PFSWEB I	NC						
Form 4	17						
April 13, 20							PPROVAL
FORM	<b>Л 4</b> <sub>UNITED</sub>	STATES SEC	URITIES	AND EXCHANGI	E COMMISSION		
				n, D.C. 20549		Number:	3235-0287
Check t			U			Expires:	January 31,
if no loi subject		MENT OF CH		BENEFICIAL O	WNERSHIP OF	Estimated	2005 average
Section			SECU	RITIES		burden hou	urs per
Form 4 Form 5		report to Sectio	n 16(a) of t	he Securities Excha	ange $\Lambda ct of 1034$	response	. 0.5
obligati	ons Section 170		• •	Iding Company Act	•	n	
may con See Inst	nunue.		•	t Company Act of			
1(b).	ruetion						
	<b>D</b>						
(Print or Type	Responses)						
1. Name and	Address of Reporting	Person <sup>*</sup> 2 I	suer Name <b>ar</b>	d Ticker or Trading	5. Relationship of	Reporting Per	rson(s) to
Johnson El		Symb		in Theker of Trading	Issuer	1. 8	
		•	WEB INC [	[PFSW]	(Chas	1111:1-1	- )
(Last)	(First) (	Middle) 3. Da	te of Earliest	Fransaction	(Chec	k all applicabl	e)
		(Mon	th/Day/Year)		Director		% Owner
	'EB, INC., 505	03/3	1/2017		X Officer (give below)	e title Oth below)	ner (specify
MILLENN	IUM				Senio	r Vice Preside	nt
	(Street)	4. If <i>.</i>	Amendment, I	Date Original	6. Individual or Jo	oint/Group Fili	ng(Check
		Filed	Month/Day/Ye	ar)	Applicable Line)		
ALLEN, T	X 75012				_X_ Form filed by C Form filed by M		
ALLEN, I	A 73013				Person		
(City)	(State)	(Zip)	able I - Non-	Derivative Securities	Acquired, Disposed of	f, or Beneficia	lly Owned
1.Title of	2. Transaction Date	2A. Deemed	3.	4. Securities	5. Amount of 6	. Ownership	7. Nature of
Security	(Month/Day/Year)	Execution Date,		onAcquired (A) or		Form: Direct	Indirect
(Instr. 3)		any (Month/Day/Yea	Code r) (Instr. 8)	Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	•	D) or Indirect I)	Ownership
		```	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Following (1	Instr. 4)	(Instr. 4)
				(A)	Reported Transaction(s)		
			Code V	or Amount (D) Price	(Instr. 3 and 4)		
			Coue v	Amount (D) Frice	2		
Reminder: Re	port on a separate line	e for each class of	securities bene	eficially owned directly	or indirectly.		
					spond to the collec		SEC 1474
					tained in this form ond unless the forr		(9-02)
				displays a curre	ently valid OMB con		
				number.			

 Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned
 (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of	2.	3. Transaction Date	3A. Deemed	4.	5. Number of	6. Date Exercisable and	7. Title and Amount of	8.
Derivative	Conversion	(Month/Day/Year)	Execution Date, if	Transactio	onDerivative	Expiration Date	Underlying Securities	De
Security	or Exercise		any	Code	Securities	(Month/Day/Year)	(Instr. 3 and 4)	Se

(Instr. 3)	Price of Derivative Security		(Month/Day/Year)	(Instr.	8)	Acquired or Dispos (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	ed of					(1
				Code	v	(A)	(D)	Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Title	Amount or Number of Shares	
Restricted Stock Unit	(1)	03/31/2017		А	V	10,547		<u>(1)</u>	<u>(1)</u>	Common Stock	10,547	

# **Reporting Owners**

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships						
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other			
Johnson Elizabeth C/O PFSWEB, INC. 505 MILLENNIUM ALLEN, TX 75013			Senior Vice President				
Signatures							

Johnson	04/13/2017
<u>**</u> Signature of Reporting Person	Date

# **Explanation of Responses:**

- \* If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
- \*\* Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).

Issuance of Restricted Stock Unit Award under the Company's Employee Stock and Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). Each RSU represents a(1) right to receive one share of Common Stock. Subject to three year annual vesting and the other terms and conditions of the Plan and the RSU Award Agreement.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, *see* Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. pt; font-size:12pt" align=justify>**2. Significant Accounting Policies** 

#### Retroactive Accounting Policies Adopted

Certain prior year amounts have been retroactively revised as a result of the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an Amendment of ARB No. 51 and Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Staff Position (FSP) APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement).

SFAS 160 has both retroactive and prospective provisions that change the accounting and reporting for minority interests. Under its retroactive provisions, minority interests have been

(Ir

recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity, if permanent. Also, net income (loss) is no longer affected by minority interests, but under SFAS 160, both net income (loss) and comprehensive income (loss) are attributed to noncontrolling and parent interests. Further, EITF Topic No. D-98, Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities , requires temporary equity classification for instruments that are currently redeemable or convertible for cash or other assets at the option of the holder. For Legg Mason, minority interests of \$31,020 related to consolidated sponsored investment funds that are redeemable for cash or other assets have been recharacterized and classified as Redeemable noncontrolling interests on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2009. During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, net income attributable to noncontrolling interests was \$2,270 and net subscriptions received were \$3,336 resulting in a balance as of June 30, 2009 of \$36,626. Redeemable noncontrolling interests and related activity for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 were not material. The prospective provisions of SFAS 160 do not have a material impact on Legg Mason s consolidated financial statements.

FSP APB 14-1 requires that issuers of convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement) should separately account for the liability and equity (conversion feature) components of the instruments. As a result, interest expense should be imputed and recognized based upon the entity s nonconvertible debt borrowing rate at the date of issuance, which results in lower net income. The 2.5% convertible senior notes issued by Legg Mason in January 2008 are subject to FSP APB 14-1. Prior to FSP APB 14-1, Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 14, Accounting for Convertible Debt and Debt Issued with Stock Purchase Warrants (APB 14), provided that no portion of the proceeds from the issuance of the instrument should be attributable to the conversion feature. Upon retroactive application of FSP APB 14-1, the effects on Net loss and Net loss per share for the quarter ended June 30, 2008, and on Long-term debt, Retained earnings, Additional paid-in capital and Deferred income tax assets as of March 31, 2009 were as follows:

<sup>10</sup> 

June 30, 2008         Net loss, as previously reported       \$(31,273)         Additional interest expense pursuant to       \$(31,273)         FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes       (4,854)         Net loss attributable to Legg Mason, Inc., as currently reported       \$(32,6127)         Net loss per share attributable to Legg Mason, Inc. common shareholders:       Basic, as previously reported       \$(0,22)         Additional interest expense pursuant to       \$(0,22)       Additional interest expense pursuant to       \$(0,26)         FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes       (0,04)       \$(0,22)         Additional interest expense pursuant to       \$(0,02)         FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes       (0,04)         Diluted, as previously reported       \$(0,02)         Additional interest expense pursuant to       \$(0,02)         FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes       (0,04)         Diluted, as currently reported       \$(0,26)         Impact of FSP APB 14-1       (233,202)         Long-term debt, as previously reported       \$(2,55,204)         Impact of FSP APB 14-1       (24,035)         Retained carnings, as currently reported       \$(2,4035)         Impact of FSP APB 14-1       (24,035)         Retained carnings, as currently reported       \$(3,284,347) <td< th=""><th></th><th>Three Months Ended</th></td<>		Three Months Ended
Additional interest expense pursuant to         FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes       (4,854)         Net loss attributable to Legg Mason, Inc., as currently reported       \$(36,127)         Net loss per share attributable to Legg Mason, Inc. common shareholders:       Basic, as previously reported       \$(0.22)         Additional interest expense pursuant to       \$(0.24)       \$(0.26)       \$(0.26)         FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes       (0.04)       \$(0.26)       \$(0.26)         Diluted, as previously reported       \$(0.26)       \$(0.26)       \$(0.26)         Diluted, as currently reported       \$(0.26)       \$(0.26)       \$(0.26)         Diluted, as currently reported       \$(0.26)       \$(0.26)       \$(0.26)         Diluted, as currently reported       \$(0.26)       \$(0.26)       \$(0.26)         March 31, 2009       \$(0.26)       \$(0.26)       \$(0.26)         Diluted, as currently reported       \$(2.965,204)       \$(0.26)       \$(0.26)         March 31, 2009       \$(0.23)       \$(2.965,204)       \$(0.23)       \$(2.965,204)         Impact of FSP APB 14-1       \$(2.33,202)       \$(2.33,202)       \$(2.33,202)       \$(2.33,202)       \$(2.33,202)       \$(2.40,35)       \$(2.40,35)       \$(2.40,35)       \$(2.40,35)       \$(2.40,35)       \$(2.40,35) <t< th=""><th></th><th>June 30, 2008</th></t<>		June 30, 2008
FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes       (4,854)         Net loss attributable to Legg Mason, Inc., as currently reported       \$(36,127)         Net loss attributable to Legg Mason, Inc. common shareholders:       Basic, as previously reported       \$ (0.22)         Additional interest expense pursuant to       \$ (0.24)       Additional interest expense pursuant to         FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes       (0.04)       \$ (0.26)         Diluted, as previously reported       \$ (0.22)         Additional interest expense pursuant to       \$ (0.22)         FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes       (0.04)         Diluted, as previously reported       \$ (0.22)         Additional interest expense pursuant to       \$ (0.22)         FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes       (0.04)         Diluted, as currently reported       \$ (0.26)         March 31, 2009       \$ (0.26)         Long-term debt, as previously reported       \$ (2.25, 2.04         Impact of FSP APB 14-1       (233, 2.02)         Long-term debt, as currently reported       \$ 2,732,002         Retained earnings, as previously reported       \$ 1,155,660         Impact of FSP APB 14-1       (24,035)         Retained earnings, as currently reported       \$ 3,284,347         Impact of FSP APB 14-1       168,183 <t< th=""><th>Net loss, as previously reported</th><th>\$(31,273)</th></t<>	Net loss, as previously reported	\$(31,273)
Net loss attributable to Legg Mason, Inc., as currently reported\$ (36,127)Net loss per share attributable to Legg Mason, Inc. common shareholders:Basic, as previously reported\$ (0.22)Additional interest expense pursuant toFSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04)Basic, as currently reported\$ (0.26)Diluted, as previously reported\$ (0.22)Additional interest expense pursuant to\$ (0.22)FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04)Diluted, as previously reported\$ (0.22)Additional interest expense pursuant to\$ (0.24)FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04)Diluted, as currently reported\$ (0.26)March 31, 2009\$ (0.26)Long-term debt, as previously reported\$ 2,965,204Impact of FSP APB 14-1(233,202)Long-term debt, as currently reported\$ 2,732,002Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433 <th>Additional interest expense pursuant to</th> <th></th>	Additional interest expense pursuant to	
Net loss per share attributable to Legg Mason, Inc. common shareholders: Basic, as previously reported\$ (0.22) Additional interest expense pursuant toFSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04) Basic, as currently reported\$ (0.26) Diluted, as previously reported\$ (0.22) Additional interest expense pursuant toFSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04) S (0.22) Additional interest expense pursuant to\$ (0.22) S (0.22) Additional interest expense pursuant toFSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04) S (0.26)Diluted, as currently reported\$ (0.26)Long-term debt, as previously reported\$ (0.26)Impact of FSP APB 14-1(233,202) S (2,732,002)Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660 Impact of FSP APB 14-1Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035) S Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 1,131,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reportedAdditional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347 Impact of FSP APB 14-1Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035) S Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 3,284,347\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488 Impact of FSP APB 14-1Basic\$ 8,9452,530Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488 Impact of FSP APB 14-1Basic\$ 759,433	FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes	(4,854)
Basic, as previously reported\$ (0.22)Additional interest expense pursuant toFSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04)Basic, as currently reported\$ (0.26)Diluted, as previously reported\$ (0.22)Additional interest expense pursuant to(0.04)FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04)Diluted, as currently reported\$ (0.26)Long-term debt, as previously reported\$ (0.26)Long-term debt, as previously reported\$ (0.26)Long-term debt, as previously reported\$ (233,202)Long-term debt, as currently reported\$ 2,965,204Impact of FSP APB 14-1(233,202)Long-term debt, as currently reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,131,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Net loss attributable to Legg Mason, Inc., as currently reported	\$(36,127)
Additional interest expense pursuant toFSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04)Basic, as currently reported\$ (0.26)Diluted, as previously reported\$ (0.22)Additional interest expense pursuant to\$ (0.22)FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04)Diluted, as currently reported\$ (0.26)March 31, 2009Long-term debt, as previously reportedImpact of FSP APB 14-1(233,202)Long-term debt, as currently reported\$ 2,732,002Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,31,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Net loss per share attributable to Legg Mason, Inc. common shareholders:	
FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04)Basic, as currently reported\$ (0.26)Diluted, as previously reported\$ (0.22)Additional interest expense pursuant to\$ (0.22)FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04)Diluted, as currently reported\$ (0.26)March 31, 2009Long-term debt, as previously reportedImpact of FSP APB 14-1(233,202)Long-term debt, as currently reported\$ 2,732,002Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,131,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Basic, as previously reported	\$ (0.22)
Basic, as currently reported\$ (0.26)Diluted, as previously reported\$ (0.22)Additional interest expense pursuant toFSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04)Diluted, as currently reported\$ (0.26)March 31, 2009Long-term debt, as previously reported\$ 2,965,204Impact of FSP APB 14-1(233,202)Long-term debt, as currently reported\$ 2,732,002Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 1,131,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Additional interest expense pursuant to	
Diluted, as previously reported\$ (0.22)Additional interest expense pursuant toFSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04)Diluted, as currently reported\$ (0.26)March 31, 2009\$ (0.26)Long-term debt, as previously reported\$ 2,965,204Impact of FSP APB 14-1(233,202)Long-term debt, as currently reported\$ 2,732,002Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,151,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes	(0.04)
Additional interest expense pursuant toFSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04)Diluted, as currently reported\$ (0.26)March 31, 2009\$ (0.26)Long-term debt, as previously reported\$ 2,965,204Impact of FSP APB 14-1(233,202)Long-term debt, as currently reported\$ 2,732,002Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 848,483Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Basic, as currently reported	\$ (0.26)
FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes(0.04)Diluted, as currently reported\$ (0.26)March 31, 2009March 31, 2009Long-term debt, as previously reported\$ 2,965,204Impact of FSP APB 14-1(233,202)Long-term debt, as currently reported\$ 2,732,002Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 1,131,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Diluted, as previously reported	\$ (0.22)
Diluted, as currently reported\$ (0.26)March 31, 2009Long-term debt, as previously reported\$ 2,965,204Impact of FSP APB 14-1(233,202)Long-term debt, as currently reported\$ 2,732,002Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 1,131,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Additional interest expense pursuant to	
March 31, 2009Long-term debt, as previously reported\$ 2,965,204Impact of FSP APB 14-1(233,202)Long-term debt, as currently reported\$ 2,732,002Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 1,131,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	FSP APB 14-1, net of income taxes	(0.04)
Long-term debt, as previously reported\$ 2,965,204Impact of FSP APB 14-1(233,202)Long-term debt, as currently reported\$ 2,732,002Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 1,131,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Diluted, as currently reported	\$ (0.26)
Impact of FSP APB 14-1(233,202)Long-term debt, as currently reported\$ 2,732,002Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 1,131,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433		March 31, 2009
Long-term debt, as currently reported\$ 2,732,002Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 1,131,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Long-term debt, as previously reported	\$ 2,965,204
Retained earnings, as previously reported\$ 1,155,660Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 1,131,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Impact of FSP APB 14-1	(233,202)
Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 1,131,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Long-term debt, as currently reported	\$ 2,732,002
Impact of FSP APB 14-1(24,035)Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 1,131,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Retained earnings, as previously reported	\$ 1,155,660
Retained earnings, as currently reported\$ 1,131,625Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported\$ 3,284,347Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433		
Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Retained earnings, as currently reported	\$ 1,131,625
Impact of FSP APB 14-1168,183Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Additional paid-in capital, as previously reported	\$ 3,284,347
Additional paid-in capital, as currently reported\$ 3,452,530Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported\$ 848,488Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433		
Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	*	
Impact of FSP APB 14-1(89,055)Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported\$ 759,433	Deferred income tax assets, as previously reported	\$ 848 488
Deferred income tax assets, as currently reported \$ 759,433		
· ·	*	
	•	φ 157, <del>1</del> 55

#### Fair Value Measurements

FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157), defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Under SFAS 157, a fair value measurement should reflect all of the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about the risk inherent in a particular valuation technique, the effect of a restriction on the sale or use of an asset, and the risk of nonperformance.

1	1
T	L

SFAS 157 establishes a hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs for valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs.

Legg Mason s financial instruments measured and reported at fair value are classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

Level 1 - Financial instruments for which prices are quoted in active markets, which for Legg Mason, include investments in publicly traded mutual funds with quoted market prices and equities listed in active markets.

Level 2 Financial instruments for which: prices are quoted for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; prices are quoted for identical or similar assets in inactive markets; or prices are based on observable inputs, other than quoted prices, such as models or other valuation methodologies. For Legg Mason, this category may include repurchase agreements, fixed income securities, and certain proprietary fund products.

Level 3 Financial instruments for which values are based on unobservable inputs, including those for which there is little or no market activity. This category includes derivative assets and liabilities related to fund support arrangements on non-structured investment vehicle (SIV) related securities, investments in partnerships, limited liability companies, and private equity funds. Previously, this category included derivative assets related to fund support agreements and certain owned securities issued by SIVs. This category may also include certain proprietary fund products with redemption restrictions.

The valuation of an asset or liability may involve inputs from more than one level of the hierarchy. The level in the fair value hierarchy within which a fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Any transfers between categories are measured at the beginning of the period.

See Note 3 for additional information regarding fair value measurements.

#### Recent Accounting Developments

The following relevant accounting pronouncement was recently issued.

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) (SFAS 167), which will be effective for Legg Mason for fiscal 2011. SFAS 167 amendments include a new approach for determining who should consolidate a variable interest entity (VIE), changes to when it is necessary to reassess who should consolidate a VIE and changes in the assessment of which entities are VIEs. The new approach for determining who should consolidate a VIE requires an analysis of whether a variable interest gives an enterprise a controlling financial interest in a VIE through both the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. SFAS 167 eliminates the quantitative approach previously required to determine whether a VIE should be consolidated. It also requires that for kick-out rights to be effective, they must be vested with one investor, rather than a simple majority of investors, as under prior guidance. Legg Mason is continuing to evaluate the impact of SFAS 167 and currently expects that it will require the consolidation of certain sponsored funds that will be

material to its balance sheet, revenues and expenses, but have no impact on net income attributable to Legg Mason, Inc.

#### 3. Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities

The fair values of financial assets and (liabilities) of the Company were determined using the following categories of inputs:

	Quoted prices in active markets	Value Significant observable i	other	ne 30, 20 Signif unobse inp	ficant ervable		
	(Level 1)	(Level 2	2)	(Lev	el 3)	Tot	tal
ASSETS:							
Investments relating to long-term incentive compensation plans <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 145,399	\$	-	\$	-	\$	145,399
Proprietary fund products and other investments <sup>(2)</sup>	91,468	$\epsilon$	67,142		51,999		210,609
Total trading investment securities	236,867	$\epsilon$	57,142		51,999		356,008
Available-for-sale investment securities	2,521		3,917		12		6,450
Investment in partnerships and LLCs	928		-		70,967		71,895
Derivative assets:							
Currency hedge derivatives	3,283		-		-		3,283
Equity Securities	-		-		2,142		2,142
	\$ 243,599	\$ 7	71,059	\$	125,120	\$	439,778
LIABILITIES:							
Derivative Liabilities:							
Fund support	\$ -	\$	-	\$	(5,500)	\$	(5,500)
Currency hedge derivatives	(2,044)		-		-		(2,044)
	\$ (2,044)	\$	-	\$	(5,500)	\$	(7,544)

	Value as of March 31, 2009					
	Quoted prices in active markets	Significant other observable inputs	Significant unobservable inputs			
	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Total		
ASSETS:						
Investments relating to long-term incentive compensation plans <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 128,785	\$	\$	\$ 128,785		
Proprietary fund products and other investments <sup>(2)</sup>	115,117	51,471	40,719	207,307		
Total trading investment securities	243,902	51,471	40,719	336,092		
Available-for-sale investment securities	3,105	3,701	12	6,818		
Investment in partnerships and LLCs	796		58,719	59,515		
Derivative assets:						
Currency hedge derivatives	8,976			8,976		
Equity Securities			2,340	2,340		
	\$ 256,779	\$ 55,172	\$ 101,790	\$ 413,741		
LIABILITIES:						
Derivative Liabilities:						
Fund support	\$	\$	\$ (20,631)	\$ (20,631)		
Currency hedge derivatives	(773)			(773)		
	\$ (773)	\$	\$ (20,631)	\$ (21,404)		
(1)						

(1)

Primarily mutual funds where there is minimal market risk to the Company as any change in value is offset by an adjustment to compensation expense and related deferred compensation liability.

(2)

Primarily mutual funds that are invested approximately 60% and 40% in equity and debt securities, respectively. Includes approximately \$27.2 million and \$16.6 million related to noncontrolling interests of consolidated investment funds as of June 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, respectively.

The tables below present a summary of changes in financial assets and (liabilities) measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the periods from April 1, 2009 to June 30, 2009 and April 1, 2008 to June 30, 2008:

	Value as of April 1, 2009	Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net	Net transfer in (out) of Level 3	Realized a unrealize gains/(losses	ed	Value as of June 30, 2009
ASSETS:						
Proprietary fund products and other						
investments	\$ 40,719	\$ 448	\$ 5,777	\$	5,055	\$ 51,999
Investment in						
partnerships and LLCs	58,719	12,275			(27)	70,967
Other investments	2,352	(24)			(174)	2,154
	\$ 101,790	\$ 12,699	\$ 5,777	\$	4,854	\$ 125,120
LIABILITIES:						
Fund support	\$ (20,631)	\$	\$	\$ 1	5,131	\$ (5,500)
Total realized and unrea	alized gains, net			\$ 1	9,985	

	Value as of April 1, 2008	Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net	Net transfer in (out) of Level 3	Realized and unrealized gains/(losses), ne	Value as of June t 30, 2008
ASSETS:					
Securities issued by SIVs	\$ 141,509	\$ (23,307)	\$	\$ (18,575	) \$ 99,627
Proprietary fund products and other	<b>22 5</b> 01	(12 501)			
investments	23,781	(13,781)		(149	) 9,851
Investment in partnerships and LLCs	67,022	(7,908)		(102	) 59,012
Total return swap	45,706	5,230		(5,085	) 45,851
Other investments	1,903			(650	) 1,253
	\$ 279,921	\$ (39,766)	\$	\$ (24,561	) 215,594
LIABILITIES:					
Fund support	\$ (551,654)	\$	\$	\$ (242,434	) \$ (794,088)
Total realized and unrea	alized (losses), net			\$ (266,995	)

Explanation of Responses:

Realized and unrealized gains and losses recorded for Level 3 investments are included in Other non-operating income (expense) on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The total net

realized and unrealized gains (losses) of \$20.0 million and \$(267.0) million for the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, are attributable to the change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to the assets and liabilities still held at the reporting date.

#### 4. Fixed Assets

Fixed assets consist of equipment, software and leasehold improvements and capital lease assets. Equipment consists primarily of communications and technology hardware and furniture and fixtures. Software includes purchased software and internally developed software. Fixed assets are reported at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. The following table reflects the components of fixed assets as of:

	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009
Equipment	\$ 188,899	\$ 180,668
Software	199,990	193,109
Leasehold improvements and capital lease assets	332,477	314,963
Total cost	721,366	688,740
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(340,958)	(321,697)
Fixed assets, net	\$ 380,408	\$ 367,043

Depreciation and amortization expense included in operating income was \$22,016 and \$22,826 for the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

#### 5. Intangible Assets and Goodwill

The following tables reflect the components of intangible assets as of:

	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009
Amortizable asset management contracts		
Cost	\$ 210,851	\$ 208,416
Accumulated amortization	(115,475)	(108,376)
Net	95,376	100,040
Indefinite life intangible assets		
Fund management contracts	3,753,817	3,752,961
Trade names	69,800	69,800
	3,823,617	3,822,761
Intangible assets, net	\$ 3,918,993	\$ 3,922,801

As of June 30, 2009, management contracts are being amortized over a weighted-average life of 4.9 years. Estimated amortization expense for each of the next five fiscal years is as follows:

Remaining 2010	\$ 17,041
2011	22,646
2012	19,661
2013	14,660
2014	12,455
Thereafter	8,913
Total	\$ 95,376

The increase in the carrying value of goodwill for the three months ended June 30, 2009 is summarized below:

Balance, beginning of period	\$ 1,186,747
Impact of excess tax basis amortization	(5,456)
Other, including changes in foreign exchange rates	26,288
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,207,579

# 6. Long-Term Debt and Equity Units

The accreted value of long-term debt consists of the following:

	June 30, 2009			March 31, 2009
	Current Accreted	Unamortized	Maturity	Current Accreted
	Value	Discount	Amount	Value
5-year term loan	\$ 550,000	\$	\$ 550,000	\$ 550,000
2.5% convertible senior notes	1,025,162	224,838	1,250,000	1,016,798
5.6% senior notes from Equity Units	1,150,000		1,150,000	1,150,000
Third-party distribution financing	3,288		3,288	4,067
Other term loans	18,038		18,038	19,325
Subtotal	2,746,488	224,838	2,971,326	2,740,190
Less: current portion	7,964		7,964	8,188
Total	\$ 2,738,524	\$ 224,838	\$ 2,963,362	\$ 2,732,002

As of June 30, 2009, the aggregate maturities by fiscal year of long-term debt based on the contractual terms are as follows:

Remaining 2010	\$	5,992
2011		554,348
2012		2,373
2013		887
2014		894
Thereafter	-	2,406,832
Total	\$ 2	2,971,326

At June 30, 2009, the estimated fair value of long-term debt was approximately \$2,473,445.

In accordance with FSP APB 14-1, Legg Mason is accreting the carrying value of the 2.5% convertible senior notes to the principal amount at maturity using an interest rate of 6.5% (the effective borrowing rate for non-convertible debt at the time of issuance) over its expected life of seven years, resulting in additional interest expense for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 of approximately \$8.4 million. The amount by which the notes accreted value exceeds the if-converted value using a current interest rate of 8.13% as of June 30, 2009 (representing a potential gain) is approximately \$104 million.

On July 15, 2009, Legg Mason commenced an offer to exchange its Equity Units in the form of Corporate Units in order to increase its equity capital levels and reduce the amount of its

outstanding debt and related interest expense. See footnote 13 - Subsequent Event for more information regarding this transaction.

#### 7. Stock-Based Compensation

Compensation expense relating to stock options, the stock purchase plan and deferred compensation for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$5,703 and \$6,948, respectively.

Stock option transactions during the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, are summarized below:

	Three months ended June 30,			
	2009	)	2008	
	Weighted-average exercise price		Number	Weighted-average exercise price
	Number of shares	per share	of shares	per share
Options outstanding at March 31	5,200	\$ 65.19	5,464	\$ 67.20
Granted Exercised	115	19.42	(434)	31.79
Canceled/				
forfeited Options outstanding at	(227)	66.42	(27)	101.72
June 30	5,088	\$ 64.10	5,003	\$ 70.09

At June 30, 2009, options were exercisable for 2,281 shares with a weighted-average exercise price of \$67.11 and a weighted-average remaining contractual life of 2.8 years. Unamortized compensation cost related to unvested options (2,807 shares) at June 30, 2009 of \$40,399 is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.0 years.

The weighted average fair value of option grants of \$11.29 for the three months ended June 30, 2009, is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: expected dividend yield, 1.19%; risk-free interest rate, 2.79%; expected volatility, 65.92%; and expected lives (in years), 6.8. There were no option grants for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

Compensation expense relating to restricted stock for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$7,169 and \$8,575, respectively.

1	0
T	0

Restricted stock transactions during the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, are summarized below:

	Three months ended June 30,					
		2009		2008		
	Number of shares	Weighted-average grant date value	Number of shares	Weighted-average grant date value		
Unvested shares at						
March 31	1,328	\$ 51.41	642	\$ 98.30		
Granted	448	19.42	313	61.85		
Vested	(258)	60.25	(96)	105.55		
Canceled/ forfeited	(34)	57.44	(8)	87.71		
Unvested shares at June 30	1,484	\$ 40.14	851	\$ 84.20		

Unamortized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock awards at June 30, 2009 of \$47,994 is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.5 years.

Restricted stock unit transactions during the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, are summarized below:

	Three months ended June 30,				
		2009		2008	
	Number of shares	Weighted-average grant date value	Number of shares	Weighted-average grant date value	
Unvested shares at					
March 31	13	\$ 36.03		\$	
Granted	57	19.82	6	61.85	
Vested					
Canceled/ forfeited			(1)	61.85	
Unvested shares at June 30	70	\$ 22.89	5	\$ 61.85	

Unamortized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock units at June 30, 2009 of \$1,579 is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.4 years.

#### 8. Commitments and Contingencies

Explanation of Responses:

Legg Mason leases office facilities and equipment under non-cancelable operating leases and also has multi-year agreements for certain services. These leases and service agreements expire on varying dates through fiscal 2025. Certain leases provide for renewal options and contain escalation clauses providing for increased rentals based upon maintenance, utility and tax increases.

As of June 30, 2009, the minimum annual aggregate rentals under operating leases and servicing agreements are as follows:

Remaining 2010	\$ 121,923
2011	129,113
2012	118,802
2013	106,268
2014	89,233
Thereafter	686,185
Total	\$ 1,251,524

The minimum rental commitments shown above have not been reduced by \$103,704 for minimum sublease rentals to be received in the future under non-cancelable subleases, of which approximately 90% is due from one counterparty. If a sub-tenant defaults on a sublease, Legg Mason may incur operating expense charges to reflect expected future sublease rentals at reduced amounts, as a result of the current commercial real estate market.

The table above also does not include aggregate obligations of \$35,067 for property and equipment under capital leases.

As of June 30, 2009, Legg Mason had commitments to invest approximately \$25,372 in investment vehicles. These commitments will be funded as required through the end of the respective investment periods through fiscal 2011.

See Note 10, Liquidity Fund Support, for additional information related to Legg Mason commitments.

In the normal course of business, Legg Mason enters into contracts that contain a variety of representations and warranties and which provide general indemnifications. Legg Mason s maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown, as this would involve future claims that may be made against Legg Mason that have not yet occurred.

Legg Mason has been the subject of customer complaints and has also been named as a defendant in various legal actions arising primarily from securities brokerage, asset management and investment banking activities, including certain class actions, which primarily allege violations of securities laws and seek unspecified damages, which could be substantial. Legg Mason is also involved in governmental and self-regulatory agency inquiries, investigations and proceedings.

In accordance with SFAS No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies, Legg Mason has established provisions for estimated losses from pending complaints, legal actions, investigations and proceedings when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and a reasonable estimate of loss can be made. While the ultimate resolution of these matters cannot be currently determined, in the opinion of management, after consultation with legal counsel, Legg Mason does not believe that the resolution of these actions will have a material adverse effect on Legg Mason s financial condition. However, the results of operations could be materially affected during any period if liabilities in that period differ

#### Explanation of Responses:

from Legg Mason s prior estimates, and Legg Mason s cash flows could be materially affected during any period in which these matters are resolved. In addition, the ultimate costs of litigation-related charges can vary significantly from period to period, depending on factors such as market conditions, the size and volume of customer complaints and

claims, including class action suits, and recoveries from indemnification, contribution or insurance reimbursement.

Legg Mason and a current and former officer, together with an underwriter in a public offering, are named as defendants in a consolidated legal action. The action alleges that the defendants violated the Securities Act of 1933 by omitting certain material facts with respect to the acquisition of Citigroup s worldwide asset management business in a prospectus used in a secondary stock offering in order to artificially inflate the price of Legg Mason common stock. The action sought certification of a class of shareholders who purchased Legg Mason common stock in a secondary public offering on or about March 9, 2006 and seeks unspecified damages. Legg Mason intends to defend the action vigorously. On March 17, 2008, the action was dismissed with prejudice. However, the plaintiffs have appealed the dismissal. Legg Mason cannot predict the eventual outcome of the appeal at this point, or whether the action will have a material adverse effect on Legg Mason.

#### 9. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share attributable to Legg Mason, Inc. common shareholders ( EPS ) is calculated by dividing net income or loss attributable to Legg Mason, Inc. by the weighted average number of shares outstanding. The calculation of weighted average shares includes common shares and shares exchangeable into common stock. Diluted EPS is similar to basic EPS, but adjusts for the effect of potentially issuable common shares, except when inclusion is antidilutive.

For periods where a net loss attributable to Legg Mason, Inc. is reported, the inclusion of potentially issuable common shares will decrease the net loss per share. Since this would be antidilutive, such shares are excluded from the calculation. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 include all vested shares of restricted stock related to Legg Mason s deferred compensation plans.

The following table presents the computations of basic and diluted EPS:

	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2009		2008	
	Basic Diluted		Basic	Diluted <sup>(1)</sup>
Weighted average shares outstanding	142,006	142,006	140,505	140,505
Potential common shares:				
Employee stock options	-	17	-	-
Unvested shares related to deferred compensation	-	121	-	-

Shares issuable upon payment of contingent consideration	-	982	-	-
Total weighted average diluted shares	142,006	143,126	140,505	140,505
Net income (loss) attributable to				
Legg Mason, Inc. Net income (loss) per share attributable to	\$ 50,054	\$ 50,054	\$(36,127)	\$(36,127)
Legg Mason, Inc. common shareholders (1) Diluted shares are the same as basic shar	\$ 0.35 res for periods with a	\$ 0.35 a loss.	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.26)

The diluted EPS calculation for the three months ended June 30, 2008 excludes 4,953 potential common shares that are antidilutive due to the net loss for the period. Also, the diluted EPS calculations for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 exclude any potential common shares issuable under the convertible 2.5% senior notes or the convertible Equity Units because the market price of Legg Mason common stock has not exceeded the price at which conversion under either instrument would be dilutive using the treasury stock method.

Options to purchase 5,490 shares for the three months ended June 30, 2009 were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the presumed proceeds from exercising such options, including related unamortized cost and income tax benefits, if any, exceed the average price of the common shares for the period and therefore the options are deemed antidilutive. Diluted EPS for the June 30, 2009 period also includes unvested shares of restricted stock related to those plans, except for 1,376 shares, which were deemed antidilutive.

#### **10. Liquidity Fund Support**

The par value, support amounts, collateral and income statement impact for the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, for all support provided to certain liquidity funds that remained outstanding as of the end of each period were as follows:

	As of June 30, 2009				
	Par	Support	Cash	Pre Tax	After Tax
Description	Value	Amount	Collateral <sup>(1)</sup>	Gain <sup>(2)</sup>	Gain <sup>(3)</sup>
Capital Support Agreements					
Non-asset Backed Securities	n/m	\$ 34,500	\$ 34,500	\$ (17,558)	\$ (12,524)

	As of June 30, 2008				
	Par	Support	Cash		After Tax
Description	Value	Amount	Collateral <sup>(1)</sup>	Pre Tax Charge <sup>(2)</sup>	Charge <sup>(3)</sup>
Letters of Credit	\$ 979,900	\$ 450,000	\$ 251,250	\$ 46,335	\$ 25,030
Capital Support Agreements Asset Backed Securities	2,704,400	655,000	655,000	210,799	125,116
Total Return Swap	890,000	890,000	195,780	5,085	2,747
Purchase of Non-bank Sponsored SIVs <sup>(4)</sup>	57,000	57,000		4,265	2,342
Purchase of Canadian Conduit Securities	95,000	95,000		390	211
Total	\$4,726,300	\$ 2,147,000	\$1,102,030	\$ 266,874	\$155,446

Explanation of Responses:

<sup>1</sup> Included in restricted cash on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

 $^2$  Pre tax (gains) charges include (increases) reductions in the value of underlying securities, in addition to gains (losses) on foreign exchange forward contracts of \$1,371 and \$(946) as of June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and an interest payment of \$1,056 received during the June 2009 quarter related to SIV securities that were sold in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009. These items are included in Other non-operating income (expense) on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

<sup>3</sup> After tax and after giving effect to related operating expense adjustments, if applicable.

 $^4\,$  Securities issued by structured investment vehicles (  $\,$  SIVs  $\,$  ).

In April 2009, due to the stabilization of the net asset value of one of the supported liquidity funds, Legg Mason terminated one capital support agreement ( CSA ) to provide up to \$7 million in contributions to the fund.

In July 2009, Legg Mason terminated another CSA to provide up to \$7 million in contributions to one fund, thereby reducing the outstanding liquidity fund support amount to \$27.5 million.

#### **11. Variable Interest Entities**

In the normal course of its business, Legg Mason sponsors and is the manager of various types of investment vehicles that are considered VIEs. For its services, Legg Mason is entitled to receive management fees and may be eligible, under certain circumstances, to receive additional subordinate management fees or other incentive fees. Legg Mason did not sell or transfer assets to any of the VIEs except for cash payments under fund support agreements. Legg Mason s exposure to risk in these entities is generally limited to any equity investment it has made or is required to make and any earned but uncollected management fees. Uncollected management fees from these VIEs were not material at June 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009. Legg Mason has not issued any investment performance guarantees to these VIEs or their investors.

During fiscal 2010 and 2009, Legg Mason had variable interests in certain liquidity funds to which it has provided various forms of credit and capital support. After evaluating both the contractual and implied variable interests in these funds, as of June 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, it has been determined that Legg Mason is not the primary beneficiary of these funds.

As of June 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, Legg Mason was the primary beneficiary of one sponsored investment fund VIE, due to the level of corporate ownership, which resulted in consolidation. This VIE had total assets and total equity of \$59.2 million and \$48.2 million as of June 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, respectively. Legg Mason s investment in this VIE was \$31.0 million and \$26.3 million as of June 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, respectively, which represents the maximum risk of loss. The assets of this VIE are primarily comprised of investment securities.

As of June 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009, for VIEs in which Legg Mason holds a significant variable interest or is the sponsor and holds a variable interest, but for which it was not the primary beneficiary, Legg Mason s carrying value, the related VIEs assets and liabilities and maximum risk of loss were as follows:

	As of June 30, 2009			
	VIE Assets That the Company	VIE Liabilities That the	Equity Interests on the	
	Does Not Consolidate	Company Does Not Consolidate	Consolidated Balance Sheet	Maximum Risk of Loss*
Liquidity funds subject to capital	consolidate	The Consolidate	Durance Sheet	
support	\$ 5,596,875	\$ 99,611	\$	\$ 34,500
CDOs/CLOs	4,382,842	4,074,872		1,426

Other sponsored investment funds	11,542,853	4,358	26,477	45,811
Total	\$ 21,522,570	\$ 4,178,841	\$ 26,477	\$ 81,737

	As of March 31, 2009			
	VIE Assets That the Company Does Not Consolidate	VIE Liabilities That the Company Does Not Consolidate	Equity Interests on the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Maximum Risk of Loss*
Liquidity funds subject to capital support CDOs/CLOs	\$ 7,548,539 5,116,004	\$ 121,338 4,786,604	\$	\$ 41,500 1,566
Other sponsored investment funds	18,241,540	3,381	34,458	52,019
Total *	\$ 30,906,083	\$ 4,911,323	\$ 34,458	\$ 95,085

Includes capital support to liquidity funds, equity interests the Company has made or is required to make and any earned but uncollected management fees.

The assets of these VIEs are primarily comprised of cash and cash equivalents and investment securities, and the liabilities are primarily comprised of debt and various expense accruals.

#### 12. Derivatives and Hedging

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, Legg Mason did not hold any derivatives designated in a formal hedge relationship under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities .

Legg Mason continues to use currency forwards to economically hedge the risk of movements in exchange rates, primarily between the U.S. dollar, euro, Great Britain pounds, Canadian dollars, and Australian dollars. As of June 30, 2009, Legg Mason had open currency forward contracts with aggregate gross fair values of \$3,632 and \$2,393, classified as Other assets and Other liabilities, respectively. In the Consolidated Balance Sheets, Legg Mason nets the fair value of certain foreign currency forwards executed with the same counterparty where Legg Mason has both the legal right and intent to settle the contracts on a net basis. For the quarter ended June 30, 2009, \$(3,558) was recognized in Other income (expense) relating to currency forward contracts intended to offset actual movements in currency exchange rates.

As more fully described in Note 10, Legg Mason has engaged in various forms of liquidity fund support transactions that constitute derivatives.

#### 13. Subsequent Event

On July 15, 2009 Legg Mason commenced an offer to exchange up to 95% of its Equity Units in the form of Corporate Units (the Units ) in order to increase its equity capital levels and reduce the amount of its outstanding debt and related interest expense. The Company is offering to exchange up to 21,850,000 of its outstanding Units for 0.8881 of a share of Legg Mason common stock and \$6.25 in cash per Unit. The exchange offer for the Units will expire on August 12, 2009, unless extended by the Company.

#### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Legg Mason, Inc., a holding company, with its subsidiaries (which collectively comprise Legg Mason ) is a global asset management firm. Acting through our subsidiaries, we provide investment management and related services to institutional and individual clients, company-sponsored mutual funds and other investment vehicles. We offer these products and services directly and through various financial intermediaries. We have operations principally in the United States of America and the United Kingdom and also have offices in Australia, Bahamas, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, Dubai, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Poland, Singapore, Spain and Taiwan.

We operate in one reportable business segment, Asset Management, with two divisions or operating segments: Americas and International, which are primarily based on the geographic location of the advisor or the domicile of the fund families we manage. The Americas Division consists of our U.S.-domiciled fund families, the separate account business of our U.S.-based investment affiliates and the U.S. distribution organization. Similarly, the International Division consists of our fund complexes, distribution teams and investment affiliates located outside the U.S.

Our financial position and results of operations are materially affected by the overall trends and conditions of the financial markets, particularly in the United States, but increasingly in the other countries in which we operate. Results of any individual period should not be considered representative of future results. Our profitability is sensitive to a variety of factors, including, among other things, the amount and composition of our assets under management, and the volatility and general level of securities prices and interest rates. Sustained periods of unfavorable market conditions are likely to affect our profitability adversely. In addition, the diversification of services and products offered, investment performance, access to distribution channels, reputation in the market, attracting and retaining key employees and client relations are significant factors in determining whether we are successful in attracting and retaining clients. For a further discussion of factors that may affect our results of operations, refer to Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

Certain prior year amounts have been retroactively revised as a result of the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, An Amendment of ARB No. 51 and Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Staff Position (FSP) APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement). See Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on the adoption of this SFAS and FSP.

Terms such as we, us, our, and company refer to Legg Mason.

#### **Business Environment**

The financial environment in the United States, while very challenging, showed signs of stabilization during the quarter ended June 30, 2009. During the quarter equity markets rose as a result of slowing growth in unemployment rates, although still at elevated levels, perceived strengthening of major financial institutions based on the results of governmental stress tests, and an increase in the overall confidence of consumers. As a result, all three major U.S. equity market indices increased sharply during the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The NASDAQ Composite Index<sup>1</sup>, S&P 500<sup>2</sup>, and Dow Jones Industrial Average<sup>3</sup> increased 20%, 15% and 11%, respectively, during the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The Barclays Capital Global Aggregate Bond Index<sup>4</sup> and the Barclays Capital U.S. Aggregate Bond Index<sup>4</sup> also increased 5% and 2%, respectively during the quarter ended June 30, 2009. During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, the Federal Reserve Board held the discount rate at 0.25%. The financial environment in which we operate continues to be challenging, although we are encouraged by the recent improvements in securities markets, and we expect the challenges presented by high unemployment and troubles in the real estate and credit markets to persist throughout the rest of the fiscal year. We cannot predict how these uncertainties will impact the Company s results.

#### Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Quarter Ended June 30, 2008

#### **Assets Under Management**

The components of the changes in our assets under management ( AUM ) (in billions) for the three months ended June 30 were as follows:

	2009	2008
Beginning of period	\$ 632.4	\$ 950.1
Investment funds, excluding liquidity funds		
Sales	7.2	9.3
Redemptions	(8.5)	(13.9)
Separate account flows, net	(27.1)	(19.3)
Liquidity fund flows, net	(1.9)	5.5
Net client cash flows	(30.3)	(18.4)
Market performance and other <sup>(1)</sup>	54.8	(8.4)
Acquisitions (dispositions), net	-	(0.5)
End of period	\$ 656.9	\$ 922.8

Includes impact of foreign exchange

(1)

In the last three months, AUM increased by \$24.5 billion or 3.9% from \$632.4 billion at March 31, 2009. The increase in AUM was attributable to market appreciation of \$55 billion, of which approximately 15% resulted from the impact of foreign currency exchange fluctuation, which was partially offset by net client outflows of \$30 billion. There were reduced net client outflows from those experienced in the quarter ended March 31, 2009 in all asset classes. The majority of outflows were in fixed income with \$22 billion, or 73% of the outflows, followed by equity outflows and

liquidity outflows of \$6 billion and \$2 billion, respectively. The majority of fixed income outflows were in products managed by Western Asset and Brandywine Global Investment Management, LLC (Brandywine). Equity outflows, which in part were driven by

<sup>1</sup> NASDAQ is a trademark of the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc., which is not affiliated with Legg Mason.

<sup>2</sup> S&P is a trademark of Standard & Poor s, a division of the McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., which is not affiliated with Legg Mason.

<sup>3</sup> Dow Jones Industrial Average is a trademark of Dow Jones & Company, which is not affiliated with Legg Mason.

<sup>4</sup> Barclays Capital U.S. Aggregate Bond Index and Barclays Capital Global Aggregate Bond Index are trademarks of Barclays Capital, which is not affiliated with Legg Mason.

investment performance issues, particularly in prior quarters, were primarily experienced by key equity products managed at ClearBridge Advisors LLC ( ClearBridge ) and Legg Mason Capital Management, Inc. ( LMCM ). Permal Group Ltd. ( Permal ) also had outflows.

AUM at June 30, 2009 were \$656.9 billion, a decrease of \$265.9 billion or 28.8% from June 30, 2008. The decrease in AUM was attributable to net client outflows of \$171 billion and market depreciation of \$94 billion, of which approximately 7% resulted from the impact of foreign currency exchange fluctuation. There were net client outflows in all asset classes. The majority of outflows were in fixed income with \$101 billion, or 59% of the outflows, followed by equity outflows and liquidity outflows of \$41 billion and \$29 billion, respectively. The majority of fixed income outflows were in products managed by Western Asset that have experienced investment performance issues, particularly during last fiscal year, but to a lesser extent over the last quarter. Equity outflows were primarily experienced by key equity products managed at ClearBridge, LMCM and Permal. Due in part to investment performance issues, we have experienced net equity outflows since fiscal 2007. We generally earn higher fees and profits on equity AUM, and outflows in this asset class will more negatively impact our revenues and net income than would outflows in other asset classes. In addition, due in part to investment performance issues, we have experienced outflows in our fixed income asset class for the past six quarters.

Permal continues to require 95 days prior written notice of redemptions, which will be reduced to 65 days beginning with the September 30, 2009 redemption date. As of June 30, 2009, Permal had received approximately \$1.0 billion of gross redemption notices that, unless withdrawn, will be redeemed in the September quarter.

## AUM by Asset Class

AUM by asset class (in billions) as of June 30 was as follows:

		% of		% of	%
	2009	Total	2008	Total	Change
Equity	\$ 143.6	21.9 %	\$ 253.4	27.5 %	(43.3) %
Fixed Income	366.6	55.8	493.4	53.4	(25.7)
Liquidity	146.7	22.3	176.0	19.1	(16.6)
Total	\$ 656.9	100.0 %	\$ 922.8	100.0 %	(28.8) %

The component changes in our AUM by asset class (in billions) for the three months ended June 30, 2009 were as follows:

	Fixed		
Equity	Income	Liquidity	Total

#### Explanation of Responses:

March 31, 2009	\$ 126.9	\$ 357.6	\$ 147.9	\$ 632.4
Investment funds, excluding liquidity funds				
Sales	3.5	3.7		7.2
Redemptions	(4.4)	(4.1)		(8.5)
Separate account flows, net	(5.5)	(21.8)	0.2	(27.1)
Liquidity fund flows, net			(1.9)	(1.9)
Net client cash flows	(6.4)	(22.2)	(1.7)	(30.3)
Market performance	23.1	31.2	0.5	54.8
June 30, 2009	\$ 143.6	\$ 366.6	\$ 146.7	\$ 656.9

Average AUM by asset class (in billions) for the three months ended June 30 was as follows:

		% of		% of	%
	2009	Total	2008	Total	Change
Equity	\$ 138.0	21.3 %	\$ 270.9	28.6 %	(49.1) %
Fixed Income	362.3	56.0	502.9	53.0	(28.0)
Liquidity	146.9	22.7	174.7	18.4	(15.9)
Total	\$ 647.2	100.0 %	\$ 948.5	100.0 %	(31.8) %

#### AUM by Division

AUM by division (in billions) as of June 30 was as follows:

	% of			% of %		
	2009	Total	2008	Total	Change	
Americas	\$ 456.9	69.6 %	\$ 650.3	70.5 %	(29.7) %	
International	200.0	30.4	272.5	29.5	(26.6)	
Total	\$ 656.9	100.0 %	\$ 922.8	100.0~%	(28.8) %	

The component changes in our AUM by division (in billions) for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was as follows:

	Americas	International	Total
March 31, 2009	\$ 446.5	\$ 185.9	\$ 632.4
Investment funds, excluding liquidity funds			
Sales	5.3	1.9	7.2
Redemptions	(6.8)	(1.7)	(8.5)
Separate account flows, net	(20.4)	(6.7)	(27.1)
Liquidity fund flows, net	(5.1)	3.2	(1.9)
Net client cash flows	(27.0)	(3.3)	(30.3)
Market performance and other	37.4	17.4	54.8
June 30, 2009	\$ 456.9	\$ 200.0	\$ 656.9

# *Investment Performance*<sup>(5)</sup>

Investment performance in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 improved significantly from the previous quarter. Unemployment claims, while still high, declined in April; improvements in existing homes sales and consumer spending, and some positive news from major banks and financial institutions restored some level of investor confidence and possibly suggested the U.S. economy may be on the verge of hitting a bottom. However, as consumers continue to deleverage, debates about inflation versus deflation and the strength of certain major U.S. companies still looms. As of June 30, 2009, for the trailing 1-year, 3-year, 5-year, and 10-year periods approximately 54%, 54%, 57%, and 91%, respectively, of our marketed equity composite<sup>(6)</sup> assets outpaced their benchmarks. As of June 30, 2008, for the trailing 1-year, 3-

<sup>5</sup> Index performance in this section includes reinvestment of dividends and capital gains.

<sup>6</sup> A composite is an aggregation of discretionary portfolios (separate accounts and investment funds) into a single group that represents a particular investment objective or strategy. Each of our asset managers has its own specific guidelines for including portfolios in its marketed composites. Assets under management that are not managed in accordance with the guidelines are not included in a composite. As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, 87% of our equity assets under management and 83% of our fixed income assets under management, respectively, were in marketed composites.

year, 5-year, and 10-year periods approximately 49%, 64%, 50%, and 93%, respectively, of our marketed equity composite assets outpaced their benchmarks.

In the fixed income markets, Government yields continued to rise as investors grew concerned about the need to finance the growing federal deficit while demand for government bonds decreased due to investors returning appetite for risk. Many sector spreads declined in the quarter as investors returned to riskier securities such as high yield bonds and emerging market debt securities.

For the 1-year period, the Treasury yield curve steepened from last quarter with the 30-year yield rising nearly 0.80% due to longer-term Treasury yields increasing as investors shift from Treasuries to Corporate bonds. The worst performing fixed income sector was Government bonds as measured by the Barclays U.S. Government Bond returning (2.21)%. As of June 30, 2009, for the trailing 1-year, 3-year, 5-year, and 10-year periods approximately 29%, 12%, 19%, and 78%, respectively, of our marketed fixed income composite assets outpaced their benchmarks. As of June 30, 2008, for the trailing 1-year, 5-year, and 10-year periods approximately 4%, 18%, 57%, and 62%, respectively, of our fixed income marketed composite assets outpaced their benchmarks.

As of June 30, 2009, for the trailing 1-year, 3-year, 5-year, and 10-year periods 54%, 56%, 58%, and 78%, respectively, of our U.S. long-term mutual fund<sup>(7)</sup> assets outpaced their Lipper category average. As of June 30, 2008, for the trailing 1-year, 3-year, 5-year, and 10-year periods 45%, 49%, 58%, and 84%, respectively, of our U.S. long-term mutual fund<sup>(7)</sup> assets outpaced their Lipper category average.

As of June 30, 2009, for the trailing 1-year, 3-year, 5-year, and 10-year periods 49%, 61%, 52%, and 77%, respectively, of our U.S. equity mutual fund<sup>(7)</sup> assets outpaced their Lipper category average. As of June 30, 2008, for the trailing 1-year, 3-year, 5-year, and 10-year periods 50%, 55%, 52%, and 87%, respectively, of our U.S. equity mutual fund<sup>(7)</sup> assets outpaced their Lipper category average.

As of June 30, 2009, for the trailing 1-year, 3-year, 5-year, and 10-year periods 63%, 49%, 67%, and 80%, respectively, of our U.S. fixed income mutual fund<sup>(7)</sup> assets outpaced their Lipper category average. As of June 30, 2008, for the trailing 1-year, 3-year, 5-year, and 10-year periods 36%, 37%, 70%, and 73%, respectively, of our U.S. fixed income mutual fund<sup>(7)</sup> assets outpaced their Lipper category average.

## Revenue by Division

Operating revenues by division (in millions) for the three months ended June 30 were as follows:

	% of			% of	%
	2009	Total	2008	Total	Change
Americas	\$ 444.6	72.5%	\$ 714.0	67.7%	(37.7)%
International	168.5	27.5	340.0	32.3	(50.4)
Total	\$ 613.1	100.0%	\$ 1,054.0	100.0%	(41.8)%

The decrease in operating revenues in the Americas division was primarily due to decreased mutual fund advisory fees on assets managed by Western Asset, ClearBridge, LMCM and Royce

<sup>7</sup> Source: Lipper Inc. includes open-end, closed-end, and variable annuity funds. As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, the U.S. long-term mutual fund assets represented in the data accounted for 13% and 14%, respectively, of our total assets under management. The performance of our U.S. long-term mutual fund assets is included in the marketed composites.

& Associates, LLC (Royce), decreased separate account advisory fees on assets managed by Western Asset and ClearBridge and decreased distribution and service fee revenues from U.S. retail equity funds. The decrease in operating revenues in the International division was primarily due to decreased fund revenues at Permal and decreased separate account advisory fees on assets managed by the international operations of Western Asset.

### **Results of Operations**

#### **Operating Revenues**

Total operating revenues in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 were \$613.1 million, down 42% from \$1.1 billion in the prior year quarter, primarily as a result of a 32% decrease in average AUM, driven by a decline in average equity assets of 49%, fixed income assets of 28%, and liquidity assets of 16%. The shift in the mix of AUM from higher fee equity assets to a greater percentage of fixed income and liquidity assets also contributed to the revenue decline.

Investment advisory fees from separate accounts decreased \$125.8 million, or 40%, to \$190.9 million. Of this decrease, \$65.2 million was the result of lower average equity assets at ClearBridge, Private Capital Management, LP, LMCM, Brandywine, and Batterymarch Financial Management, Inc. (Batterymarch) and \$45.9 million was the result of lower average fixed income assets managed at Western Asset.

Investment advisory fees from funds decreased \$241.5 million, or 42%, to \$328.0 million. Of this decrease, approximately \$179 million was the result of lower average equity assets managed at Permal, LMCM, ClearBridge, and Royce, and approximately \$33 million was the result of lower average fixed income and liquidity assets managed at Western Asset.

Performance fees decreased \$4.5 million, or 44%, to \$5.7 million, primarily as a result of lower performance fees earned on alternative investment products at Permal.

Distribution and service fees decreased \$66.8 million, or 44%, to \$86.7 million, primarily as a result of a decline in average AUM of the retail share classes of our domestic equity and liquidity funds and our international fixed income funds, which resulted in a decrease of \$47.7 million and \$7.2 million, respectively.

#### **Operating Expenses**

Operating expenses in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 continued to benefit from the cost reduction initiatives implemented in fiscal 2009. As of June 30, 2009, cost-saving measures have resulted in the elimination of approximately \$160 million in costs on an annualized basis, before costs incurred to achieve these savings, such as severance. The discussion below for each of our operating expenses identifies the amount of variance attributable to

#### Explanation of Responses:

cost-savings achieved during the quarter ended June 30, 2009, where applicable.

Compensation and benefits decreased 29% to \$268.8 million. This decrease was primarily driven by a \$106 million decrease in revenue share based compensation, primarily resulting from lower revenues in the quarter ended June 30, 2009, and the impact of cost savings initiatives such as reductions in headcount, discretionary incentives and other discretionary compensation that lowered compensation by approximately \$25 million. These were offset in part by an increase in deferred compensation obligations of approximately \$26 million resulting from market gains on invested assets of deferred compensation plans (which are offset by gains in other non-operating income). Compensation as a percentage of operating revenues increased to 43.8% from 35.8% in the prior year period primarily as a result of compensation increases related to unrealized

market gains on assets held in deferred compensation plans and reduced revenues at affiliates without a proportionate reduction in incentive compensation. In addition, from time to time, the Company has chosen to voluntarily support our subsidiaries beyond their revenue share arrangements, which would result in a higher compensation expense than the reduction in revenues would normally generate.

Distribution and servicing expenses decreased 44% to \$172.5 million as a result of a decrease in average AUM in certain products for which we pay fees to third-party distributors.

Communications and technology expense decreased 19% to \$40.5 million, primarily as a result of cost savings initiatives that led to a \$4.3 million decrease in technology consulting fees. Reductions in printing costs and lower technology depreciation expense, which resulted from the full depreciation of certain assets prior to or during the current quarter, of \$1.6 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, also contributed to the decrease.

Occupancy expense decreased 5% to \$32.6 million, primarily due to recognition of a \$3.4 million loss in the prior year quarter related to a sublease arrangement. This decrease was partially offset by increased rent expense at new office locations and an increase in furniture and leasehold depreciation of \$1.5 million, primarily as a result of the relocation of our corporate headquarters.

Amortization of intangible assets decreased 42% to \$5.6 million, primarily as a result of the impact of the impairment of intangible assets during fiscal 2009, which reduced amortization expense by \$3.7 million.

Other expenses decreased 24% to \$34.8 million, primarily as a result of cost savings initiatives that resulted in reduced travel and entertainment costs of \$9.3 million and advertising costs of \$1.9 million.

## **Non-Operating Income (Expense)**

Interest income decreased 92% to \$1.8 million, primarily as a result of a decline in average interest rates earned on investment balances and lower average investment balances, which reduced interest income by \$13.4 million and \$6.6 million, respectively.

Interest expense decreased 2% to \$43.4 million, primarily as a result of an \$11.5 million decrease due to the payment of our 6.75% senior notes in July 2008 and lower interest rates paid on our term loans. This decrease was partially offset by the impact of a full quarter of interest expense recognized on our Equity Units in the June 2009 quarter and an increase in debt issuance costs, of \$8.2 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

Fund support losses decreased by \$284.4 million to a gain of \$17.6 million, primarily as a result of our elimination of SIV exposure in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009. In addition, due to improvement in financial markets during the three months ended June 30, 2009, and the related impact on the net asset values of supported liquidity funds, we reduced unrealized, non-cash losses recorded in fiscal 2009 on existing liquidity fund support arrangements for our offshore funds of \$16.5 million. See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Other non-operating income increased \$45.1 million to \$46.4 million, primarily as a result of an increase of \$27.9 million in unrealized market gains on assets held in deferred compensation plans, which are substantially offset by corresponding compensation increases discussed above,

<sup>31</sup> 

and \$22.7 million in unrealized market gains on investments in proprietary fund products. These increases were offset in part by the impact of a \$5.5 million gain recognized in the prior year quarter on the sale of the Legg Mason Private Portfolio Group business.

#### **Income Tax Benefit (Expense)**

The provision for income taxes was \$28.4 million compared to a benefit of \$21.7 million in the prior year period, primarily as a result of increased earnings due to the elimination of losses related to liquidity fund support. The effective tax rate was 35.2% compared to 37.6% in the prior year period, primarily due to lower effective tax rates on foreign earnings.

#### Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Legg Mason, Inc.

Net income attributable to Legg Mason, Inc., hereafter referred to as Net income (loss), for the three months ended June 30, 2009 totaled \$50.1 million, or \$0.35 per diluted share, compared to net loss of \$36.1 million, or \$0.26 per diluted share, in the prior year period. Cash income (see Supplemental Non-GAAP Financial Information) for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 totaled \$99.3 million, or \$0.69 per diluted share, compared to \$11.0 million, or \$0.08 per diluted share in the prior year quarter. These increases were due to a reduction in losses related to liquidity fund support, net of income tax benefits, and the reduction of our operating expenses as a result of cost-savings initiatives implemented during fiscal 2009, which were offset in part by an overall reduction in our operating revenues due to a 32% decline in average AUM. The pre-tax profit margin increased to 13.2% from (5.5%) in the prior year period. The pre-tax profit margin, as adjusted (see Supplemental Non-GAAP Financial Information), for the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was 18.3% and (7.7%), respectively. During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, gains related to liquidity fund support increased the pre-tax profit margin and pre-tax profit margin, as adjusted, by 2.9 percentage points and 4.0 percentage points, respectively. During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, parentage points and the pre-tax profit margin, as adjusted to liquidity fund support reduced the pre-tax profit margin and the pre-tax profit margin, as adjusted to liquidity fund support reduced the pre-tax profit margin and the pre-tax profit margin, as adjusted to liquidity fund support reduced the pre-tax profit margin and the pre-tax profit margin, as adjusted, by 2.9 percentage points and 33.8 percentage points, respectively.

#### Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Quarter Ended March 31, 2009

#### **Results of Operations**

Net income for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 was \$50.1 million, or \$0.35 per diluted share, compared to net loss of \$330.2, or \$2.33 per diluted share, in the quarter ended March 31, 2009. The increase in net income and diluted earnings per share was primarily the result of a reduction in losses related to liquidity fund support, net of income tax benefits and expense related adjustments, of \$380.0 million, or \$2.67 per diluted share. Impairment charges and real estate lease losses, net of income tax benefits, of \$50.9 million and \$23.5 million, respectively, or \$0.36 and \$0.17 per diluted share, respectively, recognized in the March 2009 quarter also contributed to the increase. These increases were offset in part by one-time tax benefits of \$102.0 million, or \$0.72 per diluted share, recognized in the March 2009 quarter. Operating revenues decreased 1%, from \$617.2 million in the March quarter to \$613.1 million in the June quarter, reflecting a 2% decrease in average AUM, partially offset by a \$4.7 million increase in performance

#### Explanation of Responses:

fees, primarily at Western Asset and Brandywine. Operating expenses decreased 16%, from \$662.5 million in the March quarter to \$554.8 million in the June quarter. This decrease was primarily driven by impairment charges and real estate lease losses of \$82.9 million and \$38.2 million, respectively, recorded in the March quarter. Other non-operating income (expense) increased by \$668.5 million, due to a reduction in losses related to liquidity fund support of \$624.0 million, primarily as a result of our elimination of SIV exposure during the March quarter, and an increase of \$45 million in unrealized market gains on investments held in deferred compensation plans and seed investments. Cash income (see Supplemental Non-GAAP Financial Information)

was \$99.3 million, or \$0.69 per diluted share, for the June quarter, compared to cash loss of \$348.8 million, or \$2.46 per diluted share, in the March quarter. The increase was attributable to the reduction in losses related to liquidity fund support, impairment charges, real estate losses and tax benefits recorded in the March quarter discussed above. The pre-tax profit margin improved from (112.0%) in the prior quarter to 13.2%. The pre-tax profit margin, as adjusted (see Supplemental Non-GAAP Financial Information), improved from (158.4%) in the March quarter to 18.3% in the June 2009 quarter. During the quarter March 31, 2009, losses related to liquidity fund support reduced the pre-tax profit margin and pre-tax profit margin, as adjusted, by 95.8 percentage points and 135.5 percentage points, respectively. During the quarter ended March 31, 2009, intangible asset impairment charges reduced the pre-tax profit margin and pre-tax profit margin, as adjusted, by 13.4 percentage points and 19.0 percentage points, respectively.

## **Supplemental Non-GAAP Financial Information**

### **Cash Income**

As supplemental information, we are providing a performance measure that is based on a methodology other than generally accepted accounting principles (non-GAAP) for cash income that management uses as a benchmark in evaluating and comparing the period-to-period operating performance of Legg Mason.

We define cash income as net income (loss) attributable to Legg Mason, Inc., plus amortization and deferred taxes related to intangible assets and goodwill, and imputed interest and tax benefits on contingent convertible debt less deferred income taxes on goodwill and indefinite-life intangible asset impairment.

We believe that cash income (loss) provides a good representation of our operating performance adjusted for non-cash acquisition related items and other items that facilitates comparison of our results to the results of other asset management firms that have not issued contingent convertible debt or made significant acquisitions, including any related goodwill or intangible asset impairments.

We also believe that cash income (loss) is an important metric in estimating the value of an asset management business. This measure is provided in addition to net income (loss), but is not a substitute for net income (loss) and may not be comparable to non-GAAP performance measures, including measures of cash earnings or cash income, of other companies. Further, cash income (loss) is not a liquidity measure and should not be used in place of cash flow measures determined under GAAP. We consider cash income to be useful to investors because it is an important metric in measuring the economic performance of asset management companies, as an indicator of value and because it facilitates comparisons of our operating results with the results of other asset management firms that have not issued contingent convertible debt or made significant acquisitions.

In calculating cash income, we add the impact of the amortization of intangible assets from acquisitions, such as management contracts, to net income (loss) to reflect the fact that these non-cash expenses may distort comparisons of our operating results with the results of other asset management firms that have not engaged in significant acquisitions. Deferred taxes on indefinite-life intangible assets and goodwill represent actual tax benefits that are not realized under GAAP absent an impairment charge or the disposition of the related business. Because we actually receive these tax benefits on indefinite-life intangible assets and goodwill over time, we add them to net income in the calculation of cash income. Conversely, we subtract income tax

benefits on these impairment charges that have been recognized under GAAP. We also include imputed interest, which is a non-cash expense, on contingent convertible debt required by FSP APB 14-1, as well as the actual tax benefits on the related contingent convertible debt that are not realized under GAAP.

Should a disposition or impairment charge for indefinite-life intangible assets or goodwill occur, its impact on cash income may distort actual changes in the operating performance or value of our firm. Accordingly, we monitor changes in indefinite-life intangible assets and goodwill and the related impact, including taxes, on cash income to ensure that appropriate adjustments and explanations accompany disclosures.

Although depreciation and amortization on fixed assets are non-cash expenses, we do not add these charges in calculating cash income (loss) because these charges are related to assets that will ultimately require replacement.

A reconciliation of net income (loss) to cash income (loss) (in thousands except per share amounts) is as follows:

Three Months Ended			
June 30,	March 31,	June 30,	
2009	2009	2008	
\$ 50,054	\$ (330,224)	\$ (36,127)	
5,628	8,013	9,624	
35,297	35,379	29,654	
	(70,265)		
8,364	8,321	7,853	
\$ 99,343	\$ (348,776)	\$ 11,004	
\$ 0.35	\$ (2.33)	\$ (0.26)	
0.04	0.06	0.07	
0.24	0.25	0.21	
	(0.50)		
0.06	0.06	0.06	
\$ 0.69	\$ (2.46)	\$ 0.08	
	June 30, 2009 \$ 50,054 5,628 35,297 8,364 \$ 99,343 \$ 0.35 0.04 0.24 0.06	June 30, 2009March 31, 2009 $$50,054$ $$(330,224)$ $$50,054$ $$(330,224)$ $5,628$ $8,013$ $35,297$ $35,379$ $(70,265)$ $8,364$ $8,321$ $$99,343$ $$99,343$ $$(348,776)$ $$0.35$ $$(2.33)$ $0.04$ $0.06$ $0.24$ $0.25$ $(0.50)$ $0.06$ $0.06$	

The increases in cash income from the quarters ended June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2009, are primarily driven by the increases in net income, as described above. The increase in deferred income taxes on intangible assets from the quarter ended June 30, 2008 is primarily due to a one-time reduction related to the sale of the LMPPG business in the prior period quarter.

#### Pre-tax Profit Margin, as adjusted

We believe that pre-tax profit margin adjusted for distribution and servicing expense is a useful measure of our performance because it indicates what our margins would have been without the distribution revenues that are passed through to third parties as a direct cost of selling our

products, and thus shows the effect of these revenues on our margins. This measure is provided in addition to the pre-tax profit margin calculated under GAAP, but is not a substitute for calculations of margin under GAAP and may not be comparable to non-GAAP performance measures, including measures of adjusted margins, of other companies.

A reconciliation of pre-tax profit margin adjusted for distribution and servicing expense is as follows:

	Three Months Ended			
	June 30, March 31,		June 30,	
	2009	2009	2008	
Operating Revenues, GAAP basis	\$613,084	\$ 617,211	\$ 1,054,031	
Less:				
Distribution and servicing expense	172,464	180,620	307,873	
Operating Revenues, as adjusted	\$440,620	\$ 436,591	\$ 746,158	
Income (Loss) before Income Tax Provision	¢ 00 <b>5</b> 04			
(Benefit)	\$ 80,704	\$ (691,475)	\$ (57,815)	
Pre-tax profit margin, GAAP basis	13.2%	(112.0%)	(5.5%)	
Pre-tax profit margin, as adjusted	18.3	(158.4)	(7.7)	

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

The primary objective of our capital structure and funding practices is to appropriately support Legg Mason s business strategies and to provide needed liquidity at all times, including maintaining required capital in certain subsidiaries. Liquidity and the access to liquidity is important to the success of our ongoing operations. For a further discussion of our principal liquidity and capital resources policies, see our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

Our assets consist primarily of intangible assets, goodwill, cash and cash equivalents, investment advisory and related fee receivables and investment securities. Our assets have been principally funded by equity capital, long-term debt and the results of our operations. At June 30, 2009, our cash, total assets, long-term debt and stockholders equity were \$1.5 billion, \$9.0 billion, \$2.7 billion and \$4.7 billion, respectively.

The following table summarizes our consolidated statements of cash flows for the three months ended June 30 (in millions):

	2009	2008
Cash flows from (used for) operating activities	\$ 503.0	\$ (77.8)
Cash flows used for investing activities	(26.7)	(145.7)
Cash flows from (used for) financing activities	(31.1)	1,075.2
Effect of exchange rate changes	9.6	0.7
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	454.8	852.4
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	1,084.5	1,463.6
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1,539.3	\$ 2,316.0

Cash flows from operating activities were \$503.0 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009, primarily attributable to \$580 million in income tax refunds received during the quarter.

Cash outflows for investing activities during the three months ended June 30, 2009 were \$26.7 million, primarily attributable to payments for fixed assets.

Cash outflows for financing activities during the three months ended June 30, 2009 were \$31.1 million primarily due to the payment of cash dividends. On July 28, 2009, the Board of Directors approved a regular quarterly cash dividend in the amount of \$0.03 per share payable on October 26, 2009.

We expect that over the next twelve months our operating activities will be adequate to support our operating cash needs. We have achieved corporate expense reductions of approximately \$160 million on an annualized basis as of June 30, 2009. In addition, we received approximately \$580 million in tax refunds during the June quarter, primarily attributable to the tax benefits from the realized losses incurred on the sale of securities issued by SIVs in fiscal 2009.

In addition to our ordinary operating cash needs, as discussed above, we anticipate several other cash needs during the next twelve months. In November 2009, we may be required to pay up to \$286 million under the agreements governing the Permal acquisition, with the amount of the payment to be determined based on Permal s operating results. Based on current operating results, we estimate that the November 2009 payment will be approximately \$150 million. However, the final amount will not be determined until the November 2009 measurement date. The final payment for this transaction in November 2011 will be between \$60 million and \$320 million based on Permal s results and the amount of the fiscal 2010 payment. We may pay up to 25% of each of these payments in shares of our common stock. In addition, during fiscal 2010, we will move into a new corporate headquarters and expect to incur total costs for the new building of approximately \$93 million, primarily for leasehold improvements, fixtures and furniture, of which approximately \$62 million has been paid as of June 30, 2009. We may also elect to utilize our available resources for any number of activities, including seed capital investments in new products, repayment of outstanding debt, or acquisitions.

On July 15, 2009, we commenced an exchange offer for 95% of our Equity Units in the form of Corporate Units in order to increase our equity capital levels and reduce the amount of our outstanding debt and related interest expense. We are offering to exchange up to 21,850,000, or 95% of our outstanding Corporate Units, for 0.8881 of a share of our common stock and \$6.25 in cash per Corporate Unit. We expect that the transaction will increase the interest coverage ratio under our bank credit facilities as a result of lower interest expense. Depending on the number of units tendered, we expect to pay up to approximately \$141.6 million from cash on hand for the cash portion of the offer consideration and other transaction related costs. In connection with this transaction, we will incur a one time loss of approximately \$23 million in the September 2009 quarter.

As described above, we currently project that our available cash and cash flows from operating activities will be sufficient to fund our liquidity needs. Accordingly, we do not currently expect to raise additional debt or equity financing over the next twelve months but we may elect to refinance a portion of our debt over that time period. However, there can be no assurances of these expectations as our projections could prove to be incorrect, currently unexpected events may occur that require additional liquidity, such as an acquisition opportunity, or market conditions might significantly worsen, affecting our results of operations and generation of available cash. If this were to occur, we would likely seek to manage our available resources by

taking actions such as additional cost-cutting, reducing our expected expenditures on investments, selling assets (such as investment securities), repatriating earnings from foreign subsidiaries, or modifying arrangements with our affiliates and/or employees. Should these types of actions prove insufficient, we may seek to raise additional equity or debt.

The agreements entered into as part of our January 2008 issuance of \$1.25 billion in 2.5% convertible senior notes prevent us from incurring additional debt, with a few exceptions, if our debt to EBITDA ratio (as defined in the documents) exceeds 2.5. The May 2008 issuance of Equity Units resulted in our debt to EBITDA ratio exceeding that limit, although we received a waiver of the covenant to allow us to complete the transaction. The waiver prevents us from issuing more than \$250 million in additional debt at any time when our debt to EBITDA ratio exceeds 2.5. The sales of SIV securities during fiscal 2009 reduced our EBITDA under the definition, thus further increasing our ratio for purposes of this covenant. As a result of these two events, we may not, subject to a few limited exceptions, incur more than \$250 million in new indebtedness until the effects of the sales of SIV securities is no longer in the trailing twelve month EBITDA calculation and when we have substantially reduced our outstanding indebtedness.

As of June 30, 2009, our financial covenants under our bank agreements include: maximum net debt to EBITDA ratio of 3.0 and minimum EBITDA to interest expense ratio of 4.0. Debt is defined to include all obligations for borrowed money, excluding the debt incurred in the equity units offering and non-recourse debt, and under capital leases. Under these net debt covenants, our debt is reduced by the amount of our unrestricted cash in excess of \$500 million in working capital. EBITDA is defined as consolidated net income plus/minus tax expense, interest expense, depreciation and amortization, amortization of intangibles, any extraordinary expenses or losses, any non-cash charges and up to \$3.0 billion in realized losses resulting from liquidity fund support. As of June 30, 2009, Legg Mason s net debt to EBITDA ratio was 1.4 and EBITDA to interest expense ratio was 4.5. If our net income further declines, or if we spend our available cash, it may impact our ability to maintain compliance with these covenants. Success with our Equity Units tender offer described above will lower our interest expense and thus improve our interest coverage ratio. The use of cash in the offer will slightly increase our net debt to EBITDA ratio. If we determine that our compliance with these covenants may be under pressure, we may elect to take a number of actions, including reducing our expenses in order to increase our EBITDA, use available cash to repay all or a portion of our \$800 million outstanding debt subject to these covenants or seek to negotiate with our lenders to modify the terms or to restructure our debt. We anticipate that we will have available cash to repay all or a portion of our bank debt, should it be necessary. Using available cash to repay indebtedness would make the cash unavailable for other uses and might affect the liquidity discussions and conclusions above. Entering into any modification or restructuring of our debt would likely result in additional fees or interest payments.

In July 2009, Fitch Ratings and Moody s Investor Services (Moody s) downgraded Legg Mason's debt rating to BBB+ from A- and to Baa1 from A3, respectively. Both rating agencies removed us from ratings watch negative and assigned a stable outlook. The downgrade by Moody s will result in an annual increase in interest expense of approximately \$2.1 million.

# **Liquidity Fund Support**

As of June 30 and March 31, 2009, the support amounts of our remaining liquidity fund support and related cash collateral (in thousands) were as follows:

	Earliest	June 30, 200	June 30, 2009		31, 2009
	Transaction				
		Support	Cash	Support	Cash
Description	Date	Amount	Collateral <sup>(1)</sup>	Amount	Collateral <sup>(1)</sup>
Capital Support Agreements <sup>(2)</sup>	September 2008	\$27,500	\$27,500	\$ 34,500	\$ 34,500
Capital Support Agreements <sup>(3)</sup>	October 2008	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000
Total		\$34,500	\$34,500	\$ 41,500	\$ 41,500
(1)					

Included in restricted cash on the Consolidated Balance Sheet

(2)

Pertains to Western Asset Institutional Money Market Fund, Western (formerly Citi) Institutional Liquidity Fund P.L.C. (Euro Fund) and Western (formerly Citi) Institutional Liquidity Fund P.L.C. (Sterling Fund) as of March 31, 2009. The capital support agreement with the Western Asset Institutional Money Market Fund was terminated in April 2009 and \$7 million of collateral was returned.

(3)

Pertains to Western (formerly Citi) Institutional Liquidity Fund P.L.C. (USD Fund). During July 2009, this capital support agreement ( CSA ) was terminated and collateral was returned.

## **Contractual Obligations and Contingent Payments**

We have contractual obligations to make future payments in connection with our short and long-term debt, non-cancelable lease agreements and service agreements. In addition, we may be required to make contingent payments under fund support arrangements and business purchase agreements if certain future events occur.

The following table sets forth these contractual and contingent obligations (in millions) by fiscal year as of June 30, 2009, unless otherwise noted:

	Remaining 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Thereafter	Total
<b>Contractual Obligations</b>							
Short-term borrowings <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 250.0	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 250.0
Long-term borrowings by contract maturity <sup>(2)</sup>	6.0	554.3	2.4	0.9	0.9	2,406.8	2,971.3
Interest on short-term and long-term borrowings <sup>(3)</sup>	108.6	121.3	112.3	112.2	112.2	615.5	1,182.1
Minimum rental and service commitments	121.9	129.1	118.8	106.3	89.2	686.2	1,251.5
Minimum commitments under capital leases <sup>(4)</sup>	30.7	2.5	1.9				35.1
Total Contractual Obligations	517.2	807.2	235.4	219.4	202.3	3,708.5	5,690.0
<b>Contingent Obligations</b>							
Contingent payments related to business	202.5		60.0				252.5
acquisitions <sup>(5)</sup>	293.5		60.0				353.5
Capital support <sup>(6)</sup>	34.5						34.5
Total Contractual and Contingent Obligations <sup>(7,8)</sup>	\$ 845.2	\$ 807.2	\$ 295.4	\$ 219.4	\$ 202.3	\$ 3,708.5	\$ 6,078.0

<sup>(1)</sup> 

Represents borrowing under our revolving line of credit which does not expire until October 2010. However, we may elect to repay this debt sooner if we have sufficient available cash.

(2) On July 15, 2009, we commenced an offer to exchange our Equity Units in the form of Corporate Units. If this offer is successful, long-term borrowings and interest on long-term borrowings will be reduced by \$1.1 billion and \$917.7 million, respectively.

(3)

Interest on floating rate long-term debt is based on rates at June 30, 2009 and includes 1.4% contract adjustment payments on Equity Units.

(4)

The amount of commitments reflected for any year represents the maximum amount that could be payable at the earliest possible date under the terms of the agreements.

(5)

The amount of contingent payments reflected for any year represents the maximum amount that could be payable at the earliest possible date under the terms of business purchase agreements.

(6) The amount of contingent obligations under capital support agreements represents the maximum amount that could be payable at any time up through the contracts termination dates. In July 2009, a CSA to provide up to \$7.0 million of support was terminated, reducing our maximum obligation to \$27.5 million.

(7)

The table above does not include approximately \$25.4 million in capital commitments to investment partnerships in which Legg Mason is a limited partner. These obligations will be funded, as required, through the end of the commitment periods through 2011.

(8)

The table above does not include amounts for uncertain tax positions of \$36.6 million (net of the federal benefit for state tax liabilities) because the timing of any related cash outflows cannot be reliably estimated.

# **Recent Accounting Developments**

See discussion of Recent Accounting Developments in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## **Forward-Looking Statements**

We have made in this report, and from time to time may otherwise make in our public filings, press releases and statements by our management, forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including information relating to anticipated growth in revenues or earnings per share, anticipated changes in our businesses or in the amount of our client AUM, anticipated future performance of our business, anticipated future investment performance of our subsidiaries, our expected future net client cash flows, anticipated expense levels, changes in expenses, the expected effects of acquisitions and expectations regarding financial market conditions. The words or phrases can be, may be, expects, may affect, may depend, estimate, project, anticipate and

b

similar words and phrases are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements are subject to various known and unknown risks and uncertainties and we caution readers that any forward-looking information provided by or on behalf of Legg Mason is not a guarantee of future performance.

Actual results may differ materially from those in forward-looking information as a result of various factors, some of which are beyond our control, including but not limited to those discussed elsewhere herein, under the heading Risk Factors and elsewhere in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2009 and in our other public filings, press releases and statements by our management. Due to such risks, uncertainties and other factors, we caution each person receiving such forward-looking information not to place undue reliance on such statements. Further, such forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which such statements are made, and we undertake no obligations to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

# Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, there were no material changes to the information contained in Part II, Item 7A of Legg Mason s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of June 30, 2009, Legg Mason s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of Legg Mason s disclosure controls and procedures. In evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, Legg Mason s management, including its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, concluded that Legg Mason s disclosure controls and procedures were effective on a reasonable assurances basis. There have been no changes in Legg Mason s internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Legg Mason s internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II.

## **OTHER INFORMATION**

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, there were no material changes to the information contained in Part I, Item 1A of Legg Mason s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

# Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table sets out information regarding our purchases of Legg Mason common stock in each month during the quarter ended June 30, 2009:

Period April 1, 2009 through	Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs <sup>(1)</sup>	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs <sup>(1)</sup>
April 30, 2009 May 1, 2009 through		\$		3,900,000
May 31, 2009 June 1, 2009 through				3,900,000
June 30, 2009 Total		\$		3,900,000 3,900,000

<sup>(1)</sup> On July 19, 2007, we announced that our Board of Directors authorized Legg Mason to purchase 5.0 million shares of Legg Mason common stock in open-market purchases. There was no expiration date attached to this authorization.

#### Item 5. Other Information

On July 15, 2009, Legg Mason commenced an exchange offer for 95% of its Equity Units in the form of Corporate Units (the Units ) in order to increase its equity capital levels and reduce the amount of its outstanding debt and related interest expense. The Company is offering to exchange up to 21,850,000 of its outstanding Units for 0.8881 of a share of Legg Mason common stock and \$6.25 in cash per Unit. The Company expects that the transaction will increase the interest coverage ratio under the Company s bank credit facilities as a result of lower interest expense.

The exchange offer for the Units will expire on August 12, 2009, unless extended by the Company.

3.1	Articles of Incorporation of Legg Mason (incorporated by reference to Legg Mason s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006)	
3.2	By-laws of Legg Mason as amended and restated January 23, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Legg Mason, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K for the event on January 23, 2007)	
10.1	Employment letter dated August 29, 2008 between Legg Mason, Inc. and David R. Odenath	
10.2	Legg Mason & Co. LLC Deferred Compensentation/Phantom Stock Plan, as amended, (incorporated by reference to Legg Mason s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009)	
10.3	Legg Mason, Inc. 1996 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the definitive proxy statement for Legg Mason's 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders)	
12	Computation of consolidated ratios of earnings to fixed charges	
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer	
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer	
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
101	Financial statements from the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Legg Mason, Inc. for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, filed on August 5, 2009, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements tagged as blocks of text	

Item 6. Exhibits

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

LEGG MASON, INC. (Registrant)

DATE: August 5, 2009

/s/ Mark R. Fetting Mark R. Fetting President and Chief Executive Officer

DATE: August 5, 2009

/s/ Charles J. Daley, Jr. Charles J. Daley, Jr. Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

and Treasurer

# INDEX TO EXHIBITS

3.1	Articles of Incorporation of Legg Mason (incorporated by reference to Legg Mason s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006)
3.2	By-laws of Legg Mason as amended and restated January 23, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Legg Mason, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K for the event on January 23, 2007)
10.1	Employment letter dated August 29, 2008 between Legg Mason, Inc. and David R. Odenath
10.2	Legg Mason & Co. LLC Deferred Compensentation/Phantom Stock Plan, as amended, (incorporated by reference to Legg Mason s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009)
10.3	Legg Mason, Inc. 1996 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the definitive proxy statement for Legg Mason's 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders)
12	Computation of consolidated ratios of earnings to fixed charges
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101	Financial statements from the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Legg Mason, Inc. for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, filed on August 5, 2009, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements tagged as blocks of text