Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. Form 10-K
March 06, 2017
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-K
ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)

OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 000-51734

Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware 35-1811116

(State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization) Identification Number)

2780 Waterfront Parkway East Drive, Suite 200

Indianapolis, Indiana 46214

(317) 328-5660

(Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number,

Including Area Code, of Registrant's Principal Executive Offices)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common units representing limited partner interests The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT:

NONE.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting

company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No The aggregate market value of the common units held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$294.1 million on June 30, 2016, based on \$4.90 per unit, the closing price of the common units as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on such date.

On March 6, 2017, there were 76,691,864 common units outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

NONE.

# Table of Contents

PART IV Item 15.

**Exhibits** 

CALUMET	SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.	
FORM 10-K	L — 2016 ANNUAL REPORT	
Table of Cor	ntents	
		Page
PART I		
Items 1 and 2.	Business and Properties	<u>3</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>27</u>
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>48</u>
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>48</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>48</u>
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Unitholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Education Securities	quity <sub>49</sub>
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	<u>50</u>
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>57</u>
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>57</u> 82
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	<u>87</u>
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	150
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	150
Item 9B.	Other Information	<u>150</u>
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers of Our General Partner and Corporate Governance	<u>151</u>
Item 11.	Executive and Director Compensation	<u>155</u>
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Unitholder	
	Matters	<u>179</u>
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	<u>181</u>
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	<u>185</u>

<u>186</u>

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K (this "Annual Report") includes certain "forward-looking statements." These statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology including "may," "intend," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "continue," or other similar words. The statements regarding (i) estimated capital expenditures as a result of required audits or required operational changes or other environmental and regulatory liabilities, (ii) our expectations regarding annual EBITDA contributions from our multi-year, self-help program, (iii) our anticipated levels of, use and effectiveness of derivatives to mitigate our exposure to crude oil price changes, natural gas price changes and fuel products price changes, (iv) estimated costs of complying with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA") Renewable Fuel Standard, including the prices paid for Renewable Identification Numbers ("RINs"), (v) our ability to meet our financial commitments, minimum quarterly distributions to our unitholders, debt service obligations, debt instrument covenants, contingencies and anticipated capital expenditures and (vi) our access to capital to fund capital expenditures and our working capital needs and our ability to obtain debt or equity financing on satisfactory terms, as well as other matters discussed in this Annual Report that are not purely historical data, are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effect on us. While management believes that these forward-looking statements are reasonable as and when made, there can be no assurance that future developments affecting us will be those that we anticipate. All comments concerning our expectations for future sales and operating results are based on our forecasts for our existing operations and do not include the potential impact of any future acquisitions. Our forward-looking statements involve significant risks and uncertainties (some of which are beyond our control) and assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from our historical experience and our present expectations or projections. Known material factors that could cause our actual results to differ from those in the forward-looking statements are those described in Part I, Item 1A "Risk Factors" of this Annual Report. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements after the date they are made, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

References in this Annual Report to "Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P.," "Calumet," "the Company," "we," "our," "us like terms refer to Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. and its subsidiaries. References to "Predecessor" in this Annual Report refer to Calumet Lubricants Co., Limited Partnership and its subsidiaries, the assets and liabilities of which were contributed to Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. and its subsidiaries upon the completion of our initial public offering in 2006. References in this Annual Report to "our general partner" refer to Calumet GP, LLC, the general partner of Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P.

### PART I

Items 1 and 2. Business and Properties

Overview

We are a leading independent producer of high-quality, specialty hydrocarbon products in North America. We are headquartered in Indianapolis, Indiana, and own specialty and fuel products facilities primarily located in northwest Louisiana, northwest Wisconsin, northern Montana, western Pennsylvania, Texas, New Jersey and eastern Missouri. We own and lease oilfield services locations in Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Arkansas, Colorado, Utah, Wyoming, Montana, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Pennsylvania and Ohio. We own and lease additional facilities, primarily related to production and distribution of specialty, fuel and oilfield services products, throughout the United States ("U.S."). Our business is organized into three segments: specialty products, fuel products and oilfield services. In our specialty products segment, we process crude oil and other feedstocks into a wide variety of customized lubricating oils, white mineral oils, solvents, petrolatums and waxes. Our specialty products are sold to domestic and international customers who purchase them primarily as raw material components for basic industrial, consumer and automotive goods. We also blend and market specialty products through our Royal Purple, Bel-Ray, TruFuel and Quantum brands. In our fuel products segment, we process crude oil into a variety of fuel and fuel-related products, including gasoline, diesel, jet fuel, asphalt and heavy fuel oils, and from time to time resell purchased crude oil to third party customers. Our oilfield services segment manufactures and markets products and provides oilfield services including drilling fluids, completion fluids and solids control services to the oil and gas exploration industry throughout the U.S. For the year ended December 31, 2016, approximately 34.8% of our sales and 82.8% of our gross profit were generated from our specialty products segment, approximately 61.7% of our sales and 11.8% of our gross profit were generated from our fuel products segment and approximately 3.5% of our sales and 5.4% of our gross profit were generated from our oilfield services segment.

**Our Primary Operating Assets** 

Our primary operating assets consist of:

Refinery/Facility	Location	Year Acquired	Current Feedstock Throughput Capacity in Barrels Per Day ("bpd")	Products
Shreveport	Louisiana	2001	60,000	Specialty lubricating oils and waxes, gasoline, diesel, jet fuel and asphalt
Superior	Wisconsin	2011	45,000	Gasoline, diesel, asphalt and heavy fuel oils
Great Falls	Montana	2012	25,000	Gasoline, diesel, jet fuel and asphalt
San Antonio	Texas	2013	21,000	Diesel, jet fuel, gasoline, other fuel products and solvents
Cotton Valley	Louisiana	1995	13,500	Specialty solvents used principally in the manufacture of paints, cleaners, automotive products and drilling fluids
Princeton	Louisiana	1990	10,000	Specialty lubricating oils, including process oils, base oils, transformer oils and refrigeration oils, and asphalt
Karns City	Pennsylvania	2008	5,500	Specialty white mineral oils, solvents, petrolatums, gelled hydrocarbons, cable fillers and natural petroleum sulfonates
Dickinson	Texas	2008	1,300	Specialty white mineral oils, compressor lubricants, natural petroleum sulfonates and biodiesel
Calumet Packaging	Louisiana	2012	N/A	Specialty products including premium industrial and consumer synthetic lubricants, fuels and solvents

Edgar Filing: Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. - Form 10-K

Royal Purple	Texas	2012	N/A	Specialty products including premium industrial and consumer synthetic lubricants
Bel-Ray	New Jersey	2013	N/A	Specialty products including premium industrial and consumer synthetic lubricants
Missouri	Missouri	2012	N/A	and greases Specialty products including polyolester-based synthetic lubricants
3				

Drilling and Oilfield Services Assets. Anchor Drilling Fluids (as defined below) manufactures and markets specialty products and provides oilfield services including drilling fluids, completion fluids and solids control services to the oil and gas exploration industry. We design, manufacture and package these specialty products at our locations in Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Arkansas, Colorado, Utah, Wyoming, Montana, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Pennsylvania and Ohio. These locations serve the great majority of major onshore oil fields in the U.S. Crude Oil Logistics Assets. We own and operate seven crude oil loading facilities and related assets in North Dakota and Montana, which provide us the ability to transport crude oil directly from the point of lease into our crude oil loading facilities, and then onto the Enbridge Pipeline System ("Enbridge Pipeline") where it can be routed to our Superior refinery and/or third party customers.

Storage, Distribution and Logistics Assets. We own and operate product terminals in Burnham, Illinois ("Burnham"), Rhinelander, Wisconsin ("Rhinelander"), Crookston, Minnesota ("Crookston"), and Proctor, Minnesota ("Duluth"), with aggregate storage capacities of approximately 150,000, 166,000, 156,000 and 200,000 barrels, respectively. These terminals, as well as additional owned and leased facilities throughout the U.S., facilitate the distribution of products in the Upper Midwest, East Coast, West Coast and Mid-Continent regions of the U.S. and Canada.

We also use approximately 2,700 leased railcars to receive crude oil or distribute our products throughout the U.S. and Canada. In total, we have approximately 12.9 million barrels of aggregate storage capacity at our facilities and leased storage locations.

## **Business Strategies**

Our management team is dedicated to improving our operations by executing the following strategies: Maintain Sufficient Levels of Liquidity. We are actively focused on maintaining sufficient liquidity to fund our operations and business strategies. In view of current volatility in market conditions and as part of a broader effort to maintain an adequate level of liquidity, the board of directors of our general partner unanimously voted to suspend the then-current quarterly cash distribution of \$0.685 per unit, or \$2.74 per unit on an annualized basis, effective beginning the quarter ended March 31, 2016.

Concentrate on Stable Cash Flows. We intend to continue to focus on operating assets and businesses that generate stable cash flows. Approximately 34.8% of our sales and 82.8% of our gross profit in 2016 were generated by the sale of specialty products, a segment of our business which is characterized by stable customer relationships due to our customers' requirements for the specialized products we provide. In addition, we manage our exposure to crude oil price fluctuations in this segment by passing on incremental feedstock costs to our specialty products customers. In our fuel products segment, which accounted for 61.7% of our sales and 11.8% of our gross profit in 2016, we seek to mitigate our exposure to fuel products margin volatility by generally maintaining a fuel products hedging program for crude oil basis differentials and fuel product crack spreads. In the future, we intend to shift more of our focus to our specialty products business to further reduce our exposure to commodity price volatility.

Develop and Expand Our Customer Relationships. Due to the specialized nature of, and the long lead-time associated with, the development and production of many of our specialty products, our customers are incentivized to continue their relationships with us. We believe that our larger competitors do not work with customers as we do from product design to delivery for smaller volume specialty products like ours. We intend to continue to assist our existing customers in their efforts to expand their product offerings, as well as marketing specialty product formulations and services to new customers. By striving to maintain our long-term relationships with our broad base of existing customers and by adding new customers, we seek to limit our dependence on any one portion of our customer base. Enhance Profitability of Our Existing Assets. We have increased our focus on identifying opportunities to improve our existing asset base and to increase our throughput, profitability and cash flows. Historical examples include projects designed to maximize the profitability of our acquired assets, such as: (1) the enhancements at our San Antonio refinery completed in December 2013, which allowed us to blend finished gasoline and increased the refinery's production capacity from 14,500 bpd to 18,000 bpd, (2) the more than doubling of esters production capacity at our Missouri facility completed in December 2015, and (3) the increase of production capacity at our Great Falls refinery from 10,000 bpd to 25,000 bpd, which was completed in February 2016. We intend to further increase the profitability of our existing asset base through various low capital requirement measures which may include changing

the product mix of our processing units, debottlenecking units as necessary to increase throughput, restarting idle assets and reducing costs by improving operations. We also are increasing our focus on optimizing current operations through improving reliability, product quality enhancements, product yield improvements and energy savings initiatives.

Disciplined Approach to Strategic and Complementary Acquisitions. Our senior management team is focused on acquiring assets and product lines where we can enhance operations and improve profitability. In the future, we intend to continue pursuing prudent, accretive acquisitions that will benefit our company over the long term. We intend to reduce our leverage over time and maintain sufficient liquidity to execute our acquisition strategy. We also may pursue strategic acquisitions of assets or agreements with third parties that offer the opportunity for operational efficiencies, the potential for increased utilization and expansion of facilities, or the expansion of product offerings principally in our specialty products segment. In addition, we may pursue selected acquisitions. Since 2011 we have completed the following acquisitions to enhance and diversify our existing specialty products, fuel products and oilfield services segments:

Superior, Wisconsin, refinery ("Superior") — a refinery that produces and sells gasoline, diesel, asphalt and heavy fuel oils acquired in September 2011 ("Superior Acquisition").

Calumet Packaging, LLC ("Calumet Packaging") — formerly known as TruSouth Oil, LLC, a specialty petroleum packaging and distribution company acquired in January 2012.

Louisiana, Missouri, ("Missouri") facility — an aviation and refrigerant synthetic lubricants business acquired in January 2012.

Royal Purple, Inc. ("Royal Purple") — a leading independent formulator and marketer of specialty synthetic lubricants and greases acquired in July 2012.

Montana Refining Company, Inc. ("Great Falls") — a refinery that produces and sells gasoline, diesel, jet fuel and asphalt products acquired in October 2012.

San Antonio, Texas, refinery ("San Antonio") — a refinery that produces and sells diesel, gasoline, jet fuel, other fuel products and solvents acquired in January 2013.

Crude oil logistics assets — crude oil loading facilities and related assets in North Dakota and Montana acquired in August 2013.

Bel-Ray Company, LLC ("Bel-Ray") — a manufacturer and global distributor of high-performance synthetic lubricants and greases acquired in December 2013.

United Petroleum, LLC assets ("United Petroleum") — a marketer and distributor of high performance lubricants acquired in February 2014.

ADF Holdings, Inc., the parent company of Anchor Drilling Fluids USA, Inc. (subsequently converted to Anchor Drilling Fluids, LLC ("Anchor Drilling Fluids") — an independent provider and marketer of drilling fluids and completion fluids to the oil and gas exploration industry acquired in March 2014.

Oilfield services assets — a full-service drilling fluids and solids control company with primary operations in the Eagle Ford, Marcellus and Utica shale formations acquired from Specialty Oilfield Services, Ltd. in August 2014. Competitive Strengths

We believe that we are well positioned to execute our business strategies successfully based on the following competitive strengths:

We Offer Our Customers a Diverse Range of Specialty Products. We offer a wide range of approximately 3,500 specialty products. We believe that our ability to provide our customers with a more diverse selection of products than most of our competitors gives us an advantage in competing for new business. We believe that we are the only specialty products manufacturer that produces all four of naphthenic lubricating oils, paraffinic lubricating oils, waxes and solvents. A contributing factor in our ability to produce numerous specialty products is our ability to ship products between our facilities for product upgrading in order to meet customer specifications.

We Have Strong Relationships with a Broad Customer Base. We have long-term relationships with many of our customers and we believe that we will continue to benefit from these relationships. Our customer base includes more than 4,400 active accounts and we are continually seeking new customers. No single customer accounted for more than 10% of our consolidated sales in each of the three years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Our Facilities Have Advanced Technology. Our facilities are equipped with advanced, flexible technology that allows us to produce high-grade specialty products and to produce fuel products that comply with low sulfur fuel regulations. For example, our fuel products refineries have the capability to make ultra-low sulfur diesel and gasoline that meet federally mandated low sulfur standards and the Mobile Source Air Toxic Rule II standards ("MSAT II Standards") set by the EPA requiring the reduction of benzene levels in gasoline. Also, unlike larger refineries which lack some of the equipment necessary to achieve the narrow distillation ranges associated with the production of specialty products, our operations are capable of producing a wide range of products tailored to our customers' needs.

We Have an Experienced Management Team. Our team's extensive experience and contacts within the refining industry provide a strong foundation and focus for managing and enhancing our operations, accessing strategic asset portfolio opportunities and constructing and enhancing the profitability of new assets.

Potential Acquisition and Divestiture Activities

Consistent with our business growth strategy, we are continuously engaged in discussions with potential sellers regarding the possible purchase of assets and operations that are strategic and complementary to our existing operations. These acquisition efforts may involve participation by us in processes that have been made public and involve a number of potential buyers, commonly referred to as "auction" processes, as well as situations in which we believe we are the only potential buyer or one of a limited number of potential buyers in negotiations with the potential seller. These acquisition efforts often involve assets and operations which, if acquired, could have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations and require special financing.

Our acquisition program targets properties that management believes will be financially accretive, and we intend to focus on targeted strategic acquisitions of specialty products assets that leverage an existing core competency and that have an identifiable competitive advantage we can exploit as the new owner.

As part of this strategy, we are in the process of evaluating our portfolio to identify potential divestiture candidates that are non-core to our business and which are worth more to a strategic buyer than to us, while seeking to maximize our return on invested capital. This strategy will allow us to focus on a portfolio of core specialty products assets with significant potential to increase our ability to generate stable to growing cash flows, optimize our assets, improve our operating efficiency and capture increased feedstock advantages.

As we continue to seek to optimize our asset portfolio, which may include the divestiture of certain non-core assets, we intend to redeploy capital into projects to develop assets that are better suited to our core specialty products business strategy. During the past five years, we have invested approximately \$750 million in growth projects and joint ventures, some of which management believes in hindsight were not in line with our strategic objectives. For example, several growth projects, such as the Dakota Prairie Refining, LLC refinery joint venture, required significant upfront capital, which we financed, and had multiyear lead times, increasing our leverage and limiting our ability to grow our quarterly distributions to unitholders during that time. These projects were in process during periods in which market dynamics and return profiles changed dramatically. Going forward, we intend to tailor our approach toward owning businesses with stable to growing cash flows. As a result, we may pursue potential arrangements with third parties to divest certain non-core assets to enable us to further reduce the amount of our required capital commitments and potential capital expenditures. We expect that any potential divestitures of non-core assets could provide us with cash to reinvest in our business and repay debt, reducing our reliance on the capital markets for sources of financing. However, as we develop our strategy with respect to our non-core assets, any changes in our key assumptions regarding such assets may require us to record an impairment charge.

We typically do not announce a transaction until we have executed a definitive agreement. However, in certain cases in order to protect our business interests or for other reasons, we may defer public announcement of an acquisition or divestiture until closing or a later date. Past experience has demonstrated that discussions and negotiations regarding a potential acquisition or divestiture can advance or terminate in a short period of time. Moreover, the closing of any transaction for which we have entered into a definitive agreement will be subject to customary and other closing conditions, which may not ultimately be satisfied or waived. Accordingly, we can give no assurance that our current or future acquisition or divestiture efforts will be successful. Although we expect the acquisitions we make to be accretive in the long term, we can provide no assurance that our expectations will ultimately be realized.

## Partnership Structure and Management

Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. is a Delaware limited partnership formed on September 27, 2005. Our general partner is Calumet GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company. As of March 6, 2017, we have 76,691,864 common units and 1,565,140 general partner units outstanding. Our general partner owns 2% of the Company and all incentive distribution rights and has sole responsibility for conducting our business and managing our operations. For more information about our general partner's board of directors and executive officers, please read Part III, Item 10 "Directors, Executive Officers of Our General Partner and Corporate Governance."

### **Table of Contents**

### Our Operating Assets and Contractual Arrangements General

The following table sets forth information about our combined operations, excluding the results of operations of our oilfield services segment. Facility production volume differs from sales volume due to changes in inventories and the sale of purchased fuel product blendstocks, such as ethanol and biodiesel, and the resale of crude oil in our fuel products segment. The table includes the results of operations of our United Petroleum assets commencing February 28, 2014:

	Year Ended December 31,							
	2016	2015	% Ch	ange	2015	2014	% Cha	ange
	(In bpd)				(In bpd)			
Total sales volume (1)	140,180	126,216	11.1	%	126,216	122,852	2.7	%
Total feedstock runs (2)	134,163	123,051	9.0	%	123,051	117,427	4.8	%
Facility production: (3)								
Specialty products:								
Lubricating oils	14,697	13,325	10.3	%	13,325	11,836	12.6	%
Solvents	7,427	7,942	(6.5	)%	7,942	8,934	(11.1	)%
Waxes	1,571	1,460	7.6	%	1,460	1,510	(3.3)	)%
Packaged and synthetic specialty products (4)	2,074	1,584	30.9	%	1,584	1,754	(9.7)	)%
Other	1,553	1,355	14.6	%	1,355	1,829	(25.9	)%
Total specialty products	27,322	25,666	6.5	%	25,666	25,863	(0.8)	)%
Fuel products:								
Gasoline	37,713	37,691	0.1	%	37,691	34,221	10.1	%
Diesel	34,808	30,204	15.2	%	30,204	27,074	11.6	%
Jet fuel	5,306	5,157	2.9	%	5,157	4,799	7.5	%
Asphalt, heavy fuel oils and other	29,780	24,077	23.7	%	24,077	22,189	8.5	%
Total fuel products	107,607	97,129	10.8	%	97,129	88,283	10.0	%
Total facility production (3)	134,929	122,795	9.9	%	122,795	114,146	7.6	%

Total sales volume includes sales from the production at our facilities and certain third-party facilities pursuant to supply and/or processing agreements, sales of inventories and the resale of crude oil to third party customers. Total sales volume includes the sale of purchased fuel product blendstocks, such as ethanol and biodiesel, as components of finished fuel products in our fuel products segment sales.

- (2) Total feedstock runs represent the barrels per day of crude oil and other feedstocks processed at our facilities and at certain third-party facilities pursuant to supply and/or processing agreements.
  - Total facility production represents the barrels per day of specialty products and fuel products yielded from
- (3) processing crude oil and other feedstocks at our facilities and at certain third-party facilities pursuant to supply and/or processing agreements. The difference between total facility production and total feedstock runs is primarily a result of the time lag between the input of feedstocks and the production of finished products and volume loss.
- (4) Represents production of packaged and synthetic specialty products, including the products from the Royal Purple, Bel-Ray, Calumet Packaging and Missouri facilities.

The following table sets forth information about our combined sales of principal products and services by segment. The table includes the results of operations of our United Petroleum assets commencing February 28, 2014, and Anchor Drilling Fluids commencing March 31, 2014:

	Year Ended December 31,								
	2016		2015			2014			
	(In	% of		(In	% of		(In	% of	
	millions)	Sales		millions)	Sales		millions)	Sales	
Sales of specialty products:									
Lubricating oils	\$538.7	15.0	%	\$575.6	13.7	%	\$748.4	12.9	%
Solvents	237.7	6.6	%	302.0	7.2	%	485.2	8.4	%
Waxes	128.7	3.6	%	136.9	3.2	%	144.1	2.5	%
Packaged and synthetic specialty products (1)	311.2	8.6	%	316.6	7.5	%	313.5	5.4	%
Other (2)	36.0	1.0	%	36.7	0.9	%	38.0	0.7	%
Total	1,252.3	34.8	%	1,367.8	32.5	%	1,729.2	29.9	%
Sales of fuel products:									
Gasoline	844.3	23.5	%	1,047.1	24.9	%	1,443.1	24.9	%
Diesel	808.4	22.4	%	894.8	21.2	%	1,197.4	20.7	%
Jet fuel	117.5	3.3	%	149.6	3.6	%	199.3	3.4	%
Asphalt, heavy fuel oils and other (3)	451.8	12.5	%	471.0	11.1	%	853.6	14.7	%
Total	2,222.0	61.7	%	2,562.5	60.8	%	3,693.4	63.7	%
Sales of oilfield services:	125.1	3.5	%	282.5	6.7	%	368.5	6.4	%
Consolidated sales	\$3,599.4	100.0	%	\$4,212.8	100.0	)%	\$5,791.1	100.0	)%

- (1) Represents packaged and synthetic specialty products at the Royal Purple, Bel-Ray, Calumet Packaging and Missouri facilities.
- (2) Represents by-products, including fuels and asphalt, produced in connection with the production of specialty products at the Princeton and Cotton Valley refineries and Dickinson and Karns City facilities.

  Represents asphalt, heavy fuel oils and other products produced in connection with the production of fuels at the
- (3) Shreveport, Superior, San Antonio and Great Falls refineries and crude oil sales from the Montana, Superior and San Antonio refineries to third party customers.

Please read Note 17 "Segments and Related Information" in Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of this Annual Report for additional financial information about each of our segments and the geographic areas in which we conduct business.

### **Shreveport Refinery**

The Shreveport refinery, located on a 240 acre site in Shreveport, Louisiana ("Shreveport"), currently has aggregate crude oil throughput capacity of 60,000 bpd and processes paraffinic crude oil and associated feedstocks into fuel products, paraffinic lubricating oils, waxes, asphalt and by-products.

The Shreveport refinery consists of seventeen major processing units including hydrotreating, catalytic reforming and dewaxing units and approximately 3.3 million barrels of storage capacity in 130 storage tanks and related loading and unloading facilities and utilities. Since our acquisition of the Shreveport refinery in 2001, we have expanded the refinery's capabilities by adding additional processing and blending facilities, adding a second reactor to the high pressure hydrotreater, resuming production of gasoline, diesel and other fuel products and adding both 18,000 bpd of crude oil throughput capacity and the capability to run up to 25,000 bpd of sour crude oil with an expansion project completed in May 2008.

The following table sets forth historical information about production at our Shreveport refinery:

Shreveport Refinery Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014 (In bpd)

Crude oil throughput capacity 60,000 60,000 60,000 Total feedstock runs (1) (2) 40,845 40,726 35,140 Total refinery production (2) (3) 42,075 41,588 34,189

Total feedstock runs represent the barrels per day of crude oil and other feedstocks processed at our Shreveport (1) refinery. Total feedstock runs do not include certain interplant feedstocks supplied by our Cotton Valley, Princeton and San Antonio refineries.

Total refinery production represents the barrels per day of specialty products and fuel products yielded from processing crude oil and other feedstocks. The difference between total refinery production and total feedstock runs is primarily a result of the time lag between the input of feedstocks and production of finished products and volume loss.

(3) Total refinery production includes certain interplant feedstock supplied to our Cotton Valley, Princeton and San Antonio refineries and Karns City facility.

The Shreveport refinery has a flexible operational configuration and operating personnel that facilitate development of new product opportunities. Product mix may fluctuate from one period to the next to capture market opportunities. The refinery has an idle residual fluid catalytic cracking unit and a number of idle towers that can be utilized for future project needs. Certain idle towers were utilized as a part of the Shreveport refinery expansion project completed in 2008.

The Shreveport refinery receives crude oil via tank truck, railcar and a common carrier pipeline system that is operated by a subsidiary of Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. ("Plains") and is connected to the Shreveport refinery's facilities. The Plains pipeline system delivers local supplies of crude oil and condensates from north Louisiana and east Texas. Crude oil is also purchased from various suppliers, including local producers, who deliver crude oil to the Shreveport refinery via tank truck.

The Shreveport refinery also has direct pipeline access to the Enterprise Products Partners L.P. pipeline ("TEPPCO pipeline"), on which it can ship certain grades of gasoline, diesel and jet fuel. Further, the refinery has direct access to the Red River Terminal facility, which provides the refinery with barge access, via the Red River, to major feedstock and petroleum products logistics networks on the Mississippi River and Gulf Coast inland waterway system. The Shreveport refinery also ships its finished products throughout the U.S. through both truck and railcar service. Superior Refinery

The Superior refinery is located on a 245 acre site, with an additional 430 acres owned around the existing refinery, in Superior, Wisconsin. The Superior refinery currently has aggregate crude oil throughput capacity of 45,000 bpd and processes light and heavy crude oil from the Bakken shale formation in North Dakota and western Canada into fuel products and asphalt.

The Superior refinery consists of fourteen major processing units including hydrotreating, catalytic reforming, fluid catalytic cracking and alkylation units and approximately 3.1 million barrels of storage capacity in 76 tanks and related loading and unloading facilities and utilities.

The following table sets forth historical information about production at our Superior refinery:

Superior Refinery Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014 (In bpd)

Crude oil throughput capacity 45,000 45,000 45,000 Total feedstock runs (1) (2) 35,840 36,270 36,736 Total refinery production (2) 35,623 35,916 35,712

(1) Total feedstock runs represent the barrels per day of crude oil and other feedstocks processed at our Superior refinery.

Total refinery production represents the barrels per day of fuel products yielded from processing crude oil.

The difference between total refinery production and total feedstock runs is primarily a result of the time lag between the input of feedstocks and the production of finished products and volume loss.

The Superior refinery has a flexible operational configuration and operating personnel that facilitate development of new product opportunities. Product mix may fluctuate from one period to the next to capture market opportunities. Currently the Superior refinery produces gasoline, diesel, asphalt and heavy fuel oils.

Finished fuel products produced at the Superior refinery are sold through the Superior refinery truck rack, several Magellan pipeline terminals in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, North Dakota, South Dakota and Utah and through our Duluth terminal. The Superior wholesale fuel business also sells gasoline wholesale to Calumet branded gas stations located throughout the Upper Midwest (including Minnesota, Wisconsin and Michigan), which are owned and operated by independent franchisees. The Superior refinery ships finished fuel products by railcar, truck and pipeline service. Asphalt products produced at the Superior refinery are shipped by railcar and truck service and are sold through our terminals in Rhinelander and Crookston and through other leased terminals in the U.S.

Finished fuel products sales are primarily made through spot agreements and short-term contracts. Asphalt is primarily sold through spot agreements and short-term contracts with customers primarily located in and around the Upper Midwest, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah and New York.

The Superior refinery receives crude oil via pipeline. The Enbridge Pipeline delivers crude oil to the Superior refinery and the refinery is adjacent to one of the Enbridge Pipeline's first crude oil holding facilities after crossing the Canadian border into the U.S., providing reliable access to high quality crude oil from the Bakken shale formation in North Dakota and from western Canada.

### **Great Falls Refinery**

The Great Falls refinery, located on an 86 acre site in Great Falls, Montana, currently has aggregate crude oil throughput capacity of 25,000 bpd and processes light and heavy crude oil from Canada into fuel and asphalt products. In February 2016, we completed an expansion project which added 15,000 bpd of crude throughput capacity to the refinery.

The Great Falls refinery consists of fifteen major processing units including hydrotreating, catalytic reforming, hydrocracking, fluid catalytic cracking and alkylation units, approximately 1.1 million barrels of storage capacity in 75 tanks and related loading and unloading facilities and utilities.

The following table sets forth historical information about production at the Great Falls refinery:

Great Falls Refinery Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014 (In bpd)

Crude oil throughput capacity 25,000 10,000 10,000 Total feedstock runs (1) (2) 20,930 10,307 10,201 Total refinery production (2) 21,259 10,525 10,274

- (1) Total feedstock runs represent the barrels per day of crude oil and other feedstocks processed at our Great Falls refinery.
  - Total refinery production represents the barrels per day of specialty products and fuel products yielded from
- (2) processing crude oil and other feedstocks. The difference between total refinery production and total feedstock runs is primarily a result of the time lag between the input of feedstocks and the production of finished products and volume loss.

Currently, the Great Falls refinery produces gasoline, diesel, jet fuel and asphalt. The Great Falls refinery ships finished fuel and asphalt by railcar and truck service. Finished fuel and asphalt sales are primarily made through spot agreements and short-term contracts.

The Great Falls refinery purchases crude oil from various suppliers and receives crude oil by pipeline through the Front Range Pipeline via the Bow River Pipeline in Canada, providing reliable access to high quality crude oil from western Canada.

In February 2016, we completed an expansion project that increased production capacity at our Great Falls refinery by 15,000 bpd to 25,000 bpd. This project allows us to further capitalize on local access to cost-advantaged Bow River crude oil, while producing additional fuels and refined products for delivery into the regional market. The scope of this project included the installation of a new crude unit that can process up to 25,000 bpd of crude oil and other feedstocks, a hydrogen plant and a 20,000 bpd mild hydrocracker.

### San Antonio Refinery

The San Antonio refinery, located on a 32 acre site in San Antonio, Texas, has aggregate crude oil throughput capacity of 21,000 bpd and processes light crude oil from south Texas, including the Eagle Ford shale formation, into a variety of transportation fuels, petrochemical and refinery feedstocks, and aliphatic solvents. The San Antonio refinery consists of six major processing units including crude oil fractionation, naphtha hydrotreating, catalytic reforming, distillate hydrotreating, aromatic saturation and specialty fractionation. The refinery has approximately 200,000 barrels of storage capacity in 65 tanks and related loading and unloading facilities and utilities. Currently, the San Antonio refinery produces diesel, jet fuel, gasoline, other fuel products and a variety of aliphatic solvents. The San Antonio refinery is compliant with federal regulations for ultra-low sulfur diesel. The San Antonio refinery ships products by railcar and truck service. Product sales are primarily made through spot agreements and short-term contracts. The San Antonio refinery purchases crude oil and intermediate products from various suppliers and receives crude oil by pipeline originating from its crude oil terminal in Elmendorf, Texas ("Elmendorf"), providing reliable access to high quality crude oil from Texas, primarily the Eagle Ford shale formation. The San Antonio refinery has a long term agreement with TexStar Midstream Logistics, L.P. ("TexStar") under which TexStar operates the Karnes North Pipeline System ("KNPS"), which transports crude oil from Karnes City, Texas, to Elmendorf. Currently, the San Antonio refinery receives at least 12,000 bpd of crude oil at the refinery through the KNPS-Elmendorf terminal supply route. Elmendorf has aggregate storage capacity of approximately 200,000 barrels. Since acquiring the San Antonio refinery, we have expanded the refinery's capabilities by adding 6,500 bpd of crude oil throughput capacity and adding additional processing and blending facilities which allow the San Antonio refinery to blend up to 6,000 bpd of finished gasoline. Additionally, we completed a project in December 2015 that provides us the capability to take a portion of the San Antonio refinery's diesel and jet fuel production and convert it into up to 3,000 bpd of higher margin solvent products that meet customer requirements for low aromatic content. We are also beginning to integrate the San Antonio refinery into our other specialty products operations by producing intermediate feedstocks which our Shreveport refinery utilizes in the production of lubricating oils.

The following table sets forth historical information at our San Antonio refinery:

San Antonio Refinery Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014 (In bpd)

Crude oil throughput capacity 21,000 21,000 17,500 Total feedstock runs (1) (2) 17,374 16,442 14,617 Total refinery production (2) (3) 16,736 15,708 13,541

- (1) Total feedstock runs represent the barrels per day of crude oil and other feedstocks processed at our San Antonio refinery.
- Total refinery production represents the barrels per day of specialty products and fuel products yielded from processing crude oil and other feedstocks. The difference between total refinery production and total feedstock runs is primarily a result of the time lag between the input of feedstocks and the production of finished products and volume loss.
- (3) Total refinery production includes certain interplant feedstocks supplied to our Shreveport refinery. Cotton Valley Refinery

The Cotton Valley refinery, located on a 77 acre site in Cotton Valley, Louisiana ("Cotton Valley"), currently has aggregate crude oil throughput capacity of 13,500 bpd, hydrotreating capacity of 6,200 bpd and processes crude oil into specialty solvents and residual fuel oil. The residual fuel oil is an important feedstock for the production of specialty products at our Shreveport refinery. We believe the Cotton Valley refinery produces the most complete, single-facility line of paraffinic solvents in the U.S.

The Cotton Valley refinery consists of three major processing units that include a crude unit, a hydrotreater and a fractionation train, approximately 625,000 barrels of storage capacity in 74 storage tanks and related loading and unloading facilities and utilities. Since our acquisition of the Cotton Valley refinery in 1995, we have expanded the refinery's capabilities by installing a hydrotreater that removes aromatics, increased the crude unit processing capability to 13,500 bpd and reconfigured the refinery's fractionation train to improve product quality, enhance flexibility and lower utility costs.

The following table sets forth historical information about production at our Cotton Valley refinery:

Cotton Valley Refinery

Year Ended December

31,

2016 2015 2014

(In bpd)

Crude oil throughput capacity 13,500 13,500 13,500 Total feedstock runs (1) (2) 6,021 6,413 6,580 Total refinery production (2) (3) 5,399 6,103 6,544

- (1) Total feedstock runs do not include certain interplant solvent feedstocks supplied by our Shreveport refinery.

  Total refinery production represents the barrels per day of specialty products yielded from processing crude oil and
- (2) other feedstocks. The difference between total refinery production and total feedstock runs is primarily a result of the time lag between the input of feedstocks and the production of finished products and volume loss.
- (3) Total refinery production includes certain interplant feedstocks supplied to our Shreveport refinery.

The Cotton Valley refinery has a flexible operational configuration and operating personnel that facilitate development of new product opportunities. Product mix may fluctuate from one period to the next to capture market opportunities, which allows us to respond to market changes and customer demands by modifying the refinery's product mix. The reconfigured fractionation train also allows the refinery to satisfy demand fluctuations efficiently without large finished product inventory requirements.

The Cotton Valley refinery receives crude oil via tank truck and the Plains pipeline system. The Cotton Valley refinery's feedstock is primarily low sulfur and paraffinic crude oil originating from north Louisiana and is purchased from various marketers and gatherers. In addition, the Cotton Valley refinery receives interplant feedstocks for solvent production from the Shreveport refinery. The Cotton Valley refinery ships finished products by both truck and railcar service.

#### **Princeton Refinery**

The Princeton refinery, located on a 208 acre site in Princeton, Louisiana ("Princeton"), currently has aggregate crude oil throughput capacity of 10,000 bpd and processes naphthenic crude oil into lubricating oils and asphalt. In addition, feedstock is made for the Shreveport refinery for further processing into ultra-low sulfur diesel. The asphalt produced at Princeton may be further processed or blended for coating and roofing product applications at the Princeton refinery or transported to the Shreveport refinery for further processing into bright stock.

The Princeton refinery consists of seven major processing units, approximately 650,000 barrels of storage capacity in 200 storage tanks and related loading and unloading facilities and utilities. Since our acquisition of the Princeton refinery in 1990, we have debottlenecked the crude unit to increase production capacity to 10,000 bpd, increased the hydrotreater's capacity to 7,000 bpd and upgraded the refinery's fractionation unit, which has enabled us to produce higher value specialty products.

The following table sets forth historical information about production at our Princeton refinery:

Princeton Refinery

Year Ended December

31,

2016 2015 2014

(In bpd)

Crude oil throughput capacity 10,000 10,000 10,000 Total feedstock runs (1) 6,335 7,105 6,669 Total refinery production (1) (2) 5,242 5,851 5,420

Total refinery production represents the barrels per day of specialty products yielded from processing crude oil and other feedstocks. The difference between total refinery production and total feedstock runs is primarily a result of the time lag between the input of feedstocks and the production of finished products and volume loss.

(2) Total refinery production includes certain interplant feedstocks supplied to our Shreveport refinery.

The Princeton refinery has a hydrotreater and significant fractionation capability enabling the refining of high quality naphthenic lubricating oils at numerous distillation ranges. The Princeton refinery's processing capabilities consist of atmospheric and vacuum distillation, hydrotreating, asphalt oxidation processing and clay/acid treating. In addition, we have the necessary tankage and technology to process our asphalt into higher value product applications such as coatings, road paving and specialty applications.

The Princeton refinery receives crude oil via tank truck, railcar and the Plains pipeline system. Its crude oil supply primarily originates from east Texas, south Texas and north Louisiana, purchased directly from third-party suppliers under month-to-month evergreen supply contracts and on the spot market. The Princeton refinery ships its finished products throughout the U.S. via truck, barge and railcar service.

### Missouri Facility

The Missouri facility, located on a 22 acre site in Louisiana, Missouri, develops and produces polyolester synthetic lubricants for use in refrigeration compressors, commercial aviation and polyolester base stocks. In December 2015, we completed a project to double the production capacity of the facility from 35 million pounds to 75 million pounds per year. The facility has approximately 35,000 barrels of storage capacity in 64 tanks and related loading and unloading facilities and utilities. The facility receives its fatty acids and alcohol feedstocks and additives by truck and railcar under supply agreements or spot agreements with various suppliers.

The Missouri facility utilizes the latest batch esterification processes designed to ensure blending accuracy while maintaining production flexibility to meet customer needs.

## Calumet Packaging

The Calumet Packaging facility, located on a 10 acre site in Shreveport, Louisiana, develops, blends and packages high performance synthetic lubricants, fuels and solvent products for use in industrial, commercial and automotive applications. The Calumet Packaging facility's processing capability includes state-of-the-art blending and packaging equipment. The facility has approximately 75,000 barrels of storage capacity and related loading and unloading facilities. The facility receives its base oil feedstocks and additives by truck under supply agreements or spot agreements with various suppliers.

## Royal Purple

The Royal Purple facility, located on a 28 acre site in Porter, Texas, develops, blends and packages high performance synthetic lubricants and fluid additive products for use in industrial, commercial and automotive applications. The Royal Purple facility's processing capability includes ten in-house packaging and production lines. Outsourced packaging services for specific products are also used. The facility has approximately 30,500 barrels of storage capacity in 91 tanks and related loading and unloading facilities. The facility receives its base oil feedstocks and additives by truck under supply agreements or spot agreements with various suppliers.

## Bel-Ray

The Bel-Ray facility, located on a 32 acre site in Wall Township, New Jersey, blends and packages high performance synthetic lubricants and greases for use primarily in aerospace, automotive, energy, food, marine, military, mining, motorcycle, powersports, steel and textiles applications. The Bel-Ray facility's processing capability includes 24 blending tanks and packaging production lines. In addition, the Bel-Ray facility has approximately 13,000 barrels of storage capacity in 63 tanks and related loading and unloading facilities and utilities. The Bel-Ray facility receives its base oil feedstocks and additives by truck under supply agreements or spot agreements with various suppliers. The Bel-Ray facility is designed with batch processing technology and is also designed to maximize blending flexibility to meet customer needs. The packaging operations utilize both in-house packaging equipment and outsourced packaging services for specific products.

Karns City and Dickinson Facilities and Other Processing Agreements

The Karns City facility, located on a 225 acre site in Karns City, Pennsylvania ("Karns City"), has aggregate base oil throughput capacity of 5,500 bpd and processes white mineral oils, solvents, petrolatums, gelled hydrocarbons, cable fillers and natural petroleum sulfonates. The Karns City facility's processing capability includes hydrotreating, fractionation, acid treating, filtering, blending and packaging. In addition, the facility has approximately 817,000 barrels of storage capacity in 250 tanks and related loading and unloading facilities and utilities.

The Dickinson facility, located on a 28 acre site in Dickinson, Texas ("Dickinson"), has aggregate base oil throughput capacity of 1,300 bpd and processes white mineral oils, compressor lubricants, natural petroleum sulfonates and biodiesel. The Dickinson facility's processing capability includes acid treating, filtering and blending, approximately 183,000 barrels of storage capacity in 186 tanks and related loading and unloading facilities and utilities.

These facilities each receive their base oil feedstocks by railcar and truck under supply agreements or spot purchases with various suppliers, the most significant of which is a long-term supply agreement with Phillips 66. Please read "— Our Crude Oil and Feedstock Supply" below for further discussion of the long-term supply agreement with Phillips 66. The following table sets forth the combined historical information about production at our Karns City, Dickinson and other facilities:

Combined Karns City, Dickinson and Other Facilities Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014 (in bpd) 11,300 11,300 11,300

Feedstock throughput capacity <sup>(1)</sup> 11,300 11,300 11,300 Total feedstock runs <sup>(2)</sup> (3) 6,483 5,515 6,651 Total production <sup>(3)</sup> 6,522 5,519 6,575

- (1) Includes Karns City, Dickinson and other facilities.
  - Includes feedstock runs at our Karns City and Dickinson facilities as well as throughput at certain third-party facilities pursuant to supply and/or processing agreements and includes certain interplant feedstocks supplied from
- (2) our Shreveport refinery. For more information regarding our purchase commitments related to these supply and/or processing agreements, please read Part II, Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Contractual Obligations and Commitments."
  - Total production represents the barrels per day of specialty products yielded from processing feedstocks at our
- (3) Karns City and Dickinson facilities and certain third-party facilities pursuant to supply and/or processing agreements. The difference between total production and total feedstock runs is primarily a result of the time lag between the input of feedstocks and the production of finished products.

### Anchor Drilling Fluids

We are an independent provider and marketer of drilling fluids and completion fluids to the oil and gas exploration industry. We design, manufacture and package drilling fluid products at our locations in Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Arkansas, Colorado, Utah, Wyoming, Montana, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Pennsylvania and Ohio. We service oil and gas resource plays in North America, including the Bakken, Barnett, Eagle Ford, Fayetteville, Granite Wash, Haynesville, Marcellus, Niobrara, Permian, Piceance, Uinta and Utica shale formations.

We develop custom formulations and innovative solutions based on unique customer and well specifications. Through our extensive line of drilling and completion fluids, we deliver solutions that reduce drilling and completion time, help to control reservoir formation pressures and maximize oil and gas production, contributing to improved well economics for end-users.

#### **Terminals**

Our terminals are complementary to our refineries and play a key role in moving our products to end-user markets by providing services including distribution and blending to achieve specified products and storage and inventory management. We operate the following terminals:

Burnham Terminal: We own and operate a terminal located on an 11 acre site, in Burnham, Illinois. The Burnham terminal receives specialty products from certain of our refineries primarily by railcar and distributes them by truck and railcar to our customers in the Upper Midwest and East Coast regions of the U.S. and in Canada. The terminal includes a tank farm with 90 tanks having aggregate storage capacity of approximately 150,000 barrels, as well as blending equipment for producing engine oil additives and tackifiers.

Rhinelander Terminal: We own and operate a terminal located on an 18 acre site, in Rhinelander, Wisconsin. The Rhinelander terminal receives asphalt by truck from the Superior refinery and distributes product by truck. Asphalt from this terminal is sold to customers in the Upper Midwest region of the U.S. The terminal includes a tank farm

with four tanks with aggregate storage capacity of approximately 166,000 barrels.

Crookston Terminal: We own and operate a terminal located on a 19 acre site, in Crookston, Minnesota. The Crookston terminal receives asphalt by truck from the Superior refinery and distributes product by truck. Asphalt from this terminal is sold to customers in the Upper Midwest region of the U.S. The terminal includes a tank farm with three tanks with aggregate storage capacity of approximately 156,000 barrels.

Duluth Terminal: We own and operate a terminal located on a 49 acre site, in Proctor, Minnesota. The Duluth terminal is supplied refined fuel products from the Superior refinery by the Magellan pipeline and receives ethanol and biodiesel products by truck. Fuel products from this terminal are distributed by truck to customers in Minnesota and northern Wisconsin. The terminal includes seven tanks with aggregate storage capacity of approximately 200,000 barrels.

In addition to the above terminals, we own and lease additional facilities, primarily related to distribution of finished products, throughout the U.S.

Crude Oil Logistics Assets

We own and operate seven crude oil loading facilities and related assets in North Dakota and Montana, which provide us with the ability to transport crude oil directly from the point of lease into our crude oil loading facilities and then onto the Enbridge Pipeline where it can be routed to our Superior refinery and/or third party customers.

Other Logistics Assets

We use approximately 2,700 railcars leased from various lessors. This fleet of railcars enables us to receive and ship crude oil and distribute various specialty products and fuel products throughout the U.S. and Canada to and from each of our facilities.

Our Crude Oil and Feedstock Supply

We purchase crude oil and other feedstocks from major oil companies as well as from various crude oil gatherers and marketers in Texas, north Louisiana, North Dakota and Canada. Crude oil supplies at our refineries are as follows:

Refinery Crude Oil Slate Mode of Transportation

West Texas Intermediate ("WTI"), local crude oils from East

Shreveport Texas, North Louisiana, Arkansas and Light Louisiana Sweet Tank truck, railcar and Plains Pipeline

("LLS")

Superior Canadian Heavy, Canadian Synthetic, North Dakota Sweet (e.g. Enbridge Pipeline

Bakken) and Mixed Sweet Blend ("MSW")

San Antonio Local Texas sweet crude oil (e.g. Eagle Ford)

Truck and pipeline connected to its

Elmendorf crude oil terminal

Cotton Valley Local paraffinic crude oil Plains Pipeline and tank truck

Great Falls Canadian Heavy and Canadian Sour (e.g. Bow River) Front Range Pipeline

Princeton Local naphthenic crude oil Tank truck, railcar and Plains Pipeline

In 2016, subsidiaries of Plains supplied us with approximately 39.3% of our total crude oil supply under term contracts and month-to-month evergreen crude oil supply contracts. In 2016, BP Products North America Inc. ("BP") supplied us with approximately 25.2% of our total crude oil supply under a crude oil supply agreement. Each of our refineries is dependent on one or more key suppliers and the loss of any of these suppliers would adversely affect our financial results to the extent we were unable to find another supplier of this substantial amount of crude oil. We have short-term and long-term contracts with our crude oil suppliers. For example, a majority of our crude oil supply contracts with Plains are currently month-to-month and terminable upon 90 days' notice. Additionally, we have a crude oil supply agreement with BP which was amended and restated in December 2016 for a term ending March 2020 and automatically renews for successive one-year terms unless terminated by either party upon 90 days' notice ("BP Purchase Agreement"). We also purchase foreign crude oil when its spot market price is attractive relative to the price of crude oil from domestic sources.

We have various long-term feedstock supply agreements with Phillips 66, with some agreements operating under the option to continue on a month-to-month basis thereafter, for feedstocks that are key to the operations of our Karns City and Dickinson facilities. In addition, certain products of our refineries can be used as feedstocks by these facilities.

We believe that adequate supplies of crude oil and feedstocks will continue to be available to us.

Our cost to acquire crude oil and feedstocks and the prices for which we ultimately can sell refined products depend on a number of factors beyond our control, including regional and global supply of and demand for crude oil and other

feedstocks and specialty and fuel products. These, in turn, are dependent upon, among other things, the availability of imports, overall economic conditions, production levels of domestic and foreign suppliers, U.S. relationships with foreign governments, political affairs and the extent of governmental regulation. We have historically been able to pass on the costs associated with increased crude oil and feedstock prices to our specialty products customers, although the increase in selling prices for specialty products typically lags a rising cost of crude oil. From time to time, we use a hedging program to manage a portion of our commodity price risk. Please read Part II, Item 7A "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk — Commodity Price Risk — Derivative Instruments" for a discussion of our hedging program.

### Our Products, Markets and Customers

#### **Products**

Specialty Products and Fuel Products. We produce a full line of specialty products, including lubricating oils, solvents, waxes, packaged and synthetic specialty products, other by-products, as well as a variety of fuel and fuel related products, asphalt and heavy fuel oils. Our customers purchase specialty products primarily as raw material components for basic industrial, consumer and automotive goods.

Oilfield Services. We are an independent provider and marketer of drilling fluids and completion fluids.

Drilling fluids — Drilling fluids, often referred to as "drilling mud," are an essential and critical product of the drilling process for every oil and gas well. We provide three different types of drilling fluids including water-based mud, oil-based mud and synthetic-based mud.

Completion fluids — Completion fluids replace drilling fluids during the final operations leading up to oil and gas production from a well. Completion fluids are critical products designed to control reservoir formation pressures and minimize formation damage in the event of a failure in down hole equipment.

Solids control — Solids control is employed in drilling operations to filter out cuttings and clean the drilling fluid before it is pumped back into the well.

The following table depicts a representative sample of the diversity of end-use applications for the products we produce:

Representative Sample of End-Use Applications by Product

Lubricating Oils	Solvents	Waxes	Packaged and Synthetic Specialty Products	Oilfield Services	Other	Fuels & Fuel Related Products
15% (1)	7% (1)	4% (1)	8% (1)	3% (1)	1% (1)	62% (1)
Hydraulic oils Passenger car motor oils Railroad engine oils Cutting oils Compressor oils Metalworking fluids Transformer oils Rubber process oils Industrial lubricants Gear oils	<ul> <li>Charcoal lighter fluids</li> <li>Chemical processing</li> <li>Drilling fluids</li> <li>Printing inks</li> <li>Water</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Paraffin waxes</li> <li>FDA compliant products</li> <li>Candles</li> <li>Adhesives</li> <li>Crayons</li> <li>Floor care</li> <li>PVC</li> <li>Paint strippers</li> <li>Skin &amp; hair care</li> <li>Timber treatment</li> <li>Waterproofing</li> <li>Pharmaceuticals</li> <li>Cosmetics</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>compressor oils</li> <li>Commercial and military jet engine oil</li> <li>Lubricating greases</li> <li>Gear oils</li> <li>Aviation hydraulic</li> </ul>	fluids • Solids control	• Roofing • Paving	g• Gasoline • Diesel • Jet fuel • Marine fuel • Biodiesel • Ethanol • Ethanol free fuels • Fluid catalytic cracking feedstock • Asphalt vacuum residuals • Mixed butanes • Roofing • Paving • Heavy fuel oils

• Engine treatment Grease additives

Automatic transmission fluid

Animal feed dedusting

Baby oils

Bakery pan oils

Catalyst carriers

Gelatin capsule lubricants

Sunscreen

(1) Based on the percentage of total sales for the year ended December 31, 2016. Except for the listed fuel products and certain packaged and synthetic specialty products, we do not produce any of these end-use products.

### Marketing

Our salespeople regularly visit customers, and our marketing department works closely with both the laboratories at our production facilities and our technical services department to help create specialized blends that will work optimally for our customers.

### Markets

Specialty Products. The specialty products market represents a small portion of the overall petroleum refining industry in the U.S. Of the nearly 140 refineries currently in operation in the U.S., only a small number of the refineries are considered specialty products producers and only a few compete with us in terms of the number of products produced. Our specialty products are utilized in applications across a broad range of industries, including:

industrial goods such as metalworking fluids, belts, hoses, sealing systems, batteries, hot melt adhesives, pressure sensitive tapes, electrical transformers, refrigeration compressors and drilling fluids;

consumer goods such as candles, petroleum jelly, creams, tonics, lotions, coating on paper cups, chewing gum base, automotive aftermarket car-care products (e.g., fuel injection cleaners, tire shines and polishes), lamp oils, charcoal lighter fluids, camping fuel and various aerosol products; and

automotive goods such as motor oils, greases, transmission fluid and tires.

We have the capability to ship our specialty products worldwide. In the U.S., we ship our specialty products via railcars, trucks and barges. We use our fleet of approximately 2,700 leased railcars to ship our specialty products and a majority of our specialty products sales are shipped in trucks owned and operated by several different third-party carriers. For shipments outside of North America, which accounted for less than 10% of our consolidated sales in 2016, we ship via railcars and trucks to several ports where the product is loaded onto vessels for shipment to customers abroad.

Fuel Products. The fuel products market represents a large portion of the overall petroleum refining industry in the U.S. Of the nearly 140 refineries currently in operation in the U.S., a large number of the refineries are fuel products producers; however, only a few compete with us in our local markets.

Gulf Coast Market (PADD 3)

Fuel products produced at our Shreveport refinery can be sold locally or to the Midwest region of the U.S. through the TEPPCO pipeline. Local sales are made from the TEPPCO terminal in Bossier City, Louisiana, located approximately 15 miles from the Shreveport refinery, as well as from our own Shreveport refinery terminal.

Gasoline, diesel and jet fuel from the Shreveport refinery is sold primarily into the Louisiana, Texas and Arkansas markets, and any excess volumes are sold to marketers further up the TEPPCO pipeline. Should the appropriate market conditions arise, we have the capability to redirect and sell additional volumes into the Louisiana, Texas and Arkansas markets rather than transport them to the Midwest region via the TEPPCO pipeline.

The Shreveport refinery has the capacity to produce about 9,000 bpd of commercial jet fuel that can be marketed to the U.S. Department of Defense, sold as Jet-A locally or sold via the TEPPCO pipeline, or occasionally transferred to the Cotton Valley refinery to be processed further as a feedstock to produce solvents. We have a sales contract with the U.S. Department of Defense for approximately 2,200 bpd of jet fuel. This contract is effective until March 2018 and is bid annually.

Fuel products produced at our San Antonio refinery are sold locally in Texas. Additionally, the San Antonio refinery produces commercial and specialty jet fuel that can be marketed to the U.S. Department of Defense or sold locally as Jet-A fuel. We have a sales contract with the U.S. Department of Defense for approximately 600 bpd of jet fuel. This contract is effective until March 2019.

Additionally, we produce a number of fuel-related products including fluid catalytic cracking ("FCC") feedstock, vacuum residuals and mixed butanes. FCC feedstock is sold to other refiners as a feedstock for their FCC units to make fuel products. Vacuum residuals are blended or processed further to make asphalt products. Volumes of vacuum residuals which we cannot process are sold locally into the fuel oil market or sold via railcar to other refiners. Mixed butanes are primarily available in the summer months and are primarily sold to local marketers. If the mixed butanes are not sold, they are blended into our gasoline production.

Upper Midwest Market (PADD 2)

Fuel products produced at our Superior refinery can be sold locally, in the Upper Midwest region of the U.S. and in Canada. The Superior wholesale business sells fuel products produced at the Superior refinery through several Magellan pipeline terminals in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, North Dakota, South Dakota and Utah and through its own leased or owned product terminals located in Superior, Wisconsin, and Duluth, Minnesota. The Superior

wholesale business also sells gasoline wholesale to Calumet branded gas stations throughout the Upper Midwest, which are owned and operated by independent franchisees.

### Northwest Market (PADD 4)

Fuel products produced at our Great Falls refinery can be sold locally and in Missouri, Oklahoma, Texas, Arizona, North Dakota, South Dakota, Idaho, Oregon, Utah, Wyoming, Nevada, California and Canada. Seasonally, the Great Falls refinery transports fuel products to terminals in Washington.

We have a sales contract with the U.S. Department of Defense for approximately 150 bpd of jet fuel. This contract is effective until September 2017.

Oilfield Services. We sell oilfield products and services in the Bakken, Barnett, Eagle Ford, Fayetteville, Granite Wash, Haynesville, Marcellus, Niobrara, Permian, Piceance, Uinta and Utica shale formations.

### Customers

Specialty Products. We have a diverse customer base for our specialty products, with approximately 3,700 active accounts. Many of our customers are long-term customers who use our products in specialty applications, after an approval process ranging from six months to two years. No single customer in our specialty products segment accounted for 10% or greater of consolidated sales in each of the three years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Fuel Products. We have a diverse customer base for our fuel products, with approximately 500 active accounts. Our diverse customer base includes wholesale distributors and retail chains. We are able to sell the majority of the fuel products we produce at the Shreveport refinery to the local markets of Louisiana, Texas and Arkansas. We also have the ability to ship additional fuel products from the Shreveport refinery to the Midwest region through the TEPPCO pipeline should the need arise. Additionally, we are able to sell the majority of the fuel products we produce at the Superior refinery to local markets in Minnesota and Wisconsin. We also have the ability to ship additional fuel products from the Superior refinery to the Upper Midwest region through the Magellan pipeline. The majority of our fuel products produced at our Great Falls refinery are sold to local markets in Montana and Idaho as well as in Canada. Fuel products produced at our San Antonio refinery are sold to local markets in Texas. No single customer in our fuel products segment represented 10% or greater of consolidated sales in each of the three years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Oilfield Services. We have a diversified, established and unique customer base for our oilfield services, with approximately 200 active accounts. Our customers are companies operating in the domestic oil and gas exploration and production industry. No single customer in our oilfield services segment accounted for 10% or greater of consolidated sales in each of the three years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

### Competition

Competition in our markets is from a combination of large, integrated petroleum companies, independent refiners, wax production companies and oilfield services companies. Many of our competitors are substantially larger than us and are engaged on a national or international basis in many segments of the petroleum products business, including exploration and production, refining, transportation and marketing. These competitors may have greater flexibility in responding to or absorbing market changes occurring in one or more of these business segments. We distinguish our competitors according to the products that they produce. Set forth below is a description of our significant competitors according to product category.

Naphthenic Lubricating Oils. Our primary competitors in producing naphthenic lubricating oils include Ergon Refining, Inc., Cross Oil Refining and Marketing, Inc., San Joaquin Refining Co., Inc. and Martin Midstream Partners L.P.

Paraffinic Lubricating Oils. Our primary competitors in producing paraffinic lubricating oils include ExxonMobil Corporation, Motiva Enterprises, LLC, Phillips 66, Petro-Canada, HollyFrontier Corporation, Chevron Corporation, Sonneborn Refined Products and Royal Dutch Shell plc.

Paraffin Waxes. Our primary competitors in producing paraffin waxes include ExxonMobil, HollyFrontier Corporation, The International Group Inc. and Sonneborn Refined Products.

Solvents. Our primary competitors in producing solvents include CITGO Petroleum Corporation, ExxonMobil Chemical, Phillips 66 and Royal Dutch Shell plc.

Polyolester-Based Specialty Products. Our primary competitors in producing polyolester-based specialty products include Chemtura Corporation, BASF Corporation and JX Nippon Oil and Energy.

Packaged and Synthetic Specialty Products. Our primary competitors in retail and commercial packaged and synthetic specialty products include ExxonMobil (Mobil 1), Valvoline, Inc. and BP Lubricants USA (Castrol). Our primary competitors in industrial packaged and synthetic specialty products include ExxonMobil Corporation, Royal Dutch Shell plc and Chevron.

Fuel Products and By-Products. Our primary competitors in producing fuel products in the local markets in which we operate include Delek US Holdings, Flint Hills Resources, Northern Tier Energy LP, ExxonMobil, Valero Energy Corporation, Phillips 66, Cenex, Alon USA and Marathon Petroleum Corporation.

Oilfield Services. Our primary competitors in servicing oilfields in the local markets in which we operate include Schlumberger, Halliburton, Newpark Resources and other regional competition.

Our ability to compete effectively depends on our responsiveness to customer needs and our ability to maintain competitive prices and product and service offerings. We believe that our flexibility and customer responsiveness differentiate us from many of our larger competitors. However, it is possible that new or existing competitors could enter the markets in which we operate, which could negatively affect our financial performance.

## Governmental Regulation

From time to time, we are a party to certain claims and litigation incidental to our business, including claims made by various taxation and regulatory authorities, such as the EPA, various state environmental regulatory bodies, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"), various state and local departments of revenue and the federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA"), as the result of audits or reviews of our business. In addition, we have property, business interruption, general liability and various other insurance policies that may result in certain losses or expenditures being reimbursed to us.

Environmental and Occupational Health and Safety Matters

### Environmental

We conduct crude oil and specialty hydrocarbon refining, blending and terminal operations in addition to providing oilfield services and products, which activities are subject to stringent federal, state, regional and local laws and regulations governing worker health and safety, the discharge of materials into the environment and environmental protection. These laws and regulations impose obligations that are applicable to our operations, such as requiring the acquisition of permits to conduct regulated activities, restricting the manner in which we may release materials into the environment, requiring remedial activities or capital expenditures to mitigate pollution from former or current operations, requiring the application of specific health and safety criteria addressing worker protection and imposing substantial liabilities for pollution resulting from our operations. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of sanctions, including administrative, civil and criminal penalties; the imposition of investigatory, remedial or corrective action obligations or the corresponding incurrence of capital expenditures; the occurrence of delays in the permitting, development or expansion of projects; and the issuance of injunctive relief limiting or prohibiting our activities in a particular area. Moreover, certain of these laws impose joint and several, strict liability for costs required to remediate and restore sites where petroleum hydrocarbons, wastes or other materials have been disposed of or released. In addition, new laws and regulations, new interpretations of existing laws and regulations, increased governmental enforcement or other developments could significantly increase our operational or compliance expenditures, as discussed below in more detail.

Remediation of subsurface contamination is in process at certain of our refinery sites and is being overseen by the appropriate state agencies. Based on current investigative and remedial activities, we believe that the soil and groundwater contamination at these refineries can be controlled or remedied without having a material adverse effect on our financial condition. However, such costs are often unpredictable and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the future costs will not become material.

### San Antonio Refinery

In connection with the San Antonio Acquisition, we agreed to indemnify NuStar for an unlimited term and without consideration of a monetary deductible or cap from any environmental liabilities associated with the San Antonio refinery, except for any governmental penalties or fines that may result from NuStar's actions or inactions during NuStar's 20-month period of ownership of the San Antonio refinery. Anadarko Petroleum Corporation ("Anadarko") and Age Refining, Inc. ("Age Refining"), a third party that has since entered bankruptcy, are subject to a 1995 Agreed Order from the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, now known as the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, pursuant to which Anadarko and Age Refining are obligated to assess and remediate certain contamination at the San Antonio refinery that predates our acquisition of the facility. We do not expect this pre-existing contamination

at the San Antonio refinery to have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations. Great Falls Refinery

In connection with the acquisition of the Great Falls refinery from Connacher Oil and Gas Limited ("Connacher"), we became a party to an existing 2002 Refinery Initiative Consent Decree (the "Great Falls Consent Decree") with the EPA and the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (the "MDEQ"). The material obligations imposed by the Great Falls Consent Decree have been completed. On September 27, 2012, Montana Refining Company, Inc., received a final Corrective Action Order on Consent, replacing the refinery's previously held hazardous waste permit. This Corrective Action Order on Consent governs the investigation and remediation of contamination at the Great Falls refinery. We believe the majority of damages related to such contamination

at the Great Falls refinery are covered by a contractual indemnity provided by HollyFrontier Corporation ("Holly"), the owner and operator of the Great Falls refinery prior to its acquisition by Connacher, under an asset purchase agreement between Holly and Connacher, pursuant to which Connacher acquired the Great Falls refinery. Under this asset purchase agreement, Holly agreed to indemnify Connacher and Montana Refining Company, Inc., subject to timely notification, certain conditions and certain monetary baskets and caps, for environmental conditions arising under Holly's ownership and operation of the Great Falls refinery and existing as of the date of sale to Connacher. During 2014, Holly provided us a notice challenging our position that Holly is obligated to indemnify our remediation expenses for environmental conditions to the extent arising under Holly's ownership and operation of the refinery and existing as of the date of sale to Connacher, which expenses totaled approximately \$18.7 million as of December 31, 2016, of which \$14.6 million was capitalized into the cost of our recently completed expansion project and \$4.1 million was expensed. We continue to believe that Holly is responsible to indemnify us for these remediation expenses disputed by Holly, and on September 22, 2015, we initiated a lawsuit against Holly and the sellers of the Great Falls refinery that were party to the asset purchase agreement. On November 24, 2015, Holly and such sellers filed a motion to dismiss the case pending arbitration. On February 10, 2016, the court ordered that all of the claims be addressed in arbitration. Arbitration is scheduled for early 2018. In the event we are unsuccessful in our legal dispute with Holly, we will be responsible for those remediation expenses. We expect that we may incur some costs to remediate other environmental conditions at the Great Falls refinery; however, we believe at this time that these other costs we may incur will not be material to our financial position or results of operations. **Superior Refinery** 

In connection with the acquisition of the Superior refinery, we became a party to an existing Refinery Initiative Consent Decree ("Superior Consent Decree") with the EPA and the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources ("WDNR") that applies, in part, to our Superior refinery. Under the Superior Consent Decree, we must complete certain reductions in air emissions at the Superior refinery as well as report upon certain emissions from the refinery to the EPA and the WDNR. We estimate costs of up to \$5.0 million, as of December 31, 2016, to make known equipment upgrades and conduct other discrete tasks in compliance with the Superior Consent Decree. Failure to perform these required tasks under the Superior Consent Decree could result in the imposition of stipulated penalties, which could be material. We are currently assessing certain past actions at the refinery for compliance with the terms of the Superior Consent Decree, which actions may be subject to stipulated penalties under the Superior Consent Decree but, in any event, we do not currently believe that the imposition of such penalties for those actions, should they be imposed, would be material. In addition, we are pursuing certain additional environmental and safety-related projects at the Superior refinery. Completion of these additional projects will result in us incurring costs, which could be substantial. During 2016, we incurred less than \$0.1 million for costs related to installing process equipment at the Superior refinery pursuant to the EPA fuel content regulations.

In June 2012, the EPA issued a Finding of Violation/Notice of Violation to the Superior refinery, which included a

proposed penalty amount of \$0.1 million. This finding is in response to information that we provided to the EPA in response to an information request. The EPA alleges that the efficiency of the flares at the Superior refinery is lower than regulatory requirements. We are contesting the allegations and are in settlement discussions with the EPA to resolve this issue. We have not yet received formal action from the EPA. We do not believe that the resolution of these allegations will have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

We are contractually indemnified by Murphy Oil Corporation ("Murphy Oil") under an asset purchase agreement between Murphy Oil and us for specified environmental liabilities arising from the operation of the Superior refinery including: (i) certain obligations arising out of the Superior Consent Decree (including payment of a civil penalty required under the Superior Consent Decree), (ii) certain liabilities arising in connection with Murphy Oil's transport of certain wastes and other materials to specified offsite real properties for disposal or recycling prior to the Superior Acquisition and (iii) certain liabilities for certain third party actions, suits or proceedings alleging exposure, prior to the Superior Acquisition, of an individual to wastes or other materials at the specified on-site real property, which wastes or other materials were spilled, released, emitted or otherwise discharged by Murphy Oil. We believe

contractual indemnity by Murphy Oil for such specified environmental liabilities is unlimited in duration and not

subject to any monetary deductibles or maximums. The amount of any damages payable by Murphy Oil pursuant to the contractual indemnities under the asset purchase agreement are net of any amount recoverable under an environmental insurance policy that we obtained in connection with the Superior Acquisition, which named Murphy Oil and us as insureds and covers environmental conditions existing at the Superior refinery prior to the Superior Acquisition.

Shreveport, Cotton Valley and Princeton Refineries

On December 23, 2010, we entered into a settlement agreement with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality ("LDEQ") under LDEQ's "Small Refinery and Single Site Refinery Initiative," covering the Shreveport, Princeton and Cotton Valley refineries. This settlement agreement became effective on January 31, 2012. The settlement agreement, termed the "Global Settlement," resolved alleged violations of the federal Clean Air Act, as amended ("CAA"), and federal Clean Water Act regulations that arose prior to December 23, 2010. Among other things, we agreed to complete beneficial environmental programs and implement emissions reduction projects at our Shreveport, Cotton Valley and Princeton refineries on an agreed-upon schedule.

During 2016 and 2015, we incurred approximately \$2.4 million and \$6.8 million, respectively. The Global Settlement is substantially complete and any remaining capital investment requirements will be incorporated into our annual capital expenditures budget, and we do not expect any additional capital expenditures included in the Global Settlement to have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

We are contractually indemnified by Shell Oil Company ("Shell"), as successor to Pennzoil-Quaker State Company, and Atlas Processing Company, under an asset purchase agreement between Shell and us, for specified environmental liabilities arising from the operations of the Shreveport refinery prior to our acquisition of the facility. We believe the contractual indemnity is unlimited in amount and duration, but requires us to contribute \$1.0 million of the first \$5.0 million of indemnified costs for certain of the specified environmental liabilities.

Bel-Ray Facility

Bel-Ray executed an Administrative Consent Order ("ACO") with the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, effective January 4, 1994, which required investigation and remediation of contamination at or emanating from the Bel-Ray facility. In 2000, Bel-Ray entered into a fixed price remediation contract with Weston Solutions ("Weston"), a large remediation contractor, whereby Weston agreed to be fully liable for the remediation of the soil and groundwater issues at the facility, including an offsite groundwater plume pursuant to the ACO ("Weston Agreement"). The Weston Agreement set up a trust fund to reimburse Weston, administered by Bel-Ray's environmental counsel. As of December 31, 2016, the trust fund contained approximately \$0.5 million. In addition, Weston has remediation cost containment insurance, should Weston be unable to complete the work required under the Weston Agreement. In connection with the Bel-Ray Acquisition, we became a party to the Weston Agreement. Weston has been addressing the environmental issues at the Bel-Ray facility over time, and the next phase will address the groundwater issues, which extend offsite.

### Air Emissions

Our operations are subject to the federal CAA, and comparable state and local laws. The CAA Amendments of 1990 require most industrial operations in the U.S. to incur capital expenditures to meet the air emission control standards that are developed and implemented by the EPA and state environmental agencies. Under the CAA, facilities that emit regulated air pollutants are subject to stringent regulations, including requirements to install various levels of control technology on sources of pollutants. In addition, in recent years, the petroleum refining sector has become subject to stringent federal regulations that impose maximum achievable control technology ("MACT") on refinery equipment emitting certain listed hazardous air pollutants. Some of our facilities have been included within the categories of sources regulated by MACT rules. Our refining and terminal operations that emit regulated air pollutants are also subject to air emissions permitting requirements that incorporate stringent control technology requirements for which we may incur significant capital expenditures. Any renewal of those air emissions permits or a need to modify existing or obtain new air emissions permits has the potential to delay the development of our projects. We can provide no assurance that future compliance with existing or any new laws, regulations or permit requirements will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or results of operations. For example, on October 1, 2015, the EPA issued a final rule under the CAA that became effective on December 28, 2015, lowering the National Ambient Air Quality Standard ("NAAQS") for ground-level ozone to 70 parts per billion under both the primary and secondary standards to provide requisite protection of public health and welfare, respectively. The EPA is required to make attainment and non-attainment designations for specific geographic locations under the review standards by October 1, 2017. With the EPA lowering the ground-level ozone standard, states may be required to implement more stringent regulations, which could apply to our operations. Also, in December 2015, the EPA published a final rule that amends three refinery standards already in effect, imposing additional or, in some cases, new emission control requirements on subject refineries. The final rule requires, among other things, the monitoring of air concentrations of benzene around the refinery fence line perimeter and submittal of the fence line monitoring data to the EPA on a quarterly basis; upgraded emissions controls for storage tanks, including controls for smaller capacity storage vessels and storage vessels storing materials with lower vapor pressures than previously regulated; enhanced performance requirements for flares including the use of a minimum of three pollution prevention measures, continuous monitoring of flares and pressure release devices and analysis and remedy of flare release events; and compliance with emissions

standards for delayed coking units. These final rules and any other future air emissions rulemakings could impact us by requiring installation of new emission controls on some of our equipment, resulting in longer permitting timelines, and significantly increasing our capital expenditures and operating costs, which could adversely impact our business. From time to time the CAA authorizes the EPA to require modifications in the formulation of the refined transportation fuel products we manufacture in order to limit the emissions associated with the fuel product's final use. For example, in February 2000, the EPA published regulations limiting the sulfur content allowed in gasoline. These regulations, referred to as "Tier 2 Standards," required the phase-in of gasoline sulfur standards beginning in 2004, with special provisions for small refiners and for refiners serving those western U.S. states exhibiting lesser air quality problems. Similarly, the EPA published regulations that limit the sulfur content of highway diesel beginning in 2006 from its former level of 500 parts per million ("ppm") to 15 ppm (the "ultra-low sulfur standard"). Our Shreveport, Superior, Great Falls and San Antonio refineries have implemented the sulfur standard

with respect to produced gasoline and produced diesel meeting the ultra-low sulfur standard. In April 2014, the EPA published more stringent sulfur standards, referred to as "Tier 3 Standards," including requiring that motor gasoline will not contain more than 10 ppm of sulfur on an annual average basis by January 1, 2017, except in those instances where refineries receive a "small refinery" exemption, in which event the deadline is extended to January 1, 2020. Our Shreveport, Superior, Great Falls and San Antonio refineries received small refinery exemptions and, thus will implement the 10 ppm sulfur standard with respect to produced gasoline by January 1, 2020. In addition, we are required to meet the MSAT II Standards adopted by the EPA to reduce the benzene content of motor gasoline produced at our facilities and have completed capital projects at our Shreveport, Superior, Great Falls and San Antonio refineries to comply with those fuel quality requirements.

The EPA has issued Renewable Fuel Standard ("RFS") mandates, requiring refiners such as us to blend renewable fuels into the petroleum fuels they produce and sell in the U.S. We, and other refiners subject to RFS, may meet the RFS requirements by blending the necessary volumes of renewable transportation fuels produced by us or purchased from third parties. To the extent that refiners will not or cannot blend renewable fuels into the products they produce in the quantities required to satisfy their obligations under the RFS program, those refiners must purchase renewable credits, referred to as RINs, to maintain compliance. To the extent that we exceed the minimum volumetric requirements for blending of renewable transportation fuels, we generate our own RINs for which we have the option of retaining the RINs for current or future RFS compliance or selling those RINs on the open market.

Under the RFS program, the volume of renewable fuels that obligated parties are required to blend into their finished petroleum fuels increases annually over time until 2022. Our Shreveport, Superior, Great Falls and San Antonio refineries are normally subject to compliance with the RFS mandates. However, the RFS program further provides for a small refinery to be granted a temporary exemption from its annual mandated volume of renewable fuels if such refinery can demonstrate that compliance with those mandated volumes would cause the refinery to suffer disproportionate economic hardship. The EPA granted certain of our refineries a "small refinery exemption" under the RFS for the 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016 calendar years. Under these exemptions granted by the EPA, such refineries are not subject to the requirements of RFS as an "obligated party" for fuels produced at these refineries for the calendar years of 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016.

On November 30, 2015, the EPA issued final multi-year volume mandates for 2014 to 2016 under RFS. While these volume mandates are generally lower than the statutory mandates, they represent a slight increase over the volumes initially proposed by the EPA for this three-year period and such volume mandates could be increased in the future. We have received a small refinery exemption for certain of our refineries for the full year 2016 and have applied for the small refinery exemption at selected other refineries for the full year 2016 and are in the process of an assessment to determine which of our fuels refineries potentially could be eligible for economic hardship exemptions for the 2017 calendar year. While we received a small refinery exemption for certain of our refineries for 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016, there is no assurance that such an exemption will be obtained for any of our refineries for the 2016 year or in future years, which would result in the need for more RINs for the applicable calendar year. Our gross 2016 annual RINs obligation, which includes RINs that were required to be secured through either our own blending or through the purchase of RINs in the open market, was 112 million RINs for the 2016 calendar year.

On October 13, 2010, the EPA raised the maximum amount of ethanol content allowed under federal law from 10% to 15% for cars and light trucks manufactured since 2007, and on January 21, 2011, EPA extended the maximum allowable ethanol content of 15% to apply to cars and light trucks manufactured between 2001 and 2006. The maximum amount allowed under federal law currently remains at 10% ethanol for all other vehicles. EPA required that fuel and fuel additive manufacturers take certain steps before introducing gasoline containing 15% ethanol ("E15") into the market, including developing and obtaining EPA approval of a plan to minimize the potential for E15 to be used in vehicles and engines not covered by the partial waiver. EPA has taken several recent actions to authorize the introduction of E15 into the market, including approving, on June 15, 2012, the first plans to minimize the potential for E15 to be used in vehicles and engines not covered by the partial waiver, followed by approving, on February 7, 2013, a new blender pump configuration for general use by retail stations that wish to dispense E15 and gasoline containing 10% ethanol ("E10") from a common hose and nozzle. Existing laws and regulations could change, and the

minimum volumes of renewable fuels that must be blended with refined petroleum fuels may increase. Because we do not produce renewable transportation fuels at all of our refineries, increasing the volume of renewable fuels that must be blended into our products displaces an increasing volume of our Shreveport, Superior, Great Falls and San Antonio refineries' fuel products pool, potentially resulting in lower earnings and materially adversely affecting our ability to make payments of our debt obligations.

# Climate Change

Climate change continues to attract considerable public and scientific attention. As a result, numerous proposals have been made and are likely to continue to be made at the international, national, regional and state levels of government to monitor and limit emissions of greenhouse gases ("GHG"). These efforts have included consideration of cap-and-trade programs, carbon taxes, GHG reporting and tracking programs and regulations that directly limit GHG emissions from certain sources.

At the federal level, no comprehensive climate change legislation has been implemented to date but a number of states or grouping of states have already taken legal measures to reduce emissions of GHG, primarily through the planned development of

GHG emission inventories and/or GHG cap-and-trade programs. Additionally, the EPA has adopted regulations under existing provisions of the federal CAA that, among other things, establish Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") construction and Title V operating permit program requiring reviews for GHG emissions from certain large stationary sources that are also potential major sources of criteria pollutant emissions. Facilities required to obtain PSD permits for their GHG emissions will also be required to meet "best available control technology" standards. Moreover, on December 23, 2010, the EPA entered a settlement agreement with environmental groups requiring the agency to propose by December 10, 2011, GHG New Source Performance Standards ("NSPS") for refineries and to finalize these rules by November 15, 2012. To date, the EPA has not completed those rulemakings, and we do not know when they will be completed. In addition, the EPA has adopted rules requiring the monitoring and reporting of GHG emissions from specified large GHG emission sources in the U.S., including petroleum refineries, on an annual basis. We monitor for and report upon GHG emissions at our facilities, where required. These EPA policies and rulemakings or any new administrative legal requirements could adversely affect our operations and restrict or delay our ability to obtain air permits for new or modified facilities.

On an international level, in December 2015, the U.S. joined the international community at the 21st Conference of the Parties of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in Paris, France that requires member countries to review and "represent a progression" in their intended nationally determined contributions, which set GHG emission reduction goals every five years beginning in 2020. Although this agreement does not create any binding obligations for nations to limit their GHG emissions, it does include pledges to voluntarily limit or reduce future emissions. The adoption of any legislation or regulations that requires reporting of GHG or otherwise limits emissions of GHG from our equipment and operations could require us to incur costs to reduce emissions of GHG associated with our operations or could adversely affect demand for the refined petroleum products that we produce. For example, in June 2016, the EPA published new source performance standards ("NSPS"), known as Subpart Quad OOOOa, that require certain new, modified or reconstructed facilities in the oil and natural gas sector to reduce these methane gas and volatile organic compound emissions. These Subpart OOOOa standards will expand previously issued NSPS published by the EPA in 2012 and known as Subpart OOOO, by using certain equipment-specific emissions control practices. Moreover, in November 2016, the EPA issued a final Information Collection Request ("ICR") seeking information about methane emissions from facilities and operators in the oil and natural gas industry that could be used in developing standards for existing sources in the oil and natural gas industry. Finally, it should be noted that some scientists have concluded that increasing concentrations of GHG in the earth's atmosphere may produce climate changes that have significant physical effects, such as increased frequency and severity of storms, floods and other climatic events; if any such effects were to occur, they could have an adverse effect on our operations.

### Hazardous Substances and Wastes

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), also known as the "Superfund" law, and comparable state laws impose liability without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, on certain classes of persons who are considered to be responsible for the release of a hazardous substance into the environment. Such classes of persons include the current and past owners and operators of sites where a hazardous substance was released and companies that disposed or arranged for disposal of hazardous substances at offsite locations, such as landfills. Under CERCLA, these "responsible persons" may be subject to joint and several, strict liability for the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released into the environment, for damages to natural resources, and for the costs of certain health studies. It is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the release of hazardous substances into the environment. In the course of our operations, we generate wastes or handle substances that may be regulated as hazardous substances, and we could become subject to liability under CERCLA and comparable state laws.

We also may incur liability under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended ("RCRA"), and comparable state laws, which impose requirements related to the handling, storage, treatment and disposal of hazardous and non-hazardous wastes. In the course of our operations, we generate petroleum product wastes and

ordinary industrial wastes that may be regulated as hazardous wastes. In addition, our operations also generate non-hazardous solid wastes, which are regulated under RCRA and state laws. Historically, our environmental compliance costs under the existing requirements of RCRA and similar state and local laws have not had a material adverse effect on our results of operations, and the cost involved in complying with these requirements is not material. We currently own or operate, and have in the past owned or operated, properties that for many years have been used for refining and terminal activities. These properties have in the past been operated by third parties whose treatment and disposal or release of petroleum hydrocarbons and wastes were not under our control. Although we used operating and disposal practices that were standard in the industry at the time, petroleum hydrocarbons or wastes have been released on or under the properties owned or operated by us. These properties and the materials disposed or released on them may be subject to CERCLA, RCRA and analogous state laws. Under such laws, we could be required to remove or remediate previously disposed wastes or property contamination or to perform remedial activities to prevent future contamination.

In addition, new laws and regulations, new interpretations of existing laws and regulations, increased governmental enforcement or other developments could require us to make additional unforeseen expenditures. Many of these laws and regulations are becoming increasingly stringent, and the cost of compliance with these requirements can be expected to increase over time. For example, in 2012, the EPA published final amendments to the NSPS for petroleum refineries, including standards for emissions of nitrogen oxides from process heaters and work practice standards and monitoring requirements for flares.

Remediation of subsurface contamination is in process at certain of our refinery sites and is being overseen by the appropriate state agencies. Based on current investigative and remedial activities, we believe that the soil and groundwater contamination at these refineries can be controlled or remedied without having a material adverse effect on our financial condition. However, such costs are often unpredictable and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the future costs will not become material.

## Water Discharges

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972, as amended, also known as the federal Clean Water Act, and analogous state laws impose restrictions and stringent controls on the discharge of pollutants, including oil, into federal and state waters. Such discharges are prohibited, except in accordance with the terms of a permit issued by the EPA or the appropriate state agencies. Any unpermitted release of pollutants, including crude oil or hydrocarbon specialty oils as well as refined products, could result in penalties, as well as significant remedial obligations. Spill prevention, control, and countermeasure requirements of federal laws require appropriate containment berms and similar structures to help prevent the contamination of navigable waters in the event of a petroleum hydrocarbon tank spill, rupture, or leak. The EPA retains jurisdiction over federal waters of the U.S. pursuant to the Clean Water Act and published a final rule in June 2015, that attempted to clarify this jurisdiction over such waters of the U.S.; however, this rule is alleged to have impermissibly broadened such jurisdiction and thus the rule is subject to various legal impediments, including formalized opposition, lawsuits and/or court stays. Historically, our environmental compliance costs under the existing requirements of the federal Clean Water Act and similar state laws have not had a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

The primary federal law for oil spill liability is the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, as amended ("OPA"), which addresses three principal areas of oil pollution — prevention, containment and cleanup. OPA applies to vessels, offshore facilities and onshore facilities, including refineries, terminals and associated facilities that may affect waters of the U.S. Under OPA, responsible parties, including owners and operators of onshore facilities, may be subject to oil cleanup costs and natural resource damages as well as a variety of public and private damages from oil spills. Our past environmental compliance with OPA and similar state laws have not had a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Occupational Health and Safety

We are subject to various laws and regulations relating to occupational health and safety, including OSHA and comparable state laws. These laws and regulations strictly govern the protection of the health and safety of employees. In addition, OSHA's hazard communication standard requires that information be maintained about hazardous materials used or produced in our operations and that this information be provided to employees, contractors, state and local government authorities and customers. We maintain safety and training programs as part of our ongoing efforts to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations. We conduct periodic audits of Process Safety Management ("PSM") systems at each of our locations subject to the PSM standard. Our compliance with applicable health and safety laws and regulations has required, and continues to require, substantial expenditures. Changes in occupational safety and health laws and regulations or a finding of non-compliance with current laws and regulations could result in additional capital expenditures or operating expenses, as well as civil penalties and, in the event of a serious injury or fatality, criminal charges.

In the first quarter of 2011, OSHA conducted an inspection of the Cotton Valley refinery's PSM program. On March 14, 2011, OSHA issued a Citation and Notification of Penalty (the "Cotton Valley Citation") to us as a result of our Cotton Valley inspection, which included a proposed penalty amount of \$0.2 million. We have contested the Cotton Valley Citation and have reached a tentative settlement with OSHA on the matter, which we do not believe will have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

### Other Environmental and Maintenance Items

We perform preventive and normal maintenance on most, if not all, of our refining and terminal assets and make repairs and replacements when necessary or appropriate. We also conduct inspections of these assets as required by law or regulation.

### Insurance

Our operations are subject to certain hazards of operations, including fire, explosion and weather-related perils. We maintain insurance policies, including business interruption insurance for each of our facilities, with insurers in amounts and with coverage and deductibles that we, with the advice of our insurance advisors and brokers, believe are reasonable and prudent. We cannot, however, ensure that this insurance will be adequate to protect us from all material expenses related to potential future claims for personal and property damage or that these levels of insurance will be available in the future at economical prices. We are not fully

insured against certain risks because such risks are not fully insurable, coverage is unavailable, or premium costs, in our judgment, do not justify such expenditures.

# Seasonality

The operating results for the fuel products segment, including the selling prices of asphalt products we produce, generally follow seasonal demand trends. Asphalt demand is generally lower in the first and fourth quarters of the year, as compared to the second and third quarters, due to the seasonality of the road construction and roofing industries we supply. Demand for gasoline and diesel is generally higher during the summer months than during the winter months due to seasonal increases in highway traffic. In addition, our natural gas costs can be higher during the winter months, as demand for natural gas as a heating fuel increases during the winter. As a result, our operating results for the first and fourth calendar quarters may be lower than those for the second and third calendar quarters of each year due to seasonality related to these and other products that we produce and sell.

The operating results for the oilfield services segment follow seasonal changes in weather and significant weather events can temporarily affect the performance and delivery of our oilfield services and products. The severity and duration of the winter can have a significant impact on drilling activity. Additionally, customer spending patterns for other oilfield services and products can result in lower activity in the fourth quarter.

# **Properties**

We own and lease the principal properties which are listed below. The principal properties which we own, among others not listed below, are pledged as collateral under our Collateral Trust Agreement as discussed in Part II, Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources — Debt and Credit Facilities." We believe that all properties are suitable for their intended purpose, are being efficiently utilized and provide adequate capacity to meet demand for the next several years.

Property	Business Segment(s)	Acres	Owned / Leased	Location
Shreveport refinery	Fuels and Specialty	240	Owned	Shreveport, Louisiana
Superior refinery	Fuels	675	Owned	Superior, Wisconsin
Great Falls refinery	Fuels	86	Owned	Great Falls, Montana
San Antonio refinery	Fuels and Specialty	32	Owned	San Antonio, Texas
Princeton refinery	Specialty	208	Owned	Princeton, Louisiana
Cotton Valley refinery	Specialty	77	Owned	Cotton Valley, Louisiana
Burnham terminal	Specialty	11	Owned	Burnham, Illinois
Karns City facility	Specialty	225	Owned	Karns City, Pennsylvania
Dickinson facility	Specialty	28	Owned	Dickinson, Texas
Rhinelander terminal	Fuels	18	Owned	Rhinelander, Wisconsin
Crookston terminal	Fuels	19	Owned	Crookston, Minnesota
Missouri facility	Specialty	22	Owned	Louisiana, Missouri
Calumet Packaging facility	Specialty	10	Leased	Shreveport, Louisiana
Royal Purple facility	Specialty	28	Owned	Porter, Texas
Bel-Ray facility	Specialty	32	Owned	Wall Township, New Jersey
Elmendorf terminal	Fuels	8	Owned	Elmendorf, Texas
Duluth terminal	Fuels	49	Owned	Proctor, Minnesota
T 111/2 / /1 1/2 11 /	1 1 1		1	1 11 1

In addition to the items listed above, we lease or own a number of storage tanks, railcars, warehouses, equipment, land, crude oil loading facilities and precious metals.

### **Intellectual Property**

Our patents relating to our refining operations are not material to us as a whole. Our products consist of composition patents which are integral to the formulas of our products. We own, have registered or applied for registration of a variety of tradenames, service marks and trademarks for us in our business. The trademarks, tradenames and design marks under which we conduct our branded business (including Royal Purple, Bel-Ray, TruFuel and Quantum) and other trademarks employed in the marketing of our products are integral to our marketing operations. We also license intellectual property rights from third parties. We are not aware of any facts as of the date of this filing which would

negatively impact our continuing use of our tradenames, service marks or trademarks.

### Office Facilities

In addition to our principal properties discussed above, as of December 31, 2016, we were a party to a number of cancelable and noncancelable leases for certain properties, including our corporate headquarters in Indianapolis, Indiana, and administrative offices in Houston, Texas. The corporate headquarters lease is for 58,501 square feet of office space. The lease term expires in August 2024. The Houston facility lease is for 24,025 square feet of office space. The lease term expires in August 2022. See Note 6 "Commitments and Contingencies" in Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data — Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" of this Annual Report for additional information regarding our leases.

While we may require additional office space as our business expands, we believe that our existing facilities are adequate to meet our needs for the immediate future and that additional facilities will be available on commercially reasonable terms as needed.

### **Employees**

As of March 6, 2017, our general partner employs approximately 2,000 people who provide direct support to our operations. Of these employees, approximately 600 are covered by collective bargaining agreements.

Employees at the following locations are covered by the following separate collective bargaining agreements:

Facility/	Union	Expiration
Refinery	Cilion	Date
Superior	International Union of Operating Engineers	July 1, 2021
Cotton Valley	International Union of Operating Engineers	March 31, 2019
Princeton	International Union of Operating Engineers	October 31, 2017
Dickinson	International Union of Operating Engineers	March 31, 2019
Shreveport	United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied-Industrial and Service Workers International Union	April 30, 2019
Missouri	United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied-Industrial and Service Workers International Union	April 30, 2019
Karns City	United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy Allied-Industrial and Service Workers International Union	January 31, 2019
Great Falls	United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy Allied-Industrial and Service Workers International Union	January 31, 2019

None of the employees at the San Antonio refinery, Calumet Packaging facility, Royal Purple facility, Bel-Ray facility, Anchor or SOS locations or at the Burnham, Rhinelander, Crookston, Duluth or Elmendorf terminals are covered by collective bargaining agreements. Our general partner considers its employee relations to be good, with no history of work stoppages.

Address, Internet Website and Availability of Public Filings

Our principal executive offices are located at 2780 Waterfront Parkway East Drive, Suite 200, Indianapolis, Indiana, 46214 and our telephone number is (317) 328-5660. Our website is located at http://www.calumetspecialty.com. Our Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filings are available on our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish such material to, the SEC. We make available, free of charge on our website, our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, our Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). These documents are located on our website at http://www.calumetspecialty.com by selecting the "Investor Relations" link and then selecting the "SEC Filings" link. We also make available, free of charge on our website, our Charters for the Audit, Compensation and Conflicts Committees, Related Party Transactions Policy and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. These documents are located on our website at http://www.calumetspecialty.com by selecting the "Investor Relations" link and then selecting

the "Corporate Governance" link.

The above information is available to anyone who requests it and is free of charge either in print from our website or upon request by contacting Investor Relations using the contact information listed above. Information on our website is not incorporated into this Annual Report or our other securities filings and is not a part of them.

All reports and documents filed with the SEC are also available via the SEC website, http://www.sec.gov, or may be read and copied at the SEC Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C., 20549. Information on the operation of the SEC Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Risks Relating to our Business

We may not have sufficient cash from operations, following the establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses, including cost reimbursements to our general partner, to enable us to pay distributions to our unitholders.

In April 2016, we announced suspension of our quarterly cash distribution to unitholders. We may not have sufficient available cash from operations each quarter to enable us to resume payment of a distribution to unitholders. The amount of cash we can distribute on our common units principally depends upon the amount of cash we generate from our operations, which will fluctuate from quarter to quarter based on, among other things:

overall demand for specialty hydrocarbon products, fuel and other refined products;

overall demand for oilfield products and services;

the level of foreign and domestic production of crude oil and refined products;

our ability to produce fuel products, specialty products and products used in oilfield services that meet our customers' unique and precise specifications;

the marketing of alternative and competing products;

the extent of government regulation;

results of our hedging activities; and

overall economic and local market conditions.

In addition, the actual amount of cash we have available for distribution will depend on other factors, some of which are beyond our control, including:

the level of capital expenditures we make, including those for acquisitions, if any;

our debt service requirements;

fluctuations in our working capital needs;

our ability to borrow funds and access capital markets;

restrictions on distributions and on our ability to make working capital borrowings for distributions contained in our debt instruments; and

the amount of cash reserves established by our general partner for the proper conduct of our business.

If we generate insufficient cash from our operations for a sustained period of time and/or forecasts demonstrate expectations of continued future insufficiencies, the board of directors of our general partner may determine not to reinstate our distribution to unitholders. Any such continued suspension or elimination in distributions may cause the trading price of our units to decline.

The amount of cash we have available for distribution to unitholders depends primarily on our cash flow and not solely on profitability.

Unitholders should be aware that the amount of cash we have available for distribution depends primarily upon our cash flow, including cash flow from financial reserves and working capital borrowings, and not solely on profitability, which will be affected by non-cash items. As a result, we may make cash distributions during periods when we record net losses and may not make cash distributions during periods when we record net income.

We have a substantial amount of indebtedness, which may adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to operate our business.

We had approximately \$2.0 billion of outstanding indebtedness as of December 31, 2016, and availability for borrowings of \$360.8 million under our senior secured revolving credit facility. We continue to have the ability to incur additional debt, including the ability to borrow up to an aggregate principal amount of \$900.0 million at any time, subject to borrowing base limitations, under our revolving credit facility. Our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our results of operations, business and financial condition, and our ability to meet our debt obligations and resume payment of distributions to our unitholders. In addition, our level of indebtedness could have important consequences to us, including the following:

our ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes may be impaired or such financing may not be available on favorable terms;

covenants contained in our existing and future credit and debt arrangements will require us to meet financial tests that may affect our flexibility in planning for and reacting to changes in our business, including possible acquisition opportunities;

- we will need a substantial portion of our cash flow to make principal and interest payments on our
- indebtedness, reducing the funds that would otherwise be available for operations, future business opportunities and payments of our debt obligations;

our ability to execute our acquisition and divestiture strategy; and

our debt level will make us more vulnerable than our competitors with less debt to competitive pressures or a downturn in our business or the economy generally.

Any of these factors could result in a material adverse effect on our business, financial conditions, results of operations, business prospects and ability to satisfy our obligations under our senior notes and revolving credit facility.

Our ability to service our indebtedness will depend upon, among other things, our future financial and operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, regulatory and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. If our operating results are not sufficient to service our current or future indebtedness, we will be forced to take actions such as continuing the suspension of distributions to our unitholders, reducing or delaying our business activities, acquisitions, investments and/or capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing our indebtedness, or seeking additional equity capital or bankruptcy protection. We may not be able to effect any of these remedies on satisfactory terms, or at all. Please read Part II, Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources — Debt and Credit Facilities" for additional information regarding our indebtedness.

Refining margins are volatile and recently experienced a decline, and a continued reduction in our refining margins will adversely affect the amount of cash we will have available for distribution to our unitholders and for payments of our debt obligations.

Our financial results are primarily affected by the relationship, or margin, between our specialty products prices and fuel products prices and the prices for crude oil and other feedstocks. The costs to acquire our feedstocks and the prices at which we can ultimately sell our refined products depend upon numerous factors beyond our control. When the margin between refined product prices and crude oil and other feedstock prices tightens, our earnings, profitability and cash flows are negatively impacted. Historically, refining margins have been volatile, and they are likely to continue to be volatile in the future.

A widely used benchmark in the fuel products industry to measure market values and margins is the Gulf Coast 2/1/1 crack spread ("Gulf Coast crack spread"), which represents the approximate gross margin resulting from refining crude oil, assuming that two barrels of a benchmark crude oil are converted, or cracked, into one barrel of gasoline and one barrel of heating oil. The Gulf Coast crack spread ranged from a high of \$17.14 per barrel to a low of \$6.89 per barrel during 2016 and averaged \$12.33 per barrel during 2016 compared to an average of \$17.96 in 2015 and \$17.13 in 2014.

Our actual refining margins vary from the Gulf Coast crack spread due to the actual crude oil used and products produced, transportation costs, regional differences, and the timing of the purchase of the feedstock and sale of the refined products, but we use the Gulf Coast crack spread as an indicator of the volatility and general levels of fuels refining margins.

The prices at which we sell specialty products are strongly influenced by the commodity price of crude oil. If crude oil prices increase, our specialty products segment margins will fall unless we are able to pass through these price increases to our customers. Increases in selling prices for specialty products typically lag behind the rising cost of crude oil and may be difficult to implement quickly enough when crude oil costs increase dramatically over a short period of time. For example, in the first six months of 2008, excluding the effects of hedges, we experienced a 31.3% increase in the cost of crude oil per barrel as compared to an 18.3% increase in the average sales price per barrel of our specialty products. It is possible we may not be able to pass through all or any portion of increased crude oil costs to our customers. In addition, we are not able to completely eliminate our commodity risk through our hedging activities.

Refining margins are volatile, and we recently experienced a decline in our refining margins. There can be no assurance that our refining margins will improve. If our refining margins do not improve, it will adversely affect the amount of cash we have available for funding operations, for distributions to our unitholders and for payments of our debt obligations.

Our hedging activities may not be effective in reducing the volatility of our cash flows and may reduce our earnings, profitability and cash flows.

We are exposed to fluctuations in the price of crude oil, fuel products, natural gas and interest rates. From time to time, we utilize derivative financial instruments related to the future price of crude oil, natural gas, fuel products and their relationship with each other with the intent of reducing volatility in our cash flows due to fluctuations in commodity prices and spreads. Historically, we have utilized derivative instruments related to interest rates for future periods with the intent of reducing volatility in our cash

flows due to fluctuations in interest rates. We are not able to enter into derivative financial instruments to reduce the volatility of the prices of the specialty products we sell as there is no established derivative market for such products. The extent of our commodity price exposure is related largely to the effectiveness and scope of our hedging activities. The derivative instruments we utilize are based on posted market prices, which may differ significantly from the actual crude oil prices, natural gas prices or fuel products prices that we incur or realize in our operations. For example, excluding our crude oil basis swaps, all of the crude oil derivatives in our hedge portfolio are based on the market price of New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") WTI and the fuel products derivatives are all based on U.S. Gulf Coast market prices. In recent periods, the spread between NYMEX WTI and other crude oil indices (specifically Light Louisiana Sweet, Western Canadian Select and Brent, on which a portion of our crude oil purchases are priced) has changed period to period, which has reduced the effectiveness of certain crude oil hedges. Accordingly, our commodity price risk management policy may not protect us from significant and sustained increases in crude oil or natural gas prices or decreases in fuel products prices. Conversely, our policy may limit our ability to realize cash flows from crude oil and natural gas price decreases.

We have a policy to enter into derivative transactions related to only a portion of the volume of our expected purchase and sales requirements and, as a result, we will continue to have direct commodity price exposure to the unhedged portion of our expected purchase and sales requirements. Thus, we could be exposed to significant crude oil cost increases on a portion of our purchases. Please read Part II, Item 7A "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk."

Our actual future purchase and sales requirements may be significantly higher or lower than we estimate at the time we enter into derivative transactions for such period. If the actual amount is higher than we estimate, we will have greater commodity price exposure than we intended. If the actual amount is lower than the amount that is subject to our derivative financial instruments, we might be forced to satisfy all or a portion of our derivative transactions without the benefit of the cash flow from our sale or purchase of the underlying physical commodity, which may result in a substantial diminution of our liquidity. As a result, our hedging activities may not be as effective as we intend in reducing the volatility of our cash flows. In addition, our hedging activities are subject to the risks that a counterparty may not perform its obligations under the applicable derivative instrument, the terms of the derivative instruments are imperfect, and our hedging policies and procedures are not properly followed. It is possible that the steps we take to monitor our derivative financial instruments may not detect and prevent violations of our risk management policies and procedures, particularly if deception or other intentional misconduct is involved. Our financing arrangements contain operating and financial provisions that restrict our business and financing activities.

The operating and financial restrictions and covenants in our financing arrangements, including our revolving credit facility, indentures governing each series of our outstanding senior notes and master derivative contracts, do currently restrict, and any future financing agreements could restrict, our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to engage, expand or pursue our business activities, including restrictions on our ability to, among other things: sell assets, including equity interests in our subsidiaries;

pay distributions on or redeem or repurchase our units or redeem or repurchase our subordinated debt and, in the case of the 2021 Secured Notes, our unsecured notes;

•ncur or guarantee additional indebtedness or issue preferred units;

ereate or incur certain liens;

make certain acquisitions and investments;

redeem or repay other debt or make other restricted payments;

enter into transactions with affiliates;

enter into agreements that restrict distributions or other payments from our restricted subsidiaries to us;

ereate unrestricted subsidiaries:

enter into sale and leaseback transactions;

enter into a merger, consolidation or transfer or sale of assets, including equity interests in our subsidiaries; and engage in certain business activities.

Our revolving credit facility also contains a springing financial covenant which provides that, if availability under the revolving credit facility falls below the greater of (a) 12.5% of the Borrowing Base (as defined in the revolving credit agreement) then in effect and (b) \$45.0 million, then we will be required to maintain as of the end of each fiscal quarter a Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio (as defined in the revolving credit agreement) of at least 1.0 to 1.0.

Our existing indebtedness imposes, and any future indebtedness may impose, a number of covenants on us regarding collateral maintenance and insurance maintenance. As a result of these covenants and restrictions, we will be limited in the manner in which we conduct our business, and we may be unable to engage in favorable business activities or finance future operations or capital needs.

Our ability to comply with the covenants and restrictions contained in our financing arrangements may be affected by events beyond our control. If market or other economic conditions deteriorate, our ability to comply with these covenants and restrictions may be impaired. A failure to comply with the covenants, ratios or tests in our financing arrangements or any future indebtedness could result in an event of default under these financing arrangements, which, if not cured or waived, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Among other things, in the event of any default on our indebtedness, our debt holders and lenders:

will not be required to lend any additional amounts to us;

could elect to declare all borrowings outstanding, together with accrued and unpaid interest and fees, to be due and payable;

•could elect to require that all obligations accrue interest at the default rate, if such rate has not already been imposed; •may have the ability to require us to apply all of our available cash to repay these borrowings;

may prevent us from making debt service payments under our other agreements, any of which could result in an event of default under our other financing arrangements; or

in the case of our revolving credit facility or the 2021 Secured Notes, foreclose on the collateral pledged pursuant to the terms of the revolving credit facility or indenture governing the 2021 Secured Notes, respectively.

If our existing indebtedness were to be accelerated, there can be no assurance that we would have, or be able to obtain, sufficient funds to repay such indebtedness in full. Even if new financing were available, it may be on terms that are less attractive to us than our then existing indebtedness or it may not be on terms that are acceptable to us. In addition, our obligations under our revolving credit facility are secured by a first-priority lien on our accounts receivable, inventory and substantially all of our cash; our 2021 Secured Notes are secured by a first-priority lien on all of the fixed assets that secure our obligations under our secured hedge agreements; and our obligations under our master derivative contracts are secured by a first-priority lien on our and our subsidiaries' real property, plant and equipment, fixtures, intellectual property, certain financial assets, certain investment property, commercial tort claims, chattel paper, documents, instruments and proceeds of the forgoing (including proceeds of hedge agreements), and if we are unable to repay our indebtedness under the revolving credit facility or master derivative contracts, the lenders under our revolving credit facility and the counterparties to our master derivative contracts could seek to foreclose on these assets. Please read Part II, Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources — Debt and Credit Facilities," "— Short-Term Liquidity," "— Long-Term Financing," and "— Master Derivative Contracts" for additional information regarding our long-term debt. Decreases in the price of crude oil may lead to a reduction in the borrowing base under our revolving credit facility and our ability to issue letters of credit or the requirement that we post substantial amounts of cash collateral for derivative instruments, which could adversely affect our liquidity, financial condition and our ability to distribute cash to our unitholders.

We rely on borrowings and letters of credit under our revolving credit agreement to purchase crude oil or other feedstocks for our facilities, lease certain precious metals for use in our refinery operations and enter into derivative instruments of crude oil and natural gas purchases and fuel products sales. From time to time, we also rely on our ability to issue letters of credit to enter into certain hedging arrangements in an effort to reduce our exposure to adverse fluctuations in the prices of crude oil, natural gas and crack spreads. The borrowing base under our revolving credit facility is determined weekly or monthly depending upon availability levels or the existence of a default or event of default. Reductions in the value of our inventories as a result of lower crude oil prices could result in a reduction in our borrowing base, which would reduce the amount of financial resources available to meet our capital requirements. If, under certain circumstances, our available capacity under our revolving credit facility falls below certain threshold amounts, or a default or event of default exists, then our cash balances in a dominion account established with the administrative agent will be applied on a daily basis to our outstanding obligations under our

revolving credit facility. In addition, decreases in the price of crude oil or increases in crack spreads may require us to post substantial amounts of cash collateral to our hedging counterparties in order to maintain our derivative instruments. If, due to our financial condition or other reasons, the borrowing base under our revolving credit facility decreases, we are limited in our ability to issue letters of credit or we are required to post substantial amounts of cash collateral to our hedging counterparties, our liquidity, financial condition and our ability to distribute cash to our unitholders could be materially and adversely affected. Please read Part II, Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources — Debt and Credit Facilities" for additional information.

We must make substantial capital expenditures on our refineries and other facilities to maintain their reliability and efficiency. If we are unable to complete capital projects at their expected costs and/or in a timely manner, or if the market conditions assumed in our project economics deteriorate, our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, and our ability to make distributions to unitholders, could be adversely affected.

Delays or cost increases related to the engineering, procurement and construction of new facilities, or improvements and repairs to our existing facilities and equipment, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or our ability to make distributions to our unitholders. Such delays or cost increases may arise as a result of unpredictable factors in the marketplace, many of which are beyond our control, including: denial or delay in obtaining regulatory approvals and/or permits;

unplanned increases in the cost of equipment, materials or labor;

disruptions in transportation of equipment and materials;

severe adverse weather conditions, natural disasters or other events (such as equipment malfunctions, explosions, fires or spills) affecting our facilities, or those of our vendors and suppliers;

shortages of sufficiently skilled labor, or labor disagreements resulting in unplanned work stoppages;

market-related increases in a project's debt or equity financing costs; and/or

nonperformance or declarations of force majeure by, or disputes with, our vendors, suppliers, contractors or sub-contractors.

Our refineries have been in operation for many years. Equipment, even if properly maintained, may require significant capital expenditures and expenses to keep it operating at optimum efficiency. For example, we incurred approximately \$68.6 million in 2013 primarily associated with turnaround activities at our Great Falls and Superior refineries. Any one or more of these occurrences noted above could have a significant impact on our business. If we were unable to make up the delays or to recover the related costs, or if market conditions change, it could materially and adversely affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows and, as a result, our ability to make distributions. We depend on certain key crude oil and other feedstock suppliers for a significant portion of our supply of crude oil and other feedstocks, and the loss of any of these key suppliers or a material decrease in the supply of crude oil and other feedstocks generally available to our facilities could materially reduce our ability to make distributions to unitholders and payments of our debt obligations.

We purchase crude oil and other feedstocks from major oil companies as well as from various crude oil gatherers and marketers primarily in Texas, north Louisiana, North Dakota and Canada. In 2016, subsidiaries of Plains supplied us with approximately 39.3% of our total crude oil supplies under term contracts and month-to-month evergreen crude oil supply contracts. In 2016, BP supplied us with approximately 25.2% of our total crude oil supplies under the BP Purchase Agreement. Each of our facilities is dependent on one or more of these suppliers and the loss of any of these suppliers would adversely affect our financial results to the extent we were unable to find another supplier of this substantial amount of crude oil on acceptable terms. We maintain short-term and long-term contracts with our suppliers. For example, the majority of our contracts with Plains are currently month-to-month and terminable upon 90 days' notice, and our contract with BP was amended and restated in December 2016 for a term ending March 2020 and will automatically renew for successive one-year terms unless terminated by either party upon 90 days' notice. We purchase all of our crude oil supply directly from third-party suppliers, generally under month-to-month evergreen supply contracts and on the spot market. Evergreen contracts are generally terminable upon 30 days' notice and purchases on the spot market may expose us to changes in commodity prices. For additional discussion regarding our crude oil and feedstock supply, please read Items 1 and 2 "Business and Properties — Our Crude Oil and Feedstock Supply."

To the extent that our suppliers reduce the volumes of crude oil and other feedstocks that they supply us as a result of our existing credit ratings or perception of our creditworthiness or declining production or competition or otherwise, our sales, net income and cash available for distribution to unitholders and payments of our debt obligations would decline unless we were able to acquire comparable supplies of crude oil and other feedstocks on comparable terms from other suppliers, which may not be possible in areas where the supplier that reduces its volumes is the primary supplier in the area. Fluctuations in crude oil prices can greatly affect production rates and investments by third parties

in the development of new oil reserves. Drilling activity generally decreases as crude oil prices decrease. We have no control over the level of drilling activity in the fields that supply our refineries, the amount of reserves underlying the wells in these fields, the rate at which production from a well will decline or the production decisions of producers. A material decrease in either the crude oil production from or the drilling activity in the fields that supply our refineries, as a result of depressed commodity prices, natural gas production declines, governmental moratoriums on drilling

or production activities, the availability and the cost of capital or otherwise, could result in a decline in the volume of crude oil we refine.

Trends in crude oil and natural gas prices affect the level of exploration, development, and production activity of our customers and the demand for our oilfield services and products, which could adversely affect the amount of cash we will have available for distribution to our unitholders and for payments of our debt obligations.

Demand for our oilfield services and products is particularly sensitive to the level of exploration, development and production activity of, and the corresponding capital spending by, crude oil and natural gas companies. The level of exploration, development, and production activity is directly affected by trends in oil and natural gas prices, which historically have been volatile and are likely to continue to be volatile.

Prices for crude oil and natural gas are subject to large fluctuations in response to relatively minor changes in the supply of and demand for crude oil and natural gas, market uncertainty and a variety of other economic factors that are beyond our control. Any prolonged reduction in crude oil and natural gas prices will depress the immediate levels of exploration, development and production activity which could adversely affect the amount of cash we will have available for distribution to our unitholders and for payments of our debt obligations. Even the perception of longer-term lower crude oil and natural gas prices by oil and natural gas companies can similarly reduce or defer major expenditures given the long-term nature of many large-scale development projects. Factors affecting the prices of crude oil and natural gas include:

the level of supply and demand for crude oil and natural gas, especially demand for natural gas in the U.S.; governmental regulations, including the policies of governments regarding the exploration for and production and development of their oil and natural gas reserves;

weather conditions and natural disasters;

worldwide political, military, and economic conditions;

the level of crude oil production by non-Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries ("OPEC") countries and the available excess production capacity within OPEC;

crude oil refining capacity and shifts in end-customer preferences toward fuel efficiency and the use of natural gas;

the cost of producing and delivering crude oil and natural gas; and

potential acceleration of the development of alternative fuels.

During 2015, the oil and natural gas industry experienced a significant decrease in commodity prices driven by a global supply/demand imbalance for oil and an oversupply of natural gas in the U.S. The decline in commodity prices and the global economic conditions continued during 2016. The duration and magnitude of the recent decline in crude oil and natural gas prices cannot be predicted, and low commodity prices may exist for an extended period. If commodity prices continue to decline or remain depressed, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We depend on certain third-party pipelines for transportation of crude oil and refined fuel products, and if these pipelines become unavailable to us, our revenues and cash available for distributions to our unitholders and payment of our debt obligations could decline.

Our Shreveport refinery is interconnected to a pipeline that supplies a portion of its crude oil and a pipeline that ships a portion of its refined fuel products to customers, such as pipelines operated by subsidiaries of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and Plains. Our Superior refinery receives crude oil through the Enbridge Pipeline and the Superior wholesale business transports products produced at the Superior refinery through several Magellan pipeline terminals in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, North Dakota and South Dakota. Our Great Falls refinery receives crude oil through the Front Range pipeline system via the Bow River Pipeline in Canada. Our San Antonio refinery receives crude oil through the Karnes North Pipeline System in Texas. Since we do not own or operate any of these pipelines, their continuing operation is not within our control. In addition, any of these third-party pipelines could become unavailable to transport crude oil or our refined fuel products because of acts of God, accidents, earthquakes or hurricanes, government regulation, terrorism or other third-party events. For example, our refinery run rates were affected by an approximately three-week shutdown during May and June 2011 of the ExxonMobil crude oil pipeline serving our Shreveport refinery resulting from the Mississippi River flooding occurring during this period. In addition,

ExxonMobil shut down this pipeline on April 28, 2012, after a leak was discovered. Also, on June 20, 2012, excessive flooding caused our Superior refinery to reduce its run rate to approximately half its usual throughput for one day and shut down the portion of the Magellan pipeline that connects our Superior refinery to our Duluth terminal for one day. The unavailability of any of these third-party pipelines for the transportation of crude oil or our refined fuel products, because of acts of God, accidents, earthquakes or hurricanes, government regulation, terrorism or other third-party events, could lead to disputes or litigation with certain of our suppliers or a decline in our sales, net income and cash available for distributions to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations.

The price volatility of fuel and utility services may result in decreases in our earnings, profitability and cash flows. The volatility in costs of fuel, principally natural gas, and other utility services, principally electricity, used by our refinery and other operations affect our net income and cash flows. Fuel and utility prices are affected by factors outside of our control, such as supply and demand for fuel and utility services in both local and regional markets. Natural gas prices have historically been volatile.

For example, daily prices for natural gas as reported on the NYMEX ranged between \$1.64 and \$3.93 per million British thermal unit ("MMBtu"), in 2016 and between \$3.23 and \$1.76 per MMBtu in 2015. Typically, electricity prices fluctuate with natural gas prices. Future increases in fuel and utility prices may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Fuel and utility costs constituted approximately 12.6% and 11.5% of our total operating expenses included in cost of sales for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. If our natural gas costs rise, they will adversely affect the amount of cash available for distribution to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations.

Our refineries, blending and packaging sites, terminals and related facility operations face operating hazards, and the potential limits on insurance coverage could expose us to potentially significant liability costs.

Our refineries, blending and packaging sites, terminals and related facility operations are subject to certain operating hazards, and our cash flow from those operations could decline if any of our facilities experience a major accident, pipeline rupture or spill, explosion or fire, is damaged by severe weather or other natural disaster, or otherwise is forced to curtail its operations or shut down. For example, in 2010, our Shreveport refinery experienced an explosion that caused us to shut down one of this refinery's environmental operating units between February and August 2010 when it was replaced with a newly constructed unit, resulting in modified operations during the interim period, including lower throughput rates at certain times during this period. These operating hazards could result in substantial losses due to personal injury and/or loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of property and equipment and pollution or other environmental damage and may result in significant curtailment or suspension of our related operations.

Although we maintain insurance policies, including personal and property damage and business interruption insurance for each of our facilities with insurers in amounts and with coverage and deductibles that we, with the advice of our insurance advisors and brokers, believe are reasonable and prudent, we cannot ensure that this insurance will be adequate to protect us from all material expenses related to potential future claims for personal and property damage or significant interruption of operations. Our business interruption insurance will not apply unless a business interruption exceeds 60 days. Furthermore, we may be unable to maintain or obtain insurance of the type and amount we desire at reasonable rates. As a result of market conditions, premiums and deductibles for certain of our insurance policies have increased and could escalate further. In some instances, certain insurance could become unavailable or available only for reduced amounts of coverage. In addition, we are not fully insured against all risks incident to our business because certain risks are not fully insurable, coverage is unavailable, or premium costs, in our judgment, do not justify such expenditures. For example, we are not insured for all environmental liabilities, including, for example, product spills and other releases at all of our facilities. If we were to incur a significant liability for which we were not fully insured, it could diminish our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

We may incur significant environmental costs and liabilities in the operation of our refineries, terminals and related facilities and performance of our oilfield service activities.

The operation of our refineries, blending and packaging sites, terminals, and related facilities as well as performance of our oilfield service activities subject us to the risk of incurring significant environmental costs and liabilities due to our handling of petroleum hydrocarbons and wastes, because of air emissions and water discharges related to our operations and activities, and as a result of historical operations and waste disposal practices at our facilities or in connection with our activities, some of which may have been conducted by prior owners or operators. We currently own or operate, or conduct oilfield services upon, properties that for many years have been used for industrial or oilfield activities, including refining and blending operations or terminal storage operations, sometimes by third parties over whom we had or continue to have no control with respect to their operations or waste disposal activities. Petroleum hydrocarbons or wastes have been released on, under or from the properties owned or operated by us. For

example, we are investigating and remediating, in some cases pursuant to government order, soil and groundwater contamination at our Great Falls refinery arising from a predecessor operators' handling of petroleum hydrocarbons and wastes. While we believe our costs in pursuing these investigatory and remedial activities are subject to reimbursement under a contractual indemnification right we received from the predecessor operator in the share purchase agreement transferring ownership of this refinery, this predecessor operator is currently disputing responsibility for reimbursement of certain of these remedial costs being incurred at our Great Falls refinery, which dispute has resulted in the filing of a suit by us against the predecessor operator and it is now in arbitration. Additionally, joint and several, strict liability may be incurred in connection with releases of petroleum hydrocarbons and wastes on, under or from our properties and facilities. Neither the owners of our general partner nor their affiliates have indemnified us for any environmental liabilities, including those arising from non-compliance or pollution that may be discovered at, or arise from operations on, the assets they contributed to us in connection with the closing of our initial public offering. Private parties, including the owners of properties adjacent to our operations and facilities where our petroleum

hydrocarbons or wastes are taken for reclamation or disposal or the owners of properties where we conduct oilfield services, may also have the right to pursue legal actions to enforce compliance as well as to seek damages for non-compliance with environmental laws and regulations or for personal injury or property damage. We may not be able to recover some or any of these costs from insurance or other sources of indemnity. To the extent that the costs associated with meeting any or all of these requirements are significant and not adequately secured or indemnified for, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. We are subject to compliance with stringent environmental and occupational health and safety laws and regulations that may expose us to significant costs and liabilities.

Our refining, blending and packaging site, terminal and related facility operations as well as our oilfield service activities are subject to stringent federal, regional, state and local laws and regulations governing worker health and safety, the discharge of materials into the environment and environmental protection. These laws and regulations impose numerous obligations that are applicable to our operations, including the obligation to obtain permits to conduct regulated activities, the incurrence of significant capital expenditures for air pollution control equipment to otherwise limit or prevent releases of pollutants from our refineries, blending and packaging sites, terminals, and related facilities or with respect to our oilfield services, the expenditure of significant monies in the application of specific health and safety criteria addressing worker protection, the requirement to maintain information about hazardous materials used or produced in our operations and oilfield services and to provide this information to employees, state and local government authorities, and local residents and the incurrence of significant costs and liabilities for pollution resulting from our operations and oilfield services or from those of prior owners or operators of our facilities. Numerous federal governmental authorities, such as the EPA and OSHA as well as state agencies, such as the LDEQ, TCEQ, MDEQ and the WDNR have the power to enforce compliance with these laws and regulations and the permits issued under them, often requiring difficult and costly actions. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations as well as any issued permits and orders may result in the assessment of administrative, civil, and criminal sanctions, including monetary penalties, the imposition of remedial obligations or corrective actions or the incurrence of capital expenditures, the occurrence of delays in the permitting, development or expansion of projects, and the issuance of injunctions limiting or preventing some or all of our operations.

On occasion, we receive notices of violation, other enforcement proceedings and regulatory inquiries from governmental agencies alleging non-compliance with applicable environmental and occupational health and safety laws and regulations. For example, we have pending proceedings with the LDEQ involving a series of alleged unauthorized emissions of pollutants from equipment at the Shreveport refinery, as described in a draft "Consolidated Compliance Order and Notice of Potential Penalty" issued in April 2013, for which a penalty of more than \$0.1 million may result. In a further example, we have a pending proceeding with the EPA involving alleged unauthorized emissions of pollutants from flares at the Superior Refinery, as described in a "Notice of Violation" issued by the EPA in June 2012, which included a proposed penalty amount of \$0.1 million.

New worker safety and environmental laws and regulations, new interpretations of existing laws and regulations, increased governmental enforcement or other developments could require us to make additional unforeseen expenditures. Many of these laws and regulations are becoming increasingly stringent, and the cost of compliance with these requirements can be expected to increase. For example, in April 2014, the EPA published its final Tier 3 fuel standards that require, among other things, a lower allowable sulfur level in gasoline to no more than 10 ppm by January 1, 2017. In two other examples, on October 1, 2015, the EPA issued a final rule under the CAA lowering the NAAQS for ground-level ozone to 70 parts per billion under both the primary and secondary standards, and on June 29, 2015, the EPA published a final rule that attempted to clarify the agency's jurisdiction over waters of the U.S., but which rule is currently subject to various legal impediments, including lawsuits and court stays, as this rule is alleged to have impermissibly broadened the EPA's jurisdiction over such waters. One or more of these regulatory initiatives or any new environmental laws or regulations could impact us by requiring installation of new emission controls on some of our equipment, resulting in longer permitting timelines, and significantly increasing our capital expenditures and operating costs, which could adversely impact our business, cash flows and results of operation. Please read Items 1 and 2 "Business and Properties — Environmental and Occupational Health and Safety Matters" for additional

information.

Renewable transportation fuels mandates may reduce demand for the petroleum fuels we produce, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition and our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

The EPA has issued RFS mandates, requiring refiners such as us to blend renewable fuels into the petroleum fuels they produce and sell in the U.S. We, and other refiners subject to RFS, may meet the RFS requirements by blending the necessary volumes of renewable transportation fuels produced by us or purchased from third parties. To the extent that refiners will not or cannot blend renewable fuels into the products they produce in the quantities required to satisfy their obligations under the RFS program, those refiners must purchase renewable credits, referred to as RINs, to maintain compliance. To the extent that we exceed the minimum volumetric requirements for blending of renewable transportation fuels, we generate our own RINs for which we have the option of retaining the RINs for current or future RFS compliance or selling those RINs on the open market.

Under RFS, the volume of renewable fuels that obligated parties are required to blend into their finished petroleum fuels increases annually over time until 2022. Our Shreveport, Superior, Great Falls and San Antonio refineries are normally subject to compliance with the RFS mandates. However, the EPA granted certain of our refineries a "small refinery exemption" under the RFS for the 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016 calendar years, as provided under the CAA. Under these exemptions granted by the EPA, such exempt refineries were not subject to the requirements of RFS as an "obligated party" for fuels produced at these refineries for the calendar years 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016. On November 30, 2015, the EPA issued final multi-year volume mandates for 2014 to 2016. While these volume mandates are generally lower than the statutory mandates, they represent a slight increase over the volumes initially proposed by the EPA for this three-year period and such volume mandates could be increased in the future. We have received a small refinery exemption for certain of our refineries for the full year 2016 and have applied for the small refinery exemption at selected other refineries for the full year 2016 and are in the process of an assessment to determine which of our fuels refineries potentially could be eligible for economic hardship exemptions for the 2017 calendar year. While we received a small refinery exemption for certain of our refineries for 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016, there is no assurance that such an exemption will be obtained for any of our refineries for the 2016 year or in future years, which would result in the need for more RINs for the applicable calendar year. Our gross 2016 annual RINs obligation, which includes RINs that were required to be secured through either our own blending or through the purchase of RINs in the open market, was 112 million RINs for the 2016 calendar year.

Existing laws, regulations or regulatory initiatives could change and, notwithstanding that the EPA's volume mandates for 2014 to 2016 may be relatively lower than the statutory mandates, they represent a slight increase over the volumes initially proposed by the EPA for this three-year period and such volume mandates could be increased in the future. Because we do not produce renewable transportation fuels at all of our refineries, increasing the volume of renewable fuels that must be blended into our products causes an increase in volume of our Shreveport, Superior, Great Falls and San Antonio refineries' fuel products pool, potentially resulting in lower earnings and materially adversely affecting our ability to make distributions to our unitholders. Moreover, despite a decline in RINs prices from levels during mid-2013, we cannot currently predict the future prices of RINs and, thus, the expenses related to acquiring RINs in the future could increase relative to the cost in prior years. The inability to receive an exemption under the RFS program for one or more of our refineries, any increase in the final minimum volumes of renewable fuels that must be blended with refined petroleum fuels, and/or any increase in the cost to acquire RINs may, individually or in the aggregate, have the potential to result in significant costs in connection with RIN compliance, which costs could be material. Finally, while there is no current regulatory standard that authenticates RINs that may be purchased on the open market from third parties, we believe that the RINs we purchase are from reputable sources, are valid and serve to demonstrate compliance with applicable RFS requirements. However, if any such RINs purchased by us on the open market are subsequently found to be invalid, then we may incur significant costs, penalties or other liabilities in connection with replacing such invalid RINs.

Downtime for maintenance at our refineries and facilities will reduce our revenues and cash available for distributions to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations.

Our refineries and facilities consist of many processing units, a number of which have been in operation for a long time. One or more of the units may require additional unscheduled downtime for unanticipated maintenance or repairs that are more frequent than our scheduled turnaround for each unit every one to five years. Scheduled and unscheduled maintenance reduce our revenues and increase our operating expenses during the period of time that our processing units are not operating and could reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations.

An impairment of our equity method investments, our long-lived assets or goodwill could reduce our earnings or negatively impact our financial condition and results of operations.

We continually monitor our business, the business environment and the performance of our operations to determine if an event has occurred that indicates that an equity method investment, a long-lived asset or goodwill may be impaired. If an event occurs, which is a determination that involves judgment, we may be required to utilize cash flow projections to assess our ability to recover the carrying value based on the ability to generate future cash flows. Under

GAAP, during the year ended December 31, 2015, we recognized an impairment charge on our equity method investment in Juniper GTL LLC of \$24.3 million. Additionally, during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, we recognized goodwill impairment charges of \$34.8 million and \$33.8 million, respectively. Our equity method investments, long-lived assets and goodwill impairment analyses are sensitive to changes in key assumptions used in our analysis, such as expected future cash flows, the degree of volatility in equity and debt markets and our unit price. If the assumptions used in our analysis are not realized, it is possible a material impairment charge may need to be recorded in the future. We cannot accurately predict the amount and timing of any impairment of long-lived assets or goodwill. Further, as we continue to develop our strategy regarding certain of our non-core assets, we will need to continue to evaluate the carrying value of those assets. Any additional impairment charges that we may take in the future could be material to our results of operations and financial condition.

If we do not successfully execute growth strategies through acquisitions, our future growth and ability to reinstate distributions to our unitholders may be limited.

Our ability to grow depends in substantial part on our ability to make acquisitions that result in an increase in the cash generated from operations per unit. If we are unable to make these accretive acquisitions either because we are: (1) unable to identify attractive acquisition candidates or negotiate acceptable purchase contracts with them, (2) unable to consummate acquisitions on favorable terms, (3) unable to obtain financing for these acquisitions on economically acceptable terms, or (4) outbid by competitors, then our future growth and ability to reinstate distributions to our unitholders may be limited. For example, as a result of the sustained decline in commodity prices during 2016 and the impact on our liquidity and access to capital, and in connection with our reduced operating budget for 2016, our ability to make acquisitions was limited in 2016. If commodity prices remain depressed, we expect that our ability to make acquisitions will be limited during 2017. Furthermore, any acquisition, involves potential risks, including, among other things:

performance from the acquired assets and businesses that is below the forecasts we used in evaluating the acquisition; a significant increase in our indebtedness and working capital requirements;

an inability to timely and effectively integrate the operations of recently acquired businesses or assets, particularly those in new geographic areas or in new lines of business;

the incurrence of substantial seen or unforeseen environmental and other liabilities arising out of the acquired businesses or assets;

the diversion of management's attention from other business concerns;

customer or key employee losses at the acquired businesses; and

significant changes in our capitalization and results of operations.

Our asset reconfiguration and enhancement initiatives may not result in revenue or cash flow increases, may be subject to significant cost overruns and are subject to regulatory, environmental, political, legal and economic risks, which could adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Historically we have grown our business in part through the reconfiguration and enhancement of our existing refinery assets. For example, we completed an expansion project at our Shreveport refinery to increase throughput capacity and crude oil processing flexibility in May 2008. Additionally, in February 2016 we completed an expansion project that increased production capacity at our Great Falls refinery by 15,000 bpd to 25,000 bpd. These expansion projects and the construction of other additions or modifications to our existing refineries have and will continue to involve numerous regulatory, environmental, political, legal, labor and economic uncertainties beyond our control, which could cause delays in construction or require the expenditure of significant amounts of capital, which we may finance with additional indebtedness or by issuing additional equity securities. Our forecasted internal rates of return on such projects are also based on our projections of future market fundamentals, which are not within our control, including changes in general economic conditions, available alternative supply and customer demand. For example, the total cost of the Shreveport refinery expansion project completed in 2008 was approximately \$375.0 million and was significantly over budget due primarily to increased construction labor costs. Future reconfiguration and enhancement projects may not be completed at the budgeted cost, on schedule, or at all due to the risks described above which could significantly affect our cash flows and financial condition.

We face substantial competition from other refining companies.

The refining industry is highly competitive. Our competitors include large, integrated, major or independent oil companies that, because of their more diverse operations, larger refineries or stronger capitalization, may be better positioned than we are to withstand volatile industry conditions, including shortages or excesses of crude oil or refined products or intense price competition at the wholesale level. If we are unable to compete effectively, we may lose existing customers or fail to acquire new customers. For example, if a competitor attempts to increase market share by reducing prices, our operating results and cash available for distribution to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations could be reduced.

A decrease in the demand for our specialty products could adversely affect our ability to resume distributions to our unitholders and to make payments of our debt obligations.

Changes in our customers' products or processes may enable our customers to reduce consumption of the specialty products that we produce or make our specialty products unnecessary. Should a customer decide to use a different product due to price, performance or other considerations, we may not be able to supply a product that meets the customer's new requirements. In addition, the demand for our customers' end products could decrease, which could reduce their demand for our specialty products. Our specialty products customers are primarily in the industrial goods, consumer goods and automotive goods industries and we are therefore susceptible to overall economic conditions, which may change demand patterns and products in those industries. Consequently, it is important that we develop and manufacture new products to replace the sales of products that mature and decline in use. If we are unable to manage successfully the maturation of our existing specialty products and the introduction of new specialty products, our revenues, net income and cash available for distribution to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations could be reduced.

A decrease in demand for fuel products in the markets we serve could adversely affect our ability to resume distributions to our unitholders and to make payments of our debt obligations.

Any sustained decrease in demand for fuel products in the markets we serve could result in a significant reduction in our cash flows, reducing our ability to make distributions to unitholders and payments of our debt obligations. Factors that could lead to a decrease in market demand include:

a recession or other adverse economic condition that results in lower spending by consumers on gasoline, diesel and travel:

higher fuel taxes or other governmental or regulatory actions that increase, directly or indirectly, the cost of fuel products;

an increase in fuel economy or the increased use of alternative fuel sources;

an increase in the market price of crude oil that leads to higher refined product prices, which may reduce demand for fuel products;

competitor actions; and

availability of raw materials.

We depend on unionized labor for the operation of many of our facilities. Any work stoppages or labor disturbances at these facilities could disrupt our business.

Substantially all of our operating personnel at our Shreveport, Superior, Great Falls, Princeton, Cotton Valley, Karns City, Dickinson and Missouri facilities are employed under collective bargaining agreements. If we are unable to renegotiate these agreements as they expire, any work stoppages or other labor disturbances at these facilities could have an adverse effect on our business and impact our ability to make distributions to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations. In addition, employees who are not currently represented by labor unions may seek union representation in the future, and any renegotiation of current collective bargaining agreements may result in terms that are less favorable to us.

Because of the volatility of crude oil and refined products prices, our method of valuing our inventory may result in decreases in net income.

The nature of our business requires us to maintain substantial quantities of crude oil and refined product inventories. Because crude oil and refined products are essentially commodities, we have no control over the changing market value of these inventories. Because our inventory is valued at the lower of cost or market ("LCM") value, if the market value of our inventory were to decline to an amount less than our cost, we would record a write-down of inventory and a non-cash charge to cost of sales. In a period of decreasing crude oil or refined product prices, our inventory valuation methodology may result in decreases in net income. For example, due to the significant decrease in crude oil prices in 2015, we recorded an unfavorable LCM inventory adjustment of \$81.8 million.

The operating results for our fuel products segment, including the asphalt we produce and sell, are seasonal and generally lower in the first and fourth quarters of the year.

The operating results for our fuel products segment, including the selling prices of asphalt products we produce, can be seasonal. Asphalt demand is generally lower in the first and fourth quarters of the year as compared to the second and third quarters due to the seasonality of road construction. Demand for gasoline is generally higher during the summer months than during the winter months due to seasonal increases in highway traffic. In addition, our natural gas costs can be higher during the winter months. Our operating results for the first and fourth calendar quarters may be lower than those for the second and third calendar quarters of each year as a result of this seasonality.

Due to our lack of asset and geographic diversification, adverse developments in our operating areas would impact our ability to make distributions to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations.

We rely primarily on sales generated from products processed at the facilities we own. Furthermore, the majority of our assets and operations are located in Louisiana, Wisconsin, Montana and Texas. Due to our lack of diversification in asset type and location, an adverse development in these businesses or areas, including adverse developments due to catastrophic events or weather, decreased supply of crude oil and feedstocks and/or decreased demand for refined petroleum products, would have a significantly greater impact on our financial condition and results of operations than if we maintained more diverse assets in more diverse locations, which in turn could impact our ability to make distributions to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations.

Climate change legislation or regulations restricting emissions of GHG could result in increased operating costs and a decreased demand for our refined products.

Climate change continues to attract considerable public and scientific attention. As a result, numerous proposals have been made and are likely to continue to be made at the international, national, regional and state levels of government to monitor and limit emissions of GHGs. These efforts have included consideration of cap-and-trade programs, carbon taxes, GHG reporting and tracking programs and regulations that directly limit GHG emissions from certain sources. At the federal level, no comprehensive climate change legislation has been implemented to date but a number of states or grouping of states have already taken legal measures to reduce emissions of GHGs, primarily through the planned development of GHG emission inventories and/or GHG cap-and-trade programs. Additionally, the EPA has adopted rules under authority of the federal CAA that, among other things, establish PSD construction and Title V operating permit reviews for GHG emissions from certain large stationary sources that are also potential major sources of certain principal, or criteria, pollutant emissions, which reviews could require securing PSD permits at covered facilities emitting GHGs and meeting "best available control technology" standards for those GHG emissions. In addition, the EPA has adopted rules requiring the monitoring and annual reporting of GHG emissions from certain petroleum and natural gas system sources in the U.S., including, among others, onshore and offshore production facilities, which include certain of our producing customers' operations. In October 2015, the EPA amended and expanded the GHG reporting requirements to all segments of the oil and natural gas industry, including gathering and boosting facilities as well as completions and workovers from hydraulically fractured oil wells, and in January 2016, the EPA proposed additional revisions to leak detection methodology to align the reporting rules with the new source performance standards.

On an international level, in December 2015, the U.S. joined the international community at the 21st Conference of the Parties of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in Paris, France that requires member countries to review and "represent a progression" in their intended nationally determined contributions, which set GHG emission reduction goals every five years beginning in 2020. Although this agreement does not create any binding obligations for nations to limit their GHG emissions, it does include pledges to voluntarily limit or reduce future emissions.

The adoption and implementation of any international, federal or state legislation or regulations that require reporting of GHGs or otherwise restrict emissions of GHGs from our equipment and operations could require us to incur costs to reduce emissions of GHG associated with our operations or could adversely affect demand for the refined petroleum products that we produce. For example, in June 2016, the EPA published Subpart Quad OOOOa standards that require certain new, modified or reconstructed facilities in the oil and natural gas sector to reduce these methane gas and volatile organic compound emissions. These Subpart OOOOa standards will expand previously issued Subpart OOOO standards published by the EPA in 2012, by using certain equipment-specific emissions control practices. Moreover, in November 2016, the EPA issued a final ICR seeking information about methane emissions from facilities and operators in the oil and natural gas industry that could be used in developing standards for existing sources in the oil and natural gas industry. Finally, some scientists have concluded that increasing concentrations of GHG in the atmosphere may produce climate changes that have significant physical effects, such as increased frequency and severity of storms, droughts, and floods and other climate events that could have an adverse effect on our operations and the operations of our customers.

Our business involves the shipping by rail of crude oil including from the Bakken Shale, which involves risks of derailment, accidents and liabilities associated with cleanup and damages, as well as regulatory changes that may adversely impact our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our operations involve the purchasing of crude oil including from the Bakken Shale and shipping it by rail on railcars that we lease. Past derailments of trains transporting crude oil in the U.S. and Canada have caused various regulatory agencies and industry organizations, as well as federal, state and municipal governments, to focus attention on transportation of flammable materials by rail. Transportation safety regulators in the U.S. and Canada are concerned that crude oil from the Bakken Shale may be more flammable than crude oil from other producing regions and are investigating that issue. In May 2015, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") adopted a final rule that, among other things, imposes a new tank car design standard, a phase out by as early as January 2018 for older DOT-111 tank cars that are not retrofitted, and a classification and testing program for unrefined petroleum based products, including crude oil. The rule also includes new operational

requirements such as speed restrictions. The Canadian government's transportation department has also issued new regulations that align with the U.S. rule in many respects.

In August 2016, PHMSA released a final rule mandating a phase-out schedule for all DOT-111 tank cars used to transport Class 3 flammable liquids, including crude oil and ethanol, between 2018 and 2029. Additionally, in July 2016, PHMSA proposed a new rule that would expand the applicability of comprehensive oil spill response plans so that any railroad that transports a single train carrying 20 or more loaded tanks of liquid petroleum oil in a continuous block or a single train carrying 35 or more loaded tank cars of liquid petroleum oil throughout the train must have a current, comprehensive written plan. In response to a petition from the New York Attorney General, PHMSA issued an advance notice of proposed rulemaking ("ANPR") in January 2017 stating that it is considering revising the Hazardous Materials Regulations ("HMR") to establish vapor pressure limits for unrefined petroleum-based products and potentially all Class 3 flammable liquid hazardous materials that would apply during the transportation of the products or materials by any mode. In addition, in February 2016, the Federal Railroad Administration modified its accident and incident reports to gather additional data concerning rail cars carrying crude oil in any train involved in a Federal Railroad Agency-reportable accident. In addition to action taken or proposed by federal agencies, a number of states proposed or enacted laws in recent years that encourage safer rail operations or urge the federal government to strengthen requirements for these operations.

We have reviewed the final rule in detail to assess the expected impact on our business, including the potential impact on the tank cars that we lease to transport our products, and determined some of our tank cars could require upgrades or replacements. We are unable to predict what impact these or other regulatory changes may have, if any, on our business or the industry as a whole. As a result of the final rule, certain of our tank cars that we lease could be deemed unfit for further commercial use beginning in January 2018 or require retrofits or modifications, and the costs associated with any required retrofits or modifications could be substantial. In addition, the new tank car design requirements may result in significant constraints on transportation capacity during the period while tank cars are being retrofitted or newly constructed to comply with the new regulations. Such transportation capacity constraints could increase the cost of transporting crude oil by rail. We cannot assure that costs incurred to comply with any new standards and regulations, including those finalized by PHMSA in 2015 and 2016, will not be material to our business, financial condition or results of operations. In addition, any derailment involving crude oil that we have purchased or are shipping may result in claims being brought against us that may involve significant liabilities. Although we believe that we are adequately insured against such events, we cannot provide assurance that our policies will cover the entirety of any damages that may arise from such an event.

We could be subject to damages based on claims brought against us by our customers or lose customers as a result of the failure of our products to meet certain quality specifications.

Our specialty products provide precise performance attributes for our customers' products. If a product fails to perform in a manner consistent with the detailed quality specifications required by the customer, the customer could seek replacement of the product or damages for costs incurred as a result of the product failing to perform as guaranteed. A successful claim or series of claims against us could result in a loss of one or more customers and impact our ability to make distributions to unitholders and payments of our debt obligations.

The enactment of derivatives legislation could have an adverse effect on our ability to use derivative instruments to hedge risks associated with our business.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Act"), enacted on July 21, 2010, established federal oversight and regulation of the over-the-counter derivatives market and entities, such as us, that participate in that market. The Act requires the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") and the SEC to promulgate rules and regulations implementing the Act. Although the CFTC has finalized certain regulations, others remain to be finalized or implemented and it is not possible at this time to predict when this will be accomplished.

In its rulemaking under the Act, the CFTC has proposed new rules to set position limits for certain futures and option contracts in the major energy markets and for swaps that are their economic equivalents, subject to exceptions for certain bona fide hedging transactions. As these new position limit rules are not yet final, their impact on us is uncertain at this time.

The CFTC has designated certain interest rate swaps and credit default swaps for mandatory clearing and the associated rules also require us, in connection with covered derivative activities, to comply with clearing and trade-execution requirements or take steps to qualify for an exemption to such requirements. Although we believe that we qualify for the end-user exceptions to the mandatory clearing and trade execution requirements with respect to those swaps entered to hedge our commercial risks, the application of such requirements to other market participants, such as swap dealers, may change the cost and availability of the swaps that we use for hedging. In addition, certain banking regulators and the CFTC have recently adopted final rules establishing minimum margin requirements for uncleared swaps. Although we expect to qualify for the end-user exception from such margin requirements for swaps entered into to hedge our commercial risks, the application of such requirements to other market participants, such as swap dealers, may change the cost and availability of the swaps that we use for hedging. If any of our swaps do not qualify

for the commercial end-user exception, posting of collateral could impact liquidity and reduce cash available to us for capital expenditures, therefore reducing our ability to execute hedges to reduce risk and protect cash flow. The Act and any new regulations could significantly increase the cost of derivative instruments, materially alter the terms of derivative instruments, reduce the availability of derivatives to protect against risks we encounter and reduce our ability to monetize or restructure our existing derivatives contracts. An increase in the cost of derivatives contracts would affect our results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations. If we reduce our use of derivatives as a result of the Act and regulations, our results of operations may become more volatile and our cash flows may be less predictable, which could adversely affect our ability to plan for and fund capital expenditures and make distributions to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations. Finally, the Act was intended, in part, to reduce the volatility of oil and natural gas prices, which some legislators attributed to speculative trading in derivatives and commodity instruments related to oil and natural gas. Our revenues could therefore be adversely affected if a consequence of the Act and regulations is to lower commodity prices. Any of these consequences could have a material adverse effect on our business, our financial condition, and our results of operations.

In addition, the European Union and other non-U.S. jurisdictions are implementing regulations with respect to the derivatives market. To the extent we transact with counterparties in foreign jurisdictions, we may become subject to such regulations, the impact of which is not clear at this time.

We depend on key personnel for the success of our business and the loss of those persons could adversely affect our business and our ability to make distributions to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations.

The loss of the services of any member of senior management or key employee could have an adverse effect on our business and reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations. We may not be able to locate or employ on acceptable terms qualified replacements for senior management or other key employees if their services were no longer available. We have employment agreements in place with respect to Timothy Go, F. William Grube and R. Patrick Murray, II. We do not maintain any key-man life insurance. An increase in interest rates will cause our debt service obligations to increase.

Borrowings under our revolving credit facility bear interest at a rate equal to prime plus a basis points margin or LIBOR plus a basis points margin, at our option. As of December 31, 2016, there were outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility of \$10.2 million and \$82.1 million in standby letters of credit were issued under our revolving credit facility. The interest rate is subject to adjustment based on fluctuations in the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") or prime rate, as applicable. An increase in the interest rates associated with our floating-rate debt would increase our debt service costs and affect our results of operations and cash flow available for distribution to our unitholders. In addition, an increase in interest rates could adversely affect our future ability to obtain financing or materially increase the cost of any additional financing.

A change of control could result in us facing substantial repayment obligations under our revolving credit agreement, our senior notes and our Collateral Trust Agreement.

Certain events relating to a change of control of our general partner, our partnership and our operating subsidiaries would constitute an event of default under our revolving credit agreement, the indentures governing our senior notes and our Collateral Trust Agreement. In addition, an event of default under our revolving credit agreement would likely constitute an event of default under our master derivatives contracts and the BP Purchase Agreement. As a result, upon a change of control event, we may be required immediately to repay the outstanding principal, any accrued interest on and any other amounts owed by us under our revolving credit facility and the senior notes and the outstanding payment obligations under our master derivatives contracts and the BP Purchase Agreement. The source of funds for these repayments would be our available cash or cash generated from other sources and there can be no assurance that we would have, or be able to obtain, sufficient funds to repay such indebtedness and other payment obligations in full.

In addition, our obligations under our revolving credit facility are secured by a first-priority lien on our accounts receivable, inventory and substantially all of our cash; our 2021 Secured Notes are secured by a first-priority lien on all of the fixed assets that secure our obligations under our secured hedge agreements; and our obligations under our

master derivatives contracts and the BP Purchase Agreement are secured by a first-priority lien on our and our subsidiaries' real property, plant and equipment, fixtures, intellectual property, certain financial assets, certain investment property, commercial tort claims, chattel paper, documents, instruments and proceeds of the forgoing (including proceeds of hedge agreements). If we are unable to repay our indebtedness under the revolving credit facility or the 2021 Secured Notes, satisfy the payment obligations under our master derivative contracts or the payment obligations under the BP Purchase Agreement or obtain waivers of such defaults, then the lenders under our revolving credit facility, the holders of our 2021 Secured Notes, the derivative counterparties under our master derivative contracts and BP, respectively, would have the right to foreclose on those assets, which would have a material adverse effect on us. There is no restriction in our partnership agreement on the ability of our general partner to enter into a transaction which would trigger

the change of control provisions of our revolving credit facility agreement, the indentures governing our senior notes or our Collateral Trust Agreement.

We are exposed to trade credit risk in the ordinary course of our business activities.

We are exposed to risks of loss in the event of nonperformance by our customers and by counterparties of our derivative instruments. Some of our customers and counterparties may be highly leveraged and subject to their own operating and regulatory risks. Even if our credit review and analysis mechanisms work properly, we may experience financial losses in our dealings with other parties. Any increase in the nonpayment or nonperformance by our customers and/or counterparties could reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations.

Risks Inherent in an Investment in Us

At March 6, 2017, the families of our chairman, executive vice chairman, The Heritage Group and certain of their affiliates own an approximate 21.0% limited partner interest in us and own and control our general partner, which has sole responsibility for conducting our business and managing our operations. Our general partner and its affiliates have conflicts of interest and limited fiduciary duties, which may permit them to favor their own interests to other unitholders' detriment.

At March 6, 2017, the families of our chairman, executive vice chairman, The Heritage Group, and certain of their affiliates own an approximate 21.0% limited partner interest in us. In addition, The Heritage Group and the families of our chairman and executive vice chairman own our general partner. Conflicts of interest may arise between our general partner and its affiliates, on the one hand, and us and our unitholders, on the other hand. As a result of these conflicts, the general partner may favor its own interests and the interests of its affiliates over the interests of our unitholders. These conflicts include, among others, the following situations:

our general partner is allowed to take into account the interests of parties other than us, such as its affiliates, in resolving conflicts of interest, which has the effect of limiting its fiduciary duty to our unitholders;

our general partner has limited its liability and reduced its fiduciary duties under our partnership agreement and has also restricted the remedies available to our unitholders for actions that, without the limitations, might constitute breaches of fiduciary duty. As a result of purchasing common units, unitholders consent to some actions and conflicts of interest that might otherwise constitute a breach of fiduciary or other duties under Delaware law;

our general partner determines the amount and timing of asset purchases and sales, borrowings, issuance of additional partnership securities, and reserves, each of which can affect the amount of cash that is distributed to unitholders; our general partner determines which costs incurred by it and its affiliates are reimbursable by us;

our general partner determines the amount and timing of any capital expenditures and whether a capital expenditure is a maintenance capital expenditure, which reduces operating surplus, or a capital expenditure for acquisitions or capital improvements, which does not. This determination can affect the amount of cash that is available for distribution to our unitholders and payments of our debt obligations;

our general partner has the flexibility to cause us to enter into a broad variety of derivative transactions covering different time periods, the net cash receipts or payments from which will increase or decrease operating surplus and adjusted operating surplus, with the result that our general partner may be able to shift the recognition of operating surplus and adjusted operating surplus between periods to increase the distributions it and its affiliates receive on their incentive distribution rights; and

in some instances, our general partner may cause us to borrow funds in order to permit the payment of cash distributions, even if the purpose or effect of the borrowing is to make incentive distributions.

The Heritage Group and certain of its affiliates may engage in limited competition with us.

Pursuant to the omnibus agreement we entered into in connection with our initial public offering, The Heritage Group and its controlled affiliates have agreed not to engage in, whether by acquisition or otherwise, the business of refining or marketing specialty lubricating oils, solvents and wax products as well as gasoline, diesel and jet fuel products in the continental U.S. for so long as it controls us. This restriction does not apply to certain assets and businesses which are more fully described under Part III, Item 13 "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence — Omnibus Agreement."

Although Mr. Grube is prohibited from competing with us pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement, the owners of our general partner, other than The Heritage Group, are not prohibited from competing with us, except to the extent described above. Currently, The Heritage Group is an active marketer of asphalt products and has been engaged in this business for much longer than us. In certain geographical areas, there can be overlap where both The Heritage Group and we market asphalt.

Our partnership agreement limits our general partner's fiduciary duties to our unitholders and restricts the remedies available to unitholders for actions taken by our general partner that might otherwise constitute breaches of fiduciary duty.

Our partnership agreement contains provisions that reduce the standards to which our general partner would otherwise be held by state fiduciary duty law. For example, our partnership agreement:

permits our general partner to make a number of decisions in its individual capacity, as opposed to in its capacity as our general partner. This entitles our general partner to consider only the interests and factors that it desires, and it has no duty or obligation to give any consideration to any interest of, or factors affecting, us, our affiliates or any limited partner. Examples include the exercise of its limited call right, its voting rights with respect to the units it owns, its registration rights and its determination whether or not to consent to any merger or consolidation of our partnership or amendment of our partnership agreement;

provides that our general partner will not have any liability to us or our unitholders for decisions made in its capacity as a general partner so long as it acted in good faith, meaning it believed the decision was in the best interests of our partnership;

generally provides that affiliated transactions and resolutions of conflicts of interest not approved by the conflicts committee of the board of directors of our general partner and not involving a vote of unitholders must be on terms no less favorable to us than those generally being provided to or available from unrelated third parties or be "fair and reasonable" to us. In determining whether a transaction or resolution is "fair and reasonable," our general partner may consider the totality of the relationships between the parties involved, including other transactions that may be particularly advantageous or beneficial to us; and

provides that our general partner and its officers and directors will not be liable for monetary damages to us or our limited partners for any acts or omissions unless there has been a final and non-appealable judgment entered by a court of competent jurisdiction determining that the general partner or those other persons acted in bad faith or engaged in fraud or willful misconduct or, in the case of a criminal matter, acted with knowledge that such person's conduct was criminal.

By purchasing a common unit, a unitholder agrees to be bound by the provisions in the partnership agreement, including the provisions discussed above.

Unitholders have limited voting rights and are not entitled to elect our general partner or its directors.

Unlike the holders of common stock in a corporation, unitholders have only limited voting rights on matters affecting our business and, therefore, limited ability to influence management's decisions regarding our business. Unitholders do not elect our general partner or its board of directors, and have no right to elect our general partner or its board of directors on an annual or other continuing basis. The board of directors of our general partner is chosen by the members of our general partner. Furthermore, if the unitholders are dissatisfied with the performance of our general partner, the vote of the holders of at least 66 <sup>2</sup>/3% of all outstanding units voting together as a single class is required to remove the general partner. At March 6, 2017, the owners of our general partner and certain of their affiliates own approximately 21.0% of our common units. As a result of these limitations, the price at which the common units trade could be diminished because of the absence or reduction of a takeover premium in the trading price.

Our partnership agreement restricts the voting rights of those unitholders owning 20% or more of our common units. Unitholders' voting rights are further restricted by the partnership agreement provision providing that any units held by a person that owns 20% or more of any class of units then outstanding, other than our general partner, its affiliates, their transferees, and persons who acquired such units with the prior approval of the board of directors of our general partner, cannot vote on any matter. Our partnership agreement also contains provisions limiting the ability of unitholders to call meetings or to acquire information about our operations, as well as other provisions limiting the unitholders' ability to influence the manner or direction of management.

Our general partner interest or control of our general partner may be transferred to a third party without unitholder consent.

Our general partner may transfer its general partner interest to a third party in a merger or in a sale of all or substantially all of its assets without the consent of the unitholders. Furthermore, our partnership agreement does not

restrict the ability of the members of our general partner from transferring their respective membership interests in our general partner to a third party. The new members of our general partner would then be in a position to replace the board of directors and officers of our general partner with their own choices and thereby control the decisions taken by the board of directors.

We do not have our own officers and employees and rely solely on the officers and employees of our general partner and its affiliates to manage our business and affairs.

We do not have our own officers and employees and rely solely on the officers and employees of our general partner and its affiliates to manage our business and affairs. We can provide no assurance that our general partner will continue to provide us the officers and employees that are necessary for the conduct of our business nor that such provision will be on terms that are acceptable to us. If our general partner fails to provide us with adequate personnel, our operations could be adversely impacted and our cash available for distribution to unitholders and payments of our debt obligations could be reduced.

We may issue additional common units without unitholder approval, which would dilute our current unitholders' existing ownership interests.

We may issue an unlimited number of limited partner interests of any type without the approval of our unitholders. Our partnership agreement does not give our unitholders the right to approve our issuance of equity securities ranking junior to the common units at any time. In addition, our partnership agreement does not prohibit the issuance by our subsidiaries of equity securities, which may effectively rank senior to the common units. The issuance of additional common units or other equity securities of equal or senior rank to the common units will have the following effects: our unitholders' proportionate ownership interest in us may decrease;

the amount of cash available for distribution on each unit may decrease;

the relative voting strength of each previously outstanding unit may be diminished;

the market price of the common units may decline; and

the ratio of taxable income to distributions may increase.

Our general partner's determination of the level of cash reserves may reduce the amount of available cash for distribution to unitholders.

Our partnership agreement requires our general partner to deduct from operating surplus cash reserves that it establishes are necessary to fund our future operating expenditures. In addition, our partnership agreement also permits our general partner to reduce available cash by establishing cash reserves for the proper conduct of our business, to comply with applicable law or agreements to which we are a party, or to provide funds for future distributions to partners. These reserves will affect the amount of cash available for distribution to unitholders. We have a holding company structure in which our subsidiaries conduct our operations and own our operating assets and our ability to distribute cash to our unitholders and make payments of our debt obligations depends on the performance of our subsidiaries and their ability to distribute funds to us.

We are a holding company, and our subsidiaries conduct all of our operations and own all of our operating assets. We have no significant assets other than the equity interests in our subsidiaries. As a result, our ability to distribute cash to our unitholders and make payments of debt obligations depends on the performance of our subsidiaries and their ability to distribute funds to us. The ability of our subsidiaries to make distributions to us is restricted by our revolving credit facility and the indentures governing our senior notes and may be restricted by, among other things, applicable state laws and other laws and regulations. If we are unable to obtain the funds necessary to distribute cash to our unitholders or make payments of debt obligations, we may be required to adopt one or more alternatives, such as a refinancing of our indebtedness or incurring borrowings under our revolving credit facility. We cannot assure unitholders that we would be able to refinance our indebtedness or that the terms on which we could refinance our indebtedness would be favorable.

Cost reimbursements due to our general partner and its affiliates will reduce cash available for distribution to unitholders and payments of our debt obligations.

Prior to making any distribution on the common units, we will reimburse our general partner and its affiliates for all expenses they incur on our behalf. Any such reimbursement will be determined by our general partner and will reduce the cash available for distribution to unitholders and payments of our debt obligations. These expenses will include all costs incurred by our general partner and its affiliates in managing and operating us. Please read Part III, Item 13 "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence."

Our general partner has a limited call right that may require unitholders to sell their units at an undesirable time or price.

If at any time our general partner and its affiliates own more than 80% of the issued and outstanding common units, our general partner will have the right, but not the obligation, which right it may assign to any of its affiliates or to us, to acquire all, but not less than all, of the common units held by unaffiliated persons at a price not less than their then-current market price. As a result, unitholders may be required to sell their common units to our general partner, its affiliates or us at an undesirable time or

price and may not receive any return on their investment. Unitholders may also incur a tax liability upon a sale of their common units. At March 6, 2017, our general partner and its affiliates own approximately 21.0% of our common units.

Unitholder liability may not be limited if a court finds that unitholder action constitutes control of our business. A general partner of a partnership generally has unlimited liability for the obligations of the partnership, except for those contractual obligations of the partnership that are expressly made without recourse to the general partner. Our partnership is organized under Delaware law and we conduct business in a number of other states. The limitations on the liability of holders of limited partner interests for the obligations of a limited partnership have not been clearly established in some of the other states in which we do business. Unitholders could be liable for any and all of our obligations as if they were a general partner if:

a court or government agency determined that we were conducting business in a state but had not complied with that particular state's partnership statute; or

unitholders' right to act with other unitholders to remove or replace the general partner, to approve some amendments to our partnership agreement or to take other actions under our partnership agreement constitute "control" of our business.

Unitholders may have liability to repay distributions that were wrongfully distributed to them.

Under Section 17-607 of the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act, which we call the Delaware Act, we may not make a distribution to our unitholders if the distribution would cause our liabilities to exceed the fair value of our assets. Delaware law provides that for a period of three years from the date of the impermissible distribution, limited partners who received the distribution and who knew at the time of the distribution that it violated Delaware law will be liable to the limited partnership for the distribution amount. Purchasers of units who become limited partners are liable for the obligations of the transferring limited partner to make contributions to the partnership that are known to the purchaser of the units at the time it became a limited partner and for unknown obligations if the liabilities could be determined from the partnership agreement. Liabilities to partners on account of their partnership interest and liabilities that are non-recourse to the partnership are not counted for purposes of determining whether a distribution is permitted.

Our common units have a low trading volume compared to other units representing limited partner interests. Our common units are traded publicly on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "CLMT." However, our common units have a low average daily trading volume compared to many other units representing limited partner interests quoted on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

The market price of our common units may continue to be volatile and may also be influenced by many factors, some of which are beyond our control, including:

our quarterly distributions;

our quarterly or annual earnings or those of other companies in our industry;

changes in commodity prices or refining margins;

loss of a large customer;

announcements by us or our competitors of significant contracts or acquisitions;

changes in accounting standards, policies, guidance, interpretations or principles;

general economic conditions;

the failure of securities analysts to cover our common units or changes in financial estimates by analysts;

future sales of our common units; and

the other factors described in Item 1A "Risk Factors" of this Annual Report.

Tax Risks to Common Unitholders

Our tax treatment depends on our status as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, as well as our not being subject to a material amount of entity-level taxation by individual states. If the IRS were to treat us as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, or if we become subject to material additional amounts of entity-level taxation for state tax purposes, then our cash available for distribution to our unitholders would be substantially reduced.

The anticipated after-tax economic benefit of an investment in our common units depends largely on our being treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Despite the fact that we are organized as a limited partnership under Delaware law, we would be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes unless we satisfy a "qualifying income" requirement. Based upon our current operations and private letter rulings we have received with respect to certain aspects of our business, we believe we satisfy the qualifying income requirement. Failing to meet the qualifying income requirement or a change in current law could cause us to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or otherwise subject us to taxation as an entity.

If we were treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, we would pay federal income tax on our taxable income at the corporate tax rate. Distributions to our unitholders would generally be taxed again as corporate distributions, and no income, gains, losses, deductions or credits would flow through to our unitholders. Because a tax would be imposed upon us as a corporation, our cash available for distribution to our unitholders could be substantially reduced. Therefore, treatment of us as a corporation would result in a material reduction in the anticipated cash flow and after-tax return to the unitholders, likely causing a substantial reduction in the value of our common units.

Our partnership agreement provides that if a law is enacted or existing law is modified or interpreted in a manner that subjects us to taxation as a corporation or otherwise subjects us to a material amount of entity-level taxation for federal, state or local income tax purposes, the anticipated quarterly distribution amount and the target distribution amounts may be adjusted to reflect the impact of that law or interpretation on us. At the state level, several states have been evaluating ways to subject partnerships to entity-level taxation through the imposition of state income, franchise, or other forms of taxation. Imposition of a similar tax on us in the jurisdictions in which we operate or in other jurisdictions to which we may expand could substantially reduce our cash available for distribution to our unitholders. The tax treatment of publicly-traded partnerships or an investment in our common units could be subject to potential legislative, judicial or administrative changes and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis. The present U.S. federal income tax treatment of publicly-traded partnerships, including us, or an investment in our common units may be modified by administrative, legislative or judicial changes or differing interpretations at any time. For example, from time to time, members of Congress propose and consider such substantive changes to the existing U.S. federal income tax laws that affect publicly-traded partnerships. Although there is no such current legislative proposal, a prior legislative proposal would have eliminated the qualifying income exception to the treatment of all publicly-traded partnerships as corporations upon which we rely for our treatment as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

In addition, on January 24, 2017, final regulations regarding which activities give rise to qualifying income within the meaning of Section 7704 of the Code (the "Final Regulations") were published in the Federal Register. Although we are still studying the application of the Final Regulations to portions of our business, the Final Regulations reflect a number of changes from the proposed regulations that are responsive to our requests for clarifications to the proposed regulations. Although we anticipate that the vast majority of our income will qualify under new standards adopted by the Final Regulations, because of our private letter rulings portions of our income that may not qualify under the Final Regulations can be treated as qualifying throughout a ten-year transition period. However, there can be no assurance that there will not be further changes to the IRS's interpretation of the qualifying income rules that could impact our ability to qualify as a partnership in the future.

Any modification to the U.S. federal income tax laws may be applied retroactively and could make it more difficult or impossible for us to meet the exception for certain publicly-traded partnerships to be treated as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes. We are unable to predict whether any of these changes or other proposals will ultimately be enacted. Any similar or future changes could negatively impact the value of an investment in our common units. If the IRS contests the federal income tax positions we take, the market for our common units may be adversely impacted and the cost of any IRS contest will reduce our cash available for distribution to our unitholders. The IRS may adopt positions that differ from the positions we take. It may be necessary to resort to administrative or court proceedings to sustain some or all of the positions we take. A court may not agree with some or all of the positions we take. Any contest by the IRS may materially and adversely impact the market for our common units and the price at which they trade. Our costs of any contest by the IRS will be borne indirectly by our unitholders and our

general partner because the costs will reduce our cash available for distribution. We have requested and obtained a favorable private letter ruling from the IRS to the effect that, based on facts presented in the private letter ruling request, our income from refining, blending, processing, packaging, marketing and distribution of lubricants will constitute "qualifying income" within the meaning of Section 7704 of the Code.

If the IRS makes audit adjustments to our income tax returns for tax years beginning after December 31, 2017, it (and some states) may assess and collect any taxes (including any applicable penalties and interest) resulting from such audit adjustment directly from us, in which case our cash available for distribution to our unitholders might be substantially reduced.

Pursuant to the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015, for tax years beginning after December 31, 2017, if the IRS makes audit adjustments to our income tax returns, it (and some states) may assess and collect any taxes (including any applicable penalties and interest) resulting from such audit adjustment directly from us. To the extent possible under the new rules, our general partner

may elect to either pay the taxes (including any applicable penalties and interest) directly to the IRS or, if we are eligible, issue a revised Schedule K-1 to each unitholder with respect to an audited and adjusted return. Although our general partner may elect to have our unitholders take such audit adjustment into account in accordance with their interests in us during the tax year under audit, there can be no assurance that such election will be practical, permissible or effective in all circumstances. As a result, our current unitholders may bear some or all of the tax liability resulting from such audit adjustment, even if such unitholders did not own units in us during the tax year under audit. If, as a result of any such audit adjustment, we are required to make payments of taxes, penalties and interest, our cash available for distribution to our unitholders might be substantially reduced. These rules are not applicable for tax years beginning on or prior to December 31, 2017.

Unitholders will be required to pay taxes on their share of our taxable income even if they do not receive any cash distributions from us, including their share of income from the cancellation of debt.

Unitholders will be required to pay federal income taxes and, in some cases, state and local income taxes on their share of our taxable income, whether or not they receive cash distributions from us. Unitholders may not receive cash distributions from us equal to their share of our taxable income or even equal to the actual tax liability which results from that income.

In response to current market conditions, we may engage in transactions to delever the Company and manage our liquidity that may result in income and gain to our unitholders without a corresponding cash distribution. For example, if we sell assets and use the proceeds to repay existing debt or fund capital expenditures, you may be allocated taxable income and gain resulting from the sale without receiving a cash distribution. Further, taking advantage of opportunities to reduce our existing debt, such as debt exchanges, debt repurchases or modifications of our existing debt, could result in "cancellation of indebtedness income" (also referred to as "COD income") being allocated to our unitholders as taxable income. Unitholders may be allocated COD income, and income tax liabilities arising therefrom may exceed cash distributions. The ultimate effect of any such allocations will depend on the unitholder's individual tax position with respect to its units. Unitholders are encouraged to consult their tax advisors with respect to the consequences to them of COD income.

The sale or exchange of 50% or more of our capital and profits interests during any twelve-month period will result in the termination of our partnership for federal income tax purposes.

We will be considered to have terminated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes if there is a sale or exchange of 50% or more of the total interests in our capital and profits within a twelve-month period. For purposes of determining whether the 50% threshold has been met, multiple sales of the same interest will be counted only once. Our termination would, among other things, result in the closing of our taxable year for all unitholders, which would result in us filing two tax returns for one calendar year and could result in a significant deferral of depreciation deductions allowable in computing our taxable income. In the case of a unitholder reporting on a taxable year other than the calendar year, the closing of our taxable year may also result in more than 12 months of our taxable income or loss being includable in his taxable income for the year of termination. Our termination currently would not affect our classification as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, but it would result in our being treated as a new partnership for federal income tax purposes. If we were treated as a new partnership, we would be required to make new tax elections and could be subject to penalties if we are unable to determine that a termination occurred. The IRS recently announced a relief procedure whereby if a publicly-traded partnership that has technically terminated requests and the IRS grants special relief, among other things, the partnership may be permitted to provide only a single Schedule K-1 to unitholders for the two short tax periods included in the year in which the termination occurs. Tax gain or loss on the disposition of our common units could be more or less than expected.

If our unitholders sell their common units, they will recognize a gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and their tax basis in those common units. Because distributions in excess of a unitholder's allocable share of our net taxable income result in a decrease in such unitholder's tax basis in their common units, the amount, if any, of such prior excess distributions with respect to the units they sell will, in effect, become taxable income to our unitholders if they sell such units at a price greater than their tax basis in those units, even if the price they receive is less than their original cost. In addition, because the amount realized includes a unitholder's share of our nonrecourse

liabilities, if unitholders sell their units, they may incur a tax liability in excess of the amount of cash they receive from the sale.

Furthermore, a substantial portion of the amount realized from the sale of common units, whether or not representing gain, may be taxed as ordinary income due to potential recapture of depreciation and deductions and certain other items. Thus, our unitholders may recognize both ordinary income and capital loss from the sale of their units if the amount realized on a sale of such units is less than their adjusted basis in the units. Net capital loss may only offset capital gains and, in the case of individuals, up to \$3,000 of ordinary income per year. In the taxable period in which our unitholders sell their units, they may recognize ordinary income from our allocations of income and gain to them prior to the sale and from recapture items that generally cannot be offset by any capital loss recognized upon the sale of units.

Tax-exempt entities and non-U.S. persons face unique tax issues from owning common units that may result in adverse tax consequences to them.

Investments in common units by tax-exempt entities, such as employee benefit plans and individual retirement accounts (known as "IRAs"), and non-U.S. persons raise issues unique to them. For example, virtually all of our income allocated to organizations that are exempt from federal income tax, including IRAs and other retirement plans, will be unrelated business taxable income and will be taxable to them. Allocations and/or distributions to non-U.S. persons will be reduced by withholding taxes imposed at the highest effective tax rate applicable to non-U.S. persons, and each non-U.S. person will be required to file a U.S. federal tax return and pay tax on their share of our taxable income. If you are a tax-exempt entity or a non-U.S. person, you should consult your tax advisor before investing in our common units.

We have subsidiaries that are treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes and subject to corporate-level income taxes.

Even though we (as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes) are not subject to U.S. federal income tax, some of our operations are currently conducted through subsidiaries that are organized as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The taxable income, if any, of such subsidiaries are subject to corporate-level U.S. federal income taxes, which may reduce the cash available for distribution to us and, in turn, to our unitholders. If the IRS or other state or local jurisdictions were to successfully assert that these corporations have more tax liability than we anticipate or legislation was enacted that increased the corporate tax rate, the cash available for distribution could be further reduced. The income tax return filings positions taken by these corporate subsidiaries require significant judgment, use of estimates, and the interpretation and application of complex tax laws. Significant judgment is also required in assessing the timing and amounts of deductible and taxable items. Despite our belief that the income tax return positions taken by these subsidiaries is fully supportable, certain positions may be successfully challenged by the IRS, state or local jurisdictions.

We will treat each purchaser of our common units as having the same tax benefits without regard to the actual common units purchased. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could adversely affect the value of the common units.

Because we cannot match transferors and transferees of common units and because of other reasons, we will adopt depreciation and amortization positions that may not conform to all aspects of existing Treasury Regulations. A successful IRS challenge to those positions could adversely affect the amount of tax benefits available to our unitholders. It also could affect the timing of these tax benefits or the amount of gain from unitholders' sale of common units and could have a negative impact on the value of our common units or result in audit adjustments to their tax returns.

We will prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction between transferors and transferees of our units each month based upon the ownership of our units on the first day of each month, instead of on the basis of the date a particular unit is transferred. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss and deduction among our unitholders.

We generally prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction between transferors and transferees of our common units each month based upon the ownership of our common units on the first day of each month (the "Allocation Date"), instead of on the basis of the date a particular common unit is transferred. Similarly, we generally allocate gain or loss realized on a sale or other disposition of our assets or, in the discretion of the general partner, any other extraordinary item of income, gain, loss or deduction on the Allocation Date. Nonetheless, we allocate certain deductions for depreciation of capital additions based upon the date the underlying property is placed in service. The U.S. Department of the Treasury adopted final Treasury Regulations allowing a similar monthly simplifying convention but such regulations do not specifically authorize all aspects of our proration method. If the IRS were to successfully challenge our proration method or new Treasury Regulations were issued, we may be required to change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss, and deduction among our unitholders.

We have adopted certain valuation methodologies in determining unitholder's allocations of income, gain, loss and deduction. The IRS may challenge these methods or the resulting allocations, and such a challenge could adversely

affect the value of our common units.

In determining the items of income, gain, loss and deduction allocable to our unitholders, we must routinely determine the fair market value of our respective assets. Although we may from time to time consult with professional appraisers regarding valuation matters, we make many fair market value estimates using a methodology based on the market value of our common units as a means to measure the fair market value of our respective assets. The IRS may challenge these valuation methods and the resulting allocations of income, gain, loss and deduction. A successful IRS challenge to these methods or allocations could adversely affect the amount, character, and timing of taxable income or loss being allocated to our unitholders. It also could affect the amount of gain from our unitholders' sale of common units and could have a negative impact on the value of the common units or result in audit adjustments to our unitholders' tax returns without the benefit of additional deductions.

A unitholder whose common units are the subject of a securities loan (e.g., a loan to a "short seller" to cover a short sale of common units) may be considered as having disposed of those common units. If so, he would no longer be treated for tax purposes as a partner with respect to those common units during the period of the loan and may recognize gain or loss from the disposition.

Because there are no specific rules governing the U.S. federal income tax consequences of loaning a partnership interest, a unitholder whose common units are the subject of a securities loan may be considered as having disposed of the loaned units. In that case, the unitholder may no longer be treated for tax purposes as a partner with respect to those common units during the period of the loan and the unitholder may recognize gain or loss from such disposition. Moreover, during the period of the loan, any of our income, gain, loss or deduction with respect to those common units may not be reportable by the unitholder and any cash distributions received by the unitholder as to those common units could be fully taxable as ordinary income. Unitholders desiring to assure their status as partners and avoid the risk of gain recognition from a loan to a short seller should modify any applicable brokerage account agreements to prohibit their brokers from borrowing their common units.

Unitholders will likely be subject to state and local taxes and return filing requirements in jurisdictions where they do not live as a result of investing in our common units.

In addition to U.S. federal income taxes, our unitholders will likely be subject to other taxes, including state and local taxes, unincorporated business taxes and estate, inheritance or intangible taxes that are imposed by the various jurisdictions in which we conduct business or own property now or in the future, even if they do not live in any of those jurisdictions. We own assets and conduct business in most states. Our unitholders may be required to file foreign, state and local income tax returns and pay state and local income taxes in any state in which we now or may conduct business in the future. Further, they may be subject to penalties for failure to comply with those requirements. As we make acquisitions or expand our business, we may own assets or conduct business in additional states or foreign jurisdictions that impose a personal income tax. It is the responsibility of our unitholders to file all U.S. federal, foreign, state and local tax returns.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are not a party to, and our property is not the subject of, any pending legal proceedings other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to our business. Our operations are subject to a variety of risks and disputes normally incident to our business. As a result, we may, at any given time, be a defendant in various legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. Please see Items 1 and 2 "Business and Properties — Environmental and Occupational Health and Safety Matters" for a description of our current regulatory matters related to the environment, health and safety. Additionally, the information provided under Note 6 "Commitments and Contingencies" in Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data — Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures Not applicable.

#### PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Unitholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Market Information

Our common units are quoted and traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market ("NASDAQ") under the symbol "CLMT." The following table shows the low and high sales prices per common unit, as reported by NASDAQ, for the periods indicated. Cash distribution per unit information presented below represent amounts declared subsequent to each respective quarter end based on the results of that quarter.

	Low	High		sh Distribution Unit <sup>(1)</sup>
2015:			•	
First quarter	\$20.65	\$29.14	\$	0.685
Second quarter	\$24.03	\$28.49	\$	0.685
Third quarter	\$18.26	\$28.33	\$	0.685
Fourth quarter	\$17.70	\$27.88	\$	0.685
2016:				
First quarter	\$7.80	\$20.27	\$	_
Second quarter	\$3.42	\$12.48	\$	_
Third quarter	\$4.36	\$6.42	\$	_
Fourth quarter	\$2.79	\$5.00	\$	

We also paid cash distributions to our general partner with respect to its 2% general partner interest and, to the extent distributions exceeded \$0.495 per unit, its incentive distribution rights, as described below in "Cash Distribution Policy — General Partner Interest and Incentive Distribution Rights."

As of March 6, 2017, there were approximately 40 unitholders of record of our common units. The actual number of unitholders is greater than the number of holders of record. As of March 6, 2017, there were 76,691,864 common units outstanding. The last reported sale price of our common units by NASDAQ on March 3, 2017, was \$4.00. Cash Distribution Policy

General. Within 45 days after the end of each quarter, we distribute our available cash (as defined in our partnership agreement), if any, to unitholders of record on the applicable record date.

Available Cash. Available cash generally means, for any quarter, all cash on hand at the end of the quarter:

less the amount of cash reserves established by our general partner to:

provide for the proper conduct of our business;

comply with applicable law, any of our debt instruments or other agreements; and

provide funds for distributions to our unitholders and to our general partner for any one or more of the next four quarters.

plus all cash on hand on the date of determination of available cash for the quarter resulting from working capital borrowings made after the end of the quarter for which the determination is being made. Working capital borrowings are generally borrowings that will be made under our revolving credit facility and in all cases are used solely for working capital purposes or to pay distributions to partners.

Cash Distribution Policy. We distribute to the holders of common units on a quarterly basis at least the minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.45 per unit, or \$1.80 in aggregate per year, to the extent we have sufficient cash from our operations after establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses, including payments to our general partner. However, there is no guarantee that we will pay the minimum quarterly distribution on the units in any quarter. Even if our cash distribution policy is not modified or revoked, the amount of distributions paid under our policy and the decision to make any distribution is determined by our general partner, taking into consideration the terms of our partnership agreement. We will be prohibited from making any distributions to unitholders if it would cause an event of default, or an event of default exists, under our debt instruments, including our revolving credit

agreement and the indentures governing our 2021 Secured Notes, 2021 Notes, 2022 Notes and 2023 Notes.

Please read Part II, Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources — Debt and Credit Facilities" for a discussion of the restrictions in our debt instruments that restrict our ability to make distributions.

General Partner Interest and Incentive Distribution Rights. Our general partner is entitled to 2% of all quarterly distributions since inception that we make prior to our liquidation. This general partner interest is represented by 1,559,026 general partner units. Our general partner has the right, but not the obligation, to contribute a proportionate amount of capital to us to maintain its current general partner interest. The general partner does not contribute a proportionate amount of capital to us to maintain its 2% general partner interest. Our general partner also currently holds incentive distribution rights that entitle it to receive increasing percentages, up to a maximum of 50%, of the cash we distribute from operating surplus (as defined in our partnership agreement) in excess of \$0.495 per unit. The maximum distribution of 50% includes distributions paid to our general partner on its 2% general partner interest, and assumes that our general partner maintains its general partner interest at 2%. The maximum distribution of 50% does not include any distributions that our general partner may receive on units that it owns. Our general partner earned no incentive distribution rights during the year ended December 31, 2016. Our general partner earned incentive distribution rights of approximately \$16.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2015.

Our general partner is entitled to incentive distributions if the amount we distribute to unitholders with respect to any quarter exceeds specified target levels shown below:

	Total Quarterly	Margi	nal Perce	ntage
	Distribution	Intere	st in	
	Target Amount	Distril	butions	
	Per Common Unit	Unith	ol <b>Gæns</b> eral	Partner
Minimum Quarterly Distribution	\$0.45	98 %	2	%
First Target Distribution	up to \$0.495	98 %	2	%
Second Target Distribution	above \$0.495 up to \$0.563	85 %	15	%
Third Target Distribution	above \$0.563 up to \$0.675	75 %	25	%
Thereafter	above \$0.675	50 %	50	%

**Distribution Suspension** 

In April 2016 and effective beginning the first quarter 2016, the board of directors of our general partner suspended payment of our quarterly cash distribution. The board of directors of our general partner will continue to evaluate our ability to reinstate the distribution.

**Equity Compensation Plans** 

The equity compensation plan information required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K in response to this Item 5 is incorporated by reference into Part III, Item 12 "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Unitholder Matters" of this Annual Report.

Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities** 

None.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table shows selected historical consolidated financial and operating data of the Company. The selected historical consolidated financial data as of and after December 31, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012 includes the operations acquired as part of the acquisitions of Missouri, Calumet Packaging, Royal Purple, Great Falls, San Antonio, Bel-Ray, United Petroleum, Anchor Drilling Fluids and oilfield services assets from their respective dates of acquisition, January 3, 2012, January 6, 2012, July 3, 2012, October 1, 2012, January 2, 2013, December 10, 2013, February 28, 2014, March 31, 2014, and August 1, 2014.

The following table includes the non-GAAP financial measures EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow. For a reconciliation of EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow to Net income (loss) and Net

cash provided by operating activities, our most directly comparable financial performance and liquidity measures calculated in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), please read "— Non-GAAP Financial Measures."

We derived the information in the following table from, and the information should be read together with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, the historical consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes included in Item 8

51

"Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" except for operating data, such as sales volume, feedstock runs and facility production. The following table also should be read together with Part II, Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	
	(In millions)					
Summary of Operations Data:						
Sales	\$3,599.4	\$4,212.8	\$5,791.1	\$5,421.4	\$4,657.3	
Cost of sales	3,191.1	3,618.2	5,261.4	5,011.4	4,144.1	
Gross profit	408.3	594.6	529.7	410.0	513.2	
Operating costs and expenses:						
Selling	110.7	146.0	149.6	62.6	41.6	
General and administrative	110.6	135.5	98.3	82.1	60.9	
Transportation	169.2	175.5	171.4	142.7	107.9	
Taxes other than income taxes	20.1	17.7	13.4	14.2	9.1	
Asset impairment	35.7	33.8	36.0	10.5	1.6	
Other	1.7	11.1	14.2	6.3	6.2	
Operating income (loss)	(39.7	75.0	46.8	91.6	285.9	
Other income (expense):						
Interest expense	(161.7	) (104.9	) (110.8	) (96.8	(85.6)	
Debt extinguishment costs		(46.6	) (89.9	) (14.6	) —	
Realized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	(24.0	) 8.1	43.8	(4.7	9.5	
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	19.9	(39.5	) (0.6	) 25.7	(3.8)	
Loss from unconsolidated affiliates	(18.7)	) (61.5	) (3.4	) (0.3	) —	
Loss on sale of unconsolidated affiliates	(113.4	) —				
Other	1.3	1.6	1.1	3.0	0.5	
Total other expense	(296.6	) (242.8	) (159.8	) (87.7	) (79.4 )	
Net income (loss) before income taxes	(336.3	) (167.8	) (113.0	3.9	206.5	
Income tax expense (benefit)	(7.7	) (28.4	) (0.8	0.4	0.8	
Net income (loss)	\$(328.6	) \$(139.4	) \$(112.2	) \$3.5	\$205.7	

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
	(In million	ns, except un	it, per unit a	nd operating	data)
Weighted average limited partner units outstanding:					
Basic	77,043,93	574,896,096	69,671,827	67,938,784	55,559,183
Diluted	77,043,93	574,896,096	69,671,827	67,938,784	55,676,741
Limited partners' interest basic net income (loss) per unit	\$(4.18)	\$(2.05)	\$(1.80)	\$(0.17)	\$3.51
Limited partners' interest diluted net income (loss) per uni	t\$(4.18)	\$(2.05)	\$(1.80)	\$(0.17)	\$3.50
Cash distributions declared per limited partner unit	\$0.685	\$ 2.74	\$2.74	\$2.70	\$ 2.30
Balance Sheet Data (at period end):					
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$1,678.0	\$1,719.2	\$1,464.4	\$1,160.4	\$ 986.9
Total assets	\$2,725.2	\$ 2,944.7	\$3,085.1	\$2,658.4	\$2,223.6
Accounts payable	\$295.5	\$316.6	\$419.9	\$355.8	\$332.6
Long-term debt	\$1,997.2	\$1,773.4	\$1,678.8	\$1,081.1	\$834.1
Total partners' capital	\$218.7	\$603.9	\$810.2	\$1,062.8	\$889.8
Cash Flow Data:					
Net cash flow provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	\$4.1	\$ 376.4	\$226.8	\$39.1	\$380.1
Investing activities	\$(154.2)	` ,	\$(658.8)	\$(370.3)	\$(624.2)
Financing activities	\$148.7	\$9.7	\$319.4	\$420.1	\$ 276.2
Other Financial Data:					
EBITDA	,	\$ 129.1	\$226.3	\$233.1	\$383.7
Adjusted EBITDA	\$158.2	\$ 257.7	\$ 305.9	\$ 241.5	\$404.6
Distributable Cash Flow	\$(5.7)	\$ 161.9	\$ 146.3	\$ 18.8	\$ 281.1
Operating Data (bpd): (1)					
Total sales volume (2)	140,180	126,216	122,852	116,477	97,789
Total feedstock runs (3)	134,163	123,051	117,427	110,237	97,600
Total facility production <sup>(4)</sup>	134,929	122,795	114,146	106,592	96,172

<sup>(1)</sup> Operating data excludes operations of the oilfield services segment.

Total sales volume includes sales from the production at our facilities and certain third-party facilities pursuant to

Total facility production represents the barrels per day of specialty products and fuel products yielded from

supply and/or processing agreements, sales of inventories and the resale of crude oil to third party customers. Total sales volume includes the sale of purchased fuel product blendstocks, such as ethanol and biodiesel, as components of finished fuel products in our fuel products segment sales.

<sup>(3)</sup> Total feedstock runs represent the barrels per day of crude oil and other feedstocks processed at our facilities and at certain third-party facilities pursuant to supply and/or processing agreements.

<sup>(4)</sup> processing crude oil and other feedstocks at our facilities and at certain third-party facilities pursuant to supply and/or processing agreements. The difference between total facility production and total feedstock runs is primarily a result of the time lag between the input of feedstocks and the production of finished products and volume loss.

#### Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We include in this Annual Report the non-GAAP financial measures EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow. We provide reconciliations of EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow to Net income (loss), our most directly comparable financial performance measure. We also provide a reconciliation of Distributable Cash Flow, Adjusted EBITDA and EBITDA to Net cash provided by operating activities, our most directly comparable liquidity measure. Both Net income (loss) and Net cash provided by operating activities are calculated and presented in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP").

EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow are used as supplemental financial measures by our management and by external users of our financial statements such as investors, commercial banks, research analysts and others, to assess:

the financial performance of our assets without regard to financing methods, capital structure or historical cost basis; the ability of our assets to generate cash sufficient to pay interest costs and support our indebtedness;

our operating performance and return on capital as compared to those of other companies in our industry, without regard to financing or capital structure; and

the viability of acquisitions and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return on alternative investment opportunities.

Management believes that these non-GAAP measures are useful to analysts and investors as they exclude transactions not related to our core cash operating activities and provide metrics to analyze our ability to pay distributions and interest costs. We believe that excluding these transactions allows investors to meaningfully analyze trends and performance of our core cash operations.

We define EBITDA for any period as net income (loss) plus interest expense (including debt issuance and extinguishment costs), income taxes and depreciation and amortization.

We define Adjusted EBITDA for any period as: (1) net income (loss) plus (2)(a) interest expense; (b) income taxes; (c) depreciation and amortization; (d) impairment; (e) unrealized losses from mark to market accounting for hedging activities; (f) realized gains under derivative instruments excluded from the determination of net income (loss); (g) non-cash equity-based compensation expense and other non-cash items (excluding items such as accruals of cash expenses in a future period or amortization of a prepaid cash expense) that were deducted in computing net income (loss); (h) debt refinancing fees, premiums and penalties, (i) any net loss realized in connection with an asset sale that was deducted in computing net income (loss) and (j) all extraordinary, unusual or non-recurring items of gain or loss, or revenue or expense; minus (3)(a) unrealized gains from mark to market accounting for hedging activities; (b) realized losses under derivative instruments excluded from the determination of net income and (c) other non-recurring expenses and unrealized items that reduced net income (loss) for a prior period, but represent a cash item in the current period.

We define Distributable Cash Flow for any period as Adjusted EBITDA less replacement and environmental capital expenditures, turnaround costs, cash interest expense (consolidated interest expense less non-cash interest expense), income (loss) from unconsolidated affiliates, net of cash distributions and income tax expense (benefit). Distributable Cash Flow is used by us and our investors and analysts to analyze our ability to pay distributions.

The definition of Adjusted EBITDA presented in this Annual Report is consistent with the calculation of "Consolidated Cash Flow" contained in the indentures governing our 2021 Secured, 2021, 2022 and 2023 Notes (as defined in this Annual Report). We are required to report Consolidated Cash Flow to the holders of our 2021 Secured, 2021, 2022 and 2023 Notes and Adjusted EBITDA to the lenders under our revolving credit facility, and these measures are used by them to determine our compliance with certain covenants governing those debt instruments. Please read Part II, Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources — Debt and Credit Facilities" for additional details regarding the covenants governing our debt instruments. The preliminary expected range for forward-looking non-GAAP Adjusted EBITDA contained in this Annual Report is provided only on a non-GAAP basis, due to the inherent difficulty of calculating items that would be included in Net income (loss) on a GAAP basis. Adjusted EBITDA guidance does not include certain charges and costs, which in future periods are generally expected to be similar to the kinds of charges and costs excluded from Adjusted

EBITDA in prior periods, such as income taxes, interest and other non-operating items, depreciation and amortization, net unrealized gains and losses on derivatives, lower of cost or market adjustments, gains and losses on disposal or impairment of assets, equity-based compensation, revaluation of liabilities and items that are unusual in nature or infrequently occurring. The exclusion of these charges and costs in future periods will have a significant impact on our Net income (loss) and we are not able to provide a reconciliation of its Adjusted EBITDA guidance to net income (loss) without unreasonable efforts due to the uncertainty and variability of the nature and amount of these future charges and costs.

EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow should not be considered alternatives to Net income (loss), Operating income (loss), Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities or any other measure of financial performance presented

in accordance with GAAP. In evaluating our performance as measured by EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow, management recognizes and considers the limitations of these measurements. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect our obligations for the payment of income taxes, interest expense or other obligations such as capital expenditures. Accordingly, EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow are only three of several measurements that management utilizes. Moreover, our EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of another company because all companies may not calculate EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow in the same manner. The following tables present a reconciliation of Net income (loss) to EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow; Distributable Cash Flow, Adjusted EBITDA and EBITDA to Net cash provided by operating activities and Segment Adjusted EBITDA to EBITDA and Net income (loss), and our most directly comparable GAAP financial performance and liquidity measures, for each of the periods indicated.

Year Ended December 31.

	i ear End	dea Decem	Del 31,		
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
	(In millio	ons)			
Reconciliation of Net income (loss) to EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA ar	nd				
Distributable Cash Flow:					
Net income (loss)	\$(328.6)	\$(139.4)	\$(112.2)	\$3.5	\$205.7
Add:					
Interest expense	161.7	104.9	110.8	96.8	85.6
Debt extinguishment costs	_	46.6	89.9	14.6	_
Depreciation and amortization	171.1	145.4	138.6	117.8	91.6
Income tax expense (benefit)	(7.7)	(28.4)	(0.8)	0.4	0.8
EBITDA	\$(3.5)	\$129.1	\$226.3	\$233.1	\$383.7
Add:					
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives	\$(19.9)	\$39.5	\$0.6	\$(25.7)	\$3.8
Realized gain (loss) on derivatives, not included in net income (loss)	(6.4)	(10.0)	6.6	(1.8)	(5.0
or settled in a prior period	(0.4	(10.0)	0.0	(1.0)	(5.0)
Amortization of turnaround costs	33.2	29.0	24.5	15.9	13.4
Impairment charges (1)	35.9	58.1	36.0	10.5	1.6
Loss on sale of unconsolidated affiliate	113.9				_
Non-cash equity-based compensation and other non-cash items	5.0	12.0	11.9	9.5	7.1
Adjusted EBITDA	\$158.2	\$257.7	\$305.9	\$241.5	\$404.6
Less:					
Replacement and environmental capital expenditures (2)	\$29.3	\$44.2	\$31.8	\$64.2	\$28.3
Cash interest expense (3)	152.1	98.2	104.4	89.8	79.5
Turnaround costs	8.7	19.3	27.6	68.6	14.9
Loss from unconsolidated affiliates	(18.5)	(37.5)	(3.4)	(0.3)	_
Income tax expense (benefit)	(7.7)	(28.4)	(0.8)	0.4	0.8
Distributable Cash Flow	\$(5.7)	\$161.9	\$146.3	\$18.8	\$281.1

Impairment charges for 2016 include \$34.8 million of goodwill impairment charges related to the specialty products and fuel products segments, \$0.9 million of long-lived assets impairment charges related to the specialty products and fuel products segments, and a \$0.2 million impairment charge related to one of our equity method investments.

Impairment charges for 2015 include a \$33.8 million goodwill impairment charge related to the oilfield services segment and \$24.3 million impairment charge related to our investment in Juniper.

<sup>(2)</sup> Replacement capital expenditures are defined as those capital expenditures which do not increase operating capacity or reduce operating costs and exclude turnaround costs. Environmental capital expenditures include asset

additions to meet or exceed environmental and operating regulations.

(3) Represents consolidated interest expense less non-cash interest expense.

Reconciliation of Distributable Cash Flow, Adjusted EBITDA and EBIT	Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014 2013 2012 (In millions) TDA to Net cash
provided by operating activities:  Distributable Cash Flow	\$(5.7 ) \$161.9 \$146.3 \$18.8 \$281.1
Add:	,
Replacement and environmental capital expenditures (1)	29.3 44.2 31.8 64.2 28.3
Cash interest expense (2)	152.1 98.2 104.4 89.8 79.5
Turnaround costs	8.7 19.3 27.6 68.6 14.9
Loss from unconsolidated affiliates	(18.5 ) (37.5 ) (3.4 ) (0.3 ) —
Income tax expense (benefit)	(7.7)(28.4)(0.8)0.4
Adjusted EBITDA	\$158.2 \$257.7 \$305.9 \$241.5 \$404.6
Less:	
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives	\$(19.9) \$39.5 \$0.6 \$(25.7) \$3.8
Realized gain (loss) on derivatives, not included in net income (loss) or	((4 ) (100 ) (( (10 ) (50 )
settled in a prior period	(6.4 ) (10.0 ) 6.6 (1.8 ) (5.0 )
Amortization of turnaround costs	33.2 29.0 24.5 15.9 13.4
Impairment charges (3)	35.9 58.1 36.0 10.5 1.6
Loss on sale of unconsolidated affiliate	113.9 — — — —
Non-cash equity-based compensation and other non-cash items	5.0 12.0 11.9 9.5 7.1
EBITDA	\$(3.5 ) \$129.1 \$226.3 \$233.1 \$383.7
Add:	
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives	\$(19.9) \$39.5 \$0.6 \$(25.7) \$3.8
Cash interest expense (2)	(152.1) (98.2) (104.4) (89.8) (79.5)
Asset impairment	35.7 33.8 36.0 10.5 1.6
Lower of cost or market inventory adjustment	(39.2 ) 81.8 74.1 (2.1 ) 6.1
Non-cash equity-based compensation	5.6 9.8 6.5 4.8 6.5
Deferred income tax benefit	(0.7 ) (28.5 ) (1.2 )
Loss from unconsolidated affiliates	18.7 61.5 3.4 0.3 —
Loss on sale of unconsolidated affiliates	113.4 — — — —
Amortization of turnaround costs	33.2 29.0 24.5 15.9 13.4
Income tax (expense) benefit	7.7 28.4 0.8 (0.4 ) (0.8 )
Provision for doubtful accounts	0.4 1.1 0.5 0.1 —
Debt extinguishment costs	— (37.5 ) (70.9 ) (11.2 ) —
Changes in assets and liabilities:	
Accounts receivable	(28.4 ) 138.0 (0.4 ) (32.3 ) 34.6
Inventories	49.6 47.3 43.9 16.4 11.8
Other current assets	(3.5 ) 3.4 3.9 6.8 15.8
Turnaround costs	(8.7 ) (19.3 ) (27.6 ) (68.6 ) (14.9 )
Derivative activity	(19.0 ) (7.0 ) 6.7 (1.8 ) (5.0 )
Other assets	(0.6 ) — $(0.1 ) (4.0 )$
Accounts payable	21.4 (119.9) (13.1) 6.8 11.1
Accrued interest payable	21.4 (6.5 ) 15.1 (1.0 ) 13.0
Accrued income taxes payable	- $ (27.6)(16.1)$
Other current liabilities	(31.1 ) 84.2 (2.1 ) 2.7 4.6
Other, including changes in noncurrent liabilities	3.7 6.4 4.2 2.3 (5.6 )
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$4.1 \$376.4 \$226.8 \$39.1 \$380.1

- Replacement capital expenditures are defined as those capital expenditures which do not increase operating
- (1) capacity or reduce operating costs and exclude turnaround costs. Environmental capital expenditures include asset additions to meet or exceed environmental and operating regulations.
- (2) Represents consolidated interest expense less non-cash interest expense.

Impairment charges for 2016 include \$34.8 million of goodwill impairment charges related to the specialty products and fuel products segments, \$0.9 million of long-lived assets impairment charges related to the specialty products and fuel products segments, and a \$0.2 million impairment charge related to one of our equity method investments.

Impairment charges for 2015 include a \$33.8 million goodwill impairment charge related to the oilfield services segment and \$24.3 million impairment charge related to our investment in Juniper.

segment and \$24.3 million impairment charge related to our investment in Juniper.						
	Year Ended December 31,					
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	
	(In million	ns)				
Reconciliation of Segment Adjusted EBITDA to EBITDA and Net in	come					
(loss):						
Segment Adjusted EBITDA:						
Specialty products Adjusted EBITDA	\$188.9	\$201.7	\$220.8	\$194.5	\$283.2	
Fuel products Adjusted EBITDA	(10.1)	81.9	50.0	47.0	121.4	
Oilfield Services Adjusted EBITDA	(20.6)	(25.9)	35.1	_		
Total segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$158.2	\$257.7	\$305.9	\$241.5	\$404.6	
Less:						
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives	\$(19.9)	\$39.5	\$0.6	\$(25.7)	\$3.8	
Realized gain (loss) on derivatives, not included in net income (loss)	(6.4)	(10.0)	6.6	(1.8)	(5.0	
or settled in a prior period	(0.4 ) (	(10.0)	0.0	(1.0)	(5.0)	
Amortization of turnaround costs	33.2	29.0	24.5	15.9	13.4	
Impairment charges	35.9	58.1	36.0	10.5	1.6	
Loss on sale of unconsolidated affiliate	113.9 -		_	_		
Non-cash equity-based compensation and other non-cash items	5.0	12.0	11.9	9.5	7.1	
EBITDA	\$(3.5)	\$129.1	\$226.3	\$233.1	\$383.7	
Less:						
Interest expense	\$161.7	\$104.9	\$110.8	\$96.8	\$85.6	
Debt extinguishment costs		46.6	89.9	14.6		
Depreciation and amortization	171.1	145.4	138.6	117.8	91.6	
Income tax expense (benefit)	(7.7)	(28.4)	(0.8)	0.4	0.8	
Net income (loss)	\$(328.6)	\$(139.4)	\$(112.2)	\$3.5	\$205.7	
56						

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
The historical consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report reflect all of the assets, liabilities and results of operations of the Company. The following discussion analyzes the financial condition and results of operations of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company realigned its reportable segments for financial reporting purposes as a result of the Anchor and SOS Acquisitions in 2014 resulting in a new segment, oilfield services. Unitholders should read the following discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of the Company in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements and notes of the Company included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Overview

We are a leading independent producer of high-quality, specialty hydrocarbon products in North America. We are headquartered in Indianapolis, Indiana, and own specialty and fuel products facilities primarily located in northwest Louisiana, northwest Wisconsin, northern Montana, western Pennsylvania, Texas, New Jersey and eastern Missouri. We own and lease oilfield services locations in Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Arkansas, Colorado, Utah, Wyoming, Montana, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Pennsylvania and Ohio. We own and lease additional facilities, primarily related to production and distribution of specialty, fuel and oilfield services products, throughout the United States ("U.S."). Our business is organized into three segments: specialty products, fuel products and oilfield services. In our specialty products segment, we process crude oil and other feedstocks into a wide variety of customized lubricating oils, white mineral oils, solvents, petrolatums and waxes. Our specialty products are sold to domestic and international customers who purchase them primarily as raw material components for basic industrial, consumer and automotive goods. We also blend and market specialty products through our Royal Purple, Bel-Ray, TruFuel and Quantum brands. In our fuel products segment, we process crude oil into a variety of fuel and fuel-related products, including gasoline, diesel, jet fuel, asphalt and heavy fuel oils, and from time to time resell purchased crude oil to third party customers. Our oilfield services segment manufactures and markets products and provides oilfield services including drilling fluids, completion fluids and solids control services to the oil and gas exploration industry throughout the U.S.

2016 Update

Outlook and Trends

Commodity markets and corresponding refined product margins were volatile during 2015 and 2016, with the average price per barrel of New York Mercantile Exchange West Texas Intermediate ("NYMEX WTI") crude oil decreasing more than 45% during 2015 and approximately 11% during 2016. We expect this volatility to continue into 2017. Below are factors that have impacted our results of operations during 2016:

We realized record profit contribution from certain specialty product lines, primarily attributable to the continued growth of our brand-named products such as Royal Purple, Bel-Ray and TruFuel. We are committed to continued growth in our specialty products segment, and we continue to work on new products to introduce to the market. Specialty products margins have remained relatively stable and are expected to remain stable in the near term.

We reached record feedstock runs during 2016 through our continued focus on improving operations. Our average feedstock runs were 134,163 barrels per day ("bpd") in 2016, a 9.0% increase compared to 2015, which was our second highest year. We expect to maintain these rates and hope to see modest improvement in the future as we continue to seek to minimize unplanned downtime at our facilities.

We had record asphalt sales of 7.5 million barrels in 2016, a 42% increase compared to 2015. We are seeking to continue to increase our use of heavy crude oil in our refining system, which will lower our overall delivered cost of crude oil as we expect heavy crude oil discounts to remain wide.

Refined product margins have declined in 2016 as compared to 2015 with the Gulf Coast crack spread (defined below) declining 33% to \$12 per barrel, while the Western Canadian Select ("WCS") discount versus NYMEX WTI increased 8% to \$13 per barrel below NYMEX WTI. We have increased our use of WCS crude oil and other heavy crude oils to capture the higher margins associated with refining heavier crude oils, going from approximately 19% of feedstock runs in 2015 to approximately 26% of feedstock runs in 2016.

•

Due to seasonal declines in demand during the winter months and the desire to minimize inventories at year-end, the fourth quarter tends to generate lower refined product margins. To mitigate this risk and to further enhance liquidity, we sought to minimize our inventory levels in 2016, while improving our logistics system. As a result of these efforts, our total inventory volumes (crude oil and refined products) as of the end of 2016 were approximately 8% lower (approximately 410,000 barrels lower) than at year-end 2015 despite record feedstock runs. Environmental regulations continue to affect our margins in the form of the increasing cost of Renewable 4dentification Numbers ("RINs"). To the extent we are unable to blend biofuels, we must purchase RINs in the open market to satisfy

our annual requirement. It is not possible to predict what future volumes or costs may be, but given the increase in required volumes and the volatile price of RINs, we continue to anticipate that RINs have the potential to remain a significant expense for our fuel products segment, assuming current market prices for RINs continue, inclusive of the favorable impact of any exemptions received from the EPA.

Volatility in crude oil and natural gas prices negatively impacted our oilfield services segment, with a 48% decrease in the average U.S. land-based rig count during the full-year 2016; however there was an increase in rig count of approximately 23% on average in the fourth quarter 2016 compared to the third quarter 2016. We anticipate that 2017 will remain challenging for the segment, and we expect to continue to adapt our cost structure to market conditions, which we believe will position us favorably if the market ultimately recovers.

A further decline in market prices of crude oil, refined products or continued narrow product margins may negatively impact the results of our operations which could result in further asset impairments. For example, we recorded a \$113.9 million loss on the sale of Dakota Prairie Refining, LLC ("Dakota Prairie"), our joint venture with MDU Resources, Inc. ("MDU"), a goodwill impairment charge of \$33.4 million related to our Great Falls and San Antonio fuels refineries in the second quarter 2016 and a goodwill impairment charge of \$1.4 million related to our Missouri facility in the fourth quarter 2016.

### **Financial Results**

We reported a net loss of \$328.6 million in 2016, versus a net loss of \$139.4 million in 2015. We reported Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in Item 6 "Selected Financial Data — Non-GAAP Financial Measures") of \$158.2 million in 2016, versus \$257.7 million in 2015. We generated \$4.1 million of cash flow from operations in 2016, versus \$376.4 million in 2015. Distributable Cash Flow ("DCF") (as defined in Item 6 "Selected Financial Data — Non-GAAP Financial Measures") was \$(5.7) million in 2016, compared to \$161.9 million in 2015.

Our net loss for the full-year 2016 includes the impact of four items: (1) \$113.9 million of non-cash charges due to our divestiture of our 50% joint venture interest in Dakota Prairie, (2) a favorable lower of cost or market ("LCM") inventory adjustment of \$39.2 million, (3) \$27.9 million of losses related to liquidation of last-in, first-out ("LIFO") inventory layers and, (4) an \$18.7 million loss from unconsolidated affiliates primarily related to Dakota Prairie, which was included in results from operations until divested on June 27, 2016. Our 2016 full-year Adjusted EBITDA includes the impact of three items: (1) a favorable LCM inventory adjustment of \$51.4 million, (2) \$27.9 million of losses related to liquidation of LIFO inventory layers and, (3) \$18.7 million loss from unconsolidated affiliates primarily related to Dakota Prairie.

Please read Item 6 "Selected Financial Data — Non-GAAP Financial Measures" for a reconciliation of EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow to Net income (loss), our most directly comparable financial performance measure, and for a reconciliation of Distributable Cash Flow, Adjusted EBITDA and EBITDA to Net cash provided by operating activities, our most directly comparable financial liquidity measure, both calculated and presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Commodity markets remained volatile in 2016, contributing to fluctuations in refined product margins. The average price of NYMEX WTI crude oil averaged approximately \$43 per barrel in 2016 compared to \$49 per barrel in 2015. In 2016, with respect to the average price differential per barrel between WCS and NYMEX WTI, WCS averaged \$13 per barrel below NYMEX WTI, versus \$12 per barrel below NYMEX WTI in 2015. Given our access to cost-advantaged, heavy Canadian crude oil in our northern refining system, we have embarked on a multi-year plan to increase our ability to process this crude oil grade. In the full-year 2016, we processed 35,000 bpd of heavy Canadian crude oil, versus 23,500 bpd in the full-year 2015.

Our full-year performance benefited from stable contributions in our specialty products segment, however, these contributions were more than offset by weaker performance in our fuel products segment and oilfield services segments. Total facility production increased to a record 135,000 bpd in 2016, versus 123,000 bpd in 2015, while total sales volume increased to 140,000 bpd in 2016, versus 126,000 bpd in 2015.

Specialty products segment Adjusted EBITDA was \$188.9 million in 2016, a decrease of 6.3% versus the prior year. Gross profit per barrel for our specialty products segment was \$34.57 in 2016, versus \$40.24 in the prior year. 2016 results were impacted by a \$13.7 million favorable LCM inventory adjustment and an \$8.8 million loss related to the

liquidation of LIFO inventory layers. Specialty products represented approximately 20% of total production in 2016, compared to 21% in 2015.

During 2016, the decrease in the average selling price per barrel of specialty products outpaced a decline in the average cost of crude oil, our primary input cost, resulting in margin compression within the specialty products segment. Total specialty products segment sales volumes increased to 27,000 bpd in 2016, an increase of 6.3% when compared to 2015. Demand for lubricating oils, white oils and packaged and synthetic products all increased on a year-over-year basis.

Fuel products segment Adjusted EBITDA was \$(10.1) million in 2016, a decrease of 112.3% versus the prior year. Gross profit per barrel for our fuel products segment was \$1.16 per barrel in 2016, versus \$4.51 per barrel in the prior year. 2016 results were impacted by reduced Renewable Fuel Standard ("RFS") compliance costs, a \$36.9 million favorable LCM inventory

adjustment and a \$19.7 million loss related to the liquidation of LIFO inventory layers. In 2016, production within our fuel products segment reached a record high, as did our total annual fuel product sales volumes which was primarily driven by the completion of the Great Falls refinery expansion. Fuel products represented approximately 80% of total production during the year. Total fuel products segment sales volumes increased 12.6% during 2016, when compared to the full-year 2015.

For benchmarking purposes, we compare our per barrel refined fuel products margin to the U.S. Gulf Coast 2/1/1 crack spread ("Gulf Coast crack spread"). The Gulf Coast crack spread represents the approximate gross margin per barrel that results from processing two barrels of crude oil into one barrel of gasoline and one barrel of ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel. The Gulf Coast crack spread is calculated using the near-month futures price of NYMEX WTI crude oil, the price of U.S. Gulf Coast Pipeline 87 Octane Conventional Gasoline and the price of U.S. Gulf Coast Pipeline Ultra-Low Sulfur Diesel ("ULSD").

During 2016, the Gulf Coast crack spread averaged approximately \$12 per barrel, versus approximately \$18 per barrel in 2015, an approximate 33% decline. The Gulf Coast ULSD crack spread averaged approximately \$12 per barrel during 2016, compared to approximately \$17 per barrel in the prior year. The Gulf Coast gasoline crack spread averaged approximately \$13 per barrel during 2016, compared to approximately \$19 per barrel in the prior year. Between 2015 and 2016, the average WCS discount versus NYMEX WTI widened from \$12 per barrel to \$13 per barrel.

Although the 2016 average Gulf Coast crack spread was below 2015 levels, the average Gulf Coast crack spread and the average ULSD crack spread increased in the fourth quarter 2016. During the fourth quarter 2016, the Gulf Coast crack spread averaged approximately \$13 per barrel, versus approximately \$11 per barrel in the same period in 2015. The market ULSD crack spread averaged approximately \$15 per barrel during the fourth quarter 2016, compared to approximately \$12 per barrel in the prior year period. The market gasoline crack spread averaged approximately \$12 per barrel during the fourth quarter 2016, compared to approximately \$10 per barrel in the prior year period. Between the fourth quarters of 2015 and 2016, the average WCS discount versus NYMEX WTI widened from \$13 per barrel to \$14 per barrel.

We refer to our fuel products segment gross profit per barrel divided by the Gulf Coast crack spread as the "capture rate." The capture rate is a means of measuring refinery system gross profit per barrel against the benchmark crack spread. During 2016, our capture rate was approximately 10%, versus approximately 25% in 2015. Included within our fuel products segment gross profit per barrel calculation are the realized cost of crude oil and other feedstocks and other production-related expenses, the most significant portion of which includes labor, plant fuel, utilities, contract services, maintenance, depreciation and process materials. Our gross profit per barrel calculation may not be comparable to similar calculations published by our competitors.

There are several factors that impact our refined product margin when compared to the benchmark crack spread. For example, several of our fuel products refineries produce asphalt and other residual products that may carry an average sales price below that of U.S. Gulf Coast gasoline or U.S. Gulf Coast ULSD. Alternatively, many of our fuel products refineries purchase select quantities of crude oil at a discount to NYMEX WTI, which helps support a higher capture rate, relative to the crack spread benchmark. Finally, some of our facilities, such as our Shreveport and San Antonio refineries, produce both fuel and specialty products; given that our specialty products facilities generally operate at lower utilization rates than our fuel products facilities, facilities producing specialty products may incur higher operating expenses when compared to refineries that produce fuels exclusively, such as our Great Falls and Superior refineries. Based on our system-wide crude purchasing behaviors and overall production slate, we believe the Gulf Coast crack spread remains a meaningful indicator in tracking directional shifts in our refined product margins. Oilfield services segment Adjusted EBITDA was \$(20.6) million in 2016, an improvement of 20.5% versus the prior year. While the average U.S. land-based rig count declined 48% on a year-over-year basis, it improved by approximately 23% on average in the fourth quarter 2016. Additionally, our oilfield services segment had a \$0.8 million favorable LCM inventory adjustment in 2016. We anticipate that 2017 will again be challenging, and we plan to continue to align our cost structure with market conditions, which we believe will position us favorably when the market ultimately recovers.

2017 Capital Spending Forecast

We currently anticipate total capital expenditures to range between \$120 million and \$140 million in 2017. Liquidity Update

On December 31, 2016, we had availability under our revolving credit facility of approximately \$360.8 million, based on a \$453.1 million borrowing base, \$82.1 million in outstanding standby letters of credit and \$10.2 million in outstanding borrowings. In addition, we had \$4.2 million of cash on hand as of December 31, 2016. We believe we will continue to have sufficient liquidity from cash on hand, cash flow from operations, borrowing capacity and other means by which to meet our financial commitments, debt service obligations, contingencies and anticipated capital expenditures. On a continuous basis, we focus on various initiatives, including working capital initiatives, to further enhance our liquidity over time, given current market conditions.

#### Renewable Fuel Standard Update

We, along with the broader refining industry, remain subject to compliance costs under the RFS. Under the regulation of the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), the RFS provides annual requirements for the total volume of renewable transportation fuels which are mandated to be blended into finished petroleum fuels. If a refiner does not meet its required annual Renewable Volume Obligation ("RVO"), the refiner can purchase blending credits in the open market, referred to as RINs.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, our gain from RINs was \$5.5 million, as compared to a RINs expense for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, of approximately \$38.8 million and \$9.4 million, respectively. Our gross RINs obligation, which includes RINs that are required to be secured through either blending or through the purchase of RINs in the open market, was 112 million RINs in 2016. For the full-year 2017, we anticipate our gross RINs obligation will increase to 128 million RINs, given recent production capacity expansion at our Great Falls refinery. During 2016, the EPA granted certain of our refineries a "small refinery exemption" under the RFS for the full year 2014 and 2015 as provided for under the federal Clean Air Act, as amended ("CAA"). In granting those exemptions, the EPA determined that for the full year 2014 and 2015, compliance with the RFS would represent a "disproportionate economic hardship" for these refineries.

In February 2017, the EPA granted certain of our refineries a "small refinery exemption" under the RFS for the full year 2016, as provided for under the CAA. In granting those exemptions, the EPA determined that for the full year 2016, compliance with the RFS would represent a "disproportionate economic hardship" for these refineries.

We continue to anticipate that expenses related to RFS compliance have the potential to remain a significant expense for our fuel products segment, assuming current market prices for RINs. Estimated RINs obligations remain subject to fluctuations in fuels production volumes during the full year 2017.

### Chief Financial Officer Appointment

On January 5, 2017, we announced the appointment of David West Griffin as executive vice president and chief financial officer of our general partner, effective January 5, 2017. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Griffin, 55, was a founder of, and served as the chief financial officer of, Energy XXI (Bermuda) Limited (also known as Energy XXI Ltd.) from 2005 to 2014, and previously was the chief financial officer for Alon USA in 2004, and was chief financial officer for InterGen North America from 1999 to 2002. Mr. Griffin graduated from Dartmouth College in 1983 with a B.E. and received his M.B.A from Dartmouth College's Amos Tuck School of Business in 1985.

Strategic Update

In early 2016, we introduced a revised vision designed to position our organization as the premier specialty petroleum products company in the world. As part of this vision, we have commenced a multi-year initiative that emphasizes a combination of operational excellence, opportunistic investments in "self-help," high-return internal projects and a targeted acquisition strategy that seeks to support the purchase of complementary, competitively advantaged assets in the global specialty products market. By year-end 2018, the program is projected to generate an incremental \$150 million to \$200 million of annualized Adjusted EBITDA per year as compared to 2015. For information on forward-looking non-GAAP EBITDA, please read "— Non-GAAP Financial Measures."

Operational Excellence. We seek to optimize our existing asset base through a series of improvement initiatives that are expected to position us for sustained, profitable growth. We have identified key areas of opportunity within the business that carry "low/no" capital investment requirements and attractive return profiles. Key initiatives under evaluation as part of the operational excellence initiative include efforts to further optimize the procurement of crude oil and other feedstocks, improve refinery yields, improve the efficiency of assets by operating at higher utilization rates, and upgrade lower margin product streams into higher margin finished products.

"Self-Help" Project Investments. We expect to pursue a series of "self-help" projects characterized by high-return investment profiles and sub-\$50 million capital investment requirements. We evaluate projects that are smaller in size and scope than our prior organic growth campaigns and that carry shorter durations to completion. These projects are expected to carry high-return investment profiles capable of supporting growth in Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow.

Targeted Asset Strategy. We seek to acquire complementary, immediately accretive businesses with sustainable competitive advantages that further entrench us as a global leader in the specialty products market. Our acquisition focus includes specialty businesses where we have an existing core competency, and that have a sustainable competitive advantage. At the same time, we regularly evaluate our portfolio to identify potential asset divestiture candidates that may not fit our core asset portfolio criteria.

#### Acquisitions

The following table sets forth information regarding our acquisitions for the 2016, 2015 and 2014 fiscal years (in millions):

Acquisition	Acquisition Date	Description	Aggregate Purchase Price (1)
Specialty Oilfield Solutions, Ltd. assets ("SOS Acquisition"	August 1, 7) 2014	A full-service drilling fluids and solids control company with primary operations in the Eagle Ford, Marcellus and Utica shale formations.	\$ 29.6
ADF Holdings, Inc. ("Anchor Acquisition")	March 31, 2014	An independent provider and marketer of drilling fluids and completion fluids to the oil and gas exploration industry.	\$ 223.6
United Petroleum, LLC assets ("United Petroleum Acquisition")	February 28, 2014	A marketer and distributor of high performance lubricants.	\$ 10.4

<sup>(1)</sup> Aggregate purchase price is net of cash acquired and includes working capital.

### **Key Performance Measures**

Our sales and net income are principally affected by the price of crude oil, demand for specialty products, fuel products and oilfield products and services, prevailing crack spreads for fuel products, the price of natural gas used as fuel in our operations and our results from derivative instrument activities.

Our primary raw materials are crude oil and other specialty feedstocks, and our primary outputs are specialty petroleum products, fuel products and oilfield services products. The prices of crude oil, specialty products, fuel products and oilfield products and services are subject to fluctuations in response to changes in supply, demand, market uncertainties and a variety of additional factors beyond our control. We monitor these risks and enter into derivative instruments designed to help mitigate the impact of commodity price fluctuations on our business. The primary purpose of our commodity risk management activities is to economically hedge our cash flow exposure to commodity price risk so that we can meet our debt service and capital expenditure requirements despite fluctuations in crude oil and fuel products prices. We enter into derivative contracts for future periods in quantities that do not exceed our projected purchases of crude oil and natural gas and sales of fuel products. Please read Part II, Item 7A "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk — Commodity Price Risk" and Note 8 — "Derivatives" under

Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data — Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements."

Our management uses several financial and operational measurements to analyze our performance. These measurements include the following:

sales volumes;

production yields;

specialty products, fuel products and oilfield services segment gross profit;

specialty products, fuel products and oilfield services segment Adjusted EBITDA; and

selling, general and administrative expenses.

Sales volumes. We view the volumes of specialty products and fuel products sold as an important measure of our ability to effectively utilize our operating assets. Our ability to meet the demands of our customers is driven by the volumes of crude oil and feedstocks that we run at our facilities. Higher volumes improve profitability both through the spreading of fixed costs over greater volumes and the additional gross profit achieved on the incremental volumes. Production yields. In order to maximize our gross profit and minimize lower margin products, we seek the optimal product mix for each barrel of crude oil we refine, or feedstocks we, or third parties, process, which we refer to as production yield.

Specialty products, fuel products and oilfield services segment gross profit. Specialty products, fuel products and oilfield services gross profit are important measures of our ability to maximize the profitability of our specialty

products, fuel products and oilfield services segments. We define gross profit as sales less the cost of crude oil and other feedstocks and other production-related and service-related expenses, the most significant portion of which includes labor, plant fuel, utilities, contract services, maintenance, depreciation and processing materials. We use gross profit as an indicator of our ability to manage our business during periods of crude oil and natural gas price fluctuations, as the prices of our specialty products and fuel products generally do not change immediately with changes in the price of crude oil and natural gas. The increase or decrease in selling prices typically lags behind the rising or falling costs, respectively, of crude oil feedstocks for specialty products. Other than plant fuel, production-related expenses generally remain stable across broad ranges of specialty products and fuel products throughput volumes, but can fluctuate depending on maintenance activities performed during a specific period.

Our fuel products segment gross profit per barrel may differ from standard U.S. Gulf Coast, Group 3, PADD 4 Billings, Montana or 3/2/1 and 2/1/1 market crack spreads due to many factors, including derivative activities to hedge both our fuel products segment sales and the cost of crude oil reflected in gross profit, our fuel products mix as shown in our production table being different than the ratios used to calculate such market crack spreads, LCM inventory adjustments reflected in gross profit, operating costs including fixed costs, actual crude oil costs differing from market indices and our local market pricing differentials for fuel products in the Shreveport, Louisiana, San Antonio, Texas, Superior, Wisconsin and Great Falls, Montana vicinities as compared to U.S. Gulf Coast, Group 3 and PADD 4 Billings, Montana postings.

Specialty products, fuel products and oilfield services segment Adjusted EBITDA. We believe that specialty products, fuel products and oilfield services segment Adjusted EBITDA measures are useful as they exclude transactions not related to our core cash operating activities and provide metrics to analyze our ability to pay distributions to our unitholders and pay interest to our noteholders as Adjusted EBITDA is a component in the calculation of Distributable Cash Flow and allows us to meaningfully analyze the trends and performance of our core cash operations as well as to make decisions regarding the allocation of resources to segments.

### **Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth information about our combined operations, excluding the results of operations of our oilfield services segment. Facility production volume differs from sales volume due to changes in inventories and the sale of purchased fuel product blendstocks, such as ethanol and biodiesel, and the resale of crude oil in our fuel products segment. The table includes the results of operations of our United Petroleum assets commencing February 28, 2014:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
	(In bpd)		
Total sales volume (1)	140,180	126,216	122,852
Total feedstock runs (2)	134,163	123,051	117,427
Facility production: (3)			
Specialty products:			
Lubricating oils	14,697	13,325	11,836
Solvents	7,427	7,942	8,934
Waxes	1,571	1,460	1,510
Packaged and synthetic specialty products (4)	2,074	1,584	1,754
Other	1,553	1,355	1,829
Total specialty products	27,322	25,666	25,863
Fuel products:			
Gasoline	37,713	37,691	34,221
Diesel	34,808	30,204	27,074
Jet fuel	5,306	5,157	4,799
Asphalt, heavy fuel oils and other	29,780	24,077	22,189
Total fuel products	107,607	97,129	88,283
Total facility production (3)	134,929	122,795	114,146

Total sales volume includes sales from the production at our facilities and certain third-party facilities pursuant to supply and/or processing agreements, sales of inventories and the resale of crude oil to third party customers. Total sales volume includes the sale of purchased fuel product blendstocks, such as ethanol and biodiesel, as components of finished fuel products in our fuel products segment sales.

The increase in total sales volume in 2016 compared to 2015 is due primarily to increased sales volume of lubricating oils, diesel and asphalt as a result of market conditions and increased production at the Great Falls refinery from the expansion project completed in the first quarter 2016.

The increase in total sales volume in 2015 compared to 2014 is due primarily to increased production at the Shreveport refinery due to increased reliability and extended turnaround activity in 2014 and increased production at the San Antonio refinery as a result of the crude oil unit expansion completed in December 2013 being fully operational, partially offset by decreased sales of solvents and crude oil sales to third parties as a result of market conditions.

(2) Total feedstock runs represent the barrels per day of crude oil and other feedstocks processed at our facilities and at certain third-party facilities pursuant to supply and/or processing agreements.

The increase in total feedstock runs in 2016 compared to 2015 is due primarily to increased feedstock runs at the Great Falls refinery from the expansion project completed in the first quarter 2016 and improved operational reliability, partially offset by scheduled turnaround activity in 2016.

The increase in total feedstock runs in 2015 compared to 2014 is due primarily to increased feedstock runs at the Shreveport refinery due to increased reliability and extended turnaround activity in 2014 and increased feedstock runs at the San Antonio refinery as a result of the crude oil unit expansion completed in December 2013 being fully operational, partially offset by decreased feedstock runs of solvents as a result of market conditions.

Total facility production represents the barrels per day of specialty products and fuel products yielded from processing crude oil and other feedstocks at our facilities and at certain third-party facilities pursuant to supply and/or processing agreements. The difference between total facility production and total feedstock runs is primarily a result of the time lag between the input of feedstocks and the production of finished products and volume loss.

The increases in total facility production in 2016 over 2015 and 2015 over 2014 are due primarily to the operational items discussed above in footnote 2 of this table.

(4) Represents production of packaged and synthetic specialty products, including the products from the Royal Purple, Bel-Ray, Calumet Packaging and Missouri facilities.

The following table reflects our consolidated results of operations and includes the non-GAAP financial measures EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow. For a reconciliation of EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable Cash Flow to Net loss and Net cash provided by operating activities, our most directly comparable financial performance and liquidity measures calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP, please read Item 6 "Selected Financial Data — Non-GAAP Financial Measures."

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
	(In million	ns)	
Sales	\$3,599.4	\$4,212.8	\$5,791.1
Cost of sales	3,191.1	3,618.2	5,261.4
Gross profit	408.3	594.6	529.7
Operating costs and expenses:			
Selling	110.7	146.0	149.6
General and administrative	110.6	135.5	98.3
Transportation	169.2	175.5	171.4
Taxes other than income taxes	20.1	17.7	13.4
Asset impairment	35.7	33.8	36.0
Other	1.7	11.1	14.2
Operating income (loss)	(39.7)	75.0	46.8
Other income (expense):			
Interest expense	(161.7)	(104.9)	(110.8)
Debt extinguishment costs	_	(46.6)	(89.9)
Realized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	(24.0)	8.1	43.8
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	19.9	(39.5)	(0.6)
Loss from unconsolidated affiliates	(18.7)	(61.5)	(3.4)
Loss on sale of unconsolidated affiliates	(113.4)	_	
Other	1.3	1.6	1.1
Total other expense	(296.6)	(242.8)	(159.8)
Net loss before income taxes	(336.3)	(167.8)	(113.0)
Income tax benefit	(7.7)	(28.4)	(0.8)
Net loss	\$(328.6)	\$(139.4)	\$(112.2)
EBITDA	\$(3.5)	\$129.1	\$226.3
Adjusted EBITDA	\$158.2	\$257.7	\$305.9
Distributable Cash Flow	\$(5.7)	\$161.9	\$146.3

Year Ended December 31, 2016, Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2015 Sales. Sales decreased \$613.4 million, or 14.6%, to \$3,599.4 million in 2016 from \$4,212.8 million in 2015. Sales for each of our principal product categories in these periods were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2016	2015	% Ch	ange
	(In millio	ns, except be	arrel aı	nd
	per barrel	l data)		
Sales by segment:				
Specialty products:				
Lubricating oils	\$538.7	\$ 575.6	(6.4	)%
Solvents	237.7	302.0	(21.3	)%
Waxes	128.7	136.9	(6.0)	)%
Packaged and synthetic specialty products (1)	311.2	316.6	(1.7)	)%
Other (2)	36.0	36.7	(1.9)	)%
Total specialty products	\$1,252.3	\$ 1,367.8	(8.4	)%
Total specialty products sales volume (in barrels)	9,779,000	09,200,000	6.3	%
Average specialty products sales price per barrel	\$128.06	\$ 148.67	(13.9	)%
Fuel products:				
Gasoline	\$844.3	\$ 1,002.4	(15.8)	)%
Diesel	748.7	773.2	(3.2)	)%
Jet fuel	117.5	136.5	(13.9	)%
Asphalt, heavy fuel oils and other (3)	451.8	471.0	(4.1	)%
Hedging activities	59.7	179.4	(66.7	)%
Total fuel products	\$2,222.0	\$ 2,562.5	(13.3	)%
Total fuel products sales volume (in barrels)	41,527,00	06,869,000	12.6	%
Average fuel products sales price per barrel (excluding hedging activities)	\$52.07	\$ 64.64	(19.4	)%
Average fuel products sales price per barrel (including hedging activities)	\$53.51	\$ 69.50	(23.0	)%
Total oilfield services	\$125.1	\$ 282.5	(55.7	)%
Total sales	\$3,599.4	\$ 4,212.8	(14.6	)%
Total specialty and fuel products sales volume (in barrels)	51,306,00	046,069,000	11.4	%

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents packaged and synthetic specialty products at the Royal Purple, Bel-Ray, Calumet Packaging and Missouri facilities.

Represents by-products, including fuels and asphalt, produced in connection with the production of specialty products at the Princeton and Cotton Valley refineries and Dickinson and Karns City facilities.

Represents asphalt, heavy fuel oils and other products produced in connection with the production of fuels at the

<sup>(3)</sup> Shreveport, Superior, San Antonio and Great Falls refineries and crude oil sales from the Montana, Superior and San Antonio refineries to third party customers.

Sales price

Volume

Volume

The components of the \$115.5 million specialty products segment sales decrease in 2016 were as follows:

Dollar Change (In millions) \$(201.7) 86.2

Total specialty products segment sales decrease \$(115.5)

Specialty products segment sales for 2016 decreased \$115.5 million, or 8.4%, primarily due to a decrease in the average selling price per barrel, partially offset by higher sales volume. Sales decreased \$201.7 million compared to 2015 due to a 13.9% decrease in the average selling price per barrel primarily as a result of decreased lubricating oils, solvents and packaged and synthetic specialty products average selling prices due to market conditions, while the average cost of crude oil per barrel decreased 10.7%. The increase in sales volume is primarily due to higher sales volume of lubricating oils and packaged and synthetic specialty products, partially offset by decreased sales volume of solvents and waxes due to market conditions.

The components of the \$340.5 million fuel products segment sales decrease in 2016 were as follows:

Dollar Change (In millions) Sales price \$(521.9) Hedging activities (119.7)301.1 Total fuel products segment sales decrease \$(340.5)

Fuel products segment sales for 2016 decreased \$340.5 million, or 13.3%, due primarily to a decrease in the average selling price per barrel and a \$119.7 million decrease in realized derivative gains recorded in sales on our fuel products cash flow hedges, partially offset by increased sales volume. The average selling price per barrel (excluding the impact of hedging activities reflected in sales) decreased \$12.57, or 19.4%, resulting in a \$521.9 million decrease in sales, compared to a 14.1% decrease in the average cost of crude oil per barrel. The decrease in the average selling price per barrel is primarily due to market conditions. Sales volume increased 12.6% primarily due to increased sales volume of diesel and asphalt as a result of the Great Falls refinery expansion project completed in 2016, partially offset by decreased sales volume of gasoline due to market conditions.

Oilfield services segment sales for 2016 decreased \$157.4 million, or 55.7%, primarily due to decreased sales volume driven by a decline in rig count. Our rig count decreased 49.1% consistent with the 48.0% decrease in the U.S. land-based rig count. Currently, we sell to approximately 10% of the U.S. land-based rigs. Volatility in crude oil and natural gas prices impacted our customers' drilling and production activities during 2016, which resulted in an unfavorable impact on our sales in 2016.

Gross Profit. Gross profit decreased \$186.3 million, or 31.3%, to \$408.3 million in 2016 from \$594.6 million in 2015. Gross profit for our specialty, fuel products and oilfield services segments was as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	% Change
	(Dollars in millions, except barrel data)		
Gross profit by segment:			
Specialty products:			
Gross profit	\$338.1	\$370.2	(8.7)%
Percentage of sales	27.0 %	27.1 %	
Specialty products gross profit per barrel	\$34.57	\$40.24	(14.1)%
Fuel products:			
Gross profit excluding hedging activities	\$39.8	\$157.1	(74.7)%
Hedging activities	8.4	9.1	(7.7)%
Gross profit	\$48.2	\$166.2	(71.0)%
Percentage of sales	2.2 %	6.5 %	
Fuel products gross profit per barrel (excluding hedging activities)	\$0.96	\$4.26	(77.5)%
Fuel products gross profit per barrel (including hedging activities)	\$1.16	\$4.51	(74.3)%
Oilfield services:			
Gross profit	\$22.0	\$58.2	(62.2)%
Percentage of sales	17.6 %	20.6 %	
Total gross profit	\$408.3	\$594.6	(31.3)%
Percentage of sales	11.3 %	14.1 %	

The components of the \$32.1 million decrease in the specialty products segment gross profit for 2016 were as follows:

Dollar Change (In millions) 2015 reported gross profit \$ 370.2 Sales price (201.7)Operating costs (4.9)Cost of materials 89.3 LCM inventory adjustment 47.4 35.3 Volume LIFO inventory layer liquidation 2.5 2016 reported gross profit \$338.1

The decrease in specialty products segment gross profit of \$32.1 million year-over-year was primarily due to a decrease in the average selling price per barrel and a \$4.9 million increase in operating costs, partially offset by decreased cost of materials, a \$47.4 million decrease in the unfavorable LCM inventory adjustment and increased sales volume. Sales price and cost of materials, net, lowered gross profit by \$112.4 million, as the average selling price per barrel decreased 13.9%, while the average cost of crude oil per barrel decreased 10.7%. The increase in operating costs was primarily due to increased depreciation expense, partially offset by decreased natural gas costs. Gross profit was also positively impacted by decreased losses of \$2.5 million related to the liquidation of LIFO inventory layers.

The components of the \$118.0 million decrease in the fuel products segment gross profit for 2016 were as follows:

	Dollar	
	Change	
	(In	
	millions	(3)
2015 reported gross profit	\$ 166.2	
Sales price	(521.9	)
Operating costs	(39.4	)
LIFO inventory layer adjustment	(5.9	)
Hedging activities	(0.7)	)
Cost of materials	285.5	
Volume	65.1	
LCM inventory layer liquidation	58.0	
RINs expense	41.3	
2016 reported gross profit	\$48.2	

The decrease in fuel products segment gross profit of \$118.0 million year-over-year was primarily due to narrowing crack spreads, a \$39.4 million increase in operating costs and increased losses of \$5.9 million related to the liquidation of LIFO inventory layers, partially offset by increased sales volume, a \$58.0 million decrease in the unfavorable LCM inventory adjustment and decreased RINs expense. During 2016, crack spreads narrowed as the average cost of crude oil per barrel decreased 14.1% and the average selling price per barrel decreased by 19.4%. The \$41.3 million decrease in RINs expense primarily resulted from a reduction of the RINs liability as a result of an approval from the EPA of the small refinery exemption from the requirements of the RFS for certain of our refineries for the 2014 and 2015 calendar years, partially offset by increased RINs market pricing and increased production. The increase in operating costs was due primarily to increased depreciation expense, utility costs and salaries and benefits expense, partially offset by decreased repairs and maintenance expense.

The decrease in oilfield services segment gross profit of \$36.2 million year-over-year was primarily due to decreased sales volume driven by a decline in rig count, partially offset by a \$15.6 million decrease in the unfavorable LCM inventory adjustment. Volatility in crude oil and natural gas prices resulted in a significant reduction in our customers' drilling and production activities, which had an unfavorable impact on our gross profit in 2016. The continued decrease in crude oil prices created pricing pressure in the basins in which we operate.

Selling. Selling expenses decreased \$35.3 million, or 24.2%, to \$110.7 million in 2016 from \$146.0 million in 2015. The decrease was due primarily to a \$17.1 million decrease in salaries and benefits primarily as a result of workforce reductions in the oilfield services segment, a \$6.8 million decrease in depreciation and amortization, a \$5.3 million decrease in professional fees, a \$4.3 million decrease in advertising expense and \$0.7 million decrease in bad debt expense.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses decreased \$24.9 million, or 18.4%, to \$110.6 million in 2016 from \$135.5 million in 2015. The decrease was due primarily to a \$23.1 million decrease in incentive compensation costs, a \$4.6 million legal settlement recognized in the 2015 period, a \$3.5 million decrease in professional fees expense and a \$2.1 million decrease in severance expense, partially offset by a \$7.7 million increase in salaries and benefits.

Transportation. Transportation expenses decreased \$6.3 million, or 3.6%, to \$169.2 million in 2016 from \$175.5 million in 2015. This decrease is due primarily to decreased drilling and production activities by our customers in the oilfield services segment and decreased freight rates, partially offset by increased sales of lubricating oils and asphalt. Asset impairment. During 2016, we recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$35.7 million in 2016 related to the fuel products segment and specialty products segment compared to a goodwill impairment charge of \$33.8 million in 2015 related to the oilfield services segment. The 2016 fuel products segment impairment charge of \$33.4 million was primarily a result of the reduced outlook on crack spreads. The 2016 specialty products segment impairment charge of \$1.4 million was the result of a significant reduction in orders from a customer of significance. The 2015 oilfield

services segment impairment charge was due primarily to our reduced outlook on revenues and profitability as a result of the continued decline of crude oil prices.

Other operating costs and expenses. Other operating costs and expenses decreased \$9.4 million, or 84.7%, to \$1.7 million in 2016 from \$11.1 million in 2015. The decrease is due primarily to decreased environmental remediation expense, decreased profit sharing expense related to a profit share agreement and gains from fixed asset sales. Interest expense. Interest expense increased \$56.8 million, or 54.1%, to \$161.7 million in 2016 from \$104.9 million in 2015. The increase is due primarily to an increase in the amount of our outstanding long-term debt, higher interest rates on senior secured notes issued in April 2016 compared to other outstanding long-term debt and decreased capitalized interest.

Debt extinguishment costs. Debt extinguishment costs were \$46.6 million in 2015. Debt extinguishment costs were due primarily to the redemption of the 9.625% senior notes due 2020 ("2020 Notes") with a portion of the net proceeds from the issuance of the 2023 Notes in the 2015 period, with no comparable activity in the 2016 period.

Derivative activity. The following table details the impact of our derivative instruments on the consolidated statements.

Derivative activity. The following table details the impact of our derivative instruments on the consolidated statements of operations for 2016 and 2015:

Year Ended

	i cai Ei	iucu
	Decemb	oer 31,
	2016	2015
	(In mill	ions)
Derivative gain reflected in sales	\$59.7	\$179.4
Derivative loss reflected in cost of sales	(53.3)	(167.3)
Derivative gain reflected in gross profit	\$6.4	\$12.1
Realized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	\$(24.0)	\$8.1
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	19.9	(39.5)
Total derivative gain (loss) reflected in the consolidated statements of operations	\$2.3	\$(19.3)
Total gain (loss) on commodity derivative settlements	\$(24.0)	\$10.2

Realized gain (loss) on derivative instruments. Realized gain (loss) on derivative instruments decreased \$32.1 million to a loss of \$24.0 million in 2016 from a gain of \$8.1 million in 2015. The change was primarily due to decreased realized gains of approximately \$27.6 million related to settlements of derivative instruments used to economically hedge crack spreads and crude oil that are not classified as hedges for accounting purposes, increased realized losses of approximately \$1.9 million on natural gas swaps used to economically hedge natural gas purchases, a \$2.7 million decrease in premiums received for crude oil option contracts and decreased gain ineffectiveness of approximately \$0.7 million.

Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments. Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments increased \$59.4 million to a gain of \$19.9 million in 2016 from a loss of \$39.5 million in 2015. The change is primarily due to decreased unrealized losses related to derivative instruments used to economically hedge crack spreads, crude oil and natural gas that are not classified as hedges for accounting purposes.

Loss from unconsolidated affiliates. Loss from unconsolidated affiliates decreased \$42.8 million to \$18.7 million in 2016 from \$61.5 million in 2015, due primarily to a \$24.3 million other-than-temporary impairment charge related to Juniper (defined below) in the 2015 period and the sale of Dakota Prairie in June 2016.

Loss on sale of unconsolidated affiliates. Loss on sale of unconsolidated affiliates was \$113.4 million in 2016. The loss on sale of unconsolidated affiliates was primarily due to the \$113.9 million loss on sale of Dakota Prairie in June 2016

Income tax benefit. Income tax benefit decreased \$20.7 million to \$7.7 million in 2016 from \$28.4 million in 2015. The change was primarily due to the conversion of ADF Holdings, Inc. to ADF Holdings, LLC and Anchor Drilling Fluids USA, Inc. to Anchor Drilling Fluids USA, LLC in 2015, which decreased the proportion of losses subject to federal, state and local income taxes, partially offset by a \$7.8 million income tax refund in 2016.

Year Ended December 31, 2015, Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2014

Sales. Sales decreased \$1,578.3 million, or 27.3%, to \$4,212.8 million in 2015 from \$5,791.1 million in 2014. The results of operations related to the United Petroleum Acquisitions has been included in the specialty products segment since their date of acquisition, February 28, 2014. The results of operations related to the Anchor and SOS Acquisitions have been included in the oilfield services segment since their dates of acquisition, March 31, 2014, and August 1, 2014, respectively. Sales for each of our principal product categories in these periods were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
2015 2014			% Change	
	(In millions, except barrel			ıd
	per barre	l data)		
Sales by segment:				
Specialty products:				
Lubricating oils	\$575.6	\$748.4	(23.1)	)%
Solvents	302.0	485.2	(37.8	)%
Waxes	136.9	144.1	(5.0)	)%
Packaged and synthetic specialty products (1)	316.6	313.5	1.0	%
Other (2)	36.7	38.0	(3.4	)%
Total specialty products	\$1,367.8	\$1,729.2	(20.9	)%
Total specialty products sales volume (in barrels)	9,200,000	09,087,000	1.2	%
Average specialty products sales price per barrel	\$148.67	\$ 190.29	(21.9	)%
Fuel products:				
Gasoline	\$1,002.4	\$ 1,444.5	(30.6	)%
Diesel	773.2	1,205.3	(35.8	)%
Jet fuel	136.5	199.0	(31.4	)%
Asphalt, heavy fuel oils and other (3)	471.0	853.6	(44.8	)%
Hedging activities	179.4	(9.0)	2,093.	3 %
Total fuel products	\$2,562.5	\$3,693.4	(30.6	)%
Total fuel products sales volume (in barrels)	36,869,0	0 <b>6</b> 5,754,000	3.1	%
Average fuel products sales price per barrel (excluding hedging activities)	\$64.64	\$ 103.55	(37.6	)%
Average fuel products sales price per barrel (including hedging activities)	\$69.50	\$ 103.30	(32.7	)%
Total oilfield services	\$282.5	\$368.5	(23.3	)%
Total sales	\$4,212.8	\$5,791.1	(27.3	)%
Total specialty and fuel products sales volume (in barrels)	46,069,0	0 <b>0</b> 4,841,000	2.7	%

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents packaged and synthetic specialty products at the Royal Purple, Bel-Ray, Calumet Packaging and Missouri facilities.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents by-products, including fuels and asphalt, produced in connection with the production of specialty products at the Princeton and Cotton Valley refineries and Dickinson and Karns City facilities.

Represents asphalt, heavy fuel oils and other products produced in connection with the production of fuels at the

<sup>(3)</sup> Shreveport, Superior, San Antonio and Great Falls refineries and crude oil sales from the Superior and San Antonio refineries to third party customers.

Sales price

Acquisitions

Sales price

Volume

Hedging activities

Volume

The components of the \$361.4 million specialty products segment sales decrease in 2015 were as follows:

Dollar Change (In millions) \$(385.4) 19.8 4.2

Total specialty products segment sales decrease \$(361.4)

Specialty products segment sales for 2015 decreased \$361.4 million, or 20.9%, primarily due to a decrease in the average selling price per barrel, partially offset by higher sales volume and \$4.2 million of incremental sales from the United Petroleum Acquisition. Legacy operations' sales decreased \$385.4 million compared to 2014 due to a 22.0% decrease in the average selling price per barrel primarily as a result of decreased lubricating oils, solvents and packaged and synthetic specialty products average selling prices due to market conditions, while the average cost of crude oil per barrel decreased 46.2%. The increase in sales volume is due primarily to higher sales volume of lubricating oils at the Shreveport refinery due to increased production reliability in 2015 and extended turnaround activity in 2014 and increased sales volume of packaged and synthetic specialty products, partially offset by decreased sales volume of solvents due to market conditions.

The components of the \$1,130.9 million fuel products segment sales decrease in 2015 were as follows:

Dollar Change (In millions) \$(1,440.9) 188.4 121.6 Total fuel products segment sales decrease \$(1,130.9)

Fuel products segment sales for 2015 decreased \$1,130.9 million, or 30.6%, due primarily to a decrease in the average selling price per barrel, partially offset by a \$188.4 million decrease in realized derivative losses recorded in sales on our fuel products cash flow hedges and increased sales volume. The average selling price per barrel (excluding the impact of hedging activities reflected in sales) decreased \$38.91, or 37.6%, resulting in a \$1.440.9 million decrease in sales, compared to a 47.0% decrease in the average price of crude oil per barrel. The decrease in the average selling price per barrel is primarily due to market conditions. Sales volume increased 3.1% primarily due to increased production reliability in 2015 and extended turnaround activity in 2014 at the Shreveport refinery and increased production at the San Antonio refinery as a result of the crude oil unit expansion completed in December 2013 being fully operational, partially offset by decreased crude oil sales to third parties.

Oilfield services segment sales for 2015 decreased \$86.0 million, or 23.3%, primarily due to decreased sales volume driven by a decline in rig count, partially offset by \$93.4 million of incremental sales from the Anchor and SOS Acquisitions completed in 2014. Our rig count decreased 46.5% as a result of a 47.8% decrease in the U.S. land-based rig count. Currently, we sell to approximately 10% of the U.S. land-based rigs. Volatility in crude oil and natural gas prices impacted our customers' drilling and production activities during 2015, which resulted in an unfavorable impact on sales in 2015.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased \$64.9 million, or 12.3%, to \$594.6 million in 2015 from \$529.7 million in 2014. Gross profit for our specialty, fuel products and oilfield services segments was as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2015 2014 % Cha			e
	(Dollars in millions, except per			r
	barrel data)			
Gross profit by segment:				
Specialty products:				
Gross profit	\$370.2	\$373.2	(0.8	)%
Percentage of sales	27.1 %	21.6 %		
Specialty products gross profit per barrel	\$40.24	\$41.07	(2.0	)%
Fuel products:				
Gross profit (loss) excluding hedging activities	\$157.1	\$(0.7)	22,542.9	%
Hedging activities	9.1	35.2	(74.1	)%
Gross profit	\$166.2	\$34.5	381.7	%
Percentage of sales	6.5 %	0.9 %		
Fuel products gross profit (loss) per barrel (excluding hedging activities)	\$4.26	\$(0.02)	21,400.0	%
Fuel products gross profit per barrel (including hedging activities)	\$4.51	\$0.96	369.8	%
Oilfield services:				
Gross profit	\$58.2	\$122.0	(52.3	)%
Percentage of sales	20.6 %	33.1 %		
Total gross profit	\$594.6	\$529.7	12.3	%
Percentage of sales	14.1 %	9.1 %		

The components of the \$3.0 million decrease in the specialty products segment gross profit for 2015 were as follows:

Dollar Change (In millions) 2014 reported gross profit \$373.2 Cost of materials 415.6 Volume 6.5 Acquisitions 1.0 Sales price (385.4)LCM inventory adjustment (34.9)LIFO inventory layer liquidation (5.8 ) 2015 reported gross profit \$370.2

The decrease in specialty products segment gross profit of \$3.0 million year over year was due primarily to a decrease in the average selling price per barrel and a \$34.9 million increase in the unfavorable LCM inventory adjustment primarily as a result of the lower crude oil prices, partially offset by decreased cost of materials and increased sales volume. Sales price and cost of materials, net, from our legacy operations increased gross profit by \$30.2 million, as the average selling price per barrel decreased 22.0%, while the average cost of crude oil per barrel decreased 46.2%. Gross profit was also negatively impacted by increased losses of \$5.8 million related to the liquidation of LIFO inventory layers.

The components of the \$131.7 million increase in the fuel products segment gross profit for 2015 were as follows:

	Dollar
	Change
	(In
	millions)
2014 reported gross profit	\$ 34.5
Cost of materials	1,561.2
LCM inventory adjustment	42.0
LIFO inventory layer adjustment	12.5
Volume	10.8
Operating costs	1.6
Sales price	(1,440.9)
RINs, net	(29.4)
Hedging activities	(26.1)
2015 reported gross profit	\$ 166.2

The increase in fuel products segment gross profit of \$131.7 million year over year was due primarily to widening gasoline crack spreads and asphalt margins, a \$42.0 million decrease in the unfavorable LCM inventory adjustment and decreased losses of \$12.5 million related to the liquidation of LIFO inventory layers, partially offset by a \$29.4 million unfavorable RINs adjustment and a \$26.1 million decrease in realized gains on derivatives. During 2015, crack spreads widened as the average cost of crude oil per barrel decreased 47.0% and the average selling price per barrel decreased by 37.6%. The \$29.4 million unfavorable RINs adjustment primarily resulted from increased RINs market pricing.

The decrease in oilfield services segment gross profit of \$63.8 million year over year was due primarily to decreased sales volume driven by a decline in rig count and a \$14.8 million unfavorable LCM adjustment, partially offset by \$26.9 million of incremental gross profit from the Anchor and SOS acquisitions completed in 2014. Volatility in crude oil and natural gas prices impacted our customers' drilling and production activities, which had an unfavorable impact on our gross profit in 2015. The continued decrease in crude oil prices created tighter market conditions in the basins in which we operate.

Selling. Selling expenses decreased \$3.6 million, or 2.4%, to \$146.0 million in 2015 from \$149.6 million in 2014. The decrease was due primarily to a \$5.6 million decrease in advertising expense and a \$2.0 million decrease in travel and entertainment expense, partially offset by incremental selling expenses related to the Anchor and SOS Acquisitions and a \$0.8 million increase in bad debt expense.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses increased \$37.2 million, or 37.8%, to \$135.5 million in 2015 from \$98.3 million in 2014. The increase was due primarily to incremental general and administrative expenses related to the Anchor and SOS Acquisitions, a \$12.2 million increase in incentive compensation costs, an \$8.5 million increase in professional fees expense, a \$4.6 million legal settlement and a \$2.9 million increase in severance expenses.

Transportation. Transportation expenses increased \$4.1 million, or 2.4%, to \$175.5 million in 2015 from \$171.4 million in 2014. This increase is due primarily to increased sales of lubricating oils and packaged and synthetic specialty products and incremental transportation expenses related to the Anchor and SOS Acquisitions, partially offset by decreased crude oil sales to third parties and decreased freight rates.

Asset impairment. During 2015, we recorded an impairment charge of \$33.8 million related to the oilfield services segment compared to an impairment charge of \$36.0 million in 2014. The impairment charges were driven primarily by our reduced outlook on revenues and profitability as a result of the continued decline of crude oil prices. Interest expense. Interest expense decreased \$5.9 million, or 5.3%, to \$104.9 million in 2015 from \$110.8 million in 2014. The decrease is due primarily to increased capitalized interest and lower interest rates on outstanding senior notes, partially offset by increased outstanding long-term debt.

Debt extinguishment costs. Debt extinguishment costs decreased \$43.3 million, or 48.2%, to \$46.6 million in 2015, due primarily to the redemption of the 2020 Notes with a portion of the net proceeds from the issuance of the 2023 Notes in 2015 compared to the redemption of the remaining 9.375% senior notes due 2019 ("2019 Notes") with a portion of the net proceeds from the issuance of the 2021 Notes in 2014.

Derivative activity. The following table details the impact of our derivative instruments on the consolidated statements of operations for 2015 and 2014:

	Year Ended
	December 31,
	2015 2014
	(In millions)
Derivative gain (loss) reflected in sales	\$179.4 \$(9.0)
Derivative gain (loss) reflected in cost of sales	(167.3) 46.0
Derivative gain reflected in gross profit	\$12.1 \$37.0
Realized gain on derivative instruments	\$8.1 \$43.8
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments	(39.5 ) (0.6 )
Derivative gain reflected in interest expense	3.3
Total derivative gain (loss) reflected in the consolidated statements of operations	\$(19.3) \$83.5
Total gain on commodity derivative settlements	\$10.2 \$87.5

Realized gain on derivative instruments. Realized gain on derivative instruments decreased \$35.7 million to \$8.1 million in 2015 from \$43.8 million in 2014. The change was due primarily to decreased realized gains of approximately \$12.9 million related to settlements of derivative instruments used to economically hedge crack spreads and crude oil that are not classified as hedges for accounting purposes, decreased realized gains of approximately \$11.8 million on natural gas swaps used to economically hedge natural gas purchases and decreased gain ineffectiveness of approximately \$10.9 million, partially offset by a \$1.7 million gain associated with premiums received for crude oil option contracts in the 2015 period.

Unrealized loss on derivative instruments. Unrealized loss on derivative instruments increased \$38.9 million to \$39.5 million in 2015 from \$0.6 million in 2014. This change was due primarily to decreased unrealized gains of approximately \$52.3 million related to derivative instruments used to economically hedge crack spreads, crude oil and natural gas that are not accounted for as hedges for accounting purposes, partially offset by ineffectiveness of approximately \$13.4 million in 2014 with no comparable activity in the current period.

Loss from unconsolidated affiliates. Loss from unconsolidated affiliates increased \$58.1 million to \$61.5 million in 2015 from \$3.4 million in 2014, due primarily to unfavorable operating results of Dakota Prairie, which commenced sales to third parties in May 2015 and a \$24.3 million other-than-temporary impairment charge related to Juniper (defined below).

Income tax benefit. Income tax benefit increased \$27.6 million to \$28.4 million in 2015 from \$0.8 million in 2014. The change was due primarily to weaker performance in our oilfield services segment, including a \$33.8 million goodwill impairment charge and a \$14.8 million LCM inventory adjustment, which increased the proportion of losses subject to federal, state and local income taxes and the conversion of ADF Holdings, Inc. to ADF Holdings, LLC and Anchor Drilling Fluids USA, Inc. to Anchor Drilling Fluids USA, LLC, which resulted in the write-off of deferred taxes

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal sources of cash have historically included cash flow from operations, proceeds from public equity offerings, proceeds from notes offerings and bank borrowings. Principal uses of cash have included capital expenditures, acquisitions, distributions to our limited partners and general partner and debt service. We expect that our principal uses of cash in the future will be for debt service, replacement and environmental capital expenditures and capital expenditures related to internal growth projects.

We expect to fund planned capital expenditures in 2017 of \$120 million and \$140 million with current cash flows from operations, borrowings under our revolving credit facility and by accessing capital markets as necessary. Future internal growth projects or acquisitions may require expenditures in excess of our then-current cash flow from operations and borrowing availability under our existing revolving credit facility and may require us to issue debt or equity securities in public or private offerings or incur additional borrowings under bank credit facilities to meet those

costs. However, our credit ratings were downgraded in April 2016 which could adversely affect our ability to obtain new financing and increase the costs of our financing. We may from time to time seek to retire or purchase our outstanding debt through cash purchases and/or exchanges for equity securities, in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases or exchanges, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

The borrowing base on our revolving credit facility increased from \$411.3 million as of December 31, 2015, to \$453.1 million at December 31, 2016, resulting in a corresponding increase in our borrowing availability from \$233.5 million at

December 31, 2015, to \$360.8 million at December 31, 2016. As the price of crude oil increased, the value of crude oil and product inventories pledged as collateral under our revolving credit facility also increased, resulting in an increase in the borrowing base.

Cash Flows from Operating, Investing and Financing Activities

We believe that we have sufficient liquid assets, cash flow from operations, borrowing capacity and adequate access to capital markets to meet our financial commitments, debt service obligations and anticipated capital expenditures. We continue to seek to lower our operating costs, selling expenses and general and administrative expenses as a means to further improve our cash flow from operations with the objective of having our cash flow from operations support all of our capital expenditures and interest payments. However, we are subject to business and operational risks that could materially adversely affect our cash flows. A material decrease in our cash flow from operations including a significant, sudden decrease in crude oil prices would likely produce a corollary material adverse effect on our borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility and potentially our ability to comply with the covenants under our revolving credit facility. A significant, sudden increase in crude oil prices, if sustained, would likely result in increased working capital requirements which would be funded by borrowings under our revolving credit facility. In addition, our cash flow from operations may be impacted by the timing of settlement of our derivative activities. Gains and losses from derivative instruments that qualify as effective cash flow hedges are deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), but may impact operating cash flow in the period settled. Gains and losses from derivative instruments that do not qualify as hedges are recorded in unrealized gain (loss) until settlement and will impact operating cash flow in the period settled.

The following table summarizes our primary sources and uses of cash in each of the most recent three years:

Year Ended December 31. 2016 2015 2014 (In millions) \$376.4 \$226.8 (154.2 (389.0 ) (658.8 )

Net cash provided by operating activities \$4.1 Net cash used in investing activities Net cash provided by financing activities 148.7 9.7 Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents (1.4) (2.9)

Operating Activities, Operating activities provided cash of \$4.1 million during 2016 compared to \$376.4 million during 2015. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities is primarily due to increased net loss of \$189.2 million, increased working capital requirements in 2016 using \$0.9 million compared to 2015 working capital

requirements providing \$117.9 million as well as a decrease in non-cash items of \$64.3 million. Working capital increases were primarily driven by decreased accounts payable, increased accounts receivable and decreased accrued salaries, wages and benefits.

319.4

Operating activities provided cash of \$376.4 million during 2015 compared to \$226.8 million during 2014. The increase in cash provided by operating activities is primarily due to decreased working capital requirements in 2015 providing \$117.9 million, compared to 2014 working capital requirements providing \$25.1 million, as well as an increase in non-cash items of \$84.0 million, partially offset by increased net loss of \$27.2 million. Working capital improvements were primarily driven by decreased accounts receivable and inventories.

Investing Activities. Cash used in investing activities decreased to \$154.2 million in 2016 compared to \$389.0 million in 2015. The decrease is primarily due to a decrease in capital expenditures of \$199.9 million mainly due to the completion of several capital improvement projects, proceeds of \$29.0 million mainly related to the sale of Dakota Prairie and a decrease in net joint venture investments of \$4.5 million.

Cash used in investing activities decreased to \$389.0 million in 2015 compared to \$658.8 million in 2014. The decrease is primarily due to the higher combined purchase price of \$263.6 million for the Anchor, United Petroleum and SOS Acquisitions, which closed in 2014 with no similar activity in 2015, a decrease in net joint venture investments to the Dakota Prairie Refining, LLC and Juniper GTL LLC joint ventures of \$55.2 million, partially offset by an increase in capital expenditures of \$49.4 million primarily due to the capital improvement projects discussed

### below.

Financing Activities. Financing activities provided cash of \$148.7 million during 2016 compared to \$9.7 million during 2015. This increase is primarily due to the redemption of the 2020 Notes of \$275.0 million in 2015 with no comparable activity in 2016, decreased distributions of \$167.2 million, increased net proceeds from the private placements of senior notes of \$65.6 million and increased proceeds of \$9.2 million from other financing activities. Partially offsetting these increases are decreased net proceeds from public offerings of common units (including our general partner's contributions) of \$167.4 million, increased payments on the revolving credit facility of \$100.8 million in 2016 compared to repayments of \$39.8 million in 2015 and \$75.0 million in repayments of a related party note in 2016.

Financing activities provided cash of \$9.7 million during 2015 compared to \$319.4 million during 2014. The decrease is primarily due to decreased net proceeds from the private placement of senior notes of \$563.1 million, repayments of \$39.8 million

on the revolving credit facility in 2015 compared to use of \$150.8 million of net proceeds from revolving credit facility borrowings in 2014 and increased distributions of \$14.4 million. Partially offsetting these decreases are the redemption of the 2019 Notes of \$500.0 million in 2014 compared to the redemption of the 2020 Notes of \$275.0 million in 2015, an increase in net proceeds from public offerings of common units (including our general partner's contributions) of \$163.9 million and \$75.0 million of proceeds from a related party note payable.

### Acquisitions

Acquisitions impact our results of operations commencing on the closing date of each acquisition. Our acquisitions are discussed further in Note 3 "Acquisitions" in the notes to our consolidated financial statements under Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data." Information regarding acquisitions completed in 2016, 2015 and 2014 is set forth in the table below (in millions):

Acquisition	Closing Date	Purchase Price	Funding Methods	Segment
United Petroleum	February 28, 2014	\$ 10.4	Cash on hand	Specialty Products
Anchor	March 31, 2014	223.6	Net proceeds from our March 2014 private placement of 2021 Notes	Oilfield Services
SOS	August 1, 2014	29.6	Borrowings under our revolving credit facility	Oilfield Services
2014 Total		\$ 263.6		

Joint Ventures

Dakota Prairie Refining, LLC

On June 27, 2016, we consummated the sale of our 50% equity interest in Dakota Prairie to joint venture partner WBI Energy, Inc. ("WBI"), a wholly owned subsidiary of MDU. Concurrent with the sale of our equity interest in Dakota Prairie to WBI, Tesoro Refining & Marketing Company LLC ("Tesoro") acquired 100% of Dakota Prairie from WBI in a separate transaction that closed on June 27, 2016.

Under the terms of the definitive agreement with WBI, we received consideration of \$28.5 million, which was offset by our repayment of \$36.0 million in borrowings under Dakota Prairie's revolving credit facility. In addition, our \$39.4 million letter of credit supporting the Dakota Prairie revolving credit facility was terminated. As part of the transaction, MDU and WBI released us from all liabilities arising out of or related to Dakota Prairie. Further, Tesoro and Dakota Prairie released us from all liabilities arising out of the organization, management and operation of Dakota Prairie, subject to certain limited exceptions. Also, WBI agreed to indemnify us from all liabilities arising out of or related to Dakota Prairie, subject to certain limited exceptions. As a result of the sale of Dakota Prairie, we recorded a loss on sale of unconsolidated affiliate of \$113.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2016.

### Pacific New Investment Limited

On August 5, 2015, we and The Heritage Group, a related party, formed Pacific New Investment Limited ("PACNIL") for the purpose of investing in a joint venture with Shandong Hi-Speed Materials Group Corporation and China Construction Installation Engineering Co., Ltd. to construct, develop and operate a solvents refinery in mainland China. The joint venture is named Shandong Hi-Speed Hainan Development Co., Ltd. ("Hi-Speed"). We invested \$4.8 million in June 2016 and \$4.8 million in October 2016. As of December 31, 2016, we had an investment of \$9.6 million in PACNIL, we owned an equity interest of approximately 23.8% in PACNIL, and through that ownership we owned an equity interest of approximately 6% in Hi-Speed. PACNIL wishes to exit its investment in Hi-Speed. We and PACNIL believe we will fully recover our investment in Hi-Speed.

### Juniper GTL LLC

On June 9, 2014, we entered into a joint venture agreement with Clean Fuels North America, LLC, which is owned by SGC Energia and Great Northern Project Development, to develop, build and operate a gas-to-liquids ("GTL") plant in Lake Charles, Louisiana. The joint venture is named New Source Fuels, LLC, and it owns 100% of Juniper GTL LLC ("Juniper"). We invested \$25.0 million in total in exchange for an equity interest of approximately 23% in the joint venture. During the third quarter of 2015, we determined the fair value of our investment in Juniper was less than its

carrying value of \$24.3 million. As a result, we recorded a \$24.3 million impairment charge in loss from unconsolidated affiliates in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2015. In June 2016, we sold our equity interest in New Source Fuels, LLC for an immaterial amount.

Capital Expenditures

Our property, plant and equipment capital expenditure requirements consist of capital improvement expenditures, replacement capital expenditures and environmental capital expenditures. Capital improvement expenditures include expenditures to acquire assets to grow our business, to expand existing facilities, such as projects that increase operating capacity, or to reduce operating costs. Replacement capital expenditures replace worn out or obsolete equipment or parts. Environmental capital expenditures

include asset additions to meet or exceed environmental and operating regulations. Turnaround capital expenditures represent capitalized costs associated with our periodic major maintenance and repairs.

The following table sets forth our capital improvement expenditures, replacement capital expenditures, environmental capital expenditures, turnaround capital expenditures and joint venture contributions in each of the periods shown (including capitalized interest):

	Year Ended December		
	31,		
	2016	2015	2014
	(In millions)		
Capital improvement expenditures	\$67.6	\$311.7	\$284.9
Replacement capital expenditures	20.0	28.9	18.8
Environmental capital expenditures	9.3	15.3	13.0
Turnaround capital expenditures	8.7	19.3	27.6
Joint venture contributions, net (1)	16.7	50.2	105.4
Total	\$122.3	\$425.4	\$449.7

(1) Includes proceeds from sale of unconsolidated affiliates and return of capital.

The decrease in capital expenditures from 2015 to 2016 is due primarily to the completion of several projects including the Great Falls refinery expansion.

We estimate our capital expenditures will be between \$120 million and \$140 million in 2017. We anticipate that capital expenditure requirements will be provided primarily through cash flow from operations, cash on hand, available borrowings under our revolving credit facility and by accessing capital markets as necessary. If future capital expenditures require expenditures in excess of our then-current cash flow from operations and borrowing availability under our existing revolving credit facility, we may be required to issue debt or equity securities in public or private offerings or incur additional borrowings under bank credit facilities to meet those costs.

### **Debt and Credit Facilities**

As of December 31, 2016, our primary debt and credit instruments consisted of:

a \$900.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility maturing in July 2019, subject to borrowing base limitations, with a maximum letter of credit sublimit equal to \$600.0 million, which amount may be increased to 90% of revolver commitments in effect with the consent of the Agent (as defined in the revolving credit agreement) ("revolving credit facility");

\$900.0 million of 6.50% senior notes due 2021 ("2021 Notes");

\$350.0 million of 7.625% senior notes due 2022 ("2022 Notes");

\$325.0 million of 7.75% senior notes due 2023 ("2023 Notes"); and

\$400.0 million of 11.50% senior secured notes due 2021 ("2021 Secured Notes").

We were in compliance with all covenants under our debt instruments in place as of December 31, 2016, and believe we have adequate liquidity to conduct our business.

**Short-Term Liquidity** 

As of December 31, 2016, our principal sources of short-term liquidity were (i) \$360.8 million of availability under our revolving credit facility and (ii) \$4.2 million of cash. Borrowings under our revolving credit facility can be used for, among other things, working capital, capital expenditures, and other lawful partnership purposes including acquisitions.

Borrowings under the revolving credit facility are limited to a borrowing base that is determined based on advance rates of percentages of Eligible Accounts Receivable and Eligible Inventory (as defined in the revolving credit agreement). As such, the borrowing base can fluctuate based on changes in selling prices of our products and our current material costs, primarily the cost of crude oil. On December 31, 2016, we had availability on our revolving credit facility of \$360.8 million, based on a \$453.1 million borrowing base, \$82.1 million in outstanding standby letters of credit and \$10.2 million of outstanding borrowings. The borrowing base cannot exceed the revolving credit

facility commitments then in effect. The lender group under our revolving credit

facility is comprised of a syndicate of fifteen lenders with total commitments of \$900.0 million. The lenders under our revolving credit facility have a first priority lien on our accounts receivable, inventory and substantially all of our cash.

Amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility fluctuate materially during each quarter mainly due to cash flow from operations, normal changes in working capital, capital expenditures and debt service costs. Specifically, the amount borrowed under our revolving credit facility is typically at its highest level after we pay for the majority of our crude oil supply on the 20th day of every month per standard industry terms. The maximum revolving credit facility borrowings during the quarter ended December 31, 2016, were \$52.0 million. Our availability on our revolving credit facility during the peak borrowing days of the quarter has been ample to support our operations and service upcoming requirements. During the quarter ended December 31, 2016, availability for additional borrowings under our revolving credit facility was approximately \$302.0 million at its lowest point.

The revolving credit facility currently bears interest at a rate equal to prime plus a basis points margin or LIBOR plus a basis points margin, at our option. As of December 31, 2016, this margin was 50 basis points for prime and 150 basis points for LIBOR; however, the margin can fluctuate quarterly based on our average availability for additional borrowings under the revolving credit facility in the preceding calendar quarter.

In addition to paying interest on outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility, we are required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders under the revolving credit facility with respect to the unutilized commitments thereunder at a rate equal to either 0.250% or 0.375% per annum depending on the average daily available unused borrowing capacity for the preceding month. We also pay a customary letter of credit fee, including a fronting fee of 0.125% per annum of the stated amount of each outstanding letter of credit, and customary agency fees. Our revolving credit facility contains various covenants that limit, among other things, our ability to: incur indebtedness; grant liens; dispose of certain assets; make certain acquisitions and investments; redeem or prepay other debt or make other restricted payments such as distributions to unitholders; enter into transactions with affiliates; and enter into a merger, consolidation or sale of assets. The revolving credit facility generally permits us to make cash distributions to our unitholders as long as immediately after giving effect to such a cash distribution we have cash and availability under the revolving credit facility totaling at least the greater of (i) 15% of the Borrowing Base (as defined in the credit agreement) then in effect and (ii) \$70.0 million. Further, the revolving credit facility contains one springing financial covenant which provides that only if our availability under the revolving credit facility falls below the greater of (a) 12.5% of the Borrowing Base (as defined in the credit agreement) then in effect and (b) \$45.0 million, we will be required to maintain as of the end of each fiscal quarter a Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio (as defined in the credit agreement) of at least 1.0 to 1.0.

If an event of default exists under the revolving credit facility, the lenders will be able to accelerate the maturity of the credit facility and exercise other rights and remedies. An event of default includes, among other things, the nonpayment of principal, interest, fees or other amounts; failure of any representation or warranty to be true and correct when made or confirmed; failure to perform or observe covenants in the revolving credit facility or other loan documents, subject, in limited circumstances, to certain grace periods; cross-defaults in other indebtedness if the effect of such default is to cause, or permit the holders of such indebtedness to cause, the acceleration of such indebtedness under any material agreement; bankruptcy or insolvency events; monetary judgment defaults; asserted invalidity of the loan documentation; and a change of control.

As of December 31, 2016, we were in compliance with all covenants under the revolving credit facility. For additional information regarding our revolving credit facility, see Note 7 "Long-Term Debt" in Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

### Long-Term Financing

In addition to our principal sources of short-term liquidity listed above, subject to market conditions, we may meet our cash requirements (other than distributions of Available Cash (as defined in our partnership agreement) to our common unitholders) through the issuance of long-term notes or additional common units.

From time to time we issue long-term debt securities, referred to as our senior notes. All of our outstanding senior notes, other than the 2021 Secured Notes, are unsecured obligations that rank equally with all of our other senior debt

obligations to the extent they are unsecured. As of December 31, 2016, we had \$400.0 million in 2021 Secured Notes, \$900.0 million in 2021 Notes, \$350.0 million in 2022 Notes and \$325.0 million in 2023 Notes outstanding. As of December 31, 2015, we had \$900.0 million in 2021 Notes, \$350.0 million in 2022 Notes and \$325.0 million in 2023 Notes outstanding.

The indentures governing our senior notes contain covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability and the ability of certain of our subsidiaries to: (i) sell assets; (ii) pay distributions on or redeem or repurchase our common units or redeem or repurchase our subordinated debt and, in the case of the 2021 Secured Notes, our unsecured notes; (iii) make investments; (iv) incur or guarantee additional indebtedness or issue preferred units; (v) create or incur certain liens; (vi) enter into agreements that restrict distributions or other payments from our restricted subsidiaries to us; (vii) consolidate, merge or transfer all or substantially

all of our assets; (viii) engage in transactions with affiliates, and (ix) create unrestricted subsidiaries. These covenants are subject to important exceptions and qualifications. At any time when the senior notes are rated investment grade by either Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or S&P's Global Ratings ("S&P") and no Default or Event of Default, each as defined in the indentures governing the senior notes, has occurred and is continuing, many of these covenants will be suspended. As of December 31, 2016, our Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio (as defined in the indentures governing the 2021 Secured, 2021, 2022 and 2023 Notes) was 0.95 to 1.0.

Upon the occurrence of certain change of control events, each holder of the senior notes will have the right to require that we repurchase all or a portion of such holder's senior notes in cash at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase.

To date, our debt balances have not adversely affected our operations, our ability to repay or refinance our indebtedness. Based on our historical record, we believe that our capital structure will continue to allow us to achieve our business objectives.

We are subject, however, to conditions in the equity and debt markets for our common units and long-term senior notes, and there can be no assurance we will be able or willing to access the public or private markets for our common units and/or senior notes in the future. If we are unable or unwilling to issue additional common units, we may be required to either restrict capital expenditures and/or potential future acquisitions or pursue debt financing alternatives, some of which could involve higher costs or negatively affect our credit ratings. Furthermore, our ability to access the public and private debt markets is affected by our credit ratings. For additional information regarding our credit ratings, see "Credit Ratings" below.

For additional information regarding our senior notes, see Note 7 "Long-Term Debt" in Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

Master Derivative Contracts and Collateral Trust Agreement

Under our credit support arrangements, our payment obligations under all of our master derivatives contracts for commodity hedging generally are secured by a first priority lien on our and our subsidiaries' real property, plant and equipment, fixtures, intellectual property, certain financial assets, certain investment property, commercial tort claims, chattel paper, documents, instruments and proceeds of the foregoing (including proceeds of hedge arrangements). We had no additional letters of credit or cash margin posted with any hedging counterparty as of December 31, 2016. Our master derivatives contracts and Collateral Trust Agreement (as defined below) continue to impose a number of covenant limitations on our operating and financing activities, including limitations on liens on collateral, limitations on dispositions of collateral and collateral maintenance and insurance requirements. For financial reporting purposes, we do not offset the collateral provided to a counterparty against the fair value of our obligation to that counterparty. Any outstanding collateral is released to us upon settlement of the related derivative instrument liability.

The fair value of our derivatives that were outstanding as of December 31, 2016, increased by approximately \$2.0 million subsequent to December 31, 2016, to a net liability of approximately \$4.0 million. All credit support thresholds with our hedging counterparties are at levels such that it would take a substantial increase in fuel products crack spreads or interest rates to require significant additional collateral to be posted. As a result, we do not expect further increases in fuel products crack spreads or interest rates to significantly impact our liquidity.

Additionally, we have a collateral trust agreement (the "Collateral Trust Agreement") which governs how the holders of the 2021 Secured Notes and secured hedging counterparties share collateral pledged as security for the payment obligations owed by us to the holders of the 2021 Secured Notes and secured hedging counterparties under their respective master derivatives contracts. The Collateral Trust Agreement limits to \$150.0 million the extent to which forward purchase contracts for physical commodities are covered by, and secured under, the Collateral Trust Agreement and the Parity Lien Security Documents (as defined in the Collateral Trust Agreement). There is no such limit on financially settled derivative instruments used for commodity hedging. Subject to certain conditions set forth in the Collateral Trust Agreement, we have the ability to add secured hedging counterparties from time to time. Credit Ratings

In April 2016, our senior unsecured notes ratings and partnership ratings were downgraded by credit rating agencies. Our senior unsecured notes ratings decreased to Caa2 from B3 and CCC+ from B by Moody's and S&P, respectively.

Our partnership rating decreased to Caa1 from B2 and B- from B by Moody's and S&P, respectively. This downgrade in our credit ratings could adversely affect our ability to obtain new financing and increase the costs of our financing and, in turn, adversely affect our financial results.

**Equity Transactions** 

We entered into an Equity Placement Agreement with various sales agents under which we issued and sold, from time to time, common units representing limited partner interests, having an aggregate offering price of up to \$300.0 million through one or more sales agents. The Equity Placement Agreement expired in May 2016. The net proceeds from any sales under this agreement

were used for general partnership purposes, which included, among other things, repayment of indebtedness, working capital and capital expenditures. Our general partner contributed its proportionate capital contribution to retain its 2% general partner interest. For the year ended December 31, 2016, we had no sales of our common units under the Equity Placement Agreement. For the year ended December 31, 2015, we sold 432,167 common units under the Equity Placement Agreement for net proceeds of \$10.2 million. Underwriting discounts for 2015 totaled \$0.1 million, and our general partner contributed \$0.2 million, to maintain its general partner interest.

During 2016, 2015 and 2014, we completed the following marketed public offerings of common units (in millions, except unit and per unit data):

Closing Date	Number of Common Units Offered	Price per Unit	Net Proceeds	Do	eneral rtner ontributio	Ur nDi	nderwritin scount	ng Use of Proceeds
March 13, 2015	6,000,000	\$26.75	\$ 153.9	\$	3.3	\$	6.4	Net proceeds were used to redeem a portion of the 2020 Notes and to repay borrowings under the revolving credit facility.

- (1) Proceeds are net of underwriting discounts, commissions and expenses but before our general partner's capital contribution.
- (2) Our general partner contributions were made to retain its 2% general partner interest.

In April 2016, the board of directors of our general partner suspended payment of our quarterly cash distribution. The board of directors of our general partner will continue to evaluate our ability to reinstate the distribution. During 2016 and through February 2017, we have made the following cash distributions on all outstanding common units (including our general partner's incentive distribution rights) (in millions except per unit data):

				Quarterly	Aggregate				
Quarter Ended	Declaration Date	Record Date	Distribution Date	Distribution	Quarterly				
				per Unit	Distribution				
December 31, 2015	January 19, 2016	February 2, 2016	February 12, 2016	\$ 0.685	\$ 57.4				
Seasonality Impacts on Liquidity									

The operating results for the fuel products segment, including the selling prices of asphalt products we produce, generally follow seasonal demand trends. Asphalt demand is generally lower in the first and fourth quarters of the year, as compared to the second and third quarters, due to the seasonality of the road construction and roofing industries we supply. Demand for gasoline and diesel is generally higher during the summer months than during the winter months due to seasonal increases in highway traffic. In addition, our natural gas costs can be higher during the winter months, as demand for natural gas as a heating fuel increases during the winter. As a result, our operating results for the first and fourth calendar quarters may be lower than those for the second and third calendar quarters of each year due to seasonality related to these and other products that we produce and sell.

The operating results for the oilfield services segment follow seasonal changes in weather and significant weather events can temporarily affect the performance and delivery of our oilfield services and products. The severity and duration of the winter can have a significant impact on drilling activity. Additionally, customer spending patterns for other oilfield services and products can result in lower activity in the fourth quarter.

### Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

A summary of our total contractual cash obligations as of December 31, 2016, at current maturities is as follows:

	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1–3 Years	3–5 Years	More Than 5 Years
	(In millio	ons)			
Operating Activities:					
Interest on long-term and short-term debt at contractual rates and maturities (1)	\$873.4	\$168.0	\$330.9	\$272.4	\$ 102.1
Operating lease obligations (2)	145.9	39.4	57.3	28.9	20.3
Letters of credit (3)	82.1	82.1	_	_	
Purchase commitments (4)	1,680.1	659.8	929.2	91.1	
Pension obligations	6.9	0.3	1.5	1.4	3.7
Employment agreements	5.0	3.0	1.5	0.5	
Financing Activities:					
Capital lease obligations	46.5	2.1	4.4	1.9	38.1
Long-term debt obligations, excluding capital lease obligations	1,993.2	1.4	13.0	1,303.8	675.0
Total obligations	\$4,833.1	\$956.1	\$1,337.8	\$1,700.0	\$ 839.2

Interest on long-term and short-term debt at contractual rates and maturities relates primarily to interest on our senior notes, revolving credit facility interest and fees, and interest on our capital lease obligations, which excludes the adjustment for the interest rate swap agreement.

- We have various operating leases primarily for railcars, the use of land, storage tanks, compressor stations, equipment, precious metals and office facilities that extend through July 2055.
- (3) Letters of credit primarily supporting crude oil and feedstock purchases and precious metals leasing.
- (4) Purchase commitments consist primarily of obligations to purchase fixed volumes of crude oil, other feedstocks and finished products for resale from various suppliers based on current market prices at the time of delivery. In connection with the closing of the acquisition of Penreco on January 3, 2008, we entered into a feedstock purchase agreement with Phillips 66 related to the LVT unit at its Lake Charles, Louisiana refinery (the "LVT Feedstock Agreement"). Pursuant to the LVT Feedstock Agreement, Phillips 66 is obligated to supply a minimum quantity (the "Base Volume") of feedstock for the LVT unit for a term of ten years. Based upon this minimum supply quantity, we expect to purchase \$39.9 million of feedstock for the LVT unit in each fiscal year of the term based on pricing estimates as of December 31, 2016. This amount is not included in the table above.

For additional information regarding our expected capital and turnaround expenditures, for which we have not contractually committed, refer to "Capital Expenditures" above.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We did not enter into any material off-balance sheet debt or operating lease transactions during fiscal year 2016.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reported in those financial statements. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate estimates and base our estimates on historical experience and assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Those estimates form the basis for our judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements. Actual results could differ from our estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Our significant accounting policies, which may be affected by our estimates and assumptions, are more fully described in Note 2 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" in Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data." We believe that the following are the more critical judgment areas in the application of our accounting policies that currently affect our financial condition and results of operations.

## Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue on orders received from our customers when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement with the customer that is supportive of revenue recognition, the customer has made a fixed commitment to purchase the product for a fixed or determinable sales price, collection is reasonably assured under our normal billing and credit terms, all of our obligations related to the product have been fulfilled and ownership and all risks of loss have been transferred to the buyer, which is primarily upon shipment to the customer or, in certain cases, upon receipt by the customer in accordance with contractual terms. We recognize revenue on certain drilling fluids and completion fluids when consumed at the customer site during the drilling process.

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses in the collection of accounts receivable. Inventory

The cost of inventory is recorded using the LIFO method. Costs include crude oil and other feedstocks, labor, processing costs and refining overhead costs. Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Under the LIFO method, the most recently incurred costs are charged to cost of sales and inventories are valued at the earliest acquisition costs. In periods of rapidly declining prices, LIFO inventories may have to be written down to market value due to the higher costs assigned to LIFO layers in prior periods. Such write downs are subject to reversal in subsequent periods, not to exceed LIFO cost, if prices recover. In addition, the use of the LIFO inventory method may result in increases or decreases to cost of sales in years that inventory volumes decline as the result of charging cost of sales with LIFO inventory costs generated in prior periods. Accordingly, interim LIFO calculations are based on management's estimates of expected year-end inventory levels and are subject to the final year-end LIFO inventory valuation.

# Significant Estimates and Assumptions

Judgment is required in determining the market value of inventory, as the geographic location impacts market prices, and quoted market prices may not be available for the particular location of our inventory. Because crude oil and refined products are essentially commodities, we have no control over the changing market value of these inventories. Because our inventory is valued at the lower of cost or market, if the market value of our inventory were to decline to an amount less than our cost, we would record a write-down of inventory and a charge to cost of sales. In a period of decreasing crude oil or refined product prices, our inventory valuation methodology may result in decreases in net income.

### Sensitivity Analysis

We have not made any material changes in the accounting methodology we use to establish our markdown or inventory loss adjustments during the past three fiscal years.

The replacement cost of our inventory, based on current market values, would have been \$14.4 million lower and \$41.0 million lower at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2016, we recorded gains of \$39.2 million in cost of sales in the consolidated statements of operations due to the LCM inventory valuation. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recorded losses of \$81.8 million in cost of sales in the consolidated statements of operations due to the LCM inventory valuation. During the years ended December 31,

2016 and 2015, we recorded \$27.9 million and \$24.3 million, respectively, of losses in cost of sales in the consolidated statements of operations due to the liquidation of higher cost LIFO inventory layers. Valuation of Definite Long-Lived Assets

Property, plant and equipment and intangible assets with finite lives are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. If the estimated undiscounted future cash flows related to the asset are less than the carrying value, we recognize a loss equal to the difference between the carrying value and the estimated fair value, usually determined by the estimated discounted future cash flows of the asset. When a decision has been made to dispose of property and equipment prior to the end of the previously estimated useful life, depreciation estimates are revised to reflect the use of the asset over the shortened estimated useful life.

Significant Estimates and Assumptions

Estimated undiscounted future cash flows are used for the purpose of testing our definite long-lived assets for impairment. Fair values calculated for the purpose of measuring impairments on definite long-lived assets are estimated using the expected present value of future cash flows method and comparative market prices when appropriate. Significant judgment is involved in estimating undiscounted future cash flows and performing these fair value estimates since the results are based on forecasted assumptions. Significant assumptions include: Future margins on products produced and sold. Our estimates of future product margins are based on our analysis of various supply and demand factors, which include, among other things, industry-wide capacity, our planned utilization rate, end-user demand, capital expenditures and economic conditions. Such estimates are consistent with those used in our planning and capital investment reviews.

Future capital requirements. These are based on authorized spending and internal forecasts.

Discount rate commensurate with the risks involved. We apply a discount rate to our cash flows based on a variety of factors, including market and economic conditions, operational risk, regulatory risk and political risk. This discount rate is also compared to recent observable market transactions, if possible. A higher discount rate decreases the net present value of cash flows.

We base our estimated undiscounted future cash flows and fair value estimates on projected financial information which we believe to be reasonable. However, actual results may differ from these projections.

Sensitivity Analysis

An estimate of the sensitivity to net income resulting from impairment calculations is not practicable, given the numerous assumptions (e.g., pricing, volumes and discount rates) that can materially affect our estimates. That is, unfavorable adjustments to some of the above listed assumptions may be offset by favorable adjustments in other assumptions.

Valuation of Goodwill and Indefinite Lived Intangible Assets

We review goodwill for impairment annually on October 1 and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate its carrying value may not be recoverable in accordance with ASC 350, Intangibles — Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment ("ASU 2011-08"). Under ASU 2011-08, an entity has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. In assessing the qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, we assess relevant events and circumstances that may impact the fair value and the carrying amount of the reporting unit. The identification of relevant events and circumstances and how these may impact a reporting unit's fair value or carrying amount involve significant judgment and assumptions. The judgment and assumptions include the identification of macroeconomic conditions, industry and market considerations, cost factors, overall financial performance and Company specific events and the assessment on whether each relevant factor will impact the impairment test positively or negatively and the magnitude of any such impact. If our qualitative assessment concludes that it is probable that an impairment exists or we skip the qualitative

assessment, then we need to perform a quantitative assessment. In the first step of the quantitative assessment, our assets and liabilities, including existing goodwill and other intangible assets, are assigned to the identified reporting units to determine the carrying value of the reporting units. If the carrying value of a reporting unit is in excess of its

fair value, an impairment may exist, and we must perform an impairment analysis, in which the implied fair value of the goodwill is compared to its carrying value to determine the impairment charge, if any.

When performing the quantitative assessment, as required in step one of the impairment test, the fair value of the reporting units is determined using the income approach. The income approach focuses on the income-producing capability of an asset, measuring the current value of the asset by calculating the present value of its future economic benefits such as cash earnings, cost savings, corporate tax structure and product offerings. Value indications are developed by discounting expected cash flows to their present value at a rate of return that incorporates the risk-free rate for the use of funds, the expected rate of inflation, and risks associated with the reporting unit. If the carrying value of a reporting unit is in excess of its fair value, an impairment may exist, and we must perform an impairment analysis, in which the implied fair value of the goodwill is compared to its carrying value to determine the impairment charge, if any.

Intangible assets with an indefinite life are not amortized but are subject to review each reporting period to determine whether events and circumstances continue to support an indefinite useful life as well as an annual impairment test. Inputs used to estimate the fair value of the Company's reporting units are considered Level 3 inputs of the fair value hierarchy and include the following:

The Company's financial projections for its reporting units are based on its analysis of various supply and demand factors which include, among other things, industry-wide capacity, its planned utilization rate, end-user demand, crack spreads, capital expenditures and economic conditions. Such estimates are consistent with those used in the Company's planning and capital investment reviews and include recent historical prices and published forward prices. The discount rate used to measure the present value of the projected future cash flows is based on a variety of factors, including market and economic conditions, operational risk, regulatory risk and political risk. This discount rate is also compared to recent observable market transactions, if possible.

For Level 3 measurements, significant increases or decreases in long-term growth rates or discount rates in isolation or in combination could result in a significantly lower or higher fair value measurement.

2015 Impairment Charge

Due to the continued decline in crude oil prices, we updated our goodwill impairment analysis through September 30, 2015, resulting in the fair value of the oilfield services reporting unit to be less than its carrying value. The discount rate used in our reporting unit valuation was 15.5%. Revenue growth rates assumed for this reporting unit ranged from (17)% to 18% in 2015 through 2020 and 3% thereafter. An impairment charge of \$33.8 million was recorded on goodwill as a result of the step 2 analysis. The oilfield services segment has no remaining goodwill. 2016 Impairment Charge

In April 2016, the board of directors of our general partner determined to suspend payment of our quarterly cash distribution to unitholders. The suspension of the quarterly cash distribution caused a sustained decrease in our common unit price. As a result, we determined that these events constituted a triggering event that required us to update our financial projections and our goodwill impairment assessment as of April 30, 2016. The discount rates used for our Great Falls and San Antonio reporting units where impairment was recognized were approximately 13.0% and 13.5%, respectively, per year. Revenue growth rates assumed for our Great Falls reporting unit where impairment was recognized were approximately 41.1% for 2016 and (2.6)% to 39.9% for 2017 and beyond. Revenue growth rates assumed for our San Antonio reporting unit where impairment was recognized were approximately (8.5)% for 2016 and (1.0)% to 27.4% for 2017 and beyond. An impairment charge of \$33.4 million related to the fuel products segment was recorded for goodwill as a result of the step 2 analysis.

In December 2016, the Missouri reporting unit experienced a significant reduction in orders from a customer of significance, which is expected to have an adverse impact on the business. As a result, we determined that this event constituted a triggering event that required us to update our financial projections and our goodwill impairment assessment in December 2016. An impairment charge of \$1.4 million for goodwill related to the specialty products segment was recorded in the consolidated statements of operations within asset impairment.

A significant decline in our revenue and earnings or a significant decline in the price of our common units could result in an impairment charge related to the remaining fuel products segment goodwill of \$5.1 million and specialty products segment goodwill of \$172.1 million in the future.

Significant Estimates and Assumptions

Fair values calculated for the purpose of testing our goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets for impairment are estimated using the expected present value of future cash flows method and comparative market prices when appropriate. Significant judgment is involved in performing these fair value estimates since the results are based on forecasted assumptions. Significant assumptions include:

Future margins on products produced and sold. Our estimates of future product margins are based on our analysis of various supply and demand factors, which include, among other things, industry-wide capacity, our planned utilization rate, end-user demand, crack spreads, capital expenditures and economic conditions. Such estimates are consistent with those used in our planning and capital investment reviews and include recent historical prices and published forward prices.

Discount rate commensurate with the risks involved. We apply a discount rate to our cash flows based on a variety of factors, including market and economic conditions, operational risk, regulatory risk and political risk. This discount rate is also compared to recent observable market transactions, if possible. A higher discount rate decreases the net present value of cash flows.

Future capital requirements. These are based on authorized spending and internal forecasts.

We base our fair value estimates on projected financial information which we believe to be reasonable. However, actual results may differ from these projections.

Sensitivity Analysis

An estimate of the sensitivity to net income resulting from impairment calculations is not practicable, given the numerous assumptions (e.g., pricing, volumes and discount rates) that can materially affect our estimates. That is, unfavorable adjustments to some of the above listed assumptions may be offset by favorable adjustments in other assumptions.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

As of December 31, 2016, approximately 16% of our recurring liabilities were measured at fair value and classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. As of December 31, 2016, we had 73% recurring assets measured at fair value and classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

**Derivative Instruments** 

In accordance with ASC 815-10, Derivatives and Hedging, we recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets. Our derivative instruments are valued at Level 3 fair value measurement under ASC 820-10, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, depending upon the degree by which inputs are observable.

The increase in the fair market value of our outstanding derivative instruments from a net liability of \$33.9 million as of December 31, 2015, to a net liability of \$14.0 million as of December 31, 2016, was due primarily to decreases in the forward market values of crude oil and fuel products margins, or crack spreads, relative to our hedged products margins and settlements of derivatives in 2016 that resulted in realized losses. We recorded realized losses of \$24.0 million and unrealized gains of \$19.9 million on derivative instruments for the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease in the fair market value of our outstanding derivative instruments from a net asset of \$17.6 million as of December 31, 2014, to a net liability of \$33.9 million as of December 31, 2015, was due primarily to increases in the forward market values of fuel products margins, or crack spreads, relative to our hedged products margins and settlements of derivatives in 2015 that resulted in realized gains. We recorded realized gains of \$8.1 million and unrealized losses of \$39.5 million on derivative instruments for the year ended December 31, 2015. Significant Estimates and Assumptions

Our derivative instruments consist of over-the-counter contracts, which are not traded on a public exchange. Substantially all of our derivative instruments are with counterparties that have long-term credit ratings of at least Baa1 and BBB+ by Moody's and S&P, respectively.

To estimate the fair values of our derivative instruments, we use the forward rate, the strike price, contractual notional amounts, the risk free rate of return and contract maturity. Various analytical tests are performed to validate the counterparty data. The fair values of our derivative instruments are adjusted for nonperformance risk and creditworthiness of the hedging entities through our credit valuation adjustment ("CVA"). The CVA is calculated at the transaction level utilizing the fair value exposure at each payment date and applying a weighted probability of the appropriate survival and marginal default percentages. We use the counterparty's marginal default rate and our survival rate when we are in a net asset position at the payment date and use our marginal default rate and the counterparty's

survival rate when we are in a net liability position at the payment date. As a result of applying the applicable CVA at December 31, 2016, our net assets were increased by less than \$0.1 million and our net liabilities were reduced by approximately \$0.5 million. As a result of applying the CVA at December 31, 2015, our net liabilities were reduced by approximately \$1.2 million.

Observable inputs utilized to estimate the fair values of our derivative instruments were primarily based on inputs that are readily available in public markets or can be derived from information available in publicly quoted markets. Based on the use of various unobservable inputs, principally non-performance risk, creditworthiness of the hedging entities and unobservable inputs in the forward rate, we have categorized these derivative instruments as Level 3. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those unobservable inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. We believe we have obtained the most accurate information available for the types of derivative instruments we hold. See Note 8 "Derivatives" in Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" for further information on derivative instruments.

## Sensitivity Analysis

We have not made any material changes in the accounting methodology we use to establish our derivative values or pension asset valuations during the past three fiscal years. We have consistently applied these valuation techniques in all periods presented and believe we obtained the most accurate information available for the types of derivative instruments and pension assets we hold.

We believe that the fair values of our derivative instruments may diverge materially from the amounts currently recorded at fair value at settlement due to the volatility of commodity prices. Holding all other variables constant, we expect a \$1.00 increase in the applicable commodity prices would change our recorded mark-to-market valuation by the following amounts based upon the volumes hedged as of December 31, 2016:

In millions
\$ 0.8
\$ 2.6
\$ 1.1
\$ (0.6)
\$ (0.6)
\$ (0.6)
\$ 5.0

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements** 

For a summary of recently issued and adopted accounting standards applicable to us, see Note 2 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" in Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Commodity Price Risk

**Derivative Instruments** 

We are exposed to price risks due to fluctuations in the price of crude oil, refined products (primarily in our fuel products segment), natural gas and precious metals. We use various strategies to reduce our exposure to commodity price risk. We do not attempt to eliminate all of our risk as the costs of such actions are believed to be too high in relation to the risk posed to our future cash flows, earnings and liquidity. The strategies we use to reduce our risk utilize both physical forward contracts and financially settled derivative instruments, such as swaps, collars and options, to attempt to reduce our exposure with respect to:

erude oil purchases and sales;

refined product sales and purchases;

natural gas purchases;

precious metals; and

fluctuations in the value of crude oil between geographic regions and between the different types of crude oil such as NYMEX WTI, Light Louisiana Sweet ("LLS"), Western Canadian Select ("WCS"), Mixed Sweet Blend ("MSW") and ICE Brent ("Brent").

We manage our exposure to commodity markets, credit, volumetric and liquidity risks to manage our costs and volatility of cash flows as conditions warrant or opportunities become available. These risks may be managed in a variety of ways that may include the use of derivative instruments. Derivative instruments may be used for the

purpose of mitigating risks associated with an asset, liability and anticipated future transactions and the changes in fair value of our derivative instruments will affect our earnings and cash flows; however, such changes should be offset by price or rate changes related to the underlying commodity or financial transaction that is part of the risk management strategy. We do not speculate with derivative instruments or other contractual arrangements that are not associated with our business objectives. Speculation is defined as increasing our natural position above the maximum position of our physical assets or trading in commodities, currencies or other risk bearing assets that are not associated with our business activities and objectives. Our positions are monitored routinely by a risk management committee and discussed with the board of directors of our general partner quarterly to ensure compliance with our stated risk management policy and documented risk management strategies. All strategies are reviewed on an ongoing basis by our risk management committee,

which will add, remove or revise strategies in anticipation of changes in market conditions and/or in risk profiles. These changes in strategies are to position us in relation to our risk exposures in an attempt to capture market opportunities as they arise.

The following table provides a summary of the implied crack spreads of gasoline crack spread swaps, diesel crack spread swaps and 2/1/1 crack spread swaps on a combined basis as of December 31, 2016 in our fuel products segment:

Crack Spread Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates Barrels BPD Implied Crack Spread (\$/Bbl)

First Quarter 2017 1,770,000 19,667 \$ 11.93

Total 1,770,000

Average price \$ 11.93

The following tables provide a summary of crude oil swaps as of December 31, 2016, in our fuel products segment:

Crude Oil Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Purchased	BPD	Average Swap (\$/Bbl)
First Quarter 2017	320,049	3,556	\$48.87
Second Quarter 2017	323,605	3,556	\$48.87
Third Quarter 2017	327,161	3,556	\$48.87
Fourth Quarter 2017	327,161	3,556	\$48.87
Total	1,297,976		
Average price			\$48.87
Crude Oil Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Sold	PD Sv	verage vap /Bbl)
First Quarter 2017	130,320 1,	448 \$ 4	41.5 <del>6</del>
Second Quarter 2017	131,768 1,	448 \$4	41.56
Third Quarter 2017	133,216 1,	448 \$4	41.56
Fourth Quarter 2017	133,216 1,	448 \$4	41.56
Total	528,520		
Average price		\$ 4	41.56

The Company has entered into derivative instruments to secure a percentage differential of WCS crude oil to NYMEX WTI. The following table provides a summary of crude oil percentage basis swap contracts related to crude oil purchases as of December 31, 2016, in our fuel products segment:

Crude Oil Percentage Basis Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Purchased	BPD	Fixed Percen of NYME WTI (Avera % of WTI/E	EX
First Quarter 2017	270,000	3,000	72.3	%
Second Quarter 2017	273,000	3,000	72.3	%
Third Quarter 2017	276,000	3,000	72.3	%
Fourth Quarter 2017	276,000	3,000	72.3	%
Total	1,095,000			

Average percentage 72.3 %

We entered into crude oil basis swaps to mitigate the risk of future changes in pricing differentials between WCS and NYMEX WTI. The following table provides a summary of crude oil basis swap contracts as of December 31, 2016, in our fuel products segment:

Crude Oil Basis Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Purchased	BPD	Average Differenti to NYME WTI (\$/Bbl)	
First Quarter 2017	630,000	7,000	\$ (13.22	)
Second Quarter 2017	637,000	7,000	\$ (13.22	)
Third Quarter 2017	644,000	7,000	\$ (13.22	)
Fourth Quarter 2017	644,000	7,000	\$ (13.22	)
Total	2,555,000			
Average differential			\$ (13.22	)

The following table provides a summary of natural gas swaps as of December 31, 2016, in our specialty products segment:

Natural Gas Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	MMBtu	\$/MMBtu
First Quarter 2017	1,350,000	\$ 3.88
Second Quarter 2017	1,320,000	\$ 3.87
Third Quarter 2017	1,320,000	\$ 3.87
Fourth Quarter 2017	960,000	\$ 3.72
Total	4,950,000	
Average price		\$ 3.85

Please read Note 8 "Derivatives" in the notes to our consolidated financial statements under Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" for a discussion of the accounting treatment for the various types of derivative instruments, for a further discussion of our hedging policies and for more information relating to our implied crack spreads of crude oil, diesel, and gasoline derivative instruments.

Our derivative instruments and overall specialty products segment and fuel products segment hedging positions are monitored regularly by our risk management committee, which includes executive officers. The risk management committee reviews market information and our hedging positions regularly to determine if additional derivatives activity is advised. A summary of derivative positions and a summary of hedging strategy are presented to our general partner's board of directors quarterly.

The following table illustrates how a change in market price (holding all other variables constant and excluding the impact of our current hedges) would affect our sales and cost of sales in the consolidated statements of operations:

impact of our current hedges) would affect our sales and cost of sales in the cor	solidated state	ements	of opera
	Sales	Cost	of
	Sales	Sales	
	Year Ended	Year 1	Ended
	December	Decer	nber
	31,	31,	
	2016 2015	2016	2015
	(In millions)		
Specialty Products:			
\$1.00 change in per barrel price of crude oil (1)		\$9.8	\$9.2
\$0.50 change in MMBtu (one million British Thermal Units) of natural gas (2)		\$7.2	\$6.0
Fuel Products:			
\$1.00 change in per barrel price of crude oil (1)		\$29.8	\$28.2
\$1.00 change in per barrel selling price of gasoline, diesel and jet fuel (1)	\$29.8 \$28.2		

- $^{\left(1\right)}$  Based on our 2016 and 2015 sales volumes.
- (2) Based on our results for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

## **Revolving Credit Facility**

Borrowings under the revolving credit facility are limited to a borrowing base that is determined based on advance rates of percentages of Eligible Accounts Receivable and Eligible Inventory (as defined in the revolving credit agreement). As such, the borrowing base can fluctuate based on changes in selling prices of our products and our current material costs, primarily the cost of crude oil. Our inventory is based on local crude oil prices at period end, which can materially fluctuate period to period.

Pension Assets Volatility and Investment Policy

Our Pension Plan assets are also subject to volatility that can be caused by fluctuation in general economic conditions. Plan assets are invested by the Plan's fiduciaries, which direct investments according to specific policies. Our consolidated statement of operations is currently shielded from volatility in plan assets due to the way accounting standards are applied for pension plans, although favorable or unfavorable investment performance over the long term will impact our pension expense if it deviates from our assumption related to the future rate of return. Please read Note 12 "Employee Benefit Plans" under Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" for a further discussion of our investment policies.

Compliance Price Risk

Renewable Identification Numbers

We are exposed to market risks related to the volatility in the price of credits needed to comply with governmental programs. The EPA sets annual quotas for the percentage of biofuels that must be blended into transportation fuels consumed in the U.S., and as a producer of motor fuels from petroleum, we are required to blend biofuels into the fuel products we produce at a rate that will meet the EPA's annual quota. To the extent we are unable to blend biofuels at that rate, we must purchase RINs in the open market to satisfy the annual requirement. We have not entered into any derivative instruments to manage this risk, but we have purchased RINs when the price of these instruments is deemed favorable.

Holding other variables constant (RINs requirements), a \$1.00 change in the price of RINs as of December 31, 2016, would be expected to have an impact on net income for 2016 of approximately \$112.0 million.

### Interest Rate Risk

We use various strategies to reduce our exposure to interest rate risk, including the use of financially settled derivative instruments, such as interest rate swaps and options, to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings that are caused by interest rate volatility. Our goal is to manage interest rate sensitivity by modifying the pricing characteristics of certain debt instruments so that earnings are not adversely affected by movement in interest rates. During 2014, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement that converted a portion of our senior notes from a fixed interest rate to a variable rate that fluctuates based on changes in the one-month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"). During the first quarter 2015, we terminated this interest rate swap agreement. We have disclosed this interest rate swap designated as a fair value hedge in Note 8 "Derivatives" under Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

Our exposure to interest rate changes is limited to the fair value of the debt issued, which would not have a material impact on our earnings or cash flows. The following table provides information about the fair value of our fixed rate debt obligations as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, which we disclose in Note 7 "Long-Term Debt" and Note 9 "Fair Value Measurements" under Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

	Decem	31, 2016	December 31, 2015			
	Fair Carrying Valu		erwing Value	Fair	$C_{2}$	rrying Value
	Value	Carrying Value		Value	Ca	arying value
	(In mill	lion	is)			
Financial Instrument:						
2021 Unsecured Notes	\$763.9	\$	890.2	\$798.3	\$	888.0
2022 Unsecured Notes	\$296.0	\$	343.7	\$297.5	\$	342.8
2023 Unsecured Notes	\$274.2	\$	318.3	\$294.1	\$	317.6
2021 Secured Notes	\$458.8	\$	384.5	<b>\$</b> —	\$	

For our variable rate debt, if any, changes in interest rates generally do not impact the fair value of the debt instrument, but may impact our future earnings and cash flows. We had a \$900.0 million revolving credit facility as of December 31, 2016, with borrowings bearing interest at the prime rate or LIBOR, at our option, plus the applicable margin. Borrowings under this facility are variable. We had \$10.2 million of variable rate debt as of December 31, 2016. Holding other variables constant (such as debt levels), a 100 basis point change in interest rates on our variable rate debt as of December 31, 2016, would be expected to have an impact on net income and cash flows for 2016 of approximately \$0.1 million. We had \$111.0 million of variable rate debt outstanding as of December 31, 2015.

# **Table of Contents**

# Foreign Currency Risk

We have minimal exposure to foreign currency risk and as such the cost of hedging this risk is viewed to be in excess of the benefit of further reductions in our exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations.

### Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. (the "Company") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of the financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in "Internal Control — Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) ("COSO"). Based on this assessment, we have concluded that internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2016.

Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the Company's consolidated financial statements and has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting which appears on the following page.

March 6, 2017/s/ Timothy Go

Timothy Go

Chief Executive Officer of Calumet GP, LLC, general partner of Calumet Specialty Products Partners,

L.P.

(Principal Executive Officer)

March 6, 2017

/s/ D. West Griffin

D. West Griffin

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Calumet GP, LLC, general partner of Calumet

Specialty Products Partners, L.P.

(Principal Financial Officer)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors of Calumet GP, LLC

General Partner of Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P.

We have audited Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, partners' capital and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016, of Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. and our report dated March 6, 2017, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP Indianapolis, Indiana March 6, 2017

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors of Calumet GP, LLC

General Partner of Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, partners' capital and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We did not audit the financial statements of Dakota Prairie Refining, LLC a company in which Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. had a 50% interest during the year ended December 31, 2015. In the consolidated financial statements, Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P's investment in Dakota Prairie Refining, LLC is stated at \$124.7 million as of December 31, 2015 and Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P.'s equity in the net loss of Dakota Prairie Refining, LLC is stated at \$36.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose report has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the 2015 amounts included for Dakota Prairie Refining, LLC, is based solely on the report of the other auditors.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits and the report of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits and, for 2015, the report of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. at December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated March 6, 2017, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP Indianapolis, Indiana March 6, 2017

# CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS         Current assets:       \$4.2       \$5.6         Cash and cash equivalents       ***       ***         Accounts receivable:       ***       ***         Trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.9 million and \$2.0 million, respectively       216.4       195.3         Other       22.3       15.4         238.7       210.7         Inventories       386.2       384.4         Derivative assets       0.8       —**         Prepaid expenses and other current assets       11.0       8.3         Total current assets       640.9       609.0         Property, plant and equipment, net       1,678.0       1,719.2         Investment in unconsolidated affiliates       10.3       126.0         Goodwill       177.2       212.0         Other intangible assets, net       178.5       214.1         Other noncurrent assets, net       40.3       64.4         Total assets       \$2,725.2       \$2,944.7         LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL         Current liabilities:       \$2,25.5       \$316.6         Accrued interest payable       \$2.5       \$316.6         Accrued salaries, wages and benefits       11.5       32.9
Cash and cash equivalents       \$4.2       \$5.6         Accounts receivable:       Trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.9 million and \$2.0 million, respectively       216.4       195.3         Other       22.3       15.4         238.7       210.7         Inventories       386.2       384.4         Derivative assets       0.8       —         Prepaid expenses and other current assets       11.0       8.3         Total current assets       640.9       609.0         Property, plant and equipment, net       1,678.0       1,719.2         Investment in unconsolidated affiliates       10.3       126.0         Goodwill       177.2       212.0         Other intangible assets, net       40.3       64.4         Other noncurrent assets, net       40.3       64.4         Total assets       \$2,725.2       \$2,944.7         LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL       ***       ***         Current liabilities:       \$295.5       \$316.6         Accounts payable       \$2.5       \$1.1         Accrued interest payable       \$2.5       \$1.1         Accrued salaries, wages and benefits       11.5       32.9         Other current liabilities       20.8
Accounts receivable:       216.4       195.3         Trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.9 million and \$2.0 million, respectively       216.4       195.3         Other       22.3       15.4         238.7       210.7         Inventories       386.2       384.4         Derivative assets       0.8       —         Prepaid expenses and other current assets       11.0       8.3         Total current assets       640.9       609.0         Property, plant and equipment, net       1,678.0       1,719.2         Investment in unconsolidated affiliates       10.3       126.0         Goodwill       177.2       212.0         Other intangible assets, net       178.5       214.1         Other noncurrent assets, net       40.3       64.4         Total assets       \$2,725.2       \$2,944.7         LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL         Current liabilities:       \$295.5       \$316.6         Accounts payable       \$295.5       \$31.1         Accrued salaries, wages and benefits       11.5       32.9         Other taxes payable       20.8       17.5         Other current liabilities       99.6       119.0
Trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.9 million and \$2.0 million, respectively         216.4         195.3           Other         22.3         15.4           238.7         210.7           Inventories         386.2         384.4           Derivative assets         0.8         —           Prepaid expenses and other current assets         11.0         8.3           Total current assets         640.9         609.0           Property, plant and equipment, net         1,678.0         1,719.2           Investment in unconsolidated affiliates         10.3         126.0           Goodwill         177.2         212.0           Other intangible assets, net         40.3         64.4           Other noncurrent assets, net         40.3         64.4           Total assets         \$2,725.2         \$2,944.7           LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL         ***         ***           Current liabilities:         ***         ***           Accounts payable         \$2.5         \$11.6           Accrued interest payable         \$2.5         \$1.1           Accrued salaries, wages and benefits         11.5         32.9           Other current liabilities         20.8         17.5
Other       22.3       15.4         Inventories       386.2       384.4         Derivative assets       0.8       —         Prepaid expenses and other current assets       11.0       8.3         Total current assets       640.9       609.0         Property, plant and equipment, net       1,678.0       1,719.2         Investment in unconsolidated affiliates       10.3       126.0         Goodwill       177.2       212.0         Other intangible assets, net       40.3       64.4         Other noncurrent assets, net       40.3       64.4         Total assets       \$2,725.2       \$2,944.7         LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL         Current liabilities:       Xecounts payable       \$295.5       \$316.6         Accrued interest payable       52.5       31.1         Accrued salaries, wages and benefits       11.5       32.9         Other taxes payable       20.8       17.5         Other current liabilities       99.6       119.0
Inventories       386.2       384.4         Derivative assets       0.8       —         Prepaid expenses and other current assets       11.0       8.3         Total current assets       640.9       609.0         Property, plant and equipment, net       1,678.0       1,719.2         Investment in unconsolidated affiliates       10.3       126.0         Goodwill       177.2       212.0         Other intangible assets, net       40.3       64.4         Other noncurrent assets, net       40.3       64.4         Total assets       \$2,725.2       \$2,944.7         LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL         Current liabilities:       \$25.5       31.1         Accounts payable       \$295.5       \$316.6         Accrued interest payable       52.5       31.1         Accrued salaries, wages and benefits       11.5       32.9         Other taxes payable       20.8       17.5         Other current liabilities       99.6       119.0
Inventories       386.2       384.4         Derivative assets       0.8       —         Prepaid expenses and other current assets       11.0       8.3         Total current assets       640.9       609.0         Property, plant and equipment, net       1,678.0       1,719.2         Investment in unconsolidated affiliates       10.3       126.0         Goodwill       177.2       212.0         Other intangible assets, net       40.3       64.4         Other noncurrent assets, net       40.3       64.4         Total assets       \$2,725.2       \$2,944.7         LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL         Current liabilities:       \$25.5       \$31.6         Accrued interest payable       \$2.5       \$1.1         Accrued salaries, wages and benefits       11.5       32.9         Other taxes payable       20.8       17.5         Other current liabilities       99.6       119.0
Derivative assets       0.8       —         Prepaid expenses and other current assets       11.0       8.3         Total current assets       640.9       609.0         Property, plant and equipment, net       1,678.0       1,719.2         Investment in unconsolidated affiliates       10.3       126.0         Goodwill       177.2       212.0         Other intangible assets, net       40.3       64.4         Other noncurrent assets, net       40.3       64.4         Total assets       \$2,725.2       \$2,944.7         LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL       ***       ***         Current liabilities:       ***       \$295.5       \$316.6         Accounts payable       \$2.5       31.1         Accrued interest payable       52.5       31.1         Other taxes payable       20.8       17.5         Other current liabilities       99.6       119.0
Prepaid expenses and other current assets       11.0       8.3         Total current assets       640.9       609.0         Property, plant and equipment, net       1,678.0       1,719.2         Investment in unconsolidated affiliates       10.3       126.0         Goodwill       177.2       212.0         Other intangible assets, net       40.3       64.4         Other noncurrent assets, net       40.3       64.4         Total assets       \$2,725.2       \$2,944.7         LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL         Current liabilities:       \$295.5       \$316.6         Accounts payable       \$295.5       31.1         Accrued interest payable       52.5       31.1         Accrued salaries, wages and benefits       11.5       32.9         Other taxes payable       20.8       17.5         Other current liabilities       99.6       119.0
Total current assets       640.9       609.0         Property, plant and equipment, net       1,678.0       1,719.2         Investment in unconsolidated affiliates       10.3       126.0         Goodwill       177.2       212.0         Other intangible assets, net       178.5       214.1         Other noncurrent assets, net       40.3       64.4         Total assets       \$2,725.2       \$2,944.7         LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL       Current liabilities:         Accounts payable       \$295.5       \$316.6         Accrued interest payable       52.5       31.1         Accrued salaries, wages and benefits       11.5       32.9         Other taxes payable       20.8       17.5         Other current liabilities       99.6       119.0
Property, plant and equipment, net       1,678.0       1,719.2         Investment in unconsolidated affiliates       10.3       126.0         Goodwill       177.2       212.0         Other intangible assets, net       178.5       214.1         Other noncurrent assets, net       40.3       64.4         Total assets       \$2,725.2       \$2,944.7         LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL       ***Current liabilities**         Accounts payable       \$295.5       \$316.6         Accrued interest payable       52.5       31.1         Accrued salaries, wages and benefits       11.5       32.9         Other taxes payable       20.8       17.5         Other current liabilities       99.6       119.0
Investment in unconsolidated affiliates       10.3       126.0         Goodwill       177.2       212.0         Other intangible assets, net       178.5       214.1         Other noncurrent assets, net       40.3       64.4         Total assets       \$2,725.2       \$2,944.7         LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL       ***       ***         Current liabilities:       ***       \$295.5       \$316.6         Accrued interest payable       \$2.5       31.1         Accrued salaries, wages and benefits       11.5       32.9         Other taxes payable       20.8       17.5         Other current liabilities       99.6       119.0
Goodwill       177.2       212.0         Other intangible assets, net       178.5       214.1         Other noncurrent assets, net       40.3       64.4         Total assets       \$2,725.2       \$2,944.7         LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL       ***       ****         Current liabilities:       ***       ****<
Other intangible assets, net       178.5       214.1         Other noncurrent assets, net       40.3       64.4         Total assets       \$2,725.2       \$2,944.7         LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL         Current liabilities:       \$295.5       \$316.6         Accounts payable       \$295.5       \$31.1         Accrued interest payable       52.5       31.1         Accrued salaries, wages and benefits       11.5       32.9         Other taxes payable       20.8       17.5         Other current liabilities       99.6       119.0
Other noncurrent assets, net40.364.4Total assets\$2,725.2\$2,944.7LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITALCurrent liabilities:\$295.5\$316.6Accounts payable\$295.5\$31.1Accrued interest payable52.531.1Accrued salaries, wages and benefits11.532.9Other taxes payable20.817.5Other current liabilities99.6119.0
Total assets LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL  Current liabilities: Accounts payable Accrued interest payable Accrued salaries, wages and benefits Other taxes payable Other current liabilities  \$2,725.2 \$2,944.7  \$2,944.7  \$2,944.7  \$2,944.7  \$2,944.7  \$2,944.7  \$2,944.7  \$2,944.7  \$2,944.7  \$3,16.6  \$4,725.2 \$2,944.7  \$4,725.2 \$2,94
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL Current liabilities: Accounts payable \$295.5 \$316.6 Accrued interest payable 52.5 31.1 Accrued salaries, wages and benefits 11.5 32.9 Other taxes payable 20.8 17.5 Other current liabilities 99.6 119.0
Current liabilities:\$295.5\$316.6Accounts payable\$295.5\$31.1Accrued interest payable52.531.1Accrued salaries, wages and benefits11.532.9Other taxes payable20.817.5Other current liabilities99.6119.0
Accounts payable\$295.5\$316.6Accrued interest payable52.531.1Accrued salaries, wages and benefits11.532.9Other taxes payable20.817.5Other current liabilities99.6119.0
Accrued interest payable52.531.1Accrued salaries, wages and benefits11.532.9Other taxes payable20.817.5Other current liabilities99.6119.0
Accrued salaries, wages and benefits  Other taxes payable  Other current liabilities  11.5  32.9  20.8  17.5  Other current liabilities
Other taxes payable 20.8 17.5 Other current liabilities 99.6 119.0
Other current liabilities 99.6 119.0
Current portion of long-term debt 3.5 1.7
Note payable — related party — 73.5
Derivative liabilities 14.8 33.9
Total current liabilities 498.2 626.2
Noncurrent deferred income taxes 2.3 2.5
Pension and postretirement benefit obligations 11.3 13.0
Other long-term liabilities 1.0 0.9
Long-term debt, less current portion 1,993.7 1,698.2
Total liabilities 2,506.5 2,340.8
Commitments and contingencies
Partners' capital:
Limited partners' interest (76.392.258 units and 75.884.400 units, issued and outstanding at
December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively)  211.2  578.0
General partner's interest 15.8 27.5
Accumulated other comprehensive loss (8.3) (1.6)
Total partners' capital 218.7 603.9
Total liabilities and partners' capital \$2,725.2 \$2,944.7

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

# CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OFERATIONS							
	Year End	led Decemb	er	31,			
	2016	2015		2014			
	(In millio	ns, except	un	it and per			
	unit data)						
Sales	\$3,599.4	\$4,212.8		\$5,791.1			
Cost of sales	3,191.1	3,618.2		5,261.4			
Gross profit	408.3	594.6		529.7			
Operating costs and expenses:							
Selling	110.7	146.0		149.6			
General and administrative	110.6	135.5		98.3			
Transportation	169.2	175.5		171.4			
Taxes other than income taxes	20.1	17.7		13.4			
Asset impairment	35.7	33.8		36.0			
Other	1.7	11.1		14.2			
Operating income (loss)	(39.7	75.0		46.8			
Other income (expense):							
Interest expense	(161.7	) (104.9	)	(110.8	)		
Debt extinguishment costs	_	(46.6	)	(89.9	)		
Realized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	(24.0	8.1		43.8			
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	19.9	(39.5	)	(0.6	)		
Loss from unconsolidated affiliates	(18.7	) (61.5	)	(3.4	)		
Loss on sale of unconsolidated affiliates	(113.4	) —					
Other	1.3	1.6		1.1			
Total other expense	(296.6	) (242.8	)	(159.8	)		
Net loss before income taxes	(336.3	) (167.8	)	(113.0	)		
Income tax benefit	(7.7	) (28.4	)	(0.8	)		
Net loss	\$(328.6	) \$(139.4	)	\$(112.2)	)		
Allocation of net loss:							
Net loss	\$(328.6	\$(139.4)	)	\$(112.2)	)		
Less:							
General partner's interest in net loss	(6.6	) (2.8	)	(2.2	)		
General partner's incentive distribution rights		16.8		15.4			
Net loss available to limited partners	\$(322.0	) \$(153.4	)	\$(125.4)	)		
Weighted average limited partner units outstanding: basic and diluted	77,043,93	3574,896,09	96	69,671,82	27		
Limited partners' interest basic and diluted net loss per unit	,	\$ (2.05)	)	\$(1.80	)		
Cash distributions declared per limited partner unit	\$0.685	\$ 2.74		\$2.74			
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.							

# CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2016	2015	2014			
	(In millions)					
Net loss	\$(328.6)	\$(139.4	(112.2	2)		
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Cash flow hedges:						
Cash flow hedge gain reclassified to net loss	(6.4)	(12.1	) (37.0	)		
Change in fair value of cash flow hedges	_	(7.3	) 114.2			
Defined benefit pension and retiree health benefit plans	(0.3)	4.7	(9.6	)		
Foreign currency translation adjustment	_	(0.6)	) (0.5	)		
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(6.7)	(15.3)	) 67.1			
Comprehensive loss attributable to partners' capital	\$(335.3)	\$(154.7	() \$(45.1	)		
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.						

# CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS' CAPITAL

CONSOCIONIED STATEMENTS OF TAKING CATTAL							
	Accumulatedners' Capital						
	Other				T-4-1		
	ComprehensivalLimited Income Partner Partners				Total		
		Partner	Partners	•			
	(Loss)	• \					
D.I	(In mill		¢ 1 070		¢1.063	0	
Balance at December 31, 2013			\$1,079.	O		.8	
Other comprehensive income	67.1			`	67.1	\	
Net income (loss)	_	13.2	(125.4	-	(112.2	)	
Common units repurchased for phantom unit grants			(3.1	)	(3.1	)	
Issuance of phantom units			0.9		0.9		
Settlement of tax withholdings on equity-based incentive compensation		_	(1.2		(1.2	)	
Cash settlement of unit-based compensation	_		(0.9)	)	(0.9)	)	
Amortization of phantom units		_	3.0		3.0		
Proceeds from public offerings of common units, net	_		3.6		3.6		
Contributions from Calumet GP, LLC		0.1	—		0.1		
Distributions to partners		(19.3)	(190.6	)	(209.9)	)	
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$13.7	\$30.6	\$765.9		\$810.2		
Other comprehensive loss	(15.3)	—			(15.3)	)	
Net income (loss)		14.0	(153.4	)	(139.4	)	
Common units repurchased for phantom unit grants			(5.5	)	(5.5	)	
Issuance of phantom units			1.9		1.9		
Settlement of tax withholdings on equity-based incentive compensation			(1.5	)	(1.5	)	
Reclassification of Liability Awards to equity			7.9	-	7.9	-	
Amortization of phantom units		_	2.4		2.4		
Proceeds from public offerings of common units, net			164.1		164.1		
Contributions from Calumet GP, LLC		3.5			3.5		
Distributions to partners		(20.6)	(203.8	)	(224.4	)	
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$(1.6)		\$578.0		\$603.9		
Other comprehensive loss	. ,	_	_		(6.7	)	
Net loss			(322.0	)	(328.6	)	
Issuance of phantom units		—	4.1	,	4.1	,	
Settlement of tax withholdings on equity-based incentive compensation			(2.4	)	(2.4	)	
Amortization of phantom units			5.6	,	5.6	,	
Contributions from Calumet GP, LLC		0.2	_		0.2		
Distributions to partners			(52.1	)	(57.4	)	
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$(83)		\$211.2	,	\$218.7	,	
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.	Ψ(0.5 )	ψ13.0	ΨΔ11.Δ		φ410./		
see accompanying notes to consolidated infancial statements.							

# CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2016		2015		2014	
	(In millions)					
Operating activities						
Net loss	\$(328.6	5)	\$(139.4	1)	\$(112.2	2)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization	171.1		145.4		138.6	
Amortization of turnaround costs	33.2		29.0		24.5	
Non-cash interest expense	9.6		6.6		6.4	
Non-cash debt extinguishment costs	_		9.1		19.0	
Provision for doubtful accounts	0.4		1.1		0.5	
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivative instruments	(19.9	)	39.5		0.6	
Asset impairment	35.7		33.8		36.0	
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	1.0		2.9		4.8	
Non-cash equity-based compensation	5.6		9.8		6.5	
Deferred income tax benefit	(0.7	)	(28.5	)	(1.2	)
Lower of cost or market inventory adjustment	(39.2	)	81.8		74.1	
Loss from unconsolidated affiliates	18.7		61.5		3.4	
Loss on sale of unconsolidated affiliates	113.4					
Other non-cash activities	4.7		5.9		0.7	
Changes in assets and liabilities:						
Accounts receivable	(28.4	)	138.0		(0.4)	)
Inventories	49.6		47.3		43.9	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(3.5	)	3.4		3.9	
Derivative activity	(19.0	)	(7.0)	)	6.7	
Turnaround costs	(8.7	)	(19.3)	)	(27.6	)
Other assets	(0.6)	)				
Accounts payable	21.4		(119.9	)	(13.1	)
Accrued interest payable	21.4		(6.5	)	15.1	
Accrued salaries, wages and benefits	(17.9	)	10.2		(14.7	)
Other taxes payable	3.4		0.2		(1.1	)
Other liabilities	(16.6	)	73.8		13.7	
Pension and postretirement benefit obligations	(2.0	)	(2.3	)	(1.3	)
Net cash provided by operating activities	4.1		376.4		226.8	
Investing activities						
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(139.4	)	(339.3	)	(289.9	)
Investment in unconsolidated affiliates	(45.7	)	(50.2	)	(105.4	)
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired					(263.6	)
Proceeds from sale of unconsolidated affiliates	29.0					
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	1.9		0.5		0.1	
Net cash used in investing activities	(154.2	)	(389.0	)	(658.8	)
Financing activities						
Proceeds from borrowings — revolving credit facility	1,187.1		1,390.0	)	1,625.1	
Repayments of borrowings — revolving credit facility					(1,474	3)
Proceeds from senior notes offerings	393.1		322.6		900.0	

Repayments of borrowings — senior notes			(275.0	)	(500.0	)
Proceeds from borrowings — related party note			75.0		_	
Repayments of borrowings — related party note	(75.0	)	_		_	
Payments on capital lease obligations	(8.5	)	(8.0)	)	(1.9	)
Proceeds from other financing obligations	10.3		1.1		_	
Proceeds from public offerings of common units, net	_		164.1		3.6	
Debt issuance costs	(11.4	)	(5.6	)	(19.9	)
Contributions from Calumet GP, LLC	0.2		3.5		0.1	
Common units repurchased and taxes paid for phantom unit grants	(1.8	)	(3.6	)	(2.2	)
Cash settlement of unit-based compensation	_		_		(0.9)	)
Distributions to partners	(57.4	)	(224.6	)	(210.2	)
Net cash provided by financing activities	148.7		9.7		319.4	
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(1.4	)	(2.9	)	(112.6	)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	5.6		8.5		121.1	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$4.2		\$5.6		\$8.5	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information						
Interest paid, net of capitalized interest	\$130.2		\$120.6		\$107.8	
Income taxes paid	\$1.2		\$1.1		\$0.5	
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities						
Non-cash property, plant and equipment additions	\$14.0		\$56.5		\$39.9	
Non-cash capital lease	\$2.3		\$4.4		\$39.4	
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.						

#### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# 1. Description of the Business

Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. (the "Company") is a publicly-traded Delaware limited partnership listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market ("NASDAQ") under the ticker symbol "CLMT." The general partner of the Company is Calumet GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company. As of December 31, 2016, the Company had 76,392,258 limited partner common units and 1,559,026 general partner equivalent units outstanding. The general partner owns 2% of the Company and all of the incentive distribution rights (as defined in the Company's partnership agreement), while the remaining 98% is owned by limited partners.

The Company is engaged in the production and marketing of crude oil-based specialty products including lubricating oils, white mineral oils, solvents, petrolatums, waxes, and fuel and fuel related products including gasoline, diesel, jet fuel, asphalt and heavy fuel oils, in addition to oilfield services and products. The Company is based in Indianapolis, Indiana and owns specialty and fuel products facilities. The Company owns and leases oilfield services locations and leases additional facilities, primarily related to production and distribution of specialty, fuel and oilfield services products, throughout the United States ("U.S."). The Company has three reportable segments: specialty products, fuel products and oilfield services.

# 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements reflect the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany profits, transactions and balances have been eliminated. Investments in significant noncontrolled entities are accounted for using the equity method.

#### Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the prior years' consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

#### Use of Estimates

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP") which require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase.

## Accounts Receivable

The Company performs periodic credit evaluations of customers' financial condition and generally does not require collateral. Accounts receivable are carried at their face amounts. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses in the collection of accounts receivable. The Company makes estimates regarding the future ability of its customers to make required payments based on historical experience, the age of the accounts receivable balances, credit quality of its customers, current economic conditions, expected future trends and other factors that may affect customers' ability to pay. Individual accounts are written off against the allowance for doubtful accounts after all reasonable collection efforts have been exhausted.

The activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts was as follows (in millions):

# Inventories

The cost of inventory is recorded using the last-in, first-out ("LIFO") method. Costs include crude oil and other feedstocks, labor, processing costs and refining overhead costs. Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market value. The replacement

#### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

cost of these inventories, based on current market values, would have been \$14.4 million lower and \$41.0 million lower as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company had \$1.3 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, of inventory consigned to others.

Inventories consist of the following (in millions):

December 31, 2016 2015 Raw materials \$57.4 \$47.9 Work in process 74.2 64.0 Finished goods 254.6 272.5 \$386.2 \$384.4

Under the LIFO inventory method, the most recently incurred costs are charged to cost of sales and inventories are valued at the earliest acquisition costs. For each of the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company recorded increases (exclusive of lower of cost or market ("LCM") adjustments) of \$27.9 million, \$24.3 million and \$26.5 million, respectively, in cost of sales in the consolidated statements of operations due to the liquidation of inventory layers.

In addition, the use of the LIFO inventory method may result in increases or decreases to cost of sales in years that inventory volumes decline as the result of charging cost of sales with LIFO inventory costs generated in prior periods. In periods of rapidly declining prices, LIFO inventories may have to be written down to market value due to the higher costs assigned to LIFO layers in prior periods. Such write downs are subject to reversal in subsequent periods, not to exceed LIFO cost, if prices recover. During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company recorded \$39.2 million of decreases in cost of sales in the consolidated statements of operations due to the LCM valuation. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company recorded \$81.8 million and \$74.1 million, respectively, of increases in cost of sales in the consolidated statements of operations due to the LCM valuation.

#### Derivatives

The Company is exposed to fluctuations in the price of numerous commodities, such as crude oil (its principal raw material) and natural gas, as well as the sales prices of gasoline, diesel and jet fuel. Given the historical volatility of commodity prices, these fluctuations can significantly impact sales, gross profit and net income. Therefore, the Company utilizes derivative instruments primarily to minimize its price risk and volatility of cash flows associated with the purchase of crude oil and natural gas and the sale of fuel products. The Company employs various hedging strategies and does not hold or issue derivative instruments for trading purposes. For further information, please refer to Note 8.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated on the basis of cost. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives. Assets under capital leases are amortized over the lesser of the useful life of the asset or the term of the lease.

Property, plant and equipment, including depreciable lives, consisted of the following (in millions):

	December	: 31,
	2016	2015
Land	\$20.4	\$19.5
Buildings and improvements (10 to 40 years)	87.2	70.2
Machinery and equipment (10 to 20 years)	2,102.7	1,629.7
Furniture and fixtures (5 to 10 years)	33.3	28.5
Assets under capital leases (4 to 26 years)	51.3	49.0
Construction-in-progress	49.0	466.4
	2,343.9	2,263.3

Less accumulated depreciation (665.9 ) (544.1 ) \$1,678.0 \$1,719.2

#### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Under the composite depreciation method, the cost of partial retirements of a group is charged to accumulated depreciation. However, when there are dispositions of complete groups or significant portions of groups, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are retired, and any gain or loss is reflected in earnings.

During 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company incurred \$166.8 million, \$133.5 million and \$122.8 million, respectively, of interest expense of which \$5.1 million, \$28.6 million and \$12.0 million, respectively, was capitalized as a component of property, plant and equipment.

The Company has not recorded an asset retirement obligation as of December 31, 2016 or 2015, because such potential obligations cannot be measured since it is not possible to estimate the settlement dates.

During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company recorded \$133.8 million, \$102.0 million and \$98.3 million, respectively, of depreciation expense on its property, plant and equipment. Depreciation expense included \$3.6 million, \$2.6 million and \$0.8 million for the years ended 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, related to the Company's capital lease assets.

The Company capitalizes the cost of computer software developed or obtained for internal use. Capitalized software is amortized using the straight-line method over five years. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company had \$17.6 million and \$17.4 million, respectively, of capitalized software costs. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company had \$17.2 million and \$13.1 million, respectively of accumulated depreciation related to the capitalized software costs. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company recorded \$4.1 million, \$4.2 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, of amortization expense on capitalized computer software. Capitalized software is included in furniture and fixtures. As of December 31, 2016, the Company recorded \$25.5 million in construction-in-progress related to capitalized software related to the installation of an enterprise resource planning system.

#### Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliates

The Company accounts for its ownership in its Pacific New Investment Limited joint venture in accordance with ASC 323, Investments — Equity Method and Joint Ventures. The equity method of accounting is applied when the investor has an ownership interest of less than 50% and/or has significant influence over the operating or financial decisions of the investee. Under the equity method, the Company's proportionate share of net income (loss) is reflected as a single-line item in the consolidated statements of operations and as increases or decreases, as applicable, in the carrying value of the Company's investment in the consolidated balance sheets. In addition, the proportionate share of net income (loss) is reflected as a non-cash activity in operating activities in the consolidated statements of cash flows. Contributions increase the carrying value of the investment and are reflected as an investing activity in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

Equity method investments are assessed for other-than-temporary impairment whenever changes in the facts and circumstances indicate an other than temporary loss in value has occurred. During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company recorded a \$0.2 million impairment charge in loss from unconsolidated affiliates in the consolidated statements of operations. The Company recorded a \$24.3 million impairment charge in loss from unconsolidated affiliates in the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2015. No impairment was recognized in 2014. For further information on the Company's investment in unconsolidated affiliates, refer to Note 4. Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over fair value of the net assets acquired in various acquisitions. See Note 5 for more information. The Company reviews goodwill for impairment annually on October 1 and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate its carrying value may not be recoverable in accordance with ASC 350, Intangibles — Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment ("ASU 2011-08"). Under ASU 2011-08, an entity has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is not more likely than not

that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary.

In assessing the qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the Company assesses relevant events and circumstances that may impact the fair value and the carrying amount of the reporting unit. The identification of relevant events and circumstances and how these may impact a reporting unit's fair value or carrying amount involve significant judgment and assumptions. The judgment and assumptions include the identification of macroeconomic conditions, industry and market considerations, cost factors, overall financial performance and Company specific events and making the assessment on whether each relevant factor will impact the impairment test positively or negatively and the magnitude of any such impact.

#### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

If the Company's qualitative assessment concludes that it is probable that an impairment exists or the Company skips the qualitative assessment then the Company needs to perform a quantitative assessment. In the first step of the quantitative assessment, the Company's assets and liabilities, including existing goodwill and other intangible assets, are assigned to the identified reporting units to determine the carrying value of the reporting units. If the carrying value of a reporting unit is in excess of its fair value, an impairment may exist, and the Company must perform an impairment analysis, in which the implied fair value of the goodwill is compared to its carrying value to determine the impairment charge, if any.

When performing the quantitative assessment, the fair value of the reporting units is determined using the income approach. The income approach focuses on the income-producing capability of an asset, measuring the current value of the asset by calculating the present value of its future economic benefits such as cash earnings, cost savings, corporate tax structure and product offerings. Value indications are developed by discounting expected cash flows to their present value at a rate of return that incorporates the risk-free rate for the use of funds, the expected rate of inflation, and risks associated with the reporting unit. For more information, refer to Note 5.

#### Definite-Lived Intangible Assets

Definite lived intangible assets consist of intangible assets associated with customer relationships, supplier agreements, tradenames, trade secrets, patents, non-competition agreements, distributor agreements and royalty agreements that were acquired in various acquisitions. The majority of these assets are being amortized using discounted estimated future cash flows over the term of the related agreements. Intangible assets associated with customer relationships are being amortized using the discounted estimated future cash flows method based upon assumed rates of annual customer attrition. For more information, refer to Note 5.

#### Other Noncurrent Assets

Other noncurrent assets include turnaround costs. Turnaround costs represent capitalized costs associated with the Company's periodic major maintenance and repairs and were \$35.9 million and \$60.4 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The Company capitalizes these costs and amortizes the costs on a straight-line basis over the lives of the turnaround assets which is generally two to five years. These amounts are net of accumulated amortization of \$101.9 million and \$71.6 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

#### Other Current Liabilities

Other current liabilities consisted of the following at December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in millions):

December 31, 2016 2015

RINs Obligation \$79.3 \$88.4 Other 20.3 30.6 Total \$99.6 \$119.0

The Company's Renewable Identification Numbers ("RINs") obligation ("RINs Obligation") represents a liability for the purchase of RINs to satisfy the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") requirement to blend biofuels into the fuel products it produces pursuant to the EPA's Renewable Fuel Standard ("RFS"). RINs are assigned to biofuels produced in the U.S. as required by the EPA. The EPA sets annual quotas for the percentage of biofuels that must be blended into transportation fuels consumed in the U.S., and as a producer of motor fuels from petroleum, the Company is required to blend biofuels into the fuel products it produces at a rate that will meet the EPA's annual quota. To the extent the Company is unable to blend biofuels at that rate, it must purchase RINs in the open market to satisfy the annual requirement. The Company's RINs Obligation is based on the amount of RINs it must purchase and the price of those RINs as of the balance sheet date. The Company uses the inventory model to account for RINs, measuring acquired RINs at weighted-average cost. The cost of RINs used each period is charged to cost of sales with cash inflows and outflows recorded in the operating cash flow section of the consolidated statements of cash flows. Excess RINs are classified as inventory in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company recognizes a liability at the

end of each reporting period in which the Company does not have sufficient RINs to cover the RINs Obligation. The liability is calculated by multiplying the RINs shortage (based on actual results) by the period end RIN spot price. From time to time, the Company holds varying amounts of RINs for resale. RINs obtained from third parties are initially recorded at their cost at the time the Company acquires them and are subsequently revalued at the lower of cost or market as of the last day of each accounting period and the resulting adjustments are reflected in costs of sales for the period in the consolidated statements of operations. The value of RINs obtained from third parties would be reflected in prepaid expenses and other assets on the consolidated balance sheets.

#### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company periodically evaluates the carrying value of long-lived assets to be held and used, including definite-lived intangible assets, when events or circumstances warrant such a review. The carrying value of a long-lived asset to be held and used is considered impaired when the anticipated separately identifiable undiscounted cash flows from such an asset are less than the carrying value of the asset. In such an event, a write-down of the asset would be recorded through a charge to operations, based on the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the long-lived asset. Fair value is determined primarily using anticipated cash flows assumed by a market participant discounted at a rate commensurate with the risk involved. Long-lived assets to be disposed of other than by sale are considered held and used until disposal.

During 2016, the Company recorded write-downs related to idle fixed assets within the specialty and fuel products segments. The non-cash charges of \$0.9 million were recorded in asset impairment on the consolidated statement of operations and consolidated statement of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2016. No impairments of long-lived assets were recorded in 2015 and 2014.

Business Combinations and Related Business Acquisition Costs

Assets and liabilities associated with business acquisitions are recorded at fair value, using the acquisition method of accounting. The Company allocates the purchase price of acquisitions based upon the fair value of each component, which may be derived from various observable or unobservable inputs and assumptions. The Company may utilize third-party valuation specialists to assist the Company in this allocation. Initial purchase price allocations are preliminary and subject to revision within the measurement period, not to exceed one year from the date of acquisition. The fair value of the property, plant and equipment and intangible assets are based upon the discounted cash flow method that involves inputs that are not observable in the market (Level 3). Goodwill assigned represents the amount of consideration transferred in excess of the fair value assigned to identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

Business acquisition costs are expensed as incurred, and are reported as general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statements of operations. The Company defines these costs to include finder's fees, advisory, legal, accounting, valuation, and other professional or consulting fees, as well as travel associated with the evaluation and effort to acquire specific businesses. For further information, refer to Note 3.

# Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue on orders received from its customers when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement with the customer that is supportive of revenue recognition, the customer has made a fixed commitment to purchase the product for a fixed or determinable sales price, collection is reasonably assured under the Company's normal billing and credit terms, all of the Company's obligations related to the product have been fulfilled and ownership and all risks of loss have been transferred to the buyer, which is primarily upon shipment to the customer or, in certain cases, upon receipt by the customer in accordance with contractual terms. The Company recognizes revenue on certain drilling fluids and completion fluids when consumed at the customer site during the drilling process.

#### Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company performs periodic credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and in some instances requires cash in advance or letters of credit prior to shipment for domestic orders. For international orders, letters of credit are generally required and the Company maintains insurance policies which cover certain export orders. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful customer accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. The allowance for doubtful accounts is developed based on several factors including historical experience, the age of the accounts receivable balances, credit quality of the Company's customers, current economic conditions, expected future trends and other factors that may affect customers' ability to pay, which exist as of the balance sheet dates. If the financial condition of the Company's customers were to deteriorate, resulting

in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. In addition, from time to time the Company has significant derivative assets with a limited number of counterparties. The evaluation of these counterparties is performed quarterly in connection with the Company's ASC 820-10, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, valuations to determine the impact of the counterparty credit risk on the valuation of its derivative instruments.

### **Income Taxes**

The Company, as a partnership, is generally not liable for federal and state income taxes on the earnings of Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. However, the Company conducts certain activities through wholly-owned subsidiaries that are corporations, which in certain circumstances are subject to federal, state and local income taxes. Additionally, the Company is subject to franchise taxes in certain states. Income taxes on the earnings of the Company, with the

#### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

exception of the above mentioned taxes, are the responsibility of its partners, with earnings of the Company included in partners' earnings.

In the event that the Company's taxable income does not meet certain qualification requirements, the Company would be taxed as a corporation. Interest and penalties related to income taxes, if any, would be recorded in income tax expense. Generally, tax returns remain subject to examination by taxing authorities for three years. The Company had no unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

The Company accounts for income taxes for its corporations under the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rate is recognized in earnings in the period that includes the enactment date. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts more likely than not to be realized.

The determination of the provision for income taxes requires significant judgment, use of estimates, and the interpretation and application of complex tax laws. Significant judgment is required in assessing the timing and amounts of deductible and taxable items and the probability of sustaining uncertain tax positions. The benefits of uncertain tax positions are recorded in the Company's financial statements only after determining a more-likely-than-not probability that the uncertain tax positions will withstand challenge, if any, from taxing authorities. When facts and circumstances change, the Company reassesses these probabilities and records any changes through the provision for income taxes.

### **Excise and Sales Taxes**

The Company assesses, collects and remits excise taxes associated with the sale of certain of its fuel products. Furthermore, the Company collects and remits sales taxes associated with certain sales of its products to non-exempt customers. Excise taxes and sales taxes assessed and collected from customers are recorded on a net basis within sales in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

### Earnings per Unit

The Company calculates earnings per unit under ASC 260-10, Earnings per Share. The Company treats incentive distribution rights ("IDRs") as participating securities for the purposes of computing earnings per unit in the period that the general partner becomes contractually obligated to receive IDRs. Also, the undistributed earnings are allocated to the partnership interests based on the allocation of earnings to the Company's partners' capital accounts as specified in the Company's partnership agreement. When distributions exceed earnings, net income is reduced by the actual distributions with the resulting net loss being allocated to capital accounts as specified in the Company's partnership agreement.

# **Unit Based Compensation**

For unit based compensation awards granted, compensation expense is recognized in the Company's consolidated financial statements on a straight line basis over the awards' vesting periods based on their fair values on the dates of grant. The unit based compensation awards vest over a period not exceeding four years. The amount of compensation expense recognized at any date is at least equal to the portion of the grant date value of the award that is vested at that date.

Unit based compensation liability awards are awards that are expected to be settled in cash on their vesting dates, rather than in equity units ("Liability Awards"). Liability Awards are recorded in accrued salaries, wages and benefits based on the vested portion of the fair value of the awards on the balance sheet date. The fair values of Liability Awards are updated at each balance sheet date and changes in the fair values of the vested portions of the awards are recorded as increases or decreases to compensation expense. See Note 11 for more information on Liability Awards. Shipping and Handling Costs

The Company complies with ASC 605-45, Revenue Recognition — Principal Agent Considerations. ASC 605-45 requires the classification of shipping and handling costs billed to customers in sales and the classification of shipping and handling costs incurred in cost of sales, or to be disclosed if classified elsewhere. The Company has reflected \$169.2 million, \$175.5 million and \$171.4 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, in transportation expense in the consolidated statements of operations, the majority of which is billed to customers.

### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

### **Advertising Expenses**

The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred which totaled \$9.9 million, \$14.2 million and \$20.5 million in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Advertising expenses are reported as selling expenses in the consolidated statements of operations.

Foreign Currency Translation and Transactions

Certain of the Company's subsidiaries use a local currency as their functional currency. Assets and liabilities of subsidiaries with a local currency as their functional currency are translated at period-end rates of exchange, and revenues and expenses are translated at average exchange rates prevailing for each month. The resulting translation adjustments are made directly to a separate component of other comprehensive income (loss), which is reflected in partners' capital in the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Certain of the Company's subsidiaries also enter into transactions and have monetary assets and liabilities that are denominated in a currency other than such entity's respective functional currency. Gains and losses from the revaluation of foreign currency transactions and monetary assets and liabilities are included in other income (expense) in the consolidated statements of operations.

## **New Accounting Pronouncements**

In January 2017, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2017-04, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment ("ASU 2017-04"), in which the guidance on testing for goodwill was updated by the elimination of Step 2 in the determination on whether goodwill should be considered impaired. The annual and/or interim assessments are still required to be completed. Further, the guidance eliminates the requirement to assess reporting units with zero or negative carrying values, however, the carrying values for all reporting units must be disclosed. ASU 2017-04 is effective for fiscal years (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU 2017-04 is not expected to have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-01, Business Combinations (Topic 805) - Clarifying the Definition of a Business ("ASU 2017-01"). The guidance provides criteria for use in determining when to conclude a "set" (as defined in the original guidance) being acquired or disposed in a transaction is not a business. Where the criteria are not met, more stringent screening has been provided to define a set as a business without an output, as more narrowly defined within the guidance. ASU 2017-01 is effective for fiscal years (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU 2017-01 is not expected to have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-19, Technical Corrections and Improvements ("ASU 2016-19"). Regarding derivatives and hedging, ASU 2016-19 clarified the guidance in assessing the probability of a forecasted transaction with respect to hedged cash flow items. ASU 2016-19 also clarified the definition of approaches versus techniques with respect to fair value measurements and related disclosures. The Company has adopted the updated derivative guidance within ASU 2016-19 as it was effective upon issuance, and this guidance has not had an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The amendments regarding fair value measurements and disclosures within ASU 2016-19 are effective for fiscal years (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2016, and will require adoption on a prospective basis. The adoption of ASU 2016-19 is not expected to have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230) Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments ("ASU 2016-15"), to provide specific guidance on eight cash flow classification issues to reduce the diversity in practice. ASU 2016-15 is effective for fiscal years (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2017, and will require adoption on a retrospective basis. The adoption of ASU 2016-15 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated statements of cash flows.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, Compensation — Stock Compensation (Topic 606): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting ("ASU 2016-09"). ASU 2016-09 involves several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities and classification on the statement of cash flows. Under the new standard, income tax benefits and deficiencies are to be recognized as income tax expense or benefit in the income statement and the tax effects of exercised or vested awards should be treated as discrete items in the reporting period in which they occur. Excess tax benefits should be classified along with other income tax cash flows as an operating activity. In regards to forfeitures, the entity may make an entity-wide accounting policy election to either estimate the number of awards that are expected to vest or account for forfeitures when they occur. The amendments in this standard are effective for fiscal years (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU 2016-09 is not expected to have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

<u>Table of Contents</u>
CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-07, Investments — Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323): Simplifying the Transition to the Equity Method of Accounting ("ASU 2016-07"), which eliminates the retroactive adjustments to an investment upon it qualifying for the equity method of accounting as a result of an increase in the level of ownership interest or degree of influence by the investor. ASU 2016-07 requires that the equity method investor add the cost of acquiring the additional interest in the investee to the current basis of the investor's previously held interest and adopt the equity method of accounting as of the date the investment qualifies for equity method accounting. The amendments in this standard are effective for fiscal years (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU 2016-07 is not expected to have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-06, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Contingent Put and Call Options in Debt Instruments ("ASU 2016-06"). ASU 2016-06 simplifies the embedded derivative analysis for debt instruments containing contingent call or put options by removing the requirement to assess whether a contingent event is related to interest rates or credit risks. The amendments in this standard are effective for fiscal years (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU 2016-06 is not expected to have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-05, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Effect of Derivative Contract Novations on Existing Hedge Accounting Relationships ("ASU 2016-05"). ASU 2016-05 clarifies that a change in the counterparty to a derivative instrument that has been designated as a hedging instrument under Topic 815 does not, in and of itself, require dedesignation of that hedging relationship provided that all other hedge accounting criteria continue to be met. The amendments in this standard are effective for fiscal years (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted. An entity can elect to adopt the amendments of ASU 2016-05 on either a prospective or modified retrospective basis. The adoption of ASU 2016-05 is not expected to have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842) ("ASU 2016-02"), which supersedes the lease accounting requirements in Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 840, Leases. ASU 2016-02 provides principles for the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of leases for both lessees and lessors. The new standard requires lessees to apply a dual approach, classifying leases as either finance or operating leases based on the principle of whether or not the lease is effectively a financed purchase by the lessee. This classification will determine whether lease expense is recognized based on an effective interest method or on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease, respectively. A lessee is also required to record a right-of-use asset and a lease liability for all leases with a term of greater than twelve months regardless of classification. Leases with a term of twelve months or less will be accounted for similar to existing guidance for operating leases. The amendments in this standard are effective for fiscal years (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted and modified retrospective application required. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard on its consolidated financial statements.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-01, Financial Instruments — Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities ("ASU 2016-01"). ASU 2016-01 requires that (i) equity investments in unconsolidated entities that are not accounted for under the equity method of accounting generally be measured at fair value with changes recognized in net income (loss) and (ii) when the fair value option has been elected for financial liabilities, changes in fair value due to instrument-specific credit risk be recognized separately in other comprehensive income (loss). Additionally, ASU 2016-01 changes the presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments. The amendments in this standard are generally effective for fiscal years (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption not permitted. For provisions not adopted early, the Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) ("ASU 2014-09"), which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in ASC Topic 605, Revenue Recognition. ASU 2014-09 is based on the principle that revenue is recognized to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. This ASU also requires enhanced disclosures. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date, which defers the original effective date by one year to annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted as of the original effective date. ASU 2014-09 allows for either a full retrospective or a modified retrospective transition method. In March, April, May and December 2016, the FASB clarified the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations, identifying performance obligations, licensing, collectibility, presentation of sales taxes, non-cash consideration, transition, the scope of Topic 606, impairment testing, policy elections over determining the provision for losses on certain types of contracts, the accrual of advertising costs and disclosure requirements. All amendments are effective with the same date as ASU 2014-09. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of these standards on its consolidated financial

### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

statements. The Company plans to adopt ASU 2014-09 as of January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective approach and is in the process of evaluating the full impact of adoption on the Company's financial reporting. Based on the evaluation performed to date, the Company has identified some contracts within the oilfield services segment that include implicit arrangements that could be considered material rights under the new standard. Additionally, these contracts contain elements of variable consideration that may impact the total transaction price for these contracts. The Company does not believe that these elements would result in a material change to how revenue would be recognized for these contracts upon the adoption of ASU 2014-09.

Within the Company's specialty products segment there are agreements with distributors that are subject to rebate and incentive programs that could contain elements of material rights and/or variable consideration. The Company does not believe that these elements would result in a material change to how revenue would be recognized for these agreements upon the adoption of ASU 2014-09.

The Company continues to analyze the full impact to its operating segments of the adoption of ASU 2014-09, which may result in differences between current revenue recognition practices and those required by ASU 2014-09 that may be material. As part of the Company's evaluation, it has segregated its revenue streams into categories which will serve as the basis for the continuing accounting analysis and documentation as it relates to the impact of ASU 2014-09 on revenues. In addition, the Company continues to actively monitor outstanding issues currently being addressed by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Revenue Recognition Working Group and the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Transition Resource Group, since conclusions reached by these groups may impact its application of ASU 2014-09.

### Correction of Immaterial Errors

During the quarter ended September 30, 2016, the Company identified and corrected errors in the accounting for the LCM of inventory and income taxes that related to the year ended December 31, 2015. These errors primarily related to LCM adjustments at its branded and packaged products operating segment and an adjustment for a tax benefit associated with its decision to liquidate a wholly-owned C corporation as of December 31, 2015, and convert it to an entity which will not be subject to tax. The impact of correcting these items in the third quarter of 2016 increased cost of sales by \$6.5 million, increased income tax benefit by \$7.8 million and decreased net loss by \$1.3 million. The Company concluded that the corrections to the financial statements were immaterial to its financial results for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

# 3. Acquisitions

On August 1, 2014, the Company completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of privately-held Specialty Oilfield Solutions, Ltd. ("SOS") for aggregate consideration of approximately \$29.6 million, net of cash acquired (the "SOS Acquisition"). SOS is a full-service drilling fluids and solids control company with operations in the Eagle Ford, Marcellus and Utica shale formations. The SOS Acquisition was financed with borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility. The Company believes the SOS Acquisition increases its sales into the oilfield services market, expands its geographic reach and increases its asset diversity.

On March 31, 2014, the Company completed the acquisition of 100% of the capital stock of ADF Holdings, Inc., the parent company of Anchor Drilling Fluids USA, Inc. ("Anchor"), an independent provider and marketer of drilling fluids and completion fluids to the oil and gas exploration industry (the "Anchor Acquisition"). Total consideration was approximately \$223.6 million, net of cash acquired. In connection with the Anchor Acquisition, the Company was required to pay the sellers 50% of the amount of taxes paid in a post-closing tax period that are reduced (or a refund is actually received or credited) as a result of the utilization of post-closing transaction tax deductions in the 2014 taxable year (but, for the avoidance of doubt, no other taxable year), which was \$1.1 million and paid in March 2016. Anchor designs, manufactures and packages drilling fluid products at its locations in Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Arkansas, Colorado, Utah, Wyoming, Montana, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Pennsylvania and Ohio. The Anchor Acquisition was financed by using a portion of the net proceeds of approximately \$884.0 million from the

Company's March 2014 private placement of 6.50% Senior Notes due 2021. The Company believes the Anchor Acquisition further expands its specialty products offering, increases its sales into the oilfield services market, expands its geographic reach and increases its asset diversity.

On February 28, 2014, the Company completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of United Petroleum, LLC ("United Petroleum"), a marketer and distributor of high performance lubricants, for aggregate consideration of approximately \$10.4 million, (the "United Petroleum Acquisition"). The United Petroleum Acquisition was financed with cash on hand. The Company believes the United Petroleum Acquisition increases its position in the specialty lubricants market.

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

### Purchase Price Allocation

The assets and results of operations from such assets acquired as a result of the United Petroleum Acquisition have been included in the specialty products segment since their date of acquisition, February 28, 2014. The assets and results of operations from such assets acquired as a result of the Anchor and SOS Acquisitions have been included in the oilfield services segment since their dates of acquisition, March 31, 2014, and August 1, 2014, respectively. The allocations of the aggregate purchase prices to assets acquired and liabilities assumed for acquisitions are as follows (in millions):

	2014 Acquisitions		
	SOS	Anchor	United Petroleum
Accounts receivable	\$11.6	\$75.0	\$ —
Inventories	2.7	61.2	0.2
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	0.1	0.4	_
Deposits	_	0.6	
Deferred tax asset	_	0.9	
Property, plant and equipment, net	15.1	35.9	_
Investment in unconsolidated affiliates		1.9	_
Goodwill	0.8	69.0	5.0
Other intangible assets, net	5.7	74.0	5.2
Accounts payable	(6.2)	(44.2)	_
Accrued salaries, wages and benefits		(18.2)	
Other taxes payable	(0.2)	(1.8)	
Other current liabilities	_	(0.4)	
Deferred income tax liability		(30.7)	_
Total purchase price, net of cash acquired	\$29.6	\$223.6	\$ 10.4
Intangible Assets			

The components of intangible assets listed in the table above were as follows (in millions):

202		Ancho	\**	United	ļ
303		Anche	11	Petrole	eum
Augu	ıst 1,	<b>N</b> 1	21 2014	Februa	ıry 28,
2014		March	31, 2014	2014	
Amo	Life unt (Years)	Amou	ntife (Years)	Amoui	Life nt (Years)
\$4.3	15	\$52.7	20	\$ 3.8	20
1.4	20	18.4	21	1.4	20
	_	2.9	2		
\$5.7		\$74.0		\$ 5.2	
	16		20		20
	2014 Amo \$4.3 1.4	August 1, 2014 Amount (Years) \$4.3 15 1.4 20 — — \$5.7	August 1, 2014 March Amount (Years) \$4.3 15 \$52.7 1.4 20 18.4 — — 2.9 \$5.7 \$74.0	August 1, 2014 March 31, 2014 Amount (Years) Start 20 \$4.3 15 \$52.7 20 1.4 20 18.4 21 — — 2.9 2 \$5.7 \$74.0	August 1, 2014 March 31, 2014 Februar 2014  Amount (Years)

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### Goodwill

The Company recorded the following goodwill (in millions):

 $\begin{array}{cccc} & Amount & Business Segment \\ SOS \ Acquisition \ ^{(1)} & \$ \ 0.8 & Oilfield \ Services \\ Anchor \ Acquisition \ ^{(1)(2)} & \$ \ 69.0 & Oilfield \ Services \\ United \ Petroleum \ Acquisition \ ^{(1)} \ \$ \ 5.0 & Specialty \ Products \end{array}$ 

- (1) Goodwill recognized relates primarily to enhancing the Company's strategic platform for expansion in the respective business segment noted above.
- (2) Approximately \$9.7 million of goodwill associated with the Anchor Acquisition is tax deductible due to Anchor's tax status as a corporation on the acquisition date.

During 2015 and 2014, the goodwill was impaired. See Note 5 for further discussion.

## **Acquisition Expenses**

In connection with the respective acquisitions, the Company incurred the following expenses, which are reflected in general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (in millions):

Year Ended
December 31,
202015 2014

SOS Acquisition
Anchor Acquisition

S-\$ -\$ 0.1

United Petroleum Acquisition

Year Ended

December 31,
202015 2014

S-\$ 0.1

## Results of Sales and Earnings

The following financial information reflects sales and operating loss of the Anchor Acquisition that are included in the consolidated statements of operations (in millions):

Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014 Sales \$114.2 \$259.8 \$349.1 Operating loss \$(34.1 ) \$(74.5 ) \$(19.1 ) Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information

The following unaudited pro forma financial information reflects the unaudited consolidated results of operations of the Company as if the Anchor Acquisition had taken place on January 1, 2014, (in millions, except for per unit data):

Year
Ended
December
31, 2014
Sales
Sales
Sales
Sales
State
S

The Company's historical financial information was adjusted to give effect to the pro forma events that were directly attributable to the Anchor Acquisition. This unaudited pro forma financial information has been presented for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of results of operations that would have been achieved had the pro forma events taken place on the dates indicated, or the future consolidated results of operations of the combined company.

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### 4. Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliates

The following table summarizes the Company's investments in unconsolidated affiliates for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in millions):

	Year Ended December 31, 2016		Year Ended December 31, 2015			
	Invest	Percen ment Owner	t ship	Investm	Perce nent Owne	nt ership
Dakota Prairie Refining, LLC	\$	_	%	\$124.7	50	%
Pacific New Investment Limited	9.6	23.8	%	_	_	%
Other	0.7			1.3		
Total	\$10.3			\$126.0		

Dakota Prairie Refining, LLC

On June 27, 2016, the Company consummated the sale of its 50% equity interest in Dakota Prairie Refining, LLC ("Dakota Prairie") to joint venture partner WBI Energy, Inc. ("WBI"), a wholly owned subsidiary of MDU Resources Group, Inc. ("MDU"). Concurrent with the Company's sale of its equity interest in Dakota Prairie to WBI, Tesoro Refining & Marketing Company LLC ("Tesoro") acquired 100% of Dakota Prairie from WBI in a separate transaction that closed on June 27, 2016.

Under the terms of the definitive agreement with WBI, the Company received consideration of \$28.5 million, which was offset by the Company's repayment of \$36.0 million in borrowings under Dakota Prairie's revolving credit facility. In addition, the Company's \$39.4 million letter of credit supporting the Dakota Prairie revolving credit facility was terminated. As part of the transaction, MDU and WBI released the Company from all liabilities arising out of or related to Dakota Prairie. In addition, Tesoro and Dakota Prairie released the Company from all liabilities arising out of the organization, management and operation of Dakota Prairie, subject to certain limited exceptions. Further, WBI agreed to indemnify the Company from all liabilities arising out of or related to Dakota Prairie, subject to certain limited exceptions. As a result of the sale of Dakota Prairie, the Company recorded a loss on sale of unconsolidated affiliate of \$113.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2016.

During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company purchased \$5.3 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, of crude oil and other feedstocks at cost from Dakota Prairie. There were no accounts payable to Dakota Prairie as of December 31, 2016. Accounts payable to Dakota Prairie as of December 31, 2015, were \$1.4 million for crude oil and other feedstock purchases.

During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company purchased \$14.7 million and \$4.6 million, respectively, of crude oil on behalf of Dakota Prairie and sold it to Dakota Prairie at cost, which resulted in an immaterial gains each year. There were no receivables due from Dakota Prairie as of December 31, 2016. Other receivables from Dakota Prairie as of December 31, 2015, were \$0.4 million.

Dakota Prairie subleased railcars from the Company during the year ended December 31, 2016, which totaled \$0.1 million.

In the event Dakota Prairie was unable to sell atmospheric towers bottoms ("ATB's") to a third party at or above acquisition costs, or in the event third party sales did not cover crude oil acquisition costs, the joint venture agreement required the Company to either buy the ATB's or cover any shortfall between the third party sales and the crude oil acquisition cost. The joint venture agreement was terminated upon the sale of the Company's Dakota Prairie equity interest in June 2016. During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company paid \$0.1 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, of shortfall under the agreement. There were no accounts payable due to Dakota Prairie as of December 31, 2016. Accounts payable to Dakota Prairie as of December 31, 2015, were \$0.7 million related to the shortfall agreement.

The Company subleased railcars from Dakota Prairie in 2015. The amount charged for these subleases totaled \$0.6 million in 2015. There were no accounts payable as of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 related to the railcar subleases. The Company had no subleased railcars from Dakota Prairie in 2016.

On January 1, 2015, the Company entered into an agreement with Dakota Prairie to provide administrative services to Dakota Prairie. The amount charged for these services during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. There were no accounts receivable from Dakota Prairie as of December 31, 2016. Other accounts receivable from Dakota Prairie as of December 31, 2015 were immaterial.

The Company provided certain services to Dakota Prairie during the year ended December 31, 2015, which included costs for payroll and certain other employee benefits. The amount related to such services was \$0.2 million in 2015. The Company provided none of these services to Dakota Prairie during 2016.

### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Pacific New Investment Limited and Shandong Hi-Speed Hainan Development Co., Ltd.

On August 5, 2015, the Company and The Heritage Group ("Heritage Group"), a related party, formed Pacific New Investment Limited ("PACNIL") for the purpose of investing in a joint venture with Shandong Hi-Speed Materials Group Corporation and China Construction Installation Engineering Co., Ltd. to construct, develop and operate a solvents refinery in mainland China. The joint venture is named Shandong Hi-Speed Hainan Development Co., Ltd. ("Hi-Speed"). The Company invested \$4.8 million in June 2016 and \$4.8 million in October 2016. As of December 31, 2016, the Company owned an equity interest of approximately 23.8% in PACNIL and through that ownership the Company owned an equity interest of approximately 6% in Hi-Speed. PACNIL wishes to exit its investment in Hi-Speed. The Company and PACNIL believe they will fully recover their investment in Hi-Speed.

The Company accounts for its ownership in PACNIL under the equity method of accounting. As of December 31, 2016, the Company had an investment of \$9.6 million in PACNIL, primarily related to the purchase of equity in the Hi-Speed joint venture.

## Juniper GTL LLC

On June 9, 2014, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement with Clean Fuels North America, LLC, which is owned by SGC Energia and Great Northern Project Development, to develop, build and operate a gas-to-liquids ("GTL") plant in Lake Charles, Louisiana. The joint venture is named New Source Fuels, LLC, and it owns 100% of Juniper GTL LLC ("Juniper"). The Company invested \$25.0 million in total in exchange for an equity interest of approximately 23% in the joint venture. During September 2015, the Company determined the fair value of its investment in Juniper was less than its carrying value of \$24.3 million. As a result, the Company recorded a \$24.3 million impairment charge in loss from unconsolidated affiliates in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2015. Inputs used to estimate the fair value of Juniper were considered Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. In June 2016, the Company sold its equity interest in New Source Fuels, LLC for an immaterial amount.

#### 5. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

In April 2016, the board of directors of the Company's general partner determined to suspend payment of the Company's quarterly cash distribution to unitholders. The suspension of the quarterly cash distribution caused a sustained decrease in the Company's common unit price. As a result, the Company determined that these events constituted a triggering event that required the Company to update its financial projections and its goodwill impairment assessment as of April 30, 2016. An impairment charge of \$33.4 million for goodwill related to the fuel products segment was recorded in the consolidated statements of operations within asset impairment. The impairment charge was primarily driven by the reduced outlook on revenues and profitability as a result of falling crude oil prices and crack spreads.

In December 2016, the Missouri reporting unit experienced a significant reduction in orders from a customer of significance which is expected to have an adverse impact on the business. As a result, the Company determined that this event constituted a triggering event that required the Company to update its financial projections and its goodwill impairment assessment in December 2016. An impairment charge of \$1.4 million for goodwill related to the specialty products segment was recorded in the consolidated statements of operations within asset impairment.

During September 2015, the Company determined that the expected operating results for one of its reporting units was projected to be substantially lower than previous forecasts due to the continued decline in crude oil prices. As a result, the Company determined that these events constituted a triggering event that required the Company to update its goodwill impairment assessment through September 30, 2015. An impairment charge of \$33.8 million for goodwill related to the oilfield services segment was recorded in the consolidated statements of operations within asset impairment. The impairment charge was primarily driven by the reduced outlook on revenues and profitability as a result of falling crude oil prices driving declines in U.S. land based rig counts.

In the fourth quarter of 2014, the Company determined that the expected operating results for one of its reporting units was projected to be substantially lower than previous forecasts due to the falling crude oil prices. Given this information, the Company updated its impairment test and determined that impairment existed for this reporting unit. An impairment charge of \$36.0 million for goodwill related to the oilfield services segment was recorded in the consolidated statements of operations within asset impairment. The impairment charge was primarily driven by the reduced outlook on revenues and profitability as a result of falling crude oil prices driving declines in U.S. land based rig counts.

To derive the fair value of the reporting units, as required in step one of the impairment test, the Company used the income approach, specifically the discounted cash flow method, to determine the fair value of each reporting unit and the associated amount of the impairment charge. The income approach focuses on the income-producing capability of an asset, measuring the current value of the asset by calculating the present value of its future economic benefits such as cash earnings, cost savings, corporate tax structure and product offerings. Value indications are developed by discounting expected cash flows to their present value at

### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

a rate of return that incorporates the risk-free rate for the use of funds, the expected rate of inflation, and risks associated with the reporting unit.

Inputs used to estimate the fair value of the Company's reporting units are considered Level 3 inputs of the fair value hierarchy and include the following:

The Company's financial projections for its reporting units are based on its analysis of various supply and demand factors which include, among other things, industry-wide capacity, its planned utilization rate, end-user demand, crack spreads, capital expenditures and economic conditions. Such estimates are consistent with those used in the Company's planning and capital investment reviews and include recent historical prices and published forward prices. The discount rate used to measure the present value of the projected future cash flows is based on a variety of factors, including market and economic conditions, operational risk, regulatory risk and political risk. This discount rate is also compared to recent observable market transactions, if possible.

For Level 3 measurements, significant increases or decreases in long-term growth rates or discount rates in isolation or in combination could result in a significantly lower or higher fair value measurement.

Changes in goodwill balances for the periods indicated below are as follows (in millions):

	Specialty	Fuel	Oilfield	
	Products	Products	Services	Total
Net balance as of December 31, 2014	\$ 173.5	\$ 38.5	\$ 33.8	\$245.8
Impairment (1)	_	_	(33.8)	(33.8)
Net balance as of December 31, 2015	\$ 173.5	\$ 38.5	<b>\$</b> —	\$212.0
Impairment (1)	(1.4)	(33.4)	_	(34.8)
Net balance as of December 31, 2016	\$172.1	\$ 5.1	\$ <i>—</i>	\$177.2

(1) Total accumulated goodwill impairment as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, is \$104.6 million and \$69.8 million, respectively.

Other intangible assets consist of the following (in millions):

		December 31, 2016			December 31, 2015		
	Weighted Average Life (Years)	Gross Amoun	Accumulated t Amortization				
Customer relationships	21	\$243.7	\$ (122.8	)	\$243.7	\$ (97.5	)
Tradenames	16	46.6	(16.1	)	46.6	(10.7	)
Trade secrets	13	52.7	(29.6	)	52.7	(23.4	)
Patents	12	1.6	(1.5	)	1.6	(1.4	)
Royalty agreements	19	6.2	(2.3	)	4.5	(2.0	)
	18	\$350.8	\$ (172.3	)	\$349.1	\$ (135.0	)

Tradenames, trade secrets, patents and royalty agreements are being amortized to properly match expenses with the undiscounted estimated future cash flows over the terms of the related agreements or the period expected to be benefited. The costs of agreements with terms allowing for the potential extension of such agreements are being amortized based on the initial term only. Customer relationships are being amortized to properly match expenses with the undiscounted estimated future cash flows based upon assumed rates of annual customer attrition. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company recorded amortization expense of intangible assets of \$37.3 million, \$43.4 million and \$40.3 million, respectively.

### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

As of December 31, 2016, the Company estimates that amortization of intangible assets for the next five years will be as follows (in millions):

Year Amortization Amount
2017 \$ 32.4
2018 \$ 27.4
2019 \$ 22.9
2020 \$ 18.9
2021 \$ 15.5

### 6. Commitments and Contingencies

## **Operating Leases**

The Company has various operating leases primarily for the use of land, storage tanks, railcars, equipment, precious metals and office facilities that extend through July 2055. Renewal options are available on certain of these leases in which the Company is the lessee. Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 was \$63.2 million, \$67.8 million and \$59.9 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2016, the Company had estimated minimum commitments for the payment of rentals under leases which, at inception, had a noncancelable term of more than one year, as follows (in millions):

Year	Operating
1 Cai	Leases
2017	\$ 39.4
2018	33.1
2019	24.2
2020	18.6
2021	10.3
Thereafter	20.3
Total	\$ 145.9

Crude Oil Supply, Other Feedstocks and Finished Products

The Company is currently purchasing a majority of its crude oil under month-to-month evergreen contracts or on a spot basis.

Certain other feedstocks are purchased under long-term supply contracts. The Company also purchases finished products from Houston Refining. The Company is required to purchase all of the naphthenic lubricating oils produced at Houston Refining's refinery in Houston, Texas, up to 3,100 bpd, and has a right of first refusal to purchase any additional naphthenic lubricating oils (above the 3,100 bpd) produced at the refinery. In addition, Houston Refining is required to toll-process a minimum of approximately 600 bpd of white mineral oil for the Company at Houston Refining's Houston, Texas refinery. The annual purchase commitment under these agreements is approximately \$103.2 million.

As of December 31, 2016, the estimated minimum purchase commitments under the Company's crude oil, other feedstock supply and finished product agreements were as follows (in millions):

Year	Commitmen
2017	\$ 659.8
2018	468.9
2019	460.3
2020	91.1
2021	
Thereafter	

Total \$ 1,680.1

**Table of Contents** 

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The Company has a feedstock purchase agreement with Phillips 66 related to the LVT unit at its Lake Charles, Louisiana refinery (the "LVT Feedstock Agreement"). Pursuant to the LVT Feedstock Agreement, Phillips 66 is obligated to supply a minimum quantity (the "Base Volume") of feedstock for the LVT unit for a term of ten years. Based upon this minimum supply quantity, the Company expects to purchase approximately \$39.9 million of feedstock for the LVT unit in each fiscal year of the term of the contract expiring January 1, 2018, based on pricing estimates as of December 31, 2016. This amount is not included in the table above. Contingencies

From time to time, the Company is a party to certain claims and litigation incidental to its business, including claims made by various regulatory and taxation authorities, such as the EPA, various state environmental regulatory bodies, the Internal Revenue Service, various state and local departments of revenue and the federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA"), as the result of audits or reviews of the Company's business. In addition, the Company has property, business interruption, general liability and various other insurance policies that may result in certain losses or expenditures being reimbursed to the Company.

#### Environmental

The Company conducts crude oil and specialty hydrocarbon refining, blending and terminal operations in addition to providing oilfield services and products, which activities are subject to stringent federal, state, regional and local laws and regulations governing worker health and safety, the discharge of materials into the environment and environmental protection. These laws and regulations impose obligations that are applicable to the Company's operations, such as requiring the acquisition of permits to conduct regulated activities, restricting the manner in which the Company may release materials into the environment, requiring remedial activities or capital expenditures to mitigate pollution from former or current operations, requiring the application of specific health and safety criteria addressing worker protection and imposing substantial liabilities for pollution resulting from its operations. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of sanctions, including administrative, civil and criminal penalties; the imposition of investigatory, remedial or corrective action obligations or the incurrence of capital expenditures; the occurrence of delays in the permitting, development or expansion of projects, and the issuance of injunctive relief limiting or prohibiting Company activities, Moreover, certain of these laws impose joint and several, strict liability for costs required to remediate and restore sites where petroleum hydrocarbons, wastes or other materials have been released or disposed. In addition, new laws and regulations, new interpretations of existing laws and regulations, increased governmental enforcement or other developments, some of which legal requirements are discussed below, could significantly increase the Company's operational or compliance expenditures. Remediation of subsurface contamination is in process at certain of the Company's refinery sites and is being overseen by the appropriate state agencies. Based on current investigative and remedial activities, the Company believes that the soil and groundwater contamination at these refineries can be controlled or remedied without having a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition. However, such costs are often unpredictable and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the future costs will not become material.

### San Antonio Refinery

In connection with the acquisition of the San Antonio refinery, the Company agreed to indemnify NuStar for an unlimited term and without consideration of a monetary deductible or cap from any environmental liabilities associated with the San Antonio refinery, except for any governmental penalties or fines that may result from NuStar's actions or inactions during NuStar's 20-month period of ownership of the San Antonio refinery. Anadarko Petroleum Corporation ("Anadarko") and Age Refining, Inc. ("Age Refining"), a third party that has since entered bankruptcy, are subject to a 1995 Agreed Order from the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, now known as the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, pursuant to which Anadarko and Age Refining are obligated to assess and remediate certain contamination at the San Antonio refinery that predates the Company's acquisition of the facility. The Company does not expect this pre-existing contamination at the San Antonio refinery to have a material adverse

effect on its financial position or results of operations.

**Great Falls Refinery** 

In connection with the acquisition of the Great Falls refinery from Connacher Oil and Gas Limited ("Connacher"), the Company became a party to an existing 2002 Refinery Initiative Consent Decree (the "Great Falls Consent Decree") with the EPA and the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (the "MDEQ"). The material obligations imposed by the Great Falls Consent Decree have been completed. On September 27, 2012, Montana Refining Company, Inc., received a final Corrective Action Order on Consent, replacing the refinery's previously held hazardous waste permit. This Corrective Action Order on Consent governs the investigation and remediation of contamination at the Great Falls refinery. The Company believes the majority of damages related to such contamination at the Great Falls refinery are covered by a contractual indemnity provided by HollyFrontier Corporation ("Holly"), the owner and operator of the Great Falls refinery prior to its acquisition by Connacher, under an asset

<u>Table of Contents</u>
CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

purchase agreement between Holly and Connacher, pursuant to which Connacher acquired the Great Falls refinery. Under this asset purchase agreement, Holly agreed to indemnify Connacher and Montana Refining Company, Inc., subject to timely notification, certain conditions and certain monetary baskets and caps, for environmental conditions arising under Holly's ownership and operation of the Great Falls refinery and existing as of the date of sale to Connacher. During 2014, Holly provided the Company a notice challenging the Company's position that Holly is obligated to indemnify the Company's remediation expenses for environmental conditions to the extent arising under Holly's ownership and operation of the refinery and existing as of the date of sale to Connacher, which expenditures totaled approximately \$18.7 million as of December 31, 2016, of which \$14.6 million was capitalized into the cost of the Company's recently completed expansion project and \$4.1 million was expensed. The Company continues to believe that Holly is responsible to indemnify the Company for these remediation expenses disputed by Holly, and on September 22, 2015, the Company initiated a lawsuit against Holly and the sellers of the Great Falls refinery under the asset purchase agreement. On November 24, 2015, Holly and the sellers of the Great Falls refinery under the asset purchase agreement filed a motion to dismiss the case pending arbitration. On February 10, 2016, the court ordered that all of the claims be addressed in arbitration. Arbitration is scheduled for early 2018. In the event the Company is unsuccessful in the legal dispute with Holly, the Company will be responsible for the remediation expenses. The Company expects that it may incur some costs to remediate other environmental conditions at the Great Falls refinery; however, the Company believes at this time that these other costs it may incur will not be material to its financial position or results of operations.

## **Superior Refinery**

In connection with the acquisition of the Superior refinery, the Company became a party to an existing Refinery Initiative Consent Decree ("Superior Consent Decree") with the EPA and the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources ("WDNR") that applies, in part, to its Superior refinery. Under the Superior Consent Decree, the Company must complete certain reductions in air emissions at the Superior refinery as well as report upon certain emissions from the refinery to the EPA and the WDNR. As of December 31, 2016, the Company estimates costs of up to \$5.0 million to make known equipment upgrades and conduct other discrete tasks in compliance with the Superior Consent Decree. Failure to perform these required tasks under the Superior Consent Decree could result in the imposition of stipulated penalties, which could be material. The Company is currently assessing certain past actions at the refinery for compliance with the terms of the Superior Consent Decree, which actions may be subject to stipulated penalties under the Superior Consent Decree but, in any event, the Company does not currently believe that the imposition of such penalties for those actions, should they be imposed, would be material. In addition, the Company is pursuing certain additional environmental and safety-related projects at the Superior refinery. Completion of these additional projects will result in the Company incurring additional costs, which could be substantial. During 2016, the Company incurred less than \$0.1 million for costs related to installing process equipment at the Superior refinery pursuant to EPA fuel content regulations. During 2015, the Company incurred no costs related to installing process equipment at the Superior refinery pursuant to EPA fuel content regulations.

In June 2012, the EPA issued a Finding of Violation/Notice of Violation to the Superior refinery, which included a proposed penalty amount of \$0.1 million. This finding is in response to information provided to the EPA by the Company in response to an information request. The EPA alleges that the efficiency of the flares at the Superior refinery is lower than regulatory requirements. The Company is contesting the allegations and is in settlement discussions with the EPA to resolve this issue. The Company has not yet received formal action from the EPA. The Company does not believe that the resolution of these allegations will have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations.

The Company is contractually indemnified by Murphy Oil Corporation ("Murphy Oil") under an asset purchase agreement between the Company and Murphy Oil for specified environmental liabilities arising from the operation of the Superior refinery including: (i) certain obligations arising out of the Superior Consent Decree (including payment

of a civil penalty required under the Superior Consent Decree), (ii) certain liabilities arising in connection with Murphy Oil's transport of certain wastes and other materials to specified offsite real properties for disposal or recycling prior to the acquisition of Superior and (iii) certain liabilities for certain third-party actions, suits or proceedings alleging exposure, prior to the acquisition of Superior, of an individual to wastes or other materials at the specified on-site real property, which wastes or other materials were spilled, released, emitted or otherwise discharged by Murphy Oil. The Company believes contractual indemnity by Murphy Oil for such specified environmental liabilities is unlimited in duration and not subject to any monetary deductibles or maximums. The amount of any damages payable by Murphy Oil pursuant to the contractual indemnities under the asset purchase agreement are net of any amount recoverable under an environmental insurance policy that the Company obtained in connection with the acquisition of the Superior refinery, which named the Company and Murphy Oil as insureds and covers environmental conditions existing at the Superior refinery prior to the acquisition of the Superior refinery. Shreveport, Cotton Valley and Princeton Refineries

On December 23, 2010, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality ("LDEQ") under LDEQ's "Small Refinery and Single Site Refinery Initiative," covering the Shreveport, Princeton and

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Cotton Valley refineries. This settlement agreement became effective on January 31, 2012. The settlement agreement, termed the "Global Settlement," resolved alleged violations of the federal Clean Air Act, as amended ("CAA"), and federal Clean Water Act regulations that arose prior to December 23, 2010. Among other things, the Company agreed to complete beneficial environmental programs and implement emissions reduction projects at the Company's Shreveport, Cotton Valley and Princeton refineries on an agreed-upon schedule. During 2016 and 2015, the Company incurred approximately \$2.4 million and \$6.8 million, respectively. The Global Settlement is substantially complete and any remaining capital investment requirements will be incorporated into the Company's annual capital expenditures budget, and the Company does not expect any additional capital expenditures included in the Global Settlement to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations. The Company is contractually indemnified by Shell Oil Company ("Shell"), as successor to Pennzoil-Quaker State Company, and Atlas Processing Company, under an asset purchase agreement between the Company and Shell, for specified environmental liabilities arising from the operations of the Shreveport refinery prior to the Company's acquisition of the facility. The Company believes the contractual indemnity is unlimited in amount and duration, but requires the Company to contribute \$1.0 million of the first \$5.0 million of indemnified costs for certain of the specified environmental liabilities.

### **Bel-Ray Facility**

Bel-Ray executed an Administrative Consent Order ("ACO") with the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, effective January 4, 1994, which required investigation and remediation of contamination at or emanating from the Bel-Ray facility. In 2000, Bel-Ray entered into a fixed price remediation contract with Weston Solutions ("Weston"), a large remediation contractor, whereby Weston agreed to be fully liable for the remediation of the soil and groundwater issues at the facility, including an offsite groundwater plume pursuant to the ACO ("Weston Agreement"). The Weston Agreement set up a trust fund to reimburse Weston, administered by Bel-Ray's environmental counsel. As of December 31, 2016, the trust fund contained approximately \$0.5 million. In addition, Weston has remediation cost containment insurance, should Weston be unable to complete the work required under the Weston Agreement. In connection with the acquisition of Bel-Ray, the Company became a party to the Weston Agreement.

Weston has been addressing the environmental issues at the Bel-Ray facility over time, and the next phase will address the groundwater issues, which extend offsite.

Renewable Identification Numbers Obligation

The Company's RINs Obligation represents a liability for the purchase of RINs to satisfy the EPA requirement to blend biofuels into the fuel products it produces pursuant to the RFS. RINs are assigned to biofuels produced in the U.S. as required by the EPA. The EPA sets annual quotas for the percentage of biofuels that must be blended into transportation fuels consumed in the U.S., and as a producer of motor fuels from petroleum, the Company is required to blend biofuels into the fuel products it produces at a rate that will meet the EPA's annual quota. To the extent the Company is unable to blend biofuels at that rate, it must purchase RINs in the open market to satisfy the annual requirement. The Company's RINs Obligation is based on the amount of RINs it must purchase net of amounts internally generated or purchased and the price of those RINs as of the balance sheet date.

In October 2016, the EPA granted certain of the Company's refineries a "small refinery exemption" under the RFS for the full year 2015, as provided for under the CAA. In granting those exemptions, the EPA determined that for the full year 2015, compliance with the RFS would represent a "disproportionate economic hardship" for these refineries. In June 2016, the EPA granted certain of the Company's refineries a "small refinery exemption" under the RFS for the full year 2014, as provided for under the CAA. In granting those exemptions, the EPA determined that for the full year 2014, compliance with the RFS would represent a "disproportionate economic hardship" for these refineries. In October 2014, the EPA granted certain of the Company's refineries a "small refinery exemption" under the RFS for the full year 2013, as provided for under the CAA. In granting those exemptions, the EPA determined that for the full

year 2013, compliance with the RFS would represent a "disproportionate economic hardship" for these refineries. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company had a RINs Obligation of \$79.3 million and \$88.4 million, respectively. RINs gain for the year ended December 31, 2016, was approximately \$5.5 million as compared to a RINs expense for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, of approximately \$38.8 million and \$9.4 million, respectively.

Occupational Health and Safety

The Company is subject to various laws and regulations relating to occupational health and safety, including OSHA and comparable state laws. These laws and regulations strictly govern the protection of the health and safety of employees. In addition, OSHA's hazard communication standard requires that information be maintained about hazardous materials used or produced in the Company's operations and that this information be provided to employees, contractors, state and local government authorities and customers. The Company maintains safety and training programs as part of its ongoing efforts to ensure compliance with

### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

applicable laws and regulations. The Company conducts periodic audits of Process Safety Management ("PSM") systems at each of its locations subject to the PSM standard. The Company's compliance with applicable health and safety laws and regulations has required, and continues to require, substantial expenditures. Changes in occupational safety and health laws and regulations or a finding of non-compliance with current laws and regulations could result in additional capital expenditures or operating expenses, as well as civil penalties and, in the event of a serious injury or fatality, criminal charges.

In the first quarter of 2011, OSHA conducted an inspection of the Cotton Valley refinery's PSM program. On March 14, 2011, OSHA issued a Citation and Notification of Penalty (the "Cotton Valley Citation") to the Company as a result of the Cotton Valley inspection, which included a proposed penalty amount of \$0.2 million. The Company has contested the Cotton Valley Citation and the parties have reached a tentative settlement with OSHA on the matter, which the Company does not believe will have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations.

### **Labor Matters**

The Company has approximately 700 employees covered by various collective bargaining agreements, or approximately 35% of its total workforce of approximately 2,000 employees. These agreements have expiration dates of July 1, 2021, October 31, 2017, January 31, 2019, March 31, 2019 and April 30, 2019. The Company has approximately 100 employees, or approximately 5% of its total workforce, covered by collective bargaining agreements that expire in less than one year and does not expect any work stoppages.

### **Legal Proceedings**

The Company is subject to claims and litigation arising in the normal course of its business. The Company has recorded accruals with respect to certain of these matters, where appropriate, that are reflected in the consolidated financial statements but are not individually considered material. For other matters, the Company has not recorded accruals because it has not yet determined that a loss is probable or because the amount of loss cannot be reasonably estimated. While the ultimate outcome of claims and litigation currently pending cannot be determined, the Company currently does not expect that these proceedings and claims, individually will have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows. The outcome of any litigation is inherently uncertain, however, and if decided adversely to the Company, or if the Company determines that settlement of particular litigation is appropriate, the Company may be subject to liability that could have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

## Standby Letters of Credit

The Company has agreements with various financial institutions for standby letters of credit which have been issued primarily to vendors. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company had outstanding standby letters of credit of \$82.1 million and \$66.8 million, respectively, under its senior secured revolving credit facility (the "revolving credit facility"). Refer to Note 7 for additional information regarding the Company's revolving credit facility. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the maximum amount of letters of credit the Company could issue under its revolving credit facility was subject to borrowing base limitations, with a maximum letter of credit sublimit equal to \$600.0 million, which amount may be increased to 90% of revolver commitments in effect (\$900.0 million at December 31, 2016 and \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2015) with the consent of the Agent (as defined below).

As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company had availability to issue letters of credit of \$360.8 million and \$233.5 million, respectively, under its revolving credit facility.

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

### 7. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consisted of the following (in millions):

	December 31	, December	31,
	2016	2015	
Borrowings under amended and restated senior secured revolving credit agreement with			
third-party lenders, interest payments quarterly, borrowings due July 2019, weighted	\$ 10.2	\$ 111.0	
average interest rates of 4.8% and 3.3% at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively			
Borrowings under 2021 Secured Notes, interest at a fixed rate of 11.50%, interest			
payments semiannually, borrowings due January 2021, effective interest rate of 12.2% fo	r400.0		
the year ended December 31, 2016			
Borrowings under 2021 Notes, interest at a fixed rate of 6.50%, interest payments			
semiannually, borrowings due April 2021, effective interest rates of 6.8% for each year	900.0	900.0	
ended December 31, 2016 and 2015			
Borrowings under 2022 Notes, interest at a fixed rate of 7.625%, interest payments			
semiannually, borrowings due January 2022, effective interest rate of 8.0% for each year	352.5	352.9	
ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 (1)			
Borrowings under 2023 Notes, interest at a fixed rate of 7.75%, interest payments			
semiannually, borrowings due April 2023, effective interest rate of 8.0% for each year	325.0	325.0	
ended December 31, 2016 and 2015			
Related party note payable, interest at a fixed rate of 6.0% on a portion of the note,			
interest payments at various dates, borrowings due July 2016 and uncommitted		73.5	
prepayments due November 2016, weighted average interest rate of 6.0% for each year		73.3	
ended December 31, 2016 and 2015			
Other	8.0		
Capital lease obligations, at various interest rates, interest and principal payments	46.5	46.4	
monthly through October 2034			
Less unamortized debt issuance costs (2)	,	(28.9	)
Less unamortized discounts		(6.5	)
Total long-term debt	1,997.2	1,773.4	
Less current portion of note payable — related party		73.5	
Less current portion of long-term debt	3.5	1.7	
	\$ 1,993.7	\$ 1,698.2	

The balance includes a fair value interest rate hedge adjustment, which increased the debt balance by \$2.5 million and \$2.9 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively (refer to Note 8 for additional information on the interest rate swap designated as a fair value hedge).

Deferred debt issuance costs are being amortized by the effective interest rate method over the lives of the related

<sup>(2)</sup> debt instruments. These amounts are net of accumulated amortization of \$14.5 million and \$8.1 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### Senior Notes

11.50% Senior Secured Notes (the "2021 Secured Notes")

On April 20, 2016, the Company issued and sold \$400.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 11.50% Senior Secured Notes due January 15, 2021, in a private placement pursuant to Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), to eligible purchasers at a discounted price of 98.273 percent of par. Subject to certain exceptions, the 2021 Secured Notes are secured by a lien on all of the fixed assets that secure the Company's obligations under its secured hedge agreements, including certain present and future real property, fixtures and equipment; all U.S. registered patents and patent license rights, trademarks and trademark license rights, copyrights and copyright license rights and trade secrets; chattel paper, documents and instruments; certain cash deposits in the property, plant and equipment proceeds account; certain books and records; and all accessions and proceeds of any of the foregoing. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$382.5 million net of discount, initial purchasers' fees and estimated expenses, which it used to repay borrowings outstanding under its revolving credit facility and for general partnership purposes, including planned capital expenditures at its facilities and working capital. Interest on the 2021 Secured Notes is paid semiannually in arrears on January 15 and July 15 of each year, beginning on July 15, 2016

At any time prior to April 15, 2018, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2021 Secured Notes with the net proceeds of a public or private equity offering at a redemption price of 111.5% of the principal amount, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption, provided that: (1) at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of 2021 Secured Notes issued remains outstanding immediately after the occurrence of such redemption and (2) the redemption occurs within 180 days of the date of the closing of such public or private equity offering.

On and after April 15, 2018, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem all or a part of the 2021 Secured Notes at the redemption prices (expressed as percentages of principal amount) set forth below, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the applicable redemption date on such 2021 Secured Notes, if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on April 15 of the years indicated below:

Year Percentage 2018 111.500 % 2019 108.625 % 2020 and thereafter 100.000 %

Prior to April 15, 2018, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem all or part of the 2021 Secured Notes at a redemption price equal to the sum of: (1) the principal amount thereof, plus (2) a make-whole premium (as set forth in the indenture governing the 2021 Secured Notes) at the redemption date, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the applicable redemption date.

7.75% Senior Notes (the "2023 Notes")

On March 27, 2015, the Company issued and sold \$325.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 7.75% Senior Notes due April 15, 2023 in a private placement pursuant to Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act, to eligible purchasers at a discounted price of 99.257 percent of par. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$317.0 million net of discount, initial purchasers' fees and expenses, which the Company used to fund the redemption of \$178.8 million in aggregate principal amount of outstanding 9.625% senior notes due 2020 on April 28, 2015, to repay borrowings outstanding under its revolving credit facility and for general partnership purposes, including planned capital expenditures at the Company's facilities and working capital. Interest on the 2023 Notes is paid semiannually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year, beginning on October 15, 2015.

At any time prior to April 15, 2018, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2023 Notes with the net proceeds of a public or private equity offering at a redemption price of 107.75% of the principal amount, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption,

provided that: (1) at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of 2023 Notes issued remains outstanding immediately after the occurrence of such redemption and (2) the redemption occurs within 180 days of the date of the closing of such public or private equity offering.

### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

On and after April 15, 2018, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem all or a part of the 2023 Notes at the redemption prices (expressed as percentages of principal amount) set forth below, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the applicable redemption date on such 2023 Notes, if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on April 15 of the years indicated below:

Year	Percentage
2018	105.813 %
2019	103.875 %
2020	101.938 %
2021 and thereafter	100.000 %

Prior to April 15, 2018, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem all or part of the 2023 Notes at a redemption price equal to the sum of: (1) the principal amount thereof, plus (2) the make-whole premium (as set forth in the indenture governing the 2023 Notes) at the redemption date, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the applicable redemption date.

On March 27, 2015, in connection with the issuance and sale of the 2023 Notes, the Company entered into a registration rights agreement with the initial purchasers of the 2023 Notes obligating the Company to use reasonable best efforts to file an exchange offer registration statement with the SEC, so that holders of the 2023 Notes can offer to exchange the 2023 Notes for registered notes having substantially the same terms as the 2023 Notes and evidencing the same indebtedness as the 2023 Notes. On December 11, 2015, the Company filed an exchange offer registration statement for the 2023 Notes with the SEC, which was declared effective on January 28, 2016. The exchange offer was completed on March 7, 2016, thereby fulfilling all of the requirements of the 2023 Notes registration rights agreement.

6.50% Senior Notes (the "2021 Notes")

On March 31, 2014, the Company issued and sold \$900.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.50% Senior Notes due April 15, 2021 in a private placement pursuant to Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act, to eligible purchasers at par. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$884.0 million, net of initial purchasers' fees and expenses, which the Company used to fund the purchase price of ADF Holdings, Inc., the parent company of Anchor Drilling Fluids USA, Inc. (subsequently converted to ADF Holdings, LLC and Anchor Drilling Fluids USA, LLC), the redemption of \$500.0 million in aggregate principal amount outstanding of 9.375% Senior Notes due 2019 and for general partnership purposes, including planned capital expenditures at the Company's facilities. Interest on the 2021 Notes is paid semiannually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year, beginning on October 15, 2014. At any time prior to April 15, 2017, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2021 Notes with the net proceeds of a public or private equity offering at a redemption price of 106.5% of the principal amount, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption, provided that: (1) at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of 2021 Notes issued remains outstanding immediately after the occurrence of such redemption and (2) the redemption occurs within 180 days of the date of the closing of such public or private equity offering.

On and after April 15, 2017, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem all or a part of the 2021 Notes at the redemption prices (expressed as percentages of principal amount) set forth below, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the applicable redemption date on such 2021 Notes, if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on April 15 of the years indicated below:

Year	Percentage
2017	103.250 %
2018	101.625 %
2019 and thereafter	100.000 %

Prior to April 15, 2017, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem all or part of the 2021 Notes at a redemption price equal to the sum of: (1) the principal amount thereof, plus (2) a make-whole premium (as set forth in the indenture governing the 2021 Notes) at the redemption date, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the applicable redemption date.

On March 31, 2014, in connection with the issuance and sale of the 2021 Notes, the Company entered into a registration rights agreement with the initial purchasers of the 2021 Notes obligating the Company to use reasonable best efforts to file an exchange offer registration statement with the SEC, so that holders of the 2021 Notes can offer to exchange the 2021 Notes for registered notes having substantially the same terms as the 2021 Notes and evidencing the same indebtedness as the 2021 Notes.

### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

On March 24, 2015, the Company filed an exchange offer registration statement for the 2021 Notes with the SEC, which was declared effective on April 3, 2015. The exchange offer was completed on April 30, 2015, thereby fulfilling all of the requirements of the 2021 Notes registration rights agreement. 7.625% Senior Notes (the "2022 Notes")

On November 26, 2013, the Company issued and sold \$350.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 7.625% Senior Notes due January 15, 2022, in a private placement pursuant to Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act, to eligible purchasers at a discounted price of 98.494 percent of par. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$337.4 million, net of discount, initial purchasers' fees and expenses, which the Company used for general partnership purposes, to fund previously announced organic growth projects, the purchase price of the Bel-Ray acquisition and the redemption of \$100.0 million in aggregate principal amount outstanding of 9.375% Senior Notes due 2019. Interest on the 2022 Notes is paid semiannually in arrears on January 15 and July 15 of each year, beginning on July 15, 2014. At any time prior to January 15, 2017, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2022 Notes with the net proceeds of a public or private equity offering at a redemption price of 107.625% of the principal amount, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption, provided that: (1) at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of 2022 Notes issued remains outstanding immediately after the occurrence of such redemption and (2) the redemption occurs within 180 days of the date of the closing of such public or private equity offering.

On and after January 15, 2018, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem all or a part of the 2022 Notes at the redemption prices (expressed as percentages of principal amount) set forth below, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the applicable redemption date on such 2022 Notes, if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on January 15 of the years indicated below:

Year Percentage 2018 103.813 % 2019 101.906 % 2020 and thereafter 100.000 %

Prior to January 15, 2018, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem all or part of the 2022 Notes at a redemption price equal to the sum of: (1) the principal amount thereof, plus (2) a make-whole premium (as set forth in the indenture governing the 2022 Notes) at the redemption date, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the applicable redemption date.

On November 26, 2013, in connection with the issuance and sale of the 2022 Notes, the Company entered into a registration rights agreement with the initial purchasers of the 2022 Notes obligating the Company to use reasonable best efforts to file an exchange offer registration statement with the SEC, so that holders of the 2022 Notes can offer to exchange the 2022 Notes for registered notes having substantially the same terms as the 2022 Notes and evidencing the same indebtedness as the 2022 Notes. On November 27, 2013, the Company filed an exchange offer registration statement for the 2022 Notes with the SEC, which was declared effective on December 10, 2013. The exchange offer was completed on January 13, 2014, thereby fulfilling all of the requirements of the 2022 Notes registration rights agreement.

2021 Secured Notes, 2021 Notes, 2022 Notes and 2023 Notes

In accordance with SEC Rule 3-10 of Regulation S-X, condensed consolidated financial statements of non-guarantors are not required. The Company has no assets or operations independent of its subsidiaries. Obligations under its 2021, 2022 and 2023 Notes are fully and unconditionally and jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by the Company's current 100%-owned operating subsidiaries and certain of the Company's future operating subsidiaries, with the exception of the Company's "minor" subsidiaries (as defined by Rule 3-10 of Regulation S-X), including Calumet Finance Corp. (100%-owned Delaware corporation that was organized for the sole purpose of being a co-issuer of certain of the Company's indebtedness, including the 2021 Secured, 2021, 2022 and 2023 Notes).

There are no significant restrictions on the ability of the Company or subsidiary guarantors for the Company to obtain funds from its subsidiary guarantors by dividend or loan. None of the subsidiary guarantors' assets represent restricted assets pursuant to SEC Rule 4-08(e)(3) of Regulation S-X.

The 2021 Secured, 2021, 2022 and 2023 Notes are subject to certain automatic customary releases, including the sale, disposition, or transfer of capital stock or substantially all of the assets of a subsidiary guarantor, designation of a subsidiary guarantor as unrestricted in accordance with the applicable indenture, exercise of legal defeasance option or covenant defeasance option, liquidation or dissolution of the subsidiary guarantor and a subsidiary guarantor ceases to both guarantee other Company debt and to be an obligor under the revolving credit facility. The Company's operating subsidiaries may not sell or otherwise

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

dispose of all or substantially all of their properties or assets to, or consolidate with or merge into, another company if such a sale would cause a default under the indentures governing the 2021 Secured, 2021, 2022 and 2023 Notes. The indentures governing the 2021 Secured, 2021, 2022 and 2023 Notes contain covenants that, among other things, restrict the Company's ability and the ability of certain of the Company's subsidiaries to: (i) sell assets; (ii) pay distributions on, redeem or repurchase the Company's common units or redeem or repurchase its subordinated debt or, in the case of the 2021 Secured Notes, its unsecured notes; (iii) make investments; (iv) incur or guarantee additional indebtedness or issue preferred units; (v) create or incur certain liens; (vi) enter into agreements that restrict distributions or other payments from the Company's restricted subsidiaries to the Company; (vii) consolidate, merge or transfer all or substantially all of the Company's assets; (viii) engage in transactions with affiliates and (ix) create unrestricted subsidiaries. These covenants are subject to important exceptions and qualifications. At any time when the 2021 Secured, 2021, 2022 and 2023 Notes are rated investment grade by either Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or S&P Global Ratings ("S&P") and no Default or Event of Default, each as defined in the indentures governing the 2021 Secured, 2021, 2022 and 2023 Notes, has occurred and is continuing, many of these covenants will be suspended. As of December 31, 2016, the Company's Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio (as defined in the indentures governing the 2021 Secured, 2021, 2022 and 2023 Notes) was 0.95 to 1.0. As of December 31, 2016, the Company was in compliance with all covenants under the indentures governing the 2021 Secured, 2021, 2022 and 2023 Notes.

Second Amended and Restated Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility

The Company has a \$900.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility, subject to borrowing base limitations, which includes a \$500.0 million incremental uncommitted expansion feature. On August 11, 2016, the Company provided notice to the lenders that it was decreasing the commitments under the revolving credit facility from \$1.0 billion to \$900.0 million. The revolving credit facility is the Company's primary source of liquidity for cash needs in excess of cash generated from operations. The revolving credit facility matures in July 2019 and currently bears interest at a rate equal to either the prime rate plus a basis points margin or the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus a basis points margin, at the Company's option. As of December 31, 2016, the margin was 50 basis points for prime rate loans and 150 basis points for LIBOR rate loans; however, the margin can fluctuate quarterly based on the Company's average availability for additional borrowings under the revolving credit facility in the preceding fiscal quarter as follows:

Quarterly Average Availability Percentage	Margin on Base Rate	Margin on LIBOR	
Quarterly Average Availability Fercentage	Revolving Loans	Revolving Loans	
≥ 66%	0.50%	1.50%	
$\geq 33\%$ and $< 66\%$	0.75%	1.75%	
< 33%	1.00%	2.00%	

In addition to paying interest quarterly on outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility, the Company is required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders under the revolving credit facility with respect to the unutilized commitments thereunder at a rate equal to 0.250% or 0.375% per annum depending on the average daily available unused borrowing capacity for the preceding month. The Company also pays a customary letter of credit fee, including a fronting fee of 0.125% per annum of the stated amount of each outstanding letter of credit, and customary agency fees.

The borrowing capacity at December 31, 2016, under the revolving credit facility was \$453.1 million. As of December 31, 2016, the Company had \$10.2 million in outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility and outstanding standby letters of credit of \$82.1 million, leaving \$360.8 million available for additional borrowings based on specified availability limitations. Lenders under the revolving credit facility have a first priority lien on the Company's accounts receivable, inventory and substantially all of its cash (collectively, the "Credit Agreement Collateral").

On April 20, 2016, the Company and certain of its operating subsidiaries as borrowers (collectively, the "Borrowers") entered into a Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Second Amendment"), by and among the Borrowers, the Agent (as defined below) and the lenders party thereto (including Bank of America, N.A.), amending the Company's revolving credit facility. The Second Amendment, among other things, amended the revolving credit facility to permit (a) the issuance of the 2021 Secured Notes pursuant to the indenture governing the 2021 Secured Notes and (b) such 2021 Secured Notes to be secured by a lien on the Fixed Asset Collateral (as defined in the Intercreditor Agreement), subject to the terms of the Intercreditor Agreement.

The revolving credit facility contains various covenants that limit, among other things, the Company's ability to: incur indebtedness; grant liens; dispose of certain assets; make certain acquisitions and investments; redeem or prepay other debt or make other restricted payments such as distributions to unitholders; enter into transactions with affiliates and enter into a merger, consolidation or sale of assets. Further, the revolving credit facility contains one springing financial covenant which provides that

### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

only if the Company's availability under the revolving credit facility falls below the greater of (a) 12.5% of the Borrowing Base (as defined in the revolving credit agreement) then in effect and (b) \$45.0 million (which amount is subject to increase in proportion to revolving commitment increases), then the Company will be required to maintain as of the end of each fiscal quarter a Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio (as defined in the revolving credit agreement) of at least 1.0 to 1.0.

As of December 31, 2016, the Company was in compliance with all covenants under the revolving credit facility. Master Derivative Contracts

The Company's payment obligations under all of the Company's master derivatives contracts for commodity hedging generally are secured by a first priority lien on the Company's real property, plant and equipment, fixtures, intellectual property, certain financial assets, certain investment property, commercial tort claims, chattel paper, documents, instruments and proceeds of the foregoing (including proceeds of hedge arrangements). The Company had no additional letters of credit or cash margin posted with any hedging counterparty as of December 31, 2016. The Company's master derivatives contracts and Collateral Trust Agreement (as defined below) continue to impose a number of covenant limitations on the Company's operating and financing activities, including limitations on collateral, limitations on dispositions of collateral and collateral maintenance and insurance requirements. Collateral Trust Agreement

In connection with the private placement of the 2021 Secured Notes, on April 20, 2016, the Company entered into a collateral trust agreement (the "Collateral Trust Agreement") which governs how the holders of the 2021 Secured Notes and secured hedging counterparties share collateral pledged as security for the payment obligations owed by it to the holders of the 2021 Secured Notes and secured hedging counterparties under their respective master derivatives contracts. The Collateral Trust Agreement limits to \$150.0 million the extent to which forward purchase contracts for physical commodities are covered by, and secured under, the Collateral Trust Agreement and the Parity Lien Security Documents (as defined in the Collateral Trust Agreement). There is no such limit on financially settled derivative instruments used for commodity hedging. Subject to certain conditions set forth in the Collateral Trust Agreement, the Company has the ability to add secured hedging counterparties from time to time.

# Intercreditor Agreement

The 2021 Secured Notes are not secured by the collateral securing the Company's revolving credit facility. In connection with the offering of the 2021 Secured Notes, the Collateral Trustee entered into a Second Amended and Restated Intercreditor Agreement (the "Intercreditor Agreement") among the Collateral Trustee, as fixed asset collateral trustee, Bank of America, N.A., as agent for the lenders under the Company's revolving credit facility (in such capacity, the "Agent"), the Company and the other grantors named therein, providing for certain access and administrative agreements with respect to the Credit Agreement Collateral and the Fixed Asset Collateral (as defined in the Intercreditor Agreement).

## Related Party Note Payable

On December 30, 2015, the Company entered into an agreement with The Heritage Group, an affiliate of the Company's general partner, in which The Heritage Group made a \$27.0 million uncommitted prepayment for the purchase of certain finished products and entered into a \$48.0 million unsecured note payable with the Company as the borrower. Imputed interest on the prepayment totaled \$1.5 million. The note bore interest of 6.0%, with interest payments due on March 31, 2016, June 30, 2016, and July 31, 2016. Principal payments of \$15.0 million each were due on May 31, 2016 and June 30, 2016, with the remaining principal amount due before July 31, 2016. The unsecured note payable and the uncommitted prepayment were fully repaid in 2016. The proceeds were used for general partnership purposes.

## Capital Leases

Assets recorded under capital lease obligations are included in property, plant and equipment and total \$51.3 million and \$49.0 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company

had recorded \$7.5 million and \$3.9 million, respectively, in accumulated depreciation for capital lease assets. On July 7, 2014, the Company entered into a capital lease agreement with TexStar Midstream Logistics, L.P. ("TexStar") under which TexStar constructed, owns and operates a 30,000 bpd crude oil pipeline system supplying significant volumes of Eagle Ford crude oil to the Company's San Antonio refinery for a term of 20 years. Thereafter, the agreement will continue on a month-to-month basis unless terminated by either party. Under the terms of the agreement, TexStar installed and operates the Karnes North Pipeline System ("KNPS"), a pipeline that transports crude oil from Karnes City, Texas, to the San Antonio refinery's Elmendorf, Texas, terminal, a key supply hub for the San Antonio refinery. The Company expects to receive deliveries of at least 12,000 bpd of crude oil through the KNPS-Elmendorf terminal supply route. The pipeline became fully operational on November 1,

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

2014. The total obligation and asset under this capital lease agreement as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, was \$39.4 million. Total depreciation expense for this lease during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, was \$2.0 million.

As of December 31, 2016, the Company had estimated minimum commitments for the payment of total rentals under capital leases as follows (in millions):

Vaan	Capital
Year	Leases
2017	\$ 8.6
2018	9.3
2019	7.4
2020	6.9
2021	6.9
Thereafter	89.0
Total minimum lease payments	128.1
Less amount representing interest	81.6
Capital lease obligations	46.5
Less obligations due within one year	2.1
Long-term capital lease obligations	\$ 44.4

Maturities of Long-Term Debt

As of December 31, 2016, principal payments on debt obligations and future minimum rentals on capital lease obligations are as follows (in millions):

Year	Maturity
2017	\$3.5
2018	4.4
2019	13.0
2020	2.4
2021	1,303.3
Thereafter	713.1
Total	\$2,039.7

#### 8. Derivatives

The Company is exposed to price risks due to fluctuations in the price of crude oil, refined products (primarily in the Company's fuel products segment), natural gas and precious metals. The Company uses various strategies to reduce its exposure to commodity price risk. The strategies to reduce the Company's risk utilize both physical forward contracts and financially settled derivative instruments, such as swaps, collars, options and futures, to attempt to reduce the Company's exposure with respect to:

erude oil purchases and sales;

fuel product sales and purchases;

natural gas purchases;

precious metals purchases; and

fluctuations in the value of crude oil between geographic regions and between the different types of crude oil such as New York Mercantile Exchange West Texas Intermediate ("NYMEX WTI"), Light Louisiana Sweet ("LLS"), Western Canadian Select ("WCS"), Mixed Sweet Blend ("MSW") and ICE Brent ("Brent").

The Company manages its exposure to commodity markets, credit, volumetric and liquidity risks to manage its costs and volatility of cash flows as conditions warrant or opportunities become available. These risks may be managed in a variety of ways that may include the use of derivative instruments. Derivative instruments may be used for the

purpose of mitigating risks associated with an asset, liability and anticipated future transactions and the changes in fair value of the Company's derivative instruments will affect its earnings and cash flows; however, such changes should be offset by price or rate changes related to the underlying

### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

commodity or financial transaction that is part of the risk management strategy. The Company does not speculate with derivative instruments or other contractual arrangements that are not associated with its business objectives. Speculation is defined as increasing the Company's natural position above the maximum position of its physical assets or trading in commodities, currencies or other risk bearing assets that are not associated with the Company's business activities and objectives. The Company's positions are monitored routinely by a risk management committee to ensure compliance with its stated risk management policy and documented risk management strategies. All strategies are reviewed on an ongoing basis by the Company's risk management committee, which will add, remove or revise strategies in anticipation of changes in market conditions and/or its risk profiles. Such changes in strategies are to position the Company in relation to its risk exposures in an attempt to capture market opportunities as they arise.

The Company recognizes all derivative instruments at their fair values (see Note 9) as either current assets or current liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. Fair value includes any premiums paid or received and unrealized gains and losses. Fair value does not include any amounts receivable from or payable to counterparties, or collateral provided to counterparties. Derivative asset and liability amounts with the same counterparty are netted against each other for financial reporting purposes. The Company's financial results are subject to the possibility that changes in a derivative's fair value could result in significant ineffectiveness and potentially no longer qualify portions or all of its derivative instruments for hedge accounting.

The following tables summarize the Company's gross fair values of its derivative instruments, presenting the impact of offsetting derivative assets in the Company's consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in millions):

	Decen	nber 31, 201	6			Dece	mber 31, 20	015		
	Gross Amou of	Gross Amounts offset in the Consolidate mixed Balance	e	Net Amount of Assets Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets	ts d	Gros Amo of	Gross SAmounts unts Offset in the Consolidated Balance	ne	Net Amounts of Assets Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets	
Derivative instruments not design	ated									
as hedges:										
Specialty products segment:										
Natural gas swaps	\$0.1	\$ (0.1	)	\$ —		\$—	\$ —		\$ —	_
Fuel products segment:										
Crude oil swaps	10.3	(7.4	)	2.9			_		_	
Crude oil basis swaps	_	(2.1	)	(2.1)	) (	0.4	(0.4	)	_	
Crude oil percentage basis swaps	0.1	(0.1	)		(	0.2	(0.2	)		
Crude oil options				_	(	0.8	(0.8)	)	_	
Total derivative instruments	\$10.5	\$ (9.7	)	\$ 0.8		\$1.4	\$ (1.4	)	\$	-

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The following tables summarize the Company's gross fair values of its derivative instruments, presenting the impact of offsetting derivative liabilities in the Company's consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in millions):

	December 31, 2016	)	December 31, 2015	
	Gross Amounts Offset in t Of Consolida Recognized Liabilities Sheets	the	Gross Amounts Offset in the of Consolidated	Net Amounts of Liabilities Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets
Derivative instruments not design	nated as			
hedges:				
Specialty products segment:				
Natural gas swaps	\$(1.2) \$ 0.1	\$ (1.1 )	\$(14.9) \$ —	\$ (14.9)
Natural gas collars		_	(0.9) —	(0.9)
Fuel products segment:				
Crude oil swaps	(8.2) 7.4	(0.8)	(5.2 ) —	(5.2)
Crude oil basis swaps	(7.1 ) 2.1	(5.0)	(0.7) 0.4	(0.3)
Crude oil percentage basis swaps	(0.6) 0.1	(0.5)	(6.9 ) 0.2	(6.7)
Crude oil options			(1.1 ) 0.8	(0.3)
Gasoline crack spread swaps	(3.5) —	(3.5)	(4.3 ) —	(4.3)
Diesel crack spread swaps	(1.4 ) —	(1.4)		
2/1/1 crack spread swaps	(2.5 ) —	(2.5)		_
Natural gas swaps		_	(1.3 ) —	(1.3)
Total derivative instruments	\$(24.5) \$ 9.7	\$ (14.8)	\$(35.3) \$ 1.4	\$ (33.9)

The Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by its counterparties on these derivative transactions. The Company does not expect nonperformance on any derivative instruments, however, no assurances can be provided. The Company's credit exposure related to these derivative instruments is represented by the fair value of contracts reported as derivative assets. As of December 31, 2016, the Company had one counterparty in which the derivatives held were net assets. As of December 31, 2015, the Company had no counterparty in which the derivatives held were net assets. To manage credit risk, the Company selects and periodically reviews counterparties based on credit ratings. The Company primarily executes its derivative instruments with large financial institutions that have ratings of at least Baa1 and BBB+ by Moody's and S&P, respectively. In the event of default, the Company would potentially be subject to losses on derivative instruments with mark-to-market gains. The Company requires collateral from its counterparties when the fair value of the derivatives exceeds agreed-upon thresholds in its master derivative contracts with these counterparties. No such collateral was held by the Company as of December 31, 2016 or 2015. Collateral received from counterparties is reported in other current liabilities, and collateral held by counterparties is reported in prepaid expenses and other current assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheets and is not netted against derivative assets or liabilities. Any outstanding collateral is released to the Company upon settlement of the related derivative instrument liability. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company had provided its counterparties with no collateral.

Certain of the Company's outstanding derivative instruments are subject to credit support agreements with the applicable counterparties which contain provisions setting certain credit thresholds above which the Company may be required to post agreed-upon collateral, such as cash or letters of credit, with the counterparty to the extent that the

Company's mark-to-market net liability, if any, on all outstanding derivatives exceeds the credit threshold amount per such credit support agreement. The majority of the credit support agreements covering the Company's outstanding derivative instruments also contain a general provision stating that if the Company experiences a material adverse change in its business, in the reasonable discretion of the counterparty, the Company's credit threshold could be lowered by such counterparty. The Company does not expect that it will experience a material adverse change in its business.

The cash flow impact of the Company's derivative activities is classified primarily as a change in derivative activity in the operating activities section in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

### Derivative Instruments Designated as Cash Flow Hedges

The Company accounts for certain derivatives hedging purchases of crude oil and sales of gasoline, diesel and jet fuel swaps as cash flow hedges. The derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges that are hedging sales and purchases are recorded to sales and cost of sales, respectively, in the consolidated statements of operations upon recording the related hedged transaction in sales or cost of sales. The Company assesses, both at inception of the cash flow hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of hedged items. Periodically, the Company may enter into crude oil and fuel product basis swaps to more effectively hedge its crude oil purchases, crude oil sales and fuel products sales. These derivatives can be combined with a swap contract in order to create a more effective cash flow hedge. To the extent a derivative instrument designated as a cash flow hedge is determined to be effective as a cash flow hedge of an exposure to changes in the fair value of a future transaction, the change in fair value of the derivative is deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a component of partners' capital in the consolidated balance sheets, until the underlying transaction hedged is recognized in the consolidated statements of operations. Ineffectiveness is inherent in the hedging of crude oil and fuel products. Due to the volatility in the markets for crude oil and fuel products, the Company is unable to predict the amount of ineffectiveness each period, determined on a derivative by derivative basis or in the aggregate for a specific commodity, and has the potential for the future loss of cash flow hedge accounting. Ineffectiveness has resulted, and the loss of cash flow hedge accounting has resulted, in increased volatility in the Company's financial results. However, even though certain derivative instruments may not qualify for cash flow hedge accounting, the Company intends to continue to utilize such instruments as management believes such derivative instruments continue to provide the Company with the opportunity to more effectively stabilize cash flows.

Cash flow hedge accounting is discontinued when it is determined that a derivative no longer qualifies as an effective hedge or when it is no longer probable that the hedged forecasted transaction will occur. When cash flow hedge accounting is discontinued because the derivative instrument no longer qualifies as an effective cash flow hedge, the derivative instrument is subject to the mark-to-market method of accounting prospectively. Changes in the mark-to-market fair value of the derivative instrument are recorded to unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments in the consolidated statements of operations. Unrealized gains and losses related to discontinued cash flow hedges that were previously deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) will remain in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until the underlying transaction is reflected in earnings, unless it is probable that the hedged forecasted transaction will not occur, at which time, associated deferred amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are immediately recognized in unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments in the consolidated statements of operations.

The Company recorded the following amounts in its consolidated balance sheets, consolidated statements of operations, consolidated statements of comprehensive loss and consolidated statements of partners' capital as of, and for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, related to its derivative instruments that were designated as cash flow hedges (in millions):

Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss on Derivatives (Effective Portion)	Amount of G Reclassified a Accumulated Comprehensi	from Other	Amount of Gain (Lo Recognized in Net Loss on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion)	ss)
Year Ended December 31,	Location of (Gain) Loss	Year Ended December 31,	Location of Gain (Loss)	Year Ended December

								31,	
Type of Derivative	2016	2015			2016	2015		20126015	
Specialty products segr	ment:								
Crude oil swaps	\$ —	\$ —		Cost of sales	\$(2.0)	\$3.0	Unrealized/Realized	\$ <i>-</i> \$ <i>-</i>	
Fuel products segment:									
Crude oil swaps	(11.)6	(5.6	)	Cost of sales	(51.3)	(170.3)	Unrealized/Realized	(0.2)	)
Gasoline swaps	_	5.7		Sales	_	44.7	Unrealized/Realized	0.7	
Diesel swaps	11.6	(8.8)	)	Sales	59.7	121.6	Unrealized/Realized		
Jet fuel swaps		1.4		Sales		13.1	Unrealized/Realized		
Total	\$ —	\$ (7.3	)		\$6.4	\$12.1		\$ -\$ 0.5	

As of December 31, 2016, there was no effective portion of cash flow hedges to be classified in accumulated other comprehensive loss. As of December 31, 2015, the effective portion of cash flow hedges classified in accumulated other comprehensive loss was a gain of \$6.4 million.

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

## Derivative Instruments Designated as Fair Value Hedges

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a fair value hedge (which are limited to interest rate swaps), the effective gain or loss on the derivative instrument, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized as interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations. No hedge ineffectiveness is recognized if the interest rate swap qualifies for the "shortcut" method and, as a result, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument offset the changes in the fair value of the underlying hedged debt. In addition, the differential to be paid or received on the interest rate swap arrangement is accrued and recognized as an adjustment to interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations. The Company assesses at the inception of the fair value hedge whether the derivatives that are used in the hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values of hedged items.

Fair value hedge accounting is discontinued when it is determined that a derivative no longer qualifies as an effective hedge or when it is no longer probable that the hedged forecasted transaction will occur. When fair value hedge accounting is discontinued because the derivative instrument no longer qualifies as an effective fair value hedge, the derivative instrument is still subject to mark-to-market method of accounting, however the Company will cease to adjust the hedged asset or liability for changes in fair value.

In 2014, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement which converted a portion of the Company's fixed rate debt to a floating rate. This agreement involved the receipt of fixed rate amounts in exchange for floating rate interest payments over the life of the agreement without an exchange of the underlying principal amount. Also, in connection with the interest rate swap agreement, the Company entered into an option that permits the counterparty to cancel the interest rate swap for a specified premium. The Company designated this interest rate swap and option as a fair value hedge. On January 13, 2015, the Company terminated its interest rate swap, which was designated as a fair value hedge, related to a notional amount of \$200.0 million of 2022 Notes. In settlement of this swap, the Company recognized a net gain of approximately \$3.3 million.

The Company recorded the following gains (losses) in its consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 related to its derivative instrument designated as a fair value hedge (in millions):

		Amount of	Amount of
		Gain	Loss
		Recognized	Recognized
		in Net	in Net
	Location of Gain of	Income Location of Loss on Hedged	Income
	Derivative	Income (Loss) Hedged Item Location of Loss on Hedged Item	(Loss)
		Year Ended	Year Ended
		December	December
		31,	31,
		2016 2015	2016 2015
Swaps not allo	cated to a specific segment:		
Interest rate swap	Interest expense	\$ 0.4 \$ 0.5 2022 Notes Interest income	\$ <del>\$</del> —
Total		\$ 0.4 \$ 0.5	\$ <del>-\$</del> —
Darivativa Inct	rumente Not Decignated as He	adrac	

Derivative Instruments Not Designated as Hedges

For derivative instruments not designated as hedges, the change in fair value of the asset or liability for the period is recorded to unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments in the consolidated statements of operations. Upon the settlement of a derivative not designated as a hedge, the gain or loss at settlement is recorded to realized gain (loss) on derivative instruments in the consolidated statements of operations. The Company has entered into crude oil basis swaps that do not qualify as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes as they were not entered into simultaneously

with a corresponding NYMEX WTI derivative contract. Additionally, the Company has entered into natural gas collars, natural gas swaps and certain other crude oil swaps that do not qualify as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes as they are determined not to be highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows associated with crude oil purchases and gasoline and diesel sales at the Company's refineries.

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The Company recorded the following gains (losses) in its consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 related to its derivative instruments not designated as hedges (in millions):

,	Amount of Gain (Loss)				Amount of Gain (Loss)			
	Recognized in				Recognized in			
	Realized	G	ain		Unrealiz	zed	Gain	
	(Loss) or	n D	erivative		(Loss) o	n I	Derivative	
	Instrume	nts	1		Instrum	ent	s	
	Year End	ded	Decembe	er	Year En	de	d Decemb	er
	31,				31,			
Type of Derivative	2016		2015		2016		2015	
Specialty products segment:								
Natural gas swaps	\$ (11.3	)	\$ (10.7	)	\$ 14.7		\$ (2.5	)
Platinum swaps			(0.8)	)			0.1	
Fuel products segment:								
Crude oil swaps	5.3		(67.6	)	7.3		52.0	
Crude oil basis swaps	(4.1	)	1.1		(5.9	)	(7.8	)
Crude oil percentage basis swaps	(4.3	)	(3.2	)	5.4		0.2	
Crude oil options	(2.6	)	6.1		0.3		(0.3	)
Crude oil futures	(2.0	)	_		_		_	
Gasoline swaps	_		(20.0	)	_		(0.7	)
Gasoline crack spread swaps	(2.5	)	(5.5	)	(0.5)	)	(4.3	)
Diesel swaps	_		82.3				(68.7	)
Diesel crack spread swaps	(0.4	)	24.3		(2.7	)	_	
Diesel percentage basis crack spread swaps	_		(0.1	)	_		(4.5	)
2/1/1 crack spread swaps	(0.8	)	_		_		_	
Jet fuel swaps	_		1.6		_		(1.6	)
Natural gas swaps	(1.3	)			1.3		(1.3	)
Total	\$ (24.0	)	\$ 7.5		\$ 19.9		\$ (39.4	)

Derivative Positions — Specialty Products Segment

Natural Gas Swap Contracts

At December 31, 2016, the Company had the following derivatives related to natural gas purchases in its specialty products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

Natural Gas Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	MMBtu	\$/MMBtu
First Quarter 2017	1,350,000	\$ 3.88
Second Quarter 2017	1,320,000	\$ 3.87
Third Quarter 2017	1,320,000	\$ 3.87
Fourth Quarter 2017	960,000	\$ 3.72
Total	4,950,000	
Average price		\$ 3.85

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

At December 31, 2015, the Company had the following derivatives related to natural gas purchases in its specialty products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

Natural Gas Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	MMBtu	\$/MMBtu
First Quarter 2016	1,580,000	\$ 4.24
Second Quarter 2016	1,380,000	\$ 4.26
Third Quarter 2016	1,380,000	\$ 4.26
Fourth Quarter 2016	1,540,000	\$ 4.14
Calendar Year 2017	4,950,000	\$ 3.85
Total	10,830,000	
Average price		\$ 4.05

Natural Gas Collars

As of December 31, 2016, the Company has not entered into derivatives related to natural gas purchases and sales in its specialty products segment. At December 31, 2015, the Company had the following derivatives related to natural gas purchases and sales in its specialty products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

		Average	Average
Natural Gas Collars by Expiration Dates	MMBtu	Bought Call	Sold Put
		(\$/MMBtu)	(\$/MMBtu)
First Quarter 2016	180,000	\$ 4.25	\$ 3.89
Second Quarter 2016	180,000	\$ 4.25	\$ 3.89
Third Quarter 2016	180,000	\$ 4.25	\$ 3.89
Fourth Quarter 2016	60,000	\$ 4.25	\$ 3.89
Total	600,000		
Average price		\$ 4.25	\$ 3.89

Derivative Positions — Fuel Products Segment

Crude Oil Swap Contracts

At December 31, 2016, the Company had the following derivatives related to crude oil purchases in its fuel products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

Crude Oil Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Purchased	BPD	Average Swap (\$/Bbl)
First Quarter 2017	320,049	3,556	\$48.87
Second Quarter 2017	323,605	3,556	\$48.87
Third Quarter 2017	327,161	3,556	\$48.87
Fourth Quarter 2017	327,161	3,556	\$48.87
Total	1,297,976		
Average price			\$48.87

At December 31, 2016, the Company had the following derivatives related to crude oil sales in its fuel products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

Crude Oil Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Sold	BPD	Average Swap (\$/Bbl)
First Quarter 2017	130,320	1,448	\$41.56
Second Quarter 2017	131,768	1,448	\$41.56
Third Quarter 2017	133,216	1,448	\$41.56
Fourth Quarter 2017	133,216	1,448	\$41.56

Total	528,520	
Average price		\$41.56

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

At December 31, 2015, the Company had the following derivatives related to crude oil purchases in its fuel products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

8 1 1, 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
Crude Oil Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Purchased	BPD	Average Swap (\$/Bbl)
First Quarter 2016	29,120	320	\$44.06
Second Quarter 2016	29,120	320	\$44.06
Third Quarter 2016	29,440	320	\$44.06
Fourth Quarter 2016	29,440	320	\$44.06
Calendar Year 2017	630,720	1,728	\$ 54.94
Total	747,840		
Average price			\$ 53.24

Crude Oil Basis Swap Contracts

As of December 31, 2016, the Company has not entered into crude oil basis swaps to mitigate the risk of future changes in pricing differentials between LLS and NYMEX WTI. At December 31, 2015, the Company had the following derivatives related to crude oil basis swaps in its fuel products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

Crude Oil Basis Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Purchased	BPD	Di to W'	verage fferential NYMEX TI (Bbl)
First Quarter 2016	182,000	2,000	\$	2.40
Second Quarter 2016	182,000	2,000	\$	2.40
Third Quarter 2016	184,000	2,000	\$	2.40
Fourth Quarter 2016	184,000	2,000	\$	2.40
Total	732,000			
Average differential			\$	2.40

The Company has entered into crude oil basis swaps to mitigate the risk of future changes in pricing differentials between WCS and NYMEX WTI. At December 31, 2016, the Company had the following derivatives related to crude oil basis swaps in its fuel products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

Crude Oil Basis Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Purchased	BPD	Average Differenti to NYME WTI (\$/Bbl)	
First Quarter 2017	630,000	7,000	\$ (13.22	)
Second Quarter 2017	637,000	7,000	\$ (13.22	)
Third Quarter 2017	644,000	7,000	\$ (13.22	)
Fourth Quarter 2017	644,000	7,000	\$ (13.22	)
Total	2,555,000			
Average differential			\$ (13.22	)

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

At December 31, 2015, the Company had the following derivatives related to crude oil basis swaps in its fuel products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

Crude Oil Basis Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Purchased	BPD	Average Differenti to NYME WTI (\$/Bbl)		
First Quarter 2016	91,000	1,000	\$ (14.10	)	
Second Quarter 2016	91,000	1,000	\$ (14.10	)	
Third Quarter 2016	92,000	1,000	\$ (14.10	)	
Fourth Quarter 2016	92,000	1,000	\$ (14.10	)	
Calendar Year 2017	365,000	1,000	\$ (13.70	)	
Total	731,000				
Average differential	•		\$ (13.90	)	

Crude Oil Percentage Basis Swap Contracts

The Company has entered into derivative instruments to secure a percentage differential of WCS crude oil to NYMEX WTI. At December 31, 2016, the Company had the following derivatives related to crude oil percentage basis swaps in its fuel products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

Fixed

			TIXCU	
			Percen	tage
			of	
Crude Oil Percentage Basis Swan Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels	BPD	NYME	EΧ
	Purchased	DPD	WTI	
			(Avera	ige
			% of	
			WTI/B	Bbl)
First Quarter 2017	270,000	3,000	72.3	%
Second Quarter 2017	273,000	3,000	72.3	%
Third Quarter 2017	276,000	3,000	72.3	%
Fourth Quarter 2017	276,000	3,000	72.3	%
Total	1,095,000			
Average percentage			72.3	%

At December 31, 2015, the Company had the following derivatives related to crude oil percentage basis swaps in its fuel products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

Crude Oil Percentage Basis Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Purchased	BPD	Percent of NYME WTI (Avera % of WTI/B	EX ge
First Quarter 2016	728,000	8,000	73.5	%
Second Quarter 2016	728,000	8,000	73.5	%
Third Quarter 2016	736,000	8,000	73.5	%

Fourth Quarter 2016	736,000	8,000 73.5	%
Calendar Year 2017	730,000	2,000 73.0	%
Total	3,658,000		
Average percentage		73.4	%
128			

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

### **Crude Oil Option Contracts**

As of December 31, 2016, the Company has not entered into derivative instruments to mitigate the risk of future changes in the price of NYMEX WTI crude oil. At December 31, 2015, the Company had the following derivatives related to crude oil call option purchases in its fuel products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

	Damala		Average
Crude Oil Option Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Purchased	BPD	Bought Call
	Purchased		Call
			(\$/Bbl)
Fourth Quarter 2016	350,000	11,290	\$55.00
Total	350,000		
Average price			\$55.00

Gasoline Crack Spread Swap Contracts

At December 31, 2016, the Company had the following derivatives related to gasoline crack spread sales in its fuel products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

Gasoline Crack Spread Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Sold	BPD	Average Swap (\$/Bbl)
First Quarter 2017	590,000	6,556	\$10.21
Total	590,000		
Average price			\$ 10.21

At December 31, 2015, the Company had the following derivatives related to gasoline crack spread sales in its fuel products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

			Average
Gasoline Crack Spread Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Sold	BPD	Swap
			(\$/Bbl)
First Quarter 2016	873,000	9,593	\$ 8.98
Total	873,000		
Average price			\$ 8.98

Diesel Crack Spread Swap Contracts

At December 31, 2016, the Company had the following derivatives related to diesel crack spread sales in its fuel products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

Diesel Crack Spread Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Barrels Sold	BPD	Average Swap (\$/Bbl)	
First Quarter 2017	590,000	6,556	\$13.67	
Total	590,000			
Average price			\$13.67	

At December 31, 2015, the Company did not have any derivatives related to diesel crack spread sales in its fuel products segment.

2/1/1 Crack Spread Swap Contracts

At December 31, 2016, the Company had the following derivatives related to 2/1/1 crack spread sales in its fuel products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

	Barrels		Average
2/1/1 Crack Spread Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Darreis	RPD	Swan
2/1/1 Clack Spiead Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	Sold	טוט	Swap
	5014		(\$/Bbl)

First Quarter 2017 590,000 6,556 \$ 11.91

Total 590,000

Average price \$11.91

At December 31, 2015, the Company did not have any derivatives related to 2/1/1 crack spread sales in its fuel products segment.

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

### Natural Gas Swap Contracts

As of December 31, 2016, the Company had no derivatives related to natural gas purchases in its fuel products segment.

At December 31, 2015, the Company had the following derivatives related to natural gas purchases in its fuel products segment, none of which are designated as hedges:

Natural Gas Swap Contracts by Expiration Dates	MMBtu	\$/MMBtu
First Quarter 2016	603,000	\$ 3.01
Second Quarter 2016	603,000	\$ 2.99
Third Quarter 2016	606,000	\$ 3.03
Fourth Quarter 2016	790,000	\$ 3.02
Total	2,602,000	
Average price		\$ 3.01

## 9. Fair Value Measurements

The Company uses a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. Observable inputs are from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs reflect the Company's assumptions about the factors market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability developed based upon the best information available in the circumstances. These tiers include the following:

Level 1 — inputs include observable unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

Level 2 — inputs include other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable

Level 3 — inputs include unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions

In determining fair value, the Company uses various valuation techniques and prioritizes the use of observable inputs. The availability of observable inputs varies from instrument to instrument and depends on a variety of factors including the type of instrument, whether the instrument is actively traded and other characteristics particular to the instrument. For many financial instruments, pricing inputs are readily observable in the market, the valuation methodology used is widely accepted by market participants and the valuation does not require significant management judgment. For other financial instruments, pricing inputs are less observable in the marketplace and may require management judgment.

Recurring Fair Value Measurements

Derivative Assets and Liabilities

Derivative instruments are reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements at fair value. The Company's derivative instruments consist of over-the-counter ("OTC") contracts, which are not traded on a public exchange. Substantially all of the Company's derivative instruments are with counterparties that have long-term credit ratings of at least Baa1 and BBB+ by Moody's and S&P, respectively.

To estimate the fair values of the Company's commodity derivative instruments, the Company uses the forward rate, the strike price, contractual notional amounts, the risk free rate of return and contract maturity. To estimate the fair value of the Company's fixed-to-floating interest rate swap derivative instrument prior to settlement, the Company used discounted cash flows, which use observable inputs such as maturity and market interest rates. Various analytical tests are performed to validate the counterparty data. The fair values of the Company's derivative instruments are adjusted for nonperformance risk and creditworthiness of the hedging entities through the Company's credit valuation adjustment ("CVA"). The CVA is calculated at the counterparty level utilizing the fair value exposure at each payment date and applying a weighted probability of the appropriate survival and marginal default percentages. The Company uses the counterparty's marginal default rate and the Company is in a net asset position at the payment date and uses the Company's marginal default rate and the counterparty's survival rate when the Company is in a net liability position at the payment date. As a result of applying the applicable CVA at December 31,

2016, the Company's net assets were increased by less than \$0.1 million and net liabilities were reduced by approximately \$0.5 million. As a result of applying the CVA at December 31, 2015, the Company's net liabilities were reduced by approximately \$1.2 million.

Observable inputs utilized to estimate the fair values of the Company's derivative instruments were based primarily on inputs that are readily available in public markets or can be derived from information available in publicly quoted markets. Based on the

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

use of various unobservable inputs, principally non-performance risk, creditworthiness of the hedging entities and unobservable inputs in the forward rate, the Company has categorized these derivative instruments as Level 3. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those unobservable inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. The Company believes it has obtained the most accurate information available for the types of derivative instruments it holds. See Note 8 for further information on derivative instruments. Pension Assets

Pension assets are reported at fair value in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. At December 31, 2016, the Company's investments associated with its Pension Plan (as such term is hereinafter defined) primarily consisted of mutual funds. The mutual funds are valued at the net asset value ("NAV") of shares in each fund held by the Pension Plan at quarter end as provided by the respective investment sponsors or investment advisers. Plan investments can be redeemed within a short time frame (10 or so business days), if requested. See Note 12 for further information on pension assets.

Renewable Identification Numbers Obligation

The Company's RINs Obligation is categorized as Level 2 and is measured at fair value using the market approach based on quoted prices from an independent pricing service. See Note 6 for further information on the Company's RINs Obligation.

Hierarchy of Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The Company's recurring assets and liabilities measured at fair value at December 31, 2016 and 2015 were as follows (in millions):

`	December 31, 2016						December 31, 2015					
	Leve 1	Level Level 2 Level 3 Total					Leve 1	Level	3	Total		
Assets:												
Derivative assets:												
Crude oil swaps	\$—	\$	\$2.9		\$2.9		\$—	\$	\$—		\$—	
Crude oil basis swaps			(2.1	)	(2.1	)	—		_			
Natural gas swaps	_						_		_			
Total derivative assets		_	0.8		0.8				_			
Pension Plan investments	0.3				0.3		0.4		_		0.4	
Total recurring assets at fair value	\$0.3	<b>\$</b> —	\$0.8		\$1.1		\$0.4	<b>\$</b> —	\$—		\$0.4	
Liabilities:												
Derivative liabilities:												
Crude oil swaps	\$—	<b>\$</b> —	\$(0.8	)	\$(0.8	)	\$—	<b>\$</b> —	\$(5.2	)	\$(5.2	)
Crude oil basis swaps	_		(5.0	)	(5.0	)	_		(0.3)	)	(0.3)	)
Crude oil percentage basis swaps			(0.5)	)	(0.5)	)	—		(6.7	)	(6.7	)
Crude oil options	_						_		(0.3)	)	(0.3	)
Gasoline crack spread swaps			(3.5)	)	(3.5)	)	—		(4.3	)	(4.3	)
Diesel crack spread swaps	_		(1.4	)	(1.4	)	_		_			
2/1/1 crack spread swaps	_		(2.5	)	(2.5	)	_		_			
Natural gas swaps	_		(1.1	)	(1.1	)	_		(16.2	)	(16.2	)
Natural gas collars	_						_		(0.9)	)	(0.9)	)
Total derivative liabilities			(14.8	)	(14.8	)	—		(33.9	)	(33.9	)
RINs Obligation	_	(79.3)	_		(79.3	)	_	(88.4)	_		(88.4	)
Total recurring liabilities at fair value	\$—	\$(79.3)	\$(14.8	3)	\$(94.1	)	<b>\$</b> —	\$(88.4)	\$(33.9	9)	\$(122	3)

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The table below sets forth a summary of net changes in fair value of the Company's Level 3 financial assets and liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in millions):

	Tor the Tear
	Ended
	December 31,
	2016 2015
Fair value at January 1,	\$(33.9) \$17.6
Realized (gain) loss on derivative instruments	24.0 (8.1 )
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	19.9 (39.5 )
Change in fair value of cash flow hedges	- (7.3 )
Settlements	(24.0 ) 3.4
Transfers in (out) of Level 3	
Fair value at December 31,	\$(14.0) \$(33.9)
Total gain (loss) included in net loss attributable to changes in unrealized gain (loss) relating to	\$19.9 \$(39.5)
financial assets and liabilities held as of December 31.	$\psi_1, \mathcal{I} = \psi_1, \mathcal{I}$

All settlements from derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges and deemed "effective" are included in sales for gasoline, diesel and jet fuel derivatives, and cost of sales for crude oil derivatives in the consolidated statements of operations in the period that the hedged cash flow occurs. Any "ineffectiveness" associated with these settlements from derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in earnings in realized gain (loss) on derivative instruments in the consolidated statements of operations. All settlements from derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges are accrued and recorded as an adjustment to interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations. All settlements from derivative instruments not designated as hedges are recorded in realized gain (loss) on derivative instruments in the consolidated statements of operations. See Note 8 for further information on derivative instruments.

## Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements

Certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances, such as when there is evidence of impairment. Assets and liabilities acquired in business combinations are recorded at their fair value as of the date of acquisition.

The Company reviews for goodwill impairment annually on October 1 and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate its carrying value may not be recoverable. The fair value of the reporting units is determined using the income approach. The income approach focuses on the income-producing capability of an asset, measuring the current value of the asset by calculating the present value of its future economic benefits such as cash earnings, cost savings, corporate tax structure and product offerings. Value indications are developed by discounting expected cash flows to their present value at a rate of return that incorporates the risk-free rate for the use of funds, the expected rate of inflation and risks associated with the reporting unit. These assets would generally be classified within Level 3, in the event that the Company were required to measure and record such assets at fair value within its consolidated financial statements. See Note 5 for further information on goodwill impairment.

The Company periodically evaluates the carrying value of long-lived assets to be held and used, including indefinite-lived intangible assets and property plant and equipment, when events or circumstances warrant such a review. Fair value is determined primarily using anticipated cash flows assumed by a market participant discounted at a rate commensurate with the risk involved and these assets would generally be classified within Level 3, in the event that the Company was required to measure and record such assets at fair value within its consolidated financial statements.

Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments Cash and cash equivalents

For the Year

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents is considered to be representative of its fair value. Debt

The estimated fair value of long-term debt at December 31, 2016 and 2015, consists primarily of senior notes. The estimated aggregate fair value of the Company's senior notes defined as Level 1 was based upon quoted market prices in an active market. The estimated aggregate fair value of the Company's senior secured notes classified as Level 2 was based upon directly observable inputs. The carrying value of borrowings, if any, under the Company's revolving credit facility, capital lease obligations, related party note payable and other obligations approximate their fair values as determined by discounted cash flows and are classified as Level 3. See Note 7 for further information on long-term debt.

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The Company's carrying and estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments, carried at adjusted historical cost, at December 31, 2016 and 2015, were as follows (in millions):

		Decembe	31, 2016	December 31, 2015			
	Level	Fair Value	C	arrying Value	Fair Value	C	arrying Value
Financial Instrument:							
Senior notes	1	\$1,334.1	\$	1,552.2	\$1,095.8	\$	1,230.8
Senior notes	2	\$458.8	\$	384.5	\$294.1	\$	317.6
Revolving credit facility	3	\$6.0	\$	6.0	\$105.1	\$	105.1
Note payable — related party	3	\$	\$	_	\$73.5	\$	73.5
Capital lease and other obligations	3	\$54.5	\$	54.5	\$46.4	\$	46.4
10 Partners' Capital							

10. Partners' Capital

**Units Outstanding** 

Of the 76,392,258 common units outstanding at December 31, 2016, 60,055,056 common units were held by the public, with the remaining 16,337,202 common units held by the Company's affiliates.

Significant information regarding rights of the limited partners includes the following:

Rights to receive distributions of available cash within 45 days after the end of each quarter, to the extent the Company has sufficient cash from operations after the establishment of cash reserves.

Limited partners have limited voting rights on matters affecting the Company's business. The general partner may consider only the interests and factors that it desires and has no duty or obligation to give any consideration of any interests of the Company's limited partners. Limited partners have no right to elect the board of directors of the Company's general partner.

The vote of the holders of at least 66 2/3% of all outstanding units voting together as a single class is required to remove the general partner. Any holder, other than the general partner or the general partner's affiliates, that owns 20% or more of any class of units outstanding cannot vote on any matter.

The Company may issue an unlimited number of limited partner interests without the approval of the limited partners. Limited partners may be required to sell their units to the general partner if at any time the general partner owns more than 80% of the issued and outstanding common units.

Distributions and Incentive Distribution Rights

The Company's general partner is entitled to incentive distributions if the amount it distributes to unitholders with respect to any quarter exceeds specified target levels shown below:

	Total Quarterly	Marginal Percentage					
		Interest in					
	Distribution Per Common Unit	Distributions					
	Target Amount	Unitho	ol <b>Gæns</b> eral	Partner			
Minimum Quarterly Distribution	\$0.45	98 %	2	%			
First Target Distribution	up to \$0.495	98 %	2	%			
Second Target Distribution	above \$0.495 up to \$0.563	85 %	15	%			
Third Target Distribution	above \$0.563 up to \$0.675	75 %	25	%			
Thereafter	above \$0.675	50 %	50	%			

The Company's ability to make distributions is limited by its debt instruments. The revolving credit facility generally permits the Company to make cash distributions to unitholders as long as immediately after giving effect to such a cash distribution the Company has availability under the revolving credit facility at least the greater of (i) 15% of the Borrowing Base (as defined in the credit agreement) then in effect and (ii) \$70.0 million (which amount is subject to increase in proportion to revolving commitment increases). Further, the revolving credit facility contains one

springing financial covenant which provides that only if the Company's availability under the revolving credit facility falls below the greater of (a) 12.5% of the Borrowing Base (as defined in the credit agreement) then in effect and (b) \$45.0 million (which amount is subject to increase in proportion to revolving commitment increases), the Company will be required to maintain as of the end of each fiscal quarter a Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio (as defined

### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

in the credit agreement) of at least 1.0 to 1.0. The indenture governing the 2021 Secured Notes, which is the most restrictive indenture, provides that if the Company's Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio (as defined in the indenture) for the most recently ended four full fiscal quarters is not less than 2.25 to 1.0, the Company will be permitted to pay distributions to its unitholders in an amount equal to available cash from operating surplus (each as defined in the Company's partnership agreement) with respect to its preceding fiscal quarter, subject to certain customary adjustments described in the indenture. If the Company's Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio for the most recently ended four full fiscal quarters is less than 2.25 to 1.0 but greater than 1.50 to 1.0, the Company will be able to pay distributions to its unitholders up to an amount equal to a \$50.0 million basket. If the Company's Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio is not greater than 1.50 to 1.0, the Company will be able to pay distributions to its unitholders in an amount less than Incremental Funds (as defined in the indenture) not previously expended for such distributions. The indenture related to the 2021 Secured Notes is outlined above as it remains the most restrictive of all senior notes indentures with respect to unitholder distributions.

The Company's distribution policy is as defined in its partnership agreement. In April 2016, the board of directors of the Company's general partner determined to suspend payment of the Company's quarterly cash distribution to unitholders. The board of directors of the Company's general partner will continue to evaluate the Company's ability to reinstate the quarterly cash distribution. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company made distributions of \$57.4 million, \$224.6 million and \$210.2 million, respectively, to its partners. For the year ended December 31, 2016, the general partner was allocated no incentive distribution rights. For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the general partner was allocated \$16.8 million and \$15.4 million, respectively, in incentive distribution rights.

Public Offerings of Common Units

During 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company completed the following marketed public offerings of its common units (in millions except unit and per unit data):

Closing Date	of Common Units Offered	Price per Unit	Net Proceeds	Pa	eneral ertner ontributio	Ur onDi	nderwriti scount	ng Use of Proceeds
March 13, 2015	6,000,000	\$26.75	\$ 153.9	\$	3.3	\$	6.4	Net proceeds were used to redeem a portion of the 2020 Notes and to repay borrowings under the revolving credit facility.

<sup>(1)</sup> Proceeds are net of underwriting discounts, commissions and expenses but before the general partner's capital contribution.

<sup>(2)</sup> The Company's general partner contributions were made to retain its 2% general partner interest. The Company entered into an Equity Placement Agreement with various sales agents under which the Company issued and sold, from time to time, common units representing limited partner interests, having an aggregate offering price of up to \$300.0 million through one or more sales agents (the "Equity Placement Agreement"). The Equity Placement Agreement expired in May 2016. The Equity Placement Agreement provided the Company the right, but not the obligation, to sell common units on an ongoing basis, at prices the Company deemed appropriate. These sales were made pursuant to the terms of the Equity Placement Agreement between the Company and the sales agents. The net proceeds from any sales under this agreement were used for general partnership purposes, including, among other things, repayment of indebtedness, working capital and capital expenditures. The Company's general partner contributed its proportionate capital contribution to retain its 2% general partner interest. The Company had no sales of its common units pursuant to the Equity Placement Agreement during the year ended December 31, 2016. For the

year ended December 31, 2015, the Company sold 432,167 common units under the Equity Placement Agreement for net proceeds of \$10.2 million. Underwriting discounts for 2015 totaled approximately \$0.1 million, and the Company's general partner contributed \$0.2 million to maintain its general partner interest.

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

### 11. Unit-Based Compensation

The Company's general partner originally adopted a Long-Term Incentive Plan on January 24, 2006, which was amended and restated effective December 10, 2015 ("LTIP"), for its employees, consultants and directors and its affiliates who perform services for the Company. The LTIP provides for the grant of restricted units, phantom units, unit options and substitute awards and, with respect to unit options and phantom units, the grant of distribution equivalent rights ("DERs"). Subject to adjustment for certain events, an aggregate of 3,883,960 common units may be delivered pursuant to awards under the LTIP. Units withheld to satisfy the Company's general partner's tax withholding obligations are available for delivery pursuant to other awards. The LTIP is administered by the compensation committee of the Company's general partner's board of directors.

Non-employee directors of the Company's general partner have been granted phantom units under the terms of the LTIP as part of their director compensation package related to fiscal years 2015 and 2016. These phantom units have a four year service period with one-quarter of the phantom units vesting annually on each December 31 of the vesting period. Although ownership of common units related to the vesting of such phantom units does not transfer to the recipients until the phantom units vest, the recipients have DERs on these phantom units from the date of grant. For the year ended December 31, 2016, named executive officers were awarded phantom units under the terms of the LTIP, as part of certain employees were awarded phantom units under the terms of the LTIP as part of the Company's achievement of specified levels of financial performance in fiscal year 2015. These phantom units are subject to time-vesting requirements whereby 25% of the units vest during fiscal year 2016, and the remainder will vest ratably over the next three years on each December 31. Although ownership of common units related to the vesting of such phantom units does not transfer to the recipients until the phantom units vest, the recipients have DERs on these phantom units from the date of grant.

The Company uses the market price of its common units on the grant date to calculate the fair value and related compensation cost of the phantom units. The Company amortizes this compensation cost to partners' capital and general and administrative expense in the consolidated statements of operations using the straight-line method over the service period, as it expects these units to fully vest.

Liability Awards are awards that are expected to be settled in cash on their vesting dates, rather than in equity units. Phantom unit Liability Awards are recorded in accrued salaries, wages and benefits in the consolidated balance sheets based on the vested portion of the fair value of the awards on the balance sheet date. The fair value of Liability Awards are updated at each balance sheet date and changes in the fair values of the vested portions of the awards are recorded as increases or decreases to compensation expense within general and administrative expense in the consolidated statements of operations. As a result of the amendment and restatement of the LTIP on December 10, 2015, all Liability Awards were modified to value the awards based upon the closing unit price on that date. This modification did not affect the remaining service period.

A summary of the Company's non-vested phantom units as of December 31, 2016, and the changes during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, are presented below:

	Number of	Weighted-Average
	Phantom	Grant Date
	Units	Fair Value
Non-vested at January 1, 2014	688,256	\$ 23.70
Granted	477,527	25.97
Vested	(280,263)	23.72
Forfeited	(383,400 )	25.59
Non-vested at December 31, 2014	502,120	\$ 26.48
Granted	343,533	21.70

Vested	(321,741)	23.54
Forfeited	(103,188)	23.94
Non-vested at December 31, 2015	420,724	\$ 24.27
Granted	1,880,094	4.57
Vested	(1,455,131)	6.35
Forfeited	(90,854)	14.82
Non-vested at December 31, 2016	754.833	\$ 9.58

**Table of Contents** 

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, compensation expense of \$5.6 million, \$7.5 million and \$5.5 million, respectively, was recognized in the consolidated statements of operations related to vested phantom unit grants, including \$5.0 million and \$2.5 million, attributable to Liability Awards for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. There was no compensation expense attributable to Liability Awards for the year ended December 31, 2016. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, there was a total of \$7.2 million and \$9.6 million, respectively, of unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested phantom unit grants. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 2 years. The total fair value of phantom units vested during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, was \$5.8 million, \$7.0 million and \$6.7 million, respectively.

12. Employee Benefit Plans

Defined Contribution Plan

The Company has a domestic defined contribution plan administered by its general partner for (i) all full-time employees that are eligible to participate in the plan ("401(k) Plan"). Participants in the 401(k) Plan are allowed to contribute 1% to 70% of their pre-tax earnings to the plan, subject to government imposed limitations. The Company matches 100% of each 1% of eligible compensation contributed by the participant up to 4% and 50% of each additional 1% of eligible compensation contributed up to 6%, for a maximum contribution by the Company of 5% of eligible compensation contributed per participant. The plan also includes a profit-sharing component for eligible employees. Contributions under the profit-sharing component are determined by the board of directors of the Company's general partner and are discretionary. The funding policy is consistent with funding requirements of applicable laws and regulations.

The Company recorded the following 401(k) Plan matching contribution and profit sharing expenses in the consolidated statement of operations for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (in millions):

Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014

401(k) Plan matching contribution expense \$6.6 \$5.9 \$5.4

Profit sharing expense \$— \$— \$1.2

Defined Benefit Pension Plan

The Company has domestic noncontributory defined benefit plans for those salaried employees as well as those employees represented by either the United Steelworkers ("USW") or the International Union of Operating Engineers ("IUOE"); who (i) were formerly employees of Penreco and became employees of the Company as a result of the acquisition of Penreco on January 3, 2008 ("Penreco Pension Plan"), (ii) were formerly employees of Murphy Oil Corporation ("Murphy Oil") represented by the IUOE and who became employees of the Company as a result of the acquisition of the Superior refinery on September 30, 2011 (the "Superior Pension Plan") or (iii) were formerly employees of Montana Refining Company, Inc. and who became employees of the Company as a result of the acquisition of the Great Falls refinery on October 1, 2012 (the "Great Falls Pension Plan" and together with the Penreco Pension Plan and the Superior Pension Plan, the "Pension Plan"). During 2016, the Company made contributions of \$1.6 million to its Pension Plan and expects to make contributions in 2017 of approximately \$0.3 million to its Pension Plan.

Under the Penreco Pension Plan, benefits are based primarily on years of service for USW and IUOE represented employees and the employee's final 60 months' average compensation for salaried employees. In 2009, the Company amended the Penreco Pension Plan, which curtailed Penreco employees from accumulating additional benefits subsequent to December 31, 2009.

Under the Superior Pension Plan, benefits are based primarily on years of service for IUOE represented employees and the employee's three highest consecutive calendar years of compensation within the last 10 years of service.

Effective July 1, 2012, the Company amended the Superior Pension Plan, which curtailed Superior employees from accumulating additional benefits subsequent to December 31, 2012.

Under the Great Falls Pension Plan, benefits are based primarily on years of service and the employees' 36 months' highest average compensation for salaried employees. Effective October 1, 2012, the date of the acquisition of the Great Falls refinery, the Company amended the Montana Pension Plan, which curtailed only the Montana salaried employees from accumulating additional benefits subsequent to October 31, 2012. Effective August 31, 2015, the Company again amended the Great Falls Pension Plan, which curtailed the collective bargaining employees from accumulating additional benefits subsequent to December 31, 2015. As a result, the Company recorded \$0.9 million curtailment gain for the year ended December 31, 2016.

### **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

### **Defined Benefit Other Plans**

The Company also has domestic contributory defined benefit post-retirement medical plans and contributory life insurance plans for (i) those salaried employees, as well as those employees represented by either the International Brotherhood of Teamsters ("IBT"), USW or IUOE, who were formerly employees of Penreco and who became employees of the Company as a result of the acquisition of Penreco on January 3, 2008 ("Penreco Other Plan") or (ii) employees represented by the IUOE, who were formerly employees of Murphy Oil and who became employees of the Company as a result of the acquisition of the Superior refinery on September 30, 2011 ("Superior Other Plan" and together with the Penreco Other Plan, the "Other Plan"). The funding policy is consistent with funding requirements of applicable laws and regulations.

Effective 2009, the Company amended the Penreco Other Plan, which curtailed employees from accumulating additional benefits subsequent to February 28, 2009. Effective July 1, 2012, the Company amended the Superior Other Plan, which curtailed Superior employees from accumulating additional benefits subsequent to December 31, 2012. The long-term accrued benefit obligation recognized in the consolidated balance sheets for the Penreco Other Plan was \$0.2 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015. In addition, there was no other post-retirement benefit income related to this plan for year ended December 31, 2016 and \$0.4 million other post-retirement benefit income related to this plan for 2015.

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

All information presented below has been adjusted for these curtailments for the Pension Plan. The change in the benefit obligations, change in the plan assets, funded status and amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets were as follows (in millions):

	Year Ended
	December 31,
	2016 2015
	Pension Plan
Change in projected benefit obligation:	
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$60.3 \$69.3
Service cost	0.1 0.5
Interest cost	2.5 2.6
Plan curtailment	— (0.9 )
Plan settlements	(0.6) —
Benefit payments	(2.5)(2.6)
Actuarial (gain) loss	1.1 (8.6)
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$60.9 \$60.3
Change in plan assets:	
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$47.5 \$49.6
Plan settlements	(0.6) —
Benefit payments	(2.5)(2.6)
Actual return on assets	3.8 (1.0 )
Employer contribution	1.6 1.5
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$49.8 \$47.5
Funded status — benefit obligation in excess of plan assets	\$(11.1) \$(12.8)
Reconciliation of amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets:	
Accrued benefit obligation, long-term	\$(11.1) \$(12.8)
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	7.1 6.8
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	7.1 6.8
Net amount recognized at end of year	\$(4.0 ) \$(6.0 )

The accumulated benefit obligation for the Pension Plan was \$60.9 million and \$60.3 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Selected information for the Company's Pension Plan with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets were as follows (in millions):

Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015

Accumulated benefit obligation \$60.9 \$60.3 Fair value of plan assets \$49.8 \$47.5

Selected information for the Company's Pension Plan with projected benefit obligation in excess of plan assets were as follows (in millions):

Year Ended
December 31,
2016 2015
Projected benefit obligation \$60.9 \$60.3

Fair value of plan assets \$49.8 \$47.5

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The components of net periodic pension cost (income) for 2016, 2015 and 2014 were as follows (in millions):

Pension Plan Year Ended December 31. 2016 2015 2014 Service cost \$0.1 \$0.5 \$0.4 Interest cost 2.5 2.6 2.6 Expected return on assets (3.2)(3.3)(3.1)Amortization of net loss 0.8 0.1 0.3Curtailment gain recognized (0.9)Net periodic benefit cost (income) \$(0.5) \$(0.3) \$0.2

The components of changes recognized in other comprehensive (income) loss for the Pension Plan for 2016, 2015 and 2014 were as follows (in millions):

Pension Plan Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014

Changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive loss:

Net (gain) loss \$0.4 \$(4.3) \$9.9

Amounts recognized as a component of net periodic benefit cost:

Amortization or settlement recognition of net loss (0.1) (0.8) (0.3) Total recognized in other comprehensive loss \$0.3 \$(5.1) \$9.6

The portion relating to the Pension Plan classified in accumulated other comprehensive loss includes losses of \$7.1 million and \$6.8 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. In 2017, the estimated amount that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss includes a net loss of \$0.1 million for the Pension Plan. For the Pension Plan, the Company uses a corridor approach to amortize actuarial gains and losses. Under this approach, net actuarial gains or losses in excess of ten percent of the larger of the projected benefit obligation or the fair value of plan assets are amortized on a straight-line basis. The period of amortization is the average remaining service of active participants who are expected to receive benefits under the plans.

All pension plans have a December 31 measurement date. The significant weighted average assumptions used to determine the benefit obligations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, were as follows:

Benefit
Obligations
Assumptions
2016 2015

Pension Plan:

Discount rate for Penreco Pension Plan 4.08 % 4.30 % Discount rate for Superior Pension Plan 4.06 % 4.27 % Discount rate for Great Falls Pension Plan 4.04 % 4.21 %

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The significant weighted average assumptions used to determine the net periodic benefit cost (income) for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 were as follows:

	Net Per	riodic B	enefit
	Cost (I	ncome)	
	Assum	ptions	
	2016	2015	2014
Pension Plan:			
Discount rate for Penreco Pension Plan	4.30%	3.92%	4.78%
Discount rate for Superior Pension Plan	4.27%	3.86%	4.66%
Discount rate for Great Falls Pension Plan	4.21%	4.13%	4.97%
Expected return on plan assets for Penreco Pension Plan (1)	6.75%	6.75%	6.75%
Expected return on plan assets for Superior Pension Plan (1)	6.75%	6.75%	6.75%
Expected return on plan assets for Great Falls Pension Plan (1)	6.75%	6.75%	6.75%
Rate of compensation increase for Great Falls Pension Plan	N/A	3.00%	3.00%

The Company considered the historical returns, the future expectation for returns for each asset class and fair value of the plan assets, as well as the target asset allocation of the Pension Plan portfolio which was developed in accordance with the Company's Statement of Investment Policy, to develop the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets.

## **Investment Policy**

The Defined Benefit Plan Investment Committee (the "Investment Committee") is responsible for the overall management of the Pension Plan assets, and its responsibilities encompass establishing the investment strategies and policies, monitoring the management of plan assets, reviewing the asset allocation mix on a regular basis, monitoring the performance of the Pension Plan assets to determine whether the investments objectives are met and guidelines followed and taking the appropriate action if objectives are not followed. The Company uses different investment managers with various asset management objectives to eliminate any significant concentration of risk. The Investment Committee believes there are no significant concentrations of risks associated with the investment assets. The Company's investment manager will assist in the continual assessment of assets and the potential reallocation of certain investments and will evaluate the selection of investment managers for the Pension Plan assets based on such factors as organizational stability, depth of resources, experience, investment strategy and process, performance expectations and fees.

Long-term strategic investment objectives utilize a diversified mix of equity and fixed income securities to preserve the funded status of the trusts, and balance risk and return in relationship to the respective liabilities. The primary investment strategy currently employed is a dynamic de-risking strategy that periodically rebalances among various investment categories depending on the current funded position and maximizes the effectiveness of the Pension Plan asset allocation strategy. This program is designed to actively move from return-seeking investments (such as equities) toward liability-hedging investments (such as fixed income) as funding levels improve.

Effective June 2013, all of the Pension Plan assets were invested in a Master Trust. Trust assets in the Pension Plan are invested subject to the policy restriction that the average quality of the fixed income portfolio must be rated at least investment grade by both Moody's and S&P. These assets are invested in accordance with prudent expert standards as mandated by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA"). The Pension Plan's target asset allocation is currently comprised of the following:

 $\begin{array}{c} Asset \, Class & Range \, of \\ Asset \, Allocation & Allocation \\ Domestic \, equities \, 15–25\% & 20\% \end{array}$ 

Foreign equities	15-25%	20%
Fixed income	55-65%	60%

**Investment Fund Strategies** 

Domestic equity funds include funds that invest in U.S. common and preferred stocks. Foreign equity funds invest in securities issued by companies listed on international stock exchanges. Certain funds have value and growth objectives and managers may

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

attempt to profit from security mispricing in equity markets to meet these objectives. Short-term investments (including commercial paper, certificates of deposits and government repurchase agreements) and derivatives may be used for hedging purposes to limit exposure to various risk factors.

Fixed income funds invest in U.S. dollar-denominated, investment grade bonds, including U.S. Treasury and government agency securities, corporate bonds and mortgage and asset-backed securities. These funds may also invest in any combination of non-investment grade bonds, non-U.S. dollar-denominated bonds and bonds issued by issuers in emerging capital markets. Short-term investments (including commercial paper, certificates of deposits and government repurchase agreements) and derivatives may be used for hedging purposes to limit exposure to various risk factors.

The Company's Pension Plan asset allocations, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, by asset category, are as follows:

	2016		201	5
Cash and cash equivalents	1	%	1	%
Domestic equities	17	%	20	%
Foreign equities	17	%	19	%
Fixed income	65	%	60	%
	100	%	100	1%

At December 31, 2016, the Company's investments associated with its Pension Plan primarily consisted of (i) cash and cash equivalents and (ii) mutual funds. Mutual funds are valued based on the NAV per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient to estimate fair value due to the absence of readily available market prices. NAV's are provided by the respective investment sponsors or investment advisers and are subsequently reviewed and approved by management. In the event management concludes a reported NAV does not reflect fair value or is not determined as of the financial reporting measurement date, the Company will consider whether and when deemed necessary to make an adjustment at the balance sheet date. In determining whether an adjustment to the external valuation is required, the Company will review material factors that could affect the valuation, such as changes to the composition or performance of the underlying investments or comparable investments, overall market conditions, expected sale prices for private investments which are probable of being sold in the short-term and other economic factors that may possibly have a favorable or unfavorable effect on the reported external valuation. See Note 9 for the definition of Level 1.

The Company's Pension Plan assets measured at fair value at December 31, 2016 and 2015, were as follows (in millions):

	Fair Value of Pension		
A	ssets at De	ecember 31,	
20	016	2015	
Le	evel <sub>Total</sub>	Level <sub>Total</sub>	
1	Total	1	
Cash and cash equivalents \$0	0.3 \$0.3	\$0.4 \$0.4	
Total plan assets subject to leveling \$6	0.3 0.3	\$0.4 0.4	
Plan assets measured at net asset value			
Domestic equities	8.6	9.6	
Foreign equities	8.7	9.2	
Fixed income	32.2	28.3	
Total plan assets measured at net asset value	49.5	47.1	
Total plan assets	\$49.8	\$47.5	

## **Table of Contents**

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The following benefit payments for the Pension Plan, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid in the years indicated as of December 31, 2016 (in millions):

	Pension
	Benefits
2017	\$ 2.9
2018	3.0
2019	3.1
2020	3.3
2021	3.4
2022 to 2026	17.9
Total	\$ 33.6

13. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in millions):

	Derivati	ves	Defined Benefit Pension And Retiree Health Benefit Plans	t n F C e T	Foreign Currency Translation	on	Total
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) at December 31, 2014	\$ 25.8		\$(11.5	) \$	(0.6)	)	\$13.7
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	(7.3	)	4.3	((	0.6	)	(3.6)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss	s) (12.1	)	0.4	_	_		(11.7)
Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)	(19.4	)	4.7	((	0.6	)	(15.3)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) at December 31, 2015	\$ 6.4		\$ (6.8	) \$	(1.2	)	\$(1.6)
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications			(0.4)	) –			(0.4)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss	s) (6.4	)	0.1	_			(6.3)
Net current period other comprehensive loss	(6.4	)	(0.3)	) –	_		(6.7)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss at December 31, 2016	\$ —		\$(7.1	) \$	(1.2	)	\$(8.3)

The table below sets forth a summary of reclassification adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive loss in the Company's consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, (in millions):

Components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	2016	2015	Gain (Loss)
Derivative gains (losses) reflected in gross profit			
	\$ 59.7	\$ 179.4	Sales
	(53.3)	(167.3)	Cost of sales
	\$ 6.4	\$ 12.1	Total
Amortization of defined benefit pension benefit plans:			
Amortization of net loss	\$ (0.1)	\$ (0.8)	(1)
	\$ (0.1)	\$ (0.8 )	Total

This accumulated other comprehensive loss component is included in the computation of net periodic pension cost. See Note 12 for additional information.

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

### 14. Income Taxes

The Company conducts certain activities through wholly-owned subsidiaries that are corporations which in certain circumstances are subject to federal, state and local income taxes. As of December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the components of federal and state income tax expense are summarized as follows (in millions):

	December 31,	
	2016 2015	2014
Current expense (benefit):		
Federal	\$(7.4)\$0.1	\$0.2
State	0.4 —	0.2
Total	\$(7.0)\$0.1	\$0.4

## Deferred expense (benefit):

Federal	\$(0.6)\$(26.5) \$(1.5)
State	(0.1)(2.0)0.3
Total	\$(0.7)\$(28.5) \$(1.2)
Total income tax benefit	\$(7.7)\$(28.4) \$(0.8)

A reconciliation of effective tax rate to the U.S. statutory rate attributable to operations for December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

	December 31,		
	2016 2015 2014		
Federal income tax rate	35.0 % 35.0 % 35.0 %		
Partnership earnings not subject to tax	(34.9)% (13.8)% (22.4)%		
State income taxes, net of federal income tax effect	(0.1 )% 0.6 % (0.4 )%		
State tax rate change	— % 0.2 % — %		
Impact of non-deductible goodwill	<b>—</b> % (5.0 )% (11.5)%		
Anchor LLC conversions	— % 0.3 % — %		
Other items, net <sup>(1)</sup>	2.3 % (0.4 )% — %		
Effective tax rate	2.3 % 16.9 % 0.7 %		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(1)</sup> 2016 includes an adjustment for a tax benefit associated with the decision to liquidate a wholly-owned C corporation as of December 31, 2015, and convert it to an entity which will not be subject to tax. See Note 2 for further information on this adjustment.

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

### **Deferred Taxes**

Deferred taxes result from the temporary differences between financial reporting carrying amounts and the tax basis of existing assets and liabilities. The table below summarizes the principal components of the deferred tax assets (liabilities) as follows as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in millions):

	December 31,		
	2016	2015	
Deferred income tax assets:			
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$1.3	\$0.8	
Total deferred income tax assets	\$1.3	\$0.8	
Deferred income tax liabilities:			
Intangible assets	<b>\$</b> —	\$(0.1)	
Unrealized gains	(0.5)	(0.5)	
Property, plant and equipment	(1.8)	(1.9)	
Total deferred income tax liabilities	\$(2.3)	\$(2.5)	

Net deferred income tax liability (1.0) (1.7)

As a result of the Company's analysis, management has determined that the Company does not have any uncertain tax positions. As of December 31, 2016, the Company had tax loss carryforwards of approximately \$3.6 million, which are expected to be utilized prior to expiration in 2036. As of December 31, 2016, the Company had \$1.3 million deferred tax assets arising from net operating loss carryforwards. The Company's federal and state tax returns remain subject to examination by taxing authorities for three years.

## 15. Earnings per Unit

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per limited partner unit for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (in millions, except unit and per unit data):

Year Ended December 31,

2014

2015

2016

Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per limited partner unit:	
Net loss	\$(328.6) \$ (139.4 ) \$ (112.2 )
Less:	
General partner's interest in net loss	(6.6 ) (2.8 ) (2.2 )
General partner's incentive distribution rights	<b>—</b> 16.8 15.4
Net loss available to limited partners	\$(322.0) \$(153.4) \$(125.4)
Denominator for basic and diluted earnings per limited partner unit:	
Basic and diluted weighted average limited partner units outstanding (1)	77,043,93 <b>5</b> 4,896,096 69,671,827
Limited partners' interest basic and diluted net loss per unit	\$(4.18) \$(2.05) \$(1.80)

<sup>(1)</sup> Total diluted weighted average limited partner units outstanding excludes 0.5 million, 0.4 million and 0.2 million potentially dilutive phantom units for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

CALUMET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS PARTNERS, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### 16. Transactions with Related Parties

During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company had product sales to related parties, excluding the transactions discussed below, of \$13.1 million, \$12.0 million and \$9.1 million, respectively. Trade accounts and other receivables from related parties at December 31, 2016 and 2015 were \$1.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. The Company also had purchases from related parties, excluding transactions discussed below, during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 of \$16.2 million, \$21.8 million and \$41.1 million, respectively. Accounts payable to related parties, excluding accounts payable related to the transactions discussed below, at December 31, 2016 and 2015, were \$4.1 million and \$2.3 million, respectively.

The general partner employs all of the Company's employees and the Company reimburses the general partner for certain of its expenses.

The Company has a crude oil supply agreement with Legacy Resources Co., L.P. ("Legacy Resources"), the Master Crude Oil Purchase and Sale Agreement. Legacy Resources is owned in part by one of the Company's general partners, the Company's executive vice chairman of the board of the Company's general partner, F. William Grube. No crude oil is currently being purchased by the Company under this agreement. During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company had no crude oil purchases from Legacy Resources. During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company had crude oil purchases of \$0.8 million from Legacy Resources under spot agreements. The Company had no accounts payable to Legacy Resources at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

Nicholas J. Rutigliano, a former member of the board of directors of the Company's general partner who retired in September 2014, founded Tobias Insurance Group, Inc. ("Tobias"), a commercial insurance brokerage business, which was acquired by Assured Partners, LLC. Mr. Rutigliano continues to serve as president of Tobias. Tobias has historically placed the Company's directors' and officers' liability insurance. There were no premiums paid to Tobias for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. The total premiums paid to Tobias by the Company for the year ended December 31, 2014, was \$0.7 million. With the exception of its directors' and officers' liability insurance which were placed with this commercial insurance brokerage company, the Company placed its insurance requirements with third parties during the year ended December 31, 2014.

The Company has a general services master services agreement with a third party construction company related to the Great Falls refinery expansion project in which various construction related services were performed during 2016, 2015 and 2014. This third party is related to refinery management. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company had capital expenditures of \$10.4 million, \$43.0 million and \$29.0 million, respectively, for construction related services. Accounts payable under this contract at December 31, 2016 and 2015, were \$2.5 million and \$10.0 million, respectively.

During 2015, the Company entered into an agreement for logistic administration/support, general administrative management and fiscal administration services with Monument Chemical, Inc. ("Monument Chemical"). Monument Chemical is owned by a limited partner and a member of the board of the Company's general partner is a member of Monument Chemical's management. Under this agreement, Monument Chemical rents storage tanks in Belgium on the Company's behalf and organizes delivery of products to the Company's customers. A commission is paid to Monument Chemical based on the sales value invoiced to the Company's customers. For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company paid total commissions and general administrative fees of \$1.3 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. Accounts payable under this contract at December 31, 2016 and 2015 were immaterial. During 2016, the Company was provided financial modeling and advisory services from Morris Energy Advisors,

During 2016, the Company was provided financial modeling and advisory services from Morris Energy Advisors, LLC ("Morris Energy Advisors"). Morris Energy Advisors is owned by a member of the board of the Company's general partner. For the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company incurred total fees of \$0.6 million. Accounts payable related to these services at December 31, 2016 were \$0.6 million.

During