

LABORATORY CORP OF AMERICA HOLDINGS
Form 10-Q
August 02, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012
OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-11353

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF
AMERICA HOLDINGS

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

13-3757370

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

358 South Main Street,
Burlington, North Carolina

(Address of principal executive offices)

27215

(Zip Code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code) 336-229-1127

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (paragraph 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Accelerated Filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes
[] No [X].

The number of shares outstanding of the issuer's common stock is 95.9 million shares, net of treasury stock as of
July 26, 2012.

INDEX

INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements:

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets 2
June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations 3
Three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings 3
Three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity 3
Six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows 3
Six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements 4

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 10

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 18

Item 4. Controls and Procedures 19

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings 20

Item 1A. Risk Factors 20

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 20

Item 6. Exhibits 21

INDEX

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in millions)

(unaudited)

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$124.4 | \$159.3 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$202.3 and \$197.6 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively | 735.8 | 699.8 |
| Supplies inventories | 114.0 | 110.8 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 68.1 | 79.6 |
| Deferred income taxes | 21.0 | 35.3 |
| Total current assets | 1,063.3 | 1,084.8 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 576.2 | 578.3 |
| Goodwill, net | 2,694.4 | 2,681.8 |
| Intangible assets, net | 1,588.6 | 1,620.7 |
| Joint venture partnerships and equity method investments | 79.0 | 76.8 |
| Other assets, net | 96.8 | 94.2 |
| Total assets | \$6,098.3 | \$6,136.6 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$234.5 | \$257.8 |
| Accrued expenses and other | 349.6 | 404.1 |
| Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt | 134.4 | 135.5 |
| Total current liabilities | 718.5 | 797.4 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 1,975.2 | 2,085.5 |
| Deferred income taxes and other tax liabilities | 525.6 | 502.7 |
| Other liabilities | 227.0 | 227.3 |
| Total liabilities | 3,446.3 | 3,612.9 |
| Commitments and contingent liabilities | | |
| Noncontrolling interest | 20.2 | 20.2 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, 95.8 and 97.8 shares outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively | 11.5 | 11.7 |
| Additional paid-in capital | — | — |
| Retained earnings | 3,522.7 | 3,387.2 |
| Less common stock held in treasury | (951.8 |) (940.9 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 49.4 | 45.5 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 2,631.8 | 2,503.5 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$6,098.3 | \$6,136.6 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

2

INDEXLABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in millions, except per share data)

(unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|---|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Net sales | \$1,423.4 | \$1,403.3 | \$2,846.7 | \$2,771.7 | |
| Cost of sales | 843.9 | 815.1 | 1,691.1 | 1,615.1 | |
| Gross profit | 579.5 | 588.2 | 1,155.6 | 1,156.6 | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 279.5 | 322.7 | 550.7 | 605.5 | |
| Amortization of intangibles and other assets | 20.6 | 21.5 | 42.0 | 43.4 | |
| Restructuring and other special charges | 3.4 | 18.3 | (0.2) |) 46.2 | |
| Operating income | 276.0 | 225.7 | 563.1 | 461.5 | |
| Other income (expenses): | | | | | |
| Interest expense | (21.3 |) (21.0 |) (42.8 |) (45.0 |) |
| Equity method income, net | 8.0 | 2.6 | 12.3 | 4.1 | |
| Investment income | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | |
| Other, net | (6.7 |) (0.2 |) (7.2 |) (0.1 |) |
| Earnings before income taxes | 256.2 | 207.3 | 525.8 | 421.0 | |
| Provision for income taxes | 102.4 | 80.6 | 210.0 | 163.7 | |
| Net earnings | 153.8 | 126.7 | 315.8 | 257.3 | |
| Less: Net earnings attributable to the noncontrolling interest | (0.5 |) (3.8 |) (0.9 |) (7.3 |) |
| Net earnings attributable to Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings | \$153.3 | \$122.9 | \$314.9 | \$250.0 | |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$1.59 | \$1.22 | \$3.25 | \$2.49 | |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$1.56 | \$1.20 | \$3.19 | \$2.44 | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE EARNINGS

(in millions, except per share data)

(unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | | |
|---|--------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Net earnings | \$153.8 | \$126.7 | \$315.8 | \$257.3 | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (17.3 |) 7.6 | 6.4 | 30.7 | |
| Interest rate swap adjustments | — | — | — | 2.4 | |
| Other comprehensive earnings (loss) before tax | (17.3 |) 7.6 | 6.4 | 33.1 | |
| Provision for income tax related to items of comprehensive earnings | 6.8 | (2.9 |) (2.5 |) (12.3 |) |

Edgar Filing: LABORATORY CORP OF AMERICA HOLDINGS - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Other comprehensive earnings (loss), net of tax | (10.5 |) 4.7 | 3.9 | 20.8 |
| Comprehensive earnings | 143.3 | 131.4 | 319.7 | 278.1 |
| Less: Net earnings attributable to the noncontrolling interest | (0.5 |) (3.8 |) (0.9 |) (7.3 |
| Comprehensive earnings attributable to Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings | \$ 142.8 | \$ 127.6 | \$ 318.8 | \$ 270.8 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(in millions)

(unaudited)

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Total Shareholders' Equity |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2010 | \$ 12.2 | \$ 53.9 | \$ 3,246.6 | \$(934.9 |) \$ 88.5 | \$ 2,466.3 |
| Net earnings attributable to Laboratory Corporation of America— Holdings | — | — | 250.0 | — | — | 250.0 |
| Other comprehensive earnings, net of tax | — | — | — | — | 20.8 | 20.8 |
| Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans | 0.1 | 102.8 | — | — | — | 102.9 |
| Surrender of restricted stock and performance share awards | — | — | — | (6.0 |) — | (6.0 |
| Conversion of zero-coupon convertible debt | 0.1 | 36.1 | — | — | — | 36.2 |
| Stock compensation | — | 25.5 | — | — | — | 25.5 |
| Income tax benefit from stock options exercised | — | 9.9 | — | — | — | 9.9 |
| Purchase of common stock | (0.3 |) (155.5 |) (170.0 |) — | — | (325.8 |
| BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2011 | \$ 12.1 | \$ 72.7 | \$ 3,326.6 | \$(940.9 |) \$ 109.3 | \$ 2,579.8 |
| BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2011 | \$ 11.7 | \$ — | \$ 3,387.2 | \$(940.9 |) \$ 45.5 | \$ 2,503.5 |
| Net earnings attributable to Laboratory Corporation of America— Holdings | — | — | 314.9 | — | — | 314.9 |
| Other comprehensive earnings, net of tax | — | — | — | — | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans | 0.1 | 43.4 | — | — | — | 43.5 |
| Surrender of restricted stock and performance share awards | — | — | — | (10.9 |) — | (10.9 |
| Conversion of zero-coupon convertible debt | — | — | — | — | — | — |

Edgar Filing: LABORATORY CORP OF AMERICA HOLDINGS - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|--------|---------|-----------|------------|--------|-----------|
| Stock compensation | — | 23.7 | — | — | — | 23.7 |
| Income tax benefit from stock options exercised | — | 5.8 | — | — | — | 5.8 |
| Purchase of common stock | (0.3) | (72.9) | (179.4) | — | — | (252.6) |
| BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2012 | \$11.5 | \$— | \$3,522.7 | \$(951.8) | \$49.4 | \$2,631.8 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in millions)

(unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, | 2011 |
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net earnings | \$315.8 | \$257.3 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 112.8 | 115.9 |
| Stock compensation | 23.7 | 25.5 |
| Loss on sale of assets | 6.4 | 0.4 |
| Accrued interest on zero-coupon subordinated notes | 1.3 | 2.5 |
| Cumulative earnings less than (in excess of) distributions from equity method investments | (3.3) | 0.4) |
| Deferred income taxes | 33.3 | (19.5) |
| Change in assets and liabilities (net of effects of acquisitions): | | |
| Increase in accounts receivable (net) | (35.0) | (58.4) |
| Increase in inventories | (3.3) | (2.7) |
| Decrease in prepaid expenses and other | 11.5 | 24.7 |
| Decrease in accounts payable | (23.3) | (57.3) |
| Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses and other | (56.5) | 111.4) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 383.4 | 400.2 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (68.3) | (75.2) |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | 1.5 | 3.1 |
| Deferred payments on acquisitions | (2.7) | (0.2) |
| Acquisition of licensing technology | (2.0) | — |
| Investments in equity affiliates | (4.9) | — |
| Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired | (25.2) | (45.0) |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (101.6) | (117.3) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from revolving credit facilities | 85.0 | 85.0 |
| Payments on revolving credit facilities | (195.0) | (85.0) |
| Principal payments on term loan | — | (37.5) |
| Payments on zero-coupon subordinated notes | (2.5) | (149.1) |
| Increase in bank overdraft | — | 6.0 |
| Payments on long-term debt | — | (0.8) |
| Payment of debt issuance costs | — | (0.5) |
| Noncontrolling interest distributions | (0.7) | (2.7) |
| Excess tax benefits from stock based compensation | 5.7 | 9.6 |
| Net proceeds from issuance of stock to employees | 43.4 | 102.9 |
| Purchase of common stock | (252.6) | (322.8) |

Edgar Filing: LABORATORY CORP OF AMERICA HOLDINGS - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|---------|---|---------|---|
| Net cash used for financing activities | (316.7 |) | (394.9 |) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | — | | 0.2 | |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (34.9 |) | (111.8 |) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 159.3 | | 230.7 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$124.4 | | \$118.9 | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

INDEX

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)

1. BASIS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings (the “Company”) and its majority-owned subsidiaries for which it exercises control. Long-term investments in affiliated companies in which the Company exercises significant influence, but which it does not control, are accounted for using the equity method. Investments in which the Company does not exercise significant influence (generally, when the Company has an investment of less than 20.0% and no representation on the investee’s board of directors) are accounted for using the cost method. All significant inter-company transactions and accounts have been eliminated. The Company does not have any variable interest entities or special purpose entities whose financial results are not included in the condensed consolidated financial statements.

The financial statements of the Company’s foreign subsidiaries are measured using the local currency as the functional currency. Assets and liabilities are translated at exchange rates as of the balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses are translated at average monthly exchange rates prevailing during the period. Resulting translation adjustments are included in “Accumulated other comprehensive income.”

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company are unaudited. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of results of operations, cash flows and financial position have been made. Except as otherwise disclosed, all such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements but does not include all disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles.

The financial statements and notes are presented in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and do not contain certain information included in the Company’s 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Therefore, the interim statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company’s Annual Report.

New Accounting Pronouncements:

In June 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on the presentation of comprehensive income. Specifically, this literature requires an entity to present components of net earnings and other comprehensive income in one continuous statement, referred to as the statement of comprehensive income, or in two separate, but consecutive statements. The authoritative guidance eliminates the option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in shareholders' equity. While the authoritative guidance changes the presentation of comprehensive income, there are no changes to the components that are recognized in net earnings or other comprehensive income under current accounting guidance. The Company adopted this guidance during the first quarter of 2012 and elected to present comprehensive income in two separate, but consecutive statements and has applied the new presentation to the prior period presented. The adoption of this authoritative guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

2. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Edgar Filing: LABORATORY CORP OF AMERICA HOLDINGS - Form 10-Q

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings attributable to Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings including the impact of dilutive adjustments by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus potentially dilutive shares, as if they had been issued at the earlier of the date of issuance or the beginning of the period presented. Potentially dilutive common shares result primarily from the Company's outstanding stock options, restricted stock awards, performance share awards, and shares issuable upon conversion of zero-coupon subordinated notes.

The following represents a reconciliation of basic earnings per share to diluted earnings per share:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--------|------------------|---------|---------------------------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2011 | | | | | |
| | Income | Shares | Per Share Amount | Income | Shares | Per Share Amount | Income | Shares | Per Share Amount | Income | Shares | Per Share Amount |
| Basic earnings per share: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net earnings | \$153.3 | 96.3 | \$ 1.59 | \$122.9 | 100.6 | \$ 1.22 | \$314.9 | 96.8 | \$ 3.25 | \$250.0 | 100.4 | \$ 2.49 |
| Dilutive effect of employee stock options and awards | — | 1.0 | — | — | 1.3 | — | — | 1.1 | — | — | 1.3 | — |
| Effect of convertible debt, net of tax | — | 0.7 | — | — | 0.9 | — | — | 0.7 | — | — | 0.9 | — |
| Diluted earnings per share: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net earnings including impact of dilutive adjustments | \$153.3 | 98.0 | \$ 1.56 | \$122.9 | 102.8 | \$ 1.20 | \$314.9 | 98.6 | \$ 3.19 | \$250.0 | 102.6 | \$ 2.44 |

The following table summarizes the potential common shares not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because their impact would have been antidilutive:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---------------|--------------------|------|------------------|------|
| | June 30, 2012 | 2011 | June 30, 2012 | 2011 |
| Stock options | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 |

3. NONCONTROLLING INTEREST PUTS

On October 14, 2011, the Company issued notice to a noncontrolling interest holder in the Ontario partnership of its intent to purchase the holder's partnership units in accordance with the terms of the partnership agreement. On November 28, 2011, this purchase was completed for a total purchase price of \$147.9 (CN151.7) as outlined in the partnership agreement (CN\$147.8 plus certain adjustments relating to cash distribution hold backs made to finance business acquisitions and capital expenditures). The purchase of these additional partnership units brings the Company's percentage interest owned to 98.20%.

The contractual value of the remaining put, in excess of the current noncontrolling interest of \$4.0 totals \$16.2 at June 30, 2012 and has been classified as mezzanine equity in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Net sales of the Ontario partnership were \$83.8 (CN\$84.6) and \$80.8 (CN\$78.1) for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 respectively, and \$166.6 (CN\$167.5) and \$154.9 (CN\$151.2) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 respectively.

4. RESTRUCTURING AND OTHER SPECIAL CHARGES

During the first six months of 2012, the Company recorded a net credit of \$0.2 in restructuring and other special charges. The Company reversed previously established reserves of \$4.8 in unused severance and \$2.9 in unused facility related costs. This net credit also includes charges of \$6.2 related to severance and other personnel costs along with \$1.3 in net facility-related costs primarily associated with the ongoing integration activities of Clearstone Central Laboratories, Orchid and the Integrated Genetics Division (formerly Genzyme Genetics*) and costs associated with the previously announced termination of an executive vice president.

As part of the Clearstone integration, the Company also recorded a \$6.9 loss on the disposal of one of its European subsidiaries in Other, net under Other income (expenses) during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

During the first six months of 2011, the Company recorded net restructuring charges of \$24.2. Of this amount, \$13.3 related to severance and other personnel costs, and \$13.8 primarily related to facility-related costs associated with the ongoing integration of the Genzyme Genetics* and Westcliff acquisitions. These charges were offset by restructuring credits of \$2.9 resulting from the reversal of unused severance and facility closure liabilities. In addition, the Company recorded fixed assets impairment charges of \$7.2 primarily related to equipment and leasehold improvements in closed facilities. The Company also recorded a special charge of \$14.8 related to a write-off of certain assets and liabilities related to an investment made in a prior year.

* Genzyme Genetics and its logo are trademarks of Genzyme Corporation and used by Esoterix Genetic Laboratories, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of LabCorp, under license. Esoterix Genetic Laboratories and LabCorp are operated independently from Genzyme Corporation.

5. RESTRUCTURING RESERVES

The following represents the Company's restructuring activities for the period indicated:

| | Severance and Other Employee Costs | Lease and Other Facility Costs | Total |
|---|---|---|---------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2011 | \$8.4 | \$22.6 | \$31.0 |
| Restructuring charges | 6.2 | 1.3 | 7.5 |
| Reduction of prior restructuring accruals | (4.8) |) (2.9) |) (7.7) |
| Cash payments and other adjustments | (6.3) |) (1.8) |) (8.1) |
| Balance as of June 30, 2012 | \$3.5 | \$19.2 | \$22.7 |
| Current | | | \$9.4 |
| Non-current | | | 13.3 |
| | | | \$22.7 |

6. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Edgar Filing: LABORATORY CORP OF AMERICA HOLDINGS - Form 10-Q

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 and for the year ended December 31, 2011 are as follows:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Balance as of January 1 | \$2,681.8 | \$2,601.3 |
| Goodwill acquired during the period | 15.4 | 86.2 |
| Adjustments to goodwill | (2.8 |) (5.7 |
| Balance at end of period | \$2,694.4 | \$2,681.8 |

The components of identifiable intangible assets are as follows:

| | June 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization |
| Customer relationships | \$1,191.5 | \$(454.5 |) \$1,187.5 | \$(426.8 |
| Patents, licenses and technology | 146.6 | (94.2 |) 144.9 | (88.3 |
| Non-compete agreements | 28.9 | (17.2 |) 28.1 | (14.8 |
| Trade names | 129.3 | (67.3 |) 129.2 | (61.3 |
| Canadian licenses | 725.5 | — | 722.2 | — |
| | \$2,221.8 | \$(633.2 |) \$2,211.9 | \$(591.2 |

Amortization of intangible assets for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$20.6 and \$42.0, respectively, and \$21.5 and \$43.4 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. Amortization expense for the net carrying amount of intangible assets is estimated to be \$42.2 for the remainder of fiscal 2012, \$78.9 in fiscal 2013, \$76.1 in fiscal 2014, \$72.6 in fiscal 2015, \$67.2 in fiscal 2016 and \$526.6 thereafter.

The Ontario operation had \$725.5 and \$722.2 of value assigned to the partnership's indefinite lived Canadian licenses to conduct diagnostic testing services in the province as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

7. DEBT

Short-term borrowings and the current portion of long-term debt at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | \$134.4 | \$135.5 |
| Total short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt | \$134.4 | \$135.5 |

Long-term debt at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Revolving credit facility | \$450.0 | \$560.0 |
| Senior notes due 2013 | 350.2 | 350.5 |
| Senior notes due 2015 | 250.0 | 250.0 |
| Senior notes due 2016 | 325.0 | 325.0 |
| Senior notes due 2020 | 600.0 | 600.0 |

| | | |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Total long-term debt | \$1,975.2 | \$2,085.5 |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|

Zero-coupon Subordinated Notes

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company settled notices to convert \$3.0 aggregate principal amount at maturity of its zero-coupon subordinated notes with a conversion value of \$3.6. The total cash used for these settlements was \$2.5 and the Company also issued twelve thousand five hundred thirty-three additional shares of common stock.

On March 13, 2012, the Company announced that for the period of March 12, 2012 to September 11, 2012, the zero-coupon subordinated notes will accrue contingent cash interest at a rate of no less than 0.125% of the average market price of a zero-coupon subordinated note for the five trading days ended March 7, 2012, in addition to the continued accrual of the original issue discount.

On July 2, 2012, the Company announced that its zero-coupon subordinated notes may be converted into cash and common stock at the conversion rate of 13.4108 per \$1,000 principal amount at maturity of the notes, subject to the terms of the zero-coupon subordinated notes and the Indenture, dated as of October 24, 2006 between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee and conversion agent. In order to exercise the option to convert all or a portion of the zero-coupon subordinated notes, holders are required to validly surrender their zero-coupon subordinated notes at any time during the calendar quarter beginning July 1, 2012, through the close of business on the last business day of the calendar quarter, which is 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on Friday, September 28, 2012. If notices of conversion are received, the Company plans to settle the cash portion of the conversion obligation with cash on hand and/or borrowings under the revolving credit facility.

Credit Facilities

The Revolving Credit Facility is available for general corporate purposes, including working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, funding of share repurchases and other restricted payments permitted under the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement also contains limitations on aggregate subsidiary indebtedness and a debt covenant that requires that the Company maintain on the last day of any period of four consecutive fiscal quarters, in each case taken as one accounting period, a ratio of total debt to consolidated EBITDA (Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization) of not more than 3.0 to 1.0. The Company was in compliance with all covenants in the Credit Agreement at June 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2012, the ratio of total debt to consolidated EBITDA was 1.6 to 1.0.

As of June 30, 2012, the effective interest rate on the Revolving Credit Facility was 1.22%.

8. PREFERRED STOCK AND COMMON SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

The Company is authorized to issue up to 265.0 shares of common stock, par value \$0.10 per share. The Company's treasury shares are recorded at aggregate cost. The Company is authorized to issue up to 30.0 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.10 per share. There were no preferred shares outstanding as of June 30, 2012.

The changes in common shares issued and held in treasury are summarized below:

| | Issued | Held in Treasury | Outstanding |
|---|--------|---------------------|-------------|
| Common shares at December 31, 2011 | 120.0 | (22.2 |) 97.8 |
| Common stock issued under employee stock plans | 1.0 | — | 1.0 |
| Common stock issued upon conversion of zero-coupon subordinated notes | — | — | — |

Edgar Filing: LABORATORY CORP OF AMERICA HOLDINGS - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|--------|---|
| Surrender of restricted stock and performance share awards | — | (0.1 |) (0.1 |) |
| Retirement of common stock | (2.9 |) — | (2.9 |) |
| Common shares at June 30, 2012 | 118.1 | (22.3 |) 95.8 | |

Share Repurchase Program

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding authorization from the Board of Directors to purchase approximately \$84.4 of Company common stock. On February 10, 2012, the Company announced the Board of Directors authorized the purchase of \$500.0 of additional shares of the Company's common stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company purchased 2.9 shares of its common stock at a total cost of \$252.6. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had outstanding authorization from the Board of Directors to purchase \$331.9 of Company common stock.

9. INCOME TAXES

The Company does not recognize a tax benefit, unless the Company concludes that it is more likely than not that the benefit will be sustained on audit by the taxing authority based solely on the technical merits of the associated tax position. If the recognition threshold is met, the Company recognizes a tax benefit measured at the largest amount of the tax benefit that the Company believes is greater than 50.0% likely to be realized.

The gross unrecognized income tax benefits were \$55.8 and \$52.7 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. It is anticipated that the amount of the unrecognized income tax benefits will change within the next twelve months; however, these changes are not expected to have a significant impact on the results of operations, cash flows or the financial position of the Company.

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$56.4 and \$53.3, respectively, are the approximate amounts of unrecognized income tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective income tax rate in future periods.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized income tax benefits in income tax expense. Accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions totaled \$10.6 and \$10.8 as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The valuation allowance provided as a reserve against certain deferred tax assets is \$19.8 and \$14.4 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The increase in the valuation allowance during 2012 is primarily due to current year-to-date foreign losses whereby a full valuation allowance has been provided.

The Company has substantially concluded all U.S. federal income tax matters for years through 2007. Substantially all material state and local, and foreign income tax matters have been concluded through 2006 and 2001, respectively.

The Company has various state income tax examinations ongoing throughout the year. In October 2011, Canada Revenue Agency initiated an examination of the Company's Canadian income tax returns for 2010 and 2009. Management believes adequate provisions have been recorded related to all open tax years.

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is involved from time to time in various claims and legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions, and other litigation (including those described in more detail below), arising in the ordinary course of business. Some of these actions involve claims that are substantial in amount. These matters include, but are not limited to, intellectual property disputes, professional liability, employee related matters, and inquiries, including subpoenas and other civil investigative demands, from governmental agencies and Medicare or Medicaid payers and managed care payers

reviewing billing practices or requesting comment on allegations of billing irregularities that are brought to their attention through billing audits or third parties. The Company receives civil investigative demands or other inquiries from various governmental bodies in the ordinary course of its business. Such inquiries can relate to the Company or other healthcare providers. The Company works cooperatively to respond to appropriate requests for information.

The Company is also named from time to time in suits brought under the qui tam provisions of the False Claims Act and comparable state laws. These suits typically allege that the Company has made false statements and/or certifications in connection with claims for payment from federal or state health care programs. They may remain under seal (hence, unknown to the Company) for some time while the government decides whether to intervene on behalf of the qui tam plaintiff. Such claims are an inevitable part of doing business in the health care field today.

The Company believes that it is in compliance in all material respects with all statutes, regulations and other requirements applicable to its clinical laboratory operations. The clinical laboratory testing industry is, however, subject to extensive regulation, and the courts have not interpreted many of these statutes and regulations. There can be no assurance therefore that those applicable statutes and regulations will not be interpreted or applied by a prosecutorial, regulatory or judicial authority in a manner that would adversely affect the Company. Potential sanctions for violation of these statutes and regulations include significant fines and the loss of various licenses, certificates and authorizations.

Many of the claims and legal actions against the Company are at preliminary stages, and many of these cases seek an indeterminate amount of damages. The Company records an aggregate legal reserve, which is determined using actuarial calculations around historical loss rates and assessment of trends experienced in settlements and defense costs. In accordance with ASC 450 "Contingencies", the Company establishes reserves for judicial, regulatory, and arbitration matters outside the aggregate legal reserve if and when those matters present loss contingencies that are both probable and estimable and would exceed the aggregate

INDEX

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)

legal reserve. When loss contingencies are not both probable and estimable, the Company does not establish separate reserves.

The Company is unable to estimate a range of reasonably probable loss for cases described in more detail below in which damages either have not been specified or, in the Company's judgment, are unsupported and/or exaggerated and (i) the proceedings are in early stages; (ii) there is uncertainty as to the outcome of pending appeals or motions; (iii) there are significant factual issues to be resolved; and/or (iv) there are novel legal issues to be presented. For these cases, however, the Company does not believe, based on currently available information, that the outcomes of these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, though the outcomes could be material to the Company's operating results for any particular period, depending, in part, upon the operating results for such period.

A subsidiary of the Company, DIANON Systems, Inc. ("DIANON"), was the appellant in a wrongful termination lawsuit originally filed by G. Berry Schumann in Superior Court in the State of Connecticut. After a jury trial, the state court entered judgment against DIANON, with total damages, attorney's fees, and pre-judgment interest payable by DIANON, of approximately \$10.0 plus post-judgment interest. DIANON disputed liability and contested the case vigorously on appeal. DIANON filed a notice of appeal in December 2009, and the case was transferred to the Connecticut Supreme Court. The Court heard oral argument in May 2011. In April 2012, the Connecticut Supreme Court unanimously reversed the jury verdict and remanded the case to the trial court with direction to render judgment for DIANON on the appealed statutory claim and for a new trial limited to the plaintiff's common-law wrongful termination claim, which was not reached by the jury. DIANON will continue to vigorously defend the lawsuit.

As previously reported, the Company reached a settlement in the previously disclosed lawsuit, California ex rel. Hunter Laboratories, LLC et al. v. Quest Diagnostics Incorporated, et al. ("Hunter Labs Settlement Agreement"), to avoid the uncertainty and costs associated with prolonged litigation. Pursuant to the executed settlement agreement, the Company recorded a litigation settlement expense of \$34.5 in the second quarter of 2011 (net of a previously recorded reserve of \$15.0). The Company also agreed to certain reporting obligations regarding its pricing for a limited time period and, at the option of the Company in lieu of such reporting obligations, to provide Medi-Cal with a discount from Medi-Cal's otherwise applicable maximum reimbursement rate from November 1, 2011 through October 31, 2012. In June of 2012, the California legislature enacted Assembly Bill No. 1494, Section 9 of which directs the Department of Health Care Services ("DHCS") to establish new reimbursement rates for Medi-Cal clinical laboratory services that will be based on payments made to California clinical laboratories for similar services by other third-party payors. The details of the new reimbursement rate setting methodology will be determined by DHCS over the next year through a process that will include stakeholder input. The bill further provides that (1) until the new rates are set through this process, Medi-Cal payments for clinical laboratory services will be reduced (in addition to a 10% payment reduction imposed by statute in 2011) by "up to 10 percent" for tests with dates of service on or after July 1, 2012, with a cap on payments set at 80% of the lowest maximum allowance established under the federal Medicare program, and (2) Section 51501(a) shall not apply for a period of 12 months following implementation of this 10% reduction, while the new rates are being developed. Under the terms of the Hunter Labs Settlement Agreement, the enactment of this new California legislation terminates the Company's reporting obligations (or obligation to provide a discount in lieu of reporting) under that agreement. Taken together, these changes are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated revenues or results of operations.

As previously reported, the Company responded to an October 2007 subpoena from the United States Office Department of Health & Human Services of Inspector General's regional office in New York. On August 17, 2011, the

Southern District of New York unsealed a False Claims Act lawsuit, United States of America ex rel. NPT Associates v. Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings, which alleges that the Company offered UnitedHealthcare kickbacks in the form of discounts in return for Medicare business. The lawsuit seeks actual and treble damages and civil penalties for each alleged false claim, as well as recovery of costs, attorney's fees, and legal expenses. The United States government has not intervened in the lawsuit. The Company will vigorously defend the lawsuit.

In addition, the Company has received three other subpoenas since 2007 related to Medicaid billing. In June 2010, the Company received a subpoena from the State of Florida Office of the Attorney General requesting documents related to its billing to Florida Medicaid. In February 2009, the Company received a subpoena from the Commonwealth of Virginia Office of the Attorney General seeking documents related to the Company's billing to state Medicaid. In October 2009, the Company received a subpoena from the State of Michigan Department of Attorney General seeking documents related to its billing to Michigan Medicaid. The Company is cooperating with these requests.

The Company also responded to a September 2009 subpoena from the United States Department of Health & Human Services Office of Inspector General's regional office in Massachusetts regarding certain of its billing practices. The Company completed

INDEX

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)

its response to the subpoena and in April 2012 the matter was closed.

On December 8, 2011, the Company announced that it had reached an agreement with the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") that allowed the Company to complete its acquisition of Orchid Cellmark Inc. ("Orchid"), which closed on December 15, 2011. Under the terms of the consent decree with the FTC, the Company was required to divest certain assets of Orchid's U.S. government paternity business. On December 16, 2011, the Company sold those assets to DNA Diagnostics Center (DDC), a privately held provider of DNA paternity testing. Subsequent to the closing of the Orchid transaction, the Company received three notices for appraisal rights for shares, and there are two pending petitions for appraisal of stock.

Three shareholder class actions, *Silverberg v. Bologna, et al.*, *Nannetti v. Bologna*, and *Locke v. Orchid Cellmark, Inc., et al.*, were filed in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware and subsequently consolidated into one action, *In re Orchid Cellmark Shareholder Litig.* The consolidated action challenges the Orchid acquisition on grounds of alleged breaches of fiduciary duty and/or other violations of state law. On May 4, 2011, the plaintiffs in the consolidated action filed a motion for preliminary injunction seeking to enjoin the transaction. On May 12, 2011, the Court of Chancery denied the motion for preliminary injunction, and plaintiffs' motion for an expedited appeal was subsequently denied on May 16, 2011. Since that time, there has been no substantive activity in the Delaware litigation. Three similar putative class action lawsuits filed against Orchid in the Superior Court of New Jersey Chancery Division, Mercer County and another similar case filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey were voluntarily dismissed.

In October 2011, a putative stockholder of the Company made a letter demand through his counsel for inspection of documents related to policies and procedures concerning the Company's Board of Directors' oversight and monitoring of the Company's billing and claim submission process. The letter also seeks documents prepared for or by the Board regarding allegations from the California *ex rel. Hunter Laboratories, LLC et al. v. Quest Diagnostics Incorporated, et al.*, lawsuit and documents reviewed and relied upon by the Board in connection with the settlement of that lawsuit. The Company is responding to the request pursuant to Delaware law.

On November 18, 2011, the Company received a letter from United States Senators Baucus and Grassley requesting information regarding the Company's relationships with its largest managed care customers. The letter requests information about the Company's contracts and financial data regarding its managed care customers. Company representatives met with Senate Finance Committee staff after receiving the request and subsequently produced documents in response. The Company continues to cooperate with the request for information.

The Company is a defendant in two putative class actions related to overtime pay. In September 2011, a putative class action, *Peggy Bryant v. Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings*, was filed against the Company in the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, alleging on behalf of employees similarly situated that the Company violated the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act and applicable state wage laws by failing to pay overtime. The complaint seeks monetary damages, liquidated damages equal to the alleged amount owed, costs, injunctive relief, and attorney's fees. In April 2012, a putative class action, *Beverly C. Plaza v. Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings*, was filed against the Company in the United States District Court for the Western District of Tennessee, alleging on behalf of employees similarly situated that the Company violated the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act and applicable state wage laws by failing to pay overtime. The complaint seeks monetary damages, liquidated damages equal to the alleged amount owed, costs, injunctive relief, and attorney's fees. The Company intends to vigorously contest these cases. In December 2011, a putative class action, *Debra Rivera v. Laboratory*

Corporation of America Holdings, was filed against the Company in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida alleging on behalf of employees similarly situated that the Company violated the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act by failing to pay overtime. The complaint sought monetary damages, liquidated damages equal to the alleged amount owed, costs, and attorney's fees. The case was dismissed with prejudice in July 2012.

On February 27, 2012, the Company was served with a False Claims Act lawsuit, United States ex rel. Margaret Brown v. Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings and Tri-State Clinical Laboratory Services, LLC, filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division. The lawsuit alleges that the defendants submitted false claims for payment for laboratory testing services performed as a result of financial relationships that violated the federal Stark and anti-kickback laws. The Company owned 50% of Tri-State Clinical Laboratory Services, LLC, which was dissolved in June of 2011. The lawsuit seeks actual and treble damages and civil penalties for each alleged false claim, as well as recovery of costs, attorney's fees, and legal expenses. The United States government has not intervened in the lawsuit. The Company will vigorously defend the lawsuit.

INDEX

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)

In June 2012, the Company and MEDTOX Scientific, Inc. ("MEDTOX") announced that they had entered into a definitive agreement and plan of merger under which the Company would acquire all the outstanding shares of MEDTOX in a cash tender offer. The review period under the Hart Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 ("HSR") applicable to the acquisition of MEDTOX expired on July 12, 2012, and the transaction closed on July 31, 2012.

Three shareholder class actions, Carol A. Kiel v. Braun, et al, Louise Perlman v. MEDTOX Scientific, et al., and John Siciliano v. MEDTOX Scientific, Inc., et al., were filed in connection with the acquisition of MEDTOX in the County of Ramsey, Second Judicial District for the State of Minnesota. The lawsuits challenged the MEDTOX acquisition on grounds of alleged breaches of fiduciary duty and/or other violations of state law. The Company and its merger subsidiary were named only in the Kiel and Perlman cases. On July 20, 2012, the parties, through their counsel, executed a Memorandum of Understanding setting forth their agreement in principle to settle all three of the putative shareholder class actions. Under the terms of the proposed settlement, all claims asserted against all defendants will be dismissed with prejudice. The proposed settlement is subject to court approval. The Company also anticipates that counsel for the plaintiffs will seek an award of attorney's fees and expenses in an amount to be determined by the court.

On June 7, 2012, the Company was served with a putative class action lawsuit, Yvonne Jansky v. Laboratory Corporation of America, et al., filed in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Francisco. The lawsuit alleges that the defendants committed unlawful and unfair business practices, and violated various other state laws by changing screening codes to diagnostic codes on laboratory test orders, thereby resulting in customers being responsible for co-payments and other debts. The lawsuit seeks injunctive relief, actual and punitive damages, as well as recovery of attorney's fees, and legal expenses. The Company will vigorously defend the lawsuit.

On June 7, 2012, the Company was served with a putative class action lawsuit, Ann Baker Pepe v. Genzyme Corporation and Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings, filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. The lawsuit alleges that the defendants failed to preserve DNA samples allegedly entrusted to the defendants and thereby breached a written agreement with plaintiff and violated state laws. The lawsuit seeks injunctive relief, actual, double and treble damages, as well as recovery of attorney's fees and legal expenses. The Company will vigorously defend the lawsuit.

Under the Company's present insurance programs, coverage is obtained for catastrophic exposure as well as those risks required to be insured by law or contract. The Company is responsible for the uninsured portion of losses related primarily to general, professional and vehicle liability, certain medical costs and workers' compensation. The self-insured retentions are on a per occurrence basis without any aggregate annual limit. Provisions for losses expected under these programs are recorded based upon the Company's estimates of the aggregated liability of claims incurred. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had provided letters of credit aggregating approximately \$37.4, primarily in connection with certain insurance programs. The Company's availability under its Revolving Credit Facility is reduced by the amount of these letters of credit.

INDEX

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)

11. PENSION AND POSTRETIREMENT PLANS

The Company's defined contribution retirement plan (the "401K Plan") covers substantially all employees. All employees eligible for the 401K Plan receive a minimum 3% non-elective contribution concurrent with each payroll period. The 401K Plan also permits discretionary contributions by the Company of 1% to 3% of pay for eligible employees based on years of service with the Company. The cost of this plan was \$11.8 and \$10.7 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$24.3 and \$22.4 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company also maintains a frozen defined benefit retirement plan (the "Company Plan"), that as of December 31, 2009, covered substantially all employees. The benefits to be paid under the Company Plan are based on years of credited service through December 31, 2009 and ongoing interest credits. Effective January 1, 2010, the Company Plan was closed to new participants. The Company's policy is to fund the Company Plan with at least the minimum amount required by applicable regulations.

The Company maintains a second unfunded, non-contributory, non-qualified defined benefit retirement plan (the "PEP"), that as of December 31, 2009, covered substantially all of its senior management group. The PEP supplements the Company Plan and was closed to new participants effective January 1, 2010.

The effect on operations for the Company Plan and the PEP is summarized as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|------------------|--------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service cost for benefits earned | \$0.6 | \$0.7 | \$1.2 | \$1.3 |
| Interest cost on benefit obligation | 3.7 | 4.2 | 7.4 | 8.6 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (4.2 |) (4.8 |) (8.6 |) (9.3 |
| Net amortization and deferral | 2.9 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 3.7 |
| Defined benefit plan costs | \$3.0 | \$2.1 | \$6.0 | \$4.3 |

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company contributed \$4.5 to its defined benefit retirement plan.

The Company assumed obligations under a subsidiary's post-retirement medical plan. Coverage under this plan is restricted to a limited number of existing employees of the subsidiary. This plan is unfunded and the Company's policy is to fund benefits as claims are incurred. The effect on operations of the post-retirement medical plan is shown in the following table:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service cost for benefits earned | \$0.1 | \$0.1 | \$0.2 | \$0.2 |
| Interest cost on benefit obligation | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Net amortization and deferral | — | — | — | — |
| Postretirement medical plan costs | \$0.7 | \$0.6 | \$1.4 | \$1.3 |

12. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The Company's population of financial assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is as follows:

| | Fair Value as of June 30, 2012 | Fair Value Measurements as of June 30, 2012 Using Fair Value Hierarchy | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------|---------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Noncontrolling interest put | \$20.2 | \$— | \$20.2 | \$— |

| | Fair Value as of December 31, 2011 | Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2011 Using Fair Value Hierarchy | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---------|---------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Noncontrolling interest put | \$20.2 | \$— | \$20.2 | \$— |

The noncontrolling interest put is valued at its contractually determined value, which approximates fair value.

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, income taxes receivable, and accounts payable are considered to be representative of their respective fair values due to their short-term nature. The fair market value of the zero-coupon subordinated notes, based on market pricing, was approximately \$199.5 and \$190.2 as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The fair market value of the senior notes, based on market pricing, was approximately \$1,645.6 and \$1,624.4 as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the estimated fair market value of the Company's variable rate debt approximated its book value of \$450.0 and \$560.0, respectively. The Company's note and debt instruments are considered level 2 instruments, as the fair market values of these instruments are determined using other observable inputs.

13. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company addresses its exposure to market risks, principally the market risk associated with changes in interest rates, through a controlled program of risk management that includes, from time to time, the use of derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swap agreements (see Interest Rate Swap section below). Although the Company's zero-coupon subordinated notes contain features that are considered to be embedded derivative instruments (see Embedded Derivative section below), the Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. The Company does not believe that its exposure to market risk is material to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Interest Rate Swap

The interest rate swap agreement to hedge variable interest rate risk on the Company's variable interest rate term loan expired on March 31, 2011. On a quarterly basis under the swap, the Company paid a fixed rate of interest (2.92%) and received a variable rate of interest based on the three-month LIBOR rate on an amortizing notional amount of indebtedness equivalent to the term loan balance outstanding. The swap was designated as a cash flow hedge. Accordingly, the Company recognized the fair value of the swap in the condensed consolidated balance sheets and any changes in the fair value were recorded as adjustments to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement was the estimated amount that the Company would have paid or received to terminate the swap agreement at the reporting date.

Embedded Derivatives Related to the Zero-Coupon Subordinated Notes

The Company's zero-coupon subordinated notes contain the following two features that are considered to be embedded derivative instruments under authoritative guidance in connection with accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities:

- The Company will pay contingent cash interest on the zero-coupon subordinated notes after September 11, 2006, if
- 1) the average market price of the notes equals 120% or more of the sum of the issue price, accrued original issue discount and contingent additional principal, if any, for a specified measurement period.
 - 2) Holders may surrender zero-coupon subordinated notes for conversion during any period in which the rating assigned to the zero-coupon subordinated notes by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services is BB- or lower.

The Company believes these embedded derivatives had no fair value at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. These embedded derivatives also had no impact on the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

The following table summarizes the effect of the interest rate swap on other comprehensive income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Effective portion of derivative gain | \$— | \$2.4 |

14. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Supplemental schedule of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid during period for: | | |
| Interest | \$39.8 | \$39.4 |
| Income taxes, net of refunds | 163.7 | 148.4 |
| Disclosure of non-cash financing and investing activities: | | |
| Conversion of zero-coupon convertible debt | \$— | \$36.2 |
| Accrued repurchases of common stock | — | 3.0 |

INDEX

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)

15. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

On June 4, 2012, the Company and MEDTOX, a provider of high quality specialized laboratory testing services and on-site/point-of-collection testing (POCT) devices, announced that they had entered into a definitive merger agreement under which the Company would acquire MEDTOX for a purchase price of \$27.00 per share in cash, representing a total enterprise value of approximately \$241.0.

The transaction was subject to customary closing conditions including the expiration or early termination of the HSR waiting period and approval by MEDTOX's stockholders. On July 12, 2012, the Federal Trade Commission granted early termination of the waiting period. On July 31, 2012, MEDTOX stockholders voted to approve the merger agreement. On July 31, 2012 the Company completed its acquisition of MEDTOX for \$248.2 in cash, excluding transaction fees.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company acquired various laboratories and related assets for approximately \$25.2 in cash (net of cash acquired). These acquisitions were made primarily to extend the Company's geographic reach in important market areas and/or enhance the Company's scientific differentiation and esoteric testing capabilities.

INDEX

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Company has made in this report, and from time to time may otherwise make in its public filings, press releases and discussions by Company management, forward-looking statements concerning the Company's operations, performance and financial condition, as well as its strategic objectives. Some of these forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking words such as "believes", "expects", "may", "will", "should", "seeks", "approximates", "intends", "plans", "estimates", or "anticipates" or the negative of those words or other comparable terminology. Such forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties and the Company claims the protection afforded by the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Actual results could differ materially from those currently anticipated due to a number of factors in addition to those discussed elsewhere herein and in the Company's other public filings, press releases and discussions with Company management, including:

1. changes in federal, state, local and third party payer regulations or policies or other future reforms in the health care system (or in the interpretation of current regulations), new insurance or payment systems, including state or regional insurance cooperatives, new public insurance programs or a single-payer system, affecting governmental and third-party coverage or reimbursement for clinical laboratory testing;
2. adverse results from investigations or audits of clinical laboratories by the government, which may include significant monetary damages, refunds and/or exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs; loss or suspension of a license or imposition of a fine or penalties under, or future changes in, or interpretations of, the law or regulations of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act of 1967, and the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988, or those of Medicare, Medicaid, the False Claims Act or other federal, state or local agencies;
3. failure to comply with the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration requirements and the Needlestick Safety and Prevention Act, which may result in penalties and loss of licensure;
4. failure to comply with HIPAA, including changes to federal and state privacy and security obligations and changes to HIPAA, including those changes included within HITECH and any subsequent amendments, which could result in increased costs, denial of claims and/or significant penalties;
5. failure to maintain the security of business information or systems could damage the Company's reputation, cause it to incur substantial additional costs and to become subject to litigation;
6. failure of the Company, third party payers or physicians to comply with the ICD-10-CM Code Set by the proposed compliance date of October 1, 2014, could negatively impact the Company's reimbursement and profitability;
7. increased competition, including competition from companies that do not comply with existing laws or regulations or otherwise disregard compliance standards in the industry;
8. increased price competition, competitive bidding for laboratory tests and/or changes or reductions to fee schedules;
9. changes in payer mix, including an increase in capitated reimbursement mechanisms or the impact of a shift to consumer-driven health plans;
10. failure to obtain and retain new customers and alliance partners, or a reduction in tests ordered or specimens submitted by existing customers;
11. failure to retain or attract managed care business as a result of changes in business models, including new risk based or network approaches, or other changes in strategy or business models by managed care companies;
- 12.

13. failure to effectively integrate and/or manage newly acquired businesses, including Genzyme Genetics, and the cost related to such integrations;
14. adverse results in litigation matters;
15. inability to attract and retain experienced and qualified personnel;
16. failure to maintain the Company's days sales outstanding and/or bad debt expense levels;
17. decrease in the Company's credit ratings by Standard & Poor's and/or Moody's;
18. discontinuation or recalls of existing testing products;

10

INDEX

19. failure to develop or acquire licenses for new or improved technologies, or if customers use new technologies to perform their own tests;
20. inability to commercialize newly licensed tests or technologies or to obtain appropriate coverage or reimbursement for such tests, which could result in impairment in the value of certain capitalized licensing costs;
21. changes in government regulations or policies, including regulations and policies of the Food and Drug Administration, affecting the approval, availability of, and the selling and marketing of diagnostic tests;
22. inability to obtain and maintain adequate patent and other proprietary rights for protection of the Company's products and services and successfully enforce the Company's proprietary rights;
23. the scope, validity and enforceability of patents and other proprietary rights held by third parties which might have an impact on the Company's ability to develop, perform, or market the Company's tests or operate its business;
24. failure in the Company's information technology systems resulting in an increase in testing turnaround time or billing processes or the failure to meet future regulatory or customer information technology, data security and connectivity requirements;
25. failure of the Company's financial information systems resulting in failure to meet required financial reporting deadlines;
26. failure of the Company's disaster recovery plans to provide adequate protection against the interruption of business and/or to permit the recovery of business operations;
27. business interruption or other impact on the business due to adverse weather (including hurricanes), fires and/or other natural disasters, labor unrest, terrorism or other criminal acts, and/or widespread outbreak of influenza or other pandemic illness;
28. liabilities that result from the inability to comply with corporate governance requirements;
29. significant deterioration in the economy or financial markets which could negatively impact the Company's testing volumes, cash collections and the availability of credit for general liquidity or other financing needs;
30. changes in reimbursement by foreign governments and foreign currency fluctuations; and
31. expenses and risks associated with international operations, including but not limited to compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the U.K. Bribery Act, as well as laws and regulations that differ from those of the United States, and economic, political, legal and other operational risks associated with foreign markets.

GENERAL (dollars in millions, except per share data)

During the second quarter of 2012 the Company was able to grow its revenue in a challenging economic environment. Net sales for the three months ended June 30, 2012 increased 1.4% in comparison to the same period in 2011 on essentially flat volume and a 1.5% increase in revenue per requisition. The Company's acquisition of Orchid in December 2011 increased revenue and volume by 1.1% and 0.4%, respectively, in the second quarter of 2012 compared to 2011.

INDEX

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (amounts in millions except Revenue Per Requisition info)

Three months ended June 30, 2012 compared with three months ended June 30, 2011

Net Sales

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | % Change | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|----|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Net sales | | | | |
| Routine Testing | \$814.4 | \$790.5 | 3.0 | % |
| Genomic and Esoteric Testing | 525.2 | 532.0 | (1.3) |)% |
| Ontario, Canada | 83.8 | 80.8 | 3.7 | % |
| Total | \$1,423.4 | \$1,403.3 | 1.4 | % |

| | Number of Requisitions Three Months Ended June 30, | | % Change | |
|------------------------------|---|------|----------|----|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Volume | | | | |
| Routine Testing | 21.2 | 21.5 | (1.4) |)% |
| Genomic and Esoteric Testing | 7.6 | 7.5 | 1.3 | % |
| Ontario, Canada | 2.6 | 2.4 | 7.2 | % |
| Total | 31.4 | 31.4 | — | % |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | % Change | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------|----|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Revenue Per Requisition | | | | |
| Routine Testing | \$38.42 | \$36.76 | 4.5 | % |
| Genomic and Esoteric Testing | 69.10 | 70.91 | (2.6) |)% |
| Ontario, Canada | 32.70 | 33.80 | (3.3) |)% |
| Total | \$45.39 | \$44.70 | 1.5 | % |

The increase in net sales for the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared with the corresponding 2011 period was driven primarily by the Orchid acquisition along with positive revenue per requisition growth in routine testing and Ontario, Canada. Genomic and esoteric testing volume as a percentage of total volume increased from 23.9% in 2011 to 24.2% in 2012. Volume growth for genomic and esoteric testing was primarily due to the incremental revenue and volume from Orchid as well as growth in the recently launched NuSwabsm series of women's health tests. The decline in price in genomic and esoteric testing is a result of a lower mix of reproductive and histology testing during the quarter. Net sales of the Ontario partnership were \$83.8 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$80.8 in the corresponding 2011 period, an increase of \$3.0, or 3.7%. Net sales of the Ontario partnership were negatively impacted by a stronger U.S. dollar in 2012 as compared with 2011. In Canadian dollars, net sales of the Ontario partnership increased by CN\$6.5, or 8.3%.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | % Change | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Cost of Sales | | | | |
| Cost of sales | \$843.9 | \$815.1 | 3.5 | % |
| Cost of sales as a % of sales | 59.3 | % 58.1 | % | |

Cost of sales (primarily laboratory and distribution costs) increased 3.5% in the 2012 period as compared with the 2011 period primarily due to incremental costs from acquisitions including Orchid, increases in labor, and the continued shift in test mix to genomic and esoteric testing. As a percentage of net sales, cost of sales increased to 59.3% in 2012 from 58.1% in 2011. The

12

INDEX

increase in cost of sales as a percentage of net sales is primarily due to lower margins on acquired operations that have not yet been fully integrated as well as the impact of flat volume growth.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | \$279.5 | \$322.7 | (13.4)% |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses as a % of sales | 19.6 | % 23.0 | % |

Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales decreased to 19.6% in the second quarter of 2012 as compared to 23.0% in 2011. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales is partially due to expense management and to efficiencies from acquired operations that are being integrated into the Company's operating cost structure. Additionally, bad debt expense decreased to 4.4% of net sales in 2012 as compared with 4.7% in 2011 primarily due to improved collection trends resulting from process improvement programs within the Company's billing department and field operations. Finally, during the second quarter of 2011, the Company recorded the settlement of the Hunter Labs litigation in California for \$34.5 (\$49.5 settlement less previously recorded reserves of \$15.0) in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Amortization of Intangibles and Other Assets

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change |
| Amortization of intangibles and other assets | \$20.6 | \$21.5 | (4.2)% |

The decrease in amortization of intangibles and other assets primarily reflects certain intangible assets that became fully amortized during 2012, partially offset by an increase from certain acquisitions that closed during the first six months of 2012 and during the second half of 2011.

Restructuring and Other Special Charges

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change |
| Restructuring and other special charges | \$3.4 | \$18.3 | (81.4)% |

During the second quarter of 2012, the Company recorded net restructuring and other special charges of \$3.4. Of this amount, \$4.5 related to net severance and other personnel costs, and \$0.4 pertained to net facility-related costs primarily associated with the ongoing integration activities of Clearstone Central Laboratories and costs associated with the previously announced termination of an executive vice president. These charges were partially offset by the reversal of previously established reserves of \$1.0 in unused severance and \$0.5 in unused facility related costs.

During the second quarter of 2011, the Company recorded net restructuring charges of \$11.1. Of this amount, \$9.3 related to severance and other personnel costs, and \$4.0 primarily related to facility-related costs associated with the ongoing integration of the Genzyme Genetics and Westcliff acquisitions. In addition, the Company recorded fixed assets impairment charges of \$7.2 primarily related to equipment and leasehold improvements in closed facilities.

From time to time, the Company implements cost savings initiatives. These initiatives result from the integration of recently acquired businesses and from reducing the number of facilities and employees in an effort to balance the

Company's cost of operations with current test volume trends while maintaining the high quality of its services that the marketplace demands. It is difficult to determine the nature, timing and extent of these activities until adequate planning has been completed and reviewed. The continuing economic downturn being experienced in the United States and globally has had an impact on the Company's volume. The Company believes that any restructuring costs which may be incurred in the latter half of 2012 will be more than offset by subsequent savings realized from these potential actions and that any related restructuring charges will not have a material impact on the Company's operations or liquidity.

INDEX

| Interest Expense | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------|--------|----------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change | |
| Interest expense | \$21.3 | \$21.0 | 1.4 | % |

During December 2011, the Company replaced its Term Loan Facility with a new Revolving Credit Facility. The increase in interest expense for 2012 as compared with 2011 is due to higher outstanding borrowings on the Revolving Credit Facility in the second quarter of 2012 compared with outstanding borrowings on the Term Loan Facility during the second quarter of 2011. This increase was partially offset by the Revolving Credit Facility's lower effective interest rate during the second quarter of 2012 compared with the effective interest rate on the Term Loan Facility during the second quarter of 2011.

Equity Method Income

| Equity method income | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change | |
| | \$8.0 | \$2.6 | 207.7 | % |

Equity method income represents the Company's ownership share in joint venture partnerships along with equity investments in other companies in the healthcare industry. The increase in income in the second quarter of 2012 compared with the 2011 period is primarily due to the Company's share of losses during the second quarter of 2011 in the Cincinnati, Ohio joint venture (liquidation initiated in the second half of 2011) and the Canada, China, Singapore and Western Europe equity method investments (acquired by the Company in the second half of 2011). In addition, in conjunction with the liquidation of one its joint ventures, the Company recorded a \$2.9 million increase in equity method income during the second quarter of 2012.

| Income Tax Expense | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--------|----------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change | |
| Income tax expense | \$102.4 | \$80.6 | 27.0 | % |
| Income tax expense as a % of income before tax | 40.0 | % 38.9 | % | |

The increase in the effective tax rate for 2012 compared with 2011 is due to higher losses from foreign operations in 2012 that currently are non-deductible, as well as increases in the amount of non-deductible employee stock purchase plan expenses.

Six months ended June 30, 2012 compared with six months ended June 30, 2011

Net Sales

| Net sales | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change | |
| Routine Testing | \$1,610.6 | \$1,566.5 | 2.8 | % |
| Genomic and Esoteric Testing | 1,069.5 | 1,050.3 | 1.8 | % |
| Ontario, Canada | 166.6 | 154.9 | 7.5 | % |
| Total | \$2,846.7 | \$2,771.7 | 2.7 | % |

INDEX

| | Number of Requisitions | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|----|
| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | % Change | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Volume | | | | |
| Routine Testing | 42.8 | 42.8 | — | % |
| Genomic and Esoteric Testing | 15.2 | 14.7 | 3.0 | % |
| Ontario, Canada | 5.0 | 4.7 | 6.9 | % |
| Total | 63.0 | 62.2 | 1.3 | % |
| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change | |
| Revenue Per Requisition | | | | |
| Routine Testing | \$37.58 | \$36.60 | 2.7 | % |
| Genomic and Esoteric Testing | 70.54 | 71.36 | (1.1) |)% |
| Ontario, Canada | 33.40 | 33.19 | 0.6 | % |
| Total | \$45.18 | \$44.57 | 1.4 | % |

The increase in net sales for the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared with the corresponding 2011 period was driven primarily by the Orchid acquisition and by contributions from the milder winter weather experienced in the first quarter of 2012, along with positive volume growth in genomic and esoteric testing and Ontario, Canada. Genomic and esoteric testing volume as a percentage of total volume increased from 23.7% in 2011 to 24.1% in 2012. Volume growth for genomic and esoteric testing was primarily due to the incremental volume from Orchid as well as growth in the NuSwabsm series of women's health tests. The decline in price in genomic and esoteric testing is a result of a lower mix of reproductive and histology testing during the quarter. Net sales of the Ontario partnership were \$166.6 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$154.9 in the corresponding 2011 period, an increase of \$11.7, or 7.6%. Net sales of the Ontario partnership were negatively impacted by a stronger U.S. dollar in 2012 as compared with 2011. In Canadian dollars, net sales of the Ontario partnership increased by CN\$16.3, or 10.8%.

| Cost of Sales | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change | |
| Cost of sales | \$1,691.1 | \$1,615.1 | 4.7 | % |
| Cost of sales as a % of sales | 59.4 | % 58.3 | % | |

Cost of sales (primarily laboratory and distribution costs) increased 4.7% in the 2012 period as compared with the 2011 period primarily due to incremental costs from acquisitions including Orchid, increases in labor, and the continued shift in test mix to genomic and esoteric testing. As a percentage of net sales, cost of sales increased to 59.4% in 2012 from 58.3% in 2011. The increase in cost of sales as a percentage of net sales is primarily due to lower margins on acquired operations that have not yet been fully integrated as well as flat volume growth.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------|----------|----|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | \$550.7 | \$605.5 | (9.1) |)% |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses as a % of sales | 19.3 | % 21.8 | % | |

Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales decreased to 19.3% in the six month period of 2012 compared to 21.8% in 2011. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales is partially due to expense management and to efficiencies from acquired operations that are being integrated

into the Company's operating cost structure. Additionally, bad debt expense decreased to 4.4% of net sales in 2012 as compared with 4.7% in 2011 primarily due to improved collection trends resulting from process improvement programs within the Company's billing department and field operations. Finally, during the first six months of 2011, the Company recorded the settlement of the Hunter Labs litigation in Ca

INDEX

California for \$34.5 (\$49.5 settlement less previously recorded reserves of \$15.0) in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Amortization of Intangibles and Other Assets

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|--|---------------------------|--------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change |
| Amortization of intangibles and other assets | \$42.0 | \$43.4 | (3.2)% |

The decrease in amortization of intangibles and other assets primarily reflects certain intangible assets that became fully amortized during 2012, partially offset by an increase from certain acquisitions that closed during the first six months of 2012 and during the year 2011.

Restructuring and Other Special Charges

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|---|---------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change |
| Restructuring and other special charges | \$(0.2 |) \$46.2 | N/A |

During the first six months of 2012, the Company recorded a net credit of \$0.2 in restructuring and other special charges. The Company reversed previously established reserves of \$4.8 in unused severance and \$2.9 in unused facility related costs. This net credit also includes charges of \$6.2 related to severance and other personnel costs along with \$1.3 in net facility-related costs primarily associated with the ongoing integration activities of Clearstone Central Laboratories, Orchid and the Integrated Genetics Division (formerly Genzyme Genetics) and costs associated with the previously announced termination of an executive vice president.

During the first six months of 2011, the Company recorded net restructuring charges of \$24.2. Of this amount, \$13.3 related to severance and other personnel costs, and \$13.8 primarily related to facility-related costs associated with the ongoing integration of the Genzyme Genetics and Westcliff acquisitions. These charges were offset by restructuring credits of \$2.9 resulting from the reversal of unused severance and facility closure liabilities. In addition, the Company recorded fixed assets impairment charges of \$7.2 primarily related to equipment and leasehold improvements in closed facilities. The Company also recorded a special charge of \$14.8 related to a write-off of certain assets and liabilities related to an investment made in a prior year.

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|------------------|---------------------------|--------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change |
| Interest Expense | \$42.8 | \$45.0 | (4.9)% |

The decrease in interest expense for 2012 as compared with 2011 is due to the settlement of approximately \$155.1 of the zero-coupon subordinated notes during 2011. In addition, during December 2011, the Company replaced its Term Loan Facility with a new Revolving Credit Facility. The new Revolving Credit Facility had a lower effective interest rate during the first six month period of 2012 compared with the effective interest rate on the Term Loan Facility during the same period of 2011.

Equity Method Income

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|--|---------------------------|------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change |

Edgar Filing: LABORATORY CORP OF AMERICA HOLDINGS - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|----------------------|--------|-------|-------|---|
| Equity method income | \$12.3 | \$4.1 | 200.0 | % |
|----------------------|--------|-------|-------|---|

Equity method income represents the Company's ownership share in joint venture partnerships along with equity investments in other companies in the healthcare industry. The increase in income in the first six months of 2012 compared with the same 2011 period is primarily due to the Company's share of losses during the first six months of 2011 in the Cincinnati, Ohio joint venture (liquidation initiated in the second half of 2011) and the Canada, China, Singapore and Western Europe equity method investments (acquired by the Company in the second half of 2011). In addition, in conjunction with the liquidation of one its joint ventures,

16

INDEX

the Company recorded a \$2.9 million increase in equity method income during the second quarter of 2012.

| Income Tax Expense | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------|----------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | % Change | |
| Income tax expense | \$210.0 | \$163.7 | 28.3 | % |
| Income tax expense as a % of income before tax | 39.9 | % 38.9 | % | |

The increase in the effective tax rate for 2012 compared with 2011 is due to higher losses from foreign operations in 2012 that currently are non-deductible, as well as increases in the amount of non-deductible employee stock purchase plan expenses.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES (dollars and shares in millions)

The Company's strong cash-generating capability and financial condition typically have provided ready access to capital markets. The Company's principal source of liquidity is operating cash flow, supplemented by proceeds from debt offerings. This cash-generating capability is one of the Company's fundamental strengths and provides substantial financial flexibility in meeting operating, investing and financing needs. The Company's senior unsecured Revolving Credit Facility is further discussed in "Note 7 to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."

On July 31, 2012, the Company completed its acquisition of MEDTOX for \$248.2 in cash, excluding transaction fees. The acquisition was financed through borrowings from the Company's Revolving Credit Facility and cash on hand. The Company believes that it will generate sufficient cash from operations, in combination with available borrowing capacity to satisfy its \$350.0 Senior Note maturity in early 2013. In the event that the Company needs additional liquidity, it believes it can readily access the debt capital markets.

Operating Activities

During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company's operations provided \$383.4 and \$400.2 of cash, respectively, reflecting the Company's solid business results. The decrease in the Company's cash flow from operations primarily resulted from the timing of payments on accrued employee compensation and related benefits at the end of each quarter along with a \$15.3 increase in the amount of net income taxes paid in 2012 as compared to 2011. The Company continues to focus on efforts to increase cash collections from all payers and to generate on-going improvements to the claim submission processes.

Investing Activities

Capital expenditures were \$68.3 and \$75.2 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company expects capital expenditures of approximately \$155.0 in 2012. The Company will continue to make important investments in its business, including information technology. Such expenditures are expected to be funded by cash flow from operations, as well as borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility as needed.

Financing Activities

On December 21, 2011, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") providing for a five-year \$1,000.0 senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility") with Bank of America, N.A., acting as Administrative Agent, Barclays Capital as Syndication Agent, and a group of financial institutions as lending parties. The balances outstanding on the Company's Revolving Credit Facility at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$450.0 and \$560.0, respectively. The Revolving Credit Facility bears interest at varying rates based

upon a base rate or LIBOR plus (in each case) a percentage based on the Company's debt rating with Standard & Poor's and Moody's Ratings Services.

The Revolving Credit Facility is available for general corporate purposes, including working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, funding of share repurchases and other restricted payments permitted under the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement also contains limitations on aggregate subsidiary indebtedness and a debt covenant that requires that the Company maintain on the last day of any period for four consecutive fiscal quarters, in each case taken as one accounting period, a ratio of total debt to consolidated EBITDA (Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization) of not more than 3.0 to 1.0. The Company was in compliance with all covenants in the Credit Agreement at June 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2012, the ratio of total debt to consolidated EBITDA was 1.6 to 1.0.

INDEX

As of June 30, 2012, the effective interest rate on the Revolving Credit Facility was 1.22%.

The interest rate swap agreement to hedge variable interest rate risk on the Company's variable interest rate term loan expired on March 31, 2011. On a quarterly basis under the swap, the Company paid a fixed rate of interest (2.92%) and received a variable rate of interest based on the three-month LIBOR rate on an amortizing notional amount of indebtedness equivalent to the term loan balance outstanding. The swap was designated as a cash flow hedge. Accordingly, the Company recognized the fair value of the swap in the condensed consolidated balance sheets and any changes in the fair value were recorded as adjustments to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement was the estimated amount that the Company would have paid or received to terminate the swap agreement at the reporting date.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding authorization from the Board of Directors to purchase \$84.4 of Company common stock. On February 10, 2012, the Company announced the Board of Directors authorized the purchase of \$500.0 of additional shares of the Company's common stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company repurchased \$252.6 of stock representing 2.9 shares. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had outstanding authorization from the Board of Directors to purchase \$331.9 of Company common stock.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company provided letters of credit aggregating \$37.4, primarily in connection with certain insurance programs. Letters of credit provided by the Company are secured by the Company's senior credit facilities and are renewed annually, around mid-year.

The Company had a \$66.4 and \$63.5 reserve for unrecognized income tax benefits, including interest and penalties as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Substantially all of these tax reserves are classified in other long-term liabilities in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Zero-coupon Subordinated Notes

On March 13, 2012, the Company announced that for the period of March 12, 2012 to September 11, 2012, the zero-coupon subordinated notes will accrue contingent cash interest at a rate of no less than 0.125% of the average market price of a zero-coupon subordinated note for the five trading days ended March 7, 2012, in addition to the continued accrual of the original issue discount.

On July 2, 2012, the Company announced that its zero-coupon subordinated notes may be converted into cash and common stock at the conversion rate of 13.4108 per \$1,000 principal amount at maturity of the notes, subject to the terms of the zero-coupon subordinated notes and the Indenture, dated as of October 24, 2006 between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee and conversion agent. In order to exercise the option to convert all or a portion of the zero-coupon subordinated notes, holders are required to validly surrender their zero-coupon subordinated notes at any time during the calendar quarter beginning July 1, 2012, through the close of business on the last business day of the calendar quarter, which is 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on Friday, September 28, 2012. If notices of conversion are received, the Company plans to settle the cash portion of the conversion obligation with cash on hand and/or borrowings under the revolving credit facility.

Credit Ratings

The Company's debt ratings of Baa2 from Moody's and BBB+ from Standard and Poor's contribute to its ability to access capital markets.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company addresses its exposure to market risks, principally the market risk associated with changes in interest rates, through a controlled program of risk management that includes, from time to time, the use of derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swap agreements. Although, as set forth below, the Company's zero-coupon subordinated notes contain features that are considered to be embedded derivative instruments, the Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. The Company does not believe that its exposure to market risk is material to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

The Company's zero-coupon subordinated notes contain the following two features that are considered to be embedded derivative instruments under authoritative guidance in connection with accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities:

- 1) The Company will pay contingent cash interest on the zero-coupon subordinated notes after September 11, 2006, if the

INDEX

average market price of the notes equals 120% or more of the sum of the issue price, accrued original issue discount and contingent additional principal, if any, for a specified measurement period.

2) Holders may surrender zero-coupon subordinated notes for conversion during any period in which the rating assigned to the zero-coupon subordinated notes by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services is BB- or lower.

Borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility are subject to variable interest rates, unless fixed through interest rate swaps or other agreements.

The Company's Ontario, Canada consolidated joint venture operates in Canada and, accordingly, the earnings and cash flows generated from the Ontario operations are subject to foreign currency exchange risk.

The Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Orchid, has operations in the United Kingdom and, accordingly the earnings and cash flows generated from Orchid's United Kingdom operation are subject to foreign currency risk.

The Alberta, Canada joint venture partnership operates in Canada and remits the Company's share of partnership income in Canadian dollars. Accordingly, the cash flow received from this affiliate is subject to foreign currency exchange risk.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by the Form 10-Q, the Company carried out, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13-a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based on the foregoing, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2012.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

INDEX

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS AND SUBSIDIARIES

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

See Note 10 to the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, which is incorporated by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors that appear in Part I - Item 1A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds (Shares and dollars in millions, except per share data)

The following table sets forth information with respect to purchases of shares of the Company's common stock made during the three months ended June 30, 2012, by or on behalf of the Company:

| | Total Number of Shares Repurchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Repurchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program | Maximum Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Repurchased Under the Program |
|--------------------|---|--|---|--|
| April 1 – April 30 | 0.5 | \$89.61 | 0.5 | \$422.2 |
| May 1 – May 31 | 0.5 | 86.02 | 0.5 | 375.8 |
| June 1 – June 30 | 0.5 | 86.94 | 0.5 | 331.9 |
| | 1.5 | \$87.40 | 1.5 | |

The Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of specified amounts of the Company's common stock since 2007, including the Board of Director's authorization on February 10, 2011 to purchase up to \$500.0 of additional shares of the Company's common stock. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding authorization from the Board of Directors to purchase up to \$84.4 of Company common stock. On February 10, 2012, the Company announced the Board of Directors authorized the purchase of up to \$500.0 of additional shares of the Company's common stock. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had outstanding authorization from the Board of Directors to purchase \$331.9 of Company common stock. The repurchase authorization has no expiration date.

INDEX

Item 6. Exhibits

- (a) Exhibits
- 10.32 Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings 2012 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference herein to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 2, 2012)
- 10.33 Fourth Amendment to the Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings 1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference herein to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 2, 2012)
- 12.1* Ratio of earnings to fixed charges
- 31.1* Certification by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)
- 31.2* Certification by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)
- 32* Written Statement of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350)
- 101.INS* XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
- 101.CAL* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
- 101.DEF* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
- 101.LAB* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
- 101.PRE* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase
- * filed herewith

INDEX

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS

Registrant

By: /s/ DAVID P. KING
David P. King
Chairman of the Board, President
and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ WILLIAM B. HAYES
William B. Hayes
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

August 2, 2012