

TERADATA CORP /DE/
Form 10-Q
August 07, 2015

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

✓ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2015
OR

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the transition period from to
Commission File Number 001-33458

TERADATA CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

10000 Innovation Drive

Dayton, Ohio 45342

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (866) 548-8348

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was
required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if
any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T
(§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required
to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer,
or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting
company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange
Act). Yes No

At July 31, 2015, the registrant had approximately 141.6 million shares of common stock outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS
PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| Description | Page |
|---|------|
| Item 1. Financial Statements | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income (Unaudited) Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income (Unaudited) Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014</u> | 5 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014</u> | 6 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 7 |
| Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 16 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 27 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 27 |
| PART II—OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Description | Page |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 27 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 27 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 27 |
| Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 28 |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 28 |
| Item 5. <u>Other Information</u> | 28 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 29 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 30 |

Table of Contents

Part 1—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

Teradata Corporation

Condensed Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income (Unaudited)

| In millions, except per share amounts | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | | |
|---|--------------------|----------|------------------|----------|---|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | |
| Revenue | | | | | |
| Product revenue | \$256 | \$300 | \$497 | \$573 | |
| Service revenue | 367 | 376 | 708 | 731 | |
| Total revenue | 623 | 676 | 1,205 | 1,304 | |
| Costs and operating expenses | | | | | |
| Cost of products | 93 | 105 | 202 | 197 | |
| Cost of services | 203 | 200 | 399 | 403 | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 190 | 188 | 374 | 376 | |
| Research and development expenses | 59 | 50 | 122 | 106 | |
| Impairment of goodwill | 340 | — | 340 | — | |
| Total costs and operating expenses | 885 | 543 | 1,437 | 1,082 | |
| (Loss) income from operations | (262 |) 133 | (232 |) 222 | |
| Other income (expense), net | 13 | (1 |) 13 | (8 |) |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | (249 |) 132 | (219 |) 214 | |
| Income tax expense | 16 | 36 | 24 | 59 | |
| Net (loss) income | \$(265 |) \$96 | \$(243 |) \$155 | |
| Net (loss) income per weighted average common share | | | | | |
| Basic | \$(1.87 |) \$0.61 | \$(1.69 |) \$0.98 | |
| Diluted | \$(1.87 |) \$0.60 | \$(1.69 |) \$0.97 | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | | | | | |
| Basic | 141.9 | 156.9 | 143.6 | 157.7 | |
| Diluted | 141.9 | 159.4 | 143.6 | 160.2 | |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of Contents

Teradata Corporation

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income (Unaudited)

| In millions | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (265 |) \$ 96 | \$ (243 |) \$ 155 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 9 | 1 | (22 |) — |
| Securities: | | | | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on securities, before tax | 4 | — | (5 |) — |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on securities, tax portion | (1 |) — | 2 | — |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on securities, net of tax | 3 | — | (3 |) — |
| Defined benefit plans: | | | | |
| Defined benefit plan adjustment, before tax | — | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Defined benefit plan adjustment, tax portion | — | — | — | — |
| Defined benefit plan adjustment, net of tax | — | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 12 | 2 | (23 |) 1 |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | \$ (253 |) \$ 98 | \$ (266 |) \$ 156 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of ContentsTeradata Corporation
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

| In millions, except per share amounts | June 30, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|---|---------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$921 | \$834 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 511 | 619 |
| Inventories | 46 | 38 |
| Other current assets | 99 | 81 |
| Total current assets | 1,577 | 1,572 |
| Property and equipment, net | 160 | 159 |
| Capitalized software, net | 194 | 199 |
| Goodwill | 590 | 948 |
| Acquired intangible assets, net | 113 | 136 |
| Deferred income taxes | 19 | 20 |
| Other assets | 82 | 98 |
| Total assets | \$2,735 | \$3,132 |
| Liabilities and stockholders' equity | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$15 | \$53 |
| Short-term borrowings | — | 220 |
| Accounts payable | 103 | 126 |
| Payroll and benefits liabilities | 117 | 125 |
| Deferred revenue | 444 | 370 |
| Other current liabilities | 78 | 101 |
| Total current liabilities | 757 | 995 |
| Long-term debt | 585 | 195 |
| Pension and other postemployment plan liabilities | 99 | 99 |
| Long-term deferred revenue | 17 | 18 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 63 | 86 |
| Other liabilities | 28 | 32 |
| Total liabilities | 1,549 | 1,425 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 7) | | |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock: par value \$0.01 per share, 100.0 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively | — | — |
| Common stock: par value \$0.01 per share, 500.0 shares authorized, 141.6 and 147.9 shares issued at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively | 1 | 1 |
| Paid-in capital | 1,098 | 1,054 |
| Retained earnings | 114 | 656 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (27 |) (4 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,186 | 1,707 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$2,735 | \$3,132 |
| See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited). | | |

Table of ContentsTeradata Corporation
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

| In millions | Six Months Ended | | |
|---|------------------|---------|---|
| | June 30, | | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | |
| Operating activities | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$(243 |) \$155 | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 84 | 84 | |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 30 | 25 | |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | — | (1 |) |
| Deferred income taxes | (18 |) (12 |) |
| (Gain) loss on investments | (15 |) 9 | |
| Impairment of goodwill | 340 | — | |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Receivables | 109 | 168 | |
| Inventories | (8 |) 6 | |
| Current payables and accrued expenses | (28 |) 2 | |
| Deferred revenue | 74 | 53 | |
| Other assets and liabilities | (23 |) (8 |) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 302 | 481 | |
| Investing activities | | | |
| Expenditures for property and equipment | (29 |) (21 |) |
| Additions to capitalized software | (30 |) (37 |) |
| Business acquisitions and other investing activities, net | 14 | (7 |) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (45 |) (65 |) |
| Financing activities | | | |
| Repurchases of common stock | (308 |) (184 |) |
| Proceeds from long-term borrowings | 600 | — | |
| Repayments of long-term borrowings | (247 |) (11 |) |
| Repayments of credit facility borrowings | (220 |) — | |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | — | 1 | |
| Other financing activities, net | 14 | 14 | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (161 |) (180 |) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (9 |) 3 | |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | 87 | 239 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 834 | 695 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$921 | \$934 | |
| See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited). | | | |

Table of Contents

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

These statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and, in accordance with those rules and regulations, do not include all information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). In the opinion of management, the condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to fairly state the results of operations, financial position and cash flows of Teradata Corporation ("Teradata" or the "Company") for the interim periods presented herein. The year-end 2014 condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures. Actual results may vary from these estimates. These condensed consolidated interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Teradata's most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 (the "2014 Annual Report"). The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the full year.

2. New Accounting Pronouncements

Revenue Recognition. In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued new guidance that affects any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards. The new guidance will supersede the revenue recognition requirements in the current revenue recognition guidance, and most industry-specific guidance. In addition, the existing requirements for the recognition of a gain or loss on the transfer of nonfinancial assets that are not in a contract with a customer are amended to be consistent with the guidance on recognition and measurement in this update. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, the FASB defines a five step process which includes the following: (1) identify the contract with a customer, (2) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (3) determine the transaction price, (4) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract, and (5) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation.

In July 2015, the FASB issued a one-year delay in the effective date of the new standard. Under this guidance, the new revenue standard will be effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early application permitted. The standard allows entities to apply the standard retrospectively for all periods presented or alternatively an entity is permitted to recognize the cumulative effect of initially applying the guidance as an opening balance sheet adjustment to retained earnings in the period of initial application. The Company is currently evaluating the impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows, as well as the method of transition that will be used in adopting the standard.

Accounting for Share-based Payments with Performance Targets. In June 2014, the FASB issued new guidance that requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period, be treated as a performance condition. A reporting entity should apply existing guidance as it relates to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting to account for such awards. As such, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the periods for which the requisite service has already been rendered. The amendments in this update are effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents

Income Statement Presentation by Eliminating the Concept of Extraordinary Items. This update eliminates from GAAP the concept of extraordinary items. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. A reporting entity may apply the amendments prospectively. A reporting entity also may apply the amendments retrospectively to all prior periods presented in the financial statements. Early adoption is permitted provided that the guidance is applied from the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. This amendment is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's results of operations.

Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. To simplify presentation of debt issuance costs, the amendments in this update require that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The recognition and measurement guidance for debt issuance costs are not affected by the amendments in this update. For public business entities, the amendments in this update are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years and shall be applied on a retrospective basis. This amendment is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position.

Intangibles, Goodwill and Other Internal-Use Software. The amendments in this update provide guidance to customers about whether a cloud computing arrangement includes a software license. If a cloud computing arrangement includes a software license, then the customer should account for the software license element of the arrangement consistent with the acquisition of other software licenses. If a cloud computing arrangement does not include a software license, the customer should account for the arrangement as a service contract. The guidance will not change current guidance for a customer's accounting for service contracts. This amendment will be effective for annual periods, including interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this guidance on its consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

3. Supplemental Financial Information

| In millions | As of June 30, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Inventories | | |
| Finished goods | \$29 | \$21 |
| Service parts | 17 | 17 |
| Total inventories | \$46 | \$38 |
| Deferred revenue | | |
| Deferred revenue, current | \$444 | \$370 |
| Long-term deferred revenue | 17 | 18 |
| Total deferred revenue | \$461 | \$388 |

4. Goodwill and Acquired Intangible Assets

The following table identifies the activity relating to goodwill by operating segment:

| In millions | Balance, December 31, 2014 | Adjustments | Currency Translation Adjustments | Impairment | Balance, June 30, 2015 |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|--|------------|------------------------------|
| Goodwill | | | | | |
| Data and Analytics | \$351 | \$(3 |) \$(4 |) \$— | \$344 |
| Marketing Applications | 597 | — | (11 |) (340 |) 246 |
| Total goodwill | \$948 | \$(3 |) \$(15 |) \$(340 |) \$590 |

Table of Contents

The changes to goodwill for the six months ended June 30, 2015 were due to an impairment of goodwill discussed below, changes in foreign currency exchange rates and a purchase accounting adjustment on a recent acquisition. The Company reviews goodwill for impairment annually in the fourth quarter and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The guidance on goodwill impairment requires the Company to perform a step one impairment test, which may result in a second step if the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying value of the net assets. In the first step, the Company compares the fair value of each reporting unit to its carrying value. The Company's reporting units are at one level below the operating segment level, which include the Americas and International reporting units for the data and analytics and marketing applications operating segments. The Company determines the fair value of its reporting units using a weighting of fair values derived from the income approach and the market approach. Under the income approach, the Company calculates the fair value of a reporting unit based on the present value of estimated future cash flows. The market approach estimates fair value based on market multiples of revenue and earnings derived from comparable companies with similar operating and investment characteristics as the reporting unit. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying value of the net assets assigned to that unit, goodwill is not impaired. If the carrying value of the net assets assigned to the reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, then the second step of the impairment test is performed in order to determine the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill in the same manner as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. If the carrying value of a reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then the Company records an impairment loss equal to the difference.

During the second quarter, the Company determined that indicators were present in the marketing applications reporting units which would suggest the fair value of the reporting units may have declined below the carrying value. This decline was primarily due to lower than forecasted revenue and profitability levels for 2015 and future periods. The lower projected operating results reflect further review and analysis of the marketing applications business performed following the recent creation of the business as a separate business unit as was announced at the end of the first quarter of 2015. Due to the complexity and the effort required to estimate the fair value of the marketing applications reporting units in step one of the impairment test and to estimate the fair value of all assets and liabilities of the marketing applications reporting units in step two of the test, the fair value estimates were derived based on preliminary assumptions and analysis that are subject to change. Based on our preliminary analysis, the implied fair value of goodwill was substantially lower than the carrying value of goodwill for the marketing applications reporting units. As a result, the Company recorded an estimate of \$340 million for the goodwill impairment charge during the quarter ended June 30, 2015. The impairment charge was included in impairment of goodwill in the consolidated statements of (loss) income. Any adjustments to the estimated impairment charge will be recorded in the third quarter of 2015. As a result of the goodwill impairment charge, the remaining carrying value of goodwill is \$246 million for the marketing applications reporting units as of June 30, 2015.

The Company utilized a combination of income and market approaches evenly weighted to estimate the fair value of the reporting units for step one. The income approach was determined based on the present value of estimated future cash flows, discounted at a risk-adjusted market rate, including a growth rate to calculate the terminal value. The Company's forecasted future cash flows, which incorporate anticipated future revenue growth and related expenses to support the growth, were used to calculate fair value. These cash flow projections are based on management's estimates of revenue growth rates and operating margins, taking into consideration historical, industry and market conditions. The discount rate used represents the weighted average cost of capital for the marketing applications reporting units considering the risks and uncertainty inherent in the cash flows of the reporting units and in the internally developed forecasts. In applying the market approach, valuation multiples were derived from historical and projected operating data of selected peer companies and applied to the appropriate historical and projected operating data to arrive at a fair value. The implied fair value of the goodwill in step two was determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting units to all of the assets and liabilities as if the reporting units had been acquired in a business combination and its fair value was the purchase price paid to be acquired. The use of these unobservable inputs resulted in the fair value estimate being classified as a Level 3 measurement.

The determination of whether or not goodwill is impaired involves a significant level of judgment in the assumptions underlying the approaches used to determine the estimated fair value of our reporting units. The

9

Table of Contents

Company believes that assumptions and rates used in the impairment assessment are reasonable. However, these assumptions are judgmental and variations in any assumptions could result in materially different calculations of fair value. The Company will continue to evaluate goodwill on an annual basis as of the beginning of its fourth quarter, and whenever events or changes in circumstances, such as significant adverse changes in operating results, market conditions or changes in management's business strategy, indicate that there may be a probable indicator of impairment. It is possible that the assumptions used by management related to the evaluation may change or that actual results may vary significantly from management's estimates. As a result, additional impairment charges may occur in the future.

Acquired intangible assets were specifically identified when acquired, and are deemed to have finite lives. The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization for Teradata's acquired intangible assets were as follows:

| In millions | Amortization Life (in Years) | June 30, 2015 | | December 31, 2014 | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| | | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization and Currency Translation Adjustments | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization and Currency Translation Adjustments |
| Acquired intangible assets | | | | | |
| Intellectual property/developed technology | 1 to 7 | \$186 | \$(112) | \$186 | \$(95) |
| Customer relationships | 3 to 10 | 77 | (41) | 77 | (35) |
| Trademarks/trade names | 5 | 1 | (1) | 1 | (1) |
| In-process research and development | 5 | 5 | (2) | 5 | (2) |
| Total | | \$269 | \$(156) | \$269 | \$(133) |

Prior to conducting the first step of the goodwill impairment test for the marketing applications reporting units, the Company first evaluated the recoverability of the long-lived assets, including purchased intangible assets. When indicators of impairment are present, the Company tests long-lived assets (other than goodwill) for recoverability by comparing the carrying value of an asset group to its undiscounted cash flows. The Company considered the lower than expected revenue and profitability levels as business indicators of impairment for the marketing applications long-lived assets. Based on the results of the recoverability test, the Company determined that the future undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the assets and their eventual disposition exceeded the carrying value of the marketing applications asset groups and were therefore recoverable. The Company did not recognize any impairment charges for intangible assets as a result of this analysis.

The aggregate amortization expense (actual and estimated) for acquired intangible assets for the following periods is as follows:

| In millions | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|------|------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | | |
| Amortization expense | \$11 | \$12 | \$22 | \$23 | | |
| | For the years ended (estimated) | | | | | |
| In millions | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Amortization expense | \$42 | \$33 | \$25 | \$14 | \$11 | \$5 |

Table of Contents

5. Income Taxes

Income tax provisions for interim periods are based on estimated annual income tax rates, adjusted to reflect the effects of any significant infrequent or unusual items which are required to be discretely recognized within the current interim period. The Company's intention is to permanently reinvest its foreign earnings outside of the United States. As a result, the effective tax rates in the periods presented are largely based upon the forecasted pre-tax earnings mix and allocation of certain expenses in various taxing jurisdictions where the Company conducts its business that apply a broad range of statutory income tax rates, a large majority of which are less than the U.S. statutory rate.

The effective tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014 were as follows:

| In millions | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | % |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------|---|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | |
| Effective tax rate | (6.4 |)% 27.3 | % (11.0 |)% 27.6 | % |

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, there was a discrete tax item of \$78 million resulting from the \$340 million goodwill impairment recorded in the second quarter, of which \$318 million is related to non-deductible goodwill. There were no material discrete tax items for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. The difference in the effective tax rate period over period was primarily driven by the \$78 million discrete tax impact from the goodwill impairment recorded in the second quarter of 2015.

6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

As a portion of Teradata's operations is conducted outside the United States and in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, the Company is exposed to potential gains and losses from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. In an attempt to mitigate the impact of currency fluctuations, the Company uses foreign exchange forward contracts to hedge transactional exposures resulting predominantly from foreign currency denominated inter-company receivables and payables. The forward contracts are designated as fair value hedges of specified foreign currency denominated inter-company receivables and payables and generally mature in three months or less. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes, nor does it hold or issue leveraged derivative instruments. By using derivative financial instruments to hedge exposures to changes in exchange rates, the Company exposes itself to credit risk. The Company manages exposure to counterparty credit risk by entering into derivative financial instruments with highly rated institutions that can be expected to fully perform under the terms of the applicable contracts.

All derivatives are recognized in the consolidated balance sheets at their fair value. The fair values of foreign exchange contracts are based on market spot and forward exchange rates and represent estimates of possible value that may not be realized in the future. Changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments, along with the loss or gain on the hedged asset or liability, are recorded in current period earnings. The notional amounts represent agreed-upon amounts on which calculations of dollars to be exchanged are based, and are an indication of the extent of Teradata's involvement in such instruments. These notional amounts do not represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, therefore, are not a measure of the instruments. Across its portfolio of contracts, Teradata has both long and short positions relative to the U.S. dollar. As a result, Teradata's net involvement is less than the total contract notional amount of the Company's foreign exchange forward contracts.

The following table identifies the contract notional amount of the Company's foreign exchange forward contracts:

| In millions | As of | |
|--|---------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
| Contract notional amount of foreign exchange forward contracts | \$76 | \$116 |
| Net contract notional amount of foreign exchange forward contracts | \$18 | \$17 |

Table of Contents

The fair value of derivative assets and liabilities recorded in other current assets and accrued liabilities at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, were not material.

Gains and losses from the Company's fair value hedges (foreign currency forward contracts and related hedged items) were immaterial for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014. Gains and losses from foreign exchange forward contracts are fully recognized each period and reported along with the offsetting gain or loss of the related hedged item, either in cost of products or in other income, depending on the nature of the related hedged item.

7. Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to proceedings, lawsuits, governmental investigations, claims and other matters, including those that relate to the environment, health and safety, employee benefits, export compliance, intellectual property, tax matters and other regulatory compliance and general matters.

Guarantees and Product Warranties. Guarantees associated with the Company's business activities are reviewed for appropriateness and impact to the Company's financial statements. Periodically, the Company's customers enter into various leasing arrangements coordinated with a leasing company. In some instances, the Company guarantees the leasing company a minimum value at the end of the lease term on the leased equipment. As of June 30, 2015, the maximum future payment obligation of this guaranteed value and the associated liability balance was \$4 million.

The Company provides its customers a standard manufacturer's warranty and records, at the time of the sale, a corresponding estimated liability for potential warranty costs. Estimated future obligations due to warranty claims are based upon historical factors such as labor rates, average repair time, travel time, number of service calls and cost of replacement parts. For each consummated sale, the Company recognizes the total customer revenue and records the associated warranty liability using pre-established warranty percentages for that product class.

The following table identifies the activity relating to the warranty reserve for the six months ended June 30:

| In millions | 2015 | 2014 |
|----------------------------------|------|------|
| Warranty reserve liability | | |
| Beginning balance at January 1 | \$7 | \$8 |
| Provisions for warranties issued | 4 | 7 |
| Settlements (in cash or in kind) | (5 |) (8 |
| Balance at June 30 | \$6 | \$7 |

The Company also offers extended and/or enhanced coverage to its customers in the form of maintenance contracts. The Company accounts for these contracts by deferring the related maintenance revenue over the extended and/or enhanced coverage period. Costs associated with maintenance support are expensed as incurred. Amounts associated with these maintenance contracts are not included in the table above.

In addition, the Company provides its customers with certain indemnification rights. In general, the Company agrees to indemnify the customer if a third party asserts patent or other infringement on the part of the customer for its use of the Company's products. The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with the officers and directors of its subsidiaries. From time to time, the Company also enters into agreements in connection with its acquisition and divestiture activities that include indemnification obligations by the Company. The fair value of these indemnification obligations is not readily determinable due to the conditional nature of the Company's potential obligations and the specific facts and circumstances involved with each particular agreement, and as such the Company has not recorded a liability in connection with these indemnification arrangements. Historically, payments made by the Company under these types of agreements have not had a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

8. Fair Value Measurements

Fair value measurements are established utilizing a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; Level 2, defined as significant other observable inputs, such as quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, or quoted prices in less-active markets for identical assets; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis include money market funds, available-for-sale securities and foreign currency exchange contracts. A portion of the Company's excess cash reserves are held in money market funds which generate interest income based on the prevailing market rates. Money market funds are included in cash and cash equivalents in the Company's balance sheet. Money market fund holdings are measured at fair value using quoted market prices and are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Available-for-sale securities include equity securities that are traded in active markets, such as the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotations Systems ("NASDAQ"), and are therefore included in Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Available-for-sale securities are included in other assets in the Company's balance sheet. Unrealized holding gains and losses are excluded from earnings and reported in other comprehensive income until realized.

When deemed appropriate, the Company minimizes its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates through the use of derivative financial instruments, specifically, forward foreign exchange contracts. The fair value of these contracts are measured at the end of each interim reporting period using observable inputs other than quoted prices, specifically market spot and forward exchange rates. As such, these derivative instruments are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Fair value gains for open contracts are recognized as assets and fair value losses are recognized as liabilities. The fair value derivative assets and liabilities recorded in other current assets and accrued liabilities at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, were not material. Any realized gains or losses would be mitigated by corresponding gains or losses on the underlying exposures.

During the second quarter of 2015, goodwill with a carrying value of \$586 million in the marketing applications reporting units was written down to its estimated fair value of \$246 million, resulting in a non-cash impairment charge of \$340 million. In order to arrive at the implied fair value of goodwill, the Company calculated the fair value of all of the assets and liabilities of the marketing applications reporting units as if they had been acquired in a business combination. After assigning fair value to the assets and liabilities of the reporting units, the result was the implied fair value of goodwill of \$246 million, which represented a Level 3 asset measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis subsequent to its original recognition.

The Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and subject to fair value disclosure requirements at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were as follows:

| In millions | June 30, 2015 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| | | Quoted Prices in Significant Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$419 | \$419 | \$— | \$— |
| Available-for-sale securities | 73 | 73 | — | — |
| Total assets at fair value | \$492 | \$492 | \$— | \$— |

Table of Contents

| In millions | December 31, 2014 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Quoted Prices in Significant Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 393 | \$ 393 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Available-for-sale securities | 78 | 78 | — | — |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ 471 | \$ 471 | \$ — | \$ — |

9. Debt

On March 25, 2015, Teradata replaced its existing five-year, \$300 million revolving credit facility with a new \$400 million revolving credit facility (the "Credit Facility"). The Credit Facility ends on March 25, 2020 at which point any remaining outstanding borrowings would be due for repayment unless extended by agreement of the parties for up to two additional one-year periods. The interest rate charged on borrowings pursuant to the Credit Facility can vary depending on the interest rate option the Company chooses to utilize and the Company's leverage ratio at the time of the borrowing. In the near term, Teradata would anticipate choosing a floating rate based on the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"). The Credit Facility is unsecured and contains certain representations and warranties, conditions, affirmative, negative and financial covenants, and events of default customary for such facilities.

As of June 30, 2015, the Company had no borrowings outstanding under the Credit Facility, leaving \$400 million in additional borrowing capacity available. Unamortized deferred costs on the original credit facility and new lender fees of approximately \$2 million are being amortized over the five-year term of the credit facility. The Company was in compliance with all covenants as of June 30, 2015.

Also on March 25, 2015, Teradata closed on a new senior unsecured \$600 million five-year term loan, the proceeds of which were used to pay off the remaining \$247 million of principal on its existing term loan, pay off the \$220 million outstanding balance on the prior credit facility, and to fund share repurchases. The \$600 million term loan is payable in quarterly installments, which will commence on March 31, 2016, with all remaining principal due in March 2020. The outstanding principal amount under the term loan agreement bears interest at a floating rate based upon a negotiated base rate or a Eurodollar rate plus a margin based on the leverage ratio of the Company. As of June 30, 2015, the term loan principal outstanding was \$600 million and carried an interest rate of 1.4375%. The new term loan is accounted for as a modification. Unamortized deferred issuance costs on the original term loan and new lender fees of approximately \$2 million are being amortized over the five-year term of the loan. The Company was in compliance with all covenants as of June 30, 2015.

Annual contractual maturities of principal on the term loan outstanding at June 30, 2015 are as follows:

| In millions | Amounts Due |
|-------------|----------------|
| 2016 | \$ 30 |
| 2017 | 30 |
| 2018 | 60 |
| 2019 | 68 |
| 2020 | 412 |
| Total | \$ 600 |

Teradata's term loan is recognized on the Company's balance sheet at its unpaid principal balance, and is not subject to fair value measurement. However, given that the loan carries a variable rate, the Company estimates that the unpaid principal balance of the term loan would approximate its fair value. If measured at fair value in the financial statements, the Company's term loan would be classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents

10. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the reported period. The calculation of diluted earnings per share is similar to basic earnings per share, except that the weighted average number of shares outstanding includes the dilution from potential shares resulting from stock options, restricted stock awards and other stock awards. The components of basic and diluted earnings per share are as follows:

| In millions, except per share amounts | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Net (loss) income attributable to common stockholders | \$(265) | \$96 | \$(243) | \$155 |
| Weighted average outstanding shares of common stock | 141.9 | 156.9 | 143.6 | 157.7 |
| Dilutive effect of employee stock options, restricted stock and other stock awards | — | 2.5 | — | 2.5 |
| Common stock and common stock equivalents | 141.9 | 159.4 | 143.6 | 160.2 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$(1.87) | \$0.61 | \$(1.69) | \$0.98 |
| Diluted | \$(1.87) | \$0.60 | \$(1.69) | \$0.97 |

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, due to the net loss attributable to Teradata common stockholders, largely due to the goodwill impairment charge, potential common shares that would cause dilution, such as employee stock options, restricted stock and other stock awards, have been excluded from the diluted share count because their effect would have been anti-dilutive. For the three months ended June 30, 2015, the fully diluted shares would have been 144.4 million and for the six months ended June 30, 2015, the fully diluted shares would have been 146.1 million.

Certain options to purchase shares of common stock were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because their exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares for the period, and therefore would have been anti-dilutive. For the three months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014 there were 2.9 million and 2.2 million shares of common stock excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share. For the six months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014 there were 3.0 million and 2.2 million shares of common stock excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share.

11. Segment and Other Supplemental Information

Effective January 1, 2015, Teradata implemented an organizational change in which Teradata now manages its business in two divisions, which are also the Company's operating segments: (1) data and analytics, and (2) marketing applications. This change will enable each division to be more sharply focused in rapidly addressing the dynamics of each market, and in bringing the best solutions to our customers. For purposes of discussing results by segment, management excludes the impact of certain items, consistent with the manner by which management evaluates the performance of each segment. This format is useful to investors because it allows analysis and comparability of operating trends. It also includes the same information that is used by Teradata management to make decisions regarding the segments and to assess financial performance. The chief operating decision maker evaluates the performance of the segments based on revenue and multiple profit measures, including segment gross margin. For management reporting purposes assets are not allocated to the segments. Prior period segment information has been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Table of Contents

The following table presents segment revenue and segment gross margin for the Company:

| In millions | Three Months Ended | | Six months ended June | |
|---|--------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| | June 30, 2015 | 2014 | 30, 2015 | 2014 |
| Segment revenue | | | | |
| Data and Analytics | \$575 | \$623 | \$1,111 | \$1,200 |
| Marketing Applications | 48 | 53 | 94 | 104 |
| Total revenue | 623 | 676 | 1,205 | 1,304 |
| Segment gross margin | | | | |
| Data and Analytics | 318 | 355 | 587 | 677 |
| Marketing Applications | 20 | 24 | 38 | 47 |
| Total segment gross margin | 338 | 379 | 625 | 724 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | (3 |) (3 |) (7 |) (6 |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets | (6 |) (5 |) (11 |) (10 |
| Acquisition, integration and reorganization-related costs | (2 |) — | (3 |) (4 |
| Total gross margin | 327 | 371 | 604 | 704 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 190 | 188 | 374 | 376 |
| Research and development costs | 59 | 50 | 122 | 106 |
| Impairment of goodwill | 340 | — | 340 | — |
| (Loss) income from operations | \$(262 |) \$133 | \$(232 |) \$222 |

The following table presents revenue by product and services for the Company:

| In millions | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended June | |
|---|--------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------|
| | June 30, 2015 | 2014 | 30, 2015 | 2014 |
| Products (software and hardware) ⁽¹⁾ | \$256 | \$300 | \$497 | \$573 |
| Consulting services | 194 | 203 | 366 | 392 |
| Maintenance services | 173 | 173 | 342 | 339 |
| Total services | 367 | 376 | 708 | 731 |
| Total revenue | \$623 | \$676 | \$1,205 | \$1,304 |

⁽¹⁾ Our analytic database software and hardware products are often sold and delivered together in the form of a “node” of capacity as an integrated technology solution. Accordingly, it is impracticable to provide the breakdown of revenue from various types of software and hardware products.

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (“MD&A”).

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes to those statements included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain statements that are forward-looking within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Certain statements contained in the MD&A are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The forward-looking statements are not historical facts, but rather are based on current expectations, estimates, assumptions and projections about our industry, business and future financial results. Our actual results could differ materially from the results contemplated by these forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including those discussed in other sections of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in the 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Second Quarter Financial Overview

As more fully discussed in later sections of this MD&A, the following were significant financial items for the second quarter of 2015:

Table of Contents

Total revenue was \$623 million for the second quarter of 2015, down from the second quarter of 2014, with an underlying 15% decrease in product revenue and a 2% decrease in services revenue.

Gross margin decreased to 52.5% in the second quarter of 2015 down from 54.9% in the second quarter of 2014, driven by lower product and services gross margin.

Operating loss was \$262 million in the second quarter of 2015, compared to operating income of \$133 million in the second quarter of 2014, driven by the impairment of goodwill, lower product and consulting revenue, and gross margin.

Net loss in the second quarter of 2015 was \$265 million, compared to net income of \$96 million in the second quarter of 2014.

The operating results include a goodwill impairment of \$340 million for the marketing applications business.

Strategic Overview

Teradata helps companies achieve competitive advantage and win in their markets by empowering them to become “data-driven businesses” capable of exploiting data for insight and value. With Teradata’s industry-leading analytic data platforms for data warehousing and big data analytics, marketing applications and proven consulting expertise, companies can become more competitive by leveraging data insights to increase revenue, reduce costs, improve business processes, enhance customer relationships, improve their marketing and drive innovation.

We continue to focus on the following key initiatives to broaden our position in the market and take advantage of these market opportunities.

- Be the trusted advisor for enabling data-driven business and continue investing in business and technical consulting via organic growth and targeted strategic acquisitions;

- Invest to expand our leading Unified Data Architecture, data warehouse software and platform family, big data discovery platforms and Hadoop®-based data management platforms to address multiple market segments through internal development and targeted strategic acquisitions;

- Accelerate development for our marketing applications' solutions by investing in high-growth opportunities in our digital marketing cloud and marketing analytics, and adding to capabilities through targeted acquisitions;

- Offer alternatives to make it easier for customers to buy Teradata, and therefore enhance our ability to broaden the market opportunity for Teradata's data and analytics business;

- Deliver our solutions via the cloud (as a service) or on-premises with offerings that support applications as a service, data warehousing as a service, discovery analytics as a service and data management as a service;

- Continue investing in partnerships to increase the number of solutions available on Teradata platforms, maximize customer value, and increase our market coverage; and

- Continue to seek opportunities to expand our sales resources and reach, in both of our data analytics and marketing applications businesses.

Future Trends

We believe that demand for Teradata's data and analytics offerings continues to be strong due to our market leading capabilities as well as the continued growth of data volumes and types of data, the scale and complexity of business requirements, and the growing use of new data elements and more analytics over time. The adoption by customers of a broader set of analytics including predictive analytics, behavioral analytics, location analytics, connection analytics, and many others is driving more applications, usage and capacity. This increased breadth of analytics also drives the need for an overall architecture to manage an increasingly complex analytics environment. As a result, we expect that Teradata’s leadership in analytic data platforms, big data analytics, and Unified Data Architecture positions us for future growth.

This growth, however, is not expected to be without its challenges from general economic conditions, competitive pressures, alternative technologies, and other risks and uncertainties. Since mid-2012, Teradata has seen a change in customers’ buying patterns, particularly in the Americas region, with respect to large capital investments and related services due to capital constraints, short-term investment priorities and lower cost alternatives. Currently, we believe that the greatest challenge for future revenue growth relates to pressures on large capital expenditures. We believe that a number of factors are contributing to a slowdown in our data and analytics revenue growth, including:

Table of Contents

information technology budget constraints; prior investments made by several of our existing customers to build out their Teradata integrated data warehousing ("IDW") environments; a current focus of investments in their analytical ecosystems which have lower average selling prices than IDW environments; and to a lesser degree the transfer of some IDW workloads to other platforms in the ecosystem. In addition, as we broaden our product portfolio and market reach, we are seeing smaller deal sizes and more revenue derived from our appliances, which is contributing to some extent to lower product gross margins. As a result, we are evaluating alternatives to make it easier for customers to buy Teradata and therefore enhance our ability to broaden the market for Teradata's data and analytics business. Overall, we believe that IDW will remain a critical part of companies' analytical ecosystems, and Teradata's technology is highly differentiated with our ability to handle the concurrency and service level agreements of hundreds to thousands of mission-critical users and applications. Further, we believe the Company has the opportunity for continued revenue growth from both the expansion of our existing customers' analytical ecosystems (through growth in IDW and Teradata big data analytics) as well as the addition of new customers.

Although we did not experience significant changes related to competitive and/or pricing trends for our analytic data platforms in the quarter ended June 30, 2015, there is risk that pricing and competitive pressures on our solutions could occur in the future as, among other things, major customers evaluate and rationalize their analytics infrastructure, particularly to the extent that cost becomes a top priority in companies and lower-cost, but less-sophisticated, alternatives are more seriously and frequently considered. These alternatives generally do not enable companies to perform mission-critical, complex business analytic workloads or provide a Unified Data Architecture to address mission-critical analytics, discovery analytics, and data management such as those enabled by Teradata's offerings. As described above, we continue to believe that analytics will remain a high priority for companies and will drive growth for Teradata's leading solutions. Moreover, we will continue to be committed to new product development and achieving a positive yield from our research and development spending and resources, which are intended to drive future demand.

In our marketing applications business, we believe that demand for our integrated marketing solutions is likely to increase as marketing continues to be transformed by the increased usage of data and analytics to understand and optimize the marketing process and its impact on consumers. In addition, the increased usage of digital marketing channels is increasing the usage of big data in marketing. We believe that Teradata's expertise in data and analytics differentiates the products and services offered by the marketing applications division.

Our marketing applications are offered in the cloud as software as a service or on-premises and include solutions for marketing resource management, omni-channel marketing, digital marketing, and marketing analytics that enable our customers to implement their data-driven marketing strategies with agility and efficiency. We have been investing in a transformation to become a cloud-based solution provider for omni-channel marketing and other strategic marketing functions that includes product enhancements and expanded software as a service offerings in our Digital Marketing Cloud. We believe these investments in digital data and analytics, services based architecture, and user experience will help drive future revenue growth as will our refined go-to-market approach to penetrate new customer segments while still addressing the Teradata customer base. However, we expect to continue to be challenged by, among other things, strong competition in the market as existing competitors build out their marketing offerings through considerable investments and new smaller players enter the market looking to take advantage of this transformation in marketing. The Company is exposed to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates given that a portion of the Company's operations and revenue occur outside the United States and in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Based on currency rates as of July 31, 2015, Teradata is expecting approximately six percentage points of adverse impact from currency translation on our full-year 2015 reported revenue growth rate and a corresponding currency impact on our profitability.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2015

Compared to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014

Revenue

| In millions | 2015 | % of Revenue | 2014 | % of Revenue |
|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|
| Product revenue | \$256 | 41.1 | \$300 | 44.4 |
| Service revenue | 367 | 58.9 | 376 | 55.6 |
| Total revenue | \$623 | 100 | \$676 | 100 |

Teradata revenue decreased \$53 million or 8% in the second quarter of 2015 compared to the second quarter of 2014. Foreign currency fluctuations had a 6% adverse impact on revenue in the quarter. Product revenue decreased 15% in the second quarter of 2015 from the prior-year period primarily due to Europe, the Middle East and Africa ("EMEA"). Services revenue decreased 2% in the second quarter of 2015 compared to the prior-year period. This was primarily due to a 4% decrease in consulting revenue. Maintenance revenue was flat in the second quarter of 2015 versus prior year.

Gross Margin

| In millions | 2015 | % of Revenue | 2014 | % of Revenue |
|----------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|
| Gross margin | | | | |
| Product gross margin | \$163 | 63.7 | \$195 | 65.0 |
| Service gross margin | 164 | 44.7 | 176 | 46.8 |
| Total gross margin | \$327 | 52.5 | \$371 | 54.9 |

Product gross margin decline was driven by foreign currency impact and by a similar amount of fixed costs on a 15% product revenue decline. This was partially offset by improved deal mix. Services gross margin decline was driven by lower professional services rates as a result of increased work in process, lower utilization, and the investments we are making in our marketing applications and Teradata cloud capabilities, partially offset by a mix of higher margin customer services revenue.

Operating Expenses

| In millions | 2015 | % of Revenue | 2014 | % of Revenue |
|--|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|
| Operating expenses | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | \$190 | 30.5 | \$188 | 27.8 |
| Research and development expenses | 59 | 9.5 | 50 | 7.4 |
| Impairment of goodwill | 340 | 54.6 | — | — |
| Total operating expenses | \$589 | 94.5 | \$238 | 35.2 |

The increase in Selling, General and Administrative ("SG&A") expense of \$2 million was a result of strategic investments in demand creation headcount and marketing programs partially offset by foreign currency movement. Research and Development ("R&D") expenses increased \$9 million due to additional investment in big data analytics, cloud and marketing applications, which included R&D expense associated with our recent acquisitions. R&D expense also includes a \$1 million decrease in capitalized software as compared to the prior year.

During the second quarter, the Company determined that indicators were present in the marketing applications reporting units which would suggest the fair value of the reporting units may have declined below the carrying value. This decline was primarily due to lower than forecasted revenue and profitability levels for 2015 and future periods. The lower projected operating results reflect further review and analysis of the marketing applications business performed following the recent creation of the business unit as a separate business unit as announced at the end of the first quarter of 2015. Based on our preliminary analysis, the implied fair value of goodwill was

Table of Contents

substantially lower than the carrying value of goodwill for the marketing applications reporting units. As a result, the Company recorded an estimate of \$340 million for the goodwill impairment charge during the quarter ended June 30, 2015. As a result of the goodwill impairment charge, the remaining carrying value of goodwill is \$246 million for the marketing applications reporting units as of June 30, 2015. See Note 4 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) for further discussion.

The determination of whether or not goodwill is impaired involves a significant level of judgment in the assumptions underlying the approaches used to determine the estimated fair value of our reporting units. The Company believes that assumptions and rates used in the impairment assessment are reasonable. However, these assumptions are judgmental and variations in any assumptions could result in materially different calculations of fair value. The Company will continue to evaluate goodwill on an annual basis as of the beginning of its fourth quarter, and whenever events or changes in circumstances, such as significant adverse changes in operating results, market conditions or changes in management's business strategy, indicate that there may be a probable indicator of impairment. It is possible that the assumptions used by management related to the evaluation may change or that actual results may vary significantly from management's estimates. As a result, additional impairment charges may occur in the future.

Other Income (Expense), net

| In millions | 2015 | 2014 |
|-----------------------------|------|--------|
| Gain (loss) on securities | \$15 | \$(1) |
| Interest income | 1 | 1 |
| Interest expense | (2) | (1) |
| Other | (1) | — |
| Other income (expense), net | \$13 | \$(1) |

Other income in the second quarter of 2015 arose primarily from a \$15 million gain on the sale of an equity investment.

Provision for Income Taxes

Income tax provisions for interim periods are based on estimated annual income tax rates, adjusted to reflect the effects of any significant infrequent or unusual items which are required to be discretely recognized within the current interim period. The Company's intention is to permanently reinvest its foreign earnings outside of the United States. As a result, the effective tax rates in the periods presented are largely based upon the forecasted pre-tax earnings mix between the United States and other foreign taxing jurisdictions where the Company conducts its business under its current structure. The Company estimates its full-year effective tax rate for 2015 to be approximately 230%, which takes into consideration, among other things, the forecasted earnings mix by jurisdiction for 2015 and the \$78 million discrete tax impact (or 205% impact to our effective rate) resulting from the \$340 million goodwill impairment, of which \$318 million is related to non-deductible goodwill. This estimate also assumes that the U.S. Federal Research and Development Tax Credit ("US R&D Tax Credit"), which expired on December 31, 2014, will be retroactively reinstated sometime during 2015. If the credit is not reinstated during 2015, we estimate our tax expense will increase by approximately \$3 million. The forecasted tax rate is based on the overseas profits being taxed at an overall effective tax rate of approximately 11%, as compared to the federal statutory tax rate of 35% in the United States.

Table of Contents

The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014 were as follows:

| | | | | |
|--------------------|------|----|------|----|
| | 2015 | | 2014 | |
| Effective tax rate | (6.4 |)% | 27.3 |)% |

For the three months ended June 30, 2015, the effective tax rate includes a \$78 million discrete tax impact resulting from the \$340 million goodwill impairment, of which \$318 million is related to non-deductible goodwill. There were no material discrete tax items for the three months ended June 30, 2014. The difference in the effective tax rate period over period was primarily driven by the \$78 million discrete tax impact from the goodwill impairment recorded in the second quarter of 2015.

Revenue and Gross Margin by Operating Segment

Effective January 1, 2015, Teradata implemented an organizational change in which Teradata now manages its business in two divisions, which are also the Company's operating segments: (1) data and analytics, and (2) marketing applications. This change will enable each division to be more sharply focused on rapidly addressing the dynamics of each market, and on bringing the best solutions to our customers. For purposes of discussing results by segment, management excludes the impact of certain items, consistent with the manner by which management evaluates the performance of each segment. This format is useful to investors because it allows analysis and comparability of operating trends. It also includes the same information that is used by Teradata management to make decisions regarding the segments and to assess financial performance. The chief operating decision maker evaluates the performance of the segments based on revenue and multiple profit measures, including segment gross margin. For management reporting purposes, assets are not allocated to the segments. Our segment results are reconciled to total company results reported under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") in Note 11 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited). Prior period segment information has been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

The following table presents revenue and operating performance by segment for the three months ended June 30:

| In millions | 2015 | % of Revenue | 2014 | % of Revenue | |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|---|
| Segment revenue | | | | | |
| Data and Analytics | \$575 | 92.3 | % \$623 | 92.2 | % |
| Marketing Applications | 48 | 7.7 | % 53 | 7.8 | % |
| Total segment revenue | \$623 | 100 | % \$676 | 100 | % |
| Segment gross margin | | | | | |
| Data and Analytics | \$318 | 55.3 | % \$355 | 57.0 | % |
| Marketing Applications | 20 | 41.7 | % 24 | 45.3 | % |
| Total segment gross margin | \$338 | 54.3 | % \$379 | 56.1 | % |

Data and Analytics: Revenue decreased 8% in the second quarter of 2015 from the second quarter of 2014, which included a 7% adverse revenue impact from foreign currency fluctuations. Americas revenue was down 1%, which included a 2% adverse impact from foreign currency fluctuations. International revenue declined 17%, primarily due to EMEA, and included a 12% adverse revenue impact from foreign currency fluctuations. Gross margins were down from second quarter of 2014 driven by both product and services gross margins. The product gross margin decline was driven by foreign currency impact and by a similar amount of fixed costs on a 15% decline in product revenue.

Marketing Applications: Revenue decreased 9% in the second quarter of 2015 from the second quarter of 2014. The revenue decrease included a 6% adverse revenue impact from foreign currency fluctuations. The overall gross margin decrease is primarily driven by investments in the cloud business as well as lower professional services

Table of Contents

margins, which were impacted by investments to help better position the Company to go broader in the market and drive long-term growth in this business.

Results of Operations for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2015

Compared to the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

Revenue

| In millions | 2015 | % of Revenue | 2014 | % of Revenue | |
|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|---|
| Product revenue | \$497 | 41.2 | % \$573 | 43.9 | % |
| Service revenue | 708 | 58.8 | % 731 | 56.1 | % |
| Total revenue | \$1,205 | 100 | % \$1,304 | 100 | % |

Teradata revenue decreased \$99 million or 8% during the six months ended June 30, 2015 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2014. Foreign currency fluctuations had a 6% adverse impact on revenue in the first six months of 2015. Product revenue decreased 13% in the first half of 2015 from the prior-year period primarily due to a decrease in large capital expenditures and foreign currency fluctuations, which had a 5% adverse impact on product revenue the first half of the year. Services revenue decreased 3% in the first half of 2015 compared to the prior-year period. Foreign currency fluctuations had a 7% adverse impact on services revenue in the first six months of 2015 and maintenance revenue was up 1%.

Gross Margin

| In millions | 2015 | % of Revenue | 2014 | % of Revenue | |
|----------------------|-------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|---|
| Gross margin | | | | | |
| Product gross margin | \$295 | 59.4 | % \$376 | 65.6 | % |
| Service gross margin | 309 | 43.6 | % 328 | 44.9 | % |
| Total gross margin | \$604 | 50.1 | % \$704 | 54.0 | % |

The decrease in product gross margin was caused by the high mix of hardware versus software deals, which was driven by a few large transactions in the first half of 2015. Product gross margins were also negatively impacted by foreign currency, a lower mix of our integrated data warehousing products, and a reduction in product volume. Services gross margin was positively impacted by the increased mix of higher margin maintenance revenue. This was more than offset by a decline in consulting services margins primarily due to the timing of work in process and the investments we are making in our marketing applications and Teradata cloud capabilities.

Operating Expenses

| In millions | 2015 | % of Revenue | 2014 | % of Revenue | |
|--|-------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|---|
| Operating expenses | | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | \$374 | 31.0 | % \$376 | 28.8 | % |
| Research and development expenses | 122 | 10.1 | % 106 | 8.1 | % |
| Impairment of goodwill | 340 | 28.2 | % — | — | % |
| Total operating expenses | \$836 | 69.4 | % \$482 | 37.0 | % |

The decrease in SG&A expense of \$2 million is a result of the change in foreign currency exchange rates, partially offset by investments in demand creation resulting from our strategic investment initiatives. R&D expenses increased 15% due to additional investments in big data analytics, cloud and marketing applications, which includes R&D expense associated with our recent acquisitions. The increase in R&D expense was also caused by a decrease in capitalized software of \$7 million as compared to the prior year.

Table of Contents

During the second quarter, the Company determined that indicators were present in the marketing applications reporting units which would suggest the fair value of the reporting units may have declined below the carrying value. This decline was primarily due to lower than forecasted revenue and profitability levels for 2015 and future periods. The lower projected operating results reflect further review and analysis of the marketing applications business performed following the recent creation of the business as a separate business as announced at the end of the first quarter of 2015. Based on our preliminary analysis, the implied fair value of goodwill was substantially lower than the carrying value of goodwill for the marketing applications reporting units. As a result, the Company recorded an estimate of \$340 million for the goodwill impairment charge during the quarter ended June 30, 2015. As a result of the goodwill impairment charge, the remaining carrying value of goodwill is \$246 million for the marketing applications reporting units as of June 30, 2015. See Note 4 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) for further discussion.

The determination of whether or not goodwill is impaired involves a significant level of judgment in the assumptions underlying the approaches used to determine the estimated fair value of our reporting units. The Company believes that assumptions and rates used in the impairment assessment are reasonable. However, these assumptions are judgmental and variations in any assumptions could result in materially different calculations of fair value. The Company will continue to evaluate goodwill on an annual basis as of the beginning of its fourth quarter, and whenever events or changes in circumstances, such as significant adverse changes in operating results, market conditions or changes in management's business strategy, indicate that there may be a probable indicator of impairment. It is possible that the assumptions used by management related to the evaluation may change or that actual results may vary significantly from management's estimates. As a result, additional impairment charges may occur in the future.

Other Income (Expense), net

| In millions | 2015 | 2014 | |
|-----------------------------|------|------|---|
| Gain (loss) on securities | \$15 | \$(9 |) |
| Interest income | 2 | 2 | |
| Interest expense | (3 |) (2 |) |
| Other | (1 |) 1 | |
| Other income (expense), net | \$13 | \$(8 |) |

Other income in the first six months of 2015 arose primarily from a \$15 million gain on the sale of an equity investment. Other expense for the first six months of 2014 included a \$9 million impairment in the carrying value of an equity investment.

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014 were as follows:

| | 2015 | 2014 | |
|--------------------|-------|---------|---|
| Effective tax rate | (11.0 |)% 27.6 | % |

For the six months ended June 30, 2015, the effective tax rate includes a \$78 million discrete tax impact reflected in the second quarter resulting from the \$340 million goodwill impairment, of which \$318 million is related to non-deductible goodwill. There were no material discrete tax items for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The difference in the effective tax rate period over period was primarily driven by the \$78 million discrete tax impact from the goodwill impairment recorded in the second quarter of 2015.

Table of Contents

Revenue and Gross Margin by Operating Segment

The following table presents revenue and operating performance by segment for the six months ended June 30:

| In millions | 2015 | % of Revenue | 2014 | % of Revenue | |
|----------------------------|---------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|---|
| Segment revenue | | | | | |
| Data and Analytics | \$1,111 | 92.2 | % \$1,200 | 92.0 | % |
| Marketing Applications | 94 | 7.8 | % 104 | 8.0 | % |
| Total segment revenue | \$1,205 | 100 | % \$1,304 | 100 | % |
| Segment gross margin | | | | | |
| Data and Analytics | \$587 | 52.8 | % \$677 | 56.4 | % |
| Marketing Applications | 38 | 40.4 | % 47 | 45.2 | % |
| Total segment gross margin | \$625 | 51.9 | % \$724 | 55.5 | % |

Data and Analytics: Revenue decreased 7% in the six months ended June 30, 2015 from the six months ended June 30, 2014, which included a 5% adverse revenue impact from foreign currency fluctuations. Americas revenue was down 4% primarily driven by a decrease in large capital expenditure transactions. International revenue was down 12%, which included a 12% adverse revenue impact from foreign currency fluctuations. Gross margins were down in the first half of 2015 compared to the first half of the prior year due to the high mix of hardware versus software deals in the first quarter of 2015 and the impact of foreign currency exchange rates.

Marketing Applications: Revenue decreased 10% in the first six months of 2015 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2014. The revenue decrease included a 7% adverse revenue impact from foreign currency fluctuations. The overall gross margin decrease is primarily driven by investments in the cloud business as well as lower professional services margins, which were impacted by investments to help better position the Company to go broader in the market and drive long-term growth in this business.

Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$179 million in the six months ended June 30, 2015 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2014. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to timing of collections of receivables and lower net income.

Teradata's management uses a non-GAAP measure called "free cash flow," which is not a measure defined under GAAP. We define free cash flow as net cash provided by operating activities, less capital expenditures for property and equipment, and additions to capitalized software, as one measure of assessing the financial performance of the Company, and this may differ from the definition used by other companies. The components that are used to calculate free cash flow are GAAP measures taken directly from the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited). We believe that free cash flow information is useful for investors because it relates the operating cash flow of the Company to the capital that is spent to continue and improve business operations. In particular, free cash flow indicates the amount of cash available after capital expenditures, for among other things, investments in the Company's existing businesses, strategic acquisitions and repurchase of Teradata common stock. Free cash flow does not represent the residual cash flow available for discretionary expenditures since there may be other non-discretionary expenditures that are not deducted from the measure. This non-GAAP measure should not be considered a substitute for, or superior to, cash flows from operating activities under GAAP.

Table of Contents

The table below shows net cash provided by operating activities and capital expenditures for the following periods:

| In millions | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---------------------------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$302 | \$481 |
| Less: | | |
| Expenditures for property and equipment | (29) | (21) |
| Additions to capitalized software | (30) | (37) |
| Free cash flow | \$243 | \$423 |

Financing activities and certain other investing activities are not included in our calculation of free cash flow. These activities included a \$15 million gain on investment in the six months ended June 30, 2015 as compared to a \$9 million loss on investments in the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Teradata's financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2015 consisted of cash outflows for share repurchases, payments on credit facility borrowings, and proceeds from long-term debt. The Company purchased 7 million shares of its common stock at an average price per share of \$42.90 million in the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 4.5 million shares at an average price per share of \$41.91 million in the six months ended June 30, 2014. The first program (the "dilution offset program"), allows the Company to repurchase Teradata common stock to the extent of cash received from the exercise of stock options and the Teradata Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") to offset dilution from shares issued pursuant to these plans. As of June 30, 2015, under the Company's second share repurchase program (the "general share repurchase program"), the Company had \$412 million of authorization remaining to repurchase outstanding shares of Teradata common stock. Share repurchases made by the Company are reported on a trade date basis. Our share repurchase activity depends on factors such as our working capital needs, our cash requirements for capital investments, our stock price, and economic and market conditions.

Proceeds from the ESPP and the exercise of stock options were \$14 million for both the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014. These proceeds are included in other financing activities, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited). Additionally, during the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company repaid \$220 million against the principle balance of its revolving credit facility, which is discussed further below.

Our total in cash and cash equivalents held outside the United States in various foreign subsidiaries was \$837 million as of June 30, 2015 and \$785 million as of December 31, 2014. The remaining balance held in the United States was \$84 million as of June 30, 2015 and \$49 million as of December 31, 2014. Under current tax laws and regulations, if cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments held outside the United States are distributed to the United States in the form of dividends or otherwise, we would be subject to additional U.S. income taxes (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits) and possible foreign withholding taxes. As of June 30, 2015, we have not provided for the U.S. federal tax liability on approximately \$1 billion of foreign earnings that are considered permanently reinvested outside of the United States.

Management believes current cash, Company cash flows from operations and its \$400 million credit facility will be sufficient to satisfy future working capital, research and development activities, capital expenditures, pension contributions, and other financing requirements for at least the next twelve months. The Company principally holds its cash and cash equivalents in bank deposits and highly-rated money market funds.

The Company's ability to generate positive cash flows from operations is dependent on general economic conditions, competitive pressures, and other business and risk factors described in the Company's 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K (the "2014 Annual Report"), and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report. If the Company is unable to generate sufficient cash flows from operations, or otherwise to comply with the terms of the credit facility and term loan agreement, the Company may be required to seek additional financing alternatives.

Long-term debt. On March 25, 2015, Teradata replaced its existing five-year, \$300 million revolving credit facility with a new \$400 million revolving credit facility (the "Credit Facility"). The Credit Facility ends on March 25,

Table of Contents

2020 at which point any remaining outstanding borrowings would be due for repayment unless extended by agreement of the parties for up to two additional one-year periods. The interest rate charged on borrowings pursuant to the Credit Facility can vary depending on the interest rate option the Company chooses to utilize and the Company's leverage ratio at the time of the borrowing. In the near term, Teradata would anticipate choosing a floating rate based on the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"). The Credit Facility is unsecured and contains certain representations and warranties, conditions, affirmative, negative and financial covenants, and events of default customary for such facilities. As of June 30, 2015, the Company had no outstanding borrowings on the Credit Facility, and was in compliance with all covenants.

Also on March 25, 2015, Teradata closed on a new senior unsecured \$600 million five-year term loan, the proceeds of which were used to pay off the remaining \$247 million of principal on its existing term loan, pay off the \$220 million outstanding balance on the prior credit facility, and to fund share repurchases. The \$600 million term loan is payable in quarterly installments, which will commence on March 31, 2016, with all remaining principal due in March 2020. The outstanding principal amount under the term loan agreement bears interest at a floating rate based upon a negotiated base rate or a Eurodollar rate plus in each case a margin based on the leverage ratio of the Company. As of June 30, 2015, the term loan principal outstanding was \$600 million and carried an interest rate of 1.4375%. The Company was in compliance with all covenants as of June 30, 2015.

Contractual and Other Commercial Commitments. There has been no significant change in our contractual and other commercial commitments as described in the 2014 Annual Report. Our guarantees and product warranties are discussed in Note 7 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP. In connection with the preparation of these financial statements, we are required to make assumptions, estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and the related disclosure of contingent liabilities. These assumptions, estimates and judgments are based on historical experience and assumptions that are believed to be reasonable at the time. However, because future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty, the determination of estimates requires the exercise of judgment. Our critical accounting policies are those that require assumptions to be made about matters that are highly uncertain. Different estimates could have a material impact on our financial results. Judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of these policies and estimates may result in materially different amounts being reported under different conditions or circumstances. Our management periodically reviews these estimates and assumptions to ensure that our financial statements are presented fairly and are materially correct.

In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP and does not require significant management judgment in its application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting among available alternatives would not produce a materially different result. The significant accounting policies and estimates that we believe are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results are discussed in the 2014 Annual Report. Teradata's senior management has reviewed these critical accounting policies and related disclosures and determined that there were no significant changes in our critical accounting policies in the six months ended June 30, 2015. Also, there were no significant changes in our estimates associated with those policies, except as discussed below.

During the second quarter of 2015, the Company determined that indicators were present in the marketing applications reporting units which would suggest the fair value of the reporting units may have declined below the carrying value. This decline was primarily due to lower than forecasted revenue and profitability levels for 2015 and future periods. The lower projected operating results reflect an ongoing review of the marketing applications business performed by new leadership announced at the end of the first quarter of 2015. The interim goodwill impairment analysis performed in the second quarter of 2015 resulted in a revision of the Company's previous estimates performed in the fourth quarter of 2014 and first quarter of 2015 (in connection with the change in segment reporting), which resulted in a goodwill impairment of \$340 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015. The remaining amount of goodwill allocated to these reporting units was \$246 million at June 30, 2015. See Note 4 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) for further discussion.

Table of Contents

New Accounting Pronouncements

See discussion in Note 2 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) for new accounting pronouncements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

There have not been any material changes to the market risk factors previously disclosed in Part II, Item 7A of the Company's 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Teradata maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")) that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in its reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including, as appropriate, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

Based on their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance as of June 30, 2015.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the last fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II—OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

The information required by this item is included in the material under Note 7 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have not been any material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in Part I, Item IA of the Company's 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Purchase of Company Common Stock

During the second quarter of 2015, the Company executed purchases of 0.7 million shares of its common stock at an average price per share of \$38.73 under the two share repurchase programs that were authorized by our Board of Directors. The first program (the "dilution offset program"), allows the Company to repurchase Teradata common stock to the extent of cash received from the exercise of stock options and the Teradata Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") to offset dilution from shares issued pursuant to these plans. As of June 30, 2015, under the Company's second share repurchase program (the "general share repurchase program"), the Company had \$412 million of authorization remaining to repurchase outstanding shares of Teradata common stock. Share repurchases made by the Company are reported on a trade date basis.

Table of Contents

Section 16 officers occasionally sell vested shares of restricted stock to the Company at the current market price to cover their withholding taxes. For the six months ended June 30, 2015, the total of these purchases was 5,805 shares at an average price of \$43.83 per share.

The following table provides information relating to the Company's share repurchase programs for the six months ended June 30, 2015:

| Month | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Dilution Offset Program | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced General Share Repurchase Program | Maximum Dollar Value that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Dilution Offset Program | Maximum Dollar Value that May Yet Be Purchased Under the General Share Repurchase Program |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| First Quarter Total | 6,303,494 | \$43.34 | 259,000 | 6,044,494 | \$524,114 | \$131,483,901 |
| April 2015 | — | N/A | — | — | \$2,647,461 | \$131,483,901 |
| May 2015 | 200,000 | \$38.66 | 100,000 | 100,000 | \$2,502,966 | \$427,614,131 |
| June 2015 | 456,771 | \$38.76 | 56,771 | 400,000 | \$2,238,246 | \$412,109,831 |
| Second Quarter Total | 656,771 | \$38.73 | 156,771 | 500,000 | \$2,238,246 | \$412,109,831 |

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

None

Item 5. Other Information.

None

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

| Reference Number per Item 601 of Regulation S-K | Description |
|---|---|
| 2.1 | Form of Separation and Distribution Agreement between Teradata Corporation and NCR Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 11, 2007 (SEC file number 001-33458)). |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Teradata Corporation as amended and restated on September 24, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 25, 2007 (SEC file number 001-33458)). |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By-Laws of Teradata Corporation, as amended and restated on April 29, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 5, 2014). |
| 4.1 | Common Stock Certificate of Teradata Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated November 13, 2007 (SEC file number 001-33458)). |
| 31.1 | Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a), dated August 7, 2015. |
| 31.2 | Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a), dated August 7, 2015. |
| 32 | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, dated August 7, 2015. |
| 101 | Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six month periods ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 and (v) the notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TERADATA CORPORATION

Date: August 7, 2015

By: /s/ Stephen M. Scheppmann
Stephen M. Scheppmann
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer