DARLING INTERNATIONAL INC

Form 10-Q

November 10, 2005 UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, DC 20549	N .
FORM 10-Q	
(Mark One)	
/X/ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SEC	ΓΙΟΝ 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  For the quarterly period ended October 1, 2005	
OR // TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECT	ION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  For the transition period from to	
Commission File Number 0-24620  DARLING INTERNATIONAL INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)	
Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 251 O Connor Ridge Blvd., Suite 300	36-2495346 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
Irving, Texas (Address of principal executive offices)	<b>75038</b> (Zip Code)
Registrant s telephone number, including area code(	972) 717-0300
	as filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject es X No
Indicate by check mark whether Registrant is an acco	elerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes X No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).	Yes	No <u>X</u> .
There were 64,437,410 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding at November 3, 2005.		
1		

# DARLING INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES FORM 10-Q FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED OCTOBER 1, 2005

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
	PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION	C
Item 1.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets October 1, 2005 (unaudited) and January 1, 2005	3
	Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) Three and Nine Months Ended October 1, 2005 and	4
	October 2, 2004	
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) Nine Months Ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004	5
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	6
Item 2.	MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	18
Item 3.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	37
Item 4.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	37
	PART II: OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 6.	EXHIBITS	38
	Signatures	39

### DARLING INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

October 1, 2005 and January 1, 2005

(in thousands, except shares and per share data)

		October 1,		January 1,		
	2005			2005		
<u>ASSETS</u>		(unaudited)				
Current assets:		(unuuuntuu)				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	36,739		\$	37,249	
Restricted cash	Ψ	2,355		Ψ	2,379	
Accounts receivable		24,320			26,675	
Inventories		7,700			6,000	
Prepaid expenses		4,524			3,740	
Deferred income taxes		4,409			4,080	
Assets held for sale		.,,			837	
Other		6			13	
Total current assets		80,053			80,973	
Property, plant and equipment, less accumulated depreciation		00,033			00,773	
of \$171,538 at October 1, 2005 and \$166,353 at January 1, 2005		81,773			75,398	
Collection routes and contracts, less accumulated amortization of		01,775			75,570	
\$32,068 at October 1, 2005 and \$29,163 at January 1, 2005		13,105			16,006	
Goodwill		4,429			4,429	
Deferred loan costs		3,008			3,565	
Other assets		2,429			2,438	
Other assets	\$	184,797		\$	182,809	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	Ψ	101,777		Ψ	102,007	
Current liabilities:						
Current portion of long-term debt	\$	5,026		\$	5,030	
Accounts payable, principally trade	φ	9,264		φ	8,144	
Accounts payable, principally trade Accrued expenses		30,282			28,005	
Accrued interest		63			192	
Total current liabilities		44,635			41,371	
Long-term debt, net		45,759			49,528	
Other non-current liabilities		17,136			20,197	
Deferred income taxes		3,744			4,478	
Total liabilities		111,274			115,574	
Total natifices		111,274			113,374	
Stockholders equity:						
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized;						
64,437,410 and 63,918,346 shares issued and outstanding						
at October 1, 2005 and at January 1, 2005, respectively		644			639	
Additional paid-in capital		79,364			77,393	
Treasury stock, at cost; 21,000 shares at October 1, 2005		,			,	
and January 1, 2005						
•		(172	)		(172	)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(7,134	)		(7,331	)
Retained earnings/(deficit)		2,366	,		(3,294	)
Unearned compensation		(1,545	)		(-,	,
Total stockholders equity		73,523	,		67,235	
		· - /- <del></del>			,	

Commitments and contingencies	\$	184,797	\$ 182,809
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated fina	ncial st	atements.	
3			

### DARLING INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Three months and nine months ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004

(in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	October 1	October 1 October 2		October 1		October 2		
	2005		2004		2005		2004	
Net sales	\$ 79,332		\$ 80,047		\$231,959		\$ 248,845	
Costs and expenses:	, ,		. ,		,		. ,	
Cost of sales and operating expenses	63,204		57,878		182,014		183,124	
Selling, general and administrative								
expenses	8,111		9,203		26,158		27,301	
Depreciation and amortization	3,812		3,559		11,390		11,301	
Total costs and expenses	75,127		70,640		219,562		221,726	
Operating income	4,205		9,407		12,397		27,119	
Other income/(expense):								
Interest expense	(1,534	)	(1,664	)	(4,707	)	(5,224	)
Other, net	216		(56	)	891		(391	)
Total other income/(expense)	(1,318	)	(1,720	)	(3,816	)	(5,615	)
Income from continuing operations before								
income taxes	2,887		7,687		8,581		21,504	
Income taxes	(966	)	(3,119	)	(3,002	)	(8,575	)
Income from continuing operations	1,921		4,568		5,579		12,929	
Income from discontinued operations,								
net of tax	69		14		81		54	
Net income	\$ 1,990		\$4,582		\$5,660		\$ 12,983	
Basic and diluted income per share:								
Continuing operations	\$ 0.03		\$ 0.07		\$0.09		\$ 0.20	
Discontinued operations Total	\$ 0.03		- \$ 0.07		- \$0.09		\$ 0.20	
1 Ottal	φ 0.03		ψ 0.07		ψ0.09		ψ 0.20	

The accompanying notes are an integra	l part of these consolidated finan	cial statements.	
4			

### DARLING INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Nine months ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	Octob 2005	per 1,		Octob 2004	er 2,	
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Income from continuing operations	\$	5,579		\$	12,929	
Adjustments to reconcile income from continuing						
operations to net cash provided						
by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization		11,390			11,301	
Gain on disposal of property, plant, equipment						
and other assets		(540	)		(315	)
Gain on early extinguishment of debt		-			(1,306	)
Loss on early redemption of preferred stock		-			1,678	
Deferred taxes		(1,063	)		335	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:						
Restricted cash		24			-	
Accounts receivable		2,355			1,864	
Inventories and prepaid expenses		(2,484	)		(72	)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		3,397			5,763	
Accrued interest		(129	)		(111	)
Other		(1,804	)		1,654	
Net cash provided by continuing						
operating activities		16,725			33,720	
Net cash provided by discontinued						
operations		81			54	
Net cash provided by operating						
activities		16,806			33,774	
Cash flows from investing activities:		44.400	,		( <b>=</b> <00	
Capital expenditures		(14,480	)		(7,698	)
Intangible expenditures		(4	)		-	
Gross proceeds from disposal of property, plant and		000			450	
equipment and other assets		998	,		450	,
Net cash used by investing activities		(13,486	)		(7,248	)
Cash flows from financing activities:					02.202	
Proceeds from debt		-	`		92,302	`
Payments on debt		(3,773	)		(89,594	)
Deferred loan costs		(35	)		(2,228	)
Redemption of preferred stock		-			(10,000	)
Payments of preferred dividends		- (70	`		(1,240	)
Contract payments		(70	)		(110	)
Issuance of common stock		48	``		152	`
Net cash used by financing activities			)		(10,718	)

	(3,830		
Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents	(510	)	15,808
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	37,249		25,383
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 36,739	\$	41,191
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest	\$ 4,335	\$	4,443
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$ 2,884	\$	5,539

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

### DARLING INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
October 1, 2005
(unaudited)
(1) General
The accompanying consolidated financial statements for the three month and nine month periods ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004 have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America by Darling International Inc. (the Company ) without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The information furnished herein reflects all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring accruals) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to present a fair statement of the financial position and operating results of the Company as of and for the respective periods. However, these operating results are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for a full fiscal year. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. However, management of the Company believes, to the best of their knowledge, that the disclosures herein are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. The accompanying consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements contained in the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2005.
(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies
(a) <u>Basis of Presentation</u>
The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.
(b) <u>Fiscal Periods</u>
The Company has a 52/53 week fiscal year ending on the Saturday nearest December 31. Fiscal periods for the consolidated financial statements included herein are as of October 1, 2005, and include the 13 weeks and 39 weeks ended October 1, 2005, and the 13 weeks and 39 weeks ended October 2, 2004.
(c) <u>Earnings Per Share</u>

Basic income per common share is computed by dividing net income attributable to outstanding common stock by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted income per common share is computed by dividing net income attributable to outstanding common stock by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period increased by dilutive common equivalent shares determined using the treasury stock method.

Pro forma treasury shares represent the shares which potentially may be reacquired by the Company as treasury shares from the proceeds of option shares for which the contractual

exercise price is less than the market price (  $\,$  in the money  $\,$ ), based upon a reacquisition price equal to the average market price of the Company  $\,$ s common shares during the period presented.

Net Income Per Common Share (in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months En	ded				
		October 1 2005			October 2 2004	
_	Income	Shares	Per Share	Income	Shares	Per Share
Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations, no of tax	\$ 1,921 et 69	63,944	\$ 0.03	\$ 4,568 14	63,890	\$ 0.07
Net income per common		(2.044	ф o o2	Φ.4.50 <b>2</b>	(2,000	¢ 0 07
share - Basic	\$ 1,990	63,944	\$ 0.03	\$ 4,582	63,890	\$ 0.07
Effect of dilutive securities: Add: Option shares in the money Dilutive effect of	re	956			1,115	
restricted stock Less: Pro form treasury		23			(440	
shares		(368)			(440 )	
Net income per common share - Dilute		64,555	\$ 0.03	\$ 4,582	64,565	\$ 0.07
	Nine Months Ende	ed				
		October 1 2005			October 2 2004	
Income from continuing	Income	Shares	Per Share	Income	Shares	Per Share
operations Income from discontinued operations,	\$ 5,579	63,922	\$ 0.09	\$12,929	63,821	\$ 0.20
net of tax	81			54		
Net income per common						
share - Basic	\$ 5,660	63,922	\$ 0.09	\$12,983	63,821	\$ 0.20

Effect of dilutive securities: Add: Option shares in the								
money		956				1,041		
Less: Pro								
forma treasury								
shares		(350	)			(443	)	
Net income per common share -		C4 520		¢ 0.00	¢12.092	(4.410		¢ 0.20
Diluted	\$ 5,660	64,528		\$ 0.09	\$12,983	64,419		\$ 0.20

For the three months ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004, respectively, 794,950 and 160,121 outstanding options were excluded from diluted income per common share and for the nine months ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004, respectively, 794,950 and 234,158 outstanding stock options were excluded from diluted income per common share as

the effect was antidilutive. Additionally, for the three months and nine months ended October 1, 2005, respectively, 466,211 and 489,150 shares of restricted stock were excluded from diluted income per common share as the effect was antidilutive.

#### (d) Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for its stock option plan in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. As such, compensation expense is recorded on the date of grant only if the current market price of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, permits entities to recognize as expense over the vesting period the fair value of all stock-based awards on the date of grant. Alternatively, SFAS No. 123 allows entities to continue to apply the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25 and provide pro forma net income and pro forma earnings per share disclosures for employee stock option grants as if the fair-value-based method defined in SFAS No. 123 had been applied. The Company has elected to continue to apply the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25 and provide the proforma disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123.

On May 11, 2005 the shareholders approved the Company s 2004 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the 2004 Plan ). The 2004 Plan has replaced both the 1994 Employee Flexible Stock Option Plan and the Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan and thus, broadens the array of equity alternatives available to the Company. Under the 2004 Plan the Company is allowed to grant stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock (including performance stock), restricted stock units (including performance units), other-stock based awards, non-employee director awards, dividend equivalents, and cash-based awards. There are up to 6,074,969 Common Shares available under the 2004 Plan, which may be granted to any participant in any plan year as defined in the 2004 Plan. Some of those shares are subject to outstanding awards as detailed in the table below. To the extent these outstanding awards are forfeited or expire without exercise, the shares will be returned to and available for future grants under the 2004 Plan. The 2004 Plan s purpose is to attract, retain and motivate employees, directors and third party service providers of the Company and its subsidiaries and to encourage them to have a financial interest in the Company. The 2004 Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee (the Committee) of the Board of Directors. The Committee has the authority to select plan participants, grant awards, and determine the terms and conditions of such awards as defined in the 2004 Plan.

A summary of the Company s Stock Options and Stock Awards now outstanding under the 2004 Plan is as follows:

						Weig	hted Average
	Incentive		Nonqualified		Restricted	Exerc	cise
	Shares		Shares		Shares	Price	
Outstanding January 1, 2005	1,147,969		127,000			\$	2.18
Options Granted	82,500		494,950				4.00
Options Exercised	(41,914	)	(9,000	)			0.93
Options Forfeited/Canceled	(7,500	)	(43,000	)			6.15
Restricted Shares Granted					489,150		
Outstanding October 1, 2005	1,181,055		569,950		489,150	\$	2.70

Stock options expire and terminate the earlier of 10 years from the date of grant or when the employee ceases to be employed by the Company as defined in each option agreement. Since January 1, 2005, 41,914 incentive options and 9,000 non-qualified options have been exercised. The weighted average remaining contractual life of the outstanding options is 7.8 years.

At October 1, 2005, options to purchase an aggregate of 1,751,005 shares were outstanding, of which 958,555 were vested.

The following is a summary of stock based compensation granted during the nine months ended October 1, 2005.

Nonqualified Stock Options. On March 17, 2005 under the previous Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan, the Company granted 20,000 nonqualified non-employee director stock options, in the aggregate, to five directors. The exercise price for these options was \$4.04 per share (fair market value at grant date). Under the 2004 Plan, on May 11, 2005 the Company granted 4,000 nonqualified stock options to the non-employee director newly elected to the board by the stockholders. The exercise price for the May 11, 2005 stock options was \$3.95 per share (fair market value at grant date). These options vest 25 percent six months after the grant date and 25 percent on each anniversary date thereafter.

On November 19, 2004 subject to the approval of the 2004 Plan, the Company issued 276,600 nonqualified stock options to four of the executive officers of the Company, that is the Chief Executive Officer and the Executive Vice Presidents of Finance and Administration, Operations, and Commodities, but not to the Executive Vice President of Sales and Services (collectively the five are referred to as Named Executive Officers). The nonqualified stock options at November 19, 2004 were issued at an exercise price of \$4.16. This exercise price represents a 10% premium to the fair market value of the Company s common stock at the issue date. On May 11, 2005 these issued stock options were authorized by the shareholders and made effective as a result of the approval of the 2004 Plan. Additionally, on June 16, 2005, the Company granted 194,350 nonqualified stock options under the 2004 Plan to the Named Executive Officers at an exercise price of \$3.94, which represented a 10% premium to the fair market value of the Company s common stock at the grant date. The nonqualified stock options vest over a three-year period at 33-1/3 percent per year.

<u>Incentive Stock Options</u>. On June 16, 2005, the Company granted 82,500 incentive stock options to various additional employees other than the Named Executive Officers. The exercise price was equal to the fair market price at the grant date of \$3.58 per share. These incentive stock options vest 20 percent at grant date and 20 percent on each anniversary date thereafter.

Restricted Stock Awards. On November 19, 2004 subject to the approval of the 2004 Plan, the Company issued 477,200 restricted stock awards to the Chief Executive Officer and the Executive Vice Presidents of Finance and Administration, Operations, and Commodities. On May 11, 2005 upon approval of the 2004 Plan these awards were authorized by the shareholders and made effective. Additionally, on June 16, 2005, the Company granted 11,950 restricted stock awards to the Executive Vice President of Sales and Services. These restricted stock awards contain vesting periods of four to six years from date of issuance. The six year awards contain accelerated vesting provisions based upon specified increases in the

Company s stock price. During the second quarter of 2005 the Company recorded \$1.9 million of unearned compensation for the market value of the shares on the date of grant and recorded compensation expense of \$0.1 million related to these shares. The unearned compensation is being amortized to expense over the estimated lapse in restrictions of 1.3 4 years. For the three and nine months ended October 1, 2005, the Company recorded \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, as compensation expense. These amounts are shown as a reduction of stockholders equity at October 1, 2005.

Under the intrinsic-value method, compensation expense is recorded only to the extent that the grant price is less than market on the measurement date. All options granted in 2004 and 2005 were issued at or above market price, and therefore no stock-based compensation was recorded due to option grants.

The fair value of each stock-option grant under the nonqualified and incentive shares was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions and results:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
Weighted Average	October 1, 2005	October 2, 2004	October 1, 2005	October 2, 2004
Risk-free interest rate Expected life	N/A N/A	3.9% 10.0 years	3.9% 5.9 years	3.9% 10.0 years
Expected volatility Fair value of	N/A	100.2%	55.0%	100.3%
options granted	N/A	\$ 3.65	\$ 2.04	\$ 3.56

The following table illustrates the pro forma effect on net income and income per share if the fair value-based method had been applied to all outstanding and vested awards in each period.

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months End	ed
	October 1	October 2	October 1	October 2 2004
	2005	2004	2005	
	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Reported net income	\$ 1,990	\$ 4,582	\$ 5,660	\$ 12,983
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in				
reported net income, net of tax	174		249	
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair-value-based method				
for all rewards, net of tax	(336)	(202)	(676)	(367)
Pro forma net income	\$ 1,828	\$ 4,380	\$ 5,233	\$ 12,616
Earnings per share:				
Basic as reported	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.20
Basic pro forma	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.20

Diluted	as reported	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.20
Diluted	pro forma	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.20

#### (e) <u>Discontinued Operations</u>

At a scheduled meeting held during the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company s board of directors approved a plan for the Company to dispose of its operations at London, Ontario, Canada. Results of operations of the London facility were previously included in results of the Company s rendering segment, and have been reclassified to income/(loss) from discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, as discussed elsewhere herein.

#### (3) <u>Contingencies</u>

#### Litigation

The Company is a party to several lawsuits, claims and loss contingencies incidental to its business, including assertions by certain regulatory agencies related to air, wastewater, and storm water discharges from the Company s processing facilities.

#### Self Insured Risks

The Company purchases its workers compensation, auto and general liability insurance on a retrospective basis. The Company estimates and accrues its expected ultimate costs related to claims occurring during each fiscal year and carries this accrual as a reserve until such claims are paid by the Company.

As a result of the matters discussed above, the Company has established loss reserves for insurance, environmental and litigation matters. At October 1, 2005 and January 1, 2005, the reserves for insurance, environmental and litigation contingencies reflected on the balance sheet in accrued expenses and other non-current liabilities were approximately \$16.4 million and \$13.9 million, respectively. Management of the Company believes these reserves for contingencies are reasonable and sufficient based upon present governmental regulations and information currently available to management; however, there can be no assurance that final costs related to these matters will not exceed current estimates. The Company believes that any additional liability relative to such lawsuits and claims which may not be covered by insurance would not likely have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position, although it could potentially have a material impact on the results of operations in any one year.

#### (4) <u>Business Segments</u>

The Company operates on a worldwide basis within two industry segments: Rendering and Restaurant Services. The measure of segment profit or loss includes all revenues, operating expenses (excluding certain amortization of intangibles), and selling, general and administrative expenses incurred at all operating locations and excludes general corporate expenses. Beginning in Fiscal 2005, the Company increased the allocation of

ant operating expenses and both plant and corporate selling, general and administrative expense to the Restaurant Services segment, which has sulted in additional expense of \$0.1 million and \$1.5 million allocated to this segment during the three and nine months ended October 1, 2005.

During the third quarter of Fiscal 2004, the Company concluded a settlement with certain past insurers on certain policies of insurance issued primarily before 1972, whereby the Company received a cash payment of approximately \$2.8 million in return for an executed Settlement Agreement and Release in which the Company released the participating insurers from all actual and potential claims and liability under the subject insurance policies. The Company recorded receipt of the payment as a credit (recovery) of claims expense and previous insurance premiums included in cost of sales, within the Corporate segment.

Included in corporate activities are general corporate expenses and the amortization of intangibles. Assets of corporate activities include cash, unallocated prepaid expenses, deferred tax assets, prepaid pension, and miscellaneous other assets.

#### Rendering

Rendering consists of the collection and processing of animal by-products from butcher shops, grocery stores, food service industry and meat and poultry processors, converting these into products such as useable oils and proteins utilized by the agricultural and oleochemical industries.

#### Restaurant Services

Restaurant Services consists of the collection of used cooking oils from food service establishments and recycling them into similar products such as high-energy animal feed ingredients and industrial oils. Restaurant Services also provides grease trap servicing.

Business Segment Net Sales (in thousands):

	Th	ree Months E	nded			Ni	ne Months En	ded		
		October 1,		October 2,			October 1,		October 2,	
		2005		2004			2005		2004	
Rendering:										
Trade	\$	48,979		\$ 51,570		\$	146,548		\$ 159,353	
Intersegment		5,444		6,848			17,211		19,975	
		54,423		58,418			163,759		179,328	
Restaurant Servic	es:									
Trade		30,353		28,477			85,411		89,492	
Intersegment		3,005		2,901			7,728		8,838	
		33,358		31,378			93,139		98,330	
Eliminations		(8,449	)	(9,749	)		(24,939	)	(28,813	)
Total	\$	79,332		\$ 80,047		\$	231,959		\$ 248,845	

Business Segment Profit/(Loss) (in thousands):

	Thre	ee Months E	Inded	Į.			N	ine Months l	Ende	ed		
	Octo	ober 1,		Oct	ober 2,		Octob	er 1,		Octo	ober 2,	
	2003	5		200	4		2005			2004	4	
Rendering	\$	5,329		\$	7,844		\$	16,805		\$	24,933	
Restaurant Services		3,424			4,686			11,752			17,392	
Corporate		(5,298	)		(6,298	)		(18,271	)		(24,172	)
Interest expense		(1,534	)		(1,664	)		(4,707	)		(5,224	)
Net income from continuing												
operations	\$	1,921		\$	4,568		\$	5,579		\$	12,929	

Certain assets are not attributable to a single operating segment but instead relate to multiple operating segments operating out of individual locations. These assets are utilized by both the Rendering and Restaurant Services business segments and are identified in the category called Combined Rendering/Restaurant Services. Depreciation of Combined Rendering/Restaurant Services assets is allocated based upon management s estimate of the percentage of corresponding activity attributed to each segment.

Business Segment Assets (in thousands):

	Octo	ber 1,	Janua	ıry 1,
	2005		2005	
Rendering	\$	53,895	\$	46,995
Restaurant Services		16,514		15,355
Combined Rendering/Restaurant Services		58,394		63,894
Corporate		55,994		56,565
Total	\$	184,797	\$	182,809

#### (5) <u>Income Taxes</u>

The Company has provided income taxes for the three and nine month period ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004, based on its estimate of the effective tax rate for the entire 2005 and 2004 fiscal years.

In determining whether its deferred tax assets are more likely to be recoverable, than not recoverable, the Company assessed its ability to carryback net operating losses, scheduled reversals of future taxable and deductible amounts, tax planning strategies, and the extent of evidence currently available to support projections of future taxable income. The Company is unable to carryback any of its net operating losses and recent favorable operating results do not provide sufficient historical evidence at this time of sustained future profitability sufficient to result in taxable income against which certain net operating losses can be carried forward and utilized.

#### (6) Financing

#### (a) Refinancing Senior Credit Agreement

The Company entered into a new Senior Credit Agreement with new lenders on April 2, 2004, and entered into a new lender acknowledgement on May 28, 2004, that increased the committed amount as permitted by the Senior Credit Agreement. The refinancing replaces the prior Amended and Restated Credit Agreement executed on May 13, 2002. The new Senior Credit Agreement provides for \$75 million in financing facilities, has a term of five years, maturing on April 2, 2009, and bears interest at a rate which may be based upon either prime or LIBOR, or a combination of both rates plus a margin which may be adjusted quarterly based upon the Company s leverage ratios. The new Senior Credit Agreement provides for a \$25.0 million Term Loan Facility, with scheduled amortization of \$1.25 million each quarter during the five-year agreement. Additionally, the new Senior Credit Agreement provides for a \$50 million Revolver Facility which includes a \$25.0 million Letter of Credit sub-facility. At October 1, 2005, the interest rate for \$14.5 million of the term loan balance was based upon a three-month LIBOR rate of 3.92% per annum, plus a margin of 2.50% per annum for a total of 6.42% per annum, and the

remaining \$1.3 million balance of the term loan was based upon a prime rate of 6.75% per annum, plus a margin of 1.25% per annum for a total of 8.00% per annum.

The refinancing provides increased availability and liquidity, an extended term, lower interest rates, and more flexible capital investment limitations than the prior Amended and Restated Credit Agreement. Restrictive covenants in the Company s new Senior Credit Agreement permit the Company, within limitations defined in the new Senior Credit Agreement, to incur additional indebtedness; issue additional capital or preferred stock; pay dividends; redeem common shares as treasury stock; create liens; merge, consolidate, or acquire other businesses; sell and dispose of assets; and make investments; and requires the maintenance of certain minimum financial ratios.

On August 9, 2005, the Company entered into the First Amendment to the Senior Credit Agreement with its lenders to permit the Company to pay cash or in-kind dividends on its common stock, or redeem, repurchase or retire its common stock, in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$10 million during the 12-month period beginning August 9, 2005.

On April 2, 2004, proceeds of the new Term Loan Facility were used to pay off the outstanding balance of the prior Amended and Restated Credit Agreement of approximately \$18.0 million. Additional proceeds were used for other general corporate and working capital purposes. The terms of the new Senior Credit Agreement required the Company to redeem the Company's preferred stock during the second quarter of 2004. As such, the remaining proceeds and cash on hand were used to redeem the Company's preferred stock at face value of \$10.0 million plus accumulated preferred dividends of approximately \$1.2 million, for a total aggregate consideration of \$11.2 million. The preferred stock had a carrying value of approximately \$9.5 million at April 3, 2004. Consequently, redemption of the preferred stock and accumulated dividends resulted in a loss on extinguishment of the preferred stock of approximately \$1.7 million, which was included in other expense during the second quarter of 2004.

On May 13, 2002, the Company consummated a recapitalization and executed the prior Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with its lenders. The prior Amended and Restated Credit Agreement reflects the effect of applying the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 15, *Accounting by Debtors and Creditors for Troubled Debt Restructurings* (SFAS No. 15). SFAS No. 15 requires that the previously existing amount of debt owed by the Company to the lenders be reduced by the fair value of the equity interest granted and that no gain from restructuring the Company s bank debt be recognized. As a result, the carrying amount of the debt of \$20.6 million exceeded its contractual amount of \$18.3 million by \$2.3 million at January 3, 2004. The outstanding balance of the prior Amended and Restated Credit Agreement at April 2, 2004 of approximately \$20.1 million was reduced to zero through a payment of approximately \$18.0 million in cash proceeds from the new Senior Credit Agreement. The remaining balance related to the SFAS 15 effect of approximately \$2.1 million was recorded as a gain on early retirement of debt, included in other income in the operating statement, net of related deferred loan costs of approximately \$0.8 million, also extinguished upon payment of the related debt, which resulted in a net gain on early retirement of debt of approximately \$1.3 million recorded in the second quarter of Fiscal 2004.

#### (b) Senior Subordinated Notes

On December 31, 2003, the Company issued Senior Subordinated Notes in the principal amount of \$35,000,000 and applied the net proceeds of such issuance to reduce the outstanding term loan portion of its prior Amended and Restated Credit Agreement executed on May 13, 2002. The Senior Subordinated Notes have a term of six years, maturing on December 31, 2009. Beginning June 1,

2006, the Company may prepay the outstanding principal amount of the Senior Subordinated Notes in whole or in part, plus accrued and unpaid interest, plus a prepayment fee of 5.5%, which declines each year after this date. Interest accrues on the outstanding principal balance of the Senior Subordinated Notes at an annual rate of 12% that is payable quarterly in arrears. Restrictive covenants in the Senior Subordinated Notes permit the Company, within limitations defined in the Senior Subordinated Notes, to incur additional indebtedness and to pay cash dividends.

The Company s new Senior Credit Agreement and Senior Subordinated Notes consist of the following elements at October 1, 2005 and January 1, 2005, respectively (in thousands):

	Octob 2005	per 1,	Janua 2005	nry 1,
Senior Credit Agreement:				
Term Loan	\$	15,750	\$	19,500
Revolving Credit Facility:				
Maximum availability	\$	50,000	\$	50,000
Borrowings outstanding				
Letters of credit issued		14,872		13,700
Availability	\$	35,128	\$	36,300
Senior Subordinated Notes Payable:	\$	35,000	\$	35,000

Substantially all of the Company s assets are either pledged or mortgaged as collateral for borrowings under the new Senior Credit Agreement. The new Senior Credit Agreement contains certain terms and covenants which permit the incurrence of additional indebtedness, the payment of cash dividends, the retention of certain proceeds from sales of assets, and capital expenditures, within limitations defined by the new Senior Credit Agreement, and requires the maintenance of certain minimum financial ratios, including: minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, maximum leverage ratio, and minimum tangible net worth, each as defined in the new Senior Credit Agreement. The Company is currently in compliance with all of the covenants contained in the new Senior Credit Agreement. At October 1, 2005, the Company had unrestricted cash of \$36.7 million, compared to unrestricted cash of \$37.2 million at January 1, 2005.

#### (7) Derivative Instruments

The Company makes limited use of derivative instruments to manage cash flow risks related to natural gas expense. The Company does not use derivative instruments for trading purposes. Accordingly, the Company is not subject to the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS No. 133), because they qualify as normal purchases as defined in the standard.

#### (8) <u>Comprehensive Income</u>

The Company follows the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income* (SFAS 130). SFAS 130 establishes standards for reporting and presentation of comprehensive income or loss and its components. For the three months ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004, total comprehensive income was \$2.0 million and \$4.7 million, respectively. For the nine months ended October 1, 2005, and October 2, 2004, total comprehensive income was \$5.9 million and \$13.0 million, respectively.

### (9) Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue on sales when products are shipped and the customer takes ownership and assumes risk of loss. Collection fees are recognized in the month the service is provided.

#### (10) <u>Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations</u>

Assets held for sale consist of the following (in thousands):

	October 1,	January 1,
	2005	2005
Petaluma, CA	\$	\$ 497
Tyler, TX		183
Sunnyside, WA		133
London, Ontario Canada		24
Matamoros, PA		
	\$	\$ 837

Assets held for sale are carried at the lower of cost, less accumulated depreciation, or fair value. The assets are expected to be sold within the next twelve months and are classified as current assets. These assets were previously utilized in rendering operations. At a meeting held during the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company s board of directors approved a plan for the Company to dispose of its operation at London, Ontario, Canada. Results of operations of the London facility were previously included in results of the Company s rendering segment, and have been reclassified to income/(loss) from discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The Sunnyside, Washington sale was completed on April 8, 2005 and the Tyler, Texas sale was completed on May 6, 2005. During the quarter ended July 2, 2005 the Petaluma, CA property was removed as an asset held for sale and reclassified to property, plant and equipment. During the quarter ended October 1, 2005 the sale of the London, Ontario Canada property was completed. Revenue, costs and expenses, net of applicable taxes of the London location are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	October 1,	October 2,		October 1,	October 2,	
	2005	2004		2005	2004	
Net sales	\$	\$ 127		\$	\$ 562	
Cost of sales and operating expenses		88			370	
Selling, general and administrative expenses		23			118	
Depreciation and amortization		-			4	
Total costs and expenses		111			492	
Operating and pre-tax income, now classified	1					
as income from discontinued operations		16			70	
Other income/(expense)	52	3		68	(1	)
Income before income taxes	52	19		68	69	
Income tax benefit/(expense)	17	(5	)	13	(15	)
	\$ 69	\$ 14		\$ 81	\$ 54	

Income from discontinued operations, net of tax			
16			

#### (11) Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has retirement and pension plans covering substantially all of its employees. Most retirement benefits are provided by the Company under separate final-pay noncontributory pension plans for all salaried and hourly employees (excluding those covered by union-sponsored plans) who meet service and age requirements. Benefits are based principally on length of service and earnings patterns during the five years preceding retirement.

Net pension cost for the three and nine months ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004 includes the following components (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended				Nine Month	s Ended		
	October 1				October 1		October 2	
	2005		October 2 200	04	2005		2004	
Service cost	\$ 499		\$ 438		\$ 1,498		\$ 1,314	
Interest cost	1,051		997		3,154		2,989	
Expected return on plan assets	(1,094	)	(1,010 )		(3,284	)	(3,029	)
Amortization of prior service cost35			34		106		104	
Amortization of net loss	317		218		949		652	
Net pension cost	\$ 808		\$ 677		\$ 2,423		\$ 2,030	

#### Contributions

The Company s funding policy for employee benefit pension plans is to contribute annually not less than the minimum amount required nor more than the maximum amount that can be deducted for federal income tax purposes. Contributions are intended to provide not only for benefits attributed to service to date, but also for those expected to be earned in the future. During the nine months ended October 1, 2005, the Company has made contributions to the pension plans of \$5.9 million to meet funding requirements and Company targeted funding levels.

# Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The Company s actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth below under the heading Forward Looking Statements and elsewhere in this report, and under the heading Risk Factors in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2005, and in the Company s other public filings with the SEC.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

#### **Overview**

Darling International Inc. is a leading provider of rendering, recycling and recovery solutions to the nation s food industry. The Company collects and recycles animal by-products and used cooking oil from food service establishments and provides grease trap cleaning services to many of the same establishments. The Company processes such raw materials at 24 facilities located throughout the United States, into finished products such as protein (primarily meat and bone meal, MBM), tallow (primarily bleachable fancy tallow, BFT), and yellow grease (YG). The Company sells these products nationally and internationally, primarily to producers of oleo-chemicals, soaps, pet foods, and livestock feed, for use as ingredients in their products or for further processing. The Company s operations are organized into two segments: Rendering and Restaurant Services. The accompanying consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements contained in the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2005.

Major challenges faced by the Company during the third quarter of Fiscal 2005 included lower prices for all finished products and higher energy prices for natural gas and diesel fuel. There were no new government regulations pertaining to Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE) announced during the third quarter of 2005. This continued to contribute to an environment of uncertainty regarding the implementation of the anticipated BSE regulations. Export markets in some foreign countries for U.S.-produced finished beef products and other cattle by-products continued to be closed throughout the third quarter of 2005. The effects of these challenges during the third quarter and year-to-date Fiscal 2005 are summarized in the sections which follow.

Operating income decreased by \$5.2 million in the third quarter of Fiscal 2005 compared to the third quarter of Fiscal 2004. The challenges faced by the Company indicate there can be no assurance that operating results achieved by the Company in the third quarter of Fiscal 2005 are indicative of future operating performance of the Company.

#### Summary of Critical Issues Faced by the Company During the Third Quarter of 2005

The average price of the Company s finished products was lower during the third quarter of Fiscal 2005 compared to the same period in Fiscal 2004. Management believes that closure of foreign export markets to U.S.-produced beef products in 2004 continued to result in lower commodity prices at the Company s export locations. In years prior to 2004, the Company received a premium to domestic commodity finished goods prices in certain of its export locations. The ban on export markets of U.S.-produced beef products resulted in the loss of that price premium during Fiscal 2004, and this continued into the third quarter of 2005. The financial impact of finished goods prices on sales revenue and raw material cost is summarized below in

Results of Operations. Comparative sales price information from the Jacobsen index, an established trading exchange publisher used by management, is listed below in Summary of Key Indicators.

Higher energy prices for both natural gas and diesel fuel persisted in the third quarter of 2005. The Company attempts to manage natural gas price risk by entering into forward purchase agreements with all of its natural gas suppliers that permit such contracts, and through the use of fixed for float swap agreements, in order to purchase natural gas for future months when prices are relatively low. The Company also has the ability to burn alternate fuels at various plant locations when economically favorable to do so. The Company has limited diesel fuel storage capabilities at its plant locations and regional suppliers have not been willing to enter into forward purchase agreements on terms acceptable to the Company. The financial impact of higher natural gas and diesel fuel prices is summarized below in Results of Operations.

#### Summary of Critical Issues and Known Trends Faced by the Company in 2005 and Thereafter

Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE) related issues:

#### **Recent Developments**

On October 6, 2005, the FDA proposed to amend the agency s regulations to prohibit certain cattle origin materials in the food or feed of all animals (Proposed Rule). The materials that are proposed to be banned include: (1) the brain and spinal cord from cattle 30 months and older that are inspected and passed for human consumption, (2) the brain and spinal cord from cattle of any age not inspected and passed for human consumption and (3) the entire carcass of cattle not inspected and passed for human consumption if the brains and spinal cords have not been removed. In addition, the Proposed Rule provides that tallow containing more than 0.15% insoluble impurities also be banned from all animal food and feed if such tallow is derived from the proposed prohibited materials. Proposed rules are not regulations and are not enforceable. Such proposals are published to obtain public comment on actions that the agency is considering. The FDA provided 75 days for the public to comment on this Proposed Rule and will consider all comments received by December 20, 2005. Management is studying the Proposed Rule and may comment to the FDA on appropriate issues. Management will continue to monitor this and other regulatory issues.

Effective October 7, 2005, the FDA amended its July 14, 2004 interim final rule, which prohibited the use of specified risk materials (SRM) for human food and required that tallow intended for use in human food and cosmetics contain less than 0.15% impurities. The FDA amended its original interim final rule to remain consistent with rulemaking by the Food Safety Inspection Service (FSIS) of the USDA regarding SRM, which was also effective on October 7, 2005. In the amended final rule, the FDA permits the use of beef small intestine for human food, provided the last 80 inches (distal ileum) of small intestine is removed and the small intestine is derived from cattle that have been inspected and passed for human consumption. FDA also amended its list of acceptable methods for measuring insoluble impurity levels in tallow to include the methods commonly used by the tallow industry. The impurities limit does not apply to tallow used to make tallow-derivatives (fatty acids).

As of October 28, 2005, only one sample had tested positive for BSE out of more than 504,000 samples collected since June 1, 2004. Samples were collected from the highest risk cattle population, which includes non-ambulatory animals (downers), dead cattle and healthy cattle.

### **Background Information**

On December 23, 2003, a cow originally imported from Canada to the state of Washington was diagnosed to have BSE. The U.S. government subsequently banned downer animals and SRM from food and cosmetics. Cattle that are tested for BSE are also prohibited from entering the food or feed chains until the animals are verified to be negative for the disease. On January 26, 2004, the FDA announced intentions to modify its feed rule (21 CFR 589.2000). Subsequently, on July 14, 2004, the FDA and USDA jointly requested public comment on possible actions to further mitigate the risk of BSE. On September 30, 2004, the FDA published a guidance document indicating that, pursuant to Section 402(a)(5) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, animal feed and feed ingredients containing material from a BSE-positive animal will be considered adulterated and may not be fed to animals.

On June 24, 2005, a 12-year-old U.S.-born cow was diagnosed to have BSE. The animal was born more than four years before the FDA implemented the BSE Feed Rule (21 CFR 589.2000) in 1997. The cow was originally sampled at a pet food plant on November 18, 2004. Rapid screening tests for BSE were inconclusive and the carcass was incinerated so it did not enter the feed chain. Confirmation tests subsequently conducted by the USDA using immunohistochemistry (IHC) indicated the animal was negative for BSE. However, following an audit of the USDA s enhanced BSE surveillance program by the Inspector General for USDA, the sample was retested on June 18, 2005 for BSE using the Western Blot technique, another internationally accepted confirmation test. The sample was positive for BSE using the Western Blot test. Because of the conflicting results obtained from the two test methods, the sample was sent to The Veterinary Laboratories Agency in Weybridge, England, where on June 24, 2005 it was confirmed to be positive for BSE. During the subsequent USDA investigation, 67 animals from the index herd were sacrificed, tested and found to be negative for BSE. In addition, the FDA investigation found the infected animal was fed in compliance to the BSE Feed Rule and did not receive MBM of ruminant animal origin after 1997, when the rule banning such practices went into effect. The FDA concluded the animal was most likely exposed to BSE before the BSE Feed Rule was promulgated.

A few major beef packing companies have begun selling SRM-free MBM for a premium to specific customers, primarily pet food manufacturers.

As a result of the first BSE case, many foreign countries banned imports of U.S.-produced beef and beef products, including MBM. Tallow exports were briefly banned, but this initial ban was relaxed to permit imports of U.S.-produced tallow with less than 0.15% impurities. As of October 27, 2005, most minor foreign markets for U.S. beef had been reopened, including Thailand, which was the most recent market to reopen. However, Japan and South Korea, two major importers of U.S. beef prior to the first U.S. case of BSE, continue to ban U.S. beef. Except for Indonesia, export markets for MBM containing beef material produced in the U.S. have generally remained closed. Indonesia reopened its border to pork-free MBM in March 2005, but re-initiated its ban on U.S. MBM following the announcement that a second case of BSE had been found in the U.S.

In May 2003, the USDA closed the border to live cattle and beef from Canada after a cow with BSE was discovered there. Boneless beef derived from Canadian cattle under 30 months of age was later approved for importation into the U.S. Canadian officials found two additional cases of BSE in December 2004 and January 2005. On January 4, 2005, the USDA published a Final Rule establishing criteria for classifying geographic regions as presenting minimal-risk of introducing BSE into the U.S. This same rulemaking placed Canada into the minimal-risk

category based on an extensive investigation by USDA veterinarians. Based on this classification, USDA announced its intent to reopen the Canadian border to live cattle under 30 months of age and beef from such cattle beginning March 7, 2005. Imports of SRM-free beef from cattle over 30 months of age were also to be allowed, but this action was tabled by the Secretary of Agriculture on February 9, 2005 to allow time for further study. The Ranchers Cattlemen Action Legal Fund United Stock Growers of America (R-CALF) sued the USDA and, on March 2, 2005, was granted a temporary injunction by the U.S. District Court for the District of Montana, which prevented implementation of the Minimal Risk Rule and kept the border closed to Canadian cattle. On July 14, 2005, the U. S. Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals agreed with the USDA and overturned the lower court s temporary injunction, reopening the Canadian border to cattle less than 30 months of age. The first Canadian cattle were imported into the U.S. on July 18, 2005. The importation of SRM-free beef from Canadian cattle over 30 months of age continues to be banned.

#### Other Critical Issues and Challenges

Expenses related to compliance with requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 are expected to continue throughout 2005 and thereafter. The Company completed its documentation for Fiscal 2004, but the Company expects recurring costs of updating documentation and the testing and auditing of the system of internal controls, as required by the Act.

Energy prices for natural gas and diesel fuel are expected to remain high throughout 2005. The Company consumes significant volumes of natural gas to operate boilers in its plants to generate steam to heat raw material. High natural gas prices represent a significant cost of factory operation included in cost of sales. The Company also consumes significant volumes of diesel fuel to operate its fleet of tractors and trucks used to collect raw material. High diesel fuel prices represent a significant component of cost of collection expenses included in cost of sales. Though the Company will continue to manage these costs and attempt to minimize these expenses, prices remain relatively high in 2005 and represent an ongoing challenge to the Company s operating results for future periods.

Avian influenza ( Bird Flu ), a highly contagious disease that affects chickens and other poultry species, has recently spread throughout Asia to Europe at an unprecedented rate. Bird Flu is not a new disease, and while it does not currently exist in the U.S., it has occurred in this country twice within the past 25 years. The USDA has developed safeguards to protect the U.S. poultry industry from Bird Flu. Such safeguards are based on import restrictions, disease surveillance and a response plan for isolating and depopulating infected flocks if the disease is detected. Any significant outbreak of Bird Flu in the U.S. could have a negative impact on the Company's business by reducing demand for MBM.

These challenges indicate there can be no assurance that operating results of the Company achieved in the third quarter of Fiscal 2005 are indicative of future operating performance of the Company.

#### **Results of Operations**

Three Months Ended October 1, 2005 Compared to Three Months Ended October 2, 2004

#### **Summary of Key Factors Impacting Third Quarter 2005 Results:**

Principal factors which contributed to a \$5.2 million (55.3%) decrease in operating income, which are discussed in greater detail in the following section, were:

Lower finished product prices for MBM, BFT and YG,

Higher natural gas and diesel fuel expenses, and

Prior year insurance settlement with certain of the Company s past insurers.

These decreases were partially offset by:

Improved recovery of collection expenses, and

Operating cost improvements.

#### **Summary of Key Indicators of 2005 Performance:**

Principal indicators which management routinely monitors and compares to previous periods as an indicator of problems or improvements in operating results include:

Finished product commodity prices (quoted on the Jacobsen index),

Raw material volume,

Production volume and related yield of finished product, and

Collection fees and collection operating expense.

These indicators and their importance are discussed below in greater detail.

Prices for finished product commodities that the Company produces are quoted each business day on the Jacobsen index, an established trading exchange price publisher. These finished products are MBM, BFT, and YG. The prices quoted are for delivery of the finished product at a specified location. These prices are relevant because they provide an indication of a component of revenue and achievement of business plan benchmarks on a daily basis. The Company s actual sales prices for its finished products may vary from the Jacobsen index because the Company s finished products are delivered to multiple locations in different geographic locations which utilize different price indexes. Average Jacobsen prices (at the specified delivery point) for the third quarter of Fiscal 2005 compared to average Jacobsen prices for the third quarter of Fiscal 2004 follow:

Avg. Price	Avg. Price		
3rd Quarter	3rd Quarter		%
2005	2004	Decrease	Decrease
\$ 165.86/ton	\$ 202.97/ton	(\$ 37.11/ton)	(18.3%)
\$ 15.92/cwt	\$ 17.83/cwt	(\$ 1.91/cwt)	(10.7%)
\$ 13.07/cwt	\$ 15.55/cwt	(\$ 2.48/cwt)	(15.9%)
	3rd Quarter 2005 \$ 165.86/ton \$ 15.92/cwt	3rd Quarter       3rd Quarter         2005       2004         \$ 165.86/ton       \$ 202.97/ton         \$ 15.92/cwt       \$ 17.83/cwt	3rd Quarter       3rd Quarter         2005       2004       Decrease         \$ 165.86/ton       \$ 202.97/ton       (\$ 37.11/ton)         \$ 15.92/cwt       \$ 17.83/cwt       (\$ 1.91/cwt)

			ich was partially offset by a ial cost, based upon the pric
•			
22			

Raw material volume represents the quantity (pounds) of raw material collected from suppliers, including beef, pork, poultry, and used cooking oils. Raw material volumes provide an indication of future production of finished products available for sale and are a component of potential future revenue.

Finished product production volumes are the end result of the Company s production processes, and directly impact goods available for sale, and thus become an important component of sales revenue. Yield on production is a ratio of production volume (pounds) divided by raw material volume (pounds), and provides an indication of effectiveness of the Company s production process. Factors impacting yield on production include quality of raw material and warm weather during summer months, which rapidly degrades raw material.

The Company charges collection fees which are included in net sales in order to offset a portion of the expense incurred in collecting raw material. Each month the Company monitors both the collection fee charged suppliers, which is included in net sales, and collection expense, which is included in cost of sales. The monitoring of collection fees and collection expense provides an indication of achievement of the Company s business plan.

*Net Sales*. The Company collects and processes animal by-products (fat, bones and offal) and used restaurant cooking oil to produce finished products of MBM, BFT and YG. Sales are significantly affected by finished goods prices, quality and mix of raw material and volume of raw material. Net sales include the sales of produced finished goods, collection fees, grease trap services and finished goods purchased for resale.

During the third quarter of Fiscal 2005, net sales decreased by \$0.7 million (0.9%) to \$79.3 million as compared to \$80.0 million during the third quarter of Fiscal 2004. The decrease in net sales was primarily due to the following (in millions of dollars):

				Restaurant				
	Rendering			Services		Corporate	Total	
Lower finished goods prices	\$ (3.2	)	\$ (0.6		)	\$	\$ (3.8	)
Lower yields on production	(0.7	)	0.1				(0.6	)
Other sales increases/(decreases)	(0.1	)					(0.1	)
Purchase of finished product for resale	1.9		0.2				2.1	
Improved recovery of collection expense	0.4		1.1				1.5	
Higher raw material volume	0.4		(0.2)		)		0.2	
Product transfers	(1.3	)	1.3					
	\$ (2.6	)	\$ 1.9			\$	\$ (0.7	)

Cost of Sales and Operating Expenses. Cost of sales and operating expenses include cost of raw material, the cost of product purchased for resale, and the cost to collect, which includes diesel fuel and processing costs including natural gas. The Company utilizes both fixed and formula pricing methods for the purchase of raw materials. Fixed prices are adjusted where possible for changes in competition and significant changes in finished goods market conditions, while raw materials purchased under formula prices are correlated with specific finished goods prices. Energy costs, particularly diesel fuel and natural gas, are significant components of the Company s cost structure. The Company has the ability to burn alternative fuels at the plants to help manage the Company s price exposure to volatile energy markets.

During the third quarter of Fiscal 2005, cost of sales and operating expenses increased \$5.3 million (9.2%) to \$63.2 million as compared to \$57.9 million during the third quarter of Fiscal 2004. The increase in cost of sales and operating expenses was primarily due to the following (in millions of dollars):

		Restaurant		
	Rendering	Services	Corporate	Total
Prior year insurance settlement with				
certain of the Company s past insurers	\$	\$	\$ 2.8	\$ 2.8
Higher energy costs, primarily natural gas	1.8	0.4		2.2
Purchases of finished product for resale	1.9	0.2		2.1
Other expenses		0.7		0.7
Higher raw material volume	0.1			0.1
Lower raw material prices	(2.8	0.2		(2.6)
Product transfers	(1.3	) 1.3		
	\$ (0.3	) \$ 2.8	\$ 2.8	\$ 5.3

*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.* Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$8.1 million during the third quarter of Fiscal 2005, a \$1.1 million decrease (11.9%) from \$9.2 million during the third quarter of Fiscal 2004, primarily due to the following (in millions of dollars):

		Restaurant				
	Rendering	Services	Corporate		Total	
Lower payroll and related benefits	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1	\$ (1.0	)	\$ (0.8	)
Lower audit fee expense related to						
Sarbanes-Oxley Act compliance			(0.2	)	(0.2	)
Other expense decreases	0.1		(0.2	)	(0.1	)
	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.1	\$ (1.4	)	\$ (1.1	)

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization charges were \$3.8 million during the third quarter of Fiscal 2005, an increase of \$0.2 million from \$3.6 million during the third quarter of Fiscal 2004.

*Interest Expense.* Interest expense was \$1.5 million during the third quarter of Fiscal 2005 compared to \$1.7 million during the third quarter of Fiscal 2004, a decrease of \$0.2 million, primarily due to the following (in millions of dollars):

		Restaurant				
	Rendering	Services	Corporate		Total	
Capitalized interest	\$	\$	\$ (0.1	)	\$ (0.1	)
Other decreases			(0.1	)	(0.1	)
	\$	\$	\$ (0.2	)	\$ (0.2	)

*Other Income/Expense*. Other income was \$0.2 million in the third quarter of Fiscal 2005, a increase of \$0.3 million as compared to other income/(expense) of \$(0.1) million in the third quarter of Fiscal 2004. The increase in other income in the third quarter of Fiscal 2005 is primarily due to the following (in millions of dollars):

		Restaurant		
	Rendering	Services	Corporate	Total
Increase in gain on disposal of assets	\$	\$	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1
Increase in interest income			0.2	0.2
	\$	\$	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3

Interest income increased by \$0.2 million in the third quarter of 2005 as a result of the investment of the cash on the balance sheet, and the increase in gain on disposal of assets in the third quarter of Fiscal 2005 was due to a gain on the sale of property and equipment of approximately \$0.1 million.

*Income Taxes.* The Company recorded income tax expense of \$1.0 million for the third quarter of Fiscal 2005, compared to income tax expense of \$3.1 million recorded in the third quarter of Fiscal 2004, a decrease of \$2.1 million (69.0%), primarily due to the decreased pre-tax earnings of the Company in Fiscal 2005.

Discontinued Operations. The Company recorded a profit from discontinued operations, net of applicable taxes, related to closure and sale of certain assets of the Company s London, Ontario, Canadian subsidiary in the third quarter of Fiscal 2005, compared to income from discontinued operations in the third quarter of Fiscal 2004.

Nine Months Ended October 1, 2005 Compared to Nine Months Ended October 2, 2004

#### **Summary of Key Factors Impacting the First Nine Months of Fiscal 2005 Results:**

Principal factors which contributed to a \$14.7 million (54.3%) decrease in operating income, which are discussed in greater detail in the following section, were:

Lower finished product sales prices,

Lower raw material volume,

Higher natural gas and diesel fuel expense, and

Prior year insurance settlement with certain of the Company s past insurers.

These decreases were partially offset by:

Lower raw material prices, and

Improved recovery of collection expense.

#### **Summary of Key Indicators of 2005 Performance:**

Principal indicators which management routinely monitors and compares to previous periods as an indicator of problems or improvements in operating results include:

Finished product commodity prices (quoted on the Jacobsen index),

Raw material volume,

Production volume and related yield of finished product, and

Collection fees and collection operating expense.

These indicators and their importance are discussed below in greater detail.

Prices for finished product commodities that the Company produces are quoted each business day on the Jacobsen index, an established trading exchange price publisher. These finished products are MBM, BFT, and YG. The prices quoted are for delivery of the finished product at a specified location. These prices are relevant because they provide an indication of a component of revenue and achievement of business plan benchmarks on a daily basis. The Company s actual sales prices for its finished products may vary from the Jacobsen prices because the Company s finished products are delivered to multiple locations. Average Jacobsen prices (at the specified delivery point) for the first nine months of Fiscal 2005 compared to average Jacobsen prices for the first nine months of Fiscal 2004 follow:

	Avg. Price	Avg. Price		
	Nine Months	Nine Months		%
	2005	2004	Decrease	Decrease
MBM (Illinois)	\$ 174.02/ton	\$ 205.76 /ton	(\$ 31.74/ton)	(15.4%)
BFT (Chicago)	\$ 17.51/cwt	\$ 18.72/cwt	(\$ 1.21/cwt)	(6.5%)
YG (Illinois)	\$ 14.31/cwt	\$ 15.68/cwt	(\$ 1.37/cwt)	(8.7%)

The decrease in average price of the finished products the Company sells had an unfavorable impact on revenue which was partially offset by a positive impact to the Company some raw material cost, due to formula pricing arrangements which compute raw material cost, based upon the price of finished product.

Raw material volume represents the quantity (pounds) of raw material collected from suppliers, including beef, pork, poultry, and used cooking oils. Raw material volumes provide an indication of future production of finished products available for sale and are a component of potential future revenue.

Finished product production volumes are the end result of the Company s production processes, and directly impact goods available for sale, and thus become an important component of sales revenue. Yield on production is a ratio of production volume (pounds) divided by raw material volume (pounds), and provides an indication of effectiveness of the Company s production process. Factors impacting yield on production include quality of raw material and warm weather during summer months, which rapidly degrades raw material.

The Company charges collection fees which are included in net sales in order to offset a portion of the expense incurred in collecting raw material. Each month the Company monitors both the collection fee charged to suppliers, which is included in net sales, and collection expense, which is included in cost of sales. The monitoring of collection fees and collection expense provides an indication of achievement of the Company s business plan.

Net Sales. The Company collects and processes animal by-products (fat, bones and offal), and used restaurant cooking oil to produce finished products of MBM, BFT and YG. Sales are significantly affected by finished goods prices, quality of raw material, and volume of raw material.

During the first nine months of Fiscal 2005, net sales decreased by \$16.9 million (6.8%) to \$231.9 million as compared to \$248.8 million during the first nine months of Fiscal 2004. The decrease in net sales was primarily due to the following (in millions of dollars):

				Restaurant				
	Rendering			Services		Corporate	Total	
Lower finished goods prices	\$ (10.6	)	\$ (4.6		)	\$	\$ (15.2	)
Lower raw material volume	(4.3	)	(1.2		)		(5.5	)
Lower yields on production	(3.1	)	0.2				(2.9	)
Other sales decreases	(0.2	)					(0.2	)
Purchase of finished product for resale	4.1		(1.7		)		2.4	
Improved recovery of collection expense	1.7		2.8				4.5	
Product transfers	(0.4	)	0.4					
	\$ (12.8	)	\$ (4.1		)	\$	\$ (16.9	)

Cost of Sales and Operating Expenses. resale, and the cost to collect, which inc	Cost of sales and operating expenses include cost of raw material, the cost of product purchased for cludes diesel fuel and
26	

processing costs including natural gas. The Company utilizes both fixed and formula pricing methods for the purchase of raw materials. Fixed prices are adjusted where possible for changes in competition and significant changes in finished goods market conditions, while raw materials purchased under formula prices are correlated with specific finished goods prices. Energy costs, particularly diesel fuel and natural gas, are significant components of the Company s cost structure. The Company has the ability to burn alternative fuels at the plants to help manage the Company s price exposure to volatile energy markets.

During the first nine months of Fiscal 2005, cost of sales and operating expenses decreased \$1.1 million (0.6%) to \$182.0 million as compared to \$183.1 million during the first nine months of Fiscal 2004. The decrease in cost of sales and operating expenses was primarily due to the following (in millions of dollars):

			Restaurant				
	Rendering	Rendering Se		Corporate		Total	
Lower raw material prices	\$ (9.9	)	\$ (1.6	)	\$	\$ (11.5	)
Lower raw material volume	(1.3	)	(0.2	)		(1.5	)
Payroll and related benefits	(1.0	)	1.1			0.1	
Purchases of finished product for resale	4.1		(1.7	)		2.4	
Higher energy costs, primarily natural gas	3.3		1.3			4.6	
Prior year insurance settlement with							
certain of the Company s past insurers					2.8	2.8	
Other expenses	0.3		1.7			2.0	
Product transfers	(0.4	)	0.4				
	\$ (4.9	)	\$ 1.0		\$ 2.8	\$ (1.1	)

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$26.2 million during the first nine months of Fiscal 2005, a \$1.1 million decrease (4.2%) from \$27.3 million during the first nine months of Fiscal 2004, primarily due to the following (in millions of dollars):

		Restaurant				
	Rendering	Services	Corporate		Total	
Lower payroll and related benefits	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.4	\$ (1.3	)	\$ (0.8	)
Lower audit fee expenses related to						
Sarbanes-Oxley Act compliance			(0.1	)	(0.1	)
Other expense decreases	0.1	0.1	(0.4	)	(0.2	)
	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.5	\$ (1.8	)	\$ (1.1	)

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization charges increased \$0.1 million (0.8%) to \$11.4 million during the first nine months of Fiscal 2005 as compared to \$11.3 million during the first nine months of Fiscal 2004.

*Interest Expense*. Interest expense was \$4.7 million during the first nine months of Fiscal 2005 compared to \$5.2 million during the first nine months of Fiscal 2004, a decrease of \$0.5 million, primarily due to reduced preferred stock dividends and accretion in interest expense charged in the first nine months of Fiscal 2004 to interest expense as a result of the adoption of SFAS 150 in the third quarter of Fiscal 2003. The decrease in interest expense was primarily due to the following (in millions of dollars):

		Restaurant				
	Rendering	Services	Corporate		Total	
Decrease in preferred stock dividends	\$	\$	\$ (0.4	)	\$ (0.4	)

and accretion						
Capitalized interest			(0.1	)	(0.1	)
	•	•	\$ (0.5	)	\$ (0.5	)

Other Income/Expense. Other income was \$0.9 million in the first nine months of Fiscal 2005, a \$1.3 million increase in income from other expense of \$0.4 million in first nine months of Fiscal 2004. The increase in other income in the first nine months of Fiscal 2005 is primarily due to the following (in millions of dollars):

		Restaurant		
	Rendering	Services	Corporate	Total
Decrease in loss on early redemption of				
preferred stock	\$	\$	\$ 1.7	\$ 1.7
Decrease in gain on extinguishment of				
bank debt			(1.3	) (1.3
Increase in gain on disposal of assets			0.2	0.2
Increase in interest income			0.5	0.5
Decrease in other expense			0.2	0.2
	\$	\$	\$ 1.3	\$ 1.3

Interest income increased by \$0.5 million in the first nine months of Fiscal 2005 as a result of the investment of the cash on the balance sheet. Also included in other income in the first nine months of 2005 is the gain on the sale of property and equipment of approximately \$0.4 million, which included the sale of the Company s properties in Tyler, Texas and Sunnyside, Washington.

Included in other income in the first nine months of Fiscal 2004 was a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$1.3 million, which resulted from retirement of debt with a carrying value of \$20.1 million with a cash payment of \$18.0 million, due to SFAS 15 accounting, net of related deferred loan costs of \$0.8 million, also extinguished upon payment of debt. Also included in other income in the first nine months of Fiscal 2004 was a gain on the sale of property and equipment of approximately \$0.3 million, which included the sale of the Company s land in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

In the first nine months of Fiscal 2004, the Company s Senior Credit Agreement required early redemption of the Company s preferred stock outstanding at its face value of \$10.0 million and accumulated dividends of approximately \$1.2 million, or total aggregate consideration of \$11.2 million. The Company s preferred stock had a carrying value of approximately \$9.5 million at April 3, 2004. Subsequent to April 3, 2004, the Company incurred a loss of approximately \$1.7 million which was included in other expense in the first six months of Fiscal 2004 on the early redemption of the preferred stock.

*Income Taxes.* The Company recorded income tax expense of \$3.0 million for the first nine months of Fiscal 2005, compared to income tax expense of \$8.6 million recorded in the first nine months of Fiscal 2004, a decrease of \$5.6 million (65.0%), primarily due to the increased pre-tax earnings of the Company in Fiscal 2004 and the loss on early redemption of preferred stock of \$1.7 million which is non-deductible for tax purposes, but is treated as a return of equity to preferred shareholders, creating an incremental tax effect of approximately \$0.6 million. The estimated combined effective annual tax rate for state and Federal income taxes for Fiscal 2005 is approximately 35.0% and for Fiscal 2004 is approximately 39.9%.

Discontinued Operations. The Company recorded a profit from discontinued operations, net of applicable taxes, related to closure and sale of certain assets of the Company s London, Ontario, Canadian subsidiary in the first nine months of Fiscal 2005, compared to income from discontinued operations in the first nine months of Fiscal 2004.

#### FINANCING, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

On April 2, 2004, the Company entered into a new Senior Credit Agreement with new lenders, and entered into a new lender acknowledgement on May 28, 2004, that increased the committed amount as permitted by the Senior Credit Agreement. This refinancing replaces the prior Amended and Restated Credit Agreement executed on May 13, 2002, which is summarized below. The principal components of the refinancing consist of the following.

The new Senior Credit Agreement provides for a total of \$75.0 million in financing facilities, consisting of a \$25.0 million Term Loan Facility and a \$50.0 million Revolver Facility, which includes a \$25.0 million Letter of Credit subfacility. The Revolver Facility may vary based on EBITDA, as defined in the new Senior Credit Agreement.

The new Senior Credit Agreement has a term of five years and matures on April 2, 2009.

The new Senior Credit Agreement provides for scheduled amortization payments on the Term Loan Facility of \$1.25 million, due each quarter during the five-year term of the agreement.

The new Senior Credit Agreement bears interest at a rate which may be based upon either prime or LIBOR or a combination of both rates, plus a margin which may be adjusted quarterly based upon the leverage ratio of the Company, as defined by the new Senior Credit Agreement.

The refinancing provides increased availability and liquidity, an extended term, lower interest rates, lower banking fees, and more flexible capital investment limitations than the prior Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated May 13, 2002.

On April 2, 2004, proceeds of the new Term Loan Facility were used to pay off the outstanding balance of the prior Amended and Restated Credit Agreement of approximately \$18.0 million. The remaining proceeds and cash on hand were used to redeem the Company s preferred stock during the second quarter of Fiscal 2004 at face value of \$10.0 million and accumulated preferred dividends of approximately \$1.2 million, and for other general corporate and working capital purposes.

Restrictive covenants in the Company s new Senior Credit Agreement permit the Company, within limitations defined in the new Senior Credit Agreement, to incur additional indebtedness; issue additional capital or preferred stock; pay dividends; redeem common shares as treasury stock; create liens; merge, consolidate, or acquire other businesses; sell and dispose of assets; make investments; and require the maintenance of certain minimum financial ratios.

On August 9, 2005, the Company entered into the First Amendment to the Senior Credit Agreement with its lenders to permit the Company to pay cash or in-kind dividends on its common stock, or redeem, repurchase or retire its common stock, in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$10 million during the 12-month period beginning August 9, 2005.

On December 31, 2003, the Company issued Senior Subordinated Notes in the amount of \$35.0 million and applied the net proceeds to the reduction of the outstanding term loan of its then outstanding prior Amended and Restated Credit Agreement executed on May 13, 2002. The Senior Subordinated Notes have a term of six years, maturing on December 31, 2009. Beginning June 1, 2006, the Company may prepay the outstanding principal amount of the Senior Subordinated Notes in whole or in part, plus accrued and unpaid interest, plus a prepayment fee of 5.5%, which declines each year after this date. Interest accrues on the outstanding principal balance of the Senior Subordinated Notes at an annual rate of 12%, payable quarterly in arrears. Restrictive covenants in the Senior Subordinated Notes permit the Company,

within limitations defined in the Senior Subordinated Notes, to incur additional indebtedness and to pay cash dividends.

The Company s new Senior Credit Agreement and Senior Subordinated Notes consist of the following elements at October 1, 2005 (in thousands):

Senior Credit Agreement:	
Term Loan	\$ 15,750
Revolving Credit Facility:	
Maximum availability	\$ 50,000
Borrowings outstanding	
Letters of credit issued	14,872
Availability	\$ 35,128
Senior Subordinated Notes Payable:	\$ 35,000

Substantially all of the Company s assets are either pledged or mortgaged as collateral for borrowings under the new Senior Credit Agreement. The new Senior Credit Agreement contains certain terms and covenants, which permit the incurrence of additional indebtedness, the payment of cash dividends, the retention of certain proceeds from sales of assets, and capital expenditures, within limitations defined by the new Senior Credit Agreement, and requires the maintenance of certain minimum financial ratios, including: minimum fixed charge coverage ratio; maximum leverage ratio, and minimum tangible net worth ratio, each as defined in the new Senior Credit Agreement. The Company is currently in compliance with all of the covenants contained in the new Senior Credit Agreement.

The classification of long-term debt in the accompanying October 1, 2005 consolidated balance sheet is based on the contractual repayment terms of the debt issued under the Senior Subordinated Notes and the new Senior Credit Agreement.

On October 1, 2005, the Company had working capital of \$35.4 million and its working capital ratio was 1.79 to 1 compared to working capital of \$39.6 million and a working capital ratio of 1.96 to 1 on January 1, 2005. At October 1, 2005, the Company had unrestricted cash of \$36.7 million and funds available under the revolving credit facility of \$35.1 million, compared to unrestricted cash of \$37.2 million and funds available under the revolving credit facility of \$36.3 million at January 1, 2005.

Net cash provided by continuing operating activities was \$16.7 million and \$33.7 million for the nine months ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004, respectively, a decrease of \$17.0 million, primarily due to lower income from continuing operations of \$7.4 million, pension contributions of \$4.8 million, non-cash expense items primarily related to deferred taxes of \$1.4 million, and changes in operating assets and liabilities, which includes inventory of \$1.5 million. Cash used by investing activities was \$13.5 million for the first nine months of Fiscal 2005, compared to \$7.2 million for the first nine months of Fiscal 2004, an increase of \$6.2 million, primarily due to higher capital investment in the first nine months of 2005. Net cash used by financing activities was \$3.8 million for the nine months ended October 1, 2005, compared to \$10.7 million for the nine months ended October 2, 2004, a decrease of cash used of \$6.9 million, principally due to the redemption of the preferred stock in 2004 as part of the new Senior Credit Agreement.

The Company made capital expenditures of \$14.5 million during the first nine months of Fiscal 2005, compared to capital expenditures of \$7.7 million in the first nine months of Fiscal 2004, for a net increase of \$6.8 million primarily due to major projects at the Fresno, California and Wahoo, Nebraska

facilities that were identified over normal maintenance and compliance capital expenditures. Capital expenditures related to compliance with environmental regulations were \$1.4 million and \$0.9 million for the nine months ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004, respectively.

Based upon the underlying terms of the new Senior Credit Agreement, approximately \$5.0 million in current debt, which is included in current liabilities on the Company s balance sheet at October 1, 2005, will be due during the next twelve months, consisting of scheduled installment payments of \$1.25 million due each quarter.

Based upon the annual actuarial estimate, current accruals, and claims paid during the first nine months of Fiscal 2005, the Company has accrued approximately \$6.7 million it expects will become due during the next twelve months in order to meet obligations related to the Company s self insurance reserves and accrued insurance which are included in current accrued expenses at October 1, 2005. The self insurance reserve is composed of estimated liability for claims arising for workers compensation and for auto liability and general liability claims. The self insurance reserve liability is determined annually, based upon a third party actuarial estimate. The actuarial estimate may vary from year to year, due to changes in cost of health care, the pending number of claims, or other factors beyond the control of management of the Company. No assurance can be given that the Company s funding obligations under its self insurance reserve will not increase in the future.

Based upon current actuarial estimates, the Company expects to make no additional payments as of October 1, 2005 in order to meet minimum pension funding requirements during the next twelve months. The minimum pension funding requirements are determined annually, based upon a third party actuarial estimate. The actuarial estimate may vary from year to year, due to fluctuations in return on investments or other factors beyond the control of management of the Company or the administrator of the Company s pension funds. No assurance can be given that the minimum pension funding requirements will not increase in the future.

The Company s management believes that cash flows from operating activities at the current level in Fiscal 2005, unrestricted cash, and funds available under the new Senior Credit Agreement should be sufficient to meet the Company s working capital needs and capital expenditures for at least the next twelve months. The impact on cash flows from operations in Fiscal 2005 of the occurrence of BSE in the United States or elsewhere, lower raw material volumes available to the Company due to weak margins in the meat processing industry, regulations by government agencies, the impact on export markets, and the impact on market prices for the Company s finished products is not known at this time. These factors, coupled with high prices for natural gas and diesel fuel, among others, could either positively or negatively impact the Company s results of operations in 2005 and thereafter. The Company cannot provide assurance that the cash flows from operating activities generated in the first nine months of Fiscal 2005 are indicative of the future cash flows from operating activities which will be generated by the Company s operations. The Company reviews the appropriate use of unrestricted cash periodically. Although no decision has been made as to non-ordinary course cash usages at this time, potential usages could include opportunistic capital expenditures or investments in response to governmental regulations relating to BSE, and/or acquisitions, as well as suitable cash conservation to withstand adverse commodity cycles.

The current economic environment in the Company s markets has the potential to adversely impact its liquidity in a variety of ways, including through reduced sales, potential inventory buildup, or higher operating costs.

The principal products that the Company sells are commodities, the prices of which are quoted on established commodity markets and are subject to volatile changes. Any decline in these prices has the

potential to adversely impact the Company s liquidity. A disruption in international sales, a decline in commodities prices, or a rise in energy prices resulting from the recent war with Iraq and the subsequent political instability and uncertainty, has the potential to adversely impact the Company s liquidity. There can be no assurance that a decline in commodities prices, a rise in energy prices, a slowdown in the U.S. or international economy, or other factors, including political instability in the Middle East or elsewhere, and the macroeconomic effects of those events, will not cause the Company to fail to meet management s expectations, or otherwise result in liquidity concerns.

#### OFF BALANCE SHEET OBLIGATIONS

Based upon the underlying purchase agreements, the Company has commitments to purchase \$2.1 million of finished products and natural gas during the next twelve months, which are not included in liabilities on the Company s balance sheet at October 1, 2005. These purchase agreements are entered into in the normal course of the Company s business and are not subject to derivative accounting. The commitments will be recorded on the balance sheet of the Company when delivery of these commodities occurs and ownership passes to the Company during Fiscal 2005, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Based upon the underlying lease agreements, the Company expects to pay approximately \$6.5 million in operating lease obligations during the next twelve months which are not included in liabilities on the Company s balance sheet at October 1, 2005. These lease obligations are included in cost of sales or selling, general, and administrative expense as the underlying lease obligation comes due, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company follows certain significant accounting policies when preparing its consolidated financial statements. A complete summary of these policies is included in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

Certain of the policies require management to make significant and subjective estimates or assumptions which may deviate from actual results. In particular, management makes estimates regarding estimates of bad debt expense, valuation of inventories, estimates of useful life of long-lived assets related to depreciation and amortization expense, estimates regarding fair value of the Company s reporting units and future cash flows with respect to assessing potential impairment of both long-lived assets and goodwill, estimates of liability with respect to medical insurance liability, self-insurance, environmental, and litigation reserves, pension liability, estimates of income tax expense, and estimates of pro-forma expense related to stock options granted. Each of these estimates is discussed in greater detail in the following discussion.

### Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

In accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, the Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from customers non-payment of trade accounts receivable owed to the Company. These trade receivables arise in the ordinary course of business from sales of raw material, finished product or services to the Company s customers. The estimate of allowance for doubtful accounts is based upon the Company s bad debt experience, prevailing market conditions, aging of trade accounts receivable, and interest rates, among other factors. If the financial condition of the Company s customers deteriorates, resulting in the customer s inability to pay the Company s receivable as it comes due, additional allowance for doubtful accounts may be required.

Accounts receivable was approximately \$24.3 million and \$26.7 million, and the allowance for doubtful accounts was approximately \$0.5 million and \$0.8 million, at October 1, 2005 and January 1, 2005, respectively.

#### **Inventories**

The Company s inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Finished product manufacturing cost is calculated using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method, based upon the Company s raw material costs, collection and factory production operating expenses, and depreciation expense on collection and factory assets. Market values of inventory are estimated at each plant location, based upon either the backlog of unfilled sales orders at the balance sheet date, or for unsold inventory, upon regional finished product prices quoted in the Jacobsen index at the balance sheet date. Estimates of market value, based upon the backlog of unfilled sales orders or upon the Jacobsen index, assume that the inventory held by the Company at the balance sheet date will be sold at the estimated market finished product sales price, subsequent to the balance sheet date. Actual sales prices received on future sales of inventory held at the end of a period may vary from either the backlog unfilled sales order price or the Jacobsen index quotation at the balance sheet date. Such variances could cause actual sales prices realized on future sales of inventory to be different than the estimate of market value of inventory at the end of the period. Inventories were approximately \$7.7 million and \$6.0 million at October 1, 2005 and January 1, 2005, respectively.

#### Long-Lived Assets Depreciation and Amortization Expense and Valuation

The Company s property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost when acquired. Depreciation expense is computed on property, plant and equipment based upon a straight line method over the estimated useful life of the assets, which is based upon a standard classification of the asset group. Buildings and improvements are depreciated over a useful life of 15 to 30 years, machinery and equipment are depreciated over a useful life of 3 to 10 years, and vehicles are depreciated over a life of 2 to 6 years. These useful life estimates have been developed based upon the Company s historical experience of asset life utility, and whether the asset is new or used when placed in service. The actual life and utility of the asset may vary from this estimated life. Useful lives of the assets may be modified from time to time when the future utility or life of the asset is deemed to change from that originally estimated when the asset was placed in service. Depreciation expense was approximately \$8.5 million and \$8.4 million, for the nine months ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004, respectively.

The Company s intangible assets, including routes, raw material supply agreements and non-compete agreements are recorded at fair value when acquired. Amortization expense is computed on these intangible assets based upon a straight line method over the estimated useful life of the assets, which is based upon a standard classification of the asset group. Collection routes are amortized over a useful life of 8 to 15 years; raw material supply agreements and non-compete agreements are amortized over a useful life of 3 to 10 years. The actual economic life and utility of the asset may vary from this estimated life. Useful lives of the assets may be modified from time to time when the future utility or life of the asset is deemed to change from that originally estimated when the asset was placed in service. Amortization expense was approximately \$2.9 million for the nine months ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004.

The Company reviews the carrying value of long lived assets on a regular basis, including at the end of each fiscal year, for indications of impairment. Impairment is indicated whenever the carrying value of the asset is not recoverable or exceeds estimated fair value. For purposes of calculating impairment on long lived operating assets, the Company has defined its reporting units to be each of the Company s plant locations. The Company estimates fair value of its long lived assets at each plant based

upon future undiscounted net cash flows from use of those assets. In calculating such estimates, actual historical operating results and anticipated future economic factors, such as future business volume, future finished product prices, and future operating costs and expense are evaluated and estimated as a component of the calculation of future undiscounted cash flows for each operating plant location. The estimates of fair value of the reporting units and of future undiscounted net cash flows from operation of these assets could change if actual volumes, prices, costs or expenses vary from these estimates. A future reduction of earnings in the Company s plants could result in an impairment charge because the estimate of fair value would be negatively impacted by a reduction of earnings.

The Company reviews the carrying value of assets held for sale on a regular basis for indications of impairment. Impairment is indicated whenever the carrying value of the asset exceeds estimated fair value. For purposes of calculating impairment on assets held for sale, the Company utilizes estimated sales value of the assets as an estimate of fair value. The estimates of fair value of the assets held for sale could change if the actual sales value realized, or realizable, varies from the estimated sale value.

The net book value of property, plant and equipment was approximately \$81.8 million and \$75.4 million at October 1, 2005 and January 1, 2005, respectively. The net book value of intangible assets, including deferred loan cost, was approximately \$16.1 million and \$19.6 million at October 1, 2005 and January 1, 2005, respectively.

#### **Goodwill Valuation**

The Company reviews the carrying value of goodwill on a regular basis, including at the end of each fiscal year, for indications of impairment at each plant location which has recorded goodwill as an asset. Impairment is indicated whenever the carrying value of plant assets exceeds the estimated fair value of plant assets. For purposes of evaluating impairment of goodwill, the Company estimates fair value of plant assets at each plant, based upon future discounted net cash flows from use of those assets. In calculating such estimates, actual historical operating results and anticipated future economic factors, such as future business volume, future finished product prices, and future operating costs and expenses are evaluated and estimated as a component of the calculation of future discounted cash flows for each operating plant location with recorded goodwill. The estimates of fair value of assets at these plant locations and of future discounted net cash flows from operation of these assets could change if actual volumes, prices, costs or expenses vary from these estimates. A future reduction of earnings in the plants with recorded goodwill could result in an impairment charge because the estimate of fair value would be negatively impacted by a reduction of earnings at those plants. Goodwill was approximately \$4.4 million at both October 1, 2005 and January 1, 2005.

#### Accrued Medical Claims Liability

The Company provides a self-insured group health plan to its employees, which provides medical benefits to participating employees. The Company has an employer stop loss insurance policy to cover individual claims in excess of \$175,000 per employee per year. The amount charged to medical insurance expense includes claims paid during the year and includes estimates of liabilities for outstanding medical claims under the plan at the balance sheet date, based upon historical claims expense and historical claims submission information, and also includes an accrual for estimated severe illness claims, which is based upon the stop loss limit per employee and the number of employees filing those claims. If actual future medical claims by employees varies significantly from historical spending or if the actual timeliness of submission of those claims by medical care providers changes, the actual medical claims may vary from the estimated liability. The actual cost of providing medical care to severely ill employees and dependents may vary from estimates if the patient either recovers or dies. Accrued medical claims

liability included in accrued expenses was approximately \$2.6 million and \$2.3 million at October 1, 2005 and January 1, 2005, respectively.

### Self Insurance, Environmental, and Legal Reserves

The Company purchases its workers compensation, auto and general liability insurance on a retrospective basis. The Company estimates and accrues its expected ultimate costs related to claims occurring during each fiscal year and carries this accrual as a reserve until such claims are paid by the Company. Estimates of self insurance liability are based upon the report of a third party actuarial report, which the Company relies upon in calculating its estimates. The Company has also accrued loss reserves related to environmental and litigation matters, based upon estimated undiscounted future costs. In developing estimates of loss, the Company utilizes its staff, outside consultants, and outside counsel as sources of information and judgment as to the expected undiscounted future costs of the claims. With respect to the Company s self insurance, environmental and litigation reserves, estimates of reserve liability could change if future events are different than those included in the estimates of the actuary, consultants or management of the Company. The reserve for insurance, environmental, and litigation contingencies included in accrued expenses and other non-current liabilities were approximately \$16.4 million and \$13.9 million at October 1, 2005 and January 1, 2005, respectively.

#### Pension Liability

The Company provides retirement benefits to employees under separate final-pay noncontributory pension plans for salaried and hourly employees (excluding those employees covered by a union-sponsored plan), who meet service and age requirements. Benefits are based principally on length of service and earnings patterns during the five years preceding retirement. Pension expense and pension liability recorded by the Company is based upon an annual actuarial estimate provided by a third party administrator. Factors included in estimates of current year pension expense and pension liability at the balance sheet date include estimated future service period of employees, estimated future pay of employees, estimated future retirement ages of employees, and the projected time period of pension benefit payments. Two of the most significant assumptions used to calculate future pension obligations are the discount rate applied to pension liability and the expected rate of return on pension plan assets. These assumptions and estimates are subject to the risk of change over time, and each factor has inherent uncertainties which neither the actuary nor the Company is able to control, or to predict with certainty.

The discount rate applied to the Company s pension liability is the interest rate used to calculate the present value of the pension benefit obligation. The discount rate is based on the yield of long-term corporate fixed income securities at the measurement date of October 1 in the year of calculation. The weighted average discount rate was 6.0% and 6.5% at October 1 in Fiscal 2004 and Fiscal 2003, respectively.

The expected rate of return on the Company s pension plan assets is the interest rate used to calculate future returns on investment of the plan assets. The expected return on plan assets is a long-term assumption whose accuracy can only be assessed over a long period of time. The weighted average expected return on pension plan assets was 8.75% for both Fiscal 2004 and Fiscal 2003.

The Company has recorded a minimum pension liability of approximately \$13.6 million and \$9.0 million at January 1, 2005 and January 3, 2004, respectively. The Company s net pension cost was approximately \$2.4 million, and \$2.0 million for the first nine months ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004, respectively.

#### **Income Taxes**

In calculating net income, the Company includes estimates in the calculation of tax expense, the resulting tax liability, and in future utilization of deferred tax assets which arise from temporary timing differences between financial statement recognition and tax recognition of assets and liabilities. The Company s deferred tax assets include net operating loss carry-forwards which are limited to approximately \$0.7 million per year in future utilization due to the change in majority control, resulting from the May 2002 recapitalization of the Company. As a result of these matters, the estimate of future utilization of deferred tax assets relies upon the forecast of future reversal of the Company s deferred tax liabilities, which provide some evidence of the ability of the Company to utilize deferred tax assets in future years. Valuation allowances for deferred tax assets are recorded when it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will expire before they are utilized and the tax benefit is realized. Based upon the Company s evaluation of these matters, a significant portion of the Company s net operating loss carry-forwards will expire unused. The valuation allowance established to provide a reserve against these deferred tax assets was approximately \$20.3 million at both October 1, 2005 and January 1, 2005.

#### **Stock Option Expense**

The Company currently discloses the pro forma effects on net income of using a fair value measurement to calculate the expense of stock options issued, and the Company will begin recording compensation expense related to stock options, beginning in the first quarter of Fiscal 2006, in accordance with implementation requirements for recently issued SFAS 123 R. The calculation of expense of stock options issued utilizes the Black-Scholes valuation model which estimates the fair value of the option award to the holder, based upon estimates of volatility, risk-free rates of return at the date of issue, and projected vesting of the option grants. If actual share price volatility or vesting differs from the projection, the actual expense recorded may vary. The Company s pro forma expense related to stock options granted was approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million for the first nine months ended October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004, respectively.

#### NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

No new accounting pronouncements were issued which were applicable to the Company during the third quarter of Fiscal 2005.

#### FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The words believe, anticipate, expect, estimate, intend, and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical facts included in the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including, without limitation, the statements under the section entitled Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Contingencies and located elsewhere herein regarding industry prospects and the Company s financial position are forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct.

In addition to those factors discussed in this report and under the heading Risk Factors in Item 7A of Part I of the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2005, and in the

Company s other public filings with the SEC, important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the Company s expectations include: the Company s continued ability to obtain sources of supply for its rendering operations; general economic conditions in the American, European and Asian markets; prices in the competing commodity markets which are volatile and are beyond the Company s control; and BSE and its impact on finished product prices, export markets, energy prices and government regulation are still evolving and are beyond the Company s control. Among other things, future profitability may be affected by the Company s ability to grow its business which faces competition from companies which may have substantially greater resources than the Company.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS

Market risks affecting the Company are exposures to changes in interest rates on debt and the price of natural gas used in the Company s plants. The Company has used interest rate and natural gas swaps to manage these related risks. The Company is not currently party to any interest rate swap agreements. The Company uses natural gas forward purchase agreements with its suppliers to manage the price risk of natural gas used in its facilities.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. As required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b), the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures. As defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures of the Company that are designed to ensure information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to Company management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. As required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(d), the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, also conducted an evaluation of the Company s internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any change occurred during the quarter covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, there have been no significant changes in the Company s internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect the internal controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation in connection with the preparation of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

## DARLING INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

FORM 10-Q FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED OCTOBER 1, 2005

## **PART II: Other Information**

## Item 6. EXHIBITS

(a)	<b>Exhibits</b>	
	31.1	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, of
		Randall C. Stuewe, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company.
	31.2	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, of
		John O. Muse, the Chief Financial Officer of the Company.
	32.0	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the
		Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, of Randall C. Stuewe, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and
		of John O. Muse, the Chief Financial Officer of the Company.

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

#### DARLING INTERNATIONAL INC.

Date: November 10, 2005 By: /s/ Randall C. Stuewe

Randall C. Stuewe Chairman and

Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 10, 2005 By: /s/ John O. Muse

John O. Muse

Executive Vice President Administration and Finance (Principal Financial Officer)