

XCEL ENERGY INC
Form 10-Q
April 26, 2019

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2019

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

001-3034 41-0448030
(Commission File Number) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

(Registrant,
State of
Incorporation
or
Organization,
Address of
Principal
Executive
Officers and
Telephone
Number)
Xcel Energy
Inc.
(a Minnesota
corporation)
414 Nicollet
Mall
Minneapolis,
MN 55401
612-330-5500

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 and Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: XCEL ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer,” “smaller reporting company,” and “emerging growth company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer’s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	April 18, 2019
Common Stock, \$2.50 par value	514,673,191 shares

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Item 1 —	<u>Financial Statements</u> 4
	<u>(unaudited)</u>
	<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME</u> 4
	<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME</u> 5
	<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS</u> 6
	<u>CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS</u> 7
	<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMMON STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</u> 8
	<u>NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> 9
	<u>Management's Discussion and</u>
Item 2 —	<u>Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 20
	<u>Quantitative and</u>
Item 3 —	<u>Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> 29
Item 4 —	<u>Controls and Procedures</u> 29
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1 —	<u>Legal Proceedings</u> 29
Item 1A —	<u>Risk Factors</u> 29
	<u>Unregistered Sales of</u>
Item 2 —	<u>Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> 30
Item 6 —	<u>Exhibits</u> 30
	<u>SIGNATURES</u> 31

Certifications
Pursuant to Section
302
Certifications
Pursuant to Section
906

This Form 10-Q is filed by Xcel Energy Inc. Additional information is available on various filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

2

Table of Contents

ABBREVIATIONS AND INDUSTRY TERMS

Xcel Energy Inc.'s Subsidiaries and Affiliates (current and former)

NSP-Minnesota	Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation
NSP System	The electric production and transmission system of NSP-Minnesota and NSP-Wisconsin operated on an integrated basis and managed by NSP-Minnesota
NSP-Wisconsin	Northern States Power Company, a Wisconsin corporation
PSCo	Public Service Company of Colorado
SPS	Southwestern Public Service Co.
Utility subsidiaries	NSP-Minnesota, NSP-Wisconsin, PSCo and SPS
WGI	West Gas Interstate
WYCO	WYCO Development, LLC
Xcel Energy	Xcel Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries
Federal and State Regulatory Agencies	
CPUC	Colorado Public Utilities Commission
D.C. Circuit	United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
MPUC	Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
NDPSC	North Dakota Public Service Commission
NMPRC	New Mexico Public Regulation Commission
NMSC	New Mexico Supreme Court
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
OAG	Minnesota Office of the Attorney General
PSCW	Public Service Commission of Wisconsin
PUCT	Public Utility Commission of Texas
SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission
Electric, Purchased Gas and Resource Adjustment Clauses	
DSM	Demand side management
FCA	Fuel clause adjustment
GUIC	Gas utility infrastructure cost rider
TCR	Transmission cost recovery adjustment
TCRF	Transmission cost recovery factor
Other	
AFUDC	Allowance for funds used during construction
ARAM	Average rate assumption method
ASC	FASB Accounting Standards Codification
ASU	FASB Accounting Standards Update
C&I	Commercial and Industrial
CACJA	Clean Air Clean Jobs Act
CAPM	Capital Asset Pricing Model
CCR	Coal combustion residual
CCR	Final rule (40 CFR 257.50 - 257.107) published by the EPA regulating the management, storage and
Rule	disposal of CCRs as a nonhazardous waste
CIG	Colorado Interstate Gas Company, LLC
CPCN	Certificate of public convenience and necessity

CWIP	Construction work in progress
DCF	Discounted Cash Flows
DRC	Development Recovery Company
EPS	Earnings per share
ETR	Effective tax rate
FCA	Fuel clause adjustment
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FTR	Financial transmission right
GAAP	Generally accepted accounting principles
GE	General Electric
IPP	Independent power producing entity
MDL	Multi district litigation
MGP	Manufactured gas plant
MISO	Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.
Moody's	Moody's Investor Services
NAV	Net asset value
NOI	Notice of inquiry
NOL	Net operating loss
O&M	Operating and maintenance
OATT	Open Access Transmission Tariff
PI	Prairie Island nuclear generating plant
PPA	Purchased power agreement
PTC	Production tax credit
ROE	Return on equity
ROFR	Right-of-first refusal
ROU	Right-of-use
RTO	Regional Transmission Organization
SMMPA	Southern Minnesota Municipal Power Agency
SPP	Southwest Power Pool, Inc.
TCJA	2017 federal tax reform enacted as Public Law No: 115-97, commonly referred to as the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act
TOs	Transmission owners

Measurements

KV Kilovolts

MW Megawatts

Forward-Looking Statements

Except for the historical statements contained in this report, the matters discussed herein are forward-looking statements that are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Such forward-looking statements, including the 2019 EPS guidance, long-term EPS and dividend growth rate, as well as assumptions and other statements are intended to be identified in this document by the words “anticipate,” “believe,” “could,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “objective,” “outlook,” “plan,” “project,” “possible,” “potential,” “should,” “will,” “would” and similar expressions. Actual results may vary materially. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and we expressly disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking information. The following factors, in addition to those discussed elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in other securities filings (including Xcel Energy’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended Dec. 31, 2018, and subsequent securities filings), could cause actual results to differ materially from management expectations as suggested by such forward-looking information: changes in environmental laws and regulations; climate change and other weather, natural disaster and resource depletion, including compliance with any accompanying legislative and regulatory changes; ability of subsidiaries to recover costs from customers; reductions in our credit ratings and the cost of maintaining certain contractual relationships; general economic conditions, including inflation rates, monetary fluctuations and their impact on capital expenditures

and the ability of Xcel Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries to obtain financing on favorable terms; availability or cost of capital; our customers' and counterparties' ability to pay their debts to us; assumptions and costs relating to funding our employee benefit plans and health care benefits; our subsidiaries' ability to make dividend payments; tax laws; operational safety, including our nuclear generation facilities; successful long-term operational planning; commodity risks associated with energy markets and production; rising energy prices; costs of potential regulatory penalties; effects of geopolitical events, including war and acts of terrorism; cyber security threats and data security breaches; fuel costs; and employee work force and third party contractor factors.

Table of Contents

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1 — FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

XCEL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)

(amounts in millions, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2019	2018
Operating revenues		
Electric	\$2,325	\$2,270
Natural gas	794	662
Other	22	19
Total operating revenues	3,141	2,951
Operating expenses		
Electric fuel and purchased power	914	932
Cost of natural gas sold and transported	479	375
Cost of sales — other	10	8
Operating and maintenance expenses	597	557
Conservation and demand side management expenses	72	71
Depreciation and amortization	433	383
Taxes (other than income taxes)	150	145
Total operating expenses	2,655	2,471
Operating income	486	480
Other income	4	1
Equity earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries	9	6
Allowance for funds used during construction — equity	20	23
Interest charges and financing costs		
Interest charges — includes other financing costs of \$6 and \$6, respectively	189	171
Allowance for funds used during construction — debt	(10)	(11)
Total interest charges and financing costs	179	160
Income before income taxes	340	350
Income taxes	25	59
Net income	\$315	\$291
Weighted average common shares outstanding:		
Basic	\$515	\$509
Diluted	516	509
Earnings per average common share:		
Basic	\$0.61	\$0.57
Diluted	0.61	0.57

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

4

Table of Contents

XCEL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)
 (amounts in millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2019	2018
Net income	\$315	\$291
Other comprehensive income		
Pension and retiree medical benefits:		
Net pension and retiree medical gains arising during the period, net of tax of \$1 and \$0, respectively	2	—
Amortization of losses included in net periodic benefit cost, net of tax of \$0 and \$0, respectively	1	1
	3	1
Derivative instruments:		
Net fair value decrease, net of tax of \$(2) and \$0, respectively	(7) —
Reclassification of losses to net income, net of tax of \$0 and \$0, respectively	1	—
	(6) —
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(3) 1
Comprehensive income	\$312	\$292

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

XCEL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)
(amounts in millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2019	2018
Operating activities		
Net income	\$315	\$291
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	438	387
Nuclear fuel amortization	31	31
Deferred income taxes	26	59
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(20)	(23)
Equity earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries	(9)	(6)
Dividends from unconsolidated subsidiaries	10	9
Share-based compensation expense	19	6
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(114)	(71)
Accrued unbilled revenues	92	159
Inventories	84	118
Other current assets	(33)	1
Accounts payable	4	(42)
Net regulatory assets and liabilities	153	147
Other current liabilities	(36)	(17)
Pension and other employee benefit obligations	(145)	(146)
Other, net	(22)	(16)
Net cash provided by operating activities	793	887
Investing activities		
Utility capital/construction expenditures	(844)	(860)
Purchases of investment securities	(305)	(185)
Proceeds from the sale of investment securities	300	179
Other, net	(3)	(6)
Net cash used in investing activities	(852)	(872)
Financing activities		
Proceeds from short-term borrowings, net	214	211
Proceeds from issuances of long-term debt	392	—
Repayments of long-term debt, including reacquisition premiums	(400)	—
Dividends paid	(187)	(175)
Other, net	(13)	(18)
Net cash provided by financing activities	6	18
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(53)	33
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	147	83
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$94	\$116

Edgar Filing: XCEL ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Cash paid for interest (net of amounts capitalized)	\$ (190)	\$ (181)
---	----------	----------

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing transactions:

Accrued property, plant and equipment additions	\$ 238	\$ 243
Inventory transfers to property, plant and equipment	18	16
Operating lease right-of-use assets	1,659	—
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	20	23
Issuance of common stock for equity awards	17	20

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

6

Table of Contents

XCEL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)
(amounts in millions, except share and per share data)

	March 31, 2019	Dec. 31, 2018
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 94	\$ 147
Accounts receivable, net	974	860
Accrued unbilled revenues	662	755
Inventories	445	548
Regulatory assets	412	464
Derivative instruments	56	87
Prepaid taxes	75	79
Prepayments and other	191	154
Total current assets	2,909	3,094
Property, plant and equipment, net	37,114	36,944
Other assets		
Nuclear decommissioning fund and other investments	2,504	2,317
Regulatory assets	3,180	3,326
Derivative instruments	25	34
Operating lease right-of-use assets	1,619	—
Other	499	272
Total other assets	7,827	5,949
Total assets	\$47,850	\$45,987
Liabilities and Equity		
Current liabilities		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 2	\$ 406
Short-term debt	1,252	1,038
Accounts payable	1,154	1,237
Regulatory liabilities	492	436
Taxes accrued	555	450
Accrued interest	159	174
Dividends payable	208	195
Derivative instruments	53	61
Other	549	463
Total current liabilities	4,424	4,460
Deferred credits and other liabilities		
Deferred income taxes	4,255	4,165
Deferred investment tax credits	52	54
Regulatory liabilities	5,172	5,187
Asset retirement obligations	2,599	2,568
Derivative instruments	122	129

Edgar Filing: XCEL ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Customer advances	200	199
Pension and employee benefit obligations	838	994
Operating lease liabilities	1,529	—
Other	212	206
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	14,979	13,502
Commitments and contingencies		
Capitalization		
Long-term debt	16,118	15,803
Common stock — 1,000,000,000 shares authorized of \$2.50 par value; and 514,667,625 514,036,787 shares outstanding at March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018, respectively	1,287	1,285
Additional paid in capital	6,173	6,168
Retained earnings	4,996	4,893
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(127)	(124)
Total common stockholders' equity	12,329	12,222
Total liabilities and equity	\$47,850	\$45,987

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

7

Table of Contents

XCEL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMMON STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED)
(amounts in millions, shares in thousands)

	Common Stock Issued			Retained	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Par Value	Additional Paid In Capital	Earnings	Other Comprehensive Loss	Common Stockholders' Equity
Three Months Ended March 31, 2019 and 2018						
Balance at Dec. 31, 2017	507,763	\$1,269	\$ 5,898	\$ 4,413	\$ (125)	\$ 11,455
Net income				291		291
Other comprehensive income					1	1
Dividends declared on common stock (\$0.38 per share)				(194)		(194)
Issuances of common stock	921	3	14			17
Repurchases of common stock	(22)	—	(1)			(1)
Share-based compensation			(8)	—		(8)
Balance at March 31, 2018	508,662	\$1,272	\$ 5,903	\$ 4,510	\$ (124)	\$ 11,561
Balance at Dec. 31, 2018	514,037	\$1,285	\$ 6,168	\$ 4,893	\$ (124)	\$ 12,222
Net income				315		315
Other comprehensive loss					(3)	(3)
Dividends declared on common stock (\$0.41 per share)				(210)		(210)
Issuances of common stock	637	2	10			12
Repurchases of common stock	(6)	—	—			—
Share-based compensation			(5)	(2)		(7)
Balance at March 31, 2019	514,668	\$1,287	\$ 6,173	\$ 4,996	\$ (127)	\$ 12,329

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

XCEL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (UNAUDITED)

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments necessary to present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), the financial position of Xcel Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries as of March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018; the results of its operations, including the components of net income and comprehensive income, and changes in stockholders' equity for the three months ended March 31, 2019 and 2018; and its cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2019 and 2018. All adjustments are of a normal, recurring nature, except as otherwise disclosed. Management has also evaluated the impact of events occurring after March 31, 2019 up to the date of issuance of these consolidated financial statements. These statements contain all necessary adjustments and disclosures resulting from that evaluation. The Dec. 31, 2018 balance sheet information has been derived from the audited 2018 consolidated financial statements included in the Xcel Energy Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2018. Notes to the consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC for Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP on an annual basis have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, included in the Xcel Energy Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2018, filed with the SEC on Feb. 22, 2019. Due to the seasonality of Xcel Energy's electric and natural gas sales, interim results are not necessarily an appropriate base from which to project annual results.

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The significant accounting policies set forth in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements in the Xcel Energy Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2018, appropriately represent, in all material respects, the current status of accounting policies and are incorporated herein by reference.

2. Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Issued

Credit Losses — In 2016, the FASB issued Financial Instruments - Credit Losses, Topic 326 (ASC Topic 326), which changes how entities account for credit losses on receivables and certain other assets. The guidance requires use of a current expected loss model, which may result in earlier recognition of credit losses than under previous accounting standards. ASC Topic 326 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after Dec. 15, 2019. Xcel Energy is currently evaluating the impact of adoption of the new standard on its consolidated financial statements.

Recently Adopted

Leases — In 2016, the FASB issued Leases, Topic 842 (ASC Topic 842), which provides new accounting and disclosure guidance for leasing activities, most significantly requiring that operating leases be recognized on the balance sheet. Xcel Energy adopted the guidance on Jan. 1, 2019 utilizing the package of transition practical expedients provided by the new standard, including carrying forward prior conclusions on whether agreements existing before the adoption date contain leases and whether existing leases are operating or finance leases; ASC Topic 842 refers to capital leases as finance leases.

Specifically for land easement contracts, Xcel Energy has elected the practical expedient provided by ASU No. 2018-01 Leases: Land Easement Practical Expedient for Transition to Topic 842, and as a result, only those easement contracts entered on or after Jan. 1, 2019 will be evaluated to determine if lease treatment is appropriate. Xcel Energy also utilized the transition practical expedient offered by ASU No. 2018-11 Leases: Targeted Improvements to implement the standard on a prospective basis. As a result, reporting periods in the consolidated financial statements beginning Jan. 1, 2019 reflect the implementation of ASC Topic 842, while prior periods continue to be reported in accordance with Leases, Topic 840 (ASC Topic 840). Other than first-time recognition of operating leases on its consolidated balance sheet, the implementation of ASC Topic 842 did not have a significant impact on Xcel Energy's consolidated financial statements. Adoption resulted in recognition of approximately \$1.7 billion of operating lease ROU assets and current/noncurrent operating lease liabilities. See Note 10 for leasing disclosures.

3. Selected Balance Sheet Data

(Millions of Dollars)	March 31, 2019	Dec. 31, 2018
Accounts receivable, net		
Accounts receivable	\$1,030	\$ 915
Less allowance for bad debts	(56)	(55)
	\$974	\$ 860

(Millions of Dollars)	March 31, 2019	Dec. 31, 2018
Inventories		
Materials and supplies	\$ 273	\$ 271
Fuel	134	170
Natural gas	38	107
	\$ 445	\$ 548

(Millions of Dollars)	March 31, 2019	Dec. 31, 2018
Property, plant and equipment, net		
Electric plant	\$41,748	\$41,472
Natural gas plant	6,148	6,210
Common and other property	2,182	2,154
Plant to be retired ^(a)	306	322
CWIP	2,287	2,091
Total property, plant and equipment	52,671	52,249
Less accumulated depreciation	(15,961)	(15,659)
Nuclear fuel	2,851	2,771
Less accumulated amortization	(2,447)	(2,417)
	\$37,114	\$36,944

In 2018, the CPUC approved early retirement of PSCo's Comanche Units 1 and 2 in approximately 2022 and 2025, ^(a) respectively. PSCo also expects Craig Unit 1 to be retired early in 2025. Amounts are presented net of accumulated depreciation.

4. Borrowings and Other Financing Instruments

Short-Term Borrowings

Short-Term Debt — Xcel Energy Inc. and its utility subsidiaries meet their short-term liquidity requirements primarily through the issuance of commercial paper and borrowings under their credit facilities and term loan agreements.

Commercial paper and term loan borrowings outstanding for Xcel Energy were as follows:

(Amounts in Millions, Except Interest Rates)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2019	Year Ended Dec. 31, 2018
Borrowing limit	\$3,250	\$3,250
Amount outstanding at period end	1,252	1,038
Average amount outstanding	1,186	788
Maximum amount outstanding	1,403	1,349
Weighted average interest rate, computed on a daily basis	2.68 %	2.34 %
Weighted average interest rate at period end	2.90	2.97

Table of Contents

Letters of Credit — Xcel Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries use letters of credit, generally with terms of one year, to provide financial guarantees for certain operating obligations. At March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018, there were \$51 million and \$49 million, respectively, of letters of credit outstanding under the credit facilities. The contract amounts of these letters of credit approximate their fair value and are subject to fees.

Credit Facilities — In order to use their commercial paper programs to fulfill short-term funding needs, Xcel Energy Inc. and its utility subsidiaries must have revolving credit facilities in place at least equal to the amount of their respective commercial paper borrowing limits and cannot issue commercial paper in an aggregate amount exceeding available capacity under these credit facilities. The lines of credit provide short-term financing in the form of notes payable to banks, letters of credit and back-up support for commercial paper borrowings.

As of March 31, 2019, Xcel Energy Inc. and its utility subsidiaries had the following committed credit facilities available:

(Millions of Dollars)	Credit Facility (a)	Outstanding (b)	Available
Xcel Energy Inc.	\$ 1,000	\$ 327	\$ 673
PSCo	700	249	451
NSP-Minnesota	500	39	461
SPS	400	139	261
NSP-Wisconsin	150	49	101
Total	\$ 2,750	\$ 803	\$ 1,947

(a) Expire in June 2021.

(b) Includes outstanding commercial paper and letters of credit.

All credit facility bank borrowings, outstanding letters of credit and outstanding commercial paper reduce the available capacity under the respective credit facilities. Xcel Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries had no direct advances on the credit facilities outstanding as of March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018.

Term Loan Agreement — In December 2018, Xcel Energy Inc. renewed its \$500 million 364 Day Term Loan Agreement with \$250 million outstanding. In February 2019, Xcel Energy borrowed the remaining amount. No additional capacity remains as loans borrowed and repaid may not be redrawn. The loan is unsecured and matures Dec. 3, 2019. Xcel Energy has an option to request an extension through Dec. 2, 2020. The term loan includes one financial covenant, requiring Xcel Energy's consolidated funded debt to total capitalization ratio to be less than or equal to 65%. Interest is at a rate equal to either (i) the Eurodollar rate, plus 50.0 basis points, or (ii) an alternate base rate. Xcel Energy is also required to pay a commitment fee equal to 10 basis points per annum on the unborrowed portion.

As of March 31, 2019, Xcel Energy Inc.'s term loan borrowings were as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)	Limit	Amount Used	Available
Xcel Energy Inc.	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ —

Bilateral Credit Agreement

On March 28, 2019 NSP-Minnesota entered into a one year uncommitted bilateral credit agreement for up to \$75 million. The credit agreement is limited in use to support letters of credit, and is in addition to the \$500 million facility shown in the table above. As of March 31, 2019 there were no outstanding letters of credit that this agreement was supporting.

Long-Term Borrowings

During the three months ended March 31, 2019, Xcel Energy Inc. and its utility subsidiaries issued the following: PSCo issued \$400 million of 4.05% first mortgage bonds due Sep 15, 2049.

Forward Equity Agreements — In November 2018, Xcel Energy Inc. entered into forward sale agreements in connection with a completed \$459 million public offering of 9.4 million shares of Xcel Energy common stock. The initial forward agreement was for 8.1 million shares with an additional agreement for 1.2 million shares that was exercised at the

option of the banking counterparty. At March 31, 2019, the forward agreements could have been settled with physical delivery of 9.4 million common shares to the banking counterparty in exchange for cash of \$454 million. The forward instruments could also have been settled at March 31, 2019 with delivery of approximately \$64 million of cash or approximately 1.1 million shares of common stock to the counterparty, if Xcel Energy unilaterally elected net cash or net share settlement, respectively. The forward price used to determine amounts due at settlement is calculated based on the November 2018 public offering price for Xcel Energy's common stock of \$49.00, increased for the overnight bank funding rate, less a spread of 0.75% and less expected dividends on Xcel Energy's common stock during the period the instruments are outstanding.

Xcel Energy may settle the agreements at any time up to the maturity date of February 7, 2020. Depending on settlement timing, cash proceeds are expected to be approximately \$450 million to \$455 million.

Forward equity instruments were recognized within stockholders' equity at fair value at execution of the agreements, and will not be subsequently adjusted until settlement.

Other Equity — Xcel Energy issued \$9.5 million and \$38.5 million of equity through the DRIP program during the three months ended March 31, 2019 and year ended Dec. 31, 2018, respectively. The program allows stockholders to elect dividend reinvestment in Xcel Energy common stock through a non-cash transaction.

5. Revenues

Revenue is classified by the type of goods/services rendered and market/customer type. Xcel Energy's operating revenues consists of the following:

(Millions of Dollars)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2019			
	Electric	Natural Gas	All Other	Total
Major revenue types				
Revenue from contracts with customers:				
Residential	\$727	\$ 495	\$ 9	\$1,231
C&I	1,140	255	9	1,404
Other	32	—	1	33
Total retail	1,899	750	19	2,668
Wholesale	189	—	—	189
Transmission	131	—	—	131
Other	18	34	—	52
Total revenue from contracts with customers	2,237	784	19	3,040
Alternative revenue and other	88	10	3	101
Total revenues	\$2,325	\$ 794	\$ 22	\$3,141

Table of Contents

(Millions of Dollars)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2018			
	Electric	Natural Gas	All Other	Total
Major revenue types				
Revenue from contracts with customers:				
Residential	\$687	\$ 390	\$ 9	\$1,086
C&I	1,112	207	7	1,326
Other	33	—	1	34
Total retail	1,832	597	17	2,446
Wholesale	188	—	—	188
Transmission	123	—	—	123
Other	39	28	—	67
Total revenue from contracts with customers	2,182	625	17	2,824
Alternative revenue and other	88	37	2	127
Total revenues	\$2,270	\$ 662	\$ 19	\$2,951

6. Income Taxes

Except to the extent noted below, Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements included in Xcel Energy's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2018 appropriately represents, in all material respects, the current status of other income tax matters, and are incorporated herein by reference.

Total income tax expense from operations differs from the amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate to income before income tax expense. The following reconciles such differences:

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2019	2018
Federal statutory rate	21.0 %	21.0 %
State tax (net of federal tax effect)	5.0	4.9
Decreases:		
Wind PTCs	(8.6)	(6.0)
Regulatory differences ^(a)	(5.7)	(1.4)
Other tax credits and allowances (net)	(2.7)	(1.4)
Other (net)	(1.6)	(0.2)
Effective income tax rate	7.4 %	16.9 %

Amounts represent differences between regulatory and income tax requirements. Regulatory treatment may result in the recognition or deferral of income tax expense (e.g., AFUDC - Equity, certain deferred taxes, etc.). Xcel Energy utilizes ARAM to flow back excess deferred taxes related to tax reform. Quarter-over-quarter change primarily ^(a) relates to deferral of ARAM benefits in 2018, which occurred as a result of pending regulatory decisions. Treatment of most tax reform items, including ARAM, was established prior to the first quarter of 2019, resulting in a reduction in deferred amounts. Income tax benefits associated with ARAM are offset by corresponding revenue reductions and additional prepaid pension asset amortization.

Federal Audits — Statute of limitations applicable to Xcel Energy's consolidated federal income tax returns expire as follows:

Tax Year(s)	Expiration
2009 - 2013	October 2019
2014 - 2016	September 2020
2017	September 2021

In the third quarter of 2015, the IRS commenced an examination of tax years 2012 and 2013. In the third quarter of 2017, the IRS concluded the audit of tax years 2012 and 2013 and proposed an adjustment that would impact Xcel Energy's NOL and ETR. Xcel Energy filed a protest with the IRS. As of March 31, 2019, the case has been forwarded to the Office of Appeals and Xcel Energy has recognized its best estimate of income tax expense that will result from a final resolution of this issue; however, the outcome and timing of a resolution is unknown.

In the fourth quarter of 2018, the IRS began an audit of tax years 2014 - 2016. As of March 31, 2019, no adjustments have been proposed.

State Audits — Xcel Energy files consolidated state tax returns based on income in its major operating jurisdictions and various other state income-based tax returns. As of March 31, 2019, Xcel Energy's earliest open tax years (subject to examination by state taxing authorities in its major operating jurisdictions) were as follows:

State	Year
Colorado	2009
Minnesota	2009
Texas	2009
Wisconsin	2014

In the fourth quarter of 2018, Wisconsin began an audit of tax years 2014 - 2016. As of March 31, 2019, no material adjustments have been proposed.

No other state income tax audits were in progress as of March 31, 2019.

Unrecognized Benefits — Unrecognized tax benefit balance includes permanent tax positions, which if recognized would affect the annual ETR. In addition, the unrecognized tax benefit balance includes temporary tax positions for which the ultimate deductibility is highly certain, but for which there is uncertainty about the timing of such deductibility. A change in the period of deductibility would not affect the ETR but would accelerate the payment to the taxing authority to an earlier period.

Unrecognized tax benefits - permanent vs. temporary:

(Millions of Dollars)	March 31, Dec. 31,	
	2019	2018
Unrecognized tax benefit — Permanent tax positions	\$ 27	\$ 28
Unrecognized tax benefit — Temporary tax positions	11	9
Total unrecognized tax benefit	\$ 38	\$ 37

Unrecognized tax benefits were reduced by tax benefits associated with NOL and tax credit carryforwards:

(Millions of Dollars)	March 31, Dec. 31,	
	2019	2018
NOL and tax credit carryforwards	\$ (35)	\$ (35)

Net deferred tax liability associated with the unrecognized tax benefit amounts and related NOLs and tax credits carryforwards were \$22 million at March 31, 2019 and \$24 million at Dec. 31, 2018.

As the IRS Appeals and federal and state audits progress and other state audits resume, it is reasonably possible that the amount of unrecognized tax benefit could decrease up to approximately \$28 million in the next 12 months.

Payables for interest related to unrecognized tax benefits were not material and no amounts were accrued for penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as of March 31, 2019 or Dec. 31, 2018.

Table of Contents

7. Earnings Per Share

Basic EPS was computed by dividing the earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS was computed by dividing the earnings available to common shareholders by the diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other agreements to issue common stock (i.e., common stock equivalents) were settled. The weighted average number of potentially dilutive shares outstanding used to calculate diluted EPS is calculated using the treasury stock method.

Common Stock Equivalents — Xcel Energy Inc. has common stock equivalents related to forward equity agreements and time based equity compensation awards.

Stock equivalent units granted to Xcel Energy Inc.'s Board of Directors are included in common shares outstanding upon grant date as there is no further service, performance or market condition associated with these awards.

Restricted stock issued to employees under the Xcel Energy Inc. Executive Annual Incentive Award Plan is included in common shares outstanding when granted.

Share-based compensation arrangements for which there is currently no dilutive impact to EPS include the following:

- Equity awards subject to a performance condition; included in common shares outstanding when all necessary conditions for settlement have been satisfied by the end of the reporting period; and,

- Liability awards subject to a performance condition; any portions settled in shares are included in common shares outstanding upon settlement.

Diluted common shares outstanding included common stock equivalents of 1.2 million and 0.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively.

8. Fair Value of Financial Assets and Liabilities

Fair Value Measurements

Accounting guidance for fair value measurements and disclosures provides a single definition of fair value and requires disclosures about assets and liabilities measured at fair value. A hierarchical framework for disclosing the observability of the inputs utilized in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value is established by this guidance.

Level 1 — Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date. The types of assets and liabilities included in Level 1 are highly liquid and actively traded instruments with quoted prices.

Level 2 — Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, but are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date. The types of assets and liabilities included in Level 2 are typically either comparable to actively traded securities or contracts, or priced with models using highly observable inputs.

Level 3 — Significant inputs to pricing have little or no observability as of the reporting date. The types of assets and liabilities included in Level 3 are those valued with models requiring significant management judgment or estimation.

Specific valuation methods include the following:

Cash equivalents — The fair values of cash equivalents are generally based on cost plus accrued interest; money market funds are measured using quoted NAV.

Investments in equity securities and other funds — Equity securities are valued using quoted prices in active markets.

The fair values for commingled funds are measured using NAVs. The investments in commingled funds may be redeemed for NAV with proper notice. Private equity commingled fund investments require approval of the fund for any unscheduled redemption, and such redemptions may be approved or denied by the fund at its sole discretion.

Unscheduled distributions from real estate commingled funds investments may be redeemed with proper notice, however, withdrawals may be delayed or discounted as a result of fund illiquidity.

Investments in debt securities — Fair values for debt securities are determined by a third party pricing service using recent trades and observable spreads from benchmark interest rates for similar securities.

Interest rate derivatives — Fair values of interest rate derivatives are based on broker quotes that utilize current market interest rate forecasts.

Commodity derivatives — Methods used to measure the fair value of commodity derivative forwards and options generally utilize observable forward prices and volatilities, as well as observable pricing adjustments for specific

delivery locations, and are generally assigned a Level 2 classification. When contractual settlements relate to delivery locations for which pricing is relatively unobservable, or extend to periods beyond those readily observable on active exchanges or quoted by brokers, the significance of the use of less observable inputs on a valuation is evaluated and may result in Level 3 classification.

Electric commodity derivatives held by NSP-Minnesota and SPS include transmission congestion instruments, generally referred to as FTRs. FTRs purchased from a RTO are financial instruments that entitle or obligate the holder to monthly revenues or charges based on transmission congestion across a given transmission path. The value of an FTR is derived from, and designed to offset, the cost of transmission congestion. In addition to overall transmission load, congestion is also influenced by the operating schedules of power plants and the consumption of electricity pertinent to a given transmission path. Unplanned plant outages, scheduled plant maintenance, changes in the relative costs of fuels used in generation, weather and overall changes in demand for electricity can each impact the operating schedules of the power plants on the transmission grid and the value of an FTR.

If forecasted costs of electric transmission congestion increase or decrease for a given FTR path, the value of that particular FTR instrument will likewise increase or decrease. Given the limited observability of important inputs to the value of FTRs between auction processes, including expected plant operating schedules and retail and wholesale demand, fair value measurements for FTRs have been assigned a Level 3.

Non-trading monthly FTR settlements are included in fuel and purchased energy cost recovery mechanisms as applicable in each jurisdiction, and therefore changes in the fair value of the yet to be settled portions of most FTRs are deferred as a regulatory asset or liability. Given this regulatory treatment and the limited magnitude of FTRs relative to the electric utility operations of NSP-Minnesota and SPS, the numerous unobservable quantitative inputs pertinent to the value of FTRs are insignificant to the consolidated financial statements of Xcel Energy.

Table of Contents

Non-Derivative Fair Value Measurements

The NRC requires NSP-Minnesota to maintain a portfolio of investments to fund the costs of decommissioning its nuclear generating plants. Assets of the nuclear decommissioning fund are legally restricted for the purpose of decommissioning these facilities. The fund contains cash equivalents, debt securities, equity securities and other investments. NSP-Minnesota uses the MPUC approved asset allocation for the escrow and investment targets by asset class for both the escrow and qualified trust.

NSP-Minnesota recognizes the costs of funding the decommissioning over the lives of the nuclear plants, assuming rate recovery of all costs. Realized and unrealized gains on fund investments over the life of the fund are deferred as an offset of NSP-Minnesota's regulatory asset for nuclear decommissioning costs. Consequently, any realized and unrealized gains and losses on securities in the nuclear decommissioning fund are deferred as a component of the regulatory asset.

Unrealized gains for the nuclear decommissioning fund were \$553 million and \$450 million as of March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018, respectively, and unrealized losses were \$15 million and \$45 million as of March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018, respectively.

Non-derivative instruments with recurring fair value measurements in the nuclear decommissioning fund:

(Millions of Dollars)	March 31, 2019					
	Cost	Fair Value			NAV	Total
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Nuclear decommissioning fund ^(a)						
Cash equivalents	\$36	\$36	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$36
Commingled funds	774	—	—	—	937	937
Debt securities	484	—	464	16	—	480
Equity securities	407	786	—	—	—	786
Total	\$1,701	\$822	\$464	\$ 16	\$937	\$2,239

Reported in nuclear decommissioning fund and other investments on the consolidated balance sheet, which also ^(a) includes \$141 million of equity investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and \$124 million of rabbi trust assets and miscellaneous investments.

(Millions of Dollars)	Dec. 31, 2018					
	Cost	Fair Value			NAV	Total
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3 ^(b)		
Nuclear decommissioning fund ^(a)						
Cash equivalents	\$24	\$24	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$24
Commingled funds	758	79	—	—	819	898
Debt securities	466	—	436	—	—	436
Equity securities	401	697	—	—	—	697
Total	\$1,649	\$800	\$436	\$—	-\$819	\$2,055

Reported in nuclear decommissioning fund and other investments on the consolidated balance sheet, which also ^(a) includes \$141 million of equity investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and \$121 million of rabbi trust assets and miscellaneous investments.

^(b) For the year ended Dec. 31, 2018, there were no Level 3 nuclear decommissioning fund investments. For the three months ended March 31, 2019 and 2018, there was no transfer of amounts between levels.

Contractual maturity dates of debt securities in the nuclear decommissioning fund as of March 31, 2019:

(Millions of Dollars)	Final Contractual Maturity
	Total

	Due	Due	Due	Due
	in	in	in	after
	1	5	10	10
	to	to		
	5	10		
	Years	Years	Years	
	or			
	Less			
Debt securities	\$1	\$111	\$247	\$121
				\$480

Rabbi Trusts

Xcel Energy has established rabbi trusts to provide partial funding for future distributions of its supplemental executive retirement plan and deferred compensation plan.

Cost and fair value of assets held in rabbi trusts:

	March 31, 2019				
	Fair Value				
(Millions of Dollars)	Cost	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total

Rabbi Trusts (a)

Cash equivalents	\$13	\$13	\$—	\$—	—\$ 13
Mutual funds	52	56	—	—	56
Total	\$65	\$69	\$—	\$—	—\$ 69

Dec. 31, 2018

	Fair Value				
(Millions of Dollars)	Cost	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total

Rabbi Trusts (a)

Cash equivalents	\$16	\$16	\$—	\$—	—\$ 16
Mutual funds	52	51	—	—	51
Total	\$68	\$67	\$—	\$—	—\$ 67

(a) Reported in nuclear decommissioning fund and other investments on the consolidated balance sheet.

Derivative Instruments Fair Value Measurements

Xcel Energy enters into derivative instruments, including forward contracts, futures, swaps and options, for trading purposes and to manage risk in connection with changes in interest rates, utility commodity prices and vehicle fuel prices.

Interest Rate Derivatives — Xcel Energy enters into various instruments that effectively fix the interest payments on certain floating rate debt obligations or effectively fix the yield or price on a specified benchmark interest rate for an anticipated debt issuance for a specific period. These derivative instruments are generally designated as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes.

As of March 31, 2019, accumulated other comprehensive losses related to interest rate derivatives included \$3 million of net losses expected to be reclassified into earnings during the next 12 months as the hedged transactions impact earnings.

As of March 31, 2019, Xcel Energy had unsettled interest rate swaps outstanding with a notional amount of \$300 million. These interest rate derivatives were designated as cash flow hedges, and as such, changes in fair value are recorded to other comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

Wholesale and Commodity Trading Risk — Xcel Energy Inc.'s utility subsidiaries conduct various wholesale and commodity trading activities, including the purchase and sale of electric capacity, energy, energy-related instruments and natural gas-related instruments, including derivatives. Xcel Energy is allowed to conduct these activities within guidelines and limitations as approved by its risk management committee, comprised of management personnel not directly involved in activities governed by this policy.

Commodity Derivatives — Xcel Energy enters into derivative instruments to manage variability of future cash flows from changes in commodity prices in its electric and natural gas operations, as well as for trading purposes. This could include the purchase or sale of energy or energy-related products, natural gas to generate electric energy, natural gas for resale, FTRs, vehicle fuel and weather derivatives.

Xcel Energy may enter into derivative instruments that mitigate commodity price risk on behalf of electric and natural gas customers, but may not be designated as qualifying hedging transactions. Changes in the fair value of non-trading commodity derivative instruments are recorded in other comprehensive income or deferred as a regulatory asset or liability. The classification as a regulatory asset or liability is based on commission approved regulatory recovery mechanisms.

As of March 31, 2019, Xcel Energy had no commodity contracts designated as cash flow hedges, and there were no net gains related to commodity derivative cash flow hedges recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive losses or related amounts expected to be reclassified into earnings during the next 12 months.

Xcel Energy also enters into commodity derivative instruments for trading purposes not directly related to commodity price risks associated with serving its electric and natural gas customers. Changes in the fair value of these commodity derivatives are recorded in electric operating revenues, net of amounts credited to customers under margin-sharing mechanisms.

Gross notional amounts of commodity forwards, options and FTRs:

(Amounts in Millions) ^{(a)(b)}	March 31, Dec. 31,	
	2019	2018
Megawatt hours of electricity	71	87
Million British thermal units of natural gas	62	92

^(a) Not reflective of net positions in the underlying commodities.

^(b) Notional amounts for options included on a gross basis, but are weighted for the probability of exercise.

Consideration of Credit Risk and Concentrations — Xcel Energy continuously monitors the creditworthiness of counterparties to its interest rate derivatives and commodity derivative contracts prior to settlement, and assesses each counterparty's ability to perform on the transactions set forth in the contracts. Impact of credit risk was immaterial to the fair value of unsettled commodity derivatives presented in the consolidated balance sheets. Xcel Energy's utility subsidiaries' most significant concentrations of credit risk with particular entities or industries are contracts with counterparties to their wholesale, trading and non-trading commodity activities.

As of March 31, 2019, five of Xcel Energy's 10 most significant counterparties for these activities, comprising \$88 million or 40% of this credit exposure, had investment grade credit ratings from S&P Global Ratings, Moody's Investor Services or Fitch Ratings. Five of the 10 most significant counterparties, comprising \$30 million or 13% of this credit exposure, were not rated by these external agencies, but based on Xcel Energy's internal analysis, had credit quality consistent with investment grade. All ten of these significant counterparties are municipal or cooperative electric entities or other utilities.

Impact of derivative activity:

Pre-Tax Fair Value
Gains (Losses)
Recognized
During the Period
in:

(Millions of Dollars)

Edgar Filing: XCEL ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Regulatory (Assets) and Liabilities		
Three Months Ended March 31, 2019				
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges				
Interest rate	\$ (9)	\$ —		
Total	\$ (9)	\$ —		
Other derivative instruments				
Electric commodity	\$ —	\$ 12		
Natural gas commodity	—	4		
Total	\$ —	\$ 16		
Three Months Ended March 31, 2018				
Other derivative instruments				
Electric commodity	\$ —	\$ (4)		
Natural gas commodity	—	1		
Total	\$ —	\$ (3)		
	Pre-Tax (Gains)			
	Losses			
	Reclassified into		Pre-Tax	
	Income		Gains	
	During the Period		(Losses)	
	from:		Recognized	
	Accumulated		During the	
	Other Regulatory		Period in	
(Millions of Dollars)	Assets and		Income	
	(Liabilities)			
	Loss			
Three Months Ended March 31, 2019				
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges				
Interest rate	\$ 1 (a)	\$ —	\$ —	
Total	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ —	
Other derivative instruments				
Commodity trading	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1	(b)
Electric commodity	—	1	(c) —	
Natural gas commodity	—	(1)	(d) (3)	(d)
Total	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (2)	
Three Months Ended March 31, 2018				
Other derivative instruments				
Commodity trading	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3	(b)
Electric commodity	—	3	(c) —	
Natural gas commodity	—	2	(d) (2)	(d)
Total	\$ —	\$ 5	\$ 1	

(a) Recorded to interest charges.

(b) Recorded to electric operating revenues. Portions of these gains and losses are subject to sharing with electric customers through margin-sharing mechanisms and deducted from gross revenue, as appropriate.

(c) Recorded to electric fuel and purchased power. These derivative settlement gains and losses are shared with electric customers through fuel and purchased energy cost-recovery mechanisms, and reclassified out of income as regulatory assets or liabilities, as appropriate.

(d)

Amounts for the three months ended March 31, 2019 and 2018 included no settlement gains or losses and \$1 million of settlement losses, respectively, on derivatives entered to mitigate natural gas price risk for electric generation recorded to electric fuel and purchased power, subject to cost-recovery mechanisms and reclassified to a regulatory asset, as appropriate. Remaining settlement losses for the three months ended March 31, 2019 and 2018 related to natural gas operations and were recorded to cost of natural gas sold and transported. Losses are subject to cost-recovery mechanisms and reclassified out of income to a regulatory asset, as appropriate.

Table of Contents

Xcel Energy had no derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges during the three months ended March 31, 2019 and 2018.

Credit Related Contingent Features — Contract provisions for derivative instruments that the utility subsidiaries enter, including those accounted for as normal purchase-normal sale contracts and therefore not reflected on the consolidated balance sheets, may require the posting of collateral or settlement of the contracts for various reasons, including if the applicable utility subsidiary's credit ratings are downgraded below its investment grade credit rating by any of the major credit rating agencies, or for cross default contractual provisions if there was a failure under other financing arrangements related to payment terms or other covenants. As of March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018, less than \$1 million of derivative instruments were in a liability position with such underlying contract provisions.

Certain derivative instruments are also subject to contract provisions that contain adequate assurance clauses. Provisions allow counterparties to seek performance assurance, including cash collateral, in the event that a given utility subsidiary's ability to fulfill its contractual obligations is reasonably expected to be impaired. Xcel Energy had less than \$1 million of collateral posted related to adequate assurance clauses in derivative contracts as of March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018.

Recurring Fair Value Measurements — Derivative assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

(Millions of Dollars)	March 31, 2019						Dec. 31, 2018					
	Fair Value			Fair Value	Netting (a)	Total	Fair Value			Fair Value	Netting (a)	Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3				Level 1	Level 2	Level 3			
Current derivative assets												
Other derivative instruments:												
Commodity trading	\$1	\$72	\$13	\$86	\$(41)	\$45	\$4	\$92	\$2	\$98	\$(44)	\$54
Electric commodity	—	—	8	8	—	8	—	—	25	25	—	25
Natural gas commodity	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	—	4	—	4
Total current derivative assets	\$1	\$72	\$21	\$94	\$(41)	\$53	\$4	\$96	\$27	\$127	\$(44)	\$83
PPAs (b)						3						4
Current derivative instruments						\$56						\$87
Noncurrent derivative assets												
Other derivative instruments:												
Commodity trading	\$—	\$36	\$—	\$36	\$(26)	\$10	\$—	\$27	\$5	\$32	\$(14)	\$18
Total noncurrent derivative assets	\$—	\$36	\$—	\$36	\$(26)	\$10	\$—	\$27	\$5	\$32	\$(14)	\$18
PPAs (b)						15						16
Noncurrent derivative instruments						\$25						\$34
(Millions of Dollars)	March 31, 2019						Dec. 31, 2018					
	Fair Value			Fair Value	Netting (a)	Total	Fair Value			Fair Value	Netting (a)	Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3				Level 1	Level 2	Level 3			
Current derivative liabilities												
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges:												
Interest rate	\$—	\$15	\$—	\$15	\$—	\$15	\$—	\$7	\$—	\$7	\$—	\$7
Other derivative instruments:												
Commodity trading	1	62	16	79	(60)	19	4	88	2	94	(60)	34
Total current derivative liabilities	\$1	\$77	\$16	\$94	\$(60)	\$34	\$4	\$95	\$2	\$101	\$(60)	\$41
PPAs (b)						19						20
Current derivative instruments						\$53						\$61
Noncurrent derivative liabilities												
Other derivative instruments:												

Edgar Filing: XCEL ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Commodity trading	\$1	\$16	\$12	\$29	\$5	\$34	\$—	\$18	\$1	\$19	\$17	\$36
Total noncurrent derivative liabilities	\$1	\$16	\$12	\$29	\$5	34	\$—	\$18	\$1	\$19	\$17	36
PPAs ^(b)						88						93
Noncurrent derivative instruments						\$122						\$129

Xcel Energy nets derivative instruments and related collateral in its consolidated balance sheet when supported by a legally enforceable master netting agreement, and all derivative instruments and related collateral amounts were subject to master netting agreements at March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018. At both March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31,

^(a) 2018, derivative assets and liabilities include \$32 million of obligations to return cash collateral. At March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018, derivative assets and liabilities include rights to reclaim cash collateral of \$19 million and \$15 million, respectively. Counterparty netting amounts presented exclude settlement receivables and payables and non-derivative amounts that may be subject to the same master netting agreements.

During 2006, Xcel Energy qualified these contracts under the normal purchase exception. Based on this

^(b) qualification, contracts are no longer adjusted to fair value and the previous carrying value of these contracts is being amortized over the remaining contract lives along with the offsetting regulatory assets and liabilities.

Table of Contents

Changes in Level 3 commodity derivatives:

(Millions of Dollars)	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2019	2018
Balance at Jan. 1	\$29	\$35
Purchases	4	1
Settlements	(11)	(12)
Net transactions recorded during the period:		
(Losses) gains recognized in earnings ^(a)	(18)	2
Net losses recognized as regulatory assets and liabilities	(11)	(7)
Balance at March 31	\$(7)	\$19

^(a) These amounts relate to commodity derivatives held at the end of the period.

Xcel Energy recognizes transfers between fair value hierarchy levels as of the beginning of each period. There were no transfers of amounts between levels for derivative instruments for the three months ended March 31, 2019 and 2018.

Fair Value of Long-Term Debt

Other financial instruments for which the carrying amount did not equal fair value:

(Millions of Dollars)	March 31, 2019		Dec. 31, 2018	
	Carrying Fair Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Fair Amount	Fair Value
Long-term debt, including current portion	\$16,120	\$17,207	\$16,209	\$16,755

Fair value of Xcel Energy's long-term debt is estimated based on recent trades and observable spreads from benchmark interest rates for similar securities. Fair value estimates are based on information available to management as of March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018, and given the observability of the inputs, fair values presented for long-term debt were assigned as Level 2.

9. Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost (Credit)

(Millions of Dollars)	Three Months Ended March 31			
	2019	2018	2019	2018
	Pension Benefits		Postretirement Health Care Benefits	
Service cost	\$22	\$24	\$ —	\$ 1
Interest cost ^(a)	36	33	6	5
Expected return on plan assets ^(a)	(51)	(52)	(5)	(6)
Amortization of prior service credit ^(a)	(1)	(1)	(3)	(3)
Amortization of net loss ^(a)	22	27	1	2
Net periodic benefit cost (credit)	28	31	(1)	(1)
(Costs) credits not recognized due to the effects of regulation	1	—	—	—
Net benefit cost (credit) recognized for financial reporting	\$29	\$31	\$(1)	\$(1)

^(a) Components of net periodic cost other than the service cost component are included in the line item "other expense, net" in the consolidated statement of income or capitalized on the consolidated balance sheet as a regulatory asset.

In January 2019, contributions of \$150 million were made across four of Xcel Energy's pension plans. Xcel Energy does not expect additional pension contributions during 2019.

10. Commitments and Contingencies

The following include commitments, contingencies and unresolved contingencies that are material to Xcel Energy's financial position.

Legal

Xcel Energy is involved in various litigation matters that are being defended and handled in the ordinary course of business. The assessment of whether a loss is probable or is a reasonable possibility, and whether the loss or a range of loss is estimable, often involves a series of complex judgments about future events. Management maintains accruals for such losses that are probable of being incurred and subject to reasonable estimation. Management is sometimes unable to estimate an amount or range of a reasonably possible loss in certain situations, including but not limited to when (1) the damages sought are indeterminate, (2) the proceedings are in the early stages, or (3) the matters involve novel or unsettled legal theories. In such cases, there is considerable uncertainty regarding the timing or ultimate resolution of such matters, including a possible eventual loss. For current proceedings not specifically reported herein, management does not anticipate that the ultimate liabilities, if any, arising from such current proceedings would have a material effect on Xcel Energy's financial statements. Unless otherwise required by GAAP, legal fees are expensed as incurred.

Gas Trading Litigation — e prime is a wholly owned subsidiary of Xcel Energy. e prime was in the business of natural gas trading and marketing but has not engaged in natural gas trading or marketing activities since 2003. Multiple lawsuits seeking monetary damages were commenced against e prime and its affiliates, including Xcel Energy, between 2003 and 2009 alleging fraud and anticompetitive activities in conspiring to restrain the trade of natural gas and manipulate natural gas prices. Cases were all consolidated in the U.S. District Court in Nevada.

Two cases remain active which include an MDL matter consisting of a Colorado purported class (Breckenridge) and a Wisconsin purported class (Arandell Corp.).

Breckenridge/Colorado - The MDL panel remanded Breckenridge back to the U.S. District Court in Colorado.

Arandell Corp. - In February 2019, Xcel Energy filed a no opposition motion to have the case remanded back to the U.S. District Court in Wisconsin. The motion will be heard in May 2019.

Xcel Energy has concluded that a loss is remote for both remaining lawsuits.

Line Extension Disputes — In December 2015, the DRC filed a lawsuit seeking monetary damages in the Denver District Court, stating PSCo failed to award proper allowances and refunds for line extensions to new developments pursuant to the terms of electric and gas service agreements. The dispute involves claims by over fifty developers. In February 2018, the Colorado Supreme Court denied DRC's petition to appeal the Denver District Court's dismissal of the lawsuit, effectively terminating this litigation. However, in January 2018, DRC filed a new lawsuit in Boulder County District Court, asserting a single claim that PSCo was required to file its line extension agreements with the CPUC but failed to do so.

Table of Contents

This claim is substantially similar to the arguments previously raised by DRC. PSCo filed a motion to dismiss this claim, which was granted in May 2018. DRC subsequently filed an appeal to the Colorado Court of Appeals with its opening brief in January 2019 and PSCo filed its answer brief in February 2019. DRC's Answer-Reply Brief was filed March 18, 2019. PSCo filed a limited final Reply Brief on April 8, 2019 and the DRC subsequently requested an oral argument. It is uncertain when a decision will be rendered.

PSCo has concluded that a loss is remote with respect to both of these matters as the service agreements were developed to implement CPUC approved tariffs and PSCo has complied with the tariff provisions. If a loss were sustained, PSCo believes it would be allowed to recover costs through traditional regulatory mechanisms. Amount or range in dispute is presently unknown and no accrual has been recorded for this matter.

Rate Matters

NSP-Minnesota — Sherco — In NSP-Minnesota's 2013 fuel reconciliation filing, the MPUC made recovery of replacement power costs associated with the 2011 incident at its Sherco Unit 3 plant provisional and subject to further review following conclusion of litigation commenced by NSP-Minnesota, SMMPA (Co-owner of Sherco Unit 3) and insurance companies against GE.

In 2018, NSP-Minnesota and SMMPA reached a settlement with GE. NSP-Minnesota has notified the MPUC of its proposal to refund the GE settlement proceeds back to customers through the FCA.

The insurance providers continued their litigation against GE and the case went to trial. In 2018, GE prevailed in the lawsuit with the insurance companies, however, the jury found comparable fault, finding that GE was 52% and NSP-Minnesota was 48% at fault. At that point in the litigation, NSP-Minnesota was no longer involved in the case and was not present to make arguments about its role in the event. The specific issue leading to the fault apportionment was also not before the jury and not relevant to the outcome of the trial.

In January 2019, the DOC recommended that NSP-Minnesota refund \$20 million of previously recovered purchased power costs to its customers, based on the jury's apportionment of fault. The OAG recommended the MPUC withhold any decision until the underlying litigation by the insurance providers (currently under appeal) is concluded. The DOC subsequently filed comments agreeing with the OAG's recommendation to withhold a decision pending the outcome of any appeals. NSP-Minnesota filed reply comments arguing that the DOC recommendations are without merit and that it acted prudently in operating the plant and its settlement with GE was reasonable.

In March 2019, MPUC approved NSP-Minnesota's proposal to refund the GE settlement proceeds back to customers through the FCA. It also decided to withhold any decision as to NSP-Minnesota's prudence in connection with the incident at Sherco Unit 3 until after conclusion of the pending litigation between GE and NSP-Minnesota's insurers.

MISO ROE Complaints — In November 2013 and February 2015, customers filed complaints against MISO TOs including NSP-Minnesota and NSP-Wisconsin. The first complaint argued for a reduction in the base ROE in MISO transmission formula rates from 12.38% to 9.15%, and removal of ROE adders (including those for RTO membership). The second complaint sought to reduce base ROE from 12.38% to 8.67%.

In September 2016, the FERC issued an order granting a 10.32% base ROE (10.82% with the RTO adder) effective for the first complaint period of Nov. 12, 2013 to Feb. 11, 2015 and subsequent to the date of the order. The D.C. Circuit subsequently vacated and remanded FERC Opinion No. 531, which had established the ROE methodology on which the September 2016 FERC order was based.

In October 2018, the FERC issued an ROE order that addressed the D.C. Circuit's actions. Under a new proposed two step ROE approach, the FERC indicated an intention to dismiss an ROE complaint if the existing ROE falls within the range of just and reasonable ROEs based on equal weighting of the DCF, CAPM, and Expected Earnings models. The FERC proposed that if necessary, it would then set a new ROE by averaging the results of these models plus a Risk Premium model.

The FERC subsequently made preliminary determinations in a November 2018 order that the MISO TO's base ROE in effect for the first complaint period (12.38%) was outside the range of reasonableness, and should be reduced. The FERC indicated its preliminary analysis using the new ROE approach resulted in a base ROE of 10.28% for the first complaint period, compared to the previously ordered base ROE of 10.32%. FERC ordered additional briefings on the

new methodology, which were filed in February and April 2019. The FERC is expected to act no earlier than the second half of 2019. NSP-Minnesota has recognized a current refund liability consistent with its best estimate of the final ROE.

On March 21, 2019, FERC announced a NOI seeking public comments on whether, and if so how, to revise ROE policies in light of the D.C. Circuit Court decision. FERC also initiated a NOI on whether to revise its policies on incentives for electric transmission investments, including the RTO membership incentive. Initial comments in both NOIs are due in June 2019, with reply comments due in July 2019. No final FERC action is expected before the second half of 2019.

SPP OATT Upgrade Costs — Under the SPP OATT, costs of transmission upgrades may be recovered from other SPP customers whose transmission service depends on capacity enabled by the upgrade. SPP had not been charging its customers for these upgrades, even though the SPP OATT had allowed SPP to do so since 2008. In 2016, the FERC granted SPP's request to recover these previously unbilled charges and SPP subsequently billed SPS approximately \$13 million.

In July 2018, SPS' appeal to the D.C. Circuit over the FERC rulings granting SPP the right to recover these previously unbilled charges was remanded to the FERC. In February 2019, after submission of additional briefs, the FERC reversed its 2016 decision and ordered SPP to refund the charges retroactively collected from its transmission customers, including SPS, related to periods before Sept. 2015. On April 1, 2019, several parties, including SPP, filed requests for rehearing. The timing of a FERC response to the rehearing requests is uncertain. The refunds are expected to be given back to SPS customers through future rates.

In October 2017, SPS filed a separate complaint against SPP asserting that SPP has assessed upgrade charges to SPS in violation of the SPP OATT. The FERC granted a rehearing for further consideration in May 2018. The timing of FERC action on the SPS rehearing is uncertain. If SPS' complaint results in additional charges or refunds, SPS will seek to recover or refund the amounts through future SPS customer rates.

Environmental

MGP Sites

Ashland MGP Site — NSP-Wisconsin was named a responsible party for contamination at the Ashland/Northern States Power Lakefront Superfund Site (the Site) in Ashland, Wisconsin. Remediation and restoration activities are anticipated to be completed in 2019 and groundwater treatment activities will continue for many years.

The current cost estimate for remediation and restoration of the entire site is approximately \$190 million. At both March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018, NSP-Wisconsin had a total liability of \$27 million for the entire site.

Table of Contents

NSP-Wisconsin has deferred the unrecovered portion of the estimated Site remediation costs as a regulatory asset. The PSCW has authorized NSP-Wisconsin rate recovery for all remediation costs incurred at the Site. In 2012, the PSCW agreed to allow NSP-Wisconsin to pre-collect certain costs, to amortize costs over 10 years and to apply a 3% carrying cost to the unamortized regulatory asset.

MGP, Landfill or Disposal Sites — Xcel Energy is currently investigating or remediating 12 MGP, landfill or other disposal sites across its service territories, in addition to the Ashland MGP Site, and these activities will continue through at least 2020. Xcel Energy accrued \$9 million as of March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018, respectively, for these sites. There may be insurance recovery and/or recovery from other potentially responsible parties, offsetting a portion of the costs incurred.

Environmental Requirements — Water and Waste

Coal Ash Regulation — Xcel Energy's operations are subject to federal and state laws that impose requirements for handling, storage, treatment and disposal of solid waste. In 2015, the EPA published the CCR Rule. Litigation was brought challenging the rule in the D.C. Circuit.

Under the CCR Rule, utilities are required to complete groundwater sampling around their CCR landfills and surface impoundments. Xcel Energy has identified two sites in Colorado where a statistically significant increase of certain constituents exists in the groundwater near landfills and/or impoundments. The groundwater monitored at those two sites is directly adjacent to the CCR units and does not indicate any impact to local drinking water. Xcel Energy has completed removal of CCR from these impoundments and plans to close these landfills. By the end of 2019, only nine of Xcel Energy's regulated ash units are expected to be in operation. Xcel Energy is conducting additional groundwater sampling, initiating the assessment of corrective measures as required by the CCR Rule, and will evaluate whether corrective action is required at any CCR landfills or surface impoundments.

Until Xcel Energy completes its assessment, it is uncertain what impact, if any, there will be on the operations, financial condition or cash flows.

Leases

Xcel Energy evaluates a variety of contracts that may contain leases, including PPAs and arrangements for the use of office space and other facilities, vehicles and equipment. Under ASC Topic 842, adopted by Xcel Energy on Jan. 1, 2019, a contract contains a lease if it conveys the exclusive right to control the use of a specific asset. A contract determined to contain a lease is evaluated further to determine if the arrangement is a finance lease.

ROU assets represent Xcel Energy's rights to use leased assets. Starting in 2019, the present value of future operating lease payments are recognized in other current liabilities and noncurrent operating lease liabilities. These amounts, adjusted for any prepayments or incentives, are recognized as operating lease ROU assets.

Most of Xcel Energy's leases do not contain a readily determinable discount rate, and therefore the present value of future lease payments is calculated using the applicable Xcel Energy subsidiary's estimated incremental borrowing rate for similar borrowing periods (weighted-average of 4.2%). Xcel Energy has elected the practical expedient under which non-lease components, such as asset maintenance costs included in payments to the lessor, are not deducted from minimum lease payments for the purposes of lease accounting and disclosure.

Leases with an initial term of 12 months or less are classified as short-term leases and are not recognized on the consolidated balance sheet.

Operating lease ROU assets:

	March
(Millions of Dollars)	31,
	2019
PPAs	\$ 1,458
Other	201
Gross operating lease ROU assets	1,659
Accumulated amortization	(40)
Net operating lease ROU assets	\$ 1,619

In 2019, ROU assets for finance leases are included in other noncurrent assets, and the present value of future finance lease payments are included in other current liabilities and other noncurrent liabilities. Prior to 2019, finance leases were included in property, plant and equipment, the current portion of long-term debt and long-term debt.

Xcel Energy's most significant finance lease activities are related to WYCO. WYCO is a joint venture with CIG to develop and lease natural gas pipeline, storage and compression facilities. Xcel Energy Inc. has a 50% ownership interest in WYCO. WYCO leases its facilities to CIG, and CIG operates the facilities, providing natural gas storage and transportation services to PSCo under separate service agreements.

PSCo accounts for its Totem natural gas storage service and Front Range pipeline arrangements with CIG and WYCO, respectively, as finance leases. Xcel Energy Inc. eliminates 50% of the finance lease obligation related to WYCO in the consolidated balance sheet along with an equal amount of Xcel Energy Inc.'s equity investment in WYCO.

Finance lease ROU assets:

	March
(Millions of Dollars)	31,
	2019
Gas storage facilities	\$201
Gas pipeline	21
Gross finance lease ROU assets	222
Accumulated amortization	(78)
Net finance lease ROU assets	\$144

Given the impacts of accounting for regulated operations, and the resulting recognition of periodic expense at the amounts recovered in customer rates, cash expenditures for both operating and finance leases are consistent with recognized lease expense.

Components of lease expense:

	Three
	Months
	Ended
	March
	31,
	2019
Operating leases	
PPA capacity payments	\$ 52
Other operating leases ^(a)	9
Total operating lease expense ^(b)	\$ 61

Finance leases

Amortization of ROU assets	\$ 1
Interest expense on lease liability	5
Total finance lease expense	\$ 6

^(a) Includes short-term lease expense of \$1 million.

^(b) PPA capacity payments are included in electric fuel and purchased power on the consolidated statements of income. Expense for other operating leases is included in O&M expense and electric fuel and purchased power.

Table of Contents

NSP-Minnesota expects to purchase the Mankato Energy Center facility in mid-2019, subject to regulatory approvals. NSP-Minnesota currently receives energy and capacity from part of the facility, Mankato Energy Center, LLC (Mankato 1), under a PPA expiring in 2026. Prior to the purchase transaction, NSP-Minnesota also expects to receive energy and capacity from Mankato Energy Center II, LLC (Mankato 2), an expansion of the facility, under a 20 year PPA set to start when operational in approximately June 2019.

Though NSP-Minnesota expects to terminate these PPAs upon the purchase, operating lease commitments and discounted amounts in the consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2019 are reflective of PPA capacity payments totaling \$294 million (undiscounted) for Mankato 1. As Mankato 2 is not yet available for use, NSP-Minnesota has not recognized a lease related to \$625 million of PPA capacity payments (undiscounted) on Mankato 2.

Future commitments under operating and finance leases as of March 31, 2019:

(Millions of Dollars)	PPA ^(a) ^(b)	Other	Total	Finance
	Operating	Operating	Operating	Leases
	Leases	Leases	Leases	^(c)
2019	\$ 155	\$ 19	\$ 174	\$ 10
2020	208	26	234	14
2021	210	29	239	14
2022	197	28	225	12
2023	185	25	210	12
Thereafter	883	136	1,019	220
Total minimum obligation	1,838	263	2,101	282
Interest component of obligation	(350)	(58)	(408)	(198)
Present value of minimum obligation	\$ 1,488	\$ 205	1,693	84
Less current portion			(164)	(4)
Noncurrent operating and finance lease liabilities			\$ 1,529	\$ 80

Weighted-average remaining lease term in years 10.2 37.4

(a) Amounts do not include PPAs accounted for as executory contracts and/or contingent payments, such as energy payments on renewable PPAs.

(b) PPA operating leases contractually expire at various dates through 2033.

(c) Excludes certain amounts related to Xcel Energy's 50% ownership interest in WYCO.

Future commitments under operating and finance leases as of Dec. 31, 2018:

(Millions of Dollars)	PPA ^(a) ^(b)	Other	Total	Finance
	Operating	Operating	Operating	Leases
	Leases	Leases	Leases	^(c)
2019	\$ 207	\$ 32	\$ 239	\$ 14
2020	208	26	234	14
2021	210	25	235	14
2022	197	24	221	12
2023	186	22	208	12
Thereafter	883	154	1,037	220
Total minimum obligation				286
Interest component of obligation				(201)
Present value of minimum obligation				\$ 85

(a) Amounts do not include PPAs accounted for as executory contracts and/or contingent payments, such as energy payments on renewable PPAs.

(b) PPA operating leases contractually expire at various dates through 2033.

(c) Excludes certain amounts related to Xcel Energy's 50% ownership interest in WYCO.

Variable Interest Entities

NSP-Minnesota, PSCo and SPS purchase power from IPPs for which the utility subsidiaries are required to reimburse natural gas or biomass fuel costs, or to participate in tolling arrangements under which the utility subsidiaries procure the natural gas required to produce the energy that they purchase. These specific PPAs create a variable interest in the associated IPP.

The Xcel Energy utility subsidiaries had approximately 3,770 MW of capacity under long-term PPAs as of March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018, with entities that have been determined to be variable interest entities. Xcel Energy has concluded that these entities are not required to be consolidated in its consolidated financial statements because it does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance. These agreements have various expiration dates through 2041.

Other

Guarantees and Bond Indemnifications — Xcel Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries provide guarantees and bond indemnities under specified agreements or transactions. The guarantees and bond indemnities issued by Xcel Energy Inc. guarantee payment or performance by its subsidiaries. Xcel Energy Inc.'s exposure is based upon the net liability under the specified agreements or transactions. Most of the guarantees and bond indemnities issued by Xcel Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries have a stated maximum guarantee or indemnity amount. As of March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018, Xcel Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries had no assets held as collateral related to their guarantees, bond indemnities and indemnification agreements.

Guarantees and bond indemnities issued and outstanding for Xcel Energy were \$56 million and \$69 million at March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018, respectively.

Other Indemnification Agreements — Xcel Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries provide indemnifications through various contracts. These are primarily indemnifications against adverse litigation outcomes in connection with underwriting agreements, as well as breaches of representations and warranties, including corporate existence, transaction authorization and income tax matters with respect to assets sold. Xcel Energy Inc.'s and its subsidiaries' obligations under these agreements may be limited in terms of duration and amount. Maximum future payments under these indemnifications cannot be reasonably estimated as the dollar amounts are often not explicitly stated.

Table of Contents

11. Other Comprehensive Loss

(Millions of Dollars)	Changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax, for the three months ended March 31, 2019 and 2018:		
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2019		
	Gains and Losses on Cash Flow Hedges	Defined Pension and Postretirement Items	Total
Accumulated other comprehensive loss at Jan. 1	\$ (60)	\$ (64)	\$ (124)
Other comprehensive (loss) gain before reclassifications (net of taxes of \$(2) and \$1, respectively)	(7)	2	(5)
Losses reclassified from net accumulated other comprehensive loss:			
Interest rate derivatives (net of taxes of \$0 and \$0) ^(a)	1	—	1
Amortization of net actuarial loss (net of taxes of \$0 and \$0) ^(b)	—	1	1
Net current period other comprehensive income	(6)	3	(3)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss at March 31	\$ (66)	\$ (61)	\$ (127)

(Millions of Dollars)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2018		
	Gains and Losses on Cash Flow Hedges	Defined Pension and Postretirement Items	Total
Accumulated other comprehensive loss at Jan. 1	\$ (58)	\$ (67)	\$ (125)
Losses reclassified from net accumulated other comprehensive loss:			
Amortization of net actuarial loss (net of taxes of \$0 and \$0) ^(b)	—	1	1
Net current period other comprehensive income	—	1	1
Accumulated other comprehensive loss at March 31	\$ (58)	\$ (66)	\$ (124)

^(a) Included in interest charges.

^(b) Included in the computation of net periodic pension and postretirement benefit costs.

12. Segment Information

Regulated electric utility operating results of NSP-Minnesota, NSP-Wisconsin, PSCo and SPS, as well as the regulated natural gas utility operating results of NSP-Minnesota, NSP-Wisconsin and PSCo are each separately and regularly reviewed by Xcel Energy's chief operating decision maker. Xcel Energy evaluates performance by each utility subsidiary based on profit or loss generated from the product or service provided.

These segments are managed separately because the revenue streams are dependent upon regulated rate recovery, which is separately determined for each segment.

Xcel Energy has the following reportable segments:

Regulated Electric - The regulated electric utility segment generates, transmits and distributes electricity in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Michigan, North Dakota, South Dakota, Colorado, Texas and New Mexico. In addition, this segment includes sales for resale and provides wholesale transmission service to various entities in the United States. The regulated electric utility segment also includes wholesale commodity and trading operations.

Regulated Natural Gas - The regulated natural gas utility segment transports, stores and distributes natural gas primarily in portions of Minnesota, Wisconsin, North Dakota, Michigan and Colorado.

All Other - Operating segments with revenues below the necessary quantitative thresholds are included in this category. Those segments primarily include steam revenue, appliance repair services, non-utility real estate activities, revenues associated with processing solid waste into refuse-derived fuel and investments in rental housing projects that qualify for low-income housing tax credits.

Xcel Energy had equity investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries of \$142 million and \$141 million as of March 31, 2019 and Dec. 31, 2018, respectively, included in the natural gas utility and all other segments.

Asset and capital expenditure information is not provided for Xcel Energy's reportable segments. As an integrated electric and natural gas utility, Xcel Energy operates significant assets that are not dedicated to a specific business segment. Reporting assets and capital expenditures by business segment would require arbitrary and potentially misleading allocations which may not necessarily reflect the assets that would be required for the operation of the business segments on a stand-alone basis.

Certain costs, such as common depreciation, common O&M expenses and interest expense are allocated based on cost causation allocators across each segment. In addition, a general allocator is used for certain general and administrative expenses, including office supplies, rent, property insurance and general advertising.

Xcel Energy's segment information for the three months ended March 31:

(Millions of Dollars)	2019	2018
Regulated Electric		
Operating revenues from external customers	\$2,325	\$2,270
Intersegment revenue	—	—
Total revenues	\$2,325	\$2,270
Net income	234	219
Regulated Natural Gas		
Operating revenues from external customers	\$794	\$662
Intersegment revenue	1	—
Total revenues	\$795	\$662
Net income	105	95
All Other		
Total operating revenue	\$22	\$19
Net (loss)	(24)	(23)
Consolidated Total		
Total revenue	\$3,142	\$2,951
Reconciling eliminations	(1)	—
Consolidated total revenue	\$3,141	\$2,951
Net income	315	291

Item 2 — MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis by management focuses on those factors that had a material effect on Xcel Energy's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows during the periods presented, or are expected to have a material impact in the future. It should be read in conjunction with the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements and the related notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Due to the seasonality of Xcel Energy's operating results, quarterly financial results are not an appropriate base from which to project annual results. The demand for electric power and natural gas is affected by seasonal differences in the weather. In general, peak sales of electricity occur in the summer months, and peak sales of natural gas occur in the winter months. As a result, the overall operating results may fluctuate substantially on a seasonal basis. Additionally, Xcel Energy's operations have historically generated less revenues and income when weather conditions are milder in the winter and cooler in the summer.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

The following discussion includes financial information prepared in accordance with GAAP, as well as certain non-GAAP financial measures such as electric margin, natural gas margin, ongoing earnings and ongoing diluted EPS. Generally, a non-GAAP financial measure is a measure of a company's financial performance, financial position or cash flows that excludes (or includes) amounts that are adjusted from measures calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. Xcel Energy's management uses non-GAAP measures for financial planning and analysis, for reporting of results to the Board of Directors, in determining performance-based compensation, and communicating its earnings outlook to analysts and investors. Non-GAAP financial measures are intended to supplement investors' understanding of our performance and should not be considered alternatives for financial measures presented in accordance with GAAP. These measures are discussed in more detail below and may not be comparable to other companies' similarly titled non-GAAP financial measures.

Electric and Natural Gas Margins

Electric margin is presented as electric revenues less electric fuel and purchased power expenses. Natural gas margin is presented as natural gas revenues less the cost of natural gas sold and transported. Expenses incurred for electric fuel and purchased power and the cost of natural gas are generally recovered through various regulatory recovery mechanisms. As a result, changes in these expenses are generally offset in operating revenues.

Management believes electric and natural gas margins provide the most meaningful basis for evaluating our operations because they exclude the revenue impact of fluctuations in these expenses. These margins can be reconciled to operating income, a GAAP measure, by including other operating revenues, cost of sales - other, O&M expenses, conservation and DSM expenses, depreciation and amortization and taxes (other than income taxes).

Earnings Adjusted for Certain Items (Ongoing Earnings and Ongoing Diluted EPS)

GAAP diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other agreements to issue common stock (i.e., common stock equivalents) were settled. The weighted average number of potentially dilutive shares outstanding used to calculate Xcel Energy Inc.'s diluted EPS is calculated using the treasury stock method. Ongoing earnings reflect adjustments to GAAP earnings (net income) for certain items.

Ongoing diluted EPS is calculated by dividing the net income or loss of each subsidiary, adjusted for certain items, by the weighted average fully diluted Xcel Energy Inc. common shares outstanding for the period. Ongoing diluted EPS for each subsidiary is calculated by dividing the net income or loss of such subsidiary, adjusted for certain items, by the weighted average fully diluted Xcel Energy Inc. common shares outstanding for the period.

We use these non-GAAP financial measures to evaluate and provide details of Xcel Energy's core earnings and underlying performance. We believe these measurements are useful to investors to evaluate the actual and projected financial performance and contribution of our subsidiaries.

For the three months ended March 31, 2019 and 2018, there were no such adjustments to GAAP earnings and therefore GAAP earnings equal ongoing earnings for these periods.

Results of Operations

The only common equity securities that are publicly traded are common shares of Xcel Energy Inc. Diluted earnings and EPS of each subsidiary discussed below do not represent a direct legal interest in the assets and liabilities allocated to such subsidiary but rather represent a direct interest in our assets and liabilities as a whole.

GAAP and ongoing diluted EPS for Xcel Energy:

Three Months
Ended March

	31	
Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share	2019	2018
PSCo	\$0.27	\$0.26
NSP-Minnesota	0.22	0.22
SPS	0.10	0.07
NSP-Wisconsin	0.05	0.06
Equity earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries	0.01	0.01
Regulated utility	0.65	0.62
Xcel Energy Inc. and other	(0.04)	(0.05)
Total	\$0.61	\$0.57

Summary of Earnings

Xcel Energy — Xcel Energy's earnings increased \$0.04 per share for the first quarter of 2019. Earnings reflect higher electric and natural gas margins due to regulatory rate outcomes and favorable weather which were partially offset by higher depreciation, O&M and interest expenses.

PSCo — First quarter 2019 earnings increased \$0.01 per share, reflecting higher electric and natural gas margins primarily due to favorable weather. Higher margins were partially offset by increased depreciation expense, O&M expenses, interest charges and decreased AFUDC. Changes in depreciation expense and AFUDC are primarily driven by the Rush Creek wind project (placed in-service in late 2018). Depreciation was also impacted by additional amortization of the prepaid pension asset resulting from a tax reform settlement.

NSP-Minnesota — First quarter 2019 earnings were consistent with 2018. Higher electric margins were driven by a step rate increase in Minnesota, impacts of tax reform regulatory outcomes in both North and South Dakota (approximately \$5 million) and favorable weather, offset by increased O&M expenses and depreciation expense.

SPS — First quarter 2019 earnings increased by \$0.03 per share. Higher electric margins reflecting a regulatory settlement which included impacts on tax reform in New Mexico (approximately \$10 million), sales growth and higher AFUDC (related to the Hale County wind project) were partially offset by higher O&M expenses, depreciation expense and interest expense.

NSP-Wisconsin — First quarter 2019 earnings decreased by \$0.01 per share, largely due to higher O&M expenses.

Xcel Energy Inc. and other — Xcel Energy Inc. and other primarily includes financing costs at the holding company.

Table of Contents

Changes in GAAP and Ongoing Diluted EPS

Components significantly contributing to the changes in 2019 EPS compared with the same period in 2018:

	Three
	Months
Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share	Ended
	March
	31
GAAP and ongoing diluted EPS — 2018	\$ 0.57

Components of change — 2019 vs. 2018

Higher electric margins	0.11
Lower ETR ^(a)	0.06
Higher natural gas margins	0.04
Higher depreciation and amortization	(0.07)
Higher O&M expenses	(0.06)
Higher interest charges	(0.03)
Higher taxes (other than income taxes)	(0.01)
Other (net)	—
GAAP and ongoing diluted EPS — 2019	\$ 0.61

^(a) Includes flow back of PTCs to customers, which are offset in revenue.

Statement of Income Analysis

The following summarizes the items that affected the individual revenue and expense items reported in the consolidated statements of income.

Estimated Impact of Temperature Changes on Regulated Earnings — Unusually hot summers or cold winters increase electric and natural gas sales, while mild weather reduces electric and natural gas sales. The estimated impact of weather on earnings is based on the number of customers, temperature variances and the amount of natural gas or electricity historically used per degree of temperature. Weather deviations from normal levels can affect Xcel Energy's financial performance.

Degree-day or Temperature-Humidity Index (THI) data is used to estimate amounts of energy required to maintain comfortable indoor temperature levels based on each day's average temperature and humidity. Heating degree-days (HDD) is the measure of the variation in the weather based on the extent to which the average daily temperature falls below 65° Fahrenheit. Cooling degree-days (CDD) is the measure of the variation in the weather based on the extent to which the average daily temperature rises above 65° Fahrenheit. Each degree of temperature above 65° Fahrenheit is counted as one CDD, and each degree of temperature below 65° Fahrenheit is counted as one HDD. In Xcel Energy's more humid service territories, a THI is used in place of CDD, which adds a humidity factor to CDD. HDD, CDD and THI are most likely to impact the usage of Xcel Energy's residential and commercial customers. Industrial customers are less sensitive to weather.

Normal weather conditions are defined as either the 20-year or 30-year average of actual historical weather conditions. The historical period of time used in the calculation of normal weather differs by jurisdiction, based on regulatory practice. To calculate the impact of weather on demand, a demand factor is applied to the weather impact on sales.

Extreme weather variations, windchill and cloud cover may not be reflected in weather-normalized estimates.

There was no impact on sales for the first quarter of 2019 due to THI or CDD. Percentage change in normal and actual HDD:

	Three Months Ended		
	March 31		
	2019	2018	2019
	vs.	vs.	vs.
	Normal	Normal	2018

HDD 10.5% 0.3 % 8.8%

Weather — Estimated impact of temperature variations on EPS compared with normal weather conditions:

	Three Months Ended		
	March 31		
	2019	2018	2019
	vs.	vs.	vs.
	Normal	Normal	2018
Retail electric	\$0.018	\$0.003	\$0.015
Firm natural gas	0.017	0.003	0.014
Total (excluding decoupling)	\$0.035	\$0.006	\$0.029
Decoupling — Minnesota	(0.005)	(0.002)	(0.003)
Total (adjusted for decoupling)	\$0.030	\$0.004	\$0.026

Sales Growth (Decline) — Sales growth (decline) for actual and weather-normalized sales in 2019 compared to the same period in 2018:

	Three Months Ended March 31								
	PSCo	NSP-Minnesota	SPS	NSP-Wisconsin	Xcel Energy				
Actual									
Electric residential	2.9 %	2.6 %	5.0 %	2.3 %	3.0 %				
Electric C&I	0.6	(1.1)	4.3	(2.3)	0.6				
Total retail electric sales	1.4	—	4.3	(0.9)	1.3				
Firm natural gas sales	16.3	7.8	N/A	2.5	12.4				

	Three Months Ended March 31								
	PSCo	NSP-Minnesota	SPS	NSP-Wisconsin	Xcel Energy				
Weather-normalized									
Electric residential	0.3 %	0.3 %	3.5 %	0.3 %	0.7 %				
Electric C&I	0.1	(1.4)	4.6	(2.5)	0.5				
Total retail electric sales	0.2	(0.9)	4.4	(1.7)	0.5				
Firm natural gas sales	4.4	—	N/A	(2.4)	2.5				

Weather-normalized Electric Sales Growth (Decline)

PSCo — Higher residential sales growth reflects customer additions, partially offset by lower use per customer. C&I growth was due to an increase in customers and higher use per customer, predominately from the fabricated metal and metal mining industries.

NSP-Minnesota — Higher residential sales growth reflects customer additions, partially offset by lower use per customer. The decline in C&I sales was due to an increase in customers offset by lower use per customer. Decreased sales to C&I customers were led by the manufacturing and services sectors.

SPS — Residential sales grew largely due to higher use per customer and customer additions. The increase in C&I sales was due to higher use per customer, and was driven by the oil and natural gas industry in the Permian Basin.

NSP-Wisconsin — Residential sales growth was primarily attributable to customer additions, partially offset by lower use per customer. The decline in C&I sales was due to lower use per customer, partially offset by customer additions. The decrease was also driven by declines in the food services and mining sectors.

Table of Contents

Weather-normalized Natural Gas Sales Growth

†Natural gas sales reflect an increase in the number of customers combined with higher customer use.

Electric Margin

Electric revenues and fuel and purchased power expenses are impacted by fluctuations in the price of natural gas, coal and uranium used in the generation of electricity. However, these price fluctuations have minimal impact on electric margin due to fuel recovery mechanisms that recover fuel expenses. In addition, electric customers receive a credit for PTCs that are generated in a particular period.

Electric revenues and margin:

	Three Months Ended March 31	
(Millions of Dollars)	2019	2018
Electric revenues	\$2,325	\$2,270
Electric fuel and purchased power	(914)	(932)
Electric margin	\$1,411	\$1,338

Changes in electric margin:

(Millions of Dollars)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2019 vs. 2018
Non-fuel riders	\$ 36
Regulatory rate outcomes (Minnesota, New Mexico, North and South Dakota)	28
Estimated impact of weather (net of Minnesota decoupling)	9
Wholesale transmission revenue (net)	7
Lower purchased capacity costs	6
Implementation of lease accounting standard (offset in interest expense and amortization)	5
Timing of tax reform regulatory decisions (offset in income tax)	(13)
Other (net)	(5)
Total increase in electric margin	\$ 73

Natural Gas Margin

Total natural gas expense varies with changing sales and the cost of natural gas. However, fluctuations in the cost of natural gas has minimal impact on natural gas margin due to natural gas cost recovery mechanisms.

Natural gas revenues and margin:

	Three Months Ended March 31	
(Millions of Dollars)	2019	2018
Natural gas revenues	\$794	\$662
Cost of natural gas sold and transported	(479)	(375)
Natural gas margin	\$315	\$287

Changes in natural gas margin:

(Millions of Dollars)	Three Months Ended March
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

	31,
	2019 vs.
	2018
Retail rate increase (Colorado)	\$ 12
Estimated impact of weather	11
Infrastructure and integrity riders	5
Transport sales	3
Retail sales growth	2
Conservation revenue (offset by expenses)	(2)
Other (net)	(3)
Total increase in natural gas margin	\$ 28

Non-Fuel Operating Expenses and Other Items

O&M Expenses — O&M expenses increased \$40 million, or 7.2%, for the first quarter of 2019. Significant changes are summarized below:

	Three
	Months
	Ended
(Millions of Dollars)	March
	31,
	2019 vs.
	2018
Distribution	\$ 19
Plant generation	8
Business systems	4
Other (net)	9
Total increase in O&M expenses	\$ 40

• Distribution expenses were higher due to storms, labor and overtime;

• Plant generation amounts increased due to the in-servicing of the Rush Creek wind project and the timing of planned maintenance and overhauls; and

• Business systems costs were higher due to increased service delivery and network costs.

Depreciation and Amortization — Depreciation and amortization increased \$50 million, or 13.1%, for the first quarter of 2019. Increase was primarily driven by the Rush Creek wind project being placed in-service (recovered in riders) and other capital investments and additional amortization of a prepaid pension asset in Colorado related to tax reform settlements.

Taxes (Other than Income Taxes) — Taxes (other than income taxes) increased \$5 million, or 3.4%, for the first quarter of 2019. Increase was primarily due to higher property taxes in Colorado and Minnesota (net of deferred amounts).

AFUDC, Equity and Debt — AFUDC decreased \$4 million for the first quarter of 2019. The decrease was primarily due to the Rush Creek wind project being placed in-service in 2018, partially offset by the Hale wind project and other capital investments.

Interest Charges — Interest charges increased \$18 million, or 10.5%, for the first quarter of 2019. The increase was related to higher debt levels to fund capital investments, partially offset by refinancings at lower interest rates.

Income Taxes — Income tax expense decreased \$34 million for the first quarter of 2019 compared with the same period in 2018. Decrease was primarily driven by an increase in plant-related regulatory differences and an increase in wind PTCs and a reversal of a federal valuation allowance. Wind PTCs flow back to customers (recorded as a reduction to revenue) and do not have a material impact on net income. The ETR was 7.4% for the first quarter of 2019 compared with 16.9% for the same period in 2018. The lower ETR in 2019 was largely due to the adjustments above. See Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements for further information.

Table of Contents

Regulation

FERC and State Regulation — The FERC and various state and local regulatory commissions regulate Xcel Energy Inc.'s utility subsidiaries and WGI. The electric and natural gas rates charged to customers of Xcel Energy Inc.'s utility subsidiaries and WGI are approved by the FERC or the regulatory commissions in the states in which they operate. The rates are designed to recover plant investment, operating costs and an allowed return on investment. Xcel Energy Inc.'s utility subsidiaries request changes in rates for utility services through filings with governing commissions. Changes in operating costs can affect Xcel Energy's financial results, depending on the timing of rate case filings and implementation of final rates. Other factors affecting rate filings are new investments, sales, conservation and DSM efforts, and the cost of capital. In addition, the regulatory commissions authorize the ROE, capital structure and depreciation rates in rate proceedings. Decisions by these regulators can significantly impact Xcel Energy's results of operations.

Pending and Recently Concluded Regulatory Proceedings

Mechanism	Utility Service	Amount Requested (in millions)	Filing Date	Approval	Additional Information
NSP-Minnesota (MPUC)					
TCR	Electric	\$98	November 2017	Pending	Reflects the revenue requirements for 2018 and a true-up for 2017 and is based on a proposed ROE of 10%. The MPUC decision is expected during the second quarter of 2019.
2018 GUIC	Natural Gas	\$23	November 2017	Pending	Proposed ROE of 10%. The MPUC decision is expected during the second quarter of 2019.
2019 GUIC	Natural Gas	\$29	November 2018	Pending	Proposed ROE of 10.25%. Timing of the MPUC decision is uncertain.
RES	Electric	\$23	November 2017	Pending	Reflects the revenue requirements for 2018, 2017 true-up and a proposed ROE of 10%. The MPUC decision is expected in the second quarter of 2019.
PSCo (CPUC)					
Rate Case	Steam	\$7	January 2019	Pending	Request is based on a ROE of 10.65%, an equity ratio of 56.29%, a rate base of \$64.1 million and a historic test year ending Dec. 31, 2017, to be effective in October 2019. The request also includes adjustments for installation of a new water treatment system in 2018 and a new boiler at the Denver Steam plant in 2019. On April 11, 2019 CPUC Staff recommended a ROE of 9.72%, an equity ratio of 55.34%, and an increase of \$5.9 million. CPUC Staff also requested PSCo file a CPCN for the investment in the water treatment system within 90 days of a final decision. PSCo filed an appeal in April 2019, seeking judicial review of the CPUC's prior ruling regarding PSCo's last natural gas rate case (approved in December 2018). Appeal requests review of the following: denial of a return on the prepaid pension and retiree medical assets; the use of a capital structure that is not based on the actual historical test year level; and the use of an average rate base methodology rather than a year-end rate base methodology.
Rate Case Appeal	Natural Gas	NA	April 2019	Pending	
SPS (PUCT)					
Rate Case	Electric	\$54		Pending	

August 2017	In November 2018, SPS filed an application with the PUCT requesting permission to recover \$5.4 million in unbilled TCRF revenue from January 23, 2018 through June 9, 2018. Timing of a final order on this matter is uncertain.
----------------	---

SPS (NMPRC)

In February 2019, SPS and the NMPRC settled SPS' appeal to the NMSC regarding NMPRC's previous rate case order, including a \$10.2 million refund of retroactive TCJA benefits. As a result, the NMPRC issued revised orders eliminating the retroactive refund and SPS reversed its previously recorded regulatory liability. The order also increased the ROE from 9.1% to 9.56% and the equity ratio from 51% to 53.97%, resulting in a prospective annual base rate increase of \$4.5 million (incremental to \$8.1 million approved in the initial order). New rates were effective March 11, 2019.

Rate Case	Electric	\$43	October 2017	Received
-----------	----------	------	-----------------	----------

See Rate Matters within Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements for further information.

NSP-Minnesota — Mankato Energy Center Acquisition — In November 2018, NSP-Minnesota reached an agreement with Southern Power Company to purchase the 760 MW natural gas combined cycle Mankato Energy Center for approximately \$650 million. NSP-Minnesota currently purchases the energy and capacity of this facility through a PPA. The asset acquisition is anticipated to close in mid-2019 subject to regulatory approvals from the MPUC, NDPSC, FERC and DOJ. The DOC requested additional modeling runs, but indicated consensus with the level of savings. Two intervenors (Sierra Club and OAG) oppose the transaction. The acquisition is projected to provide net customer savings of approximately \$50 million to \$150 million over the life of the plant. See Note 10 leasing disclosures for further information.

NSP-Minnesota — Wind Repowering Acquisition — In December 2018, NSP-Minnesota filed with the MPUC to acquire the Jeffers and Community Wind North wind farms from Longroad Energy. The wind farms will have approximately 70 MW of capacity after being repowered. The repowering is expected to be completed by December 2020 to qualify for the 100% PTC benefit. The acquisition is projected to provide customer savings of approximately \$7 million over the life of the wind farms. The cost of the acquisition is approximately \$135 million, pending MPUC approval. The DOC filed initial comments and were not supportive of the acquisition, pending additional information and a signed purchase and sales agreement. NSP-Minnesota filed additional information that we believe will address DOC concerns.

Table of Contents

Public Utility Regulation

Except to the extent noted below and in Regulation above, the circumstances set forth in Public Utility Regulation included in Item 1 of Xcel Energy's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2018 appropriately represent, in all material respects, the current status of public utility regulation and are incorporated herein by reference.

NSP-Minnesota

Minnesota State Right-Of-First Refusal (ROFR) Statute Complaint — In September 2017, LSP Transmission filed a complaint in the Minnesota District Court against the Minnesota Attorney General, MPUC and DOC. The complaint was in response to MISO assigning NSP-Minnesota and ITC Midwest, LLC to jointly own a new 345 KV transmission line from near Mankato, Minnesota to Winnebago, Minnesota. The project was estimated by MISO to cost \$108 million and was assigned to NSP-Minnesota and ITC Midwest as the incumbent utilities, consistent with a Minnesota state ROFR statute. The complaint challenged the constitutionality of the state ROFR statute and is seeking declaratory judgment that the statute violates the Commerce Clause of the U.S. Constitution and should not be enforced.

The Minnesota state agencies and NSP-Minnesota filed motions to dismiss. In June 2018, the Minnesota District Court granted the defendants' motions to dismiss with prejudice. LSP Transmission filed an appeal in July 2018. It is uncertain when a decision will be rendered.

Nuclear Power Operations

NSP-Minnesota owns two nuclear generating plants: the Monticello plant and the PI plant. See Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements of Xcel Energy's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2018 for further information. The circumstances set forth in Nuclear Power Operations and Waste Disposal included in Item 1 of Xcel Energy's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2018 appropriately represent, in all material respects, the current status of nuclear power operations, and are incorporated herein by reference.

PSCo

Cheyenne Ridge Wind CPCN — In December 2018, PSCo filed for a CPCN for the 500 MW Cheyenne Ridge self-build wind farm and 65 mile gen-tie line. On April 24, 2019, the CPUC issued their decision which grants a CPCN and:

- Includes a construction cost cap of \$743 million (inclusive of AFUDC);
- Establishes that PSCo will accrue AFUDC on project while under construction and will recover costs associated with Cheyenne Ridge through riders once the project is complete and before it goes into base rates; and
- Establishes a customer protection mechanism through ongoing reporting on the project.

SPS

Wind Development — In 2018, the NMPRC and PUCT approved SPS' proposal to add 1,230 MW of new wind generation, including ownership of 1,000 MW.

In March 2018, the NMPRC approved SPS' petition to build and own Hale County, a 478 MW wind project in Texas, which is expected to be placed into service in June 2019. The NMPRC also approved Sagamore, a 522 MW wind project in New Mexico which is expected to be placed into service in late 2020. In May 2018, the PUCT approved SPS' petition to build and own Hale and Sagamore. Both projects qualify for 100% of PTCs. SPS' capital investment for these wind projects is expected to be approximately \$1.6 billion. SPS is currently waiting to receive the transmission cost estimate from SPP for Sagamore, which is necessary to determine the final cost of the project before construction can start.

Texas State ROFR Request for Declaratory Order — In 2017, SPS and SPP filed a joint petition with the PUCT for a declaratory order regarding SPS' ROFR. SPS contended that Texas law grants an incumbent electric utility the ROFR to construct new transmission facilities located in the utility's service area. The PUCT subsequently issued an order finding that SPS does not possess an exclusive right to construct and operate transmission facilities. In January 2018, SPS and two other parties filed appeals in the Texas State District Court. In September 2018, the District Court affirmed the PUCT's ROFR order. SPS has filed an additional appeal.

Derivatives, Risk Management and Market Risk

Xcel Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries are exposed to a variety of market risks in the normal course of business. Market risk is the potential loss that may occur as a result of adverse changes in the market or fair value of a particular instrument or commodity. All financial and commodity-related instruments, including derivatives, are subject to market risk.

See Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion of market risks associated with derivatives. Xcel Energy is exposed to the impact of adverse changes in price for energy and energy-related products, which is partially mitigated by the use of commodity derivatives. In addition to ongoing monitoring and maintaining credit policies intended to minimize overall credit risk, when necessary, management takes steps to mitigate changes in credit and concentration risks associated with its derivatives and other contracts, including parental guarantees and requests of collateral. While Xcel Energy expects that the counterparties will perform under the contracts underlying its derivatives, the contracts expose Xcel Energy to some credit and non-performance risk.

Though no material non-performance risk currently exists with the counterparties to Xcel Energy's commodity derivative contracts, distress in the financial markets may in the future impact that risk to the extent it impacts those counterparties. Distress in the financial markets may also impact the fair value of the securities in the nuclear decommissioning fund and master pension trust, as well as Xcel Energy's ability to earn a return on short-term investments of excess cash.

Commodity Price Risk — Xcel Energy Inc.'s utility subsidiaries are exposed to commodity price risk in their electric and natural gas operations. Commodity price risk is managed by entering into long- and short-term physical purchase and sales contracts for electric capacity, energy and energy-related products and for various fuels used in generation and distribution activities. Commodity price risk is also managed through the use of financial derivative instruments. Xcel Energy's risk management policy allows it to manage commodity price risk within each rate-regulated operation to the extent such exposure exists.

Table of Contents

Wholesale and Commodity Trading Risk — Xcel Energy Inc.'s utility subsidiaries conduct various wholesale and commodity trading activities, including the purchase and sale of electric capacity, energy, energy-related instruments and natural gas-related instruments, including derivatives. Xcel Energy's risk management policy allows management to conduct these activities within guidelines and limitations as approved by its risk management committee, which is made up of management personnel not directly involved in the activities governed by this policy.

At March 31, 2019, the fair values by source for net commodity trading contract assets were as follows:

Futures / Forwards					
(Millions of Dollars)	Some of Less Fair Value	Maturity 1 to 3 Years	Maturity 4 to 5 Years	Maturity Greater Than 5 Years	Total Futures/ Forwards Fair Value
NSP-Minnesota	1 \$ 3	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 4
NSP-Minnesota	2 1	13	(5)	(7)	2
PSCo	1 1	1	—	—	2
	\$ 5	\$ 15	\$ (5)	\$ (7)	\$ 8
Options					
(Millions of Dollars)	Some of Less Fair Value	Maturity 1 to 3 Years	Maturity 4 to 5 Years	Maturity Greater Than 5 Years	Total Futures/ Forwards Fair Value
NSP-Minnesota	2 \$ 3	\$ 3	\$ —	—\$	—\$ 6
	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ —	—\$	—\$ 6

1 — Prices actively quoted or based on actively quoted prices.

2 — Prices based on models and other valuation methods.

Changes in the fair value of commodity trading contracts before the impacts of margin-sharing mechanisms for the three months ended March 31, were as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)	2019	2018
Fair value of commodity trading net contract assets outstanding at Jan. 1	\$ 17	\$ 16
Contracts realized or settled during the period	(4)	(2)
Commodity trading contract additions and changes during the period	1	3
Fair value of commodity trading net contract assets outstanding at March 31	\$ 14	\$ 17

At March 31, 2019, a 10% increase in market prices for commodity trading contracts would increase pretax income from continuing operations by approximately \$8 million, whereas a 10% decrease would decrease pretax income from continuing operations by approximately \$8 million. At March 31, 2018, a 10% increase in market prices for commodity trading contracts would decrease pretax income from continuing operations by approximately \$1 million, whereas a 10% decrease would increase pretax income from continuing operations by approximately \$1 million. Xcel Energy Inc.'s utility subsidiaries' wholesale and commodity trading operations measure the outstanding risk exposure to price changes on transactions, contracts and obligations that have been entered into, but not closed, using an industry standard methodology known as Value at Risk (VaR). VaR expresses the potential change in fair value on the outstanding transactions, contracts and obligations over a particular period of time under normal market conditions.

The VaRs for the NSP-Minnesota and PSCo commodity trading operations, calculated on a consolidated basis using a Monte Carlo simulation with a 95% confidence level and a one-day holding period, were as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)	Three Months Ended March 31	VaR Limit	Average	High	Low
2019	\$ 1.05	\$3.00	\$ 1.91	\$4.35	\$1.00
2018	0.32	3.00	0.22	0.57	0.10

In November 2018, management temporarily increased the VaR limit to accommodate a 10-year transaction.

NSP-Minnesota has been systematically hedging the transaction and the consolidated VaR returned below \$3 million in early January 2019.

Nuclear Fuel Supply — NSP-Minnesota is scheduled to take delivery of approximately 23% of its 2019 and approximately 54% of its 2020 enriched nuclear material requirements from sources that could be impacted by events in Ukraine and extended sanctions against Russia. Long-term, through 2024, NSP-Minnesota is scheduled to take delivery of approximately 31% of its average enriched nuclear material requirements from these sources. Alternate potential sources provide the flexibility to manage NSP-Minnesota's nuclear fuel supply. NSP-Minnesota periodically assesses if further actions are required to assure a secure supply of enriched nuclear material.

Disruptions in third party nuclear fuel supply contracts due to bankruptcies or change of contract assignments have not materially impacted NSP-Minnesota's operational or financial performance.

Interest Rate Risk — Xcel Energy is subject to the risk of fluctuating interest rates in the normal course of business. Xcel Energy's risk management policy allows interest rate risk to be managed through the use of fixed rate debt, floating rate debt and interest rate derivatives such as swaps, caps, collars and put or call options.

At March 31, 2019 and 2018, a 100-basis-point change in the benchmark rate on Xcel Energy's variable rate debt would impact pretax interest expense annually by approximately \$13 million and \$11 million, respectively. See Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements for a discussion of Xcel Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries' interest rate derivatives.

NSP-Minnesota also maintains a nuclear decommissioning fund, as required by the NRC. The nuclear decommissioning fund is subject to interest rate risk and equity price risk. At March 31, 2019, the fund was invested in a diversified portfolio of cash equivalents, debt securities, equity securities, and other investments. These investments may be used only for activities related to nuclear decommissioning. Given the purpose and legal restrictions on the use of nuclear decommissioning fund assets, realized and unrealized gains on fund investments over the life of the fund are deferred as an offset of NSP-Minnesota's regulatory asset for nuclear decommissioning costs. Consequently, any realized and unrealized gains and losses on securities in the nuclear decommissioning fund, including any other-than-temporary impairments, are deferred as a component of the regulatory asset for nuclear decommissioning. Since the accounting for nuclear decommissioning recognizes that costs are recovered through rates, fluctuations in equity prices or interest rates affecting the nuclear decommissioning fund do not have a direct impact on earnings.

Credit Risk — Xcel Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries are also exposed to credit risk. Credit risk relates to the risk of loss resulting from counterparties' nonperformance on their contractual obligations. Xcel Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries

maintain credit policies intended to minimize overall credit risk and actively monitor these policies to reflect changes and scope of operations.

Table of Contents

At March 31, 2019, a 10% increase in commodity prices would have resulted in an increase in credit exposure of \$29 million, while a decrease in prices of 10% would have resulted in an increase in credit exposure of \$7 million. At March 31, 2018, a 10% increase in commodity prices would have resulted in an increase in credit exposure of \$22 million, while a decrease in prices of 10% would have resulted in an increase in credit exposure of \$11 million. Xcel Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries conduct standard credit reviews for all counterparties. Xcel Energy employs additional credit risk control mechanisms when appropriate, such as letters of credit, parental guarantees, standardized master netting agreements and termination provisions that allow for offsetting of positive and negative exposures. Credit exposure is monitored and, when necessary, the activity with a specific counterparty is limited until credit enhancement is provided. Distress in the financial markets could increase Xcel Energy's credit risk.

Fair Value Measurements

Xcel Energy follows accounting and disclosure guidance on fair value measurements that contains a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value and requires disclosure of the observability of the inputs used in these measurements. See Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the fair value hierarchy and the amounts of assets and liabilities measured at fair value that have been assigned to Level 3.

Commodity Derivatives — Xcel Energy continuously monitors the creditworthiness of the counterparties to its commodity derivative contracts and assesses each counterparty's ability to perform on the transactions set forth in the contracts. Given this assessment and the typically short duration of these contracts, the impact of discounting commodity derivative assets for counterparty credit risk was not material to the fair value of commodity derivative assets at March 31, 2019. Adjustments to fair value for credit risk of commodity trading instruments are recorded in electric revenues. Credit risk adjustments for other commodity derivative instruments are deferred as other comprehensive income or regulatory assets and liabilities.

The classification as a regulatory asset or liability is based on commission approved regulatory recovery mechanisms. Xcel Energy also assesses the impact of its own credit risk when determining the fair value of commodity derivative liabilities. The impact of discounting commodity derivative liabilities for credit risk was immaterial to the fair value of commodity derivative liabilities at March 31, 2019.

Liquidity and Capital ResourcesCash Flows

	Three Months Ended March 31	
(Millions of Dollars)	2019	2018
Cash provided by operating activities	\$793	\$887

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased \$94 million for the three months ended March 31, 2019 compared with the three months ended March 31, 2018. Decrease was primarily related to changes in unbilled amounts and accounts receivable (driven by higher revenues and timing differences), partially offset by additional net income, excluding amounts related to non-cash operating activities (e.g., depreciation and deferred tax expenses).

	Three Months Ended March 31	
(Millions of Dollars)	2019	2018
Cash used in investing activities	\$(852)	\$(872)

Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$20 million for the three months ended March 31, 2019 compared with the three months ended March 31, 2018. Decrease was primarily attributable to Rush Creek being placed into service in 2018, partially offset by additional capital expenditures for the Hale and Blazing Star wind facilities, nuclear refueling costs and transmission investments.

Three
Months
Ended
March
31

(Millions of Dollars) 2019 2018

Cash provided by financing activities \$6 \$ 18

Net cash provided by financing activities decreased \$12 million for the three months ended March 31, 2019 compared with the three months ended March 31, 2018. Decrease was primarily attributable to repayments of previously existing long-term debt, partially offset by the proceeds of an issuance of long-term debt.

Capital Requirements

Xcel Energy expects to meet future financing requirements by periodically issuing short-term debt, long-term debt, common stock, hybrid and other securities to maintain desired capitalization ratios.

Pension Fund — Xcel Energy’s pension assets are invested in a diversified portfolio of domestic and international equity securities, short-term to long-duration fixed income securities, and alternative investments, including private equity, real estate and hedge funds.

In January 2019, contributions of \$150 million were made across four of Xcel Energy’s pension plans;

In 2018, contributions of \$150 million were made across four of Xcel Energy’s pension plans; and

For future years, contributions will be made as deemed appropriate based on evaluation of various factors including the funded status of the plans, minimum funding requirements, interest rates and expected investment returns.

Capital Sources

Short-Term Funding Sources — Xcel Energy uses a number of sources to fulfill short-term funding needs, including operating cash flow, notes payable, commercial paper and bank lines of credit. The amount and timing of short-term funding needs depend on financing needs for construction expenditures, working capital and dividend payments.

Short-Term Investments — Xcel Energy Inc., NSP-Minnesota, NSP-Wisconsin, PSCo and SPS maintain cash operating and short-term investment accounts.

Credit Facilities — Xcel Energy Inc., NSP-Minnesota, PSCo and SPS each have the right to request an extension of the revolving credit facility for two additional one-year periods beyond the June 2021 termination date. NSP-Wisconsin has the right to request an extension of the revolving credit facility termination date for an additional one-year period. All extension requests are subject to majority bank group approval.

Table of Contents

As of April 22, 2019, Xcel Energy Inc. and its utility subsidiaries had the following committed credit facilities available to meet liquidity needs:

(Millions of Dollars)	Credit Facility (a)	Drawn (b)	Available	Cash	Liquidity
Xcel Energy Inc.	\$ 1,000	\$ 322	\$ 678	\$ 1	\$ 679
PSCo	700	199	501	1	502
NSP-Minnesota	500	39	461	—	461
SPS	400	150	250	1	251
NSP-Wisconsin	150	23	127	1	128
Total	\$ 2,750	\$ 733	\$ 2,017	\$ 4	\$ 2,021

(a) Credit facilities expire in June 2021.

(b) Includes outstanding commercial paper and letters of credit.

Term Loan Agreement — In December 2018, Xcel Energy Inc. renewed its \$500 million P364D-Day Term Loan Agreement with \$250 million outstanding. In February 2019, Xcel Energy borrowed the remaining amount. No additional capacity remains as loans borrowed and repaid may not be redrawn.

As of March 31, 2019, Xcel Energy Inc.'s term loan borrowings were as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)	Limit	Amount Used	Available
Xcel Energy Inc.	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ —

Bilateral Credit Agreement

On March 28, 2019 NSP-Minnesota entered into a one year uncommitted bilateral credit agreement for up to \$75 million. The credit agreement is limited in use to support letters of credit, and is in addition to the \$500 million facility shown in the table above. As of March 31, 2019 there were no outstanding letters of credit that this agreement was supporting.

Commercial Paper — Xcel Energy Inc., NSP-Minnesota, NSP-Wisconsin, PSCo and SPS each have individual commercial paper programs. The authorized levels for these commercial paper programs are:

- \$1 billion for Xcel Energy Inc.;
- \$700 million for PSCo;
- \$500 million for NSP-Minnesota;
- \$400 million for SPS; and
- \$150 million for NSP-Wisconsin.

Short-term debt outstanding for Xcel Energy was as follows:

(Amounts in Millions, Except Interest Rates)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2019	Year Ended Dec. 31, 2018
Borrowing limit	\$3,250	\$3,250
Amount outstanding at period end	1,252	1,038
Average amount outstanding	1,186	788
Maximum amount outstanding	1,403	1,349
Weighted average interest rate, computed on a daily basis	2.68 %	2.34 %
Weighted average interest rate at period end	2.90	2.97

Money Pool — Xcel Energy received FERC approval to establish a utility money pool arrangement with the utility subsidiaries, subject to receipt of required state regulatory approvals. The utility money pool allows for short-term investments in and borrowings between the utility subsidiaries. Xcel Energy Inc. may make investments in the utility subsidiaries at market-based interest rates; however, the money pool arrangement does not allow the utility subsidiaries to make investments in Xcel Energy Inc. The money pool balances are eliminated in consolidation.

NSP-Minnesota, PSCo and SPS participate in the money pool pursuant to approval from their respective state regulatory commissions. NSP-Wisconsin does not participate in the money pool.

2019 Planned Financing Activity — During 2019, Xcel Energy plans to issue approximately \$75 to \$80 million of equity through the DRIP and benefit programs. In addition, Xcel Energy Inc. and its utility subsidiaries issued and anticipated issuing the following debt securities:

Issuer	Security	Amount	Status	Tenor	Coupon
PSCo	First Mortgage Bonds	\$ 400 million	Completed	30 Year	4.05%
Xcel Energy Inc.	Senior Unsecured Bonds	700 million	Pending	N/A	N/A
NSP-Minnesota	First Mortgage Bonds	900 million	Pending	N/A	N/A
PSCo	First Mortgage Bonds	450 million	Pending	N/A	N/A
SPS	First Mortgage Bonds	300 million	Pending	N/A	N/A

Financing plans are subject to change, depending on capital expenditures, internal cash generation, market conditions and other factors.

Forward Equity Agreements — In November 2018, Xcel Energy Inc. entered into forward sale agreements in connection with a completed \$459 million public offering of 9.4 million shares of Xcel Energy common stock. The initial forward agreement was for 8.1 million shares with an additional agreement for 1.2 million shares that was exercised at the option of the banking counterparty. At March 31, 2019, the forward agreements could have been settled with physical delivery of 9.4 million common shares to the banking counterparty in exchange for cash of \$454 million. The forward instruments could also have been settled at March 31, 2019 with delivery of approximately \$64 million of cash or approximately 1.1 million shares of common stock to the counterparty, if Xcel Energy unilaterally elected net cash or net share settlement, respectively. The forward price used to determine amounts due at settlement is calculated based on the November 2018 public offering price for Xcel Energy's common stock of \$49.00, increased for the overnight bank funding rate, less a spread of 0.75% and less expected dividends on Xcel Energy's common stock during the period the instruments are outstanding.

Xcel Energy may settle the agreements at any time up to the maturity date of February 7, 2020. Depending on settlement timing, cash proceeds are expected to be approximately \$450 million to \$455 million.

Forward equity instruments were recognized within stockholders' equity at fair value at execution of the agreements, and will not be subsequently adjusted until settlement.

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements

Xcel Energy does not have any off-balance-sheet arrangements, other than those currently disclosed, that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to investors.

Table of Contents

Earnings Guidance and Long-Term EPS and Dividend Growth Rate Objectives

Xcel Energy 2019 Earnings Guidance — Xcel Energy’s 2019 GAAP and ongoing earnings guidance is a range of \$2.55 to \$2.65 per share.^(a) Key assumptions:

• Constructive outcomes in all rate case and regulatory proceedings.

• Normal weather patterns for the remainder of the year.

• Weather-normalized retail electric sales are projected to be relatively consistent with 2018 levels.

• Weather-normalized retail firm natural gas sales are projected to be within a range of 0.0% to 1.0% over 2018 levels.

• Capital rider revenue is projected to increase \$115 million to \$125 million (net of PTCs) over 2018 levels. PTCs are flowed back to customers, primarily through capital riders and reductions to electric margin.

• Purchase capacity costs are expected to decline \$25 million to \$30 million compared with 2018 levels.

• O&M expenses are projected to decrease approximately 2.0% from 2018 levels.

• Depreciation expense is projected to increase approximately \$120 million to \$130 million over 2018 levels.

• Depreciation expense includes \$34 million for the amortization of a prepaid pension asset at PSCo, which is tax reform related and will not impact earnings.

• Property taxes are projected to increase approximately \$15 million to \$25 million over 2018 levels.

• Interest expense (net of AFUDC - debt) is projected to increase \$80 million to \$90 million over 2018 levels.

• AFUDC - equity is projected to decrease approximately \$20 million to \$30 million from 2018 levels.

• The ETR is projected to be approximately 6% to 8%. The ETR reflects benefits of PTCs which are flowed back to customers through electric margin and will not impact net income.

Ongoing earnings is calculated using net income and adjusting for certain nonrecurring or infrequent items that are, in management’s view, not reflective of ongoing operations. Ongoing earnings could differ from those prepared in accordance with GAAP for unplanned and/or unknown adjustments. Xcel Energy is unable to forecast if any of these items will occur or provide a quantitative reconciliation of the guidance for ongoing diluted EPS to corresponding GAAP diluted EPS.

Long-Term EPS and Dividend Growth Rate Objectives — Xcel Energy expects to deliver an attractive total return to our shareholders through a combination of earnings growth and dividend yield, based on the following long-term objectives:

- Deliver long-term annual EPS growth of 5 to 7% off of a 2018 base of \$2.43 per share, which represents the mid-point of the original 2018 guidance range of \$2.37 to \$2.47 per share;

• Deliver annual dividend increases of 5 to 7%;

• Target a dividend payout ratio of 60 to 70%; and

• Maintain senior secured debt credit ratings in the A range.

Item 3 — QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See Management’s Discussion and Analysis — Derivatives, Risk Management and Market Risk under Item 2.

Item 4 — CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Xcel Energy maintains a set of disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms. In addition, the disclosure controls and procedures ensure that information required to be disclosed is accumulated and communicated to management, including the chief executive officer (CEO) and chief financial officer (CFO), allowing timely decisions regarding required disclosure. As of March 31, 2019, based on an evaluation carried out under the supervision and with the participation of Xcel Energy’s management, including the CEO and CFO, of the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and the procedures, the CEO and CFO have concluded that Xcel Energy’s disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

No changes in Xcel Energy's internal control over financial reporting occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Xcel Energy's internal control over financial reporting.

Part II — OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 — Legal Proceedings

Xcel Energy is involved in various litigation matters that are being defended and handled in the ordinary course of business. The assessment of whether a loss is probable or is a reasonable possibility, and whether a loss or a range of loss is estimable, often involves a series of complex judgments regarding future events. Management maintains accruals for losses that are probable of being incurred and subject to reasonable estimation. Management may be unable to estimate an amount or range of a reasonably possible loss in certain situations, including but not limited to when (1) damages sought are indeterminate, (2) proceedings are in the early stages, or (3) matters involve novel or unsettled legal theories. In such cases, there is considerable uncertainty regarding the timing or ultimate resolution of such matters, including a possible eventual loss.

See Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements and Part I Item 2 for further information.

Item 1A — RISK FACTORS

Xcel Energy Inc.'s risk factors are documented in Item 1A of Part I of its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2018, which is incorporated herein by reference. There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in the Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Item 2 — UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

The following table provides information about our purchases of equity securities that are registered by Xcel Energy Inc. pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act for the quarter ended March 31, 2019:

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
Jan. 1, 2019 - Jan. 31, 2019	—	\$	—	—
Feb. 1, 2019 - Feb. 28, 2019 ^(a)	5,730	53.41	—	—
March 1, 2019 - March 31, 2019 ^(b)	8,064	54.86	—	—
Total	13,794		—	—

(a) Xcel Energy Inc. or one of its agents periodically purchases common shares in order to satisfy obligations under the Stock Equivalent Plan for Non-Employee Directors.

(b) Xcel Energy Inc. withholds stock to satisfy tax withholding obligations on vesting of awards of restricted stock under the Xcel Energy Executive Annual Incentive Award Plan.

Item 6 — EXHIBITS

* Indicates incorporation by reference

+ Executive Compensation Arrangements and Benefit Plans Covering Executive Officers and Directors

Exhibit Number	Description	Report or Registration Statement	SEC File or Registration Number	Exhibit Reference
<u>3.01</u> *	<u>Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Xcel Energy Inc.</u>	Xcel Energy Inc. Form 8-K dated May 16, 2012.	001-03034	3.01
<u>3.02</u> *	<u>Bylaws of Xcel Energy Inc.</u>	Xcel Energy Inc. Form 8-K dated Feb. 17, 2016	001-03034	3.01
<u>4.01</u> *	<u>Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 1, 2019 between PSCo and U.S. Bank National Association, as successor Trustee, creating \$400 million principal amount of 4.05% First Mortgage Bonds, Series No. 33 due 2049</u>	PSCo Form 8-K dated March 13, 2019	001-03280	4.01
<u>10.01</u> +	<u>Brett Carter's Sign-On Bonus Terms</u>			
<u>31.01</u>	<u>Principal Executive Officer's certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>			
<u>31.02</u>	<u>Principal Financial Officer's certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>			
<u>32.01</u>	<u>Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>			

Edgar Filing: XCEL ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

The following materials from Xcel Energy Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2019 are formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (iv) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Common Stockholders' Equity, (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, and (vii) document and entity information.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

XCEL ENERGY INC.

April 26, 2019 By: /s/ JEFFREY S. SAVAGE

Jeffrey S. Savage
Senior Vice President, Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

/s/ ROBERT C. FRENZEL

Robert C. Frenzel
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)