Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. Form 10-O August 07, 2014

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ý For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014 Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Commission File Number: 001-36270 SANTANDER CONSUMER USA HOLDINGS INC. (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter) 32-0414408 Delaware (I.R.S. Employer (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) Identification Number) 1601 Elm Street, Suite 800, Dallas, Texas 75201 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) Registrant's telephone number, including area code (214) 634-1110 Not Applicable (Former name, former address, and formal fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  $\acute{y}$  No " Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation ST (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or

a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one): Large accelerated filer "

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer ý Smaller reporting company " Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes "No ý Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class Common Stock (\$0.01 par value) Outstanding at August 4, 2014 348,939,599 shares

# INDEX

Cautionar	y Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information	<u>3</u>
PART I: I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	<u>4</u>
Item 1.	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>4</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>33</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>69</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>69</u>
- <u>PART II:</u>	OTHER INFORMATION	<u>70</u>
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	<u>70</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>70</u>
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>84</u>
Item 3.	Defaults upon Senior Securities	<u>84</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>84</u>
Item 5.	Other Information	<u>84</u>
Item 6.	Exhibits	<u>85</u>
SIGNATU	<u>JRES</u>	<u>86</u>
EXHIBIT	<u>S</u>	

Unless otherwise specified or the context otherwise requires, the use herein of the terms "we," "our," "us," "SCUSA," and the "Company" refer to Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Any statements about our expectations, beliefs, plans, predictions, forecasts, objectives, assumptions, or future events or performance are not historical facts and may be forward-looking. These statements are often, but not always, made through the use of words or phrases such as "anticipates," "believes," "can," "could," "may," "predicts," "potential," "should," "will," "estimate," "plans," "projects," "continuing," "ongoing," "expects," 'similar words or phrases. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, these statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties which are subject to change based on various important factors, some of which are beyond our control. For more information regarding these risks and uncertainties as well as certain additional risks that we face, refer to the Risk Factors detailed in Item 1A of Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, as supplemented by the risks discussed below in this report in Part II, Item 1A, "Risk Factors," as well as factors more fully described in Part I, Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and elsewhere in this report, including the exhibits hereto, and subsequent reports and registration statements filed from time to time with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Among the factors that could cause our financial performance to differ materially from that suggested by the forward-looking statements are:

we operate in a highly regulated industry and continually changing federal, state, and local laws and regulations could materially adversely affect our business;

adverse economic conditions in the United States and worldwide may negatively impact our results; our business could suffer if our access to funding is reduced;

we face significant risks implementing our growth strategy, some of which are outside our control;

our agreement with Chrysler Group LLC ("Chrysler") may not result in currently anticipated levels of growth and is subject to certain performance conditions that could result in termination of the agreement;

our business could suffer if we are unsuccessful in developing and maintaining relationships with automobile dealerships;

our financial condition, liquidity, and results of operations depend on the credit performance of our loans; loss of our key management or other personnel, or an inability to attract such management and personnel, could negatively impact our business; and

future changes in our relationship with Banco Santander, S.A. ("Santander") could adversely affect our operations.

If one or more of the factors affecting our forward-looking information and statements proves incorrect, its actual results, performance or achievements could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, forward-looking information and statements. Therefore, we caution not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking information or statements. The effect of these factors is difficult to predict. Factors other than these also could adversely affect our results, and the reader should not consider these factors to be a complete set of all potential risks or uncertainties. New factors emerge from time to time, and management cannot assess the impact of any such factor on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement. Any forward-looking information or statements, whether written or oral, to reflect any change, except as required by law. All forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.

# PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements SANTANDER CONSUMER USA HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited at June 30, 2014)

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$45,913	\$10,531
Receivables held for sale	123,791	82,503
Retail installment contracts held for investment, net	21,444,601	20,219,609
Unsecured consumer loans, net	1,233,637	954,189
Restricted cash	2,007,946	1,563,613
Receivables from dealers, held for investment, net	85,194	94,745
Accrued interest receivable	344,658	319,157
Leased vehicles, net	3,567,546	2,023,433
Furniture and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$58,587 and \$58,117, respectively	30,405	25,712
Federal, state and other income taxes receivable	7,487	372,338
Deferred tax asset	220,338	197,041
Goodwill	74,056	74,056
Intangible assets	53,637	54,664
Other assets	493,187	410,305
Total assets	\$29,732,396	\$26,401,896
Liabilities and Equity		
Liabilities:		
Notes payable — credit facilities, \$3,300,000 and \$3,650,000 to affiliates, respectively	1\$7,762,950	\$8,099,773
Notes payable — secured structured financings	18,391,660	15,195,887
Accrued interest payable — \$6,485 and \$11,563 to affiliates, respectively	24,452	26,512
Accounts payable and accrued expenses — \$43,930 and \$39,772 to affiliates,	281,250	283,106
respectively	01 145	7 (00
Federal, state and other income taxes payable	81,145	7,623
Other liabilities	88,681	102,163
Total liabilities	26,630,138	23,715,064
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 5 and 10)		
Equity:		
Common stock, $\$0.01$ par value — 1,100,000,000 shares authorized;		
348,931,490 and 346,763,261 shares issued and 348,928,336 and 346,760,107 shares	3,489	3,468
outstanding, respectively	1 550 512	1 400 462
Additional paid-in capital	1,550,513	1,409,463
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	-	) (2,853 )
Retained earnings	1,552,385	1,276,754
Total stockholders' equity	3,102,258	2,686,832
Total liabilities and equity	\$29,732,396	\$26,401,896
See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.		

#### SANTANDER CONSUMER USA HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amo				
		Months Ended	For the Six M	onths Ended
	June 30,	0010	June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Interest on finance receivables and loans	\$1,163,448	\$900,375	\$2,303,777	\$1,712,282
Leased vehicle income	218,938	10,030	366,061	10,030
Other finance and interest income	874	2,156	1,124	4,841
Total finance and other interest income	1,383,260	912,561	2,670,962	1,727,153
Interest expense — Including \$36,836, \$15,390, \$71,07	<sup>9</sup> ,128,314	87,476	252,760	170,473
and \$20,884 to anniates, respectively		<b>5</b> .0 <b>2</b> 0	200.201	<b>5</b> .000
Leased vehicle expense	179,135	7,028	299,204	7,028
Net finance and other interest income	1,075,811	818,057	2,118,998	1,549,652
Provision for loan losses	589,136	408,411	1,287,730	625,604
Net finance and other interest income after provision	486,675	409,646	831,268	924,048
for loan losses		·		
Profit sharing	24,056	7,564	56,217	7,564
Net finance and other interest income after provision	462,619	402,082	775,051	916,484
for loan losses and profit sharing	·		775,051	
Gain on sale of finance receivables and leases	21,602	1,272	57,416	1,272
Servicing fee income	22,099	6,355	32,504	13,626
Fees, commissions, and other	95,030	46,782	184,334	115,640
Total other income	138,731	54,409	274,254	130,538
Salary and benefits expense	93,689	75,332	295,604	137,879
Repossession expense	45,648	30,982	94,079	67,140
Other operating costs	71,889	64,984	139,991	115,153
Total operating expenses	211,226	171,298	529,674	320,172
Income before income taxes	390,124	285,193	519,631	726,850
Income tax expense	143,643	104,129	191,684	256,927
Net income	246,481	181,064	327,947	469,923
Noncontrolling interests		854		2,397
Net income attributable to Santander Consumer USA	<b>\$246.401</b>		<b>* 227 0 17</b>	
Holdings Inc. shareholders	\$246,481	\$181,918	\$327,947	\$472,320
Net income	\$246,481	\$181,064	\$327,947	\$469,923
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedge	S.			
net of tax of \$1,950, (\$1,238), \$720 and (\$2,944)	(3,364)	2,001	(1,276)	4,835
	(-)/	) -  -	()	,
Change in unrealized gains on investments available for	•			
sale, net of tax of zero, \$682, zero and \$1,625		(1,167)		(2,623
Other comprehensive income (loss), net	(3,364)	834	(1,276)	2,212
Comprehensive income	\$243,117	\$181,898	\$326,671	\$472,135
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling	<i><i><i>v</i> = <i>io</i>,<i>i</i> = <i>i</i></i></i>		¢020,071	
interests		586		1,577
Comprehensive income attributable to Santander				
Consumer USA Holdings Inc. shareholders	\$243,117	\$182,484	\$326,671	\$473,712
Net income per common share (basic)	\$0.71	\$0.53	\$0.94	\$1.36
ret meome per common share (basic)	ψ0.71	ψ0.55	Ψυιντ	φ1.50

)

# Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net income per common share (diluted)	\$0.69	\$0.53	\$0.92	\$1.36
Dividends declared per common share	\$0.15	\$0.84	\$0.15	\$0.84
Weighted average common shares (basic)	348,826,897	346,171,491	348,465,666	346,168,144
Weighted average common shares (diluted)	356,381,921	346,171,491	356,008,288	346,168,144

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

### SANTANDER CONSUMER USA HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY (Unaudited) (In thousands)

	Common	Stock	Additional Paid-In	Accumulate Other Comprehens	Retained	Noncontrolli	Total <sup>ng</sup> Stockholders'
	Shares	Amount	•	Loss	Earnings	Interests	Equity
Balance — January 1, 2013	346,165	\$3,462		\$ (9,164 )	\$869,664	\$ 39,932	\$2,239,466
Repayment of employee loans	s —	—	519				519
Stock issued in connection	4		69				69
with employee incentive compensation plans	4		09				09
Capital contribution received							
from shareholder	—	—	48,275	—			48,275
Net income	_	_	_	_	472,320	(2,397)	469,923
Other comprehensive income, net of taxes		_	_	2,212			2,212
Dividends					(290,401)		(290,401)
Balance — June 30, 2013	346,169	\$3,462	\$1,384,435	\$ (6,952 )	\$1,051,583	\$ 37,535	\$2,470,063
	,						
Balance — January 1, 2014	346,760	\$3,468	\$1,409,463	\$ (2,853 )	\$1,276,754	\$ —	\$2,686,832
Stock issued in connection	• • • • •						
with employee incentive	2,168	21	18,239	—			18,260
compensation plans Stock-based compensation							
expense	—	—	122,811	—			122,811
Net income	_		_	_	327,947		327,947
Other comprehensive loss, net	Ī			(1,276)			(1,276)
of taxes	—	_		(1,270)			
Dividends		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	(52,316)		(52,316)
Balance — June 30, 2014	348,928	\$3,489	\$1,550,513	\$ (4,129 )	\$1,552,385	\$ —	\$3,102,258

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

### SANTANDER CONSUMER USA HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

(Onaddied) (Donars in thousands)	For the Six M June 30,	Months Ended	
	2014	2013	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$327,947	\$469,923	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Derivative mark to market	(8,112	) (17,329	)
Provision for credit losses	1,287,730	625,604	
Depreciation and amortization	335,902	36,269	
Accretion of discount and capitalized origination costs, net	(403,654	) (193,359	)
Originations and purchases of receivables held for sale	(2,385,913	) (253,141	)
Proceeds from sales of and repayments on receivables held for sale	2,376,818	162,232	
Gain on sale of finance receivables and leases	(57,416	) (1,272	)
Stock-based compensation	122,811	324	
Deferred tax benefit	(13,027	) (107,707	)
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accrued interest receivable	(59,825	) (38,872	)
Accounts receivable	(19,740	) (2,999	)
Federal income tax and other taxes	438,373	(72,306	)
Other assets	(21,774	) (12,561	)
Accrued interest payable	(2,061	) 4,283	
Other liabilities	(9,242	) 140,174	
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,908,817	739,263	
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Retail installment contracts originated or purchased from dealers	(7,573,492	) (7,254,246	)
Collections on retail installment contracts	4,561,823	4,241,026	
Proceeds from sale of loans held for investment	776,746	_	
Leased vehicles purchased	(2,491,092	) (492,569	)
Manufacturer incentives received	499,500	105,393	
Proceeds from sale of leased vehicles	366,471		
Change in revolving unsecured consumer loans	(87,217	) (283,406	)
Unsecured consumer term loans purchased	(300,832	) (47,350	)
Collections on unsecured consumer term loans	46,633	1,022	
Disbursements for receivables from lenders held for investment	(21,766	) (190,554	)
Collections on receivables from lenders held for investment	23,573	4,040	
Collections on investments available for sale		34,748	
Purchases of furniture and equipment	(11,543	) (11,036	)
Sales of furniture and equipment	885	827	
Upfront fee paid in accordance with private label financing agreement		(150,000	)
Change in restricted cash	(444,333	) (193,155	)
Other investing activities	(22,979	) (3,398	)
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,677,623	) (4,238,658	)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from notes payable related to secured structured financings — net of debt issuance costs	7,717,856	4,318,966	
Payments on notes payable related to secured structured financings	(4,526,678	) (3,811,491	)
r aymonts on notes payable related to secured structured infancings	(1,520,070	, (3,011,771	)

# Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from unsecured notes payable Payments on unsecured notes payable	2,407,533 (2,807,016	1,286,119 ) (839,958 )
Proceeds from notes payable	12,684,871	10,937,485
Payments on notes payable	(12,622,210	) (8,219,888 )
Proceeds from stock option exercises, gross	14,625	
Repurchase of stock - employee tax withholding	(5,908	) —
Dividends paid	(52,316	) (290,401 )
Repayment of employee notes		519
Capital contribution from shareholder		48,275
Cash collateral posted on cash flow hedges	(6,569	) —
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,804,188	3,429,626
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	35,382	(69,769)
Cash — Beginning of period	10,531	70,887
Cash — End of period	\$45,913	\$1,118
See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.		

#### SANTANDER CONSUMER USA HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) (Unaudited)

1. Description of Business, Basis of Presentation, and Changes in Significant Accounting Policies and Practices Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc., a Delaware Corporation ("SCUSA Delaware" or, together with its subsidiaries, "SCUSA" or "the Company"), is the holding company for Santander Consumer USA Inc., an Illinois corporation ("SCUSA Illinois"), and subsidiaries, a specialized consumer finance company focused on vehicle finance and unsecured consumer lending products.

The Company is owned approximately 60.5% by Santander Holdings USA, Inc. ("SHUSA"), a subsidiary of Banco Santander, S.A. ("Santander"), approximately 4.1% by Sponsor Auto Finance Holdings Series LP ("Auto Finance Holdings"), approximately 10.0% by DDFS LLC, an entity affiliated with Thomas G. Dundon, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), approximately 25.3% by public shareholders and approximately 0.1% by other holders, primarily members of senior management.

The Company's primary business is the indirect origination of retail installment contracts principally through manufacturer-franchised dealers in connection with their sale of new and used vehicles to retail consumers. In conjunction with a ten-year private label financing agreement with Chrysler Group (the "Chrysler Agreement") that became effective May 1, 2013, the Company offers a full spectrum of auto financing products and services to Chrysler customers and dealers under the Chrysler Capital brand. These products and services include consumer retail installment contracts and leases, as well as dealer loans for inventory, construction, real estate, working capital and revolving lines of credit.

The Company also originates vehicle loans through a Web-based direct lending program, purchases vehicle retail installment contracts from other lenders, and services automobile and recreational and marine vehicle portfolios for other lenders. Additionally, the Company has several relationships through which it provides unsecured consumer loans, private label credit cards and other consumer finance products.

**Basis of Presentation** 

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries, including certain special purpose financing trusts utilized in financing transactions ("Trusts"), which are considered variable interest entities ("VIEs"). The Company consolidates other VIEs for which it was deemed the primary beneficiary. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, these financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods indicated. Results of operations for the periods presented herein are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for the entire year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions which affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the amount of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates and those differences may be material. These estimates include the determination of loan loss allowance, discount accretion, impairment, expected end-of-term lease residual values, values of repossessed assets, and income taxes. These estimates, although based on actual historical trends and modeling, may potentially show significant variances over time.

# **Business Segment Information**

The Company has one reportable segment: Consumer Finance, which includes the Company's vehicle financial products and services, including retail installment contracts, vehicle leases, and dealer loans, as well as financial products and services related to motorcycles, RVs, and watercraft. It also includes the Company's unsecured personal loan and point-of-sale financing operations.

Accounting Policies

The Company has identified the following significant accounting policies and estimates used by management in the preparation of the Company's financial statements: retail installment contracts, unsecured consumer loans, receivables from dealers, provision for loan losses, leased vehicles, income taxes, and earnings per share. As of June 30, 2014, there have been no significant changes to the Company's accounting policies as disclosed in the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, Income Taxes: Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. This ASU provides guidance on the presentation of unrecognized tax benefits, particularly the manner in which an entity would settle, at the reporting date, any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position when net operating loss carryforwards, similar tax losses, or tax credit carryforwards exist. This guidance became effective for the Company January 1, 2014 and implementation did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which provides guidance on a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers. This guidance is effective beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact to the consolidated financial statements as loan and lease contracts are excluded.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-11, Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures. The standard requires entities to account for repurchase-to-maturity transactions as secured borrowings, eliminates accounting guidance on linked repurchase financing transactions, and expands disclosure requirements related to certain transfers of financial assets that are accounted for as secured borrowings. This guidance is effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2015 and early adoption is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award That a Performance Target Could be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period. This standard affects entities that issue share-based payments when the terms of an award stipulate that a performance target could be achieved after an employee completes the requisite service period. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015 and early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption on its consolidated financial statements.

#### 2. Finance Receivables

Finance receivables held for investment at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, were comprised as follows:

	June 30, 2014 Retail Installm Investment	nent Contracts H	leld for	I		
				Receivables		
	Loans	Purchased		from	Unsecured	
	Acquired	Receivables	Total	Dealers Held	Consumer	
	Individually	Portfolios		for	Loans	
				Investment		
Unpaid principal balance	\$23,675,889	\$1,272,807	\$24,948,696	\$85,885	\$1,448,709	
Loan loss allowance (Note 3)	(2,668,587)	(197,844)	(2,866,431)	(923)	(212,954	)
Discount	(659,154)	(13,679)	(672,833)		(3,067	)
Capitalized origination costs and fees	35,169		35,169	232	949	
Net carrying balance	\$20,383,317	\$1,061,284	\$21,444,601	\$85,194	\$1,233,637	
	December 31, Retail Installm Investment	2013 nent Contracts H	leld for			
	Retail Installm		leld for	Receivables		
	Retail Installm		leld for	Receivables from	Unsecured	
	Retail Installm Investment	nent Contracts H	leld for Total		Unsecured Consumer	
	Retail Installm Investment Loans	nent Contracts H Purchased		from		
	Retail Installm Investment Loans Acquired	nent Contracts H Purchased Receivables		from Dealers Held	Consumer	
Unpaid principal balance	Retail Installm Investment Loans Acquired Individually \$21,238,281	Purchased Receivables Portfolios \$1,961,060	Total \$23,199,341	from Dealers Held for Investment \$95,835	Consumer Loans \$1,165,778	
Unpaid principal balance Loan loss allowance (Note 3)	Retail Installm Investment Loans Acquired Individually \$21,238,281 (2,132,634)	Purchased Receivables Portfolios \$1,961,060 (226,356)	Total \$23,199,341 (2,358,990)	from Dealers Held for Investment \$95,835	Consumer Loans \$1,165,778 (179,350	)
Loan loss allowance (Note 3) Discount	Retail Installm Investment Loans Acquired Individually \$21,238,281 (2,132,634) (573,462)	Purchased Receivables Portfolios \$1,961,060 (226,356)	Total \$23,199,341 (2,358,990) (654,678)	from Dealers Held for Investment \$95,835	Consumer Loans \$1,165,778 (179,350 (32,831	)
Loan loss allowance (Note 3)	Retail Installm Investment Loans Acquired Individually \$21,238,281 (2,132,634)	Purchased Receivables Portfolios \$1,961,060 (226,356)	Total \$23,199,341 (2,358,990)	from Dealers Held for Investment \$95,835	Consumer Loans \$1,165,778 (179,350	))

As of June 30, 2014, retail installment contracts and receivables from dealers held for sale totaled \$89,404 and \$34,387, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, retail installment contracts and receivables from dealers held for sale totaled \$56,066 and \$26,437, respectively. Sales of retail installment contracts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 included principal balance amounts of approximately \$1,384,174 and \$3,069,898. The Company retains servicing of sold retail installment contracts and was servicing \$4,589,650 and \$2,847,656 as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, of contracts owned by unrelated third parties, including contracts sold by the Company. No receivables from dealers were sold during the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Retail installment contracts are collateralized by vehicle titles, and the Company has the right to repossess the vehicle in the event the consumer defaults on the payment terms of the contract. Most of the Company's retail installment contracts held for investment are pledged against warehouse facilities or securitization bonds (Note 6). Most of the creditors on the Company's retail installment contracts are retail consumers; however, approximately \$605,261 and \$345,177 of the unpaid principal balance represented fleet contracts with commercial consumers as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Borrowers on the Company's retail installment contracts held for investment are located in Texas (18%), Florida (11%), California (9%), Georgia (5%), and other states each individually representing less than 5% of the Company's total.

Receivables from dealers held for investment includes a term loan, which was previously a residual warehouse credit facility, with a third-party vehicle dealer and lender that operates in multiple states. The loan allowed committed borrowings of \$50,000 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, and the facility balance was \$50,000 at each of those

dates. The term loan will mature on December 1, 2019.

Borrowers on the Company's remaining receivables from dealers held for investment, all of which are Chrysler-affiliated, are located in Ohio (31%), New York (19%), California (14%), Tennessee (12%), Louisiana (9%), Mississippi (5%), and other states each individually representing less than 5% of the Company's total.

Borrowers on the Company's unsecured consumer loans are located in California (10%), New York (8%), Texas (8%), Florida (6%), Pennsylvania (5%), and other states each individually representing less than 5% of the Company's total. Changes in accretable yield on the Company's purchased receivables portfolios for the periods indicated were as follows:

	For the Three	Months Ended	For the Six Months Ended		
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	
Balance — beginning of period	\$362,823	\$743,348	\$403,400	\$816,854	
Accretion of accretable yield	(52,519)	(112,513)	(117,565)	(247,712)	
Reclassifications from (to) nonaccretable difference	(5,050)	(95,179)	19,419	(33,486)	
Balance — end of period	\$305,254	\$535,656	\$305,254	\$535,656	

The Company did not acquire any vehicle loan portfolios for which it was probable at acquisition that not all contractually required payments would be collected during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. Interest receivable on purchased receivables portfolios totaled \$10,516 and \$16,950 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

3. Loan Loss Allowance and Credit Quality

Loan Loss Allowance

The Company estimates loan losses on individually acquired retail installment contracts and unsecured consumer loans held for investment based on delinquency status, historical loss experience, estimated values of underlying collateral, when applicable, and various economic factors. The Company maintains a general loan loss allowance for receivables from dealers based on risk ratings, and individually evaluates the loans for specific impairment as necessary. The activity in the loan loss allowance for individually acquired loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was as follows:

	Three Month	s Ended June	30, 2014	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013			
	Retail Installment Contracts Acquired Individually	Receivables from Dealers Held for Investment	Unsecured Consumer Loans	Retail Installment Contracts Acquired Individually	Receivables from Dealers Held for Investment	Unsecured Consumer Loans	
Balance — beginning of period	\$2,444,552	\$1,035	\$203,190	\$1,660,612	\$—	\$—	
Provision for loan losses	527,362	(112)	70,212	375,281	1,490	39,256	
Charge-offs	(700,965)		(66,966)	(369,395)		(6	)
Recoveries	397,638		6,518	197,815		—	
Balance — end of period	\$2,668,587	\$923	\$212,954	\$1,864,313	\$1,490	\$39,250	

The loan loss allowance for receivables from dealers is comprised entirely of general allowances as none of these receivables have been determined to be individually impaired.

	Six Months E	Ended June 30, 2	2014	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013		
	Retail	Receivables		Retail	Receivables	
	Installment	from Dealers	Unsecured	Installment	from Dealers	Unsecured
	Contracts	Held	Consumer	Contracts	Held	Consumer
	Acquired	for	Loans	Acquired	for	Loans
	Individually	Investment		Individually	Investment	
Balance — beginning of perio	d\$2,132,634	\$1,090	\$179,350	\$1,555,362	\$—	\$—
Provision for loan losses	1,184,068	(167)	132,341	626,922	1,490	39,256
Charge-offs	(1,453,530)		(107,914)	(754,121)		(6)
Recoveries	805,415	—	9,177	436,150	_	_

Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc Form 10-Q								
Balance — end of period	\$2,668,587	\$923	\$212,954	\$1,864,313	\$1,490	\$39,250		
11								

The activity in the impairment reserves related to purchased receivables portfolios for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was as follows:

	Three Month June 30,	is Ended	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Balance — beginning of period	\$206,170	\$184,192	\$226,356	\$218,640	
Incremental provisions for purchased receivable portfolios	350	18,314	1,675	39,976	
Incremental reversal of provisions for purchased receivable portfolios	(8,676	) (25,930	) (30,187	) (82,040 )	
Balance — end of period	\$197,844	\$176,576	\$197,844	\$176,576	

Delinquencies

Retail installment contracts and unsecured consumer amortizing term loans are classified as non-performing when they are greater than 60 days past due as to contractual principal or interest payments. At the time a loan is placed on non-accrual status, previously accrued and uncollected interest is reversed against interest income. If an account is returned to a performing status of 60 days or less past due, the Company returns to accruing interest on the contract. The accrual of interest on revolving unsecured consumer loans continues until the loan is charged off. A summary of delinquencies as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is as follows:

	June 30, 2014				
	Retail Installment Contracts Held for			Receivables	
	Investment			from Dealers	Unsecured
	Loans	Purchased		Held	Consumer
	Acquired	Receivables	Total	for	Loans
	Individually	Portfolios		Investment	
Principal, current	\$21,047,173	\$981,616	\$22,028,789	\$85,885	\$1,283,884
Principal, 31-60 days past due	1,829,261	194,069	2,023,330		45,382
Delinquent principal over 60 days	799,455	97,122	896,577		119,443
Total principal	\$23,675,889	\$1,272,807	\$24,948,696	\$85,885	\$1,448,709
		0010			
	December 31,				
	Retail Installn	2013 nent Contracts H	Held for	Receivables	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nent Contracts H	Held for	from Dealers	Unsecured
	Retail Installn	nent Contracts F Purchased	Held for		Unsecured Consumer
	Retail Installn Investment	nent Contracts H	Held for Total	from Dealers	
	Retail Installn Investment Loans	nent Contracts F Purchased		from Dealers Held	Consumer
Principal, current	Retail Installn Investment Loans Acquired	nent Contracts F Purchased Receivables		from Dealers Held for	Consumer
Principal, current Principal, 31-60 days past due	Retail Installn Investment Loans Acquired Individually	nent Contracts F Purchased Receivables Portfolios	Total	from Dealers Held for Investment	Consumer Loans
	Retail Installn Investment Loans Acquired Individually \$18,653,827	Purchased Receivables Portfolios \$1,457,813	Total \$20,111,640	from Dealers Held for Investment	Consumer Loans \$1,072,316

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were no receivables held for sale that were non-performing. FICO® Distribution — A summary of the credit risk profile of the Company's consumer loans by FICO® distribution, determined at origination, as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was as follows:

June 30, 2014

	Retail Installment	Unsecured
	Contracts Held	Consumer
FICO Band	for Investment	Loans
<540	26.7%	10.0%
540-599	32.3%	21.3%
600-659	26.5%	40.9%
>660	14.5%	27.8%
December 31, 2013		
	Retail Installment	Unsecured
	Contracts Held	Consumer
FICO Band	for Investment	Loans
<540	26.8%	6.3%
540-599	31.8%	24.2%
600-659	26.3%	39.4%
>660	15.1%	30.1%

Commercial Lending Credit Quality Indicators — The credit quality of receivables from dealers, which are considered commercial loans, is summarized according to standard regulatory classifications as follows:

Pass — Asset is well protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or guarantors, if any, or by the fair value less costs to acquire and sell any underlying collateral in a timely manner.

Special Mention — Asset has potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention, which, if left uncorrected, may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for an asset at some future date. Special Mention assets are not adversely classified.

Substandard — Asset is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or by the collateral pledged, if any. A well-defined weakness or weaknesses exist that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. The loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful — Exhibits the inherent weaknesses of a substandard credit. Additional characteristics exist that make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable, on the basis of currently known facts, conditions and values. Possibility of loss is extremely high, but because of certain important and reasonable specific pending factors which may work to the advantage and strengthening of the credit, an estimated loss cannot yet be determined. Loss — Credit is considered uncollectible and of such little value that it does not warrant consideration as an active asset. There may be some recovery or salvage value, but there is doubt as to whether, how much or when the recovery would occur.

Commercial loan credit quality indicators for receivables from dealers held for investment as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were as follows:

Pass Special Montion	June 30, 2014 \$83,754 2,131	December 31, 2013 \$95,835
Special Mention	2,131	
Substandard		
Doubtful	—	—
Loss	\$85,885	 \$95,835

# Troubled Debt Restructurings

In certain circumstances, the Company modifies the terms of its finance receivables to troubled borrowers. Modifications may include a reduction in interest rate, an extension of the maturity date, rescheduling future cash flows, or a combination thereof. A modification of finance receivable terms is considered a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR") if the Company grants a concession to a borrower for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties which would not otherwise have been considered. Management considers TDRs to include all individually acquired retail installment contracts that have been modified at least once, deferred for a period of 90 days or more, or deferred at least twice during the period. Additionally, modifications set forth through bankruptcy proceeding are deemed to be TDRs by the Company. The purchased receivables portfolio is excluded from the scope of the applicable guidance. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were no receivables from dealers classified as a TDR.

The table below presents the Company's loans modified in TDRs as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	June 30, 2014	,	December 31,	,
	Retail	Unsecured	Retail	Unsecured
	Installment	Consumer	Installment	Consumer
	Contracts	Loans	Contracts	Loans
Total TDR principal	\$3,151,614	\$19,540	\$2,604,351	\$8,391
Accrued interest	85,218		70,965	_
Discount	(87,743)	) (58	) (70,321 )	(274)
Origination costs	4,682	18	4,161	5
Outstanding recorded investment	3,153,771	19,500	2,609,156	8,122
Allowance for loan losses	(551,767)	) (6,040	) (475,128 )	(2,345)
Outstanding recorded investment, net of allowance	\$2,602,004	\$13,460	\$2,134,028	\$5,777

A summary of the Company's performing and non-performing TDRs at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, is as follows:

	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Retail Unsecured		Retail	Unsecured
	Installment	Consumer	Installment	Consumer
	Contracts	Loans	Contracts	Loans
Current	\$2,171,354	\$13,008	\$1,690,893	\$6,120
31-60 days past due	635,787	972	556,489	875
Greater than 60 days past due (non-performing)	344,473	5,560	356,969	1,396
Total TDRs	\$3,151,614	\$19,540	\$2,604,351	\$8,391

A loan that has been classified as a TDR remains so until the loan is liquidated through payoff or charge-off. Consistent with other of the Company's retail installment contracts, TDRs are placed on nonaccrual status when the account becomes past due more than 60 days, and return to accrual status when the account is 60 days or less past due. Average recorded investment and income recognized on TDR loans are as follows:

	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended				
	June 30, 2014		June 30, 2013		June 30, 2014		June 30, 2013	
	Retail	Unsecured	Retail	Unsecured	Retail	Unsecured	Retail	Unsecured
	Installment	Consumer	Installment	Consumer	Installment	Consumer	Installment	Consumer
	Contracts	Loans	Contracts	Loans	Contracts	Loans	Contracts	Loans
Average outstanding recorded	\$2,979,944	\$14,570	\$1,683,372	\$62	\$2,856,348	\$12,510	\$1,628,540	\$41

Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc Form 10-Q								
investment in TDRs Interest income recognized	\$112,138	\$391	\$38,260	\$1	\$232,589	\$720	\$130,401	\$1
14								

# TDR Impact on Allowance for Loan Losses

Prior to a loan being classified as a TDR, the Company generally estimates an appropriate allowance for loan loss based on delinquency status, the Company's historical loss experience, estimated values of underlying collateral, and various economic factors. Once a loan has been classified as a TDR, impairment is measured based on present value of expected future cash flows considering all available evidence, including collateral values.

The following table summarizes the financial effects of loan modifications accounted for as TDRs that occurred during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Three Months Ended				
	June 30, 2014		June 30, 2013		
	Retail Unsecured		Retail	Unsecured	
	Installment	Consumer	Installment	Consumer	
	Contracts	Loans	Contracts	Loans	
Troubled Debt Restructurings:					
Outstanding recorded investment before TDR	\$743,664	\$4,637	\$473,775	\$150	
Outstanding recorded investment after TDR	\$699,158	\$4,573	\$444,971	\$149	
Number of contracts	44,524	4,116	29,797	143	
	Six Months En	ded			
	Six Months En June 30, 2014	ded	June 30, 2013		
		ded Unsecured	June 30, 2013 Retail	Unsecured	
	June 30, 2014			Unsecured Consumer	
	June 30, 2014 Retail	Unsecured	Retail		
Troubled Debt Restructurings:	June 30, 2014 Retail Installment	Unsecured Consumer	Retail Installment	Consumer	
Troubled Debt Restructurings: Outstanding recorded investment before TDR	June 30, 2014 Retail Installment	Unsecured Consumer	Retail Installment	Consumer	
c c	June 30, 2014 Retail Installment Contracts	Unsecured Consumer Loans	Retail Installment Contracts	Consumer Loans	
Outstanding recorded investment before TDR	June 30, 2014 Retail Installment Contracts \$1,367,673	Unsecured Consumer Loans \$6,748	Retail Installment Contracts \$764,636	Consumer Loans \$150	

For retail installment contracts, a TDR is considered to have subsequently defaulted at the earlier of the date of repossession or 120 days past due after becoming a TDR. For unsecured consumer loans, a TDR is considered to have subsequently defaulted upon charge off, which for revolving unsecured loans is generally at 180 days past due. Loan modifications accounted for as TDRs within the previous 12 months that subsequently defaulted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 are summarized in the following table:

	Three Months Ended				
	June 30, 2014		June 30, 2013		
	Retail	Unsecured	Retail	Unsecured	
	Installment	Consumer	Installment	Consumer	
	Contracts	Loans	Contracts	Loans	
Troubled debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted	\$70,811	(a)	\$24,471	\$—	
Number of contracts	7,234	(a)	2,609	_	

	Six Months Ended				
	June 30, 2014		June 30, 2013		
	Retail	Unsecured	Retail	Unsecured	
	Installment	Consumer	Installment	Consumer	
	Contracts	Loans	Contracts	Loans	
Troubled debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted	\$120,275	(a)	\$38,491	\$—	
Number of contracts	13,123	(a)	4,234		

(a) Subsequent defaults on unsecured consumer loan TDRs were immaterial for the periods presented.

4. Leased Vehicles, net

Leased vehicles consisted of the following as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

The following summarizes the future minimum rental payments due to the Company as lessor under operating leases as of June 30, 2014:

Remainder of 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018	\$318,976 616,414 472,417 90,436 96
Thereafter	—
Total	\$1,498,339

# 5.Debt

Revolving Credit Facilities

The following table presents information regarding credit facilities as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013: June 30, 2014

	June 30, 2014					
	Maturity Date(s)	Utilized Balance	Committed Amount	Effective Rate	Assets Pledged	Restricted Cash Pledged
Warehouse line (a	) July 2014	\$109,461	\$500,000	2.05%	\$158,591	\$172
Warehouse line	Various (b)	552,268	1,235,038	1.14%	816,330	25,768
Warehouse line (c	) January 2016	1,318,088	4,550,000	1.15%	1,756,086	35,685
Warehouse line	December 2015	464,037	2,000,000	2.18%	570,306	11,993
Warehouse line	July 2015	153,515	500,000	1.64%	191,391	7,532
Warehouse line (d	) September 2015	196,880	200,000	1.97%	290,043	12,054
Repurchase facility (e)	<sup>y</sup> Various	747,342	747,342	1.52%	844,305	_
Warehouse line	December 2015	495,765	750,000	0.91%	675,143	10,083
Warehouse line (f)	November 2016	175,000	175,000	1.71%		
Warehouse line (g	) March 2015	250,594	250,594	0.99%	296,929	
Total facilities wit	h	4,462,950	10,907,974		5,599,124	103,287
third parties	_	1,102,950	10,907,977		5,577,121	105,207
Lines of credit wit	h					
Santander and						
related subsidiarie (h):	8					
	December					
Line of credit	2016	500,000	500,000	2.45%	1,623	—
Line of credit	December 2018	_	500,000	0.25%	_	_
Line of credit	December 2016	1,750,000	1,750,000	2.26%	_	_
Line of credit	December 2018	750,000	1,750,000	2.59%	187,555	_
Line of credit	March 2017	300,000	300,000	1.70%	_	
Total facilities wit	h					
Santander and		3,300,000	4,800,000		189,178	—
related subsidiarie	S					
Total revolving credit facilities		\$7,762,950	\$15,707,974		\$5,788,302	\$103,287
17						

	December 31, 2	2013				
	Maturity	Utilized	Committed	Effective Date	Assets Pledged	Restricted
	Date(s)	Balance	Amount	Lifective Kate	Assels r leugeu	Cash Pledged
Warehouse line	June 2014	\$483,738	\$500,000	0.82%	\$757,352	\$—
Warehouse line	Various	159,300	1,219,474	3.62%	232,015	3,667
Warehouse line	April 2014	613,600	4,550,000	2.12%	745,759	15,184
Warehouse line	June 2015	1,360,070	2,000,000	0.96%	1,672,082	42,510
Warehouse line	July 2015	495,786	500,000	0.85%	598,754	25,056
Warehouse line	September 2015	73,080	200,000	2.84%	76,807	2,701
Repurchase facility	Various	879,199	879,199	1.59%		_
Warehouse line	December 2015	210,000	750,000	1.84%	302,632	_
Warehouse line	November 2016	175,000	175,000	1.72%	_	—
Total facilities with third parties		4,449,773	10,773,673		4,385,401	89,118
Lines of credit with	1					
Santander and						
related subsidiaries						
Line of credit	December 2016	500,000	500,000	2.48%	10,674	_
Line of credit	December 2018	_	500,000	3.10%	_	_
Line of credit	December 2016	1,750,000	1,750,000	2.09%	_	
Line of credit	December 2018	1,400,000	1,750,000	2.58%	93,969	
Total facilities with	1					
Santander and		3,650,000	4,500,000		104,643	
related subsidiaries	5					
Total revolving credit facilities		\$8,099,773	\$15,273,673		\$4,490,044	\$89,118

(a)In July 2014, the maturity date of this facility was extended to June 2015.

(b)Half of the outstanding balance on this facility matures in March 2015 and half in March 2016.

This line is held exclusively for Chrysler Capital retail loan and lease financing, with lease financing comprising no (c)more than 50% of the outstanding balance upon advance. In July 2014, the committed amount was reduced to \$4.3 billion and the maturity date was extended to June 2016.

(d) This line is held exclusively for unsecured consumer term loans.

(e) The repurchase facility is also collateralized by securitization notes payable retained by the Company. No portion of this facility is unsecured. This facility has rolling 30-day and 90-day maturities.

(f) This line is collateralized by residuals retained by the Company.

(g) This line is collateralized by securitization notes payable retained by the Company.

These lines are also collateralized by securitization notes payable and residuals retained by the Company. As of

(h)June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$1,569,930 and \$1,123,354, respectively, of the aggregate outstanding balances on these facilities were unsecured.

Facilities with Third Parties

# Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

The warehouse lines and repurchase facility are fully collateralized by a designated portion of the Company's retail installment contracts (Note 2), leased vehicles (Note 4), securitization notes payables and residuals retained by the Company. The Company was in compliance with all covenants related to these financing arrangements at June 30, 2014.

Lines of Credit with Santander and Related Subsidiaries

Through its New York branch, Banco Santander provides the Company with \$4,500,000 of long-term committed revolving credit facilities. Through SHUSA, under an agreement entered into on March 6, 2014, Santander provides the Company with an additional \$300,000 of committed revolving credit, collateralized by residuals retained on its own securitizations. The fundings through the New York branch and through SHUSA are collectively known as the "Santander Credit Facilities."

The facilities offered through the New York branch are structured as three- and five- year floating rate facilities, with current maturity dates of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2018. Santander has the option to continue to renew the term of these facilities annually going forward, thereby maintaining the three and five year maturities. These

facilities currently permit unsecured borrowing but generally are collateralized by retail installment contracts and retained residuals. Any secured balances outstanding under the facilities at the time of their maturity will amortize to match the maturities and expected cash flows of the corresponding collateral. Secured Structured Financings

The following table presents information regarding secured structured financings as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	June 30, 2014 Original Estimated Maturity Date(s) October 2016 -	Balance	Initial Note Amounts Issued	Initial Weighted Average Interest Rate	Collateral	Restricted Cash
2010 Securitizations	November 2017	\$333,148	\$3,671,749	1.04%-1.44%	\$641,669	\$142,992
2011 Securitizations	October 2015 - September 2017	653,968	4,693,289	1.21%-2.80%	1,038,660	157,307
2012 Securitizations	November 2017 - December 2018	3,088,855	8,023,840	0.92%-1.68%	3,899,764	355,658
2013 Securitizations	January 2019 - January 2021	4,338,610	6,689,700	0.89%-1.59%	5,295,330	348,040
2014 Securitizations	April 2020 - August 2020	3,706,441	4,100,000	1.63%-1.72%	4,385,840	236,269
Public securitizations		12,121,022	27,178,578		15,261,263	1,240,266
2010 Private issuances	June 2011	209,128	516,000	1.29%	338,513	9,538
2011 Private issuances	December 2018	1,222,065	4,856,525	1.46%-1.80%	1,652,724	56,942
2012 Private issuances	May 2016	14,962	70,308	1.07%	20,177	1,874
2013 Private issuances	September 2018 - September 2020	2,411,661	2,693,754	1.13%-1.38%	3,249,917	89,031
2014 Private issuances	March 2018 - August 2021	2,412,822	2,680,379	1.09%-1.85%	2,965,196	112,116
Privately issued amortizing notes		6,270,638	10,816,966		8,226,527	269,501
Total secured structured financings		\$18,391,660	\$37,995,544		\$23,487,790	\$1,509,767
-	December 31, 2 Original Estimated Maturity	2013 Balance	Initial Note Amounts Issued	Initial Weighted Average	Collateral	Restricted Cash

Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer	r USA Holdings Inc Form 10-Q
----------------------------------	------------------------------

	Date(s)			Interest Rate		
2010 Securitizations	October 2016 - November 2017	\$632,251	\$4,671,749	1.04%-1.44%	\$1,143,435	\$205,190
2011 Securitizations	October 2015 - September 2017	1,218,208	5,605,609	1.21%-2.80%	1,634,220	195,854
2012 Securitizations	November 2017 - December 2018	4,061,127	8,023,840	0.92%-1.68%	5,013,135	383,677
2013 Securitizations	January 2019 - January 2021	5,503,580	6,689,700	0.89%-1.59%	6,465,840	351,160
Public securitizations		11,415,166	24,990,898		14,256,630	1,135,881
2010 Private issuances	June 2011	219,704	516,000	1.29%	378,434	8,435
2011 Private issuances	December 2018	662,138	4,856,525	1.46%-1.80%	908,304	36,449
2012 Private issuances	May 2016	30,526	70,308	1.07%	35,378	3,016
2013 Private issuances	September 2018 - September 2020	2,868,353	2,693,754	1.13%-1.38%	3,554,569	97,100
Privately issued amortizing notes Total secured		3,780,721	8,136,587		4,876,685	145,000
structured financings		\$15,195,887	\$33,127,485		\$19,133,315	\$1,280,881

#### Notes Payable — Secured Structured Financings

The principal and interest on secured structured financings are paid using the cash flows from the underlying retail installment contracts, loans and leases, which serve as collateral for the notes. Accordingly, the timing of the principal payments on these notes is dependent on the payments received on the underlying collateral.

Most of the Company's secured structured financings are in the form of public, SEC-registered securitizations. The Company also executes private securitizations under Rule 144A of the Securities Act and periodically issues private term amortizing notes, which are structured similarly to securitizations but are acquired by banks and conduits. Historically, all of the Company's securitizations and private issuances have been collateralized by vehicle retail installment contracts and loans; however, in 2013, the Company issued its first amortizing notes backed by vehicle leases. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had private issuances of notes backed by vehicle leases totaling approximately \$1,433,658.

Unamortized debt issuance costs are amortized as interest expense over the terms of the related notes payable using a method that approximates the effective interest method. Amortization of premium or accretion of discount on acquired notes payable is also included in interest expense using a method that approximates the effective interest method, over the estimated remaining life of the acquired notes. Total interest expense on secured structured financings for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$57,217 and \$56,626, respectively. Total interest expense on secured structured financings for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$117,079 and \$114,336, respectively.

#### 6. Variable Interest Entities

The Company transfers retail installment contracts and leased vehicles into newly formed Trusts which then issue one or more classes of notes payable backed by the collateral. The Company's continuing involvement with the credit facilities and Trusts are in the form of servicing loans held by special purpose financing Trusts and, except for the Chrysler Capital securitizations, through holding a residual interest in the Trust. These transactions are structured without recourse. The Trusts are considered variable interest entities ("VIEs") under U.S. GAAP and, except for the Chrysler Capital securitizations, are consolidated because the Company has: (a) power over the significant activities of the entity as servicer of its financial assets and (b) the residual interest and in some cases debt securities held by the Company, an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the VIE which are potentially significant to the VIE. The Company did not retain any debt or equity interests in the Chrysler Capital securitizations executed in 2013 and 2014, and recorded these transactions as sales of the associated retail installment contracts.

The collateral, borrowings under credit facilities and securitization notes payable of the consolidated Trusts remain on the consolidated balance sheets. The Company recognizes finance charges and fee income on the retail installment contracts and leased vehicles and interest expense on the debt, and records a provision for loan losses to cover probable inherent losses on the contracts. All of the Trusts are separate legal entities and the collateral and other assets held by these subsidiaries are legally owned by them and are not available to other creditors.

The Company also uses a titling trust to originate and hold its leases, in order to facilitate the pledging of leases to financing facilities or sale of leases to other parties without incurring the costs and administrative burden of retitling the leased vehicles. The titling trust, and each special unit of beneficial interest ("SUBI") in the titling trust such as those transferred to financing facilities or other parties, is considered a VIE.

The following table summarizes the assets and liabilities related to VIEs included in the Company's consolidated financial statements:

	June 30,	December 31,
	2014	2013
Restricted cash	\$1,613,054	\$1,370,174
Retail installment contracts, net	21,020,328	19,166,392
Leased vehicles, net	3,567,546	2,023,433
Various other assets	901,100	541,469
Notes payable	26,297,908	23,810,950

Various other liabilities	
---------------------------	--

A summary of the cash flows received from securitization trusts during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, is as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months En	ided	
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	
Receivables securitized	\$5,051,048	\$1,317,633	\$9,141,478	\$4,121,367	
Net proceeds from securitizations (a)	\$4,707,336	\$1,136,460	\$8,206,756	\$3,586,460	
Cash received for servicing fees	157,698	107,848	306,257	209,436	
Cash received upon release from reserve and	60	2,806	810	6,225	
restricted cash accounts	00	2,000	010	0,223	
Net distributions from Trusts	384,093	431,723	710,812	759,290	
Total cash received from securitization trusts	\$5,249,187	\$1,678,837	\$9,224,635	\$4,561,411	
(a) Includes additional advances on existing securitization	one				

(a) Includes additional advances on existing securitizations

The Company retains servicing responsibility for receivables transferred to the Trusts and receives a monthly servicing fee on the outstanding principal balance. Supplemental fees, such as late charges, for servicing the receivables are reflected in fees, commissions and other income. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company was servicing \$24,344,700 and \$21,935,874, respectively, of gross retail installment contracts that have been transferred to consolidated Trusts. The remainder of the Company's retail installment contracts is either pledged in private issuances or warehouse facilities or unpledged.

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company sold \$774,183 of gross retail installment contracts in off-balance sheet securitizations for a gain of approximately \$32,538. During the three months ended June 30, 2014, the Company executed no off-balance sheet securitizations. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company was servicing \$1,528,072 and \$1,017,756, respectively, of gross retail installment contracts that have been sold in these off-balance sheet Chrysler Capital securitizations.

# 7. Derivative Financial Instruments

Certain of the Company's interest rate swap agreements are designated as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes. The Company's remaining interest rate swap agreements, as well as its interest rate cap agreements, the corresponding options written in order to offset the interest rate cap agreements, and a total return swap, are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes. The underlying notional amounts and aggregate fair values of these agreements at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, were as follows:

	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013			
	Notional	Fair Value		Notional	Fair Value	
Interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges	\$6,057,000	\$(7,592	)	\$3,873,000	\$(5,686	)
Interest rate swap agreements not designated as hedges	2,841,861	(23,041	)	3,444,459	(31,360	)
Interest rate cap agreements	6,398,207	46,631		4,616,960	28,274	
Options for interest rate cap agreements	6,398,207	(46,677	)	4,616,960	(28,389	)
Total return swap	250,594			_		

The aggregate fair value of the interest rate swap agreements was included on the Company's consolidated balance sheets in other assets and other liabilities, as appropriate. The interest rate cap agreements were included in other assets and the related options in other liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

In March 2014, the Company entered into a financing arrangement with a third party where by the Company pledged certain bonds retained in its own securitizations in exchange for approximately \$250,594 in cash. In conjunction with the financing arrangement, the Company entered into a total return swap related to the bonds as an effective avenue to monetize the Company's retained bonds as a source of financing. The Company will receive the fixed return on the bonds in exchange for paying a variable rate of three-month LIBOR plus 75 basis points. In addition, at maturity, the

Company will receive a payment from, or make a payment to, the counterparty based on the change in fair value of the bonds during the one-year term of the facility. Throughout the term of the facility, the party in a net liability position

must post collateral. The Company has the ability to substitute collateral and may do so if a bond is set to begin amortizing. Alternatively, the amortization may be utilized to reduce the notional amount of the facility. The Company enters into legally enforceable master netting agreements which reduce risk by permitting netting of transactions, such as derivatives and collateral posting, with the same counterparty on the occurrence of certain events. A master netting agreement allows two counterparties the ability to net-settle amounts under all contracts, including any related collateral posted, through a single payment. The right to offset and certain terms regarding the collateral process, such as valuation, credit events and settlement, are contained in ISDA master agreements. Information on the offsetting of derivative assets and derivative liabilities due to the right of offset was as follows, as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

Offsetting of Financial Assets

Gross Amounts Not Offset in the **Consolidated Balance Sheet** Net Amounts of Gross Gross Amounts Assets Cash Amounts of Offset in the Presented Financial Net Collateral Recognized Consolidated in the Amount Instruments Received Balance Consolidated Assets Sheet Balance Sheet June 30, 2014 Interest rate swaps - Santander & \$537 \$---\$ 537 \$---\$— \$537 affiliates Interest rate caps - Santander & 33,201 33,201 33,201 affiliates Interest rate caps - third party 13,430 13,430 13,430 Total derivatives subject to a master netting arrangement or similar 47,168 47,168 47,168 arrangement Total derivatives not subject to a master netting arrangement or similar arrangement Total derivative assets \$47,168 \$47,168 \$47,168 **\$**— \$\_\_\_ **\$**— Total financial assets \$47,168 \$— \$47,168 \$---\$-\$47,168 December 31, 2013 Interest rate swaps - Santander & \$1,601 **\$**— \$ 1.601 **\$**— \$1.601 **\$** affiliates Interest rate caps - Santander & 9,342 9,342 9,342 affiliates Interest rate caps - third party 18,932 18,932 18,932 Total derivatives subject to a master netting arrangement or similar 29,875 29,875 29,875 arrangement Total derivatives not subject to a master netting arrangement or similar arrangement Total derivative assets \$— \$ 29,875 \$---\$— \$29.875 \$29,875

Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc Form 10-Q							
Total financial assets	\$29,875	\$—	\$ 29,875	\$—	\$—	\$29,875	
22							

#### Offsetting of Financial Liabilities

	Offsetting 0	i i manetai i		onnues			
						Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	1
	Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities				Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Pledged	Net Amount
June 30, 2014							
Interest rate swaps - Santander & affiliates	\$31,169	\$ (9,612	)	\$ 21,557	\$—	\$—	\$21,557
Back to back - Santander & affiliates	33,201	(32,369	)	\$ 832	\$—	\$ <i>—</i>	\$832
Back to back - third party	13,476	(13,476	)		—	—	—
Total derivatives subject to a master	77 016		`	22 290			22 290
netting arrangement or similar arrangement	77,846	(55,457	)	22,389		_	22,389
Total derivatives not subject to a master netting arrangement or similar	_	_		_	_	_	_
arrangement Total derivative liabilities	\$77,846	\$ (55,457	)	\$ 22,389	\$—	<u>\$</u>	\$22,389
Total financial liabilities	\$77,846	\$ (55,457		\$ 22,389	\$—	\$	\$22,389
December 31, 2013							
Interest rate swaps - Santander & affiliates	\$38,647	\$ (2,258	)	\$ 36,389	\$—	\$—	\$36,389
Back to back - Santander & affiliates	9,342			_	—		
Back to back - third party	19,047	(15,420	)	3,627	—		3,627
Total derivatives subject to a master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	67,036	(27,020	)	40,016		_	40,016
Total derivatives not subject to a master netting arrangement or similar arrangement	_	_		_	_	_	_
Total derivative liabilities	\$67,036	\$ (27,020	)	\$ 40,016	\$—	\$ —	\$40,016
Total financial liabilities	\$67,036	\$ (27,020	)	\$ 40,016	\$—	\$ —	\$40,016

The Company is the holder of a warrant that gives it the right, if certain vesting conditions are satisfied, to purchase additional shares in a company in which it has a cost method investment. This warrant was issued in 2012 and is carried at its estimated fair value of zero at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

## Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

The gross gains (losses) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to net income, and gains (losses) recognized in net income, are included as components of interest expense. The Company's interest rate swap agreements had effects on its consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 as follows:

	Three Mont June 30, 20			Three Mor June 30, 2		
Turran	Gains (Losses) Recognized in Interest Expense	Gross Gains (Losses) Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Gross Gains (Losses) Reclassified From Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income to Interest Expense	Recognize Interest Ex	Gross Gains (Losses) ssesRecognized in d inAccumulated pen@ther Comprehensive Income	Gross Gains (Losses) Reclassified From Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income to Interest Expense
Interest rate swap agreements designated as cas flow hedges Derivative		\$(7,246)	\$ (1,931 )	\$—	\$(1,155)	\$(4,444 )
instruments not designated as hedges	\$3,199			\$10,682		
neages	Six Months June 30, 20			Six Mon June 30	ths Ended	
	Gains (Loss Recognized Interest Expense	Gross Gains (Losses) Recognized i	Other Comprehensive	m Gains (L Recogni Interest Expense	Gross Gains (Losses) osses zed in Accumulated Other	Comprehensive
Interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges	\$91	\$(6,592		) \$—	\$(1,660	) \$ (9,439 )
Derivative instruments not designated as hedges	\$8,388			\$17,339		

The ineffectiveness related to the interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges was not material for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

8. Other Assets

Other assets were comprised as follows:

	June 30,	December 31,
	2014	2013
Upfront fee (a)	\$132,500	\$140,000
Inventory of repossessed vehicles	140,792	129,323
Manufacturer subvention payments receivable (a)	73,240	55,579
Derivative assets (Note 7)	47,168	29,875
Indemnification payments receivable (b)	8,603	8,603

Other	90,884	46,925
	\$493,187	\$410,305

These amounts relate to the Chrysler agreement. The Company paid a \$150,000 upfront fee at the effective date of (a) the agreement. This fee is being amortized into finance and other interest income over the ten-year term of the

(a) agreement. As the preferred financing provider for Chrysler, the Company is entitled to subvention payments on loans and leases with below-market customer payments.

This amount represents tax indemnification payments to the original equity investors in two investment (b)partnerships now owned by the Company. These payments are expected to be recovered through tax refunds passed through to the Company as the original investors recognize losses related to the investments.

## 9. Income Taxes

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$143,643 (36.8% effective tax rate) and \$191,684 (36.9% effective tax rate) during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. The Company recorded income tax expense of \$104,129 (36.5% effective tax rate) and \$256,927 (35.3% effective tax rate) during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The effective tax rate increased primarily due to a partial release of a valuation allowance during the six months ended June 30, 2013 versus a build in the valuation allowance during the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Significant judgment is required in evaluating and reserving for uncertain tax positions. Although management believes adequate reserves have been established for all uncertain tax positions, the final outcomes of these matters may differ. Management does not believe the outcome of any uncertain tax position, individually or combined, will have a material effect on the results of operations. The reserve for uncertain tax positions, as well as associated penalties and interest, are a component of the income tax provision.

## 10. Commitments and Contingencies

In connection with the sale of retail installment contracts through securitizations and other sales, the Company has made standard representations and warranties customary to the consumer finance industry. Violations of these representations and warranties may require the Company to repurchase loans previously sold to on- or off-balance sheet trusts or other third parties. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had no repurchase requests outstanding. In the opinion of management, the potential exposure of other recourse obligations related to the Company's retail installment contract sales agreements will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

The Company has a letter of credit facility with Santander — New York Branch totaling \$500,000 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The amount issued was zero as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The letters of credit can serve as collateral for certain warehouse lines. These commitments will expire on December 31, 2014. Santander has provided guarantees on the covenants, agreements, and obligations of the Company under the governing documents of its warehouse facilities and privately issued amortizing notes. These guarantees are limited to the obligations of SCUSA as servicer.

The Company committed to purchase certain new advances of unsecured revolving financings originated by a third party retailer, along with existing balances on accounts with new advances, for an initial term ending on April 2020 and renewing through April 2022 at the retailer's option. The Company also is required to make a profit-sharing payment to the retailer each month.

Under terms of the agreement with Chrysler, the Company must make revenue sharing payments to Chrysler and also must make loss-sharing payments when residual losses on leased vehicles exceed a specified threshold. Under terms of an application transfer agreement with another original equipment manufacturer (OEM), the Company has the first opportunity to review for its own portfolio any credit applications turned down by the OEM's captive finance company. The agreement does not require the Company to originate any loans, but for each loan originated the Company will pay the OEM a referral fee, comprised of a volume bonus fee and a loss betterment bonus fee. The loss betterment bonus fee will be calculated annually and is based on the amount by which losses on loans originated under the agreement are lower than an established percentage threshold.

The Company is obligated to make purchase price holdback payments to a third party originator of loans that it purchases on a periodic basis, when losses are lower than originally expected.

The Company has a flow agreement with Bank of America whereby the Company is committed to sell up to \$300,000 of eligible loans to the bank each month through May 2018. The Company retains servicing on all sold loans and will receive or pay a servicer performance payment if yields, net of credit losses, on the loans are higher or lower, respectively, than expected at origination.

The Company has sold loans to Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania (CBP) in 2014 under terms of a flow agreement and predecessor sale agreements. The Company retains servicing on the sold loans and will owe CBP a loss-sharing payment capped at 0.5% of the original pool balance if losses exceed a specified threshold, established on a pool-by-pool basis.

The Company has an agreement with Santander Bank, N.A. ("SBNA," formerly Sovereign Bank), a subsidiary of SHUSA, whereby the Company provides SBNA the first right to review and assess Chrysler dealer lending opportunities and, if SBNA elects, to provide the proposed financing. The Company provides servicing on all loans originated under this arrangement. The Company received a \$9,000 referral fee in June 2013 in connection with this arrangement and is amortizing the fee into income over the ten-year term of agreement. The Company also will

receive or pay a servicer performance payment if yields, net of credit losses, on the loans are higher or lower, respectively, than expected at origination. At the end of each quarter, the Company has the first right to originate loans

in an amount equal to the amount of originations the Company made during the quarter due to SBNA decline or a failure to timely respond. Throughout the year, these amounts aggregate if the Company does not exercise its right of first refusal. At the end of each year, any amounts not used expire and do not roll over to the next year. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, approximately \$456,006 and \$202,494 had been originated by SBNA under this agreement, and SCUSA had not exercised its right to originate additional loans.

The Company also has agreements with SBNA to service recreational and marine vehicle portfolios. These agreements call for a periodic retroactive adjustment, based on cumulative return performance, of the servicing fee rate to inception of the contract. The adjustment for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was an upward adjustment of \$1,329 and zero, respectively, and adjustments for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 totaled a net upward adjustment of \$3,249 and zero, respectively.

The Company also provides SBNA with the first right to review and approve consumer vehicle lease applications. As of June 30, 2014, the Company has indemnified SBNA for potential credit and residual losses on \$48,226 of leases that had been originated by SBNA under this program but were subsequently determined not to meet SBNA's underwriting requirements. This indemnification agreement is supported by an equal amount of cash collateral posted by the Company in an SBNA bank account. The collateral account balance is included in restricted cash in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Under terms of agreements with a peer-to-peer unsecured lending platform company, the Company has committed to purchase at least the lesser of \$30,000 per month or 75% of the lending platform company's near-prime originations through July 2015, and the lesser of \$30,000 per month or 50% of the lending platform company's near-prime originations thereafter through July 2017. This commitment can be reduced or canceled with 90 days' notice. Periodically, the Company is party to or otherwise involved in other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. The Company does not believe that there are any proceedings threatened or pending, if determined adversely, that would have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, results of operations, or liquidity of the Company.

# 11. Related-Party Transactions

Related-party transactions not otherwise disclosed in these footnotes to the consolidated financial statements include the following:

The Company has a line of credit agreement with Santander — New York Branch (Note 5). Interest expense on these lines of credit totaled \$22,709 and \$13,957 for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$47,583 and \$20,451 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Accrued interest was \$6,146 and \$11,435 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The Company has a line of credit agreement with SHUSA (Note 5). Interest expense on this line of credit totaled \$1,299 and \$1,662 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. Accrued interest was \$213 as of June 30, 2014.

The Company has a letter of credit facility with Santander — New York Branch (Note 10). Letter of credit fees for the used and unused portions, which are included as a component of interest expense, totaled \$126 and \$144 for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and \$251 and \$270 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Accrued fees totaled \$126 and \$128 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The Company has derivative financial instruments with Santander and affiliates with outstanding notional amounts of \$14,619,274 and \$10,461,378 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively (Note 7). Interest expense on these agreements includes amounts totaling \$12,702 and \$1,289 for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$21,583 and \$6,163 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

During 2013, the Company sold approximately \$222,384 of the Company's receivables from dealers to SBNA. The Company continues to service these loans but the loans are not subject to the servicer performance payment that applies to dealer loans originated under the SBNA flow agreement, described in Note 10. Servicing fee income recognized on receivables from dealers sold to SBNA or originated by SBNA

totaled \$3,033 and \$4,298 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, including \$1,259 and \$1,723, respectively, in servicer performance payments. Other information on the dealer loan portfolio serviced for SBNA as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is as follows:

	June 30,	December 31,
	2014	2013
Total serviced portfolio	\$764,869	\$513,684
Cash collections due to owner, net	\$10,930	\$6,941
Servicing fees receivable	\$1,676	\$817

The Company also has agreements with SBNA to service auto retail installment contracts and recreational and marine vehicle portfolios. Servicing fee income recognized under these agreements totaled \$5,784 and \$3,157 for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$6,649 and \$9,371 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Other information on the serviced auto loan and retail installment contract portfolios for SBNA as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is as follows:

	June 30,	December 31,
	2014	2013
Total serviced portfolio	\$1,017,002	\$1,175,566
Cash collections due to owner	\$30,522	\$32,831
Servicing fees receivable (refundable)	\$2,566	\$(3,163)

During 2014, the Company entered into a flow agreement with SBNA whereby SBNA has the first right to review and approve Chrysler Capital consumer vehicle lease applications. SCUSA may review any applications declined by SBNA for the Company's own portfolio. The Company provides servicing and receives an origination fee on all leases originated under this agreement.

On June 27, 2014, the Company executed a bulk sale of Chrysler Capital leases with a depreciated net capitalized cost of \$369,114 and a net book value of \$317,275 in Chrysler Capital leases to SBNA. This sale was effected through the transfer of a SUBI in SCUSA's titling trust. Proceeds from the sale were \$322,851, for a total gain of \$5,576. SCUSA retained servicing on the sold leases.

Origination and service fee income recognized on leases originated and serviced for SBNA totaled \$6,937 and \$535, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2014, and \$10,622 and \$629, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2014. Other information on the consumer vehicle lease portfolio serviced for SBNA as of June 30, 2014 is as follows:

	June 30,
	2014
Total serviced portfolio	\$1,077,111
Cash collections due to owner	\$6,055
Origination and servicing fees receivable	\$2,499

On June 30, 2014, the Company entered into an indemnification agreement with SBNA whereby SCUSA indemnifies SBNA for any credit or residual losses on a pool of \$48,226 in leases originated under the flow agreement. The covered leases are non-conforming units because they did not meet SBNA's credit criteria at origination. In connection with this agreement, SCUSA established a \$48,226 collateral account with SBNA that will be released over time to SBNA, in the case of losses, and SCUSA, in the case of payments and sale proceeds. Produban Servicios Informaticos Generales S.L., a Santander affiliate, is under contract with the Company to provide professional services, telecommunications, and internal and/or external applications. Expenses incurred, which are included as a component of data processing, communications and other expenses, total

\$22 for each of the three-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and \$75 for each of the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company originated \$2,207 and \$2,911, respectively, in unsecured revolving loans under terms entered into under a Master Services Agreement (MSA) with a company in which it has a cost method investment and holds a warrant to increase its ownership if certain vesting conditions are satisfied. The MSA enables SCUSA to review credit applications of retail store customers.

The Company paid expenses totaling \$97 and \$478, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, on behalf of the former managing member of the investment partnerships described in Note 8. The former managing member is an investor in Auto Finance Holdings. The Company has paid no expenses on behalf of this former managing member in 2014.

The Company paid certain expenses incurred by the Chairman and CEO in the operation of his private plane when used for SCUSA business within the contiguous 48 states of the United States. Under this practice, payment is based on a set flight time hourly rate, and the amount of our reimbursement is not subject to a maximum cap per fiscal year. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company paid \$141 and \$414, respectively to Meregrass Company, Inc., a 135 charter company that manages this operation, for flight time with an average hourly rate of \$5.8 per hour.

On October 21, 2013, we entered into a lease for approximately 373,000 square feet at a property intended to serve as our corporate headquarters, and in which property that the Chairman and CEO, President and Chief Financial Officer, and a member of our Board of Directors who is also a Santander employee each have a minority equity investment. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company paid \$54 and \$108, respectively for monthly base rent. Future minimum lease payments for the 12-year term of the lease total approximately \$83,555.

## 12. Computation of Basic and Diluted Earnings per Common Share

Earnings per common share is computed using the two-class method required for participating securities. Restricted stock awards are considered to be participating securities because holders of such shares have non-forfeitable dividend rights in the event of a declaration of a dividend on the Company's common shares.

We have excluded 1,539,861 and 1,308,177 employee stock option awards from the calculation of earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, as the effect would be anti-dilutive.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months En June 30,	ded
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Earnings per common share				
Net income attributable to SCUSA	\$246,481	\$181,918	\$327,947	\$472,320
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding before restricted participating shares (in thousands)	348,243	346,171	347,882	346,168
Weighted average number of participating restricted common shares outstanding (in thousands)	584	_	584	_
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding (in thousands)	348,827	346,171	348,466	346,168
Earnings per common share	\$0.71	\$0.53	\$0.94	\$1.36
Earnings per common share - assuming dilution				
Net income attributable to SCUSA	\$246,481	\$181,918	\$327,947	\$472,320
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding (in thousands)	348,827	346,171	348,466	346,168
Effect of employee stock-based awards (in thousands)	7,555	—	7,543	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - assuming dilution (in thousands)	356,382	346,171	356,008	346,168

Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc Form 10-Q						
Earnings per common share - assuming dilution	\$0.69	\$0.53	\$0.92	\$1.36		
28						

#### 13. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value estimates, methods, and assumptions are as follows:

-	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2	2013
	Carrying	Estimated	Carrying	Estimated
	Value	Fair Value	Value	Fair Value
Cash and cash equivalents (a)	\$45,913	\$45,913	\$10,531	\$10,531
Receivables held for sale (b)	123,791	124,120	82,503	83,344
Retail installment contracts held for investment, net (c)	21,444,601	21,961,413	20,219,609	21,465,236
Unsecured consumer loans, net (d)	1,233,637	1,321,051	954,189	1,187,286
Receivables from dealers held for investment (e)	85,194	85,194	94,745	94,745
Restricted cash (a)	2,007,946	2,007,946	1,563,613	1,563,613
Notes payable — credit facilities (f)	7,762,950	7,762,950	8,099,773	8,099,773
Notes payable — secured structured financings (g)	18,391,660	18,544,914	15,195,887	15,565,013

Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash — The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, including

(a) restricted cash, approximated fair value at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, due to the short maturity of these instruments and is considered a Level 1 measurement.

Receivables held for sale — Receivables held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market, as determined on an (b) aggregate basis. The estimated fair value is based on the prices obtained or expected to be obtained in the subsequent sales and is considered a Level 2 measurement.

Retail installment contracts held for investment — Retail installment contracts held for investment are carried at (c) amortized cost, net of loan loss allowance. The estimated fair value is calculated based on estimated market rates for similar contracts with similar credit risks and is considered a Level 3 measurement.

Unsecured consumer loans, net — Unsecured consumer loans are carried at amortized cost, net of loan loss allowance. Carrying value approximates fair value for unsecured revolving loans because the loans are short term

(d)in duration, do not have a defined maturity date and/or are at a market-based interest rate. For unsecured amortizing loans, the estimated fair value is calculated based on estimated market rates for similar loans with similar credit risks and is considered a level 3 measurement.

Receivables from dealers, held for investment, net — Receivables from dealers held for investment are carried at (e) amortized cost, net of loan loss allowance. The estimated fair value is calculated based on estimated market rates for similar receivables with similar credit risks and is considered a Level 3 measurement.

Notes payable — credit facilities — The carrying amount of notes payable related to revolving credit facilities is

estimated to approximate fair value as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Management believes that the <sup>(f)</sup> terms of these credit agreements approximate market terms for similar credit agreements. The fair value of notes payable is considered a Level 3 measurement.

Notes payable — secured structured financings — The estimated fair value of notes payable related to secured (g) structured financings is calculated based on market quotes for the Company's publicly traded debt and estimated market rates currently available from recent transactions involving similar debt with similar credit risks, and is

considered a Level 2 measurement.

The following table presents the Company's assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, and are categorized using the fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy includes three levels based on the reliability of the inputs used to determine the fair value:

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2014				
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets — trading interest rate caps (a)	\$46,631	\$—	\$46,631	\$—	
Assets — cash flow hedging interest rate swaps (a)	\$537	\$—	\$537	\$—	
Assets — trading interest rate swaps (a)	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	
Liabilities — trading options for interest rate caps (a)	\$46,677	\$—	\$46,677	\$—	
Liabilities — cash flow hedging interest rate swaps (a)	\$8,129	\$—	\$8,129	\$—	
Liabilities — trading interest rate swaps (a)	\$23,041	\$—	\$23,041	\$—	
Total return swap (b)	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	

#### Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2013

	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets — trading interest rate caps (a)	\$28,274	\$—	\$28,274	\$—
Assets — cash flow hedging interest rate swaps (a)	\$1,601	\$—	\$1,601	\$—
Liabilities — trading options for interest rate caps (a)	\$28,389	\$—	\$28,389	\$—
Liabilities — cash flow hedging interest rate swaps (a)	\$7,287	\$—	\$7,287	\$—
Liabilities — trading interest rate swaps (a)	\$31,360	\$—	\$31,360	\$—

The valuation of swaps and caps is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivative, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs. The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective

(a) counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurement of its derivatives. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings and guarantees. The Company utilizes the exception in ASC 820-10-35-18D (commonly referred to as the "portfolio exception") with respect to measuring counterparty credit risk for instruments (Note 7).

(b) The total return swap is valued based on the estimated market value of the underlying bonds pledged to the associated credit facility.

No amounts were transferred in or out of Level 3 during 2014 or 2013.

The following table presents the Company's assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, and are categorized using the fair value hierarchy:

Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2014

Total	<b>Quoted Prices</b>	Significant	Significant
	in Active	Other	Unobservable

Edgar Filing: Santander Cons	sumer USA H	oldings Inc F	orm 10-Q	
		Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Inputs (Level 3)
Assets — repossessed vehicle inventory	\$140,792	\$—	\$140,792	\$—
30				

	Fair Value M	easurements at	surements at December 31, 2013					
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)				
Assets — repossessed vehicle inventory	\$129,323	\$—	\$129,323	\$—				

The Company estimates the fair value of its repossessed vehicle inventory using historical auction rates and current market levels of used car prices.

#### 14. Employee Benefit Plans

SCUSA Compensation Plan — Beginning in 2012, the Company granted stock options to certain executives, other employees, and independent directors under a Management Equity Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan is administered by the Board of Directors and enables the Company to make stock awards up to a total of approximately 29 million common shares (net of shares canceled and forfeited), or 8.5% of the equity invested in the Company as of December 31, 2011. Stock options granted have an exercise price based on the estimated fair market value of the Company's common stock on the grant date. The stock options expire after ten years and include both time vesting options and performance vesting options. The fair value of the stock options is amortized into income over the vesting period as time and performance vesting conditions are met. Under the Management Shareholder Agreements entered into by certain employees, no shares obtained through exercise of stock options could be transferred until the later of December 31, 2016, and the Company's execution of an IPO (the later date of which is referred to as the Lapse Date). Until the Lapse Date, if an employee were to leave the Company, the Company would have the right to repurchase any or all of the stock obtained by the employee through option exercise. If the employee were terminated for cause (as defined in the Plan) or voluntarily left the Company without good reason (as defined in the Plan), in each case, prior to the Lapse Date the repurchase price would be the lower of the strike price or fair market value at the date of repurchase. If the employee were terminated without cause or voluntarily left the Company with good reason, in each case, prior to the Lapse Date the repurchase price is the fair market value at the date of repurchase. Management believes the Company's repurchase right caused the IPO event to constitute an implicit vesting condition and therefore did not record any stock compensation expense until the date of the IPO, January 23, 2014.

On December 28, 2013, the Board approved certain changes to the Plan and the Management Shareholders Agreement, including acceleration of vesting for certain employees, removal of transfer restrictions for shares underlying a portion of the options outstanding under the Plan, and addition of transfer restrictions for shares underlying another portion of the outstanding options. All of the changes were contingent on, and effective upon, the Company's execution of an IPO and, as such, became effective upon pricing of the IPO on January 22, 2014. Also on December 28, 2013, the Board established the Omnibus Incentive Plan, which enables the Company to grant awards of nonqualified and incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units and other awards that may be settled in or based upon the value of the Company's common stock up to a total of 5,192,640 common shares. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had granted 583,890 shares of restricted stock to certain executives under terms of the Omnibus Incentive Plan. Compensation expense related to this restricted stock is recognized over a five-year vesting period, with \$1,216 recorded for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

On January 23, 2014, the Company executed an IPO, in which selling stockholders offered and sold to the public 85,242,042 shares of common stock at a price of \$24.00 per share. The Company received no proceeds from the initial public offering. Stock-based compensation expense totaling \$117,770 related to vested options was recognized upon the IPO, including expense related to accelerated vesting for certain executives of \$33,845. Also in connection with the IPO, the Company granted additional stock options under the Plan to certain executives, other employees, and an independent director with an estimated fair value of \$10,216, which will be recognized over the awards' vesting period

of five years for the employees and three years for the director. Additional stock option grants have been made during the six months ended June 30, 2014 to employees and another independent director; the expense associated with these additional grants is immaterial.

A summary of the Company's stock options and related activity as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2014 is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
	(in whole dolla	ars)		
Options outstanding at January 1, 2014	23,910,062	\$9.81	8.0	
Granted	1,539,861	23.94		
Exercised	(2,977,250	) 9.55		(43,836)
Expired	_			
Forfeited	(722,634	) (12.36	)	
Options outstanding at June 30, 2014	21,750,039	10.76	7.7	188,766
Options exercisable at June 30, 2014	15,620,920	9.87	7.5	149,421

15. Shareholders' Equity

Treasury Stock

The Company has 3,154 shares of treasury stock outstanding as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Prior to the IPO on January 23, 2014, the Company repurchased the shares as a result of an employee leaving the company. The value of the treasury stock is immaterial and included within additional paid-in-capital.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

A summary of changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax, for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

	Three Mor	ntl	hs Ended Jun	e 30, 2014		Three Mor	nt.	hs Ended Ju	ın	e 30, 2013	
	Unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges (a)	l	Unrealized gains (losses) on investments available for sale (a)	Total		Unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges (a)		Unrealized gains (losses) on investment available f sale (a)	ts	Total	
Beginning balance	\$(765	)	\$ —	\$(765	)	\$(9,582	)	\$ 1,796		\$(7,786	)
Other comprehensive income (loss)											
before reclassifications	(4,579	)	_	(4,579	)	(804	)	(887	)	(1,691	)
Amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (b)	1,215		_	1,215		2,804		(279	)	2,525	
Ending balance	\$(4,129	)	\$ —	\$(4,129	)	\$(7,582	)	\$ 630		\$(6,952	)

(a) Amounts in this table are net of tax

(b) Amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consist of the following:

		Three Mo June 30, 2		Three Months Ended June 30, 2013
Reclassification		Amount reclassifi	Income statement line item	Amount reclassified Income line item
Cash flow hedges:				
Settlements of derivatives		\$1,931	Interest expense	\$4,444 Interest expense
Tax expense (benefit) Net of tax Investments available for sale:		(716 \$1,215	)	(1,640) \$2,804
Discount accretion		\$—		\$(443) Interest expense
Tax expense (benefit) Net of tax	C'a Marsha Dalah Isaa		Marcha Fada	164 \$(279)
	Six Months Ended June	30, 2014 Siz		d June 30, 2013
	Unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges (a)	gai Total (lo s cas	ns gain sses) on inve	ses) on stments lable for
Beginning balance	\$(2,853) \$ —	\$(2,853) \$(	12,416) \$ 3,2	\$(9,164)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	(4,167 ) —	(4,167 ) (1,	123 ) (2,02	22 ) (3,145 )
Amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (b)	2,891 —	2,891 5,9	600 (600	) 5,357
Ending balance	\$(4,129 ) \$ —	\$(4,129) \$(	7,582 ) \$ 63	0 \$(6,952 )

(a) Amounts in this table are net of tax

(b) Amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consist of the following:

Six Months Ended Jun 30, 2014	e Six Months Ended June 30, 2013
Amount reclassified	Amount reclassified Income statement line item
\$4,595 Interest expense	\$9,439 Interest expense
(1,704)	(3,482)
\$2,891	\$ 5,957
\$—	\$(951) Interest expense
_	351
\$—	\$(600)
	30, 2014 Amount reclassified \$4,595 (1,704 \$2,891 \$ 

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Background and Overview

We are a full-service, technology-driven consumer finance company focused on vehicle finance and unsecured consumer lending products. We believe that, since our founding in 1995, we have achieved strong brand recognition in the nonprime vehicle finance space. We mainly originate loans indirectly through manufacturer-franchised and selected independent automotive dealers, as well as through relationships with national and regional banks and Original Equipment Manufacturers ("OEMs"). We also directly originate and refinance vehicle loans online. In February 2013, we entered into a ten-year agreement with Chrysler whereby we originate private-label loans and leases under the Chrysler Capital brand. With this agreement, we are now the preferred financing provider for all of Chrysler's retail consumers, including both prime and nonprime customers. From May 1, 2013, the effective date of the agreement, through June 30, 2014, 32% of our retail installment contract origination volume has been prime, as compared to only 14% in 2012, the last full year prior to our entry into the agreement. In addition, we have several relationships through which we provide unsecured consumer loans, private label credit cards and other consumer finance products. We generate revenues and cash flows through interest and other finance charges on our loans and leases. We also earn servicing fee income on our serviced for others portfolios, which consist of loans that we service but do not own and do not report on our balance sheet.

We have demonstrated significant access to the capital markets by funding our operations through securitization transactions and committed credit lines. We raised a total of over \$30 billion of ABS from 2010 through the second quarter of 2014, and we have been the largest issuer of retail auto ABS since 2011. We have significant bank funding relationships, with third-party banks and Santander currently providing approximately \$17.2 billion and \$4.8 billion, respectively, in committed financing. In addition, we have flow agreements in place with Bank of America, Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania (a subsidiary of RBS Citizens Financial Group) and SBNA to fund Chrysler Capital business. We have produced consistent, controlled growth and robust profitability in both growth periods and economic downturns. We have been profitable every year for the past ten years, we delivered an average return on assets of 3.7% from 2009 to 2013 and a return on total common equity of more than 27% in each of those years. Economic and Business Environment

Consistent with indicators throughout 2013, the U.S. economy continued its slow-paced recovery during the first half of 2014. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, unemployment declined from 6.7% at the beginning of the year to 6.1% for June. The Federal Reserve continues to taper its bond purchases. Vehicle sales are strong and as of June are on pace to total nearly 17 million for the year. Wholesale used vehicle prices also are up for the year, although they have declined in May and June.

# Regulatory Matters

The U.S. lending industry is highly regulated under various U.S. federal laws, including the Truth-in-Lending, Equal Credit Opportunity, Fair Credit Reporting, Fair Debt Collection Practices, Servicemembers Civil Relief, and Unfair, Deceptive, or Abusive Acts or Practices, Credit CARD, Telephone Consumer Protection, Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement (FIRREA), and Gramm-Leach-Bliley Acts, as well as various state laws. We are subject to inspections, examinations, supervision, and regulation by each state in which we are licensed, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB"), and the Federal Trade Commission. In addition, as our controlling shareholder, SHUSA, is a bank holding company, we are subject to certain bank regulations, including oversight by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Bank of Spain, and the Federal Reserve, which has the ability to limit certain of our activities, such as the timing and amount of dividends and certain transactions that we might otherwise desire to enter into, such as merger and acquisition opportunities, or to impose other limitations on our growth. Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act

At the federal level, Congress enacted comprehensive financial regulatory reform legislation on July 21, 2010. A significant focus of the new law (the "Dodd-Frank Act") is heightened consumer protection. The Dodd-Frank Act established a new body, the CFPB, which has regulatory, supervisory, and enforcement powers over providers of consumer financial products and services, including us, including explicit supervisory authority to examine and require registration of non-depository lenders and promulgate rules that can affect the practices and activities of lenders. Recently, the Company began clearing its applicable interest rate swaps on a regulated exchange in order to

maintain compliance with the Dodd-Frank Act.

Although the Dodd-Frank Act expressly provides that the CFPB has no authority to establish usury limits, some consumer advocacy groups have suggested that various forms of alternative financial services or specific features of consumer loan products should be a regulatory priority, and it is possible that at some time in the future the CFPB could propose and adopt rules making such lending services materially less profitable or impractical, which may impact finance loans or other products that we offer.

In March 2013, the CFPB issued a bulletin recommending that indirect vehicle lenders, a class that includes us, take steps to monitor and impose controls over dealer markup policies whereby dealers charge consumers higher interest rates, with the markup shared between the dealer and the lender.

The CFPB is also conducting supervisory audits of large vehicle lenders and has indicated it intends to study and take action with respect to possible Equal Credit Opportunity Act ("ECOA") "disparate impact" credit discrimination in indirect vehicle finance. If the CFPB enters into a consent decree with one or more lenders on disparate impact claims, it could negatively impact the business of the affected lenders, and potentially the business of dealers and other lenders in the vehicle finance market. This impact on dealers and lenders could increase our regulatory compliance requirements and associated costs.

In addition to the grant of certain regulatory powers to the CFPB, the Dodd-Frank Act gives the CFPB authority to pursue administrative proceedings or litigation for violations of federal consumer financial laws. In these proceedings, the CFPB can obtain cease and desist orders (which can include orders for restitution or rescission of contracts, as well as other kinds of affirmative relief) and monetary penalties.

## **Dividend Restrictions**

Dodd-Frank also requires certain banks and bank holding companies, including SHUSA, to perform a stress test and submit a capital plan to the Federal Reserve on an annual basis. On March 26, 2014, the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston (the "FRB") informed SHUSA that, based on qualitative concerns, the FRB objected to SHUSA's capital plan (the "capital plan") pursuant to the Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review ("CCAR"). SHUSA had previously submitted to the FRB. On May 1, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.15 per share of SCUSA common stock, payable on May 30, 2014 to shareholders of record on May 12, 2014 (the "May Dividend"). The FRB informed SHUSA on May 22, 2014 that it did not object to SCUSA's payment of the May Dividend, provided that Santander contribute at least \$20.9 million of capital to SHUSA prior to such payment, so that SHUSA's consolidated capital position would be unaffected by the May Dividend. The FRB also informed SHUSA that, until the FRB issues a written non-objection to SHUSA's capital plan, any future Company dividend will require prior receipt of a written non-objection from the FRB.

On May 30, 2014, Santander provided \$21.0 million of additional capital to SHUSA and the Company paid the May Dividend. The Company will not pay dividends other than the May Dividend until such time as SHUSA has submitted to the FRB a capital plan and the FRB issues a written non-objection to such plan or the FRB otherwise issues its written non-objection to the payment of a dividend by the Company. SHUSA has informed the Company that SHUSA does not currently expect to submit a revised capital plan to the FRB until January 2015, subject to the FRB's approval. Accordingly, other than the May Dividend, the Company does not expect to pay dividends during the remainder of 2014. The Company currently intends to resume paying quarterly dividends in accordance with the Company's previously disclosed dividend policy at such time as it is permitted to do so by the FRB.

Additional legal and regulatory matters affecting the Company's activities are further discussed in part II, Item 1A—Risk Factors

Disclosure Pursuant to Section 219 of the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act

Pursuant to Section 219 of the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012, which added Section 13(r) to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), an issuer is required to disclose in its annual or quarterly reports, as applicable, whether it or any of its affiliates knowingly engaged in certain activities, transactions or dealings relating to Iran or with individuals or entities designated pursuant to certain Executive Orders. Disclosure is generally required even where the activities, transactions or dealings were conducted in compliance with applicable law.

The Company does not have any activities, transactions, or dealings with Iran or Syria which require disclosure. The following activities are disclosed in response to Section 13(r) with respect to affiliates of the Company through its relationship with Santander. During the period covered by this quarterly report:

A Santander UK entity holds frozen savings and current accounts for three customers resident in the U.K. who are currently designated by the U.S for terrorism. The accounts held by each customer were blocked after the customer's designation and have remained blocked and dormant for the first half of 2014. No revenue was generated by Santander UK on these accounts.

An Iranian national, resident in the U.K., who is currently designated by the U.S. under the Iranian Financial Sanctions Regulations and designation held a mortgage with Santander UK that was issued prior to any such designation. No further draw-down has been made (or would be allowed) under this mortgage, although Santander UK continues to receive repayment installments. In the first half of 2014, total revenue in connection with this mortgage was £27,550, while net profits were negligible relative to the overall profits of Santander UK. Santander UK does not intend to enter into any new relationships with this customer, and any disbursements will only be made in accordance with applicable sanctions. The same Iranian national also holds two investment accounts with Santander Asset Management UK Limited. The accounts remained frozen for the first half of 2014. The investment returns are being automatically reinvested, and no disbursements have been made to the customer. In the first half of 2014, total revenue for the Group in connection with the investment accounts was £23,200 whilst net profits were negligible relative to the overall profits of Santander.

In addition, the Group has certain legacy export credits and performance guarantees with Bank Mellat, which are included in the U.S. Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control's Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List. Santander entered into two bilateral credit facilities in February 2000 in an aggregate principal amount of  $\notin$ 25.9 million. Both credit facilities matured in 2012. In addition, in 2005 Santander participated in a syndicated credit facility for Bank Mellat of  $\notin$ 15.5 million, which matures on July 6, 2015. As of June 30, 2014, the Santander group was owed  $\notin$ 3.6 million under this credit facility.

Bank Mellat has been in default under all of these agreements in recent years and Santander has been and expects to continue to be repaid any amounts due by official export credit agencies, which insure between 95% and 99% of the outstanding amounts under these credit facilities. No funds have been extended by Santander under these facilities since they were granted.

The Santander group also has certain legacy performance guarantees for the benefit of Bank Sepah and Bank Mellat (stand-by letters of credit to guarantee the obligations - either under tender documents or under contracting agreements - of contractors who participated in public bids in Iran) that were in place prior to April 27, 2007. However, should any of the contractors default in their obligations under the public bids, the Santander group would not be able to pay any amounts due to Bank Sepah or Bank Mellat because any such payments would be frozen pursuant to Council Regulation (EU) No. 961/2010.

In the aggregate, all of the transactions described above resulted in approximately  $\notin$ 76,600 gross revenues and approximately in  $\notin$ 40,000 net loss to the Santander group in the first half of 2014, all of which resulted from the performance of export credit agencies rather than any Iranian entity. The Santander group has undertaken significant steps to withdraw from the Iranian market such as closing its representative office in Iran and ceasing all banking activities therein, including correspondent relationships, deposit taking from Iranian entities and issuing export letters of credit, except for the legacy transactions described above. The Santander group is not contractually permitted to cancel these arrangements without either (i) paying the guaranteed amount - which payment would be frozen as explained above (in the case of the performance guarantees), or (ii) forfeiting the outstanding amounts due to it (in the case of the export credits). Accordingly, the Santander group intends to continue to provide the guarantees and hold these assets in accordance with company policy and applicable laws. How We Assess Our Business Performance

# Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net income attributable to our shareholders, and the associated return on equity, are the primary metrics by which we judge the performance of our business. Accordingly, we closely monitor the primary drivers of net income: Net financing income — We track the spread between the interest and finance charge income earned on our assets and the interest expense incurred on our liabilities, and continually monitor the components of our yield and our cost of funds. In addition, we monitor external rate trends, including the Treasury swap curve and spot and forward rates. Net credit losses — Each of our loans and leases is priced using our risk-based proprietary models. The profitability of a toan is directly connected to whether or not the actual net credit losses are consistent with forecasted losses; therefore, we closely analyze credit performance. We perform this analysis at the vintage

level for individually acquired retail installment contracts and at the pool level for purchased portfolios, enabling us to pinpoint drivers of any unusual or unexpected trends. We also monitor recovery rates, both industry-wide and our own, because of their contribution to the severity of our charge offs. Additionally, because delinquencies are an early indicator of future net credit losses, we analyze delinquency trends, adjusting for seasonality, to determine whether or not our loans are performing in line with our original estimation.

Operating expenses — We assess our operational efficiency using our cost-to-income ratio. We perform extensive analysis to determine whether observed fluctuations in operating expense levels indicate a trend or are the nonrecurring impact of large projects. Our operating expense analysis also includes a loan- and portfolio-level review of origination and servicing costs to assist us in assessing profitability by pool and vintage.

Because volume and portfolio size determine the magnitude of the impact of each of the above factors on our earnings, we also closely monitor new business volume along with annual percentage rate ("APR") and discounts (including subvention and net of dealer participation).

Recent Developments and Other Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations Regulatory Restrictions

As further described above under Regulatory Matters, the FRB has objected to SHUSA's capital plan, resulting in, among other consequences, SCUSA's inability to pay dividends until such time as SHUSA has submitted to the FRB a revised capital plan and the FRB issues a written non-objection to such plan or the FRB otherwise issues its written non-objection to the payment of a dividend by SCUSA.

We expect to incur additional compliance costs related to regulatory compliance, including CCAR, as we seek to develop a best-in-class compliance capability. Costs of the process will include, but may not be limited to, personnel, IT systems, consultants and advisors, and legal costs. These costs, as well as other aspects of the current regulatory environment applicable to the Company (including dividend and growth restrictions), could limit the Company's earnings growth.

Chrysler Capital

Since May 1, 2013, we have been the preferred provider for Chrysler's consumer loans and leases and dealer loans under terms of a ten-year Master Private Label Financing Agreement ("Chrysler Agreement"). Business generated under terms of the Chrysler Agreement is branded as Chrysler Capital. In connection with entering into the Chrysler Agreement, we paid Chrysler a \$150 million upfront, nonrefundable fee, which is being amortized over the ten-year term as an adjustment to finance and other interest income. We have also executed an Equity Option Agreement with Chrysler, whereby Chrysler may elect to purchase an equity participation of any percentage in the Chrysler Capital portion of our business at fair market value.

The Chrysler Agreement could be terminated in the event of a change in control of SCUSA, which, as defined in the agreement, would occur if both a single shareholder acquired more than 20% of our outstanding shares of common stock and SHUSA owned fewer shares than that shareholder. We also required to meet specific transition milestones related to market penetration rates, approval rates, dedicated staffing, and service-level standards for the initial year following launch. If the transition milestones were not met in the first year, the agreement could terminate and we could lose the ability to operate as Chrysler Capital. We recently agreed with Chrysler that these milestones have been met to Chrysler's satisfaction as of the end of the first year, April 30, 2014, and that the Agreement will continue in effect. We must continue to meet penetration rate targets, which are measured as of the end of each year of the Chrysler Agreement (April 30), for years one through five of the Chrysler Agreement are 31%, 44%, 54%, 64% and 65%, respectively. Our penetration rate for the three months ended June 30, 2014 was 31%. During the period from the May 1, 2013 launch of the Chrysler Capital business through June 30, 2014, we originated approximately \$13.6 billion of Chrysler Capital retail installment contracts and more than \$4.8 billion of Chrysler Capital vehicle leases, and facilitated the origination of more than \$1.2 billion in leases and dealer loan originations for SBNA under flow agreements.

In 2013, we entered into a flow agreement with SBNA whereby we provide the bank with the first right to review and assess dealer lending opportunities and, if the bank elects, to provide the proposed financing. We provide servicing on all loans originated under this arrangement. We also will receive or pay a servicer performance payment if yield, net

of credit losses, on the loans are higher or lower, respectively, than expected at origination. As of June 30, 2014, SBNA owned approximately \$765 million of loans originated under this agreement. During the second quarter of 2014, we agreed with SBNA that SBNA will take on servicing of these loans by September 30, 2014. As a result, we expect to reduce our own holdings of Chrysler Capital

dealer loans by this date, and any loans remaining will be serviced by SBNA. Other than the servicer performance payments, which will no longer be necessary due to the transfer of servicing, the terms of the flow agreement will remain in effect.

In February 2014 we entered into a lease flow agreement with SBNA, whereby we provide SBNA with the first right to review and approve consumer vehicle lease applications. We continue to service the leases originated under this agreement. As of June 30, 2014, approximately \$732 million had been originated under this agreement.

In May 2014, we entered into a loan flow agreement with Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania (CBP) (a subsidiary of RBS Citizens Financial Group) whereby CBP has committed to purchase up to \$600 million per quarter of Chrysler Capital prime loans over the next three years. The minimum commitment is \$250 million per quarter for the first four quarters and \$400 million thereafter. Year-to-date through July 31, 2014, we have sold \$859 million to CBP under terms of this flow agreement and predecessor purchase agreements.

Other OEM Relationships

In April 2014, SCUSA executed an application transfer agreement with Nissan, whereby SCUSA provides nonprime retail auto financing through a turn-down program for new and used vehicles for Nissan's customers and dealers in the U.S. As of June 30, 2014, approximately \$113 million had been originated under this agreement and a predecessor pilot arrangement.

Unsecured Lending

In April 2014, SCUSA expanded its unsecured personal lending business by executing an additional agreement with LendingClub Corporation, whereby SCUSA has the first opportunity to review for its own portfolio applications that do not meet either the public credit policy or custom credit policy facilitated by LendingClub's platform. Stock Compensation

Beginning in 2012, we granted stock options to certain executives, other employees, and independent directors under the Santander Consumer USA Inc. 2011 Management Equity Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan is administered by our Board of Directors and enables us to make stock awards up to a total of approximately 29 million common shares, or 8.5% of our equity as of December 31, 2011. Stock options granted have an exercise price based on the estimated fair market value of the Company's common stock on the grant date. The stock options expire after ten years and include both time vesting options and performance vesting options. The fair value of the stock options is amortized into income over the vesting period as time and performance vesting conditions are met.

On December 28, 2013, the Board approved certain changes to the Plan and the Management Shareholders Agreement, including acceleration of vesting for certain employees, removal of transfer restrictions for shares underlying a portion of the options outstanding under the Plan, and addition of transfer restrictions for shares underlying another portion of the outstanding options. All of the changes were contingent on, and effective upon, the Company's execution of an IPO and, as such, became effective upon pricing of the IPO on January 22, 2014. Prior to the amendments that took effect upon the IPO, all options had a restriction such that until the later of an IPO or December 31, 2016, if an option holder terminated employment, we had the right to repurchase any or all of the stock obtained by the employee through option exercise. If the employee was terminated for cause or voluntarily left the Company without good reason, the repurchase price would be the lower of the strike price or fair market value at the date of repurchase. If the employee was terminated without cause or voluntarily left the Company with good reason, the repurchase price would be the fair market value at the date of repurchase. Management believes the Company's repurchase right caused the IPO event to constitute an implicit vesting condition. The Company recognized approximately \$118 million of this expense on a pre-tax basis upon occurrence of the IPO, with an additional \$25 million to be recognized over the remaining vesting period.

Upon the IPO, we granted additional options to certain executives, other employees, and an independent director under terms of the Plan. The fair value of these additional options is approximately \$10 million and is being amortized into income over the vesting period as time and, if applicable, performance vesting conditions are met. Additional stock option grants have been made under the plan during the six months ended June 30, 2014 to employees and another independent director; the expense associated with these additional grants is immaterial.

In December 2013, we established the Santander Consumer USA Inc. Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "Omnibus Incentive Plan"). The Omnibus Incentive Plan is administered by our Board of Directors and enables us to grant awards of

# Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

nonqualified and incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights ("SARs"), restricted stock awards, restricted stock units and other awards that may be settled in or based upon the value of our common stock up to a total of 5,192,640 common shares. The fair value of any instruments issued under the Omnibus Incentive Plan is amortized into income over the vesting period as time and performance vesting conditions are met. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had granted 583,890 shares of restricted stock to certain executives under terms of the Omnibus Incentive Plan. Compensation expense related to this restricted stock of

## Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

approximately \$12 million, based on the estimated fair market value of our common stock on the grant date, will be recognized over a five-year vesting period, with \$1.2 million recorded for the six months ended June 30, 2014. Our Reportable Segment

The Company has one reportable segment: Consumer Finance. This segment includes our vehicle financial products and services, including retail installment contracts, vehicle leases, and dealer loans, as well as financial products and services related to motorcycles, RVs, and watercraft. It also includes our unsecured personal loan and point-of-sale financing operations.

## Originations and Acquisitions

Our volume of individually acquired loans and leases, including net balance increases on revolving loans, average APR, and average discount during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 have been as follows:

Retained Originations	Three Mon June 30, 20 (Dollars in	)14	June 30, 20	)13	Six Months June 30, 20		led June 30, 20	013
Retail installment contracts	\$3,142,527		\$4,566,082	2	\$7,069,439		\$7,250,97	3
Average APR	16.0	%	15.6	%	16.1	%	16.3	%
Average discount	4.6	%	2.0	%	4.6	%	3.5	%
Unsecured consumer loans	\$262,617		\$388,698		\$370,519		\$388,901	
Average APR	20.0	%	22.3	%	20.2	%	22.3	%
Average discount	—		9.5	%			9.5	%
Receivables from dealers	\$17,806		\$99,664		\$32,629		\$182,744	
Average APR	3.8	%	3.1	%	3.5	%	3.1	%
Average discount								
Leases	\$909,924		\$491,244		\$2,121,923		\$491,304	
Total originations retained	\$4,332,874	1	\$5,545,688	3	\$9,594,510		\$8,313,92	2
Asset sales								
Retail installment contracts	\$1,384,174	1	\$158,048		\$3,069,898		\$158,048	
Unsecured consumer loans					—		—	
Receivables from dealers	—				—		—	
Leases	369,114				369,114			
Total originations sold	\$1,753,288	3	\$158,048		\$3,439,012		\$158,048	
Total volume for SCUSA portfolio	\$6,086,162	2	\$5,703,736	6	\$13,033,52	2	\$8,471,97	0
Facilitated Originations								
Retail installment contracts	\$—		\$—		\$—		\$—	
Unsecured consumer loans			—		—		—	
Receivables from dealers	108,759		—		253,512		—	
Leases	486,446		<u> </u>		732,114			
Total originations facilitated for affiliates	\$595,205		\$—		\$985,626		\$—	

Total originations \$6,681,367 \$5,703,736 \$14,019,148 \$8,471,970 We record interest income from individually acquired retail installment contracts, unsecured consumer loans and receivables from dealers in accordance with the terms of the loans, generally discontinuing and reversing accrued income once a loan becomes more than 60 days past due, except in the case of revolving unsecured loans and receivables from dealers, for which we continue to accrue interest until charge off. Receivables from dealers and term unsecured consumer loans generally are not acquired at a discount. We amortize discounts, subvention payments from manufacturers, and origination costs as adjustments to income from individually acquired retail installment contracts using the effective yield method. We amortize the discount, if applicable, on revolving unsecured consumer loans straight-line over the estimated period over which the receivables are expected to be outstanding. For individually acquired retail installment contracts, unsecured consumer loans and receivables from dealers, we also establish a loan loss allowance for the estimated losses inherent in the portfolio. We estimate probable losses based on contractual delinquency status, historical loss experience, expected recovery rates from sale of repossessed collateral, bankruptcy trends, and general economic conditions such as unemployment rates.

We classify substantially all of our vehicle leases as operating leases. The net capitalized cost of each lease is recorded as an asset, which is depreciated straight-line over the contractual term of the lease to the expected residual value. Lease payments due from customers are recorded as income until and unless a customer becomes more than 60 days delinquent, at which time the accrual of revenue is discontinued and reversed. The accrual is resumed and reinstated if a delinquent account subsequently becomes 60 days or less past due. Subvention payments from the manufacturer, down payments from the customer, and initial direct costs incurred in connection with originating the lease are amortized straight-line over the contractual term of the lease.

Historically, our primary means of acquiring retail installment contracts was through individual acquisitions immediately after origination by a dealer. We also periodically purchase pools of receivables and had significant volumes of these purchases during the credit crisis. While we continue to pursue such opportunities when available, we did not purchase any material pools during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. All of the retail installment contracts acquired during these periods were acquired individually. For our existing purchased receivables portfolios, which were acquired at a discount partially attributable to credit deterioration since origination, we estimate the expected yield on each portfolio at acquisition and record monthly accretion income based on this expectation. We periodically re-evaluate performance expectations and may increase the accretion rate if a pool is performing better than expected. If a pool is performing worse than expected, we are required to continue to record accretion income at the previously established rate and to record a loan loss provision to account for the worsening performance.

# Selected Financial Data

	Three Months June 30, 2014	Ended June 30, 2013	Six Months En June 30, 2014	ded June 30, 2013
		usands, except p		
Income Statement Data				
Interest on individually acquired retail installment	\$1,025,622	\$778,611	\$2,013,675	\$1,454,229
contracts				
Interest on purchased receivables portfolios	52,482	105,194	121,420	240,475
Interest on receivables from dealers	1,276	1,727	2,606	2,735
Interest on unsecured consumer loans	84,068	14,843	166,076	14,843
Interest on finance receivables and loans	1,163,448	900,375	2,303,777	1,712,282
Net leased vehicle income	39,803	3,002	66,857	3,002
Other finance and interest income	874	2,156	1,124	4,841
Interest expense	128,314	87,476	252,760	170,473
Net finance and other interest income	1,075,811	818,057	2,118,998	1,549,652
Provision for loan losses on individually acquired retail	<sup>1</sup> 527,362	375,281	1,184,068	626,922
installment contracts				
Increase (decrease) in allowance related to purchased	(8,326)	(7,616)	(28,512)	(42,064)
receivables portfolios	(112)	1 400	(167)	1 400
Provision for loan losses on receivables from dealers		1,490		1,490
Provision for loan losses on unsecured consumer loans	,	39,256	132,341	39,256
Provision for loan losses	589,136	408,411	1,287,730	625,604 7 564
Profit sharing Other income	24,056 138,731	7,564	56,217 274,254	7,564
	211,226	54,409 171,298	529,674	130,538 320,172
Operating expenses	390,124	285,193	519,631	726,850
Income before tax expense	143,643	104,129	191,684	256,927
Income tax expense Net income	246,481	181,064	327,947	469,923
Noncontrolling interests	240,401	854	527,947	2,397
Note on the Net income attributable to Santander Consumer USA		034		2,397
Holdings Inc. shareholders	\$246,481	\$181,918	\$327,947	\$472,320
Share Data				
Weighted-average common shares outstanding				
Basic	348,826,897	346,171,491	348,465,666	346,168,144
Diluted	356,381,921	346,171,491	356,008,288	346,168,144
Earnings per share attributable to Santander Consumer	550,501,921	510,171,171	550,000,200	510,100,111
USA Holdings Inc				
shareholders				
Basic	\$0.71	\$0.53	\$0.94	\$1.36
Diluted	\$0.69	\$0.53	\$0.92	\$1.36
	+ • • • •	+ • • • •	+ • • • =	+
Dividends declared per share of common stock	\$0.15	\$0.84	\$0.15	\$0.84
Balance Sheet Data	•	-	•	
Finance receivables and loans	\$22,887,223	\$19,325,064	\$22,887,223	\$19,325,064
Goodwill and intangible assets	127,693	127,990	127,693	127,990
Total assets	29,732,396	22,778,430	29,732,396	22,778,430
Total borrowings	26,154,610	19,907,914	26,154,610	19,907,914
Total liabilities	26,630,138	20,308,367	26,630,138	20,308,367

Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc Form 10-Q						
Total equity	3,102,258	2,470,063	3,102,258	2,470,063		
Allowance for loan losses	3,080,308	2,081,629	3,080,308	2,081,629		

Other Information         S <ths< th="">         S         S</ths<>		Three Mont June 30, 2014 (Dollars in t		June 30, 2013		Six Months June 30, 2014	Enc	led June 30, 2013	
acquired retail installment contracts $5303,20$ $511,500$ $5048,114$ $5317,971$ Charge-offs, net of recoveries, on unsecured consumer loans $15,320$ $46,320$ $38,843$ $82,814$ Charge-offs, net of recoveries $379,094$ $217,906$ $785,694$ $400,791$ End of period Delinquent principal over 60 days $1,016,021$ $769,048$ $1.016,021$ $769,048$ End of period Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases $30,668,759$ $22,574,572$ $30,668,759$ $22,574,572$ Average gross individually acquired retail installment contracts $23,372,480$ $16.895,083$ $22,82,981$ $15.877,797$ Average gross nucleased receivables portfolios $1.416,163$ $3.272,751$ $1.591,711$ $3.643,336$ Average Gross inance receivables portfolios $1.416,163$ $3.272,751$ $1.591,771$ $3.643,336$ Average Gross inance receivables not floans $26,253,025$ $20,521,127$ $25,814,777$ $19,770,969$ Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases $29,306,142$ $20,931,654$ $28,525,476$ $20,073,107$ Average Total assets $29,306,142$ $20,931,654$ $28,872,474$ $24,74,181$ Average Total quity $25,852,175$ $18,065,400$ $25,190,609$ $77,274,181$ Average Total quity $29,306,142$ $20,937,640$ $40,0\%$ $3.7,\%$ Yield on individually acquired retail installment contracts $17,6\%$ $8,84$ $19,0\%$ $40,0\%$ $3.7,\%$ Yield on unsecured consumer loans $25,2\%$ $3$									
acquired retail installment contracts         15,320         46,320         38,843         82,814           Charge-offs, net of recoveries, on unsecured consumer loans         60,448         6         98,737         6           Charge-offs, net of recoveries, on unsecured consumer loans         60,448         6         98,737         6           Charge-offs, net of recoveries         379,094         217,906         785,694         400,791           End of period Delinquent principal over 60 days         1,016,021         769,048         1,016,021         769,048         2,090,296         2,091,317         3,043,336         1,042,118         3,042,336         2,492,4981         1,042,118         2,492,4981         1,042,118         2,492,4981         2,692,397         2,9130,718         1,946,813         1,02,498         2,0692,397         2,9130,718         1,946,813         2,266		\$303,326		\$171,580		\$648,114		\$317,971	
receivables portfolios       10,20       40,320       35,843       82,814         Charge-offs, net of recoveries, on unsecured consumer toans       60,448       6       98,737       6         Charge-offs, net of recoveries       379,094       217,906       785,694       400,791         End of period Delinquent principal over 60 days       1,016,021       769,048       1,016,021       769,048         End of period Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases       26,606,773       22,090,296       26,660,6773       22,090,296         Average gross individually acquired retail       23,372,480       16,895,083       22,824,981       15,877,797         Average Gross unsecured consumer loans       1,330,612       181,331       1,267,853       103,618         Average Gross finance receivables portfolios       1,416,163       3,272,751       1,591,711       3,643,336         Average Gross finance receivables and loans       26,253,025       20,521,127       28,14,777       19,770,069         Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases       20,076,820       20,931,654       28,522,476       20,073,107         Average Total equity       29,85,112       28,654,000       25,190,600       17,274,181         Average Total equity       28,827,175       18,065,400       25,190,600	-								
Charge-offs, net of recoveries, on unsecured consumer loans         60,448         6         98,737         6           Charge-offs, net of recoveries         379,094         217,906         785,694         400,791           End of period Delinquent principal over 60 days         1,016,021         769,048         1,016,021         769,048         1,016,021         769,048           End of period Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases         30,668,759         22,874,572         30,668,759         22,824,981         1,877,777           Average gross individually acquired retail installment contracts         1,33,612         1,895,083         22,824,981         3,643,336           Average Gross unsecured consumer loans         1,33,612         1,81,331         1,267,853         130,618           Average Gross finance receivables portfolios         1,416,163         3,272,751         2,814,777         1,9770,969           Average Gross finance receivables and loans         2,6253,025         2,052,1127         2,814,777         1,9770,969           Average Total assets         2,930,142         2,931,614         2,930,714         2,945,216         2,017,214           Average Total equity         2,936,142         2,931,614         2,930,774         2,877,77         1,774,181           Average Total equity         2,936,142<	-	15,320		46,320		38,843		82,814	
consumer loans         60,448         6         98,737         6           Charge-offs, nct of recoveries         379,094         217,906         785,694         400,791           End of period Delinquent principal over 60 days         26,606,773         22,090,296         26,606,773         22,090,296           End of period Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases         30,668,759         22,574,572         30,668,759         22,574,572           Average gross individually acquired retail leases         23,372,480         16,895,083         22,824,981         15,877,797           Average Gross receivables form dealers         130,769         171,962         129,579         146,218           Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases         30,061,22         20,931,654         28,814,77         19,770,969           Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases         20,076,207         29,130,778         19,868,838           Average Total assets         29,306,142         20,931,654         28,525,476         20,073,107           Average Total assets         29,306,142         20,931,654         28,525,476         20,073,107           Average Total assets         29,306,142         20,931,654         28,52,476         20,073,107           Average Total assets         29,306,142 <td< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	-								
Charge-offs, net of recoveries       379,094       217,906       785,694       400,791         End of period Delinquent principal over 60 days       1,016,021       769,048       1,016,021       769,048         End of period Gross finance receivables and loans       26,606,773       22,090,296       26,606,773       22,090,296         Average gross individually acquired retail       30,668,759       22,574,572       30,668,759       2,874,572         Average gross purchased receivables portfolios       1,416,163       3,272,751       1,591,711       3,643,336         Average Gross innance receivables not dealers       130,769       171,962       129,579       146,218         Average Gross innance receivables, loans, and leases       20,307,480       20,692,397       29,130,778       19,868,838         Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases       20,306,142       20,931,654       28,525,476       20,073,107         Average Total assets       29,306,142       20,931,654       28,525,476       20,073,107         Average Total equity       2,588,2175       18,065,400       25,127,274,181       3,12       %         Yield on individually acquired retail installment       17.6       %       18,4       %       12.2       %       15.6       %         Yield on ind	-	60,448		6		98,737		6	
End of period Delinquent principal over 60 days       1.016,021       769,048       1.016,021       769,048         End of period Gross finance receivables and loans       26,606,773       22,090,296       26,606,773       22,090,296         Average gross individually acquired retail       23,372,480       16,895,083       22,824,981       15,877,797         Average gross purchased receivables portfolios       1,416,163       3,272,751       1,591,711       3,643,336         Average Gross receivables from dealers       130,769       171,962       129,579       146,218         Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases       30,76,820       20,692,397       29,130,778       19,868,838         Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases       29,306,142       20,931,654       28,525,476       20,073,107         Average Dotal asets       29,306,142       20,931,654       28,897,741       2,414,843         Ratios       17.6       %       18.4       %       17.6       %       18.3       %         Yield on individually acquired retail installment contracts       17.6       %       18.4       %       17.6       %       18.3       %         Yield on individually acquired retail installment contracts       1.7.6       %       18.4       %       10.		270.004		217.006		795 604		400 701	
End of period Gross finance receivables and loans leases26,606,77322,090,29626,606,77322,090,296End of period Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases30,668,75922,574,57230,668,75922,574,572Average gross individually acquired retail installment contracts23,372,48016,895,08322,824,98115,877,797Average Gross purchased receivables portfolios1,416,1633,272,7511,591,7113,643,336Average Gross unsecured consumer loans1,333,612181,3311,267,853103,618Average Gross finance receivables and loans26,253,02520,521,12725,814,77719,770,969Average Total assets29,306,14220,931,65428,525,47620,073,107Average Total assets29,306,14220,931,65428,525,47620,073,107Average Total assets29,88,2132,452,9132,897,7412,414,843Yield on individually acquired retail installment contracts17.6%18.4%17.6%Yield on receivables from dealers3.9%4.0%3.7%Yield on receivables from dealers3.9%4.0%3.7%Yield on receivables from dealers3.9%4.0%3.7%Yield on arening assets16.0%17.5%16.3%17.3%Cost of debt2.0%15.8%14.5%15.6%Return on average assets3.4%3.5								-	
End of period Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases         30,668,759         22,574,572         30,668,759         22,574,572           Average gross individually acquired retail installment contracts         23,372,480         16,895,083         22,824,981         15,877,797           Average gross purchased receivables portfolios         1,416,163         3,272,751         1,591,711         3,643,336           Average Gross receivables from dealers         130,769         171,962         129,579         146,218           Average Gross finance receivables and loans         26,253,025         20,521,127         25,814,777         19,770,969           Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases         29,306,142         20,931,654         28,525,476         20,073,107           Average Total asets         29,306,142         20,931,654         28,525,476         20,073,107           Average Total equity         2,988,213         2,452,913         2,897,741         2,414,843           Ratios         17.6         %         18.4         %         17.6         %         18.3         %           Yield on purchased receivables portfolios         14.8         %         12.9         %         13.2         %           Yield on usccured consumer loans         2.5         %         17.3				-				-	
leases $30,006,759$ $22,574,572$ $30,006,759$ $22,574,572$ Average gross individually acquired retail installment contracts $23,372,480$ $16,895,083$ $22,824,981$ $15,877,797$ Average gross purchased receivables portfolios $1,416,163$ $3,272,751$ $1,591,711$ $3,643,336$ Average Gross unsecured consumer loans $1,333,612$ $181,331$ $1,267,853$ $103,618$ Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases $20,076,820$ $20,692,397$ $29,130,778$ $19,868,838$ Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases $20,076,820$ $20,692,397$ $29,130,778$ $19,868,838$ Average Total assets $29,306,142$ $20,931,654$ $28,525,476$ $20,073,107$ Average Total assets $29,306,142$ $20,931,654$ $28,525,476$ $20,073,107$ Average Total equity $2,988,213$ $2,452,913$ $2,897,741$ $2,414,843$ RatiosYield on purchased receivables portfolios $14.8$ $\%$ $12.9$ $\%$ $13.2$ $\%$ Yield on purchased receivables portfolios $14.8$ $\%$ $12.9$ $\%$ $13.2$ $\%$ Yield on excured consumer loans $25.2$ $\%$ $32.7$ $\%$ $26.2$ $\%$ $28.6$ $\%$ Yield on excured consumer loans $25.2$ $\%$ $32.7$ $\%$ $26.2$ $\%$ $8.6$ $\%$ Yield on excured consumer loans $25.2$ $\%$ $32.7$ $\%$ $26.2$ $\%$ $8.6$ $\%$ Yield on excured consumer loans	-	20,000,775		22,090,290		20,000,775		22,090,290	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-	30,668,759		22,574,572		30,668,759		22,574,572	
installment contracts $23,372,480$ $10,895,083$ $22,824,981$ $13,877,797$ Average gross purchased receivables portfolios $1,416,163$ $3,272,751$ $1,591,711$ $3,643,336$ Average Gross receivables from dealers $130,769$ $171,962$ $129,579$ $146,218$ Average Gross tinance receivables and loans $26,253,025$ $20,521,127$ $25,814,777$ $19,770,969$ Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases $30,076,820$ $20,692,397$ $29,130,778$ $19,868,838$ Average Total assets $29,306,142$ $20,931,654$ $28,525,476$ $20,073,107$ Average Total equity $2,988,213$ $2,452,913$ $2,897,741$ $2,414,843$ Ratios $17.6$ $\%$ $18.4$ $\%$ $17.6$ $\%$ $18.3$ $\%$ Yield on individually acquired retail installment contracts $17.6$ $\%$ $18.4$ $\%$ $17.6$ $\%$ $18.3$ $\%$ Yield on exceivables from dealers $3.9$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ $3.7$ $\%$ Yield on earning assets $16.0$ $\%$ $17.5$ $\%$ $16.3$ $\%$ $17.3$ $\%$ Cost of debt $2.0$ $\%$ $1.5.8$ $\%$ $14.5$ $\%$ $15.6$ $\%$ Return on average assets $3.4$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $2.6$ $\%$ $38.9$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts $5.2$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on ind									
Average gross purchased receivables portfolios1,416,1633,272,7511,591,7113,643,336Average Gross receivables from dealers130,769171,962129,579146,218Average Gross nusceured consumer loans26,253,02520,521,12725,814,77719,770,969Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases 30,076,82020,692,39729,130,77819,868,838Average Grotal assets29,306,14220,931,65428,525,47620,073,107Average Debt25,852,17518,065,40025,190,60917,274,181Average Total equity2,988,2132,452,9132,897,7412,414,843Ratios17.6%18.4%17.6%18.3%Yield on individually acquired retail installment contracts17.6%18.4%13.2%Yield on secured consumer loans25.232.726.228.6%Yield on unsecured consumer loans25.2%32.7%20.0%Cost of debt2.0%1.7.5%16.3%17.3%Return on average assets3.4%3.5%4.0%3.9%Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts5.2%3.5%4.0%Yield on carcing assets16.0%17.5%16.3%17.3%Cost of debt2.0%1.4%3.5%%3.6%% <t< td=""><td></td><td>23,372,480</td><td></td><td>16,895,083</td><td></td><td>22,824,981</td><td></td><td>15,877,797</td><td></td></t<>		23,372,480		16,895,083		22,824,981		15,877,797	
Average Gross receivables from dealers130,769171,962129,579146,218Average Gross inance receivables and loans26,253,02520,521,12725,814,77719,770,969Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases20,692,39729,130,77819,868,838Average Total assets29,306,14220,931,65428,525,47620,073,107Average Total assets29,306,14220,931,65428,525,47620,073,107Average Total equity2,988,2132,452,9132,897,7412,414,843Ratios17.6%18.4%17.6%18.3%Yield on individually acquired retail installment contracts17.6%18.4%13.2%Yield on purchased receivables portfolios14.8%12.9%13.2%Yield on unscured consumer loans25.2%32.7%26.2%28.6%Yield on earning assets16.0%17.5%16.3%17.3%Cost of debt2.0%15.8%14.5%15.6%Efficiency ratio17.4%19.6%2.3%4.7%Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail3.0%2.5%2.6%%%Sold obt2.0%14.3%15.6%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% </td <td></td> <td>1 416 163</td> <td></td> <td>3 272 751</td> <td></td> <td>1 591 711</td> <td></td> <td>3 643 336</td> <td></td>		1 416 163		3 272 751		1 591 711		3 643 336	
Average Gross unsecured consumer loans1,333,612181,3311,267,853103,618Average Gross finance receivables and loans26,253,02520,521,12725,814,77719,770,969Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases 30,076,82020,9239729,130,77819,868,838Average Total assets29,306,14220,931,65428,525,47620,073,107Average Debt25,852,17518,065,40025,190,60917,274,181Average Total equity2,988,2132,452,9132,897,7412,414,843Ratios17.6%18.4%17.6%18.3%Yield on individually acquired retail installment contracts17.6%18.4%17.6%18.3%Yield on secured consumer loans25.2%32.7%26.2%28.66%Yield on carning assets16.0%17.5%16.3%17.3%Cost of debt2.0%15.8%14.5%15.6%Efficiency ratio17.4%19.6%22.1%19.1%Net charge-off ratio on undividually acquired retail $5.2$ % $3.5$ %23.6%Vield on carning assets16.0%17.5%16.3%17.3%Cost of debt2.0%15.8%14.5%15.6%Efficiency ratio17.4%19.6%22.6%38						, ,			
Average Gross finance receivables and loans $26,253,025$ $20,521,127$ $25,814,777$ $19,770,969$ Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases $30,076,820$ $20,692,397$ $29,130,778$ $19,868,838$ Average Total assets $29,306,142$ $20,931,654$ $28,525,476$ $20,073,107$ Average Debt $25,852,175$ $18,065,400$ $25,190,609$ $17,274,181$ Average Total equity $2,988,213$ $2,452,913$ $2,897,741$ $2,414,843$ Areage Total equity $2,988,213$ $2,452,913$ $2,897,741$ $2,414,843$ Average Total equity acquired retail installment contracts $17.6$ $\%$ $18.4$ $\%$ $17.6$ $\%$ Yield on purchased receivables portfolios $14.8$ $\%$ $12.9$ $\%$ $15.3$ $\%$ $13.2$ $\%$ Yield on exceivables from dealers $3.9$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ $4.7$ $\%$ Yield on exceivables from dealers $2.0$ $\%$ $1.5.3$ $\%$ $17.3$ $\%$ Yield on exceivables from dealers $3.9$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ $4.7$ $\%$ Yield on exceive consumer loans $25.2$ $\%$ $32.7$ $\%$ $20.0$ $\%$ Cost of debt $2.0$ $\%$ $1.5.8$ $\%$ $14.5$ $\%$ $15.6$ $\%$ Efficiency ratio $17.4$ $\%$ $19.6$ $\%$ $2.2.1$ $\%$ $9.6$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail $5.2$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$	-			-				-	
Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases $30,076,820$ $20,692,397$ $29,130,778$ $19,868,838$ Average Total assets $29,306,142$ $20,931,654$ $28,525,476$ $20,073,107$ Average Debt $25,852,175$ $18,065,400$ $25,190,609$ $17,274,181$ Average Total equity $2,988,213$ $2,452,913$ $2,897,741$ $2,414,843$ Ratios $2,452,913$ $2,897,741$ $2,414,843$ Yield on individually acquired retail installment contracts $17.6$ $\%$ $18.4$ $\%$ $17.6$ $\%$ Yield on purchased receivables portfolios $14.8$ $\%$ $12.9$ $\%$ $15.3$ $\%$ $13.2$ $\%$ Yield on unsecured consumer loans $25.2$ $\%$ $32.7$ $\%$ $26.2$ $\%$ $28.6$ $\%$ Yield on earning assets $16.0$ $\%$ $17.5$ $\%$ $16.3$ $\%$ $17.3$ $\%$ Cost of debt $20.0$ $\%$ $19.6$ $22.1$ $\%$ $9.16.6$ $7.5$ $\%$ Return on average assets $3.4$ $\%$ $35.5$ $\%$ $2.6$ $\%$ $38.9$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts $5.2$ $\%$ $4.11$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans $8.1$ $\%$ $$ $15.6$ $$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans $8.1$ $\%$ $-1$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.9$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consume								-	
Average Total assets29,306,14220,931,65428,525,47620,073,107Average Debt25,852,17518,065,40025,190,60917,274,181Average Total equity2,988,2132,452,9132,897,7412,414,843Ratios716%18.4%17.6%18.3%Yield on individually acquired retail installment contracts17.6%18.4%15.3%13.2%Yield on purchased receivables portfolios14.8%12.9%15.3%13.2%Yield on unsecured consumer loans25.2%32.7%26.2%28.6%Yield on earning assets16.0%17.5%16.3%17.3%Cost of debt2.0%19.9%2.0%19.1%Return on average assets3.4%3.5%22.6%38.9%Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts3.4%3.5%2.0%%Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1%—15.6%—Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1%4.9%4.5%Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1%—15.6%—Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1%4.2%6.1%4.1%Delinquen									
Average Debt $25,852,175$ $18,065,400$ $25,190,609$ $17,274,181$ Average Total equity $2,988,213$ $2,452,913$ $2,897,741$ $2,414,843$ RatiosYield on individually acquired retail installment contracts $17.6$ $\%$ $18.4$ $\%$ $17.6$ $\%$ $18.3$ $\%$ Yield on purchased receivables portfolios $14.8$ $\%$ $12.9$ $\%$ $15.3$ $\%$ $13.2$ $\%$ Yield on receivables from dealers $3.9$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ $3.7$ $\%$ Yield on excured consumer loans $25.2$ $\%$ $32.7$ $\%$ $26.2$ $\%$ $28.6$ $\%$ Yield on earning assets $16.0$ $\%$ $17.5$ $\%$ $16.3$ $\%$ $17.3$ $\%$ Cost of debt $2.0$ $\%$ $15.8$ $\%$ $14.5$ $\%$ $15.6$ $\%$ Return on average assets $3.4$ $\%$ $3.55$ $\%$ $2.2.1$ $\%$ $19.1$ $\%$ Return on average equity $33.0$ $\%$ $29.5$ $\%$ $2.6$ $\%$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on undecured consumer loans $5.2$ $\%$ $4.11$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans $18.1$ $\%$ $$ $15.6$ $$ $-$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans $18.1$ $\%$ $ 15.6$ $ -$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans $18.1$ $\%$ $ 15.6$ <t< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	-								
Average Total equity Ratios2,988,2132,452,9132,897,7412,414,843RatiosYield on individually acquired retail installment contracts17.6 $\%$ 18.4 $\%$ 17.6 $\%$ 18.3 $\%$ Yield on purchased receivables portfolios14.8 $\%$ 12.9 $\%$ 15.3 $\%$ 13.2 $\%$ Yield on receivables from dealers3.9 $\%$ 4.0 $\%$ 3.7 $\%$ Yield on earning assets16.0 $\%$ 17.5 $\%$ 26.2 $\%$ 28.6 $\%$ Cost of debt2.0 $\%$ 1.9 $\%$ 2.0 $\%$ 7.3 $\%$ Return on average assets3.4 $\%$ 3.5 $\%$ 2.3 $\%$ 4.7 $\%$ Return on average equity33.0 $\%$ 2.9.5 $\%$ 2.6 $\%$ 38.9 $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts $5.2$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1 $\%$ $$ $15.6$ $$ $-$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1 $\%$ $ 15.6$ $$ $-$ Net charge-off ratio $5.8$ $\%$ $4.2$ $\%$ $6.1$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ Delinquency ratio, end of period $5.8$ $\%$ $4.2$ $\%$ $6.1$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio $5.8$ $\%$ $4.2$ $\%$ $6.1$ $\%$ </td <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	•								
RatiosYield on individually acquired retail installment contracts17.6% 18.4% 17.6% 18.3%Yield on purchased receivables portfolios14.8% 12.9% 15.3% 13.2%Yield on receivables from dealers3.9% 4.0% 4.0% 3.7%Yield on unsecured consumer loans25.2% 32.7% 26.2% 28.6%Yield on earning assets16.0% 17.5% 16.3% 17.3%Cost of debt2.0% 1.9% 2.0% 2.0%Net interest margin14.3% 15.8% 14.5% 15.6%Efficiency ratio17.4% 19.6% 22.1% 19.1%Return on average assets3.4% 3.5% 2.3% 4.7%Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts5.2% 4.1% 5.7% 4.0%Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1% -15.6%%Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1% -15.6%%Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1% -15.6%%Delinquency ratio, end of period3.8% 3.5% 3.8% 3.5%Tangible common equity to tangible assets10.0% 10.3% 10.0% 10.3%	-								
Yield on individually acquired retail installment contracts17.6 $\%$ 18.4 $\%$ 17.6 $\%$ 18.3 $\%$ Yield on purchased receivables portfolios14.8 $\%$ 12.9 $\%$ 15.3 $\%$ 13.2 $\%$ Yield on receivables from dealers3.9 $\%$ 4.0 $\%$ 4.0 $\%$ 3.7 $\%$ Yield on unsecured consumer loans25.2 $\%$ 32.7 $\%$ 26.2 $\%$ 28.6 $\%$ Yield on earning assets16.0 $\%$ 17.5 $\%$ 16.3 $\%$ 17.3 $\%$ Cost of debt2.0 $\%$ 19.9 $\%$ 2.0 $\%$ 2.0 $\%$ Net interest margin14.3 $\%$ 15.8 $\%$ 14.5 $\%$ 15.6 $\%$ Efficiency ratio17.4 $\%$ 19.6 $\%$ 22.1 $\%$ 19.1 $\%$ Return on average assets3.4 $\%$ 3.5 $\%$ 2.3 $\%$ 4.7 $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts5.2 $\%$ 4.1 $\%$ 5.7 $\%$ 4.0 $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on purchased receivables portfolios4.3 $\%$ 5.7 $\%$ 4.5 $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1 $\%$ -15.6 $-$ Net charge-off ratio5.8 $\%$ 4.2 $\%$ 6.1 $\%$ 4.1 $\%$ Delinquency ratio, end of period3.8 $\%$ 3.5 $\%$ 3.8 $\%$ <td< td=""><td></td><td>2,700,213</td><td></td><td>2,132,913</td><td></td><td>2,077,711</td><td></td><td>2,111,015</td><td></td></td<>		2,700,213		2,132,913		2,077,711		2,111,015	
contracts17.6% 18.4% 17.6% 18.3%Yield on purchased receivables portfolios14.8% 12.9% 15.3% 13.2%Yield on receivables from dealers3.9% 4.0% 4.0% 3.7%Yield on unsecured consumer loans25.2% 32.7% 26.2% 28.6%Yield on earning assets16.0% 17.5% 16.3% 17.3%Cost of debt2.0% 19.9% 2.0% 2.0%Net interest margin14.3% 15.8% 14.5% 15.6%Efficiency ratio17.4% 19.6% 22.1% 19.1%Return on average assets3.4% 3.5% 2.3% 4.7%Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts5.2% 4.1% 5.7% 4.0%Net charge-off ratio on purchased receivables portfolios4.3% 5.7% 4.9% 4.5%Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1%15.6%Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1%15.6%Net charge-off ratio5.8% 4.2% 6.1% 4.1%Delinquency ratio, end of period3.8% 3.5% 3.8% 3.5%Tangible common equity to tangible assets10.0% 10.3% 10.0% 10.3%									
Yield on purchased receivables portfolios14.8 $\%$ 12.9 $\%$ 15.3 $\%$ 13.2 $\%$ Yield on receivables from dealers3.9 $\%$ 4.0 $\%$ 4.0 $\%$ 3.7 $\%$ Yield on unsecured consumer loans25.2 $\%$ 32.7 $\%$ 26.2 $\%$ 28.6 $\%$ Yield on earning assets16.0 $\%$ 17.5 $\%$ 16.3 $\%$ 17.3 $\%$ Cost of debt2.0 $\%$ 1.9 $\%$ 2.0 $\%$ $\%$ Net interest margin14.3 $\%$ 15.8 $\%$ 14.5 $\%$ 15.6 $\%$ Efficiency ratio17.4 $\%$ 19.6 $\%$ 22.1 $\%$ 19.1 $\%$ Return on average assets3.4 $\%$ 3.5 $\%$ 2.3 $\%$ $4.7$ $\%$ Return on average equity33.0 $\%$ 29.5 $\%$ 22.6 $\%$ $38.9$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts $5.2$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1 $\%$ $$ $15.6$ $\%$ $$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1 $\%$ $$ $15.6$ $\%$ $$ Net charge-off ratio $5.8$ $\%$ $4.2$ $\%$ $6.1$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ Delinquency ratio, end of period $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$		17.6	%	18.4	%	17.6	%	18.3	%
Yield on receivables from dealers $3.9$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ $3.7$ $\%$ Yield on unsecured consumer loans $25.2$ $\%$ $32.7$ $\%$ $26.2$ $\%$ $28.6$ $\%$ Yield on earning assets $16.0$ $\%$ $17.5$ $\%$ $16.3$ $\%$ $17.3$ $\%$ Cost of debt $2.0$ $\%$ $1.9$ $\%$ $2.0$ $\%$ $2.0$ $\%$ Net interest margin $14.3$ $\%$ $15.8$ $\%$ $14.5$ $\%$ $15.6$ $\%$ Efficiency ratio $17.4$ $\%$ $19.6$ $\%$ $22.1$ $\%$ $19.1$ $\%$ Return on average assets $3.4$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $2.3$ $\%$ $4.7$ $\%$ Return on average equity $33.0$ $\%$ $29.5$ $\%$ $22.6$ $\%$ $38.9$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts $5.2$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans $18.1$ $\%$ $ 15.6$ $\%$ $-$ Net charge-off ratio $5.8$ $\%$ $4.2$ $\%$ $6.1$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ Delinquency ratio, end of period $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio $5.8$ $\%$ $4.2$ $\%$ $6.1$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ Delinquency ratio, end of period $3.8$ $\%$		14.8	%	12.9	%	15.3	%	13.2	%
Yield on unsecured consumer loans $25.2$ $\%$ $32.7$ $\%$ $26.2$ $\%$ $28.6$ $\%$ Yield on earning assets $16.0$ $\%$ $17.5$ $\%$ $16.3$ $\%$ $17.3$ $\%$ Cost of debt $2.0$ $\%$ $19.9$ $\%$ $2.0$ $\%$ $2.0$ $\%$ Net interest margin $14.3$ $\%$ $15.8$ $\%$ $14.5$ $\%$ $15.6$ $\%$ Efficiency ratio $17.4$ $\%$ $19.6$ $\%$ $22.1$ $\%$ $19.1$ $\%$ Return on average assets $3.4$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $2.3$ $\%$ $4.7$ $\%$ Return on average equity $33.0$ $\%$ $29.5$ $\%$ $22.6$ $\%$ $38.9$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts $5.2$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans $18.1$ $\%$ $ 15.6$ $ -$ Net charge-off ratio $5.8$ $\%$ $4.2$ $\%$ $6.1$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ Delinquency ratio, end of period $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ Tangible common equity to tangible assets $10.0$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$ $10.0$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$									
Yield on earning assets16.0 $\%$ 17.5 $\%$ 16.3 $\%$ 17.3 $\%$ Cost of debt2.0 $\%$ 1.9 $\%$ 2.0 $\%$ 2.0 $\%$ Net interest margin14.3 $\%$ 15.8 $\%$ 14.5 $\%$ 15.6 $\%$ Efficiency ratio17.4 $\%$ 19.6 $\%$ 22.1 $\%$ 19.1 $\%$ Return on average assets3.4 $\%$ 3.5 $\%$ 2.3 $\%$ 4.7 $\%$ Return on average equity33.0 $\%$ 29.5 $\%$ 22.6 $\%$ 38.9 $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts $5.2$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on purchased receivables portfolios $4.3$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.9$ $\%$ $4.5$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans $18.1$ $\%$ $$ $15.6$ $\%$ $$ Net charge-off ratio $5.8$ $\%$ $4.2$ $\%$ $6.1$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ Delinquency ratio, end of period $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ Tangible common equity to tangible assets $10.0$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$					%	26.2			
Cost of debt2.0 $\%$ 1.9 $\%$ 2.0 $\%$ 2.0 $\%$ Net interest margin14.3 $\%$ 15.8 $\%$ 14.5 $\%$ 15.6 $\%$ Efficiency ratio17.4 $\%$ 19.6 $\%$ 22.1 $\%$ 19.1 $\%$ Return on average assets3.4 $\%$ 3.5 $\%$ 2.3 $\%$ 4.7 $\%$ Return on average equity33.0 $\%$ 29.5 $\%$ 22.6 $\%$ 38.9 $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts $5.2$ $\%$ 4.1 $\%$ 5.7 $\%$ 4.0 $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on purchased receivables portfolios $4.3$ $\%$ 5.7 $\%$ 4.9 $\%$ 4.5 $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1 $\%$ —15.6 $\%$ —Net charge-off ratio5.8 $\%$ 4.2 $\%$ 6.1 $\%$ 4.1 $\%$ Delinquency ratio, end of period3.8 $\%$ 3.5 $\%$ 3.8 $\%$ 3.5 $\%$ Tangible common equity to tangible assets10.0 $\%$ 10.3 $\%$ 10.0 $\%$ 10.3 $\%$									
Net interest margin14.3% 15.8% 14.5% 15.6%Efficiency ratio17.4% 19.6% 22.1% 19.1%Return on average assets3.4% 3.5% 2.3% 4.7%Return on average equity33.0% 29.5% 22.6% 38.9%Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts $5.2$ % 4.1% 5.7% 4.0%Net charge-off ratio on purchased receivables portfolios $4.3$ % 5.7% 4.9% 4.5%Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1%15.6%Net charge-off ratio $5.8$ % 4.2% 6.1% 4.1%Delinquency ratio, end of period $3.8$ % 3.5% 3.8% 3.5%Tangible common equity to tangible assets10.0% 10.3% 10.0% 10.3%	e								
Return on average assets $3.4$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $2.3$ $\%$ $4.7$ $\%$ Return on average equity $33.0$ $\%$ $29.5$ $\%$ $22.6$ $\%$ $38.9$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts $5.2$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on purchased receivables portfolios $4.3$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.9$ $\%$ $4.5$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans $18.1$ $\%$ $ 15.6$ $\%$ $-$ Net charge-off ratio $5.8$ $\%$ $4.2$ $\%$ $6.1$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ Delinquency ratio, end of period $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ Tangible common equity to tangible assets $10.0$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$ $10.0$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$									
Return on average assets $3.4$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $2.3$ $\%$ $4.7$ $\%$ Return on average equity $33.0$ $\%$ $29.5$ $\%$ $22.6$ $\%$ $38.9$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts $5.2$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on purchased receivables portfolios $4.3$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.9$ $\%$ $4.5$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans $18.1$ $\%$ $ 15.6$ $\%$ $-$ Net charge-off ratio $5.8$ $\%$ $4.2$ $\%$ $6.1$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ Delinquency ratio, end of period $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ Tangible common equity to tangible assets $10.0$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$ $10.0$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$		17.4			%	22.1	%	19.1	%
Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts $5.2$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on purchased receivables portfolios $4.3$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.9$ $\%$ $4.5$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans $18.1$ $\%$ $ 15.6$ $\%$ $-$ Net charge-off ratio $5.8$ $\%$ $4.2$ $\%$ $6.1$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ Delinquency ratio, end of period $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ Tangible common equity to tangible assets $10.0$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$ $10.0$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$		3.4	%	3.5	%	2.3	%	4.7	%
installment contracts $5.2$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.0$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on purchased receivables portfolios $4.3$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.9$ $\%$ $4.5$ $\%$ Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans $18.1$ $\%$ $ 15.6$ $\%$ $-$ Net charge-off ratio $5.8$ $\%$ $4.2$ $\%$ $6.1$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ Delinquency ratio, end of period $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ Tangible common equity to tangible assets $10.0$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$ $10.0$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$	Return on average equity	33.0	%	29.5	%	22.6	%	38.9	%
Installment contractsNet charge-off ratio on purchased receivables portfolios4.3% 5.7% 4.9% 4.5%Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans18.1% —15.6% —Net charge-off ratio5.8% 4.2% 6.1% 4.1%Delinquency ratio, end of period3.8% 3.5% 3.8% 3.5%Tangible common equity to tangible assets10.0% 10.3% 10.0% 10.3%	Net charge-off ratio on individually acquired retail	5.2	01	4 1	01	57	01	4.0	01
portfolios $4.3$ $\%$ $5.7$ $\%$ $4.9$ $\%$ $4.5$ $\%$ Net charge-off rationusecured consumer loans $18.1$ $\%$ $ 15.6$ $\%$ $-$ Net charge-off ratio $5.8$ $\%$ $4.2$ $\%$ $6.1$ $\%$ $4.1$ $\%$ Delinquency ratio, end of period $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ $3.8$ $\%$ $3.5$ $\%$ Tangible common equity to tangible assets $10.0$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$ $10.0$ $\%$ $10.3$ $\%$	installment contracts	5.2	%	4.1	%	5.7	%	4.0	%0
portfolios         Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans       18.1       % —       15.6       % —         Net charge-off ratio       5.8       % 4.2       % 6.1       % 4.1       %         Delinquency ratio, end of period       3.8       % 3.5       % 3.8       % 3.5       %         Tangible common equity to tangible assets       10.0       % 10.3       % 10.0       % 10.3       %	Net charge-off ratio on purchased receivables	1 2	07.	57	07.	4.0	07.	15	07-
Net charge-off ratio         5.8         % 4.2         % 6.1         % 4.1         %           Delinquency ratio, end of period         3.8         % 3.5         % 3.8         % 3.5         %         % 3.5         %           Tangible common equity to tangible assets         10.0         % 10.3         % 10.0         % 10.3         %	portfolios	4.3	70	5.7	70	4.9	70	4.3	70
Delinquency ratio, end of period         3.8         % 3.5         % 3.8         % 3.5         %           Tangible common equity to tangible assets         10.0         % 10.3         % 10.0         % 10.3         %	Net charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans	18.1	%			15.6	%		
Tangible common equity to tangible assets         10.0         % 10.3         % 10.0         % 10.3         %	Net charge-off ratio	5.8	%	4.2	%	6.1	%	4.1	%
	Delinquency ratio, end of period	3.8	%	3.5	%	3.8	%	3.5	%
Common stock dividend payout ratio         21.2         % 159.6         % 16.0         % 61.5         %	Tangible common equity to tangible assets	10.0	%	10.3	%	10.0	%	10.3	%
	Common stock dividend payout ratio	21.2	%	159.6	%	16.0	%	61.5	%
Allowance to loans11.6% 9.4% 11.6% 9.4%	Allowance to loans	11.6	%	9.4	%	11.6	%	9.4	%

"Yield on earning assets" is defined as the ratio of the sum of Interest on finance receivables and loans and Leased vehicle income, net of Leased vehicle expense, to Average gross finance receivables, loans and leases "Cost of debt" is defined as the ratio of Interest expense to Average debt

"Cost of debt" is defined as the ratio of Interest expense to Average debt "Net interest margin" is defined as the ratio of Net interest income to Average gross finance

"Net interest margin" is defined as the ratio of Net interest income to Average gross finance receivables, loans and leases

"Efficiency ratio" is defined as the ratio of Operating expenses to the sum of Net finance and other interest income and Other income

"Return on average assets" is defined as the ratio of Net income to Average total assets

"Return on average equity" is defined as the ratio of Net income to Average total equity

"Net charge-off ratio" is defined as the ratio of Charge-offs, net of recoveries, to average balance of the respective portfolio.

"Delinquency ratio" is defined as the ratio of End of period Delinquent principal over 60 days to End of period Gross finance receivables and loans

"Tangible common equity to tangible assets" is defined as the ratio of Total equity, excluding Goodwill and intangible assets, to Total assets, excluding Goodwill and intangible assets

"Common stock dividend payout ratio" is defined as the ratio of Dividends declared per share of common stock to Earnings per share attributable to Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. shareholders

"Allowance to loans" is defined as the ratio of Allowance for loan losses to End of period Gross finance receivables and loans

# Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Income Statement Data	2013 (Dollars in th	December 31, 2012 nousands, excep	2011 pt per share dat	2010 ta)	2009	2008	2007	2006
Interest on individually acquired retail installment contracts	\$3,227,845	\$2,223,833	\$1,695,538	\$1,308,728	\$1,281,515	\$1,396,610	\$1,129,533	\$642,156
Interest on purchased receivables portfolios	410,213	704,770	870,257	734,634	218,240	105,229	—	
Interest on receivables from dealers	6,663	7,177	14,394	24,137	5,255	_	_	—
Interest on unsecured consumer loans	128,351	_	_			_	_	
Interest on finance receivables and loans	3,773,072	2,935,780	2,580,189	2,067,499	1,505,010	1,501,839	1,129,533	642,156
Net leased vehicle income	33,398	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Other finance and	6,010	12,722	14,324	9,079	5,230	5,333		
interest income Interest expense	408,787	374,027	418,526	316,486	235,031	256,356	225,747	149,050
Net interest income	3,403,693	2,574,475	2,175,987	1,760,092	1,275,209	1,250,816	903,786	493,106
Provision for loan losses on individually acquired retail installment	n 1,651,416	1,119,074	741,559	750,625	720,938	823,024	513,377	261,016
receivables portfolios	/,/10	3,378	77,662	137,600	_			
Provision for loan losses on receivables	n 1,090	_	_	_	_	_	_	
from dealers	192,745	_	_			_		<u> </u>

Provision for loan losses on unsecured consumer loans								
Provision for loan losses	<sup>1</sup> 1,852,967	1,122,452	819,221	888,225	720,938	823,024	513,377	261,016
Profit sharing Other income	78,246 311,566	 295,689	 452,529	 249,028	 48,096	43,120		 15,903
Operating expenses		559,163	557,083	404,840	249,012	209,315	150,156	178,927
Income before tax expense	<sup>°</sup> 1,085,088	1,188,549	1,252,212	716,055	353,355	261,597	260,776	69,066
Income tax expense	389,418	453,615	464,034	277,944	143,834	87,472	100,302	18,312 -
Net income	695,670	734,934	788,178	438,111	209,521	174,125	160,474	50,754
Noncontrolling interests Net income	1,821	(19,931)	(19,981)	—	—			33,266
attributable to Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. shareholders	\$697,491	\$715,003	\$768,197	\$438,111	\$209,521	\$174,125	\$160,474	\$17,488
Share Data Weighted-average common shares outstanding Basic Diluted Earnings per	e 346,177,515 346,177,515		246,056,761 246,056,761	245,781,739 245,781,739				. ,
share Basic Diluted			\$3.12 \$3.12	\$1.78 \$1.78	\$0.85 \$0.85	\$0.71 \$0.71	\$0.65 \$0.65	(d) (d)
Dividends declared per share Balance Sheet Data	\$0.84 ب	\$2.12	\$1.89	\$1.63	_	_	_	(d)
Finance receivables and loans	\$21,351,046	\$16,265,820	\$16,715,703	\$15,032,046	\$7,466,267	\$5,600,102	\$4,326,835	\$2,748,173
Goodwill and intangible assets	128,720	126,700	125,427	126,767	142,198	105,643	11,920	11,920 -
Total assets Total borrowings Total liabilities Total equity	23,715,064	16,227,995 16,502,178	19,404,371 16,790,518 17,167,686 2,236,685	16,773,021 15,065,635 16,005,404 767,617	8,556,177 7,525,930 7,838,862 717,315	6,044,454 5,432,338 5,564,986 479,468	4,840,647 4,419,162 4,509,803 330,844	3,095,073 2,846,882 2,910,208 184,865
Allowance for loan losses	2,539,430	1,774,002	1,208,475	840,599	384,396	347,302	203,450	145,351

	Year Ended D 2013 (Dollars in the	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005 (
Other Information Charge-offs, net of recoveries, on									
individually acquired retail installment contracts Charge-offs, net of recoveries,	\$1,074,144	\$556,925	\$451,345	\$432,022	\$630,084	\$668,339	\$455,278	\$207,470	\$161,
on purchased receivables portfolios Charge-offs, net of recoveries,	178,932	451,529	573,788	277,345	53,760	10,833	_	_	_
on unsecured consumer loans Total	13,395	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
charge-offs, net of recoveries End of period	1,266,471	1,008,454	1,025,133	709,367	683,844	679,172	455,278	207,470	161,03
Delinquent principal over 60 days End of period	1,102,373	865,917	767,838	579,627	502,254	477,141	341,449	143,946	92,081
Gross finance receivables and loans End of period		18,655,497	18,754,938	16,843,774	8,309,153	6,360,982	4,851,469	3,106,472	1,729,
Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases		18,655,497	18,754,938	16,843,774	8,309,153	6,360,982	4,851,469	3,106,472	1,729,
Average gross individually acquired	18,097,082	12,082,026	8,843,036	6,631,231	5,690,833	5,396,355	3,986,699	2,423,623	1,515,

retail installment																
contracts Average gross																
purchased receivables portfolios	3,041,992		6,309,497		7,270,080		4,978,727	'	975,080		320,903	_		_		—
Average Gross receivables from dealers	173,506 s		110,187		169,098		502,011		600,166		11,341	_		_		—
Average Gross unsecured consumer loans	425,229								_					_		—
Average Gross finance receivables and loans	21,737,809		18,501,71	0	16,282,21	5	12,111,96	59	7,266,079	¢	5,728,599	3,986,69	99	2,423,623	3	1,515,
Average Gross finance receivables, loans, and leases	e , 22,499,225		18,501,71	0	16,282,21	5	12,111,96	<u>i9</u>	7,266,079	¢	5,728,599	3,986,69	99	2,423,623	3	1,515,
Average	22,558,567		18,411,01	2	16,067,62	3	11,984,99	€7	6,930,260	)	5,520,652	3,967,80	60	2,529,396	5	1,567,
Total assets Average Deb					14,557,370						4,989,280	3,633,02		2,317,624		1,417,
Average	2,498,831		2,312,781		916,219		850,219		594,097		406,680	257,855		140,513		84,346
Total equity Ratios Yield on individually	2,770,001		2,012,102		910,219		050,212		J,v, , ,		100,000	201,022	,	170,010		י יי, יי
acquired retail installment contracts	17.8 9	%	18.4	%	19.2	%	19.7	%	22.5	%	25.9 %	6 28.3	%	26.5	%	27.9
Yield on purchased receivables portfolios	13.5	%	11.2	%	12.0	%	14.8	%	22.4	%	32.8 %	<i>6</i> —		_		
Yield on receivables from dealers Yield on		76	6.5	%	8.5	%	4.8	%	0.9	%		_		_		—
unsecured consumer loans	30.2	76							—		_			—		_
Yield on earning assets	16.9 9	Ъ	15.9	%	15.8	%	17.1	%	20.7	%	26.3 %	6 28.3	%	26.5	%	27.9

	Ec	lgar Filing: S	Santander C	onsumer U	SA Holdings	s Inc Form	10-Q		
Cost of debt	2.1	% 2.4	% 2.9	% 3.0	% 3.9	% 5.1	% 6.2	% 6.4	% 5.1
Net interest margin	15.1	% 13.9	% 13.4	% 14.5	% 17.6	% 21.8	% 22.7	% 20.3	% 23.1
Efficiency ratio Return on	18.8	% 19.5	% 21.2	% 20.2	% 18.8	% 16.2	% 16.2	% 35.2	% 27.1
average assets	3.1	% 4.0	% 4.9	% 3.7	% 3.0	% 3.2	% 4.0	% 2.0	% 5.6
Return on average equity Net charge-off	27.8	% 31.8	% 86.0	% 51.5	% 35.3	% 42.8	% 62.2	% 36.1	% 104.6
ratio on individually acquired retail installment contracts	5.9	% 4.6	% 5.1	% 6.5	% 11.1	% 12.4	% 11.4	% 8.6	% 10.6
Net charge-off ratio on purchased receivables portfolios Net	5.9	% 7.2	% 7.9	% 5.6	% 5.5	% 3.4	% —	_	_
charge-off ratio on unsecured consumer loans	3.2	% —	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
Net charge-off ratio	5.8	% 5.5	% 6.3	% 5.9	% 9.4	% 11.9	% 11.4	% 8.6	% 10.6
Delinquency ratio, end of period Tangible	4.5	% 4.6	% 4.1	% 3.4	% 6.0	% 7.5	% 7.0	% 4.6	% 5.3
common equity to tangible assets Common	9.7	% 11.3	% 11.0	% 3.8	% 6.8	% 6.3	% 6.6	% 5.6	% 4.9
stock dividend payout ratio	41.6	% 102.8	% 60.6	% 91.3	% —	_	_	—	—
Allowance to loans		% 9.5	% 6.4	% 5	% 4.6	% 5.5	% 4.2	% 4.7	% 5.3

(a) Financial data for the years 2005 and 2004 is derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of Drive Financial Services LP, the predecessor company to Santander Consumer USA Inc.

(b) Provision for loan losses for the years 2005 and 2004 includes impairment of residual interests in securitizations, which had been retained and recorded as assets under U.S. GAAP applicable at the time.

(c) No income tax expense was recorded for the years 2005 and 2004 as Drive Financial Services LP was a partnership and therefore not directly subject to Federal and state income taxes. Income for those years was instead passed through to the individual tax returns of the partners.

(d) There were no shares outstanding at the end of the years 2005 and 2004 as Drive Financial Services LP was a partnership, rather than a corporation, and therefore did not issue shares. Drive Financial Services LP was dissolved into the company now known as Santander Consumer USA Inc. on December 6, 2006. Earnings per share and dividend per share amounts are not applicable or not meaningful for the years 2006, 2005, and 2004.

"Yield on earning assets" is defined as the ratio of the sum of Interest on finance receivables and loans and Leased vehicle income, net of Leased vehicle expense, to Average gross finance receivables, loans and leases "Cost of debt" is defined as the ratio of Interest expense to Average debt

"Net interest margin" is defined as the ratio of Net interest income to Average gross finance receivables, loans and leases

"Efficiency ratio" is defined as the ratio of Operating expenses to the sum of Net finance and other interest income and Other income

"Return on average assets" is defined as the ratio of Net income to Average total assets

"Return on average equity" is defined as the ratio of Net income to Average total equity

"Net charge-off ratio" is defined as the ratio of Charge-offs, net of recoveries, to average balance of the respective portfolio.

"Delinquency ratio" is defined as the ratio of End of period Delinquent principal over 60 days to End of period Gross finance receivables and loans

"Tangible common equity to tangible assets" is defined as the ratio of Total equity, excluding Goodwill and intangible assets, to Total assets, excluding Goodwill and intangible assets

"Common stock dividend payout ratio" is defined as the ratio of Dividends declared per share of common stock to Earnings per share attributable to Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. shareholders

"Allowance to loans" is defined as the ratio of Allowance for loan losses to End of period Gross finance receivables and loans

**Results of Operations** 

This MD&A should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes included elsewhere in this Report.

The following table presents our results of o	operations for	the	three and six	mo	nths ended Ju	ne 3	0, 2014 and	2013:
	For the Thre				For the Six			
	June 30,				June 30,			
	2014		2013		2014		2013	
	(Dollars in the	hous	sands)					
Interest on finance receivables and loans	\$1,163,448		\$900,375		\$2,303,777		\$1,712,282	2
Operating leases	218,938		10,030		366,061		10,030	
Other finance and interest income	874		2,156		1,124		4,841	
Total finance and other interest income	1,383,260		912,561		2,670,962		1,727,153	
Interest expense	128,314		87,476		252,760		170,473	
Leased vehicle expense	179,135		7,028		299,204		7,028	
Net finance and other interest income	1,075,811		818,057		2,118,998		1,549,652	
Provision for loan losses	589,136		408,411		1,287,730		625,604	
Net finance and other interest income after provision for loan losses	486,675		409,646		831,268		924,048	
Profit sharing	24,056		7,564		56,217		7,564	
Net finance and other interest income after provision for loan losses and profit sharing	462,619		402,082		775,051		916,484	
Total other income	138,731		54,409		274,254		130,538	
Total operating expenses	211,226		171,298		529,674		320,172	
Income before income taxes	390,124		285,193		519,631		726,850	
Income tax expense	143,643		104,129		191,684		256,927	
Net income	246,481		181,064		327,947		469,923	
Noncontrolling interests			854				2,397	
Net income attributable to Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. shareholders	\$246,481		\$181,918		\$327,947		\$472,320	
Net income	\$246,481		\$181,064		\$327,947		\$469,923	
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges, net of tax	(3,364	)	2,001		(1,276	)	4,835	
Change in unrealized gains on investments available for sale, net of tax			(1,167	)	_		(2,623	)
Other comprehensive income, net	(3,364	)	834		(1,276	)	2,212	
Comprehensive income	\$243,117		\$181,898		\$326,671		\$472,135	
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	)		586				1,577	
Comprehensive income attributable to								
Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. shareholders	\$243,117		\$182,484		\$326,671		\$473,712	

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 Compared to Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Interest on Finance Receivables and Loans

	Three Month	ns Ended			Six Months	Ended				
	June 30,	June 30,	Increase (D	Decrease)	June 30,	June 30,	Increase (D		·	
	2014	2013	Amount	Percent	2014	2013	Amount	Perce	nt	
	(Dollars in the second	nousands)			(Dollars in the	housands)				
Income from										
individually acquired retail installment contracts	<sup>1</sup> \$1,025,622	\$778,611	\$247,011	32%	\$2,013,675	\$1,454,229	\$559,446	38	%	
Income from										
purchased receivable	e 52,482	105,194	(52,712)	(50)%	121,420	240,475	(119,055)	(50	)%	
Income from										
receivables from dealers	1,276	1,727	(451)	(26)%	2,606	2,735	(129)	(5	)%	
Income from unsecured consumer	84,068	14,843	69,225	466%	166,076	14,843	151,233	1,019	%	
loans										
Total interest on finance receivables and loans	\$1,163,448	\$900,375	\$263,073	29%	\$2,303,777	\$1,712,282	\$591,495	35	%	

Income from individually acquired retail installment contracts increased \$247 million, or 32%, from the second quarter of 2013 to the second quarter of 2014, and \$559 million, or 38%, from the six months ended June 30, 2013 to the six months ended June 30, 2014, in line with the growth in the average outstanding balance of our portfolio of these contracts by 38% and 44%, respectively.

Income from purchased receivables portfolios decreased \$53 million, or 50%, from the second quarter of 2013 to the second quarter of 2014, and \$119 million, or 50%, from the six months ended June 30, 2013 to the six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, due to the continued runoff of the portfolios. The average balance of the portfolios decreased from \$3.3 billion and \$3.6 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, to \$1.4 billion and \$1.6 billion for the three and six months ended no portfolio acquisitions since 2012.

Income from receivables from dealers decreased from prior year in line with the decrease in average outstanding balances as the increase in Chrysler Capital dealer loans was more than offset by the liquidation in December 2013 of a revolving credit facility with a third-party vehicle dealer and lender.

Income from unsecured consumer loans includes interest earned on our unsecured revolving and term consumer loan portfolio, which has grown significantly since we began unsecured originations late in the first quarter of 2013. It also includes accretion of discount on our unsecured revolving consumer loans.

Leased Vehicle Income and Expense

	Three Mon	ths Ended			Six Months Ended				
	June 30,	June 30,	Increase (Decrease)			June 30,	June 30,	Increase (I	Decrease)
	2014	2013	Amount	Percent	t	2014	2013	Amount	Percent
	(Dollars in	thousands)				(Dollars in	thousands)	)	
Leased vehicle income	\$218,938	\$10,030	\$208,908	2,083	%	\$366,061	\$10,030	\$356,031	3,550 %
Leased vehicle expense	179,135	87,476	172,107	2,449	%	299,204	7,028	292,176	4,157 %
	\$39,803	\$3,002	\$36,801	1,226	%	\$66,857	\$3,002	\$63,855	2,127 %

The Company began originating vehicle leases in the first quarter of 2013 due to the Chrysler Capital agreement effective May 1, 2013. Leased vehicle revenue includes customer payments and the accretion of manufacturer incentive payments and discounts, net of amortization of initial direct costs incurred in connection with origination of the leases and

amortization of dealer participation. Leased vehicle expense includes depreciation of the leased vehicle and gains and losses on sale of vehicle upon lease termination. Interest Expense

	Three Mor June 30,	ths Ended June 30,	Increase (	Decrea	se)	Six Month June 30,	s Ended June 30,	Increase (	ise)	
	2014 (Dollars in	2013 thousands	Amount )	Percer	nt	2014 (Dollars in	2013 thousands)	Amount	Percer	nt
Interest expense on notes payable	\$114,914	\$87,012	\$27,902	32	%	\$230,517	\$164,310	\$66,207	40	%
Interest expense on derivatives	13,400	464	12,936	2,788	%	22,243	6,163	16,080	261	%
Total interest expense	\$128,314	\$87,476	\$40,838	47	%	\$252,760	\$170,473	\$82,287	48	%

Interest expense on notes payable increased \$28 million, or 32%, from the second quarter of 2013 to the second quarter of 2014, and \$66 million, or 40% from the six months ended June 30, 2013 to the six months ended June 30, 2014, less than the growth in average debt outstanding of 43% and 46%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, due to the more favorable interest rates on our most recent secured structured financings. Interest expense on derivatives increased from less than \$1 million in the second quarter of 2013 to \$13 million in the second quarter of 2014, primarily due to \$8 million less favorable mark-to-mark adjustments on trading derivatives. and from \$6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 to \$22 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, primarily due to \$9 million less favorable mark-to-market adjustments on trading derivatives, as interest rates moved less favorably on our positions. The remaining increase is due to the increase in notional balance outstanding. Provision for Loan Losses

30,Increase (Decrease)AmountPercent
ids)
,
5,922 \$557,146 89 %
)64 ) 13,552 (32 )%
·0+ ) 15,552 (52 )/0
0 (1,657 ) (111 )%
56 93,085 237 %
5,604 \$662,126 106 %
3 5 0 0 ((

Provision for loan losses on our individually acquired retail installment contracts increased \$152 million, or 41%, from the second quarter of 2013 to the second quarter of 2014, primarily due to the increase in net charge off rate from

4.1% to 5.2% as increased competition has made it more difficult for lenders, including us, to price for incremental risk. Provision for loan losses on our individually acquired retail installment contracts increased \$557 million, or 89% from the six months ended June 30, 2013 to the six months ended June 30, 2014, primarily driven by portfolio growth as well as an increase in net charge off rate from 4.0% to 5.7%.

We reduced impairment on our purchased receivables for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014, as we continue to see performance improve relative to expectations as certain portfolios age.

We began recording provision on receivables from dealers and unsecured consumer loans in the second quarter of 2013 due to our entry into the Chrysler dealer loan business and the unsecured lending business. We released some provision on receivables from dealers during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 due to the payoff of one of our large Chrysler Capital dealer loans.

**Profit Sharing** 

	Three Mo	onths Ended			Six Montl	ns Ended		
	June 30,	June 30,	Increase (	Decrease)	June 30,	June 30,	Increase (	Decrease)
	2014	2013	Amount	Percent	2014	2013	Amount	Percent
	(Dollars i	n thousands	s)		(Dollars in	n thousands	s)	
Profit sharing	\$24,056	\$7,564	\$16,492	218%	\$56,217	\$7,564	\$48,653	643%

Profit sharing includes revenue sharing payments due to Chrysler Group based on a portion of net interest income on consumer loans and leased vehicle income originated under the Chrysler Capital business since May 1, 2013. Payments are accrued as incurred and paid quarterly in arrears, beginning in July 2013. Profit sharing also includes profit sharing payments due to the originator and servicer of the Company's unsecured revolving loan portfolio. Payments are accrued as incurred and paid monthly in arrears, beginning in June 2013. Other Income

		Three Month	ns Ended				Six Months	Ended			
		June 30,	June 30,	Increase (De			June 30,	June 30,	Increase (De	· · · · · ·	
		2014 (Dallars in th	2013	Amount	Percer	nt	2014	2013	Amount	Percei	nt
6	Gain on sale of	(Dollars in the	nousands)				(Dollars in the	nousands)			
f	inance receivables and leases	\$21,602	\$1,272	\$20,330	1,598	%	\$57,416	\$1,272	\$56,144	4,414	%
i	Servicing fee ncome	22,099	6,355	15,744	248	%	32,504	13,626	18,878	139	%
] 2	Fees, commissions and other	'95,030	46,782	48,248	103	%	184,334	115,640	68,694	59	%
5	Fotal other income	\$138,731	\$54,409	\$84,322	155	%	\$274,254	\$130,538	\$143,716	110	%
	Average serviced for others portfolio	\$7,088,760	\$2,710,791	\$4,377,969	162	%	\$6,058,031	\$2,579,176	\$3,478,855	135	%
	Fotal serviced for others portfolio	\$7,977,331	\$2,228.253	\$5,749,078	258	%	\$7,977,331	\$2,072,561	\$5,904,770	285	%

	June 30,	
	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thou	usands)
SBNA dealer loans	\$764,869	\$—
SBNA retail installment contracts	1,017,002	1,380,286
SBNA leases	1,077,111	—
Total serviced for related parties	2,858,982	1,380,286
Chrysler Capital securitizations	1,528,072	
Other third parties	3,590,277	847,967
Total serviced for third parties	5,118,349	847,967
Total serviced for others	\$7,977,331	\$2,228,253

Gain on sale increased from \$1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 to \$22 million and \$57 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, as the Company made only one bulk asset sale (the first loan sale to Bank of America) in the first six months of 2013 but conducted twelve bulk sales in the first six months of 2014. The 2014 bulk sales included one off-balance sheet securitization, executed in the first quarter, which generated a \$32 million gain, and a bulk lease sale to our affiliate, SBNA, in the second quarter, which generated a \$5.6 million gain. The remaining gains are attributable to monthly bulk sales each month of the period to Bank of America and each month since March to CBP.

We record servicing fee income on loans that we service but do not own and do not report on our balance sheet. Servicing fee income increased from \$6 million in the second quarter of 2013 to \$22 million in the second quarter of 2014, and from \$14 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 to \$33 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as a result of growth in our average serviced for others portfolio, which more than doubled.

Fees, commissions, and other increased \$48 million, or 103%, from the second quarter of 2013 to the second quarter of 2014, primarily due to late charge and other fee income earned from our revolving unsecured portfolio, which has grown significantly since its inception in the second quarter of 2014. Fees, commissions, and other income increased by \$69 million, or 59%, from the six months ended June 30, 2013 to the six months ended June 30, 2014, as the impact of growth in portfolio more than offset the \$20 million decline in deficiency income (proceeds on loans that were charged off prior to our acquiring them) to an insignificant amount.

Operating Expenses

	Three Mor	ths Ended				Six Month	s Ended			
	June 30,	June 30,	Increase (	Decreas	se)	June 30,	June 30,	Increase (I	Decreas	se)
	2014	2013	Amount	Percer	nt	2014	2013	Amount	Perce	nt
	(Dollars in	thousands)				(Dollars in	thousands)			
Salary and benefits expense	\$93,689	\$75,332	\$18,357	24	%	\$295,604	\$137,879	\$157,725	114	%
Repossession expense	45,648	30,982	14,666	47	%	94,079	67,140	26,939	40	%
Other operating costs	71,889	64,984	6,905	11	%	139,991	115,153	24,838	22	%
Total operating expenses	\$211,226	\$171,298	\$39,928	23	%	\$529,674	\$320,172	\$209,502	65	%

Total operating expenses increased \$40 million, or 23%, from the second quarter of 2013 to the second quarter of 2014 driven by the growth in our portfolio. Our efficiency ratio decreased from 19.6% for the second quarter of 2013 to 17.4% for the second quarter of 2014.

Total operating expenses increased \$210 million, or 65%, from the six months ended June 30, 2013 to the six months ended June 30, 2014 primarily due to the recording of \$123 million in stock compensation expense related to option plans, most of which was recorded upon and in connection with our initial public offering in January 2014. This expense caused our

efficiency ratio to increase from 19.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 to 22.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2014. Income Tax Expense

income rax Exper	150									
	Three Mon	ths Ended				Six Months	Ended			
	June 30,	June 30,	Increase (Decrease	e)		June 30,	June 30,	Increase (E	Decreas	se)
	2014	2013	Amount	Perc	ent	2014	2013	Amount	Percer	nt
	(Dollars in	thousands)				(Dollars in	thousands)			
Income tax expense	se\$143,643	\$104,129	\$39,514	38	%	\$191,684	\$256,927	\$(65,243)	(25	)%
Income before income taxes	390,124	285,193	104,931	37	%	519,631	726,850	(207,219)	(29	)%
Effective tax rate	36.8 %	36.5 %				36.9 %	35.3 %			

Our effective tax rate remained relatively flat from 36.5% in the second quarter of 2013 to 36.8% in the second quarter of 2014, and increased from 35.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 to 36.9% for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The increase for the six months ended is primarily due to the release in the first quarter of 2013 of a portion (\$5.6 million) of a valuation allowance established in 2012 for capital loss carryforwards for which we did not have a plan to recognize offsetting capital gains, enabling recognition of the losses before their expiration in 2017, as compared to a \$6.7 million increase in this allowance in 2014.

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

	June 30, 2014	nths Ended June 30, 2013 1 thousands	Increase (Amount		2014	ns Ended June 30, 2013 n thousands	Increase ( Amount	<i>,</i>
Increase (decrease) in unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges, net of tax	\$(3,364)	\$2,001	\$(5,365)	(268)%	\$(1,276)	\$4,835	\$(6,111)	(126)%
Increase (decrease) in unrealized gains on investments available for sale, net of	_	(1,167)	1,167	(100)%	_	(2,623)	2,623	(100)%
tax Other comprehensive income (loss), net	\$(3,364)	\$834	\$(4,198)	(503)%	\$(1,276)	\$2,212	\$(3,488)	(158)%

The negative changes in our unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the positive changes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 were driven by interest rate changes.

The unrealized gains on our investments decreased in the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 as the bonds continued to amortize. The bonds were paid off in August 2013.

Credit Quality

Loans and Other Finance Receivables

Nonprime loans comprise 85% of our portfolio as of June 30, 2014. We record an allowance for loan losses to cover expected losses on our individually acquired retail installment contracts and other loans and receivables. For retail installment contracts we acquired in pools subsequent to their origination, we anticipate the expected credit losses at purchase and record income thereafter based on the expected effective yield, recording a provision for loan losses only

if performance is worse than expected at purchase.

June 30, 2014 Retail Installment Contracts Held for Investment

	Loans Acquired Individually		Purchased Receivables Portfolios		Total		Receivables from Dealers Hel for Investme	d	Unsecured Consumer Loans	
	(Dollars in th	nou	sands)							
Unpaid principal balance	\$23,675,889		\$1,272,807		\$24,948,696		\$85,885		\$1,448,709	
Loan loss allowance	(2,668,587	)	(197,844	)	(2,866,431	)	(923	)	(212,954	)
Discount	(659,154	)	(13,679	)	(672,833	)			(3,067	)
Capitalized origination costs and fee	s35,169				35,169		232		949	
Net carrying balance	\$20,383,317		\$1,061,284		\$21,444,601		\$85,194		\$1,233,637	
Allowance and discount as a										
percentage of unpaid principal	11.3	%	15.5	%	11.5	%	1.1	%	14.7	%
balance										

December 31, 2013

Retail Installment Contracts Held for Investment

	Loans Acquired Individually	Purchased Receivable Portfolios	Total		Receivable from Deale Held for Investment	rs	Unsecured Consumer Loans	
	(Dollars in thou	isands)						
Unpaid principal balance	\$21,238,281	\$1,961,060	\$23,199,341		\$95,835		\$1,165,778	
Loan loss allowance	(2,132,634)	(226,356)	(2,358,990	)	(1,090	)	(179,350	)
Discount	(573,462)	(81,216)	(654,678	)			(32,831	)
Capitalized origination costs	33,936		33,936				592	
Net carrying balance	\$18,566,121	\$1,653,488	\$20,219,609		\$94,745		\$954,189	
Allowance and discount as a								
percentage of unpaid principal	10.0 %	11.5 %	10.2	%	1.1	%	15.4	%
balance								

Delinquency

An account is considered delinquent if a substantial portion of a scheduled payment has not been received by the date such payment was contractually due. Delinquencies may vary from period to period based upon the average age or seasoning of the portfolio, seasonality within the calendar year, and economic factors. Historically, our delinquencies have been highest in the period from November through January due to consumers' holiday spending.

The following is a summary of retail installment contracts held for investment that are (i) 31-60 days delinquent and (ii) more than 60 days delinquent but not yet in repossession:

	June 30, 201 Retail Install Contracts He Investment	lment	Unsecured Loans	Consumer	December 3 Retail Instal Contracts He Investment	lment	Unsecured Loans	Consumer
	Dollars (in thousands)	Percent (1)	Dollars (in thousands)	Percent (1)	Dollars (in thousands)	Percent (1)	Dollars (in thousands)	Percent (1)
Principal 31-60 days	\$2,023,330	8.1 %	\$45,382	3.1 %	\$2,050,688	8.8 %	\$28,102	2.4 %

past due Delinquent principal over 896,577 3.6 % 119,443 8.2 % 1,037,013 4.5 % 65,360 5.6 % 60 days Total delinquent \$2,919,907 11.7 % \$164,825 % \$3,087,701 13.3 % \$93,462 8.0 % 11.4 contracts (1)Percent of unpaid principal balance

All of our receivables from dealers and all of our retail installment contracts held for sale were current as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Credit Loss Experience

The following is a summary of our net losses and repossession activity on our finance receivables for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

	Six Months En	nde	d June 30,					
	2014				2013			
	Retail				Retail			
	Installment		Unsecured		Installment		Unsecured	
	Contracts - He	ld	Consumer		Contracts - He	ld	Consumer	
	for		Loans		for		Loans	
	Investment				Investment			
	(Dollars in tho	usa	ands)					
Principal outstanding at period end	\$24,948,696		\$1,448,709		\$21,361,828		\$387,880	
Average principal outstanding during the period	\$24,546,271		\$1,267,853		\$19,667,351		\$103,618	
Number of receivables outstanding at period end	1,448,472		1,694,161		1,601,214		523,312	
Average number of receivables outstanding during the period (1)	1,608,875		1,657,908		1,553,517		137,302	
Number of repossessions (1)	106,375		n/a		80,434		n/a	
Number of repossessions as a percent of average number of receivables outstanding	13.22	%	n/a		10.36	%	n/a	
Net losses	\$686,957		\$98,737		\$400,785		\$6	
Net losses as a percent of average principal amount outstanding (2)	5.60	%	15.58	%	4.08	%	0.01	%

(1) Repossessions are net of redemptions. The number of repossessions includes repossessions from the outstanding portfolio and from accounts already charged off.

(2) The percentages for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 are annualized and are not necessarily indicative of a full year's actual results.

We have had no charge offs on our receivables from dealers.

Deferrals and Troubled Debt Restructurings

In accordance with our policies and guidelines, we, at times, offer payment deferrals to borrowers on our retail installment contracts, whereby the consumer is allowed to move up to three delinquent payments to the end of the loan. Our policies and guidelines limit the number and frequency of deferrals that may be granted to one every six months and eight over the life of a loan. Additionally, we generally limit the granting of deferrals on new accounts until a requisite number of payments has been received. During the deferral period, we continue to accrue and collect interest on the loan in accordance with the terms of the deferral agreement.

At the time a deferral is granted, all delinquent amounts may be deferred or paid, resulting in the classification of the loan as current and therefore not considered a delinquent account. Thereafter, such account is aged based on the timely payment of future installments in the same manner as any other account.

The following is a summary of deferrals on our retail installment contracts held for investment as of the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2014			December 31,	2013	
	(Dollars in tho	usands)				
Never deferred	\$18,484,279	74.1	%	\$17,532,461	75.6	%
Deferred once	3,549,846	14.2	%	2,935,099	12.7	%
Deferred twice	1,477,505	5.9	%	1,356,957	5.8	%
Deferred 3 - 4 times	1,366,444	5.5	%	1,311,791	5.7	%
Deferred greater than 4 times	70,622	0.3	%	63,033	0.3	%
Total	\$24,948,696			\$23,199,341		

We evaluate the results of our deferral strategies based upon the amount of cash installments that are collected on accounts after they have been deferred versus the extent to which the collateral underlying the deferred accounts has depreciated over the same period of time. Based on this evaluation, we believe that payment deferrals granted according to our policies and guidelines are an effective portfolio management technique and result in higher ultimate cash collections from the portfolio.

Changes in deferral levels do not have a direct impact on the ultimate amount of consumer finance receivables charged off by us. However, the timing of a charge-off may be affected if the previously deferred account ultimately results in a charge-off. To the extent that deferrals impact the ultimate timing of when an account is charged off, historical charge-off ratios, loss confirmation periods, and cash flow forecasts for loans classified as troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") used in the determination of the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses are also impacted. Increased use of deferrals may result in a lengthening of the loss confirmation period, which would increase expectations of credit losses inherent in the portfolio and therefore increase the allowance for loan losses and related provision for loan losses. Changes in these ratios and periods are considered in determining the appropriate level of allowance for loan losses and related provision for loan losses and related provision for loan losses.

If a customer's financial difficulty is not temporary, we may agree, or be required by a bankruptcy court, to grant a modification involving one or a combination of the following: a reduction in interest rate, a reduction in loan principal balance, or an extension of the maturity date. The servicer also may grant concessions on our unsecured consumer loans in the form of principal or interest rate reductions or payment plans. The following is a summary of the principal balance as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 of loans that have received these modifications and concessions:

	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2	2013
	Retail	Unsecured	Retail	Unsecured
	Installment	Consumer	Installment	Consumer
	Contracts	Loans	Contracts	Loans
	(Dollars in thou	isands)		
Temporary reduction of monthly payment	\$1,046,477	\$—	\$1,040,917	\$—
Bankruptcy-related accounts	126,137	8,615	130,369	1,792
Extension of maturity date	109,673		116,039	
Interest rate reduction	113,104	10,925	92,329	6,599
Other	46,227		48,058	
Total modified loans	\$1,441,618	\$19,540	\$1,427,712	\$8,391

A summary of our recorded investment in TDRs as	s of the dates indicated is as follows:
---	---

	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Retail	Unsecured	Retail	Unsecured
	Installment	Consumer	Installment	Consumer
	Contracts	Loans	Contracts	Loans
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Total TDR principal	\$3,151,614	\$19,540	\$2,604,351	\$8,391
Accrued interest	85,218		70,965	
Discount	(87,743	) (58	) (70,321	) (274
Origination costs	4,682	18	4,161	5
Outstanding recorded investment	3,153,771	19,500	2,609,156	8,122
Allowance for loan losses	(551,767	) (6,040	) (475,128	) (2,345
Outstanding recorded investment, net of allowance	\$2,602,004	\$13,460	\$2,134,028	\$5,777

A summary of the principal balance on our performing and nonperforming TDRs as of the dates indicated is as follows:

	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Retail	Unsecured	Retail	Unsecured
	Installment	Consumer	Installment	Consumer
	Contracts	Loans	Contracts	Loans
	(Dollars in tho	ousands)		
Current	\$2,171,354	13,008	\$1,690,893	\$6,120
31-60 days past due	635,787	972	556,489	875
Greater than 60 days past due (non-performing)	344,473	5,560	356,969	1,396
Total TDRs	\$3,151,614	\$19,540	\$2,604,351	\$8,391

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we did not have any dealer loans classified as TDRs and had not granted deferrals or modifications on any of these loans.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We require a significant amount of liquidity to originate and acquire loans and leases and to service debt. We fund our operations primarily through securitization in the ABS market and committed credit lines from third-party banks and Santander. In addition, we utilize large flow agreements. We seek to issue debt that appropriately matches the cash flows of the assets that we originate. We have over \$3.1 billion of stockholders' equity that supports our access to the securitization markets, credit facilities, and flow agreements.

In the first six months of 2014, our origination volume increased to a company-record production of over \$14 billion. We are executing more frequent securitization transactions, as well as continuing to add additional credit facilities and flow agreements, to fund the increased origination volume from our Chrysler Capital and unsecured lending business.

)

)

June 30, 2014						
	(Dollars in thousands)					
	Maturity Date(s)	Utilized Balance	Committed Amount	Effective Rate	Assets Pledged	Restricted Cash Pledged
Warehouse line (a)	· · /	\$109,461	\$500,000	2.05%	\$158,591	\$172
Warehouse line	Various (b)	552,268	1,235,038	1.14%	816,330	25,768
Warehouse line (c)	•	1,318,088	4,550,000	1.15%	1,756,086	35,685
Warehouse line	December 2015	464,037	2,000,000	2.18%	570,306	11,993
Warehouse line	July 2015	153,515	500,000	1.64%	191,391	7,532
Warehouse line (d)	September 2015	196,880	200,000	1.97%	290,043	12,054
Repurchase facility (e)	Various	747,342	747,342	1.52%	844,305	_
Warehouse line	December 2015	495,765	750,000	0.91%	675,143	10,083
Warehouse line (f)	November 2016	175,000	175,000	1.71%		
Warehouse line (g)	March 2015	250,594	250,594	0.99%	296,929	_
Total facilities with third parties		4,462,950	10,907,974		5,599,124	103,287
Lines of credit with	l					
Santander and						
related subsidiaries						
(h):	December					
Line of credit	2016	500,000	500,000	2.45%	1,623	
Line of credit	December 2018		500,000	0.25%		
Line of credit	December 2016	1,750,000	1,750,000	2.26%		_
Line of credit	December 2018	750,000	1,750,000	2.59%	187,555	
Line of credit	March 2017	300,000	300,000	1.70%	_	_
Total facilities with	l	3,300,000	4,800,000		100 179	
Santander and related subsidiaries		5,500,000	4,000,000		189,178	
Total revolving						
credit facilities		\$7,762,950	\$15,707,974		\$5,788,302	\$103,287

As of June 30, 2014, our revolving credit facilities consisted of the following:

(a) In July 2014, the maturity date of this facility was extended to June 2015.

(b) As of June 30, 2014, half of the outstanding balance on this facility was scheduled to mature in March 2015 and half in March 2016.

This line is held exclusively for Chrysler Capital retail loan and lease financing, with lease financing comprising no (c)more than 50% of the outstanding balance upon advance. In July 2014, the committed amount was reduced to \$4.3 billion and the maturity date was extended to June 2016.

## Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

(d) This line is held exclusively for unsecured consumer term loans.

(e) The repurchase facility is also collateralized by securitization notes payable retained by the Company. No

portion of this facility is unsecured. This facility has rolling 30-day and 90-day maturities.

(f) This line is collateralized by residuals retained by the Company.

(g) This line is collateralized by securitization notes payable retained by the Company.

These lines are also collateralized by bonds and residuals we retained from our own securitizations. As of June 30,  ${}^{(h)}2014$ , \$1.6 billion of the outstanding balances on credit facilities were unsecured.

Our secured structured financings primarily consist of public, SEC-registered securitizations. We also execute private securitizations under Rule 144A of the Securities Act and privately issue amortizing notes. As of June 30, 2014, our secured structured financings consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2014 (Dollars in thou Original Estimated Maturity Date(s)	Balance	Initial Note Amounts Issued	Initial Weighted Average Interest Rate	Collateral	Restricted Cash
2010 Securitizations	October 2016 - November 2017	\$333,148	\$3,671,749	1.04%-1.44%	\$641,669	\$142,992
2011 Securitizations	October 2015 - September 2017	653,968	4,693,289	1.21%-2.80%	1,038,660	157,307
2012 Securitizations	November 2017 - December 2018	3,088,855	8,023,840	0.92%-1.68%	3,899,764	355,658
2013 Securitizations	January 2019 - January 2021	4,338,610	6,689,700	0.89%-1.59%	5,295,330	348,040
2014 Securitizations	April 2020 - August 2020	3,706,441	4,100,000	1.63%-1.72%	4,385,840	236,269
Public securitizations	C	12,121,022	27,178,578		15,261,263	1,240,266
2010 Private issuances	June 2011	209,128	516,000	1.29%	338,513	9,538
2011 Private issuances	December 2018	1,222,065	4,856,525	1.46%-1.80%	1,652,724	56,942
2012 Private issuances	May 2016	14,962	70,308	1.07%	20,177	1,874
2013 Private issuances	September 2018 - September 2020	2,411,661	2,693,754	1.13%-1.38%	3,249,917	89,031
2014 Private issuances	March 2018 - August 2021	2,412,822	2,680,379	1.09%-1.85%	2,965,196	112,116
Privately issued amortizing notes	-	6,270,638	10,816,966		8,226,527	269,501
Total secured structured financings		\$18,391,660	\$37,995,544		\$23,487,790	\$1,509,767

In July 2014, we executed clean-up calls on one 2010 securitization and one 2011 securitization, and provided (a)notice of our intent to execute clean-up call on an additional 2010 securitization and an additional 2011 securitization effective on the August 2014 distribution date.

In addition to our credit facilities and secured structured financings, we have flow agreements in place with Bank of America and Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania for Chrysler Capital retail installment contracts and with SBNA for Chrysler Capital consumer vehicle leases and dealer loans.

Credit Facilities

Third-party Revolving Credit Facilities

Warehouse Lines

With the addition of a new lender during the second quarter of 2014, we currently have lending relationships with thirteen third party banks. Warehouse lines are used to fund new originations. Each line specifies the required collateral characteristics, collateral concentrations, credit enhancement, and advance rates. Our warehouse lines generally are backed by auto retail installment contracts and, in the case of the Chrysler Capital dedicated facility described below, leases. These credit lines generally have one- or two-year commitments, staggered maturities and floating interest rates. We maintain daily funding forecasts for originations, acquisitions, and other large outflows such as tax payments in order to balance the desire to minimize funding costs with our liquidity needs. Our warehouse lines generally have net spread, delinquency, and net loss ratio limits. Generally, these limits are calculated based on the portfolio collateralizing the respective line; however, for two of our warehouse lines, delinquency and

net loss ratios are calculated with respect to our serviced portfolio as a whole. Failure to meet any of these covenants could trigger increased overcollateralization requirements or, in the case of limits calculated with respect to the specific portfolio underlying certain credit lines, result in an event of default under these agreements. If an event of default occurs under one of these agreements, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under the impacted agreement to be immediately due and payable, enforce their interests against collateral pledged under the agreement, restrict our ability to obtain additional borrowings under the agreement, and/or remove us as servicer. None of our warehouse lines currently have any ratios above their limits, and we have never had a warehouse line terminated due to failure to comply with any ratio or a failure to meet any covenant. A default under one of these agreements can be enforced only with respect to the impacted warehouse line.

We have a credit facility with seven banks providing an aggregate commitment of \$4.3 billion for the exclusive use of providing short-term liquidity needs to support Chrysler retail financing. The facility can be used for both loan and lease financing (with lease financing comprising no more than 50% of the outstanding balance upon advance). The facility requires reduced advance rates in the event of delinquency, credit loss, or residual loss ratios exceeding specified thresholds.

### Repurchase Facility

We also obtain financing through an investment management agreement whereby we pledge retained subordinate bonds on our own securitizations as collateral for repurchase agreements with various borrowers and at renewable terms ranging from 30 to 90 days.

### Total Return Swap

Beginning in the first quarter of 2014, we also obtain financing through a total return swap whereby we pledge retained subordinate bonds on our own securitizations as collateral for a financing facility that also includes a requirement that we settle with the counterparty at maturity an amount based on the change in the fair value of the underlying bonds during the term of the facility.

#### Santander Credit Facilities

Santander historically has provided, and continues to provide our business with significant funding support in the form of committed credit facilities. Through its New York branch, Banco Santander, S.A. provides us with \$4.5 billion of long-term committed revolving credit facilities. Under an agreement entered into on March 6, 2014, SHUSA provides us with an additional \$0.3 billion of committed revolving credit, collateralized by residuals retained on our own securitizations. The fundings through the New York branch and through SHUSA are collectively known as the "Santander Credit Facilities."

The facilities offered through the New York branch are structured as three and five year floating rate facilities, with current maturity dates of December 31, 2016 and 2018. Santander has the option to allow us to continue to renew the term of these facilities annually going forward, thereby maintaining the three and five year maturities. These facilities currently permit unsecured borrowing but generally are collateralized by retail installment contracts as well as securitization notes payables and residuals by the Company. Any secured balances outstanding under the facilities at the time of their maturity will amortize to match the maturities and expected cash flows of the corresponding collateral.

There was an average outstanding balance of approximately \$3.9 billion and \$2.5 billion under the Santander Credit Facilities during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The maximum outstanding balance during each period was \$4.6 billion and \$3.7 billion, respectively.

Santander also has provided a \$500 million letter of credit facility with a maturity date of December 31, 2014 that we can use as credit enhancement to support increased borrowings on certain third-party credit facilities. We have not used this facility since December 2012. Santander affiliates also serve as the counterparty for many of our derivative financial instruments.

### Secured Structured Financings

We obtain long-term funding for our receivables through securitization in the ABS market. ABS provides an attractive source of funding due to the cost efficiency of the market, a large and deep investor base, and tenors that appropriately match the cash flows of the debt to the cash flows of the underlying assets. The term structure of a securitization locks in fixed rate funding for the life of the underlying fixed rate assets, and the matching amortization of the assets and

liabilities provides committed funding for the collateralized loans throughout their terms. Because of prevailing market rates, we did not issue

ABS transactions in 2008 and 2009, but we began issuing ABS again in 2010. We have been the largest issuer of retail auto ABS since 2011, and have issued a total of over \$30 billion in retail auto ABS since 2010.

We execute each securitization transaction by selling receivables to securitization trusts ("Trusts") that issue ABS to investors. In order to attain specified credit ratings for each class of bonds, these securitization transactions have credit enhancement requirements in the form of subordination, restricted cash accounts, excess cash flow, and overcollateralization, whereby more receivables are transferred to the Trusts than the amount of ABS issued by the Trusts.

Excess cash flows result from the difference between the finance and interest income received from the obligors on the receivables and the interest paid to the ABS investors, net of credit losses and expenses. Initially, excess cash flows generated by the Trusts are used to pay down outstanding debt in the Trusts, increasing over collateralization until the targeted percentage level of assets has been reached. Once the targeted percentage level of overcollateralization is reached and maintained, excess cash flows generated by the Trusts are released to us as distributions from the Trusts. We also receive monthly servicing fees as servicer for the Trusts. Our securitizations each require an increase in credit enhancement levels if Cumulative Net Losses, as defined in the documents underlying each ABS transaction, exceed a specified percentage of the pool balance. None of our securitizations have Cumulative Net Loss percentages above their limits.

Our on-balance sheet securitization transactions utilize bankruptcy-remote special purpose entities which are also VIEs that meet the requirements to be consolidated in our financial statements. Following a securitization, the finance receivables and the notes payable related to the securitized retail installment contracts remain on the consolidated balance sheets. We recognize finance and interest income as well as fee income on the collateralized retail installment contracts and interest expense on the ABS issued. We also record a provision for loan losses to cover probable loan losses on the retail installment contracts. While these Trusts are included in our consolidated financial statements, these Trusts are separate legal entities; thus, the finance receivables and other assets sold to these Trusts are legally owned by these Trusts, are available to satisfy the notes payable related to the securitized retail installment contracts, and are not available to our creditors or our other subsidiaries.

We have completed three securitizations year-to-date in 2014, in addition to executing six subordinate bond transactions to fund residual interests from existing securitizations. We currently have 26 securitizations outstanding in the market with a cumulative ABS balance of approximately \$13 billion. Our securitizations generally have several classes of notes, with principal paid sequentially based on seniority and any excess spread distributed to the residual holder. We generally retain the lowest bond class and the residual. We use the proceeds from securitization transactions to repay borrowings outstanding under our credit facilities, originate and acquire new loans and leases, and for general corporate purposes. We generally exercise clean-up call options on our securitizations when the collateralization pool balance reaches 10% of its original balance.

We also periodically privately issue amortizing notes, in transactions that are structured similarly to our public and Rule 144A securitizations but are issued to banks and conduits. Historically, all of the Company's securitizations and private issuances have been collateralized by vehicle retail installment contracts and loans; however, in 2013, the Company issued its first amortizing notes backed by vehicle leases. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had private issuances of notes backed by vehicle leases totaling approximately \$1.4 billion. Flow Agreements

In order to manage our balance sheet and provide funding for our recent significant increase in volume of originations, we are actively seeking to enter into flow agreements under which we will sell, or otherwise source to third parties, loans and leases on a periodic basis. These loans and leases will not be on our balance sheet but may provide a gain on sale and will provide a stable stream of servicing fee income.

We have a flow agreement with Bank of America whereby we are committed to sell a contractually determined amount of eligible loans to Bank of America on a monthly basis. The amount sold monthly is up to \$300 million and varies depending on the amount and credit quality of eligible current month originations and prior month sales. The agreement extends through May 31, 2018. For loans sold, we retain the servicing rights at contractually agreed upon rates. We also will receive or pay a servicer performance payment if net credit losses on the sold loans are lower or higher, respectively, than expected net credit losses at the time of sale. These servicer performance payments are

limited to a percentage of principal balance sold or expected losses at time of sale and are not expected to be significant to our total servicing compensation from the flow agreement. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company sold approximately \$827 million and \$1.5 billion of loans under this agreement, respectively.

We have a flow agreement with SBNA whereby we provide the bank with the first right to review and assess dealer lending opportunities and, if the bank elects, to provide the proposed financing. We provide servicing on all loans originated under this arrangement. We also will receive or pay a servicer performance payment if yields, net of credit losses, on the loans are higher or lower, respectively, than expected at origination. As of June 30, 2014, SBNA held approximately \$456 million of dealer loans originated under this agreement. Servicer performance payments earned for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 totaled approximately \$1.3 million and \$1.7 million. In February 2014, we entered into a flow agreement with SBNA whereby we provide SBNA with the first right to review and approve consumer vehicle lease applications. We may review any applications declined by SBNA for our own portfolio. We provide servicing and receive an origination fee on all leases originated under this agreement. As of June 30, 2014, approximately \$732 million of leases had been originated under this agreement, of which approximately \$48 million are subject to an indemnification agreement whereby the Company will reimburse SBNA for any credit or residual losses on the covered leases.

In May 2014, we entered into a flow agreement with Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania whereby Citizens has committed to purchase up to \$600 million per quarter of prime Chrysler Capital originations over the next three years. The minimum commitment is \$250 million per quarter for the first four quarters and \$400 million per quarter thereafter. Year-to-date we have sold \$859 million to Citizens under terms of this flow agreement and predecessor purchase agreements.

Off-Balance Sheet Financing

During the fourth quarter of 2013 and the first quarter of 2014, we executed a total of three Chrysler Capital-branded securitizations, all of which were executed under Rule 144A of the Securities Act. Because all of the notes and residual interests in these securitizations were issued to third parties, we recorded these transactions as true sales of the retail installment contracts securitized, and removed the sold assets from our consolidated balance sheets. We intend to execute similar transactions in the future.

As of June 30, 2014, substantially all of the dealer floorplan loans originated under Chrysler Capital were held by our affiliate, SBNA, under the terms of either of two agreements, a flow agreement entered into in June 2013 and a sale agreement entered into in August 2013. In November 2013, we entered into an additional sale agreement to sell substantially all of the non-floorplan dealer loans to SBNA.

Cash Flow Comparison

We have produced positive net cash from operating activities every year since 2003. Our investing activities primarily consist of originations and acquisitions of retail installment contracts. Our financing activities primarily consist of borrowing, repayments of debt, and payment of dividends.

	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2014 2013		
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$1,908,817 \$739,263		
Net cash used in investing activities	\$(4,677,623) \$(4,238,658	3)	
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$2,804,188 \$3,429,626		

Cash Provided by Operating Activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, net cash provided by operating activities of \$1.9 billion consisted of net income of \$328 million, adjustments for non-cash items of \$1.3 billion, net proceeds from sales and repayments of loans held for sale of \$2.4 billion, and changes in working capital of \$326 million, partially offset by net originations of loans held for sale of \$2.4 billion. Adjustments for non-cash items consisted primarily of \$1.3 billion in provision for credit losses and \$123 million in stock compensation expense. Changes in working capital primarily consisted of a \$438 million decrease in federal, state, and other income taxes payable, driven by a \$375 million refund received for overpayment of 2013 estimated taxes.

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, net cash provided by operating activities of \$739 million consisted of net income of \$470 million, adjustments for non-cash items of \$334 million and net proceeds from sales and repayments of loans

held for sale of \$162 million, partly offset by originations of loans held for sale of \$253 million. Adjustments for non-cash items consisted primarily of \$626 million in provision of credit losses, partly offset by \$157 million in accretion of discount and capitalized origination costs and \$108 million deferred tax benefit. Cash Used in Investing Activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, net cash used in investing activities of \$4.7 billion primarily consisted of \$10.5 billion in loan and lease originations and net balance increases on revolving lines, partially offset by \$4.6 billion in collections, \$1.1 billion in proceeds from asset sales, and \$499 million in manufacturer incentives received. Net cash used in investing activities also includes a \$444 million increase in restricted cash.

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, net cash used in investing activities of \$4.2 billion primarily consisted of \$8.0 billion in loan and lease originations and net balance increases on revolving lines, partially offset by \$4.2 billion in collections. Net cash used in investing activities also includes the \$150 million upfront fee paid to Chrysler and a \$193 million increase in restricted cash.

Cash Provided by Financing Activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, net cash provided by financing activities of \$2.8 billion was driven by net proceeds on new secured structured financings exceeding payments on secured structured financings by \$3.2 billion, partly offset by \$337 million in net paydowns on credit facilities and a \$52 million dividend.

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, net cash provided by financing activities of \$3.4 billion was driven by net advances of \$3.2 billion on credit facilities, in addition to proceeds on new secured structured financings exceeding payments on secured structured financings by \$507 million. Additionally, \$48 million was received from SHUSA as a capital contribution pursuant to the shareholders agreement in force at the time between SCUSA and certain of its shareholders, and the Company paid a \$290 million dividend.

Contingencies and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Litigation

We recently received a civil subpoena from the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) under FIRREA requesting the production of documents and communications that, among other things, relate to the underwriting and securitization of nonprime auto loans since 2007. We are cooperating with this request.

Periodically, we are party to or otherwise involved in other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. We have recorded no material reserves for any cases and do not believe that there are any proceedings threatened or pending that would have a material adverse effect on us if determined adversely.

Lending Arrangements

We are obligated to make purchase price holdback payments to a third-party originator of loans that we purchase on a periodic basis, when losses are lower than originally expected.

We have extended revolving lines of credit to certain auto dealers. Under this arrangement, we are committed to lend up to each dealer's established credit limit.

In March 2013, we entered into certain agreements with LendingClub under which we have the option to purchase up to 25% of LendingClub's total originations for a term of three years. In July 2013, we entered into certain additional agreements with LendingClub under which we are committed to purchase at least the lesser of \$30 million per month or 75% of the lending platform company's near-prime originations through July 2015, and the lesser of \$30 million per month or 50% of the lending platform company's near-prime originations thereafter through July 2017. This commitment can be reduced or cancelled with 90 days' notice. In April 2014, we entered into certain additional agreements with LendingClub, whereby we have the first opportunity to review for our own portfolio applications that do not meet either the public credit policy or custom credit policy facilitated by Lending Club's platform.

In April 2013, we entered into certain agreements with Bluestem. The terms of the agreements include a commitment by us to purchase new advances originated by Bluestem, along with existing balances on accounts with new advances, for an

initial term ending in April 2020 and, based on an amendment in June 2014, renewable through April 2022 at Bluestem's option. Each customer account generated under the agreements generally is approved with a credit limit higher than the amount of the initial purchase, with each subsequent purchase automatically approved as long as it does not cause the account to exceed its limit and the customer is in good standing. As these credit lines do not have a specified maturity, but rather can be terminated at any time in the event of adverse credit changes or lack of use, we have not recorded an allowance for unfunded commitments. We are required to make a monthly profit-sharing payment to Bluestem.

In April 2014, we entered into an application transfer agreement with Nissan, whereby the Company has the first opportunity to review for its own portfolio any credit applications turned down by Nissan's captive finance company. The agreement does not require the Company to originate any loans, but for each loan originated the Company will pay Nissan a referral fee, comprised of a volume bonus fee and a loss betterment bonus fee. The loss betterment bonus fee will be calculated annually and is based on the amount by which losses on loans originated under the agreement are lower than an established percentage threshold.

### Flow Agreements

In June 2013, we entered into a flow agreement that, as amended in September 2013, commits us to sell up to \$300 million per month of prime loans to Bank of America. For loans sold, we retain the servicing rights at contractually agreed upon rates. We also will receive or pay a servicer performance payment if net credit losses on the sold loans are lower or higher, respectively, than expected net credit losses at the time of sale.

In June 2013, we entered into a flow agreement with SBNA whereby we provide SBNA with the first right to review and assess Chrysler dealer lending opportunities and, if SBNA elects, SBNA will provide the proposed financing. We provide servicing on all loans originated under this arrangement. We also will receive or pay a servicer performance payment if yields, net of credit losses, on the loans are higher or lower, respectively, than expected at origination. In February 2014, we entered into a flow agreement with SBNA whereby we provide SBNA with the first right to review and approve consumer vehicle lease applications. Any applications declined by SBNA may be reviewed for our own portfolio. We provide servicing and receive an origination fee on all leases originated under this agreement.

In May 2014, we entered into a flow agreement with Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania whereby Citizens is committed to purchase up to \$600 million per quarter of Chrysler Capital prime loans from us over the next three years. The Company retains servicing on loans sold under this and predecessor sale agreements and will owe CBP a loss-sharing payment capped to 0.50% of the original pool balance if losses exceed a specified threshold, established on a pool-by-pool basis.

Credit Enhancement Arrangements

In connection with the sale of retail installment contracts to securitization trusts, we have made standard representations and warranties customary to the consumer finance industry. Violations of these representations and warranties may require us to repurchase loans previously sold. As of June 30, 2014, we had no repurchase requests outstanding.

We have a letter of credit facility in the amount of \$500 million from Santander with a maturity date of December 31, 2014 that we can use as credit enhancement to support increased borrowings on certain third-party credit facilities. We have not used this letter of credit facility since December 2012.

Chrysler-related Contingencies

Throughout the ten-year term of our agreement with Chrysler, we are obligated to make quarterly payments to Chrysler representing a percentage of gross profits earned from a portion of the Chrysler Capital consumer loan and lease platform. We also are obligated to make quarterly payments to Chrysler sharing residual gains on leases in quarters in which we experience lease terminations with gains over a specified percentage threshold. Contractual Obligations

We lease our headquarters in Dallas, Texas, our servicing centers in Texas and Colorado, and an operations facility in California under non-cancelable operating leases that expire at various dates through 2026. Risk Management Framework SCUSA has established a risk governance structure that assigns responsibility for risk management among front-line business personnel, an independent risk management function, and internal audit. According to this model, business owners maintain responsibility for identifying and mitigating the risks generated through their business activities. The Chief Risk Officer ("CRO"), who reports to the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and is independent of any business line, is responsible for developing and maintaining a risk framework that ensures risks are appropriately identified and mitigated, and for reporting on the overall level of risk in the Company.

The CRO also reports to the Board Enterprise Risk Committee ("BERC"), which has been established by the Board of Directors ("the Board") and charged with responsibility for establishing the governance over the risk management process, providing oversight in managing the aggregate risk position and reporting on the comprehensive portfolio of risk categories and the potential impact these risks can have on our risk profile. The BERC is principally composed of the executive management team representing the different risk areas and business units who are appointed by the CEO.

The BERC meets no less often than quarterly and is chartered to assist the Board in promoting the best interests of the Company by overseeing policies, procedures and risk practices relating to enterprise-wide risk and compliance with regulatory guidance. Members of the BERC are individuals whose experiences and qualifications can lead to broad and informed views on risk matters facing us and the financial services industry, including, but not limited to, risk matters that address credit, market, liquidity, operational, compliance, legal and other general business conditions. A comprehensive risk report is submitted to the BERC each quarter providing management's view of our risk position provided by the CRO.

In addition to the Board and BERC, the CEO and CRO delegate risk responsibility to management committees. These committees include: Asset Liability Committee ("ALCO"), Pricing and Credit Risk and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses. The CRO participates in each of these committees. Additionally, the Company has established an enterprise risk management ("ERM") function to coordinate risk management activities. ERM has developed a Risk Tolerance Statement, which is approved by the Board and details the types of risk and size of risk-taking activities permissible in the course of executing business strategy. Interest Rate Risk

A change from the current low interest rate environment, a flat or inverted yield curve, and changes in prevailing interest rates can have an adverse impact on our business. Loans and leases originated or otherwise acquired by us and pledged to secure borrowings under our revolving credit facilities bear fixed interest rates and finance charges. Our gross interest rate spread, which is the difference between the income we earn through the interest and finance charges on our finance receivables and lease contracts and the interest we pay on our funding, is affected by changes in interest rates as a result of our dependence on the incurrence of variable rate debt. We are exposed to variable rate funding through our borrowings under our revolving credit facilities.

The variable rates on the borrowings under our revolving credit facilities are indexed to LIBOR or commercial paper rates and fluctuate periodically based on movements in those indexes. We sometimes use interest rate swap agreements to convert the variable rate exposures on these borrowings to a fixed rate, thereby mitigating our interest rate exposure. Interest rate swap agreements purchased by us do not impact the contractual cash flows to be paid to the creditor. The counterparty for most of our interest rate swaps is Santander or one of its affiliates. As of June 30, 2014, the notional value of our hedges with Santander and affiliates was approximately \$8.9 billion.

In our public and Rule 144A securitization transactions, we transfer fixed rate to trusts that, in turn, issue fixed or floating rate securities to investors. The interest rate demanded by investors in our securitization transactions and other secured financings depends on the general interest rate environment and prevailing interest rate spreads for securitizations. We are able to obtain attractive interest rate spreads on our securitizations due to among other factors: (i) the credit quality of the receivables in the trusts; (ii) the historical credit performance of similar pools of our receivables; (iii) the significant expansion of our securitizations (with first losses going to the equity residual generally retained by us); and (vi) our obtaining of ratings from at least two ratings agencies on each securitization. We are, in certain circumstances, required to hedge our interest rate risk on our secured structured financings and the borrowings under our revolving credit facilities, and we use both interest rate swaps and interest rate caps to satisfy

these requirements. We currently hold purchased interest rate caps and offsetting sold interest rate caps related to several of our secured structured financings and to our Chrysler retail financing facility. Although the interest rate caps are purchased by these financing facilities, cash outflows from the facilities ultimately impact our retained interests in the secured structured financings as cash expended by the facilities will decrease the ultimate amount of cash to be received by us. Therefore, we may

simultaneously sell a corresponding interest rate cap to offset the premium paid to purchase the interest rate cap. The fair values of our interest rate cap agreements purchased and sold net to an immaterial value in our financial statements and have an immaterial earnings impact comprised solely of their execution cost. The counterparties for certain of our interest rate caps and related options are affiliates of Santander. As of June 30, 2014, the notional value of our caps and options with these affiliates was approximately \$5.7 billion.

Our Board of Directors requires that we closely monitor and manage the amount of our interest rate risk exposure. We monitor our interest rate risk by conducting sensitivity analyses that include parallel shifts in prevailing interest rates. As of June 30, 2014, the impact of a hypothetical 100 basis point parallel increase in the interest rate curve on our net interest income and our economic net worth was a decrease of \$46.5 million and \$80.0 million, respectively. We assess interest rate risk by monitoring the repricing gap by maturity date of our interest-bearing assets and liabilities to ensure appropriate duration matching.

The following table provides information about maturities of our interest rate-sensitive financial instruments by expected maturity date as of June 30, 2014:

	1M	3M	6M	12M	2 Y	3 Y	4 Y	5 Y	>5 Y
	(Dollars in thousands)								
Total assets	\$974	\$1,811	\$2,579	\$4,985	\$7,437	\$5,956	\$1,351	\$262	\$327
Total liabilities	14,779	1,264	1,396	2,146	3,492	2,181	833	64	
Net swaps	8,770	(325)	(556)	(1,467)	(3,371)	(2,293)	(715)	(45	) —
Repricing gap	\$(5,035)	\$222	\$627	\$1,372	\$574	\$1,482	\$(197)	\$153	\$327
Cumulative gap	\$(5,035)	\$(4,813)	\$(4,186)	\$(2,814)	\$(2,240)	\$(758)	\$(955)	\$(802	) \$(475 )

Finance receivables are estimated to be realized by us in future periods using discount rate, prepayment, and credit loss assumptions similar to our historical experience. Notional amounts on interest rate swap and cap agreements are based on contractual terms. Credit facilities and securitization notes payable amounts have been classified based on expected payoff.

The notional amounts of interest rate swap and cap agreements, which are used to calculate the contractual payments to be exchanged under the contracts, represent average amounts that will be outstanding for each of the years included in the table. Notional amounts do not represent amounts exchanged by parties and, thus, are not a measure of our exposure to loss through our use of these agreements.

Management monitors our interest rate hedging activities to ensure that the value of derivative financial instruments, their correlation to the contracts being hedged, and the amounts being hedged continue to provide effective protection against interest rate risk. However, there can be no assurance that our strategies will be effective in minimizing interest rate risk or that increases in interest rates will not have an adverse effect on our profitability. Liquidity Risk

We view liquidity as integral to other key elements such as capital adequacy, asset quality and profitability. Because our debt is nearly entirely serviced by collections on consumer receivables, our primary liquidity risk relates to the ability to continue to grow our business through the funding of new originations. We have a robust liquidity policy in place to manage this risk. The liquidity policy establishes the following guidelines:

that we maintain at least eight external credit providers (as of June 30, 2014, we had thirteen);

that we rely on Santander and affiliates for no more than 30% of our funding (as of June 30, 2014, Santander and affiliates provided 13% of our funding);

that no more than 35% of our debt mature in the next six and twelve months (as of June 30, 2014, only 5% and 11%, respectively, of our debt is scheduled to mature in these timeframes);

that we maintain unused capacity of at least \$6.0 billion, including flow agreements, in excess of our expected peak usage over the following twelve months (as of June 30, 2014, we had twelve-month rolling unused capacity of over \$10 billion); and

that we maintain a minimum liquidity ratio, defined as our short-term assets divided by our short-term liabilities, of at least 70% over periods of one, three, six, and twelve months (as of June 30, 2014, our minimum liquidity ratio was 85%, 87%, 98%, and 112% over these respective periods).

Our liquidity policy also requires that our Asset and Liability Committee monitor many indicators, both market-wide and company-specific, to determine if action may be necessary to maintain our liquidity position. These indicators include:

delinquency and loss ratios on our securitizations;

available commitments on our borrowing lines;

Santander ratings, market capitalization, and commercial paper rate;

spreads on U.S. and Spanish debt;

swap rates; and

the Manheim Used Vehicle Index.

We generally look for funding first from structured secured financings, second from third-party credit facilities, and last from Santander. We believe this strategy helps us avoid being overly reliant on Santander for funding. We also utilize financing structures whereby even if a credit facility is canceled, balances outstanding are not due and payable immediately but rather run off only as the underlying collateral amortizes. Additionally, we can reduce originations to significantly lower levels if necessary during times of limited liquidity.

Our liquidity management tools include daily and twelve-month rolling cash requirements forecasts, monthly funding usage and availability reports, daily sources and uses reporting, structural liquidity risk exercises, and the establishment of liquidity contingency plans. We also perform quarterly stress tests in which we forecast the impact of various negative scenarios (alone and in combination), including reduced credit availability, higher funding costs, lower advance rates, lower customer interest rates, dealer discount rates, and higher credit losses.

We have established a qualified like-kind exchange program in order to defer tax liability on gains on sale of vehicle assets at lease termination. If we do not meet the safe harbor requirements of IRS Revenue Procedure 2003-39, we may be subject to large, unexpected tax liabilities, thereby generating immediate liquidity needs. We believe that our compliance monitoring policies and procedures are adequate to enable us to remain in compliance with the program requirements.

Credit Risk

The risk inherent in our loan and lease portfolios is driven by credit quality and is affected by borrower-specific and economy-wide factors such as changes in employment. We manage this risk through our underwriting and credit approval guidelines and servicing policies and practices, as well as geographic and manufacturer concentration limits. Our automated originations process reflects a disciplined approach to credit risk management. Our robust historical data on both organically originated and acquired loans provides us with the ability to perform advanced loss forecasting. Each applicant is automatically assigned a proprietary loss forecasting score ("LFS") using information such as FICO®, debt-to-income ratio, loan-to-value ratio, and over 30 other predictive factors, placing the applicant in one of 100 pricing tiers. The pricing in each tier is continuously monitored and adjusted to reflect market and risk trends. In addition to our automated process, we maintain a team of underwriters for manual review, consideration of exceptions, and review of deal structures with dealers. We generally tighten our underwriting requirements in times of greater economic uncertainty (including during the recent financial crisis) to compete in the market at loss and approval rates acceptable for meeting our required returns. We have also adjusted our underwriting standards to meet the requirements of our contracts such as the Chrysler agreement. In both cases, we have accomplished this by adjusting our risk-based pricing, the material components of which include interest rate, down payment, and loan-to-value.

We monitor early payment defaults and other potential indicators of dealer or customer fraud, and use the monitoring results to identify dealers who will be subject to more extensive stipulations when presenting customer applications, as well as dealers with whom we will not do business at all.

As part of our plan for minimizing credit losses from our new dealer lending product line, we will conduct periodic inventories, generally without advance notice to the dealer, of the collateral for floorplan lines of credit we have extended. We also will reevaluate the creditworthiness of each dealer with an outstanding balance on at least an annual basis, and more often if events indicate a possible decline in creditworthiness. Collateral Risk

Our lease portfolio presents an inherent risk that residual values recognized upon lease termination will be lower than those used to price the contracts at inception. Although we have elected not to purchase residual value insurance, our residual

risk is somewhat mitigated by our residual risk-sharing agreement with Chrysler, as all of our leases are originated under terms of the Chrysler Agreement with Chrysler. We also utilize industry data, including the Automotive Lease Guide ("ALG") benchmark for residual values, and employ a team of individuals experienced in forecasting residual values.

Similarly, lower used vehicle prices also reduce the amount we can recover when remarketing repossessed vehicles that serve as collateral underlying loans. We manage this risk through loan-to-value limits on originations, monitoring of new and used vehicle values using standard industry guides, and active, targeted management of the repossession process.

## Legal and Compliance Risk

We must comply with the significant number of laws and regulations governing the consumer finance industry and, specifically, consumer protection. Compliance with applicable law is costly and can affect operating results. Compliance also requires a robust framework of governance and controls, which may create operational constraints. To manage our legal and compliance risk, we maintain an extensive compliance, internal control, and monitoring framework, which includes the gathering of corporate control performance threshold indicators, Sarbanes-Oxley testing, monthly quality control tests, ongoing compliance monitoring with all applicable regulations, internal control documentation and review of processes, and internal audits. We also utilize internal and external legal counsel for expertise when needed. All associates upon new hire and annually receive comprehensive mandatory regulatory compliance training. In addition, the Board of Directors receives annual regulatory and compliance training. We use industry-leading call mining and other software solutions that assist us in analyzing potential breaches of regulatory requirements and customer service. Our call mining software analyzes all customer service calls, converting speech to text and mining for specific words and phrases that may indicate inappropriate comments by a representative. The software also detects escalated voice volume, enabling a supervisor to intervene if necessary. This tool enables us to effectively manage and identify training opportunities for associates, as well as track and resolve customer complaints through a robust quality assurance program. An example of another system control to mitigate compliance risk is that our customer dialing system has been programmed based on regulatory requirements to not permit dialing a customer phone number outside of permissible time periods or that already has been called the maximum number of times that day.

### Operational and Technological Risk

We are exposed to loss that occurs in the process of carrying out our business activities. These relate to failures arising from inadequate or failed processes, failures in our people or systems, or from external events. Our operational risk management program encompasses risk event reporting, analysis, and remediation; key risk indicator monitoring; and risk profile assessments.

A significant operational error related to distribution of principal on a securitization occurred in the second quarter. Principal payments that should have been made pro rata to the Class A-2-A and Class A-2-B notes of the Santander Drive Auto Receivables Trust 2013-5 transaction instead were made solely to Class A-2-A notes on two consecutive monthly distribution dates. This transaction was our first securitization in several years that featured a class of notes with subclasses that were to receive principal payments pro rata. To correct the error, we amended the transaction documents to allow for a deposit of approximately \$71 million to the Trust for distribution to the Class A-2-B noteholders. This action, which had no material impact on our consolidated results of operations, brought the respective note balances of the Class A-2-A and Class A-2-B notes into parity and increased overcollateralization on the transaction. Additionally, we have implemented enhanced processes and controls to ensure payment distributions accurately reflect the legal documentation and transaction structure going forward. Foreign Exchange Risk

As we do not currently operate in foreign markets, substantially all of our vendors are based in the United States, and we have only three employees outside of the United States (employees in Canada working to establish our presence in that country). As a result, we do not currently have material exposure to currency fluctuations. Inflation Risk

The risk of inflation does not have a significant impact on our business. Other Information Further information on risk factors can be found under Part II, Item 1A - "Risk Factors."

Glossary of Selected Terms

Advance Rate-The maximum percentage of the value of collateral that a lender is willing to extend for a loan. The advance rate helps a borrower determine what kind of collateral to provide in order to secure the desired loan amount, and helps minimize a lender's loss exposure when accepting collateral that can fluctuate in value.

Clean-Up Call-The action of an issuer of a debt instrument (such as a bond) requiring early redemption of the instrument before it is fully amortized.

Credit/Warehouse Facility (Line of Credit)-Any credit source extended to a business by a bank or other financial institution. A line of credit is effectively a source of funds that can readily be tapped at the borrower's discretion. Interest is paid only on money actually withdrawn. However, the borrower may be required to pay an unused line fee, often an annualized percentage fee on the money not withdrawn. Lines of credit can be secured by collateral, or may be unsecured.

Credit Enhancement-Through credit enhancement, the lender is provided with reassurance that the borrower will honor the obligation through additional collateral, insurance, or a third-party guarantee. Credit enhancement reduces credit/default risk of a debt, thereby increasing the overall credit rating and lowering interest rates.

Dealer Loans- Floorplan lines of credit, real estate loans, and working capital loans to automotive dealers. FICO® -A type of credit score that makes up a substantial portion of the credit report that lenders use to assess an applicant's credit risk and whether to extend a loan. FICO® is an acronym for the Fair Isaac Corporation, the creator of the FICO® score. Using mathematical models, the FICO® score takes into account various factors in each of these five areas to determine credit risk: payment history, current level of indebtedness, types of credit used, length of credit history, and new credit. A person's FICO® score will range between 300 and 850.

Floorplan Lines of Credit- A revolving line of credit that allows the borrower to obtain financing for retail goods. These loans are made against a specific piece of collateral (e.g., auto, recreational vehicle, manufactured home). When each piece of collateral is sold by the dealer, the loan advance against that piece of collateral is repaid.

Nonaccretable Difference- The difference between the undiscounted contractual cash flows and the undiscounted expected cash. The nonaccretable difference represents an estimate of the credit risk in the loan portfolio at the acquisition date.

Overcollateralization- The process of posting more collateral than is needed to obtain or secure financing. Overcollateralization is often used as a method of credit enhancement by lowering the creditor's exposure to default risk.

Private-Label Loans/Leases- Financings branded in the name of the product manufacturer rather than in the name of the finance company.

Remarketing- Vehicle remarketing is the controlled disposal of fleet and leasing vehicles that have reached the end of their fixed term or the process to resell repossessed vehicles.

Residual Values- Residual value describes the future value of an asset at the end of the lease term based upon the percentage of depreciation of its initial value.

Subvention Program- Reimbursement to the finance company by a manufacturer for the difference between a market loan or lease rate and the below-market rate granted to the customer.

Turn-down - A turn-down loan or program is one in which the lender has the opportunity to review the application for approval only after the primary lender or lenders have declined the application.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Incorporated by reference from Part I, Item 2 - "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations —Risk Management Framework" above.

# Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our CEO and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a- 15(e) and 15d- 15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act)), as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on such evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that as of June 30, 2014, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed at a reasonable assurance level and are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in management's evaluation pursuant to Rules 13a-15(d) or 15d-15(d) of the Exchange Act during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls and Procedures

In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. In addition, the design of disclosure controls and procedures must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and that management is required to apply judgment in evaluating the benefits of possible controls and procedures relative to their costs.

#### PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we may become involved in various lawsuits and legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties, and an adverse result in these or other matters may arise from time to time that may harm our business. Further, we may become involved from time to time in reviews, investigations, and proceedings (both formal and informal), and information-gathering requests, by government and self-regulatory agencies, including the Federal Reserve, CFPB, DOJ, the SEC, the Federal Trade Commission and various state regulatory agencies regarding our operations. Currently, such proceedings include a civil subpoena from DOJ under FIRREA requesting the production of documents and communications that, among other things, relate to the underwriting and securitization of nonprime auto loans since 2007. Investigations, proceedings or information-gathering requests that we are, or may become, involved in may result in adverse consequences including, without limitation, adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions, or other actions.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The Company is subject to a number of risks that could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations in addition to other possible adverse consequences. The following description of risk factors includes any material changes to, and supersedes the description of, risk factors associated with the Company's business previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors, on pages 20-36 of the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The risk factors discussed below do not identify all risks that we face because our business operations could also be affected by additional factors that are not presently known to us or that we currently consider to be immaterial to our operations.

Explanatory Note: The risk factors set forth below with an asterisk (\*) before the title are risk factors containing substantive changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of the Company's 2013 Form 10-K. Adverse economic conditions in the United States and worldwide may negatively impact our results. We are subject to changes in general economic conditions that are beyond our control. During periods of economic slowdown such as the recent economic downturn, delinquencies, defaults, repossessions, and losses generally increase while proceeds from auction sales decrease. These periods may also be accompanied by increased unemployment rates, decreased consumer demand for automobiles and other consumer products, and declining values of automobiles and other consumer products securing outstanding accounts, which weaken collateral coverage and increase the amount of a loss in the event of default. Additionally, higher gasoline prices, unstable real estate values, reset of adjustable rate mortgages to higher interest rates, general availability of consumer credit, or other factors that impact consumer confidence or disposable income could increase loss frequency and decrease consumer demand for automobiles and other consumer products as well as weaken collateral values on certain types of automobiles and other consumer products. Because our historical focus has been predominantly on nonprime consumers, the actual rates of delinquencies, defaults, repossessions, and losses on these loans could be more dramatically affected by a general economic downturn. In addition, during an economic slowdown or recession, our servicing costs may increase without a corresponding increase in our finance charge income. Furthermore, our business is significantly affected by monetary and regulatory policies of the U.S. federal government and its agencies. Changes in any of these policies are influenced by macroeconomic conditions and other factors that are beyond our control and could have a material adverse effect on us through interest rate changes, costs of compliance with increased regulation, and other factors. Although market conditions have improved, unemployment in the United States remains at elevated levels, and conditions remain challenging for financial institutions. Furthermore, certain Eurozone member countries have fiscal outlays that exceed their fiscal revenue, which has raised concerns about such countries' abilities to continue to service their debt and foster economic growth. A weakened European economy could undermine investor confidence in European financial institutions and the stability of European member economies. Notwithstanding its geographic diversification, this could adversely impact Santander, with whom we have a significant relationship. Such events could also negatively affect U.S.-based financial institutions, counterparties with which we do business, and the

# Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

stability of the global financial markets. Disruptions in the global financial markets have also adversely affected the corporate bond markets, debt and equity underwriting, and other elements of the financial markets. In recent years, downgrades of the sovereign debt of some European countries have resulted in increased volatility in capital markets and have caused some lenders and institutional investors to reduce and, in some cases, cease to provide funding to certain borrowers, including other financial institutions. The impact on available credit, increased volatility in the financial markets, and reduced business activity has adversely affected, and may continue to adversely affect, our businesses, capital, liquidity, or other financial conditions and results of operations, and access to credit.

The process we use to estimate losses inherent in our credit exposure requires complex judgments, including forecasts of economic conditions and how those economic conditions might impair the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans. The degree of uncertainty concerning economic conditions may adversely affect the accuracy of our estimates, which may, in turn, impact the reliability of the process and the quality of our assets.

Our business could be negatively impacted if our access to funding is reduced.

We rely upon our ability to sell securities in the ABS market and upon our ability to access various credit facilities to fund our operations. The ABS market, along with credit markets in general, experienced unprecedented disruptions during the recent economic downturn. Although market conditions have improved since 2009, for a number of years following the economic downturn, certain issuers experienced increased risk premiums while there was a relatively lower level of investor demand for certain ABS (particularly those securities backed by nonprime collateral). In addition, the risk of volatility surrounding the global economic system and uncertainty surrounding regulatory reforms such as the Dodd-Frank Act continue to create uncertainty around access to the capital markets. As a result, there can be no assurance that we will continue to be successful in selling securities in the ABS market. Adverse changes in our ABS program or in the ABS market generally could materially adversely affect our ability to securitize loans on a timely basis or upon terms acceptable to us. This could increase our cost of funding, reduce our margins or cause us to hold assets until investor demand improves.

We also depend on various credit facilities and flow agreements to fund our future liquidity needs. We cannot guarantee that these financing sources will continue to be available beyond the current maturity dates, on reasonable terms, or at all. As our volume of loan acquisitions and originations increases, especially due to our recent relationship with Chrysler, we will require the expansion of our borrowing capacity on our existing credit facilities and flow agreements or the addition of new credit facilities and flow agreements. The availability of these financing sources depends, in part, on factors outside of our control, including regulatory capital treatment for unfunded bank lines of credit, the financial strength and strategic objectives of Santander and the other banks that participate in our credit facilities and flow agreements, and the availability of bank liquidity in general. We may also experience the occurrence of events of default or breach of financial covenants, which could reduce our access to bank funding. In the event of a sudden or unexpected shortage of funds in the banking system, we cannot be sure that we will be able to maintain necessary levels of funding without incurring high funding costs, a reduction in the term of funding instruments, or the liquidation of certain assets.

We have not experienced a significant increase in risk premiums or cost of funding to date, but we are not isolated from general market conditions that may affect issuers of ABS and other borrowers and we could experience increased risk premiums or funding costs in the future. In addition, if the sources of funding described above are not available to us on a regular basis for any reason, we may have to curtail or suspend our loan acquisition and origination activities. Downsizing the scale of our business would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity, and results of operations.

We face significant risks in implementing our growth strategy, some of which are outside our control. We intend to continue our growth strategy to (i) expand our vehicle finance franchise by increasing market penetration via the number and depth of our relationships in the vehicle finance market, pursuing additional relationships with OEMs, and expanding our direct-to-consumer footprint and (ii) grow our unsecured consumer lending platform. Our ability to execute this growth strategy is subject to significant risks, some of which are beyond our control, including:

the inherent uncertainty regarding general economic conditions;

our ability to obtain adequate financing for our expansion plans;

the prevailing laws and regulatory environment of each state in which we operate or seek to operate, and, to the extent applicable, federal laws and regulations, which are subject to change at any time;

the degree of competition in new markets and its effect on our ability to attract new customers;

our ability to recruit qualified personnel, in particular in areas where we face a great deal of competition; and

our ability to obtain and maintain any regulatory approvals, government permits, or licenses that may be required on a timely basis.

\*Our recent agreement with Chrysler may not result in currently anticipated levels of growth and is subject to certain performance conditions that could result in termination of the agreement.

In February 2013, we entered into a ten-year Master Private Label Financing Agreement (the "Chrysler Agreement") with Chrysler whereby we launched the Chrysler Capital brand, which originates private-label loans and leases to facilitate the purchase of Chrysler vehicles by consumers and Chrysler-franchised automotive dealers. The financing services that we provide under the Chrysler Agreement, which launched May 1, 2013, include credit lines to finance Chrysler-franchised dealers' acquisitions of vehicles and other products that Chrysler sells or distributes, automotive loans and leases to finance consumer acquisitions of new and used vehicles at Chrysler-franchised dealerships, financing for commercial and fleet customers, and ancillary services. In addition, we will offer dealers dealer loan financing, construction loans, real estate loans, working capital loans, and revolving lines of credit. In accordance with the terms of the Chrysler Agreement, in May 2013 we paid Chrysler a \$150 million upfront, nonrefundable payment, which will be amortized over ten years but would be recognized as expense immediately if the Chrysler Agreement is terminated in accordance with its terms.

As part of the Chrysler Agreement, we received limited exclusivity rights to participate in specified minimum percentages of certain of Chrysler's financing incentive programs, which include loan rate subvention and automotive lease residual support subvention. We have committed to certain revenue sharing arrangements, as well as to considering future revenue sharing opportunities. We will bear the risk of loss on loans originated pursuant to the Chrysler Agreement, but Chrysler will share in any residual gains and losses in respect of automotive leases, subject to specific provisions in the Chrysler Agreement, including limitations on our participation in gains and losses. In addition, under the Chrysler Agreement, Chrysler has the option to acquire, for fair market value, an equity participation in an operating entity through which the financial services contemplated by the Chrysler Agreement are offered and provided, through either an equity interest in the new entity or participation in a joint venture or other similar business relationship or structure. There is no maximum limit on the size of Chrysler's potential equity participation. Although the Chrysler Agreement contains provisions that are designed to address a situation in which the parties disagree on the fair market value of the equity participation interest, there is a risk that we ultimately receive less than what we believe to be the fair market value for such interest.

The Chrysler Agreement is subject to early termination in certain circumstances, including the failure by either party to comply with certain of their ongoing obligations under the Chrysler Agreement. Chrysler may also terminate the agreement, among other circumstances, if (i) we fail to meet certain performance metrics, including certain penetration and approval rate targets, during the term of the agreement, (ii) a person other than Santander and its affiliates or our other stockholders owns 20% or more of our common stock and Santander and its affiliates own fewer shares of common stock than such person, (iii) we become, control, or become controlled by, an OEM that competes with Chrysler or (iv) if certain of our credit facilities become impaired.

The loans and leases originated through Chrysler Capital are expected to provide us with a significant portion of our projected growth over the next several years. Our ability to realize the full strategic and financial benefits of our relationship with Chrysler depends in part on the successful development of our Chrysler Capital business, which will require a significant amount of management's time and effort. If we are unable to realize the expected benefits of our relationship with Chrysler, or if the Chrysler Agreement were to terminate, our ability to generate or grow revenues could be reduced, and we may not be able to implement our business strategy, which would negatively impact our future growth.

## Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Our business could be negatively impacted if we are unsuccessful in developing and maintaining relationships with automobile dealerships.

Our ability to acquire loans and automotive leases is reliant on our relationships with automotive dealers. In particular, our automotive finance operations depend in large part upon our ability to establish and maintain relationships with reputable automotive dealers that direct customers to our offices or originate loans at the point-of-sale, which we subsequently purchase. Although we have relationships with certain automotive dealers, none of our relationships are exclusive and some of them are newly established and they may be terminated at any time. As a result of the recent economic downturn and contraction of credit to both dealers and their customers, there was an increase in dealership closures and our existing dealer base experienced decreased sales and loan volume in the past and may experience decreased sales and loan volume in the future, which may have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

A reduction in demand for our products and failure by us to adapt to such reduction could adversely affect our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

The demand for the products we offer may be reduced due to a variety of factors, such as demographic patterns, changes in customer preferences or financial conditions, regulatory restrictions that decrease customer access to particular products, or the availability of competing products. Should we fail to adapt to significant changes in our customers' demand for, or access to, our products, our revenues could decrease significantly and our operations could be harmed. Even if we do make changes to existing products or introduce new products to fulfill customer demand, customers may resist such changes or may reject such products. Moreover, the effect of any product change on the results of our business may not be fully ascertainable until the change has been in effect for some time, and, by that time, it may be too late to make further modifications to such product without causing further harm to our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

\*Our financial condition, liquidity, and results of operations depend on the credit performance of our loans.

As of June 30, 2014, over 85% of our vehicle consumer loans and over 72% of our unsecured consumer loans are nonprime receivables with obligors who do not qualify for conventional consumer finance products as a result of, among other things, a lack of or adverse credit history, low income levels, and/or the inability to provide adequate down payments. While underwriting guidelines were designed to establish that, notwithstanding such factors, the obligor would be a reasonable credit risk, the receivables nonetheless will experience higher default rates than a portfolio of obligations of prime obligors. In the event of such a default on an auto loan, generally the most practical alternative is repossession of the financed vehicle, although the collateral value of the vehicle usually does not cover the outstanding account balance and costs of recovery. Repossessions and foreclosure sales that do not yield sufficient proceeds to repay the receivables in full could result in losses on those receivables. We repossessed 106,375 vehicles, incurring \$687 million in net losses, during the six months ended June 30, 2014, of which 101,435 repossessions and \$651 million of net losses were on nonprime receivables. We experienced a default rate of 6.3% for nonprime receivables and 2.0% for prime receivables during the six months ended June 30, 2014.

From time to time we are the subject of unfavorable news or editorial coverage and we, like many peer companies, are the subject of various complaint websites in connection with our repossession and collection activities. Regardless of merit, this type of negative publicity could damage our reputation and lead consumers to choose other consumer finance companies. This could, in turn, lead to decreased business which could have a material adverse impact on our financial position. We do not believe we have experienced any such impact as our lending is primarily indirect, with the end consumer interacting directly with a dealer rather than the finance company.

In addition, our prime portfolio is rapidly growing. While prime portfolios typically have lower default rates than nonprime portfolios, we have less ability to make risk adjustments to the pricing of prime loans compared to nonprime loans. As a result, a larger proportion of our business will consist of loans with respect to which we have less flexibility to adjust pricing to absorb losses. As a result of these factors, we may sustain higher losses than anticipated in our prime portfolio.

We depend on the accuracy and completeness of information about borrowers and counterparties and any misrepresented information could adversely affect our business, results of operations, and financial condition. In deciding whether to approve loans or to enter into other transactions with borrowers and counterparties in our retail lending and commercial lending businesses, we may rely on information furnished to us by or on behalf of borrowers and counterparties, including financial statements and other financial information. We also may rely on representations of borrowers and counterparties as to the accuracy and completeness of that information and, with respect to financial statements, on reports of independent auditors. If any of this information is intentionally or negligently misrepresented and such misrepresentation is not detected prior to loan funding, the value of the loan may be significantly lower than expected. Whether a misrepresentation is made by the loan applicant, another third party,

## Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

or one of our employees, we generally bear the risk of loss associated with the misrepresentation. Our controls and processes may not have detected or may not detect all misrepresented information in our loan originations or from our business clients. Any such misrepresented information could adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Loss of our key management or other personnel, or an inability to attract such management and other personnel, could negatively impact our business.

The successful implementation of our growth strategy depends in part on our ability to retain our experienced management team and key employees and on our ability to attract appropriately qualified new personnel as well as have an effective succession planning framework in place. For instance, our Chief Executive Officer is one of the founders of SCUSA and has extensive experience in the vehicle finance industry. He has a proven track record of successfully operating our

business, including by leading us through the recent economic downturn. The loss of any key member of our management team or other key employees could hinder or delay our ability to implement our growth strategy effectively. Further, if we are unable to attract appropriately qualified new personnel as we expand, we may not be successful in implementing our growth strategy. In either instance, our profitability and financial performance could be adversely affected. See "Management" for more detail on our executive officers.

Future changes in our relationship with Santander may adversely affect our operations.

Santander, through SHUSA, owns 210,995,049 shares (approximately 60.5%) of our common stock. We rely on our relationship with Santander, through SHUSA, for several competitive advantages including relationships with OEMs and regulatory best practices. Santander also provides us with significant funding support, through both committed liquidity and opportunistic extensions of credit. During the recent financial downturn, Santander and its affiliates provided us with over \$6 billion in financing that enabled us to pursue several acquisitions and/or conversions of vehicle loan portfolios at a time when most major banks were curtailing or eliminating their commercial lending activities. If Santander or SHUSA elects not to provide such support or provide it to the same degree, we may not be able to replace such support ourselves or to obtain substitute arrangements with third parties. We may be unable to obtain such support because of financial or other constraints or be unable to implement substitute arrangements on a timely basis on terms that are comparable, or at all, which could adversely affect our operations.

Furthermore, subject to certain limitations in the shareholders agreement that was entered into among us and certain of our shareholders, including SHUSA, Auto Finance Holdings and DDFS (the "Shareholders Agreement"), Santander is permitted to sell its interest in us. If Santander reduces its equity interest in us, it may be less willing to provide us with the support it has provided in the past. In addition, our right to use the Santander name is on the basis of a non-exclusive, royalty-free, and non-transferable license from Santander, and further only extends to uses in connection with our current and future operations within the United States. Santander may terminate such license at any time Santander ceases to own, directly or indirectly, 50% or more of our common stock. If we were required to change our name, we would incur the administrative costs and time associated with revising legal documents and marketing materials, and also may experience loss of brand and loss of business or loss of funding due to consumers' and banks' relative lack of familiarity with our new name. Additionally, Chrysler may terminate the Chrysler Agreement if a person other than Santander and its affiliates or our other stockholders owns 20% or more of our common stock and Santander and its affiliates own fewer shares of common stock than such person. Santander has provided guarantees on the covenants, agreements, and our obligations under the governing documents of our warehouse facilities and privately issued amortizing notes. These guarantees are limited to our obligations as servicer.

Some terms of our credit agreements are influenced by, among other things, the credit ratings of Santander. If Santander were to suffer credit ratings downgrades or other adverse financial developments, we could be negatively impacted, either directly or indirectly. Santander's short-term credit ratings downgrades in 2012, from A-1 to A-2 (Standard & Poor's) and from P-1 to P-2 (Moody's), did not directly impact our cost of funds. However, due to the contractual terms of certain of our debt agreements, these downgrades resulted in the loss of our ability to commingle funds. The loss of commingling increased the amount of funds we were required to borrow, thereby indirectly raising our cost of funds by approximately \$1 million per month. In addition, because of the methodologies applied by credit ratings agencies, our securitization ratings in our ABS offerings are indirectly tied to Santander's credit ratings. Santander applies certain standardized banking policies, procedures and standards across its affiliated entities, including with respect to internal audit, credit approval, governance, risk management, and compensation practices. We currently follow certain of these Santander policies and may in the future become subject to additional Santander policies, procedures and standards, which could result in changes to our practices.

It is also possible that our continuing relationship with Santander or SHUSA could reduce the willingness of other banks to develop relationships with us due to general competitive dynamics among such banks.

Negative changes in the business of the OEMs with which we have strategic relationships, including Chrysler, could adversely affect our business.

A significant adverse change in Chrysler's or other automotive manufacturers' business, including (i) significant adverse changes in their respective liquidity position and access to the capital markets, (ii) the production or sale of

Chrysler or other automotive manufacturers' vehicles (including the effects of any product recalls), (iii) the quality or resale value of Chrysler or other vehicles, (iv) the use of marketing incentives, (v) Chrysler's or other automotive manufacturers' relationships with their key suppliers, or (vi) Chrysler's or other automotive manufacturers' respective relationships with the United Auto Workers and

other labor unions and other factors impacting automotive manufacturers or their employees could have a material adverse effect on our profitability and financial condition.

Under the Chrysler Agreement, we originate private-label loans and leases to facilitate the purchase of Chrysler vehicles by consumers and Chrysler-franchised automotive dealers. In the future, it is possible that Chrysler or other automotive manufacturers with whom we have relationships could utilize other companies to support their financing needs, including offering products or terms that we would not or could not offer, which could have a material adverse impact on our business and operations. Furthermore, Chrysler or other automotive manufacturers could expand or establish or acquire captive finance companies to support their financing needs thus reducing their need for our services.

There is no assurance that the global automotive market, or Chrysler's or our other OEM partners' share of that market, will not suffer downturns in the future, and any negative impact could in turn have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial position.

\*Our information technology may not support our future volumes and business strategies.

We rely on our proprietary origination and servicing platforms that utilize database-driven software applications, including nearly 20 years of internal historical credit data and extensive third-party data, to continuously adapt our origination and servicing operations to evolving consumer behavior, new vehicle finance and consumer loan products and third party purchaser requirements. We employ an extensive team of engineers, information technology analysts, and website designers to ensure that our information technology systems remain on the cutting edge. However, due to the continued rapid changes in technology, there can be no assurance that our information technology solutions will continue to be adequate for the business or to provide a competitive advantage.

Our network and information systems are important to our operating activities and any network and information system shutdowns could disrupt our ability to process loan applications, originate loans, or service our existing loan portfolios, which could have a material adverse impact on our operating activities. Shutdowns may be caused by unforeseen catastrophic events, including natural disasters, terrorist attacks, large-scale power outages, software or hardware defects, computer viruses, cyber attacks, external or internal security breaches, acts of vandalism, misplaced or lost data, programming or human errors, difficulties in migrating technology facilities from one location to another, or other similar events. Although we maintain, and regularly assess the adequacy of, a disaster recovery plan designed to effectively manage the effects of such unforeseen events, we cannot be certain that such plan will function as intended, or otherwise resolve or compensate for such effects. Such a failure of our disaster recovery plan, if and when experienced, may have a material adverse effect on our revenue and ability to support and service our customer base. We are required to make significant estimates and assumptions in the preparation of our financial statements and our estimates and assumptions may not be accurate.

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP") requires our management to make significant estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting periods. We also use estimates and assumptions in determining the residual values of leased vehicles. Critical estimates are made by management in determining, among other things, the allowance for loan losses, amounts of impairment, and valuation of income taxes. If our underlying estimates and assumptions prove to be incorrect, our financial condition and results of operations may be materially adversely affected.

\*Our allowance for loan losses and impairments may prove to be insufficient to absorb probable losses inherent in our loan

portfolio.

We maintain an allowance for loan losses, established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, that we believe is appropriate to provide for probable losses inherent in our originated loan portfolio. For receivables

# Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

portfolios purchased from other lenders at a discount to the aggregate principal balance of the receivables, the portion of the discount that was attributable to credit deterioration since origination of the loans is recorded as a nonaccretable difference. Any deterioration in the performance of the purchased portfolios after acquisition results in an incremental allowance. Our allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2014 is \$3.1 billion, or 11.6% of outstanding principal balance. The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses, and nonaccretable difference inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires us

to make significant estimates of current credit risks and future trends, all of which are subject to change. Changes in economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding our loans, and other factors, both within and outside of our control, may require an increase in the allowance for loan losses. Furthermore, growth in our loan portfolio generally would lead to an increase in the provision for loan losses. Some of our planned growth is in lending areas other than vehicle loans, and we are not experienced in estimating loan and credit losses in those other areas. In addition, if net chargeoffs in future periods exceed the allowance for loan losses, we will need to make additional provisions to increase the allowance for loan losses. There is no accurate method for predicting loan and credit losses, and we cannot assure you that our loan loss allowance will be sufficient to cover actual losses. Any increases in the allowance for loan losses in the autorial and may have a material adverse effect on us.

\*Our profitability and financial condition could be materially adversely affected if the value of used cars declines, resulting in lower residual values of our vehicle leases and lower recoveries in sales of repossessed vehicles. General economic conditions, the supply of off-lease and other used vehicles to be sold, new vehicle market prices and marketing programs, vehicle brand image and strength, perceived vehicle quality, general consumer preference and confidence levels, seasonality, overall price and volatility of gasoline or diesel fuel, among other factors, heavily influence used vehicle prices and thus the residual value of our leased vehicles and the amount we recover in remarketing repossessed vehicles. We expect our financial results to be more sensitive to used auto prices as leases become a larger part of our business.

Our expectation of the residual value of a leased vehicle is a critical input in determining the amount of the lease payments at the inception of a lease contract. Our lease customers are responsible only for any deviation from expected residual value that is caused by excess mileage or excess wear and tear, while we retain the obligation to absorb any general market changes in the value of the vehicle. Therefore, our operating lease expense is increased when we have to take an impairment on our residual values or when the realized residual value of a vehicle at lease termination is less than the expected residual value for the vehicle at lease inception. In addition, the timeliness, effectiveness, and quality of our remarketing of off-lease vehicles affects the net proceeds realized from the vehicle sales. While we have elected not to purchase residual value insurance, our exposure is somewhat lessened by Chrysler's residual subvention programs and the sharing of losses over a specified threshold. However, we take the first portion of loss on any vehicle, and such losses could have a negative impact on our profitability and financial condition.

Lower used vehicle prices also reduce the amount we can recover when remarketing repossessed vehicles that serve as collateral underlying loans. As a result, declines in used vehicle prices could have a negative impact on our profitability and financial condition.

\*Poor portfolio performance may trigger credit enhancement provisions in our revolving credit facilities or secured structured financings.

Our revolving credit facilities generally have net spread, delinquency, and net loss ratio limits on the receivables pledged to each facility that, if exceeded, would increase the level of credit enhancement requirements for that facility and redirect all excess cash to the credit providers. Generally, these limits are calculated based on the portfolio collateralizing the respective credit line; however, for certain of our warehouse lines, delinquency and net loss ratios are calculated with respect to our serviced portfolio as a whole. Our facilities used to finance vehicle lease originations also have a residual loss ratio limit calculated with respect to our serviced lease portfolio as a whole.

The documents that govern our secured structured financings also contain cumulative net loss ratio limits on the receivables included in each securitization trust. If, at any measurement date, a cumulative net loss trigger with respect to any financing were to exceed the specified limits, provisions of the financing agreements would increase the level of credit enhancement requirements for that financing and redirect all excess cash to the holders of the ABS. During this period, excess cash flows, if any, from the facility would be used to fund the increased credit enhancement levels

# Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

rather than being distributed to us. Once an impacted trust reaches the new requirement, we would return to receiving a residual distribution from the trust.

\*Future significant loan, lease, or unsecured consumer loan repurchase requirements could harm our profitability and financial condition.

We have repurchase obligations in our capacity as servicer in securitizations and whole-loan sales. If a servicer breaches a representation, warranty, or servicing covenant with respect to the loans sold, the servicer may be required by the servicing provisions to repurchase that asset from the purchaser or otherwise compensate one or more classes of investors for losses caused by the breach. If significant repurchases of assets or other payments are required under our responsibility as

servicer, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity, and results of operations. As we have increased the number of loans sold, the potential impact of such repurchases has increased.

\*We apply financial leverage to our operations, which may materially adversely affect our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

We currently apply financial leverage, pledging most of our assets to credit facilities and securitization trusts, and we intend to continue to apply financial leverage in our retail lending operations. Our debt-to-assets ratio is 88% as of June 30, 2014. Although our total borrowings are restricted by covenants in our credit facilities and market conditions, our Board of Directors may change our target borrowing levels at any time without the approval of our stockholders. Incurring substantial debt subjects us to the risk that our cash flow from operations may be insufficient to service our outstanding debt.

\*Our indebtedness and other obligations are significant and impose restrictions on our business.

We have a significant amount of indebtedness. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$26.2 billion and \$23.3 billion, respectively, in principal amount of indebtedness outstanding (including approximately \$24.6 billion and \$22.2 billion, respectively, in secured indebtedness). Interest expense on our indebtedness constituted approximately 11% of our total net finance and other interest income, net of leased vehicle expense, for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Our debt reduces operational flexibility and creates default risks. Our revolving credit facilities contain a borrowing base or advance rate formula which requires us to pledge finance contracts in excess of the amounts which we can borrow under the facilities. We are also required to hold certain funds in restricted cash accounts to provide additional collateral for borrowings under the credit facilities. In addition, certain facilities require the replacement of delinquent or defaulted collateral, and the finance contracts pledged as collateral in securitizations must be less than 31 days delinquent at the time the securitization is issued. Accordingly, increases in delinquencies or defaults resulting from weakened economic conditions would require us to pledge additional finance contracts to support the same borrowing levels and may cause us to be unable to securitize loans to the extent we desire. These outcomes would adversely impact our financial position, liquidity, and results of operations.

Additionally, the credit facilities generally contain various covenants requiring in certain cases minimum financial ratios, asset quality, and portfolio performance ratios (portfolio net loss and delinquency ratios, and pool level cumulative net loss ratios) as well as limits on deferral levels. Generally, these limits are calculated based on the portfolio collateralizing the respective line; however, for certain of our third-party credit facilities, delinquency and net loss ratios are calculated with respect to our serviced portfolio as a whole. Covenants on our debts also limit our ability to:

- incur or guarantee additional indebtedness;
- purchase large loan portfolios in bulk;
- pay dividends or make distributions on our capital stock or make certain other restricted payments;
- sell assets, including our loan portfolio or the capital stock of our subsidiaries;
- enter into transactions with affiliates;
- create or incur liens; and
- consolidate, merge, sell, or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets.

Additionally, certain of our credit facilities contain minimum tangible net worth requirements or key man provisions.

# Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Failure to meet any of these covenants could result in an event of default under these agreements. If an event of default occurs under these agreements, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under these agreements to be immediately due and payable, enforce their interests against collateral pledged under these agreements, restrict our ability to obtain additional borrowings under these agreements and/or remove us as servicer.

We currently have the ability to pledge retained residuals and create additional unsecured indebtedness on our credit facilities provided by Santander. Santander may elect not to renew these facilities, causing us to have to find other funding sources prior to the maturity of the facilities. If our debt service obligations increase, whether due to the increased cost of existing indebtedness or the incurrence of additional indebtedness, we may be required to dedicate a significant portion of our cash flow from operations to the payment of principal of, and interest on, our indebtedness, which would reduce the funds available for other purposes. Our indebtedness also could limit our ability to withstand competitive pressures and reduce our flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions.

In addition, certain of our funding arrangements may require us to make payments to third parties if losses exceed certain thresholds, including, for example, our flow agreements with Bank of America, CBP and SBNA and arrangements with certain third-party loan originators of loans that we purchase on a periodic basis. Competition with other lenders could adversely affect us.

The vehicle finance market is served by a variety of entities, including the captive finance affiliates of major automotive manufacturers, banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, and independent finance companies. The market is highly fragmented, with no individual lender capturing more than 10% of the market. Our competitors often provide financing on terms more favorable to automobile purchasers or dealers than we offer. Many of these competitors also have long-standing relationships with automobile dealerships and may offer dealerships or their customers other forms of financing that we do not offer.

We anticipate that we will encounter greater competition as we expand our operations and as the economy continues to emerge from recession. In addition, certain of our competitors are not subject to the same regulatory regimes that we are. As a result, these competitors may have advantages in conducting certain businesses and providing certain services, and may be more aggressive in their loan origination activities. Increasing competition could also require us to lower the rates we charge on loans in order to maintain loan origination volume, which could also have a material adverse effect on our business, including our profitability.

Changes in interest rates may adversely impact our profitability and risk profile.

Our profitability may be directly affected by interest rate levels and fluctuations in interest rates. As interest rates change, our gross interest rate spread on new originations either increases or decreases because the rates charged on the contracts originated or purchased from dealers are limited by market and competitive conditions, restricting our ability to pass on increased interest costs to the consumer. Additionally, although the majority of our borrowers are nonprime and are not highly sensitive to interest rate movement, increases in interest rates may reduce the volume of loans we originate. While we monitor the interest rate environment and employ hedging strategies designed to mitigate the impact of increased interest rates, we cannot provide assurance that hedging strategies will fully mitigate the impact of changes in interest rates.

We are subject to market, operational, and other related risks associated with our derivative transactions that could have a material adverse effect on us.

We enter into derivative transactions for economic hedging purposes. We are subject to market and operational risks associated with these transactions, including basis risk, the risk of loss associated with variations in the spread between the asset yield and the funding and/or hedge cost, credit or default risk, the risk of insolvency, or other inability of the counterparty to a particular transaction to perform its obligations thereunder, including providing sufficient collateral. Additionally, certain of our derivative agreements require us to post collateral when the fair value of the derivative is negative. Our ability to adequately monitor, analyze, and report derivative transactions continues to depend, to a great extent, on our information technology systems. This factor further increases the risks associated with these transactions and could have a material adverse effect on us.

Adverse outcomes to current and future litigation against us may negatively impact our financial position, liquidity, and results of operations.

As a consumer finance company, we are subject to various consumer claims and litigation seeking damages and statutory penalties. Some litigation against us could take the form of class action complaints by consumers. As the assignee of loans originated by automotive dealers, we also may be named as a co-defendant in lawsuits filed by consumers principally against automotive dealers.

We are party to various litigation claims and legal proceedings. We evaluate these litigation claims and legal proceedings to assess the likelihood of unfavorable outcomes and to estimate, if possible, the amount of potential losses. Based on these assessments and estimates, we establish reserves or disclose the relevant litigation claims or legal proceedings, as appropriate. These assessments and estimates are based on the information available to management at the time and involve a significant amount of management judgment. Actual outcomes or losses may differ materially from our current assessments and estimates and any adverse resolution of litigation pending or threatened against us could negatively impact our financial position, liquidity, and results of operations.

A security breach or a cyber attack could adversely affect our business.

In the normal course of business, we collect, process and retain sensitive and confidential consumer information and may, subject to applicable law, share that information with our third-party service providers. Despite the security measures we have in place, our facilities and systems, and those of third-party service providers, could be vulnerable to external or internal security breaches, acts of vandalism, computer viruses, misplaced or lost data, programming or human errors, or other similar events. A security breach or cyber attack of our computer systems could interrupt or damage our operations or harm our reputation. If third parties or our employees are able to penetrate our network security or otherwise misappropriate our customers' personal information or contract information, or if we give third parties or our employees improper access to consumers' personal information or contract information, we could be subject to liability. This liability could include investigations, fines, or penalties imposed by state or federal regulatory agencies, including the loss of necessary permits or licenses. This liability could also include identity theft or other similar fraud-related claims, claims for other misuses, or losses of personal information, including for unauthorized marketing purposes or claims alleging misrepresentation of our privacy and data security practices.

We rely on encryption and authentication technology licensed from third parties to provide the security and authentication necessary to effect secure online transmission of confidential consumer information. Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography, or other events or developments may result in a compromise or breach of the algorithms that we use to protect sensitive consumer transaction data. A party who is able to circumvent our security measures could misappropriate proprietary information or cause interruptions in our operations. We may be required to expend capital and other resources to protect against such security breaches or cyber attacks or to alleviate problems caused by such breaches or attacks. Our security measures are designed to protect against security breaches and cyber attacks, but our failure to prevent such security breaches and cyber attacks, whether due to an external cyber-security incident, a programming error, or other cause, could damage our reputation, expose us to mitigation costs and the risks of private litigation and government enforcement, disrupt our business, or otherwise have a material adverse effect on our sales and results of operations.

We partially rely on third parties to deliver services, and failure by those parties to provide these services or meet contractual requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We depend on third-party service providers for many aspects of our business operations. For example, we depend on third parties like Experian to obtain data related to our market that we use in our origination and servicing platforms. In addition, we rely on third-party servicing centers for a portion of our servicing activities and on third-party repossession agents. If a service provider fails to provide the services that we require or expect, or fails to meet contractual requirements, such as service levels or compliance with applicable laws, the failure could negatively impact our business by adversely affecting our ability to process customers' transactions in a timely and accurate manner, otherwise hampering our ability to service our customers, or subjecting us to litigation or regulatory risk for poor vendor oversight. Such a failure could adversely affect the perception of the reliability of our networks and services, and the quality of our brands, and could have a material and adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Catastrophic events may negatively affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations. Natural disasters, acts of war, terrorist attacks, and the escalation of military activity in response to these attacks or otherwise may have negative and significant effects, such as imposition of increased security measures, changes in applicable laws, market disruptions, and job losses. These events may have an adverse effect on the economy in general. Moreover, the potential for future terrorist attacks and the national and international responses to these threats could affect our business in ways that cannot be predicted. The effect of any of these events or threats could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

The obligations associated with being a public company will require significant resources and management attention, which will increase our costs of operations and may divert focus from our business operations.

Until our IPO, we were not required to comply with Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") requirements to file periodic reports with the SEC. As a public company, we are now required to file periodic reports containing our consolidated financial statements with the SEC within a specified time following the completion of quarterly and annual periods. As a public company, we will also incur significant legal, accounting, insurance, and other expenses.

Compliance with these reporting requirements and other rules of the SEC and the rules of the NYSE will increase our legal and financial compliance costs and make some activities more time consuming and costly. Furthermore, the need to establish the corporate infrastructure demanded of a public company may divert management's attention from implementing our growth strategy, which could prevent us from successfully implementing our strategic initiatives and improving our business, results of operations, and

financial condition. Among other things, we are required to: prepare and distribute periodic reports and other stockholder communications in compliance with our obligations under the federal securities laws and applicable stock exchange rules; appoint new independent members to our board of directors and committees; create or expand the roles and duties of our board of directors and committees of the board; institute more comprehensive compliance and internal audit functions; evaluate and maintain our system of internal control over financial reporting, and report on management's assessment thereof, in compliance with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the related rules and regulations of the SEC and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board; involve and retain outside legal counsel and accountants in connection with the activities listed above; enhance our investor relations function; and maintain internal policies, including those relating to disclosure controls and procedures. We have made, and will continue to make, changes to our internal controls and procedures for financial reporting and accounting systems to meet our reporting obligations as a public company. However, we cannot predict or estimate the amount of additional costs we may incur in order to comply with these requirements. We anticipate that these costs will materially increase our total costs and expenses.

Internal controls over financial reporting may not prevent or detect all errors or acts of fraud.

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that we timely report information as specified in the rules and regulations of the SEC. We also maintain a system of internal control over financial reporting. However, these controls may not achieve their intended objectives. Control processes that involve human diligence and compliance, such as our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, are subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Controls can also be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there are risks that material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected and that information may not be reported on a timely basis. If our controls are not effective, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, and market for our common stock, and could subject us to regulatory scrutiny. Regulatory Risks

\*We operate in a highly regulated industry and continually changing federal, state, and local laws and regulations could materially adversely affect our business.

Due to the highly regulated nature of the consumer finance industry, we are required to comply with a wide array of federal, state, and local laws and regulations that regulate, among other things, the manner in which we conduct our origination and servicing operations. These regulations directly impact our business and require constant compliance, monitoring, and internal and external audits. Although we have an extensive enterprise-wide compliance framework structured to continuously monitor our activities, compliance with applicable law is costly, and may create operational constraints.

These laws and their implementing regulations include, among others, usury laws, Anti-Money Laundering requirements (Bank Secrecy Act and USA PATRIOT Act), ECOA, Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Fair Credit Reporting Act, Privacy Regulations (Gramm-Leach Bliley Act and Right to Financial Privacy Act), Electronic Funds Transfer Act, Servicemembers' Civil Relief Act, Telephone Consumer Protection Act, Truth in Lending Act, Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act, and requirements related to unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts or practices.

Many states and local jurisdictions have consumer protection laws analogous to, or in addition to, those listed above. These federal, state, and local laws regulate the manner in which financial institutions deal with customers when making loans or conducting other types of financial transactions.

New legislation and regulation may include changes with respect to consumer financial protection measures and systematic risk oversight authority. Such changes present the risk of financial loss due to regulatory fines or penalties, restrictions or suspensions of business, or costs associated with mandatory corrective action as a result of failure to adhere to applicable laws, regulations, and supervisory guidance. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations could also give rise to regulatory sanctions, customer rescission rights, action by state and local attorneys general, civil or criminal liability, or damage to our reputation, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

## Edgar Filing: Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

In connection with the SEC's review of the Annual Reports on Form 10-K filed by Santander Drive Auto Receivables Trust 2010-1 and Santander Drive Auto Receivables Trust 2010-2 (together, the "2010 Trusts") for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, the 2010 Trusts received a comment from the SEC regarding the applicability to SCUSA, as the servicer of the 2010 Trusts, of certain servicing criteria set forth in Regulation AB relating to the safeguarding of pool assets and related

documentation of the 2010 Trusts. We completed our final response to this comment letter, including amendments to the Form 10-K filings by the 2010 Trusts, in September 2013 and believe there has been no adverse impact on our business.

\*We are subject to certain banking regulations that limit our business activities, including our ability to pay dividends and enter into certain business transactions without the approval of the Federal Reserve.

Because our largest shareholder, SHUSA, is a bank holding company and because we provide third-party services to banks, we are subject to certain banking regulations, including oversight by the Federal Reserve, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and the Bank of Spain. Such banking regulations could limit the activities and the types of businesses that we may conduct. The Federal Reserve has broad enforcement authority over bank holding companies and their subsidiaries. The Federal Reserve could exercise its power to restrict SHUSA from having a non-bank subsidiary that is engaged in any activity that, in the Federal Reserve's opinion, is unauthorized or constitutes an unsafe or unsound business practice, and could exercise its power to restrict us from engaging in any such activity. This power includes the authority to prohibit or limit the payment of dividends if, in the Federal Reserve's opinion, such payment would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice. Moreover, certain banks and bank holding companies, including SHUSA, are required to perform a stress test and submit a capital plan to the Federal Reserve on an annual basis, and to receive a notice of non-objection to the plan from the Federal Reserve before taking capital actions, such as paying dividends, implementing common equity repurchase programs, or redeeming or repurchasing capital instruments. Due to the Federal Reserve's rejection in March 2014 of SHUSA's capital plan, we currently are prohibited from paying dividends until either the Federal Reserve issues a non-objection to SHUSA's next capital plan submission or a non-objection to a planned dividend payment. There can be no assurance when SHUSA will submit a revised capital plan, or whether or when the Federal Reserve will accept SHUSA's capital plan. In addition, we expect to incur higher costs than originally anticipated in connection with ensuring compliance with, and assisting SHUSA in, the CCAR process. The suspension of our ability to pay dividends or other limitations placed on SCUSA by the Federal Reserve or any other regulator and additional costs associated with regulatory compliance could have a material adverse effect on usA and the trading price of our common stock. The Federal Reserve may also impose substantial fines and other penalties for violations that we may commit, and has the authority to approve or disallow acquisitions or other activities we may contemplate, which may limit our future growth plans. To the extent that we are subject to banking regulation, we could be at a competitive disadvantage because some of our competitors are not subject to these limitations.

The Dodd-Frank Act and the creation of the CFPB in addition to recently issued rules and guidance will likely increase our regulatory compliance burden and associated costs.

The Dodd-Frank Act introduced a substantial number of reforms that continue to reshape the structure of the regulation of the financial services industry. In particular, the Dodd-Frank Act includes, among other things, the creation of the CFPB, which is authorized to promulgate and enforce consumer protection regulations relating to financial products and services.

In March 2013, the CFPB issued a bulletin recommending that indirect vehicle lenders, a class that includes us, take steps to monitor and impose controls over dealer markup policies where dealers charge consumers higher interest rates, with the markup shared between the dealer and the lender.

The CFPB is also conducting supervisory audits of large vehicle lenders and has indicated it intends to study and take action with respect to possible ECOA "disparate impact" credit discrimination in indirect vehicle finance. If the CFPB enters into a consent decree with one or more lenders on disparate impact claims, it could negatively impact the business of the affected lenders, and potentially the business of dealers and other lenders in the vehicle finance market. This impact on dealers and lenders could increase our regulatory compliance requirements and associated costs. Unlike competitors that are banks, we are subject to the licensing and operational requirements of states and other jurisdictions and our business would be adversely affected if we lost our licenses.

Because we are not a depository institution, we do not benefit from exemptions to state loan servicing or debt collection licensing and regulatory requirements. To the extent that they exist, we must comply with state licensing and various operational compliance requirements in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. These include, among others, form and content of contracts, other documentation, collection practices and disclosures, and record keeping

requirements. We are sensitive to regulatory changes that may increase our costs through stricter licensing laws, disclosure laws, or increased fees. Currently, we have all required licenses as applicable to do business in all 50 states and the District of Columbia.

In addition, we are subject to periodic examinations by state and other regulators. The states that currently do not provide extensive regulation of our business may later choose to do so. The failure to comply with licensing or permit requirements and other local regulatory requirements could result in significant statutory civil and criminal penalties, monetary damages, attorneys' fees and costs, possible review of licenses, and damage to reputation, brand, and valued customer relationships.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

\*The market price of our common stock may be volatile, which could cause the value of an investment in our common stock to decline.

The market price of our common stock may fluctuate substantially due to a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control, including:

•general market conditions;

•domestic and international economic factors unrelated to our performance;

•actual or anticipated fluctuations in our quarterly operating results;

changes in or failure to meet publicly disclosed expectations as to our future financial performance or ability to pay dividends;

downgrades in securities analysts' estimates of our financial performance or lack of research and reports by industry analysts;

changes in market valuations or earnings of similar companies;

any future sales of our common stock or other securities; and

additions or departures of key personnel.

The stock markets in general have experienced substantial volatility that has often been unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. These types of broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock. In the past, stockholders have sometimes instituted securities class action litigation against companies following periods of volatility in the market price of their securities. Any similar litigation against us could result in substantial costs, divert management's attention and resources, and harm our business or results of operations. For example, we are currently operating in, and have benefited from, a protracted period of historically low interest rates that will not be sustained indefinitely, and future fluctuations in interest rates could cause an increase in volatility of the market price of our common stock.

Certain provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws may have anti-takeover effects, which could limit the price investors might be willing to pay in the future for our common stock. In addition, Delaware law may inhibit takeovers of us and could limit our ability to engage in certain strategic transactions our board of directors believes would be in the best interests of stockholders.

Certain provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws could discourage unsolicited takeover proposals that stockholders might consider to be in their best interests. Among other things, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws include provisions that: do not permit cumulative voting in the election of directors, which would otherwise allow less than a majority of stockholders to elect director candidates;

fix the number of directors and provide that the number of directors may only be changed by an amendment to our bylaws;

limit the ability of our stockholders to nominate candidates for election to our board of directors;

authorize the issuance of "blank check" preferred stock without any need for action by stockholders;

limit the ability of stockholders to call special meetings of stockholders or to act by written consent in lieu of a meeting; and

establish advance notice requirements for nominations for election to our board of directors or for proposing matters that may be acted on by stockholders at stockholder meetings.

The foregoing factors, as well as the significant common stock ownership by SHUSA, could impede a merger, takeover, or other business combination or discourage a potential investor from making a tender offer for our common stock, which, under certain circumstances, could reduce the market value of our common stock.

In addition, Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law (the "DGCL") generally affects the ability of an "interested stockholder" to engage in certain business combinations, including mergers, consolidations, or acquisitions of additional shares, for a period of three years following the time that the stockholder becomes an "interested stockholder." An "interested stockholder" is defined to include persons owning directly or indirectly 15% or more of the outstanding voting stock of a corporation. We elected in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation not to be subject to Section 203 of the DGCL. However, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation contains provisions that have the same effect as Section 203, except that they provide that each of SHUSA and its successors and affiliates and certain of its direct transferees are not deemed to be "interested stockholders," and, accordingly are not subject to such restrictions, as long as it and its affiliates own at least 10% of our outstanding shares of common stock. Our common stock is subordinate to all of our existing and future indebtedness and any preferred stock, and effectively subordinated to all indebtedness and preferred equity claims against our subsidiaries.

Shares of our common stock are common equity interests in us and, as such, rank junior to all of our existing and future indebtedness and other liabilities. Additionally, holders of our common stock may become subject to the prior dividend and liquidation rights of holders of any classes or series of preferred stock that our board of directors may designate and issue without any action on the part of the holders of our common stock. Furthermore, our right to participate in a distribution of assets upon any of our subsidiaries' liquidation or reorganization is subject to the prior claims of that subsidiary's creditors and preferred stockholders.

SHUSA has significant influence over us, including control over decisions that require the approval of stockholders, which could limit your ability to influence the outcome of key transactions, including a change of control. SHUSA owns approximately 60.5% of our common stock, and accordingly has significant influence over us, including and pursuant to the terms of the Shareholders Agreement. Pursuant to the Shareholders Agreement, SHUSA has the right to nominate a majority of our directors, provided certain minimum share ownership thresholds are maintained. Through our board of directors, SHUSA controls our policies and operations, including, among other things, the appointment of management, future issuances of our common stock or other securities, the payment of dividends, if any, on our common stock, the incurrence of debt by us, and the entering into of extraordinary transactions.

In addition, the Shareholders Agreement provides the directors nominated by SHUSA with approval rights over certain specific material actions taken by us, provided certain minimum share ownership thresholds are maintained. These material actions include changes in material accounting policies, changes in material tax policies or positions and changes in our principal line of business.

We are a "controlled company" within the meaning of the NYSE rules and, as a result, will qualify for, and intend to rely on, exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements. You will not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to such requirements.

SHUSA owns a majority of the voting power of our outstanding common stock. As a result, we are a "controlled company" within the meaning of the corporate governance standards. Under these rules, a company of which more than 50% of the voting power is held by an individual, group, or another company is a "controlled company" and may elect not to comply with certain corporate governance requirements, including:

the requirement that a majority of the board of directors consist of independent directors;

the requirement that we have a separate nominating and corporate governance committee that is composed entirely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee's purpose and responsibilities;

the requirement that we have a separate compensation committee that is composed entirely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee's purpose and responsibilities; and the requirement for an annual performance evaluation of the nominating and corporate governance and compensation committees.

We intend to utilize these exemptions. As a result, we will not have a majority of independent directors and we will not have a nominating and corporate governance committee. Additionally, we will not have a compensation committee comprised of a majority of independent directors. Accordingly, you will not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the corporate governance requirements of the NYSE.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

There were no unregistered sales of the Company's common stock during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 3.Defaults upon Senior SecuritiesNone.Item 4.Item 4.Mine Safety DisclosuresNot applicable.Item 5.Other InformationNone.

# Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are included herein:

Exhibit Number	Description			
31.1*	Chief Executive Officer certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			
31.2*	Chief Financial Officer certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			
32.1*	Chief Executive Officer certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			
32.2*	Chief Financial Officer certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document			
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema			
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase			
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase			
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase			
<ul> <li>101.PRE* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase</li> <li>* Furnished herewith.</li> </ul>				

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc. (Registrant)

By:

/s/ Thomas G. Dundon Name: Thomas G. Dundon

Title: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Thomas G. Dundon Thomas G. Dundon	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	August 7, 2014
/s/ Jason Kulas Jason Kulas	President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	August 7, 2014