

CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORP  
Form DEF 14A  
March 13, 2019

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
Filed by the Registrant [X]

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant [ ]

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of filing fee (check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: .....
- 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: .....
- 3) Per unit price of other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): .....
- 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: .....
- 5) Total fee paid: .....

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- 1) Amount Previously Paid: .....
- 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: .....
- 3) Filing Party: .....
- 4) Date Filed: .....





March 13, 2019

To the Shareholders of Cincinnati Financial Corporation:

You are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Cincinnati Financial Corporation, which will take place at 9:30 a.m. on Saturday, April 27, 2019, at the Cincinnati Art Museum, located in Eden Park, Cincinnati, Ohio. The business to be conducted at the meeting includes:

1. Electing 14 directors for one-year terms;
2. Voting on a nonbinding proposal to approve compensation for the company's named executive officers;
3. Ratifying the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2019;
4. Transacting such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Shareholders of record at the close of business on February 28, 2019, are entitled to vote at the meeting.

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please cast your vote as promptly as possible. We encourage convenient online voting, which saves your company significant postage and processing costs. If you prefer, you may submit your vote by telephone or by mail. Detailed voting instructions can be found in the Frequently Asked Questions section on Page 72 of this proxy statement.

Thank you for your interest and participation in the affairs of the company.

/S/ Lisa A. Love  
Lisa A. Love, Esq.  
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

This proxy statement, the Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Letter from the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer and voting instructions were first made available to Cincinnati Financial Corporation shareholders on March 13, 2019.

---

Table of Contents	
Proxy Summary	<u>3</u>
2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders	<u>3</u>
Voting Matters and Board Recommendations	<u>3</u>
2018 Governance Highlights	<u>4</u>
Director Nominees	<u>5</u>
2018 Business and Financial Highlights	<u>6</u>
2018 Executive Compensation Highlights	<u>7</u>
Security Ownership of Principal Shareholders and Management	<u>8</u>
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	<u>11</u>
Information About the Board of Directors	<u>11</u>
Proposal 1 - Election of Directors	<u>12</u>
Directors of Your Company	<u>12</u>
Compensation of Nonemployee Directors	<u>22</u>
Governance of Your Company	<u>24</u>
2018 Governance Highlights	<u>24</u>
Governance Policies and Practices	<u>25</u>
Certain Relationships and Transactions	<u>29</u>
Compensation of Named Executive Officers and Directors	<u>31</u>
Proposal 2 - Say-on-Pay: Advisory Vote on Compensation of Named Executive Officers	<u>31</u>
Report of the Compensation Committee	<u>32</u>
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	<u>32</u>
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	<u>33</u>
Audit-Related Matters	<u>68</u>
Proposal 3 - Ratifying the Selection of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>68</u>
Report of the Audit Committee	<u>68</u>
Fees Billed by the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>70</u>
Services Provided by the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>70</u>
Frequently Asked Questions	<u>72</u>
Conclusion	<u>75</u>
Shareholder Proposals and Important Dates for Next Year	<u>75</u>
Cost of Solicitation	<u>76</u>
Other Business	<u>76</u>
Appendix A - Definitions of Non-GAAP Information and Reconciliation to Comparable GAAP Measures	<u>77</u>

Proxy Summary

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. It does not contain all of the information that you should consider before voting. Please read the entire proxy statement, and for more complete information about the company's 2018 performance, please review the company's 2018 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Date and Time: April 27, 2019, 9:30 a.m. ET

Place: Cincinnati Art Museum, 953 Eden Park Drive, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Record Date: February 28, 2019

Meeting Webcast: [cinfin.com/investors](http://cinfin.com/investors)

Voting Matters and Board Recommendations

Election of Directors (Page 12)

Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation (Page 31)

Ratification of Auditors (Page 68)

Our Board's Recommendation

FOR Each Director Nominee

FOR

FOR

## 2018 Governance Highlights

In 2018, the company continued to follow its strong governance policies and practices to benefit shareholders.

Highlights included:

**Proxy Access** - The board is committed to strong governance. It keeps abreast of developing governance practices and adopts those that it believes are appropriate for our company and its shareholders. In 2018, shareholders approved an amendment to the company's Code of Regulations to allow proxy access for director nominations.

**Majority Voting** - A majority voting standard applies to uncontested elections of directors. The board believes that this voting standard gives shareholders a more meaningful voice in electing our directors and reinforces our commitment to accountability and strong corporate governance practices.

**Cybersecurity** - Cybersecurity is a growing threat for all companies. For the fourth year in a row, the audit committee increased its understanding of the company's cybersecurity risk through reports of testing by third-party experts of the company's cybersecurity program structure and capabilities.

**Board Refreshment and Composition** - Informed by feedback from its annual board self-evaluation, engagement with investors each year and our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the board continuously assesses the composition of the board of directors. Its goal is to balance independence, board size, tenure, and diversity of experience, skills, competencies and other qualities of current directors and future director candidates to best structure and govern itself in the short- and long-term and provide effective oversight of management for the benefit of shareholders.

**Succession Planning** - Succession planning at all levels is important to the long-term success of the company. In 2018, the board continued its practice of attending meetings of the subsidiary boards during which more than a dozen executives provide reports. These meetings provide the directors with opportunities to become familiar with this level of management, assess their development over time and gain in-depth knowledge about the company's operating businesses. Additionally, each year the board discusses potential management succession candidates with the chief executive officer at one of its regular meetings.

## Director Nominees

The following table provides summary information about each director nominee. Complete information about each director's background and experience begins on Page 12. Each director stands for election annually.

Name	Age	Primary Occupation	Independent	Committee Memberships	Other Public Company Boards
William F. Bahl*	67	Chairman, Bahl & Gaynor Investment Counsel Inc.	ü	A, E, I, N (Chair)	0
Gregory T. Bier	72	Managing Partner (Retired), Deloitte LLP	ü	A, I	0
Linda W. Clement-Holmes	56	Chief Information Officer (Retired), The Procter & Gamble Company	ü	A, C, N	0
Dirk J. Debbink	63	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, MSI General Corporation	ü	A, N	0
Steven J. Johnston	59	President and Chief Executive Officer, Cincinnati Financial Corporation		E (Chair), I	0
Kenneth C. Lichtendahl	70	Director of Development and Sales, Heliosphere Designs LLC	ü	A, C	0
W. Rodney McMullen	58	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, The Kroger Co.	ü	C (Chair), E, I	2
David P. Osborn	58	President, Osborn Williams & Donohoe LLC	ü	A, C, I	0
Gretchen W. Price	64	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer (Retired), Arbonne International LLC	ü	A (Chair), C, N	0
Thomas R. Schiff	71	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, John J. & Thomas R. Schiff & Co. Inc.		I	0
Douglas S. Skidmore	56	Chief Executive Officer, Skidmore Sales & Distributing Company Inc.	ü	A, N	0
Kenneth W. Stecher	72	Chairman of the Board, Cincinnati Financial Corporation		E, I (Chair)	0
John F. Steele, Jr.	65	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Hilltop Basic Resources Inc.	ü	A, E	0
Larry R. Webb	63	President, Webb Insurance Agency Inc.		E, I	0

\*Lead Independent Director

A Audit Committee  
 C Compensation Committee  
 E Executive Committee  
 I Investment Committee  
 N Nominating Committee



## 2018 Business and Financial Highlights

In 2018, our industry experienced a second consecutive year of elevated levels of insured losses from hurricanes, wildfires and other catastrophic weather events. It also was a year that ended with a sharp decline in the equity markets. Your company delivered strong operating results and somewhat mixed financial performance that benefited shareholders with one-year and three-year total shareholder returns of 6.2 percent and 43.0 percent, respectively; a 4.4 percent decrease in book value; and a 6.0 percent increase in regular cash dividends declared. This performance generated payouts of annual incentive compensation and performance-based restricted stock units at threshold levels for our named executive officers. Highlights of our company's performance in 2018 included:

A 45 percent increase in underwriting profit to \$186 million and a combined ratio of 96.4 percent for 2018, marking our seventh consecutive year of underwriting profit. In 2018, our efforts to further segment our renewal and new business opportunities with better pricing precision and risk-selection decisions continued to benefit underwriting performance.

An all-time record-level of consolidated property casualty new business written premiums at \$652 million, up 4 percent, driven by new agency appointment contributions.

A 4 percent increase in consolidated property casualty net written premiums to more than \$5 billion in 2018.

- The increase in premiums reflects our growth initiatives, modest average price increases for most lines of business and a higher level of insured exposures.

A \$4 million improvement in life insurance subsidiary net income, largely due to decreased income taxes as a result of tax reform, after factoring out the 2017 \$111 million benefit from revaluation of deferred income taxes due to tax reform.

\$17.516 billion in consolidated cash and invested assets, down 1 percent over the prior year.

A 2 percent increase in pretax investment income to a record \$619 million, net of expenses, reflecting a 6 percent increase in equity portfolio dividends and flat interest income.

A value creation ratio (VCR) of negative 0.1 percent for 2018, exceeding that measure for three of the nine companies in our peer group. Our average annual VCR for the five-year period ending December 31, 2018, is 10.7 percent, within our announced goal of producing an annual average VCR of 10 percent to 13 percent in any five-year period. Additionally, our results allowed the board to continue to reward shareholders with an increase of our regular annual cash dividend for the 58<sup>th</sup> consecutive year. Through the cash dividends paid and share repurchases, we returned \$461 million to shareholders in 2018, and \$1.298 billion during the three years ending December 31, 2018.

## 2018 Executive Compensation Highlights

The named executive officers earned payouts of annual incentive compensation at the threshold level and performance based restricted stock units at the threshold level for the performance period ending December 31, 2018, which included a VCR of -0.1 percent, exceeding three peer companies; and a three-year total shareholder return of 43.0 percent, outperforming four peer companies. Set forth below is the 2018 compensation for each named executive officer as determined under Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules. See the notes accompanying the Summary Compensation Table (SCT) on Page 56 for more information.

Name and Principal Position	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non- Equity Incentive Plan Compensa- tion (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Non- Qualified Deferred Compensa- tion Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensa- tion (\$)	Total Compensa- tion (\$)
Steven J. Johnston Chief Executive Officer & President	1,025,385	—	999,111	849,752	339,900	—	230,462	3,444,610
Jacob F. Scherer, Jr. Chief Insurance Officer	949,152	—	638,786	464,800	185,918	621,358	26,991	2,887,005
Michael J. Sewell Chief Financial Officer	851,218	—	574,408	418,034	167,213	—	150,667	2,161,540
Martin F. Hollenbeck Chief Investment Officer	710,560	—	479,777	348,963	139,582	—	120,935	1,799,817
Martin J. Mullen Chief Claims Officer	616,919	—	418,678	304,423	121,767	104,226	22,883	1,588,896

No changes were made to the structure of the executive compensation program in 2018.

## Security Ownership of Principal Shareholders and Management

Under Section 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act), a beneficial owner of a security is any person who directly or indirectly has or shares voting power or investment authority over such security.

A beneficial owner under this definition need not enjoy the economic benefit of such securities. The following are the only shareholders known to the company who are deemed to be beneficial owners of at least 5 percent of our common stock as of February 28, 2019.

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Footnote Reference of	Percent Class
Common Stock	The Vanguard Group Inc. 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	18,383,549 (1)	(1)	11.27
Common Stock	BlackRock Inc. 55 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10055	13,530,397 (2)	(2)	8.29
Common Stock	State Street Corporation State Street Financial Center One Lincoln Street Boston, MA 02111	9,713,262 (3)	(3)	5.95
Common Stock	Thomas R. Schiff Cincinnati Financial Corporation 6200 South Gilmore Road Fairfield, OH 45014	8,954,808 (4)(5)(6)(7)	(4)(5)(6)(7)	5.49

The outstanding common shares beneficially owned by each other director and our named executive officers and total outstanding shares for all directors and executive officers as a group as of February 28, 2019, are shown below:

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Footnote Reference	Percent of Class
Other Directors and Named Executive Officers			
William F. Bahl, CFA, CIC	231,659	(8)	0.14
Gregory T. Bier, CPA (ret.)	27,259		0.02
Linda W. Clement-Holmes	11,346		0.01
Dirk J. Debbink	30,477		0.02
Martin F. Hollenbeck, CFA, CPCU	111,401	(9)(10)	0.07
Steven J. Johnston, FCAS, MAAA, CFA, CERA	384,552	(9)(10)	0.24
Kenneth C. Lichtendahl	37,069		0.02
W. Rodney McMullen	52,594		0.03
Martin J. Mullen	121,663	(7)(9)	0.07
David P. Osborn, CFA	40,649		0.02
Gretchen W. Price	28,319		0.02
Jacob F. Scherer, Jr.	243,282	(7)(9)	0.15
Michael J. Sewell, CPA	151,574	(7)(9)(10)	0.09
Douglas S. Skidmore	38,198	(11)	0.02
Kenneth W. Stecher	198,156	(7)(9)	0.12
John F. Steele, Jr.	25,467		0.02
Larry R. Webb, CPCU	501,774	(12)	0.31
All directors and nondirector executive officers as a group (27 individuals)	11,680,039	(4)(5)(6)(7)(8) (9)(10)(11)(12)	7.16

Except as otherwise indicated in the notes below, each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the common shares noted.

(1) Reflects ownership as of December 31, 2018, according to Form 13G/A filed by The Vanguard Group Inc. on February 11, 2019.

(2) Reflects ownership as of December 31, 2018, according to Form 13G/A filed by BlackRock Inc. on February 4, 2019.

(3) Reflects ownership as of December 31, 2018, according to Form 13G filed by State Street Corporation on February 11, 2019.

(4) Includes 6,742,046 shares owned of record by the Mary R. Schiff and John J. Schiff Foundation. The trustees are Mr. T. Schiff and his two siblings, John J. Schiff, Jr. and Suzanne S. Reid, who share voting and investment power equally.

(5) Includes 107,186 shares owned of record by the John J. & Thomas R. Schiff & Co. Inc. pension plan, the trustees of which are Messrs. T. Schiff and J. Schiff, Jr., who share voting and investment power; and 124,249 shares owned by John J. & Thomas R. Schiff & Co. Inc. for which Messrs. T. Schiff and J. Schiff, Jr. share voting and investment power.

- (6) Includes 129,218 shares held in Thomas R. Schiff Foundation and 229,190 shares held in TRS Investments LLC., of which Mr. T. Schiff has voting and investment power.  
Includes shares pledged as collateral as of December 31, 2018, in the amounts of; 143,691 for Mr. Scherer;
- (7) 638,200 for Mr. T. Schiff; 30,475 for Mr. Stecher; 60,646 for Mr. Mullen; 39,656 for Mr. Sewell; and 73,192 for the nondirector executive officers as a group.
- (8) Includes 8,821 shares held in the Bahl Family Foundation, of which Mr. Bahl is president.  
Includes shares available within 60 days from exercise of stock options in the amount of 167,136 shares for
- (9) Mr. Johnston; 96,381 for Mr. Scherer; 49,329 shares for Mr. Stecher; 77,437 shares for Mr. Sewell; 49,701 for Mr. Hollenbeck; 55,329 for Mr. Mullen; and 251,427 shares for the nondirector executive officers as a group.  
Includes shares held in the company's nonqualified savings plan for highly compensated associates in the amounts
- (10) of 117,746 shares for Mr. Johnston; 5,016 shares for Mr. Hollenbeck; 12,081 shares for Mr. Sewell; and 10,800 shares for the nondirector executive officers as a group. Individuals participating in this plan do not have the right to vote these shares.

- (11) Includes 7,035 shares owned of record by Skidmore Sales Profit Sharing Plan, of which Mr. Skidmore is an administrator and shares investment authority.
- (12) Includes 186,257 shares owned of record by a limited partnership of which Mr. Webb is a general partner and 43,478 shares owned of record by a marital trust for the benefit of his wife and children.

#### Section 16(A) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Directors, executive officers and 10 percent shareholders are required to report their beneficial ownership of our stock according to Section 16 of the Exchange Act. Those individuals are required by SEC regulations to furnish the company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

SEC regulations require us to identify in this proxy statement anyone who filed a required report late during the most recent calendar year. Based on our review of forms we received or written representations from reporting persons stating that they were not required to file these forms, we believe that all Section 16(a) filing requirements were satisfied on a timely basis during calendar year 2018.

#### Information About the Board of Directors

The mission of the board is to encourage, facilitate and foster the long-term success of Cincinnati Financial Corporation. The board oversees management in the performance of the company's obligations to our independent agents, policyholders, associates, communities and suppliers in a manner consistent with the company's mission and with the board's responsibility to shareholders to achieve the highest sustainable shareholder value over the long term.

#### Proposal 1 - Election of Directors

Directors are elected annually. The board of directors currently consists of 14 directors, 10 of whom are determined to be independent by the board, according to the definition of independence specified in the Nasdaq listing requirements. On November 16, 2018, the board, upon the recommendation of its nominating committee, unanimously nominated the 14 directors listed below for re-election to the board at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

The directors elected at the Annual Meeting will hold office until the 2020 Annual Meeting and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. Unless otherwise instructed, the persons named in the proxy card (the proxy holders) attached to this proxy statement, as filed with the SEC, intend to vote the proxies held by them for the election of the 14 nominees named below. The board of directors knows of no reason why these nominees should be unable or unwilling to serve, but if that should be the case, proxies received will be voted for the election of such other persons, if any, as the board of directors may designate.

#### Vote Required

Director nominees receiving more votes cast for their election than against will be elected directors of the company. Abstentions and broker nonvotes have no effect on the voting for this proposal.

The board of directors recommends a vote FOR William F. Bahl, Gregory T. Bier, Linda W. Clement-Holmes, Dirk J. Debbink, Steven J. Johnston, Kenneth C. Lichtendahl, W. Rodney McMullen, David P. Osborn, Gretchen W. Price, Thomas R. Schiff, Douglas S. Skidmore, Kenneth W. Stecher, John F. Steele, Jr. and Larry R. Webb as directors to hold office until the 2020 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and until their successors are elected and seated.

#### Directors of Your Company

Each of our directors brings to our board extensive management and leadership experience gained through their service as executives and, in several cases, chief executive officers of diverse businesses. In these executive roles, they have taken hands-on, day-to-day responsibility for strategy and operations, including management of capital, risk and business cycles. In addition, most current directors bring public company board experience – either significant experience on other boards or long service on our board – that broadens their knowledge of board policies and processes, rules and regulations, and issues and solutions. Further, each director has civic and community involvement that mirrors our company's values emphasizing personal service, relationships and local decision making. The nominating committee's process to recommend qualified director candidates is described on Page 27 under Director Nomination Considerations and Process.

The biographies of our current directors, including their names, ages, the year first elected as a director, their present positions, principal occupations and public company directorships held in the past five or more years begin on Page 13. For each director, we also describe specific individual qualifications and skills that contribute to the overall effectiveness of our board and its committees.



Biographical Information About Our Directors

(Data as of February 28, 2019)

William F. Bahl

CFA, CIC

Age: 67

Director since 1995

Independent Lead Director

Committees: Nominating (chair), Audit,

Executive, Investment

Insurance Subsidiary Director

Mr. Bahl is chairman of the board of Cincinnati-based Bahl & Gaynor Investment Counsel Inc. Prior to co-founding Bahl & Gaynor in 1990, he was senior vice president and chief investment officer at Northern Trust Company in Chicago, having previously worked for Fifth Third Bank and Mellon Bank.

Mr. Bahl's independent registered investment advisory firm performs financial analysis of publicly held securities, advising and managing portfolios for high net worth individuals and institutional clients. His expertise helps support the board's oversight of our investment operations, which continue to be our main source of profits. His familiarity with public company governance structures and policies beyond our own contributes to full discussion and evaluation of our options.

Former Public Company Directorships

LCA-Vision Inc. (2005-2014)

Selected Directorships and Memberships

Nonprofit boards benefiting parks, schools, a hospital association and youth organizations

Gregory T. Bier

CPA (Ret.)

Age: 72

Director since 2006

Committees: Audit, Compensation, Investment

Insurance Subsidiary Director

Mr. Bier was the managing partner of the Cincinnati office of Deloitte LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, from 1998 to 2002. He retired in 2002 after 23 years as a partner of the firm and 35 years of service, beginning in 1967 when he joined Haskins & Sells, which later became part of Deloitte.

Mr. Bier brings to our board relevant experience with accounting and financial reporting, SEC filings, complex corporate transactions and mergers and acquisitions for public companies, including Fifth Third Bancorp, The Procter & Gamble Company, The Midland Company, Cincinnati Financial Corporation and The E.W. Scripps Company.

Former Public Company Directorships

LifePoint Health Inc. (2008-2016)

Selected Directorships and Memberships

Nonprofit boards benefiting several high schools, colleges, social services and civic organizations

Linda W. Clement-Holmes

Age: 56

Director since 2010

Committees: Audit, Compensation, Nominating

Ms. Clement-Holmes was chief information officer of publicly traded The Procter & Gamble Company from 2015 to 2017. She retired from Procter & Gamble on January 31, 2018, following a 35-year career. As Procter & Gamble's chief information officer, Ms. Clement-Holmes led the entire global information technology organization (2,500 IT professionals), set strategic direction and drove technology innovation. From 2010 to 2014, she was senior vice president of Global Business Services and also served as chief diversity officer from 2010 to 2012.

Ms. Clement-Holmes built her expertise in leveraging emerging business technologies to support speed and innovation during her career at Procter & Gamble. Her aptitude and accomplishments in these areas help our board to effectively evaluate our business processes and technology initiatives, supporting alignment of those initiatives with our strategic goals.

#### Selected Directorships and Memberships

Member, IT Senior Management Forum

(2000-present)

Board Member, CincyTech (2016-present)

Member, Chief Information Officer Strategy Exchange (2015-2017)

Board Member, Cintrifuse (2016-2017)

Nonprofit boards benefiting women, families and child care, educational and civic organizations, professional IT organizations and the American Heart Association

Dirk J. Debbink

Age: 63

Director since 2012

Committees: Audit, Nominating

Mr. Debbink is chairman since 2007 and chief executive officer since 2012 of MSI General Corporation, a privately owned design/build construction firm. He joined MSI General in 1983, holding various positions of increasing leadership responsibility and serving as the company's president from 1991 to 2007.

Mr. Debbink has served as a leader of organizations ranging from small firms typical of the company's commercial policyholders to extremely large organizations, including Reserve Deputy Commander of U.S. Pacific Fleet (170,000 sailors) and Commander, Navy Reserve Force (64,000 sailors). While on active duty with the U.S. Navy, he served as a senior member of the staff of the Chief of Naval Operations in the Pentagon. He has extensive experience in strategic planning and execution, sales, marketing, information technology for a worldwide dispersed workforce, human resources, including pension and profit-sharing plans, and government relationships at the federal level.

#### Selected Directorships and Memberships

Board Member, U.S. Naval Institute

(2012-present)

Board Member, Fisher House Wisconsin

(2014-present)

Board Member, Froedtert Health System

(2014-present)



Steven J. Johnston

FCAS, MAAA, CFA, CERA

Age: 59

Director since 2011

Chief Executive Officer and President

Committees: Executive (chair), Investment

Insurance Subsidiary Director

Mr. Johnston has been chief executive officer of the company and its U.S. subsidiaries, and president of the company and its lead subsidiary, The Cincinnati Insurance Company, since 2011. From 2008 to 2011, he was chief financial officer, senior vice president and secretary for both the company and The Cincinnati Insurance Company, and treasurer of the company.

As chief executive officer of Cincinnati Financial Corporation, Mr. Johnston provides the board with information gained from hands-on management of our operations, identifying our near term and long-term challenges, opportunities and strategies. His management and actuarial expertise and his experience driving technology and efficiency improvements combine with his strong communication skills to aid in his role as liaison between the board and the company management team.

#### Selected Directorships and Memberships

Member, American Academy of Actuaries

(1987-present)

Fellow, Casualty Actuarial Society (1990-present)

Chartered Financial Analyst (1997-present)

Chartered Enterprise Risk Analyst

(2013-present)

Kenneth C. Lichtendahl

Age: 70

Director since 1988

Committees: Audit, Compensation

Mr. Lichtendahl is the director of development and sales for Heliosphere Designs LLC, a private company marketing solar timepieces. From 2011 to 2012, he served as a senior adviser for Nestle Waters of North America, following Nestle's acquisition of Sweet Leaf Tea, which had acquired Tradewinds Beverage Company in 2010. From 1996 to 2010, Mr. Lichtendahl was president and a director of Tradewinds, a privately owned, Cincinnati-based company formed following the sale of Hudepohl-Schoenling.

Mr. Lichtendahl's years of service on our board and audit committee supports institutional continuity with company and industry knowledge accumulated through all phases of industry and economic cycles and through our expansion over that period. He brings valuable insights gained in developing customer relationships, ethical practices, high-quality staff and product differentiation that helped turn his company, Hudepohl-Schoenling Brewing Co., into the 10<sup>th</sup> largest brewer in the United States before its sale in 1996.

#### Selected Directorships and Memberships

Nonprofit boards benefiting youth, civic and conservation organizations



W. Rodney McMullen

Age: 58

Director since 2001

Committees: Compensation (chair), Executive, Investment

Insurance Subsidiary Director

Mr. McMullen has been chairman of the board of The Kroger Co. since January 2015 and chief executive officer since 2014. Kroger is a publicly traded, Cincinnati-based company and the world's third-largest retailer. He served as Kroger's president and chief operating officer from 2009 through 2013.

Mr. McMullen has worked with Kroger's board on business strategy initiatives and transactions, including business model transformation, mergers and acquisitions, divestitures and management transitions. His daily experience leading a large public company equips him to understand and guide management decisions and actions related to planning, risk management, investor relations, marketing and capital management.

Other Public Company Directorships

Chairman of the Board, The Kroger Co.

(2015-present)

Board Member, VF Corporation (2016-present)

Selected Directorships and Memberships

Board Member, Cincinnati Center City Development Corporation (2014-present)

Board Member, Cincinnati Business Committee (2015-present)

Board Member, Global Standards 1 (2007-2014)

Board Member, 1WorldSync (2012-2014)

David P. Osborn

CFA

Age: 58

Director since 2013

Committees: Audit, Investment

Insurance Subsidiary Director

Since 2012, Mr. Osborn has been president of Cincinnati-based Osborn Williams & Donohoe LLC. He joined its predecessor firm in 1993, becoming a partner in 2010.

Mr. Osborn draws on more than 30 years of experience as an investment professional to lead his independent investment advisory firm's dividend growth strategy team. This dividend growth strategy mirrors our own investment strategy, supporting investment committee decisions. His experiences building relationships and setting long-term, strategic business plans enhance board discussions of our company's long-term outlook and strategic planning activities.

Selected Directorships and Memberships

Board Member, Cincinnati Children's Hospital (2016-present)

Trustee, Greater Cincinnati Foundation

(2017-present)

Nonprofit boards benefiting arts, education, youth services and the care of adults with neurological disorders



Gretchen W. Price

Age: 64

Director since 2002

Committees: Audit (chair), Compensation, Nominating

Ms. Price retired June 14, 2018, from Arbonne International LLC, a beauty and nutritional product company headquartered in Irvine, California. As executive vice president and chief financial and administrative officer of the firm since 2011, she led the firm's financial, accounting, strategy and business planning, operations, information technology, human resources and international functions. She was executive vice president and chief financial officer from 2008 to 2011 of Philosophy Inc., an international, prestige beauty brand based in Phoenix, Arizona.

Ms. Price's executive positions have developed her expertise in areas of focus for our board, including accounting, auditing and financial reporting, investor relations, capital management, human resources, information technology, strategic planning and business planning. Board discussions and decisions benefit from her knowledge of customer relationship management and distribution chains.

Other Public Company Directorships

Board Member, Beam Inc. (2012-2015)

Selected Directorships and Memberships

Nonprofit boards benefiting fine arts, music, human service programs and student scholarships

Thomas R. Schiff

Age: 71

Director since 1975

Committees: Investment

Insurance Subsidiary Director

Mr. Schiff has been chairman and chief executive officer since 1996 and a director and an agent with John J. & Thomas R. Schiff & Co. Inc., a privately owned, Cincinnati-based independent insurance agency. He was the agency's president from 1983 to 1996. He also is chief executive officer and chairman of Lightborne Properties and Lightborne Communications, privately owned media companies based in the Cincinnati area.

Mr. Schiff's experience on our board helps provide ongoing insight into how we are serving our primary customer, the independent insurance agent. He contributes to board assessments of the impacts of our decisions on agency operations, including sales, claims, professional advising and financial management. Additionally, he brings the perspective of a large shareholder to our board discussions and decisions.

Selected Directorships and Memberships

Nonprofit boards benefiting fine and performing arts, arts education, a hospital, children's dental services and general philanthropy



Douglas S. Skidmore

Age: 56

Director since 2004

Committees: Audit, Nominating

Mr. Skidmore has been chief executive officer since 2003 and director since 1994 of Skidmore Sales & Distributing Company Inc., a privately owned, Cincinnati-based, full-service independent distributor and broker of quality industrial food ingredients. He was president from 1994 to 2013 and marketing manager from 1990 to 1994.

Mr. Skidmore has been responsible in his executive roles for strategic direction, marketing, human resources and overall growth and performance of his second-generation family business, which shares many characteristics with our typical commercial policyholders. In addition to providing a policyholder view of our products and services, he has management experience that equips him to contribute to the board's oversight of business processes and technology initiatives.

#### Selected Directorships and Memberships

Member, Institute of Food Technologists

(1990-present)

Board Member, Athletes in Action (2013-present)

Board Member, Cincinnati Opera (2018-present)

Trustee, Food Ingredient Distributors Association (2005-2015)

Kenneth W. Stecher

Age: 72

Director since 2008

Chairman of the Board

Committees: Investment (chair), Executive

Insurance Subsidiary Chairman

From 2008 to 2011, Mr. Stecher was president and chief executive officer of the company and its lead subsidiary, The Cincinnati Insurance Company. For both companies, he was chief financial officer from 2001 to 2008 and executive vice president from 2006 to 2008. He also was chairman of the lead subsidiary from 2006 to 2008. He served as senior vice president for both companies until 2006, beginning in 1999 for the company and in 1997 for its lead subsidiary. Mr. Stecher facilitates and guides the business of the board, supporting its effectiveness with his deep knowledge of the company as well as industry challenges and opportunities. Over his long tenure in management, he was responsible for operations and capital management. He served as our face to the analyst and investor communities and as our corporate secretary conversant in governance issues and trends.

#### Selected Directorships and Memberships

Trustee, American Institute for Chartered Property Casualty Underwriters (2009-present)

Nonprofit boards benefiting Cincinnati-area high schools, college institutions, the United Way of Greater Cincinnati and Prevent Blindness Ohio

John F. Steele, Jr.

Age: 65

Director since 2005

Committees: Audit, Executive

Property Casualty Insurance Subsidiary Director

Mr. Steele is chairman since 2004, chief executive officer since 1994 and a director since 1985 of Hilltop Basic Resources Inc., a privately owned, Cincinnati-based aggregates and ready-mix concrete supplier to the construction industry.

Mr. Steele has provided his firm with corporate oversight and strategic direction of all aspects of business ownership, operations and customer relationships. He brings to our board a policyholder perspective, including intimate knowledge of family-run corporations and the construction industry, which is the source of approximately 40 percent of our commercial general liability insurance premiums.

#### Selected Directorships and Memberships

Board Member, National Stone, Sand & Gravel Association (2001-2010; 2013-present)

Board Member, Lykins Companies Inc.

(2012-present)

Board Member, Smook Bros. Inc. (2006-2010)

Board Member, Down-Lite International (2015-2016)

Larry R. Webb

CPCU

Age: 63

Director since 1979

Committees: Executive, Investment

Insurance Subsidiary Director

Mr. Webb has been president since 1994 and director since 1980 of Webb Insurance Agency Inc., a privately owned, independent insurance agency based in Lima, Ohio. Prior to becoming president, he was treasurer of the agency from 1981 to 1994. He has been a licensed insurance agent since 1977.

Mr. Webb brings to our board his insights as a principal owner of an independent insurance agency, with duties in financial management and accounting oversight, information technology, human resources, sales and marketing, risk management and relationship development with insurance companies and clients. His long tenure on our board and as a large shareholder, as well as his agency's representation of our products and services since 1951, brings the board deep institutional knowledge, promoting continuity of the agent-centered mission and values essential to our business model. His agency does not advise the company on our insurance needs or sell insurance products or services to the company.

#### Selected Directorships and Memberships

Board Member, SWD Corporation (2010-present)

Nonprofit boards benefiting cancer research, an airport authority, hospital and cultural organizations



### Committees of the Board and Meetings

There are five standing committees of the board: audit, compensation, executive, investment and nominating. Each committee operates pursuant to a written charter adopted by the board, copies of which are posted on [cinfm.com/investors](http://cinfm.com/investors). Each year the board considers changes to the charters recommended by each committee, if any, and reapproves them.

The following table summarizes the current membership of the board and each of its committees, as well as the number of times the board and each committee met during 2018:

	Board	Audit	Compensation	Executive	Investment	Nominating
Mr. Bahl	X	X		X	X	Chair
Mr. Bier	X	X			X	
Ms. Clement-Holmes	X	X	X			X
Mr. Debbink	X	X				X
Mr. Johnston	X			Chair	X	
Mr. Lichtendahl	X	X	X			
Mr. McMullen	X		Chair	X	X	
Mr. Osborn	X	X	X		X	
Ms. Price	X	Chair	X			X
Mr. T. Schiff	X				X	
Mr. Skidmore	X	X				X
Mr. Stecher	Chair			X	Chair	
Mr. Steele, Jr.	X	X		X		
Mr. Webb	X			X	X	
Number of 2018 meetings	7	4	4	4	6	3

Board members are expected to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, all meetings of the board and the meetings of committees of which they are a member. In 2018, all directors attended the Annual Meeting of Shareholders and at least 93 percent of the meetings indicated above for the board and committees of which they were members.

The annual meeting of directors is held immediately following the Annual Meeting of Shareholders at the same location. The board of directors will review committee assignments at its meeting on April 27, 2019.

**Audit Committee** - The audit committee oversees the process of accounting and financial reporting, audits and financial statements of the company. The report of the audit committee begins on Page 68.

All of the members of the audit committee are believed to meet the Nasdaq criteria for independence and audit committee membership and the independence criteria of Section 10A-3 of the Exchange Act. Further, Mr. Bahl, Mr. Bier, Mr. Osborn and Ms. Price qualify as financial experts according to the SEC definition and meet the standards established by Nasdaq for financial expertise.

Compensation Committee - The compensation committee discharges the responsibility of the board of directors relating to compensation of the company's directors, its executive officers and its internal audit officer. The committee also administers the company's stock compensation and annual incentive compensation plans. The report of the compensation committee begins on Page 32.

All of the members of the compensation committee are believed to meet the Nasdaq criteria for independence and qualify as "nonemployee directors" for purposes of Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act. They also are believed to meet the definition of "outside directors" for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (Section 162(m)) as respects performance-based compensation granted prior to November 2, 2017.

Executive Committee - The executive committee exercises the powers of the board of directors in the management of the business and affairs of the company between meetings of the board of directors. Independence requirements do not apply to the executive committee.

Investment Committee - The investment committee provides oversight of the policies and procedures of the investment department of the company and its subsidiaries and reviews the invested assets of the company. The objective of the committee is to oversee the management of the portfolio to ensure the long-term security of the company. Independence requirements do not apply to the investment committee.

Nominating Committee - The nominating committee identifies, recruits and recommends qualified candidates for election as directors and officers of the company and as directors of its subsidiaries. The committee also nominates directors for committee membership. Further, the committee oversees the corporate governance and compliance policies of the company. Information about the director nomination process begins on Page 27.

All of the members of the nominating committee are believed to meet the Nasdaq criteria for independence.

### Compensation of Nonemployee Directors

In 2018, nonemployee directors were compensated for their board service as follows:

Annual Cash Retainer	\$40,000
Annual Stock Retainer	\$40,000
Chairman Annual Cash Retainer	\$50,000
Lead Director Annual Cash Retainer	\$25,000
Independent Committee Chair Cash Retainer	\$10,000
	\$4,500 per board meeting
Meeting Fees - Cash	\$1,500 per committee meeting (except investment committee)
	\$6,000 per investment committee meeting
	\$7,500 maximum per day
Meeting Fees - Stock	Matches cash meeting fees up to maximum of \$60,000 per year

The Committee grants the stock awards for each director's prior year's board service at its first scheduled meeting each calendar year. See Stock-Based Award Grant Practices, Page 48. Amounts shown in the Stock Awards column of the 2018 Director Compensation table reflect grants awarded under the 2009 Stock Plan at the Committee's meeting on January 31, 2019, for board service in 2018.

The company also provides outside directors with life insurance, personal umbrella liability insurance and spouse travel and meals for certain business events. See Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits, Page 49, for details about these benefits. Amounts contained in the All Other Compensation column of the 2018 Director Compensation table reflect the aggregate cost of these individual benefits.

The company does not provide outside directors with retirement benefits, benefits under health and welfare plans or compensation in any form not described above, nor does it have any agreement with any director to make charitable donations in the director's name.

## 2018 Director Compensation (1)

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) (2)	All Other Compensation (\$) (3)	Total (\$)
William F. Bahl	168,000	100,052	10,803	278,855
Gregory T. Bier	118,000	100,052	10,020	228,072
Linda Clement-Holmes	88,000	88,049	2,196	178,245
Dirk J. Debbink	82,000	82,008	10,123	174,131
Kenneth C. Lichtendahl	79,000	79,027	9,839	167,866
W. Rodney McMullen	129,500	100,052	1,692	231,244
David P. Osborn	113,500	100,052	8,799	222,351
Gretchen W. Price	98,000	88,049	1,239	187,288
Thomas R. Schiff	107,500	100,052	1,568	209,120
Douglas S. Skidmore	82,000	82,008	12,094	176,102
Kenneth W. Stecher	169,500	100,052	1,421	270,973
John F. Steele, Jr.	97,000	97,072	2,064	196,136
Larry R. Webb	116,500	100,052	9,764	226,316

Mr. Johnston is a director and the chief executive officer and president of the company. Compensation for Mr. Johnston is shown in the Summary Compensation Table and supporting disclosure beginning on Page 56. Mr. Johnston receives no additional compensation for his service as a director.

Stock awards for nonemployee directors under the Cincinnati Financial Corporation Non-Employee Directors Stock Plan of 2009 were valued at fair market value determined by the average of the high and low sales price on Nasdaq on January 31, 2019, the date of grant, times the number of shares awarded. The per share fair market value on January 31, 2019, was \$80.56. The number of shares granted to directors reported in this column were: 1,242 to Mr. Bahl; 1,242 to Mr. Bier; 1,093 to Ms. Clement-Holmes; 1,018 to Mr. Debbink; 981 to Mr. Lichtendahl; 1,242 to Mr. McMullen; 1,242 to Mr. Osborn; 1,093 to Ms. Price; 1,242 to Mr. T. Schiff; 1,018 to Mr. Skidmore; 1,242 shares to Mr. Stecher; 1,205 to Mr. Steele; and 1,242 to Mr. Webb.

For Mr. Bahl, includes premiums in the amount of \$275 paid for life insurance for the benefit of the director and perquisites in the amount of \$10,528, which includes \$8,012 for the incremental additional cost of spouse travel and meals for business events to which spouses are invited and premiums in the amount of \$2,516 for a personal umbrella liability policy.

For Mr. Skidmore, includes premiums in the amount of \$275 paid for life insurance for the benefit of the director and perquisites in the amount of \$11,819, which includes \$10,323 for the incremental additional cost of spouse travel and meals for business events to which spouses are invited and premiums in the amount of \$1,496 for a personal umbrella liability policy.

For all of the other directors, amounts shown in this column include premiums in the amount of \$275 paid for life insurance for the benefit of the director and perquisites in an aggregate amount less than \$10,000 for one or more of the types described in Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits, Page 49.

## Governance of Your Company

### 2018 Governance Highlights

In 2018, the company continued to follow its strong governance policies and practices to benefit shareholders.

Highlights included:

**Proxy Access** - The board is committed to strong governance. It keeps abreast of developing governance practices and adopts those that it believes are appropriate for our company and its shareholders. In 2018, shareholders approved an amendment to the company's Code of Regulations to allow proxy access for director nominations.

- **Majority Voting** - A majority voting standard applies to uncontested elections of directors. The board believes that this voting standard gives shareholders a more meaningful voice in electing our directors and reinforces our commitment to accountability and strong corporate governance practices.

**Cybersecurity** - Cybersecurity is a growing threat for all companies. For the fourth year in a row, the audit committee increased its understanding of the company's cybersecurity risk through reports of testing by third-party experts of the company's cybersecurity program structure and capabilities.

**Board Refreshment and Composition** - Informed by feedback from its annual board self-evaluation, engagement with investors each year and our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the board continuously assesses the composition of the board of directors. Its goal is to balance independence, board size, tenure, and diversity of experience, skills, competencies and other qualities of current directors and future director candidates to best structure and govern itself in the short- and long-term and provide effective oversight of management for the benefit of shareholders.

**Succession Planning** - Succession planning at all levels is important to the long-term success of the company. In 2018, the board continued its practice of attending meetings of the subsidiary boards during which more than a dozen executives provide reports. These meetings provide the directors with opportunities to become familiar with this level of management, assess their development over time and gain in-depth knowledge about the company's operating businesses. Additionally, each year the board discusses potential management succession candidates with the chief executive officer at one of its regular meetings.



### Governance Policies and Practices

Our primary governance policies and practices are set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers and Code of Conduct applicable to all associates of the company. The nominating committee reviews these documents annually and, when appropriate, recommends changes for the board's consideration and approval. These guidelines and codes are available on [cinfin.com/investors](http://cinfin.com/investors).

Certain of the board's governance policies and practices are summarized below:

**Code of Conduct** - Our Code of Conduct applies to the company and its U.S. subsidiaries and all of their associates, including officers and directors. It establishes ethical standards for a variety of topics, including complying with laws and regulations, observing blackout periods for trading in the company's securities, accepting and giving gifts, handling conflicts of interest, handling the company's confidential information and personal data of consumers, and reporting illegal or unethical behavior.

**Governance Hotline** - Our audit committee oversees a governance hotline for the reporting of concerns about the company's auditing, accounting and financial reporting activities. Callers can remain anonymous or identify themselves. The hotline is maintained by a third-party vendor. Reports of all calls are reported to the audit committee.

**Board Leadership and Executive Sessions** - The chairman of the board presides at all meetings of the board of directors. The chairman is appointed on an annual basis by at least a majority vote of the other directors. Currently, the offices of chairman of the board and chief executive officer are separated. The company has no fixed policy with respect to the separation of the offices of the chairman of the board and chief executive officer. The board believes that the separation of the offices of the chairman of the board and chief executive officer is part of the succession planning process and that it is in the best interests of the company to make this determination from time to time.

When the chairman of the board is not an independent director, the board appoints the chairman of the nominating committee as the board's lead independent director. The company's Corporate Governance Guidelines describe the authority and duties of the lead director. These include chairing the executive sessions of board meetings without management present, facilitating the communication between the independent directors and management on matters of interest and participating in the preparation of meeting agendas and materials sent to directors. The independent directors meet in executive session, without management present, at every regularly scheduled meeting of the board of directors.

**Stock Ownership Guidelines** - Our directors and officers are subject to stock ownership guidelines that set targets for levels of ownership at a multiple of the officer's salary or director's meeting fees. As of December 31, 2018, all of our directors and executive officers were in compliance with the guidelines. Director and Officer Ownership Guidelines are available on [cinfin.com/investors](http://cinfin.com/investors).

**Risk Management** - The board believes that oversight of our risk management efforts is the responsibility of the entire board. It views enterprise risk management as an integral part of our strategic planning process. The subject of risk management is a recurring agenda item for which the board receives a report at each regularly scheduled board meeting from the chief risk officer, including in-person reports twice each year. The chief risk officer has direct access to all members of the board of directors.

Additionally, the charters of certain of the board's committees assign oversight responsibility for particular areas of risk. For example, our audit committee oversees management of risks related to accounting, auditing and financial reporting, maintaining effective internal controls for financial reporting and cybersecurity. Our nominating committee oversees risk associated with our corporate governance and legal, regulatory and other nonfinancial compliance activities. Our compensation committee oversees the risk related to our executive compensation plans and arrangements. Our investment committee oversees the risks related to managing our investment portfolio. All of these risks are discussed with the entire board in the ordinary course of the chairperson's report of committee activities at regular board meetings.

**Board Evaluations** - The board annually conducts a self-evaluation. Led by the lead independent director, feedback from individual directors is reviewed and discussed first by the nominating committee and then with the entire board. These discussions include specific governance topics such as director tenure, board refreshment and composition as well as the diversity of experience, skills, competencies and other qualities of current directors and future director candidates. The board intends for this annual process to help inform its decisions about how to best structure and govern itself in the short- and long-term enabling it to provide effective oversight of the company for the benefit of shareholders.

**Director Independence** - Each year, based on all relevant facts and circumstances, the board determines which directors satisfy the criteria for independence. To be found independent, a director must not have a material relationship with the company, either directly or indirectly as a partner (other than a limited partner), controlling shareholder or executive officer of another organization that has a relationship with the company that could affect the director's ability to exercise independent judgment.

Directors deemed independent are believed to satisfy the definitions of independence required by the rules and regulations of the SEC and the listing standards of Nasdaq. The board has determined that these directors and nominees meet the applicable criteria for independence as of February 1, 2019: William F. Bahl, Gregory T. Bier, Linda W. Clement-Holmes, Dirk J. Debbink, Kenneth C. Lichtendahl, W. Rodney McMullen, David P. Osborn, Gretchen W. Price, Douglas S. Skidmore and John F. Steele, Jr. A majority, 10 of our current 14 directors, meet the applicable criteria for independence under Nasdaq listing standards.

**Board Structure and Tenure** - The board balances its independence, size and tenure. Over time and without unnecessary disruption, its goal is to achieve a board with at least 75 percent of its directors qualifying as independent. The insurance business is complex, requiring new directors to develop a deep understanding of both our insurance operations and investment activities. Once this knowledge is acquired, the board expects that these directors will continue their board service for a significant period. As a consequence, the board expects the average tenure of its independent directors to be higher than average.

Director Nomination Considerations and Process - The nominating committee considers many factors when determining the eligibility of candidates for nomination as director. The committee does not have a diversity policy; however, the committee's goal is to nominate candidates from a broad range of experiences and backgrounds who can contribute to the board's overall effectiveness in meeting its mission. The committee is charged with identifying nominees with certain characteristics:

• Demonstrated character and integrity

• An ability to work with others

• Sufficient time to devote to the affairs of the company

• Specific skills and experiences that enhance the board's diversity and acumen

• Willingness to enter into a long-term association with the company, in keeping with the company's overall business strategy

The nominating committee also considers the needs of the board in accounting and finance, business judgment, management, industry knowledge, leadership and such other areas as the board deems appropriate. The committee further considers factors included in the Corporate Governance Guidelines that might preclude nomination or renomination, including service on other public company boards. When a director is considering service on another public company's board, that director notifies the chairman of the board and the chairman of the nominating committee. Each year, when considering a director for renomination for election to the board, the nominating committee considers a director's service on other public company boards, weighing the potential benefit to our company against any potential negative impact of such service.

In particular, the nominating committee seeks to support our unique, agent-centered business model. The committee believes that the board should include a variety of individuals and should include independent insurance agents who bring a special knowledge of policyholders and agents in the communities where we do business.

Potential board nominees generally are identified by referral. The nominating committee follows a five-part process to evaluate nominees for director. The committee first performs an initial screening that includes reviewing background information on the candidates, evaluating their qualifications against the criteria set forth in the company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and the skills and competencies that may be identified by the committee or the board as desirable in future director candidates. As it believes is appropriate, the committee may discuss the potential candidates with the individual or individuals making the referrals. Second, for candidates who qualify for additional consideration, the committee interviews the potential nominees as to their background, business acumen, interests and potential commitment to the company and its operating philosophy. Third, the committee may seek references from sources identified by the candidates as well as sources known to the committee members. Fourth, the committee may ask other members of the board for their input. Finally, the committee develops a list of nominees who exhibit the characteristics desired of directors that satisfy the needs of the board.

The nominating committee will consider candidates recommended by shareholders. Shareholders wishing to propose a candidate for consideration may provide information about such a candidate in writing to the secretary of the company, giving the candidate's name, biographical data and qualifications, and emphasizing the characteristics set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines available on [cinfin.com/investors](http://cinfin.com/investors). Preferably, any such referral would contain sufficient information to enable the committee to preliminarily screen the referred candidate for the needs of the board, if any, in accounting and finance, business judgment, management, industry knowledge, leadership and the board's independence requirements.

Since the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, no fees were paid to any third party to identify, evaluate or assist in identifying and evaluating potential nominees.

Communicating with the Board - Shareholders may direct a communication to board members by sending it to the attention of the corporate secretary of the company, Cincinnati Financial Corporation, P.O. Box 145496, Cincinnati, Ohio, 45250-5496. The company and board of directors have not established a formal process for determining whether all shareholder communication received by the corporate secretary will be forwarded to directors. The board welcomes shareholder communication and has instructed the corporate secretary to use reasonable criteria to determine whether correspondence should be forwarded. The board believes that correspondence has been and will continue to be forwarded appropriately. However, exceptions may occur, and the board does not intend to provide management with instructions that limit its ability to make reasonable business decisions. Examples of exceptions would be routine items such as requests for publicly available information that can be provided by company associates; vendor solicitations that appear to be mass-directed to board members of a number of companies; or correspondence that raises issues related to specific company transactions (insurance policies or claims) where there may be privacy concerns or other issues.

In some circumstances, the board anticipates that management would provide the board or board members with summary information regarding correspondence.

### Certain Relationships and Transactions

The audit committee follows a written policy for review and approval of transactions involving the company and related persons, defined as directors and executive officers or their immediate family members, or shareholders owning 5 percent or more of our outstanding common shares. The policy covers any related-party transaction that meets the minimum threshold for disclosure in the proxy statement under the relevant SEC rules, generally transactions involving amounts exceeding \$120,000 in which a related person has a direct or indirect material interest.

As it examines individual transactions for approval, the committee considers:

- ♣Whether the transaction creates a conflict of interest or would violate the company's Code of Conduct
- ♣Whether the transaction would impair the independence of a director
- ♣Whether the transaction would be fair
- ♣Any other factor the committee deems appropriate

Consideration of transactions with related parties is a regular item on the audit committee's agenda. Most of the transactions fall into the categories of standard agency contracts with directors who are principals of independent insurance agencies that sell our insurance products or with directors and executive officers who purchase our insurance products on the same terms as such products are offered to the public. Because the committee does not believe these classes of transactions create conflicts of interest or otherwise violate our Code of Conduct, the committee deems such transactions preapproved.

The following transactions in 2018 with related persons were determined to pose no actual conflict of interest and were approved by the committee pursuant to its policy:

Thomas R. Schiff is a director of Cincinnati Financial Corporation and the chief executive officer of John J. & Thomas R. Schiff & Co. Inc., a privately owned insurance agency that represents a number of insurance companies, including our insurance subsidiaries. Our subsidiaries paid John J. & Thomas R. Schiff & Co. Inc. fees and commissions of \$6,139,958. The company purchased various insurance policies through John J. & Thomas R. Schiff & Co. Inc. for premiums totaling \$997,326. John J. & Thomas R. Schiff & Co. Inc. paid rent to the company in the amount of \$122,445 for office space located in the headquarters building and purchased property casualty insurance from our insurance subsidiaries for premiums totaling \$136,395.

Dirk J. Debbink is a director of Cincinnati Financial Corporation and principal owner, director and chief executive officer of MSI General Corporation, and is a control person for several development limited liability companies, which on a consolidated basis purchased property casualty and life insurance from our insurance subsidiaries for premiums totaling \$169,584.

Douglas S. Skidmore is a director of Cincinnati Financial Corporation and principal owner, director and chief executive officer of Skidmore Sales & Distributing Company Inc., which purchased property casualty insurance from our insurance subsidiaries for premiums totaling \$712,974.

John F. Steele, Jr. is a director of Cincinnati Financial Corporation and chairman and chief executive officer of Hilltop Basic Resources Inc., which purchased property casualty insurance from our insurance subsidiaries for premiums totaling \$740,030.

Larry R. Webb is a director of Cincinnati Financial Corporation and president, director and a principal owner of Webb Insurance Agency Inc., a privately owned insurance agency that represents a number of insurance companies, including our insurance subsidiaries. The company's insurance subsidiaries paid Webb Insurance Agency Inc. commissions of \$1,272,203 as compensation for selling the company's insurance products to the agency's clients. This agency does not advise the company on our insurance needs or sell insurance products or services to the company.

A director and two executive officers, including our chief executive officer, have immediate family members employed by the company in nonofficer positions. Each of these three associates has been employed by the company from seven to 21 years. Compensation earned by each associate, consisting of salary, incentive bonus, stock-based compensation and perquisites ranges from \$133,948 to \$137,331, and was established by the company in accordance with our employment and compensation practices applicable to associates with equivalent qualifications and responsibilities and holding similar positions.

## Compensation of Named Executive Officers and Directors

### Proposal 2 - Say-on-Pay: Advisory Vote on Compensation of Named Executive Officers

We conduct a say-on-pay vote each year at the annual shareholder meeting. This say-on-pay vote is required by Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) and the related rules of the SEC. Although the say-on-pay vote is nonbinding, the compensation committee (Committee) considers the voting results as part of its annual evaluation of our executive compensation program. The annual frequency was selected by more than 90 percent of our shareholders who voted on the proposal at our 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

In 2018, our industry experienced a second consecutive year of elevated levels of insured losses from hurricanes, wildfires and other catastrophic weather events. It also was a year that ended with a sharp decline in the equity markets. Your company delivered strong operating results and somewhat mixed financial performance that benefited shareholders with one-year and three-year total shareholder returns of 6.2 percent and 43.0 percent, respectively; a 4.4 percent decrease in book value; and a 6.0 percent increase in regular cash dividends declared. This performance generated payouts of annual incentive compensation and performance-based restricted stock units at threshold levels for our named executive officers.

Please read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section for more detailed information about our executive compensation program and decisions to inform your vote on the following say-on-pay proposal:

“RESOLVED, that the company’s shareholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers, as disclosed in the company’s proxy statement for the Cincinnati Financial Corporation 2019 Annual Shareholder Meeting pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the 2018 Summary Compensation Table and the other related tables and narrative disclosure.”

### Vote Required

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting that are entitled to vote on this proposal is required for approval. Votes to abstain have the same effect as votes against the proposal. Broker nonvotes have no effect on the voting for this proposal.

The board of directors recommends a vote FOR the resolution approving the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement.

Report of the Compensation Committee

The compensation committee reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on the review and discussions, the Committee recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the company's 2019 proxy statement.

Submitted by the compensation committee:

W. Rodney McMullen (chair),

Linda W. Clement-Holmes, Kenneth C. Lichtendahl, David P. Osborn and Gretchen W. Price

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

W. Rodney McMullen, William F. Bahl, Gregory T. Bier, Linda W. Clement-Holmes, Kenneth C. Lichtendahl, David P. Osborn and Gretchen W. Price served on the compensation committee for all or part of 2018. During 2018, none of the compensation committee members were officers, employees or former officers of Cincinnati Financial Corporation.



## Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis provides you with a detailed description of our executive compensation philosophy and programs, the compensation decisions the compensation committee (Committee) has made under those programs and the factors considered in making those decisions. This Compensation Discussion and Analysis focuses on the compensation of our named executive officers for 2018, who were:

Name	Title
Steven J. Johnston	President and Chief Executive Officer
Jacob F. Scherer	Chief Insurance Officer and Executive Vice President
Michael J. Sewell	Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President
Martin F. Hollenbeck	Chief Investment Officer and Senior Vice President
Martin J. Mullen	Chief Claims Officer and Senior Vice President

## Executive Summary

### Overview

Cincinnati Financial Corporation is one of the 25 largest property casualty insurers in the nation, based on net written premium volume from our insurance subsidiary. The U.S. property casualty insurance industry is a highly competitive marketplace with more than 2,000 stock and mutual companies operating independently or in groups. We compete with these companies, which offer standard market property casualty and/or excess and surplus lines and life insurance products as we do, seeking to increase our share of these multibillion-dollar markets. Critical to our long-term success are highly experienced, dedicated and capable executives who can manage our business day to day and who possess the vision to plan for and adjust to changes in the market. The objective of our executive compensation program is to attract, motivate, reward, develop and retain the executive talent required for our long-term success. We also must nurture the capabilities of our emerging leaders to ensure that we have an appropriate depth of executive talent. We believe that as an associate's level of responsibility increases, so should the proportion of performance-based compensation. As a result, our executive compensation program aims to tie a meaningful level of each officer's compensation to awards that require achievement of the primary financial objectives by which we measure the company's performance, creating a firm link between pay and performance.

### 2018 Business and Financial Highlights

In 2018, our industry experienced a second consecutive year of elevated levels of insured losses from hurricanes, wildfires and other catastrophic weather events. It also was a year that ended with a sharp decline in the equity markets. Your company delivered strong operating results and somewhat mixed financial performance that benefited shareholders with one-year and three-year total shareholder returns of 6.2 percent and 43.0 percent, respectively; a 4.4 percent decrease in book value; and a 6.0 percent increase in regular cash dividends declared. This performance generated payouts of annual incentive compensation and performance-based restricted stock units at threshold levels for our named executive officers. Highlights of our company's performance in 2018 included:

- A 45 percent increase in underwriting profit to \$186 million and a combined ratio of 96.4 percent for 2018, marking our seventh consecutive year of underwriting profit. In 2018, our efforts to further segment our renewal and new business opportunities with better pricing precision and risk-selection decisions continued to benefit underwriting performance.

- An all-time record-level of consolidated property casualty new business written premiums at \$652 million, up 4 percent, driven by new agency appointment contributions.

- A 4 percent increase in consolidated property casualty net written premiums to more than \$5 billion in 2018.

- The increase in premiums reflects our growth initiatives, modest average price increases for most lines of business and a higher level of insured exposures.

A \$4 million improvement in life insurance subsidiary net income, largely due to decreased income taxes as a result of tax reform, after factoring out the 2017 \$111 million benefit from revaluation of deferred income taxes due to tax reform.

\$17.516 billion in consolidated cash and invested assets, down 1 percent over the prior year.

A 2 percent increase in pretax investment income to a record \$619 million, net of expenses, reflecting a 6 percent increase in equity portfolio dividends and flat interest income.

A value creation ratio (VCR) of negative 0.1 percent for 2018, exceeding that measure for three of the nine companies in our peer group. Our average annual VCR for the five-year period ending December 31, 2018, is 10.7 percent, within our announced goal of producing an annual average VCR of 10 percent to 13 percent in any five-year period. We consider VCR to be our primary performance metric for two reasons. First, we believe this measure captures the contribution of our insurance operations, the success of our investment strategy and the importance we place on paying cash dividends to shareholders. Second, as demonstrated in the chart below, the VCR has historically been directly correlated to the returns experienced by our shareholders for their investment in our common stock over the long term.

Start with \$1 Invested in 1987...

We believe that when we operate our business to achieve a VCR consistently within our targeted range, we create value for shareholders over time, through share price appreciation and dividends paid to shareholders that have increased for 58 consecutive years. Through the cash dividends paid and share repurchases, we returned \$461 million to shareholders in 2018, and \$1.298 billion during the three years ended December 31, 2018.

#### Relationship Between Company Performance and Chief Executive Officer Compensation

Generally the Committee expects that when the company's performance adds or preserves more value for shareholders than its peers, that compensation for the named executive officers, including the chief executive officer, will be higher than when the company's performance lags its peers. Accordingly, the primary performance metrics for both annual and long-term performance-based compensation are calibrated to the company's performance compared with the companies in the peer group. At the same time, the Committee expects compensation to directionally correlate with the company's actual performance for these metrics, particularly when considered over the long term. The following graph illustrates the directional relationships between company performance, based on the two primary performance metrics used in our performance-based awards, and the compensation of our chief executive officer for each of the three years ending 2018.

## CEO Pay for Performance

(Dollars in millions)

CEO Pay for Performance	2016	2017	2018	
SCT Total Compensation	\$4,249,903	\$4,978,956	\$3,444,610	
Realized Total Compensation <sup>(1)</sup>	\$3,711,998	\$4,217,970	\$2,520,787	
1-Year VCR	14.5	%22.9	% (0.1	)%
3-Year TSR <sup>(2)</sup>	60.6	%60.2	%43.0	%

Realized total compensation is the sum of salary and annual incentive cash compensation reported in the Summary Compensation Table (SCT) for the year plus the value realized from the exercise of stock options and vesting of time-vesting or performance-based restricted stock units, if any, reported in the Option Exercises and Stock Vested table for the year.

(1) (2) 3-Year TSR is total shareholder return for the 3-year performance period ending December 31 of a given year, as calculated by and displayed on Bloomberg Finance L.P.

Over the last three years, compensation for our chief executive officer varied in line with overall company performance, even as the Committee adjusted base annual salary and targets for performance-based compensation. Payouts of annual incentive and long-term performance-based awards throughout the period also directly align with company performance.

Annual Incentive Compensation (VCR)			Long-Term Performance-Equity Compensation (3-Year Total Shareholder Return)		
Baseline Award Placement Relative VCR	Adjustments for Growth and Profitability	Final Relative Award Placement*	Performance Level Earned	Performance Relative to Peer Companies	Performance Level Earned
2018 > 3 Peers	None	> 3 Peers	Threshold	> 4 Peers	Threshold
2017 > 9 Peers	None	> 9 Peers	Maximum	> 5 Peers	Target
2016 > 8 Peers	+1	> 9 Peers	Maximum	> 5 Peers	Target

For the annual performance period ending December 31, 2018, the company's VCR exceeded that of three Peer Group companies. The additional performance goals for net written premium growth and combined ratio were not met and did not affect final award placement or payout. For the annual performance period ending December 31, 2017, the company's VCR exceeded that of all nine of the Peer Group companies, achieving the maximum award \*placement level. The additional performance goals for net written premium growth and combined ratio were not met and did not affect final award placement or payout. For the annual performance period ending December 31, 2016, the company's VCR exceeded that of eight Peer Group companies and achievement of the additional performance goals for net written premium growth and combined ratio were met and increased relative peer placement by one, but did not affect award payout.

Our performance over the last three years exceeded four of the nine companies of our peer group as measured by three-year total shareholder return. As suggested by the Three-Year Relative Pay for Performance graph below, total realizable compensation<sup>1</sup> for our chief executive officer and the other named executive officers over the same period remains comparatively low, ranking near the 28<sup>th</sup> percentile, largely reflecting the size of several of the companies included in the peer group. While we do not benchmark executive compensation to the peer group, our performance is judged against those companies with whom we compete every day for each insurance policy we write, regardless of the size of those companies.

Three-Year Relative Pay for Performance  
(2016-2018)

<sup>1</sup>Three-year total realizable compensation is the sum of the following components of compensation as reported and calculated by Equilar: salary paid, discretionary cash bonus, nonequity incentive compensation paid, amounts realized from the exercise of stock options or vesting of stock awards, the intrinsic value of exercisable “in the money” stock options and the grant date fair value of time vesting and target-level performance-based restricted stock or restricted stock unit awards, for the three years ending December 31, 2017, the most recent year for which such data is available.

### Results of 2018 Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation

At the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, more than 96 percent of the votes cast were in favor of this proposal. The Committee believes this favorable outcome demonstrates support of its decisions and of our overall executive compensation program. Our annual discussions with investors confirm this belief. All of the shareholders contacted during our annual investor engagement efforts in 2018 favorably commented on the company's executive compensation program, criteria for performance-based awards and overall level of pay. The Committee made no material changes in the structure of our compensation program in 2018 as a result of feedback from investors. At the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, we will again hold an advisory vote to approve executive compensation (see Page 31). The Committee will continue to consider the results of these annual advisory votes and feedback from investor outreach in its deliberations about our executive compensation program.

### Executive Compensation Practices

The Committee applies certain fundamentals that are key characteristics of our overall compensation program, including:

#### We Do

**Link Pay to Performance** - The majority of pay awarded by the Committee to each executive officer each year is tied to achievement of short- and long-term performance objectives and changes in the market value of the company's common stock.

**Review Data Sheets** - Each year the Committee reviews data recounting the compensation history for each executive officer. For the named executive officers, the Committee additionally reviews compensation and performance data for the companies in the peer group before making executive compensation decisions.

**Mitigate Excessive Risk** - Compensation earned from performance-based awards is capped and is subject to clawback policies and provisions. Company-level performance objectives relative to peers minimizes the ability of any single individual or business unit to control its own performance-based compensation. The Committee's authority to exercise negative discretion and eliminate payment of any award also is a powerful risk control.

**Use Double-Trigger Change in Control Provisions** - Both our annual incentive and stock-based compensation plans include double-trigger change in control provisions.

**Perform Compensation Risk Assessments** - Our chief risk officer performs this assessment each year, and it is considered by the Committee as part of its decision making process.

#### We Don't

**Use Employment Contracts** - We employ all of our executive officers at will.

**Benchmark Executive Compensation** - We review compensation program structures and resulting payouts of the companies in our Peer Group to maintain an awareness of pay levels and practices. We do not benchmark the compensation we pay our named executive officers to achieve a specific level of pay, for example "above the median" of our Peer Group.

**Pay Dividends or Dividend Equivalents** - We do not pay dividends or dividend equivalents on unvested stock awards.

**Reprice or Exchange Stock Options** - We do not reprice or exchange stock options. We consider stock options to be performance-based compensation that links the financial success of our associates to shareholders. Since shareholders cannot reprice or exchange their shares, neither do we.

**Include Stock-Based Awards in Calculations for Pension or Other Retirement Benefits** - Our pension is calculated based on salary only, and our matches to 401(k) and Top Hat Savings Plan contributions are limited to cash compensation.

Track Compliance with Ownership Guidelines - All of our directors and executive officers are in compliance with our published stock ownership guidelines.

Allow Hedging Transactions by Executive Officers or Directors - Our Securities Trading Policy prohibits transactions such as short sales, prepaid forward sales contracts or other hedging transactions that we believe decouple the director's or officer's interests from those shared by our shareholders generally.

### Components of Compensation

Total direct compensation (TDC) is the compensation annually determined or awarded each year by the Committee. TDC generally is the sum of three components: base annual salary, target levels of annual incentive cash compensation and long-term equity compensation comprised of target levels of performance-based and service-based equity compensation. As illustrated in the following charts, in 2018, approximately 69 percent of TDC awarded to the chief executive officer and 56 percent of the TDC awarded to the other named executive officers was considered performance-based and not guaranteed.

### Base Annual Salary

We use base annual salary to attract executive talent and to provide adequate and stable compensation. The Committee reviews and sets base annual salaries for the named executive officers each year. In determining base annual salary, the Committee considers:

- The officer's role and responsibilities,
- Fairness, as compared with officers with similar responsibilities, experience and performance,
- Current compensation level, and
- Individual performance.

Base annual salaries may be adjusted to reflect annual merit increases, if any; promotions or changes in role or responsibilities; and market adjustments.

The base annual salaries for the named executive officers were adjusted in February 2018 to recognize the strong individual contribution of each officer to the company's strong performance in the prior year. On average, base annual salary for the group increased approximately 4.5 percent. On an individual basis, each named executive officer's salary was adjusted as follows:

- For Mr. Johnston, an increase of 3.0 percent to \$1,030,000;
- For Mr. Scherer, an increase of 3.0 percent to \$953,424;
- For Mr. Sewell, an increase of 5.0 percent to \$857,500;
- For Mr. Hollenbeck, an increase of 5.0 percent to \$715,804; and
- For Mr. Mullen, an increase of 8.5 percent to \$624,445.



### Annual Incentive Compensation

We pay annual incentive compensation to encourage achievement of key short-term performance objectives believed to be important for achievement of longer-term strategic goals. Under the shareholder-approved Annual Incentive Compensation Plan of 2009, as amended (2009 Annual Incentive Plan), each executive officer is eligible to annually receive an award of up to \$3 million in cash based on achievement of specific performance-based criteria.

The 2009 Annual Incentive Plan offers a wide range of performance objectives from which the Committee may choose. The specific performance objectives, hurdles and targets for each year are contained in the award agreements delivered to the individual officer. The 2009 Annual Incentive Plan also features a forfeiture and recoupment provision to enable the company to recover payments under this plan when circumstances warrant. Awards of incentive compensation tie vesting of a portion of annual cash compensation to performance goals.

### Performance Objectives

The Committee uses a multi-metric formula that incorporates three performance objectives. The primary performance objective is our one-year value creation ratio (VCR) relative to our peer group. We believe this measure captures the contribution of our insurance operations, the success of our investment strategy and the importance we place on paying cash dividends to shareholders. The value creation ratio is a two-part metric: 1) our rate of growth in book value per share, plus 2) the ratio of dividends declared per share to beginning book value per share. We are targeting an annual VCR averaging 10 percent to 13 percent over the next five-year period. Two company-specific performance objectives also are used by the Committee to emphasize the importance of consistent profitable growth. These company-specific performance objectives are: 1) revenue as measured by property casualty net written premium growth (premium growth goal), and 2) underwriting profitability as measured by the combined ratio (combined ratio goal). When both operating goals of premium growth and combined ratio are achieved, the company's relative placement among the peer group companies may be improved by up to three placements to determine the final award placement. For the named executive officers, the maximum effect of the addition of these two performance objectives is capped at one additional payout level, for example from a threshold to a target-level payout, but not from a threshold to a maximum-level payout.

### Setting Target Amounts

Target amounts for annual incentive compensation are set by the Committee each year as a percentage of the named executive officer's salary. In 2018, the percentage of salary ranged from 65 percent to 110 percent based on the named executive officer's tier. Assignment to a particular tier was based on level of responsibility. In 2018, Mr. Johnston was assigned to the chief executive officer's tier for which the target-level award was 110 percent of base annual salary. The remaining named executive officers were assigned to Tier I for which target-level awards were 65 percent of base annual salary.

#### Determining Final Award Placement

Determination of the final award placement is a three-step process:

Step 1 - The Committee determines the company's baseline award placement among the peer group companies based on relative VCR. As in prior years, when the company's VCR exceeds the VCR of one or more of the companies in the peer group, the company's baseline award placement increases by one for each peer group company exceeded.

Step 2 - The Committee determines whether the company achieved the pre-established premium growth goal. For 2018, the growth goal was 2.0 percent or more. If the company does not achieve the growth goal, then the final award placement is the baseline award placement determined in Step 1. If the growth goal is achieved, then the final award placement is determined by Step 3.

Step 3 - The Committee determines the achievement of the final award placement based on achievement of the combined ratio goal. The combined ratio goal for 2018 awards is as follows:

When the combined ratio is 95.0 percent or better, the company's baseline award placement improves by one placement.

When the combined ratio is 93.0 percent or better, the company's baseline award placement improves by two placements.

When the combined ratio is 91.0 percent or better, the company's baseline award placement improves by three placements.

If the company does not achieve the combined ratio goal, then the final award placement is the baseline award placement determined in Step 1.

#### Setting Performance Hurdles

Performance hurdles for threshold, target and maximum awards were set at the 30<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles, respectively, of the peer group. Stated another way, the final award placement must exceed three of the nine peer companies to achieve the threshold hurdle, must equal or exceed five peer companies to achieve the target hurdle and must equal or exceed seven peer companies to achieve the maximum hurdle. Achievement of threshold, target and maximum performance hurdles earns award payouts of 30 percent, 100 percent and 200 percent, respectively, of target. If the final award placement does not exceed at least three of the peer companies, no annual incentive compensation is earned or paid.

## Calculating the Annual Incentive Award Earned

### Step 1: Determining the Baseline Award Placement Using Relative VCR

As shown in the following chart, for 2018, the company achieved a value creation ratio of -0.1 percent, which exceeded the value creation ratio achieved by three peer companies. This established the baseline award placement of exceeding three peer companies.

### Step 2: Determining Achievement of Premium Growth Goal

The premium growth goal for awards granted in 2018 was 2.0 percent or more. The company reported property casualty net written premium growth of 4.0 percent, satisfying the 2018 premium growth goal.

### Step 3: Determining Achievement of Combined Ratio Goal

The company reported a property casualty combined ratio of 96.4 percent, which failed to satisfy the combined ratio goal.

Because the company did not achieve the combined ratio goal, the final award placement is the baseline award placement determined in Step 1, specifically a final award placement that exceeds three of the peer group companies. A final award placement that exceeds three of the peer group companies satisfies the performance hurdle for a threshold-level award payout of 30 percent of target.

The following formula is used to calculate the annual incentive award earned:

Base Annual Salary X Tier Target % X Performance Factor (0 - 200%)

The following table shows how the formula was applied and the actual amounts earned for 2018.

Name	Base Annual Salary (\$)	Tier Target % of Base Annual Salary	2018 Performance Factor (Threshold) (%)	2018 Annual Incentive Cash Compensation (\$)
Steven J. Johnston	1,030,000	110	30	339,900
Jacob F. Scherer, Jr.	953,424	65	30	185,918
Michael J. Sewell	857,500	65	30	167,213
Martin F. Hollenbeck	715,804	65	30	139,582
Martin J. Mullen	624,445	65	30	121,767

#### Long-Term Stock-Based Compensation

##### General

We award stock-based compensation not only to reward service to the company, but also to provide incentive for individuals to remain in the employ of the company and help it prosper. We believe people tend to value and protect most that which they have paid for, generally by investing their time, effort or personal funds. Over the long run, we believe shareholders are better served when associates at all levels have a significant component of their financial net worth invested in the company. For that reason, we grant awards of stock-based compensation not only to our directors and to named executive officers, but also generally to all full-time salaried associates of the company who are in good standing. We believe this approach encourages associates at all levels to make decisions in the best interest of the company as a whole, linking their personal financial success with the company's success. Although we do not have access to information about broker accounts, we estimate that approximately 80 percent of our current associates hold shares of Cincinnati Financial Corporation. Stock ownership guidelines applicable to all directors and officers help the Committee monitor ownership for all directors and officers. Our Director and Officer Stock Ownership Guidelines can be found at [cinfm.com/investors](http://cinfm.com/investors).

Stock-based awards granted to all associates in February 2018, totaled less than 1 percent of total shares outstanding. In 2018, approximately 43 percent of all stock-based awards were granted to the company's executive officers, including the named executive officers, and approximately 57 percent were granted to nearly 3,600 other company associates. All stock-based awards are granted at 100 percent of fair value on the date of grant.

#### Types of Stock-Based Awards

The Committee grants three types of stock-based awards to the named executive officers: nonqualified stock options, performance-based restricted stock units (PSUs) and service-vesting restricted stock units (RSUs). The Committee finds these awards effective because stock options have value only if there is a corresponding increase in value recognized by shareholders, while PSUs focus executives on the sustained long-term performance of the company regardless of short-term stock price fluctuations. RSUs further emphasize the long-term focus and strengthen the alignment of financial interests shared by executives and shareholders, and supports retention of executive talent. Both stock options and PSUs granted prior to November 2, 2017, were intended to qualify as performance-based, tax-deductible executive compensation. The named executive officers also are eligible to receive shares under the Holiday Stock Plan. We do not pay dividends or dividend equivalents on unvested stock-based awards.

**Stock Options** - For the named executive officers, the Committee uses nonqualified stock options that vest and become exercisable in equal amounts over the three years following the grant date. We consider stock options to be performance-based compensation, because the associate recognizes value only if the market value of our stock appreciates over time. Stock options tie the compensation realized from such awards, if any, to changes in the stock price experienced by shareholders. When the stock price does not increase, the stock options do not have value. We do not, and have not, backdated, repriced or exchanged stock options.

**PSUs** - For the named executive officers, the Committee uses PSUs that cliff vest after three years if performance targets are achieved. PSUs tie vesting of a portion of stock-based compensation to performance goals, and the three-year performance period for awards of PSUs reinforces the company's long-term focus and matches the period after which stock option awards are fully vested and exercisable. If performance hurdles are achieved and an award of PSUs vests, the award is paid in shares of common stock, one share for each restricted stock unit. For PSUs, the Committee expects to set targets that it considers achievable, but that require some stretch, based on market conditions and the current insurance industry environment at the time of grant.

Since November 2008, the performance objective for PSUs has been three-year total shareholder return relative to the companies in the peer group. The Committee selected this measure because total shareholder return combines share price appreciation and dividends paid. It measures the total return achieved for the shareholder and the relative position reflects the market perception of overall performance relative to the peer group.

**RSUs** - For the named executive officers, the Committee uses RSUs that ratably vest in thirds over three years. The Committee uses RSUs as a regular component of compensation for the named executive officers to place additional emphasis on long-term compensation, aid retention and strengthen the alignment of executive officer and shareholder financial interests.

### Setting Target Amounts for Stock-Based Awards

Target amounts for performance-based stock compensation (nonqualified stock options and PSUs) are set by the Committee as a percentage of the named executive officer's salary. For 2018, the percentage of salary ranged from 97.5 percent to 165 percent (which amounts are 150 percent of annual incentive targets) based on the named executive officer's tier. Assignment to a particular tier was based on level of responsibility. In 2018, Mr. Johnston was assigned to the chief executive officer's tier for which the target level award was 165 percent of base annual salary. The remaining named executive officers were assigned to Tier I for which target level awards were 97.5 percent of base annual salary. The target dollar amount is then allocated between stock options and PSUs. The number of stock options granted is determined by dividing the target dollar amount by the intrinsic value of the stock option on the date of grant. That intrinsic value represents an estimate of fair value of each stock option granted, based on a modeled future market price of our stock less the exercise price applicable to that stock option. For option grants issued during 2018, that intrinsic value was \$10.02 per share. Assumptions related to that value are disclosed in our 2018 Annual Report on Form 10-K note that describes share-based associate compensation plans. The number of PSUs granted is determined by dividing the target dollar amount by the fair value of the company's stock on the date of grant. For 2018, the target value for stock awards was allocated 50 percent to PSUs and 50 percent to stock options. The following formulas were used to calculate the number of shares underlying the grants of performance-based stock compensation:

For nonqualified stock options:

$$\frac{\text{Base Annual Salary} \times \text{Tier Target \%} \times \text{Award Allocation \%}}{\text{Intrinsic Value of Stock Option on Date of Grant}} = \text{Target \# of Shares Underlying Award}$$

For PSUs:

$$\frac{\text{Base Annual Salary} \times \text{Tier Target \%} \times \text{Award Allocation \%}}{\text{Grant Date Fair Value}} = \text{Target \# of Shares Underlying Award}$$

Until 2017, the Committee historically used the grant date fair value as the denominator to determine the number of shares for both nonqualified stock options and PSUs. In 2017, the Committee changed the denominator for calculating the number of stock options to the option's intrinsic value on the date of grant. This change produces awards of a greater number of stock options than in prior years, as intended by the Committee to add greater weight to long-term equity compensation.

Similarly, the Committee sets amounts for RSUs as a percentage of the named executive officer's salary. In 2017, the Committee increased the number of RSUs granted, increasing the percentage of base salary used to determine the grant date value of awards to 25 percent of base annual salary. This change produces awards of a greater number of RSUs than in prior years, as intended by the Committee to add greater weight to long-term equity compensation.

The following formula is used to calculate the number of shares underlying each grant of RSUs:

$$\frac{\text{Base Annual Salary} \times 25\%}{\text{Grant Date Fair Value}} = \# \text{ of Shares Underlying Award}$$

## 2018 Stock-Based Grants

At its meeting on February 9, 2018, the Committee granted the following stock-based awards to the named executive officers:

Name	# Nonqualified Stock Options	# PSUs	# RSUs
Steven J. Johnston	84,765	11,937	3,618
Jacob F. Scherer, Jr.	46,365	6,529	3,349
Michael J. Sewell	41,700	5,873	3,012
Martin F. Hollenbeck	34,810	4,902	2,514
Martin J. Mullen	30,367	4,277	2,193

For the PSUs granted in 2018, performance hurdles for threshold, target and maximum awards were set at the 30<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles, respectively, of the peer group. Stated another way, the company's three-year total shareholder return must exceed that of three of the nine peer companies to achieve the threshold hurdle, must equal or exceed that of five peer companies to achieve the target hurdle and must equal or exceed that of seven peer companies to achieve the maximum hurdle. For PSUs granted in 2018, achievement of threshold, target and maximum performance hurdles earns award payouts of 30 percent, 100 percent and 200 percent, respectively, of target. If the company's three-year total shareholder return does not exceed that of at least three of the peer companies, no shares from the award are earned or paid.

The following formula describes how the Committee calculates the number of shares earned:

Target # of Shares Underlying Award X Performance Factor (0 - 200%)

The performance period for the PSUs awarded in 2018 is the three calendar years ending December 31, 2020. The PSUs will vest and become payable on March 1, 2021, if the company achieves one of the performance hurdles described in the preceding paragraph.

### Compensation Realized From PSUs Granted in Prior Years

The company's three-year TSR for the three-year performance period ended December 31, 2018, was 43.0 percent, exceeding that metric for four of the nine companies in the peer group and earning payout at the threshold level of 30 percent of the target number of shares.

Name	Performance Period	Target PSUs (#)	Achievement Level	PSUs Vested (#)	Value of PSUs Vested (\$)
Steven J. Johnston	2016-2018	11,768	Threshold	3,531	273,370
	2015-2017	13,573	Target	13,573	1,017,568
	2014-2016	12,873	Target	12,873	975,130
Jacob F. Scherer, Jr.	2016-2018	7,081	Threshold	2,125	164,518
	2015-2017	8,087	Target	8,087	606,282
	2014-2016	8,850	Target	8,850	670,388
Michael J. Sewell	2016-2018	6,247	Threshold	1,875	145,163
	2015-2017	7,205	Target	7,205	540,159
	2014-2016	7,885	Target	7,885	597,289
Martin F. Hollenbeck	2016-2018	5,150	Threshold	1,545	119,614
	2015-2017	5,939	Target	5,939	445,247
	2014-2016	6,468	Target	6,468	489,951
Martin J. Mullen	2016-2018	4,207	Threshold	1,263	97,781
	2015-2017	4,782	Target	4,782	358,507
	2014-2016	5,208	Target	5,208	394,506

(1)Based on the closing price on Nasdaq as of the last trading day of the performance period as follows:

\$77.42 for the performance period ending December 31, 2018

\$74.97 for the performance period ending December 31, 2017

\$75.75 for the performance period ending December 31, 2016

### Other Stock-Based Compensation

The named executive officers are eligible to receive stock bonuses under the company's broad-based Holiday Stock Plan, which annually awards one share of common stock to each full time associate in good standing for each year of service, up to a maximum of 10 shares. This plan, in effect since 1976, encourages stock ownership at all levels of the company.

### Policy on Hedging and Pledging of Company Stock

**Hedging** - All of our officers and directors and certain associates identified by management as having regular access to potentially material, nonpublic information are prohibited from engaging in any form of hedging or monetization transactions involving the company's stock. Such transactions can decouple the officer's or director's interest from the interests of shareholders generally and can limit the officer's or director's ability to control the timing of stock transactions to avoid times when in possession of material nonpublic information.

**Pledging** - We enjoy a strong culture of ownership, linking the long-term financial prospects for our associates to the long-term financial prospects for our shareholders generally through broad-based grants of equity compensation awards. Some of our associates, including some executive officers, choose to build their ownership in the company by pledging shares they own to collateralize loans from banks or brokers to exercise employee stock options. Some directors, officers and associates choose to hold their shares of stock in street name in accounts with banks or brokers as a matter of convenience. Depending on individual circumstances and decisions, these accounts can be subject to



margin or collateral requirements.

Page 47

---

Accordingly, we permit our directors, officers and associates to pledge shares of company stock that they own. The board expects directors and executive officers to exercise good judgment when making decisions about their holdings and transactions involving company stock, including pledging. The board anticipates that the level of share pledging by directors and executive officers will decrease over time. In 2018, the number of shares pledged by our directors and executive officers as a group increased approximately 27,000 shares from 2017 levels as shares earned as stock compensation by certain executive officers were added to existing bank or broker accounts in which the number of shares previously owned significantly exceeded any loan amounts.

A majority, 17 of our current 27 directors and executive officers, do not pledge any shares. Another group of nine have pledged shares totaling less than 0.2 percent of the shares outstanding. One director with pledged shares is a member of one of the company's founding families, with reported holdings of company stock that sufficiently outnumber the approximately 0.4 percent of shares outstanding that he holds in accounts and are available as collateral.

#### Stock-Based Award Grant Practices

In awarding stock options and other forms of stock-based compensation, the Committee follows certain general precepts:

**Timing** - Since 2010, the Committee established its February meeting as the date for granting stock-based compensation to company associates each year. This meeting is purposely scheduled to occur shortly after the company announces its financial results for the preceding quarter and year, and therefore occurs when it does not expect to be in possession of material nonpublic information. The Committee makes its grants of restricted stock to directors under the Directors' Stock Plan of 2018 at its first regularly scheduled meeting of the year. The Committee believes the consistency of these practices eliminates concerns over timing. When grants are made at any other time of the year, the Committee ensures that such grants are made outside of any regular trading blackout associated with the company's disclosure of financial results and when the company is not otherwise in possession of material nonpublic information.

**Option Exercise Price** - All stock-based compensation is granted at fair market value on the date of grant. Under all stock-based compensation plans, fair market value is defined as the average of the high and low sale price on Nasdaq on the grant date. Unless a future date is specified, the grant date is the date of the Committee meeting at which the grant is made. The Committee does not delegate timing or pricing of these stock-based awards to management.

#### Retirement Benefits

##### Defined Benefit Plans

In 2018, Messrs. Scherer and Mullen were participants in The Cincinnati Financial Corporation Retirement Plan (Retirement Plan), our tax-qualified defined benefit pension plan. There are no special or enhanced pension formulas for the named executive officers, compared with other plan participants. The Retirement Plan was frozen and closed to new participants in mid-2008. Participants remaining in the Retirement Plan continue to accrue a benefit as prescribed by the plan's terms.

These two named executive officers also participate in The Cincinnati Financial Corporation Supplemental Retirement Plan (SERP). The SERP is unfunded and subject to forfeiture in the event of bankruptcy.

The SERP is a nontax-qualified defined benefit plan maintained by the company to pay eligible associates the difference between the amount payable under the tax-qualified plan and the amount they



would have received without the tax-qualified plan's limit due to Section 401(a)(17) and Section 415 of the Internal Revenue Code. Accordingly, the SERP definitions for service, normal retirement and annual earnings are the same as those for the Retirement Plan except the SERP's definition of annual earnings is not limited.

For information about accumulated benefits under these plans and detailed information about the plans, see the 2018 Pension Benefits table and the discussion following, beginning on Page 63.

#### Defined Contribution Plans

The named executive officers can participate in a tax-qualified 401(k) savings plan as well as the Cincinnati Financial Corporation Top Hat Savings Plan, a nonqualified deferred compensation plan for a select group of management or certain highly compensated associates. The company matches contributions to the 401(k) plan made by associates who are not members of the Retirement Plan, including Messrs. Johnston, Sewell and Hollenbeck, up to a maximum of 6 percent of the associate's annual cash compensation (salary and annual incentive compensation). The company also matches contributions by Messrs. Johnston, Sewell and Hollenbeck to the Top Hat Savings Plan of up to 6 percent of their annual cash compensation that exceeds the maximum recognizable compensation under Section 401(a)(17) of the Internal Revenue Code, which for 2018 was \$275,000.

For information about the amount of company matching contributions and specific information about the defined contribution plans, see the 2018 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table and the discussion following, beginning on Page 65.

In 2008, the company transitioned away from providing associates with a defined benefit pension plan, instead choosing to assist associates with building savings for retirement by providing a company match of associate contributions to a tax-qualified 401(k) plan. This change was primarily in response to requests from associates who wanted control over their retirement benefit accounts. Participation in the defined benefit pension plan terminated for associates under the age of 40, and they transitioned to the new tax-qualified 401(k) plan with a company matching contribution. None of the named executive officers were under age 40 at the time of the transition. Associates age 40 and over as of August 31, 2008, were given a one-time election to remain in the defined benefit pension plan or to leave the plan and participate in the 401(k) plan with a company match. Those associates leaving the pension plan received distributions of their accumulated pension benefit from the defined benefit plan that they could choose to receive in cash, roll over to the company's 401(k) plan or roll over to an Individual Retirement Account. Mr. Hollenbeck elected to leave the defined benefit plan in connection with the 2008 transition. Messrs. Johnston and Sewell, hired after entry to the pension plan was closed, also participate in the 401(k) plan with the company match. Messrs. Scherer and Mullen elected to remain in the pension plan.

#### Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

Perquisites and other personal benefits are intended to support our corporate objectives or the performance of an individual's responsibilities. Perquisites and personal benefits are offered to the named executive officers on the same basis as to other company officers and may include employer-paid health insurance premiums, personal umbrella liability insurance coverage, life insurance, executive tax services, use of a company car, safe driver award, executive health exams, club dues and limited spouse travel and meals associated with certain business functions. The Committee believes that the level of perquisites and personal benefits we offer our officers is de minimis, totaling no more than \$34,564 for any named executive officer in 2018.

### CEO Pay Ratio

We are committed to transparency about our compensation practices. We provide detailed and comprehensive public disclosure about how the Committee structures our executive compensation program and makes individual compensation decisions for the chief executive officer and the other named executive officers each year. Internally, we provide transparency by publishing detailed information about salary bands for all positions. For annual cash incentive bonuses, we internally publish the bonus targets expressed as percentages of base annual compensation and provide a “bonus estimator” for associates to use to model how their annual incentive bonuses are affected by the company’s performance. Transparency was further enhanced in 2012, when we aligned all associate bonuses (from entry-level positions to our senior executives) to the same performance criteria. Since 2017, we also provide every associate with a “Current Compensation” summary that provides a total annual compensation value that is the sum of that associate’s base annual pay, the amount of the last annual incentive bonus paid and the value of the last paid restricted stock unit award. We also provide a full historical summary of all stock-compensation awards. We expect that the CEO Pay Ratio disclosure will further enhance our transparency about compensation.

Our CEO to median employee pay ratio is calculated in accordance with Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K. As permitted by the rule, because there were no significant changes to our compensation programs or employee base in 2018, we used the same median employee for the 2018 ratio as we used for 2017. In 2017, we identified the median employee by examining the annual total compensation for all of our associates, excluding our CEO, who were employed by us on December 1, 2017. We included all associates, whether employed on a full-time, part-time or seasonal basis. To determine the median employee, we calculated the total annual compensation for each of our then 5,072 associates as the sum of the following amounts:

- Annual base pay
- Increase in the value of the associate’s pension benefit
- The company’s contribution to the associate’s health insurance coverage
- The company’s matching contributions to the associate’s 401(k) account
- The company’s matching contributions to the associate’s nonqualified deferred compensation (top hat) account
- Calendar year cash bonus
- Calendar year stock compensation grants (time and or performance vesting restricted stock units)
- Calendar year stock option grants (incentive or nonqualified stock options)
- Holiday stock compensation

We believe the use of these components for all associates is a consistently applied compensation measure that includes all of the compensation elements that are widely distributed throughout our organization, including retirement benefits.

After identifying the median employee based on the process described above, we calculated annual total compensation for the median employee using the same methodology we use for our named executive officers as set forth in the 2018 Summary Compensation Table later in this proxy statement. The total annual compensation calculated for our CEO was \$3,444,610 and for our median employee was \$88,046. The resulting ratio for our CEO’s pay compared with the pay of our median employee for 2018 is 39.1 to 1.

## How We Make Compensation Decisions

### Annual Compensation Setting Process

The Committee evaluates and sets compensation for the named executive officers annually. In doing so, it considers:

- Its judgment about the effectiveness of the executive compensation program generally;
- The effect of any changes to the program;
- The result of the most recent shareholder advisory vote to approve executive compensation and feedback about the executive compensation program received from shareholders during annual outreach calls;
- The compensation risk assessment conducted by the company's chief risk officer;
- Current and historical compensation and performance data supplied by the chief executive officer for each named executive officer, excluding himself;
- Reports generated through Equilar on the amounts and components of compensation paid to the named executive officers of the companies in the peer group;
- Reports generated through Equilar on the financial performance of the companies in the peer group;
- Each officer's individual performance, experience, expertise and functional responsibilities; and
- Company performance, both financial and nonfinancial.

The Committee meets in February each year to set base annual salaries, grant stock-based and incentive cash compensation awards and consider the payment of any performance-based compensation earned upon satisfaction of performance goals established in prior years' award grants. The Committee also may meet during the year to set or adjust compensation appropriately if management changes or new executive officers join the company or to consider potential prospective changes to the structure of the executive compensation program.

### Compensation Risk Considerations

The Committee is responsible for overseeing the risk associated with the company's compensation program. The company's compensation plans and executive compensation program are designed with features intended to mitigate risk without diminishing the incentive nature of the compensation. We believe our compensation plans and programs encourage and reward prudent business judgment and appropriate risk taking, and do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse impact on the company.

In 2018, the Committee considered the annual compensation risk assessment conducted by the chief risk officer. For the executive compensation program, the risk assessment identified the component parts of the program and the information and process used by the Committee to set the level of compensation for each. Independence and qualifications of committee members and rigor of the committee's oversight and administration of the executive compensation program also were examined.

The table below summarizes the risk mitigation factors identified in the annual compensation risk assessment.

#### Base Annual Salary Risk Mitigation Factors

Base annual salary is set each year.

Base annual salary adjustments require approval of the Committee.

#### Annual Incentive Risk Mitigation Factors

Awards are based upon multi-metric performance objectives. The primary performance objective is relative to peer companies. The two other performance objectives are publicly reported in the company's periodic reports.

Achievement is determined by company performance, not individual performance.

Robust processes require the Committee to certify performance achievement and authorize payment.

Maximum payout of annual incentive compensation is capped.

The Committee may exercise negative discretion to reduce or eliminate awards when appropriate.

Annual incentive compensation is subject to clawback provisions.

Performance objectives and targets are easily calculable and clearly disclosed to investors.

#### Long-Term Stock-Based Compensation Risk Mitigation Factors

The company has stock ownership guidelines applicable to the named executive officers.

Exercising stock options requires investment of the associate's personal assets.

Performance objectives are relative to peer companies.

Achievement of performance for PSUs is determined by company performance, not individual performance.

Robust processes require the Committee to certify performance achievement and authorize payment.

Maximum payout of performance-based restricted stock units is capped.

Stock-based compensation is subject to clawback provisions.

Performance objectives and targets are easily calculable and clearly disclosed to investors.

#### Benchmarking and Peer Group

We believe that increasing compensation for our named executive officers to achieve a benchmark at or above the median of our peers would serve only to increase compensation expense without a corresponding benefit to shareholders. Our approach is to consider competitive compensation practices and relevant factors about executive compensation program structures and award types used by the companies in our Peer Group to maintain an awareness of pay levels and practices, which as one of many factors considered each year by the Committee may influence appropriate changes to our executive compensation structure and levels over time. This approach provides us with flexibility in maintaining and enhancing our executive officers' focus, motivation and enthusiasm for our future while controlling overall compensation expense. We believe our levels of compensation are competitively reasonable and appropriate for our business needs and circumstances.

We do use the peer group to compare our performance to those companies against whom we compete each day, irrespective of the size of any peer company. We believe that it is important to link performance-based compensation to company performance compared with peers. Accordingly, the primary performance targets for our annual incentive compensation and PSUs are relative targets compared with our peer group. We also believe that linking the level of performance-based awards to a percentage of base annual salary that is paid out according to a predetermined formula based upon achievement of performance goals for all of our executive officers unites the personal financial interests of the executive team, focusing its attention on achievement of performance goals designed to increase shareholder value over the long term.

The Committee reviews performance and compensation data of the peer group to gain a sense of whether we are providing generally competitive compensation for our named executive officers individually and as a group. For 2018, the nine peer companies are:

The Allstate Corporation	State Auto Financial Corporation
Hanover Insurance Group Inc.	The Travelers Companies Inc.
Hartford Financial Services Group Inc.	United Fire Group Inc.
Markel Corporation	W.R. Berkley Corporation
Selective Insurance Group Inc.	

These nine U.S.-domiciled companies were selected because they generally market their products through the same types of independent insurance agencies that represent our company, and they provide both commercial lines and personal lines of insurance, as we do. We also included companies in the peer group that historically have followed an equity investment strategy similar to ours, or that offer life insurance products or excess and surplus lines coverages.

Comparative performance and compensation data reviewed by the Committee suggests that the company's executive compensation is not excessive as compared with performance and compensation levels of the peer group. As reported by Equilar, Total Direct Compensation of \$14,711,912 awarded to our named executive officers as a group in 2017, the last year for which peer data is available, was approximately 69 percent of the average Total Direct Compensation of \$21,374,260 awarded by companies in the peer group to their named executive officers as a group in the same year. The following table ranks the company and the nine companies in the peer group according to market capitalization at December 31, 2018, and ranks three-year value creation ratio, three-year total shareholder returns as of December 31, 2018, as reported by Bloomberg LP, and compensation data compiled by Equilar from the 2018 proxy statements filed by the peer group, the most recent year for which such data is available.

Rank	Market Capitalization	Three-Year Value Creation Ratio	Three-Year Total Shareholder Return	Total Direct Compensation (from 2018 Proxy Statements)
1	Travelers	Cincinnati	Selective	Allstate
2	Allstate	W.R. Berkley	State Auto	Travelers
3	Hartford	Selective	United Fire	Hartford
4	Markel	Allstate	Hanover	W.R. Berkley
5	Cincinnati	Hanover	W.R. Berkley	Cincinnati
6	W.R. Berkley	Travelers	Cincinnati	Markel
7	Hanover	United Fire	Allstate	Selective
8	Selective	Markel	Markel	Hanover
9	State Auto	State Auto	Travelers	United Fire
10	United Fire	Hartford	Hartford	State Auto



#### Compensation Consultants

The Committee does not employ compensation consultants for recommendations concerning executive compensation. Our compensation programs are not complex and, because we do not benchmark compensation to peers, the Committee does not believe it requires the services of a compensation consultant to assist with either administration of current plans or the determination of appropriate levels of compensation. The Committee will continue to monitor our compensation structure to ensure that the compensation it wishes to deliver to the executive team is delivered as appropriate, considering overall company and individual performance. The Committee does review and consider peer group performance and compensation data collected from the Equilar service and publicly available proxy statements and Form 10-K filings.

#### Tax Considerations

Section 162(m) limits to \$1 million per year the federal income tax deduction to public corporations for compensation paid in any fiscal year to any individual who is identified as a named executive officer as of the end of the fiscal year in accordance with the Exchange Act. Until the enactment of the Jobs and Tax Reform Act of 2017 (Tax Reform) this limitation did not apply to qualifying "performance-based compensation." The Committee intended that PSUs (which permit the Committee to exercise negative discretion to reduce or eliminate payment of awards) and stock options that are subject to binding agreements in effect before November 2, 2017, to qualify for the performance-based compensation exception to the \$1 million limitation under prior law.

Beginning with grants of performance-based compensation made after November 2, 2017, Tax Reform removes the tax deduction for compensation of our named executive officers in excess of \$1 million, even if that compensation results from what previously would have qualified as "performance-based compensation" under 162(m). We believe that performance-based compensation remains an effective incentive to drive short-term and long-term results that benefit our company and its shareholders, and we will continue to use it. We also expect that the overall beneficial effect of Tax Reform on our country's economy, in general and the domestic insurance industry, in particular, and the positive impact that we expect those beneficial effects to have on our company in the future more than outweigh the loss of a tax deduction for executive compensation.

The Committee generally does not favor the payment of tax gross-ups. Except in limited circumstances, such as a retirement gift of nominal value or relocation assistance on the same basis offered to all retiring or relocating associates, the Committee has not authorized payment of tax gross-ups to executive officers.

Employment Agreements, Change in Control Provisions and  
Post-Retirement Benefits

We do not have employment agreements with any of our named executive officers that specify a term of employment or guarantee minimum levels of bonuses or stock-based awards. All of our named executive officers are at-will employees. Our long-standing corporate perspective has been that employment contracts do not provide the company with any significant advantage. We believe our corporate culture, current compensation practices and levels of stock ownership by our executive officers have resulted in stability in our current 14-member group of executive officers, who average 24 years with the company.

In 2011, in connection with hiring Mr. Sewell as our chief financial officer, the Committee authorized a deferred compensation agreement between the company and Mr. Sewell designed to approximate the value of retirement benefits that he would forego at his former employer. Mr. Sewell is fully vested in amounts payable under the agreement. No amounts are payable under the agreement until Mr. Sewell reaches the age of 58.

Change in control provisions are included in our 2016 and 2012 Stock Compensation Plans and our 2009 Annual Incentive Plan, and those provisions apply to all associates receiving awards under the plans, not just to executive officers. The change in control provisions in these plans contain a “double trigger,” which requires both a change in control event, as defined in the plans, and termination of the associate’s employment due to the change in control within a specified time period. The double trigger ensures that we will become obligated to accelerate vesting of prior awards only if the associate is actually or constructively discharged because of the change in control event.

We occasionally provide post-retirement benefits to long-tenured, executive-officer-level associates who provide services to the company after retirement from their executive positions. These post-retirement benefits are intended to compensate the associate for ongoing services associated with maintaining continuity of relationships and providing guidance to their successors and other associates. No post-retirement benefits were paid to any former executive officer in 2018.

## Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$) (1)	Stock Awards (\$) (2)(4)	Option Awards (\$) (3)	Non-equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) (5)	All Other Compensation (\$) (8)(9)	Total Compensation (\$)
Steven J. Johnston Chief Executive Officer and President Cincinnati Financial Corporation	2018	1,025,385	—	999,111	849,752	339,900	—	230,462	3,444,610
	2017	995,351	—	741,917	825,010	2,200,000	—	216,678	4,978,956
	2016	960,814	—	917,696	155,459	2,121,792	—	94,142	4,249,903
Jacob F. Scherer, Jr. Chief Insurance Officer and Executive Vice President The Cincinnati Insurance Company	2018	949,152	—	638,786	464,800	185,918	621,358	(6) 26,991	2,887,005
	2017	921,351	—	495,696	451,262	1,203,350	506,246	(6) 35,832	3,613,737
	2016	887,747	—	581,968	93,542	1,160,572	575,137	(6) 19,200	3,318,166
Michael J. Sewell Chief Financial Officer, Senior Vice President and Treasurer Cincinnati Financial Corporation	2018	851,218	—	574,408	418,034	167,213	—	150,667	2,161,540
	2017	812,871	—	437,114	398,126	1,061,667	—	140,114	2,849,892
	2016	784,665	—	513,159	82,525	1,023,925	—	71,520	2,475,794
Martin F. Hollenbeck Chief Investment Officer and Senior Vice President Cincinnati Financial Corporation	2018	710,560	—	479,777	348,963	139,582	—	120,935	1,799,817
	2017	677,473	—	365,250	332,338	886,234	—	110,600	2,371,895
	2016	646,808	—	423,473	68,033	844,032	—	59,884	2,042,230
Martin J. Mullen Chief Claims Officer and Senior Vice President The Cincinnati Insurance Company	2018	616,919	—	418,678	304,423	121,767	104,226	(7) 22,883	1,588,896
	2017	569,629	—	308,518	280,573	748,182	590,191	21,788	2,518,881

(1) Since 2010, the Committee has eliminated discretionary cash bonuses as a regular component of compensation for the named executive officers.

- Amounts shown in the Stock Awards column reflect values for grants of PSUs, RSUs and Holiday Stock awards. PSUs were intended to be performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m) on the date of grant and reflect the full grant date fair values in accordance with FASB ASC 718. Amounts for PSUs are computed using a Monte Carlo valuation on the date of grant. Amounts for RSUs reflect the full grant date fair value in accordance with FASB ASC 718. These amounts do not represent the actual value that may be realized by the named executive officers. For assumptions used in determining the values for awards of PSUs and RSUs, see our 2018 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Part II, Item 8, Note 17, Page 173. Awards under the Holiday Stock Plan are valued at fair market value on the date of grant. The per share fair market values were \$81.46, \$73.30 and \$71.55 for the grant dates of November 9, 2018, November 10, 2017 and November 11, 2016, respectively. There were no forfeitures of Holiday Stock or PSU or RSU awards by the named executive officers in 2018, 2017 or 2016.
- Amounts in the Option Awards column reflect the value of awards for grants of nonqualified stock options. These nonqualified stock options were intended to be performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m) on the date of grant and reflect the full grant date fair values in accordance with FASB ASC 718. These amounts do not represent the actual value, if any, that may be realized by the named executive officers. For assumptions used in calculation of option awards, see our 2018 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Part II, Item 8, Note 17, Page 173. There were no forfeitures of option awards by the named executive officers in 2018, 2017 or 2016.
- Maximum values of PSUs granted in 2018 are: \$1,510,985 for Mr. Johnston; \$826,441 for Mr. Scherer; \$743,404 for Mr. Sewell; \$620,495 for Mr. Hollenbeck; and \$541,383 for Mr. Mullen.
- Maximum values of PSUs granted in 2017 are: \$1,009,688 for Mr. Johnston; \$552,257 for Mr. Scherer; \$487,281 for Mr. Sewell; \$406,731 for Mr. Hollenbeck; and \$343,398 for Mr. Mullen.
- Maximum values of PSUs granted in 2016 are: \$1,652,933 for Mr. Johnston; \$994,597 for Mr. Scherer; \$877,453 for Mr. Sewell; and \$723,369 for Mr. Hollenbeck.
- No above-market or preferential earnings were paid on deferred compensation. The amounts shown in this column represent the aggregate change in actuarial present value of accumulated pension benefits for those named executive officers participating in the company's Retirement Plan and SERP for each of the years presented, using the same pension plan measurement date and assumptions used for financial reporting purposes. In addition to one year of service credit under the Retirement Plan and the

SERP for Messrs. Scherer and Mullen, the changes in plan balances are primarily due to fluctuations in the applicable interest rate and discount rate used to actuarially calculate the accumulated benefit in each plan.

For Mr. Scherer, in 2018, an increase of \$192,408 in the Retirement Plan and an increase of \$428,950 in the SERP; (6) in 2017 an increase of \$117,043 in the Retirement Plan and an increase of \$389,203 in the SERP; and in 2016 an increase of \$169,439 in the Retirement Plan and an increase of \$405,698 in the SERP.

(7) For Mr. Mullen, in 2018, a decrease of \$10,073 in the Retirement Plan and increase of \$114,299 in the SERP; and in 2017 an increase of \$270,831 in the Retirement Plan and an increase of \$319,360 in the SERP.

(8) For Mr. Johnston, includes perquisites in the amount of \$34,564, which includes \$21,881 for employer paid health care premiums; \$9,840 for the incremental additional cost of spouse travel and meals for business events to which spouses are invited; premiums paid for a personal umbrella liability policy; personal use of a company car; safe driver award; and an executive health examination.

For Mr. Scherer, includes perquisites in the amount of \$25,778, which includes \$16,245 for employer paid health care premiums; \$6,506 for the incremental additional cost of spouse travel and meals for business events to which spouses are invited; premiums paid for a personal umbrella liability insurance policy; personal use of a company car; a safe driver award; executive tax services and an executive health examination.

For Mr. Sewell, includes perquisites in the amount of \$33,885, which includes \$21,881 for employer paid health care premiums; \$3,729 for the incremental additional cost of spouse travel and meals for business events to which spouses are invited; \$4,125 for executive tax services; premiums paid for a personal umbrella liability insurance policy; personal use of a company car; and a safe driver award.

For Mr. Hollenbeck, includes perquisites in the amount of \$23,893, which includes \$14,903 for employer paid health care premiums; \$5,949 for the incremental additional cost of spouse travel and meals for business events to which spouses are invited; premiums paid for a personal umbrella liability insurance policy; personal use of a company car; executive tax services; a safe driver award; and an executive health examination.

For Mr. Mullen, includes perquisites in the amount of \$21,448, which includes \$14,903 for employer paid health care premiums; \$3,887 for the incremental additional cost of spouse travel and meals for business events to which spouses are invited; premiums paid for a personal umbrella liability policy; personal use of a company car; a safe driver award; executive tax services, and an executive health examination.

(9) Includes matching contributions to the company's 401(k) and Top Hat Savings Plans in the amounts of \$193,523 for Mr. Johnston; \$114,773 for Mr. Sewell and \$95,808 for Mr. Hollenbeck.

Total compensation for 2018 shown in the 2018 Summary Compensation Table, excluding attributions of compensation related to retirement plans, generally decreased from 2017 levels because of lower payouts of annual incentive compensation; at the threshold level of 30 percent of target for 2018, compared with the maximum level of 200 percent of target for 2017.

Total compensation for 2017 shown in the Summary Compensation Table, excluding attributions of compensation related to retirement plans, generally increased from 2016 levels because of the increased number of nonqualified stock options and RSUs awarded in connection with structural changes made to the executive compensation program to add greater weight to long-term, stock-based compensation.

Amounts shown in the Salary column do not exactly match the base annual salaries set by the Committee for the year because of the timing of adjustments to base annual salary made in the respective years. The history of changes to base annual salaries for the named executive officers for the reported years is set forth below:

In February 2018, the Committee adjusted base salaries to \$1,030,000 for Mr. Johnston; to \$953,424 for Mr. Scherer; to \$857,500 for Mr. Sewell; to \$715,804 for Mr. Hollenbeck; and to \$624,445 for Mr. Mullen.

In February 2017, the Committee adjusted base salaries to \$1,000,000 for Mr. Johnston; to \$925,654 for Mr. Scherer; to \$816,667 for Mr. Sewell; to \$681,718 for Mr. Hollenbeck; and to \$575,525 for Mr. Mullen.

In February 2016, the Committee adjusted base salaries to \$964,451 for Mr. Johnston; to \$892,747 for Mr. Scherer; to \$787,635 for Mr. Sewell; and to \$649,256 for Mr. Hollenbeck.

Amounts shown in the Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings column of the Summary Compensation Table represent the annual incremental changes in the present values of benefits under the company's defined benefit and SERP plans. Changes in the balances of the Top Hat accounts of named executive officers due to their contributions and investment performance during the year are included in the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table. For information about these plans, see Retirement Benefits, Page 48.

## 2018 Grant of Plan-Based Awards (1)

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Nonequity Plan Awards		Estimated Possible Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (2)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Under-lying Options	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Budget (#)				
Mr. Johnston	2/9/2018	**						84,765	71.19	849,752
	2/9/2018	*	339,900	1,133,000	2,266,000					
	2/9/2018	**				3,581	11,937	23,874		755,493
	2/9/2018	**					3,618			242,804
	11/9/2018	***					10			815
Mr. Scherer	2/9/2018	**						46,365	71.19	464,800
	2/9/2018	*	185,918	619,725	1,239,451					
	2/9/2018	**				1,958	6,529	13,058		413,220
	2/9/2018	**					3,349			224,751
Mr. Sewell	11/9/2018	***					10			815
	2/9/2018	**						41,700	71.19	418,034
	2/9/2018	*	167,213	557,375	1,114,750					
	2/9/2018	**				1,761	5,873	11,746		371,702
	2/9/2018	**					3,012			202,135
Mr. Hollenbeck	11/9/2018	***					7			570
	2/9/2018	**						34,810	71.19	348,963
	2/9/2018	*	139,582	465,273	930,545					
	2/9/2018	**				1,470	4,902	9,804		310,248
	2/9/2018	**					2,514			168,715
Mr. Mullen	11/9/2018	***					10			815
	2/9/2018	**						30,367	71.19	304,423
	2/9/2018	*	121,767	405,889	811,778					
	2/9/2018	**				1,283	4,277	8,554		270,691
	2/9/2018	**					2,193			147,172
11/9/2018	***					10			815	

\* Cincinnati Financial Corporation 2009 Incentive Compensation Plan

\*\* Cincinnati Financial Corporation 2012 Stock Compensation Plan

\*\*\* Holiday Stock Plan. See Long-Term Stock-Based Compensation, Page 43, for information about awards of shares under the Holiday Stock Plan.

(1) No material modifications or repricing occurred with respect to any outstanding option or other stock-based award in 2018.

(2) The grant date fair value of shares awarded under the Holiday Stock Plan is 100 percent of the average of the high and low sales price on Nasdaq on the date of grant, which was \$81.46 on November 9, 2018.





Outstanding Equity Awards at 2018 Year End  
Option Awards (1)

Name	Option Awards (1)				Option		Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Exercise Price (\$)	Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (2)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (2)(3)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Mr. Johnston	10,234				26.58	2/19/2020				
	7,991				34.04	2/18/2021				
	4,893				31.62	5/2/2021				
	13,472				35.63	2/17/2022				
	13,088				44.70	2/15/2023				
	12,873				46.81	2/14/2024				
									11,768	905,901
	13,573				52.25	2/13/2025				
	7,845	3,923			61.47	2/12/2026	523	40,261	11,670	898,357
	25,495	50,989			70.70	12/10/2027	2,358	181,519	11,937	918,910
								3,618	278,517	
		84,765			71.19	2/9/2028				
Mr. Scherer	8,933				34.04	2/18/2021				
	664				31.62	5/2/2021				
	10,262				35.63	2/17/2022				
	8,998				44.70	2/15/2023				
	8,850				46.81	2/14/2024				
	8,087				52.25	2/13/2025				
									7,081	545,095
	4,721	2,360			61.47	2/12/2026				
	13,945	27,890			70.70	2/10/2027	484	37,258	6,383	491,363
									2,182	167,970
								6,529	502,602	
		46,365			71.19	2/9/2028				
								3,349	257,806	



Name	Option Awards (1)				Stock Awards					
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (2)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) (2)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (3)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) (3)
Mr. Sewell	9,578				35.63	2/17/2022				
	8,016				44.70	2/15/2023				
	7,885				46.81	2/14/2024			6,247	480,894
	7,205				52.25	2/13/2025				
	4,165	2,082			61.47	2/12/2026	427	32,870	5,632	433,551
	12,303	24,606			70.70	2/10/2027	1,925	148,187	5,873	452,104
		41,700			71.19	2/9/2028	3,012	231,864		
Mr. Hollenbeck	6,468				46.81	2/14/2024				
	5,939				52.25	2/13/2025				
	3,433	1,717			61.47	2/12/2026	352	27,097	5,150	396,447
	10,270	20,540			70.70	2/10/2027	1,607	123,707	4,701	361,883
		34,810			71.19	2/9/2028	2,514	193,528	4,902	377,356
Mr. Mullen	4,016				34.04	2/18/2021				
	4,526				35.63	2/17/2022				
	5,126				44.70	2/15/2023				
	5,208				46.81	2/14/2024				
	4,782				52.25	2/13/2025				
	2,805	1,402			61.47	2/12/2026	288	22,170	4,207	323,855
	8,671	17,340			70.70	2/10/2027	1,357	104,462	3,969	305,534
	30,367			71.19	2/9/2028			4,277	329,243	
						2,193	168,817			



One-third of each option award vests and becomes exercisable on the first, second and third anniversaries of the (1) grant, provided the associate remains continuously employed with the company or its subsidiaries. The vesting date of each option is listed in the table below:

Grant Date	Vesting Dates				Expiration Date
11/14/2008	11/14/2009	11/14/2010	11/14/2011	11/14/2018	
2/19/2010	2/19/2011	2/19/2012	2/19/2013	2/19/2020	
2/18/2011	2/18/2012	2/18/2013	2/18/2014	2/18/2021	
5/2/2011	5/2/2012	5/2/2013	5/2/2014	5/2/2021	
5/31/2011	5/31/2012	5/31/2013	5/31/2014	5/31/2021	
2/17/2012	2/17/2013	2/17/2014	2/17/2015	2/17/2022	
2/15/2013	2/15/2014	2/15/2015	2/15/2016	2/15/2023	
2/14/2014	2/14/2015	2/14/2016	2/14/2017	2/14/2024	
2/13/2015	2/13/2016	2/13/2017	2/13/2018	2/13/2025	
2/12/2016	2/12/2017	2/12/2018	2/12/2019	2/12/2026	
2/10/2017	2/10/2018	2/10/2019	2/10/2020	2/10/2027	
2/9/2018	2/9/2019	2/9/2020	2/9/2021	2/9/2028	

One-third of the RSUs granted on February 12, 2016, vested on March 1, 2017, another one-third vested on (2) March 1, 2018, and the final one-third vested on March 1, 2019. PSUs granted on February 12, 2016, vested on March 1, 2019, at the threshold payout level based upon the achievement of company-level performance.

One-third of the RSUs granted on February 10, 2017, vested on March 1, 2018, another one-third is scheduled to (3) vest on March 1, 2019, and the final one-third is scheduled to vest on March 1, 2020. PSUs granted on February 10, 2017, will vest on March 1, 2020, if the company-level performance targets are achieved.

One-third of the RSUs granted on February 9, 2018, vested on March 1, 2019, another one-third is scheduled to (4) vest on March 1, 2020, and the final one-third is scheduled to vest on March 1, 2021. PSUs granted on February 9, 2018, will vest on March 1, 2021, if company-level performance targets are achieved.

#### 2018 Option Exercises and Stock Vested

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Mr. Johnston	—	—	15,577	1,155,502
Mr. Scherer	—	—	9,940	737,349
Mr. Sewell	—	—	8,841	655,825
Mr. Hollenbeck	20,896	771,264	7,298	541,366
Mr. Mullen	—	—	5,912	438,552

## 2018 Pension Benefits

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$ (1))
Mr. Johnston (2)	Qualified Pension Plan	n/a	—
	Supplemental Retirement Plan	n/a	—
Mr. Scherer (3)	Qualified Pension Plan	35	1,650,097
	Supplemental Retirement Plan	35	3,681,371
Mr. Sewell (2)	Qualified Pension Plan	n/a	—
	Supplemental Retirement Plan	n/a	—
Mr. Hollenbeck (2)	Qualified Pension Plan	n/a	—
	Supplemental Retirement Plan	n/a	—
Mr. Mullen (4)	Qualified Pension Plan	40	1,527,572
	Supplemental Retirement Plan	40	1,481,242

Amounts listed in the “Present Value of Accumulated Benefit” column were calculated as of December 31, 2018, using the same actuarial assumptions used by the company for GAAP financial reporting purposes and assuming (1) that benefits commence at age 65. The assumptions include a lump-sum factor baseline of 0.75 percent for both plans and a discount rate of 4.34 percent in the Qualified Pension Plan and 4.25 percent in the Supplemental Retirement Plan.

- (2) Messrs. Johnston and Sewell joined the company after entry into the qualified pension plan was closed.  
 (2) Mr. Hollenbeck elected to leave the retirement plans in 2008 in connection with changes to the plans.  
 (3) At December 31, 2018, Mr. Scherer had reached the normal retirement age under both plans.  
 (4) At December 31, 2018, Mr. Mullen had reached the early retirement age under both plans.

Tax-qualified defined benefit pension plan - The Cincinnati Financial Corporation Retirement Plan (Retirement Plan) is a tax-qualified defined benefit pension plan available to all full-time associates ages 40 and over on August 31, 2008, who elected to remain in the plan effective September 1, 2008. Members who were actively employed by the company on June 30, 2008, became fully vested in their accrued benefit. The Retirement Plan is closed to new members. Members of the Retirement Plan earn one year of service for each calendar year in which they work at least 1,000 hours. Members also earn service for time that they are paid, or entitled to be paid, but do not actually work. These times include vacation, holidays, illness, military duty and some periods of disability. Generally, the maximum amount of service that may be earned under the Retirement Plan is 40 years. There are no deductions for Social Security or other offset amounts.

The Retirement Plan defines earnings for any given plan year as the base rate of salary in effect on the last day of the plan year, subject to the maximum recognizable compensation under Section 401(a)(17) of the Internal Revenue Code. Bonuses, stock-based awards and other forms of compensation do not contribute to earnings under the Retirement Plan.

Normal retirement age as defined in the Retirement Plan is age 65. The normal retirement pension is computed as a single life annuity. The normal monthly benefit payment is the greatest of the following three calculated amounts:

The first calculated amount is the sum of:

1. 0.45 percent of the member's average monthly earnings plus 1.35 percent of the member's average monthly earnings up to \$2,916.67; multiplied by years of service up to 15 years, plus
2. 0.6 percent of the member's average monthly earnings plus 1.8 percent of the member's average monthly earnings up to \$2,916.67; multiplied by years of service between 16 and 40.



The second calculated amount is the sum of:

1. 0.9 percent of the member's final average earnings; multiplied by years of service up to 15 years, plus
2. 1.2 percent of the member's final average earnings; multiplied by years of service between 16 and 40.

The third calculated amount applies only to employees originally hired by our former subsidiary, Inter-Ocean Insurance Company, and is calculated as 1.0 percent of the member's final average earnings multiplied by years of service not in excess of 50 years.

The normal form of benefit payment under the terms of the Retirement Plan is a single life annuity for unmarried members and a joint and 50 percent survivor annuity for married members. The plan permits members to elect to receive payment of benefits in the following forms:

Single life only

Single life only with 60-month or 120-month guarantee

Joint and 50 percent contingent annuity

Joint and 66.67 percent contingent annuity

Joint and 75 percent contingent annuity

Joint and 100 percent contingent annuity

Lump sum

Alternative forms of benefit payment are offered to provide plan members some flexibility in retirement income and estate planning by giving them the option of electing monthly benefits with or without a survivor's benefit. Generally, the single life annuity alternative provides the largest monthly benefit but does not provide a survivor's benefit. All other payment forms are the actuarial equivalent of a single life annuity. Alternatives other than the single life annuity provide slightly lower monthly benefits to the plan member, depending on such factors as presence of survivor's benefit, the member's age and any contingent annuitant's age. The lump sum payment permits plan members to roll the present value of their benefit into an Individual Retirement Account and defer income taxes until the member withdraws funds from that account.

Supplemental retirement plan - The second retirement plan in which some named executive officers participate is the Cincinnati Financial Corporation Supplemental Retirement Plan (SERP). The SERP is unfunded and subject to forfeiture in the event of bankruptcy.

The SERP is a nontax-qualified defined benefit plan maintained by the company to pay eligible associates the difference between the amount payable under the tax-qualified defined benefit plan and the amount they would have received without the tax-qualified plan's limit due to Section 401(a)(17) and Section 415 of the Internal Revenue Code. Accordingly, the SERP definitions for service, normal retirement age and annual earnings are the same as those for the Retirement Plan except the SERP's definition of annual earnings is not limited.

The normal retirement benefit under the SERP for the participating named executive officers will be equal to the excess of the member's monthly benefit under the Retirement Plan as of the member's retirement date, without regard to the limit on earnings under Section 401(a)(17) of the Internal Revenue Code and without regard to any limit on benefits under Section 415 of the Internal Revenue Code. The pension benefit under the SERP is payable only in the form of a single lump sum.



Both retirement plans permit early retirement, provided the member has at least five years of service. Benefits for early retirement are calculated by adjusting for life expectancy and reducing the benefit payable at age 65 by 0.5 percent per month for each month prior to age 65 that the member elects to begin receiving pension benefits. For example, a member who elects to retire at age 60 would receive 70 percent (60 months X 0.5 percent = 30 percent reduction) of the life-expectancy adjusted benefit payable at age 65.

Actuarial work related to both the Retirement Plan and SERP is performed by Willis Towers Watson, which provides human resource strategy, design and management; actuarial and management consulting to the financial services industry; and reinsurance intermediary services. The Committee engaged Willis Towers Watson to provide actuarial and consultative services related to the design of the company's retirement and employee benefit plans.

#### 2018 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan (1) (2)

Name	Aggregate Balance at Executive 2017 Year-End (\$)	Contributions in 2018 (\$ (3))	Registrant Contributions in 2018 (\$ (4))	Aggregate Earnings in 2018 (\$)	Aggregate Balance at 2018 Year-End (\$ (5))
Mr. Johnston	7,207,928	1,161,523	177,023	512,279	9,058,753
Mr. Scherer	2,302,781	94,915	—	(197,700)	2,199,996
Mr. Sewell	3,071,658	541,904	98,273	9,232	3,721,067
Mr. Hollenbeck	1,383,086	186,731	79,308	(13,637)	1,635,488
Mr. Mullen	20,592	—	—	(2,456)	18,136

(1) Prior to 2009 the company did not contribute to the Top Hat Savings Plan.

(2) No withdrawals or distributions occurred in 2018.

(3) The named executive officers' contributions shown in this column are also reported in the Summary Compensation Table in the salary column, and included in the amounts shown for total compensation.

(4) The amounts shown in this column reflect the company's match of the eligible named executive officer's contributions, up to 6 percent of the portion of their cash compensation that exceeds \$275,000.

Of the amounts shown in this column, \$3,610,709; \$675,084; \$1,513,281; \$358,013 and \$0 for Messrs. Johnston, Scherer, Sewell, Hollenbeck and Mullen, respectively, were reported in the Summary Compensation Table in prior years.

**Defined contribution plans** - The company sponsors a tax-qualified 401(k) savings plan for all associates as well as the Cincinnati Financial Corporation Top Hat Savings Plan, a deferred compensation plan for a select group of management or certain highly compensated associates. Fidelity Management Trust Company is the third-party administrator of the company's defined contribution plans. The company made no cash contributions to the 401(k) or Top Hat plans until September 2008. In connection with Retirement Plan changes effective September 1, 2008, the company began to match contributions to the 401(k) plan made by associates who are not members of the Retirement Plan, up to a maximum of 6 percent of the associate's annual cash compensation (salary and annual incentive compensation award). Participants in the Top Hat Savings Plan do not receive a matching contribution from the company unless their compensation level exceeds the maximum recognizable compensation under Section 401(a)(17) of the Internal Revenue Code, which for 2018 was \$275,000. Contributions made by associates immediately vest, while company matching contributions vest after three years of service. Messrs. Johnston, Sewell and Hollenbeck participate in these defined contribution plans and receive company matches of contributions made in each up to the 6 percent maximum. Messrs. Scherer and Mullen also have participated in these plans, but they do not receive the company match because they are participants in the defined benefit Retirement Plan and SERP.



Compensation payable to the named executive officers may be deferred pursuant to the Top Hat Savings Plan. Under the Top Hat Savings Plan, highly compensated individuals as defined by the plan, including the named executive officers, may elect to defer a percentage of salary, any discretionary bonus and any annual incentive compensation, less the required withholdings. Deferral elections are made before the plan year for which compensation is to be deferred and are effective for the entire year. These elections generally may not be modified or terminated for that year. Compensation deferred by the named executive officer is credited to the individual's deferred compensation account maintained by the company.

Beginning in 2008, in connection with the company's redesign of our retirement benefit plans, we amended the Top Hat Savings Plan to eliminate the prior cap on the amount of salary that may be deferred and to permit company matching contributions for certain officers who have contributed to and received the maximum company match allowable in their 401(k) accounts, yet due to tax law limitations, are unable to receive a matching contribution for the compensation that exceeds the limit imposed on tax-qualified 401(k) plans. We do not otherwise contribute to or match contributions to this plan. Participants are prohibited from borrowing or pledging amounts credited to their accounts. Under the defined contribution plans, individuals choose one or more of several specified investment alternatives, including an alternative for Cincinnati Financial Corporation common stock. Earnings credited to the participant's account are calculated based on the performance of the applicable investment choice(s) selected by the participant. We do not guarantee any level of return on contributions to the Top Hat Savings Plan.

Distributions from the Top Hat Savings Plan are made as soon as legally and administratively feasible after retirement, other separation from service or death, or pursuant to a qualified domestic relations order. Distributions to the named executive officers due to retirement or other separation of service are not permitted until the earlier of 180 days after employment terminates or death. Other than distributions pursuant to qualified domestic relations orders, distributions are made in the form of either a single lump-sum payment or monthly installments of not less than 12 months or more than 120 months, depending upon the participant's prior election. To the extent that a participant chooses to have earnings credited based on the Cincinnati Financial Corporation common stock election, the participant may choose to receive any benefit payments in the form of stock. All other distributions are made in cash.

## Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control

We do not have employment contracts or severance plans applicable to any of our named executive officers. Assuming a termination of employment on December 31, 2018, amounts the named executive officer would receive are governed by the terms of our qualified and nonqualified defined benefit and defined contribution plans, our various stock compensation plans and the 2009 Annual Incentive Compensation Plan. Generally, upon termination of employment for any reason, the named executive officer would be entitled to receive the balance of the Top Hat Savings Plan account disclosed in the Aggregated Balance at 2018 Year-End column of the 2018 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan table. Additionally, individual named executive officers would be entitled to receive the amounts set forth in the table below, depending on age and the nature of the termination.

## Potential Payments Upon Termination

Name	Top Hat	Retirement	SERP	Stock-Based Awards		Annual Incentive			
	Savings Plan	Plan		Retirement	Change	Retirement	Change		
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	with Disability	in Control	with Disability	in Control		
Mr. Johnston	(1)9,058,753	—	—	—	4,603,412	4,603,412	—	1,133,000	1,133,000
Mr. Scherer	(2)2,199,996	1,650,097	3,681,371	2,230,785	2,818,480	2,818,480	185,918	619,725	619,725
Mr. Sewell	(1)3,721,067	—	—	—	2,504,714	2,504,714	—	557,375	557,375
Mr. Hollenbeck	(3)1,635,488	—	—	—	2,084,026	2,084,026	—	465,273	465,273
Mr. Mullen	(4)18,136	1,784,211	1,727,856	1,395,193	1,767,119	1,767,119	121,767	405,889	405,889

Messrs. Johnston and Sewell were hired after entry into the defined benefit pension plan was closed and, therefore, were never members of the pension plan or the SERP. If either retired due to a disability or terminated employment (1) because of change of control, he would receive accelerated vesting of certain outstanding stock-based awards under the 2006, 2012 and 2016 Stock Compensation Plans, plus target levels of any outstanding annual incentive compensation award.

Mr. Scherer is age 65 and is eligible for normal retirement under the defined benefit pension plan and SERP. For any termination of employment other than a termination due to retirement with disability or change in control, he would receive accelerated vesting of certain outstanding stock-based awards under the 2006, 2012 and 2016 Stock Compensation Plans and outstanding annual incentive compensation awards under the 2009 Annual Incentive Plan (2) at levels determined by company performance. For a employment termination due to retirement with disability or change in control, Mr. Scherer would receive accelerated vesting of outstanding PSUs and annual incentive compensation awards at target levels. The amount shown for Mr. Scherer includes threshold values for annual incentive compensation and stock based awards, respectively, for performance periods ending December 31, 2018, and target levels for performance-based stock awards with performance periods ending after December 31, 2018.

Mr. Hollenbeck elected to leave the defined benefit plan in 2008, in connection with the company's restructuring of its retirement benefits. If he retired due to a disability or terminated employment because of change of control, he would receive accelerated vesting of certain outstanding stock-based awards under the 2006, 2012 and 2016 Stock (3) Compensation Plans and outstanding annual incentive compensation awards at levels determined by company performance. For any other termination of employment, he would not receive accelerated vesting of such awards because he has not attained age 65 and has not been employed with the company for 35 years.

(4) Mr. Mullen is eligible for early retirement under the defined benefit pension plan and SERP. If he retired due to a disability or terminated employment because of change of control, he would receive accelerated vesting of certain outstanding stock-based awards under the 2006, 2012 and 2016 Stock Compensation Plans and outstanding awards of annual incentive compensation under the 2009 Annual Incentive Plan at target levels. Because Mr. Mullen has

been employed by the company for more than 35 years, for purposes of plan awards, any other termination of employment would be treated as a retirement and he would receive accelerated vesting of certain outstanding stock-based awards under the 2006, 2012 and 2016 Stock Compensation Plans and outstanding annual incentive compensation awards under the 2009 Annual Incentive Plan at levels determined by company performance. The amount shown for Mr. Mullen includes threshold values for annual incentive compensation and stock based awards for performance periods ending December 31, 2018, and target levels for performance-based stock awards with performance periods ending after December 31, 2018.

## Audit-Related Matters

### Proposal 3 - Ratifying the Selection of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The audit committee has selected the firm of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2019. Although action by shareholders in this matter is not required, the audit committee believes that it is appropriate to seek shareholder ratification of this selection and to seriously consider shareholder opinion on this issue.

Representatives from Deloitte & Touche LLP, which also served as the company's independent registered public accounting firm for the last calendar year, will be present at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and will be afforded the opportunity to make any statements they wish and to answer appropriate questions.

### Vote Required

The majority of the votes cast on this proposal is required for approval. Abstentions and broker nonvotes have no effect on the voting for this proposal, but are counted as present for purposes of determining whether quorum requirements are met for the meeting.

The board of directors recommends a vote FOR the resolution ratifying the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2019.

### Report of the Audit Committee

The audit committee is responsible for monitoring the integrity of the company's consolidated financial statements, the company's system of internal controls, the qualifications and independence of the company's independent registered accounting firm, the performance of the company's internal audit department and independent registered accounting firm and the company's compliance with certain legal and regulatory requirements. The committee has sole authority and responsibility to select, determine the compensation of, and evaluate the company's independent registered accounting firm. The committee has nine independent directors and operates under a written charter. The board has determined that each committee member is independent under the standards of director independence established by the Nasdaq listing requirements and is also independent for purposes of Section 10A(m)(3) of the Exchange Act.

Management is responsible for the financial reporting process, including the system of internal controls; for the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and for the report on the company's internal control over financial reporting. The company's independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for auditing those financial statements and expressing an opinion as to their conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The committee's responsibility is to oversee and review the financial reporting process and to review and discuss management's report on the company's internal control over financial reporting. However, the committee is not professionally engaged in the practice of accounting or auditing and does not provide any expert or special assurance as to such financial statements concerning compliance with laws, regulations or generally accepted accounting principles or as to auditor independence. The committee relies, without independent verification, on the information provided to it and on the representations made by management and the independent registered accounting firm.

The committee reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, with management, the internal auditors and Deloitte & Touche LLP. The committee also discussed with management, the internal auditors and Deloitte & Touche LLP the process used to support certifications by the company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer that are required by the SEC and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to accompany the company's periodic filings with the SEC and the processes used to support management's annual report on the company's internal controls over financial reporting.

The committee also discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP matters that independent registered public accounting firms must discuss with audit committees under generally accepted auditing standards and standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), including, among other matters, those related to the conduct of the audit of the company's consolidated financial statements and those required to be discussed by AICPA Auditing Standards No. 61, codified into AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1. AU Section 380 and PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 16 - Communications with Audit Committees, effective pursuant to SEC Release No. 34-68453 (December 17, 2012). The committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from Deloitte & Touche LLP required by applicable standards of the PCAOB regarding its communications with the committee concerning independence, and the committee has discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP its independence from the company. The committee considered whether services Deloitte & Touche LLP provided to the company beyond those rendered in connection with its audit of the company's consolidated financial statements and its reviews of the company's interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in its Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q were compatible with maintaining its independence. The committee also reviewed, among other things, the audit, audit-related and tax services performed by Deloitte & Touche LLP, and the amount of fees paid for such services. The committee received regular updates on the amount of fees and scope of audit, audit-related and tax services provided.

Based on the above-mentioned review and these meetings, discussions and reports, and subject to the limitations on the committee's role and responsibilities referred to above and in the committee's charter, the committee recommended to the board that the company's audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, be included in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K. The committee also selected Deloitte & Touche LLP as the company's independent registered accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019, and is presenting the selection to the shareholders for ratification at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Submitted by the audit committee:

William F. Bahl, Gregory T. Bier, Linda W. Clement-Holmes, Dirk J. Debbink, Kenneth C. Lichtendahl, David P. Osborn, Gretchen W. Price (chair), Douglas S. Skidmore and John F. Steele, Jr.

## Fees Billed by the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The audit committee engaged Deloitte & Touche LLP to perform an annual audit of the company's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2018.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2018	2017
Audit Fees	\$2,880,500	\$2,620,500
Audit-Related Fees	1,360,810	147,000
Tax Fees	818,446	877,254
Subtotal	5,059,756	3,644,754
All Other Fees	514,999	2,018
Deloitte & Touche LLP Total Fees	\$5,574,755	\$3,646,772

## Services Provided by the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

All services rendered by the independent registered public accounting firm are permissible under applicable laws and regulations. In 2018 and 2017, all services rendered by the independent registered accounting firm were preapproved by the audit committee, and no fees were charged pursuant to the de minimis safe harbor exception to the preapproval requirement described in the audit committee charter.

Under the preapproval policy, the audit committee preapproves specific services related to the primary service categories of audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. A one-time preapproval dollar limit for specified services related to a specific primary category is established for the audit period. Examples of nonaudit services specified under the policy requiring preapproval may include: financial and tax due diligence, benefit plan audits, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) agreed-upon procedures, security and privacy control-related assessments, technology control assessments, technology quality assurance, financial reporting control assessments, enterprise security architecture assessment, tax controversy advice (IRS examinations), sales tax and lease compliance, employee benefit tax, tax compliance and support, tax research, allowable actuarial reviews and advice and financial and internal control training.

The committee must individually approve engagements for permissible services. All engagements are periodically reported to the audit committee. Pursuant to the rules of the SEC, the fees billed by the independent registered public accounting firm for services are disclosed in the table above.

**Audit Fees** - For professional services performed by the independent registered public accounting firm for the integrated audit of the company's annual financial statements; review of financial statements included in our Form 10-K and Form 10-Q filings; and services that are normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

**Audit-Related Fees** - For assurance and related services performed by the independent registered public accounting firm that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements. These services include employee benefit plan audits, agreed-upon procedures, financial and tax due diligence in connection with our acquisition of MSP Underwriters Ltd., and statements of actuarial opinion.



Tax Fees - For professional services performed by the independent registered public accounting firm with respect to tax controversy advice, tax compliance and support, tax research, employee benefit compliance and advice, tax advice in connection with our acquisition of MSP Underwriting Ltd., and sales and use tax advice. None of the tax fees in 2018 or 2017 were related to tax advice, planning or consulting for retired executives. Our independent registered public accounting firm does not perform any tax shelter work on our behalf.

All Other Fees - For integration planning and advisory services in connection with the company's acquisition of MSP Underwriting Ltd., training provided to the company's internal audit department and the company's use of an accounting research tool.

## Frequently Asked Questions

### Why are these materials important?

The board of directors of Cincinnati Financial Corporation is soliciting your vote for the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Shareholders of record at the close of business on February 28, 2019, may vote. You have one vote for each share of common stock you owned on that date. There were 163,127,889 shares of common stock outstanding as of the close of business on February 28, 2019. A majority of the outstanding shares, or 81,563,945 shares, must be represented to hold the meeting. This constitutes a quorum.

### How do I vote?

You may vote by proxy, whether or not you attend the meeting. Even if you plan to attend the annual meeting, we ask that you vote your shares in one of the ways listed below. Attending the meeting does not constitute a revocation of a previously submitted vote.

A Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials will be provided to you by mail in late March, unless you previously requested for these materials to be delivered to you in paper or by email. The Notice includes instructions for viewing our year-end 2018 financial materials and proxy statement online and for voting via the internet, by telephone or by mail, along with the required Control Number (the Control Number is unique to each account). The Notice also includes instructions on how to request paper materials.

Shareholders who previously requested paper or email delivery of all materials will receive the 2018 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the 2019 Annual Letter from the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer and the 2019 Shareholder Meeting Notice and Proxy Statement in late March or early April.

If you are a Shareholder of Record who owns shares directly in your name, you may vote your shares in one of the following ways:

By telephone. You may vote your shares by calling 1-866-804-9616.

Over the internet. Go to [AALvote.com/cinf](http://AALvote.com/cinf). You will need to have your Control Number available when you access the website. Your Control Number is on the Notice or proxy card that you received in the mail.

By scanning the QR code on your proxy card or Notice with your mobile device. The QR code on your proxy card or Notice is a unique identifier so you will not need to enter a Control Number. If you scan the QR code with your mobile device, you will access our proxy materials along with a voting screen.

By mail. If you received printed proxy materials, you may submit your vote by completing, signing and dating each proxy card received and returning it in the prepaid envelope. Sign your name exactly as it appears on the proxy card. Be sure to return your proxy card in time to be received and counted before the Annual Meeting.

In person at the Annual Meeting. You may vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting. Even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person, we recommend that you also submit your proxy card or voting instructions, vote by telephone or via the internet by the applicable deadline so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the meeting.

If you vote by telephone or via the internet at [AALvote.com/cinf](http://AALvote.com/cinf) or by scanning the QR code with your mobile device, you must vote no later than 11:59 p.m. ET on April 26, 2019. You do not need to return a proxy card by mail. Voting electronically or by telephone is convenient, reduces the use of natural resources and saves significant postage and processing costs. Your vote is also recorded immediately and there is no risk that postal delays could cause your vote to arrive late and therefore not be counted.

If you are a Beneficial Shareholder who owns shares indirectly through a bank, broker or other nominee, you should follow the instructions in the Notice or voting instructions that you receive from the broker or other nominee holding your shares. Beneficial Shareholders include current and former company associates who hold shares in the Cincinnati Financial Corporation Savings Plan. The availability of telephone and internet voting will depend on the voting process of your broker or nominee. Shares held beneficially may be voted in person at the Annual Meeting only if you obtain a legal proxy from your broker or nominee giving you the right to vote the shares.

How do I locate my Control Number?

If you receive our information in the mail, the Control Number is on the Notice or proxy card that also indicates your name and the number of shares you own. If you receive our information electronically, the Control Number is in the text of the email. If you are a Shareholder of Record, you may also obtain your Control Number by calling 1-877-777-2857. If you are a Beneficial Shareholder, your bank, broker or other nominee can provide your Control Number.

Can I obtain another proxy card so I can vote by mail?

If you are a Shareholder of Record, you may obtain another proxy card by calling 1-877-777-2857. If you are a Beneficial Shareholder, your bank, broker or other nominee can supply another voting instruction form.

Can my shares be voted if I don't return my proxy or voting instructions and don't attend the annual meeting?

If you are a Shareholder of Record, the answer is no. If you are a Beneficial Shareholder and you do not direct your nominee as to how to vote your shares, applicable rules provide that the nominee generally may vote your shares on any of the routine matters scheduled to come before the meeting. The proposal to ratify the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm is believed to be the only routine matter scheduled to come before this year's annual meeting. If your nominee indicates on a proxy that it does not have discretionary authority to vote certain shares on a particular matter, these shares (called broker nonvotes) are counted as present in determining whether we have a quorum but have no effect on the votes required to elect directors or to approve compensation for our named executive officers.

Can I change my vote or revoke my proxy?

Yes. Simply cast a new vote by internet or telephone or send in a new signed proxy card with a later date. If you are a Shareholder of Record, you may send a written notice of revocation to the corporate secretary of the company. If you hold shares directly in your name and attend the annual meeting, you also may choose to vote in person. At the meeting, you can request a ballot and direct that your previously submitted proxy not be used.

How are the votes counted?

Votes cast by proxy are tabulated prior to the meeting by the holders of the proxies. Inspectors of election appointed at the meeting count the votes and announce the preliminary results at the meeting. The proxy agent reserves the right not to vote any proxies that are altered in a manner not intended by the instructions contained in the proxy. The company publicly discloses the final voting results in a Form 8-K filing after the vote count is certified, usually within a week of the meeting.

Could other matters be decided at the meeting?

We do not know of any matters to be considered at the annual meeting other than the election of directors and the proposals described in this proxy statement. For any other matters that do properly come before the meeting, your shares will be voted at the discretion of the proxy holder.

Can I listen to the meeting if I cannot attend in person?

The meeting is open to all interested parties. You can also listen to a live webcast of the meeting if you are unable to attend. Instructions are available at [cinfin.com/investors](http://cinfin.com/investors) approximately two weeks before the meeting. An audio replay is available on the website within two hours after the close of the meeting.

How can I obtain a 2018 Annual Report?

You can obtain our 2018 Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC at no cost in several ways. You may view, search or print the document online from [cinfin.com/investors](http://cinfin.com/investors) or [viewproxy.com/cinfin/2018](http://viewproxy.com/cinfin/2018). You may ask that a copy be mailed to you by contacting the corporate secretary of Cincinnati Financial Corporation. Or, you may request it directly from Shareholder Services. Please see the Investor Contacts page of [cinfin.com/investors](http://cinfin.com/investors) for details. These contacts are also listed at the end of this proxy statement.

## Conclusion

### Shareholder Proposals, Director Nominations and Important Dates

#### Shareholder Proposals for Inclusion in the Proxy Statement for the 2020 Annual Shareholder Meeting

Any qualified shareholder who wishes to present a proposal for action at the 2020 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must submit the proposal to Cincinnati Financial Corporation, Attn: Lisa A. Love, Corporate Secretary, P.O. Box 145496, Cincinnati, Ohio 45250-5496, on or before November 14, 2019, to be included in our proxy statement for the 2020 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Any such proposal must conform to the rules and regulations of the SEC and otherwise be in accordance with other federal laws as well as the laws of the State of Ohio. If the date of the 2020 Annual Meeting of Shareholders is not within 30 days of April 27, 2020, the deadline will be a reasonable time before we begin to print and mail the proxy materials for the 2020 annual meeting. In addition, the proxy solicited by the board for the 2020 annual meeting will confer discretionary authority on the persons named in such proxy to vote on any shareholder proposal presented at that meeting if we receive notice of such proposal later than January 28, 2020, without the matter having been discussed in such proxy.

#### Director Nominations for Inclusion in the Proxy Statement for the 2020 Annual Shareholder Meeting

Last year, shareholders approved the addition of a proxy access amendment to the company's Code of Regulations which requires any qualified shareholder or group of qualified shareholders who wishes to nominate one or more director candidates to be included in the company's proxy statement for the 2020 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to deliver proper written notice to our corporate secretary of any such nomination no earlier than the close of business on November 29, 2019 and no later than the close of business on December 29, 2019. The nomination must otherwise comply with our Code of Regulations.

#### Other Proposals or Director Nominations for Presentation at the 2020 Annual Shareholder Meeting

Any qualified shareholder who wishes to present a proposal for action or for nomination of a candidate for election to our board of directors at the 2020 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (other than any proposal made pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 or nomination of a director candidate using proxy access) must deliver a notice of the proposal, in the form required by Section 6 of our Code of Regulations, to our corporate secretary on or before February 27, 2020, but not before January 18, 2020, or the shareholder's proposal will not be permitted to be brought before the 2020 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Cost of Solicitation

Proxies may be solicited by our directors, officers or other employees, either in person or by mail, telephone or email. The cost of soliciting proxies will be borne by the company. We have contracted with Alliance Advisors LLC to provide internet and telephone voting service for our direct shareholders of record. We ask banks, brokerage houses, other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward copies of the proxy materials to beneficial owners of shares or to request authority for the execution of proxies; and we have agreed to reimburse reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred. We have retained the services of Alliance Advisors LLC, a proxy solicitation firm, to assist us in soliciting proxies for the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The cost of such services is estimated at \$12,500 plus out-of-pocket expenses.

Other Business

Management does not know of any other matter or business that may be brought before the meeting; but if any other matter or business properly comes before the meeting, it is intended that a vote will be cast pursuant to the accompanying proxy in accordance with the judgment of the person or persons voting the same.

/S/ Lisa A. Love  
Lisa A. Love, Esq.  
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary  
March 13, 2019  
Cincinnati Financial Corporation

## Appendix A

### Definitions of Non-GAAP Information and Reconciliation to Comparable GAAP Measures

(See attached tables for reconciliations; prior-period reconciliations available at [cinfin.com/investors](http://cinfin.com/investors).)

Cincinnati Financial Corporation prepares its public financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Statutory data is prepared in accordance with statutory accounting rules as defined by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners' (NAIC) Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual, and therefore is not reconciled to GAAP data.

Management uses certain non-GAAP financial measures to evaluate its primary business areas – property casualty insurance, life insurance and investments. Management uses these measures when analyzing both GAAP and non-GAAP results to improve its understanding of trends in the underlying business and to help avoid incorrect or misleading assumptions and conclusions about the success or failure of company strategies. Management adjustments to GAAP measures generally: apply to non-recurring events that are unrelated to business performance and distort short-term results; involve values that fluctuate based on events outside of management's control; supplement reporting segment disclosures with disclosures for a subsidiary company or for a combination of subsidiaries or reporting segments; or relate to accounting refinements that affect comparability between periods, creating a need to analyze data on the same basis.

**Non-GAAP operating income:** Non-GAAP operating income is calculated by excluding investment gains and losses (defined as investment gains and losses after applicable federal and state income taxes) and other significant non-recurring items from net income. Management evaluates non-GAAP operating income to measure the success of pricing, rate and underwriting strategies. While investment gains (or losses) are integral to the company's insurance operations over the long term, the determination to realize investment gains or losses on fixed-maturity securities sold in any period may be subject to management's discretion and is independent of the insurance underwriting process. Also, under applicable GAAP accounting requirements, gains and losses are recognized from certain changes in market values of securities without actual realization. Management believes that the level of investment gains or losses for any particular period, while it may be material, may not fully indicate the performance of ongoing underlying business operations in that period.

For these reasons, many investors and shareholders consider non-GAAP operating income to be one of the more meaningful measures for evaluating insurance company performance. Equity analysts who report on the insurance industry and the company generally focus on this metric in their analyses. The company presents non-GAAP operating income so that all investors have what management believes to be a useful supplement to GAAP information.

**Consolidated property casualty insurance results:** To supplement reporting segment disclosures related to our property casualty insurance operations, we also evaluate results for those operations on a basis that includes results for our property casualty insurance and brokerage services subsidiaries. That is the total of our commercial lines, personal lines and our excess and surplus lines segment plus our reinsurance assumed operations.

**Life insurance subsidiary results:** To supplement life insurance reporting segment disclosures related to our life insurance operation, we also evaluate results for that operation on a basis that includes life insurance subsidiary investment income, or investment income plus investment gains and losses, that are also included in our investments reporting segment. We recognize that assets under management, capital appreciation and investment income are integral to evaluating the success of the life insurance segment because of the long duration of life products.

## Cincinnati Financial Corporation

## Net Income Reconciliation

(Dollars in millions except per share data)	Twelve months ended	
	December 31, 2018	2017
Net income (loss)	\$287	\$1,045
Less:		
Investment gains and losses, net	(402 )	148
Income tax on investment gains and losses	84	(53 )
Investment gains and losses, after-tax	(318 )	95
Other non-recurring items	56	495
Non-GAAP operating income	\$549	\$455
Diluted per share data:		
Net income (loss)	\$1.75	\$6.29
Less:		
Investment gains and losses, net	(2.44 )	0.89
Income tax on investment gains and losses	0.50	(0.32 )
Investment gains and losses, after-tax	(1.94 )	0.57
Other non-recurring items	0.34	2.98
Non-GAAP operating income	\$3.35	\$2.74

## Property Casualty Operations Reconciliation

(Dollars in millions)	Twelve months ended December 31, 2018				Cincinnati Re
	Consolidated	Commercial	Personal	E&S	
Premiums:					
Written premiums	\$5,030	\$3,245	\$1,378	\$249	\$158
Unearned premiums change	(110 )	(27 )	(42 )	(15 )	(26 )
Earned premiums	\$4,920	\$3,218	\$1,336	\$234	\$132
Statutory ratios:					
Combined ratio	96.0	% 95.1	% 101.2	% 73.5	% 106.8
Contribution from catastrophe losses	7.1	5.8	9.4	1.1	24.9
Combined ratio excluding catastrophe losses	88.9	% 89.3	% 91.8	% 72.4	% 81.9
Commission expense ratio	18.8	% 18.3	% 17.8	% 25.9	% 26.2
Other underwriting expense ratio	11.7	13.1	10.6	3.2	6.6
Total expense ratio	30.5	% 31.4	% 28.4	% 29.1	% 32.8
GAAP ratios:					
Combined ratio	96.4	% 95.4	% 101.9	% 73.5	% 105.8
Contribution from catastrophe losses	7.1	5.8	9.4	1.1	24.9
Prior accident years before catastrophe losses	(3.1 )	(4.2 )	0.6	(10.6)	1.1
Current accident year combined ratio before catastrophe losses	92.4	% 93.8	% 91.9	% 83.0	% 79.8



Dollar amounts shown are rounded to millions; certain amounts may not add due to rounding. Ratios are calculated based on dollar amounts in thousands.

## Life Insurance Reconciliation

(Dollars in millions)	Twelve months ended December 31, 2018 2017	
Net income of life insurance subsidiary	\$48	\$155
Investment gains, net	(4 )	6
Income tax on investment gains	—	2
Effects of U.S. tax reform legislation	—	111
Non-GAAP operating income	52	40
Investment income, net of expenses	(153)	(155 )
Investment income credited to contract holders'	96	93
Income tax excluding tax on investment gains and effects of U.S. tax reform legislation	13	21
Life insurance segment profit (loss)	\$8	\$(1 )

## Other Measures

Value creation ratio: This is a measure of shareholder value creation that management believes captures the contribution of the company's insurance operations, the success of its investment strategy and the importance placed on paying cash dividends to shareholders. The value creation ratio measure is made up of two primary components: (1) rate of growth in book value per share plus (2) the ratio of dividends declared per share to beginning book value per share. Management believes this measure is useful, providing a meaningful measure of long-term progress in creating shareholder value. It is intended to be all-inclusive regarding changes in book value per share, and uses originally reported book value per share in cases where book value per share has been adjusted, such as adoption of Accounting Standards Updates with a cumulative effect of a change in accounting.

Statutory accounting rules: For public reporting, insurance companies prepare financial statements in accordance with GAAP. However, insurers also must calculate certain data according to statutory accounting rules as defined in the NAIC's Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual, which may be, and has been, modified by various state insurance departments and differ from GAAP. Statutory data is publicly available, and various organizations use it to calculate aggregate industry data, study industry trends and compare insurance companies.

Written premium: Under statutory accounting rules, property casualty written premium is the amount recorded for policies issued and recognized on an annualized basis at the effective date of the policy. Management analyzes trends in written premium to assess business efforts. Earned premium, used in both statutory and GAAP accounting, is calculated ratably over the policy term. The difference between written and earned premium is unearned premium.

Cincinnati Financial Corporation  
Value Creation Ratio Calculations

(Dollars are per share)	Twelve months ended December 31,	
	2018	2017
Value creation ratio:		
End of period book value*	\$48.10	\$50.29
Less beginning of period book value	50.29	42.95
Change in book value	(2.19 )	7.34
Dividend declared to shareholders	2.12	2.50
Total value creation	\$(0.07 )	\$9.84
Value creation ratio from change in book value**	(4.3 )%	17.1 %
Value creation ratio from dividends declared to shareholders***	4.2	5.8
Value creation ratio	(0.1 )%	22.9 %

\* Book value per share is calculated by dividing end of period total shareholders' equity by end of period shares outstanding

\*\* Change in book value divided by the beginning of period book value

\*\*\* Dividend declared to shareholders divided by beginning of period book value





