

FIRST MID ILLINOIS BANCSHARES INC

Form 10-Q

November 08, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

Or

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 0-13368

FIRST MID-ILLINOIS BANCSHARES, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

37-1103704

(I.R.S. employer identification no.)

1421 Charleston Avenue,

Mattoon, Illinois

(Address of principal executive offices)

61938

(Zip code)

(217) 234-7454

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes

☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☒

Edgar Filing: FIRST MID ILLINOIS BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). ☐ Yes ☒ No

As of November 8, 2012, 6,032,682 common shares, \$4.00 par value, were outstanding.

---

## PART I

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

First Mid-Illinois Bancshares, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except share data)

	(Unaudited) September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Assets		
Cash and due from banks:		
Non-interest bearing	\$36,972	\$43,356
Interest bearing	2,764	8,749
Federal funds sold	5,992	20,997
Cash and cash equivalents	45,728	73,102
Certificates of deposit investments	8,504	13,231
Investment securities:		
Available-for-sale, at fair value	525,752	478,916
Held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (estimated fair value of \$0 at September 30, 2012 and \$51 at December 31, 2011)	—	51
Loans held for sale	1,390	1,046
Loans	897,977	859,028
Less allowance for loan losses	(11,645)	(11,120)
Net loans	886,332	847,908
Interest receivable	7,325	7,052
Other real estate owned	1,103	4,606
Premises and equipment, net	29,982	30,717
Goodwill, net	25,753	25,753
Intangible assets, net	3,331	3,934
Other assets	13,506	14,640
Total assets	\$1,548,706	\$1,500,956
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Deposits:		
Non-interest bearing	\$217,253	\$198,962
Interest bearing	1,023,415	971,772
Total deposits	1,240,668	1,170,734
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	111,870	132,380
Interest payable	385	510
FHLB borrowings	9,750	19,750
Other borrowings	—	8,250
Junior subordinated debentures	20,620	20,620
Other liabilities	7,725	7,745
Total liabilities	1,391,018	1,359,989
Stockholders' Equity:		
Convertible preferred stock, no par value; authorized 1,000,000 shares; issued 10,427 shares in 2012 and 8,777 shares in 2011	52,035	43,785
Common stock, \$4 par value; authorized 18,000,000 shares; issued 7,641,802 shares in 2012 and 7,553,094 shares in 2011	30,567	30,212
Additional paid-in capital	30,896	29,368

Edgar Filing: FIRST MID ILLINOIS BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Retained earnings	77,843	71,739
Deferred compensation	2,835	2,904
Accumulated other comprehensive income	5,392	3,148
Less treasury stock at cost, 1,616,283 shares in 2012 and 1,546,529 shares in 2011	(41,880	) (40,189 )
Total stockholders' equity	157,688	140,967
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$1,548,706	\$1,500,956
See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.		

## First Mid-Illinois Bancshares, Inc.

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share data)	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30, 2012	2011	September 30, 2012	2011
Interest income:				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 10,993	\$ 11,204	\$ 32,863	\$ 33,947
Interest on investment securities	2,938	2,891	8,893	8,063
Interest on certificates of deposit investments	12	20	46	60
Interest on federal funds sold	7	15	35	55
Interest on deposits with other financial institutions	8	38	27	194
Total interest income	13,958	14,168	41,864	42,319
Interest expense:				
Interest on deposits	1,115	1,663	3,845	5,260
Interest on securities sold under agreements to repurchase	25	47	100	122
Interest on FHLB borrowings	66	185	244	579
Interest on other borrowings	—	—	326	—
Interest on subordinated debentures	142	131	428	632
Total interest expense	1,348	2,026	4,943	6,593
Net interest income	12,610	12,142	36,921	35,726
Provision for loan losses	720	728	1,751	2,584
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	11,890	11,414	35,170	33,142
Other income:				
Trust revenues	759	661	2,371	2,181
Brokerage commissions	184	178	494	485
Insurance commissions	392	385	1,476	1,503
Service charges	1,248	1,286	3,537	3,583
Securities gains, net	110	35	933	412
Total other-than-temporary impairment recoveries (losses)	127	(338)	) 127	(584)
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—
Other-than-temporary impairment recoveries (losses) recognized in earnings	127	(338)	) 127	(584)
Mortgage banking revenue, net	475	189	1,038	428
ATM / debit card revenue	852	882	2,543	2,603
Other	376	422	1,081	1,153
Total other income	4,523	3,700	13,600	11,764
Other expense:				
Salaries and employee benefits	5,914	5,424	17,437	16,483
Net occupancy and equipment expense	2,028	2,058	6,042	6,008
Net other real estate owned expense	59	455	357	1,052
FDIC insurance	202	217	665	937
Amortization of intangible assets	179	286	603	858
Stationery and supplies	134	143	445	432
Legal and professional	557	586	1,665	1,666
Marketing and donations	138	320	689	779
Other	1,351	1,375	4,058	3,952
Total other expense	10,562	10,864	31,961	32,167

Edgar Filing: FIRST MID ILLINOIS BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Income before income taxes	5,851	4,250	16,809	12,739
Income taxes	2,204	1,571	6,293	4,637
Net income	3,647	2,679	10,516	8,102
Dividends on preferred shares	1,104	919	3,148	2,637
Net income available to common stockholders	\$2,543	\$1,760	\$7,368	\$5,465
Per share data:				
Basic net income per common share available to common stockholders	0.42	0.29	1.22	0.90
Diluted net income per common share available to common stockholders	0.42	0.29	1.22	0.90
Cash dividends declared per common share	—	—	0.21	0.19
See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.				

## First Mid-Illinois Bancshares, Inc.

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

(unaudited)

(in thousands)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net income	\$3,647	\$2,679	\$10,516	\$8,102
Other Comprehensive Income				
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, net of taxes of \$(1,066) and \$(1,189) for three-months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$(1,796) and \$(3,836) for nine-months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively	1,669	1,860	2,813	6,004
Less: reclassification adjustment for realized gains included in net income net of taxes of \$43 and \$(118) for three-months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$364 and \$(67) for nine-months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively	(67	) 185	(569	) 105
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities for which a portion of an other-than-temporary impairment has been recognized in income, net of taxes of \$0 and \$0 for three-months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$0 for nine-months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	—	—	—	—
Other comprehensive income, net of taxes	1,602	2,045	2,244	6,109
Comprehensive income	\$5,249	\$4,724	\$12,760	\$14,211

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

## First Mid-Illinois Bancshares, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
(In thousands)		
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$10,516	\$8,102
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	1,751	2,584
Depreciation, amortization and accretion, net	4,084	3,958
Stock-based compensation expense	173	106
Gains on investment securities, net	(933)	(412)
Other-than-temporary impairment (recoveries) losses recognized in earnings	(127)	584
Losses on sales of other real property owned, net	257	712
Loss on write down of fixed assets	19	1
Gains on sale of loans held for sale, net	(954)	(426)
Increase in accrued interest receivable	(273)	(295)
Decrease in accrued interest payable	(125)	(209)
Origination of loans held for sale	(67,545)	(34,207)
Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale	68,155	33,289
Increase in other assets	(220)	(2,071)
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	(106)	3,345
Net cash provided by operating activities	14,672	15,061
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from maturities of certificates of deposit investments	11,143	8,611
Purchases of certificates of deposit investments	(6,416)	(11,392)
Proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale	30,500	11,140
Proceeds from maturities of securities held-to-maturity	51	—
Proceeds from maturities of securities available-for-sale	195,136	119,780
Purchases of securities available-for-sale	(269,317)	(229,276)
Net increase in loans	(40,759)	(12,114)
Purchases of premises and equipment	(1,164)	(4,145)
Proceeds from sales of other real property owned	3,830	2,056
Net cash used in investing activities	(76,996)	(115,340)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	69,934	(13,821)
(Decrease) increase in repurchase agreements	(20,510)	22,338
Repayment of long term FHLB advances	(10,000)	(3,000)
Repayment of other borrowings	(8,250)	—
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	819	326
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	8,250	19,250
Purchase of treasury stock	(1,637)	(2,015)
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(1,766)	(3,156)
Dividends paid on common stock	(1,890)	(1,697)
Net cash provided by financing activities	34,950	18,225
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(27,374)	(82,054)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	73,102	231,493
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$45,728	\$149,439





First Mid-Illinois Bancshares, Inc.	Nine months ended September	
	30, 2012	2011
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$5,068	\$6,802
Income taxes	6,378	2,397
Supplemental disclosures of noncash investing and financing activities		
Loans transferred to other real estate owned	584	1,500
Dividends reinvested in common stock	747	641
Net tax benefit related to option and deferred compensation plans	102	31

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited)

Note 1 -- Basis of Accounting and Consolidation

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of First Mid-Illinois Bancshares, Inc. (“Company”) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries: Mid-Illinois Data Services, Inc. (“MIDS”), First Mid-Illinois Bank & Trust, N.A. (“First Mid Bank”) and The Checkley Agency, Inc. doing business as First Mid Insurance Group (“First Mid Insurance”). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The financial information reflects all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of the interim periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, and all such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Certain amounts in the prior year’s consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the September 30, 2012 presentation and there was no impact on net income or stockholders’ equity. The results of the interim period ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the year ending December 31, 2012. The Company operates as a one-segment entity for financial reporting purposes.

The 2011 year-end consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X and do not include all of the information required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) for complete financial statements and related footnote disclosures although the Company believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information not misleading. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company’s 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Website

The Company maintains a website at [www.firstmid.com](http://www.firstmid.com). All periodic and current reports of the Company and amendments to these reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) can be accessed, free of charge, through this website as soon as reasonably practicable after these materials are filed with the SEC.

Stock Plans

At the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held May 23, 2007, the stockholders approved the First Mid-Illinois Bancshares, Inc. 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (“SI Plan”). The SI Plan was implemented to succeed the Company’s 1997 Stock Incentive Plan, which had a ten-year term that expired October 21, 2007. The SI Plan is intended to provide a means whereby directors, employees, consultants and advisors of the Company and its subsidiaries may sustain a sense of proprietorship and personal involvement in the continued development and financial success of the Company and its subsidiaries, thereby advancing the interests of the Company and its stockholders. Accordingly, directors and selected employees, consultants and advisors may be provided the opportunity to acquire shares of common stock of the Company on the terms and conditions established in the SI Plan.

On September 27, 2011, the Board of Directors passed a resolution relating to the SI Plan whereby they authorized and approved the Executive Long-Term Incentive Plan (“LTIP”). The LTIP was implemented to provide methodology for granting Stock Awards and Stock Unit Awards to select senior executives of the Company or any Subsidiary.

A maximum of 300,000 shares of common stock may be issued under the SI Plan. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had awarded 59,500 shares as stock options under the SI plan. There were no stock options awarded in 2011 or 2012. The Company awarded 14,592 shares and 17,409 shares during 2012 and 2011, respectively, as 50% Stock Awards and 50% Stock Unit Awards under the SI plan.

#### Convertible Preferred Stock

Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. During 2009, the Company sold to certain accredited investors including directors, executive officers, and certain major customers and holders of the Company's common stock, \$24,635,000, in the aggregate, of a newly authorized series of its preferred stock designated as Series B 9% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Series B Preferred Stock"). The Series B Preferred Stock had an issue price of \$5,000 per share and no par value per share. The Series B Preferred Stock was issued in a private placement exempt from registration pursuant to Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

The Series B Preferred Stock pays non-cumulative dividends semiannually in arrears, when, as and if authorized by the Board of Directors of the Company, at a rate of 9% per year. Holders of the Series B Preferred Stock will have no voting rights, except with respect to certain fundamental changes in the terms of the Series B Preferred Stock and certain other matters. In addition, if dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock are not paid in full for four dividend periods, whether consecutive or not, the holders of the Series B Preferred Stock, acting as a class with any other of the Company's securities having similar voting rights, will have the right to elect two directors to the Company's Board of Directors. The terms of office of these directors will end when the Company has paid or set aside for payment full semi-annual dividends for four consecutive dividend periods.

Each share of the Series B Preferred Stock may be converted at any time at the option of the holder into shares of the Company's common stock. The number of shares of common stock into which each share of the Series B Preferred Stock is convertible is the \$5,000 liquidation preference per share divided by the Conversion Price initially set at \$21.94. The Conversion Price is subject to adjustment from time to time pursuant to the terms of the Certificate of Designation (the "Series B Certificate of Designation"). If at the time of conversion, there are any authorized, declared and unpaid dividends with respect to a converted share of Series B Preferred Stock, the holder will receive cash in lieu of the dividends, and a holder will receive cash in lieu of fractional shares of common stock following conversion.

After November 16, 2014, the Company may, at its option but subject to the Company's receipt of any required prior approvals from the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System or any other regulatory authority, redeem the Series B Preferred Stock. Any redemption will be in exchange for cash in the amount of \$5,000 per share, plus any authorized, declared and unpaid dividends, without accumulation of any undeclared dividends.

The Company also has the right at any time on or after November 16, 2014 to require the conversion of all (but not less than all) of the Series B Preferred Stock into shares of common stock if, on the date notice of mandatory conversion is given to holders, the book value of the Company's common stock equals or exceeds 115% of the book value of the Company's common stock at September 30, 2008. "Book value of the Company's common stock" at any date means the result of dividing the Company's total common stockholders' equity at that date, determined in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, by the number of shares of common stock then outstanding, net of any shares held in the treasury. The book value of the Company's common stock at September 30, 2008 was \$13.03, and 115% of this amount is approximately \$14.98. The book value of the Company's common stock at September 30, 2012 was \$17.53.

Pursuant to Section 3(j) of the Series B Certification of Designation, the conversion price for the Series B Preferred Stock, which was initially set at \$21.94, was required to be adjusted if, among other things, the initial conversion price of any subsequently issued series of preferred stock was lower than the then current conversion price of the Series B Preferred Stock. As a result of the Series C Preferred Stock (see below) having an initial conversion price of less than \$21.94, the conversion price of the Series B Preferred Stock was adjusted pursuant to the terms of the Series B Certificate of Designation based on the amount of Series C Preferred Stock sold on February 11, 2011, March 2, 2011, May 13, 2011 and June 28, 2012. The new conversion price of the Series B Preferred Stock, certified by the Company's accountant pursuant to Section 3(j) of the Series B Certificate of Designation, is \$21.62.

**Series C Convertible Preferred Stock.** On February 11, 2011, the Company accepted from certain accredited investors, including directors, executive officers, and certain major customers and holders of the Company's common stock (collectively, the "Investors"), subscriptions for the purchase of \$27,500,000, in the aggregate, of a newly authorized series of preferred stock designated as Series C 8% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Series C Preferred Stock"). As of February 11, 2011, \$11,010,000 of the Series C Preferred Stock had been issued and sold by the Company to certain Investors. On March 2, 2011, three investors subsequently completed the required bank regulatory process and an additional \$2,750,000 of Series C Preferred Stock was issued and sold by the

Company to these investors. On May 13, 2011, four additional investors received the required bank regulatory approval and an additional \$5,490,000 of Series C Preferred Stock was issued and sold by the Company to these investors. On June 28, 2012, the final \$8,250,000 of the Company's Series C Preferred Stock was issued and sold by the Company to Investors following their receipt of the required bank regulatory approval, for a total of \$27,500,000 of outstanding Series C Preferred Stock. All of the Series C Preferred Stock subscribed for by investors has been issued.

The Series C Preferred Stock has an issue price of \$5,000 per share and no par value per share. The Series C Preferred Stock was issued in a private placement exempt from registration pursuant to Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

The Series C Preferred Stock pays non-cumulative dividends semiannually in arrears, when, as and if authorized by the Board of Directors of the Company, at a rate of 8% per year. Holders of the Series C Preferred Stock will have no voting rights, except with respect to certain fundamental changes in the terms of the Series C Preferred Stock and certain other matters. In

addition, if dividends on the Series C Preferred Stock are not paid in full for four dividend periods, whether consecutive or not, the holders of the Series C Preferred Stock, acting as a class with any other of the Company's securities having similar voting rights, including the Company's Series B Preferred Stock, will have the right to elect two directors to the Company's Board of Directors. The terms of office of these directors will end when the Company has paid or set aside for payment full semi-annual dividends for four consecutive dividend periods.

Each share of the Series C Preferred Stock may be converted at any time at the option of the holder into shares of the Company's common stock. The number of shares of common stock into which each share of the Series C Preferred Stock is convertible is the \$5,000 liquidation preference per share divided by the Conversion Price of \$20.29. The Conversion Price is subject to adjustment from time to time pursuant to the terms of the Series C Certificate of Designation. If at the time of conversion, there are any authorized, declared and unpaid dividends with respect to a converted share of Series C Preferred Stock, the holder will receive cash in lieu of the dividends, and a holder will receive cash in lieu of fractional shares of common stock following conversion.

After May 13, 2016 the Company may, at its option but subject to the Company's receipt of any required prior approvals from the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System or any other regulatory authority, redeem the Series C Preferred Stock. Any redemption will be in exchange for cash in the amount of \$5,000 per share, plus any authorized, declared and unpaid dividends, without accumulation of any undeclared dividends.

The Company also has the right at any time after May 13, 2016 to require the conversion of all (but not less than all) of the Series C Preferred Stock into shares of common stock if, on the date notice of mandatory conversion is given to holders, (a) the tangible book value per share of the Company's common stock equals or exceeds 115% of the tangible book value per share of the Company's common stock at December 31, 2010, and (b) the NASDAQ Bank Index (denoted by CBNK:IND) equals or exceeds 115% of the NASDAQ Bank Index at December 31, 2010. "Tangible book value per share of our common stock" at any date means the result of dividing the Company's total common stockholders equity at that date, less the amount of goodwill and intangible assets, determined in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, by the number of shares of common stock then outstanding, net of any shares held in the treasury. The tangible book value of the Company's common stock at December 31, 2010 was \$9.38, and 115% of this amount is approximately \$10.79. The NASDAQ Bank Index value at December 31, 2010 was 1,847.35 and 115% of this amount is approximately 2,124.45. The tangible book value of the Company's common stock at September 30, 2012 was \$12.71 and the NASDAQ Bank Index value at September 30, 2012 was 1,888.14.

#### Comprehensive Income

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income included in stockholders' equity as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

	Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Available for Sale Securities	Securities with Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Losses	Total
September 30, 2012			
Net unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale	\$13,360	\$ —	\$13,360
Securities with other-than-temporary impairment losses	—	(4,524)	(4,524)
Tax benefit (expense)	(5,208)	1,764	(3,444)
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$8,152	\$ (2,760)	\$5,392
December 31, 2011			
Net unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale	\$10,066	\$—	\$10,066
Securities with other-than-temporary impairment losses	—	(4,906)	(4,906)

Edgar Filing: FIRST MID ILLINOIS BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Tax benefit (expense)	(3,924	) 1,912	(2,012	)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$6,142	\$(2,994	) \$3,148	

See “Note 3 – Investment Securities” for more detailed information regarding unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities.



#### Adoption of New Accounting Guidance

ASU No. 2011-04 - Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04. ASU 2011-04 changes the wording used to describe many of the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. Consequently, the amendments in this update result in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). ASU 2011-04 is effective prospectively during interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. Early application by public entities is not permitted. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-04 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASU No. 2011-05 - Presentation of Comprehensive Income. In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-05 allow an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. The statement(s) are required to be presented with equal prominence as the other primary financial statements. ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholders' equity but does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-05 are effective for the Company's interim reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2011, with retrospective application required. The Company has elected to present comprehensive income as a separate but consecutive statement to the statement of income thus the adoption of ASU No. 2011-05 resulted in the addition of the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

ASU 2011-08 - Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment. In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08. ASU 2011-08 amends Topic 350 to permit an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the second step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. Under the amendments in this guidance, an entity has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any reporting unit in any period and proceed directly to performing the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. An entity may resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. The amendments do not change the current guidance for testing other indefinite lived intangible assets for impairment. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

## Note 2 -- Earnings Per Share

Basic net income per common share available to common stockholders is calculated as net income less preferred stock dividends divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted net income per common share available to common stockholders is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, increased by the assumed conversion of the Company's convertible preferred stock and the Company's stock options, unless anti-dilutive.

The components of basic and diluted net income per common share available to common stockholders for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Basic Net Income per Common Share				
Available to Common Stockholders:				
Net income	\$3,647,000	\$2,679,000	\$10,516,000	\$8,102,000
Preferred stock dividends	(1,104,000 )	(919,000 )	(3,148,000 )	(2,637,000 )
Net income available to common stockholders	\$2,543,000	\$1,760,000	\$7,368,000	\$5,465,000
Weighted average common shares outstanding	6,030,053	6,023,521	6,025,255	6,051,481
Basic earnings per common share	\$0.42	\$0.29	\$1.22	\$0.90
Diluted Net Income per Common Share				
Available to Common Stockholders:				
Net income available to common stockholders	\$2,543,000	\$1,760,000	\$7,368,000	\$5,465,000
Effect of assumed preferred stock conversion	—	—	—	—
Net income applicable to diluted earnings per share	\$2,543,000	\$1,760,000	\$7,368,000	\$5,465,000
Weighted average common shares outstanding	6,030,053	6,023,521	6,025,255	6,051,481
Dilutive potential common shares:				
Assumed conversion of stock options	10,954	11,769	5,355	12,415
Restricted stock awarded	135	128	275	128
Assumed conversion of preferred stock	—	—	—	—
Dilutive potential common shares	11,089	11,897	5,630	12,543
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	6,041,142	6,035,418	6,030,885	6,064,024
Diluted earnings per common share	\$0.42	\$0.29	\$1.22	\$0.90

The following shares were not considered in computing diluted earnings per share for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 because they were anti-dilutive:

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Stock options to purchase shares of common stock	108,125	202,970	108,125	202,970
Average dilutive potential common shares associated with convertible preferred stock	2,490,079	2,078,788	2,222,967	1,960,390



## Note 3 -- Investment Securities

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and estimated fair values for available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities by major security type at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows (in thousands):

September 30, 2012	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized (Losses)	Fair Value
Available-for-sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations & agencies	\$174,089	\$1,414	\$(28)	) \$175,475
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	51,327	3,305	(21)	) 54,611
Mortgage-backed securities: GSE residential	276,889	8,552	(29)	) 285,412
Trust preferred securities	4,974	—	(4,524)	) 450
Other securities	9,637	171	(4)	) 9,804
Total available-for-sale	\$516,916	\$13,442	\$(4,606)	) \$525,752
December 31, 2011				
Available-for-sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations & agencies	\$164,812	\$1,294	\$(40)	) \$166,066
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	38,828	2,374	—	) 41,202
Mortgage-backed securities: GSE residential	254,930	6,940	(37)	) 261,833
Trust preferred securities	5,625	—	(4,906)	) 719
Other securities	9,561	—	(465)	) 9,096
Total available-for-sale	\$473,756	\$10,608	\$(5,448)	) \$478,916
Held-to-maturity:				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$51	\$—	\$—	) \$51

The trust preferred securities are three trust preferred pooled securities issued by First Tennessee Financial (“FTN”). The unrealized losses of these securities, which have maturities ranging from eighteen years to twenty-six years, are primarily due to their long-term nature, a lack of demand or inactive market for these securities, and concerns regarding the underlying financial institutions that have issued the trust preferred securities. See the heading “Trust Preferred Securities” for further information regarding these securities.

Realized gains and losses resulting from sales of securities were as follows during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
Gross gains	\$933	\$412
Gross losses	—	—

The following table indicates the expected maturities of investment securities classified as available-for-sale and held-to-maturity, presented at fair value, at September 30, 2012 and the weighted average yield for each range of maturities (dollars in thousands):

	One year or less	After 1 through 5 years	After 5 through 10 years	After ten years	Total	
Available-for-sale:						
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$91,083	\$82,440	\$1,952	\$—	\$175,475	
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	826	22,976	29,853	956	54,611	
Mortgage-backed securities: GSE residential	10,004	227,349	48,059	—	285,412	
Trust preferred securities	—	—	—	450	450	
Other securities	—	9,755	—	49	9,804	
Total investments	\$101,913	\$342,520	\$79,864	\$1,455	\$525,752	
Weighted average yield	2.07	% 2.59	% 2.77	% 3.50	% 2.53	%
Full tax-equivalent yield	2.09	% 2.71	% 3.38	% 3.76	% 2.70	%

The weighted average yields are calculated on the basis of the amortized cost and effective yields weighted for the scheduled maturity of each security. Tax-equivalent yields have been calculated using a 34% tax rate. With the exception of obligations of the U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agencies and corporations, there were no investment securities of any single issuer, the book value of which exceeded 10% of stockholders' equity at September 30, 2012.

Investment securities carried at approximately \$276,455,000 and \$286,568,000 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and repurchase agreements and for other purposes as permitted or required by law.

The following table presents the aging of gross unrealized losses and fair value by investment category as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
September 30, 2012						
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$10,971	\$(28)	) \$—	\$—	\$10,971	\$(28)
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	2,113	(21)	) —	—	2,113	(21)
Mortgage-backed securities: GSE residential	15,561	(29)	) —	—	15,561	(29)
Trust preferred securities	—	—	450	(4,524)	) 450	(4,524)
Other securities	—	—	1,843	(4)	) 1,843	(4)
Total	\$28,645	\$(78)	) \$2,293	\$(4,528)	) \$30,938	\$(4,606)
December 31, 2011						
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$19,960	\$(40)	) \$—	\$—	\$19,960	\$(40)
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	690	—	—	—	690	—
Mortgage-backed securities: GSE residential	15,231	(37)	) —	—	15,231	(37)
Trust preferred securities	—	—	719	(4,906)	) 719	(4,906)
Other securities	7,190	(372)	) 1,907	(93)	) 9,096	(465)
Total	\$43,071	\$(449)	) \$2,626	\$(4,999)	) \$45,696	\$(5,448)

U.S. Treasury Securities and Obligations of U.S. Government Corporations and Agencies. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more.

Obligations of states and political subdivisions. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no Obligations of states and political subdivisions in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more.

Mortgage-backed Securities: GSE Residential. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no mortgage-backed securities in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more.

Trust Preferred Securities. At September 30, 2012, there were three trust preferred securities with a fair value of \$450,000 and unrealized losses of \$4,524,000 in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more. At December 31, 2011, there were four trust preferred securities with a fair value of \$719,000 and unrealized losses of \$4,906,000 in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more. These unrealized losses were primarily due to the long-term nature of the trust preferred securities, a lack of demand or inactive market for these securities, the impending change to the regulatory treatment of these securities, and concerns regarding the underlying financial institutions that have issued the trust preferred securities. The Company recorded no other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) for these securities during 2012 and \$584,000 of OTTI for these securities during 2011. These

losses established a new, lower amortized cost basis for these securities and reduced non-interest income as of December 31, 2011. Because the Company does not intend to sell these securities and it is not more-likely-than-not that the Company will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their new, lower amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider the remainder of the investment in these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2012. However, future downgrades or additional deferrals and defaults in these securities, in particular PreTSL XXVIII, could result in additional OTTI and consequently, have a material impact on future earnings.

On July 3, 2012, the company's holding in PreTSL VI was redeemed in full. The payment received was sufficient to pay-off the book value of the security of \$123,000, reverse the recorded OTTI impairment of \$127,000 and recover previously unrecorded interest of approximately \$11,500.

Following are the details for each of the three currently impaired trust preferred securities (in thousands):

	Book Value	Market Value	Unrealized Gains (Losses)	Other-than-temporary Impairment Recorded To-date
PreTSL I	\$513	\$209	\$(304)	) \$691
PreTSL II	809	213	(596)	) 2,187
PreTSL XXVIII	3,652	28	(3,624)	) 1,111
Total	\$4,974	\$450	\$(4,524)	) \$3,989

Other securities. At September 30, 2012, there was one corporate bond with a fair value of \$1,843,000 and unrealized losses of \$4,000 in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more. At December 31, 2011, this bond had a fair value of \$1,907,000 and unrealized losses of \$93,000 in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more. Because the Company does not intend to sell this security and it is not more-likely-than-not the Company will be required to sell this security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider this investment to be other than temporarily impaired at September 30, 2012.

The Company does not believe any other individual unrealized loss as of September 30, 2012 represents OTTI. However, given the continued disruption in the financial markets, the Company may be required to recognize OTTI losses in future periods with respect to its available for sale investment securities portfolio. The amount and timing of any additional OTTI will depend on the decline in the underlying cash flows of the securities. Should the impairment of any of these securities become other-than-temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized in the period the other-than-temporary impairment is identified.

Other-than-temporary Impairment. Upon acquisition of a security, the Company decides whether it is within the scope of the accounting guidance for beneficial interests in securitized financial assets or will be evaluated for impairment under the accounting guidance for investments in debt and equity securities.

The accounting guidance for beneficial interests in securitized financial assets provides incremental impairment guidance for a subset of the debt securities within the scope of the guidance for investments in debt and equity securities. For securities where the security is a beneficial interest in securitized financial assets, the Company uses the beneficial interests in securitized financial asset impairment model. For securities where the security is not a beneficial interest in securitized financial assets, the Company uses debt and equity securities impairment model.

The Company routinely conducts periodic reviews to identify and evaluate each investment security to determine whether OTTI has occurred. Economic models are used to determine whether OTTI has occurred on these securities. While all securities are considered, the securities primarily impacted by OTTI testing are pooled trust preferred securities. For each pooled trust preferred security in the investment portfolio (including but not limited to those whose fair value is less than their amortized cost basis), an extensive, regular review is conducted to determine if OTTI has occurred. Various inputs to the economic models are used to determine if an unrealized loss is other-than-temporary. The most significant inputs are prepayments, defaults and loss severity.



These pooled trust preferred securities relate to trust preferred securities issued by financial institutions. The pools typically consist of financial institutions throughout the United States. Other inputs to the economic models may include the actual collateral attributes, which include credit ratings and other performance indicators of the underlying financial institutions including profitability, capital ratios, and asset quality.

To determine if the unrealized losses for pooled trust preferred securities is other-than-temporary, the Company considers the impact of each of these inputs. The Company considers the likelihood that issuers will prepay their securities. During the third quarter of 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act eliminated Tier 1 capital treatment for trust preferred securities issued by holding companies with consolidated assets greater than \$15 billion. As a result, issuers may prepay their securities which reduces the amount of expected cash flows. Additionally, the Company projects total estimated defaults of the underlying assets (financial institutions) and multiplies that calculated amount by an estimate of realizable value upon sale in the marketplace (severity) in order to determine the projected collateral loss. The Company also evaluates the current credit enhancement underlying the security to determine the impact on cash flows. If the Company determines that a given pooled trust preferred security position will be subject to a write-down or loss, the Company records the expected credit loss as a charge to earnings.

Credit Losses Recognized on Investments. As described above, some of the Company's investments in trust preferred securities have experienced fair value deterioration due to credit losses but are not otherwise other-than-temporarily impaired. The following table provides information about those trust preferred securities for which only a credit loss was recognized in income and other losses are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands).

	Accumulated Credit Losses	
	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
Credit losses on trust preferred securities held		
Beginning of period	\$4,116	\$3,230
Additions related to OTTI losses not previously recognized	—	—
Reductions due to sales / (recoveries)	(127	) —
Reductions due to change in intent or likelihood of sale	—	—
Additions related to increases in previously recognized OTTI losses	—	584
Reductions due to increases in expected cash flows	—	—
End of period	\$3,989	\$3,814

## Note 4 – Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

Loans are stated at the principal amount outstanding net of unearned discounts, unearned income and allowance for loan losses. Unearned income includes deferred loan origination fees reduced by loan origination costs and is amortized to interest income over the life of the related loan using methods that approximated the effective interest rate method. Interest on substantially all loans is credited to income based on the principal amount outstanding. A summary of loans at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Construction and land development	\$25,117	\$23,136
Farm loans	83,413	72,586
1-4 Family residential properties	188,768	180,738
Multifamily residential properties	44,292	19,847
Commercial real estate	313,621	321,908
Loans secured by real estate	655,211	618,215
Agricultural loans	63,294	63,182
Commercial and industrial loans	154,190	150,631
Consumer loans	16,242	16,274
All other loans	9,370	11,430
Gross loans	898,307	859,732
Less:		
Net deferred loan fees, premiums and discounts	330	704
Allowance for loan losses	11,645	11,120
Net loans	\$886,332	\$847,908

Loans expected to be sold are classified as held for sale in the consolidated financial statements and are recorded at the lower of aggregate cost or market value, taking into consideration future commitments to sell the loans. These loans are primarily for 1-4 family residential properties. The balance of loans held for sale of \$1,390,000 and \$1,046,000 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Most of the Company's business activities are with customers located within central Illinois. At September 30, 2012, the Company's loan portfolio included \$146.7 million of loans to borrowers whose businesses are directly related to agriculture. Of this amount, \$125.8 million was concentrated in other grain farming. Total loans to borrowers whose businesses are directly related to agriculture increased \$10.9 million from \$135.8 million at December 31, 2011 while loans concentrated in other grain farming increased \$5.7 million from \$120.1 million at December 31, 2011. While the Company adheres to sound underwriting practices, including collateralization of loans, any extended period of low commodity prices, drought conditions, significantly reduced yields on crops and/or reduced levels of government assistance to the agricultural industry could result in an increase in the level of problem agriculture loans and potentially result in loan losses within the agricultural portfolio.

In addition, the Company has \$46.2 million of loans to motels and hotels. The performance of these loans is dependent on borrower specific issues as well as the general level of business and personal travel within the region. While the Company adheres to sound underwriting standards, a prolonged period of reduced business or personal travel could result in an increase in nonperforming loans to this business segment and potentially in loan losses. The Company also has \$92.8 million of loans to lessors of non-residential buildings and \$60.5 million of loans to lessors of residential buildings and dwellings.

The structure of the Company's loan approval process is based on progressively larger lending authorities granted to individual loan officers, loan committees, and ultimately the Board of Directors. Outstanding balances to one borrower or affiliated borrowers are limited by federal regulation; however, limits well below the regulatory thresholds are generally observed. The vast majority of the Company's loans are to businesses located in the geographic market areas served by the Company's branch bank system. Additionally, a significant portion of the collateral securing the loans in the portfolio is located within the Company's primary geographic footprint. In general, the Company adheres to loan underwriting standards consistent with industry guidelines for all loan segments.

The Company's lending can be summarized into the following primary areas:

**Commercial Real Estate Loans.** Commercial real estate loans are generally comprised of loans to small business entities to purchase or expand structures in which the business operations are housed, loans to owners of real estate who lease space to non-related commercial entities, loans for construction and land development, loans to hotel operators, and loans to owners of multi-family residential structures, such as apartment buildings. Commercial real estate loans are underwritten based on historical and projected cash flows of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying real estate pledged as collateral on the debt. For the various types of commercial real estate loans, minimum criteria have been established within the Company's loan policy regarding debt service coverage while maximum limits on loan-to-value and amortization periods have been defined. Maximum loan-to-value ratios range from 65% to 80% depending upon the type of real estate collateral, while the desired minimum debt coverage ratio is 1.20x. Amortization periods for commercial real estate loans are generally limited to twenty years. The Company's commercial real estate portfolio is well below the thresholds that would designate a concentration in commercial real estate lending, as established by the federal banking regulators.

**Commercial and Industrial Loans.** Commercial and industrial loans are primarily comprised of working capital loans used to purchase inventory and fund accounts receivable that are secured by business assets other than real estate. These loans are generally written for one year or less. Also, equipment financing is provided to businesses with these loans generally limited to 80% of the value of the collateral and amortization periods limited to seven years. Commercial loans are often accompanied by a personal guaranty of the principal owners of a business. Like commercial real estate loans, the underlying cash flow of the business is the primary consideration in the underwriting process. The financial condition of commercial borrowers is monitored at least annually with the type of financial information required determined by the size of the relationship. Measures employed by the Company for businesses with higher risk profiles include the use of government-assisted lending programs through the Small Business Administration and U.S. Department of Agriculture.

**Agricultural and Agricultural Real Estate Loans.** Agricultural loans are generally comprised of seasonal operating lines to cash grain farmers to plant and harvest corn and soybeans and term loans to fund the purchase of equipment. Agricultural real estate loans are primarily comprised of loans for the purchase of farmland. Specific underwriting standards have been established for agricultural-related loans including the establishment of projections for each operating year based on industry developed estimates of farm input costs and expected commodity yields and prices. Operating lines are typically written for one year and secured by the crop. Loan-to-value ratios on loans secured by farmland generally do not exceed 65% and have amortization periods limited to twenty five years. Federal government-assistance lending programs through the Farm Service Agency are used to mitigate the level of credit risk when deemed appropriate.

**Residential Real Estate Loans.** Residential real estate loans generally include loans for the purchase or refinance of residential real estate properties consisting of one-to-four units and home equity loans and lines of credit. The Company sells the vast majority of its long-term fixed rate residential real estate loans to secondary market investors. The Company also releases the servicing of these loans upon sale. The Company retains all residential real estate loans with balloon payment features. Balloon periods are limited to five years. Residential real estate loans are typically underwritten to conform to industry standards including criteria for maximum debt-to-income and loan-to-value ratios as well as minimum credit scores. Loans secured by first liens on residential real estate held in the portfolio typically do not exceed 80% of the value of the collateral and have amortization periods of twenty five years or less. The Company does not originate subprime mortgage loans.

**Consumer Loans.** Consumer loans are primarily comprised of loans to individuals for personal and household purposes such as the purchase of an automobile or other living expenses. Minimum underwriting criteria have been established that consider credit score, debt-to-income ratio, employment history, and collateral coverage. Typically,

consumer loans are set up on monthly payments with amortization periods based on the type and age of the collateral.

Other Loans. Other loans consist primarily of loans to municipalities to support community projects such as infrastructure improvements or equipment purchases. Underwriting guidelines for these loans are consistent with those established for commercial loans with the additional repayment source of the taxing authority of the municipality.

#### Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses represents the Company's best estimate of the reserve necessary to adequately account for probable losses existing in the current portfolio. The provision for loan losses is the charge against current earnings that is determined by the Company as the amount needed to maintain an adequate allowance for loan losses.

In determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, and therefore the provision to be charged to current earnings, the Company relies predominantly on a disciplined credit review and approval process that extends to the full range of the Company's credit exposure. The review process is directed by the overall lending policy and is intended to identify, at the earliest possible stage, borrowers who might be facing financial difficulty. Once identified, the magnitude of exposure to individual borrowers is quantified in the form of specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses. The Company considers collateral values and guarantees in the determination of such specific allocations. Additional factors considered by the Company in evaluating the overall adequacy of the allowance include historical net loan losses, the level and composition of nonaccrual, past due and troubled debt restructurings, trends in volumes and terms of loans, effects of changes in risk selection and underwriting standards or lending practices, lending staff changes, concentrations of credit, industry conditions and the current economic conditions in the region where the Company operates.

The Company estimates the appropriate level of allowance for loan losses by separately evaluating large impaired loans, large adversely classified loans and nonimpaired loans.

#### Impaired loans

The Company individually evaluates certain loans for impairment. In general, these loans have been internally identified via the Company's loan grading system as credits requiring management's attention due to underlying problems in the borrower's business or collateral concerns. This evaluation considers expected future cash flows, the value of collateral and also other factors that may impact the borrower's ability to make payments when due. For loans greater than \$100,000 in the commercial, commercial real estate, agricultural, agricultural real estate segments, impairment is individually measured each quarter using one of three alternatives: (1) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate; (2) the loan's observable market price, if available; or (3) the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell for collateral dependent loans and loans for which foreclosure is deemed to be probable. A specific allowance is assigned when expected cash flows or collateral do not justify the carrying amount of the loan. The carrying value of the loan reflects reductions from prior charge-offs.

#### Adversely classified loans

A detailed analysis is also performed on each adversely classified (substandard or doubtful rated) borrower with an aggregate, outstanding balance of \$100,000 or more. This analysis includes commercial, commercial real estate, agricultural, and agricultural real estate borrowers who are not currently identified as impaired but pose sufficient risk to warrant in-depth review. Estimated collateral shortfalls are then calculated with allocations for each loan segment based on the five-year historical average of collateral shortfalls adjusted for environmental factors including changes in economic conditions, changes in credit policies or underwriting standards, and changes in the level of credit risk associated with specific industries and markets. Because the economic and business climate in any given industry or market, and its impact on any given borrower, can change rapidly, the risk profile of the loan portfolio is periodically assessed and adjusted when appropriate.

#### Non-classified and Watch loans

For loans, in all segments of the portfolio, that are considered to possess levels of risk commensurate with a pass rating, management establishes base loss estimations which are derived from historical loss experience. Use of a five-year historical loss period eliminates the effect of any significant losses that can be attributed to a single event or borrower during a given reporting period. The base loss estimations for each loan segment are adjusted after consideration of several environmental factors influencing the level of credit risk in the portfolio. In addition, loans rated as watch are further segregated in the commercial / commercial real estate and agricultural / agricultural real estate segments. These loans possess potential weaknesses that, if unchecked, may result in deterioration to the point of becoming a problem asset. Due to the elevated risk inherent in these loans, an allocation of twice the adjusted base loss estimation of the applicable loan segment is determined appropriate.

Due to weakened economic conditions during recent years, the Company established allocations for each of the loan segments at levels above the base loss estimations. Some of the economic factors included the potential for reduced cash flow for commercial operating loans from reduction in sales or increased operating costs, decreased occupancy rates for commercial buildings, reduced levels of home sales for commercial land developments, the uncertainty regarding grain prices and increased operating costs for farmers, and increased levels of unemployment and bankruptcy impacting consumer's ability to pay. Each of these economic uncertainties was taken into consideration in developing the level of the reserve. The Company has not materially changed any aspect of its overall approach in the determination of the allowance for loan losses. However, on an on-going basis the Company continues to refine the methods used in determining management's best estimate of the allowance for loan losses.



The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans based on portfolio segment and impairment method for the three and nine-months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and for the year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

Three months ended September 30, 2012	Commercial/ Commercial Real Estate	Agricultural/ Agricultural Real Estate	Residential Real Estate	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Allowance for loan losses:						
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 9,007	\$ 701	\$ 743	\$ 395	\$ 609	\$ 11,455
Provision charged to expense	782	(97 )	232	8	(205 )	720
Losses charged off	(308 )	—	(232 )	(45 )	—	(585 )
Recoveries	19	3	2	31	—	55
Balance, end of period	\$ 9,500	\$ 607	\$ 745	\$ 389	\$ 404	\$ 11,645
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 768	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 768
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 8,732	\$ 607	\$ 745	\$ 389	\$ 404	\$ 10,877
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Three months ended September 30, 2011						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 8,312	\$ 556	\$ 462	\$ 396	\$ 969	\$ 10,695
Provision charged to expense	866	70	139	50	(397 )	728
Losses charged off	(789 )	(50 )	(137 )	(82 )	—	(1,058 )
Recoveries	35	3	—	26	—	64
Balance, end of period	\$ 8,424	\$ 579	\$ 464	\$ 390	\$ 572	\$ 10,429
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 978	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 978
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 7,446	\$ 579	\$ 464	\$ 390	\$ 572	\$ 9,451
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Nine months ended September 30, 2012						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 8,791	\$ 546	\$ 636	\$ 378	\$ 769	\$ 11,120
Provision charged to expense	1,530	3	523	60	(365 )	1,751
Losses charged off	(906 )	(12 )	(437 )	(140 )	—	(1,495 )
Recoveries	85	70	23	91	—	269
Balance, end of period	\$ 9,500	\$ 607	\$ 745	\$ 389	\$ 404	\$ 11,645
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 768	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 768
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 8,732	\$ 607	\$ 745	\$ 389	\$ 404	\$ 10,877
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Loans:						
Ending balance	\$ 556,026	\$ 144,883	\$ 182,234	\$ 16,014	\$ 210	\$ 899,367
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 5,627	\$ 899	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 6,526
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 550,399	\$ 143,984	\$ 182,234	\$ 16,014	\$ 210	\$ 892,841

Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
---	------	------	------	------	------	------

20

---

Nine months ended September 30, 2011	Commercial/ Commercial Real Estate	Agricultural/ Agricultural Real Estate	Residential Real Estate	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Allowance for loan losses:						
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 8,307	\$ 404	\$ 440	\$ 392	\$ 850	\$ 10,393
Provision charged to expense	2,334	222	223	83	(278)	2,584
Losses charged off	(2,358)	(50)	(200)	(158)	—	(2,766)
Recoveries	141	3	1	73	—	218
Balance, end of period	\$ 8,424	\$ 579	\$ 464	\$ 390	\$ 572	\$ 10,429
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 978	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 978
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 7,446	\$ 579	\$ 464	\$ 390	\$ 572	\$ 9,451
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Loans:						
Ending balance	\$ 485,504	\$ 125,220	\$ 187,361	\$ 17,001	\$ 405	\$ 815,491
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 6,925	\$ 1,149	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 8,074
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 478,579	\$ 124,071	\$ 187,361	\$ 17,001	\$ 405	\$ 807,417
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Year ended December 31, 2011						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 8,307	\$ 404	\$ 440	\$ 392	\$ 850	\$ 10,393
Provision charged to expense	2,309	205	546	122	(81)	3,101
Losses charged off	(3,077)	(66)	(363)	(254)	—	(3,760)
Recoveries	1,252	3	13	118	—	1,386
Balance, end of year	\$ 8,791	\$ 546	\$ 636	\$ 378	\$ 769	\$ 11,120
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 575	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 575
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 8,216	\$ 546	\$ 636	\$ 378	\$ 769	\$ 10,545
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Loans:						
Ending balance	\$ 505,693	\$ 130,595	\$ 185,151	\$ 16,270	\$ 22,365	\$ 860,074
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 4,719	\$ 1,149	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 5,868
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 500,974	\$ 129,446	\$ 185,151	\$ 16,270	\$ 22,365	\$ 854,206
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

During the two years since the acquisition of branches from First Bank in 2010, a majority of the loans acquired from First Bank have been rewritten or renewed in accordance with First Mid Bank's underwriting standards. Accordingly, during the second quarter of 2012, management concluded that the acquired loans that have been rewritten or renewed and are currently performing should be assigned risk factors that are consistent with other First Mid Bank loans that have similar risk characteristics. The effect of this decision was to increase, in total, the amount of allowance allocated to non-classified loans and to decrease the unallocated portion of the allowance. This is the primary reason for the decline in the unallocated allowance balance.



Consistent with regulatory guidance, charge-offs on all loan segments are taken when specific loans, or portions thereof, are considered uncollectible. The Company's policy is to promptly charge these loans off in the period the uncollectible loss is reasonably determined.

For all loan portfolio segments except 1-4 family residential properties and consumer, the Company promptly charges-off loans, or portions thereof, when available information confirms that specific loans are uncollectible based on information that includes, but is not limited to, (1) the deteriorating financial condition of the borrower, (2) declining collateral values, and/or (3) legal action, including bankruptcy, that impairs the borrower's ability to adequately meet its obligations. For impaired loans that are considered to be solely collateral dependent, a partial charge-off is recorded when a loss has been confirmed by an updated appraisal or other appropriate valuation of the collateral.

The Company charges-off 1-4 family residential and consumer loans, or portions thereof, when the Company reasonably determines the amount of the loss. The Company adheres to timeframes established by applicable regulatory guidance which provides for the charge-down of 1-4 family first and junior lien mortgages to the net realizable value less costs to sell when the loan is 180 days past due, charge-off of unsecured open-end loans when the loan is 180 days past due, and charge down to the net realizable value when other secured loans are 120 days past due. Loans at these respective delinquency thresholds for which the Company can clearly document that the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection, such that collection will occur regardless of delinquency status, need not be charged off.

#### Credit Quality

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, collateral support, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis includes loans with an outstanding balance greater than \$100,000 and non-homogenous loans, such as commercial and commercial real estate loans. This analysis is performed on a continuous basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

**Watch.** Loans classified as watch have a potential weakness that deserves management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution's credit position at some future date.

**Substandard.** Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current sound-worthiness and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

**Doubtful.** Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing factors, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered pass rated loans. The following tables present the credit risk profile of the Company's loan portfolio based on rating category and payment activity as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

Construction &

Farm Loans

1-4 Family Residential

Edgar Filing: FIRST MID ILLINOIS BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

	Land Development				Properties		Multifamily Residential Properties	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Pass	\$20,949	\$19,708	\$78,781	\$67,637	\$187,434	\$180,247	\$44,085	\$19,638
Watch	2,147	2,168	2,472	2,496	430	497	—	—
Substandard	2,021	1,260	2,172	2,452	2,375	1,105	207	208
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$25,117	\$23,136	\$83,425	\$72,585	\$190,239	\$181,849	\$44,292	\$19,846

	Commercial Real Estate (Nonfarm/Nonresidential)		Agricultural Loans		Commercial & Industrial Loans		Consumer Loans	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Pass	\$ 283,605	\$ 288,539	\$57,912	\$58,133	\$150,578	\$147,591	\$16,213	\$16,271
Watch	24,465	24,664	1,542	1,840	1,953	280	15	—
Substandard	4,960	7,798	3,911	3,284	1,770	2,845	14	—
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$ 313,030	\$ 321,001	\$63,365	\$63,257	\$154,301	\$150,716	\$16,242	\$16,271

  

	All Other Loans		Total Loans	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Pass	\$9,356	\$11,413	\$848,913	\$809,177
Watch	—	—	33,024	31,945
Substandard	—	—	17,430	18,952
Doubtful	—	—	—	—
Total	\$9,356	\$11,413	\$899,367	\$860,074

The following table presents the Company's loan portfolio aging analysis at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	30-59 days Past Due	60-89 days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans Receivable	Total Loans > 90 days & Accruing
September 30, 2012							
Construction and land development	\$758	\$—	\$—	\$758	\$24,359	\$ 25,117	\$—
Farm loans	493	—	70	563	82,862	83,425	—
1-4 Family residential properties	391	240	1,345	1,976	188,263	190,239	—
Multifamily residential properties	207	—	—	207	44,085	44,292	—
Commercial real estate	223	478	238	939	312,091	313,030	—
Loans secured by real estate	2,072	718	1,653	4,443	651,660	656,103	—
Agricultural loans	242	—	704	946	62,419	63,365	—
Commercial and industrial loans	148	155	218	521	153,780	154,301	—
Consumer loans	80	70	15	165	16,077	16,242	—
All other loans	—	—	—	—	9,356	9,356	—
Total loans	\$2,542	\$943	\$2,590	\$6,075	\$893,292	\$ 899,367	\$—
December 31, 2011							
Construction and land development	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$23,136	\$ 23,136	\$—
Farm loans	377	111	737	1,225	71,360	72,585	—
1-4 Family residential properties	1,079	200	1,033	2,312	179,537	181,849	—
Multifamily residential properties	—	—	—	—	19,846	19,846	—
Commercial real estate	399	101	228	728	320,273	321,001	—
Loans secured by real estate	1,855	412	1,998	4,265	614,152	618,417	—
Agricultural loans	—	—	673	673	62,584	63,257	—
Commercial and industrial loans	950	73	585	1,608	149,108	150,716	—
Consumer loans	94	36	7	137	16,134	16,271	—
All other loans	—	—	—	—	11,413	11,413	—
Total loans	\$2,899	\$521	\$3,263	\$6,683	\$853,391	\$ 860,074	\$—





## Impaired Loans

Within all loan portfolio segments, loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due from the borrower in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. The entire balance of a loan is considered delinquent if the minimum payment contractually required to be made is not received by the specified due date. Impaired loans, excluding certain troubled debt restructured loans, are placed on nonaccrual status. Impaired loans include nonaccrual loans and loans modified in troubled debt restructurings where concessions have been granted to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. These concessions could include a reduction in the interest rate on the loan, payment extensions, forgiveness of principal, forbearance or other actions intended to maximize collection. It is the Company's policy to have any restructured loans which are on nonaccrual status prior to being modified remain on nonaccrual status until, in the opinion of management, the financial position of the borrower indicates there is no longer any reasonable doubt as to the timely collection of interest or principal. If the restructured loan is on accrual status prior to being modified, the loan is reviewed to determine if the modified loan should remain on accrual status.

The Company's policy is to discontinue the accrual of interest income on all loans for which principal or interest is ninety days past due. The accrual of interest is discontinued earlier when, in the opinion of management, there is reasonable doubt as to the timely collection of interest or principal. Once interest accruals are discontinued, accrued but uncollected interest is charged against current year income. Subsequent receipts on non-accrual loans are recorded as a reduction of principal, and interest income is recorded only after principal recovery is reasonably assured. Interest on loans determined to be troubled debt restructurings is recognized on an accrual basis in accordance with the restructured terms if the loan is in compliance with the modified terms. Nonaccrual loans are returned to accrual status when, in the opinion of management, the financial position of the borrower indicates there is no longer any reasonable doubt as to the timely collection of interest or principal. The Company requires a period of satisfactory performance of not less than six months before returning a nonaccrual loan to accrual status.

The following tables present impaired loans as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Recorded Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Specific Allowance	Recorded Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Specific Allowance
Loans with a specific allowance:						
Construction and land development	\$ 397	\$ 812	\$ 206	\$ 833	\$ 1,070	\$ 295
Farm loans	—	—	—	—	—	—
1-4 Family residential properties	489	489	58	71	71	27
Multifamily residential properties	—	—	—	—	—	—
Commercial real estate	592	592	119	1,414	1,693	183
Loans secured by real estate	1,478	1,893	383	2,318	2,834	505
Agricultural loans	—	—	—	—	—	—
Commercial and industrial loans	749	749	385	382	382	70
Consumer loans	—	—	—	—	—	—
All other loans	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total loans	\$ 2,227	\$ 2,642	\$ 768	\$ 2,700	\$ 3,216	\$ 575
Loans without a specific allowance:						
Construction and land development	\$ 1,156	\$ 1,257	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Farm loans	199	210	—	532	532	—
1-4 Family residential properties	1,459	1,790	—	1,641	1,818	—
Multifamily residential properties	—	—	—	—	—	—
Commercial real estate	1,699	1,720	—	1,226	1,256	—
Loans secured by real estate	4,513	4,977	—	3,399	3,606	—
Agricultural loans	822	822	—	673	673	—
Commercial and industrial loans	253	421	—	660	1,255	—
Consumer loans	29	35	—	8	20	—
All other loans	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total loans	\$ 5,617	\$ 6,255	\$ —	\$ 4,740	\$ 5,554	\$ —
Total loans:						
Construction and land development	\$ 1,553	\$ 2,069	\$ 206	\$ 833	\$ 1,070	\$ 295
Farm loans	199	210	—	532	532	—
1-4 Family residential properties	1,948	2,279	58	1,712	1,889	27
Multifamily residential properties	—	—	—	—	—	—
Commercial real estate	2,291	2,312	119	2,640	2,949	183
Loans secured by real estate	5,991	6,870	383	5,717	6,440	505
Agricultural loans	822	822	—	673	673	—
Commercial and industrial loans	1,002	1,170	385	1,042	1,637	70
Consumer loans	29	35	—	8	20	—
All other loans	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total loans	\$ 7,844	\$ 8,897	\$ 768	\$ 7,440	\$ 8,770	\$ 575

The following tables present average recorded investment and interest income recognized on impaired loans for the three and nine- month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	For the three months ended		September 30, 2011	
	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized
Construction and land development	\$1,750	\$—	\$1,174	\$—
Farm loans	199	—	533	—
1-4 Family residential properties	1,972	—	2,231	—
Multifamily residential properties	—	—	—	—
Commercial real estate	2,299	7	3,934	7
Loans secured by real estate	6,220	7	7,872	7
Agricultural loans	837	—	695	—
Commercial and industrial loans	1,019	3	1,242	3
Consumer loans	30	—	5	—
All other loans	—	—	—	—
Total loans	\$8,106	\$10	\$9,814	\$10

  

	For the nine months ended		September 30, 2011	
	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized
Construction and land development	\$1,736	\$—	\$1,179	\$—
Farm loans	199	—	534	—
1-4 Family residential properties	1,982	—	2,242	—
Multifamily residential properties	—	—	—	—
Commercial real estate	2,319	21	3,968	15
Loans secured by real estate	6,236	21	7,923	15
Agricultural loans	867	—	695	—
Commercial and industrial loans	1,063	10	1,382	11
Consumer loans	32	1	5	—
All other loans	—	—	—	—
Total loans	\$8,198	\$32	\$10,005	\$26

The amount of interest income recognized by the Company within the periods stated above was due to loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring that remained on accrual status. The balance of loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring included in the impaired loans stated above that were still accruing was \$6,000 of 1-4 Family residential properties, \$388,000 of commercial real estate, \$304,000 of commercial and industrial and \$14,000 of consumer loans at September 30, 2012 and \$396,000 of commercial real estate at September 30, 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the amount of interest income recognized using a cash-basis method of accounting during the period that the loans were impaired was not material.



## Non Accrual Loans

The following table presents the Company's recorded balance of nonaccrual loans as September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands). This table excludes purchased impaired loans and performing troubled debt restructurings.

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Construction and land development	\$1,553	\$833
Farm loans	199	532
1-4 Family residential properties	1,941	1,712
Multifamily residential properties	—	—
Commercial real estate	1,903	2,245
Loans secured by real estate	5,596	5,322
Agricultural loans	823	673
Commercial and industrial loans	698	720
Consumer loans	15	8
All other loans	—	—
Total loans	\$7,132	\$6,723

Interest income that would have been recorded under the original terms of such nonaccrual loans totaled \$165,000 and \$361,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

## Troubled Debt Restructuring

The balance of troubled debt restructurings at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$3,530,000 and \$1,834,000, respectively. Approximately \$459,000 and \$140,000 in specific reserves have been established with respect to these loans as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. As troubled debt restructurings, these loans are included in nonperforming loans and are classified as impaired which requires that they be individually measured for impairment. The modification of the terms of these loans included one or a combination of the following: a reduction of stated interest rate of the loan; an extension of the maturity date and change in payment terms; or a permanent reduction of the recorded investment in the loan.

The increase in TDRs during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was a result of various factors, including the following:

- Two notes restructured in 2011 to lower the monthly payments by re-amortizing the debt were combined with three other non-accrual notes (not considered TDRs). The new note remains on non-accrual however the terms of the new note are considered to be market terms.

- Four construction and land development notes to multiple borrowers that were in non-accrual status were modified to lower interest rates due to cash flow difficulties of the borrower or for changes in payment terms. The notes remain in non-accrual status.

- One 1-4 Family residential property note that was in non-accrual status was modified to a single-pay note due in six months. The note remains in non-accrual status.

- One 1-4 Family residential property note that was in accrual status was restructured to lower the monthly payments by re-amortizing the debt. The note remains in accrual status.

-

Four commercial and industrial notes to multiple borrowers that were in non-accrual status were modified to extend the original maturity dates and lower the interest rates of the notes or for changes in payment terms. The notes remain in non-accrual status.

One consumer note that was in accrual status was modified to extend the maturity terms of the note. The note remains in accrual status.

With respect to TDRs during the nine months ended September 30, 2011:

- 1 commercial real estate loan was modified by charging down the loan and the combining of several past due notes which lowered the monthly payment of the notes.
- 1 commercial loan was modified to interest-only payments for a period with the maturity date extended. The interest rate remained unchanged. The loan is 75% guaranteed by the Small Business Administration.

The following table presents the Company's recorded balance of troubled debt restructurings at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands).

Troubled debt restructurings:	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Construction and land development	\$1,554	\$—
1-4 Family residential properties	462	393
Commercial real estate	1,011	952
Loans secured by real estate	3,027	1,345
Commercial and industrial loans	489	489
Consumer Loans	14	—
Total	\$3,530	\$1,834
Performing troubled debt restructurings:		
1-4 Family residential properties	\$6	\$—
Commercial real estate	388	395
Loans secured by real estate	394	395
Commercial and industrial loans	304	322
Consumer Loans	14	—
Total	\$712	\$717

A loan is considered to be in payment default once it is 90 days past due under the modified terms. There were no loans modified as troubled debt restructurings during the prior twelve months that experienced defaults during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. There were two loans totaling \$215,000 modified as troubled debt restructurings during the prior twelve months that experienced defaults during the year ended December 31, 2011.

#### Note 5 -- Repurchase Agreements and Other Borrowings

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase had a decrease of \$20.5 million during the first nine months of 2012 primarily due to the seasonal declines in balances of various customers. FHLB borrowings decreased \$10 million due to maturity of two advances during the first three months of 2012.

On February 11, 2011, the Company accepted from certain accredited investors, including directors, executive officers, and certain major customers and holders of the Company's common stock (collectively, the "Investors"), subscriptions for the purchase of \$27,500,000, in the aggregate, of the Series C Preferred Stock. During 2011, \$19,250,000 of the Series C Preferred Stock was issued and sold by the Company.

The Investors who subscribed for the remaining \$8,250,000 of the Series C Preferred Stock were (a) individuals who are members of the Lumpkin family, including Benjamin I. Lumpkin, a director of the Company, and (b) entities controlled by, and trusts created for the benefit of, individuals who are members of the Lumpkin family (collectively,

the “Remaining Investors”).

As described in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 21, 2011, the disinterested members of the Board of Directors of the Company, which did not include Benjamin I. Lumpkin and Steve L. Grissom, approved and authorized, and the Remaining Investors agreed to, certain amendments to their subscription agreements resulting in the release to the Company of the funds escrowed by the Remaining Investors for their subscribed shares of the Series C Preferred Stock and, in lieu thereof, the issuance by the Company of short-term unsecured promissory notes to the Remaining Investors (the “Notes”). On

28

---



November 21, 2011, the Company and the Remaining Investors agreed to the release of the escrowed funds in exchange for the Notes.

On June 15, 2012, the Federal Reserve Board stated that it would not disapprove of the Remaining Investors' purchase of the shares of Series C Preferred Stock originally subscribed for by the Remaining Investors. By notices received June 28, 2012, the Remaining Investors notified the Company that they will exercise the prepayment provision allowing them to purchase the shares of Series C Preferred Stock originally subscribed for such that the Remaining Investors will use the funds represented by the Notes to purchase the subscribed for shares of the Series C Preferred Stock. As a result, on June 28, 2012, the Notes were canceled and the final \$8,250,000 of the Company's Series C Preferred Stock was issued and sold by the Company to the Remaining Investors.

#### Note 6 -- Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. There is a hierarchy of three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1	Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in active exchange markets, such as the New York Stock Exchange. Valuations are obtained from readily available pricing sources for market transactions involving identical assets or liabilities.
Level 2	Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in less active dealer or broker markets. Valuations are obtained from third party pricing services for identical or comparable assets or liabilities which use observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in active markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.
Level 3	Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Following is a description of the inputs and valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying balance sheets, as well as the general classification of such assets pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

**Available-for-Sale Securities.** The fair value of available-for-sale securities is determined by various valuation methodologies. Where quoted market prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or independent asset pricing services and pricing models, the inputs of which are market-based or independently sources market parameters, including but not limited to, yield curves, interest rates, volatilities, prepayments, defaults, cumulative loss projections and cash flows. Such securities are classified in Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. In certain cases where Level 1 or Level 2 inputs are not available, securities are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy and include subordinated tranches of collateralized mortgage obligations and investments in trust preferred securities.

Fair value determinations for Level 3 measurements of securities are the responsibility of the Treasury function of the Company. The Company contracts with a pricing specialist to generate fair value estimates on a monthly basis. The Treasury function of the Company challenges the reasonableness of the assumptions used and reviews the methodology to ensure the estimated fair value complies with accounting standards generally accepted in the United States, analyzes the changes in fair value and compares these changes to internally developed expectations and monitors these changes for appropriateness.

The trust preferred securities are collateralized debt obligation securities that are backed by trust preferred securities issued by banks, thrifts, and insurance companies. The market for these securities at September 30, 2012 is not active and markets for similar securities are also not active. The inactivity was evidenced first by a significant widening of the bid-ask spread in the brokered markets in which trust preferred securities trade and then by a significant decrease in the volume of trades relative to historical levels. The new issue market is also inactive and will continue to be, as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act's elimination of trust preferred securities from Tier 1 capital for certain holding companies. There are currently very few market participants who are willing and or able to transact for these securities. The market values for these securities are very depressed relative to historical levels.

Given conditions in the debt markets today and the absence of observable transactions in the secondary and new issue markets, we determined:

The few observable transactions and market quotations that are available are not reliable for purposes of determining fair value at September 30, 2012,

An income valuation approach technique (present value technique) that maximizes the use of relevant observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs will be equally or more representative of fair value than the market approach valuation technique used at prior measurement dates, and

The trust preferred securities held by the Company will be classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy because we determined that significant adjustments are required to determine fair value at the measurement date.

The following table presents the Company's assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
September 30, 2012				
Available-for-sale securities:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 175,475	\$—	\$ 175,475	\$—
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	54,611	—	54,611	—
Mortgage-backed securities	285,412	—	285,412	—
Trust preferred securities	450	—	—	450
Other securities	9,804	48	9,756	—
Total available-for-sale securities	\$525,752	\$48	\$525,254	\$450
December 31, 2011				
Available-for-sale securities:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 166,066	\$—	\$ 166,066	\$—
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	41,202	—	41,202	—
Mortgage-backed securities	261,833	—	261,775	58
Trust preferred securities	719	—	—	719
Other securities	9,096	29	9,067	—
Total available-for-sale securities	\$478,916	\$29	\$478,110	\$777

The change in fair value of assets measured on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 is summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Available-for-Sale Securities			
September 30, 2012	Mortgage-backed Securities	Trust Preferred Securities	Total	
Beginning balance	\$58	\$719	\$777	
Transfers into Level 3	—	—	—	
Transfers out of Level 3	(58	) —	(58	)
Total gains or losses				
Included in net income	—	—	—	
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	—	383	383	
Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements				
Purchases	—	—	—	
Issuances	—	—	—	
Sales	—	—	—	
Settlements	—	(652	) (652	)
Ending balance	\$—	\$450	\$450	
Total gains or losses for the period included in net income attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses related to assets and liabilities still held at the reporting date	\$—	\$—	\$—	

	Available-for-Sale Securities		
	Mortgage-backed Securities	Trust Preferred Securities	Total
September 30, 2011			
Beginning balance	\$68	\$581	\$649
Transfers into Level 3	—	—	—
Transfers out of Level 3	—	—	—
Total gains or losses			
Included in net income	—	(584	) (584
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	—	723	723
Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements			
Purchases	—	—	—
Issuances	—	—	—
Sales	—	—	—
Settlements	(7	) (17	) (24
Ending balance	\$61	\$703	\$764
Total gains or losses for the period included in net income attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses related to assets and liabilities still held at the reporting date	\$—	\$(584	) \$(584

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and recognized in the accompanying balance sheets, as well as the general classification of such assets pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Impaired Loans (Collateral Dependent). Loans for which it is probable that the Company will not collect all principal and interest due according to contractual terms are measured for impairment. Allowable methods for determining the

amount of impairment and estimating fair value include using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans.

If the impaired loan is identified as collateral dependent, then the fair value method of measuring the amount of impairment is utilized. This method requires obtaining a current independent appraisal of the collateral and applying a discount factor to the value. Impaired loans that are collateral dependent are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy when impairment is determined using the fair value method.

Management establishes a specific allowance for loans that have an estimated fair value that is below the carrying value. The total carrying amount of loans for which a specific allowance has been established as of September 30, 2012 was \$3,542,000 and a fair value of \$2,851,000 resulting in specific loss exposures of \$691,000.

When there is little prospect of collecting principal or interest, loans, or portions of loans, may be charged-off to the allowance for loan losses. Losses are recognized in the period an obligation becomes uncollectible. The recognition of a loss does not mean that the loan has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather that it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off the loan even though partial recovery may be affected in the future.

Foreclosed Assets Held For Sale. Other real estate owned acquired through loan foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. The adjustment at the time of foreclosure is recorded through the allowance for loan losses. Due to the subjective nature of establishing the fair value when the asset is acquired, the actual fair value of the other real estate owned or foreclosed asset could differ from the original estimate. If it is determined that fair value declines subsequent to foreclosure, a valuation allowance is recorded through noninterest expense. Operating costs associated with the assets after acquisition are also recorded as noninterest expense. Gains and losses on the disposition of other real estate owned and foreclosed assets are netted and posted to other noninterest expense. The total carrying amount of other real estate owned as of September 30, 2012 was \$1,103,000. Other real estate owned included in the total carrying amount and measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the period amounted to \$385,000.

The following table presents the fair value measurement of assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
September 30, 2012				
Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	\$2,851	\$—	\$—	\$2,851
Foreclosed assets held for sale	385	—	—	385
December 31, 2011				
Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	\$2,282	\$—	\$—	\$2,282
Foreclosed assets held for sale	2,336	—	—	2,336

#### Sensitivity of Significant Unobservable Inputs

The following is a discussion of the sensitivity of significant unobservable inputs, the interrelationships between those inputs and other unobservable inputs used in recurring fair value measurement and of how those inputs might magnify or mitigate the effect of changes in the unobservable inputs on the fair value measurement.

Trust Preferred Securities. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's trust preferred securities are offered quotes and comparability adjustments. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Generally, changes in either of those inputs will not affect the other input.

The following table presents quantitative information about unobservable inputs used in recurring and nonrecurring Level 3 fair value measurements other than goodwill.

	Fair Value at September 30, 2012	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Range (Weighted Average)
Trust Preferred Securities	\$450	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	8.3 % - 22.8% ( 19.3 % )
			Constant prepayment rate (1)	1 %
			Cumulative projected prepayments	10.8 % - 63.3% ( 21.7 % )
			Probability of default	0.6 % - 1.5% ( 0.8 % )
			Projected cures given deferral	0 % - 0% ( 0 % )
			Loss severity	92.2 % - 96.1% ( 94.8 % )
Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	\$2,851	Third party valuations	Discount to reflect realizable value	0 % - 40% ( 20 % )
Foreclosed assets held for sale	\$385	Third party valuations	Discount to reflect realizable value less estimated selling costs	0 % - 40% ( 35 % )

(1) Every five years

Other. The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of all other financial instruments recognized in the accompanying balance sheets at amounts other than fair value.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents and Federal Reserve and Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

The carrying amount approximates fair value.

#### Certificates of Deposit Investments

The fair value of certificates of deposit investments is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

#### Held-to-maturity Securities

Fair value is based on quoted market prices, if available. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities.

#### Loans

For loans with floating interest rates, it is assumed that the estimated fair values generally approximate the carrying amount balances. Fixed rate loans have been valued using a discounted present value of projected cash flow. The discount rate used in these calculations is the current rate at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. The carrying amount of accrued interest approximates its fair value.

#### Deposits

Deposits include demand deposits, savings accounts, NOW accounts and certain money market deposits. The carrying amount of these deposits approximates fair value. The fair value of fixed-maturity time deposits is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.



Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

The fair value of securities sold under agreements to repurchased is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Short-term Borrowings and Interest Payable

The carrying amount approximates fair value.

Long-term Debt and Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

Rates currently available to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate the fair value of existing debt.

The following tables present estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 in accordance with FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, codified with ASC 805 (in thousands):

September 30, 2012	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<b>Financial Assets</b>					
Cash and due from banks	\$39,736	\$39,736	\$39,736	\$—	\$—
Federal funds sold	5,992	5,992	5,992	—	—
Certificates of deposit investments	8,504	8,497	8,497	—	—
Available-for-sale securities	525,752	525,752	48	525,254	450
Held-to-maturity securities	—	—	—	—	—
Loans held for sale	1,390	1,390	—	1,390	—
Loans net of allowance for loan losses	886,332	888,925	—	—	888,925
Interest receivable	7,325	7,325	—	7,325	—
Federal Reserve Bank stock	1,522	1,522	—	1,522	—
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,293	3,293	—	3,293	—
<b>Financial Liabilities</b>					
Deposits	\$1,240,668	\$1,241,227	\$—	\$1,021,538	\$219,689
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	111,870	111,865	—	111,865	—
Interest payable	385	385	—	385	—
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	9,750	10,528	—	10,528	—
Junior subordinated debentures	20,620	11,712	—	11,712	—
December 31, 2011				Carrying Amount	Fair Value
<b>Financial Assets</b>					
Cash and due from banks				\$52,105	\$52,105
Federal funds sold				20,997	20,997
Certificates of deposit investments				13,231	13,225
Available-for-sale securities				478,916	478,916
Held-to-maturity securities				51	51
Loans held for sale				1,046	1,046
Loans net of allowance for loan losses				847,908	850,308
Interest receivable				7,052	7,052
Federal Reserve Bank stock				1,520	1,520
Federal Home Loan Bank stock				3,727	3,727
<b>Financial Liabilities</b>					
Deposits				\$1,170,734	\$1,172,069
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase				132,380	132,383
Interest payable				510	510
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings				19,750	20,619
Other Borrowings				8,250	8,250
Junior subordinated debentures				20,620	11,969



## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis is intended to provide a better understanding of the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries as of, and for the three and nine- month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, related notes and selected financial data appearing elsewhere in this report.

### Forward-Looking Statements

This report may contain certain forward-looking statements, such as discussions of the Company's pricing and fee trends, credit quality and outlook, liquidity, new business results, expansion plans, anticipated expenses and planned schedules. The Company intends such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1955. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe future plans, strategies and expectations of the Company, are identified by use of the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "estimate," "project," or similar expressions. Actual results could differ materially from the results indicated by these statements because the realization of those results is subject to many risks and uncertainties, including those described in Item 1A-"Risk Factors" and other sections of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K and the Company's other filings with the SEC, and changes in interest rates, general economic conditions and those in the Company's market area, legislative/regulatory changes, monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government, including policies of the U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board, the quality or composition of the loan or investment portfolios and the valuation of the investment portfolio, the Company's success in raising capital and effecting and integrating acquisitions, demand for loan products, deposit flows, competition, demand for financial services in the Company's market area and accounting principles, policies and guidelines. Furthermore, forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. Except as required under the federal securities laws or the rules and regulations of the SEC, we do not undertake any obligation to update or review any forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Further information concerning the Company and its business, including a discussion of these and additional factors that could materially affect the Company's financial results, is included in the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K under the headings "Item 1. Business" and "Item 1A. Risk Factors."

### Overview

This overview of management's discussion and analysis highlights selected information in this document and may not contain all of the information that is important to you. For a more complete understanding of trends, events, commitments, uncertainties, liquidity, capital resources, and critical accounting estimates which have an impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations you should carefully read this entire document.

Net income was \$10,516,000 and \$8,102,000 and diluted net income per common share available to common stockholders was \$1.22 and \$.90 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The following table shows the Company's annualized performance ratios for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, compared to the performance ratios for the year ended December 31, 2011:

Nine months ended		Year ended
September 30,	September 30,	December 31,
2012	2011	2011

Edgar Filing: FIRST MID ILLINOIS BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Return on average assets	0.91	%	0.72	%	0.76	%
Return on average common equity	9.62	%	7.87	%	8.36	%
Average equity to average assets	9.67	%	8.73	%	8.88	%

Total assets at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$1.55 billion and \$1.50 billion, respectively. The increase in assets during the first nine months of 2012 was primarily due to increases in loan balances of \$39 million and available-for-sale securities of \$47 million offset by decreases in federal funds sold of \$15 million, cash and due from banks of \$6 million and interest bearing deposits of \$6 million.

Net loan balances were \$886.3 million at September 30, 2012, an increase of \$38.4 million, from \$847.9 million at December 31, 2011 primarily due to seasonal increases in agricultural related loans and multifamily residential property loans. Total deposit balances increased to \$1.24 billion at September 30, 2012 from \$1.17 billion at December 31, 2011 due to increases in non-interest bearing and interest bearing transaction account deposits, savings account deposits and money market account deposits offset by a decline in time deposits.

Net interest margin, defined as net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets, was 3.44% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, up from 3.42% for the same period in 2011. Net interest income before the provision for loan losses was \$36.9 million compared to net interest income of \$35.7 million for the same period in 2011. This increase was due to the systematic investment of liquidity, as well as an overall increase in earning assets added.

Other income increased \$1.8 million or 15.6%, to \$13.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$11.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The increase in other income was primarily due to a recovery of other-than-temporary impairment charges, as well as no additional charges, on investment securities and increases in mortgage banking revenues and security gains.

Other expense decreased 0.6%, or \$206,000, to \$32 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$32.2 million during the same period in 2011. The decrease in other expense was primarily due to decreases in other real estate owned expenses, FDIC assessment fees and intangible amortization expense offset by increased expense for salaries and benefits.

Following is a summary of the factors that contributed to the changes in net income (in thousands):

	Change in Net Income	
	2012 versus 2011	
	Three months ended September 30	Nine months ended September 30
Net interest income	\$468	\$1,195
Provision for loan losses	8	833
Other income, including securities transactions	823	1,836
Other expenses	302	206
Income taxes	(633)	(1,656)
Increase in net income	\$968	\$2,414

Credit quality is an area of importance to the Company. Total nonperforming loans were \$7.8 million at September 30, 2012, compared to \$9.8 million at September 30, 2011 and \$7.4 million at December 31, 2011. See the discussion under the heading "Loan Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses" for a detailed explanation of these balances. Repossessed asset balances totaled \$1.1 million at September 30, 2012 compared to \$4.9 million on September 30, 2011 and \$4.6 million on December 31, 2011. The Company's provision for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$1.8 million and \$2.6 million, respectively. Total loans past due 30 days or more declined to .68% of loans September 30, 2012 compared to .78% of loans at December 31, 2011. At September 30, 2012, the composition of the loan portfolio remained similar to the same period last year. Loans secured by both commercial and residential real estate comprised approximately 72% of the loan portfolio as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, annualized net charge-offs were .19% of average loans compared to .42% for the same period in 2011.

The Company's capital position remains strong and the Company has consistently maintained regulatory capital ratios above the "well-capitalized" standards. The Company's Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets ratio calculated under the regulatory risk-based capital requirements at September 30, 2012 and 2011 and December 31, 2011 was 14.73%, 13.82% and 13.37%, respectively. The Company's total capital to risk weighted assets ratio calculated under the regulatory risk-based capital requirements at September 30, 2012 and 2011 and December 31, 2011 was 15.88%, 14.91% and 14.48%, respectively.

The Company's liquidity position remains sufficient to fund operations and meet the requirements of borrowers, depositors, and creditors. The Company maintains various sources of liquidity to fund its cash needs. See the discussion under the heading "Liquidity" for a full listing of sources and anticipated significant contractual obligations.

The Company enters into financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include lines of credit, letters of credit and other commitments to extend credit. The total outstanding commitments at September 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$248 million and \$208 million, respectively. The increase in 2012 was primarily the result of several larger commercial and commercial real estate lines of credit that were unfunded at September 30, 2012.

**Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Insurance Coverage.** As an FDIC-insured institution, First Mid Bank is required to pay deposit insurance premium assessments to the FDIC. A number of developments with respect to the FDIC insurance system have affected recent results.

On July 21, 2010, The Dodd-Frank Act permanently raised the standard maximum deposit insurance amount (SMDIA) to \$250,000. On November 9, 2010, the FDIC issued a final rule to implement Section 343 of the Dodd-Frank Act, which provides unlimited deposit insurance coverage for “noninterest-bearing transaction accounts” from December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2012. Also, the FDIC will no longer charge a separate assessment for the insurance of these accounts under the Dodd-Frank Act provision.

On February 27, 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule setting initial base assessment rates beginning April 1, 2009, at 12 to 45 basis points and, due to extraordinary circumstances, extended the period of the restoration plan to increase the deposit insurance fund to seven years. Also on February 27, 2009, the FDIC issued final rules on changes to the risk-based assessment system which imposes rates based on an institution’s risk to the deposit insurance fund. The new rates increased the range of annual risk based assessment rates from 5 to 7 basis points to 7 to 24 basis points. The final rules both increase base assessment rates and incorporate additional assessments for excess reliance on brokered deposits and FHLB advances. This new assessment took effect April 1, 2009. The Company expensed \$596,000 and \$856,000 for this assessment during the first nine months of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

On February 7, 2011, the FDIC board adopted a final rule, which redefines the deposit insurance assessment base from domestic deposits to average consolidated total assets minus average tangible equity during the period; makes generally conforming changes regarding assessment rates to the unsecured debt and brokered deposit adjustments; creates a depository institution debt adjustment; eliminates the previously adopted secured liability adjustment; and adopts a new assessment rate schedule effective April 1, 2011, and, in lieu of dividends from the insurance fund when the fund amount reaches 1.5 percent of insured funds, the FDIC will use a progressively lower rate assessment schedule when the reserve ratio exceeds 2 percent and 2.5 percent.

In addition to its insurance assessment, each insured bank was subject to quarterly debt service assessments in connection with bonds issued by a government corporation that financed the federal savings and loan bailout. The Company expensed \$69,000 and \$81,000 during the first nine months of 2012 and 2011, respectively, for this assessment.

On September 29, 2009, the FDIC board proposed a Deposit Insurance Fund restoration plan that required banks to prepay, on December 30, 2009, their estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of 2010, 2011 and 2012. Under the plan—which applied to all banks except those with liquidity problems—banks were assessed through 2010 according to the risk-based premium schedule adopted in 2009. Beginning January 1, 2011, the base rate increases by 3 basis points. The Company recorded a prepaid expense asset of \$4,855,000 as of December 31, 2009 as a result of this plan. This asset is being amortized to non-interest expense over three years. The balance of this asset was \$1,588,000 as of September 30, 2012.

**Basel III.** In September 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision proposed higher global minimum capital standards, including a minimum Tier 1 common capital ratio and additional capital and liquidity requirements, with rules expected to be implemented between 2013 and 2019. Adoption in the U.S. is expected to occur over a similar



timeframe, but the final form of the U.S. rules is uncertain.

On June 7, 2012, the Federal Reserve Board approved proposed rules that would substantially amend the regulatory risk-based capital rules applicable to the Company and First Mid Bank. The FDIC and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency subsequently approved these proposed rules on June 12, 2012. The proposed rules implement Basel III regulatory capital reforms. The proposed rules will be in a comment period through October 22, 2012.

The proposed rules include new risk-based capital and leverage ratios, which would be phased in from 2013 to 2019, and would refine the definition of what constitutes “capital” for purposes of calculating those ratios. The proposed new minimum capital level requirements applicable to the Company and First Mid Bank under the proposals would be: (i) a new common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%; (ii) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 6%; (iii) a total capital ratio of 8%; and (iv) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4%. The proposed rules would also establish a “capital conservation buffer” of 2.5% above the new regulatory minimum capital requirements, which must consist entirely of common equity Tier 1 capital and would result in the following minimum ratios: (i) a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 7.0%, (ii) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5%, and (iii) a total capital ratio of 10.5%. The new capital conservation buffer requirement would be phased in beginning in January 2016 at 0.625% of risk-weighted assets and would increase by that amount each year until fully implemented in January 2019. An institution would be subject to limitations on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases and paying discretionary bonuses if its capital level falls below the buffer amount.

While uncertainty exists in both the final form of the rules and whether or not the Company will be required to adopt all of the rules, the Company is closely monitoring relevant developments.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Significant Estimates

The Company has established various accounting policies that govern the application of U.S. generally accepted accounting principles in the preparation of the Company’s financial statements. The significant accounting policies of the Company are described in the footnotes to the consolidated financial statements included in the Company’s 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain accounting policies involve significant judgments and assumptions by management that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities; management considers such accounting policies to be critical accounting policies. The judgments and assumptions used by management are based on historical experience and other factors, which are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Because of the nature of the judgments and assumptions made by management, actual results could differ from these judgments and assumptions, which could have a material impact on the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the results of operations of the Company.

**Allowance for Loan Losses.** The Company believes the allowance for loan losses is the critical accounting policy that requires the most significant judgments and assumptions used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements. An estimate of potential losses inherent in the loan portfolio are determined and an allowance for those losses is established by considering factors including historical loss rates, expected cash flows and estimated collateral values. In assessing these factors, the Company use organizational history and experience with credit decisions and related outcomes. The allowance for loan losses represents the best estimate of losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses is increased by the provision for loan losses charged to expense and reduced by loans charged off, net of recoveries. The Company evaluates the allowance for loan losses quarterly. If the underlying assumptions later prove to be inaccurate based on subsequent loss evaluations, the allowance for loan losses is adjusted.

The Company estimates the appropriate level of allowance for loan losses by separately evaluating impaired and nonimpaired loans. A specific allowance is assigned to an impaired loan when expected cash flows or collateral do not justify the carrying amount of the loan. The methodology used to assign an allowance to a nonimpaired loan is more subjective. Generally, the allowance assigned to nonimpaired loans is determined by applying historical loss rates to existing loans with similar risk characteristics, adjusted for qualitative factors including the volume and severity of identified classified loans, changes in economic conditions, changes in credit policies or underwriting standards, and changes in the level of credit risk associated with specific industries and markets. Because the economic and business climate in any given industry or market, and its impact on any given borrower, can change rapidly, the risk profile of the loan portfolio is continually assessed and adjusted when appropriate. Notwithstanding these procedures, there still exists the possibility that the assessment could prove to be significantly incorrect and that an immediate adjustment to

the allowance for loan losses would be required.

Other Real Estate Owned. Other real estate owned acquired through loan foreclosure is initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. The adjustment at the time of foreclosure is recorded through the allowance for loan losses. Due to the subjective nature of establishing the fair value when the asset is acquired, the actual fair value of the other real estate owned or foreclosed asset could differ from the original estimate. If it is determined that fair value temporarily declines subsequent to foreclosure, a valuation allowance is recorded through noninterest expense. Operating costs associated with the assets after acquisition are also recorded as noninterest expense. Gains and losses on the disposition of other real estate owned and foreclosed assets are netted and posted to other noninterest expense.

**Investment in Debt and Equity Securities.** The Company classifies its investments in debt and equity securities as either held-to-maturity or available-for-sale in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," which was codified into ASC 320. Securities classified as held-to-maturity are recorded at cost or amortized cost. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value. Fair value calculations are based on quoted market prices when such prices are available. If quoted market prices are not available, estimates of fair value are computed using a variety of techniques, including extrapolation from the quoted prices of similar instruments or recent trades for thinly traded securities, fundamental analysis, or through obtaining purchase quotes. Due to the subjective nature of the valuation process, it is possible that the actual fair values of these investments could differ from the estimated amounts, thereby affecting the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company. If the estimated value of investments is less than the cost or amortized cost, the Company evaluates whether an event or change in circumstances has occurred that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment. If such an event or change has occurred and the Company determines that the impairment is other-than-temporary, a further determination is made as to the portion of impairment that is related to credit loss. The impairment of the investment that is related to the credit loss is expensed in the period in which the event or change occurred. The remainder of the impairment is recorded in other comprehensive income.

**Deferred Income Tax Assets/Liabilities.** The Company's net deferred income tax asset arises from differences in the dates that items of income and expense enter into our reported income and taxable income. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are established for these items as they arise. From an accounting standpoint, deferred tax assets are reviewed to determine if they are realizable based on the historical level of taxable income, estimates of future taxable income and the reversals of deferred tax liabilities. In most cases, the realization of the deferred tax asset is based on future profitability. If the Company were to experience net operating losses for tax purposes in a future period, the realization of deferred tax assets would be evaluated for a potential valuation reserve.

Additionally, the Company reviews its uncertain tax positions annually under FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN No. 48), "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes," codified within ASC 740. An uncertain tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount actually recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely to be recognized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. A significant amount of judgment is applied to determine both whether the tax position meets the "more likely than not" test as well as to determine the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely to be recognized. Differences between the position taken by management and that of taxing authorities could result in a reduction of a tax benefit or increase to tax liability, which could adversely affect future income tax expense.

**Impairment of Goodwill and Intangible Assets.** Core deposit and customer relationships, which are intangible assets with a finite life, are recorded on the Company's balance sheets. These intangible assets were capitalized as a result of past acquisitions and are being amortized over their estimated useful lives of up to 15 years. Core deposit intangible assets, with finite lives will be tested for impairment when changes in events or circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable. Core deposit intangible assets were tested for impairment as of September 30, 2012 as part of the goodwill impairment test and no impairment was identified.

As a result of the Company's acquisition activity, goodwill, an intangible asset with an indefinite life, is reflected on the balance sheets. Goodwill is evaluated for impairment annually, unless there are factors present that indicate a potential impairment, in which case, the goodwill impairment test is performed more frequently than annually.

**Fair Value Measurements.** The fair value of a financial instrument is defined as the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. The

Company estimates the fair value of a financial instrument using a variety of valuation methods. Where financial instruments are actively traded and have quoted market prices, quoted market prices are used for fair value. When the financial instruments are not actively traded, other observable market inputs, such as quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, may be used, if available, to determine fair value. When observable market prices do not exist, the Company estimates fair value. The Company's valuation methods consider factors such as liquidity and concentration concerns. Other factors such as model assumptions, market dislocations, and unexpected correlations can affect estimates of fair value. Imprecision in estimating these factors can impact the amount of revenue or loss recorded.

SFAS No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements”, which was codified into ASC 820, establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of financial instruments that considers the attributes specific to particular assets or liabilities and establishes a three-level hierarchy for determining fair value based on the transparency of inputs to each valuation as of the fair value measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1 — quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 — inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices of identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3 — inputs that are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

At the end of each quarter, the Company assesses the valuation hierarchy for each asset or liability measured. From time to time, assets or liabilities may be transferred within hierarchy levels due to changes in availability of observable market inputs to measure fair value at the measurement date. Transfers into or out of hierarchy levels are based upon the fair value at the beginning of the reporting period. A more detailed description of the fair values measured at each level of the fair value hierarchy can be found in Note 8 – Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities.

## Results of Operations

### Net Interest Income

The largest source of revenue for the Company is net interest income. Net interest income represents the difference between total interest income earned on earning assets and total interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The amount of interest income is dependent upon many factors, including the volume and mix of earning assets, the general level of interest rates and the dynamics of changes in interest rates. The cost of funds necessary to support earning assets varies with the volume and mix of interest-bearing liabilities and the rates paid to attract and retain such funds.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MID ILLINOIS BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

The Company's average balances, interest income and expense and rates earned or paid for major balance sheet categories are set forth for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 in the following table (dollars in thousands):

	Three months ended September 30, 2012				Three months ended September 30, 2011			
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate		Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	
<b>ASSETS</b>								
Interest-bearing deposits with other financial institutions	\$ 13,007	\$ 7	0.21	%	\$ 64,178	\$ 38	0.23	%
Federal funds sold	27,127	7	0.10	%	80,234	15	0.07	%
Certificates of deposit investments	10,138	13	0.51	%	12,567	20	0.63	%
Investment securities								
Taxable	473,497	2,487	2.10	%	403,929	2,586	2.56	%
Tax-exempt (1)	52,544	451	3.43	%	31,751	305	3.84	%
Loans (2)(3)(4)	871,900	10,993	5.00	%	807,193	11,204	5.51	%
Total earning assets	1,448,213	13,958	3.82	%	1,399,852	14,168	4.02	%
Cash and due from banks	31,718				34,328			
Premises and equipment	30,177				30,084			
Other assets	47,108				50,925			
Allowance for loan losses	(11,577 )				(10,837 )			
Total assets	\$ 1,545,639				\$ 1,504,352			
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>								
Interest-bearing deposits								
Demand deposits	\$ 517,232	\$ 292	0.22	%	\$ 496,313	\$ 551	0.44	%
Savings deposits	283,383	272	0.38	%	256,231	362	0.56	%
Time deposits	222,880	551	0.98	%	254,029	750	1.17	%
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	114,183	25	0.09	%	114,455	47	0.16	%
FHLB advances	9,750	66	2.69	%	19,750	185	3.72	%
Fed Funds Purchased	190	—	0.69	%	—	—	—	%
Junior subordinated debt	20,620	142	2.73	%	20,620	131	2.52	%
Other debt	—	—	—	%	—	—	—	%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,168,238	1,348	0.46	%	1,161,398	2,026	0.69	%
Non interest-bearing demand deposits	213,504				195,554			
Other liabilities	7,725				8,733			
Stockholders' equity	156,172				138,667			
Total liabilities & equity	\$ 1,545,639				\$ 1,504,352			
Net interest income		\$ 12,610				\$ 12,142		
Net interest spread			3.36	%			3.33	%
Impact of non-interest bearing funds			0.11	%			0.13	%
Net yield on interest-earning assets			3.47	%			3.46	%

(1) The tax-exempt income is not recorded on a tax equivalent basis.

- (2) Nonaccrual loans have been included in the average balances.
- (3) Net of unaccreted discount related to loans acquired
- (4) Includes loans held for sale.



The Company's average balances, interest income and expense and rates earned or paid for major balance sheet categories are set forth for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 in the following table (dollars in thousands):

	Nine months ended September 30, 2012				Nine months ended September 30, 2011			
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate		Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	
<b>ASSETS</b>								
Interest-bearing deposits with other financial institutions	\$ 14,328	\$ 26	0.25	%	\$ 103,987	\$ 194	0.25	%
Federal funds sold	52,197	35	0.09	%	80,115	55	0.09	%
Certificates of deposit investments	11,713	47	0.53	%	11,280	60	0.71	%
Investment securities								
Taxable	455,040	7,648	2.24	%	372,573	7,225	2.59	%
Tax-exempt (1)	46,919	1,245	3.54	%	28,619	838	3.90	%
Loans (2)(3)(4)	851,570	32,863	5.15	%	800,737	33,947	5.67	%
Total earning assets	1,431,767	41,864	3.90	%	1,397,311	42,319	4.05	%
Cash and due from banks	34,723				30,883			
Premises and equipment	30,372				28,928			
Other assets	47,659				53,054			
Allowance for loan losses	(11,467 )				(10,912 )			
Total assets	\$ 1,533,054				\$ 1,499,264			
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>								
Interest-bearing deposits								
Demand deposits	\$ 508,432	\$ 1,166	0.31	%	\$ 501,086	\$ 1,818	0.49	%
Savings deposits	279,015	933	0.45	%	248,676	1,169	0.63	%
Time deposits	228,317	1,746	1.02	%	272,535	2,273	1.11	%
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	113,400	100	0.12	%	103,770	122	0.16	%
FHLB advances	11,049	244	2.95	%	20,402	579	3.79	%
Fed Funds Purchased	78	—	0.69	%	18	—	—	%
Junior subordinated debt	20,620	428	2.77	%	20,620	632	4.10	%
Other debt	5,390	326	8.0	%	—	—	—	%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,166,301	4,943	0.57	%	1,167,107	6,593	0.76	%
Non interest-bearing demand deposits	211,024				194,629			
Other liabilities	7,533				6,695			
Stockholders' equity	148,196				130,833			
Total liabilities & equity	\$ 1,533,054				\$ 1,499,264			
Net interest income		\$ 36,921				\$ 35,726		
Net interest spread			3.33	%			3.29	%
Impact of non-interest bearing funds			0.11	%			0.13	%
Net yield on interest- earning assets			3.44	%			3.42	%

(1) The tax-exempt income is not recorded on a tax equivalent basis.

(2) Nonaccrual loans have been included in the average balances.

(3) Net of unaccreted discount related to loans acquired

(4) Includes loans held for sale.

Changes in net interest income may also be analyzed by segregating the volume and rate components of interest income and interest expense. The following table summarizes the approximate relative contribution of changes in average volume and interest rates to changes in net interest income for the three and nine-months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the same periods in 2011 (in thousands):

	Three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to 2011 Increase / (Decrease)			Nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to 2011 Increase / (Decrease)		
	Total Change	Volume (1)	Rate (1)	Total Change	Volume (1)	Rate (1)
<b>Earning Assets:</b>						
Interest-bearing deposits	\$(31 )	\$(28 )	\$(3 )	\$(168 )	\$(168 )	\$—
Federal funds sold	(8 )	(34 )	26	(20 )	(20 )	—
Certificates of deposit investments	(7 )	(4 )	(3 )	(13 )	4	(17 )
<b>Investment securities:</b>						
Taxable	(99 )	1,770	(1,869 )	423	1,882	(1,459 )
Tax-exempt (2)	146	181	(35 )	407	492	(85 )
Loans (3)	(211 )	3,723	(3,934 )	(1,084 )	2,963	(4,047 )
Total interest income	(210 )	5,608	(5,818 )	(455 )	5,153	(5,608 )
<b>Interest-Bearing Liabilities:</b>						
Interest-bearing deposits						
Demand deposits	(259 )	150	(409 )	(652 )	44	(696 )
Savings deposits	(90 )	206	(296 )	(236 )	197	(433 )
Time deposits	(199 )	(86 )	(113 )	(527 )	(340 )	(187 )
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(22 )	—	(22 )	(22 )	16	(38 )
FHLB advances	(119 )	(77 )	(42 )	(335 )	(226 )	(109 )
Junior subordinated debt	11	—	11	(204 )	—	(204 )
Other debt	—	162	(162 )	326	326	—
Total interest expense	(678 )	355	(1,033 )	(1,650 )	17	(1,667 )
Net interest income	\$468	\$5,253	\$(4,785 )	\$1,195	\$5,136	\$(3,941 )

(1) Changes attributable to the combined impact of volume and rate have been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate.

(2) The tax-exempt income is not recorded on a tax-equivalent basis.

(3) Nonaccrual loans have been included in the average balances.

Net interest income increased \$1.2 million, or 3.3%, to \$36.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, from \$35.7 million for the same period in 2011. The increase in net interest income was primarily due to a greater increase in investment and loan balances offset by declines in interest-bearing asset rates compared to the decline in rates of interest-bearing liabilities during the same period.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, average earning assets increased by \$34.5 million, or 2.5%, and average interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$.8 million or .1%, compared with average balances for the same period in 2011. The changes in average balances for these periods are shown below:

▲Average interest-bearing deposits held by the Company decreased \$89.7 million or 86.3%.

▲Average federal funds sold decreased \$27.9 million or 34.8%.

▲Average certificates of deposit investments increased by \$.4 million or 3.8%.

•Average loans increased by \$50.8 million or 6.3%.

•Average securities increased by \$100.8 million or 25.1%.

•Average deposits decreased by \$6.5 million or .6%.

•Average securities sold under agreements to repurchase increased by \$9.6 million or 9.3%.

•Average borrowings and other debt decreased by \$3.9 million or 9.5%.

•Net interest margin increased to 3.44% for the first nine months of 2012 from 3.42% for the first nine months of 2011.

To compare the tax-exempt yields on interest-earning assets to taxable yields, the Company also computes non-GAAP net interest income on a tax equivalent basis (TE) where the interest earned on tax-exempt securities is adjusted to an amount comparable to interest subject to normal income taxes assuming a federal tax rate of 34% (referred to as the tax equivalent adjustment). The year-to-date net yield on interest-earning assets (TE) was 3.52% and 3.48% for the first nine months of 2012 and 2011, respectively. The TE adjustments to net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$796,000 and \$432,000, respectively.

#### Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$1.8 million and \$2.6 million, respectively. Nonperforming loans were \$7.8 million and \$9.8 million as of September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Net charge-offs were \$1.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$2.5 million during the same period in 2011. For information on loan loss experience and nonperforming loans, see discussion under the “Nonperforming Loans” and “Loan Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses” sections below.

#### Other Income

An important source of the Company’s revenue is other income. The following table sets forth the major components of other income for the three and nine-months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	Three months ended September 30,			Nine months ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	\$ Change	2012	2011	\$ Change
Trust revenues	\$759	\$661	\$98	\$2,371	\$2,181	\$190
Brokerage commissions	184	178	6	494	485	9
Insurance commissions	392	385	7	1,476	1,503	(27)
Service charges	1,248	1,286	(38)	3,537	3,583	(46)
Security gains, net	110	35	75	933	412	521
Impairment recoveries (losses) on securities	127	(338)	465	127	(584)	711
Mortgage banking revenue, net	475	189	286	1,038	428	610
ATM / debit card revenue	852	882	(30)	2,543	2,603	(60)
Other	376	422	(46)	1,081	1,153	(72)
Total other income	\$4,523	\$3,700	\$823	\$13,600	\$11,764	\$1,836

Following are explanations of the changes in these other income categories for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011:

Trust revenues increased \$98,000 or 14.8% to \$759,000 from \$661,000 primarily due to an increase in revenues from Investment Management & Advisory Agency accounts and increases in market value related fees. Trust assets, at market value, were \$608.4 million at September 30, 2012 compared to \$512.6 million at September 30, 2011.

Revenues from brokerage increased \$6,000 or 3.4% to \$184,000 from \$178,000 due to an increase in commissions received from the sale of annuities.

Insurance commissions increased \$7,000 or 1.8% to \$392,000 from \$385,000 due to a increase in property and casualty insurance premiums written during 2012 compared to the same period in 2011.

• Fees from service charges decreased \$38,000 or 3% to \$1,248,000 from \$1,286,000 due to lower transaction account fees.

• The sale of securities during the three months ended September 30, 2012 resulted in net securities gains of \$110,000 compared to \$35,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2011.

During the third quarter of 2012, the Company received a payment for redemption of one of its investments in trust preferred securities that resulted in the reversal of \$127,000 of previous other-than-temporary impairment charges. The Company also recorded no other-than-temporary impairment charges during the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$338,000 for two of its investments in trust preferred securities during the third quarter of 2011. See Note 3 – Investment Securities in the notes to the financial statements for a more detailed description of these charges.

Mortgage banking income increased \$286,000 or 151.3% to \$475,000 from \$189,000. Loans sold balances were as follows:

\$29.8 million (representing 238 loans) for the third quarter of 2012.

\$14.0 million (representing 113 loans) for the third quarter of 2011.

First Mid Bank generally releases the servicing rights on loans sold into the secondary market.

Revenue from ATMs and debit cards decreased \$30,000 or 3.4% to \$852,000 from \$882,000 due to lower fees received for processing transactions.

Other income decreased \$46,000 or 10.9% to \$376,000 from \$422,000. This decrease was primarily due to non-recurring income received in 2011 for final distribution of an investment in other assets.

Following are explanations of the changes in these other income categories for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011:

- Trust revenues increased \$190,000 or 8.7% to \$2,371,000 from \$2,181,000 primarily due to an increase in revenues from Investment Management & Advisory Agency accounts and increases in market value related fees. Trust assets, at market value, were \$608.4 million at September 30, 2012 compared to \$512.6 million at September 30, 2011.

- Revenues from brokerage increased \$9,000 or 1.9% to \$494,000 from \$485,000 due to an increase in commissions received from the sale of annuities.

- Insurance commissions decreased \$27,000 or 1.8% to \$1,476,000 from \$1,503,000 due to a decrease in property and casualty insurance premiums written during 2012 compared to the same period in 2011.

- Fees from service charges decreased \$46,000 or 1.3% to \$3,537,000 from \$3,583,000 due to lower overdraft fees.

- The sale of securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 resulted in net securities gains of \$933,000 compared to \$412,000 during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

During the third quarter of 2012, the Company received a payment for redemption of one of its investments in trust preferred securities that resulted in the reversal of \$127,000 of previous other-than-temporary impairment charges. The Company also recorded no other-than-temporary impairment charges during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$584,000 for two of its investments in trust preferred securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. See Note 3 – Investment Securities in the notes to the financial statements for a more detailed description of these charges.

Mortgage banking income increased \$610,000 or 142.5% to \$1,038,000 from \$428,000. Loans sold balances were as follows:

\$67.2 million (representing 557 loans) for the nine months ended of September 30, 2012.

\$32.9 million (representing 271 loans) for the nine months ended of September 30, 2011.

First Mid Bank generally releases the servicing rights on loans sold into the secondary market.

Revenue from ATMs and debit cards decreased \$60,000 or 2.3% to \$2,543,000 from \$2,603,000 due to lower fees received for processing transactions.

Other income decreased \$72,000 or 6.2% to \$1,081,000 from \$1,153,000. This decrease was primarily due to non-recurring income received in 2011 for final distribution of an investment in other assets and decreases in various other expenses.



## Other Expense

The major categories of other expense include salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment expenses and other operating expenses associated with day-to-day operations. The following table sets forth the major components of other expense for the three and nine-months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	Three months ended September 30			Nine months ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	\$ Change	2012	2011	\$ Change
Salaries and employee benefits	\$5,914	\$5,424	\$490	\$17,437	\$16,483	\$954
Net occupancy and equipment expense	2,028	2,058	(30)	6,042	6,008	34
Net other real estate owned expense	59	455	(396)	357	1,052	(695)
FDIC insurance	202	217	(15)	665	937	(272)
Amortization of intangible assets	179	286	(107)	603	858	(255)
Stationery and supplies	134	143	(9)	445	432	13
Legal and professional	557	586	(29)	1,665	1,666	(1)
Marketing and donations	138	320	(182)	689	779	(90)
Other operating expenses	1,351	1,375	(24)	4,058	3,952	106
Total other expense	\$10,562	\$10,864	\$(302)	\$31,961	\$32,167	\$(206)

Following are explanations for the changes in these other expense categories for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011:

Salaries and employee benefits, the largest component of other expense, increased \$490,000 or 9% to \$5,914,000 from \$5,424,000. This increase was primarily due to merit increases for continuing employees during the first quarter of 2012 and an increase in accrual for bonuses based on estimated achievement for 2012. There were 403 full-time equivalent employees at September 30, 2012 compared to 405 at September 30, 2011.

Occupancy and equipment expense decreased \$30,000 or 1.5% to \$2,028,000 from \$2,058,000. This decrease was primarily due to expenses for computer software and software maintenance for existing branches and other expenses associated with the company's purchase of a building in Mattoon, Illinois in August 2011 that were greater during the three months ended September 30, 2011 than the same period in 2012.

Net other real estate owned expense decreased \$396,000 or 87% to \$59,000 from \$455,000. The decrease in 2012 was primarily due to less expenses for maintenance, insurance and property taxes resulting from less properties owned and fewer losses on properties sold during the third quarter of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011.

FDIC insurance expense decreased \$15,000 or 6.9% to \$202,000 from \$217,000 due to lower assessment rates during the third quarter of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011.

Expense for amortization of intangible assets decreased \$107,000 or 37.4% to \$179,000 from \$286,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decrease in intangible amortization expense in 2012 was due to the customer list intangibles becoming fully amortized during the first quarter of 2012 and less amortization expense for core deposit intangibles.

Other operating expenses decreased \$24,000 or 1.7% to \$1,351,000 in 2012 from \$1,375,000 in 2011 due to decreases in various expenses.

On a net basis, all other categories of operating expenses decreased \$220,000 or 21% to \$829,000 in 2012 from \$1,049,000 in 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in marketing and promotion expenses.

Following are explanations for the changes in these other expense categories for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011:

Salaries and employee benefits, the largest component of other expense, increased \$954,000 or 5.8% to \$17,437,000 from \$16,483,000. This increase was primarily due to merit increases for continuing employees during the first quarter of 2012 and an increase in accrual for bonuses based on estimated achievement for 2012. There were 403 full-time equivalent employees at September 30, 2012 compared to 405 at September 30, 2011.

Occupancy and equipment expense increased \$34,000 or .6% to \$6,042,000 from \$6,008,000. This increase was primarily due to increases in building depreciation expense and other expenses associated with the company's purchase of a building in Mattoon, Illinois in August 2011.

Net other real estate owned expense decreased \$695,000 or 66.1% to \$357,000 from \$1,052,000. The decrease in 2012 was primarily due to less expenses for maintenance, insurance and property taxes resulting from less properties owned and fewer losses on properties sold during 2012 compared to 2011.

FDIC insurance expense decreased \$272,000 or 29% to \$665,000 from \$937,000 due to a change in the calculation of insurance assessments beginning April 1, 2011 and lower assessment rates during 2012 compared to 2011.

Expense for amortization of intangible assets decreased \$255,000 or 29.7% to \$603,000 from \$858,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decrease in intangible amortization expense in 2012 was due to the customer list intangibles becoming fully amortized during the first quarter of 2012 and less amortization expense for core deposit intangibles.

Other operating expenses increased \$106,000 or 2.7% to \$4,058,000 in 2012 from \$3,952,000 in 2011 due to increases in various expenses.

On a net basis, all other categories of operating expenses decreased \$78,000 or 2.7% to \$2,799,000 in 2012 from \$2,877,000 in 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in marketing and promotion and legal expenses offset by an increase in other professional and stationery and supplies expenses.

#### Income Taxes

Total income tax expense amounted to \$6,293,000 (37.4% effective tax rate) for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$4,637,000 (36.4% effective tax rate) for the same period in 2011. Beginning January 1, 2011, the State of Illinois increased the corporate income tax rate to 9.5% compared to 7.3% previously. This increase continued in 2012.

The Company files U.S. federal and state of Illinois income tax returns. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal or state income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2008.



## Analysis of Balance Sheets

## Securities

The Company's overall investment objectives are to insulate the investment portfolio from undue credit risk, maintain adequate liquidity, insulate capital against changes in market value and control excessive changes in earnings while optimizing investment performance. The types and maturities of securities purchased are primarily based on the Company's current and projected liquidity and interest rate sensitivity positions.

The following table sets forth the amortized cost of the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
	Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Yield	Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Yield	
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 174,089	1.75	% \$ 164,812	1.99	%
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	51,327	3.62	% 38,879	3.92	%
Mortgage-backed securities: GSE residential	276,889	2.81	% 254,930	3.17	%
Trust preferred securities	4,974	3.50	% 5,625	4.05	%
Other securities	9,637	1.92	% 9,561	1.92	%
Total securities	\$ 516,916	2.53	% \$ 473,807	2.81	%

At September 30, 2012, the Company's investment portfolio increased by \$43.1 million from December 31, 2011 due to the purchase of various securities. When purchasing investment securities, the Company considers its overall liquidity and interest rate risk profile, as well as the adequacy of expected returns relative to the risks assumed.

The table below presents the credit ratings as of September 30, 2012 for certain investment securities (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Average Credit Rating of Fair Value at September 30, 2010 (1)					
			AAA	AA +/-	A +/-	BBB +/-	< BBB -	Not rated
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 174,089	\$ 175,475	\$ 175,475	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	51,327	54,611	3,285	40,332	6,227	1,389	—	3,378
Mortgage-backed securities (2)	276,889	285,412	—	—	—	—	—	285,412
Trust preferred securities	4,974	450	—	—	—	—	450	—
Other securities	9,637	9,804	—	—	7,911	1,844	—	49
Total investments	\$ 516,916	\$ 525,752	\$ 178,760	\$ 40,332	\$ 14,138	\$ 3,233	\$ 450	\$ 288,839

(1) Credit ratings reflect the lowest current rating assigned by a nationally recognized credit rating agency.

(2) Mortgage-backed securities include mortgage-backed securities (MBS) and collateralized mortgage obligation (CMO) issues from the following government sponsored enterprises: FHLMC, FNMA, GNMA and FHLB. While MBS and CMOs are no longer explicitly rated by credit rating agencies, the industry recognizes that they are backed by agencies which have an implied government guarantee.

The trust preferred securities are three trust preferred pooled securities issued by FTN Financial Securities Corp. (“FTN”). The following table contains information regarding these securities as of September 30, 2012:

Deal name	PreTSL I	PreTSL II	PreTSL XXVIII
Class	Mezzanine	Mezzanine	Mezzanine C-1
Book value	\$513,000	\$809,000	\$3,652,000
Fair value	\$209,000	\$213,000	\$28,000
Unrealized gains/(losses)	\$(304,000 )	\$(596,000 )	\$(3,624,000 )
Other-than-temporary impairment recorded in earnings	\$691,000	\$2,187,000	\$1,111,000
Lowest credit rating assigned	Ca	Ca	C
Number of performing banks	12	14	27
Number of issuers in default	4	4	9
Number of issuers in deferral	1	6	9
Original collateral	\$303,112,000	\$334,170,000	\$360,850,000
Actual defaults & deferrals as a % of original collateral	22.8 %	26.0 %	25.2 %
Remaining collateral	\$177,000,000	\$183,200,000	\$360,616,000
Actual defaults & deferrals as a % of remaining collateral	39.0 %	47.5 %	25.2 %
Expected defaults & deferrals as a % of remaining collateral	41.2 %	49.6 %	34.6 %
Performing collateral	\$108,000,000	\$96,200,000	\$270,642,245
Current balance of class	\$90,533,716	\$122,047,807	\$36,990,895
Subordination	\$131,121,879	\$185,063,249	\$301,063,079
Excess subordination	\$(23,121,879 )	\$(88,863,249 )	\$(30,420,834 )
Excess subordination as a % of remaining performing collateral	(21.4 )%	(92.4 )%	(11.2 )%
Discount rate (1)	9.74 %	9.68 %	1.63%-4.79%
Expected defaults & deferrals as a % of remaining collateral (2)	2% / .36	2% / .36	2% / .36
Recovery assumption (3)	10 %	10 %	10 %
Prepayment assumption (4)	1 %	1 %	1 %

(1) The discount rate for floating rate bonds is a compound interest formula based on the LIBOR forward curve for each payment date

(2) 2% annually for 2 years and 36 basis points annually thereafter

(3) With 2 year lag

(4) Additional assumptions regarding prepayments:

Banks with more than \$15 billion in total assets as of 12/31/2009:

(a) For fixed rate TruPS, all securities will be called in one year

(b) For floating rate TruPS, (1) all securities with spreads greater than 250 bps will be called in one year (2) all securities with spreads between 150 bps and 250 bps will be called at a rate of 5% annually (3) all securities with spreads less than 150 bps will be called at a rate of 1% annually

Banks with less than \$15 billion in total assets as of 12/31/2009:

(a) For fixed rate TruPS, (1) all securities with coupons greater than 8% that were issued by healthy banks with the capacity to prepay will be called in one year (2) All remaining fixed rate securities will be called at a rate of 1% annually

(b) For floating rate TruPs, all securities will be called at a rate of 1% annually





The trust preferred pooled securities are Collateralized Debt Obligations (“CDOs”) backed by a pool of debt securities issued by financial institutions. The collateral consists of trust-preferred securities and subordinated debt securities issued by banks, bank holding companies and insurance companies. Performing collateral is the amount of remaining collateral less the balances of collateral in deferral or default. Subordination is the amount of performing collateral in excess of the current balance of a specified class and all classes senior to the specified class. Excess subordination is the amount that the performing collateral balance exceeds the current outstanding balance of the specific class, plus all senior classes. It is a static measure of credit enhancement, but does not incorporate all of the structural elements of the security deal. This amount can also be impacted by future defaults and deferrals, deferring balances that cure or redemptions of securities by issuers. A negative excess subordination indicates that the current performing collateral of the security would be insufficient to pay the current principal balance of the class notes after all of the senior classes’ notes were paid. However, the performing collateral balance excludes the collateral of issuers currently deferring their interest payments. Because these issuers are expected to resume payment in the future (within five years of the first deferred interest period), a negative excess subordination does not necessarily mean a class note holder will not receive a greater than projected or even full payment of cash flow at maturity.

At September 30, 2012 and 2011 the Company was receiving “payment in kind” (“PIK”) in lieu of cash interest on all of its trust preferred securities investments as and to the extent described below. The Company’s use of “PIK” does not indicate that additional securities have been issued in satisfaction of any outstanding obligation; rather, it indicates that a coverage test of a class or tranche directly senior to the class in question has failed and interest received on the PIK note is being capitalized, which means the principal balance is being increased. Once the coverage test is met, the capitalized interest will be paid in cash and current cash interest payments will resume.

The Company’s trust preferred securities investments all allow, under the terms of the issue, for issuers to defer interest for up to five consecutive years. After five years, if not cured, the securities are considered to be in default and the trustee may demand payment in full of principal and accrued interest. Issuers are also considered to be in default in the event of the failure of the issuer or a subsidiary. The structuring of these trust preferred securities provides for a waterfall approach to absorbing losses whereby lower classes or tranches are initially impacted and more senior tranches are only impacted after lower tranches can no longer absorb losses. Likewise, the waterfall approach also applies to principal and interest payments received, as senior tranches have priority over lower tranches in the receipt of payments. Both deferred and defaulted issuers are considered non-performing, and the trustee calculates, on a quarterly or semi-annual basis, certain coverage tests prior to the payment of cash interest to owners of the various tranches of the securities. The coverage tests are compared to an over-collateralization target that states the balance of performing collateral as a percentage of the tranche balance plus the balance of all senior tranches. The tests must show that performing collateral is sufficient to meet requirements for the senior tranches, both in terms of cash flow and collateral value, before cash interest can be paid to subordinate tranches. As a result of the cash flow waterfall provisions within the structure of these securities, when a senior tranche fails its coverage test, all of the cash flows that would have been paid to lower tranches are paid to the senior tranche and recorded as a reduction of the senior tranches’ principal. This principal reduction in the senior tranche continues until the coverage test of the senior tranche is passed or the principal of the tranche is paid in full. For so long as the cash flows are being diverted to the senior tranches, the amount of interest due and payable to the subordinate tranches is capitalized and recorded as an increase in the principal value of the tranche. The Company’s trust preferred securities investments are in the mezzanine tranches or classes which are subordinate to one of more senior tranches of their respective issues. The Company is receiving PIK for these securities due to failure of the required senior tranche coverage tests described. These securities are projected to remain in full or partial PIK status for a period of one to eleven years.

The impact of payment of PIK to subordinate tranches is to strengthen the position of the senior tranches by reducing the senior tranches’ principal balances relative to available collateral and cash flow. The impact to the subordinate tranches is to increase principal balances, decrease cash flow, and increase credit risk to the tranches receiving the PIK. The risk to holders of a security of a tranche in PIK status is that the total cash flow will not be sufficient to

repay all principal and capitalized interest related to the investment.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, after analysis of the expected future cash flows and the timing of resumed interest payments, the Company determined that placing all four of the trust preferred securities on non-accrual status was the most prudent course of action. The Company stopped all accrual of interest and ceased to capitalize any PIK to the principal balance of the securities. The Company intends to keep these securities on non-accrual status until the scheduled interest payments resume on a regular basis and any previously recorded PIK has been paid. The PIK status of these securities, among other factors, indicates potential other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) and accordingly, the Company performed further detailed analysis of the investments’ cash flows and the credit conditions of the underlying issuers. This analysis incorporates, among other things, the waterfall provisions and any resulting PIK status of these securities to determine if cash flow will be sufficient to pay all principal and interest due to the investment tranche held by the Company.

See discussion below and Note 4 – Investment Securities in the notes to the financial statements for more detail regarding this analysis. Based on this analysis, the Company believes the amortized costs recorded for its trust preferred securities investments accurately reflects the position of these securities at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

On July 3, 2012, the company's holding in PreTSL VI was redeemed in full. The payment received was sufficient to pay-off the book value of the security of \$123,000, reverse the recorded OTTI impairment of \$127,000 and recover previously unrecorded interest of approximately \$11,500.

#### Other-than-temporary Impairment of Securities

Declines in the fair value, or unrealized losses, of all available for sale investment securities, are reviewed to determine whether the losses are either a temporary impairment or OTTI. Temporary adjustments are recorded when the fair value of a security fluctuates from its historical cost. Temporary adjustments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income, and impact the Company's equity position. Temporary adjustments do not impact net income. A recovery of available for sale security prices also is recorded as an adjustment to other comprehensive income for securities that are temporarily impaired, and results in a positive impact to the Company's equity position.

OTTI is recorded when the fair value of an available for sale security is less than historical cost, and it is probable that all contractual cash flows will not be collected. Investment securities are evaluated for OTTI on at least a quarterly basis. In conducting this assessment, the Company evaluates a number of factors including, but not limited to:

- how much fair value has declined below amortized cost;
- how long the decline in fair value has existed;
- the financial condition of the issuers;
- contractual or estimated cash flows of the security;
- underlying supporting collateral;
- past events, current conditions and forecasts;
- significant rating agency changes on the issuer; and
- the Company's intent and ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

If the Company intends to sell the security or if it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the entire amount of OTTI is recorded to noninterest income, and therefore, results in a negative impact to net income. Because the available for sale securities portfolio is recorded at fair value, the conclusion as to whether an investment decline is other-than-temporarily impaired, does not significantly impact the Company's equity position, as the amount of the temporary adjustment has already been reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income/loss.

If the Company does not intend to sell the security and it is not more-likely-than-not it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, only the amount related to credit loss is recognized in earnings. In determining the portion of OTTI that is related to credit loss, the Company compares the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the security with the amortized cost basis of the security. The remaining portion of OTTI, related to other factors, is recognized in other comprehensive earnings, net of applicable taxes.

The term "other-than-temporary" is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent, but indicates that the prospects for a near-term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable, or that there is a general lack of evidence to support a realizable value equal to or greater than the carrying value of the investment. See Note 3 -- Investment Securities in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) for a discussion of the Company's

evaluation and subsequent charges for OTTI.

## Loans

The loan portfolio (net of unearned interest) is the largest category of the Company's earning assets. The following table summarizes the composition of the loan portfolio, including loans held for sale, as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	September 30, 2012	% Outstanding Loans		December 31, 2011	% Outstanding Loans	
Construction and land development	\$25,117	2.8	%	\$23,136	2.7	%
Farm loans	83,425	9.3	%	72,585	8.4	%
1-4 Family residential properties	190,239	21.2	%	181,849	21.1	%
Multifamily residential properties	44,292	4.9	%	19,846	2.3	%
Commercial real estate	313,030	34.8	%	321,001	37.4	%
Loans secured by real estate	656,103	73.0	%	618,417	71.9	%
Agricultural loans	63,365	7.0	%	63,257	7.4	%
Commercial and industrial loans	154,301	17.2	%	150,716	17.5	%
Consumer loans	16,242	1.8	%	16,271	1.9	%
All other loans	9,356	1.0	%	11,413	1.3	%
Total loans	\$899,367	100.0	%	\$860,074	100.0	%

Overall loans increased \$39.3 million, or 4.6%. The increase was primarily due to increases in multifamily residential properties and farm loans. The balance of real estate loans held for sale, included in the balances shown above, amounted to \$1,390,000 and \$1,046,000 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Commercial and commercial real estate loans generally involve higher credit risks than residential real estate and consumer loans. Because payments on loans secured by commercial real estate or equipment are often dependent upon the successful operation and management of the underlying assets, repayment of such loans may be influenced to a great extent by conditions in the market or the economy. The Company does not have any sub-prime mortgages or credit card loans outstanding which are also generally considered to be higher credit risk.

The following table summarizes the loan portfolio geographically by branch region as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Principal balance	% Outstanding Loans		Principal balance	% Outstanding loans	
Mattoon region	\$179,940	20.0	%	\$163,446	19.0	%
Charleston region	52,199	5.8	%	48,716	5.7	%
Sullivan region	128,252	14.3	%	120,369	14.0	%
Effingham region	65,630	7.3	%	75,750	8.8	%
Decatur region	223,394	24.8	%	197,063	22.9	%
Peoria region	140,490	15.6	%	143,955	16.7	%
Highland region	109,462	12.2	%	110,775	12.9	%
Total all regions	\$899,367	100.0	%	\$860,074	100.0	%

Loans are geographically dispersed among these regions located in central and southwestern Illinois. While these regions have experienced some economic stress during 2012 and 2011, the Company does not consider these locations high risk areas since these regions have not experienced the significant declines in real estate values seen in some other areas in the United States.

The Company does not have a concentration, as defined by the regulatory agencies, in construction and land development loans or commercial real estate loans as a percentage of total risk-based capital for the periods shown above. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company did have industry loan concentrations in excess of 25% of total risk-based capital in the following industries (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Principal balance	% Outstanding Loans	Principal balance	% Outstanding Loans
Other grain farming	\$ 125,808	13.99	% \$ 120,061	13.17
Lessors of non-residential buildings	92,791	10.32	% 82,557	9.50
Lessors of residential buildings & dwellings	60,536	6.73	% 44,009	5.06
Hotels and motels	46,232	5.14	% 46,842	5.64

The Company had no further industry loan concentrations in excess of 25% of total risk-based capital.

The following table presents the balance of loans outstanding as of September 30, 2012, by contractual maturities (in thousands):

	Maturity (1)			
	One year or less(2)	Over 1 through 5 years	Over 5 years	Total
Construction and land development	\$ 12,193	\$ 6,467	\$ 6,457	\$ 25,117
Farm loans	13,168	40,277	29,980	83,425
1-4 Family residential properties	24,683	85,728	79,828	190,239
Multifamily residential properties	943	17,801	25,548	44,292
Commercial real estate	43,985	182,268	86,777	313,030
Loans secured by real estate	94,972	332,541	228,590	656,103
Agricultural loans	48,045	14,512	808	63,365
Commercial and industrial loans	104,716	40,129	9,456	154,301
Consumer loans	3,525	12,385	332	16,242
All other loans	1,309	1,999	6,048	9,356
Total loans	\$ 252,567	\$ 401,566	\$ 245,234	\$ 899,367

(1) Based upon remaining contractual maturity.

(2) Includes demand loans, past due loans and overdrafts.

As of September 30, 2012, loans with maturities over one year consisted of approximately \$580.1 million in fixed rate loans and approximately \$66.7 million in variable rate loans. The loan maturities noted above are based on the contractual provisions of the individual loans. The Company has no general policy regarding renewals and borrower requests, which are handled on a case-by-case basis.

#### Nonperforming Loans and Nonperforming Other Assets

Nonperforming loans include: (a) loans accounted for on a nonaccrual basis; (b) accruing loans contractually past due ninety days or more as to interest or principal payments; and (c) loans not included in (a) and (b) above which are defined as “troubled debt restructurings”. Repossessed assets include primarily repossessed real estate and automobiles.

The Company's policy is to discontinue the accrual of interest income on any loan for which principal or interest is ninety days past due. The accrual of interest is discontinued earlier when, in the opinion of management, there is reasonable doubt as to the timely collection of interest or principal. Once interest accruals are discontinued, accrued but uncollected interest is charged against current year income. Subsequent receipts on non-accrual loans are recorded as a reduction of principal, and interest income is recorded only after principal recovery is reasonably assured. Nonaccrual loans are returned to accrual status when, in the opinion of management, the financial position of the borrower indicates there is no longer any reasonable doubt as to the timely collection of interest or principal.



Restructured loans are loans on which, due to deterioration in the borrower's financial condition, the original terms have been modified in favor of the borrower or either principal or interest has been forgiven.

Reposessed assets represent property acquired as the result of borrower defaults on loans. These assets are recorded at estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs, at the time of foreclosure or repossession. Write-downs occurring at foreclosure are charged against the allowance for loan losses. On an ongoing basis, properties are appraised as required by market indications and applicable regulations. Write-downs for subsequent declines in value are recorded in non-interest expense in other real estate owned along with other expenses related to maintaining the properties.

The following table presents information concerning the aggregate amount of nonperforming loans and reposessed assets at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011		
Nonaccrual loans	\$7,132	\$6,723		
Restructured loans which are performing in accordance with revised terms	712	717		
Total nonperforming loans	7,844	7,440		
Reposessed assets	1,103	4,606		
Total nonperforming loans and reposessed assets	\$8,947	\$12,046		
Nonperforming loans to loans, before allowance for loan losses	0.87	% 0.87	%	
Nonperforming loans and reposessed assets to loans, before allowance for loan losses	0.99	% 1.40	%	

The \$409,000 increase in nonaccrual loans during 2012 resulted from the net of \$3,514,000 of loans put on nonaccrual status, offset by \$270,000 of loans transferred to other real estate owned, \$523,000 of loans charged off and \$2,312,000 of loans becoming current or paid-off. The following table summarizes the composition of nonaccrual loans (in thousands):

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
	Balance	% of Total	Balance	% of Total	
Construction and land development	\$1,553	21.8	% \$833	12.4	%
Farm loans	199	2.8	% 532	7.9	%
1-4 Family residential properties	1,941	27.2	% 1,712	25.5	%
Commercial real estate	1,903	26.7	% 2,245	33.4	%
Loans secured by real estate	5,596	78.5	% 5,322	79.2	%
Agricultural loans	823	11.5	% 673	10.0	%
Commercial and industrial loans	698	9.8	% 720	10.7	%
Consumer loans	15	0.2	% 8	0.1	%
Total loans	\$7,132	100.0	% \$6,723	100.0	%

Interest income that would have been reported if nonaccrual and restructured loans had been performing totaled \$165,000 and \$361,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The \$3,503,000 decrease in reposessed assets during 2012 resulted from the net of \$585,000 of additional assets reposessed, \$3,735,000 of reposessed assets sold and \$353,000 of further write-downs of reposessed assets to current market value.



The following table summarizes the composition of repossessed assets (in thousands):

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
	Balance	% of Total	Balance	% of Total	
Construction and land development	\$278	25.2	% \$694	15.1	%
1-4 family residential properties	407	36.9	% 571	12.4	%
Multi-family residential properties	43	3.9	% 43	0.9	%
Commercial real estate	375	34.0	% 3,298	71.6	%
Total real estate	1,103	100.0	% 4,606	100.0	%
Consumer Loans	—	—	% —	—	%
Total repossessed collateral	\$1,103	100.0	% \$4,606	100.0	%

Repossessed assets sold during 2012 resulted in net losses of \$267,000, of which \$257,000 was related to real estate asset sales. Repossessed assets sold during 2011 resulted in net gains of \$712,000, of which net gains of \$712,000 were related to real estate asset sales.

#### Loan Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of the reserve necessary to adequately account for probable losses existing in the current portfolio. The provision for loan losses is the charge against current earnings that is determined by management as the amount needed to maintain an adequate allowance for loan losses. In determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, and therefore the provision to be charged to current earnings, management relies predominantly on a disciplined credit review and approval process that extends to the full range of the Company's credit exposure. The review process is directed by overall lending policy and is intended to identify, at the earliest possible stage, borrowers who might be facing financial difficulty. Once identified, the magnitude of exposure to individual borrowers is quantified in the form of specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses. Management considers collateral values and guarantees in the determination of such specific allocations. Additional factors considered by management in evaluating the overall adequacy of the allowance include historical net loan losses, the level and composition of nonaccrual, past due and renegotiated loans, trends in volumes and terms of loans, effects of changes in risk selection and underwriting standards or lending practices, lending staff changes, concentrations of credit, industry conditions and the current economic conditions in the region where the Company operates.

Given the current state of the economy, management did assess the impact of the recession on each category of loans and adjusted historical loss factors for more recent economic trends. Management utilizes a five-year loss history as one of several components in assessing the probability of inherent future losses. Given the continued weakened in economic conditions, management also increased its allocation to various loan categories for economic factors during 2012 and 2011. Some of the economic factors include the potential for reduced cash flow for commercial operating loans from reduction in sales or increased operating costs, decreased occupancy rates for commercial buildings, reduced levels of home sales for commercial land developments, the uncertainty regarding grain prices, drought conditions and increased operating costs for farmers, and increased levels of unemployment and bankruptcy impacting consumer's ability to pay. Each of these economic uncertainties was taken into consideration in developing the level of the reserve. Management considers the allowance for loan losses a critical accounting policy.

Management recognizes there are risk factors that are inherent in the Company's loan portfolio. All financial institutions face risk factors in their loan portfolios because risk exposure is a function of the business. The Company's operations (and therefore its loans) are concentrated in east central Illinois, an area where agriculture is the dominant industry. Accordingly, lending and other business relationships with agriculture-based businesses are

critical to the Company's success. At September 30, 2012, the Company's loan portfolio included \$146.7 million of loans to borrowers whose businesses are directly related to agriculture. Of this amount, \$125.8 million was concentrated in other grain farming. Total loans to borrowers whose businesses are directly related to agriculture increased \$10.9 million from \$135.8 million at December 31, 2011 while loans concentrated in other grain farming increased \$5.7 million from \$120.1 million at December 31, 2011.

While the Company adheres to sound underwriting practices, including collateralization of loans, any extended period of low commodity prices, drought conditions, significantly reduced yields on crops and/or reduced levels of government assistance to the agricultural industry could result in an increase in the level of problem agriculture loans and potentially result in loan losses within the agricultural portfolio. Drought conditions present during the second and third quarters of 2012 are expected to reduce the 2012 crop yields. The impact on the cash flow of agricultural customers is mitigated to some extent because most of these customers maintain crop insurance. The Company does not expect the drought conditions to have a material impact on the allowance for loan losses.

In addition, the Company has \$46.2 million of loans to motels and hotels. The performance of these loans is dependent on borrower specific issues as well as the general level of business and personal travel within the region. While the Company adheres to sound underwriting standards, a prolonged period of reduced business or personal travel could result in an increase in nonperforming loans to this business segment and potentially in loan losses. The Company also has \$92.8 million of loans to lessors of non-residential buildings and \$60.5 million of loans to lessors of residential buildings and dwellings.

The structure of the Company's loan approval process is based on progressively larger lending authorities granted to individual loan officers, loan committees, and ultimately the Board of Directors. Outstanding balances to one borrower or affiliated borrowers are limited by federal regulation; however, limits well below the regulatory thresholds are generally observed. The vast majority of the Company's loans are to businesses located in the geographic market areas served by the Company's branch bank system. Additionally, a significant portion of the collateral securing the loans in the portfolio is located within the Company's primary geographic footprint. In general, the Company adheres to loan underwriting standards consistent with industry guidelines for all loan segments.

The Company minimizes credit risk by adhering to sound underwriting and credit review policies. Management and the board of directors of the Company review these policies at least annually. Senior management is actively involved in business development efforts and the maintenance and monitoring of credit underwriting and approval. The loan review system and controls are designed to identify, monitor and address asset quality problems in an accurate and timely manner. On a quarterly basis, the board of directors and management review the status of problem loans and determine a best estimate of the allowance. In addition to internal policies and controls, regulatory authorities periodically review asset quality and the overall adequacy of the allowance for loan losses.

Analysis of the allowance for loan losses as of September 30, 2012 and 2011, and of changes in the allowance for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three months ended September 30		Nine months ended September 30		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Average loans outstanding, net of unearned income	\$871,900	\$807,193	\$851,570	\$800,737	
Allowance-beginning of period	11,455	10,695	11,120	10,393	
Charge-offs:					
Real estate-mortgage	501	804	1,036	1,911	
Commercial, financial & agricultural	39	172	319	697	
Installment	5	30	28	43	
Other	40	52	112	115	
Total charge-offs	585	1,058	1,495	2,766	
Recoveries:					
Real estate-mortgage	13	10	118	63	
Commercial, financial & agricultural	11	28	60	82	
Installment	8	3	19	11	
Other	23	23	72	62	
Total recoveries	55	64	269	218	
Net charge-offs	530	994	1,226	2,548	
Provision for loan losses	720	728	1,751	2,584	
Allowance-end of period	\$11,645	\$10,429	\$11,645	\$10,429	
Ratio of annualized net charge-offs to average loans	0.24	% 0.49	% 0.19	% 0.42	%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to loans outstanding (less unearned interest at end of period)	1.30	% 1.28	% 1.30	% 1.28	%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans	148.5	% 106.4	% 148.5	% 106.4	%

The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans is 148.5% as of September 30, 2012 compared to 106.4% as of September 30, 2011. This change is primarily due to a decline in non-performing loans. The decline in non-performing loans was the result of borrower paydowns and charge-offs. Management believes that the overall estimate of the allowance for loan losses appropriately accounts for probable losses attributable to current exposures.

During the first nine months of 2012, the Company had net charge-offs of \$1.2 million compared to \$2.5 million in 2011. During 2012, the Company's significant charge-offs included \$383,000 on seven commercial real estate loans of four borrowers, \$174,000 on one residential real estate loan and \$257,000 on seven commercial operating loans of three borrowers.

## Deposits

Funding of the Company's earning assets is substantially provided by a combination of consumer, commercial and public fund deposits. The Company continues to focus its strategies and emphasis on retail core deposits, the major component of funding sources. The following table sets forth the average deposits and weighted average rates for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and for the year ended December 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

Nine months ended September 30, 2012		Nine months ended September 30, 2011		Year ended December 31, 2011	
Average Balance	Weighted Average	Average Balance	Weighted Average	Average Balance	Weighted Average

Edgar Filing: FIRST MID ILLINOIS BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

		Rate			Rate			Rate	
Demand deposits:									
Non-interest-bearing	\$211,024	—	%	\$194,629	—	%	\$197,246	—	%
Interest-bearing	508,432	0.31	%	501,086	0.51	%	499,184	0.47	%
Savings	279,015	0.45	%	248,676	0.66	%	251,268	0.59	%
Time deposits	228,317	1.02	%	272,535	1.09	%	264,508	1.10	%
Total average deposits	\$1,226,788	0.42	%	\$1,216,926	0.59	%	\$1,212,206	0.56	%

57

---

The following table sets forth the high and low month-end balances for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and for the year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Nine months ended September 30, 2012	Nine months ended September 30, 2011	Year ended December 31, 2011
High month-end balances of total deposits	\$1,257,960	\$1,233,633	\$1,233,633
Low month-end balances of total deposits	1,193,341	1,195,627	1,170,734

During the first nine months of 2012, the average balance of deposits increased by \$14.6 million from the average balance for the year ended December 31, 2011. Average non-interest bearing deposits increased by \$13.8 million, average interest bearing account balances increased by \$9.2 million, savings account balances increased \$27.7 million and balances of time deposits declined \$36.2 million.

Balances of time deposits of \$100,000 or more include time deposits maintained for public fund entities and consumer time deposits. The following table sets forth the maturity of time deposits of \$100,000 or more at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
3 months or less	\$23,163	\$17,095
Over 3 through 6 months	9,918	11,037
Over 6 through 12 months	15,435	22,126
Over 12 months	18,672	17,596
Total	\$67,188	\$67,854

#### Repurchase Agreements and Other Borrowings

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are short-term obligations of First Mid Bank. First Mid Bank collateralizes these obligations with certain government securities that are direct obligations of the United States or one of its agencies. First Mid Bank offers these retail repurchase agreements as a cash management service to its corporate customers. Other borrowings consist of Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances, federal funds purchased, loans (short-term or long-term debt) that the Company has outstanding and junior subordinated debentures.

Information relating to securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other borrowings as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is presented below (dollars in thousands):



	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011		
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	\$ 111,870	\$ 132,380		
Federal Home Loan Bank advances:				
Fixed term – due in one year or less	4,750	14,750		
Fixed term – due after one year	5,000	5,000		
Junior subordinated debentures	20,620	20,620		
Debt due in one year or less	—	8,250		
Total	\$ 142,240	\$ 181,000		
Average interest rate at end of period	0.61	% 1.13		%
Maximum outstanding at any month-end:				
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	\$ 118,030	\$ 132,380		
Federal Home Loan Bank advances:				
Fixed term – due in one year or less	9,750	14,750		
Fixed term – due after one year	5,000	14,750		
Debt:				
Debt due in one year or less	8,250	8,250		
Junior subordinated debentures	20,620	20,620		
Averages for the period (YTD):				
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	\$ 113,400	\$ 108,240		
Federal funds purchased	78	14		
Federal Home Loan Bank advances:				
FHLB-overnite	4	3		
Fixed term – due in one year or less	6,046	9,863		
Fixed term – due after one year	5,000	10,372		
Debt:				
Loans due in one year or less	5,390	927		
Junior subordinated debentures	20,620	20,620		
Total	\$ 150,538	\$ 150,039		
Average interest rate during the period	0.97	% 1.19		%

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase declined \$20.5 million during the first nine months of 2012 primarily due to the seasonal declines in balances of various customers. FHLB advances represent borrowings by First Mid Bank to economically fund loan demand. At September 30, 2012 the fixed term advances consisted of \$9.75 million as follows:

\$4.75 million advance at 4.75% with a 5-year maturity, due December 24, 2012

\$5 million advance at 4.58% with a 10-year maturity, due July 14, 2016, one year lockout, callable quarterly

The Company is party to a revolving credit agreement with The Northern Trust Company in the amount of \$20 million. The balance on this line of credit was zero as of September 30, 2012. This loan was renewed on April 21, 2012 for one year as a revolving credit agreement with a maximum available balance of \$20 million. The interest rate is floating at 2.25% over the federal funds rate (2.375% at September 30, 2012). The loan is unsecured and subject to a borrowing agreement containing requirements for the Company and First Mid Bank, including requirements for operating and capital ratios. The Company and its subsidiary bank were in compliance with the existing covenants at September 30, 2012 and 2011 and December 31, 2011.

On February 11, 2011, the Company accepted from certain accredited investors, including directors, executive officers, and certain major customers and holders of the Company's common stock (collectively, the "Investors"), subscriptions for the purchase of \$27,500,000, in the aggregate, of the Series C Preferred Stock. As of February 11, 2011, \$11,010,000 of the Series C Preferred Stock had been issued and sold by the Company to certain Investors. On March 2, 2011, three Investors subsequently completed the required bank regulatory process and an additional \$2,750,000 of Series C Preferred Stock was

issued and sold by the Company to these Investors. On May 13, 2011, four additional Investors received the required bank regulatory approval and an additional \$5,490,000 of Series C Preferred Stock was issued and sold by the Company to these Investors. The Investors who subscribed for the remaining \$8,250,000 of our Series C Preferred Stock were the Remaining Investors.

As described in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 21, 2011, the disinterested members of the Board of Directors of the Company, which did not include Benjamin I. Lumpkin and Steve L. Grissom, approved and authorized, and the Remaining Investors agreed to, certain amendments to their subscription agreements resulting in the release to the Company of the funds escrowed by the Remaining Investors for their subscribed shares of the Series C Preferred Stock and, in lieu thereof, the issuance by the Company of the Notes to the Remaining Investors. On November 21, 2011, the Company and the Remaining Investors agreed to the release of the escrowed funds in exchange for the Notes.

On June 15, 2012, the Federal Reserve Board stated that it would not disapprove of the Remaining Investors' purchase of the shares of Series C Preferred Stock originally subscribed for by the Remaining Investors. By notices received June 28, 2012, the Remaining Investors notified the Company that they will exercise the prepayment provision allowing them to purchase the shares of Series C Preferred Stock originally subscribed for such that the Remaining Investors will use the funds represented by the Notes to purchase the subscribed for shares of the Series C Preferred Stock. As a result, on June 28, 2012, the Notes were canceled and the final \$8,250,000 of the Company's Series C Preferred Stock was issued and sold by the Company to the Remaining Investors.

On February 27, 2004, the Company completed the issuance and sale of \$10 million of floating rate trust preferred securities through First Mid-Illinois Statutory Trust I ("Trust I"), a statutory business trust and wholly-owned unconsolidated subsidiary of the Company, as part of a pooled offering. The Company established Trust I for the purpose of issuing the trust preferred securities. The \$10 million in proceeds from the trust preferred issuance and an additional \$310,000 for the Company's investment in common equity of Trust I, a total of \$10,310 000, was invested in junior subordinated debentures of the Company. The underlying junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company to Trust I mature in 2034, bear interest at three-month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 280 basis points (3.31% and 3.10% at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively), reset quarterly, and are callable at par, at the option of the Company, quarterly. The Company used the proceeds of the offering for general corporate purposes.

On April 26, 2006, the Company completed the issuance and sale of \$10 million of fixed/floating rate trust preferred securities through First Mid-Illinois Statutory Trust II ("Trust II"), a statutory business trust and wholly-owned unconsolidated subsidiary of the Company, as part of a pooled offering. The Company established Trust II for the purpose of issuing the trust preferred securities. The \$10 million in proceeds from the trust preferred issuance and an additional \$310,000 for the Company's investment in common equity of Trust II, a total of \$10,310 000, was invested in junior subordinated debentures of the Company. The underlying junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company to Trust II mature in 2036, bore interest at a fixed rate of 6.98% paid quarterly until June 15, 2011 and then converted to floating rate (LIBOR plus 160 basis points) after June 15, 2011 (1.99% and 1.95% at and September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively). The net proceeds to the Company were used for general corporate purposes, including the Company's acquisition of Mansfield Bancorp, Inc. in 2006.

The trust preferred securities issued by Trust I and Trust II are included as Tier 1 capital of the Company for regulatory capital purposes. On March 1, 2005, the Federal Reserve Board adopted a final rule that allows the continued limited inclusion of trust preferred securities in the calculation of Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes. The final rule provided a five-year transition period, ending September 30, 2010, for application of the revised quantitative limits. On March 17, 2009, the Federal Reserve Board adopted an additional final rule that delayed the effective date of the new limits on inclusion of trust preferred securities in the calculation of Tier 1 capital

until March 31, 2012. The application of the revised quantitative limits did not and is not expected to have a significant impact on its calculation of Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes or its classification as well-capitalized. The Dodd-Frank Act, signed into law July 21, 2010, removes trust preferred securities as a permitted component of a holding company's Tier 1 capital after a three-year phase-in period beginning January 1, 2013 for larger holding companies. For holding companies with less than \$15 billion in consolidated assets, existing issues of trust preferred securities are grandfathered and not subject to this new restriction. New issuances of trust preferred securities, however would not count as Tier 1 regulatory capital.

## Interest Rate Sensitivity

The Company seeks to maximize its net interest margin while maintaining an acceptable level of interest rate risk. Interest rate risk can be defined as the amount of forecasted net interest income that may be gained or lost due to changes in the interest rate environment, a variable over which management has no control. Interest rate risk, or sensitivity, arises when the maturity or repricing characteristics of interest-bearing assets differ significantly from the maturity or repricing characteristics of interest-bearing liabilities. The Company monitors its interest rate sensitivity position to maintain a balance between rate sensitive assets and rate sensitive liabilities. This balance serves to limit the adverse effects of changes in interest rates. The Company's asset liability management committee (ALCO) oversees the interest rate sensitivity position and directs the overall allocation of funds.

In the banking industry, a traditional way to measure potential net interest income exposure to changes in interest rates is through a technique known as "static GAP" analysis which measures the cumulative differences between the amounts of assets and liabilities maturing or repricing at various intervals. By comparing the volumes of interest-bearing assets and liabilities that have contractual maturities and repricing points at various times in the future, management can gain insight into the amount of interest rate risk embedded in the balance sheet.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MID ILLINOIS BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

The following table sets forth the Company's interest rate repricing GAP for selected maturity periods at September 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Rate Sensitive Within						Total	Fair Value
	1 year	1-2 years	2-3 years	3-4 years	4-5 years	Thereafter		
Interest-earning assets:								
Federal funds sold and other interest-bearing deposits	\$8,756	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$8,756	\$8,756
Certificates of deposit investments	8,504	—	—	—	—	—	8,504	8,497
Taxable investment securities	7,923	2,012	5,339	11,047	18,317	426,503	471,141	471,141
Nontaxable investment securities	406	569	11	1,090	1,669	50,866	54,611	54,611
Loans	448,483	133,446	106,131	107,651	79,796	23,860	899,367	901,960
Total	\$474,072	\$136,027	\$111,481	\$119,788	\$99,782	\$501,229	\$1,442,379	\$1,444,965
Interest-bearing liabilities:								
Savings and NOW accounts	\$101,134	\$30,574	\$31,679	\$43,850	\$45,085	\$265,956	\$518,278	\$518,278
Money market accounts	248,850	3,071	3,156	4,095	4,180	22,096	285,448	285,448
Other time deposits	167,232	22,433	10,165	11,599	8,072	188	219,689	220,248
Short-term borrowings/debt	111,870	—	—	—	—	—	111,870	111,865
Long-term borrowings/debt	25,370	—	—	—	5,000	—	30,370	22,240
Total	\$654,456	\$56,078	\$45,000	\$59,544	\$62,337	\$288,240	\$1,165,655	\$1,158,079
Rate sensitive assets – rate sensitive liabilities	\$(180,384)	\$79,949	\$66,481	\$60,244	\$37,445	\$212,989	\$276,724	
Cumulative GAP	\$(180,384)	\$(100,435)	\$(33,954)	\$26,290	\$63,735	\$276,724		
Cumulative amounts as % of total Rate sensitive assets	(12.5)	)% 5.5	% 4.6	% 4.2	% 2.6	% 14.8	%	
Cumulative Ratio	(12.5)	)% (7.0)	)% (2.4)	)% 1.8	% 4.4	% 19.2	%	

The static GAP analysis shows that at September 30, 2012, the Company was liability sensitive, on a cumulative basis, through the twelve-month time horizon. This indicates that future increases in interest rates could have an adverse effect on net interest income.

There are several ways the Company measures and manages the exposure to interest rate sensitivity, including static GAP analysis. The Company's ALCO also uses other financial models to project interest income under various rate scenarios and prepayment/extension assumptions consistent with First Mid Bank's historical experience and with known industry trends. ALCO meets at least monthly to review the Company's exposure to interest rate changes as indicated by the various techniques and to make necessary changes in the composition terms and/or rates of the assets and liabilities. The Company is currently experiencing downward pressure on asset yields resulting from the extended period of historically low interest rates and heightened competition for loans. A continuation of this environment could result in a decline in interest income and the net interest margin.

### Capital Resources

At September 30, 2012, the Company's stockholders' equity had increased \$16.7 million, or 11.9%, to \$157,688,000 from \$140,967,000 as of December 31, 2011. During the first nine months of 2012, net income contributed \$10,516,000 to equity before the payment of dividends to stockholders. The issuance of additional Series C Preferred Stock (1,650 shares) increased stockholders' equity by \$8,250,000. The change in market value of available-for-sale investment securities increased stockholders' equity by \$2,244,000, net of tax. Additional purchases of treasury stock (69,754 shares at an average cost of \$23.47 per share) decreased stockholders' equity by approximately \$1,637,000.

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Bank holding companies follow minimum regulatory requirements established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("Federal Reserve System"), and First Mid Bank follows similar minimum regulatory requirements established for national banks by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC"). Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary action by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's financial statements.

Quantitative measures established by each regulatory agency to ensure capital adequacy require the reporting institutions to maintain a minimum total risk-based capital ratio of 8%, a minimum Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4% and a minimum leverage ratio of 3% for the most highly rated banks that do not expect significant growth. All other institutions are required to maintain a minimum leverage ratio of 4%. Management believes that, as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company and First Mid Bank met all capital adequacy requirements.

As of September 30, 2012, both the Company and First Mid Bank had capital ratios above the required minimums for regulatory capital adequacy, and First Mid Bank had capital ratios that qualified it for treatment as well-capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action with respect to banks. To be categorized as well-capitalized, total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios must be maintained as set forth in the following table (dollars in thousands).



	Actual		Required Minimum For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Well-Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
September 30, 2012						
Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets)						
Company	\$ 161,391	15.88	% \$ 81,315	> 8.00%	N/A	N/A
First Mid Bank	140,519	13.97	80,496	> 8.00	\$ 100,620	> 10.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets)						
Company	149,746	14.73	40,657	> 4.00	N/A	N/A
First Mid Bank	128,874	12.81	40,248	> 4.00	60,372	> 6.00
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)						
Company	149,746	9.83	60,924	> 4.00	N/A	N/A
First Mid Bank	128,874	8.52	60,538	> 4.00	75,672	> 5.00
December 31, 2011						
Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets)						
Company	\$ 145,006	14.48	% \$ 80,093	> 8.00%	N/A	N/A
First Mid Bank	127,386	12.83	79,434	> 8.00	\$ 99,292	> 10.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets)						
Company	133,886	13.37	40,046	> 4.00	N/A	N/A
First Mid Bank	116,266	11.71	39,717	> 4.00	59,575	> 6.00
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)						
Company	133,886	8.99	59,574	> 4.00	N/A	N/A
First Mid Bank	116,266	7.85	59,228	> 4.00	74,035	> 5.00

## Stock Plans

Participants may purchase Company stock under the following four plans of the Company: the Deferred Compensation Plan, the First Retirement and Savings Plan, the Dividend Reinvestment Plan, and the SI Plan. For more detailed information on these plans, refer to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

At the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held May 23, 2007, the stockholders approved the SI Plan. The SI Plan was implemented to succeed the Company's 1997 Stock Incentive Plan, which had a ten-year term that expired October 21, 2007. The SI Plan is intended to provide a means whereby directors, employees, consultants and advisors of the Company and its Subsidiaries may sustain a sense of proprietorship and personal involvement in the continued development and financial success of the Company and its Subsidiaries, thereby advancing the interests of the Company and its stockholders. Accordingly, directors and selected employees, consultants and advisors may be provided the opportunity to acquire shares of Common Stock of the Company on the terms and conditions established in the SI Plan.

On September 27, 2011, the Board of Directors passed a resolution relating to the SI Plan whereby they authorized and approved the Executive Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP"). The LTIP was implemented to provide methodology for granting Stock Awards and Stock Unit Awards to select senior executives of the Company or any Subsidiary.

A maximum of 300,000 shares of common stock may be issued under the SI Plan. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had awarded 59,500 shares as stock options under the SI plan. There were no stock options granted in 2011.

or 2012. The awarded 14,592 shares and 17,409 shares during 2012 and 2011, respectively, as 50% Stock Awards and 50% Stock Unit Awards under the SI plan.

## Stock Repurchase Program

Since August 5, 1998, the Board of Directors has approved repurchase programs pursuant to which the Company may repurchase a total of approximately \$61.7 million of the Company's common stock. The repurchase programs approved by the Board of Directors are as follows:

- On August 5, 1998, repurchases of up to 3%, or \$2 million, of the Company's common stock.
- In March 2000, repurchases up to an additional 5%, or \$4.2 million of the Company's common stock.
- In September 2001, repurchases of \$3 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- In August 2002, repurchases of \$5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- In September 2003, repurchases of \$10 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On April 27, 2004, repurchases of \$5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On August 23, 2005, repurchases of \$5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On August 22, 2006, repurchases of \$5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On February 27, 2007, repurchases of \$5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On November 13, 2007, repurchases of \$5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On December 16, 2008, repurchases of \$2.5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On May 26, 2009, repurchases of \$5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.
- On February 22, 2011, repurchases of \$5 million of additional shares of the Company's common stock.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company repurchased 69,754 shares at a total cost of approximately \$1,637,000. Since 1998, the Company has repurchased a total of 3,110,000 shares at a total price of approximately \$59,812,000. As of September 30, 2012, the Company is authorized per all repurchase programs to purchase \$1,895,000 in additional shares.

## Liquidity

Liquidity represents the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to meet all present and future financial obligations arising in the daily operations of the business. Financial obligations consist of the need for funds to meet extensions of credit, deposit withdrawals and debt servicing. The Company's liquidity management focuses on the ability to obtain funds economically through assets that may be converted into cash at minimal costs or through other sources. The Company's other sources of cash include overnight federal fund lines, Federal Home Loan Bank advances, deposits of the State of Illinois, the ability to borrow at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, and the Company's operating line of credit with The Northern Trust Company. Details for the sources include:

First Mid Bank has \$35 million available in overnight federal fund lines, including \$10 million from U.S. Bank, N.A., \$10 million from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and \$15 million from The Northern Trust Company. Availability of the funds is subject to First Mid Bank meeting minimum regulatory capital requirements for total capital to risk-weighted assets and Tier 1 capital to total average assets. As of September 30, 2012, First Mid Bank met these regulatory requirements.

First Mid Bank can borrow from the Federal Home Loan Bank as a source of liquidity. Availability of the funds is subject to the pledging of collateral to the Federal Home Loan Bank. Collateral that can be pledged includes one-to-four family residential real estate loans and securities. At September 30, 2012, the excess collateral at the FHLB would support approximately \$94.5 million of additional advances.

- First Mid Bank receives deposits from the State of Illinois. The receipt of these funds is subject to competitive bid and requires collateral to be pledged at the time of placement.

First Mid Bank is a member of the Federal Reserve System and can borrow funds provided that sufficient collateral is pledged.

In addition, as of September 30, 2012, the Company had a revolving credit agreement in the amount of \$20 million with The Northern Trust Company with an outstanding balance of zero and \$20 million in available funds. This loan was renewed on April 21, 2012 for one year as a revolving credit agreement with a maximum available balance of \$20 million. The interest rate is floating at 2.25% over the federal funds rate. The loan is unsecured and subject to a borrowing agreement containing requirements for the Company and First Mid Bank, including requirements for operating and capital ratios. The Company and its subsidiary bank were in compliance with the existing covenants at September 30, 2012 and 2011 and December 31, 2011.

Management continues to monitor its expected liquidity requirements carefully, focusing primarily on cash flows from:

- lending activities, including loan commitments, letters of credit and mortgage prepayment assumptions;
- deposit activities, including seasonal demand of private and public funds;
- investing activities, including prepayments of mortgage-backed securities and call provisions on U.S. Treasury and government agency securities; and
- operating activities, including scheduled debt repayments and dividends to stockholders.

The following table summarizes significant contractual obligations and other commitments at September 30, 2012 (in thousands):

	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Time deposits	\$219,689	\$157,437	\$38,116	\$23,948	\$188
Debt	20,620	—	—	—	20,620
Other borrowings	121,620	121,620	—	—	—
Operating leases	3,864	1,021	1,568	557	718
Supplemental retirement	912	50	200	200	462
	\$366,705	\$280,128	\$39,884	\$24,705	\$21,988

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, net cash of \$14.1 million and \$34.9 million was provided from operating activities and financing activities, respectively and \$76.4 million was used in investing activities. In total, cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$27.4 million since year-end 2011.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

First Mid Bank enters into financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include lines of credit, letters of credit and other commitments to extend credit. Each of these instruments involves, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate and liquidity risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company uses the same credit policies and requires similar collateral in approving lines of credit and commitments and issuing letters of credit as it does in making loans. The exposure to credit losses on financial instruments is represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. However, the Company does not anticipate any losses from these instruments.

The off-balance sheet financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
--	-----------------------	----------------------

Unused commitments and lines of credit:

Commercial real estate	\$45,801	\$33,970
Commercial operating	134,343	119,102
Home equity	25,381	24,804
Other	38,371	44,433
Total	\$243,896	\$222,309
Standby letters of credit	\$4,237	\$6,267

Commitments to originate credit represent approved commercial, residential real estate and home equity loans that generally are expected to be funded within ninety days. Lines of credit are agreements by which the Company agrees to provide a borrowing accommodation up to a stated amount as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the loan agreement. Both commitments to originate credit and lines of credit generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the lines and some commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the financial performance of customers to third parties. Standby letters of credit are primarily issued to facilitate trade or support borrowing arrangements and generally expire in one year or less. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending credit facilities to customers. The maximum amount of credit that would be extended under letters of credit is equal to the total off-balance sheet contract amount of such instrument.

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There has been no material change in the market risk faced by the Company since December 31, 2011. For information regarding the Company's market risk, refer to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. Further, there have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected or that are reasonably likely to affect materially the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Various risks and uncertainties, some of which are difficult to predict and beyond the Company's control, could negatively impact the Company. As a financial institution, the Company is exposed to interest rate risk, liquidity risk, credit risk, operational risk, risks from economic or market conditions, and general business risks among others. Adverse experience with these or other risks could have a material impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations, as well as the value of its common stock. See the risk factors and "Supervision and Regulation" described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

## ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012	—	\$—	—	\$2,621,000
August 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012	24,459	\$25.63	24,459	\$1,994,000
September 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012	3,938	\$25.29	3,938	\$1,895,000
Total	28,397	\$25.58	28,397	\$1,895,000

See heading "Stock Repurchase Program" for more information regarding stock purchases.

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

## ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES



Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K and filed herewith are listed in the Exhibit Index that follows the Signature Page and that immediately precedes the exhibits filed.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST MID-ILLINOIS BANCSHARES, INC.  
(Registrant)

Date: November 8, 2012

/s/ William S. Rowland

William S. Rowland  
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Michael L. Taylor

Michael L. Taylor  
Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit Index to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q

Exhibit Number Description and Filing or Incorporation Reference

4.1	The Registrant agrees to furnish to the Commission, upon request, a copy of each instrument with respect to issues of long-term debt involving a total amount which does not exceed 10% of the total assets of the Registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis
11.1	Statement re: Computation of Earnings Per Share (Filed herewith on page 9)
31.1	Certification pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101	The following materials from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, and (iv) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

71