GYRODYNE CO OF AMERICA INC

Form 10-K March 28, 2008

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K
(Mark One)

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number 0-1684

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

NEW YORK 11-1688021

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1 FLOWERFIELD, SUITE 24, ST. JAMES, NY

----(Address of principal executive offices)

11780

---(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (631) 584-5400

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: NONE

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

COMMON STOCK, \$1.00 PAR VALUE (Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes $[\]$ No [X]

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all the reports required to be filed by Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No [

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer []
Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller reporting company [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [] No [X]

The aggregate market value of voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2007 was \$32,718,260. The aggregate market value was computed by reference to the closing price on such date of the common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Stock Market. Shares of common stock held by each executive officer and director and by each person who to the registrant's knowledge owns 5% or more of the outstanding voting stock have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

On February 18, 2008 1,289,878 shares of the Registrant's common stock, par value \$1 per share, were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None

1

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO FORM 10-K
----FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

PAGE
3
6
6
7
8
9
1
10
18
J
18
18
19
19
22
24
26
26

PART IV

15 Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules 27
Signatures 29
Exhibit Index 30

2

PART I

Item 1 Business

The statements made in this Form 10-K that are not historical facts contain "forward-looking information" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, both as amended, which can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "may," "will," "anticipates," "expects," "projects," "estimates," "believes," "seeks," "could," "should," or "continue," the negative thereof, other variations or comparable terminology. Important factors, including certain risks and uncertainties, with respect to such forward-looking statements that could cause actual results to differ materially from those reflected in such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the effect of economic and business conditions, including risks inherent in the Long Island, New York and Palm Beach County, Florida real estate markets, the ability to obtain additional capital in order to develop the existing real estate, uncertainties associated with the Company's litigation against the State of New York for just compensation for the Flowerfield property taken by eminent domain, and other risks detailed from time to time in the Company's SEC reports. These and other matters the Company discusses in this Report, or in the documents it incorporates by reference into this Report, may cause actual results to differ from those the Company describe. The Company assumes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Business Development

Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. (the "Company") was organized in 1946 as a corporation under the laws of the State of New York. The Company's headquarters are located at 1 Flowerfield, Suite 24, St. James, New York 11780. The Company's main phone number is (631) 584-5400. The Company maintains a website at www.gyrodyne.com.

The Company was, from its inception and for the next 25 years, engaged in design, testing, development, and production of coaxial helicopters primarily for the U.S. Navy. Following a sharp reduction in the Company's helicopter manufacturing business and its elimination by 1975, the Company began converting its vacant manufacturing facilities and established its rental property operation. The Company has since concentrated its efforts on the development of its real estate holdings in St. James, New York. The converted buildings consist of approximately 127,392 rentable square feet housing 52 tenants in space suitable for office, engineering, manufacturing, and warehouse use. The property, which is known as Flowerfield, consists of 68 acres. Approximately 10 acres are utilized for the rental property and the balance of 58 remains undeveloped. On June 27, 2007, the Company acquired ten buildings in the Port Jefferson Professional Park in Port Jefferson Station, New York. The buildings were acquired for an aggregate purchase price of \$8,850,000. The buildings, located at 1-6, 8, 9 and 11 Medical Drive and 5380 Nesconset Highway in Port Jefferson Station, are situated on 5.16 acres with 41,651 square feet of

rentable space. The purchase price per square foot was \$212 and the aggregate annual rent flow from the property was \$876,394 at the time of the acquisition. The property has a 97% occupancy rate. The Company funded \$5,551,191 of the purchase price by the assumption of the existing mortgage debt on the property and the remainder in cash after adjustments.

Effective May 1, 2006, the Company elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") for federal and state income tax purposes. The Company plans to acquire, manage and invest in a diversified portfolio of real estate composed of office, industrial, retail and service properties primarily in the New York City Metropolitan area.

In accordance with Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") section 1033, in order to defer federal and state taxes on the gain from condemnation of the Flowerfield property, the Company must reinvest the proceeds from the condemned property by April 2009 with property that qualifies for such tax treatment under IRC section 1033. The Port Jefferson Professional Park qualifies as replacement property under IRC section 1033. The Advance Payment from the condemnation was \$26,315,000 and as of December 31, 2007, the remaining balance of condemnation proceeds to be reinvested is approximately \$17,401,000.

In 1965, the Company acquired a 20% limited partnership interest in Callery-Judge Grove, L.P., a New York limited partnership, which owns a 3,500+ acre citrus grove located in Palm Beach County, Florida, for a purchase price of \$1.1 million. Based on three subsequent capital infusions in which the Company did not participate, the Company's share is now approximately 11%. The investment has yielded distributions of approximately \$5.5 million in the aggregate. The property is the subject of a plan for mixed use development of primarily residential with some commercial and retail development, which currently is under review by state and local municipal authorities.

On June 17, 2005, the Company retained the investment banking firm of Coady Diemar Partners to assist management and the Board of Directors in reviewing the Company's strategic options. On December 9, 2005, the Company presented at its 2005 annual shareholders meeting a strategic plan for the future direction of the Company. The objective of the plan is to position the Company so

3

that it is best able to achieve one or more shareholder liquidity events in a reasonable period of time that would put the maximum amount of cash or marketable securities in the hands of the Company's shareholders in a tax efficient manner. The plan calls for achieving this objective by pursuing a conversion to a real estate investment trust, disposition and redeployment of the assets of the Company in a tax efficient manner, maximization of the value for the remaining 68 acres at Flowerfield, and vigorous pursuit of maximum value from the State of New York for the 245.5 acres of Flowerfield taken by eminent domain. Following the Company's conversion to a REIT, which the Company completed in 2007, effective May 1, 2006, and so long as Gyrodyne qualifies for REIT tax status, the Company generally will not be subject to New York State and federal corporate income taxes on income and gain generated after May 1, 2006, the effective date of the Company's REIT election, from investments in real estate, thereby reducing the Company's corporate-level taxes and substantially eliminating the double taxation on income and gain that usually results in the case of distributions as a C corporation.

On November 2, 2005, the State University of New York at Stony Brook (the "University") filed an acquisition map with the Suffolk County Clerk's office and vested title in approximately 245.5 acres of the Flowerfield Property pursuant to the New York Eminent Domain Procedure Law (the "EDPL"). On March 27,

2006, the Company received payment from the State of New York in the amount of \$26,315,000, which the Company had previously elected under the EDPL to accept as an advance payment for the property. Under the EDPL, both the advance payment and any additional award from the Court of Claims bear interest at the current statutory rate of 9% simple interest from the date of the taking through the date of payment subject to the court's discretion. See Note 3 in the footnotes to the consolidated financial statements.

On May 1, 2006, the Company filed a Notice of Claim with the Court of Claims of the State of New York seeking \$158 million in damages from the State of New York resulting from the eminent domain taking by the University of the 245.5 acres of the Flowerfield property. See "Legal Proceedings".

The Company initially invested the advance payment from the condemnation of \$26,315,000 in short term U.S. Government securities and interest bearing deposits which were valued at \$26,184,383 and \$238,593, respectively, as of April 30, 2006. Subsequently, the Company invested in hybrid mortgage-backed securities fully guaranteed by agencies of the U.S. Government which are qualified REIT investments; at December 31, 2007, those investments totaled \$10,816,269. The balance of the funds were placed in interest bearing deposits which totaled \$3,110,144 at December 31, 2007.

The Company has filed an application to develop a gated, age restricted community on the remaining Flowerfield property that includes 39 single-family homes, 60 townhouses and 210 condominiums. Living space would range from 1,600 square feet for the smallest condominiums to 2,800 square feet for detached single-family homes. Amenities would include a clubhouse with recreation facilities, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and extensive landscaping.

The Company has engaged the firm of Platt Byard Dovell White Architects for design work. The firm enjoys an outstanding reputation for designing attractive residential and commercial properties. Leading the project will be Sam White, FAIA, a partner at the firm who is known specifically for his proven ability to blend historic context into new architecture.

The application asks for the zoning of approximately 62.4 acres to be changed from "light industrial" (approx. 55.5 acres) and "residential" (approx. 6.9 acres) to "planned residential." Another 4.3 acres of the property owned by the Company, while already zoned as "residential," would remain undeveloped. Total amount of open space remaining after development is expected to exceed 40 acres. As indicated in the application, the Company plans in the future to remove the industrial buildings currently in use at the appropriate time. According to an analysis obtained by the Company, the local road traffic caused by the residential development would be lower than the levels attributed to the current industrial operations. The development also would have a positive impact on the municipal tax base since the age-restricted nature of the community would not affect the local school population. The Company estimates that 100 construction jobs and 15 permanent jobs would be created by the project.

On February 12, 2007, the Company entered into an agreement with Landmark National to terminate two agreements, the Golf Operating Agreement and the Asset Management Agreement, both dated April 9, 2002. In addition to Landmark agreeing not to pursue any claim under those agreements for 10% of all proceeds related to the condemnation and any future sale and/or development of the remaining Flowerfield acreage, Landmark agreed to provide consulting services in connection with the eminent domain litigation. In consideration for Landmark's agreement not to pursue the foregoing claims, for services previously provided, the Company paid Landmark \$2,000,000, \$500,000 of which was accrued by the Company during its year ended April 30, 2006. Landmark will receive an additional \$1,000,000 over the next thirty-six months, commencing on March 1, 2007, in recognition of services rendered between 2004 and 2006, and for general consulting, review of pertinent documents, consultations regarding land planning

and economic feasibility studies and coordination with project engineers associated with the Company's claim for additional compensation. The Company accrued \$1,500,000 as additional condemnation expense as of December 31, 2006. As is the case with various other

4

expenses relating to the condemned property, the Company intends to add the \$2,000,000 to the existing claim for additional compensation with regard to the condemnation.

Neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries have ever been in any bankruptcy, receivership or similar proceeding.

References to the Company contained herein include its wholly owned subsidiaries, except where the context otherwise requires.

Description of the Company's Business

The Company manages its real estate operations and is a passive investor as a limited partner in the Callery Judge Grove, L.P., which owns a large citrus grove in Palm Beach County, Florida. The Company currently has a total of 7 full time employees involved in support of the real estate operation and development plans. Competition among industrial and office rental properties on Long Island is intense. There are numerous commercial property owners that compete with the Company in attracting tenants, many of which are substantially larger than the Company. See Item 2, "Properties" for a discussion regarding dependence on major tenants.

Real Estate

Gyrodyne owns a 68 acre site called Flowerfield, primarily zoned for light industry, which is located approximately 50 miles east of New York City on the north shore of Long Island. Flowerfield's location also places it in hydrological zone VIII, one of the most liberal with respect to effluent discharge rates. The Company currently has 127,392 square feet of rentable space located on approximately 10 acres of developed property at Flowerfield. As of December 31, 2007, there were 52 tenants, comprising 61 leases, renting space with an annual base rent of \$1,558,822. A majority of the Company's leases, 50 out of 61, are one year leases and represent approximately 82% of the total annual rent. The Flowerfield property is located in Smithtown Township. Environmental studies have been updated and numerous other studies including archeological, ecological, and traffic have been conducted in connection with development plans -- all with no significant adverse findings. The Company believes that it does not incur material costs in connection with compliance with environmental laws. During the years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, the Company had no material expenses related to environmental issues.

On June 27, 2007, the Company acquired ten buildings in the Port Jefferson Professional Park in Port Jefferson Station, New York. The buildings were acquired for an aggregate purchase price of \$8,850,000. The buildings, located at 1-6, 8, 9 and 11 Medical Drive and 5380 Nesconset Highway in Port Jefferson Station, are situated on 5.16 acres with 41,651 square feet of rentable space. The purchase price per square foot was \$212 and the aggregate annual rent flow from the property as of December 31, 2007 is \$897,949. The property has a 97% occupancy rate. The Company funded \$5,551,191 of the purchase price by the assumption of the existing mortgage debt on the property and the remainder in cash after adjustments.

Limited Partnership Investment in Callery-Judge Grove, L.P. (the "Grove")

The Company's initial participation in the Grove through its wholly owned subsidiary, Flowerfield Properties, Inc., represented a 20% limited partner's interest in the Grove. Based on three subsequent capital infusions in which the Company did not participate, the Company's share is now approximately 11%.

The original limited partner investment of \$1.1 million, which was made in 1965, has since yielded distributions of approximately \$5.5 million in the aggregate. Due to recurring losses of the Grove, the investment is carried on the books of the Company at \$0 as a result of recording the Company's pro-rata share of losses under the equity method of accounting. In fiscal 2000, when the Company's share of losses equaled the carrying value of the investment, the equity method of accounting was suspended, and no additional losses have been charged to operations.

Tax Status

Effective May 1, 2006, the Company elected to be taxed as a REIT for state income tax and federal income tax purposes under section 856(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"). As a result of the election, the Company converted to a December 31 fiscal year end. As long as the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, it generally will not be subject to federal and state income tax. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal and state income tax on its taxable income at regular corporate rates. Unless entitled to relief under specific statutory provisions, the Company will also be disqualified for taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which it loses its qualification. Even if the Company qualifies as a REIT, it may be subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed income.

5

Competition

All of the rental properties owned by the Company are located in St. James and Port Jefferson Station, New York on the North Shore of Long Island. The Company competes in the leasing of professional and general office space and engineering, manufacturing and warehouse space with a considerable number of other real estate companies, some of which may have greater marketing and financial resources than the Company. Principal factors of competition in the Company's rental property business are: the quality of properties, leasing terms (including rent and other charges and allowances for tenant improvements), attractiveness and convenience of location, the quality and breadth of tenant services provided and reputation as an owner and operator of quality office properties in its relevant market. Additionally, the Company's ability to compete depends upon, among other factors, trends in the national and local economies, investment alternatives, financial condition and operating results of current and prospective tenants, availability and cost of capital, construction and renovation costs, taxes, governmental regulations, legislation and population trends.

In seeking new investment opportunities, the Company competes with other real estate investors, including pension funds, insurance companies, foreign investors, real estate partnerships, other public and private real estate

investment trusts, private individuals and other domestic real estate companies, many of which have greater financial and other resources than the Company. With respect to properties presently owned or to be owned by the Company, it competes with other owners of like properties for tenants.

Environmental Matters

The Company believes that each of its properties is in compliance, in all material respects, with federal, state and local regulations regarding hazardous waste and other environmental matters and is not aware of any environmental contamination at any of its properties that would require any material capital expenditure by the Company for the remediation thereof. No assurance can be given, however, that environmental regulations will not in the future have a

materially adverse effect on the Company's operations.

Insurance

The Company carries comprehensive liability, property and umbrella insurance coverage which includes fire and business interruption insurance and covers all of its rental properties. The Company believes the policy specifications, insurance limits and deductibles are appropriate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice and, in the opinion of the Company's management, its rental properties are adequately insured.

Major Customers

For the year ended December 31, 2007, rental income from the three largest tenants represented 9%, 7% and 5% of total rental income. For the year ended December 31, 2006, rental income from the three largest tenants represented 15%, 11% and 9% of total rental income.

Item 1B Unresolved Staff Comments

None

Item 2 Properties

The executive office of the Company is located at 1 Flowerfield, Suite 24, St. James, New York and consists of approximately 3,256 square feet.

Real Estate Investments

The Company owns a 68 acre tract of land located in St. James on the north shore of Suffolk County, Long Island, New York. The property currently has approximately 127,392 square feet of rental space and has 52 tenants. The Company also owns a professional office park which consists of ten buildings located in Port Jefferson Station on the north shore of Suffolk County, Long Island, New York. The property currently has approximately 41,651 square feet of rental space and has 21 tenants.

The land at both locations is carried on the Company's balance sheet at cost in the amount of \$2,861,483 while the buildings and improvements are carried at a depreciated cost of \$7,807,129. The Company has a secured revolving line of credit in the amount of \$1,750,000. The outstanding balance was zero as of December 31, 2007 and 2006. Collateral for the credit line consists of Building #7 and the surrounding 6 1/2 acres located at Flowerfield in St. James.

The average age of the Flowerfield buildings is approximately 48 years while the Port Jefferson Station buildings have an average age of 34 years. Both facilities continually undergo maintenance repair cycles for roofs, paved areas, and building exteriors. The general condition of internal infrastructure, HVAC, electrical, and plumbing is considered above average for facilities of this age. The grounds feature extensive landscaping, are neatly groomed and well maintained.

There are four main buildings in the Flowerfield Industrial Park with rental unit sizes ranging from 105 to 12,980 square feet. Given the location and size of rental units, the Flowerfield Industrial Park attracts many smaller companies that are not dependent on extensive material or product handling. In the ten buildings located in Port Jefferson Station, the rental unit sizes range from 384 to 4,000 square feet. The size, location and configuration of the units are conducive to professional offices consisting primarily of medical and dental professionals.

The Company currently maintains a \$100 million dollar liability umbrella policy and has insured certain buildings and rent receipts predicated on an analysis of risk, exposure, and loss history. It is Management's opinion that the premises are adequately insured.

The following table sets forth certain information as of December 31, 2007 for the total Company property:

				Annual		Number Of
				Base		Tenants Who
	Rentable		Annual	Rent	Number	Occupy 10%
	Square	Percent	Base	Per Leased	Of	Or More Of
Property	Feet	Leased	Rent	SQ. FT.	Tenants	Rentable Sq. Ft
St. James, NY	127,392	90%	\$1,558,822	\$13.63	52	1
Port Jefferson						
Station, NY	41 , 651	97%	\$897 , 949	\$22.23	21	0

The Company has one tenant in St. James, NY with over 10% of the rentable square footage. The principal nature of this tenant's business is providing a day treatment program for adults. The principal provisions of its lease include the rental of 12,980 square feet of space with an annual base rent of \$218,400, expiring April 30, 2008.

The following table sets forth the Company's lease expiration table as of December 31, 2007:

Fiscal Year End	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Feet Expiring	Total Annual Rent	% of Gross Annual Rental Represented By Such Leases
2008	57	106 , 774	\$1 , 593 , 684	64.87%
2009	6	9,841	139,561	5.68%
2010	13	25,033	435,141	17.71%
2011	3	7,681	188,426	7.67%

2012	2	3,853	55 , 038	2.24%
2013	1	1,616	44,921	1.83%

The Company's properties are located in the hamlets of St. James and Port Jefferson Station, New York. The Company has filed an application for the zoning of approximately 62.4 acres in St. James to be changed from light industrial (approximately 55.5 acres) and residential (approximately 6.9 acres) to planned residential. They are primarily zoned for light industrial and professional office use, with a small portion zoned for residential use.

Item 3 Legal Proceedings

Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. v. The State University of New York at Stony
----Brook

On November 2, 2005, the State University of New York at Stony Brook (the "University") filed an acquisition map with the Suffolk County Clerk's office and vested title in 245.5 acres of the Company's Flowerfield Property pursuant to the New York Eminent Domain Procedure Law (the "EDPL"). On March 27, 2006, the Company received payment from the State of New York in the amount of \$26,315,000, which the Company had previously elected under the EDPL to accept as an advance payment for the property. Under the EDPL, both the advance payment and any additional award from the Court of Claims bear interest at the current statutory rate of 9%

7

simple interest from the date of the taking through the date of payment. See Note 3 in the footnotes to the consolidated financial statements for a further discussion of the accrued interest on the proceeds on the condemnation of the Flowerfield property. On May 1, 2006, the Company filed a Notice of Claim with the Court of Claims of the State of New York seeking \$158 million in damages from the University resulting from the condemnation of the 245.5 acres of the Company's Flowerfield property. While the Company believes that a credible case for substantial additional compensation can be made, it is possible that the Company may be awarded a different amount than is being requested, including no compensation, or an amount that is substantially lower than the Company's claim for \$158 million. It is also possible that the Court of Claims could ultimately permit the State to recoup part of its advance payment to the Company.

Faith Enterprises v. Gyrodyne, Supreme Court, Suffolk County, Index # 3511/2007.

Faith Enterprises ("Faith") a tenant at 7 Flowerfield failed to fulfill its rental payment obligation. In February 2007, the Company served Faith with a notice of default. Faith subsequently sued the Company in Suffolk Supreme Court, seeking \$7 million in damages on each of three claims (breach of contract, fraudulent inducement and tortuous interference with business) and also seeking to enjoin the Company from commencing a non-payment eviction proceeding (which the Court denied). The Company thereafter commenced a non-payment proceeding, in which Faith agreed to an order to vacate the premises and for a judgment of past due rent of \$115,051. Faith vacated the premises in April 2007. Faith continues to pursue its claims for damages in the Suffolk Supreme Court action. In November 2007, the Company commenced a third-party action against the guarantors of Faith's lease, Thomas O. Dodge, Cathleen Dodge, Michael Maurer and Kelly Maurer. In January 2008, Plaintiff (Faith) filed a motion to consolidate this case with another matter it had commenced against the entities from whom Faith

purchased the business. The Court has not yet ruled on this motion.

In addition, in the normal course of business, the Company is a party to various legal proceedings. After reviewing all actions and proceedings pending against or involving the Company, Management considers the aggregate loss, if any, will not be material.

Item 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The Company's annual shareholders meeting for the Eight Months Ended December 31, 2006 was held on December 5, 2007 (the "2007 Annual Meeting"). On each matter submitted to shareholders, the votes were as follows:

To elect three directors to serve for a term of three years or until their successors shall be elected and shall qualify:

	For	Withheld
Ronald J. Macklin	578 , 218	5,610
Stephen V. Maroney	578 , 209	5,619
Philip F. Palmedo	577 , 233	6,595
Phillip Goldstein	513 , 687	5,254
Andrew Dakos	493,505	25,436

The directors whose term of office as a director continued after the 2007 Annual Meeting are as follows: Paul L. Lamb, Robert H. Beyer, Elliot H. Levine, Richard B. Smith and Nader G.M. Salour.

On the Shareholder proposal submitted by Full Value Partners, a member of the Bulldog Investor Group, to abolish the Company's Shareholder Rights Plan: votes for 511,734; against 590,927; abstain 108.

On the proposal to ratify the engagement of Holtz Rubenstein Reminick, LLP as independent certified public accountants and auditors for the 2007 fiscal year: votes for 1,067,098; against 6,372; abstain 29,299.

On December 3, 2007, the Company announced that it had dismissed its claim against Timothy Brog, one of the three persons for whom Full Value Partners had solicited proxies for election as directors at the 2007 Annual Meeting, in the matter titled Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. v. Full Value Partners L.P., et. al, No. 07-CV-4859. As part of such settlement with Mr. Brog, the Company and Mr. Brog agreed to mutual releases with respect to claims arising out of the 2006 and 2007 Annual Meetings. Prior to the announcement, Gyrodyne was notified that Full Value Partners did not intend to nominate Mr. Brog for election at the 2007 Annual Meeting, and that Mr. Brog withdrew his consent to serve as a director if elected.

In its letter to shareholders dated October 29, 2007, the Company stated: "The Staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission notified [Phillip] Goldstein and his fellow nominees, Andrew Dakos and Timothy Brog, that they `committed a federal securities law violation' in soliciting Gyrodyne shareholders last year. Messrs. Goldstein, Dakos and Brog even ignored the SEC Staff's instructions to revise their proxy statement to properly disclose the violation and inform Gyrodyne shareholders that votes for Mr. Goldstein would

8

not be counted because Mr. Goldstein failed to comply with the Company's bylaw requirements." As part of the settlement with Mr. Brog, the Company stated publicly that it subsequently learned that Mr. Brog, a director nominee of Full

Value Partners in 2006 and 2007, did not prepare or review, nor was he involved in the preparation of, Full Value Partners' proxy materials in 2006 or 2007; that the Company had been informed that Mr. Brog never received any notice from the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") or the staff of the SEC (the "Staff") regarding Full Value Partners' 2006 and 2007 proxy materials and that Full Value did not provide Mr. Brog notice of, or a copy of, the Staff's correspondence concerning its 2006 proxy materials; and therefore, it is the Company's belief that Mr. Brog never ignored the Staff's instructions or comments relating to Full Value's proxy materials in 2006 and 2007.

PART II

Item 5 Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

(a) Market information

The Company's Common Stock, \$1 Par Value (symbol: "GYRO") is traded in the NASDAQ Small-Cap Market. Since June 10, 1948, the NASDAQ Small-Cap Market has been the principal market in which the Company's stock is publicly traded. Set forth below are the high and low bid quotations for the Company's stock for each full quarter within the two most recent fiscal years:

Quarter Ended	Low	High
Fiscal 2006		
March 31, 2006	\$41.90	\$46.50
June 30, 2006	\$43.68	\$56.99
September 30, 2006	\$45.50	\$53.71
December 31, 2006	\$43.42	\$62.00
Quarter Ended Fiscal 2007	Low	High
March 31, 2007	\$58.63	\$73.59
June 30, 2007	\$55.04	\$63.58
September 30, 2007	\$46.01	\$57.44
December 31, 2007	\$39.75	\$51.50

(b) Approximate number of equity security holders, including shares held in street name by brokers.

	Number of Holders of Record
Title of Class	as of February 21, 2008
Common Stock, \$1.00 Par Value	709

(c) On April 9, 2007, the Company paid a special cash distribution of \$4.00 per share to all shareholders of record as of the close of business on March 26, 2007. There were no cash dividends declared on the Company's Common

Stock during the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

(d) Equity Compensation Plan Information.

As of December 31, 2007, there were no equity compensation plans under which securities of the Company were authorized for issuance.

9

(e) Performance Graph

The following graph compares total stockholder returns from April 30, 2002 through December 31, 2007 to the Standard & Poor's 500 Index ("S&P 500") and to the Dow Jones U.S. Real Estate Index Fund ("DJ Real Estate Index"). The graph assumes that the value of the investment in the Company's Common Stock and in the S&P 500 and DJ Real Estate Index indices was \$100 at April 30, 2002 and that all dividends were reinvested. The price of the Company's Common Stock on April 30, 2002 (on which the graph is based) was \$16.95. The stockholder return shown on the following graph is not necessarily indicative of future performance.

[GRAPHIC OMITTED]

Index	4/30/2002	4/30/2003	4/30/2004	4/30/2005	4/30/2006	12/31/2006	12/31/2
Gyrodyne	100.00	99.41	159.41	240.41	279.65	365.78	271.2
S&P 500	100.00	85.14	102.82	107.42	121.70	131.70	136.3
DJ Real Estate Index	100.00	93.16	108.91	138.96	166.11	196.05	154.4

Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

The statements made in this Form 10-K that are not historical facts contain "forward-looking information" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, both as amended, which can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "may," "will," "anticipates," "expects," "projects," "estimates," "believes," "seeks," "could," "should," or "continue," the negative thereof, other variations or comparable terminology. Important factors, including certain risks and uncertainties, with respect to such forward-looking statements that could cause actual results to differ materially from those reflected in such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the effect of economic and business conditions, including risks inherent in the Long Island, New York and Palm Beach County, Florida real estate markets, the ability to obtain additional capital in order to develop the existing real estate, uncertainties associated with the Company's litigation against the State of New York for just compensation for the Flowerfield property taken by eminent domain, and other risks detailed from time to time in the Company's SEC reports. The Company assumes no obligation to update the information in this Form 10-K.

Critical Accounting Policies

The consolidated financial statements of the Company include accounts of the Company and all majority-owned and controlled subsidiaries. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions in certain circumstances that affect amounts reported in the Company's consolidated financial statements and related notes. In preparing these financial statements, management has utilized information available including its past history, industry standards and the current economic environment, among other factors, in forming its estimates and judgments of certain amounts included in the consolidated financial statements, giving due consideration to materiality. It is possible that the ultimate outcome as anticipated by management in formulating its estimates inherent in these financial statements might not materialize. However, application of the critical accounting policies below involves the exercise of judgment and use of assumptions as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could differ from these estimates. In addition, other companies may utilize different estimates, which may impact comparability of the Company's results of operations to those of companies in similar businesses.

Revenue Recognition

Minimum revenues from rental property are recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the related leases. The excess of rents recognized over amounts contractually due, if any, are included in deferred rents receivable on the Company's balance sheets. Certain leases also provide for tenant reimbursements of common area maintenance and other operating expenses and real estate taxes. Ancillary and other property related income is recognized in the period earned.

Real Estate

Rental real estate assets, including land, buildings and improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment, are recorded at cost and reported net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Tenant improvements, which are included in buildings and improvements, are also stated at cost. Expenditures for ordinary maintenance and repairs are expensed to operations as they are incurred. Renovations and/or replacements, which improve or extend the life of the asset are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives.

Depreciation is computed utilizing the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of ten to thirty nine years for buildings and improvements and three to twenty years for machinery and equipment.

The Company is required to make subjective assessments as to the useful lives of its properties for purposes of determining the amount of depreciation to reflect on an annual basis with respect to those properties. These assessments have a direct impact on the Company's net income. Should the Company lengthen the expected useful life of a particular asset, it would be depreciated over more years, and result in less depreciation expense and higher annual net income.

Real estate held for development is stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value.

Net realizable value represents estimates, based on management's present plans and intentions, of sale price less development and disposition cost, assuming that disposition occurs in the normal course of business.

Long Lived Assets

On a periodic basis, management assesses whether there are any indicators that the value of the real estate properties may be impaired. A property's value is impaired only if management's estimate of the aggregate future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) to be generated by the property are less than the carrying value of the property. Such cash flows consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of demand, competition and other factors. To the extent impairment occurs, the loss will be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the property over the fair value of the property.

The Company is required to make subjective assessments as to whether there are impairments in the value of its real estate properties and other investments. These assessments have a direct impact on the Company's net income, since an impairment charge results in an immediate negative adjustment to net income. In determining impairment, if any, the Company applies Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets."

Stock-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company's stock options are accounted for in accordance with the recognition and measurement provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("FAS") No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment ("FAS 123(R)"), which replaces

11

FAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion ("APB") No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. FAS 123 (R) requires compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions, including employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements. In addition, the Company adheres to the guidance set forth within Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 107, which provides the Staff's views regarding the interaction between SFAS No. 123(R) and certain SEC rules and regulations and provides interpretations with respect to the valuation of share-based payments for public companies.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for similar transactions in accordance with APB No. 25 which employed the intrinsic value method of measuring compensation cost. Accordingly, compensation expense was not recognized for fixed stock options if the exercise price of the option equaled or exceeded the fair value of the underlying stock at the grant date.

While FAS No. 123 encouraged recognition of the fair value of all stock-based awards on the date of grant as expense over the vesting period, companies were permitted to continue to apply the intrinsic value-based method of accounting prescribed by APB No. 25 and disclose certain pro-forma amounts as if the fair value approach of SFAS No. 123 had been applied. In December 2002, FAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of SFAS No. 123, was issued, which, in addition to providing alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation, required more prominent pro-forma disclosures in both the annual and interim financial statements. The Company complied with these disclosure requirements for all applicable periods prior to January 1, 2006.

In adopting FAS 123(R), the Company applied the modified prospective approach to transition. Under the modified prospective approach, the provisions of FAS 123 (R) are to be applied to new awards and to awards modified, repurchased, or cancelled after the required effective date. Additionally, compensation cost for the portion of awards for which the requisite service has not been rendered that are outstanding as of the required effective date shall be recognized as the requisite service is rendered on or after the required effective date. The compensation cost for that portion of awards shall be based on the grant-date fair value of those awards as calculated for either recognition or pro-forma disclosures under FAS 123.

As a result of the adoption of FAS 123 (R), the Company's results for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 includes share-based compensation expense totaling \$0. Stock compensation expense recorded under APB No. 25 in the consolidated statements of operations for the aforementioned periods is also \$0.

Qualification as a REIT

The Company has been organized and operated, and intends to continue to operate, so as to qualify for taxation as a REIT under the Code. The Company's qualification and taxation as a REIT depends on its ability to meet, through actual annual operating results, asset diversification, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership, numerous requirements established under highly technical and complex Code provisions subject to interpretation.

If the Company failed to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it would be subject to federal and state income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on its taxable income at regular corporate rates. Moreover, unless entitled to relief under specific statutory provisions, the Company also would be disqualified as a REIT for four taxable years following the year during which qualification was lost.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007 AS COMPARED TO THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

The Company is reporting a net loss of \$1,551,654 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 compared to a net loss of \$176,302 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006. Diluted per share losses amounted to (\$1.21) and (\$0.14) for 2007 and 2006, respectively. Both periods included the recognition of tax benefits which amounted to \$403,989 and \$1,747,814, for 2007 and 2006, respectively, and are more fully described in a latter section of this report.

Rental revenues amounted to \$1,879,882 which represents a \$565,912 increase over the prior year when revenues totaled \$1,313,970. For the most part, the increase is attributable to the purchase of a ten building medical park in Port Jefferson, N.Y. in mid-2007. The acquisition accounted for \$518,833 of the reported increase with the Flowerfield property in St. James N.Y. accounting for \$47,079. As a result of interest on condemnation advance payment of \$538,556 in 2006, interest income declined by \$556,838 in 2007, and totaled \$1,038,150 in 2007 compared to \$1,594,988 in 2006.

12

Reflecting the above repositioning of the Company's assets, total revenues amounted to \$2,918,032 compared to \$2,908,958 for the prior year. The decrease in the earnings capacity of the Company's investment securities and interest bearing deposits was offset by increased rental revenues.

Rental expenses amounted to \$896,340 for 2007, an increase of \$164,810 over the 2006 results which totaled \$731,530. Here again, the acquisition of the medical park in Port Jefferson in June of this year accounted for \$179,372 of this increase while Flowerfield expenses were actually reduced by \$14,562.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$779,190 for the current reporting period, amounting to \$3,332,332 compared to \$2,553,142 in 2006. Specifically, the largest single contributing factor was in corporate governance expense which includes the costs associated with a proxy contest initiated by the Bulldog Investor Group which unsuccessfully proposed to abolish Gyrodyne's shareholder rights plan and replace incumbent directors with its nominees for the second consecutive year. Expenses related to these proxy contests have now impacted the Company by \$756,656 in 2007 and \$207,552 in 2006, accounting for \$549,104 or 70% of the overall increase in general and administrative expenses. Additionally, due to the change in the Company's fiscal year, approximately \$40,000 in corporate governance expenses were reclassified to the twelve month period ended December 31, 2005. There were a number of other contributing factors to the balance of the increase in general and administrative expenses which include increases of \$187,452 in condemnation litigation expense, \$96,556 in salaries and benefits, \$66,493 in accounting fees, \$48,769 in charges for outside services, and \$42,337 in Directors' fees. The increase in salary and benefits is attributable to a change in the Company's fiscal year resulting in bonuses for two fiscal years being distributed during 2007 and increased premiums for group medical insurance which amounted to \$72,603 and \$14,310, respectively. Accounting fees include a prior year charge and fees related to the Port Jefferson acquisition as well as an increase in the overall engagement fees of the Company's accounting firm. The most significant contributing factors to the increase in expenses for outside services relates to consulting fees associated with Sarbanes-Oxley compliance issues. The increase in Directors' fees is the result of having an increased number of Board meetings, brought about by events such as the Bulldog Investor Group proxy contest and litigation. These increases were partially offset by current year reductions in legal and consulting fees and costs associated with the Company's strategic plan amounting to \$113,379 and \$34,097, respectively. The reduction in legal and consulting fees primarily relates to the Company's REIT conversion and the termination of two contracts with Landmark National to develop a residential golf course community on the Flowerfield property. Additionally, based on the standard accounting practice for pension plans, 2007 reflects pension income of \$44,855 versus pension expense of \$91,700 in 2006, a variance of \$136,555.

Depreciation expense increased by \$101,774 during 2007 and includes the addition of \$86,196 attributable to the newly acquired Port Jefferson property. Likewise, interest expense increased by \$162,450 which is entirely attributable to the mortgage on the medical park in Port Jefferson.

The 2007 operating expenses also include a provison for a loss of interest on condemnation proceeds amounting to \$332,377 which represents a previously recorded interest receivable pertaining to the Advance Payment in connection with the 2005 condemnation of 245 acres of property and certain buildings by the State University of New York at Stony Brook. Although the Company had been assured by counsel representing the State that a statutory interest rate of 9% was due and payable on the Advance Payment of \$26.3 million, the State of New York has now taken the position that a lesser interest rate was applicable. The Company is pursuing this amount along with its claim for \$158 million in additional compensation in the Court of Claims of the State of New York.

As a result, the Company is reporting a loss from operations before loss on condemnation of rental property totaling \$1,955,643 in 2007 compared to a loss of \$424,116 in 2006.

Fiscal 2006 included a recognition of a \$1.5 million additional loss on the condemnation of rental property. As a result, the Company is reporting a loss

before benefit for income taxes totaling \$1,955,643 compared to a loss of \$1,924,116 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The reinvestment of a portion of the condemnation Advance Payment in the Port Jefferson property created a benefit for income taxes amounting to \$725,000. Adding to the Port Jefferson benefit, the Company also recognized a benefit from prior year tax refunds amounting to \$100,989 which were reduced by a \$422,000 deferred tax adjustment primarily the result of a book to tax difference relating to the Callery-Judge Grove income. Combined, the three entries brought the total benefit to \$403,989 for fiscal 2007. As a result, the Company is reporting a net loss of \$1,551,654 for fiscal 2007. Fiscal 2006 included a benefit for income taxes totaling \$1,747,814 which, for the most part, represented the reversal of deferred taxes for differences between financial reporting and the tax bases of assets and liabilities relating to the Company's conversion to a real estate investment trust. As a result, the Company reported a net loss of \$176,302 for 2006.

13

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006
AS COMPARED TO THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

The Company is reporting a net loss of \$176,302 for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2006 compared to net income totaling \$12,881,345 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005. The diluted per share loss for the current period amounted to (\$0.14) compared to earnings of \$10.26 per share for the same period during the prior year.

The major contributing factor to this significant variance was the November 2005 condemnation by the State University of New York at Stony Brook of 245.5 acres of the Flowerfield property located in Stony Brook/Saint James, New York for which the Company received \$26,315,000 in the form of an Advance Payment in March 2006; this action resulted in a gain of \$20,710,339. In addition, the prior year period also included the receipt of the final payment on a \$1.8 million mortgage, resulting from the sale of real estate, which matured in August 2005; the gain on that transaction amounted to \$1,573,900. Subsequent to December 31, 2006, in February 2007, the Company entered into an agreement with Landmark National to terminate two contracts associated with an earlier development plan to design and construct a residential golf course community on the Flowerfield property that was ultimately condemned. The Company agreed to pay Landmark National \$2,000,000, \$500,000 of which had previously been accrued, in recognition of services rendered and to terminate the two contracts. The termination agreement also releases the Company from a claim Landmark had asserted that it was entitled to 10% of all condemnation proceeds and any future sale or development of the remaining Flowerfield acreage. As a result, \$1,500,000 was expensed in 2006 and will be added to the Company's claim for additional compensation for the condemned property in its pending litigation against the State of New York.

Total revenues increased by \$574,868 for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2006, totaling \$2,908,958 compared to \$2,334,090 for the same period last year. Rental income declined by \$567,430 for the reporting period, totaling \$1,313,970 compared to \$1,881,400 for the same period last year. Of that total decrease, \$484,471, or 85% of the variance was directly attributable to the condemnation and the loss of rental income associated with the property included in the eminent domain taking. The \$82,959 balance of the decline in revenue is the net result of terminated leases and new tenancies.

Interest income increased by \$1,142,298, totaling \$1,594,988 and \$452,690 for the current reporting period and for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005,

respectively. This increase is attributable to the investment of the \$26.3 million Advance Payment received in connection with the condemned property in March 2006. In that regard, interest income includes \$658,281 relating to the Company's investment in mortgage backed securities issued by U.S. Government Agencies and \$538,556 in interest received in connection with the Advance Payment.

Rental expenses decreased by \$60,412, amounting to \$731,530 and \$791,942 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Contributing factors include lower fuel costs totaling \$21,376, reductions of \$54,160 in salaries and benefits, \$11,910 in plant security, and \$69,629 in outside services. During the prior year, salaries and benefits included \$26,830 in employee early retirement expenses and reduced staffing levels. The decrease in outside services is attributable to storm drain improvements during 2005. Partially offsetting these decreased expenses were increased costs associated with general maintenance issues totaling \$36,532, increased insurance premiums of \$8,967, and real estate taxes of \$62,074. In the case of the real estate taxes, a portion of the increase was due to annual incremental adjustments while the balance was due to the fact that, in prior periods, taxes associated with undeveloped property included in the development plan were capitalized.

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of third party professional and consulting fees, corporate governance expenses, and salaries and benefits for the executive and administrative staff. General and administrative expenses increased by \$386,994 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 and totaled \$2,553,142 compared to \$2,166,148 for the same period during the prior year. There were several factors and events that contributed to this increase. Expenses associated with the Company's annual meeting, which totaled \$207,552, exceeded the prior year by \$61,321 and were directly attributable to an attempt by a dissident shareholder group to wage a proxy contest in an effort to gain three seats on the Company's Board of Directors. Legal and consulting fees associated with the Landmark agreement amounted to \$91,463 and costs related to the Company's conversion to a REIT accounted for \$117,735. Expenses incurred during the current reporting period associated with the pursuit of additional compensation for the condemned Flowerfield property totaled \$263,561 and represented an increase of \$174,598 over the prior year. Other increases include \$25,748 in accounting fees, \$64,614 for the conversion of the Company's internal accounting system, \$55,309 in salaries and benefits, and \$28,427 in Directors fees. Partially offsetting the foregoing increases, the Company experienced a reduction of \$61,596 in pension plan expenses and a savings of \$37,104 associated with canceling a rental agreement for office space utilized during the prior year. Additionally, prior year results included \$116,144 in expenses relating to the development of the Company's strategic plan for conversion to a REIT.

Depreciation expenses amounted to \$48,402 and \$72,502 for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The decrease of \$24,100 is attributable to the fact that the condemnation included improved properties.

14

As a result, the Company is reporting a loss from operations totaling \$424,116 compared to a loss of \$705,603 for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

As previously mentioned, during the prior year, the Company received an Advance Payment in connection with the condemnation of 245.5 acres of its Flowerfield property in Stony Brook/Saint James, N.Y., resulting in a realized gain of \$20,710,339. In addition, a gain on the sale of real estate amounting to \$1,573,900 relating to a \$1.8 million mortgage due and payable in August, 2005

was recorded. These non-recurring events account for the dramatic variance to the current period which includes the \$1,500,000\$ charge for terminating the two Landmark National contracts also mentioned earlier in this report.

The results for 2006 include a tax benefit of \$1,747,814 which, for the most part, consisted of the reversal of deferred taxes for differences between financial reporting and the tax bases of assets and liabilities relating to the Company's conversion to a real estate investment trust. For the period ended December 31, 2005, the provision for income taxes amounts to \$8,697,291 and reflects the deferred portion of the gain associated with the Advance Payment from the condemnation.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities was \$(3,649,919) and \$25,655,694 during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The cash (used in) operating activities in the current period was primarily related to the payment of \$(2,000,000) to Landmark in connection with an agreement to terminate two agreements, the Golf Operating Agreement and the Asset Management Agreement, both dated April 9, 2002. The cash provided by operating activities in the prior period primarily consists of the receipt of \$26,315,000 from the State of New York on the condemnation advance payment.

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities was \$9,399,693 and \$(24,404,511) during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The cash provided by investing activities in the current period was primarily related to the sale and principal repayments of marketable securities for \$7,199,204 and \$5,650,415, respectively. The Company invested in hybrid mortgage-backed securities, with a AAA rating fully guaranteed by agencies of the U.S. Government. This was mitigated by costs associated with property, plant and equipment net of a deposit for \$(3,449,926). Substantially all of these costs are related to the purchase of the Port Jefferson Professional Park. The principal use of cash in the prior period was related to the purchase of marketable securities of \$(24,784,143).

Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities was \$(5,245,920) and \$74,052 during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The net cash (used in) financing activities during the current period was the result of a cash distribution payment to shareholders in the amount of \$(5,160,157). Cash provided by financing activities in the prior period was from proceeds from the exercise of stock options. The Company has a \$1,750,000 revolving credit line with a bank, bearing interest at a rate of prime plus one percent which was 8.25% at December 31, 2007. The unused portion of the credit line, which is the total line of \$1,750,000, will enhance the Company's financial position and liquidity and be available, if needed, to fund any unforeseen expenses.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$3,455,141 and anticipates having the capacity to fund normal operating, general and administrative expenses, and its regular debt service requirements. Working capital, which is the total of current assets less current liabilities as shown in the accompanying chart, amounted to \$13,515,402 at December 31, 2007. Net prepaid expenses and other assets shown in the accompanying chart does not include \$114,261 and \$23,197 of furniture and fixtures, net, and loan origination fees, net, for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

15

The following table presents the Company's working capital for December 31, 2007 and 2006:

	December 31,			
	2007	2006		
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Investment in marketable securities Deposit on property Rent receivable, net Interest receivable Net prepaid expenses and other assets	10,816,269 - 94,693	468,679		
Total current assets	14,669,031	28,142,288		
Current liabilities: Accounts payable Accrued liabilities Tenant security deposits payable Mortgage payable, current portion	174,007	687,384 2,174,460 159,785		
Total current liabilities	1,153,629	3,021,629		
Working capital	\$ 13,515,402	\$ 25,120,659		

The Company operates as a real estate investment trust for federal and state income tax purposes. As a REIT, the Company is generally not subject to income taxes. The Company is subject to the "built-in gain" rules. Under these rules, taxes may be payable at the time and to the extent that the net unrealized gains on the Company's assets at the date of conversion to REIT status are recognized in taxable dispositions of such assets in the ten-year period following conversion. To maintain its REIT status, the Company is required to distribute annually as dividends at least 90% of its REIT taxable income, as defined by the Code, to its shareholders, among other requirements. The Company expects to continue to use its cash flow from operating activities to pay distributions to shareholders and to support the operating activities of the Company.

Distributions are determined by the Company's Board of Directors and are dependent on a number of factors, including the amount of funds available for distribution, the Company's financial condition, any decision by the Board of Directors to reinvest funds rather than to distribute the funds, the Company's capital expenditures, the annual distribution required to maintain REIT status under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and other factors the Board of Directors may deem relevant.

During fiscal 2004, the Company restructured an outstanding mortgage loan on the Flowerfield property. That loan was satisfied and incorporated into a newly established revolving credit line in the amount of \$1,750,000 at prime plus one percent. At December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, the Company had no outstanding indebtedness against this credit facility.

The following table presents the Company's expected cash requirements for contractual obligations outstanding as of December 31, 2007:

Payments Due By Period

Contractual Obligation

Less than 1-3 3-5

More than

	Total	1 Year	Years	Years	5 Years
Astoria Federal Savings Landmark National	\$5,502,623 722,222	\$ 86,721 333,333	\$ 189,104 388,889	\$ 212,093	\$5,014,705
Accrued Expense Total Contractual Obligations	30,472 \$6,255,317	8,918 \$ 428,972	17,837 \$ 595,830	3,717 \$ 215,810	\$5,014,705
Total Contractual Obligations	=======	=======	=======	=======	========

INCOME TAXES

Effective May 1, 2006, the Company elected to be taxed as a REIT for state income tax and federal income tax purposes under section 856(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"). As a result of the election, the Company converted to a December 31 fiscal year end. As long as the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, it generally will not be subject to federal and state income tax. If the

16

Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal and state income tax on its taxable income at regular corporate rates. Unless entitled to relief under specific statutory provisions, the Company will also be disqualified for taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which it loses its qualification. Even if the Company qualifies as a REIT, it may be subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed income. The Company believes that it has met all of the REIT distribution and technical requirements for the year ended December 31, 2007 and was not subject to any federal and state income taxes. Management intends to continue to adhere to these requirements and maintain the Company's REIT status.

The Company's investment in the Grove is held as a taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company and is subject to federal and state income taxes. Taxable REIT subsidiaries perform non-customary services for tenants, hold assets that the Company cannot hold directly and generally may engage in any real estate or non-real estate related business. Accordingly, through the investment in the Grove, the Company is subject to corporate federal and state income taxes on the Company's share of the Grove's taxable income for the year ended December 31, 2007 and the eight months ended December 31, 2006.

LIMITED PARTNERSHIP INVESTMENT

The Company has a limited partnership investment in the Callery-Judge Grove located in Palm Beach County, Florida. The investment represents a 10.93% limited partnership interest in a limited partnership that owns a 3,500+ acre citrus grove. The property is the subject of a plan for a mixed use of residential, commercial, and retail development which is under review by the local municipal and state authorities. The Company is accounting for the investment under the equity method. As of December 31, 2007, the carrying value of the Company's investment was \$0. Based upon the most recent independent third party appraisal, which was conducted by Pinel Appraisal Services, Inc. in June 2007, the Company's investment, strictly on a pro-rata basis, has a current estimated fair value of approximately \$22.4 million without adjustment for minority interest and lack of marketability discount.

DEVELOPMENT OF FLOWERFIELD PROPERTY

The Company was a party to two contractual agreements dated April 9, 2002 with

Landmark National ("Landmark") pursuant to which Landmark was to design and develop an 18 hole championship golf course community with 336 home sites on the Company's Flowerfield property located in Stony Brook / Saint James, New York, a substantial portion of which has since been condemned by the State University of New York (the "University"). Those contractual agreements were exhibited in the Company's April 30, 2002 10-KSB filing. The golf course agreement called for monthly payments of \$5,000 with a maximum total of \$150,000. As of April 30, 2005, the Company had paid this obligation in full. Additionally, there was a one-time fee of \$100,000 for a grading report on the course layout, which was completed and paid during fiscal 2003. The residential land planning and design contract included monthly payments of \$10,000 with a maximum payment totaling \$300,000. As of April 30, 2005, the Company had also paid this obligation in full. Landmark was also entitled to a construction management fee of 4.5% of construction costs. The balance of Landmark's compensation was an incentive fee of 10% of pre-tax net income from the residential golf course development. Additionally, in a separate agreement for the future, Landmark was under contract to manage the completed golf and clubhouse facilities under a long-term management agreement. The annual fee for such service was \$100,000 commencing upon completion of the golf and clubhouse facilities. The residential land planning and design contract also provided for a termination fee amounting to \$500,000, which is more clearly defined in Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements. The Company had accrued a \$500,000 termination fee through April 30, 2006 pursuant to the contract. Following the University's condemnation of the Flowerfield property, the Company was advised by Landmark that it believed it was entitled to 10% of all condemnation proceeds pursuant to the 10% incentive fee provision referred to above.

On February 12, 2007, the Company entered into an agreement with Landmark National to terminate two agreements, the Golf Operating Agreement and the Asset Management Agreement, both dated April 9, 2002. In addition to Landmark agreeing not to pursue any claim under those agreements for 10% of all proceeds related to the condemnation and any future sale and/or development of the remaining Flowerfield acreage, Landmark agreed to provide consulting services in connection with the eminent domain litigation. In consideration for Landmark's agreement not to pursue the foregoing claims, for services previously provided, the Company paid Landmark \$2,000,000, \$500,000 of which was accrued by the Company during its year ended April 30, 2006. Landmark will receive an additional \$1,000,000 over the next thirty-six months, commencing on March 1, 2007, in recognition of services rendered between 2004 and 2006, and for general consulting, review of pertinent documents, consultations regarding land planning and economic feasibility studies and coordination with project engineers associated with the Company's claim for additional compensation. The Company accrued \$1,500,000 as additional condemnation expense as of December 31, 2006. As is the case with various other expenses relating to the condemned property, the Company intends to add the \$2,000,000 to the existing claim for additional compensation with regard to the condemnation.

17

The Company has filed an application to develop a gated, age restricted community on the remaining Flowerfield property that includes 39 single-family homes, 60 townhouses and 210 condominiums. Living space would range from 1,600 square feet for the smallest condominiums to 2,800 square feet for detached single-family homes. Amenities would include a clubhouse with recreation facilities, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and extensive landscaping.

The Company has engaged the firm of Platt Byard Dovell White Architects for design work. The firm enjoys an outstanding reputation for designing attractive residential and commercial properties. Leading the project will be Sam White, FAIA, a partner at the firm who is known specifically for his proven ability to

blend historic context into new architecture.

The application asks for the zoning of approximately 62.4 acres to be changed from "light industrial" (approx. 55.5 acres) and "residential" (approx. 6.9 acres) to "planned residential." Another 4.3 acres of the property owned by the Company, while already zoned as "residential," would remain undeveloped. Total amount of open space remaining after development is expected to exceed 40 acres. As indicated in the application, the Company plans in the future to remove the industrial buildings currently in use at the appropriate time.

According to an analysis obtained by the Company, the local road traffic caused by the residential development would be lower than the levels attributed to the current industrial operations. The development also would have a positive impact on the municipal tax base since the age-restricted nature of the community would not affect the local school population. The company estimates that 100 construction jobs and 15 permanent jobs would be created by the project.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on its financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to investors.

Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

See Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements commencing on the Contents page followed by Page F-1.

Consolidated Financial Statements include:

- (1) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- (2) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006
- (3) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006
- (4) Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006
- (5) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006
- (6) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
- (7) Schedules

All other information required by the following schedules has been included in the consolidated financial statements, is not applicable, or not required:

Schedule I, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI, XII and XIII.

Item 9 Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure ${\sf Sim}$

None

Item 9A(T) Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2007 are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is

accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

18

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- o pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the Company's assets;
- o provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of the Company's financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, and that the Company's receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of its management and directors; and
- o provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of its system of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007. In making this assessment, management used the framework in Internal Control -- Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). Based on the Company's assessment and the criteria set forth by COSO, management believes that the Company did maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or that are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

Item 9B Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

(a) The following table lists the names, ages and positions of all executive officers and directors and all persons nominated or chosen to become such.

Each director has been elected to the term indicated. Directors whose term of office ends in 2008 shall serve until the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until their successors are elected and qualified.

Name & Principal Occupation or Employment	Age	First Dir
Stephen V. Maroney President, CEO, CFO, Treasurer, and Director of the Company	65	1
Peter Pitsiokos COO, Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer of the Company	48	-
Frank D'Alessandro Controller of the Company	61	-
19		
Paul L. Lamb Partner of Lamb & Barnosky, LLP Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company	62	1
Robert H. Beyer Consultant Director of the Company	74	1
Philip F. Palmedo	73	1
Managing Director and Chairman of Kepler Asset Management and Chairman of International Resources Group Director of the Company		
Elliot H. Levine CPA and Senior Member of Levine & Seltzer, LLP Director of the Company	55	2
Richard B. Smith Vice President, Commercial Banking Division, First National Bank of L. I. Director of the Company	53	2
Ronald J. Macklin Assistant General Counsel for National Grid USA Director of the Company	45	2
Nader G.M. Salour Principal, Cypress Realty of Florida, LLC Director of the Company	49	2
(b) Business Experience		
Stephen V. Maroney, age 65, was initially engaged by the Company as an outside consultant in June 1996 and elected to the Board of Directors in July of that same year. Mr. Maroney is the former President of Extebank, a Long Island based commercial bank with a presence in Nassau and Suffolk Counties and New York		

commercial bank with a presence in Nassau and Suffolk Counties and New York

City. Prior to that appointment, he served as Extebank's Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Maroney was appointed to the position of President, CEO and Treasurer by the Gyrodyne Board of Directors on March 14, 1999. His career on Long Island spans a period of over 40 years and includes involvement in numerous civic, charitable and professional organizations.

Peter Pitsiokos, age 48, joined the Company in July 1992 as its Assistant Secretary and General Counsel and has been the Company's Chief Operating Officer and Chief Compliance Officer since 2004. He has also been Secretary of the Company for over five years. Mr. Pitsiokos was formerly the Executive Assistant District Attorney in Suffolk County, New York. He also served as the Assistant Director of Economic Development and the Director of Water Resources in the Town of Brookhaven. Mr. Pitsiokos also maintained a private law practice in which he represented several national and local owners, managers and developers of real estate. He holds a Law degree from Villanova University and a BA degree from Stony Brook University.

Frank D'Alessandro, age 61, joined the Company in March 1997 as its Controller. Prior to joining the Company, he was Controller of Cornucopia Pet Foods Inc., a distributor of all natural pet foods. Previous to that he spent many years in various financial positions. Mr. D'Alessandro holds an MBA degree in Finance as well as a BBA in Accounting, both from Hofstra University.

Paul L. Lamb, age 62, has been a Director since 1997 and became Chairman of the Board on March 14, 1999. He is a founding partner in the law firm of Lamb & Barnosky, LLP; a past President of the Suffolk County Bar Association; and a Dean of the Suffolk Academy

20

of Law. He holds a B.A. from Tulane University, a J.D. from the University of Kentucky and an LL.M. from the University of London, England.

Robert Beyer, age 74, has been a Director of the company since November 1977. He is also a Director of the Company's subsidiaries. He retired from the United States Naval Reserve in 1993 with the rank of Captain. He retired from his position as Senior Inertial Systems Engineer with the Naval Air Systems Command in 1998. He has an electrical engineering degree from New York University and a graduate degree in International Business from Sophia University in Tokyo, Japan. Mr. Beyer was employed by Gyrodyne from 1962-1973. He was stationed in Japan as a Technical Representative for the Company's remotely piloted helicopters from 1963 to 1970.

Philip F. Palmedo, age 73, was appointed to the Board of Directors in July 1996. Mr. Palmedo is currently Managing Director and Chairman of Kepler Asset Management as well as Chairman of International Resources Group and former President of the Long Island Research Institute. He was a founder of all three companies. Mr. Palmedo has shepherded numerous fledgling businesses into the financial and technological markets and completing several financing agreements. He has M.S. and Ph.D. degrees from M.I.T.

Elliot H. Levine, age 55, was appointed to the Board of Directors in October 2004. Mr. Levine is a founding member of the accounting firm Levine & Seltzer, LLP Certified Public Accountants, a graduate (1975) of Queens College, City University of New York. He became a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants in February, 1978. Mr. Levine's work experience includes five years at Arthur Young, ten and a half years as partner and director of taxes of Leslie Sufrin & Co. P.C., a one year tenure as senior tax manager at Margolin, Winer & Evans CPAs and over 12 years as senior member of Levine & Seltzer.

Richard B. Smith, age 53, was appointed to the Board of Directors in November 2002. Mr. Smith is currently a Vice President in the Commercial Banking Division of the First National Bank of Long Island. He previously served as Senior Vice President for Private Banking at Suffolk County National Bank until February, 2005. Previously, he worked for 10 years at Key Bank (Dime Savings Bank) and for 3 years at L.I. Trust/Apple Bank. He received an MBA in Finance from SUNY Albany in 1983. Mr. Smith serves as the Mayor of the Incorporated Village of Nissequogue and as a Trustee of the Smithtown Historical Society and also serves as a Trustee for St. Catherine's Medical Center in Smithtown, NY.

Ronald J. Macklin, age 45, was appointed to the Board of Directors in June 2003. Mr. Macklin currently serves as Assistant General Counsel for National Grid USA and formerly KeySpan Corporate Services where he has held various positions within the Office of General Counsel from 1991 to present. Previously, he was associated with the law firms of Roseman & Colin and Cullen & Dykman. He received a B.A. degree from Stony Brook University and his Juris Doctorate from Union University's Albany Law School.

Nader G.M. Salour, age 49, was appointed to the Board of Directors in October 2006 and then elected by the shareholders at the Company's annual meeting in December 2006. Mr. Salour has been a Principal of Cypress Realty of Florida since 2000. He has served as President of Abacoa Development Company, from June 1996 to June 2006, and as a Director of Abacoa Partnership for Community from December 1997 to present.

(c) Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires that the Company directors, executive officers, and any person holding more than ten percent ("10% Holder") of Gyrodyne Common Stock, \$1.00 par value per share, file with the SEC reports of ownership changes, and that such individuals furnish the Company with copies of the reports.

Based solely on the Company's review of copies of Forms 3 and 4 and amendments thereto received by it during fiscal 2007 and Forms 5 and amendments thereto received by the Company with respect to fiscal 2007 and any written representations from certain reporting persons that no Form 5 is required, Gyrodyne believes that none of the Company's executive officers, directors or 10% Holders failed to file on a timely basis reports required by section 16(a) of the Exchange Act during fiscal 2007 or prior fiscal years.

(d) Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Board of Directors has a separately-designated Audit Committee established in accordance with section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act, which currently consists of Messrs. Smith, Levine and Macklin. All members are "financially literate" and have been determined to be "independent" within the meaning of SEC regulations and NASDAQ rules. The Board of Directors has determined that at least one member, Mr. Levine, a CPA, qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert" as a result of relevant experience as a partner in the accounting firm of Levine & Seltzer, LLP. In addition, Mr. Levine has 10.5 years of accounting experience as a partner and director of taxes at Leslie Sufrin & Co. P.C. as well as several other years of experience in the field of public accounting.

21

(e) Code of Ethics

The Company has adopted a written Code of Ethics that applies to all of its

directors, officers and employees. It is available on the Company's website at www.gyrodyne.com and any person may obtain without charge a paper copy by writing to the Secretary at the address set forth on page 1. Any amendments to the Code of Ethics, or waiver thereof, will be disclosed on the website promptly after such amendment.

Item 11 Executive Compensation

(a) Executive Compensation

The following table sets forth the total compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to each of the Company's executive officers for services rendered during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

							Non-equity	Nonqualifie
							incentive	deferred
Name	and principal				Stock	Option	plan	compensatio
		V	C = 1 =	D				

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and principal position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock awards (\$)	Option awards (\$)	incentive plan compensation (\$)	deferred compensatio earnings (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
Stephen V. Maroney	2007	220,000	50,000 (A)	0	0	0	0
President and CEO	2006	220,000	11,000	0	0	0	0
Peter Pitsiokos	2007	160 , 790	50,000 (A)	0	0	0	0
COO and Secretary	2006	160 , 790	8 , 290	0	0	0	0

- (A) Consists of \$25,000 paid on April 1, 2007 in respect of performance during 2006, and \$25,000 paid on December 26, 2007 in respect of performance during 2007.
- (B) In FY 07, Mr. Maroney exercised non-qualified stock options with a value of \$74,954. In FY 06, Mr. Maroney exercised non-qualified stock options with a value of \$41,685. The Registrant has concluded that aggregate amounts of perquisites and other personal benefits, securities or property to any of the current executives does not exceed \$10,000 and that the information set forth in tabular form above is not rendered materially misleading by virtue of the omission of such personal benefits.

Employment Agreements

The Company is a party to an Employment Agreement with each of Mr. Maroney and Mr. Pitsiokos. The Employment Agreements provide for an annual base salary and discretionary annual incentive cash bonuses and/or stock option awards which are no longer available. The Agreements provide for a severance benefit over a prescribed term in the event an executive is terminated, if their duties are materially changed, or in connection with a Change-In-Control. The Agreements also provide that no severance benefit is due in the event of a voluntary termination or a termination of employment for Cause. Mr. Pitsiokos would also have use of a Company car until the third anniversary following termination. The executives' employment term is extended at the end of each day, to automatically add an additional day, so that the remaining three-year employment term is always outstanding. The Employment Agreements may be terminated in the event of

death or disability. An executive officer may terminate the Agreement at any time upon one years' prior written notice, or upon ten days prior notice if for Good Reason. Good Reason includes a material change in an executive's duties, relocation of the corporate headquarters outside 25 miles of its current location, and breach of any material term of the Agreement in the event of a Change-In-Control. The executive officer may also terminate employment upon 30 days written notice within three months following a Change-In-Control. Change-In-Control means the occurrence of any one of the following events: a change in the composition of the Board of Directors of the Company from its composition on the date the Agreement was executed such that more than one-third of the directors have changed; the sale or transfer of shares of the Company such that there is a change in the beneficial ownership by more than 30% of the voting shares of the Company; the sale of a substantial portion of the Company's assets; or the Board of Directors' approval of a liquidation or dissolution of the Company. In the event of a termination without Cause, for Good Reason, or upon a Change-In-Control, a three-year severance benefit is paid in a single lump sum payment.

22

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, there were no Option/SAR Grants issued to any directors or officers.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, there were no unexercised options held by each of the Company's named executive officers.

(b) Incentive Compensation Upon a Change-in-Control

The Company believes that providing severance in a change-in-control situation is beneficial to shareholders because it encourages management and the Board to remain impartial when evaluating a transaction that may be beneficial to shareholders yet could negatively impact the continued employment or board position of an executive or director, and to promote long term value maximization. The Company established an incentive compensation plan in 1999 for all full-time employees and members of the Board. The benefits of the incentive compensation plan are realized only upon a change-in-control of the Company. Change-in-control is defined as the accumulation by any person, entity or group of 30% or more of the combined voting power of the Company's voting stock or the occurrence of certain other specified events. In the event of a change-in-control, the Company's plan provides for a cash payment equal to the difference between the plan's "establishment date" price of \$15.39 per share and the per share price of the Common Stock on the closing date, equivalent to 100,000 shares of Common Stock, such number of shares and "establishment date" price per share subject to adjustments to reflect changes in capitalization. The payment amount would be distributed to eligible participants based upon their respective weighted percentages (ranging from 0.5% to 18.5%). Messrs Maroney and Pitsiokos are currently entitled to 18.5% and 13.5%, respectively, of any distribution under the incentive compensation plan with the balance being distributable to other eligible employees (11.5%) and members of the Board of Directors (56.5%). There are currently 110,000 units granted under the Incentive Plan, equal to 110,000 shares of common stock. A participant would also be entitled to a payment on the spread of their units in the event of death. The Compensation Committee has considered the application of Section 409A to the Incentive Program and expects to continue to evaluate the Incentive Plan to determine if any changes are required to the Plan by December 31, 2008 in order to comply with the provisions of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code.

In the event of death, the beneficiary of a participant in the incentive compensation plan is entitled to exercise a deceased participant's vested benefit. The decedent's benefit would be paid to the beneficiary, or if there is

no beneficiary, to the personal representative of the decedent's estate. Upon death, payments can be made even without a change-in-control. Otherwise, upon a termination of employment, benefits are lost and disability or death would have no impact on vesting or payment under the incentive compensation plan.

Payments under the Incentive Plan can result in a deferral of compensation to the extent any employees or directors have been granted units at a discount, after October 4, 2004. However, no actual deferral of compensation is intended to exist under the Incentive Plan since immediate payment is required upon a Change in Control, whether or not any other adverse employment or other events occur.

(c) Severance and Change in Control Benefits

The executive officers are covered by employment agreements which specifically provide for a severance payment equivalent to three years salary and certain other benefits in the event of a change in control, termination by the Company without cause, or by the executive officer for good reason. Under the terms of each employment agreement, the executive officer's employment term is extended at the end of each day, to automatically add an additional day, so that the remaining three-year employment term is always outstanding. Nevertheless, the employment term terminates three years after delivery of written notice by either the Company or the executive officer to the other party.

The primary reasons for providing severance and change-in-control benefits for the executive officers are to retain the executives and their talents and to encourage them to remain impartial when evaluating a transaction that may be beneficial to shareholders yet could negatively impact continued employment. As a result of the enactment of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, the Company may seek to modify the employment agreements so that they are in compliance.

(d) Pension Plan

The Company maintains the Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. Pension Plan, which is a traditional defined benefit pension plan. The Pension Plan is believed to provide a reasonable benefit for the executives and all other employees. The Plan is adequately funded and is believed to provide a reasonable retirement benefit for the executive group. The Company does not maintain any nonqualified deferred compensation programs (other than the Incentive Plan) or any qualified Profit Sharing or Section 401(k) Plans intended to qualify under Sections 401(a) and 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

23

(e) Compensation of Directors

Each Director is entitled to receive a fee of \$12,000 a year, \$1,000 per Board meeting attended and \$500 for each Committee meeting attended and is reimbursed for travel and Company business related expenses. In addition, the Chairman of the Board is entitled to receive a Chairman's fee of \$24,000 a year which commenced in September 2004. The Company continued its policy which states that Directors who are also employees of the Company do not receive any additional compensation for their services as Directors.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following table shows the compensation earned by each of the Company's non-officer directors for the year ended December 31, 2007:

	Name	Fees earned or paid in cash (\$)	Stock awards (\$)	Option awards (\$)	Non-equity incentive plan compensation (\$)	Change in value and nonqualified deferred compensation earnings
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
_						
A	Paul L. Lamb	51,000	0	0	0	0
В	Robert H. Beyer	28,000	0	0	0	0
C	Philip F. Palmedo	30,500	0	0	0	0
D	Elliot H. Levine	31,000	0	0	0	0
Ε	Richard B. Smith	31,000	0	0	0	0
F	Ronald J. Macklin	42,500	0	0	0	0
G	Nader G.M. Salour	31,000	0	0	0	0

⁽A) In FY 07 the above named directors exercised non-qualified stock options with a value of \$59,901.

Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

(a) The following table sets forth certain information as of February 18, 2008 regarding the beneficial ownership of the Company's common shares by (i) each person who the Company believes to be beneficial owner of more than 5% of its outstanding common shares, (ii) each present director, (iii) each person listed in the Summary Compensation Table under "Executive Compensation," and (iv) all of the Company's present executive officers and directors as a group.

		Amount and nature of beneficial	
	Name and address		
	of beneficial owner	ownership 	
Common Stock \$1 Par Value	More Than 5% Shareholders		
	Bulldog Investors/Goldstein/Dakos 60 Heritage Drive Pleasantville, NY 10570	209,771(1)	
	River Road Asset Management, LLC 462 South Fourth Street, Suite 1600 Louisville, KY 40207	103,239(2)	

Gerard Scollan

Gerard Scollan	91,268(3)
80 Browns River Road	
Sayville, NY 11782	
24	
AmTrust Capital Management, Inc.	75 , 959(4)
Jan Loeb	
10451 Mill Run Circle	
Owings Mills, MD 21117	
Directors and Executive Officers	
	81,087(5)
Stephen V. Maroney	
c/o Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc.	
1 Flowerfield, Suite 24	
St. James, NY 11780	
Peter Pitsiokos	8,900(6)
c/o Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc.	
1 Flowerfield, Suite 24	
St. James, NY 11780	
Paul L. Lamb	24,364(7)
c/o Lamb & Barnosky, LLP	
534 Broadhollow Road	
Melville, NY 11747	
Robert H. Beyer	13,802(8)
10505 Indigo Lane	
Fairfax, Virginia 22032	
Philip F. Palmedo	12,749
4 Piper Lane	
St. James, NY 11780	
Richard B. Smith	1,000
111 Boney Lane	
Nissequogue, NY 11780	
Ronald J. Macklin	200
c/o National Grid USA	
175 E. Old Country Road	
Hicksville, NY 11801	
Elliot H.	0
Levine	
c/o Levine & Seltzer, LLP	
150 East 52nd Street	
New York, NY 10022	
Nader G.M. Salour	400
c/o Cypress Realty of Florida, LLC	
1200 University Boulevard, Suite 210	
Jupiter, FL 33458	

91,268(3)

All executive officers and Directors as a group (9 persons)

142,502

(1) On December 26, 2007, Bulldog Investors, Phillip Goldstein and Andrew Dakos filed a joint Schedule 13D/A with the Securities and Exchange Commission stating that Bulldog Investors, a group of investment funds, Phillip Goldstein and Andrew Dakos beneficially own an aggregate of 209,771 shares of Gyrodyne stock. Power to dispose and vote securities resides either with Mr. Goldstein, Mr. Dakos or with clients.

25

- (2) As of December 31, 2007, River Road Asset Management, LLC filed a Schedule 13F with the Securities and Exchange Commission stating that it is the beneficial owner, with sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition of 103,239 shares of Gyrodyne stock and the sole power to vote or direct the vote of 73,469 shares.
- (3) Includes 89,013 shares of Company stock held by Lovin Oven Catering of Suffolk, Inc., of which Mr. Scollan is the majority shareholder.
- (4) On July 17, 2007, AmTrust Capital Management, Inc. and Jan Loeb filed a Schedule 13G with the Securities and Exchange Commission stating that each reporting person beneficially owns 75,959 shares of Common Stock with the sole power to vote or direct the vote and to dispose or direct the disposition of all shares.
- (5) On March 29, 2007, Stephen V. Maroney filed a Schedule 13D with the Securities and Exchange Commission stating that he and his spouse jointly and beneficially own and have shared power to vote and to dispose of 81,087 shares of Gyrodyne stock. Mr. Maroney has pledged 20,000 shares of Common Stock as security.
- (6) Does not include his wife's and children's ownership of 1,089 shares in which he denies any beneficial interest. Mr. Pitsiokos has pledged 8,000 shares of Common Stock as security.
- (7) Includes 14,747 shares held by Lamb & Barnosky, LLP Profit Sharing Trust and 500 shares held by the Paul L. Lamb, P.C. Defined Benefit Plan. Mr. Lamb is a trustee of the Profit Sharing Trust and the Defined Benefit Plan.
- (8) Does not include his wife's ownership of 1,301 shares in which he denies any beneficial interest.
- (9) The percent of class is calculated on the basis of the number of shares outstanding, which is 1,289,878 as of February 18, 2008.
- * Less than 1%.

Item 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

No loans were made to any officer, director, or any member of their immediate families during the fiscal year just ended, nor were any loan amounts due and owing the Company or its subsidiaries from those parties at fiscal year end.

Item 14 Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The following is a summary of the fees billed to the Company by Holtz Rubenstein Reminick LLP, its independent auditors, for professional services rendered for

the year ended December 31, 2007, eight months ended December 31, 2006 and fiscal year ended April 30, 2006:

Fee Category	Fiscal December 31, 2007	Eight Months Ended December 31, 2006	Fiscal
Audit Fees (1)	\$85,438	\$69,000	 \$
Audit-Related Fees (2)	24,171	9,217	
Tax Fees (3)	22,027	25,346	
All Other Fees (4)	-		
Total Fees	\$131 , 636	\$103,563	\$

- (1) Audit Fees consist of aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements, review of the interim financial statements included in quarterly reports, and services that are normally provided by the independent auditors in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.
- (2) Audit-Related Fees consist of aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements and are not reported under "Audit Fees." Such services include review of Form 8-K filings, proxy filings and research into various accounting issues.

26

- (3) Tax Fees consist of aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the Company's principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. The amounts disclosed consist of fees paid for the preparation of federal and state income tax returns and research into the tax implications of the Company's REIT election.
- (4) All Other Fees consist of aggregate fees billed for products and services provided by Holtz Rubenstein Reminick LLP, the Company's principal accountants, other than those disclosed above.

The Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the work of the independent auditors and approves in advance any services to be performed by the independent auditors, whether audit-related or not. The Audit Committee reviews each proposed engagement to determine whether the provision of services is compatible with maintaining the independence of the independent auditors. The Audit Committee has determined not to adopt any blanket pre-approval policies or procedures. All of the fees shown above were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

PART IV

Item 15 Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Financial Statements:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Consolidated Balance Sheets
Consolidated Statements of Operations
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Schedules

All other information required by the following schedules has been included in the consolidated financial statements, is not applicable, or not required:

Schedule I, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI, XII and XIII.

schedule 1, 111, 1v, v, vi, vii, viii, ix, x, xi, xii and xiii.

(b) Exhibits: The following Exhibits are either filed as part of this report or ------

are incorporated herein by reference:

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. (1)
- 3.2 Restated Bylaws of Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. (7)
- 4.1 Form of Stock Certificate of Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. (1)
- 4.2 Rights Agreement, dated as of August 10, 2004, by and between Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. and Registrar and Transfer Company, as Rights Agent, including as Exhibit B the forms of Right Certificate and of Election to Exercise. (3)
- 10.1 Carco Group, Inc. Lease Amendment, dated May 3, 1999. (1)
- 10.2 Amendment No. 1 to Lease Agreement with Carin Perez and Luis Perez, dated October 7, 1997. (1)
- 10.3 Incentive Compensation Plan. (1)
- 10.4 Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Callery-Judge Grove, dated as of May 8, 1995, by and among CJG Management, Ltd., as the general partner and those persons and entities whose names and addresses appear on the books and records of the Partnership as partners. (1)

27

- 10.5 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, with Stephen V. Maroney, dated January 23, 2003. (4)
- 10.6 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, with Peter Pitsiokos, dated January 23, 2003. (4)
- 10.7 Asset Management Agreement with DPMG, Inc. dba Landmark National, dated April 9, 2002. (5)
- 10.8 Golf Operating Agreement with DPMG, INC., dated April 9, 2002. (5)
- 10.9 Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Callery-Judge Grove, dated as of February 9, 2005, by and among CJG Management, Ltd., as the general partner and those persons and

entities whose names and addresses appear on the books and records of the Partnership as partners. (6)

- 10.10 Contract of Sale dated October 12, 2006 with Frank M. Pellicane Realty, LLC and Pelican Realty, LLC (7)
- 10.11 Agreement dated February 12, 2007 with DPMG, Inc. d/b/a Landmark National. (7)
- 10.12 Amended Contract of Sale dated October 12, 2006 with Frank M. Pellicane Realty, LLC and Pelican Realty, LLC (7)
- 21.1 List of all subsidiaries. (1)
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications. (8)
- 32.1 CEO/CFO Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 (8)
- (1) Incorporated herein by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB/A, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 5, 2001.
- (2) Incorporated herein by reference to Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 2, 2006.
- (3) Incorporated herein by reference to Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 13, 2004.
- (4) Incorporated herein by reference to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 12, 2003.
- (5) Incorporated herein by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 26, 2002.
- (6) Incorporated herein by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 5, 2005.
- (7) Incorporated herein by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2007.
- (8) Filed as part of this report.

28

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.

/S/ Stephen V. Maroney

By Stephen V. Maroney, President, Treasurer and Principal Executive Officer Date: March 27, 2008

/S/ Frank D'Alessandro

By Frank D'Alessandro, Controller

Date: March 27, 2008

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/S/ Richard B. Smith

By Richard B. Smith, Director

Date: March 27, 2008

/S/ Elliot H. Levine

By Elliot H. Levine, Director

Date: March 27, 2008

/S/ Ronald J. Macklin

By Ronald J. Macklin, Director

Date: March 27, 2008

/S/ Stephen V. Maroney

By Stephen V. Maroney, Director

Date: March 27, 2008

/S/ Paul L. Lamb

By Paul L. Lamb, Director Date: March 27, 2008

29

Exhibit Index

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. (1)
- 3.2 Restated Bylaws of Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. (7)
- 4.1 Form of Stock Certificate of Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. (1)
- 4.2 Rights Agreement, dated as of August 10, 2004, by and between Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. and Registrar and Transfer Company, as Rights Agent, including as Exhibit B the forms of Right Certificate and of Election to Exercise. (3)
- 10.1 Carco Group, Inc. Lease Amendment, dated May 3, 1999. (1)
- 10.2 Amendment No. 1 to Lease Agreement with Carin Perez and Luis Perez, dated October 7, 1997. (1)

- 10.3 Incentive Compensation Plan. (1)
- 10.4 Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Callery-Judge Grove, dated as of May 8, 1995, by and among CJG Management, Ltd., as the general partner and those persons and entities whose names and addresses appear on the books and records of the Partnership as partners. (1)
- 10.5 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, with Stephen V. Maroney, dated January 23, 2003. (4)
- 10.6 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, with Peter Pitsiokos, dated January 23, 2003. (4)
- 10.7 Asset Management Agreement with DPMG, Inc. dba Landmark National, dated April 9, 2002. (5)
- 10.8 Golf Operating Agreement with DPMG, INC., dated April 9, 2002. (5)
- 10.9 Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Callery-Judge Grove, dated as of February 9, 2005, by and among CJG Management, Ltd., as the general partner and those persons and entities whose names and addresses appear on the books and records of the Partnership as partners. (6)
- 10.10 Contract of Sale dated October 12, 2006 with Frank M. Pellicane Realty, LLC and Pelican Realty, LLC (7)
- 10.11 Agreement dated February 12, 2007 with DPMG, Inc. d/b/a Landmark National. (7)
- 10.12 Amended Contract of Sale dated October 12, 2006 with Frank M. Pellicane Realty, LLC and Pelican Realty, LLC (7)
- 21.1 List of all subsidiaries. (1)
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications. (8)
- 32.1 CEO/CFO Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (8)
- (1) Incorporated herein by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB/A, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 5, 2001.
- (2) Incorporated herein by reference to Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 2, 2006.
- (3) Incorporated herein by reference to Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 13, 2004.
- (4) Incorporated herein by reference to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 12, 2003.

30

- (5) Incorporated herein by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 26, 2002.
- (6) Incorporated herein by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 5, 2005.

- (7) Incorporated herein by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2007.
- (8) Filed as part of this report.

31

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC
AND SUBSIDIARIE

Contents

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006	Pages
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-3
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-6 - F-21

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. and Subsidiaries St. James, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, audits of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits include consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Melville, New York March 27, 2008 ______ F-1

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheets

Assets

Real Estate:

Rental property:

Land

Building and improvements Machinery and equipment

Less Accumulated Depreciation

Land held for development: Land Land development costs

Total Real Estate, net

Cash and Cash Equivalents

11

1

Investment in Marketable Securities		
Deposits on Property		
Rent Receivable, net of allowance for doubtful		
accounts of \$14,000 and \$46,000, respectively Interest Receivable		
Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets		
Prepaid Pension Costs		
Total Assets		_
		=
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Liabilities: Accounts payable		
Accrued liabilities		
Tenant security deposits payable		
Mortgage Payable Deferred income taxes		
Deferred income taxes		-
Total Liabilities		
		-
Commitments and Contingencies		
Charles I demail Demailer		
Stockholders' Equity: Common stock, \$1 par value; authorized 4,000,000 :	shares: 1.531.086	
shares issued; 1,289,878 and 1,237,219 shares on		
Additional paid-in capital		
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Unrealized Gain from Marketable Securities		
Balance of undistributed income from other than ga	ain or loss on sales of propertie	:S
		-
Less Cost of Shares of Common Stock Held in Treasury	y; 241,208 and	
293,867, respectively		
Total Stockholders' Equity		-
• •		-
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		=
		-
Con motor to consolidated financial statements		
See notes to consolidated financial statements.		
CYPODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA. INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES		
GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES		
Consolidated Statements of Operations	Years Ended December	31,
Consolidated Statements of Operations	2007 2006	
Consolidated Statements of Operations	2007 2006	
Consolidated Statements of Operations	2007 2006	 ; :

		2,918,032		
Expenses				
Rental expenses				731,530
General and administrative expenses		3,332,332		
Depreciation		150,176		48,402
Provison for loss of interest on condemnation proceeds		332,377		_
Interest expense		162,450		
		4,873,675		3,333,074
Loss from operations before loss on condenmation				
of rental property		(1,955,643)		(424,116)
Loss on condemnation of rental property		_		(1,500,000)
Loss Before Benefit for Income Taxes		(1,955,643)		(1 92/ 116)
Benefit for Income Taxes		(403,989)		(1,747,814)
Net Loss	\$	(1,551,654)	\$	(176,302)
Net Loss Per Common Share:				
Basic		(1.21)		
Diluted		(1.21)		
	===	=======	====	========
Weighted Average Number of Common Shares Outstanding: Basic	===	1,279,867		
Diluted		1,279,867		
See notes to consolidated financial statements.				F-3

Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

\$1 Par	Value				
Common	Stock		Accumulated		
		Additional	Other		
	Par	Paid in	Comprehensive	Income	
Shares	Value	Capital	Income	(Deficit)	S

Balance, January 1,	1 531 086	\$ 1 531 086	\$ 8,399,134	\$ -	\$ 11,791,612	2
Tax Reduction - Stock	1,331,000	\$ 1,331,000	\$ 0,333,134	Ş	\$ 11, 131, 012	4
Options	_	_	(194,000)	_	_	1
Unrealized Gain from						-
Marketable Securities	_	_	_	280,042	_	-
Net Loss	_	_	_	_	(176,302)	ı
Dalango Dogombor 21						-1
Balance, December 31, 2006	1,531,086	1,531,086	8,205,134	280,042	11,615,310	2
Exercise of Stock	1,331,000	1,331,000	0,200,101	200,012	11,010,010	-
Options			(226,739)			(
Unrealized Loss from			• • •			Ī
Marketable Securities				(131,627)		
Cash Distribution Payment					(5,160,157)	
Net Loss					(1,551,654)	
D 1						
Balance, December 31, 2007	1,531,086	\$ 1,531,086	\$ 7,978,395	\$ 148,415	\$ 4,903,499	2
		========				-=

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Total adjustments

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	Years Ended	December 31,
	2007	2006
	 -	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net loss	\$ (1,551,654)	\$ (176,302
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash		
(used in) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	164,594	76,038
Deferred income taxes	(303,000)	(1,581,135
Bad debt expense		24,000
Net periodic pension benefit (income) cost	(44,855)	91,700
Provision for loss of interest on condemnation proceeds	332,377	_
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
(Increase) decrease in assets:		
Land development costs	(459,912)	(321,514
Accounts receivable	(21,734)	(18,876
Interest receivable	71,590	(64,429
Condemnation receivable	-	26,315,000
Prepaid expenses and other assets	83,395	(249,614
(Decrease) increase in liabilities:		•
Accounts payable	(69,825)	295,818
Accrued liabilities	(2,000,453)	1,555,910
Income taxes payable	_	(238,548
Tenant security deposits	115,558	(52,354

(2,098,265) 25,831,996

Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Operating Activities		(3,649,919)		25,655,694
Cash Flows from Investing Activities: Costs associated with property, plant and equipment Deposits on property Proceeds from sale of marketable securities Purchases of marketable securities		(3,449,926) - 7,199,204		(383,037) (504,000) - (24,784,143)
Principal repayments on investment in marketable securities		5,650,415	(1,266,669
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities		9,399,693	(24,404,511)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities: Cash Distribution Payment Principal payments on mortgage Loan origination fees Proceeds from exercise of stock options		(5,160,157) (48,601) (113,211) 76,049		- - - 74,052
Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities		(5,245,920)		
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of year Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of year		503,854 2,951,287 3,455,141		1,626,052
Supplemental cash flow information:	===	:=======	====	:=======
Interest paid		162 , 450		
Assumption of mortgage payable	\$	5,551,191	\$	-
Income taxes	\$	12 , 918	\$	31,193

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization and nature of operations - Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") is primarily a lessor of industrial and commercial real estate to unrelated diversified entities located in Long Island, New York, and is also pursuing development plans of its remaining real estate holdings. Effective May 1, 2006, the Company elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended and as such has changed its fiscal year end to December 31.

F-5

The State University of New York at Stony Brook has acquired part of the Company's real estate property located in Stony Brook/St. James, New York through eminent domain. See Note 18.

Principles of consolidation - The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. ("GCA") and all majority owned subsidiaries. Investments in affiliates in which the Company has the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control, would be accounted for under the equity method. Investment interests in excess of 5% in limited partnerships are accounted for under the equity method.

All consolidated subsidiaries are wholly owned. All significant inter-company transactions have been eliminated.

Rental real estate - Rental real estate assets, including land, buildings and improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment, are stated at cost, and reported net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Tenant improvements, which are included in buildings and improvements, are also stated at cost. Expenditures for ordinary maintenance and repairs are expensed to operations as they are incurred. Renovations and or replacements, which improve or extend the life of the asset are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives.

Real estate held for development - Real estate held for development is stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value. In addition to land, land development and construction costs, real estate held for development includes interest, real estate taxes and related development and construction overhead costs which are capitalized during the development and construction period.

Net realizable value represents estimates, based on management's present plans and intentions, of sale price less development and disposition cost, assuming that disposition occurs in the normal course of business.

Long-lived assets - On an annual basis, management assesses whether there are any indicators that the value of the real estate properties may be impaired. A property's value is impaired only if management's estimate of the aggregate future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) to be generated by the property are less than the carrying value of the property. Such cash flows consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of demand, competition and other factors. To the extent impairment occurs, the loss will be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the property over the fair value of the property.

The Company is required to make subjective assessments as to whether there are impairments in the value of its real estate properties and other investments. These assessments have a direct impact on the Company's net income, since an impairment charge results in an immediate negative adjustment to net income.

Depreciation and amortization - Depreciation and amortization are provided on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, as follows:

F-6

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Buildings and Improvements
Machinery and Equipment

10 to 39 years 3 to 20 years

Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred. Significant renovations are capitalized.

Revenue recognition - Minimum revenues from rental property are recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the related leases. The excess of rents recognized over amounts contractually due, if any, are included in deferred rents receivable on the Company's balance sheets. Certain leases also provide for tenant reimbursements of common area maintenance and other operating expenses and real estate taxes. Ancillary and other property related income is recognized in the period earned.

Allowance for doubtful accounts - Management must make estimates of the uncollectability of accounts receivable. Management specifically analyzes accounts receivable and analyzes historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts.

Investments - The Company has a 10.93% limited partnership interest in Callery-Judge Grove, L.P. (the "Grove") that owns a 3500+ acre citrus grove in Palm Beach County, Florida. The Company is accounting for this investment under the equity method in accordance with Emerging Issue Task Force ("EITF") Topic D-46 "Accounting for Limited Partnership Investments" and the guidance in paragraph 8 of AICPA Statement of Position ("SOP") 78-9, "Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Ventures."

Cash equivalents - The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Investment in Marketable Securities - Marketable securities are carried at fair value and consist primarily of investments in marketable equity securities. The Company classifies its marketable securities portfolio as available-for-sale. This portfolio is continually monitored for differences between the cost and estimated fair value of each security. If the Company believes that a decline in the value of an equity security is temporary in nature, the Company records the change in other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity. If the decline is believed to be other than temporary, the equity security is written down to the fair value and a realized loss is recorded on the Company's statement of operations. There was no realized loss recorded by the Company's due to the write down in value for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. The Company's assessment of a decline in value includes, among other things, the Company's current judgment as to the financial position and future prospects of the entity that issued the security. If that judgment changes in the future, the Company may ultimately record a realized loss after having initially concluded that the decline in value was temporary.

Deposits on Property - Deposits are paid on properties the Company is evaluating for purchase. Real estate deposits are capitalized when paid and may become nonrefundable under certain circumstances. When properties are acquired, the deposits paid by the Company are applied to the total purchase price.

Net loss per common share and per common equivalent share - The reconciliations for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 are as follows:

7-7

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.
AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Year Ended December 31, 2007	Net Loss		Per Sha
Basic EPS Effect of Dilutive Securities - common stock options	\$(1,551,654) -		
Diluted EPS	\$(1,551,654)	1,279,867	\$ (1 ======
Year Ended December 31, 2006	Net Loss	Weighted Average Shares	
Basic EPS Effect of Dilutive Securities - common stock options	\$ (176 , 302) -	1,237,201	\$ (
Diluted EPS	\$ (176,302)	1,237,201	\$ (

Income taxes - Effective May 1, 2006, the Company operated as a real estate investment trust for federal and state income tax purposes. As a REIT, the Company is generally not subject to income taxes. To maintain its REIT status, the Company is required to distribute annually as dividends at least 90% of its REIT taxable income, as defined by the Internal Revenue Code ("IRC"), to its shareholders, among other requirements. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, the Company will be subject to Federal and state income tax on its taxable income at regular corporate tax rates. Although the Company qualified for taxation as a REIT, the Company may be subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property and Federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed income. The Company believes that it has met the REIT distribution and technical requirements for the year ended December 31, 2007 and the eight months ended December 31, 2006 and therefore, qualified as a REIT and was not subject to any federal and state income taxes. Management intends to continue to adhere to these requirements and maintain the Company's REIT status. See Note 18 with regard to contingencies.

The Company's investment in the Grove is held as a taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company and is subject to federal and state income taxes. Taxable

REIT subsidiaries perform non-customary services for tenants, hold assets that the Company cannot hold directly and generally may engage in any real estate or non-real estate related business. Accordingly, through the investment in the Grove, the Company is subject to corporate federal and state income taxes on the Company's share of the Grove's taxable income for the year ended December 31, 2007 and the eight months ended December 31, 2006.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse.

Stock-based compensation - Effective January 1, 2006, the Company's stock options are accounted for in accordance with the recognition and measurement provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("FAS") No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment ("FAS 123(R)"), which replaces FAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion ("APB") No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. FAS 123 (R) requires compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions, including employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements. In addition, the Company adheres to the guidance set forth

F-8

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.
AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

within Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 107, which provides the Staff's views regarding the interaction between SFAS No. 123(R) and certain SEC rules and regulations and provides interpretations with respect to the valuation of share-based payments for public companies.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for similar transactions in accordance with APB No. 25 which employed the intrinsic value method of measuring compensation cost. Accordingly, compensation expense was not recognized for fixed stock options if the exercise price of the option equaled or exceeded the fair value of the underlying stock at the grant date.

While FAS No. 123 encouraged recognition of the fair value of all stock-based awards on the date of grant as expense over the vesting period, companies were permitted to continue to apply the intrinsic value-based method of accounting prescribed by APB No. 25 and disclose certain pro-forma amounts as if the fair value approach of SFAS No. 123 had been applied.

In adopting FAS 123(R), the Company applied the modified prospective approach to transition. Under the modified prospective approach, the provisions of FAS 123 (R) are to be applied to new awards and to awards modified, repurchased, or cancelled after the required effective date. Additionally, compensation cost for the portion of awards for which the requisite service has not been rendered that are outstanding as of the

required effective date shall be recognized as the requisite service is rendered on or after the required effective date. The compensation cost for that portion of awards shall be based on the grant-date fair value of those awards as calculated for either recognition or pro-forma disclosures under FAS 123.

As the requisite service period had been rendered for the outstanding stock-based awards prior to the adoption of FAS 123(R), the Company's results for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 do not include any share-based compensation expense.

Use of estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The most significant assumptions and estimates relate to depreciable lives and the valuation of real estate.

Comprehensive Income - The Company reports comprehensive income in accordance with SFAS No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income. This statement defines comprehensive income as the changes in equity of an enterprise except those resulting from stockholders' transactions. Accordingly, comprehensive income includes certain changes in equity that are excluded from net income. The Company's only comprehensive income items were net income and the unrealized change in fair value of marketable securities.

New accounting pronouncements - In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities--Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115". This Statement applies to all entities, including not-for-profit organizations. Most of the provisions of this Statement apply only to entities that elect the fair value option. However, the amendment to FASB Statement No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, applies to all entities with available-for-sale and trading securities. Some requirements apply differently to entities that do not report net income. This Statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The Company does not believe this pronouncement will have a material effect on its financial statements.

F-9

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.
AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 141R ("FAS 141R") "Business Combinations". This Statement replaces FASB Statement No. 141, "Business Combinations". This Statement defines the acquirer as the entity that obtains control of one or more businesses in the business combination and establishes the acquisition date as the date that the acquirer achieves control. This Statement's scope is broader than that of Statement 141, which applied only to business combinations in which control was obtained

by transferring consideration. By applying the same method of accounting--the acquisition method--to all transactions and other events in which one entity obtains control over one or more other businesses, this Statement improves the comparability of the information about business combinations provided in financial reports. This Statement requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize all (and only) the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction and establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination. Certain provisions of this statement will, among other things, impact the determination of acquisition-date fair value in a business combination (including contingent consideration); exclude transaction costs from acquisition accounting and change accounting practice for acquired contingencies, acquisition-related restructuring costs and tax benefits. This Statement applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. An entity may not apply it before that date. The effective date of this Statement is the same as that of the related FASB Statement No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements".

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 160 ("FAS 160") "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements--an amendment of ARB No. 51". This Statement amends ARB 51 to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest ("NCI") in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. This Statement requires disclosure, on the face of the consolidated statement of income, of the amounts of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest. The Statement requires that losses of a partially owned consolidated subsidiary be allocated to the NCI even when such allocation might result in a deficit balance. This Statement is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008 (that is, January 1, 2009, for entities with calendar year-ends). Earlier adoption is prohibited. The effective date of this Statement is the same as that of the related Statement 141R. The Company is currently evaluating the future impacts and disclosures of FAS 141R and FAS 160.

2. Investment in Marketable Securities

The historical cost and estimated fair value of investments in marketable securities available for sale as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 are as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Mortgage-backed Securities - 2007	\$ 10,667,854	\$ 148,415	\$ -	\$ 10,816,26
Mortgage-backed Securities - 2006	\$ 23,517,473	\$ 280,042	\$ -	\$ 23,797,51

There was a realized gain of \$40,651 for the year ended December 31, 2007 and no realized gains or losses on sales of securities available-for-sale for the year ended December 31, 2006. The fair value of mortgage-backed

securities was estimated using quoted market prices. None of the securities with an unrealized loss at December 31, 2007 and 2006 are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. The Company's investment is in hybrid mortgage-backed securities, with a AAA rating fully guaranteed by agencies of the U.S. Government. At December 31, 2007, marketable securities had an average life of approximately two years.

F - 10

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.
AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

3. Interest Receivable

In connection with the condemnation of the Flowerfield property, the Company had accrued interest commencing with the date Stony Brook University took title to the property, in November 2005, until the time the Company received the advance payment, in March 2006. Pursuant to the New York State Eminent Domain Procedure Law, both the advance payment and any additional award from the Court of Claims bear interest at the current statutory rate of 9% simple interest from the date of the taking.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company recorded a provision for loss of interest on condemnation proceeds amounting to \$332,377 which represents a portion of the previously recorded interest receivable of \$921,385 pertaining to the Advance Payment in connection with the 2005 condemnation of 245 acres of property and certain buildings by the State University of New York at Stony Brook. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company received \$589,008 of interest on the Advance Payment. Although the Company had been assured by counsel representing the State that a statutory interest rate of 9% was due and payable on the Advance Payment of \$26.3 million, the State of New York has now taken the position that a lesser interest rate was applicable. The Company plans on pursuing the loss of interest on condemnation along with its claim for \$158 million in additional compensation in the Court of Claims of the State of New York. See Note 18.

4. Investment in Grove Partnership

The Company has a 10.93% limited partnership interest in the Callery-Judge Grove, L.P. (the "Grove"). As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, the carrying value of the Company's investment, under the equity method, was \$0. As a result, the Company did not record any of the losses for either fiscal year.

The Grove has reported to its limited partners that in June 2007 it received an independent appraisal report of the citrus grove property, which is now the subject of development applications. Based upon the appraised value of the citrus grove operations and property, at December 31, 2007 and 2006, strictly on a pro-rata basis, the estimated fair value of the Company's interest in the Grove would be approximately \$22,400,000 and \$22,500,000 respectively, without adjustment for minority interest and lack of marketability discount. The Company cannot predict what, if any, value it will ultimately realize from this investment.

The fiscal year end of the Grove is June 30. Summarized financial information of the Grove as of June 30, 2007 and 2006 is as follows:

Years Ended June 30,	20	2007		2006
	(in tho	usands)	(in	thousands)
Total Current Assets	\$	9,686	\$	6,306
Total Assets	:	21,234		23,572
Total Current Liabilities		1,687		1,280
Total Liabilities		34,730		24,345
Total Partners' Capital	(13,496)		(773)
Total Revenues		2,420		2,588
Net Loss	()	12,668)		(3,463)

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.
AND SUBSIDIARIES

F - 11

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

5. Accrued Liabilities

	Dece	ember 31,
	2007	2006
Condemnation costs - termination fee Payroll and related taxes Professional fees Directors fees Other	\$	72,339 66,603 30,500
Total	\$ 174,007	\$2,174,460

6. Mortgage Payable

In June 2007, in connection with the purchase of the Port Jefferson Professional Park, the Company assumed a \$5,551,191 mortgage payable to a bank (the "Mortgage"). The Mortgage bears interest at 5.75% through February 1, 2012 and adjusts to the higher of 5.75% or 275 basis points in excess of the Federal Home Loan Bank's five year Fixed Rate Advance ("Fixed Rate Advance") thereafter. The Mortgage is payable in monthly installments of principal and interest totaling \$33,439 through February 2012. From March 1, 2012 through February 1, 2022, the minimum monthly installment will be no less than \$33,439 and will vary based upon the Fixed Rate Advance. In February 2022, a balloon payment is due of approximately \$3,668,000. The Mortgage is collateralized by the Port Jefferson Professional Park in Port Jefferson Station, New York.

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2007 approximated \$162,000.

The mortgage payable matures as follows:

Years Ending December 31,	Amount
2008	\$86,721
2009	91,841
2010	97,263
2011	103,006
2012	109,087
Thereafter	5,014,705

7. Income Taxes

The Company files a federal and state income tax return that includes all 100% owned non taxable REIT subsidiaries. The Company files separate state income tax returns for its taxable REIT subsidiary.

The benefit for income taxes is comprised of the following:

F-12

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

	Year Ended	December 31,
	 2007	2006
Current:		
Federal	\$ (100,989)	\$ (141,677)
State	_	(25,002)
	(100,989)	(166,679)
Deferred:	 	
Federal	(143,000)	(599,135)
State	(160,000)	(982,000)
	 (303,000)	(1,581,135)
	\$ (403,989)	\$(1,747,814)

	December 31,	
	2007	2006
Deferred Tax Liabilities: Unrealized gain on investment in Citrus Grove Gain on condemnation (a)		\$ (502,000) (7,633,000)

54

Net Deferred Income Taxes	\$(7,832,000)	\$(8,135,000)
Total Deferred Tax Liabilities	(7,832,000)	(8,135,000)

Effective May 1, 2006, the Company elected to be taxed as a REIT for state income tax and federal income tax purposes under section 856(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"). As a result of the election, the Company converted to a December 31, fiscal year end. As long as the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, it generally will not be subject to federal and state income tax. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal and state income tax on its taxable income at regular corporate rates. Unless entitled to relief under specific statutory provisions, the Company will also be disqualified for taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which it loses its qualification. Even if the Company qualifies as a REIT, it may be subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed income.

In accordance with Section 1033 of the Internal Revenue Code, the Company has deferred recognition of the gain on the condemnation of its real property for income tax purposes. If the Company replaces the condemned property with like kind property within three years (or such extended period if requested and approved by the Internal Revenue Service at its discretion) after April 30, 2006, recognition of the gain is deferred until the newly acquired property is disposed of. On June 27, 2007 the Company acquired the Port Jefferson Professional Park and replaced a portion of the condemned property totaling \$8,914,344 which represents a reinvestment of only a portion of the condemnation proceeds. The Company will continue to recognize a deferred tax liability for the potential effect of the gain on condemnation. As of December 31, 2007, the remaining balance of condemnation proceeds to be reinvested is approximately \$17,401,000.

______ F - 1.3

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 -----

A reconciliation of the federal statutory rate to the Company's effective tax rate is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	
U.S. Federal Statutory Income Rate	_	_	
State Income Tax, net of federal			
tax benefits	_	_	
Reversal of Deferred Taxes			
Resulting from REIT			
Election and Reinvestment of			
Condemnation Proceeds	(15.4)%	(90.8)%	

Other Differences, net (5.3)% - (20.7)% (90.8)%

8. Retirement Plans

The Company has a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all of its employees. The benefits are based on annual average earnings for the highest sixty (60) months (whether or not continuous) immediately preceding the Participant's termination date. Annual contributions to the plan are at least equal to the minimum amount, if any, required by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 but no greater than the maximum amount that can be deducted for federal and state income tax purposes. Contributions are intended to provide not only for benefits attributed to service to date but also those expected to be earned in the future. During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company was not required and did not make any contributions to the Plan.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the changes in the plan's benefit obligations and fair value of assets over years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 and a statement of the funded status as of December 31, 2007 and 2006:

F - 14

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.
AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

		Decem	ber	31,
		2007		2006
Pension Benefits Reconciliation of Benefit Obligation: Obligation Service cost Interest cost Actuarial (gain) loss Benefit payments	\$	132,108 (195,242)		2,058,304 86,496 85,398 198,197 (87,059)
Obligation	 \$ ==	2,225,957		
Reconciliation at Fair Value of Plan Assets: Fair value of plan assets, beginning of year Actual return on plan assets Benefit payments	\$	(780,471)		3,039,786 855,944 (87,059)
Fair Value of Plan Assets, end of year		2,854,563		3,808,671

Funded Status:		
Funded status	628,606	1,467,335
Unrecognized (gain) loss	496,722	(386,862)

Net Amount Recognized \$ 1,125,328 \$ 1,080,473

The accumulated benefit obligation was \$1,883,163 and \$2,010,555 as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit cost for the plans for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006:

	December 31,			31,
		2007		2006
Pension Benefits Service Cost Interest Cost Expected Return on Plan Assets Amortization of Prior-Service Cost	\$	121,392 132,108 (298,355)		85 , 398
Net Periodic Benefit Cost After Curtailments and Settlements	\$ ==	(44,855) =======		•
		Decemb	ber	31,
		2007		2006
Pension Benefits Weighted-Average Assumptions Discount rate Expected return on plan assets Rate of compensation increase		6.59% 8.00% 5.00%		5.77% 8.00% 5.00%

F-15

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.
AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

The Plan's investment objectives are expected to be achieved through a portfolio mix of Company stock, other investments, and cash and cash equivalents which reflect the Plan's desire for investment return.

The defined benefit plan had the following asset allocations as of their respective measurement dates:

December 31,

	2007	2006
Common Stock - Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc. Other Funds	97.6% 2.4%	98.6% 1.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%

Securities of the Company included in plan assets are as follows:

	December 31,		
	2007	2006	
Number of Shares	60 , 580	60,580	
Market Value	\$ 2,784,863	\$ 3,755,960	

Expected approximate future benefit payments are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,	Amount
2008	\$ 171,331
2009	161,143
2010	151,098
2011	168,764
2012	159,167
2013 - 2017	670,125

9. Stock Option Plans

Incentive Stock Option Plan - The Company had a stock option plan (the "Plan") which expired in October 2003, under which participants were granted Incentive Stock Options ("ISOs"), Non-Qualified Stock Options ("NQSOs") or Stock Grants. The purpose of the Plan was to promote the overall financial objectives of the Company and its shareholders by motivating those persons selected to participate in the Plan to achieve long-term growth in shareholder equity in the Company and by retaining the association of those individuals who were instrumental in achieving this growth. Such options or grants became exercisable at various intervals based upon vesting schedules as determined by the Compensation Committee. The options were to expire between April 2007 and May 2008.

The ISOs were granted to employees and consultants of the Company at a price not less than the fair market value on the date of grant. All such options were authorized and approved by the Board of Directors, based on recommendations of the Compensation Committee.

ISOs were granted along with Stock Appreciation Rights, which permitted the holder to tender the option to the Company in exchange for stock, at no cost to the optionee, that represented the difference between the option price and the fair market value on date of exercise. NQSOs were issued with Limited Stock Appreciation Rights, which were exercisable, for cash, in the event of a change of control. In addition, an incentive kicker was provided for Stock Grants, ISOs and NQSOs, which increased the number of grants or options based on the market price of the shares at exercise versus the option price.

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.
AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan - The Company adopted a non-qualified stock option plan for all non-employee Directors of the Company in October 1996. The plan expired in September 2000. Each non-employee Director was granted an initial 2,500 options on the date of adoption of the plan. These options were exercisable in three equal annual installments commencing on the first anniversary date subsequent to the grant. Additionally, each non-employee Director was granted 1,250 options on each January 1, 1997 through 2000, respectively. These additional options were exercisable in full on the first anniversary date subsequent to the date of grant. The options were to expire in January 2007.

A summary of the Company's various fixed stock option plans as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and changes during the years then ended is presented below:

December 31,

	200	07	2	006
Fixed Stock Options	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	We Av Ex
Outstanding, beginning of period Granted	67 , 105 -	\$ 16.42	73 , 980	\$
Exercised Forfeited Canceled	(67,105) - -	\$ 16.42	(6,875) - -	\$
Outstanding, end of period		-	67,105	\$
Options Exercisable, year end	-		67 , 105	\$

Incentive Compensation Plan - The Company has an incentive compensation plan for all full-time employees and members of the Board in order to promote shareholder value. The benefits of the incentive compensation plan are realized only upon a change in control of the Company. Change-in-control is defined as the accumulation by any person, entity or group of 30% or more of the combined voting power of the Company's voting stock or the occurrence of certain other specified events. In the event of a change in control, the Company's plan provides for a cash payment equal to the difference between the plan's "establishment date" price of \$15.39 per share and the per share price of the Company's common stock on the closing date, equivalent to 100,000 shares of Company common stock, such number of shares and "establishment date" price per share subject to adjustments to reflect changes in capitalization. The payment amount would

be distributed to eligible participants based upon their respective weighted percentages (ranging from .5% to 18.5%).

10. Revolving Credit Line

The Company's line of credit has a borrowing limit of \$1,750,000, bears interest at the lending institution's prime-lending rate (7.25% at December 31, 2007) plus 1%, and is subject to certain financial covenants. The line is secured by certain real estate and expires on June 1, 2009. As of December 31, 2007, and 2006, \$1,750,000 was available under this agreement and the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants.

F - 17

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.
AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

11. Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of cash and cash equivalents and U.S. Government securities. The Company places its temporary cash investments with high credit quality financial institutions and generally limits the amount of credit exposure in any one financial institution. At times the Company maintains bank account balances, which exceed FDIC limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts and believes that it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash. Management does not believe significant credit risk exists at December 31, 2007 and 2006.

12. Commitments

Lease revenue commitments — The future minimum revenues from rental property under the terms of all noncancellable tenant leases, assuming no new or renegotiated leases are executed for such premises, for future years are approximately as follows:

Years Ending December 31,	Amount
2008	\$1,688,000
2009	811,000
2010	603,000
2011	214,000
2012	66,000
Thereafter	15,000
	\$3,397,000
	=========

The Company was leasing office space in St. James, New York on a month-to-month basis through April 30, 2006. Rental expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$18,594. On May 1, 2006, the Company moved into its current facility which it owns and therefore no longer has rent

expense.

Employment agreements - Effective January 23, 2003, the Company amended the existing employment contracts with two officers, which provide for annual salaries aggregating approximately \$381,000. The terms of the agreements were extended from one to three years and provide for a severance payment equivalent to three years salary in the event of a change in control.

Land development contract - The Company entered into a Golf Operating and Asset Management Agreement (the "Agreement") with Landmark National ("Landmark") for the design and development of an 18-hole championship golf course community. On February 12, 2007, as a result of the State University of New York at Stony Brook's ("the University's") condemnation of the Flowerfield property, the Company entered into an agreement with Landmark National to terminate two agreements, the Golf Operating Agreement and the Asset Management Agreement, both dated April 9, 2002. In addition to abandoning its claim for 10% of all proceeds related to the condemnation and any sale and/or development of the remaining Flowerfield acreage, Landmark agreed to provide consulting services in connection with the eminent domain litigation. The agreement also includes consideration for previously provided services. The Company paid Landmark \$2,000,000, of which \$500,000 was accrued by the Company during its year ended December 31, 2005 as a termination fee. In addition the Company retained Landmark and will pay them \$1,000,000 over the next thirty-six months, commencing on March 1, 2007, in recognition of services rendered between 2004 and 2006, and for general consulting, review of pertinent documents, consultations regarding land planning and economic feasibility studies and coordination with project engineers associated with the Company's claim for additional compensation. As a result of the initial payment due of \$2,000,000, the

F-18

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.
AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Company had accrued \$1,500,000 as additional condemnation expense for the eight months ended December 31, 2006. As is the case with various other expenses relating to the condemned property, the Company intends to add the \$2,000,000 to the existing claim for additional compensation with regard to the condemnation.

13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The methods and assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the following classes of financial instruments were:

The carrying amount of cash, receivables and payables and certain other short-term financial instruments approximate their fair value.

The estimated fair value of the Company's investment in the Callery Judge Grove Partnership at December 31, 2007, based upon an independent third party appraisal report, is approximately \$22,400,000 without adjustment for minority interest and lack of marketability discount, based on the Company's ownership percentage.

14. Related Party Transactions

A law firm related to a director provided legal services to the Company for which it was compensated approximately \$1,000 and \$8,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. As of January 1, 2005, the aforementioned law firm is no longer primary outside legal counsel to the Company.

15. Major Customers

For the year ended December 31, 2007 rental income from the three largest tenants represented 9%, 7% and 5% of total rental income.

For the year ended December 31, 2006 rental income from the three largest tenants represented 15%, 11% and 9% of total rental income.

16. Supplementary Information - Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Year Ended December 31, 2007	 First		Second		Third		Fourth
Rental Income Rental Property Expense	\$ 286,859 (200,258)	\$	314,104 (191,320)	\$	613,683 (227,198)	\$	665,236 (277,564
Income from Rental Property	 86 , 601		122,784		386,485		387 , 672
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (185,428)	 \$ ====	434,842	\$	(158,143)	\$ (====	 1,642,925 ======
Net (Loss) Income Per Common Share Basic	\$ (.15)	\$.34	\$	(.12)	\$	(1.28
Diluted	\$ (.15)	 \$.34	\$ ====	(.12)	\$	(1.28

E 10

F-19

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.
AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Year Ended December 31, 2006	First	Second	Third	Fourth
Rental Income Rental Property Expense	\$ 311,536 (210,908)	\$ 323,071 (169,135)	\$ 340,742 (163,717)	\$ 338,621 (187,770)
Income from Rental Property	100,628	153,936	177,025	150,851
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 115,332	\$ 84,082	\$ (18,123)	\$(357,593)

Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share
Basic \$.09 \$.07 \$ (.01) \$ (.29)

Diluted \$.09 \$.07 \$ (.01) \$ (.29)

17. Interest Income

Interest income consists of the following:

	Year Ended I	December 31,		
	2007	2006		
Interest on Condemnation Advance Payment Interest Income on Investments Interest Income - Other	\$ - 871,046 167,104	,		
	\$1,038,150	\$1,594,988		

18. Contingencies

On November 2, 2005, the State University of New York at Stony Brook (the "University") filed an acquisition map with the Suffolk County Clerk's office and vested title in 245.5 acres of the Company's Flowerfield Property pursuant to the New York Eminent Domain Procedure Law (the "EDPL"). On March 27, 2006, the Company received payment from the State of New York in the amount of \$26,315,000, which the Company had previously elected under the EDPL to accept as an advance payment for the property. Under the EDPL, both the advance payment and any additional award from the Court of Claims bear interest at the current statutory rate of 9% simple interest from the date of the taking through the date of payment. See Note 3 for a further discussion of the accrued interest on the proceeds on the condemnation of the Flowerfield property.

On May 1, 2006, the Company filed a Notice of Claim with the Court of Claims of the State of New York seeking \$158 million in damages from the University resulting from the condemnation of the 245.5 acres of the Company's Flowerfield property. While the Company believes that a credible case for substantial additional compensation can be made, it is possible that the Company may be awarded a different amount than is being requested, including no compensation, or an amount that is substantially lower than the Company's claim for \$158 million. It is also possible that the Court of Claims could ultimately permit the State to recoup part of its advance payment to the Company.

Faith Enterprises ("Faith") a tenant at 7 Flowerfield failed to fulfill its rental payment obligation. In February 2007, the Company served Faith with a notice of default. Faith subsequently sued the Company in Suffolk Supreme Court, seeking \$7 million in damages on each of three claims (breach of contract, fraudulent inducement and tortuous interference with business) and also seeking to enjoin the Company from commencing a non-payment eviction proceeding (which the Court denied). The Company thereafter commenced a non-payment proceeding, in which Faith agreed to an order to

GYRODYNE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

vacate the premises and for a judgment of past due rent of \$115,051. Faith vacated the premises in April 2007. Faith continues to pursue its claims for damages in the Suffolk Supreme Court action. In November 2007, the Company commenced a third-party action against the guarantors of Faith's lease, Thomas O. Dodge, Cathleen Dodge, Michael Maurer and Kelly Maurer. In January 2008, Plaintiff (Faith) filed a motion to consolidate this case with another matter it had commenced against the entities from whom Faith purchased the business. The Court has not yet ruled on this motion.

If the Company does not reinvest the condemnation proceeds received on the condemned property in accordance with Internal Revenue Code section 1033, the gain on condemnation of the Company's Flowerfield property will be subject to federal and state taxes. The Company must replace the condemned property by April 2009. In June 2007, the Company closed on the purchase of a medical office complex known as Port Jefferson Professional Park in Port Jefferson Station, New York. The acquisition price was \$8,914,344. The Port Jefferson Professional Park qualifies as replacement property under IRC section 1033.

F - 21