

NEUBERGER BERMAN NEW YORK INTERMEDIATE MUNICIPAL FUND INC
Form N-CSR
January 08, 2014

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 8, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF
REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number: 811-21169

NEUBERGER BERMAN NEW YORK INTERMEDIATE MUNICIPAL FUND INC.
(Exact Name of the Registrant as Specified in Charter)
c/o Neuberger Berman Management LLC
605 Third Avenue, 2nd Floor
New York, New York 10158-0180
(Address of Principal Executive Offices – Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 476-8800

Robert Conti
Chief Executive Officer and President
Neuberger Berman New York Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.
c/o Neuberger Berman Management LLC
605 Third Avenue, 2nd Floor
New York, New York 10158-0180

Arthur C. Delibert, Esq.
K&L Gates LLP
1601 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006-1600
(Names and Addresses of agents for service)

Date of fiscal year end: October 31

Date of reporting period: October 31, 2013

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the

Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. § 3507.

Item 1. Report to Stockholders.

Neuberger Berman
Intermediate Municipal Closed-End Funds

Neuberger Berman California Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.

Neuberger Berman Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.

Neuberger Berman New York Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.

Annual Report

October 31, 2013

Contents

PRESIDENT'S LETTER	1
PORTFOLIO COMMENTARIES	
California Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.	2
Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.	2
New York Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.	2
SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS	
California Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.	6
Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.	10
New York Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.	18
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	25
FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS/PER SHARE DATA	
California Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.	36
Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.	37
New York Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.	38
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	40
Distribution Reinvestment Plan for each Fund	41
Directory	44
Directors and Officers	45
Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures	53
Quarterly Portfolio Schedule	53
Notice to Shareholders	53
Report of Votes of Shareholders	54
Board Consideration of the Management and Sub-Advisory Agreements	55

The "Neuberger Berman" name and logo are registered service marks of Neuberger Berman Group LLC. "Neuberger Berman Management LLC" and the individual Fund names in this piece are either service marks or registered service marks of Neuberger Berman Management LLC. ©2013 Neuberger Berman Management LLC. All rights reserved.

President's Letter

Dear Shareholder,

I am pleased to present this annual report for the Neuberger Berman Intermediate Municipal Closed-End Funds for the 12 months ended October 31, 2013. The report includes portfolio commentaries, listings of the Funds' investments and their audited financial statements for the reporting period.

Each Fund's investment objective is to provide a high level of current income exempt from regular federal income tax and, for each state-specific Fund, a high level of current income exempt from that state's personal income taxes (and, in the case of the New York Fund, New York City personal income tax).

We maintain a conservative investment philosophy and disciplined investment process in an effort to provide you with superior tax-exempt current income over the long term with less volatility and risk.

Thank you for your confidence in the Funds. We will continue to do our best to earn your trust in the years to come.

Sincerely,

ROBERT CONTI
PRESIDENT AND CEO
NEUBERGER BERMAN CALIFORNIA INTERMEDIATE MUNICIPAL FUND INC.
NEUBERGER BERMAN INTERMEDIATE MUNICIPAL FUND INC.
NEUBERGER BERMAN NEW YORK INTERMEDIATE MUNICIPAL FUND INC.

Neuberger Berman Intermediate Municipal Closed-End Funds Portfolio Commentaries (Unaudited)

For the 12 months ended October 31, 2013, on a net asset value (NAV) basis, all three of the Neuberger Berman Intermediate Municipal Closed-End Funds posted negative returns and underperformed their benchmark, the Barclays 10-Year Municipal Bond Index. The California Intermediate Municipal Fund, Intermediate Municipal Fund and New York Intermediate Municipal Fund posted -3.65%, -3.59% and -3.50% total returns, respectively, whereas the benchmark generated a -0.81% return. The use of leverage (typically a performance enhancer in up markets and a detractor during market retreats) detracted from performance given the weakness in the municipal market during the reporting period.

The municipal market was volatile at times during the 12-month period. This was triggered by a number of factors, including uncertainties surrounding the tax-favored status of municipal bonds amid the fiscal cliff negotiations, expectations for shifting Federal Reserve (the Fed) monetary policy and rising Treasury yields. Investor sentiment was also challenged given concerns regarding the potential fallout from Detroit's bankruptcy. However, the municipal market rallied sharply following the Fed's decision to not begin tapering its asset purchases, which was announced after its September 18, 2013 meeting. All told, the overall municipal market posted a negative return for the reporting period.

Based on the steepness of the municipal yield curve and our desire to increase income, we maintained long durations for the Funds during the period. Overall, having durations that were longer than the index detracted from performance as rates moved higher. In terms of the Funds' yield curve positioning, we maintained a barbell approach (investing in shorter and longer maturities). In contrast, the Funds' benchmark is concentrated in the eight- to 12-year portion of the curve. Given the outperformance of the intermediate portion of the curve, the Funds' barbell approach detracted from relative results.

The Funds maintained a lower quality bias than that of the benchmark as we sought to generate incremental yield. This was a negative for results given the underperformance of lower-quality bonds during the reporting period. In addition, having an overweight to Puerto Rico was not rewarded as it generated weak results.

From a security selection perspective, we opportunistically purchased a number of municipal bonds we believed to be attractively valued for the Funds during the summer sell-off. This was additive for results as the municipal market rallied in September and October of 2013.

We have a fairly positive outlook for the municipal market. The municipal yield curve is steep from a historical perspective. In addition, as the economy has been gaining some traction, we believe the trend of rising state tax revenues could remain in place, helping to strengthen state balance sheets. Should the real estate market show further improvement, local tax revenues, which have been challenged, could increase as well. We are also cautiously optimistic for the technical backdrop (supply/demand). Retail demand for municipal securities was weak during the second half of the reporting period. Given higher tax rates and attractive valuations versus equal-duration Treasuries, we're anticipating somewhat better demand for this asset class going forward. At the same time, we anticipate that supply will moderate in 2014 given less refinancing activity, a major driver of new municipal issuance in recent years.

Despite these positives, we believe there are several risks. News about Detroit's bankruptcy, credit issues in Puerto Rico and the likelihood of other municipal downgrades could negatively impact retail demand and put pressure on the market. While we anticipate the Fed will remain largely accommodative and not rush the taper of its asset purchases, in our opinion it's reasonable to assume that rates will move moderately higher in 2014. This, too, could be a headwind for the municipal market.

Sincerely,

JAMES L. ISELIN AND S. BLAKE MILLER
PORTFOLIO CO-MANAGERS

The composition, industries and holdings of each Fund are subject to change.

The opinions expressed are those of the Funds' portfolio managers. The opinions are as of the date of this report and are subject to change without notice.

The value of securities owned by the Funds, as well as the market value of Fund shares, may decline in response to certain events, including those directly involving the issuers whose securities are owned by the Funds; conditions affecting the general economy; overall market changes; local, regional, national or global political, social or economic instability; regulatory or legislative developments; price and interest rate fluctuations, including those resulting from changes in central bank policies; and changes in investor sentiment.

TICKER SYMBOLS

California Intermediate Municipal Fund	NBW
Intermediate Municipal Fund	NBH
New York Intermediate Municipal Fund	NBO

CALIFORNIA INTERMEDIATE**MUNICIPAL FUND PORTFOLIO****BY STATE AND TERRITORY****(as a % of Total Investments)**

California	83.4%
Florida	0.4
Guam	2.2
Illinois	0.8
Massachusetts	0.7
Nevada	0.8
New Jersey	0.5
North Carolina	0.5
Pennsylvania	1.4
Puerto Rico	7.7
Tennessee	0.4
Texas	1.2
Total	100%

NEW YORK INTERMEDIATE**MUNICIPAL FUND PORTFOLIO****BY STATE AND TERRITORY****(as a % of Total Investments)**

California	3.1%
Guam	2.4
Illinois	0.5
Massachusetts	0.9
Nevada	0.9
New York	84.2
Pennsylvania	1.6
Puerto Rico	4.6
Utah	0.1
Other	1.7
Total	100%

PERFORMANCE HIGHLIGHTS¹

At NAV ²	Inception Date	Average Annual Total Return Ended 10/31/2013			Life of Fund
		1 Year	5 Years	10 Years	
California	09/24/2002	-3.65%	7.95%	5.59%	5.56%
Intermediate					

Municipal Fund					
Intermediate					
Municipal Fund	09/24/2002	-3.59%	8.15%	5.74%	5.76%
New York					
Intermediate					
Municipal Fund	09/24/2002	-3.50%	7.21%	5.06%	5.12%

At Market**Price³**

California					
Intermediate					
Municipal Fund	09/24/2002	-9.60%	11.85%	6.49%	4.99%
Intermediate					
Municipal Fund	09/24/2002	-9.19%	11.15%	6.26%	5.03%
New York					
Intermediate					
Municipal Fund	09/24/2002	-12.82%	10.04%	5.34%	4.17%

Index

Barclays 10-Year					
Municipal Bond					
Index ⁴		-0.81%	6.77%	4.96%	4.75%

Closed-end funds, unlike open-end funds, are not continually offered. Generally, there is an initial public offering and, once issued, common shares of closed-end funds are sold in the open market through a stock exchange.

The performance data quoted represent past performance and do not indicate future results. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance data quoted. For more current performance data, please visit www.nb.com.

The results shown in the table reflect the reinvestment of income dividends and other distributions, if any. The results do not reflect the effect of taxes a shareholder would pay on Fund distributions or on the sale of Fund shares.

The investment return and market price will fluctuate and common shares may trade at prices below NAV. Fund common shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost.

Returns would have been lower if Neuberger Berman Management LLC ("Management") had not waived a portion of its investment management fees during certain of the periods shown. Please see Note B in the Notes to Financial Statements for specific information regarding fee waiver arrangements.

INTERMEDIATE MUNICIPAL FUND PORTFOLIO BY STATE**AND TERRITORY****(as a % of Total Investments)**

Alabama	0.8%
Arizona	5.3
California	17.0
Colorado	1.8
Connecticut	0.3
District of Columbia	1.7
Florida	3.0

Guam		1.6
Hawaii		1.7
Illinois		11.0
Indiana		3.2
Iowa		3.6
Kansas		0.1
Louisiana		0.7
Maine		0.5
Maryland		0.5
Massachusetts		5.4
Michigan		0.5
Minnesota		1.6
Mississippi		2.7
Missouri		1.5
Nevada	1.2%	
New Hampshire	0.6	
New Jersey	3.2	
New York	5.1	
North Carolina	2.3	
Ohio	0.5	
Oregon	0.1	
Pennsylvania	3.0	
Puerto Rico	1.7	
Rhode Island	1.8	
Tennessee	1.2	
Texas	5.4	
Utah	1.3	
Vermont	1.2	
Virginia	0.4	
Washington	2.6	
West Virginia	0.3	
Wisconsin	2.6	
Other	1.0	
Total	100%	

Endnotes

1 A portion of each Fund's income may be a tax preference item for purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax for certain shareholders.

2 Returns based on the NAV of each Fund.

3 Returns based on the market price of Fund shares on the NYSE MKT.

4 Please see "Description of Index" starting on page 5 for a description of the index.

For more complete information on any of the Neuberger Berman Intermediate Municipal Closed-End Funds, call Management at (800) 877-9700, or visit our website at www.nb.com.

Description of Index

Barclays 10-Year Municipal Bond Index: An unmanaged index that is the 10-year (8-12) component of the Barclays Municipal Bond Index, which is a rules-based, market-value-weighted index engineered for the long-term tax-exempt bond market. To be included in the index, bonds must be rated investment-grade, have an outstanding par value of at least \$7 million and be issued as part of a transaction of at least \$75 million. The bonds must be fixed rate, have a dated-date after December 31, 1990, and be at least one year from their maturity date. Remarketed issues, taxable municipal bonds, bonds with floating rates, and derivatives, are excluded from the benchmark.

Please note that the index does not take into account any fees and expenses or any tax consequences of investing in individual securities that it tracks and that individuals cannot invest directly in any index. Data about the performance of this index is prepared or obtained by Management and include reinvestment of all income dividends and other distributions, if any. The Funds may invest in securities not included in the above described index and/or invest in all securities included in the index.

Schedule of Investments California Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000's omitted)	SECURITY (000's omitted) ^z	VALUE ^t
Municipal Notes (171.7%)		
California (143.1%)		
\$ 400	Abag Fin. Au. Rev. (San Diego Hosp. Assoc.), Ser. 2003-C, 5.13%, due 3/1/18	\$ 405 ^β
1,500	Bay Area Toll Au. Toll Bridge Rev. (San Francisco Bay Area), Ser. 2012, 5.00%, due 4/1/21	1,803
500	California Ed. Fac. Au. Rev. (Scripps College), Ser. 2007, (National Public Finance Guarantee Corp. Insured), 5.00%, due 11/1/15	534 ^β
2,000	California HFA Home Mtge. Rev., Ser. 2006-E, (FGIC Insured), 4.88%, due 2/1/17	2,053
1,000	California HFA Home Mtge. Rev., Ser. 2006-E, (FGIC Insured), 4.95%, due 8/1/26	978
590	California HFA Home Mtge. Rev., Ser. 2007-E, 5.00%, due 2/1/42	610
2,000	California Hlth. Fac. Fin. Au. Rev. (Catholic Healthcare West), Ser. 2004-I, 4.95%, due 7/1/26 Putable 7/1/14	2,060 ^{μβ}
2,000	California Hlth. Fac. Fin. Au. Rev. (Cedars-Sinai Med. Ctr.), Ser. 2005, 5.00%, due 11/15/21	2,093 ^β
1,000	California Hlth. Fac. Fin. Au. Rev. (Children Hosp. Los Angeles), Ser. 2012-A, 5.00%, due 11/15/26	1,029 ^β
800	California Infrastructure & Econ. Dev. Bank Rev. (Los Angeles Co. Museum of Natural History Foundation), Ser. 2008-B, (LOC: Wells Fargo Bank N.A.), 0.05%, due 9/1/37	800 ^{μβ}
1,000	California Infrastructure & Econ. Dev. Bank St. Sch. Fund Lease Rev. (King City Joint Union High Sch. Dist. Fin.), Ser. 2010, 5.13%, due	1,027

	8/15/24	
500	California Muni. Fin. Au. Ed. Rev. (American Heritage Ed. Foundation Proj.), Ser. 2006-A, 5.00%, due 6/1/16	501 ^β
375	California Muni. Fin. Au. Rev. (Biola Univ.), Ser. 2013, 4.00%, due 10/1/25	366 ^β
410	California Muni. Fin. Au. Rev. (Biola Univ.), Ser. 2013, 4.00%, due 10/1/26	392 ^β
455	California Muni. Fin. Au. Rev. (Biola Univ.), Ser. 2013, 4.00%, due 10/1/27	427 ^β
1,040	California Muni. Fin. Au. Rev. (Loma Linda Univ.), Ser. 2007, 5.00%, due 4/1/21	1,102 ^β
600	California Muni. Fin. Au. Rev. (Southwestern Law Sch.), Ser. 2011, 6.00%, due 11/1/26	646 ^β
555	California St. Dept. of Wtr. Res. Ctr. Valley Proj. Rev. (Wtr. Sys.), Ser. 2012-AN, 5.00%, due 12/1/21	674
2,000	California St. Dept. of Wtr. Res. Supply Rev., Ser. 2010-L, 5.00%, due 5/1/22	2,333
20	California St. G.O., Ser. 2002, 5.00%, due 10/1/17	20
1,500	California St. G.O., Ser. 2012, 5.00%, due 2/1/27	1,641
3,400	California St. G.O. (Kindergarten Univ.), Ser. 2004, (LOC: Citibank N.A.), 0.05%, due 5/1/34	3,400 ^μ
2,000	California St. Poll. Ctrl. Fin. Au. Wtr. Furnishing Rev., Ser. 2012, 5.00%, due 7/1/27	1,879 ^{ñβ}
1,095	California St. Pub. Works Board Lease Rev. (California Comm. Colleges), Ser. 2004-B, 5.50%, due 6/1/20	1,121
1,000	California St. Pub. Works Board Lease Rev. (Dept. of Gen. Svc.) (Cap. East End), Ser. 2002-A, (AMBAC Insured), 5.25%, due 12/1/16	1,003
1,000	California St. Var. Purp. G.O., Ser. 2012, 4.00%, due 9/1/21	1,108
420	California Statewide CDA Cert. of Participation Rev. (The Internext Group), Ser. 1999,	421 ^β

	5.38%, due 4/1/17	
1,000	California Statewide CDA Hlth. Fac. Rev. (Adventist Hlth.), Ser. 2005-A, 5.00%, due 3/1/20	1,038 ^β
1,110	California Statewide CDA Rev. (California Baptist Univ.), Ser. 2007-A, 5.30%, due 11/1/18	1,131 ^β
1,000	California Statewide CDA Rev. (Daughters of Charity Hlth.), Ser. 2005-G, 5.00%, due 7/1/22	1,010 ^β
780	California Statewide CDA Rev. (Lancer Ed. Std. Hsg. Proj.), Ser. 2007, 5.40%, due 6/1/17	803 ^β
1,255	California Statewide CDA Rev. (Sr. Living So. California Presbyterian Homes), Ser. 2009, 6.25%, due 11/15/19	1,376 ^β
1,500	California Statewide CDA Rev. (St. Joseph Hlth. Sys.), Ser. 2000, (National Public Finance Guarantee Corp. Insured), 5.13%, due 7/1/24	1,657 ^β
250	California Statewide CDA Rev. (Valley Care Hlth. Sys.), Ser. 2007-A, 4.80%, due 7/15/17	255 ^β
1,500	Compton Unified Sch. Dist. Ref. G.O. (Election 2002), Ser. 2006-D, (AMBAC Insured), 0.00%, due 6/1/14	1,484
350	Corona Norco Unified Sch. Dist. Pub. Fin. Au. Sr. Lien Rev., Ser. 2013-A, 5.00%, due 9/1/26	355
560	Corona Norco Unified Sch. Dist. Pub. Fin. Au. Sr. Lien Rev., Ser. 2013-A, 5.00%, due 9/1/27	564
1,365	Daly City Hsg. Dev. Fin. Agcy. Rev. Ref. (Franciscan Mobile Home Park), Ser. 2007-A, 5.00%, due 12/15/21	1,373 ^β
1,000	Fresno Unified Sch. Dist. Ref. G.O., Ser. 2002-A, (National Public Finance Guarantee Corp. Insured), 6.00%, due 2/1/17	1,136
2,000	Glendale Redev. Agcy. Tax Allocation Rev. (Central Glendale Redev. Proj.), Ser. 2010, 5.50%, due 12/1/24	2,086
1,000	Imperial Comm. College Dist. G.O. Cap. Appreciation (Election	984 ^h

2010), Ser. 2011-A, (AGM
Insured),
0.00%, due 8/1/40

See Notes to Schedule of Investments

Schedule of Investments California Intermediate Municipal Fund Inc.
cont'd

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT (000's omitted)			SECURITY (000's omitted) ^z	VALUE [†]
\$	1,000	Inglewood Pub. Fin. Au. Ref. Rev., Ser. 2012, 5.00%, due 8/1/18	\$ 1,040	
	150	Irvine Spec. Tax (Comm. Facs. Dist. Number 2005-2), Ser. 2013, 4.00%, due 9/1/23	151	
	300	Irvine Spec. Tax (Comm. Facs. Dist. Number 2005-2), Ser. 2013, 4.00%, due 9/1/24	298	
	450	Irvine Spec. Tax (Comm. Facs. Dist. Number 2005-2), Ser. 2013, 4.00%, due 9/1/25	442	
	645	Irvine Spec. Tax (Comm. Facs. Dist. Number 2005-2), Ser. 2013, 3.50%, due 9/1/26	593	
	690	Irvine Spec. Tax (Comm. Facs. Dist. Number 2005-2), Ser. 2013, 3.63%, due 9/1/27	629	
	1,105	Lodi Pub. Fin. Au. Lease Rev., Ser. 2012, 5.25%, due 10/1/26	1,163	
	930	Long Beach Fin. Au. Rev., Ser. 1992, (AMBAC Insured), 6.00%, due 11/1/17	957	