

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES INC

Form 10-K

March 13, 2013

United States
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

Commission file number 1-11398

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New York
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

11-2520310
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

91 Heartland Blvd., Edgewood, New York 11717
(Address of principal executive offices)

(631) 586-5200
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Common Stock, \$.001 par value | NYSE Mkt |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(do not check if a smaller reporting
company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12-b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

As of June 30, 2012 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter), the aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock (based on its reported last sale price on the NYSE Mkt of \$11.00) held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$76,305,394.

As of March 8, 2013, the registrant had 8,373,652 common shares, \$.001 par value, outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference:

Part III (Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14) from the definitive Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the end of the Registrant's fiscal year covered by this report.

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.
FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT-2012
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| PART I | | |
| Item 1. | BUSINESS | 4 |
| Item 1A. | RISK FACTORS | 9 |
| Item 1B | UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS | 14 |
| Item 2. | PROPERTIES | 14 |
| Item 3. | LEGAL PROCEEDINGS | 14 |
| Item 4. | MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES | 14 |
| PART II | | |
| Item 5. | MARKET FOR REGISTRANT’S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES | 15 |
| Item 6. | SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA | 17 |
| Item 7. | MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION | 8 |
| Item 7A. | AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | 24 |
| Item 8. | QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK | 24 |
| Item 8. | FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA | 24 |
| Item 9. | CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE | 24 |
| Item 9A | CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES | 24 |
| Item 9B. | OTHER INFORMATION | 26 |
| PART III | | |
| Item 10. | DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE | 26 |
| Item 11. | EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION | 26 |
| Item 12. | SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS | 26 |
| Item 13. | CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE | 26 |
| Item 14. | PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES | 26 |
| PART IV | | |
| Item 15. | EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES | 27 |
| | INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | 29 |

PART I

Item 1. BUSINESS

General

CPI Aerostructures, Inc. (“CPI Aero®” or the “Company”) is engaged in the contract production of aircraft structural parts principally for the U.S. Air Force and other branches of the U.S. armed forces, either as a prime contractor or as a subcontractor to other defense prime contractors. CPI Aero also acts as a subcontractor to prime aircraft manufacturers in the production of commercial aircraft parts. Our strategy for growth has been focused primarily as a subcontractor for defense prime contractors. Due to our success as a subcontractor to defense prime contractors we have pursued opportunities to increase our commercial subcontracting business.

As a subcontractor to leading defense prime contractors such as Northrop Grumman Corporation (“NGC”), The Boeing Company (“Boeing”), Lockheed Martin Corporation (“Lockheed”), Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation (“Sikorsky”) and Bell Helicopter, we deliver various pods, and modular and structural assemblies for military aircraft such as the E-2D “Hawkeye” surveillance aircraft, UH-60 “Black Hawk” helicopter, the A-10 “Thunderbolt” attack jet, the MH-60S mine counter measure helicopter and the C-5A “Galaxy” cargo jet. 63%, 77% and 73% of our revenue in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, was generated by subcontracts with defense prime contractors.

We also operate as a subcontractor to prime contractors, including Sikorsky, Honda and Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. (“Spirit”), in the production of commercial aircraft parts. For Spirit we deliver leading edges for the G650 executive jet. For Sikorsky, we deliver various kits and assemblies for the S-92 civilian helicopter. For Honda we produce the flap and engine cowls for the HondaJet Advanced Light Jet. 30%, 14% and 17% of our revenue in 2012, 2011 and 2010 respectively, was generated by commercial contract sales.

In addition, in 2012 we added two new customers to our commercial subcontracting base. In May, we won a contract to produce inlets for the Embraer Phenom 300 and in November we won a contract to produce structural assemblies, predominately wing spars, for the New Cessna Citation X.

We also perform as a prime contractor supplying aircraft structural parts directly to the U.S. Government. In this role, we have delivered skin panels, leading edges, flight control surfaces, engine components, wing tips, cowl doors, nacelle assemblies and inlet assemblies for military aircraft such as the C-5A cargo jet, the T-38 “Talon” jet trainer, the C-130 “Hercules” cargo jet, the A-10 attack jet, and the E-3 “Sentry” AWACS jet. 7%, 9% and 10% of our revenue in 2012, 2011 and 2010 respectively, was generated by prime government contract sales.

Lastly, in 2012, we decided to leverage our knowledge and expertise of aircraft structure and expand our potential work base by bidding on overhaul and repair work. In December, we announced that Sikorsky Aerospace Services awarded us our first overhaul and repair contract to repair outboard stabilator assemblies for the H-60 SEAHAWK

helicopter.

CPI Aero has over 33 years of experience as a contractor, completing over 2,500 contracts to date. Most members of our management team have held management positions at large aerospace contractors, including NGC, Lockheed and The Fairchild Corporation. Our technical team possesses extensive technical expertise and program management and integration capabilities. Our competitive advantage lies in our ability to offer large contractor capabilities with the flexibility and responsiveness of a small company, while staying competitive in cost and delivering superior quality products.

CPI Aero was incorporated under the laws of the State of New York in January 1980 under the name Composite Products International, Inc. CPI Aero changed its name to Consortium of Precision Industries, Inc. in April 1989 and to CPI Aerostructures, Inc. in July 1992. In January 2005, we began doing business under the name CPI Aero®, a registered trademark of the Company. Our principal office is located at 91 Heartland Blvd., Edgewood, New York 11717 and our telephone number is (631) 586-5200.

Page 4

We maintain a website located at www.cpiaero.com. Our corporate filings, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, our Current Reports on Form 8-K, our proxy statements and reports filed by our officers and directors under Section 16 (a) of the Securities Exchange Act, and any amendments to those filings, are available, free of charge, on our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We do not intend for information contained in our website to be a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K

Significant Contracts

Some of our significant contracts are as follows:

Military Aircraft – Subcontracts with Prime Contractors

E-2D “Hawkeye” The NGC E-2 Hawkeye is an all-weather, aircraft carrier-based tactical Airborne Early Warning (AEW) aircraft. The twin turboprop aircraft was designed and developed in the 1950s by Grumman for the United States Navy as a replacement for the E-1 Tracer. The United States Navy aircraft has been progressively updated with the latest variant, the E-2D, first flying in 2007. In 2008, we received an initial \$7.9 million order from NGC to provide structural kits for the E-2D. We value the long-term agreement at approximately \$98 million over an eight-year period, with the potential to be in excess of \$195 million over the life of the aircraft program. The cumulative orders we have received on this program through January 2013 exceed \$60 million.

A-10 “Thunderbolt” The A-10 Thunderbolt II is a single-seat, twin-engine, straight-wing jet aircraft developed by Fairchild-Republic for the United States Air Force to provide close air support of ground forces by attacking tanks, armored vehicles, and other ground targets with a limited air interdiction capability. It is the first U.S. Air Force aircraft designed exclusively for close air support. In 2008, we received an initial order of \$3.2 million from the Boeing Integrated Defense Systems unit of Boeing in support of its \$2 billion award to produce up to 242 enhanced wings for the A-10. The cumulative orders we have received on this program through January 2013 exceed \$62 million.

Commercial Aircraft – Subcontracts with Prime Contractors

Gulfstream G650 In March 2008, Spirit awarded us a contract to provide Spirit with leading edges for the Gulfstream G650 business jet, a commercial program that Spirit is supporting.

HondaJet© advanced light business jet In May 2011 Honda Aircraft Company, Inc. awarded us a contract to manufacture engine inlets and flaps and vane assemblies for the HondaJet advanced light business jet. We have received approximately \$4.1 million in orders on this program through December 2012. We estimate the potential value of this program to be approximately \$70 million.

Embraer Phenom 300 In May 2012 Embraer S.A. awarded us a contract to manufacture engine inlets for the Embraer Phenom 300 business jet. We estimate the potential value of the program to be in excess of \$40 million.

Cessna Citation X: In November 2012, Cessna Aircraft Company (“Cessna”) awarded us a contract to supply structural assemblies, predominately wing spars, for Cessna’s flagship aircraft, the newly-relaunched Cessna Citation X. We estimate the value of the contract, over a seven year period, to be approximately \$41 million. The initial delivery order is for approximately \$5 million.

Military Aircraft – Prime Contracts with U.S. Government

C-5A “Galaxy”. The C-5A Galaxy cargo jet is one of the largest aircraft in the world and can carry a maximum cargo load of 270,000 pounds. Lockheed delivered the first C-5A in 1970. The C-5A Galaxy carries fully equipped combat-ready military units to any point in the world on short notice and then provides field support to sustain the fighting force. The Air Force has created a comprehensive program to ensure the capabilities of its C-5A fleet until 2040. We are one of the leading suppliers of structural spare parts and assemblies for the C-5A aircraft. We assemble numerous C-5A parts, including panels, slats, spoilers and wing-tips and are the only supplier of C-5A wing-tips to the U.S. government. Like the C-5A itself, the wing-tip is a large structure and is expensive – costing up to \$750,000 for each replacement piece. Our first C-5A contract was approximately \$590,000 of structural spares and was awarded in 1995. In 2004, the Air Force awarded us a seven-year TOP contract to build an assortment of parts for the C-5A, including wing tips and panels. The ordering period for the C-5 TOP contract ended in May of 2011. Since 1995, we have received releases under contracts for C-5A parts aggregating approximately \$103 million, including \$45.5 million from the TOP contract.

Sales and Marketing

We obtain contracts for our products and services through the process of competitive bidding. Our average sales cycle, which generally commences at the time a prospective customer issues a request for proposal and ends upon delivery of the final product to the customer, varies widely. While historically our direct U.S. Government work has typically ranged from six months to two years, our major subcontract awards for the E-2D, A-10 and G650 average a seven year life. Our military customers have included Defense Supply Center Richmond, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base (AFB), Warner Robins AFB, Tinker AFB, NAVICP, Hill AFB and U.S. Army Redstone Arsenal. Our commercial customers have included Sikorsky, Boeing, B.F. Goodrich, NGC, Lockheed, Nordam, Hupp, Honda, Embraer, Cessna

and Spirit.

The Market

The majority of our parts are sold for use by the U.S. Military. Accordingly, the national defense budget and procurement funding decisions drive demand for our business. Government spending and budgeting for procurement, operations and maintenance are affected not only by the global war on terrorism through the continued need for military missions and reconstruction efforts in Iraq and Afghanistan, but also the related fiscal consequences of war and the political electoral process.

Since 2008 we have increased our customer base, and positioned our company to take advantage of additional market opportunities and thus reduce the impact of direct government contracting.

Our success as a subcontractor to defense prime contractors has provided us with opportunities to act as a subcontractor to prime contractors in the production of commercial aircraft parts, which also reduced our exposure to government spending decisions.

Our revenue from customers for the last three years has been wholly attributable to the United States and we have no assets outside the United States.

Backlog

We produce custom assemblies pursuant to long-term contracts and customer purchase orders. Backlog consists of aggregate values under such contracts and purchase orders, excluding the portion previously included in operating revenues on the basis of percentage of completion accounting, and including estimates of future contract price escalation. Substantially all of our backlog is subject to termination at will and rescheduling, without significant penalty. Funds are often appropriated for programs or contracts on a yearly or quarterly basis, even though the contract may call for performance that is expected to take a number of years. Therefore, our funded backlog does not include the full value of our contracts. Our backlog as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

| Backlog | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|----------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Funded | \$52,318,000 | \$65,657,000 |
| Unfunded | 339,563,000 | 194,365,000 |
| Total | \$391,881,000 | \$260,022,000 |

Approximately 55% of the total amount of our backlog at December 31, 2012 was attributable to government contracts. All of the funded backlog at December 31, 2012 is expected to be recognized as revenue during 2013. Our unfunded backlog is primarily comprised of the long-term contracts that we received from Boeing, Spirit and NGC during 2008, Honda and Bell during 2011 and Cessna, Sikorsky and Ebraer during 2012. These long-term contracts are expected to have yearly orders which will be funded in the future.

Material and Parts

We subcontract production of substantially all parts incorporated into our products to third party manufacturers under firm fixed price orders. Our decision to purchase certain components generally is based upon whether the components are available to meet required specifications at a cost and with a delivery schedule consistent with customer requirements. From time to time, we are required to purchase custom made parts from sole suppliers and manufacturers in order to meet specific customer requirements.

We obtain our raw materials from several commercial sources. Although certain items are only available from limited sources of supply, we believe that the loss of any single supplier would not have a material adverse effect on our

business.

Page 7

Competition

We face competition in our role as both a prime contractor to the U.S. government and as a subcontractor to military and commercial aircraft manufacturers. We compete with numerous larger, well-established prime contractors engaged in the supply of aircraft parts and assemblies to the military, including NGC, Lockheed, Boeing, The Nordam Group and Triumph. All of these competitors possess significantly larger infrastructures, greater resources and the capabilities to respond to much larger contracts. In certain instances, we also may act as a subcontractor to some of these major prime contractors. We also compete against smaller contractors such as AeroComponents, Aerospace Engineering and Support, GSE Dynamics, Honeycomb Company of America, Alton Iron Works, B&B Devices and Precision Manufacturing Solutions.

We believe that our competitive advantage lies in our ability to offer large contractor capabilities with the flexibility and responsiveness of a small company, while staying competitive in cost and delivering superior quality products. While the larger prime contractors compete for significant modification awards and subcontract components to other suppliers, they generally do not compete for awards in smaller modifications, spares and replacement parts, even for aircraft for which they are the original manufacturer. We believe we compete effectively against the smaller competitors because our smaller competitors generally do not have the expertise we have in responding to requests for proposals for government contracts.

Government Regulation

Environmental Regulation

We are subject to regulations administered by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, various state agencies and county and local authorities acting in cooperation with federal and state authorities. Among other things, these regulatory bodies impose restrictions to control air, soil and water pollution, to protect against occupational exposure to chemicals, including health and safety risks, and to require notification or reporting of the storage, use and release of certain hazardous chemicals and substances. The extensive regulatory framework imposes compliance burdens and risks on us. Governmental authorities have the power to enforce compliance with these regulations and to obtain injunctions or impose civil and criminal fines in the case of violations.

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) imposes strict, joint and several liability on the present and former owners and operators of facilities that release hazardous substances into the environment. The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA) regulates the generation, transportation, treatment, storage and disposal of hazardous waste. In New York, the handling, storage and disposal of hazardous substances are governed by the Environmental Conservation Law, which contains the New York counterparts of CERCLA and RCRA. In addition, the Occupational Safety and Health Act, which requires employers to provide a place of employment that is free from recognized and preventable hazards that are likely to cause serious physical harm to employees, obligates employers to provide notice to employees regarding the presence of hazardous chemicals and to train employees in the use of such substances.

Our operations require the use of a limited amount of chemicals and other materials for painting and cleaning, including solvents and thinners, which are classified under applicable laws as hazardous chemicals and substances. We have obtained a permit from the Town of Islip, New York, Building Division in order to maintain a paint booth containing flammable liquids.

Federal Aviation Administration Regulation

We are subject to regulation by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) under the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended. The FAA prescribes standards and licensing requirements for aircraft and aircraft components. We are subject to inspections by the FAA and may be subjected to fines and other penalties (including orders to cease production) for noncompliance with FAA regulations. Our failure to comply with applicable regulations could result in the termination of or our disqualification from some of our contracts, which could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Government Contract Compliance

Our government contracts are subject to the procurement rules and regulations of the United States government. Many of the contract terms are dictated by these rules and regulations. Specifically, cost-based pricing is determined under the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), which provide guidance on the types of costs that are allowable in establishing prices for goods and services under U.S. Government contracts. For example, costs such as those related to charitable contributions, advertising, interest expense, and public relations are unallowable, and therefore not recoverable through sales. During and after the fulfillment of a government contract, we may be audited in respect of the direct and allocated indirect costs attributed thereto. These audits may result in adjustments to our contract costs. Additionally, we may be subject to U.S. government inquiries and investigations because of our participation in government procurement. Any inquiry or investigation can result in fines or limitations on our ability to continue to bid for government contracts and fulfill existing contracts. We believe that we are in compliance with all federal, state and local laws and regulations governing our operations and have obtained all material licenses and permits required for the operation of our business.

The U.S. Government generally has the ability to terminate our contracts, in whole or in part, without prior notice, for convenience or for default based on performance. If a U.S. Government contract were to be terminated for convenience, we generally would be protected by provisions covering reimbursement for costs incurred on the contract and profit on those costs, but not the anticipated profit that would have been earned had the contract been completed. In the unusual circumstance where a U.S. Government contract does not have such termination protection, we attempt to mitigate the termination risk through other means. Termination resulting from our default may expose us to liability and could have a material adverse effect on our ability to compete for other contracts. The U.S. Government also has the ability to stop work under a contract for a limited period of time for its convenience. In the event of a stop work order, we generally would be protected by provisions covering reimbursement for costs incurred on the contract to date and for costs associated with the temporary stoppage of work on the contract. However, such temporary stoppages and delays could introduce inefficiencies for which we may not be able to negotiate full recovery from the U.S. Government, and could ultimately result in termination for convenience or reduced future orders on certain contracts. Additionally, we may be required to continue to perform for some period of time on certain of our U.S. Government contracts, even if the U.S. Government is unable to make timely payments.

Insurance

We maintain a \$2 million general liability insurance policy, a \$100 million products liability insurance policy, and a \$5 million umbrella liability insurance policy. Additionally, we maintain a \$10 million director and officers' insurance policy. We believe this coverage is adequate for the types of products presently marketed because of the strict inspection standards imposed on us by our customers before they take possession of our products. Additionally, the Federal Acquisition Regulations generally provide that we will not be held liable for any loss of or damage to property of the government that occurs after the government accepts delivery of our products and that results from any defects or deficiencies in our products unless the liability results from willful misconduct or lack of good faith on the part of our managerial personnel.

Proprietary Information

None of our current assembly processes or products are protected by patents. We rely on proprietary know-how and information and employ various methods to protect the processes, concepts, ideas and documentation associated with our products. These methods, however, may not afford complete protection and there can be no assurance that others will not independently develop such processes, concepts, ideas and documentation.

CPI Aero® is a registered trademark of the Company.

Employees

As of March 8, 2013, we had 201 full-time employees. We employ temporary personnel with specialized disciplines on an as-needed basis. None of our employees are members of a union. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to other risks and uncertainties described in this Annual Report, the following material risk factors should be carefully considered in evaluating our business because such factors may have a significant impact on our business, operating results, liquidity and financial condition. As a result of the risk factors set forth below, actual results could differ materially from those projected in any forward-looking statements.

Risks related to our business

We depend on government contracts for a significant portion of our revenues.

We are a supplier, either directly or as a subcontractor, to the U.S. government and its agencies, principally the U.S. Air Force. Seven percent of revenue for 2012, 9% of revenue for 2011 and 10% of revenue for 2010 was derived from prime government contract sales. In addition, government subcontracts accounted for 63% of our revenue in 2012, 77% of our revenue in 2011 and 73% of our revenue in 2010. We depend on government contracts for a significant portion of our business. If we are suspended or barred from contracting with the U.S. government, if our reputation or relationship with individual federal agencies were impaired, or if the government otherwise ceased doing business with us or significantly decreased the amount of business it does with us, our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results would be materially adversely affected.

We face risks relating to government contracts.

The funding of U.S. Government programs is subject to congressional budget authorization and appropriation processes. For many programs, Congress appropriates funds on a fiscal year basis even though a program may extend over several fiscal years. Consequently, programs are often only partially funded initially and additional funds are committed only as Congress makes further appropriations. We cannot predict the extent to which total funding and/or funding for individual programs will be included, increased or reduced in budgets approved by Congress or be included in the scope of separate supplemental appropriations. In the event that appropriations for any of our programs becomes unavailable, or is reduced or delayed, our contract or subcontract under such program may be terminated or adjusted by the U.S. Government, which could have a material adverse effect on our future sales under such program, and on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The impact, severity and duration of the current U.S. economic situation, the sweeping economic plans adopted by the U.S. Government, and pressures on the federal budget could also adversely affect the total funding and/or funding for individual programs. The U.S. Government has been unable to reach agreement on budget reduction measures required by the Budget Control Act of 2011 (the "Budget Act") passed by Congress. Congress and the Administration failed to take action by March 1, 2013 therefore the Budget Act triggered automatic reductions in both defense and discretionary spending (commonly known as "sequestration"). While the impact of sequestration is yet to be determined, automatic across-the-board cuts would approximately double the \$487 billion top-line reduction already reflected in the defense funding over a ten-year period, with a \$52 billion reduction occurring in the government's fiscal year 2013. The resulting automatic across-the-board budget cuts by sequestration could have significant adverse consequences for our industry. Sequestration may reduce funding for programs in which we participate or delay or cancel programs, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We also cannot predict the impact of potential changes in priorities due to military transformation and planning and/or the nature of war-related activity on existing, follow-on or replacement programs. A shift of government priorities to programs in which we do not participate and/or reductions in funding for or the termination of programs in which we do participate, unless offset by other programs and opportunities, could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In addition, the U.S. Government generally has the ability to terminate contracts, in whole or in part, without prior notice, for convenience or for default based on performance. In the event of termination for the U.S. Government's convenience, contractors are generally protected by provisions covering reimbursement for costs incurred on the contracts and profit on those costs but not the anticipated profit that would have been earned had the contract been completed. Termination by the U.S. Government of a contract for convenience could also result in the cancellation of future work on that program. Termination by the U.S. Government of a contract due to our default could require us to pay for re-procurement costs in excess of the original contract price, net of the value of work accepted from the original contract. Termination of a contract due to our default may expose us to liability and could have a material adverse effect on our ability to compete for contracts.

We have risks associated with competing in the bidding process for U.S. government contracts.

We obtain many of our U.S. government contracts through a competitive bidding process. In the bidding process, we face the following risks:

- We must bid on programs in advance of their completion, which may result in unforeseen technological difficulties or cost overruns;
- We must devote substantial time and effort to prepare bids and proposals for competitively awarded contracts that may not be awarded to us; and
 - Awarded contracts may not generate sales sufficient to result in profitability.

We are subject to strict governmental regulations relating to the environment, which could result in fines and remediation expense in the event of non-compliance.

We are required to comply with extensive and frequently changing environmental regulations at the federal, state and local levels. Among other things, these regulatory bodies impose restrictions to control air, soil and water pollution, to protect against occupational exposure to chemicals, including health and safety risks, and to require notification or reporting of the storage, use and release of certain hazardous substances into the environment. This extensive regulatory framework imposes significant compliance burdens and risks on us. In addition, these regulations may impose liability for the cost of removal or remediation of certain hazardous substances released on or in our facilities without regard to whether we knew of, or caused, the release of such substances. Furthermore, we are required to provide a place of employment that is free from recognized and preventable hazards that are likely to cause serious physical harm to employees, provide notice to employees regarding the presence of hazardous chemicals and to train employees in the use of such substances. Our operations require the use of a limited amount of chemicals and other materials for painting and cleaning that are classified under applicable laws as hazardous chemicals and substances. If we are found not to be in compliance with any of these rules, regulations or permits, we may be subject to fines, remediation expenses and the obligation to change our business practice, any of which could result in substantial costs that would adversely impact our business operations and financial condition.

We may be subject to fines and disqualification for non-compliance with Federal Aviation Administration regulations.

We are subject to regulation by the Federal Aviation Administration under the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended. The FAA prescribes standards and licensing requirements for aircraft and aircraft components. We are subject to inspections by the FAA and may be subjected to fines and other penalties (including orders to cease production) for noncompliance with FAA regulations. Our failure to comply with applicable regulations could result in the termination of or our disqualification from some of our contracts, which could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

If our subcontractors or suppliers fail to perform their contractual obligations, our contract performance and our ability to obtain future business could be materially and adversely impacted.

Many of our contracts involve subcontracts with other companies upon which we rely to perform a portion of the services that we must provide to our customers. There is a risk that we may have disputes with our subcontractors, including disputes regarding the quality and timeliness of work performed by the subcontractor, customer concerns about the subcontract, our failure to extend existing task orders or issue new task orders under a subcontract, or our hiring of personnel of a subcontractor. A failure by one or more of our subcontractors to satisfactorily provide on a timely basis the agreed-upon supplies or perform the agreed-upon services may materially and adversely impact our ability to perform our obligations as the prime contractor. Subcontractor performance deficiencies could result in a customer eliminating our ability to progress bill or terminating our contract for default. A prohibition on progress billing may have an adverse effect upon our cash flow and profitability and a default termination could expose us to liability and have a material adverse effect on our ability to compete for future contracts and orders. In addition, a delay in our ability to obtain components and equipment parts from our suppliers may affect our ability to meet our customers' needs and may have an adverse effect upon our profitability.

Due to fixed contract pricing, increasing contract costs exposes us to reduced profitability and the potential loss of future business.

Operating margin is adversely affected when contract costs that cannot be billed to customers are incurred. This cost growth can occur if estimates to complete a contract increase due to technical challenges or if initial estimates used for calculating the contract price were incorrect. The cost estimation process requires significant judgment and expertise. Reasons for cost growth may include unavailability and productivity of labor, the nature and complexity of the work to be performed, the effect of change orders, the availability of materials, the effect of any delays in performance, availability and timing of funding from the customer, natural disasters, and the inability to recover any claims included in the estimates to complete. A significant change in cost estimates on one or more programs could have a material effect on the company's financial position or results of operations.

We use estimates when accounting for contracts. Changes in estimates could affect our profitability and our overall financial position.

We recognize revenue from our contracts over the contractual period under the percentage-of-completion (POC) method of accounting. Under the POC method of accounting, sales and gross profit are recognized as work is performed based on the relationship between actual costs incurred and total estimated costs at the completion of the contract. Recognized revenues that will not be billed under the terms of the contract until a later date are recorded as an asset captioned "Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts." Contracts where billings to date have exceeded recognized revenues are recorded as a liability captioned "Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts." Changes to the original estimates may be required during the life of the contract. Estimates are reviewed monthly and the effect of any change in the estimated gross margin percentage for a contract is reflected in the financial statements in the period the change becomes known. The use of the POC method of accounting involves considerable use of estimates in determining revenues, costs and profits and in assigning the amounts to accounting periods. As a result, there can be a significant disparity between earnings (both for accounting and taxes) as reported and actual cash received by us during any reporting period. We continually evaluate all of the issues related to the assumptions, risks and uncertainties inherent with the application of the POC method of accounting; however, there is no assurance that our estimates will be accurate. If our estimates are not accurate or a contract is terminated, we will be forced to adjust revenue in later periods. Furthermore, even if our estimates are accurate, we may have a shortfall in our cash flow and we may need to borrow money to pay taxes until the reported earnings materialize to actual cash receipts.

If the contracts associated with our backlog were terminated, our financial condition would be adversely affected.

The maximum contract value specified under each government contract that we enter into is not necessarily indicative of the revenues that we will realize under that contract. Because we may not receive the full amount we expect under a contract, we may not accurately estimate our backlog because the earnings of revenues on programs included in backlog may never occur or may change. Cancellations of pending contracts or terminations or reductions of contracts in progress could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition or results of operations. As of December 31, 2012, our backlog was approximately \$355 million, of which 15% was funded and 85% was unfunded.

We may be unable to attract and retain personnel who are key to our operations.

Our success, among other things, is dependent on our ability to attract and retain highly qualified senior officers and engineers. Competition for key personnel is intense. Our ability to attract and retain senior officers and experienced, top rate engineers is dependent on a number of factors, including prevailing market conditions and compensation packages offered by companies competing for the same talent. The inability to hire and retain these persons may adversely affect our production operations and other aspects of our business.

We are subject to the cyclical nature of the commercial aerospace industry, and any future downturn in the commercial aerospace industry or general economic conditions could adversely impact the demand for our products.

Our business may be affected by certain characteristics and trends of the commercial aerospace industry or general economic conditions that affect our customers, such as fluctuations in the aerospace industry's business cycle, varying fuel and labor costs, intense price competition and regulatory scrutiny, certain trends, including a possible decrease in aviation activity and a decrease in outsourcing by aircraft manufacturers or the failure of projected market growth to materialize or continue. In the event that these characteristics and trends adversely affect customers in the commercial aerospace industry, they may reduce the overall demand for our products.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results. As a result, current and potential shareholders could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which would harm our business and the trading price of our common stock.

Our management determined that as of December 31, 2012, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on criteria created by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") set forth in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992). However, if material weaknesses are identified in our internal control over financial reporting in the future, our management will be unable to report favorably as to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and/or our disclosure controls and procedures, and we could be required to implement remedial measures. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Such remedial measures could be expensive and time consuming and could potentially cause investors to lose confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which could have an adverse effect on our stock price and potentially subject us to litigation.

We incur risk associated with new programs

New programs with new technologies typically carry risks associated with design changes, development of new production tools, increased capital and funding commitments, ability to meet customer specifications, delivery schedules and unique contractual requirements, supplier performance, ability of the customer to meet its contractual obligations to us, and our ability to accurately estimate costs associated with such programs. In addition, any new program may not generate sufficient demand or may experience technological problems or significant delays in the regulatory or other certification or manufacturing and delivery schedule. If we were unable to perform our obligations under new programs to the customer's satisfaction, if we were unable to manufacture products at our estimated costs, or if a new program in which we had made a significant investment was terminated or experienced weak demand, delays or technological problems, then our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. This risk includes the potential for default, quality problems, or inability to meet specifications, as well as our inability to negotiate final pricing for program changes, and could result in low margin or forward loss contracts, and the risk of having to write-off costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts if it were deemed to be unrecoverable over the life of the program. In addition, beginning new work on existing programs also carries risk associated with the transfer of technology, knowledge and tooling.

In order to perform on new programs we may be required to expend up-front costs which may not have been negotiated in our selling price. Additionally, we may have made margin assumptions related to those costs, that in the case of significant program delays and/or program cancellations, or if we are not successful in negotiating favorable margin on scope changes, could cause us to bear impairment charges which may be material, for costs that are not recoverable. Such charges and the loss of up-front costs could have a material impact on our liquidity.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Item 2. PROPERTIES

CPI Aerostructures' executive offices and production facilities are situated in an approximate 171,000 square foot building located at 91 Heartland Blvd., Edgewood, New York 11717. CPI Aerostructures occupies this facility under a ten-year lease that commenced in June, 2011. The current monthly base rent is \$129,507, including real estate taxes.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

Item MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND
5. ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Our common shares are listed on the NYSE Mkt under the symbol CVU. The following table sets forth for 2012 and 2011, the high and low sales prices of our common shares for the periods indicated, as reported by the NYSE Mkt.

| Period | High | Low |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| 2011 | | |
| Quarter Ended March 31, 2011 | \$15.34 | \$11.89 |
| Quarter Ended June 30, 2011 | \$15.60 | \$12.60 |
| Quarter Ended September 30, 2011 | \$14.97 | \$9.45 |
| Quarter Ended December 31, 2011 | \$14.34 | \$9.77 |
| 2012 | | |
| Quarter Ended March 31, 2012 | \$16.42 | \$11.59 |
| Quarter Ended June 30, 2012 | \$16.40 | \$10.64 |
| Quarter Ended September 30, 2012 | \$12.68 | \$10.50 |
| Quarter Ended December 31, 2012 | \$11.50 | \$9.36 |

On March 8, 2013, the closing sale price for our common shares on the NYSE Mkt was \$8.55. On March 8, 2013, there were 200 holders of record of our common shares and, we believe, over 2,200 beneficial owners of our common shares.

Dividend Policy

To date, we have not paid any dividends on our common shares. Any payment of dividends in the future is within the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, if any, our capital requirements and financial condition and other relevant factors. Our board of directors does not intend to declare any cash or other dividends in the foreseeable future, but intends instead to retain earnings, if any, for use in our business operations.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities, Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

There have been no sales of unregistered sales of our equity securities for the three months ended December 31, 2012. The following table sets forth information for the three months ended December 31, 2012 with respect to repurchases of our outstanding common stock:

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

| Period | Total number of shares purchased (1) | Average price paid per share | Total number of shares (or units) purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs | Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of shares (or units) that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| October 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012 | — | — | — | — |
| November 1, 2012 – November 30, 2012 | — | — | — | — |
| December 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012 | 20,935 | 20,935 | — | — |
| Total | 20,935 | 20,935 | — | — |

(1) Represents shares that were delivered to the Company pursuant to provisions of a stock option agreement and the Performance Equity Plan 2009, which permit payment of the exercise price of options in shares of common stock delivered to the Company.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth certain information at December 31, 2012 with respect to our equity compensation plans that provide for the issuance of options, warrants or rights to purchase our securities.

| Plan Category | Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding securities reflected in the first column) |
|--|---|---|---|
| Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security Holders | 495,517 | \$9.33 | 324,483 |

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth our financial data as of the dates and for the periods indicated. The data has been derived from our audited financial statements. The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

| Statement of Operations Data: | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenue | \$ 89,272,582 | \$ 74,135,669 | \$ 43,990,784 | \$ 43,906,825 | \$ 35,588,831 |
| Cost of sales | 65,039,969 | 55,325,729 | 37,877,960 | 32,597,208 | 27,065,243 |
| Gross profit | 24,232,613 | 18,809,940 | 6,112,824 | 11,309,617 | 8,523,588 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 7,322,630 | 7,931,586 | 5,415,292 | 5,197,663 | 4,717,080 |
| Income from operations | 16,909,983 | 10,878,354 | 697,532 | 6,111,954 | 3,806,508 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | |
| Interest/ other income | 31,520 | 4,065 | 3,770 | 2,014 | 78,952 |
| Interest expense | (416,373) | (343,491) | (158,406) | (252,961) | (31,847) |
| Total other income (expense), net | (384,853) | (339,426) | (154,636) | (250,947) | 47,105 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 16,525,130 | 10,538,928 | 542,896 | 5,861,007 | 3,853,613 |
| Provision for income taxes | 5,514,000 | 3,122,000 | 13,000 | 1,915,000 | 1,263,000 |

Edgar Filing: CPI AEROSTRUCTURES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Net income | \$11,011,130 | \$7,416,928 | \$529,896 | \$3,946,007 | \$2,590,613 |
| Income per common share – basic | \$1.43 | \$1.08 | \$0.08 | \$0.66 | \$0.44 |
| Income per common share – diluted | \$1.40 | \$1.04 | \$0.08 | \$0.64 | \$0.42 |
| Basic weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 7,721,304 | 6,869,624 | 6,489,942 | 5,994,326 | 5,952,703 |

Page 17

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 7,865,090 | 7,133,604 | 6,736,501 | 6,156,628 | 6,203,789 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

Balance Sheet

At December 31,

Data:

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Cash | \$2,709,803 | \$878,200 | \$823,376 | \$2,224,825 | \$424,082 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts | 108,909,844 | 79,126,828 | 47,165,166 | 43,018,221 | 37,865,016 |
| Total current assets | 119,354,056 | 85,209,924 | 54,747,455 | 51,098,046 | 41,823,767 |
| Total assets | 124,883,516 | 89,056,573 | 56,457,187 | 52,537,131 | 43,351,506 |
| Total current liabilities | 39,645,331 | 33,023,488 | 10,370,285 | 11,979,596 | 6,688,372 |
| Working capital | 79,708,725 | 52,186,436 | 44,377,170 | 39,118,450 | 35,135,395 |
| Short-term debt | 24,550,564 | 16,987,380 | 1,485,008 | 2,836,592 | 920,668 |
| Long-term debt | 3,209,873 | 889,239 | 1,190,097 | 1,801,357 | 2,401,206 |
| Shareholders' equity | 80,594,199 | 54,026,207 | 44,670,443 | 38,517,514 | 33,983,150 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | 124,883,516 | 89,056,573 | 56,457,187 | 52,537,131 | 43,351,506 |

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

When used in this Form 10-K and in future filings by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission, the words or phrases "will likely result," "management expects" or "we expect," "will continue," "is anticipated," "estimated" or similar expressions are intended to identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, each of which speaks only as of the date made. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical earnings and those presently anticipated or projected. The risks are included in "Item 1A: Risk Factors" and "Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included in this Form 10-K. We have no obligation to publicly release the result of any revisions, which may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances occurring after the date of such statements.

You should read the financial information set forth below in conjunction with our financial statements and notes thereto.

Business Operations

We are engaged in the contract production of structural aircraft parts principally for the U.S. Air Force and other branches of the U.S. armed forces, either as a prime contractor or as a subcontractor for other defense prime contractors. We also act as a subcontractor to prime aircraft manufacturers in the production of commercial aircraft parts.

We also operate as a subcontractor to prime contractors in the production of commercial aircraft parts.

Critical Accounting Policies

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from our contracts over the contractual period under the percentage-of-completion (POC) method of accounting. Under the POC method of accounting, revenue and gross profit are recognized as work is performed based on the relationship between actual costs incurred and total estimated costs at the completion of the contract. Recognized revenues that will not be billed under the terms of the contract until a later date are recorded as an asset captioned "Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts." Contracts where billings to date have exceeded recognized revenues are recorded as a liability captioned "Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts." Changes to the original estimates may be required during the life of the contract. Estimates are reviewed monthly and the effect of any change in the estimated gross margin percentage for a contract is reflected in the financial statements in the period the change becomes known. The use of the POC method of accounting involves considerable use of estimates in determining revenues, costs and profits and in assigning the amounts to accounting periods. As a result, there can be a significant disparity between earnings (both for accounting and taxes) as reported and actual cash received by us during any reporting period. We continually evaluate all of the issues related to the assumptions, risks and uncertainties inherent with the application of the POC method of accounting; however, we cannot assure you that our estimates will be accurate. If our estimates are not accurate or a contract is terminated, we will be forced to adjust revenue in later periods. Furthermore, even if our estimates are accurate, we may have a shortfall in our cash flow and we may need to borrow money to pay taxes until the reported earnings materialize to actual cash receipts.

Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2012 as Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2011

Revenue. Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$89,272,582 compared to \$74,135,669 for the same period last year, representing an increase of \$15,136,913 or 20.4%. The increase in revenue is primarily the result of work performed on our three major subcontract awards won in 2008. The Gulfstream G650, Boeing A-10 and NGC E-2D programs accounted for 17.6%, 16.7% and 25.5%, of our revenue in 2012, respectively.

Overall, revenue generated from prime government contracts for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$6,239,286 compared to \$6,740,870 for the year ended December 31, 2011, a decrease of \$501,585 or 7.4%. This decrease is consistent with our strategy, as we transition away from being a prime government contractor.

Revenue generated from government subcontracts for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$56,357,371 compared to \$57,199,673 for the year ended December 31, 2011, a decrease of \$842,302 or 1%.

Revenue generated from commercial contracts was \$26,675,925 for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$10,195,126 for the year ended December 31, 2011, an increase of \$16,480,799 or 162%. This increase is primarily the result of higher production rates on the G650, as well as revenue from new production programs such as the HondaJet advanced light business jet. We expect that commercial programs will generate a larger percentage of our overall revenue in the next year, as other new commercial programs increase production.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we received approximately \$81.6 million of new contract awards, which included approximately \$4 million of government prime contract awards, approximately \$74.7 million of government subcontract awards and approximately \$6.5 million of commercial contract awards, compared to \$83.6 million of new contract awards in 2011, which included \$11.7 million of government prime contract awards, \$24.8 million of government subcontract awards and \$47.1 million of commercial contract awards.

Gross profit. Gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$24,232,613 compared to \$18,809,940 for the year ended December 31, 2011, an increase of \$5,422,673. Gross profit percentage ("gross margin") for the year ended December 31, 2012 was 27.1% compared to 25.4% for the same period last year. The gross margin percentage was within 10 basis points of our expected gross margin range of 25%-27%.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$7,322,630 compared to \$7,931,586 for the year ended December 31, 2011, a decrease of \$608,956, or 7.7%. This decrease was primarily due to an approximately \$423,000 decrease in board of directors fees, which is in line with our expectations, as we changed our non-employee director compensation plan, which limits the expenses related to stock options, a \$242,000 decrease in moving expenses, which was a one-time expense related to our move to larger facilities in December 2011 and a \$143,000 decrease in consultants, offset by a \$238,000 increase in accounting and legal fees.

Interest expense. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$416,373, compared to \$343,491 for 2011, an increase of \$72,882 or 21%. The increase in interest expense is the result of an increase in outstanding debt during 2012 as compared to 2011.

Income from operations. We had income from operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 of \$16,909,983 compared to \$10,878,354 for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in operating income was predominately the result of the increased revenue and gross margin discussed earlier.

Year Ended December 31, 2011 as Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2010

Revenue. Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$74,135,669 compared to \$43,990,784 for the same period last year, representing an increase of \$30,144,885 or 68.5%. The increase in revenue is primarily the result of work performed on our three major subcontract awards won in 2008. The Gulfstream G650, Boeing A-10 and NGC E-2D programs accounted for 11%, 30% and 26% of our revenue in 2011, respectively.

Overall, revenue generated from prime government contracts for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$6,740,870 compared to \$4,471,399 for the year ended December 31, 2010, an increase of \$2,269,471 or 51%.

Revenue generated from government subcontracts for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$57,199,673 compared to \$31,963,271 for the year ended December 31, 2010, an increase of \$25,236,402 or 79%, primarily the result of the A-10 and E-2D programs.

Revenue generated from commercial contracts was \$10,195,126 for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$7,556,114 for the year ended December 31, 2010, an increase of \$2,639,012 or 35%. This increase is primarily the result of higher production rates on the G650.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we received approximately \$83.6 million of new contract awards, which included approximately \$11.7 million of government prime contract awards, approximately \$24.8 million of government subcontract awards and approximately \$47.1 million of commercial contract awards, compared to \$61.7 million of new contract awards in 2010, which included \$8.5 million of government prime contract awards, \$48.6 million of government subcontract awards and \$4.6 million of commercial contract awards.

Gross profit. Gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$18,809,940 compared to \$6,112,824 for the year ended December 31, 2010, an increase of \$12,697,116. Gross profit percentage ("gross margin") for the year ended December 31, 2011 was 25.4% compared to 13.9% for the same period last year. Gross margin for 2010 was negatively affected by a change in estimate on a long term program. Gross margin for 2011 has returned to a more normal level, and is within the Company's expected range.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$7,931,586 compared to \$5,415,292 for the year ended December 31, 2010, an increase of \$2,516,294, or 46%. This increase was primarily due to an approximately \$664,000 increase in accrued bonuses, a \$463,000 increase in board of directors fees, \$263,000 of expenses related to moving to our new facility, a \$226,000 increase in computer expenses, a \$200,000 increase in salaries and a \$111,000 increase in office expense. The increase in board of directors fees was the result of an increase in fair market value of the options granted to the non-employee board members as compensation. The increase in salaries is the result of higher employee count as well as normal salary increases. The increase in accrued bonus is the result of higher executive officer bonus computed pursuant to the executive officer employment agreements.

Interest expense. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$343,491, compared to \$158,406 for 2010, an increase of \$185,085 or 117%. The increase in interest expense is the result of an increase in outstanding debt during 2011 as compared to 2010.

Income from operations. We had income from operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 of \$10,878,354 compared to \$697,532 for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in operating income was predominately the result of the increased revenue and gross margin discussed earlier.

Business Outlook

Because of the uncertainty of sequestration, which has existed in the military aerospace business environment since mid-2012, we have experienced slower than expected new business awards and releases that were expected on long-term programs that have been delayed. As a result, we project that 2013 revenue and earnings will be lower than 2012 and the results will be similar to those of 2011. We further expect that product shipments will be greater in 2013 than in 2012 as many of our programs transition from development to production. These increasing shipments, combined with less spending for startup costs associated with new contracts and a decline in non-recurring expenses on our maturing programs, is expected to result in positive cash flow from operations.

The statements in the “Business Outlook” section and other forward-looking statements of this Form 10-K are subject to revision during the course of the year in our quarterly earnings releases and SEC filings and at other times.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General. At December 31, 2012, we had working capital of \$79,708,725 compared to \$52,186,436 at December 31, 2011, an increase of \$27,522,289, or 53%.

Cash Flow. A large portion of our cash is used to pay for materials and processing costs associated with contracts that are in process and which do not provide for progress payments. Costs for which we are not able to bill on a progress basis are components of “Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts” on our balance sheet and represent the aggregate costs and related earnings for uncompleted contracts for which the customer has not yet been billed. These costs and earnings are recovered upon shipment of products and presentation of billings in accordance with contract terms.

Because the POC method of accounting requires us to use estimates in determining revenues, costs and profits and in assigning the amounts to accounting periods, there can be a significant disparity between earnings (both for accounting and tax purposes) as reported and actual cash that we receive during any reporting period. Accordingly, it is possible that we may have a shortfall in our cash flow and may need to borrow money until the reported earnings materialize into actual cash receipts.

Our costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings increased by approximately \$29.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Boeing A-10 contract accounted for approximately \$10.4 million of this increase. Although this contract does provide for milestone billings, the program has reached the end of the milestone billing phase and as such we are no longer able to invoice this program on a progress basis. Additionally, Boeing has made engineering changes to parts under contract with us. We have not yet completed pricing negotiations related to these changes. We are contractually obligated to continue production on these parts; however, we are not able to invoice for the expected full value until price negotiations are completed.

Because of our high growth rate, in order to perform on new programs, such as the recently announced Goodrich and Embraer programs, we may be required to expend up-front costs that may have to be amortized over a portion of production units. In the case of significant program delays and/or program cancellations, we could be required to bear impairment charges which may be material, for costs that are not recoverable. Such charges and the loss of up-front costs could have a material impact on our liquidity and results of operations.

We continue to work to obtain better payment terms with our customers, including accelerated progress payment arrangements, as well as exploring alternative funding sources.

Additionally, at December 31, 2012, our cash balance was \$2,709,803 compared to \$878,200 at December 31, 2011, an increase of \$1,831,603. Our accounts receivable balance at December 31, 2012 increased to \$8,287,250 from \$4,285,570 at December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2012 approximately \$1,512,000 of accounts receivable are classified as non-current other assets.

Bank Credit Facilities. In August 2007, we entered into a revolving credit facility with Sovereign Bank (the “Sovereign Revolving Facility”), secured by all of our assets.

On May 26, 2010, the Company and Sovereign Bank entered into a third amendment to the Sovereign Revolving Facility increasing the existing revolving credit facility under the Credit Agreement from an aggregate of \$3.5 million to an aggregate of \$4.0 million and extending the term of the revolving credit facility from August 2011 to August 2013. In addition, the interest rate on borrowings under the revolving credit facility was decreased to (i) the greater of 3.75% or 3.25% in excess of the LIBOR Rate or (ii) the greater of 3.75% or 0.50% in excess of Sovereign Bank’s prime rate, as elected by the Company in accordance with the Credit Agreement.

On May 10, 2011, the Company entered into a fifth amendment to the Sovereign Revolving Facility, increasing the existing revolving credit facility from an aggregate of \$4 million to an aggregate of \$10 million and extending the term from August 2013 to August 2014. In addition, the interest rate of borrowings under the revolving credit facility will no longer be subject to a minimum rate of 3.75%.

On September 1, 2011, the Company entered into a sixth amendment to the Sovereign Revolving Facility, providing for a \$3.0 increase until November 30, 2011 of the existing revolving credit facility, from an aggregate of \$10.0 million to an aggregate of \$13.0 million.

On November 29, 2011, the Company entered into a seventh amendment to the Sovereign Revolving Facility which increased the existing revolving credit facility from an aggregate of \$13.0 million to an aggregate of \$18.0 million and extended the term of earlier terminating revolving credit loans to August 2014. The Amendment also provides for a reduction in the interest rate of borrowings under the revolving credit facility to 2.75% in excess of the LIBOR rate or Sovereign Bank's prime rate, as elected by the Company in accordance with the Credit Agreement, a reduction in the commitment fee to a rate of 0.4% per annum on the average daily unused portion of the revolving credit commitment, commencing December 31, 2011 and the addition of a covenant to the Credit Agreement requiring that the Company maintain a ratio of Unsubordinated Liabilities to Capital Base, as such terms are defined in the Credit Agreement.

On December 5, 2012, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Sovereign Bank as the sole arranger, administrative agent and collateral agent and Valley National Bank. The Restated Agreement provides for a revolving credit loan commitment, which replaces the Sovereign Revolving Facility, of \$35 million and a term loan of \$3.9 million. The term of the Restated Agreement is through December 2016. The Restated Agreement increases the availability under, and amends and restates the Credit Agreement, dated as of August 13, 2007, as subsequently amended, between the Company and Sovereign Bank (the "Prior Agreement"), which provided for a revolving credit loan commitment and two term loans. One of the term loans under the Prior Agreement was refinanced as a revolving credit loan under the Restated Agreement. The other term loan and the revolving credit loans under the Prior Agreement continued as a term loan and revolving credit loan under the Restated Agreement.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all financial covenants contained in the Credit Agreement.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had \$23.5 million outstanding under the Restated Agreement. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had \$16.1 million outstanding under the Sovereign Revolving Facility.

On October 22, 2008, we obtained a \$3 million term loan from Sovereign Bank to be amortized over five years (the "Sovereign Term Facility"). Prior to entering into the term loan we had borrowed \$2.5 million under the Sovereign Revolving Facility to fund the initial tooling costs related to the previously mentioned long-term contract with Spirit. We used the proceeds from the Sovereign Term Facility to repay the borrowings under the Sovereign Revolving Facility and to pay for additional tooling related to the Spirit contract. This term loan was refinanced as a revolving credit loan under the Restated Agreement of December 5, 2012.

On March 9, 2012, the Company obtained a \$4.5 million term loan from Sovereign Bank to be amortized over five years (the "Sovereign Term Facility 2"). Sovereign Term Facility 2 was used to purchase tooling and equipment for new programs. Sovereign Term Facility 2 bears interest at the lower of LIBOR plus 3% or Sovereign Bank's prime rate.

The terms and conditions of the Sovereign Revolving Facility are applicable to the Sovereign Term Facility.

Additionally, the Company and Sovereign Bank entered into a five year interest rate swap agreement, in the notional amount of \$4.5 million. Under the interest rate swap, the Company pays an amount to Sovereign Bank representing interest on the notional amount at a fixed rate of 4.11% and receives an amount from Sovereign Bank representing interest on the notional amount of a rate equal to the one-month LIBOR plus 3%. The effect of this interest rate swap will be the Company paying a fixed interest rate of 4.11% over the term of the Sovereign Term Facility 2.

We believe that our existing resources, together with the availability under our credit facility, will be sufficient to meet our current working capital needs for at least the next 12 months.

Contractual Obligations. The table below summarizes information about our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2012 and the effects these obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow in the future years.

| | Total | Payments Due By Period (\$) | | | |
|--|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| | | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 4-5 years | After 5 years |
| Contractual Obligations Debt | \$3,900,000 | \$900,000 | \$1,800,000 | \$1,200,000 | - |
| Capital Lease Obligations | 410,437 | 200,564 | 172,470 | 37,403 | - |
| Operating Leases | 15,521,140 | 1,554,080 | 3,154,289 | 3,239,849 | \$7,572,922 |
| Employment Agreement Compensation** | 1,807,500 | 884,500 | 923,000 | - | - |
| Interest Rate Swap Agreement | 60,516 | - | 60,516 | - | - |
| Total Contractual Cash Obligations | \$21,699,593 | \$3,539,144 | \$6,110,275 | \$4,477,252 | \$7,572,922 |

**The employment agreements provide for bonus payments that are excluded from these amounts.

Inflation. Inflation historically has not had a material effect on our operations.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Management does not believe that there is any material market risk exposure with respect to derivative or other financial instruments that would require disclosure under this item.

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

This information appears following Item 15 of this Report and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)). Based on that evaluation, they have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report are effective in timely providing them with material information relating to the Company required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act.

There were no material changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

The report called for by Item 308(a) of Regulation S-K is included herein as "Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting."

The attestation report called for by Item 308(b) of Registration S-K is included herein as "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm".

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. With the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework and criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The scope of management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting includes all of our businesses. Based on the evaluation, our management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, CohnReznick LLP, audited our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. CohnReznick LLP's report dated March 13, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on our internal control over financial reporting and is included in this Item 9A.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
CPI Aerostructures, Inc.

We have audited CPI Aerostructures, Inc.'s (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the criteria issued by COSO.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the balance sheets of CPI Aerostructures, Inc. as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related statements of income and comprehensive income, shareholders' equity and cash flows of CPI Aerostructures, Inc. for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, and our report dated March 13, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/CohnReznick LLP
Jericho, New York
March 13, 2013

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

See Item 14.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

See Item 14.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

See Item 14.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

See Item 14.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 will be contained in our definitive proxy statement for our 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the end of our fiscal year covered by this report pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act, and incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

1. The following financial statements are filed as a part of this report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
 Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011
 Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010
 Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010
 Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010
 Notes to Financial Statements

2. The following financial statement schedules are filed as part of this report:

Schedule II -Valuation and Qualifying Accounts-Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

3. The following exhibits are filed as part of this report:

| E x h i b i t Number | Name of Exhibit | No. in Document |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, as amended. (1) | 3.1 |
| 3.1(a) | Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation filed on July 14, 1998. (3) | 3.1(a) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company. (11) | 3.2 |
| 10.1 | 1995 Employee Stock Option Plan. (2) | 10.4 |
| 10.2 | Form of military contract. (1) | 10.7 |
| 10.3 | 1998 Performance Equity Plan. (3) | 10.28 |
| 10.4 | Performance Equity Plan 2000. (4) | 10.29 |
| 10.4.1 | Amendment to Performance Equity Plan 2000 (9) | 10.6.1 |
| *10.5 | Stock Option Agreement between the Company and Edward J. Fred, dated June 18, 2002. (6) | 10.56 |
| 10.6 | Registration Rights Agreement between the Company and Chemical Investments dated February 26, 2002, as assigned to Crescendo Partners, II. (7) | 10.27 |
| 10.6.1 | Schedule of Omitted Document in the form of Exhibit 10.9, including material detail in which such document differs from Exhibit 10.9. (7) | 10.27.1 |
| *10.7 | Stock Option agreement between Vincent Palazzolo and the Company, dated as of May 17, 2004 (8) | 10.22 |
| *10.8 | Employment Agreement between Vincent Palazzolo and the Company, dated as of December 16, 2009. (10) | 10.2 |

| | | |
|--------|---|-------|
| *10.9 | Stock Option Agreement between the Company and Vincent Palazzolo, dated December 1, 2006 (9) | 10.24 |
| *10.10 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Edward J. Fred and the Company, dated December 16, 2009. (10) | 10.1 |
| 10.11 | Credit Agreement between CPI Aerostructures, Inc., and Sovereign Bank, dated as of August 13, 2007 (12) | 10.23 |
| 10.12 | Commercial Security Agreement, dated August 13, 2007, between CPI Aerostructures, Inc., Grantor, and Sovereign Bank, Lender (12) | 10.24 |
| 10.13 | First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of October 22, 2008, by and between CPI Aerostructures, Inc. and Sovereign Bank (15) | 10.16 |
| 10.14 | ISDA 2002 Master Agreement and Schedule, dated as of October 22, 2008, between Sovereign Bank and CPI Aerostructures, Inc. (15) | 10.17 |
| 10.15 | Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of July 7, 2009, by and between CPI Aerostructures, Inc. and Sovereign Bank (14) | 10.1 |
| *10.16 | Employment Agreement between Douglas McCrosson and the Company, dated as of December 16, 2009. (10) | 10.3 |
| 10.17 | Performance Equity Plan 2009 (16) | |
| 10.18 | Third Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of May 26, 2010, by and between CPI Aerostructures, Inc. and Sovereign Bank (17) | 10.1 |
| 10.19 | Fifth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of May 11, 2011, by and between CPI Aerostructures, Inc. and Sovereign Bank (18) | 10.1 |
| 10.20 | Agreement of Lease, dated June 30, 2011, between Heartland Boys II L.P. and CPI Aerostructures Inc. (19) | 10.1 |
| 10.21 | Sixth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of September 1, 2011, by and between CPI Aerostructures, Inc. and Sovereign Bank (20) | 10.1 |
| *10.22 | Letter Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated November 4, 2011, from the Company to Edward J. Fred (21) | 10.1 |
| *10.23 | Letter Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated November 4, 2011, from the Company to Vincent Palazzolo (21) | 10.2 |
| *10.24 | Letter Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated November 4, 2011, from the Company to Douglas McCrosson (21) | 10.3 |
| 10.25 | Seventh Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of November 29, 2011, by and between CPI Aerostructures, Inc. and Sovereign Bank (22) | 10.1 |
| 10.26 | Eighth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of March 9, 2012 by and between CPI Aerostructures, Inc. and Sovereign Bank, N.A. (23) | 10.1 |

Edgar Filing: CPI AEROSTRUCTURES INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--------|---|------|
| 10.27 | Underwriting Agreement, dated June 8, 2012 between CPI Aerostructures, Inc., Selling Stockholders and Roth Capital Partners, LLC, as representative (24) | 10.1 |
| 10.28 | Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of December 5, 2012, among CPI Aerostructures, Inc., the several lenders from time to time party thereto, and Sovereign Bank, N.A. (25) | 10.1 |
| **12 | Statement re Computation of Ratios | |
| 14 | Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (13) | |
| **21 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant | |
| **23.1 | Consent of CohnReznick LLP | |
| **31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | |
| **31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | |
| **32.1 | Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | |

*Management compensation contract or arrangement.

**Filed herewith.

- (1) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 33-49270) declared effective on September 16, 1992 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for year ended December 31, 1995 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (5) Filed as an exhibit to Schedule 13D filed on behalf of Edward J. Fred on October 19, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (6) Filed as an exhibit to Schedule 13D filed on behalf of Edward J. Fred on July 12, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (7) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (No. 333-101902) declared effective on February 12, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (8) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 24, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (9) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 1, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (10) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 21, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (11) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 13, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (12) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (13) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.

- (14) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 13, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (15) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (16) Included as Appendix A to the Company's Proxy Statement filed on April 30, 2009.
- (17) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 26, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference
- (18) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 11, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference
- (19) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference
- (20) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 2, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference
- (21) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 7, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference
- (22) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 30, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference
- (23) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 12, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference
- (24) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 8, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference
- (25) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 6, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.
INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | |
|--|------------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | F-1 |
| Financial Statements: | |
| Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 | F-2 |
| Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 | F-3 |
| Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 | F-4 |
| Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 | F-5 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | F-6 - F-18 |

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
CPI Aerostructures, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of CPI Aerostructures, Inc. as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related statements of income and comprehensive income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. Our audits of the financial statements included the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15. CPI Aerostructures, Inc.'s management is responsible for these financial statements and the financial statement schedule. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CPI Aerostructures, Inc. as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and its results of operations and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), CPI Aerostructures, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 13, 2013, expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of CPI Aerostructures, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/CohnReznick LLP

Jericho, New York
March 13, 2013

F-1

BALANCE SHEETS

| | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash | \$2,709,803 | \$878,200 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 6,774,346 | 4,285,570 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted | | |
| contracts | 108,909,844 | 79,126,828 |
| Deferred income taxes | 534,000 | 257,000 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 426,063 | 662,326 |
| Total current assets | 119,354,056 | 85,209,924 |
| Property and equipment, net | 2,907,476 | 2,629,569 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,001,000 | 1,105,000 |
| Other assets | 1,620,984 | 112,080 |
| Total Assets | \$124,883,516 | \$89,056,573 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$13,286,558 | \$11,998,244 |
| Accrued expenses | 943,356 | 994,398 |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts | 656,853 | 116,466 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 1,100,564 | 887,380 |
| Line of credit | 23,450,000 | 16,100,000 |
| Deferred income taxes | 102,000 | 125,000 |
| Income taxes payable | 106,000 | 2,802,000 |
| Total current liabilities | 39,645,331 | 33,023,488 |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion | 3,209,873 | 889,239 |
| Deferred income taxes | 867,000 | 660,000 |
| Other liabilities | 567,113 | 457,639 |
| Total Liabilities | 44,289,317 | 35,030,366 |
| Commitments | | |
| Shareholders' Equity: | | |
| Common stock - \$.001 par value; authorized 50,000,000 shares, issued 8,371,439 and 7,079,638 shares, respectively, and | | |

Edgar Filing: CPI AEROSTRUCTURES INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|---------------|--------------|
| outstanding 8,371,439 and 6,946,381 shares, respectively | 8,371 | 7,080 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 49,780,673 | 35,346,273 |
| Retained earnings | 30,845,982 | 19,834,852 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (40,827) | (21,772) |
| Treasury stock, 0 and 133,257 shares, respectively, of common stock (at cost) | --- | (1,140,226) |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 80,594,199 | 54,026,207 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$124,883,516 | \$89,056,573 |

F-2

See Notes to Financial Statements

STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| Years ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenue | \$89,272,582 | \$74,135,669 | \$43,990,784 |
| Cost of sales | 65,039,969 | 55,325,729 | 37,877,960 |
| Gross profit | 24,232,613 | 18,809,940 | 6,112,824 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 7,322,630 | 7,931,586 | 5,415,292 |
| Income from operations | 16,909,983 | 10,878,354 | 697,532 |
| Other income (expense): | | | |
| Interest/other income | 31,520 | 4,065 | 3,770 |
| Interest expense | (416,373) | (343,491) | (158,406) |
| Total other income (expense), net | (384,853) | (339,426) | (154,636) |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 16,525,130 | 10,538,928 | 542,896 |
| Provision for income taxes | 5,514,000 | 3,122,000 | 13,000 |
| Net income | 11,011,130 | 7,416,928 | 529,896 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | | | |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss)- Interest rate swap | (19,055) | 23,632 | 7,470 |
| Comprehensive income | \$10,992,075 | \$7,440,560 | \$537,366 |
| Income per common share-Basic | \$1.43 | \$1.08 | \$0.08 |
| Income per common share-Diluted | \$1.40 | \$1.04 | \$0.08 |
| Shares used in computing earnings per common share: | | | |
| Basic | 7,721,304 | 6,869,624 | 6,489,942 |
| Diluted | 7,865,090 | 7,133,604 | 6,736,501 |

See Notes to Financial Statements

STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

| | Common Stock Shares | Common Stock Amount | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Total Shareholders' Equity |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | 6,122,524 | \$6,123 | \$27,369,043 | \$11,888,028 | \$(692,806) | \$(52,874) | \$38,517,514 |
| Net Income | ---- | ---- | ---- | 529,896 | ---- | ---- | 529,896 |
| Change in unrealized loss from interest rate swap | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 7,470 | 7,470 |
| Common stock issued in share offering | 500,000 | 500 | 3,529,041 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 3,529,541 |
| Common stock issued upon exercise of options | 272,000 | 272 | 1,389,678 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 1,389,950 |
| Common stock issued as employee compensation | 17,046 | 17 | 126,846 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 126,863 |
| Stock based compensation expense | ---- | ---- | 553,629 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 553,629 |
| Tax benefit from stock option plans | ---- | ---- | 304,000 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 304,000 |
| Treasury stock acquired | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | (288,420) | ---- | (288,420) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 6,911,570 | 6,912 | 33,272,237 | 12,417,924 | (981,226) | (45,404) | 44,670,443 |
| Net Income | ---- | ---- | ---- | 7,416,928 | ---- | ---- | 7,416,928 |
| Change in unrealized loss from interest rate swap | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 23,632 | 23,632 |
| Common stock issued upon exercise of options | 165,333 | 165 | 614,282 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 614,447 |
| Common stock issued as employee compensation | 2,735 | 3 | 36,154 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 36,157 |
| Stock based compensation expense | ---- | ---- | 985,600 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 985,600 |
| Tax benefit from stock option plans | ---- | ---- | 438,000 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 438,000 |
| Treasury stock acquired | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | (159,000) | ---- | (159,000) |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 7,079,638 | 7,080 | 35,346,273 | 19,834,852 | (1,140,226) | (21,772) | 54,026,207 |
| Net Income | ---- | ---- | ---- | 11,011,130 | ---- | ---- | 11,011,130 |
| Change in unrealized loss from interest rate swap | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | (19,055) | (19,055) |
| | 1,195,750 | 1,195 | 13,322,499 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 13,323,694 |

Common stock issued in
share offering

| | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|--------------|--------------|-----------|------------|--------------|
| Common stock issued upon exercise of options | 210,143 | 210 | 1,290,305 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 1,290,515 |
| Common stock issued as employee compensation | 19,165 | 19 | 266,032 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 266,051 |
| Stock based compensation expense | ---- | ---- | 382,657 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 382,657 |
| Tax benefit from stock option plans | --- | --- | 313,000 | -- | --- | --- | 313,000 |
| Treasury stock retired | (133,257) | (133) | (1,140,093) | ---- | 1,140,226 | ---- | --- |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 8,371,439 | \$8,371 | \$49,780,673 | \$30,845,982 | --- | \$(40,827) | \$80,594,199 |

F-4

See Notes to Financial Statements

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| Years ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$11,011,130 | \$7,416,928 | \$529,896 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 623,795 | 591,373 | 386,394 |
| Deferred rent | 90,419 | 266,909 | (4,832) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 382,657 | 985,600 | 553,629 |
| Common stock issued as employee compensation | 37,761 | 36,157 | 27,168 |
| Deferred portion of provision for income taxes | 11,000 | (103,000) | (265,000) |
| Tax benefit for stock options | (313,000) | (438,000) | (304,000) |
| Bad debts | (50,000) | 75,000 | --- |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| (Increase) decrease in accounts receivable | (3,951,680) | 1,791,974 | (878,612) |
| Increase in costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings | | | |
| on uncompleted contracts | (29,783,016) | (31,942,776) | (4,136,426) |
| Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses and other current assets | | | |
| Increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses | 1,465,562 | 4,423,371 | 2,199,337 |
| (Decrease) increase in income taxes payable | (2,383,000) | 3,105,994 | (1,930,368) |
| Increase (decrease) in billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings | | | |
| on uncompleted contracts | 540,387 | 97,580 | (10,519) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (22,077,722) | (13,701,110) | (3,959,186) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (825,110) | (1,587,898) | (300,803) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (825,110) | (1,587,898) | (300,803) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 1,290,515 | 455,447 | 1,101,529 |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | 13,323,694 | --- | 3,529,541 |
| Payment of line of credit | (4,000,000) | --- | (2,200,000) |
| Proceeds from line of credit | 11,350,000 | 15,300,000 | 800,000 |
| Payment of long-term debt | (2,042,774) | (849,615) | (676,530) |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 4,500,000 | --- | --- |
| Tax benefit for stock options | 313,000 | 438,000 | 304,000 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 24,734,435 | 15,343,832 | 2,858,540 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | 1,831,603 | 54,824 | (1,401,449) |
| Cash at beginning of year | 878,200 | 823,376 | 2,224,825 |
| Cash at end of year | \$2,709,803 | \$878,200 | \$823,376 |

Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:

| | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Equipment acquired under capital lease | \$76,592 | \$751,129 | \$113,686 |
| Settlement of other receivables | --- | \$30,000 | \$60,000 |
| Accrued expenses settled in exchange for common stock | \$228,290 | --- | \$99,696 |
| Stock options proceeds paid with Company's stock | --- | \$159,000 | \$288,420 |

Supplemental schedule of cash flow information:

| | | | |
|--|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Cash paid during the year for interest | \$783,373 | \$366,491 | \$158,406 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$7,886,409 | \$180,000 | \$2,276,367 |

F-5

See Notes to Financial Statements

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. PRINCIPAL BUSINESS ACTIVITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

The operations of CPI Aerostructures, Inc. (“CPI Aero” or the “Company”) consist of the production of complex aerospace structural assemblies principally for the U.S. Air Force and other branches of the U.S. armed forces, whether as a prime contractor or as a subcontractor to other defense prime contractors. The Company also acts as a subcontractor to prime aerospace manufactures in the production of commercial aircraft parts.

Revenue Recognition

The Company’s revenue is recognized based on the percentage of completion method of accounting for its contracts measured by the percentage of total costs incurred to date to estimated total costs at completion for each contract. Contract costs include all direct material, labor costs, tooling and those indirect costs related to contract performance, such as indirect labor, supplies, tools, repairs and depreciation costs. Selling, general and administrative costs are charged to expense as incurred. Estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are recognized in the period in which such losses are determined. Changes in job performance may result in revisions to costs and income and are recognized in the period in which revisions are determined to be required. The percentage of completion method of accounting involves considerable use of estimates in determining revenues, costs and profits and in assigning the amounts to accounting periods and, as a result, there can be a significant disparity between earnings (both for accounting and taxes) as reported and actual cash received by the Company during any reporting period. In accordance with industry practice, costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts, included in the accompanying balance sheets, contain amounts relating to contracts and programs with long production cycles, a portion of which will not be realized within one year. The Company’s recorded revenue may be adjusted in later periods in the event that the Company’s cost estimates prove to be inaccurate or a contract is terminated.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications of prior period balances have been made to conform to the current period presentation. The Company reclassified billings in excess of earnings from costs in excess of earnings, which did not impact results of operations, cash flows or earnings per share.

Government Contracts

The Company’s government contracts are subject to the procurement rules and regulations of the US government. Many of the contract terms are dictated by these rules and regulations. Specifically, cost-based pricing is determined under the Federal Acquisition Regulation (“FAR”), which provide guidance on the types of costs that are allowable in establishing prices for goods and services under U.S. government contracts. For example, costs such as those related to charitable contributions, advertising, interest expense, and public relations are unallowable, and therefore not recoverable through sales. During and after the fulfillment of a government contract, the Company may be audited in respect of the direct and allocated indirect costs attributable thereto. These audits may result in adjustments to the Company’s contract cost, and/or revenue.

When contractual terms allow, the Company invoices its customers on a progress basis.

Cash

The Company maintains its cash in two financial institutions. The balances are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. From time to time, the Company's balances may exceed these limits. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had approximately \$1,749,000 of uninsured balances. The Company limits its credit risk by selecting financial institutions considered to be highly credit worthy.

F-6

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are reported at their outstanding unpaid principal balances, net of allowances. An allowance for doubtful accounts is determined through analysis of the aging of accounts receivable at the date of the financial statements, assessments of collectability based on an evaluation of historical and anticipated trends, the financial conditions of the Company's customers and an evaluation of the impact of economic conditions.

Property and Equipment

Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment is provided by the straight-line method over the shorter of estimated useful lives of the respective assets or the life of the lease, for leasehold improvements.

Rent

We recognize rent expense on a straight-line basis over the expected lease term. Within the provisions of certain leases there are escalations in payments over the lease term. The effects of the escalations have been reflected in rent expense on a straight-line basis over the expected lease term.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the use of estimates by management. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Long Lived Assets

The Company reviews its long-lived assets and certain related intangibles for impairment whenever changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be fully recoverable. As a result of its review, the Company does not believe that any such change has occurred. If such changes in circumstance are present, a loss is recognized to the extent the carrying value of the asset is in excess of the fair value of cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and amounts expected to be realized upon its eventual disposition.

Short-Term Debt

The fair value of the Company's short-term debt is estimated based on the current rates offered to the Company for debt of similar terms and maturities. Using this method, the fair value of the Company's short-term debt was not significantly different than the stated value at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Derivatives

Our use of derivative instruments has primarily been to hedge interest rates. These derivative contracts are entered into with financial institutions. We do not use derivative instruments for trading purposes and we have procedures in place to monitor and control their use.

We record these derivative financial instruments on the balance sheet at fair value. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative instrument is

reported as a component of other comprehensive loss and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings.

F-7

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

Any ineffective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative instrument for a cash flow hedge is recorded in the results of operations immediately. For derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments, the gain or loss is recognized in the results of operations immediately. See below for a discussion of our use of derivative instruments, management of credit risk inherent in derivative instruments and fair value information.

In October 2008, the Company entered into an interest rate swap with the objective of reducing our exposure to cash flow volatility arising from interest rate fluctuations associated with certain debt. The notional amount, maturity date, and currency of these contracts match those of the underlying debt. The Company has designated this interest rate swap contract as a cash flow hedge. The Company measures ineffectiveness by comparing the cumulative change in the forward contract with the cumulative change in the hedged item. No material ineffectiveness was recognized in 2012 and 2011. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had a net deferred loss associated with cash flow hedges of approximately \$61,000 and \$33,000, respectively, due to the interest rate swap which has been included in Other Liabilities.

As a result of the use of derivative instruments, the Company is exposed to risk that the counterparties may fail to meet their contractual obligations. Recent adverse developments in the global financial and credit markets could negatively impact the creditworthiness of our counterparties and cause one or more of our counterparties to fail to perform as expected. To mitigate the counterparty credit risk, we only enter into contracts with carefully selected major financial institutions based upon their credit ratings and other factors, and continually assess the creditworthiness of counterparties. To date, all counterparties have performed in accordance with their contractual obligations.

Fair Value

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the fair values of cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximated their carrying values because of the short-term nature of these instruments.

| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|--|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Debt | | | | |
| Short-term borrowings and long-term debt | \$27,760,437 | \$27,760,437 | \$17,876,619 | \$17,876,619 |

We estimated the fair value of debt using market quotes and calculations based on market rates.

The following tables presents the fair values of liabilities measured on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| Description | Total | Fair Value Measurements 2012 | | |
|-------------------------|----------|---|--|--|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Interest Rate Swap, net | \$60,516 | -- | \$60,516 | -- |
| Total | \$60,516 | -- | \$60,516 | -- |

| Description | Total | Fair Value Measurements 2011 | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Interest Rate Swap, net | \$ 32,988 | -- | \$ 32,988 | -- |
| Total | \$ 32,988 | -- | \$ 32,988 | -- |

The fair value of the Company's interest rate swap was determined by comparing the fixed rate set at the inception of the transaction to the "replacement swap rate," which represents the market rate for an offsetting interest rate swap with the same notional amounts and final maturity date. The market value is then determined by calculating the present value interest differential between the contractual swap and the replacement swap.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, \$60,516 and \$32,988, respectively, was included in Other Liabilities related to the fair value of the Company's interest rate swap, and \$40,827 and \$21,772, respectively, net of tax of \$19,689 and \$11,216, respectively, was included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss.

Freight and Delivery Costs

The Company incurred freight and delivery costs of approximately \$29,000, \$92,000, \$75,000, respectively, during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. These costs are included in cost of sales.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per common share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares outstanding adjusted for the incremental shares attributed to outstanding options to purchase common stock. Incremental shares of 415,517 were used in the calculation of diluted earnings per common share in 2012. Incremental shares of 124,217 were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculations at December 31, 2012, as their exercise price was in excess of the Company's quoted market price and, accordingly, these shares are not assumed to be exercised for the diluted

earnings per share calculation. Incremental shares of 263,980 were used in the calculation of diluted earnings per common share in 2011. Incremental shares of 80,000 were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculations at December 31, 2011, as their exercise price was in excess of the Company's quoted market price and, accordingly, these shares are not assumed to be exercised for the diluted earnings per share calculation. Incremental shares of 246,559 were used in the calculation of diluted earnings per common share in 2010. Incremental shares of 75,000 were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculations at December 31, 2010, as their exercise price was in excess of the Company's quoted market price and, accordingly, these shares are not assumed to be exercised for the diluted earnings per share calculation.

F-9

Income taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method whereby deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributable to the temporary differences between the financial statements carrying amounts of assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the period that includes the enactment date. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

The Company has recorded a liability for unrecognized tax benefits resulting from tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, in an income tax return. It is the Company's policy to recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as a component of income tax expense. Uncertain tax positions are evaluated and adjusted as appropriate, while taking into account the progress of audits of various taxing jurisdictions.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued updated guidance to amend the disclosure requirements related to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. This update requires new disclosures about significant transfers of assets and liabilities between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy (including the reasons for these transfers) and the reasons for any transfers in or out of Level 3. This update also requires a reconciliation of recurring Level 3 measurements about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements of a gross basis. In addition to these new disclosure requirements, this update clarifies certain existing disclosure requirements. This update also clarifies the requirement for entities to disclose information about both the valuation techniques and inputs used in estimating Level 2 and Level 3 fair value measurements. This update was effective for the Company's interim and annual reporting periods beginning January 1, 2011. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have any impact on the Company's interim and annual reporting periods beginning January 1, 2011. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have any impact on the Company's financial statements and related disclosures.

In May 2011, the FASB issued guidance that amends Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("U.S. GAAP") to conform it with fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendments changed the wording used to describe the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The provisions of this guidance are effective for the first reporting period (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have any impact on the Company's financial statements and related disclosures.

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

2. COSTS AND ESTIMATED EARNINGS IN EXCESS OF BILLINGS ON UNCOMPLETED CONTRACTS

At December 31, 2012, costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts (unbilled) consist of:

| | U.S. Government | Commercial | Total |
|---|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| Costs incurred on uncompleted contracts | \$214,888,101 | \$42,636,753 | \$257,524,854 |
| Estimated earnings | 85,320,636 | 23,782,285 | 109,102,921 |
| | 300,208,737 | 66,419,038 | 366,627,775 |
| Less billings to date | 215,743,090 | 42,631,694 | 258,374,784 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts | \$84,465,647 | \$23,787,344 | \$108,252,991 |

At December 31, 2011, costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts (unbilled) consist of:

| | U.S. Government | Commercial | Total |
|---|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| Costs incurred on uncompleted Contracts | \$162,233,699 | \$24,713,310 | \$186,947,009 |
| Estimated earnings | 72,883,505 | 15,029,802 | 87,913,307 |
| | 235,117,204 | 39,743,112 | 274,860,316 |
| Less billings to date | 171,694,325 | 24,155,629 | 195,849,954 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts | \$63,422,879 | \$15,587,483 | \$79,010,362 |

The above amounts are included in the accompanying balance sheets under the following captions at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|----------------|---------------|
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts | \$ 108,909,844 | \$ 79,126,828 |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts | (656,853) | (116,466) |
| Totals | \$ 108,252,991 | \$ 79,010,362 |

Unbilled costs and estimated earnings are billed in accordance with applicable contract terms. As of December 31, 2012, approximately \$20 million of the balances above are not expected to be collected within one year. There are no amounts billed under retainage provisions.

Revisions in the estimated gross profits on contracts and contract amounts are made in the period in which the circumstances requiring the revisions occur. During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the effect of such revisions in total estimated contract profits resulted in a decrease to the total gross profit to be earned on the contracts of approximately \$1,300,000, \$3,000,000 and \$10,200,000, respectively, from that which would have been

reported had the revised estimate been used as the basis of recognition of contract profits in prior years.

Although management believes it has established adequate procedures for estimating costs to complete on uncompleted open contracts, it is at least reasonably possible that additional significant costs could occur on contracts prior to completion.

F-11

3. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable consists of trade receivables as follows:

| 2012 | | | 2011 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------|
| Billed receivables | \$8,312,250 | \$4,360,570 | |
| Less: allowance for doubtful accounts | (25,000) | (75,000) | |
| | \$8,287,250 | \$4,285,570 | |

At December, 31 2012, approximately \$1,512,000 of the above amounts are classified as non-current other assets.

4. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT:

Property and equipment, at cost, consists of the following

| December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | Estimated Useful Life |
|--|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Machinery and equipment | \$941,017 | \$814,270 | 5 to 10 years |
| Computer equipment | 2,674,053 | 2,487,106 | 5 years |
| Furniture and fixtures | 541,617 | 280,151 | 7 years |
| Automobiles and trucks | 13,162 | 13,162 | 5 years |
| Leasehold improvements | 1,480,903 | 1,154,361 | 10 years |
| | 5,650,752 | 4,749,050 | |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | 2,743,276 | 2,119,481 | |
| | \$2,907,476 | \$2,629,569 | |

Depreciation and amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$623,795, \$591,373 and \$386,394, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company acquired \$76,592 and \$751,129, respectively, of property and equipment under notes payable and capital leases.

5. LINE OF CREDIT:

In August 2007, the Company entered into a revolving credit facility with Sovereign Bank (the "Sovereign Revolving Facility"), which was secured by all of the Company's assets.

On May 26, 2010, the Company and Sovereign Bank entered into a third amendment to the Sovereign Revolving Facility increasing the revolving credit facility under the Credit Agreement from an aggregate of \$3.5 million to an aggregate of \$4.0 million and extending the term of the revolving credit facility from August 2011 to August 2013. In addition, the interest rate on borrowings under the revolving credit facility was decreased to (i) the greater of 3.75% or 3.25% in excess of the LIBOR rate or (ii) the greater of 3.75% or 0.50% in excess of Sovereign Bank's prime rate, as elected by the Company in accordance with the Credit Agreement.

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

On May 10, 2011, the Company entered into a fifth amendment to its credit agreement with Sovereign Bank, increasing the revolving credit facility from an aggregate of \$4 million to an aggregate of \$10 million and extending the term from August 2013 to August 2014. In addition, the interest rate of borrowings under the revolving credit facility will no longer be subject to a minimum rate of 3.75%.

On September 1, 2011, the Company entered into a sixth amendment to its credit agreement with Sovereign Bank providing for a \$3.0 million increase until November 30, 2011 of the revolving credit facility under the Credit Agreement, from an aggregate of \$10.0 million to an aggregate of \$13.0 million.

On November 29, 2011, the Company entered into a seventh amendment to its credit agreement with Sovereign Bank, which increased the revolving credit facility under the Credit Agreement from an aggregate of \$13.0 million to an aggregate of \$18.0 million and extended the term of earlier terminating revolving credit loans to August 2014. The Amendment also provides for a reduction in the interest rate of borrowings under the revolving credit facility to 2.75% in excess of the LIBOR rate or Sovereign Bank's prime rate, as elected by the Company in accordance with the Credit Agreement, a reduction in the commitment fee to a rate of 0.4% per annum on the average daily unused portion of the revolving credit commitment, commencing December 31, 2011 and the addition of a covenant to the Credit Agreement requiring that the Company maintain a ratio of Unsubordinated Liabilities to Capital Base, as such terms are defined in the Credit Agreement.

On December 5, 2012, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Sovereign Bank as the sole arranger, administrative agent and collateral agent and Valley National Bank. The Restated Agreement provides for a revolving credit loan commitment of \$35 million, which replaces the Sovereign Revolving Facility, and a term loan of \$3.9 million. The term of the Restated Agreement is through December 2016. The Restated Agreement increases the availability under, and amends and restates the Credit Agreement, dated as of August 13, 2007, as subsequently amended, between the Company and Sovereign Bank (the "Prior Agreement"), which provided for a revolving credit loan commitment and two term loans. One of the term loans under the Prior Agreement was refinanced as a revolving credit loan under the Restated Agreement. The other term loan and the revolving credit loans under the Prior Agreement continued as a term loan and revolving credit loan under the Restated Agreement.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all financial covenants contained in the credit agreement. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had \$23.45 million outstanding under the Sovereign Revolving Facility bearing interest at 2.71%.

6. LONG-TERM DEBT

On October 22, 2008, the Company obtained a \$3 million term loan from Sovereign Bank to be amortized over five years (the "Sovereign Term Facility"). Prior to entering into the term loan the Company had borrowed \$2.5 million under the Sovereign Revolving Facility to fund the initial tooling costs related to a long-term contract. The Company used the proceeds from the Sovereign Term Facility to repay the borrowings under the Sovereign Revolving Facility and to pay for additional tooling related to a long-term contract. This term loan was refinanced as a revolving credit loan under the Restated Agreement of December 5, 2012.

On March 9, 2012, the Company obtained a \$4.5 million term loan from Sovereign Bank to be amortized over five years (the "Sovereign Term Facility 2"). Sovereign Term Facility 2 was used to purchase tooling and equipment for new programs. Sovereign Term Facility 2 bears interest at the lower of LIBOR plus 3% or Sovereign Bank's prime rate.

The terms and conditions of the Sovereign Revolving Facility are applicable to the Sovereign Term Facility.

Additionally, the Company and Sovereign Bank entered into a five year interest rate swap agreement, in the notional amount of \$4.5 million. Under the interest rate swap, the Company pays an amount to Sovereign Bank representing interest on the notional amount at a fixed rate of 4.11% and receives an amount from Sovereign Bank representing interest on the notional amount of a rate equal to the one-month LIBOR plus 3%. The effect of this interest rate swap will be the Company paying a fixed interest rate of 4.11% over the term of the Sovereign Term Facility 2.

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

The maturities of the long-term debt are as follows:

Year ending December 31,

| | |
|------|-------------|
| 2013 | \$1,100,564 |
| 2014 | 1,011,686 |
| 2015 | 960,784 |
| 2016 | 937,403 |
| 2017 | 300,000 |
| | \$4,310,437 |

Also included in long-term debt are capital leases and notes payable of \$410,437 and \$626,620 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, including a current portion of \$200,564 and \$287,380, respectively.

The cost of assets under capital leases was approximately \$1,051,000 and \$975,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Accumulated depreciate of assets under capital leases was approximately \$382,000 and \$187,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

7. COMMITMENTS:

The Company has employment agreements with three employees. The aggregate future commitment under these agreements is as follows:

Year ending December 31,

| | |
|------|-------------|
| 2013 | \$884,500 |
| 2014 | 923,000 |
| | \$1,807,500 |

These agreements provide for additional bonus payments that are calculated as defined.

The Company leases an office and warehouse facility under a non-cancelable operating lease which expires in December 2022. The aggregate future commitment under this agreement is as follows:

Year ending December 31,

| | |
|------------|--------------|
| 2013 | \$1,554,080 |
| 2014 | 1,591,604 |
| 2015 | 1,562,685 |
| 2016 | 1,600,467 |
| 2017 | 1,639,382 |
| Thereafter | 7,572,922 |
| | \$15,521,140 |

Edgar Filing: CPI AEROSTRUCTURES INC - Form 10-K

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$1,634,121, \$1,044,394 and \$443,071, respectively.

8 INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes consists of the following:

| Years ended December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$5,503,000 | \$3,220,000 | \$435,000 |
| Prior year over accrual | --- | --- | (157,000) |
| State | --- | 5,000 | --- |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | 11,000 | (103,000) | (265,000) |
| | \$5,514,000 | \$3,122,000 | \$13,000 |

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

The difference between the income tax provision computed at the federal statutory rate and the actual tax provision is accounted for as follows:

| December 31, | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Taxes computed at the federal statutory rate | \$5,701,000 | \$3,583,000 | \$237,000 |
| State income tax, net | -- | 3,000 | --- |
| Prior year true-up | 47,000 | (61,000) | (157,000) |
| Permanent differences | (234,000) | (403,000) | (67,000) |
| Provision for Income Taxes | \$5,514,000 | \$3,122,000 | \$13,000 |

The components of deferred income tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Deferred Tax Assets: | | |
| Revenue recognition | \$422,000 | \$231,000 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 112,000 | 26,000 |
| Deferred tax asset-current | 534,000 | 257,000 |
| Deferred rent | 175,000 | 141,000 |
| Stock options | 805,000 | 953,000 |
| Interest rate swap | 21,000 | 11,000 |
| Deferred Tax Assets-non current | 1,001,000 | 1,105,000 |
| Deferred Tax Liabilities: | | |
| Prepaid expenses | 102,000 | 125,000 |
| Deferred Tax Liabilities-current | 102,000 | 125,000 |
| Property and equipment | 867,000 | 660,000 |
| Deferred tax liability-noncurrent | 867,000 | 660,000 |
| Net Deferred Tax Assets (Liabilities) | \$566,000 | \$577,000 |

The Company recognized, for income tax purposes, a tax benefit of \$313,000, \$438,000 and \$304,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, for compensation expense related to its stock option plan for which no corresponding charge to operations has been recorded. Such amounts have been added to additional paid-in capital in those years.

9. EMPLOYEE STOCK OPTION PLANS:

The Company accounts for compensation expense associated with Stock Options based on the fair value of the options on the date of grant.

The Company used the modified transition method to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid-in capital pool related to the tax effects of employee share-based compensation, which is available to absorb tax deficiencies recognized subsequent to the adoption of the fair value method.

The Company's net income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, include approximately \$383,000, \$986,000 and \$554,000 of stock based compensation expense, respectively. The Company recorded reductions in income tax payable of approximately \$528,000, \$547,000 and \$123,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, as a result of the tax benefit upon exercise of options. The compensation expense related to the Company's stock-based compensation arrangements is recorded as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses. Cash flows resulting from tax deductions in excess of the cumulative compensation cost recognized from options exercised (excess tax benefits) is classified as cash inflows from financing activities and cash inflows from operating activities.

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

In 1995, the Company adopted the 1995 Stock Option Plan (the “1995 Plan”), as amended, for which 200,000 common shares are reserved for issuance. The 1995 Plan provides for the issuance of either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options to employees, consultants or others who provide services to the Company. The options’ exercise price is equal to the closing price of the Company’s shares on the day of issuance, except for incentive stock options granted to the Company’s president, which are exercisable at 110% of the closing price of the Company’s shares on the date of issuance.

In 1998, the Company adopted the 1998 Performance Equity Plan (the “1998 Plan”). The 1998 Plan, as amended, reserved 463,334 common shares for issuance. The 1998 Plan provides for the issuance of either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options to employees, consultants or others who provide services to the Company. The options’ exercise price is equal to the closing price of the Company’s shares on the day of issuance, except for incentive stock options granted to the Company’s president, which are exercisable at 110% of the closing price of the Company’s shares on the date of issuance.

In 2000, the Company adopted the Performance Equity Plan 2000 (the “2000 Plan”). The 2000 Plan, as amended, reserved 1,230,000 common shares for issuance. The 2000 Plan provides for the issuance of either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options to employees, consultants or others who provide services to the Company. The options’ exercise price is equal to the closing price of the Company’s shares on the day of issuance, except for incentive stock options granted to the Company’s president, which are exercisable at 110% of the closing price of the Company’s shares on the date of issuance.

In 2009, the Company adopted the Performance Equity Plan 2009 (the “2009 Plan”). The 2009 Plan reserved 500,000 common shares for issuance. The 2009 Plan provides for the issuance of either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options to employees, consultants or others who provide services to the Company. The options’ exercise price is equal to the closing price of the Company’s shares on the day of issuance, except for incentive stock options granted to any person possessing more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of Company stock, which are exercisable at 110% of the closing price of the Company’s shares on the date of issuance.

The Company has 280,266 options available for grant under the 2009 Plan.

The estimated fair value of each option award granted was determined on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The following weighted average assumptions were used for option grants during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.90% | 2.08% | 2.55% |
| Expected volatility | 101.8% | 100.9% | 97.0% |
| Dividend yield | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Expected option term-in years | 5 | 5 | 5 |

The risk free interest rate for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is based on the 5 year U.S. Treasury note rate on the day of grant. The expected volatility computation for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is based on the average of the volatility over the most recent four year period, which represents the Company’s estimate of expected volatility over the expected option term. The Company has never paid a dividend, and is not expected to pay a dividend in the foreseeable future, therefore the dividend yield is assumed to be zero. The Company assumes zero forfeitures of options as the historical forfeiture rate is below 1%.

A summary of the status of the Company's stock option plans is as follows:

| Fixed Options | Options | Weighted average Exercise Price | Weighted average remaining contractual term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2010 | 1,052,333 | \$6.47 | 3.91 | |
| Granted during period | 80,000 | 7.38 | | |
| Exercised | (272,000) | 5.11 | | |
| Forfeited/Expired | (80,000) | 10.01 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 780,333 | \$6.68 | 2.92 | |
| Granted during period | 80,000 | 14.90 | | |
| Exercised | (165,333) | 3.72 | | |
| Forfeited/Expired | --- | --- | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 695,000 | \$8.33 | 2.66 | |
| Granted during period | 40,517 | 11.87 | | |
| Exercised | (240,000) | 6.85 | | |
| Forfeited/Expired | --- | --- | | |
| Outstanding and expected to vest at December 31, 2012 | 495,517 | 9.33 | 2.73 | 858,997 |
| Vested at December 31, 2012 | 495,517 | 9.33 | 2.73 | 858,997 |

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

The weighted-average fair value of each option granted during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, estimated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option valuation model was \$8.91, \$11.24 and \$5.47, respectively.

The Company's stock options granted to non-employee directors vest immediately upon grant and have a maximum contractual term of five years. Stock options granted to employees vest over three years and have a maximum contractual term of ten years. The expected option term is calculated utilizing historical data of option exercises.

As of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, there was \$0, \$21,687 and \$108,435, respectively, of unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock option awards.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, 180,000 stock options were exercised for cash resulting in cash proceeds to the Company of \$1,187,700. During the same period an additional 10,000 options were exercised, pursuant to provisions of the stock option plan, where the Company received no cash and 4,589 shares of its common stock in exchange for the 10,000 shares issued in the exercise. The 4,589 shares that the Company received were valued at \$69,095, the fair market value of the shares on the date of exercise. In addition, 25,000 options were exercised, pursuant to provisions of the stock option plan, for a combination of cash and common shares. The Company received \$102,815 in cash and 4,333 shares in exchange for the 25,000 shares issued in this exercise. The 4,333 shares that the Company received were valued at \$69,930, the fair market value of the shares on the date of exercise. Lastly, the Company received no cash and 20,935 shares of its common stock in exchange for the 25,000 shares issued in the exercise. The 20,935 shares that the Company received were valued at \$216,630, the fair market value of the shares on the date of exercise.

During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company earned a tax benefit of \$313,000, \$438,000 and \$304,000, respectively, from the exercise of stock options.

The intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$1,337,000, \$1,609,000 and \$1,936,000, respectively.

The fair value of all options vested during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$859,000, \$2,625,000 and \$563,000, respectively.

10. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN:

On September 11, 1996, the Company's board of directors instituted a defined contribution plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"). On October 1, 1998, the Company amended and standardized its plan as required by the Code. Pursuant to the amended plan, qualified employees may contribute a percentage of their pretax eligible compensation to the Plan and the Company will match a percentage of each employee's contribution. Additionally, the Company has a profit-sharing plan covering all eligible employees. Contributions by the Company are at the discretion of management. The amount of contributions recorded by the Company in 2012, 2011 and 2010 amounted to \$301,196, \$232,364 and \$173,186, respectively.

11. MAJOR CUSTOMERS:

Seven percent of revenue in 2012, 9% of revenue in 2011 and 10% of revenue in 2010 were directly to the U.S. Government. Two percent and 5.5% of accounts receivable at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were from the U. S. Government.

In addition, in 2012, 33%, 18%, 17% and 13% of our revenue were to our four largest Commercial customers, respectively. In 2011, 33%, 30%, 14% and 11% of our revenue were to our four largest Commercial customers, respectively. At December 31, 2012, 36%, 30% and 21% of accounts receivable were from our three largest commercial customers. At December 31, 2011, 36%, 34% and 12% of accounts receivable were from our three largest commercial customers.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, 3% and 8%, respectively, of Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings on Uncompleted Contracts were from the U.S. government.

At December 31, 2012, 39%, 22%, 14%, and 13% of Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings on Uncompleted Contracts were from our four largest commercial customers. At December 31, 2011, 40%, 21%, 15% and 13% of Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings on Uncompleted Contracts were from our four largest commercial customers.

12. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The results of any single quarter are not necessarily indicative of the Company's results for the full year. Earnings per share data is computed independently for each of the periods presented. As a result, the sum of the earnings per share amounts for the quarter may not equal the total for the year.

| | | Quarter ended | | | |
|-------------------|------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| 2012 | March 31, | June 30, | September30, | December 31, | |
| Revenue | 19,721,095 | 20,854,627 | 21,340,831 | 27,356,029 | |
| Gross Profit | 4,964,386 | 5,768,644 | 5,804,424 | 7,695,159 | |
| Net Income | 1,919,320 | 2,696,019 | 2,795,437 | 3,600,354 | |
| Earning per share | | | | | |
| Basic | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.43 | |
| Diluted | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.43 | |
| 2011 | | | | | |
| Revenue | 16,009,608 | 17,426,223 | 16,607,638 | 24,092,200 | |
| Gross Profit | 3,850,104 | 4,244,801 | 4,167,605 | 6,547,430 | |
| Net Income | 1,368,050 | 1,570,816 | 1,805,042 | 2,673,020 | |
| Earning per share | | | | | |
| Basic | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.39 | |
| Diluted | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.37 | |

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

Schedule II - Valuation and
 Qualifying Accounts
 Allowance for Doubtful Accounts
 (Deducted from Accounts Receivable)

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|----------|----------|---------|
| Balance at January 1 | \$75,000 | \$8,980 | \$8,980 |
| (Deductions from)/charges to costs and expenses | | 75,000 | |
| Deductions from reserves | (50,000) | (8,980) | |
| Balance at December 31, | \$25,000 | \$75,000 | \$8,980 |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: March 13, 2013 CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.
(Registrant)

By: /s/ Vincent Palazzolo
Vincent Palazzolo
Chief Financial Officer and Secretary
(Principal financial and accounting officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|---|----------------|
| /s/ Eric Rosenfeld Eric Rosenfeld | Chairman of the Board of Directors | March 13, 2013 |
| /s/ Edward J. Fred Edward J. Fred | Chief Executive Officer and President | March 13, 2013 |
| /s/ Vincent Palazzolo Vincent Palazzolo | Chief Financial Officer and Secretary (Principal financial and accounting officer) | March 13, 2013 |
| /s/ Walter Paulick Walter Paulick | Director | March 13, 2013 |
| /s/ Kenneth McSweeney Kenneth McSweeney | Director | March 13, 2013 |
| /s/ Harvey Bazaar Harvey Bazaar | Director | March 13, 2013 |

