

WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS INC

Form 10-Q

May 02, 2008

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2008**

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____**

Commission file number 001-13305

WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

95-3872914
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**311 Bonnie Circle
Corona, CA 92880-2882**
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)
(951) 493-5300

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting
company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's only class of common stock as of April 30, 2008 was approximately 104,441,000.

WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
TABLE OF CONTENTS
FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2008

PAGE

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited):	
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007</u>	1
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 and 2007</u>	2
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 and 2007</u>	3
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	4
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	19
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk</u>	31
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	33

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	34
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	34
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	34
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	34
	<u>Signatures</u>	35
	<u>EXHIBIT 31.1</u>	
	<u>EXHIBIT 31.2</u>	
	<u>EXHIBIT 32.1</u>	
	<u>EXHIBIT 32.2</u>	

Table of Contents

WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited; in thousands)

	March 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 173,998	\$ 204,554
Marketable securities	12,711	11,799
Accounts receivable, net	273,305	267,117
Inventories	521,322	490,601
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	69,039	86,072
Deferred tax assets	113,252	113,633
Total current assets	1,163,627	1,173,776
Property and equipment, net	684,507	688,185
Investments and other assets	70,512	68,034
Deferred tax assets	60,773	61,886
Product rights and other intangibles, net	583,776	603,697
Goodwill	876,449	876,449
Total assets	\$ 3,439,644	\$ 3,472,027
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 376,545	\$ 398,154
Income taxes payable	20,006	
Short-term debt and current portion of long-term debt	2,851	6,241
Current deferred tax liabilities	18,709	18,778
Deferred revenue	17,610	21,754
Total current liabilities	435,721	444,927
Long-term debt	824,473	899,408
Deferred revenue	35,117	39,535
Other long-term liabilities	5,442	7,333
Other taxes payable	55,050	52,619
Deferred tax liabilities	180,474	178,740
Total liabilities	1,536,277	1,622,562
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock		
Common stock	375	373
Additional paid-in capital	973,134	968,739
Retained earnings	1,230,366	1,179,737

Edgar Filing: WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,352	2,392
Treasury stock, at cost	(301,860)	(301,776)
Total stockholders' equity	1,903,367	1,849,465
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 3,439,644	\$ 3,472,027

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

-1-

Table of Contents

WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited; in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2008	2007
Net revenues	\$ 626,949	\$ 671,605
Cost of sales (excludes amortization, presented below)	380,102	424,720
Gross profit	246,847	246,885
Operating expenses:		
Research and development	38,015	37,808
Selling and marketing	56,080	55,163
General and administrative	50,553	48,055
Amortization	20,179	43,933
Total operating expenses	164,827	184,959
Operating income	82,020	61,926
Other income (expense):		
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	(1,095)	(2,729)
Interest income	2,309	2,929
Interest expense	(6,796)	(13,876)
Other income	5,353	3,403
Total other (expense) income, net	(229)	(10,273)
Income before income taxes	81,791	51,653
Provision for income taxes	31,162	20,041
Net income	\$ 50,629	\$ 31,612
Earnings per share:		
Basic	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.31
Diluted	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.29
Weighted average shares outstanding:		
Basic	102,625	101,927

Diluted

117,380

116,612

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

-2-

Table of Contents

WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited; in thousands)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2008	2007
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 50,629	\$ 31,612
Reconciliation to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	21,805	18,029
Amortization	20,179	43,933
Deferred income tax provision	6,443	250
Provision for inventory reserve	9,082	11,427
Restricted stock and stock option compensation	4,322	3,402
Earnings on equity method investments	(3,983)	(1,439)
Gain on sale of securities	(1,355)	(1,789)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	1,095	2,729
Other	1,567	458
Changes in assets and liabilities (net of acquisition of business):		
Accounts receivable, net	(6,188)	12,083
Inventories	(39,803)	9,063
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	12,786	32,222
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(26,151)	(83,596)
Deferred revenue	(8,562)	(1,792)
Income taxes payable	24,253	10,319
Other assets	513	1,328
Total adjustments	16,003	56,627
Net cash provided by operating activities	66,632	88,239
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Additions to property and equipment	(18,277)	(16,744)
Acquisition of product rights	(258)	(153)
Proceeds from sale of marketable equity securities	1,628	699
Additions to marketable securities	(1,288)	(1,099)
Additions to long-term investments		(1,144)
Other investing activities, net		115
Net cash used in investing activities	(18,195)	(18,326)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Principal payments on debt and other long-term liabilities	(88,058)	(151,661)
Proceeds from issuance of short-term debt and other long-term liabilities	9,076	

Edgar Filing: WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

Repurchase of common stock	(84)	
Proceeds from stock plans	73	1,127
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(78,993)	(150,534)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(30,556)	(80,621)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	204,554	154,171
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 173,998	\$ 73,550

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

-3-

Table of Contents**WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****NOTE 1 GENERAL**

Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Watson or the Company) is primarily engaged in the development, manufacture, marketing, sale and distribution of brand and off-patent (generic) pharmaceutical products. Watson was incorporated in 1985 and began operations as a manufacturer and marketer of off-patent pharmaceuticals. Through internal product development and synergistic acquisitions of products and businesses, the Company has grown into a diversified specialty pharmaceutical company. Watson operates manufacturing, distribution, research and development (R&D) and administrative facilities predominantly in the United States of America (U.S.) and India with our key commercial market being the U.S.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted from the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The year end condensed consolidated balance sheet was derived from the audited financial statements. The accompanying interim financial statements are unaudited, but reflect all adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to present fairly Watson s consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. Unless otherwise noted, all such adjustments are of a normal, recurring nature. The Company s results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations and cash flows that it may achieve in future periods.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes all changes in equity during a period except those that resulted from investments by or distributions to the Company s stockholders. Other comprehensive income refers to revenues, expenses, gains and losses that, under generally accepted accounting principles, are included in comprehensive income, but excluded from net income. The components of comprehensive income including attributable income taxes consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March	
	2008	31, 2007
Net income	\$ 50,629	\$ 31,612
Other comprehensive (loss) income:		
Translation gains	320	218
Unrealized loss on securities, net of tax	(48)	(6)
Unrealized loss on cash flow hedge, net of tax	(1,312)	
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(1,040)	212
Total comprehensive income	\$ 49,589	\$ 31,824

Table of Contents

Preferred and Common Stock

As of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 there were 2,500,000 shares of no par value per share preferred stock authorized, with none issued. As of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, there were 500,000,000 shares of \$0.0033 par value per share common stock authorized, with 113,846,000 and 113,115,000 shares issued and 104,386,000 and 103,658,000 outstanding, respectively. Of the issued shares, 9,460,000 and 9,457,000 shares were held as treasury shares as of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively.

Provisions for Sales Returns and Allowances

As customary in the pharmaceutical industry, the Company's gross product sales are subject to a variety of deductions in arriving at reported net product sales. When the Company recognizes revenue from the sale of its products, an estimate of sales returns and allowances (SRA) is recorded which reduces product sales. These adjustments include estimates for chargebacks, rebates, cash discounts and returns and other allowances. These provisions are estimated based on historical payment experience, historical relationship to revenues, estimated customer inventory levels and current contract sales terms with direct and indirect customers. The estimation process used to determine our SRA provision has been applied on a consistent basis and no material adjustments have been necessary to increase or decrease our reserves for SRA as a result of a significant change in underlying estimates. The Company uses a variety of methods to assess the adequacy of our SRA reserves to ensure that our condensed consolidated financial statements are fairly stated. This includes periodic reviews of customer inventory data, customer contract programs and product pricing trends to analyze and validate the SRA reserves.

The provision for chargebacks is our most significant sales allowance. A chargeback represents an amount payable in the future to a wholesaler for the difference between the invoice price paid to the Company by our wholesale customer for a particular product and the negotiated contract price that the wholesaler's customer pays for that product. The Company's chargeback provision and related reserve vary with changes in product mix, changes in customer pricing and changes to estimated wholesaler inventories. The provision for chargebacks also takes into account an estimate of the expected wholesaler sell-through levels to indirect customers at contract prices. The Company validates the chargeback accrual quarterly through a review of the inventory reports obtained from our largest wholesale customers. This customer inventory information is used to verify the estimated liability for future chargeback claims based on historical chargeback and contract rates. These large wholesalers represent 85% - 90% of the Company's chargeback payments. The Company continually monitors current pricing trends and wholesaler inventory levels to ensure the liability for future chargebacks is fairly stated.

Net revenues and accounts receivable balances in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements are presented net of SRA estimates. Certain SRA balances are included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. Accounts receivable are presented net of SRA balances of \$334.7 million and \$341.0 million at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities include \$45.3 million and \$46.7 million at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively, for certain rebates and other amounts due to indirect customers.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the activity in the Company's major categories of SRA (in thousands):

	Chargebacks	Rebates	Returns and Other Allowances	Cash Discounts	Total
Balance at December 31, 2006	\$ 164,480	\$ 180,538	\$ 42,489	\$ 14,072	\$ 401,579
Provision related to sales in three months ended March 31, 2007	291,831	122,766	56,133	18,436	489,166
Credits and payments	(321,751)	(124,215)	(33,960)	(16,894)	(496,820)
Balance at March 31, 2007	134,560	179,089	64,662	15,614	393,925
Provision related to sales in three quarters ended December 31, 2007	943,066	253,732	111,290	49,625	1,357,713
Credits and payments	(913,183)	(278,504)	(119,908)	(52,327)	(1,363,922)
Balance at December 31, 2007	164,443	154,317	56,044	12,912	387,716
Provision related to sales in three months ended March 31, 2008	313,888	78,454	45,136	16,640	454,118
Credits and payments	(323,196)	(85,978)	(38,409)	(14,295)	(461,878)
Balance at March 31, 2008	\$ 155,135	\$ 146,793	\$ 62,771	\$ 15,257	\$ 379,956

Earnings Per Share (EPS)

Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average common shares outstanding during a period. Diluted EPS is based on the treasury stock method and includes the effect from potential issuance of common stock, such as shares issuable upon conversion of the \$575 million convertible contingent senior debentures (CODES), and the dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock awards outstanding during the period. Common share equivalents have been excluded where their inclusion would be anti-dilutive. In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 04-8, The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings per Share, the Company is required to add approximately 14.4 million shares associated with the conversion of the CODES to the number of shares outstanding for the calculation of diluted EPS for all periods in which the securities were outstanding. A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of basic and diluted EPS consisted of the following (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2008	2007
EPS basic		
Net income	\$ 50,629	\$ 31,612
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	102,625	101,928

EPS basic	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.31
EPS diluted		
Net income	\$ 50,629	\$ 31,612
Add: Interest expense on CODES, net of tax	1,986	1,943
Net income, adjusted	\$ 52,615	\$ 33,555
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	102,625	101,928
Effect of dilutive securities:		
Conversion of CODES	14,357	14,357
Dilutive stock options	398	327
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	117,380	116,612
EPS diluted	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.29

Table of Contents

Stock awards to purchase 9.0 million and 10.1 million common shares for the three month periods ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, were outstanding but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options were antidilutive.

Derivatives

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company entered into an interest rate swap derivative to convert floating-rate debt to fixed-rate debt. The Company's interest rate swap agreements involve agreements to pay a fixed rate and receive a floating rate, at specified intervals, calculated on an agreed upon notional amount. As of March 31, 2008, all of the derivative instruments entered into are designated as hedges of underlying exposures. The Company does not use any of these instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the notional amount of interest rate swaps entered into by the Company was \$200 million. The fair value of the interest rate swap at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was a liability of \$3.7 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. The liability is presented within other long-term liabilities on the balance sheet at December 31, 2007 and within accounts payable and accrued expenses at March 31, 2008 as the interest rate swap expires in January 2009.

Share-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for share-based compensation under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R) which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based compensation awards made to employees and directors based on estimated fair values.

As of March 31, 2008, the Company had \$6.5 million of total unrecognized compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, related to stock option grants, which will be recognized over the remaining weighted average period of 1.6 years. As of March 31, 2008, the Company had \$26.4 million of total unrecognized compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, related to restricted stock grants, which will be recognized over the remaining weighted average period of 2.3 years. During the quarter ended March 31, 2008, the Company issued approximately 750,000 restricted stock grants with an aggregate intrinsic value of \$20.7 million. No stock option grants were issued during the quarter ended March 31, 2008.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 157, Fair-Value Measurements (SFAS 157) which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair-value measurements. The Company adopted SFAS 157 effective January 1, 2008 for all financial assets and liabilities and any other assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis (see NOTE 9 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT). For nonfinancial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company is currently reviewing the application of SFAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and has not yet determined how the adoption of SFAS 157 will impact its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115, (SFAS 159) which is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. SFAS 159 is an elective standard which permits an entity to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value at specified election dates. Subsequent unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be reported in earnings. The Company has not elected to adopt the fair value option of SFAS 159.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations (SFAS 141R) which replaces SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations . SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for recognizing and measuring identifiable assets and goodwill acquired, liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in a business combination at their fair value at acquisition date. SFAS 141R alters the treatment of acquisition-related costs, business combinations achieved in stages (referred to as a step acquisition), the treatment of gains from a bargain purchase, the recognition of contingencies in business combinations, the treatment of in-process research and development in a business combination as well as the treatment of recognizable deferred tax benefits. SFAS 141R is effective for business combinations closed in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is prohibited. The Company's consolidated financial statements will be impacted by SFAS 141R only in relation to future business combination activities.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest (minority interest) in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. SFAS 160 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is prohibited. The Company currently has no minority interests and therefore expects the adoption of SFAS 160 will not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosures about a company's derivative and hedging activities. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of the enhanced disclosures requirements of SFAS 161 and does not expect the adoption to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 2 OTHER INCOME

Other income consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March	
	31,	
	2008	2007
Earnings on equity method investments	\$ 3,983	\$ 1,439
Gain on sale of securities	1,355	1,789
Other income	15	175
	\$ 5,353	\$ 3,403

NOTE 3 OPERATING SEGMENTS

Watson has three reportable operating segments: Generic, Brand and Distribution. The Generic segment includes off-patent pharmaceutical products that are therapeutically equivalent to proprietary products. The Brand segment includes the Company's lines of Specialty Products and Nephrology products. Watson has aggregated its Brand product lines in a single segment because of similarities in regulatory environment, methods of distribution and types of customer. This segment includes patent-protected products and certain trademarked off-patent products that Watson sells and markets as Brand pharmaceutical products. The Company sells its brand and generic products primarily to pharmaceutical wholesalers, drug distributors and chain drug stores in the U.S. The Distribution segment distributes generic pharmaceutical products and select brand pharmaceutical products manufactured by third parties to independent pharmacies, pharmacy chains, pharmacy buying groups and physicians' offices in the U.S. Sales are principally generated through an in-house telemarketing staff and through internally developed ordering systems. The Distribution segment operating

Table of Contents

results exclude sales of Watson generic and brand products, which are included in their respective segment results.

Segment net revenues, segment gross profit and segment contribution information for the Company's Generic, Brand and Distribution segments consisted of the following:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2008				Three Months Ended March 31, 2007			
	Generic	Brand	Distribution	Total	Generic	Brand	Distribution	Total
Product sales	\$ 342,459	\$ 98,992	\$ 144,902	\$ 586,353	\$ 411,475	\$ 90,638	\$ 145,440	\$ 647,553
Other	24,297	16,299		40,596	13,150	10,902		24,052
Net revenues	366,756	115,291	144,902	626,949	424,625	101,540	145,440	671,605
Cost of sales ⁽¹⁾	229,723	27,526	122,853	380,102	272,623	25,215	126,882	424,720
Gross profit ⁽¹⁾	137,033	87,765	22,049	246,847	152,002	76,325	18,558	246,885
Gross margin ⁽¹⁾	37.4%	76.1%	15.2%	39.4%	35.8%	75.2%	12.8%	36.8%
Research and development	22,597	15,418		38,015	26,513	11,295		37,808
Selling and marketing	14,053	27,995	14,032	56,080	14,549	26,411	14,203	55,163
Contribution	\$ 100,383	\$ 44,352	\$ 8,017	152,752	\$ 110,940	\$ 38,619	\$ 4,355	153,914
Contribution margin	27.4%	38.5%	5.5%	24.4%	26.1%	38.0%	3.0%	22.9%
General and administrative				50,553				48,055
Amortization				20,179				43,933
Operating income				\$ 82,020				\$ 61,926
Operating margin				13.1%				9.2%

(1) Excludes amortization of acquired intangibles including product rights.

NOTE 4 INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of finished goods held for sale and distribution, raw materials and work-in-process. Included in inventory at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 is approximately \$10.6 million and \$15.1 million, respectively, of inventory that is pending approval by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or has not been launched due to contractual restrictions. This inventory consists of generic pharmaceutical products that are capitalized only when the bioequivalence of the product is demonstrated or the product is already FDA approved and is awaiting a contractual triggering event to enter the marketplace.

Edgar Filing: WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market (net realizable value) and consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Raw materials	\$ 113,219	\$ 102,607
Work-in-process	43,014	45,851
Finished goods	365,089	342,143
Total inventories	\$ 521,322	\$ 490,601

-9-

Table of Contents**NOTE 5 LONG-TERM DEBT**

Long-term debt consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Senior Credit Facility, due 2011, bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.75% (2006 Credit Facility)	\$ 250,000	\$ 325,000
CODES, face amount of \$575 million, due 2023, net of unamortized discount	574,471	574,402
Other notes payable	2,853	6,247
	827,324	905,649
Less: Current portion	2,851	6,241
Total long-term debt	\$ 824,473	\$ 899,408

Senior Credit Facility

During the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company made prepayments of the 2006 Credit Facility totaling \$75.0 million and \$150.0 million, respectively. As a result of these pre-payments, the Company's results for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 reflect a \$1.1 million and \$2.7 million non-cash charge for debt repurchases, respectively. As of March 31, 2008, \$250.0 million is outstanding under the 2006 Credit Facility. The full amount outstanding on the 2006 Credit Facility is due November 2011.

NOTE 6 BUSINESS RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

During the first quarter of 2008, the Company announced efforts to reduce its cost structure with the planned closure of its manufacturing facilities in Carmel, New York and its distribution center in Brewster, New York. While the final closing date will depend on a number of factors, we anticipate these facilities will close by 2010. Activity related to our business restructuring and facility rationalization activities for the three months ended March 31, 2008 consisted of the following:

(in thousands)	Costs Incurred	Cash Payments	Non-cash Adjustments	Balance at March 31, 2008
Cost of sales				
Severance and retention	\$ 11,235	\$ (718)	\$	\$ 10,517
Product transfer costs	104			104
Accelerated depreciation	1,757		(1,757)	
	13,096	(718)	(1,757)	10,621
Operating expenses				
Research and development	38			38
Selling and marketing	606			606
	644			644

Edgar Filing: WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

Total restructuring charges	\$ 13,740	\$ (718)	\$ (1,757)	\$ 11,265
-----------------------------	-----------	----------	------------	-----------

Product transfer costs consist of documentation, testing and shipping costs to transfer product to other facilities. Operating expenses include severance and retention. Retention is expensed only to the extent earned by employees. Activity related to our business restructuring and facility rationalization activities is primarily attributable to our Generic segment.

-10-

Table of Contents**NOTE 7 INCOME TAXES**

As of December 31, 2007, the balance of unrecognized tax benefits was \$71.2 million. The net amount of unrecognized tax benefits which, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective tax rate was \$42.5 million as of December 31, 2007 and accrued penalties and interest was \$6.2 million (net of tax benefit of \$3.6 million) as of December 31, 2007. None of these balances have changed materially during the quarter ended March 31, 2008.

The Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) continues its examination of the Company's tax returns for the years 2000 to 2003. As part of this exam, the IRS has raised issues regarding transfer pricing, deductions relating to investments, certain transactions costs and certain timing differences. As a result, the Company anticipates the amount of liability for unrecognized tax benefits may change in the next twelve months due to the final resolution and settlement of these audits and the expiration of the statute of limitations. Discussions are currently continuing with the IRS to resolve these matters. However, we are unable to quantify the impact of the resolution at this time.

The Federal Research and Development Credit expired at the end of 2007 and has not been extended into 2008. No tax benefit related to the Federal Research and Development Credit has been recorded in the quarter ended March 31, 2008. Should this credit be extended through 2008, the Company's effective tax rate will be reduced.

NOTE 8 STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

A summary of the changes in stockholders' equity for the three months ended March 31, 2008 consisted of the following (in thousands):

Stockholders' equity, December 31, 2007	\$ 1,849,465
Common stock issued under employee plans	73
Increase in additional paid-in capital for restricted stock and stock option compensation	4,322
Net income	50,629
Other comprehensive loss	(1,040)
Tax benefit from employee stock plans	2
Repurchase of common stock	(84)
Stockholders' equity, March 31, 2008	\$ 1,903,367

NOTE 9 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157 which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair-value measurements. The Company adopted SFAS 157 effective January 1, 2008 for all financial assets and liabilities and any other assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis. Although the adoption of SFAS 157 did not materially impact the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, we are required to provide additional disclosures within our condensed consolidated financial statements.

SFAS 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer the liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants and also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The fair value hierarchy within SFAS 157 distinguishes between three levels of inputs that may be utilized when measuring fair value including level 1 inputs (using quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities), level 2 inputs (using inputs other than level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or inputs that are observable for the asset or liability) and

Table of Contents

level 3 inputs (unobservable inputs supported by little or no market activity based on our own assumptions used to measure assets and liabilities). A financial asset or liability's classification within the above hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as at March 31, 2008 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements as at March 31, 2008 Using:			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Marketable securities	\$12,711	\$12,711	\$	\$
Investments	222	222		
Derivative liabilities	3,815		3,815	

Marketable securities and investments consist of available-for-sale investments in U.S. Treasury and agency securities and publicly traded equity securities for which market prices are readily available. The fair value of derivative liabilities, consisting of interest rate swaps and an embedded derivative related to the CODES, are determined based on inputs that can be derived from information available in publicly quoted markets. Unrealized gains or losses on marketable securities, investments and interest rate swaps are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income. Changes in the fair value of the embedded derivative related to the CODES are reflected as an adjustment to interest expense.

NOTE 10 CONTINGENCIES*Legal Matters*

Watson and its affiliates are involved in various disputes, governmental and/or regulatory inspections, inquiries, investigations and proceedings, and litigation matters that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of business. The process of resolving matters through litigation or other means is inherently uncertain and it is possible that an unfavorable resolution of these matters will adversely affect the Company, its results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. The Company's regular practice is to expense legal fees as services are rendered in connection with legal matters, and to accrue for liabilities when losses are probable and reasonably estimable.

Cipro® Litigation. Beginning in July 2000, a number of suits were filed against Watson, The Rugby Group, Inc. (Rugby) and other company affiliates in various state and federal courts alleging claims under various federal and state competition and consumer protection laws. Several plaintiffs have filed amended complaints and motions seeking class certification. Approximately 42 cases had been filed against Watson, Rugby and other Watson entities. Twenty-two of these actions have been consolidated in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York (*In re: Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litigation, MDL Docket No. 001383*). On May 20, 2003, the court hearing the consolidated action granted Watson's motion to dismiss and made rulings limiting the theories under which plaintiffs can seek recovery against Rugby and the other defendants. On March 31, 2005, the court hearing the consolidated action granted summary judgment in favor of the defendants on all of plaintiffs' claims, denied the plaintiffs' motions for class certification, and directed the clerk of the court to close the case. On May 7, 2005, three groups of plaintiffs from the consolidated action (the direct purchaser plaintiffs, the indirect purchaser plaintiffs and plaintiffs Rite Aid and CVS) filed notices of appeal in the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, appealing, among other things, the May 20, 2003 order dismissing Watson and the March 31, 2005 order granting summary judgment in favor of the defendants. The three appeals were consolidated by the appellate court. On August 25, 2005, the defendants moved to transfer the appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on the ground that patent issues are involved in the appeal. On November 7, 2007, the motions panel of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit granted the motion in part, and ordered the

Table of Contents

appeal by the indirect purchaser plaintiffs transferred to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Both of the appeals remain pending. Other actions are pending in various state courts, including New York, California, Kansas, Tennessee, Florida and Wisconsin. The actions generally allege that the defendants engaged in unlawful, anticompetitive conduct in connection with alleged agreements, entered into prior to Watson's acquisition of Rugby from Aventis, related to the development, manufacture and sale of the drug substance ciprofloxacin hydrochloride, the generic version of Bayer's brand drug, Cipro®. The actions generally seek declaratory judgment, damages, injunctive relief, restitution and other relief on behalf of certain purported classes of individuals and other entities. The courts hearing the cases in New York have dismissed the actions. Appellants have sought leave to appeal the dismissal of the New York action to the New York Court of Appeals. On April 18, 2006, the New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, denied the appellants' motion. In Wisconsin, the plaintiffs appealed and on May 9, 2006, the appellate court reversed the order of dismissal. On June 8, 2006, the defendants filed a petition for review in the Wisconsin Supreme Court. On July 13, 2007, the Wisconsin Supreme Court affirmed the decision of the appellate court, and remanded the case for further proceedings. On October 25, 2007, the circuit court stayed the matter pending the outcome of the appeals in the consolidated action. In the action pending in Kansas, the court has stayed the matter pending the outcome of the appeal in the consolidated case. In the action pending in the California Superior Court for the County of San Diego (*In re: Cipro Cases I & II, JCCP Proceeding Nos. 4154 & 4220*), on July 21, 2004, the California Court of Appeal granted in part and denied in part the defendants' petition for a writ of mandate seeking to reverse the trial court's order granting the plaintiffs' motion for class certification. Pursuant to the appellate court's ruling, the majority of the plaintiffs will be permitted to pursue their claims as a class. On April 13, 2005, the Superior Court granted the parties' joint application to stay the California case pending the outcome of the appeal of the consolidated case. In August 2007 the plaintiffs moved to lift the stay. The court denied the motion to lift the stay, but agreed to consider the matter again at a status conference to be scheduled in early 2008. A status conference is scheduled for June 13, 2008. In addition to the pending actions, Watson understands that various state and federal agencies are investigating the allegations made in these actions. Aventis has agreed to defend and indemnify Watson and its affiliates in connection with the claims and investigations arising from the conduct and agreements allegedly undertaken by Rugby and its affiliates prior to Watson's acquisition of Rugby, and is currently controlling the defense of these actions.

Governmental Reimbursement Investigations and Drug Pricing Litigation In November 1999, Schein Pharmaceutical, Inc., now known as Watson Pharma, Inc. (Watson Pharma) was informed by the U.S. Department of Justice that Watson Pharma, along with numerous other pharmaceutical companies, is a defendant in a *qui tam* action brought in 1995 under the U.S. False Claims Act currently pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida. Watson Pharma has not been served in the *qui tam* action. A *qui tam* action is a civil lawsuit brought by an individual for an alleged violation of a federal statute, in which the U.S. Department of Justice has the right to intervene and take over the prosecution of the lawsuit at its option. Pursuant to applicable federal law, the *qui tam* action is under seal and, at this time, no details are available concerning, among other things, the various theories of liability against Watson Pharma or the amount of damages sought from it. The Company believes that the *qui tam* action relates to whether allegedly improper price reporting by pharmaceutical manufacturers led to increased payments by Medicare and/or Medicaid. The *qui tam* action may seek to recover damages from Watson Pharma based on its price reporting practices. Watson Pharma subsequently also received and responded to notices or subpoenas from the Attorneys General of various states, including Florida, Nevada, New York, California and Texas, relating to pharmaceutical pricing issues and whether allegedly improper actions by pharmaceutical manufacturers led to excessive payments by Medicare and/or Medicaid. On June 26, 2003, the Company received a request for records and information from the U.S. House Committee on Energy and Commerce in connection with that committee's investigation into pharmaceutical reimbursements and rebates under Medicaid. The Company produced documents in response to the request. Other state and federal inquiries regarding pricing and reimbursement issues are anticipated.

Beginning in July 2002, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries, as well as numerous other pharmaceutical companies, were named as defendants in various state and federal court actions alleging improper or fraudulent reporting practices related to the reporting of average wholesale prices and wholesale acquisition costs of certain products, and that the defendants committed other improper acts in order to increase prices and

Table of Contents

market shares. Some of these actions have been consolidated in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts (*In re: Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation, MDL Docket No. 1456*). The consolidated amended Class Action complaint in that case alleges that the defendants acts improperly inflated the reimbursement amounts paid by various public and private plans and programs. The amended complaint alleges claims on behalf of a purported class of plaintiffs that paid any portion of the price of certain drugs, which price was calculated based on its average wholesale price, or contracted with a pharmacy benefit manager to provide others with such drugs. The Company filed an Answer to the Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint on April 9, 2004. Defendants in the consolidated litigation have been divided into two groups. The Company and its named subsidiaries are contained in a large group of defendants that is currently awaiting a ruling on the plaintiffs request for certification of classes of plaintiffs to maintain a class action against the drug company defendants. Certain other defendants, referred to as the Track One defendants, have proceeded on a more expedited basis. Classes were certified against these defendants, a trial has been completed with respect to some of the claims against this group of defendants, the presiding judge has issued a ruling granting judgment to the plaintiffs, that judgment is being appealed, and many of the claims have been settled. The Track Two Defendants, including the Company, have entered into a settlement agreement resolving all claims of the Track Two Defendants in the Consolidated Class Action. The total amount of the settlement for all of the Track Two Defendants is \$125 million. The amount to be paid by each Track Two Defendant is confidential. The settlement is not expected to materially adversely affect the Company's business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries also are named as defendants in various lawsuits filed by the Attorneys General of numerous states, including Nevada, Montana, Massachusetts, Wisconsin, Kentucky, Alabama, Illinois, Mississippi, Florida, Arizona, Missouri, Alaska, Idaho, South Carolina, Hawaii, Utah, and Iowa. *State of Nevada v. American Home Products, et al., Civil Action No. 02-CV-12086-PBS, United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts; State of Montana v. Abbott Laboratories, et al., Civil Action No. 02-CV-12084-PBS, United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts; Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. Mylan Laboratories, et al., Civil Action No. 03-CV-11865-PBS, United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts; State of Wisconsin v. Abbott Laboratories, et al., Case No. 04-cv-1709, Wisconsin Circuit Court for Dane County; Commonwealth of Kentucky v. Alpharma, Inc., et al., Case Number 04-CI-1487, Kentucky Circuit Court for Franklin County; State of Alabama v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. CV05-219, Alabama Circuit Court for Montgomery County; State of Illinois v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 05-CH-02474, Illinois Circuit Court for Cook County; State of Mississippi v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. G2005-2021 S/2, Mississippi Chancery Court of Hinds County; State of Florida ex rel. Ven-A-Care, Civil Action No 98-3032G, Florida Circuit Court in Leon County; State of Arizona ex rel. Terry Goddard, No. CV 2005-18711, Arizona Superior Court for Maricopa County; State of Missouri ex rel. Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon v. Mylan Laboratories, et al, Case No. 054-2486, Missouri Circuit Court of St. Louis; State of Alaska v. Alpharma Branded Products Division Inc., et al., In the Superior Court for the State of Alaska Third Judicial District at Anchorage, C.A. No. 3AN-06-12026 CI; State of Idaho v. Alpharma USPD Inc. et al., In the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District of the State of Idaho, in and for the County of Ada, C.A. No. CV0C-0701847; State of South Carolina and Henry D. McMaster v. Watson Pharmaceuticals (New Jersey), Inc., In the Court of Common Pleas for the Fifth Judicial Circuit, State of South Carolina, County of Richland, C.A. No. 2006-CP-40-7152; State of South Carolina and Henry D. McMaster v. Watson Pharmaceuticals (New Jersey), Inc., In the Court of Common Pleas for the Fifth Judicial Circuit, State of South Carolina, County of Richland, C.A. No. 2006-CP-40-7155; State of Hawaii v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc. et al., In the Circuit Court of the First Circuit, State of Hawaii, C.A. No. 06-1-0720-04 EEH; State of Utah v. Actavis U.S., Inc., et al., In the Third Judicial District Court of Salt Lake County, Civil No. 07-0913719; and State of Iowa v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., et al., In the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, Central Division, Case No. 07-CV-00461.*

These cases generally allege that the defendants caused the states to overpay pharmacies and other providers for prescription drugs under state Medicaid Programs by inflating the reported Average Wholesale Price or Wholesale Acquisition Cost, and by reporting false prices to the United States government under the Best Prices rebate program. Several of these cases also allege that state residents were required to make inflated copayments

Table of Contents

for drug purchases under the federal Medicare program, and companies were required to make inflated payments on prescription drug purchases for their employees. Most of these cases, some of which have been removed to federal court, are in the early stages of pleading or are proceeding through pretrial discovery. On January 20, 2006, the Company was dismissed without prejudice from the actions brought by the States of Montana and Nevada because the Company was not timely served. The case brought on behalf of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts has passed its factual discovery deadline as to the Company and is currently involved in Court-ordered mediation. The case brought on behalf of Alabama is approaching trial as to some other defendants; the case brought on behalf of Kentucky has a scheduled discovery deadline of May 15, 2008. Several of the cases have trials scheduled before the end of 2008, although it is not clear which defendants those trials will involve.

The City of New York filed an action in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York on August 4, 2004, against the Company and numerous other pharmaceutical defendants alleging similar claims. The case was transferred to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, and was consolidated with several similar cases filed by individual New York counties. A corrected Consolidated Complaint was filed on June 22, 2005 (*City of New York v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 01-CV-12257-PBS, United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts*). The Consolidated Complaint included as plaintiffs the City of New York and 30 New York counties. Since the filing of the Consolidated Complaint, cases brought by a total of 14 additional New York counties have been transferred to the District of Massachusetts. In February 2007, three of the New York counties' cases were sent back to New York state court (Erie, Oswego and Schenectady counties). On April 5, 2007, an additional action raising similar allegations was filed by Orange County, New York (*County of Orange v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., et al., United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Case No. 07-CV-2777*). The Company is therefore named as a defendant by the City of New York and 41 New York counties, consolidated in the District of Massachusetts case, as well as by four additional New York counties, with these cases pending in New York state court. Many of the state and county cases are included in consolidated or single-case mediation proceedings, and the Company is participating in these proceedings.

Additional actions by other states, cities and/or counties are anticipated. These actions and/or the actions described above, if successful, could adversely affect the Company and may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

FDA Matters. In May 2002, Watson reached an agreement with the FDA on the terms of a consent decree with respect to its Corona, California manufacturing facility. The court approved the consent decree on May 13, 2002 (*United States of America v. Watson Laboratories, Inc., and Allen Y. Chao, United States District Court for the Central District of California, EDCV-02-412-VAP*). The consent decree with the FDA does not require any fine, a facility shutdown, product recalls or any reduction in production or service at the Company's Corona facility. The consent decree applies only to the Corona facility and not other manufacturing sites. The decree requires Watson to ensure that its Corona, California facility complies with the FDA's current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMP) regulations.

Pursuant to the agreement, Watson hired an independent expert to conduct inspections of the Corona facility at least once each year. In February 2003, February 2004, January 2005, January 2006, January 2007 and January-February 2008, respectively, the first, second, third, fourth, fifth and sixth annual inspections were completed and the independent expert submitted its report of the inspection to the FDA. In each instance, the independent expert reported its opinion that, based on the findings of the audit of the facility, the FDA's applicable cGMP requirements, applicable FDA regulatory guidance, and the collective knowledge, education, qualifications and experience of the expert's auditors and reviewers, the systems at Watson's Corona facility audited and evaluated by the expert are in compliance with the FDA's cGMP regulations. However, the FDA is not required to accept or agree with the independent expert's opinion. The FDA conducted an inspection of that facility from March 31, 2004 until May 6, 2004. At the conclusion of the inspection, the FDA issued a Form 483 listing the observations made during the inspection, including observations related to certain laboratory test methods and other procedures in place at the facility. In June 2004 the Company submitted its response to the FDA Form 483 inspectional observations and met with FDA officials to discuss its response, including the

Table of Contents

corrective actions the Company had taken, and intended to take, to address the inspectional observations. The FDA conducted another inspection of the facility from April 5, 2005 through April 13, 2005. At the conclusion of the inspection no formal observations were made and no FDA Form 483 was issued. The FDA conducted another inspection of the facility from July 9, 2006 through July 21, 2006. At the conclusion of the inspection no formal observations were made and no FDA Form 483 was issued. From February 20, 2007 through March 9, 2007, the FDA conducted another inspection of the facility. At the conclusion of the inspection, the FDA issued a Form 483 listing the observations made during the inspection. In April 2007 the Company submitted its response to the FDA Form 483 inspectional observations, including the corrective actions the Company has taken to address the inspectional observations. The FDA conducted another inspection of the facility from October 18, 2007 through October 26, 2007. At the conclusion of the inspection, the FDA issued a Form 483 listing two observations made during the pre-approval portion of the inspection related to two pending Abbreviated New Drug Applications (ANDAs). No formal observations were made concerning the Company's compliance with cGMP. However, if in the future, the FDA determines that, with respect to its Corona facility, Watson has failed to comply with the consent decree or FDA regulations, including cGMPs, or has failed to adequately address the observations in the Form 483, the consent decree allows the FDA to order Watson to take a variety of actions to remedy the deficiencies. These actions could include ceasing manufacturing and related operations at the Corona facility, and recalling affected products. Such actions, if taken by the FDA, could have a material adverse effect on the Company, its results of operations, financial position and/or cash flows.

Securities Litigation Against Andrx Corporation. On October 11, 2005, Jerry Lowry filed a class action complaint on behalf of purchasers of the Andrx's common stock during the class period (March 9, 2005 through September 5, 2005) in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida against Andrx Corporation and its then Chief Executive Officer, Thomas Rice (*Jerry Lowry v. Andrx Corporation, et al., Case No. 05-61640*). The complaint seeks damages under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and alleges that during the class period, Andrx failed to disclose that its manufacturing facilities were not in compliance with cGMP. The complaint further alleges that Andrx's failure to be cGMP compliant led to the FDA placing Andrx on Official Action Indicated status, which resulted in not being eligible for approvals of Andrx's ANDAs. On July 24, 2006, the defendants moved to dismiss the action. On December 8, 2006, the court granted in part and denied in part the defendants' motion to dismiss. On April 18, 2007, plaintiffs filed a motion seeking class certification. On December 17, 2007, the parties entered into an agreement settling all outstanding claims, subject to obtaining the court's approval of the settlement. On March 19, 2008, the court approved the settlement. The settlement did not materially adversely affect the Company's business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Naproxen Sodium (Naprelan). In October 1998, Elan Corporation Plc sued Andrx in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, alleging that Andrx's pending ANDA for a generic version of Elan's Naprelan® infringed Elan's patent No. 5,637,320 (*Elan Corporation PLC v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Case No. 98-7164*). In March 2002, the District Court issued an order that Elan's patent was invalid, and in September 2002, Andrx commenced selling the 500mg strength of naproxen sodium, its generic version of Naprelan®. In March 2003, the District Court issued an order denying, among other things, (i) Elan's motion for consideration of the March 2002 order invalidating its patent, and (ii) Andrx's motion asking the District Court for a ruling on its non-infringement defenses. Both parties appealed that March 2003 decision (*Elan Corporation PLC v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Case No. 03-1354*). On May 5, 2004, the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the District Court's determination that the Elan patent was invalid, and remanded the case back to the District Court for a determination as to whether Andrx's product infringes the Elan patent. On July 12, 2005, the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals issued a decision, in an unrelated case, on how a court should address issues of claim construction, and the District Court instructed the parties to file briefs on how the District Court should proceed in this matter in light of the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals decision. The parties filed their briefs and are awaiting the court's decision.

In January 2005, Elan filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida seeking willful damages as a result of Andrx's sale of its generic version of Naprelan® (*Elan Corporation PLC v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Case No. 058-60158*). In February 2005, Andrx filed its answer to Elan's January 2005

Table of Contents

complaint and filed a counterclaim for declaratory relief for unenforceability due to inequitable conduct and for non-infringement and invalidity of the applicable patent. This matter has been stayed pending resolution of the infringement action. Andrx has sold and is continuing to sell its generic version of the 500mg strength of Naprelan®. Therefore, an adverse determination could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Federal Trade Commission Investigations. The Company has received Civil Investigative Demands or requests for information from the Federal Trade Commission seeking information and documents related to the terms on which the Company has settled lawsuits initiated by patentees under the Hatch-Waxman Act. These investigations relate to the Company's August 2006 settlement with Cephalon related to the Company's generic version of Provigil® (modafinil) and its September 2006 settlement with Unimed and Laboratories Besins related to the Company's generic version of AndroGel® (testosterone gel). Additionally, the Company has received a request for information related to the Company's April 2007 agreement with Sandoz related to the Company's forfeiture of its entitlement to 180 days of marketing exclusivity for its 50 milligram dosage strength of its generic version of Toprol XL® (metoprolol xl). The Company believes these agreements comply with applicable laws and rules. However, if the Federal Trade Commission concludes that any of these agreements violate applicable antitrust laws or rules, it could initiate legal action against the Company. These actions, if successful, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Department of Health and Human Services Subpoena. In December 2003, the Company's subsidiary, Watson Pharma, received a subpoena from the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) of the Department of Health and Human Services. The subpoena requested documents relating to physician meetings conducted during 2002 and 2003 related to Watson Pharma's Ferrlecit® intravenous iron product. Watson Pharma provided the requested documents and has not been contacted again by the OIG for several years. However, the Company cannot predict what additional actions, if any, may be taken by the OIG, Department of Health and Human Services, or other governmental entities.

Hormone Replacement Therapy Litigation. Beginning in early 2004, a number of product liability suits were filed against the Company and certain Company affiliates, for personal injuries allegedly arising out of the use of hormone replacement therapy products, including but not limited to estropipate and estradiol. These complaints also name numerous other pharmaceutical companies as defendants, and allege various injuries, including ovarian cancer, breast cancer and blood clots. Approximately 80 cases are pending against Watson and/or its affiliates in state and federal courts representing claims by approximately 100 plaintiffs. Many of the cases involve multiple plaintiffs. The majority of the cases have been transferred to and consolidated in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas (*In re: Prempro Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket No. 1507*). Discovery in these cases is ongoing. The Company maintains product liability insurance against such claims. However, these actions, if successful, or if insurance does not provide sufficient coverage against the claims, could adversely affect the Company and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Levonorgestrel/Ethinyl Estradiol Tablets (Seasonale®). On December 13, 2007, Duramed Pharmaceuticals, Inc. sued the Company and certain of its subsidiaries in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, alleging that sales of the Company's Quasense™ (levonorgestrel/ethinyl estradiol) tablets, the generic version of Duramed's Seasonale® tablets, infringes Duramed's U.S. Patent No. RE 39,861 (*Duramed Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et. al., Case No. 07cv05941*). The complaint seeks damages and injunctive relief. On March 3, 2008, the Company answered the complaint. Discovery is ongoing. The Company believes it has substantial meritorious defenses to the case. However, the Company has sold and is continuing to sell its generic version of Seasonale®. Therefore, an adverse determination could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Ferrlecit®. On March 28, 2008, we received a notice from Sanofi-Aventis (Aventis) contending that the distribution agreement for Ferrlecit® between certain affiliates of Aventis and the Company expires on February 18, 2009. The letter also acknowledged the Company's position that the distribution agreement expires on December

Table of Contents

31, 2009, and requested to conduct an expedited arbitration proceeding to resolve the dispute. By its terms, the distribution agreement, as amended, has a duration of ten (10) full calendar years after FDA market approval. Ferrlecit received FDA market approval on February 18, 1999. On April 9, 2008, the Company responded to Aventis, agreeing to arbitrate the disputes related to Ferrlecit® on an expedited basis. The parties are currently in discussions concerning the appropriate procedures to conduct an expedited arbitration proceeding, as well as a possible extension of the distribution agreement and related agreements beyond 2009. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to negotiate extensions of these agreements on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. Our inability to negotiate extensions of these agreements on commercially reasonable terms, or an adverse finding in an arbitration proceeding, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Watson and its affiliates are involved in various other disputes, governmental and/or regulatory inspections, inquires, investigations and proceedings that could result in litigation, and other litigation matters that arise from time to time.

The process of resolving matters through litigation or other means is inherently uncertain and it is possible that an unfavorable resolution of these matters will adversely affect the Company, its results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

NOTE 11 SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On April 29, 2008, the Company announced that the FDA has completed the inspection of the Company's Florida manufacturing facilities and has informed the Company that it has removed the Official Action Indicated status at the Davie, Florida site. As a result of this action, and subject to satisfying other FDA approval criteria, ANDAs for products at this site are now eligible for FDA approval. The FDA completed the onsite portion of its inspection on April 10, 2008, at which time it issued a Form 483 List of Inspectional Observations. The Company responded to the Form 483 Inspectional Observations on April 17, 2008.

-18-

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion of our financial condition and the results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (Quarterly Report). This discussion contains forward-looking statements that are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These risks, uncertainties and other factors include, among others, those identified under Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements under Risks Related to our Business in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report and our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Watson , the Company we , us or our) was incorporated in 1985 and is engaged in development, manufacture, marketing, sale and distribution of brand and off-patent (generic) pharmaceutical products. Watson operates manufacturing, distribution, research and development (R&D), and administrative facilities predominantly in the United States (U.S.) and India with our key commercial market being the U.S.

Acquisition of Andrx Corporation

On November 3, 2006, we acquired all the outstanding shares of common stock of Andrx Corporation (Andrx) in an all-cash transaction for \$25 per share, or total consideration of approximately \$1.9 billion (the Andrx Acquisition). The Andrx Acquisition augmented our existing formulation development capability by providing technology for difficult-to-replicate controlled-release pharmaceutical products and selective immediate-release products.

In conjunction with the Andrx Acquisition, we recorded a \$497.8 million charge to operations in the year ended December 31, 2006 for in-process research and development (IPR&D) assets acquired that we determined had no alternative future use in their current state. Our valuation of IPR&D projects included over thirty controlled- or immediate-release products at various stages of R&D. These IPR&D projects were valued through discounted cash flow analysis utilizing the income approach at rates commensurate with their perceived risks, which for these IPR&D projects ranged between 19%-20%. A partial list of cash flow considerations utilized for each of the IPR&D projects included an evaluation of a project's estimated cost to complete, future product prospects and competition, product lifecycles, expected date of market introduction and expected pricing and cost structure. The major risks and uncertainties associated with the timely and successful completion of these IPR&D projects include delays caused by legal actions brought by our competitors and the timing of the receipt of necessary regulatory approvals. No assurances can be given that the underlying assumptions used to prepare the discounted cash flow analysis will not change or the timely completion of each project to commercial success will occur. For these and other reasons, actual results may vary significantly from estimated results.

The charge for IPR&D in the year ended December 31, 2006 related primarily to the acquisition of the following six IPR&D projects:

Actos® and Extended-Release Metformin Combination Product

In December 2003, Andrx entered into an agreement with Takeda Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Takeda) to develop and market a combination product consisting of Andrx's approved 505(b)(2) New Drug Application (NDA) extended-release metformin and Takeda's Actos® (pioglitazone), each of which is administered once a day for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. The Company is responsible for obtaining regulatory approval of its extended-release metformin in countries that Takeda determines it will market the combination product. In addition, the Company is responsible for the formulation and manufacture of the combination product and Takeda is responsible for obtaining regulatory approval of and marketing the combination product, both in the U.S. and in certain other countries.

Table of Contents

In March 2006, Takeda filed an NDA for this combination product and the NDA is under review by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). If approved and launched, we are eligible to receive future milestone payments and royalties from Takeda's sale of this product.

Our valuation of this IPR&D project at the Andrx Acquisition date was \$133 million.

Enoxaparin Sodium (generic version of Lovenox®)

On May 2, 2005, Andrx entered into an agreement to obtain certain exclusive marketing rights for Amphastar Pharmaceuticals, Inc.'s (Amphastar's) generic version of Sanofi-Aventis (Aventis) Lovenox® injectable product. Amphastar submitted its Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) for generic Lovenox® to the FDA in March 2003. Amphastar's ANDA is the subject of a patent infringement lawsuit filed by Aventis. On February 8, 2007, the District Court ruled that Aventis' patent was unenforceable due to inequitable conduct. Final judgment in favor of Amphastar was entered on March 9, 2007. Aventis has appealed and the matter remains pending in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Amphastar has not obtained FDA approval for its product and the product continues to be delayed by a Citizen Petition, including two supplements, and other factors. Amphastar has submitted comments to Aventis' Citizen Petition and supplements. Additionally, in November 2007, the FDA requested Amphastar to provide additional data regarding the potential immunogenicity of the product. Amphastar has responded to the FDA's request for additional information. Our marketing rights for this product generally extend to the U.S. retail pharmacy market, and we will receive up to 50% of the net profits, as defined, generated from such sales. The launch of this product is dependent upon Amphastar obtaining FDA approval.

Our valuation of this IPR&D project at the Andrx Acquisition date was \$33 million.

Metoprolol Succinate (generic version of Toprol-XL®)

In 2003 and 2004, Andrx filed ANDAs seeking FDA approval to market metoprolol succinate extended-release tablets in the 25mg, 50mg, 100mg and 200mg strengths. Andrx was awarded 180-days of market exclusivity for the 50mg strength. During the second quarter of this year, we announced that pursuant to an agreement with Sandoz Pharmaceutical Corporation, a subsidiary of Novartis AG (Sandoz), we relinquished our rights to a 180-day period of marketing exclusivity for our 50mg strength product. As a result of our agreement to relinquish our marketing exclusivity, Sandoz obtained final approval of its ANDA for metoprolol succinate extended-release 50 mg tablets. We are entitled to a share of Sandoz's profits on sales of the product, which began in the third quarter of 2007.

We continue to pursue approval of our own pending ANDAs for metoprolol succinate extended-release tablets.

Our valuation of this IPR&D project at the Andrx Acquisition date was \$85 million.

Methylphenidate Hydrochloride (generic version of Concerta®)

Andrx has pending ANDAs for the generic versions of Concerta® (methylphenidate hydrochloride extended-release tablets) in the 18mg, 27mg, 36mg and 54mg strengths.

In September 2005, ALZA Corporation and McNeil-PPC, Inc. sued Andrx for patent infringement related to the generic version of Concerta®. In December 2007, the United States District Court for the District of Delaware completed the trial of this matter. No decision has been issued to date. The ANDAs remain under review by the FDA and a Citizen Petition has been filed by McNeil-PPC, Inc. relating to approval criteria for generic versions of Concerta®. Final approval may be subject to obtaining a waiver or expiration of a third party's 180 days of market exclusivity.

Our valuation of this IPR&D project at the Andrx Acquisition date was \$94 million.

Table of Contents*Omeprazole (generic version of Prilosec®)*

Andrx has pending ANDAs for omeprazole delayed-release capsules, 10mg, 20mg and 40 mg strengths, which are bioequivalent to Prilosec®. In 2001, AstraZeneca filed suit against Andrx alleging infringement of a patent (patent no. 6,013,281) (the 281 patent) directed to a process for making an omeprazole formulation. Andrx filed counterclaims of non-infringement, invalidity and unenforceability. In May 2004, the district court ruled that the 281 patent was invalid due to obviousness. In April 2007, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed the 2004 District Court decision that the 281 patent is invalid.

The ANDAs remain under review by the FDA. Upon approval and launch, we believe that we are entitled to the 180-day period of market exclusivity with respect to the generic version of the 40mg strength of Prilosec®.

Our valuation of this IPR&D project at the acquisition date was \$57 million.

Diltiazem HCl ER (Cardizem® LA)

Andrx Corporation has pending ANDAs with the FDA for generic versions of Cardizem® LA (diltiazem HCl extended-release tablets), 120mg, 180mg, 240mg, 300mg, 360mg and 420mg strengths. Andrx initially filed its ANDA for the 420mg strength on April 25, 2005, with a Paragraph IV certification and notification to the patent holder. On August 10, 2005, Biovail Laboratories Int'l SRL (Biovail), which is the holder of the NDA for Cardizem® LA, initiated a patent infringement lawsuit against Andrx for the 420mg strength in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. Andrx subsequently amended its initial ANDA submission to include the 120mg, 180mg, 240mg, 300mg and 360mg strengths, along with a related Paragraph IV certification and notice letter. On October 14, 2005, Biovail initiated a patent infringement lawsuit on the remaining strengths. On December 4, 2007, we announced that we settled the litigation with Biovail. Under the terms of the settlement, Biovail has granted us an exclusive license to its U.S. patents covering Cardizem® LA for a generic version of Cardizem® LA. The agreement generally provides that we that will not commence marketing our generic equivalent product until April 1, 2009.

The ANDAs remain under review by the FDA.

Our valuation of this IPR&D project at the Andrx Acquisition date was \$12 million.

Results of Operations

Prescription pharmaceutical products in the U.S. are generally marketed as either generic or brand pharmaceuticals. Generic pharmaceutical products are bioequivalents of their respective brand products and provide a cost-efficient alternative to brand products. Brand pharmaceutical products are marketed under brand names through programs that are designed to generate physician and consumer loyalty.

Watson has three reportable operating segments: Generic, Brand and Distribution. The Generic segment includes off-patent pharmaceutical products that are therapeutically equivalent to proprietary products. The Brand segment includes the Company's lines of Specialty Products and Nephrology products. Watson has aggregated its Brand product lines in a single segment because of similarities in regulatory environment, methods of distribution and types of customer. This segment includes patent-protected products and certain trademarked off-patent products that Watson sells and markets as Brand pharmaceutical products. The Company sells its Brand and Generics products primarily to pharmaceutical wholesalers, drug distributors and chain drug stores. The Distribution segment mainly distributes generic pharmaceutical products manufactured by third parties, as well as by Watson, primarily to independent pharmacies, pharmacy chains, pharmacy buying groups and physicians' offices under the Andra trade name. Sales are principally generated through an in-house telemarketing staff and through internally developed ordering systems. The Distribution segment operating results exclude sales of Watson generic and brand products, which are included in their respective segment results.

The Company evaluates segment performance based on segment net revenues, gross profit and contribution. Segment contribution represents segment gross profit less direct R&D expenses and selling and marketing expenses. The Company has not allocated corporate general and administrative expenses or amortization as such information has not been used by management, or has not been accounted for at the segment level.

Table of Contents**Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2007**

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2008				Three Months Ended March 31, 2007			
	Generic	Brand	Distribution	Total	Generic	Brand	Distribution	Total
Product sales	\$ 342,459	\$ 98,992	\$ 144,902	\$ 586,353	\$ 411,475	\$ 90,638	\$ 145,440	\$ 647,553
Other	24,297	16,299		40,596	13,150	10,902		24,052
Net revenues	366,756	115,291	144,902	626,949	424,625	101,540	145,440	671,605
Cost of sales ⁽¹⁾	229,723	27,526	122,853	380,102	272,623	25,215	126,882	424,720
Gross profit ⁽¹⁾	137,033	87,765	22,049	246,847	152,002	76,325	18,558	246,885
Gross margin ⁽¹⁾	37.4%	76.1%	15.2%	39.4%	35.8%	75.2%	12.8%	36.8%
Research and development	22,597	15,418		38,015	26,513	11,295		37,808
Selling and marketing	14,053	27,995	14,032	56,080	14,549	26,411	14,203	55,163
Contribution	\$ 100,383	\$ 44,352	\$ 8,017	152,752	\$ 110,940	\$ 38,619	\$ 4,355	153,914
Contribution margin	27.4%	38.5%	5.5%	24.4%	26.1%	38.0%	3.0%	22.9%
General and administrative				50,553				48,055
Amortization				20,179				43,933
Operating income				\$ 82,020				\$ 61,926
Operating margin				13.1%				9.2%

(1) Excludes amortization of acquired intangibles including product rights.

Generic Segment*Net Revenues*

Our Generic segment develops, manufactures, markets, sells and distributes generic products that are the therapeutic equivalent to their brand name counterparts and are generally sold at prices significantly less than the brand product. As such, generic products provide an effective and cost-efficient alternative to brand products. When patents or other regulatory exclusivity no longer protect a brand product, opportunities exist to introduce off-patent or generic counterparts to the brand product. Additionally, we distribute generic versions of third parties' brand products (sometimes known as Authorized Generics) to the extent such arrangements are complementary to our core business. Our portfolio of generic products includes products we have internally developed, products we have licensed from third parties, and products we distribute for third parties.

Net revenues in our Generic segment includes product sales and other revenue. Our Generic segment product line includes a variety of products and dosage forms. Indications for this line include pregnancy prevention, pain management, depression, hypertension and smoking cessation. Dosage forms include oral solids, transdermals, injectables and transmucosals.

Other revenues consist primarily of royalties and commission revenue.

Net revenues from our Generic segment for the three months ended March 31, 2008 decreased 13.6% or \$57.9 million to \$366.8 million compared to net revenues of \$424.6 million from the prior year period. This decrease in sales was mainly attributable to higher net sales of Authorized Generics (\$72.4 million) in the prior year period including oxycodone HCl controlled release tablets and pravastatin sodium tablets offset in part by sales of alendronate sodium tablets launched in the current quarter. The decrease in sales was offset in part by an increase in other revenue (\$11.1 million). Increases in net revenues from new product launches were offset by price erosion within our base business.

Table of Contents

The increase in other revenues in the three months ended March 31, 2008 for the Generic segment was primarily related to royalties on sales by Sandoz, Inc. of metoprolol succinate 50 mg extended release tablets (which commenced during the third quarter of 2007).

Gross Profit (Gross Margin)

Gross profit represents net revenues less cost of sales. Cost of sales includes production and packaging costs for the products we manufacture, third party acquisition costs for products manufactured by others, profit-sharing or royalty payments for products sold pursuant to licensing agreements, inventory reserve charges and excess capacity utilization charges, where applicable. Cost of sales does not include amortization costs for acquired product rights or other acquired intangibles.

Gross profit for our Generic segment decreased \$15.0 million to \$137.0 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to \$152.0 million in the prior year period. The decrease in gross profit was primarily due to reduced gross profit contribution from lower sales of Authorized Generics in the current period (\$20.2 million) and facility rationalization costs (\$12.9 million) offset by an increase in other revenue (\$11.1 million). Increases in gross profit from new product launches were offset by price erosion within our base business.

Gross margins for our Generic segment increased 1.6 percentage points to 37.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2008 from 35.8% in the prior year period. This increase in gross margin was primarily related to the increase in other revenue in the current quarter.

Research and Development Expenses

Generic segment R&D expenses consist predominantly of personnel-related costs, contract research, biostudy and facilities costs associated with the development of our products.

Generic segment R&D expenses decreased 14.8% or \$3.9 million to \$22.6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to \$26.5 million in the prior year period due to reduced spending on biostudy costs in the current period.

Selling and Marketing Expenses

Selling and marketing expenses consist mainly of personnel costs, facilities costs, insurance and professional services costs.

Generic segment selling and marketing expenses decreased 3.4% or \$0.5 million to \$14.1 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to \$14.5 million in the prior year period.

Brand Segment

Net Revenues

Our brand pharmaceutical business develops, manufactures, markets, sells and distributes products within two sales and marketing groups: Specialty Products and Nephrology.

Our Specialty Products product line includes urology products such as Trelstar® and Oxytrol® and a number of non-promoted products.

Our Nephrology product line consists of products for the treatment of iron deficiency anemia and is generally marketed to nephrologists and dialysis centers. The major products of the Nephrology group are Ferrlecit® and INFeD®, which are used to treat low iron levels in patients undergoing hemodialysis in conjunction with erythropoietin therapy.

Table of Contents

Other revenues in the Brand segment consist primarily of co-promotion revenue, royalties and the recognition of deferred revenue relating to our obligation to manufacture and supply brand products to third parties. Other revenues also include revenue recognized from R&D and licensing agreements.

Net revenues from our Brand segment for the three months ended March 31, 2008 increased 13.5% or \$13.8 million to \$115.3 million compared to net revenues of \$101.5 million in the prior year period. The increase was primarily attributable to higher sales within the Nephrology group (\$5.5 million), higher other revenues (\$5.4 million) and higher sales within the Specialty Products group (\$2.8 million). The increase within the Nephrology group was primarily attributable to customer buying patterns and lower sales in the prior year period due to the loss of a customer. The increase within the Specialty Products group was primarily attributable to higher unit sales of Trelstar® as a result of promotional efforts.

Gross Profit (Gross Margin)

Gross profit for our Brand segment increased \$11.4 million to \$87.8 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to \$76.3 million in the prior year period. The increase in gross profit was primarily due to an increase in other revenues (\$5.4 million) and higher product sales.

Gross margins for our Brand segment increased to 76.1% during the three months ended March 31, 2008 from 75.2% in the prior year period primarily due to the increase in other revenues.

Research and Development Expenses

Brand segment R&D expenses consist predominantly of personnel-related costs, contract research, clinical costs and facilities costs associated with the development of our products.

Brand segment R&D expenses increased 36.5% or \$4.1 million to \$15.4 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to \$11.3 million in the prior year period primarily due to a \$5.0 million milestone payment related to the filing of an NDA for silodosin with the FDA.

Selling and Marketing Expenses

Brand segment selling and marketing expenses consist mainly of personnel-related costs, product promotion costs, distribution costs, professional services costs, insurance and depreciation.

Brand segment selling and marketing expenses increased 6.0% or \$1.6 million to \$28.0 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 as compared to \$26.4 million in the prior year period.

Distribution Segment

Net Revenues

Our Distribution segment mainly distributes generic pharmaceutical products manufactured by third parties, as well as by Watson, primarily to independent pharmacies, pharmacy chains, pharmacy buying groups and physicians offices. Sales are principally generated through an in-house telemarketing staff and through internally developed ordering systems. The Distribution segment operating results exclude Watson generic and brand products, which are included in their respective segment results.

Net revenues from our Distribution segment for the three months ended March 31, 2008 decreased 0.4% or \$0.5 million to \$144.9 million compared to net revenues of \$145.4 million in the prior year period.

Table of Contents*Gross Profit (Gross Margin)*

Gross profit for our Distribution segment increased \$3.5 million to \$22.0 million in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to \$18.6 million in the prior year period. Gross margins also improved for our Distribution segment increasing to 15.2% during the three months ended March 31, 2008 from 12.8% in the prior year period. Distribution segment gross profit and gross margin improved in the current quarter as the prior year period was negatively impacted by a \$2.5 million acquisition-related inventory charge.

Selling and Marketing Expenses

Selling and marketing expenses consist mainly of personnel costs, facilities costs, insurance and freight costs, which support the Distribution segment sales and marketing functions.

Segment Contribution

(\$ in thousands):	Three Months Ended March		Change	
	2008	31, 2007	Dollars	%
Segment contribution				
Generic	\$ 100,383	\$ 110,940	\$ (10,557)	(9.5)%
Brand	44,352	38,619	5,733	14.8%
Distribution	8,017	4,355	3,662	84.1%
	\$ 152,752	\$ 153,914	\$ (1,162)	(0.8)%
<i>as % of net revenues</i>	24.4%	22.9%		

For more information on segment contribution, refer to above Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and NOTE 3 OPERATING SEGMENTS in the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report.

Corporate General and Administrative Expenses

(\$ in thousands):	Three Months Ended March		Change	
	2008	31, 2007	Dollars	%
Corporate general and administrative expenses	\$ 50,553	\$ 48,055	\$ 2,498	5.2%
<i>as a % of net revenues</i>	8.1%	7.2%		

Corporate general and administrative expenses consist mainly of personnel costs, facilities costs, insurance and professional services costs, which are general in nature and not directly related to specific segment operations.

Corporate general and administrative expenses increased during the three months ended March 31, 2008 as compared to the same period of the prior year primarily due to costs incurred in the implementation of a new enterprise resource planning system at certain sites.

Table of Contents**Amortization**

(\$ in thousands):	Three Months Ended March		Change	
	2008	31, 2007	Dollars	%
Amortization	\$ 20,179	\$ 43,933	\$(23,754)	(54.1)%
<i>as a % of net revenues</i>	<i>3.2%</i>	<i>6.5%</i>		

The Company's amortizable assets consist primarily of acquired product rights. For the three months ended March 31, 2008 amortization expense decreased 54.1% or \$23.8 million as our Ferrlecit® product rights were fully amortized as of December 2007.

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt

(\$ in thousands):	Three Months Ended March		Change	
	2008	31, 2007	Dollars	%
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	\$ 1,095	\$ 2,729	\$(1,634)	(59.9)%
<i>as a % of net revenues</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>		

In November 2006, we entered into a Senior Credit Facility with Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, acting through its New York agency, as Administrative Agent, Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC, as Syndication Agent, and a syndicate of banks (the 2006 Credit Facility). The 2006 Credit Facility was entered into in connection with the Andrx Acquisition.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2008, the Company prepaid \$75.0 million of outstanding debt on the 2006 Credit Facility. As a result of this prepayment, our results for the quarter ended March 31, 2008 reflect debt repurchase charges of \$1.1 million which consist of unamortized debt issue costs associated with the repurchased amount.

On February 15, 2007 and March 30, 2007, the Company made a \$100.0 million and \$50.0 million prepayment, respectively, on the 2006 Credit Facility. As a result of this prepayment, our results for the first quarter of 2007 reflect a \$2.7 million non-cash charge for debt repurchase charges.

Interest Income

(\$ in thousands):	Three Months Ended March		Change	
	2008	31, 2007	Dollars	%
Interest income	\$ 2,309	\$ 2,929	\$(620)	(21.2)%
<i>as a % of net revenues</i>	<i>0.4%</i>	<i>0.4%</i>		

Interest income decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2008 due to a decrease in interest rates over the prior year period.

Table of Contents**Interest Expense**

(\$ in thousands):	Three Months Ended March		Change	
	2008	31, 2007	Dollars	%
Interest expense 2006 Credit Facility	\$ 3,912	\$ 10,374	\$ (6,462)	
Interest expense convertible contingent senior debentures due 2023 (CODES)	3,151	3,151		
Change in derivative value	57	24	33	
Interest expense other	(324)	327	(651)	
Interest expense	\$ 6,796	\$ 13,876	\$ (7,080)	(51.0)%
<i>as a % of net revenues</i>	<i>1.1%</i>	<i>2.1%</i>		

Interest expense decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2008 due to reduced levels of debt on the 2006 Credit Facility from prepayments made during 2007 and the first quarter of 2008.

Other Income

(\$ in thousands):	Three Months Ended March		Change	
	2008	31, 2007	Dollars	%
Earnings on equity method investments	\$ 3,983	\$ 1,439	\$ 2,544	176.8%
Gain on sale of securities	1,355	1,789	(434)	(24.3)%
Other income	15	175	(160)	(91.4)%
	\$ 5,353	\$ 3,403	\$ 1,950	57.3%
<i>as a % of net revenues</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>0.5%</i>		

Earnings on Equity Method Investments

The Company's equity investments are accounted for under the equity-method when the Company's ownership does not exceed 50% and when the Company can exert significant influence over the management of the investee.

The increase in earnings on equity method investments during the three months ended March 31, 2008 primarily represents our share of equity earnings in Scinopharm Taiwan Ltd. (Scinopharm). Scinopharm results for the three months ended March 31, 2008 increased over the prior year period due to new product launches.

Gain on Sale of Securities

The 2008 and 2007 gain on sale of securities resulted from the sale of our investment in Adheris, Inc. During both periods, contingencies were removed relating to additional consideration on our sale of our investment in Adheris, Inc. Accordingly, the Company received common shares of inVentiv Health, Inc. (inVentiv) and cash as additional proceeds on our sale of our investment in Adheris, Inc. which was recorded as a gain on sale of securities in the quarter ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents**Provision for Income Taxes**

(\$ in thousands):	Three Months Ended March		Change	
	2008	31, 2007	Dollars	%
Provision for income taxes	\$ 31,162	\$ 20,041	\$ 11,121	55.5%
<i>as a % of net revenues</i>	<i>5.0%</i>	<i>3.0%</i>		
<i>Effective tax rate</i>	<i>38.1%</i>	<i>38.8%</i>		

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount computed by applying the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate primarily due to state taxes and other factors which, combined, increases the effective tax rate.

The provision for income taxes increased in the three months ended March 31, 2008 due to higher pre-tax earnings. The lower effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2008, as compared to the same period of the prior year, primarily reflects a decrease in the state tax rate and certain permanent items which were partially offset by the loss of the R&D tax credit which has not been extended in 2008.

Liquidity and Capital Resources**Working Capital Position**

Working capital at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 is summarized as follows:

(\$ in thousands):	March 31, 2008	December 31, 2007	Increase (Decrease)
Current Assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 173,998	\$ 204,554	\$ (30,556)
Marketable securities	12,711	11,799	912
Accounts receivable, net of allowances	273,305	267,117	6,188
Inventories	521,322	490,601	30,721
Other	182,291	199,705	(17,414)
Total current assets	1,163,627	1,173,776	(10,149)
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	376,545	398,154	(21,609)
Income taxes payable	20,006		20,006
Current portion of long-term debt	2,851	6,241	(3,390)
Other	36,319	40,532	(4,213)
Total current liabilities	435,721	444,927	(9,206)
Working Capital	\$ 727,906	\$ 728,849	\$ (943)
Current Ratio	2.67	2.64	

Watson's primary source of liquidity is cash from operations. Net working capital at March 31, 2008 was \$727.9 million, compared to \$728.8 million at December 31, 2007.

We expect that 2008 cash flows from operating activities will continue to exceed net income. In addition, management expects that 2008 cash flows from operating activities and available cash balances will be sufficient to fund our operating liquidity needs.

Table of Contents**Cash Flows from Operations**

Summarized cash flow from operations is as follows:

(\$ in thousands):	Three months ended March 31,	
	2008	2007
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$66,632	\$88,239

Cash flows from operations represent net income adjusted for certain operations related non-cash items and changes in certain assets and liabilities. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, cash provided by operating activities was \$66.6 million, compared to \$88.2 million in the three months ended March 31, 2007. The Company has generated cash flows from operating activities primarily driven by net income adjusted for amortization of our acquired product rights and depreciation. Net cash provided by operations was lower in the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2007 primarily due to first quarter 2008 increases in accounts receivable and inventory levels relative to first quarter 2007 decreases in these same items. These first quarter 2008 reductions to cash flow from operations were partly offset by higher net income and higher uses of cash from operations in the first quarter 2007 period for payments of accounts payable and accrued expenses.

Investing Cash Flows

Our cash flows from investing activities are summarized as follows:

(\$ in thousands):	Three months ended March 31,	
	2008	2007
Net cash used in investing activities	\$18,195	\$18,326

Investing cash flows consist primarily of expenditures related to acquisitions, capital expenditures, investment and marketable security additions as well as proceeds from investment and marketable security sales. Net cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was relatively unchanged from 2007 levels.

Financing Cash Flows

Our cash flows from financing activities are summarized as follows:

(\$ in thousands):	Three months ended March 31,	
	2008	2007
Net cash used in financing activities	\$78,993	\$150,534

Financing cash flows consist primarily of borrowings and repayments of debt, repurchases of common stock and proceeds from exercising of stock awards. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, net cash used in financing activities was \$79.0 million compared to \$150.5 million used in financing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2007. Prepayments of the 2006 Credit Facility for the three months ended March 31, 2008 were \$75.0 million compared to \$150.0 million in the prior year period.

Table of Contents**Debt and Borrowing Capacity**

Our outstanding debt obligations are summarized as follows:

(\$ in thousands):	March 31, 2008	December 31, 2007	Increase (Decrease)
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 2,851	\$ 6,241	\$ (3,390)
Long-term debt	824,473	899,408	(74,935)
Total debt	\$ 827,324	\$ 905,649	\$ (78,325)
Debt to capital ratio	30.3%	32.9%	

During the quarter ended March 31, 2008, we prepaid \$75.0 million of the amount outstanding under the Term Facility. As a result of this prepayment, our results for the first quarter of 2008 reflect a \$1.1 million non-cash charge for debt repurchase charges. No principal payments are required on the Term Facility in 2008. As of March 31, 2008, we had not drawn any funds from the Revolving Facility and \$250.0 million was outstanding on the Term Facility. The full amount outstanding on the 2006 Credit Facility is due November 2011.

Under the terms of the Senior Credit Facility, each of our subsidiaries, other than minor subsidiaries, entered into a full and unconditional guarantee on a joint and several basis. We are subject to, and, as of March 31, 2008, were in compliance with financial and operation covenants under the terms of the 2006 Credit Facility. The agreement currently contains the following financial covenants:

maintenance of a minimum net worth of at least \$1.41 billion;

maintenance of a maximum leverage ratio not greater than 3.0 to 1.0; and

maintenance of a minimum interest coverage ratio of at least 5.0 to 1.0.

At March 31, 2008, our net worth was \$1.90 billion, and our leverage ratio was 1.48 to 1.0. Our interest coverage ratio for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was 14.9 to 1.0.

Under the 2006 Credit Facility, interest coverage ratio, with respect to any financial covenant period, is defined as the ratio of EBITDA for such period to interest expense for such period. The leverage ratio, for any financial covenant period, is defined as the ratio of the outstanding principal amount of funded debt for the borrower and its subsidiaries at the end of such period, to EBITDA for such period. EBITDA under the Credit Facility, for any covenant period, is defined as net income plus (1) depreciation and amortization, (2) interest expense, (3) provision for income taxes, (4) extraordinary or unusual losses, (5) non-cash portion of nonrecurring losses and charges, (6) other non-operating, non-cash losses, (7) minority interest expense in respect of equity holdings in affiliates, (8) non-cash expenses relating to stock-based compensation expense and (9) any one-time charges related to the Andrx Acquisition; minus (1) extraordinary gains, (2) interest income and (3) other non-operating, non-cash income.

Long-term Obligations

At March 31, 2008, there have been no material changes in the Company's enforceable and legally binding obligations, contractual obligations and commitments from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2007.

Recent accounting pronouncements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair-Value Measurements (SFAS 157) which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair-value measurements. The Company adopted SFAS 157 effective January 1, 2008 for all

Table of Contents

financial assets and liabilities and any other assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis (refer to NOTE 9 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT in the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report). For nonfinancial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company is currently reviewing the application of SFAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and has not yet determined how the adoption of SFAS 157 will impact its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115, (SFAS 159) which is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. SFAS 159 is an elective standard which permits an entity to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value at specified election dates. Subsequent unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be reported in earnings. The Company has not elected to adopt the fair value option of SFAS 159.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations (SFAS 141R) which replaces SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations . SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for recognizing and measuring identifiable assets and goodwill acquired, liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in a business combination at their fair value at acquisition date. SFAS 141R alters the treatment of acquisition-related costs, business combinations achieved in stages (referred to as a step acquisition), the treatment of gains from a bargain purchase, the recognition of contingencies in business combinations, the treatment of in-process research and development in a business combination as well as the treatment of recognizable deferred tax benefits. SFAS 141R is effective for business combinations closed in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is prohibited. The Company's consolidated financial statements will be impacted by SFAS 141R only in relation to future business combination activities.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest (minority interest) in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. SFAS 160 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is prohibited. The Company currently has no minority interests and therefore expects the adoption of SFAS 160 will not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosures about a company's derivative and hedging activities. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of the enhanced disclosures requirements of SFAS 161 and does not expect the adoption to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risk for changes in the market values of our investments (Investment Risk) and the impact of interest rate changes (Interest Rate Risk). We have not used derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio. The quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk are set forth below.

Investment Risk

As of March 31, 2008, our total holdings in equity securities of other companies, including equity-method investments and available-for-sale securities, were \$57.2 million. Of this amount, we had equity-method investments of \$54.4 million and publicly traded equity securities (available-for-sale securities) at fair value totaling \$2.5 million (included in marketable securities and investments and other assets). The fair values of these investments are subject to significant fluctuations due to volatility of the stock market and changes in general economic conditions. Based on the fair value of the publicly traded equity securities we held at March

Table of Contents

31, 2008, an assumed 25%, 40% and 50% adverse change in the market prices of these securities would result in a corresponding decline in total fair value of approximately \$0.6 million, \$1.0 million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

We regularly review the carrying value of our investments and identify and recognize losses, for income statement purposes, when events and circumstances indicate that any declines in the fair values of such investments, below our accounting basis, are other than temporary.

Interest Rate Risk

Our exposure to interest rate risk relates primarily to our non-equity investment portfolio and our floating rate debt. Our cash is invested in A-rated money market mutual funds, short-term commercial paper and short-term certificates of deposit.

Our portfolio of marketable securities include U.S. Treasury and agency securities classified as available-for-sale securities, with no security having a maturity in excess of two years. These securities are exposed to interest rate fluctuations. Because of the short-term nature of these investments, we are subject to minimal interest rate risk and do not believe that an increase in market rates would have a significant negative impact on the realized value of our portfolio.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company entered into an interest rate swap derivative to convert floating-rate debt to fixed rate debt on a notional amount of \$200 million. The interest rate swap instruments involve agreements to receive a floating rate and pay a fixed rate, at specified intervals, calculated on the agreed-upon notional amount. The differentials paid or received on interest rate swap agreements are recognized as adjustments to interest expense in the period. These interest rate swap agreements are set to expire in January 2009. For additional information on our interest rate swap derivatives, refer to NOTE 1 GENERAL in the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report.

Based on quoted market rates of interest and maturity schedules for similar debt issues, we estimate that the fair values of our 2006 Credit Facility and our other notes payable approximated their carrying values on March 31, 2008. As of March 31, 2008, the fair value of our CODES was \$23.0 million less than the carrying value. The fair value of the embedded derivative related to the CODES and our interest rate swap derivative is based on net present value techniques using discounted expected future cash flows. While changes in market interest rates may affect the fair value of our fixed-rate debt, we believe the effect, if any, of reasonably possible near-term changes in the fair value of such debt on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows will not be material.

At this time, we have no material foreign exchange or commodity price risks.

We do not believe that inflation has had a significant impact on our revenues or operations.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, the Company has investments in certain unconsolidated entities. As the Company does not control or manage these entities, its disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are necessarily substantially more limited than those it maintains with respect to its consolidated subsidiaries.

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the quarter covered by this Quarterly Report. Based on the foregoing, the Company's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting, during the three months ended March 31, 2008, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION AND SIGNATURES

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For information regarding legal proceedings, refer to PART I, ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 and *Legal Matters* in NOTE 10 CONTINGENCIES in the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A. to Part 1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. There were no material changes from these risk factors during the three months ended March 31, 2008.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(a) Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities.

(b) Use of Proceeds

N/A.

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the quarter ended March 31, 2008, the Company repurchased approximately 3,000 shares surrendered to the Company to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of restricted stock issued to employees for total consideration of \$84,000.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits:

Reference is hereby made to the Exhibit Index on page 36.

-34-

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(Registrant)

By: **/s/ Mark W. Durand**
Mark W. Durand
Senior Vice President Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

By: **/s/ R. Todd Joyce**
R. Todd Joyce
Vice President Corporate Controller and
Treasurer
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Date: May 2, 2008

-35-

Table of Contents

**WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
EXHIBIT INDEX TO FORM 10-Q
For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2008**

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14a of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2	Certification of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14a of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.1	Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.2	Certification of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

-36-