

BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND
Form N-CSR
October 07, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

**CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT
INVESTMENT COMPANIES**

Investment Company Act file number 811-07156

Name of Fund: BlackRock MuniYield Insured Investment Fund (MFT)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: Anne F. Ackerley, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock
MuniYield Insured Investment Fund, 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/2010

Date of reporting period: 07/31/2010

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

Annual Report

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. (MUC)

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (MUJ)

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Investment Fund (MFT)

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Insured Fund, Inc. (MIY)

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (MJI)

BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Insured Fund (MPA)

July 31, 2010

Not FDIC Insured No Bank Guarantee May Lose Value

Table of Contents

	Page
Dear Shareholder	3
Annual Report:	
Fund Summaries	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	10
Derivative Financial Instruments	10
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	11
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	32
Statements of Operations	33
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	34
Statements of Cash Flows	37
Financial Highlights	38
Notes to Financial Statements	44
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	51
Important Tax Information	53
Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements and Sub-Advisory Agreements	54
Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plan	58
Officers and Directors	59
Additional Information	62

2 ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

Dear Shareholder

The global economy is continuing to slowly improve, with the United States and emerging markets leading the way; however global and US economic

statistics show that the pace of economic growth has trailed off in recent months. Market volatility has remained elevated over the past several months as

investors remain uncertain about the future direction of economic growth. The sovereign debt crisis in Europe, slowing growth in China and concerns over

the possibility that the United States and other developed markets are heading for a double-dip recession have all acted to depress investor sentiment. It

is our view that the recent soft patch of economic data is just that a slowdown in the pace of recovery and not an indication that the economy is sliding

back into recession. In the United States, we expect to see slightly slower economic growth over the next several quarters; however, true double-dip

recessions are quite rare, and unless there is a major shock to the economy, we believe the recovery will continue.

Global equity markets have moved unevenly higher since bottoming out in early 2009 as investors were enticed by depressed valuations, improved corp-

orate earnings, and their desire for higher yields. Several significant downturns, however, have occurred primarily as a result of mixed economic data and

concerns about the possibility of prolonged deflation (especially in Europe). As the period drew to a close, equity markets were staging a muted recovery.

On a 12-month basis global equities were still showing positive returns thanks to improving corporate revenues and profits and a reasonably strong macro

backdrop. From a geographic perspective, US equities have significantly outpaced their international counterparts over the past six and twelve months, as

the domestic economic recovery has been more pronounced and credit-related issues have held European markets down. Within the United States, smaller

cap stocks have outperformed large caps year-to-date.

In fixed income markets, yields have fluctuated significantly over the past year as economic data has been mixed. Over recent months, risk aversion and

credit issues kept interest rates low and US Treasury yields have fallen significantly as investors favored safe haven assets. As the period drew to a close,

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

higher-risk fixed income assets performed well due to strong earnings announcements and better-than-expected results on European bank stress tests.

Meanwhile, tax-exempt municipal bonds slightly outperformed US investment grade bonds on a 12-month basis, but underperformed year-to-date as

investors rotated to the relative safety of Treasuries.

Regarding cash investments, yields on money market securities remain near all-time lows (producing returns only marginally above zero percent), with

the Federal Open Market Committee reiterating that economic circumstances are likely to necessitate an accommodative interest rate stance for an

extended period.

Against this backdrop, the major market averages posted the following returns:

Total Returns as of July 31, 2010	6-month	12-month
US large cap equities (S&P 500 Index)	3.61%	13.84%
US small cap equities (Russell 2000 Index)	8.79	18.43
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index)	(0.62)	6.26
3-month Treasury bill (BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month Treasury Bill Index)	0.06	0.16
US Treasury securities (BofA Merrill Lynch 10-Year US Treasury Index)	7.67	8.34
US investment grade bonds (Barclays Capital US Aggregate Bond Index)	4.85	8.91
Tax-exempt municipal bonds (Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index)	4.06	9.15
US high yield bonds (Barclays Capital US Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index)	6.72	23.69

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

Although conditions are certainly better than they were a couple of years ago, global financial markets continue to face high volatility while questions about the strength and sustainability of the recovery abound. Through periods of uncertainty, as ever, BlackRock's full resources are dedicated to the management of our clients' assets. For additional market perspective and investment insight, visit www.blackrock.com/shareholdermagazine, where you'll find the most recent issue of our award-winning Shareholder® magazine, as well as its quarterly companion newsletter, Shareholder Perspectives. We thank you for entrusting BlackRock with your investments, and we look forward to your continued partnership in the months and years ahead.

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc.

Fund Overview

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc.'s (MUC) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal and California income taxes. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and California income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests at least 80% of its assets in investment grade municipal obligations with remaining maturities of one year or more at the time of investment that are covered by insurance guaranteeing the timely payment of principal at maturity and interest when due. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. No assurance can be given that the Fund's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended July 31, 2010, the Fund returned 22.40% based on market price, and 16.96% based on net asset value (NAV). For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 21.26% based on market price, and 14.03% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The performance of the Lipper category does not necessarily correlate to that of the Fund, as the Lipper group is comprised of funds representing various states and not California alone. The Fund's discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Fund maintains a relatively generous degree of coupon interest income from its securities holdings, which was a positive factor. The tightening of credit quality spreads in the uninsured basket of the Fund's holdings also aided results. A fully-invested and slightly longer relative duration posture were additive too, as rates declined over the period. Throughout this period, the municipal market benefited from the Build America Bond Program, which effectively moved supply to the taxable market and, thus, alleviated supply pressure in the tax-exempt space. Management's focus on the quality of underlying credits while the market placed less value on monoline insurance also aided relative performance. However, downgrades of monoline insurers had a negative impact on performance in all funds that invest in bonds utilizing insurance wraps. Secondary market demand for insured municipals weakened, resulting in limited liquidity and widening spreads on insured bonds. Cash reserves held in the Fund during the period also detracted from performance, as cash underperformed longer maturity coupon bonds in an environment of falling rates and tightening spreads. The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Fund Information

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	MUC
Initial Offering Date	February 27, 1998
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2010 (\$14.04) ¹	6.03%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.28%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0705
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8460
Leverage as of July 31, 2010 ⁴	42%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The Monthly Distribution per Share, declared on September 1, 2010, was increased to \$0.0735. The Yield on Closing Market Price, Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share

and Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to further change in the future.

⁴ Represents Auction Market Preferred Shares (Preferred Shares) and tender option bond trusts (TOBs) as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the

Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund's market price and NAV per share:

	7/31/10	7/31/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.04	\$12.18	15.27%	\$14.11	\$11.95
Net Asset Value	\$14.55	\$13.21	10.14%	\$14.82	\$13.20

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund's long-term investments:

Sector Allocations	Credit Quality Allocations ⁵				
	7/31/10	7/31/09		7/31/10	7/31/09
County/City/Special District/School District	43%	46%	AAA/Aaa	48%	37%
Utilities	26	24	AA/Aa	32	29
Education	10	9	A	20	33
Transportation	10	13	BBB/Baa		1
Corporate	5		Service		
State	4	4	(Moody's) ratings.		
Health	2	4			

⁵ Using the higher of Standard & Poor's (S&P's) or Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) ratings.

4 ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

Fund Overview

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. s (MUJ) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal income tax and New Jersey personal income taxes. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in long-term, investment grade municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New Jersey personal income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations with remaining maturities of one year or more that are covered by insurance guaranteeing the timely payment of principal at maturity and interest at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended July 31, 2010, the Fund returned 19.37% based on market price, and 11.95% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 21.26% based on market price, and 14.03% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The performance of the Lipper category does not necessarily correlate to that of the Fund, as the Lipper group is comprised of funds representing various states and not New Jersey alone. The Fund's discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. While the Fund benefited from increasing bond prices in the declining interest rate environment, its exposure to pre-refunded and escrowed issues detracted from performance as their shorter maturity structure limited their upward price movement. The Fund benefited from its allocation to the health sector, which performed well during the period. Positive investment income coupled with a low cost of leverage enabled the Fund to increase its dividend in 2010.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information

Symbol on NYSE	MUJ
Initial Offering Date	March 11, 1998
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2010 (\$15.05) ¹	5.82%

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Tax Equivalent Yield ²	8.95%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.073
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.876
Leverage as of July 31, 2010 ⁴	37%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The monthly distribution per share, declared on September 1, 2010, was increased to \$0.0740. The Yield on Closing Market Price, Current Monthly Distribution per Common

Share and Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution rate is not constant and is subject to further change in the future.

⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and

TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund's market price and NAV per share:

	7/31/10	7/31/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$15.05	\$13.38	12.48%	\$15.07	\$13.28
Net Asset Value	\$15.19	\$14.40	5.49%	\$15.51	\$14.38

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund's long-term investments:

Sector Allocations	Credit Quality Allocations ⁵				
	7/31/10	7/31/09		7/31/10	7/31/09
State	30%	35%	AAA/Aaa	38%	41%
Transportation	18	15	AA/Aa	25	20
County/City/Special District/ School District	17	17	A	28	27
Education	12	10	Not Rated ⁶	2	3
Health	9	9	⁵ Using the higher of S&P's and Moody's ratings.		
Housing	6	7	⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of		
Utilities	6	5	investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2010 and July 31, 2009, the market value of		
Corporate	1	1	these securities was \$7,659,796, representing 2% and \$15,862,145, representing		
Tobacco	1	1	3%, respectively, of the Fund's long-term investments.		

JULY 31, 2010

5

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Investment Fund

Fund Overview

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Investment Fund's (MFT) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment and at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations that are covered by insurance guaranteeing the timely payment of principal at maturity and interest when due. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended July 31, 2010, the Fund returned 28.72% based on market price, and 14.99% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 24.23% based on market price, and 15.41% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund moved from a discount to NAV to a premium by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Fund's holdings of Florida issues detracted from performance during the period as Florida underperformed the national market. The Fund continues to seek to reduce its exposure to Florida, however, this transition is prolonged due to the lack of availability in the national insured market and the limited liquidity of some of the Fund's Florida holdings. On the positive side, the Fund held health, utilities and housing bonds with maturities of 20 years and longer, which benefited performance as the municipal yield curve flattened over the last 12 months.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information

Symbol on NYSE	MFT
Initial Offering Date	October 30, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2010 (\$14.28) ¹	5.97%

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.18%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.071
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.852
Leverage as of July 31, 2010 ⁴	38%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and

TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund's market price and NAV per share:

	7/31/10	7/31/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.28	\$11.80	21.02%	\$14.38	\$11.80
Net Asset Value	\$13.87	\$12.83	8.11%	\$14.20	\$12.81

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund's long-term investments:

Sector Allocations	Credit Quality Allocations⁵				
	7/31/10	7/31/09		7/31/10	7/31/09
Utilities	27%	28%	AAA/Aaa	58%	55%
County/City/Special District/School District	26	22	AA/Aa	22	13
			A	17	27
Transportation	16	17	Not Rated ⁶	3	5
Health	14	15			
			⁵ Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.		
State	11	10			
			⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2010 and July 31, 2009, the market value of these securities was \$4,251,053, representing 2% and \$7,910,411, representing 5%, respectively, of the Fund's long-term investments.		
Housing	4	5			
Education	2	3			

6 ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Insured Fund, Inc.

Fund Overview

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Insured Fund, Inc. s (MIY) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal and Michigan income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Michigan income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment and at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations that are covered by insurance guaranteeing the timely payment of principal at maturity and interest when due. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended July 31, 2010, the Fund returned 26.76% based on market price, and 14.31% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 21.26% based on market price, and 14.03% based on NAV.

All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The performance of the Lipper category does not necessarily correlate to that of the Fund, as the Lipper group is comprised of funds representing various states and not Michigan alone. The Fund's discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

The Fund benefited from its allocation to the health sector, which performed well during the period. Positive investment income coupled with a low cost of leverage enabled the Fund to increase its dividend at the end of 2009. While the Fund benefited from increasing bond prices in the declining interest rate environment, its exposure to pre-refunded and escrowed issues detracted from performance as their shorter maturity structure limited their upward price movement.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information

Symbol on NYSE

MIY

Initial Offering Date

October 30, 1992

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2010 (\$14.55) ¹	6.19%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.52%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.075
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.900
Leverage as of July 31, 2010 ⁴	37%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The monthly distribution per share, declared on September 1, 2010, was increased to \$0.0765. The Yield on Closing Market Price, Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share and Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution rate is not constant and is subject to further change in the future.

⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund's market price and NAV per share:

	7/31/10	7/31/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.55	\$12.25	18.78%	\$14.73	\$12.18
Net Asset Value	\$14.92	\$13.93	7.11%	\$15.16	\$13.91

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund's long-term investments:

Sector Allocations	Credit Quality Allocations ⁵				
	7/31/10	7/31/09		7/31/10	7/31/09
County/City/Special District/School District	33%	22%	AAA/Aaa	43%	32%
Health	14	14	AA/Aa	26	22
Utilities	12	14	A	28	42
Transportation	12	11	BBB/Baa	1	2
Corporate	11	14	Not Rated ⁶	2	2
State	9	12	⁵ Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.		
Education	6	10	⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of		
Housing	3	3	investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2010 and July 31, 2009, the market value of these securities was \$2,921,098, representing 1% and \$3,021,972, representing		

1%, respectively, of the Fund's long-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

7

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

Fund Overview

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.'s (MJY) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income taxes and New Jersey personal income tax as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New Jersey personal income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment and at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations that are covered by insurance guaranteeing the timely payment of principal at maturity and interest when due. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended July 31, 2010, the Fund returned 24.34% based on market price, and 13.90% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 21.26% based on market price, and 14.03% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The performance of the Lipper category does not necessarily correlate to that of the Fund, as the Lipper group is comprised of funds representing various states and not New Jersey alone. The Fund's discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. While the Fund benefited from increasing bond prices in the declining interest rate environment, its exposure to pre-refunded and escrowed issues detracted from performance as their shorter maturity structure limited their upward price movement. The Fund benefited from its allocation to the health sector, which performed well during the period. Positive investment income coupled with a low cost of leverage enabled the Fund to increase its dividend in 2010.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information

Symbol on NYSE
Initial Offering Date

MJI
October 30, 1992

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2010 (\$14.92) ¹	5.79%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	8.91%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.072
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.864
Leverage as of July 31, 2010 ⁴	34%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and

TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund's market price and NAV per share:

	7/31/10	7/31/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.92	\$12.82	16.38%	\$15.16	\$12.82
Net Asset Value	\$15.00	\$14.07	6.61%	\$15.38	\$14.04

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund's long-term investments:

Sector Allocations	Credit Quality Allocations ⁵				
	7/31/10	7/31/09		7/31/10	7/31/09
State	28%	26%	AAA/Aaa	31%	32%
County/City/Special District/School District	17	18	AA/Aa	23	22
Education	16	15	A	36	34
Health	10	10	BBB/Baa	5	8
Transportation	9	10	Not Rated ⁶	5	4
Utilities	9	12	⁵ Using the higher of S&P's and Moody's ratings.		
Housing	7	7	⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2010 and July 31, 2009, the market value of these securities was \$8,904,633, representing 5% and \$7,777,159, representing 4%, respectively, of the Fund's long-term investments.		
Corporate	3	1			
Tobacco	1	1			

8 ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Insured Fund

Fund Overview

BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Insured Fund's (MPA) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal and Pennsylvania income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Pennsylvania income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment and at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations that are covered by insurance guaranteeing the timely payment of principal at maturity and interest when due. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended July 31, 2010, the Fund returned 25.70% based on market price, and 14.18% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 21.26% based on market price, and 14.03% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The performance of the Lipper category does not necessarily correlate to that of the Fund, as the Lipper group is comprised of funds representing various states and not Pennsylvania alone. The Fund's discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Fund benefited from its increased exposure to interest rate-sensitive bonds as tax-exempt, 30-year interest rates rallied 70 basis points (0.70%) lower over the period. Broader market recognition of the value of tightly held issuers also had a positive impact on performance as valuations on such holdings were pushed higher. Detracting from performance was the Fund's exposure to zero-coupon bonds, which remained out of favor with investors and underperformed current coupon bonds throughout the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information

Symbol on NYSE

MPA

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Initial Offering Date	October 30, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2010 (\$15.26) ¹	5.66%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	8.71%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.072
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.864
Leverage as of July 31, 2010 ⁴	37%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The monthly distribution per share, declared on September 1, 2010, was increased to \$0.0745. The Yield on Closing Market Price, Current Monthly Distribution per Common

Share and Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution rate is not constant and is subject to further change in the future.

⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and

TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund's market price and NAV per share:

	7/31/10	7/31/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$15.26	\$12.87	18.57%	\$15.27	\$12.80
Net Asset Value	\$15.38	\$14.28	7.70%	\$15.64	\$14.25

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund's long-term investments:

Sector Allocations	Credit Quality Allocations ⁵				
	7/31/10	7/31/09		7/31/10	7/31/09
County/City/Special District/School District	29%	38%	AAA/Aaa	41%	39%
State	23	15	AA/Aa	42	42
Health	12	9	A	16	18
			BBB/Baa	1	1
Utilities	12	11			
Transportation	12	11			
Education	5	6			
Housing	4	4			
Corporate	3	6			

⁵ Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Funds may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and NAV of their Common Shares. However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

To leverage, the Funds issue Preferred Shares, which pay dividends at prevailing short-term interest rates, and invest the proceeds in long-term municipal bonds. In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of assets to be obtained from leverage will be based on short-term interest rates, which normally will be lower than the income earned by each Fund on its longer-term portfolio investments. To the extent that the total assets of each Fund (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, each Fund's Common Shareholders will benefit from the incremental net income.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Fund's Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it issues Preferred Shares for an additional \$50 million, creating a total value of \$150 million available for investment in long-term municipal bonds. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and long-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Fund pays dividends on the \$50 million of Preferred Shares based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Fund with assets received from the Preferred Shares issuance earn income based on long-term interest rates. In this case, the dividends paid to Preferred Shareholders are significantly lower than the income earned on the Fund's long-term investments, and therefore the Common Shareholders are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

If short-term interest rates rise, narrowing the differential between short-term and long-term interest rates, the incremental net income pickup on the Common Shares will be reduced or eliminated completely. Furthermore, if prevailing short-term interest rates rise above long-term interest rates of 6%, the yield curve has a negative slope. In this case, the Fund pays dividends on the higher short-term interest rates whereas the Fund's total portfolio earns income based on lower long-term interest rates.

Furthermore, the value of the Fund's portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the redemption value of the Fund's Preferred Shares does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Fund's NAV positively or negatively in addition to the impact on Fund performance from leverage from Preferred Shares discussed above.

The Funds may also leverage their assets through the use of tender option

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

bond (TOB) programs, as described in Note 1 of the Notes to Financial

Statements. TOB investments generally will provide the Funds with economic benefits in periods of declining short-term interest rates, but expose the Funds to risks during periods of rising short-term interest rates similar to those associated with Preferred Shares issued by the Funds, as described above. Additionally, fluctuations in the market value of municipal bonds deposited into the TOB trust may adversely affect each Fund's NAV per share.

The use of leverage may enhance opportunities for increased returns to the Funds and Common Shareholders, but as described above, it also creates risks as short- or long-term interest rates fluctuate. Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Funds' NAV, market price and dividend rate than a comparable portfolio without leverage. If the income derived from securities purchased with assets received from leverage exceeds the cost of leverage, the Funds' net income will be greater than if leverage had not been used. Conversely, if the income from the securities purchased is not sufficient to cover the cost of leverage, the Funds' net income will be less than if leverage had not been used, and therefore the amount available for distribution to Common Shareholders will be reduced. Each Fund may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause a Fund to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit each Fund's ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies, such as in the case of certain restrictions imposed by ratings agencies that rate preferred shares issued by the Funds. Each Fund will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Funds are permitted to issue Preferred Shares in an amount of up to 50% of their total managed assets at the time of issuance. Under normal circumstances, each Fund anticipates that the total economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs will not exceed 50% of its total managed assets at the time such leverage is incurred. As of July 31, 2010, the Funds had economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs as a percentage of their total managed assets as follows:

	Percent of Leverage
MUC	42%
MUJ	37%
MFT	38%
MIY	37%
MJI	34%
MPA	37%

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Funds may invest in various derivative instruments, including financial futures contracts, as specified in Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements, which may constitute forms of economic leverage. Such instruments are used to obtain exposure to a market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market and/or interest rate risks. Such derivative instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative instrument. Each Fund's ability to successfully use a derivative

instrument depends on the investment advisor's ability to accurately predict pertinent market movements, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require a Fund to sell or purchase portfolio securities at inopportune times or for distressed values, may limit the amount of appreciation a Fund can realize on an investment, may result in lower dividends paid to shareholders or may cause a Fund to hold a security that it might otherwise sell. The Funds' investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

10 ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. (MUC)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par (000)	Value
Municipal Bonds		
California 102.4%		
Corporate 0.5%		
City of Chula Vista California, Refunding RB, San Diego Gas & Electric, Series A, 5.88%, 2/15/34	\$ 2,435	\$ 2,691,673
County/City/Special District/School District 43.4%		
Alameda County Joint Powers Authority, Refunding RB, Lease (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/34	13,180	13,179,077
Bonita Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2004, Series B: (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/01/31	5,000	5,162,100
(NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/29	8,350	8,629,558
Central Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series A (AGC), 5.63%, 8/01/33	2,600	2,806,570
City of Garden Grove California, COP, Series A, Financing Project (AMBAC), 5.50%, 3/01/26	4,040	4,239,778
City of Lodi California, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/32	2,030	2,053,020
City of Redding California, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 6/01/30	5,000	5,133,350
Colton Joint Unified School District, GO, Series A (NPFGC), 5.38%, 8/01/26	2,500	2,666,100
Corona Department of Water & Power, COP (NPFGC), 5.00%, 9/01/29	5,910	5,981,156
Corona-Norca Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2006, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/31	5,000	5,121,600
County of Kern California, COP, Capital Improvements Projects, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 8/01/35	3,500	3,898,930
Covina-Valley Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/26	2,395	2,539,227
Culver City Redevelopment Finance Authority California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.60%, 11/01/25	3,750	3,834,863
East Side Union High School District-Santa Clara		

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

County California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series E (Syncora), 5.12%, 8/01/28 (a)	11,000	3,582,260
Fullerton Joint Union High School District California, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/29	6,685	6,878,464
Jurupa Public Financing Authority, RB, Superior Lien, Series A (AGM) (b): 5.00%, 9/01/30	4,000	4,000,000
5.00%, 9/01/33	2,000	1,986,420
5.00%, 9/01/39	3,000	2,954,730
Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency California, RB, Bunker Hill Project, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/27	10,000	10,166,800
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Proposition A, First Tier, Senior Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/35	9,000	9,312,660
Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2004, Series H (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/32	2,250	2,296,058
Los Gatos Union School District California, GO, Election of 2001, Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/30	2,735	2,783,765

	Par (000)	Value
--	--------------	-------

California (continued)

County/City/Special District/School District (continued)

Marin Community College District, GO, Election of 2004, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/28	\$ 5,885	\$ 6,090,092
Marysville Joint Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2008 (AGC), 5.13%, 8/01/34	6,915	7,123,556
Merced Community College District California, GO, School Facilities Improvement District No. 1 (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/31	6,340	6,392,305
Port of Oakland, Refunding RB, Series M, AMT (NPFGC), 5.38%, 11/01/27	22,465	22,484,320
Poway Unified School District, Special Tax Bonds (AMBAC), 5.00%, 9/15/31	3,960	3,903,887
Redlands Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2008 (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33	5,000	5,210,100
Redwoods Community College District, GO, Election of 2004 (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/31	4,630	4,698,709
Riverside Unified School District California, GO, Election		

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

of 2001, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/30	10,735	10,868,114
Saddleback Valley Unified School District California, GO (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/29	4,115	4,225,982
Salinas Union High School District California, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/26	3,490	3,594,735
San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002 (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/30	7,000	7,213,080
San Francisco Community College District California, GO, Election of 2001, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 6/15/31	4,195	4,343,629
San Jose Evergreen Community College District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 2004, Series A (NPFGC), 5.17%, 9/01/24 (a)	10,410	5,087,992
San Juan Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2002 (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/28	4,250	4,362,030
San Mateo County Transportation District California, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/29	5,650	5,906,002
Sanger Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2006, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/27	7,345	7,685,000
Santa Clara Redevelopment Agency California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Bayshore North Project, Series A (AMBAC), 5.50%, 6/01/23	10,000	10,062,700
Santa Rosa High School District California, GO, Election of 2002 (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/28	2,855	2,885,092
Sierra Joint Community College District California, GO, Improvement District No. 2-Western Nevada County Campus, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/28	1,550	1,590,858
Snowline Joint Unified School District, COP, Refunding, Refining Project (AGC), 5.75%, 9/01/38	5,635	6,279,869
Vista Unified School District California, GO, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/28	2,550	2,617,218
Walnut Valley Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2007, Measure S, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 2/01/33	2,000	2,061,160

Portfolio Abbreviations

To simplify the listings of portfolio holdings in the Schedules of Investments, the names and descriptions of many of the securities have been abbreviated according	BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.	GO	General Obligation Bonds
	CAB	Capital Appreciation Bonds	HDA	Housing Development Authority
	CIFG	CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty	HFA	Housing Finance Agency

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

to the following list:

	COP	Certificates of Participation	HRB	Housing Revenue Bonds	
				Industrial Development Authority	
	EDA	Economic Development Authority	IDA	Authority	
ACA	ACA Financial Guaranty Corp.	EDC	Economic Development Corp.	ISD	Independent School District
AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.	ERB	Education Revenue Bonds	LRB	Lease Revenue Bonds
					National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp.	FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Co.	NPFGC	Guarantee Corp.
AMBAC	Corp.	FHA	Federal Housing Administration	RB	Revenue Bonds
AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)	GAN	Grant Anticipation Notes	S/F	Single-Family
		GARB	General Airport Revenue Bonds		

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

11

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. (MUC)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
California (continued)		
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)		
West Contra Costa Unified School District California, GO (AGM):		
Election of 2002, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/32	\$ 6,690	\$ 6,693,746
Election of 2005, Series A, 5.00%, 8/01/26	2,595	2,646,718
Westminster Redevelopment Agency California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Subordinate, Commercial Redevelopment Project No. 1 (AGC), 6.25%, 11/01/39		
	4,300	4,918,985
		258,152,365
Education 8.6%		
California State Public Works Board, RB, University of California, Institute Project, Series C (AMBAC), 5.00%, 4/01/30		
	5,000	5,039,000
California State University, RB, Systemwide, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 11/01/39		
	6,950	7,024,226
California State University, Refunding RB, Systemwide, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 11/01/29		
	5,000	5,135,500
Escondido Union High School District, COP (AGM), 5.00%, 6/01/37		
	1,250	1,238,975
Riverside Community College District, GO, Election of 2004, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32		
	8,750	9,010,312
San Diego Community College District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/30		
	6,360	6,654,150
University of California, RB, Limited Project, Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 5/15/37		
	5,950	6,157,239
University of California, Refunding RB, General, Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/15/27		
	10,500	11,049,885
		51,309,287
Health 2.5%		
ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corps, Refunding RB, Sharp Healthcare, 6.25%, 8/01/39		
	5,000	5,521,100

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Catholic Healthcare West, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/34	3,700	3,988,304
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, RB, Health Facility, Memorial Health Services, Series A, 6.00%, 10/01/23	4,915	5,139,271 14,648,675
State 6.6%		
California Community College Financing Authority, RB, Grossmont-Palomar-Shasta, Series A (NPFGC), 5.63%, 4/01/26	2,180	2,209,060
California State Public Works Board, RB, Department of Education, Riverside Campus Project, Series B, 6.50%, 4/01/34	3,500	3,768,450
California State University, Refunding RB, Systemwide, Series C (NPFGC), 5.00%, 11/01/28	16,215	16,757,878
State of California, GO, Various Purpose: 6.00%, 3/01/33	5,000	5,412,500
6.50%, 4/01/33	10,000	11,246,100 39,393,988
Transportation 16.7%		
City of Fresno California, RB, Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/20	4,455	4,501,332
City of San Jose California, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT (AGM), 5.50%, 3/01/19	5,220	5,766,169
County of Orange California, RB, Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/34	6,345	6,910,149
County of Sacramento California, RB, Senior Series B: 5.75%, 7/01/39	2,650	2,868,519
AMT (AGM), 5.75%, 7/01/28	13,170	13,879,204
AMT (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33	19,525	19,561,707
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
California (concluded)		
Transportation (concluded)		
Port of Oakland, RB, Series K, AMT (NPFGC), 5.75%, 11/01/29	\$ 19,660	\$ 19,660,983
San Francisco City & County Airports Commission, RB, Series E, 6.00%, 5/01/39	9,650	10,657,557

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

San Francisco City & County Airports Commission, Refunding RB, Second Series 34E, AMT (AGM), 5.75%, 5/01/24	5,000	5,411,650
San Mateo County Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/32	10,000	10,249,500
		99,466,770
Utilities 24.1%		
City of Escondido California, COP, Refunding, Series A (NPFGC), 5.75%, 9/01/24	465	471,422
City of Glendale California, RB (AGC), 5.00%, 2/01/31	5,030	5,224,359
City of Santa Clara California, RB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/28	6,050	6,111,892
East Bay Municipal Utility District, RB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/35	150	155,460
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/33	6,545	6,892,736
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB (AMBAC), System:		
Sub-Series A-1, 5.00%, 7/01/36	4,610	4,742,906
Sub-Series A-2, 5.00%, 7/01/35	1,150	1,183,764
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, RB, Series B-1 (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/33	9,000	9,262,260
Oxnard Financing Authority, RB (NPFGC): Project, 5.00%, 6/01/31	10,000	10,044,500
Redwood Trunk Sewer & Headworks, Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/34	13,000	13,210,990
Sacramento City Financing Authority California, Refunding RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 12/01/29	8,775	8,742,094
Sacramento Municipal Utility District, RB, Cosumnes Project (NPFGC), 5.13%, 7/01/29	36,760	37,500,714
San Francisco City & County Public Utilities Commission, RB:		
Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 11/01/32	15,000	15,277,050
Series B, 5.00%, 11/01/30	16,705	17,931,815
Turlock Public Financing Authority California, RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 9/15/33	6,655	6,746,772
		143,498,734
Total Municipal Bonds 102.4%		609,161,492

Municipal Bonds Transferred to

Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)

California 58.9%

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Corporate 7.1%

San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/30	23,100	24,237,213
University of California, RB, Limited Project, Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 5/15/33	17,397	17,744,198
		41,981,411

County/City/Special District/School District 26.2%

Contra Costa Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002 (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/28	7,800	8,021,910
Desert Community College District California, GO, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/37	16,530	16,852,666
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO: Series A, Election of 2001 (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/32	6,647	6,809,121
Series A, Election of 2008, 6.00%, 8/01/33	9,596	10,826,270
Series E, Election of 2003 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/31	11,216	11,508,373

See Notes to Financial Statements.

12 ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. (MUC)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)	Par (000)	Value
California (concluded)		
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)		
Ohlone Community College District, GO, Ohlone, Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/30	\$ 16,518	\$ 16,988,887
Peralta Community College District, GO, Election of 2000, Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/35	15,490	15,840,384
Poway Unified School District, GO, Election of 2002, Improvement District 02, Series 1-B (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/30	10,000	10,297,600
San Bernardino Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/31	17,770	18,289,417
San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002 (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/30	12,549	12,930,659
San Francisco Bay Area Transit Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGB), 5.00%, 7/01/34	2,499	2,596,259
San Jose Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Civic Center Project, Series B (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/32	14,800	14,873,704
Vista Unified School District California, GO, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 8/01/25	10,016	10,476,795
		156,312,045
Education 8.0%		
Chaffey Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGB), 5.00%, 6/01/30	9,905	10,122,039
Peralta Community College District, GO, Peralta Community College (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32	6,980	7,187,655
Riverside Community College District, GO, Election of 2004, Series C (NPFGB), 5.00%, 8/01/32	8,910	9,137,383
University of California, RB: Limited Project, Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 5/15/41	 8,000	 8,236,000
Series O, 5.75%, 5/15/34	11,190	12,637,538
		47,320,615
Utilities 17.6%		
City of Napa California, RB (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/01/35	9,100	9,367,176

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

East Bay Municipal Utility District, RB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/35	12,070	12,509,348
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37	14,510	15,210,398
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB, Power System (AGM):		
Sub-Series A-1, 5.00%, 7/01/31	4,993	5,177,267
Sub-Series A-2, 5.00%, 7/01/35	7,500	7,720,200
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/35	12,870	13,386,730
Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34	5,008	5,164,859
Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District, RB, Sacramento Regional County Sanitation (NPFGC), 5.00%, 12/01/36	4,500	4,607,145
San Diego County Water Authority, COP, Refunding:		
Series 2002-A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 5/01/32	10,000	10,118,700
Series 2008-A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33	16,740	17,381,477
San Diego County Water Authority, COP, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/31	4,000	4,106,840
		104,750,140
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 58.9%		350,364,211
Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$945,641,151) 161.3%		959,525,703
Short-Term Securities	Shares	Value
BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.04% (d)(e)	71,270,966	\$ 71,270,966
Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$71,270,966) 12.0%		71,270,966
Total Investments (Cost \$1,016,912,117*) 173.3%		1,030,796,669
Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (0.0)%		(80,678)
Liability for Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable (30.6)%		(181,967,280)
Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value (42.7)%		(254,015,094)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 594,733,617

* The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of July 31, 2010, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Aggregate cost	\$834,751,050
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 18,043,234
Gross unrealized depreciation	(3,852,248)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 14,190,986

(a) Represents a zero-coupon bond. Rate shown reflects the current yield as of report date.

(b) When-issued security. Unsettled when-issued transactions were as follows:

Counterparty	Value	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)
Stone and Youngberg	\$8,941,150	\$

(c) Securities represent bonds transferred to a tender option bond trust in exchange for which the Fund acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to tender option bond trusts.

(d) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Fund during the year, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, are as follows:

Affiliate	Shares Held at July 31, 2009	Net Activity	Shares Held at July 31, 2010	Income
BIF California Municipal Money Fund	20,500,814	50,770,152	71,270,966	\$ 6,168

(e) Represents the current yield as of report date.

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund's sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by Fund management. This definition may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Financial futures contracts sold as of July 31, 2010 were as follows:

Contracts	Issue	Expiration Date	Notional Value	Unrealized Depreciation
50	10-Year U.S. Treasury Bond	September 2010	\$6,083,484	\$ (107,141)

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

13

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. (MUC)

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivatives, which are as follows:

Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Fund's own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivatives)

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Fund's policy regarding valuation of investments and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements. The following tables summarize the inputs used as of July 31, 2010 in determining the fair valuation of the Fund's investments and derivatives:

Valuation Inputs	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments in				
Securities:				
Long-Term				
Investments ¹		\$ 959,525,703		\$ 959,525,703
Short-Term				
Securities	\$ 71,270,966			71,270,966
Total	\$ 71,270,966	\$ 959,525,703		\$1,030,796,669

¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

Derivative Financial Instruments²

Valuation Inputs	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Liabilities:				
Interest rate				
contracts	\$ (107,141)		\$ (107,141)	

² Derivative financial instruments are futures, which are shown at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

See Notes to Financial Statements.

14 ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (MUJ)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par (000)	Value
Municipal Bonds		
New Jersey 136.3%		
Corporate 2.3%		
New Jersey EDA, RB, Disposal, Waste Management of New Jersey, Series A, Mandatory Put Bonds, AMT, 5.30%, 6/01/15	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,698,775
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, AMT, New Jersey American Water Co., Inc. Project: Series A, 5.70%, 10/01/39	2,500	2,567,300
Series B, 5.60%, 11/01/34	2,150	2,211,640
		7,477,715
County/City/Special District/School District 23.7%		
Borough of Hopatcong New Jersey, GO, Refunding, Sewer (AMBAC), 4.50%, 8/01/33	2,690	2,733,847
Camden County Improvement Authority, RB (AGM), 5.50%, 9/01/10 (a)	1,540	1,547,007
City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB (AGM) (b): 5.09%, 7/01/32	4,605	4,310,879
5.10%, 7/01/33	1,395	1,302,623
5.14%, 7/01/37	1,470	1,363,234
County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC): 5.25%, 6/15/23	1,550	1,554,635
Refunding, 5.50%, 8/01/16	1,375	1,438,291
East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/12	5,450	5,686,530
Edgewater Borough Board of Education, GO (AGM): 4.25%, 3/01/34	1,235	1,225,861
4.25%, 3/01/35	1,300	1,286,259
4.30%, 3/01/36	1,370	1,353,601
4.30%, 3/01/37	1,440	1,439,050
4.30%, 3/01/38	1,515	1,506,713
4.30%, 3/01/39	1,590	1,579,331
4.30%, 3/01/40	1,668	1,652,221
Essex County Improvement Authority, LRB, County		

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC), 5.00%, 10/01/13 (a)	4,400	5,008,344
Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC), 6.00%, 10/01/10 (a)	4,000	4,039,000
Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27	250	289,460
Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB, Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 5.38%, 1/01/44	3,600	3,857,688
Hudson County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, Hudson County Lease Project (NPFGC), 5.38%, 10/01/24	2,530	2,546,673
Middlesex County Improvement Authority, RB, Senior Citizens Housing Project, AMT (AMBAC), 5.50%, 9/01/30	500	500,115
Monmouth County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, Governmental Loan (AMBAC): 5.35%, 12/01/10 (a)	695	707,024
5.38%, 12/01/10 (a)	535	544,304
5.35%, 12/01/17	845	856,593
5.38%, 12/01/18	935	947,875
Morristown Parking Authority, RB (NPFGC): 5.00%, 8/01/30	1,830	1,938,519
5.00%, 8/01/33	3,000	3,144,300
New Jersey State Transit Corp., COP, Subordinate, Federal Transit Administration Grants, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 9/15/21	2,000	2,085,080
Newark Housing Authority, Refunding RB, Newark Redevelopment Project (NPFGC), 4.38%, 1/01/37	620	597,488
	Par	Value
Municipal Bonds	(000)	
New Jersey (continued)		
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)		
North Bergen Township Board Of Education, COP (AGM) (a): 6.00%, 12/15/10	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,031,740
6.25%, 12/15/10	1,580	1,631,634
6.25%, 12/15/10	1,680	1,734,902

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Salem County Improvement Authority, RB, Finlaw Street		
Office Building (AGM), 5.38%, 8/15/28	500	516,390
South Jersey Port Corp., Refunding RB:		
4.50%, 1/01/15	3,750	3,952,987
4.50%, 1/01/16	1,920	2,005,882
Township of West Deptford New Jersey, GO (FGIC) (a):		
5.63%, 9/01/10	4,170	4,189,390
5.63%, 9/01/10	4,410	4,430,506
		76,535,976
Education 19.2%		
New Jersey EDA, RB:		
International Center For Public Health Project, University of Medicine and Dentistry (AMBAC),		
6.00%, 6/01/32	5,000	5,000,100
School Facilities Construction, Series Y,		
5.00%, 9/01/33	3,000	3,129,540
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, RB:		
Montclair State University, Series A (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 7/01/21	1,200	1,285,512
Montclair State University, Series A (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 7/01/22	2,880	3,069,446
Richard Stockton College, Series F (NPFGC),		
5.00%, 7/01/31	2,625	2,669,258
Rowan University, Series C (NPFGC),		
5.00%, 7/01/14 (a)	3,260	3,768,136
Rowan University, Series C (NPFGC),		
5.13%, 7/01/14 (a)	3,615	4,195,822
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:		
College of New Jersey, Series D (AGM),		
5.00%, 7/01/35	9,540	9,964,339
Montclair State University, Series J (NPFGC),		
4.25%, 7/01/30	3,775	3,670,961
Ramapo College, Series I (AMBAC),		
4.25%, 7/01/31	1,250	1,198,075
Ramapo College, Series I (AMBAC),		
4.25%, 7/01/36	900	840,411
Rowan University, Series C (FGIC),		
5.25%, 7/01/11 (a)	240	253,430
Rowan University, Series C (FGIC),		
5.25%, 7/01/11 (a)	285	300,949
Rowan University, Series C (FGIC),		

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

5.25%, 7/01/11 (a)	265	279,829
Rowan University, Series C (NPFGC),		
5.25%, 7/01/17	2,135	2,229,879
Rowan University, Series C (NPFGC),		
5.25%, 7/01/18	2,535	2,645,247
Rowan University, Series C (NPFGC),		
5.25%, 7/01/19	2,370	2,470,844
Stevens Institute of Technology, Series A,		
5.00%, 7/01/27	2,800	2,847,376
Stevens Institute of Technology, Series A,		
5.00%, 7/01/34	900	885,159
William Paterson University, Series C (AGC),		
5.00%, 7/01/28	250	265,773
William Paterson University, Series C (AGC),		
4.75%, 7/01/34	4,000	4,049,920
University of Medicine & Dentistry of New Jersey, COP		
(NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/15/29	2,000	1,992,620
University of Medicine & Dentistry of New Jersey, RB,		
Series A (AMBAC), 5.50%, 12/01/27	4,740	4,825,794
		61,838,420

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

15

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (MUJ)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
New Jersey (continued)		
Health 13.6%		
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB:		
Greystone Park Psychiatric Hospital (AMBAC), 5.00%, 9/15/23	\$ 10,775	\$ 11,113,119
Meridian Health, Series I (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/38	770	783,059
Meridian Health, Series II (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/38	7,385	7,510,250
Meridian Health, Series V (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/38	3,950	4,016,992
South Jersey Hospital, 6.00%, 7/01/12 (a)	5,440	6,014,138
Virtua Health (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/38	1,900	2,028,174
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB:		
AHS Hospital Corp., Series A (AMBAC), 6.00%, 7/01/13 (c)	4,000	4,604,000
Atlantic City Medical Center, 5.75%, 7/01/12 (a)	1,525	1,675,578
Atlantic City Medical Center, 6.25%, 7/01/12 (a)	530	587,383
Atlantic City Medical System, 6.25%, 7/01/17	925	976,911
Atlantic City Medical System, 5.75%, 7/01/25	1,975	2,028,977
Hackensack University Medical Center (AGC), 5.13%, 1/01/27	1,500	1,571,055
Meridian Health System Obligation Group (AGM), 5.38%, 7/01/24	1,000	1,000,790
		43,910,426
Housing 5.1%		
New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB:		
Capital Fund Program, Series A (AGM), 4.70%, 11/01/25	10,840	11,070,675
Series AA, 6.50%, 10/01/38	2,720	2,979,189
New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, Refunding RB, S/F Housing, Series T, AMT, 4.70%, 10/01/37		
	800	764,720
Newark Housing Authority, RB, South Ward Police		

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Facility (AGC):

5.75%, 12/01/30	850	917,430
6.75%, 12/01/38	500	571,820
		16,303,834

State 41.9%

Garden State Preservation Trust, RB (AGM):

CAB, Series B, 5.12%, 11/01/23 (d)	9,000	5,223,960
CAB, Series B, 4.32%, 11/01/25 (d)	10,000	5,191,900
Election of 2005, Series A, 5.80%, 11/01/21	1,960	2,331,930
Election of 2005, Series A, 5.80%, 11/01/23	2,730	3,243,922

Garden State Preservation Trust, Refunding RB,

Series C (AGM):

5.25%, 11/01/20	5,000	6,140,050
5.25%, 11/01/21	7,705	9,495,026

New Jersey EDA, RB:

Cigarette Tax, 5.63%, 6/15/19	2,700	2,700,567
Cigarette Tax (Radian), 5.75%, 6/15/29	2,000	2,001,900
Cigarette Tax (Radian), 5.50%, 6/15/31	585	570,363
Cigarette Tax (Radian), 5.75%, 6/15/34	1,180	1,167,822

Liberty State Park Project, Series C (AGM),

5.00%, 3/01/22	2,670	2,920,740
----------------	-------	-----------

Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC),

5.25%, 7/01/24	1,785	1,951,362
----------------	-------	-----------

Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC),

5.25%, 7/01/25	4,000	4,341,480
----------------	-------	-----------

Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC),

5.25%, 7/01/26	7,500	8,072,100
----------------	-------	-----------

Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC),

5.25%, 7/01/33	11,105	11,316,883
----------------	--------	------------

Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC),

5.00%, 7/01/34	2,000	1,972,460
----------------	-------	-----------

School Facilities Construction, Series L (AGM),

5.00%, 3/01/30	9,000	9,350,460
----------------	-------	-----------

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value

New Jersey (continued)

State (concluded)

New Jersey EDA, RB:

School Facilities Construction, Series O,

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

5.25%, 3/01/23	\$ 1,420	\$ 1,547,133
School Facilities Construction, Series Z (AGC),		
6.00%, 12/15/34	2,800	3,184,804
School Facilities, Series U (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 9/01/37	2,500	2,585,750
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities		
Construction, Series N-1 (NPFGC), 5.50%, 9/01/27	1,000	1,129,820
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, RB,		
Series A (a):		
Capital Improvement Fund (AGM), 5.75%, 9/01/10	8,300	8,339,508
Higher Education Capital Improvement (AMBAC),		
5.13%, 9/01/12	5,500	6,036,030
New Jersey Sports & Exposition Authority, RB, Series A		
(NPFGC), 6.00%, 3/01/13	2,400	2,410,392
New Jersey Sports & Exposition Authority, Refunding		
RB (NPFGC):		
5.50%, 3/01/21	5,890	6,841,765
5.50%, 3/01/22	3,000	3,472,470
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB:		
CAB, Transportation System, Series C (AGM),		
4.74%, 12/15/32 (d)	4,050	1,125,860
CAB, Transportation System, Series C (AMBAC),		
5.05%, 12/15/36 (d)	5,500	1,153,680
Transportation System, Series D (AGM),		
5.00%, 6/15/19	5,240	5,755,459
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority,		
Refunding RB, Transportation System, Series A (AGM),		
5.25%, 12/15/20	10,750	12,479,137
State of New Jersey, COP, Equipment Lease Purchase,		
Series A, 5.25%, 6/15/27	1,080	1,141,096
		135,195,829
Tobacco 1.7%		
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp. New Jersey, RB,		
7.00%, 6/01/13 (a)	4,755	5,607,524
Transportation 24.4%		
Delaware River Port Authority Pennsylvania & New Jersey,		
RB (AGM):		
5.50%, 1/01/12	5,000	5,018,800
5.63%, 1/01/13	6,000	6,022,620
Delaware River Port Authority, RB:		
Port District Project, Series B (AGM),		

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

5.63%, 1/01/26	2,425	2,427,668
Series D (AGC), 5.00%, 1/01/40	3,700	3,803,415
New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, RB, Growth & Income Securities, Series B (AMBAC), 5.22%, 1/01/15 (b)	7,615	6,215,668
New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, Refunding RB: Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 1/01/29	2,000	2,286,860
Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 1/01/30	4,000	4,548,000
Series A (BHAC), 5.25%, 1/01/29	500	578,050
Series C (NPFGC), 6.50%, 1/01/16	910	1,098,006
Series C (NPFGC), 6.50%, 1/01/16 (c)	4,355	5,016,960
Series C-2005 (NPFGC), 6.50%, 1/01/16 (c)	255	320,818
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB: CAB, Transportation System, Series C (AMBAC), 5.05%, 12/15/35 (d)	1,400	313,894
Transportation System, Series A (AGC), 5.63%, 12/15/28	2,000	2,247,420
Transportation System, Series A (AGM), 5.50%, 12/15/22	150	176,313
Transportation System, Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/15/32	1,425	1,474,647

See Notes to Financial Statements.

16 ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (MUJ)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
New Jersey (concluded)		
Transportation (concluded)		
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB (concluded):		
Transportation System, Series A (NPFGC), 5.75%, 6/15/24	\$ 1,205	\$ 1,432,082
Transportation System, Series C, 5.50%, 6/15/13 (a)	780	890,315
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, Refunding RB, Transportation System, Series B (NPFGC), 5.50%, 12/15/21	9,165	10,827,073
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, RB, Special Project, JFK International Air Terminal, Series 6, AMT (NPFGC):		
6.25%, 12/01/11	13,500	13,940,370
6.25%, 12/01/15	1,500	1,607,025
5.75%, 12/01/25	3,000	3,004,290
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, Refunding RB, Consolidated, 152nd Series, AMT, 5.75%, 11/01/30	5,175	5,548,583
		78,798,877
Utilities 4.4%		
Atlantic Highlands Highland Regional Sewage Authority, Refunding RB (NPFGC), 5.50%, 1/01/20	1,875	1,911,000
Essex County Utilities Authority, Refunding RB (AGC), 4.13%, 4/01/22	2,000	2,082,060
New Jersey EDA, RB, Series A, American Water, AMT (AMBAC), 5.25%, 11/01/32	3,000	2,988,030
North Hudson Sewerage Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.13%, 8/01/20	4,335	4,639,664
Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority, RB, CAB, Series A (NPFGC), 4.79%, 9/01/28 (d)	6,600	2,482,128
		14,102,882
Total Municipal Bonds in New Jersey		439,771,483

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Guam 0.6%		
Utilities 0.6%		
Guam Power Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/37	1,860	1,862,809
Puerto Rico 11.2%		
County/City/Special District/School District 1.9%		
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., Refunding RB, First Sub-Series C (AGM), 5.13%, 8/01/42	6,120	6,330,589
Health 1.1%		
Puerto Rico Industrial Tourist Educational Medical & Environmental Control Facilities Financing Authority, RB: Hosp Auxilio Mutuo Obligation Group, Series A (NPFGC), 6.25%, 7/01/24	1,780	1,781,922
Hospital De La Concepcion, Series A, 6.50%, 11/15/20	1,750	1,787,468
		3,569,390
Housing 2.0%		
Puerto Rico Housing Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Subordinate, Capital Fund Modernization, 5.13%, 12/01/27	6,285	6,346,153
State 0.9%		
Puerto Rico Commonwealth Infrastructure Financing Authority, RB, CAB, Series A (AMBAC), 4.36%, 7/01/37 (d)	4,000	658,040
	Par	
	(000)	Value
Municipal Bonds		
Puerto Rico (concluded)		
State (concluded)		
Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority, Refunding RB, Government Facilities, Series M-3 (NPFGC), 6.00%, 7/01/27	\$ 2,125	\$ 2,248,229
		2,906,269
Transportation 1.7%		
Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series CC (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/31	5,000	5,375,950
Utilities 3.6%		
Puerto Rico Aqueduct & Sewer Authority, RB, Senior Lien, Series A (AGC), 5.13%, 7/01/47	6,120	6,178,446
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, RB, Series RR		

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

(CIFG), 5.00%, 7/01/28	4,100	4,121,115
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Refunding RB, Series VV (NPFGC), 5.25%, 7/01/26	1,325	1,409,058
		11,708,619
Total Municipal Bonds in Puerto Rico		36,236,970
Total Municipal Bonds 148.1%		477,871,262
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (e)		
New Jersey 7.0%		
Housing 1.6%		
New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, Capital Fund Program, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/27	4,790	5,204,910
State 3.5%		
Garden State Preservation Trust, RB, Election of 2005, Series A (AGM), 5.75%, 11/01/28	9,160	11,248,846
Transportation 1.9%		
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, Refunding RB, Consolidated, 152nd Series, AMT, 5.25%, 11/01/35	5,998	6,186,210
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 7.0%		22,639,966
Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$481,753,647) 155.1%		500,511,228
Short-Term Securities	Shares	
BIF New Jersey Municipal Money Fund, 0.04% (f)(g)	1,117,529	1,117,529
Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$1,117,529) 0.3%		1,117,529
Total Investments (Cost \$482,871,176*) 155.4%		501,628,757
Other Assets Less Liabilities 2.2%		7,034,897
Liability for Trust Certificates, Including Interest		
Expense and Fees Payable (4.1)%		(13,272,794)
Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value (53.5)%		(172,709,943)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$322,680,917

* The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of July 31, 2010, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$469,951,009
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 21,231,946
Gross unrealized depreciation	(2,817,128)

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Net unrealized appreciation

\$ 18,414,818

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

17

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (MUJ)

(a) US government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.

(b) Represents a step-up bond that pays an initial coupon rate for the first period and then a higher coupon rate for the following periods. Rate shown reflects the current yield as of report date.

(c) Security is collateralized by Municipal or US Treasury obligations.

(d) Represents a zero-coupon bond. Rate shown reflects the current yield as of report date.

(e) Securities represent bonds transferred to a tender option bond trust in exchange for which the Fund acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to tender option bond trusts.

(f) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Fund during the year, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, are as follows:

Affiliate	Shares Held at July 31, 2009	Net Activity	Shares Held at July 31, 2010	Income
BIF New Jersey				
Municipal				
Money Fund	3,311,943	(2,194,414)	1,117,529	\$ 1,783

(g) Represents the current yield as of report date.

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund's sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by Fund management. This definition may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments, which are as follows:

Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Fund's own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Fund's policy regarding valuation of investments and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes the inputs used as of July 31, 2010 in determining the fair valuation of the Fund's investments:

Valuation Inputs	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments in				
Securities:				
Long-Term				
Investments ¹		\$ 500,511,228		\$ 500,511,228
Short-Term				
Securities	\$ 1,117,529			1,117,529
Total	\$ 1,117,529	\$ 500,511,228		\$ 501,628,757

¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

18 ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Investment Fund (MFT)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par (000)	Value
Municipal Bonds		
Alabama 4.2%		
Birmingham Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Children s Hospital (AGC):		
6.13%, 6/01/34	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,641,645
6.00%, 6/01/39	2,985	3,259,590
		4,901,235
Arizona 0.5%		
State of Arizona, COP, Department of Administration, Series A (AGM):		
5.25%, 10/01/28	480	499,090
5.00%, 10/01/29	125	126,921
		626,011
California 13.8%		
California State Public Works Board, RB, Various Capital Projects, Series G-1 (AGC), 5.25%, 10/01/24		
	2,000	2,079,540
California State University, RB, Systemwide, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 11/01/39		
	1,000	1,010,680
County of Sacramento California, RB, Senior Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/41		
	1,400	1,478,022
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/32		
	2,780	2,847,609
San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series B (AGC), 5.38%, 8/01/34		
	1,020	1,090,921
San Jacinto Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM), 5.25%, 8/01/32		
	1,000	1,022,910
State of California, GO, Various Purpose: (AGC), 5.50%, 11/01/39		
	3,450	3,610,080
(AGM), 5.00%, 6/01/32	3,000	3,039,660
		16,179,422
Colorado 1.2%		
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NMC Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%, 5/15/26		
	1,300	1,460,277
Florida 23.4%		

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Broward County Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Educational Facilities, Nova Southeastern University (AGC), 5.00%, 4/01/31	1,720	1,717,678
City of Jacksonville Florida, Refunding RB (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/32	1,455	1,475,123
City of Lakeland Florida, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/28	1,075	1,083,428
County of Lee Florida, RB, Series A, AMT (AGM), 6.00%, 10/01/29	1,000	1,012,160
County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, AMT (AGM), Miami International Airport, Series A: 5.00%, 10/01/33	1,385	1,351,483
5.25%, 10/01/41	150	150,582
5.50%, 10/01/41	2,400	2,448,840
County of Orange Florida, Refunding RB (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/01/29	2,190	2,226,726
County of Osceola Florida, RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27	1,100	1,124,706
County of St. John s Florida, RB (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/31	2,135	2,215,575
Florida Housing Finance Corp., HRB, Brittany Rosemont Apartments, Series C-1, AMT (AMBAC), 6.75%, 8/01/14	640	640,973
Florida Housing Finance Corp., RB, Homeowner Mortgage, Series 11, AMT (AGM), 5.95%, 1/01/32	1,415	1,415,976
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Florida (concluded)		
Florida Housing Finance Corp., Refunding RB, Homeowner Mortgage, Series 4, AMT (AGM), 6.25%, 7/01/22	\$ 215	\$ 227,820
Jacksonville Economic Development Commission, RB, Mayo Clinic, Series B (NPFGC), 5.50%, 11/15/36	750	765,773
Miami-Dade County IDA, RB, BAC Funding Corp. Project, Series A (AMBAC), 5.38%, 10/01/30	1,655	1,696,193
Palm Beach County School District, COP, Refunding, Series D (AGM), 5.25%, 8/01/21	1,950	2,084,979
Santa Rosa County School Board, COP, Refunding, Series 2 (NPFGC), 5.25%, 2/01/26	2,000	2,107,240

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

St. Lucie West Services District, RB (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/34	1,000	1,017,110
Village Center Community Development District, RB, Series A (NPFGC):		
5.38%, 11/01/34	1,640	1,456,238
5.13%, 11/01/36	1,000	845,490
Volusia County IDA, RB, Student Housing, Stetson University Project, Series A (CIFG), 5.00%, 6/01/35	525	455,789
		27,519,882
Georgia 4.0%		
County of Fulton Georgia, RB (NPFGC), 5.25%, 1/01/35	1,000	1,052,420
Gwinnett County Hospital Authority, Refunding RB, Gwinnett Hospital System, Series D (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/41	1,375	1,407,037
Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority, RB, Third Indenture, Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/34	2,100	2,196,054
		4,655,511
Illinois 12.3%		
Chicago Board of Education Illinois, GO, Refunding, Chicago School Reform Board, Series A (NPFGC), 5.50%, 12/01/26	825	940,484
Chicago Transit Authority, RB, Federal Transit Administration Section 5309, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 6/01/26	1,400	1,617,140
City of Chicago Illinois, GO, Refunding, Projects, Series A (AGM):		
5.00%, 1/01/28	945	1,007,068
5.00%, 1/01/29	1,465	1,550,673
5.00%, 1/01/30	585	614,572
City of Chicago Illinois, RB, General, Third Lien, Series C (AGM), 5.25%, 1/01/35	835	865,736
City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding RB, Second Lien (NPFGC), 5.50%, 1/01/30	895	993,960
Illinois Municipal Electric Agency, RB, Series A (NPFGC):		
5.25%, 2/01/28	1,565	1,635,018
5.25%, 2/01/35	1,250	1,283,912
State of Illinois, RB: (AGM), 5.00%, 6/15/27	1,000	1,024,260
Build Illinois, Series B, 5.25%, 6/15/28	1,750	1,858,220
Village of Schaumburg Illinois, GO, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 12/01/38	1,000	1,017,070

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

19

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Investment Fund (MFT)
 (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par (000)	Value
Municipal Bonds		
Indiana 4.4%		
Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 1/01/42	\$ 1,485	\$ 1,501,186
Indianapolis Local Public Improvement Bond Bank, Refunding RB, Waterworks Project, Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 1/01/38	3,310	3,607,503 5,108,689
Iowa 1.1%		
Iowa Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Iowa Health System (AGC), 5.25%, 2/15/29	1,190	1,253,558
Kentucky 1.1%		
Kentucky Municipal Power Agency, RB, Prairie State Project, Series A (BHAC), 5.25%, 9/01/42	1,250	1,297,838
Louisiana 1.9%		
Louisiana State Citizens Property Insurance Corp., RB, Series C-3 (AGC), 6.13%, 6/01/25	1,405	1,563,976
New Orleans Aviation Board Louisiana, Refunding RB (AGC), Restructuring GARB: Series A-1, 6.00%, 1/01/23	375	429,304
Series A-2, 6.00%, 1/01/23	160	183,169
		2,176,449
Maine 1.0%		
City of Portland Maine, RB, General (AGM), 5.25%, 1/01/35	1,125	1,163,813
Michigan 17.7%		
City of Detroit Michigan, RB: Second Lien, Series B (AGM), 6.25%, 7/01/36	1,800	1,973,808
Second Lien, Series B (AGM), 7.00%, 7/01/36	200	231,140
Second Lien, Series B (NPFGC), 5.50%, 7/01/29	1,640	1,681,148
Senior Lien, Series B (AGM), 7.50%, 7/01/33	1,500	1,806,105
Senior Lien, Series B (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/01/35	3,750	3,928,650
System, Second Lien, Series A (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/01/36	2,265	2,353,222

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

City of Detroit Michigan, Refunding RB:		
Second Lien, Series E (BHAC), 5.75%, 7/01/31	2,270	2,425,994
Senior Lien, Series C-1 (AGM), 7.00%, 7/01/27	1,650	1,929,065
Michigan State Building Authority, RB, Facilities Program,		
Series H (AGM), 5.00%, 10/15/26	375	390,131
Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB,		
Facilities Program, Series I (AGC):		
5.25%, 10/15/22	1,350	1,504,602
5.25%, 10/15/24	615	669,932
5.25%, 10/15/25	310	334,626
Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan,		
Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital,		
8.25%, 9/01/39	1,265	1,510,511
		20,738,934
Minnesota 2.9%		
City of Minneapolis Minnesota, Refunding RB, Fairview		
Health Services, Series B (AGC), 6.50%, 11/15/38	3,000	3,373,590
Nevada 2.0%		
County of Clark Nevada, RB, Las Vegas-McCarran		
International Airport, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 7/01/39	2,355	2,402,241
New Jersey 2.2%		
New Jersey EDA, RB, School Facilities Construction,		
Series Z (AGC), 6.00%, 12/15/34	1,000	1,137,430
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority,		
RB, Virtua Health (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/38	1,400	1,494,444
		2,631,874
	Par	
	(000)	Value
Municipal Bonds		
New York 5.8%		
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB,		
Fiscal 2009:		
Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/39	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,069,680
Series S-4 (AGC), 5.50%, 1/15/29	2,000	2,234,740
New York State Dormitory Authority, ERB, Series B,		
5.25%, 3/15/38	3,250	3,523,975
		6,828,395
Ohio 1.4%		
Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, Refunding		
RB, Summa Health System, 2010 Project (AGC),		

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

5.25%, 11/15/40	1,650	1,665,345
Pennsylvania 1.3%		
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB, Sub-Series B (AGM), 5.25%, 6/01/39	1,455	1,528,332
Puerto Rico 1.3%		
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First Sub-Series A, 6.38%, 8/01/39	1,425	1,573,257
Texas 20.4%		
City of Austin Texas, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM):		
5.00%, 11/15/28	720	770,796
5.00%, 11/15/29	915	973,240
City of Dallas Texas, Refunding RB (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/38	850	882,827
City of Houston Texas, Refunding RB, Combined, First Lien, Series A (AGC):		
6.00%, 11/15/35	2,700	3,107,565
6.00%, 11/15/36	2,055	2,363,558
5.38%, 11/15/38	1,000	1,088,640
County of Bexar Texas, RB, Venue Project, Motor Vehicle Rental (BHAC):		
5.00%, 8/15/27	1,040	1,111,635
5.00%, 8/15/28	1,090	1,155,836
5.00%, 8/15/39	880	910,598
Frisco ISD Texas, GO, School Building (AGC), 5.50%, 8/15/41	1,210	1,319,699
Harris County Health Facilities Development Corp., Refunding RB, Memorial Hermann Healthcare System, Series B, 7.25%, 12/01/35	500	568,935
Lower Colorado River Authority, Refunding RB, LCRA Transmission Services Project (AGC), 5.50%, 5/15/36	1,155	1,229,393
Lubbock Cooper ISD Texas, GO, School Building (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/42	500	539,495
North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, System, First Tier, Series K-1 (AGC), 5.75%, 1/01/38	1,400	1,524,530
North Texas Tollway Authority, Refunding RB, System, First Tier, Series A:		
(AGC), 5.75%, 1/01/40	1,500	1,618,080
(NPFGC), 5.13%, 1/01/28	3,500	3,603,180
Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., Refunding RB, Christus Health, Series A (AGC),		

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

6.50%, 7/01/37	1,100	1,207,448
		23,975,455
Utah 1.5%		
City of Riverton Utah, RB, IHC Health Services Inc.,		
5.00%, 8/15/41	1,670	1,708,995
Virginia 1.1%		
Virginia Public School Authority, RB, School Financing,		
6.50%, 12/01/35	1,100	1,277,155
Total Municipal Bonds 126.5%		148,454,371

See Notes to Financial Statements.

20 ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Investment Fund (MFT)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds Transferred to	Par	Value
Tender Option Bond Trusts (a)	(000)	
Alabama 1.3%		
Mobile Board of Water & Sewer Commissioners, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 1/01/31	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,528,440
California 2.2%		
San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002 (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/30	2,500	2,576,100
District of Columbia 0.7%		
District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, RB, Series A, 6.00%, 10/01/35	750	847,600
Florida 8.9%		
City of Jacksonville Florida, RB, Better Jacksonville (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/27	1,320	1,356,089
Hillsborough County Aviation Authority, RB, Series A, AMT (AGC), 5.50%, 10/01/38	2,499	2,555,152
Lee County Housing Finance Authority, RB, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40	1,035	1,141,802
Manatee County Housing Finance Authority, RB, Series A, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 5.90%, 9/01/40	891	952,759
South Broward Hospital District, RB, Hospital (NPFGC), 5.63%, 5/01/12 (b)	4,000	4,386,720
		10,392,522
Illinois 2.6%		
Chicago Transit Authority, Refunding RB, Federal Transit Administration Section 5309 (AGM), 5.00%, 6/01/28	2,999	3,066,603
Kentucky 0.9%		
Kentucky State Property & Building Commission, Refunding RB, Project No. 93 (AGC), 5.25%, 2/01/27	1,002	1,096,079
Nevada 3.8%		
Clark County Water Reclamation District, GO:		
Limited Tax, 6.00%, 7/01/38	2,010	2,258,737
Series B, 5.50%, 7/01/29	1,994	2,207,476
		4,466,213

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

New Jersey 1.5%

New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29	1,620	1,686,697
--	-------	-----------

New York 2.8%

New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB, Series FF-2, 5.50%, 6/15/40	1,095	1,222,406
New York State Thruway Authority, RB, Series G (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/32	2,000	2,071,280
		3,293,686

Texas 2.4%

City of San Antonio Texas, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/31	2,609	2,840,654
--	-------	-----------

Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to

Tender Option Bond Trusts 27.1%		31,794,594
--	--	------------

Total Long-Term Investments

(Cost \$172,561,778) 153.6%		180,248,965
------------------------------------	--	-------------

	Shares	Value
Short-Term Securities		
FII Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.21% (c)(d)	8,124,572	\$ 8,124,572
Total Short-Term Securities		
(Cost \$8,124,572) 6.9%		8,124,572
Total Investments (Cost \$180,686,350*) 160.5%		188,373,537
Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.5%		1,710,357
Liability for Trust Certificates, Including Interest		
Expense and Fees Payable (13.8)%		(16,213,087)
Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value (48.2)%		(56,529,959)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$117,340,848

* The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of July 31, 2010, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$164,395,067
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 8,247,313
Gross unrealized depreciation	(469,130)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 7,778,183

(a) Securities represent bonds transferred to a tender option bond trust in exchange for which the Fund acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to tender option bond trusts.

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

(b) US government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.

(c) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Fund during the year, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

Affiliate	Shares Held at July 31, 2009	Net Activity	Shares Held at July 31, 2010	Income
FFI Institutional				
Tax-Exempt Fund	2,301,550	5,823,022	8,124,572	\$ 12,732

(d) Represents the current yield as of report date.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 31, 2010

21

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Investment Fund (MFT)

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments, which are as follows:

Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Fund's own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Fund's policy regarding valuation of investments and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes the inputs used as of July 31, 2010 in determining the fair valuation of the Fund's investments:

Valuation Inputs	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments in				
Securities:				
Long-Term				
Investments ¹		\$ 180,248,965		\$ 180,248,965
Short-Term				
Securities	\$ 8,124,572			8,124,572

Issuance of \$250 Million Senior Notes and Applications of Net Proceeds

On April 9, 2010, MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A. and MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, our wholly-owned subsidiaries, completed the sale of \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of 10.500% senior notes due 2018 at an offering price of 98.674%. Net proceeds from the notes offering were \$239.6 million which represents \$250 million of principal amount net of \$3.3 million of original issue discount and \$7.1 million of debt issuance costs, including professional fees. Of the \$239.6 million of net proceeds, \$130.7 million was used to make a distribution to our unitholders and \$61.8 million was used to repay all outstanding borrowings under our term loan. The remaining proceeds were retained to fund working capital and for general corporate purposes.

Table of Contents

	Audited Historical		Unaudited	
	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Predecessor Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Adjustments	Pro Forma Year Ended December 31, 2009
	(In millions, except per common unit/share data)			
Condensed Pro Forma Statements of Operations:				
Net sales	\$ 111.1	\$ 449.0	\$	\$ 560.1
Cost of sales	90.4	311.1	(22.7)(1)(2)	378.9
Gross profit	20.7	137.8		181.2
Selling, general and administrative expenses	14.5	56.3	0.8(1)	71.6
Research and development expenses	14.7	56.1	6.4(1)	77.3
Restructuring and impairment charges		0.4		0.4
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations	(8.6)	25.0		31.9
Interest expense, net	1.3	31.2	(3.7)(3)	28.7
Foreign currency gain, net	9.3	43.4		52.8
Reorganization items, net		804.6	(804.6)(4)	
	8.1	816.8		24.1
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(0.5)	841.8		55.9
Income tax expenses	1.9	7.3	(5)	9.2
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (2.5)	\$ 834.5		\$ 46.7
Dividends accrued on preferred unit		6.3	(6.3)(6)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common unit/share	\$ (2.5)	\$ 828.2	\$	\$ 46.7
Per common unit / share data:(7)				
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations per common unit / share Basic and diluted	\$ (0.01)	\$ 15.65		\$
Weighted average number of common units/shares Basic and diluted	300.863	52.923		

Table of Contents

	Audited Historical As of December 31, 2009	Adjustments (In millions, except common unit/share data)	Unaudited Pro Forma As of December 31, 2009
Condensed Pro Forma Balance Sheet:			
Assets			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 64.9	47.1(8)	\$ 112.1
Accounts receivables, net	74.2		74.2
Inventories, net	63.4		63.4
Other	19.5		19.5
Total current assets	222.1		269.2
Property, plant and equipment, net	156.3		156.3
Intangible assets, net	50.2		50.2
Other non-current assets	24.8	7.1(9)	31.9
Total assets	\$ 453.3		\$ 507.6
Liabilities and Unitholders / Stockholders Equity			
Current liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ 59.7		\$ 59.7
Other accounts payable	7.2		7.2
Accrued expenses	22.1		22.1
Current portion of long-term debt	0.6	(0.6)(10)	0.0
Other current liabilities	3.9		3.9
Total current liabilities	93.6		92.9
Long-term borrowings	61.1	(61.1)(10) 246.7(10)	246.7
Accrued severance benefits, net	72.4		72.4
Other non-current liabilities	10.5		10.5
Total liabilities	237.6		422.6
Commitments and contingencies			
Unitholders / stockholders equity			
Common units; 375,000,000 units authorized, 307,083,996 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009, actual, 0 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009, pro forma	55.1	(55.1)(11)	
Common stock; shares authorized, 0 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009, actual, shares issued and outstanding at		(11)	

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

December 31, 2009, pro forma			
Additional paid-in capital	168.7	(11)(12)	
Accumulated deficit	(2.0)		(2.0)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)	(6.2)		(6.2)
Total unitholders / stockholders equity	215.7		85.0
Total liabilities and unitholders / stockholders equity \$	453.3		\$ 507.6

Table of Contents

Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information

(1) To reflect the net change in historical cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expenses and research and development expenses of the predecessor company due to the application of fresh-start accounting as of January 1, 2009 which resulted in a reduction of \$13.9 million of tangible assets and an increase of \$28.3 million in intangible assets. The corresponding change in depreciation and amortization would have been a decrease in depreciation expense for tangible assets by \$7.4 million for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and an increase in amortization expense for intangible assets by \$9.1 million for the same period. The useful lives were determined for each tangible asset, which are depreciated on a straight-line basis and range from two to 35 years with a weighted average useful life of 14 years. Technology and customer relationships are amortized on a straight-line basis over one-half to five years based on expected benefit periods. Patents, trademarks and property use rights are amortized on a straight-line basis over the periods of benefits for four years. The estimated useful life of tangibles and intangibles were determined based on expected benefits and/or economic availability for service periods. The aggregate depreciation and amortization expense was allocated to cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expenses and research and development expenses by (\$5.4) million, \$0.8 million, and \$6.4 million, respectively, in respect of the purpose of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets.

(2) To eliminate the one-time impact on cost of sales associated with the step up of our inventory of \$17.9 million, of which \$17.2 million was charged to cost of sales during the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, applying the first in, first out method, or FIFO. This adjustment is considered a material non-recurring adjustment and as such is being eliminated from the unaudited pro forma statements of operations.

(3) To eliminate interest expense of \$30.8 million of which \$29.6 million was incurred on our indebtedness outstanding prior to our reorganization proceedings which was recognized in the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and \$1.2 million was incurred on our new term loan of \$61.8 million which was recognized in the two-month period ended December 31, 2009. The \$29.6 million incurred on our indebtedness outstanding prior to our reorganization proceedings was comprised of \$21.6 million incurred on notes of \$750.0 million and \$8.0 million incurred under the senior secured credit facility of \$95.0 million which was recognized in the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009. In addition, the pro forma adjustment assumes the 10.500% senior notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$250.0 million, issued on April 9, 2010, were outstanding as of January 1, 2009. The resulting additional interest expense from our 10.500% senior notes would have been \$27.1 million using the effective interest rate method.

(4) To reflect the elimination of the impact of the reorganization items, net recorded in the predecessor period in accordance with ASC 852 upon emergence from the reorganization proceedings, assumed to have occurred January 1, 2009 for the unaudited pro forma statement of operations. As such no adjustment for reorganization items should be reflected.

(5) We believe that the pro forma adjustments related to the reorganization proceedings and adoption of fresh-start reporting and the issuance of \$250 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes and the application of the net proceeds should not have an impact on income tax expense for 2009. Those pro forma adjustments which would have income tax impacts, such as increase or decrease in depreciation and amortization expenses and decrease in interest expenses, net are primarily related to our foreign subsidiaries that have sufficient amounts of operating loss carry forwards and, accordingly, such pro forma adjustments will have no income tax impact.

In addition, we believe that there would be no income tax impact from the corporate conversion and the change in tax status to a corporation. The corporate conversion does not impact MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC's operating structure which is a holding company without its own revenue or income generating activities with a history of consecutive losses. Accordingly, the converted MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation is expected to have minimal

net taxable income or loss in 2009 and in subsequent years and therefore any tax consequences would be immaterial.

Table of Contents

Consequently, even if the corporate conversion had occurred as of January 1 2009, we would expect that any tax consequences would have been immaterial.

(6) To eliminate dividends accrued on preferred units, cancelled in connection with our emergence from reorganization proceedings, in the amount of \$6.3 million as of October 25, 2009.

(7) Basic and diluted pro forma income per common unit/share from continuing operations reflects (a) the impact from the implementation of our plan of reorganization which represents the cancellation of our old common units and issuance of new common units, (b) the consummation of the corporate conversion of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and the effectiveness of our certificate of incorporation, which is expected to occur prior to the closing of this offering and (c) the automatic conversion of all of the outstanding common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC for shares of our common stock at a ratio of . The following table sets forth the computation of unaudited pro forma basic and diluted income per common unit/share from continuing operations:

	Weighted Average Common Units/ Shares		Earnings per Common Unit/Share from Continuing Operations
Historical ten-month period ended October 25, 2009	52,923,483	\$	15.65
Historical two-month period ended December 31, 2009	300,862,764		(0.01)
Pro forma adjustment for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 in conjunction with the implementation of the Plan of Reorganization	(53,625,516)		
Pro forma for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 before the impacts from the corporate conversion	300,160,731		
Pro forma adjustment for the corporate conversion			
Pro forma for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009		\$	

(8) To reflect \$47.1 million of increase in cash and cash equivalents which represents a portion of the net proceeds from the issuance of \$250 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes applied to fund working capital and for general corporate purpose.

(9) To reflect \$7.1 million of debt issuance costs in connection with the offering of \$250 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes.

(10) To reflect the issuance of \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes with \$3.3 million of original issue discount and application of \$61.8 million of net proceeds to repay our existing term loan of \$61.8 million of which \$0.6 million was classified as short-term as of December 31, 2009.

(11) To reflect the change in the capitalization structure of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC upon its conversion to a corporation by an automatic conversion of all of the outstanding common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC for shares of our common stock at a ratio of , upon the corporate conversion.

(12) To reflect the application of \$130.7 million of the net proceeds from the issuance of \$250 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes to make a distribution to our unitholders.

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Selected Historical Consolidated Financial and Operating Data and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus. This discussion and analysis contains, in addition to historical information, forward-looking statements that include risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth under the heading Risk Factors and elsewhere in this prospectus.

Overview

We are a Korea-based designer and manufacturer of analog and mixed-signal semiconductor products for high-volume consumer applications. We believe we have one of the broadest and deepest analog and mixed-signal semiconductor technology platforms in the industry, supported by our 30-year operating history, large portfolio of approximately 2,550 novel registered patents and 1,050 pending novel patent applications and extensive engineering and manufacturing process expertise. Our business is comprised of three key segments: Display Solutions, Power Solutions and Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. Our Display Solutions products include display drivers that cover a wide range of flat panel displays and multimedia devices. Our Power Solutions products include discrete and integrated circuit solutions for power management in high-volume consumer applications. Our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment provides specialty analog and mixed-signal foundry services for fabless semiconductor companies that serve the consumer, computing and wireless end markets.

Our wide variety of analog and mixed-signal semiconductor products and manufacturing services combined with our deep technology platform allows us to address multiple high-growth end markets and to rapidly develop and introduce new products and services in response to market demands. Our substantial manufacturing operations in Korea and design centers in Korea and Japan place us at the core of the global consumer electronics supply chain. We believe this enables us to quickly and efficiently respond to our customers' needs and allows us to better service and capture additional demand from existing and new customers.

To maintain and increase our profitability, we must accurately forecast trends in demand for consumer electronics products that incorporate semiconductor products we produce. We must understand our customers' needs as well the likely end market trends and demand in the markets they serve. We must balance the likely manufacturing utilization demand of our product businesses and foundry business to optimize our facilities utilization. We must also invest in relevant research and development activities and manufacturing capacity and purchase necessary materials on a timely basis to meet our customers' demand while maintaining our target margins and cash flow.

The semiconductor markets in which we participate are highly competitive. The prices of our products tend to decrease regularly over their useful lives, and such price decreases can be significant as new generations of products are introduced by us or our competitors. We strive to offset the impact of declining selling prices for existing products through cost reductions and the introduction of new products that command selling prices above the average selling price of our existing products. In addition, we seek to manage our inventories and manufacturing capacity so as to mitigate the risk of losses from product obsolescence.

Demand for our products and services is driven primarily by overall demand for consumer electronics products and can be adversely affected by periods of weak consumer spending or by market share losses by our customers. To mitigate the impact of market volatility on our business, we seek to address market segments and geographies with

higher growth rates than the overall consumer electronics industry. For example, in recent years, we have experienced increasing demand

Table of Contents

from OEMs and consumers in China and Taiwan relative to overall demand for our products and services. We expect to derive a meaningful portion of our growth from growing demand in such markets. We also expect that new competitors will emerge in these markets that may place increased pressure on the pricing for our products and services, but we believe that we will be able to successfully compete based upon our higher quality products and services and that the impact from the increased competition will be more than offset by increased demand arising from such markets. Further, we believe we are well-positioned competitively as a result of our long operating history, existing manufacturing capacity and our Korea-based operations.

Within our Display Solutions and Power Solutions segments, net sales are driven by design wins in which we or another company is selected by an electronics OEM or other potential customer to supply its demand for a particular product. A customer will often have more than one supplier designed in to multi-source components for a particular product line. Once designed in, we often specify the pricing of a particular product for a set period of time, with periodic discussions and renegotiations of pricing with our customers. In any given period, our net sales depend heavily upon the end-market demand for the goods in which our products are used, the inventory levels maintained by our customers and in some cases, allocation of demand for components for a particular product among selected qualified suppliers.

Within the Semiconductor Manufacturing Services business, net sales are driven by customers' decisions on which manufacturing services provider to use for a particular product. Most of our semiconductor manufacturing services customers are fabless and depend upon service providers like us to manufacture their products. A customer will often have more than one supplier of manufacturing services; however, they tend to allocate a majority of manufacturing volume to one of their suppliers. We strive to be the primary supplier of manufacturing services to our customers. Once selected as a primary supplier, we often specify the pricing of a particular service on a per wafer basis for a set period of time, with periodic discussions and renegotiations of pricing with our customers. In any given period, our net sales depend heavily upon the end-market demand for the goods in which the products we manufacture for customers are used, the inventory levels maintained by our customers and in some cases, allocation of demand for manufacturing services among selected qualified suppliers.

In contrast to fabless semiconductor companies, our internal manufacturing capacity provides us with greater control over manufacturing costs and the ability to implement process and production improvements which can favorably impact gross profit margins. Our internal manufacturing capacity also allows for better control over delivery schedules, improved consistency over product quality and reliability and improved ability to protect intellectual property from misappropriation. However, having internal manufacturing capacity exposes us to the risk of under-utilization of manufacturing capacity which results in lower gross profit margins, particularly during downturns in the semiconductor industry.

Our products and services require investments in capital equipment. Analog and mixed-signal manufacturing facilities and processes are typically distinguished by the design and process implementation expertise rather than the use of the most advanced equipment or leading-edge geometries. As a result, our manufacturing base and strategy does not require substantial investment in leading edge process equipment, allowing us to utilize our facilities and equipment over an extended period of time with moderate required capital investments. Generally, incremental capacity expansions in our segment of the market result in more moderate industry capacity expansion as compared to leading edge processes. As a result, this market, and we, specifically, are less likely to experience significant industry overcapacity, which can cause product prices to plunge dramatically. In general, we seek to invest in manufacturing capacity that can be used for multiple high-value applications over an extended period of time. We believe this capital investment strategy enables us to optimize our capital investments and facilitates deeper and more diversified product and service offerings.

Table of Contents

Our success going forward will depend upon our ability to adapt to future challenges such as the emergence of new competitors for our products and services or the consolidation of current competitors. Additionally, we must innovate to remain ahead of, or at least rapidly adapt to, technological breakthroughs that may lead to a significant change in the technology necessary to deliver our products and services. We believe that our established relationships and close collaboration with leading customers, such as LG Display, Sharp, and Samsung, enhance our visibility into new product opportunities, market and technology trends and improve our ability to meet these challenges successfully. In our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services business, we strive to maintain competitiveness and our position as a primary manufacturing services provider to our customers by offering high value added, unique processes, high flexibility and excellent service.

In connection with the audits of our consolidated financial statements for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and two-month period ended December 31, 2009, our independent registered public accounting firm has reported two control deficiencies which represent a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting. The two control deficiencies that our independent registered public accounting firm reported to our board of directors (as we then did not have a separate audit committee), are that we do not have a sufficient number of financial personnel with requisite financial accounting experience, and that our internal controls over non-routine transactions are not effective to ensure that accounting considerations are identified and appropriately recorded.

Recent Changes to Our Business

Beginning in the second half of 2008, we began to take steps to refocus our business strategy, enhance our operating efficiency and improve our cash flow and profitability. We restructured our continuing operations by reducing our cost structure, increasing our focus on our core, profitable technologies, products and customers, and implemented various initiatives to lower our manufacturing costs and improve our gross margins. In connection with these initiatives, we closed our Imaging Solutions business segment, which had been a source of substantial ongoing operating losses amounting to \$91.5 million and \$51.7 million in 2008 and 2007, respectively, and which required substantial ongoing capital investment. Our employee headcount has declined from 3,648 as of the end of July 2008 to 3,156 at the end of 2009. As a result of these actions, we were able to reduce our costs and improve our margins. Although our goal is to continue to focus on lower costs and improved margins on an ongoing basis, we expect that the financial benefits derived from our ongoing efforts will be incremental and any such benefits may be offset by other negative factors affecting our operations.

On June 12, 2009, we filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in order to address the growing demands on our cash flow resulting from our long-term indebtedness. Our plan of reorganization went effective and we emerged from the reorganization proceeding on November 9, 2009. As a result of the plan of reorganization, our indebtedness was reduced from \$845.0 million immediately prior to the effectiveness of our plan of reorganization to \$61.8 million as of December 31, 2009.

During the first half of 2009, we instituted company-wide voluntary salary reductions, which resulted in one-time savings for our continuing operations during 2009 and which in turn contributed to the decrease in salaries and related expenses in 2009 relative to 2008. In June, we returned to our employees one-third of the amount by which their salaries had been reduced. We reinstated salaries to prior levels in July 2009.

In connection with our emergence from reorganization proceedings, we implemented fresh-start accounting in accordance with ASC 852 governing reorganizations. We elected to adopt a convenience date of October 25, 2009 (a month end for our financial reporting purposes) for application of fresh-start accounting. In accordance with ASC 852 governing reorganizations, we

Table of Contents

recorded largely non-cash reorganization income and expense items directly associated with our reorganization proceedings including professional fees, the revaluation of assets, the effects of our reorganization plan and fresh-start accounting, and write-off of debt issuance costs.

In implementing fresh-start accounting, we re-measured our asset values and stated all liabilities, other than deferred taxes and severance benefits, at fair value or at the present values of the amounts to be paid using appropriate market interest rates. Our reorganization value was determined based on consideration of numerous factors and various valuation methodologies, including discounted cash flows, believed by management and our financial advisors to be representative of our business and industry. Information regarding the determination of the reorganization value and application of fresh-start accounting is included in note 3 to the consolidated financial statements of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 included elsewhere in this prospectus. In addition, under fresh-start accounting, accumulated deficit and accumulated other comprehensive income were eliminated.

Under fresh-start accounting, our inventory, net, and intangible assets, net, increased by \$17.9 million and \$28.3 million, respectively, and property, plant and equipment decreased by \$13.9 million, in each case to reflect the estimated fair value as of our emergence from our reorganization proceedings. As a result, our cost of sales for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 included \$17.2 million of additional costs from the inventory step-up. This resulted in our gross margin for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 being significantly lower than for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and prior periods. The increase in intangible assets results in higher amortization expenses following our emergence from our reorganization proceedings which are included in cost of sales, selling general and administrative expenses and research and development expenses. The decrease in property and plant and equipment results in lower depreciation expenses, which are included in cost of sales, selling general and administrative expenses and research and development expenses following our emergence from our reorganization proceedings.

As a result of the application of fresh-start accounting, our consolidated financial statements prior to and including October 25, 2009 represent the operations of our pre-reorganization predecessor company and are presented separately from the consolidated financial statements of our post-reorganization successor company. For the purposes of our discussion and analysis of our results of operations, we often refer to results of operations for 2009 on a combined basis, including both the period before (predecessor company) and after (successor company) effectiveness of the plan of reorganization. We believe this comparison provides useful information as the principal impact of the plan of reorganization was on our debt and capital structure and not on our core operations; and many of the steps taken to improve our core operations had commenced prior to the commencement of our reorganization proceedings.

On April 9, 2010, we completed the sale of \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of 10.500% senior notes due 2018. Of the \$239.6 million of net proceeds, \$130.7 million was used to make a distribution to our unitholders and \$61.8 million was used to repay all outstanding borrowings under our term loan. The remaining proceeds were retained to fund working capital and for general corporate purposes.

Business Segments

We report in three separate business segments because we derive our revenues from three principal business lines: Display Solutions, Power Solutions, and Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. We have identified these segments based on how we allocate resources and assess our performance.

Display Solutions: Our Display Solutions products include source and gate drivers and timing controllers that cover a wide range of flat panel displays used in LCD televisions and

Table of Contents

LED televisions and displays, mobile PCs and mobile communications and entertainment devices. Our display solutions support the industry's most advanced display technologies, such as LTPS and AMOLED, as well as high-volume display technologies such as TFT. Our Display Solutions business represented 50.5%, 50.5% and 46.7% of our net sales for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 (on a combined basis), 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Power Solutions: Our Power Solutions segment produces power management semiconductor products including discrete and integrated circuit solutions for power management in high-volume consumer applications. These products include MOSFETs, LED drivers, DC-DC converters, analog switches and linear regulators, such as low-dropout regulators, or LDOs. Our power solutions products are designed for applications such as mobile phones, LCD televisions, and desktop computers, and allow electronics manufacturers to achieve specific design goals of high efficiency and low standby power consumption. Going forward, we expect to continue to expand our power management product portfolio. Our Power Solutions business represented 2.2% and 0.9% of our net sales for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 (on a combined basis) and 2008, respectively.

Semiconductor Manufacturing Services: Our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment provides specialty analog and mixed-signal foundry services to fabless semiconductor companies that serve the consumer, computing and wireless end markets. We manufacture wafers based on our customers' product designs. We do not market these products directly to end customers but rather supply manufactured wafers and products to our customers to market to their end customers. We offer approximately 200 process flows to our manufacturing services customers. We also often partner with key customers to jointly develop or customize specialized processes that enable our customers to improve their products and allow us to develop unique manufacturing expertise. Our manufacturing services are targeted at customers who require differentiated, specialty analog and mixed-signal process technologies such as high voltage CMOS, embedded memory and power. These customers typically serve high-growth and high-volume applications in the consumer, computing and wireless end markets. Our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services business represented 46.7%, 47.7% and 45.2% of our net sales for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 (on a combined basis), 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Additional Business Metrics Evaluated by Management

Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income

We use the terms Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income throughout this prospectus. Adjusted EBITDA, as we define it, is a non-GAAP measure. We define Adjusted EBITDA as net income (loss) less income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes excluding (i) depreciation and amortization associated with continuing operations, (ii) interest expense, net, (iii) income tax expense, (iv) restructuring and impairment charges, (v) other restructuring charges, (vi) abandoned IPO expenses, (vii) subcontractor claim settlement, (viii) reorganization items, net, (ix) the increase in cost of sales resulting from the fresh-start inventory accounting step-up, (x) equity-based compensation expense, and (xi) foreign currency gain (loss), net.

We define Adjusted Net Income as net income (loss) less income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes excluding (i) restructuring and impairment charges, (ii) other restructuring charges, (iii) reorganization items, net, (iv) the increase in cost of sales resulting from the fresh-start inventory accounting step-up, (v) equity-based compensation expense, (vi) amortization of intangibles, and (vii) foreign currency gain (loss), net.

Table of Contents

We present Adjusted EBITDA as a supplemental measure of our performance because:

Adjusted EBITDA eliminates the impact of a number of items that may be either one time or recurring that we do not consider to be indicative of our core ongoing operating performance;

we believe that Adjusted EBITDA is an enterprise level performance measure commonly reported and widely used by analysts and investors in our industry;

we anticipate that our investor and analyst presentations after we are public will include Adjusted EBITDA; and

we believe that Adjusted EBITDA provides investors with a more consistent measurement of period to period performance of our core operations, as well as a comparison of our operating performance to companies in our industry.

We use Adjusted EBITDA in a number of ways, including:

for planning purposes, including the preparation of our annual operating budget;

to evaluate the effectiveness of our enterprise level business strategies;

in communications with our board of directors concerning our consolidated financial performance; and

in certain of our compensation plans as a performance measure for determining incentive compensation payments.

In evaluating Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income, you should be aware that in the future we may incur expenses similar to the adjustments in our presentation of Adjusted EBITDA. Our presentation of Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income should not be construed as an inference that our future results will be unaffected by unusual or non-recurring items. Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income are not measures defined in accordance with GAAP and should not be construed as an alternative to operating income, cash flows from operating activities or net income (loss), as determined in accordance with GAAP. For additional information regarding how we calculate Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income, please see Prospectus Summary Summary Historical and Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Data.

On a pro forma basis, our Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 were \$98.7 million and \$33.7 million, respectively. Our Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income for the year ended December 31, 2008 were \$59.8 million and a loss of \$71.7 million, respectively. This improvement resulted from the appreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar as described below, our restructuring efforts and improvements in market conditions.

Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations

Net Sales. We derive a majority of our sales (net of sales returns and allowances) from three reportable segments: Display Solutions, Power Solutions and Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. Our product inventory is primarily located in Korea and is available for drop shipment globally. Outside of Korea, we maintain limited product inventory, and our sales representatives generally relay orders to our factories in Korea for fulfillment. We have strategically located our sales and technical support offices near concentrations of major customers. Our sales offices are located in Hong Kong, Japan, Korea, Taiwan, China, the United Kingdom and the United States. Our network of

authorized agents and distributors consists of agents in the United States and Europe and distributors and agents in the Asia Pacific region. Our net sales from All other consist principally of rental income and, for 2007 and to a limited extent in 2008, semiconductor processing services for one customer where we completed

Table of Contents

a limited number of process steps, rather than the entire production process, which we refer to as unit processing.

We recognize revenue when risk and reward of ownership passes to the customer either upon shipment, upon product delivery at the customer's location or upon customer acceptance, depending on the terms of the arrangement. For the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009, we sold products to over 185 customers, and our net sales to our ten largest customers represented 69% of our aggregate 2009 net sales. We have a combined production capacity of over 131,000 eight-inch equivalent semiconductor wafers per month. We believe our large-scale, cost-effective fabrication facilities enable us to rapidly adjust our production levels to meet shifts in demand by our end customers.

Gross Profit. Our overall gross profit generally fluctuates as a result of changes in overall sales volumes and in the average selling prices of our products and services. Other factors that influence our gross profit include changes in product mix, the introduction of new products and services and subsequent generations of existing products and services, shifts in the utilization of our manufacturing facilities and the yields achieved by our manufacturing operations, changes in material, labor and other manufacturing costs and variation in depreciation expense. Gross profit varies by our operating segments. For 2009, our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment utilized approximately 60% of our manufacturing capacity.

Average Selling Prices. Average selling prices for our products tend to be highest at the time of introduction of new products which utilize the latest technology and tend to decrease over time as such products mature in the market and are replaced by next generation products. We strive to offset the impact of declining selling prices for existing products through our product development activities and by introducing new products that command selling prices above the average selling price of our existing products. In addition, we seek to manage our inventories and manufacturing capacity so as to preclude losses from product and productive capacity obsolescence.

Material Costs. Our cost of sales consists of costs of raw materials, such as silicon wafers, chemicals, gases and tape, packaging supplies, equipment maintenance and depreciation expenses. We use processes that require specialized raw materials, such as silicon wafers, that are generally available from a limited number of suppliers. If demand increases or supplies decrease, the costs of our raw materials could significantly increase.

Labor Costs. A significant portion of our employees are located in Korea. Under Korean labor laws, most employees and certain executive officers with one or more years of service are entitled to severance benefits upon the termination of their employment based on their length of service and rate of pay. As of December 31, 2009, approximately 98% of our employees were eligible for severance benefits. We have in the past implemented temporary reductions in salaries to manage through downturns in the industry. We expect to and have reversed such temporary reductions when business conditions improve.

Depreciation Expense. We periodically evaluate the carrying values of long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment and intangible assets, as well as the related depreciation periods. At December 31, 2009, we depreciated our property, plant and equipment using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of our assets. Depreciation rates vary from 30-40 years on buildings to five years for certain equipment and assets. Our evaluation of carrying values is based on various analyses including cash flow and profitability projections. If our projections indicate that future undiscounted cash flows are not sufficient to recover the carrying values of the related long-lived assets, the carrying value of the assets is impaired and will be reduced, with the reduction charged to expense so that the carrying value is equal to fair value.

Selling Expenses. We sell our products worldwide through a direct sales force as well as a network of sales agents and representatives to OEMs, including major branded customers and

Table of Contents

contract manufacturers, and indirectly through distributors. Selling expenses consist primarily of the personnel costs for the members of our direct sales force, a network of sales representatives and other costs of distribution. Personnel costs include base salary, benefits and incentive compensation. As incentive compensation is tied to various net sales goals, it will increase or decrease with net sales.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses consist of the costs of various corporate operations, including finance, legal, human resources and other administrative functions. These expenses primarily consist of payroll-related expenses, consulting and other professional fees and office facility-related expenses. Historically, our selling, general and administrative expenses have remained relatively constant as a percentage of net sales, and we expect this trend to continue in the future.

Research and Development. The rapid technological change and product obsolescence that characterize our industry require us to make continuous investments in research and development. Product development time frames vary but, in general, we incur research and development costs one to two years before generating sales from the associated new products. These expenses include personnel costs for members of our engineering workforce, cost of photomasks, silicon wafers and other non-recurring engineering charges related to product design. Additionally, we develop base-line process technology through experimentation and through the design and use of characterization wafers that help achieve commercially feasible yields for new products. The majority of research and development expenses are for process development that serves as a common technology platform for all of our product segments. Consequently, we do not allocate these expenses to individual segments. Although our research and development expenses declined significantly from 2008 to 2009, we expect such expenses to increase in 2010 and future periods and to remain a relatively constant percentage of our net sales as we continue to increase our investments in research and development to develop additional products and expand our business.

Restructuring and Impairment Charges. We evaluate the recoverability of certain long-lived assets on a periodic basis or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. In our efforts to improve our overall profitability in future periods, we have closed or otherwise impaired, and may in the future close or impair, facilities that are underutilized and that are no longer aligned with our long-term business goals. For example, in 2007 we closed our five-inch fabrication facilities in Gumi, Korea and in 2008 we discontinued our Imaging Solutions business segment.

Interest Expense, Net. Our interest expense was incurred under the Predecessor Company's senior secured credit facility, the Predecessor Company's second priority senior secured notes and senior subordinated notes and the Successor Company's new term loan under the Successor Company. Our new term loan bore interest at six-month LIBOR plus 12%, and was minimally offset by interest income on cash balances. In April 2010, we repaid our new term loan with a portion of the proceeds from our sale of \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of 10.500% senior notes due 2018. As a result of our reorganization, we expect that our interest expense will decrease in amount and as a percentage of net sales relative to historical periods.

Impact of Foreign Currency Exchange Rates on Reported Results of Operations. Historically, a portion of our revenues and greater than the majority of our operating expenses and costs of sales have been denominated in non-U.S. currencies, principally the Korean won, and we expect that this will remain true in the future. Because we report our results of operations in U.S. dollars, changes in the exchange rate between the Korean won and the U.S. dollar could materially impact our reported results of operations and distort period to period comparisons. In particular, because of the difference in the amount of our consolidated revenues and expenses that are in U.S. dollars relative to Korean won, depreciation in the U.S. dollar relative to the Korean won could result in a material increase in reported costs relative to revenues, and therefore could cause our profit margins and operating income (loss) from continuing operations to appear to decline

Table of Contents

materially, particularly relative to prior periods. The converse is true if the U.S. dollar were to appreciate relative to the Korean won. As a result of such foreign currency fluctuations, it could be more difficult to detect underlying trends in our business and results of operations. In addition, to the extent that fluctuations in currency exchange rates cause our results of operations to differ from our expectations or the expectations of our investors, the trading price of our stock could be adversely affected.

For periods ending on or prior to October 25, 2009, we converted our non-U.S. revenues and expenses into U.S. dollars based on cumulative average exchange rates over the periods presented. Beginning on October 25, 2009, we convert our non-U.S. revenues and expenses into U.S. dollars based on monthly average exchange rates. The following table provides the cumulative average exchange rates that we used to convert Korean won into U.S. dollars for each of the periods ending on our prior to October 25, 2009, as well as the monthly average exchange rates used for the two months ended December 31, 2009:

Period	Rate
Year ended December 31, 2007	928:1
Year ended December 31, 2008	1,098:1
Ten-month period ended October 25, 2009	1,302:1
Two-month period ended December 31, 2009	
November	1,172:1
December	1,165:1

As a result of the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar from 2007 to 2008 and from 2008 to 2009, foreign currency fluctuations generally had a materially beneficial impact on our reported profit margins and operating income (loss) from continuing operations for such periods. In order to provide more detailed information regarding the impact of foreign currency fluctuations on our results of operations, in our discussion of period to period comparisons under the heading Results of Operations, we have included information regarding the impact of the year-to-year change in the Korean won/U.S. dollar exchange rate. The information presented, which is described as the impact of the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar, represents the change in net sales or expense reported by our main operating subsidiary located in Korea measured based on its functional currency of Korean won for the periods presented adjusted by the change in the exchange rate from the average exchange rate during the prior period to the average exchange rate during the current period. A substantial portion of the net sales recorded at our Korean subsidiary are in U.S. dollars and are converted into Korean won for reporting purposes at the subsidiary level. Although this approach does not reflect the fluctuations of the currency exchange rates during the course of the year on a transaction by transaction basis, we believe that it provides a useful indication of the magnitude of the exchange rate impact for the periods presented.

From time to time, we may engage in exchange rate hedging activities in an effort to mitigate the impact of exchange rate fluctuations. For example, in January 2010 our Korean subsidiary entered into foreign currency option and forward contracts in order to mitigate a portion of the impact of U.S. dollar-Korean won exchange rate fluctuations on our operating results. These option and forward contracts require us to sell specified notional amounts in U.S. dollars and provide us the option to sell specified notional amounts in U.S. dollars during each month of 2010 commencing February 2010 to our counterparty, in each case, in exchange for Korean won at specified fixed exchange rates. Obligations under these foreign currency option and forward contracts must be cash collateralized if our exposure exceeds certain specified thresholds. These option and forward contracts may be terminated by the counterparty in a number of circumstances, including if our long-term debt rating falls below B-/B3 or if our total cash and cash equivalents is less than \$12.5 million at the end of a fiscal quarter. For further information regarding the derivative financial instruments, see note 28 to the

Table of Contents

consolidated financial statements of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 elsewhere in this prospectus.

Foreign Currency Gain or Loss. Foreign currency translation gains or losses on transactions by us or our subsidiaries in a currency other than our or our subsidiaries' functional currency are included in our statements of operations as a component of other income (expense). A substantial portion of this net foreign currency gain or loss relates to non-cash translation gain or loss related to the principal balance of intercompany borrowings at our Korean subsidiary that are denominated in U.S. dollars. This gain or loss results from fluctuations in the exchange rate between the Korean won and U.S. dollar.

Income Taxes. We record our income taxes in each of the tax jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves using an asset and liability approach whereby deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded for differences in the financial reporting bases and tax bases of our assets and liabilities. We exercise significant management judgment in determining our provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities. We periodically evaluate our deferred tax assets to ascertain whether it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized. Our income tax expense has been low in absolute dollars and as a percentage of net sales principally due to the availability of tax loss carry-forwards and we expect such rate to remain low for at least the next few years.

Our operations are subject to income and transaction taxes in Korea and in multiple foreign jurisdictions. Significant estimates and judgments are required in determining our worldwide provision for income taxes. Some of these estimates are based on interpretations of existing tax laws or regulations. The ultimate amount of tax liability may be uncertain as a result.

Capital Expenditures. We invest in manufacturing equipment, software design tools and other tangible and intangible assets for capacity expansion and technology improvement. Capacity expansions and technology improvements typically occur in anticipation of seasonal increases in demand. We typically pay for capital expenditures in partial installments with portions due on order, delivery and final acceptance. Our capital expenditures include our payments for the purchase of property, plant and equipment as well as payments for the registration of intellectual property rights.

Inventories. We monitor our inventory levels in light of product development changes and market expectations. We may be required to take additional charges for quantities in excess of demand, cost in excess of market value and product age. Our analysis may take into consideration historical usage, expected demand, anticipated sales price, new product development schedules, the effect new products might have on the sales of existing products, product age, customer design activity, customer concentration and other factors. These forecasts require us to estimate our ability to predict demand for current and future products and compare those estimates with our current inventory levels and inventory purchase commitments. Our forecasts for our inventory may differ from actual inventory use.

Principles of Consolidation. Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our company and our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

Segments. We operate in three segments: Display Solutions, Power Solutions and Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. Our Power Solutions segment began to generate net sales in the second quarter of 2008. Net sales and gross profit for the All other category primarily relate to certain business activities that do not constitute operating or reportable segments.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain information related to our operations, expressed in U.S. dollars and as a percentage of our net sales:

	Successor Company		Ten-Month Period Ended		Predecessor Company			
	Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009		October 25, 2009		2008		Years Ended December 31, 2007	
	Amount	% of net sales	Amount	% of net sales	Amount	% of net sales	Amount	% of net sales
(In millions)								
Consolidated statements of operations data:								
Net sales	\$ 111.1	100.0%	\$ 449.0	100.0%	\$ 601.7	100.0%	\$ 709.5	100.0%
Cost of sales	90.4	81.4	311.1	69.3	445.3	74.0	578.9	81.6
Gross profit	20.7	18.6	137.8	30.7	156.4	26.0	130.7	18.4
Selling, general and administrative expenses	14.5	13.1	56.3	12.5	81.3	13.5	82.7	11.7
Research and development expenses	14.7	13.3	56.1	12.5	89.5	14.9	90.8	12.8
Restructuring and impairment charges			0.4	0.1	13.4	2.2	12.1	1.7
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations	(8.6)	(7.7)	25.0	5.6	(27.7)	(4.6)	(54.9)	(7.7)
Interest expense, net	1.3	1.1	31.2	6.9	76.1	12.7	60.3	8.5
Foreign currency gain (loss), net	9.3	8.4	43.4	9.7	(210.4)	(35.0)	(4.7)	(0.7)
Reorganization items, net			804.6	179.2				
	8.1	7.3	816.8	181.9	(286.5)	(47.6)	(65.0)	(9.2)
Income (loss) continuing	(0.5)	(0.5)	841.8	187.5	(314.3)	(52.2)	(120.0)	(16.9)

operations before income taxes								
Income tax expenses	1.9	1.8	7.3	1.6	11.6	1.9	8.8	1.2
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(2.5)	(2.2)	834.5	185.9	(325.8)	(54.2)	(128.8)	(18.2)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	0.5	0.5	6.6	1.5	(91.5)	(15.2)	(51.7)	(7.3)
Net income (loss)	\$ (2.0)	(1.8)%	\$ 841.1	187.3%	\$ (417.3)	(69.4)%	\$ (180.6)	(25.4)%
Net Sales:								
Display Solutions	\$ 51.0	46.0%	\$ 231.9	51.6%	\$ 304.1	50.5%	\$ 331.7	46.7%
Power Solutions	4.7	4.3	7.6	1.7	5.4	0.9		
Semiconductor Manufacturing Services	54.8	49.3	206.7	46.0	287.1	47.7	321.0	45.2
All other	0.5	0.5	2.8	0.6	5.0	0.8	56.8	8.0
	\$ 111.1	100.0%	\$ 449.0	100.0%	\$ 601.7	100.0%	\$ 709.5	100.0%

Table of Contents**Results of Operations Comparison of Years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008**

The following table sets forth consolidated results of operations for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008:

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company				Change Amount
	Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	% of net sales	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	% of net sales	Year Ended December 31, 2008	% of net sales	
	Amount		Amount		Amount		
	(In millions)						
Net sales	\$ 111.1	100.0%	\$ 449.0	100.0%	\$ 601.7	100.0%	\$ (41.6)
Cost of sales	90.4	81.4	311.1	69.3	445.3	74.0	(43.7)
Gross profit	20.7	18.6	137.8	30.7	156.4	26.0	2.1
Selling, general and administrative expenses	14.5	13.1	56.3	12.5	81.3	13.5	(10.5)
Research and development expenses	14.7	13.3	56.1	12.5	89.5	14.9	(18.6)
Restructuring and impairment charges			0.4	0.1	13.4	2.2	(12.9)
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations	(8.6)	(7.7)	25.0	5.6	(27.7)	(4.6)	44.1
Interest expense, net	1.3	1.1	31.2	6.9	76.1	12.7	(43.7)
Foreign currency gain (loss), net	9.3	8.4	43.4	9.7	(210.4)	(35.0)	263.2
Reorganization items, net			804.6	179.2			804.6
	8.1	7.3	816.8	181.9	(286.5)	(47.6)	1,111.5
Income (loss) continuing operations before income taxes	(0.5)	(0.5)	841.8	187.5	(314.3)	(52.2)	1,155.5
Income tax expenses	1.9	1.8	7.3	1.6	11.6	1.9	(2.3)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(2.5)	(2.2)	834.5	185.9	(325.8)	(54.2)	1,157.9
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	0.5	0.5	6.6	1.5	(91.5)	(15.2)	98.6

Net income (loss) \$ (2.0) (1.8)% \$ 841.1 187.3% \$ (417.3) (69.4)% \$ 1,256.4

Net Sales

	Successor Company Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009		Predecessor Company				
	Amount	% of net sales	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009		Year Ended December 31, 2008		Change Amount
			Amount	% of net sales	Amount	% of net sales	
			(In millions)				
Display Solutions	\$ 51.0	46.0%	\$ 231.9	51.6%	\$ 304.1	50.5%	\$ (21.2)
Power Solutions	4.7	4.3	7.6	1.7	5.4	0.9	6.9
Semiconductor Manufacturing Services	54.8	49.3	206.7	46.0	287.1	47.7	(25.7)
All other	0.5	0.5	2.8	0.6	5.0	0.8	(1.7)
	\$ 111.1	100.0%	\$ 449.0	100.0%	\$ 601.7	100.0%	\$ (41.6)

Net sales were \$111.1 million for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 and \$449.0 million for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009, or \$560.1 million in aggregate, a

Table of Contents

\$41.6 million, or 6.9%, decrease, compared to \$601.7 million in 2008. Net sales generated in our three operating segments during 2009 in aggregate were \$556.7 million, a decrease of \$39.9 million, or 6.7%, from 2008. This decrease was principally due to the impact of the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar in the amount of \$61.1 million and a decrease in average selling prices of our products, both of which were partially offset by increases in product sales volume. Among our segments, net sales decreased for our Display Solutions and our Semiconductor Manufacturing Service segments which was offset in part by an increase in net sales from our Power Solutions segment.

Display Solutions. Net sales from Display Solutions were \$51.0 million for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 and \$231.9 million for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009, or \$282.9 million in aggregate, a \$21.2 million, or 7.0%, decrease from \$304.1 million for 2008. The decrease resulted from a 24.9% decrease in average selling prices, primarily from display driver products for LCD televisions, PC monitors and mobile devices. The reduction in average selling prices in 2009 resulted in part from reduced demand for consumer electronics products generally, and new products in particular, during the first half of 2009 as a result of the worldwide economic slowdown. These decreases in average selling prices were partially offset by a 24.6% increase in sales volume. Volume increased in the second half of 2009 as the consumer electronics industry began to recover from the economic slowdown as demand and shipments for consumer electronics products such as digital televisions, PCs, and smartphones increased.

Power Solutions. Net sales from Power Solutions were \$4.7 million for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 and \$7.6 million for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009, or \$12.4 million in aggregate, a \$6.9 million, or 127.6%, increase from \$5.4 million for 2008. The increase resulted from a 221.3% increase in sales volume, most of which was attributable to higher demand for MOSFET products driven by our existing and new customers. Such increases in volume were partially offset by a 29.4% decrease in average sales prices. We were able to attract new customers, largely due to MOSFET products utilized in high voltage technologies and computing solutions.

Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. Net sales from Semiconductor Manufacturing Services were \$54.8 million for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 and \$206.7 million for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009, or \$261.4 million in aggregate, a \$25.7 million, or 8.9%, decrease compared to net sales of \$287.1 million for 2008. This decrease was primarily due to a 0.5% decrease in sales volume and 3.4% decrease in average selling price of eight-inch equivalent wafers given decreased market demand for such products.

All other. Net sales from All other were \$0.5 million for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 and \$2.8 million for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009, or \$3.3 million in aggregate compared to \$5.0 million for 2008. This decrease of \$1.7 million, or 33.6%, resulted from lower rental income due to the relocation of one of the lessees of one of our buildings.

Table of Contents**Net Sales by Geographic Region**

The following table sets forth our net sales by geographic region and the percentage of total net sales represented by each geographic region for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008:

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company				
	Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	% of net sales	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	% of net sales	Year Ended December 31, 2008	% of net sales	Change
	Amount		Amount		Amount		Amount
	(In millions)						
Korea	\$ 62.2	56.0%	\$ 244.3	54.4%	\$ 301.0	50.0%	\$ 5.5
Asia Pacific	25.6	23.0	116.9	26.0	144.5	24.0	(2.0)
Japan	6.5	5.8	31.6	7.0	79.9	13.3	(41.8)
North America	14.9	13.4	48.5	10.8	61.3	10.2	2.0
Europe	1.9	1.7	7.7	1.7	14.9	2.5	(5.4)
	\$ 111.1	100.0%	\$ 449.0	100.0%	\$ 601.7	100.0%	\$ (41.6)

Net sales in Japan in 2009 declined as a percentage of total net sales principally as a result of declines in customer sales relating to electronic games due to the overall slowness in that market.

Gross Profit

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company				
	Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	% of net sales	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	% of net sales	Year Ended December 31, 2008	% of net sales	Change
	Amount		Amount		Amount		Amount
	(In millions)						
Display Solutions	\$ 8.7	17.1%	\$ 61.8	26.6%	\$ 57.4	18.9%	\$ 13.1
Power Solutions	0.7	15.5	1.4	18.8	(4.3)	(78.6)	6.4
Semiconductor Manufacturing Services	10.7	19.5	71.8	34.8	98.4	34.3	(15.9)
All other	0.5	100.0	2.8	100.0	4.9	97.3	(1.6)

\$ 20.7	18.6%	\$ 137.8	30.7%	\$ 156.4	26.0%	\$ 2.1
---------	-------	----------	-------	----------	-------	--------

Total gross profit was \$20.7 million for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 and \$137.8 million for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009, or \$158.5 million in aggregate as compared to \$156.4 million for 2008, a \$2.1 million, or 1.3%, increase. Gross margin, or gross profit as a percentage of net sales, in 2009 was 28.3%, an increase of 2.3% from 26.0% for the year ended December 31, 2008. This increase in gross margin was primarily attributable to a \$2.7 million favorable impact resulting from the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar. These increases were partially offset by increases in sales volume and lower average selling prices and the impact of a \$17.2 million increase in our cost of sales as a result of the write-up of our inventory in accordance with the principles of fresh-start accounting upon the consummation of our reorganization proceedings. Cost of sales for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 decreased by \$43.7 million compared to 2008. The decreases in cost of sales were primarily due to a

Table of Contents

\$63.2 million favorable impact resulting from the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar, a \$10.2 million decrease in labor costs and a \$3.2 million decrease in depreciation, which were partially offset by a \$20.2 million increase in material costs resulting from the increase in sales volume and a \$2.1 million increase of overhead costs. Gross margin for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 was 18.6% as compared to 30.7% for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009. Gross margin was higher in the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 compared to the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 principally due to a \$17.2 million one-time impact on cost of sales which is recorded in the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 associated with the step up of our inventory as a result of adoption of fresh-start accounting. As of December 31, 2009, \$0.7 million of the total increase in inventory valuation remained. We expect to include the remaining increase in inventory valuation in cost of sales for the quarter ending March 31, 2010. As a result, we expect gross margin in future periods to return to historical levels, excluding foreign currency fluctuation impacts.

Display Solutions. Gross margin for Display Solutions for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 improved to 24.9% compared to 18.9% for the year ended December 31, 2008 primarily due to a decrease in unit costs resulting from a 24.6% increase in sales volume compared to 2008 offset in part by lower average selling prices and the impact of the write-up of our inventory in accordance with fresh-start accounting. Cost of sales for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 decreased by \$34.3 million compared to 2008, primarily due to a \$34.1 million favorable impact resulting from the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar, a \$7.0 million decrease in labor costs and a \$3.7 million decrease in depreciation, which were partially offset by a \$12.7 million increase in material costs due to increased sales volume.

Power Solutions. Gross margin for Power Solutions for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 improved to 17.5% compared to (78.6)% for the year ended December 31, 2008 primarily due to lower unit costs resulting from the 221.3% increase in sales volume offset in part by lower average selling prices and the impact of the write-up of our inventory in accordance with fresh-start accounting. Cost of sales for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 increased by \$0.5 million compared to 2008, primarily due to a \$2.6 million increase in material costs and a \$1.1 million increase in overhead costs, which were partially offset by a \$1.3 million favorable impact resulting from the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar. Gross margin was negative in 2008 as we first began operating the segment in late 2007 and had not yet achieved sales volumes required to generate a positive gross margin.

Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. Gross margin for Semiconductor Manufacturing Services decreased to 31.6% in the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 from 34.3% in the year ended December 31, 2008. This decrease was primarily due to an overall decrease in production volume and average selling prices in an aggregate amount of \$18.2 million, partially offset by a \$2.3 million favorable impact resulting from the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar. Cost of sales for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 decreased by \$9.8 million compared to 2008, which was primarily attributable to a \$27.8 million favorable impact resulting from the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar, which was offset in part by a \$4.9 million increase in material costs and a \$10.9 million increase resulting from the step-up of our inventory valuation as a result of our adoption of fresh-start accounting.

All other. Gross margin for All other for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 increased to 100.0% from 97.3% for the year ended December 31, 2008. All net sales included in All other in 2009 represent rent revenues for which there is no cost of sales. For 2008, All other included limited revenue from unit processing which resulted in a gross margin of 97.3%.

Operating Expenses

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general, and administrative expenses were \$70.8 million, or 12.6%, of net sales for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31,

Table of Contents

2009 compared to \$81.3 million, or 13.5%, for 2008. The decrease of \$10.5 million, or 12.9%, from the prior-year period was attributable to a decrease of \$8.9 million due to the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar and a decrease of \$3.6 million due to a reduction in headcount and a short-term decrease in salaries and related expenses in connection with our cost-reduction efforts in 2009 as well as a decrease in depreciation and amortization expenses of \$4.9 million. These decreases were partially offset by a \$7.7 million increase in outside service expenses.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 were \$70.9 million, a decrease of \$18.6 million, or 20.8%, from \$89.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This decrease was due to the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar of \$9.4 million, a \$3.2 million decrease in salaries and related expenses due to lower headcount and our short-term decrease in salaries. Through our cost reduction initiatives, material costs decreased by \$4.1 million and outside service fees decreased by \$2.3 million. The remaining decrease in research and development expenses was attributable to reductions in various overhead expenses. Research and development expenses as a percentage of net sales were 12.7% in 2009, compared to 14.9% in 2008.

Restructuring and Impairment Charges. Restructuring and impairment charges decreased by \$12.9 million in the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 compared to the year ended December 31, 2008. Restructuring charges of \$0.4 million recorded in the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 were related to the closure of one of our research and development facilities in Japan. Restructuring charges of \$13.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 reflected an impairment charge of \$14.2 million as a result of the significant reduction in net sales attributable to our Display Solutions products, offset in part by an \$0.9 million reversal of unused accrued restructuring charges from prior periods.

Other Income (Expense)

Interest Expense, net. Net interest expense was \$32.4 million during the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009, a decrease of \$43.7 million compared to \$76.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Interest expense was incurred under our \$750 million principal amount of notes and our senior secured credit facility. From June 12, 2009, the date of our initial reorganization filing, to October 25, 2009, we did not accrue interest expenses related to our notes, which were categorized as liabilities subject to compromise. Upon our emergence from our reorganization proceedings, our \$750.0 million notes were discharged pursuant to the reorganization plan. Net interest expense in 2008 included a write-off of remaining debt issuance costs of \$12.3 million related to our notes since we were not compliant with certain financial covenants under the terms of our notes and therefore, amounts outstanding were reclassified as current portion of long-term debt in our balance sheet as of December 31, 2008.

Foreign Currency Gain (Loss), net. Net foreign currency gain for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 was \$52.8 million, compared to net foreign exchange loss of \$210.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. A substantial portion of our net foreign currency gain or loss is non-cash translation gain or loss recorded for intercompany borrowings at our Korean subsidiary and is affected by changes in the exchange rate between the Korean won and the U.S. dollar. Foreign currency translation gain from the intercompany borrowings was included in determining our consolidated net income since the intercompany borrowings were not considered long-term investments in nature because management intended to repay these intercompany borrowings at their respective maturity dates. The Korean won to U.S. dollar exchange rates were 1,167.6:1 and 1,262.0:1 using the first base rate as of December 31, 2009 as quoted by the Korea Exchange Bank and the noon buying rate in effect as of December 31, 2008 as quoted by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, respectively. The exchange rate quotation from the Federal Reserve Bank was available on or before December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

Reorganization items, net. Net reorganization gain of \$804.6 million in the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 represents the impact of non-cash reorganization income and expense items directly associated with our reorganization proceedings and primarily reflects the discharge of liabilities of \$798.0 million. Net reorganization gain also includes professional fees, the revaluation of assets and the write-off of debt issuance costs. These items are related primarily to our reorganization proceedings, and are not the result of our current operations. Accordingly, we do not expect these items to continue on an ongoing basis. Further information on reorganization related items is discussed in note 5 to the consolidated financial statements of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Income Tax Expenses

Income Tax Expenses. Income tax expenses for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 were \$9.2 million, compared to income tax expenses of \$11.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Income tax expense for 2009 was comprised of \$6.7 million of withholding taxes mostly paid on intercompany interest payments, \$0.8 million of current income taxes incurred in various jurisdictions in which we operate and a \$1.7 million income tax effect from the change of deferred tax assets. Due to the uncertainty of the utilization of foreign tax credits, we did not recognize these withholding taxes as deferred tax assets.

Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes

Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes. During 2008, we closed our Imaging Solutions business segment, recognizing a net loss of \$91.5 million from discontinued operations, of which \$15.9 million was from negative gross margin, \$37.5 million was from research and development cost and \$34.2 million was attributable to restructuring and impairment charges incurred during the third quarter of 2008. During the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009, we recognized net income of \$7.1 million relating to our discontinued operations, largely due to the sale of patents related to our closed Imaging Solutions business segment, which resulted in a \$8.3 million gain.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations Comparison of Years ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007**

The following table sets forth consolidated results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007:

	Predecessor Company		Predecessor Company		Change Amount
	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007	Year Ended December 31, 2007	Year Ended December 31, 2007	
	Amount	% of net sales	Amount (In millions)	% of net sales	
Net sales	\$ 601.7	100.0%	\$ 709.5	100.0%	\$ (107.8)
Cost of sales	445.3	74.0	578.9	81.6	(133.6)
Gross profit	156.4	26.0	130.7	18.4	25.8
Selling, general and administrative expenses	81.3	13.5	82.7	11.7	(1.4)
Research and development expenses	89.5	14.9	90.8	12.8	(1.4)
Restructuring and impairment charges	13.4	2.2	12.1	1.7	1.3
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations	(27.7)	(4.6)	(54.9)	(7.7)	27.2
Interest expense, net	76.1	12.7	60.3	8.5	15.8
Foreign currency gain (loss), net	(210.4)	(35.0)	(4.7)	(0.7)	(205.7)
	(286.5)	(47.6)	(65.0)	(9.2)	(221.5)
Income (loss) continuing operations before income taxes	(314.3)	(52.2)	(120.0)	(16.9)	(194.3)
Income tax expenses	11.6	1.9	8.8	1.2	2.8
Income (loss) from continuing operations, Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	(325.8)	(54.2)	(128.8)	(18.2)	(197.0)
	(91.5)	(15.2)	(51.7)	(7.3)	(39.7)
Net income (loss)	\$ (417.3)	(69.4)%	\$ (180.6)	(25.4)%	\$ (236.7)

Net Sales

	Predecessor Company		Change
	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007	
	Amount	Amount	
	% of net sales	% of net sales	

	Amount	Total	Amount (In millions)	total	Amount
Display Solutions	\$ 304.1	50.5%	\$ 331.7	46.7%	\$ (27.6)
Power Solutions	5.4	0.9			5.4
Semiconductor Manufacturing Services	287.1	47.7	321.0	45.2	(33.9)
All other	5.0	0.8	56.8	8.0	(51.8)
	\$ 601.7	100.0%	\$ 709.5	100.0%	\$ (107.8)

Net sales for the year ended December 31, 2008 decreased \$107.8 million, or 15.2%, compared to 2007. Net sales generated in our three operating segments during the year ended December 31,

Table of Contents

2008 were \$596.6 million, a decrease of \$56.1 million, or 8.6%, from the net sales for 2007, primarily due to a \$27.6 million, or 8.3%, decrease in net sales from our Display Solutions segment and a \$33.9 million, or 10.6%, decrease in net sales from our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment. Net sales from All other decreased \$51.8 million, or 91.2%, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007. Our Korean-based net sales were also lower due to a \$54.4 million unfavorable impact resulting from the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar.

Display Solutions. Net sales from our Display Solutions segment for the year ended December 31, 2008 were \$304.1 million, a \$27.6 million, or 8.3%, decrease, from \$331.7 million for 2007. The decrease resulted primarily from a 15.6% decline in average selling prices which was due to a higher percentage of our net sales of products with lower sales prices and a 4.6% decline in sales volume.

Power Solutions. Net sales from our Power Solutions segment for the year ended December 31, 2008 were \$5.4 million. No sales occurred for the year ended December 31, 2007 as our Power Solutions segment was launched in late 2007 and did not start making sales until 2008.

Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. Net sales from our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment for the year ended December 31, 2008 were \$287.1 million, a \$33.9 million, or 10.6%, decrease compared to net sales of \$321.0 million for 2007. This decrease was primarily due to a 5.5% decrease in average selling prices and 3.0% decrease in sales volume. During the fourth quarter of 2008 our net sales were adversely impacted by the worldwide economic slowdown.

All other. Net sales from All other for 2008 were \$5.0 million compared to \$56.8 million for 2007. This decrease of \$51.8 million, or 91.2%, represents the revenue decrease from our unit processing services as such services were no longer required by our sole customer for the service.

Net Sales by Geographic Region

The following table sets forth our net sales by geographic region and the percentage of total net sales represented by each geographic region for the years ended December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007:

	Predecessor Company		Predecessor Company		Change Amount
	Year Ended		Year Ended		
	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007	
	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	
(In millions)					
Korea	\$ 301.0	50.0%	\$ 404.3	57.0%	(103.3)
Asia Pacific	144.5	24.0	155.5	21.9	(11.0)
Japan	79.9	13.3	71.2	10.0	8.7
North America	61.3	10.2	58.5	8.2	2.8
Europe	14.9	2.5	20.0	2.8	(5.1)
Total net revenues	\$ 601.7	100.0%	\$ 709.5	100.0%	(107.8)

Net sales in Korea in 2008 declined as a percentage of total net sales, principally due to reduced revenue from unit processing services and the overall slowness in the semiconductor manufacturing market. The sales were also affected by lower demand for large display driver products.

Table of Contents**Gross Profit**

	Predecessor Company		Predecessor Company		Change Amount
	Year Ended December 31, 2008		Year Ended December 31, 2007		
	Amount	% of net sales	Amount (In millions)	% of net sales	
Display Solutions	\$ 57.4	18.9%	\$ 41.5	12.5%	\$ 15.9
Power Solutions	(4.3)	(78.6)			(4.3)
Semiconductor Manufacturing Services	98.4	34.3	67.1	20.9	31.3
All other	4.9	97.3	22.0	38.7	(17.1)
	\$ 156.4	26.0%	\$ 130.7	18.4%	\$ 25.8

Total gross profit increased \$25.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, or 19.7%, compared to the gross profit generated for the year ended December 31, 2007. Gross margin for the year ended December 31, 2008 was 26.0% of net sales, an increase of 7.6% from 18.4% for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase in gross margin was attributable to a \$40.8 favorable impact due to the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar and an overall decrease in unit costs which offset lower average sales prices. Cost of sales in 2008 decreased by \$133.6 million compared to 2007, primarily due to a \$80.7 million favorable impact resulting from the depreciation of Korean won against U.S. dollar, a \$17.4 million decrease in depreciation and a \$10.7 million decrease in overhead costs, which were partially offset by a \$15.3 million increase in material costs. In addition, \$34.2 million in cost of sales for unit processing services which were incurred during 2007 were not incurred in 2008 as we no longer rendered the services.

Display Solutions. Gross margin for our Display Solutions segment for the year ended December 31, 2008 increased to 18.9% compared to 12.5% for 2007. This increase was primarily due to a \$14.9 million favorable impact resulting from the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar. Cost of sales for 2008 decreased by \$43.5 million compared to 2007, which was primarily attributable to a \$44.8 million favorable impact resulting from the depreciation of Korean won against U.S. dollar and a \$5.5 million decrease in depreciation, which were offset in part by a \$6.9 million increase in material costs.

Power Solutions. Gross margin for our Power Solutions segment for the year ended December 31, 2008 was (78.6)%. This negative gross margin was due to high fixed production costs per unit resulting from low production volume as we commenced sales in our Power Solutions segment in 2008.

Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. Gross margin for our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment increased to 34.3% in the year ended December 31, 2008 from 20.9% for 2007. This increase was due to a decrease in cost of sales, primarily due to a \$25.2 million favorable impact resulting from the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar. Cost of sales for 2008 decreased by \$65.2 million compared to 2007. The decrease was primarily attributable to a \$33.9 million favorable impact resulting from the depreciation of Korean won against U.S. dollar, a \$12.3 million decrease in depreciation and a \$11.3 million decrease in overhead costs, which were partially offset by a \$6.8 million increase in material costs.

All other. Gross margin for All other for the year ended December 31, 2008 increased to 97.3% from 38.7% for 2007. The improvement was primarily attributable to a decrease in sales volume for unit processing while rental revenue, for which there are no allocated cost of sales, remained comparable to the prior year.

Table of Contents

Operating Expenses

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general, and administrative expenses were \$81.3 million, or 13.5%, of net sales for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$82.7 million, or 11.7%, for 2007. The decrease of \$1.4 million, or 1.7%, was primarily attributable to a \$11.6 million favorable impact resulting from the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar and a \$3.1 million decrease in depreciation and amortization expenses. These decreases were partially offset by a \$10.9 million increase in outside service fees and a \$3.6 million increase in salaries.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 were \$89.5 million, a decrease of \$1.4 million, or 1.5%, from \$90.8 million for 2007. This decrease was primarily attributable to a \$13.5 million favorable impact resulting from the depreciation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar partially offset by a \$7.1 million increase in salaries, a \$2.2 million increase in outside service fees and a \$1.6 million increase in material costs.

Restructuring and Impairment Charges. Restructuring and impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2008 included an impairment charge of \$14.2 million related to our Display Solutions segment. During the three months ended July 1, 2007, we recognized \$2.0 million of restructuring accruals related to the closure of our five-inch wafer fabrication facilities, including termination benefits and other associated costs. Through the first quarter of 2008, actual payments of \$1.1 million were charged against the restructuring accruals. As of March 30, 2008, the restructuring activities were substantially completed and we reversed \$0.9 million of unused restructuring accruals.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, we recognized restructuring and impairment charges of \$12.1 million, which consisted of \$10.1 million of impairment charges and \$2.0 million of restructuring charges. The impairment charges recorded related to the closure of our five-inch wafer fabrication facility.

Other Income (Expense)

Interest Expense, net. Net interest expense was \$76.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$60.3 million for 2007. Interest expense was incurred to service our notes and our senior secured credit facility. At December 31, 2008, the notes and our senior secured credit facility bore interest at a weighted average interest rate of 7.14% and 7.90%, respectively. The increase in net interest expense was mainly due to a write-off of remaining debt issuance costs of \$12.3 million related to our notes as of December 31, 2008 since we were not in compliance with certain financial covenants under the terms of our notes and therefore, amounts outstanding were reclassified as current in our balance sheet as of December 31, 2008.

Foreign Currency Gain (Loss), net. Net foreign currency loss for the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$210.4 million, compared to net foreign exchange loss of \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. A substantial portion of our net foreign currency gain or loss is non-cash translation gain or loss recorded for intercompany borrowings at our Korean subsidiary and is affected by changes in the exchange rate between the Korean won and the U.S. dollar. Foreign currency translation gain from the intercompany borrowings was included in determining our consolidated net income since the intercompany borrowings were not considered long-term investments in nature because management intended to repay these intercompany borrowings at their respective maturity dates. The Korean won to U.S. dollar exchange rates were 1,262.0:1 and 935.8:1 using the noon buying rate in effect as of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively, as quoted by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

Income Tax Expenses

Income Tax Expenses. Income tax expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 were \$11.6 million, compared to income tax expenses of \$8.8 million for 2007. Income tax expenses for 2008 were comprised of \$6.1 million of withholding taxes mostly paid on intercompany interest

Table of Contents

payments, \$4.0 million of current income taxes incurred in various jurisdictions in which we operate and a \$1.5 million income tax effect from a change of deferred tax assets. Due to the uncertainty of the utilization of foreign tax credits, we did not recognize these withholding taxes as deferred tax assets.

Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes

Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes. During 2008, we closed our Imaging Solutions business segment that was classified as a discontinued operation, recognizing net losses of \$91.5 million and \$51.7 million from discontinued operations for 2008 and for 2007, respectively. Of the recorded net loss of \$91.5 million in 2008, \$15.9 million was from negative gross margin, \$37.5 million was from research and development costs and \$34.2 million was attributable to restructuring and impairment charges incurred during the third quarter of 2008.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal capital requirements are to invest in research and development and capital equipment, to make debt service payments and to fund working capital needs. We calculate working capital as current assets less current liabilities.

Our principal sources of liquidity are our cash and cash equivalents, our cash flows from operations and our financing activities, including approximately \$47.1 million of net proceeds from the \$250 million aggregate principal amount senior notes offering and a portion of the net proceeds from this offering. Although we currently anticipate these sources of liquidity will be sufficient to meet our cash needs through the next twelve months, we were cash flow negative for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 as well as for 2008 and 2007 and we may require or choose to obtain additional financing. Our ability to obtain financing will depend, among other things, on our business plans, operating performance, and the condition of the capital markets at the time we seek financing and could be adversely impacted by our 2009 reorganization proceedings and our non-compliance with bank covenants that preceded the filing. We cannot assure you that additional financing will be available to us on favorable terms when required, or at all. The current rating of our senior notes is B2 by Moody's and B+ by Standard and Poors. Any lowering of these ratings would adversely impact our ability to raise additional debt financing and increase the cost of any such financing that is obtained. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity, equity-linked or debt securities, those securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to the rights of our common stock, and our stockholders may experience dilution. If we need to raise additional funds in the future and are unable to do so or obtain additional financing on unfavorable terms in the future, it is possible we would have to limit certain planned activities including sales and marketing and research and development activities. As of December 31, 2009, our cash and cash equivalents balance was \$64.9 million, a \$49.1 million increase from our cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash balance of \$15.8 million as of December 31, 2008. The increase in cash and cash equivalents for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 was primarily attributable to a cash inflow of \$41.5 million from operating activities, coupled with a cash inflow of \$11.5 million from investing activities.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Cash flows generated by operating activities totaled \$41.5 million in the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$18.4 million of cash used in operating activities in 2008. This increase in cash flows was primarily attributable to income from continuing operations which improved due to the restructuring of our operations and our reorganization plan as described above. The net operating cash inflow for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 principally reflected our net income of \$839.1 million adjusted by non-cash charges of \$799.4 million, which mainly consisted of non-cash reorganization items derived from our reorganization plan.

Table of Contents

In 2008, cash flows used in operating activities totaled \$18.4 million, compared to \$23.7 million in 2007. The decrease was primarily driven by lower operating results adjusted by non-cash charges, which mainly consisted of depreciation and amortization charges and loss on foreign currency translation.

Our working capital balance as of December 31, 2009 was \$128.5 million, compared to negative \$814.5 million as of December 31, 2008. The significant increase in our working capital balance was principally due to the discharge of \$750.0 million in debt recorded in current liabilities resulting from our reorganization plan in 2009 as well as cash generated from operations and investing activities.

Our working capital balance as of December 31, 2008 was negative \$814.5 million, compared to \$55.6 million as of December 31, 2007. The significant decrease in our working capital balance was mainly due to the reclassification of long-term debt to current in 2008. In addition, as a result of our operating performance in the quarter ended December 31, 2008, our cash balances, accounts receivable and inventory were significantly lower as compared to December 31, 2007.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Cash flows generated by investing activities totaled \$11.5 million in the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$39.6 million of cash used in investing activities in the 2008. In 2009, we had a decrease in capital expenditures of \$20.5 million from \$29.7 million in 2008 to \$9.2 million in the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009. In 2008, cash of \$11.8 million was restricted pursuant to the terms of a forbearance agreement in relation to short-term borrowings; in 2009, it was released from restriction in connection with our reorganization plan. Cash flow from investing activities in 2009 also included cash proceeds of \$9.4 million from the sale of intangible assets.

In 2007, cash flows used in investing activities totaled \$81.8 million, primarily due to capital expenditures of \$86.6 million related to capacity expansion and technology improvements at a fabrication facility in anticipation of sales growth in future periods. A significant portion of this capital investment was originally targeted for use by our discontinued Imaging Solutions segment and has since been repurposed for the other segments of our business, allowing us to maintain a relatively low level of capital investment in 2008 and 2009.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Cash flows provided by financing activities totaled \$2.0 million in the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$14.7 million in 2008. There were no significant financing activities in 2009 other than the repayment of short-term borrowings and the issuance of common units as part of our reorganization in 2009.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, we borrowed \$130.1 million under our senior secured credit facility which offset repayments under the same facility of \$50.1 million during the same period. At December 31, 2007, we had borrowed \$80.0 million under our senior secured credit facility and had additional letters of credit of \$15.5 million issued under the facility.

Capital Expenditures

We routinely make capital expenditures to enhance our existing facilities and reinforce our global research and development capability.

For the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009, capital expenditures were \$9.2 million, a \$20.5 million, or 69.0%, decrease from \$29.7 million in 2008.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, capital expenditures were \$29.7 million, a \$56.9 million, or 65.7%, decrease from \$86.6 million in 2007. Significant capital expenditures in 2007 were used to support capacity expansion and technology improvements at our fabrication facilities in anticipation of

Table of Contents

sales growth in future periods. Since then, these expenditures have been reduced. This year-over-year decrease was a result of managing our capital expenditure timing in order to better support the growth of our business from new customers and to optimize asset utilization and return on capital investments.

Seasonality

Our net sales and number of distinct products sold are affected by market variations from quarter to quarter due to business cycles, and resulting product demand, of our customers. Our Display Solutions business typically experiences demand increases in the third and fourth calendar quarters due to increased holiday demand for the consumer products that serve as the end markets for our products. During the first quarter, by contrast, consumer products manufacturers generally reduce orders in order to reduce excess inventory remaining from the holiday season. In our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services business, the supply-demand cycle is usually one quarter ahead of the broader semiconductor market due to lead time from wafer input to shipment to our customers, so the demand for these products tends to peak in the third quarter and is slower in the fourth and first quarters.

Contractual Obligations

The following summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2009:

	Total	2010	Payments Due by Period				2014	Thereafter
			2011	2012	2013	(In millions)		
New term loan(1)(2)	\$ 91.6	\$ 8.5	\$ 8.4	\$ 8.3	\$ 66.4	\$	\$	
Operating lease(3)	51.6	6.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	37.2	
Others(4)	11.5	4.7	4.2	2.4	0.2			

(1) Includes principal as well as interest payments.

(2) Assumes constant interest rate of 6-month LIBOR + 12% as of December 31, 2009.

(3) Assumes constant currency exchange rate for Korean won to U.S. dollars of 1,168:1.

(4) Includes license agreements and other contractual obligations.

New term loan amounts represent the scheduled maturity of debt at December 31, 2009, assuming that no early optional redemptions occur. The new term loan was repaid in full in April 2010 with a portion of the proceeds from our \$250 million senior notes offering.

The indenture relating to our \$250 million senior notes contains covenants that limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to: (i) declare or pay any dividend or make any payment or distribution on account of or purchase or redeem our capital stock or equity interests of our restricted subsidiaries; (ii) make any principal payment on, or redeem or repurchase, prior to any scheduled repayment, sinking fund payment or maturity, any subordinated indebtedness; (iii) make certain investments, including capital expenditures; (iv) incur additional indebtedness and issue certain types of capital stock; (v) create or incur any lien (except for permitted liens) that secures obligations under any indebtedness or related guarantee; (vi) merge with or into or sell all or substantially all of our assets to other companies; (vii) enter into certain types of transactions with affiliates; (viii) guarantee the payment of any

indebtedness; (ix) enter into sale-leaseback transactions; (x) enter into agreements that would restrict the ability of the restricted subsidiaries to make distributions with respect to their equity, to make loans to us or other restricted subsidiaries or to transfer assets to us or other restricted subsidiaries; and (xi) designate unrestricted subsidiaries.

We follow ASC guidance on uncertain tax positions. Our unrecognized tax benefits totaled \$2.0 million as of December 31, 2009. These unrecognized tax benefits have been excluded from the

Table of Contents

above table because we cannot estimate the period of cash settlement with the respective taxing authorities.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are exposed to the market risk that the value of a financial instrument will fluctuate due to changes in market conditions, primarily from changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. In the normal course of our business, we are subject to market risks associated with interest rate movements and currency movements on our assets and liabilities.

Foreign Currency Exposures

We have exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on net income from our subsidiaries denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars, as our foreign subsidiaries in Korea, Taiwan, China, Japan and Hong Kong use local currency as their functional currency. From time to time these subsidiaries have cash and financial instruments in local currency. The amounts held in Japan, Taiwan, Hong Kong and China are not material in regards to foreign currency movements. However, based on the cash and financial instruments balance at December 31, 2009 for our Korean subsidiary, a 10% devaluation of the Korean won against the U.S. dollar would have resulted in a decrease of \$1.2 million in our U.S. dollar financial instruments and cash balances. Based on the Japanese yen cash balance at December 31, 2009, a 10% devaluation of the Japanese yen against the U.S. dollar would have resulted in a decrease of \$0.3 million in our U.S. dollar cash balance.

Interest Rate Exposures

On April 9, 2010, we completed the sale of \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of 10.500% senior notes due 2018. The \$61.8 million of total outstanding borrowings under our term loan was repaid on the same date. The \$250 million 10.500% senior notes due 2018 are subject to changes in fair value due to interest rate changes. If the market interest rate increases by 10% and all other variables were held constant from their levels at April 9, 2010, we estimate that the fair value of this fixed rate note would decrease by \$13.6 million and we would have additional interest expense costs over the market rate of \$1.0 million (on a 360-day basis). If the market interest rate decreased by 10% and all other variables were held constant from their levels at April 9, 2010, we estimate that the fair value of this fixed rate note would increase by \$14.6 million and we would have a reduction in interest expense costs over the market rate of \$1.2 million (on a 360-day basis).

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Preparing financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods and the related disclosures in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes.

We believe that our significant accounting policies, which are described in notes 3 and 4 to the consolidated financial statements of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 included elsewhere in this prospectus, are critical due to the fact that they involve a high degree of judgment and estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain. We base these estimates and judgments on historical experience, knowledge of current conditions and other assumptions and information that we believe to be reasonable. Estimates and assumptions about future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty. Accordingly, these estimates may change as new events occur, as more experience is acquired, as additional information is obtained and as the business environment in which we operate changes.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable Valuation

Our revenue is primarily derived from the sale of semiconductor products that we design and the manufacture of semiconductor wafers for third parties. We recognize revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the product has been delivered and title and risk of loss have transferred, the price is fixed and determinable and collection of resulting receivables is reasonably assured.

We recognize revenue upon shipment, upon delivery of the product at the customer's location or upon customer acceptance depending on terms of the arrangements, when the risks and rewards of ownership have passed to the customer. Certain sale arrangements include customer acceptance provisions that require written notification of acceptance within the pre-determined period from the date of delivery of the product. If the pre-determined period has ended without written notification, customer acceptance is deemed to have occurred pursuant to the underlying sales arrangements. In such cases, we recognize revenue the earlier of the written notification or the pre-determined period from date of delivery. Specialty semiconductor manufacturing services are performed pursuant to manufacturing agreements and purchase orders. Standard products are shipped and sold based upon purchase orders from customers. Our revenue recognition policy is consistent across our product lines, marketing venues and all geographic areas. All amounts billed to a customer related to shipping and handling are classified as sales, while all costs incurred by us for shipping and handling are classified as expenses. We currently manufacture a substantial portion of our products internally at our wafer fabrication facilities. In the future, we expect to rely, to some extent, on outside wafer foundries for additional capacity and advanced technologies.

We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make payment. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, additional allowances may be required. The establishment of reserves for sales discounts is based on management judgments that require significant estimates of a variety of factors, including forecasted demand, returns and industry pricing assumptions.

Accrual of Warranty Cost

We record warranty liabilities for the estimated costs that may be incurred under limited warranties. Our warranties generally cover product defects based on compliance with our specifications and is normally applicable for twelve months from the date of product delivery. These liabilities are accrued when revenues are recognized. Warranty costs include the costs to replace the defective products. Factors that affect our warranty liability include historical and anticipated rates of warranty claims on those repairs and the cost per claim to satisfy our warranty obligations. As these factors are impacted by actual experience and future expectations, we periodically assess the adequacy of our recorded warranty liabilities and adjust the amounts as necessary.

Inventory Valuation

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market, using the average method, which approximates the first in, first out method. Because of the cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry, changes in inventory levels, obsolescence of technology and product life cycles, we write down inventories to net realizable value. When there is a difference in the carrying value and the net realizable value the difference is recognized as a loss on valuation of inventories within cost of sales. We estimate the net realizable value for such finished goods and work-in-progress based primarily upon the latest invoice prices and current market conditions.

We employ a variety of methodologies to determine the amount of inventory reserves necessary. While a portion of the reserve is determined based upon the age of inventory and lower of cost or market calculations, an element of the reserve is subject to significant judgments made by us about future demand for our inventory. For example, reserves are established for excess inventory based on inventory levels in excess of six months of projected demand, as judged

by management, for each

Table of Contents

specific product. If actual demand for our products is less than our estimates, additional reserves for existing inventories may need to be recorded in future periods.

In addition, as prescribed in ASC guidance on inventory costs, the cost of inventories is determined based on the normal capacity of each fabrication facility. If the capacity utilization is lower than a level that management believes to be normal, the fixed overhead costs per production unit which exceed those which would be incurred when the fabrication facilities are running under normal capacity are charged to cost of sales rather than capitalized as inventories.

Long-Lived Assets

We assess long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets or the asset group may not be recoverable. Factors that we consider in deciding when to perform an impairment review include significant under-performance of a business or product line in relation to expectations, significant negative industry or economic trends, and significant changes or planned changes in our use of the assets. Recoverability of assets that will continue to be used in our operations is measured by comparing the carrying value of the asset group to our estimate of the related total future undiscounted net cash flows. If an asset group's carrying value is not recoverable through the related undiscounted cash flows, the asset group is considered to be impaired. The impairment is measured by the difference between the asset group's carrying value and its fair value determined by either a quoted market price, if any, or a value determined by utilizing a discounted cash flow technique.

Impairments of long-lived assets are determined for groups of assets related to the lowest level of identifiable independent cash flows. We must make subjective judgments in determining the independent cash flows that can be related to specific asset groupings. Additionally, an evaluation of impairment of long-lived assets requires estimates of future operating results that are used in the preparation of the expected future undiscounted cash flows. Actual future operating results and the remaining economic lives of our long-lived assets could differ from the estimates used in assessing the recoverability of these assets.

Intangible Assets

The fair value of our intangible assets was recorded in connection with fresh-start reporting on October 25, 2009 and was determined based on the present value of each research project's projected cash flows using an income approach. Future cash flows are predominately based on the net income forecast of each project, consistent with historical pricing, margins and expense levels of similar products. Revenues are estimated based on relevant market size and growth factors, expected industry trends and individual project life cycles. The resulting cash flows are then discounted at a rate approximating our weighted average cost of capital.

In-process research and development, or IPR&D, is considered an indefinite-lived intangible asset and is not subject to amortization. IPR&D assets must be tested for impairment annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired. The impairment test consists of a comparison of the fair value of the IPR&D asset with its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of the IPR&D asset exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss must be recognized in an amount equal to that excess. After an impairment loss is recognized, the adjusted carrying amount of the IPR&D asset will be its new accounting basis. Subsequent reversal of a previously recognized impairment loss is prohibited. The initial determination and subsequent evaluation for impairment of the IPR&D asset requires management to make significant judgments and estimates. Once the IPR&D projects have been completed or abandoned, the useful life of the IPR&D asset is determined and amortized accordingly.

Technology, customer relationships and intellectual property assets are considered definite-lived assets and are amortized on a straight-line basis over their respective useful lives, ranging from 4 to 10 years.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with ASC guidance addressing accounting for income taxes. The guidance requires recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in a company's financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement carrying values and the tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Income tax expense is the tax payable for the period and the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

We regularly review our deferred tax assets for recoverability considering historical profitability, projected future taxable income, the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary differences and expiration of tax credits and net operating loss carry-forwards. We established valuation allowances for deferred tax assets at most of our subsidiaries since, other than with respect to one particular subsidiary, it is not probable that a majority of the deferred tax assets will be realizable. The valuation allowance at this particular subsidiary was not established since it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets at this subsidiary will be realizable based on the current prospects for its future taxable income.

Changes in our evaluation of our deferred income tax assets from period to period could have a significant effect on our net operating results and financial condition.

In addition, beginning January 1, 2007, we account for uncertainties related to income taxes in compliance with ASC guidance on uncertain tax positions. Under this guidance, we evaluate our tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return for recognition and measurement on our consolidated financial statements. Only those tax positions that meet the more likely than not threshold are recognized on the consolidated financial statements at the largest amount of benefit that has a greater than 50 percent likelihood of ultimately being realized. Assumptions, judgment and the use of estimates are required in determining if the more likely than not standard has been met when developing the provision for income taxes. A change in the assessment of the more likely than not standard could materially impact our consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for Unit-based Compensation

In 2006, we adopted ASC guidance addressing accounting for unit-based compensation based on a fair value method. Under this guidance, unit-based compensation cost is estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period of the award. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value unit options. In developing assumptions for fair value calculation under the guidance, we use estimates based on historical data and market information. A small change in the assumptions used in the estimate can cause a relatively significant change in the fair value calculation.

The determination of the fair value of our common units on each grant date was a two-step process. First, management estimated our enterprise value in consultation with such advisers as we deemed appropriate. Second, this business enterprise value was allocated to all sources of capital invested in us based on each type of security's respective rights and claims to our total business enterprise value. This allocation included a calculation of the fair value of our common units on a non-marketable basis. The business enterprise value was determined based on an income approach and a market approach using the revenue multiples of comparable companies, giving appropriate weight to each approach. The income approach was based on the discounted cash flow method and an estimated weighted average cost of capital.

Determination of the fair value of our common units involves complex and subjective judgments regarding projected financial and operating results, our unique business risks, the liquidity of our units

Table of Contents

and our operating history and prospects at the time of grant. If we make different judgments or adopt different assumptions, material differences could result in the amount of the share-based compensation expenses recorded because the estimated fair value of the underlying units for the options granted would be different.

Fresh-Start Reporting

As required by GAAP, in connection with emergence from Chapter 11 reorganization proceedings, we adopted the fresh-start accounting provisions of ASC 852 effective October 25, 2009. Under ASC 852, the reorganization value represents the fair value of the entity before considering liabilities and approximates the amount a willing buyer would pay for our assets immediately after restructuring. The reorganization value is allocated to the respective assets. Liabilities, other than deferred taxes and severance benefits, are stated at present values of amounts expected to be paid.

Fair values of assets and liabilities represent our best estimates based on our appraisals and valuations which incorporated industry data and trends and relevant market rates and transactions. These estimates and assumptions are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies beyond our reasonable control.

Controls and Procedures

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and is effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. As a private company we have designed our internal control over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance to our management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements. As a public company, under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, we will also be required to include a report of management on our internal control over financial reporting in our Annual Reports on Form 10-K and the independent registered public accounting firm auditing our financial statements must attest to and report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. This requirement will first apply to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for our fiscal year ending December 31, 2011. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

In connection with audits of our consolidated financial statements for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and two-month period ended December 31, 2009, our independent registered public accounting firm has reported two control deficiencies which represent a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting. The two control deficiencies which represent a material weakness that our independent registered public accounting firm reported to our board of directors (as we then did not have a separate audit committee), are that we do not have a sufficient number of financial personnel with the requisite financial accounting experience and our controls over non-routine transactions are not effective to ensure that accounting considerations are identified and appropriately recorded.

Our management and our board of directors agree that the control deficiencies identified by our independent registered public accounting firm represent a material weakness. We have identified and taken steps intended to remediate this material weakness. Upon being notified of the material weakness, we retained the services of an international accounting firm to temporarily supplement our internal resources. We are also in the process of recruiting a new director of financial reporting to increase the number of our financial personnel with the requisite financial accounting expertise. These actions are subject to ongoing senior management review, as well as audit committee oversight. We do not know the specific timeframe needed to remediate this material weakness. We may incur significant incremental

costs associated with this remediation.

Table of Contents

BUSINESS

Our Business

We are a Korea-based designer and manufacturer of analog and mixed-signal semiconductor products for high-volume consumer applications. We believe we have one of the broadest and deepest analog and mixed-signal semiconductor technology platforms in the industry, supported by our 30-year operating history, large portfolio of approximately 2,550 registered novel patents and 1,050 pending novel patent applications and extensive engineering and manufacturing process expertise. Our business is comprised of three key segments: Display Solutions, Power Solutions and Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. Our Display Solutions products include display drivers that cover a wide range of flat panel displays and mobile multimedia devices. Our Power Solutions products include discrete and integrated circuit solutions for power management in high-volume consumer applications. Our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment provides specialty analog and mixed-signal foundry services for fabless semiconductor companies that serve the consumer, computing and wireless end markets.

Our wide variety of analog and mixed-signal semiconductor products and manufacturing services combined with our deep technology platform allows us to address multiple high-growth end markets and to rapidly develop and introduce new products and services in response to market demands. Our substantial manufacturing operations in Korea and design centers in Korea and Japan place us at the core of the global consumer electronics supply chain. We believe this enables us to quickly and efficiently respond to our customers' needs and allows us to better service and capture additional demand from existing and new customers.

We have a long history of supplying and collaborating on product and technology development with leading innovators in the consumer electronics market. As a result, we have been able to strengthen our technology platform and develop products and services that are in high demand by our customers and end consumers. We sold over 2,300 distinct products to over 185 customers for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009, with a substantial portion of our revenues derived from a concentrated number of customers, including LG Display, Sharp and Samsung. Our largest semiconductor manufacturing services customers include some of the fastest growing and leading semiconductor companies that design analog and mixed-signal products for the consumer, computing, and wireless end markets.

For 2009 on an a combined pro forma basis, we generated net sales of \$560.1 million, income from continuing operations of \$46.7 million, Adjusted EBITDA of \$98.7 million and Adjusted Net Income of \$33.7 million. On June 12, 2009, we filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code and our plan of reorganization became effective on November 9, 2009. For 2008, we generated net sales of \$601.7 million, losses from continuing operations of \$325.8 million, Adjusted EBITDA of \$59.8 million and Adjusted Net Loss of \$71.7 million. See Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Information beginning on page 48 for an explanation regarding our pro forma presentation and Prospectus Summary Summary Historical and Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Data, beginning on page 9 for an explanation of our use of Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income.

Market Opportunity

The consumer electronics market is large and growing rapidly. Growth in this market is being driven by consumers seeking to enjoy a wide variety of available rich media content, such as high definition audio and video, mobile television and games. Consumer electronics manufacturers recognize that the consumer entertainment experience plays a critical role in differentiating their products. To address and further stimulate consumer demand, electronics

manufacturers have been driving rapid advances in the technology, functionality, form factor, cost, quality, reliability and power consumption of their products. Electronics manufacturers are continuously implementing advanced

Table of Contents

technologies in new generations of electronic devices using analog and mixed-signal semiconductor components, such as display drivers that enable display of high resolution images, encoding and decoding devices that allow playback of high definition audio and video, and power management semiconductors that increase power efficiency, thereby reducing heat dissipation and extending battery life. These advanced generations of consumer devices are growing faster than the overall consumer electronics market. For example, according to Gartner, production of LCD televisions, smartphones, mobile PCs, and mini-notebooks is expected to grow from 2009 to 2013 by a compound annual growth rate of 12%, 36%, 24%, and 20%, respectively.

The user experience delivered by a consumer electronic device is substantially driven by the quality of the display, audio and video processing capabilities and power efficiency of the device. Analog and mixed-signal semiconductors enable and enhance these capabilities. Examples of these analog and mixed-signal semiconductors include display drivers, timing controllers, audio encoding and decoding devices, or codecs, and interface circuits, as well as power management semiconductors such as voltage regulators, converters, and switches. According to iSuppli, in 2009, the display driver semiconductor market was \$6.0 billion and the power management semiconductor market was \$21.9 billion.

Requirements of Leading Consumer Electronics Manufacturers

We believe our target customers view the following characteristics and capabilities as key differentiating factors among available analog and mixed-signal semiconductor suppliers and manufacturing service providers:

Broad Offering of Differentiated Products with Advanced System-Level Features and Functions. Leading consumer electronics manufacturers seek to differentiate their products by incorporating innovative semiconductor products that enable unique system-level functionality and enhance performance. These consumer electronics manufacturers seek to closely collaborate with semiconductor solutions providers that continuously develop new and advanced products, technologies, and manufacturing processes that enable state of the art features and functions, such as bright and thin displays, small form factor and energy efficiency.

Fast Time to Market with New Products. As a result of rapid technological advancements and short product lifecycles, our target customers typically prefer suppliers who have a compelling pipeline of new products and can leverage a substantial intellectual property and technology base to accelerate product design and manufacturing when needed.

Nimble, Stable and Reliable Manufacturing Services. Fabless semiconductor providers who rely on external manufacturing services often face rapidly changing product cycles. If these fabless companies are unable to meet the demand for their products due to issues with their manufacturing services providers, their profitability and market share can be significantly impacted. As a result, they prefer semiconductor manufacturing services providers who can increase production quickly and meet demand consistently through periods of constrained industry capacity. Furthermore, many fabless semiconductor providers serving the consumer electronics and industrial sectors need specialized analog and mixed-signal manufacturing capabilities to address their product performance and cost requirements.

Ability to Deliver Cost Competitive Solutions. Electronics manufacturers are under constant pressure to deliver cost competitive solutions. To accomplish this objective, they need strategic semiconductor suppliers that have the ability to provide system-level solutions, highly integrated products, a broad product offering at a range of price points and have the design and manufacturing infrastructure and logistical support to deliver cost competitive products.

Focus on Delivering Highly Energy Efficient Products. Consumers increasingly seek longer run time, environmentally friendly and energy efficient consumer electronic products. In

Table of Contents

addition, there is increasing regulatory focus on reducing energy consumption of consumer electronic products. For instance, the California Energy Commission recently adopted standards that require televisions sold in California to consume 33% less energy by 2011 and 49% less energy by 2013. As a result of global focus on more environmentally friendly products, our customers are seeking analog and mixed-signal semiconductor suppliers that have the technological expertise to deliver solutions that satisfy these ever increasing regulatory and consumer power efficiency demands.

Our Competitive Strengths

Designing and manufacturing analog and mixed-signal semiconductors capable of meeting the evolving functionality requirements for consumer electronics devices is challenging. In order to grow and succeed in the industry, we believe semiconductor suppliers must have a broad, advanced intellectual property portfolio, product design expertise, comprehensive product offerings and specialized manufacturing process technologies and capabilities. Our competitive strengths enable us to offer our customers solutions to solve their key challenges. We believe our strengths include:

Advanced Analog and Mixed-Signal Semiconductor Technology and Intellectual Property Platform. We believe we have one of the broadest and deepest analog and mixed-signal semiconductor technology platforms in the industry. Our long operating history, large patent portfolio, extensive engineering and manufacturing process expertise and wide selection of analog and mixed-signal intellectual property libraries allow us to leverage our technology and develop new products across multiple end markets. Our product development efforts are supported by a team of approximately 391 engineers. Our platform allows us to develop and introduce new products quickly as well as to integrate numerous functions into a single product. For example, we were one of the first companies to introduce a commercial AMOLED display driver for mobile phones.

Established Relationships and Close Collaboration with Leading Global Electronics Companies. We have a long history of supplying and collaborating on product and technology development with leading innovators in the consumer electronics market, such as LG Display, Sharp and Samsung. Our close customer relationships have been built based on many years of close collaborative product development which provides us with deep system level knowledge and key insights into our customers' needs. As a result, we are able to continuously strengthen our technology platform in areas of strategic interest for our customers and focus on those products and services that our customers and end consumers demand the most.

Longstanding Presence in Asia and Proximity to Global Consumer Electronics Supply Chain. Our presence in Asia facilitates close contact with our customers, fast response to their needs and enhances our visibility into new product opportunities, markets and technology trends. According to Gartner, semiconductor consumption in Asia, excluding Japan, has increased from 49% of global production in 2004 to 60% in 2009 and is projected to grow to 65% by 2013. Our substantial manufacturing operations in Korea and design centers in Korea and Japan place us close to many of our largest customers and to the core of the global consumer electronics supply chain. We have active applications, engineering, product design, and customer support resources, as well as senior management and marketing resources, in geographic locations close to our customers. This allows us to strengthen our relationship with customers through better service, faster turnaround time and improved product design collaboration. We believe this also helps our customers to deliver products faster than their competitors and to solve problems more efficiently than would be possible with other suppliers.

Broad Portfolio of Product and Service Offerings Targeting Large, High-Growth Markets. We continue to develop a wide variety of analog and mixed-signal semiconductor solutions for multiple high-growth

Table of Contents

service offerings allow us to provide additional products to new and existing customers and to cross-sell our products and services to our established customers. For example, we have leveraged our technology expertise and customer relationships to develop and grow a new business offering power management solutions to customers. Our power management solutions enable our customers to increase system stability and reduce heat dissipation and energy use, resulting in cost savings for our customers, as well as environmental benefits. We have been able to sell these new products to our existing customers as well as expand our customer base.

Distinctive Analog and Mixed-Signal Process Technology Expertise and Manufacturing Capabilities. We have developed specialty analog and mixed-signal manufacturing processes such as high voltage CMOS, power and embedded memory. These processes enable us to flexibly ramp mass production of display, power and mixed-signal products, and shorten the duration from design to delivery of highly integrated, high-performance analog and mixed-signal semiconductors. As a result of the depth of our process technology, captive manufacturing facilities and customer support capabilities, we believe the majority of our top twenty manufacturing services customers by revenue currently use us as their primary manufacturing source for the products that we manufacture for them.

Highly Efficient Manufacturing Capabilities. Our manufacturing strategy is focused on maintaining the price competitiveness of our products and services through our low-cost operating structure. We believe the location of our primary manufacturing and research and development facilities in Asia and relatively low required ongoing capital expenditures provide us with a number of cost advantages. We offer specialty analog process technologies that do not require substantial investment in leading edge, smaller geometry process equipment. We are able to utilize our manufacturing base over an extended period of time and thereby minimize our capital expenditure requirements. Our internal manufacturing facilities serve both our solutions products and manufacturing services customers, allowing us to optimize our asset utilization and improve our operational efficiency.

Strong Financial Model with a Low-Cost Structure. We have executed a significant restructuring over the last 18 months, which combined with our relatively low capital investment requirements, has improved our cash flow and profitability. By closing our Imaging Solutions business, restructuring our balance sheet, and refining our business processes and strategy, we believe we have made significant structural improvements to our operating model and have enabled better flexibility to manage the fluctuations in the economy and our markets. In addition, the long lifecycles of our manufacturing processes, equipment and facilities allow us to keep our new capital requirements relatively low. We believe that our low-cost but highly skilled design and support engineers and manufacturing base position us favorably to compete in the marketplace and provide operating leverage in our operating model.

Our Strategy

Our objective is to grow our business, our cash flow and profitability and to establish our position as a leading provider of analog and mixed-signal semiconductor products and services for high-volume markets. Our business strategy emphasizes the following key elements:

Leverage Our Advanced Analog and Mixed-Signal Technology Platform to Innovate and Deliver New Products and Services. We intend to continue to utilize our extensive patent and technology portfolio, analog and mixed-signal design and manufacturing expertise and specific end-market applications and system-level design expertise to deliver products with high levels of performance by utilizing our systems expertise and leveraging our deep knowledge of our customers' needs. For example, we have recently utilized our extensive patent portfolio, process technologies and analog and mixed-signal technology platform to develop cost-effective Super Junction MOSFETs as well as low power integrated power

Table of Contents

solutions for AC-DC offline switchers to address more of our customers' needs. In Display Solutions, we continue to invest in research and development to introduce new technologies to support our customers' technology roadmaps such as their transition to 240Hz 3D LED televisions. In Semiconductor Manufacturing Services, we are developing cost-effective processes that substantially reduce die size using deep trench isolation.

Increase Business with Existing Customers. We have a global customer base consisting of leading consumer electronics OEMs such as LG Display, Sharp and Samsung who sell into multiple end markets. We intend to continue to strengthen our relationships with our customers by collaborating on critical design and product development in order to improve our design win rates. We will seek to increase our customer penetration by more closely aligning our product roadmap with those of our key customers and by taking advantage of our broad product portfolio, our deep knowledge of customer needs and existing relationships to sell more existing and new products. For example, two of our largest display driver customers have display modules in production using our power management products. These power management products have been purchased and evaluated via their key subcontractors for LCD backlight units and LCD integrated power supplies.

Broaden Our Customer Base. We expect to continue to expand our global design centers, local application engineering support and sales presence, particularly in China, Hong Kong, Taiwan and Macau, or collectively, Greater China, and other high-growth geographies, to penetrate new accounts. In addition, we intend to introduce new products and variations of existing products to address a broader customer base. In order to broaden our market penetration, we are complementing our direct customer relationships and sales with an expanded base of distributors, especially to aid the growth of our power management business. We expect to continue to expand our distribution channels as we broaden our power management penetration beyond existing customers.

Aggressively Grow the Power Business. We have utilized our extensive patent portfolio, process technologies, captive manufacturing facilities and analog and mixed-signal technology platform to develop power management solutions that expand our market opportunity and address more of our customers' needs. We intend to increase the pace of our new power product introductions by continuing to collaborate closely with our industry-leading customers. For example, we recently began mass production of our first integrated power solution for LCD televisions at one of our major Korean customers. We also intend to capitalize on the market needs and regulatory requirements for power management products that reduce energy consumption of consumer electronic products by introducing products that are more energy efficient than those of competitors. We believe our integrated designs, unique low-cost process technologies and deep customer relationships will enable us to increase sales of our power solutions to our current power solutions customers, and as an extension of our other product offerings, to our other customers.

Drive Execution Excellence. We have significantly improved our execution through a number of management initiatives implemented under the direction of our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, Sang Park. As an example, we have introduced new processes for product development, customer service and personnel development. We expect these ongoing initiatives will continue to improve our new product development and customer service as well as enhance our commitment to a culture of quick action and execution by our workforce. In addition, we have focused on and continually improved our manufacturing efficiency during the past several years. As a result of our focus on execution excellence, we have also meaningfully reduced our time from new product definition to development completion. For example, we have improved our average development turnaround time by over 40% over the last three years for semiconductor manufacturing services by implementing continuous business process improvement initiatives and we improved our manufacturing productivity per operator by 22% from the fourth quarter of 2008 to the

Table of Contents

Optimize Asset Utilization, Return on Capital Investments and Cash Flow Generation. We intend to keep our capital expenditures relatively low by maintaining our focus on specialty process technologies that do not require substantial investment in frequent upgrades to the latest manufacturing equipment. We also believe our power management business should increase our utilization and return on capital as the manufacturing of these products primarily relies on our 0.35 μ m geometry and low-cost equipment. By utilizing our manufacturing facilities for both our display solutions and power solutions products and our semiconductor manufacturing services customers, we will seek to maximize return on our capital investments and our cash flow generation.

Our Technology

We continuously strengthen our advanced analog and mixed-signal semiconductor technology platform by developing innovative technologies and integrated circuit building blocks that enhance the functionality of consumer electronics products through brighter displays, enhanced image quality, smaller form factor and longer battery life. We seek to further build our technology platform through proprietary research and development and selective licensing and acquisition of complementary technologies, as well as disciplined process improvements in our manufacturing operations. Our goal is to leverage our experience and development initiatives across multiple end markets and utilize our understanding of system-level issues our customers face to introduce new technologies that enable our customers to develop more advanced, higher performance products.

Our display technology portfolio includes building blocks for display drivers and timing controllers, processor and interface technologies, as well as sophisticated production techniques, such as chip-on-glass, or COG, which enables the manufacture of thinner displays. Our advanced display drivers incorporate LTPS and AMOLED panel technologies that enable the highest resolution displays. Furthermore, we are developing a broad intellectual property portfolio to improve the power efficiency of displays, including the development of our smart mobile luminance control, or SMLC, algorithm.

We have a long history of specialized process technology development and have a number of distinctive process implementations. We have approximately 200 process flows we can utilize for our products and offer to our semiconductor manufacturing services customers. Our process technologies include standard CMOS, high voltage CMOS, ultra-low leakage high voltage CMOS and BCDMOS. Our manufacturing processes incorporate embedded memory solutions such as static random access memory, or SRAM, one-time programmable, or OTP, memory, multiple-time programmable, or MTP, memory, electronically erasable programmable read only memory, or EEPROM, and single-transistor random access memory, or 1TRAM. More broadly, we focus extensively on processes that reduce die size across all of the products we manufacture, in order to deliver cost-effective solutions to our customers.

Expertise in high voltage and deep trench BCDMOS process technologies, low power analog and mixed-signal design capabilities and packaging know-how are key requirements in the power management market. We are currently leveraging our capabilities in these areas with products such as DC-DC converters, linear regulators, including LDO, regulators and analog switches, and power MOSFETs. We believe our system level understanding of applications such as LCD televisions and mobile phones will allow us to more quickly develop and customize power management solutions for our customers in these markets.

Our Products and Services

Our broad portfolio of products and services addresses multiple high-growth, consumer-focused end markets. A key component of our product strategy is to supply multiple related product and service offerings to each of the end

markets that we serve.

Table of Contents

Display Solutions

Display Driver Characteristics. Display drivers deliver defined analog voltages and currents that activate pixels to exhibit images on displays. The following key characteristics determine display driver performance and end-market application:

Resolution and Number of Channels. Resolution determines the level of detail displayed within an image and is defined by the number of pixels per line multiplied by the number of lines on a display. For large displays, higher resolution typically requires more display drivers for each panel. Display drivers that have a greater number of channels, however, generally require fewer display drivers for each panel and command a higher selling price per unit. Mobile displays, conversely, are typically single chip solutions designed to deliver a specific resolution. We cover resolutions ranging from QVGA (240RGB x 320) to QHD (960RGB x 540).

Color Depth. Color depth is the number of colors that can be displayed on a panel. For example, for TFT-LCD panels, 262 thousand colors are supported by 6-bit source drivers; 16 million colors are supported by 8-bit source drivers; and 1 billion colors are supported by 10-bit and 12-bit source drivers.

Operational Voltage. Display drivers are characterized by input and output voltages. Source drivers typically operate at input voltages from 2.0 to 3.6 volts and output voltages between 4.5 and 18 volts. Gate drivers typically operate at input voltages from 2.0 to 3.6 volts and output voltages of up to 40 volts. Lower input voltage results in lower power consumption and electromagnetic interference, or EMI.

Gamma Curve. The relationship between the light passing through a pixel and the voltage applied to the pixel by the source driver is referred to as the gamma curve. The gamma curve of the source driver can correct some imperfections in picture quality in a process generally known as gamma correction. Some advanced display drivers feature up to three independent gamma curves to facilitate this correction.

Driver Interface. Driver interface refers to the connection between the timing controller and the display drivers. Display drivers increasingly require higher bandwidth interface technology to address the larger data transfer rate necessary for higher definition images. The principal types of interface technologies are transistor-to-transistor logic, or TTL, reduced swing differential signaling, or RSDS, advance intra panel I/F, or AIPI, and mini-low voltage differential signaling, or m-LVDS.

Package Type. The assembly of display drivers typically uses chip-on-film, or COF, tape carrier package, or TCP, and COG package types.

Large Display Solutions. We provide display solutions for a wide range of flat panel display sizes used in LCD televisions, including high definition televisions, or HDTVs, LED TVs, LCD monitors and mobile PCs.

Our large display solutions include source and gate drivers and timing controllers with a variety of interfaces, voltages, frequencies and packages to meet customers' needs. These products include advanced technologies such as high channel count, with products under development to provide up to 960 channels. We also offer a distinctive interface technology known as LCDS, which supports thinner displays for mobile PCs. Our large display solutions are designed to allow customers to cost-effectively meet the increasing demand for high resolution displays. We focus extensively on reducing the die size of our large display drivers and other solutions products. For example, we have implemented several solutions to reduce die size in display drivers, such as optimizing design schemes and design rules and applying specific technologies that we have developed internally. We have recently introduced a number of new large display drivers with reduced die size.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the features of our products, both in mass production and in customer qualification, which is the final stage of product development, for large-sized displays:

Product	Key Features	Applications
TFT-LCD Source Drivers	480 to 960 output channels 6-bit (262 thousand colors), 8-bit (16 million colors), 10-bit (1 billion colors) Output voltage ranging from 3.3V to 18V Low power consumption and low EMI Supports COF package types Supports RSDS, m-LVDS, AiPi* interface technologies Geometries of 0.18μm to 0.22μm	LCD monitors, including widescreens Mobile PCs, including netbooks Digital televisions, including LED TVs
TFT-LCD Gate Drivers	272 to 768 output channels Output voltage ranging up to 40V Supports COF and COG package types Geometries of 0.35μm	LCD monitors, including widescreens Mobile PCs, including netbooks Digital televisions, including LED TVs
Timing Controllers	Product portfolio supports a wide range of resolutions Supports m-LVDS interface technologies Input voltage ranging from 2.3V to 3.6V Geometries of 0.18μm	LCD monitors, including widescreens Mobile PCs, including netbooks

* In customer qualification stage

Mobile Display Solutions. Our mobile display solutions incorporate the industry's most advanced display technologies, such as LTPS and AMOLED, as well as high-volume technologies such as a-Si (amorphous silicon) TFT. Our mobile display products offer specialized capabilities, including high speed serial interfaces, such as mobile display digital interface, or MDDI, and mobile industry processor interface, or MIPI, as well as multi-time programmable, or MTP, memories, using EEPROM and logic-based OTP memory. Further, we are building a distinctive intellectual property portfolio that allows us to provide features that reduce power consumption, such as SMLC, ambient light-based brightness control, or LABC, automatic brightness control, or ABC, and automatic current limit, or ACL. This intellectual property portfolio will also support our power management product development initiatives, as we leverage our system level understanding of power efficiency.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the features of our products, both in mass production and in customer qualification, which is the final stage of product development, for mobile displays:

Product	Key Features	Applications
LTPS	Resolutions of QVGA, WQVGA, VGA, NHD*, SVGA Color depth ranging from 262 thousand to 16 million MDDI, MIPI interface EEPROM and logic-based OTP, separated gamma control	Mobile phones Digital still cameras
AMOLED	Resolutions of WQVGA, HVGA, NHD*, WVGA, QHD Color depth ranging from 262 thousand to 16 million Geometries of 0.11 μ m to 0.15 μ m MDDI, MIPI interface EEPROM and logic-based OTP	Mobile phones Game consoles Digital still cameras Personal digital assistants Portable media players
a-Si TFT	ABC, ACL, Pentile Resolutions of QVGA, WQVGA, HVGA, WVGA, WSVGA, HD Color depth ranging from 262 thousand to 16 million MDDI, MIPI interface Content adaptive brightness control, or CABC LVDS, τ C*, DCDC* Separated gamma control	Mobile phones Game consoles Netbooks Portable navigation devices

* In customer qualification stage

Power Solutions

We develop, manufacture and market power management solutions for a wide range of end market customers. The products include MOSFETs, LED Drivers, DC-DC converters, analog switches and linear regulators, such as LDOs.

MOSFET. Our MOSFETs include low-voltage Trench MOSFETs, 20V to 100V, and high-voltage Planar MOSFETs, 400V through 600V. MOSFETs are used in applications to switch, shape or transfer electricity under varying power requirements. The key application segments are mobile phones, LCD televisions, desktop computers and power supplies for consumer electronics and industrial equipment. MOSFETs allow electronics manufacturers to achieve specific design goals of high efficiency and low standby power consumption. For example, computing solutions focus on delivering efficient controllers and MOSFETs for

power management in VCORE, DDR and chipsets for audio, video and graphics processing systems.

LED Drivers. LED driver solutions serve the fast-growing LCD panel backlighting market for LCD televisions and mobile PCs. Our products are designed to provide high efficiency and wide input voltage range as well as PWM dimming for accurate white LED dimming control.

Table of Contents

DC-DC Converters. We plan to release DC-DC converters targeting mobile applications and high power applications like LCD televisions, set-top boxes, DVD/Blu-ray players and display modules. We expect our DC-DC converters will meet customer green power requirements by featuring wide input voltage ranges, high efficiency and small size.

Analog Switches and Linear Regulators. We also provide analog switches and linear regulators for mobile applications. Our products are designed for high efficiency and low power consumption in mobile applications.

Our power management solutions enable customers to increase system stability and reduce heat dissipation and energy use, resulting in cost savings for our customers and consumers, as well as environmental benefits. Our in-house process technology capabilities and eight-inch wafer production lines increase efficiency and contribute to the competitiveness of our products.

The following table summarizes the features of our products, both in mass production and in customer qualification, which is the final stage of product development:

Product	Key Features	Applications
Low Voltage MOSFET	V_{DS} (V) options of 20V - 100V $R_{DS(on)}$ options of Max 5mΩ 50mΩ at 10V Advanced 0.35μm Trench MOSFET Process High cell density of 268Mcell/inch ² Advanced packages to enable reduction of PCB mounting area	Mobile phones Desktop computers Mobile PCs Digital TVs
High Voltage MOSFET	Voltage options of 400, 500, and 600V Drain current options of 1A - 18A. $R_{DS(on)}$ options of 0.22~8.0* (typical) RFET (rapid recovery) option to shorten reverse diode recovery time Zenor FET option for MOSFET protection for abnormal input Advanced 0.50μm Planar MOSFET Process	Power supplies for consumer electronics Industrial charger and adaptors Lighting (ballast, HID, LED) Industrial equipment
LED Drivers	High efficiency, wide input voltage range Proven 0.35μm BCDMOS process	LED backlights

40V modular BCDMOS
OCP, SCP, OVP and UVLO
protections
Accurate LED current control
and multi-channel matching
Programmable current limit,
boost up frequency

Table of Contents

Product	Key Features	Applications
DC-DC Converters*	High efficiency, wide input voltage range Proven 0.35 μ m BCDMOS process 30V modular BCDMOS Fast load and line regulation Accurate output voltage OCP, SCP and thermal protections	LCD TVs Set-top boxes DVD/Blu-ray players
Analog Switches	<i>USB Switches</i> Low C_{in} , 7.0pF (typical) limits signal distortion Low R_{on} , 4.0 (typical) 0.35 μ m CMOS process <i>Audio Switches</i> Negative Swing Support Low R_{on} , 0.4 (typical) High ESD protection, 13kV 0.35 μ m CMOS process	Mobile phones
Linear Regulators	Single and dual* LDOs Low Noise Output Linear μ Cap LDO Regulator 2.3V to 5.5V input voltage and 150mA, 300mA* output current Small package size of DFN type 0.35 μ m CMOS process	Mobile phones

* In customer qualification stage

Semiconductor Manufacturing Services

We provide semiconductor manufacturing services to analog and mixed-signal semiconductor companies. We have approximately 200 process flows we offer to our semiconductor manufacturing services customers. We also often partner with key customers to jointly develop or customize specialized processes that enable our customers to improve their products and allow us to develop unique manufacturing expertise.

Our semiconductor manufacturing services offering is targeted at customers who require differentiated, specialty analog and mixed-signal process technologies such as high voltage CMOS, embedded memory and power. We refer to our approach of delivering specialized services to our customers as our application-specific technology, or AS Tech, strategy. We differentiate ourselves through the depth of our intellectual property portfolio, ability to customize process technology to meet the customers requirements effectively, long history in this business and reputation for excellence.

Our semiconductor manufacturing services customers typically serve high-growth and high-volume applications in the consumer, computing and wireless end markets. We strive to be the primary manufacturing source for our semiconductor manufacturing services customers.

Table of Contents**Process Technology Overview**

Mixed-Signal. Mixed-signal process technology is used in devices that require conversion of light and sound into electrical signals for processing and display. Our mixed-signal processes include advanced technologies such as low noise process using triple gate, which uses less power at any given performance level. MEMS process technology allows the manufacture of components that use electrical energy to generate a mechanical response. For example, MEMS devices are used in the accelerometers and gyroscopes of mobile phones.

Power. Power process technology, such as BCD, includes high voltage capabilities as well as the ability to integrate functionality such as self-regulation, internal protection, and other intelligent features. The unique process features such as deep trench isolation are suited for chip shrink and device performance enhancement.

High Voltage CMOS. High voltage CMOS process technology facilitates the use of high voltage levels in conjunction with smaller transistor sizes. This process technology includes several variations, such as bipolar processes, which use transistors with qualities well suited for amplifying and switching applications, mixed mode processes, which incorporate denser, more power efficient FETs, and thick metal processes.

Non-Volatile Memory. Non-volatile memory, or NVM, process technology enables the integration of non-volatile memory cells that allow retention of the stored information even when power is removed from the circuit. This type of memory is typically used for long-term persistent storage.

The table below sets forth the key process technologies in Semiconductor Manufacturing Services currently in mass production:

Process	Technology	Device	End Markets
Mixed-signal	0.13-0.8 μ m	Analog to digital converter	Consumer
	Multipurpose	Digital to analog converter	Wireless
	Low noise	Audio codec	Computing
	Ultra low power	Chipset	
	Triple gate		
Power	0.18-0.35 μ m	Power management	Consumer
	aBCD	Mobile PMIC	Wireless
	Deep Trench Isolation	LED drivers	Computing
	Trench MOSFET		
	Planar MOSFET		
	Schottky Diode		
High Voltage CMOS	0.13-2.0 μ m	Display drivers	Consumer
	5V-250V	CSTN drivers	Wireless
	Bipolar, Thick Metal		Computing
NVM	0.18-0.5 μ m	Microcontroller	Consumer
	EEPROM	Touch screen controller	Medical
	eFlash	Electronic tag	Automotive
	OTP	Hearing aid	

Manufacturing and Facilities

Our manufacturing operations consist of three fabrication facilities located at two sites in Cheongju and Gumi in Korea. These sites have a combined capacity of approximately 131,000 eight-inch equivalent wafers per month. We manufacture wafers utilizing geometries ranging from 0.11 to 2.0 micron. The Cheongju facilities have three main buildings totaling 164,058 square meters devoted

Table of Contents

to manufacturing and development. The Gumi facilities have one main building with 41,022 square meters devoted to manufacturing, testing and packaging.

In addition to our fabrication facilities, we lease facilities in Seoul, Korea, Cupertino, California, and Osaka, Japan. Each of these facilities includes administration, sales and marketing and research and development functions. We lease sales and marketing offices at our subsidiaries in several other countries.

The ownership of our wafer manufacturing assets is an important component of our business strategy. Maintaining manufacturing control enables us to develop proprietary, differentiated products and results in higher production yields, as well as shortened design and production cycles. We believe our facilities are suitable and adequate for the conduct of our business for the foreseeable future and that we have sufficient production capacity to service our business as currently contemplated without significant capital investment.

A substantial majority of our assembly, test and packaging services for our Display Solutions business and all of such services for our Power Solutions business are outsourced with the balance handled in-house. Our independent providers of these services are located in Korea, China, Taiwan, Malaysia and Thailand. The relative cost of outsourced services, as compared to in-house services, depends upon many factors specific to each product and circumstance. However, we generally incur higher costs for outsourced services, which can result in lower margins.

We use processes that require specialized raw materials that are generally available from a limited number of suppliers. Tape is one of the process materials required for our display drivers. We continue to attempt to qualify additional suppliers for our raw materials.

Although we own our manufacturing facilities, we are party to a land lease and easement agreement with Hynix pursuant to which we lease the land for our facilities in Cheongju, Korea from Hynix for an indefinite term. Because we share certain facilities with Hynix, several services that are essential to our business are provided to us by or through Hynix under our general service supply agreement with Hynix. These services include electricity, bulk gases and de-ionized water, campus facilities and housing, wastewater and sewage management, environmental safety and certain utilities and infrastructure support services. The services agreement continues for an indefinite term subject to each party having a right to terminate in the event of an uncured breach by the other party.

Sales and Marketing

We focus our sales and marketing strategy on creating and strengthening our relationships with leading consumer electronics OEMs, such as LG Display, Sharp and Samsung, as well as analog and mixed-signal semiconductor companies. We believe our close collaboration with customers allows us to align our product and process technology development with our customers' existing and future needs. Because our customers often service multiple end markets, our product sales teams are organized by customers within the major geographies. We believe this facilitates the sale of products that address multiple end-market applications to each of our customers. Our semiconductor manufacturing services sales teams focus on marketing our services to analog and mixed-signal semiconductor companies that require specialty manufacturing processes.

We sell our products through a direct sales force and a network of authorized agents and distributors. We have strategically located our sales and technical support offices near our customers. Our direct sales force consists primarily of representatives co-located with our design centers in Korea and Japan, as well as our local sales and support offices in Greater China and Europe. We have a network of agents and distributors in Korea, Japan, Europe and Greater China. With the expansion of the Power Solutions division portfolio, we expect to expand our sales agents and distributor franchises into Europe and the United States in 2010. On a combined basis for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, we derived 82% of net sales

Table of Contents

through our direct sales force and 18% of net sales through our network of authorized agents and distributors.

Research and Development

Our research and development efforts focus on intellectual property, design methodology and process technology for our complex analog and mixed-signal semiconductor products and services. Research and development expenses for the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 were \$70.9 million, representing 12.7% of net sales, compared to \$89.5 million, representing 14.9% of net sales for the year ended December 31, 2008, and \$90.8 million, representing 12.8% of net sales for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Customers

We sell our display solutions and power solutions products to consumer electronics OEMs as well as subsystem designers and contract manufacturers. We sell our semiconductor manufacturing services to analog and mixed-signal semiconductor companies. For the combined twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009, our ten largest customers accounted for 69% of our net sales, and we had one customer, LG Display, representing 26% of our net sales. Our relationships with some of our ten largest customers were and may continue to be adversely impacted by our reorganization proceedings. For the year December 31, 2009, we received revenues of \$59.0 million from customers in the United States and \$501.1 million from all foreign countries, of which 61.2% was from Korea, 18.5% from Taiwan, 7.6% from Japan and 9.6% from China, Hong Kong and Macau.

Intellectual Property

As of December 31, 2009, our portfolio of intellectual property assets included approximately 3,300 registered patents and 1,300 pending patent applications. Approximately 2,550 and 1,050 of our patents and pending patents are novel in that they are not a foreign counterpart of an existing patent or patent application. Because we file patents in multiple jurisdictions, we additionally have approximately 1,000 registered and pending patents that relate to identical technical claims in our base patent portfolio. Our patents expire at various times over the next 18 years. While these patents are in the aggregate important to our competitive position, we do not believe that any single registered or pending patent is material to us.

We have entered into exclusive and non-exclusive licenses and development agreements with third parties relating to the use of intellectual property of the third parties in our products and our design processes, including licenses related to embedded memory technology, design tools, process simulation tools, circuit designs and processor cores. Some of these licenses, including our agreements with Silicon Works Co., Ltd. and ARM Limited, are material to our business and may be terminated prior to the expiration of these licenses by the licensors should we fail to cure any breach under such licenses. Our license with Silicon Works Co., Ltd. relates to our large display drivers and our license from ARM Limited primarily relates to product lines in our Semiconductor Manufacturing Services business. The loss of either license could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations. Additionally, in connection with the Original Acquisition, Hynix retained a perpetual license to use the intellectual property that we acquired from Hynix in the Original Acquisition. Under this license, Hynix and its subsidiaries are free to develop products that may incorporate or embody intellectual property developed by us prior to October 2004.

Competition

We operate in highly competitive markets characterized by rapid technological change and continually advancing customer requirements. Although no one company competes with us in all of our product lines, we face significant competition in each of our market segments. Our competitors include other independent and captive manufacturers and designers of analog and mixed-signal

Table of Contents

integrated circuits including display driver and power management semiconductor devices, as well as companies providing specialty manufacturing services.

We compete based on design experience, manufacturing capabilities, the ability to service customer needs from the design phase through the shipping of a completed product, length of design cycle and quality of technical support and sales personnel. Our ability to compete successfully will depend on internal and external variables, both within and outside of our control. These variables include the timeliness with which we can develop new products and technologies, product performance and quality, manufacturing yields, capacity availability, customer service, pricing, industry trends and general economic trends.

Employees

Our worldwide workforce consisted of 3,155 employees (full- and part-time) as of January 31, 2010, of which 391 were involved in sales, marketing, general and administrative, 391 were in research and development (including 207 with advanced degrees), 74 were in quality, reliability and assurance and 2,299 were in manufacturing (comprised of 347 in engineering and 1,952 in operations). As of January 31, 2010, 2,037 employees, or approximately 64.6% of our workforce, were represented by the MagnaChip Semiconductor Labor Union, which is a member of the Federation of Korean Metal Workers Trade Unions. We believe our labor relations are good.

Environmental

Our operations are subject to a variety of environmental, health and safety laws and regulations in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate, governing, among other things, air emissions, wastewater discharges, the generation, use, handling, storage and disposal of, and exposure to, hazardous substances (including asbestos) and waste, soil and groundwater contamination and employee health and safety. These laws and regulations are complex, constantly changing and have tended to become more stringent over time. There can be no assurance that we have been or will be in compliance with all these laws and regulations, or that we will not incur material costs or liabilities in connection with these laws and regulations in the future. The adoption of new environmental, health and safety laws, any failure to comply with new or existing laws or issues relating to hazardous substances could subject us to material liability (including substantial fines or penalties), impose the need for additional capital equipment or other process requirements upon us, curtail our operations or restrict our ability to expand operations.

Legal Proceedings

We are subject to lawsuits and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business and intellectual property litigation and infringement claims. Intellectual property litigation and infringement claims, in particular, could cause us to incur significant expenses or prevent us from selling our products. We are currently not involved in any legal proceedings the outcome of which we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Segments

For a description of our business and the distribution of our assets by geographic regions and reporting segments, see note 23 to the consolidated financial statements of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT****Directors and Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.**

The following table is a list of the current directors and executive officers of MagnaChip and their respective ages as of December 31, 2009:

Name	Age	Position
Sang Park	62	Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer
Tae Young Hwang	53	Chief Operating Officer and President
Brent Rowe	48	Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales
Margaret Sakai	52	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Heung Kyu Kim	46	Senior Vice President and General Manager, Power Solutions Division
Tae Jong Lee	47	Senior Vice President and General Manager, Corporate Engineering
John McFarland	43	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Michael Elkins	41	Director
Randal Klein	44	Director
R. Douglas Norby	74	Director
Gidu Shroff	64	Director
Steven Tan	33	Director
Nader Tavakoli	51	Director

Sang Park, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Park became our Chairman of the board of directors and Chief Executive Officer on January 1, 2007, after serving as President, Chief Executive Officer and director since May 2006. Mr. Park served as an executive fellow for iSuppli Corporation from January 2005 to May 2006. Prior to joining iSuppli, he was founder and president of SP Associates, a consulting services provider for technology companies, from September 2003 to December 2004. Mr. Park served as Chief Executive Officer of Hynix from May 2002 to March 2003, and as Chief Operating Officer and President of the Semiconductor Division of Hynix from July 1999 to April 2002. Prior to his service at Hynix, Mr. Park was Vice President of Procurement Engineering at IBM in New York from 1995 to 1999, and he held various positions in procurement and operations at Hewlett Packard in California from 1979 to 1995. Our board of directors has concluded that Mr. Park should serve as a director and as chairman of the board of directors based on his extensive experience as an executive, investor and director in our industry and his experience and insight as our Chief Executive Officer.

Tae Young Hwang, Chief Operating Officer and President. Mr. Hwang became our Chief Operating Officer and President in November 2009. He previously served as our Executive Vice President, Manufacturing Division, and General Manager, Display Solutions from January 2007, and our Executive Vice President of Manufacturing Operations from October 2004. Prior to that time, Mr. Hwang served as Hynix's Senior Vice President of Manufacturing Operations, System IC, from 2002 to 2003. From 1999 to 2001, he was Vice President of Cheongju Operations for Hynix. Mr. Hwang holds a B.S. degree in Mechanical Engineering from Pusan National University and an M.B.A. from Cheongju University.

Brent Rowe, Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales. Mr. Rowe became our Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales in April 2006. Prior to joining our company, Mr. Rowe served at Fairchild Semiconductor International, Inc., a semiconductor manufacturer, as Vice President, Americas Sales and Marketing from August 2003 to October 2005; Vice President, Europe Sales and Marketing from

Table of Contents

August 2002 to August 2003; and Vice President, Japan Sales and Marketing from April 2002 to August 2002. Mr. Rowe holds a B.S. degree in Chemical Engineering from the University of Illinois.

Margaret Sakai, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Ms. Sakai became our Senior Vice President, Finance, on November 1, 2006 and our Chief Financial Officer on April 10, 2009. Prior to joining our company, she served as Chief Financial Officer of Asia Finance and Vice President of Photronics, Inc., a manufacturer of reticles and photomasks for semiconductor and microelectronic applications, since November 2003. From June 1999 to October 2003, Ms. Sakai was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of PKL Corporation, a photomask manufacturer. From October 1995 to May 1999, Ms. Sakai served as Director of Finance of Acqutek International Limited, a lead-frame manufacturer, and from March 1992 to September 1995, Ms. Sakai served as Financial Manager at National Semiconductor Corporation. Ms. Sakai worked as an Audit Supervisor at Coopers & Lybrand from January 1988 to March 1992. Ms. Sakai is a Certified Public Accountant in the State of California and holds a B.A. degree in Accounting from Babson College.

Heung Kyu Kim, Senior Vice President and General Manager, Power Solutions Division. Mr. Kim became our Senior Vice President and General Manager, Power Solutions Division, in July 2007. Prior to joining our company, Mr. Kim served at Fairchild Semiconductor International, Inc., a semiconductor manufacturer, as Vice President of the Power Conversion Product Line from July 2003 to June 2007, and as Director of Korea Sales and Marketing from April 1999 to June 2003. Mr. Kim holds a B.S. degree in Metallurgical Engineering from Korea University.

Tae Jong Lee, Senior Vice President and General Manager, Corporate Engineering. Mr. Lee became our Senior Vice President and General Manager, Corporate Engineering, in August 2009. He previously served as our Vice President, Corporate Engineering from September 2007. Prior to joining our company, Mr. Lee served as Director of the Technology Development Division, Chartered Semiconductor Manufacturing, in Singapore from 1999 to August 2007. Mr. Lee holds B.S. and M.S. degrees from Seoul National University, and a Ph.D in Physics from the University of Texas at Dallas.

John McFarland, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. Mr. McFarland became our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary in April 2006, after serving as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since November 2004. Prior to joining our company, Mr. McFarland served as a foreign legal consultant at Bae, Kim & Lee, a law firm, from August 2003 to November 2004 and an associate at Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, P.C., a law firm, from August 2000 to July 2003. Mr. McFarland holds a B.A. degree in Asian Studies, conferred with highest distinction from the University of Michigan, and a J.D. degree from the University of California, Los Angeles, School of Law.

Michael Elkins, Director. Mr. Elkins became our director in November 2009. Mr. Elkins joined Avenue in 2004 and is currently a Portfolio Manager of the Avenue U.S. Funds. In such capacity, Mr. Elkins is responsible for assisting with the direction of the investment activities of the Avenue U.S. strategy. Due to the percentage of our equity owned or controlled by Avenue, Avenue is considered our affiliate. Prior to joining Avenue, Mr. Elkins was a Portfolio Manager and Trader with ABP Investments US, Inc. While at ABP, he was responsible for actively managing high yield investments using a total return-special situations overlay strategy. Prior to ABP, Mr. Elkins served as a Portfolio Manager and Trader for UBK Asset Management, after joining the company as a High Yield Credit Analyst. Previously, Mr. Elkins was a Credit Analyst for both Oppenheimer & Co., Inc. and Smith Barney, Inc. Mr. Elkins holds a B.A. in Marketing from George Washington University and an M.B.A. in Finance from the Goizueta Business School at Emory University. Our board of directors has concluded that Mr. Elkins should serve on the board based upon his extensive investing and management experience, including more than 15 years of management experience in the investment management sector, as well as prior and ongoing active board service for other Avenue portfolio companies.

Table of Contents

Randal Klein, Director. Mr. Klein became our director in November 2009. Mr. Klein joined Avenue, our affiliate, in 2004 and is currently a Senior Vice President of the Avenue U.S. Funds. In such capacity, Mr. Klein is responsible for identifying, analyzing and modeling investment opportunities for the Avenue U.S. strategy. Prior to joining Avenue, Mr. Klein was a Senior Vice President at Lehman Brothers, where his responsibilities included restructuring advisory work, financial sponsors coverage, mergers and acquisitions and corporate finance. Prior to Lehman, Mr. Klein worked in sales, marketing and engineering as an aerospace engineer for The Boeing Company. Mr. Klein holds a B.S. in Aerospace Engineering, conferred with Highest Distinction from the University of Virginia, and an M.B.A. in Finance from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania. Our board of directors has concluded that Mr. Klein should serve on the board based upon his extensive experience in finance, accounting and investing.

R. Douglas Norby, Director and Chairman of the Audit Committee. Mr. Norby became our director and Chairman of the Audit Committee in March 2010. Mr. Norby retired from full time employment in October 2008. Mr. Norby previously served as our director and Chairman of the Audit Committee from May 2006 until October 2008. Mr. Norby served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Tessera Technologies, Inc., a public semiconductor intellectual property company, from July 2003 to January 2006. Mr. Norby worked as a management consultant with Tessera from May 2003 until July 2003. Mr. Norby served as Chief Financial Officer of Zambeel, Inc., a data storage systems company, from March 2002 until February 2003, and as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Novalux, Inc., an optoelectronics company, from December 2000 to March 2002. Prior to his tenure with Novalux, Inc., Mr. Norby served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of LSI Logic Corporation from November 1996 to December 2000. Mr. Norby is a director of Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and STATS ChipPAC Ltd. Mr. Norby received a B.A. degree in Economics from Harvard University and an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School. Our board of directors has concluded that Mr. Norby should serve on our board based upon his extensive experience as a chief financial officer, his extensive experience in accounting and his experience as a public company director and audit committee chair.

Gidu Shroff, Director. Mr. Shroff became our director in March 2010. Mr. Shroff retired from full time employment in July 2009. Mr. Shroff served in various positions at Intel Corporation from 1980 to July 2009. He served as a Corporate Vice President from January 2002 to July 2009, as Vice President of Materials from December 1997 to January 2002, and as General Manager of Outsourcing from January 1990 until December 1997. Mr. Shroff holds a B.S. in Metallurgy from Poona Engineering University in India, an M.S. in Materials Science from Stanford University and an M.B.A. from Santa Clara University. Our board of directors has concluded that Mr. Shroff should serve on the board based upon his extensive experience in the semiconductor industry.

Steven Tan, Director. Mr. Tan became our director in November 2009. Mr. Tan joined Avenue, our affiliate, in 2005 and is currently a Vice President of the Avenue U.S. Funds. In such capacity, Mr. Tan is responsible for identifying and analyzing investment opportunities in the technology and telecommunications sectors for the Avenue U.S. strategy. Previously, Mr. Tan was a research analyst in the Avenue Event Driven Group where he was responsible for investments related to long/short equity, special situations and risk arbitrage. Prior to Avenue, Mr. Tan worked at Wasserstein Perella & Co., an investment and merchant bank, where he was a Mergers & Acquisitions analyst with the Industrial Group focusing on the automotive and industrial sectors. Mr. Tan holds a B.A. in Mathematics and Economics from Wesleyan University and an M.B.A. from the Harvard Business School. Our board of directors has concluded that Mr. Tan should serve on the board based on his extensive experience in finance and accounting and his experience as an investor in the technology sector.

Nader Tavakoli, Director. Mr. Tavakoli became our director in November 2009. Mr. Tavakoli has been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of EagleRock Capital Management, a private investment firm based in New York City since January 2002. Prior to founding EagleRock, Mr. Tavakoli was a portfolio manager at Odyssey Partners, Highbridge Capital and Cowen and Co. Mr. Tavakoli

Table of Contents

holds a B.A. in History from Montclair State University and a J.D. from Rutgers School of Law. Our board of directors has concluded that Mr. Tavakoli should serve on the board based upon his extensive investing experience.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

Sang Park was the Chairman of our board of directors and Chief Executive Officer and Tae Young Hwang, Brent Rowe, Margaret Sakai, Heung Kyu Kim, Tae Jong Lee and John McFarland were each officers during our Chapter 11 reorganization proceedings. R. Douglas Norby was one of our directors until September 2008. Mr. Norby was also an officer of Novalux, Inc., a private company, which filed a voluntary petition for reorganization under Chapter 11 in March 2003, approximately one year after Mr. Norby's departure from Novalux, Inc.

Board Composition

Our bylaws will provide that our board of directors will consist of seven members. Mr. Park, our Chief Executive Officer, is the Chairman of our board of directors. Messrs. Elkins, Klein, and Tan have been designated to serve on our board by our largest equity holder, which consists of funds affiliated with Avenue Capital Management II, L.P. Avenue has the right to appoint a majority of our board pursuant to our Fifth Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement which will terminate upon the completion of the corporate conversion. Messrs. Norby, Shroff and Tavakoli serve as independent directors elected by the affirmative vote of holders of more than 50% of our outstanding common equity. A majority of our board is not currently independent as defined under SEC and NYSE rules.

Upon the completion of this offering, our board of directors will be divided into three classes with staggered three-year terms as follows:

Class I directors will be Messrs. Norby and Shroff, and their terms will expire at the annual general meeting of stockholders to be held in 2011;

Class II directors will be Messrs. Klein and Tavakoli, and their terms will expire at the annual general meeting of stockholders to be held in 2012; and

Class III directors will be Messrs. Elkins, Park and Tan, and their terms will expire at the annual general meeting of stockholders to be held in 2013.

Audit Committee

Our audit committee consists of Mr. Norby as Chairman and Messrs. Klein and Tavakoli. Our board of directors has determined that Mr. Norby is an audit committee financial expert as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Securities Act. Our board has also determined that Messrs. Norby and Tavakoli are independent as that term is defined in both Rule 303A of the NYSE rules and Rule 10A-3 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, and, upon the closing of this offering, will each be an independent director as that term is defined in Rule 303A of the NYSE rules. In making this determination, our board of directors considered the relationships that Messrs. Norby and Tavakoli have with our company and all other facts and circumstances our board of directors deemed relevant in determining their independence, including any beneficial ownership of our equity. The board has determined that Mr. Klein is not an independent director. In accordance with applicable rules of the NYSE, we are relying upon an exception that allows us to phase in our compliance with the independent audit committee requirement as follows, (i) one independent member at the time of listing; (ii) a majority of independent members within 90 days of listing; and (iii) all independent members within one year of listing. We expect that prior to the one year anniversary of our initial NYSE listing, Mr. Klein will resign from

the audit committee and at least one new independent director

Table of Contents

will be appointed. If we fail to comply with the NYSE listing rules, our common stock could be de-listed from the NYSE.

Compensation Committee

The compensation committee of the board has overall responsibility for evaluating and approving our executive officer and director compensation plans, policies and programs, as well as all equity-based compensation plans and policies. We expect that our compensation committee will consist of Messrs. Elkins, Klein and Tavakoli as of the effectiveness of the offering. Our board has determined that Mr. Tavakoli is independent under NYSE and SEC rules. In making this determination, our board of directors considered the relationships that Mr. Tavakoli has with our company and all other facts and circumstances our board of directors deemed relevant in determining his independence, including any beneficial ownership of our equity. The board has determined that Messrs. Elkins and Klein are not independent directors. In accordance with applicable rules of the NYSE, we are relying upon an exception that allows us to phase in our compliance with the independent compensation committee requirement as follows, (i) one independent member at the time of listing; (ii) a majority of independent members within 90 days of listing; and (iii) all independent members within one year of listing. We expect that prior to the applicable dates, the composition of our compensation committee will be changed such that we will be in compliance with the independent compensation committee requirement.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The nominating and governance committee has the responsibility to identify qualified individuals to become members of the board, to oversee an annual evaluation of the board of directors and its committees, to periodically review and recommend to the board any proposed changes to our corporate governance guidelines and to monitor our corporate governance structure. We expect that our nominating and corporate governance committee will consist of Messrs. Elkins, Shroff and Tan as of the effectiveness of the offering. Our board has determined that Mr. Shroff is independent under NYSE and SEC rules. In making this determination, our board of directors considered the relationships that Mr. Shroff has with our company and all other facts and circumstances our board of directors deemed relevant in determining his independence, including any beneficial ownership of our equity. The board has determined that Messrs. Elkins and Tan are not independent directors. In accordance with applicable rules of the NYSE, we are relying upon an exception that allows us to phase in our compliance with the independent nominating and corporate governance committee requirement as follows, (i) one independent member at the time of listing; (ii) a majority of independent members within 90 days of listing; and (iii) all independent members within one year of listing. We expect that prior to the applicable dates, the composition of our nominating and corporate governance committee will be changed such that we will be in compliance with the independent nominating and corporate governance committee requirement.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees. We will provide a copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics without charge to any person upon written request made to our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary at c/o MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd., 891 Daechi-dong, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, 135-738, Korea. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is also available on our website at www.magnachip.com.

Assessment of Risk

Our board of directors believes that our compensation programs are designed such that they will not incentivize unnecessary risk-taking. The base salary component of our compensation program is a fixed amount and does not

depend on performance. Our cash incentive program takes into account

Table of Contents

multiple metrics, thus diversifying the risk associated with any single performance metric, and we believe it does not incentivize our executive officers to focus exclusively on short-term outcomes. Our equity awards are limited by the terms of our equity plans to a fixed maximum specified in the plan, and are subject to vesting to align the long-term interests of our executive officers with those of our equityholders.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Compensation

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The compensation committee of our board of directors, or the Committee, has overall responsibility for administering our compensation program for our named executive officers. The Committee's responsibilities consist of evaluating, approving and monitoring our executive officer and director compensation plans, policies and programs, as well as each of our equity-based compensation plans and policies. Prior to 2010, compensation decisions were made by the entire board of directors and for the discussion that follows, references to the Committee during such period refer to the entire board. For 2009, our named executive officers who continue to serve as executive officers were:

Sang Park, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer;

Tae Young Hwang, Chief Operating Officer and President;

Brent Rowe, Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales;

Margaret Sakai, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; and

John McFarland, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary.

The Committee seeks to establish total compensation for executive officers that is fair, reasonable and competitive. The Committee evaluates our compensation packages to ensure that:

we maintain our ability to attract and retain superior executives in critical positions;

our executives are incentivized and rewarded for aggressive corporate growth, achievement of long-term corporate objectives and individual performance that meets or exceeds our expectations without encouraging unnecessary risk-taking; and

compensation provided to critical executives remains competitive relative to the compensation paid to similarly situated executives of companies in the semiconductor industry.

The Committee believes that the most effective executive compensation packages align executives' interests with those of our unitholders by rewarding performance that exceeds specific annual, long-term and strategic goals that are intended to improve unitholder value. These objectives include the achievement of financial performance goals and progress on projects that our board of directors anticipates will lead to future growth, as discussed more fully below.

The information set forth below in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes the Committee's general philosophy and historical approach. However, given our financial challenges, in the beginning of 2009, the Committee determined to continue the arrangements from the prior year and did not perform any in depth analysis.

Until April 2009, Robert J. Krakauer served as our President, Chief Financial Officer, and director. In April 2009, we entered into a Senior Advisor Agreement with Mr. Krakauer pursuant to which he resigned from his employment and as a director but remains available to consult with us in a limited capacity until April 2010 to one year thereafter. Although Mr. Krakauer is no longer one our executive officers, his 2009 compensation is reported herein in accordance with SEC rules.

Table of Contents

Role of Executive Officers in Compensation Decisions

For named executive officers other than our chief executive officer, we have historically sought and considered input from our chief executive officer in making determinations regarding executive compensation. Our chief executive officer annually reviews the performance of our other named executive officers. Our chief executive officer subsequently presents conclusions and recommendations regarding such officers, including proposed salary adjustments and incentive amounts, to the Committee. The Committee then takes this information into account when it makes final decisions regarding any adjustments or awards.

The review of performance by the Committee and our chief executive officer of other executive officers is both an objective and subjective assessment of each executive's contribution to our performance, leadership qualities, strengths and weaknesses and the individual's performance relative to goals set by the Committee or our chief executive officer, as applicable. The Committee and our chief executive officer do not systematically assign a weight to the factors, and may, in their discretion, consider or disregard any one factor which, in their sole discretion, is important to or irrelevant for a particular executive.

The Committee's annual determinations regarding executive compensation are subject to the terms of the respective service agreements between us and the named executive officers (as set forth in more detail below). In addition to the annual reviews, the Committee also typically considers compensation changes upon a named executive officer's promotion or other change in job responsibility. Neither our chief executive officer nor any of our other executives participates in deliberations relating to their own compensation.

Role of Compensation Consultants

The Committee has the authority to retain the services of third-party executive compensation specialists in connection with the establishment of cash and equity compensation and related policies. Historically, we have engaged compensation consultants to provide information and recommendations relating to executive pay and equity compensation or otherwise obtained third party compensation surveys. In light of the financial challenges we were facing, we did not use a compensation consultant, or review any formal industry data, in connection with setting 2009 executive compensation. The Committee has not retained a compensation consultant for 2010.

Timing of Compensation Decisions

At the end of each fiscal year, our chief executive officer will review the performance of the other executive officers and present his conclusions and recommendations to the Committee. At that time and throughout the year, the Committee will also evaluate the performance of our chief executive officer, which is measured in substantial part against our consolidated financial performance. In January of the following fiscal year, the Committee will then assess the overall functioning of our compensation plans against our goals, and determine whether any changes to the allocation of compensation elements, or the structure or level of any particular compensation element, are warranted.

In connection with this process, our Committee generally establishes the elements of its performance-based cash bonus plan for the upcoming year. With respect to newly hired employees, our practice is typically to approve equity grants at the first meeting of the Committee following such employee's hire date. We do not have any program, plan or practice to time equity award grants in coordination with the release of material non-public information. From time to time, additional equity awards may be granted to executive officers during the fiscal year. For example, in December 2009, our executive officers were granted restricted unit bonuses and nonstatutory options for common units, as further described below.

Table of Contents

Elements of Compensation

In making decisions regarding the pay of the named executive officers, the Committee looks to set a total compensation package for each officer that will retain high-quality talent and motivate executives to achieve the goals set by our board of directors. Our 2009 compensation package was composed of the following elements:

annual base salary;

short-term cash incentives;

long-term equity incentives;

a benefits package that is generally available to all of our employees; and

expatriate and other executive benefits.

Determination of Amount of Each Element of Compensation

General Background

Historically, the Committee has taken a variety of factors into consideration when determining changes to overall compensation levels and levels of individual annual compensation elements, as further described below. In the beginning of 2009, however, the Committee assessed the overall functioning of our compensation plans against our goals, and, due to our financial condition and impending reorganization proceedings, determined no changes from the prior year to the allocation of compensation elements, or the structure or level of any particular compensation element, were warranted for 2009. Subsequently, in connection with our emergence from our reorganization proceedings, the Committee made certain determinations with respect to executive compensation. Accordingly, unless otherwise referenced in the context of our emergence from our reorganization proceedings and the Committee's compensation decisions made thereafter, the below disclosure is a general discussion of the manner in which the Committee has made decisions regarding compensation levels in prior years, and the underlying reasons for those decisions.

The Committee seeks to establish a total cash compensation package for our named executive officers that is competitive and within the ranges for overall compensation reflected in compensation data for similarly-situated executives in the peer group reviewed by the Committee, subject to adjustments based on each executive's experience and performance. Historically, based on the recommendations provided by outside advisors, our review of industry specific survey data and the professional and market experience of our Committee members, we measured total cash compensation for our named executive officers against cash compensation paid to executives at similarly situated companies which we determined to be our select peer group. Base salaries for our named executive officers were benchmarked to median levels for companies in the select peer group, and were adjusted upward or downward for performance, and short-term cash incentives were put in place to provide for opportunities that may result in higher than median levels of cash compensation as compared to our select peer group if, and depending upon the extent to which, our performance and that of our named executive officers exceeded expectations and the goals established by the Committee for the year in question.

Historically, our select peer group has included other major Korean based semiconductor companies, including Fairchild Korea, Dongbu Hitek, ChipPac Korea and Hynix Semiconductor. In addition, we also reviewed compensation data from TowersPerrin Korea, an independent compensation consultant, which surveyed the companies listed below, to assess how compensation for our select peer group related to compensation paid to executives in a broader range of technology companies.

Table of Contents

Accenture	CommVerge	Lam Research	NXP Semiconductors
Advanced Micro Devices	CSR	Lexmark International	Orange Business
Applied Materials	Dell	Microsoft	Services
ASML	Electronic Arts	NCsoft	Sony Computer
Blizzard	GCT Semiconductor	Neowiz Games	Entertainment
Cisco Systems	Gravity	NHN Games	Tokyo Electron
CJ Internet	JCEntertainment	Npluto	Toshiba Group
	KLA-Tencor		Verizon Business

The Committee makes annual determinations regarding cash incentive compensation based on our annual operating plan, which is adopted in the December preceding each fiscal year, including the expected performance of our business in the coming fiscal year. The Committee makes all equity compensation decisions for our officers based on existing compensation arrangements for other of our executives at the same level of responsibility and based on our review of the select peer group with a view to maintaining internal consistency and parity.

Equity awards are not tied to base salary or cash incentive amounts and will constitute lesser or greater proportions of total compensation depending on the fair value of the awards. The Committee, relying on the professional and market experience of our Committee members, generally seeks to set equity awards at median levels of equity compensation at the select peer group companies. The Committee does not apply a formula or assign relative weight in making its determination. Instead, it makes a subjective determination after considering all information collectively.

The Committee may approve additional incentive payments or equity compensation grants from time to time during the year in its discretion.

Base Salary

Base salary is the guaranteed element of an employee's annual cash compensation. Changes in base salary may be approved by the Committee for an executive if the median levels of base salary compensation for similarly-situated executives in our select peer group have changed, and may be further adjusted based upon the employee's long-term performance, skill set and the value of that skill. The Committee evaluates the performance of each named executive officer on an annual basis based on the accomplishment of performance objectives that were established at the beginning of the prior fiscal year as well as its own subjective evaluation of the officer's performance. In making its evaluation, the Committee makes a subjective qualitative assessment of the officer's contribution to our performance during the preceding year, including leadership, success in attaining particular goals of a division for which that officer has responsibility, our overall financial performance and such other criteria as the Committee may deem relevant, including input from our Chief Executive Officer. The Committee then makes a subjective decision regarding any changes in base salary based on these factors and the data from our select peer group. The Committee does not systematically assign weights to any of the factors it considers, and may, in its discretion, ignore any factors or deem any one factor to have greater importance for a particular executive officer.

Based upon our financial condition at the time, the Committee determined not to change compensation arrangements at the beginning of 2009. Our employees, including our executive officers, voluntarily accepted a 20% reduction in base salary from 2008 levels from January to March 2009, and an additional 10% reduction from April to June 2009, as part of austerity measures implemented to assist in our recovery. Mr. Park voluntarily accepted a 40% reduction in base salary from January to March 2009, and an additional 20% pay reduction from April to June 2009. We restored salaries to 2008 levels in July 2009. In December 2009, as a reward for the successful completion of our reorganization proceedings, our board of directors approved a one-time payment of 20% of then monthly base salary to all employees who voluntarily accepted pay reductions earlier in the year, which group included all of our named

executive officers. The amount paid to named executive officers are reported as bonus in the Summary Compensation Table below. The Committee

Table of Contents

also granted additional special discretionary incentives to Mr. Hwang, Ms. Sakai and Mr. McFarland, as described in more detail below.

Cash Incentives

Short-term cash incentives comprise a significant portion of the total target compensation package and are designed to reward executives for their contributions to meeting and exceeding our goals and to recognize and reward our executives in achieving these goals. Incentives are designed as a percentage of base salary and are awarded based on individual performance and our achievement of the annual, long-term and strategic quantitative goals set by our Committee.

Given our financial position at the beginning of 2009, we did not modify the annual targets for our cash incentive plans for 2009. As a result, our short-term cash incentive plan was effectively suspended for the year. In December 2009, our board of directors implemented a cash incentive plan effective as of January 1, 2010, which we call the Profit Sharing Plan. Each of our employees is eligible to participate in the Profit Sharing Plan, and our board of directors intends for the Profit Sharing Plan to incentivize our named executive officers, officers and employees to exceed expectations throughout our entire fiscal year. Our board of directors has empowered the Committee to administer the Profit Sharing Plan.

Under the Profit Sharing Plan, the Committee will review our business plan in December of each year and determine an annual consolidated Adjusted EBITDA target, or the Base Target, for the upcoming fiscal year and set the targeted amount to be awarded to our named executive officers and employees, or the Profit Share, for meeting the Base Target and for achievement in excess of the Base Target.

The Base Target is calculated as a percentage of our forecasted gross annual revenue for the upcoming fiscal year. We determine our revenue forecast by looking at several factors, including existing orders from our customers, quarterly and annual forecasts from our customers, our product roadmap and how it corresponds with our projected customer needs, and the overall industry forecasts for the semiconductor market. The Committee's goal is to set a Base Target that is difficult but not unreasonable to achieve. To determine the percentage of gross annual revenue for purposes of setting the Base Target, the Committee, in consultation with our board of directors, first determines a range of Adjusted EBITDA growth and gross margin that is competitive based upon the select peer group and will ensure that we build unitholder value, then sets a percentage such that the forecasted Adjusted EBITDA growth and gross margin is within that range. See Prospectus Summary Summary Historical and Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Data for a discussion of how we define and why we use Adjusted EBITDA.

Each named executive officer receives as a Profit Share a set percentage of their annual base salary once the Base Target is achieved. For 2010, our Chief Executive Officer is eligible to receive 40% of annual base salary, our President is eligible to receive 33.3% of annual base salary, our General Managers are eligible to receive 26.7% of annual base salary, our Senior Vice Presidents are eligible to receive 23.3% of annual base salary and our Vice Presidents are eligible to receive 20% of annual base salary. In the event we exceed the Base Target, we will pay to our executive officers and employees an additional Profit Share of 25% of our annual consolidated Adjusted EBITDA in excess of the Base Target.

We pay the Profit Share during the normal pay period in the January following the conclusion of each fiscal year for which the Profit Share is calculated, and the Profit Share is only payable to those executives who have been employed by us during the entire fiscal year for which the Profit Share is calculated and who are employed by us on the Profit Share payment date, provided that the Profit Share is payable pro rata to any named executive officers who begin their employment during the fiscal year for which the Profit Share is calculated.

Table of Contents

The Committee retains the sole discretion to (i) authorize the payment of the Profit Share in December of the relevant fiscal year when the Committee believes the Base Target will be achieved, (ii) pay Profit Shares when we achieve slightly less than the Base Target, and (iii) make interim Profit Share payments during the fiscal year. In addition to the Profit Sharing Plan, the Committee retains the right to grant discretionary incentives to our named executive officers as a reward for extraordinary performance. For example, Mr. Hwang, Ms. Sakai and Mr. McFarland were paid a discretionary incentive in December 2009 in recognition of their role in our successful reorganization proceedings. These amounts are reported in the Summary Compensation Table in the column labeled Bonus. In addition, Messrs. Park and Rowe were each entitled to fixed bonuses pursuant to their employment agreements subject to continued employment. In the case of Mr. Park, he elected to forego \$300,000 of the bonus otherwise payable to him in order for such amounts to be available for bonuses to other executives, including discretionary bonuses paid to Mr. Hwang, Ms. Sakai and Mr. McFarland.

For 2010, the implementation of the Profit Sharing Plan has been modified to provide our employees with an opportunity to share in our success earlier in the fiscal year than under the existing Profit Sharing Plan. In addition to setting the Base Target, two interim targets for our first and second fiscal quarters have been set. We will make Profit Share payments in the first normal pay period following the conclusion of each of the first two fiscal quarters in which we reach the corresponding quarterly target. The total Profit Share payable for meeting the Base Target for 2010 is capped for each employee at his or her respective percentage of annual base salary, such that the amount of any Profit Share payable for 2010 performance after the end of 2010 will be offset by any portion of the Profit Share paid during 2010 for reaching either or both of the quarterly targets. In addition, for 2010, if we exceed the Base Target our employees will not be eligible to earn the additional Profit Share of 25% of our annual consolidated Adjusted EBITDA in excess of the Base Target. As a result, our executive officers and employees will only be entitled to receive a cash incentive equal to the percentage of their salary disclosed above.

Equity Compensation

In addition to cash incentives, we offer equity incentives as a way to enhance the link between the creation of unitholder value and executive incentive compensation and to give our executives appropriate motivation and rewards for achieving increases in enterprise value. Under our 2009 Common Unit Plan, our board of directors granted options to acquire MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC common units and restricted unit bonus awards. Awards under our 2009 Common Unit Plan will be converted into options for common stock and restricted common stock of MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation upon our corporate conversion. Such options vest in installments over three years following grant, with approximately one-third of the restricted unit awards vested at grant and the remainder vesting in two subsequent annual installments, as set forth in more detail below.

Under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, which will replace the 2009 Common Unit Plan immediately following our corporation conversion, the Committee may grant participants stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares and units, and other stock-based and cash-based awards. In granting equity awards, the Committee may establish any conditions or restrictions it deems appropriate. Stock options and stock appreciation rights must have exercise prices at least equal to the fair market value of the stock at the time of their grant pursuant to the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. Following the completion of this offering, the fair market value of the stock at the time of grant will generally be the closing price of a share of stock as quoted on the national or regional securities exchange or quotation system constituting the primary market for the stock on the date any grant is made. Prior to the exercise of a stock option or stock appreciation or settlement of an award denominated in units, the holder has no rights as a stockholder with respect to the stock subject to the award, including voting rights and the right to receive dividends. Participants receiving restricted stock awards are stockholders and have both voting rights and the right to receive dividends, except that dividends paid on unvested shares may remain subject to

Table of Contents

forfeiture until vested. Award vesting ceases upon termination of employment, and vested options and stock appreciation rights remain exercisable only for a limited period following such termination.

The Committee considers granting additional equity compensation in the event of new employment, a promotion or change in job responsibility or a change in median levels of equity compensation for similarly-situated executives at companies in our select peer group or in its discretion to reward or incentivize individual officers. The option award levels vary among participants based on their job grade and position. The Committee generally seeks to award equity compensation at levels consistent with the median levels for executives at companies in our select peer group, and will also make subjective determinations regarding adjustments to award amounts in light of factors such as the available pool, individual performance and role of executives. For example, the Committee may adjust the size of an award for an individual executive above the option award level for his or her position if the Committee determines that the executive has provided exceptional performance, or may increase the option award level for a position above the median level reflected in the select peer group if the position is considered by the Committee to be more critical to our long-term success. The Committee will generally maintain substantially equivalent award levels for executives at equivalent job grades. Stock option awards are not tied to base salary or cash incentive amounts.

As a result of our reorganization proceedings, all previously outstanding common and preferred units and options held by our named executive officers were cancelled. In December 2009, we granted new options to our executives with the option award amounts generally determined based upon the median levels of our select peer group. Thirty-four percent of the common units subject to the options will vest and become exercisable on the first anniversary of grant date, with 8 or 9% of the common units subject to the options vesting on completion of each three-month period thereafter through December 2012. In December 2009, in recognition of services provided in guiding us through our reorganization proceedings, our board of directors also granted each of our current named executive officers a restricted unit bonus in addition to an option. We granted restricted unit bonuses in order to provide our executives with an embedded value while still incentivizing them to contribute toward increasing our enterprise value. See additional details below in *Grant of Plan-Based Awards*. Thirty-four percent of each restricted unit bonus vested upon grant, with the remaining portion vesting in equal installments on the first and second anniversary of the grant date.

Upon the recommendation of our board of directors or chief executive officer, or otherwise, the Committee may in the future consider granting additional performance-based equity incentives.

Perquisites and Other Benefits

We provide the named executive officers with perquisites and other personal benefits, including expatriate benefits, that the Committee believes are reasonable and consistent with our overall compensation program to better enable us to attract and retain superior employees for key positions. Generally perquisites are determined based upon what the Committee considers to be the most customary perquisites offered by the select peer group and are not based upon a median cost for specific perquisites or for the perquisites in aggregate. The Committee determines the level and types of expatriate benefits for the executive officers based on local market surveys taken by our human resources group. These surveys are not limited to our select peer group, but include a broad range of non-Korea based companies with significant operations in Korea. Attributed costs of the personal benefits for the named executive officers are as set forth in the Summary Compensation Table below.

Mr. Park, Ms. Sakai and Mr. McFarland were expatriates during all or part of 2009 and received expatriate benefits commensurate with market practice in Korea. These perquisites, which were determined on an individual basis, included housing allowances, relocation allowances, insurance premiums, reimbursement for the use of a car, home leave flights, living expenses, tax equalization payments and tax advisory services, each as we deemed appropriate.

In addition, pursuant to the Employee Retirement Benefit Security Act, certain executive officers resident in Korea with one or more years of service are entitled to severance benefits upon the

Table of Contents

termination of their employment for any reason. For purposes of this section, we call this benefit statutory severance. The base statutory severance is approximately one month of base salary per year of service. Mr. Hwang, Ms. Sakai and Mr. McFarland accrue statutory severance.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the compensation earned during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009, of our named executive officers:

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)(1)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Option Awards (\$)(2)	Change in Pension Value and Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)(3)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Hwang Park	2009	376,980	613,893(4)	1,769,600	488,070		314,785(5)	3,563,328
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2008	442,128					351,897(6)	794,025
Chae Young Hwang,	2007	450,148	309,330				244,468(7)	1,003,946
Chief Operating Officer and President	2009	189,748	106,544	663,600	305,044	119,541	10,884(8)	1,395,361
Grent Rowe	2008	212,307				99,095	20,293(9)	331,695
Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales	2007	236,830	119,339			19,735	11,476(10)	387,380
Margaret Sakai	2009	293,054	176,000(11)	442,400	183,026		12,231(12)	1,106,711
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer	2008	226,308	176,000(13)				25,673(14)	427,981
John McFarland,	2007	220,846	176,000(15)				142,191(16)	539,037
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	2009	238,347	46,549	265,440	73,211	12,143	163,668(17)	799,358
Robert J. Krakauer,	2008	250,934				37,683	180,025(18)	468,642
Former President and Chief Financial Officer	2007	250,082	21,569			24,086	167,791(19)	463,528
Former President and Chief Financial Officer	2009	172,229	44,764	265,440	48,807	14,369	99,615(20)	645,224
Former President and Chief Financial Officer	2008	191,147				21,492	79,790(21)	292,429
Former President and Chief Financial Officer	2007	201,839	75,930		23,195	22,802	97,334(22)	421,100
Former President and Chief Financial Officer	2009	467,265					176,554(23)	643,819
Former President and Chief Financial Officer	2008	468,426					820,236(24)	1,288,662
Former President and Chief Financial Officer	2007	375,123	270,903				707,831(25)	1,353,857

Note: Amounts set forth in the above table that were originally paid in Korean won from January 1 to October 25, 2009 and during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 have been converted into U.S. dollars using average exchange rates during the respective periods. After October 25, 2009, a monthly average exchange rate was used.

Footnotes:

- (1) Includes one-time payment of 30% of then monthly base salary to all employees that voluntarily accepted pay reductions earlier in the year.
- (2) Represents grant date fair value with respect to the fiscal year determined in accordance with FASB ASC 718. See Note 4 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Unit-Based Compensation, and Note 19 Equity Incentive Plans, to the MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC audited consolidated financial statements for the two months ended December 31, 2009, the ten months ended October 25, 2009 and the years ended 2008 and 2007.
- (3) Consists of statutory severance accrued during the two months ended December 31, 2009, ten months ended October 25, 2009 and the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, as applicable. See the section subtitled Compensation Discussion and Analysis for a description of the statutory severance benefit.
- (4) Represents bonus payments made in December 2009 pursuant to Mr. Park's Amended and Restated Service Agreement and an additional \$11,250 discretionary bonus. Mr. Park elected to forego \$298,000 of the bonus specified in order for such amounts to be available for bonuses to other executives.
- (5) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. Park: (a) \$125,073, which is the annual aggregate monthly pro rata amount of prepaid housing expenses for Mr. Park's housing lease; (b) \$28,386 for insurance premiums; (c) \$48,319 for other personal benefits (including reimbursement of the use of a car, home leave flights, living expenses and personal tax advisory expenses); and (d) \$89,252 of reimbursement for the difference between the actual tax Mr. Park already paid and the hypothetical tax he had to pay for the fiscal year 2008; and (e) \$23,755 for reimbursement of Korean tax.
- (6) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. Park: (a) \$70,838, which is the aggregate monthly pro rata amount of prepaid housing expenses for Mr. Park's housing lease for six months, \$82,828, which is the total monthly rental payments for seven months' rent for Mr. Park's housing, and \$8,192, which is the imputed benefit to Mr. Park from a refundable deposit held by the lessor of Mr. Park's housing during the lease term; (b) \$27,290 for insurance premiums; (c) \$35,787 for other personal benefits (including reimbursement of the use of a car, home leave flights and personal tax advisory expenses); (d) \$78,913 of reimbursement for the difference between the actual tax Mr. Park already paid and the hypothetical tax he had to pay for the fiscal year 2006 and 2007; (e) \$24,962 for Mr. Park's living expenses; and (f) \$23,087 for reimbursement of Korean tax and employee fringe benefits.

Table of Contents

- (7) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. Park: (a) \$154,798 which is the annual aggregate monthly pro rata amount of prepaid housing expenses for Mr. Park's housing lease; (b) \$42,684 for insurance premiums; (c) \$31,750 for other personal benefits (including personal tax advisory expenses); (d) \$1,188 of reimbursement in relation to a Korean tax payment in 2006; and (e) \$14,048 for reimbursement of Korean tax, the employee contribution portion of the Korean national health insurance program and employee fringe benefits.
- (8) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. Hwang: (a) \$7,832 for reimbursement of the use of a car; and (b) \$3,052 for insurance premiums.
- (9) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. Hwang: (a) \$9,541 for reimbursement of the use of a car; (b) \$9,070 for insurance premiums; and (c) \$1,682 for employee fringe benefits.
- (10) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. Hwang: (a) \$11,056 for reimbursement of the use of a car; and (b) \$420 for employee fringe benefits.
- (11) Under Mr. Rowe's offer letter (as supplemented), in 2007, Mr. Rowe elected to receive a \$528,000 advance on his first three years of potential annual bonus payments at a rate of 80% of base pay. Effective as of April 2009, the right to receive the bonus became fixed and was no longer discretionary. One-third of this amount (\$176,000) was earned in 2009.
- (12) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. Rowe: (a) \$1,597 for reimbursement of the use of a car; and (b) \$10,634 for insurance premiums.
- (13) Under Mr. Rowe's offer letter (as supplemented), in 2007, Mr. Rowe elected to receive a \$528,000 advance on his first three years of potential annual bonus payments at a rate of 80% of base pay. One-third of this amount (\$176,000) was earned in 2008.
- (14) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. Rowe: (a) \$1,983 for reimbursement of the use of a car; (b) \$13,027 for insurance premiums; and (c) \$10,663 for personal tax advisory expenses.
- (15) Under Mr. Rowe's offer letter (as supplemented), in 2007, Mr. Rowe elected to receive a \$528,000 advance on his first three years of potential annual bonus payments at a rate of 80% of base pay. One-third of this amount (\$176,000) was earned in 2007.
- (16) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. Rowe: (a) \$121,826 of Mr. Rowe's relocation allowance when he returned to the U.S. from an expatriate assignment in Korea; (b) \$3,000 for contributions to a pension plan; (c) \$4,967 for personal tax advisory expenses; (d) \$12,130 for insurance premiums; and (e) \$268 for reimbursement of the use of a car.
- (17) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Ms. Sakai: (a) \$25,590, which is the total monthly rental payments for four months rent for Ms. Sakai's housing, and \$32,650, which is the imputed benefit to Ms. Sakai from a refundable deposit held by the lessor of Ms. Sakai's housing during the lease term; (b) \$33,735 for reimbursement of tuition expenses for Ms. Sakai's children; (c) \$21,352 for Ms. Sakai's home leave flights; (d) \$28,238 for insurance premiums; (e) \$8,568 for other personal benefits (including reimbursement of the use of a car, personal tax advisory expenses, and communication expenses); and (f) \$13,535 for reimbursement of Korean tax.
- (18)

Includes the following personal benefits paid to Ms. Sakai: (a) \$61,438, which is the imputed benefit to Ms. Sakai from a refundable deposit held by the lessor of Ms. Sakai's housing during the lease term; (b) \$38,046 for reimbursement of tuition expenses for Ms. Sakai's children; (c) \$23,420 for Ms. Sakai's home leave flights; (d) \$27,211 for insurance premiums; (e) \$21,460 for other personal benefits (including reimbursement of the use of a car, personal tax advisory expenses, and communication expenses); and (f) \$8,450 for reimbursement of Korean tax and employee fringe benefits.

- (19) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Ms. Sakai: (a) \$72,661, which is the imputed benefit to Ms. Sakai from a refundable deposit held by the lessor of Ms. Sakai's housing during the lease term; (b) \$30,649 for reimbursement of tuition expenses for Ms. Sakai's children; (c) \$18,709 for Ms. Sakai's home leave flights; (d) \$28,140 for insurance premiums; (e) \$13,673 for other personal benefits (including reimbursement of the use of a car, personal tax advisory expenses, and communication expenses); and (f) \$3,959 for reimbursement of the employee contribution portion of the Korean national health insurance program and employee fringe benefits.
- (20) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. McFarland: (a) \$23,351 for reimbursement of tuition expenses for Mr. McFarland's child; (b) \$19,978 of reimbursement for the difference between the actual tax Mr. McFarland already paid and the hypothetical tax he had to pay for the fiscal year 2008; (c) \$20,227 for insurance premiums; (d) \$1,089 for other personal benefits (including reimbursement of the use of a car and personal tax advisory expenses); and (e) \$34,970 for reimbursement of Korean tax.
- (21) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. McFarland: (a) \$21,334 for reimbursement of tuition expenses for Mr. McFarland's child; (b) \$13,382 of reimbursement for the difference between the actual tax Mr. McFarland already paid and the hypothetical tax he had to pay for the fiscal year 2007; (c) \$19,736 for insurance premiums paid; (d) \$12,296 for other personal benefits (including reimbursement of the use of a car and personal tax advisory expenses); and (e) \$13,042 for reimbursement of Korean tax and employee fringe benefits.
- (22) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. McFarland: (a) \$35,837 for reimbursement of tuition expenses for Mr. McFarland's child; (b) \$20,292 of reimbursement for the difference between the actual tax Mr. McFarland already paid and the hypothetical tax he had to pay for the fiscal year 2006; (c) \$23,534 for insurance premiums; (d) \$5,050 for other personal benefits (including reimbursement of the use of a car and personal tax advisory expenses); and (e) \$12,621 for reimbursement of Korean tax, the employee contribution portion of the Korean national health insurance program and employee fringe benefits.
- (23) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. Krakauer: (a) \$145,460 for Mr. Krakauer's housing expenses; (b) \$24,329 for insurance premiums; and (c) \$6,765 for other personal benefits (including reimbursement of the use of a car and living expenses).
- (24) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. Krakauer: (a) \$225,940 for Mr. Krakauer's housing expenses; (b) \$97,827 for reimbursement of living expenses; (c) \$29,246 for reimbursement of tuition expenses for Mr. Krakauer's children; (d) \$23,860 for Mr. Krakauer's home leave flights; (e) \$22,842 for insurance premiums; (f) \$22,404 for reimbursement of the use of two cars; (g) \$49,789 for personal tax advisory expenses; (h) \$248,302 of reimbursement for the difference between the actual tax Mr. Krakauer already paid and the hypothetical tax he had to pay for the fiscal year 2006, 2007 and 2008; (i) \$29,604 for repatriation allowance paid to Mr. Krakauer; and (j) \$70,422 for reimbursement of Korean tax and employee fringe benefits.
- (25) Includes the following personal benefits paid to Mr. Krakauer: (a) \$208,962, which is the annual aggregate monthly pro rata amount of prepaid housing expenses for Mr. Krakauer's housing lease; (b) \$30,643 for reimbursement of living expenses; (c) \$71,683 for reimbursement of tuition expenses for Mr. Krakauer's children; (d) \$20,242 for Mr. Krakauer's home leave flights; (e) \$43,823 for insurance premiums; (f) \$63,791 of

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR
reimbursement for all commission and closing costs for the sale of Mr. Krakauer's house in the United States;

Table of Contents

(g) \$12,581 for personal tax advisory expenses; (h) \$21,748 for reimbursement of the use of two cars; (i) \$147,490 of reimbursement for the difference between the actual tax Mr. Krakauer already paid and the hypothetical tax he had to pay for the fiscal year 2006; and (j) \$86,868 for reimbursement of Korean tax, the employee contribution portion of the Korean national health insurance program and employee fringe benefits.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to unit and option awards and other plan-based awards granted during the year ended December 31, 2009 to our named executive officers:

Name	Grant Date	All Other	All Other	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/sh)(2)	Grant Date Fair Value of Unit and Option Awards \$(3)
		Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)(1)	Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)(1)		
Sang Park	12/08/2009	2,240,000			\$ 1,769,600
	12/08/2009		2,240,000	1.16	\$ 488,070
Tae Young Hwang	12/08/2009	840,000			\$ 663,600
	12/08/2009		1,400,000	1.16	\$ 305,044
Brent Rowe	12/08/2009	560,000			\$ 442,400
	12/08/2009		840,000	1.16	\$ 183,026
Margaret Sakai	12/08/2009	336,000			\$ 265,440
	12/08/2009		336,000	1.16	\$ 73,211
John McFarland	12/08/2009	336,000			\$ 265,440
	12/08/2009		224,000	1.16	\$ 48,807

- (1) The vesting schedule applicable to each award is set forth below in the section entitled Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End 2009.
- (2) Exceeds the per unit fair market value of our common unit on the grant date (\$0.79), as determined by our board of directors based on various factors.
- (3) Represents ASC 718 grant date fair value. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Accounting for Unit-based Compensation for a description of how we valued our units as a private company.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End 2009(1)

Option Awards		Unit Awards	
Number of Securities	Number of Securities	Number of Shares or Units of	Market Value of Shares or

Name	Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable(2)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(3)	Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(4)
Sang Park		2,240,000	1.16	12/8/2019	1,478,400	1,167,936
Tae Young Hwang		1,400,000	1.16	12/8/2019	554,400	437,976
Brent Rowe		840,000	1.16	12/8/2019	369,600	291,984
Margaret Sakai		336,000	1.16	12/8/2019	221,760	175,190
John McFarland		224,000	1.16	12/8/2019	221,760	175,190

- (1) All of our outstanding common and preferred units and outstanding options as of November 9, 2009 were terminated as of November 9, 2009 pursuant to our reorganization proceedings.
- (2) An installment of 34% of the common units subject to the options will vest and become exercisable on December 8, 2010, an additional 9% of the options vest on the completion of the next period of three months, an additional 8% of the options vest upon the completion of each of the next three-month periods, an additional 9% of the options vest upon the completion of the next

Table of Contents

quarter, and an additional 8% of the options vest upon the completion of each of the next three quarters.

- (3) The restrictions on the units lapse on December 8, 2010 as to 33% of the total amount of restricted common units originally awarded and on December 8, 2011 as to 33% of the total amount of restricted common units originally awarded.
- (4) During fiscal year 2009, there was no established public trading market for our outstanding common equity. The reported value represents the product of multiplying the number of unvested restricted units by the value of our units of \$0.79 as of December 31, 2009, the last day of our fiscal year. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Accounting for Unit-based Compensation for a description of how we valued our units while as a private company.
- (5) Mr. Krakauer resigned as our President, Chief Financial Officer and director on April 10, 2009.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested at Fiscal Year End 2009(1)

Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)(2)	Value Realized on Vesting \$(3)
Sang Park	761,600	601,664
Tae Young Hwang	285,600	225,624
Brent Rowe	190,400	150,416
John McFarland	114,240	90,250
Margaret Sakai	114,240	90,250

- (1) All of our outstanding common and preferred units and outstanding options as of November 9, 2009 were terminated as of November 9, 2009 pursuant to our reorganization proceedings.
- (2) The restrictions on the units lapsed on December 8, 2009 as to 34% of the total amount of restricted common units originally awarded.
- (3) During fiscal year 2009, there was no established public trading market for our outstanding common equity. The reported value represents the product of multiplying the number of vested units by the value of our units of \$0.79 as of the date of vesting.

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan

All of our outstanding common and preferred units and options and related plans were terminated as of November 9, 2009 pursuant to our reorganization proceedings. Following our emergence from our reorganization proceedings, in December 2009, our board of directors adopted, and our equityholders approved, the MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan, which we refer to as the 2009 Plan. The 2009 Plan provides for the grant of nonstatutory options, restricted unit bonus and purchase right awards, and deferred unit awards to employees and consultants of our company and our subsidiaries and to members of our board of directors. However, only options and restricted unit bonus awards have been granted under the 2009 Plan. Subject to adjustment in the event of certain changes in capital structure, the maximum aggregate number of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC common units that are available for grant under the 2009 Plan is 30,000,000. Units subject to awards that expire, are forfeited or otherwise terminate will

again be available for grant under the 2009 Plan.

In connection with our corporate conversion, we will assume the rights and obligations of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC under the 2009 Plan and convert MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC common unit options and restricted common units outstanding under the 2009 Plan into options to acquire a number of shares of our common stock and shares of restricted common stock at a ratio of

Table of Contents

on substantially equivalent terms and conditions. Following the corporate conversion, a total of _____ shares of common stock will be reserved for issuance under the 2009 Plan. As of December 31, 2009, based upon our common units outstanding as of December 31, 2009, and after giving effect to the corporate conversion pursuant to which each common unit will be automatically converted into shares of our common stock at a ratio of _____, there would have been outstanding under the 2009 Plan options to purchase _____ shares of common stock, at a weighted average exercise price of \$ _____ per share. The 2009 Plan will terminate immediately following our corporate conversion, and no additional options or other equity awards may be granted under the 2009 Plan following its termination. However, options granted under the 2009 Plan prior to its termination will remain outstanding until they are either exercised or expire.

The 2009 Plan is administered by the Committee. Subject to the provisions of the 2009 Plan, the Committee determines in its discretion the persons to whom and the times at which awards are granted, the sizes of such awards, and all of their terms and conditions. All awards are evidenced by a written agreement between us and the holder of the award. The Committee has the authority to construe and interpret the terms of the 2009 Plan and awards granted under it.

In the event of a change in control of our company, the vesting of all outstanding awards held by participants whose employment has not previously terminated will accelerate in full. In addition, the Committee has the authority to require that outstanding awards be assumed or replaced with substantially equivalent awards by the successor corporation or to cancel the outstanding awards in exchange for a payment in cash or other property equal to the fair market value of restricted units or the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the units subject to an option over the exercise price per unit of such option.

2010 Equity Incentive Plan

Our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2010 Plan, was approved by our board of directors in March 2010 and will be effective upon our corporate conversion, subject to its approval by our equityholders, which is expected prior to the completion of this offering.

A number of shares of our common stock equal to the total number of shares of common stock (as adjusted by the conversion ratio in the corporate conversion) remaining available for grant under the 2009 Plan upon its termination immediately following the corporate conversion will be initially authorized and reserved for issuance under the 2010 Plan. This reserve will automatically increase on January 1, 2011 and each subsequent anniversary through 2020, by an amount equal to the smaller of 2% of the number of shares of common stock issued and outstanding on the immediately preceding December 31 or an amount determined by our board of directors. The number of shares authorized for issuance under the 2010 Plan will also be increased from time to time by up to that number of shares of common stock (as adjusted by the conversion ratio in corporate conversion) remaining subject to options and restricted stock awards outstanding under the 2009 Plan at the time of its termination immediately following the corporate conversion that expire or terminate or are forfeited for any reason after the effective date of the 2010 Plan. Appropriate adjustments will be made in the number of authorized shares and other numerical limits in the 2010 Plan and in outstanding awards to prevent dilution or enlargement of participants' rights in the event of a stock split or other change in our capital structure. Shares subject to awards granted under our 2010 Plan which expire, are repurchased, or are cancelled or forfeited will again become available for issuance under the 2010 Plan. The shares available will not be reduced by awards settled in cash. Shares withheld to satisfy tax withholding obligations will not again become available for grant. The gross number of shares issued upon the exercise of stock appreciation rights or options exercised by means of a net exercise or by tender of previously owned shares will be deducted from the shares available under the 2010 Plan.

Awards may be granted under the 2010 Plan to our employees, including officers, directors, or consultants or those of any present or future parent or subsidiary corporation or other affiliated entity.

Table of Contents

While we may grant incentive stock options only to employees, we may grant nonstatutory stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock purchase rights or bonuses, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units and cash-based awards or other stock-based awards to any eligible participant.

The 2010 Plan is administered by the Committee. Subject to the provisions of the 2010 Plan, the Committee determines in its discretion the persons to whom and the times at which awards are granted, the sizes of such awards, and all of their terms and conditions. All awards are evidenced by a written agreement between us and the holder of the award. The Committee has the authority to construe and interpret the terms of the 2010 Plan and awards granted under it.

In the event of a change in control as described in the 2010 Plan, the acquiring or successor entity may assume or continue all or any awards outstanding under the 2010 Plan or substitute substantially equivalent awards. Any awards which are not assumed or continued in connection with a change in control or are not exercised or settled prior to the change in control will terminate effective as of the time of the change in control. The Committee may provide for the acceleration of vesting of any or all outstanding awards upon such terms and to such extent as it determines, except that the vesting of all awards held by members of our board of directors who are not employees will automatically be accelerated in full. The 2010 Plan also authorizes the Committee, in its discretion and without the consent of any participant, to cancel each or any outstanding award denominated in shares upon a change in control in exchange for a payment to the participant with respect to each share subject to the cancelled award of an amount equal to the excess of the consideration to be paid per share of common stock in the change in control transaction over the exercise price per share, if any, under the award.

2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Our 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or the Purchase Plan, was approved by our board of directors in March 2010 and, subject to its approval by our equityholders, will become effective upon the commencement of this offering.

A number of shares of our common stock equal to 2% of the number of shares of common stock estimated to be outstanding immediately after completion of this offering, including the exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares will be initially authorized and reserved for sale under the Purchase Plan. In addition, the Purchase Plan provides for an automatic annual increase in the number of shares available for issuance under the plan on January 1 of each year beginning in 2011 and continuing through and including January 1, 2020 equal to the lesser of (i) 1% of our then issued and outstanding shares of common stock on the immediately preceding December 31, (ii) a number of shares of our common stock equal to 2% of the number of shares of common stock estimated to be outstanding immediately after completion of this offering, including the exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares or (c) a number of shares as our board may determine. Appropriate adjustments will be made in the number of authorized shares and in outstanding purchase rights to prevent dilution or enlargement of participants' rights in the event of a stock split or other change in our capital structure. Shares subject to purchase rights which expire or are canceled will again become available for issuance under the Purchase Plan.

Our employees and employees of any parent or subsidiary corporation designated by the Committee are eligible to participate in the Purchase Plan if they are customarily employed by us for more than 20 hours per week and more than five months in any calendar year. However, an employee may not be granted a right to purchase stock under the Purchase Plan if: (i) the employee immediately after such grant would own stock possessing 5% or more of the total combined voting power or value of all classes of our capital stock or of any parent or subsidiary corporation, or (ii) the employee's rights to purchase stock under all of our employee stock purchase plans would accrue at a rate that exceeds \$25,000 in value for each calendar year of participation in such plans.

Table of Contents

The Purchase Plan is implemented through a series of sequential offering periods, generally three months in duration beginning on the first trading days of February, May, August, and November each year. However, the Committee may establish an offering period to commence on the effective date of the Purchase Plan that will end on a date, on or about July 31, 2010, determined by the Committee. The Committee is authorized to establish additional or alternative concurrent, sequential or overlapping offering periods and offering periods having a different duration or different starting or ending dates, provided that no offering period may have a duration exceeding 27 months.

Amounts accumulated for each participant, generally through payroll deductions, are credited toward the purchase of shares of our common stock at the end of each offering period at a price generally equal to 95% of the fair market value of our common stock on the purchase date. Prior to commencement of an offering period, the Committee is authorized to change the purchase price discount for that offering period, but the purchase price may not be less than 85% of the lower of the fair market value of our common stock at the beginning of the offering period or on the purchase date.

No participant may purchase under the Purchase Plan in any calendar year shares having a value of more than \$25,000 measured by the fair market value per share of our common stock on the first day of the applicable offering period. Prior to the beginning of any offering period, the Committee may alter the maximum number of shares that may be purchased by any participant during the offering period or specify a maximum aggregate number of shares that may be purchased by all participants in the offering period. If insufficient shares remain available under the plan to permit all participants to purchase the number of shares to which they would otherwise be entitled, the Committee will make a pro rata allocation of the available shares. Any amounts withheld from participants' compensation in excess of the amounts used to purchase shares will be refunded, without interest.

In the event of a change in control, an acquiring or successor corporation may assume our rights and obligations under the Purchase Plan. If the acquiring or successor corporation does not assume such rights and obligations, then the purchase date of the offering periods then in progress will be accelerated to a date prior to the change in control as specified by the Committee, but the number of shares subject to outstanding purchase rights shall not be adjusted.

Agreements with Executives and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

We are obligated to make certain payments to our named executive officers upon termination or a change in control as further described below.

Sang Park. We are party to an Amended and Restated Services Agreement, dated as of May 8, 2008, with Mr. Park pursuant to which he serves as our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Under the agreement, Mr. Park was to receive an initial base salary of \$450,000 and a one-time performance bonus payment of \$900,000. Mr. Park is also entitled to an annual incentive award of 100% of his annual salary based upon the achievement of performance goals, provided that the actual bonus paid may be higher or lower dependent on over- or under-achievement of his performance goals, as determined by the Committee. Mr. Park is entitled to customary employee benefits and certain expatriate, repatriation and international service benefits, including relocation benefits, tax equalization benefits, the cost of housing accommodations and expenses, transportation benefits and repatriation benefits. Pursuant to the agreement Mr. Park was granted options to purchase restricted common units but they were subsequently terminated in connection with our reorganization proceedings. The restated service agreement also contains customary non-competition and non-solicitation covenants lasting two and three years, respectively, from the date of termination of employment and confidentiality covenants of unlimited duration.

If Mr. Park's employment is terminated without Cause or if he resigns for good reason, Mr. Park is entitled to receive (i) payment of all salary and benefits accrued up to the date of termination, (ii) payment of his then-current base salary for twelve months, (iii) the annual incentive award to which Mr. Park would have been entitled for the year in which

his employment terminates, (iv) twelve

Table of Contents

months accelerated vesting on outstanding equity awards and a twelve-month post-termination equity award exercise period, and (v) continued participation for Mr. Park and his eligible dependents in our benefit plans for twelve months, including certain international service benefits.

If such termination occurs within nine months of a change in control, Mr. Park is entitled to receive (i) payment of all salary and benefits accrued and unpaid up to the date of termination, (ii) payment of his then-current base salary for twenty-four months, (iii) the annual incentive award to which Mr. Park would have been entitled for the year in which his employment terminates, (iv) two years accelerated vesting on outstanding equity awards, other than awards granted pursuant to the 2009 Plan, which accelerate in full, (v) a twelve-month post-termination equity award exercise period, and (vi) continued participation for Mr. Park and his eligible dependents in our benefit plans for two years, including certain international service benefits.

The severance described above payable to Mr. Park upon his termination without Cause or in connection with a change in control shall be reduced to the extent that we pay any statutory severance payments to Mr. Park pursuant to the Korean Commercial Code or any other statute.

As used in the agreement, the term Cause means the termination of Mr. Park's employment because of (i) a failure by Mr. Park to substantially perform his customary duties (other than such failure resulting from incapacity due to physical or mental illness); (ii) Mr. Park's gross negligence, intentional misconduct or material fraud in the performance of Mr. Park's employment; (iii) Mr. Park's conviction of, or plea of nolo contendere to, a felony or to a crime involving fraud or dishonesty; (iv) a judicial determination that Mr. Park committed fraud or dishonesty against any natural person, firm, partnership, limited liability company, association, corporation, company, trust, business trust, governmental authority or other entity; or (v) Mr. Park's material violation of the agreement or of one or more of the material policies applicable to his employment. Resignation for good reason means a resignation upon any of the following events that remains uncured for 30 days after Mr. Park delivers a demand to us: (i) a salary reduction other than a reduction of less than 10% applied to our other officers, (ii) material reduction in benefits, (iii) failure to provide housing, (iv) nature or status of Mr. Park's authorities, duties or responsibilities are materially and adversely altered, (v) removal from our board of directors without cause, or (vi) Mr. Park is not reappointed as Chief Executive Officer following our initial public offering.

In the event we terminate Mr. Park's employment due to Disability, Mr. Park shall be entitled to (i) payment of his Salary and accrued vacation up to and including the date of termination, (ii) payment of any unpaid expense reimbursements, (iii) the prorated amount of any cash incentive to which Mr. Park would have been entitled, and (iv) other benefits due to Mr. Park through his termination date. As used in the agreement, the term Disability means that the we determine that due to physical or mental illness or incapacity, whether total or partial, Mr. Park is substantially unable to perform his duties for a period of 180 consecutive days or shorter periods aggregating 180 days during any period of 365 consecutive days.

In the event of Mr. Park's death while employed by us, Mr. Park's estate or named beneficiary shall be entitled to (i) payment of Mr. Park's salary and accrued vacation up to and including the date of termination, (ii) payment of any unpaid expense reimbursements, (iii) the prorated amount of any cash incentive to which Mr. Park would have been entitled, and (iv) other benefits due to Mr. Park through his termination date.

Tae Young Hwang. We entered into an Entrustment Agreement with Mr. Hwang, effective as of October 1, 2004, under which he serves as our Chief Operating Officer and President, with an initial base salary of 220 million Korean won per year and with a target annual incentive bonus to be determined by management based on performance. Mr. Hwang is entitled to customary employee benefits and expatriate benefits. The agreement also contains customary non-competition covenants lasting one year from the date of termination of employment and confidentiality covenants of unlimited duration.

Table of Contents

If Mr. Hwang's employment is terminated for any reason, he is entitled to statutory severance payments pursuant to the Korean Commercial Code.

Brent Rowe. We entered into an Offer Letter with Mr. Rowe, dated as of March 7, 2006, pursuant to which Mr. Rowe serves as our Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales, with an initial base salary of \$220,000 per year, a sign on bonus of \$50,000 and with a target annual incentive bonus opportunity of 80% of his base salary. Mr. Rowe is entitled to customary employee benefits. Pursuant to the Offer Letter, Mr. Rowe received an initial grant of options to purchase our common units, but the grant was subsequently terminated in connection with our reorganization proceedings.

If Mr. Rowe's employment is terminated without cause, he is entitled to a severance payment equal to six months salary.

Margaret Sakai. We entered into an Offer Letter with Ms. Sakai, dated as of September 5, 2006, pursuant to which Ms. Sakai served as our Senior Vice President, Finance, with an initial base salary of \$250,000 per year and with a target annual incentive bonus opportunity of 50% of her base salary. Ms. Sakai's title was changed to Senior Vice President and Chief Financial officer in 2009. Ms. Sakai is entitled to customary employee benefits and expatriate benefits. Pursuant to her Offer Letter, Ms. Sakai received an initial grant of options to purchase our common units, but the grant was subsequently terminated in connection with our reorganization proceedings.

If Ms. Sakai's employment is terminated by us without cause, Ms. Sakai is entitled to receive payment of all salary and benefits accrued and unpaid up to the date of termination, continued payment of her salary for six months at the rate in effect on the date of termination, payment of a prorated portion of the annual incentive bonus for the year in which termination occurs and paid benefits for Ms. Sakai and her dependents for six months. The severance payable to Ms. Sakai under her Offer Letter will be reduced to the extent we make any statutory severance payments to Ms. Sakai pursuant to the Korean Commercial Code or any other statute.

John McFarland. We are party to a Service Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2006, with Mr. McFarland pursuant to which he serves as our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. Under the agreement, Mr. McFarland was eligible to receive an initial base salary of 175 million Korean won per year, with a target annual incentive bonus opportunity of 50% of his base salary. Mr. McFarland is entitled to customary employee benefits and certain expatriate, repatriation and international service benefits. Mr. McFarland received an initial grant of options to purchase our common units, but the grant was subsequently terminated in connection with our reorganization proceedings. The agreement also contains customary non-competition and non-solicitation covenants lasting one and two years, respectively, from the date of termination of employment and confidentiality covenants of unlimited duration.

Pursuant to the agreement, if Mr. McFarland's employment is terminated for any reason other than Disability, death or Cause, he shall be entitled to (i) payment of all salary and benefits accrued up to the date of termination, (ii) a severance payment, consisting of the continuation of his then current salary for a period of six months, (iii) six months of paid benefits for Mr. McFarland and his eligible dependents and (iv) the prorated amount of any cash incentive to which Mr. McFarland would have been entitled. The severance payable to Mr. McFarland under his agreement will be reduced to the extent we make any statutory severance payments to Mr. McFarland pursuant to the Korean Commercial Code or any other statute.

In the event we terminate Mr. McFarland's employment due to Disability, Mr. McFarland shall be entitled to (i) payment of his then current salary up to and including the date of termination, (ii) the dollar value of all accrued and unused vacation benefits based upon Mr. McFarland's most recent level of salary, (iii) any cash incentive amount actually earned but not previously paid to Mr. McFarland, (iv) payment of any unpaid expense reimbursements, and

(v) the prorated amount of any cash incentive to which Mr. McFarland would have been entitled. As used in the agreement, the term Disability means that we reasonably determine that due to physical or mental illness or

Table of Contents

incapacity, whether total or partial, Mr. McFarland is substantially unable to perform his duties for a period of 180 consecutive days or shorter periods aggregating 180 days during any period of 365 consecutive days.

In the event of Mr. McFarland's death while employed by us, Mr. McFarland's estate or named beneficiary shall be entitled to (i) payment of Mr. McFarland's then current salary up to and including the date of termination, (ii) the dollar value of all accrued and unused vacation benefits based upon Mr. McFarland's then current salary, (iii) any cash incentive amount actually earned but not previously paid to Mr. McFarland, (iv) payment of any unpaid expense reimbursements, and (v) the prorated amount of any cash incentive to which Mr. McFarland would have been entitled.

If Mr. McFarland's employment is terminated for Cause, he will be entitled to receive payment of all salary and benefits and unreimbursed expenses accrued up to the date of termination and will not be entitled to any other compensation. As used in the agreement, the term "Cause" has substantially the same definition as that in Mr. Park's agreement.

Robert J. Krakauer. Until April 10, 2009, Robert J. Krakauer served as our President, Chief Financial Officer and director. In April 2009, we entered into a Senior Advisor Agreement with Mr. Krakauer. Under this agreement, Mr. Krakauer resigned from employment and as a director with us but remains available to consult with us on a limited capacity until April 10, 2010. Pursuant to the Senior Advisor Agreement, Mr. Krakauer is entitled to payments in the aggregate amount of \$375,000, payable over a one-year period, plus the re-payment of amounts of reduced salary for the first three months of 2009, in addition to the continuation of certain benefits and perquisites, including health insurance benefits, and the continuation of auto lease payments for a certain number of months. In addition, we waived any right we had to repurchase any restricted units held by Mr. Krakauer at the time of his resignation. All common units held by Mr. Krakauer were terminated in connection with our reorganization proceedings.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control.

Termination. Our named executive officers are eligible to receive certain payments and benefits in connection with certain service termination events pursuant to the terms of our employment agreements with them, as further described under the section entitled "Agreements with Executives and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control." The terms "cause" and "resignation for good reason" used below have the meanings given to them in the applicable agreements with us.

Change in Control. Mr. Park is entitled to receive certain payments and benefits in connection with a change in control of our company pursuant to our employment agreement with him, as further described under the section entitled "Agreements with Executives and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control." In addition, in the event of a change in control of our company, the vesting of all outstanding awards issued under the 2009 Plan held by participants whose employment has not previously terminated will accelerate in full. In addition, the Committee has the authority to require that outstanding awards be assumed or replaced with substantially equivalent awards by the successor corporation or to cancel the outstanding awards in exchange for a payment in cash or other property equal to the fair market value of restricted units or the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the units subject to an option over the exercise price per unit of such option. For purposes of the foregoing, a "change in control" is generally defined as the acquisition by a person or entity of more than 51% of the combined voting power of our then outstanding voting securities or a sale or transfer of all or substantially all of our consolidated assets to a person or entity that is not our affiliate. The offering will not constitute a change of control for the purposes of these provisions.

The following table presents our estimate of the dollar value of the payments and benefits payable to our named executive officers upon the occurrence of the following events, assuming that

Table of Contents

each such event occurred on December 31, 2009. The disclosure in the following table does not include:

any accrued benefits that were earned and payable as of December 31, 2009, including any short-term cash incentive amounts earned by, or any discretionary bonus amounts payable to, the executive officer for 2009 performance; or

payments and benefits to the extent they are provided generally to all salaried employees and do not discriminate in scope, terms or operation in favor of the named executive officers.

Name	Event	Cash Severance Payment (\$)(1)	Continuation of Benefits (\$)(2)	Value of Equity Award Acceleration (\$)(3)	Total (\$)
Sang Park	(a)(4)	450,000	314,785(5)	583,968	1,348,753
	(b)(4)	900,000	629,570(6)	1,167,936	2,697,506
	(c)			1,167,936	1,167,936
Tae Young Hwang	(c)			437,976	437,976
Brent Rowe	(a)	110,000			110,000
	(c)			291,984	291,984
Margaret Sakai	(a)	130,000	81,834(7)		211,834
	(c)			175,190	175,190
John McFarland	(a)	94,210	49,808(8)		144,018
	(c)			175,190	175,190

(a) Termination without cause in absence of change in control

(b) Termination without cause within 9 months following a change in control

(c) Change in control

(1) Represents cash severance payments payable to our named executive officers pursuant to our employment agreements with them, prior to giving effect to the terms thereof relating to the Employee Retirement Benefit Security Act of Korea. Other than Mr. Rowe, who is entitled to a lump sum cash severance payment, cash severance payments are paid monthly in accordance with our regular payroll procedures.

Pursuant to the Employee Retirement Benefit Security Act, Mr. Hwang, Ms. Sakai and Mr. McFarland are entitled to certain statutory severance benefits from us upon the termination of their employment with us for any reason. See Management Compensation Discussion and Analysis Perquisites and Other Benefits for additional information. For these executives, the amounts reflected in this column would be reduced to the extent we are obligated to make these statutory severance payments.

(2) Calculated assuming the continuation of benefits for the applicable period at the same dollar value of 2009 benefits.

(3)

Reflects the aggregate value of the accelerated vesting of the named executive officer's unvested options and restricted common units, as applicable.

Because all of our options to purchase common units outstanding as of December 31, 2009 have an exercise price greater than the fair market value of our common units of \$0.79 as of December 31, 2009, no additional value is represented by the acceleration of outstanding unvested common units subject to such awards and therefore, the value of accelerated vesting of unvested options is \$0.00.

Because all of our restricted common units issued under the 2009 Plan outstanding as of December 31, 2009 were issued without any required monetary payment, the amounts were calculated by multiplying (i) the number of outstanding restricted common units subject to award

Table of Contents

vesting on December 31, 2009 by (ii) the fair market value of our common units of \$0.79 as of December 31, 2009.

- (4) Reflected benefits are also payable in connection with Mr. Park's resignation for good reason. See Management Agreements with Executives and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control – Sang Park.
- (5) Represents the aggregate value of the continuation of health insurance benefits for Mr. Park and his eligible dependents for twelve months following the date of termination. Mr. Park is also entitled to tax equalization benefits, tax preparation services, the reimbursement of costs associated with one home leave flight and, for a period of twelve months post-termination, international health insurance benefits, paid housing and the use of a car and a driver.
- (6) Represents the aggregate value of the continuation of health insurance benefits for Mr. Park and his eligible dependents for twenty-four months following the date of termination. Mr. Park is also entitled to tax equalization benefits, tax preparation services, the reimbursement of costs associated with two home leave flights and, for a period of twenty-four months post-termination, international health insurance benefits, paid housing and the use of a car and a driver.
- (7) Represents the aggregate value of the continuation of health insurance benefits for Ms. Sakai and her eligible dependents for six months following the date of termination. Ms. Sakai is also entitled to tax equalization benefits, tax preparation services, reimbursement of costs associated with one home leave flight and, for a period of six months post-termination, paid housing, the use of a car and a driver and child tuition benefits.
- (8) Represents the aggregate value of continuation of health insurance benefits for Mr. McFarland and his eligible dependents for six months following the date of termination. Mr. McFarland is also entitled to tax equalization, tax preparation services and, for a period of six months post-termination, child tuition benefits.

Pension Benefits for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2009

Pursuant to the Employee Retirement Benefit Security Act, certain executive officers resident in Korea with one or more years of service are entitled to severance benefits upon the termination of their employment for any reason. The base statutory severance accrues at the rate of approximately one month of base salary per year of service and is calculated on a monthly basis based upon the officer's salary for the prior three month period. Accordingly, if the named executive officers in the following table had retired on the last day of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, they would have been entitled to the statutory severance payments described below. Assuming no change in the applicable law, each of these executives will continue to accrue additional statutory severance benefits at the rate described above until his or her service with us terminates.

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years of Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)	Payments During the Last Fiscal Year
Tae Young Hwang	Statutory Severance with Multiplier for Partial Period	14(1)	686,058	
Margaret Sakai	Statutory Severance	3	68,155	
John McFarland	Statutory Severance	5	81,129	

Footnote:

- (1) Mr. Hwang accrued severance for his fourteen years of service at MagnaChip and its predecessor corporation. Although the minimum legal severance accrual is one month of base salary per year of service, Mr. Hwang was eligible for accrual of a multiple of two to three months of base salary per year of service during approximately the first ten of his fourteen years of service.

Table of Contents**Nonqualified Deferred Compensation**

We do not maintain any nonqualified deferred compensation plans.

Director Compensation for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2009

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Option Awards \$(1)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Jerry M. Baker(2)(3)	50,000		25,751(4)	75,751
Armando Geday(2)(3)	50,000			50,000
Michael Elkins(5)				
Randal Klein(5)				
Steven Tan(5)				
Nader Tavakoli(5)				

Note: Amounts set forth in the above table that were originally paid in Korean won have been converted into U.S. dollars at the exchange rate as of each payment date during the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 and the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009.

Footnotes:

- (1) All of our common and preferred units and outstanding options, including grants made to our directors outstanding prior to the effective date of our Chapter 11 reorganization of November 9, 2009, were terminated as of such date pursuant to our reorganization proceedings.
- (2) Resigned as a director effective November 9, 2009.
- (3) Consists of annual retainer of \$50,000 paid to non-employee directors prior to our reorganization proceedings.
- (4) Represents payments for insurance premiums.
- (5) Each of our non-employee directors appointed to our board of directors subsequent to the effective date of our Chapter 11 reorganization did not receive any compensation in 2009.

Further Information Regarding Director Compensation Table

In March 2010, we issued to our director Nader Tavakoli a restricted unit bonus for 150,000 common units pursuant to the 2009 Plan for service as a director to date. In March 2010, we also adopted a new director compensation policy. Under the new policy, each of our non-employee directors is entitled to receive an annual fee of \$50,000. In addition, the chairman of our audit committee is entitled to an additional fee of \$5,000. We expect to issue each non-employee director an option to purchase 200,000 common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC, which, after giving effect to the corporate conversion, will be automatically converted into shares of our common stock, and which shall vest on the same terms as option grants to our other grantees. In March 2010, pursuant to this policy, we issued options to

purchase 200,000 common units to each of our directors R. Douglas Norby, Gidu Shroff and Nader Tavakoli pursuant to the 2009 Plan at an exercise price of \$2.12 per unit.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The members of the Compensation Committee will be appointed prior to the completion of this offering. We do not anticipate that any of the members of the Compensation Committee will have been an officer or employee of our company during the last fiscal year. During 2009, decisions regarding executive officer compensation were made by our full board of directors. Mr. Sang Park,

Table of Contents

Chairman of our board of directors and our Chief Executive Officer, participated in deliberations of our board of directors regarding the determination of compensation of our executive officers other than himself. None of our executive officers currently serves, or in the past has served, as a member of the board of directors or the compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving on our board of directors.

Table of Contents**PRINCIPAL AND SELLING STOCKHOLDERS****Selling Stockholders**

The following table and accompanying footnotes set forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock by each of the following selling stockholders based on the outstanding common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC as of December 31, 2009 as adjusted to reflect the corporate conversion.

As of December 31, 2009, MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC's outstanding securities consisted of 307,083,996 common units, options to purchase 15,365,000 common units and warrants to purchase 15,000,000 common units and, after giving effect to the corporate conversion, we would have had outstanding _____ shares of common stock, options to purchase _____ shares of common stock and warrants to purchase _____ shares of common stock.

The amounts and percentages of common stock beneficially owned are reported on the basis of SEC regulations governing the determination of beneficial ownership of securities. Under SEC rules, a person is deemed to be a beneficial owner of a security if that person has or shares voting power, which includes the power to vote or to direct the voting of such security, or investment power, which includes the power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of such security. A person is also deemed to be a beneficial owner of any securities of which that person has the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days. Under these rules, more than one person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of the same securities and a person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of securities as to which he or she has no economic interest.

Except as indicated by footnote, the persons named in the table below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each person listed in the table below is c/o MagnaChip Semiconductor Ltd., 1 Hyang jeong-dong, Hungduk-gu, Cheongju-si, 361-725, Korea.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned Prior to Offering(1)		Shares of Common Stock Being Offered	Shares of Common Stock Subject to Underwriters Option	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned Following Offering Assuming No Exercise of Underwriters Option(1)		Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned Following Offering Assuming Exercise of Underwriters Option in Full(1)	
	Amount	Percent			Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent

Selling Stockholders

* Less than one percent.

- (1) Includes any outstanding shares of common stock held and, to the extent applicable, shares issuable upon the exercise or conversion of any securities that are exercisable or convertible within 60 days of _____, 2010.

Each of the selling stockholders will acquire the shares of common stock to be sold by such stockholders in this offering pursuant to the conversion of common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC into common shares of MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation pursuant to the corporate conversion, which will occur immediately prior to the closing of this offering. Such selling stockholders acquired such common units under our plan of reorganization in November 2009. All of the common units issued to the selling stockholders were in satisfaction of their claims as creditors. In accordance

Table of Contents

with our plan of reorganization, in exchange for the claims, (i) holders of our Floating Rate Second Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2011, or the Floating Rate Notes, and 67/8% Second Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2011, or the 67/8% Notes, received their pro rata share of newly issued common units equal to five percent of the then outstanding common units, which was equal to 29.667627 common units per \$1,000 principal amount of Floating Rate Notes and 30.498559 common units per \$1,000 principal amount of 6.875% Notes, and (ii) the holders of our 8% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014, or the Subordinated Notes, received their pro rata share of (a) newly issued common units equal to one percent of the then outstanding common units, which was equal to 12 common units per \$1,000 principal amount of Subordinated Notes and (b) warrants to purchase five percent of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC's then outstanding equity, which was equal to 60 warrants per \$1,000 principal amount of Subordinated Notes.

In addition, under our plan of reorganization, holders of the Floating Rate Notes and the 67/8% Notes who were accredited investors received a pro-rata right to participate in an offering of up to \$35 million in new common units, which was equal to 84% of the outstanding common units following the completion of the offering at a price per common unit of \$0.14. Subject to certain conditions, Avenue agreed to purchase any unsubscribed common units. In consideration of this obligation, Avenue received a backstop fee equal to 10% of the then outstanding common units, or 30,000,000 common units. In addition, Avenue acquired 176,131,368 common units in the offering. Avenue also received 4,260,449 common units in exchange for the release of their claims on the Floating Rate Notes, 3,198,353 common units in exchange for the release of their claims on the 67/8% Notes and 889,536 common units in exchange for the release of their claims on the Subordinated Notes. Tennenbaum Multi-Strategy Fund SPV (Cayman) Ltd., or Tennenbaum, acquired 19,540,080 common units in the offering and received 445,014 common units in exchange for the release of its claims on the Floating Rate Notes and 724,951 common units in exchange for the release of its claims on the 67/3% Notes. Southpaw Credit Opportunity Master Fund LP acquired 21,613,032 common units in the offering and received 1,272,237 common units in exchange for the release of their claims on the Floating Rate Notes. Wilshire Institutional Master Fund SPC Wilshire Southpaw Opportunity Segregated Portfolio acquired 546,840 common units in the offering and 32,189 common units in exchange for the release of their claims on the Floating Rate Notes. GPC 76, LLC received 90,931 common units in exchange for the release of their claims on the Floating Rate Notes.

Principal Unitholders of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of the outstanding equity interests of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC as of December 31, 2009 by: (1) each person or entity known to us to beneficially own more than 5% of any class of our outstanding securities; (2) each member of our board of directors; (3) each of our named executive officers; and (4) all of the members of our board of directors and executive officers, as a group. As of December 31, 2009, MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC's outstanding securities consisted of 307,083,996 common units, options to purchase 15,365,000 common units and warrants to purchase 15,000,000 common units.

The amounts and percentages of equity interests beneficially owned are reported on the basis of SEC regulations governing the determination of beneficial ownership of securities. Under SEC rules, a person is deemed to be a beneficial owner of a security if that person has or shares voting power, which includes the power to vote or to direct the voting of such security, or investment power, which includes the power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of such security. A person is also deemed to be a beneficial owner of any securities of which that person has the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days. Under these rules, more than one person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of the same securities and a person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of securities as to which he or she has no economic interest.

Table of Contents

Except as indicated by footnote, the persons named in the table below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each person listed in the table below is c/o MagnaChip Semiconductor Ltd., 1 Hyang jeong-dong, Hungduk-gu, Cheongju-si, 361-725, Korea.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(1)	Percent of Class(1)
Principal Unitholders		
Funds managed by Avenue Capital Management II, L.P.(2)	218,927,386	70.3%
Funds and accounts managed by Southpaw Asset Management LP(3)	23,555,229	7.7%
Tennenbaum Multi-Strategy Fund SPV (Cayman) Ltd.(4)	20,710,045	6.7%
Directors and Executive Officers		
Sang Park(5)	2,240,000	*
Tae Young Hwang(6)	840,000	*
Brent Rowe(7)	560,000	*
Margaret Sakai(8)	336,000	*
John McFarland(9)	336,000	*
Michael Elkins(10)		*
Randal Klein(10)		*
Steven Tan(10)		*
Nader Tavakoli		*
R. Douglas Norby		*
Gidu Shroff		*
Robert Krakauer(11)		*
Directors and executive officers as a group (13 persons)(12)	4,760,000	1.6%

* Less than one percent.

- (1) Includes any outstanding common units held and, to the extent applicable, shares issuable upon the exercise or conversion of any securities that are exercisable or convertible within 60 days of December 31, 2009.
- (2) The following entities and person are collectively referred to in this table as the Avenue Capital Group :
- (i) Avenue Investments, L.P. (Avenue Investments), (ii) Avenue International Master, L.P. (Avenue International Master), (iii) Avenue International, Ltd. (Avenue International), the sole limited partner of Avenue International Master, (iv) Avenue International Master GenPar, Ltd. (Avenue International GenPar), the general partner of Avenue International Master, (v) Avenue Partners, LLC (Avenue Partners), the general partner of Avenue Investments and the sole shareholder of Avenue International GenPar, (vi) Avenue-CDP Global Opportunities Fund, L.P. (CDP Global), (vii) Avenue Global Opportunities Fund GenPar, LLC (CDP Global GenPar), the general partner of CDP Global, (viii) Avenue Special Situations Fund IV, L.P. (Avenue Fund IV), (ix) Avenue Capital Partners IV, LLC (Avenue Capital IV), the general partner of Avenue Fund IV, (x) GL Partners IV, LLC (GL IV), the managing member of Avenue Capital IV, (xi) Avenue Special Situations Fund V, L.P. (Avenue Fund V), (xii) Avenue Capital Partners V, LLC (Avenue Capital V), the general partner of Avenue Fund V, (xiii) GL Partners V, LLC (GL V), the managing member of Avenue Capital V, (xiv) Avenue Capital Management II, L.P. (Avenue Capital II), the investment advisor to Avenue Investments, Avenue International Master, CDP Global, Avenue Fund IV and Avenue Fund V (collectively, the Avenue Funds), (xv) Avenue

Capital Management II GenPar, LLC (GenPar), the general partner of Avenue Capital II, and (xvi) Marc Lasry, the managing member of GenPar, GL V, GL IV, CDP Global GenPar and Avenue Partners and a director of Avenue International GenPar.

Table of Contents

The Avenue Capital Group beneficially owns 218,927,386 common units, including the 4,447,680 common units the Avenue Capital Group may receive through the exercise of outstanding warrants.

The Avenue Funds have the sole power to vote and dispose of the common units held by them. Avenue International, Avenue International GenPar, Avenue Partners, CDP Global GenPar, Avenue Capital IV, GL IV, Avenue Capital V, GL V, Avenue Capital II, GenPar and Marc Lasry have the shared power to vote and dispose of the common units held by the Avenue Funds, all of whom disclaim any beneficial ownership except to the extent of their respective pecuniary interest. The address for all of the Avenue Funds is 535 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10022.

Avenue Fund V beneficially owns 88,938,119 common units, or 28.8%, which represents 86,756,399 common units and 2,181,720 common units issuable upon the exercise of warrants held by Avenue Fund V. The securities owned by Avenue Fund V may also be deemed to be beneficially owned by Avenue Capital V, its general partner; GL V, the managing member of Avenue Capital V; Avenue Capital II, its investment adviser; GenPar, the general partner of Avenue Capital II; and Mr. Lasry, the managing member of GenPar and GL V; all of whom disclaim any beneficial ownership except to the extent of their respective pecuniary interest. For further information regarding Avenue Fund V, please see above.

Avenue Fund IV beneficially owns 70,458,255 common units, or 22.8%, which represents 69,186,975 common units and 1,271,280 common units issuable upon the exercise of warrants held by Avenue Fund IV. The securities owned by Avenue Fund IV may also be deemed to be beneficially owned by Avenue Capital IV, its general partner; GL IV, the managing member of Avenue Capital IV; Avenue Capital II, its investment adviser; GenPar, the general partner of Avenue Capital II; and Mr. Lasry, the managing member of GenPar and GL IV; all of whom disclaim any beneficial ownership except to the extent of their respective pecuniary interest. For further information regarding Avenue Fund IV, please see above.

Avenue International Master beneficially owns 35,568,286 common units, or 11.6%, which represents 35,004,706 common units and 563,580 common units issuable upon the exercise of warrants held by Avenue International Master. The securities owned by Avenue International Master may also be deemed to be beneficially owned by Avenue International, its sole limited partner; Avenue International GenPar, its general partner; Avenue Partners, the sole shareholder of Avenue International GenPar; Avenue Capital II, its investment adviser; GenPar, the general partner of Avenue Capital II; and Mr. Lasry, the managing member of GenPar and Avenue Partners and a director of Avenue International GenPar; all of whom disclaim any beneficial ownership except to the extent of their respective pecuniary interest. For further information regarding Avenue International Master, please see above.

CDP Global beneficially owns 12,104,679 common units, or 3.9%, which represents 11,862,159 common units and 242,520 common units issuable upon the exercise of warrants held by CDP Global. The securities owned by CDP Global may also be deemed to be beneficially owned by CDP Global GenPar, its general partner; Avenue Capital II, its investment adviser; GenPar, the general partner of Avenue Capital II; and Mr. Lasry, the managing member of GenPar and CDP Global GenPar; all of whom disclaim any beneficial ownership except to the extent of their respective pecuniary interest. For further information regarding CDP Global, please see above.

Avenue Investments beneficially owns 11,858,047 common units, or 3.9%, which represents 11,669,467 common units and 188,580 common units issuable upon the exercise of warrants held by Avenue Investments. The securities owned by Avenue Investments may also be deemed to be beneficially owned by Avenue Partners, its general partner; Avenue Capital II, its investment adviser; GenPar, the general partner of Avenue Capital II; and Mr. Lasry, the managing member of GenPar and Avenue Partners; all of whom disclaim any beneficial

ownership except to the extent of their respective pecuniary interest. For further information regarding Avenue Investments, please see above.

Table of Contents

- (3) Represents 23,555,229 common units that may be deemed to be beneficially owned by Southpaw Asset Management LP (Southpaw Management) as it serves as the discretionary investment manager for several funds and accounts (the Managed Accounts). The common units deemed beneficially owned by Southpaw Management may be deemed beneficially owned by Southpaw Holdings LLC (Southpaw Holdings), which is the general partner of Southpaw Management, and by each of Kevin Wyman and Howard Golden, who are principals of Southpaw Holdings.

Southpaw Credit Opportunity Master Fund, L.P (Southpaw Master Fund) beneficially owns 22,885,269 common units. The securities owned by Southpaw Master Fund may also be deemed beneficially owned by Southpaw Management, in its capacity as the investment manager of Southpaw Master Fund, and Southpaw GP LLC (Southpaw GP), in its capacity as general partner of Southpaw Master Fund. The shares deemed beneficially owned by Southpaw Management may also be deemed beneficially owned by Southpaw Holdings, which is the general partner of Southpaw Management, and by each of Kevin Wyman and Howard Golden, who are principals of Southpaw Holdings and Southpaw GP.

The business address of each of Southpaw Master Fund, Southpaw Management, Southpaw GP, Southpaw Holdings, and Messrs. Wyman and Golden is 2 Greenwich Office Park, 1st floor, Greenwich, CT 06831. For the avoidance of doubt, none of Southpaw Management, Southpaw GP, Southpaw Holdings, or Messrs. Wyman and Golden hold common units for their personal accounts, and each reports beneficial ownership of common units held by Southpaw Master Fund and the Managed Accounts due solely to the fact that such persons have the ability to vote and/or dispose of the common units held by Southpaw Master Fund and the Managed Accounts.

- (4) Represents 20,710,045 common units held by Tennenbaum Multi-Strategy Fund SPV (Cayman) Ltd. (Tennenbaum Cayman SPV). Tennenbaum Capital Partners, LLC is the investment manager of Tennenbaum Cayman SPV, and may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of the common units held by such principal unitholders. Tennenbaum Capital Partners, LLC, however, disclaims beneficial ownership of these common units, except to the extent of its pecuniary interest therein. The address for Tennenbaum Cayman SPV is 2951 28th Street, Suite 1000, Santa Monica, CA 90405.
- (5) Represents 2,240,000 common units, of which 1,478,400 are subject to a right of repurchase by MagnaChip.
- (6) Represents 840,000 common units, of which 554,400 are subject to a right of repurchase by MagnaChip.
- (7) Represents 560,000 common units, of which 369,600 are subject to a right of repurchase by MagnaChip.
- (8) Represents 336,000 common units, of which 221,760 are subject to a right of repurchase by MagnaChip.
- (9) Represents 336,000 common units, of which 221,760 are subject to a right of repurchase by MagnaChip.
- (10) The address for Messrs. Elkins, Klein and Tan is 535 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10022.
- (11) Mr. Krakauer resigned as our President, Chief Financial Officer and director on April 10, 2009.
- (12) Represents 4,760,000 common units, of which 3,141,600 are subject to a right of repurchase by MagnaChip.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Under our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, all conflicts of interest and related party transactions involving our directors or executive officers must be reviewed and approved in writing by our full board of directors. In the approval process, the approving authority will review all aspects of the conflict of interest or related party transaction, including but not limited to: (i) compliance with laws, rules and regulations, (ii) the adverse affect on our business and results of operations, (iii) the adverse affect on our relationships with third parties such as customers, vendors and potential investors, (iv) the benefit to the director, officer or employee at issue, and (v) the creation of morale problems among other employees. Our board of directors will only approve those related party transactions that, in light of known circumstances, are in, or are not inconsistent with, our best interests.

Senior Debt

Avenue Investments, L.P. (one of the Funds affiliated with Avenue Capital Management II, L.P., which is, together with other affiliates, our majority stockholder, and an affiliate of our directors Messrs. Elkins, Klein and Tan) was a lender under our senior secured credit facility. On November 6, 2009, in connection with the reorganization proceedings, our senior secured credit agreement was amended and restated to, among other things, reduce the outstanding principal amount from \$95 million to \$61.8 million, pursuant to which we repaid \$33.2 million in principal, \$22.6 million of which was paid to Avenue Investments, L.P. As of December 31, 2009, the outstanding indebtedness under our senior secured credit facility was \$61.8 million, of which \$42.1 million is held by Avenue Investments, L.P. As of December 31, 2009, the interest rate for all borrowings under the senior secured credit facility was 6 month LIBOR plus 12% per annum and we accrued \$1.2 million in interest under the senior secured credit facility as of December 31, 2009, of which \$0.8 million was accrued for Avenue Investments, L.P. Other Funds affiliated with Avenue Capital Management II, L.P. participate in the loan from Avenue Investments, L.P. under our senior secured credit agreement pursuant to a master participation agreement. Our senior secured credit agreement was repaid in April 2010 with a portion of the proceeds from our \$250 million senior notes offering. Avenue purchased \$35 million in principal amount of these notes. See [Description of Certain Indebtedness](#) for additional information.

Issuance of Common Units

In connection with our plan of reorganization, Avenue received an aggregate of 8,348,338 common units and warrants to purchase up to an aggregate of 4,447,680 common units in exchange for the release of claims relating to outstanding indebtedness in an aggregate principal amount of approximately \$322.6 million. Avenue also acquired 176,131,368 common units at \$0.14 per share pursuant to a \$35 million rights offering that we completed in November 2009 and an additional 30,000,000 common units for providing a backstop service in agreeing to purchase any unsubscribed units in the offering.

In connection with our plan of reorganization, Tennenbaum Multi-Strategy Fund SPV (Cayman) Ltd., or Tennenbaum, received 1,169,965 common units in exchange for the release of claims relating to approximately the principal amount of \$38.8 million of outstanding indebtedness. Tennenbaum also acquired 19,540,080 common units in the rights offering.

In connection with our plan of reorganization, Southpaw Credit Opportunity Master Fund LP, or Southpaw Master Fund, received 1,272,237 common units in exchange for the release of their claims relating to approximately the principal amount of \$42.9 million of outstanding indebtedness. Southpaw Master Fund also acquired 21,613,032

common units in the rights offering. Wilshire Institutional Master Fund SPC Wilshire Southpaw Opportunity Segregated Portfolio, or Wilshire Institutional,

Table of Contents

received 32,189 common units in exchange for the release of their claims relating to approximately the principal amount of \$1.1 million of outstanding indebtedness. Wilshire Institutional also acquired 546,840 common units in the rights offering. Lastly, GPC 76, LLC received 90,931 common units in exchange for the release of their claims relating to approximately the principal amount of \$3.1 million of outstanding indebtedness.

Registration Rights Agreement

On November 9, 2009, we entered into a registration rights agreement with the holders of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC's common units issued in our reorganization proceedings, including Avenue, where we granted them registration rights with respect to our common stock. See Description of Capital Stock Registration Rights.

Warrant Agreement

On November 9, 2009, we entered into a warrant agreement with American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC whereby we issued warrants to purchase an aggregate of 15,000,000 common units pursuant to the reorganization proceedings to certain former creditors, which included Avenue.

Senior Advisor Agreement

In April 2009, we entered into a Senior Advisor Agreement with Mr. Krakauer, who formerly served as our President, Chief Financial Officer and director, pursuant to which he remained available to consult with us through April 10, 2010. Under this agreement, Mr. Krakauer was entitled to payments in the aggregate amount of \$375,000, payable over a one-year period, plus the repayment of amounts of reduced salary for the first three months of 2009, in addition to the continuation of certain benefits and perquisites, including health insurance benefits, and the continuation of auto lease payments for a certain number of months. In addition, we waived any right we had to repurchase any restricted units held by Mr. Krakauer at the time of his resignation. All common units held by Mr. Krakauer were terminated in connection with our reorganization proceedings.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

The following description of our capital stock and provisions of our certificate of incorporation and our bylaws are summaries and are qualified by reference to the certificate of incorporation and the bylaws that will be in effect upon the closing of this offering. We have filed copies of these documents with the SEC as exhibits to our registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part. The descriptions of the common stock and preferred stock reflect changes to our capital structure that will occur immediately prior to and upon the closing of this offering.

Upon the closing of this offering, our authorized capital stock will consist of _____ shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and _____ shares of undesignated preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, the rights and preferences of which may be established from time to time by our board of directors.

As of December 31, 2009, MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC had issued and outstanding 307,083,996 common units held by 133 holders of record. As of December 31, 2009, MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC also had outstanding options to purchase 15,365,000 common units at a weighted average exercise price of \$1.16 per unit and warrants to purchase 15,000,000 common units at an exercise price of \$1.97 per unit.

Prior to the closing of this offering, we will consummate the corporate conversion. As part of the corporate conversion:

all of the outstanding common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC will be automatically converted into shares of our common stock at a ratio of _____ ;

each outstanding option to purchase common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC will be automatically converted into an option to purchase _____ shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$ _____ per share; and

each outstanding warrant to purchase common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC will be automatically converted into a warrant to purchase _____ shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$ _____ per share.

The following description summarizes the terms of our capital stock. Because it is only a summary, it does not contain all the information that may be important to you. For a complete description, you should refer to our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as in effect immediately following the closing of this offering, copies of which have been filed as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

Common Stock

Assuming the automatic conversion of all of the common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC for our common stock immediately prior to the closing of this offering, there will be _____ shares of our common stock outstanding upon the closing of this offering. MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC has reserved an aggregate of 30,000,000 common units for issuance to current and future directors, employees and consultants of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and its subsidiaries pursuant to the MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan. Of this amount, at December 31, 2009, 15,365,000 common units were subject to outstanding options, 7,551,000 were available for future issuance and no common units have been purchased in connection with the exercise of previously issued options. In connection with the corporate conversion, the existing options will be automatically converted into options to acquire _____ shares of our common stock and we have reserved _____ shares of our common stock for future issuance. In addition, our board of directors may issue options exercisable for up to _____ shares of our common stock

under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan and 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC issued warrants to purchase an aggregate of 15,000,000 common units pursuant to the reorganization proceedings, which are subject to a warrant agreement dated November 9, 2009 between us and

Table of Contents

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, our warrant agent. At December 31, 2009, 15,000,000 common units were subject to outstanding warrants and no common units had been purchased in connection with the exercise of previously issued warrants. In connection with the corporate conversion, the existing warrants will be automatically converted into warrants to acquire _____ shares of our common stock.

Holders of our common stock are entitled to one vote for each share held of record on all matters submitted to a vote of the stockholders. Our stockholders do not have cumulative voting rights in the election of directors. Except as required by law or our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, the vote of a majority of the shares represented in person or by proxy at any meeting at which a quorum is present will be sufficient for the transaction of any business at a meeting. Subject to preferences held by, or that may be granted to, any outstanding shares of preferred stock, holders of our common stock will be entitled to receive ratably those dividends as may be declared by our board of directors out of funds legally available for such distributions, as well as any other distributions made to our stockholders. See

Dividend Policy. In the event of our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, holders of our common stock are entitled to share ratably in all of our assets remaining after we pay our liabilities and any liquidation preferences granted to the holders of outstanding shares of preferred stock. Holders of our common stock have no preemptive or other subscription or conversion rights. There are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to our common stock. All shares of our common stock that will be outstanding at the time of the completion of the offering will be fully paid and non-assessable.

Preferred Stock

Our certificate of incorporation authorizes the issuance of shares of blank check preferred stock with such designation, rights and preferences as may be determined from time to time by our board of directors. No shares of preferred stock are being issued or registered in this offering. Accordingly, our board of directors is empowered, without stockholder approval, to issue preferred stock with dividend, liquidation, conversion, voting or other rights which could adversely affect the voting power or other rights of the holders of common stock. The preferred stock could be utilized as a method of discouraging, delaying or preventing a change in control of us. Although we do not currently intend to issue any shares of preferred stock, there can be no assurance that we will not do so in the future.

Registration Rights

Upon the closing of this offering, holders of _____ shares of our common stock will be entitled to certain rights with respect to the registration of their shares under the Securities Act.

Demand Registration Rights. Commencing 90 days following the effective date of the registration statement relating to this prospectus, any holder who is a party to the registration rights agreement and who holds a minimum of 20% of the common stock covered by the registration rights agreement, has the right to demand that we file a registration statement covering the resale of its common stock, subject to a maximum of four such demands in the aggregate for all holders and to other specified exceptions. After we become eligible for the use of SEC Form S-3, any holder who is a party to the registration rights agreement, has the right to demand that we file with the SEC a registration statement under SEC Form S-3 or any similar short-form registration statement covering the shares of common stock held by these stockholders to be offered to the public, subject to specified exceptions. At the request of the holders, a demand registration may be a shelf registration pursuant to Rule 415 of the Securities Act. The underwriters of any such offerings will have the right to limit the number of shares to be offered except that if a limit is imposed, then only shares held by holders who are parties to the registration rights agreement will be included in such offering and the number of shares to be included in such offering will be allocated pro rata among those same parties. In any event, we will not include any securities of any other person (including us) in any demand registration statement without the prior written consent of the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock covered by such demand registration statement.

Table of Contents

In no event will we be required to effect more than one demand registration under the registration rights agreement within any three-month period (or within a given one-month period, in the case of any registration under Form S-3 or any similar short-form registration statement), and we will not be obligated to effect any demand registration unless the aggregate gross proceeds to be received from the sale of common stock equals or exceeds \$10.0 million (or \$1.0 million, in the case of any registration under Form S-3 or any similar short-form registration statement).

Piggyback Registration Rights. If we register any equity securities for our own account for public sale, stockholders with registration rights will, with specified exceptions, have the right to include their shares in the registration statement. The underwriters of any underwritten offering will have the right to limit the number of such shares to be included in the registration statement if the inclusion of all common stock of the holders who are a party to the registration rights agreement proposed to be included in such offering would materially and adversely interfere with the successful marketing of our securities. Priority of inclusion in the registration shall be given first to us, second to stockholders with registration rights, *pro rata* on the basis of the relative number of securities requested to be registered by such stockholder, and third to any other participating person on such basis as we determine.

Expenses of Registration. Other than underwriting fees, discounts, commissions, stock transfer taxes and fees and disbursements of legal counsel to participating holders (excluding the fees of one firm of legal counsel to all of the participating holders participating in an underwritten public offering), we will pay all expenses relating to demand registrations and all expenses relating to piggyback registrations.

Indemnification and Contribution. The registration rights agreement contains indemnification and contribution arrangements between us and stockholders who are a party to the registration rights agreement with respect to each registration statement.

Anti-takeover Effects of Delaware Law and our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws

The provisions of Delaware law, our certificate of incorporation and our bylaws described below may have the effect of delaying, deferring or discouraging another party from acquiring control of us.

Delaware Law. We will be subject to the provisions of Section 203 of the DGCL regulating corporate takeovers. In general, those provisions prohibit a public Delaware corporation from engaging in any business combination with any interested stockholder for a period of three years following the date that the stockholder became an interested stockholder, unless:

the transaction is approved by the board of directors before the date the interested stockholder attained that status;

upon consummation of the transaction which resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder, the interested stockholder owned at least 85% of the voting stock of the corporation outstanding at the time the transaction commenced; or

on or after the date the business combination is approved by the board of directors and authorized at a meeting of stockholders, and not by written consent, by at least two-thirds of the outstanding voting stock that is not owned by the interested stockholder.

In general, Section 203 defines a business combination to include the following:

any merger or consolidation involving the corporation and the interested stockholder;

any sale, transfer, pledge or other disposition of 10% or more of the assets of the corporation involving the interested stockholder;

subject to certain exceptions, any transaction that results in the issuance or transfer by the corporation of any stock of the corporation to the interested stockholder;

Table of Contents

any transaction involving the corporation that has the effect of increasing the proportionate share of the stock of any class or series of the corporation beneficially owned by the interested stockholder; or

the receipt by the interested stockholder of the benefit of any loans, advances, guarantees, pledges or other financial benefits provided by or through the corporation.

In general, Section 203 defines an interested stockholder as any entity or person beneficially owning 15% or more of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation and any entity or person affiliated with or controlling or controlled by any such entity or person.

A Delaware corporation may opt out of this provision by express provision in its original certificate of incorporation or by amendment to its certificate of incorporation or bylaws approved by its stockholders. However, we have not opted out of, and do not currently intend to opt out of, this provision. The statute could prohibit or delay mergers or other takeover or change in control attempts and, accordingly, may discourage attempts to acquire us.

Charter and Bylaws. Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws contain certain provisions that are intended to enhance the likelihood of continuity and stability in the composition of the board of directors and which may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a future takeover or change in control of our company unless such takeover or change in control is approved by the board of directors, including:

Authorized but Unissued Preferred Stock. Our board of directors is authorized to issue, without stockholder approval, preferred stock with such terms as the board of directors may determine. For more information, see Description of Capital Stock Preferred Stock.

Calling Special Stockholder Meetings. Our bylaws provide that special meetings of our stockholders may be called only pursuant to the request of our board of directors, by the chairman of our board of directors, by our chief executive officer or by the holders of at least 25% of the voting power of all then outstanding shares of our common stock. In addition, stockholders may not fill vacancies on the board of directors and may not act by written consent.

Advanced Notice Procedures. Stockholders must timely provide advance notice, with specific requirements as to form and content, of nominations of directors or the proposal of business to be voted on at an annual meeting.

Classified Board of Directors. Our bylaws provide that our board of directors will be divided into three classes of directors, with the classes to be as nearly equal in number as possible. Prior to consummation of this offering, our board will assign each of the current members to their respective class as the board shall determine in its sole discretion, subject to the foregoing requirement that the classes be nearly equal in size. We anticipate we will have a classified board, with two directors in Class I, two directors in Class II and three directors in Class III. The members of each class will serve for a term expiring at the third succeeding annual meeting of stockholders. As a result, approximately one-third of our board will be elected each year. A replacement director shall serve in the same class as the former director he or she is replacing. The classification of our board will have the effect of making it more difficult for stockholders to change the composition of our board.

Other Board of Director Requirements. Our authorized number of directors may be changed only by resolution of the board of directors and all vacancies, including newly created directorships, may, except as otherwise required by law, be filled by the affirmative vote of a majority of directors then in office, even if

less than a quorum. In addition, directors may only be removed for cause and then only by a vote of holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote at an election of directors.

Table of Contents

Conflicts of Interest. Delaware law permits corporations to adopt provisions renouncing any interest or expectancy in certain opportunities that are presented to the corporation or its officers, directors or stockholders. Our certificate of incorporation renounces any interest or expectancy that we have in, or right to be offered an opportunity to participate in, specified business opportunities. Our certificate of incorporation provides that none of our non-employee directors, non-employee 5% or greater stockholders or their affiliates will have any duty to refrain from engaging in a corporate opportunity in the same or similar lines of business in which we or our affiliates now engage or propose to engage. In addition, in the event that any such director, stockholder or affiliate acquires knowledge of a potential transaction or other business opportunity which may be a corporate opportunity for us or our affiliates, such person will have no duty to communicate or offer such transaction or business opportunity to us and may take any such opportunity for themselves or offer it to another person or entity. Our certificate of incorporation does not renounce our interest in any business opportunity that is expressly offered to a director solely in his or her capacity as our director.

Director and Officer Indemnification. We will indemnify officers and directors against losses that they may incur in investigations and legal proceedings resulting from their services to us, which may include services in connection with takeover defense measures.

Supermajority Voting Requirements. The affirmative vote of the holders of at least 66 $\frac{2}{3}$ % in voting power of all shares of our stock entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, voting together as a single class, is required in order for our stockholders to alter, amend or repeal the provisions of our bylaws or amend or repeal of certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation including the following:

- classified board (the election and term of our directors);
- the resignation and removal of directors;
- the provisions regarding competition and corporate opportunities;
- the provisions regarding stockholder action by written consent;
- the provisions regarding calling special meetings of stockholders;
- filling vacancies on our board and newly created directorships;
- the advance notice requirements for stockholder proposals and director nominations; and
- indemnification provisions.

In addition, our certificate of incorporation grants our board the authority to amend and repeal our bylaws without a stockholder vote in any manner not inconsistent with the laws of the State of Delaware or our certificate of incorporation.

Limitations on Liability and Indemnification of Officers and Directors

The DGCL authorizes corporations to limit or eliminate the personal liability of directors to corporations and their stockholders for monetary damages for breaches of directors' fiduciary duties. Our certificate of incorporation includes a provision that eliminates the personal liability of directors for monetary damages for actions taken as a director, except to the extent such exemption from liability is not permitted by the DGCL.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws provide that we must indemnify our directors and officers to the fullest extent authorized by the DGCL. We are also expressly obligated to advance certain expenses (including attorneys' fees and disbursements and court costs) and carry directors' and officers' insurance providing indemnification for our directors, officers and certain employees for some liabilities. We believe that these indemnification provisions and insurance are useful to attract and retain qualified directors and executive officers.

Table of Contents

The limitation of liability and indemnification provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may discourage stockholders from bringing a lawsuit against directors for breach of their fiduciary duty. These provisions may also have the effect of reducing the likelihood of derivative litigation against directors and officers, even though such an action, if successful, might otherwise benefit us and our stockholders. In addition, your investment may be adversely affected to the extent we pay the costs of settlement and damage awards against directors and officers pursuant to these indemnification provisions.

Listing

We intend to apply to have our depositary shares and common stock quoted on the NYSE under the symbol **MX**.

Transfer Agent and Registrar; Warrant Agent

The transfer agent and registrar for our common stock and the warrant agent for our warrants is American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC and its telephone number is (800) 937-5449.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF DEPOSITARY SHARES

General

All of the shares of common stock sold in this offering will be sold in the form of depositary shares. Each depositary share represents an ownership interest in one share of common stock and will be evidenced by a depositary receipt. The shares of common stock represented by depositary shares will be deposited under a deposit agreement among MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, as the depositary, and the holders from time to time of the depositary receipts evidencing the depositary shares. Each holder of a depositary share will be entitled, through the depositary, to all the rights and preferences of the shares of common stock represented thereby.

To enable the selling stockholders to obtain the preferred income tax treatment for the corporate conversion, this offering has been structured so that each purchaser will purchase a combination of shares sold by us (primary shares) and shares sold by the selling stockholders (secondary shares) in a specified ratio. Each depositary share sold in this offering represents a fraction of a primary share and a fraction of a secondary share in such specified ratio. The offering of depositary shares will enable us and the selling stockholders to establish that each purchaser will purchase such fixed ratio of primary to secondary shares.

All of the shares of common stock sold in this offering will be deposited with the depositary prior to the completion of this offering. The depositary then will issue the depositary shares to the underwriters. Copies of the forms of the deposit agreement and the depositary receipt have been filed as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

Cancellation of Depositary Shares

On _____, 2010, each holder of depositary shares will be credited with a number of shares of common stock equal to the number of depositary shares held by such holder on that date, and the depositary shares will be canceled.

Fees and Expenses

Except as described under **Withdrawal**, we will pay all fees, charges and expenses of the depositary and any agent of the depositary, including any fees, charges and expenses payable in connection with the cancellation of the depositary shares on _____, 2010.

Dividends and Other Distributions

We do not expect to pay any dividends or other distribution prior to the cancellation of the depositary shares on _____, 2010.

Listing

We intend to apply to have our depositary shares and our common stock quoted on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol **MX**. Before the cancellation of the depositary shares on _____, 2010, all of the shares of common stock sold in this offering will be deposited with the depositary, and there will not be any separate public trading market for our shares of common stock, except as represented by the depositary shares. After the cancellation of the depositary shares on _____, 2010, we expect that our shares of common stock will be listed on the New York Stock

Exchange under the symbol MX.

Table of Contents

Withdrawal

Holders of depositary shares have the right to cancel their depositary shares and withdraw the underlying common shares at any time subject only to:

temporary delays caused by closing of our or the depositary's transfer books;

the payment of fees, charges, taxes and other governmental charges; or

where deemed necessary or advisable by the depositary or us in good faith due to any requirement of any U.S. or foreign laws, government, governmental body or commission, any securities exchange on which the depositary shares are listed or governmental regulations relating to the depositary shares or the withdrawal of the underlying shares of common stock.

However, until _____, 2010, our common stock will not be listed on any exchange. Therefore, until that date, it may be more difficult to dispose of our shares of common stock than it will be to dispose of our depositary shares.

If you elect to withdraw the shares of common stock underlying your depositary shares from the depositary, you will be required to pay the depositary a fee of up to \$ _____ per depositary share surrendered, together with expenses incurred by the depositary and any taxes or charges, such as stamp taxes or stock transfer taxes or fees, in connection with the withdrawal. We will not receive any portion of the fee payable to the depositary upon a withdrawal of shares from the depositary.

Form of Depositary Shares

The depositary shares shall be issued in book-entry form through American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC as depositary. The shares of common stock sold in this offering will be issued in registered form to the depositary.

Limitations on Obligations and Liability

The deposit agreement expressly limits our and the depositary's obligations and liability.

We and the depositary:

have agreed to perform our respective obligations specifically set forth in the deposit agreement without gross negligence or bad faith;

are not liable if either of us by law or circumstances beyond our control is prevented from, or delayed in, performing any obligation under the deposit agreement, including, without limitation, requirements of any present or future law, regulation, governmental or regulatory authority or stock exchange of any applicable jurisdiction, any present or future provision of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, on account of possible civil or criminal penalties or restraint, any provisions of or governing the deposited securities, any act of God, war or other circumstances beyond each of our control as set forth in the deposit agreement;

are not liable if either of us exercises or fails to exercise the discretion permitted under the deposit agreement, the provisions of or governing the deposited shares of common stock or our certificate of incorporation and bylaws;

are not liable for any action or inaction on the advice or information of legal counsel, accountants, any person presenting common shares for deposit, holders and beneficial owners (or authorized representatives) of depositary shares, or any person believed in good faith to be competent to give such advice or information;

are not liable for the inability of any holder to benefit from any distribution, offering, right or other benefit if made in accordance with the provisions of the deposit agreement;

Table of Contents

have no obligation to become involved in a lawsuit or other proceeding related to any deposited shares of common stock or the depositary shares or the deposit agreement on behalf of holders of depositary shares or on behalf of any other party;

may rely upon any documents we believe in good faith to be genuine and to have been signed or presented by the proper party; and

shall not incur any liability for any indirect, special, punitive or consequential damages for any breach of the terms of the deposit agreement.

The depositary and its agents will not incur any liability under the deposit agreement for the failure to determine that any action may be lawful or reasonably practicable, allowing any rights to lapse in accordance with the provisions of the deposit agreement, the failure or timeliness of any notice from us, the content of any information submitted to it by us for distribution to holders of depositary shares, any investment risk associated with the acquisition of an interest in our shares of common stock, the validity or worth of the deposited shares of common stock, any tax consequences that may result from ownership of depositary shares or shares of common stock, the creditworthiness of any third party and for any indirect, special, punitive or consequential damage. We also have agreed to indemnify the depositary under certain circumstances. The depositary may own and deal in any class of our securities, including the depositary shares.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the deposit agreement does not limit our liability under federal securities laws.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF CERTAIN INDEBTEDNESS

On April 9, 2010, MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A. and MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, or the Issuers, two of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, issued \$250,000,000 aggregate principal amount of 10.500% Senior Notes due 2018, or the Senior Notes. The Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2018, at which time the principal amount outstanding thereunder will be due and payable. The Issuers may issue additional Senior Notes from time to time under the indenture governing the Senior Notes, or the Indenture, subject to compliance with the terms of the Indenture.

Ranking

The Senior Notes are the Issuers' general unsecured senior obligations, rank equally in right of payment with all of their existing and future unsecured senior indebtedness, are effectively subordinated to all their secured indebtedness, to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such indebtedness, and rank senior in right of payment to all of their subordinated indebtedness.

Interest

Interest on the Senior Notes accrues at the rate of 10.500% per annum and is payable semi-annually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 to the holders of the Senior Notes of record on the immediately preceding April 1 and October 1. Interest on the Senior Notes will be computed on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months. Special interest may accrue on the Senior Notes in certain circumstances if we fail to comply with our registration obligations with respect to the Senior Notes pursuant to an exchange and registration rights agreement. Any special interest on the Senior Notes will be payable in cash.

Guarantees

The obligations under the Senior Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on an unsecured senior basis by us and all of our subsidiaries, other than our insignificant subsidiaries, as defined in the Indenture, our unrestricted subsidiaries, as defined in the Indenture, our subsidiaries organized under the laws of the People's Republic of China, and MagnaChip Korea. The guarantees of the Senior Notes rank equally in right of payment with or senior to all indebtedness of us and all such subsidiaries. Such guarantees are effectively subordinated in right of payment to all secured indebtedness of us and all such subsidiaries, to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such indebtedness, and rank senior in right of payment to all of subordinated indebtedness of us and all such subsidiaries.

Optional Redemption

At any time prior to April 15, 2013, the Issuers may, on one or more occasions, redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes with the net cash proceeds of certain qualified equity offerings by us, at a redemption price equal to 110.500% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes to be redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest and special interest, if any, to the redemption date.

Also, at any time prior to April 15, 2014, the Issuers may, on one or more occasions, redeem some or all of the Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest and special interest, if any, to the redemption date and a "make-whole" premium calculated as provided in the Indenture.

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

In addition, on or after April 15, 2014, the Issuers may, on one or more occasions, redeem some or all of the Senior Notes at the redemption prices (expressed as percentages of principal amount) set forth below, plus accrued and unpaid interest and special interest, if any, to the redemption date, if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on April 15 of each of the years indicated below:

Year	Percentage
2014	105.250%
2015	102.625%
2016 and thereafter	100.000%

Table of Contents

Change of Control

Upon the occurrence of a change of control, as defined in the Indenture, unless the Issuers have mailed a redemption notice with respect to the Senior Notes and do not default in the payment of the applicable redemption price or a third party makes a similar offer to purchase all of the Senior Notes, we must make an offer to purchase all of the Senior Notes at a price in cash equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest and special interest, if any, to the date of purchase.

Asset Sales

The Indenture provides that we and our restricted subsidiaries (including MagnaChip Korea but excluding unrestricted subsidiaries, as defined in the Indenture) will not consummate an asset sale, as defined in the Indenture, unless certain conditions are met, including that the consideration received is at least equal to the fair market value of the assets sold, and that a specified percentage of such consideration is in the form of cash. If we do not use the sale proceeds in our business as specified in the Indenture, we must apply such proceeds to an offer to repurchase Senior Notes at a price in cash equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest and special interest, if any, to the repurchase date.

Redemption Upon Changes in Withholding Taxes

Payments on the Senior Notes are to be made without withholding or deduction for any current or future taxes, unless required by law. If such withholding is required, we will pay such additional amounts as are needed for the net amounts received by the holders of the Senior Notes to equal the amount that they would have received if the taxes had not been withheld. We may redeem all of the Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to the aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes outstanding plus accrued and unpaid interest, special interest, if any, and the additional amounts due, if any, to the redemption date, if we are required to pay such amounts as a result of changes in law.

Covenants

The Indenture contains covenants that limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to:

declare or pay any dividend or make any payment or distribution on account of or purchase or redeem our capital stock or equity interests of our restricted subsidiaries;

make any principal payment on, or redeem or repurchase, prior to any scheduled repayment, sinking fund payment or maturity, any subordinated indebtedness;

make certain investments, including capital expenditures;

incur additional indebtedness and issue certain types of capital stock;

create or incur any lien (except for permitted liens) that secures obligations under any indebtedness or related guarantee;

merge with or into or sell all or substantially all of our assets to other companies;

enter into certain types of transactions with affiliates;

guarantee the payment of any indebtedness;

enter into sale-leaseback transactions;

enter into agreements that would restrict the ability of the restricted subsidiaries to make distributions with respect to their equity, to make loans to us or other restricted subsidiaries or to transfer assets to us or other restricted subsidiaries; and

designate unrestricted subsidiaries.

Certain of these covenant restrictions will be suspended during any time period that the Senior Notes are rated investment grade.

Table of Contents

Events of Default

The Indenture includes certain events of default, including payment defaults, covenant defaults, cross-defaults to certain indebtedness, certain events of bankruptcy with respect to us, the Issuers and the restricted subsidiaries that are defined in the Indenture as significant subsidiaries, failure to pay certain judgments, and invalidation or unenforceability of the guarantees of the Senior Notes.

Table of Contents

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE

Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock, and a significant public market for our common stock may not develop or be sustained after this offering. Future sales of significant amounts of our common stock, including shares of our outstanding common stock and shares of our common stock issued upon exercise of outstanding options and warrants, in the public market after this offering could adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common stock and could impair our future ability to raise capital through the sale of securities.

Sale of Restricted Shares and Lock-Up Agreements

Upon the closing of this offering, we will have outstanding _____ shares of common stock, based upon the common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC outstanding as of December 31, 2009 after giving effect to the corporate conversion pursuant to which each common unit will be automatically converted into shares of our common stock at a ratio of _____.

Of these shares, the _____ shares of common stock sold in this offering, or _____ shares if the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional shares in full, will be freely tradable without restriction under the Securities Act, unless purchased by affiliates of our company, as that term is defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act.

Of the _____ remaining shares of common stock, _____ were converted in the corporate conversion from common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC issued under Section 1145 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in connection with our reorganization proceedings and were deemed to have been issued in a public offering and may be resold as freely tradeable securities under Section 4(1) of the Securities Act, except for such shares held by our affiliates or holders deemed to be underwriters, as that term is defined in Section 1145(b) of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, who may be subject to applicable resale limitations under Rule 144; and _____ shares of common stock are eligible for public sale if registered under the Securities Act or sold in accordance with Rule 144 of the Securities Act. These shares are subject to a registration rights agreement or restricted unit agreements that restricts their sale for 180 days after the date of this prospectus unless Goldman, Sachs & Co. and Barclays Capital Inc., the representatives of the underwriters, agree to a lesser period. Furthermore, _____ of these remaining shares of common stock are held by officers, directors and existing stockholders who are subject to lock-up agreements and other trading restrictions for a period of 180 days after the date of this prospectus. These lock-up agreements do not restrict the ability of the stockholders party to the registration rights agreement to cause a resale registration statement to be filed in accordance with the demand registration rights described above under Description of Capital Stock Registration Rights.

Goldman, Sachs & Co. and Barclays Capital Inc., as representatives of the underwriters, may, at any time without notice, release all or any portion of the securities subject to the lock-up agreements. We have been advised by the representatives of the underwriters that, when determining whether or not to release shares from the lock-up agreements, the representatives of the underwriters will consider, among other factors, the stockholder's reasons for requesting the release, the number of shares for which the release is being requested and market conditions at the time. The representatives of the underwriters have advised us that they have no present intention to release any of the shares subject to the lock-up agreements prior to the expiration of the lock-up period.

Rule 144

In general, Rule 144 allows a stockholder (or stockholders where shares of common stock are aggregated) who has beneficially owned shares of our common stock for at least six months to sell an unlimited number of shares of our common stock provided current public information about us is available and, after one year, an unlimited number of

shares of our common stock without restriction. Our affiliates who have beneficially owned shares of our common stock for at least six months are

Table of Contents

entitled to sell within any three-month period commencing 90 days after the date of this prospectus a number of those shares that does not exceed the greater of:

one percent of the number of shares of common stock then outstanding, which will equal approximately shares immediately after this offering; or

the average weekly trading volume of the common stock on all national securities exchanges and/or reported through the automated quotation system of a registered securities association during the four calendar weeks preceding the sale.

Sales under Rule 144 by our affiliates are subject to specific manner of sales provisions, notice requirements and the availability of current information about us. We cannot estimate the number of shares of common stock our existing stockholders will sell under Rule 144, as this will depend on the market price for our common stock, the personal circumstances of the stockholders and other factors.

Options

In addition to the shares of common stock outstanding immediately after this offering, based upon the common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC outstanding as of December 31, 2009 after giving effect to the corporate conversion pursuant to which each common unit will be automatically converted into shares of our common stock at a ratio of , there were outstanding options to purchase shares of our common stock. As soon as practicable after the closing of this offering, we intend to file a registration statement on Form S-8 under the Securities Act covering shares of our common stock reserved for issuance upon exercise of stock options outstanding as of at a weighted average exercise price of per share and shares of our common stock reserved as of for issuance pursuant to future grants under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan and 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Accordingly, shares of our common stock registered under such registration statement will be available for sale in the open market upon exercise by the holders, subject to vesting restrictions with us, contractual lock-up restrictions, our securities trading policy and/or market stand-off provisions applicable to each other agreement that prohibits the sale or other disposition of the shares of common stock underlying the options for a period of 180 days after the date of this prospectus without the prior written consent from us or Goldman, Sachs & Co. and Barclays Capital Inc.

Warrants

In addition to the shares of common stock outstanding immediately after this offering after giving effect to the corporate conversion, as of December 31, 2009, there were outstanding warrants to purchase shares of our common stock. The warrants were issued under Section 1145 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in connection with our reorganization proceedings and such warrants were deemed to have been issued, and shares of common stock issued upon exercise of such warrants will be deemed to be issued, in a public offering and may be resold as freely tradeable securities under Section 4(1) of the Securities Act, except for such warrants and shares of common stock issued upon exercise of such warrants held by our affiliates or holders deemed to be underwriters, as that term is defined in Section 1145(b) of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, who may be subject to applicable resale limitations under Rule 144. The warrants and shares of common stock issued upon exercise of such warrants are subject to a warrant agreement that restricts their sale for 180 days after the date of this prospectus unless we and the managing underwriters, agree to a lesser period.

Registration Rights

Upon the closing of this offering, certain holders of our shares of common stock will have the right to register their remaining shares of common stock pursuant to a registration rights agreement. In addition, some holders will have

certain piggyback registration rights, pursuant to that agreement. See Description of Capital Stock.

Table of Contents

MATERIAL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES

The following is a summary of the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of shares of our common stock to a U.S. holder or non-U.S. holder (each, as defined below) who purchases our common stock in this offering. For purposes of this discussion, a U.S. holder is any beneficial owner (other than an entity treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes) of our common stock that for U.S. federal income tax purposes is:

an individual citizen or resident of the United States;

a corporation or other entity taxable as a corporation created or organized in the United States or under the laws of the United States or any state thereof or the District of Columbia;

an estate whose income is subject to U.S. federal income tax regardless of its source; or

a trust (x) whose administration is subject to the primary supervision of a U.S. court and which has one or more U.S. persons who have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (y) which has made a valid election to be treated as a U.S. person.

A non-U.S. holder is any beneficial owner of our common stock that is not a U.S. holder and is not an entity treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

If a partnership or other pass-through entity holds our common stock, the tax treatment of a partner or member in the partnership or other entity will generally depend on the status of the partner or member and upon the activities of the partnership or other entity. Accordingly, we urge partnerships or other pass-through entities which hold shares of our common stock and partners or members in these partnerships or other entities to consult their tax advisors.

This discussion assumes that shares of our common stock issued pursuant to the offering will be held as a capital asset (generally, property held for investment). This discussion does not address all aspects of U.S. federal income taxation that may be relevant in light of a holder's special tax status or special tax situations. U.S. expatriates, life insurance companies, tax-exempt organizations, dealers in securities or currency, banks or other financial institutions, pension funds and investors that hold our shares of common stock as part of a hedge, straddle or conversion transaction are among those categories of potential investors that are subject to special rules not covered in this discussion. This discussion does not address any non-income tax consequences or any income tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, local or non-U.S. taxing jurisdiction. Furthermore, the following discussion is based on current provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, Treasury Regulations and administrative and judicial interpretations thereof, all as in effect on the date hereof, and all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. Additionally, we have not sought any ruling from the Internal Revenue Service or IRS, with respect to statements made and conclusions reached in this discussion, and there can be no assurance that the IRS will agree with these statements and conclusions. We urge each prospective purchaser to consult a tax advisor regarding the U.S. federal, state, local and non-U.S. income and other tax consequences of acquiring, holding and disposing of shares of our common stock.

The depositary shares should represent ownership in the underlying shares of stock of the company for U.S. federal income tax purposes because, among other things, the holders of the depositary shares have the right to receive dividends, if declared and paid, with respect to the underlying shares, the right to vote with respect to the underlying shares, and the right to receive the underlying shares upon cancellation of the depositary shares. On the date that the depositary shares are cancelled and each holder is credited with a number of shares of common stock equal to the

number of depositary shares held by such holder, the holder should retain ownership in the shares of common stock for U.S. federal income tax purposes without recognition of gain or loss and with such holder's holding period of the underlying common stock including the period during which the depositary shares are outstanding.

All references in this discussion to our common stock include references to the depositary shares representing ownership rights in the underlying common stock .

Table of Contents

U.S. Holders

Distributions

If we make distributions on our common stock, those payments will generally constitute dividends for U.S. tax purposes to the extent paid from our current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined under U.S. federal income tax principles. With respect to certain non-corporate U.S. holders, including individual U.S. holders, for taxable years beginning before January 1, 2011, dividends will be taxed at the lower capital gains rate applicable to qualified dividend income, provided that certain holding period requirements are met. To the extent those distributions exceed our current and accumulated earnings and profits, the distributions will first constitute a return of capital and will reduce a U.S. holder's basis, but not below zero, and then will be treated as gain from the sale of shares and may be subject to U.S. federal income tax as described below. Dividends received by a corporation may be eligible for a dividends received deduction, subject to applicable limitations.

Disposition of Shares of Common Stock

A U.S. holder generally will recognize gain or loss upon the taxable sale or other disposition of shares of our common stock in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized upon such sale or disposition and the U.S. holder's tax basis in the shares of our common stock. Such gain or loss generally will be capital gain or loss. Capital gain will be long-term capital gain if the U.S. holder's holding period for such shares is more than one year at the time of disposition. Long-term capital gains are generally subject to a reduced rate of taxation for non-corporate U.S. holders. A deduction with respect to a capital loss may be subject to limitation.

Non-U.S. Holders

Distributions

If we make distributions on our common stock, those payments will constitute dividends for U.S. tax purposes to the extent paid from our current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined under U.S. federal income tax principles. To the extent those distributions exceed our current and accumulated earnings and profits, the distributions will first constitute a return of capital and will reduce a holder's basis, but not below zero, and then will be treated as gain from the sale of shares and may be subject to U.S. federal income tax as described below.

Any distribution that is a dividend, as defined above, paid to a non-U.S. holder generally will be subject to U.S. withholding tax either at a rate of 30% of the gross amount of the dividend or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable tax treaty. In order to receive a reduced treaty rate, a non-U.S. holder must timely provide us with an IRS Form W-8BEN or other appropriate version of IRS Form W-8 properly certifying qualification for the reduced rate.

Dividends received by a non-U.S. holder that are effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business conducted by the non-U.S. holder (and dividends attributable to a non-U.S. holder's permanent establishment in the United States if a tax treaty applies) are exempt from this withholding tax. In order to obtain this exemption, a non-U.S. holder must timely provide us with an IRS Form W-8ECI properly certifying this exemption. Dividends that are so effectively connected (and, if required by an applicable tax treaty, attributable to a permanent establishment), although not subject to withholding tax, are taxed at the same graduated rates applicable to U.S. persons, net of specified deductions and credits. In addition, such dividends received by a corporate non-U.S. holder may also be subject to a branch profits tax at a rate of 30% (or such lower rate as may be specified in a tax treaty).

A non-U.S. holder of common stock that is eligible for a reduced rate of withholding tax pursuant to a tax treaty may obtain a refund of any excess amounts withheld if an appropriate claim for refund is filed with the IRS.

Table of Contents

Gain on Disposition of Shares of Common Stock

A non-U.S. holder generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax on gain realized upon the sale or other disposition of shares of our common stock unless:

the gain is effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business of the non-U.S. holder (and attributable to a permanent establishment in the United States if a tax treaty applies);

the non-U.S. holder is an individual who is present in the United States for a period or periods aggregating 183 days or more during the taxable year in which the sale or disposition occurs and certain other conditions are met; or

our common stock constitutes a U.S. real property interest by reason of our status as a United States real property holding corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes at any time within the shorter of the five-year period preceding the date of disposition or the holder's holding period for shares of our common stock. We believe that we will not be, immediately after our conversion to a corporation, and we believe that we will not become, a United States real property holding corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes. If we become a United States real property holding corporation, so long as our common stock is regularly traded on an established securities market, only a non-U.S. holder who, actually or constructively, holds or held (at any time during the shorter of the five year period preceding the date of disposition or the holder's holding period) more than 5% of shares of our common stock will be subject to U.S. federal income tax on the disposition of shares of our common stock.

If the recipient is a non-U.S. holder described in the first bullet above, the recipient will be required to pay tax on the net gain derived from the sale under regular graduated U.S. federal income tax rates, and corporate non-U.S. holders described in the first bullet above may be subject to the branch profits tax at a 30% rate or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty. Non-U.S. holders should consult their tax advisors regarding any applicable income tax treaties that may provide for different rules.

If the recipient is an individual non-U.S. holder described in the second bullet above, the recipient will be required to pay a flat 30% tax on the gain derived from the sale, which gain may be offset by U.S. source capital losses provided that the non-U.S. holder has timely filed U.S. federal income tax returns with respect to such losses.

Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

Payments of dividends or of proceeds on the disposition of shares made to a U.S. holder may be subject to information reporting and backup withholding at the then effective rate unless the U.S. holder provides a correct taxpayer identification number (which, in the case of an individual, is his or her social security number) and certifies whether such U.S. holder is subject to backup withholding of U.S. federal income tax by completing Form W-9 or otherwise establishing a basis for exemption from backup withholding. U.S. holders who fail to provide their correct taxpayer identification numbers and the appropriate certifications or fail to establish an exemption as described above will be subject to backup withholding and may be subject to a penalty imposed by the IRS.

Payments of dividends or of proceeds on the disposition of shares made to a non-U.S. holder may be subject to information reporting and backup withholding at the then effective rate unless the non-U.S. holder establishes an exemption, for example, by properly certifying its non-U.S. status on a Form W-8BEN or another appropriate version of Form W-8. Notwithstanding the foregoing, information reporting and backup withholding may apply if either we or our paying agent has actual knowledge, or reason to know, that the holder is a U.S. person.

Even if a non-U.S. holder establishes an exemption from information reporting, we may still be required to report annually to the IRS the amount of dividends paid, the name and address of the recipient, and the amount, if any, of tax withheld. A similar report is sent to the holder. Pursuant to tax

Table of Contents

treaties or other agreements, the IRS may make its reports available to tax authorities in the recipient's country of residence.

Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Rather, the U.S. income tax liability of persons subject to backup withholding will be reduced by the amount of tax withheld. If withholding results in an overpayment of taxes, a refund or credit may be obtained, so long as the required information is furnished to the IRS in a timely manner.

New Legislation Relating to Foreign Accounts

Newly enacted legislation may impose withholding taxes on certain types of payments made to foreign financial institutions and certain other non-U.S. entities. Under this legislation, the failure to comply with additional certification, information reporting and other specified requirements could result in withholding tax being imposed on payments of dividends and sales proceeds to U.S. holders who own the shares through foreign accounts or foreign intermediaries and certain non-U.S. holders. The legislation imposes a 30% withholding tax on dividends on, or gross proceeds from the sale or other disposition of, our common stock paid to a foreign financial institution or to a foreign non-financial entity, unless (i) the foreign financial institution undertakes certain diligence and reporting obligations or (ii) the foreign non-financial entity either certifies it does not have any substantial U.S. owners or furnishes identifying information regarding each substantial U.S. owner. In addition, if the payee is a foreign financial institution, it must enter into an agreement with the U.S. Treasury requiring, among other things, that it undertake to identify accounts held by certain U.S. persons or U.S.-owned foreign entities, annually report certain information about such accounts and withhold 30% on payments to account holders whose actions prevent it from complying with these reporting and other requirements. The legislation applies to payments made after December 31, 2012. Prospective investors should consult their tax advisors regarding this legislation.

Surtax on Certain Net Investment Income.

Under recent legislation, certain U.S. holders who are individuals, estates or trusts will be required to pay an additional 3.8% tax on, among other things, dividends and capital gains from the sale or other disposition of stock for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents**UNDERWRITING**

We, the selling stockholders and the underwriters named below have entered into an underwriting agreement with respect to the shares being offered. Subject to certain conditions, each underwriter has severally agreed to purchase the number of shares indicated in the following table. Goldman, Sachs & Co. and Barclays Capital Inc. are the representatives of the underwriters.

Underwriters	Number of Shares
Goldman, Sachs & Co.	
Barclays Capital Inc.	
Deutsche Bank Securities Inc.	
Citigroup Global Markets Inc.	
UBS Securities LLC	
Total	

The underwriters are committed to take and pay for all of the shares being offered, if any are taken, other than the shares covered by the option described below unless and until this option is exercised. If the underwriters sell more shares than the total number set forth in the table above, the underwriters have an option to buy up to an additional shares from us and shares from the selling stockholders. They may exercise that option in whole or in part and from time to time for 30 days. If any shares are purchased pursuant to this option, the underwriters will severally purchase shares in approximately the same proportion as set forth in the table above.

The following table shows the per share and total underwriting discount to be paid to the underwriters by us and the selling stockholders. Such amounts are shown assuming both no exercise and full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares.

Paid by Us	No Exercise	Full Exercise
Per share	\$	\$
Total	\$	\$

Paid by the Selling Stockholders	No Exercise	Full Exercise
Per share	\$	\$
Total	\$	\$

Shares sold by the underwriters to the public will initially be offered at the initial public offering price set forth on the cover of this prospectus. Any shares sold by the underwriters to securities dealers may be sold at a discount of up to \$ per share from the initial public offering price. If all the shares are not sold at the initial public offering price, the

representatives may change the offering price and the other selling terms. The offering of the shares by the underwriters is subject to receipt and acceptance and subject to the underwriters' right to reject any order in whole or in part.

We and our officers, directors, selling stockholders and certain other stockholders have agreed with the underwriters, subject to certain exceptions, not to dispose of or hedge any of their common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable for shares of common stock during the period from the date of this prospectus continuing through the date 180 days after the date of this prospectus, except with the prior written consent of the representatives; provided, that this agreement does not restrict the ability of the stockholders party to the registration rights agreement to cause a resale registration statement to be filed in accordance with the demand registration rights described above under Description of Capital Stock Registration Rights. See Shares Eligible for Future Sale for a discussion of certain transfer restrictions.

Table of Contents

The 180-day restricted period described in the preceding paragraph will be automatically extended if: (1) during the last 17 days of the 180-day restricted period we issue an earnings release or announce material news or a material event; or (2) prior to the expiration of the 180-day restricted period, we announce that we will release earnings results during the 15-day period following the last day of the 180-day period, in which case the restrictions described in the preceding paragraph will continue to apply until the expiration of the 18-day period beginning on the issuance of the earnings release or the announcement of the material news or material event.

Prior to the offering, there has been no public market for the shares. The initial public offering price has been negotiated among us and the representatives. Among the factors to be considered in determining the initial public offering price of the shares, in addition to prevailing market conditions, will be our historical performance, estimates of our business potential and earnings prospects, an assessment of our management and the consideration of the above factors in relation to market valuation of companies in related businesses.

We intend to apply to have our depositary shares and common stock quoted on the NYSE under the symbol **MX**.

In connection with the offering, the underwriters may purchase and sell shares of our common stock in the open market. These transactions may include short sales, stabilizing transactions and purchases to cover positions created by short sales. Short sales involve the sale by the underwriters of a greater number of shares than they are required to purchase in the offering. Covered short sales are sales made in an amount not greater than the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares from us and the selling stockholders in the offering. The underwriters may close out any covered short position by either exercising their option to purchase additional shares or purchasing shares in the open market. In determining the source of shares to close out the covered short position, the underwriters will consider, among other things, the price of shares available for purchase in the open market as compared to the price at which they may purchase additional shares pursuant to the option granted to them. Naked short sales are any sales in excess of such option. The underwriters must close out any naked short position by purchasing shares in the open market. A naked short position is more likely to be created if the underwriters are concerned that there may be downward pressure on the price of the common stock in the open market after pricing that could adversely affect investors who purchase in the offering. Stabilizing transactions consist of various bids for or purchases of common stock made by the underwriters in the open market prior to the closing of the offering.

The underwriters may also impose a penalty bid. This occurs when a particular underwriter repays to the underwriters a portion of the underwriting discount received by it because the representatives have repurchased shares sold by or for the account of such underwriter in stabilizing or short covering transactions.

Purchases to cover a short position and stabilizing transactions, as well as other purchases by the underwriters for their own accounts, may have the effect of preventing or retarding a decline in the market price of our stock, and together with the imposition of the penalty bid, may stabilize, maintain or otherwise affect the market price of the common stock. As a result, the price of our common stock may be higher than the price that otherwise might exist in the open market. If these activities are commenced, they may be discontinued at any time. These transactions may be effected on the NYSE, in the over-the-counter market or otherwise.

In relation to each Member State of the European Economic Area which has implemented the Prospectus Directive, or a Relevant Member State, each underwriter has represented and agreed that with effect from and including the date on which the Prospectus Directive is implemented in that Relevant Member State, or the Relevant Implementation Date, it has not made and will not make an offer of shares to the public in that Relevant Member State prior to the publication of a prospectus in relation to the shares which has been approved by the competent authority in that Relevant Member State or, where appropriate, approved in another Relevant Member State and notified to the competent authority in that Relevant Member State, all in accordance with the Prospectus Directive,

Table of Contents

except that it may, with effect from and including the Relevant Implementation Date, make an offer of shares to the public in that Relevant Member State at any time:

- (a) to legal entities which are authorized or regulated to operate in the financial markets or, if not so authorized or regulated, whose corporate purpose is solely to invest in securities;
- (b) to any legal entity which has two or more of (1) an average of at least 250 employees during the last financial year; (2) a total balance sheet of more than 43 million and (3) an annual net turnover of more than 50 million, as shown in its last annual or consolidated accounts;
- (c) to fewer than 100 natural or legal persons (other than qualified investors as defined in the Prospectus Directive) subject to obtaining the prior consent of the representatives for any such offer; or
- (d) in any other circumstances which do not require the publication by the Issuer of a prospectus pursuant to Article 3 of the Prospectus Directive.

For the purposes of this provision, the expression an offer of shares to the public in relation to any shares in any Relevant Member State means the communication in any form and by any means of sufficient information on the terms of the offer and the shares to be offered so as to enable an investor to decide to purchase or subscribe the shares, as the same may be varied in that Relevant Member State by any measure implementing the Prospectus Directive in that Relevant Member State and the expression Prospectus Directive means Directive 2003/71/EC and includes any relevant implementing measure in each Relevant Member State.

Each underwriter has represented and agreed that:

- (a) it has only communicated or caused to be communicated and will only communicate or cause to be communicated an invitation or inducement to engage in investment activity (within the meaning of Section 21 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000, or the FSMA) received by it in connection with the issue or sale of the shares in circumstances in which Section 21(1) of the FSMA does not apply to the issuer; and
- (b) it has complied and will comply with all applicable provisions of the FSMA with respect to anything done by it in relation to the shares in, from or otherwise involving the United Kingdom.

The shares may not be offered or sold by means of any document other than (i) in circumstances which do not constitute an offer to the public within the meaning of the Companies Ordinance (Cap. 32, Laws of Hong Kong), or (ii) to professional investors within the meaning of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap. 571, Laws of Hong Kong) and any rules made thereunder, or (iii) in other circumstances which do not result in the document being a prospectus within the meaning of the Companies Ordinance (Cap. 32, Laws of Hong Kong), and no advertisement, invitation or document relating to the shares may be issued or may be in the possession of any person for the purpose of issue (in each case whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere), which is directed at, or the contents of which are likely to be accessed or read by, the public in Hong Kong (except if permitted to do so under the laws of Hong Kong) other than with respect to shares which are or are intended to be disposed of only to persons outside Hong Kong or only to professional investors within the meaning of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap. 571, Laws of Hong Kong) and any rules made thereunder.

This prospectus has not been registered as a prospectus with the Monetary Authority of Singapore. Accordingly, this prospectus and any other document or material in connection with the offer or sale, or invitation for subscription or purchase, of the shares may not be circulated or distributed, nor may the shares be offered or sold, or be made the subject of an invitation for subscription or purchase, whether directly or indirectly, to persons in Singapore other than

(i) to an institutional investor under Section 274 of the Securities and Futures Act, Chapter 289 of Singapore, or the SFA, (ii) to a relevant person, or any person pursuant to Section 275(1A), and in accordance with the conditions, specified in Section 275 of the SFA or (iii) otherwise pursuant to, and in accordance with the conditions of, any other applicable provision of the SFA.

Table of Contents

Where the shares are subscribed or purchased under Section 275 by a relevant person which is: (a) a corporation (which is not an accredited investor) the sole business of which is to hold investments and the entire share capital of which is owned by one or more individuals, each of whom is an accredited investor; or (b) a trust (where the trustee is not an accredited investor) whose sole purpose is to hold investments and each beneficiary is an accredited investor, shares, debentures and units of shares and debentures of that corporation or the beneficiaries' rights and interest in that trust shall not be transferable for 6 months after that corporation or that trust has acquired the shares under Section 275 except: (1) to an institutional investor under Section 274 of the SFA or to a relevant person, or any person pursuant to Section 275(1A), and in accordance with the conditions, specified in Section 275 of the SFA; (2) where no consideration is given for the transfer; or (3) by operation of law.

The securities have not been and will not be registered under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law of Japan, or the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law, and each underwriter has agreed that it will not offer or sell any securities, directly or indirectly, in Japan or to, or for the benefit of, any resident of Japan (which term as used herein means any person resident in Japan, including any corporation or other entity organized under the laws of Japan), or to others for re-offering or resale, directly or indirectly, in Japan or to a resident of Japan, except pursuant to an exemption from the registration requirements of, and otherwise in compliance with, the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law and any other applicable laws, regulations and ministerial guidelines of Japan.

A prospectus in electronic format will be available on the websites maintained by one or more of the underwriters participating in this offering. The representatives may agree to allocate a number of shares to underwriters for sale to their online brokerage account holders. Internet distributions will be allocated by the underwriters that make internet distributions on the same basis as other allocations.

The underwriters do not expect sales to discretionary accounts to exceed five percent of the total number of shares offered.

We estimate that our share of the total expenses of the offering, excluding underwriting discount but including the expenses of the selling stockholders, will be approximately \$ million.

We and the selling stockholders have agreed to indemnify the several underwriters against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933, and to contribute to payments that the underwriters may be required to make for any such liabilities.

If you purchase shares of common stock offered in this prospectus, you may be required to pay stamp taxes and other charges under the laws and practices of the country of purchase, in addition to the offering price listed on the cover page of this prospectus.

Certain of the underwriters and their respective affiliates are full service financial institutions engaged in various activities, which may include securities trading, commercial and investment banking, financial advisory, investment management, principal investment, hedging, financing and brokerage activities. Certain of the underwriters and their respective affiliates have, from time to time, performed, and may in the future perform, various financial advisory and investment banking services for the issuer, for which they received or will receive customary fees and expenses. An affiliate of Goldman, Sachs & Co. is the counterparty to our currency hedging transactions. Goldman, Sachs & Co., Barclays Capital Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and UBS Securities LLC acted as initial purchasers in our private placement of \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of notes, which closed on April 9, 2010 and for which they received discounts and commissions. Goldman, Sachs & Co., Barclays Capital Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and UBS Securities LLC are managing underwriters in this offering.

Prior to the reorganization proceedings, affiliates of Citigroup Global Markets Inc. directly or indirectly held in excess of 10% of our outstanding common units and preferred units, and were considered our affiliates. In the reorganization proceedings, all equity interests in our company, including interests in common units and preferred units, were assigned to Class 8 of our plan of reorganization. Members of Class 8, including the affiliates of Citigroup Global Markets Inc. that directly or indirectly held common or preferred units in our company, received no distributions or

Table of Contents

recoveries on account of their equity interests and these equity interests were cancelled and extinguished as of the effective date of our plan of reorganization.

In the ordinary course of their various business activities, certain of the underwriters and their respective affiliates may make or hold a broad array of investments and actively trade debt and equity securities (or related derivative securities) and financial instruments (including bank loans) for their own account and for the accounts of their customers and may at any time hold long and short positions in such securities and instruments. Such investment and securities activities may involve securities and instruments of the issuer.

Table of Contents

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of our depositary shares and the common stock represented by the depositary shares offered hereby will be passed upon for us by DLA Piper LLP (US), East Palo Alto, California. Certain matters will be passed upon for the underwriters by Latham & Watkins LLP, New York, New York.

EXPERTS

Our consolidated financial statements as of and for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, and consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2008 and for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and for each of the two years in the periods ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 included in this prospectus have been so included in reliance on the reports of Samil PricewaterhouseCoopers, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in accounting and auditing. The address of Samil PricewaterhouseCoopers is LS Yongsan Tower, 191 Hangangro 2ga, Yongsan-gu, Seoul 140-702, Korea. Samil PricewaterhouseCoopers is a member of the Korean Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We have filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act of 1933, covering our common stock to be issued pursuant to this offering (Registration No. 333-165467). This prospectus, which is a part of the registration statement, does not contain all of the information included in the registration statement. Any statement made in this prospectus concerning the contents of any contract, agreement or other document is not necessarily complete. For further information regarding MagnaChip and the depositary shares to be issued in the offering, please refer to the registration statement, including its exhibits. If we have filed any contract, agreement or other document as an exhibit to the registration statement, you should read the exhibit for a more complete understanding of the documents or matters involved.

You may read and copy any reports or other information filed by us at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street N.E., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of this material can be obtained from the Public Reference Section of the SEC upon payment of fees prescribed by the SEC. You may call the SEC at 800-SEC-0350 for further information on the operation of the public reference room. Our filings will also be available to the public from commercial document retrieval services and at the SEC website at www.sec.gov. In addition, you may request a copy of any of these filings, at no cost, by writing or telephoning us at the following address or phone number: c/o MagnaChip Semiconductor, Inc., 20400 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Suite 370 Cupertino, CA 95014, attention: Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary; the telephone number at that address is 408-625-5999.

Table of Contents

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 (Successor Company) and as of December 31, 2008 (Predecessor Company)</u>	F-4
<u>MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009 (Successor Company), and the Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009 and the Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 (Predecessor Company)</u>	F-5
<u>MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC Consolidated Statements of Changes in Unitholders' Equity For the Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009 (Successor Company), and the Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009 and the Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 (Predecessor Company)</u>	F-6
<u>MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009 (Successor Company), and the Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009 and the Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 (Predecessor Company)</u>	F-8
<u>MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-10

F-1

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Unitholders of
MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheet and the related consolidated statements of operations, of changes in unitholders' equity and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and its subsidiaries (the Company) at December 31, 2009 (Successor Company) and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware confirmed the Creditors' Committee's reorganization plan (the Plan) on September 25, 2009. Confirmation of the Plan resulted in the discharge of all claims against the Company that arose before June 12, 2009 and substantially terminates all rights and interests of equity security holders as provided for in the Plan. The Plan was substantially consummated on November 9, 2009 and the Company emerged from bankruptcy. In connection with its emergence from bankruptcy, the Company adopted fresh-start accounting as of October 25, 2009.

/s/ Samil PricewaterhouseCoopers

Seoul, Korea
March 13, 2010

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Unitholders of
MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheet and the related consolidated statements of operations, of changes in unitholders' equity and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and its subsidiaries (the Company) at December 31, 2008 (Predecessor Company), and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company filed a petition on June 12, 2009 with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware for reorganization under the provisions of Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Company's Creditors' Committee's reorganization plan was substantially consummated on November 9, 2009 and the Company emerged from bankruptcy. In connection with its emergence from bankruptcy, the Company adopted fresh-start accounting.

As discussed in Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for business combinations in 2009.

/s/ Samil PricewaterhouseCoopers

Seoul, Korea

March 13, 2010

Table of Contents**MAGNACHIP SEMICONDUCTOR LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	Successor December 31, 2009		Predecessor December 31, 2008
	Historical (Audited)	Pro Forma (Unaudited) (Note 27)	Historical (Audited)
	(In thousands of US dollars, except unit data)		
Assets			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 64,925	\$ 64,925	\$ 4,037
Restricted cash			11,768
Accounts receivable, net	74,233	74,233	76,295
Inventories, net	63,407	63,407	47,110
Other receivables	3,433	3,433	4,701
Prepaid expenses	12,625	12,625	9,268
Other current assets	3,433	3,433	4,799
Total current assets	222,056	222,056	157,978
Property, plant and equipment, net	156,337	156,337	183,955
Intangible assets, net	50,158	50,158	34,892
Long-term prepaid expenses	10,542	10,542	7,714
Other non-current assets	14,238	14,238	14,631
Total assets	\$ 453,331	\$ 453,331	\$ 399,170
Liabilities and Unitholders Equity			
Current liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ 59,705	59,705	\$ 70,158
Other accounts payable	7,190	7,190	15,040
Payable to unitholders		130,700	
Accrued expenses	22,114	22,114	38,554
Short-term borrowings			95,000
Current portion of long-term debt	618	618	750,000
Other current liabilities	3,937	3,937	3,735
Total current liabilities	93,564	224,264	972,487
Long-term borrowings	61,132	61,132	
Accrued severance benefits, net	72,409	72,409	61,939
Other non-current liabilities	10,536	10,536	9,874

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Total liabilities	237,641	368,341	1,044,300
Commitments and contingencies			
Series A redeemable convertible preferred units, \$1,000 par value; 60,000 units authorized, 50,091 units issued and 0 unit outstanding at December 31, 2008			
Series B redeemable convertible preferred units, \$1,000 par value; 550,000 units authorized, 450,692 units issued, 93,997 units outstanding at December 31, 2008			142,669
Total redeemable convertible preferred units			142,669
Unitholders' equity			
Successor common units, no par value, 375,000,000 units authorized, 307,083,996 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009	55,135	55,135	
Predecessor common units, \$1 par value; 65,000,000 units authorized, 52,923,483 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008			52,923
Additional paid-in capital	168,700	38,000	3,150
Accumulated deficit	(1,963)	(1,963)	(995,007)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(6,182)	(6,182)	151,135
Total unitholders' equity (deficit)	215,690	84,990	(787,799)
Total liabilities, redeemable convertible preferred units and unitholders' equity	\$ 453,331	\$ 453,331	\$ 399,170

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**MAGNACHIP SEMICONDUCTOR LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	Successor		Predecessor	
	Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
	(In thousands of US dollars, except unit data)			
Net sales	\$ 111,082	\$ 448,984	\$ 601,664	\$ 709,508
Cost of sales	90,408	311,139	445,254	578,857
Gross profit	20,674	137,845	156,410	130,651
Selling, general and administrative expenses	14,540	56,288	81,314	82,710
Research and development expenses	14,741	56,148	89,455	90,805
Restructuring and impairment charges		439	13,370	12,084
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations	(8,607)	24,970	(27,729)	(54,948)
Other income (expenses)				
Interest expense, net (contractual interest, net of \$47,828 for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009)	(1,258)	(31,165)	(76,119)	(60,311)
Foreign currency gain (loss), net	9,338	43,437	(210,406)	(4,732)
Reorganization items, net	8,080	804,573	(286,525)	(65,043)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(527)	841,815	(314,254)	(119,991)
Income tax expenses	1,946	7,295	11,585	8,835
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(2,473)	834,520	(325,839)	(128,826)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	510	6,586	(91,455)	(51,724)
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,963)	\$ 841,106	\$ (417,294)	\$ (180,550)

Dividends accrued on preferred units (contractual dividends of \$11,819 for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009)			6,317	13,264	12,031
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common units	\$	(2,473)	\$ 828,203	\$ (339,103)	\$ (140,857)
Net income (loss) attributable to common units	\$	(1,963)	\$ 834,789	\$ (430,558)	\$ (192,581)
Earnings (loss) per common unit from continuing operations Basic and diluted	\$	(0.01)	\$ 15.65	\$ (6.43)	\$ (2.69)
Earnings (loss) per common unit from discontinued operations Basic and diluted	\$	0.00	\$ 0.12	\$ (1.73)	\$ (0.99)
Earnings (loss) per common unit Basic and diluted	\$	(0.01)	\$ 15.77	\$ (8.16)	\$ (3.68)
Weighted average number of units Basic and diluted		300,862,764	52,923,483	52,768,614	52,297,192

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**MAGNACHIP SEMICONDUCTOR LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN UNITHOLDERS EQUITY**

	Common Units		Additional Paid-In	Accumulated deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total
	Units	Amount	Capital		(loss)	
	(In thousands of US dollars, except unit data)					
Balance at January 1, 2007	52,720,784	\$ 52,721	\$ 2,451	\$ (370,314)	\$ 30,601	\$ (284,541)
(Predecessor Company)						
Exercise of unit options	124,938	125	26			151
Repurchase of common units	(1,500)	(2)	(4)			(6)
Unit-based compensation			604			604
Dividends accrued on preferred units				(12,031)		(12,031)
Impact on beginning accumulated deficit upon adoption of FIN 48				(1,554)		(1,554)
Comprehensive loss:						
Net loss				(180,550)		(180,550)
Fair valuation of derivatives					(3,477)	(3,477)
Foreign currency translation adjustments					3,925	3,925
Total comprehensive loss						(180,102)
Balance at December 31, 2007	52,844,222	\$ 52,844	\$ 3,077	\$ (564,449)	\$ 31,049	\$ (477,479)
(Predecessor Company)						
Exercise of unit options	161,460	161	22			183
Repurchase of common units	(82,199)	(82)	(414)			(496)
Unit-based compensation			465			465
Dividends accrued on preferred units				(13,264)		(13,264)
Comprehensive loss:						
Net loss				(417,294)		(417,294)
Fair valuation of derivatives					(864)	(864)
Foreign currency translation adjustments					120,950	120,950
Total comprehensive loss						(297,208)

Balance at December 31, 2008	52,923,483	\$ 52,923	\$ 3,150	\$ (995,007)	\$ 151,135	\$ (787,799)
(Predecessor Company)						
Unit-based compensation			233			233
Cancellation of the Predecessor Company's unit options			166			166
Dividends accrued on preferred units				(6,317)		(6,317)
Comprehensive income:						
Net income				841,106		841,106
Foreign currency translation adjustments					(30,395)	(30,395)
Unrealized gains on investments					340	340
Total comprehensive income						811,051
Balance at October 25, 2009	52,923,483	\$ 52,923	\$ 3,549	\$ (160,218)	\$ 121,080	\$ 17,334
(Predecessor Company)						

Table of Contents

	Common Units		Additional Paid-In	Accumulated	Other Comprehensive Income	
	Units	Amount	Capital	deficit	(loss)	Total
	(In thousands of US dollars, except unit data)					
Fresh-start adjustments:						
Cancellation of the Predecessor Company's common units	(52,923,483)	(52,923)	(3,549)			(56,472)
Elimination of the Predecessor Company's accumulated deficit and accumulated other comprehensive income				160,218	(121,080)	39,138
Issuance of new equity interests in connection with emergence from Chapter 11	299,999,996	49,539	166,322			215,861
Issuance of new warrants in connection with emergence from Chapter 11			2,533			2,533
Balance at October 25, 2009	299,999,996	\$ 49,539	\$ 168,855	\$	\$	\$ 218,394
(Successor Company)						
Unit-based compensation	7,084,000	5,596	(155)			5,441
Comprehensive income:						
Net loss				(1,963)		(1,963)
Foreign currency translation adjustments					(6,298)	(6,298)
Unrealized gains on investments					116	116
Total comprehensive loss						(8,145)
Balance at December 31, 2009	307,083,996	\$ 55,135	\$ 168,700	\$ (1,963)	\$ (6,182)	\$ 215,690
(Successor Company)						

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**MAGNACHIP SEMICONDUCTOR LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
	(In thousands of US dollars)			
Cash flows from operating activities				
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,963)	\$ 841,106	\$ (417,294)	\$ (180,550)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities				
Depreciation and amortization	11,218	38,255	71,960	163,434
Provision for severance benefits	1,851	8,835	14,026	18,834
Amortization of debt issuance costs		836	16,290	3,919
Loss (gain) on foreign currency translation, net	(10,077)	(44,224)	215,571	5,398
Loss (gain) on disposal of property, plant and equipment, net	17	95	(3,094)	(68)
Loss (gain) on disposal of intangible assets, net	5	(9,230)		(3,630)
Restructuring and impairment charges		(1,120)	42,539	10,106
Unit-based compensation	2,199	233	465	604
Cash used for reorganization items	4,263	1,076		
Noncash reorganization items		(805,649)		
Other	(667)	2,722	(400)	51
Changes in operating assets and liabilities				
Accounts receivable	16,443	(12,930)	31,025	(46,504)
Inventories	6,739	(1,163)	11,174	(18,398)
Other receivables	1,755	31	1,016	971
Deferred tax assets	678	1,054	1,490	952
Accounts payable	(14,144)	6,316	(5,063)	26,442
Other accounts payable	(12,511)	(11,452)	(19,887)	(6,021)
Accrued expenses	(5,687)	28,295	23,953	(5,504)
Long term other payable	(877)	507	121	114
Other current assets	3,192	5,896	7,401	9,840
Other current liabilities	1,188	39	1,295	5,007
Payment of severance benefits	(1,389)	(4,320)	(6,505)	(7,151)
Other	(125)	(516)	(4,471)	(1,557)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities before reorganization items	2,108	44,692	(18,388)	(23,711)
Cash used for reorganization items	(4,263)	(1,076)		

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(2,155)	43,616	(18,388)	(23,711)
---	---------	--------	----------	----------

F-8

Table of Contents

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008		Year Ended December 31, 2007
	(In thousands of US dollars)				
Cash flows from investing activities					
Proceeds from disposal of plant, property and equipment	37	329	3,122		364
Proceeds from disposal of intangible assets		9,375			4,204
Purchase of plant, property and equipment	(1,258)	(7,513)	(28,608)		(85,294)
Payment for intellectual property registration	(70)	(366)	(1,052)		(1,256)
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash		11,409	(13,517)		
Purchase of short-term financial instruments	(329)				
Other	23	(96)	484		176
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(1,597)	13,138	(39,571)		(81,806)
Cash flows from financing activities					
Proceeds from short-term borrowings			180,000		130,100
Issuance of new common units pursuant to the reorganization plan		35,280			
Issuance of old common units			183		151
Repayment of short-term borrowings		(33,250)	(165,000)		(50,100)
Repurchase of old common units			(496)		(6)
Net cash provided by financing activities		2,030	14,687		80,145
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	1,098	4,758	(17,036)		544
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2,654)	63,542	(60,308)		(24,828)
Cash and cash equivalents					
Beginning of the period	67,579	4,037	64,345		89,173
End of the period	\$ 64,925	\$ 67,579	\$ 4,037	\$	64,345
Supplemental cash flow information					
Cash paid for interest	\$ 955	\$ 7,962	\$ 39,276	\$	57,468
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 669	\$ 8,074	\$ 13,207	\$	5,680

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

1. General

The Company

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC (together with its subsidiaries, the Company) is a Korea-based designer and manufacturer of analog and mixed-signal semiconductor products for high-volume consumer applications. The Company's business is comprised of three key segments: Display Solutions, Power Solutions and Semiconductor Manufacturing Services. The Company's Display Solutions products include display drivers for use in a wide range of flat panel displays and mobile multimedia devices. The Company's Power Solutions products include discrete and integrated circuit solutions for power management in high-volume consumer applications. The Company's Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment provides specialty analog and mixed-signal foundry services for fabless semiconductor companies that serve the consumer, computing and wireless end markets.

2. Voluntary Reorganization under Chapter 11

On June 12, 2009, MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC (the Parent), MagnaChip Semiconductor B.V., MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A. and certain other subsidiaries of the Parent in the U.S. (the Debtors), filed a voluntary petition for relief in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. The court approved a plan of reorganization proposed by the Creditors' Committee on September 25, 2009 (the Plan of Reorganization), and the Plan of Reorganization became effective and the Debtors emerged from Chapter 11 reorganization proceedings (the Reorganization Proceedings) on November 9, 2009 (the Reorganization Effective Date). On the Reorganization Effective Date, the Company implemented fresh-start reporting in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 852, *Reorganizations*, formerly the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Statement of Position (SOP) 90-7, *Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization Under the Bankruptcy Code* (ASC 852).

All conditions required for the adoption of fresh-start reporting were met upon emergence from the Reorganization Proceedings on the Reorganization Effective Date. The Company is permitted to select an accounting convenience date (the Fresh-Start Adoption Date) proximate to the emergence date for purposes of fresh-start reporting, provided that an analysis of the activity between the date of emergence and an accounting convenience date does not result in a material difference in the fresh-start reporting results. The Company evaluated transaction activity between October 25, 2009 and the Reorganization Effective Date and concluded an accounting convenience date of October 25, 2009 which was the Company's October accounting period end was appropriate. As a result, the fair value of the Predecessor Company's assets became the new basis for the Successor Company's consolidated statement of financial position as of the Fresh-Start Adoption Date, and all operations beginning on or after October 26, 2009 are related to the Successor Company.

As a result of the application of fresh-start reporting in accordance with ASC 852, the financial statements prior to and including October 25, 2009 represent the operations of the Predecessor Company and are not comparable with the financial statements for periods on or after October 25, 2009. References to the Successor Company refer to the Company on or after October 25, 2009, after giving effect to the application of fresh-start reporting. References to the Predecessor Company refer to the Company prior to and including October 25, 2009. See Note 3 Fresh-Start Reporting for further details.

The Plan of Reorganization provided for the satisfaction of claims against the Debtors through (i) the issuance of a new term loan in the amount of approximately \$61.8 million in complete

F-10

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

satisfaction of the first lien lender claims arising from the senior secured credit facility, (ii) the conversion to Parent equity of all claims arising from the Second Priority Senior Secured Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes, (iii) an offering of equity to the holders of the Second Priority Senior Secured Notes and (iv) a cash payment to holders of unsecured claims. On the Reorganization Effective Date, among other events, (i) the liens and guarantees securing the Second Priority Senior Secured Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes were released and extinguished, (ii) funds affiliated with Avenue Capital Management II, L.P. became the majority unitholder of Parent and (iii) the new term loan was evidenced by the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of November 6, 2009, by and among MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A., MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, Parent, the Subsidiary Guarantors, the Lenders party thereto, and Wilmington Trust FSB, as administrative agent for the Lenders and collateral agent for the secured parties.

During the period from the date of its Chapter 11 filing to the Fresh-Start Adoption Date (the Pre-Emergence Period), the Company recorded interest expense on pre-petition obligations only to the extent it believed the interest would be paid during the Reorganization Proceedings. Had the Company recorded interest expense based on its pre-petition contractual obligations pursuant to its Second Priority Senior Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes, interest expense would have increased by \$16,663 thousand during the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009.

In addition, the Company's Series B redeemable convertible preferred units were also subject to compromise and no dividends were accrued during the Pre-Emergence Period. Had the Company recorded dividends based on pre-petition contractual obligations, dividends accrued on preferred units would have increased by \$5,502 thousand during the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009.

3. Fresh-Start Reporting

Upon emergence from the Reorganization Proceedings, the Company adopted fresh-start reporting in accordance with ASC 852. The Company's emergence from the Reorganization Proceedings resulted in a new reporting entity with no retained earnings or accumulated deficit. Accordingly, the Company's consolidated financial statements for periods prior to and including October 25, 2009 are not comparable to consolidated financial statements presented on or after October 25, 2009.

Fresh-start reporting reflects the value of the Company as determined in the confirmed Plan of Reorganization. Under fresh-start reporting, the Company's asset values were remeasured and allocated in conformity with ASC 805, *Business Combinations*, formerly Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141(R) *Business Combinations* (ASC 805). Fresh-start reporting required that all liabilities, other than deferred taxes and severance benefits, be stated at fair value or at the present values of the amounts to be paid using appropriate market interest rates. Deferred taxes are determined in conformity with ASC 740, *Income Taxes*, formerly SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (ASC 740).

Estimates of fair value represent the Company's best estimates based on its valuation models, which incorporated industry data and trends and relevant market rates and transactions. The estimates and assumptions are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies beyond the control of the Company. Accordingly, the Company cannot provide assurance that the estimates, assumptions and values reflected in the valuations will be realized, and actual results could vary materially.

To facilitate the calculation of the enterprise value of the Successor Company, the Company prepared a valuation analysis for the Successor Company's common units as of the Reorganization

F-11

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

Effective Date. The enterprise valuation used a discounted cash flow analysis which measures the projected multi-year free cash flows of the Company to arrive at an enterprise value.

In the course of valuation analysis, financial and other information, including prospective financial information obtained from management and from various public, financial and industry sources was relied upon. The basis of the discounted cash flow analysis used in developing the total enterprise value was based on the Company's prepared projections, which included a variety of estimates and assumptions. While the Company considers such estimates and assumptions reasonable, they are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties, many of which are beyond the Company's control and, therefore, may not be realized. Changes in these estimates and assumptions may have had a significant effect on the determination of the Company's fair value. Assumptions used in our valuation models that have the most significant effect on our estimated fair value include discount rates and future cash flow projections.

Discount rate The discount rate is an overall rate based upon the individual rates of return for invested capital components of the Company (such as rate of return on debt capital and rate of return on common equity capital). As the Company is emerging from bankruptcy and, therefore, has some of the characteristics of a distressed company, the Company incorporated an alpha factor in its calculation of an industry based discount rate, to better reflect the return that an investor would require for an investment in a company. The resulting discount rate of 46.7% approximates the venture capital rate of return required by investors in companies with similar risk profiles as the Company.

Cash flow projections The Company projected its future cash flow on various assumptions depending on the nature of cash flow components. Some of the major accounts projected were based on the following assumptions.

Revenue The Company based 2009 and 2010 revenue on the historical ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and the Company's business plan. For the subsequent four years, revenue projections were based on market growth trends and plans for market share growth. Overall, the Company projected a compound revenue growth for this purpose of 12% for the period between 2009 and 2014.

Cost of Sales The Company estimated three sub-components: variable cost, depreciation and other fixed costs. Variable cost was defined as those cost elements directly in proportion to sales and estimated as a certain percentage of projected sales. Depreciation is estimated considering expected depreciation of existing assets and depreciation of assets from the Company's capital expenditure forecast. Other fixed costs are assumed to be increased by a fixed percentage which was implied by the CPI (Consumer Price Index) rate increases during the projection period. The Company projected cost of sales for the periods between 2009 and 2014 to vary between 70.1% and 62.6%.

Working capital changes Working capital levels were estimated on the historical levels and benchmarking.

Capital expenditures Capital expenditures for 2009 and 2010 was determined based on the Company's capital expenditure forecast. The Company assumed that the capital expenditure level for subsequent years would be determined at 5% of its future projected revenue.

The following fresh-start condensed consolidated balance sheet illustrates the financial effects on the Company resulting from the implementation of the Plan of Reorganization and the adoption of fresh-start reporting. This

fresh-start condensed consolidated balance sheet reflects the effect of

F-12

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

consummating the transactions contemplated in the Plan of Reorganization, including issuance of certain securities, incurrence of new indebtedness, discharge and repayment of old indebtedness and other cash payments.

The effects of the Plan of Reorganization and fresh-start reporting on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet are as follows:

	Predecessor October 25, 2009	Effects of Plan	Fresh-Start Valuation	Successor (*) October 25, 2009
Assets				
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 14,610	\$ 52,969(a,b,f,j)	\$	\$ 67,579
Restricted cash	52,015	(52,015)(b)		
Accounts receivable, net	89,314			89,314
Inventories, net	51,389		17,903(n)	69,292
Other receivables	5,189			5,189
Other current assets	17,477	(179)(c)	(1,233)(o)	16,065
Total current assets	229,994	775	16,670	247,439
Property, plant and equipment, net	172,358		(13,940)(p)	158,418
Intangible assets, net	26,886		28,314(q)	55,200
Other non-current assets	23,947	235(d)	355(r)	24,537
Total assets	\$ 453,185	\$ 1,010	\$ 31,399	\$ 485,594
Liabilities and Unitholders' Equity				
Current liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ 77,395	\$	\$	\$ 77,395
Other accounts payable	13,515	506(e)		14,021
Accrued expenses	22,621	6,383(f)		29,004
Short-term borrowings	95,000	(95,000)(a)		
Current portion of long-term debt-new		463(a)		463
Other current liabilities	3,533			3,533
Liabilities subject to compromise	798,043	(798,043)(g)		
Total current liabilities	1,010,107	(885,691)		124,416
Long-term debt-new		61,287(a)		61,287
Accrued severance benefits, net	71,029			71,029
Other non-current liabilities	10,468			10,468

Total liabilities	1,091,604	(824,404)	267,200
-------------------	-----------	-----------	---------

F-13

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

	Predecessor October 25, 2009	Effects of Plan	Fresh-Start Valuation	Successor (* October 25, 2009
Commitments and contingencies				
Series A redeemable convertible preferred units				
Series B redeemable convertible preferred units subject to compromise	148,986	(148,986)(h)		
Total redeemable convertible preferred units	148,986	(148,986)		
Unitholders' equity				
Common units-old	52,923	(52,923)(i)		
Common units-new		49,539(g,j)		49,539
Additional paid-in capital	3,383	166(s) (3,549)(i) 2,533(g) 166,322(m)		168,855
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	(964,791)	160,218(k) 773,174(l)	31,399(l)	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	121,080	(121,080)(k)		
Total unitholders' equity	(787,405)	974,400	31,399	218,394
Total liabilities, redeemable convertible preferred units and unitholders' equity	\$ 453,185	\$ 1,010	\$ 31,399	\$ 485,594

- (a) To record the issuance of a new term loan in the amount of \$61,750 thousand and 35% cash payment of \$33,250 thousand in complete satisfaction of the first lien lender claims arising from the senior secured credit facility (short-term borrowings) of \$95,000 thousand. The new term loan was accounted for as current portion of long-term debt of \$463 thousand and long-term debt of \$61,287 thousand.
- (b) Cash in Korea Exchange Bank account of \$52,015 thousand, restricted under forbearance agreement, was released from restriction according to the debt restructuring by the Plan of Reorganization.
- (c) To record impairment of remaining capitalized costs of \$166 thousand in connection with entering into the senior secured credit facility, impairment of prepaid agency fee of \$14 thousand of the senior secured credit facility and capitalization of costs of \$1 thousand in connection with the issuance of the new term loan.

- (d) To record capitalization of costs of \$235 thousand in connection with the issuance of the new term loan.
- (e) To record capitalization of costs incurred in connection with the issuance of the new term loan of \$236 thousand and 10% of the general unsecured claims of \$270 thousand to be settled in cash.
- (f) To record professional fees of \$7,459 thousand incurred in relation to the Reorganization Proceeding of which \$1,076 thousand was paid in cash with the remainder of \$6,383 thousand recorded as accrued expenses.

F-14

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

- (g) To record the discharge of liabilities subject to compromise of \$798,043 thousand and the issuances of new common units of \$14,259 thousand and new warrants of \$2,533 thousand. Current portion of long-term debt of \$750,000 thousand and its accrued interest of \$45,341 thousand as of October 25, 2009 were discharged in exchange for new common units representing 6% of the Successor Company's outstanding common units of \$14,259 thousand to two classes of creditors of the Company and new warrants representing 5% of the Successor Company's outstanding common units of \$2,533 thousand to two classes of creditors of the Company. General unsecured claims of \$2,702 thousand were also discharged in exchange for a cash payment equal to 10% of the allowed claims of \$270 thousand.
- (h) To record the retirement of Series B redeemable convertible preferred units of \$148,986 thousand without consideration in accordance with the Plan of Reorganization.
- (i) To record the retirement of old equity interests without consideration in accordance with the Plan of Reorganization.
- (j) To record the issuances of new common units of \$35,280 thousand.
- (k) To record the elimination of the Predecessor Company's accumulated deficit of \$160,218 thousand and accumulated other comprehensive income of \$121,080 thousand.
- (l) To record reorganization items, net of \$804,573 thousand.
- (m) To record \$166,322 thousand of additional paid-in capital. Reconciliation of total enterprise value to the reorganization value of the Company, determination of goodwill and additional paid-in capital and allocation of the total enterprise value to common unitholders are as below:

Total value attributable to debt and equity (1)	\$ 212,564
Plus: cash and cash equivalents	67,579
Plus: liabilities	205,451
Reorganization value of the Company's total assets	485,594
Fair value of the Company's total assets	485,594
Goodwill	\$
Reorganization value of the Company's total assets	\$ 485,594
Less: liabilities	(205,450)
Less: new term loan	(61,750)
New warrants issued	2,533
New common units	49,539

Additional paid-in capital	\$ 166,322
Enterprise value allocated to common unitholders	\$ 215,861

- (1) The Plan of Reorganization, which was confirmed by the bankruptcy court, includes an estimated total value attributable to debt and equity of \$225.0 million. This amount does not include cash balances and non-financial liabilities as of the Reorganization Effective Date.
- (n) To record the fair value of inventories, net, as estimated by the Predecessor Company, fair value of finished goods was estimated by subtracting from average selling prices the sum of costs of disposal and a reasonable profit allowance for the selling effort. Fair value of work-in-process was estimated by subtracting from average selling prices the sum of costs to complete, costs of disposal and a reasonable profit allowance for the completing and selling effort based on profit for similar finished goods. Fair value of raw materials was estimated by current replacement costs.

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

- (o) To record the fair value of advance payments as estimated by the Predecessor Company. For the value of advance payments, the Orderly Liquidation Value (OLV) was estimated using the cost and market approaches.
- (p) To record the fair value of property, plant and equipment, net as estimated by the Predecessor Company. For the value of certain fixed assets, the OLV was estimated using the cost and market approaches. This premise of value was chosen given the fact that the Company was just emerging from bankruptcy proceedings.
- (q) To record the fair value of intangible assets, net as estimated by the Predecessor Company. Discrete valuations of each of the reporting units' identified intangible assets related to technology, contracts, trade names, customer-based intangible assets and acquired in-process research and development (IPR&D) were performed using the excess earnings method or the royalty savings method.
- (r) To record the Predecessor Company's other non-current assets at their estimated fair value using observable market data.
- (s) To record the immediately recognized unit-based compensation of \$166 thousand, which is attributable to old unit options which were cancelled without consideration in accordance with the Plan of Reorganization.
- (*) The following table summarizes the allocation of fair value of the assets and liabilities at emergence as shown in the reorganized consolidated balance sheet as of October 25, 2009:

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 67,579
Accounts receivable, net	89,314
Inventories, net	69,292
Other receivables	5,189
Other current assets	16,065
Property, plant and equipment, net	158,418
Intangible assets, net	55,200
Other non-current assets	24,537
Total assets	485,594
Less: current liabilities (including current portion of long-term debt)	(124,416)
Less: long-term debt	(61,287)
Less: non-current liabilities	(81,497)
Total liabilities assumed	(267,200)
Net assets acquired	\$ 218,394

4. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

In preparing the consolidated financial statements for the Predecessor Company and Successor Company, the Company applied ASC 852, which requires that the financial statements for periods subsequent to the Chapter 11 filing distinguish transactions and events that were directly associated with the reorganization from the ongoing operations of the business. Accordingly, certain expenses,

F-16

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

realized gains and losses and provisions for losses that were realized or incurred in the Reorganization Proceedings were recorded in reorganization items, net on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Significant accounting policies followed by the Company in the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements are summarized below.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company including its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and disclosures. The most significant estimates and assumptions relate to the fair valuation of acquired assets and assumed liabilities, fair valuation of common units, the useful life of property, plant and equipment, allowance for uncollectible accounts receivable, contingent liabilities, inventory valuation, restructuring accrual and impairment of long-lived assets. Although these estimates are based on management's best knowledge of current events and actions that the Company may undertake in the future, actual results may be different from the estimates.

Foreign Currency Translation

The Company has assessed in accordance with ASC 830, *Foreign Currency Matters*, formerly SFAS No. 52, *Foreign Currency Translation* (ASC 830), the functional currency of each of its subsidiaries in Luxembourg, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom and has designated the U.S. dollar to be their respective functional currencies. The Company and its other subsidiaries are utilizing their local currencies as their functional currencies. The financial statements of the subsidiaries in functional currencies other than the U.S. dollar are translated into the U.S. dollar in accordance with ASC 830. All the assets and liabilities are translated to the U.S. dollar at the end-of-period exchange rates. Capital accounts are determined to be of a permanent nature and are therefore translated using historical exchange rates. Revenues and expenses are translated using average exchange rates for the respective periods. Foreign currency translation adjustments arising from differences in exchange rates from period to period are included in the foreign currency translation adjustment account in accumulated comprehensive income (loss) of unitholders' equity. Gains and losses due to transactions in currencies other than the functional currency are included as a component of other income (expense) in the statement of operations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments with an original maturity date of three months or less.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash of \$11,768 thousand as of December 31, 2008 was cash in Korea Exchange Bank account and restricted in use according to the forbearance agreement with secured parties in relation to short-term borrowings of

\$95,000 thousand. Deposit accounts maintained with Korea

F-17

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

Exchange Bank were subject to a perfected lien in the name of the collateral trustee for the benefit of the secured parties and were frozen pursuant to the terms of an acceleration notice.

According to the debt restructuring by the Plan of Reorganization as described in Note 3, cash in Korea Exchange Bank account of \$52,015 thousand was released from restriction on the Reorganization Effective Date.

Accounts Receivable Reserves

An allowance for doubtful accounts is provided based on the aggregate estimated uncollectability of the Company's accounts receivable. The Company records an allowance for cash returns, included within accounts receivable, net, based on the historical experience of the amount of goods that will be returned and refunded. In addition, the Company also includes in accounts receivable, an allowance for additional products that may have to be provided, free of charge, to compensate customers for products that do not meet previously agreed yield criteria, the low yield compensative reserve.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, using the average cost method, which approximates the first in, first out method (FIFO). If net realizable value is less than cost at the balance sheet date, the carrying amount is reduced to the realizable value, and the difference is recognized as a loss on valuation of inventories within cost of sales. Inventory reserves are established when conditions indicate that the net realizable value is less than costs due to physical deterioration, obsolescence, changes in price levels, or other causes based on individual facts and circumstances. Reserves are also established for excess inventory based on inventory levels in excess of six months of projected demand, as judged by management, for each specific product.

In addition, as prescribed in ASC 330, *Inventory*, formerly SFAS No. 151 *Inventory costs*, the cost of inventories is determined based on the normal capacity of each fabrication facility. In case the capacity utilization is lower than a certain level that management believes to be normal, the fixed overhead costs per production unit which exceeds those under normal capacity are charged to cost of sales rather than capitalized as inventories.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as set forth below.

Buildings	30 - 40 years
Building related structures	10 - 20 years
Machinery and equipment	5 - 10 years
Vehicles and others	5 years

Routine maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Expenditures that enhance the value or significantly extend the useful lives of the related assets are capitalized.

Borrowing costs incurred during the construction period of assets are capitalized as part of the related assets.

F-18

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company reviews property, plant and equipment and other long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable in accordance with ASC 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment*, formerly SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (ASC 360). Recoverability is measured by comparing its carrying amount with the future net cash flows the assets are expected to generate. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment is measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the assets and the fair value of assets using the present value of the future net cash flows generated by the respective long-lived assets.

Restructuring Charges

The Company recognizes restructuring charges in accordance with ASC 420, *Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations*, formerly SFAS No. 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities* (ASC 420). Certain costs and expenses related to exit or disposal activities are recorded as restructuring charges when liabilities for those costs and expenses are incurred.

Lease Transactions

The Company accounts for lease transactions as either operating leases or capital leases, depending on the terms of the underlying lease agreements. Machinery and equipment acquired under capital lease agreements are recorded at the lower of the present value of future minimum lease payments and estimated fair value of leased property. Property, plant and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives. In addition, the aggregate lease payments are recorded as capital lease obligations, net of unaccrued interest. Interest is amortized over the lease period using the effective interest rate method. Leases that do not qualify as capital leases are classified as operating leases, and the related rental payments are expensed on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the estimated useful lives of leased property and lease term.

Software

The Company capitalizes certain external costs that are incurred to purchase and implement internal-use computer software. Direct costs relating to the development of software for internal use are capitalized after technological feasibility has been established, in accordance with ASC 350, *Intangibles-Goodwill and Other*, formerly Statements of Position (SOP) No. 98-1, *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use* (ASC 350). Depreciation is calculated on a straight-line basis over the software's estimated useful life, which is usually five years.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets other than intellectual property include technology and customer relationships which are amortized on a straight-line basis over periods ranging from four to eight years. Other intellectual property assets acquired represent rights under patents, trademarks and property use rights and are amortized over the periods of benefit, ranging up to ten years, on a straight-line basis.

Goodwill

Goodwill is evaluated for impairment by comparing the fair value and carrying amount of the reporting unit to which the goodwill relates. Specifically, the Company uses the two-step method for evaluating goodwill for impairment as prescribed in ASC 350, *Intangibles-Goodwill and Other*,

F-19

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

formerly SFAS No. 142 *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (ASC 350). In the first step, the fair value of a reporting unit is compared to the carrying amount of such reporting unit. If the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, a potential impairment condition exists. In the second step, impairment is measured as the excess of the carrying amount of reporting unit goodwill over the implied fair value of reporting unit goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired, and thus the second step of the impairment test is unnecessary.

Fair Value Disclosures of Financial Instruments

The Company has adopted and follows ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (ASC 820) for measurement and disclosures about fair value of its financial instruments. ASC 820 establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. To increase consistency and comparability in fair value measurements and related disclosures, ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The three levels of fair value hierarchy defined by ASC 820 are:

Level 1 Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs (other than quoted market prices included in Level 1) are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability through correlation with market data at the measurement date and for the duration of the instrument's anticipated life.

Level 3 Inputs reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. Consideration is given to the risk inherent in the valuation technique and the risk inherent in the inputs to the model. Valuation of instruments includes unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the measurement of fair value of assets or liabilities.

As defined by ASC 820, the fair value of a financial instrument is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale, which was further clarified as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The carrying amounts of the Company's financial assets and liabilities, such as cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, other receivables, accounts payable and other accounts payable approximate their fair values because of the short maturity of these instruments.

The fair value of the Successor Company's available for sale securities is based on the quoted prices in an active market and was \$0.7 million as of December 31, 2009. The estimated fair value of the Predecessor Company's debt was \$33.5 million as of December 31, 2008. The fair value estimates presented herein were based on market interest rates and other market information available to management as of each balance sheet date presented. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies could have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. Approximate fair values do not take into consideration expenses that could be incurred in an actual settlement. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize in a current market exchange.

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

Accrued Severance Benefits

The majority of accrued severance benefits is for employees in the Company's Korean subsidiary. Pursuant to the Employee Retirement Benefit Security Act of Korea, most employees and executive officers with one or more years of service are entitled to severance benefits upon the termination of their employment based on their length of service and rate of pay. As of December 31, 2009, 98% of all employees of the Company were eligible for severance benefits.

Accrued severance benefits are funded through a group severance insurance plan. The amounts funded under this insurance plan are classified as a reduction of the accrued severance benefits. Subsequent accruals are to be funded at the discretion of the Company.

In accordance with the National Pension Act of the Republic of Korea, a certain portion of accrued severance benefits is deposited with the National Pension Fund and deducted from the accrued severance benefits. The contributed amount is paid to employees from the National Pension Fund upon their retirement.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the product has been delivered and title and risk of loss have transferred, the price is fixed and determinable, and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. Utilizing these criteria, product revenue is recognized either upon shipment, upon delivery of the product at the customer's location or upon customer acceptance, depending on the terms of the arrangements, when the risks and rewards of ownership have passed to the customer. Certain sale arrangements include customer acceptance provisions that require written notification of acceptance within the pre-determined period from the date of delivery of the product. If the pre-determined period has ended without written notification, customer acceptance is deemed to have occurred pursuant to the underlying sales arrangements. In such cases, the Company recognizes revenue the earlier of the written notification or the pre-determined period from date of delivery. The Company's revenue recognition policy is consistent across its product lines, marketing venues, and all geographic areas.

In accordance with revenue recognition guidance, any tax assessed by a governmental authority that is directly imposed on a revenue-producing transaction between a seller and a customer is presented in the statements of income on a net basis (excluded from revenues).

The Company's customers can return defective products, including products that do not meet the yield criteria. The Company accrues for the estimated costs that may be incurred for the defective products. In addition, the Company offers discounts to customers who make early payments. The Company estimates the amount to be paid to customers based on historical experience and expected rate of discount. The estimated discount amount is recorded as a deduction from net sales.

Other than product warranty obligations and customer acceptance provisions, sales contracts do not include any other post-shipment obligations that could have an impact on revenue recognition. In addition, the Company does not currently provide any credits, rebates or price protection or similar privileges that could have an impact on revenue recognition.

All amounts billed to a customer related to shipping and handling are classified as sales while all costs incurred by the Company for shipping and handling are classified as selling expenses. The amounts charged to selling expenses were \$207 thousand, \$752 thousand, \$1,295 thousand and \$1,407 thousand for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

Derivative Financial instruments

The Company applies the provisions of ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*, formerly SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (ASC 815). This Statement requires the recognition of all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities measured at fair value.

Under the provisions of ASC 815, the Company may designate a derivative instrument as hedging the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows that are attributable to a particular risk (a cash flow hedge) or hedging the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset or a liability (a fair value hedge). Special accounting for qualifying hedges allows the effective portion of a derivative instrument's gains and losses to offset related results on the hedged item in the consolidated statements of operations and requires that a company formally document, designate and assess the effectiveness of the transactions that receive hedge accounting treatment. Both at the inception of a hedge and on an ongoing basis, a hedge must be expected to be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in cash flows or fair value attributable to the underlying risk being hedged. If the Company determines that a derivative instrument is no longer highly effective as a hedge, it discontinues hedge accounting prospectively and future changes in the fair value of the derivative are recognized in current earnings. The Company assesses hedge effectiveness at the end of each quarter.

In accordance with ASC 815, changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that are cash flows hedges are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into earnings in the period in which the hedged item affects earnings. Ineffective portions of a derivative instrument's change in fair value are immediately recognized in earnings. Derivative instruments that do not qualify, or cease to qualify, as hedges must be adjusted to fair value and the adjustments are recorded through net income (loss).

Advertising

The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising expense was approximately \$25 thousand, \$70 thousand, \$165 thousand and \$146 thousand for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Product Warranties

The Company records, in other current liabilities, warranty liabilities for the estimated costs that may be incurred under its basic limited warranty. This warranty covers defective products, and related liabilities are accrued when product revenues are recognized. Factors that affect the Company's warranty liability include historical and anticipated rates of warranty claims and repair costs per claim to satisfy the Company's warranty obligation. As these factors are impacted by actual experience and future expectations, the Company periodically assesses the adequacy of its recorded warranty liabilities and adjusts the amounts when necessary.

Research and Development

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred and include wafers, masks, employee expenses, contractor fees, building costs, utilities and administrative expenses. Acquired IPR&D assets are considered indefinite-lived intangible assets and are not subject to amortization. An IPR&D asset must be tested for impairment annually or more

frequently if events or changes in circumstances

F-22

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

indicate that the asset might be impaired. The impairment test consists of a comparison of the fair value of the IPR&D asset with its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of the IPR&D asset exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss must be recognized in an amount equal to that excess. After an impairment loss is recognized, the adjusted carrying amount of the IPR&D asset will be its new accounting basis. Subsequent reversal of a previously recognized impairment loss is prohibited. The initial determination and subsequent evaluation for impairment of the IPR&D asset requires management to make significant judgments and estimates. Once the IPR&D projects have been completed or abandoned, the useful life of the IPR&D asset is determined and amortized accordingly.

Licensed Patents and Technologies

The Company has entered into a number of royalty agreements to license patents and technology used in the design of its products. The Company carries two types of royalties, lump-sum or running basis. Lump-sum royalties which require initial payments, usually paid in installments, represent a non-refundable commitment, such that the total present value of these payments is recorded as a liability upon execution of the agreements and the costs are amortized over the contract period using the straight-line method.

Running royalty is paid based on the revenue of related products sold by the Company. For example, the Company entered into an agreement with a semiconductor design company, who comprised 88.4%, 94.4%, 92.4% and 88.2% of total running royalty expenses in the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Pursuant to the agreement with the semiconductor design company, royalty rates range from 2.5% to 6% of the related product revenue and payment is made monthly. The royalty payments are charged to the statements of operations as incurred.

Unit-Based Compensation

The Company follows the provisions of ASC 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation*, formerly SFAS 123(R), *Share-Based Payment (revised 2004)* (ASC 718). Under ASC 718, unit-based compensation cost is measured at grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period. As permitted under ASC 718, the Company elected to recognize compensation expense for all options with graded vesting based on the graded attribution method.

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing-model to measure the grant-date-fair-value of options. The Black-Scholes model requires certain assumptions to determine an option's fair value, including expected term, risk free interest, expected volatility and fair value of underlying common unit. The expected term of each option grant was based on employees' expected exercises and post-vesting employment termination behavior and the risk free interest rate was based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve for the period corresponding with the expected term at the time of grant. The expected volatility was estimated using historical volatility of share prices of similar public entities. No dividends were assumed for this calculation of option value. The Company estimates the fair value of the underlying common unit because there is no public trading market for its common units.

Earnings per Unit

In accordance with ASC 260, *Earnings Per Share*, formerly SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share* (ASC 260), the Company computes basic earnings from continuing operations per unit and basic earnings per unit by dividing income

from continuing operations available to common unitholders and net income available to common unitholders, respectively, by the weighted average number of common

F-23

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

units outstanding during the period which would include, to the extent their effect is dilutive, redeemable convertible preferred units, options to purchase common units and restricted units. Diluted earnings per unit reflect the dilution of potential common units outstanding during the period. In determining the hypothetical units repurchased, the Company uses the average unit price for the period.

Income Taxes

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC has elected to be treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes and therefore is not subject to income taxes on its income. Taxes on its income are the responsibility of the individual equity owners of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC. The Company operates a number of subsidiaries that are subject to local income taxes in those markets.

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740, *Income Taxes*, formerly SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (ASC 740). ASC 740 requires recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in a company's financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based upon the difference between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Income tax expense is the tax payable for the period and the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

The Company follows Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, codified as ASC 740, which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This interpretation also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The evaluation of a tax position in accordance with this interpretation is a two-step process. In the first step, recognition, the Company determines whether it is more-likely-than-not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. The second step addresses measurement of a tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not criteria. The tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that has a likelihood of greater than 50 percent of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Differences between tax positions taken in a tax return and amounts recognized in the financial statements will generally result in (a) an increase in a liability for income taxes payable or a reduction of an income tax refund receivable, (b) a reduction in a deferred tax asset or an increase in a deferred tax liability or (c) both (a) and (b). Tax positions that previously failed to meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be recognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is met. Previously recognized tax positions that no longer meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be de-recognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is no longer met. Use of a valuation allowance as described in ASC 740 is not an appropriate substitute for the de-recognition of a tax position. The requirement to assess the need for a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets based on sufficiency of future taxable income is unchanged by this interpretation.

Segment Information

The Company has determined, based on the nature of its operations and products offered to customers, that its reportable segments are Display Solutions, Semiconductor Manufacturing Services and Power Solutions. The Display Solutions segment's primary products are flat panel display drivers and the

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

Semiconductor Manufacturing Services segment provides for wafer foundry services to clients. The Power Solutions segment's products are designed for applications such as mobile phones, LCD televisions and desktop computers, and allow electronics manufacturers to achieve specific design goals of high efficiency and low standby power consumption. Net sales and gross profit for the All other category primarily relate to certain business activities that do not constitute operating or reportable segments.

The Company's chief operating decision maker (CODM) as defined by ASC 280, *Segment Reporting*, formerly SFAS 131, *Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information* (ASC 280), allocates resources to and assesses the performance of each segment using information about its revenue and gross profit. The Company does not identify or allocate assets by segments, nor does the CODM evaluate operating segments using discrete asset information. In addition, the Company does not allocate operating expenses, interest income or expense, other income or expense, or income tax expenses to the segments. Management does not evaluate segments based on these criteria.

On October 6, 2008, the Company announced the closure of its Imaging Solutions reporting unit. As of December 31, 2008, the Imaging Solutions business segment qualified as a discontinued operation component of the Company under ASC 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment*, formerly SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (ASC 360). Accordingly, the results of operations of the Imaging Solutions business and reportable segment have been classified as discontinued operations. All prior period information has been reclassified to reflect this presentation on the statements of operations. Unless noted otherwise, discussions in these notes pertain to the Company's continuing operations.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company performs periodic credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and generally does not require collateral for customers on accounts receivable. The Company maintains reserves for potential credit losses, but historically has not experienced significant losses related to individual customers or groups of customers in any particular industry or geographic area. The Company derives a substantial portion of its revenues from export sales through its overseas subsidiaries in Asia, North America and Europe.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued the Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Subtopic 105 *Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, which establishes the Accounting Standards Codification as the single source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. The subsequent issuances of new standards will be in the form of Accounting Standards Updates that will be included in the codification. This guidance is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, since the codification is not intended to change GAAP.

In May 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance included in ASC Subtopic 855 *Subsequent Events*, which establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date, but

before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Specifically, this guidance provides (i) the period after the balance sheet date during which management

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements; (ii) the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements; and (iii) the disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. This guidance is effective for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009, and is to be applied prospectively. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued ASC 805, *Business Combinations*, formerly Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (ASC 805), which replaces FASB Statement No. 141. ASC 805 establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. This guidance also establishes disclosure requirements that enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. ASC 805 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year that begins after December 15, 2008. This guidance requires the fair value of acquired IPR&D to be recorded as indefinite lived intangibles. IPR&D was previously expensed at the time of the acquisition. The adoption of ASC 805 had a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations through the recognition of \$9.7 million of IPR&D as intangibles.

In December 2007, the FASB issued ASC 810, *Consolidation*, formerly SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statement - amendments of ARB No. 51* (ASC 810). ASC 810 states that accounting and reporting for minority interests will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity. ASC 810 also establishes reporting requirements that provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. ASC 810 applies to all entities that prepare consolidated financial statements, except not-for-profit organizations, but will affect only those entities that have an outstanding noncontrolling interest in one or more subsidiaries or that deconsolidate a subsidiary. This guidance is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of ASC 810 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company adopted the provisions of ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, formerly SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (ASC 820) on January 1, 2008 and January 1, 2009 for financial assets and liabilities and for nonfinancial assets and liabilities, respectively. ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a market-based framework or hierarchy for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC 820 is applicable whenever another accounting pronouncement requires or permits assets and liabilities to be measured at fair value. ASC 820 does not expand or require any new fair value measures, however the application of this guidance may change current practice. The adoption of ASC 820 did not have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In April 2008, the FASB issued ASC 350, *Intangibles-Goodwill and Other*, formerly FSP FAS 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets*. ASC 350 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. ASC 350 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of ASC 350 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

In June 2009, the FASB issued ASC 810, *Consolidation*, formerly SFAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* (SFAS No. 167) (ASC 810), which (1) replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary in a variable interest entity with an approach that is primarily qualitative, (2) requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity and (3) requires additional disclosures about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities. The Company is required to adopt ASC 810 as of the beginning of 2010. The Company is evaluating the potential impact the adoption of ASC 810 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

5. Reorganization Related Items

In accordance with ASC 852, the financial statements for the Predecessor Company periods distinguish transactions and events that are directly associated with the reorganization from the ongoing operations of the Company. In connection with the bankruptcy proceedings, implementation of the Plan of Reorganization and adoption of fresh-start reporting, the Company recorded the following reorganization income (expense) items:

	Predecessor Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009
Professional fees	\$ (7,459)
Revaluation of assets	31,399
Effects of the plan of reorganization	780,981
Write-off of debt issuance costs	(166)
Others	(182)
Total	\$ 804,573

Included in reorganization items, net for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 was the Predecessor Company's gain recognized from the effects of the Plan of Reorganization. The gain results from the difference between the Predecessor Company's carrying amount of remaining pre-petition liabilities subject to compromise and the amounts to be distributed pursuant to the Plan of Reorganization. The gain from the effects of the Plan of Reorganization is comprised of the following:

	Predecessor Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009
Discharge of liabilities subject to compromise	\$ 798,043
Issuance of new common units	(14,259)

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Issuance of new warrants	(2,533)
Accrual of amounts to be settled in cash	(270)
Gain from the effects of the Plan of Reorganization	\$ 780,981

Liabilities subject to compromise represent the liabilities of the Company incurred prior to the petition date, except those that will not be impaired under the Plan of Reorganization. Liabilities subject to compromise consisted of the following at October 25, 2009.

F-27

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

	Predecessor October 25, 2009
General unsecured claims	\$ 2,702
Current portion of long-term debt-old	750,000
Accrued interest on current portion of long-term debt	45,341
Total	\$ 798,043

6. Fair Value Measurements

ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a consistent framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. The Company adopted ASC 820 on January 1, 2008 for financial assets and liabilities and non-financial assets and liabilities. ASC 820 requires, among other things, the Company's valuation techniques used to measure fair value to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. This guidance was applied prospectively to the valuation of assets and liabilities on and after the effective dates of this guidance.

There are three general valuation techniques that may be used to measure fair value, as described below:

(A) Market approach Uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities;

(B) Cost approach Based on the amount that currently would be required to reproduce or replace the service capacity of an asset (reproduction cost or replacement cost); and

(C) Income approach Uses valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount based on current market expectations about the future amounts (includes present value techniques, option-pricing models, the excess earnings method, and the royalty savings method).

I. Net present value method is an income approach where a stream of expected cash flows is discounted at an appropriate discount rate.

II. The excess earnings method is a variation of the income approach where the value of a specific asset is isolated from its contributory assets.

III. The royalty savings method is a variation of the income approach where the underlying premise is that an intangible asset's fair value is equal to the present value of the cost savings (royalties) achieved by owning the asset.

Fair value information for each major category of assets and liabilities measured on a nonrecurring basis as part of fresh-start reporting during the period is listed in the following table. The Company remeasured its assets and

liabilities at fair value on the Reorganization Effective Date as required by ASC 852 using the guidance for measurement found in ASC 805. The gains and losses

F-28

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

related to these fair value adjustments were recorded by the Predecessor Company. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the period included:

		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Successor Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Gains (Losses)	Valuation Technique
	As of October 25, 2009					
ASSETS						
Other current assets	\$ 439			\$ 439	\$ (1,233)	(B), (C)-I
Inventories						
Finished goods	10,078		\$ 10,078		2,557	(A), (C)-I
Semi-finished goods and work-in-process	52,309		52,309		15,346	(A), (B), (C)-I
Property, plant and equipment						
Land	14,902			14,902	5,091	(A), (C)-I
Building	71,007			71,007	(25,113)	(A), (C)-I
Furniture and fixture	1,435			1,435	(4,771)	(B), (C)-I
Machinery and equipment	69,664			69,664	14,867	(B), (C)-I
Structure	119			119	(1,814)	(B), (C)-I
Other tangible assets	1,291			1,291	(2,200)	(B), (C)-I
Intangible assets						
Technology	14,745			14,745	13,095	(C)-I, II, III
Customer relationships	26,100			26,100	3,132	(C)-I, II
Intellectual property assets	4,655			4,655	2,387	(C)-I, III
In-process research and development	9,700			9,700	9,700	(C)-I, II
Other non-current assets	2,270		2,270		355	(A)
					\$ 31,399	

Carrying amounts of the other assets and liabilities except those in the above table equal their fair values.

For details of key assumptions and inputs applied by the Company for above fair valuation, see Note 3 Fresh-Start Reporting.

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)****7. Accounts Receivable**

Accounts receivable as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 consisted of the following:

	Successor December 31, 2009	Predecessor December 31, 2008
Accounts receivable	\$ 74,516	\$ 67,186
Notes receivable	3,260	12,450
Less:		
Allowances for doubtful accounts	(377)	(1,569)
Cash return reserve	(1,729)	(671)
Low yield compensation reserve	(1,437)	(1,101)
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 74,233	\$ 76,295

Changes in allowance for doubtful accounts for each period are as follows:

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Beginning balance	\$	\$ (1,569)	\$ (1,367)	\$ (1,418)
Bad debt expense	(379)	(723)	(503)	(161)
Write off			104	208
Translation adjustments	2	(40)	197	4
Ending balance	\$ (377)	\$ (2,332)	\$ (1,569)	\$ (1,367)

Changes in cash return reserve for each period are as follows:

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Beginning balance	\$ (1,545)	\$ (671)	\$ (914)	\$ (1,450)
Addition to reserve	(648)	(4,476)	(3,385)	(2,509)

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Payment made	484	3,722	3,393	3,040
Translation adjustments	(20)	(120)	235	5
Ending balance	\$ (1,729)	\$ (1,545)	\$ (671)	\$ (914)

F-30

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

Changes in low yield compensation reserve for each period are as follows:

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Beginning balance	\$ (1,213)	\$ (1,101)	\$ (1,260)	\$ (2,482)
Addition to reserve	(715)	(1,759)	(1,854)	(1,307)
Payment made	507	1,724	1,663	2,523
Translation adjustments	(16)	(77)	350	6
Ending balance	\$ (1,437)	\$ (1,213)	\$ (1,101)	\$ (1,260)

8. Inventories

Inventories as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 consist of the following:

	Successor December 31, 2009	Predecessor December 31, 2008
Finished goods	\$ 19,474	\$ 22,694
Semi-finished goods and work-in-process	42,604	49,814
Raw materials	5,844	7,471
Materials in-transit	64	206
Less: inventory reserve	(4,579)	(33,075)
Inventories, net	\$ 63,407	\$ 47,110

Changes in inventory reserve for each period are as follows:

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Beginning balance	\$	\$ (33,075)	\$ (8,620)	\$ (11,652)
Change in reserve	(4,952)	8,081	(34,869)	1,101
Write off	391	11,297	4,992	1,888

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD INSURED INVESTMENT FUND - Form N-CSR

Translation adjustments	(18)	17	5,422	43
Ending balance	\$ (4,579)	\$ (13,680)	\$ (33,075)	\$ (8,620)

F-31

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)****9. Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are comprised of the following:

	Successor December 31, 2009	Predecessor December 31, 2008
Buildings and related structures	\$ 72,076	\$ 111,933
Machinery and equipment	71,505	318,440
Vehicles and others	3,043	40,422
	146,624	470,795
Less: accumulated depreciation	(5,388)	(296,038)
Land	15,101	9,198
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 156,337	\$ 183,955

Aggregate depreciation expenses totaled \$5,389 thousand, \$28,649 thousand, \$47,707 thousand and \$129,870 thousand for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Property, plant and equipment are pledged as collateral for the new term loan of Successor Company and for the senior secured revolving credit facility and Second Priority Senior Secured Notes of Predecessor Company to a maximum of \$780 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

10. Intangible assets

Intangible assets at December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	Successor December 31, 2009	Predecessor December 31, 2008
Technology	\$ 14,942	\$ 14,156
Customer relationships	26,448	112,167
Intellectual property assets	4,779	6,011
In-process research and development	9,829	
Less: accumulated amortization	(5,840)	(97,442)
Intangible assets, net	\$ 50,158	\$ 34,892

Aggregate amortization expenses for intangible assets totaled \$5,829 thousand, \$9,606 thousand, \$24,254 thousand and \$33,564 thousand for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The estimated aggregate amortization expense of intangible assets for the next five years is \$25,182 thousand in 2010, \$11,328 thousand in 2011, \$6,402 thousand in 2012, \$5,554 thousand in 2013 and \$1,096 thousand in 2014.

Intangible assets are pledged as collateral for the new term loan of the Successor Company and for the senior secured revolving credit facility and Second Priority Senior Secured Notes of the Predecessor Company as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

As part of its application of fresh-start reporting, the Company recognized fair value associated with IPR&D of \$9,700 thousand. The Company accounted for IPR&D as an indefinite-lived intangible

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

asset until completion or abandonment of the associated research and development (R&D) projects. The IPR&D charges incurred by the Company's Semiconductor Manufacturing Services (SMS) segment related to design of a product to the point that it met specific technical requirements, directly targeted at customers. The Large Display Solution (LDS) reporting unit incurs IPR&D charges related to the design of possible products. These R&D efforts are intended to incur incremental sales with the Company's existing and new customers. Fair value of IPR&D was based on estimating the future cash flows by the Company's SMS segment and LDS reporting unit using the excess earnings method and discounting the net cash flows back to their present values. The revenues were allocated to IPR&D of the SMS segment on the basis of percentage of projected SMS revenues for 2010, 2011 and thereafter. Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses as a percentage of revenue were determined to be consistent with the cost structure of SMS. R&D expenses as a percentage of revenue were determined to be a percentage of the projected R&D expenses. This percentage represents the cost to maintain IPR&D. The cost to complete the IPR&D was derived based on the R&D expenses in the subsequent period not used to maintain existing technology. The estimated cash flows attributable to the IPR&D were converted to a present value equivalent.

IPR&D of the LDS reporting unit is expected to generate revenue over a two-year time frame starting with its introduction to the market in 2010. The revenues allocated to IPR&D of the LDS reporting unit were determined to be a percentage of the projected LDS revenues in 2010 and 2011. Costs of revenues and operating expenses were deducted from the revenues based on LDS cost structure as a percentage of revenue. While SG&A expenses as a percentage of revenue were determined to be the same as the whole business, maintenance R&D expenses were determined to be a percentage of the projected R&D expenses. The cost to complete the IPR&D project was estimated based on the R&D budget less the amount of R&D dedicated to maintaining the existing technology. The estimated cash flows attributable to the IPR&D of LDS reporting unit were converted to a present value equivalent.

In the SMS segment, management determined that a small number of in-process projects were behind schedule based on a review of the status of each project as of December 31, 2009. Expected completion term ranges from 0.5 to 3.5 years from a project start date. Incurred costs as of December 31, 2009 totaled \$5.6 million and costs to complete the projects are estimated at \$1.5 million to be spent over the next one or two years from the year ended December 31, 2009. In the LDS reporting unit, management determined that none of the in-process projects were behind schedule based on a review of the status of each project as of December 31, 2009. All projects are expected to be completed within 2 years from a project start date. Incurred costs as of December 31, 2009 totaled \$5.6 million and costs to complete the projects are estimated at \$2.3 million to be spent within a year from the year ended December 31, 2009.

The primary risks associated with the above projects include uncertainties in completing development projects on schedule due to technological feasibility and resource capacity, which could lead to lower demand at a lower selling point given the market trends. Such delay in development and production could adversely affect the related customer relationship. Additionally, there can be no assurance that meaningful sales will occur on a continuing basis considering market changes.

The Company periodically evaluates the existence of impairment for its IPR&D assets. If a project is completed, the carrying value of the related intangible asset is amortized over the remaining estimated life of the asset beginning in the period in which the project is completed and sales of related product commenced. If a project becomes impaired or abandoned, the carrying value of the related intangible asset would be written down to its fair value and an impairment charge would be taken in the period in which the impairment occurs.

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

The Company recorded goodwill as a result from the acquisition of ISRON Corporation on March 6, 2005. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates goodwill at the reporting unit level for indications of potential impairment. Goodwill is tested for impairment based on the present value of discounted cash flows, and, if impaired, goodwill is written down to fair value. The Company performs its annual goodwill impairment test during the first quarter of each fiscal year, as well as additional impairment tests, if any, required on an event-driven basis. In the first quarter of each of fiscal year 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company performed its annual goodwill impairment test and determined that goodwill was not impaired. As of December 31, 2008, the Company performed an additional goodwill impairment test triggered by the significant adverse change in the revenue of the mobile display solutions, or MDS, reporting unit, and determined that goodwill was impaired. At the time of impairment, revenue of the MDS reporting unit was expected to decrease due to the deterioration of the Company's financial credit status and the decline of the semiconductor sector resulting from the world-wide economic slowdown. Accordingly, an impairment charge of \$14,245 thousand, which represents the entire balance of goodwill, was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2008.

11. Product Warranties

Changes in accrued warranty liabilities for each period are as follows:

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Beginning balance	\$ 929	\$ 474	\$ 211	\$ 112
Addition to warranty reserve	(16)	1,928	2,608	586
Payments made	(4)	(1,544)	(2,243)	(486)
Translation adjustments	12	71	(102)	(1)
Ending balance	\$ 921	\$ 929	\$ 474	\$ 211

12. Short-term Borrowings***Predecessor Company***

On December 23, 2004, the Company and its subsidiaries, including MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A. and MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, as borrowers, entered into a senior credit agreement with a syndicate of banks, financial institutions and other entities providing for a \$100 million senior secured revolving credit facility. Interest was charged at current rates when drawn upon.

Short-term borrowings under this facility were comprised of the following as of December 31, 2008:

Annual Interest	Amount of
------------------------	------------------

	Maturity	Rate (%)	Principal
Euro dollar revolving loan	January 15, 2009	3 month LIBOR + 6.75	\$ 10,000
Alternate Base Rate (ABR) revolving loan	March 31, 2009	ABR + 5.75	85,000
			\$ 95,000

F-34

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

As discussed in Note 2, on the Reorganization Effective Date, \$61,750 thousand of these short-term borrowings was refinanced with a new term loan and the remainder of \$33,250 thousand was repaid in cash as part of the Company's reorganization.

13. Current Portion of Long-term Debt***Successor Company***

The current portion of the new term loan issued in connection with the Company's reorganization was \$618 thousand as of December 31, 2009, as described in Note 14.

Predecessor Company

On December 23, 2004, two of the Company's subsidiaries, MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A. and MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of Second Priority Senior Secured Notes consisting of \$300 million aggregate principal amount of Floating Rate Second Priority Senior Secured Notes and \$200 million aggregate principal amount of 67/8% Second Priority Senior Secured Notes. At the same time, these subsidiaries issued \$250 million aggregate principal amount of 8% Senior Subordinated Notes.

Details of the current portion of long-term debt as of December 31, 2008 are presented as below:

	Maturity	Annual Interest Rate (%)	Amount of Principal
Floating Rate Second Priority Senior Secured Notes	2011	3 month LIBOR + 3.250	\$ 300,000
67/8% Second Priority Senior Secured Notes	2011	6.875	200,000
8% Senior Subordinated Notes	2014	8.000	250,000
			\$ 750,000

The senior secured revolving credit facility and Second Priority Senior Secured Notes were collateralized by substantially all of the assets of the Company. This indebtedness was initially expected to be paid in full upon maturity.

Each indenture governing the notes contained covenants that limited the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to (i) incur additional indebtedness, (ii) pay dividends or make other distributions on its capital stock or repurchase, repay or redeem its capital stock, (iii) make certain investments, (iv) incur liens, (v) enter into certain types of transactions with affiliates, (vi) create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to the Company by its subsidiaries, and (vii) sell all or substantially all of its assets or merge with or into other companies.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company and all of its subsidiaries except for MagnaChip Semiconductor (Shanghai) Company Limited jointly and severally guaranteed each series of the Second Priority Senior Secured Notes on a

second priority senior secured basis. As of December 31, 2008, the Company and all of its subsidiaries except for MagnaChip Semiconductor Ltd. (Korea) and MagnaChip Semiconductor (Shanghai) Company Limited jointly and severally guaranteed the Senior Subordinated Notes on an unsecured, senior subordinated basis. In addition, the Company and each of its then current and future direct and indirect subsidiaries (subject to certain exceptions) were required to be guarantors of Second Priority Senior Secured Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes.

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

During December 2008, the Company failed to make interest payments under its Second Priority Senior Secured Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes. Additionally, as of December 31, 2008, the Company was not in compliance with certain of its financial covenants under the terms of its senior secured credit facility, and the indentures governing the Second Priority Senior Secured Notes and the Senior Subordinated Notes. Accordingly, amounts outstanding under the Second Priority Senior Secured Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes were reclassified as current portion of long-term debt in the Company's accompanying balance sheet as of December 31, 2008.

In connection with the issuance of the notes and entering into the credit facility, the Company capitalized certain costs and fees, which were being amortized using the effective interest method or straight-line method over their respective terms. As a result of not being in compliance with certain of its financial covenants under the terms of its senior secured credit facility and the indentures governing the Second Priority Senior Secured Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes, the remaining capitalized costs of \$12,319 thousand in connection with the issuance of the Second Priority Senior Secured Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes as of December 31, 2008 were written off and included in interest expense. Amortization costs, which were included in interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, amounted to \$836 thousand for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009, and \$16,290 thousand and \$3,919 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. As of October 25, 2009, the remaining capitalized costs of \$166 thousand in connection with the entrance into the credit facility were written off and included in reorganization items, net, in accordance with the Plan of Reorganization as described in Notes 3 and 5. The remaining capitalized costs as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 were \$1,004 thousand and \$17,917 thousand, respectively.

As of October 25, 2009, the current portion of long-term debt of \$750,000 thousand and accrued interest of \$45,341 thousand were discharged in exchange for new common units with a fair value of \$14,259 thousand and new warrants with a fair value of \$2,533 thousand as part of the Company's reorganization as described in Notes 3 and 5.

Interest Rate Swap

Effective June 27, 2005, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement (the "Swap") to hedge the effect of the volatility of the 3-month London Inter-Bank Offering Rate ("LIBOR") resulting from the Company's \$300 million of Floating Rate Second Priority Senior Secured Notes. Under the terms of the Swap, the Company received a variable interest rate equal to the three-month LIBOR rate plus 3.25%. In exchange, the Company paid interest at a fixed rate of 7.34%. The Swap effectively replaced the variable interest rate on the notes with a fixed interest rate through the expiration date of the Swap on June 15, 2008.

The Swap qualified as a cash flow hedge under ASC 815, since at both the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, the hedging relationship was expected to be highly effective in achieving offsetting cash flows attributable to the hedged risk during the term of the hedge. The Company utilized the "hypothetical derivative method" to measure the effectiveness by comparing the changes in value of the actual derivative versus the change in fair value of the hypothetical derivative.

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

14. Long-term Debt

Successor Company

In connection with the Predecessor Company's reorganization as described in Note 3, in complete satisfaction of the first lien lender claims arising from the senior secured credit facility (included in short-term borrowings) of \$95,000 thousand, the Company made a cash payment of \$33,250 thousand to the senior secured credit facility lenders and, together with its subsidiaries, including MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A. and MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, as borrowers, entered into a \$61,750 thousand Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the Credit Agreement or the new term loan) with Avenue Investments, LP, Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC and Citicorp North America, Inc.

Long-term borrowings as of December 31, 2009 consisted of Eurodollar loans at an annual interest rate of 6 month LIBOR + 12% to Avenue Investments, LP, Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC and Citicorp North America, Inc. in the principal amount of \$42,055 thousand, \$12,285 thousand and \$7,410 thousand, respectively. After deducting the current portion of long-term debt of \$618 thousand, long-term borrowings as of December 31, 2009 were \$61,132 thousand.

The Company may by written notice to the administrative agent elect to request the establishment of one or more new term loan or revolving loan commitments (the Incremental Loan Commitments) by an amount not in excess of \$23,250 thousand in the aggregate less any incremental loans incurred after the effective date of the new term loan.

The principal balance of the new term loan is to be paid in quarterly installments of approximately \$154 thousand with the first installment due on March 31, 2010, and ending with the last installment due on September 30, 2013. In addition, the Credit Agreement has optional and mandatory loan prepayment provisions as follows:

Optional Prepayments. The Company has the right at any time and from time to time to prepay the new term loan, in whole or in part.

Excess Cash Flow Prepayments. Not later than 90 days after the end of each fiscal year (commencing with the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010), the Company shall calculate the amount of Excess Cash Flow (as defined in the Credit Agreement) for such fiscal year, and shall prepay the new loan in an amount equal to the amount by which (A) 50% of such Excess Cash Flow exceeds (B) the sum of (x) the aggregate principal amount of voluntary prepayments of the new term loan during such fiscal year, and (y) in the case of the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010, the aggregate principal amount of any Early Excess Cash Flow Prepayments (as defined in the Credit Agreement), which is equal to the amount of dividends paid and the amount of subordinated indebtedness payments made on or prior to 90 days after the end of such fiscal year, or an Excess Cash Flow Prepayment; provided, that if the amount in clause (B) exceeds the amount in clause (A), no such prepayment of the new term loan is required.

Asset Sales. Not later than three business days following the receipt of any net cash proceeds of any asset sale, the Company shall make (with certain exceptions) prepayments in an aggregate amount equal to 100% of such net cash proceeds from such asset sale.

Dividend or Subordinated Indebtedness Payment. Concurrently with the making of any dividend and any subordinated indebtedness payment, in each case from any Cumulative Credit (as defined in the Credit Agreement) prior to the date that the first Excess Cash Flow Prepayment is required to be made, the Company shall make prepayments of the outstanding term loan in an

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

amount equal to the amount of such dividend or subordinated indebtedness payment, as the case may be.

Casualty Events. Not later than three business days following the receipt by the Company of any net cash proceeds from a casualty event in excess of \$3,000 thousand, the Company must use the full amount of such net cash proceeds to: (i) make prepayments of the outstanding term loan, or (ii) so long as no default shall have occurred and be continuing, repair, replace or restore the property in respect of which such net cash proceeds were repaid or reinvested in other fixed or capital assets no later than 360 days following receipt thereof.

The Company is required to pay the balance of the Credit Agreement, if any, on November 6, 2013. The Credit Agreement is collateralized by substantially all of the assets of the Company.

The Credit Agreement contains covenants that limit the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to (i) incur additional indebtedness, (ii) pay dividends or make other distributions on its capital stock or repurchase, repay or redeem its capital stock, (iii) make certain investments, (iv) incur liens, (v) enter into certain types of transactions with affiliates, (vi) create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to the Company by its subsidiaries, (vii) sell all or substantially all of its assets or merge with or into other companies, (viii) issue specific equity interests and (ix) establish, create or acquire any additional subsidiaries. It also contains a minimum liquidity financial covenant and compliance with financial ratios.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company and all of its subsidiaries except for MagnaChip Semiconductor (Shanghai) Company Limited jointly and severally guaranteed, as a primary obligor, the payment and performance of the borrower's obligations under the Credit Agreement.

In connection with the entrance into the Credit Agreement, the Company capitalized certain costs and fees, which are being amortized using the straight-line method over the term of loan. Amortization costs, which were included in interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, amounted to \$0.3 thousand for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, and total remaining capitalized costs as of December 31, 2009 were \$235 thousand.

15. Accrued Severance Benefits

The majority of accrued severance benefits is for employees in the Company's Korean subsidiary, MagnaChip Semiconductor Ltd. (Korea). Pursuant to the Employee Retirement Benefit Security Act of Korea, most employees and executive officers with one or more years of service are entitled to severance benefits upon the termination of their employment based on their length of service and rate of pay. As of December 31, 2009, 98% of all employees of the Company were eligible for severance benefits.

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

Changes in accrued severance benefits for each period are as follows:

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Beginning balance	\$ 72,243	\$ 63,147	\$ 75,869	\$ 64,642
Provisions	1,851	8,835	14,026	18,834
Severance payments	(1,389)	(4,320)	(6,505)	(7,151)
Translation adjustments	941	4,581	(20,243)	(456)
	73,646	72,243	63,147	75,869
Less: Cumulative contributions to the National Pension Fund	(530)	(533)	(539)	(784)
Group severance insurance plan	(707)	(681)	(669)	(909)
	\$ 72,409	\$ 71,029	\$ 61,939	\$ 74,176

The severance benefits are funded approximately 1.68%, 1.91% and 2.23% as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, through the Company's National Pension Fund and group severance insurance plan which will be used exclusively for payment of severance benefits to eligible employees. These amounts have been deducted from the accrued severance benefit balance.

The Company is liable to pay the following future benefits to its employees upon their normal retirement age:

	Severance Benefit
2010	\$ 33
2011	69
2012	135
2013	
2014	279
2015 - 2019	8,332

The above amounts were determined based on the employees' current salary rates and the number of service years that will be accumulated upon their retirement dates. These amounts do not include amounts that might be paid to employees that will cease working with the Company before their normal retirement ages.

16. Redeemable Convertible Preferred Units

Predecessor Company

The Company issued 49,727 units as Series A redeemable convertible preferred units (the Series A units) and 447,420 units as Series B redeemable convertible preferred units (the Series B units) on September 23, 2004 and an additional 364 units of Series A units and 3,272 units of Series B units on November 30, 2004, respectively. Each Series A and Series B unit had a stated value of \$1,000 per unit. As the Series A and B units were redeemable at the option of the holders,

F-39

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

the Company classified the Series A units and B units outside of permanent equity. All Series A units were redeemed by cash on December 27, 2004 and a portion of the Series B units were redeemed by cash on December 15, 2004 and December 27, 2004.

Changes in Series B units for each period are as follows:

	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009		Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008		Year Ended December 31, 2007	
	Units	Amount	Units	Amount	Units	Amount
Series B Units						
Beginning of the period	93,997	\$ 142,669	93,997	\$ 129,405	93,997	\$ 117,374
Accrual of preferred dividends		6,317		13,264		12,031
End of the period	93,997	\$ 148,986	93,997	\$ 142,669	93,997	\$ 129,405

The Series B units were issued to the original purchasers of the Company in 2004. Holders of Series B units were entitled to receive cumulative dividends, whether or not earned or declared by the board of directors. The cumulative cash dividends accrued at the rate of 10% per unit per annum on the Series B units' original issue price, compounded semi-annually.

The Series B units, which had a carrying amount of \$148,986 thousand, were retired without consideration as part of the Company's reorganization as described in Note 3.

Conversion

The outstanding Series B units were convertible, in whole or in part, into common equity interests upon or concurrently with the first public offering of the common equity interests of the Company at the Company's option or the holder's option based on a formula, represented by the conversion ratio. The conversion ratio for the Series B units was an amount equal to the original issue price per unit plus an amount per unit equal to full cumulative dividends accrued and unpaid to the date of the consummation of the first public offering, divided by the per common equity interest price to the public in the Company's first public offering of equity securities.

Dividends

Holders of Series B units were entitled to receive cumulative dividends, whether or not earned or declared by the board of directors. The cumulative cash dividends accrued at the rate of 10% per unit per annum on the Series B units' original issue price, compounded semi-annually. Such dividends were payable in semi-annual installments in arrears commencing March 15, 2005.

Liquidation

In the event of liquidation, the holders of Series B units were entitled to receive after all creditors of the Company have been paid in full but before any amounts were paid to the holders of any units ranking junior to the Series B units with respect to dividends or upon liquidation (including common units), out of the assets of the Company legally available for distribution to its members, whether from capital, surplus or earnings, an amount equal to the Series B units original issue price in cash per unit plus an amount equal to full cumulative dividends accrued and unpaid thereon to the date of final distribution, and no more. If the net assets of the Company were insufficient to pay the holders of all

F-40

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

outstanding Series B units and of any units ranking on parity with the Series B units, the full amounts to which they respectively were entitled, such assets, or the proceeds thereof, were to be distributed ratably among the holders of the Series B units and any units ranking on parity with the Series B units in accordance with the amounts which would be payable on such distribution if the amount to which the holders of the Series B units and any units ranking on a parity with the Series B units were entitled to be paid in full.

Voting

As provided in Predecessor Company's operating agreement, the holders of Series B units were not entitled to vote on any matter submitted to a vote of the Predecessor Company's members, and were not entitled to notice of any meeting of members.

Redemption

If any outstanding Series B units had remained outstanding on the 14th anniversary after issuance of the Series B units, then the holders of a majority of the then outstanding Series B units had the right to elect to have the Company redeem all outstanding Series B units from funds legally available, at a price per unit equal to \$1,000 plus an amount per unit equal to full cumulative dividends accrued and unpaid thereon to the redemption date.

Also the Series B units were redeemable from funds legally available, in whole or in part, at the election of the Company, expressed by resolution of its board of directors, at any time and from time to time at a price of \$1,000 per unit plus any cumulative accrued and unpaid dividends.

17. Warrants

Successor Company

In connection with the Company's reorganization, the Company issued warrants to purchase 15,000 thousand of the Company's new common units. The warrants were issued in partial satisfaction of the claims of the holders of the Company's Senior Subordinated Notes and are exercisable at a price of \$1.97 per unit at any time following the issue date of the warrants, so long as the exercise of the warrants is exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The value of each warrant to purchase one common unit is \$0.169, which was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions: fair value of \$0.79 per common unit, exercise price of \$1.97 per unit, risk free rate of interest of 2.3%, volatility of 50%, dividend rate of 0% and term of 5 years.

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)****18. Common Units*****Successor Company***

New common units with no par value were authorized in the amount of 375,000 thousand units, of which 307,084 thousand units were issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2009. Details of new common units as of December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	As of December 31, 2009	
	Units	Amount
Common units at the beginning of the period	299,999,996	\$ 49,539
Restricted unit bonuses issued	7,084,000	5,596
Total common units issued and outstanding at the end of the period	307,083,996	\$ 55,135

19. Equity Incentive Plans***Successor Company***

The Successor Company adopted its 2009 Common Unit Plan effective December 8, 2009, which is administered by the board of directors. Under the plan, employees, consultants and non-employee directors are eligible for equity incentives, including grants of options to purchase the Company's common units or restricted unit bonuses or restricted unit purchase rights and deferred units awards, subject to terms and conditions determined by the board of directors. The term of options shall not exceed ten years from the date of grant. Restricted unit purchase rights shall be exercisable within a period established by the board of directors, which shall in no event exceed thirty days from the effective date of the grant. As of December 31, 2009, an aggregate maximum of 30,000,000 units were authorized and 7,551,000 units were reserved for all future grants of units.

Unit options are generally granted with exercise prices of no less than the fair market value of the Company's common units on the grant date. The requisite service period, or the period during which a grantee is required to provide service in exchange for option grants, coincides with the vesting period.

The purchase price for units issuable under each restricted unit purchase right shall be established by the board of directors in its discretion. No monetary payment (other than applicable tax withholding) shall be required as a condition of receiving units pursuant to a restricted unit bonus, the consideration for which shall be services actually rendered to a participating company or for its benefit. Units issued pursuant to any restricted unit award may (but need not) be made subject to vesting conditions based upon the satisfaction of such service requirements, conditions, restrictions or performance criteria as shall be established by the board of directors and set forth in the award agreement evidencing such award. During any period in which units acquired pursuant to a restricted unit award remain subject to vesting conditions, such units may not be sold, exchanged, transferred, pledged, assigned or

otherwise disposed of other than pursuant to an ownership change event or transfer by will or the laws of descent and distribution. The grantee shall have all of the rights of a member of the Company holding units, including the right to vote such units and to receive all dividends and other distributions paid with respect to such units; provided, however, that if so determined by the board of directors and provided by the award agreement, such dividends and distributions shall be subject to the same vesting conditions as the units subject to the restricted unit

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

award with respect to which such dividends or distributions were paid. If a grantee's service terminates for any reason, whether voluntary or involuntary (including the grantee's death or disability), then (a) the Company (or its assignee) has the option to repurchase for the purchase price paid by the grantee any units acquired by the grantee pursuant to a restricted unit purchase right which remain subject to vesting conditions as of the date of the grantee's termination of service and (b) the grantee shall forfeit to the Company any units acquired by the grantee pursuant to a restricted unit bonus which remain subject to vesting conditions as of the date of the grantee's termination of service. The Company shall have the right to assign at any time any repurchase right it may have, whether or not such right is then exercisable, to one or more persons as may be selected by the Company.

No monetary payment (other than applicable tax withholding, if any) is required as a condition of receiving a deferred unit award, the consideration for which shall be services actually rendered to a participating company or for its benefit. Deferred unit awards may (but need not) be made subject to vesting conditions based upon the satisfaction of such service requirements, conditions, restrictions or performance criteria as shall be established by the Committee and set forth in the award agreement evidencing such award. Grantees have no voting rights with respect to units represented by deferred unit awards until the date of the issuance of such units (as evidenced by the appropriate entry on the books of the Company or of a duly authorized transfer agent of the Company). If a grantee's service terminates for any reason, whether voluntary or involuntary (including the grantee's death or disability), then the grantee shall forfeit to the Company any deferred units pursuant to the award which remain subject to vesting conditions as of the date of the grantee's termination of service, and, in the event of the grantee's termination for cause, such deferred unit award to the extent not yet settled. The Company shall issue to a grantee on the date on which deferred units subject to the grantee's deferred unit award vest or on such other date determined by the board of directors, in its discretion, and set forth in the award agreement one unit (and/or any other new, substituted or additional securities or other property) for each deferred unit then becoming vested or otherwise to be settled on such date, subject to the withholding of applicable taxes, if any.

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

The following summarizes unit option and restricted unit bonus activities for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009. At the date of grant, all options had an exercise price above the fair value of common units:

			Successor Company	
	Number of		Weighted Average	Weighted Average
	Restricted Unit	Number of	Exercise	Intrinsic
	Bonuses	Options	Price of	Value
			Unit	of
			Options	Unit
				Options
				Life of
				Unit Options
Outstanding at October 25, 2009				
Granted	7,084,000	15,365,000	\$ 1.16	
Released from restriction	2,408,560			
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	4,675,440	15,365,000	1.16	9.9 years
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2009		13,553,302		9.9 years
Exercisable at December 31, 2009				

Total compensation expenses recorded for the restricted unit bonuses and unit options pursuant to ASC 718 for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 was \$2,073 thousand and \$126 thousand, respectively. As of December 31, 2009, there were \$3,243 thousand and \$2,811 thousand of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted unit bonuses and unit options, which are expected to be recognized over a weighted average future periods of 1.4 years and 1.7 years, respectively. Total fair value of restricted unit bonuses released from restriction for the period from October 25 to December 31, 2009 is \$1,903 thousand.

The Company utilizes the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to measure the fair value of each option grant. The following summarizes the grant-date fair value of options granted for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009 and assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model on a weighted average basis:

	Two-Month Period Ended
	December 31, 2009
Grant-date fair value of option (in US dollars)	\$ 0.22

Expected term	2.9 Years
Risk-free interest rate	0.6%
Expected volatility	59.1%
Expected dividends	

F-44

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

The number and weighted average grant-date fair value of the unit options are as follows:

	Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	
	Number	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Unvested options at the beginning of the period		\$
Granted options during the period	15,365,000	0.22
Vested options during the period		
Unvested options at the end of the period	15,365,000	0.22

Predecessor Company

The Predecessor Company adopted two equity incentive plans effective October 6, 2004 and March 21, 2005, respectively, which were administered by the compensation committee designated by the board of directors. Employees, consultants and non-employee directors were eligible for the grant of options to purchase the Company's common units or restricted common units subject to terms and conditions determined by the compensation committee. The term of options could in no event exceed ten years from the date of grant. As of December 31, 2008, an aggregate maximum of 7,890,864 common units were authorized and reserved for all future and outstanding grants of options.

Unit options were generally granted with exercise prices of no less than the fair market value of the Company's common units on the grant date. Generally, options vested and became exercisable in periodic installments, with 25% of the options vesting on the first anniversary of the grant date and 6.25% of options vesting on the last day of each calendar quarter thereafter. In most cases, the requisite service period, or the period during which a grantee was required to provide service in exchange for option grants, coincided with the vesting period.

Upon the termination of a unit option grantee's employment prior to a public offering, the Company had the right to repurchase all or any of the common units acquired by the grantee upon exercise of any of his or her options for a cash payment equal to the fair market value of such common units on the date of repurchase. The Company's repurchase right would terminate ninety days after the termination date.

During the three months ended December 31, 2004, restricted units were issued upon the exercise of certain options to purchase restricted common units at the exercise price of \$1 per unit. Restricted units issued were subject to restrictions which generally lapsed in installments over a four-year period. Under the terms and conditions of these restricted units, the restricted units were subject to forfeiture upon the termination of the restricted unitholder's employment with the Company. Upon termination, the Company could repurchase all, or any portion of the restricted common units for either \$1 per unit (the exercise price) or the fair market value of the restricted common units at the time of repurchase. If the termination was for cause, as defined in the service agreements entered into with each restricted unitholder, the repurchase price per unit would be \$1. However, if the termination was for any other reason, then the Company could repurchase all or any portion of the restricted units for which the restricted period had not lapsed as of the date of termination for a repurchase price per unit of \$1, and could repurchase all or any portion of the restricted common units for which the restricted period had lapsed as of the date of termination for a repurchase price

per unit equal to fair market value. Termination for cause was defined in the service agreements to mean a termination of the restricted unitholder's employment with the Company because of (a) a failure by the restricted unitholder to substantially perform the restricted unitholder's customary duties

F-45

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

with the Company in the ordinary course (other than in certain specified circumstances); (b) the restricted unitholder's gross negligence, intentional misconduct or fraud in the performance of his or her employment; (c) the restricted unitholder's indictment for a felony or to a crime involving fraud or dishonesty; (d) a judicial determination that the restricted unitholder committed fraud or dishonesty against any person or entity; or (e) the restricted unitholder's material violation of one or more of the Company's policies applicable to the restricted unitholder's employment as may be in effect from time to time.

The Predecessor Company adopted fresh-start reporting (see Note 3) as of October 25, 2009, at which time it effectively cancelled all unit options under the Predecessor Company's equity incentive plans.

The following summarizes unit option and restricted unit activities for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and for the year ended December 31, 2008. At the date of grant, all options had an exercise price at or above the fair value of common units:

	Number of Restricted Units	Number of Options	Predecessor Company		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life of Unit Options
			Weighted Average Exercise Price of Unit Options	Aggregate Intrinsic Value of Unit Options	
Outstanding at January 1, 2008	268,343	4,916,840	\$ 1.9		
Granted		315,000	5.8		
Exercised		161,460	1.1	\$ 787	
Forfeited/Repurchased		853,780	3.1		
Released from restriction	268,343				
Outstanding at December 31, 2008		4,216,600	1.9	15,118	6.9 years
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2008		3,973,510	1.9	14,412	6.9 years
Exercisable at December 31, 2008		3,085,038	1.7	11,827	6.6 years
Outstanding at January 1, 2009		4,216,600	1.9		
Granted					
Exercised					
Forfeited / Repurchased		391,500	2.5		
Released from restriction					
		3,825,100	1.9		6.1 years

Outstanding at October 25, 2009
(Predecessor Company)

Application of fresh-start
reporting (Note 4) (3,825,100)

Outstanding at October 25, 2009
(Successor Company)

Total compensation expenses recorded for the restricted units and unit options pursuant to ASC 718 were \$0 and \$233 thousand for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009, \$16 thousand and \$449 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2008 and \$328 thousand and \$276 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2007, respectively. As of October 25, 2009, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested unit options of \$166 thousand, which were expected to be recognized over a weighted average future period of 0.7 years, was recognized as reorganization items, net, according to the Company's reorganization. As of December 31, 2008, there was \$335

F-46

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

thousand of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested unit options, which were expected to be recognized over a weighted average future period of 1.0 years. Total fair value of restricted units released from restriction for the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$152 thousand. Total fair value of options vested for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and for the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$266 thousand and \$408 thousand, respectively.

The Company utilizes the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to measure the fair value of each option grant. The following summarizes the grant-date fair value of options granted during the specified periods and assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model on a weighted average basis:

	Predecessor	
	Year Ended December 31, 2008	December 31, Year Ended 2007
Grant-date fair value of option	\$ 0.87	\$ 0.67
Expected term	2.2 Years	2.1 Years
Risk-free interest rate	2.5%	4.4%
Expected volatility	42.0%	46.6%
Expected dividends		

The total cash received from employees as a result of option exercises was \$0, \$184 thousand and \$151 thousand for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The number and weighted average grant-date fair value of the unit options are as follows:

	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009		Year Ended December 31, 2008		Year Ended December 31, 2007	
	Number	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Number	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Number	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Unvested options at the beginning of the period	1,131,563	\$ 0.65	2,374,896	\$ 0.43	3,481,528	\$ 0.29
Granted options during the period			315,000	0.87	710,000	0.67
Vested options during the period	520,969	0.51	1,108,772	0.31	1,339,570	0.23
Forfeited options during the period	391,500	0.17	853,780	0.51	737,750	0.23

Unvested options at the end of the period	547,438	0.88	1,131,563	0.65	2,374,896	0.43
---	---------	------	-----------	------	-----------	------

20. Discontinued Operations

On October 6, 2008, the Company announced the closure of its Imaging Solutions business segment. As of December 31, 2008, Imaging Solutions business segment qualified as a discontinued operation component of the Company under ASC 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment*, formerly SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (ASC 360). As a

F-47

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

result, the results of operations of the Imaging Solutions business segment were classified as discontinued operations. All prior period information has been reclassified to reflect this presentation on the statements of operations.

The results of operations of the Company's discontinued Imaging Solutions business consist of the following:

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Net sales	\$ 947	\$ 2,728	\$ 65,862	\$ 82,848
Cost of sales	369	3,617	81,789	75,930
Selling, general and administrative expenses	68	(6,355)	3,491	10,280
Research and development expenses			37,506	48,058
Restructuring and impairment charges		(1,120)	34,158	
Income tax expenses			373	304
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	\$ 510	\$ 6,586	\$ (91,455)	\$ (51,724)

In prior years the Company had entered into an agreement with a software company to purchase licensed software products (the Purchase Agreement), including the licensed CAD software, for the three-year period from January 31, 2008 to January 30, 2011. The licensed CAD software has been used across all lines of the Company's business for purposes of developing products by the Imaging Solutions business and the Display Solution business and verifying the origin of defects in the manufacturing process of the Semiconductor Manufacturing Services.

During the third quarter of 2009, due to the discontinuation of its Imaging Solutions business segment and the related declining usage of the licensed CAD software, the Company was able to renegotiate the Purchase Agreement with a software company. Such renegotiation resulted in a reduction of the total fee, which lowered the Company's future scheduled payments. Therefore, the Company adjusted the previously recorded restructuring charges related to this agreement's non-refundable future scheduled payments in the amount of \$1,120 thousand. The Company had considered such payments as a contract termination cost. The adjustment of \$1,120 thousand represents the amount by which the non-cancellable future payments that were to be incurred by the Imaging Solutions business segment were reduced as a result of the revised payment terms.

The Company renewed the Purchase Agreement exclusively for the use of other business segments and not for the use of the Imaging Solutions business segment and the Company has no continuing involvement in the Imaging Solutions business.

In connection with the closure of its Imaging Solutions business segment, the Company recorded impairment charges of \$26,285 thousand during the third quarter ended September 28, 2008, in accordance with ASC 360. Also, the Company recorded restructuring charges of \$7,873 thousand during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2008, in accordance with ASC 420, *Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations*, formerly SFAS No. 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities* (ASC 420), related to one-time employee termination benefits, costs associated with the closing of the facilities and contract terminations. Actual payments of \$4,989 thousand were

F-48

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

charged against the restructuring accruals and the remaining accrual balance as of December 31, 2008 was \$2,584 thousand.

21. Restructuring and Impairment Charges

Predecessor Company

2009 Restructuring and Impairment Charges

On March 31, 2009, the Company announced the closure of the Tokyo office of its subsidiary, MagnaChip Semiconductor Inc. (Japan). In connection with this closure, the Company recognized \$439 thousand of restructuring charges, which consisted of one-time termination benefits and other related costs under ASC 420 for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009. Actual payments of \$439 thousand were charged against the restructuring accruals and there were no remaining restructuring accruals as of December 31, 2009.

2008 Restructuring and Impairment Charges

During the three months ended July 1, 2007, the Company recognized \$1,978 thousand of restructuring accruals under ASC 420. The restructuring charges were related to the closure of the Company's five-inch wafer fabrication facilities located in Gumi and those charges consisted of one-time termination benefits and other associated costs. Up to the first quarter of 2008, actual payments of \$1,103 were charged against the restructuring accruals and the Company believes the restructuring activities were substantially completed as of March 30, 2008. Accordingly, the Company reversed \$875 thousand of unused restructuring accruals.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company performed an additional goodwill impairment test triggered by the significant adverse change in the revenue of the MDS reporting unit, and determined that total amount of goodwill was impaired. Revenue of the MDS reporting unit was expected to decrease due to the deterioration of the Company's financial credit status and the recession in the semiconductor industry resulting from the world-wide economic crisis beginning in the third quarter of 2008. Accordingly, an impairment charge of \$14,245 thousand was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2008.

2007 Restructuring and Impairment Charges

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company recorded restructuring and impairment charges totaling \$12,084 thousand, which included \$10,106 thousand of impairment charges under ASC 360 and \$1,978 thousand of restructuring charges under ASC 420. The impairment charges and restructuring charges that were recorded related to the closure of the Company's five-inch wafer fabrication facilities located in Gumi (the asset group) that had generated losses and no longer supported the Company's strategic technology roadmap.

ASC 360 requires the Company to evaluate the recoverability of certain long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. The net book value of the asset group before the impairment charges as of July 1, 2007 was approximately \$10,228 thousand.

The impairment charge was measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the asset group over its fair value. The fair value of the asset group was estimated using a present value technique, where expected future cash flows from the use and eventual disposal of the asset group were discounted by an interest rate commensurate with the risk of the cash flows.

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)****22. Income Taxes**

The Company's income tax expenses are composed of domestic and foreign income taxes depending on the relevant tax jurisdiction. Domestic refers to the income before taxes, current income taxes and deferred income taxes generated or incurred in the United States, where the Parent resides.

The components of income tax expense are as follows:

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes				
Domestic	\$ (4)	\$ 774,188	\$ 18,442	\$ 16,031
Foreign	(523)	67,627	(332,696)	(136,022)
	\$ (527)	\$ 841,815	\$ (314,254)	\$ (119,991)
Current income taxes expense (benefits)				
Domestic	\$ 16	\$ (143)	\$ 1,335	\$ 230
Foreign	1,244	6,033	8,530	8,103
Uncertain tax position liability (domestic)	9	256	92	
Uncertain tax position liability (foreign)	23	95	138	163
	1,292	6,241	10,095	8,496
Deferred income taxes expense (benefits)				
Domestic				
Foreign	654	1,054	1,490	339
	654	1,054	1,490	339
Total income tax expense	\$ 1,946	\$ 7,295	\$ 11,585	\$ 8,835

The Parent is a limited liability company and a non-taxable entity for US tax purposes, and thus the Company expects the statutory income tax rate to be zero. MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) is the principal operating entity

within the consolidated Company. The statutory income tax rate of MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea), including tax surcharges, applicable to the consolidated Company was approximately 24.2% in 2009 and 27.5% in 2008 and 2007. MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) was eligible for a tax exemption for companies qualified as direct foreign investments under the Korean tax code until 2008, and, accordingly, its corporate income tax was reduced by 30% from 2007 to 2008.

F-50

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

The provision for domestic and foreign income taxes incurred is different from the amount calculated by applying the statutory tax rate to the net income before income taxes. The significant items causing this difference are as follows:

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Provision computed at statutory rate	\$	\$	\$	\$
Permanent differences	(693)	(19,500)	(1,076)	4,831
Change in statutory tax rate	(265)	118	8,173	(18,242)
Adjustment for overseas tax rate	3,139	8,192	(52,569)	(27,028)
Change in valuation allowance	(267)	18,134	56,827	49,111
Uncertain tax positions liability	32	351	230	163
Income tax expenses	\$ 1,946	\$ 7,295	\$ 11,585	\$ 8,835

A summary of the composition of net deferred income tax assets (liabilities) at December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	Successor December 31, 2009	Predecessor December 31, 2008
Deferred tax assets		
Inventories	\$	\$ 9,086
Accrued expenses	2,056	1,419
Product warranties	322	152
Other reserves	530	356
Accumulated severance benefits	12,042	9,908
Property, plant and equipments	15,503	13,981
NOL carry-forwards	146,833	98,745
Tax credit	31,558	23,947
Royalty income	5,985	10,629
Foreign currency translation loss	30,198	40,916
Debt issuance costs	284	397
Others	3,081	1,402
Total deferred tax assets	248,392	210,938
Less: valuation allowance	(225,704)	(196,093)
	22,688	14,845

Deferred tax liabilities

Inventories	1,721	
Intangible assets	12,247	
Others	243	4,450
Total deferred tax liabilities	14,211	4,450
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 8,477	\$ 10,395

F-51

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

Changes in valuation allowance for deferred tax assets for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and for the year ended December 31, 2008 are as follows:

	Successor	Predecessor	
	Two-Month	Ten-Month	Year Ended
	Period Ended	Period Ended	December 31,
	December 31,	October 25,	December 31,
	2009	2009	2008
Beginning balance	\$ 223,367	\$ 196,093	\$ 165,977
Charge to expenses	(409)	17,090	79,438
Translation adjustment	2,746	10,184	(49,322)
Ending balance	\$ 225,704	\$ 223,367	\$ 196,093

Deferred income tax assets are recognized only to the extent that realization of the related tax benefit is more likely than not. Realization of the future tax benefits related to the deferred tax assets is dependent on many factors, including the Company's ability to generate taxable income within the period during which the temporary differences reverse, the outlook for the economic environment in which the Company operates and the overall future industry outlook. Based on the Company's historical accounting and tax losses, management determined that it was more likely than not that the Company would realize benefits related to its deferred tax assets in the amount of \$8,477 thousand, \$9,238 thousand and \$10,395 thousand as of December 31, 2009, October 25, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Accordingly, the Company recorded a valuation allowance of \$225,704 thousand, \$223,367 thousand and \$196,093 thousand on its net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2009, October 25, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had approximately \$625,616 thousand of net operating loss carry-forwards available to offset future taxable income. The majority of net operating loss is related to MagnaChip Korea, which expires in varying amounts starting from 2010 to 2019. The Company also has Korean and Dutch tax credit carry-forwards of approximately \$11,446 thousand and \$20,103 thousand, respectively, as of December 31, 2009. The Korean tax credits expire at various dates starting from 2010 to 2013, and the Dutch tax credits are carried forward to be used for an indefinite period of time.

Uncertainty in Income Taxes

The Company's subsidiaries file income tax returns in Korea, Japan, Taiwan, the U.S. and in various other jurisdictions. The Company is subject to income tax examinations by tax authorities of these jurisdictions for all years since the beginning of its operation as an independent company in October 2004.

The Company adopted the provisions of ASC 740 guidance on uncertain tax positions on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of ASC 740 guidance on uncertain tax positions, the Company recognized \$1,554 thousand of liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits, which are related to the temporary difference arising from the timing of expensing certain inventories. Such liabilities were accounted for as an increase to the January 1, 2007 balance of

accumulated deficits. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded \$1,997 thousand and \$1,490 thousand of liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits, respectively.

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

The Company recognizes interest and penalties accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expenses. The Company recognized \$26 thousand, \$206 thousand and \$155 thousand of interest and penalties as income tax expense for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and for the year ended December 31, 2008, respectively. Total interest and penalties accrued as of December 31, 2009, December 31, 2008 and as of the ASC 740 guidance on uncertain tax positions adoption date were \$946 thousand, \$652 thousand and \$530 thousand, respectively.

A tabular reconciliation of the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits at the beginning and end of each period is as follows:

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Predecessor Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Unrecognized tax benefits, balance at the beginning	\$ 2,874	\$ 2,293	\$ 1,593
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year		33	
Additions for tax positions of prior years	123	635	748
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	(18)	(88)	(64)
Settlements			
Lapse of statute of limitations			
Translation adjustment		1	16
Unrecognized tax benefits, balance at the ending	\$ 2,979	\$ 2,874	\$ 2,293

23. Geographic and Segment Information

On October 6, 2008, the Company announced the closure of its Imaging Solutions business segment, subject to support for existing customers. As of December 31, 2008, the Imaging Solutions business segment qualified as a discontinued operation component of the Company under ASC 360. As a result, the results of operations of the Imaging Solutions business and reportable segment have been classified as discontinued operations. Accordingly, the Company has restated prior periods' segment information to conform to the current presentation.

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

The following sets forth information relating to the reportable segments:

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Net Sales				
Display Solutions	\$ 51,044	\$ 231,894	\$ 304,095	\$ 331,684
Semiconductor Manufacturing Services	54,759	206,662	287,111	321,034
Power Solutions	4,746	7,627	5,437	
All other	533	2,801	5,021	56,790
Total segment net sales	\$ 111,082	\$ 448,984	\$ 601,664	\$ 709,508
Gross Profit				
Display Solutions	\$ 8,747	\$ 61,788	\$ 57,386	\$ 41,524
Semiconductor Manufacturing Services	10,657	71,825	98,411	67,127
Power Solutions	736	1,431	(4,272)	
All other	534	2,801	4,885	22,000
Total segment gross profit	\$ 20,674	\$ 137,845	\$ 156,410	\$ 130,651

The following is a summary of net sales by region, based on the location of the customer:

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Korea	\$ 62,241	\$ 244,309	\$ 301,006	\$ 404,276
Asia Pacific	25,573	116,920	144,482	155,488
Japan	6,477	31,641	79,892	71,211
North America	14,910	48,458	61,346	58,506
Europe	1,881	7,656	14,938	20,027
	\$ 111,082	\$ 448,984	\$ 601,664	\$ 709,508

Over 99% of the Company's property, plant and equipment are located in Korea as of December 31, 2009.

Net sales from the Company's top ten largest customers accounted for 66%, 69%, 63% and 63% for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The Company recorded \$25.3 million, \$121.5 million, \$152.4 million and \$182.6 million of sales to one customer within its Display Solutions segment, which represents greater than 10% of net sales, for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)****24. Commitments and Contingencies*****Operating Agreements with Hynix***

In connection with the acquisition of the non-memory semiconductor business from Hynix on October 4, 2004 (the Original Acquisition), the Company entered into several agreements with Hynix, including a non-exclusive cross license that provides the Company with access to certain of Hynix's intellectual property for use in the manufacture and sale of non-memory semiconductor products. The Company also agreed to provide certain utilities and infrastructure support services to Hynix. The obligation to provide certain of these services lasts indefinitely.

Upon the closing of the Original Acquisition, MagnaChip Korea and Hynix also entered into lease agreements under which MagnaChip Korea leases space from Hynix in several buildings, primarily warehouses and utility facilities, in Cheongju, Korea. These leases are generally for an initial term of 20 years plus an indefinite number of renewal terms of 10 years each. Each of the leases is cancelable upon 90 days' notice by the lessee. The Company also leases certain land from Hynix located in Cheongju, Korea. The term of this lease is indefinite unless otherwise agreed by the parties, and as long as the buildings remain on the lease site and are owned and used by the Company for permitted uses.

Operating Leases

The Company leases land, office building and equipment under various operating lease agreements that expire through 2034. Rental expenses were approximately \$2,472 thousand, \$11,775 thousand, \$13,380 thousand and \$11,614 thousand for the two-month period ended December 31, 2009, for the ten-month period ended October 25, 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

As of December 31, 2009, the minimum aggregate rental payments due under non-cancelable lease contracts are as follows:

2010	6,840
2011	1,883
2012	1,883
2013	1,883
2014	1,883
2015 and thereafter	37,244
	\$ 51,616

Payments of Guarantee

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company has provided guarantees for bank loans that employees borrowed to participate in the issuance of new shares of Hynix in 1999. The outstanding balances of guarantees for payments provided by the Company amounted to approximately \$163 thousand and \$138 thousand as of December 31, 2009

and 2008, respectively.

Loss contingency

Samsung Fiber Optics has made a claim against the Company for the infringement of the certain patent rights of Caltech in relation to imaging sensor products provided by the Company to Samsung Fiber Optics. The Company believes it is probable that the pending claim will have an unfavorable outcome and further believes the associated loss can be reasonably estimated according

F-55

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)**

to ASC 450 Contingencies (ASC 450). The Company accrued \$718 thousand of estimated liabilities as of October 25 and December 31, 2009 as the Company believes its accrual of \$718 thousand is its best estimate if the final outcome is unfavorable. Estimation was based on the Company's most recent communication with Samsung Fiber Optics. Accordingly, the Company cannot provide assurance that the estimated liabilities will be realized, and actual results could vary materially.

25. Related Party Transactions***Unitholders***

Funds affiliated with Avenue Capital Management II, L.P. are the majority unitholders of the Company, owning 69.8% of the common units outstanding at December 31, 2009.

Backstop Commitment Agreement

Funds affiliated with Avenue Capital Management II, L.P. were paid an amount in new common units equal to 10% of the new common units (the standby commitment fee), or 30,000,000 units. The standby commitment fee was deemed fully earned and payable upon the Reorganization Effective Date, regardless of whether the offering was fully subscribed by eligible holders of the second lien noteholder claims.

Loans to employees

Loans to employees as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

	Successor December 31, 2009	Predecessor December 31, 2008
Short-term loans	\$ 40	\$ 94
Long-term loans	45	46
Total	\$ 85	\$ 140

New Term Loan

A portion of the new term loan equal to \$42,055 thousand was borrowed from Avenue Investments, LP, which is an affiliate of Avenue Capital Management II, L.P., and related interest expense of \$822 thousand was recorded in relation to this new term loan and remains as accrued interest as of December 31, 2009.

Warrants

Funds affiliated with Avenue Capital Management II, L.P. own warrants for the purchase of 4,447,680 common units out of the total warrants for the purchase of 15,000,000 units outstanding as of December 31, 2009.

F-56

Table of Contents**MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)****26. Earnings (loss) per Unit**

The following table illustrates the computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common unit:

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (2,473)	\$ 834,520	\$ (325,839)	\$ (128,826)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	510	6,586	(91,455)	(51,724)
Net income (loss)	(1,963)	841,116	(417,294)	(180,550)
Dividends accrued on preferred unitholders		(6,317)	(13,264)	(12,031)
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common units	\$ (2,473)	\$ 828,203	\$ (339,103)	\$ (140,857)
Net income (loss) attributable to common units	\$ (1,963)	\$ 834,789	\$ (430,558)	\$ (192,581)
Weighted average common units outstanding	300,862,764	52,923,483	52,768,614	52,297,192
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per unit from continuing operations	\$ (0.01)	\$ 15.65	\$ (6.43)	\$ (2.69)
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per unit from discontinued operations	0.00	0.12	(1.73)	(0.99)
Basic and diluted net earnings (loss) per unit	\$ (0.01)	\$ 15.77	\$ (8.16)	\$ (3.68)

The following outstanding redeemable convertible preferred units, unit options, restricted units and warrants were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings (loss) per unit, as they would have an anti-dilutive effect on the calculation:

	Successor Two-Month Period Ended December 31, 2009	Ten-Month Period Ended October 25, 2009	Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Redeemable convertible preferred units	NA	93,997	93,997	93,997
Options	15,365,000	3,825,100	4,216,600	4,916,840
Restricted Units	4,675,440			268,343
Warrants	15,000,000			

F-57

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular dollars in thousands, except unit data)

27. Unaudited Pro forma December 31, 2009 Balance Sheet

Subsequent to December 31, 2009, the Company declared a distribution to unitholders would be made using the proceeds from the sale of \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of 10.5% senior notes. As the declaration was made after the balance sheet date, an unaudited pro forma balance sheet has been presented to show the pro forma liability due to unitholders and decrease in additional paid in capital as if the declaration of the distribution to unitholders was made prior to December 31, 2009.

28. Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated subsequent events requiring recognition or disclosure in the consolidated financial statements during the period from January 1, 2010 through March 13, 2010, the date the consolidated financial statements were available to be issued.

Cash Flow Hedge Transactions

Effective January 11, 2010, the Company's Korean subsidiary entered into option and forward contracts to hedge the risk of changes in the functional-currency-equivalent cash flows attributable to currency rate changes on U.S. dollar denominated revenues. Total notional amounts for the options and forward contracts were \$50,000 thousand and \$135,000 thousand, respectively, and monthly settlements for the contracts will be made from February to December 2010.

Issuance of \$250 million of Senior Notes and Applications of Net Proceeds (Unaudited)

On April 9, 2010 the Company's Luxembourg subsidiary and United States finance subsidiary completed the sale of \$250 million in aggregate principal amount of 10.500% senior notes due 2018. Of the \$239.6 million of net proceeds, \$130.7 million was used to make a distribution to the Company's unitholders and \$61.8 million was used to repay all outstanding borrowings under the term loan. The remaining proceeds were retained to fund working capital and for general corporate purposes.

Regarding the distribution made to unitholders, the Company has presented pro forma balance sheet information in the face of consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation
Depository Shares

Representing Shares of Common Stock

Goldman, Sachs & Co.

Barclays Capital

Deutsche Bank Securities

Citi

UBS Investment Bank

Through and including , 2010 (the 25th day after the date of this prospectus), all dealers effecting transactions in these securities, whether or not participating in this offering, may be required to deliver a prospectus. This is in addition to a dealer's obligation to deliver a prospectus when acting as an underwriter and with respect to an unsold allotment or subscription.

Table of Contents**PART II****INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS****ITEM 13. *Other Expenses of Issuance and Distribution.***

The following table sets forth all expenses other than the underwriting discount, payable by the registrant in connection with the sale of the common stock being registered. All amounts shown are estimates except for the SEC registration fee.

SEC Registration Fee	\$ 17,825
FINRA Fees	\$ 25,500
New York Stock Exchange Listing Fee	\$ *
Legal Fees and Expenses	\$ *
Printing Expenses	\$ *
Blue Sky Fees	\$ *
Transfer Agent's Fees	\$ *
Accounting Fees and Expenses	\$ *
Miscellaneous	\$ *
Total	\$ *

* To be provided by amendment

ITEM 14. *Indemnification of Officers and Directors.*

Section 145 of the Delaware General Corporation Law (DGCL) provides that a corporation may indemnify directors and officers as well as other employees and individuals against expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by such person in connection with any threatened, pending or completed actions, suits or proceedings in which such person is made a party or who is threatened to be made a party by reason of such person being or having been a director, officer, employee of or agent to the registrant. The statute provides that it is not exclusive of other rights to which those seeking indemnification may be entitled under any bylaw, agreement, vote of stockholders or disinterested directors or otherwise.

As permitted by the DGCL, our certificate of incorporation includes a provision that eliminates the personal liability of our directors for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director, except to the extent such exemption from liability is not permitted by DGCL.

As permitted by the DGCL, our bylaws provide that (1) we are required to indemnify our directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by the DGCL, subject to certain exceptions; (2) we are permitted to indemnify our other employees and agents to the extent that we indemnify our officers and directors; (3) we are required to advance expenses, as incurred, to our directors and officers in connection with any legal proceeding, subject to certain exceptions; and (4) the rights conferred in our bylaws are not exclusive.

We intend to enter into indemnification agreements with our directors and officers. The indemnification agreements will provide for indemnification and advancement of expenses to our directors and officers under certain circumstances for acts or omissions to the extent permissible under Delaware law. We also obtained directors' and

officers liability insurance, which insures against liabilities that our directors or officers may incur in such capacities. At present, we are not aware of any pending or threatened litigation or proceeding involving any of our directors, officers, employees or agents in which indemnification would be required or permitted. We believe that our charter and bylaw provisions are necessary to attract and retain qualified persons as directors and officers.

II-1

Table of Contents

Item 15. *Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities.*

The following relates to sales of securities that have occurred since January 1, 2007 and that have not been registered under the Securities Act:

Prior to the closing of the offering, we will convert from a Delaware limited liability company into a Delaware corporation. At the time of the corporate conversion, all of the outstanding common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC will be automatically converted into shares of our common stock and all of the outstanding warrants to purchase common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC will be automatically converted into warrants to purchase shares of our common stock. The issuance of common stock and warrants to purchase common stock to our members in the corporate conversion will be exempt from registration under the Securities Act by virtue of the exemption provided under Section 3(a)(9) thereof as the common stock and warrants will be exchanged by us with our existing security holders exclusively where no commission or other remuneration is paid or given directly or indirectly for soliciting such exchange. The issuance of common stock and warrants will also be exempt from registration under the Securities Act by virtue of Section 4(2) thereof as a transaction not involving a public offering or, with respect to certain of our existing security holders, Regulation S thereof as an issuance to non-U.S. persons in transactions that will take place outside of the U.S. In addition, as part of our corporate conversion, we will convert outstanding options to purchase common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC into options to purchase shares of our common stock. The issuance of such options to purchase shares of our stock pursuant to such corporate conversion will be exempt from registration in reliance upon exemptions from the registration requirements provided by Rule 701 under the Securities Act relating to transactions occurring under compensatory benefit plans or provided by Regulation S to non-U.S. persons in transactions that will take place outside of the U.S.

In April 2010, our subsidiaries, MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A. and MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, sold (and certain of our subsidiaries guaranteed) \$250 million aggregate principal amount of 10.500% senior notes due 2018. We received net proceeds of approximately \$239.6 million pursuant to the sale of such notes. The initial purchasers of the foregoing notes were Goldman, Sachs & Co., Barclays Capital Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and UBS Securities LLC. The issuance of the notes to the initial purchasers was made in reliance on Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and the notes were subsequently resold by the initial purchasers pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S thereunder.

In March 2010, we issued to our director Nader Tavakoli a restricted unit bonus for 150,000 common units pursuant to the MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan. In March 2010, we also issued to certain of our directors and employees options to purchase up to 914,000 common units pursuant to the MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan at an exercise price of \$2.12 per unit. The issuance of such restricted unit bonuses and options to purchase our common units was exempt from registration in reliance upon exemptions from the registration requirements provided by Rule 701 under the Securities Act relating to transactions occurring under compensatory benefit plans or provided by Regulation S to non-U.S. persons in transactions that took place outside of the U.S.

In December 2009, we issued to certain of our employees restricted unit bonuses for an aggregate of 7,084,000 common units pursuant to the MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan. In December 2009, we also issued to certain of our employees options to purchase up to 15,365,000 common units pursuant to the MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan at an exercise price of \$1.16 per unit. The issuance of such restricted unit bonuses and options to purchase our common units was exempt from registration in reliance upon exemptions from the registration requirements provided by Rule 701 under the Securities Act relating to transactions occurring under compensatory benefit plans or provided by Regulation S to non-U.S. persons in transactions that took place outside of the U.S.

Table of Contents

In November 2009, in connection with our emergence from reorganization proceedings, we issued an aggregate of 17,999,996 common units and warrants to purchase 15,000,000 common units to certain of our former creditors in satisfaction and retirement of their claims. The issuance of such common units and warrants and the distribution thereof was exempt from registration under applicable securities laws pursuant to Section 1145(a) of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code.

In November 2009, in connection with our emergence from reorganization proceedings, we issued an aggregate of 252,000,000 common units in a rights offering to affiliated funds of Avenue Capital Management II, L.P. and certain of our other former creditors who were accredited investors, as defined in Regulation D of the Securities Act, for an aggregate purchase price of \$35,280,000. In connection with such rights offering we issued an additional 30,000,000 common units to affiliated funds of Avenue Capital Management II, L.P. as payment of a backstop commitment fee payable pursuant to our Chapter 11 plan of reorganization. The sale and issuance of such securities was exempt from registration under applicable securities laws pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Regulation D promulgated thereunder.

On July 4, 2008, one of our former employees exercised options to acquire 4,375 of our common units at a purchase price of \$12,040.87. The issuance of these securities was exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, by reason of the fact that the offering was a limited private placement to one knowledgeable investor who agreed not to resell the securities to the public.

On April 14, 2008, one of our former executives exercised options to acquire 143,272.50 of our common units at a purchase price of \$143,272.50. Because the offering transaction took place outside the U.S. and the optionee was not a U.S. person, the issuance of these securities was exempt from registration under Regulation S.

On March 12, 2008, one of our former employees exercised options to acquire 2,437.50 of our common units at a purchase price of \$7,312.50. Because the offering transaction took place outside the U.S. and the optionee was not a U.S. person, the issuance of these securities was exempt from registration under Regulation S.

On February 19, 2008, two of our former employees exercised options to acquire 11,375 of our common units for an aggregate purchase price of \$20,890. Because the offering transactions took place outside the U.S. and neither of the optionees was a U.S. person, the issuance of these securities was exempt from registration under Regulation S.

On December 24, 2007, one of our former executives exercised options to acquire 12,500 of our common units at a purchase price of \$37,500. Because the offering transaction took place outside the U.S. and the optionee was not a U.S. person, the issuance of these securities was exempt from registration under Regulation S.

On October 25, 2007, one of our former employees exercised options to acquire 1,500 of our common units at a purchase price of \$3,000. Because the offering transaction took place outside the U.S. and the optionee was not a U.S. person, the issuance of these securities was exempt from registration under Regulation S.

On August 22, 2007, one of our former executives exercised options to acquire 30,937.50 of our common units at a purchase price of \$30,937. Because the offering transaction took place outside the U.S. and the optionee was not a U.S. person, the issuance of these securities was exempt from registration under Regulation S.

On May 4, 2007, one of our former executives exercised options to acquire 80,000 of our common units for an aggregate purchase price of \$80,000. The issuance of these securities was exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, by reason of the fact that the offering was a limited private placement to one knowledgeable investor who agreed not to resell the securities to the public.

Table of Contents

ITEM 16. Exhibits.

- 1.1 Form of Underwriting Agreement*
- 2.1 Second Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization Proposed by the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, et al., dated as of September 24, 2009
- 3.1 Certificate of Formation of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC (formerly System Semiconductor Holding LLC)(3)
- 3.2 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Formation of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC(3)
- 3.3 Fifth Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC
- 3.4 Form of Certificate of Incorporation of MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation(3)
- 3.5 Form of Bylaws of MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation(3)
- 3.6 Plan of Conversion of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC*
- 4.1 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of November 9, 2009, by and among MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and each of the securityholders named therein
- 4.2 Form of Deposit Agreement, among MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, as the depository, and the holders from time to time of the depository receipts evidencing the depository shares*
- 4.3 Specimen Depository Share (included in Exhibit 4.2)*
- 4.4 Indenture, dated as of April 9, 2010, by and among MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A., MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, the guarantors as named therein and Wilmington Trust FSB, as trustee
- 4.5 Form of 10.500% Senior Notes due 2018 and related notation of guarantee (included in Exhibit 4.4)
- 4.6 Exchange and Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of April 9, 2010, by and among MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A., MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, the guarantors named therein, and Goldman, Sachs & Co., Barclays Capital Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. and Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, as representatives of the several purchasers named therein
- 5.1 Form of Opinion of DLA Piper LLP (US)*
- 10.1 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of November 6, 2009, among MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A., MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, the guarantors named therein, the lenders named therein, and Wilmington Trust FSB, as Administrative Agent
- 10.2 Intellectual Property License Agreement, dated as of October 6, 2004, by and between Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)
- 10.3 Land Lease and Easement Agreement, dated as of October 6, 2004, by and between Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)(1)
- 10.4 First Amendment to Land Lease and Easement Agreement, dated as of December 30, 2005, by and between Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)
- 10.5 General Service Supply Agreement, dated as of October 6, 2004, by and between Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)(2)
- 10.6 First Amendment to the General Service Supply Agreement, dated as of December 30, 2005, by and between Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)
- 10.7 License Agreement (ModularBCD), dated as of March 18, 2005, by and between Advanced Analogic Technologies, Inc. and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)(1)(3)
- 10.8 Amended & Restated License Agreement (TrenchDMOS), dated as of September 19, 2007, by and between Advanced Analogic Technologies, Inc. and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)(2)(3)
- 10.9 Technology License Agreement, dated as of December 16, 1996, by and between Advanced RISC Machines Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) (successor in interest to LG Semicon Company Limited)(1)(3)

Table of Contents

- 10.10 Amendment to the Technology License Agreement, dated as of October 16, 2006, by and between ARM Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)(2)(3)
- 10.11 ARM7201TDSP Device License Agreement, dated as of August 26, 1997, by and between Advanced RISC Machines Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) (successor in interest to LG Semicon Company Limited)(1)(3)
- 10.12 Technology License Agreement, dated as of October 5, 1995, by and between Advanced RISC Machines Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) (successor in interest to LG Semicon Company Limited)(2)(3)
- 10.13 Technology License Agreement, dated as of July 2001, by and between ARM Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) (successor in interest to Hynix Semiconductor Inc.)(1)(3)
- 10.14 Technology License Agreement, dated as of August 22, 2001, by and between ARM Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) (successor in interest to Hynix Semiconductor Inc.)(1)(3)
- 10.15 Technology License Agreement, dated as of May 20, 2004, by and between ARM Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) (successor in interest to Hynix Semiconductor Inc.)(3)
- 10.16 Design Migration Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2007, by and between ARM Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)(2)(3)
- 10.17 Basic Agreement on Joint Development and Grant of License, dated as of November 10, 2006, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. and Silicon Works (English translation)(3)
- 10.18 Master Service Agreement, dated as of December 27, 2000 by and between Sharp Corporation and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) (successor in interest to Hyundai Electronics Japan Co., Ltd) (English translation)
- 10.19 Warrant Agreement, dated as of November 9, 2009, between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC(3)
- 10.20 MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan(3)
- 10.21 MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan form of Option Agreement (Non-U.S. Participants)(3)
- 10.22 MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan form of Option Agreement (U.S. Participants)(3)
- 10.23 MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan form of Restricted Unit Agreement (Non-U.S. Participants)(3)
- 10.24 MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan form of Restricted Unit Agreement (U.S. Participants)(3)
- 10.25 MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation 2010 Equity Incentive Plan(3)
- 10.26 MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan(3)
- 10.27 Amended and Restated Service Agreement, dated as of May 8, 2008, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) and Sang Park
- 10.28 Notice of Grant of Unit Option, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Sang Park(3)
- 10.29 Notice of Grant of Restricted Units, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Sang Park(3)
- 10.30 Entrustment Agreement, dated as of October 6, 2004, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) and Tae Young Hwang
- 10.31 Notice of Grant of Unit Option, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Tae Young Hwang(3)
- 10.32 Notice of Grant of Restricted Units, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Tae Young Hwang(3)

Table of Contents

- 10.33 Offer Letter dated March 7, 2006, from MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Inc. to Brent Rowe, as supplemented on December 20, 2006(3)
- 10.34 Notice of Grant of Unit Option, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Brent Rowe(3)
- 10.35 Notice of Grant of Restricted Units, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Brent Rowe(3)
- 10.36 Offer Letter dated September 5, 2006, from MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. to Margaret Sakai(3)
- 10.37 Notice of Grant of Unit Option, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Margaret Sakai(3)
- 10.38 Notice of Grant of Restricted Units, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Margaret Sakai(3)
- 10.39 Offer Letter, dated as of July 1, 2007, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) and Heung Kyu Kim(3)
- 10.40 Notice of Grant of Unit Option, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Heung Kyu Kim(3)
- 10.41 Notice of Grant of Restricted Units, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Heung Kyu Kim(3)
- 10.42 Offer Letter, dated as of June 20, 2007, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) and Tae Jong Lee(3)
- 10.43 Notice of Grant of Unit Option, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Tae Jong Lee(3)
- 10.44 Notice of Grant of Restricted Units, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Tae Jong Lee(3)
- 10.45 Service Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2006, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) and John McFarland(3)
- 10.46 Notice of Grant of Unit Option, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and John McFarland(3)
- 10.47 Notice of Grant of Restricted Units, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and John McFarland(3)
- 10.48 Senior Advisor Agreement, dated as of April 10, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd.(Korea) and Robert J. Krakauer(3)
- 10.49 MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation Form of Indemnification Agreement with Directors and Officers(3)
- 10.50 Form of Accredited Investor Certification delivered to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, et al.
- 10.51 Form of Subscription Agreement for common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC (in connection with the Committee's Plan of Reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code)
- 10.52 Subscription Form for Rights Offering in connection with the Committee's Plan of Reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code
- 10.53 \$35,000,000 Common Stock Backstop Commitment letter, dated as of September 23, 2009, from Avenue Capital Management II, L.P., solely in its capacity as investment advisor to Avenue Investments, L.P., Avenue International Master, L.P., Avenue Special Situations Fund IV, L.P., Avenue Special Situations Fund V, L.P. and Avenue CDP-Global Opportunities Fund, L.P. (included in Exhibit 2.1)
- 10.54 MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC Profit Sharing Plan as adopted on December 31, 2009 and as amended on February 15, 2010(2)
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant(3)
- 23.1 Consent of Samil PricewaterhouseCoopers

Table of Contents

- 23.2 Consent of DLA Piper LLP (US) (contained in Exhibit 5.1)*
- 24.1 Power of Attorney of officers and directors of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC(3)

* To be filed by amendment.

Footnotes:

- (1) Certain portions of this document have been omitted pursuant to a grant of confidential treatment by the SEC.
- (2) Certain portions of this document have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment by the SEC.
- (3) Previously filed.

Item 17. *Undertakings.*

We hereby undertake to provide to the underwriters at the closing specified in the underwriting agreement, certificates in such denominations and registered in such names as required by the underwriters to permit prompt delivery to each purchaser.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to our directors, officers and controlling persons pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, we have been advised that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by us of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer, or controlling person of us in the successful defense of any action, suit, or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer, or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, we will, unless in the opinion of counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by us is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

The undersigned Registrant hereby undertakes that:

- (1) for purposes of determining any liability under the Securities Act, the information omitted from the form of prospectus filed as part of this registration statement in reliance upon Rule 430A and contained in a form of prospectus filed by the Registrant pursuant to Rule 424(b)(1) or (4) or 497(h) under the Securities Act shall be deemed to be part of this registration statement as of the time it was declared effective; and
- (2) for purposes of determining any liability under the Securities Act, each post-effective amendment that contains a form of prospectus shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC has duly caused this Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in Seoul, The Republic of Korea on April 20, 2010.

MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC

By: /s/ Sang Park
**Sang Park, Chief Executive
 Officer (Principal Executive Officer)**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Sang Park Sang Park	Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors (Principal Executive Officer)	April 20, 2010
* Margaret Sakai	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	April 20, 2010
* Michael Elkins	Director	April 20, 2010
* Randal Klein	Director	April 20, 2010
* R. Douglas Norby	Director	April 20, 2010
* Gidu Shroff	Director	April 20, 2010
* Steven Tan	Director	April 20, 2010
* Nader Tavakoli	Director	April 20, 2010

*By /s/ Sang Park

Attorney-in-fact

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

- 1.1 Form of Underwriting Agreement*
- 2.1 Second Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization Proposed by the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, et al., dated as of September 24, 2009
- 3.1 Certificate of Formation of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC (formerly System Semiconductor Holding LLC)(3)
- 3.2 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Formation of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC(3)
- 3.3 Fifth Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC
- 3.4 Form of Certificate of Incorporation of MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation(3)
- 3.5 Form of Bylaws of MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation(3)
- 3.6 Plan of Conversion of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC*
- 4.1 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of November 9, 2009, by and among MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and each of the securityholders named therein
- 4.2 Form of Deposit Agreement, among MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, as the depository, and the holders from time to time of the depository receipts evidencing the depository shares*
- 4.3 Specimen Depository Share (included in Exhibit 4.2)*
- 4.4 Indenture, dated as of April 9, 2010, by and among MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A., MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, the guarantors as named therein and Wilmington Trust FSB, as trustee
- 4.5 Form of 10.500% Senior Notes due 2018 and notation of guarantee (included in Exhibit 4.4)
- 4.6 Exchange and Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of April 9, 2010, by and among MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A., MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, the guarantors named therein, and Goldman, Sachs & Co., Barclays Capital Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. and Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, as representatives of the several purchasers named therein
- 5.1 Form of Opinion of DLA Piper LLP (US)*
- 10.1 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of November 6, 2009, among MagnaChip Semiconductor S.A., MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, the guarantors named therein, the lenders named therein, and Wilmington Trust FSB, as Administrative Agent
- 10.2 Intellectual Property License Agreement, dated as of October 6, 2004, by and between Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)
- 10.3 Land Lease and Easement Agreement, dated as of October 6, 2004, by and between Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)(1)
- 10.4 First Amendment to Land Lease and Easement Agreement, dated as of December 30, 2005, by and between Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)
- 10.5 General Service Supply Agreement, dated as of October 6, 2004, by and between Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)(2)
- 10.6 First Amendment to the General Service Supply Agreement, dated as of December 30, 2005, by and between Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)
- 10.7 License Agreement (ModularBCD), dated as of March 18, 2005, by and between Advanced Analogic Technologies, Inc. and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)(1)(3)
- 10.8 Amended & Restated License Agreement (TrenchDMOS), dated as of September 19, 2007, by and between Advanced Analogic Technologies, Inc. and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)(2)(3)
- 10.9 Technology License Agreement, dated as of December 16, 1996, by and between Advanced RISC Machines Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) (successor in interest to LG Semicon Company Limited)(1)(3)
- 10.10

Amendment to the Technology License Agreement, dated as of October 16, 2006, by and between ARM Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)(2)(3)

Table of Contents

- 10.11 ARM7201TDSP Device License Agreement, dated as of August 26, 1997, by and between Advanced RISC Machines Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) (successor in interest to LG Semicon Company Limited)(1)(3)
- 10.12 Technology License Agreement, dated as of October 5, 1995, by and between Advanced RISC Machines Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) (successor in interest to LG Semicon Company Limited)(2)(3)
- 10.13 Technology License Agreement, dated as of July 2001, by and between ARM Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) (successor in interest to Hynix Semiconductor Inc.)(1)(3)
- 10.14 Technology License Agreement, dated as of August 22, 2001, by and between ARM Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) (successor in interest to Hynix Semiconductor Inc.)(1)(3)
- 10.15 Technology License Agreement, dated as of May 20, 2004, by and between ARM Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) (successor in interest to Hynix Semiconductor Inc.)(3)
- 10.16 Design Migration Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2007, by and between ARM Limited and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea)(2)(3)
- 10.17 Basic Agreement on Joint Development and Grant of License, dated as of November 10, 2006, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. and Silicon Works (English translation)(3)
- 10.18 Master Service Agreement, dated as of December 27, 2000 by and between Sharp Corporation and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) (successor in interest to Hyundai Electronics Japan Co., Ltd) (English translation)
- 10.19 Warrant Agreement, dated as of November 9, 2009, between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC(3)
- 10.20 MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan(3)
- 10.21 MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan form of Option Agreement (Non-U.S. Participants)(3)
- 10.22 MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan form of Option Agreement (U.S. Participants)(3)
- 10.23 MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan form of Restricted Unit Agreement (Non-U.S. Participants)(3)
- 10.24 MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC 2009 Common Unit Plan form of Restricted Unit Agreement (U.S. Participants)(3)
- 10.25 MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation 2010 Equity Incentive Plan(3)
- 10.26 MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan(3)
- 10.27 Amended and Restated Service Agreement, dated as of May 8, 2008, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) and Sang Park
- 10.28 Notice of Grant of Unit Option, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Sang Park(3)
- 10.29 Notice of Grant of Restricted Units, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Sang Park(3)
- 10.30 Entrustment Agreement, dated as of October 6, 2004, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) and Tae Young Hwang
- 10.31 Notice of Grant of Unit Option, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Tae Young Hwang(3)
- 10.32 Notice of Grant of Restricted Units, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Tae Young Hwang(3)
- 10.33 Offer Letter dated March 7, 2006, from MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Inc. to Brent Rowe, as supplemented on December 20, 2006(3)
- 10.34 Notice of Grant of Unit Option, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Brent Rowe(3)

Table of Contents

- 10.35 Notice of Grant of Restricted Units, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Brent Rowe(3)
- 10.36 Offer Letter dated September 5, 2006, from MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. to Margaret Sakai(3)
- 10.37 Notice of Grant of Unit Option, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Margaret Sakai(3)
- 10.38 Notice of Grant of Restricted Units, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Margaret Sakai(3)
- 10.39 Offer Letter, dated as of July 1, 2007, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) and Heung Kyu Kim(3)
- 10.40 Notice of Grant of Unit Option, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Heung Kyu Kim(3)
- 10.41 Notice of Grant of Restricted Units, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Heung Kyu Kim(3)
- 10.42 Offer Letter, dated as of June 20, 2007, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) and Tae Jong Lee(3)
- 10.43 Notice of Grant of Unit Option, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Tae Jong Lee(3)
- 10.44 Notice of Grant of Restricted Units, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and Tae Jong Lee(3)
- 10.45 Service Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2006, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd. (Korea) and John McFarland(3)
- 10.46 Notice of Grant of Unit Option, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and John McFarland(3)
- 10.47 Notice of Grant of Restricted Units, dated as of December 8, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC and John McFarland(3)
- 10.48 Senior Advisor Agreement, dated as of April 10, 2009, by and between MagnaChip Semiconductor, Ltd.(Korea) and Robert J. Krakauer(3)
- 10.49 MagnaChip Semiconductor Corporation Form of Indemnification Agreement with Directors and Officers(3)
- 10.50 Form of Accredited Investor Certification delivered to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of MagnaChip Semiconductor Finance Company, et al.
- 10.51 Form of Subscription Agreement for common units of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC (in connection with the Committee's Plan of Reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code)
- 10.52 Subscription Form for Rights Offering in connection with the Committee's Plan of Reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code
- 10.53 \$35,000,000 Common Stock Backstop Commitment letter, dated as of September 23, 2009, from Avenue Capital Management II, L.P., solely in its capacity as investment advisor to Avenue Investments, L.P., Avenue International Master, L.P., Avenue Special Situations Fund IV, L.P., Avenue Special Situations Fund V, L.P. and Avenue CDP-Global Opportunities Fund, L.P. (included in Exhibit 2.1)
- 10.54 MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC Profit Sharing Plan as adopted on December 31, 2009 and as amended on February 15, 2010(2)
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant(3)
- 23.1 Consent of Samil PricewaterhouseCoopers
- 23.2 Consent of DLA Piper LLP (US) (contained in Exhibit 5.1)*
- 24.1 Power of Attorney of officers and directors of MagnaChip Semiconductor LLC(3)

* To be filed by amendment.

Table of Contents

Footnotes:

- (1) Certain portions of this document have been omitted pursuant to a grant of confidential treatment by the SEC.
- (2) Certain portions of this document have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment by the SEC.
- (3) Previously filed.