law;

make application for the opening or closing of any, or open or close any, branch or automated banking facility;

except as set forth in the merger agreement, take specified actions relating to director and employee compensation, benefits, hiring and promotions;

except as otherwise expressly permitted under the merger agreement, enter into or, except as may be required by law, materially modify any pension, retirement, stock option, stock purchase, stock appreciation right, stock grant, savings, profit sharing, deferred compensation, supplemental retirement, consulting, bonus, group insurance or other employee benefit, incentive or welfare contract, plan or arrangement, or any trust agreement related thereto, in respect of any of its directors, officers or employees; or make any contributions to any defined contribution plan not in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice;

merge or consolidate it or any of its subsidiaries with any other corporation; sell or lease all or any substantial portion of its assets or businesses or that of any of its subsidiaries; make any acquisition of all or any substantial portion of the business or assets of any other party other than in connection with foreclosures,

settlements in lieu of foreclosure, troubled loan or debt restructuring, or the collection of any loan or credit arrangement between it or any of its subsidiaries, and any other party; enter into a purchase and assumption transaction with respect to deposits and liabilities; voluntarily revoke or surrender of its certificate of authority to maintain, or file an application for the relocation of, any existing branch office, or file an application for a certificate of authority to establish a new branch office;

sell or otherwise dispose of its capital stock or that of any of its subsidiaries or sell or otherwise dispose of any of its assets or those of any of its subsidiaries other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice; except for transactions with the Federal Home Loan Bank, subject any of its assets or those of any of its subsidiaries to a lien, pledge, security interest or other encumbrance (other than in connection with deposits, repurchase agreements, bankers acceptances, treasury tax and loan accounts established in the ordinary course of business and transactions in federal funds and the satisfaction of legal requirements in the exercise of trust powers) other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice;

79

voluntarily take any action that would result in any of its representations and warranties becoming untrue in any material respect or any of the conditions set forth in the merger agreement not being satisfied, except in each case as may be required by applicable law or any regulatory authority;

change any method, practice or principle of accounting, except as may be required from time to time by US GAAP or any regulatory authority responsible for regulating it or its respective banking subsidiary or its independent accounting firm;

waive, release, grant or transfer any material rights of value or modify or change in any material respect any existing material agreement or indebtedness with an annual value of \$1,000,000 or greater to which it or any of its subsidiaries is a party;

purchase any securities, including equity or debt securities, except in accordance with past practice pursuant to policies approved by the First Priority board of directors in effect prior to the date of the merger agreement;

issue or sell any equity or debt securities;

make any new loan or other credit facility commitment (including without limitation, lines of credit and letters of credit), except in accordance with past practice pursuant to policies approved by its board of directors in effect prior to the date of the merger agreement;

enter into, renew, extend or modify any other transaction (other than a deposit transaction) with any affiliate;

enter into any futures contract, option, interest rate caps, interest rate floors, interest rate exchange agreement or other agreement or take any other action for purposes of hedging the exposure of its interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities to changes in market rates of interest;

except for the execution of the merger agreement, and actions taken or that will be taken in accordance with the merger agreement and performance thereunder, take any action that would give rise to a right of payment to any individual under any employment agreement;

enter into any new line of business;

make any material change in policies in existence on January 16, 2018 with regard to (i) underwriting, the extension of credit, or the establishment of reserves with respect to the possible loss thereon or the charge-off of losses incurred thereon, (ii) investments, (iii) asset/liability management, (iv) deposit pricing or gathering, or (v) other material banking policies except as may be required by changes in applicable law or

regulations or by a regulatory authority;

except for the execution of the merger agreement, and the transactions contemplated therein, take any action that would give rise to an acceleration of the right to payment to any individual under any of its employee plans;

make any capital expenditures in excess of \$25,000 individually or \$100,000 in the aggregate, other than pursuant to binding commitments existing on January 16, 2018 and other than expenditures necessary to maintain existing assets in good repair;

purchase or otherwise acquire any assets or incur any liabilities other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practices and policies;

undertake, renew, extend or enter into any lease, contract or other commitment for its account, other than in the normal course of providing credit to customers as part of its banking business, involving a payment by it or any subsidiary of more than \$25,000 annually, containing any financial commitment extending beyond 24 months from January 16, 2018 or involving any of its affiliates;

pay, discharge, settle or compromise any claim, action, litigation, arbitration or proceeding, other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice that involves solely money damages in

80

the amount not in excess of \$25,000 individually or \$60,000 in the aggregate, and that does not create negative precedent and provided that it may not charge-off through settlement, compromise or discharge more than \$50,000 of the outstanding principal balance of any loan that is 90 or more days contractually past due without first discussing the decision with Mid Penn;

foreclose upon or take a deed or title to any commercial real estate without first conducting a Phase I environmental assessment of the property or foreclose upon any commercial real estate if such environmental assessment indicates the presence of certain environmental materials;

purchase or sell any mortgage loan servicing rights other than in the ordinary course of business;

issue any broadly distributed communication to employees relating to post-closing employment, benefit or compensation information without the prior consent of Mid Penn or issue any broadly distributed communication to customers regarding the merger without the prior approval of Mid Penn, except as required by law or for communications in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice that do not relate to the merger; or

agree or commit to do any of the actions prohibited by the preceding points. First Priority has further agreed that First Priority will:

at the request of Mid Penn, terminate the First Priority Bank Severance Plan; and

use its reasonable best efforts to cooperate with Mid Penn to obtain any regulatory or other approvals required to effect the redemption of the outstanding shares of First Priority s 9.0% Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C, within 45 days following the merger.

Each of Mid Penn and First Priority has agreed to additional covenants which include, among other things, commitments to provide certain financial and regulatory information upon request and maintain insurance in reasonable amounts.

Mid Penn has further agreed that Mid Penn will:

appoint David E. Sparks and three other current directors of First Priority to the Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank boards of directors;

appoint Mr. Sparks as Chief Strategic Advisor to the Chief Executive Officer of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank, and Market President of First Priority Bank, a Division of Mid Penn Bank;

for determining eligibility and vesting for certain Mid Penn employee benefit plans (and not for benefit accrual purposes), provide credit for meeting eligibility and vesting requirements in such plans for service as an employee of First Priority or any predecessor of First Priority;

pay severance benefits to any continuing employees of First Priority or First Priority Bank, who were employed as of January 16, 2018, whose employment is either terminated within 12 months following the closing of the merger or is not offered or retained in substantially comparable employment, with respect to job description, work location, responsibilities and pay, with Mid Penn or Mid Penn Bank, as applicable, other than for circumstances constituting cause and who is not party to an agreement that provides for specific severance payments, equal to two week s salary for each year or service with First Priority or First Priority Bank, with a minimum payment of four weeks and a maximum payment of 26 weeks;

honor the terms of all First Priority employment and change in control agreements;

for a period of six years after the merger, to indemnify, defend and hold harmless all current and former officers, directors and employees of First Priority against all claims that arise out of the fact that such person is or was a director, officer or employee of First Priority or its subsidiaries and that relate to any matter of fact existing at or prior to the merger, to the fullest extent as would have been permitted by First Priority under Pennsylvania law and under First Priority s articles of incorporation and bylaws;

81

maintain, for six years following the merger, First Priority s current and former directors and officers liability insurance policies covering the officers and directors of First Priority with respect to matters occurring at or prior to the merger;

establish a retention bonus pool of \$250,000 for employees of First Priority or First Priority Bank, based upon the joint recommendation of First Priority and Mid Penn (other than employees of First Priority who are subject to employment contracts or other contracts providing for severance or other payments upon termination of employment), to help retain the services of such employees until the date of termination of their employment;

reserve a sufficient number of shares of its common stock and maintain sufficient liquid accounts or borrowing capacity to fulfill its obligations in connection with the merger;

obtain approval for listing of the shares of its common stock on Nasdaq; and

refrain from amending its articles of incorporation or bylaws or similar governing documents of any of its subsidiaries in a manner that would materially and adversely affect the economic benefits of the merger to the holders of First Priority common stock or that would materially impede Mid Penn s ability to consummate the merger.

The merger agreement also contains mutual covenants relating to the preparation of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the regulatory applications and the holding of the special meetings of Mid Penn shareholders and First Priority shareholders, respectively, access to information of First Priority and public announcements with respect to the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. First Priority and Mid Penn have also agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to take all actions needed to obtain necessary governmental and third-party consents and to consummate the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

First Priority Bank Post-Closing Operation

Immediately, or as soon as reasonably practicable, after the consummation of the merger, in accordance with the bank plan of merger between First Priority Bank and Mid Penn Bank, First Priority Bank will merge with and into Mid Penn Bank, with Mid Penn Bank surviving such merger.

The merger agreement also provides that, following the completion of the merger, Mid Penn will continue to operate First Priority Bank as a separate banking division of Mid Penn Bank under the name First Priority Bank, a Division of Mid Penn Bank.

Shareholder Meetings

Each of Mid Penn and First Priority has agreed to hold a meeting of its respective shareholders as promptly as practicable to obtain shareholder adoption of the merger agreement. Each of Mid Penn s and First Priority s boards of directors has agreed to recommend that its shareholders vote in favor of the merger agreement.

Agreement Not to Solicit Other Offers

First Priority has agreed that it, its officers, directors, employees, representatives, agents or affiliates will not, directly or indirectly:

initiate, solicit, induce or knowingly encourage, or take any action to facilitate the making of, any inquiry, offer or proposal that constitutes, relates or could reasonably be expected to lead to an alternative acquisition proposal;

recommend or endorse an alternative acquisition transaction;

participate in any discussions or negotiations regarding, or furnish or afford access to information or data to any person that may relate to an alternative acquisition proposal;

82

release anyone from, waive any provisions of, or fail to enforce any confidentiality agreement or standstill agreement to which First Priority is a party; or

enter into any agreement, agreement in principle or letter of intent with respect to any alternative acquisition proposal or approve or resolve to approve any alternative acquisition proposal or any agreement, agreement in principle or letter of intent relating to an alternative acquisition proposal.

Acquisition proposal means any inquiry, offer or proposal as to any of the following (other than the merger between Mid Penn and First Priority) involving First Priority:

any transaction or series of transactions involving any merger, consolidation, recapitalization, share exchange, liquidation, dissolution or similar transaction involving First Priority;

any transaction pursuant to which any third party or group acquires or would acquire (whether through sale, lease or other disposition), directly or indirectly, any assets of First Priority representing, in the aggregate, fifteen percent or more of the assets of First Priority or any First Priority Subsidiary on a consolidated basis;

any issuance, sale or other disposition of (including by way of merger, consolidation, share exchange or any similar transaction) securities (or options, rights or warrants to purchase or securities convertible into, such securities) representing ten percent or more of the votes attached to the outstanding securities of First Priority;

any tender offer or exchange offer that, if consummated, would result in any third party or group beneficially owning ten percent or more of any class of equity securities of First Priority; or

any transaction that is similar in form, substance or purpose to any of the foregoing transactions, or any combination of the foregoing.

First Priority may, however, participate in discussions with, and may furnish information to, a third party in connection with a bona fide unsolicited acquisition proposal if, and only if:

First Priority has received a bona fide unsolicited written acquisition proposal that did not result from a breach of the merger agreement;

First Priority s board of directors determines in good faith, after consultation with its outside legal counsel and independent financial advisor, that the acquisition proposal is, or is reasonably likely to lead to, a superior proposal (as defined below);

First Priority has provided Mid Penn with at least one business day s prior notice of its determination that the acquisition proposal is, or is reasonably likely to lead to, a superior proposal; and

prior to furnishing or providing access to any information or data with respect to First Priority or otherwise relating to an acquisition proposal, First Priority receives from the third party making the proposal a confidentiality agreement on terms no less favorable to Mid Penn than the terms of the existing confidentiality agreement between First Priority and Mid Penn and provides a copy of the same to Mid Penn. First Priority has also agreed to promptly provide to Mid Penn any non-public information about First Priority that it provides to the third party making the proposal, to the extent such information was not previously provided to Mid Penn.

The term superior proposal, as defined under the merger agreement, means any bona fide, written acquisition proposal made by a person other than Mid Penn, which the First Priority board of directors determines in its good faith judgment, after considering the advice of its outside legal counsel and financial advisor:

would, if consummated, result in the acquisition of all, but not less than all, of the issued and outstanding shares of First Priority common stock or all, or substantially all, of the assets of First Priority on a consolidated basis:

83

would result in a transaction that involves consideration to the holders of First Priority common stock that is more favorable, from a financial point of view, than the consideration to be paid to such holders by Mid Penn under the merger agreement, considering, among other things, the nature of the consideration being offered and any material regulatory approvals or other risks associated with the timing of the proposed transaction beyond or in addition to those specifically contemplated hereby, and which proposal is not conditioned upon obtaining additional financing and is, in light of the other terms of such proposal, more favorable to First Priority than the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement; and

is reasonably likely to be completed on the terms proposed, taking into account all legal, financial, regulatory and other aspects of the proposal.

In addition, First Priority has agreed that it will not:

withdraw, qualify or modify, or propose to withdraw, qualify or modify, in a manner adverse to Mid Penn, its recommendation to its shareholders to approve the merger agreement or make any statement, filing or release, in connection with the First Priority special meeting of shareholders or otherwise, inconsistent with its recommendation to its shareholders to approve the merger agreement (it being understood that taking a neutral position or no position with respect to an acquisition proposal other than the Mid Penn merger shall be considered an adverse modification of its recommendation to its shareholders), except to the extent otherwise permitted and described below;

approve or recommend, or publicly propose to approve or recommend, any acquisition proposal other than with respect to the Mid Penn merger; or

enter into (or cause First Priority to enter into) any letter of intent or other agreement relating to an acquisition proposal other than with respect to the Mid Penn merger or requiring First Priority to fail to consummate the merger.

Up until the time of the First Priority shareholder meeting, however, First Priority may withdraw, qualify or modify in a manner adverse to Mid Penn its recommendation to First Priority shareholders to approve the merger agreement, or take any of the other actions listed above in this paragraph with respect to another acquisition proposal if but only if:

the First Priority board of directors has reasonably determined in good faith, after consultation with and having considered the advice of its outside legal counsel and financial advisor that the failure to take such actions would be inconsistent with the board s fiduciary duties to First Priority s shareholders under applicable law;

it has provided at least five business days notice to Mid Penn that a bona fide unsolicited proposal constitutes a superior proposal; and

after taking into account any adjusted, modified or amended terms as may have been committed to by Mid Penn in writing, the First Priority board of directors has again in good faith determined that the other acquisition proposal constitutes a superior proposal.

Expenses and Fees

In general, each of Mid Penn and First Priority will be responsible for all expenses incurred by it in connection with the negotiation and completion of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, except that Mid Penn and First Priority shall split the costs of printing and mailing the joint proxy statement/prospectus for their respective special shareholder meetings.

Indemnification and Insurance

The merger agreement requires Mid Penn to indemnify First Priority s and its subsidiaries current and former directors, officers and employees to the fullest extent as would have been permitted under Pennsylvania law and

84

the First Priority articles of incorporation or the First Priority bylaws or similar governing documents. The merger agreement provides that in the event of any threatened or actual claim, action, suit, proceeding or investigation in which any person who is or has been a director, officer or employee of First Priority is made or is threatened to be made party based in whole or in part on, or arising in whole or in part out of the fact that he or she is or was a director, officer or employee of First Priority or any of its subsidiaries or predecessors and pertaining to any matter of fact arising, existing or occurring at or before the effective time of the merger (including the merger and the merger agreement), Mid Penn will defend against and respond thereto.

Mid Penn has agreed to indemnify and hold harmless each such indemnified party against any losses, claims, damages, liabilities, costs, expenses (including attorney s fees), judgments, and amounts paid in settlement in connection with any such threatened or actual claim, action, suit proceeding or investigation. The merger agreement also requires that Mid Penn provide advancement of expenses to, all past and present officers, directors and employees of First Priority and its subsidiaries in their capacities as such against all such losses, claims, damages, costs, expenses, liabilities, judgments or amounts paid in settlement to the fullest extent permitted by applicable laws and First Priority s articles of incorporation and bylaws.

The merger agreement provides that Mid Penn will maintain for a period of six years after completion of the merger First Priority's current directors and officers liability insurance policies, or policies of at least the same coverage and amount and containing terms and conditions that are not less advantageous than the current policy, with respect to acts or omissions occurring prior to the effective time of the merger.

Conditions to Complete the Merger

Completion of the merger is subject to the fulfillment of certain conditions, none of which may be waived, including:

the adoption of the merger agreement by the Mid Penn and First Priority shareholders;

the effectiveness of the registration statement of which this joint proxy statement/prospectus is a part with respect to the Mid Penn common stock to be issued in the merger under the Securities Act and the absence of any stop order or proceedings initiated or threatened by the SEC for that purpose;

the receipt by each of Mid Penn and First Priority of a legal opinion with respect to certain United States federal income tax consequences of the merger;

the receipt and effectiveness of all required governmental and other approvals, authorizations and consents on terms and conditions that would not have a material adverse effect on Mid Penn or First Priority, and the expiration of all related waiting periods required to complete the merger;

the absence of any law, statute, regulation, judgment, decree, injunction or other order in effect by any court or other governmental entity that prohibits completion of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement; and

the approval for listing on Nasdaq of the shares of Mid Penn common stock issuable in the merger. Each of Mid Penn s and First Priority s obligations to complete the merger is also separately subject to the satisfaction or waiver of a number of conditions including:

the absence of a material adverse effect on the other party;

the truthfulness and correctness of the representations and warranties of each other party in the merger agreement, subject generally to the materiality standard provided in the merger agreement, and the performance by each party in all material respects of their obligations under the merger agreement and the receipt by each party of certificates from the other party to that effect; and

Mid Penn having delivered the merger consideration to the exchange agent.

85

Mid Penn s obligation to close is also subject to the condition that the holders of no more than ten percent (10%) of the outstanding shares of First Priority s common stock have exercised dissenters rights.

We cannot provide assurance as to when or if all of the conditions to the merger can or will be satisfied or waived by the appropriate party. As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, we have no reason to believe that any of these conditions will not be satisfied.

Termination of the Merger Agreement

The merger agreement can be terminated at any time prior to completion by mutual consent or by either party in the following circumstances:

if there is a breach by the other party that would cause the failure of the closing conditions, unless the breach is capable of being, and is, cured within 30 days of notice of the breach and the terminating party is not itself in material breach;

if the merger has not been completed by December 31, 2018, unless the failure to complete the merger by that date was due to the terminating party s material breach of a representation, warranty, covenant or other agreement under the merger agreement;

if any of the required regulatory approvals are denied (and the denial is final and non-appealable);

if any court of competent jurisdiction or governmental authority issues an order, decree, ruling or takes any other action restraining, enjoining or otherwise prohibiting the merger (and such order, decree, ruling or action is final and non-appealable); or

if the shareholders of either Mid Penn or First Priority fail to adopt the merger agreement at their respective special meetings.

In addition, Mid Penn s board of directors may terminate the merger agreement if the First Priority board of directors receives a superior proposal and enters into a letter of intent, agreement in principle or an acquisition agreement with respect to such proposal, withdraws its recommendation of the merger agreement, fails to make such a recommendation or modifies or qualifies its recommendation, in a manner adverse to Mid Penn, or has otherwise made a determination to accept such proposal.

Further, First Priority s board of directors may terminate the merger agreement if First Priority has received a superior proposal and has made a determination to accept such proposal.

First Priority may also terminate the merger agreement within five days of its receipt of written notice from Mid Penn that Mid Penn intends to consummate or enter into an agreement: (1) to acquire a third party or group by way of merger, consolidation, share exchange or similar transaction, (2) with respect to any transaction pursuant to which Mid Penn would acquire twenty-five percent (25%) or more of the assets of a third party, or (3) to issue or sell any equity or debt securities of Mid Penn (other than pursuant to existing stock purchase and dividend reinvestment plans

maintained by Mid Penn).

However, if First Priority chooses to exercise this termination right, Mid Penn has the option, within forty-eight hours of receipt of notice from First Priority, to terminate the proposed transaction and prevent termination under this provision.

Effect of Termination. If the merger agreement is terminated, it will become void, and there will be no liability on the part of Mid Penn or First Priority, except that both Mid Penn and First Priority will remain liable for any willful breach of the merger agreement and designated provisions of the merger agreement, including the payment of fees and expenses, and the confidential treatment of information and publicity restrictions, will survive the termination.

86

Termination Fee

First Priority will pay Mid Penn a termination fee of \$3,500,000 in the event that the merger agreement is terminated:

by Mid Penn because First Priority has received an alternative acquisition proposal, and First Priority (1) enters into a letter of intent, agreement in principle or an acquisition agreement with respect to the alternative acquisition proposal, (2) fails to make, withdraws, modifies or qualifies its recommendation of the merger agreement in a manner adverse to Mid Penn, or (3) delivers a written notice to Mid Penn of its determination to accept the alternative acquisition proposal; or

by First Priority, if First Priority receives an alternative acquisition proposal and has made a determination to accept the alternative acquisition proposal.

Additionally, First Priority will pay Mid Penn a termination fee of \$3,500,000 in the event that First Priority enters into a definitive agreement relating to, or consummates, an acquisition proposal within twelve months following termination of the merger agreement:

by Mid Penn because of a willful breach of the merger agreement by First Priority; or

by either Mid Penn or First Priority, if the shareholders of First Priority fail to approve the merger and either First Priority breached the no-solicitation provisions of the merger agreement or a third party publicly proposed or announced an alternative acquisition proposal.

Amendment, Waiver and Extension of the Merger Agreement

Subject to applicable law, the parties may amend the merger agreement by written agreement between First Priority and Mid Penn executed in the same manner as the merger agreement.

At any time prior to the completion of the merger, each of the parties, by action taken or authorized by their respective board of directors, to the extent legally allowed, may:

extend the time for the performance of any of the obligations or other acts of the other party;

waive any inaccuracies in the representations and warranties of the other party; or

waive compliance by the other party with any of the other agreements or conditions contained in the merger agreement.

However, after any approval of the transactions contemplated by the agreement and plan of merger by the shareholders of First Priority and Mid Penn, there may not be, without further approval of such shareholders, any amendment which reduces the amount or value or changes the form of consideration to be delivered to First Priority

shareholders.

ACCOUNTING TREATMENT

Mid Penn will account for the merger using the acquisition method under US GAAP. Under the acquisition method of accounting, the tangible and identifiable intangible assets and liabilities, including executory contracts and other commitments, of First Priority will be recorded, as of completion of the merger, at their respective fair values. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired will be recorded as goodwill to the extent not allocated to core deposit or other intangibles. Goodwill resulting from the merger will not be amortized but will be reviewed for impairment at least annually. Core deposits and other intangibles with finite useful lives recorded in connection with the merger will be amortized over their estimated useful life. If the net assets acquired exceed the purchase price, the resulting difference will be recorded as a bargain purchase gain.

87

Financial statements and reported results of operations of Mid Penn issued after completion of the merger will not be restated retroactively to reflect the historical financial position or results of operations of First Priority.

MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE MERGER

The following discussion addresses the material United States federal income tax consequences of the merger to a First Priority shareholder who holds shares of First Priority common stock as a capital asset. This discussion is based upon the Internal Revenue Code, Treasury regulations promulgated under the Internal Revenue Code, judicial authorities, published positions of the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) and other applicable authorities, all as in effect on the date of this discussion and all of which are subject to change (possibly with retroactive effect) and to differing interpretations. It is also based upon factual representations contained in certificates of officers of Mid Penn and First Priority. Future legislative, judicial, or administrative changes or interpretations which may or may not be retroactive, or the failure of any such facts or representations to be true, accurate and complete, may affect the statements and conclusions described in this discussion.

This discussion is not intended to be a complete description of all of the United States federal income tax consequences of the merger. Further, this discussion does not address all aspects of United States federal income taxation that may be relevant to First Priority shareholders in light of their particular circumstances and does not address aspects of United States federal income taxation that may be applicable to First Priority shareholders subject to special treatment under the Internal Revenue Code (including but not limited to banks, financial institutions, trusts, estates, persons who hold shares of First Priority common stock in an individual retirement account (IRA), 401(k) plans or similar tax-favored accounts, tax-exempt organizations, insurance companies, dealers or brokers in securities or foreign currencies, traders in securities that elect to use a mark-to-market method of accounting, persons holding First Priority common stock through a pass-through entity, First Priority shareholders who hold their shares of First Priority common stock as part of a hedge, straddle, conversion transaction or constructive sale transaction, First Priority shareholders who acquired their shares of First Priority common stock pursuant to the exercise of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation, persons that hold options or warrants to acquire First Priority common stock, persons whose functional currency for United States federal income tax purposes is not the United States dollar, persons who are United States expatriates and holders who are not United States persons, within the meaning of Section 7701(a)(30) of the Internal Revenue Code). In addition, the discussion does not address any aspect of state, local or foreign taxation. No assurance can be given that the IRS would not assert, or that a court would not sustain, a position contrary to any of the tax aspects set forth below.

No ruling has been or will be requested from the Internal Revenue Service regarding the tax consequences of the merger. Moreover, the opinions described in this discussion are not binding on the Internal Revenue Service, and these opinions would not prevent the Internal Revenue Service from challenging the United States federal income tax treatment of the merger. Because of the complexities of the tax laws in general, and the complexities of the tax consequences associated with the receipt of cash in the merger in particular, holders of First Priority common stock are encouraged to consult their tax advisors with respect to the particular United States federal, state, local and foreign tax consequences of the merger. This section is not intended to be tax advice to any shareholder.

Tax Opinions

The closing of the merger is conditioned, in part, upon the receipt by Mid Penn of the opinion of Pillar Aught LLC, and the receipt by First Priority of the opinion of Stevens & Lee, P.C., each dated as of the effective date of the merger, substantially to the effect that, on the basis of facts, representations and assumptions set forth or referred to in the opinion (including factual representations contained in certificates of officers of Mid Penn and First Priority) which are consistent with the state of facts existing as of the effective date of the merger, the merger will be treated for

United States federal income tax purposes as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. The tax opinions to be delivered in connection with the merger represent each

88

counsel s best legal judgment; however, such opinions are not binding on the IRS or the courts, and neither Mid Penn nor First Priority intends to request a ruling from the IRS with respect to the United States federal income tax consequences of the merger. Consequently, no assurance can be given that the IRS will not assert, or that a court would not sustain, a position contrary to any of those set forth below. In addition, if any of the facts, representations or assumptions upon which such opinions are based is inconsistent with the actual facts, the United States federal income tax consequences of the merger could be adversely affected.

Assuming that the merger will be treated as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, the discussion below sets forth the opinions of Pillar Aught LLC and Stevens & Lee, P.C., as to the material United States federal income tax consequences of the merger to First Priority shareholders:

holders of First Priority common stock who receive Mid Penn common stock in the merger in exchange for all their shares of First Priority common stock will not recognize any gain or loss with respect to shares of Mid Penn common stock received (except with respect to cash received instead of a fractional share interest in Mid Penn common stock); and

holders of First Priority common stock who receive cash instead of a fractional share interest in Mid Penn common stock will recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the cash received and the portion of the basis of the holders—shares of First Priority common stock allocable to that fractional share interest.

Tax Basis and Holding Period

The aggregate tax basis of the Mid Penn common stock received by a First Priority shareholder in the merger (including fractional shares deemed received and redeemed as described below) will be the same in the aggregate as the tax basis of the shares of First Priority common stock surrendered by such shareholder for the Mid Penn common stock.

Each First Priority shareholder sholding period in any shares of Mid Penn common stock received in the merger (including any fractional shares deemed received and redeemed as described below) will, in each instance, include the period during which the shares of First Priority common stock surrendered in exchange therefor were held, provided that those shares of First Priority common stock were held as capital assets on the effective date of the merger.

Cash Received in Lieu of a Fractional Share Interest

Cash received by a First Priority shareholder in lieu of a fractional share interest in Mid Penn common stock will be treated as though the fractional share had been received and then redeemed for cash, and in general gain or loss will be recognized, measured by the difference between the amount of cash received and the portion of the basis of the shares of First Priority common stock allocable to such fractional interest. Such gain or loss generally will be long-term capital gain or loss if the holding period for such shares of First Priority common stock was more than one year as of the effective date of the merger. If, however, the receipt of cash instead of a fractional share of Mid Penn common stock has the effect of the distribution of a dividend with respect to a shareholder, part or all of the cash received may be treated as a dividend.

Mid Penn and First Priority

Mid Penn and First Priority will each be a party to the reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(b) of the Internal Revenue Code. As a result, no gain or loss will be recognized by Mid Penn or First Priority as a result of the merger (except for amounts resulting from any required change in accounting methods and any deferred income, deferred gain or deferred loss to be taken into account under the relevant consolidated return regulations).

Backup Withholding

Backup withholding at a 24% rate will generally apply to merger consideration that includes cash if the exchanging First Priority shareholder fails to properly certify that it is not subject to backup withholding, generally on Internal Revenue Service Form W-9. Certain holders, including, among others, United States corporations, are not subject to backup withholding, but they may still need to furnish a Form W-9 or otherwise establish an exemption. Any amounts withheld from payments to a First Priority shareholder under the backup withholding rules are not additional taxes and will be allowed as a refund or credit against the shareholder s United States federal income tax liability, provided that the required information is timely furnished to the Internal Revenue Service.

Medicare Tax

In addition to income taxes, any individual shareholder with adjusted gross income (including certain foreign income that is exempt from U.S. taxes) in excess of \$250,000 for a married couple filing a joint return (in excess of \$200,000 for individuals filing as single) will be subject to the 3.8% Medicare tax on all or part of the income recognized by such individual as a result of the merger.

Tax matters are very complicated, and the tax consequences of the merger to each holder of First Priority common stock will depend on the facts of that shareholder s particular situation. The discussion set forth above does not address all United States federal income tax consequences that may be relevant to a particular holder of First Priority common stock and may not be applicable to holders in special situations. Holders of First Priority common stock are urged to consult their own tax advisors regarding the specific tax consequences of the merger. Further, such discussion does not address tax consequences that may arise with respect to Mid Penn by reason of any actions taken or events occurring subsequent to the merger.

THE MID PENN SPECIAL MEETING

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is being furnished to Mid Penn shareholders by Mid Penn s board of directors in connection with the solicitation of proxies from the Mid Penn shareholders for use at the special meeting of Mid Penn shareholders and any adjournments or postponements of the special meeting.

Date, Time and Place

The special meeting will be held on [], 2018 at [], local time, at [], subject to any adjournments or postponements.

Matters to be Considered

At the special meeting, Mid Penn shareholders will be asked to consider and vote upon the following proposals:

- 1. adoption of the merger agreement as described in detail under the heading *The Merger* beginning on page []; and
- 2. approval of a proposal to authorize the board of directors to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies to adopt the merger agreement.

Shareholders Entitled to Vote

The close of business on [], 2018 has been fixed by Mid Penn s board of directors as the record date for the determination of those holders of Mid Penn common stock who are entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting and any adjournment or postponement of the special meeting.

90

At the close of business on the record date there were [] shares of Mid Penn common stock outstanding and entitled to vote.

Quorum and Required Vote

Each holder of record of shares of Mid Penn common stock as of the Mid Penn record date is entitled to cast one vote per share at the special meeting on each proposal. The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock entitled to vote at the special meeting constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business at the special meeting. The affirmative vote at the Mid Penn special meeting, in person or by proxy, of at least sixty-six and two-thirds percent (66 2/3%) of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock is required to approve the merger agreement, and a majority of the votes cast is required to approve the proposal to adjourn the Mid Penn special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

How Shares Will Be Voted at the Special Meeting

All shares of Mid Penn common stock represented by properly executed proxies received before or at the special meeting, and not properly revoked, will be voted as specified in the proxies. Properly executed proxies that do not contain voting instructions will be voted FOR the adoption of the merger agreement and FOR the adjournment or postponement of the special meeting, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

If you hold shares of Mid Penn common stock in street name through a bank, broker or other nominee holder, the nominee holder may only vote your shares in accordance with your instructions. If you do not give specific instructions to your nominee holder as to how you want your shares voted, your nominee will indicate that it does not have authority to vote on the proposal, which will result in what is called a broker non-vote. Broker non-votes will be counted for determining whether there is a quorum present at the special meeting, but they will not be deemed to have been voted on any of the proposals. Abstentions and broker non-votes with respect to the merger agreement will effectively act as no votes on such proposal, but will not affect the outcomes of the other proposals.

How to Vote Your Shares

Mid Penn shareholders may vote in person at the special meeting or by one of the following methods:

Voting by Mail. You may vote by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card. Your proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you do not specify a choice on one of the proposals described in this joint proxy statement, your proxy will be voted in favor of that proposal.

Voting by Internet. If you are a registered shareholder, you may vote electronically through the Internet by following the instructions included with your proxy card. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee, you may be able to vote via the Internet. If so, the voting form your nominee sends you will provide Internet instructions.

Voting by Phone. Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call [] and then follow the instructions.

Voting in Person. If you attend the meeting, you may deliver your completed proxy card in person or may vote by completing a ballot which will be available at the meeting. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee and you wish to vote at the meeting, you will need to obtain a legal proxy from your bank or brokerage

firm. Please consult the voting form sent to you by your bank or broker to determine how to obtain a legal proxy in order to vote in person at the special meeting. Should you have any questions on the procedure for voting your shares, please contact the Mid Penn Corporate Secretary at (717) 692-7105.

91

How to Change Your Vote

If you are a registered shareholder, you may revoke any proxy at any time before it is voted by (1) signing and returning a proxy card with a later date, (2) delivering a written revocation letter to the Secretary of Mid Penn, or (3) attending the special meeting in person, notifying the Corporate Secretary and voting by ballot at the special meeting. The Mid Penn Corporate Secretary s mailing address is 349 Union Street, Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee, you may revoke your proxy instructions by informing the holder of record in accordance with that entity s procedures.

Solicitation of Proxies

Mid Penn has engaged [] ([]) to act as the proxy solicitor and to assist in the solicitation of proxies for the Mid Penn special meeting of shareholders. Mid Penn has agreed to pay [] approximately \$[], plus reasonable out-of-pocket expenses, for such services and will also indemnify [] against certain claims, costs, damages, liabilities, and expenses.

Mid Penn will bear the cost of soliciting proxies. In addition to solicitation of proxies by mail, Mid Penn will request that banks, brokers and other record holders send proxies and proxy material to the beneficial owners of Mid Penn common stock and secure their voting instructions. Mid Penn will reimburse the record holders for their reasonable expenses in taking those actions. If necessary, Mid Penn may use several of its regular employees, who will not be specially compensated, to solicit proxies from Mid Penn shareholders, either personally or by telephone, facsimile, letter or other electronic means.

Mid Penn and First Priority will share equally the expenses incurred in connection with the copying, printing and distribution of this joint proxy statement/prospectus for their special meetings.

Mid Penn Affiliate Letter Agreements

As of the record date, directors, executive officers and ten percent (10%) shareholders of Mid Penn and their affiliates had the right to vote [] shares of Mid Penn common stock, or []% of the outstanding Mid Penn common stock entitled to be voted at the special meeting. Each of the directors, executive officers and ten percent (10%) shareholders of Mid Penn has agreed to vote all shares of Mid Penn common stock owned by him, her or it in favor of adoption of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

Attending the Meeting

All holders of Mid Penn common stock, including shareholders of record and shareholders who hold their shares through banks, brokers, nominees or any other holder of record, are invited to attend the special meeting.

Shareholders of record can vote in person at the special meeting. If you are not a shareholder of record, you must obtain a proxy executed in your favor from the record holder of your shares, such as a broker, bank or other nominee, to be able to vote in person at the special meeting. If you plan to attend the special meeting, you must hold your shares in your own name or have a letter from the record holder of your shares confirming your ownership and you must bring a form of personal photo identification with you in order to be admitted. We reserve the right to refuse admittance to anyone without both proper proof of share ownership and proper photo identification.

MID PENN SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 1

APPROVAL AND ADOPTION OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT

Mid Penn is asking its shareholders to approve and adopt the merger agreement. For a detailed discussion of the merger, including the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, see *The Merger*, beginning on page [].

92

As discussed in detail in the sections entitled *The Merger Mid Penn s Reasons for the Merger*, and *Recommendation of Mid Penn s Board of Directors*, beginning on pages [] and [], respectively, after careful consideration, the Mid Penn board of directors determined that the terms of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by it are in the best interests of Mid Penn and the board unanimously approved the merger agreement.

*Accordingly, Mid Penn s board of directors unanimously recommends that Mid Penn shareholders vote FOR adoption of the merger agreement.

MID PENN SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 2

AUTHORIZATION TO VOTE ON ADJOURNMENT OR OTHER MATTERS

General

If, at the Mid Penn special meeting, the number of shares of Mid Penn common stock present in person or by proxy is insufficient to constitute a quorum or the number of shares of Mid Penn common stock voting in favor is insufficient to adopt the merger agreement, Mid Penn management intends to adjourn the special meeting in order to provide the Mid Penn board of directors more time to solicit additional proxies. In that event, Mid Penn will ask its shareholders to vote only upon the adjournment proposal and not the proposal relating to adoption of the merger agreement.

In this proposal, Mid Penn is asking you to grant discretionary authority to the holder of any proxy solicited by the Mid Penn board of directors so that such holder can vote in favor of the proposal to adjourn the special meeting to solicit additional proxies. If the shareholders of Mid Penn approve the adjournment proposal, Mid Penn could adjourn the special meeting, and any adjourned session of the special meeting, and use the additional time to solicit additional proxies, including the solicitation of proxies from shareholders who have previously voted.

Generally, if the special meeting is adjourned, no notice of the adjourned meeting is required to be given to shareholders, other than an announcement at the special meeting of the place, date and time to which the meeting is adjourned.

Recommendation of the Mid Penn Board of Directors

The Mid Penn board of directors recommends a vote FOR the proposal to authorize the board of directors to adjourn the special meeting of shareholders to allow time for the further solicitation of proxies to adopt the merger agreement.

93

INFORMATION ABOUT MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

Business

Mid Penn

Mid Penn is a one-bank holding company, incorporated in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in August 1991. On December 31, 1991, Mid Penn acquired, as part of the holding company formation, all of the outstanding common stock of Mid Penn Bank, and Mid Penn Bank became a wholly owned subsidiary of Mid Penn. Mid Penn s primary business is to supervise and coordinate the business of its subsidiaries and to provide them with capital and resources.

Mid Penn s consolidated financial condition and results of operations consist almost entirely of that of Mid Penn Bank, which is managed as a single business segment. At March 31, 2018, Mid Penn had total consolidated assets of \$1.4 billion with total deposits of \$1.0 billion and total shareholders equity of \$139.1 million.

On January 8, 2018, Mid Penn completed its acquisition of Scottdale, which was accomplished through the merger of Scottdale with and into Mid Penn Bank. As of December 31, 2017, Scottdale had approximately \$261 million in total assets and total deposits of approximately \$211 million, based upon Scottdale s December 31, 2017 Call Report filed with the FDIC.

Mid Penn s legal headquarters is located at 349 Union Street, Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061.

Mid Penn common stock is listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol MPB.

Mid Penn Bank

Mid Penn Bank was organized in 1868 under a predecessor name, Millersburg Bank, and became a state chartered bank in 1931, obtaining trust powers in 1935, at which time its name was changed to Millersburg Trust Company. In 1971, Millersburg Trust Company adopted the name Mid Penn Bank . On March 1, 2015, in connection with the acquisition of Phoenix Bancorp, Inc. (Phoenix) by Mid Penn, Phoenix s wholly-owned banking subsidiary, Miners Bank, was merged with and into Mid Penn Bank. Mid Penn Bank was the surviving bank, and Miners Bank s four branches in Schuylkill and Luzerne Counties, Pennsylvania operate as Miners Bank, a Division of Mid Penn Bank .

On January 8, 2018, in connection with the acquisition of Scottdale by Mid Penn, Scottdale was merged with and into Mid Penn Bank. Mid Penn Bank was the surviving bank, and Scottdale s five branches in Fayette and Westmoreland Counties, Pennsylvania, operate as Scottdale Bank & Trust, a Division of Mid Penn Bank.

Mid Penn Bank presently has 29 full service retail banking properties located in Cumberland, Dauphin, Lancaster, Luzerne, Northumberland, Schuylkill, Fayette and Westmoreland Counties, Pennsylvania.

Mid Penn s primary business consists of attracting deposits and loans from its network of community banking offices operated by Mid Penn Bank. Mid Penn Bank engages in full-service commercial banking and trust business, making available to the community a wide range of financial services, including, but not limited to, mortgage and home equity loans, secured and unsecured commercial and consumer loans, lines of credit, construction financing, farm loans, community development and local government loans and various types of time and demand deposits. Deposits of Mid Penn Bank are insured by the Deposit Insurance Fund (the DIF) of the FDIC to the maximum extent provided by law. In addition, Mid Penn Bank provides a full range of trust and retail investment services. Mid Penn Bank also offers other services such as online banking, telephone banking, cash management services, automated teller services

and safe deposit boxes.

Mid Penn Bank is subject to regulation and supervision by the FDIC and PDB.

94

For more information about Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank, please see Where You Can Find More Information on page [].

THE FIRST PRIORITY SPECIAL MEETING

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is being furnished to First Priority shareholders by First Priority s board of directors in connection with the solicitation of proxies from First Priority shareholders for use at the special meeting of First Priority shareholders and any adjournments or postponements of the special meeting.

Date, Time and Place

The special meeting will be held on [], 2018 at [], local time, at [], subject to any adjournments or postponements.

Matters to be Considered

At the special meeting, First Priority shareholders will be asked to consider and vote upon the following proposals:

- 1. adoption of the merger agreement as described in detail under the heading *The Merger* beginning on page []; and
- 2. a proposal to authorize the board of directors to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies to adopt the merger agreement.

Shareholders Entitled to Vote

The close of business on [], 2018 has been fixed by First Priority s board of directors as the record date for the determination of those holders of First Priority common stock who are entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting and any adjournment or postponement of the special meeting.

At the close of business on the record date there were [] shares of First Priority common stock outstanding and entitled to vote.

Quorum and Required Vote

Each holder of record of shares of First Priority common stock as of the First Priority record date is entitled to cast one vote per share at the special meeting on each proposal. The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of First Priority common stock entitled to vote at the special meeting constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business at the special meeting. The affirmative vote at the First Priority special meeting, in person or by proxy, of a majority of votes cast at the First Priority special meeting is required to approve the merger agreement and the proposal to adjourn the First Priority special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies.

How Shares Will Be Voted at the Special Meeting

All shares of First Priority common stock represented by properly executed proxies received before or at the special meeting, and not properly revoked, will be voted as specified in the proxies. Properly executed proxies that do not

contain voting instructions will be voted FOR the adoption of the merger agreement and FOR the adjournment or postponement of the special meeting, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

If you hold shares of First Priority common stock in street name through a bank, broker or other nominee holder, the nominee holder may only vote your shares in accordance with your instructions. If you do not give specific

95

instructions to your nominee holder as to how you want your shares voted, your nominee will indicate that it does not have authority to vote on the proposal, which will result in what is called a broker non-vote. Broker non-votes will be counted for determining whether there is a quorum present at the special meeting, but they will not be deemed to have been voted on any of the proposals. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not affect the outcomes of the proposals.

If any other matters are properly brought before the special meeting, the proxies named in the proxy card will have discretion to vote the shares represented by duly executed proxies in their sole discretion.

How to Vote Your Shares

First Priority shareholders may vote in person at the special meeting or by one of the following methods:

Voting by Mail. You may vote by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card. Your proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you do not specify a choice on one of the proposals described in this joint proxy statement, your proxy will be voted in favor of that proposal.

Voting by Internet. If you are a registered shareholder, you may vote electronically through the Internet by following the instructions included with your proxy card. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee, you may be able to vote via the Internet. If so, the voting form your nominee sends you will provide Internet instructions.

Voting by Phone. Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call [] and then follow the instructions.

Voting in Person. If you attend the meeting, you may deliver your completed proxy card in person or may vote by completing a ballot that will be available at the meeting. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee and you wish to vote at the meeting, you will need to obtain a legal proxy from your bank or brokerage firm. Please consult the voting form sent to you by your bank or broker to determine how to obtain a legal proxy in order to vote in person at the special meeting. Should you have any questions on the procedure for voting your shares, please contact First Priority s Corporate Secretary, Alice D. Flaherty, telephone (610) 280-7100.

How to Change Your Vote

If you are a registered shareholder, you may revoke any proxy at any time before it is voted by (1) signing and returning a proxy card with a later date, (2) delivering a written revocation letter to the Corporate Secretary of First Priority, or (3) attending the special meeting in person, notifying the Corporate Secretary and voting by ballot at the special meeting. The First Priority Corporate Secretary s mailing address is 2 West Liberty Boulevard, Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355. If your shares are registered in the name of a broker or other nominee, you may revoke your proxy instructions by informing the holder of record in accordance with that entity s procedures.

Solicitation of Proxies

First Priority will bear the cost of soliciting proxies for the First Priority special meeting. First Priority has engaged [] ([]) to act as the proxy solicitor and to assist in the solicitation of proxies for the First Priority special meeting of shareholders. First Priority has agreed to pay [] approximately \$[], plus reasonable out-of-pocket expenses, for such services and will also indemnify against certain claims, costs, damages, liabilities, and expenses.

In addition to solicitation of proxies by mail, First Priority will request that banks, brokers and other record holders send proxies and proxy material to the beneficial owners of First Priority common stock and secure their voting instructions. First Priority will reimburse the record holders for their reasonable expenses in taking those actions. If necessary, First Priority may use several of its regular employees, who will not be specially compensated, to solicit proxies from First Priority shareholders, either personally or by telephone, facsimile, letter or other electronic means.

Mid Penn and First Priority will share equally the expenses incurred in connection with the copying, printing and distribution of this joint proxy statement/prospectus for their special meetings.

First Priority Affiliate Letter Agreements

As of the record date, directors and executive officers of First Priority and their affiliates had the right to vote [] shares of First Priority common stock, or []% of the outstanding First Priority common stock entitled to be voted at the special meeting. Each of the directors and the executive officers of First Priority has agreed to vote all shares of First Priority common stock owned by him or her in favor of adoption of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

Attending the Meeting

All holders of First Priority common stock, including shareholders of record and shareholders who hold their shares through banks, brokers, nominees or any other holder of record, are invited to attend the special meeting. Shareholders of record can vote in person at the special meeting. If you are not a shareholder of record, you must obtain a proxy executed in your favor from the record holder of your shares, such as a broker, bank or other nominee, to be able to vote in person at the special meeting. If you plan to attend the special meeting, you must hold your shares in your own name or have a letter from the record holder of your shares confirming your ownership and you must bring a form of personal photo identification with you in order to be admitted. We reserve the right to refuse admittance to anyone without both proper proof of share ownership and proper photo identification.

FIRST PRIORITY SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 1

ADOPTION OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT

First Priority is asking its shareholders to adopt the merger agreement. For a detailed discussion of the merger, including the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, see *The Merger*, beginning on page []. As discussed in detail in the sections entitled *The Merger First Priority s Reasons for the Merger*, and *Recommendation of First Priority s Board of Directors*, beginning on pages [] and [], respectively, after careful consideration, the First Priority board of directors determined that the terms of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by it are in the best interests of First Priority s shareholders and the board unanimously approved the merger agreement.

**Accordingly, First Priority s board of directors unanimously recommends that First Priority shareholders vote FOR adoption of the merger agreement.

FIRST PRIORITY SPECIAL MEETING PROPOSAL NO. 2

AUTHORIZATION TO VOTE ON ADJOURNMENT OR OTHER MATTERS

General

If, at the First Priority special meeting, the number of shares of First Priority common stock, present in person or by proxy, is insufficient to constitute a quorum or the number of shares of First Priority common stock voting in favor is insufficient to adopt the merger agreement, First Priority management intends to adjourn the special

meeting in order to enable the First Priority board of directors more time to solicit additional proxies. In that event, First Priority will ask its shareholders to vote only upon the adjournment proposal and not the proposal relating to adoption of the merger agreement.

In this proposal, First Priority is asking you to grant discretionary authority to the holder of any proxy solicited by the First Priority board of directors so that such holder can vote in favor of the proposal to adjourn the special meeting to solicit additional proxies. If the shareholders of First Priority approve the adjournment proposal,

First Priority could adjourn the special meeting, and any adjourned session of the special meeting, and use the additional time to solicit additional proxies, including the solicitation of proxies from shareholders who have previously voted.

Generally, if the special meeting is adjourned, no notice of the adjourned meeting is required to be given to shareholders, other than an announcement at the special meeting of the place, date and time to which the meeting is adjourned.

Recommendation of the First Priority Board of Directors

The First Priority board of directors recommends a vote FOR the proposal to authorize the board of directors to adjourn the special meeting of shareholders to allow time for the further solicitation of proxies to adopt the merger agreement.

INFORMATION ABOUT FIRST PRIORITY FINANCIAL CORP.

Business

First Priority

First Priority is a Pennsylvania business corporation and bank holding company with its headquarters in Malvern, Pennsylvania. At March 31, 2018, First Priority had total consolidated assets of \$614.6 million. First Priority is the parent company of First Priority Bank, which operates eight retail banking offices in four counties in Pennsylvania. First Priority common stock is traded on the OTCQX Market under the symbol FPBK.

The principal executive offices of First Priority are located at 2 West Liberty Boulevard, Suite 104, Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355, and its telephone number is (610) 280-7100.

First Priority Bank

First Priority Bank is a state-chartered commercial banking institution which was incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on May 25, 2005. First Priority Bank s deposits are insured by the FDIC up to the maximum amount permitted for all banks.

First Priority Bank engages in a full service commercial and consumer banking business with strong private banking and individual wealth management services. First Priority Bank offers a variety of consumer, private banking and commercial loans, mortgage products and commercial real estate financing. First Priority Bank s operations are significantly affected by prevailing economic conditions, competition, and the monetary, fiscal, and regulatory policies of governmental agencies. Lending activities are influenced by a number of factors, including the general credit needs of individuals and small and medium-sized businesses in First Priority s market area, competition, the

current regulatory environment, the level of interest rates, and the availability of funds. Deposit flows and costs of funds are influenced by prevailing market rates of interest, competition, account maturities, and the level of personal income and savings in the market area.

First Priority Bank also offers certain financial planning and investment management services. These investment services are provided by First Priority Financial Services, a Division of First Priority Bank, through third party providers. In addition, First Priority Bank has entered into solicitation agreements with several investment advisors to provide portfolio management services to customers of the bank.

First Priority Bank currently seeks deposits and commercial and private banking relationships through its banking offices. First Priority Bank provides deposit products that include checking, money market and savings accounts and certificates of deposit as well as other deposit services, including cash management, electronic banking and mobile products as well as online account opening capabilities. First Priority Bank obtains funding in the local community by providing excellent service and competitive rates to its customers and utilizes various advertising to attract current and potential deposit customers. First Priority Bank also uses brokered certificates of deposit as a cost-effective funding alternative.

At March 31, 2018, First Priority had 69 full time equivalent employees consisting of 65 full time employees and 6 part-time employees. None of such employees is covered by a collective bargaining agreement, and First Priority believes that it enjoys good relations with its personnel.

Competition

First Priority s core service area consists primarily of Berks, Bucks, Chester and Montgomery Counties, Pennsylvania. Within this service area, the banking business is highly competitive. First Priority competes with local banks, as well as numerous regionally based commercial banks, most of which have assets, capital and lending limits far larger than those of First Priority. First Priority also competes with savings banks, savings and loan associations, money market funds, insurance companies, stock brokerage firms, regulated small loan companies, and credit unions. The industry competes primarily in the area of interest rates, products offered, customer service and convenience.

Many of First Priority s competitors enjoy several advantages over it, including larger asset and capital bases, the ability to finance wide-ranging advertising campaigns and to allocate their investment assets to areas of highest yield and demand.

Properties

The following summarizes First Priority s properties, owned or leased, as of March 31, 2018.

	Owned or
Location	Leased
Malvern-Headquarters/Main Office, 2 West Liberty Boulevard, Malvern PA 159355	Leased
Bala Cynwyd Loan Production Office, 33 Rock Hill Road, Suite 100, Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004	Leased
Blue Bell Branch, 10 Sentry Parkway, Suite 100, Blue Bell, PA 19422	Leased
Exeter Branch, 4541 Perkiomen Avenue, Reading, PA 19606	Owned ⁽¹⁾
Muhlenberg Branch, 4200 N. 5th Street Highway, Temple, PA 19560	Owned ⁽¹⁾
Newtown Branch, 104 Pheasant Run, Suite 130, Newtown, PA 18940	Leased
Sinking Spring Branch, 3101 Shillington Road, Sinking Spring, PA 19608	Leased
West Chester Branch, 237 E. Gay Street, West Chester, PA 19380	Leased
Wyomissing Branch, 1310 Broadcasting Road, Wyomissing, PA 19610	Leased

(1) The buildings located at the Exeter and Muhlenberg locations are owned; but are located on leased real estate. Upon expiration or termination of the lease, the buildings will become property of the landlord.

Legal Proceedings

There are no material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the business, to which First Priority, or any of its subsidiaries, is a party or of which any of their respective property is subject.

99

Information about First Priority Designees to Mid Penn s Board of Directors

The following provides information, as of December 31, 2017, about David E. Sparks, who is currently Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of First Priority and who is anticipated to be appointed to the board of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank upon consummation of the merger. The information presented includes information Mr. Sparks has given us about his age, all positions he holds, and his principal occupation for the past five years. The following also includes certain individual qualifications and skills that contribute to the board s effectiveness as a whole. Other than First Priority, Mr. Sparks is not a director of any other publicly-held company.

David E. Sparks, age 73, has served as a director of First Priority since its formation in 2007, and was the founder and a director of First Priority Bank since its inception in 2005. Mr. Sparks has served as Chairman, President and CEO of First Priority from its inception in 2007. Mr. Sparks also has served as Chairman and CEO of the Bank from its inception in 2005. Effective with the merger of First Priority and Affinity Bancorp, Inc. on February 28, 2013, Mr. Sparks became Chairman and CEO of First Priority and Chairman of First Priority Bank. Mr. Sparks was the Founder, Chairman and CEO of Millennium Bank from 1998 to 2004. Mr. Sparks is not considered independent of First Priority as determined in accordance with the independence standards of the Nasdaq stock market.

In addition to Mr. Sparks, three other current directors of First Priority, selected by First Priority after consultation with Mid Penn, will be appointed to the boards of directors of Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank. As of the date of this document, the three additional First Priority designees have not yet been selected.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Holders of First Priority

Beneficial ownership of First Priority common stock was determined by referring to Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 13d-3, which provides that a person should be credited with the ownership of any stock held, directly or indirectly, through any contract, arrangement, understanding, relationship, or otherwise has or shares:

voting power, which includes power to vote or to direct the voting of the stock;

investment power, which includes the power to dispose or direct the disposition of the stock; or

the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days after December 31, 2017. *Beneficial Ownership of Principal Holders*

The following table shows, to the best of First Priority s knowledge, those persons or entities, who owned of record or beneficially, on December 31, 2017, more than 5% of First Priority s outstanding common stock.

	Amount and	
	Nature of	
	Beneficial	Percent of
Name and Address	Ownership	Class
Tontine Financial Partners, L.P.	420,215	6.4%

1 Sound Shore Drive, Suite 304

Greenwich, Connecticut 06830⁽¹⁾

PRB Investors LP

245 Park Avenue, 39th Floor

New York, NY 10167

405,500

6.1%

(1) This information is based solely on Schedule 13G/A filed jointly by Tontine Financial Partners, L.P. (TFP), Tontine Management, L.L.C. (TM) and Jeffrey L. Gendell, with the SEC on February 9, 2018, reporting ownership as of December 31, 2017. TM is the general partner of TFP and has the power to direct the affairs of TFP. Mr. Gendell is the managing member of TM and, in that capacity, directs its operations.

100

Beneficial Ownership of Executive Officers and Directors

The following table sets forth, as of May 16, 2018, and from information supplied by the respective persons, the amount and the percentage of First Priority common stock beneficially owned by each director and executive officer and all officers and directors of First Priority as a group.

		Percent
Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned(1)	Beneficial Ownership ⁽¹⁾
Lawrence E. Donato	183,247(3)(6)	2.76%
Steven A. Ehrlich	132,293(3)(4)(6)	1.98%
Joel L. Frank	2,000	*
Burton A. MacLean, Jr.	6,000	*
Jerome I. Marcus	173,613	2.61%
Mary Ann Messmer	43,504(3)(5)(6)	*
Barry L. Myers	32,476	*
Mark J. Myers	37,977 ⁽⁶⁾	*
Alan P. Novak	26,673(2)	*
Mel A. Shaftel	154,418(2)(3)(5)(7)	2.32%
Vincent P. Small, Jr.	91,251(2)(3)(5)(7)	1.37%
Patrick M. Smith	21,789(3)(5)(7)	*
David E. Sparks	313,533(3)(6)	4.72%
Christopher E. Spinieo	40,205(3)(5)(7)	*
Michael G. Wade	21,289(3)(5)(7)	*
William L. Wetty	134,392(2)(3)(5)(7)	2.02%
All present and namines directors and named		
All present and nominee directors and named	1,414,660	21.03%
executive officers as a group (16 persons)	1,414,000	21.03%

- * Less than 1%
- (1) Shares are deemed to be beneficially owned by a person if he or she directly or indirectly has or shares the power to vote or dispose of the shares, whether or not he or she has any economic interest in the shares. Unless otherwise indicated, the named beneficial owner has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares. Also includes shares the holder has the right to acquire within sixty (60) days of May 16, 2018, and therefore amounts shown include options to acquire First Priority common stock that are exercisable on or before July 15, 2018. As of the date of this document, the number of shares represented by vested options which have been granted to all directors and named executive officers as a group totaled 81,892.
- (2) Includes a total of 1,000 options granted on December 11, 2008 to each non-employee director at an exercise price of \$10.25 per share, which vested in full four years from the date of grant and will terminate ten years from the date of grant.
- (3) Mr. Donato s beneficial ownership includes 82,671 shares of common stock owned jointly with his wife; 3,300 shares held in the name of his wife; and 19,203 shares of common stock owned by his adult children with respect to which Mr. Donato does not exercise voting or investment power.

Mr. Ehrlich s beneficial ownership includes 42,696 shares of common stock in the estate of his parents in which Mr. Ehrlich shares voting and investment power.

Ms. Messmer s beneficial ownership includes 5,000 shares of common stock held jointly with her husband.

Mr. Shaftel s beneficial ownership includes 64,105 shares of common stock owned by trusts in which Mr. Shaftel shares voting and investment power.

Mr. Small s beneficial ownership includes 1,800 shares of common stock held jointly with his wife.

Mr. Smith s beneficial ownership includes 5,000 shares of common stock held jointly with his wife.

Mr. Sparks beneficial ownership includes 75,200 shares of common stock owned by his wife; 1,750 shares of common stock owned by his adult children with respect to which Mr. Sparks does not exercise voting and

101

investment power; and 11,671 shares of common stock in a family trust which Mr. Sparks exercises shared voting and investment power with his wife and adult children.

Mr. Spinieo s beneficial ownership includes 2,662 shares of common stock held in the name of his wife.

Mr. Wade s beneficial ownership includes 10,000 shares of common stock held jointly with his wife.

Mr. Wetty s beneficial ownership includes 123,642 shares of common stock owned jointly with his wife and 250 shares of common stock owned by his adult child with respect to which Mr. Wetty does not exercise voting or investment power.

- (4) The number of shares beneficially owned includes 31,892 options issued to Mr. Ehrlich as former CEO of ABI which became fully vested upon the change of control effective with the merger of ABI into First Priority and 42,696 shares of common stock held in the estate of his parents of which Mr. Ehrlich shares voting and investment power with his siblings.
- (5) Includes the following number of options which were granted May 6, 2011, at an exercise price of \$5.58 and are fully vested: Ms. Messmer 15,000 options; Mr. Shaftel 5,500 options; Mr. Small 5,500 options; Mr. Smith 2,000 options; Mr. Wetty 2,000 options; Mr. Spinieo 1,000 options; and Mr. Wade 1,000 options.
- (6) Includes the following number of shares of restricted stock granted on July 1, 2015, pursuant to the Company s Stock Compensation Program: Mr. Sparks 12,500 shares; Mr. Ehrlich 2,600 shares; Mr. Donato 4,300 shares; Ms. Messmer 2,600 shares; and Mr. M. Myers 5,200 shares. The restricted shares vest on the earlier to occur of: four years of continued employment; the mutually agreed retirement of the executive after the age of 66; or a change in control of the Company. The individuals exercise voting power over the restricted shares, but do not have investment power until the restriction is removed.
- (7) Includes the following fully vested options granted to non-employee directors as compensation for their service on the board of directors and board committees on which they served for the year 2012 which were granted on May 4, 2012 at an exercise price of \$5.87 per share and will terminate ten years from the date of grant: Messrs. Shaftel, Small, Smith and Wetty 3,000 options each; Messrs. Spinieo and Wade 1,000 options each.

Compensation of David E. Sparks

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the compensation of David E. Sparks for the last three fiscal years.

				Non-Equ N yn-qualified						
				Incentive Deferred						
				Stock	Option Plan CompensationAll Other					
		Salary	Bonus ⁽²⁾	Awards ⁽³⁾	Awards ⁽³⁾ CompensationarningCompensation ⁽⁴⁾ Tot				4) Total	
	Year	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)		(\$)	(\$)
David E. Sparks,	2017	\$ 297,885	\$ 19,691	\$ 28,773	\$ 15,177	None	\$	\$	52,336	\$413,862
Chairman, and										
Chief	2016	275,000		39,425	15,177	None			50,795	380,397
Executive										
Officer ⁽¹⁾	2015	268,269	15,613	35,423	12,598	None			32,583	364,486

- (1) Mr. Sparks is also the Chairman of First Priority Bank.
- (2) The amounts reported represent specific performance awards approved by the compensation committee under the companywide incentive plan.
- (3) The amounts reported in this column reflect the expense, which is amortized through the vesting periods, for awards of restricted stock and stock options granted to Mr. Sparks.
- (4) (a) Includes for Mr. Sparks, a car allowance or car lease payments of \$8,149 for 2017, \$9,849 for 2016 and \$6,567 for 2015 for business use of his vehicle. (b) Includes the expense of a club membership used for business generation for Mr. Sparks in the amount of \$5,198 for 2017, \$1,250 for 2016 and 2015. (c) First Priority Bank s 401(k) matching contribution amount for Messrs. Sparks was \$7,506 in 2017; and \$7,298 in 2016; and \$7,413 in 2015. (d) First Priority reimburses Mr. Sparks a portion of the premium on a life insurance policy held by a life insurance trust of which his spouse is the trustee and beneficiary. The amount reimbursed in 2017 and 2016 was \$30,000 and in 2015 \$15,000.

102

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End 2017

Option Awards						Stock Awards				
		-						Equity	Equity Incentive	
								Incentive	Plan	
								Plan	Awards:	
							Av	wards: Num	ber Market or	
						Number	Market	of	Payout	
			Equity			of Shares	Value	Unearned	Value of	
			Incentive			or	of	Shares,	Unearned	
	Number	Number	Plan			Units	Shares	Units or	Shares,	
	of	of Aw	ards: Number			of	or Units	Other	Units or	
	Securities	Securities	of			Stock	of Stock	Rights	Other	
UnderlyingUnderlying Securities					That	That	That	Rights		
	Unexercise	Inexercised (Underlying			Have	Have	Have	That	
	Options	Options U	Jnexercise © pt	ion	Option	Not	Not	Not	Have Not	
	(#)	(#)	UnearneExer	cise	Expiration	Vested	Vested	Vested	Vested	
Name	Exercisable	nexercisable	Options Pri	ce	Date	(#)	(\$)	(#)	(\$)	
David E.	25,000	0	0 \$ 5	.58	5/6/21	$12,500^{(2)}$	\$61,875	0	0	
Sparks										
	0	60,000(1)	0 \$ 5	.25	12/19/23			7,200	3) \$ 57,888	
	0	40,000	0 \$ 5	.94	7/1/25					

- (1) Options granted on December 19, 2013 as part of the restructured change in control arrangements. See Restructured Change in Control Arrangements below. Market value per share of the restricted stock is \$4.20 which is based on the tangible book value per share at September 30, 2013.
- (2) Restricted stock grants to senior executives on July 1, 2015 which vest upon the earlier of: four years of continued employment; the mutually agreed upon retirement of the executive after the age of 66; or a change in control of First Priority. Market value per share of \$4.95 is based on the market price per share of First Priority s common stock as of the close of business on July 1, 2015.
- (3) Distribution on May 1, 2017 as Incentive Compensation based on the First Priority s 2016 operating performance. Market value per share of \$8.04 is based on the market price per share of First Priority s common stock as of the close of business on May 1, 2017.

Restructured Change in Control Arrangements

During 2013, management and the board of directors of First Priority took a series of steps to reduce the potential liability underlying the change-in-control agreements which had been in place since First Priority s inception in 2005. These steps included a voluntary exchange of those contracts of all officers holding change-in-control contracts and stock options issued at previous dates for a new change-of-control severance plan and new stock options. The result of these steps significantly reduces the total amounts payable under such arrangements in the event of a change in control.

Accordingly, on December 19, 2013, the board of directors of First Priority approved restructured change in control arrangements to replace the previously executed change in control agreements between First Priority and its named

executive officers: David E. Sparks, Lawrence E. Donato, Mary Ann Messmer and Mark J. Myers, along with other participating senior management personnel.

In each case, the previously executed change in control agreement between the named executive officer and First Priority, which generally provided for 24 months of severance payments in the event of involuntary termination of employment or resignation for specified events of good reason following a change in control, was terminated with the consent of the executive officer. In lieu of the change in control agreements, each of the named executive officers instead participate in First Priority Bank s Severance Plan (the Severance Plan). Under the Severance Plan, which is a broad-based severance plan applicable to certain employees of First Priority and First Priority Bank, each executive will receive a severance benefit equal to continued base salary, as defined, for a period of twelve months (18 months in the case of Mr. M. Myers) in the event that the executive s employment is terminated within one year following a change in control as a result of a work force reduction or job elimination.

In connection with the termination of the previously executed change in control agreements and the adoption of the new Severance Plan, the named executive officers received a grant of stock options under First Priority s existing Stock Compensation Program. Such options, which vest only upon a change in control of First Priority, are exercisable for a period of ten years at an exercise price of \$5.25 in the following amounts: Mr. Sparks

103

60,000 shares; Mr. Donato 45,000 shares; Ms. Messmer 45,000 shares; and Mr. M. Myers 40,000 shares. Such options were granted to replace previously granted options, in equal amounts for Messrs. Sparks, Donato and Ms. Messmer and 15,000 for Mr. M. Myers, which First Priority and each executive mutually agreed to terminate and which had exercise prices of either \$10.00 or \$10.25 per share.

Transactions with Certain Related Persons

Some of First Priority s directors and executive officers, members of their immediate families and the companies with which they are associated were First Priority customers and had banking transactions with First Priority in the ordinary course of business during 2017 and 2016. All loans and commitments to lend were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other non-affiliated customers. In First Priority s management s opinion, the loans and commitments did not involve more than a normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features.

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of First Priority March 31, 2018

The following discussion summarizes First Priority s results of operations and highlights material changes for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, and its financial condition as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017. This discussion is intended to provide additional information about the significant changes in the results of operations presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for First Priority and its wholly owned subsidiary, First Priority Bank. First Priority s consolidated financial condition and results of operations consist essentially of the Bank s financial condition and results of operations. Current performance does not guarantee, and may not be indicative of, similar performance in the future.

You should read this discussion and analysis in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements for the period ended March 31, 2018 included herein as well as with the audited consolidated financial statements and the accompanying footnotes for the year ended December 31, 2017, included in First Priority s Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

This discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, such as First Priority s plans, objectives, expectations and intentions. Therefore, this analysis should be read in conjunction with the *Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements* presented elsewhere in this document and the Risk Factors presented elsewhere in this document and the Risk Factors described in First Priority s Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2017.

Overview

The following table sets forth selected measures of First Priority s financial position or performance for the dates or periods indicated.

As of and for the three months ended March 31,

Dollars in thousands 2018 2017

Total revenue⁽¹⁾ \$ 4,851 \$ 4,653

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Net income	762	782
Total assets	614,634	602,597
Total loans receivable	518,252	487,781
Total deposits	511,986	467,971

(1) Total revenue equals net interest income plus non-interest income.

104

Like most financial institutions, First Priority derives the majority of its income from interest it receives on its interest-earning assets, such as loans and investments. First Priority s primary source of funds for making these loans and investments is its deposits, on which it pays interest. Consequently, one of the key measures of First Priority s success is its amount of net interest income, or the difference between the income on its average interest-earning assets and the expense on its average interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. Another key measure is the spread between the yield First Priority earns on these average interest-earning assets and the rate it pays on its average interest-bearing liabilities, which is called its net interest spread.

There are risks inherent in all loans, and First Priority maintains an allowance for loan losses to absorb probable losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible. This allowance is maintained by charging a provision for loan losses against operating earnings. A detailed discussion of this process, as well as several tables describing the allowance for loan losses is included herein.

In addition to earning interest on its loans and investments, First Priority earns income through other sources, such as fees and other charges to its banking customers and income from providing wealth management services, as well as net gains or losses realized from the sale of assets. The various components of non-interest income, as well as non-interest expense, are described in this section.

Critical Accounting Policies, Judgments and Estimates

First Priority has adopted various accounting policies that govern the application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and that are consistent with general practices within the banking industry in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements. First Priority s significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in First Priority s Form 10-K as of December 31, 2017, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. In addition to the significant accounting policies described in Note 1 of First Priority s Form 10-K, First Priority adopted ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) as of January 1, 2018. See Note 10 Revenue Recognition in the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Certain accounting policies involve significant judgments and assumptions by First Priority that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. First Priority considers these accounting policies to be critical accounting estimates. The judgment and assumptions used are based on historical experience and other factors, which First Priority believes to be reasonable under the circumstances and have been reasonably consistent with prior results. Because of the nature of the judgments and assumptions made, actual results could differ from these estimates, which could have a material impact on the carrying values of its assets and liabilities and its results of operations. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to investment securities impairment evaluation, the determination of the allowance for loan losses, valuation of other real estate owned, impairment of goodwill, the valuation of deferred tax assets and accounting for stock-based compensation.

Results of Operations

Income Statement Review

First Priority s results of operations are affected by five major elements: (1) net interest income, or the difference between interest income earned on loans and investments and interest expense accrued on deposits and borrowed funds; (2) the provision for loan losses, or the amount added to the allowance for loan losses to provide reserves for inherent losses on loans or actual losses; (3) non-interest income, consisting of income from wealth management services, fees and other charges to our banking customers, and net gains or losses realized from the sale of assets;

(4) non-interest expense, which consists primarily of salaries, employee benefits and other operating expenses; and (5) income taxes, including deferred taxes, when applicable. Each of these major elements is reviewed in more detail in the following discussion.

105

Results of Operations Comparative Summary

Shown in the table below are the three months reported results of operations as well as the increase (decrease) for the respective periods.

	For the three months ended March 31,		Increase (decrease)	% Change
	2018	2017	(,	
(Dollars in thousands)				
Net interest income	\$4,687	\$4,475	\$ 212	4.7%
Non-interest income	164	178	(14)	(7.9)%
Total Revenue	4,851	4,653	198	4.3%
Provision for loan losses	20	10	10	100.0%
Non-interest expenses	3,859	3,498	361	10.3%
Income before income tax expense	972	1,145	(173)	(15.1)%
Income tax expense	210	363	(173)	(42.1)%
			, ,	, ,
Net Income	\$ 762	\$ 782	\$ (20)	(2.6)%
Preferred dividends, including net amortization	77	77		
Net Income to common shareholders	\$ 685	\$ 705	\$ (20)	(2.8)%
Income per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.11	\$ (0.01)	(9.1)%
Diluted	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.11	\$ (0.01)	(9.1)%

Summary

First Priority s consolidated net income for the three months ended March 31, 2018 was \$762 thousand, or \$0.10 per basic and fully diluted common share, compared to the first quarter of 2017 net income of \$782 thousand, or \$0.11 per basic and fully diluted common share. Income to common shareholders, after preferred dividends, totaled \$685 thousand for the first three months of 2018, compared to \$705 thousand for the same period in 2017.

Income before income tax expense decreased \$173 thousand, or 15.1%, for the three months ended March 31, 2018, compared to the prior year. This decline resulted primarily from an increased level of expenses which included \$92 thousand of merger costs, \$74 thousand of additional expenses related to opening the Bank s new West Chester branch office in February 2018 and incremental staffing costs to enhance customer business development strategy.

Net Interest Income

First Priority s primary source of revenue is net interest income. Net interest income is determined by the average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the interest rates earned and paid on these balances. The amount of net interest income recorded by First Priority is affected by the rate, mix and amount of

growth of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the amount of interest-earning assets as compared to the amount of interest-bearing liabilities, and by changes in interest rates earned and interest rates paid on these assets and liabilities.

The following table sets forth, for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, information related to First Priority's average balances, yields on average assets, and costs of average liabilities. Average balances are derived from the daily balances throughout the periods indicated and yields are derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of the corresponding assets or liabilities. Average loans are stated net of deferred costs. The net dollar amounts and percentage changes of interest income and expense are presented for comparative purposes.

106

Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income

	_						Net Ch Interest	Income
	ŀ	for the Thi 2018	ee Month	s Ended Ma	rch 31, 2017		Expe Change?	
		Interest			Interest	•	2018	2018
	Average	Income/	Yield/	Average	Income/	Yield/	vs.	vs.
	Balance	Expense		Balance	Expense	Rate	2017	2017
Interest-earning assets:			(L	Pollars in th	ousanas)			
Loans receivable	\$519,154	\$ 5,885	4.60%	\$487,127	\$ 5,293	4.41%	\$ 592	11.2%
Taxable investment securities	45,978	328	2.89%	39,185	268	2.77%	60	22.4%
Nontaxable investment securities	9,463	97	4.16%	13,439	137	4.14%	(40)	(29.2)%
Total investment securities	55,441	425	3.11%	52,624	405	3.12%	20	4.9%
Deposits with banks and other ⁽¹⁾	6,908	44	2.56%	7,764	42	2.19%	2	4.8%
Total interest earning assets	581,503	6,354	4.43%	547,515	5,740	4.25%	614	10.7%
Non-interest-earning assets ⁽¹⁾	15,249			17,927				
TOTAL ASSETS	\$596,752			\$ 565,442				
Interest-bearing liabilities:								
Demand, interest-bearing	\$ 29,305	\$ 26	0.37%	\$ 53,567	\$ 55	0.42%	\$ (29)	(52.7)%
Money market and savings	144,075	324	0.91%	114,782	166	0.59%	158	95.2%
Time deposits	258,650	983	1.54%	238,733	779	1.32%	204	26.2%
FHLB advances and other	38,881	161	1.68%	37,753	93	1.00%	68	73.1%
Subordinated debt	9,233	173	7.59%	9,209	172	7.59%	1	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	480,144	1,667	1.41%	454,044	1,265	1.13%	402	31.8%
Non interest-bearing liabilities:								
Demand, non interest-bearing	60 1 7 1			60 = 60				
deposits	63,151			60,768				
Other liabilities	2,466			2,031				
Shareholders equity	50,991			48,599				
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND								
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 596,752			\$ 565,442				
Net interest income/rate spread		\$ 4,687	3.02%		\$ 4,475	3.12%	\$212	4.7%
Net interest margin			3.27%			3.31%		

(1) Interest income includes dividends from restricted investments in bank stocks; the average balance of these restricted stocks are included in non-interest-earning assets.

107

Net interest income can also be analyzed in terms of the impact of changing interest rates and changing volume as shown in the Changes in Net Interest Income table below which sets forth the effect which varying levels of average interest-earning assets, interest-bearing liabilities and the applicable yields and rates have had on changes in net interest income for the periods presented.

Changes in Net Interest Income
For the Three Months Ended
March 31, 2018 vs. 2017
Increase (Decrease) Due to Change In
(Dollars in thousands)

	Volume	Rate	Net (Change
Interest income:				
Loans receivable	\$ 357	\$ 235	\$	592
Taxable investment securities	49	11		60
Nontaxable investment securities	(41)	1		(40)
Total investment securities	8	12		20
Deposits with banks and other	(5)	7		2
Total interest earning assets	360	254		614
Interest expense:				
Demand, interest-bearing	(23)	(6)		(29)
Money market and savings	50	108		158
Time deposits	69	135		204
FHLB advances and other borrowings	4	64		68
Subordinated debt	1			1
Total interest bearing liabilities	101	301		402
Change in net interest income	\$ 259	\$ (47)	\$	212

For the three months ended March 31, 2018, net interest income increased \$212 thousand, or 4.7%, to \$4.69 million compared to \$4.48 million for the same period in 2017. Net interest margin decreased 4 basis points, to 3.27% in the current quarter, compared to 3.31% for the three months ended March 31, 2017. At the same time, net interest spread decreased 10 basis points from 3.12% for the first quarter of 2017 to 3.02% for the same period in 2018. Overall, when comparing these periods, incremental growth of average balances (volume) accounted for an increase of \$259 thousand while the change in our relative rate structure resulted in a decline in net interest income of \$47 thousand.

Average interest-earning assets for the first quarter of 2018 increased \$34.0 million, or 6.21%, including an increase in average loans of \$32.0 million, or 6.6%, and an increase in average investment securities and average other interest-earning assets of \$2.0 million, or 3.2%, when compared to the prior year period. This overall increased volume of average earning assets provided an additional \$360 thousand in interest income, specifically \$357 thousand provided from incremental loan balances. The average yield on earning assets increased 18 basis points in the current period compared to the prior year three month period from 4.25% to 4.43%. The calculated change in interest income related to changing rates is impacted by both changes in comparable interest rates for each product and by the weighting of those changes in conjunction with relative product mix structure. This total increase of interest income

related to rates was \$254 thousand when comparing these periods, with the increase primarily related to loans as the corresponding average rate increased 19 basis points.

Average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$26.1 million, or 5.8%, in the first quarter of 2018 compared to the same period last year. During this time, overall average interest-bearing deposits increased \$24.9 million, or 6.1%, as average money market and savings deposits increased \$29.3 million and average time deposits increased \$19.9 million; partially offset by a decline of \$24.3 million in average interest-bearing demand deposits which was primarily related to withdrawals from four separate school district accounts at the end of their fiscal

108

years in mid 2017. At the same time, average total borrowed funds, including subordinated debt and FHLB advances and other, increased \$1.2 million, or 2.5%, when comparing the first quarter of 2018 to 2017. The incremental growth of average interest-bearing liabilities resulted in an increase of interest expense of \$101 thousand. At the same time, the average rate on interest-bearing liabilities increased 28 basis points from 1.13% for the first quarter of 2017 to 1.41% in the current period, which along with the change in mix of balances accounted for an increase in interest expense of \$301 thousand.

Provision for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through provisions for loan losses charged against operations. Loans deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance for loan losses, and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance as recoveries.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate to provide for losses that can be reasonably anticipated. Management s periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance is based on known or potential risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect a borrower s ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, the composition of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revisions as more information becomes available or economic conditions change.

At the end of each quarter or more often, if necessary, First Priority analyzes the collectability of its loans and accordingly adjusts the loan loss allowance to an appropriate level. The allowance for loan losses covers estimated credit losses on individually evaluated loans that are determined to be impaired, as well as estimated credit losses inherent in the remainder of the loan portfolio. For a description of the process for determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, see the Allowance for Loan Losses section below.

The provision for loan losses was \$20 thousand and \$10 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The ongoing level of provision is impacted by the adequacy of the allowance as described above, including an analysis of impaired and non-performing loans, as well as by the level of incremental loan volume and net charge-offs of loans. Total loans outstanding decreased slightly during both of the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017. Net loan charge-offs totaled \$20 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2018 compared to \$8 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2017, while period end asset quality ratios generally improved from a year ago.

Non-Interest Income

For the three months ended March 31, 2018, non-interest income totaled \$164 thousand, compared to \$178 thousand for the same period in 2017, respectively. As detailed in the table below, non-interest income is comprised of wealth management fees, which are principally non-recurring commissions and fees related to the sale of insurance products and annuities, service charges on deposit accounts, income resulting from the investment in bank owned life insurance, gains from the sale of investment securities, and other fees which the Bank collects from its banking customers. The most significant decline in non-interest income related to gains realized from the sale of investment securities, which was \$25 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2017, compared to none recorded in the current period.

Components of non-interest income are shown in the table below:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2018 2017 (Dollars in thous		Net Change 2018 vs. 2017 sands)		% Change 2018 vs. 2017	
Non-Interest Income						
Wealth management fee income	\$ 41	\$	39	\$	2	5.1%
Service charges on deposits	29		36		(7)	(19.4)
Other branch fees	45		50		(5)	(10.0)
Loan related fees	12		9		3	33.3
Gains on sales of investment securities			25		(25)	(100.0)
Bank owned life insurance income	16		18		(2)	(11.1)
Other	21		1		20	2,000.0
Total Non-Interest Income	\$ 164	\$	178	\$	(14)	(7.9)%

Non-Interest Expenses

For the three months ended March 31, 2018, non-interest expenses were \$3.86 million, compared to \$3.50 million, respectively, in the same period in 2017, representing an increase of \$361 thousand, or 10.3%, respectively. The following table sets forth information related to the various components of non-interest expenses for each respective period.

		March 31, 2017	Net Change 2018 vs. 2017	% Change 2018 vs. 2017
	(De	ollars in thous	sands)	
Non-Interest Expenses				
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 2,215	\$ 2,026	\$ 189	9.3%
Occupancy and equipment	501	455	46	10.1
Data processing equipment and operations	258	228	30	13.2
Professional fees	211	172	39	22.7
Marketing, advertising and business development	58	40	18	45.0
FDIC insurance assessments	142	146	(4)	(2.7)
Pennsylvania bank shares tax expense	98	90	8	8.9
Other real estate owned costs	(45)	32	(77)	(240.6)
Merger related costs	92		92	100.0
Other	329	309	20	6.5
Total Non-Interest Expenses	\$3,859	\$ 3,498	\$ 361	10.3%

Highlights of significant non-interest expenses items for the three-month period ended March 31, 2018 versus the comparable 2017 period include the following:

Salaries and employee benefits increased \$189 thousand, or 9.3%, in the three months ended March 31, 2018 compared to the first quarter of 2017. This increase includes the addition of a Chief Banking Officer focused on developing and implementing an enhanced customer development growth strategy within our existing markets. Additionally, the current quarter included an increased level of stock based compensation costs partially offset by a lower level of incentive compensation expense accruals.

Occupancy and equipment costs increased \$46 thousand, or 10.1%, comparing the current quarter with the same period in 2017. The current year includes an incremental \$40 thousand related to the new branch opening in West Chester in February, 2018.

110

Data processing fees increased \$30 thousand, or 13.2%, compared to the first quarter of 2017.

Professional fees increased \$39 thousand, or 22.7%, in the first quarter of 2018 compared to 2017, primarily related to consulting expenses.

Marketing, advertising and business development expenses increased \$18 thousand, or 45.0%, in the current quarter compared to last year, primarily related to marketing campaigns to introduce the new West Chester office to enhance brand awareness, product brochure enhancements and specific deposit product advertising.

FDIC insurance assessments and the Pennsylvania bank shares tax expenses are primarily influenced by the increased asset size and equity levels of the Bank.

Other real estate owned costs reflects a reduction of expenses during the quarter as costs totaling \$60 thousand were more than offset by gains of \$105 thousand resulting from the sale of two properties during the quarter. Other real estate costs for the three months ended March 31, 2017 totaled \$32 thousand.

Merger related costs incurred for the first quarter of 2018 were \$92 thousand, consisting primarily of legal related costs totaling \$79 thousand. There were no merger related costs in the prior year.

Provision for Income Taxes

Income tax expense recorded in the three months ended March 31, 2018 totaled \$210 thousand compared to \$363 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2017. First Priority s effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2018 was 21.6% compared to 31.7% for the three months in the prior year and reflects a reduction in First Priority s maximum corporate tax rate from 34% in 2017 to 21% in 2018, resulting from the enactment of the Tax Cut and Jobs Act in December 2017, which was partially offset by non-deductible merger expense of \$92 thousand.

First Priority s net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards totaled \$701 thousand as of March 31, 2018. First Priority acquired this remaining NOL for tax purposes related to the acquisition of Prestige Community Bank, which initially totaled \$2.0 million, which is subject to certain limitations and expires in 2028 if not fully utilized.

111

Financial Condition as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017

Balance Sheet Review

	March 31, 2018	cember 31, 2017 rs in thousan	2	et Change 2018 vs. 2017	% Change 2018 vs. 2017
Assets	,_		,		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 30,495	\$ 8,257	\$	22,238	269.3%
Investment securities	54,346	71,038	·	(16,692)	-23.5%
Loans receivable	518,252	518,927		(675)	-0.1%
Total earning assets	603,093	598,222		4,871	0.8%
Allowance for loan losses	(3,405)	(3,405)			0.0%
Restricted investments in bank stocks	2,032	1,416		616	43.5%
Premises and equipment, net	2,025	1,607		418	26.0%
Bank owned life insurance	3,342	3,326		16	0.5%
Other real estate owned	440	550		(110)	-20.0%
Deferred income tax assets, net	937	923		14	1.5%
Goodwill and other identifiable intangibles	2,879	2,894		(15)	-0.5%
Other assets	3,291	4,409		(1,118)	-25.4%
Total assets	\$ 614,634	\$ 609,942	\$	4,692	0.8%
Liabilities					
Deposits	\$511,986	\$ 523,150	\$	(11,164)	-2.1%
Borrowed funds	49,263	33,856		15,407	45.5%
Other liabilities	2,162	2,440		(278)	-11.4%
Total liabilities	563,411	559,446		3,965	0.7%
Equity					
Total shareholders equity	51,223	50,496		727	1.4%
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 614,634	\$ 609,942	\$	4,692	0.8%

Total assets at March 31, 2018 were \$614.6 million, representing an increase of \$4.7 million, or 0.8%, when compared to total assets of \$609.9 million at December 31, 2017. Total assets at March 31, 2018 consisted primarily of earning assets totaling \$603.1 million, including loans outstanding of \$518.3 million, investment securities of \$54.3 million and cash and cash equivalents of \$30.5 million. At December 31, 2017, total assets consisted primarily of earning assets totaling \$598.2 million, including of loans outstanding of \$518.9 million, investment securities of \$71.0 million and cash and cash equivalents of \$8.3 million.

Deposits totaled \$512.0 million at March 31, 2018 compared to \$523.2 million at December 31, 2017, a decrease of \$11.2 million, or 2.1%. Borrowed funds, consisting primarily of advances from Federal Home Bank of Pittsburgh,

totaled \$49.3 million at March 31, 2018 compared to \$33.9 million at December 31, 2017, an increase of \$15.4 million, or 45.5%.

Shareholders equity at March 31, 2018 was \$51.2 million, representing an increase of \$727 thousand from \$50.5 million at December 31, 2017, primarily due to earnings recorded during the related period.

Investments

First Priority s total investment portfolio was \$54.3 million at March 31, 2018, compared to \$71.0 million at December 31, 2017, a decline of \$16.7 million, or 23.5%. During the three months ended March 31, 2018, the

112

First Priority had no purchases of additional investments and no investment sales. As of December 31, 2017, the investment portfolio included \$15 million of short-term investments, consisting of a Federal Home Loan Bank short term discount note, which was purchased related to year-end tax planning strategies and subsequently matured in January of 2018. Other investment portfolio activity resulted in a decline in balances of \$1.7 million.

As of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, investments totaling \$35.8 million and \$52.4 million, respectively, were classified as available for sale while \$18.5 million and \$18.6 million, respectively, were classified as held to maturity. Total investments accounted for 8.8% and 11.6% of total assets at each respective date. Securities classified as available for sale are accounted for at fair value, with the difference between fair value and amortized cost reflected in other comprehensive income or loss. The Company had net unrealized losses on available for sale securities totaling \$573 thousand at March 31, 2018 compared to \$6 thousand at December 31, 2017. Available for sale securities are securities that management intends to hold for an indefinite period of time or securities that may be sold in response to changes in interest rates, prepayment expectations, capital management and liquidity needs.

The total investment portfolio at March 31, 2018 was comprised of federal agency securities (11%), federal agency mortgage backed securities and federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations (44%), obligations of states and political subdivisions (41%), and corporate and other debt securities (4%). All investment securities were either government guaranteed, issued by a government agency or investment grade. First Priority had no investment securities deemed to have other than temporary impairment (OTTI) at March 31, 2018 or December 31, 2017 and recorded no OTTI charges during either of the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017.

The following table sets forth information about the contractual maturities and weighted average yields of investment securities at March 31, 2018. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities due to scheduled principal payments and unscheduled prepayments of mortgage backed securities and, where applicable, the ability of an issuer to call a security prior to the contractual maturity date.

Securities Available for Sale, at Fair Value

			50	cultures 1	i valiable i	or bare, a	i i aii vaia	C		
	As of March 31, 2018									
					After fiv	e but				
			After or	ne but	within	ten				
	Within	l year	within fiv	e years	year	'S	Over ten	years	Tota	ા
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
				(Dollars in	thousands	s)			
U.S. Government										
agency securities	\$3,995	1.22%	\$ 1,969	1.56%	\$		\$		\$ 5,964	1.33%
Obligations of states										
and political										
subdivisions							4,266	4.05%	4,266	4.05%
Federal agency										
mortgage backed										
securities			502	1.81%	8,666	2.04%	14,724	2.42%	23,892	2.27%
Federal agency										
collateralized										
mortgage obligations			99	1.46%					99	1.46%
Other debt securities					1,577	5.50%			1,577	5.50%

Total investments

available for sale \$3,995 1.22% \$2,570 1.60% \$10,243 2.57% \$18,990 2.79% \$35,798 2.47%

113

Securities Held to Maturity, at Amortized Cost As of March 31, 2018 After one but After five but Within 1 within five within ten Total year years years Over ten years Amount Yield AmountYield Amount Yield Amount Yield Amount Yield (Dollars in thousands) Obligations of states and political subdivisions \$97 4.65% \$14,592 4.28% 5.80% \$1,011 3.74% \$ 2,365 \$ 18,065 4.31% Other debt securities 4.37% 483 483 4.37% Total investments held to maturity \$97

The amortized cost and fair value of First Priority s investments, classified as available for sale or held to maturity, at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 are shown in the following table:

3.74% \$2,365

4.65% \$15,075

4.28% \$18,548

4.28%

\$1,011

	March 31, 2018		December	· ·
	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair
	Cost	Value	Cost	Value
		(Dollars i	n thousands)	
Available For Sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 5,994	\$ 5,964	\$ 20,991	\$20,971
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	4,213	4,266	4,218	4,386
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	24,563	23,892	25,524	25,289
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	101	99	111	109
Other debt securities	1,500	1,577	1,500	1,583
Money market mutual fund			35	35
Total investment securities available for sale	\$ 36,371	\$ 35,798	\$ 52,379	\$ 52,373
Held To Maturity:				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 18,065	\$ 18,704	\$ 18,183	\$19,132
Other debt securities	483	527	482	533
Total held to maturity	\$ 18,548	\$ 19,231	\$ 18,665	\$ 19,665

Restricted investments in bank stocks

Restricted investments in bank stocks represent the investment in the common stock of correspondent banks required in order to transact business with those banks. Investments in restricted stock are carried at cost.

At both March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, the Bank held \$110 thousand in common stock of Atlantic Community Bancshares, Inc. (parent company of Atlantic Community Bankers Bank), Camp Hill, Pennsylvania. Additionally, First Priority had investments in the common stock of the FHLB Bank of Pittsburgh totaling \$1.9 million and \$1.3 million as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

Loans

First Priority s loan portfolio is the primary component of its assets. At March 31, 2018, total loans were \$518.3 million, representing a decrease of \$675 thousand, or 0.1%, from total loans outstanding of \$518.9 million at December 31, 2017. During the first three months of 2018, new organic loan production totaled approximately \$12 million while First Priority experienced approximately \$10 million in principal payments, unscheduled loan payoffs or net declines in usage related to lines of credit outstanding. Additionally, a net decline related to

114

payments received on purchased real estate portfolios was \$1.8 million. The following table sets forth the classification of First Priority s loan portfolio at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017.

	March 31,	2018 Percent	December 3	31, 2017 Percent
		of		of
	Amount	total	Amount	total
Dollars in thousands				
Commercial & Industrial	\$ 87,375	17%	\$ 85,395	16%
Commercial Mortgage	235,392	46%	235,946	46%
Commercial Construction	33,517	6%	30,866	6%
Total Commercial	356,284	69%	352,207	68%
Residential Mortgage	132,092	25%	133,727	26%
Home Equity Lines	19,236	4%	19,295	4%
Other Consumer	10,823	2%	13,780	2%
Total Consumer	30,059	6%	33,075	6%
Total Loans	518,435	100%	519,009	100%
Net deferred loan costs or (fees)	(183)	0%	(82)	
Total	\$ 518,252	100%	\$ 518,927	100%

Commercial mortgage loans consist of loans originated for commercial purposes which are secured by nonfarm, nonresidential properties, multifamily residential properties, or 1-4 family residential properties. As of March 31, 2018, commercial mortgage loans totaled \$235.4 million, consisting of \$151.9 million of loans to finance commercial business properties, of which 62% are owner occupied, \$15.3 million to finance, and are secured by, multifamily properties, \$54.7 million secured by 1-4 family residential dwelling properties for business purposes, and \$13.5 million for other purposes. In addition, as of March 31, 2018, loans to lessors of non-residential buildings totaled \$85.6 million, which is included in commercial mortgage loans; of this amount, \$34.2 million, or 40%, of these loans are related to owner occupied buildings.

As of December 31, 2017, commercial mortgage loans totaled \$235.9 million, consisting of \$153.4 million of loans to finance commercial business properties, of which 64% are owner occupied, \$14.7 million to finance, and are secured by, multifamily properties, \$54.7 million secured by 1-4 family residential dwelling properties for business purposes, and \$13.1 million for other purposes. In addition, as of December 31, 2017, loans to lessors of non-residential buildings totaled \$87.7 million, which is included in commercial mortgage loans; of this amount, \$35.9 million, or 41%, of these loans are related to owner occupied buildings.

The payment experience of certain non-owner occupied commercial mortgage loans may be dependent upon the successful operation of the real estate project. These risks can be significantly affected by supply and demand conditions in the market for office and retail space and for apartments and, as such, may be subject to a greater extent to adverse conditions in the economy. In dealing with these risk factors, First Priority generally limits itself to a real estate market or to borrowers with which First Priority has experience. First Priority generally concentrates on originating commercial real estate loans secured by properties located within its market area, and many of First

Priority s commercial real estate loans are secured by owner-occupied property with personal guarantees of the debt.

Regulatory guidelines for total construction, land development and other land loans are 100% of total risk-based capital and further guidance whereby total construction, land development and other land loans combined with real estate loans secured by multifamily or nonresidential properties and loans to finance commercial real estate or construction loans (not secured by real estate) are set at 300% of total risk-based capital. First Priority Bank monitors these two ratios, which as of March 31, 2018, totaled 78% and 214% of total risk-based capital, respectively, both well within the regulatory suggested guidance.

115

Credit Quality

First Priority Bank s written lending policies require specified underwriting, loan documentation and credit analysis standards to be met prior to funding, with additional credit department approval for the majority of new loan balances. The Loan Committee is comprised of senior members of management who oversee the loan approval process to monitor that proper standards are maintained.

The following table summarizes non-performing assets and performing troubled debt restructurings at the dates indicated.

	March 30, 2018 (Dollars)	,	ember 31, 2017 ands)
Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest	\$	\$	263
Non-accrual loans	599		638
Total non-performing loans ⁽¹⁾	599		901
Other real estate owned	440		550
Total non-performing assets ⁽²⁾	1,039		1,451
Performing troubled debt restructurings ⁽³⁾	918		930
Total non-performing assets and performing troubled debt			
restructurings	\$ 1,957	\$	2,381
Non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans	0.12%		0.17%
Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets	0.17%		0.24%
Non-performing assets and performing troubled debt			
restructurings as a percentage of total assets	0.32%		0.39%
Ratio of allowance to non-performing loans at end of period	568%		378%
Ratio of allowance to non-performing assets at end of period	327%		235%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans	0.66%		0.66%

- (1) Non-performing loans are comprised of (i) loans that have a non-accrual status; (ii) accruing loans that are 90 days or more past due; and (iii) non-performing troubled debt restructured loans.
- (2) Non-performing assets are comprised of non-performing loans, other real estate owned (assets acquired in foreclosure) and repossessed assets.
- (3) Performing troubled debt restructurings are accruing loans that have been restructured in troubled debt restructurings and are in compliance with their modified terms.

Total non-performing loans were \$599 thousand at March 31, 2018, and \$901 thousand at December 31, 2017. During the quarter, a commercial loan which was ninety plus days past due and still accruing interest pending asset sale as of December 31, 2017, totaling \$263 thousand, was paid off in January based on completion of the sale of the property with no losses incurred. Additionally, a charge-off was recorded on a non-accrual loan of \$37 thousand related to a non-performing commercial loan secured by residential real estate. Total non-performing loans as a percentage of total

loans was 0.12% as of March 31, 2018 compared to 0.17% at December 31, 2017.

Other real estate owned totaled \$440 thousand at March 31, 2018 compared to \$550 thousand at December 31, 2017. This decline was primarily related to the liquidation of two properties during this three month period. As of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 there were no repossessed assets. Non-performing assets totaled \$1.0 million, or 0.17% of total assets, as of March 31, 2018, compared to \$1.5 million, or 0.24% of total assets, as of December 31, 2017.

While not considered non-performing, First Priority s performing troubled debt restructurings are closely monitored as they consist of loans that have been modified where the borrower is experiencing financial

116

difficulty. Troubled debt restructurings may be deemed to have a higher risk of loss than loans which have not been restructured. At March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, First Priority had performing troubled debt restructurings totaling \$918 and \$930 thousand, respectively, as of each respective date.

First Priority Bank s management continues to monitor and explore potential options and remedial actions to recover the Bank s investment in non-performing loans. According to its policy, First Priority Bank is required to maintain a specific reserve for impaired loans. See the Allowance for Loan Losses section below for further information.

First Priority Bank s total delinquency amount is comprised of loans past due 30 to 89 days and still accruing plus the balance of nonperforming loans. As of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, loans past due 30 to 89 days and still accruing totaled \$956 thousand and \$2.95 million, respectively, which when added to the non-performing loans for each period, resulted in a total delinquency ratio of 0.30% and 0.74%, respectively, of total loans outstanding.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses represents an amount that First Priority believes will be adequate to absorb estimated credit losses on loans that may become impaired. While First Priority applies the methodology discussed below in connection with the establishment of the allowance for loan losses, the allowance is subject to critical judgments on the part of management. Risks within the loan portfolio are analyzed on a continuous basis by the management, periodically analyzed by an external independent loan review function, and are also reviewed by the audit committee. A risk system, consisting of multiple grading categories, is utilized as an analytical tool to assess risk and appropriate allowances. In addition to the risk system, management further evaluates the risk characteristics of the loan portfolio under current and anticipated economic conditions and considers such factors as the financial condition of the borrower, past and expected loss experience, and other factors which management believes deserve recognition in establishing an appropriate allowance. These estimates are reviewed at least quarterly, and, as adjustments become necessary, they are realized in the periods in which they become known.

First Priority uses a quantitative and qualitative method to allocate its allowance to the various loan categories. An unallocated component, which is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management s estimate of probable losses, reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio. Additions to the allowance are made by provisions charged to expense, and the allowance is reduced by net charge-offs, which are loans judged to be uncollectible, less any recoveries on loans previously charged off. Although management attempts to maintain the allowance at an adequate level, future additions to the allowance may be required due to the growth of the loan portfolio, changes in asset quality, changes in market conditions and other factors. Additionally, various bank regulatory agencies periodically review the allowance for loan losses. These agencies may require additional provisions based upon their judgment about information available to them at the time of their examination. Although management uses what it believes to be the best information available, the level of the allowance for loan losses remains an estimate which is subject to significant judgment and short term change.

117

The following table sets forth a summary of the changes in the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Ended Ma 2018 (Dollars in the	rch 31, 2017
Balance at the beginning of period	\$ 3,405	\$ 3,330
Charge-offs:		
Commercial and Industrial	47	
Other consumer loans		24
Total loans charged off	47	24
Recoveries:		
Commercial and Industrial	1	
Residential Mortgage Loans	25	
Other consumer	1	16
Total recoveries	27	16
Net loans charged off	20	8
Provision charged to operations	20	10
	.	Φ 2.222
Balance at end of period	\$ 3,405	\$ 3,332
Average loans ⁽¹⁾	\$519,154	\$487,127
Ratio of net charge-offs (recoveries) during period to average loans outstanding during period		
(annualized) ⁽¹⁾	0.02%	0.01%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans	0.66%	0.68%

(1) Includes non-accrual loans

The following table sets forth the allocation of the allowance for loan losses by loan category. The specific allocations in any particular category may be reallocated in the future to reflect the then current conditions. Accordingly, management considers the entire allowance to be available to absorb losses in any category.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{March 31,} & \text{December 31,} \\ 2018 & 2017 \\ & \text{Percent of} & \text{Percent of} \\ \text{Amount total loans}^{(1)} & \text{Amount total loans}^{(1)} \end{array}$

(In thousands except percentage data)

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Commercial and Industrial	\$ 649	17%	\$ 666	18%
Commercial Mortgage	1,098	46%	1,078	46%
Commercial Construction	156	6%	147	5%
Residential Mortgage Loans	617	25%	624	23%
Home Equity Lines of Credit	133	4%	103	5%
Other Consumer Loans	64	2%	74	3%
Total Allocated	2,717	100%	2,692	100%
Unallocated	688		713	
Total Allowance for loan losses	\$ 3,405		\$ 3,405	

⁽¹⁾ Represents loans outstanding in each category, as of the date shown, as a percentage of total loans outstanding.

A specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses is established for loans that are classified as impaired or are performing troubled debt restructurings when the discounted cash flows or related collateral value of each loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. A specific allocation of \$140 thousand has been provided on impaired loans of \$1.5 million at March 31, 2018 compared to a specific allocation of \$182 thousand related to \$1.6 million of impaired loans at December 31, 2017.

The general allocation component of the allowance for loan losses relates to reserves established for pools of homogenous loans which includes both a qualitative and quantitative analysis. The qualitative analysis utilizes a risk matrix that incorporates qualitative and environmental factors such as: loan volume, management, loan review process, internal policies and procedures, economic environment, credit concentrations, credit quality trends, and regulatory and other external factors. These factors are each risk rated using five levels from weak to strong which could create a total qualitative adjustment factor of up to 65 basis points of gross loans, depending on individual ratings applied by management based on the assessment of the portfolio. The quantitative analysis uses a historical four year rolling average loan loss experience factor which management believes is a sufficient period to properly represent swings resulting from changing economic cycles, and therefore, reflects an appropriate period of loss history for calculating the general reserve in the current environment. The cumulative results from the qualitative and quantitative analysis of the loan portfolio resulted in a general allocation portion of the allowance for loan losses totaling \$2.6 million and \$2.5 million as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management s estimate of probable loss. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio. The unallocated component remained relatively flat when comparing the nine months ended March 31, 2018 to the year ended December 31, 2017.

These allocations could change based on general economic or environmental factors or due to a specific credit situation which could develop within the loan portfolio. However, based on all relevant information currently available as of March 31, 2018, management believes that the allowance for loan losses of \$3.4 million is adequate as of that date and the allocations described above are appropriate.

Loan Concentrations

First Priority s loans consist of credits to borrowers spread over a broad range of industrial classifications. The largest concentrations of loans are to lessors of nonresidential buildings and lessors of residential buildings and dwellings. As of March 31, 2018, these loans totaled \$85.6 million and \$59.9 million, respectively, or 16.5% and 11.5%, respectively, of the total loans outstanding. As of December 31, 2017, these same classifications of loans totaled \$87.7 million and \$60.4 million, respectively, or 16.9% and 11.6%, respectively, of the total loans outstanding. These credits were subject to normal underwriting standards and did not present more than the normal amount of risk assumed by First Priority s other lending activities. Management believes this concentration does not pose abnormal risk when compared to the risk it assumes in other types of lending. The Company has no other concentration of loans which exceeds 10% of total loans.

Deposits

Deposits represent the primary source of funding for earning assets. Deposits totaled \$512.0 million at March 31, 2018 compared to \$523.2 million at December 31, 2017, representing a decrease of \$11.2 million, or 2.1%. During the three months ended March 31, 2018, non-interest bearing deposits increased \$3.8 million, or 5.8%, primarily from the Bank s continued focus on cash management. During this same period, interest bearing deposits decreased \$15.0

million, or 3.3%, primarily related to a decrease in total time deposits of \$14.4 million, or 5.1%, as brokered time deposits declined \$7.9 million and all other time deposits decreased \$6.5 million. Additionally, interest-bearing checking accounts increased \$415 thousand, or 1.4%, while money market and savings accounts decreased \$956 thousand, or 0.7%.

119

First Priority attracts deposits by offering competitive products and interest rates on a broad spectrum of deposit products to customers in its local marketplace, generally through its retail branch system, and also through its internet banking platform. First Priority Bank supplements deposits raised locally with the issuance of brokered deposits when cost effective relative to local market pricing. At March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, brokered deposits totaled \$112.3 million and \$120.2 million, respectively, which are included in time deposits. The guidelines governing First Priority Bank s participation in the brokered CD market are included in First Priority Bank s Asset Liability Management Policy, which is reviewed, revised and approved annually by the asset liability management committee and the board of directors. The FDIC places restrictions on a depository institution s use of brokered deposits based on the bank s capital classification. A well-capitalized institution may accept brokered deposits without FDIC restrictions. An adequately capitalized institution must obtain a waiver from the FDIC in order to accept brokered deposits, while an undercapitalized institution is prohibited by the FDIC from accepting brokered deposits. First Priority Bank is classified as well-capitalized under the prompt corrective action provisions (see Regulatory Matters of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements) and, therefore, may accept brokered deposits without FDIC restrictions.

The following table sets forth the average balance of deposits and the average rates paid on deposits for the periods presented.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2018		2017	
	Average		Average	
	Balance	Rate	Balance	Rate
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Demand, non-interest bearing	\$ 63,151		\$ 60,768	
Demand, interest-bearing	29,305	0.37%	53,567	0.42%
Money market and savings deposits	144,075	0.91%	114,782	0.59%
Time deposits	258,650	1.54%	238,733	1.32%
Total interest-bearing deposits	432,030	1.25%	407,082	1.00%
Total deposits	\$495,181		\$467,850	

Short-Term Borrowed Funds

At March 31, 2018, First Priority had short-term borrowings totaling \$31.0 million, compared to \$15.6 million at December 31, 2017, an increase of \$15.4 million, or 98.6%, between the periods. Short-term borrowings consist of advances from the FHLB with an original maturity of one year or less as of issuance date and provide a short-term funding source related to all other balance sheet changes. Advances from the FHLB at March 31, 2018 are collateralized by an investment in the common stock of the FHLB, by a specific pledge of First Priority Bank s investment assets and by a blanket lien on qualifying mortgages within the Bank s loan portfolio.

The following table outlines First Priority s various sources of short-term borrowed funds at or for each of the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017. The maximum balance represents the highest indebtedness for each category of short-term borrowed funds at any month-end during each of the periods shown.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2018 2017 (Dollars in thousands)		
Federal funds purchased:			
Balance at period end	\$	\$	
Weighted average rate at period end			
Maximum month-end balance	\$	\$	
Average daily balance during the period	\$ 5	\$	
Weighted average rate during the period	1.77%	0.00%	
FHLB short-term borrowings:			
Balance at period end	\$ 31,025	\$62,364	
Weighted average rate at period end	1.87%	0.99%	
Maximum month-end balance	\$ 36,625	\$62,364	
Average daily balance during the period	\$ 29,876	\$ 25,753	
Weighted average rate during the period	1.70%	0.91%	

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt totaled \$9.0 million at both March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017. These borrowings consisted of advances from the FHLB with an original maturity in excess of one year and carry a weighted average interest rate of 1.58% as of both March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, and an average remaining life of 1.5 years and 1.7 years, respectively. Advances from the FHLB are collateralized by an investment in the common stock of the FHLB, by a specific pledge of the Bank s investment assets and by a blanket lien on qualifying mortgages within First Priority Bank s loan portfolio. Balances of FHLB long-term debt averaged \$9.0 million and \$12.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, with an average rate of 1.60% and 1.19% for each of these respective periods. The maximum month-end balance of these borrowings was \$9.0 million and \$12.0 million for the three month periods of 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Subordinated Debt

On November 13, 2015, First Priority Bank entered into Subordinated Note Purchase Agreements with five accredited investors under which First Priority Bank issued subordinated notes (the Notes) totaling \$9.5 million, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$9.2 million after issuance costs. The Notes have a maturity date of November 30, 2025, and bear interest at a fixed rate of 7.00% per annum. The Notes are non-callable for an initial period of five years and include provisions for redemption pricing between 101.5% and 100.5% of the liquidation value, if called after five years but prior to the maturity date.

Capital Resources

Shareholders equity at March 31, 2018 was \$51.2 million, representing an increase of \$727 thousand from \$50.5 million at December 31, 2017. Increases in equity related to net income of \$762 thousand, stock based compensation costs of \$135 thousand, exercise of common stock options of \$357 thousand and a negative impact

from market volatility related to the investment securities portfolio resulting in a net change in net unrealized gains (losses) totaling \$450 thousand, and a decrease from preferred dividends paid of \$77 thousand.

First Priority Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet the minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on First

121

Priority Bank s financial condition and results of operations. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, First Priority Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank s assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. First Priority Bank s capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk-weightings and other factors.

First Priority Bank exceeds the minimum capital requirements established by regulatory agencies. Under the capital adequacy guidelines, capital is classified into two tiers. These guidelines require an institution to maintain a certain level of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital to risk-weighted assets. Tier 1 capital consists of common shareholders—equity and qualifying preferred stock, excluding the unrealized gain or loss on securities available for sale, minus certain intangible assets plus trust preferred securities up to 25% of Tier 1 capital, with the excess being treated as Tier 2 capital. Tier 2 capital also consists of the allowance for loan losses, subject to certain limitations, and qualifying subordinated debt. In determining the amount of risk-weighted assets, all assets, including certain off balance sheet assets, are multiplied by a risk-weight factor of 0% to 100% based on the risks believed inherent in the type of asset.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require First Priority Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets and of Tier 1 capital to average assets, known as the Tier 1 leverage ratio. The following table sets forth the capital ratios for both First Priority and First Priority Bank at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017. First Priority currently meets the definition of a small bank holding company under the FRB s regulations, and thus is not subject to any capital requirements; however, First Priority meets the holding company regulatory requirements for well-capitalized for each stated period. First Priority Bank was considered well-capitalized and met or exceeded its applicable regulatory requirements for both periods.

	First	Priority					
	Fin	ancial					
	Corp.		First Priority Bank				
				For Capital			
				Adequacy	To Be		
	As of	As of	For Capital	with Capital	Considered	As of	As of
	March 31,	December 31,	Adequacy	Buffer	Well-	March 31,	December 31,
	2018	2017	Purposes	(2018)	Capitalized	2018	2017
Total risk-based capital	12.61%	12.19%	8.00%	9.875%	10.00%	12.49%	12.15%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	10.00%	9.62%	6.00%	7.875%	8.00%	9.88%	9.58%
Tier 1 common equity							
capital	9.30%	8.93%	4.50%	6.375%	6.50%	9.88%	9.58%
Tier 1 leverage capital	8.19%	8.10%	4.00%	N/A	5.00%	8.09%	8.08%

. . .

The capital ratios above reflect the new capital requirements under Basel III . As of March 31, 2018, First Priority Bank and the Company were in compliance with the new requirements. See Note 8 Regulatory Matters for additional discussion regarding regulatory capital requirements.

Return on Average Equity and Assets

The following table shows the return on average assets (net income divided by total average assets), return on equity (net income divided by average equity), and the equity to assets ratio (average equity divided by total average assets) for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017.

	At or fo	At or for the		
	Three Mont	Three Months Ended		
	March	March 31,		
	2018	2017		
Return on average assets	0.52%	0.56%		
Return on average equity	6.06%	6.53%		
Average equity to average assets ratio	8.54%	8.59%		

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Through the operations of the First Priority, First Priority has made contractual commitments to extend credit, in the ordinary course of its business activities, to meet the financing needs of customers. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized on the balance sheets. These commitments are legally binding agreements to lend money at predetermined interest rates for a specified period of time and generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses. The same credit and collateral policies are used in making these commitments as for on-balance sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Each customer s creditworthiness is evaluated on a case-by-case basis and collateral is obtained, if necessary, based on the credit evaluation of the borrower. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by First Priority Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management s credit evaluation. Collateral held varies but may include personal or commercial real estate, accounts receivable, inventory and equipment.

At March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, outstanding commitments to extend credit consisting of total unfunded commitments under lines of credit were \$113.8 million and \$115.2 million, respectively. In addition, as of each of these dates, First Priority maintained \$1.8 million and \$2.3 million of performance standby letters of credit outstanding, respectively, and \$1.7 million of financial standby letters of credit outstanding as of each respective date, on behalf of its customers.

As of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 First Priority did not have any deposit letters of credit outstanding.

First Priority is not involved in any other off-balance sheet contractual relationships, unconsolidated related entities that have off-balance sheet arrangements or transactions that could result in liquidity needs or other commitments that could significantly impact earnings. First Priority believes that it has adequate sources of liquidity to fund commitments that may be drawn upon by borrowers.

Liquidity

The objective of liquidity management is to assure that sufficient sources of funds are available, as needed and at a reasonable cost, to meet the ongoing and unexpected operational cash needs and commitments of First Priority and to take advantage of income producing opportunities as they arise. Sufficient liquidity must be available to meet the cash requirements of depositors wanting to withdraw funds and of borrowers wanting their credit needs met. Additionally, liquidity is needed to insure that First Priority has the ability to act at those times when profitable new lending and investment opportunities arise. While the desired level of liquidity may vary depending upon a variety of factors, it is a primary goal of First Priority to maintain adequate liquidity in all economic environments through active balance sheet management.

Liquidity management is the ongoing process of monitoring and managing First Priority sources and uses of funds. The primary sources of funds are deposits, scheduled amortization of loans outstanding, maturities and cash flow generated from the investment portfolio and funds provided by operations. Scheduled loan payments and investment maturities are relatively predictable sources of funds; however, deposit flows and loan prepayments are far less predictable and are influenced by the level of interest rates, economic conditions, local competition and customer preferences. Liquidity is also provided by unused lines of credit with correspondent banks and First Priority s borrowing capacity at the FHLB. First Priority measures and monitors its liquidity position on an ongoing basis in

order to better understand, predict and respond to balance sheet trends, unused borrowing capacity and liquidity needs. The liquidity position is managed on a daily basis as part of the daily settlement function and on an ongoing basis through the asset liability management function.

The key elements of First Priority s liquidity planning process involve a primary focus on the development of a stable, core funding base; utilization of wholesale funding sources to supplement core funding; maintenance of

123

an appropriate level of asset liquidity; management of the maturity structure of funding sources and of funding concentrations; and maintenance of borrowing facilities.

Wholesale funding sources utilized by First Priority Bank include brokered certificates of deposits, secured advances from the FHLB, federal funds purchased and other secured borrowing facilities. At March 31, 2018, wholesale funding sources totaled \$152.3 million and were comprised of \$112.3 million of brokered certificates of deposit and \$40.0 million of FHLB advances. At December 31, 2017, wholesale funding sources totaled \$144.8 million and were comprised of \$120.2 million of brokered certificates of deposit and \$24.6 million of FHLB advances. Wholesale funding is generally used in managing the daily liquidity needs and when it is the most cost effective funding source available to First Priority. Management continually evaluates all available funding sources for cost and availability.

An integral part of First Priority Bank s balance sheet management strategy is to establish and maintain borrowing facilities with correspondent banks for access to funding. Off-balance sheet borrowing capacity provides the immediate availability of funds to meet short-term financing needs without requiring First Priority Bank to maintain excess liquidity in its investment portfolio, which may have a negative impact on earnings. In today s environment of historically low interest rates, it also provides effective longer term funding, in terms of the cost and structure. Long term borrowings from the FHLB cannot be called prior to maturity, which provides much greater protection against a rise in interest rates when compared to retail deposits, which can be redeemed early by the depositor at lower than market rate penalties.

As of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, First Priority Bank had a borrowing facility with a correspondent bank totaling \$10 million, available for short-term limited purpose usage, of which \$2 million is available unsecured. The remaining \$8 million is a secured line of credit.

At March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, First Priority Bank had a total borrowing capacity with the FHLB of \$236 million and \$221 million, respectively, with advances and letters of credit outstanding of \$40.0 million and \$24.6 million, respectively. Short-term liquid assets at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 totaled \$26.0 million and \$17.7 million, respectively, and were comprised of \$25.0 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, of interest bearing deposits held at correspondent banks and, \$1.0 million and \$15.0 million, respectively, of investment securities due within 30 days.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

It is the responsibility of the board of directors and senior management to understand and control the interest rate risk exposures assumed by First Priority. The board has delegated authority to the asset liability management committee (ALCO) for the development of ALCO policies and for the management of the asset liability management function. The ALCO committee is comprised of senior management representing all primary functions of First Priority and meets monthly. ALCO has the responsibility for maintaining a level of interest rate risk exposures within board of director approved limits.

The primary objective of asset liability management is to optimize net interest income over time while maintaining a balance sheet mix that is prudent with respect to liquidity, capital adequacy and interest rate risk. The absolute level and volatility of interest rates can have a significant impact on the profitability of First Priority. Interest rate risk management is the process of identifying and controlling the potential adverse impact of interest rates movements on First Priority s net interest income and on the fair value of its assets and liabilities.

One tool used to monitor interest rate risk is the measurement of its interest sensitivity gap, which is the positive or negative dollar difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities that are subject to interest rate

repricing within a given period of time. Interest rate sensitivity can be managed by changing the mix, pricing and repricing characteristics of First Priority s assets and liabilities, through

124

management of its investment portfolio, loan and deposit product offerings, and through wholesale funding. Managing the amount of assets and liabilities repricing in the same time interval helps to hedge interest rate risk and minimize the impact on net interest income of rising or falling interest rates. First Priority generally would benefit from increasing market rates of interest when it has an asset-sensitive gap position and generally would benefit from decreasing market rates of interest when First Priority is liability-sensitive.

At March 31, 2018, First Priority was marginally liability sensitive at the one-year gap position, as it has more liabilities subject to repricing in the subsequent twelve month period than assets. It must be noted, however, that the gap analysis is not a precise indicator of First Priority s exposure to changing interest rates. The analysis presents only a static view of the timing of maturities and repricing opportunities, without taking into consideration that changes in interest rates do not affect all assets and liabilities equally. Furthermore, the results are influenced by management assumptions concerning the repricing characteristics of deposit products with no contractual maturities, the timing of the repricing of variable rate loans with interest rates currently fixed at interest rate floors, and prepayment speeds of loans and investments subject to prepayment prior to maturity. Additionally, net interest income performance may be impacted by other significant factors in a given interest rate environment, including changes in the volume and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of First Priority December 31, 2017

The following discussion summarizes First Priority s results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, and its financial condition as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 and highlights material changes. This discussion is intended to provide additional information about the significant changes in the results of operations presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, contained in this document, for First Priority and its wholly owned subsidiary, First Priority Bank. First Priority s consolidated financial condition and results of operations consist essentially of the Bank s financial condition and results of operations. Current performance does not guarantee, and may not be indicative of, similar performance in the future. This discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, such as First Priority s plans, objectives, expectations and intentions.

Readers should note that many factors, some of which are discussed elsewhere in this report, could affect the future financial results of First Priority and could cause those results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements contained in this document.

Overview

The following table sets forth selected measures of First Priority s financial position or performance for the dates or periods indicated (dollars in thousands).

	As of 1	As of December 31, and for the year ended December 31,						
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013			
Total revenue ⁽¹⁾	\$ 19,245	\$ 18,261	\$ 17,340	\$ 16,782	\$ 15,239			
Net income ⁽²⁾	2,458	2,300	2,112	6,925	196			
Total assets	609,942	597,795	546,540	492,311	446,088			
Total loans receivable	518,927	488,243	409,153	375,222	335,737			
Total deposits	523,150	467,688	408,687	378,209	357,420			

- (1) Total revenue equals net interest income plus non-interest income.
- (2) Net income in 2017 includes a non-recurring charge of \$571 thousand to income tax expense to reduce the value of First Priority s net deferred tax asset as a result of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, enacted on December 22, 2017, which lowered First Priority s future maximum corporate tax rate from 34 percent to

125

21 percent. Net income in 2014 includes an income tax benefit of \$4.50 million which resulted from the reversal of the valuation allowance on First Priority s net deferred tax assets.

Like most financial institutions, First Priority derives the majority of its income from interest it receives on its interest-earning assets, such as loans and investments. First Priority s primary source of funds for making these loans and investments is its deposits, on which it pays interest. Consequently, one of the key measures of First Priority s success is its amount of net interest income, or the difference between the income on its average interest-earning assets and the expense on its average interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. Another key measure is the spread between the yield First Priority earns on these average interest-earning assets and the rate it pays on its average interest-bearing liabilities, which is called its net interest spread.

There are risks inherent in all loans, and First Priority maintains an allowance for loan losses to absorb probable losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible. This allowance is maintained by charging a provision for loan losses against operating earnings. A detailed discussion of this process, as well as several tables describing the allowance for loan losses is included herein.

In addition to earning interest on its loans and investments, First Priority earns income through other sources, such as fees and other charges to its banking customers and income from providing wealth management services, as well as net gains or losses realized from the sale of assets. The various components of non-interest income, as well as non-interest expense, are described in this section.

Critical Accounting Policies, Judgments and Estimates

First Priority has adopted various accounting policies that govern the application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and that are consistent with general practices within the banking industry in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements. First Priority s significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Certain accounting policies involve significant judgments and assumptions by First Priority that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. First Priority considers these accounting policies to be critical accounting estimates. The judgment and assumptions used are based on historical experience and other factors, which First Priority believes to be reasonable under the circumstances and have been reasonably consistent with prior results. Because of the nature of the judgments and assumptions made, actual results could differ from these estimates, which could have a material impact on the carrying values of its assets and liabilities and its results of operations. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to investment securities impairment evaluation, valuation of acquired loans, the determination of the allowance for loan losses, valuation of other real estate owned, impairment of goodwill, the valuation of deferred tax assets and accounting for stock-based compensation.

Investment Securities Impairment Evaluation. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (3) the intent and ability of First Priority to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. When a determination is made that an other-than-temporary impairment exists but First Priority does not intend to sell the debt security and it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell the debt security prior to its anticipated recovery, the other-than-temporary impairment is separated into (a) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to a decrease in cash flows expected to be collected from the debt security (the credit loss) and (b) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total other-than-temporary

impairment related to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. Management believes that there are no investment securities with other-than-temporary impairment for each of the reporting periods presented.

126

Acquired Loans. Fair values for loans which resulted from bank acquisitions are based on a discounted cash flow methodology that involves significant assumptions and judgments as to estimate of credit risk, prepayment risk, liquidity risk, default rates, loss severity, payment speeds, collateral values and discount rate.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses represents management s estimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date and is recorded as a reduction to loans.

Pools of loans are evaluated for loss exposure based upon historical loss rates in each category of loans and adjusted for qualitative factors. Management assigned each factor a value to reflect improving, stable or declining conditions based on its best judgment using relevant information available at the time of the evaluation. Adjustments to the factors are supported through documentation of changes in conditions in a narrative accompanying the allowance for loan loss calculation.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management s estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

See the *Allowance for Loan Losses* section related to Balance Sheet Review as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 for more information.

Other Real Estate Owned. Assets acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at fair value less cost to sell at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Any write-down, at or prior to the dates the real estate is considered foreclosed, is charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell.

Goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquired entity over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired in accordance with the acquisition method of accounting. Goodwill is not amortized but is reviewed for potential impairment on an annual basis, or more often if events or circumstances indicate that there may be impairment.

Income Taxes. Deferred taxes are provided on the liability method whereby deferred tax assets (DTA) are recognized for deductible temporary differences and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment. First Priority files a consolidated federal income tax return with First Priority Bank.

If management makes a determination based on the available evidence that it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized in future periods a valuation allowance is calculated and recorded. These determinations are inherently subjective and dependent upon estimates and judgments concerning management s evaluation of both positive and negative evidence. The weight given to the potential effect of positive and negative evidence must be commensurate with the extent to which it can be objectively verified.

When determining the need for a valuation allowance, First Priority assessed the possible sources of taxable income available under tax law to realize a tax benefit for deductible temporary differences and carryforwards, as defined by

Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 740-10-30-18. This guidance related to when a valuation allowance on the DTA should be maintained, generally provides that the valuation allowance should be reversed, when in the judgment of management, it is more likely than not that the DTA will be realized. Management has determined that the DTA will be realized and does not maintain a valuation allowance.

127

Stock Based Compensation. Compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions are recognized in the financial statements over the period that an employee provides service in exchange for the award. The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model based on management s assumptions. The value of restricted stock awards is determined based on the estimated fair value of the shares at the date of the award.

Results of Operations

Income Statement Review

First Priority s results of operations are affected by five major elements: (1) net interest income, or the difference between interest income earned on loans and investments and interest expense accrued on deposits and borrowed funds; (2) the provision for loan losses, or the amount added to the allowance for loan losses to provide reserves for inherent losses on loans; (3) non-interest income, consisting of income from wealth management services, fees and other charges to our banking customers, and net gains or losses realized from the sale of assets; (4) non-interest expense, which consists primarily of salaries, employee benefits and other operating expenses; and (5) income taxes, including deferred taxes, when applicable. Each of these major elements is reviewed in more detail in the following discussion.

Results of Operations Comparative Summary

Shown in the table below are the reported results of operations as well as the increase (decrease) for the respective periods.

	•	or the year ended December 31, 017 2016		rease rease)	% Change
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data):					
Net interest income	\$ 18,204	\$ 16,716	\$	1,488	8.9%
Non-interest income	1,041	1,545		(504)	(32.6)%
Total Revenue	19,245	18,261		984	5.4%
Provision for loan losses	385	710		(325)	(45.8)%
Non-interest expenses	14,401	14,123		278	2.0%
Income before income tax expense	4,459	3,428		1,031	30.1%
Income tax expense	2,001	1,128		873	77.4%
Net Income	\$ 2,458	\$ 2,300	\$	158	6.9%
Preferred dividends, including net warrant amortization	306	407		(101)	(24.8)%
-					
Income to common shareholders	\$ 2,152	\$ 1,893	\$	259	13.7%
Income per common share:					
Basic	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.29	\$	0.04	13.8%

Diluted \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 \$ 0.03 10.3%

Summary

For the year ended December 31, 2017, First Priority s consolidated net income increased 6.9% to \$2.46 million, or \$0.33 per basic common share and \$0.32 per fully diluted common share versus \$2.30 million, or \$0.29 per basic and fully diluted common share for the same period in 2016. For the year ended December 31, 2017, income before income taxes totaled \$4.46 million, a 30.1% increase over \$3.43 million recorded in 2016, while income to common shareholders, after preferred dividends, totaled \$2.15 million, a 13.7% increase over \$1.89 million reported in the prior year.

128

The earnings in 2017 were negatively impacted by a non-recurring non-cash reduction in the value of First Priority s net deferred tax asset (DTA) which resulted in a charge of \$571 thousand which is included in the provision for income tax expense. This income tax adjustment was a result of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, enacted on December 22, 2017, which lowered First Priority s future maximum corporate tax rate from 34 percent to 21 percent. Although this reduced rate will provide tax savings in future periods, this charge was required to write-down First Priority s DTA, which was previously valued based upon the projection of a 34 percent future tax rate.

Adjusted 2017 net income, when excluding the \$571 thousand charge to reduce the value of the DTA, would have been \$3.03 million or \$0.42 per basic common share and \$0.40 per fully diluted common share, representing a 31.7% increase in 2017 earnings compared to 2016 s full-year net income of \$2.30 million, or \$0.29 per basic and fully diluted common share. There were no unusual tax charges recorded during 2016.

Management believes that calculating earnings per share excluding the impact of the adjustment of the deferred tax asset provides important supplemental information in evaluating First Priority s operating results because this non-recurring charge is not incurred as a result of ongoing operations. However, reporting earnings in this manner constitutes reporting financial information determined by methods other than in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). Income tax effects of non-GAAP adjustments are calculated using the applicable statutory tax rate for the jurisdictions in which the charges (benefits) are incurred, while taking into consideration any valuation allowances or non-deductible portions of the non-GAAP adjustments. This non-GAAP disclosure has limitations as an analytical tool, should not be viewed as a substitute for financial measures determined in accordance with GAAP, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of First Priority s results and financial condition as reported under GAAP, nor is it necessarily comparable to non-GAAP performance measures that may be presented by other companies. Management believes that this non-GAAP supplemental information will be helpful in understanding First Priority s ongoing operating results. This supplemental presentation should not be construed as an inference that First Priority s future results will be unaffected by similar adjustments to be determined in accordance with GAAP.

The following table provides a reconciliation of earnings and earnings per share adjusted for the exclusion of this non-recurring adjustment:

	•	ear ended ber 31,
(Unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)	2017	2016
Net income	\$ 2,458	\$2,300
Plus: adjustment of deferred tax asset	571	
Net income excluding non-recurring expenses	\$ 3,029	\$ 2,300
Preferred dividends	306	407
Income to common shareholders excluding non-recurring expenses	\$ 2,723	\$1,893
Adjusted basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.29
Adjusted diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.29

Weighted average common shares outstanding:

Basic	· ·	6,559	6,514
Diluted		6,785	6,570

Net Interest Income

First Priority s primary source of revenue is net interest income. Net interest income is determined by the average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the interest rates earned and paid on these

balances. The amount of net interest income recorded by First Priority is affected by the rate, mix and amount of growth of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the amount of interest-earning assets as compared to the amount of interest-bearing liabilities, and by changes in interest rates earned and interest rates paid on these assets and liabilities.

The following table sets forth, for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, information related to First Priority s average balances, yields on average assets, and costs of average liabilities. Average balances are derived from the daily balances throughout the periods indicated and yields are derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of the corresponding assets or liabilities. Average loans are stated net of deferred costs. The net dollar amounts and percentage changes of interest income and expense are presented for comparative purposes.

Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income

	A	For the Year Ended December 31, 2017 2016 Interest Interest				Expe \$ Change 2017	ange in Income / enses % Change 2017 vs.	
	Average Balance	Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate	vs. 2016	2017 vs. 2016
			(1	Dollars in th	ousands)			
Interest-earning assets:	*			* · • • • • • •	+ + 0 ==0		* * * *	
Loans receivable	\$ 494,051	\$ 22,074	4.47%	\$438,867	\$ 19,570	4.46%	\$ 2,504	12.8%
Taxable investment securities	43,330	1,199	2.77%	45,045	1,150	2.55%	49	4.3%
Nontaxable investment	1.4.402	570	4.026	0.005	400	4.000	171	41.00
securities	14,403	579	4.02%	9,985	408	4.09%	171	41.9%
Total investment securities	57,733	1,778	3.08%	55,030	1,558	2.83%	220	14.1%
Deposits with banks and								
other (1)	8,346	201	2.41%	6,624	118	1.79%	83	70.3%
Total interest-earning assets	560,130	24,053	4.29%	500,521	21,246	4.24%	2,807	13.2%
Non-interest-earning assets (1)	16,690	21,033	1.25 /6	18,644	21,210	1.2170	2,007	13.270
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 576,820			\$519,165				
Interest-bearing liabilities:								
Demand, interest-bearing	\$ 39,333	\$ 156	0.40%	\$ 48,758	\$ 161	0.33%	\$ (5)	(3.1)%
Money market and savings	120,782	922	0.76%	100,620	520	0.52%	402	77.3%
Time deposits	255,376	3,635	1.42%	220,436	2,866	1.30%	769	26.8%
FHLB advances and other	200,070	2,000	11.270	220,.00	2,000	110070	, 0,	20,070
borrowings	37,392	447	1.20%	36,600	295	0.81%	152	51.5%
Subordinated debt	9,218	689	7.48%	9,196	688	7.48%	1	0.1%
Total interest-bearing								
liabilities	462,101	5,849	1.27%	415,610	4,530	1.09%	1,319	29.1%

	Average Balance	For the 2017 Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate	ed December Average Balance <i>Dollars in the</i>	2016 Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate	Interest Exp	nange in I Income / enses % Change 2017 vs. 2016
Non interest-bearing liabilities:								
Demand, non-interest bearing								
deposits	62,703			54,036				
Other liabilities	2,050			1,746				
Shareholders equity	49,966			47,773				
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND								
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 576,820			\$519,165				
Net interest income/rate spread		\$ 18,204	3.02%		\$ 16,716	3.15%	\$ 1,488	8.9%
Net interest margin			3.25%			3.34%		

⁽¹⁾ Interest income includes dividends from restricted investments in bank stocks; average balance of these restricted stocks are included in non-interest-earning assets.

Net interest income can also be analyzed in terms of the impact of changing interest rates and changing volume as shown in the Changes in Net Interest Income table below which sets forth the effect which varying levels of average interest-earning assets, interest-bearing liabilities and the applicable yields and rates have had on changes in net interest income for the periods presented.

Changes in Net Interest Income
For the Year Ended December 31,
2017 vs. 2016 Increase (Decrease)
Due to Change In
(Dollars in thousands)

	Volume	Rate	Net Change
Interest income:			_
Loans receivable	\$ 2,466	\$ 38	\$ 2,504
Taxable investment securities	(45)	94	49
Nontaxable investment securities	178	(7)	171
Total investment securities	133	87	220
Deposits with banks and other	35	48	83
Total interest earning assets	2,634	173	2,807

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Interest expense:

interest expense.			
Demand, interest-bearing	(34)	29	(5)
Money market and savings	119	283	402
Time deposits	481	288	769
FHLB advances and other borrowings	9	143	152
Subordinated debt	2	(1)	1
Total interest bearing liabilities	577	742	1,319
Change in net interest income	\$ 2,057	\$ (569)	\$ 1,488

For the year ended December 31, 2017, net interest income increased 8.9%, or \$1.48 million, to \$18.20 million compared to \$16.72 million for the same period in 2016. Net interest margin declined 9 basis points from 3.34% for the year ended December 31, 2016 to 3.25% for the same period in 2017. Net interest spread, defined as the

mathematical difference between the yield on average earning assets and the rate paid on average interest bearing liabilities, declined 13 basis points from 3.15% for the year ended December 31, 2016 to 3.02% for the same period in 2017. When comparing these periods, incremental growth of balances accounted for an increase of \$2.06 million while the change in our relative rate structure resulted in a decline in net interest income of \$569 thousand.

Average interest earning assets for the year ended December 31, 2017 increased \$59.6 million, or 11.9%, including an increase in average loans of \$55.2 million, or 12.6%, and an increase in average investment securities and other interest earning assets of \$4.4 million, or 7.2%, when compared to the prior year. This increased volume of average earning assets provided an additional \$2.63 million in interest income, consisting of \$2.47 million provided from incremental loan balances along with a \$168 thousand increase from investment securities, including deposits with banks. The average yield on earning assets increased 5 basis points in the current year compared to the prior year from 4.24% to 4.29%. The calculated change in interest income related to changes in the rate structure resulted in an increase between the periods of \$173 thousand. This change is not only impacted by changes in comparable rates, but also due to increases or decreases in balances as it relates to our overall product mix structure. The rate on loans outstanding increased 1 basis point, resulting in an increase of interest income totaling \$38 thousand, while the rate on investment balances increased 25 basis points on average, which resulted in an increase due to the rate change of \$87 thousand, and the yield on other earning assets increased 62 basis points, resulting in additional interest income of \$48 thousand.

Average interest bearing liabilities increased \$46.5 million, or 11.2%, in 2017. During this time, overall deposit balances increased \$45.7 million, as money market and savings deposits increased \$20.2 million and time deposits grew \$34.9 million, partially offset by a decline in interest bearing demand deposits of \$9.4 million. At the same time, total borrowed funds increased \$814 thousand. The overall net incremental growth of interest bearing liabilities resulted in an increase of interest expense of \$577 thousand. The average rate on interest bearing liabilities increased 18 basis points from 1.09% for the year ended December 31, 2016 to 1.27% in the current year, which resulted in an increase in interest expense related to our rate structure of \$742 thousand.

Provision for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through provisions for loan losses charged against operations. Loans deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance for loan losses, and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance as recoveries.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate to provide for losses that can be reasonably anticipated. Management s periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance is based on known or potential risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect a borrower s ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, the composition of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revisions as more information becomes available or economic conditions change.

At the end of each quarter or more often, if necessary, First Priority analyzes the collectability of its loans and accordingly adjusts the loan loss allowance to an appropriate level. The allowance for loan losses covers estimated credit losses on individually evaluated loans that are determined to be impaired, as well as estimated credit losses inherent in the remainder of the loan portfolio. For a description of the process for determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, see the Allowance for Loan Losses section below.

The provision for loan losses was \$385 thousand in 2017 compared to \$710 thousand for 2016. The ongoing level of provision is impacted by the adequacy of the allowance as described above, including an analysis of impaired and

non-performing loans, as well as by the level of incremental loan volume and net charge-offs of loans. During the third quarter of 2016, First Priority recorded an initial loan loss provision of \$500 thousand related to the acquisition of \$65 million in loans from another local banking institution. Total loans outstanding

132

increased \$30.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to an increase of \$79.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, which included the acquisition of \$64.6 million of performing commercial loans within the Bank s market area. Net charge-offs for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, totaled \$310 thousand and \$175 thousand, respectively. The allowance for loan losses was \$3.4 million and \$3.3 million as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, which represented 0.66% and 0.68% of total loans outstanding as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Acquired loans from business combinations are initially recorded at acquisition date at their acquisition date fair values, and therefore, are excluded from the calculation of loan loss reserves as of the acquisition date. As acquired loans are paid off, refinanced or extended under First Priority's credit underwriting process, they are no longer considered acquired loans, but instead are prospectively considered originated loans and therefore, are included as part of the calculation of the allowance for loan losses. Beginning in 2016, due to the immaterial remaining balances of purchased non-credit impaired loans, all loans are included in quarterly adequacy of the allowance as described above, excluding three remaining purchased credit impaired loans, which are analyzed separately. These loans consist of two commercial loans and one commercial real estate loan with a combined net recorded investment of \$55 thousand, net of combined non-amortizing specific reserves totaling \$352 thousand.

Non-Interest Income

For the year ended December 31, 2017, non-interest income totaled \$1.04 million compared to \$1.55 million for the same period in 2016, a decrease of \$504 thousand, or 32.6%. As detailed in the table below, non-interest income is comprised of wealth management fees, which are principally non-recurring commissions and fees related to the sale of insurance products and annuities, service charges on deposit accounts, income resulting from the investment in bank owned life insurance, gains from the sale of investment securities, and other fees which First Priority Bank collects from its banking customers. As reflected in the table below, the most significant declines in non-interest income relate to lower gains realized from the sale of investment securities, which declined \$379 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to the prior year and a reduction of wealth management related fees which declined \$140 thousand when comparing these same periods.

The decline of wealth management fees has resulted partially from new regulations being implemented by the Department of Labor (DOL) beginning in 2017 which limits product offerings available for certain types and purposes of accounts and implements new fee structures, which are both considered by the DOL to be more aligned in the best interest of the client. These changes reduced commission income First Priority Bank received during 2017. Additionally, First Priority Bank had one less registered sales representative in 2017 to sell wealth management products, of which \$57 thousand of commission income was attributed to this individual during 2016.

Components of non-interest income are shown in the table below:

	•	ear ended ber 31,	Net Change 2017 vs.	% Change 2017 vs.			
	2017	2016	2016	2016			
	(Dollars in thousands)						
Non-Interest Income							
Wealth management fee income	\$ 168	\$ 308	\$ (140)	(45.5)%			
Service charges on deposits	132	136	(4)	(2.9)			
Other branch fees	189	180	9	5.0			

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Loan related fees	47	41	6	14.6
Gains on sales of investment securities	416	795	(379)	(47.7)
Bank owned life insurance income	70	78	(8)	(10.3)
Other	19	7	12	171.4
Total Non-Interest Income	\$ 1,041	\$ 1,545	\$ (504)	(32.6)%

Non-Interest Expenses

Non-interest expenses were \$14.40 million in 2017 compared to \$14.12 million for the same period in the prior year, an increase of \$278 thousand, or 2.0%. The following table sets forth information related to the various components of non-interest expenses for each respective period.

Non-Interest Expenses	Decem 2017	ear ended ber 31, 2016 llars in thous	20 2	Change 17 vs. 2016	% Change 2017 vs. 2016
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 8,273	\$ 8,046	\$	227	2.8%
Occupancy and equipment	1,844	1,906		(62)	(3.3)
Data processing equipment and operations	927	884		43	4.9
Professional fees	690	709		(19)	(2.7)
Marketing, advertising and business development	336	230		106	46.1
FDIC insurance assessments	520	398		122	30.7
Pennsylvania bank shares tax expense	354	315		39	12.4
Other real estate owned costs	178	423		(245)	(57.9)
Other	1,279	1,212		67	5.5
Total Non-Interest Expenses	\$ 14,401	\$ 14,123	\$	278	2.0%

Highlights of significant non-interest expenses items for the year ended, 2017 compared to the year ended, 2016

Salaries and employee benefits increased \$227 thousand, or 2.8%, for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to the prior year, primarily related to an increase of 3.5%, or \$215 thousand in base salary expenses, including incremental staffing and annual increases for existing staff. The additions to staff are primarily focused on expanding or increasing business development opportunities within our markets. Additionally, a higher level of bonus incentive compensation was offset by lower wealth management commissions and lower stock based compensation expenses.

Occupancy and equipment costs decreased \$62 thousand, or 3.3%, comparing the current year with the same period in 2016. The overall year to date decrease includes lower depreciation cost on equipment and lower ongoing operating costs, related to the closure of the Plumstead branch in 2016; partially offset by an increase related to opening a loan production office in Bala Cynwyd in January 2017.

Data processing costs increased \$43 thousand, or 4.9%, primarily due to an increased level of customer activity relative to our core banking system.

Marketing, advertising and business development expenses increased \$106 thousand, or 46.1%, in the current year compared to the prior year, primarily related to marketing campaigns to enhance brand awareness, product brochure enhancements and specific deposit product advertising.

FDIC insurance assessments increased \$122 thousand, or 30.7%, and the Pennsylvania bank shares tax expenses increased \$39 thousand, or 12.4%, both of which are influenced by the increased asset size and equity levels of First Priority Bank. The higher FDIC deposit insurance premiums were also affected by the implementation of a new FDIC insurance premium calculation in mid-2016.

Net costs related to other real estate owned decreased \$245 thousand, or 57.9%, in the current year compared to the same period in 2016. When comparing these periods, lower ongoing maintenance and operating costs and valuation write-downs were partially offset by a lower level of rental income, all of which are related to the ongoing liquidation of properties with no new properties added to the portfolio in the last twelve months.

134

Other expenses increased \$67 thousand, or 5.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to the prior year.

Provision for Income Taxes

Income tax expense recorded in the year ended December 31, 2017 totaled \$2.00 million compared to \$1.13 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. As previously stated, 2017 income tax expense was impacted by a charge related to the valuation of First Priority s deferred tax asset totaling \$571 thousand, resulting from the enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act in December 2017, which lowered First Priority s future maximum corporate tax rate from 34 percent to 21 percent. The deferred tax asset was previously valued based upon an expected future tax benefit using the 34% tax rate. The recovery of the one-time charge is expected to take approximately one year based on the reduced ongoing tax rate. For the full year of 2017, the effective tax rate was 44.9%, as reported, and was 32.1% exclusive of the charge described above, which compares to an effective tax rate of 32.9% for 2016.

First Priority s net deferred tax asset as of December 31, 2017 includes \$231 thousand related to net operating losses (NOL) acquired related to the acquisition of Prestige Community Bank and Affinity Bancorp which are subject to certain limitations and expire in 2028 and 2032, respectively, if not fully utilized. The acquired NOL carryforward balance of \$1.1 million remains available to reduce future federal income taxes as of December 31, 2017.

Financial Condition as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016

Balance Sheet Review

	For the year		Net Change 2017 vs.	% Change 2017 vs.
	2017 2016		2016	2016
	(Do	llars in thouse	ands)	
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,257	\$ 4,761	\$ 3,496	73.4%
Investment securities	71,038	89,603	(18,565)	-20.7%
Loans receivable	518,927	488,243	30,684	6.3%
Total earning assets	598,222	582,607	15,615	2.7%
Allowance for loan losses	(3,405)	(3,330)	(75)	2.3%
Restricted investments in bank stocks	1,416	3,257	(1,841)	-56.5%
Premises and equipment, net	1,607	1,755	(148)	-8.4%
Bank owned life insurance	3,326	3,256	70	2.1%
Other real estate owned	550	1,486	(936)	-63.0%
Deferred income tax assets, net	923	2,697	(1,774)	-65.8%
Goodwill and other identifiable intangibles	2,894	2,960	(66)	-2.2%
Other assets	4,409	3,107	1,302	41.9%
Total assets	\$ 609,942	\$ 597,795	\$ 12,147	2.0%
Liabilities				
Deposits	\$ 523,150	\$467,688	\$ 55,462	11.9%

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Borrowed funds	33,856	77,371	(43,515)	-56.2%
Other liabilities	2,440	4,690	(2,250)	-48.0%
Total liabilities	559,446	549,749	9,697	1.8%
Equity				
Total shareholders equity	50,496	48,046	2,450	5.1%
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$609,942	\$ 597,795	\$ 12,147	2.0%

Total assets at December 31, 2017 were \$609.9 million, representing an increase of \$12.1 million, or 2.0%, when compared to total assets of \$597.8 million at December 31, 2016. Total assets at December 31, 2017 consisted principally of earning assets totaling \$598.2 million, consisting of loans receivable of \$518.9 million, investment securities of \$71.0 million and cash and cash equivalents of \$8.3 million. At December 31, 2016, earning assets totaled \$582.6 million, consisting of loans outstanding of \$488.2 million, investment securities of \$89.6 million and cash and cash equivalents of \$4.8 million.

Deposits totaled \$523.2 million at December 31, 2017 compared to \$467.7 million at December 31, 2016, an increase of \$55.5 million, or 11.9%. Of the total deposits at December 31, 2017, \$240.9 million, or 46.0% of total deposits, are core deposits consisting of demand, money market and savings deposits, which increased \$15.3 million, or 6.8% compared to core deposits of \$225.6 million at December 31, 2016.

Advances from Federal Home Bank of Pittsburgh totaled \$24.6 million at December 31, 2017 compared to \$68.2 million at December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$43.5 million, or 63.9%, while subordinated debt remained flat at \$9.2 million when comparing these same periods.

Shareholders equity at December 31, 2017 was \$50.5 million, representing an increase of \$2.5 million from \$48.0 million at December 31, 2016, primarily due to earnings net of preferred dividends recorded during the period.

Investments

First Priority s total investment portfolio was \$71.0 million at December 31, 2017, compared to \$89.6 million at December 31, 2016, a decline of \$18.6 million, or 20.7%. During 2017, excluding short-term discount notes, the Company had net investments purchased of \$13.3 million, including \$24.1 million of additional purchases, net of sales with a book value of \$10.8 million. Additionally, as of December 31, 2017, the investment portfolio included \$15 million of Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB) discount notes, and as of December 31, 2016, included \$40 million of short-term United States Treasury securities, both of which were purchased related to year-end tax planning strategies and subsequently matured in January of each respective next year. Other investment portfolio activity resulted in a decline of \$6.9 million.

As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, investments totaling \$52.4 million and \$70.6 million, respectively, were classified as available for sale while \$18.6 million and \$19.0 million, respectively, were classified as held to maturity. Total investments accounted for 11.6% and 15.0% of total assets at each respective date.

Securities classified as available for sale are accounted for at fair value, with the difference between fair value and amortized cost reflected in other comprehensive income or loss. The Company had net unrealized losses on available for sale securities totaling \$6 thousand at December 31, 2017 compared to \$75 thousand at December 31, 2016. Available for sale securities are securities that management intends to hold for an indefinite period of time or securities that may be sold in response to changes in interest rates, prepayment expectations, capital management and liquidity needs.

The total investment portfolio at December 31, 2017 was comprised of federal agency securities (29%), federal agency mortgage backed securities and federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations (36%), obligations of states and political subdivisions (32%), and corporate and other debt securities (3%). All investment securities were either government guaranteed, issued by a government agency, or investment grade. First Priority had no investment securities deemed to have other than temporary impairment (OTTI) at December 31, 2017 or 2016, respectively, and recorded no OTTI charges during either of the years ended December 31, 2017 or 2016.

The following table sets forth information about the contractual maturities and weighted average yields of investment securities at December 31, 2017. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities due to

136

scheduled principal payments and unscheduled prepayments of mortgage backed securities and, where applicable, the ability of an issuer to call a security prior to the contractual maturity date.

Securities Available for Sale
As of December 31 2017

			After or	ne hut	After fiv	ze hut	, , ,			
	Within 1	vear	within fiv		within ter		Over ten	vears	Tota	1
	Amount	•	Amount	•	Amount	•	Amount	-	Amount	
	Amount	Ticiu	Amount		ars in thou		Amount	Ticiu	Amount	1 iciu
II C C				(DOII	ars in thou	isaiius)				
U.S. Government	ф 10 00 2	1 100	ф 1 OZO	1.550	Ф		ф		Φ 2 0 0 7 1	1 1 1 07
agency securities	\$ 18,993	1.10%	\$ 1,978	1.57%	\$		\$		\$ 20,971	1.14%
Obligations of states and political										
subdivisions							4,386	4.05%	4,386	4.05%
Federal agency							,		,	
mortgage backed										
securities			561	1.82%	5,765	2.14%	18,963	2.31%	25,289	2.26%
Federal agency										
collateralized										
mortgage										
obligations			109	1.45%					109	1.45%
Other debt										
securities					1,583	5.50%			1,583	5.50%
Money market										
mutual fund	35	1.16%							35	1.16%
Total investments										
available for sale	\$ 19,028	1.11%	\$ 2,648	1.62%	\$7,348	2.86%	\$23,349	2.62%	\$52,373	2.06%

Securities Held to Maturity As of December 31, 2017

	Within 1 year AmountYield		e years Yield	After fiv within year Amount	ten s Yield	Over ten Amount	•	Tota Amount	al Yield
		(Do	iiars in ti	housands)					
Obligations of states and political subdivisions Other debt securities	\$	\$ 1,208	4.08%	\$ 1,826	4.15%	\$ 15,149 482	4.36% 4.37%	\$ 18,183 482	4.32% 4.37%
Total investments held									
to maturity	\$	\$ 1,208	4.08%	\$ 1,826	4.15%	\$ 15,631	4.36%	\$ 18,665	4.33%

The amortized cost and fair value of First Priority s investments, classified as available for sale or held to maturity as of each of the three years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 are shown in the following table:

	December Amortized Cost	•	December Amortized Cost	,	December Amortized Cost	*
			(Dollars in	thousands))	
Available For Sale:						
Obligations of U.S. government agencies						
and corporations	\$20,991	\$20,971	\$ 45,984	\$45,987	\$ 62,967	\$62,904
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	4,218	4,386	6,103	6,139	13,964	14,347
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	25,524	25,289	13,863	13,720	17,042	17,038
Federal agency collateralized mortgage						
obligations	111	109	190	189	347	346
Other debt securities	1,500	1,583	1,500	1,530		
Money market mutual fund	35	35	2,995	2,995	69	69
Total investments available for sale	\$ 52,379	\$ 52,373	\$70,635	\$ 70,560	\$ 94,389	\$ 94,704
Held To Maturity:						
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 18,183	\$19,132	\$ 18,561	\$ 19,089	\$ 19,405	\$ 19,955
Other debt securities	482	533	482	495	481	491
Total investments held to maturity	\$ 18,665	\$ 19,665	\$ 19,043	\$ 19,584	\$ 19,886	\$ 20,446

Restricted investments in bank stocks

Restricted investments in bank stocks represent the investment in the common stock of correspondent banks required in order to transact business with those banks. Investments in restricted stock are carried at cost.

At both December 31, 2017 and 2016, First Priority Bank held \$110 thousand in common stock of Atlantic Community Bancshares, Inc. (parent company of Atlantic Community Bankers Bank), Camp Hill, Pennsylvania. Additionally, First Priority had investments in the common stock of the FHLB Bank of Pittsburgh totaling \$1.3 million and \$3.1 million as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. This investment is required and provides partial collateral based on outstanding FHLB borrowings, which also declined \$43.5 million, or 63.9%, when comparing these periods.

Loans

First Priority s loan portfolio is the primary component of its assets. At December 31, 2017, loans receivable totaled \$518.9 million, representing an increase of \$30.7 million, or 6.3%, from total loans outstanding of \$488.2 million at December 31, 2016. During 2017 new organic loan production totaled approximately \$75 million while the First Priority experienced approximately \$55 million in principal payments, unscheduled loan payoffs or net declines in usage related to lines of credit outstanding. During the same period, First Priority purchased \$16 million of performing residential real estate loans which were underwritten using similar standards as the Bank uses for its originated mortgage portfolio. The net increase related to all purchased real estate loan portfolios was \$10.5 million after

\$5.5 million in principal payments.

138

The following table sets forth the classification of First Priority s loan portfolio for each of the five years ended December 31, 2017:

	Decembe 2017	,	Decembe 2016	*		,		,		*
		Percent]	Percent		Percent]	Percent		Percent
		of		of		of		of		of
	Amount	total	Amount	total	Amount	total	Amount	total	Amount	total
(In thousands,		entage da	ıta):							
Commercial &										
Industrial	\$ 85,395	16%	\$ 89,625	18%	\$ 74,470	18%	\$ 75,412	20%	\$ 76,659	23%
Commercial										
Mortgage	235,946	46%	223,315	46%	179,365	44%	168,969	45%	149,492	45%
Commercial										
Construction	30,866	6%	22,408	5%	13,466	3%	6,497	2%	4,373	1%
Total										
Commercial	352,207	68%	335,348	69%	267,301	65%	250,878	67%	230,524	69%
Residential										
Mortgage	133,727	26%	110,538	23%	101,185	25%	80,134	21%	56,979	17%
Home Equity										
Lines	19,295	4%	24,669	5%	24,762	6%	27,902	8%	29,263	9%
Other										
Consumer	13,780	2%	17,514	3%	15,915	4%	16,378	4%	19,317	6%
Total										
Consumer	33,075	6%	42,183	8%	40,677	10%	44,280	12%	48,580	14%
Total Loans	519,009	100%	488,069	100%	409,163	100%	375,292	100%	336,083	100%
Net deferred										
loan (fees) or										
costs	(82)	0%	174	0%	(10)	0%	(70)		(346)	
Total	\$518,927	100%	\$488,243	100%	\$409,153	100%	\$ 375,222	100%	\$ 335,737	100%

Commercial mortgage loans consist of loans originated for commercial purposes which are secured by nonfarm, nonresidential properties, multifamily residential properties, or 1-4 family residential properties. As of December 31, 2017, commercial mortgage loans totaled \$235.9 million, consisting of \$153.4 million of loans to finance commercial business properties, of which 64% are owner occupied, \$14.7 million to finance, and are secured by, multifamily properties, \$54.7 million secured by 1-4 family residential dwelling properties for business purposes, and \$13.1 million for other purposes. In addition, as of December 31, 2017, loans to lessors of non-residential buildings totaled \$87.7 million, which is included in commercial mortgage loans; of this amount, \$35.9 million, or 41% of these loans are related to owner occupied buildings.

As of December 31, 2016, commercial mortgage loans totaled \$223.3 million, consisting of \$133.9 million of loans to finance commercial business properties, of which 63% are owner occupied, \$16.3 million to finance, and are secured

by, multifamily properties, \$56.9 million secured by 1-4 family residential dwelling properties for business purposes, and \$16.2 million for other purposes. In addition, as of December 31, 2016, loans to lessors of non-residential buildings totaled \$80.9 million, which is included in commercial mortgage loans; of this amount, \$36.9 million, or 46%, of these loans are related to owner occupied buildings.

The payment experience of certain non-owner occupied commercial mortgage loans may be dependent upon the successful operation of the real estate project. These risks can be significantly affected by supply and demand conditions in the market for office and retail space and for apartments and, as such, may be subject to a greater extent to adverse conditions in the economy. In dealing with these risk factors, First Priority generally limits itself to a real estate market or to borrowers with which First Priority has experience. First Priority generally concentrates on originating commercial real estate loans secured by properties located within its market area, and many of First Priority s commercial real estate loans are secured by owner-occupied property with personal guarantees of the debt.

Regulatory guidelines for total construction, land development and other land loans are 100% of total risk-based capital and further guidance whereby total construction, land development and other land loans combined with real estate loans secured by multifamily or nonresidential properties and loans to finance commercial real estate or construction loans (not secured by real estate) are set at 300% of total risk-based capital. First Priority Bank monitors these two ratios, which as of December 31, 2017, totaled 74% and 207% of total risk-based capital, respectively, both within the regulatory suggested guidance.

139

The information in the following tables is based on the contractual maturities of individual loans, including loans that may be subject to renewal at their contractual maturity. Renewal of such loans is subject to review and credit approval, as well as modification of terms upon their maturity. Actual repayments of loans may differ from the maturities reflected below because borrowers have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

The following tables summarize the loan maturity distribution by type and related interest rate characteristics as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

	At December 31, 2017								
	Maturities of Outstanding Loans								
	After 1 But								
	Within 1 Year	With	nin 5 Years	Aft	er 5 Years	To	tal Loans		
			(Dollars in	thous					
Commercial and Industrial	\$ 51,822	\$	28,296	\$	5,277	\$	85,395		
Commercial Mortgage	47,478		128,352		60,116		235,946		
Commercial Construction	11,618		18,037		1,211		30,866		
Residential Mortgage Loans	6,055		14,934		112,738		133,727		
Home Equity Lines of Credit	3,589		14,290		1,416		19,295		
Other Consumer	10,061		2,541		1,178		13,780		
Total Loans	\$ 130,623	\$	206,450	\$	181,936	\$	519,009		
Percentage composition of maturity	25%		40%		35%		100%		
Loans with fixed predetermined									
interest rates	\$ 56,644	\$	149,746	\$	62,995	\$	269,385		
Loans with variable or floating interest									
rates ⁽¹⁾	73,979		56,704		118,941		249,624		
Total Loans	\$ 130,623	\$	206,450	\$	181,936	\$	519,009		

(1) Includes loans that reprice immediately with market index rates (floating) and loans that will reprice at a specified future date during the life of the loan (adjustable).

	At December 31, 2016									
	Maturities of Outstanding Loans									
	After 1 But									
	Within 1 Year	Within 5 Years	After 5 Years	Total Loans						
		(Dollars in								
Commercial and Industrial	\$ 47,899	\$ 37,606	\$ 4,120	\$ 89,625						
Commercial Mortgage	40,421	117,908	64,986	223,315						
Commercial Construction	10,226	11,914	268	22,408						
Residential Mortgage Loans	5,730	12,494	92,314	110,538						

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Home Equity Lines of Credit	2,975	17,085	4,609	24,669
Other Consumer	12,671	3,225	1,618	17,514
				100 0 50
Total Loans	\$119,922	\$ 200,232	\$ 167,915	\$ 488,069
Percentage composition of maturity	25%	41%	34%	100%
Loans with fixed predetermined				
interest rates	\$ 44,855	\$ 143,931	\$ 56,564	\$ 245,350
Loans with variable or floating interest rates ⁽¹⁾	75,068	56,301	111,350	242,719
Total Loans	\$119,923	\$ 200,232	\$ 167,914	\$ 488,069

⁽¹⁾ Includes loans that reprice immediately with market index rates (floating) and loans that will reprice at a specified future date during the life of the loan (adjustable).

Credit Quality

First Priority Bank s written lending policies require specified underwriting, loan documentation and credit analysis standards to be met prior to funding, with additional credit department approval for the majority of new loan balances. The Loan Committee is comprised of senior members of management who oversee the loan approval process to monitor that proper standards are maintained.

The following table summarizes non-performing assets and performing troubled debt restructurings at the dates indicated.

	2017	2016	December 31, 2015	2014	2013
		(Dolla	ars in thousa	nds)	
Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing					
interest	\$ 263	\$	\$	\$ 70	\$
Non-accrual loans	638	776	1,933	4,484	4,276
Total non-performing loans ⁽¹⁾	901	776	1,933	4,554	4,276
Other real estate owned	550	1,486	1,633	1,257	914
Repossessed assets ⁽²⁾				42	75
•					
Total non-performing assets ⁽³⁾	1,451	2,262	3,566	5,853	5,265
Performing troubled debt restructurings ⁽⁴⁾	930	637	2,638	2,132	974
Total non-performing assets and performing troubled debt restructurings	\$ 2,381	\$ 2,899	\$ 6,204	\$7,985	\$ 6,239
Non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans	0.17%	0.16%	0.47%	1.21%	1.27%
Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets	0.24%	0.38%	0.65%	1.19%	1.18%
Non-performing assets and performing troubled debt					
restructurings as a percentage of total assets	0.39%	0.49%	1.14%	1.62%	1.40%
Ratio of allowance to non-performing loans at end of	2704	1000	1 4 5 04	7.1 07	52 6
period	378%	429%	145%	51%	53%
Ratio of allowance to non-performing assets at end of period	235%	147%	78%	40%	43%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total					
loans	0.66%	0.68%	0.68%	0.62%	0.68%

- (1) Non-performing loans are comprised of (i) loans that have a non-accrual status; (ii) accruing loans that are 90 days or more past due and (iii) non-performing troubled debt restructured loans.
- (2) Repossessed assets include personal property, consisting of manufactured housing, which has been acquired for debts previously contracted.
- (3) Non-performing assets are comprised of non-performing loans, other real estate owned (assets acquired in foreclosure) and repossessed assets.

(4) Performing troubled debt restructurings are accruing loans that have been restructured in troubled debt restructurings and are in compliance with their modified terms.

Total non-performing loans were \$901 thousand at December 31, 2017, and \$776 thousand at December 31, 2016. There was one new commercial real estate loan totaling \$194 thousand added to non-accrual during the period. Additionally, during 2017 payments received on non-accrual loans totaled \$30 thousand, three loans were returned to accrual status totaling \$61 thousand and charge-offs totaled \$241 thousand on previously identified non-accrual loans. Additionally, there was a commercial loan totaling \$263 thousand which was past due more than 90 days and still accruing interest as of December 31, 2017; this loan was paid off in its entirety in January 2018 with no incremental losses incurred. Total non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 was 0.17% and 0.16%, respectively.

Other real estate owned declined to \$550 thousand at December 31, 2017 compared to \$1.5 million at December 31, 2016. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016 there were no repossessed assets. During 2017, five properties with recorded values totaling \$892 thousand were liquidated, which resulted in a net combined gain

141

from sales of \$74 thousand, while current year valuation adjustments on two properties totaled \$44 thousand. Non-performing assets totaled \$1.5 million, or 0.24% of total assets, as of December 31, 2017, compared to \$2.3 million, or 0.38% of total assets, as of December 31, 2016.

While not considered non-performing, First Priority s performing troubled debt restructurings (TDR) are closely monitored as they consist of loans that have been modified where the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty. TDRs may be deemed to have a higher risk of loss than loans which have not been restructured. At December 31, 2017 and 2016, First Priority s performing TDRs totaled \$930 thousand and \$637 thousand, respectively. The increase between the two dates relates to an incremental troubled debt restructuring, which resulted from a participated loan relationship, where First Priority Bank held a relatively small portion of the entire relationship, totaling \$300 thousand, after a partial charge-off of \$30 thousand.

First Priority Bank s management continues to monitor and explore potential options and remedial actions to recover First Priority Bank s investment in non-performing loans. According to policy, First Priority Bank is required to maintain a specific reserve for impaired loans. See the Allowance for Loan Losses section below for further information.

The Bank s total delinquency amount is comprised of loans past due 30 to 89 days and still accruing plus the balance of nonperforming loans. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, loans past due 30 to 89 days and still accruing totaled \$2.95 million and \$415 thousand, respectively, which when added to the non-performing loans for each period, resulted in a total delinquency ratio of 0.74% and 0.24%, respectively, of total loans outstanding. Included in past due loans as of December 31, 2017 are two loan relationships totaling \$2.5 million; both of which were paid current in the first week of January, 2018.

Allowance for loan losses

The allowance for loan losses represents an amount that First Priority believes will be adequate to absorb estimated credit losses on loans that may become impaired. While First Priority applies the methodology discussed below in connection with the establishment of the allowance for loan losses, the allowance is subject to critical judgments on the part of management. Risks within the loan portfolio are analyzed on a continuous basis by the management, periodically analyzed by an external independent loan review function, and are also reviewed by the audit committee. A risk system, consisting of multiple grading categories, is utilized as an analytical tool to assess risk and appropriate allowances. In addition to the risk system, management further evaluates the risk characteristics of the loan portfolio under current and anticipated economic conditions and considers such factors as the financial condition of the borrower, past and expected loss experience, and other factors which management believes deserve recognition in establishing an appropriate allowance. These estimates are reviewed at least quarterly, and, as adjustments become necessary, they are realized in the periods in which they become known.

First Priority uses a quantitative and qualitative method to allocate its allowance to the various loan categories. An unallocated component, which is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management sestimate of probable losses, reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio. Additions to the allowance are made by provisions charged to expense, and the allowance is reduced by net charge-offs, which are loans judged to be uncollectible, less any recoveries on loans previously charged off. Although management attempts to maintain the allowance at an adequate level, future additions to the allowance may be required due to the growth of the loan portfolio, changes in asset quality, changes in market conditions and other factors. Additionally, various bank regulatory agencies periodically review the allowance for loan losses. These agencies may require additional provisions based upon their judgment about information available to them at the time of their examination. Although management uses what it believes to be

the best information available, the level of the allowance for loan losses remains an estimate which is subject to significant judgment and short term change.

142

The following table sets forth a summary of the changes in the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated:

	For the year ended December 31,						
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013		
		(Dol	llars in thousan	ds)			
Balance at the beginning of period	\$ 3,330	\$ 2,795	\$ 2,313	\$ 2,273	\$ 2,460		
CI CC							
Charge-offs:	201	75	1.0	127	220		
Commercial and Industrial	281	75 72	46	137	339		
Commercial Mortgage	30	72	149	169	188		
Residential Mortgage Loans			15	194	14		
Home equity lines of credit	2.4	7.5	12	603	332		
Other consumer loans	24	75	38	89	21		
TD : 11 1 1 CC	225	222	260	1 100	004		
Total loans charged off	335	222	260	1,192	894		
Recoveries:	4	21	10	5 0	25		
Commercial and Industrial	4	21	13	58	35		
Commercial Construction		2		10	_		
Residential Mortgage Loans		_			7		
Home equity lines of credit	2	9	103	17	5		
Other consumer loans	19	15	16	15	15		
Total management	25	47	132	100	62		
Total recoveries	23	47	132	100	02		
Net loans charged off	310	175	128	1,092	832		
Provision charged to operations	385	710	610	1,132	645		
Provision charged to operations	363	/10	010	1,132	043		
Balance at end of period	\$ 3,405	\$ 3,330	\$ 2,795	\$ 2,313	\$ 2,273		
Balance at end of period	\$ 3,403	φ 5,550	\$ 2,193	φ 2,313	Φ 2,273		
Average loans ⁽¹⁾	\$ 494,051	\$ 438,867	\$ 387,814	\$ 355,543	\$318,240		
Tiverage round	Ψ 17 1,051	ψ 130,007	Ψ307,011	Ψ 333,3 13	Ψ 310,210		
Ratio of net charge-offs during period to							
average loans outstanding during period							
(annualized) ⁽¹⁾	0.06%	0.04%	0.03%	0.31%	0.26%		
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage	0.0076	0.0170	0.03 /0	0.5170	0.2370		
of total loans	0.66%	0.68%	0.68%	0.62%	0.68%		
or total round	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0270	0.0070		

(1) Includes non-accrual loans

The following table sets forth the allocation of the allowance for loan losses by loan category. The specific allocations in any particular category may be reallocated in the future to reflect the then current conditions. Accordingly, management considers the entire allowance to be available to absorb losses in any category.

December 31,

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

	201	7	201	6	2	015	20	14	201	.3
	F	Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent
		of		of		of		of		of
		total		total		total		total		total
	Amount 1	oans ⁽¹⁾	Amount	loans(1)	Amou	nt loans(1)	Amount	loans(1)	Amount	loans(1)
(In thousands except pe	rcentage d	ata)								
Commercial and										
Industrial	\$ 666	16%	\$ 647	18%	\$ 63	1 18%	\$ 788	20%	\$ 445	23%
Commercial Mortgage	1,078	46%	1,051	46%	83	1 44%	468	45%	452	45%
Commercial										
Construction	147	6%	113	5%	5	6 3%	26	2%	12	1%
Residential Mortgage										
Loans	624	26%	452	23%	25	9 25%	159	21%	149	17%
Home Equity Lines of										
Credit	103	4%	188	5%	16	7 6%	270	8%	177	8%
Other Consumer Loans	74	2%	97	3%	8	4 4%	87	4%	67	6%
Total Allocated	2,692	100%	2,548	100%	2,02	8 100%	1,798	100%	1,302	100%
Unallocated	713		782		76	7	515		971	
						_				
TOTAL	\$ 3,405		\$3,330		\$ 2,79	5	\$ 2,313		\$ 2,273	

(1) Represents loans outstanding in each category, as of the date shown, as a percentage of total loans outstanding. A specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses is established for loans that are classified as impaired or are performing troubled debt restructurings when the discounted cash flows or related collateral value of each loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. A specific allocation of \$182 thousand has been provided on impaired loans of \$1.6 million at December 31, 2017 compared to a specific allocation of \$47 thousand related to \$1.4 million of originated impaired loans at December 31, 2016.

The general allocation component of the allowance for loan losses relates to reserves established for pools of homogenous loans which includes both a qualitative and quantitative analysis. The qualitative analysis utilizes a risk matrix that incorporates qualitative and environmental factors such as: loan volume, management, loan review process, internal policies and procedures, economic environment, credit concentrations, credit quality trends, and regulatory and other external factors. These factors are each risk rated using five levels from weak to strong which could create a total qualitative adjustment factor of up to 65 basis points of gross loans, depending on individual ratings applied by management based on the assessment of the portfolio. The quantitative analysis uses a historical four year rolling average loan loss experience factor which management believes is a sufficient period to properly represent swings resulting from changing economic cycles, and therefore, reflects an appropriate period of loss history for calculating the general reserve in the current environment. The cumulative results from the qualitative and quantitative analysis of the loan portfolio resulted in a general allocation portion of the allowance for loan losses totaling \$2.5 million as of both December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management s estimate of probable loss. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio. The unallocated component remained relatively flat when comparing the year ended December 31, 2017 to December 31, 2016.

These allocations could change based on general economic or environmental factors or due to a specific credit situation which could develop within the loan portfolio. However, based on all relevant information currently available as of December 31, 2017, management believes that the allowance for loan losses of \$3.4 million is adequate as of that date and the allocations described above are appropriate.

Loan Concentrations

First Priority s loans consist of credits to borrowers spread over a broad range of industrial classifications. The largest concentrations of loans are to lessors of nonresidential buildings and lessors of residential buildings and dwellings. As of December 31, 2017, these loans totaled \$87.7 million and \$60.4 million, respectively, or 16.9% and 11.6%, respectively, of the total loans outstanding. As of December 31, 2016, these same classifications of loans totaled \$80.9 million and \$67.7 million, respectively, or 16.6% and 13.9%, respectively, of the total loans outstanding. These credits were subject to normal underwriting standards and did not present more than the normal amount of risk assumed by First Priority s other lending activities. Management believes this concentration does not pose abnormal risk when compared to the risk it assumes in other types of lending. First Priority has no other concentration of loans which exceeds 10% of total loans.

Deposits

Deposits represent the primary source of funding for earning assets. Deposits totaled \$523.2 million at December 31, 2017 compared to \$467.7 million at December 31, 2016, representing an increase of \$55.5 million, or 11.9%. During 2017, increases of \$31.7 million, or 27.9%, in money market deposit and savings deposits and \$10.8 million, or 19.7%, in non-interest bearing demand, were partially offset by a decrease of \$27.2 million of interest bearing

checking accounts, which represents a combined \$15.3 million increase, or 6.8% in non-time

144

deposits. Total time deposits increased \$40.2 million, or 16.6%, when comparing these same periods as an increase in retail time deposits of \$58.7 million was partially offset by a decrease of \$18.5 million in brokered time deposits. The change in the mix of time deposits was the result of the execution of funding plans to reduce reliance on brokered CDs acquired as temporary funding of a 2016 loan purchase with retail CDs. This was accomplished through active marketing and advertising efforts throughout 2017.

First Priority attracts deposits by offering competitive products and interest rates on a broad spectrum of deposit products to customers in its local marketplace, generally through its retail branch system, and also through its internet banking platform. First Priority Bank supplements deposits raised locally with the issuance of brokered deposits when cost effective relative to local market pricing. At December 31, 2017 and 2016, brokered deposits totaled \$120.2 million and \$138.8 million, respectively, which are included in time deposits. The guidelines governing First Priority Bank s participation in the brokered CD market are included in First Priority Bank s Asset Liability Management Policy, which is reviewed, revised and approved annually by the asset liability management committee and the board of directors. The FDIC places restrictions on a depository institution s use of brokered deposits based on the bank s capital classification. A well-capitalized institution may accept brokered deposits without FDIC restrictions. An adequately capitalized institution must obtain a waiver from the FDIC in order to accept brokered deposits, while an undercapitalized institution is prohibited by the FDIC from accepting brokered deposits. First Priority Bank is classified as well-capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions (see Regulatory Matters of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements) and, therefore, may accept brokered deposits without FDIC restrictions.

The following table sets forth the average balance of deposits and the average rates paid on deposits for the periods presented.

	For the year ended December 31,						
	2017		2016		2015		
	Average		Average		Average		
	Balance	Rate	Balance	Rate	Balance	Rate	
		(Dollars in th	ousands)			
Demand, non-interest bearing	\$ 62,703		\$ 54,036		\$ 47,899		
Demand, interest-bearing	39,333	0.40%	48,758	0.33%	32,938	0.23%	
Money market and savings deposits	120,782	0.76%	100,620	0.52%	95,216	0.33%	
Time deposits	255,376	1.42%	220,436	1.30%	206,147	1.26%	
Total interest-bearing deposits	415,491	1.13%	369,814	0.96%	334,301	0.89%	
Total deposits	\$478,194		\$423,850		\$ 382,200		

The maturity distribution of time deposits of \$100,000 or more as of December 31, 2017, is as follows:

	Decembe	er 31, 2017
	(Dollars i	n thousands)
Three Months or Less	\$	6,648
Over Three Through Six Months		28,945
Over Six Through Twelve Months		15,386

Over Twelve Months	33,379
TOTAL	\$ 84,358

Short-Term Borrowed Funds

At December 31, 2017, First Priority had short-term borrowings totaling \$15.6 million, compared to \$56.2 million at December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$40.6 million, or 72.2% between the periods. Short-term borrowings consist of advances from the FHLB with an original maturity of one year or less as of issuance date

and provide a short-term funding source related to all other balance sheet changes and overall resulting funding requirements. Advances from the FHLB at December 31, 2017 are collateralized by an investment in the common stock of the FHLB, by a specific pledge of First Priority Bank s investment assets and by a blanket lien on qualifying mortgages within First Priority Bank s loan portfolio.

The following table outlines First Priority s various sources of short-term borrowed funds at or for each of the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. The maximum balance represents the highest indebtedness for each category of short-term borrowed funds at any month-end during each of the periods shown.

	2017 (Dollars in thous		_	016 nds)
Federal funds purchased:				
Balance at year end	\$		\$	
Weighted average rate at year end				
Maximum month-end balance	\$	19	\$	
Average daily balance during the year	\$	3	\$	2
Weighted average rate during the year		1.52%		0.76%
FHLB short-term borrowings:				
Balance at year end	\$ 15	5,625	\$ 50	6,164
Weighted average rate at year end		1.54%		0.74%
Maximum month-end balance	\$70),400	\$6	0,688
Average daily balance during the year	\$ 26	5,446	\$ 22	2,508
Weighted average rate during the year		1.15%		0.61%

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt totaled \$9.0 million as of December 31, 2017 and \$12.0 million as of December 31, 2016, a decline of \$3.0 million related to maturities of \$7.0 million with a blended rate of 0.97% offset by additional issuances of \$4.0 million with a blended rate of 1.75%. These borrowings consisted of advances from the FHLB with an original maturity in excess of one year and carry a weighted average interest rate of 1.58% and 1.17%, as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, and an average remaining life of 1.7 years and 1.2 years, respectively. Advances from the FHLB are collateralized by an investment in the common stock of the FHLB, by a specific pledge of First Priority Bank s investment assets and by a blanket lien on qualifying mortgages within First Priority Bank s loan portfolio. Balances of FHLB long-term debt averaged \$10.9 million and \$14.1 million during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, with an average rate of 1.30% and 1.12% for each of these respective periods. The maximum month-end balance of these borrowings was \$12.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 and \$15.0 million for the same period in 2016.

Subordinated Debt

On November 13, 2015, First Priority Bank entered into Subordinated Note Purchase Agreements with five accredited investors under which First Priority Bank issued subordinated notes (the Notes) totaling \$9.5 million, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$9.2 million after issuance costs. The Notes have a maturity date of November 30, 2025, and bear interest at a fixed rate of 7.00% per annum. The Notes are non-callable for an initial period of five years and include provisions for redemption pricing between 101.5% and 100.5% of the liquidation value, if called after five years but prior to the maturity date.

Capital Resources

Shareholders equity at December 31, 2017 was \$50.5 million, representing an increase of \$2.5 million from \$48.0 million at December 31, 2016. This increase was comprised of current year net income of \$2.5 million, stock based compensation costs of \$262 thousand and a positive impact from market volatility related to the

146

investment securities portfolio resulting in a net change in net unrealized gains (losses) totaling \$36 thousand, which were partially offset by preferred dividends paid of \$306 thousand.

In January 2016, First Priority utilized \$6 million of the proceeds received from the issuance of Tier 2 qualifying subordinated debt to redeem 6,000 shares of the Company s outstanding preferred stock. This redemption consisted of all outstanding shares of Series A and Series B, and 1,192 shares of the Series C preferred stock, which were redeemed on a pro rata basis, which subsequently leaves 3,404 shares of Series C outstanding.

First Priority Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet the minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on First Priority Bank s financial condition and results of operations. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, First Priority Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of First Priority Bank s assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. First Priority Bank s capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk-weightings and other factors.

First Priority Bank exceeds the minimum capital requirements established by regulatory agencies. Under the capital adequacy guidelines, capital is classified into two tiers. These guidelines require an institution to maintain a certain level of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital to risk-weighted assets. Tier 1 capital consists of common shareholders—equity and qualifying preferred stock, excluding the unrealized gain or loss on securities available for sale, minus certain intangible assets plus trust preferred securities up to 25% of Tier 1 capital, with the excess being treated as Tier 2 capital. Tier 2 capital also consists of the allowance for loan losses, subject to certain limitations, and qualifying subordinated debt. In determining the amount of risk-weighted assets, all assets, including certain off balance sheet assets, are multiplied by a risk-weight factor of 0% to 100% based on the risks believed inherent in the type of asset.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require First Priority Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets and of Tier 1 capital to average assets, known as the Tier 1 leverage ratio. The following table sets forth the capital ratios for both First Priority and the Bank at December 31, 2017 and 2016. First Priority currently meets the definition of a small bank holding company under the FRB s regulations, and thus is not subject to any capital requirements; however, First Priority meets the holding company regulatory requirements for well-capitalized for each stated period. First Priority Bank was considered well-capitalized and met or exceeded its applicable regulatory requirements for both periods.

	First Priority I	Financial Corp.		First Priority Bank To Be				
	As of	As of	For Capital	Considered	As of	As of		
	December 31,	December 31,	Adequacy	Well-	December 31,	December 31,		
	2017	2016	Purposes	Capitalized	2017	2016		
Total risk-based capital	12.19%	12.11%	8.00%	10.00%	12.15%	12.07%		
Tier 1 risk-based capital	9.62%	9.40%	6.00%	8.00%	9.58%	9.35%		
Tier 1 common equity capital	8.93%	8.80%	4.50%	6.50%	9.58%	9.35%		
Tier 1 leverage capital	8.10%	7.92%	4.00%	5.00%	8.08%	7.87%		

The capital ratios above reflect the new capital requirements under Basel III . As of December 31, 2017, First Priority Bank and First Priority were in compliance with these requirements. See Note 17 Regulatory Matters for additional

discussion regarding regulatory capital requirements.

147

Return on Average Equity and Assets

The following table shows the return on average assets (net income divided by total average assets), return on equity (net income divided by average equity), and the equity to assets ratio (average equity divided by total average assets) for each of the five years ended December 31, 2017.

		At or for the years ended							
		December 31,							
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013				
Return on average assets	0.43%	0.44%	0.44%	1.56%	0.05%				
Return on average equity	4.92%	4.81%	4.11%	15.16%	0.47%				
Average equity to average assets ratio	8.66%	9.20%	10.64%	10.28%	10.50%				

Effect of Inflation and Changing Prices

The effect of relative purchasing power over time due to inflation has not been taken into effect in First Priority s consolidated financial statements. Rather, the statements have been prepared on a historical cost basis in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Unlike most industrial companies, the assets and liabilities of financial institutions, such as First Priority and First Priority Bank, are primarily monetary in nature. Therefore, the effect of changes in interest rates will have a more significant impact on its performance than will the effect of changing prices and inflation in general. In addition, interest rates may generally increase as the rate of inflation increases, although not necessarily in the same magnitude. First Priority seeks to manage the relationships between interest-sensitive assets and liabilities in order to protect against wide interest rate fluctuations, including those resulting from inflation.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Through the operations of First Priority Bank, First Priority has made contractual commitments to extend credit, in the ordinary course of its business activities, to meet the financing needs of customers. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized on the balance sheets. These commitments are legally binding agreements to lend money at predetermined interest rates for a specified period of time and generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses. The same credit and collateral policies are used in making these commitments as for on-balance sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Each customer s creditworthiness is evaluated on a case-by-case basis and collateral is obtained, if necessary, based on the credit evaluation of the borrower. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by First Priority Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management s credit evaluation. Collateral held varies, but may include personal or commercial real estate, accounts receivable, inventory and equipment.

At December 31, 2017 and 2016, outstanding commitments to extend credit consisting of total unfunded commitments under lines of credit were \$115.2 million and \$98.2 million, respectively. In addition, as of each of these dates, First Priority maintained \$2.3 million and \$958 thousand of performance standby letters of credit outstanding, respectively, and \$1.7 million of financial standby letters of credit outstanding, as of each respective date, on behalf of its

customers.

As of December 31, 2017 First Priority did not have any deposit letters of credit outstanding; however as of December 31, 2016, First Priority had deposit letters of credit totaling \$16.0 million, issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB), as required to provide collateral on certain municipal deposits maintained at First Priority Bank. These deposit letters of credit are secured by a blanket lien on selected mortgage loans within First Priority Bank s portfolio.

148

First Priority is not involved in any other off-balance sheet contractual relationships, unconsolidated related entities that have off-balance sheet arrangements or transactions that could result in liquidity needs or other commitments that could significantly impact earnings. First Priority believes that it has adequate sources of liquidity to fund commitments that may be drawn upon by borrowers.

Liquidity

The objective of liquidity management is to assure that sufficient sources of funds are available, as needed and at a reasonable cost, to meet the ongoing and unexpected operational cash needs and commitments of First Priority and to take advantage of income producing opportunities as they arise. Sufficient liquidity must be available to meet the cash requirements of depositors wanting to withdraw funds and of borrowers wanting their credit needs met. Additionally, liquidity is needed to insure that First Priority has the ability to act at those times when profitable new lending and investment opportunities arise. While the desired level of liquidity may vary depending upon a variety of factors, it is a primary goal of First Priority to maintain adequate liquidity in all economic environments through active balance sheet management.

Liquidity management is the ongoing process of monitoring and managing First Priority sources and uses of funds. The primary sources of funds are deposits, scheduled amortization of loans outstanding, maturities and cash flow generated from the investment portfolio and funds provided by operations. Scheduled loan payments and investment maturities are relatively predictable sources of funds; however, deposit flows and loan prepayments are far less predictable and are influenced by the level of interest rates, economic conditions, local competition and customer preferences. Liquidity is also provided by unused lines of credit with correspondent banks and First Priority s borrowing capacity at the FHLB. First Priority measures and monitors its liquidity position on an ongoing basis in order to better understand, predict and respond to balance sheet trends, unused borrowing capacity and liquidity needs. The liquidity position is managed on a daily basis as part of the daily settlement function and on an ongoing basis through the asset liability management function.

The key elements of First Priority s liquidity planning process involve a primary focus on the development of a stable, core funding base; utilization of wholesale funding sources to supplement core funding; maintenance of an appropriate level of asset liquidity; management of the maturity structure of funding sources and of funding concentrations; and maintenance of borrowing facilities.

Wholesale funding sources utilized by First Priority Bank include brokered certificates of deposits, secured advances from the FHLB, federal funds purchased and other secured borrowing facilities. At December 31, 2017, wholesale funding sources totaled \$144.8 million and were comprised of \$120.2 million of brokered certificates of deposit and \$24.6 million of FHLB advances. At December 31, 2016, wholesale funding sources totaled \$207.0 million and were comprised of \$138.8 million of brokered certificates of deposit and \$68.2 million FHLB advances. Wholesale funding is generally used in managing the daily liquidity needs and when it is the most cost effective funding source available to First Priority. Management continually evaluates all available funding sources for cost and availability.

An integral part of First Priority Bank s balance sheet management strategy is to establish and maintain borrowing facilities with correspondent banks for access to funding. Off balance sheet borrowing capacity provides the immediate availability of funds to meet short-term financing needs without requiring the bank to maintain excess liquidity in its investment portfolio, which may have a negative impact on earnings. In today s environment of historically low interest rates, it also provides effective longer term funding, in terms of the cost and structure. Long term borrowings from the FHLB cannot be called prior to maturity, which provides protection against a rise in interest rates when compared to retail deposits, which can be redeemed early by the depositor at lower than market rate penalties.

As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, First Priority Bank had a borrowing facility with a correspondent bank totaling \$10 million, available for short-term limited purpose usage, of which \$2 million is available unsecured. The remaining \$8 million is a secured line of credit.

149

At December 31, 2017 and 2016, First Priority Bank had a total borrowing capacity with the FHLB of \$221 million and \$206 million, respectively, with advances and letters of credit outstanding of \$24.6 million and \$84.2 million, respectively. Short-term liquid assets at December 31, 2017 and 2016 totaled \$17.7 million and \$42.0 million, respectively, and were comprised of \$2.7 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, of interest bearing deposits held at correspondent banks and \$15 million and \$40.0 million, respectively, of investment securities due within 30 days.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

It is the responsibility of the board of directors and senior management to understand and control the interest rate risk exposures assumed by First Priority. The board has delegated authority to the asset liability management committee (ALCO) for the development of ALCO policies and for the management of the asset liability management function. The ALCO committee is comprised of senior management representing all primary functions of First Priority and meets monthly. ALCO has the responsibility for maintaining a level of interest rate risk exposures within board of director approved limits.

The primary objective of asset liability management is to optimize net interest income over time while maintaining a balance sheet mix that is prudent with respect to liquidity, capital adequacy and interest rate risk. The absolute level and volatility of interest rates can have a significant impact on the profitability of First Priority. Interest rate risk management is the process of identifying and controlling the potential adverse impact of interest rates movements on First Priority s net interest income and on the fair value of its assets and liabilities.

One tool used to monitor interest rate risk is the measurement of its interest sensitivity—gap,—which is the positive or negative dollar difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities that are subject to interest rate repricing within a given period of time. Interest rate sensitivity can be managed by changing the mix, pricing and repricing characteristics of First Priority—s assets and liabilities, through management of its investment portfolio, loan and deposit product offerings, and through wholesale funding. Managing the amount of assets and liabilities repricing in the same time interval helps to hedge interest rate risk and minimize the impact on net interest income of rising or falling interest rates. First Priority generally would benefit from increasing market rates of interest when it has an asset-sensitive gap position and generally would benefit from decreasing market rates of interest when First Priority is liability-sensitive.

At December 31, 2017, First Priority was marginally liability sensitive at the one-year gap position, as it has more liabilities subject to repricing in the subsequent twelve month period than assets. It must be noted, however, that the gap analysis is not a precise indicator of First Priority s exposure to changing interest rates. The analysis presents only a static view of the timing of maturities and repricing opportunities, without taking into consideration that changes in interest rates do not affect all assets and liabilities equally. Furthermore, the results are influenced by management assumptions concerning the repricing characteristics of deposit products with no contractual maturities, the timing of the repricing of variable rate loans with interest rates currently fixed at interest rate floors, and prepayment speeds of loans and investments subject to prepayment prior to maturity. Additionally, net interest income performance may be impacted by other significant factors in a given interest rate environment, including changes in the volume and mix of interest earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

150

Contractual Obligations

First Priority s interest rate sensitivity at December 31, 2017, as measured by the repricing characteristics of interest sensitive assets and interest sensitive liabilities, is presented in the following table. As noted above, the table presents data at a single point in time and includes significant management assumptions.

Interest Rate Sensitivity Report

			Rate Sensitivity	•	
			December 31, 2		
		(D0)	lars in thousan	*	
	1-90	91-365	1.5	5 years	
			1-5	and	T-4-1
I	days	days	years	over	Total
Interest-Sensitive Assets	¢ 2666	ф	ф	\$	¢ 2.666
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	\$ 2,666	\$	\$		\$ 2,666
Loans receivable	152,452	77,094	241,599	47,782	518,927
Investment securities available for sale	16,264	7,507	19,224	9,378	52,373
Investment securities held to maturity	319		5,824	12,522	18,665
Total Interest Earning Assets	\$ 171,701	\$ 84,601	\$ 266,647	\$ 69,682	\$ 592,631
Cumulative Total	\$ 171,701	\$ 256,302	\$ 522,949	\$ 592,631	
Interest-Sensitive Liabilities					
Interest-bearing demand	\$ 2,244	\$ 6,731	\$ 13,463	\$ 7,480	\$ 29,918
Money Market and Savings accounts	21,803	65,410	36,339	21,803	145,355
Time deposits	60,610	94,616	127,017		282,243
Borrowed funds	15,625	3,000	6,000	9,231	33,856
	10,020	2,000	0,000	>,===	22,323
Total	\$ 100,282	\$ 169,757	\$ 182,819	\$ 38,514	\$491,372
201112	Ψ 100 ,2 02	Ψ 105,707	ψ 10 2 ,012	Ψ 00,01.	ψ 151,672
Cumulative Total	\$ 100,282	\$ 270,039	\$ 452,858	\$491,372	
Cumulative Total	Ψ 100,202	Ψ 270,037	Ψ 132,030	Ψ 471,372	
Gap	\$ 71,419	\$ (85,156)	\$ 83,828	\$ 31,168	
Cumulative gap	\$ 71,419	\$ (13,737)	\$ 70,091	\$ 101,259	
Interest-sensitive assets/interest-sensitive	Ψ /1,719	ψ (13,737)	Ψ /0,0/1	Ψ 101,237	
liabilities (cumulative)	1.7	0.9	1.2	1.2	
` '					
Cumulative Gap/total earning assets	12.1%	-2.3%	11.8%	17.1%	

First Priority Bank utilizes a variety of deposit products and short-term borrowings to supplement its supply of lendable funds, to assist in meeting deposit withdrawal requirements, and to fund growth of interest-earning assets in excess of traditional deposit growth. Brokered certificates of deposit, borrowings from the FHLB, borrowings under correspondent bank lines of credit and repurchase agreements serve as the primary sources of such funds.

Obligations under non-cancelable operating lease agreements are payable over several years with the longest obligation expiring in 2029. Management does not believe that any existing non-cancelable operating lease agreements are likely to materially impact First Priority s financial condition or results of operations in an adverse way.

The following table provides payments due by period for contractual obligations as of December 31, 2017.

		Payments Due by Period						
		Over 1	Over 2	Over 3				
		through	through	through				
	Within 1	2	3	5	Over 5			
	Year	Years	Years	Years	Years	Total		
			(Dollars in	thousands)				
Certificates of deposit	\$ 155,229	\$76,431	\$ 24,421	\$ 26,162	\$	\$ 282,243		
Operating lease obligations	1,246	1,266	1,172	1,849	2,832	8,365		
Subordinated debt					9,500	9,500		
Long-term debt	3,000	2,000	4,000			9,000		
Short-term borrowings	15,625					15,625		
Accrued interest payable	844					844		
Total	\$ 175,944	\$79,697	\$ 29,593	\$28,011	\$12,332	\$ 325,577		

COMPARISON OF SHAREHOLDERS RIGHTS

The rights of First Priority shareholders are governed by Pennsylvania law, including the PBCL, and First Priority s articles of incorporation and bylaws. The rights of Mid Penn shareholders are governed by Pennsylvania law, including the PBCL, and Mid Penn s articles of incorporation and bylaws.

Upon consummation of the merger, First Priority shareholders will become Mid Penn shareholders. Consequently, after the merger, the rights of such shareholders will be governed by the articles of incorporation and bylaws of Mid Penn and Pennsylvania law.

A comparison of the rights of First Priority and Mid Penn shareholders follows. This summary is not intended to be a complete statement of all of such differences or a complete description of the specific provisions referred to therein, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to Pennsylvania law and the respective articles of incorporation and bylaws of First Priority and Mid Penn.

Authorized Capital

Mid Penn. Mid Penn is authorized to issue 10,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value of \$1.00 per share.

First Priority. First Priority is authorized to issue 20,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$100.00 par value per share.

Outstanding Preferred Stock

Mid Penn. As of the date of this document, no shares of Mid Penn preferred stock were issued and outstanding. Unless redeemed by First Priority prior to the effective time of the merger, Mid Penn will be required to issue 3,404 shares of its preferred stock, as soon as practicable following the merger, to the holders of, and on substantially the same terms as, the First Priority TARP preferred stock described below. If Mid Penn is required to issue such preferred stock, it is

the intent of Mid Penn to effectuate the redemption of such preferred stock as soon as practicable following the merger, subject to the receipt of any requisite regulatory approvals.

First Priority. As of the date of this document, 3,404 shares of First Priority 9.00% Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C, which we refer to in this document as the First Priority TARP preferred stock, were issued and outstanding. Each share of First Priority TARP preferred stock has a liquidation value of \$1,000 per share. The issued and outstanding shares of First Priority TARP preferred stock are validly issued, fully paid and nonassessable. Other than the First Priority TARP preferred stock, no other shares of First Priority s preferred stock were issued and outstanding as of the date of this document.

152

<u>Dividends Payable on Shares of First Priority TARP preferred stock</u>. Holders of shares of First Priority TARP preferred stock are entitled to receive, if, as and when declared by the First Priority board of directors out of assets legally available for payment, quarterly cash dividends on the First Priority TARP preferred stock, payable in arrears on February 15, May 15, August 15 and November 15 of each year. The dividend rate is 9.00% of the liquidation value. Dividends on the First Priority TARP preferred stock are cumulative. If for any reason the First Priority board of directors does not declare a dividend on the First Priority TARP preferred stock for a particular dividend period, or if the board of directors declares less than a full dividend, First Priority will remain obligated to pay the unpaid portion of the dividend for that period and the unpaid dividend will compound on each subsequent dividend date (meaning that dividends for future dividend periods).

<u>Priority of Dividends</u>. So long as the First Priority TARP preferred stock remains outstanding, First Priority may not declare or pay a dividend or other distribution on its common stock or any other shares of Junior Stock (other than dividends payable solely in shares of common stock) or Parity Stock (other than dividends paid on a pro rata basis with the First Priority TARP preferred stock), and First Priority generally may not directly or indirectly purchase, redeem or otherwise acquire any shares of common stock, Junior Stock or Parity Stock unless all accrued and unpaid dividends on the First Priority TARP preferred stock for all past dividend periods are paid in full.

Junior Stock means First Priority common stock and any other class or series of First Priority stock the terms of which expressly provide that it ranks junior to the First Priority TARP preferred stock as to dividend rights and/or as to rights on liquidation, dissolution or winding up of First Priority. First Priority currently has no outstanding class or series of stock constituting Junior Stock other than its common stock.

Parity Stock means any class or series of First Priority stock, other than the First Priority TARP preferred stock, the terms of which do not expressly provide that such class or series will rank senior or junior to the First Priority TARP preferred stock as to dividend rights and/or as to rights on liquidation, dissolution or winding up of First Priority, in each case without regard to whether dividends accrue cumulatively or non-cumulatively. First Priority currently has no outstanding class or series of stock constituting Parity Stock.

Liquidation Rights. In the event of any voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the affairs of First Priority, holders of the First Priority TARP preferred stock will be entitled to receive for each share of First Priority TARP preferred stock, out of the assets of First Priority or proceeds available for distribution to First Priority s stockholders, subject to any rights of First Priority s creditors, before any distribution of assets or proceeds is made to or set aside for the holders of First Priority s common stock and any other class or series of First Priority stock ranking junior to the First Priority TARP preferred stock, payment of an amount equal to the sum of (i) the \$1,000 liquidation preference amount per share and (ii) the amount of any accrued and unpaid dividends on the First Priority TARP preferred stock (including dividends accrued on any unpaid dividends). To the extent the assets or proceeds available for distribution to stockholders are not sufficient to fully pay the liquidation payments owing to the holders of the First Priority TARP preferred stock and the holders of any other class or series of First Priority stock ranking equally with the First Priority TARP preferred stock, the holders of the First Priority TARP preferred stock and such other stock will share ratably in the distribution.

For purposes of the liquidation rights of the First Priority TARP preferred stock, neither a merger or consolidation of First Priority with any entity, nor a sale, lease or exchange of all or substantially all of First Priority s assets, will constitute a liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the affairs of First Priority.

(1) <u>Redemptions and Repurchases</u>. First Priority TARP preferred stock is redeemable at First Priority s option, subject to, when applicable in limited circumstances, prior approval by the FRB, in whole or in part at a redemption price equal to 100% of the liquidation preference amount of \$1,000 per share plus any accrued and unpaid dividends to but

excluding the date of redemption (including dividends accrued on any unpaid dividends).

153

Shares of First Priority TARP preferred stock that First Priority redeems, repurchases or otherwise acquires will revert to authorized but unissued shares of preferred stock.

- (2) <u>No Conversion Rights</u>. Holders of the First Priority TARP preferred stock have no right to exchange or convert their shares into common stock or any other securities.
- (3) <u>Voting Rights</u>. The holders of the First Priority TARP preferred stock do not have voting rights other than those described below, except to the extent specifically required by Pennsylvania law.

Whenever dividends have not been paid on the First Priority TARP preferred stock for six or more quarterly dividend periods, whether or not consecutive, the authorized number of directors of First Priority will automatically increase by two and the holders of the First Priority TARP preferred stock will have the right, with the holders of shares of any other classes or series of Voting Parity Stock outstanding at the time, voting together as a class, to elect two directors (the Preferred Directors) to fill such newly created directorships at First Priority is next annual meeting of stockholders (or at a special meeting called for that purpose prior to the next annual meeting) and at each subsequent annual meeting of stockholders until all accrued and unpaid dividends for all past dividend periods on all outstanding shares of First Priority TARP preferred stock have been paid in full, at which time this right will terminate with respect to the First Priority TARP preferred stock, subject to revesting in the event of each and every subsequent default by First Priority in the payment of dividends on the First Priority TARP preferred stock.

No person may be elected as a Preferred Director who would cause First Priority to violate any corporate governance requirements of any securities exchange or other trading facility on which First Priority s securities may then be listed or traded that listed or traded companies must have a majority of independent directors. Upon any termination of the right of the holders of the First Priority TARP preferred stock and Voting Parity Stock as a class to vote for directors as described above, the Preferred Directors will cease to be qualified as directors, the terms of office of all Preferred Directors then in office will terminate immediately and the authorized number of directors will be reduced by the number of Preferred Directors which had been elected by the holders of the First Priority TARP preferred stock and the Voting Parity Stock. Any Preferred Director may be removed at any time, with or without cause, and any vacancy created by such a removal may be filled, only by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of First Priority TARP preferred stock voting separately as a class together with the holders of shares of Voting Parity Stock, to the extent the voting rights of such holders described above are then exercisable. If the office of any Preferred Director becomes vacant for any reason other than removal from office, the remaining Preferred Director may choose a successor who will hold office for the unexpired term of the office in which the vacancy occurred.

The term Voting Parity Stock means with regard to any matter as to which the holders of the First Priority TARP preferred stock are entitled to vote, any series of Parity Stock (as defined above under Dividends-Priority of Dividends) upon which voting rights similar to those of the First Priority TARP preferred stock have been conferred and are exercisable with respect to such matter. First Priority currently has no outstanding shares of Voting Parity Stock.

If holders of the First Priority TARP preferred stock obtain the right to elect two directors and if the FRB deems the First Priority TARP preferred stock a class of voting securities , (a) any bank holding company that is a holder may be required to obtain the approval of the FRB to acquire more than 5% of the First Priority TARP preferred stock and (b) any person may be required to obtain the approval of the FRB to acquire or retain 10% or more of the then outstanding shares of First Priority TARP preferred stock.

In addition to any other vote or consent required by Pennsylvania law or by our articles of incorporation, the vote or consent of the holders of at least 66 2/3% of the outstanding shares of First Priority TARP preferred stock, voting as a

separate class, is required in order to do the following:

amend First Priority s articles of incorporation to authorize or create or increase the authorized amount of, or any issuance of, any shares of, or any securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable

154

for shares of, any class or series of stock ranking senior to the First Priority TARP preferred stock with respect to the payment of dividends and/or the distribution of assets on any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of First Priority; or

amend First Priority s articles of incorporation in any way that materially and adversely affect the rights, preferences, privileges or voting powers of the First Priority TARP preferred stock; or

consummate a binding share exchange or reclassification involving the First Priority TARP preferred stock or a merger or consolidation of First Priority with another entity, unless (i) the shares of First Priority TARP preferred stock remain outstanding or, in the case of a merger or consolidation in which First Priority is not the surviving or resulting entity, are converted into or exchanged for preference securities of the surviving or resulting entity or its ultimate parent, and (ii) the shares of First Priority TARP preferred stock remaining outstanding or such preference securities, have such rights, preferences, privileges, voting powers, limitations and restrictions, taken as a whole, as are not materially less favorable than the rights, preferences, privileges, voting powers, limitations and restrictions of the First Priority TARP preferred stock prior to consummation of the transaction, taken as a whole;

provided, however, that (1) any increase in the amount of First Priority s authorized but unissued shares of preferred stock, and (2) the creation and issuance, or an increase in the authorized or issued amount, of any other series of preferred stock, or any securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for any other series of preferred stock, ranking equally with and/or junior to the First Priority TARP preferred stock with respect to the payment of dividends, whether such dividends are cumulative or noncumulative and the distribution of assets upon First Priority s liquidation, dissolution or winding up, will not be deemed to materially and adversely affect the rights, preferences, privileges or voting powers of the First Priority TARP preferred stock and will not require the vote or consent of the holders of the First Priority TARP preferred stock.

To the extent the holders of the First Priority TARP preferred stock are entitled to vote, holders of shares of the First Priority TARP preferred stock will be entitled to one vote for each share then held.

The voting provisions described above will not apply if, at or prior to the time when the vote or consent of the holders of the First Priority TARP preferred stock would otherwise be required, all outstanding shares of the First Priority TARP preferred stock have been redeemed by First Priority or called for redemption upon proper notice and sufficient funds have been set aside by First Priority for the benefit of the holders of First Priority TARP preferred stock to effect the redemption.

Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Mid Penn. Mid Penn s bylaws provide that an annual meeting will be held on such date, at such time, and at such place, as may be set by the board of directors.

First Priority. First Priority s bylaws provide that an annual meeting will be held on such day as the board of directors may from time to time determine, or, if not such date is fixed, on the fourth Tuesday of April each year.

Special Meeting of Shareholders

Mid Penn. Special meetings of the Mid Penn shareholders can be called by Mid Penn s board of directors, the Chairman of the Board, the President, a majority of the board of directors, or a majority of the members of the

executive committee of the board of directors.

First Priority. Special meetings of the First Priority shareholders can be called by the Chairman of the board or a majority of First Priority s board of directors, or at the request of holders of not less than one-fifth of the votes which all shareholders are entitled to cast at the particular meeting.

155

Cumulative Voting

Mid Penn. Mid Penn s articles of incorporation prohibit cumulative voting in the election of directors.

First Priority. First Priority s articles of incorporation prohibit cumulative voting in the election of directors.

Shareholder Nomination of Directors

Mid Penn. Mid Penn s bylaws provide that nominations for the election of directors may be made by the board of directors or by any shareholder entitled to vote for the election of directors. Nominations made by the shareholders entitled to vote for the election of directors will be made by notice in writing, delivered or mailed to the Corporate Secretary not less than the earlier of 120 days prior to the anniversary date of the initial proxy materials or of a notice of the meeting by Mid Penn in connection with the immediately preceding annual meeting of shareholders, or the deadline for submitting shareholder proposals for inclusion in a proxy statement and form of proxy as calculated under Rule 14a-8(e), promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Exchange Act or any successor provision thereto.

First Priority. First Priority s bylaws provide that nominations for the election of directors may be made by the board of directors.

Number of Directors

Mid Penn. The number of Mid Penn directors will be not less than five, nor more than twenty-five as Mid Penn s board of directors may determine from time-to-time. Mid Penn s board of directors currently has ten directors.

First Priority. First Priority s bylaws provide that the number of First Priority directors will be not less than five nor more than twenty-five, as determined from time to time by resolution of First Priority s board of directors. First Priority s board currently consists of fifteen directors.

Director Qualifications

Mid Penn. Each Mid Penn director must be a Mid Penn shareholder and will own in his/her own right the number of shares (if any) required by law in order to qualify as such director. Mid Penn maintains a mandatory retirement policy. Upon reaching the age of 70, a Mid Penn director will hold office until the following annual meeting of shareholders at which time such director shall retire from the Mid Penn board of directors. In extraordinary circumstances, the Mid Penn board of directors may grant a director, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver from this mandatory retirement policy. In connection with the consummation of the merger, the Mid Penn board of directors will waive this policy with respect to the appointment of Mr. Sparks to the Mid Penn board of directors.

First Priority. First Priority directors must be a natural person of full age and a citizen of the United States.

Classes of Directors and Election of Directors

Mid Penn. The Mid Penn board of directors is divided into three classes, with each class being elected annually for a three-year term. Class A has four directors, Class B has four directors, and Class C has three directors.

First Priority. The First Priority board of directors is divided into three classes, as nearly equal in number as possible, with each class being elected annually for a three-year term.

156

Filling Vacancies on the Board of Directors

Mid Penn. Mid Penn s bylaws provide that vacancies in the board of directors, including vacancies resulting from an increase in the number of directors, may be filled by the remaining members of the board, even though less than a quorum. Each person so elected to fill a vacancy in the board of directors will become a member of the same class of directors in which the vacancy existed. Each director so elected will be a director until the class to which he/she was appointed stands for election and until his or her successor is elected and qualified.

First Priority. First Priority s bylaws provide that vacancies in the board of directors, including a vacancy resulting from an increase in the number of directors, may be filled by a majority vote of the remaining directors, even if less than a quorum, or by a sole remaining director. A director elected to fill a vacancy shall serve for the balance of the unexpired term and until his or her successor has been selected and qualified or until his or her earlier death, resignation or removal.

Special Meetings of the Board of Directors

Mid Penn. Special meetings of Mid Penn s board of directors may be held whenever called by the Chairman of the Board, the President or at the request of three or more members of the board of directors. Notice of the time and place of every special meeting may be either verbal or in writing, and will be given to each member of the board of directors at least twenty-four hours before the time of such meeting excepting the organization meeting following the election of directors.

First Priority. Special meetings of First Priority s board of directors may be called by the Chairman of the Board, the President or an Executive Vice President or a majority of the directors then in office. Written notice of the time, date and place of the special meeting must be given to each director at least 24 hours before the time of such meeting, and does not need to specify the business to be transacted at, or the purpose of, the meeting.

Anti-Takeover Provisions and Other Shareholder Protections

Mid Penn. Under Mid Penn s articles of incorporation, any merger, consolidation, liquidation or dissolution of Mid Penn or any action that would result in the sale or other disposition of all of substantially all of the assets of Mid Penn must be approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 80% of the outstanding shares of common stock, or the holders of at least 66-2/3% of the outstanding shares of common stock provided that the transaction has received prior approval of at least 80% of all members of the board of directors, Additionally, the board of directors may oppose a tender or other offer for the corporation s securities, whether the offer is in cash or in the securities of a corporation or otherwise. The board may, but is not legally obligated to, consider any relevant, germane or pertinent issue; by way of illustration, but not to be considered any limitation on the power of the board of directors to oppose a tender or other offer for Mid Penn s securities. The board of directors may consider whether the offer price is acceptable based on the historical and present operating results or financial condition of the corporation; whether a more favorable price could be obtained for Mid Penn s securities in the future; the social and economic effects of the offer on Mid Penn and any of its subsidiaries, employees, depositors, loan and other customers, creditors, and shareholders; the reputation and business practice of the offeror and its management and affiliates as they would affect the shareholders, employees, depositors and customers of Mid Penn and its subsidiaries and the future value of Mid Penn s stock; the value of the securities which the offeror is offering in exchange for Mid Penn s securities; the business and financial conditions and earnings prospects of the offeror, including, but not limited to, debt service and other existing or likely financial obligations of the offeror, and the possible effect of such conditions upon Mid Penn and any of its subsidiaries and the other elements of the communities in which Mid Penn and its subsidiaries are located; and any antitrust or other legal and regulatory issues that are raised by the offer.

First Priority. Any plan or proposal for the merger, consolidation, liquidation or dissolution of First Priority, or any action that would result in the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of First Priority, will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of shares of First Priority common stock in accordance with First Priority s articles of incorporation and the PBCL.

157

Indemnification of Directors and Officers

Mid Penn. Under Mid Penn s bylaws, to the fullest extent authorized or permitted by applicable law, Mid Penn shall indemnify any director, officer, employee, or agent of Mid Penn who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending, or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative, or investigative, or is or was serving at the request of Mid Penn as a director, officer, employee, or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, or other enterprise, against expenses, amounts paid in settlement, judgments, and fines actually and reasonably incurred by those persons in connection with any action suit or proceeding. No indemnification shall be made in any case where the act or failure to act giving rise to the claim for indemnification is determined by a court to have constituted willful misconduct or recklessness. The provision does not affect a director s responsibility or liability under any criminal statute or liability for payment of taxes.

First Priority. Under First Priority s bylaws, to the fullest extent authorized or permitted by applicable law, First Priority shall indemnify any director, officer, employee, or agent of First Priority who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending, or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative, or investigative, or is or was serving at the request of First Priority as a director, officer, employee, or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, or other enterprise, against expenses, amounts paid in settlement, judgments, and fines actually and reasonably incurred by those persons in connection with any action suit or proceeding. No indemnification shall be made in any case where the act or failure to act giving rise to the claim for indemnification is determined by a court to have constituted willful misconduct or recklessness. The provision does not affect a director—s responsibility or liability under any criminal statute or liability for payment of taxes.

Pennsylvania Anti-Takeover Provisions

Under the PBCL, certain anti-takeover provisions apply to Pennsylvania registered corporations (e.g., publicly traded companies) including those relating to (i) control share acquisitions, (ii) disgorgement of profits by certain controlling persons, (iii) business combination transactions with interested shareholders and (iv) the rights of shareholders to demand fair value for their stock following a control transaction. Pennsylvania law allows registered corporations to opt-out of any of these anti-takeover provisions. Mid Penn is a registered corporation under the PBCL. First Priority is not a registered corporation and is therefore not subject to these anti-takeover provisions. A general summary of the applicable anti-takeover provisions is set forth below.

Control Share Acquisitions. Pennsylvania law limits control share acquisitions relating to the act of acquiring for the first time voting power over voting shares (other than shares owned since January 1, 1988 and any additional shares distributed with respect to such shares) equal to at least 20%, 33-1/3% and 50% of the voting power of the corporation. Once a control share acquisition has occurred, then all shares in excess of the triggering threshold, plus shares purchased at any time with the intention of acquiring such voting power and shares purchased within 180 days of the date the triggering threshold was exceeded, are considered control shares. Control shares cannot vote either until their voting rights have been restored by two separate votes of the shareholders, described below, at a meeting or until they have been transferred to a person who does not thereby also become the holder of control shares.

The holder of control shares may wait until the next annual or special meeting after the acquisition took place to submit the request for the restoration of voting rights to the shareholders, or the acquiring person may accelerate the process by agreeing to underwrite the cost of a special meeting of shareholders for that purpose. In either case, the acquiring person is required to furnish for distribution to the shareholders an information statement containing a detailed disclosure concerning the acquiring person, its intentions with respect to ownership of securities of the corporation and other matters. As an alternative, a person proposing to make a control share acquisition may request

prospective approval by the shareholders of the exercise of the voting rights of the shares proposed to be acquired. Two shareholders votes are required to approve the restoration of

158

voting rights: (i) the approval of an absolute majority of all voting power must be obtained, and all voting shares are entitled to participate in this vote; and (ii) the approval of an absolute majority of all disinterested shareholders must be obtained.

For a period of 24 months after the later of (i) a control share acquisition by an acquiring person who does not properly request consideration of voting rights, or (ii) the denial of such a request or lapse of voting rights, the corporation may redeem all the control shares at the average public market sales price of the shares on the date notice of the call for redemption is given by the corporation.

Mid Penn has opted out of these Pennsylvania law provisions pursuant to its articles of incorporation.

Disgorgement of Profits by Certain Controlling Persons. Pennsylvania law regarding disgorgement of profits by certain controlling persons applies in the event that (i) any person or group publicly discloses that the person or group may acquire control of the corporation, or (ii) a person or group acquires (or publicly discloses an intent to acquire) 20% or more of the voting power of the corporation and, in either case, sells shares within 18 months thereafter. Any profits from sales of equity securities of the corporation received by the person or group during such 18-month period will belong to the corporation if the securities that were sold were acquired during the 18-month period or within 24 months prior thereto.

Mid Penn has opted out of these Pennsylvania law provisions pursuant to its articles of incorporation.

Business Combination Transactions with Interested Shareholders. Pennsylvania law prohibits certain business combinations with certain interested shareholders, persons who acquire the direct or indirect beneficial ownership of shares entitled to cast at least 20% of the votes entitled to be cast for the election of directors. A corporation subject to this provision may not effect mergers or certain other business combinations with the interested shareholder for a period of five years, unless:

the business combination or the acquisition of stock by means of which the interested shareholder became an interested shareholder is approved by the corporation s board of directors prior to such stock acquisition;

the business combination is approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of all the outstanding common shares of the corporation; or

the business combination is approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of all shares entitled to vote, excluding votes of shares held by the interested shareholders, and at the time of such vote, the interested shareholder is the beneficial owner of at least 80% of the voting shares of the corporation. This exception applies only if the value of the consideration to be paid by the interested shareholder in connection with the business combination satisfies certain fair price requirements

After the five-year restricted period, an interested shareholder of the corporation may engage in a business combination with the corporation if (i) the business combination is approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares other than those beneficially owned by the interested shareholder and its affiliates, or (ii) the merger is approved at a shareholders meeting and certain fair price requirements are met.

Rights of Shareholders to Demand Fair Value for Stock Following a Control Transaction. Pennsylvania law regarding the ability of shareholders to dispose of their stock following a control transaction provides, generally, that a person or group that acquires more than 20% of the voting power to elect directors of the corporation is a controlling person and must give prompt notice to each shareholder of record. The other shareholders are then entitled to demand that the controlling person pay them the fair value of their shares under specified procedures. Fair value may not be less than the highest price paid per share by the controlling person at any time during the 90-day period ending on and including the date on which the controlling person became such, plus any increment representing any value, such as a control premium, that is not reflected in such price.

159

The provisions set forth in this section Anti-Takeover Provisions apply to Mid Penn only to the extent provided above, but they do not apply to First Priority, since First Priority is not a registered corporation.

Voting Rights

Amendment of Articles of Incorporation.

Pennsylvania law provides that shareholders of a registered corporation, such as Mid Penn, are not entitled by statute to propose amendments to the articles of incorporation. By contrast, under Pennsylvania law, an amendment to the articles of incorporation for an unregistered corporation, such as First Priority, can only be proposed (1) by adoption by the board of directors of a resolution setting forth the proposed amendment; or (2) unless otherwise provided in the articles, by petition of shareholders entitled to cast at least 10% of the votes that all shareholders are entitled to cast on the proposed amendment. The shareholder petition must set forth the proposed amendment, be directed to the board of directors and filed with the secretary of the corporation.

Except where the approval of the shareholders is unnecessary, the board of directors will then direct that the proposed amendment be submitted to a vote of the shareholders entitled to vote on the proposed amendment. An amendment proposed pursuant to paragraph (2) above is required to be submitted to a vote either at the next annual meeting held not earlier than 120 days after the amendment is proposed or at a special meeting of the shareholders called for that purpose by the shareholders.

Under Pennsylvania law, an amendment to the articles of incorporation requires the approval of the board of directors and, except in limited cases where a greater vote may be required, the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by all shareholders within each class or series of shares if such class or series is entitled to vote on the matter as a class.

Mid Penn. Mid Penn shareholders are not entitled by statute to propose amendments to the articles of incorporation. Mid Penn s articles of incorporation may be amended as provided under Pennsylvania law, with the following exception: any amendment to Articles 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 require the affirmative vote of holders of at least 80 % of the outstanding shares of common stock, or the holders of 66-2/3% of the Mid Penn common stock, provided that such amendment has received the prior approval of at least 80% of all the members of the board of directors.

First Priority. First Priority s articles of incorporation may be amended as provided under Pennsylvania law, with the following exception: any amendment to Articles Eighth (no cumulative voting); Eleventh (vote required to approve certain extraordinary transactions); Twelfth (change in control); Thirteenth (prohibition on action by shareholders without a meeting); Fourteenth (amendment of bylaws); Fifteenth (considerations for the evaluation of strategic combinations); Sixteenth (limitations on director liability) and Seventeenth (amendment of articles of incorporation) requires (i) the affirmative vote of holders of at least 80% of the votes that all shareholders are entitled to cast or (ii) the affirmative vote of holders of 80% of the members of the board of directors.

Amendment of Bylaws.

Mid Penn. Mid Penn s bylaws may be amended or repealed, in whole or in part, by the holders of 80% of the outstanding shares of Mid Penn common stock at any regular or special meeting duly convened after notice to the shareholders of that purpose, or by a majority vote of the members of the board of directors at any regular or special meeting.

First Priority. First Priority s bylaws may be amended, altered, changed or repealed by a majority vote of the board of directors at any regular or special meeting of the board duly convened or the affirmative vote of holders of at least 80% of the votes that all shareholders are entitled to cast, with the following exceptions: under

160

First Priority s bylaws, amendment, alteration change or repeal of Section 2.2 of the bylaws (Number, Qualifications, Selection and Term of Office of Board of Directors) requires the affirmative vote of holders of at least 80% of the votes that all shareholders are entitled to cast; and under Article Fourteenth of First Priority s articles of incorporation, Section 2.15 (Personal Liability of Directors) and Article VI (Indemnification and Insurance) of the bylaws may not be amended to increase the exposure of liability for directors or to decrease the indemnification of directors, officers and others except by the affirmative vote of 80% of the entire board of directors or by the affirmative vote of shareholders entitled to cast at least 80% of the votes which all shareholders are entitled to cast.

MARKET PRICE AND DIVIDEND INFORMATION

Mid Penn common stock is listed on The Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol MPB. First Priority s common stock is traded on the OTCQX Market under the symbol FPBK. The following table sets forth the high and low closing prices of shares of Mid Penn s and First Priority s common stock and Mid Penn s quarterly cash dividends declared per common share for the periods indicated.

	Mid Penn Common Stock			First Priority Common Stock			
	High	Low	Dividend	High	Low	Dividend	
2016	_						
First Quarter	16.99	14.70	0.22	6.05	5.30		
Second Quarter	16.23	14.88	0.12	6.40	5.75		
Third Quarter	19.49	15.72	0.12	6.00	5.75		
Fourth Quarter	24.00	18.50	0.22	6.60	5.85	N/A	
2017							
First Quarter	28.95	23.90	0.13	8.00	6.45		
Second Quarter	27.85	26.05	0.13	8.07	7.85		
Third Quarter	29.70	25.71	0.13	8.10	7.90		
Fourth Quarter	34.50	29.00	$0.28^{(1)}$	8.90	7.95	N/A	
2018							
First Quarter	37.50	31.00	$N/A^{(1)}$	11.95	8.50		
Second Quarter (through [], 2018)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]		

(1) While Mid Penn has historically declared a cash dividend in the first quarter, due to the then-pending Scottdale merger and in order to avoid Scottdale shareholders receiving dividends from both Scottdale and Mid Penn for the same time period, Mid Penn declared its typical first quarter dividend in the fourth quarter of 2017 and paid such dividend prior to consummation of the Scottdale merger on January 8, 2018.

On January 16, 2018, the last full trading day before the public announcement of the merger agreement, the closing price of shares of Mid Penn common stock as reported on The Nasdaq Stock Market was \$37.50 and the closing price of First Priority common stock was \$9.00. On [], 2018, the last practicable trading day before the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the closing price of shares of Mid Penn common stock as reported on The Nasdaq Stock Market was \$[] and the closing price of shares of First Priority common stock as reported on the OTCQX Market was \$[].

Both Mid Penn and Mid Penn Bank are subject to various general regulatory policies relating to the payment of dividends, including requirements to maintain adequate capital above regulatory minimums. To date, First Priority has not paid a cash dividend on its common shares.

First Priority shareholders are advised to obtain current market quotations for Mid Penn common stock. The market price of Mid Penn common stock will fluctuate between the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus

161

and the completion of the merger. No assurance can be given concerning the market price of Mid Penn common stock before or after the effective date of the merger.

As of the record date, there were [] shares of First Priority common stock outstanding, which were held by [] holders of record. As of the record date, there were [] shares of Mid Penn common stock outstanding, which were held by [] holders of record.

162

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the Mid Penn common stock to be issued in connection with the merger will be passed upon for Mid Penn by Pillar Aught LLC. Certain U.S. federal income tax consequences relating to the merger will also be passed upon for Mid Penn by Pillar Aught LLC and for First Priority by Stevens & Lee, P.C.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements of Mid Penn and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 and for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2017 incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and in the related registration statement have been so included in reliance upon the report of BDO USA, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, appearing elsewhere herein and in the related registration statement, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

The consolidated financial statements of First Priority and subsidiary as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2017 included in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and in the related registration statement have been so included in reliance upon the report of BDO USA, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report appearing herein.

The consolidated financial statements of Scottdale and subsidiary as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2016 included in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and in the related registration statement have been so included in reliance upon the report of S.R. Snodgrass, P.C., independent certified public accountants, as stated in their report appearing herein.

INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS BY REFERENCE

The Securities and Exchange Commission allows Mid Penn to incorporate by reference information into this document, which means that we can disclose important information to you by referring you to other information that has been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information incorporated by reference is considered to be part of this document, except for any information superseded by information contained in subsequent incorporated filings or by information in this document.

This document incorporates by reference the Mid Penn documents set forth below that Mid Penn previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These documents contain important information about Mid Penn. You should read this document together with the information incorporated by reference.

Documents filed by Mid Penn (SEC File No. 001-13677):

Mid Penn Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, filed on March 13, 2018;

Mid Penn Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2018, filed on May 9, 2018;

Mid Penn Current Reports on Form 8-K filed on January 9, 2018, January 16, 2018, January 26, 2018, March 21, 2018, April 26, 2018 and May 9, 2018; and

The description of Mid Penn common stock set forth in Mid Penn s registration statement on Form 8-A/A filed with the SEC on October 20, 2008 pursuant to the Exchange Act, including any amendment or reports filed under the Exchange Act for the purpose of updating such description.

Mid Penn is also incorporating by reference additional documents that it files with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Sections 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act after the date of this document

163

and prior to the date of the special meeting of First Priority shareholders. Any statement contained in a document that is incorporated by reference will be deemed to be modified or superseded for all purposes to the extent that a statement contained in this document (or in any other document that is subsequently filed with the SEC and incorporated by reference) modifies or is contrary to that previous statement.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, any document or portion of a document that is furnished to, but not filed with, the SEC is not incorporated by reference into this document.

These documents may be obtained as explained above; see *Where You Can Find More Information* at page [], or you may request a free copy of any or all of these documents, including exhibits that are specifically incorporated by reference into these documents, by writing to or calling Mid Penn at the following address or telephone number:

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

349 Union Street

Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061

Attention: Investor Relations

Telephone: (717) 692-7105

Mid Penn and First Priority shareholders requesting documents should do so by [], 2018 to receive them before their respective special meeting. Neither Mid Penn nor First Priority shareholders will be charged for any of these documents that they request. If you request any incorporated documents, Mid Penn will mail them to you by first class mail, or another equally prompt means after it receives your request.

Neither Mid Penn nor First Priority has authorized anyone to give any information or make any representation about the merger or our companies that is different from, or in addition to, that contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or in any of the materials that have been incorporated in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Therefore, if anyone does give you information of this sort, you should not rely on it. If you are in a jurisdiction where offers to exchange or sell, or solicitations of offers to exchange or purchase, the securities offered by this joint proxy statement/prospectus or the solicitation of proxies is unlawful, or if you are a person to whom it is unlawful to direct these types of activities, then the offer presented in this joint proxy statement/prospectus does not extend to you. The information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus speaks only as of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus unless the information specifically indicates that another date applies.

This joint proxy statement/prospectus contains a description of the representations and warranties that each of Mid Penn and First Priority made to the other in the merger agreement. Representations and warranties made by Mid Penn and First Priority are also set forth in contracts and other documents that are attached or filed as exhibits to this joint proxy statement/prospectus or are incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. These representations and warranties were made as of specific dates, may be subject to important qualifications and limitations agreed to between the parties in connection with negotiating the terms of the agreement, and may have been included in the agreement for the purpose of allocating risk between the parties rather than to establish matters as facts. These materials are included or incorporated by reference only to provide you with information regarding the terms and conditions of the agreements, and not to provide any other factual information regarding Mid Penn or its business. Accordingly, the representations and warranties

and other provisions of the merger agreement should not be read alone, but instead should be read only in conjunction with the other information provided elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

164

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Financial Statements of First Priority Financial Corp.	
Audited Financial Statements:	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017 and 2016	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8
Unaudited Financial Statements:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017	F-52
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017	F-53
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2018, and	
2017	F-54
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and	
<u>2017</u>	F-55
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017	F-56
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-57
Financial Statements of The Scottdale Bank & Trust Company	
Audited Financial Statements:	
Report of Independent Auditors	F-85
Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2016 and 2015	F-86
Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015	F-87
Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015	F-88
Statements of Changes in Shareholders	F-89
Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015	F-90
Notes to Financial Statements	F-91
Unaudited Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2017 and 2016	F-112
Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016	F-113
Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016	F-114
Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016	F-115
Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016	F-116
Notes to Financial Statements	F-117

Table of Contents 332

F-1

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

First Priority Financial Corp.

Malvern, Pennsylvania

Opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of First Priority Financial Corp. (the Company) as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, shareholders equity, and cash flows for the years then ended and the related notes (collectively referred to as the consolidated financial statements). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

We have served as the Company s auditor since 2013.

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

March 23, 2018

First Priority Financial Corp.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

December 3			
	2017	2016	
Assets	2017	2010	
Cash and due from banks	\$ 5,591	\$ 2,790	
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	2,666	1,971	
	_,	-,,,	
Total cash and cash equivalents	8,257	4,761	
Securities available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost: \$52,379 and \$70,635,			
respectively)	52,373	70,560	
Securities held to maturity, at amortized cost (fair value: \$19,665 and \$19,584,			
respectively)	18,665	19,043	
Loans receivable	518,927	488,243	
Less: allowance for loan losses	3,405	3,330	
Net loans	515,522	484,913	
Restricted investments in bank stocks	1,416	3,257	
Premises and equipment, net	1,607	1,755	
Bank owned life insurance	3,326	3,256	
Accrued interest receivable	2,007	1,817	
Other real estate owned	550	1,486	
Deferred taxes	923	2,697	
Goodwill	2,725	2,725	
Intangible assets with finite lives, net	169	235	
Other assets	2,402	1,290	
Total Assets	\$ 609,942	\$ 597,795	
Linkside and Chamballana Familia			
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity Liabilities:			
Deposits:			
Non-interest bearing	65,634	54,817	
Interest-bearing	457,516	412,871	
interest-ocaring	437,310	412,071	
Total deposits	523,150	467,688	
Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh advances	24,625	68,164	
Subordinated debt	9,231	9,207	
Accrued interest payable	844	510	
Other liabilities	1,596	4,180	
Total Liabilities	559,446	549,749	

Shareholders Equity:		
Preferred stock, Series C, 9%, \$100 par value; authorized 10,000,000 shares:		
liquidation value: \$1,000 per share, 3,404 shares issued and outstanding; liquidation value:		
\$3,404 as of each date presented.	3,404	3,404
Common stock, \$1 par value; authorized 20,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding:		
2017: 6,577,969; 2016: 6,529,719	6,578	6,530
Surplus	40,843	40,629
Accumulated deficit	(323)	(2,475)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(6)	(42)
•		
Total Shareholders Equity	50,496	48,046
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$609,942	\$597,795

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

First Priority Financial Corp.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(In thousands, except per share data)

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
Interest and Dividend Income	¢ 22 07.4	φ 10 <i>57</i> 0
Loans receivable, including fees	\$ 22,074	\$ 19,570
Securities taxable	1,199 579	1,150 408
Securities exempt from federal taxes	201	118
Interest bearing deposits and other	201	118
Total Interest and Dividend Income	24,053	21,246
Interest Expense		
Deposits	4,713	3,547
Short-term borrowings	305	137
Long-term debt	142	158
Subordinated debt	689	688
Total Interest Expense	5,849	4,530
Net Interest Income	18,204	16,716
Provision for Loan Losses	385	710
Net Interest Income after Provision for Loan Losses	17,819	16,006
Non-Interest Income		
Wealth management fee income	168	308
Net gains on sales of investment securities	416	795
Bank owned life insurance income	70	78
Other	387	364
Total Non-Interest Income	1,041	1,545
Non-Interest Expenses		
Salaries and employee benefits	8,273	8,046
Occupancy and equipment	1,844	1,906
Data processing equipment and operations	927	884
Professional fees	690	709
Marketing, advertising, and business development	336	230
FDIC insurance assessments	520	398
Pennsylvania bank shares tax expense	354	315

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Other 1,279 1,212 Total Non-Interest Expenses 14,401 14,123 Income before Income Tax Expense 4,459 3,428 Income Tax Expense 2,001 1,128 Net Income \$ 2,458 \$ 2,300 Preferred dividends 306 407 Income to Common Shareholders \$ 2,152 \$ 1,893 Income per common share: Seasic \$ 0.33 \$ 0.29 Diluted \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: Seasic 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570 See notes to consolidated financial statements. 6,785 6,570	Other real estate owned	178	423
Income before Income Tax Expense 4,459 3,428 Income Tax Expense 2,001 1,128 Net Income \$ 2,458 \$ 2,300 Preferred dividends 306 407 Income to Common Shareholders \$ 2,152 \$ 1,893 Income per common share: \$ 0.33 \$ 0.29 Diluted \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: \$ 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570	Other	1,279	1,212
Income before Income Tax Expense 4,459 3,428 Income Tax Expense 2,001 1,128 Net Income \$ 2,458 \$ 2,300 Preferred dividends 306 407 Income to Common Shareholders \$ 2,152 \$ 1,893 Income per common share: \$ 0.33 \$ 0.29 Diluted \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: \$ 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570			
Income Tax Expense 2,001 1,128 Net Income \$ 2,458 \$ 2,300 Preferred dividends 306 407 Income to Common Shareholders \$ 2,152 \$ 1,893 Income per common share: \$ 0.33 \$ 0.29 Diluted \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: \$ 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570	Total Non-Interest Expenses	14,401	14,123
Income Tax Expense 2,001 1,128 Net Income \$ 2,458 \$ 2,300 Preferred dividends 306 407 Income to Common Shareholders \$ 2,152 \$ 1,893 Income per common share: \$ 0.33 \$ 0.29 Diluted \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: \$ 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570			
Net Income \$ 2,458 \$ 2,300 Preferred dividends 306 407 Income to Common Shareholders \$ 2,152 \$ 1,893 Income per common share: \$ 0.33 \$ 0.29 Basic \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: \$ 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570	Income before Income Tax Expense	4,459	3,428
Preferred dividends 306 407 Income to Common Shareholders \$ 2,152 \$ 1,893 Income per common share: \$ 0.33 \$ 0.29 Basic \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: \$ 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570	Income Tax Expense	2,001	1,128
Preferred dividends 306 407 Income to Common Shareholders \$ 2,152 \$ 1,893 Income per common share: \$ 0.33 \$ 0.29 Basic \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: \$ 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570			
Income to Common Shareholders \$ 2,152 \$ 1,893 Income per common share: \$ 0.33 \$ 0.29 Basic \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: \$ 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570	Net Income	\$ 2,458	\$ 2,300
Income to Common Shareholders \$ 2,152 \$ 1,893 Income per common share: \$ 0.33 \$ 0.29 Basic \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: \$ 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570			
Income per common share: Basic \$ 0.33 \$ 0.29 Diluted \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: Basic 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570	Preferred dividends	306	407
Income per common share: Basic \$ 0.33 \$ 0.29 Diluted \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: Basic 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570			
Basic \$ 0.33 \$ 0.29 Diluted \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: Basic 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570	Income to Common Shareholders	\$ 2,152	\$ 1,893
Basic \$ 0.33 \$ 0.29 Diluted \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: Basic 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570			
Diluted \$ 0.32 \$ 0.29 Weighted average common shares outstanding: Basic 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570	Income per common share:		
Weighted average common shares outstanding:Basic6,5596,514Diluted6,7856,570	Basic	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.29
Basic 6,559 6,514 Diluted 6,785 6,570	Diluted	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.29
Diluted 6,785 6,570	Weighted average common shares outstanding:		
	Basic	6,559	6,514
See notes to consolidated financial statements.	Diluted	6,785	6,570
see notes to consentative financial statements.	See notes to consolidated financial statements.		

First Priority Financial Corp.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

(In thousands)

	For the year ender December 31, 2017 2016	
Net Income	\$ 2,458	\$ 2,300
Other comprehensive income (loss): Securities available for sale:		
Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale	485	405
Reclassification adjustment for realized gains included in net income	(416)	(795)
Tax effect	(24)	133
Net gains (losses) arising during the period Net unrealized holding losses on securities transferred between available for sale and held to maturity:	45	(257)
Amortization of net unrealized holding losses to income during the period	(15)	(31)
Tax effect	6	10
Net unrealized holding losses on securities transferred during the period	(9)	(21)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	36	(278)
Total comprehensive income	\$ 2,494	\$ 2,022

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

First Priority Financial Corp.

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity

For the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

(Dollars in thousands)

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Surplus	 umulated Deficit	Comp Ir	umulated Other prehensive ncome (loss)	Total
Balance December 31, 2015	\$ 9,404	\$ 6,492	\$40,327	\$ (4,368)	\$	236	\$ 52,091
Preferred stock dividends	, ,	, ,	, ,	(407)			(407)
Redemption of preferred stock	(6,000)			,			(6,000)
Issuance of 36,250 shares of restricted common stock, net of 725 forfeited		26	(2.5)				
shares		36	(36)				
Exercise of 2,000 shares of common		0	1.0				10
stock options		2	10	2 200			12
Net income				2,300		(270)	2,300
Other comprehensive loss			220			(278)	(278)
Stock based compensation expense			328				328
Balance December 31, 2016	\$ 3,404	\$ 6,530	\$40,629	\$ (2,475)	\$	(42)	\$48,046
Preferred stock dividends				(306)			(306)
Issuance of 52,700 shares of restricted							
common stock, net of 4,450 forfeited							
shares		48	(48)				
Net income				2,458			2,458
Other comprehensive income						36	36
Stock based compensation expense			262				262
Balance December 31, 2017	\$ 3,404	\$ 6,578	\$40,843	\$ (323)	\$	(6)	\$ 50,496

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

First Priority Financial Corp.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Dollars in thousands)

		year ended mber 31, 2016
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 2,458	\$ 2,300
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	385	710
Write down of other real estate owned	44	139
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment	262	309
Net amortization	346	261
Stock based compensation expense	262	328
Net amortization of investment securities premiums and discounts	146	151
Net gains on sales of investment securities	(416)	(795)
Net (gain) loss on sale of other real estate owned	(74)	18
Net loss on disposal of premises and equipment	1	25
Bank owned life insurance policy income	(70)	(78)
Deferred income tax expense	1,755	988
Increase in accrued interest receivable	(190)	(194)
Increase in other assets	(272)	(20)
Increase in accrued interest payable	334	60
Increase in other liabilities	115	2,813
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	5,086	7,015
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Net originations in loans	(15,114)	(2,531)
Purchase of loans	(16,151)	(77,326)
Purchases of securities available for sale	(59,770)	(49,645)
Redemption of restricted bank stock	1,841	111
Proceeds from maturities or calls of securities available for sale	61,490	60,537
Proceeds from maturities or calls of securities held to maturity	290	740
Proceeds from the sale of securities available for sale	13,340	13,563
Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned	966	332
Purchases of premises and equipment	(116)	(56)
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(13,224)	(54,275)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Net increase in deposits	55,479	59,104
Net decrease in short-term borrowings	(40,539)	(3,561)

Repayments of long-term borrowings	(3,000)	(3,000)
Payments regarding subordinated debt issuance costs		(16)
Redemption of preferred stock		(6,000)
Proceeds from the exercise of common stock options		12
Cash dividends paid on preferred stock	(306)	(427)
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	11,634	46,112
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	3,496	(1,148)
Cash and Cash Equivalents Beginning	4,761	5,909
Cash and Cash Equivalents Ending	\$ 8,257	\$ 4,761
Supplementary Disclosures of Cash Flows Information		
Noncash activity:		
Transfer of available for sale securities to held to maturity securities	\$	\$ 2,698
Transfer of loans receivable to other real estate owned	\$	\$ 342
Cash paid for interest on deposits and borrowings	\$ 5,534	\$ 4,573
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 182	\$ 139

First Priority Financial Corp.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization and Nature of Operations

First Priority Financial Corp.

First Priority Financial Corp. (First Priority, the Company) is a bank holding company incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on February 13, 2007. On May 11, 2007, as a result of a reorganization and merger, First Priority Bank (the Bank) became a wholly-owned subsidiary of First Priority. First Priority, primarily through the Bank, serves residents and businesses in the Delaware Valley with branches in Berks, Bucks, Chester and Montgomery counties in Pennsylvania. The Bank, headquartered in Malvern, PA, has seven retail branch office locations and one loan production office and is a locally managed community bank providing commercial banking products, primarily loans and deposits. First Priority provides banking services through the Bank and does not engage in any activities other than banking and related activities.

First Priority Bank

The Bank is a state-chartered commercial banking institution which was incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on May 25, 2005. The Bank s deposits are insured by the FDIC up to the maximum amount permitted for all banks.

The Bank engages in a full service commercial and consumer banking business with strong private banking and individual wealth management services. The Bank offers a variety of consumer, private banking and commercial loans, mortgage products and commercial real estate financing. The Company s operations are significantly affected by prevailing economic conditions, competition, and the monetary, fiscal, and regulatory policies of governmental agencies. Lending activities are influenced by a number of factors, including the general credit needs of individuals and small and medium-sized businesses in the Company s market area, competition, the current regulatory environment, the level of interest rates, and the availability of funds. Deposit flows and costs of funds are influenced by prevailing market rates of interest, competition, account maturities, and the level of personal income and savings in the market area.

The Bank also offers certain financial planning and investment management services. These investment services are provided by First Priority Financial Services, a Division of First Priority Bank, through third party providers. In addition, the Bank has entered into solicitation agreements with several investment advisors to provide portfolio management services to customers of the Bank.

The Bank currently seeks deposits and commercial and private banking relationships through its banking offices. The Bank provides deposit products that include checking, money market and savings accounts, and certificates of deposit as well as other deposit services, including cash management, electronic banking and mobile products as well as online account opening capabilities. The Bank obtains funding in the local community by providing excellent service and competitive rates to its customers and utilizes various advertising to attract current and potential deposit customers. The Bank also uses brokered certificates of deposit as a cost effective funding alternative.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements consist of the Company and the Company s wholly owned consolidated subsidiary, the Bank. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

These statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP).

F-8

Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to December 31, 2017 for items that should potentially be recognized or disclosed in these Consolidated Financial Statements. The evaluation was conducted through the date these financial statements were issued.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the valuation of acquired loans, the determination of the allowance for loan losses, stock-based compensation, impairment of goodwill, impairment of investments, the valuation of deferred tax assets and the valuation of other real estate owned.

Significant Group Concentrations of Credit Risk

Most of the Company s activities are with customers located within the western and northwestern suburbs surrounding Philadelphia. Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements discusses the types of securities in which the Company currently invests. Note 5 discusses the types of lending in which the Company engages. Although the Company intends to have a diversified loan portfolio, its debtors ability to honor their contracts will be influenced by the region s economy.

The Company s investment portfolio consists principally of obligations of the United States and its agencies and obligations of states and political subdivisions. In the opinion of management, there is no concentration of credit risk in its investment portfolio. The Company places deposits in correspondent accounts and, on occasion, sells Federal funds to qualified financial institutions. Management believes credit risk associated with correspondent accounts and with Federal funds sold is not significant. Therefore, management believes that these particular practices do not subject the Company to unusual credit risk.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, amounts due from banks, Federal funds sold and short-term money market securities. Generally, Federal funds are purchased or sold for one day periods. Short-term investments are generally purchased with a maturity date of less than three months.

The Company is required to maintain cash reserves that are considered restrictions on cash and cash equivalents which consist of required reserves with the Federal Reserve Bank, related to our deposit liabilities. At December 31, 2017 and 2016, these reserve balances were \$1.7 million and \$1.9 million, respectively.

Securities

Management determines the appropriate classification of debt securities at the time of purchase and re-evaluates such designation as of each balance sheet date. Securities classified as available for sale are those securities that the Company intends to hold for an indefinite period of time, but not necessarily to maturity. Available for sale securities are carried at fair value.

Unrealized gains and losses on available for sale investment securities are reported as increases or decreases in other comprehensive income as a component of shareholders—equity. Realized gains or losses, determined on the basis of the cost of the specific securities sold, are included in earnings. Premiums and discounts are recognized in interest income using the interest method over the term of each security. Any decision to sell a security

F-9

classified as available for sale would be based on various factors, including significant movements, or anticipated movements in interest rates, changes in the maturity mix of the Company s assets and liabilities, liquidity needs, regulatory capital considerations and other similar factors.

Securities classified as held to maturity are those debt securities the Company has both the intent and ability to hold to maturity regardless of changes in market conditions, liquidity needs or changes in general economic conditions. These securities are carried at cost adjusted for the amortization of premium and accretion of discount, using the interest method over the terms of the securities. Transfer of securities into held to maturity from available for sale are made at fair value at the date of transfer. The unrealized gain/loss at date of transfer is retained in other comprehensive income, and in the current value of the held to maturity securities. Such amounts are amortized over the remaining life of the security.

In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost; (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer; and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. When a determination is made that an other-than-temporary impairment exists but the Company does not intend to sell the debt security and it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell the debt security prior to its anticipated recovery, the other-than-temporary impairment is separated into (a) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to a decrease in cash flows expected to be collected from the debt security (the credit loss) and (b) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income.

Restricted Investments in Bank Stocks

Restricted investments in bank stocks, which represents the required investment in the common stock of correspondent banks, are carried at cost and consist of stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB) and Atlantic Community Bancshares, Inc. Federal law requires a member institution of the FHLB to hold FHLB stock according to a predetermined formula. Management s determination of whether these investments are impaired is based on their assessment of the ultimate recoverability of their cost rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value.

Loans Receivable

Loans receivable that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are stated at their outstanding unpaid principal balances, net of an allowance for loan losses and any deferred fees or costs. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance. Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and recognized as an adjustment of the yield (interest income) by the interest method based on the contractual terms of the related loans, or if the commitment expires unexercised, recognized in income upon expiration.

The loans receivable portfolio is segmented into commercial, residential mortgage loans and consumer loans. Commercial loans consist of the following classes: commercial and industrial, commercial construction and commercial mortgage loans. Consumer loans consist of home equity lines of credit and all other consumer loans.

The accrual of interest is discontinued when the contractual payment of principal or interest has become 90 days past due or management has serious doubts about further collectability of principal or interest, even though the loan is

currently performing. A loan may remain on accrual status if it is in the process of collection and is either guaranteed or well secured. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, unpaid interest credited to income in the current year is reversed and unpaid interest accrued in prior years is charged against the allowance for loan losses. Interest received on nonaccrual loans is generally either applied against principal or reported as interest

F-10

income, according to management s judgment as to the collectability of principal. Generally, loans are restored to accrual status when the obligation is brought current, has performed in accordance with the contractual terms for a reasonable period of time, and the ultimate collectability of the total contractual principal and interest is no longer in doubt. The past due status of all classes of loans receivable is determined based on contractual due dates for loan payments.

Acquired Loans

Loans acquired as part of a full bank acquisition are initially recorded at their acquisition date fair values. The carryover of allowance for loan losses is prohibited as any credit losses in the loans are included in the determination of the fair value of the loans at the acquisition date. Fair values for acquired loans are based on a discounted cash flow methodology that involves assumptions and judgments as to credit risk, prepayment risk, liquidity risk, default rates, loss severity, payment speeds, collateral values and discount rate.

Acquired loans that have evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination and for which it is probable, at acquisition, that the Company will be unable to collect all contractually required payments are accounted for as impaired loans under ASC 310-30. The excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the estimated fair value is referred to as the accretable discount and is recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the loans using the interest method. The difference between contractually required payments at acquisition and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition is referred to as the non-accretable discount. The non-accretable discount represents estimated future credit losses expected to be incurred over the life of the loan. Subsequent decreases to the expected cash flows require the Company to evaluate the need for an allowance for loan losses on these loans. Subsequent improvements in expected cash flows result in the reversal of a corresponding amount of the non-accretable discount which the Company then reclassifies as an accretable discount that is recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the loans using the interest method. As of December 31, 2017, there were three remaining acquired purchased credit impaired loans with a net recorded balance of \$55 thousand, after a non-accretable credit discount of \$352 thousand compared to a net recorded balance of \$215 thousand, after a non-accretable credit discount of \$362 thousand as of December 31, 2016.

Acquired loans that met the criteria for non-accrual of interest prior to acquisition may be considered performing upon acquisition, or in the future, regardless of whether the customer is contractually delinquent, if the Company can reasonably estimate the timing and amount of the expected cash flows on such loans and if the Company expects to fully collect the new carrying value of the loans. As such, the Company may no longer consider the loan to be non-accrual or non-performing and may accrue interest on these loans, including the impact of any accretable discount. For acquired loans that are not deemed impaired at acquisition, credit discounts representing the principal losses expected over the life of the loan are a component of the initial fair value and amortized over the life of the asset using the interest method. Subsequent to the acquisition date, the methods utilized to estimate the required allowance for loan losses for these loans is similar to originated loans, however, the Company records a provision for loan losses only when the required allowance exceeds any remaining pooled discounts for loans evaluated collectively for impairment.

The Company also purchased portfolios of performing residential mortgage loans in 2014 through 2017, all of which were underwritten using similar underwriting standards as it uses for its organic portfolio. In August 2016, the Company purchased performing commercial loans from within the Bank s market area. Net acquisition premiums, or discounts, from the purchased loans will be recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the loans using the interest method. There are currently no non-performing loans within these portfolios.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for credit losses consists of the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments. The allowance for loan losses represents management s estimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date and is recorded as a reduction to loans. The reserve for unfunded lending

F-11

commitments, totaling \$35 thousand as of both December 31, 2017 and 2016, represents management s estimate of potential losses inherent in its unfunded loan commitments and is recorded in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. The allowance for loan losses is increased by the provision for loan losses, and decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries. Loans deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance for loan losses, and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. All, or part, of the principal balance of loans receivable are charged off to the allowance as soon as it is determined that the repayment of all, or part, of the principal balance is highly unlikely. Because all identified losses are immediately charged off, no portion of the allowance for loan losses is restricted to any individual loan or groups of loans, and the entire allowance is available to absorb any and all loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate to provide for losses that can be reasonably anticipated. Management performs a quarterly evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance. The allowance is based on the Company s past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower s ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

The allowance consists of specific, general and unallocated components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. For loans that are classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers pools of loans by loan class including commercial loans not considered impaired, as well as smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as residential real estate, home equity and other consumer loans. These pools of loans are evaluated for loss exposure based upon historical loss rates for each of these categories of loans, adjusted for qualitative factors. These qualitative risk factors include:

- 1. Lending policies and procedures, including underwriting standards and collection, charge-off, and recovery practices.
- 2. National, regional, and local economic and business conditions as well as the condition of various market segments, including the value of underlying collateral for collateral dependent loans.
- 3. Nature and volume of the portfolio and terms of loans.
- 4. Management team with experience, depth, and knowledge in banking and in many areas of lending. Each contributes to the sound credit culture and control within the Company.
- 5. Volume and severity of past due, classified and nonaccrual loans as well as other loan modifications.
- 6. The Company engages a third party to perform an independent review of the loan portfolio as a measure for quality and consistency in credit evaluation and credit decisions.

- 7. Existence and effect of any concentrations of credit and changes in the level of such concentrations.
- 8. Effect of external factors, such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements.

 Each factor is assigned a value to reflect improving, stable or declining conditions based on management s best judgment using relevant information available at the time of the evaluation. Adjustments to the factors are supported through documentation of changes in conditions in a narrative accompanying the allowance for loan loss calculation.

A majority of the Company s loans are to business owners of many types. The Company makes commercial loans for real estate development and other business purposes required by our customers.

The Company s credit policies determine advance rates against the different forms of c ollateral that can be pledged against commercial loans. Typically, the majority of loans will be limited to a percentage of their

F-12

underlying collateral values such as real estate values, equipment, eligible accounts receivable and inventory. Individual loan advance rates may be higher or lower depending upon the financial strength of the borrower and/or term of the loan.

The assets financed through commercial and industrial loans are used within the business for its ongoing operation. Repayment of these kinds of loans generally comes from the cash flow of the business or the ongoing conversions of assets.

Commercial real estate loans include long-term loans financing commercial properties. Repayment of this kind of loan is dependent upon either the ongoing cash flow of the borrowing entity or the resale of or lease of the subject property. Commercial real estate loans typically require a loan to value ratio of not greater than 80% and vary in terms.

Construction loans consists of acquisition, construction and development loans serving a diverse customer base in its primary market areas. The composition of this portfolio can change based on local economic conditions such as supply and demand, interest rates and real estate values. The Company typically lends to builders and developers with established relationships, successful operating histories and sound financial resources.

Construction loans include both commercial and residential related loans. The commercial portion consists of loans for the purpose of acquiring, developing and constructing a commercial-use structure and for the acquisition, development and/or construction of residential properties, such as single-family homes or smaller multi-family buildings, by residential developers and builders. This may also include the acquisition and development of land on a selective basis. The residential portion consists of loans for the acquisition of and/or construction on land where a residential dwelling is to be built and occupied by the home-owner.

Residential mortgages and home equity loans are secured by the borrower s residential real estate in either a first or second lien position. Residential mortgages and home equity loans have varying loan rates depending on the loan terms. Residential mortgages have amortizations up to 30 years and home equity loans have amortizations up to 15 years. Residential mortgages and home equity loans typically require a loan to value ratio of not greater than 80%.

Other consumer loans include installment loans, car loans, and overdraft lines of credit. The majority of these loans are secured.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management s estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case by case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower s prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. An allowance for loan losses is established for an impaired loan if its carrying value exceeds its estimated fair value. The estimated fair values of substantially all of the Company s impaired loans are measured based on the estimated fair value of the loan s

collateral.

F-13

For commercial loans secured by real estate, estimated fair values are determined primarily through third-party appraisals. When a real estate secured loan becomes impaired, a decision is made regarding whether an updated certified appraisal of the real estate is necessary. This decision is based on various considerations, including the age of the most recent appraisal, the loan-to-value ratio based on the original appraisal and the condition of the property. Appraised values may be discounted to arrive at the estimated selling price of the collateral, which is considered to be the estimated fair value. The discounts also include estimated costs to sell the property.

For commercial and industrial loans secured by non-real estate collateral, such as accounts receivable, inventory and equipment, estimated fair values are determined based on the borrower s financial statements, inventory reports, accounts receivable aging or equipment appraisals or invoices. Indications of value from these sources are generally discounted based on the age of the financial information or the quality of the assets.

The allowance calculation methodology includes further segregation of loan classes into risk rating categories. The borrower s overall financial condition, repayment sources, guarantors and value of collateral, if appropriate, are evaluated annually for commercial loans or when credit deficiencies arise, such as delinquent loan payments, for commercial and consumer loans. Credit quality risk ratings include regulatory classifications of special mention, substandard, doubtful and loss. Loans criticized special mention have potential weaknesses that deserve management s close attention. If uncorrected, the potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects. Loans classified substandard have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They include loans that are inadequately protected by the current sound net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in loans classified substandard with the added characteristic that collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of current conditions and facts, is highly improbable. Loans classified as a loss are considered uncollectible and are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Loans not classified are rated pass.

In addition, Federal regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company s allowance for loan losses and may require the Company to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, which may not be currently available to management. Based on management s comprehensive analysis of the loan portfolio, management believes the current level of the allowance for loan losses is adequate.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

Loans whose terms are modified are classified as troubled debt restructurings (TDR) if the Company grants such borrowers concessions and it is deemed that those borrowers are experiencing financial difficulty. Concessions granted under a TDR may be modified by means of extending the maturity date of the loan, reducing the interest rate on the loan to a rate which is below market, a combination of rate adjustments and maturity extensions, or by other means including covenant modifications, forbearances or other concessions. Generally, interest is not accrued on loans that were non-accrual prior to the TDR until they have performed in accordance with the modified terms for a period of at least six months; however, non-accrual TDR s may be restored to accrual status if principal and interest payments, under the modified terms, are current for six consecutive months after modification. Loans classified as TDR s are designated as impaired. TDR s which are performing based on modified terms and conditions, which reflect the current market, may be reclassified from TDR status. Management evaluates the allowance for loan losses with respect to TDR s under the same policy and guidelines as all other performing loans are evaluated with respect to the allowance for loan losses.

Transfers of Financial Assets

Transfers of financial assets, including loan and loan participation sales, are accounted for as sales, when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from the Company; (2) the transferred obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets; and (3) the Company does not

F-14

maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

Other Real Estate Owned

Assets acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are held for sale and generally are initially recorded at fair value less cost to sell at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Any write-down, at or prior to the dates the real estate is considered foreclosed, is charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are generally carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. Revenue and expenses from operations and changes in the valuation allowance (write-downs) are included in other non-interest expenses. Any gain or loss upon the sale of real estate owned is reflected in operations as incurred.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Premises and equipment in an acquisition or merger are recorded on acquisition date at fair value. Depreciation is computed on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, ranging from 1 to 20 years beginning when the assets are placed in service. Buildings are depreciated from 14 to 20 years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the term of the lease or estimated useful lives, whichever is shorter, ranging from 1 to 20 years. Furniture, fixtures and equipment are depreciated from 2 to 10 years, automobiles are depreciated over 5 years and computer equipment and data processing software are depreciated from 1 to 10 years. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is reflected in income for the period. The cost of maintenance and repairs is charged to expense as incurred.

Bank Owned Life Insurance

The Bank invests in bank owned life insurance (BOLI) policies that provide earnings to help cover the cost of employee benefit plans. The Bank is the owner of the life insurance policies it purchased directly on the lives of certain officers of the Bank. These policies were issued as split dollar life insurance policies which provide for a portion of the death benefit to be paid to the beneficiaries of the officer while employed by the Bank or through change in control provisions. The policies are carried on the Company's consolidated balance sheet at their cash surrender value and are subject to regulatory capital requirements. The determination of the cash surrender value includes a full evaluation of the contractual terms of each policy. Additionally, the Company periodically reviews the creditworthiness of the insurance company that has underwritten the policies. Earnings accruing to the Company are derived from the general account investments of the insurance companies. Increases in the net cash surrender value of BOLI policies and insurance proceeds received are not taxable and are recorded in non-interest income in the consolidated statement of income.

Employee Benefit Plans

The Company s 401(k) plan allows eligible participants to set aside a certain percentage of their salaries before taxes. The Company may elect to match employee contributions up to a specified percentage of their respective salaries in an amount determined by the Board of Directors. The Company s total matching contributions related to these plans resulted in expenses of \$155 thousand and \$149 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquired entity over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired in accordance with the acquisition method of accounting. Goodwill is not amortized but is reviewed for

F-15

potential impairment at the reporting unit level on an annual basis, or more often if events or circumstances indicate that there may be impairment. ASC Topic 350-20 requires an at least annual review of the fair value of a reporting unit that has goodwill in order to determine if it is more likely than not (that is, a likelihood of more than 50%) that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, including goodwill. The Company elects to perform this review on July 1st of each year.

A qualitative factor test can be performed to determine whether it is necessary to perform a quantitative goodwill impairment test. If this qualitative test determines it is not likely (less than 50% probability) the fair value of the reporting unit is less than book value, then the Company is not required to perform a quantitative test and goodwill can be considered not impaired. The Company reviewed the requirements of the qualitative assessments listed in ASC 350-20-35-3C, and resultantly identified nine qualitative assessments that are relevant to the general banking industry and specifically to First Priority. These qualitative assessments were intended to isolate change factors which would contribute to the increased risk of impairment of goodwill. The qualitative factors were then used to compare base year levels with current levels to identify potential change factors which could contribute to the increased risk of impairment of goodwill. Based on the results of this analysis, it is more likely than not that the fair value of reporting unit as of the date of this analysis is higher than its book value and, therefore, goodwill is considered not impaired and no further testing is required pursuant to ASC Topic 350-20.

Any impairment loss related to goodwill and other intangible assets is reflected as other non-interest expense in the statement of operations in the period in which the impairment is determined. No assurance can be given that future impairment tests will not result in a charge to earnings.

Core deposit and other intangible assets acquired in acquisitions are identified, recognized and amortized based upon the estimated economic benefits received using a 10 year sum of the years amortization method.

Segment Information

First Priority has one reportable segment, Community Banking. All of the Company s activities are interrelated, and each activity is dependent and assessed based on how each of the activities of the Company supports the others. Lending activities are dependent upon the ability of the Company to fund itself with deposits and borrowings while managing the interest rate and credit risk. Accordingly, all significant operating decisions are based upon analysis of the Company as one segment or unit.

Advertising Costs

The Company follows the policy of charging the costs of advertising to expense as incurred.

Income Taxes

Deferred taxes are provided on the liability method whereby deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment. The Company files a consolidated federal income tax return with the Bank.

The Company evaluates the carrying amount of its deferred tax assets on a quarterly basis or more frequently, if necessary, in accordance with the guidance provided in Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 740 (ASC 740), in particular, applying the criteria set forth therein to determine

whether it is more likely than not (i.e. a likelihood of more than 50%) that some portion, or all, of the deferred tax asset will not be realized within its life cycle, based on the weight of available evidence. If management makes a determination based on the available evidence that it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized in future periods a valuation allowance is calculated

F-16

and recorded. These determinations are inherently subjective and dependent upon estimates and judgments concerning management s evaluation of both positive and negative evidence. Judgment is required when considering the relative impact of such evidence. The weight given to the potential effect of positive and negative evidence must be commensurate with the extent to which it can be objectively verified.

When determining the potential for a valuation allowance, the Company assessed the possible sources of taxable income available under tax law to realize a tax benefit for deductible temporary differences and carryforwards as defined in paragraph 740-10-30-18. The Company also considers tax planning strategies which could accelerate taxable income and allow the Company to take advantage of future deductible differences. The strategy must be prudent and feasible; however, the Company does not need to have specific plans to implement the strategy, but could be an opportunity that the Company could implement in order to take advantage of net operating loss carryforwards.

The Company has adopted guidance on accounting for uncertainty in income taxes as presented in FASB ASC 740-10. A tax position is recognized as a benefit at the largest amount that is more-likely-than not to be sustained in a tax examination based solely on its merits. An uncertain tax position will not be recognized if it has a less than 50% likelihood of being sustained. Under the threshold guidelines, the Company believes no significant uncertain tax positions exist, either individually or in the aggregate, that would result in recognition of a liability for unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Earnings Per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share exclude dilution and are computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average common shares and participating securities (restricted stock) outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share take into account the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised and converted into common stock. Proceeds assumed to have been received on such exercise or conversion, are assumed to be used to purchase shares of the Company's common stock at the average market price during the period, as required by the treasury stock method of accounting. The effects of securities or other contracts to issue common stock are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share in periods in which the effect would be antidilutive.

Stock Options and Restricted Stock Grants

Compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions are recognized in the financial statements over the period that an employee provides service in exchange for the award. For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, compensation expense related to outstanding stock options and restricted stock grants totaled \$262 thousand and \$328 thousand, respectively, which is included in salaries and employee benefits in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. There was no tax benefit recognized related to this stock-based compensation.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses be included in net income. Although certain changes in assets and liabilities are reported as a separate component of the shareholders equity section of the balance sheet, such items, along with net income, are components of total comprehensive income.

Total reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the periods presented are as follows:

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	An	nounts Red Accumul			Affected Line Item in the Stateme				
(Loss) Components	Com	prehensive Decen	e Incom aber 31,	` ,	where Net Income is Presented				
	2	2017	2	2016					
	(Dollars in	thousa	ınds)					
Sale of investment securities available for					Gains on sale of investment				
sale	\$	(416)	\$	(795)	securities				
Amortization of unrealized holding gains									
(losses) on securities transferred from					Interest and dividend income on				
available for sale to held to maturity		(14)		(31)	taxable securities				
Tax effect		146		281	Income tax expense				
					_				
Total reclassification	\$	(284)	\$	(545)					

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) at December 31, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

		Decen	nber 31	Ι,
	20)17	20	016
	(Details)	ollars ir	ı thous	ands)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on available for sale securities	\$	(4)	\$	(50)
Net unrealized holding gains on securities transferred from available for sale to held to				
maturity		(2)		8
Total	\$	(6)	\$	(42)

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

In the ordinary course of business, the Company has entered into off-balance sheet financial instruments consisting of commitments to extend credit. Such financial instruments are recorded in the consolidated balance sheet when they are funded.

Note 2 Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). ASU 2014-09 implements a common revenue standard that clarifies the principles for recognizing revenue. The core principle of ASU 2014-09 is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, an entity should apply the following steps: (i) identify the contract(s) with a customer, (ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (iii) determine the transaction price, (iv) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract and (v) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. First Priority s revenue is comprised of net interest

income on financial assets and liabilities, which is explicitly excluded from the scope of ASU 2014-09, and non-interest income. This accounting guidance can be implemented using either a full retrospective method or a modified retrospective approach and will be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017 (effective January 1, 2018 for First Priority). Early adoption is permitted; however, First Priority will adopt this new accounting guidance in 2018, as required, and will adopt the new guidance using the modified retrospective approach. The modified retrospective approach uses a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings to reflect uncompleted contracts in the initial application of the guidance. The Company has assessed its revenue streams and its contracts with customers that could

F-18

potentially be affected by the new guidance; including wealth management fees, fees on deposits, gains and losses on the sale of other real estate owned and debit card interchange fees; but did not identify material changes to the timing or amount of revenue recognition. The adoption of this accounting guidance does not have a material impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations. The Company will also be subject to expanded disclosure requirements upon adoption for which the Company is still in the process of evaluating.

In January 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2016-01, Financial Instruments Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (ASU 2016-01). ASU 2016-01 changes current U.S. GAAP for public entities by requiring the following, among others: (1) equity securities, except those accounted for under the equity method of accounting, to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income; (2) the use of the exit price when measuring fair value of financial instruments for disclosure purposes; (3) an entity to present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability resulting from a change in the instrument-specific credit risk when the entity has elected to measure the liability at fair value; and (4) separate presentation of financial assets and financial liabilities by measurement category and form of financial asset on the balance sheet or notes to the financial statements. ASU 2016-01 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods. Early application is permitted. The Company does not hold any equity investments (excluding restricted investments in bank stocks) that do not have a readily determinable market value. The Company has determined the implementation of this standard will not have a material impact to the financial statements and disclosures.

In February 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2016-02, Leases. From the lessee s perspective, the new standard establishes a right-of-use (ROU) model that requires a lessee to record a ROU asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all leases with terms longer than 12 months. Leases will be classified as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the income statement for a lessee. From the lessor s perspective, the new standard requires a lessor to classify leases as either sales-type, finance or operating. A lease will be treated as a sale if it transfers all of the risks and rewards, as well as control of the underlying asset, to the lessee. If risks and rewards are conveyed without the transfer of control, the lease is treated as a financing. If the lessor doesn t convey risks and rewards or control, an operating lease results. The new standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessees for capital and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessors for sales-type, direct financing, and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. The Company has nine leases related to its current office locations, all of which are classified as operating leases, which upon implementation of the new standard in January 2019, will result in both a right-of-use asset and a corresponding lease liability recorded in its consolidated balance sheets currently estimated at approximately \$6.4 million. The Company does not expect the implementation of this standard to have a material impact on its consolidated statement of operations.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting. This ASU simplifies several aspects of the accounting for employee share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statements of cash flows. For public business entities, this ASU is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods therein. Accordingly, effective January of 2017, the Company adopted the pronouncement. During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company had \$10 thousand of tax benefits for stock option exercises and restricted stock vesting. In accordance with ASU 2016-09, forfeitures are recognized as they occur instead of applying an estimated forfeiture rate to each grant. For purposes of the determination of stock-based compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2017, we recognized actual forfeitures of 4,450

shares of restricted stock awards that were granted to officers and other employees.

F-19

In September 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, Financial Instruments Credit Losses (Topic 326). The main objective of this Update is to provide financial statement users with more decision-useful information about the expected credit losses on financial instruments and other commitments to extend credit held by a reporting entity at each reporting date. The amendments in this Update replace the incurred loss impairment methodology in current GAAP with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to inform credit loss estimates. For public business entities, this ASU is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods therein. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is reviewing our system and data collection to determine necessary changes to our current practice.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments. This ASU clarifies how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented in the statement of cash flows. This update addresses eight specific cash flow issues with the objective of reducing the existing diversity in practice. For public business entities this ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is evaluating the impact of this ASU on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures. Historically the cash flows, addressed by this standard, have been infrequent and immaterial.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-04, Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350). This update intends to simplify the subsequent measurement of goodwill by eliminating Step 2 from the goodwill impairment test. The amendments in this Update modify the concept of impairment from the condition that exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value to the condition that exists when the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value.

For public business entities this ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods therein. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the implementation of this standard to have a material impact on its consolidated statement of operations.

In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-08, Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities, which amends the amortization period for certain purchased callable debt securities held at a premium, shortening such period to the earliest call date. The ASU is effective for public business entities for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018. For all other entities, the ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2020. Earlier application is permitted for all entities, including adoption in an interim period. If an entity early adopts the ASU in an interim period, any adjustments must be reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year that includes that interim period. The Company does not expect the implementation of this standard to have a material impact on its consolidated statement of operations.

In February 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-02, Income Statement Reporting Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income; (ASU 2018-02). ASU 2018-02 states an entity may elect to reclassify the income tax effects of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act on items within accumulated other comprehensive income to retained earnings. The amendments in this update are effective for all entities for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted, and the Company adopted this accounting guidance effective December 31, 2017. The amount of this reclassification was immaterial.

Note 3 Earnings Per Common Share

Diluted earnings per common share take into account the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock are exercised and converted into common stock. Proceeds assumed to have been received on such exercise or conversion, are assumed to be used to purchase shares of the Company s common

F-20

stock at the average market price during the period, as required by the treasury stock method of accounting for common stock equivalents. For purposes of calculating the basic and diluted earnings per share, the Company s reported net income is adjusted for dividends on preferred stock to determine the net income to common shareholders. Securities that could potentially dilute basic earnings per common share in future periods that were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share because to do so would have been anti-dilutive amounted to 54,029 shares as of December 31, 2017, and 148,174 shares as of December 31, 2016.

The calculations of basic and diluted earnings per common share are presented below for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016:

	For the year ended December 3					
	,	2017	2	2016		
(In thousands, except per share information)						
Net income	\$	2,458	\$	2,300		
Less: preferred stock dividends		(306)		(407)		
Income to common shareholders	\$	2,152	\$	1,893		
Average basic common shares outstanding		6,559		6,514		
Effect of dilutive stock options		226		56		
Average number of common shares used to calculate diluted earnings						
per common share		6,785		6,570		
Basic earnings per common share	\$	0.33	\$	0.29		
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	0.32	\$	0.29		

The amount of preferred stock dividends related to each series of preferred stock are presented below for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016:

	For the year ende	For the year ended December 31,						
	2017	2	016					
	(Dollars in	(Dollars in thousands)						
Preferred dividends:								
Preferred Series A	\$	\$	77					
Preferred Series B			4					
Preferred Series C	306		326					
Total preferred dividends	\$ 306	\$	407					

Note 4 Securities

Management determines the appropriate classification of debt securities at the time of purchase and re-evaluates such designation as of each balance sheet date. Securities classified as available for sale are those securities that the Company intends to hold for an indefinite period of time, but not necessarily to maturity. Available for sale securities

are carried at fair value.

Securities classified as held to maturity are those debt securities the Company has both the intent and ability to hold to maturity regardless of changes in market conditions, liquidity needs or changes in general economic conditions. These securities are carried at cost adjusted for the amortization of premium and accretion of discount, computed by a method which approximates the interest method over the terms of the securities.

The Company previously transferred investment securities from available for sale to held to maturity securities. Related to these transfers, there were net unrealized holding losses of \$3 thousand, before the impact of taxes, as of December 31, 2017, compared to net unrealized holding gains of \$12 thousand, before the impact

F-21

Other debt securities

Money market mutual fund

Total investment securities available for sale

of taxes, as of December 31, 2016, which are being amortized over the remaining life of the related securities as an adjustment of yield in a manner consistent with the accretion of discount on the same transferred debt securities. This will have no impact on the Company s net income because the amortization of the unrealized holding loss reported in equity will offset the effect on the interest income of the accretion of the discount on these securities.

The amortized cost, unrealized gains and losses, and the fair value of the Company s investment securities available for sale and held to maturity are as follows for the periods presented:

December 31, 2017

30

117

\$

(192)

1,530

2,995

\$ 70,560

	December 31, 2017						
			ross		iross	Es	stimated
	Amortized	Unr	ealized	Unr	ealized		Fair
	Cost	G	lains	L	osses		Value
		(1	Dollars in	thou	sands)		
Available For Sale:							
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 20,991	\$		\$	(20)	\$	20,971
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	4,218		168				4,386
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	25,524		16		(251)		25,289
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	111				(2)		109
Other debt securities	1,500		83				1,583
Money market mutual fund	35						35
•							
Total investment securities available for sale	\$ 52,379	\$	267	\$	(273)	\$	52,373
	,						,
Held To Maturity:							
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 18,183	\$	953	\$	(4)	\$	19,132
Other debt securities	482		51				533
Total held to maturity	\$ 18,665	\$	1,004	\$	(4)	\$	19,665
·							
			Decembe	er 31,	2016		
		(Gross	C	iross	Es	stimated
	Amortized	Unı	ealized	Unr	ealized		Fair
	Cost	(Gains	L	osses		Value
			Dollars ir				
Available For Sale:		(-	- 0 11011 5 11		, servers ,		
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 45,984	\$	8	\$	(5)	\$	45,987
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	6,103	Ψ	46	*	(10)	Ý	6,139
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	13,863		33		(176)		13,720
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	190		55		(1)		189
reactar agency contactanized mortgage congations	170				(1)		10)

Table of Contents 370

1,500

2,995

\$

\$70,635

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Held To Maturity:				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 18,561	\$ 561	\$ (33)	\$ 19,089
Other debt securities	482	13		495
Total held to maturity	\$ 19,043	\$ 574	\$ (33)	\$ 19,584

Included in unrealized losses are market losses on securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more and those securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than twelve months. The table below details the aggregate unrealized losses and aggregate fair value of the underlying securities whose fair values are below their amortized cost at December 31, 2017 and 2016.

	Lo Fa Val						Fair Value		Total Inrealized Losses	Count				
Available for Sale:										·				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations Federal agency mortgage-backed	\$ 3,	975	\$	(17)	3	\$	1,996	\$	(3)	2	\$ 5,97	1	\$ (20)	5
securities	20,	099		(162)	12	(3,416		(89)	4	23,51	5	(251)	16
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations							109		(2)	1	10	9	(2)	1
Total Available for Sale	\$ 24,	074	\$	(179)	15	\$:	5,521	\$	(94)	7	\$ 29,59	5	\$ (273)	22
Held to Maturity: Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$	195	\$	(1)	1	\$	315	\$	(3)	1	\$ 51	0	\$ (4)	2
Subdivisions	Ψ	1)5	Ψ	(1)		Ψ	313	Ψ	(5)	-	Ψ 31	0	Ψ (1)	_
Total Held to Maturity	\$	195	\$	(1)	1	\$	315	\$	(3)	1	\$ 51	0	\$ (4)	2
	Lo Fa Val	ir	Unr	12 Mor realized osses		l V	12 Mo Fair Value	nths Unro Lo	aber 31, s or long ealized osses (thousan	ger Count	Fair Value		Total Inrealized Losses	Count
Available for Sale:														
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 3,	984	\$	(5)	4	\$		\$			\$ 3,98	4	\$ (5)	4
Obligations of states and political subdivisions							872		(10)	1	87	2.	(10)	1
Federal agency mortgage-backed							0,2		(10)	-	0,1	_	(10)	-
securities	10,	667		(175)	11		39		(1)	1	10,70	6	(176)	12
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations		159		(1)	1						15	9	(1)	1
Total Available for Sale	\$ 14,	810	\$	(181)	16	\$	911	\$	(11)	2	\$ 15,72	1	\$ (192)	18
Held to Maturity:														

Obligations of states and political subdivisions		\$ (33)	7 \$	\$ \$ 2,289 \$ (33) 7
Total Held to Maturity	\$ 2,289	\$ (33)	7 \$	\$ \$ 2,289 \$ (33) 7

As of December 31, 2017, management believes that the estimated fair value of the securities disclosed above is primarily dependent upon the movement in market interest rates, particularly given the minimal inherent credit risk associated with the issuers of these securities and that the unrealized losses in these portfolios are not the result of deteriorating credit within any investment category.

Securities issued by states and political subdivisions are all rated investment grade. Each holding is reviewed quarterly for impairment by management and our third party investment advisor. All mortgage backed securities

and collateralized mortgage obligations are issued by U.S. government sponsored agencies; there are no holdings of private label mortgage backed securities or securities backed by loans classified as Alt-A or Subprime .

Although the fair value will fluctuate as market interest rates move, management believes that these fair values will recover as the underlying portfolios mature. The Company evaluates a variety of factors in concluding whether securities are other-than-temporarily impaired. These factors include, but are not limited to, the type and purpose of the bond, the underlying rating of the bond issuer, and the presence of credit enhancements (i.e. state guarantees, municipal bond insurance, collateral requirements, etc.). The Company does not intend to sell any of these securities and it is not likely to be required to sell any of these securities before recovery. Management does not believe any unrealized loss on individual securities, as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, represents other than temporary impairment.

For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 gross gains of \$416 thousand and \$795 thousand, respectively, were realized from the sale of available for sale securities.

Securities totaling \$69.0 million and \$42.0 million were pledged at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, to secure public fund deposits. In addition, securities pledged to secure borrowings by the Bank totaled \$20 thousand and \$40 thousand as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

The amortized cost and fair value of securities as of December 31, 2017 by contractual maturity are shown below. Certain of these investment securities have call features which allow the issuer to call the security prior to its maturity date at the issuer s discretion.

December 31, 2017							
Available for	Maturity						
Amortized							
Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value				
	(Dollars i	n thousands)					
\$ 18,997	\$ 18,993	\$	\$				
1,994	1,978	1,208	1,225				
1,500	1,583	1,826	1,890				
4,218	4,386	15,631	16,550				
26,709	26,940	18,665	19,665				
111	109						
25,524	25,289						
35	35						
\$ 52,379	\$ 52,373	\$ 18,665	\$ 19,665				
	Amortized Cost \$18,997 1,994 1,500 4,218 26,709 111 25,524 35	Available for Sale Securities Amortized Cost Fair Value (Dollars i) \$ 18,997 \$ 18,993 1,994 1,978 1,500 1,583 4,218 4,386 26,709 26,940 111 109 25,524 25,289 35 35	Available for Sale Securities Held to Manortized Cost Fair Value Amortized Cost (Dollars in thousands) \$ 18,997 \$ 18,993 \$ 1,994 1,978 1,208 1,500 1,583 1,826 4,218 4,386 15,631 26,709 26,940 18,665 111 109 25,524 25,289 35 35				

F-24

Note 5 Loans Receivable and Related Allowance for Loan Losses

Loans receivable consist of the following at December 31, 2017 and 2016.

	December 31,				
	2017	2016			
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 85,395	\$ 89,625			
Commercial mortgage	235,946	223,315			
Commercial construction	30,866	22,408			
Total commercial	352,207	335,348			
Residential mortgage loans	133,727	110,538			
Consumer:					
Home equity lines of credit	19,295	24,669			
Other consumer loans	13,780	17,514			
Total consumer	33,075	42,183			
Total loans	519,009	488,069			
Allowance for loan losses	(3,405)	(3,330)			
Net deferred loan cost (fees)	(82)	174			
Total loans receivable, net	\$ 515,522	\$ 484,913			

In June 2017, the Company purchased \$7.0 million in performing residential real estate loans, at a slight acquisition discount totaling \$35 thousand and in December 2017, purchased \$9.0 million of performing residential real estate loans, including acquisition premiums of \$117 thousand. Previously, in August, 2016, the Company increased its loans outstanding through the acquisition of \$64.6 million, including acquisition premiums of \$197 thousand, of various types of performing commercial loans within the Bank s market area. In June 2016, the Company purchased \$12.7 million, including acquisition premiums of \$280 thousand, of performing residential real estate loans. All portfolios of purchased loans were underwritten using similar standards as the Bank uses for its organic portfolio.

The following tables summarize the activity in the allowance for loan losses by loan class for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016:

For the year ended December 31, 2017 Allowance for Loan Losses (Dollars in thousands)

						Pro	vision		
	Beginning					for	·loan	En	nding
	Balance	Cha	rge-offs	Reco	veries	lo	sses	Ba	lance
Commercial and industrial	\$ 647	\$	(281)	\$	4	\$	296	\$	666
Commercial mortgage	1,051		(30)				57		1,078
Commercial construction	113						34		147
Residential mortgage loans	452						172		624
Home equity lines of credit	188				2		(87)		103
Other consumer loans	97		(24)		19		(18)		74
Unallocated	782						(69)		713
Total	\$3,330	\$	(335)	\$	25	\$	385	\$ 3	3,405

For the year ended December 31, 2016 Allowance for Loan Losses (Dollars in thousands)

						Pro	vision	
	Beginning					for	loan	Ending
	Balance	Cha	rge-offs	Reco	veries	lo	sses	Balance
Commercial and industrial	\$ 631	\$	(75)	\$	21	\$	70	\$ 647
Commercial mortgage	831		(72)		2		290	1,051
Commercial construction	56						57	113
Residential mortgage loans	259						193	452
Home equity lines of credit	167				9		12	188
Other consumer loans	84		(75)		15		73	97
Unallocated	767						15	782
Total	\$ 2,795	\$	(222)	\$	47	\$	710	\$ 3,330

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2017 and 2016 disaggregated on the basis of the Company s impairment method by class of loans receivable along with the balance of loans receivable by class disaggregated on the basis of the Company s impairment methodology:

	December 31, 2017										
	All	owance	for Loai	n Loss	ses		Loans	Receivab	les		
					(Dollars i	in thousands))				
		Ending	Balance	Endin	g Balance		Endin	g Balance	Endi	ing Balance	
		Indiv	idually	Coll	lectively		Indi	vidually	Co	ollectively	
		Eva	luated	Ev	aluated		Ev	aluated	E	valuated	
	Ending	f	for		for	Ending		for		for	
	Balance	Impa	irment	Imp	airment	Balance	Imp	airment	In	npairment	
Commercial and industrial	\$ 666	\$	42	\$	624	\$ 85,395	\$	582	\$	84,813	
Commercial mortgage	1,078				1,078	235,946		346		235,600	
Commercial construction	147				147	30,866				30,866	
Residential mortgage loans	624		140		484	133,727		637		133,090	
Home equity lines of											
credit	103				103	19,295				19,295	
Other consumer loans	74				74	13,780		3		13,777	
Unallocated	713				713						
Total	\$3,405	\$	182	\$	3,223	\$519,009	\$	1,568	\$	517,441	

	Alle	owance for Loa	Loans	Loans Receivables				
			(Do	llars in thousands	s)			
		Ending	Ending	Ţ	Е	nding	1	Ending
		Balance	Balance	e	В	alance	J	Balance
		Individually	Collectiv	ely	Indi	Individually		llectively
		Evaluated	Evaluate	ed	Ev	aluated	Evaluated	
	Ending	for	for	Ending		for		for
	Balance	Impairment	Impairme	ent Balance	Imp	Impairment		pairment
Commercial and industrial	\$ 647	\$	\$ 6	\$ 89,625	\$	705	\$	88,920
Commercial mortgage	1,051		1,0	51 223,315		6		223,309
Commercial construction	113		1	13 22,408				22,408
Residential mortgage loans	452	47	4	05 110,538		637		109,901
Home equity lines of								
credit	188		1	88 24,669		14		24,655
Other consumer loans	97			97 17,514		51		17,463
Unallocated	782		7	82				
Total	\$3,330	\$ 47	\$ 3,2	83 \$488,069	\$	1,413	\$	486,656

December 31, 2016

F-27

The following tables summarize information in regard to impaired loans by loan portfolio class as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 as well as for the years then ended, respectively:

		Dec	cem	ber 31,	2017	7	December 31, 2016					
			U	npaid			Unpaid					
	Rec	corded	Pr	incipal	R	elated	Red	corded	Pr	incipal	Re	lated
	Inve	estment	B	alance	All	owance	Inve	estment	В	alance	Allo	wance
					$(D \cdot$	ollars in	thoi	ısands)				
With no related allowance recorded:												
Commercial and industrial	\$	251	\$	906	\$		\$	705	\$	1,268	\$	
Commercial mortgage		346		418				6		57		
Commercial construction												
Residential mortgage loans												
Home equity lines of credit								14		16		
Other consumer loans		3		3				51		80		
With an allowance recorded:												
Commercial and industrial	\$	331	\$	331	\$	42	\$		\$		\$	
Commercial mortgage												
Commercial construction												
Residential mortgage loans		637		637		140		637		637		47
Home equity lines of credit												
Other consumer loans												
Total:												
Commercial and industrial	\$	582	\$	1,237	\$	42	\$	705	\$	1,268	\$	
Commercial mortgage		346		418				6		57		
Commercial construction												
Residential mortgage loans		637		637		140		637		637		47
Home equity lines of credit								14		16		
Other consumer loans		3		3				51		80		
Total	\$ 1	1,568	\$	2,295	\$	182	\$	1,413	\$	2,058	\$	47

	For the year ended December 31,								
		2	017			2	016		
	Av	erage	Inte	rest	Ave	erage	Int	erest	
	Rec	corded	Inco	ome	Rec	orded	Inc	come	
	Inve	estment	_	nized		stment	Reco	Recognized	
			(D_{ϵ})	ollars in	thous	ands)			
With no related allowance recorded:									
Commercial and industrial	\$	495	\$	4	\$ 1	,042	\$	9	
Commercial mortgage		88		4	1	,200		54	
Commercial construction									
Residential mortgage loans						28		1	
Home equity lines of credit						94		4	
Other consumer loans		3				170		7	
With an allowance recorded:									
Commercial and industrial	\$	196	\$		\$	503	\$	19	
Commercial mortgage		42				331		16	
Commercial construction									
Residential mortgage loans		637		23		638		24	
Home equity lines of credit									
Other consumer loans									
Total:									
Commercial and industrial	\$	691	\$	4	\$1	,545	\$	28	
Commercial mortgage		130		4	1	,531		70	
Commercial construction									
Residential mortgage loans		637		23		666		25	
Home equity lines of credit						94		4	
Other consumer loans		3				170		7	
Total	\$ 1	1,461	\$	31	\$4	,006	\$	134	

The following table presents non-accrual loans by classes of the loan portfolio as of December 31, 2017 and 2016:

	Decei	,	
	2017	2	016
	(Dollars i	n thouse	ands)
Commercial and industrial	\$ 435	\$	705
Commercial mortgage	200		6
Home equity lines of credit			14
Other consumer loans	3		51
Total loans	\$ 638	\$	776

The Company s policy for interest income recognition on non-accrual loans is to recognize income under the cash basis when the loans are both current and the collateral on the loan is sufficient to cover the outstanding obligation to the Company. The Company will not recognize income if these factors do not exist. Interest that would have been accrued on non-accruing loans under the original terms but was not recognized as interest income totaled

\$72 thousand and \$93 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

F-29

The following tables present the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate pass rating and the classified ratings of special mention, substandard and doubtful within the Company s internal risk rating system as of December 31, 2017 and 2016:

		December 31, 2017							
		Special							
	Pass	Mention	Substa	andard	Doubtful	Total			
		(Details)	ollars in	thousa	nds)				
Commercial:									
Commercial and industrial	\$ 84,811	\$	\$	584	\$	\$ 85,395			
Commercial mortgage	235,114			832		235,946			
Commercial construction	30,866					30,866			
Residential mortgage loans	133,727					133,727			
Consumer:									
Home equity lines of credit	19,295					19,295			
Other consumer loans	13,780					13,780			
Total	\$ 517,593	\$	\$	1,416	\$	\$519,009			

		December 31, 2016								
		Special								
	Pass	Mention	Subs	standard	Doubtful	Total				
		(Da)	ollars	in thousa	nds)					
Commercial:										
Commercial and industrial	\$ 88,503	\$	\$	1,122	\$	\$ 89,625				
Commercial mortgage	221,544			1,771		223,315				
Commercial construction	22,408					22,408				
Residential mortgage loans	110,538					110,538				
Consumer:										
Home equity lines of credit	24,655			14		24,669				
Other consumer loans	17,463			51		17,514				
Total	\$ 485,111	\$	\$	2,958	\$	\$488,069				

F-30

The performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio is also monitored by analyzing the age of the loans receivable as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due. The following tables present the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the past due status as of December 31, 2017 and 2016:

	December 31, 2017									
	30-59 Da	ays		G	reater				Total	
	Past	60)-89 Days	7	Γhan	Total			Loans	
	Due	I	Past Due	90	Days	Past Due	Current	Re	ceivables	
				(1	Dollar.	s in thousand	(s)			
Commercial:										
Commercial and industrial	\$ 36	5 \$	284	\$	698	\$ 1,018	\$ 84,377	\$	85,395	
Commercial mortgage	2,206	5			200	2,406	233,540		235,946	
Commercial construction							30,866		30,866	
Residential mortgage loans	329)				329	133,398		133,727	
Consumer:										
Home equity lines of credit							19,295		19,295	
Other consumer loans	33	3	65		3	101	13,679		13,780	
Total	\$ 2,604	1 \$	349	\$	901	\$ 3,854	\$515,155	\$	519,009	

	December 31, 2016										
	30-59 Day	ys	Greater			Total					
	Past	60-89 Days	Than	Total		Loans					
	Due	Past Due	90 Days	Past Due	Current	Receivables					
Commercial:											
Commercial and industrial	\$ 293	\$ 60	\$ 632	\$ 985	\$ 88,640	\$ 89,625					
Commercial mortgage			6	6	223,309	223,315					
Commercial construction					22,408	22,408					
Residential mortgage loans		104		104	110,434	110,538					
Consumer:											
Home equity lines of credit					24,669	24,669					
Other consumer loans	17		4	21	17,493	17,514					
Total	\$310	\$ 164	\$ 642	\$ 1,116	\$ 486,953	\$ 488,069					

As of December 31, 2017 there was one loan totaling \$263 thousand that is past due 90 days and still accruing interest. This single loan relationship was paid off in its entirety in January 2018, with no losses incurred. As of December 31, 2016, there were no loans 90 days past due and still accruing interest.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

The Company may grant a concession or modification for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower s declining financial condition that it would not otherwise consider, resulting in a modified loan which is then identified as a

troubled debt restructuring (TDR). The Company may modify loans through rate reductions, extensions of maturity, interest only payments, or payment modifications to better match the timing of cash flows due under the modified terms with the cash flows from the borrowers operations. Loan modifications are intended to minimize the economic loss and to avoid foreclosure or repossession of the collateral. TDRs are considered impaired loans for purposes of calculating the Company s allowance for loan losses.

The Company identifies loans for potential restructure primarily through direct communication with the borrower and evaluation of the borrower s financial statements, revenue projections, tax returns, and credit reports. Even if the borrower is not presently in default, management will consider the likelihood that cash flow shortages, adverse economic conditions, and negative trends may result in a payment default in the near future.

F-31

The following table reflects information regarding TDR s entered into by the Company for the period ended December 31, 2017.

	For t	or the year ended December 31, 2017							
]	Pre-Modification	odification						
		Outstanding	Outs	standing					
	Number of	Recorded	corded						
	Contracts	Investments	Inve	estments					
		(Dollars in thousands)							
Troubled debt restructurings:									
Commercial and industrial	2	\$ 221	\$	206					
Commercial mortgage	1	164		149					
Total	3	\$ 385	\$	355					

For the year ended December 31, 2017, there were two loans, involving one borrower, modified into trouble debt restructurings related to a participation in a combined loan relationship whereby the Bank and all other banks involved entered into an agreement to accept a payment modification which resulted in a loss recorded by the Bank of \$30 thousand and one loan for \$55 thousand, modified into an interest only payment which did not result in any losses incurred. For the year ended December 31, 2016 there were no new TDR s entered into.

The following tables summarize the balance of outstanding TDR s at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

	Number of Loans	Performing TDR s		Non-Performing TDR s		Total TDRs
	Louis	(Dollars in thousands)				1210
<u>December 31, 2017</u>						
Commercial and Industrial	2	\$	147	\$	55	\$ 202
Commercial mortgage loans	1		146			146
Residential mortgage loans	1		637			637
Total	4	\$	930	\$	55	\$ 985

	Number of Loans	TDR s		Non-Performing TDR s rs in thousands)		Total TDRs
			ınds)			
<u>December 31, 2016</u>						
Residential mortgage loans	1	\$	637	\$		\$ 637
Home equity lines of credit	1				14	14
Other consumer loans	1				20	20
Total	3	\$	637	\$	34	\$ 671

As of December 31, 2017, there was one commercial loan TDR totaling \$55 thousand which was in default and is classified as non-accrual. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016 there were no other TDR s which were subsequently in default and there were no commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose terms have been modified in TDR s.

The carrying amount of foreclosed residential mortgage properties held was \$466 thousand as of December 31, 2017. There were no consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that were in the process of foreclosure as of December 31, 2017.

Note 6 Transactions with Executive Officers, Directors and Principal Shareholders

The Company has had, and may be expected to have in the future, banking transactions in the ordinary course of business with its executive officers, directors, principal shareholders, their immediate families and affiliated

F-32

companies (commonly referred to as related parties), on the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with others and do not involve more than the normal risk of collectability. Activity of these loans is as follows:

	Decemb	December 31,	
	2017	2016	
	(Dollars in t	housands)	
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 5,466	\$ 5,722	
New loans	2,549	2,174	
Loans of Retired Officers	(773)		
Repayments	(1,686)	(2,430)	
Balance at end of year	\$ 5,556	\$ 5,466	

Deposits of related parties totaled \$9.5 million and \$7.7 million at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Note 7 Premises and Equipment

The components of premises and equipment at December 31, 2017 and 2016 are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Buildings	\$ 1,143	\$ 1,143
Leasehold improvements	1,424	1,422
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	799	818
Automobiles	20	24
Computer equipment and data processing software	765	736
	4,151	4,143
Accumulated depreciation	(2,544)	(2,388)
	\$ 1,607	\$ 1,755

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$262 thousand and \$309 thousand, respectively.

Note 8 Deposits

The components of deposits at December 31, 2017 and 2016 are as follows:

As of December 31,

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

	2017	2016
	(Dollars in	thousands)
Demand, non-interest bearing	\$ 65,634	\$ 54,817
Demand, interest-bearing	29,918	57,168
Money market and savings accounts	145,355	113,655
Time, \$100 and over	84,358	45,311
Time, other	197,885	196,737
	\$ 523,150	\$467,688

Included in time, other at December 31, 2017 and 2016 are brokered deposits of \$120.2 million, and \$138.8 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2017 and 2016 aggregate time deposits which exceed the \$250 thousand FDIC insurance limit were \$32.1 million and \$8.0 million, respectively.

At December 31, 2017, the scheduled maturities of time deposits are as follows:

	Decemb	December 31, 2017	
	(Dollars	in thousands)	
2018	\$	155,229	
2019		76,431	
2020		24,421	
2021		17,942	
2022		8,220	
Thereafter			
	\$	282,243	

The aggregate amount of demand deposit overdrafts that were reclassified as loans was \$54 thousand at December 31, 2017, compared to \$134 thousand at December 31, 2016.

Note 9 Borrowings

As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company had an unused borrowing facility with a correspondent bank totaling \$10 million, of which \$2 million is available unsecured. The remaining \$8 million is a secured line of credit with security provided, when utilized, by a pledge of the Company s investment assets.

The Bank has been a member of FHLB since March 2008. Advances are collateralized by restricted investments in FHLB bank stock totaling \$1.3 million and \$3.1 million at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, by a specific pledge of the Bank s investment assets and by a blanket lien on the Bank s loan portfolio. At December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, the Bank had borrowing capacity with the FHLB, of \$221 million and \$206 million, respectively, with advances outstanding as of those dates of \$24.6 million and \$68.2 million, respectively. Investment securities with a carrying value of \$20 thousand and \$40 thousand were specifically pledged as collateral to secure FHLB borrowings a s of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Short-Term Borrowings

At December 31, 2017 and 2016, First Priority had short-term borrowings totaling \$15.6 million and \$56.2 million, respectively, consisting of advances from the FHLB with an original maturity of less than a year. Advances from the FHLB at December 31, 2017 are collateralized by our investment in the common stock of the FHLB and by a blanket lien on selected mortgage loans within the Bank s portfolio.

F-34

The following table outlines First Priority s various sources of short-term borrowed funds at or for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. The maximum balance represents the highest indebtedness for each category of short-term borrowed funds at any month-end during each of the years shown.

	D 2017	December 31, 2017 2016	
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Federal funds purchased:			
Balance at year end	\$	\$	
Weighted average rate at year end			
Maximum month-end balance	\$ 1	9 \$	
Average daily balance during the year	\$	3 \$	2
Weighted average rate during the year	1.5	2%	0.76%
FHLB short-term borrowings:			
Balance at year end	\$ 15,62	5 \$5	56,164
Weighted average rate at year end	1.5	4%	0.74%
Maximum month-end balance	\$ 70,40	0 \$6	60,688
Average daily balance during the year	\$ 26,44	6 \$2	22,508
Weighted average rate during the year	1.1	5%	0.61%

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt, consisting of FHLB advances with an original maturity of one year or greater, totaled \$9.0 million and \$12.0 million as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Average balances outstanding totaled \$10.9 million and \$14.1 million, respectively, with an average cost of 1.30% and 1.12%, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. Advances are made pursuant to several different credit programs offered from time to time by the FHLB.

At December 31, 2017, scheduled maturities of long-term borrowings with the FHLB are as follows:

	Balance	Weighted Average Rate
	(Dollars i	in thousands)
2018	\$ 3,000	1.31%
2019	2,000	1.64%
2020	4,000	1.75%
	\$ 9,000	1.58%

Note 10 Subordinated Debt

On November 13, 2015, First Priority Bank entered into Subordinated Note Purchase Agreements with five accredited investors under which the Bank issued subordinated notes (the Notes) totaling \$9.5 million, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$9.2 million after issuance costs of \$318 thousand. The Notes have a maturity date of November 30, 2025, and will bear interest at a fixed rate of 7.00% per annum. The Notes are non-callable for an initial period of five

years and include provisions for redemption pricing between 101.5% and 100.5% of the total of \$9.5 million, plus accrued but unpaid interest thereon up to but excluding the redemption date, if called after five years but prior to the maturity date.

F-35

Note 11 Lease Commitments

Pursuant to the terms of non-cancellable lease agreements in effect at December 31, 2017, pertaining to premises, future minimum lease payments by year and in the aggregate, under these lease agreements, are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Minimum Lease Payments
2018	\$ 1,246
2019	1,266
2020	1,172
2021	971
2022	878
Thereafter	2,832
	\$ 8,365

The minimum lease payments shown above include payments for the entire current term. Option periods for which the Company has an option to extend the lease beyond current periods have not been included as part of the minimum lease payments.

Lease expense for all leases for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$1.09 million and \$1.13 million, respectively.

During 2016, the Bank closed its Plumstead branch office location upon reaching the end of the initial lease term.

Note 12 Severance and Employment Agreements

On December 19, 2013, the board of directors of the Company approved severance agreements between the Company and participating senior officers. Under the First Priority Bank Severance Plan (the Severance Plan), which is a broad-based severance plan applicable to certain employees of the Company and the Bank. Each participating senior officer will receive a severance benefit equal to continued base salary, as defined, for a period ranging between six and eighteen months in the event that the officer s employment is terminated within one year following a change in control as a result of a work force reduction or job elimination.

In addition, each senior officer also received a grant of stock options under the Company s existing Stock Compensation Program. These issued options, totaling 355,000, which vest only upon a change in control of the Company, will remain outstanding for a period of ten years, expiring as of December 19, 2023, at an exercise price of \$5.25.

On December 19, 2013, as part of the Company s long-term incentive compensation program, each senior officer also received a grant of restricted stock under the Company s Stock Compensation Program, totaling 89,500 shares in aggregate, which vested three years from the grant date.

The Company has an employment agreement with its president, who does not participate in the previously mentioned Severance Plan. The employment agreement provides for a three year term that is automatically renewed on each anniversary date of the agreement for an additional year unless either party gives notice to the other of non-renewal at least 60 days prior to such anniversary date. The agreement provides for a base salary and benefits commensurate with other First Priority executive officers, including health insurance, vacation, use of a company vehicle, supplemental life insurance and disability coverage, among other things. The agreement can be terminated for cause as defined in the agreement. If First Priority were to terminate its president s employment without cause, or if the president were to terminate his employment for good reason, as defined, including but not limited to, change in control of First Priority, he will be entitled to receive post termination

F-36

benefits as follows: an amount equal to three (3) times the sum of (i) the highest salary paid to him in the year of termination or prior two calendar years and (ii) the highest bonus paid to him in one of the three calendar years prior to termination and (iii) an adjustment for benefits.

Note 13 Shareholders Equity

The Company amended its articles of incorporation, effective May 4, 2016, to increase the number of authorized shares of its common stock, \$1.00 par value, from 10,000,000 shares to 20,000,000 shares. The amendment was approved by shareholders at the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders held on May 3, 2016.

As of December 31, 2017 and 2016 the Company had 3,404 shares of 9% fixed rate, Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock outstanding totaling \$3.4 million.

On January 22, 2016, First Priority redeemed \$6.0 million of its outstanding Preferred Stock for a total redemption price of \$1,016.75 per share which included accrued dividends. This redemption included all shares of outstanding Series A (4,579 shares; par value \$1,000) and Series B (229 shares; par value \$1,000); and approximately 25.9% of Series C (1,192 shares of 4,596 shares outstanding; par value \$1,000). The shares of Series C were selected for redemption on a pro rata basis. After the completion of these redemptions, 3,404 shares of Series C Preferred Stock are outstanding as of December 31, 2017.

The Preferred Stock has no maturity date and ranks senior to common stock with respect to dividends and upon liquidation, dissolution, or winding up. The Company may redeem the Preferred Stock, in whole or in part, at its liquidation preference plus accrued and unpaid dividends.

Note 14 Stock Compensation Program

In 2005, the Company adopted the 2005 Stock Compensation Program, which was amended at the Company s annual meeting on April 23, 2009 as the 2009 Stock Compensation Program (the Program) and further amended effective March 18, 2010. The Program allows equity benefits to be awarded in the form of Incentive Stock Options, Compensatory Stock Options or Restricted Stock. The Program authorizes the Board of Directors to grant options up to an aggregate maximum of 1,207,957 shares to officers, other employees and directors of the Company, including 382,957 shares which were authorized for grant under this plan as a result of the merger with Affinity. Only employees of the Company will be eligible to receive Incentive Stock Options and such grants are subject to the limitations under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code.

All stock options granted under the Program fully vest in four years from the date of grant (or potentially earlier upon a change of control), excluding options issued in regards to the Company s Severance Plan which vest only upon change in control, and options terminate ten years from the date of the grant. The exercise price of the options granted is the fair value of a share of common stock at the time of the grant.

F-37

A summary of the Stock Option Plan is presented below:

	For the Years Ended December 31,			
	2017		2016	
	Options		Options to	
	to	Weighted	Purchase	Weighted
	Purchase	Average	Common	Average
	Common	Exercise	Shares	Exercise
	Shares	Price	(1)	Price
Outstanding at beginning of year	955,060	\$ 6.35	970,193	\$ 6.41
Granted during year	7,500	7.92	37,500	5.93
Forfeited/cancelled during the year	(40,000)	6.19	(32,500)	5.91
Exercised			(2,000)	5.73
Expired	(114,690)	10.08	(18,133)	9.65
Outstanding at end of year	807,870	\$ 5.84	955,060	\$ 6.35
Exercisable at end of year	239,870	\$ 6.62	354,560	\$ 7.74

(1) Included in options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2016 are 100,000 organizer options, with an exercise price of \$10.00 per share which expired on October 18, 2017.

The weighted average remaining contractual lives of all outstanding stock options and exercisable at December 31, 2017 and 2016 were 5.46 years and 3.05 years, respectively, and 5.84 years and 2.98 years, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of all outstanding stock options based on the closing stock price was \$2.5 million as of December 31, 2017, including \$586 thousand related to currently exercisable options, and \$705 thousand as of December 31, 2016, including \$150 thousand related to exercisable options.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	2017	2016
Dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%
Expected life	7 years	7 years
Expected volatility	23%	26%
Risk-free interest rate	2.04%	1.63%
Weighted average fair value	\$ 2.34	\$ 1.83

For option grants to individual employees, the Company assumes no forfeitures. For option grants made to a group of employees, a 10% forfeiture rate is typically assumed at the date of the grant, and compared to actual forfeitures on an annual basis.

The dividend yield assumption is based on the Company s history and expectation of dividend payouts. Due to the Company s lack of sufficient historical exercise data and the limited period of time for which shares have been issued,

the simplified method is used to determine the expected life of options, calculated as the average of the sum of the vesting term and original contractual term for all periods presented. The expected volatility percentage is based on the average expected volatility of similar public financial institutions in the Company s market area. The risk-free interest rates for periods within the contractual life of the awards are based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

As of December 31, 2017, there was \$146 thousand of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options granted after January 1, 2007, excluding those stock options issued in conjunction with the severance plan (see Note 12). That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.72 years. There was no tax benefit recognized related to this stock-based compensation. There are 355,000 stock options issued in connection with the termination of the previously executed change in control agreements and

F-38

the adoption of the severance plan with \$576 thousand of unrecognized compensation cost which will only be recognized if a change in control occurs, based on the options which remain outstanding and are probable to vest at that time. During 2015, included in the options granted, there were 99,000 performance options issued to various employees. Certain performance criteria must be achieved over the performance period in order for the option granted to vest. Performance is analyzed on a quarterly basis to determine if the specific required performance is more likely than not to be achieved. Based on this evaluation, 82,500 performance options were cancelled to date, leaving 16,500 outstanding as of December 31, 2017. These non-vested options may be further adjusted in the future if the performance measures are not met.

Restricted stock grants fully vest after a minimum of three years from the date of grant (or potentially earlier upon a change of control or retirement after the age of 66), subject to the recipient remaining an employee of the Company. Directors are also granted restricted stock as part of their compensation for their services on the Board of Directors, or related Committees, as approved by the Compensation Committee of the Board. Upon issuance of the shares, resale of the shares is restricted during the vesting period, during which the shares may not be sold, pledged, or otherwise disposed of. Prior to the vesting date and in the event the recipient terminates association with Company for any reasons other than death, disability or change of control, the recipient forfeits all rights to the shares that would otherwise be issued under the grant. Compensation expense related to restricted stock awards granted under the Plan is determined at the date of the award based on the estimated fair value of the shares and is amortized over the vesting period. As of December 31, 2017, there was \$520 thousand of unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock, which will be amortized through July 31, 2021.

A summary of restricted stock award activity is presented below for the years presented:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	
Outstanding unvested shares at beginning of year	89,450	165,474	
Shares granted during year	52,700	36,250	
Shares forfeited/cancelled during year	(4,450)	(725)	
Vested Shares during this period	(13,550)	(111,549)	
Outstanding unvested shares at end of year	124,150	89,450	

Note 15 Federal Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets (DTA) are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of evidence available, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized. When determining the need for a valuation allowance, the Company assessed the possible sources of taxable income available under tax law to realize a tax benefit for deductible temporary differences and carryforwards, as defined by Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 740-10-30-18. This guidance related to when a valuation allowance on the DTA should be maintained, generally provides that the valuation allowance should be reversed, when in the judgment of management, it is more likely than not that the DTA will be realized. First Priority has determined that it is more likely than not that the net deferred tax asset will be realized, and therefore, in its judgment, a valuation allowance related to net deferred tax assets is not required.

When evaluating the Company s deferred tax asset and related valuation allowance, management considered the four sources of taxable income identified in ASC Topic 740-10-30-18 including:

Future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences.

Taxable income in prior carryback year(s) if carryback is permitted under the tax law.

Tax-planning strategies (see paragraph 740-10-30-19) that would, if necessary, be implemented

Future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards

F-39

Additionally, the Company also considers tax planning strategies which could accelerate taxable income and allow the Company to take advantage of future deductible differences. A qualified tax-planning strategy is an action that (a) is prudent and feasible; (b) a company ordinarily might not take, but would take to prevent an operating loss or tax credit carryforward from expiring unused; and (c) would result in the realization of deferred tax assets.

The components of the net deferred tax asset at December 31, 2017 and 2016 are as follows:

	December 31,		
	2017	2016	
	(Dollars in	n thousands)	
Deferred tax assets:			
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 583	\$ 701	
Organization and start-up costs	35	75	
Net operating loss carryforwards		833	
Net operating loss carryforwards acquired	231	670	
Contribution carryforward		9	
Non-qualified stock option expense	208	283	
Other real estate owned deferred costs	18	215	
Unrealized loss on investment securities	3	21	
Alternative minimum tax carryforward		199	
Property and equipment	35	35	
Unfunded loan commitment reserve	7	12	
Total Deferred Tax Assets	1,120	3,053	
Deferred tax liabilities:			
Acquisition accounting	66	135	
Cash basis conversions	120	206	
Discount accretion on investment securities	11	15	
Total Deferred Tax Liabilities	197	356	
Net Deferred Tax Asset	\$ 923	\$ 2,697	

First Priority s net deferred tax asset as of December 31, 2017 includes \$231 thousand related to net operating losses (NOL) acquired related to the acquisition of Prestige Community Bank and Affinity Bancorp which are subject to certain limitations and expire in 2028 and 2032, respectively, if not fully utilized. The acquired NOL carryforward balance of \$1.1 million remains available to reduce future federal income taxes as of December 31, 2017.

The following table presents the income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

For the year ended December 31, 2017 2016

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

	(Dollars in thousands)			sands)
Current tax expense:				
Federal	\$	227	\$	94
State		19		46
Deferred Income tax expense:				
Federal		1,755		988
Income tax expense	\$	2,001	\$	1,128

Reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax expense computed at 34% to the income tax expense included in the consolidated statements of income is as follows:

	For the ye December 2017 (Dollars in the second sec	per 31, 2016
Federal income tax expense at statutory rate of 34%:	\$ 1,516	\$ 1,165
Increase (decrease) in taxes resulting from:		
Tax exempt interest income, net	(159)	(109)
Tax exempt income on bank owned life insurance	(24)	(26)
Applicable state income taxes	13	30
Stock compensation adjustment for expired options	(3)	30
Tax Cut and Jobs Act adjustment to deferred tax asset	571	
Other	87	38
Federal income tax expense	\$ 2,001	\$ 1,128

The year ended December 31, 2017 included a non-recurring non-cash reduction in the value of First Priority s net deferred tax asset which resulted in a charge of \$571 thousand and is included in the provision for income tax expense. This income tax adjustment was a result of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, enacted on December 22, 2017, which lowered First Priority s future maximum corporate tax rate from 34 percent to 21 percent. Although this reduced rate will provide tax savings in future periods, this charge was required to write-down First Priority s DTA which was previously valued based upon the projection of a 34 percent future tax benefit.

The Company s policy for recording interest and penalties associated with audits is to record such items as a component of income before income taxes. Penalties are recorded in other expenses, net, and interest paid or received is recorded in interest expense or interest income, respectively, in the consolidated statement of income. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, there was no interest or penalties accrued for the Company. With limited exception, tax years prior to 2014 are closed to examination by Federal and State taxing authorities.

Note 16 Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheet.

The Company s exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments.

At December 31, 2017 and 2016, outstanding commitments to extend credit consisting of total unfunded commitments under lines of credit were \$115.2 million and \$98.2 million, respectively. In addition, as of each of these dates, there were \$2.3 million and \$958 thousand of performance standby letters of credit outstanding, respectively, and

\$1.7 million of financial standby letters of credit as of each respective date, issued on behalf of the Bank s customers.

As of December 31, 2017 the Company did not have any deposit letters of credit outstanding; however as of December 31, 2016, the Company had deposit letters of credit totaling \$16.0 million, issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB), as required to provide collateral on certain municipal deposits maintained at the Bank. These deposit letters of credit were secured by a blanket lien on selected mortgage loans within First Priority Bank s portfolio.

F-41

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. The Bank evaluates each customer s credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management s credit evaluation. Collateral held varies but may include residential or commercial real estate, accounts receivable, inventory and equipment.

Note 17 Regulatory Matters

The Bank s capital amounts (dollars in thousands) and ratios at December 31, 2017 and 2016 are presented below:

					To be Well C	apitalized
			Minimum (Minimum Capital		Corrective
	Actu	ıal	Requirer	nent	Action Pro	visions
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
December 31, 2017						
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$59,886	12.15%	\$ 39,434	>8.0%	\$ >49,292	>10.0%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	47,215	9.58	>29,575	>6.0	>39,434	>8.0
Tier 1 common equity capital (to						
risk-weighted assets)	47,215	9.58	>22,182	>4.5	>32,040	>6.5
Tier 1 capital (to total assets)	47,215	8.08	>23,384	>4.0	>29,230	>5.0
December 31, 2016						
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$55,900	12.07%	\$>37,064	>8.0%	\$ >46,330	>10.0%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	43,328	9.35	>27,798	>6.0	>37,064	>8.0
Tier 1 common equity capital (to						
risk-weighted assets)	43,328	9.35	>20,848	>4.5	>30,114	>6.5
Tier 1 capital (to total assets)	43,328	7.87	>22,023	>4.0	>27,528	>5.0

The federal banking agencies approved rules that implemented the Dodd-Frank requirements and certain other regulatory capital reforms which were designed to enhance such requirements and implement the revised standards of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, commonly referred to as Basel III.

Under these rules, in order to avoid limitations on capital distributions (including dividend payments and certain discretionary bonus payments to executive officers), a banking organization must hold a capital conservation buffer comprised of common equity tier 1 capital above its minimum risk-based capital requirements in an amount greater than 2.5% of total risk-weighted assets. The capital contribution buffer requirements is being phased in over a three-year period beginning January 1, 2016 and was 0.625% in 2016, 1.25% during 2017 and is 1.875% in 2018. The capital buffer requirement, on a fully phased-in basis as of January 1, 2019, effectively raises the minimum required common equity Tier 1 capital ratio to 7.0% (5.125% in 2016 and 5.75% in 2017), the Tier 1 capital ratio to 8.5% (6.625% in 2016 and 7.25% in 2017), and the total capital ratio to 10.5% (8.625% in 2016 and 9.25% in 2017).

First Priority s ability to pay cash dividends is dependent on receiving cash in the form of dividends from the Bank. However, certain restrictions exist regarding the ability of the Bank to transfer funds to First Priority in the form of cash dividends. All dividends are currently subject to prior approval of the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities and the FDIC and are payable only from the undivided profits of the Bank, with the exception of an

exemption enacted by the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities which allows the Bank to pay dividends related to preferred stock originally issued under the U.S. Department of the Treasury s Troubled Asset Relief Program Capital Purchase Program. Additionally, First Priority has met the requirement

F-42

of obtaining prior approval from the Federal Reserve Bank on any payment of dividends including dividends on the aforementioned preferred stock, when net income for the past four quarters is not sufficient to fund the dividend payments over that same period or when such payment would negatively impact capital adequacy of the Company.

Note 18 Fair Value Measurements and Fair Values of Financial Instruments

Management uses its best judgment in estimating the fair value of the Bank s financial instruments; however, there are inherent weaknesses in any estimation technique. Therefore, for substantially all financial instruments, the fair value estimates herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could have realized in a sales transaction on the dates indicated. The estimated fair value amounts have been measured as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 and have not been re-evaluated or updated for purposes of these financial statements subsequent to those respective dates. As such, the estimated fair values of these financial instruments subsequent to the respective reporting dates may be different than the amounts reported at each year-end.

The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 820 Fair Value Measurements, the fair value of a financial instrument is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in some instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Company s various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument.

The fair value guidance provides a consistent definition of fair value, which focuses on exit price in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. If there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, a change in valuation technique or the use of multiple valuation techniques may be appropriate. In such instances, determining the price at which willing market participants would transact at the measurement date under current market conditions depends on the facts and circumstances and requires the use of significant judgment. The fair value is a reasonable point within the range that is most representative of fair value under current market conditions.

FASB ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methods used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under FASB ASC Topic 820 are as follows:

- Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.
- Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported with little or no market activity).

An asset s or liability s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 there were no changes in methodologies for determining fair value measurements and there were no transfers between fair value hierarchy levels.

F-43

For financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at December 31, 2017 and 2016 are as follows:

(Level 1) Quoted Prices in

Active

(Level 2)

Significant

(Level 3)

Description	Fair Value	Markets for Identical Assets	Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs
1		(Dollars in	_	1
As of December 31, 2017:			·	
Investment securities available for sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and				
corporations	\$ 20,971	\$	\$ 20,971	\$
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	4,386		4,386	
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	25,289		25,289	
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	109		109	
Other debt securities	1,583		1,583	
Money market mutual fund	35	35		
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring				
basis	\$ 52,373	\$ 35	\$ 52,338	\$
		(Level 1) Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical	(Level 2) Significant Other Observable	(Level 3) Significant Unobservable
Description	Fair Value	Assets (Dollars in	Inputs	Inputs
As of December 31, 2016:		`		
Investment securities available for sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and				
corporations	\$ 45,987	\$	\$ 45,987	\$
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	6,139		6,139	
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	13,720		13,720	
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	189		189	
Other debt securities	1,530		1,530	
Money market mutual fund	2,995	2,995		

Table of Contents 407

\$70,560

\$

2,995

\$ 67,565

Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring

basis

F-44

For financial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at December 31, 2017 and 2016 are as follows:

	(Leve	el 1) Quoted			
	P	rices in			
		Active	(Level 2)	(Le	vel 3)
	Ma	arkets for Si	ignificant Other	Sign	ificant
	Id	dentical	Observable	Unobs	servable
Description Fair	Value	Assets	Inputs	In	puts
		(Dollars in t	thousands)		
As of December 31, 2017:					
Impaired loans \$1	,119 \$		\$	\$	1,119
Other real estate owned	69				69
Total assets measured at fair value on a					
nonrecurring basis \$1	,188 \$		\$	\$	1,188
As of December 31, 2016:					
Impaired loans \$1	,257 \$		\$	\$	1,257
Other real estate owned	679				679
Total assets measured at fair value on a					
nonrecurring basis \$1	,936 \$		\$	\$	1,936

Management generally uses a discounted appraisal technique in valuing impaired assets, resulting in the discounting of the collateral values underlying each impaired asset. A discounted tax assessment rate has been applied for smaller assets to determine the discounted collateral value. All impaired loans are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. Collateral may be real estate and / or business assets. Impaired loans are reviewed and evaluated on at least a quarterly basis for additional impairment.

Quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements at December 31, 2017 is included in the table below:

	r Value in thousands	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs (2)		ings (Weighted age) (3)
Impaired loans	\$ 1,119	Appraisal of real estate collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments	0%-75%	(12.19%)
			Liquidation expenses	0%-10%	(8.47%)
Other real estate owned	\$ 69	Appraisal of collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments	0%	(0.00%)
			Liquidation expenses	8%	(8.00%)

F-45

Quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements at December 31, 2016 is included in the table below:

	 r Value in thousands)	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs (2)		ings (Weighted verage) (3)
Impaired loans	\$ 1,257	Appraisal of real estate collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments	0%-25%	(4.87%)
		Valuation of business assets used as collateral (4)	Valuation adjustments	0%-80%	(75.32%)
			Liquidation expenses	0%-10%	(8.01%)
Other real estate owned	\$ 679	Appraisal of collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments	0%	(0.00%)
			Liquidation expenses	5%-7%	(6.94%)

- (1) Fair Value is generally determined through independent appraisals of the underlying collateral, which include Level 3 inputs that are not identifiable.
- (2) Appraisals may be adjusted by management for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses.
- (3) The range and weighted average of qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses are presented as a percent of the appraised value.
- (4) Fair value is generally determined based on specific customer s business assets, such as accounts receivable, which have been used as collateral for loans.

Valuation of real estate collateral may be discounted based on the age of the existing appraisal. Discounts are typically not taken for recent appraisals. Valuations related to business assets used as collateral are typically discounted more heavily due to the inherent level of uncertainty in determining the fair value of these types of assets. Liquidation costs relating to these assets are charged to expense.

Other real estate owned measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis consists of properties acquired as a result of accepting a deed in lieu of foreclosure, foreclosure or through other means related to collateral on Bank loans which resulted in a gain or loss recognized during the period. Costs relating to the development or improvement of assets are capitalized and costs relating to holding the property are charged to expense. At December 31, 2017 and 2016, the fair value of other real estate owned consists of balances of \$69 thousand and \$1.2 million, respectively, net of valuation allowances of \$38 thousand, and \$520 thousand, respectively. Fair value is generally determined based upon

independent third-party appraisals of the property.

Real estate properties acquired through, or in lieu of, foreclosure are to be sold and are carried at fair value less estimated cost to sell. Fair value is based upon independent market prices or appraised value of the property. These assets are included in Level 3 fair value based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following information should not be interpreted as an estimate of the fair value of the entire Company since a fair value calculation is only provided for a limited portion of the Company s assets and liabilities. Due to a wide range of valuation techniques and the degree of subjectivity used in making the estimates, comparisons between the Company s disclosures and those of other companies may not be meaningful.

F-46

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values of the Company s financial instruments at December 31, 2017 and 2016:

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and short-term instruments approximate those assets fair values.

Securities

The fair value of securities available for sale (carried at fair value) and held to maturity (carried at amortized cost) are determined by obtaining quoted market prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1), or matrix pricing (Level 2), which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted market prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted prices.

Loans Receivable

The fair values of loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using market rates at the balance sheet date that reflect the credit and interest rate-risk inherent in the loans. Projected future cash flows are calculated based upon contractual maturity or call dates, projected repayments and prepayments of principal.

Impaired Loans

Impaired loans, which are included in loans receivable, are those that are accounted for under FASB ASC Topic 310, Receivables , in which the Company has measured impairment generally based on the fair value of the loan s collateral. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third party appraisals of the properties, or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values, based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. The fair value of the impaired loans consists of the loan balances, net of any valuation allowance. As of December 31, 2017 the fair value of impaired loans consisted of loan balances with an allowance recorded of \$968 thousand, net of valuation allowances of \$182 thousand; and loan balances with no related allowance recorded of \$529 thousand, net of partial charge-offs of \$196 thousand. As of December 31, 2016 the fair value of impaired loans consisted of loan balances with an allowance recorded of \$637 thousand, net of valuation allowances of \$46 thousand; and loan balances with no related allowance recorded of \$862 thousand, net of partial charge-offs of \$196 thousand.

Restricted Investment in Bank Stock

The carrying amount of restricted investment in bank stock approximates fair value, and considers the limited marketability of such securities.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable

The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable approximates fair value.

Deposit Liabilities

The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (interest and noninterest checking), money market and savings accounts are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered in the market to the maturities of the time deposits.

F-47

Short-Term Borrowings

The carrying amounts of short-term borrowings approximate their fair values.

Long-Term Debt

Fair values of FHLB advances are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on quoted prices for new FHLB advances with similar credit risk characteristics, terms and remaining maturity. These prices obtained from this active market represent a market value that is deemed to represent the transfer price if the liability were assumed by a third party.

Subordinated Debt

The fair values of subordinated debt has been estimated using discounted cash flow calculation taking into account contractual maturities, call features, and market interest rates for instruments with similar financial and credit characteristics. These instruments are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

Fair values for the Company s off-balance sheet financial instruments (lending commitments and letters of credit) are based on fees currently charged in the market to enter into similar agreements, taking into account, the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties credit standing.

At December 31, 2017 and 2016, the estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments were as follows:

	December 31, 2017				
	Carrying				
	Amount	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
		(Doll	ars in thousa	ınds)	
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,257	\$ 8,257	\$ 8,257	\$	\$
Securities available for sale	52,373	52,373	35	52,338	
Securities held to maturity	18,665	19,665		19,665	
Loans receivable, net	515,522	519,721			519,721
Restricted stock	1,416	1,416		1,416	
Accrued interest receivable	2,007	2,007		2,007	
Liabilities:					
Deposits	523,150	521,922		521,922	
Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh					
advances	24,625	24,546		24,546	
Subordinated debt	9,231	9,539		9,539	
Accrued interest payable	844	844		844	
Off-balance sheet credit related					
instruments:					

Commitments to extend credit

F-48

	December 31, 2016				
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Level 1 ars in thouse	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:		(2011)			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,761	\$ 4,761	\$ 4,761	\$	\$
Securities available for sale	70,560	70,560	2,995	67,565	
Securities held to maturity	19,043	19,584		19,584	
Loans receivable, net	484,913	490,890			490,890
Restricted stock	3,257	3,257		3,257	
Accrued interest receivable	1,817	1,817		1,817	
Liabilities:					
Deposits	467,688	467,616		467,616	
Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh					
advances	68,164	68,157		68,157	
Subordinated debt	9,207	9,273		9,273	
Accrued interest payable	510	510		510	
Off-balance sheet credit related instruments:					

Note 19 Parent Company Only Financial Information

Condensed financial statements of First Priority Financial Corp. follow:

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

	2017	mber 31, 2016 n thousands)	
Assets	(Bottars t	n mousanas)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27	\$ 243	
Investment in subsidiary	50,118	47,454	
Deferred taxes	238	349	
Receivable due from bank subsidiary	119		
Other assets	18	32	
Total assets	\$50,520	\$48,078	
Liabilities and shareholders equity			
Other liabilities	\$ 24	\$ 32	
Shareholders equity	50,496	48,046	
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$50,520	\$48,078	

F-49

CONDENSED INCOME STATEMENTS

	For the year ended December 31, 2017 2016		
Dividend income from subsidiary, net of dividends paid by Bank	\$ 306	thousands) \$ 407	
Interest from subsidiary	1	2	
Total income	307	409	
Non-interest expenses	103	161	
Income before taxes and equity in undistributed net income of			
subsidiary	204	248	
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	112	(54)	
Income before equity in undistributed net income of subsidiary	92	302	
Equity in undistributed net income of subsidiary	2,366	1,998	
Net income	\$ 2,458	\$ 2,300	

STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Decem 2017	ear ended ber 31, 2016 thousands)
Net income	\$ 2,458	\$ 2,300
Other comprehensive (loss) income:		
Securities available for sale: Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale	484	405
Reclassification adjustment for realized gains included in net income	(416)	(795)
Tax effect	(23)	133
Net (losses) gains arising during the period	45	(257)
Net unrealized holding losses on securities transferred between available for sale and held to maturity:		
Amortization of net unrealized holding losses to income during the period	(14)	(31)
Tax effect	5	10
Net unrealized holding losses on securities transferred during the period	(9)	(21)

Total other comprehensive (loss) income	36	(278)
Total comprehensive income	\$ 2,494	\$ 2,022

F-50

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2017	7	2016
	(Dolla	irs in the	ousands)
Operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 2,4	58	\$ 2,300
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Equity in undistributed net income of subsidiary, net of dividends from Bank	(2,6	28)	(2,326)
Stock based compensation distribution from Bank	2	62	328
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	1	11	(54)
Net increase in other assets	(1	05)	(4)
Net (decrease) increase in other liabilities		(8)	3
Net cash provided by operating activities		90	247
Financing activities:			
Redemptions of preferred stock			(6,000)
Proceeds from the exercise of common stock options			12
Cash dividends paid on preferred stock	(3	06)	(427)
Net cash used in financing activities	(3	06)	(6,415)
Net decrease in cash	(2	16)	(6,168)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	2	43	6,411
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	27	\$ 243

Note 20 Subsequent Event

Merger with Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

As previously announced on January 16, 2018, First Priority entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) with Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. (Mid Penn) pursuant to which First Priority will merge with and into Mid Penn (the Merger), with Mid Penn being the surviving corporation in the Merger. On a pro forma basis, at December 31, 2017, the combined company would have approximately \$2.2 billion in total assets, \$1.6 billion in loans, \$1.8 billion in deposits and \$170 million in equity capital. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, shareholders of First Priority will receive 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn common stock for each share of First Priority common stock they own. Subject to customary closing conditions including regulatory and shareholder approvals, it is expected that the Merger will be completed in the third quarter of 2018. The combination will establish a community bank with 37 retail locations serving 12 counties in Pennsylvania and will have a geographical presence in southeastern Pennsylvania in Berks, Bucks Chester and Montgomery counties, central Pennsylvania in Cumberland, Dauphin, Lancaster, Luzerne, Northumberland and Schuylkill counties and western Pennsylvania in Fayette and Westmoreland counties resulting from Mid Penn s acquisition of Scottdale Bank & Trust on January 8, 2018.

F-51

First Priority Financial Corp.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited, in thousands, except share and per share data)

	March 31, 2018	Dec	cember 31, 2017
Assets			
Cash and due from banks	\$ 5,474	\$	5,591
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	25,021		2,666
Total cash and cash equivalents	30,495		8,257
Securities available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost: \$36,371 and \$52,379,			
	25.500		50.050
respectively)	35,798		52,373
Securities held to maturity, at amortized cost (fair value: \$19,231 and \$19,665,			
respectively)	18,548		18,665
Loans receivable	518,252		518,927
Less: allowance for loan losses	3,405		3,405
Less, and wance for foun fosses	3,103		3,103
Net loans	514,847		515,522
Restricted investments in bank stocks	2,032		1,416
Premises and equipment, net	2,025		1,607
Bank owned life insurance	3,342		3,326
Accrued interest receivable	1,896		2,007
Other real estate owned	440		550
Deferred taxes	937		923
Goodwill	2,725		2,725
Intangible assets with finite lives, net	154		169
Other assets	1,395		2,402
Total Assets	\$ 614,634	\$	609,942
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity			
Liabilities:			
Deposits:	¢ (0.457	ф	(5 (2))
Non-interest bearing	\$ 69,457	\$	65,634
Interest-bearing	442,529		457,516
Total deposits	511,986		523,150
Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh advances	40,025		24,625
Subordinated debt	9,238		9,231
Accrued interest payable	841		844
Other liabilities	1,321		1,596
	1,521		1,500

Total Liabilities	563,411	559,446
Shareholders Equity:		
Preferred stock, Series C, 9%, \$100 par value; authorized 10,000,000 shares:		
liquidation value: \$1,000 per share, 3,404 shares issued and outstanding; liquidation		
value: \$3,404 as of each date presented.	3,404	3,404
Common stock, \$1 par value; authorized 20,000,000 shares issued and outstanding:		
2018: 6,646,469; 2017: 6,577,969	6,646	6,578
Surplus	41,267	40,843
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	362	(323)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(456)	(6)
Total Shareholders Equity	51,223	50,496
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$ 614,634	\$ 609,942

 $See\ notes\ to\ unaudited\ consolidated\ financial\ statements.$

Table of Contents

First Priority Financial Corp.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2018	2017	
Interest and Dividend Income			
Loans receivable, including fees	\$ 5,885	\$ 5,293	
Securities taxable	328	268	
Securities exempt from federal taxes	97	137	
Interest bearing deposits and other	44	42	
Total Interest and Dividend Income	6,354	5,740	
Interest Expense			
Deposits	1,333	1,000	
Short-term borrowings	125	58	
Long-term debt	36	35	
Subordinated debt	173	172	
Total Interest Expense	1,667	1,265	
Net Interest Income	4,687	4,475	
Provision for Loan Losses	20	10	
Net Interest Income after Provision for Loan Losses	4,667	4,465	
Non-Interest Income			
Wealth management fee income	41	39	
Gains on sales of investment securities		25	
Bank owned life insurance income	16	18	
Other	107	96	
Total Non-Interest Income	164	178	
Non-Interest Expenses			
Salaries and employee benefits	2,215	2,026	
Occupancy and equipment	501	455	
Data processing equipment and operations	258	228	
Professional fees	211	172	
Marketing, advertising, and business development	58	40	
FDIC insurance assessments	142	146	

425

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Pennsylvania bank shares tax expense	98	90
Other real estate owned (gains) expense, net	(45)	32
Merger related costs	92	
Other	329	309
Total Non-Interest Expenses	3,859	3,498
Income before Income Tax Expense	972	1,145
Income Tax Expense	210	363
Net Income	\$ 762	\$ 782
Preferred dividends	77	77
Income to Common Shareholders	\$ 685	\$ 705
Income per common share:		
Basic	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.11
Diluted	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.11
Weighted average common shares outstanding:		
Basic	6,636	6,534
Diluted	6,974	6,705
See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.		

First Priority Financial Corp.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

(Unaudited, in thousands)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,			Ended	
	2	2018	2	2017	
Net income	\$	762	\$	782	
Other comprehensive (loss) income: Securities available for sale:					
Change in unrealized (loss) gain on securities available for sale		(567)		196	
Reclassification adjustment for realized gains on sale of investment securities included in net income		(307)		(25)	
Tax effect		119		(58)	
Net (losses) gains arising during the period		(448)		113	
Net unrealized holding losses on securities transferred between available for sale and held to maturity:					
Amortization of net unrealized holding losses to interest and dividend income on taxable securities during the period		(3)		(5)	
Tax effect		1		2	
Net unrealized holding losses on securities transferred during the period		(2)		(3)	
Total other comprehensive (loss) income		(450)		110	
Total comprehensive income	\$	312	\$	892	

 $See\ notes\ to\ unaudited\ consolidated\ financial\ statements.$

First Priority Financial Corp.

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2018 and 2017

(Unaudited, dollars in thousands)

	Preferred	Common	Complex		umulated	Comp In	omulated Other orehensive ocome	T-4-1
Balance December 31, 2016	Stock \$ 3,404	Stock \$ 6,530	Surplus \$ 40,629	\$	Deficit (2,475)	\$	loss) (42)	Total \$48,046
Preferred stock dividends	Ψ 5,101	Ψ 0,550	Ψ 10,02)	Ψ	(77)	Ψ	(12)	(77)
Issuance of 11,200 shares of restricted					()			(,,,
common stock, net of forfeitures		11	(11)					
Net income					782			782
Other comprehensive income							110	110
Stock based compensation expense			55					55
Balance March 31, 2017	\$ 3,404	\$ 6,541	\$40,673	\$	(1,770)	\$	68	\$48,916
Balance December 31, 2017	\$ 3,404	\$ 6,578	\$ 40,843	\$	(323)	\$	(6)	\$ 50,496
Preferred stock dividends					(77)			(77)
Issuance of 7,500 shares of restricted		-	(7)					
common stock, net of forfeitures		7	(7)					
Exercise of 61,000 shares of common		<i>C</i> 1	20.6					257
stock options		61	296		760			357
Net income					762		(450)	762
Other comprehensive loss			125				(450)	(450)
Stock based compensation expense			135					135
Balance March 31, 2018	\$ 3,404	\$ 6,646	\$41,267	\$	362	\$	(456)	\$51,223

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

First Priority Financial Corp.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited, dollars in thousands)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2018 2017	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 762	\$ 782
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	20	10
Write down of other real estate owned	9	11
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment	72	69
Net amortization	68	97
Stock based compensation expense	135	55
Net amortization of investment securities premiums and discounts	34	34
Net gains on sales of investment securities		(25)
Net gain on sale of other real estate owned	(105)	(47)
Net loss on disposal of premises and equipment		1
Bank owned life insurance policy income	(16)	(18)
Deferred income tax expense	105	338
Decrease in accrued interest receivable	111	57
Decrease (increase) in other assets	1,007	(404)
(Increase) decrease in accrued interest payable	(3)	84
Decrease in other liabilities	(275)	(2,641)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities	1,924	(1,597)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Net repayments in loans	610	376
Purchases of securities available for sale	010	(7,176)
Purchases of restricted stock	(616)	(248)
Proceeds from maturities or calls of securities available for sale	15,993	40,703
Proceeds from maturities or calls of securities held to maturity	95	95
Proceeds from the sale of securities available for sale	75	436
Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned	206	860
Purchases of premises and equipment	(490)	(16)
	(17.0)	()
Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities	15,798	35,030
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Net (decrease) increase in deposits	(11,164)	289
Net increase in short-term borrowings	15,400	6,200
Proceeds from the exercise of common stock options	357	0,200
1 rocceds from the exercise of common stock options	331	

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Cash dividends paid on preferred stock	(77)	(77)
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	4,516	6,412
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	22,238	39,845
Cash and Cash Equivalents Beginning	8,257	4,761
Cash and Cash Equivalents Ending	\$ 30,495	\$ 44,606
Supplementary Disclosures of Cash Flows Information		
Noncash activity:		
Trade date accounting for investment securities purchased	\$	\$ 321
Cash paid for interest on deposits and borrowings	\$ 1,670	\$ 1,187
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 15	\$ 25
See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.		

First Priority Financial Corp.

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization and Nature of Operations

First Priority Financial Corp.

First Priority Financial Corp. (First Priority or the Company) is a bank holding company incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on February 13, 2007. On May 11, 2007, as a result of a reorganization and merger, First Priority Bank (the Bank) became a wholly-owned subsidiary of First Priority. First Priority, primarily through the Bank, serves residents and businesses in the Delaware Valley with branches in Berks, Bucks, Chester and Montgomery counties in Pennsylvania. The Bank, headquartered in Malvern, PA, has eight retail branch office locations and one loan production office and is a locally managed community bank providing commercial banking products, primarily loans and deposits. First Priority provides banking services through the Bank and does not engage in any activities other than banking and related activities.

First Priority Bank

The Bank is a state-chartered commercial banking institution which was incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on May 25, 2005. The Bank s deposits are insured by the FDIC up to the maximum amount permitted for all banks.

The Bank engages in a full service commercial and consumer banking business with strong private banking and individual wealth management services. The Bank offers a variety of consumer, private banking and commercial loans, mortgage products and commercial real estate financing. The Company s operations are significantly affected by prevailing economic conditions, competition, and the monetary, fiscal, and regulatory policies of governmental agencies. Lending activities are influenced by a number of factors, including the general credit needs of individuals and small and medium-sized businesses in the Company s market area, competition, the current regulatory environment, the level of interest rates, and the availability of funds. Deposit flows and costs of funds are influenced by prevailing market rates of interest, competition, account maturities, and the level of personal income and savings in the market area.

The Bank also offers certain financial planning and investment management services. These investment services are provided by First Priority Financial Services, a Division of First Priority Bank, through third party providers. In addition, the Bank has entered into solicitation agreements with several investment advisors to provide portfolio management services to customers of the Bank.

The Bank currently seeks deposits and commercial and private banking relationships through its banking offices. The Bank provides deposit products that include checking, money market and savings accounts, and certificates of deposit as well as other deposit services, including cash management, electronic banking and mobile products as well as online account opening capabilities. The Bank obtains funding in the local community by providing excellent service and competitive rates to its customers and utilizes various advertising to attract current and potential deposit customers. The Bank also uses brokered certificates of deposit as a cost effective funding alternative.

Merger with Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

As previously announced on January 16, 2018, First Priority entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) with Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. (Mid Penn) pursuant to which First Priority will merge with and into Mid Penn (the Merger), with Mid Penn being the surviving corporation in the Merger. On a pro forma basis, at December 31, 2017, the combined company would have approximately \$2.2 billion in total assets,

F-57

\$1.6 billion in loans, \$1.8 billion in deposits and \$170 million in equity capital. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, shareholders of First Priority will receive 0.3481 shares of Mid Penn common stock for each share of First Priority common stock they own. Subject to customary closing conditions including regulatory and shareholder approvals, it is expected that the Merger will be completed in the third quarter of 2018. The combination would, upon completion, establish a community bank with 37 retail locations serving 12 counties in Pennsylvania and would have a geographical presence in southeastern Pennsylvania in Berks, Bucks Chester and Montgomery counties, central Pennsylvania in Cumberland, Dauphin, Lancaster, Luzerne, Northumberland and Schuylkill counties and western Pennsylvania in Fayette and Westmoreland counties resulting from Mid Penn s acquisition of Scottdale Bank & Trust on January 8, 2018.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements consist of the Company and the Company s wholly owned consolidated subsidiary, the Bank. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

These statements are prepared in accordance with instructions to Form 10-Q, and therefore, do not include information or all footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). However, all normal recurring adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of these financial statements have been included. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto for First Priority Financial Corp. for the year ended December 31, 2017, included in the Company s Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 23, 2018. The results of interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2018.

Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to March 31, 2018 for items that should potentially be recognized or disclosed in these Consolidated Financial Statements. The evaluation was conducted through the date these financial statements were issued.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the valuation of acquired loans, the determination of the allowance for loan losses, stock-based compensation, impairment of goodwill, impairment of investments, the valuation of deferred tax assets and the valuation of other real estate owned.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for credit losses consists of the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments. The allowance for loan losses represents management s estimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date and is recorded as a reduction to loans. The reserve for unfunded lending commitments, totaling \$35 thousand as of both March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, represents management s estimate of

potential losses inherent in its unfunded loan commitments and is recorded in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. The allowance for loan losses is increased by the provision for loan losses, and decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries. Loans deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance for loan losses, and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. All, or part, of the

F-58

principal balance of loans receivable are charged off to the allowance as soon as it is determined that the repayment of all, or part, of the principal balance is highly unlikely. Because all identified losses are immediately charged off, no portion of the allowance for loan losses is restricted to any individual loan or groups of loans, and the entire allowance is available to absorb any and all loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate to provide for losses that can be reasonably anticipated. Management performs a quarterly evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance. The allowance is based on the Company s past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower s ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

The allowance consists of specific, general and unallocated components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. For loans that are classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers pools of loans by loan class including commercial loans not considered impaired, as well as smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as residential real estate, home equity and other consumer loans. These pools of loans are evaluated for loss exposure based upon historical loss rates for each of these categories of loans, adjusted for qualitative factors. These qualitative risk factors include:

- 1. Lending policies and procedures, including underwriting standards and collection, charge-off, and recovery practices.
- 2. National, regional, and local economic and business conditions as well as the condition of various market segments, including the value of underlying collateral for collateral dependent loans.
- 3. Nature and volume of the portfolio and terms of loans.
- 4. Management team with experience, depth, and knowledge in banking and in many areas of lending. Each contributes to the sound credit culture and control within the Company.
- 5. Volume and severity of past due, classified and nonaccrual loans as well as other loan modifications.
- 6. The Company engages a third party to perform an independent review of the loan portfolio as a measure for quality and consistency in credit evaluation and credit decisions.
- 7. Existence and effect of any concentrations of credit and changes in the level of such concentrations.
- 8. Effect of external factors, such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements.

Each factor is assigned a value to reflect improving, stable or declining conditions based on management s best judgment using relevant information available at the time of the evaluation. Adjustments to the factors are supported through documentation of changes in conditions in a narrative accompanying the allowance for loan loss calculation.

A majority of the Company s loans are to business owners of many types. The Company makes commercial loans for real estate development and other business purposes required by our customers.

The Company s credit policies determine advance rates against the different forms of collateral that can be pledged against commercial loans. Typically, the majority of loans will be limited to a percentage of their underlying collateral values such as real estate values, equipment, eligible accounts receivable and inventory. Individual loan advance rates may be higher or lower depending upon the financial strength of the borrower and/or term of the loan.

F-59

The assets financed through commercial and industrial loans are used within the business for its ongoing operation. Repayment of these kinds of loans generally comes from the cash flow of the business or the ongoing conversions of assets.

Commercial real estate loans include long-term loans financing commercial properties. Repayment of this kind of loan is dependent upon either the ongoing cash flow of the borrowing entity or the resale of or lease of the subject property. Commercial real estate loans typically require a loan to value ratio of not greater than 80% and vary in terms.

Construction loans consists of acquisition, construction and development loans serving a diverse customer base in its primary market areas. The composition of this portfolio can change based on local economic conditions such as supply and demand, interest rates and real estate values. The Company typically lends to builders and developers with established relationships, successful operating histories and sound financial resources.

Construction loans include both commercial and residential related loans. The commercial portion consists of loans for the purpose of acquiring, developing and constructing a commercial-use structure and for the acquisition, development and/or construction of residential properties, such as single-family homes or smaller multi-family buildings, by residential developers and builders. This may also include the acquisition and development of land on a selective basis. The residential portion consists of loans for the acquisition of and/or construction on land where a residential dwelling is to be built and occupied by the home-owner.

Residential mortgages and home equity loans are secured by the borrower s residential real estate in either a first or second lien position. Residential mortgages and home equity loans have varying loan rates depending on the loan terms. Residential mortgages have amortizations up to 30 years and home equity loans have amortizations up to 15 years. Residential mortgages and home equity loans typically require a loan to value ratio of not greater than 80%.

Other consumer loans include installment loans, car loans, and overdraft lines of credit. The majority of these loans are secured.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management s estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case by case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower s prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.

Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. An allowance for loan losses is established for an impaired loan if its carrying value exceeds its estimated fair value. The estimated fair values of substantially all of the Company s impaired loans are measured based on the estimated fair value of the loan s collateral.

For commercial loans secured by real estate, estimated fair values are determined primarily through third-party appraisals. When a real estate secured loan becomes impaired, a decision is made regarding whether an updated

certified appraisal of the real estate is necessary. This decision is based on various considerations, including the age of the most recent appraisal, the loan-to-value ratio based on the original appraisal and the condition of the

F-60

property. Appraised values may be discounted to arrive at the estimated selling price of the collateral, which is considered to be the estimated fair value. The discounts also include estimated costs to sell the property.

For commercial and industrial loans secured by non-real estate collateral, such as accounts receivable, inventory and equipment, estimated fair values are determined based on the borrower s financial statements, inventory reports, accounts receivable aging or equipment appraisals or invoices. Indications of value from these sources are generally discounted based on the age of the financial information or the quality of the assets.

The allowance calculation methodology includes further segregation of loan classes into risk rating categories. The borrower s overall financial condition, repayment sources, guarantors and value of collateral, if appropriate, are evaluated annually for commercial loans or when credit deficiencies arise, such as delinquent loan payments, for commercial and consumer loans. Credit quality risk ratings include regulatory classifications of special mention, substandard, doubtful and loss. Loans criticized special mention have potential weaknesses that deserve management s close attention. If uncorrected, the potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects. Loans classified substandard have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They include loans that are inadequately protected by the current sound net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in loans classified substandard with the added characteristic that collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of current conditions and facts, is highly improbable. Loans classified as a loss are considered uncollectible and are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Loans not classified are rated pass.

In addition, Federal regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company s allowance for loan losses and may require the Company to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, which may not be currently available to management. Based on management s comprehensive analysis of the loan portfolio, management believes the current level of the allowance for loan losses is adequate.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses be included in net income. Although certain changes in assets and liabilities are reported as a separate component of the shareholders equity section of the balance sheet, such items, along with net income, are components of total comprehensive income.

Total reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the periods presented are as follows:

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

(Loss) Components

Amounts Reclassified from
Accumulated Other
Comprehensive Income (Loss)
For the Three Months
Ended
March 31,
2018
2017
(Dollars in thousands)

\$

(25)

Affected Line Item in the Statement where Net Income is Presented

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Sale of investment securities available for			Gains on sales of investment
sale			securities
Amortization of unrealized holding losses on			
securities transferred from available for sale			Interest and dividend Income on
to held to maturity	(3)	(5)	taxable securities
Tax effect	1	10	Income Tax Expense
			_
Total reclassification	\$ (2)	\$ (20)	

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 consisted of the following:

	March 31, 2018		nber 31, 017
	(Dollars	in thousan	nds)
Net unrealized loss on available for sale securities	\$ (453)	\$	(4)
Net unrealized holding loss on securities transferred from available for sale to held to maturity	(3)		(2)
Total	\$ (456)	\$	(6)

Note 2 Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). ASU 2014-09 implements a common revenue standard that clarifies the principles for recognizing revenue. The core principle of this ASU is an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, an entity should apply the following steps: (i) identify the contract(s) with a customer, (ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (iii) determine the transaction price, (iv) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract and (v) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. First Priority s revenue is comprised of net interest income on financial assets and liabilities, which is explicitly excluded from the scope of ASU 2014-09, and non-interest income. First Priority adopted this new accounting guidance at January 1, 2018 and is using the modified retrospective approach. The modified retrospective approach uses a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings to reflect uncompleted contracts in the initial application of the guidance. The Company has assessed its revenue streams and has reviewed its contracts with customers that could be affected by the new guidance; including wealth management fees, fees on deposits, gains and losses on the sale of other real estate owned and debit card interchange fees; and there have been no material changes to the timing or amount of revenue recognition. The adoption of this accounting guidance does not have a significant impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations. See Note 10 Revenue Recognition, for more information.

In January 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2016-01, Financial Instruments Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (ASU 2016-01). ASU 2016-01 changes current U.S. GAAP for public entities by requiring the following, among others: (1) equity securities, except those accounted for under the equity method of accounting, to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income; (2) the use of the exit price when measuring fair value of financial instruments for disclosure purposes; (3) an entity to present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability resulting from a change in the instrument-specific credit risk when the entity has elected to measure the liability at fair value; and (4) separate presentation of financial assets and financial liabilities by measurement category and form of financial asset on the balance sheet or notes to the financial statements. ASU 2016-01 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods. The Company does not hold any equity investments (excluding restricted investments in bank stocks) that do not have a readily determinable market value. Fair values on loans receivable are estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis to achieve an exit price measurement. See Note 9 Fair Value Measurements and Fair Values of Financial Instruments,

for more information. The Company has determined the implementation of this standard does not have a material impact to the financial statements and disclosures.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases . From the lessee s perspective, the new standard establishes a right-of-use (ROU) model that requires a lessee to record a ROU asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all leases with terms longer than 12 months. Leases will be classified as either finance or

F-62

operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the income statement for a lessee. From the lessor s perspective, the new standard requires a lessor to classify leases as either sales-type, finance or operating. A lease will be treated as a sale if it transfers all of the risks and rewards, as well as control of the underlying asset, to the lessee. If risks and rewards are conveyed without the transfer of control, the lease is treated as a financing. If the lessor doesn t convey risks and rewards or control, an operating lease results. The new standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessees for capital and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessors for sales-type, direct financing, and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. The Company has nine leases related to its current office locations, all of which are classified as operating leases, which upon implementation of the new standard in January 2019, will result in both a right-of-use asset and a corresponding lease liability in its consolidated balance sheets currently estimated at approximately \$6.4 million. The Company does not expect the implementation of this standard to have a material impact on its consolidated statement of operations.

In September 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, Financial Instruments Credit Losses (Topic 326). The main objective of this ASU is to provide financial statement users with more decision-useful information about the expected credit losses on financial instruments and other commitments to extend credit held by a reporting entity at each reporting date. The amendments in this ASU replace the incurred loss impairment methodology in current GAAP with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to inform credit loss estimates. For public business entities, this ASU is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods therein. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is reviewing our system and data collection to determine necessary changes to our current practice.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments. This ASU clarifies how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented in the statement of cash flows. This update addresses eight specific cash flow issues with the objective of reducing the existing diversity in practice. The Company adopted this ASU beginning January 1, 2018 and has determined that there is no impact on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures. Historically the cash flows, addressed by this standard, have been infrequent and immaterial.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-04, Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350). This update intends to simplify the subsequent measurement of goodwill by eliminating Step 2 from the goodwill impairment test. The amendments in this Update modify the concept of impairment from the condition that exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value to the condition that exists when the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value. For public business entities this ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods therein. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the implementation of this standard to have a material impact on its consolidated statement of operations.

In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-08, Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities , which amends the amortization period for certain purchased callable debt securities held at a premium, shortening such period to the earliest call date. The ASU is effective for public business entities for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018. For all other entities, the ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2020. Earlier application is permitted for all entities, including adoption in an interim period. If an entity early adopts the

ASU in an interim period, any adjustments must be reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year that includes that interim period. The Company does not expect the implementation of this standard to have a material impact on its consolidated statement of operations.

F-63

In February 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-02, Income Statement Reporting Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (ASU 2018-02). ASU 2018-02 states that an entity may elect to reclassify the income tax effects of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act on items within accumulated other comprehensive income to retained earnings. The amendments in this update are effective for all entities for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted, and the Company adopted this accounting guidance effective December 31, 2017. The amount of this reclassification was immaterial.

Note 3 Earnings Per Common Share

Diluted earnings per common share take into account the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock are exercised and converted into common stock. Proceeds assumed to have been received on such exercise or conversion, are assumed to be used to purchase shares of the Company s common stock at the average market price during the period, as required by the treasury stock method of accounting for common stock equivalents. For purposes of calculating the basic and diluted earnings per share, the Company s reported net income is adjusted for dividends on preferred stock to determine the net income to common shareholders. As of March 31, 2018, all outstanding options to purchase common stock are included in the computation of diluted shares; however as of December 31, 2017, 54,029 options to purchase common shares were not included in the computation because to do so would have been anti-dilutive.

The calculations of basic and diluted earnings per common share are presented below for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017:

	For the Three Months End March 31,						
	2	2018		2017			
(In thousands, except per share information)							
Net income	\$	762	\$	782			
Less: preferred stock dividends, Series C		(77)		(77)			
Income to common shareholders	\$	685	\$	705			
Average basic common shares outstanding		6,636		6,534			
Effect of dilutive stock options		338		171			
Average number of common shares used to calculate diluted earnings per common share		6,974		6,705			
Basic earnings per common share	\$	0.10	\$	0.11			
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	0.10	\$	0.11			

F-64

Note 4 Securities

The amortized cost, unrealized gains and losses, and the fair value of the Company s investment securities available for sale and held to maturity are as follows for the periods presented:

	March 31, 2018								
		Gross	C	Gross	Es	timated			
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unr	ealized		Fair			
	Cost	Gains	L	osses	•	Value			
		(Dollars i	n thou	isands)					
Available For Sale:									
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 5,994	\$	\$	(30)	\$	5,964			
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	4,213	60		(7)		4,266			
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	24,563			(671)		23,892			
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	101			(2)		99			
Other debt securities	1,500	77				1,577			
Total investment securities available for sale	\$ 36,371	\$ 137	\$	(710)	\$	35,798			
Held To Maturity:									
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 18,065	\$ 650	\$	(11)	\$	18,704			
Other debt securities	483	44				527			
Total investment securities held to maturity	\$ 18,548	\$ 694	\$	(11)	\$	19,231			
,						,			

		Decemb Gross	Estimated		
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unr	ealized	Fair
	Cost	Gains	L	osses	Value
		(Dollars i	n thou	sands)	
Available For Sale:					
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 20,991	\$	\$	(20)	\$ 20,971
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	4,218	168			4,386
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	25,524	16		(251)	25,289
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	111			(2)	109
Other debt securities	1,500	83			1,583
Money market mutual fund	35				35
Total investment securities available for sale	\$ 52,379	\$ 267	\$	(273)	\$ 52,373
Held To Maturity:					
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 18,183	\$ 953	\$	(4)	\$ 19,132
Other debt securities	482	51			533

Total investment securities held to maturity

\$18,665 \$ 1,004

4 \$

(4) \$ 19,665

The Company previously transferred investment securities from available for sale to held to maturity securities. Related to these transfers, there were net unrealized holding losses of \$5 thousand and \$3 thousand, before the impact of taxes, as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, which are being amortized over the remaining life of the related securities as an adjustment of yield in a manner consistent with the accretion of discount on the same transferred debt securities. This will have no impact on the Company s net income because the amortization of the unrealized holding loss reported in equity will offset the effect on the interest income of the accretion of the discount on these securities.

Included in unrealized losses are market losses on securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more and those securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than twelve months. The table below details the aggregate unrealized losses and aggregate fair value of

F-65

the underlying securities whose fair values are below their amortized cost at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017.

	As of March 31, 2018								T . 1						
	Less than 12 Months				12 Months or longer							otal			
				ealized					ealized			Fair		ealized	
	Va	alue	L	osses	Count	Va	ılue	L	osses	Count	,	Value	L	osses	Count
						(L	Oollar	rs in	thousa	ınds)					
Available for Sale:															
Obligations of U.S. government															
agencies and corporations	\$ 3	3,966	\$	(28)	3	\$ 1	,998	\$	(2)	2	\$	5,964	\$	(30)	5
Obligations of states and															
political subdivisions		795		(7)	1							795		(7)	1
Federal agency mortgage-backed															
securities	20),696		(516)	17	3	,196		(155)	4		23,892		(671)	21
Federal agency collateralized															
mortgage obligations							99		(2)	1		99		(2)	1
Total Available for Sale	\$ 25	5,457	\$	(551)	21	\$ 5	,293	\$	(159)	7	\$	30,750	\$	(710)	28
Held to Maturity:															
Obligations of states and															
political subdivisions	\$	508	\$	(8)	1	\$	315	\$	(3)	1	\$	823	\$	(11)	2
•									. ,						
Total Held to Maturity	\$	508	\$	(8)	1	\$	315	\$	(3)	1	\$	823	\$	(11)	2

As of December 31, 2017 Less than 12 Months 12 Months or longer Total Fair Unrealized Fair Unrealized Fair Unrealized Value Losses Count Value Losses Count Value Losses Count (Dollars in thousands)	ount
Available for Sale:	
Obligations of U.S. government	
agencies and corporations \$ 3,975 \$ (17) 3 \$1,996 \$ (3) 2 \$ 5,971 \$ (20)	5
Federal agency	
mortgage-backed securities 20,099 (162) 12 3,416 (89) 4 23,515 (251)	16
Federal agency collateralized	
mortgage obligations 109 (2) 1 109 (2)	1
Total Available for Sale \$24,074 \$ (179) 15 \$5,521 \$ (94) 7 \$29,595 \$ (273)	22
Held to Maturity:	
Obligations of states and	
political subdivisions \$ 195 \$ (1) 1 \$ 315 \$ (3) 1 \$ 510 \$ (4)	2

Total Held to Maturity \$ 195 \$ (1) 1 \$ 315 \$ (3) 1 \$ 510 \$ (4) 2

As of March 31, 2018, management believes that the estimated fair value of the securities disclosed above is primarily dependent upon the movement in market interest rates, particularly given the minimal inherent credit risk associated with the issuers of these securities and that the unrealized losses in these portfolios are not the result of deteriorating credit within any investment category.

Securities issued by states and political subdivisions are all rated investment grade. Each holding is reviewed quarterly for impairment by management and our third party investment advisor. All mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations are issued by U.S. government sponsored agencies; there are no holdings of private label mortgage backed securities or securities backed by loans classified as Alt-A or Subprime.

F-66

Although the fair value will fluctuate as market interest rates move, management believes that these fair values will recover as the underlying portfolios mature. The Company evaluates a variety of factors in concluding whether securities are other-than-temporarily impaired. These factors include, but are not limited to, the type and purpose of the bond, the underlying rating of the bond issuer, and the presence of credit enhancements (i.e. state guarantees, municipal bond insurance, collateral requirements, etc.). The Company does not intend to sell any of these securities and it is not likely to be required to sell any of these securities before recovery. Management does not believe any unrealized loss on individual securities, as of March 31, 2018 represents other than temporary impairment.

For the three months ended March 31, 2018 there were no realized gains recorded compared to \$25 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2017.

Securities totaling \$52.3 million and \$69.0 million were pledged at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, to secure public fund deposits. In addition, securities pledged to secure borrowings by the Bank totaled \$20 thousand at both March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

The amortized cost and fair value of securities as of March 31, 2018 by contractual maturity are shown below. Certain of these investment securities have call features which allow the issuer to call the security prior to its maturity date at the issuer s discretion.

	March 31, 2018									
	Available for S	ale Securitie	s Held to N	A aturity						
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value						
		(Dollars i	n thousands)							
Due within one year	\$ 3,999	\$ 3,995	\$ 97	\$ 99						
Due after one year through five years	1,995	1,969	1,011	1,019						
Due after five years through ten years	1,500	1,577	2,365	2,409						
Due after ten years	4,213	4,266	15,075	15,704						
	11,707	11,807	18,548	19,231						
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	101	99								
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	24,563	23,892								
Total	\$ 36,371	\$ 35,798	\$ 18,548	\$ 19,231						

F-67

Note 5 Loans Receivable and Related Allowance for Loan Losses

Loans receivable consist of the following at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017.

	March 31, 2018 (Dollars a	,			
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 87,375	\$	85,395		
Commercial mortgage	235,392		235,946		
Commercial construction	33,517		30,866		
Total commercial	356,284		352,207		
Residential mortgage loans	132,092		133,727		
Consumer:			,		
Home equity lines of credit	19,236		19,295		
Other consumer loans	10,823		13,780		
Total consumer	30,059		33,075		
Total	518,435		519,009		
Allowance for loan losses	(3,405)		(3,405)		
Net deferred loan fees	(183)		(82)		
Total net loans receivable	\$ 514,847	\$	515,522		

In June 2017, the Company purchased \$7.0 million in performing residential real estate loans, at a slight acquisition discount totaling \$35 thousand and in December 2017, purchased \$9.0 million of performing residential real estate loans, including acquisition premiums of \$117 thousand. All portfolios of purchased loans were underwritten using similar standards as the Bank uses for its organic portfolio.

The following tables summarize the activity in the allowance for loan losses by loan class for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017:

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2018 Allowance for Loan Losses (Dollars in thousands)

						Prov	ision		
	Beginning	Beginning				for	loan	Eı	nding
	Balance	Balance Charge-of		Recoveries		losses		Balance	
Commercial and industrial	\$ 666	\$	(47)	\$	1	\$	29	\$	649
Commercial mortgage	1,078						20		1,098

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Commercial construction	147			9	156
Residential mortgage loans	624		25	(32)	617
Home equity lines of credit	103			30	133
Other consumer loans	74		1	(11)	64
Unallocated	713			(25)	688
Total	\$ 3,405	\$ (47)	\$ 27	\$ 20	\$ 3,405

F-68

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2017 Allowance for Loan Losses (Dollars in thousands)

			Prov	vision					
	Beginning	Beginning				for	loan	Er	nding
	Balance	Char	ge-offs	Recoveries		los	sses	Balance	
Commercial and industrial	\$ 647	\$		\$		\$	11	\$	658
Commercial mortgage	1,051						57		1,108
Commercial construction	113						12		125
Residential mortgage loans	452						(2)		450
Home equity lines of credit	188						(23)		165
Other consumer loans	97		(24)		16				89
Unallocated	782						(45)		737
Total	\$3,330	\$	(24)	\$	16	\$	10	\$:	3,332

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 disaggregated on the basis of the Company s impairment method by class of loans receivable along with the balance of loans receivable by class disaggregated on the basis of the Company s impairment methodology:

	March 31, 2018	
Allowance for Loan Losses		Loans Receivable
	Dollars in thousands)	

					,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
			I	Endin	g Balance				Endi	ng Balance
		Ending Ba	lance	Coll	ectively		Endin	g Balance	Co	llectively
		Individu	ally	Eva	aluated		Indi	vidually	E	valuated
	Ending	Evaluated	d for		for	Ending	Eval	uated for		for
	Balance	Impairm	ent	Imp	airment	Balance	Imp	airment	Im	pairment
Commercial and industrial	\$ 649	\$		\$	649	\$ 87,375	\$	537	\$	86,838
Commercial mortgage	1,098				1,098	235,392		340		235,052
Commercial construction	156				156	33,517				33,517
Residential mortgage loans	617	1	140		477	132,092		637		131,455
Home equity lines of										
credit	133				133	19,236				19,236
Other consumer loans	64				64	10,823		3		10,820
Unallocated	688				688					
Total	\$3,405	\$ 1	140	\$	3,265	\$ 518,435	\$	1,517	\$	516,918

December 31, 2017

Allowance for Loan Losses

Loans Receivable

(Dollars in thousands)

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

	Ending	Ending Balance Ending Balance E		Ending	Endin	g Balance	Ending Balance			
	Balance	Indiv	idually	Col	lectively	Balance	Individually		Collectively	
		Evalu	ated for	Eval	uated for		Eval	uated for	Eva	aluated for
		Impa	airment	Imp	airment		Imp	airment	In	pairment
Commercial and industrial	\$ 666	\$	42	\$	624	\$ 85,395	\$	582	\$	84,813
Commercial mortgage	1,078				1,078	235,946		346		235,600
Commercial construction	147				147	30,866				30,866
Residential mortgage loans	624		140		484	133,727		637		133,090
Home equity lines of										
credit	103				103	19,295				19,295
Other consumer loans	74				74	13,780		3		13,777
Unallocated	713				713					
Total	\$3,405	\$	182	\$	3,223	\$519,009	\$	1,568	\$	517,441

The following tables summarize information in regard to impaired loans by loan portfolio class as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 as well as for the three month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively:

		N		ch 31, 20)18		December 31, 2017					
	Unpaid					ъ	Unpaid			ъ	1 . 1	
		corded		rincipal		elated		corded		incipal		lated
	Inve	estment	В	alance		owance			В	alance	Allo	wance
With no related allowance recorded:					(D	ollars in	ı ıno	usanas)				
Commercial and industrial	\$	537	\$	1,236	\$		\$	251	\$	906	\$	
Commercial mortgage	Ψ	340	Ψ	417	Ψ		Ψ	346	Ψ	418	Ψ	
Commercial construction		2.0		117				2.0		110		
Residential mortgage loans												
Home equity lines of credit												
Other consumer loans		3		3				3		3		
With an allowance recorded:												
Commercial and industrial	\$		\$		\$		\$	331	\$	331	\$	42
Commercial mortgage												
Commercial construction												
Residential mortgage loans		637		637		140		637		637		140
Home equity lines of credit												
Other consumer loans												
Total:												
Commercial and industrial	\$	537	\$	1,236	\$		\$	582	\$	1,237	\$	42
Commercial mortgage		340		417				346		418		
Commercial construction												
Residential mortgage loans		637		637		140		637		637		140
Home equity lines of credit												
Other consumer loans		3		3				3		3		
Total	\$	1,517	\$	2,293	\$	140	\$	1,568	\$	2,295	\$	182

F-70

	Three Months Ended March 31,								
	۸		2018		۸		2017		
		erage corded	Inter Inco			erage corded		Interest	
		stment				stment		Income Recognized	
	IIIVC	Sument	Recog	ilizea Ilars in			Neco	gilized	
With no related allowance recorded:			(Dc)	iiais in	inous	unus)			
Commercial and industrial	\$	572	\$	2	\$	495	\$	4	
Commercial mortgage	Ψ	345	Ψ	2	Ψ	88	Ψ	4	
Commercial construction		373		2		00		-	
Residential mortgage loans									
Home equity lines of credit									
Other consumer loans		3				3			
With an allowance recorded:									
Commercial and industrial	\$		\$		\$	196	\$		
Commercial mortgage						42	·		
Commercial construction									
Residential mortgage loans		637		6		637		23	
Home equity lines of credit									
Other consumer loans									
Total:									
Commercial and industrial	\$	572	\$	2	\$	691	\$	4	
Commercial mortgage		345		2		130		4	
Commercial construction									
Residential mortgage loans		637		6		637		23	
Home equity lines of credit									
Other consumer loans		3				3			
Total	\$ 1	1,557	\$	10	\$ 1	1,461	\$	31	

The following table presents non-accrual loans by classes of the loan portfolio as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017:

	March 31, 2018		mber 31, 017
	(Dollars	in thouse	ands)
Commercial and industrial	\$ 396	\$	435
Commercial mortgage	200		200
Other consumer loans	3		3
Total	\$ 599	\$	638

The Company s policy for interest income recognition on non-accrual loans is to recognize income under the cash basis when the loans are both current and the collateral on the loan is sufficient to cover the outstanding obligation to the Company. The Company will not recognize income if these factors do not exist. Interest that would have been accrued on non-accruing loans under the original terms but was not recognized as interest income totaled \$17

thousand and \$19 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

F-71

The following tables present the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate pass rating and the classified ratings of special mention, substandard and doubtful within the Company s internal risk rating system as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017:

	March 31, 2018									
		Special								
	Pass	Mention	Subs	standard	Doubtful	Total				
		(Dollars in thousands)								
Commercial:										
Commercial and industrial	\$ 86,839	\$	\$	536	\$	\$ 87,375				
Commercial mortgage	234,563			829		235,392				
Commercial construction	33,517					33,517				
Residential mortgage loans	132,092					132,092				
Consumer:										
Home equity lines of credit	19,236					19,236				
Other consumer loans	10,823					10,823				
Total	\$517,070	\$	\$	1,365	\$	\$518,435				

	December 31, 2017								
		Special							
	Pass	Mention	Subs	standard	Doubtful	Total			
		(Dollars in thousands)							
Commercial:									
Commercial and industrial	\$ 84,811	\$	\$	584	\$	\$ 85,395			
Commercial mortgage	235,114			832		235,946			
Commercial construction	30,866					30,866			
Residential mortgage loans	133,727					133,727			
Consumer:									
Home equity lines of credit	19,295					19,295			
Other consumer loans	13,780					13,780			
Total	\$ 517,593	\$	\$	1,416	\$	\$519,009			

The performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio is also monitored by analyzing the age of the loans receivable as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due. The following tables present the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the past due status as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017:

		Mar	ch 31, 2018		
30-59 Day	ys	Greater			
Past	60-89 Days	Than	Total		Total Loans
Due	Past Due	90 Days	Past Due	Current	Receivable

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

(Dollars in thousands)

			Dona	is iii	mousun	us)	
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$319	\$ \$	343	\$	662	\$ 86,713	\$ 87,375
Commercial mortgage			200		200	235,192	235,392
Commercial construction						33,517	33,517
Residential mortgage loans	637				637	131,455	132,092
Consumer:							
Home equity lines of credit						19,236	19,236
Other consumer loans			3		3	10,820	10,823
Total	\$ 956	\$ \$	546	\$	1,502	\$516,933	\$ 518,435

	30-59 Days Past Due	s 60-89 Days Past Due	Greater Than 90 Days	Total Past Due in thousand.	Current	Total Loans Receivable
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 36	\$ 284	\$ 698	\$ 1,018	\$ 84,377	\$ 85,395
Commercial mortgage	2,206		200	2,406	233,540	235,946
Commercial construction					30,866	30,866
Residential mortgage loans	329			329	133,398	133,727
Consumer:						
Home equity lines of credit					19,295	19,295
Other consumer loans	33	65	3	101	13,679	13,780
Total	\$ 2,604	\$ 349	\$ 901	\$ 3,854	\$515,155	\$ 519,009

As of March 31, 2018, there were no loans 90 days past due and still accruing interest. As of December 31, 2017 there was one loan totaling \$263 thousand that was past due 90 days and still accruing interest which was a single loan relationship and was paid off in its entirety in January 2018, with no losses incurred.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

The Company may grant a concession or modification for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower s declining financial condition that it would not otherwise consider, resulting in a modified loan which is then identified as a troubled debt restructuring (TDR). The Company may modify loans through rate reductions, extensions of maturity, interest only payments, or payment modifications to better match the timing of cash flows due under the modified terms with the cash flows from the borrowers operations. Loan modifications are intended to minimize the economic loss and to avoid foreclosure or repossession of the collateral. TDRs are considered impaired loans for purposes of calculating the Company s allowance for loan losses.

The Company identifies loans for potential restructure primarily through direct communication with the borrower and evaluation of the borrower s financial statements, revenue projections, tax returns, and credit reports. Even if the borrower is not presently in default, management will consider the likelihood that cash flow shortages, adverse economic conditions, and negative trends may result in a payment default in the near future.

The following tables summarize the balance of outstanding TDRs at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017:

	Number of Loans	Performing TDR s (Dollar)		Non-Performing TDR s lars in thousands)		Total TDRs
March 31, 2018			(2000			
Commercial and Industrial	2	\$	141	\$	53	\$ 194
Commercial mortgage loans	1		140			140
Residential mortgage loans	1		637			637
Total	4	\$	918	\$	53	\$ 971

	Number of Loans	Performing TDR s		Non-Performing TDR s		Total TDRs
<u>December 31, 2017</u>			,		,	
Commercial and Industrial	2	\$	147	\$	55	\$ 202
Commercial mortgage loans	1		146			146
Residential mortgage loans	1		637			637
Total	4	\$	930	\$	55	\$ 985

As of March 31, 2018, there were no commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose terms have been modified in TDRs; however, there was an interest only residential mortgage loan which was 30 days past due as to principal and interest and was re-financed in April 2018 as an amortizing loan and brought current. As of December 31, 2017, there was one commercial loan TDR totaling \$55 thousand which was in default and is classified as non-accrual.

For the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, the Company did not enter into any new TDRs.

The carrying amount of foreclosed residential real estate properties held was \$366 thousand as of March 31, 2018. There was one commercial mortgage loan totaling \$294 thousand collateralized by residential real estate property that was in the process of foreclosure as of March 31, 2018.

Note 6 Deposits

The components of deposits at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 are as follows:

	March 31, 2018 (Dollars i	cember 31, 2017 asands)
Demand, non-interest bearing	\$ 69,457	\$ 65,634
Demand, interest-bearing	30,333	29,918
Money market and savings accounts	144,398	145,355
Time, \$100 and over	80,848	84,358
Time, other	186,950	197,885
	\$ 511,986	\$ 523,150

Included in time, other at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 are brokered deposits of \$112.3 million and \$120.2 million, respectively.

As of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 aggregate time deposits which exceed the \$250 thousand FDIC insurance limit were \$30.5 million and \$32.1 million, respectively.

At March 31, 2018, the scheduled maturities of time deposits were as follows:

	(Dollars in
	thousands)
3/31/2019	\$ 144,040
3/31/2020	71,615
3/31/2021	27,451
3/31/2022	17,004
3/31/2023	7,683
Thereafter	5

\$ 267,798

Note 7 Stock Compensation Program

In 2005, the Company adopted the 2005 Stock Compensation Program, which was amended at the Company s annual meeting on April 23, 2009 as the 2009 Stock Compensation Program (the Program) and further amended effective March 18, 2010. The Program allows equity benefits to be awarded in the form of Incentive Stock Options, Compensatory Stock Options or Restricted Stock. The Program authorizes the Board of Directors to grant options up to an aggregate maximum of 1,207,957 shares to officers, other employees and directors of the Company, including 382,957 shares which were authorized for grant under this plan as a result of the merger with Affinity Bancorp, Inc. Only employees of the Company will be eligible to receive Incentive Stock Options and such grants are subject to the limitations under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code.

F-74

All stock options granted under the Program fully vest in four years from the date of grant (or potentially earlier upon a change of control), excluding options issued in regards to the Company s Severance Plan which vest only upon change in control, and options terminate ten years from the date of the grant. The exercise price of the options granted is the fair value of a share of common stock at the time of the grant.

A summary of stock option activity is presented below for the three months ended March 31, 2018:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2018			
	Options to Weighted			
	Purchase	Average		
	Common	Exercise		
	Shares	Price		
Outstanding at beginning of period	807,870	\$	5.84	
Granted during period				
Forfeited/cancelled during the period				
Exercised	(61,000)		5.59	
Expired	(12,978)		10.72	
	722.002	ф	<i>5.77</i>	
Outstanding at end of period	733,892	\$	5.77	
Exercisable at end of period	165,892	\$	6.68	

The weighted average remaining contractual lives of all outstanding stock options and exercisable options at March 31, 2018 were 5.47 years and 4.11 years, respectively, and 5.46 years and 3.05 years, respectively, at December 31, 2017. The aggregate intrinsic value of all outstanding stock options based on the closing price was \$3.6 million as of March 31, 2018, including \$659 thousand related to currently exercisable options, and \$2.5 million as of December 31, 2017, including \$586 thousand related to exercisable options.

For option grants to individual employees, the Company assumes no forfeitures. For option grants made to a group of employees, a 10% forfeiture rate is typically assumed at the date of the grant, and compared to actual forfeitures on an annual basis.

The dividend yield assumption is based on the Company s history and expectation of dividend payouts. Due to the Company s lack of sufficient historical exercise data and the limited period of time for which shares have been issued, the simplified method is used to determine the expected life of options, calculated as the average of the sum of the vesting term and original contractual term for all periods presented. The expected volatility percentage is based on the average expected volatility of similar public financial institutions in the Company s market area. The risk-free interest rates for periods within the contractual life of the awards are based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

As of March 31, 2018, there was \$118 thousand of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options granted after January 1, 2007, excluding those stock options issued in conjunction with the Company s severance plan. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.50 years. There was no tax benefit recognized related to this stock-based compensation. There are 355,000 stock options issued in connection with the termination of the previously executed change in control agreements and the adoption of the severance plan

with \$576 thousand of unrecognized compensation cost which will only be recognized if a change in control occurs, based on the options which remain outstanding and are probable to vest at that time. During 2015, included in the options granted, there were 99,000 performance options issued to various employees. Certain performance criteria must be achieved over the performance period in order for the option to vest. Performance is analyzed on a quarterly basis to determine if the specific required performance is more likely than not to be achieved. Based on this evaluation, 82,500 performance options were cancelled to date, leaving 16,500 outstanding as of March 31, 2018. These non-vested options may be further adjusted in the future if the performance measures are not met.

F-75

Restricted Stock grants fully vest after a minimum of three years from the date of grant (or potentially earlier upon a change of control or retirement after the age of 66), subject to the recipient remaining an employee of the Company. Directors are also granted restricted stock as part of their compensation for their services on the Board of Directors, or related Committees, as approved by the Compensation Committee of the Board. Upon issuance of the shares, resale of the shares is restricted during the vesting period, during which the shares may not be sold, pledged, or otherwise disposed of. Prior to the vesting date and in the event the recipient terminates association with Company for any reasons other than death, disability or change of control, the recipient forfeits all rights to the shares that would otherwise be issued under the grant. Compensation expense related to restricted stock awards granted under the Program is determined at the date of the award based on the estimated fair value of the shares and is amortized over the vesting period. As of March 31, 2018, there was \$479 thousand of unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock, which will be amortized through July 31, 2021.

A summary of restricted stock award activity is presented below for the three months ended March 31, 2018:

	Shares
Outstanding unvested shares at beginning of period	124,150
Shares Granted during period	7,500
Shares Forfeited during the period	
Shares Vested during period	(5,000)
Outstanding unvested shares at end of period	126,650

Note 8 Regulatory Matters

The Bank s capital amounts (dollars in thousands) and ratios at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 are presented below:

	Actu	ıal	Minimum Capital Requirement		To be Well Capitalized under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount Ratio		Amount	Ratio
March 31, 2018						
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$60,745	12.49%	\$ 38,912	>8.0%	\$ >48,639	>10.0%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	48,067	9.88	>29,184	>6.0	>38,912	>8.0
Tier 1 common equity capital (to						
risk-weighted assets)	48,067	9.88	>21,888	>4.5	>31,616	>6.5
Tier 1 capital (to total assets)	48,067	8.09	>23,758	>4.0	>29,698	>5.0
December 31, 2017						
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$ 59,886	12.15%	\$>39,434	>8.0%	\$ >49,292	>10.0%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	47,215	9.58	>29,575	>6.0	>39,434	>8.0
Tier 1 common equity capital (to						
risk-weighted assets)	47,215	9.58	>22,182	>4.5	>32,040	>6.5
Tier 1 capital (to total assets)	47,215	8.08	>23,384	>4.0	>29,230	>5.0

The federal banking agencies approved rules that implemented the Dodd-Frank requirements and certain other regulatory capital reforms which were designed to enhance such requirements and implement the revised standards of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, commonly referred to as Basel III.

Under these rules, in order to avoid limitations on capital distributions (including dividend payments and certain discretionary bonus payments to executive officers), a banking organization must hold a capital conservation buffer comprised of common equity tier 1 capital above its minimum risk-based capital requirements in an amount greater than 2.5% of total risk-weighted assets. The capital contribution buffer requirements is being phased in over a three-year period beginning January 1, 2016 and was 1.25% in 2017, and is 1.875% in 2018.

F-76

The capital buffer requirement, on a fully phased-in basis as of January 1, 2019, effectively raises the minimum required common equity Tier 1 capital ratio to 7.0% (5.75% in 2017 and 6.375% in 2018), the Tier 1 capital ratio to 8.5% (7.25% in 2017 and 7.875% in 2018), and the total capital ratio to 10.5% (9.25% in 2017 and 9.875% in 2018).

Note 9 Fair Value Measurements and Fair Values of Financial Instruments

Management uses its best judgment in estimating the fair value of the Bank s financial instruments; however, there are inherent weaknesses in any estimation technique. Therefore, for substantially all financial instruments, the fair value estimates herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could have realized in a sales transaction on the dates indicated. The estimated fair value amounts have been measured as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 and have not been re-evaluated or updated for purposes of these financial statements subsequent to those respective dates. As such, the estimated fair values of these financial instruments subsequent to the respective reporting dates may be different than the amounts reported at each period-end.

The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 820 Fair Value Measurements, the fair value of a financial instrument is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in some instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Company s various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument.

The fair value guidance provides a consistent definition of fair value, which focuses on exit price in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. If there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, a change in valuation technique or the use of multiple valuation techniques may be appropriate. In such instances, determining the price at which willing market participants would transact at the measurement date under current market conditions depends on the facts and circumstances and requires the use of significant judgment. The fair value is a reasonable point within the range that is most representative of fair value under current market conditions.

FASB ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methods used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under FASB ASC Topic 820 are as follows:

- Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.
- Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported with little or no market activity). Management utilizes inputs that it believes a market participant would use.

An asset s or liability s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

During the three months ended March 31, 2018 there were no transfers between fair value hierarchy levels.

F-77

For financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 are as follows:

Description	Fair Value	(Level 1) Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Dollars in	(Level 2) Significant Other Observable Inputs thousands)	(Level 3) Significant Unobservable Inputs
As of March 31, 2018:		,	ŕ	
Investment securities available for sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and				
corporations	\$ 5,964	\$	\$ 5,964	\$
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	4,266		4,266	
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	23,892		23,892	
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	99		99	
Other debt securities	1,577		1,577	
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 35,798	\$	\$ 35,798	\$
As of December 31, 2017:				
Investment securities available for sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and				
corporations	\$ 20,971	\$	\$ 20,971	\$
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	4,386		4,386	
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	25,289		25,289	
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	109		109	
Other debt securities	1,583		1,583	
Money market mutual fund	35	35		
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring				
basis	\$ 52,373	\$ 35	\$ 52,338	\$

For financial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 are as follows:

		(Level 1) Quoted Prices in	(Level 2)	
		Active	Significant	(Level 3)
		Markets for	Other	Significant
		Identical	Observable	Unobservable
Description	Fair Value	Assets	Inputs	Inputs

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

(Dollars in thousands) As of March 31, 2018: Impaired loans \$1,072 \$ \$ \$ 1,072 Other real estate owned 74 74 Total assets measured at fair value on a \$ \$ \$ 1,146 nonrecurring basis \$1,146 As of December 31, 2017: Impaired loans \$1,119 \$ \$ \$ 1,119 Other real estate owned 69 69 Total assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis \$1,188 \$ \$ \$ 1,188

Management generally uses a discounted appraisal technique in valuing impaired assets, resulting in the discounting of the collateral values underlying each impaired asset. A discounted tax assessment rate has been applied for smaller assets to determine the discounted collateral value. All impaired loans are classified as

Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. Collateral may be real estate and/or business assets. Impaired loans are reviewed and evaluated on at least a quarterly basis for additional impairment.

Ouantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements at March 31, 2018 is included in the table below:

			Unobservable	Estimated Rati	ngs (Weighted
	ir Value in thousands	Valuation Techniques	Inputs (2)	Avera	ge) (3)
Impaired loans	\$ 1,072	Appraisal of real estate collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments	0%-00%	(0.00%)
			Liquidation expenses	8%	(8.00%)
Other real estate owned	\$ 74	Appraisal of collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments	0%	(0.00%)
			Liquidation expenses	7%	(7.00%)

Quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements at December 31, 2017 is included in the table below:

		Valuation	Unobservable	Estimated Rat	ings (Weighted
	ir Value in thousands)	Techniques	Inputs (2)	Avera	ge) (3)
Impaired loans	\$ 1,119	Appraisal of real estate collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments	0%-75%	(12.19%)
			Liquidation expenses	0%-10%	(8.47%)
Other real estate owned	\$ 69	Appraisal of collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments	0%	(0.00%)
			Liquidation expenses	8%	(8.00%)

- (1) Fair Value is generally determined through independent appraisals of the underlying collateral, which include Level 3 inputs that are not identifiable.
- (2) Appraisals may be adjusted by management for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses.
- (3) The range and weighted average of qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses are presented as a percent of the appraised value.

Valuation of real estate collateral may be discounted based on the age of the existing appraisal. Discounts are typically not taken for recent appraisals. Valuations related to business assets used as collateral are typically discounted more heavily due to the inherent level of uncertainty in determining the fair value of these types of assets. Liquidation costs relating to these assets are charged to expense.

Other real estate owned measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis consists of properties acquired as a result of accepting a deed in lieu of foreclosure, foreclosure or through other means related to collateral on Bank loans which resulted in a gain or loss recognized during the period. Costs relating to the development or improvement of assets are capitalized and costs relating to holding the property are charged to expense. As of March 31, 2018, the fair value of other real estate owned consists of balances of \$74 thousand, net of a valuation allowance of \$9 thousand. As of December 31, 2017, the fair value of other real estate owned consists of balances of \$69 thousand, net of a valuation allowance of \$38 thousand. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third-party appraisals of the property.

F-79

Real estate properties acquired through, or in lieu of, foreclosure are to be sold and are carried at fair value less estimated cost to sell. Fair value is based upon independent market prices or appraised value of the property. These assets are included in Level 3 fair value based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following information should not be interpreted as an estimate of the fair value of the entire Company since a fair value calculation is only provided for a limited portion of the Company s assets and liabilities. Due to a wide range of valuation techniques and the degree of subjectivity used in making the estimates, comparisons between the Company s disclosures and those of other companies may not be meaningful.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values of the Company s financial instruments at March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017:

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and short-term instruments approximate those assets fair values.

Securities

The fair value of securities available for sale (carried at fair value) and held to maturity (carried at amortized cost) are determined by obtaining quoted market prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1), or matrix pricing (Level 2), which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted market prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted prices.

Loans Receivable

At March 31, 2018, fair values for loans are estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis to achieve an exit price measurement. The loan portfolio is segregated into groups of loans based on loan type and credit profile. Valuation inputs in determining the loan portfolio s exit price for each segment include contractual cash flows (using weighted average interest rates, repricing characteristics, maturity, borrower payment amounts) and prepayment expectations based on market data if possible or the bank s experience. The discount rate used in the calculation is the estimated rate that market participants would pay and the Company would receive upon the sale of the loan and includes as a factor expected credit losses.

At December 31, 2017, the fair value for loans are estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis using discount rates based on rates currently offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. This method utilizes an entry price to estimate the fair value of loans and does not necessarily represent an exit price.

Impaired Loans

Impaired loans, which are included in loans receivable, are those that are accounted for under FASB ASC Topic 310, Receivables , in which the Company has measured impairment generally based on the fair value of the loan s collateral. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third party appraisals of the properties, or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values, based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. The fair value of the impaired loans consists of the loan balances, net of any valuation allowance. As of March 31, 2018 the fair value of impaired loans consisted of loan

balances with an allowance recorded of \$637 thousand, net of valuation allowances of \$140 thousand; and loan balances with no related allowance recorded of \$652 thousand, net of partial charge-offs of \$77 thousand. As of December 31, 2017 the fair value of impaired loans consisted of loan balances with an allowance recorded of \$968 thousand, net of valuation allowances of \$182 thousand; and loan balances with no related allowance recorded of \$529 thousand, net of partial charge-offs of \$196 thousand.

F-80

Restricted Investment in Bank Stock

The carrying amount of restricted investment in bank stock approximates fair value, and considers the limited marketability of such securities.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable

The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable approximates fair value.

Deposit Liabilities

The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (interest and noninterest checking), money market and savings accounts are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered in the market to the maturities of the time deposits.

Short-Term Borrowings

The carrying amounts of short-term borrowings approximate their fair values.

Long-Term Debt

Fair values of FHLB advances are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on quoted prices for new FHLB advances with similar credit risk characteristics, terms and remaining maturity. These prices obtained from this active market represent a market value that is deemed to represent the transfer price if the liability were assumed by a third party.

Subordinated Debt

The fair values of subordinated debt has been estimated using discounted cash flow calculation taking into account contractual maturities, call features, and market interest rates for instruments with similar financial and credit characteristics. These instruments are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

Fair values for the Company s off-balance sheet financial instruments (lending commitments and letters of credit) are based on fees currently charged in the market to enter into similar agreements, taking into account, the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties credit standing.

F-81

At March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, the estimated fair values of the Company s financial instruments were as follows:

	Commina		March 31, 201	8	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
A A		(Dol	llars in thousa	inas)	
Assets:			A 20 10 F	Φ.	Φ.
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 30,495	\$ 30,495	\$ 30,495	\$	\$
Securities available for sale	36,371	35,798		35,798	
Securities held to maturity	18,548	19,231		19,231	
Loans receivable, net	514,847	516,353			516,353
Restricted stock	2,032	2,032		2,032	
Accrued interest receivable	1,896	1,896		1,896	
Liabilities:					
Deposits	511,986	509,908		509,908	
Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh	·	·		·	
advances	40,025	39,909		39,909	
Subordinated debt	9,238	9,386		9,386	
Accrued interest payable	841	841		841	
Off-balance sheet credit related instruments:					

Commitments to extend credit

		Dec	ember 31, 2	017	
	Carrying	Fair	Level		
	Amount	Value	1	Level 2	Level 3
		(Doll	ars in thouse	ands)	
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,257	\$ 8,257	\$8,257	\$	\$
Securities available for sale	52,373	52,373	35	52,338	
Securities held to maturity	18,665	19,665		19,665	
Loans receivable, net	515,522	519,721			519,721
Restricted stock	1,416	1,416		1,416	
Accrued interest receivable	2,007	2,007		2,007	
Liabilities:					
Deposits	523,150	521,922		521,922	
Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh					
advances	24,625	24,546		24,546	
Subordinated debt	9,231	9,539		9,539	
Accrued interest payable	844	844		844	
Off-balance sheet credit related					
instruments:					

Commitments to extend credit

Note 10 Revenue Recognition

The Company adopted Topic 606 using the modified retrospective method applied to all contracts not completed as of January 1, 2018. Results for reporting periods beginning after January 1, 2018 are presented under Topic 606 while prior period amounts continue to be reported in accordance with legacy GAAP. The adoption of Topic 606 did not result in a change to the accounting for any of the in-scope revenue streams; as such, no cumulative effect adjustment was recorded.

F-82

The revenue standard s core principle is built on the contract between a vendor and a customer for the provision of goods and services. It attempts to depict the exchange of rights and obligations between the parties in the pattern of revenue recognition based on the consideration to which the vendor is entitled.

Management determined that revenue derived from financial instruments, including revenue from loans and investment securities, along with non-interest income resulting from gains (losses) from the sale of investment securities, commitment and other loan related fees as well as income from bank owned life insurance are not within the scope of Topic 606. As a result, no changes were made during the period related to these sources of revenue.

Topic 606 is applicable to non-interest income streams such as wealth management fee income, service charges on deposits, other branch fees and other non-interest income. However, the recognition of these revenue streams did not change significantly upon adoption of Topic 606. Noninterest revenue streams in-scope of Topic 606 are discussed below.

Wealth Management Fee Income

Wealth management fee income is generated through the sale of non-deposit investment products offered under the trade name of First Priority Financial Services, a Division of First Priority Bank, through an investment services agreement with a third party registered broker-dealer. Products sold include fixed and variable annuities, mutual funds, stocks and bonds and exchange traded funds (ETFs); as well as trailer fees earned related to these products. Transactions are executed by Registered Representatives who are joint employees of the Company and the registered broker dealer. The Company s performance obligation is generally satisfied upon the settlement date of the related transactions. Trailer fees are calculated in arrears based on average corresponding outstanding balances. Commissions and fees are recognized as income once the performance obligation has been met and payment from the broker dealer is remitted to the Company on a monthly basis.

Service Charges on Deposit Accounts

Service charges on deposit accounts consist of account analysis fees (i.e., net fees earned on analyzed business checking accounts), monthly service fees, check orders, and other deposit account related fees. The Company s performance obligation for account analysis fees and monthly service fees is generally satisfied, and the related revenue recognized, over the period in which the service is provided. Check orders and other deposit account related fees are largely transactional based, and therefore, the Company s performance obligation is satisfied, and related revenue recognized, at a point in time. Payment for service charges on deposit accounts is primarily received immediately or in the following month through a direct charge to customers accounts.

Other Branch Fees

Other branch fees are primarily comprised of debit card income, ATM fees, merchant services income, and other service charges. Debit card income is primarily comprised of interchange fees earned whenever the Company s debit cards are processed through card payment networks such as MasterCard, Visa and NYCE. ATM fees are primarily generated when a Bank customer uses another financial institution s ATM or a non-Bank customer uses a Bank ATM. Merchant services income mainly represents fees charged to merchants to process their debit and credit card transactions. Other service charges include revenue from processing wire transfers, bill pay service, cashier s checks, returned deposits fees, safe deposit box rentals and other services. The Company s performance obligation for other branch fees are largely satisfied, and related revenue recognized, when the services are rendered or upon completion. Payment is typically received immediately or in the following month.

Other

Other noninterest income consists primarily of other non-recurring revenue which is not recorded in the categories listed above. This revenue is miscellaneous in nature and is recognized as income upon receipt.

F-83

The following presents noninterest income, segregated by revenue streams in-scope and out-of-scope of Topic 606, for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017.

	Mont Ma	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2018 (Dollars i	_	017 inds)	
Non-Interest Income	(,	
In-scope of Topic 606:				
Wealth management fee income	\$ 41	\$	39	
Service charges on deposits	29		36	
Other branch fees	45		50	
Other	21		1	
Non-Interest Income (in-scope of Topic 606)	136		126	
Non-Interest Income (out-of-scope of Topic 606)	28		52	
Total Non-Interest Income	\$ 164	\$	178	

Contract Balances

A contract asset balance occurs when an entity performs a service for a customer before the customer pays consideration (resulting in a contract receivable) or before payment is due (resulting in a contract asset). A contract liability balance is an entity s obligation to transfer a service to a customer for which the entity has already received payment (or payment is due) from the customer. The Company s noninterest revenue streams are largely based on transactional activity, or standard month end revenue accruals such as wealth management fees. Consideration is often received immediately or shortly after the Company satisfies its performance obligation and revenue is recognized. The Company does not typically enter into long-term revenue contracts with customers, and therefore, does not experience significant contract balances. As of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, the Company did not have any contract balances.

Contract Acquisition Costs

In connection with the adoption of Topic 606, an entity is required to capitalize, and subsequently amortize into expense, certain incremental costs of obtaining a contract with a customer if these costs are expected to be recovered. The incremental costs of obtaining a contract are those costs that an entity incurs to obtain a contract with a customer that it would not have incurred if the contract had not been obtained (for example, sales commission). The Company utilizes the practical expedient which allows entities to immediately expense contract acquisition costs when the asset that would have resulted from capitalizing these costs would have been amortized in one year or less. Upon adoption of Topic 606, the Company did not capitalize any contract acquisition cost.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

Board of Directors

The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company which comprise the balance sheet as of December 31, 2016 and 2015; the related statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders equity, and cash flows for the years then ended; and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management s Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor sjudgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity s internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Cranberry Township, Pennsylvania

June 15, 2017

S.R. Snodgrass, P.C. 2009 Mackenzie Way, Suite 340 Cranberry Township, Pennsylvania 16066 Phone: 724-934-0344 Fax: 724-934-0345

F-85

THE SCOTTDALE BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

BALANCE SHEET

	Dec	ember 31,
	2016	2015
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 7,160,045	5 \$ 10,227,473
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	97,545	5 16,653,196
Federal funds sold	9,384,000	347,000
Total cash and cash equivalents	16,641,590	27,227,669
Certificates of deposit	2,750,000	2,250,000
Investment securities available for sale	77,281,942	2 66,858,556
Investment securities held to maturity (fair value of \$100,018,587 and		
\$102,456,869)	100,558,182	
Loans	63,379,178	
Less allowance for loan losses	553,105	5 755,994
Net loans	62,826,073	3 58,453,648
Premises and equipment	1,286,220	
Regulatory bank stock	99,500	
Other real estate owned	387,383	
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	1,644,623	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 263,475,513	\$ 262,234,121
LIABILITIES		
Deposits:		
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 44,310,556	5 \$ 41,447,508
Interest-bearing demand deposits	20,541,709	9 18,039,531
Savings and money market deposits	145,279,835	5 148,918,513
Time deposits	5,853,114	5,984,161
Total deposits	215,985,214	
Defined benefit pension liability	2,121,486	
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	85,999	9 184,351
TOTAL LIABILITIES	218,192,699	9 216,472,544
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Common stock authorized, 200,000 shares of \$2.50 par value; 53,950 shares		
issued as of December 31, 2016 and 2015; 50,718 shares outstanding as of		
December 31, 2016 and 2015	134,875	
Surplus	1,200,000	
Retained earnings	44,130,212	2 45,101,888

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,587,977	1,095,064
Treasury stock at cost, 3,232 shares as of December 31, 2016 and 2015	(1,770,250)	(1,770,250)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	45,282,814	45,761,577
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 263,475,513	\$ 262,234,121

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

THE SCOTTDALE BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015	
INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME	2010	2015
Loans, including fees	\$3,271,309	\$ 3,232,484
Investment securities:	+ - 1 - 1 - 1	+ -,,···
Taxable	1,391,232	1,125,363
Exempt from federal income tax	1,973,067	2,183,787
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	61,957	44,810
Federal funds sold	41,662	2,508
	,	,
Total interest and dividend income	6,739,227	6,588,952
INTEREST EXPENSE ON DEPOSITS	520,042	688,948
	,-	,-
NET INTEREST INCOME	6,219,185	5,900,004
Credit provision for loan losses	(200,000)	, ,
1		
NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER		
CREDIT PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES	6,419,185	5,900,004
	, ,	, ,
OTHER INCOME		
Service fees on deposit accounts	340,433	290,554
Gains on investment securities	84,231	196,903
Other	62,398	61,527
Total other income	487,062	548,984
OTHER EXPENSE		
Salaries	2,820,944	2,804,689
Pension and other employee benefits	768,861	704,671
Occupancy and equipment	417,390	464,453
PA shares tax	36,012	41,627
Charitable contributions	493,625	493,084
Federal deposit insurance assessment	117,500	141,000
Professional fees	154,740	221,628
Computer services	100,612	118,272
Loss (gain) on sale of other real estate owned	781,638	(5,907)
Other	562,243	560,043
Total other expense	6,253,565	5,543,560
Income before income tax	652,682	905,428
Income tax expense	102,818	29,115
•	•	•

NET INCOME	\$	549,864	\$	876,313
EADAWAGG DED GWADE	ф	10.04	Φ.	10.20
EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$	10.84	\$	19.39

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

F-87

THE SCOTTDALE BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Year Ended December 3	
	2016	2015
Net income	\$ 549,864	\$ 876,313
Components of other comprehensive income (loss):		
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale investment securities	1,160,983	(207,686)
Tax effect	(394,735)	70,614
Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in income	(84,231)	(196,903)
Tax effect	28,638	66,947
Change in unrecognized pension costs	(329,914)	(76,323)
Tax effect	112,172	25,950
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	492,913	(317,401)
Total comprehensive income	\$ 1,042,777	\$ 558,912

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

THE SCOTTDALE BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

				Accumulated Other		
	Common Stock	Surplus	Retained Earnings	Comprehensive Income	Treasury Stock	Total
Balance, December 31,		1	ε			
2014	\$ 134,875	\$1,200,000	\$44,682,037	\$ 1,412,465	\$ (1,770,250)	\$45,659,127
Net income			876,313			876,313
Other comprehensive loss				(317,401)		(317,401)
Cash dividends (\$ 9.00 per						
share)			(456,462)			(456,462)
Balance, December 31,						
2015	134,875	1,200,000	45,101,888	1,095,064	(1,770,250)	45,761,577
Net income			549,864			549,864
Other comprehensive						
income				492,913		492,913
Cash dividends (\$ 30.00						
per share)			(1,521,540)			(1,521,540)
D-1 Dk21						
Balance, December 31, 2016	\$ 134,875	\$ 1,200,000	\$44,130,212	\$ 1,587,977	\$ (1,770,250)	\$45,282,814
2010	φ13 4 ,0/3	$\phi 1,200,000$	\$ 44 ,130,212	Ф 1,367,977	\$ (1,770,230)	\$45,202,014

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

THE SCOTTDALE BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended 2016	ed December 31, 2015		
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	2010	2013		
Net income	\$ 549,864	\$ 876,313		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	Ψ 317,001	Ψ 070,313		
Credit provision for loan losses	(200,000)			
Depreciation	122,178	108,983		
Net amortization on investments	1,254,675	1,210,498		
Deferred income taxes	102,819	8,639		
Gains on investment securities	(84,231)	(196,903)		
Net loss (gain) on sale of other real estate owned	781,638	(5,907)		
Increase in accrued interest receivable	(26,083)	(5,393)		
(Decrease) increase in accrued interest payable	(84)	938		
Other, net	(413,896)	(128,172)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,086,880	1,868,996		
INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Investment securities available for sale:				
Proceeds from maturities and calls	13,760,275	17,439,383		
Purchases	(23,619,470)	(29,502,306)		
Investment securities held to maturity:				
Proceeds from maturities	17,593,669	24,129,724		
Purchases	(17,126,371)	(11,240,627)		
Purchase of certificate of deposits	(500,000)	(750,000)		
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	1,427,778	29,159		
Purchase of regulatory bank stock	(2,400)			
Redemption of regulatory bank stock		1,800		
Net (increase) decrease in loans	(4,198,359)	585,690		
Purchase of premises and equipment	(82,042)	(723,017)		
Net cash used for investing activities	(12,746,920)	(30,194)		
FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Net increase in deposits	1,595,501	2,194,122		
Cash dividends paid	(1,521,540)	(456,462)		
Cush dividends paid	(1,321,340)	(430, 402)		
Net cash provided by financing activities	73,961	1,737,660		
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(10,586,079)	3,576,462		
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT	27,227,669			
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT	21,221,009	23,651,207		

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT

\$ 16,641,590 \$ 27,227,669

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

F-90

THE SCOTTDALE BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A summary of significant accounting and reporting policies applied in the presentation of the accompanying financial statements follows:

Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation

The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company (the Bank) is a full-service commercial banking institution and provides a variety of financial services to individuals and corporate customers through its five offices located in Southwestern Pennsylvania. The Bank s primary deposit products are noninterest and interest-bearing checking accounts, savings accounts, and certificates of deposit. Its primary lending products are single-family and multi-family residential loans. The Bank is supervised by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities.

Ownership

Lawrence Keister & Co., a Pennsylvania general partnership and related party, owned 25,804 shares, or 50.87 percent, of the Bank s outstanding stock as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Use of Estimates

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the Balance Sheet date and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Therefore, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for losses on loans, defined benefit pension liability, fair value of financial instruments, valuation of deferred tax assets, other-than-temporary impairment of securities, and the valuation of real estate acquired in connection with foreclosures or in satisfaction of loans.

Investment Securities

All investments in debt and equity securities are to be classified into three categories. Securities which management has the positive intent and ability to hold until maturity are classified as held to maturity. Held-to-maturity securities are stated at cost, adjusted for amortization of premium and accretion of discount, computed on a level-yield basis. Securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term are classified as trading securities. All other securities are classified as available-for-sale securities. Unrealized holding gains and losses for trading securities are included in earnings. Unrealized holding gains and losses for available-for-sale securities are excluded from earnings and reported as net of income taxes as a separate component of stockholders—equity until realized. At this time, management has no intention of establishing a trading securities classification.

Interest and dividends on securities are reported as interest income. Gains and losses realized on sales of securities represent the differences between net proceeds and carrying values determined by the specific identification method.

Securities are evaluated on a periodic basis and determine whether a decline in their value is other than temporary. For debt securities, management considers whether the present value of cash flow expected to be collected is less than the security s amortized cost basis (the difference defined as the credit loss), the magnitude and duration of the decline, the reasons underlying the decline, and the Bank s intent to sell the security or whether it is more likely than not that the Bank would be required to sell the security before its

F-91

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued) Investment Securities (Continued)

anticipated recovery in fair value, to determine whether the loss in value is other than temporary. Once a decline in fair value is determined to be other than temporary, if the investor does not intend to sell the security, and it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell the security, before recovery of the security s amortized cost basis, the charge to earnings is limited to the amount of credit loss. Any remaining difference between fair value and amortized cost (the difference defined as the non-credit portion) is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes. Otherwise, the entire difference between fair value and amortized cost is charged to earnings.

Regulating Bank Stock

Common stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) and Atlantic Community Bancshares, Inc represents ownership in institutions which are wholly owned by other financial institutions. These equity securities are accounted for at cost and are classified as regulatory bank stock on the Balance Sheet.

The Bank is a member of the FHLB of Pittsburgh and, as such, is required to maintain a minimum investment in stock of the FHLB that varies with the level of advances outstanding with the FHLB. The stock is bought from and sold to the FHLB based upon its \$100 par value. The stock does not have a readily determinable fair value and, as such, is classified as restricted stock, carried at cost, and evaluated for impairment as needed. The stock s value is determined by the ultimate recoverability of the par value rather than by recognizing temporary declines. The determination of whether the par value will ultimately be recovered is influenced by criteria such as the following: (a) the significance of the decline in net assets of the FHLB as compared with the capital stock amount and the length of time this situation has persisted, (b) commitments by the FHLB to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance, (c) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on the customer base of the FHLB, and (d) the liquidity position of the FHLB. There was no impairment of the FHLB stock as of December 31, 2016 or 2015.

Loans

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff generally are stated at their outstanding unpaid principal balances, net of any deferred fees or costs on originated loans. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance.

The accrual of interest is discontinued when the contractual payment of principal or interest has become 90 days past due, unless the credit is well secured and in the process of collection or management has serious doubts about further collectability of principal or interest, even though the loan is currently performing. Interest received on nonaccrual loans generally is either applied against principal or reported as interest income, according to management s judgment as to the collectability of principal. Generally, loans are restored to accrual status when the obligation is brought current, has performed in accordance with the contractual terms for a reasonable period of time, and the ultimate collectability of the total contractual principal and interest is no longer in doubt.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through provisions for loan losses charged against income. Loans deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance for loan losses, and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to

the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate to absorb losses inherent in the portfolio. Management s periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance is based on the Bank s past

F-92

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued) Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, current economic conditions, and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective, since it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant change, including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans and leases.

Supplementary Cash Flow Information

For purposes of the Statements of Cash Flows, the Bank considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Therefore, all cash and due from banks, interest-bearing deposits in banks, and federal funds sold are classified as cash equivalents for purposes of the Statements of Cash Flows. Federal funds are purchased or sold for one-day periods. Cash payments for interest were \$520,126 and \$688,010 for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. There were no income tax payments in 2016 and 2015.

Advertising

The Bank expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising expense was \$54,355 and \$65,893 for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, which is included in other expense on the Statements of Income.

Premises and Equipment

Land is carried at cost. Premises and equipment, which include leasehold improvements, are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is computed on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which consist of 3 to 20 years for furniture, fixtures, and equipment and 3 to 40 years for office buildings and improvements. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the remaining terms of the related leases or the estimated useful lives of the assets. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged against income as incurred. Costs of major additions and improvements are capitalized.

Other Real Estate Owned (OREO)

Real estate acquired in satisfaction of a loan is reported in other real estate owned on the Balance Sheet.

Properties acquired by foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure are transferred to OREO and recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell. Initial write-downs recorded when properties are transferred to OREO are recorded as reductions of the allowance for loan losses. Costs to maintain the assets, subsequent write-downs to reflect declines in the fair value of the property, and subsequent gains and losses attributable to their disposal are included in other income and expenses.

Trust Department

Assets held by the Bank in fiduciary or agency capacities for its customers are not included in the accompanying Balance Sheets since such items are not assets of the Bank. In accordance with industry practice, fees are recorded on a cash basis and approximate the fees which would have been recognized on the accrual basis.

Income Taxes

The Bank uses an asset and liability approach to financial accounting and reporting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences

F-93

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued) Income Taxes (Continued)

between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Income tax expense is the tax payable or refundable for the period plus or minus the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share are calculated on the basis of the weighted-average number of shares outstanding. The weighted-average number of shares outstanding was 50,718 for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Reclassification of Comparative Amounts

Certain comparative amounts for the prior year have been reclassified to conform to current-year classifications. Such classifications had no effect on net income or stockholders equity.

Comprehensive Income

The Bank is required to present comprehensive income in a full set of general-purpose financial statements for all periods presented. Other comprehensive income comprises unrealized gains and losses on the available-for-sale securities portfolio and the change in the unrecognized pension cost.

2. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair value of investment securities available for sale, at December 31, are summarized as follows:

	2016						
		Gross	Gross				
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized				
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value			
U.S. treasury securities	\$ 2,998,083	\$ 2,112	\$ (898)	\$ 2,999,297			
U.S. government agency securities	10,256,851	20,821	(29,070)	10,248,602			
Corporate securities	57,818,220	90,996	(563,430)	57,345,786			
Total debt securities	71,073,154	113,929	(593,398)	70,593,685			
Equity securities financial institutions	930,296	5,757,961		6,688,257			
Total	\$72,003,450	\$5,871,890	\$ (593,398)	\$77,281,942			

	2015				
		Gross	Gross		
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair	
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	
U.S. treasury securities	\$ 2,997,004	\$	\$ (10,792)	\$ 2,986,212	
U.S. government agency securities	14,288,319	38,998	(42,003)	14,285,314	
Corporate securities	44,441,197	110,096	(339,439)	44,211,854	
_					
Total debt securities	61,726,520	149,094	(392,234)	61,483,380	
Equity securities financial institutions	930,296	4,444,880		5,375,176	
Total	\$ 62,656,816	\$4.593.974	\$ (392,234)	\$ 66.858.556	

F-94

2. INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair value of investment securities held to maturity, at December 31, are summarized as follows:

	2016				
		Gross	Gross		
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair	
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 100,558,182	\$ 237,863	\$ (777,458)	\$ 100,018,587	

	2015			
		Gross	Gross	
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 101,683,363	\$ 909,213	\$ (135,707)	\$ 102,456,869

The following table shows the Bank s gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at December 31:

2016							
Less than Tw	elve Months	Twelve Month	s or Greater	Tot	Total		
	Gross		Gross		Gross		
Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized		
Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses		
\$ 38,317,854	\$ (570,781)	\$ 23,720,794	\$ (206,677)	\$ 62,038,648	\$ (777,458)		
		999,102	(898)	999,102	(898)		
1,980,839	(19,161)	3,745,127	(9,909)	5,725,966	(29,070)		
21,431,301	(486,774)	16,963,490	(76,656)	38,394,791	(563,430)		
\$61,729,994	\$ (1,076,716)	\$ 45,428,513	\$ (294,140)	\$ 107,158,507	\$ (1,370,856)		
	Fair Value \$ 38,317,854 1,980,839 21,431,301	Fair Unrealized Losses \$ 38,317,854 \$ (570,781) 1,980,839 (19,161) 21,431,301 (486,774)	Less than Twelve Months Gross Fair Value Losses \$ 38,317,854 \$ (570,781) \$ 23,720,794 999,102 1,980,839 (19,161) 3,745,127 21,431,301 (486,774) 16,963,490	Less than Twelve Months Twelve Months or Greater Gross Fair Value Unrealized Losses Fair Value Unrealized Losses \$38,317,854 \$ (570,781) \$23,720,794 \$ (206,677) 999,102 (898) 1,980,839 (19,161) 3,745,127 (9,909) 21,431,301 (486,774) 16,963,490 (76,656)	Less than Twelve Months Twelve Months or Greater Gross Gross Total Gross Fair Value Unrealized Losses Fair Value Unrealized Losses Fair Value \$38,317,854 \$ (570,781) \$23,720,794 \$ (206,677) \$ 62,038,648 999,102 (898) 999,102 1,980,839 (19,161) 3,745,127 (9,909) 5,725,966 21,431,301 (486,774) 16,963,490 (76,656) 38,394,791		

	2	015					
Twelve Months or							
welve Months	Gre	eater	To	otal			
Gross		Gross		Gross			
Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized			
Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses			
	Gross Unrealized	Twelve I welve Months Gross Unrealized Fair	welve Months Greater Gross Gross Unrealized Fair Unrealized	Twelve Months or welve Months Greater To Gross Gross Unrealized Fair Unrealized Fair			

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Obligations of states and						
political subdivisions	\$ 8,207,399	\$ (28,187)	\$ 17,113,698	\$ (107,520)	\$ 25,321,097	\$ (135,707)
U.S. treasury securities	1,991,524	(5,480)	994,688	(5,312)	2,986,212	(10,792)
U.S. government agency						
securities	1,989,350	(10,650)	4,236,860	(31,353)	6,226,210	(42,003)
Corporate securities	18,131,834	(261,301)	9,068,191	(78,138)	27,200,025	(339,439)
Total	\$30,320,107	\$ (305,618)	\$ 31,413,437	\$ (222,323)	\$ 61,733,544	\$ (527,941)

The Bank reviews its position quarterly and asserts that at December 31, 2016, the declines outlined in the previous table represent temporary declines and the Bank does not intend to sell and does not believe it will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their cost basis, which may be at maturity. At December 31, 2016, the Bank had 202 investment securities that were in an unrealized loss position.

For debt securities that are not deemed to be credit impaired, management performs additional analysis to assess whether it intends to sell or would more likely than not be required to sell the investment before the expected recovery of the amortized cost basis. Management has asserted that is has no intent to sell and that

F-95

2. INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

it believes it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell the investment before recovery of its amortized cost basis. Similarly, for equity securities, management considers the various factors described above, including its intent and ability to hold the equity security for a period of time sufficient for recovery to amortized cost. Where management lacks that intent or ability, the security s decline in fair value is deemed to be other than temporary and is recorded in earnings.

For debt securities, a critical component of the evaluation for other-than-temporary impairment is the identification of credit impaired securities where management does not expect to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. Where management deems the security to be other-than-temporarily impaired based upon the above factors and the duration and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, the inability to forecast a recovery in fair value, and other factors concerning the issuers in the pooled security, the decline is recorded in earnings.

The Bank has concluded that the unrealized losses disclosed above are not other than temporary but are the result of interest rate changes, sector credit ratings changes, or Bank-specific ratings changes that are not expected to result in the non-collection of principal and interest during the period.

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities by contractual maturity at December 31, 2016, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Available	e for Sale	Held to	Maturity
	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair
	Cost	Value	Cost	Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 6,031,014	\$ 6,044,914	\$ 15,753,299	\$ 15,790,389
Due after one year through				
five years	50,839,184	50,653,743	71,877,812	71,635,717
Due after five years through				
ten years	14,202,956	13,895,028	12,927,071	12,592,481
Total	\$71,073,154	\$70,593,685	\$ 100,558,182	\$ 100,018,587

There were no sales of investment securities during the years ended December 31, 2016 or 2015. The Bank recorded gross gains from investment calls and proceeds received on securities for which it had previously recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$84,231 and \$196,903 at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Investment securities with a carrying value of \$10,765,582 and \$9,504,383 at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, were pledged for fiduciary powers, to secure public deposits and for other purposes as provided by law.

3. LOANS

The Bank grants mortgage, commercial, and installment loans, which are the primary loan portfolio segments to customers. A substantial portion of the loan portfolio is represented by mortgage loans throughout Southwestern Pennsylvania. The ability of the Bank s debtors to honor their contracts is dependent upon the real estate and general economic conditions in this area.

F-96

3. LOANS (Continued)

Major classifications of loans are summarized as follows as of December 31:

	2016	2015
Real estate:		
Residential	\$31,640,599	\$ 30,955,094
Commercial	27,150,871	23,987,647
Commercial	3,015,337	2,409,093
Installment	1,572,371	1,857,808
	63,379,178	59,209,642
Less allowance for loan losses	553,105	755,994
Net loans	\$62,826,073	\$ 58,453,648

4. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The allowance consists of specific, general, and unallocated components. The specific component relates to loans that are evaluated solely based on delinquency and assessed a specific reserve by management. For such loans that are also classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value, if the loan is considered to be collateral-dependent) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying amount of that loan. The general component covers current loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors. In reviewing risk within the Bank s loan portfolio, management has determined there to be several different risk categories within the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses consists of amounts applicable to: (i) the residential real estate portfolio; (ii) the commercial real estate portfolio; (iii) the commercial portfolio; (iv) the installment portfolio; and (v) the unallocated portion. An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management s estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

During 2016, the activity in the allowance as noted below corresponds with the increases and decreases in each respective portfolio. There were no changes in qualitative or historical loss factors during the year.

Changes in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment as of December 31 are as follows:

		Commercial				
	Residential	Real				
	Real Estate	Estate	Commercial	Installment	Unallocated	Total
Beginning balance at January 1,						
2016	\$ 232,190	\$ 239,876	\$ 15,345	\$ 17,739	\$ 250,844	\$ 755,994
Provision	5,424	33,013	4,683	2,437	(245,557)	(200,000)

Charge-offs				(9,552)		(9,552)
Recoveries				6,663		6,663
Ending balance at December 31,						
2016	\$ 237,614	\$ 272,889	\$ 20,028	\$ 17,287	\$ 5,287	\$ 553,105
		Commercial				
	Residential	Real				
	Real Estate	Estate	Commercia	l Installment	Unallocated	Total
Beginning balance at January 1,						
2015	\$ 219,712	\$ 261,370	\$ 14,598	\$ 21,999	\$ 237,029	\$ 754,708
Provision	12,478	(21,494)	747	(5,546)	13,815	
Charge-offs				(8)		(8)
Recoveries				1,294		1,294
Ending balance at December 31,						
2015	\$ 232,190	\$ 239.876	\$ 15,345	\$ 17,739	\$ 250,844	\$ 755,994

4. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (Continued)

The following is a table that segregates the allowance for loan losses and loan portfolio by portfolio segment into the amount required for loans individually evaluated for impairment and the amount required for loans collectively evaluated for impairment as of December 31, 2016 and 2015:

December 31, 2016		esidential eal Estate		ommercial eal Estate	C	ommercial	In	ıstallment	U	nallocated		Total
Allowance for loan losses:												
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$	237,614	\$	272,889	\$	20,028	\$	17,287	\$	5 5,287	\$	553,105
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment												
Ending balance	\$	237,614	\$	272,889	\$	20,028	\$	17,287	\$	5,287	\$	553,105
Loans:												
Ending balance: collectively												
evaluated for impairment	\$3	31,640,599	\$ 2	26,856,453	\$	2,936,639	\$	1,572,371			\$ 6	53,006,062
Ending balance: individually		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·	-,,	Ċ	, ,	Ċ	, , , , , ,				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
evaluated for impairment				294,418		78,698						373,116
Ending balance	\$3	31,640,599	\$ 2	27,150,871	\$	3,015,337	\$	1,572,371			\$6	53,379,178
D 1 21 2015		sidential al Estate		mmercial al Estate	Co	nmercial	Ins	tallment	Ur	nallocated		Total
December 31, 2015					Coi	nmercial	Ins	tallment	Ur	nallocated		Total
Allowance for loan losses:					Con	nmercial	Ins	tallment	Ur	nallocated		Total
					Con	nmercial	Ins	tallment		nallocated 250,844	\$	Total 755,994
Allowance for loan losses: Ending balance: collectively	Re	al Estate	Re	al Estate							\$	
Allowance for loan losses: Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment Ending balance: individually	Re	al Estate	Re	al Estate							\$	
Allowance for loan losses: Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	Re	232,190	Re \$	239,876	\$	15,345	\$	17,739	\$	250,844		755,994
Allowance for loan losses: Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment Ending balance	Re	232,190	Re \$	239,876	\$	15,345	\$	17,739	\$	250,844		755,994
Allowance for loan losses: Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment Ending balance Loans:	\$ \$	232,190	\$ \$	239,876	\$	15,345	\$	17,739	\$	250,844	\$	755,994
Allowance for loan losses: Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment Ending balance Loans: Ending balance: collectively	\$ \$	232,190 232,190	\$ \$	239,876 239,876	\$	15,345 15,345	\$	17,739 17,739	\$	250,844	\$	755,994 755,994
Allowance for loan losses: Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment Ending balance Loans: Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ \$	232,190 232,190	\$ \$	239,876 239,876	\$	15,345 15,345	\$	17,739 17,739	\$	250,844	\$	755,994 755,994

Impaired Loans

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either their present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loans effective interest rate, the loan s obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent.

Large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Bank does not separately identify individual consumer and residential loans for impairment disclosures, unless such loans are the subject of a restructuring agreement.

F-98

4. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (Continued)

Information with respect to impaired loans as of and for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, is as follows:

	Impaired Loan Allov	s with Specif vance	Impaired Loans with No Specific Allowance		tal Impaired L	
	Recorded	Related	Recorded	Unpaid Principal	Average Recorded	Interest Income
	Investment	Allowance	Investment	Balance	Investment	Recognized
December 31, 2016	mvestment	Tillowance	mvestment	Dulunce	mvestmem	Recognized
Commercial real estate	\$	\$	\$ 294,418	\$ 294,418	\$ 594,176	\$ 22,864
Commercial			78,698	78,698	26,198	
	\$	\$	\$ 373,116	\$ 373,116	\$ 620,374	\$ 22,864
	x	:4 0 :6	Impaired Loans with			
	Impaired Loan	•	•	To	tal Turnaina d T	
	Allov	vance	Allowance	Unpaid	tal Impaired L Average	oans Interest
	Recorded Investment	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Income Recognized
December 31, 2015						8
Commercial real estate	\$	\$	\$ 646,102	\$ 646,102	\$ 161,308	\$ 23,749
	\$	\$	\$ 646,102	\$646,102	\$ 161,308	\$ 23,749

Credit Quality Information

The following table includes an aging analysis of the recorded investment of past-due financing receivables as of December 31:

	Current	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days + Past Due	Total Loans	Pas	0 Days + st Due and 1 Accruing
December 31, 2016							8
Residential real estate	\$ 30,512,920	\$ 258,853	\$511,086	\$357,740	\$ 31,640,599	\$	228,389
Commercial real estate	26,461,894		394,559	294,418	27,150,871		
Commercial	2,936,639			78,698	3,015,337		70,000

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Installment	1,565,450	6,921			1,572,371		
Total	\$61,476,903	\$ 265,774	\$ 905,645	\$ 730,856	\$ 63,379,178	\$	298,389
	Current	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days + Past Due	Total Loans	P	Days + last Due and Still
December 31, 2015							
Residential real estate	\$ 30,262,045	\$461,682	\$ 73,899	\$ 157,468	\$30,955,094	\$	157,468
Commercial real estate	23,341,545			646,102	23,987,647		567,358
Commercial	2,424,875	56,520		8,698	2,490,093		8,698
Installment	1,848,122	3,560		6,126	1,857,808		6,126
Total	\$ 57,876,587	\$ 521,762	\$ 73,899	\$818,394	\$ 59,290,642	\$	739,650

4. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (Continued)

The following is a table of nonaccrual loans by portfolio segment as of December 31, 2016:

	Nonaccrua	
December 31, 2016		
Residential real estate	\$	129,351
Commercial real estate		294,418
Commercial		8,698
Total	\$	432,467

The following is a table of nonaccrual loans by portfolio segment as of December 31, 2015:

	No	naccrual
December 31, 2015		
Commercial real estate	\$	48,744
Total	\$	48,744

The nonperforming classification is based solely on delinquency levels. Nonperforming loans include loans that are on nonaccrual or loans that are contractually past due 90 days or more on interest or principal payments.

	Performing	Non	performing	Total
December 31, 2016				
Residential real estate	\$31,282,859	\$	357,740	\$ 31,640,599
Commercial real estate	26,856,453		294,418	27,150,871
Commercial	2,936,639		78,698	3,015,337
Installment	1,572,371			1,572,371
Ending balance	\$ 62,648,322	\$ Nam	730,856	\$ 63,379,178 Total
December 31, 2015	Performing	NOII	performing	Totai
Residential real estate	\$ 30,797,626	\$	157,468	\$ 30,955,094
Commercial real estate	23,341,545		646,102	23,987,647
Commercial	2,481,395		8,698	2,490,093
Installment	1,851,682		6,126	1,857,808
Ending balance	\$ 58,472,248	\$	818,394	\$ 59,290,642

<u>Troubled Debt Restructuring (TDR)</u>

Consistent with accounting and regulatory guidance, the Bank recognizes a TDR when the Bank, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower s financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that would not normally be considered. Regardless of the form of concession granted, the Bank s objective in offering a TDR is to increase the probability of repayment of the borrower s loan.

To be considered a TDR, both of the following criteria must be met:

The borrower must be experiencing financial difficulties; and

The Bank, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower s financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that would not otherwise be considered.

F-100

4. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (Continued)

The Bank did not have any TDRs in 2016 or 2015 nor did it have any TDRs within the last year where a concession had been made that then defaulted in 2016 or 2015.

5. PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Major classifications of premises and equipment are summarized as follows as of December 31:

	2016	2015
Land	\$ 269,514	\$ 269,514
Buildings and improvements	2,429,134	2,429,134
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment	1,985,983	2,138,514
	4,684,631	4,837,162
Less accumulated depreciation	3,398,411	3,510,806
Total	\$ 1,286,220	\$ 1,326,356

Depreciation charged to operations was \$122,178 and \$108,983 in 2016 and 2015, respectively.

6. DEPOSITS

The scheduled maturities of time deposits at December 31, 2016, are as follows:

2017	\$ 2,974,012
2018	1,235,202
2019	1,643,900
Total	\$ 5,853,114

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the aggregate of all time deposit accounts with a minimum denomination in greater than or equal to the federally insured limit of \$250,000 or more amounted to \$2,465,000 and \$2,465,000, respectively.

7. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In the normal course of business, there are various outstanding commitments to extend credit that are not reflected in the accompanying Balance Sheet and represent financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the Balance Sheet. The same credit policies are used in making commitments and conditional obligations as for on-balance sheet

instruments. Generally, collateral is required to support financial instruments with credit risk.

The off-balance sheet commitments consisted of commitments to extend credit totaling approximately \$8,135,337 and \$2,873,427 at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, off-balance sheet commitments also included standby letters of credit totaling \$82,303 and \$82,303, respectively.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the loan agreement. These commitments consist primarily of mortgage loan originations, credit cards, and lines of credit.

The exposure to loss under these commitments is limited by subjecting them to credit approval and monitoring procedures. Substantially all commitments to extend credit are contingent upon customers maintaining specific credit standards at the time of the loan funding. Management assesses the credit risk associated with certain commitments to extend credit in determining the level of the allowance for loan losses.

F-101

8. INCOME TAXES

The provision (benefit) for income taxes is summarized as follows:

	2016	2015
Current	\$ (1)	\$ 20,476
Deferred	102,819	8,639
Total	\$ 102,818	\$ 29,115

The deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities recorded on the Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, are as follows:

	2016	2015
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 77,607	\$ 145,607
Alternative minimum tax credits	938,895	938,895
Pension liability	976,637	864,467
Charitable contribution carryforward	802,893	766,318
Net operating loss carryover	1,074,917	647,778
Other	183,525	181,330
Gross deferred tax assets	4,054,474	3,544,395
Deferred tax valuation allowance	(1,877,810)	(1,414,096)
Total deferred tax assets	2,176,664	2,130,299
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Pension expense	(255,332)	(218,983)
Premises and equipment	(38,416)	(37,751)
Net unrealized gain on investment securities		
available for sale	(1,794,687)	(1,428,592)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(2,088,435)	(1,685,326)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 88,229	\$ 444,973

Included in the table above is a deferred tax asset related to charitable contributions made by the Bank in prior years that began to expire during 2012 as the Bank was unable to generate sufficient taxable income to deduct the contributions. The charitable contribution amounts of \$386,052 and \$251,295 expired during 2016 and 2015, respectively. As such, management has established a valuation allowance against this deferred tax asset as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, in the amount of \$802,893 and \$766,318, respectively.

The Bank also has a net operating loss (NOL) carryforward that will begin to expire in 2030 of which a valuation allowance against this deferred tax asset was established in the amount of \$1,074,917 and \$647,778 as of

December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The alternative minimum tax ($\,$ AMT $\,$) credits have an indefinite carryforward period.

F-102

8. INCOME TAXES (Continued)

The reconciliation between the federal statutory rate and the Bank s effective income tax rate is as follows:

	2016		2015	
		% of Pretax		% of Pretax
	Amount	Income	Amount	Income
Provision at statutory rate	\$ 221,912	34.0%	\$ 307,846	34.0%
Effect of tax-exempt income	(697,438)	(106.9)	(731,583)	(80.8)
Valuation allowance	463,714	71.1	395,408	43.7
Other	114,630	17.6	57,444	6.3
Total expense for income taxes and				
effective rate	\$ 102,818	15.8%	\$ 29,115	3.2%

U.S. generally accepted accounting principles prescribe a recognition threshold and a measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Benefits from tax positions should be recognized in the financial statements only when it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority that would have full knowledge of all relevant information. A tax position that meets the more-likely- than-not recognition threshold is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Tax positions that previously failed to meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be recognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is met. Previously recognized tax positions that no longer meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be derecognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is no longer met.

There is currently no liability for uncertain tax positions and no known unrecognized tax benefits. The Bank recognizes, when applicable, interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes in the Statements of Income. The Bank s federal and PA shares tax returns for taxable years through 2013 have been closed for purposes of examination by the Internal Revenue Service and the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue.

9. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following table presents the changes in accumulated other comprehensive income by component, net of tax, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015:

	Net U	Inrealized Gain	Net	Unrecognized	
	OI	n Securities	Pe	nsion Costs	Total
Accumulated other comprehensive					
income (loss), January 1, 2015	\$	3,040,175	\$	(1,627,710)	\$ 1,412,465
		(137,072)		(148,473)	(285,545)

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Other comprehensive loss before

reclassification

recrassification			
Amounts reclassified	(129,956)	98,100	(31,856)
Accumulated other comprehensive			
income (loss), December 31, 2015	2,773,147	(1,678,083)	1,095,064
Other comprehensive income (loss)			
before reclassification	766,248	(334,400)	431,848
Amounts reclassified	(55,593)	116,658	61,065
Accumulated other comprehensive			
income (loss), December 31, 2016	\$ 3,483,802	\$ (1,895,825)	\$ 1,587,977

9. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Continued)

The following table presents the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Details About Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	mount Recl Accumula Comprehen 2016	atec	l Other	Affected Line on the Statements of Income
Realized gain on available-for-sale securities	\$ (84,231)	\$	(196,903)	Gains on investment securities
	28,638		66,947	Income tax expense
	\$ (55,593)	\$	(129,956)	
Net unrecognized pension costs	\$ 176,754	\$	148,636	Pension and other employee benefits
	(60,096)		(50,536)	Income tax benefit
	\$ 116,658	\$	98,100	

10. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS Defined Benefit Plan

The Bank offers a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan (the Plan) covering all eligible employees. The Bank s policy is to fund pension benefits as accrued. The Plan s assets are primarily invested in corporate equity securities; the valuations of which are subject to market fluctuations.

The following table sets forth the Plan s funded status and amounts recognized in the Bank s Balance Sheet at December 31:

	2016	2015
Change in projected benefit obligation:		
Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 8,074,241	\$ 7,875,586
Service cost	273,688	268,338
Interest cost	340,342	312,566
Benefit payments	(17,053)	(74,645)
Actuarial (gain) loss	409,903	(307,604)
Projected benefit obligation at end of year	9,081,121	8,074,241

Change in Plan assets:

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Fair value of Plan assets at beginning of year	6,175,761	5,903,567
Return on Plan assets	350,927	(103,161)
Benefit payments	(17,053)	(74,645)
Employer contribution	450,000	450,000
Fair value of Plan assets at end of year	6,959,635	6,175,761
Funded status	(2,121,486)	(1,898,480)
Unrecognized actuarial loss	2,872,462	2,531,579
Unrecognized prior service cost		10,970
Accrued pension cost	\$ 750,976	\$ 644,069
•		

10. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS (Continued) Defined Benefit Plan (Continued)

Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31 consist of:

	2016	2015
Unrecognized actuarial loss	2,872,462	2,531,579
Unrecognized prior service cost	\$	\$ 10,970
Amount recognized, end of year	\$ 2,872,462	\$ 2,542,549

Amounts recognized in the year-end Balance Sheet consist of:

	2016	2015
Accrued benefit cost	\$ 750,976	\$ 644,069
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(2,872,462)	(2,542,549)
Net amount recognized	\$ (2,121,486)	\$ (1,898,480)

The accumulated benefit obligation for the Plan was \$7,659,843 and \$6,463,583 at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Components of Net Periodic Pension Cost

Amounts included in the net periodic pension cost are as follows for the years ended December 31:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2016	2015
Service cost benefits earned during the period	\$ 273,688	\$ 268,338
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	340,342	312,566
Expected return on Plan assets	(447,691)	(429,402)
Net amortization and deferral	10,970	12,763
Recognized actuarial loss	165,784	135,873
Total pension cost	\$ 343,093	\$ 300,138

Assumptions

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost for the years ended December 31 consist of:

	2016	2015
Discount rate	4.00%	4.00%
Expected long-term return on Plan assets	7.00	7.00
Rate of compensation increase	4.00	4.00

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31 consist of:

	2016	2015
Discount rate	4.00%	4.25%
Expected long-term return on Plan assets	7.00	7.00
Rate of compensation increase	4.00	4.00

F-105

10. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS (Continued) Assumptions (Continued)

The Plan s weighted-average asset allocations by investment category as of December 31 are as follows:

	2016	2015
Cash and cash equivalents	42.34%	38.40%
Common stocks	57.66	61.60
	100.00%	100.00%

The Plan s funds are managed and held in trust by the Bank s Trust Division. The investment objective and strategy for investing Plan assets call for a Moderate Growth Income Objective. This objective provides for a preservation of the principal s purchasing power and moderate income. The investment policies of the Plan trustees prohibit the use of derivatives. In addition, the Plan s assets are diversified appropriately across different sections.

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the Plan s assets at fair value as of December 31:

		December 31, 2016			
	Level I	Level II	Level III	Total	
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,946,723	\$	\$	\$ 2,946,723	
Common stocks:					
Communications	592,417			592,417	
Food and kindred	342,080			342,080	
Pharmaceuticals and healthcare	1,019,554			1,019,554	
Information technology	555,733			555,733	
Petroleum refining	262,066			262,066	
Other	1,241,062			1,241,062	
Total assets at fair value	\$6,959,635	\$	\$	\$6,959,635	
		Decembe	r 31, 2015		
	Level I	Level II	Level III	Total	
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,371,580	\$	\$	\$ 2,371,580	
Common stocks:					
Communications	505,443			505,443	
Food and kindred	352,108			352,108	
Pharmaceuticals and healthcare	1,160,227			1,160,227	

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Information technology	469,029		469,029
Petroleum refining	237,925		237,925
Other	1,079,449		1,079,449
Total assets at fair value	\$6,175,761	\$ \$	\$6,175,761

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value. There have been no changes in the methodologies used at December 31, 2016.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Valued at carrying amount, which approximates fair value.

F-106

10. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS (Continued)

Common Stocks

Valued at the closing price reported on the active market on which the individual securities are traded.

The methods described above may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while the Plan believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement at the reporting date.

Cash Flows

The Bank expects to contribute \$450,000 to the Plan in 2017. Also, the actuarial net loss that will be amortized as a component of net periodic benefit cost in 2017 is \$206,123.

Expected benefit payments for the next ten years:

2017	\$ 131,601
2018	158,060
2019	178,264
2020	316,378
2021	343,194
2022 - 2026	2,681,700
	\$3,809,197

401(k) Plan

The Bank has a 401(k) plan that covers substantially all employees. The plan provides for employer-matching contributions of 25 percent of employee contributions. The Bank paid required employer-matching contributions of \$49,314 and \$39,983 for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

11. REGULATORY MATTERS

Cash Requirements

The Federal Reserve Bank requires the Bank to maintain certain average reserve balances. The balance of these reserves, which is included in cash and due from banks on the Balance Sheet, is a required reserve as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, of \$1,289,000 and \$1,163,000, respectively.

Loans

The Bank does not have outstanding borrowings with any institution as of December 31, 2016 or 2015. Sources of credit used by the Bank throughout the year for operational purposes are the Federal Reserve Bank discount window borrowings and funds available through credit line arrangements with the FHLB. An FHLB line of credit of \$10,000,000 is available to the Bank and is subject to annual renewal. This line of credit is secured by a blanket security agreement on qualifying residential mortgages and the Bank s investment in FHLB stock. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Bank did not have a maximum borrowing capacity established with the FHLB.

F-107

11. REGULATORY MATTERS (Continued)

Dividends

The Bank is subject to legal limitations on the amount of dividends that can be paid to the stockholders. The Pennsylvania Banking Code restricts the payment of dividends, generally to the extent of its retained earnings.

Capital Requirements

Federal regulations require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts of capital. Specifically, the Bank is required to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total common equity Tier 1, and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets and of Tier 1 capital to average total assets. Management believes, as of December 31, 2016, that the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Bank was considered to be well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be classified as a well-capitalized financial institution, as of December 31, 2016, total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, common equity Tier 1 capital, and Tier 1 leverage capital ratios must be at least 10 percent, 8 percent, 6.5 percent, and 5 percent, respectively.

The following table sets forth the Bank s capital position and minimum requirements as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

	2016		2015		
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
Total capital					
(to risk-weighted assets)					
Actual	\$46,839,023	31.34%	\$47,386,394	35.53%	
For capital adequacy purposes	11,957,120	8.00	10,670,560	8.00	
To be well capitalized	14,946,400	10.00	13,338,200	10.00	
Tier 1 capital					
(to risk-weighted assets)					
Actual	\$43,694,837	29.23%	\$44,666,513	33.49%	
For capital adequacy purposes	8,967,840	6.00	8,002,920	6.00	
To be well capitalized	11,957,120	8.00	10,670,560	8.00	
Common equity Tier 1 capital					
(to risk-weighted assets)					
Actual	\$43,694,837	29.23%	\$44,666,513	33.49%	
For capital adequacy purposes	6,725,880	4.50	6,002,190	4.50	
To be well capitalized	9,715,160	6.50	8,669,830	6.50	
Tier 1 capital					
(to average assets)					
Actual	\$43,694,837	16.58%	\$44,666,513	17.12%	
For capital adequacy purposes	10,539,800	4.00	10,435,640	4.00	
To be well capitalized	13,174,750	5.00	13,044,550	5.00	

12. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following disclosures show the hierarchal disclosure framework associated with the level of pricing observations utilized in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. The three broad levels defined by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles are as follows:

Level I: Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reported date.

F-108

12. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

Level II: Pricing inputs are other than the quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. The nature of these assets and liabilities includes items for which quoted prices are available but traded less frequently and items that are fair-valued using other financial instruments, the parameters of which can be directly observed.

Level III: Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

This hierarchy requires the use of observable market data when available.

The following table presents the assets reported on the Balance Sheet at their fair value on a recurring as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, by level within the fair value hierarchy. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

	December 31, 2016			
	Level I	Level II	Level III	Total
Assets measured on a recurring basis:				
Investment securities available for sale:				
U.S. treasury securities	\$	\$ 2,999,297	\$	\$ 2,999,297
U.S. government agency securities		10,248,602		10,248,602
Corporate securities		57,345,786		57,345,786
Equity securities financial institutions	6,688,257			6,688,257
Total	\$6,688,257	\$70,593,685	\$	\$77,281,942
		December 3	31, 2015	
	Level I	December 3 Level II	31, 2015 Level III	Total
Assets measured on a recurring basis:	Level I			Total
Assets measured on a recurring basis: Investment securities available for sale:	Level I			Total
	Level I			Total \$ 2,986,212
Investment securities available for sale:		Level II	Level III	
Investment securities available for sale: U.S. treasury securities		Level II \$ 2,986,212	Level III	\$ 2,986,212
Investment securities available for sale: U.S. treasury securities U.S. government agency securities		Level II \$ 2,986,212 14,285,314	Level III	\$ 2,986,212 14,285,314
Investment securities available for sale: U.S. treasury securities U.S. government agency securities Corporate securities	\$	Level II \$ 2,986,212 14,285,314	Level III	\$ 2,986,212 14,285,314 44,211,854

Securities

Fair values for securities available for sale are determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique which is widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark-quoted securities.

F-109

13. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The estimated fair values of the Bank s financial instruments at December 31 are as follows:

			2016		
	Carrying	Fair			
	Value	Value	Level I	Level II	Level III
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 16,641,590	\$ 16,641,590	\$ 16,641,590	\$	\$
Investment securities:					
Available for sale	77,281,942	77,281,942	6,688,257	70,593,685	
Held to maturity	100,558,182	100,018,587		100,018,587	
Net loans	62,826,073	64,168,925			64,168,925
Accrued interest receivable	1,356,995	1,356,995	1,356,995		
Regulatory bank stock	99,500	99,500	99,500		
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	\$ 215,985,214	\$216,096,216	\$210,132,100	\$	\$ 5,964,116
Accrued interest payable	7,633	7,633	7,633		

			2015		
	Carrying	Fair			
	Value	Value	Level I	Level II	Level III
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,227,669	\$ 27,227,669	\$ 27,227,669	\$	\$
Investment securities:					
Available for sale	66,858,556	66,858,556	5,375,176	61,483,380	
Held to maturity	101,683,363	102,456,869		102,456,869	
Net loans	58,453,648	60,504,364			60,504,364
Accrued interest receivable	1,330,912	1,330,912	1,330,912		
Regulatory bank stock	97,100	97,100	97,100		
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	\$214,389,713	\$ 214,374,713	\$ 208,405,552	\$	\$ 5,969,161
Accrued interest payable	7,717	7,717	7,717		

Financial instruments are defined as cash, evidence of an ownership interest in an entity, or a contract which creates an obligation or right to receive or deliver cash or another financial instrument from/to a second entity on potentially favorable or unfavorable terms.

Fair value is defined as the amount at which a financial instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties other than in a forced or liquidation sale. If a quoted market price is available for a financial instrument, the estimated fair value would be calculated based upon the market price per trading unit of the instrument.

If no readily available market exists, the fair value estimates for financial instruments should be based upon management s judgment regarding current economic conditions, interest rate risk, expected cash flows, future estimated losses, and other factors as determined through various option pricing formulas or simulation modeling.

Since many of these assumptions result from judgments made by management based upon estimates which are inherently uncertain, the resulting estimated fair values may not be indicative of the amount realizable in the sale of a particular financial instrument. In addition, changes in the assumptions on which the estimated fair values are based may have a significant impact on the resulting estimated fair values.

Since certain assets, such as deferred tax assets and premises and equipment, are not considered financial instruments, the estimated fair value of financial instruments would not represent the full value of the Bank.

F-110

13. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Accrued Interest Receivable, and Accrued Interest Payable

The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of the fair value.

Investment Securities

The fair value of investment securities is equal to the available quoted market price. If no quoted market price is available, fair value is estimated using the quoted market price for similar securities.

The Bank utilizes a third-party source to determine the fair value of its fixed income securities. The methodology consists of pricing models based on asset class and includes available trade, bid, other market information, broker quotes, proprietary models, various databases, and trading desk quotes.

Net Loans

The fair value is estimated by discounting future cash flows using current market inputs at which loans with similar terms and qualities would be made to borrowers of similar credit quality. Where quoted market prices were available, primarily for certain residential mortgage loans, such market rates were utilized as estimates for fair value.

Regulatory Bank Stock

The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value because it is a restricted investment and its value is determined by the ultimate recoverability of the par value.

Deposits

The fair values of certificates of deposit are based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rates are estimated using rates currently offered for similar instruments with similar remaining maturities. Demand, savings, and money market deposit accounts are valued at the amount payable on demand as of year-end.

Off-Balance Sheet Commitments

The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

14. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On March 29, 2017, the Bank executed a definitive agreement with Mid-Penn Bancorp, Inc., in which Mid-Penn Bancorp, Inc. will merge with the Bank. Mid-Penn Bancorp, Inc. will purchase the stock of the Bank at which point the Bank will merge into Mid-Penn Bancorp, Inc. The Bank will then operate as Scottdale Bank and Trust, a division of Mid Penn Bank. The merger is subject to the approval of regulatory authorities and the affirmative vote of the shareholders of the Bank. The merger is expected to close in the third quarter of 2017.

The Bank has reviewed events occurring through June 15, 2017, the date the financial statements were issued. Except for the merger agreement referred to, no other subsequent events have occurred requiring accrual or disclosure.

F-111

The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company

Unaudited Balance Sheet

(unaudited)

(Dollars in Thousands)	Dec	cember 31, 2017	Dec	cember 31, 2016
ASSETS				
Cash and due from banks	\$	10,528	\$	7,160
Interest-bearing deposits in banks				98
Federal funds sold		59,920		9,384
Total cash and cash equivalents		70,448		16,642
Certificates of deposit				2,750
Investment securities available for sale		114,908		77,282
Investment securities held to maturity (fair value of \$0 and \$100,019)				100,558
Loans		69,869		63,379
Less allowance for loan losses		(541)		(553)
Net loans		69,328		62,826
Premises and equipment		1,253		1,286
Regulatory bank stock		97		100
Other real estate owned		385		387
Accrued interest receivable and other assets		4,316		1,645
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	260,735	\$	263,476
LIABILITIES				
Deposits:				
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$	45,912	\$	44,310
Interest-bearing demand deposits		21,469		20,542
Savings and money market deposits		137,956		145,280
Time deposits		5,321		5,853
Total deposits		210,658		215,985
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities		3,398		2,208
TOTAL LIABILITIES		214,056		218,193
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Common stock authorized, 200,000 shares of \$2.50 par value; 53,950 shares				
issued as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016; 50,718 shares				
outstanding as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016		135		135
Surplus		1,200		1,200
Retained earnings		50,122		44,130

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(3,008)	1,588
Treasury stock at cost, 3,232 shares as of December 31, 2017 and December 31,		
2016	(1,770)	(1,770)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	46,679	45,283
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 260,735	\$ 263,476

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

F-112

The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company

Unaudited Income Statements

For the Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2017 and 2016

(unaudited)

(Dollars in Thousands)	December 31 2017	December 31, 2016
INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME	2017	2010
Loans, including fees	\$ 3,324	4 \$ 3,271
Investment securities:	ψ 5,52-	ғ Ф <i>3,21</i> 1
Taxable	1,56	1,391
Exempt from federal income tax	1,30	· ·
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	58	·
Federal funds sold	178	
rederal funds sold	1/6	42
Total interest and dividend income	6,618	6,739
INTEREST EXPENSE ON DEPOSITS	510	520
NET INTEREST INCOME	6,108	6,219
Provision for loan losses		(200)
NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES	6,108	6,419
OTHER INCOME		
Service fees on deposit accounts	250	341
Gains on investment securities	6,337	7 84
Other income	164	4 62
Total other income	6,75	1 487
OTHER EXPENSE		
Salaries	2,898	3 2,821
Pension and other benefits	737	7 769
Occupancy and equipment	425	5 417
Pennsylvania bank shares tax	58	36
Charitable contributions	312	2 494
FDIC assessment	74	118
Professional fees	103	1 155
Computer services	103	101
Merger and acquisition expense	520)
Loss on sale of other real estate owned		782
Other expenses	519	560
Total other expense	5,745	6,253

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Income before income tax expense	7,114	653
Income tax expense	1,161	103
NET INCOME	\$ 5,953	\$ 550
EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$ 117.37	\$ 10.84

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

F-113

The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company

Unaudited Statements of Comprehensive Income

For the Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2017 and 2016

(unaudited)

	For the year ended Decembe			mber 31,
(Dollars in Thousands)		2017	,	2016
Net income	\$	5,953	\$	550
Components of other comprehensive income:				
Unrealized (loss) gain on available-for-sale investment securities		(83)		1,161
Tax effect		28		(395)
Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in income		(6,337)		(84)
Tax effect		2,155		29
Change in unrecognized pension costs		206		(330)
Tax effect		(70)		112
Total other comprehensive (loss) income		(4,101)		493
Total comprehensive income	\$	1,852	\$	1,043

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

F-114

The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company

Unaudited Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity

For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

(unaudited)

					Acc	umulated				
				Other						
	Comprehensive									
	Co	mmon		Retained	((Loss)	Treasury			
(Dollars in Thousands)	S	tock	Surplus	Earnings	I	ncome	Stock	Total		
Balance, January 1, 2017	\$	135	\$ 1,200	\$ 44,130	\$	1,588	\$ (1,770)	\$45,283		
Net income				5,953				5,953		
Other comprehensive loss						(4,101)		(4,101)		
Cash dividends (\$9.00 per share)				(456)				(456)		
Tax rate adjustment impact				495		(495)				
Balance, December 31, 2017	\$	135	\$ 1,200	\$ 49,627	\$	(3,008)	\$ (1,770)	\$46,679		
Balance, January 1, 2016	\$	135	\$ 1,200	\$ 45,102	\$	1,095	\$ (1,770)	\$45,762		
Net income				550				550		
Other comprehensive income						493		493		
Cash dividends (\$30.00 per share)				(1,522)				(1,522)		
<u> </u>										
Balance, December 31, 2016	\$	135	\$ 1.200	\$ 44,130	\$	1.588	\$ (1.770)	\$45,283		

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company

Unaudited Statement of Cash Flows

(unaudited)

(Dollars in Thousands)	Year ended I 2017	December 31, 2016	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	2017	2010	
Net income	\$ 5,953	\$ 550	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	Ψ 3,755	Ψ 330	
Provision for loan losses		(200)	
Depreciation Depreciation	121	122	
Amortization of discounts, premiums (net)	1,294	1,255	
Security gains, net	(6,337)	(84)	
Loss on sale of other real estate owned	(0,557)	782	
Deferred income taxes	227	103	
Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable and other assets	352	(26)	
Increase in accrued interest payable and other liabilities	1	(20)	
Other, net	(327)	(415)	
other, net	(321)	(413)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,284	2,087	
The cash provided by operating activities	1,201	2,007	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Investment securities available for sale:			
Proceeds from sales	36,188		
Proceeds from calls, maturities and principal repayments	30,592	13,760	
Purchases	(5,096)	(23,620)	
Investment securities held to maturity:			
Proceeds from sales			
Proceeds from calls, maturities and principal repayments		17,594	
Purchases		(17,126)	
Loan originations, net	(6,502)	(4,199)	
Redemption (purchase) of regulatory stock	3	(2)	
Sales (purchases) of certificates of deposit	2,750	(500)	
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	2	1,428	
Purchase of premises and equipment	(88)	(82)	
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	57,849	(12,747)	
FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Net (decrease) increase in deposits	(5,327)	1,596	
Cash dividends paid	(456)	(1,522)	
r	(123)	(-,)	
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(5,327)	74	

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	53,806	(10,586)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	16,642	27,228
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 70,448	\$ 16,642

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

F-116

The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company

Notes to (Unaudited) Financial Statements

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A summary of significant accounting and reporting policies applied in the presentation of the accompanying financial statements follows:

Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation

The Scottdale Bank and Trust Company (the Bank) is a full-service commercial banking institution and provides a variety of financial services to individuals and corporate customers through its five offices located in Southwestern Pennsylvania. The Bank s primary deposit products are noninterest and interest-bearing checking accounts, savings accounts, and certificates of deposit. Its primary lending products are single-family and multi-family residential loans. The Bank is supervised by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities.

On March 29, 2017, the Bank entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) with Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. (Mid Penn) and its wholly owned subsidiary, Mid Penn Bank (Mid Penn Bank). On January 8, 2018, Mid Penn completed its acquisition of the Bank through the merger of the Bank with and into Mid Penn Bank pursuant to the previously announced Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 29, 2017. More information on this transaction can be found in Note 13 Subsequent Events.

Ownership

Lawrence Keister & Co., a Pennsylvania general partnership and related party, owned 25,804 shares, or 50.87 percent, of the Bank s outstanding stock as of December 31, 2017.

Use of Estimates

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the Balance Sheet date and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Therefore, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for losses on loans, defined benefit pension liability, fair value of financial instruments, valuation of deferred tax assets, other-than-temporary impairment of securities, and the valuation of real estate acquired in connection with foreclosures or in satisfaction of loans.

Investment Securities

All investments in debt and equity securities are to be classified into three categories. Securities which management has the positive intent and ability to hold until maturity are classified as held to maturity. Held-to-maturity securities are stated at cost, adjusted for amortization of premium and accretion of discount, computed on a level-yield basis. Securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term are classified as trading securities. All other securities are classified as available-for-sale securities. Unrealized holding gains and losses for

trading securities are included in earnings. Unrealized holding gains and losses for available-for-sale securities are excluded from earnings and reported as net of income taxes as a separate component of stockholders equity until realized. At this time, management has no intention of establishing a trading securities classification.

F-117

Interest and dividends on securities are reported as interest income. Gains and losses realized on sales of securities represent the differences between net proceeds and carrying values determined by the specific identification method.

Securities are evaluated on a periodic basis and determine whether a decline in their value is other than temporary. For debt securities, management considers whether the present value of cash flow expected to be collected is less than the security s amortized cost basis (the difference defined as the credit loss), the magnitude and duration of the decline, the reasons underlying the decline, and the Bank s intent to sell the security or whether it is more likely than not that the Bank would be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery in fair value, to determine whether the loss in value is other than temporary. Once a decline in fair value is determined to be other than temporary, if the investor does not intend to sell the security, and it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell the security, before recovery of the security s amortized cost basis, the charge to earnings is limited to the amount of credit loss. Any remaining difference between fair value and amortized cost (the difference defined as the non-credit portion) is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes. Otherwise, the entire difference between fair value and amortized cost is charged to earnings.

Regulating Bank Stock

Common stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) and Atlantic Community Bancshares, Inc. represents ownership in institutions which are wholly owned by other financial institutions. These equity securities are accounted for at cost and are classified as regulatory bank stock on the Balance Sheet.

The Bank is a member of the FHLB of Pittsburgh and, as such, is required to maintain a minimum investment in stock of the FHLB that varies with the level of advances outstanding with the FHLB. The stock is bought from and sold to the FHLB based upon its \$100 par value. The stock does not have a readily determinable fair value and, as such, is classified as restricted stock, carried at cost, and evaluated for impairment as needed. The stock s value is determined by the ultimate recoverability of the par value rather than by recognizing temporary declines. The determination of whether the par value will ultimately be recovered is influenced by criteria such as the following: (a) the significance of the decline in net assets of the FHLB as compared with the capital stock amount and the length of time this situation has persisted, (b) commitments by the FHLB to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance, (c) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on the customer base of the FHLB, and (d) the liquidity position of the FHLB. There was no impairment of the FHLB stock as of September 30, 2017.

Loans

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff generally are stated at their outstanding unpaid principal balances, net of any deferred fees or costs on originated loans. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance.

The accrual of interest is discontinued when the contractual payment of principal or interest has become 90 days past due, unless the credit is well secured and in the process of collection or management has serious doubts about further collectability of principal or interest, even though the loan is currently performing. Interest received on nonaccrual loans generally is either applied against principal or reported as interest income, according to management s judgment as to the collectability of principal. Generally, loans are restored to accrual status when the obligation is brought current, has performed in accordance with the contractual terms for a reasonable period of time, and the ultimate collectability of the total contractual principal and interest is no longer in doubt.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through provisions for loan losses charged against income. Loans deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance for loan losses, and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

F-118

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate to absorb losses inherent in the portfolio. Management s periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance is based on the Bank s past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, current economic conditions, and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective, since it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant change, including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans and leases.

Supplementary Cash Flow Information

For purposes of the Statements of Cash Flows, the Bank considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Therefore, all cash and due from banks, interest-bearing deposits in banks, and federal funds sold are classified as cash equivalents for purposes of the Statements of Cash Flows. Federal funds are purchased or sold for one-day periods. Cash payments for interest were \$506,000 and \$520,000 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. There were no income tax payments during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Advertising

The Bank expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising expense was \$32,000 and \$54,000 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, and is included in other expense on the Statements of Income.

Premises and Equipment

Land is carried at cost. Premises and equipment, which include leasehold improvements, are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is computed on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which consist of 3 to 20 years for furniture, fixtures, and equipment and 3 to 40 years for office buildings and improvements. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the remaining terms of the related leases or the estimated useful lives of the assets. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged against income as incurred. Costs of major additions and improvements are capitalized.

Other Real Estate Owned (OREO)

Real estate acquired in satisfaction of a loan is reported in other real estate owned on the Balance Sheet.

Properties acquired by foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure are transferred to OREO and recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell. Initial write-downs recorded when properties are transferred to OREO are recorded as reductions of the allowance for loan losses. Costs to maintain the assets, subsequent write-downs to reflect declines in the fair value of the property, and subsequent gains and losses attributable to their disposal are included in other income and expenses.

Trust Department

Assets held by the Bank in fiduciary or agency capacities for its customers are not included in the accompanying Balance Sheets since such items are not assets of the Bank. In accordance with industry practice, fees are recorded on a cash basis and approximate the fees which would have been recognized on the accrual basis.

Income Taxes

The Bank uses an asset and liability approach to financial accounting and reporting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax

F-119

assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Income tax expense is the tax payable or refundable for the period plus or minus the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

On December 22, 2017, President Donald J. Trump signed into law the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the TCJA), a tax reform law that included a significant provision reducing the corporate tax rate applicable to the Bank, for tax years beginning after 2017, to a flat 21 percent rate. The reduction resulted in the \$419,000 write-down of the Bank s deferred tax asset in December 2017, because the deferred tax asset was previously valued based upon the projection of the Bank realizing a 34 percent future corporate tax rate benefit. This write-down was included in the Bank s income tax provision for the year ended December 31, 2017, as further discussed in Note 8 Income Taxes to the consolidated financial statements.

Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share are calculated on the basis of the weighted-average number of shares outstanding. The weighted-average number of shares outstanding was 50,718 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Reclassification of Comparative Amounts

Certain comparative amounts for the prior period may have been reclassified to conform to current-year classifications. Such classifications had no effect on net income or stockholders equity.

Comprehensive Income

The Bank is required to present comprehensive income in a full set of general-purpose financial statements for all periods presented. Other comprehensive income comprises unrealized gains and losses on the available-for-sale securities portfolio and the change in the unrecognized pension cost.

Note 2. Investment Securities

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair value of investment securities available for sale, at December 31, 2017 and 2016, are summarized as follows:

	December 31, 2017												
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair									
(Dollars in Thousands)	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value									
U.S. government agency securities	\$ 2,500	\$	\$ (33)	\$ 2,467									
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	67,628	108	(359)	67,377									
Corporate securities	45,402	55	(393)	45,064									
Total debt securities	115,530	163	(785)	114,908									
Equity securities													
Total	\$115,530	\$ 163	\$ (785)	\$ 114,908									

F-120

	December 31, 2016											
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair								
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value								
U.S. treasury securities	\$ 2,998	\$ 2	\$ (1)	\$ 2,999								
U.S. government agency securities	10,257	21	(29)	10,249								
Corporate securities	57,818	91	(563)	57,346								
Total debt securities	71,073	114	(593)	70,594								
Equity securities	930	5,758		6,688								
Total	\$ 72,003	\$ 5,872	\$ (593)	\$ 77,282								

The Bank did not have held to maturity investment securities as of December 31, 2017. The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair value of investment securities held to maturity at December 31, 2016 are summarized as follows:

		December	r 31, 2016	
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair
	Cost	Gains Losses		Value
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 100,558	\$ 238	\$ (777)	\$100,019

The Bank reviews its position quarterly and asserts that at December 31, 2017, the declines outlined in the previous tables represent temporary declines and the Bank does not intend to sell and does not believe it will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their cost basis, which may be at maturity for debt securities. At December 31, 2017, the Bank had 152 investment securities that were in an unrealized loss position.

For debt securities that are not deemed to be credit impaired, management performs additional analysis to assess whether it intends to sell or would more likely than not be required to sell the investment before the expected recovery of the amortized cost basis. Management has asserted that is has no intent to sell and that it believes it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell the investment before recovery of its amortized cost basis. Similarly, for equity securities, management considers the various factors described above, including its intent and ability to hold the equity security for a period of time sufficient for recovery to amortized cost. Where management lacks that intent or ability, the security security security is decline in fair value is deemed to be other than temporary and is recorded in earnings.

For debt securities, a critical component of the evaluation for other-than-temporary impairment is the identification of credit impaired securities where management does not expect to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. Where management deems the security to be other-than-temporarily impaired based upon the above factors and the duration and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, the inability to forecast a recovery in fair value, and other factors concerning the issuers in the pooled security, the decline is recorded in earnings.

The Bank has concluded that the unrealized losses disclosed above are not other than temporary but are the result of interest rate changes, sector credit ratings changes, or Bank-specific ratings changes that are not expected to result in the non-collection of principal and interest during the period.

F-121

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities at December 31, 2017, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities for mortgage-backed securities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

	Available	for Sale
	Amortized	Fair
(Dollars in Thousands)	Cost	Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 18,814	\$ 18,787
Due after one year through five years	93,007	92,469
Due after five years	3,709	3,652
Total	\$ 115,530	\$ 114,908

Gains on sales of investment securities totaled \$6,337,000 for the year ended December 31, 2017. There were no sales of investment securities during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Investment securities with a carrying value of \$8,153,000 and \$10,766,000 at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, were pledged for either fiduciary powers or to secure public deposits and for other purposes as provided by law.

Note 3. Loans

The Bank grants mortgage, commercial, and installment loans, which are the primary loan portfolio segments to customers. A substantial portion of the loan portfolio is represented by mortgage loans throughout Southwestern Pennsylvania. The ability of the Bank s debtors to honor their contracts is dependent upon the real estate and general economic conditions in this area.

Major classifications of loans are summarized as follows as of December 31, 2017 and December 31 2016:

(Dollars in Thousands)	Decembe 2017	*	cember 31, 2016
Residential real estate		781 \$	31,641
Commercial real estate	26,	880	27,151
Commercial	5,	527	3,015
Installment	6,	681	1,572
	69,	869	63,379
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(541)	(553)
Net loans	\$ 69.	328 \$	62,826

Note 4. Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses consists of specific, general, and unallocated components. The specific component relates to loans that are evaluated solely based on delinquency and assessed a specific reserve by management. For such loans that are also classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value, if the loan is considered to be collateral-dependent) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying amount of that loan. The general component covers current loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors. In reviewing risk within the Bank s loan portfolio, management has determined there to be several different risk categories within the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses consists of amounts applicable to: (i) the residential real estate portfolio; (ii) the commercial real estate portfolio; (iii) the commercial portfolio; (iv) the installment portfolio; and (v) the unallocated portion. An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management s estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

F-122

During 2017, the activity in the allowance for loan losses as noted below corresponds with the increases and decreases in each respective portfolio. There were no changes in qualitative or historical loss factors during the year.

Changes in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment as of and for the periods ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 are as follows:

			Com	mercial							
	Resi	dential	R	Real							
(Dollars in Thousands)	Real	Estate	E	state	Comi	mercial	Insta	llment	Unall	ocated	Total
Beginning balance at January 1, 2017	\$	238	\$	273	\$	20	\$	17	\$	5	\$ 553
Provision		(4)		(29)				35		(2)	
Charge-offs				(12)				(2)			(14)
Recoveries								2			2
Ending balance at December 31, 2017	\$	234	\$	232	\$	20	\$	52	\$	3	\$ 541

	Resi	dential	Com	mercial							
	R	Real	R	Real							
	E	state	Es	state	Comr	mercial	Insta	llment	Unal	llocated	Total
Beginning balance at January 1,											
2016	\$	232	\$	240	\$	15	\$	18	\$	251	\$ 756
Provision		6		33		5		2		(246)	(200)
Charge-offs								(10)			(10)
Recoveries								7			7
Ending balance at December 31, 2016	\$	238	\$	273	\$	20	\$	17	\$	5	\$ 553

The following is a table that segregates the allowance for loan losses and loan portfolio by portfolio segment into the amount required for loans individually evaluated for impairment and the amount required for loans collectively evaluated for impairment as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

(Dollars in Thousands)	R	dential leal state	F	mercial Real state	Com	mercial	Insta	llment	Unallo	cated	Т	otal
December 31, 2017												
Allowance for loan losses:												
Ending balance: collectively												
evaluated for impairment	\$	234	\$	232	\$	20	\$	52	\$	3	\$	541
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment												

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Ending balance	\$ 234	\$ 232	\$ 20	\$ 52	\$ 3	\$	541
Loans:							
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 30,781	\$ 26,880	\$ 5,527	\$ 6,681		\$ 69	9,869
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment							
Ending balance	\$ 30,781	\$ 26,880	\$ 5,527	\$ 6,681		\$ 69	9,869

		Commercial			
	Residential	Real			
	Real Estate	Estate	Commercial	Installment	Unalloc
December 31, 2016					

	 Real Estate		Estate	Con	Commercial		Installment		Unallocated		otal
December 31, 2016											
Allowance for loan losses:											
Ending balance: collectively											
evaluated for impairment	\$ 238	\$	273	\$	20	\$	17	\$	5	\$	553
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment											
Ending balance	\$ 238	\$	273	\$	20	\$	17	\$	5	\$	553
Loans:											
Ending balance: collectively											
evaluated for impairment	\$ 31,641	\$	26,857	\$	2,936	\$	1,572			\$6	3,006
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment			294		79						373
Ending balance	\$ 31,641	\$	27,151	\$	3,015	\$	1,572			\$6	3,379

Impaired Loans

Table of Contents

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either their present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loans effective interest rate, the loan s obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent.

Large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Bank does not separately identify individual consumer and residential loans for impairment disclosures, unless such loans are the subject of a restructuring agreement.

Information with respect to impaired loans as of and for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 is as follows:

(Dollars in Thousands)	Impaired Loans with Specific Allowance Recorded Related InvestmentAllowance	Unpaid Principal	otal Impaired Average Recorded Investment	l Loans Interest Income Recognized
December 30, 2017				

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Commercial real estate	\$ \$	\$ \$	\$ \$
Commercial			
	\$ \$	\$ \$	\$ \$

F-124

	-	aired Loans with Specific llowance	V No S	paired oans with specific owance		otal Iı	mpaired	Loans	
					Unpaid	Av	erage	Int	erest
	Record	ed Related	Rec	orded	Principal	Red	corded	Inc	ome
	Investm	en A llowance	Inve	stment	Balance	Inve	estment	Reco	gnized
December 31, 2016									
Commercial real estate	\$	\$	\$	294	\$ 294	\$	594	\$	23
Commercial				79	79		26		
	\$	\$	\$	373	\$ 373	\$	620	\$	23

Credit Quality Information

The following table includes an aging analysis of the recorded investment of past-due financing receivables as of December 31, 2017 and 2016:

(Dollars in Thousands)	Current	89 Days	ays + Past Due	Total Loans	Du S	ys + Past e and still cruing
December 31, 2017						
Residential real estate	\$ 29,539	\$ 788	\$ 454	\$30,781	\$	121
Commercial real estate	25,119	1,199	562	26,880		
Commercial	5,527			5,527		
Installment	6,265	208	208	6,681		31
Total	\$66,450	\$ 2,195	\$ 1,224	\$69,869	\$	152

	Current	89 Days	ys + Past Due	Total Loans	Du S	ys + Past e and Still cruing
December 31, 2016						
Residential real estate	\$ 30,513	\$ 770	\$ 357	\$ 31,640	\$	228
Commercial real estate	26,462	395	294	27,151		
Commercial	2,937		79	3,016		70
Installment	1,565	7		1,572		
Total	\$61,477	\$ 1,172	\$ 730	\$ 63,379	\$	298

The following is a table of nonaccrual loans by portfolio segment as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

	December 31,		December 31		
(Dollars in Thousands)	2	2017	2016		
Residential real estate	\$	333	\$	129	
Commercial real estate		562		294	
Commercial		177		9	
Total	\$	1,072	\$	432	

The nonperforming classification is based solely on delinquency levels. Nonperforming loans include loans that are on nonaccrual or loans that are contractually past due 90 days or more on interest or principal payments.

Troubled Debt Restructuring (TDR)

Consistent with accounting and regulatory guidance, the Bank recognizes a TDR when the Bank, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower s financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that would not normally be considered. Regardless of the form of concession granted, the Bank s objective in offering a TDR is to increase the probability of repayment of the borrower s loan.

To be considered a TDR, both of the following criteria must be met:

The borrower must be experiencing financial difficulties; and

The Bank, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower s financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that would not otherwise be considered.

As of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the Bank did not have any TDRs, nor did it have any TDRs within the last period where a concession had been made that then defaulted.

Note 5. Premises and Equipment

Major classifications of premises and equipment are summarized as follows as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

	December 31,		ember 31,
(Dollars in Thousands)		2017	2016
Land	\$	270	\$ 270
Buildings and improvements		2,444	2,429
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment		1,986	1,986
		4,700	4,685
Less accumulated depreciation		(3,447)	(3,399)
_			
Total	\$	1,253	\$ 1,286

Depreciation charged to operations was \$121,000 and \$122,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Note 6. Deposits

The scheduled maturities of time deposits at December 31, 2017, are as follows:

(Dollars in Thousands)	Time deposits
Scheduled maturity in one year or less	\$ 2,256

Scheduled maturity after one year through three years	3,065
Scheduled maturity after three years	
Total	\$ 5,321

At December 31, 2017 and 2016, the aggregate of all time deposit accounts with a minimum denomination greater than or equal to the federally insured limit of \$250,000 or more amounted to \$2,628,000 and \$2,465,000, respectively.

Note 7. Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, there are various outstanding commitments to extend credit that are not reflected in the accompanying Balance Sheet and represent financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk.

F-126

These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the Balance Sheet. The same credit policies are used in making commitments and conditional obligations as for on-balance sheet instruments. Generally, collateral is required to support financial instruments with credit risk.

The off-balance sheet commitments consisted of commitments to extend credit of approximately \$11,640,000 and standby letters of credit totaling \$62,000 as of December 31, 2017.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the loan agreement. These commitments consist primarily of mortgage loan originations, credit cards, and lines of credit.

The exposure to loss under these commitments is limited by subjecting them to credit approval and monitoring procedures. Substantially all commitments to extend credit are contingent upon customers maintaining specific credit standards at the time of the loan funding. Management assesses the credit risk associated with certain commitments to extend credit in determining the level of the allowance for loan losses.

Note 8. Income Taxes

On December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act was signed into law reducing the federal tax rate to 21% beginning on January 1, 2018. The revaluation of net deferred tax assets as of December 22, 2017 resulted in \$419,000 of additional tax expense on the date of enactment included in deferred expense in the table below.

The provision for income taxes consists of the following:

	December 31,	December 31,		
(Dollars in Thousands)	2017	2016		
Current expense	\$ 3,708	\$		
Deferred	(2,547)	103		
Total	\$ 1.161	\$ 103		

F-127

The deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities recorded on the Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, are as follows:

	December 31,		ember 31,
(Dollars in Thousands)		2017	2016
Deferred tax assets:			
Allowance for loan losses	\$	45	\$ 78
Alternative minimum tax credits		1,540	939
Pension liability		669	977
Charitable contribution carryforward		412	803
Net operating loss carryover			1,075
Net unrealized loss on investment securities available for sale		130	
Other		31	183
Gross deferred tax assets		2,827	4,055
Deferred tax valuation allowance		(412)	(1,878)
Total deferred tax assets		2,416	2,177
Deferred tax liabilities:			
Pension expense		(178)	(255)
Premises and equipment		(22)	(39)
Net unrealized gain on investment securities available for sale			(1,795)
Total deferred tax liabilities		(200)	(2,089)
Net deferred tax asset	\$	2,216	\$ 88

Included in the table above is a deferred tax asset related to charitable contributions made by the Bank in prior years that began to expire during 2012 as the Bank was unable to generate sufficient taxable income to deduct the contributions. The charitable contribution amounts of \$254,607 and \$386,052 expired during 2017 and 2016, respectively. As such, management has established a valuation allowance against this deferred tax asset as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, in the amounts of \$412,000 and \$803,000, respectively.

As of December 31, 2016, the Bank had a net operating loss (NOL) carryforward, of which a valuation allowance against this deferred tax asset was established in the amount of \$1,075,000. During 2017, the Bank was able to generate sufficient taxable income to fully utilize the NOL, therefore the valuation allowance was eliminated and the NOL balance was reduced to zero within the deferred tax asset.

The alternative minimum tax (AMT) credits have an indefinite carryforward period; therefore no allowance has been established against this asset.

The reconciliation between the federal statutory rate and the Bank s effective income tax rate is as follows:

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

	20	17	2016		
		% of Pretax		% of Pretax	
(Dollars in Thousands)	Amount	Income	Amount	Income	
Provision at statutory rate	\$ 2,419	34.0%	\$ 222	34.0%	
Valuation allowance	(1,124)	(15.8)	464	71.1	
Effect of tax-exempt income	(575)	(8.1)	(697)	(106.9)	
Merger related expenses	88	1.2			
Rate change impact	419	5.9			
Other	(66)	(0.9)	114	17.6	
Total expense for income taxes and effective					
rate	\$ 1,161	16.3%	\$ 103	15.8%	

U.S. generally accepted accounting principles prescribe a recognition threshold and a measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Benefits from tax positions should be recognized in the financial statements only when it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority that would have full knowledge of all relevant information. A tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Tax positions that previously failed to meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be recognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is met. Previously recognized tax positions that no longer meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be derecognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is no longer met.

There is currently no liability for uncertain tax positions and no known unrecognized tax benefits. The Bank recognizes, when applicable, interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes in the Statements of Income. The Bank s federal and PA shares tax returns for taxable years through 2013 have been closed for purposes of examination by the Internal Revenue Service and the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue.

Note 9. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The following table presents the changes in accumulated other comprehensive income by component, net of tax, as of December 31, 2017 and 2016:

(Dollars in Thousands)	Net Unrealized Gain on Securities		Net Unrecognized Pension Costs		Total
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss),					
January 1, 2016	\$	2,773	\$	(1,678)	\$ 1,095
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassification		766		(334)	432
Amounts reclassified		(55)		116	61
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), December 31, 2016	\$	3,484	\$	(1,896)	\$ 1,588
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss),					
January 1, 2017	\$	3,484	\$	(1,896)	\$ 1,588
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassification		207		(757)	(550)
Amounts reclassified		(4,182)		136	(4,046)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss), December 31, 2017	\$	(491)	\$	(2,517)	\$ (3,008)

The following table presents the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017 and 2016:

Details About Amounts Affected Line on

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

Reclassified from Accumulated	ember 31, 2017	December 31, 2017		the Statements of Income
Other Comprehensive Income				
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale				
securities	\$ (6,337)	\$	(84)	Gains on investment security calls
	2,155		29	Income tax expense
	\$ (4,182)	\$	(55)	Net of tax
Net unrecognized pension costs	\$ 206	\$	176	Pension and other employee benefits
	(70)		(60)	Income tax benefit
	\$ 136	\$	116	Net of tax

Note 10. Employee Benefits

Defined Benefit Plan

The Bank offers a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan (the Plan) covering all eligible employees. The Bank s policy is to fund pension benefits as accrued. The Plan s assets are primarily invested in corporate equity securities; the valuations of which are subject to market fluctuations.

The following table sets forth the Plan s funded status and amounts recognized in the Bank s Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

(Dollars in Thousands)		ember 31, 2017		ember 31, 2016
Change in projected benefit obligation:		2017		2010
Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$	9,081	\$	8,074
Service cost	Ф	290	Ф	274
Interest cost		361		340
Benefit payments		(51)		(17)
Actuarial loss		777		410
Projected benefit obligation at end of period		10,458		9,081
Change in Plan assets:				
Fair value of Plan assets at beginning of year		6,960		6,176
Return on Plan assets		762		351
Benefit payments		(51)		(17)
Employer contribution		450		450
Fair value of Plan assets at end of period		8,121		6,960
P. I.I.		(2.227)		(2.121)
Funded status		(2,337)		(2,121)
Unrecognized actuarial loss		3,186		2,872
Unrecognized prior service cost				
Accrued pension cost	\$	849	\$	751

Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31 2017 and 2016 consist of:

(dollars in thousands)	2017	2016
Unrecognized actuarial loss	\$3,186	\$2,872
Unrecognized prior service cost		
Amount recognized, end of year	\$3,186	\$2,872

Amounts recognized in the year-end Balance Sheet consist of:

(dollars in thousands)	2017	2016
Accrued benefit cost	\$ 849	\$ 751
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(3,186)	(2,872)
Net amount recognized	\$ (2,337)	\$ (2,121)

F-130

The accumulated benefit obligation for the Plan was \$8,803,000 and \$7,660,000 at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Components of Net Periodic Pension Cost

Amounts included in the net periodic pension cost are as follows for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016:

(dollars in thousands)	2017	2016
Service cost benefits earned during the period	\$ 290	\$ 274
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	361	340
Expected return on Plan assets	(505)	(448)
Net amortization and deferral		11
Recognized actuarial loss	206	166
Total pension cost	\$ 352	\$ 343

Assumptions

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 consist of:

	2017	2016
Discount rate	3.75%	4.00%
Expected long-term return on Plan assets	5.00	7.00
Rate of compensation increase	3.50	4.00

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31, 2017 and 2016 consist of:

	2017	2016
Discount rate	3.75%	4.00%
Expected long-term return on Plan assets	5.00	7.00
Rate of compensation increase	3.50	4.00

The Plan s weighted-average asset allocations by investment category as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 are as follows:

	2017	2016
Cash and cash equivalents	42.72%	42.34%
Common stocks	57.28	57.66
	100.00%	100.00%

The Plan s funds are managed and held in trust by the Bank s Trust Division. The investment objective and strategy for investing Plan assets call for a Moderate Growth Income Objective. This objective provides for a preservation of the principal s purchasing power and moderate income. The investment policies of the Plan trustees prohibit the use of derivatives. In addition, the Plan s assets are diversified appropriately across different sections.

F-131

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the Plan s assets at fair value as of December 31, 2017 and 2016:

		December 31, 2017		
	Level I	Level II	Level III	Total
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,469	\$	\$	\$3,469
Common stocks	4,652			4,652
Total assets at fair value	\$8,121	\$	\$	\$8,121
		Decembe	r 31, 2016	
	Level I	Level II	Level III	Total
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,947	\$	\$	\$ 2,947
Common stocks	4,013			4,013
Total assets at fair value	\$6,960	\$	\$	\$6,960

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value. There have been no changes in the methodologies used at December 31, 2017.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Valued at carrying amount, which approximates fair value.

Common Stocks

Valued at the closing price reported on the active market on which the individual securities are traded.

The methods described above may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while the Plan believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement at the reporting date.

Cash Flows

The Bank expects to contribute \$600,000 to the Plan in 2018. Also, the actuarial net loss that will be amortized as a component of net periodic benefit cost in 2018 is \$297,000.

Expected benefit payments for the next ten years:

Edgar Filing: MID PENN BANCORP INC - Form S-4

2018	\$ 169
2019	190
2020	346
2021	374
2022	407
2023 2027	3,327
	\$4,813

F-132

401(k) Plan

The Bank has a 401(k) plan that covers substantially all employees. The plan provides for employer-matching contributions of 25 percent of employee contributions.

Note 11. Regulatory Matters

Cash Requirements

The Federal Reserve Bank requires the Bank to maintain certain average reserve balances. The balance of these reserves is included in cash and due from banks on the Balance Sheet.

Loans

The Bank does not have outstanding borrowings with any institution as of December 31, 2017 or 2016. Sources of credit used by the Bank throughout the year for operational purposes are the Federal Reserve Bank discount window borrowings and funds available through credit line arrangements with the FHLB. An FHLB line of credit of \$10,000,000 is available to the Bank and is subject to annual renewal. This line of credit is secured by a blanket security agreement on qualifying residential mortgages and the Bank s investment in FHLB stock. At December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Bank did not have a maximum borrowing capacity established with the FHLB.

Dividends

The Bank is subject to legal limitations on the amount of dividends that can be paid to the stockholders. The Pennsylvania Banking Code restricts the payment of dividends, generally to the extent of its retained earnings.

Capital Requirements

Federal regulations require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts of capital. Specifically, the Bank is required to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total common equity Tier 1, and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets and of Tier 1 capital to average total assets. Management believes, as of December 31, 2017, that the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

As of December 31, 2017, the Bank was considered to be well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be classified as a well-capitalized financial institution, as of December 31, 2017, total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, common equity Tier 1 capital, and Tier 1 leverage capital ratios must be at least 10 percent, 8 percent, 6.5 percent, and 5 percent, respectively.

F-133

The following table sets forth the Bank s capital position and minimum requirements as of December 31, 2017 and 2016

(Dollars in Thousands)	December 31, 2017		Decemb 201	*
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)				
Actual	\$ 50,228	36.05%	\$46,839	31.34%
For capital adequacy purposes	11,147	8.00	11,957	8.00
To be well capitalized	13,934	10.00	14,946	10.00
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)				
Actual	\$49,697	35.66%	\$43,695	29.23%
For capital adequacy purposes	8,360	6.00	8,968	6.00
To be well capitalized	11,147	8.00	11,957	8.00
Common equity Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)				
Actual	\$49,697	35.66%	\$43,695	29.23%
For capital adequacy purposes	6,270	4.50	6,726	4.50
To be well capitalized	9,057	6.50	9,715	6.50
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)				
Actual	\$49,697	19.01%	\$43,695	16.58%
For capital adequacy purposes	10,457	4.00	10,540	4.00
To be well capitalized	13,071	5.00	13,175	5.00

Note 12. Fair Value Measurements

The following disclosures show the hierarchal disclosure framework associated with the level of pricing observations utilized in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. The three broad levels defined by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles are as follows:

Level I: Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reported date.

Level II: Pricing inputs are other than the quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly observable as of the reported date. The nature of these assets and liabilities includes items for which quoted prices are available but traded less frequently and items that are fair-valued using other financial instruments, the parameters of which can be directly observed.

Level III: Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

This hierarchy requires the use of observable market data when available.

F-134

The following table presents the assets reported on the Balance Sheet at their fair value on a recurring as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, by level within the fair value hierarchy. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

		December 31, 2017			
	Carrying	Fair			Level
(Dollars in Thousands)	Value	Value	Level I	Level II	III
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 70,448	\$ 70,448	\$ 70,448	\$	\$
Investment securities:					
Available for sale	114,908	114,908		114,908	
Held to maturity					
Net loans	69,328	68,205			68,205
Accrued interest receivable	1,005	1,005	1,005		
Regulatory bank stock	97	97	97		
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	\$ 210,658	\$ 210,488	\$ 205,337	\$	\$ 5,151
Accrued interest payable	8	8	8		

		December 31, 2016			
	Carrying	Fair			Level
	Value	Value	Level I	Level II	III
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 16,642	\$ 16,642	\$ 16,642	\$	\$
Investment securities:					
Available for sale	77,282	77,282	6,688	70,594	
Held to maturity	100,558	100,019		100,019	
Net loans	62,826	64,169			64,169
Accrued interest receivable	1,357	1,357	1,357		
Regulatory bank stock	100	100	100		
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	\$ 215,985	\$216,096	\$210,132	\$	\$ 5,964
Accrued interest payable	8	8	8		
Securities					

Fair values for securities available for sale are determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique which is widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark-quoted securities.

The estimated fair values of the Bank s financial instruments at December 31, 2017 and 2016 are as follows:

	December 31, 2017			
(Dollars in Thousands)	Level I	Level II	Level III	Total
Assets measured on a recurring basis:				
Investment securities available for sale:				
U.S. government agency securities	\$	\$ 2,467	\$	\$ 2,467
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		67,377		