

RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2017
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2017

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 000-22957

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Washington 91-1838969
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer I.D. Number)

900 Washington St., Ste. 900, Vancouver, Washington 98660
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (360) 693-6650

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company or an emerging growth company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer", "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share, 22,527,401 shares outstanding as of August 8, 2017.

Form 10-Q

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
INDEX

Part I.	<u>Financial Information Page</u>	<u>Page</u>
Item 1:	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017	2
	Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016	3
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016	4
	Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2:	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 3:	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	38
Item 4:	Controls and Procedures	38
Part II.	<u>Other Information</u>	39 - 40
Item 1:	Legal Proceedings	
Item 1A:	Risk Factors	
Item 2:	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	
Item 3:	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	
Item 4:	Mine Safety Disclosures	
Item 5:	Other Information	
Item 6:	Exhibits	

SIGNATURES

41

Certifications

[Exhibit 31.1](#)

[Exhibit 31.2](#)

[Exhibit 32](#)

Forward-Looking Statements

As used in this Form 10-Q, the terms "we," "our," "us," "Riverview" and "Company" refer to Riverview Bancorp, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries, including its wholly-owned subsidiary, Riverview Community Bank, unless the context indicates otherwise.

"Safe Harbor" statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995: When used in this Form 10-Q, the words "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "forecasts," "intends," "plans," "targets," "potentially," "probably," "projects," "outlook," or similar expressions or future or conditional verbs such as "may," "will," "should," "would," and "could," or similar expressions are intended to identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements include statements with respect to our beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, assumptions and statements about future performance. These forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results anticipated, including, but not limited to: the credit risks of lending activities, including changes in the level and trend of loan delinquencies and write-offs and changes in the Company's allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses that may be impacted by deterioration in the housing and commercial real estate markets; the Company's ability to successfully integrate any assets, liabilities, customers, systems, and management personnel it may acquire into its operations and the Company's ability to realize related revenue synergies and cost savings within expected time frames and any goodwill charges related thereto, including from our recent purchase of certain assets and assumption of certain liabilities of MBank and Merchants Bancorp; changes in general economic conditions, either nationally or in the Company's market areas; changes in the levels of general interest rates, and the relative differences between short and long-term interest rates, deposit interest rates, the Company's net interest margin and funding sources; fluctuations in the demand for loans, the number of unsold homes, land and other properties and fluctuations in real estate values in the Company's market areas; secondary market conditions for loans and the Company's ability to sell loans in the secondary market; results of examinations of our bank subsidiary, Riverview Community Bank, by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and of the Company by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or other regulatory authorities, including the possibility that any such regulatory authority may, among other things, require the Company to increase its allowance for loan losses, write-down assets, reclassify its assets, change Riverview Community Bank's regulatory capital position or affect the Company's ability to borrow funds or maintain or increase deposits, which could adversely affect its liquidity and earnings; legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect the Company's business including changes in regulatory policies and principles, or the interpretation of regulatory capital or other rules, including as a result of Basel III; the Company's ability to attract and retain deposits; increases in premiums for deposit insurance; the Company's ability to control operating costs and expenses; the use of estimates in determining fair value of certain of the Company's assets, which estimates may prove to be incorrect and result in significant declines in valuation; difficulties in reducing risks associated with the loans on the Company's consolidated balance sheet; staffing fluctuations in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies that affect the Company's workforce and potential associated charges; computer systems on which the Company depends could fail or experience a security breach; the Company's ability to retain key members of its senior management team; costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments; the Company's ability to implement its business strategies; increased competitive pressures among financial services companies; changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits; the availability of resources to address changes in laws, rules, or regulations or to respond to regulatory actions; the Company's ability to pay dividends on its common stock and interest or principal payments on its junior subordinated debentures; adverse changes in the securities markets; inability of key third-party providers to perform their obligations to us; changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the financial institution regulatory agencies or the Financial Accounting Standards Board, including additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods; other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and technological factors affecting the Company's operations, pricing, products and services; and the other risks described from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Company cautions readers not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. Moreover, you should treat these statements as speaking only as of the date they are made and based only on information then actually known to the Company. The Company does not undertake and specifically disclaims any obligation to revise any forward-looking statements included in this report or the reasons why actual results could differ from those contained in such statements, whether as a result of new information or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements. These risks could cause our actual results for fiscal 2018 and beyond to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements by, or on behalf of, us and could negatively affect the Company's consolidated financial condition and consolidated results of operations as well as its stock price performance.

1

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

JUNE 30, 2017 AND MARCH 31, 2017

(In thousands, except share and per share data) (Unaudited)	June 30, 2017	March 31, 2017
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents (including interest-earning accounts of \$14,919 and \$46,245)	\$34,108	\$64,613
Certificates of deposit held for investment	11,042	11,042
Loans held for sale	768	478
Investment securities:		
Available for sale, at estimated fair value	205,012	200,214
Held to maturity, at amortized cost (estimated fair value of \$55 and \$66)	54	64
Loans receivable (net of allowance for loan losses of \$10,597 and \$10,528)	786,913	768,904
Real estate owned ("REO")	298	298
Prepaid expenses and other assets	3,901	3,815
Accrued interest receivable	3,086	2,941
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost	1,181	1,181
Premises and equipment, net	16,041	16,232
Deferred income taxes, net	6,051	7,610
Mortgage servicing rights, net	408	398
Goodwill	27,076	27,076
Core deposit intangible ("CDI"), net	1,277	1,335
Bank owned life insurance ("BOLI")	27,945	27,738
TOTAL ASSETS	\$1,125,161	\$1,133,939
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
LIABILITIES:		
Deposits	\$973,483	\$980,058
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	8,302	13,080
Advanced payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance	596	693
Junior subordinated debentures	26,414	26,390
Capital lease obligation	2,449	2,454
Total liabilities	1,011,244	1,022,675
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (See Note 13)		
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Serial preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 250,000 authorized; issued and outstanding: none	-	-
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 50,000,000 authorized		
June 30, 2017 – 22,527,401 issued and outstanding	225	225
March 31, 2017 – 22,510,890 issued and outstanding		
Additional paid-in capital	64,556	64,468
Retained earnings	50,482	48,335
Unearned shares issued to employee stock ownership plan ("ESOP")	(52)	(77)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,294)	(1,687)
Total shareholders' equity	113,917	111,264

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$1,125,161	\$1,133,939
--	-------------	-------------

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

2

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

(In thousands, except share and per share data) (Unaudited)	2017	2018
INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME:		
Interest and fees on loans receivable	\$9,789	\$7,440
Interest on investment securities – taxable	1,133	720
Interest on investment securities – nontaxable	14	-
Other interest and dividends	87	102
Total interest and dividend income	11,023	8,262
INTEREST EXPENSE:		
Interest on deposits	322	281
Interest on borrowings	268	158
Total interest expense	590	439
Net interest income	10,433	7,823
Provision for loan losses	-	-
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	10,433	7,823
NON-INTEREST INCOME:		
Fees and service charges	1,407	1,323
Asset management fees	853	822
Net gains on sales of loans held for sale	225	139
BOLI	207	191
Other, net	46	39
Total non-interest income, net	2,738	2,514
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE:		
Salaries and employee benefits	5,422	4,640
Occupancy and depreciation	1,346	1,137
Data processing	616	495
Amortization of CDI	58	-
Advertising and marketing	234	193
FDIC insurance premium	145	122
State and local taxes	154	139
Telecommunications	104	73
Professional fees	415	258
REO	2	15
Other	678	743
Total non-interest expense	9,174	7,815
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	3,997	2,522
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	1,343	825
NET INCOME	\$2,654	\$1,697
Earnings per common share:		
Basic	\$0.12	\$0.08
Diluted	0.12	0.08
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:		

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Basic	22,504,852	22,467,861
Diluted	22,589,440	22,514,235

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

3

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
 FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

(In thousands) (Unaudited)	2017	2016
Net income	\$2,654	\$1,697
Other comprehensive income:		
Net unrealized holding gain from available for sale investment securities arising during the period, net of tax of (\$217) and (\$245), respectively	393	441
Total comprehensive income, net	\$3,047	\$2,138

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

(In thousands, except share data) (Unaudited)	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Unearned Shares Issued to ESOP	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
	Shares	Amount					
Balance April 1, 2016	22,507,890	\$ 225	\$ 64,418	\$ 42,728	\$ (181)	\$ 1,083	\$ 108,273
Net income	-	-	-	1,697	-	-	1,697
Cash dividend (\$0.02 per share)	-	-	-	(449)	-	-	(449)
Earned ESOP shares	-	-	3	-	26	-	29
Other comprehensive income, net	-	-	-	-	-	441	441
Balance June 30, 2016	22,507,890	\$ 225	\$ 64,421	\$ 43,976	\$ (155)	\$ 1,524	\$ 109,991
Balance April 1, 2017	22,510,890	\$ 225	\$ 64,468	\$ 48,335	\$ (77)	\$ (1,687)	\$ 111,264
Net income	-	-	-	2,654	-	-	2,654
Cash dividend (\$0.0225 per share)	-	-	-	(507)	-	-	(507)
Exercise of stock options	16,511	-	70	-	-	-	70
Earned ESOP shares	-	-	18	-	25	-	43
Other comprehensive income, net	-	-	-	-	-	393	393
Balance June 30, 2017	22,527,401	\$ 225	\$ 64,556	\$ 50,482	\$ (52)	\$ (1,294)	\$ 113,917

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

(In thousands) (Unaudited)	2017	2016
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$2,654	\$1,697
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	883	911
Provision for deferred income taxes	1,342	803
Expense related to ESOP	43	29
Increase in deferred loan origination fees, net of amortization	2,955	163
Origination of loans held for sale	(7,368)	(4,118)
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	7,239	4,253
Net gains on loans held for sale, sales and transfer of REO, sales of investment securities and sales of premises and equipment	(225)	(134)
Income from BOLI	(207)	(191)
Changes in certain other assets and liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(137)	69
Accrued interest receivable	(145)	(68)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(4,794)	(119)
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,240	3,295
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Loan originations, net	(6,315)	(5,265)
Purchases of loans receivable	(14,789)	-
Principal repayments on investment securities available for sale	6,498	6,275
Purchases of investment securities available for sale	(11,030)	(21,464)
Proceeds from calls, maturities, and sales of investment securities available for sale	-	2,500
Principal repayments on investment securities held to maturity	10	3
Purchases of premises and equipment and capitalized software	(107)	(96)
Redemption of certificates of deposit held for investment, net	-	498
Proceeds from sales of REO and premises and equipment	-	21
Net cash used in investing activities	(25,733)	(17,528)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	(6,530)	9,752
Dividends paid	(450)	(449)
Proceeds from borrowings	17,925	-
Repayment of borrowings	(17,925)	-
Principal payments on capital lease obligation	(5)	(5)
Net decrease in advance payments by borrowers	(97)	(88)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	70	-
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(7,012)	9,210
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(30,505)	(5,023)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	64,613	55,400

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$34,108	\$50,377
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOWS INFORMATION:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$553	\$395
Income taxes	1	20
NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Dividends declared and accrued in other liabilities	\$507	\$450
Unrealized holding gain from investment securities available for sale	610	686
Income tax effect related to unrealized holding gain from investment securities available for sale	(217)	(245)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with instructions for Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and, therefore, do not include all disclosures necessary for a complete presentation of financial condition, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). However, all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the interim unaudited consolidated financial statements have been included. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Riverview Bancorp, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2017 ("2017 Form 10-K"). The unaudited consolidated results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2017 are not necessarily indicative of the results which may be expected for the entire fiscal year ending March 31, 2018.

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

On February 17, 2017, Riverview Bancorp, Inc. and Riverview Community Bank completed the purchase and assumption transaction in which Riverview Community Bank purchased certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of MBank, the wholly-owned subsidiary of Merchants Bancorp (the "MBank transaction"). In addition, as part of the MBank transaction, Riverview Bancorp, Inc. assumed the obligations of Merchant Bancorp's trust preferred securities. The MBank transaction was accounted for as a business combination pursuant to GAAP. The results of operations of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities have been included in the Company's consolidated financial statements as of the acquisition date. See Note 3 for additional discussion.

2. PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Riverview Bancorp, Inc.; its wholly-owned subsidiary, Riverview Community Bank (the "Bank"); and the Bank's wholly-owned subsidiaries, Riverview Services, Inc. and Riverview Trust Company (the "Trust Company") (collectively referred to as the "Company"). All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

3. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

On February 17, 2017, the Company acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of Merchants Bancorp and its wholly-owned subsidiary, MBank. MBank provided community banking services to individuals and businesses from banking offices in the Portland, Oregon metropolitan area. As a result of the transaction, the Company has increased its presence in the Portland, Oregon metropolitan area and further diversified its loan, customer and deposit base. Total consideration paid under the MBank transaction consisted of \$12.1 million in cash. There were no transfers of common stock or other equity instruments in connection with the MBank transaction, and the Company did not obtain any equity interests in Merchants Bancorp or MBank.

The acquired assets and assumed liabilities were recorded on the Company's consolidated balance sheets at their estimated fair values as of the February 17, 2017 transaction date, and the related results of operations have been included in the Company's consolidated statements of income since the transaction date. The excess of the

consideration transferred over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired was recorded as goodwill. The goodwill arising from the transaction consists largely of the synergies and economies of scale expected from combining the operations of the Company and the acquired business.

7

In most instances, determining the estimated fair values of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities required the Company to estimate cash flows expected to result from those assets and liabilities and to discount those cash flows at the appropriate rate of interest. Differences may arise between contractually required payments and the expected cash flows at the acquisition date due to items such as estimated credit losses, prepayments or early withdrawals, and other factors. The most significant of those determinations related to the valuation of acquired loans. For such loans, the excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the estimated fair value is recognized as interest income over the remaining lives of the loans. In accordance with GAAP, there was no carry-over of MBank's previously established allowance for loan losses. Goodwill is expected to be fully deductible for income tax purposes as, under the terms of the MBank transaction, the Company purchased certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of MBank but did not acquire any equity or other ownership interests.

The following table summarizes the fair value of consideration transferred, the estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date, and the resulting goodwill relating to the transaction (in thousands):

	At February 17, 2017		
	Book Value	Fair Value Adjustment	Estimated Fair Value
Cash consideration transferred			\$ 12,080
Recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed			
Identifiable assets acquired			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$27,196	\$ -	\$27,196
Loans receivable	115,283	(3,258)	112,025
CDI	-	1,363	1,363
Premises and equipment	1,769	399	2,168
BOLI	2,113	-	2,113
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	431	90	521
Total identifiable assets acquired	146,792	(1,406)	145,386
Liabilities assumed			
Deposits	130,572	235	130,807
Junior subordinated debentures	5,155	(1,468)	3,687
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	293	23	316
Total liabilities assumed	136,020	(1,210)	134,810
Total identifiable net assets	\$ 10,772	\$ (196)	10,576
Goodwill recognized			\$ 1,504

The acquired loan portfolio was valued using Level 3 inputs (see Note 11) and included the use of present value techniques, including cash flow estimates and incorporated assumptions that the Company believes marketplace participants would use in estimating fair values. Credit discounts were included in the determination of the fair value of the loans acquired; therefore, an allowance for loan losses was not recorded at the acquisition date. Acquired loans are evaluated upon acquisition and classified as either purchased credit-impaired ("PCI") or purchased non-credit-impaired. PCI loans reflect credit deterioration since origination such that it is probable at acquisition that the Company will be unable to collect all contractually required payments. The Company determined there were no PCI loans acquired in connection with the MBank transaction.

For purchased non-credit-impaired loans, the difference between the fair value and unpaid principal balance of the loan at the acquisition date is amortized or accreted to interest income over the life of the loans. Any subsequent deterioration in credit quality is recognized by recording an allowance for loan losses.

CDI represents the value assigned to demand, interest checking, money market and savings accounts acquired as part of an acquisition. CDI represents the future economic benefit of the potential cost savings from acquiring core deposits as part of an acquisition compared to the cost of alternative funding sources. CDI is amortized to non-interest expense using an accelerated method based on an estimated runoff of related deposits over a period of ten years. CDI is evaluated for impairment and recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable, with any changes in estimated useful life accounted for prospectively over the revised remaining life.

4. STOCK PLANS AND STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

In July 1998, shareholders of the Company approved the adoption of the 1998 Stock Option Plan ("1998 Plan"). The 1998 Plan was effective October 1998 and expired in October 2008. In addition, in July 2003, shareholders of the Company approved the adoption of the 2003 Stock Option Plan ("2003 Plan"). The 2003 Plan was effective in July 2003 and expired in July 2013. Accordingly, no further option awards may be granted under the 1998 Plan or the 2003 Plan; however, any awards granted prior to their expirations remain outstanding subject to their terms. Each option granted under the 1998 Plan or the 2003 Plan has an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant, a maximum term of ten years and a vesting period from zero to five years.

The following table presents activity related to stock options outstanding for the periods shown:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017		Three Months Ended June 30, 2016	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance, beginning of period	220,654	\$ 4.74	223,654	\$ 4.73
Options exercised	(16,511)	4.19	-	-
Expired	(5,000)	14.52	-	-
Balance, end of period	199,143	\$ 4.54	223,654	\$ 4.73

The following table presents information on stock options outstanding for the periods shown, less estimated forfeitures:

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2017	2016
Stock options fully vested and expected to vest:		
Number	199,143	223,654
Weighted average exercise price	\$4.54	\$4.73
Aggregate intrinsic value ⁽¹⁾	\$518,000	\$235,000
Weighted average contractual term of options (years)	3.25	4.09
Stock options fully vested and currently exercisable:		
Number	199,143	223,654
Weighted average exercise price	\$4.54	\$4.73
Aggregate intrinsic value ⁽¹⁾	\$518,000	\$235,000
Weighted average contractual term of options (years)	3.25	4.09

⁽¹⁾ The aggregate intrinsic value of a stock option in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the amount by which the current market value of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised. This amount changes based on changes in the market value of the Company's stock.

There was no stock-based compensation expense related to stock options for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016. As of June 30, 2017, all outstanding stock options were fully vested, and there was no remaining unrecognized compensation expense. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised was \$47,000 for the three

months ended June 30, 2017. There were no stock options exercised during the three months ended June 30, 2016.

The fair value of each stock option granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes stock option valuation model. There were no stock options granted during the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016.

On July 26, 2017, the shareholders of the Company approved the Riverview Bancorp, Inc. 2017 Equity Incentive Plan ("2017 Plan"). The 2017 Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units. The Company has reserved 1,800,000 shares of its common stock for issuance under the 2017 Plan.

5. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is computed by dividing net income or loss applicable to common stock by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, without considering any dilutive items. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income or loss applicable to common stock by the weighted average number of common shares and common stock equivalents for items that are dilutive, net of shares assumed to be repurchased using the treasury stock method at the average share price for the Company's common stock during the period. Common stock equivalents arise from the assumed exercise of outstanding stock options. Shares owned by the Company's ESOP that have not been allocated are not considered to be outstanding for the purpose of computing basic and diluted EPS. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, there were 24,633 and 49,266 shares, respectively, which had not been allocated under the Company's ESOP. For the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, stock options for 19,000 and 59,000 shares, respectively, of common stock were excluded in computing diluted EPS because they were antidilutive.

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The following table presents a reconciliation of the components used to compute basic and diluted EPS for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2017	2016
Basic EPS computation:		
Numerator-net income	\$2,654,000	\$1,697,000
Denominator-weighted average common shares outstanding	22,504,852	22,467,861
Basic EPS	\$0.12	\$0.08
Diluted EPS computation:		
Numerator-net income	\$2,654,000	\$1,697,000
Denominator-weighted average common shares outstanding	22,504,852	22,467,861
Effect of dilutive stock options	84,588	46,374
Weighted average common shares and common stock equivalents	22,589,440	22,514,235
Diluted EPS	\$0.12	\$0.08

6. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The amortized cost and approximate fair value of investment securities consisted of the following at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
<u>June 30, 2017</u>				
Available for sale:				
Municipal securities	\$ 6,398	\$ 13	\$ (48)) \$ 6,363
Agency securities	22,400	18	(179)) 22,239
Real estate mortgage investment conduits ⁽¹⁾	41,683	64	(284)) 41,463
Residential mortgage-backed securities ⁽¹⁾	93,576	115	(994)) 92,697
Other mortgage-backed securities ⁽²⁾	42,961	28	(739)) 42,250
Total available for sale	\$ 207,018	\$ 238	\$ (2,244)) \$ 205,012
Held to maturity:				
Residential mortgage-backed securities ⁽³⁾	\$ 54	\$ 1	\$ -) \$ 55
<u>March 31, 2017</u>				
Available for sale:				
Municipal securities	\$ 2,936	\$ -	\$ (117)) \$ 2,819
Agency securities	16,993	18	(203)) 16,808
Real estate mortgage investment conduits ⁽¹⁾	43,510	49	(399)) 43,160
Residential mortgage-backed securities ⁽¹⁾	97,742	111	(1,242)) 96,611
Other mortgage-backed securities ⁽²⁾	41,649	15	(848)) 40,816
Total available for sale	\$ 202,830	\$ 193	\$ (2,809)) \$ 200,214
Held to maturity:				
Residential mortgage-backed securities ⁽³⁾	\$ 64	\$ 2	\$ -) \$ 66

(1) Comprised of Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC"), Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMA") and Ginnie Mae ("GNMA") issued securities.

(2) Comprised of U.S. Small Business Administration ("SBA") issued securities and commercial real estate ("CRE") secured securities issued by FNMA.

(3) Comprised of FHLMC and FNMA issued securities.

The contractual maturities of investment securities as of June 30, 2017 are as follows (in thousands):

	Available for Sale		Held to Maturity	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$5,000	\$4,985	\$-	\$-
Due after one year through five years	11,488	11,489	-	-
Due after five years through ten years	41,199	40,744	48	49
Due after ten years	149,331	147,794	6	6
Total	\$207,018	\$205,012	\$54	\$55

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Expected maturities of investment securities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

The fair value of temporarily impaired investment securities, the amount of unrealized losses and the length of time these unrealized losses existed are as follows at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
<u>June 30, 2017</u>						
Available for sale:						
Municipal securities	\$5,170	\$ (48)	\$-	\$ -	\$5,170	\$ (48)
Agency securities	21,216	(179)	-	-	21,216	(179)
Real estate mortgage investment conduits ⁽¹⁾	29,606	(284)	-	-	29,606	(284)
Residential mortgage-backed securities ⁽¹⁾	72,615	(988)	556	(6)	73,171	(994)
Other mortgage-backed securities ⁽²⁾	34,288	(716)	2,805	(23)	37,093	(739)
Total available for sale	\$162,895	\$ (2,215)	\$3,361	\$ (29)	\$166,256	\$ (2,244)

⁽¹⁾ Comprised of FHLMC, FNMA and GNMA issued securities.

⁽²⁾ Comprised of SBA issued and CRE secured securities issued by FNMA.

March 31, 2017

Available for sale:						
Municipal securities	\$2,819	\$(117)	\$-	\$-	\$2,819	\$(117)
Agency securities	15,785	(203)	-	-	15,785	(203)
Real estate mortgage investment conduits ⁽¹⁾	32,221	(399)	-	-	32,221	(399)
Residential mortgage-backed securities ⁽²⁾	74,388	(1,232)	602	(10)	74,990	(1,242)
Other mortgage-backed securities ⁽³⁾	36,754	(803)	2,840	(45)	39,594	(848)
Total available for sale	\$161,967	\$(2,754)	\$3,442	\$(55)	\$165,409	\$(2,809)

⁽¹⁾ Comprised of FHLMC and FNMA issued securities.

⁽²⁾ Comprised of FHLMC, FNMA and GNMA issued securities.

⁽³⁾ Comprised of SBA issued and CRE secured securities issued by FNMA.

The unrealized losses on the Company's investment securities at June 30, 2017 were primarily attributable to increases in market interest rates subsequent to their purchase by the Company. The Company expects the fair value of these securities to recover as the securities approach their maturity dates or sooner if market yields for such securities decline. The Company does not believe that these securities are other than temporarily impaired because of their credit quality or related to any issuer or industry specific event. Based on management's evaluation and intent, the unrealized losses related to the investment securities in the above tables are considered temporary.

The Company had no sales and realized no gains or losses on sales of investment securities for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016. Investment securities available for sale with an amortized cost of \$10.8 million and \$11.1 million and a fair value of \$10.7 million and \$11.1 million at June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, respectively, were pledged as collateral for government public funds held by the Bank. Investment securities held to maturity with an amortized cost of \$11,000 and \$20,000 and a fair value of \$11,000 and \$20,000 at June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, respectively, were pledged as collateral for government public funds held by the Bank.

7. LOANS RECEIVABLE

Loans receivable as of June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017 are reported net of deferred loan fees totaling \$3.3 million and \$3.2 million, respectively. Loans receivable are also reported net of discounts totaling \$1.5 million and \$2.0 million at June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, respectively. Loans receivable, excluding loans held for sale, consisted of the following at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	June 30, 2017	March 31, 2017
Commercial and construction		
Commercial business	\$ 125,732	\$ 107,371
Commercial real estate	451,831	447,071
Land	15,340	15,875
Multi-family	46,189	43,715
Real estate construction	43,186	46,157
Total commercial and construction	682,278	660,189
Consumer		
Real estate one-to-four family	91,898	92,865
Other installment ⁽¹⁾	23,334	26,378
Total consumer	115,232	119,243
Total loans	797,510	779,432
Less: Allowance for loan losses	10,597	10,528
Loans receivable, net	\$ 786,913	\$ 768,904

⁽¹⁾ Consists primarily of purchased automobile loans totaling \$20.5 million and \$23.6 million at June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, respectively.

The Company considers its loan portfolio to have very little exposure to sub-prime mortgage loans since the Company has not historically engaged in this type of lending. At June 30, 2017, loans carried at \$447.0 million were pledged as collateral to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines ("FHLB") and Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco ("FRB") pursuant to borrowing agreements.

Most of the Bank's business activity is with customers located in the states of Washington and Oregon. Loans and extensions of credit outstanding at one time to one borrower are generally limited by federal regulation to 15% of the Bank's shareholders' equity, excluding accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). As of June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, the Bank had no loans to any one borrower in excess of the regulatory limit.

8. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level sufficient to provide for estimated loan losses based on evaluating known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio. The allowance is provided based upon the Company's ongoing quarterly assessment of the pertinent factors underlying the quality of the loan portfolio. These factors include changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio, delinquency levels, actual loan loss experience, current economic conditions and a detailed analysis of individual loans for which full collectability may not be assured. The detailed analysis includes techniques to estimate the fair value of loan collateral and the existence of potential alternative sources of repayment. The allowance consists of specific, general and unallocated components.

The specific component relates to loans that are considered impaired. For loans that are classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows or collateral value (less estimated selling costs, if applicable) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan.

The general component covers non-impaired loans based on the Company's risk rating system and historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors. The Company calculates its historical loss rates using the average of the last four quarterly 24-month periods. The Company calculates and applies its historical loss rates by individual loan types in its portfolio. These historical loss rates are adjusted for qualitative and environmental factors.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that the Company believes have resulted in incurred losses that have not yet been allocated to specific elements of the general and specific components of the allowance for loan losses. Such factors include uncertainties in economic conditions and in identifying triggering events that directly correlate to subsequent loss rates, changes in appraised value of underlying collateral, risk factors that have not yet manifested themselves in loss allocation factors and historical loss experience data that may not precisely correspond to the current portfolio or economic conditions. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio. The appropriate allowance level is estimated based upon factors and trends identified by the Company as of the date of the filing of the consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

When available information confirms that specific loans or portions of these loans are uncollectible, identified amounts are charged against the allowance for loan losses. The existence of some or all of the following criteria will generally confirm that a loss has been incurred: the loan is significantly delinquent and the borrower has not demonstrated the ability or intent to bring the loan current; the Company has no recourse to the borrower, or if it does, the borrower has insufficient assets to pay the debt; and/or the estimated fair value of the loan collateral is significantly below the current loan balance, and there is little or no near-term prospect for improvement.

Management's evaluation of the allowance for loan losses is based on ongoing, quarterly assessments of the known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio. Loss factors are based on the Company's historical loss experience with additional consideration and adjustments made for changes in economic conditions, changes in the amount and composition of the loan portfolio, delinquency rates, changes in collateral values, seasoning of the loan portfolio, duration of the current business cycle, a detailed analysis of impaired loans and other factors as deemed appropriate. These factors are evaluated on a quarterly basis. Loss rates used by the Company are affected as changes in these factors increase or decrease from quarter to quarter. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses and may require the Company to make additions to the allowance based on their judgment about information available to them at the time of their examinations.

The following tables present a reconciliation of the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated (in thousands):

Three months ended <u>June 30, 2017</u>	Commercial Business	Commercial Real Estate	Land	Multi- Family	Real Estate Construction	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Beginning balance	\$ 1,418	\$ 5,084	\$228	\$ 297	\$ 714	\$ 2,099	\$ 688	\$10,528
Provision for (recapture of) loan losses	(30)	92	(146)	205	(46)	(96)	21	-
Charge-offs	-	-	-	-	-	(82)	-	(82)
Recoveries	3	-	137	-	-	11	-	151
Ending balance	\$ 1,391	\$ 5,176	\$219	\$ 502	\$ 668	\$ 1,932	\$ 709	\$10,597

Three months ended
June 30, 2016

Beginning balance	\$1,048	\$4,273	\$325	\$712	\$416	\$2,403	\$708	\$9,885
Provision for (recapture of) loan losses	(150)	198	(95)	(41)	149	(63)	2	-
Charge-offs	-	-	-	-	-	(44)	-	(44)
Recoveries	4	2	82	-	-	31	-	119
Ending balance	\$902	\$4,473	\$312	\$671	\$565	\$2,327	\$710	\$9,960

The following tables present an analysis of loans receivable and the allowance for loan losses, based on impairment methodology, at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	Allowance for Loan Losses			Recorded Investment in Loans		
	Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	Total	Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	Total
<u>June 30, 2017</u>						
Commercial business	\$-	\$ 1,391	\$1,391	\$1,114	\$ 124,618	\$125,732
Commercial real estate	88	5,088	5,176	3,743	448,088	451,831

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Land	-	219	219	791	14,549	15,340
Multi-family	-	502	502	1,681	44,508	46,189
Real estate construction	-	668	668	-	43,186	43,186
Consumer	83	1,849	1,932	1,464	113,768	115,232
Unallocated	-	709	709	-	-	-
Total	\$171	\$ 10,426	\$10,597	\$8,793	\$ 788,717	\$797,510

March 31, 2017

Commercial business	\$-	\$1,418	\$1,418	\$294	\$107,077	\$107,371
Commercial real estate	-	5,084	5,084	7,604	439,467	447,071
Land	-	228	228	801	15,074	15,875
Multi-family	-	297	297	1,692	42,023	43,715
Real estate construction	-	714	714	-	46,157	46,157
Consumer	88	2,011	2,099	1,475	117,768	119,243
Unallocated	-	688	688	-	-	-
Total	\$88	\$10,440	\$10,528	\$11,866	\$767,566	\$779,432

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Non-accrual loans: Loans are reviewed regularly and it is the Company's general policy that a loan is past due when it is 30 to 89 days delinquent. In general, when a loan is 90 days delinquent or when collection of principal or interest appears doubtful, it is placed on non-accrual status, at which time the accrual of interest ceases and a reserve for unrecoverable accrued interest is established and charged against operations. As a general practice, payments received on non-accrual loans are applied to reduce the outstanding principal balance on a cost recovery method. Also as a general practice, a loan is not removed from non-accrual status until all delinquent principal, interest and late fees have been brought current and the borrower has demonstrated a history of performance based upon the contractual terms of the note. A history of repayment performance generally would be a minimum of six months. Interest income foregone on non-accrual loans was \$24,000 and \$17,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

The following tables present an analysis of loans by aging category at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days and Greater Past Due	Non-accrual	Total Past Due and Non- accrual	Current	Total Loans Receivable
<u>June 30, 2017</u>						
Commercial business	\$ 11	\$ -	\$ 292	\$ 303	\$ 125,429	\$ 125,732
Commercial real estate	-	-	1,323	1,323	450,508	451,831
Land	-	-	791	791	14,549	15,340
Multi-family	-	-	-	-	46,189	46,189
Real estate construction	-	-	-	-	43,186	43,186
Consumer	189	-	386	575	114,657	115,232
Total	\$ 200	\$ -	\$ 2,792	\$ 2,992	\$ 794,518	\$ 797,510

March 31, 2017

Commercial business	\$ 13	\$-	\$294	\$307	\$ 107,064	\$ 107,371
Commercial real estate	-	-	1,342	1,342	445,729	447,071
Land	-	-	801	801	15,074	15,875
Multi-family	-	-	-	-	43,715	43,715
Real estate construction	-	-	-	-	46,157	46,157
Consumer	228	34	278	540	118,703	119,243
Total	\$241	\$34	\$2,715	\$2,990	\$776,442	\$779,432

Credit quality indicators: The Company monitors credit risk in its loan portfolio using a risk rating system (on a scale of one to nine) for all commercial (non-consumer) loans. The risk rating system is a measure of the credit risk of the borrower based on their historical, current and anticipated future financial characteristics. The Company assigns a risk rating to each commercial loan at origination and subsequently updates these ratings, as necessary, so that the risk rating continues to reflect the appropriate risk characteristics of the loan. Application of appropriate risk ratings is key to management of loan portfolio risk. In determining the appropriate risk rating, the Company considers the following factors: delinquency, payment history, quality of management, liquidity, leverage, earnings trends, alternative funding sources, geographic risk, industry risk, cash flow adequacy, account practices, asset protection and extraordinary risks. Consumer loans, including custom construction loans, are not assigned a risk rating but rather are grouped into homogeneous pools with similar risk characteristics. When a consumer loan is delinquent 90 days, it is placed on non-accrual status and assigned a substandard risk rating. Loss factors are assigned to each risk rating and homogeneous pool based on historical loss experience for similar loans. This historical loss experience is adjusted for qualitative factors that are likely to cause the estimated credit losses to differ from the Company's historical loss

experience. The Company uses these loss factors to estimate the general component of its allowance for loan losses.

Pass – These loans have a risk rating between 1 and 4 and are to borrowers that meet normal credit standards. Any deficiencies in satisfactory asset quality, liquidity, debt servicing capacity and coverage are offset by strengths in other areas. The borrower currently has the capacity to perform according to the loan terms. Any concerns about risk factors such as stability of margins, stability of cash flows, liquidity, dependence on a single product/supplier/customer, depth of management, etc. are offset by strength in other areas. Typically, these loans are secured by the operating assets of the borrower and/or real estate. The borrower's management is considered competent. The borrower has the ability to repay the debt in the normal course of business.

Watch – These loans have a risk rating of 5 and are included in the "pass" rating. However, there would typically be some reason for additional management oversight, such as the borrower's recent financial setbacks and/or deteriorating financial position, industry concerns and failure to perform on other borrowing obligations. Loans with this rating are monitored closely in an effort to correct deficiencies.

Special mention – These loans have a risk rating of 6 and are rated in accordance with regulatory guidelines. These loans have potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or in the credit position at some future date. These loans pose elevated risk but their weakness does not yet justify a "substandard" classification.

Substandard – These loans have a risk rating of 7 and are rated in accordance with regulatory guidelines, for which the accrual of interest may or may not be discontinued. By definition under regulatory guidelines, a "substandard" loan has defined weaknesses which make payment default or principal exposure likely but not yet certain. Repayment of such loans is likely to be dependent upon collateral liquidation, a secondary source of repayment, or an event outside of the normal course of business.

Doubtful – These loans have a risk rating of 8 and are rated in accordance with regulatory guidelines. Such loans are placed on non-accrual status and repayment may be dependent upon collateral which has value that is difficult to determine or upon some near-term event which lacks certainty.

Loss – These loans have a risk rating of 9 and are rated in accordance with regulatory guidelines. Such loans are charged-off or charged-down when payment is acknowledged to be uncertain or when the timing or value of payments cannot be determined. "Loss" is not intended to imply that the loan or some portion of it will never be paid, nor does it in any way imply that there has been a forgiveness of debt.

The following tables present an analysis of loans by credit quality indicators at the dates indicated (in thousands):

<u>June 30, 2017</u>	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total Loans Receivable
Commercial business	\$120,640	\$2,480	\$ 2,612	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 125,732
Commercial real estate	437,261	9,901	4,669	-	-	451,831
Land	14,549	-	791	-	-	15,340
Multi-family	45,633	544	12	-	-	46,189
Real estate construction	43,186	-	-	-	-	43,186
Consumer	114,846	-	386	-	-	115,232
Total	\$776,115	\$12,925	\$ 8,470	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 797,510

March 31, 2017

Commercial business	\$102,113	\$2,063	\$3,195	\$-	\$-	\$107,371
Commercial real estate	430,923	10,426	5,722	-	-	447,071
Land	15,074	-	801	-	-	15,875
Multi-family	43,156	547	12	-	-	43,715
Real estate construction	46,157	-	-	-	-	46,157
Consumer	118,965	-	278	-	-	119,243
Total	\$756,388	\$13,036	\$10,008	\$-	\$-	\$779,432

Impaired loans and troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs"): A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due (principal and interest) according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Typically, factors used in determining if a loan is impaired include, but are not limited to, whether the loan is 90 days or more delinquent, internally designated as substandard or worse, on non-accrual status or represents a TDR. The majority of the Company's impaired loans are considered collateral dependent. When a loan is considered collateral dependent, impairment is measured using the estimated value of the underlying collateral, less any prior

liens, and when applicable, less estimated selling costs. For impaired loans that are not collateral dependent, impairment is measured using the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate. When the estimated net realizable value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan (including accrued interest, net deferred loan fees or costs, and unamortized premium or discount), an impairment is recognized by adjusting an allocation of the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent to the initial allocation of allowance to the individual loan, the Company may conclude that it is appropriate to record a charge-off of the impaired portion of the loan. When a charge-off is recorded, the loan balance is reduced and the specific allowance is eliminated. Generally, when a collateral dependent loan is initially measured for impairment and has not had an appraisal of the collateral performed in the last six months, the Company obtains an updated market valuation. Subsequently, the Company generally obtains an updated market valuation of the collateral on an annual basis. The collateral valuation may occur more frequently if the Company determines that there is an indication that the market value may have declined.

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The following tables present the total and average recorded investment in impaired loans at the dates and for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Recorded Investment with No Specific Valuation Allowance	Recorded Investment with Specific Valuation Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Specific Valuation Allowance
<u>June 30, 2017</u>					
Commercial business	\$ 1,114	\$ -	\$ 1,114	\$ 1,341	\$ -
Commercial real estate	2,632	1,111	3,743	4,644	88
Land	791	-	791	806	-
Multi-family	1,681	-	1,681	1,810	-
Consumer	303	1,161	1,464	1,584	83
Total	\$ 6,521	\$ 2,272	\$ 8,793	\$ 10,185	\$ 171

March 31, 2017

Commercial business	\$ 294	\$ -	\$ 294	\$ 301	\$ -
Commercial real estate	7,604	-	7,604	8,806	-
Land	801	-	801	807	-
Multi-family	1,692	-	1,692	1,826	-
Consumer	306	1,169	1,475	1,611	88
Total	\$ 10,697	\$ 1,169	\$ 11,866	\$ 13,351	\$ 88

	Three Months ended June 30, 2017		Three Months ended June 30, 2016	
	Average on Recorded Investmen	Interest Recognized Loans	Average on Recorded Investmen	Interest Recognized Loans
Commercial business	\$ 704	\$ 20	\$ 191	\$ 2
Commercial real estate	5,674	30	9,649	97
Land	796	-	801	-
Multi-family	1,686	23	1,726	23
Consumer	1,470	15	1,594	16
Total	\$ 10,330	\$ 88	\$ 13,961	\$ 138

The cash basis interest income on impaired loans was not materially different than the interest recognized on impaired loans as shown in the above tables.

TDRs are loans for which the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial condition, has granted a concession to the borrower that it would otherwise not consider. A TDR typically involves a modification of terms such as a reduction of the stated interest rate or face amount of the loan, a reduction of accrued interest, and/or an extension of the maturity date(s) at a stated interest rate lower than the current market rate for a new loan with similar risk. TDRs are considered impaired loans and as such, impairment is measured as described for impaired loans above.

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The following table presents TDRs by interest accrual status at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	June 30, 2017			March 31, 2017		
	Accrual	Nonaccrual	Total	Accrual	Nonaccrual	Total
Commercial business	\$822	\$ 292	\$1,114	\$-	\$ 294	\$294
Commercial real estate	2,420	1,323	3,743	6,262	1,342	7,604
Land	-	791	791	-	801	801
Multi-family	1,681	-	1,681	1,692	-	1,692
Consumer	1,464	-	1,464	1,475	-	1,475
Total	\$6,387	\$ 2,406	\$8,793	\$9,429	\$ 2,437	\$11,866

At June 30, 2017, the Company had no commitments to lend additional funds on these loans. At June 30, 2017, all of the Company's TDRs were paying as agreed except for two commercial business TDR loans totaling \$292,000 and two commercial real estate TDR loans totaling \$1.3 million that defaulted since the loans were modified.

There were no new TDRs for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016. There was one loan modified as a TDR within the previous twelve months that subsequently defaulted during the three months ended June 30, 2017 totaling \$107,000.

In accordance with the Company's policy guidelines, unsecured loans are generally charged-off when no payments have been received for three consecutive months unless an alternative action plan is in effect. Consumer installment loans delinquent six months or more that have not received at least 75% of their required monthly payment in the last 90 days are charged-off. In addition, loans discharged in bankruptcy proceedings are charged-off. Loans under bankruptcy protection with no payments received for four consecutive months are charged-off. The outstanding balance of a secured loan that is in excess of the net realizable value is generally charged-off if no payments are received for four to five consecutive months. However, charge-offs are postponed if alternative proposals to restructure, obtain additional guarantors, obtain additional assets as collateral or a potential sale of the underlying collateral would result in full repayment of the outstanding loan balance. Once any other potential sources of repayment are exhausted, the impaired portion of the loan is charged-off. Regardless of whether a loan is unsecured or collateralized, once an amount is determined to be a confirmed loan loss it is promptly charged off.

9. GOODWILL

Goodwill and certain other intangibles generally arise from business combinations accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Goodwill and other intangibles deemed to have indefinite lives generated from business combinations are not subject to amortization and are instead tested for impairment not less than annually. The Company has two reporting units, the Bank and the Trust Company, for purposes of evaluating goodwill for impairment.

The Company performed an impairment assessment as of October 31, 2016 and determined that no impairment of goodwill exists. The goodwill impairment test involves a two-step process. The first step is a comparison of the reporting unit's fair value to its carrying value. If the reporting unit's fair value is less than its carrying value, the Company would be required to progress to the second step. In the second step, the Company calculates the implied fair value of goodwill. GAAP with respect to goodwill requires that the Company compare the implied fair value of goodwill to the carrying amount of goodwill on the Company's consolidated balance sheet. If the carrying amount of the goodwill is greater than the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss must be recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as goodwill recognized in a business combination. The estimated fair value of the Company is allocated to all of the Company's individual assets and liabilities, including any unrecognized identifiable intangible assets, as if the Company had been acquired in a business combination and the estimated fair value of the Company is the price paid to acquire it. The allocation process is performed only for purposes of determining the amount of goodwill impairment, as no assets or liabilities are written up or down, nor are any additional unrecognized identifiable intangible assets recorded as a part of this process. The results of the Company's step one test indicated that the reporting unit's fair value was greater than its carrying value, and, therefore, a step two analysis was not required; however, no assurance can be given that the Company's goodwill will not be written down in future periods.

An interim impairment test was not deemed necessary as of June 30, 2017 due to the amount by which the fair value of the reporting unit exceeded the carrying value as of the most recent valuation, and because the Company determined that, based on an analysis of events that have occurred and circumstances that have changed since the most recent valuation date, the likelihood that a current estimated fair value determination would be less than the current carrying amount of the reporting unit is remote.

The following table presents the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the periods indicated (in thousands):

For the	For the
Three	Year
Months	Ended
Ended	March
June 30,	31,
2017	2017

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Net carrying value at beginning of period	\$27,076	\$25,572
MBank Transaction (see Note 3)	-	1,504
Net carrying value at the end of period	\$27,076	\$27,076

10. JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

The Company has wholly-owned subsidiary grantor trusts that were established for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities and common securities. The trust preferred securities accrue and pay distributions periodically at specified annual rates as provided in each trust agreement. The trusts used the net proceeds from each of the offerings to purchase a like amount of junior subordinated debentures (the "Debentures") of the Company. The Debentures are the sole assets of the trusts. The Company's obligations under the Debentures and related documents, taken together, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by the Company of the obligations of the trusts. The trust preferred securities are mandatorily redeemable upon maturity of the Debentures or upon earlier redemption as provided in the indentures. The Company has the right to redeem the Debentures in whole or in part on or after specific dates, at a redemption price specified in the indentures governing the Debentures plus any accrued but unpaid interest to the redemption date. The Company also has the right to defer the payment of interest on each of the Debentures for a period not to exceed 20 consecutive quarters, provided that the deferral period does not extend beyond the stated maturity. During such deferral period, distributions on the corresponding trust preferred securities will also be deferred and the Company may not pay cash dividends to the holders of shares of the Company's common stock.

The Debentures issued by the Company to the grantor trusts; totaling \$26.4 million at both June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, are reflected in the consolidated balance sheets in the liabilities section, under the caption "junior subordinated debentures." The common securities issued by the grantor trusts were purchased by the Company, and the Company's investment in the common securities of \$836,000 at both June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, is included in prepaid expenses and other assets in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company records interest expense on the Debentures in the consolidated statements of income.

The following table is a summary of the terms and the amounts outstanding of the Debentures at June 30, 2017 (dollars in thousands):

Issuance Trust	Issuance Date	Amount Outstanding	Rate Type	Initial Rate	Current Rate	Maturity Date
Riverview Bancorp Statutory Trust I	12/2005	\$ 7,217	Variable ⁽¹⁾	5.88 %	2.61 %	3/2036
Riverview Bancorp Statutory Trust II	06/2007	15,464	Variable ⁽²⁾	7.03 %	2.60 %	9/2037
Merchants Bancorp Statutory Trust I ⁽⁴⁾	06/2003	5,155	Variable ⁽³⁾	4.16 %	4.40 %	6/2033
		27,836				
Fair value adjustment ⁽⁴⁾		(1,422)				
Total Debentures at fair value		\$ 26,414				

⁽¹⁾ The trust preferred securities reprice quarterly based on the three-month LIBOR plus 1.36%

⁽²⁾ The trust preferred securities reprice quarterly based on the three-month LIBOR plus 1.35%

⁽³⁾ The trust preferred securities reprice quarterly based on the three-month LIBOR plus 3.10%

⁽⁴⁾ Amount, net of accretion, is attributable to the MBank transaction. See Note 3.

11. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

GAAP defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and requires certain disclosures about fair value measurements. The categories of fair value measurement prescribed by GAAP and used in the tables presented under fair value measurements are as follows:

Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1): Inputs that are quoted unadjusted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date. An active market is a market in which transactions for the asset or liability occur with sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.

Other observable inputs (Level 2): Inputs that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets and inputs derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3): Inputs that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances.

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Financial instruments are presented in the tables that follow by recurring or nonrecurring measurement status. Recurring assets are initially measured at fair value and are required to be remeasured at fair value in the consolidated financial statements at each reporting date. Assets measured on a nonrecurring basis are assets that, as a result of an event or circumstance, were required to be remeasured at fair value after initial recognition in the consolidated financial statements at some time during the reporting period.

The following tables present assets that are measured at estimated fair value on a recurring basis at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	Total Estimated Fair Value	Estimated Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<u>June 30, 2017</u>				
Investment securities available for sale:				
Municipal securities	\$ 6,363	\$-	\$ 6,363	\$ -
Agency securities	22,239	-	22,239	-
Real estate mortgage investment conduits	41,463	-	41,463	-
Residential mortgage-backed securities	92,697	-	92,697	-
Other mortgage-backed securities	42,250	-	42,250	-
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 205,012	\$-	\$ 205,012	\$ -

March 31, 2017

Investment securities available for sale:				
Municipal securities	\$ 2,819	\$-	\$ 2,819	\$-
Agency securities	16,808	-	16,808	-
Real estate mortgage investment conduits	43,160	-	43,160	-
Residential mortgage-backed securities	96,611	-	96,611	-
Other mortgage-backed securities	40,816	-	40,816	-
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 200,214	\$-	\$ 200,214	\$-

There were no transfers of assets into or out of Levels 1, 2 or 3 for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016.

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments above:

Investment securities – Investment securities are included within Level 1 of the hierarchy when quoted prices in an active market for identical assets are available. The Company uses a third-party pricing service to assist the Company in determining the fair value of its Level 2 securities, which incorporates pricing models and/or quoted prices of investment securities with similar characteristics. Investment securities are included within Level 3 of the hierarchy when there are significant unobservable inputs.

For Level 2 securities, the independent pricing service provides pricing information by utilizing evaluated pricing models supported with market data information. Standard inputs include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data from market research publications. The Company's third-party pricing service has established processes for the Company to submit inquiries regarding the estimated fair value. In such cases, the Company's third-party pricing service will review the inputs to the evaluation in light of any new market data presented by the Company. The Company's third-party pricing service may then affirm the original estimated fair value or may update the evaluation on a

go-forward basis.

Management reviews the pricing information received from the third-party pricing service through a combination of procedures that include an evaluation of methodologies used by the pricing service, analytical reviews and performance analysis of the prices against statistics and trends. Based on this review, management determines whether the current placement of the security in the fair value hierarchy is appropriate or whether transfers may be warranted. As necessary, the Company compares prices received from the pricing service to discounted cash flow models or by performing independent valuations of inputs and assumptions similar to those used by the pricing service in order to help ensure prices represent a reasonable estimate of fair value.

19

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The following tables present assets that are measured at estimated fair value on a nonrecurring basis at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	Estimated Fair Value Measurements Using			
	Total Estimated Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<u>June 30, 2017</u>				
Impaired loans	\$ 2,031	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,031

March 31, 2017

Impaired loans \$ 2,281 \$ - \$ - \$ 2,281

The following table presents quantitative information about Level 3 inputs for financial instruments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017:

	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Range
Impaired loans	Appraised value	Adjustment for market conditions	N/A ⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾ There were no adjustments to appraised values of impaired loans as of June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, respectively.

The following methods were used to estimate the fair values:

Impaired loans – For information regarding the Company's method for estimating the fair value of impaired loans, see Note 8 – Allowance For Loan Losses.

In determining the estimated net realizable value of the underlying collateral, the Company primarily uses third-party appraisals which may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available and include consideration of variations in location, size, and income production capacity of the property. Additionally, the appraisals are periodically further adjusted by the Company in consideration of charges that may be incurred in the event of foreclosure and are based on management's historical knowledge, changes in business factors and changes in market conditions.

Impaired loans are reviewed and evaluated quarterly for additional impairment and adjusted accordingly based on the same factors identified above. Because of the high degree of judgment required in estimating the fair value of collateral underlying impaired loans and because of the relationship between fair value and general economic conditions, the Company considers the fair value of impaired loans to be highly sensitive to changes in market conditions.

The following disclosure of the estimated fair value of financial instruments is made in accordance with GAAP. The Company, using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies, has determined the estimated fair value amounts. However, considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data in the development of the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in the future. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may

have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

The carrying amount and estimated fair value of financial instruments is as follows at the dates indicated (in thousands):

<u>June 30, 2017</u>	Carrying Amount	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Estimated Fair Value
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$34,108	\$34,108	\$-	\$-	\$34,108
Certificates of deposit held for investment	11,042	-	11,078	-	11,078
Loans held for sale	768	-	768	-	768
Investment securities available for sale	205,012	-	205,012	-	205,012
Investment securities held to maturity	54	-	55	-	55
Loans receivable, net	786,913	-	-	760,481	760,481
FHLB stock	1,181	-	1,181	-	1,181
Liabilities:					
Demand and savings deposits	830,824	830,824	-	-	830,824
Time deposits	142,659	-	141,678	-	141,678
Junior subordinated debentures	26,414	-	-	13,787	13,787
Capital lease obligation	2,449	-	2,449	-	2,449

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

	Carrying Amount	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Estimated Fair Value
<u>March 31, 2017</u>					
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$64,613	\$64,613	\$-	\$-	\$64,613
Certificates of deposit held for investment	11,042	-	11,108	-	11,108
Loans held for sale	478	-	478	-	478
Investment securities available for sale	200,214	-	200,214	-	200,214
Investment securities held to maturity	64	-	66	-	66
Loans receivable, net	768,904	-	-	731,996	731,996
FHLB stock	1,181	-	1,181	-	1,181
Liabilities:					
Demand and savings deposits	830,258	830,258	-	-	830,258
Time deposits	149,800	-	148,574	-	148,574
Junior subordinated debentures	26,390	-	-	13,284	13,284
Capital lease obligation	2,454	-	2,454	-	2,454

Fair value estimates were based on existing financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business. The fair value was not estimated for assets and liabilities that were not considered financial instruments.

Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions are set forth below:

Cash and cash equivalents – Fair value approximates the carrying amount.

Certificates of deposit held for investment – The fair value of certificates of deposit with stated maturities was based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate was estimated using rates currently available in the local market.

Investment securities – See the description above.

Loans receivable and loans held for sale – Loans receivable were priced using a discounted cash flow analysis. The fair value of loans held for sale was based on the loans' carrying values, as the agreements to sell these loans are short-term fixed rate commitments, and no material difference between the carrying value and expected sales price is deemed likely.

FHLB stock – Fair value approximates the carrying amount.

Deposits – The fair value of deposits with no stated maturities such as non-interest-bearing demand deposits, interest checking, money market and savings accounts was equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of time deposits with stated maturities was based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate was estimated using rates currently available in the local market.

Junior subordinated debentures – The fair value of the Debentures was based on the discounted cash flow method. Management believes that the discount rate utilized is indicative of those that would be used by market participants for similar types of debentures.

Capital lease obligation – The fair value of the Company's capital lease obligation is estimated by discounting the cash flows through maturity based on current rates available to the Company for borrowings with similar maturities.

Off-balance sheet financial instruments – The estimated fair value of loan commitments approximates fees recorded associated with such commitments. Since the majority of the Company's off-balance-sheet financial instruments consist of non-fee producing, variable rate commitments, the Company has determined that they do not have a distinguishable fair value.

12. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers" ("ASU 2014-09"). ASU 2014-09 implements a common revenue standard that clarifies the principles for recognizing revenue. The core principle of ASU 2014-09 is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, an entity should apply the following steps: (1) identify the contract(s) with a customer, (2) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (3) determine the transaction price, (4) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract and (5) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. ASU 2014-09 is effective for

annual periods, and interim reporting periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2017. The Company's primary source of revenue is interest income, which is recognized when earned and is deemed to be in compliance with this ASU. Accordingly, the adoption of ASU 2014-09 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's future consolidated financial statements.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01, "Financial Instruments – Overall: Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" ("ASU 2016-01"). The main provisions of ASU 2016-01 address the valuation and impairment of certain equity investments along with simplified disclosures about those investments. Equity securities with readily determinable fair values will be treated in the same manner as other financial instruments. ASU 2016-01 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of ASU 2016-01 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's future consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, "Leases" ("ASU 2016-02"). ASU 2016-02 is intended to increase transparency and comparability among organizations by requiring the recognition of lease assets and lease liabilities in the balance sheet and disclosure of key information about leasing arrangements. The principal change required by ASU 2016-02 relates to lessee accounting, and is that for operating leases, a lessee is required to (1) recognize a right-of-use asset and a lease liability, initially measured at the present value of the lease payments, in the statement of financial position, (2) recognize a single lease cost, calculated so that the cost of the lease is allocated over the lease term on a generally straight-line basis, and (3) classify all cash payments within operating activities in the statement of cash flows. For leases with a term of 12 months or less, a lessee is permitted to make an accounting policy election by class of underlying asset not to recognize lease assets and lease liabilities. If a lessee makes this election, it should recognize lease expense for such leases generally on a straight-line basis over the lease term. ASU 2016-02 also changes disclosure requirements related to leasing activities and requires certain qualitative disclosures along with specific quantitative disclosures. ASU 2016-02 will be effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2018. Early application of ASU 2016-02 is permitted. The effect of the adoption of ASU 2016-02 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's future consolidated financial statements.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, "Financial Instruments – Credit Losses: Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments" ("ASU 2016-13"). ASU 2016-13 replaces the existing incurred losses methodology for estimating allowances with a current expected credit losses methodology with respect to most financial assets measured at amortized cost and certain other instruments, including trade and other receivables, loans, held to maturity investment securities and off-balance sheet commitments. In addition, ASU 2016-13 requires credit losses relating to available for sale debt securities to be recorded through an allowance for credit losses rather than a reduction of carrying amount. ASU 2016-13 also changes the accounting for PCI debt securities and loans. Upon adoption, the Company expects a change in the processes and procedures to calculate the allowance for loan losses, including changes in assumptions and estimates to consider expected credit losses over the life of the loan versus the current accounting practice that utilizes the incurred loss model. At this time, we anticipate the allowance for loan losses will increase as a result of the implementation of this ASU. In addition, the current accounting policy and procedures for other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities available for sale will be replaced with an allowance approach. The Company is reviewing the requirements of ASU 2016-13 and expects to begin developing and implementing processes and procedures to ensure it is fully compliant with the amendments at the adoption date. ASU 2016-13 retains many of the current disclosure requirements in GAAP and expands certain disclosure requirements. ASU 2016-13 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within those fiscal years.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-04, "Intangibles – Goodwill and Other: Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment" ("ASU 2017-04"). ASU 2017-04 simplifies the subsequent measurement of goodwill and eliminates Step 2 from the goodwill impairment test. In computing the implied fair value of goodwill under Step 2, an entity had to perform procedures to determine the fair value at the impairment testing date of its assets and liabilities (including

unrecognized assets and liabilities) following the procedure that would be required in determining the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination. Under ASU 2017-04, an entity should perform its annual, or interim, goodwill impairment test by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. An entity should recognize an impairment charge for the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the reporting unit's fair value; however, the loss recognized should not exceed the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. Additionally, an entity should consider income tax effects from any tax deductible goodwill on the carrying amount of the reporting unit when measuring the goodwill impairment loss, if applicable. ASU 2017-04 will be effective for annual or interim goodwill impairment tests in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. Early application of ASU 2017-04 is permitted for interim or annual goodwill impairment tests performed on testing dates after January 1, 2017. The adoption of ASU 2017-04 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's future consolidated financial statements.

In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-08, "Receivables – Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs: Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities" ("ASU 2017-08"). ASU 2017-08 shortens the amortization period for certain callable debt securities held at a premium to the earliest call date. ASU 2017-08 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of ASU 2017-08 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's future consolidated financial statements.

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-09, "Compensation – Stock Compensation: Scope of Modification Accounting" ("ASU 2017-09"). The ASU was issued to provide clarity as to when to apply modification accounting when there is a change in term or conditions of a share-based payment award. According to this ASU, an entity should account for the effects of a modification unless the fair value, vesting conditions, and balance sheet classification of the award is the same after the modification as compared to the original award prior to the modification. ASU 2017-09 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application of ASU 2017-09 is permitted. The adoption of ASU 2017-09 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's future consolidated financial statements.

13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Off-balance sheet arrangements. In the normal course of business, the Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in order to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments generally include commitments to originate mortgage, commercial and consumer loans. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company's maximum exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the borrower is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. Commitments to originate loans are conditional and are honored for up to 45 days subject to the Company's usual terms and conditions. Collateral is not required to support commitments.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third-party. These guarantees are primarily used to support public and private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. Collateral held varies and is required in instances where the Company deems it necessary.

Significant off-balance sheet commitments at June 30, 2017 are listed below (in thousands):

	Contract or Notional Amount
Commitments to originate loans:	
Adjustable-rate	\$24,134
Fixed-rate	13,830
Standby letters of credit	2,789
Undisbursed loan funds and unused lines of credit	132,521
Total	\$173,274

At June 30, 2017, the Company had firm commitments to sell \$1.8 million of residential loans to the FHLMC. Typically, these agreements are short-term fixed-rate commitments and no material gain or loss is likely.

Other Contractual Obligations. In connection with certain asset sales, the Company typically makes representations and warranties about the underlying assets conforming to specified guidelines. If the underlying assets do not conform to the specifications, the Company may have an obligation to repurchase the assets or indemnify the purchaser against

loss. At June 30, 2017, loans under warranty totaled \$118.9 million, which substantially represents the unpaid principal balance of the Company's loans serviced for the FHLMC. The Company believes that the potential for loss under these arrangements is remote. At June 30, 2017, the Company had an allowance for FHLMC loans of \$27,000.

The Bank is a public depository and, accordingly, accepts deposit and other public funds belonging to, or held for the benefit of, Washington and Oregon states, political subdivisions thereof, and municipal corporations. In accordance with applicable state law, in the event of default of a participating bank, all other participating banks in the state collectively assure that no loss of funds are suffered by any public depositor. Generally, in the event of default by a public depository, the assessment attributable to all public depositories is allocated on a pro rata basis in proportion to the maximum liability of each depository as it existed on the date of loss. The Company has not incurred any losses related to public depository funds held by other institutions for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016.

The Company is party to litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, these actions will not have a material effect, if any, on the Company's future consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The Bank has entered into employment contracts with certain key employees, which provide for contingent payments subject to future events.

23

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This report contains certain financial information determined by methods other than in accordance with GAAP. These measures include net interest income on a fully tax equivalent basis and net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis. Management uses these non-GAAP measures in its analysis of the Company's performance. The tax equivalent adjustment to net interest income recognizes the income tax savings when comparing taxable and tax-exempt assets. Management believes that it is a standard practice in the banking industry to present net interest income and net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis, and accordingly believes that providing these measures may be useful for peer comparison purposes. These disclosures should not be viewed as substitutes for the results determined to be in accordance with GAAP, nor are they necessarily comparable to non-GAAP performance measures that may be presented by other companies.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies and estimates are discussed in our 2017 Form 10-K under Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Critical Accounting Policies." That discussion highlights estimates that the Company makes that involve uncertainty or potential for substantial change. There have not been any material changes in the Company's critical accounting policies and estimates as compared to the disclosures contained in the Company's 2017 Form 10-K.

Executive Overview

As a progressive, community-oriented financial services company, the Company emphasizes local, personal service to residents of its primary market area. The Company considers Clark, Cowlitz, Klickitat and Skamania counties of Washington, and Multnomah, Washington and Marion counties of Oregon as its primary market area. The Company is engaged predominantly in the business of attracting deposits from the general public and using such funds in its primary market area to originate commercial business, commercial real estate, multi-family real estate, land, real estate construction, residential real estate and other consumer loans. The Company's net loan portfolio totaled \$786.9 million at June 30, 2017 compared to \$768.9 million at March 31, 2017.

The Bank's subsidiary, Riverview Trust Company (the "Trust Company"), is a trust and financial services company located in downtown Vancouver, Washington, and provides full-service brokerage activities, trust and asset management services. In April 2017, the Trust Company opened a second office in Lake Oswego, Oregon. The Bank's Business and Professional Banking Division, with two lending offices in Vancouver and one in Portland, offers commercial and business banking services.

On February 17, 2017, the Company completed the purchase and assumption transaction in which the Company purchased certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of MBank, the wholly-owned subsidiary of Merchants Bancorp (the "MBank transaction"). In addition, as part of the MBank transaction, Riverview Bancorp, Inc. assumed the obligations of Merchant Bancorp's trust preferred securities. See Note 3 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for additional discussion.

Vancouver is located in Clark County, Washington, which is just north of Portland, Oregon. Many businesses are located in the Vancouver area because of the favorable tax structure and lower energy costs in Washington as compared to Oregon. Companies located in the Vancouver area include: Sharp Microelectronics, Hewlett Packard, Georgia Pacific, Underwriters Laboratory, Wafer Tech, Nautilus, Barrett Business Services, PeaceHealth, Fisher Investments and Banfield Pet Hospitals, as well as several support industries. In addition to this industry base, the Columbia River Gorge Scenic Area and the Portland metropolitan area are sources of tourism, which has helped to transform the area from its past dependence on the timber industry.

The Company's strategic plan includes targeting the commercial banking customer base in its primary market area for loan originations and deposit growth, specifically small and medium size businesses, professionals and wealth building individuals. In pursuit of these goals, the Company will seek to increase the loan portfolio consistent with its strategic plan and asset/liability and regulatory capital objectives, which includes maintaining a significant amount of commercial business and commercial real estate loans in its loan portfolio. Significant portions of our new loan originations – which are mainly concentrated in commercial real estate and real estate construction loans – carry adjustable rates, higher yields or shorter terms and higher credit risk than traditional fixed-rate consumer real estate one-to-four family mortgages.

Our strategic plan also stresses increased emphasis on non-interest income, including increased fees for asset management through the Trust Company and deposit service charges. The strategic plan is designed to enhance earnings, reduce interest rate risk and provide a more complete range of financial services to customers and the local communities the Company serves. We believe we are well positioned to attract new customers and to increase our market share through our 19 branches, including ten in Clark County, four in the Portland metropolitan area and three lending centers.

Economic conditions in the Company's market areas have generally improved from the recent recessionary downturn. According to the Washington State Employment Security Department, unemployment in Clark County decreased to 5.0% at May 31, 2017 compared to 5.5% at March 31, 2017 and 6.5% at June 30, 2016. According to the Oregon Employment Department, unemployment in Portland decreased to 3.1% at May 31, 2017 compared to 3.2% at March 31, 2017 and 4.5% at June 30, 2016. According to the Regional Multiple Listing Services ("RMLS"), residential home inventory levels in Portland have increased to 1.6 months at June 30, 2017 compared to 1.3 months at March 31, 2017 and increased compared to 1.5 months at June 30, 2016. Residential home inventory levels in Clark County remained at 1.6 months at June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, compared to 1.8 months at June 30, 2016. According to the RMLS, closed home sales in Clark County increased 7.2% in June 2017 compared to June 2016. Closed home sales during June 2017 in Portland increased 0.9% compared to June 2016. The Company has also seen an increase in sales activity for building lots during the past twelve months. Commercial real estate leasing activity and the residential real estate market in the Portland/Vancouver area have been thriving and the vacancy rates in the Portland/Vancouver area have been relatively low.

Operating Strategy

The Company's goal is to deliver returns to shareholders by increasing higher-yielding assets (in particular, commercial real estate and commercial business loans), increasing core deposit balances, managing problem assets, reducing expenses, hiring experienced employees with a commercial lending focus and exploring expansion opportunities. The Company seeks to achieve these results by focusing on the following objectives:

Execution of our Business Plan. The Company is focused on increasing its loan portfolio, especially higher yielding commercial and construction loans, and our core deposits by expanding its customer base throughout its primary market areas. By emphasizing total relationship banking, the Company intends to deepen the relationships with its customers and increase individual customer profitability through cross-marketing programs, which allows the Company to better identify lending opportunities and services for customers. To build its core deposit base, the Company will continue to utilize additional product offerings, technology and a focus on customer service in working toward this goal. The Company will also continue to seek to expand its franchise through the selective acquisition of individual branches, loan purchases and whole bank transactions that meet its investment and market objectives, such as the recently completed acquisition of certain assets and assumption of certain liabilities from MBank and Merchants Bancorp.

Maintaining Strong Asset Quality. The Company believes that strong asset quality is a key to long-term financial success. The Company has actively managed the delinquent loans and nonperforming assets by aggressively pursuing the collection of consumer debts, marketing saleable properties upon foreclosure or repossession, and through work-outs of classified assets and loan charge-offs. In the past several years, the Company has applied more conservative and stringent underwriting practices to new loans, including, among other things, increasing the amount of required collateral or equity requirements, reducing loan-to-value ratios and increasing debt service coverage ratios resulting in improved credit metrics/asset quality. Although the Company intends to prudently increase the percentage of its assets consisting of higher-yielding commercial real estate, real estate construction and commercial business loans, which offer higher risk-adjusted returns, shorter maturities and more sensitivity to interest rate fluctuations, the Company intends to manage credit exposure through the use of experienced bankers in these areas and a conservative approach to its lending.

Implementation of a Profit Improvement Plan ("PIP") The Company has formed a committee comprised of several members of management and the board of directors to undertake several initiatives to reduce non-interest expense and continue our on-going efforts to identify cost savings opportunities throughout all aspects of the Company's operations. The PIP committee's mission is not only to find additional cost saving opportunities but also to search for and implement revenue enhancements and additional areas for improvement. The Company has instituted expense control measures such as cancelling certain projects and capital purchases, and reducing travel and entertainment and other noninterest expenditures. In this regard, the Company has reduced its efficiency ratio over the last several years

from 98.0% at March 31, 2014 to 69.7% at June 30, 2017.

Introduction of New Products and Services. The Company continuously reviews new products and services to provide its customers more financial options. All new technology and services are generally reviewed for business development and cost saving purposes. The Company continues to experience growth in customer use of its online banking services, whereby the Bank provides a full array of traditional cash management products as well as online banking products including mobile banking, mobile deposit, bill pay, e-statements, and text banking. The products are tailored to meet the needs of small to medium size businesses and households in the markets we serve. The Bank has implemented remote check capture at all of its branches and for selected customers of the Bank. The Company also intends to selectively add additional products to further diversify revenue sources and to capture more of each customer's banking relationship by cross selling loan and deposit products and additional services to Bank customers, including services provided through the Trust Company to increase its fee income. Assets under management by the Trust Company totaled \$440.5 million and \$425.9 million at June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, respectively. During the quarter ended December 31, 2016, the Company switched its existing debit card holders from Visa® to MasterCard®. The change in debit card service providers is expected to increase interchange revenue and provide cost savings to the Company.

Attracting Core Deposits and Other Deposit Products. The Company offers personal checking, savings and money-market accounts, which generally are lower-cost sources of funds than certificates of deposit and are less likely to be withdrawn when interest rates fluctuate. To build its core deposit base, over the past several years the Company has sought to reduce its dependence on traditional higher cost deposits in favor of stable lower cost core deposits to fund loan growth and decrease its reliance on other wholesale funding sources, including FHLB and FRB advances. The Company believes that its continued focus on building customer relationships will help to increase the level of core deposits and locally-based retail certificates of deposit. In addition, the Company intends to increase demand deposits by growing business banking relationships through expanded product lines tailored to meet its target business customers' needs. The Company maintains technology-based products to encourage the growth of lower cost deposits, such as personal financial management, business cash management, and business remote deposit products, that enable it to meet its customers' cash management needs and compete effectively with banks of all sizes. Core branch deposits (comprised of all demand, savings, interest checking accounts and all time deposits excluding wholesale-brokered deposits, trust account deposits, Interest on Lawyer Trust Accounts ("IOLTA"), public funds and Internet based deposits) decreased \$9.0 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2017.

Recruiting and Retaining Highly Competent Personnel With a Focus on Commercial Lending. The Company's ability to continue to attract and retain banking professionals with strong community relationships and significant knowledge of its markets will be a key to its success. The Company believes that it enhances its market position and adds profitable growth opportunities by focusing on hiring and retaining experienced bankers focused on owner occupied commercial real estate and commercial lending, and the deposit balances that accompany these relationships. The Company emphasizes to its employees the importance of delivering exemplary customer service and seeking opportunities to build further relationships with its customers. The goal is to compete with other financial service providers by relying on the strength of the Company's customer service and relationship banking approach. The Company believes that one of its strengths is that its employees are also significant shareholders through the Company's employee stock ownership ("ESOP") and 401(k) plans.

Commercial and Construction Loan Composition

The following tables set forth the composition of the Company's commercial and construction loan portfolios based on loan purpose at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	Commercial Business	Other Real Estate Mortgage	Real Estate Construction	Commercial & Construction Total
<u>June 30, 2017</u>				
Commercial business	\$125,732	\$-	\$ -	\$ 125,732
Commercial construction	-	-	28,082	28,082
Office buildings	-	130,514	-	130,514
Warehouse/industrial	-	77,895	-	77,895
Retail/shopping centers/strip malls	-	70,300	-	70,300
Assisted living facilities	-	4,580	-	4,580
Single purpose facilities	-	168,542	-	168,542
Land	-	15,340	-	15,340
Multi-family	-	46,189	-	46,189
One-to-four family construction	-	-	15,104	15,104
Total	\$125,732	\$513,360	\$ 43,186	\$ 682,278

March 31, 2017

Commercial business	\$107,371	\$-	\$-	\$107,371
Commercial construction	-	-	27,050	27,050
Office buildings	-	121,983	-	121,983
Warehouse/industrial	-	74,671	-	74,671
Retail/shopping centers/strip malls	-	78,757	-	78,757
Assisted living facilities	-	3,686	-	3,686
Single purpose facilities	-	167,974	-	167,974
Land	-	15,875	-	15,875
Multi-family	-	43,715	-	43,715
One-to-four family construction	-	-	19,107	19,107
Total	\$107,371	\$506,661	\$46,157	\$660,189

Comparison of Financial Condition at June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017

Cash and cash equivalents, including interest-earning accounts, totaled \$34.1 million at June 30, 2017 compared to \$64.6 million at March 31, 2017. The decrease in cash balances was primarily driven by the increase in loans receivable and a decrease in deposit accounts. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents is also attributable to the Company deploying a portion of its excess cash balances into investment securities to earn higher yields than cash held in interest-earning accounts based on its asset/liability program and liquidity objectives in order to maximize earnings. As a part of this strategy, the Company has also invested a portion of its excess cash in short-term certificates of deposit. All of the certificates of deposit held for investment are fully insured by the FDIC. Certificates of deposits held for investment totaled \$11.0 million at both June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017.

Investment securities totaled \$205.1 million and \$200.3 million at June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, respectively. The Company primarily purchases a combination of securities backed by government agencies (FHLMC, FNMA, SBA or GNMA). During the three months ended June 30, 2017, the Company purchased \$11.0 million of investment securities. For the quarter ended June 30, 2017, the Company determined that none of its investment securities required an OTTI charge. For additional information, see Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Loans receivable, net, totaled \$786.9 million at June 30, 2017 compared to \$768.9 million at March 31, 2017. The increase was due to net organic loan growth of \$3.5 million and purchased SBA loans totaling \$14.6 million. The Company has had steady loan demand in its market areas and anticipates continuing organic loan growth. A substantial portion of the loan portfolio is secured by real estate, either as primary or secondary collateral, located in the Company's primary market areas. Risks associated with loans secured by real estate include decreases in land and property values, increases in interest rates, deterioration in local economic conditions, tightening credit or refinancing markets, and a geographic concentration of loans. The Company has no option adjustable-rate mortgage (ARM) or teaser residential real estate loans in its portfolio.

Beginning in March 2017, the Company periodically began purchasing the guaranteed portion of SBA loans as a way to further diversify its loan portfolio and to earn a higher yield than earned on its cash or short-term investments. These SBA loans are originated through another financial institution located outside the Company's primary market area. These loans are purchased with servicing retained by the seller. At June 30, 2017, the Company's purchased SBA loan portfolio was \$20.2 million compared to \$5.6 million at March 31, 2017.

Deposits decreased \$6.6 million to \$973.5 million at June 30, 2017 compared to \$980.1 million at March 31, 2017. The Company had no wholesale-brokered deposits as of June 30, 2017 or March 31, 2017. Core branch deposits accounted for 97.3% of total deposits at June 30, 2017 compared to 97.6% at March 31, 2017. The Company plans to continue its focus on core deposits and on building customer relationships as opposed to obtaining deposits through the wholesale markets.

Shareholders' Equity and Capital Resources

Shareholders' equity increased \$2.7 million to \$113.9 million at June 30, 2017 from \$111.3 million at March 31, 2017. The increase was mainly attributable to net income of \$2.7 million. Additionally, accumulated other comprehensive loss related to unrealized holding loss on securities available for sale decreased \$393,000. These increases to shareholders' equity were partially offset by dividends declared of \$507,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017.

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC"). Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Bank's financial statements. As of June 30, 2017, the Bank was "well capitalized" as defined under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as "well capitalized," the Bank must maintain the minimum capital

ratios set forth in the tables below.

27

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The Bank's actual and required minimum capital amounts and ratios are as follows at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		"Well Capitalized" Under Prompt Corrective Action	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
June 30, 2017						
Total Capital:						
(To Risk-Weighted Assets)	\$ 116,227	14.41 %	\$ 64,534	8.0 %	\$ 80,668	10.0 %
Tier 1 Capital:						
(To Risk-Weighted Assets)	106,132	13.16	48,401	6.0	64,534	8.0
Common equity tier 1 Capital:						
(To Risk-Weighted Assets)	106,132	13.16	36,301	4.5	52,434	6.5
Tier 1 Capital (Leverage):						
(To Average Tangible Assets)	106,132	9.79	43,374	4.0	54,217	5.0
Tangible Capital:						
(To Average Tangible Assets)	106,132	9.79	16,265	1.5	N/A	N/A

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		"Well Capitalized" Under Prompt Corrective Action	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
March 31, 2017						
Total Capital:						
(To Risk-Weighted Assets)	\$ 112,421	14.06 %	\$ 63,955	8.0 %	\$ 79,944	10.0 %
Tier 1 Capital:						
(To Risk-Weighted Assets)	102,411	12.81	47,966	6.0	63,955	8.0
Common equity tier 1 Capital:						
(To Risk-Weighted Assets)	102,411	12.81	35,975	4.5	51,963	6.5
Tier 1 Capital (Leverage):						
(To Average Tangible Assets)	102,411	10.21	40,110	4.0	50,138	5.0
Tangible Capital:						
(To Average Tangible Assets)	102,411	10.21	15,041	1.5	N/A	N/A

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is essential to our business. The objective of the Bank's liquidity management is to maintain ample cash flows to meet obligations for depositor withdrawals, to fund the borrowing needs of loan customers, and to fund ongoing operations. Core relationship deposits are the primary source of the Bank's liquidity. As such, the Bank focuses on deposit relationships with local consumer and business clients who maintain multiple accounts and services at the Bank.

Liquidity management is both a short and long-term responsibility of the Company's management. The Company adjusts its investments in liquid assets based upon management's assessment of (i) expected loan demand, (ii) projected loan sales, (iii) expected deposit flows, (iv) yields available on interest-bearing deposits and (v) its asset/liability management program objectives. Excess liquidity is invested generally in interest-bearing overnight

deposits and other short-term government and agency obligations. If the Company requires funds beyond its ability to generate them internally, it has additional diversified and reliable sources of funds with the FHLB, the FRB and other wholesale facilities. These sources of funds may be used on a long or short-term basis to compensate for a reduction in other sources of funds or on a long-term basis to support lending activities.

The Company's primary sources of funds are customer deposits, proceeds from principal and interest payments on loans, proceeds from the sale of loans, maturing securities, FHLB advances and FRB borrowings. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are a predictable source of funds, deposit flows and prepayment of mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition. Management believes that its focus on core relationship deposits coupled with access to borrowing through reliable counterparties provides reasonable and prudent assurance that ample liquidity is available. However, depositor or counterparty behavior could change in response to competition, economic or market situations or other unforeseen circumstances, which could have liquidity implications that may require different strategic or operational actions.

The Company must maintain an adequate level of liquidity to help ensure the availability of sufficient funds for loan originations, deposit withdrawals and continuing operations, satisfy other financial commitments and take advantage of investment opportunities. During the three months ended June 30, 2017, the Bank used its sources of funds primarily to fund loan commitments and purchase additional investment securities. At June 30, 2017, cash and available for sale investments totaled \$250.2 million, or 22.2% of total assets. The Bank generally maintains sufficient cash and short-term investments to meet short-term liquidity needs; however, its primary liquidity management practice is to increase or decrease short-term borrowings, including FRB borrowings and FHLB advances. At June 30, 2017, the Company had no advances from the FRB and a borrowing capacity of \$54.8 million from the FRB, subject to sufficient collateral. At June 30, 2017, there were no borrowings from the FHLB and the Company had an available credit facility of \$242.8 million, subject to sufficient collateral and stock investment. At June 30, 2017, the Company had sufficient unpledged collateral to allow it to utilize its available borrowing capacity from the FRB and the FHLB. Borrowing capacity may, however, fluctuate based on acceptability and risk rating of loan collateral and counterparties could adjust discount rates applied to such collateral at their discretion.

An additional source of wholesale funding includes brokered certificates of deposit. While the Bank has utilized brokered deposits from time to time, the Bank historically has not extensively relied on brokered deposits to fund its operations. At June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, the Bank had no wholesale brokered deposits. As previously discussed, the Bank participates in the CDARS and ICS deposit products, which allows the Company to accept deposits in excess of the FDIC insurance limit for that depositor and obtain "pass-through" insurance for the total deposit. The Bank's CDARS and ICS balances were \$20.1 million, or 2.1% of total deposits, and \$24.3 million, or 2.5% of total deposits, at June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, respectively. In addition, the Bank is enrolled in an internet deposit listing service. Under this listing service, the Bank may post time deposit rates on an internet site where institutional investors have the ability to deposit funds with the Bank. At June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, the Company had \$4.1 million and \$7.0 million of deposits, respectively, through this listing service which were assumed in the MBank transaction. Although the Company did not originate any internet based deposits during the quarter ended June 30, 2017, or during the year ended March 31, 2017, the Company may do so in the future consistent with its asset/liability objectives. The combination of all the Bank's funding sources gives the Bank available liquidity of \$710.0 million, or 63.1% of total assets at June 30, 2017.

At June 30, 2017, the Company had total commitments of \$173.3 million, which includes commitments to extend credit of \$38.0 million, unused lines of credit and undisbursed balances of \$132.5 million and standby letters of credit totaling \$2.8 million. The Company anticipates that it will have sufficient funds available to meet current loan commitments. Certificates of deposit that are scheduled to mature in less than one year totaled \$96.8 million. Historically, the Bank has been able to retain a significant amount of its deposits as they mature. Offsetting these cash outflows are scheduled loan maturities of less than one year totaling \$89.1 million.

As a separate legal entity from the Bank, Riverview Bancorp, Inc. must provide for its own liquidity. Sources of capital and liquidity for Riverview Bancorp, Inc. include distributions from the Bank and the issuance of debt or equity securities. Dividends and other capital distributions from the Bank are subject to regulatory notice. At June 30, 2017, Riverview Bancorp, Inc. had \$6.4 million in cash to meet liquidity needs.

Asset Quality

Nonperforming assets, consisting of nonperforming loans and REO, remained stable at \$3.1 million or 0.27% of total assets at June 30, 2017 compared to \$3.0 million or 0.27% of total assets at March 31, 2017.

The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's nonperforming loans at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

June 30, 2017	March 31, 2017
------------------	-------------------

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

	Number of Loans	Balance	Number of Loans	Balance
Commercial business	2	\$ 292	2	\$ 294
Commercial real estate	2	1,323	2	1,342
Land	1	791	1	801
Consumer	17	386	19	312
Total	22	\$ 2,792	24	\$ 2,749

29

The Company continues to focus on managing the residential construction and land acquisition and development loan portfolios. At June 30, 2017, the Company's residential construction and land acquisition and development loan portfolios were \$15.1 million and \$15.3 million, respectively, as compared to \$19.1 million and \$15.9 million, respectively at March 31, 2017. The percentage of nonperforming loans in the land acquisition and development loan portfolios at June 30, 2017 was 5.16%, compared 5.05% at March 31, 2017. There were no nonperforming residential construction loans at June 30, 2017 or March 31, 2017. For the three months ended June 30, 2017, there were no charge-offs or recoveries in the residential construction loan portfolio. Net recoveries in the land development loan portfolio totaled \$137,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017.

REO totaled \$298,000 at June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017. There were no REO sales, valuation write-downs, or transfers to REO for the three months ended June 30, 2017. The \$298,000 balance of REO is comprised of a one-to-four family real estate property located in Washington.

The allowance for loan losses was \$10.6 million or 1.33% of total loans at June 30, 2017 compared to \$10.5 million or 1.35% of total loans at March 31, 2017. The ---balance of the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2017 reflects the lower levels of delinquent, nonperforming and classified loans, elevated levels of net recoveries, as well as stabilizing real estate values in our market areas. The Company recorded no provision for loan losses for the three months ended June 30, 2017.

The coverage ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans was 379.55% at June 30, 2017 compared to 382.98% at March 31, 2017. At June 30, 2017, the Company identified \$2.4 million or 86.18% of its nonperforming loans as impaired and performed a specific valuation analysis on each loan resulting in an \$88,000 specific reserves being required for these impaired loans. Management considers the allowance for loan losses to be adequate at June 30, 2017 to cover probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio based on the assessment of various factors affecting the loan portfolio, and the Company believes it has established its existing allowance for loan losses in accordance with GAAP. However, a decline in local economic conditions, results of examinations by the Company's regulators, or other factors could result in a material increase in the allowance for loan losses and may adversely affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations. In addition, because future events affecting borrowers and collateral cannot be predicted with certainty, there can be no assurance that the existing allowance for loan losses will be adequate or that substantial increases will not be necessary should the quality of any loans deteriorate or should collateral values decline as a result of the factors discussed elsewhere in this document. For further information regarding the Company's impaired loans and allowance for loan losses, see Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") are loans for which the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial condition, has granted a concession to the borrower that it would otherwise not consider. A TDR typically involves a modification of terms such as a reduction of the stated interest rate or face amount of the loan, a reduction of accrued interest, or an extension of the maturity date(s) at a stated interest rate lower than the current market rate for a new loan with similar risk.

TDRs are considered impaired loans and as such, when a loan is deemed to be impaired, the amount of the impairment is measured using discounted cash flows using the original note rate, except when the loan is collateral dependent. In these cases, the estimated fair value of the collateral (less any selling costs, if applicable) is used. Impairment is recognized as a specific component within the allowance for loan losses if the estimated value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan. When the amount of the impairment represents a confirmed loss, it is charged off against the allowance for loan losses. At June 30, 2017, the Company had TDRs totaling \$8.8 million, of which \$6.4 million were on accrual status. However, at June 30, 2017, all of the Company's TDRs were paying as agreed except for two commercial business loans totaling \$292,000 and two commercial real estate loans totaling \$1.3 million that defaulted since these loans were modified. The related amount of interest income recognized on TDRs was \$88,000 and \$138,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

The Company has determined that, in certain circumstances, it is appropriate to split a loan into multiple notes. This typically includes a nonperforming charged-off loan that is not supported by the cash flow of the relationship and a performing loan that is supported by the cash flow. These may also be split into multiple notes to align portions of the loan balance with the various sources of repayment when more than one exists. Generally the new loans are restructured based on customary underwriting standards. In situations where they were not, the policy exception qualifies as a concession, and if the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, the loans are accounted for as TDRs.

The accrual status of a loan may change after it has been classified as a TDR. The Company's general policy related to TDRs is to perform a credit evaluation of the borrower's financial condition and prospects for repayment under the revised terms. This evaluation includes consideration of the borrower's sustained historical repayment performance for a reasonable period of time. A sustained period of repayment performance generally would be a minimum of six months and may include repayments made prior to the restructuring date. If repayment of principal and interest appears doubtful, it is placed on non-accrual status.

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's nonperforming assets at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2017	March 31, 2017
Loans accounted for on a non-accrual basis:		
Commercial business	\$292	\$294
Other real estate mortgage	2,114	2,143
Consumer	386	278
Total	2,792	2,715
Accruing loans which are contractually past due 90 days or more	-	34
Total nonperforming loans	2,792	2,749
REO	298	298
Total nonperforming assets	\$3,090	\$3,047
Foregone interest on non-accrual loans ⁽¹⁾	\$24	\$81
Total nonperforming loans to total loans	0.35 %	0.35 %
Total nonperforming loans to total assets	0.25 %	0.24 %
Total nonperforming assets to total assets	0.27 %	0.27 %

⁽¹⁾ Three months ended June 30, 2017 and year ended March 31, 2017.

The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's nonperforming assets by loan type and geographical area at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	Other Oregon	Southwest Washington	Other Washington	Other	Total
<u>June 30, 2017</u>					
Commercial business	\$-	\$ 292	\$ -	\$-	\$292
Commercial real estate	1,111	212	-	-	1,323
Land	791	-	-	-	791
Consumer	-	277	-	109	386
Total nonperforming loans	1,902	781	-	109	2,792
REO	-	-	298	-	298
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 1,902	\$ 781	\$ 298	\$ 109	\$3,090

March 31, 2017

Commercial business	\$-	\$294	\$-	\$-	\$294
Commercial real estate	1,128	214	-	-	1,342
Land	801	-	-	-	801
Consumer	-	170	-	142	312
Total nonperforming loans	1,929	678	-	142	2,749
REO	-	-	298	-	298
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 1,929	\$678	\$298	\$ 142	\$3,047

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The composition of land acquisition and development and speculative construction loans by geographical area is as follows at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	Northwest Oregon	Other Oregon	Southwest Washington	Total
<u>June 30, 2017</u>				
Land development	\$ 1,763	\$929	\$ 12,648	\$15,340
Speculative construction	947	321	10,795	12,063
Total land development and speculative construction	\$ 2,710	\$1,250	\$ 23,443	\$27,403

March 31, 2017

Land development	\$223	\$2,523	\$13,129	\$15,875
Speculative construction	945	3	14,492	15,440
Total land development and speculative construction	\$1,168	\$2,526	\$27,621	\$31,315

31

Other loans of concern, which are classified as substandard loans and are not presently included in the non-accrual category, consist of loans where the borrowers have cash flow problems, or the collateral securing the respective loans may be inadequate. In either or both of these situations, the borrowers may be unable to comply with the present loan repayment terms, and the loans may subsequently be included in the non-accrual category. Management considers the allowance for loan losses to be adequate to cover the probable losses inherent in these and other loans.

The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's other loans of concern at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2017		March 31, 2017	
	Number of Loans	Balance	Number of Loans	Balance
Commercial business	6	\$ 2,320	6	\$ 2,901
Commercial real estate	3	3,346	3	4,380
Multi-family	1	12	1	12
Total	10	\$ 5,678	10	\$ 7,293

At June 30, 2017 and March 31, 2017, loans delinquent 30 - 89 days were 0.03% of total loans. At June 30, 2017, loans 30 - 89 days delinquent loans in the commercial business and consumer portfolios totaled \$11,000 and \$189,000, respectively. There were no loans 30-89 days delinquent in the commercial real estate portfolio or any other loan category at June 30, 2017. At that date, commercial real estate loans represented the largest portion of the loan portfolio at 56.66% of total loans and commercial business and consumer loans represented 15.77% and 14.44% of total loans, respectively.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Other Contractual Obligations

In the normal course of operations, the Company enters into certain contractual obligations and other commitments. Obligations generally relate to funding of operations through deposits and borrowings as well as leases for premises. Commitments generally relate to lending operations.

The Company has obligations under long-term operating and capital leases, principally for building space and land. Lease terms generally cover five-year periods, with options to extend, and are not subject to cancellation. During the second quarter of fiscal 2016, the Company modified its lease agreement on its operations center reducing the Company's square footage leased and extending the lease agreement to November 2039.

The Company has commitments to originate fixed and variable rate mortgage loans to customers. Because some commitments expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Undisbursed loan funds and unused lines of credit include funds not disbursed but committed to construction projects and home equity and commercial lines of credit. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party.

For further information regarding the Company's off-balance sheet arrangements and other contractual obligations, see Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Goodwill Valuation

Goodwill is initially recorded when the purchase price paid for an acquisition exceeds the estimated fair value of the net identified tangible and intangible assets acquired. Goodwill is presumed to have an indefinite useful life and is

tested, at least annually, for impairment at the reporting unit level. The Company has two reporting units, the Bank and the Trust Company, for purposes of evaluating goodwill for impairment. All of the Company's goodwill has been allocated to the Bank reporting unit. The Company performs an annual review in the third quarter of each fiscal year, or more frequently if indications of potential impairment exist, to determine if the recorded goodwill is impaired. If the fair value exceeds the carrying value, goodwill at the reporting unit level is not considered impaired and no additional analysis is necessary. If the carrying value of the reporting unit is greater than its fair value, there is an indication that impairment may exist and additional analysis must be performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The amount of impairment is determined by comparing the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill to the carrying value of the goodwill in the same manner as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. Specifically, the Company would allocate the fair value to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, including unrecognized intangible assets, in a hypothetical analysis that would calculate the implied fair value of goodwill. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the recorded goodwill, the Company would record an impairment charge for the difference.

A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator of impairment has occurred. Such indicators may include, among others: a significant decline in our expected future cash flows; a sustained, significant decline in our stock price and market capitalization; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate; adverse action or assessment by a regulator; and unanticipated competition. Any adverse change in these factors could have a significant impact on the recoverability of these assets and could have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Company performed its annual goodwill impairment test as of October 31, 2016. The goodwill impairment test involves a two-step process. Step one of the goodwill impairment test estimates the fair value of the reporting unit utilizing the allocation of corporate value approach, the income approach and the market approach in order to derive an enterprise value of the Company. The allocation of corporate value approach applies the aggregate market value of the Company and divides it among the reporting units. A key assumption in this approach is the control premium applied to the aggregate market value. A control premium is utilized as the value of a company from the perspective of a controlling interest is generally higher than the widely quoted market price per share. The Company used an expected control premium of 30%, which was based on comparable transactional history. The income approach uses a reporting unit's projection of estimated operating results and cash flows that are discounted using a rate that reflects current market conditions. The projection uses management's best estimates of economic and market conditions over the projected period including growth rates in loans and deposits, estimates of future expected changes in net interest margins and cash expenditures. Assumptions used by the Company in its discounted cash flow model (income approach) included an annual revenue growth rate that approximated 8.0%, a net interest margin that approximated 4.0% and a return on assets that ranged from 0.83% to 1.23% (average of 1.04%). In addition to utilizing the above projections of estimated operating results, key assumptions used to determine the fair value estimate under the income approach were the discount rate of 13.85% utilized for our cash flow estimates and a terminal value estimated at 1.56 times the ending book value of the reporting unit. The Company used a build-up approach in developing the discount rate that included: an assessment of the risk free interest rate, the rate of return expected from publicly traded stocks, the industry the Company operates in and the size of the Company. The market approach estimates fair value by applying tangible book value multiples to the reporting unit's operating performance. The multiples are derived from comparable publicly traded companies with similar operating and investment characteristics of the reporting unit. In applying the market approach method, the Company selected eight publicly traded comparable institutions based on a variety of financial metrics (tangible equity, leverage ratio, return on assets, return on equity, net interest margin, nonperforming assets, net charge-offs, and reserves for loan losses) and other relevant qualitative factors (geographical location, lines of business, business model, risk profile, availability of financial information, etc.). After selecting comparable institutions, the Company derived the fair value of the reporting unit by completing a comparative analysis of the relationship between their financial metrics listed above and their market values utilizing a market multiple of 1.0 times tangible book value. The Company calculated a fair value of its reporting unit of \$159.3 million using the corporate value approach, \$151.8 million using the income approach and \$150.0 million using the market approach, with a final concluded value of \$152.0 million, with primary weight given to the market approach. The results of the Company's step one test indicated that the reporting unit's fair value was greater than its carrying value and therefore no impairment of goodwill exists.

Even though the Company determined that there was no goodwill impairment, a decline in the value of its stock price as well as values of other financial institutions, declines in revenue for the Company beyond our current forecasts, significant adverse changes in the operating environment for the financial industry or an increase in the value of our assets without an increase in the value of the reporting unit may result in a future impairment charge.

It is possible that changes in circumstances existing at the measurement date or at other times in the future, or in the numerous estimates associated with management's judgments, assumptions and estimates made in assessing the fair value of our goodwill, could result in an impairment charge of a portion or all of our goodwill. If the Company recorded an impairment charge, its financial position and results of operations would be adversely affected; however, such an impairment charge would have no impact on our liquidity, operations or regulatory capital.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

Net Income. Net income was \$2.7 million, or \$0.12 per diluted share for the three months ended June 30, 2017, compared to \$1.7 million, or \$0.08 per diluted share for same prior year period. The Company's earnings improved due to an increase in net interest income and non-interest income, which was partially offset by an increase in non-interest expense primarily as a result of the MBank transaction.

Net Interest Income. The Company's profitability depends primarily on its net interest income, which is the difference between the income it receives on interest-earning assets and the interest paid on deposits and borrowings. When the rate earned on interest-earning assets equals or exceeds the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities, this positive interest rate spread will generate net interest income. The Company's results of operations are also significantly affected by general economic and competitive conditions, particularly changes in market interest rates, government legislation and regulation, and monetary and fiscal policies.

Net interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2017 was \$10.4 million, representing an increase of \$2.6 million, or 33.4%, from \$7.8 million during the same prior year period. The net interest margin for the three months ended June 30, 2017 was 4.09% compared to 3.74% for the three months ended June 30, 2016. This increase was attributable to the increase in the average balance on loans receivable as a result of organic loan growth and the acquired loans as part of the MBank transaction. In addition, the average yields on the acquired MBank loans were higher than the existing loan portfolio prior to the MBank transaction. The accretion of the discount on the acquired MBank loans also attributed to the overall increase in the net interest margin.

Interest and Dividend Income. Interest and dividend income for the three months ended June 30, 2017 increased \$2.8 million to \$11.0 million compared to \$8.3 million for the same period in the prior year. The increase was due primarily to an increase in interest on loans receivable of \$2.3 million and interest on investment securities of \$427,000.

The average balance of net loans increased \$153.4 million to \$786.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2017 from \$633.0 million for the same prior year period. The increase was due primarily to the addition of loans from the MBank transaction as well as organic loan growth. The average yield on net loans was 4.99% for the three months ended June 30, 2017 compared to 4.71% for the same three months in the prior year.

Interest Expense. Interest expense totaled \$590,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017 compared to \$439,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The increase in interest expense was primarily the result of an increase in interest expense related to variable rate subordinated debentures, which reprice quarterly based on the three-month LIBOR, and an increase in the average balance of interest-bearing deposits due primarily to the MBank transaction. The weighted average interest rate on interest-bearing deposits decreased to 0.18% for the three months ended June 30, 2017 from 0.19% for the same period in the prior year.

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The following tables set forth, for the periods indicated, information regarding average balances of assets and liabilities as well as the total dollar amounts of interest income earned on average interest-earning assets and interest expense paid on average interest-bearing liabilities, resultant yields, interest rate spread, ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities and net interest margin (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017			2016				
	Average Balance	Interest and Dividends	Yield/Cost	Average Balance	Interest and Dividends	Yield/Cost		
Interest-earning assets:								
Mortgage loans	\$637,650	\$7,682	4.83	% \$510,253	\$6,126	4.82	%	
Non-mortgage loans	148,667	2,107	5.68	122,714	1,314	4.29		
Total net loans ⁽¹⁾	786,317	9,789	4.99	632,967	7,440	4.71		
Investment securities ⁽²⁾								
Daily interest-earning assets	209,308	1,155	2.21	155,922	720	1.85		
Other earning assets	98	-	-	713	-	-		
Total interest-earning assets	27,473	87	1.27	49,825	102	0.82		
	1,023,196	11,031	4.32	839,427	8,262	3.95		
Non-interest-earning assets:								
Office properties and equipment, net	16,147			14,512				
Other non-interest-earning assets	72,803			71,164				
Total assets	\$1,112,146			\$925,103				
Interest-bearing liabilities:								
Regular savings accounts	\$125,892	32	0.10	\$97,653	24	0.10		
Interest checking accounts	166,451	24	0.06	143,813	26	0.07		
Money market accounts	276,712	84	0.12	241,179	71	0.12		
Certificates of deposit	147,012	182	0.50	117,825	160	0.54		
Total interest-bearing deposits	716,067	322	0.18	600,470	281	0.19		
Other interest-bearing liabilities	29,105	268	3.69	25,154	158	2.52		
Total interest-bearing liabilities	745,172	590	0.32	625,624	439	0.28		
Non-interest-bearing liabilities:								
Non-interest-bearing deposits	245,354			182,357				
Other liabilities	7,959			7,313				
Total liabilities	998,485			815,294				
Shareholders' equity	113,661			109,809				
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,112,146			\$925,103				
Net interest income		\$10,441			\$7,823			
Interest rate spread			4.00	%			3.67	%
Net interest margin			4.09	%			3.74	%
Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities							137.31	%
Tax equivalent adjustment ⁽³⁾		\$8			\$-			

(1) Includes non-accrual loans.

(2) For purposes of the computation of average yield on investment securities available for sale, historical cost balances were utilized; therefore, the yield information does not give effect to changes in fair value that are reflected as a component of shareholders' equity.

(3) Tax-equivalent adjustment relates to non-taxable investment interest income and preferred equity securities dividend income.

35

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The following table sets forth the effects of changing rates and volumes on net interest income of the Company for the quarter ended June 30, 2017 compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2016. Variances that were insignificant have been allocated based upon the percentage relationship of changes in volume and changes in rate to the total net change (in thousands).

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017 vs 2016		
	Increase (Decrease)		
	Due to		
	Volume	Rate	Total Increase (Decrease)
Interest Income:			
Mortgage loans	\$1,543	\$13	\$ 1,556
Non-mortgage loans	314	479	793
Investment securities ⁽¹⁾	277	158	435
Other earning assets	(57)	42	(15)
Total interest income	2,077	692	2,769
Interest Expense:			
Regular savings accounts	8	-	8
Interest checking accounts	3	(5)	(2)
Money market accounts	13	-	13
Certificates of deposit	35	(13)	22
Other interest-bearing liabilities	28	82	110
Total interest expense	87	64	151
Net interest income	\$1,990	\$628	\$ 2,618

⁽¹⁾ Interest is presented on a fully tax-equivalent basis.

Provision for Loan Losses. The Company maintains an allowance for loan losses to provide for probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") guidelines. The adequacy of the allowance is evaluated monthly to maintain the allowance at levels sufficient to provide for inherent losses existing at the balance sheet date. The key components to the evaluation are the Company's internal loan review function by its credit administration, which reviews and monitors the risk and quality of the loan portfolio; as well as the Company's external loan reviews and its loan classification systems. Credit officers are expected to monitor their portfolios and make recommendations to change loan grades whenever changes are warranted. Credit administration approves any changes to loan grades and monitors loan grades.

In accordance with business combination accounting, loans acquired from MBank were recorded at their estimated fair value, which resulted in a net discount to the loans' contractual amounts, of which a portion reflects a discount for possible credit losses. Credit discounts are included in the determination of fair value and as a result no allowance for loan losses is recorded for acquired loans at the acquisition date. The discount recorded on the acquired loans is not reflected in the allowance for loan losses or related allowance coverage ratios. We believe this should be considered by investors when comparing the Company's allowance for loan losses to total loans in periods prior to the MBank transaction.

There was no provision for loan losses for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016. The lack of a provision for loan losses for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 continues to be based upon net recoveries and the decline in the level of delinquent, nonperforming and classified loans, as well as increases in real estate values in our market areas.

Net recoveries for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 were \$69,000 and \$75,000, respectively. Annualized net recoveries to average net loans for the three month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 were 0.04% and 0.05%, respectively. The net recoveries occurred primarily as a result of the decrease in charge-offs, as nonperforming loans continue to decline and real estate values increased in our market areas as well as there being an increase in recoveries on previously charged off loans. Nonperforming loans were \$2.8 million at June 30, 2017, compared to \$2.4 million at June 30, 2016. The ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans was 379.55% at June 30, 2017 compared to 422.75% at June 30, 2016. See "Asset Quality" above for additional information related to asset quality that management considers in determining the provision for loan losses.

Impaired loans are subjected to an impairment analysis to determine an appropriate reserve amount to be held against each loan. As of June 30, 2017, the Company had identified \$8.8 million of impaired loans. Because the significant majority of the impaired loans are collateral dependent, nearly all of the specific allowances are calculated based on the estimated fair value of the collateral. Of those impaired loans, \$6.5 million have no specific valuation allowance as their estimated collateral value is equal to or exceeds the carrying costs, which in some cases is the result of previous loan charge-offs. At June 30, 2017, charge-offs on these impaired loans totaled \$143,000 from their original loan balances. The remaining \$2.3 million of impaired loans have specific valuation allowances totaling \$171,000.

Non-Interest Income. Non-interest income increased \$224,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017 compared to the same prior year period. The increase between the periods resulted primarily from an increase in fees and service charges and gains on sales of loans held for sale of \$84,000 and \$86,000, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2017 compared to the same prior year period.

Non-Interest Expense. Non-interest expense increased \$1.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2017 compared to the same prior year period. The increase in non-interest expense was primarily due to the additional costs associated with the MBank transaction. Salaries and employee benefits increased \$782,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017 compared to the same prior year period due to additional staffing. Occupancy and depreciation increased \$209,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017 compared to the same prior year period due to the operation of additional branches. Professional fees increased \$157,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017 compared to the same prior year period primarily due to professional fees incurred related to the MBank transaction. Data processing expense increased \$121,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017 compared to the same prior year period reflecting additional costs required to operate an additional core banking system as well as costs for conversion and integration of two core banking systems. The conversion and integration of the two core banking systems was completed in May 2017.

Income Taxes. The provision for income taxes was \$1.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2017 compared to \$825,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2016. The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 was 33.6% and 32.7%, respectively. As of June 30, 2017, management deemed that a valuation allowance related to the Company's deferred tax asset was not necessary. At June 30, 2017, the Company had a net deferred tax asset of \$6.1 million compared to \$7.6 million at March 31, 2017.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There has not been any material change in the market risk disclosures contained in the 2017 Form 10-K.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

An evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13(a) - 15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of June 30, 2017 was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and several other members of the Company's senior management. The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as in effect on June 30, 2017 were effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 is (i) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management (including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) in a timely manner, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. In the quarter ended June 30, 2017, the Company did not make any changes in its internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, these controls.

While the Company believes the present design of its disclosure controls and procedures is effective to achieve its goal, future events affecting its business may cause the Company to modify its disclosure controls and procedures. The Company does not expect that its disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and fraud. A control procedure, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control procedure are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control procedures, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns in controls or procedures can occur because of simple errors or mistakes. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any control procedure is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control procedure, misstatements attributable to errors or fraud may occur and not be detected.

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is party to litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, these actions will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors set forth in Part I. Item 1A of the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2017.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits:

- Purchase and Assumption Agreement among Riverview Community Bank, a federal savings bank, and
 - 2.1 Riverview Bancorp, Inc. a Washington corporation, and MBank, an Oregon state-chartered commercial bank, and Merchants Bancorp, an Oregon corporation (1)
 - 3.1 Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (2)
 - 3.2 Bylaws of the Registrant (3)
 - 4 Form of Certificate of Common Stock of the Registrant (2)
 - 10.1 Form of Employment Agreement between the Company and each of Patrick Sheaffer, Ronald A. Wyseske, and Kevin J. Lycklama (4)
 - 10.2 Form of Change in Control Agreement between the Company and the Bank and each of Patrick Sheaffer, Ronald A. Wyseske, and Kevin J. Lycklama (4)
 - 10.3 Form of Employment Agreement between the Company and Chris P. Cline (5)
 - 10.4 Form of Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Chris P. Cline (5)
 - 10.5 Employee Severance Compensation Plan (6)
 - 10.6 Employee Stock Ownership Plan (7)
 - 10.7 1998 Stock Option Plan (8)
 - 10.8 2003 Stock Option Plan (9)
 - 10.9 Form of Incentive Stock Option Award Pursuant to 2003 Stock Option Plan (10)
 - 10.10 Form of Non-qualified Stock Option Award Pursuant to 2003 Stock Option Plan (10)
 - 10.11 Deferred Compensation Plan (11)
 - 10.12 Standstill Agreement, dated August 26, 2015, by and among, Riverview Bancorp, Inc. and Ancora Advisors, LLC, Merlin Partners LP, Ancora Catalyst Fund, Frederick DiSanto, Brian Hopkins, Patrick Sweeney and James M. Chadwick (12)
 - 11 Statement of recomputation of per share earnings (See Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained herein.)
 - 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
 - 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
 - 32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- The following materials from Riverview Bancorp Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2017, formatted on Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) (a) Consolidated Balance
- 101 Sheets; (b) Consolidated Statements of Income; (c) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income; (d) Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (e) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (f) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

- (1) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 29, 2016 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-30203), and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 1, 2017 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (5) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2017, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (6) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter-ended September 30, 1997, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (7)

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

- Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 1998, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (8) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-66049), and incorporated herein by reference.
- (9) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Definitive Annual Meeting Proxy Statement (000-22957), filed with the Commission on June 5, 2003, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (10) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2005, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (11) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (12) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 31, 2015, and incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC.

By: /s/ Patrick Sheaffer
Patrick Sheaffer
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ David Lam
David Lam
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: August 8, 2017

Date: August 8, 2017

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 31.1 Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
 - 31.2 Certifications of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
 - 32 Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- The following materials from Riverview Bancorp Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2017, formatted on Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) (a) Consolidated Balance Sheets; (b) 101 Consolidated Statements of Income; (c) Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (d) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (e) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements