

PETROBRAS INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CO

Form 20-F

June 26, 2007

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 20-F
ANNUAL REPORT
PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006**

**Commission File Number 1-15106
PETRÓLEO BRASILEIRO S.A. PETROBRAS
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Commission File Number: 333-14168
Petrobras International Finance Company
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Brazilian Petroleum Corporation PETROBRAS
(Translation of registrant's name into English)**

**The Federative Republic of Brazil
(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)**

**Cayman Island
(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)**

**Avenida República do Chile, 65
20031-912 Rio de Janeiro RJ
Brazil
(Address of principal executive offices)**

**Harbour Place
103 South Church Street, 4th floor
P.O. Box 1034GT BWI
George Town, Grand Cayman
Cayman Islands
(Address of principal executive offices)**

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class:
PETROBRAS Common Shares, without par value*
PETROBRAS American Depositary Shares (as
evidenced by
American Depositary Receipts), each representing
4 Common Shares

PETROBRAS Preferred Shares, without par value*
PETROBRAS American Depositary Shares (as
evidenced by
American Depositary Receipts), each representing
4 Preferred Shares

Name of each exchange on which registered:

New York Stock Exchange

New York Stock Exchange

* Not for trading,
but only in
connection with
the registration
of American
Depositary

Shares, pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None
Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

Title of each class:

PifCo U.S.\$500,000,000 9.125% Senior Notes due 2007
PifCo U.S.\$450,000,000 9.875% Senior Notes due 2008
PifCo U.S.\$400,000,000 9.00% Global Step-Up Notes due 2008
PifCo U.S.\$600,000,000 9.750% Senior Notes due 2011
PifCo U.S.\$750,000,000 9.125% Global Notes due 2013
PifCo U.S.\$750,000,000 8.375% Global Notes due 2018
PifCo U.S.\$600,000,000 7.75% Global Notes due 2014
PifCo U.S.\$899,053,000 6.125% Global Notes due 2016

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by this Annual Report :

At December 31, 2006, there were outstanding:
2,536,673,672 PETROBRAS Common Shares, without par value
1,850,364,698 PETROBRAS Preferred Shares, without par value
300,050,000 PifCo Common Shares

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined by Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

If this report is an annual or transitional report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer [Petrobras] Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer [PifCo]

Indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

Item 17 Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	2
<u>CERTAIN TERMS AND CONVENTIONS</u>	3
<u>PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
<u>Petrobras</u>	3
<u>PifCo</u>	4
<u>RECENT DEVELOPMENTS</u>	4
<u>Acquisition of Assets of Ipiranga Group</u>	4
<u>PRESENTATION OF INFORMATION CONCERNING RESERVES</u>	5
<u>ITEM 1. IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS</u>	6
<u>ITEM 2. OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE</u>	6
<u>ITEM 3. KEY INFORMATION</u>	6
<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	6
<u>Exchange Rates</u>	13
<u>Risk Factors</u>	13
<u>Risks Relating to Our Operations</u>	13
<u>Risks Relating to PifCo</u>	19
<u>Risks Relating to the Relationship between us and the Brazilian Government</u>	19
<u>Risks Relating to Brazil</u>	20
<u>ITEM 4. INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY</u>	24
<u>History and Development of Petrobras</u>	24
<u>Competitive Strengths</u>	26
<u>Overview by Business Segment</u>	29
<u>Exploration, Development and Production</u>	29
<u>Refining, Transportation and Marketing</u>	40
<u>Distribution</u>	51
<u>Natural Gas, Power and Renewable Energy</u>	54
<u>Renewable Energy Alternative</u>	61
<u>International</u>	62
<u>PifCo</u>	73
<u>Organizational Structure</u>	77
<u>Property, Plants and Equipment</u>	79
<u>Regulation of the Oil and Gas Industry in Brazil</u>	79
<u>Health, Safety and Environmental Initiatives</u>	85
<u>Competition</u>	87
<u>Insurance</u>	88
<u>ITEM 5. OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS</u>	89
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Petrobras' Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	89
<u>Overview</u>	89
<u>Sales Volumes and Prices</u>	90
<u>Effect of Taxes on our Income</u>	92
<u>Financial Income and Expense</u>	93
<u>Inflation and Exchange Rate Variation</u>	93
<u>Results of Operations</u>	95
<u>Business Segments</u>	104
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of PifCo's Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	106
<u>Overview</u>	106

<u>Purchases and Sales of Crude Oil and Oil Products</u>	106
<u>Results of Operations</u>	107
<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	107
<u>Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates</u>	118
<u>Impact of New Accounting Standards</u>	121
<u>Research and Development</u>	122
<u>Market Trend</u>	123

Table of Contents

<u>ITEM 6.</u>	<u>DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES</u>	124
	<u>Directors and Senior Management</u>	124
	<u>Compensation</u>	132
	<u>Share Ownership</u>	132
	<u>Fiscal Council</u>	133
	<u>Audit Committee Petrobras</u>	133
	<u>PifCo</u>	134
	<u>Employees and Labor Relations</u>	134
<u>ITEM 7.</u>	<u>MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u>	137
	<u>Major Shareholders</u>	137
	<u>Petrobras Related Party Transactions</u>	137
	<u>PifCo Related Party Transactions</u>	138
<u>ITEM 8.</u>	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	140
	<u>Petrobras Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information</u>	140
	<u>PifCo Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information</u>	140
	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	141
	<u>Dividend Distribution</u>	147
<u>ITEM 9.</u>	<u>THE OFFER AND LISTING</u>	147
	<u>Petrobras</u>	147
	<u>PifCo</u>	153
<u>ITEM 10.</u>	<u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u>	153
	<u>Memorandum and Articles of Incorporation of Petrobras</u>	153
	<u>Restrictions on Non-Brazilian Holders</u>	160
	<u>Transfer of Control</u>	161
	<u>Disclosure of Shareholder Ownership</u>	161
	<u>Memorandum and Articles of Association of PifCo</u>	161
	<u>Material Contracts</u>	164
	<u>Exchange Controls</u>	165
	<u>Taxation relating to our ADSs and common and preferred shares</u>	166
	<u>Taxation relating to PifCo's notes</u>	173
	<u>Documents on Display</u>	176
<u>ITEM 11.</u>	<u>QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	177
	<u>Petrobras</u>	177
	<u>PifCo</u>	183
<u>ITEM 12.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	184
<u>ITEM 13.</u>	<u>DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES</u>	184
<u>ITEM 14.</u>	<u>MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	184
<u>ITEM 15.</u>	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	184
<u>ITEM 16A.</u>	<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT</u>	186
<u>ITEM 16B.</u>	<u>CODE OF ETHICS</u>	186
<u>ITEM 16C.</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u>	187
	<u>Principal Accountant Fees</u>	187
	<u>Audit and Non-Audit Fees</u>	187
	<u>Audit Committee Approval Policies and Procedures</u>	188
<u>ITEM 16D.</u>	<u>EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES</u>	188
<u>ITEM 16E.</u>	<u>PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS</u>	188

<u>ITEM 17.</u>	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	188
<u>ITEM 18.</u>	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	188
<u>ITEM 19.</u>	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	189
<u>GLOSSARY OF PETROLEUM INDUSTRY TERMS</u>		194
<u>ABBREVIATIONS</u>		195
<u>CONVERSION TABLE</u>		195
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		196
SIGNATURES		197

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Many statements made in this annual report are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that are not based on historical facts and are not assurances of future results. Many of the forward-looking statements contained in this annual report may be identified by the use of forward-looking words, such as believe, expect, anticipate, should, planned, estimate and potential, among others. We have made forward-looking statements that address, among other things, our:

regional marketing and expansion strategy;

drilling and other exploration activities;

import and export activities;

projected and targeted capital expenditures and other costs, commitments and revenues;

liquidity; and

development of additional revenue sources.

Because these forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, there are important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. These factors include:

general economic and business conditions, including crude oil and other commodity prices, refining margins and prevailing exchange rates;

international and Brazilian political, economic and social developments;

our ability to find, acquire or gain access to additional reserves and to successfully develop our current ones;

uncertainties inherent in making estimates of our reserves;

our ability to obtain financing;

competition;

technical difficulties in the operation of our equipment and the provision of our services;

changes in, or failure to comply with, governmental regulations;

receipt of governmental approvals and licenses;

military operations, terrorist acts, wars or embargoes;

the cost and availability of adequate insurance coverage; and

other factors discussed below under Risk Factors.

These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Therefore, our actual results could differ materially from those expressed or forecast in any forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of factors, including those in Risk Factors.

All forward-looking statements are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement, and you should not place reliance on any forward-looking statement contained in this annual report.

Table of Contents

The crude oil and natural gas reserve data presented or described in this annual report are only estimates and our actual production, revenues and expenditures with respect to our reserves may materially differ from these estimates.

Unless the context otherwise requires, the terms Petrobras, we, us, and our refer to Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. PETROBRAS and its consolidated subsidiaries and special purpose companies, including Petrobras International Finance Company. The term PifCo refers to Petrobras International Finance Company and its subsidiaries.

CERTAIN TERMS AND CONVENTIONS

A glossary of petroleum industry terms, a table of abbreviations and a conversion table are presented beginning on page 194.

PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION

In this annual report, references to *real*, *reais* or R\$ are to Brazilian *reais* and references to U.S. dollars or U.S. are to the United States dollars. Certain figures included in this annual report have been subject to rounding adjustments; accordingly, figures shown as totals in certain tables may not be an exact arithmetic aggregation of the figures that precede them.

Petrobras

The audited consolidated financial statements of Petrobras and our consolidated subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, and the accompanying notes, contained in this annual report have been presented in U.S. dollars and prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or U.S. GAAP. See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects and Note 2(a) to our audited consolidated financial statements. We also publish financial statements in Brazil in *reais* in accordance with the accounting principles required by Law No. 6404/76, as amended, or Brazilian Corporate Law and the regulations promulgated by the *Comissão de Valores Mobiliários* (Brazilian Securities Commission, or the CVM), or Brazilian GAAP, which differs in significant respects from U.S. GAAP.

Certain prior year amounts for 2005 and 2004 have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation standards. These reclassifications had no impact on our net income.

Our functional currency is the Brazilian *real*. As described more fully in Note 2(a) to our audited consolidated financial statements, the U.S. dollar amounts as of the dates and for the periods presented in our audited consolidated financial statements have been recalculated or translated from the *real* amounts in accordance with the criteria set forth in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 52 of the U.S. Financial Accounting Standards Board, or SFAS 52. U.S. dollar amounts presented in this annual report have been translated from *reais* at the period-end exchange rate for balance sheet items and the average exchange rate prevailing during the period for income statement and cash flow items.

Unless the context otherwise indicates:

historical data contained in this annual report that were not derived from the consolidated financial statements have been translated from *reais* on a similar basis;

forward-looking amounts, including estimated future capital expenditures, have all been based on our Petrobras 2015 Strategic Plan, which covers the period from 2004 to 2015, which we refer to as the Petrobras 2015 Strategic Plan, and on our 2007-2011 Business Plan, and have been projected on a constant basis and have been translated from *reais* in 2007 at an estimated average exchange rate of R\$2.50 to U.S.\$1.00, and future calculations involving an assumed price of crude oil have been calculated using a Brent crude oil price of U.S.\$55 per barrel for 2007, and U.S.\$40 per barrel for 2008 and U.S.\$35 per barrel for 2009 and thereafter, adjusted for our quality and location differences, unless otherwise stated; and

Table of Contents

estimated future capital expenditures are based on the most recently budgeted amounts, which may not have been adjusted to reflect all factors that could affect such amounts.

PifCo

PifCo's functional currency is the U.S. dollar. Substantially all of PifCo's sales are made in U.S. dollars and all of its debt is denominated in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, PifCo's audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, and the accompanying notes contained in this annual report have been presented in U.S. dollars and prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and include PifCo's wholly-owned subsidiaries: Petrobras Europe Limited, Petrobras Finance Limited, Bear Insurance Company Limited - BEAR and Petrobras Singapore Private Limited.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

PESA Issues Notes

On May 7, 2007, Petrobras Energía S.A. (PESA), a company indirectly controlled by us, issued notes amounting to U.S.\$300 million, with a term of 10 years and bearing interest at 5.875% per year. Interest will be paid semi-annually and the principal will be paid in a single installment at maturity. The issuance was made both in the Argentine market and in the international market.

Acquisition of Assets of the Ipiranga Group

On March 18, 2007, Ultrapar Participações S.A. (Ultrapar) acquired, as a commission agent acting on behalf of Braskem S.A. (Braskem) and us, the total share capital of the controlling shares of Refinaria de Petróleo Ipiranga S.A. (RPI), Distribuidora de Produtos de Petróleo Ipiranga S.A. (DPPI) and Companhia Brasileira de Petróleo Ipiranga (CBPI) (together, the Ipiranga Group), including petrochemical, refining and distribution assets. Under the investment agreement, we and Braskem acknowledged and agreed to the terms of the proposed transaction.

After completion of the proposed acquisition, the businesses of the Ipiranga Group will be managed by Ultrapar, Braskem and us. Ultrapar will hold the retail businesses located in the South and Southeast regions of Brazil, and we will hold the retail businesses located in the North, Northeast and Central-West regions of Brazil. Besides these, we will hold a 100% of the share capital of IASA (a subsidiary of the group that produces asphalt). We and Braskem will jointly hold the petrochemical assets, represented by Ipiranga Química S.A. and Ipiranga Petroquímica S.A. (IPQ) and for IPQ's stake in Copesul - Companhia Petroquímica do Sul (Copesul), in the proportion of 40% and 60%, respectively. The assets related to refining operations will be equally shared between Ultrapar, Braskem and us.

The Ipiranga transaction is expected to close during the fourth quarter of 2007. The transaction is expected to take place in four phases. In the first phase, Ultrapar acquired RPI, DPPI and CBPI from these entities' controlling shareholders for a purchase price of R\$2,000.2 million. In the second phase Ultrapar will make a mandatory tender offer for the remaining outstanding voting shares of RPI, DPPI and CBPI, as required under Brazilian law. In the next phase, Ultrapar will issue preferred shares in exchanges for the outstanding preferred shares of RPI, DPPI and CBPI. In the fourth phase Ultrapar will delivery part of the distribution assets to us and total petrochemical assets to us and Braskem.

The total value estimated for the operation is U.S.\$4.0 billion and we are expected to pay approximately U.S.\$1.3 billion for our interest. The transaction will be subject to the approval of the Brazilian anti-trust authorities (CADE - Administrative Board for Economic Defense), the Secretary for Economic Rights and the Secretary for Economic Monitoring.

Table of Contents**Acquisition of Refinery Pasadena Texas**

In September 2006, we announced the closing of the acquisition by Petrobras America, Inc., or PAI, our wholly-owned subsidiary in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, of 50% of Pasadena Refining System Inc. (PRSI), formerly the Crown Refinery in Pasadena, Texas, from Astra Oil Company, a U.S.-based refining and trading company owned by the Belgian group Compagnie Nationale à Portefeuille SA-CNP. The purchase price was approximately U.S.\$416 million. PAI and Astra are conducting studies to expand its capacity and install units that will enable it to process heavy oils and deliver high quality products.

Electrical Energy

Petrobras is expected to sign an agreement with the National Electrical Energy Agency (*Agência Nacional de Energia Elétrica*, or ANEEL), in an effort to increase capacity in 24 gas-fired power plants. This agreement is highlighted as a part of our strategy to develop the Brazilian natural gas market as an integrated energy company with a goal of making the gas-fired power business profitable. The actions to be undertaken before 2011 will allow for an additional electrical energy capacity of 4 GW, which are expected to be reached not just through a greater supply of gas, but also through the conversion of plants into those that can support biocombustible operations and through the availability of plants that can process combustible oil. We understand that our actions, along with the actions of other companies, with the contracting for expected demand and the reserve capacity for energy generation, will allow the electrical business greater operational stability.

PRESENTATION OF INFORMATION CONCERNING RESERVES

The estimates of our proved reserves of crude oil and natural gas as of December 31, 2006, included in this annual report have been calculated according to the technical definitions required by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC. DeGolyer and MacNaughton provided estimates of most of our net domestic reserves as of December 31, 2006. All reserve estimates involve some degree of uncertainty. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Operations for a description of the risks relating to our reserves and our reserve estimates.

We also file oil and gas reserve estimates with governmental authorities in most of the countries in which we operate. On January 12, 2007, we filed reserve estimates for Brazil with the *Agência Nacional de Petróleo* (the National Petroleum Agency, or the ANP), in accordance with Brazilian rules and regulations, totaling 11.671 billion barrels of crude oil and condensate and 12,492.9 billion cubic feet of natural gas. The reserve estimates we filed with the ANP and those provided herein differ by approximately 30.1%. This difference is due to (1) the ANP requirement that we estimate proved reserves through the technical abandonment of production wells, as opposed to limiting reserve estimates to the life of our concession contracts as required by Rule 4-10 of Regulation S-X and (2) different technical criteria for booking proved reserves, including the use of 3-D seismic data to establish proved reserves in Brazil.

We also file reserve estimates from our international operations with various governmental agencies under the guidelines of the Society of Petroleum Engineers, or SPE. The aggregate reserve estimates from our international operations, under SPE guidelines, amounted to 0.66 billion barrels of crude oil and NGLs and 3,679 billion cubic feet of natural gas, which differs by approximately 44 percent from reserve estimates provided herein because the SPE's different technical guidelines allow for (1) the booking of reserves in Bolivia beyond the life of certain gas sale contracts and (2) the booking of reserves in Nigeria based on 3-D seismic data and certain oil recovery techniques, such as fluid injection, based on analogous fields.

Bolivia and Venezuela implemented new nationalization measures during 2006. The nationalization measures in Bolivia and Venezuela caused a reduction of our reserves in these countries in 2006. The new regulation in Venezuela reduced our reserves as *Petróleos de Venezuela S.A.* (PDVSA) became the main controller of the companies, created to operate the fields with private companies. Due to new government regulations, our reserves in Bolivia were also reduced. In Nigeria, the consortium in charge of the Akpo field included Total, Petrobras and a Nigerian private company called SAPETRO. The agreement underwritten by these companies established that Total and Petrobras carry the investment cost of the third party and it would be compensated in the

Table of Contents

future with SAPETRO's production/reserves. Throughout 2006, SAPETRO sold its participation to a Chinese oil company and, as part of this agreement, Petrobras and Total were reimbursed for their past carrying investments. In addition, in Nigeria, due to certain farm-in arrangements in the Akpo field, we reduced our expectations for future production. See Item 4. Information on the Company International.

ITEM 1. IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. KEY INFORMATION

Selected Financial Data

Petrobras

The following table sets forth our selected consolidated financial data, presented in U.S. dollars and prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The data for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2006 has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements, which were audited by KPMG Auditores Independentes for the year ended December 31, 2006, by Ernst & Young Auditores Independentes S/S for each of the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, and by PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes for the year ended December 31, 2002. The information below should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, our audited consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes and Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects.

Certain prior year amounts for 2005 and 2004 have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation standards. These reclassifications had no impact on our net income.

Table of Contents**BALANCE SHEET DATA PETROBRAS**

	As of December 31, 2006 2005 2004 2003 2002 (in millions of U.S. dollars)				
Assets					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12,688	\$ 9,871	\$ 6,856	\$ 8,344	\$ 3,301
Accounts receivable, net	6,311	6,184	4,285	2,905	2,267
Inventories	6,573	5,305	4,904	2,947	2,540
Recoverable taxes	2,593	2,087	1,475	917	672
Advances to suppliers	948	652	422	504	794
Other current assets	1,842	1,685	1,484	1,817	748
Total current assets	30,955	25,784	19,426	17,434	10,322
Property, plant and equipment, net	58,897	45,920	37,020	30,805	18,224
Investments in non-consolidated companies and other investments	3,262	1,810	1,862	1,173	334
Other assets:					
Accounts receivables, net	513	642	411	528	369
Advances to suppliers	852	462	580	416	450
Petroleum and Alcohol Account-Receivable from the Brazilian government(1)	368	329	282	239	182
Government securities	479	364	326	283	176
Unrecognized pension obligation					61
Restricted deposits for legal proceedings and guarantees	816	775	699	543	290
Recoverable taxes	1,292	639	536	467	156
Investments PEPSA and PELSA					1,073
Goodwill	243	237	211	183	
Prepaid expenses	244	246	271	190	100
Marketable securities	94	129	313	806	208
Fair value asset of gas hedge		547	635		
Others	665	754	510	545	209
Total other assets	5,566	5,124	4,774	4,200	3,274
Total assets	\$ 98,680	\$ 78,638	\$ 63,082	\$ 53,612	\$ 32,154
Liabilities and Shareholders equity					
Current liabilities:					
Trade accounts payable	\$ 5,418	\$ 3,838	\$ 3,284	\$ 2,261	\$ 1,702
Taxes payable	3,357	3,423	2,569	2,305	1,801
Short-term debt	1,293	950	547	1,329	671
Current portion of long-term debt	2,106	1,428	1,199	1,145	727
Current portion of project financings	2,182	2,413	1,313	842	239
Current portion of capital lease obligations	231	239	266	378	349
Dividends and interest on capital payable	3,693	3,068	1,900	1,955	307

Edgar Filing: PETROBRAS INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CO - Form 20-F

Payroll and related charges	1,192	918	618	581	283
Advances from customers	880	609	290	258	119
Employees postretirement benefits obligations Pension	198	206	166	160	89
Other current liabilities	1,236	1,069	1,176	823	976
Total current liabilities	21,786	18,161	13,328	12,037	7,263
Long-term liabilities:					
Long-term debt	10,510	11,503	12,145	11,888	6,987
Project financings	4,192	3,629	4,399	5,066	3,800
Employees postretirement benefits obligations Pension	4,645	3,627	2,915	1,895	1,363
Employees postretirement benefits obligation Health Care	5,433	3,004	2,137	1,580	1,060
Capital lease obligations	824	1,015	1,069	1,242	1,907
Deferred income tax	2,916	2,166	1,558	1,122	259
Gas-fired power liabilities			1,095	1,142	
Deferred Purchase Incentive		144	153		
Provision for abandonment of wells	1,473	842	403	396	
Other liabilities	636	556	497	541	350
Total long-term liabilities	30,629	26,486	26,371	24,872	15,726
Minority interest	1,966	1,074	877	367	(136)

Table of Contents

	2006	2005	As of December 31, 2004	2003	2002
	(in millions of U.S. dollars)				
Shareholders equity					
Shares authorized and issued:					
Preferred share	7,718	4,772	4,772	2,973	2,459
Common share	10,959	6,929	6,929	4,289	3,761
Capital reserve and other comprehensive income	25,622	21,216	10,805	9,074	3,081
Total Shareholders equity	44,299	32,917	22,506	16,336	9,301
Total liabilities and Shareholders equity	\$ 98,680	\$ 78,638	\$ 63,082	\$ 53,612	\$ 32,154

(1) Prior to July 29, 1998, the Petroleum and Alcohol Account reflected the difference between our actual cost for imported crude oil and oil products and the price set by the Brazilian government, as well as the net effects on us of the administration of certain subsidies and of our fuel alcohol activities. From July 29, 1998 until December 31, 2001, the Petroleum and Alcohol Account was required to be adjusted by the Specific Parcel Price-PPE and certain fuel transportation and other reimbursable costs. As from the

price deregulation on
January 2, 2002, the
Petroleum and
Alcohol Account
reflected only the
outstanding balance
owed to us by the
Brazilian
government and
adjustments resulting
from monetary
correction and audits
to the Account. See
Item 4. Information
on the
Company Regulation
of the Oil and Gas
Industry in
Brazil Price
Regulation The
Petroleum and
Alcohol Account.

Table of Contents**INCOME STATEMENT DATA PETROBRAS**

For the Year Ended December 31,

2006 2005 (8) 2004 (8) 2003(8) 2002(8)
(in millions of U.S. dollars, except for share and per share data)

Sales of products and services	\$ 93,893	\$ 74,065	\$ 51,954	\$ 42,690	\$ 32,987
Value-added and other taxes on sales and services	(17,906)	(14,694)	(10,906)	(9,527)	(7,739)
CIDE(1)	(3,640)	(3,047)	(2,620)	(2,249)	(2,636)
Net operating revenues	72,347	56,324	38,428	30,914	22,612
Cost of sales	40,061	29,828	21,279	15,533	11,506
Depreciation, depletion and amortization(2)(3)	3,673	2,926	2,481	1,785	1,930
Exploration, including exploratory dry holes(2)	934	1,009	613	512	435
Selling, general and administrative expenses	4,989	4,474	2,901	2,091	1,741
Other operating expense	1,829	2,008	793	597	222
Total costs and expenses	51,486	40,245	28,067	20,518	15,834
Financial income	1,165	710	956	634	1,142
Financial expense	(1,340)	(1,189)	(1,733)	(1,247)	(774)
Monetary and exchange variation on monetary assets and liabilities, net	75	248	450	509	(2,068)
Employee benefit expense	(1,017)	(994)	(650)	(595)	(451)
Other non-operating income (expense), net(4)	(583)	(262)	(449)	(924)	(1,395)
Income before income taxes, minority interest, extraordinary item and accounting change	19,161	14,592	8,935	8,773	3,232
Income tax (expense) benefit:					
Current	(5,011)	(4,223)	(2,114)	(2,599)	(1,269)
Deferred	(680)	(218)	(117)	(64)	116

Total income tax expense	(5,691)	(4,441)	(2,231)	(2,663)	(1,153)
Minority interests in results of consolidated subsidiaries	(644)	35	(514)	(248)	232
Income before extraordinary item and effect of change in accounting principle	12,826	10,186	6,190	5,862	2,311
Extraordinary gain net of tax		158			
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of taxes(2)				697	
Net income for the year	\$ 12,826	\$ 10,344	\$ 6,190	\$ 6,559	\$ 2,311
Weighted average number of shares Outstanding:(5)					
Common(5)	2,536,673,672	2,536,673,672	2,536,673,672	2,536,673,672	2,536,673,672
Preferred(5)	1,850,364,698	1,849,478,028	1,849,478,028	1,849,478,028	1,807,742,676
Basic and diluted earnings per share:(5)(6)					
Common and Preferred Shares(5)(6)	\$ 2.92	\$ 2.36	\$ 1.41	\$ 1.50	\$ 0.53
Common and Preferred ADS(5)(6)	\$ 11.68	\$ 9.44	\$ 5.64	\$ 6.00	\$ 2.12
Cash dividends per(5)(7):					
Common and Preferred shares(5)(7)	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.29
Common and Preferred ADS(5)(7)	\$ 3.36	\$ 2.72	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.16

(1) CIDE is an excise tax payable to the Brazilian government, required to be paid by producers, blenders and importers upon sales and purchases of

specified oil and fuel products at a set amount for different products based on the unit of measurement typically used for such products.

- (2) In 2002, U.S.\$284 million in abandonment costs were recognized as depreciation, depletion and amortization in accordance with SFAS 19. In 2003, as a result of our adoption of SFAS 143 - Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, depreciation on the asset retirement obligation was recorded under depreciation, depletion and amortization, while accretion expense was recorded under exploration, including exploratory dry holes. This change resulted in U.S.\$43 million in abandonment costs being recognized as exploration, including exploratory dry holes in 2003. The cumulative

effect of adoption
is recorded
separately.

- (3) Includes
impairment
charge.
- (4) Amounts reported
include financial
charges in respect
of the Petroleum
and Alcohol
Account of
U.S.\$2 million in
2002.

Table of Contents

- (5) On July 22, 2005, our Board of Directors authorized a 4 for 1 stock split. For purposes of comparison, the weighted average number of shares outstanding, net income per share/ADS and cash dividends per share/ADS were restated for periods prior to the stock split, which became effective as of September 1, 2005. See note 10 to our audited consolidated financial statements.
- (6) Basic and diluted earnings per share for 2003 reflect our adoption of SFAS 143. That change in accounting principle altered our 2003 basic and diluted earnings per share from U.S.\$1.34 (before effect of change in accounting principle) to U.S.\$1.50 (after effect of change in accounting

principle). And for 2005, the extraordinary item altered our basic and diluted earnings per share from U.S.\$2.32 (before effect of extraordinary item) to U.S.\$2.36 (after effect of extraordinary item).

- (7) Represents dividends declared in respect of the earnings of each period.
- (8) Certain amounts from prior years have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on the Company's net income.

Table of Contents

PifCo

The following table sets forth PifCo's selected consolidated financial data, presented in U.S. dollars and prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The data for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2006 have been derived from PifCo's audited consolidated financial statements, which were audited by KPMG Auditores Independentes for the year ended December 31, 2006, by Ernst & Young Auditores Independentes S/S for each of the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 and by PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes for the year ended December 31, 2002. The information below should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, PifCo's audited consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes and Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects.

Table of Contents**INCOME STATEMENT AND BALANCE SHEET DATA - PifCo**

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	(in millions of U.S. dollars)				
Income Statement Data:					
Sales of crude oil and oil products and Services:					
Related Parties	\$ 14,236.5	\$ 13,974.4	\$ 10,118.4	\$ 5,543.0	\$ 5,375.5
Others	7,833.3	3,161.7	2,237.2	1,432.5	1,014.7
Lease income(1)					36.1
	\$ 22,069.8	\$ 17,136.1	\$ 12,355.6	\$ 6,975.5	\$ 6,426.3
Operating Expenses:					
Cost of sales					
Related Parties	(8,122.0)	(7,780.3)	(4,391.3)	(2,851.4)	(2,409.0)
Others	(13,778.5)	(9,203.0)	(7,844.7)	(4,068.7)	(3,962.5)
Lease expense(1)					(24.0)
Selling, general and Administrative expenses					
Related parties	(189.7)	(158.1)	(98.7)	(17.1)	
Others	(17.7)	(7.6)	(1.1)	(1.5)	(1.2)
	(22,107.9)	(17,149.0)	(12,335.8)	(6,938.7)	(6,396.7)
Operating income (loss)	(38.1)	(12.9)	19.8	36.8	29.6
Financial income(2)					
Related Parties	999.2	765.5	568.6	401.7	201.9
Others	286.0	218.5	110.2	41.2	17.7
Total	1,285.2	984.0	678.8	442.9	219.6
Financial expense(3)					
Related Parties	(722.4)	(409.8)	(169.0)	(111.9)	(61.3)
Others	(735.4)	(589.1)	(592.2)	(370.8)	(253.4)
Total	(1,457.8)	(998.9)	(761.2)	(482.7)	(314.7)
Other income, net					
Related Parties			(0.5)		
Others	0.2		4.0		
Net loss	\$ (210.5)	\$ (27.8)	\$ (59.1)	\$ (3.0)	\$ (65.5)
Balance Sheet Data (end of period):					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 510.8	\$ 230.7	\$ 1,107.3	\$ 664.2	\$ 260.6
Trade accounts receivable					
Related parties	10,658.9	8,681.1	7,788.1	5,064.5	4,837.1
Others	835.4	212.7	153.6	109.4	57.1
Notes receivable					

Edgar Filing: PETROBRAS INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CO - Form 20-F

Related parties	6,354.4	3,909.3	1,936.9	1,726.4	1,631.6
Export Prepayment					
Related parties	532.2	943.9	1,414.7	1,479.4	751.2
Marketable Securities	1,796.9	2,248.6	1,864.8	615.8	96.3
Total assets	21,321.3	16,748.9	14,670.2	10,196.6	8,697.3
Trade accounts payable					
Related parties	1,142.9	950.7	562.1	271.0	292.0
Other	1,122.0	616.1	568.1	349.0	281.1
Notes payable					
Related parties	12,828.5	8,080.3	6,435.0	2,442.8	3,688.2
Short-term financing and current portion of long-term debt	1,205.9	891.1	680.9	1,076.4	367.5
Long-term debt(4)	4,640.1	5,908.4	6,151.8	5,825.3	3,850.4
Total stockholders' equity	(24.8)	8.0	35.7	94.8	43.9
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	21,321.3	16,748.9	14,670.2	10,196.6	8,697.3

(1) As a result of PifCo's transfer of PNBV, its leasing subsidiary, to us in January 2003, PifCo had no lease income or lease expense in 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006.

(2) Financial income represents primarily the imputed interest realized from PifCo's sales of crude oil and oil products to us and intercompany loans to related parties.

(3) Financial expense consists primarily of costs incurred by PifCo in financing its activities in connection with the importation by us of crude oil and oil products.

- (4) Includes capital lease obligations of U.S.\$601.7 million at December 31, 2002.

Table of Contents**Exchange Rates**

Foreign currencies may only be purchased through Brazilian financial institutions authorized to operate in the exchange market and are subject to registration with the Central Bank electronic system. The Central Bank of Brazil allows the *real*/U.S. dollar exchange rate to float freely, and it has intervened occasionally to control unstable movements in foreign exchange rates. We cannot predict whether the Central Bank or the Brazilian government will continue to let the *real* float freely or will intervene in the exchange rate market through a currency band system or otherwise.

The *real* depreciated 52.3% in 2002 against the U.S. dollar, before appreciating 18.2% in 2003 and continuing to appreciate 8.1% in 2004, 11.8% in 2005 and 8.7% in 2006. As of June 21, 2007, the *real* has appreciated to R\$1.920 per U.S.\$1.00, representing an appreciation of approximately 10.2% in 2007 year-to-date. The *real* may depreciate or appreciate substantially in the future. See Risk Factors Risks Relating to Brazil.

The following table provides information on the selling exchange rate, expressed in *reais* per U.S. dollar (R\$/U.S.\$), for the periods indicated. The table uses the commercial selling rate prior to March 14, 2005.

	(R\$ /U.S.\$)			Period End
	High	Low	Average (1)	
Year ended December 31,				
2006	2.371	2.059	2.175	2.138
2005	2.762	2.163	2.435	2.341
2004	3.205	2.654	2.926	2.654
2003	3.662	2.822	3.075	2.889
2002	3.955	2.271	2.924	3.533
Month				
November 2006	2.187	2.135	2.156	2.167
December 2006	2.169	2.138	2.150	2.138
January 2007	2.156	2.125	2.139	2.125
February 2007	2.118	2.077	2.096	2.118
March 2007	2.139	2.050	2.089	2.050
April 2007	2.050	2.023	2.032	2.034
May 2007	2.034	1,929	1.986	1,929
June 2007 (through June 21)	1.964	1.905	1.930	1.920

Source: Central Bank of Brazil

- (1) Year-end figures stated for calendar years 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002 represent the average of the month-end exchange rates during the relevant period. The figures provided for the months of calendar years 2007 and 2006, as well as for the month of June

up to and including
June 21, 2007,
represent the
average of the
exchange rates at
the close of trading
on each business
day during such
period.

Brazilian law provides that, whenever there is a serious imbalance in Brazil's balance of payments or serious reasons to foresee such an imbalance, temporary restrictions on remittances from Brazil may be imposed by the Brazilian government. These types of measures may be taken by the Brazilian government in the future, including measures relating to remittances related to our preferred or common shares or American Depositary Shares, or ADSs. See Risk Factors-Risks Relating to Brazil.

Risk Factors

Risks Relating to Our Operations

Substantial or extended declines in the prices of crude oil and oil products may have a material adverse effect on our income.

The majority of our revenue is derived from sales of crude oil and oil products. We do not, and will not, have control over the factors affecting international prices for crude oil and oil products. The average prices of Brent crude, an international benchmark oil, were approximately U.S.\$ 65.14 per barrel for 2006, U.S.\$54.38 per barrel for 2005 and U.S.\$38.21 per barrel for 2004. Changes in crude oil prices typically result in changes in prices for oil products.

Table of Contents

Historically, international prices for crude oil and oil products have fluctuated widely as a result of many factors. These factors include:

global and regional economic and geopolitical developments in crude oil producing regions, particularly in the Middle East;

the ability of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) to set and maintain crude oil production levels and defend prices;

global and regional supply and demand for crude oil and oil products;

competition from other energy sources;

domestic and foreign government regulations; and

weather conditions.

Volatility and uncertainty in international prices for crude oil and oil products may continue. Substantial or extended declines in international crude oil prices may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, and the value of our proved reserves. In addition, significant decreases in the price of crude oil may cause us to reduce or alter the timing of our capital expenditures, and this could adversely affect our production forecasts in the medium term and our reserve estimates in the future.

Our ability to achieve our long-term growth objectives depends on our ability to discover additional reserves and successfully develop them, and failure to do so could prevent us from achieving our long-term goals for growth in production.

Our ability to achieve our long-term growth objectives is highly dependent upon our ability to discover additional reserves, as well as to successfully develop our current reserves. In addition, our exploration activities expose us to the inherent risks of drilling, including the risk that we will not discover commercially productive crude oil or natural gas reserves. The costs of drilling wells are often uncertain, and numerous factors beyond our control (such as unexpected drilling conditions, equipment failures or accidents, and shortages or delays in the availability of drilling rigs and the delivery of equipment) may cause drilling operations to be curtailed, delayed or cancelled. These risks are heightened when we drill in deep water (between 300 and 1,500 meters water depth) and ultra deep water (more than 1,500 meters). Deep water drilling represented approximately 34 % of the exploratory wells we drilled in 2006, a higher proportion than for many other oil and gas producers.

Unless we conduct successful exploration and development activities or acquire properties containing proved reserves, or both, our proved reserves will decline as reserves are extracted. If we fail to gain access to additional reserves we may not achieve our long-term goals for production growth and our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected.

Our crude oil and natural gas reserve estimates involve some degree of uncertainty, which could adversely affect our ability to generate income.

The proved crude oil and natural gas reserves set forth in this annual report are our estimated quantities of crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions (i.e., prices and costs as of the date the estimate is made). Our proved developed crude oil and natural gas reserves are reserves that can be expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. There are uncertainties in estimating quantities of proved reserves related to prevailing crude oil and natural gas prices applicable to our production, which may lead us to make revisions to our reserve estimates. Downward revisions in our reserve estimates could lead to lower future production, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

We are subject to numerous environmental and health regulations that have become more stringent in the recent past and may result in increased liabilities and increased capital expenditures.

Our activities are subject to a wide variety of federal, state and local laws, regulations and permit requirements relating to the protection of human health and the environment, both in Brazil and in other jurisdictions in which we operate. In Brazil, we could be exposed to administrative and criminal sanctions, including warnings, fines and closure orders for non-compliance with these environmental regulations, which, among other things, limit or prohibit emissions or spills of toxic substances produced in connection with our operations. In 2006, we experienced spills totaling 77,402 gallons of crude oil, as compared to 71,141 gallons in 2005 and 140,000 gallons in 2004. As a result of certain of these spills, we were fined by various state and federal environmental agencies, named the defendant in several civil and criminal suits, and remain subject to several investigations and potential civil and criminal liabilities. See Item 8. Financial Information Legal Proceedings. Waste disposal and emissions regulations may require us to clean up or retrofit our facilities at substantial cost and could result in substantial liabilities. The *Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis* (Brazilian Institute of the Environment and Renewable Natural Resources, or IBAMA) routinely inspects our oil platforms in the Campos Basin, and may impose fines, restrictions on operations or other sanctions in connection with its inspections. In addition, we are subject to environmental laws that require us to incur significant costs to cover damage that a project may cause to the environment (*environmental compensation*). These additional costs may have a negative impact on the profitability of the projects we intend to implement or may make such projects economically unfeasible.

As environmental regulations become more stringent, it is probable that our capital expenditures for compliance with environmental regulations and to effect improvements in our health, safety and environmental practices will increase substantially in the future. Because our capital expenditures are subject to approval by the Brazilian government, increased expenditures to comply with environmental regulations could result in reductions in other strategic investments. Any such reduction may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

We may incur losses and spend time and money defending pending litigations and arbitrations.

We are currently a party to numerous legal proceedings relating to civil, administrative, environmental, labor and tax claims filed against us. These claims involve substantial amounts of money and other remedies. Several individual disputes account for a significant part of the total amount of claims against us. For example, on the grounds that drilling and production platforms may not be classified as sea-going vessels, the Brazilian Revenue Service asserted that overseas remittances for charter payments should be reclassified as lease payment and subject to a withholding tax of 25%. The Revenue Service has filed two tax assessments against us that in the aggregate, on December 31, 2006, amounted to R\$3,914 million (approximately U.S.\$1,832 million). See Item 8. Financial Information Legal Proceedings.

We may also be subject to labor litigation in connection with recent changes in Brazilian laws relating to retirement benefits affecting our employees.

In the event that claims involving a material amount and for which we have no provisions were to be decided against us, or in the event that the losses estimated turn out to be significantly higher than the provisions made, the aggregate cost of unfavorable decisions could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, our management may be required to direct its time and attention to defending these claims, which could preclude them from focusing on our core business. Depending on the outcome, certain litigation could result in restrictions on our operations and have a material adverse effect on certain of our businesses.

If the State of Rio de Janeiro enforces a law imposing the Domestic Value-Added Tax (ICMS) on upstream oil activities, our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected.

In June 2003, the State of Rio de Janeiro enacted a law, referred to as the Noel Law, imposing ICMS on upstream activities. The constitutionality of the Noel Law is currently being challenged in the Brazilian Supreme Court (*Supremo Tribunal Federal*, or STF) and although the law was approved by the State Legislature, the

Table of Contents

government of the State of Rio de Janeiro has not yet enforced it. Currently, the ICMS for fuels derived from oil is assessed at the point of sale but not at the wellhead level. If the State of Rio de Janeiro enforces the Noel Law, it is unlikely (depending on the grounds of the Supreme Court's decision) that the other states would allow us to use the tax imposed at the wellhead level in Rio de Janeiro as a credit to offset the tax imposed at the sale level. Therefore, we would have to pay ICMS at both levels. We estimate that the amount of ICMS that we would be required to pay to the State of Rio de Janeiro could increase by approximately R\$9.4 billion (U.S.\$4.3 billion) per year. This increase could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our participation in the domestic power market has generated losses and may not become profitable.

Consistent with the global trend of other major oil and gas companies and to secure demand for our natural gas, we participate in the domestic power market. Despite a number of incentives introduced by the Brazilian government to promote the development of gas-fired power plants, development of such plants has been slow due to the market structure and regulation of the power industry, among other things. We have invested, alone or with other investors, in fifteen (thirteen in operation and two under construction or development) of the 21 existing gas-fired power generation plants. Demand for energy produced by our gas-fired power plants has been lower than we expected, as a consequence of the reduction in electricity demand due to a rationing that took place in Brazil in 2001 and 2002. The resulting excess of electricity generation capacity in Brazil has lowered the prices of energy and the majority of our thermoelectricity generation capacity is not contracted in the short term. Although almost all of our long-term capacity has been sold through the energy auctions promoted by the Brazilian government, we still face certain risks associated with our gas-fired power business. The main risks are:

The potential mismatch between the contracted price indexation for energy to be sold by gas-fired power companies and the cost of natural gas or other substitute fuel supply; and

The dependence on the construction of pipelines and other infrastructure to transport and produce natural gas, and the commitment to purchase firm quantities of natural gas to satisfy the requirement of the new regulatory model for power generation in order to sell under long term energy contracts.

As a result of the foregoing, our participation in the domestic power market has generated losses and may not become profitable.

We may not be able to obtain financing for some of our planned investments, and failure to do so could adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

The Brazilian government maintains control over our budget and establishes limits on our investments and long-term debt. As a state-controlled entity, we must submit our proposed annual budgets to the Ministry of Planning, Budget and Management, the Ministry of Mines and Energy, and the Brazilian Congress for approval. If we cannot obtain financing that does not require Brazilian government approval, we may not be free to make all the investments we envision, including those we have agreed to make to expand and develop our crude oil and natural gas fields. If we are unable to make these investments, our operating results and financial condition may be adversely affected.

Currency fluctuations could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations, because most of our revenues are in reais and a large portion of our liabilities are in foreign currencies.

The impacts of fluctuations in exchange rates, especially the *real*/U.S. dollar rate, on our operations are varied and may be material. The principal market for our products is Brazil, as over the last three fiscal years over 75% of our revenues have been denominated in *reais*, while some of our operating expenses and capital expenditures and a substantial portion of our indebtedness are, and are expected to continue to be, denominated in or indexed to U.S. dollars and other foreign currencies. In addition, during 2006 we imported U.S.\$10.7 billion of crude oil and oil products, the prices of which were all denominated and paid in U.S. dollars. Conversely, a substantial share of our liquid assets are held in U.S. dollar denominated assets, or indexed to the U.S. dollar, but we do not use forwards, swaps and futures contracts to mitigate the impact of changes in currency values on our operations and financial statements because of their limited liquidity and cost.

Table of Contents

Our recent financial statements reflect the appreciation of the *real* by 18.2%, 8.1%, 11.8% and 8.7% against the U.S. dollar in 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006, respectively, as a result of improvement in macro-economic conditions and reduction in the markets perception of political risk in Brazil and global emerging market risk. As of June 21, 2007, the exchange rate of the *real* to the U.S. dollar was R\$1.920 per U.S.\$1.00, representing an appreciation of approximately 10.2% in 2007 year-to-date. Any reversal of this trend could affect negatively the results of our operations.

We are exposed to increases in prevailing market interest rates, which leaves us vulnerable to increased financing expenses.

In spite of marked improvements in our credit ratings, which have facilitated our access to fixed-interest long-term capital, a substantial portion of our total debt is represented by structured finance, export credits, trade financing and other similar financing methods the funding of which depends on floating rate instruments, and which for contractual, cost or other considerations cannot be prepaid. As of December 31, 2006, approximately 59% U.S.\$12,589 million of our total indebtedness consisted of floating rate debt. In light of cost considerations and market analysis, we decided not to enter into derivative contracts or make other arrangements to hedge against the risk of an increase in interest rates. Accordingly, if market interest rates (principally LIBOR) rise, our financing expenses will increase, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

We are not insured against business interruption for our Brazilian operations and most of our assets are not insured against war or sabotage.

We do not maintain coverage for business interruptions of any nature for our Brazilian operations, including business interruptions caused by labor action. If, for instance, our workers were to strike, the resulting work stoppages could have an adverse effect on us. In addition, we do not insure most of our assets against war or sabotage. Therefore, an attack or an operational incident causing an interruption of our business could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

We are subject to substantial risks relating to our international operations, in particular in Latin America and the Middle East.

We operate in a number of different countries, particularly in Latin America, West Africa and the Middle East that can be politically, economically and socially unstable. The results of operations and financial condition of our subsidiaries in these countries may be adversely affected by fluctuations in their local economies, political instability and governmental actions relating to the economy, including:

the imposition of exchange or price controls;

the imposition of restrictions on hydrocarbon exports;

the depreciation of local currencies;

the nationalization of oil and gas reserves;

increases in export tax / income tax rates for crude oil and oil products; or

unilateral (governmental) institutional and contractual changes.

If one or more of the risks described above were to materialize we may not achieve our strategic objectives in these countries or in our international operations as a whole, which may result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Of the countries outside of Brazil in which we operate, Argentina is the most significant, representing approximately 44% of our total international crude oil and natural gas production and 35% of our international proved crude oil and natural gas reserves at December 31, 2006. In response to the Argentine peso crisis that began in 2001, the Argentine government has made a number of changes in the regulatory structure of the electricity and

Table of Contents

gas sectors and has established export tax rates for crude oil, natural gas and oil products. We also have significant operations in Bolivia and Venezuela that represented, respectively, approximately 23% and 11% of our total international production in barrels of oil equivalent and 24% and 9% of our international proved crude oil and natural gas reserves, respectively, at December 31, 2006. Deterioration of the situation in Argentina, Bolivia or Venezuela may have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

The nationalization measures taken by the Bolivian and Venezuelan governments may have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. The Bolivian and Venezuelan governments have recently increased their participation in their respective domestic oil and gas industries, which may generate material losses to us.

We have been operating in Bolivia since 1996. Our consolidated interests related to Bolivia include two refineries, oil and gas reserves, which represented approximately 1.9% of our total reserves at December 31, 2006 and our interest in the Bolivia-Brazil gas pipeline (GTB). We also hold a long-term gas supply agreement, or the GSA, for the purchase of natural gas from the Bolivian state oil company, Yacimientos Petrolíferos Fiscales Bolivianos (YPFB). As of December 31, 2006, the book value of Bolivia assets was U.S.\$1,173 million. In 2006, the natural gas we imported from Bolivia represented approximately 56% of our total natural gas sales. We supply this natural gas to the Brazilian market, including local distribution companies and gas-fired power plants in which we have an interest. On May 1, 2006, the Bolivian government announced that it would nationalize several industries in the country, including the oil and gas industry. As a result, companies engaged in oil and gas production activities in Bolivia were required to deliver to YPFB all their oil and gas production. The nationalization measures also included a significant increase in the government take (including royalties and direct taxes) for companies engaged in oil and gas production in Bolivia from 18% of total production in 2005 to approximately 82% in 2006, subject to production levels and the price of natural gas, among other variables to take into account. We reviewed our production estimates for Bolivia and reduced our proved reserves in this country from 2.7% of our total reserves in December 31, 2005 to 1.9% of our total reserves in December 31, 2006. After negotiations with the Bolivian government, in May 2007, we reached a sales agreement with YPFB, in which they have agreed to pay, in two installments, U.S.\$112 millions for all the outstanding shares of Petrobras Bolivia Refinación S.A., which owns the two refineries in Bolivia. On June 11, 2007 we confirmed the first payment of U.S.\$56 million from YPFB. The Bolivian government attempted to increase the gas prices under the agreement but currently has agreed to maintain the prices at the levels originally provided in the agreement, with the exception of prices for gas with a calorific power higher than 8,900 kcal/m³, for which a new price premium formula based on international market prices has yet to be negotiated.

Our interests in Venezuela include oil and gas reserves, which represented approximately 0.7% of our total reserves at December 31, 2006. In April 2005, the Venezuelan Energy and Oil Ministry instructed Petróleos de Venezuela S.A. (PDVSA), the Venezuelan government-controlled company created to operate oil and gas reserves with private companies, to review thirty-two operating agreements signed by PDVSA with oil companies from 1992 through 1997. In addition, PDVSA was instructed to take measures in order to convert all effective operating agreements into state-controlled companies in order to grant the Venezuelan government, through PDVSA, more than 50% ownership of each field, including agreements with our affiliates in connection with the areas of Oritupano Leona, La Concepcion, Acema and Mata. As a result, as of December 31, 2005, we recorded an impairment charge in order to adjust the book value of our Venezuelan assets in the amount of U.S.\$134 million. In March 31, 2006, we, PDVSA and Corporación Venezolana del Petróleo S.A. (CVP), entered into memoranda of understanding (MOUs) in order to effect the migration of the operating agreements to partially state-owned companies (mixed companies), whereby the interest of PDVSA in each mixed company would be 60% and the interest of private companies like us would be limited to 40%. In August 2006, the final migrating contracts were executed for Oritupano Leona, Mata, Acema and La Concepción, with effective date of April 1, 2006.

All these measures generate significant uncertainty as to the status and prospects of our investment and operations in Bolivia and Venezuela. We cannot estimate the degree to which these nationalization measures will affect us, and believe they may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. See Item 4.

Information on the Company's International Bolivian Activities and Item 4. Information on the Company's International Venezuelan Activities.

Table of Contents**Risks Relating to PifCo*****PifCo's operations and debt servicing capabilities are dependent on us.***

PifCo's financial position and results of operations are directly affected by our decisions. PifCo is a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Petrobras incorporated in the Cayman Islands as an exempted company with limited liability. PifCo has limited operations consisting principally of the purchase of crude oil and oil products from third parties and the resale of those products to us or to third parties. PifCo also buys crude oil and oil products from us, for sale to third parties and affiliates on a limited basis. PifCo's ability to service and repay its indebtedness is consequently dependent on our own operations.

Financing for PifCo's operations is provided by us as well as third-party credit providers in favor of whom we provide credit support. This support to PifCo's debt obligations is made through standby purchase agreements whereby we agree to repurchase from the holders of PifCo's notes their right to receive payment from PifCo in case PifCo defaults payment.

Our own financial condition or results of operations, or our financial support of PifCo directly affect PifCo's operational results and debt servicing capabilities. For a more detailed description of certain risks that may have a material adverse impact on our financial condition or results of operations and therefore affect PifCo's ability to meet its debt obligations see **Risks Relating to Our Operations**.

PifCo depends on its ability to pass on its financing costs to us.

PifCo is principally engaged in the purchase of crude oil and oil products for sale to us, as described above. PifCo regularly incurs indebtedness related to such purchases and/or in obtaining financing from us or third-party creditors. All such indebtedness has the benefit of our standby purchase obligation or other support, and PifCo has historically has passed on its financing costs to us by selling crude oil and oil products to us at a premium to compensate for its financing costs. If for any reason we are not permitted to continue these practices, this would have a materially adverse effect on PifCo's business and on its ability to meet its debt obligations in the long term.

Risks Relating to the Relationship between us and the Brazilian Government***The Brazilian government, as our controlling shareholder, may cause us to pursue certain macroeconomic and social objectives that may have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.***

The Brazilian government, as our controlling shareholder, has pursued, and may pursue in the future, certain of its macroeconomic and social objectives through us. Brazilian law requires the Brazilian government to own a majority of our voting stock, and so long as it does, the Brazilian government will have the power to elect a majority of the members of our board of directors and, through them, a majority of the executive officers who are responsible for our day-to-day management. As a result, we may engage in activities that give preference to the objectives of the Brazilian government rather than to our own economic and business objectives. In particular, we continue to assist the Brazilian government to ensure that the supply of crude oil and oil products in Brazil meets Brazilian consumption requirements. Accordingly, we may make investments, incur costs and engage in sales on terms that may have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

If the Brazilian government reinstates controls over the prices we can charge for crude oil and oil products, such price controls could affect our financial condition and results of operations.

In the past, the Brazilian government set prices for crude oil and oil products in Brazil, occasionally below prices prevailing in the world oil markets. These prices involved elements of cross-subsidy among different oil products sold in various regions in Brazil. The cumulative impact of this price regulation system on us is recorded as an asset on our balance sheet under the line item **Petroleum and Alcohol Account Receivable from the Brazilian government**. The balance of the account at December 31, 2006 was U.S.\$ 368 million. All price controls for crude oil and oil products ended on January 2, 2002, however, the Brazilian government could decide to reinstate price controls in the future as a result of market instability or other conditions. If this were to occur, our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We do not own any of the crude oil and natural gas reserves in Brazil.

A guaranteed source of crude oil and natural gas reserves is essential to an oil and gas company's sustained production and generation of income. Under Brazilian law, the Brazilian government owns all crude oil and natural gas reserves in Brazil and the concessionaire owns the oil and gas it produces. We possess the exclusive right to develop our reserves pursuant to concession agreements awarded to us by the Brazilian government and we own the goods we produce under the concession agreements, but if the Brazilian government were to restrict or prevent us from exploiting these crude oil and natural gas reserves, our ability to generate income would be adversely affected.

Risks Relating to Brazil

The Brazilian government has historically exercised, and continues to exercise, significant influence over the Brazilian economy. Brazilian political and economic conditions have a direct impact on our business and may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

The Brazilian government's economic policies may have important effects on Brazilian companies, including us, and on market conditions and prices of Brazilian securities. Our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected by the following factors and the Brazilian government's response to these factors:

devaluations and other exchange rate movements;

inflation;

exchange control policies;

social instability;

price instability;

energy shortages;

interest rates;

liquidity of domestic capital and lending markets;

tax policy; and

other political, diplomatic, social and economic developments in or affecting Brazil.

Uncertainty over whether the Brazilian government will implement changes in policy or regulations that may affect these or other factors in the future may lead to economic uncertainty in Brazil and increase the volatility of the Brazilian securities market and securities issued abroad by Brazilian companies.

Inflation and government measures to curb inflation may contribute significantly to economic uncertainty in Brazil and to heightened volatility in the Brazilian securities markets and, consequently, may adversely affect the market value of our securities and financial condition.

Our principal market is Brazil, which has, in the past, periodically experienced extremely high rates of inflation. Inflation, along with governmental measures to combat inflation and public speculation about possible future measures, has had significant negative effects on the Brazilian economy. The annual rates of inflation, as measured by the National Consumer Price Index (*Índice Nacional de Preços ao Consumidor Amplo*, or IPCA), have decreased from 2,477.15% in 1993 to 916.46% in 1994 and to 5.97% in 2000. The same index increased to 9.30% in 2003, before decreasing to 3.14% in 2006. Considering the historically high rates of inflation, Brazil may experience higher levels of inflation in the future. The lower levels of inflation experienced since 1995 may not continue. Future governmental actions, including actions to adjust the value of the *real*, could trigger increases in inflation, which may adversely affect our financial condition.

Table of Contents

Access to international capital markets for Brazilian companies is influenced by the perception of risk in Brazil and other emerging economies, which may hurt our ability to finance our operations and the trading values of our securities.

International investors generally consider Brazil to be an emerging market. As a result, economic and market conditions in other emerging market countries, especially those in Latin America, influence the market for securities issued by Brazilian companies. As a result of economic problems in various emerging market countries in recent years (such as the Asian financial crisis of 1997, the Russian financial crisis in 1998 and the Argentine financial crisis that began in 2001), investors have viewed investments in emerging markets with heightened caution. These crises produced a significant outflow of U.S. dollars from Brazil, causing Brazilian companies to face higher costs for raising funds, both domestically and abroad, and impeding access to international capital markets. Increased volatility in securities markets in Latin American and in other emerging market countries may have a negative impact on the trading value of our securities. We cannot assure you that international capital markets will remain open to Brazilian companies or that prevailing interest rates in these markets will be advantageous to us.

Risks Relating to our Equity and Debt Securities

The size, volatility, liquidity and/or regulation of the Brazilian securities markets may curb your ability to sell the common or preferred shares underlying our ADSs.

Petrobras shares are the most liquid in the São Paulo Stock Exchange (BOVESPA), but overall, the Brazilian securities markets are smaller, more volatile and less liquid than the major securities markets in the United States (and perhaps other jurisdictions), and may be regulated differently from the way in which U.S. investors are accustomed. Factors that may specifically affect the Brazilian equity markets may limit your ability to sell the common or preferred shares underlying our ADSs at the price and time you desire.

The market for PifCo s notes may not be liquid.

Some of PifCo s notes are not listed on any securities exchange and are not quoted through an automated quotation system. We can make no assurance as to the liquidity of or trading markets for PifCo s notes. We cannot guarantee that the holders of PifCo s notes will be able to sell their notes in the future. If a market for PifCo s notes does not develop, holders of PifCo s notes may not be able to resell the notes for an extended period of time, if at all.

You may be unable to exercise preemptive rights with respect to the common or preferred shares underlying the ADSs.

Holders of ADSs who are residents of the United States may not be able to exercise the preemptive rights relating to the common or preferred shares underlying our ADSs unless a registration statement under the U.S. Securities Act of 1933 is effective with respect to those rights or an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act is available. We are not obligated to file a registration statement with respect to the common or preferred shares relating to these preemptive rights, and therefore we may not file any such registration statement. If a registration statement is not filed and an exemption from registration does not exist, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as depositary, will attempt to sell the preemptive rights, and you will be entitled to receive the proceeds of the sale. However, the preemptive rights will expire if the depositary cannot sell them. For a more complete description of preemptive rights with respect to the common or preferred shares, see Item 10. Additional Information Memorandum and Articles of Association of Petrobras Preemptive Rights.

You may not be able to sell your ADSs at the time or the price you desire because an active or liquid market for our ADSs may not be sustained.

Our preferred ADSs have been listed on the New York Stock Exchange since February 21, 2001, while our common ADSs have been listed on the New York Stock Exchange since August 7, 2000. We cannot predict whether an active liquid public trading market for our ADSs will be sustained on the New York Stock Exchange, where they

Table of Contents

are currently traded. Active, liquid trading markets generally result in lower price volatility and more efficient execution of buy and sell orders for investors. Liquidity of a securities market is often a function of the volume of the underlying shares that are publicly held by unrelated parties. We do not anticipate that a public market for our common or preferred shares will develop in the United States.

Restrictions on the movement of capital out of Brazil may impair your ability to receive dividends and distributions on, and the proceeds of any sale of, the common or preferred shares underlying the ADSs and may impact our ability to service certain debt obligations, including standby purchase agreements we have entered into in support of PifCo's notes.

The Brazilian government may impose temporary restrictions on the conversion of Brazilian currency into foreign currencies and on the remittance to foreign investors of proceeds from their investments in Brazil. Brazilian law permits the Brazilian government to impose these restrictions whenever there is a serious imbalance in Brazil's balance of payments or there are reasons to foresee a serious imbalance.

The Brazilian government imposed remittance restrictions for approximately six months in 1990. Similar restrictions, if imposed, could impair or prevent the conversion of dividends, distributions, or the proceeds from any sale of common or preferred shares from *reais* into U.S. dollars and the remittance of the U.S. dollars abroad. The Brazilian government could decide to take similar measures in the future. In such a case, the depository for the ADSs will hold the *reais* it cannot convert for the account of the ADS holders who have not been paid. The depository will not invest the *reais* and will not be liable for the interest.

In addition, if the Brazilian government were to impose restrictions on our ability to convert *reais* into U.S. dollars, we would not be able to make payment on our dollar-denominated debt obligations. For example, any such restrictions could prevent us from making funds available to PifCo, for payment of its debt obligations, certain of which are supported by us through standby purchase agreements.

If you exchange your ADSs for common or preferred shares, you risk losing the ability to remit foreign currency abroad and forfeiting Brazilian tax advantages.

The Brazilian custodian for our common or preferred shares underlying our ADSs must obtain a certificate of registration from the Central Bank of Brazil to be entitled to remit U.S. dollars abroad for payments of dividends and other distributions relating to our preferred and common shares or upon the disposition of the common or preferred shares. If you decide to exchange your ADSs for the underlying common or preferred shares, you will be entitled to continue to rely, for five Brazilian business days from the date of exchange, on the custodian's certificate of registration. After that period, you may not be able to obtain and remit U.S. dollars abroad upon the disposition of the common or preferred shares, or distributions relating to the common or preferred shares, unless you obtain your own certificate of registration or register under Resolution No. 2,689, of January 26, 2000, of the *Conselho Monetário Nacional* (National Monetary Council), which entitles registered foreign investors to buy and sell on the São Paulo Stock Exchange. In addition, if you do not obtain a certificate of registration or register under Resolution No. 2,689, you may be subject to less favorable tax treatment on gains with respect to the common or preferred shares.

If you attempt to obtain your own certificate of registration, you may incur expenses or suffer delays in the application process, which could delay your ability to receive dividends or distributions relating to the common or preferred shares or the return of your capital in a timely manner. The custodian's certificate of registration or any foreign capital registration obtained by you may be affected by future legislative or regulatory changes and we cannot assure you that additional restrictions applicable to you, the disposition of the underlying common or preferred shares, or the repatriation of the proceeds from the process will not be imposed in the future.

Table of Contents

You may face difficulties in protecting your interests as a shareholder because we are subject to different corporate rules and regulations as a Brazilian company and because holders of our common shares, preferred shares and ADSs have fewer and less well-defined shareholders' rights than those traditionally enjoyed by The United States shareholders.

Our corporate affairs are governed by our bylaws and the Brazilian Corporate Law, which differ from the legal principles that would apply if we were incorporated in a jurisdiction in the United States, such as the States of Delaware or New York, or in other jurisdictions outside Brazil. In addition, your rights as an ADS holder, which are derivative of the rights of holders of our common or preferred shares, as the case may be, to protect your interests against actions by our board of directors may be fewer and less well-defined under Brazilian Corporate Law than those under the laws of other jurisdictions.

Although insider trading and price manipulation are considered crimes under Brazilian law, the Brazilian securities markets are not as highly regulated and supervised as the U.S. securities markets or markets in some other jurisdictions. In addition, rules and policies against self-dealing and the preservation of shareholder interests may be less well-defined and enforced in Brazil than in the United States, putting holders of our common shares, preferred shares and ADSs at a potential disadvantage. Corporate disclosure may be less complete or informative than what may be expected of a U.S. public company.

We are a state-controlled company organized under the laws of Brazil and all of our directors and officers reside in Brazil. Substantially all of our assets and those of our directors and officers are located in Brazil. As a result, it may not be possible for you to effect service of process upon us or our directors and officers within the United States or other jurisdictions outside Brazil or to enforce against us or our directors and officers judgments obtained in the United States or other jurisdictions outside Brazil. Because judgments of U.S. courts for civil liabilities based upon the U.S. federal securities laws may only be enforced in Brazil if certain requirements are met, you may face greater difficulties in protecting your interest in actions against us or our directors and officers than would shareholders of a corporation incorporated in a state or other jurisdiction of the United States.

Preferred shares and the ADSs representing preferred shares generally do not give you voting rights.

A portion of our ADSs represent our preferred shares. Under Brazilian law and our bylaws, holders of preferred shares generally do not have the right to vote in meetings of our stockholders. This means, among other things, that holders of ADSs representing preferred shares are not entitled to vote on important corporate transactions or decisions. See Item 10. Additional Information Memorandum and Articles of Incorporation of Petrobras Voting Rights for a discussion of the limited voting rights of our preferred shares.

Enforcement of our obligations under the standby purchase agreement might take longer than expected.

We have entered into a standby purchase agreement in support of PifCo's obligations under its notes and indentures. Our obligation to purchase from the PifCo noteholders any unpaid amounts of principal, interest and other amounts due under the PifCo notes and the indenture applies, subject to certain limitations, irrespective of whether any such amounts are due at the maturity of the PifCo notes or otherwise. See Additional Information PifCo Senior Notes Standby Purchase Agreements and Additional Information PifCo Global Notes Standby Purchase Agreements.

We have been advised by our counsel that the enforcement of the standby purchase agreement in Brazil against us, if necessary, will occur under a form of judicial process that, while similar, has certain procedural differences from those applicable to enforcement of a guarantee and, as a result, the enforcement of the standby purchase agreement may take longer than would otherwise be the case with a guarantee.

If Brazilian law restricts us from paying PifCo in U.S. dollars, PifCo may have insufficient U.S. dollar funds to make payments on its debt obligations and we may not be able to pay our obligations under the standby purchase agreement in U.S. Dollars.

Currently, payments by us to PifCo for the import of oil, the expected source of PifCo's cash resources to pay its obligations under the PifCo notes, will not require approval by or registration with the Central Bank of

Table of Contents

Brazil. There may be other regulatory requirements that we will need to comply with in order to make funds available to PifCo. Nonetheless, Central Bank of Brazil may impose prior approval requirements on the remittance of U.S. dollars abroad. If Brazilian law were to impose restrictions, limitations or prohibitions on our ability to convert *reais* into U.S. dollars, PifCo may not have sufficient U.S. dollar funds available to make payment on its debt obligations.

In the case that the PifCo noteholders receive payments in *reais* corresponding to the equivalent U.S. Dollar amounts due under PifCo's notes, it may not be possible to convert these amounts into U.S. Dollars. We will not need any prior or subsequent approval from the Central Bank of Brazil to use funds we hold abroad to comply with our obligations under the standby purchase agreement.

We would be required to pay judgments of Brazilian courts enforcing our obligations under the standby purchase agreement only in reais

If proceedings were brought in Brazil seeking to enforce our obligations in respect of the standby purchase agreement, we would be required to discharge our obligations only in *reais*. Under the Brazilian exchange control limitations, an obligation to pay amounts denominated in a currency other than *reais*, which is payable in Brazil pursuant to a decision of a Brazilian court, may be satisfied in *reais* at the rate of exchange, as determined by the Central Bank of Brazil, in effect on the date of payment.

A finding that we are subject to U.S. bankruptcy laws and that the standby purchase agreement executed by us was a fraudulent conveyance could result in PifCo noteholders losing their legal claim against us.

PifCo's obligation to make payments on the PifCo notes is supported by our obligation under the standby purchase agreement to make payments on PifCo's behalf. We have been advised by our external U.S. counsel that the standby purchase agreement is valid and enforceable in accordance with the laws of the State of New York and the United States. In addition, we have been advised by our general counsel that the laws of Brazil do not prevent the standby purchase agreement from being valid, binding and enforceable against us in accordance with its terms. In the event that U.S. federal fraudulent conveyance or similar laws are applied to the standby purchase agreement, and we, at the time we entered into the standby purchase agreement:

were or are insolvent or rendered insolvent by reason of our entry into the standby purchase agreement;

were or are engaged in business or transactions for which the assets remaining with us constituted unreasonably small capital; or

intended to incur or incurred, or believed or believe that we would incur, debts beyond our ability to pay such debts as they mature; and

in each case, intended to receive or received less than reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration therefor,

then our obligations under the standby purchase agreement could be avoided, or claims with respect to the standby purchase agreement could be subordinated to the claims of other creditors. Among other things, a legal challenge to the standby purchase agreement on fraudulent conveyance grounds may focus on the benefits, if any, realized by us as a result of PifCo's issuance of these notes. To the extent that the standby purchase agreement is held to be a fraudulent conveyance or unenforceable for any other reason, the holders of the PifCo notes would not have a claim against us under the standby purchase agreement and will solely have a claim against PifCo. We cannot assure you that, after providing for all prior claims, there will be sufficient assets to satisfy the claims of the PifCo noteholders relating to any avoided portion of the standby purchase agreement.

ITEM 4. INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

History and Development of Petrobras

We are a state-controlled company created pursuant to Law No. 2,004 (effective as of October 3, 1953). A state-controlled company is a Brazilian corporation created by special law, of which a majority of the voting capital

Table of Contents

must be owned by the Brazilian federal government, a state or a municipality. We are controlled by the Brazilian federal government, but our common and preferred shares are also publicly traded. Our principal executive office is located at Avenida República do Chile, 65, 20031-912 Rio de Janeiro RJ, Brazil and our telephone number is (55-21) 3224-4477.

We were incorporated in 1953 and began operations in Brazil in 1954 as a wholly-owned governmental enterprise responsible for implementing the government's hydrocarbon activities in Brazil. Since our foundation, our legal name has been Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. PETROBRAS. From that time until 1995, we carried out all crude oil and natural gas production and refining activities in Brazil in the name of the government. On November 9, 1995, the Brazilian Constitution was amended to authorize the Brazilian government to contract with any state or privately owned company to carry out the activities related to the upstream and downstream segments of the Brazilian oil and gas sector. This amendment made possible the deregulation of the sector in 1988.

The crude oil and natural gas industry in Brazil has experienced significant reforms since the enactment of Law No. 9,478, or the Oil Law, on August 6, 1997, which established competition in Brazilian markets for crude oil, oil products and natural gas. Effective January 2, 2002, the Brazilian government deregulated prices for crude oil and oil products. See Regulation of the Oil and Gas Industry in Brazil Price Regulation. The gradual transformation of the oil and gas industry since 1997 has led to increased participation by international companies in Brazil across all segments of our business, both as our competitors and as our partners.

Based upon our 2006 consolidated revenues, we are the largest corporation in Brazil and one of the largest oil and gas companies in Latin America. In 2006, we had sales of products and services of U.S.\$93,893 million, net operating revenues of U.S.\$72,347 million and net income of U.S.\$12,826 million.

We engage in a broad range of oil and gas activities, which cover the following segments of our operations:

Exploration and Production Our exploration and production segment encompasses exploration, development and production activities in Brazil.

Supply Our supply segment encompasses refining, logistics, transportation, exportation and the purchase of crude oil, as well as the purchase and sale of oil products and fuel alcohol. In addition, this segment includes the petrochemical and fertilizers division, which includes investments in domestic petrochemical companies and our two domestic fertilizer plants.

Distribution Our distribution segment represents the oil product and fuel alcohol distribution activities conducted by our wholly owned subsidiary, Petrobras Distribuidora S.A. BR in Brazil.

Gas and Power Our gas and power segment encompasses the purchase, sale, transportation and distribution of natural gas produced in or imported into Brazil. In addition, this segment includes our participation in domestic electricity production, including investments in domestic natural gas transportation companies, state owned natural gas distributors and gas-fired power companies.

International Our international segment encompasses Exploration and Production, Supply, Distribution and Gas and Power activities conducted in the following countries: Argentina, Angola, Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador, Equatorial Guinea, Iran, Libya, Mexico, Mozambique, Nigeria, Paraguay, Peru, the United States, Tanzania, Turkey, Uruguay and Venezuela.

Corporate Our corporate segment includes the financial results and those activities not attributable to other segments, including corporate financial management, overhead related to central administration and other expenses, which include actuarial expenses related to our pension and health care plans for non-active participants.

As a foreign private issuer, we are exempt from many of the corporate governance standards the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, applies to U.S. domestic issuers listed on the NYSE. In accordance with Section 303A.11 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual, we have posted a summary of significant differences between the NYSE standards

Table of Contents

Competitive Strengths

Dominant market position in the production, refining and transportation of crude oil, natural gas and oil products in Brazil

Our legacy as Brazil's former sole supplier of crude oil and oil products has provided us with a fully developed operational infrastructure throughout Brazil and a large proved reserve base. Our long history, resources and established presence in Brazil permit us to compete effectively with other market participants and new entrants now that the Brazilian oil and gas industry has been deregulated. We operate most of the development fields in Brazil and substantially all of the country's refining capacity. Our average domestic daily production of crude oil and NGLs increased 5.6% in 2006, increased 12.8% in 2005, and decreased 3.1% in 2004.

Strong reserve base

As of December 31, 2006, we had estimated proved developed and undeveloped crude oil and natural gas reserves of approximately 11.458 billion barrels of oil equivalent in Brazil and abroad, including proportional reserves related to unconsolidated companies in Venezuela in the volume of 78.6 million barrels of oil equivalent. In addition, we have a substantial base of exploration acreage both in Brazil and abroad, which we are exploring by ourselves and with industry partners in order to continue to increase our reserves.

As of December 31, 2006, our worldwide proved reserves to production ratio was 14.5 years.

The majority of our reserves, including recent discoveries, are located in deepwater areas that generally require additional planning, more comprehensive evaluation and added lead time to begin production when compared to onshore production. In accordance with our Business Plan for the period from 2007 to 2011, we have been investing the necessary capital to build the offshore platforms needed to derive income from these reserves. Although our proved reserve life is higher than the industry average, the additional planning required to bring deepwater areas into production also means that our percentage of proved undeveloped reserves may be higher than the industry average.

We believe that our proved reserves will provide us with significant opportunities for sustaining and increasing production growth.

Upstream and downstream technological expertise and international recognition for production and exploration in deep and ultra-deep waters

While developing Brazil's offshore basins over the past 37 years, we have gained expertise in deepwater drilling, development and production techniques and technologies. We are currently in the process of developing technology to permit production from wells at water depths of up to 9,843 feet (3,000 meters).

Our deepwater development and production expertise has allowed us to achieve high production volumes and relatively low lifting costs (excluding royalties, special government participation and rental of areas, which we refer to as government take). Our aggregate average lifting cost for crude oil and natural gas products in Brazil for 2006, excluding government take, increased to U.S.\$ 6.59 per barrel of oil equivalent, as compared to U.S.\$5.73 per barrel of oil equivalent for 2005. Government take, increased to U.S.\$ 11.05 per barrel of oil equivalent for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$9.00 per barrel of oil equivalent for 2005. The international price of oil is one of the factors in determining the government take.

Cost efficiencies created by large-scale operations combined with vertical integration among business

As the dominant integrated crude oil and natural gas company in Brazil, we can be cost efficient as a result of:

the location of over 81% of our proved reserves in large, contiguous and highly productive fields in the offshore Campos Basin, which allows for the concentration of our operational infrastructure, thereby reducing our total costs of exploration, development and production;

Table of Contents

the location of most of our refining capacity in the Southeast region, directly adjacent to the Campos Basin and situated within the country's most heavily populated and industrialized markets; and

the relative balance between our domestic production of 1,778 Mbd, our refining throughput of 1,746 Mbd and our sales to the Brazilian market for hydrocarbon products of 1,697 Mbd.

We believe that these cost efficiencies created by our integration, our existing infrastructure and our balance allow us to compete effectively with other Brazilian producers and importers of oil products into the Brazilian market.

Strong position in Brazil's growing natural gas markets

We participate in most aspects of the Brazilian natural gas market, but our ability to meet potential demand has been limited by constraints in supply, transportation and distribution infrastructure, which is still under development. The output from gas-fired power plants was lower than in 2005, and as a consequence, the demand for natural gas in Brazil increased only 2.5% in 2006, despite the 9.8% growth in the non-thermoelectric market (mainly in the industrial and vehicular segments) as compared to 11% in 2005. Nonetheless, we still expect a significant growth due to new gas transportation pipelines that will start operations.

Because of the diversity of our natural gas operations, we believe that we are well positioned to take advantage of the opportunity to meet potentially growing energy needs in Brazil through the use of natural gas. We intend to do so through:

increasing the internal production of both associated and non-associated gas, mainly offshore in the Espírito Santo, Campos and Santos Basins;

expanding of the natural gas transportation network throughout Brazil;

prioritizing the acceleration of investment projects in anticipation of the natural gas supply in the southeast region of Brazil;

increasing participation in the natural gas distribution market through investments in 19 of the 25 natural gas distribution companies in Brazil;

investments in gas-fired power plants, which serve as sources of demand for our natural gas; and

seeking greater operational flexibility in our sources, including two LNG projects in the northeastern and southeastern regions, to improve our energy demand management.

Success in attracting international partners in all our activities

As a result of our experience, expertise and extensive infrastructure network in Brazil, we have attracted partners in our exploration, development, refining and power activities such as Repsol-YPF, ExxonMobil, Shell, Chevron, Statoil and Total. Partnering with other companies allows us to share risks, capital commitments and technology in our continuing development and expansion.

We may face significant risks in our ability to take full advantage of these competitive strengths. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors.

Strategy

We intend to continue to expand our oil and gas exploration and production activities and pursue strategic investments within and outside of Brazil to further develop our business. We seek to evolve from a dominant integrated oil and gas company in Brazil into an energy industry leader in Latin America and a significant international energy company. In line with our Strategic Plan and to further these goals, we intend to:

Table of Contents

Consolidate and increase competitive advantages in the Brazilian and South American oil and oil products market

Our 2007-2011 Business Plan contemplates capital expenditures of approximately U.S.\$40.7 billion in exploration and development activities in Brazil. Through these investments, we plan to implement 15 large-scope projects, among others, aimed at increasing production to 2.374 million bpd by 2011. Our 2007-2011 Business Plan contemplates capital expenditures of approximately U.S.\$8.5 billion in exploration and development activities outside of Brazil. These investments will be primarily exploration and development activities in South America. At December 2006, we had exploration, development and production rights in 89.87 million gross and 46.84 million net acres (363,700 gross and 189,500 net square kilometers) outside Brazil.

At the same time that we seek to expand production, we intend to increase proved reserves, focused on deepwater exploration in Brazil. We have net exploration, development and production rights in 33.8 million acres (136,772 square kilometers) in Brazil. We expect to continue to participate selectively with major regional and international oil and gas companies in bidding for new concessions and in developing large offshore fields.

Our domestic production in 2006 supplied approximately 80% of the crude oil feedstock for our refinery operations in Brazil, the same level as in 2005 and 76% in 2004. We expect an increasing percentage of the crude oil feedstock to be supplied by our domestic production, as investments in our refineries permit. Our refineries were originally designed to process light imported crude oil, whereas our current reserves and production increasingly consist of heavier crude oil. We are in the process of improving and adapting our refineries in order to better process our domestic production of heavier crude oil. Because our domestic refining capacity constitutes 98.4% of the Brazilian refining capacity, we supply almost all of the refined product needs of third-party wholesalers, exporters and petrochemical companies, in addition to satisfying our internal consumption requirements with respect to wholesale marketing operations and petrochemical feedstock.

Selectively expand international activities in an integrated manner with our business

In the short term, we expect to expand internationally by using our existing asset base or participating in selective partnerships in core activities where we have a competitive advantage. We consider our core activities to be integrated oil and gas operations throughout South America and deepwater exploration and development off the U.S. Gulf Coast, Colombia and West Africa. We also have exploration interests in Angola, Argentina, Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Mozambique, Nigeria, Equatorial Guinea, Iran, the Gulf of Mexico, Tanzania, Turkey and Libya.

Develop and lead the domestic natural gas market and act in an integrated manner in the gas and power market in South America

Through our participation in all segments of the natural gas market, both in Brazil and abroad, we seek to meet domestic natural gas demand. We intend to continue to expand our participation in the natural gas market by:

developing the natural gas industry in an integrated manner with other areas of the Company in the production and consumption chain; and

taking advantage of opportunities in the power industry in an integrated manner with other natural gas market areas in which our Company already operates.

As a result of our investments and the growing importance of natural gas as a cleaner energy alternative, we anticipate that the proportion of revenues and assets represented by natural gas operations will increase, leading to a greater impact of these activities on our results of operations.

Selectively expand our activities in the petrochemicals market

We intend to expand activities in the petrochemical and fertilizer markets by seeking strategic partnerships and creating synergies with our existing business. Our 2007-2011 Business Plan contemplates investments of approximately U.S.\$3.2 billion in petrochemical business. Such investment will be aimed at increasing production of our basic petrochemicals, including polyolefins (polyethylene and polypropylene), acrylic acid and terephthalic

Table of Contents

acid. We believe that the growth of petrochemical activities will generate synergies with refining activities and we intend to benefit from the expected growth in the petrochemical market in Brazil.

Selectively perform in the renewable energy market

We intend to develop renewable energy alternatives in Brazil. Our priorities for investments in renewable sources of energy are:

The diesel from the HBIO process. The HBIO technology has been developed by the Petrobras research and development facility as one of the projects of the Petrobras refining technology program. This process involves a catalytic hydroconversion of mixtures of diesel fractions and vegetable oil in an HDT reactor under controlled conditions of high temperature and hydrogen pressure. The triglycerides from vegetable oil are transformed into linear hydrocarbon chains, similar to those that already exist in the diesel coming from petroleum but, without residue generation and with a small propane production; and

biomass energy.

Overview by Business Segment

Exploration, Development and Production

Summary and Strategy

Our exploration and production segment includes exploration, development and production activities in Brazil and abroad. We began domestic production in 1954 and international production in 1972. As of December 31, 2006, our estimated net proved crude oil and natural gas reserves in Brazil were approximately 10.573 billion barrels of oil equivalent. Crude oil represented 85% and natural gas represented 15% of these reserves. Our proved reserves are located principally in the Campos Basin.

During 2006, our average daily domestic production was 1,778 Mbpd of crude oil and NGLs and 1.660 billion cubic feet of natural gas per day. Our aggregate average lifting costs for crude oil and natural gas in 2006 were U.S.\$6.59 per barrel of oil equivalent in Brazil (excluding government take).

We conduct exploration, development and production activities in Brazil through concession contracts. Under the terms of the Oil Law, in 1998 we were granted the concession rights to areas where we were already producing or could demonstrate we could explore or develop within a certain time frame. We refer to these concessions as Round Zero. In a number of concessions, we have joint ventures with foreign partners to explore and develop the concessions. In conjunction with the majority of these arrangements, we received a carried interest for capital expenditures made during the exploration phase, with our partners incurring all capital expenditures until the development of a commercial discovery commences. Since then, we have participated in all the bid rounds for new concession areas in Brazil conducted by the *Agência Nacional de Petróleo* (the National Petroleum Agency, or the ANP).

At December 31, 2006, we held 459 areas, representing 33,796 thousand net acres (136,772 square kilometers). We currently have joint venture agreements for exploration and production in Brazil with 25 foreign and domestic companies. We are also active in exploration and production activities outside Brazil. For a full description of our international activities, see [International Exploration and Production](#).

Our main strategies in exploration, development and production in Brazil are to increase production and reserves by:

Strengthen our position in deep and ultra-deepwater drilling and operating;

Operate both onshore and in shallow waters, focusing on profitable opportunities;

Implement new practices and technologies in order to increase reserve recovery;

Table of Contents

Increase exploration and development efforts in new territories to maintain a sustainable reserves to production ratio;

Assure long-term Brazilian self-sufficiency in oil, with production reaching approximately 20% above domestic consumption by 2015;

Optimize the development of our existing proved reserves, especially by expanding light oil production; and

Accelerate the production and supply of natural gas.

Principal Domestic Oil and Gas Producing Regions

Our annual daily production in Brazil has consistently grown over the years. In 1970, we produced 164 Mbpd of crude oil, condensate and natural gas liquids in Brazil. We increased production to 181 Mbpd in 1980, 654 Mbpd in 1990, 1,271 Mbpd in 2000 and 1,778 Mbpd in 2006. In describing our oil and gas producing regions, reservoirs refer to underground formations containing producible oil or gas. Fields are areas that contain one or more reservoirs. Blocks are sections of a sedimentary basin where we carry out oil and gas exploration and production activities under concession contracts.

Our main domestic oil and gas producing regions are:

Campos Basin

The Campos Basin is the largest oil and gas producing region, and covers approximately 28.4 million acres (115 thousand square kilometers). Since exploration activities in this area began in 1968, over 60 hydrocarbon accumulations have been discovered in this region, including eight large oil fields in deepwater and ultra deepwater. We currently have exploration rights to 32 blocks in this Basin, which are grouped into 13 block contracts, with an exploration acreage of 11.1 thousand square kilometers. In terms of proved hydrocarbon reserves and annual production, the Campos Basin is the largest oil basin in Brazil and one of the most prolific oil and gas areas in South America. The annual crude oil production volume in the region increased steadily for the past ten years until 2004, when oil production in the Campos Basin decreased to 1,204 Mbpd from 1,252 Mbpd in 2003. In 2005, oil production in the Campos Basin increased to 1,405 Mbpd and in 2006, increased to 1,468 Mbpd. The Campos Basin's oil production accounted for approximately 83% of Brazilian oil production in 2006.

At December 31, 2006, we produced crude oil from 36 fields in the Campos Basin and its proved crude oil reserves were 7.85 billion barrels, representing 87.3% of our total proved crude oil reserves. In 2006, the crude oil we produced in the Campos Basin had an average API gravity of 23.2 and an average water cut of 1%. We currently have 29 floating production systems, 14 fixed platforms and 4,969 kilometers of pipeline and flexible pipes operating in 36 fields at water depths from 262 to 6,188 feet (80 to 1,886 meters) in the Campos Basin.

Espírito Santo Basin

We have made several discoveries of light oil and natural gas in the Espírito Santo Basin. We currently have exploration rights to 48 blocks in this Basin, which are grouped into 23 block contracts, 13 onshore and 10 offshore, with an exploration acreage of 9.9 thousand square kilometers. During 2006, we produced 77.3 Mboe per day of oil and natural gas in the Espírito Santo Basin (19.7 Mboe onshore and 57.6 Mboe offshore). On February 21, 2006, we began gas production in the Peroá Field.

Santos Basin

The Santos Basin represents one of the most promising exploration areas. In January of 2006, we approved the Master Plan for Development of Natural Gas and Oil Production in the Santos Basin, with a base of exploration and production in the city of Santos, in the state of São Paulo. We currently have exploration rights to 55 blocks in the Santos Basin, which are grouped into 32 block contracts, with an exploration acreage of 41.2 thousand square kilometers. Current production of oil and natural gas is 9.24 Mboe per day in the Coral and Merluza fields.

Table of Contents*Properties*

The following table sets forth our developed and undeveloped gross and net acreage by oil region and associated crude oil and natural gas production:

	Production Acreage as of December 31, 2006				Average Oil and Natural Gas Production for the Year Ended December 31, 2006(1)(4)	Average Oil and Natural Gas Production for the Year Ended December 31, 2005(1)(4)
	Developed		Undeveloped			
	Gross(2)	Net(2)	Gross(2)	Net(2)		
	(in acres)				(boe per day) (3)	
Brazil(1)						
Offshore						
Campos Basin	1,706,226	1,587,370	399,808	388,441	1,594,820	1,530,147
Other offshore	310,358	280,459	690,892	665,193	120,147	64,510
Total offshore	2,016,583	1,867,829	1,090,700	1,053,634	1,714,967	1,594,657
Onshore	1,019,781	1,019,782	138,129	138,129	339,327	363,203
Total Brazil	3,036,364	2,887,611	1,228,829	1,191,763	2,054,294	1,957,860
International						
Onshore	3,634,675	2,334,637	2,306,486	1,507,738	233,915	245,828
Offshore	113,457	31,893	332,816	68,185	9,377	12,909
Total International	3,748,132	2,366,530	2,639,302	1,575,923	243,292	258,737
Total	6,784,496	5,254,141	3,868,131	2,767,686	2,297,586	2,216,597

(1) Over 77% of our production of natural gas was associated gas in 2006 and 2005.

(2) A gross acre is an acre in which a working interest is owned. The number of gross acres is the total number of acres in which a working interest is owned. A net acre is deemed

to exist when the sum of fractional ownership working interests in gross acres equals one. The number of net acres is the sum of the fractional working interests owned in gross acres expressed as whole numbers and fractions thereof.

(3) See Conversion Table for the ratios used to convert cubic feet of natural gas to barrels of oil equivalent.

(4) Includes production from shale oil reserves, natural gas liquids and reinjected gas volumes, which are not included in our proved reserves figures.

The following table sets forth our total gross and net productive wells as of December 31, 2006:

	Productive Wells		Total
	Oil	Gas	
Gross productive wells			
Brazil	9,058	484	9,542
International	5,873	354	6,227
Total	14,931	838	15,769
Net productive wells			
Brazil	9,046	484	9,530
International	4,237	236	4,473
Total	13,283	720	14,003

Productive wells are those producing or capable of production. A gross well is one in which a working interest is owned. The number of gross wells is the total number of wells in which a working interest is owned. A net well is

deemed to exist when the sum of fractional ownership working interests in gross wells equals one. The number of net wells is the sum of the fractional working interests owned in gross wells expressed as whole numbers and fractions thereof.

Table of Contents*Deepwater Expertise*

We are the leading company in deepwater drilling, with recognized expertise in deepwater exploration, development and production. We have developed expertise over many years and have achieved significant milestones, including the following:

in January 2003, we drilled the world's second horizontal deepwater multilateral well in the Barracuda-Caratinga field, in Campos Basin, at a water depth of 2,999 feet (914 meters), consisting of two legs for each well;

on December 31, 2006, we were operating 62 wells at water depths in excess of 3,281 feet (1,000 meters); and

by December 31, 2006, we had drilled 565 wells at water depths in excess of 3,281 feet (1,000 meters), the deepest well being an exploration well in a water depth of 9,360 feet (2,853 meters).

Because many of Brazil's richest oil fields are located offshore in deep waters, we intend to continue to focus on deepwater production technology to increase our proved reserves and future domestic production. See Item 5.

Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Research and Development. Our main exploration and development efforts focus on offshore fields neighboring existing fields and production infrastructure, where higher drilling costs have been offset by higher drilling success ratios and relatively higher production. On a per-well basis, the exploration, development and production costs offshore are generally higher than those onshore. We believe, however, that offshore production is cost-effective, because historically:

we have been more successful in finding and developing crude oil offshore, as a result of the existence of a larger number and size of oil reservoirs offshore as compared to onshore reservoirs and a greater volume of offshore seismic data collected; and

we have been able to spread the total costs of exploration, development and production over a large base, given the size and productivity of our offshore reserves. Offshore production has exceeded onshore production by a per barrel production ratio of 6.94:1 in 2006, 5.92:1 in 2005 and 4.96:1 in 2004.

We currently extract hydrocarbons from offshore wells in waters with depths of up to 6,188 feet (1,886 meters), and we have been developing technology to permit production from wells at water depths of up to 9,843 feet (3,000 meters). Set forth below is the distribution, by water depth, of offshore oil production in 2006 and 2005.

OFFSHORE PRODUCTION BY WATER DEPTH

Depth	Percentage in 2006	Percentage in 2005
0-400 meters (0-1,312 feet)	17%	18%
400-1,000 meters (1,312 feet-3,281 feet)	52%	56%
More than 1,000 meters (3,281 feet)	31%	26%

Exploration Activities

Concessions in Brazil

We acquired the right to exploit all exploration, development and production areas in Brazil as a result of the monopoly granted to us by Brazilian Law. When regulatory changes in the Brazilian oil and gas sector began in 1998, our monopoly ended. On August 6, 1998, we signed concession contracts with the ANP for all of the areas we had been using prior to 1998. Those concession contracts covered 397 areas, consisting of 231 production areas, 115 exploration areas and 51 development areas, for a total aggregate area of 113.3 million gross acres (458.5 thousand square kilometers).

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2006, we had 459 areas, consisting of 247 production areas, 154 exploration areas and 58 development areas, for a total aggregate area of 42.0 million gross acres (170.1 thousand square kilometers). This total area represents 2.7% of the Brazilian sedimentary basins.

Recent discoveries

The exploration highlight of the year was the light oil and natural gas discovery made in the pre-salt section in the ultra-deep waters of the Santos Basin.

In 2006, we declared the commercial feasibility of 27 new oil and gas accumulations 18 offshore and 9 onshore. Some of these areas were classified as new oil and natural gas fields; others were incorporated to adjacent oil and gas fields. Of the 27 areas, 18 are located offshore in the Campos (10), Santos (4) and Espírito Santo (4) basins; and 9 are located in the onshore coastal basins of Potiguar (4), Reconcavo (1) and Espírito Santo (3), and in the Paleozoic Solimões basin (1).

In the Santos Basin, 3 areas operated exclusively by Petrobras were declared commercial and transformed into the oil and natural gas fields of Tambuatá, Pirapitanga and Carapiá. Another area was incorporated into the Mexilhão gas field ring-fence. We also hold a 40% working interests in 2 other areas declared commercial by Shell, as operator, in the northern Santos Basin.

After the commerciality declarations, we consider the light oil and natural gas discovery made in the pre-salt section in the ultra-deep waters of the Santos Basin to be the most important news of the year. In order to reach the light oil and natural gas reservoirs, more than 2,000 meters of salt layers were drilled at a depth of more than 2,000 meters. This discovery is promising for the exploration of the pre-salt section in the deep and ultra-deep waters of the Santos Basin, as well as in the deep and ultra-deep waters of other basins in the Brazilian Margin.

In the offshore Espírito Santo Basin, 2 new fields, the Carapó and Camarupim, were defined, and 2 other areas were incorporated to the ring-fences of the Golfinho and Canapu fields. Onshore, 3 new oilfields were defined: the Saira, Seriema and Tabuiaíá fields.

The declarations of commercial feasibility in the Campos Basin include 10 new areas. Seven of them were classified as the new fields of Maromba, Carataí, Carapicu, Catuá, Caxaréu, Mangangá and Pirambú. Three other were incorporated to the existing ring-fences of the Marlim Leste, Viola and Baleia Azul fields. Another important discovery was made inside the limits of the ring-fence of the Roncador field, in deeper reservoirs than the reservoirs that generally produce such volumes.

Five other declarations of commercial feasibility were made in the north-northeastern onshore basins. Four of them originated the new fields of Tangará (Reconcavo Basin) and Pintassilgo, Patativa and Jaçaná (Potiguar Basin). Another area was incorporated into the ring-fence of the Baixa do Juazeiro Field, and in the Paleozoic Solimões Basin (in the field of Araracanga) was declared commercial.

We had a 48.7% success ratio for our exploration wells during 2006, with 39 wells out of 80 exploratory wells classified as discovery or producing wells.

Auctions of exploration rights

Since 1999, ANP has conducted auctions of exploration rights, which are open to us and qualified companies. We have competed in the public auctions, acquiring a large number of exploration rights, as detailed in the table below. We have also relinquished a considerable number of the exploratory areas in which we were not interested or successful in exploring.

Table of Contents

The following chart summarizes our success in the exploration bidding rounds conducted by the ANP during the last three years:

Event	Exploration	Development	Production	Total
Areas held (December 31, 2003)	54	35	234	323
Areas won on Bid, Round 6	36	0	0	36
Areas obtained through acquisitions (BT-REC-4, BT-POT-9, BT-ES-4, BM-C-14, BM-S-14 and BM-S-22)	6	0	0	6
Joint concession SMI to PJ (4)	0	0	(1)	(1)
New concession (January 15, 2004) (Baleia Franca)	0	1	0	1
New concession (January 15, 2004) (Golfinho)	0	1	0	1
New concession (January 15, 2004) (Mexilhão)	0	1	0	1
New concession (January 19, 2004) (Azulão)	0	1	0	1
New concession (January 19, 2004) (Japim)	0	1	0	1
New concession (August 30, 2004) (Piranema)	0	1	0	1
New concession (December 20, 2004) (Baleia Anã)	0	1	0	1
New concession (December 20, 2004) (Baleia Azul)	0	1	0	1
New concession (December 20, 2004) (Baleia Bicuda)	0	1	0	1
New concession (December 22, 2004) (Salema Branca)	0	1	0	1
Areas held (December 31, 2004)	96	45	233	374
Areas won on Bid, Round 7	39	0	0	39
Areas relinquished (until December 31, 2005) (BM-FZA-1)	(1)	0	0	(1)
New concession (February 1, 2005) (Jandaia)	0	1	0	1
New concession (April 4, 2005) (Anambé)	0	1	0	1
New concession (July 14, 2005) (Acauã)	0	1	0	1
New concession (November 24, 2005) (Inhambu)	0	1	0	1
New concession (December 27, 2005) (Papa-Terra)	0	1	0	1
New concession (December 29, 2005) (Uruguá)	0	1	0	1
New concession (December 29, 2005) (Tambaú)	0	1	0	1
New concession (December 29, 2005) (Canapú)	0	1	0	1
Areas redefined (January 17, 2005) (Rio Joanes)	0	(1)	1	0
Areas redefined (February 1, 2005) (Fazenda Sori)	0	(1)	1	0
Areas redefined (February 25, 2005) (Camaçari)	0	(1)	1	0
Areas redefined (March 3, 2005) (Jandaia)	0	(1)	1	0
Areas redefined (April 1, 2005) (Fazenda Matinha)	0	(1)	1	0
Areas redefined (April 12, 2005) (Quererá)	0	(1)	1	0
Areas redefined (June 18, 2005) (Rio da Serra)	0	(1)	1	0
Areas redefined (August 11, 2005) (Anambé)	0	(1)	1	0

Areas redefined (August 13, 2005) (Fazenda Santa Rosa)	0	(1)	1	0
Areas redefined (November 24, 2005) (Inhambu)	0	(1)	1	0
Joint concession BBI to CHT(5)	0	(1)	0	(1)
Joint concession NPE to DEN (6)	0	(1)	0	(1)
Total areas held (as of December 31, 2005)	134	41	243	418
Net area held in thousands of acres (as of December 31, 2005)	31,727	523	3,008	35,258
Areas won on Bid Round 8	21	0	0	21
Areas relinquished (until December 31, 2006)	(1)	0	(4)	(5)
New Concessions	0	25	0	25
Areas redefined	0	(8)	8	0
Total areas held (as of Dec. 31, 2006)	154	58	247	459
Net area held in thousands of acres (as of December 31, 2006)	29,716	1,192	2,888	33,796

(1) COG Córrego Grande, CCN Córrego Cedro Grande

(2) CDL Cardeal, MP Massapê

(3) CR Curió, FBL Fazenda Belém

(4) SMI São Miguel, PJ Pajeú

(5) BBI Baleia Bicuda, CHT Cachalote

(6) NPE Norte de Pescada, DEN Dentão Joint Ventures

Table of Contents

In Bid Round 8, held December 28, 2006, we acquired 21 new exploration concessions, 14 to be operated in partnership. As the public auction conducted by the ANP was interrupted by a court decision, the exploration contracts were not signed with the ANP, and consequently, the 14 exploration agreements with the partners have not yet been finalized.

As of December 31, 2006, we had 154 exploration agreements and 305 production agreements. In 69 of the 154 exploration agreements, we are exclusively responsible for conducting the exploration activities. Our participation ranges from 20% to 85% in the 85 exploration agreements in partnership, and in 54 of them we are responsible for conducting the exploration activities. As of December 31, 2006, we had partnerships in exploration with 21 foreign and domestic companies.

Drilling Activities

During 2006, we drilled a total of 411 wells, 331 development wells and 80 exploratory wells. Of those wells, 283 development wells and 50 exploratory wells were located onshore and 48 development wells and 30 exploratory wells were located offshore. These numbers refer to the wells we drilled in 2006, but such wells may not have been evaluated or reclassified in 2006.

We plan to expand exploration and development activities in 2007 by:

drilling approximately 114 new exploratory and approximately 400 new development wells;

shooting and processing two-dimensional and three-dimensional seismic surveys; and

constructing onshore and offshore production and support facilities.

The following table sets forth our fleet of drilling rig units. We will use these owned and leased rigs to support future exploration, production and development activities. Most of the offshore rigs are operated in the Campos Basin.

DRILLING UNITS

	2006		2005		2004	
	Brazil	International	Brazil	International	Brazil	International
Land rigs for onshore exploration and development						
Owned	19	22	22	19	19	28
Leased	13	0	13	0	13	0
Semi-submersible rigs	6	22	9	19	6	28
Owned	20	3	17	1	18	0
Leased	4	0	3	0	4	0
Drill ships	16	3	14	1	14	0
Owned	8	1	7	2	7	1
Leased	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jack-up rigs	8	1	7	2	7	1
Owned	6	1	7	1	6	0
Leased	5	0	6	0	6	0
Moduled rigs for offshore exploration and development	1	1	1	1	0	0
Owned	10	0	11	0	11	0
Leased	6	0	9	0	8	0
Total	4	0	2	0	3	0
	63	27	64	23	61	29

Development Activities

Development occurs after completion of exploration and appraisal, and prior to hydrocarbon production, and involves the installation of production facilities including platforms and pipelines. We have an active

Table of Contents

development program in existing fields and in the discovery and recovery of new reserve finds. Since the 1980s, we have concentrated development investments in the deepwater fields located in the Campos Basin, where most of our proved reserves are located. We develop fields in stages of production, which we refer to as modules. As of December 31, 2006, we had a total of 8,412 oil and gas producing wells in Brazil, of which 7,699 were onshore and 713 were offshore.

The following table describes our main production development projects fields in the various basins and their production capacity :

Main Domestic Production Development Projects

Field	Unit Type	Production Unit	Capacity (bpd)	Water Depth (m)	Production		Start Up (year)	Observation
					Wells	Wells		
Albacora Leste (1)	FPSO	P-50	180,000	1,230	17	14	2,006	
Golfinho Module 1	FPSO	Capixaba	100,000	1,300	5	3	2,006	Chartered from SBM
Jubarte Phase I	FPSO	P-34	60,000	1,350	4		2,006	
Espadarte Module 2	FPSO	Cidade do Rio de Janeiro	100,000	1,350	5	4	2,007	Chartered from Modec
Golfinho Module 2	FPSO	Cidade de Vitória	100,000	1,360	5	3	2,007	Chartered from Saipen
Piranema	SS	P-300	30,000	1,090	3	3	2,007	Chartered from Sevan Marine
Roncador II	Phase SS	P-52	180,000	1,800	18	11	2,007	
Roncador Module 2	FPSO	P-54	180,000	1,400	11	6	2,007	
Jabuti	FPSO	Cidade de Niterói	100,000	1,400	8	0	2,008	Chartered from Modec
Marlim Sul Module 2	SS	P-51	180,000	1,255	10	9	2,008	
Frade (2)	FPSO	n/a	100,000	900	12	7	2,009	
Marlim Leste	FPU	P-53	180,000	1,090	14	7	2,009	
Jubarte Phase II	FPSO	P-57	180,000	1,300	15	7	n/a	
Parque das Conchas (3)	n/a	n/a	100,000	1,600	n/a	n/a	2,011	
Roncador Module 3	SS	P-55	180,000	1,795	11	7	n/a	

(1) Petrobras (operator) 90%, YPF 10%.

(2) Petrobras 30%, Chevron (operator) 51.74%, Frade Japão 18.26%.

(3)

Petrobras 35%,
Shell
(operator) 50%,
ONGC 15%.

Abbreviations:

SS = Semisubmersible

FPSO = Floating, Production, Storage and Offloading

FPU = Floating and Production Unit

Some of these fields are being financed through project financings. See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Liquidity and Capital Resources Project Finance.

Production Activities

Our domestic crude oil and natural gas production activities involve fields located on Brazil's continental shelf off the coast of nine Brazilian states, of which the Campos Basin is the most important region, and onshore in

Table of Contents

eight Brazilian states. We are also producing crude oil and natural gas in nine other countries: Angola, Argentina, Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico, Peru, the United States, and Venezuela. See International.

The following table sets forth average daily crude oil and natural gas production, average sales price and average lifting costs for each of 2006, 2005 and 2004:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Crude Oil and NGL Production (in Mbpd)			
Brazil (1)			
Offshore			
Campos Basin	1,468	1,405	1,204
Other	78	36	38
Total offshore	1,546	1,441	1,242
Onshore	232	243	251
Total Brazil	1,778	1,684	1,493
International	130	163	168
Non-consolidated international production (2)	12		
Total crude oil and NGL production	1,920	1,847	1,661
Crude Oil and NGL Average Sales Price (U.S. dollars per Bbl)			
Brazil	\$ 54.71	\$ 45.42	\$ 33.49
International	44.02	34.91	26.51
Natural Gas Production (in Mmcfd)			
Brazil (3)			
Offshore			
Campos Basin	759	752	645
Other	257	172	184
Total offshore	1,016	924	829
Onshore	644	719	762
Total Brazil	1,660	1,643	1,590
International	595	575	564
Non-consolidated international production (2)	12		
Total natural gas production	2,267	2,218	2,154
Natural Gas Average Sales Price (U.S. dollars per Mcf)			
Brazil (4)	\$ 2.61	\$ 2.17	\$ 1.93
International (5)	2.16	1.64	1.17
Aggregate Average Lifting Costs (oil and natural gas) (U.S. dollars per boe)			
Brazil			

With government take	\$ 17.64	\$ 14.73	\$ 10.72
Without government take	6.59	5.73	4.28
International	3.36	2.90	2.60

(1) Brazilian figures include production from shale oil reserves and natural gas liquids, which are not included in our proved reserves figures.

(2) Equity method companies in Venezuela.

(3) Brazilian figures include reinjected gas volumes, which are not included in our proved reserves figures.

(4) Excludes
 (1) exploration and production overhead;
 (2) costs related to intra-company transfers of oil products to our exploration and production division;
 (3) costs of sales of oil products produced in natural plants overseen by our exploration and production department; and
 (4) price of oil and gas bought from partners in certain joint

ventures.

- (5) Excludes
 - (1) royalties;
 - (2) special government participation;
 - and (3) rental of areas.

Average Brazilian production of crude oil and NGL for 2006 increased 5.6% relative to 2005, reaching 1,778 Mbpd, principally as a result of the start-up of the P-50 platform in April 2006, the FPSO-Capixaba in May 2006 and the P-34 platform in December 2006.

Table of Contents*Reserves*

Our estimated worldwide proved reserves of crude oil and natural gas as of December 31, 2006 totaled 11.46 billion barrels of oil equivalent, including:

9.48 billion barrels of crude oil and NGLs; and

11,843.4 billion cubic feet of natural gas.

We calculate reserves based on forecasts of field production, which depend on a number of technical parameters, such as seismic interpretation, geological maps, well tests and economic data. All reserve estimates involve some degree of uncertainty. The uncertainty depends mainly on the amount of reliable geologic and engineering data available at the time of the estimate and the interpretation of this data. Therefore, the estimates are made using the most reliable data at the time of the estimate, in accordance with the best practices in the oil and gas industry.

DeGolyer and MacNaughton, or D&M, reviewed and certified 92% of our domestic proved crude oil, condensate and natural gas reserve estimates as of December 31, 2006. The estimates for the certification were performed in accordance with Rule 4-10 of Regulation S-X of the SEC.

As of December 31, 2006, our domestic proved developed crude oil reserves represented 44% of our total domestic proved developed and undeveloped crude oil reserves. Our domestic proved developed natural gas reserves represented 44% of our total domestic proved developed and undeveloped natural gas reserves. Total domestic proved crude oil reserves increased at an average annual growth rate of 3.3% in the last five years. Natural gas proved reserves increased at an average annual growth rate of 6.5% over the same period.

The following table sets forth our estimated net proved developed and undeveloped reserves and net proved developed reserves of crude oil and natural gas by region as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

WORLDWIDE ESTIMATED NET PROVED RESERVES

	Crude Oil (MMbbl)	Brazil Natural Gas(1) (Bcf)	Combined(2) (Mmboe)	Crude Oil (MMbbl)	International Natural Gas(1) (Bcf)	Combined(2) (Mmboe)	Combined Global Proved Reserves (Mmboe)
Net Proved Developed and Undeveloped Reserves:							
Reserves as of December 31, 2004	9,243.4	7,954.3	10,569.1	702.0	3,292.8	1,250.9	11,820.0
Revisions of previous estimates	123.0	842.4	263.4	0.5	(32.6)	(4.97)	258.4
Extensions, discoveries and improved recovery	252.0	996.9	418.2	38.4	38.8	44.9	463.1
Production for the year	(584.5)	(529.8)	(672.8)	(58.8)	(210.9)	(93.9)	(766.7)
Reserves as of December 31, 2005	9,033.9	9,263.8	10,577.8	682.1	3,088.1	1,196.8	11,774.6
Revisions of previous estimates	463.4	322.1	517.2	(15.2)	(459.1)	(91.7)	425.5
Extensions, discoveries and improved recovery	119.7	328.2	174.4	28.1	75.1	40.6	215.0

Sales of reserves in place				(4.5)	0.0	(4.5)	(4.5)
Purchase of reserves in place	0.9	45.8	8.5	8.9	16.0	11.6	20.1
Production for the year	(616.0)	(532.9)	(704.8)	(42.6)	(209.8)	(77.6)	(782.3)
Interest Loss in Venezuela (3)				(174.8)	(93.9)	(190.5)	(190.5)
Transfer to Unconsolidated Companies				(65.7)	(77.3)	(78.6)	(78.6)
Reserves as of December 31, 2006	9,001.9	9,427.0	10,573.1	416.3	2,339.1	806.2	11,379.3
Net Proved Developed Reserves:							
As of December 31, 2004	4,129.8	4,427.6	4,867.7	383.1	2,495.2	799.0	5,666.7
As of December 31, 2005	4,071.7	4,088.8	4,753.2	365.9	2,333.7	754.9	5,508.1
As of December 31, 2006	3,987.7	4,115.4	4,673.6	232.9	1,758.0	525.9	5,199.5
Proved Reserves in Unconsolidated Companies				65.7	77.3	78.6	78.6
Total Proved Reserves as of December 31, 2006 (4)	9,001.9	9,427.0	10,573.1	482.0	2,416.4	884.8	11,457.9

Table of Contents

- (1) Natural gas liquids are extracted and recovered at natural gas processing plants downstream from the field. The volumes presented for natural gas reserves are prior to the extraction of natural gas liquids.
- (2) See Conversion Table for the ratios used to convert cubic feet of natural gas to barrels of crude oil equivalent. Production of shale oil and associated reserves are not included.
- (3) Changes due to contractual changes (Joint Ventures to Unconsolidated Companies).
- (4) Total Proved Reserves as of December 31, 2006 equals Reserves as of December 31, 2006 plus Proved Reserves in

Unconsolidated
Companies.

The following tables set forth our crude oil and natural gas proved reserves by region, as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

CRUDE OIL NET PROVED RESERVES BY REGION

	2006		As of December 31, 2005		2004	
	Proved Developed and Undeveloped	Proved Developed	Proved Developed and Undeveloped (MMbbl)	Proved Developed	Proved Developed and Undeveloped	Proved Developed
	Brazil					
Offshore						
Campos Basin	7,855.4	3,305.4	7,886.0	3,395.9	8,130.4	3,422.7
Other	373.7	131.8	388.3	101.3	335.4	106.1
Total offshore	8,229.1	3,437.2	8,274.3	3,497.2	8,465.8	3,528.8
Onshore	772.8	550.5	759.6	574.5	777.6	601.0
Total Brazil	9,001.9	3,987.7	9,033.9	4,071.7	9,243.4	4,129.8
International						
Other South America(1)	408.2	252.2	625.8	350.8	678.4	367.0
West Coast of Africa	42.0	7.4	42.6	8.6	11.8	11.8
Gulf of Mexico	31.8	10.0	13.7	6.5	11.8	4.3
Total international	482.0	269.6	682.1	365.9	702.0	383.1
Total	9,483.9	4,257.3	9,716.0	4,437.6	9,945.4	4,512.9

(1) Includes
Argentina,
Bolivia
Colombia,
Ecuador, Peru
and proportional
reserves related
to
unconsolidated
companies in
Venezuela.

NATURAL GAS NET PROVED RESERVES BY REGION:

	2006		As of December 31, 2005		2004	
	Proved	Proved Developed	Proved Developed	Proved Developed	Proved Developed	Proved Developed

	Developed and Undeveloped	Proved Developed	and Undeveloped (Bcf)	Proved Developed	and Undeveloped	Proved Developed
Brazil						
Offshore						
Campos Basin	4,043.1	1,748.0	3,836.5	1,772.3	4,039.3	1,820.4
Other	2,985.7	918.5	2,912.1	720.9	1,337.5	854.0
Total offshore	7,028.8	2,666.5	6,748.6	2,493.2	5,376.8	2,674.4
Onshore	2,398.2	1,448.9	2,515.2	1,595.6	2,577.5	1,753.2
Total Brazil	9,427.0	4,115.4	9,263.8	4,088.8	7,954.3	4,427.6
International						
Other South America(1)	2,241.7	1,688.9	2,951.7	2,270.2	3,162.2	2,456.2
Gulf of Mexico	174.7	112.2	136.5	63.5	130.6	39.0
Total international	2,416.4	1,801.1	3,088.1	2,333.7	3,292.8	2,495.2
Total	11,843.4	5,916.5	12,351.9	6,422.5	11,247.1	6,922.8

(1) Includes Argentina, Bolivia, Colombia, Peru and proportional reserves related to unconsolidated companies in Venezuela.

Table of Contents

Please see *Supplementary Information on Oil and Gas Producing Activities* in our audited consolidated financial statements for further details on our proved reserves.

Refining, Transportation and Marketing

Summary and Strategy

Our refining, transportation and marketing business segment encompasses the refining, transportation and marketing of crude oil, oil products and fuel alcohol, including investments in petrochemicals.

We own and operate 11 refineries in Brazil, with total processing capacity of 1,986 million barrels per day. With the acquisition of the Ipiranga Group, one-third of the Ipiranga Refinery became part of the Petrobras assets. After this acquisition, only one independent refinery in Brazil remains. This refinery has an aggregate installed capacity of approximately 0.03 million barrels per day. Our domestic refining capacity constitutes 98.4% of the Brazilian refining capacity. We built nine of our 11 refineries prior to 1972, and we completed the last refinery (Henrique Lage) in 1980. At that time, we were only producing 200 Mbpd of crude oil in Brazil. Our refineries were built to process light imported crude oil. Subsequent to their completion, we discovered large reserves of heavier crude oil in Brazil. As a result, we are continually upgrading and improving our refineries to process large quantities of heavy crude oil.

We approved initial studies for construction of a new refinery in the Northeast of Brazil. With an estimated investment of U.S.\$2.88 billion in the industrial complex of Porto de Suape, in the state of Pernambuco. The refinery will have the capacity to process 200 Mbpd of heavy oil with the start of operations planned for 2011.

We process as much of our domestically produced crude oil as possible through our refineries, and supply the remaining demand within Brazil by importing crude oil (which we also process in our refineries) and oil products. As our own domestic production increases and refinery upgrades enable us to process more throughput efficiently in the next few years, we expect to import proportionately less crude oil and oil products. Until January of 2002, we were the sole supplier of oil products to the Brazilian market. Now that we are no longer the sole supplier of oil products to the Brazilian market, we intend to reevaluate our import strategy and may reduce imports to the extent such reductions improve our profitability. We also export crude oil and oil products, to the extent that our production of oil products exceeds Brazilian demand or our refineries are unable to process the growing domestic crude oil production.

We transport oil products and crude oil to domestic wholesale and export markets through a coordinated network of marketing centers, storage facilities, pipelines and shipping vessels. As the single supplier for almost fifty years of a country that ranks as the 12th largest oil-consuming nation in the world, according to the June 2006 issue of *Statistical Review of the World*, we have developed a large and complex infrastructure. Our refineries are generally located near Brazil's population and industrial centers and near our production areas, which creates logistical efficiencies in our operations.

In accordance with the requirements of the Oil Law, we have placed our shipping assets into a separate subsidiary, Petrobras Transporte S.A., or Transpetro. This subsidiary leases storage and pipeline facilities and provides open access to these assets to all market participants. Our petrochemicals business is now also included in the refining, transportation and marketing segment.

Our main strategies in refining and transportation are to:

focus on clients and develop our portfolio of goods and services based on their needs;

expand our processing, transportation and commercialization activities, using bio-energy sources and raw material produced by us;

diversify our business portfolio, focusing on synergies among assets;

expand activities in the petrochemical and fertilizer industries, by seeking strategic partnerships and promoting synergies with our other operations;

Table of Contents

improve efficiency in all stages of logistic processes by using a variety of transportation systems and focusing on operational excellence, safety standards and high quality services; and

apply state of the art technology in oil processing to promote energy and environmental efficiency.

Our refining, transportation and marketing results are reflected in the Supply segment in our audited consolidated financial statements.

Refining

At December 31, 2006, we had total installed refining capacity in Brazil of 1.986 million barrels per day, which, according to *Petroleum Intelligence Weekly*, made us the 8th largest refiner of oil products in the world among publicly traded companies in 2006. In Brazil, we processed an average of 1.746 million barrels of crude oil per day in 2006, which represents a utilization rate of 88% for the year, calculated over total distillation capacity. This compares with an 85% average utilization rate in 2005 and an 86% average utilization rate in 2004.

Approximately 80% of the crude oil feedstock for our refinery operations in Brazil was supplied by domestic production, as compared to 80% in 2005 and 76% in 2004. We expect an increasing percentage of the crude oil feedstock to be supplied by our relatively lower cost domestic production, as our overall domestic production increases. Because our domestic refining capacity constitutes 98.4% of the Brazilian refining capacity, we supply almost all of the refined product needs of third-party wholesalers, exporters and petrochemical companies, in addition to satisfying our internal consumption requirements with respect to wholesale marketing operations and petrochemical feedstock.

Our refineries are located throughout Brazil, with heavy concentration in the Southeast where demand for domestic products is greatest, due to significant industrial activity and large population centers. Most of our refineries are located near our crude oil pipelines, storage facilities, refined product pipelines and major petrochemical facilities. This configuration facilitates access to crude oil supply and major end-user markets in Brazil.

Refinery Production and Capacity

In Brazil in 2006, we produced a total of 644 million barrels of oil products, or on daily basis 1,764 million barrels per day. Approximately 80% of this crude oil came from Brazilian production. Our average refining costs (consisting of variable costs and excluding depreciation and amortization) in Brazil were U.S.\$2.29 per barrel in 2006, U.S.\$1.90 per barrel in 2005 and U.S.\$1.38 per barrel in 2004. According to our strategic plan, because of the heavier crude characteristic of many Brazilian fields, we have also invested in hydro-treatment facilities to reduce impurities in our refinery's oil products and to facilitate the conversion of heavy crude oil into lighter products. As a result, our refining costs have been increasing because the throughput has remained stable. The majority of our heavy crude conversion capacity is located in our refineries: Landulpho Alves, Duque de Caxias, Paulínia, Presidente Bernardes, Gabriel Passos and Henrique Lage. The following table describes the installed capacity, refining throughput and utilization factor of our refineries for each of 2006, 2005 and 2004:

Table of Contents**REFINING STATISTICS**

Refineries	2006			2005			2004		
	Capacity (Mbpd)	Throughput (Mbpd)	Utilization ⁽²⁾ (%)	Capacity (Mbpd)	Throughput (Mbpd)	Utilization ⁽²⁾ (%)	Capacity (Mbpd)	Throughput (Mbpd)	Utilization ⁽²⁾ (%)
Paulínia	365	341	93	365	320	88	365	351	96
Landulpho Alves (8)	323	261	81	332	249	75	323	237	73
Duque de Caxias (8)	242	254	105	275	242	88	242	230	95
Henrique Lage Alberto	251	211	84	251	241	96	251	236	94
Pasqualini(3)	189	114	60	189	116	61	189	103	54
Pres. Getúlio Vargas(4)	189	183	97	189	186	98	189	165	87
Pres. Bernardes	170	163	96	170	157	92	170	154	91
Gabriel Passos	151	136	90	151	131	87	151	132	87
Manaus	46	36	78	46	44	96	46	45	98
Capuava	53	40	76	53	35	66	53	46	87
Fortaleza	7	7	100	6	5	83	6	5	83
Total Brazilian (8)	1,986	1746	88	2,027	1,726	85	1,985	1,704	86
Pasadena (9)	100	91	91						
Gualberto Villarroel(5)	40	24	60	40	25	63	40	22	55
Ricardo Eliçabe(6)	31	30	97	31	26	84	31	30	98
Guillermo Elder Bell(5)	20	16	80	20	16	80	20	16	80
San Lorenzo (6)	50	33	66	38	37	97	38	33	89
Del Norte (7)	26	17	65						
Total International	241	194	81	129	104	81	129	101	78
Total	2,227	1,940	87	2,156	1,830	85	2,114	1,805	85

(1) Throughput does not include slop or any reprocessed feedstock.

(2) Utilization was calculated based on crude oil and NGL only.

- (3) We own 70% of this refinery.
- (4) Because of improvements to the crude plant of this refinery, its output can now slightly exceed the nameplate capacity originally registered with and acknowledged by the National Petroleum Agency in Brazil in 2003.
- (5) Located in Bolivia.
- (6) Located in Argentina.
- (7) Located in Argentina. Del Norte statistics are not included since we own just 28.5% of that refinery.
- (8) Includes NGL Capacity (Mbpd): Landulpho Alves = 9, Duque de Caxias = 33 in 2005.
- (9) Located in the United States. We acquired 50% of this refinery in September 2006 and we started

operations in
October 2006.
We are not
including the
full year s
information,
rather the last
three months
figures only in
calculating the
average.

We operate our refineries, to the extent possible, to satisfy Brazilian demand. Brazil demands a proportionally high amount of diesel, relative to gasoline, which together represent more than half of our production. Because we operate refineries to maximize the output of diesel fuel for which demand in Brazil is greater than our internal production, we produce volumes of gasoline and fuel oil in excess of Brazilian demand and such excess must be exported.

Brazil s demand for oil products has been relatively constant for the last three years, but we continue to increase our refinery throughput, thereby reducing the amount of products we must import to satisfy demand. We have also increased our exports of refined products. The following table sets forth our domestic production volume for our principal oil products for each of 2006, 2005 and 2004:

Table of Contents**DOMESTIC PRODUCTION VOLUME OF OIL PRODUCTS**

Product	2006 (Mbpd)	%	2005 (Mbpd)	%	2004 (Mbpd)	%
Diesel	665.8	37.8	660.1	38.0	657.0	38.7
Gasoline	345.3	19.5	324.5	18.7	292.8	17.3
Fuel oil	259.0	14.7	257.8	14.9	279.9	16.5
Naphtha and jet fuel	212.1	12.0	218.5	12.6	220.2	13.0
Other	281.4	16.0	274.3	15.8	245.7	14.5
Total	1,763.6	100.0	1,735.2	100.0	1,695.6	100.0

Refinery Investments and Improvements

In recent years, we have made investments in our refinery assets in order to improve yields of middle and lighter distillates, which typically generate higher margin sales and reduce the need to import such products. Our principal strategy with respect to refinery operations is to maximize throughput of domestic crude oil. Since the heavy domestic crude oil produces a higher proportion of fuel oil for each barrel of crude oil processed, production of fuel oil is expected to remain relatively constant as throughput of additional Brazilian crude oil offsets new investment in conversion capacity and the production of coke which can be converted into middle distillates products.

We plan to invest in refinery projects designed to:

enhance the value of Brazilian crude oil by increasing capacity to refine greater quantities of heavier crude oil that is produced domestically;

increase production of oil products demanded by the Brazilian market that we currently must import, such as diesel;

improve gasoline and diesel quality to comply with stricter environmental regulations currently being implemented; and

reduce emissions and pollutant streams.

Major Refinery Projects

Included in our Strategic Plan are a number of upgrades to key refineries. Our major investments are generally (1) coker to further break down heavy oil into middle distillates or (2) hydro-treatment units that reduce sulfur to produce products that meet international standards. We believe our hydro-treatment units will make it possible to offer diesel fuel containing a maximum sulfur content of 0.05% (starting in 2009), thus meeting stricter environmental standards being implemented under Brazilian law. The principal refineries and planned investments (2007 – 2011) are as follows:

Table of Contents

Refinery	Objective
Alberto Pasqualini (REFAP)	Units to upgrade gasoline and diesel quality.
Presidente Getúlio Vargas Refinery (REPAR)	Expansion and metallurgic adaptation of existing distillation unit to increase heavy oil processing, installation of a coker, expansion of existing refinery unit and units to upgrade the quality of diesel and gasoline, and creation of a propylene unit.
Henrique Lage (REVAP)	Metallurgic adaptation of existing distillation unit to increase heavy oil processing, installation of a coker and units to upgrade the quality of diesel and gasoline, and creation of a propylene unit.
Paulínia Refinery (REPLAN)	Expansion and metallurgic adaptation of existing distillation unit to increase heavy oil processing, installation of other coker, and units to upgrade the quality of diesel and gasoline, and creation of a propylene unit.
Landulpho Alves (RLAM)	Expansion and metallurgic adaptation of existing distillation unit to increase heavy oil processing, expansion of existing refinery unit, installation of a coker, and units to upgrade the quality of diesel and gasoline.
Duque de Caxias Refinery (REDUC)	Metallurgic adaptation of existing distillation unit to increase heavy oil processing, expansion of existing refinery unit, installation of a lube oil unit, installation of a coker and units to upgrade the quality of diesel and gasoline.
Gabriel Passos Refinery (REGAP)	Metallurgic adaptation of existing distillation unit to increase heavy oil processing, installation of a coker, unit to upgrade the quality of diesel and gasoline, and creation of a propylene unit.
Presidente Bernardes Refinery (RPBC)	Expansion and metallurgic adaptation of existing distillation unit to increase heavy oil processing, installation of a coker, and units to upgrade the quality of diesel and gasoline.
Capuava Refinery (RECAP)	Units to upgrade the quality of diesel and gasoline.
Isaac Sabbá Refinery (REMAN)	Mild thermal cracking unit and units to upgrade the quality of diesel and gasoline. Units to improve the lube oil production.

Lubrificantes e Derivados de Petróleo do Nordeste
(LUBNOR)

In addition to the refineries mentioned above, our 2007-2011 Business Plan envisions investments in the New Abreu Lima refinery, to be installed in Pernambuco, and which is expected to begin operations in 2011.

Imports

During 2006 we continued to import crude oil and oil products because domestic production was not adequate to satisfy Brazilian demand for certain products. In addition, because the bulk of our domestic reserves consist of heavy crude oil, we need to import lighter crude oils to create an adequate mix of oils to satisfy Brazilian demand and to permit refining by our refineries.

Table of Contents

Imported crude oil is transferred into our refineries for storage and processing, with a small percentage being sold to the other two Brazilian refiners, including the recently purchased Ipiranga refinery. Imported oil products are sold to the retail market in Brazil through distributors, including our subsidiary BR.

The average daily volume of our imports of crude oil has increased to 370 Mbd in 2006, as compared to 352 Mbd in 2005, because of the increase in the demand in the Brazilian market.

The following table sets forth the percentage of crude oil that we imported during each of 2006, 2005 and 2004 by region.

IMPORTS OF CRUDE OIL BY REGION

	2006	2005	2004
Region		Volume (%)	
Africa	70.6%	67.5%	73.4%
Middle East	27.9	29.4	24.2
Central and South America/Caribbean	1.5	3.1	2.4
Oceania	0.0	0.0	0.0
Europe	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

In 2006, our total costs of imports of crude oil from all these regions was U.S.\$7,007 million, as compared to U.S.\$6,035 million in 2005 and U.S.\$5,191 million in 2004.

Imports of oil products increased to 118 Mbd in 2006, as compared to 94 Mbd in 2005 and 110 Mbd in 2004 primarily as a result of the increase in domestic consumption. For distillates, the increase in the imported amounts is a result of the increase in the demand from the Brazilian market. For naphtha, the decrease is a result of the increase in the imports by petrochemical companies. The following table sets forth the volume of oil products imported during each of 2006, 2005 and 2004:

IMPORTS OF OIL PRODUCTS

	2006	2005	2004
Oil Product		Volume (Mbbbl)	
LPG	9,936	6,268	11,537
Distillates(1)	20,287	16,740	16,879
Naphtha	7,329	8,243	7,231
Others(2)	5,550	3,523	4,487
Total	43,102	34,774	40,134

(1) Includes gasoline, diesel fuel and some intermediate fractions.

(2) Includes Algerian NGLs, fuel oil, Ethanol,

Methanol and
others.

In 2006, total costs of oil product imports, measured on a cost-insurance-and-freight basis, was U.S.\$3,692 million, as compared to U.S.\$2,108 million in 2005 and U.S.\$1,721 million in 2004. For a discussion of import purchase volumes and prices, see Item 5. **Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Sales Volumes and Prices Import Purchase Volumes and Prices.**

Exports

We also export that portion of oil products processed by our refineries that exceed Brazilian demand. In addition, we export domestic crude oil that we are unable to process efficiently in our refineries because of limited conversion capacity. Our total exports increased to 214 MMbbl in 2006 from 193 MMbbl in 2005 as a result of the

Table of Contents

increase in production of domestic crude oils and the adjustment in the local demand for quality products. The following table sets forth the volumes of oil products we exported during each of 2006, 2005 and 2004:

EXPORTS OF OIL AND OIL PRODUCTS(1)

	2006	2005 (Mbbbl)	2004
Crude Oil	122,279	96,155	66,319
Fuel Oil (including bunker fuel)	61,351	63,896	107,104
Gasoline	16,018	17,240	11,510
Other (2)	12,562	9,716	1,288
Total	212,210	187,007	186,221

(1) The figure includes sales made by PifCo to unaffiliated third parties, including sales of oil and oil products purchased internationally.

(2) Not including fertilizers.

The total value of our crude oil and oil products exports, measured on a free-on-board basis, was U.S.\$11,989 million in 2006, U.S.\$8,938 million in 2005 and U.S.\$5,923 million in 2004.

Transportation

The Oil Law requires that a separate company operate and manage the transportation network for crude oil, oil products and natural gas in Brazil, so we created a wholly-owned subsidiary, Petrobras Transporte S.A. Transpetro, in 1998 to build and manage our vessels, pipelines and maritime terminals and handle various other transportation activities. In May 2000, Transpetro also took over the operation of our transportation network and storage terminals to comply with legal requirements. As of October 1, 2001, with the approval from the ANP, these pipelines and terminals were leased to Transpetro, which started to offer its transportation services to us and to third parties. As the owner of the facilities leased to Transpetro, we retain the right of preference for its use, based on the historical level of transportation assessed for each pipeline, formally assigned by the ANP. The excess capacity is made available to third parties on a non-discriminatory basis and under equal terms and conditions.

Prior to the enactment of the Oil Law, we were the only company authorized to ship oil products to and from Brazil and to own and operate Brazilian pipelines. Pursuant to the Oil Law, the ANP now has the power to authorize any company or consortium organized under Brazilian law to transport crude oil, oil products and natural gas for use in the Brazilian market or in connection with import or export activities, and to build facilities for use in any of these activities. The Oil Law has also provided the basis for open competition in the construction and operation of pipeline facilities.

Pipelines and Terminals

We own, operate and maintain an extensive network of crude oil, oil products and natural gas pipelines connecting our terminals to refineries and other points of primary distribution throughout Brazil. On December 31, 2006, our onshore and offshore crude oil and oil products pipelines extended 6,280 miles or 10,104 kilometers in length, our

natural gas pipelines aggregated approximately 6,073 miles or 9,771 kilometers in length, including the Brazilian side (1,612 miles or 2,593 kilometers) of the Bolivia-Brazil pipeline, and our flexible pipelines totaled 2,032 miles or 3,269 kilometers in length.

Table of Contents

NATURAL GAS PIPELINES IN BRAZIL

47

Table of Contents

CRUDE OIL AND OIL PRODUCTS PIPELINES IN BRAZIL

An important project for the offshore loading of crude oil in the Campos Basin is the *Plano Diretor de Escoamento e Tratamento* - PDET (Director's Plan for Draining and Treatment), which consists of a fixed platform (PRA-1) connected to five offshore production platforms through pipelines that will transfer the crude oil of these platforms to a floating, storage and offloading platform (FSO) and two monobuoys, which will in turn facilitate the transfer of the crude oil to shuttle tankers or the export of the crude oil to other countries. The shuttle tankers will transport the oil to the Southeast terminals where it will be pumped to existing onshore pipelines connected to refineries in Rio de Janeiro, Minas Gerais and São Paulo. The PDET project will cost approximately U.S.\$1.270 billion and is expected to start its operation in the second half of 2007. This project will permit an increase in the flow of oil produced in the Campos Basin by up to 630 Mbd. The PRA-1 platform was installed offshore in January 2007 and preparations are being made to connect the platform to the sub-sea pipelines.

Transpetro also operates 44 storage terminals 24 marine terminals and other 20 tankfarms with a nominal aggregate storage capacity of 65.0 million barrels of oil equivalent. At December 31, 2006, tank capacity at these terminals consisted of 35.2 million barrels of crude oil, 27.3 million barrels of oil products and fuel alcohol and 2.5 million barrels of LPG. Transpetro's marine terminals operate an average of 5,000 vessels per year.

Transpetro is currently evaluating alternatives to improve the efficiency of its transportation system, including improvements to the monitoring and control of the pipeline network through the gradual implementation of a supervisory control and data acquisition system, which, when completed, will monitor the pipelines and storage facilities located throughout the country.

Transpetro implemented the first phase of the project and inaugurated a centralized control and operating center in June 2002, in its headquarters in Rio de Janeiro. Currently, there are a national back-up master station and two regional master stations connected through satellite communication. Tank-farms and pump stations are equipped with mini stations connected to the regional master stations. In addition, Transpetro has been investing in the development of a pipeline integrity program (*Programa de Integridade de Dutos*) to ensure the integrity and safety of its pipeline operations.

Table of Contents*Shipping*

On December 31, 2006, our fleet consisted of the following 53 vessels (46 owned and 7 bareboat chartered), 32 of which are single hulled and 21 of which are double hulled:

OWNED/BAREBOAT CHARTERED VESSELS

Type of Vessel	Number	Capacity (deadweight tonnage in thousands)
Tankers	45	2,592.3
Liquefied petroleum gas tankers	6	40.2
AHTS Anchor Handling Tug Supply	1	2.2
FSO Floating, Storage and Offloading	1	28.9
Total	53	2,663.6

These vessels are currently operated by Transpetro and their activities are mainly concentrated in the Brazilian coastline, South America (Venezuela and Argentina), Mediterranean Sea, Caribbean Sea, Gulf of Mexico, West Africa and the Persian Gulf. The single-hulled ships only operate in areas where environmental legislation permits, including Brazil, Venezuela, Argentina and the West Coast of Africa. The double-hulled ships operate in other international locations in accordance with applicable laws. Our shipping operations support the transportation of crude oil from offshore production systems, our import and export of crude oil and oil products and our coastal trade. In 2006, Transpetro increased shuttle operations in the Campos basin by a chartered bareboat vessel, double-hulled, dynamic-positioned vessel with 1 million barrels capacity. In 2007, two more vessels of the same type and same trade will be incorporated. Our Business Plan calls for investments of U.S.\$2.8 billion to renew our fleet, by adding 42 vessels by 2011. The table below sets forth the types of products and quantities of such products we transported during each of the years indicated.

PRODUCTS AND QUANTITIES TRANSPORTED

Product	2006	2005 (millions of tons)	2004
Crude oil	106.85	92.38	88.4
Oil Products	39.76	40.42	34.0
Fuel Alcohol	0.08	0.04	
Total	146.69	132.84	122.4
Percentage transported by our owned/bareboat chartered fleet	40.3%	43%	45.1%
Coastal transport as a percentage of total tonnage	65%	67%	61.1%

The average monthly-chartered tonnage in 2006 amounted to 8.6 million deadweight tons, as compared to 5.9 million deadweight tons in 2005 and 4.6 million deadweight tons in 2004. The chartered tonnage is continuously adjusted to our needs for overall market supply cost reduction. Our aggregate annual cost for vessel charters was U.S.\$1,348.28 million in 2006, U.S.\$972.01 million in 2005 and U.S.\$701 million in 2004.

Petrochemicals

We conduct our petrochemical activities, with the exception of naphtha sales, through our subsidiary, Petrobras Química S.A., or Petroquisa. Petroquisa is a holding company with interests in nine operational petrochemical companies involved in the production and sale of basic and final petrochemical products and utilities. On December 31, 2006, our ownership percentage of the total capital of these investments ranged from 8.27% to 85.04% and our ownership percentage of the voting capital of these investments ranged from 9.81% to 70.45%. The

Table of Contents

total book value of these investments was U.S.\$924 million on December 31, 2006. Most of such interests are minority voting interests. Our shareholders' equity in these companies increased by U.S.\$12 million between December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2006.

Shareholders at the Extraordinary General Meeting held on June 1, 2006 approved the incorporation of shares in Petroquisa by us, pursuant to the re-ratification of the Protocol of Merger and Incorporation of the share incorporation transaction executed by the two companies. Our Board of Directors approved the issuance of 886,670 of our preferred shares in connection with the incorporation of shares of Petroquisa by us. We held 99.99% of Petroquisa's shares prior to such incorporation.

To implement the transaction, the exchange ratio for the shares to be used was based on the net equity value of both companies at the base date of December 31, 2005, when 4.496 preferred shares issued by us were attributed to each batch of 1,000 common or preferred shares issued by Petroquisa. As a result, the minority shareholders of Petroquisa became our shareholders.

None of our shareholders had stated their intention to exercise the right to withdraw by the legal deadline of July 7, 2006. Five of Petroquisa's shareholders, with a total interest of 1,015,910 shares, exercised the right to withdraw by the established deadline (July 5, 2006) and were reimbursed at the rate of R\$153.47 (U.S.\$71) per batch of 1,000 shares, using funds provided by Petroquisa, on July 10, 2006. Petrobras then acquired the shares for the same price, thereby transferring ownership.

The basic supply feedstock used in Brazil's petrochemical industry is naphtha. Until 2001, we were the sole supplier of naphtha to Brazil's petrochemical industry. Following regulatory change in 2002, the petrochemical industry began importing naphtha and condensates directly. In 2006, the industry imported approximately 30% of its naphtha needs, and we supplied the remainder from our refining operations.

We currently expect to maintain a presence in the petrochemicals industry principally by participating in projects integrated with our refineries. We expect that our selective investments in petrochemicals will consolidate our involvement in the entire value chain and will help integrate our basic and refining products. Although we have divested certain interests in the petrochemical segment in the past, we plan to increase the current level of investments, as part of our downstream strategy.

On March 31, 2006, the construction of Rio Polímeros S.A (Gas Chemical Complex), located next to our Duque de Caxias Refinery (REDUC) was finalized and the plant became operational, after the conclusion of the pre-operational phase. The complex has a nominal plant capacity of 540,000 tons per year of polyethylene and 79,000 tons per year of propylene produced from ethane and propane extracted from natural gas originated in the Campos Basin. Petroquisa holds a 16.7% interest of the voting and preferred capital in Rio Polímeros. In addition to Petroquisa, the three other investors are BNDESPAR and two leading private Brazilian petrochemical companies, Suzano and Unipar.

Our strategy in the petrochemicals field is to selectively expand our presence in the petrochemicals market. According to our 2007-2011 Business Plan, we will invest approximately U.S.\$3.2 billion in capital expenditures in our Brazilian petrochemicals operations. This investment will be aimed at increasing the production of several basic and intermediate petrochemicals, such as ethylene, propylene, benzene, para-xylene, acrylic acid, purified terephthalic acid (PTA) and styrenes, as well as plastic resins, including polyethylene, polypropylene, PET and styrene. These projects will be carried out with other partners.

In addition, our petrochemicals project portfolio includes the construction of a petrochemical complex named COMPERJ. This complex would integrate refinery units and petrochemical facilities to produce petrochemical raw materials such as ethylene, propylene, aromatics and its petrochemical derivatives, such as polyethylene and polypropylene, in order to supply the growing demand for such products in the Brazilian market. We are currently developing the basic project for this petrochemicals complex.

The plant, with an expected capacity to process 150 Mbpd of heavy oil, will produce, in addition to several petrochemicals, some quantities of coke, diesel oil and naphtha. The conversion of heavy oil in petrochemicals is

Table of Contents

possible due to our innovative proprietary technology, named Petrochemical FCC, developed by us in our R&D Center. The total estimated investment in this plant is U.S.\$8.3 billion. The COMPERJ is expected to begin operations in 2012.

On September 16, 2005, Petroquisa and Braskem incorporated Petroquímica Paulínia S.A. PPSA, a joint venture between the two companies, contributing 40% and 60% of the entity's capital, respectively. PPSA's purpose is to implement a polypropylene unit in Paulínia-SP and to use polymer-grade propylene supplied by us as raw material for its operations, with capacity of 300 thousand tons per year and a global investment estimated at U.S.\$328 million. The commercial operations are projected to begin in the second quarter of 2008. To date, Petroquisa has invested R\$52 million in this project.

On November 28, 2005, Petroquisa, Mossi & Ghisolfi and Citene signed a Memorandum of Understanding in which Mossi & Ghisolfi and Citene agreed to conduct a feasibility study relating to the development of a Purified Terephthalic Acid Plant in Pernambuco. The study showed favorable results. In March of 2006, Petroquisa and Citene signed a new memorandum regarding the creation of a company to implement the project and Mossi & Ghisolfi withdrew from the project. Companhia Petroquímica of Pernambuco-PETROQUÍMICASUAPE will manage the project. The plant will have a production capacity of 640 thousand tons per year. We are projecting that an investment of U.S.\$542 million through 2009 will be required for this project, an estimate of the start-up costs of the plant through 2009.

Fertilizers

We continued to modernize our fertilizer production plants and develop new projects to increase nitrogenate production and expand operations in this segment. In 2006, sales of ammonia and urea generated a gross revenue of U.S.\$350 million, a 6% increase as compared to 2005.

In 2006, we invested a total of R\$92 million in our two fertilizer factories in Bahia and Sergipe to improve their operational reliability, logistics, product quality and Health, Safety and Environment (HSE). The construction of a new warehouse in Sergipe with a capacity of 30 thousand tons of urea nearly doubled the unit's storage capacity and greatly increased its logistical flexibility.

The factories in Bahia and Sergipe sold 213 thousand tons of ammonia in the domestic market in 2006, their fifth consecutive year of continuous growth. We also continued as the leader in the domestic market for urea fertilizer, with sales of 710 thousand tons in 2006. The investments in operational reliability at the Bahia plant led to the highest level of production of this plant—285 thousand tons—in the last seven years.

In 2007, we expect to open a new urea granulation unit at the Sergipe factory with an expected production capacity of 600 tons per day. In order to reduce and possibly eliminate our need to import nitrogenated fertilizers, we are also planning the construction of a new industrial plant—UFN-3—that uses natural gas as raw material. The unit, which we estimate will cost approximately U.S.\$822 million, will have an estimated production capacity of 1 million tons of urea and 760 thousand tons of ammonia per year beginning in 2012.

Distribution*Summary and Strategy*

Through Petrobras Distribuidora S.A., or BR, we distribute oil products, biodiesel and fuel alcohol to retail, commercial and industrial customers throughout Brazil. Our operations are supported by tankage capacity of approximately 2.3 million cubic meters, at 127 storage facilities and 104 aviation product depots at airports throughout Brazil.

Our main strategies in distribution and marketing are to:

- become the leader in the Brazilian market for petroleum derivatives and biofuels, maximizing market share and profitability;

Table of Contents

position ourselves as the top brand in the eyes of customers by providing excellent products and services; and

coordinate our business in the energy sector with our other activities and ultimately expand the market for derivatives and biofuels.

As of 2005, Liquegás Distribuidora became the official name of our liquefied petroleum gas (*gás liquefeito de petróleo*, or LPG) distribution company, previously called Agip do Brasil S.A. and Sophia do Brasil S.A. Agip do Brasil S.A. was acquired in August 2004 to expand our share in the LPG distribution sector and to consolidate our presence in the distribution market. By the end of 2006, Liquegás Distribuidora held a 21.7% market share and ranked third in the LPG distribution market based on sales volume according to Sindigás (*Sindicato Nacional das Empresas Distribuidoras de Gás Liquefeito de Petróleo*).

In 2006, we sold 607.8 million barrels of oil products to wholesale customers, with gasoline and diesel fuel representing approximately 41.96% of these sales. Of our total sales in 2006, 171.1 million barrels of oil products were supplied to BR for retail marketing. The following table sets forth our oil product sales to wholesale customers and retail distributors for each of 2006, 2005 and 2004:

OIL PRODUCT SALES

	2006	2005	2004
		(MMbbl)	
Product			
Diesel	230.9	228.1	224.9
Gasoline	120.0	114.3	104.8
Fuel oil	94.3	77.2	106.1
Naphtha and jet fuel	82.3	79.3	81.5
Others	361.8	343.5	129.1
Total	889.3	842.4	646.4
Customer			
Wholesalers			
Diesel	110.8	105.5	106.6
Gasoline	46.5	43.0	42.9
Others	24.6	25.4	25.6
Total wholesalers	181.9	173.9	175.1
Retail distributors			
BR	159.5	157.8	145.1
Third parties	547.9	510.7	326.2
Total retail distributors	707.4	668.5	471.3
Total customers	889.3	842.4	646.4

Retail

As of December 31, 2006, our sales network in Brazil included 6,554 retail service stations compared to 6,933 as of December 31, 2005, and comprised approximately 18% of the total number of service stations in Brazil, all under the brand name BR. Over 65% of these BR stations are located in the South and Southeast regions of Brazil, where

over 57.2% of Brazil's total population of 188 million reside. Of these 6,554 service stations, 5,870 were active stations and BR owned 638. As required under Brazilian law, BR subcontracts the operation of all its service stations to third parties. The other 5,232 service stations were owned and operated by dealers, who use the BR brand name under license with BR facilities as their exclusive suppliers. BR provides technical support, training and advertising for its network of service stations.

In 2006, 355 of our service stations also sold vehicular natural gas, compared to 295 in 2005 and 245 in 2004. The sales from these stations consisted of 19,246 million cubic feet (545 million cubic meters) in 2006,

Table of Contents

representing 23.7% of Brazilian market share, 17,198 million cubic feet (487 million cubic meters) in 2005, representing 25.1% of Brazilian market share and 15,008 million cubic feet (425 million cubic meters) in 2004, representing 27% of Brazilian market share.

The introduction of biodiesel was one of the BR's accomplishments in 2006. Aligned to its strategy of being consumers' favorite brand and adding value to Petrobras, BR Distribuidora delivered the product to 3,740 service stations and 2,380 major consumers across the country, totaling 2,222,000 m³ of B2. In 2005, BR owned 2 service stations that sold biodiesel, totaling 820 m³. Through June 2007, biodiesel is expected to be sold at all BR service stations.

The table below sets forth market share (based on volume) for retail sales of different products in Brazil for each of 2006, 2005 and 2004:

BR MARKET SHARE IN DISTRIBUTION

	2006	2005	2004
Fuel oil	69.4%	64.8%	64.4%
Diesel	31.6%	31.9%	28.6%
Gasoline	25.0%	25.0%	22.1%
Fuel alcohol.	34.0%	32.2%	31.2%
Total	33.6%	33.8%	31.6%

Prices to retailers have generally tended to remain consistent between competing distributors, particularly due to the low margin in the sector. Therefore, competition among distributors continues to be primarily based on product quality, service and image.

During 2006, approximately 26.3% of the retail sales at service stations in Brazil were made through BR-owned or franchised entities. We believe that our market share position has remained strong over the past several years due to the strong brand name recognition of BR, the remodeling of service stations and the addition of lubrication centers and convenience stores.

In 1996, BR created the *De Olho no Combustível* program (Eye on the Fuel program), which is a certification program designed to ensure that the fuels sold to end consumers at service station networks are identical in content to the fuels originating from our refineries. We have already certified 4,778 service stations under this program.

The retail market for gasoline and diesel fuel in Brazil is highly competitive and we expect that prices will be subject to continuing pressure. Accordingly, we intend to build upon the strong brand image that we have established in Brazil to enhance profitability and customer loyalty.

We participate in the retail sector in Argentina, where we currently own 719 retail service stations that operate under the brand names Petrobras (492 stations), Eg3 (190 stations) and San Lorenzo (37 stations). We also have a participation in the retail sector in Bolivia, Colombia, Paraguay and Uruguay, with 26, 47, 131 and 89 retail service stations, respectively.

Commercial and Industrial

We distribute oil products and bio-fuels to commercial and industrial customers through BR. Our major customers are aviation, transportation, industrial and utility companies and government entities, all of which generate relatively stable demand.

Table of Contents

Set forth below are commercial and industrial sales statistics for each of 2006, 2005 and 2004:

COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL RETAIL SALES BY PRODUCT

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005 (Mboe)	2004
Fuel oil	24,195	22,850	24,649
Diesel	77,409	78,241	70,521
Gasoline	37,640	36,690	32,147
Jet fuels	15,245	15,784	15,020
Fuel alcohol	5,858	5,132	4,147
Lubricants	1,498	1,601	1,460
Others	26,605	24,943	22,609
Total	188,450	185,241	170,554

Natural Gas, Power and Renewable Energy*Summary and Strategy*

Our natural gas and power activities encompass the purchase, sale and transportation of natural gas produced or imported into Brazil. In addition, this segment includes our domestic electric energy generation and commercialization activities, as well as participation in domestic natural gas transportation companies, state-owned and private natural gas distribution companies and gas-fired power plants. We are also involved in the development of renewable energy, alternative energy and energy efficiency projects with the objective of decreasing carbon emissions resulting from our activities.

Natural gas demand has been growing sharply in the recent past, but decreased rates of growth are expected in the near future due to limitations in supply. The Brazilian government estimated that, in 2006, natural gas consumption represented 9.6% of primary energy use, as compared to 9.3% in 2005 and 8.9% in 2004. We expect that number to be 11% by 2010. A significant portion of this growth will come from industrial users, who, motivated by environmental concerns and fair prices for natural gas, will replace fuel oil with natural gas. Power plant use and vehicular use are also expected to grow. In 2006, industrial and vehicular consumption have grown 6.3% and 19.3%, respectively.

Our main strategies in the natural gas and power segment are to:

Develop and consolidate the natural gas business in a profitable manner;

Expand business in South America, especially related to the supply network for the Brazilian market, in order to increase our share in the primary distribution markets;

Structure our supply system to permit it to continue to be reliable, flexible, and competitive;

Develop and consolidate the energy business in a profitable manner, expanding our share in generation and co-generation;

Act in an effective way within the energy segment in order to make the hydrothermal system more efficient and take advantage of business opportunities;

Take advantage of synergies within the biofuel business so as to expand the market for our products and services, continue leading the domestic production of biodiesel and increase our share in the ethanol business; and

Produce electricity using renewable sources, such as wind power, solar power, small-scale hydropower and biomass (raw materials with biologic origin), and take advantage of cooperation between our businesses and the businesses of our subsidiary, BR.

Table of Contents

Our natural gas and power results are described in the Gas and Power segment of our audited consolidated financial statements.

*Natural Gas**Pipelines*

In 1998, we developed and built the Bolivia-Brazil natural gas pipeline, which has a total capacity of 1,060 MMscfd (30 MMcmd). The pipeline is 1,969 miles (3,150 kilometers) in length, running from Rio Grande in Bolivia to Porto Alegre in Southern Brazil. The Bolivia-Brazil pipeline connects to our domestic pipeline system that transports natural gas from the Campos and Santos Basins. This pipeline was designed to supply gas to some of our power and petrochemical plants.

The Cabiúnas project comprises transportation and processing facilities of natural gas from the offshore oil fields in the Campos Basin of the State of Rio de Janeiro. This project has been operational since the second semester of 2005 and increased the transportation capacity from the previous 290 million cubic feet (8.2 million cubic meters) per day to a total of 519 million cubic feet (14.7 million cubic meters) per day of associated gas, while reducing the volumes of natural gas currently flared on offshore platforms and alleviating existing constraints on oil production from these platforms. In 2006, the average daily volume of natural gas flared on the offshore platforms of the Campos Basin was 118 million cubic feet (3.338 million cubic meters).

We recently evaluated our natural gas production portfolio, studied other opportunities, and decided to launch several natural gas production projects three years ahead of schedule using a new approach to their implementation in Brazil. The analysis also led to the 2006 Gas Production Acceleration Plan (PLANGÁS) involving E&P, Gas and Power and Supply, as well as Engineering, and CENPES and Transpetro. The PLANGÁS aimed to increase our share in the domestic gas market and help us meet growing demand for gas in the Southeastern Region of the country, thus reducing the region's dependence on imported gas. Specifically, the PLANGÁS consists of interdependent projects, including the increase in the gas production of the Campos, Espírito Santo and Santos Basin, the processing and compressing capacity of the Cabiúnas Terminal and adjustments and expansions to the Malha Sudeste and GASENE pipeline Projects, both in different stages of development and in accordance with our 2007-2011 Business Plan. The GASENE Project involves the construction of 1.4 thousand kilometers of pipelines with capacity of 20 million cubic meters per day, connecting the Cabiúnas Terminal in Rio de Janeiro with the city of Catú in Bahia. The work involves the Cabiúnas-Vitória Gas Pipeline (25% completed), the Vitória-Cacimbas Gas Pipeline (95% completed), and the Cacimbas-Catú Gas Pipeline, where work shall begin in late 2007. Total investment in the GASENE Project shall amount to approximately U.S.\$2.2 billion. As of December 2006, R\$1.205 billion had already been invested.

We are currently developing the Southeast and the Northeast Gas Pipeline Networks (*Malha Sudeste* and *Malha Nordeste*). This project, known as the Malhas Project, will increase our transportation capacity by expanding the existing natural gas infrastructure and delivering natural gas to markets in the Northeast and Southeast regions of Brazil. During 2006, the natural gas pipeline expansion projects of Northeastern Region (Malha NE) were modified due to changes in our natural gas supply strategy. In the past, the Northeastern shortfall of natural gas was met by the importing of Bolivian gas. It was therefore necessary to invest in the logistics of transporting the gas to Pecém, in Ceará State. Due to the uncertainty of the Bolivian gas supply, Petrobras decided to substitute part of the natural gas imported from Bolivia by LNG (Liquid Natural Gas) imported from other regions, through the Pecém LNG Project.

As a result, the total extension of the natural gas pipelines was reduced by approximately 300 kilometers, in stretches in the northeastern region of Brazil. In the end, the extension was approximately 622 miles (1,000 kilometers) long, which is expected to begin operations throughout 2006 and 2007, at a total cost of approximately U.S.\$1.0 billion.

The Northern Region gas project consists of building a natural gas shipment system between our production areas in Urucu and the city of Manaus, located in the northern state of Amazonas. This venture consists of three pipelines: a) construction of the Urucu-Coari LPG pipeline (279 km long and 10 inch nominal diameter), b) conversion of the existing pipeline between Urucu and Coari (18 inch nominal diameter), and the c) construction of

Table of Contents

the Coari-Manaus gas pipeline (383 km long and 20 inch nominal diameter). A total of R\$391 million was invested in 2006 to complete 32% of the project.

Local Distribution Companies

We sell natural gas in Brazil to local gas distribution companies. Under Brazilian law, each state has the monopoly over local distribution. Most states have established companies to act as local gas distributors and we have minority interests in some of these companies. We appoint the majority of the technical and commercial directors of all distribution companies in which we hold a minority shareholding stake.

Currently we hold, through our subsidiary PETROBRAS Gás S.A. GASPETRO, 19 minority interests in natural gas distribution companies in 19 states of Brazil. The majority of the companies have started operations. GOIASGAS, a natural gas distribution company, joined the group of operating companies in November 2006, when it started its operations with LNG, supplied by GAS LOCAL. GAS LOCAL began its operations in August of 2006, selling LNG, as a joint venture with Praxair Inc. However, four companies (CEBGÁS, RONGÁS, GASAP and GASMAR) have not yet started their operations. Also, in the state of Espírito Santo, we have the exclusive rights to distribute natural gas through our subsidiary BR.

In 2006, the gas distribution companies that are operating in which we have an interest (ALGÁS, BAHIAGÁS, CEGÁS, CEG-RIO, COMPAGÁS, COPERGÁS, MSGÁS, GASPISA, PBGÁS, POTIGÁS, SCGÁS, SERGÁS, SULGÁS, GOIASGAS and GASMIG) held total assets of R\$2,411 million (U.S.\$1,128 million) compared with R\$2,289 million (U.S.\$978 million) in 2005. The assets are mainly an aggregate pipeline extension of 2,654 miles (4,272 kilometers).

Also in 2006, the average volume of gas distribution, of the companies in which we have an interest was 665,632 million cubic feet of natural gas per day, or 18,849 million cubic meters per day, increasing by approximately 1.8% in the last year. The total net operational revenue in 2006 was R\$3.939 billion (U.S.\$1.842 billion) as compared to R\$3.467 billion (U.S.\$1.481 billion) in 2005.

The total net income of the companies in which we have an interest reached R\$331.0 million (U.S.\$154.8 million) last year compared with R\$299.0 million (U.S.\$127.8 million) in 2005.

In 2006, investments in the companies in which we have an interest reached a total of R\$269.6 million (U.S.\$126.1 million) compared to R\$290.8 million (U.S.\$124.2 million) in 2005.

Some of the operating distribution companies in which we have an interest have entered into long-term gas supply contracts with us under which such companies have purchase obligations (in the case of contracts relating to Brazilian gas), and ship-or-pay and gas purchase obligations (in the case of contracts relating to Bolivian gas or with gas-fired power producers).

The following table sets forth our domestic sales of natural gas to affiliated and non-affiliated local distribution companies for each of 2006, 2005 and 2004:

DOMESTIC SALES OF NATURAL GAS TO LOCAL DISTRIBUTION COMPANIES

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005 (in MMscfd)	2004
Total sales annual average(i)	1,355	1,289	1,164
Annual sales growth(i)	5.2%	11%	19%

(i) The volume of natural gas sold to local distribution companies (thermal and non-thermal). Our internal

consumption
and natural gas
received by
internal transfer
are not included.

Table of Contents

Commitments and Sales Contracts

Our investment in the Bolivia-Brazil gas pipeline in 1998 was the result of a 1996 gas supply agreement, or the GSA, for the purchase of natural gas between the Bolivian state oil company, Yacimientos Petrolíferos Fiscales Bolivianos YPFB, and us. The GSA requires us to purchase from YPFB specified quantities of natural gas transported through the pipeline over a 20-year term.

Gas purchase commitments. Under our contracts with YPFB signed in 1998 for the purchase of natural gas, we have agreed to purchase minimum volumes of natural gas from Bolivia at a formula price that varies with the price of fuel oil. We have purchased and paid in 2006, 2005 and 2004, approximately U.S.\$1.3 billion, U.S.\$799 million and U.S.\$544 million, respectively. Such increase resulted from higher prices (which reflected the international prices for fuel oil) and the increase in the imported amounts: 24.44 MM m3/d in 2006, as opposed to 22.96 MM m3/d in 2005 and 19.94 MM m3/d in 2004. During 2002 and 2003 we purchased less than the minimum volumes set under our agreement with YPFB, and therefore we paid a total amount of U.S.\$81 million to satisfy our purchase commitment. Set forth below are the minimum volumes we have agreed to under these contracts, together with an estimate of the amounts we are obligated to pay for such minimum volumes:

NATURAL GAS PURCHASE COMMITMENTS

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Brent Crude Oil Projection (1)	50	35	30	30	30
Volume Obligation (Mmcmpd)	24	24	24	24	24
Volume Obligation (Mmcf/d)	850	850	850	850	850
Estimated Payments (U.S.\$million)(2)	1,101	884	758	740	740

(1) Corporate projections announced in June 2006 in the 2007-2011 Business Plan.

(2) Amounts calculated based on current prices set forth under the agreements projected constant to the future. Prices may be adjusted in the future and actual amounts may vary. Of these amounts, 25.3% are related to Petrobras Bolivia.

In connection with the long-term contract to buy gas (The Gas Supply Agreement or GSA) to supply gas-fired power plants and for other uses in Brazil, the Company entered into a contract, with a gas producer that constituted a

derivative financial instrument under SFAS 133. This contract, the Natural Gas Price Volatility Reduction Contract (the PVRC), was executed with the purpose of reducing the effects of price volatility under the GSA. The terms of the PVRC include a price collar for the period from October 2002 to December 2019, with us receiving cash payments when the calculated price is above the established ceiling, and us making cash payments when the price is below the established floor, with no cash payments being made when the price is between the ceiling and the floor. As of December 31, 2005, we recorded a derivative asset based on the fair value calculation amounting to U.S.\$547 million and a liability of U.S.\$144 million, which is deemed a deferred purchase incentive. Due to the new Hydrocarbons Law of Bolivia (See Note 21 to our audited consolidated financial statements), the other party involved in the PVRC contested the contract, alleging among other, *force majeure* and excessive onus. On August 12, 2006, the parties agreed to cancel the PVRC. As a result, on August 14, 2006, we received U.S.\$41 million and wrote-off accounts receivable related to the PVRC amounting to U.S.\$77 million. We adjusted the fair value asset and liabilities related to the PVRC by recording a financial expense of U.S.\$328 million during the first quarter of 2006 as a result of the tax increases in Bolivia. In the second quarter of 2006, we wrote-off the remaining fair value asset of U.S.\$94 million as a consequence of the contract cancellation.

Ship-or-pay commitments. In order to support the financing for the Bolivia-Brazil pipeline, we also have entered into unconditional ship-or-pay purchase obligations for the transportation of natural gas with Gás Transboliviano or GTB and Transportadora Brasileira Gasoduto Bolivia-Brasil or TBG, the companies which own and operate the Bolivian and Brazilian portions of the pipeline, respectively. TBG's portion of the pipeline financing is consolidated in our balance sheet. Our volume obligations under the ship-or-pay arrangements are generally designed to meet the gas purchase obligations with respect to our gas purchase contracts with YPFB. The total capacity of 1,060 MMscfd (30 MMcmd) also includes a transportation capacity option of 212 MMscfd (6 MMcmd), valid for a 40-year term. This transportation capacity option was granted to us in consideration for our agreed investment of approximately U.S.\$379 million in the Bolivia-Brazil gas pipeline. The total estimated project cost

Table of Contents

was U.S.\$1.9 billion. In 2006, 2005 and 2004, Petrobras made total payments of approximately U.S.\$483 million, U.S.\$532 million and U.S.\$348 million, respectively. Of these amounts, approximately U.S.\$424.8 million, U.S.\$473.5 million and U.S.\$302 million corresponded, respectively, to payments made to TBG for the transportation of natural gas. Set forth below are the minimum volumes we have agreed to under the ship-or-pay arrangements, together with an estimate (assuming certain changes in the U.S. Consumer Price Index (CPI)) of the amounts we are obligated to pay for such minimum volumes:

NATURAL GAS SHIP-OR-PAY COMMITMENTS (TBG)

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Volume Commitment (MMcmpd)	30	30	30	30	30
Volume Commitment (MMcfpd)	1,059	1,059	1,059	1,059	1,059
Estimated Payments (U.S.\$million)	393.80	393.57	398.21	401.96	404.11

NATURAL GAS SHIP-OR-PAY COMMITMENTS (GTB)

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Volume Commitment (MMcmpd)	30	30	30	30	30
Volume Commitment (MMcfpd)	1,059	1,059	1,059	1,059	1,059
Estimated Payments (U.S.\$million)	58.49	58.79	59.08	59.37	59.67

Natural gas sales contracts. In light of these gas purchase and ship-or-pay obligations, we have entered into or negotiated firm gas sale and ship-or-pay sale arrangements to sell natural gas to local gas distribution companies and gas-fired power plants, most of which we operate and in which we own a minority interest.

The arrangements with the gas-fired power plants are made through contracts with the local distribution companies, which in turn enter into back-to-back arrangements with the gas-fired power plants, and a portion of the gas buyer's payments is usually guaranteed to us by the parent companies of the gas-fired power companies or through financial guarantees. Our total sales of natural gas, which includes sales to gas-fired power companies, for 2006, 2005 and 2004, were approximately U.S.\$2,879 million, U.S.\$2,398 million and U.S.\$1,876 million, respectively. The table below sets forth the commitments by local gas distribution companies and by gas-fired power plants for the purchase of volumes of natural gas from us beginning in 2007, together with an estimate of the amounts obligated to be paid for such volumes, including volumes in firm contracts, contracts in renegotiation, and new firm-flexible contracts under negotiation:

NATURAL GAS SALES CONTRACTS

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	(in MMscfd)				
To Local Gas Distribution Companies					
Related parties(1)	500	508	544	549	560
Third parties	640	640	642	645	646
To Power Generation Plants					
Related parties(1)	107	107	107	107	107
Third parties	104	104	259	259	259
Total	1,351	1,359	1,552	1,560	1,573
Estimated Contract Revenues (U.S.\$million)(2)(3)	\$2,416	\$2,663	\$3,224	\$3,153	\$3,093

Table of Contents

(1) For purposes of this table, related parties include all local gas distribution companies and power generation plants in which we have an equity interest and third parties refer to those in which we do not have an equity interest.

(2) Figures show revenues net of taxes. Estimates are based on sales (outside sales) and do not include internal consumption or transfers. Estimated volumes are based on take or pay agreements in our contracts, expected volumes, and contracts under negotiation, not maximum sales.

(3) Prices may be adjusted in the future and actual amounts may vary.

Pricing. On June 1, 2001, the Brazilian government instituted a mechanism which allows a U.S. dollar-indexed component of the natural gas pricing mechanism to be passed through to gas-fired power plants for a period of 12 years, pursuant to *Portaria* No. 176 (a joint regulatory act issued by the Ministry of Mines and Energy and the Ministry of Finance), which was updated by *Portaria* No. 234 issued on July 22, 2002. See Regulations of the Oil and Gas Industry in Brazil Price Regulation Natural Gas. This mechanism has enabled us to sell natural gas to a number of

gas-fired power plants that were unwilling to purchase natural gas under the prior gas price regulation because it requires the buyer to take the intra-year exchange rate risk. Under the new formula, exchange rate variations are reflected in gas prices annually, while we will be remunerated at market based interest rates for any resulting delay in gas price adjustments.

Power

Brazil currently has an installed electricity generation capacity of approximately 96,623 MW. More than 96% of this capacity is interconnected to form one single integrated system, with approximately 90% of the electricity supplied to that system coming from hydroelectric sources. As a result of the significant growth in electricity demand, combined with the limited investment in the sector during the last two decades and a high dependency on hydroelectric power (and consequently susceptibility to a prolonged drought), we believe substantial additional generation capacity needs to be developed in Brazil.

New Regulatory Model

A New Regulatory Model for the Brazilian Electric Power Sector (*Novo Modelo Regulatório do Setor Elétrico Brasileiro*), Law No. 10,336, was introduced on March 16, 2004, with the enactment of the New Industry Model Law. Under the new model, assured energy availability may be sold under regulated contracts to public utility companies, as these companies are legally bound to acquire energy as cheaply as possible through auctions or free contracts. Energy availability sold under regulated contracts must be acquired by means of public auctions and energy availability sold under the free market is negotiated freely through bilateral contracts. The new regulatory model also creates incentives for investments in power generation from alternative sources.

The first auction for power plants built recently or under development was held in December of 2005. We participated in the auction and sold 1,391 MW of energy from our gas-fired power plants with the intention of securing long-term contracts. The contracts represented 42% of the energy sold in the auction.

Of the 1,104 MW sold in the October 2006 auction, 48.5% (535 MW) were from gas-fired power plants. Our sales totaled 205 MW, 18.6% of the energy sold in the auction.

Status of Investments

We believe that our participation in the construction and development of gas-fired power plants has strategic benefits for our business because:

- our participation in the power sector helps create a market for natural gas made available through our investments in the natural gas business;

- we are able to build inside the fence co-generation plants close to our refineries and other facilities, which provide us with a reliable and inexpensive source of electricity for use in our own refineries; and

- these co-generation plants also produce steam for use by our refineries and in onshore crude oil recovery enhancement projects. For example, the gas-fired power plant, Termoaçú, which is currently

Table of Contents

under construction, will generate steam for the Exploration and Production Unit of Rio Grande do Norte and Ceará. The production and consumption of steam reduces the overall costs of generating electricity, making such electricity cost competitive relative to other gas-fired power generation, including new hydroelectric developments.

In addition, we concluded a program for the acquisition of three gas-fired power plants (Eletrobolt, TermoCeará and Macae Merchant), in order to mitigate the losses resulting from contractual obligations previously suffered.

The main purpose of these acquisitions is to reduce our financial exposure in connection with these merchant gas-fired power plants. See Financial Exposure.

Financial Exposure

To encourage the development of some of the gas-fired power plants in which we participate with an equity interest, or to which we sell our natural gas, we have entered into agreements to provide economic support to such gas-fired power plants. Our obligations under these agreements were structured as tolling arrangements whereby we agree to provide each of the inputs to produce electricity and operate the plant, as well as off-take the electricity, remunerating the gas-fired power plant at a price that will service capital (equity and debt).

We have only entered into tolling arrangements with gas-fired power plants in which we have an equity interest. Our power commitments under the tolling agreements are as follows:

POWER OFFTAKE PROJECTED COMMITMENTS

PLANT	2007	2008	2009
		(Average MW)	
FAFEN	138	138	138
TermoBahia	186	186	186
Total NE Tolling Arrangements	324	324	324
Ibiritermo	226	226	226
Total S/SE Tolling Arrangements	226	226	226

In 2007, total generating capacity in respect of which we have tolling commitments, based upon commitments of projects under construction or in operation, is 550 MW.

We expect that the electricity we purchase under the tolling agreements will be partly used for demand in our facilities, estimated to be 282 MW in 2007, 296 MW in 2008, and 310 MW in 2009, allocated between the Northeast and South/Southeast regions of Brazil. UTE FAFEN has a power purchase agreement for the sale of electric power to third parties (distributors /cessionaires). By the end of the fourth quarter of 2005, we sold energy availability in auctions coordinated by the MME, by means of energy agreements of 15 years, starting as of 2008, with increasing volumes, reaching 1391 MW in 2010. Our commercial strategy is to continue the sale of our remaining capacity in public auctions to distributors and the sale to large consumers through power purchase agreements.

On December 28, 2006, we reached an agreement with Companhia Paranaense de Energia (COPEL) to lease the Araucária gas-fired power plant, a plant in the Curitiba Metropolitan Region with 484.5 megawatts of capacity. Our lease agreement with UEG Araucária is effective until December 31, 2007, and may be extended for a period of up to 12 months. We also leased the Bahia I gas-fired power plant on December 28, 2006, a plant with 31.6 megawatts of capacity.

On April 27, 2007, Petrobras signed a contract with Empresa Metropolitana de Águas e Energia SA (EMAE) to lease the Piratininga oil-fired power plant, located in the metropolitan region of São Paulo, the largest energy market in Brazil. Petrobras operates a gas-fired power plant on the same site, Usina Fernando Gasparian. The operation of the gas-fired power plant together with part of the Piratinga oil-fired power plant will allow for 540 megawatts of capacity. In addition, it will be possible to reach a capacity of 200 megawatts from the oil-fired power

Table of Contents

plant. This arrangement provides greater operational flexibility and increases the total amount of energy that we can supply. The lease is effective until 2024.

Renewable Energy Alternative

Our strategy in energy development is based on renewable energy, energy efficiency and the potential gains in carbon credits due to the prevented emissions promoted by these activities.

Renewable Energy

We aim to become the leader in national biodiesel production and expand our participation in the ethanol business. In order to achieve these objectives, we have developed and intend to invest U.S.\$700 million in various initiatives relating to renewable energy sources, as described in our 2007-2011 Business Plan.

We already have one wind power plant with a 1.8 MW capacity in Macau RN, northeast of the country. At first, we intend to use the produced energy for internal consumption. Other projects for wind power plants and small hydropower plants are under study. These projects will expand our use of renewable energy. Our Business Plan in 2006 projected production at 855 million liters (225.87 million gallons) of biodiesel by 2011, as well as export 3.5 billion liters (924.6 million gallons) of ethanol and generate 240 MW of electricity through a renewable source of energy.

Biodiesel

Brazil is an important player in the international biofuels market and has a highly favorable climate and soil for the growing biomass, especially for the oleaginous seed crops.

With this growth and in accordance with our strategy of becoming the national leader in the biodiesel industry as an integrated power company, we began the construction of 3 processing plants in 2006. The facilities in Candeias (BA), Montes Claros (MG) and Quixadá (CE), will produce approximately 57 million liters of biodiesel per year and will start operations by the end of 2007.

As of January 2008, the addition of biodiesel to diesel oil will be mandatory, in the proportion of 2%. For the acquisition of raw materials – soy, cotton, castor oil and palm oil and an oleaginous seed called *pinhão manso* – in addition to animal fat, we have entered into partnerships with small agricultural businesses, taking advantage of the tax incentives granted to biodiesel producers that generate work and income for family-run agriculture businesses.

Biodiesel decreases the emission of gases that create the greenhouse effect, due to its vegetable origin, sulphur and particulate matter, optimizing the performance of engines. Besides the environmental and social advantages, according to the increase in the use of renewable sources of energy, our product will accelerate the end of the necessity of importing diesel fuel.

Sustainable Development

Our actions relating to the sustainable development of power in 2006 aimed to evaluate the implementation of projects to avoid emissions of greenhouse gases (GHG), throughout the entire Petrobras system to obtain Certified Emission Reductions (CER) according to the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) and rules of the Kyoto Protocol, as well as to propose sales policies regarding these CERs. We have studied the technical viability and baseline methodologies in order to obtain approval and registration of those projects with the Executive Board of the CDM. We registered the first Petrobras CDM Project in March of 2007. This project represents the use of wind power as a substitute for the generation of power with fossil fuels in the platforms of Aratum, in Rio Grande do Norte. Other projects underway, mainly linked to the downstream area, are aimed at elaborating the CDM Project Design Document, a template on which a company describes its calculations for reduced emissions in accordance with the Kyoto Protocol. They include the generation of power in turbo expanders in several refineries, reduction of N₂O in the fertilizer production area, and the use of heat from gases emitted, among others.

Table of Contents

Energy Efficiency

The consolidation of our energy consumption and the enhancement of energy efficiency in our units are the main activities of the Internal Energy Conservation Program.

In 2006, there was a relative reduction in the burning of fossil fuels, saving approximately 1.084 barrels of equivalent oil per day; a volume that resulted in savings of approximately U.S.\$20 million and that avoided emissions of approximately 171 thousand tons of CO₂ in 2006.

In addition, the *Programa Nacional de Racionalização do Uso dos Derivados* (the National Oil and Natural Gas Derivates Rationalization Program, or CONPET), a governmental program involved in environmental matters and coordinated by us, facilitated the prevention of about 818 thousand ton of CO₂ emissions into atmosphere in 2006, saving approximately 305 million liters of oil diesel and increasing motor efficiency by 7%.

International

Summary and Strategy

In 2006, approximately 6.8% of our net revenues were generated outside Brazil. We seek to evolve from an integrated oil and gas company in Brazil to a leading energy company in Latin America and a strong international player.

Currently, we plan to focus our non-Brazilian exploration, development and production activities regionally, in areas where we can successfully exploit our competitive advantages, such as deepwater technology. We particularly intend to drill off the west coast of Africa and the Gulf of Mexico and onshore in South America. We recently acquired rights to participate in four exploration blocks offshore Angola. We are also expanding our interests in South America in the downstream segment. During 2006, the following new assets were bought: one lubricant plant, service stations and convenience stores in Colombia; service stations, oil products distribution facilities (asphalt, lubes and aviation products), and one LPG distribution facility in Paraguay; and service stations, oil products distribution facilities (asphalt, lubes, marine and aviation products), and one natural gas distribution company in Uruguay.

We have budgeted U.S.\$12.1 billion in capital expenditures for the period from 2007 to 2011 for international investments.

Our main strategies in the international segment are to:

Assure our leadership as an integrated energy company in Latin America;

Expand our exploration and production activities in the Gulf of Mexico and Africa;

Expand our operations in new exploration and production in areas where we may have competitive advantages;

Expand downstream activities in profitable markets with a high potential for growth;

Add value to our production of heavy crude;

Incorporate natural gas reserves and operate in the LNG market; and

Internationalize and add value to our brand.

Our international results are reflected in the International segment in our audited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents*Exploration and Production*

During 2006, we conducted exploration activities outside Brazil: in Argentina, Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador, Nigeria, Peru, the United States and Venezuela. In addition, we are currently performing studies to evaluate blocks where we hold interests in Angola, Argentina, Colombia, Mexico, Nigeria, the United States, Iran, Equatorial Guinea, Tanzania, Turkey, Libya, Mozambique and Venezuela. Production activities were conducted in Angola, Argentina, Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico, Peru, the United States, and Venezuela. Collectively, these activities represented 23.9% of our total capital expenditures for crude oil and natural gas exploration and production. Our capital expenditures for international exploration and production were U.S.\$2,304 million for 2006, U.S.\$1,067 million for 2005 and U.S.\$666 million for 2004. The following table provides information about the allocation of such expenditures for each of 2006, 2005 and 2004:

DISTRIBUTION OF CAPITAL EXPENDITURES IN INTERNATIONAL EXPLORATION ACTIVITIES

	2006	2005	2004
Argentina	6.4%	7.2%	3.1%
Bolivia	0.6	4.4	0.2
Colombia	3.6	4.6	3.5
Peru, Ecuador and Venezuela	1.1	0.3	2.4
South America	11.7	16.5	9.2
West Coast of Africa	43.7	47.8	52.0
Gulf of Mexico	31.5	33.9	36.8
Others	13.1	1.8	2.0
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Development

Over the past three years, we have participated in the development of a number of fields internationally. These include: five in Colombia (Guando, Rio Ceibas, Yaguara, Santiago and Espinal), three in the United States (GB 200, North Coulomb and Cottonwood), one in Angola (Block 2), two in Nigeria (Akpo and Agbami), many fields in Argentina concentrated in the Neuquen and Austral basins (most importantly in Medanito, Puesto Hernandez, Rio Néuquen, Santa Cruz I and Santa Cruz II), four in Bolivia (San Alberto, San Antonio, Colpa Caranda and Monteagudo), one in Ecuador (Block 18), one in Peru (Lote X) and four in Venezuela (Ortiupano-Leona, Mata, Acema and La Concepción). In 2006, our net production outside Brazil averaged 142.2 thousand barrels per day of crude oil and NGLs and 101.1 thousand barrels of oil equivalent of natural gas per day at an average lifting cost of U.S.\$3.36 per barrel. The following table provides information on the allocation of our international development activities for each of 2006, 2005 and 2004.

ALLOCATION OF CAPITAL EXPENDITURES IN INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

	2006	2005	2004
Argentina	26.5%	36.2%	41.9%
Peru	5.8	8.3	10.9
Ecuador	3.6	16.7	7.4
Bolivia	1.3	1.7	1.5
Colombia	2.8	4.6	6.8
Venezuela	1.8	15.9	28.4
South America	41.8	83.4	96.9
West Coast of Africa	41.0	15.0	1.4
Gulf of Mexico	17.2	1.6	1.7

Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
--------------	--------	--------	--------

63

Table of Contents*Argentine Activities*

We operate in Argentina mainly through our subsidiary PESA – Petrobras Energía S.A. (ADR: PZE), in which we have a 67.2% interest.

As of December 31, 2006, our combined crude oil and natural gas proved reserves in Argentina were approximately 311 million barrels of oil equivalent, approximately 60.5% of which were proved developed reserves and approximately 39.5% of which were proved undeveloped reserves.

PESA's production in Argentina is concentrated in the Neuquén and Austral Basins. PESA holds 670 thousand net acres under production concessions in the Neuquén Basin and 2,456 thousand net acres under production concessions in the Austral Basin. Our gross production acreage in Argentina amounted to 4,807 thousand acres (3,233 thousand net). For the year ended December 31, 2006, combined crude oil and natural gas production in Argentina averaged 107.9 thousand barrels of oil equivalent per day.

In the downstream segment we have refining capacity of 81 thousand barrels per day, distributed in two refineries operating with a throughput rate of 78%. We also have a 28.5% interest in Refinería Del Norte which we operate. We also participate in the retail sector in Argentina, where we currently own 719 retail service stations that operate under the brand names Petrobras (492 stations), Eg3 (190 stations) and San Lorenzo (37 stations).

We also participate, through PESA, in petrochemical businesses, in which we have three plants, Puerto General San Martín, Zarate and Campana in Argentina, where we also have a 40% participation in Petroquímica Cuyo. PESA also owns a petrochemical integrated complex for the production of ethylbenzene, styrene, and polystyrene plant in Brazil, INNOVA, a wholly-owned subsidiary of PESA.

Project MEGA

We own a 34% participation in Compañía MEGA S.A. (representing a total investment of U.S.\$80.3 million), a joint venture with Repsol-YPF and Dow Chemical to fractionate natural gas liquids. The company consists of a natural gas processing plant in Loma La Lata (Province of Neuquén), a 600 km long pipeline, a separation plant, port, storage and effluent treatment facilities in Bahía Blanca (Province of Buenos Aires). We are obligated under an off-take contract to take minimum volumes of LPG and natural gasoline, if delivered, at market prices.

Other interests of PESA

In the gas and power sector, we participate, through PESA, as a co-controlling shareholder in TGS, which owns approximately a 7,500 km extension pipeline with current firm contracted transport capacity of 71.6 MMcmd and a gas processing plant located in Bahía Blanca, with a processing capacity of 43 MMcmd.

Regarding our electricity assets in Argentina, we cover the entire production chain, accounting for 6.5% of the country's electricity generation through ownership interests in two generation plants, Pichi Picún Leufú (hydroelectric generation) and Genelba (gas-fired power generation). We also have an indirect interest in Transener, Argentina's largest transmission company and owner of 95% of Argentina's high-tension network. In June 2006, PESA's Board of Directors accepted the terms of the binding offer submitted by Eton Park Capital Management for the acquisition of our 50% equity interest in Citelec and, as part of this offer, our 22.22% interest in Yacylec. In August 2006, Petrobras Energía entered into a stock purchase agreement with EP Primrose Spain S.L. (a company controlled by Eton Park Capital Management) with respect to Eton Park's offer. Under the terms of the stock purchase agreement and the terms of Petrobras Energía's divestment commitment, the consummation of the transaction with Eton Park was subject to the approval by the pertinent regulatory agencies and authorities. On February 9, 2007, the Argentine Antitrust Commission issued a resolution rejecting the sale of Citelec shares to Eton Park Capital Management. In March 2007, Petrobras Energía received an offer from Energía Argentina S.A. (Enarsa) and Electroingeniería S.A. for the purchase of its shares in Citelec and Yacylec, proposing legal, economic and financial conditions identical to those previously agreed with Eton Park Capital Management. As a result of this offer, a letter of agreement was executed subject to approval by the Board of Directors of Petrobras Energía, Enarsa and Electroingeniería. The letter of agreement provides that the offer will be accepted if the rejection of EP Primrose Spain S.L.'s proposed transaction becomes final through administrative or legal proceedings or if the agreement

Table of Contents

entered into with EP Primrose Spain S.L. were terminated for failure to obtain all required governmental authorizations. We also maintain an important presence in the central area of Buenos Aires, an area with more than 2.1 million customers, through Edesur, Argentina's largest energy distribution company by volume.

Bolivian Activities

As of December 31, 2006, our combined crude oil and natural gas proved reserves in Bolivia were approximately 214.7 million barrels of oil equivalent, approximately 96.8% of which were proved developed reserves. We drilled one exploration well in Bolivia in 2006, but we found that it was not commercially feasible. In 2006, we also started drilling a development well in the Sábalo Field, located in the San Antonio block. This operation will continue in 2007. For the year ended December 31, 2006, our combined crude oil and natural gas production in Bolivia averaged 57.0 thousand barrels of oil equivalent per day.

On May 1, 2006, the Bolivian government established by decree that the state-owned YPFB will become a partner in every asset belonging to the oil and gas sector.

With respect to our operations in Exploration and Production, we have a 35% interest in the San Alberto and San Antonio Fields (the other partners are Empresa Petrolera Andina (50%) and Total Bolivia (15%)). During the transition period, we were involved in intense negotiations with YPFB and the Bolivian government, resulting in the signing of new operational contracts with YPFB in October 2006. These new operational contracts provide that (i) all hydrocarbon resources are property of YPFB, (ii) we maintain our status as operator of the oil and gas fields but sales must be made through YPFB, and (iii) we have the right to recover our costs and participate in the profits generated by the production. The contracts became effective in May 2007.

In terms of our two refineries, the decree established the transfer of 50% plus 1 share to YPFB and the remaining 49.9% to be retained by us. However, in May 2007, after intense negotiations with the Bolivian government, we reached an agreement with YPFB, in which we will receive U.S.\$112 million for all of our shares of PBR. A transition period of two months has been defined in which the transfer of the operations and the shares have to take place as well as the payment, which is set to be made in two parts.

Since the nationalization measures were first announced by the Bolivian government, we and the Bolivian government have had disagreements in connection with the terms of the GSA. The Bolivian government attempted to increase the gas prices under the agreement but currently has agreed to maintain the prices at the levels originally provided for in the agreement, except for a premium for those volumes with calorific power higher than 8,900 kcal/m³, for which a new price formula has, based on international market prices, yet to be negotiated.

YPFB also became the sole distributor of refined oil and gas products in Bolivia, and we ceased our activities in this area. We continue to own Petrobras Bolivia Distribución, or PDB, a company with a former network of 104 service stations, but which currently retains ownership of only 26 of these stations.

For further information on our Bolivian activities, see Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Operations³⁴ The recent nationalization measures taken by the Bolivian and Venezuelan governments may have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Venezuelan Activities

In March 2006, Petrobras Energía S.A. (PESA), Petróleos de Venezuela S.A. (PDVSA), and Corporación Venezolana del Petróleo S.A. (CVP) entered into several Memoranda of Understanding in order to migrate the Operating Services Agreements to majority state-owned companies (*empresas mistas*, or mixed companies), which had the effect of limiting private participation in the oil business in Venezuela. The economic effects of the migration came into force starting April 1, 2006.

As of December 31, 2006, estimated proved oil and gas reserves attributable to PESA's operations in Venezuela amounted to 78.6 million barrels of oil equivalent, accounting for 14.1% of PESA's total reserves. Estimated proved oil and gas reserves attributable to the company's operations in Venezuela are calculated on the basis of the contractual structure in force as of such date. In 2006, we drilled one dry hole exploration well in Venezuela.

Table of Contents

In March 2006, PESA entered into several Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) in order to migrate the Operating Services Agreements of the Oritupano Leona, La Concepción, Acema and Mata Areas, to majority state-owned companies (mixed companies). As a consequence of the migration process, PESA's role of operator was changed to that of a minority shareholder of the mixed companies. The new operator is the mixed company itself. Pursuant to the abovementioned MOUs, interest of private investors in the mixed companies was limited to 40%, with the Venezuelan Government holding a 60% interest. PESA's direct and indirect interest in the Oritupano-Leona, La Concepción, Acema and Mata areas was set at 22%, 36%, 34.5% and 34.5%, respectively. Before April 1, 2006, PESA's indirect interest in the Oritupano-Leona, La Concepción, Acema and Mata areas was 55%, 90%, 86.2% and 86.2%, respectively.

The MOUs established that CVP will recognize a divisible and transferable credit in favor of the private companies that will compose the partially state-owned companies. PESA was awarded a credit in the amount of U.S.\$88.5 million. This credit can be assigned but it will not bear interest and may only be used for future investments in oil and gas exploration, development or production activities in Venezuela.

Compliance with the terms of the MOUs was subject to approval by the relevant authorities, including the National Assembly, as specified below, and PESA's Board of Directors.

The organization of the majority state-owned companies and the terms and conditions governing the performance of primary activities thereby, were indeed approved by the Venezuelan Ministry of Energy and Petroleum and the Venezuelan National Assembly, as well as by PESA's Board of Directors.

Other Activities and relevant facts regarding our operations in Venezuela

In February 2005, as part of the cooperation strategy between Brazil and Venezuela, the two countries signed 14 Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) designed to develop a series of initiatives and projects to increase assistance and collaboration in the oil and gas sector.

We and PDVSA are the main players in these agreements. To carry out these efforts, we incorporated a separate entity, independent from PESA, called Petrobras Venezuela Inversiones y Servicios S.A. (PEVIS). PEVIS will be entitled to develop all the initiatives, projects and studies supported by the cooperation agreements signed between Brazil and Venezuela, and in addition, PEVIS will serve as a service unit, providing technical expertise and personnel to support projects and/or units where we require this support. PEVIS will also be in charge of finding and developing possible business enterprises located in the local area, in the north of South America and in the Caribbean.

By mid July 2006, PEVIS was formally incorporated and began the process of hiring personnel, mainly from PESA Venezuela. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Operations The recent nationalization measures taken by the Bolivian and Venezuelan governments may have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition for a description of the risks associated with these measures.

During 2006, the Venezuelan projects included:

Carabobo 1

This block is part of the strategy that the Venezuelan government has developed for the exploitation of the vast reserves of extra heavy oil in the Orinoco Belt in the southern part of the country. In this case, the field development is, in principle, tied to a vertically integrated business model that includes the construction of an enhancer complex to produce synthetic crude with better quality as it comes from the extra heavy crude oil, and also the construction of a refinery in the northeast of Brazil (Pernambuco state) to which part of the production from the enhancer complex will be allocated.

During 2006, we, through PEVIS, worked with this model through its different stages. Relating to production, during the second semester of the year, a technical team worked on the reserve estimate and the certification of the Carabobo 1 block. This process officially concluded in December 2006 with a reserve certification by a third party. In 2007, a joint technical group of PDVSA's and our engineers began work on a preliminary development plan for the upstream portion of the integrated project. This group has reviewed numerous

Table of Contents

aspects related to wellbore characteristics and drilling, geology and petrophysics, production strategy, facilities, operation and maintenance and a basic layout and distribution of all facilities planned for the field including delivery and points for the fluids. All this work is considered to be preliminary as the definition of the specific area and its limits to be assigned to the mixed company is yet to be determined by the Ministry of Energy and Petroleum (MENPET).

The analysis of the enhancer complex is on hold until PDVSA presents the results of a conceptual visualization study performed by a Canadian consortium.

The basic engineering of the Pernambuco Refinery was conducted in Brazil. The proposed refinery will be built in the city of Suape, and PDVSA will have a 40% share, that is similar to the share that we will have in the Carabobo field. Construction is expected to start in the second semester of 2007.

Currently, PDVSA and the MENPET are in the process of discussing legal details of the Carabobo 1 area and the contracts for this area and for the Pernambuco Refinery

Mariscal Sucre

The studies to develop four offshore gas fields (Río Caribe, Mejillones, Patao and Dragón) in the northeastern part of Venezuela, in association with PDVSA, were conducted in Brazil. The studies were performed during 2006, and focused on upstream activities. The mid and downstream stages of the project are still in their conceptual stages, with PDVSA responsible for these studies. Although this project is now being carried out in Brazil, PEVIS personnel have been serving as the liaison between Venezuelan and Brazilian officials in order to facilitate this initiative.

Mature Fields

As part of the new business model implemented by PDVSA, the exploitation of developed fields (blocks that have been in operation for several years and in some cases abandoned due to their declining production rate with traditional methods) through incorporated joint ventures (mixed companies) with foreign companies will be projected for several assets in 2007 and 2008.

Due to its geographic location and to particular characteristics that in some ways resemble the fields that PESA operated for ten or more years, PDVSA offered us five fields from its portfolio to be operated by a mixed company between both PDVSA and us.

We and PDVSA studied the fields of Lido, Limon, Nieblas, Adas and La Paz during 2006 in order to create a development strategy for the mixed company. A final project was submitted to our Board of Directors in March 2007. At this point PEVIS was authorized to proceed with the negotiations with PDVSA and MENPET in order to create a mixed company to operate these fields. After our proposal, PDVSA required the payment of an entry bonus, a type of downpayment used in the bid, in order to authorize the creation of the mixed company. The negotiations regarding the amount of this bonus are still in progress and results are expected during the second semester of 2007.

Project PT Moruy

This project is being developed through an incorporated joint venture with Teikoku (PT MORUY II, S.A.), giving equal shares to each company, to explore one offshore block in the Venezuelan Gulf called Moruy II, for the production of non-associated gas. The rights to explore and develop this block were obtained in an open bid organized by MENPET in November 2005. In February 2006, a Gas License was granted to PT MORUY II, S.A. and all the studies officially started.

The license, under the Venezuelan law for gaseous hydrocarbons, entitles the licensee to explore and develop the area if commercial resources of free gas are found. The joint venture has a firm commitment to perform a seismic survey in the area and the drilling of one exploratory well over a period of 30 months.

Table of Contents

During 2006, several studies were performed to design the required seismic survey and the process for implementing the survey, which were finished in the third quarter of 2006. In January 2007, the vessel to perform seismic studies arrived in the Moruy II block, and all the necessary permits and licenses were obtained.

The 3D seismic acquisition was performed between January and March 2007, completing the entire scope of the study in accordance with the budget and time allotted, with the required quality and without any environmental incident or any issue raised by the surrounding communities. Currently the interpretation of the seismic data, development of technical studies associated with environmental licenses, and the planning of all the activities related to the drilling of the exploratory well are being conducted as planned.

Other relevant facts during 2007

As an extension of all the activities and milestones accomplished in 2006, in 2007 PEVIS has taken a series of actions to move forward into the development of its projects in Venezuela and also in allocating technical personnel to some of our localities in order to accommodate the human resources demand from several of the corporate ventures in Brazil and abroad.

Ecuadorian Activities

In Ecuador, our subsidiary, Petrobras Energía, or PESA, operates Blocks 18 and 31. As of December 31, 2006, we had interests, through PESA, of 30% and 60% in Blocks 18 and 31, respectively.

Block 18 is located in the Oriente basin of Ecuador, having a significant potential of 28° to 33° API light crude oil reserves. The concession for production activities in Block 18 is for an initial 20-year term from October 2002. Once this term expires, Ecuadorian hydrocarbon laws provides for the possibility of an additional five-year extension period.

Block 18 has 25 productive wells, of which 3 are located at the Pata field and 22 are located at the Palo Azul field. No exploration wells were drilled in Ecuador during 2006. In 2006 drilling of 8 productive wells resulted in a significant increase in production. The oil treatment plant and ducts became operational in December 2006 and currently allow the treatment of 40,000 barrels of dry oil per day and increase gross production that had been limited by the former production facilities.

Block 31 is located in a highly sensitive ecological area of the Amazon jungle in the central part of the eastern border of the upper Amazon basin and covers an area of 494 thousand net acres. Pursuant to the block's production sharing agreement between Petroecuador and PESA, Petroecuador is entitled to a crude oil production share ranging between 12.5% and 18.5%, depending on the field's daily crude oil production and crude oil gravity.

PESA also conducted extensive exploratory work in Block 31, including the drilling of four exploratory wells, which led to the discovery of the Apaika/Nenke, Obe, and Minta fields.

In August 2004, the Ecuadorian Government approved an environmental impact study, but due to limitations imposed by the Ministry of the Environment in Ecuador (MAE) relating to works within Parque Nacional Yasuní, works were temporarily suspended. Petrobras Energía Ecuador, MAE and the Ministry of Energy and Mines of Ecuador are working to agree on a new development plan for Block 31. Based on the proposal submitted by the Company, the new development project associated with the Apaika and Nenke fields will minimize impact on Parque Nacional Yasuní. PESA will use cutting-edge technology in connection with oil production and environmental protection, this certainly being an example of integration between oil production activities and nature.

Regarding the exploitation of Blocks 18 and 31, PESA signed an agreement with OCP (Oleoducto de Crudos Pesados), whereby an oil transportation capacity of 80,000 bbl/d is secured for a 15-year term, starting November 10, 2003.

On January 11, 2007, PESA obtained approval from the Ecuadorian Government, for the sales agreement by which it will transfer 40% of its rights and interest in Blocks 18 and 31 and the corresponding rights and obligations, including in the OCP, to Teikoku Oil Co. As a result of this authorization, the parties are currently in the process of completing the necessary formalities, including the necessary steps towards obtaining amendments to the

Table of Contents

participation contracts, in order to incorporate Teikoku as a partner in the agreements for Blocks 18 and 31. Once the amendments are finalized, the terms and economic conditions of the Teikoku transaction will go into effect. See International Ecuadorian Activities.

As of December 31, 2006, PESA's crude oil proved reserves in Ecuador were approximately 53.9 million of barrels of oil and its oil production averaged 11.9 thousand barrels per day.

Peruvian Activities

As of December 31, 2006, PESA's combined crude oil and natural gas proved reserves in Peru were approximately 88 million of barrels of oil equivalent and PESA's combined oil and gas production averaged 14.5 thousand barrels per day.

In May 2004, PESA entered into a contract with Repsol Exploración Perú S.A. to perform certain exploration activities jointly in Block 57, which is located in the Ucayali basin. Pursuant to this contract, PESA participates in Block 57 with a 35.15% interest. As of November 2004, PESA entered into an agreement with Occidental for the assignment to Petrobras Energía de Perú S.A. of 30% of the rights in the License Agreement for Hydrocarbon Exploration and Production in Lote 103.

In 2005, PESA entered into license agreements for hydrocarbon exploration and production in Lote 58 and Lote 110 at the Ucayali Basin (adjacent to Camisea) and in Lote 112 at the Marañón Basin. Perupetro has recently awarded Petrobras Energía del Perú S.A Lote 117 located at the Marañón Basin.

In 2006, we drilled 45 wells and performed 219 workovers. In addition, we extended our secondary recovery project, with 6 conversions of producing wells to injectors. As a result, investments for the year totaled approximately U.S.\$64 million.

Uruguayan Activities

In December 2004, we entered the Uruguayan market through the acquisition of 55% of the voting shares of Conecta S/A, which is one of the two local natural gas distribution companies operating in Uruguay, for U.S.\$3.2 million. The other 45% of the Conecta's voting shares remains with the state-owned Administración Nacional de Combustibles Alcohol y Portland ANCAP.

Conecta has exclusive rights to supply small to medium-size consumers with a demand of up to 5,000 cmpd, and operates in small cities in Uruguay such as, Paysandu, Ciudad de la Costa y Colonia, selling 57,000 cmpd. Conecta's revenues in 2006 were U.S.\$5.6 million.

In June of 2006, we finished the acquisition of 66% of the shares of Gaseba Uruguay Grupo Gaz de France S.A. (Gaseba), a natural gas distribution concession in Montevideo, Uruguay. The company is now changing its name to Distribuidora de Gas de Montevideo Grupo Petrobras. Gaseba has exclusive rights to supply small to medium-size consumers with a demand of up to 5,000 cmpd, and operates in the Montevideo area, selling 133,000 cmpd. Gaseba revenues under our management in 2006 were U.S.\$15.1 million.

Also in June of 2006, we completed the acquisition of Shell's fuel and lubricant retail and commercial businesses in Uruguay. The company now controls 89 services stations, and installations for aviation fuel and asphalt, and a marine fuels business. Under our management in 2006, Petrobras Uruguay Distribución SA had U.S.\$168.9 million in revenues, selling 199 thousand cubic meters of products.

Paraguayan Activities

In March of 2006, we completed the acquisition of Shell's fuel and lubricant retail and commercial businesses in Paraguay. The Company now controls 131 services stations, with 45 convenience stores, installations for aviation fuel supply and one LPG refueling plant. In 2006, under our management, Petrobras Paraguay Distribución Ltd had U.S.\$171 million in revenues, selling 234 thousand cubic meters of products.

Table of Contents

Colombian Activities

In 2006, the National Hydrocarbons Agency and Ecopetrol approved the farm-in contract signed in 2005 with Hocol, which allowed us to acquire concession rights in the Upar, San Jacinto, Rio Paez, Achira and Rio Cabrera blocks.

In 2006, we had interests in ten exploration contracts and seven production contracts in Colombia, including the recently acquired Tibu field, the contract for which was agreed upon with Ecopetrol in December 2006. We are the operating company in twelve of these contracts.

As of December 31, 2006, our combined crude oil and natural gas proved reserves in Colombia were approximately 35.5 million of barrels of oil equivalent and our combined oil and gas production averaged 16.9 thousand barrels per day.

At the end of 2006, we had control over 47 service stations and 17 convenience stores in Colombia, all of which now use our new brand image.

We carried out seismic studies in Block Tayrona, a 22,346km² offshore block in the Caribbean Sea of Colombia, in association with Exxon and Ecopetrol. We are the operator of the concession during the exploration phase.

U.S. Gulf of Mexico Activities

Petrobras America, Inc., or PAI, our wholly-owned subsidiary, continues to expand its activities in the Gulf of Mexico's deep and ultra-deep waters either through farm-in agreements (by which PAI, rather than obtaining an interest directly from the relevant government authorities, acquires an interest from a party who has already obtained such interest), or participation in Lease Sales conducted by the United States Minerals Management Service (the U.S. industry regulator). As of December 31, 2006, PAI held interests in 319 offshore blocks in the Gulf of Mexico from shallow to ultra-deep waters and 1 onshore block, 170 of which were operated by our subsidiary.

As a result of its participation in Gulf of Mexico Lease Sale 198 and 200 in 2006, Petrobras was awarded a total of 43 exploration blocks: 37 blocks strengthened its position in deep and ultra-deep oil prospects while 6 blocks create a strong coverage in the westernmost part of the Gulf, where we now hold full control over 10 prospects with good potential for gas.

The average production in the Gulf of Mexico reached only 4.0 thousand barrels of oil equivalent per day (boed), approximately 65% of the target, mainly due to operational matters relating to the ongoing effects of Hurricanes Rita and Katrina, which occurred at the end of 2005.

In August 2006, we announced the acquisition of 25% participation of the Cascade Field and 26.67% participation of the Chinook field from BHP Billiton. PAI also agreed to buy the 15% participation from Hess in the Chinook Field. Since then, PAI has held 50% and 66.67% in Cascade and Chinook, respectively, and is the current operator of the two field developments. In December 2006, PAI announced the approval of the Conceptual Plan for the development of Cascade and Chinook from the United States Minerals Management Service (MMS). The plan includes the deployment of the first Floating, Production, Storage and Offloading (FPSO) facility in the Gulf of Mexico. PAI has proposed the use of six technologies which are new to the U.S. Gulf of Mexico including a disconnectable turret buoy allowing the FPSO to move offsite during hurricanes and severe weather conditions, crude transportation via shuttle tanker, free-standing hybrid risers, underwater electric submersible pumps, torpedo pile vertical loaded anchors and polyester mooring systems.

In September 2006, we announced the closing of the acquisition by PAI of 50% of Pasadena Refining System Inc. (PRSI), formerly the Crown Refinery in Pasadena Texas from Astra Oil Company, a U.S.-based refining and trading company owned by the Belgian group Compagnie Nationale a Portefeuille SA-CNP. The purchase price was approximately U.S.\$416 million. PAI and Astra are conducting studies to expand its capacity and install units that will enable it to process heavy oils and deliver high quality products.

Table of Contents

In February 2007, we announced that the first well of the Cottonwood Field started production with initial output of 1.1 million cubic meters of gas and 4,000 barrels of light oil (condensate) per day. A second well started production in March, boosting gas production to 2 million cubic meters per day. Together, the two wells will take the field production to about 20,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day. Cottonwood is the biggest Petrobras America field in production, leading PAI production to surpass 25,000 barrels of oil equivalent per day (boed) during 2007, up from the current 4,000 boed. This is the first deepwater field we have developed and put into production abroad as an operator. PAI holds 100% of Cottonwood Field, following the acquisition of 20% from Mariner Energy Inc. in November 2006. This event marks our return, as an operator, to the Gulf of Mexico.

Mexican Activities

In 2003, as part of the bidding launched by Petr leos Mexicanos (PEMEX) for the operation of areas under multiple service contracts, contracts for the Cuervito and Fronterizo blocks were awarded to a joint venture composed of us (45% interest), the Japanese company Teikoku (40%) and the Mexican company Diavaz (15%). There are 12 gas discoveries in this block, which shall be developed with a total expenditure of U.S.\$510 million.

In 2006, this operation obtained the process certification, Development, infrastructure and maintenance for activities in non-associate gas fields, according to ISO 14001 and OHSAS 18001.

African Activities

We have interests in four blocks in Nigeria, OML-127, OML-130, OPL-324 and OPL-315. We are partners in the Agbami Field, in the OML127 block, operated by Chevron, which is presently in the development phase. First, oil is estimated to occur in mid 2008, from an FPSO with a production capacity of 250,000 bpd. We also have a participation in the Akpo field, in the OML-130 block, operated by Total, with production scheduled to commence at the end of 2008, also by the means of a FPSO (185,000 bpd), which is now under construction. In 2006, we drilled 6 development wells in the Agbami field and 6 in the Akpo field. Agbami and Akpo are both considered to be world class oil fields and we expect our share in their production to correspond to an aggregate of 67,000 bpd at their peak. Two other discoveries are under appraisal on Block OML-130: the Egina and Preowey fields, where we drilled three successful extension wells in 2006. During 2006 we also drilled an exploration well, known as a wildcat dry hole, in the OML-130 Block.

We are the operating company in two other exploration blocks in Nigeria. In OPL-324, with ExxonMobil and Statoil as partners, we drilled one exploration well in 2006, fulfilling the exploration commitment for the second contractual phase, which ends in December 2008. Our participation in Block OPL-315 was acquired in the last Nigerian Bid Round, held in August 2005. The Production Sharing Agreement with NNPC was signed in February 2006. Our partners in this venture are Statoil and Ask Petroleum.

We withdrew from the exploration block OPL-250 and we are the operating company in two other exploration blocks, OPL-324 and OPL-315. In 2006 we drilled one dry hole exploration well in Block 324. Participation in Block OPL-315 was acquired in the last Nigerian Bid Round, held in August 2005 and the first exploration well is expected to be drilled in 2007.

In 2006, in Equatorial Guinea, we had a 50% participation in the drilling of a deepwater well operated by Chevron in Block L, which turned out to be dry. Chevron and Hess terminated operations in Block L, while we and our other partners, Tollow Oil and Sasol, awaited a decision from the government of Equatorial Guinea regarding the request for a three years extension of the current exploration phase concession, with additional seismic coverage as commitment. Our participation in Block L would increase to 67%, and we would be the operator.

On March 12, 2005, we signed an exploration and joint production agreement with Libya's state-owned National Oil Corporation (NOC). This agreement provides for the exploration of four blocks in Area 18, which have an extension of 10,307 square kilometers and are located in the Mediterranean Sea at water depths of 200 to 700 meters. We own a 70% interest in a consortium with Oil Search Limited (OSL) and will be the operating company in the area. Under the agreement, the exploration phase will last five years and may be extended for 25 more years if discoveries are made. We will be required to drill a well and conduct seismic evaluations.

Table of Contents

The Angolan branch of our wholly-owned subsidiary, Petrobras International Braspetro B.V., has continued to perform as a non-operating partner in two licenses under petroleum sharing agreements. No exploratory drilling was carried out in Angola during 2006. As of December 31, 2006, our combined crude oil and natural gas proved reserves in Angola were approximately 7.4 million of barrels of oil equivalent. For the year 2006, oil production averaged 5.37 thousand barrels per day.

We recently participated in three bidding rounds promoted by Angolan government in 2006 and acquired interests in 4 exploration blocks offshore Angola: deep water Blocks 15/06, 18/06 and 26, being the operator in the latter two, and shallow water Block 6, also holding the operatorship. Drilling activity in such blocks shall begin not earlier than 2008. Block 18/06 is the remaining area of Block 18, operated by BP. Likewise Block 18/06 which is the remaining area of Block 15/06, operated by Exxon.

In Tanzania, we acquired and processed new seismic data in Blocks 5 and 6, located in the deep and ultra-deep waters of the Mafia Basin. The Production sharing agreement for Block 6 (11,099 km²) was signed in December, which together with Block 5, amounts to 20.3 thousand km². We hold 100% of the rights and the operating right to both blocks. Depending on the studies now underway, we may enter a partnership in Block 5 to go to the next phase in securing an exploration license and drilling the first well in that Block.

We acquired a 17% interest in the Zambezi Delta Block in Mozambique, an area of 45,000 km² located offshore of Mozambique. The Company is committed to drilling a well in 2007. We are also expecting a seismic study for this year.

In November 2006, we also signed a Memorandum of Understanding with a local state company named Empresa Nacional de Hidrocarbonetos (ENH), in order to jointly undertake E&P studies and biofuel related activities in the country, as well as professional training.

Middle East Activities

In Iran we have a company called Petrobras Middle East B.V. (PEMID) and the principal activity is oil exploration and production. On July 14, 2004, PEMID signed with the National Iranian Oil Company, or NIOC, a Service Contract relating to exploration operation in the TUSAN block in shallow waters of the Persian Gulf, or the Contract Area. The exploration period under the Contract shall be 3.5 years. The operations authorized by NIOC to be carried out by PEMID are mainly related to exploration and appraisal for petroleum by topographical, geological, geophysical and other methods including seismic acquisition, drilling and all other activities normally associated with exploration in the Contract Area. We own a 100% interest in this block. The exploration will be carried out by PEMID, which was organized in October 2004. The first exploration well of the Tusan Block was drilled at the end of 2006. During 2006, we also evaluated other exploration opportunities in the Middle East.

According to the terms of the Contract, during the exploration period a minimum amount of U.S.\$32.2 million must be spent and if the Contract enters into optional exploration period, a further minimum amount of U.S.\$10.0 million must be spent for exploration operations in the Contract Area. On December 20, 2005, the Company received approval from NIOC to spend up to \$U.S.77.8 million. Furthermore, the total expenditures incurred would be recoverable from NIOC only if the exploration operations result in commercial discovery.

Turkey Activities

In Turkey, we have a company called Petrobras Oil & Gas (PO&G) and we formed a partnership with the Turkish National Oil Company, Turkiye Petrolleri Anonim Ortaklig (TPAO) to explore and produce oil in two blocks with significant reserve potential in the Black Sea. The first is named the Kirklarelli block (License 3920), located in the western part of the Turkish portion of the Black Sea at a water depth of 1,200 meters. The second is called the Sinop block (License 3922), located in the central part of the Black Sea at a depth of 2,200 meters underwater. The two Joint Operating Agreements (JOA) were signed on August 17, 2006 and validated by the Turkish government on December 27, 2006. According to our completed technical evaluation, the two blocks that we purchased are the ones that present the best geological possibilities.

Table of Contents

At the end of February 2007, we finished our 3D seismic survey commitment for both blocks. Pursuant to our drilling commitment, we are looking for drilling services for the second quarter of 2009. In this regard, we signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on March 2007, in connection with our drilling commitment.

We were the winner of two of the three blocks offered in the bidding process for deepwater exploration and production in the Black Sea held by the TPAO.

PifCo

PifCo was established on September 24, 1997 as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Braspetro Oil Services Company, or Brasoil, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Petrobras Internacional S.A. (Braspetro), which has since been absorbed by us. PifCo was initially incorporated under the name Brasoil Finance Company, which was changed by special resolution of PifCo's Sole Shareholder to Petrobras International Finance Company on September 25, 1997. On January 14, 2000, the board of directors of Braspetro as well as our board of directors approved the transfer of 100% of PifCo's voting shares from Brasoil to us. Since April 1, 2000, PifCo has been our wholly-owned subsidiary. On May 7, 2007, we replaced the existing Memorandum and Articles of Association in its entirety, with a new amended and restated Memorandum and Articles of Association. PifCo is a tax exempt company incorporated with limited liability under the laws of the Cayman Islands. PifCo's registered office is located at Harbour Place, 103 South Church Street, 4th floor, George Town, Grand Cayman, Cayman Islands, and PifCo's telephone number is 55-21-2240-1258. We are in the process of revising the current Memorandum and Articles of Association to increase the capital stock and to amend the stated purpose of PifCo. See Item 19 for a description of Exhibit 1.2 to this Annual Report.

PifCo Business Overview

PifCo was incorporated in order to facilitate and finance the import of crude oil and oil products by us into Brazil. Accordingly, PifCo's primary function is to act as an intermediary between third-party oil suppliers and us by engaging in crude oil and oil product purchases from international suppliers and reselling crude oil and oil products in U.S. dollars to us on a deferred payment basis, at a price which includes a premium to compensate PifCo for its financing costs. PifCo is generally able to obtain credit to finance purchases on the same terms granted to us, and PifCo buys crude oil and oil products at the same price that suppliers would charge us directly.

As part of our strategy to expand our international operations and facilitate our access to international capital markets, PifCo engages in borrowings in international capital markets supported by us, primarily through standby purchase agreements of the related securities.

In addition, PifCo also engages in a number of activities that are conducted by four wholly-owned subsidiaries:

Petrobras Europe Limited, or PEL, a United Kingdom company that acts as an agent and advisor in connection with our trading activities in Europe, the Middle East, the Far East and North Africa;

Petrobras Finance Limited, or PFL, a Cayman Islands company, that carries out a financing program supported by future sales of fuel oil;

Bear Insurance Company Limited, or BEAR, a company incorporated in Bermuda that contracts insurance for us and our subsidiaries; and

Petrobras Singapore Private Limited, or PSPL, a company incorporated in Singapore to trade crude oil and oil products in connection with our trading activities in Asia. This company initiated its operations in July 2006.

As part of our restructuring of our international business segment, in January 2003, PifCo transferred to us Petrobras Netherlands B.V., or PNBV, a Dutch company engaged in leasing activities of primarily offshore equipment to be used by us for exploration and production of crude oil and natural gas. PNBV became our directly wholly-owned subsidiary, effective as of January 2003.

Table of Contents

Beginning in 2004, as part of our restructuring of our offshore subsidiaries in order to centralize trading operations, PifCo has engaged in limited exports of oil and oil products and has begun to store oil and oil products in Asia.

PifCo's Principal Commercial Activities

PifCo's principal activity is the purchase of crude oil and oil products for resale to us and, to a limited extent, third parties. PifCo acquires substantially all of its crude oil and oil products either through purchases on the spot market or short-term supply contracts. PifCo acquires a small portion of its crude oil and oil products through long-term supply contracts. PifCo's crude oil and oil product purchase obligations are, in most instances, guaranteed by us. PifCo sells the products to us at the purchase price it paid, plus a premium, determined in accordance with a formula designed to pass on PifCo's average costs of capital to us.

In addition, PifCo finances its oil trading activities principally from commercial banks, including lines of credit and commercial paper programs, as well as through inter-company loans from us and the issuance of notes in the international capital markets.

The following chart illustrates how PifCo acts as the intermediary between international crude oil suppliers and us.

PifCo purchases crude oil and oil products from international oil suppliers on a free-on-board (F.O.B.) basis under standard terms that traditionally require payment within 30 days from the bill of lading. Before February 2005, we bought crude oil and oil products from PifCo under terms that allowed for payment up to 270 days from the date of the bill of lading. Since February 2005, we began to buy crude oil and oil products from PifCo under terms that allow for payment up to 330 days from the date of the bill of lading. We would typically be unable to meet the 30-day payment term imposed by international suppliers because of the complexity of Brazilian customs and importing regulations. For example, if a shipment to which a bill of lading relates must be delivered to different parts of Brazil, different sets of documents must be delivered to each delivery point. Depending on the unloading ports' locations, this process may be completed up to 120 days from the vessel's departure. Because PifCo is not subject to the Brazilian regulations applicable to us, PifCo can pay the international supplier on time without having to produce these different sets of documents. To cover its financing costs, PifCo includes a premium when it sells crude oil and oil products to us.

PifCo's subsidiaries are:

Petrobras Europe Limited (PEL)

In May 2001, PifCo established PEL, a wholly-owned subsidiary incorporated and based in the United Kingdom, to consolidate our trade activities in Europe, the Middle East, the Far East and North Africa. These

Table of Contents

activities consist of advising on, and negotiating the terms and conditions for, crude oil and oil products supplied to PifCo and us, as well as marketing Brazilian crude oil and crude oil products exported to the geographic areas in which PEL operates. PEL plays an advisory role in connection with these activities and undertakes no direct or additional commercial or financial risk. PEL provides these advisory and marketing services as an independent contractor, pursuant to a services agreement between PEL and us. In exchange, we compensate PEL for all costs incurred in connection with these activities, plus a margin.

Petrobras Finance Limited (PFL)

In December 2001, PifCo established PFL, a wholly-owned subsidiary incorporated and registered in the Cayman Islands. PFL primarily purchases fuel oil from us and sells the products in the international market in order to generate export receivables to cover its obligations to transfer these receivables to a trust under an exports prepayment program. Until June 1, 2006, PFL also purchased bunker fuel from us. The exports prepayment program helps provide PFL with the funding necessary to purchase oil products from us, as described below.

Bear Insurance Company Limited (BEAR)

In January 2003, PifCo received BEAR from Brasoil. This transaction took place as part of the restructuring of our international business segment. BEAR currently serves as an intermediary for us, advising on, and negotiating the terms and conditions of, certain of our insurance policies.

Petrobras Singapore Private Limited (PSPL)

In April 2006, PifCo incorporated a new wholly-owned subsidiary: Petrobras Singapore Private Limited, or PSPL, a company incorporated in Singapore to trade crude oil and oil products in connection with our trading activities in Asia. This company initiated operations on July 1, 2006.

Exports Prepayment Program

We sell and deliver fuel oil and, subject to certain conditions, other oil products (collectively, the Eligible Products) to PFL under two principal agreements: Master Export Contract and the Prepayment Agreement. Until June 1, 2006, bunker fuel was also an Eligible Product under the Agreement, but was excluded from the Program after a Consent Solicitation approved by the investors on May 23, 2006. The PF Export Receivables Master Trust, or the Trust, was formed under the laws of the Cayman Islands to provide PFL with the funding necessary to purchase Eligible Products from Petrobras and resell these products through the arrangements described below.

On May 21, 2003, the Trust issued to PFL U.S.\$550 million of Senior Trust Certificates (the Series 2003-A Senior Trust Certificates), maturing on June 1, 2015. On the same date, the Trust issued U.S.\$200 million of Senior Trust Certificates (the Series 2003-B Senior Trust Certificates), maturing on June 1, 2013. The Series 2003-A Senior Trust Certificates, along with the Series 2003-B Senior Trust Certificates, represent senior undivided beneficial interests in the property of the Trust (other than certain charitable property held by the Trust).

On the same date, the Trust also issued to PFL U.S.\$110 million in Series 2003-A Junior Trust Certificates and U.S.\$40 million in Series 2003-B Junior Trust Certificates (collectively, the Series 2003 Junior Trust Certificates. The Series 2003 Junior Trust Certificates represent junior subordinated undivided beneficial interests in the property of the Trust (other than the charitable property).

The series 2003-A Senior Trust Certificates, the 2003-B Senior Trust Certificates and the 2003-A Junior Trust Certificates, the 2003-B Junior Trust Certificates are referred to collectively as series 2003 Trust Certificates.

PFL agreed to transfer the Trustee, in return for the Series 2003 Senior Trust Certificates and Series 2003 Junior Trust Certificates, the right to an additional specified amount of receivables to be generated from PFL's sale of Eligible Products with a value equal to the aggregate amount scheduled to be paid in respect of the Series 2003 Senior Trust Certificates and the Series 2003 Junior Trust Certificates. The value of receivables scheduled to be designated for sale in any quarterly period represents a portion, but not all, of the receivables expected to be generated from the sale of Eligible Products by PFL in such period. The remainder of such receivables remains the property of PFL.

Table of Contents

The timely payment of interest on, and scheduled principal of, the Series 2003-B Senior Trust Certificates is unconditionally and irrevocably guaranteed under a financial guaranty insurance policy issued by MBIA Insurance Corporation. The Series 2003-A Senior Trust Certificates do not have the benefit of any financial guaranty insurance policy.

In addition to the Series 2003 Senior Trust Certificates currently outstanding, additional series of senior trust certificates (which may or may not benefit from a financial guaranty insurance policy) may be issued to PFL from time to time if Petrobras agrees to sell additional Eligible Products to PFL in an amount that is adequate to make all required payments under the additional series of senior trust certificates and certain other conditions are met.

The other Senior Trust Certificates, issued in 2001, were prepaid. PFL prepaid the floating rate Senior Trust Certificates (Series 2001-A2 and 2001-C) on September 1, 2005 and the fixed rate Senior Trust Certificates (Series 2001-A1 and 2001-B) on March 1, 2006, in accordance with the applicable provisions of the governing agreements.

Petrobras Bunker Fuel and Fuel Oil Business

As described above, PFL, a wholly-owned subsidiary of PifCo, purchases fuel oil from us and sells the products in the international market in order to generate export receivables to cover its obligations under the exports prepayment program. Until June 1, 2006, PFL also purchased bunker fuel from us but since then we have been selling bunker fuel in the international market directly and this product is no longer subject to our exports prepayment program.

Bunker fuel is a common term for marine fuels that are burned in the boilers or engines of ships. We produce and export two types of bunker fuel: intermediate fuel oil or marine fuel (for ships' main engines and, occasionally, auxiliary engines) and marine diesel fuel or marine gas oil (for auxiliary engines and main engines of military vessels).

Our bunker fuel production in 2006 was 29,629 Mbbl, as compared to 28,000 Mbbl in 2005 and 27,425 Mbbl in 2004. Our total bunker fuel production totaled 141,664 Mbbl for the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2006. We export approximately 80% of the bunker fuel we produce, with the exception of bunker fuel used by our fleet. Bunker fuel that we sell in Brazil to ships owned by non-Brazilian companies is considered an export under Brazilian regulations.

PETROBRAS ANNUAL BUNKER FUEL PRODUCTION

	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
			(Mbbl)		
Export	23,588	22,948	22,452	21,402	23,653
Domestic Consumption	1,614	1,313	1,061	1,048	1,620
Petrobras Fleet	4,427	3,739	3,912	4,291	4,596
Total	29,629	28,000	27,425	26,741	29,869

Fuel oil originates from residual fractions of distillation units at the refinery and from other processes such as de-asphalting. Diluents in the form of lighter cutter stocks are mixed into the residue pool to create the desired viscosity for different types of fuel oil.

Major buyers of our fuel oil include utilities, refineries and traders. Fuel oil is used by industries and utilities to run machinery and generate electricity. Commercial buildings and homes employ fuel oil for heating purposes, and refineries use fuel oil for blending purposes.

Fuel Oil Export Sales

The following table sets forth our fuel oil export sales for the period from 2002 to 2006:

Table of Contents**FUEL OIL EXPORT SALES**

	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Millions of U.S.\$	1,500.1	1,077.6	1,306.1	967.3	697.0
Millions of Barrels	67.3	25.5	47.5	38.4	30.8

Organizational Structure

All of our 22 direct subsidiaries listed below are incorporated under the laws of Brazil, except PifCo, Petrobras International Braspetro B.V. (PIB BV), Braspetro Oil Company (BOC), Braspetro Oil Services Company (Brasoil) and Petrobras Netherlands B.V. (PNBV), which are incorporated abroad. See Exhibit 8.1 for a complete list of our subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

The following diagram sets forth our significant consolidated subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006:
See Exhibit 8.1 for a complete list of our subsidiaries, including their full names, jurisdictions of incorporation and our percentage equity interest.

Table of Contents**Property, Plants and Equipment***Petrobras*

Under Brazilian law, the Brazilian government owns all crude oil and natural gas reserves within Brazil, and we have certain rights to exploit those reserves pursuant to concessions. The greater part of our property, consisting of refineries and storage, production, manufacturing and transportation facilities, is located in Brazil. Our main owned and leased tangible assets consist of our wells, our platforms, our refining facilities, our pipelines, our vessels and other transportation assets and our power plants. Some of these assets are subject to liens but the value of such encumbered assets is not material. See Item 4. Information on the Company for a description of our reserves, sources of crude oil and natural gas, main tangible assets and material plans for expansion and improvements in our facilities.

PifCo

PifCo does not own or lease any material tangible properties or fixed assets. The majority of PifCo's assets consist of leasehold improvements, computers and furniture. In January 2003, PifCo transferred its subsidiary PNBV to us as part of our restructuring of our subsidiaries according to the areas of business each subsidiary deals in.

Regulation of the Oil and Gas Industry in Brazil*Regulatory Framework*

Under Brazilian law, the Brazilian government owns all crude oil and natural gas reserves in Brazil. In addition, Article 1 of Law No. 2,004 of 1953 granted the Brazilian government a monopoly over the research, exploration, production, refining and transportation of crude oil and oil products in Brazil and its continental shelf, subject only to the right of companies engaged in crude oil refining and the distribution of oil products at that time to continue those activities. Under Article 2 of Law No. 2,004, the Brazilian government made us its exclusive agent for purposes of exploiting the Brazilian government's monopoly. In 1988, when it enacted the current Brazilian Constitution, the Brazilian Congress incorporated Article 1 of Law No. 2,004 into the Constitution and included within the scope of the Brazilian government's monopoly the importation and exportation of crude oil and oil products.

Beginning in 1995, the Brazilian government undertook a comprehensive reform of the country's oil and gas regulatory system. On November 9, 1995, the Brazilian Congress amended the Brazilian Constitution to authorize the Brazilian government to contract with any state or privately-owned company to carry out the activities related to the upstream and downstream segments of the Brazilian oil and gas sector. Accordingly, this amendment made it possible to end our government-granted monopoly. The amendment was implemented by the enactment of the Oil Law No. 9,478, which revoked Law No. 2,004.

The Oil Law provided for the establishment of a new regulatory framework, ending our exclusive agency and enabling competition in all aspects of the oil and gas industry in Brazil. As a result of this constitutional amendment and the subsequent and ongoing implementation of the changes under the Oil Law, its amendments and related regulations, we have been operating in an environment of gradual deregulation and increasing competition.

The Oil Law also created an independent regulatory agency, the *Agência Nacional de Petróleo, Gas, e Combustíveis Renováveis* (ANP). The ANP's function is to regulate the oil, natural gas and renewable fuels industry in Brazil. A primary objective of the ANP is to create a competitive environment for oil and gas activities in Brazil that will lead to the lowest price and best services for consumers. Among its principal responsibilities is to regulate concession terms for upstream development and award new exploration concessions. See Item 10. Additional Information Material Contracts Petrobras Concession Agreements with the ANP.

The Oil Law granted us the exclusive right to exploit the crude oil reserves in all fields where we had previously commenced production, in accordance with the concession agreement entered into with the ANP on August 6, 1998. For each concession area, we were granted an exclusivity period of 27 years as of the date the field was declared to be commercially profitable.

Table of Contents

The Oil Law also established a procedural framework for us to claim exclusive exploratory rights for a period of up to three years, which was later extended to five years, with respect to areas where we could demonstrate that we had established prospects prior to the enactment of the Oil Law. In order to perfect our claim to explore and develop these areas, we had to demonstrate that we had the required financial capacity to carry out these activities, either alone or through other cooperative arrangements.

Each year we are required to submit our capital expenditures budget for the following fiscal year to the Ministry of Planning, Budget and Management and the Ministry of Mines and Energy. Once reviewed by those offices, the capital expenditures budget is then submitted to the Brazilian Congress for approval. As a result of this process, the total level of our capital expenditures for each fiscal year is regulated, although the specific application of funds is left to our discretion. Since mid-1991, we have obtained substantial amounts of our financing from the international capital markets, mainly through the issuance of commercial paper and short, medium and long-term notes, and have increasingly been able to raise long-term funds for large capital expenditure items such as rigs and platforms.

Our strategic objectives and planning are subject to supervision by the Ministry of Planning, Budget and Management. Our activities are also subject to regulation by the Ministry of Finance and the Ministry of Mines and Energy, among others. In addition, since our common and preferred shares and ADSs are traded on the São Paulo Stock Exchange, the New York Stock Exchange, *Mercados del Valores Latinoamericanos en Euros* (LATIBEX) and Buenos Aires Stock Exchange, respectively, we are also regulated by the *Comissão de Valores Mobiliários* (Brazilian Securities Commission, or the CVM), the Securities and Exchange Commission, *Comisión Nacional del Mercado de Valores* (CNMV) and *Comisión Nacional de Valores*, or the CNV, as of April 27, 2006.

Brazil is not a member of OPEC, but we have been invited to attend OPEC meetings as an observer. Therefore, neither Brazil nor we are bound by OPEC guidelines. However, to the extent that OPEC influences international crude oil prices, our prices are affected, as our prices are linked to international crude oil prices.

Price Regulation

Since January 2, 2002, pursuant to Law No. 9,990, and as set forth below, the Brazilian government eliminated price controls for crude oil and oil products, except for the natural gas sold for qualifying gas-fired power plants. This led to increased competition and further price adjustments, as other companies were allowed to participate in the Brazilian market and import and export crude oil, oil products and natural gas to and from Brazil.

Prices remain regulated, however, for certain natural gas sales contracts and electricity.

To permit the taxation of all imported crude oil, oil products and natural gas in conjunction with the opening of the market to all participants, the Brazilian government established an excise tax to be applied with respect to the sale and import of crude oil, oil products and natural gas products (*Contribuição de Intervenção no Domínio Econômico*, Contribution for Intervention in the Economic Sector, or CIDE). Until April 30, 2004, the amounts paid as CIDE could be deducted from the payments of the PIS/PASEP and COFINS taxes.

As of May 1, 2004, important changes were made regarding the taxation of oil products sales. The amount paid as CIDE that can be deducted from PIS (*Programa de Integração Social*)/PASEP (*Programa de Formação do Patrimônio do Servidor Público*) and COFINS (*Contribuição para o Financiamento da Seguridade Social*) was reduced to zero. The PIS/PASEP tax and the COFINS tax previously ad valorem taxes on imported products were converted into specific value taxes, and the CIDE tax was changed to the following rates:

Product	PIS/PASEP and COFINS rate (reais/m³, except LPG/metric ton)	CIDE
Gasoline	R\$261.60	280.0
Diesel	148.00	70.0
Jet Fuel	71.20	
LPG	167.70	

Table of Contents

For certain trading transaction, the taxpayer may still opt to pay the PIS/PASEP tax and the COFINS as ad valorem taxes.

Previously, beginning with the implementation of the Oil Law in 1997 and through December 31, 2001, the Brazilian oil and gas sector was significantly deregulated and the Brazilian government changed its price regulation policies. Under these regulations, the Brazilian government:

introduced a new methodology for determining the price of oil products designed to track prevailing international prices and the *real*/U.S. dollar exchange rate;

eliminated regulation of the cost at which we could record imported crude oil and oil products in our cost of sales;

gradually eliminated controls on wholesale prices at which we could sell our oil products, except for diesel, gasoline and LPG;

effective July 28, 1998, eliminated transportation cost equalization subsidies known as *Frete para Uniformização de Preços* (Freight for the Uniformity of Prices, or FUP), in the case of transportation subsidies for oil products, and *Frete para Uniformização de Preços do Álcool* (Freight for the Uniformity of Prices of Alcohol, or FUPA), in the case of transportation subsidies for fuel alcohol; and

continued to require that we act as the Brazilian government's administrator for the fuel alcohol program.

Until the passage of the Oil Law 9,478 in 1997, the Brazilian government had the power to regulate all aspects of the pricing of crude oil, oil products, fuel alcohol and other energy sources in Brazil, including natural Gas and Power.
Crude Oil and Refined Oil Products

Pursuant to the Oil Law and subsequent legislation as per Law No. 10,336 dated December 19, 2001, the oil and gas markets in Brazil underwent regulatory change beginning January 2, 2002. As part of this action:
the Brazilian government no longer set sales prices for crude oil and oil products; and

the Brazilian government established CIDE, an excise tax payable to the Brazilian government required to be paid by producers, blenders and importers upon sales and purchases of specified oil and fuel products at a set amount for different products based on the unit of measurement typically used for such products.

Previously, until the enactment of the Oil Law, the Brazilian government regulated all aspects of the pricing of crude oil and oil products in Brazil, from the cost of crude oil imported for use in our refineries, to the price of refined oil products charged to the consumer.

Natural Gas

Starting in January 2002, price controls on natural gas prices in Brazil were eliminated. Some contracts that were signed under the old system of price controls are still in force, but new contracts must contain clauses ensuring that prices are freely negotiated amongst the parties.

The Petroleum and Alcohol Account Certification and Settlement As provided in the Oil Law 9,478, the fuel market in Brazil was freed of price controls as of January 1, 2002, permitting other companies to produce and sell on the domestic market and, also, import and export oil and oil products. In addition, as of January 1, 2002, we were no longer required to charge the prices established by the Brazilian government on the sale of oil products, and the realization price is no longer established by a formula adjusted to the international market.

Table of Contents

Considering the price deregulation in the market and current legislation, as of January 1, 2002 the Petroleum and Alcohol Account is no longer used to reimburse expenses related to the supply of oil products and fuel alcohol to us and third parties. The movements in the account for periods after 2002 relate only to (i) payments and adjustments mandated by the Agência Nacional do Petróleo ANP with no impact on the income statement and (ii) adjustments resulting from the audit of the account by the ANP.

The ANP/STN Integrated Audit Committee submitted, on June 23, 2004, its final report certifying and approving the balance of the Petroleum and Alcohol account. The conclusion of this audit process for the Petroleum and Alcohol account establishes the basis for concluding the settlement process between the Brazilian government and us.

As defined in Law No. 10,742 dated October 06, 2003, the settlement of the Petroleum and Alcohol account with the Brazilian government should have been completed by June 30, 2004. We have been working with the Ministry of Mines and Energy MME and Secretary of the National Treasury STN in order to resolve remaining issues necessary to conclude the settlement process.

To facilitate the required settlement, on June 30, 1998, the Brazilian government issued National Treasury Bonds-Series H to us, representing the credit owed to us by the Brazilian government from the Petroleum and Alcohol Account. The bonds were placed with a federal depository to support the balance of this account.

The National Treasury Bonds-Series H matured on June 30, 2004. As of June 30, 2004, there were 138,791 National Treasury Bonds-Series H outstanding in the amount of U.S.\$56 million against the balance of the Petroleum and Alcohol Account was U.S.\$241 million. On July 2, 2004, the Brazilian Government made a deposit in an account in our name of U.S.\$56 million for payment of the bonds. However, only U.S.\$3 million of this amount was made available to us. We do not have access to the remaining U.S.\$53 million, which represent a partial guarantee of the balance of the Petroleum and Alcohol Account, according to the determination of the Secretaria do Tesouro Nacional (STN). The legal, valid and binding nature of the account is not affected by any difference between the balance of the account and the value of the outstanding bonds.

The remaining balance of the Petroleum and Alcohol account may be paid as follows: (1) National Treasury Bonds issued at the same amount as the final balance of the Petroleum and Alcohol account; (2) offset of the balance of the Petroleum and Alcohol account, with any other amount we owed to the Brazilian Government, including taxes; or (3) by a combination of the above options.

The following table summarizes the changes in the Petroleum and Alcohol Account for 2006, 2005 and 2004:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
	(in millions of U.S. dollars)		
Opening balance	\$ 329	\$ 282	\$ 239
Reimbursements to Petrobras: transport of oil products			1
Financial income	7	9	4
Results of certification/audit process conducted by the Brazilian government			16
Partial settlement			(3)
Translation gain (loss)(1)	32	38	25
Ending balance	\$ 368	\$ 329	\$ 282

(1) Exchange rate translation gains (losses) are recorded as a component of cumulative

translation
adjustments.

The U.S.\$39 million increase in the balance of the Petroleum and Alcohol Account during 2006 was primarily a result of the 10.7% appreciation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar.

Table of Contents

Exploration and Development Regulation

During the time we had a government-granted monopoly in Brazil for oil and gas operations, we had the right to exploit all production, exploration and development areas in Brazil. When government-granted monopoly was terminated, the Brazilian government was allowed to contract with any state or privately owned company for the development of the upstream and downstream segments of the Brazilian oil and gas sector. Before establishing bidding rounds for concessions, the Brazilian government granted us the exclusive right to exploit crude oil reserves where we had previously commenced operations. In 1998, the ANP started to conduct bidding rounds to grant concessions for production, exploration and development areas, and we were required to compete for concessions.

With the effectiveness of the Oil Law and the regulations promulgated by the ANP thereunder, concessionaires are required to pay the government the following:

signature bonuses;

rentals for the occupation or retention of areas;

special participation; and

royalties.

The minimum signature bonuses are published in the bidding rules for the concessions being auctioned, but the actual amount is based on the amount of the winning bid and must be paid upon the execution of the concession agreement.

Rentals for the occupation and retention of the concession areas are determined for in the related bidding rules and are payable annually. For purposes of calculating rentals, the ANP takes into consideration factors such as the location and size of the relevant concession block, the sedimentary basin and its geological characteristics.

Special participation is an extraordinary charge we, and all other concessionaires, must pay in the event of high production volumes and/or profitability from oil fields, according to criteria established by applicable regulation, and is payable on a quarterly basis for each field from the date on which extraordinary production occurs. This participation rate, whenever it is due, varies between 0% and 40% depending on:

volume of production; and

whether the block is onshore or offshore and, if offshore, whether it is shallow or deep water.

Under the Oil Law and applicable regulations, the special participation is calculated based upon quarterly net revenues of each field, which consist of gross revenues calculated using reference prices published by the ANP (reflecting international prices and the exchange rate) less:

royalties paid;

investment in exploration;

operational costs; and

depreciation adjustments and applicable taxes.

The ANP is also responsible for determining monthly royalties payable with respect to production. Royalties generally correspond to a percentage ranging between 5% and 10% applied to reference prices for oil or natural gas, as established in the relevant bidding guidelines (*edital de licitação*) and concession contract (*contrato de concessão*). Virtually all of our production currently pays the maximum 10% rate. In determining the royalties applicable to a particular concession block, the ANP takes into consideration, among other factors, the geological risks involved and the production levels expected.

Table of Contents

The Oil Law also requires concessionaires of onshore fields to pay to the owner of the land a special participation fee that varies between 0.5% and 1.0% of the net operating revenues derived from the production of the field.

Environmental Regulations

All phases of the crude oil and natural gas business present environmental risks and hazards. Our facilities in Brazil are subject to a wide range of federal, state and local laws, regulations and permit requirements relating to the protection of human health and the environment. At the federal level, our offshore activities and those which involve more than one state of the Federation are subject to the regulatory authority of the *Conselho Nacional do Meio Ambiente* (National Council for the Environment) and to the administrative authority of the Brazilian Institute for the Environment and Renewable Natural Resources, or IBAMA, which issues operating or drilling licenses. Maintenance of the licenses requires the submission of reports, including safety and pollution monitoring reports (IOPP) to IBAMA. Onshore environmental, health and safety conditions are controlled at the state rather than federal level. Law No. 6,938 of August 31, 1981, and subsequent regulations and decrees established strict liability for environmental damage, mechanisms for enforcement of environmental standards and licensing requirements for polluting activities.

CONAMA's Resolution No. 23 of 1994 requires us to conduct environmental studies in connection with a number of our activities. We must eliminate, mitigate, or compensate relevant parties for any adverse environmental effects identified through these studies.

On December 27, 2000, Law No. 10,165, modifying Law No. 6,938, created the *Taxa de Controle e Fiscalização Ambiental* (Environmental Control and Inspection Tax, or TCFA). The law empowers IBAMA to collect, on a quarterly basis, certain fees from us and other companies that meet a minimum revenue threshold, are engaged in potentially environmentally damaging activities and/or are exploiting natural resources within Brazil. At present, we do not consider this fee imposed by IBAMA to be material. The *Confederação Nacional da Indústria* (Brazilian Industry Confederation, or CNI) is currently challenging the constitutionality of these fees in the Brazilian Supreme Court (*Supremo Tribunal Federal*, or STF).

Brazilian environmental laws and regulations provide for restrictions and prohibitions on spills and releases or emissions of various hazardous substances produced in association with our operations. Brazilian environmental laws and regulations also govern the operation, maintenance, abandonment and reclamation of wells, refineries, terminals, service stations and other facilities. Compliance with these laws and regulations can require significant expenditures, and violations may result in fines and penalties, some of which may be material. In addition, operations and undertakings that have a significant environmental impact, especially the drilling of new wells and expansion of refineries, require us to apply for environmental impact assessments in accordance with federal and state licensing procedures. In accordance with Brazilian environmental laws, we have proposed the execution of, or we have entered into, environmental commitment agreements with the environmental protection agencies and/or the federal or state public ministries, in which we agree to undertake certain measures in order to complete the environmental licensing for several of our operating facilities.

Under Law No. 9,605 of February 12, 1998, individuals or entities whose conduct or activities cause harm to the environment are subject to criminal and administrative sanctions, as well as any costs to repair the actual damages resulting from such harm. Individuals or legal entities that commit a crime against the environment are subject to penalties and sanctions that range from fines to imprisonment, for individuals, or, suspension or interruption of activities or prohibition to enter into any contracts with governmental bodies for up to ten years for legal entities. The government environmental protection agencies may also impose administrative sanctions on those who do not comply with the environmental laws and regulations, including, among others:

fines;

partial or total suspension of activities;

obligations to fund recovery works and environmental projects;

forfeiture or restriction of tax incentives or benefits;

Table of Contents

closing of the establishments or undertakings; and

forfeiture or suspension of participation in credit lines with official credit establishments.

Under Law No. 9,966 of 2000, entities operating organized ports and port installations and owners or operators of platforms and its support installations must perform independent environmental audits every two years, with a view to evaluating the environmental management and control systems in their units. We are in full compliance with this law.

Law No. 9,985 of July 19, 2000 establishes an environmental compensation of at least 0.5% of the value of a project relating to activities that have a negative environmental impact that cannot be mitigated. This compensation may only be applied in conservation units, as defined by the Sistema Nacional de Unidades de Conservação da Natureza (the National System of Nature Conservation Units, or the SNUC). Environmental agencies are still implementing this law, but they may attempt to apply it in a retroactive manner.

In 2006, we invested approximately U.S.\$645 million in environmental projects as compared to approximately U.S.\$521 million in 2005. These investments were primarily directed at reducing emissions and wastes resulting from industrial processes, managing water use and effluents, remediating impacted areas, implementing new environmental technologies and upgrading our pipelines.

In March 2006, the Brazilian Congress enacted Law No. 11,284, which, among other things, creates the concept of environmental insurance as an economic policy instrument. Brazilian companies will be required to purchase environmental insurance only once the Brazilian Congress approves a new law to regulate Law No. 11,284 that expressly creates this obligation. We do not know the terms and conditions under which environmental insurance will be contracted in the future and, therefore, we cannot estimate whether the requirement to purchase environmental insurance will have a material adverse effect in our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to a number of administrative proceedings and civil and criminal claims relating to environmental matters. See Item 8. Financial Information Legal Proceedings Environmental Claims.

Health, Safety and Environmental Initiatives

Initiatives

The protection of human health and the environment is one of our primary concerns, and is essential to our success as an integrated energy company. In order to address and prioritize health, safety and environmental concerns and ensure compliance with environmental regulations, we have:

developed the PEGASO program to upgrade our pipelines and other equipment, implement new technologies, improve our emergency response readiness, reduce emissions and residues and prevent environmental accidents. From April 2000 to December 2006, we spent approximately U.S.\$4.081 billion under this program, including the *Programa de Integridade de Dutos* (Pipeline Integrity Program) through which we conduct inspections of, and improvements to, our pipelines. In 2006, we spent approximately U.S.\$562 million in connection with the PEGASO program;

proposed the execution of, or entered into, environmental commitment agreements with several environmental protection agencies and/or the federal or state public ministries, in which we agree to undertake certain measures in order to complete the environmental licensing for several of our operating facilities;

integrated our corporate health department into the already existing corporate environment and safety department, thereby facilitating the development of systematic, company-wide procedures to handle concerns related to health, safety and the environment, or HSE;

Table of Contents

established our new HSE policy and corporate guidelines, which focus on principles of sustainable development, compliance with legislation and the availability and use of environmental performance indicators;

undertook capital investments to reduce the HSE risk of our operations, including making improvements to our refineries and transportation facilities and developing and implementing oil pollution prevention guidelines;

built nine environmental protection centers and seven advanced bases for oil spill prevention, control and response, established local and regional, onshore and offshore contingency plans involving public services and communities to deal with oil spills, and chartered three dedicated oil spill recovery vessels (OSRVs) fully equipped for oil spill control and fire fighting;

received HSE integrated management certificates for our operating units. As of December 2006, Petrobras owned 34 certificates for its operating units in Brazil and 20 for units abroad. These certificates acknowledge the compliance of our HSE management system with ISO 14001 (environment) and OHSAS 18001 (health and safety) standards. Because some of those certificates cover more than one site, the total number of certified sites is 159 in Brazil and 20 abroad. The *Frota Nacional de Petroleiros* (National Fleet of Vessels) has been fully certified by the IMO International Management Code for Safe Operation of Ships and for Pollution Prevention (ISM Code) since December 1997;

implemented through the Programa de Segurança de Processo (Process Safety Program) standardized, company-wide guidelines for HSE management, for effectively investigating incidents and for strengthening our institutional commitment to HSE through employee training. The HSE Management Manual developed through that program is a day-to-day management tool currently being applied in all of our operating units;

implemented the Excellence in Health, Safety and Environment Project, included in our Strategic Agenda, which, through actions already defined in all of our business and services segments and in our subsidiaries, seeks to ensure that by 2015, we reach the same level of performance as measured by safety, environmental and health indices as the leading oil, Gas and Power companies in the world;

developed an Air Emissions Management System, in conjunction with an international consulting company, for our operations in Brazil and South America. The system gathers information about emissions of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, the main greenhouse gases (carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide), volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and particulate material, allowing us to improve the management of our emissions. We have registered our 2004 Annual Emissions Summary in the Global Greenhouse Gas Register of the World Economic Forum. The report gathers data provided by the Air Emissions Management System and is available for public access through the Forum's website;

established a corporate goal, included in our Balanced Scorecard, for avoiding emissions of greenhouse gases (GHG). Considering the projects included in our 2007-2011 Business Plan, we aim to cut 18.5 million tons of GHG emissions through 2011, by implementing projects that may also be eligible for the Clean Development Mechanism of the Kyoto Protocol;

participated in negotiations conducted by the Brazilian Ministry of Mines and Energy of new regulations of environmental compensation related to the implementation of new projects;

participated with the Brazilian Ministry of Mines and Energy and IBAMA in a governmental follow-up group created to supervise the implementation of the new planned gas pipelines and oil and gas production projects;

participated regularly in the discussion agenda of the Brazilian Ministry of Mines and Energy and the Ministry of the Environment about environmental issues affecting our business; and

Table of Contents

participated directly in discussions with the Ministry of the Environment and IBAMA regarding issues that could affect Petrobras' business.

In addition, we conduct environmental studies for all new projects as required by Brazilian environmental legislation, and our HSE department evaluates each and every project with a total budget exceeding U.S.\$25 million to confirm its compliance with all HSE requirements and adoption of the best HSE practices throughout the project's life cycle.

We will continue to evaluate and develop initiatives to address HSE concerns and to reduce our exposure to HSE risks.

Our Executive Board has approved the building of three biodiesel production plants, with a total capacity of 150,000 tons per year. The three plants will demand an investment of about U.S.\$90.5 million each and are expected to begin operations in December of 2007.

We have bought 70,000 cubic meters of biodiesel, certified with the social fuel label, to be delivered throughout 2006. Social fuel is fuel manufactured under a government program designed to promote family-run agricultural enterprises.

In 2006, BR purchased an interest in Brazil small hydroelectric plants for a total value of R\$74.6 million, to hold a 49% participation. This allowed the distribution of 13 small hydroelectric plants throughout the states of Minas Gerais, Espírito Santo, Rio de Janeiro, Goiás and Mato Grosso do Sul, with an aggregate power potential of 291 MW.

An additional undertaking in this area is our participation in two other small hydroelectric plants through our affiliate, Termoelétrica Potiguar S.A.: Clean Water and Air. Together, the two allow for 25.4 MW of power potential.

The 15 small hydroelectric plants are linked to PROINFA, the Brazilian Government's Incentive Program of Brazilian Government for Alternative Energy Sources.

Management

We have an HSE Management Committee, which was created by our executive officers to ensure that HSE issues are addressed throughout the company. The committee is composed of executive managers of our different business segments and of directors of our controlled companies, BR Distribuidora and Transpetro. The work of the HSE Management Committee is supported by three permanent subcommittees and by temporary commissions and work groups, each one responsible for a specific HSE issue, such as licensing and environmental compensation, emissions and climate change, operational risk assessment, management of change, new projects and health management.

We have also created an Environmental Committee, which is composed of three members of our Board of Directors. The committee is responsible for, among other things: (1) overseeing and managing environmental and work safety issues affecting us; (2) establishing measurable environmental targets and ensuring compliance; and (3) recommending changes in environmental, health and safety policy, if necessary, to our Board of Directors. The Environmental Committee charter is still subject to approval by our Board of Directors.

Competition

As a result of the regulatory reform of the oil and gas industry in Brazil, we expect to face increasing competition both in our downstream and upstream operations.

In the exploration and production segment, the Brazilian government's auction process for new exploratory areas has enabled multinational and regional oil and gas companies to begin exploring for crude oil in Brazil. If these companies discover crude oil in commercial quantities and are able to develop it economically, we expect that competition with our own production will increase.

Table of Contents

In the past, we have faced little competition as a result of the prevailing laws that effectively gave us a monopoly. With the end of this monopoly and regulatory reform, other participants may now explore, produce, transport and distribute oil products in Brazil. As a result, some participants have already begun importing refined oil products, which will compete with oil products from our Brazilian refineries, as well as the oil products we currently import. We now have to compete with global imports at international prices. We expect that this additional competition may affect the prices we can charge for our oil products, which in turn will affect the profit we can make. We estimate that we had a market share of approximately 98.1% in the Brazilian oil production segment in 2006. We do not have meaningful competitors in the oil production segment in Brazil. In the oil exploration segment, we estimate that the exploration activities conducted solely by us represented approximately 72% (number of exploration wells we drilled solely compared to the total number of exploration wells drilled in Brazil in 2006) of the Brazilian oil exploration market in 2006 and the exploration activities conducted by us in conjunction with other partners represented approximately 86% (number of exploration wells we drilled solely and with partners compared to the number of exploration wells drilled in Brazil in 2006) of the oil exploration market in Brazil in 2005. Our main competitors in the oil exploration segment are Agip, Devon, Shell, Maersk, Statoil, Chevron Texaco, Encana, El Paso and BG Group. We also expect continued competition in our distribution segment, where we currently face the most significant competition of any of our business segments. In particular, we face competition from small distributors, many of which have been able, and may continue to be able, to avoid paying sales taxes and mix their gasoline with inexpensive solvents, enabling them to sell gasoline at prices below ours. We had a market share of approximately 42.6% in the Brazilian oil products distribution segment according to Sindicom, a Brazilian industry association of oil and gas distribution companies. Our main competitors in this segment are Shell, Esso and Texaco.

In the natural gas and power segment, we expect competition from new entrants that are acquiring interests in natural gas distribution and gas-fired power generation companies, and existing competitors that are expanding operations in order to consolidate their position in Brazil. We had a market share of approximately 94.3% in the Brazilian natural gas segment based on 2006 volumes sold to the Local Distribution Companies and total natural gas market, according to the *Associação Brasileira das Empresas de Gás Natural* (the Brazilian Society of Natural Gas Companies, or ABEGÁS).

In the international segment, we plan to continue expanding operations, although we expect to face continuing competition in the areas in which we are already active, including the Gulf of Mexico, Africa and the Southern Cone. We have already become a major player in some of the countries in which we have international operations. In Argentina, we estimate that we have a market share of 13.5% for auto fuel and 12.3% for lubricants. In Bolivia, we have a market share of 92% of the oil refining market, 0.3% of the fuel market, and 72% of lubricants.

Insurance

Our insurance programs principally focus on the concentration of risks and the importance and replacement value of assets. Under our risk management policy, risks associated with our principal assets, such as refineries, tankers, our fleet and offshore production and drilling platforms, are insured for their replacement value with third-party Brazilian insurers. Although the policies are issued in Brazil, most of our policies are reinsured abroad with reinsurers rated A- or higher by Standard & Poor's rating agency or B+ or higher by A.M. Best. Substantially all of our international operations are insured or reinsured by our Bermudian subsidiary Bear Insurance Company Limited following exactly the same rating criteria.

Less valuable assets, such as small auxiliary boats, certain storage facilities, and some administrative installations, are self-insured. We do not maintain coverage for business interruption, except for a minority of our international operations. We also do not maintain coverage for our wells for substantially all of our Brazilian operations.

We maintain coverage for operational third-party liability with respect to our onshore and offshore activities, including environmental risks such as oil spills. The insurance policy covers any damage resulting from either our or our affiliates' activities, with the exception of our international activities, which have their own insurance and are therefore not included in this policy. In Brazil, our coverage in this policy is of up to U.S.\$220 million per accident in the aggregate (fines imposed by government authorities are not covered). In case of an accident, this coverage may not be sufficient to compensate us for losses incurred. Although we do not insure most

Table of Contents

of our pipelines, we have insurance against damage or loss resulting from specific incidents, as well as oil pollution from our pipelines. Our fleet and most of our Mobile Offshore Units are entered in Protection and Indemnity Insurance mutuals (P&I Clubs), that provide collective self-insurance to their members against third party liabilities and expenses arising from owning ships or operating ships as principals.

We also maintain coverage for risks associated with transportation, hull and machinery risk and directors and officers (D&O) insurance coverage. All projects and installations under construction are insured in compliance with the terms of the relevant financing agreements, usually through a performance bond in connection with completion of the contract and/or other damage and liability insurance. All projects and installations under construction that have an estimated maximum loss above U.S.\$40 million are covered by a construction policy.

The premium for renewing our property risk insurance policy for a 12-month period commencing June 2006 was U.S.\$34.5 million. This represented an increase of 17% over the preceding 12-month period. The increase was primarily due to an increase in the insured value of our assets, which in the same period, increased by 32%, from U.S.\$32.7 billion to U.S.\$43.2 billion. Since 2001, our risk retention has increased and our deductibles may reach U.S.\$40 million in certain cases.

Our facilities are regularly subject to risk surveys undertaken by international risk consultants. The reports and recommendations prepared in these surveys are released to our insurers and underwriters, as well as the actions taken by us to meet these recommendations. All the significant accidents and their causes, as well as the improvements we make to our HSE standards are periodically released to the public.

ITEM 5. OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS .

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Petrobras' Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations together with our audited consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes beginning on page F-1 of this annual report.

Overview

We earn income from:

domestic sales, which consist of sales of oil products (such as diesel oil, gasoline, jet fuel, naphtha, fuel oil and liquefied petroleum gas), natural gas, electricity and petrochemical products;

export sales, which consist primarily of sales of crude oil and oil products;

international sales (excluding export sales), which consist of sales of crude oil, natural gas and oil products that are purchased, produced and refined abroad; and

other sources, including services, investment income and foreign exchange gains.

Our expenses include:

costs of sales (which are composed of labor expenses, costs of operating and purchases of crude oil and oil products); maintaining and repairing property, plants and equipment; depreciation and amortization of fixed assets; depletion of oil fields; and costs of exploration;

selling (which include expenses for transportation and distribution of our products), general and administrative expenses; and

interest expense, monetary and foreign exchange losses.

Fluctuations in our financial condition and results of operations are driven by a combination of factors, including:

Table of Contents

the volume of crude oil, oil products and natural gas we produce and sell;

changes in international prices of crude oil and oil products, which are denominated in U.S. dollars;

related changes in the domestic prices of crude oil and oil products, which are denominated in *reais*;

fluctuations in the *real*/U.S. dollar and Argentine peso/U.S. dollar exchange rates;

Brazilian political and economic conditions; and

the amount in taxes and duties that we are required to pay with respect to our operations, by virtue of our status as a Brazilian company and our involvement in the oil and gas industry.

Sales Volumes and Prices

The profitability of our operations in any particular accounting period is related to the sales volume of, and prices for, the crude oil, oil products and natural gas that we sell. Our consolidated net sales in 2006 totaled approximately 1,104,723 million barrels of crude oil equivalent, representing U.S.\$72,347 million in net operating revenues, as compared to approximately 1,025,033 million barrels of crude oil equivalent, representing U.S.\$56,324 million in net operating revenues, as compared to approximately 989,719 million barrels of crude oil equivalent, representing U.S.\$38,428 million in net operating revenues in 2004.

As a vertically integrated company, we process most of our crude oil production in our refineries and sell the refined oil products primarily in the Brazilian domestic market. Therefore, it is oil product prices, rather than crude oil prices, that most directly affect our financial results. Nonetheless, as crude oil production increases, and as exports increase, the increase in crude oil production will have a greater relative importance.

Oil product prices vary over time as the result of many factors, including the price of crude oil. The average prices of Brent crude, an international benchmark oil, were approximately U.S.\$65.14 per barrel in 2006, U.S.\$54.38 per barrel in 2005 and U.S.\$38.21 per barrel for 2004. For December 2006, Brent crude oil prices averaged U.S.\$62.33 per barrel. For the first quarter of 2007, although crude oil prices have been showing some volatility, they are also maintaining the level of U.S.\$60.00 per barrel.

Domestic Sales Volumes and Prices

During 2006, approximately 69.7% of our net operating revenues were derived from sales of crude oil and oil products in Brazil, as compared to 72.4% in 2005 and 73.2% in 2004. As export volumes of crude oil and oil products have increased, domestic sales as a percentage of net operating revenues have declined.

Our revenues are principally derived from sales in Brazil. The following table sets forth our domestic sales by volume of oil products, natural gas and fuel alcohol for each of 2006, 2005 and 2004:

Table of Contents

	For the Year Ended December 31,								
	2006			2005			2004		
	Net	Net		Net	Net		Net	Net	
	Average	Operating		Average	Operating		Average	Operating	
Volume	Price	Revenues	Volume	Price	Revenues	Volume	Price	Revenues	
(Mbbbl,			(Mbbbl,			(Mbbbl,			
except as		(U.S.\$	except as		(U.S.\$	except as		(U.S.\$	(U.S.\$
otherwise	(U.S.\$)	in	otherwise	(U.S.\$)	(U.S.\$ in	otherwise	(U.S.\$)	in	in
noted)	(1)	millions)	noted)	(1)	millions)	noted)	(1)	millions)	millions)
Energy products:									
Automotive gasoline	112,541	73.86	8,312	104,901	\$ 60.08	\$ 6,302	100,712	\$ 41.58	\$ 4,188
Diesel	245,159	83.65	20,507	242,831	68.20	16,561	240,237	44.64	10,725
Fuel oil	36,340	47.47	1,725	36,243	40.81	1,479	39,654	28.45	1,128
Liquid petroleum gas	73,382	36.00	2,642	77,891	34.55	2,691	76,982	28.14	2,166
Total energy products	467,422		33,186	461,866		27,033	457,585		18,207
Non-energy products:									
Petrochemical naphtha	60,197	63.31	3,811	57,281	53.49	3,064	57,595	42.28	2,435
Others	96,369	63.09	6,080	80,953	58.35	4,724	77,652	41.96	3,258
Total non-energy products	156,566		9,891	138,234		7,788	135,247		5,693
Fuel alcohol	59	67.80	4	126	23.81	3	455	30.77	14
Natural gas (BOE)	88,839	26.27	2,334	83,090	21.77	1,809	77,310	18.61	1,439
Sub-total	712,886	63.71	45,415	683,316	53.61	36,633	670,597	37.81	25,353
Distribution net sales	204,649	91.46	18,718	201,347	78.53	15,811	182,327	57.36	10,458
Intercompany net sales	(195,903)	69.89	(13,692)	(187,268)	62.22	(11,651)	(164,730)	46.69	(7,692)
Total domestic market	721,632	69.90	50,441	697,395	58.49	40,793	688,194	40.86	28,119
Export net sales	259,630	55.39	14,381	187,008	47.80	8,938	186,221	31.81	5,923

Edgar Filing: PETROBRAS INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CO - Form 20-F

International net sales	73,363	62.72	4,601	64,860	48.41	3,140	83,800	33.89	2,840
Others	50,098	47.87	2,398	75,770	40.09	3,038	31,504	39.17	1,234
Sub-Total	383,091	55.81	21,380	327,638	46.14	15,116	301,525	33.15	9,997
Services			526			415			312
Consolidated net sales	1,104,723		72,347	1,025,033		\$ 56,324	989,719		\$ 38,428

(1) Net average price calculated by dividing net sales by the volume for the year.

During 2006, we did not announce any increases in our prices for gasoline and diesel in the domestic market.

Export Sales Volumes and Prices

While our principal market is the Brazilian market, as our domestic production of crude oil has increased, we have begun to export greater amounts of crude oil and oil products that exceed Brazilian demand. We also export volumes of domestically produced heavy crude oil that our refineries are unable to process operationally or economically. See Item 4. Information on the Company Refining, Transportation and Marketing. Our export volumes of crude oil and oil products totaled 212,210 million barrels of crude oil equivalent in 2006, as compared to 187,007 million barrels of crude oil equivalent in 2005 and to 186,221 million barrels of crude oil equivalent in 2004. We base our crude oil export prices on international prices, as adjusted to reflect specific market conditions. We determine export prices of our oil products and natural gas by reference to market conditions, as well as direct negotiations with our clients. As a result of an increase in average prices and volume of export sales of crude oil and oil products, the total value of our crude oil and oil product exports (measured on a free-on-board basis) in 2006 was U.S.\$14,381 million, as compared to U.S.\$8,938 million in 2005 and to U.S.\$5,923 million in 2004, representing approximately 19.9% of our net operating revenues in 2006, as compared to 15.9% in 2005 and 15.4% in 2004. See Item 4. Information on the Company Refining, Transportation and Marketing-Exports.

International Volumes and Prices

We produce, refine, transport, distribute and market crude oil and natural gas internationally. Sales from production outside Brazil to sources outside Brazil were U.S.\$2,398 million in 2006, U.S.\$3,038 million in 2005 and U.S.\$2,840 million in 2004, representing approximately 3.3% of our net operating revenues in 2006, as compared to 5.4% of our net operating revenues in 2005 and to 7.4% in 2004. We expect our international sales to

Table of Contents

continue growing as our international production continues to grow and we increase our refining and distribution capacity abroad. See Item 4. Information on the Company International.

Import Purchase Volumes and Prices

We continue to import lighter crude oil for blending in our own refineries, as well as smaller quantities of diesel, liquefied petroleum gas, naphtha and other oil products, to attend the demand of the Brazilian retail market. We have continuously upgraded our refineries to handle heavier crude oil in order to reduce our purchases of imported crude oil and oil products by refining a greater portion of our heavier crude oil production. The upgrade in our refineries mentioned above has increased the margin between our net operating revenues and cost of goods sold, since it is less expensive to produce crude oil domestically than it is to import crude oil. In 2006, the net margin decreased to 17.7% as compared to 18.4% in 2005, as a result of an increase in imported crude oil to 370 Mbpd in 2006 from 352 Mbpd in 2005. The increase in the importation of lighter crude oils is in line with the strategy of international marketing operations, which make the importing of lighter crude oils rather than middle distillates more feasible.

Prior to December 31, 2001, we were the only company permitted to import oil products to supply the Brazilian market's demand for these products. Now that other parties are permitted by law to import oil products and supply the market, we continue to reevaluate our strategy in order to achieve optimal levels of imports for our profitability. We imported a total of 43.1 million barrels of oil products in 2006, as compared to 34.8 million barrels of oil products in 2005 and to 40.1 million barrels of oil products in 2004. See Item 4. Information on the Company Refining, Transportation and Marketing-Imports.

Effect of Taxes on our Income*General*

In addition to taxes paid on behalf of consumers to federal, state and municipal governments, such as the *Imposto sobre Circulação de Mercadorias e Serviços*, or ICMS, we are required to pay three principal charges on our oil production activities in Brazil:

Royalties, which generally correspond to a percentage between 5% and 10% of production, are calculated based on a reference price for crude oil or natural gas, and will thus vary with the international price of crude oil. The ANP also takes into account the geological risks involved, and productivity levels expected, with respect to a particular concession. Virtually all of our crude oil production is currently taxed at the maximum royalty rate.

Special Participation, which applies to our larger, more profitable fields, and ranges from 0% to 40% depending on the volumes of crude oil produced in the fields, the location of the fields (including whether they are onshore or offshore), water depth and number of years that the field has been in production, and the quality of the oil that is produced. In 2006, the tax was charged on 19 of our fields, including Marlim, Albacora, Roncador, Leste do Urucu, Rio Urucu, Canto do Amaro, Marimbá, Marlim Sul, Namorado, Carapeba, Pampo, Albacora Leste, Barracuda, Caratinga, Cherne, Pilar, Fazenda Alegre, Miranga and Carmópolis. The tax is based on net revenues of a field, which consists of gross revenues less royalties paid, investments in exploration, operational costs and depreciation adjustments and applicable taxes. The Special Participation Tax uses as a reference international oil prices converted to *reais* at the current exchange rate.

Retention Bonus, which is a tax payable on those concessions that are available for exploration and production, and is calculated at a rate established by the ANP, taking into consideration factors such as the location and size of the relevant concession block, the sedimentary basin and its geological characteristics.

These charges imposed by the Brazilian government are included in our cost of goods sold. In addition, we are subject to tax on our income at an effective rate of 25% and a social contribution tax at an effective rate of 9%, the standard corporate tax rate in Brazil. See Note 3 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents*Potential Change in ICMS Legislation*

In June 2003, the State of Rio de Janeiro enacted a law (State Law n° 4.117, dated June, 27th, 2003, also known as Noel Law) imposing the ICMS on upstream activities. The law was regulated by Decree n° 34.761, dated February 3, 2004, which was suspended by Decree n° 34.783 of February 4th, 2004, for an undetermined period of time. Nevertheless, the State of Rio de Janeiro may choose to enforce the law at any time.

The constitutionality of this law is currently being challenged. The claim was filed by the Federal Prosecutor and the Attorney General has given a favorable legal opinion. The Supreme Court provisionally did not suspend the effectiveness of the law.

In accordance with legislation currently in force, the ICMS for fuels derived from oil is assessed at the point of sale but not at the wellhead level. As a result, the tax is mainly collected in the states where the sales of fuels are made. If the State of Rio de Janeiro enforces the new law, it is unlikely that the other states would allow us to use the tax imposed at the wellhead level in Rio de Janeiro as a credit to offset the tax imposed at the sale level. Therefore, we would have to pay ICMS at both levels, unless we are successful in challenging this tax in court. If the Supreme Court decides that this law is constitutional, our ability to challenge the payment of ICMS at both levels will depend on the ground of the Supreme Court's decision.

We estimate the amount of ICMS that we would be required to pay to the State of Rio de Janeiro could increase by approximately R\$9.4 billion (U.S.\$4.3 billion) per year as a result of this change in legislation. This increase could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Financial Income and Expense

We derive financial income from several sources, including interest on cash and cash equivalents. The majority of our cash equivalents are short-term Brazilian government securities, including securities indexed to the U.S. dollar. We also hold U.S. dollar deposits.

Our financial income was U.S.\$1,165 million in 2006, U.S.\$710 million in 2005 and U.S.\$956 million in 2004.

We incur financial expenses from short and long-term debt denominated in U.S. dollars, *reais* and other currencies. Our financial expenses were U.S.\$1,340 million in 2006, U.S.\$1,189 million in 2005 and U.S.\$1,733 million in 2004. In addition, we capitalized U.S.\$1,001 million in interest in 2006, as compared to U.S.\$612 million in 2005 and to U.S.\$267 million in 2004.

Inflation and Exchange Rate Variation*Inflation*

Since the introduction of the *real* as the Brazilian currency in July 1994, inflation in Brazil has remained relatively stable, although it increased markedly in 2002. Inflation was 3.8% in 2006, 1.2% in 2005 and 12.1% in 2004, as measured by the IGP-DI, a general price index. Inflation has had, and may continue to have, effects on our financial condition and results of operations. A large percentage of our total costs are in *reais*, and our suppliers and service providers generally attempt to increase their prices to reflect Brazilian inflation. These increases are counteracted by the adjustments that we make to our prices to offset the effects of inflation and an appreciation of the U.S. dollar against the *real*.

Exchange Rate Variation

Since we adopted the *real* as our functional currency in 1998, fluctuations in the value of the *real* against the U.S. dollar, particularly depreciations of the *real* had, in the past, and will continue to have, if they reoccur, multiple effects on our results of operations. Our reporting currency for all periods is the U.S. dollar. We maintain our financial records in *reais*, and translate our statements of operations into U.S. dollars at the average rate for the period. The amounts reported in our statements of operations in any given period will be reduced at the same rate as the *real* has depreciated in relation to the U.S. dollar during that period. During 2006, however, there was an 8.7%

Table of Contents

appreciation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar, as compared to an appreciation of 11.8% in 2005, and an 8.1% appreciation in 2004.

Virtually all of our sales are of crude oil or oil products, which generally trade freely in the international markets at prices expressed in U.S. dollars. From July 1998 through the end of 2001, our net operating revenues reflected changes in the U.S. dollar/*real* exchange rate, with a one month delay, because the formula used by the government to set realization prices for crude oil and oil products included adjustments based on exchange rate variations. See Item 4. Information on the Company Regulation of the Oil and Gas Industry in Brazil Price Regulation.

Since January 2, 2002, when prices were deregulated, we have been free to establish prices for our products based on market conditions and have generally been able to maintain parity with international prices. As a result, although substantially all of our revenues are in *reais*, they have been, and continue to be, linked to U.S. dollar-based international prices. When the *real* depreciates against the U.S. dollar, assuming international prices remain constant in U.S. dollars, we may increase the prices for our products in *reais*, in which case our net operating revenues in *reais* increase. An increase in our *reais* net operating revenue, however, is not reflected in our net operating revenue when reported in U.S. dollars, when the *real* depreciates.

Another effect of depreciation is that our operating costs and expenses when expressed in U.S. dollars tend to decline. This happens primarily due to the fact that a substantial portion of our costs and operating expenses is denominated in *reais*. Prior to 2003, our *reais*-denominated costs increased at a rate slower than the depreciation. Accordingly, the effect was to decrease costs of locally supplied products and services when reported in U.S. dollars. The opposite effects occur when the *real* appreciates against the U.S. dollar such as in 2004, 2005 and 2006. In recent periods, the exchange rate variation has had the following additional effects, among others, on our financial condition and results of operations:

We record the remeasurement effects of our non-*reais* denominated assets and liabilities held in Brazil (e.g., cash, cash equivalents and financial obligations) in our statements of income. Primarily because of our substantial liabilities denominated in foreign currency, we recorded a U.S.\$55 million net foreign exchange gain in our 2006 statement of income, as compared to a U.S.\$269 million net foreign exchange gain in 2005 and to a U.S.\$368 million net foreign exchange gain in 2004. To the extent these variations are not recognized in a transaction (such as the repayment of the debt in the period in which there is a depreciation), the foreign exchange gain is added back for purposes of determining our cash flow.

Our other assets and liabilities in Brazil, primarily accounts receivable, inventories and property, plant and equipment, cash and cash equivalents and government securities, pension plan liabilities, health care benefits and deferred income taxes, are all translated into U.S. dollars. Therefore, any depreciation (appreciation) of the *real* against the U.S. dollar will be reflected as a reduction (gain) in the U.S. dollar value of those assets and liabilities, charged directly to shareholders' equity. These currency translation effects are beyond our control. Accordingly, we recorded a U.S.\$3,230 million credit directly to shareholders' equity in our statement of changes in shareholders' equity for 2006, without affecting net income, to reflect the appreciation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar of approximately 8.7%, as compared to a credit of U.S.\$3,107 million in 2005 to reflect the appreciation of 11.8%, as compared to a credit of U.S.\$1,911 million in 2004 to reflect the appreciation of 8.1%.

Foreign currency translation adjustments reflecting a depreciation have a significant impact on the balance sheet of a company such as ours, whose assets are primarily denominated in *reais*, but whose liabilities are primarily denominated in foreign currencies. The reductions in our asset values charged to shareholders' equity, however, do not necessarily affect our cash flows, since our revenues and cash earnings are to a large degree linked to the U.S. dollar, and a portion of our operating expenses are linked to the *real*.

The exchange rate variation also impacts the amount of retained earnings available for distribution by us when measured in U.S. dollars. Amounts reported as available for distribution in our statutory accounting records prepared in accordance with Brazilian accounting principles decrease or increase when measured in U.S. dollars as the *real* depreciates or appreciates against the U.S. dollar. In addition, the exchange rate variation creates foreign

Table of Contents

exchange gains and losses that are included in our results of operations determined in accordance with Brazilian accounting principles and that affect the amount of our unretained earnings available for distribution.

Results of Operations

The differences in our operating results from year to year occur as a result of a combination of factors, including primarily: the volume of crude oil, oil products and natural gas we produce and sell, the price at which we sell our crude oil, oil products and natural gas and the differential between the Brazilian inflation rate and the depreciation or appreciation of the *real* against the U.S. dollar. The table below shows the amount by which each of these variables has changed during the last three years:

	2006	2005	2004
Crude Oil and NGL Production (Mbpd)			
Brazil	1,778	1,684	1,493
International	130	163	168
Non-consolidated international production ⁽¹⁾	12		
Total Crude Oil and NGL Production	1,920	1,847	1,661
Change in Crude Oil and NGL Production	4.0%	11.2%	(2.4)%
Average Sales Price for Crude (U.S.\$ per bbl)			
Brazil	\$54.71	\$45.42	\$33.49
International	\$44.02	\$34.91	\$26.51
Natural Gas Production (Mmcfpd)			
Brazil	1,660	1,644	1,590
International	595	576	564
Non-consolidated international production ⁽¹⁾	12		
Total Natural Gas Production	2,267	2,220	2,154
Change in Natural Gas Production (sold only)	2.2%	3.1%	7.2%
Average Sales Price for Natural Gas (U.S.\$ per Mcf)			
Brazil	2.61	2.17	1.93
International	2.16	1.64	1.17
Year End Exchange Rate	2.14	2.34	2.65
Appreciation (Depreciation) during the year ⁽²⁾	8.7%	11.8%	8.1%
Average Exchange Rate for the year	2.18	2.44	2.93
Appreciation (Depreciation) during the year ⁽³⁾	10.7%	16.8%	4.8%
Inflation Rate (IGP-DI)	3.8%	1.2%	12.1%

(1) Non-consolidated companies in Venezuela.

(2) Considering year end exchange rate.

(3) Considering average exchange rate for the year.

Results of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2006(2006) compared to the year ended December 31, 2005 (2005).

The comparison between our results of operations for 2006 and for 2005 has been affected by the 10.7% decrease in the average *real*/U.S. dollar exchange rate for 2006 as compared to the average *real*/U.S. dollar exchange rate for 2005.

Table of Contents

The exchange variation resulting from monetary assets and liabilities related to operations of consolidated subsidiaries whose functional currency is not *reais* are not eliminated in the consolidation process and such results are accounted for as cumulative translation adjustments.

Revenues

Net operating revenues increased 28.4% to U.S.\$72,347 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$56,324 million for 2005. This increase was primarily attributable to: an increase in prices of our products, both in the domestic and international markets; an increase in sales volume both in the domestic and international markets; and the 10.7% increase in the value of the *real* against the U.S. dollar in 2006, as compared to 2005.

Consolidated sales of products and services increased 26.8% to U.S.\$93,893 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$74,065 million for 2005, primarily due to the increases mentioned immediately above.

Included in sales of products and services are the following amounts that we collected from customers on behalf of the federal or state governments:

Value-added, PASEP, COFINS and other taxes on sales of products and services and social security contributions. These taxes increased 21.9% to U.S.\$17,906 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$14,694 million for 2005, primarily due to the increase in prices and sales volume of our products and services; and

CIDE, the per-transaction fee, which increased 19.5% to U.S.\$3,640 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$3,047 million for 2005. This increase was primarily attributable to the increase in sales volume of our products and services and to the 10.7% increase in the value of the *real* against the U.S. dollar in 2006, as compared to 2005.

Cost of sales (excluding Depreciation, depletion and amortization)

Cost of sales for 2006 increased 34.3% to U.S.\$40,061 million, as compared to U.S.\$29,828 million for 2005. This increase was principally a result of:

a U.S.\$3,376 million increase in the cost of imports due to higher prices for the products imported and to the increase in the volume of products imported;

a U.S.\$2,588 million increase in costs associated with a 19.4% increase in our international market sales volumes;

a U.S.\$2,033 million increase in taxes and charges imposed by the Brazilian government totaling U.S.\$7,443 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$5,410 million for 2005, as a result of higher international oil prices and the new interpretation by the ANP prohibiting the deductibility of charges associated with project financing for the Marlim field; including an increase in the special participation charge (an extraordinary charge payable in the event of high production and/or profitability from our fields) of U.S.\$3,885 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$3,016 million for 2005, as a result of higher international oil prices and an increase of U.S.\$249 million due to the new interpretation by the ANP mentioned above;

a U.S.\$187 million expense related to gas produced and re-injected in reserves in the Solimões, Campos and Espírito Santo basins;

a U.S.\$156 million increase in costs associated with our international trading activities, due to increases in volume and prices from offshore operations, conducted by PifCo; and

the 10.7% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2006, as compared to 2005.

Table of Contents

Depreciation, depletion and amortization

We calculate depreciation, depletion and amortization of most of our exploration and production assets on the basis of the units of production method. Depreciation, depletion and amortization expenses increased 25.5% to U.S.\$3,673 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$2,926 million for 2005. This increase was primarily attributable to the following:

increased capital expenditures related to property, plant and equipment associated with our crude oil and natural gas production; and

the 10.7% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2006, as compared to 2005.

Exploration, including exploratory dry holes

Exploration costs, including for exploratory dry holes, decreased 7.4% to U.S.\$934 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$1,009 million for 2005. This decrease was primarily attributable to the U.S.\$71 million of gains resulting from the revision of estimated costs related to abandonment of wells and to the decrease of U.S.\$109 million in expenses related to dry holes. These decreases were partially offset by the 10.7% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2006, as compared to 2005.

Impairment of oil and gas properties

For 2006, we recorded an impairment charge of U.S.\$21 million, as compared to an impairment charge of U.S.\$156 million for 2005. During 2006, the impairment charge was primarily related to producing properties in Brazil and the most significant amounts were related to our Três Marias, Trilha and Córrego de Pedras fields. During 2005, the impairment charge was primarily related to a loss in some of our investments in Venezuela (U.S.\$134 million), due to the tax and legal changes implemented by the Ministry of Energy and Petroleum of Venezuela (MEP) in connection with its nationalization measures. See note 9(c) and 9(e) to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 11.5% to U.S.\$4,989 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$4,474 million for 2005.

Selling expenses increased 11.8% to U.S.\$2,394 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$2,141 million for 2005. This increase was primarily attributable to the following:

an increase of approximately U.S.\$43 million in expenses related to the increased consumption of materials;

an increase of approximately U.S.\$23 million in personnel expenses due to the increase in our workforce and salaries;

an increase of approximately U.S.\$13 million in expenses mainly associated with transportation costs of oil products, due mainly to an increase in the exports; and

the 10.7% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2006, as compared to 2005.

General and administrative expenses increased 11.2% to U.S.\$2,595 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$2,333 million for 2005. This increase was primarily attributable to the 10.7% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2006, as compared to 2005.

Research and development expenses

Research and development expenses increased 82.2% to U.S.\$727 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$399 million for 2005. This increase was primarily due to:

Table of Contents

a provision for an ANP research and development investment, related to regulation ANP 05/2005, in the amount of approximately U.S.\$249 million;

additional investments in programs for environmental safety, including deepwater and refining technologies of approximately U.S.\$31 million; and

the 10.7% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2006, as compared to 2005.

Other operating expenses

Other operating expenses decreased 25.6% to a total of U.S.\$1,081 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$1,453 million for 2005.

The most significant charges for 2006 were:

a U.S.\$568 million expense for institutional relations and cultural projects;

a U.S.\$331 million expense for idle capacity from gas-fired power plants;

a U.S.\$75 million expense for losses resulting from legal proceedings and contingencies related to pending lawsuits;

a U.S.\$64 million expense for unscheduled stoppages of plant and equipment; and

a U.S.\$46 million gain related to bonuses received from partners and other results with non-core activities.

The most significant charges for 2005 were:

a U.S.\$457 million expense for gas-fired power plants related to idle capacity and penalties and contingencies;

a U.S.\$397 million expense for institutional relations and cultural projects;

a U.S.\$255 million loss related to the exchange of assets between us and Repsol that occurred in 2001. See Note 10(b) to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006;

a U.S.\$139 million expense for losses resulting from legal proceedings and contingencies related to pending lawsuits;

a U.S.\$64 million expense for unscheduled stoppages of plant and equipment; and

a U.S.\$61 million expense related to contractual losses from compliance with our ship or pay commitments with respect to our investments in the OCP pipeline in Ecuador.

Equity in results of non-consolidated companies

Equity in results of non-consolidated companies decreased 79.9% for a gain of U.S.\$28 million for 2006, as compared to a gain of U.S.\$139 million for 2005, primarily as a result of losses in investments in certain affiliated companies of Petrobras Distribuidora S.A., in the amount of U.S.\$52 million and in certain affiliated companies of Petrobras S.A., in the amount of U.S.\$43 million.

Financial income

We derive financial income from several sources, including interest on cash and cash equivalents. The majority of our cash equivalents are short-term Brazilian government securities, including securities indexed to the U.S. dollar. We also hold U.S. dollar deposits.

Table of Contents

Financial income increased 64.1% to a gain of U.S.\$1,165 million for 2006 as compared to U.S.\$710 million for 2005. This increase was primarily attributable to an increase in financial interest income from short-term investments, in the amount of U.S.\$229 million, in 2006 as a result of increased cash and cash equivalent due to increases in operational cash generation, and an increase in financial income from customers in the amount of U.S.\$147 million, as compared to 2005. A breakdown of financial income and expenses is disclosed in Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Financial expenses

Financial expenses increased 12.7% to U.S.\$1,340 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$1,189 million for 2005. This increase was primarily attributable to the increase of U.S.\$378 million of losses on derivatives instruments principally due to cancellation of gas hedge contract; and U.S.\$143 million of losses with repurchased securities. These increases were partially offset by the increase of U.S.\$389 million in our capitalized interest as part of the cost of construction and development of crude oil and natural gas production projects. A breakdown of financial income and expenses is disclosed in Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Monetary and exchange variation on monetary assets and liabilities, net

Monetary and exchange variation on monetary assets and liabilities, net generated a gain of U.S.\$75 million for 2006, as compared to a gain of U.S.\$248 million for 2005. The decrease in monetary and exchange variation on monetary assets and liabilities, net is primarily attributable to the effect of the 8.7% appreciation of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar during 2006, as compared to the 11.8% appreciation of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar during 2005.

Employee benefit expense for retired participants

The employee benefit expense consists of financial costs associated with expected pension and health care costs. Our employee benefit expense increased 2.3% to U.S.\$1,017 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$994 million for 2005. This increase was primarily attributable to the 10.7% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2006, as compared to 2005. This increase was partially offset by the decrease of U.S.\$96 million in the employee benefit expense for non-active participants due to the increase in expected return on plan assets regarding the good market performance during 2006.

Other taxes

Other taxes, consisting of miscellaneous value-added, transaction and sales taxes, increased 59.2% to U.S.\$594 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$373 million for 2005. This increase was primarily attributable to:

an increase of U.S.\$54 million in the PASEP/COFINS tax related to the increase in financial income;

an increase of U.S.\$49 million in the CPMF, a tax payable in connection with certain bank account transactions;

an increase of U.S.\$48 million in taxes related to the increase in operations with SPEs, mainly with Companhia Locadora de Equipamentos Petrolíferos - CLEP, Nova Transportadora do Sudeste - NTS and Nova Transportadora do Nordeste - NTN;

an U.S.\$12 million increase in taxes in Colombia and Bolivia, related to foreign remittance accounts and dividends; and

the 10.7% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2006, as compared to 2005.

Table of Contents*Other expenses, net*

Other expenses, net are primarily composed of gains and losses recorded on sales of fixed assets and certain other non-recurring charges. Other expenses, net decreased 39.3% to U.S.\$17 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$28 million for 2005, primarily due to the decrease in expenses related to platforms that were not producing.

Income tax (expense) benefit

Income before income taxes, minority interest and extraordinary gain increased 31.3% to U.S.\$19,161 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$14,592 million for 2005. The income tax expense increased 28.1% to U.S.\$5,691 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$4,441 million for 2005, primarily due to the increase in income mentioned above. This increase was partially offset by the additional tax benefits related to the provisioning of interest on shareholders' equity that amounted to U.S.\$1,012 million for 2006 as compared to tax benefits related to the provisioning of interest on shareholders' equity that amounted to U.S.\$791 million for 2005. The reconciliation between the tax calculated based upon statutory tax rates to income tax expense and effective rates is disclosed in Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Extraordinary gain, net of taxes

We recorded an extraordinary gain, net of taxes, in the amount of U.S.\$158 million due to the Escalators Liquidation Agreement entered into on December 29, 2005, and effective as from January 1, 2006, related to a contingent purchase price adjustment on the exchange of assets between us and Repsol that occurred in 2001. See Note 10 (b) to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Results of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2005(2005) compared to the year ended December 31, 2004 (2004).

The comparison between our results of operations for 2005 and 2004 has been affected by the 16.8% decrease in the average *Real*/U.S. dollar exchange rate for 2005 as compared to the average *Real*/U.S. dollar exchange rate for 2004. We refer to this change in the average exchange rate as the 16.8% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2005, as compared to 2004.

The exchange variation resulting from monetary assets and liabilities related to operations of consolidated subsidiaries whose functional currency is not *reais* are not eliminated in the consolidation process and such results are accounted for as cumulative translation adjustments.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation standards. These reclassifications had no impact on the Company's net income.

Revenues

Net operating revenues increased 46.6% to U.S.\$56,324 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$38,428 million for 2004. This increase was primarily attributable to an increase in prices of our products, both in the domestic market and outside Brazil, an increase in sales volume in the domestic market, and the 16.8% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2005, as compared to 2004.

Consolidated sales of products and services increased 42.6% to U.S.\$74,065 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$51,954 million for 2004, primarily due to the increases mentioned immediately above.

Included in sales of products and services are the following amounts that we collected on behalf of the federal or state governments:

Value-added (ICMS), PASEP, COFINS and other taxes on sales of products and services and social security contributions. These taxes increased 34.7% to U.S.\$14,694 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$10,906 million for 2004, primarily due to the increase in prices and sales volume of our products and services; and

Table of Contents

CIDE, the per-transaction fee, which increased 16.3% to U.S.\$3,047 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$2,620 million for 2004. This increase was primarily attributable to the increase in sales volume of our products and services and to the 16.8% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2005, as compared to 2004.

Cost of sales (excluding Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization)

Cost of sales for 2005 increased 40.2% to U.S.\$29,828 million, as compared to U.S.\$21,279 million for 2004. This increase was principally a result of:

a U.S.\$1,834 million increase in taxes and charges paid to the Brazilian government totaling U.S.\$5,410 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$3,576 million for 2004, including an increase in the special participation charge (an extraordinary charge payable in the event of high production and/or profitability from our fields) to U.S.\$3,016 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$1,883 million for 2004, as a result of higher international oil prices;

a U.S.\$1,654 million increase in the cost of imports due to higher prices for the products imported;

a U.S.\$1,375 million increase in costs attributable to: (1) maintenance and technical services for well restoration, materials, support for vessels, undersea operations, freight with third parties (these prices tend to accompany the international oil prices) consumption of chemical products to clear out and eliminate toxic gases principally at Marlim; and (2) higher personnel expenses primarily related to: overtime payments as set forth in our collective bargaining agreement; an increase in our workforce; and a revision in the actuarial calculations relating to future health care and pension benefits;

a U.S.\$1,281 million increase in costs associated with our international trading activities, due to increases in volume and prices from offshore operations, conducted by PifCo;

a U.S.\$561 million increase in costs associated with a 9.0% increase in our international market sales volumes;

a U.S.\$534 million increase in costs in our Argentinean subsidiary PEPESA mainly due to oil products purchases as a result of total capacity utilization of its refineries and higher sales volume of petrochemical products;

a U.S.\$198 million increase in costs associated with a 1.7% increase in our domestic sales volumes; and

the 16.8% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2005, as compared to 2004.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization

We calculate depreciation, depletion and amortization of our exploration and production assets on the basis of the units of production method. Depreciation, depletion and amortization expenses increased 17.9% to U.S.\$2,926 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$2,481 million for 2004. This increase was primarily attributable to the following:

increased property, plant and equipment expenditures an increased crude oil and natural gas production; and

the 16.8% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2005, as compared to 2004.

Exploration, including exploratory dry holes

Exploration costs, including exploratory dry holes increased 64.6% to U.S.\$1,009 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$613 million for 2004. We adopted the amended FAS 19-1 effective January 1, 2005, without material impact. This increase was primarily attributable to the following:

Table of Contents

the increase of U.S.\$196 million due to a revision in the estimated expenses for dismantling oil and gas producing areas and future well abandonment that affected the exploration costs and was related to new commercial areas, increased estimates of cost to abandon and changes in asset retirement obligations estimates provided by operators in joint ventures;

an increase of U.S.\$98 million in geological and geophysical expenses;

an increase of U.S.\$16 million in dry holes expenses; and

the 16.8% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2005, as compared to 2004.

Impairment of oil and gas properties

For 2005, we recorded an impairment charge of U.S.\$156 million, as compared to an impairment charge of U.S.\$65 million for 2004. During 2005, the impairment charge was primarily related to investments in Venezuela (U.S.\$134 million), due to the tax and legal changes implemented by the Ministry of Energy and Petroleum of Venezuela (MEP). During 2004, the impairment charge was related to producing properties in Brazil and principle amounts were related to the Company's Cioba off-shore field (U.S.\$30 million). See Note 10(d) to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 54.2% to U.S.\$4,474 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$2,901 million for 2004.

Selling expenses increased 38.7% to U.S.\$2,141 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$1,544 million for 2004.

This increase was primarily attributable to the following:

an increase of U.S.\$338 million in expenses mainly associated with the transportation costs of oil products due mainly to an increase in the exports; and

the 16.8% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2005, as compared to 2004.

General and administrative expenses increased 71.9% to U.S.\$2,333 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$1,357 million for 2004. This increase was primarily attributable to the following:

an increase of approximately U.S.\$287 million in employee expenses due to the increase in our workforce and salaries; and an increase in the actuarial calculations relating to future health care and pension benefits due to changes in actuarial assumptions;

an increase of approximately U.S.\$212 million in expenses related to technical consulting services in connection with our increased outsourcing of selected non-core general activities; and

the 16.8% increase in the average value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2005, as compared to 2004.

Research and development expenses

Research and development expenses increased 60.9% to U.S.\$399 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$248 million for 2004. This increase was primarily related to additional investments in programs for environmental safety, to deepwater and refining technologies of approximately U.S.\$101 million and to the 16.8% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2005, as compared to 2004.

Other operating expenses

Other operating expenses amounted to U.S.\$1,453 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$480 million for 2004.

Table of Contents

The charges for 2005 were:

a U.S.\$457 million expense for gas-fired power plants related to idle capacity and penalties and contingencies;

a U.S.\$397 million expense for institutional relations and cultural projects;

a U.S.\$255 million loss related to the exchange of assets between us and Repsol that occurred in 2001. See Note 10(b) to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006;

a U.S.\$139 million expense for losses resulting from legal proceedings and contingencies related to pending lawsuits;

a U.S.\$64 million expense for unscheduled stoppages of plant and equipment; and

a U.S.\$61 million expense related to contractual losses from compliance with our ship or pay commitments with respect to our investments in the OCP pipeline in Ecuador.

The charges for 2004 were:

a U.S.\$262 million expense for institutional relations and cultural projects;

a U.S.\$87 million expense for legal liability and contingencies related to pending lawsuits; and

a U.S.\$85 million expense for unscheduled stoppages of plant and equipment.

Equity in results of non-consolidated companies

Equity in results of non-consolidated companies decreased 19.2% to a gain of U.S.\$139 million for 2005, as compared to a gain of U.S.\$172 million for 2004, primarily due to the results of our investments in certain gas-fired power and petrochemical companies being lower as certain of these entities have been subsequently purchased and are now consolidated on a line by line basis; and as a result of losses in investments in certain affiliated companies of Petrobras Energia Venezuela S.A, in the amount of U.S.\$19 million.

Financial income

We derive financial income from several sources, including interest on cash and cash equivalents. The majority of our cash equivalents are short-term Brazilian government securities, including securities indexed to the U.S. dollar. We also hold U.S. dollar deposits.

Financial income decreased 25.7% to U.S.\$710 million for 2005 as compared to U.S.\$956 million for 2004. This decrease was primarily attributable to the reduction of fair value adjustments on gas hedge transactions in the amount of U.S.\$460 million.

This decrease was partially offset by an increase in financial interest income from short-term investments, in the amount of U.S.\$138 million, primarily attributable to increased investments in securities in 2005 as compared to 2004, due to higher amount of cash and cash equivalents. A breakdown of financial income and expenses is shown in Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Financial expenses

Financial expenses decreased 31.4% to U.S.\$1,189 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$1,733 million for 2004. This decrease was primarily attributable to:

a U.S.\$345 million increase in our interest expense capitalized as part of the cost of construction and development of crude oil and natural gas production projects. A breakdown of financial income and

Table of Contents

expenses is shown in Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005;

a U.S.\$130 million decrease of expenses related to hedge transactions; and

a U.S.\$120 million decrease in expenses relating to repurchases of our own securities.

Monetary and exchange variation on monetary assets and liabilities, net

Monetary and exchange variation on monetary assets and liabilities, net generated a gain of U.S.\$248 million for 2005, as compared to a gain of U.S.\$450 million for 2004. The decrease in monetary and exchange variation on monetary assets and liabilities, net is primarily attributable to the effect of the 11.8% year ended value appreciation of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar during 2005, as compared to the 8.1% appreciation of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar during 2004.

Employee benefit expense for non-active participants

The employee benefit expense consists of financial costs associated with expected pension and health care costs. Our employee benefit expense increased 52.9% to U.S.\$994 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$650 million for 2004. This increase in costs was primarily attributable to an increase of U.S.\$212 million in the annual actuarial calculation of our pension and health care plan liability and to the 16.8% average increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2005, as compared to 2004.

Other taxes

Other taxes, consisting of miscellaneous value-added, transaction and sales taxes, decreased 15.2% to U.S.\$373 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$440 million for 2004. This decrease was primarily attributable to the decrease of U.S.\$149 million in the PASEP/COFINS taxes on financial income, due to a reduction to zero in the applicable rate as of August 2, 2004. This decrease was partially offset by the 16.8% increase in the value of the *Real* against the U.S. dollar in 2005, as compared to 2004.

Other expenses, net

Other expenses, net are primarily composed of gains and losses recorded on sales of fixed assets and certain other non-recurring charges. Other expenses, net decreased 84.5% to U.S.\$28 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$181 million for 2004, primarily due to the decrease in expenses related to platforms that were not producing.

Income tax (expense) benefit

Income before income taxes, minority interest, extraordinary item and accounting changes increased 63.3% to U.S.\$14,592 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$8,935 million for 2004. The income tax expense increased 99.1% to U.S.\$4,441 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$2,231 million for 2004, primarily due to the increase in income, mentioned above. This increase was partially offset by the additional tax benefits related to interest on shareholders equity that amounted to U.S.\$791 million for 2005, as compared to U.S.\$650 million for 2004.

The reconciliation between the tax calculated based upon statutory tax rates to income tax expense and effective rates is shown in Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Extraordinary gain, net of taxes

We recorded an extraordinary gain, net of taxes, in the amount of U.S.\$158 million due to the Escalators Liquidation Agreement entered into on December 29, 2005, and effective as from January 1, 2006, related to a contingent purchase price adjustment on the exchange of assets between us and Repsol occurred in 2001. See Note 11(c) to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Business Segments

Set forth below is selected financial data by segment for 2006, 2005 and 2004:

Table of Contents**SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA BY SEGMENT**

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
	(In millions of U.S. dollars)		
Exploration, Development and Production (Exploration and Production Segment)			
Net revenues to third parties (1)(2)	\$ 3,351	\$ 1,874	\$ 2,487
Intersegment net revenues	32,387	26,950	16,384
Total net operating revenues (2)	35,738	28,824	18,871
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	(2,166)	(1,571)	(1,322)
Net income (3)	11,958	9,469	5,949
Capital expenditures	7,329	6,127	4,574
Property, plant and equipment, net	33,979	25,876	20,458
Refining, Transportation and Marketing (Supply Segment)			
Net revenues to third parties (1)(2)	\$ 42,831	\$ 33,229	\$ 20,981
Intersegment net revenues	15,128	12,286	7,786
Total net operating revenues(2)	57,959	45,515	28,767
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	(669)	(644)	(548)
Net income (3)	2,540	2,245	825
Capital expenditures	1,936	1,749	1,367
Property, plant and equipment, net	9,828	8,098	6,333
Distribution (Distribution Segment)			
Net revenues to third parties (1)	\$ 18,394	\$ 15,642	\$ 10,328
Intersegment net revenues	287	225	158
Total net operating revenues	18,681	15,867	10,486
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	(143)	(100)	(59)
Net income (3)	298	311	168
Capital expenditures	351	207	47
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,468	1,238	1,011
Natural Gas and Power (Gas and Power Segment)			
Net revenues to third parties (1)	\$ 2,833	\$ 1,932	\$ 1,547
Intersegment net revenues	1,257	1,232	474
Total net operating revenues	4,090	3,164	2,021
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	(197)	(105)	(100)
Net loss (3)	(502)	(342)	(347)
Capital expenditures	1,664	694	782
Property, plant and equipment, net	6,828	5,328	4,506
International (International Segment)			
Net revenues to third parties (1)	\$ 4,938	\$ 3,647	\$ 3,085
Intersegment net revenues	1,133	880	519
Total net operating revenues	6,071	4,527	3,604
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	(417)	(461)	(423)
Net income (3)	123	526	568

Capital expenditures	2,637	1,175	727
Property, plant and equipment, net	5,722	4,655	4,160

(1) As a vertically integrated company, not all of our segments have significant third-party revenues. For example, our exploration and production segment accounts for a large part of our economic activity and capital expenditures, but has little third party revenues.

(2) Since 2005, revenues from commercialization of oil to third parties are being classified in accordance with the points of sale, which could be either the Exploration & Production or Supply segments. Until 2004, revenues from commercialization of oil were allocated entirely to the Exploration & Production segment. This classification generated no significant impact on the results reported for these segments and segment information has not been restated

as it is
impracticable to
gather and collect
data for prior
periods as to point
of sale.

- (3) In order to align
the financial
statements of each
business segment
with the best
practices of
companies in the
Oil & Gas sector
and to improve our
management's
understanding,
since the first
quarter of 2006 we
have switched to
allocating all
financial results
and items of a
financial nature to
the corporate level,
including prior
years.

Table of Contents

**Management's Discussion and Analysis of PifCo's Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Overview**

PifCo is a wholly-owned subsidiary of ours. Accordingly, PifCo's financial position and results of operations are significantly affected by our decisions. PifCo's ability to meet its outstanding debt obligations depends on a number of factors, including:

our financial condition and results of operations;

the extent to which we continue to use PifCo's services for market purchases of crude oil and oil products;

Our willingness to continue to make loans to PifCo and provide PifCo with other types of financial support;

PifCo's ability to access financing sources, including the international capital markets and third-party credit facilities; and

PifCo's ability to transfer our financing costs to us.

PifCo earns income from:

sales of crude oil and oil products to us;

limited sales of crude oil and oil products to third parties; and

the financing of sales to us, inter-company loans to us and investments in marketable securities and other financial instruments.

PifCo's operating expenses include:

cost of sales, which is comprised mainly of purchases of crude oil and oil products;

selling, general and administrative expenses; and

financial expense, mainly from interest on its lines of credit and capital markets indebtedness, sales of future receivables and inter-company loans from us.

Purchases and Sales of Crude Oil and Oil Products

PifCo typically purchases crude oil and oil products in transactions with payment terms of approximately 30 days. We typically pay for shipments of crude oil and oil products that PifCo sells to us over a period of up to 330 days, which allows us sufficient time to assemble the necessary documentation under Brazilian law to commence the payment process for our shipments. Before February 2005, PifCo sold crude oil and oil products to us under terms that allowed for payment up to 270 days from the date of the bill of lading. During this period, PifCo typically finances the purchase of crude oil and oil products through either funds previously provided by us or third-party trade finance arrangements. The difference between the amount PifCo pays for crude oil and oil products and the amount we pay for that same crude oil and oil products is deferred and recognized as part of PifCo's financial income on a straight-line basis over the period in which our payments to PifCo come due.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations*****Results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005.****Net Loss*

PifCo had a loss of U.S.\$210.5 million in 2006, as compared to a loss of U.S.\$27.8 million in 2005, primarily due to (1) the notes repurchased in connection with the debt tender offer resulting an expense of U.S.\$160.0 million, and (2) the payment of premium related to the PFL prepaid fixed rate Senior Trust Certificates (Series A1 and B) in the amount of U.S.\$13.7 million.

Sales of Crude Oil and Oil Products and Services

PifCo's sales of crude oil and oil products and services increased 28.8% from U.S.\$17,136.1 million in 2005 to U.S.\$22,069.8 million in 2006. This increase was primarily due to a 19.8% increase in the average price of Brent crude oil, from U.S.\$54.38 per barrel in 2005 to U.S.\$65.14 per barrel in 2006 and due to a 15.3% increase in the volume of trading sales of crude oil and oil products.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales increased 29.0% from U.S.\$16,983.3 million in 2005 to U.S.\$21,900.5 million in 2006. This increase was primarily due to the increase in the average price of Brent crude oil and volume described above.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

PifCo's selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of shipping costs and fees for services, including accounting, legal and rating services. These expenses increased 25.1% from U.S.\$165.7 million in 2005 to U.S.\$207.4 million in 2006, of which U.S.\$171.0 million consisted of shipping expenses due to an increase in average freight rates in the period, as the result of changes in international market trends and shipping routes.

Financial Income

PifCo's financial income consists of the financing of sales to us and inter-company loans to us, and investments in marketable securities and other financial instruments. PifCo's financial income increased 30.6% from U.S.\$984.0 million in 2005 to U.S.\$1,285.2 million in 2006, primarily due to (1) an increase in the amount of sales to us made during 2005 compared to 2004, as well as the amount of sales during 2006, resulting in additional financial income due to the financing terms granted to us and due to interest calculated on a monthly basis (see Purchases and Sales of Crude Oil and Oil Products), (2) an increase in loans to related parties, and (3) an increase in interest income from short and long-term investments as a result of a higher returns.

Financial Expense

PifCo's financial expense consists of interest paid and accrued on PifCo's outstanding indebtedness and other fees associated with PifCo's issuance of debt. PifCo's financial expense increased 45.9% from U.S.\$998.9 million in 2005 to U.S.\$1,457.8 million in 2006, primarily due to (1) the notes repurchased in connection with the debt tender offer resulting an expense of U.S.\$160.0 million, (2) an increase in inter-company loans from us, (3) an increase in interest expenses associated with lines of credit and (4) the payment of premium related to the PFL prepaid fixed rate Senior Trust Certificates (Series A1 and B) in the amount of U.S.\$13.7 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources*Petrobras**Overview*

Our principal uses of funds are for capital expenditures, dividend payments and repayment of debt. Historically we have met these requirements with internally generated funds, short-term debt, long-term debt,

Table of Contents

project financing and sale and leaseback agreements. We believe these sources of funds, together with our strong cash and cash equivalents, will continue to allow us to meet our currently anticipated capital requirements. In 2007, our major cash needs include planned capital expenditures of U.S.\$23,706 million, announced dividends of U.S.\$3,693 million and payments of U.S.\$4,519 million on our long-term debt, leasing and project financing obligations.

Financing Strategy

The objective of our financing strategy is to help us achieve the targets set forth in our business plan released on June 30, 2006, which provides for capital expenditures of U.S.\$87.1 billion from 2007 through 2011. We will continue our policy of extending the term of our debt maturity profile, while keeping leverage within the comfortable range, so that, in spite of the expansion of investments, average financial leverage should be close to that under the previous plan. We also intend to reduce our cost of capital through a variety of medium and long-term financing arrangements, including supplier financing, project financing, bank financing, securitization and issuance of debt, and a share repurchase program that was approved by our Board of Directors on December 15, 2006.

Government Regulation

The Ministry of Planning, Budget and Management controls the total amount of medium and long-term debt that we and our Brazilian subsidiaries are allowed to incur through the annual budget approval process (*Plano de Dispêndio Global*, or PDG). Before issuing medium and long-term debt, we and our Brazilian subsidiaries must also obtain the approval of the National Treasury shortly before issuance.

In accordance with Senate Resolution N° 96/89 the level of our borrowings is subject to an annual maximum amount, exclusive of certain permitted commercial obligations, based on shareholders' equity, debt service expense and other factors as of the prior year and subject to certain ongoing quarterly adjustments. For 2006, the maximum level of debt that we could incur was set at U.S.\$985 million. The maximum level was set at U.S.\$891.6 million for 2005 and U.S.\$958 million for 2004.

All of our foreign currency denominated debt, as well as the foreign currency denominated debt of our Brazilian subsidiaries, requires registration with the Central Bank. The issuance of debt by our international subsidiaries, however, is not subject to registration with the Central Bank or approval by the National Treasury. In addition, all issuances of medium and long-term notes and debentures require the approval of our Board of Directors. Borrowings that exceed the approved budget amount for any year also require approval of the Brazilian Senate.

*Sources of Funds**Our Cash Flow*

On December 31, 2006, we had cash and cash equivalents of U.S.\$12,688 million as compared to U.S.\$9,871 million at December 31, 2005.

Operating activities provided net cash flows of U.S.\$21,077 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$15,115 million for 2005. Cash generated by operating activities was mainly affected by net operating revenues that increased U.S.\$16,023 million, primarily due to an increase in sales volume and in prices in both the domestic market and outside of Brazil.

Net cash used in investing activities increased to U.S.\$14,681 million for 2006, as compared to U.S.\$10,207 million for 2005. This increase was due primarily to our capital expenditures associated with our operating activities, which used U.S.\$14,643 million, including U.S.\$7,329 million related to our exploration and production projects in Brazil, mainly in the Campos basin.

Financing activities used net cash of U.S.\$4,354 million for 2006, as compared to net cash used in financing activities in the amount of U.S.\$2,625 million for 2005. This increase was primarily due to an increase in the amount of dividends paid to shareholders, in 2006 as compared to 2005, and to the debt repurchase tender offer of notes of PifCo, in the amount of U.S.\$1,046 million.

Table of Contents**Short-Term Debt**

Our outstanding short-term debt serves mainly to support our imports of crude oil and oil products, and is provided almost entirely by international banks. On December 31, 2006, our short-term debt (excluding current portions of long-term obligations) amounted to U.S.\$1,293 million as compared to U.S.\$950 million on December 31, 2005.

Long-Term Debt

Our outstanding long-term debt consists primarily of the issuance of securities in the international capital markets, debentures in the domestic capital markets, amounts outstanding under facilities guaranteed by export credit agencies and multilateral agencies and loans from the *Banco Nacional de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social* (the Brazilian National Development Bank, or BNDES) and other financial institutions. Outstanding long-term debt, plus the current portion of our long-term debt remained relatively constant, amounting to U.S.\$12,616 million on December 31, 2006, as compared to U.S.\$12,931 million on December 31, 2005.

Included in these figures on December 31, 2006 are the following international debt issues:

Notes	Principal amount
6.625% Step Down Notes due 2007 (1)	EUR 134 million
PifCo s 9.125% Notes due 2007 (2)	U.S.\$500 million
PifCo s 9.875% Notes due 2008 (2)	U.S.\$450 million
PifCo s 9.750% Notes due 2011 (2)	U.S.\$600 million
PifCo s 4.750% Senior Exchangeable Notes due 2007	U.S.\$338 million
PifCo s 12.375% Global Step-up Notes due 2008 (3)	U.S.\$400 million
PifCo s 9.125% Global Notes due 2013	U.S.\$750 million
PifCo s 8.375% Global Notes due 2018	U.S.\$750 million
PifCo s 3.748% Senior Trust Certificates due 2013	U.S.\$200 million
PifCo s 6.436% Senior Trust Certificates due 2015	U.S.\$550 million
PEPSA s 9.375% Notes due 2013	U.S.\$100 million
PifCo s 7.75% Global Notes due 2014	U.S.\$600 million
PifCo s 6.125% Global Notes due 2016	U.S.\$500 million
PifCo s 2.15% Japanese Yen Bonds due 2016 (4)	U.S.\$294 million
PEPSA s 9.00% Notes due 2009	U.S.\$181 million
PEPSA s 8.13% Notes due 2010	U.S.\$349 million
PEPSA s 6.55% Notes due 2011	U.S.\$87 million
PEPSA s 9.38% Notes due 2013	

- (1) Euro;
U.S.\$1.3191 =
EUR 1.00 at
December 31,
2006.
- (2) Issued by PifCo,
with support
from us through
a standby
purchase
agreement and
with insurance
against
18 months of
inconvertibility
and transfer risk
for interest
payments.
- (3) The Global
Step-up Notes
bear interest
from March 31,
2003 at a rate of
9.00% per year
until April 1,
2006 and at rate
of 12.375% per
year thereafter,
with interest
payable
semi-annually.
Issued by PifCo,
with support
from us through
a standby
purchase
agreement.
- (4) Issued by PifCo
on
September 27,
2006 in the
amount of ¥
35 billion.
Project financing

Since 1997, we have utilized project financing to provide capital for our extensive exploration and production operations and related projects, including some natural gas processing and transportation systems. All of these projects and the related debt obligations of special purpose companies (SPCs) established for these financings are on-balance sheet and accounted for under the line item Project Financings . Under typical contractual arrangements, we are responsible for completing the development of the oil and gas fields, operating the fields, paying all operating expenses relating to the projects and remitting a portion of the net proceeds generated from the

Table of Contents

fields to fund the SPCs' debt and return on equity payments. At the end of each financing project, we have the option to purchase the project assets from the SPC or, in some cases, acquire control over the SPC itself.

Outstanding project financing, plus the current portion of our project financing, totaled U.S.\$6,374 million at December 31, 2006, as compared to U.S.\$6,042 million at December 31, 2005.

During 2006, we made capital expenditures of U.S.\$7,329 million (50.1% of our total capital expenditures) in connection with exploration and development projects in Brazil, mainly in the Campos Basin, a number of which are being financed through project financings.

Of the U.S.\$2,955 million projected amount of expenditures for project financings in 2007, we expect that approximately U.S.\$819 million will be used by our exploration and production segment, U.S.\$397 million by our supply segment and U.S.\$1,739 million by our Gas and Power segment. The amount of Gas and Power segment will be applied directly by SPCs created for this finality.

On December 31, 2006, the long-term portion of project financings becomes due in the following years:

	(in millions of U.S. dollars)
2008	1,252
2009	993
2010	666
2011	401
2012	158
2013 and thereafter	722
	4,192

*PifCo**Overview*

PifCo finances its oil trading activities principally from commercial banks, including lines of credit, as well as through inter-company loans from us and the issuance of notes in the international capital markets. As an offshore non-Brazilian company, PifCo is not legally obligated to receive prior approval from the Brazilian National Treasury before incurring debt or registering debt with the Central Bank. As a matter of policy, however, the issuance of any debt follows the recommendation by any of our Chief Financial Officer, Executive Board or Board of Directors, depending on the aggregate principal amount and the tenor of the debt to be issued.

*Sources of Funds**PifCo's Cash Flow*

On December 31, 2006, PifCo had cash and cash equivalents of U.S.\$510.8 million, as compared to U.S.\$230.7 million at December 31, 2005. The increase in cash was primarily a result of proceeds from short and long term loans from us during 2006. PifCo's operating activities used net cash of U.S.\$1,967.4 million in 2006, as compared to using net cash of U.S.\$5.9 million in 2005, primarily as a result of (i) an increase in trade accounts receivable from related parties, as a result of an increase in the average price of Brent crude oil, (ii) the change of the period during which we pay PifCo for shipments of crude oil and oil products from 270 to 330 days and (iii) an increase in the volume of trading sales of crude oil and oil products. PifCo's investing activities used net cash of U.S.\$1,891.0 million in 2006, as compared to using net cash of U.S.\$2,271.0 million in 2005, primarily as a result of a decrease in outstanding position of marketable securities due to the amortization of CLEP securities in the amount of U.S.\$630 million. PifCo's financing activities provided net cash of U.S.\$4,138.5 million in 2006, as compared to providing net cash of U.S.\$1,400.3 million in 2005, primarily as a result of an increase in proceeds from short and long-term loans from us.

Table of Contents

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable from related parties increased 22.8% from U.S.\$8,681.1 million on December 31, 2005 to U.S.\$10,658.9 million on December 31, 2006, primarily as a result of an increase of 19.8% in the average price of Brent crude oil and due to a 15.3% increase in the volume of trading sales of crude oil and oil products.

PifCo's Short-Term Borrowings

PifCo's short-term borrowings are denominated in U.S. dollars and consist of lines of credit and loans payable. PifCo's outstanding position at December 31, 2006 in irrevocable letters of credit was U.S.\$552.1 million, as compared to U.S.\$369.5 million at December 31, 2005. Considering only the issuance of irrevocable letters of credit supporting oil imports, PifCo's outstanding position at December 31, 2006 was U.S.\$365.0 million, as compared to U.S.\$300.6 million at December 31, 2005. At December 31, 2006, PifCo had accessed U.S.\$329.2 million in lines of credit, including the current portion of long-term lines of credit, as compared to U.S.\$493.6 million accessed at December 31, 2005. The weighted average annual interest rate on these short-term borrowings was 6.76% at December 31, 2006, as compared to 5.0% at December 31, 2005. At December 31, 2006, PifCo had utilized all the proceeds from lines of credit for the purchase of imports.

The short-term portion of PifCo's notes payable to related parties, which are principally composed of notes payable to us, increased 23.9% from U.S.\$4,346.1 million at December 31, 2005 to U.S.\$5,386.8 million at December 31, 2006, primarily as a result of PifCo's short-term financing needs.

PifCo's Long-Term Borrowings

PifCo's long-term loans from us increased from U.S.\$3,734.1 million on December 31, 2005 to U.S.\$7,441.7 million on December 31, 2006, with interest rates ranging from 8.3% to 8.6% and due between 2010 and 2021.

On December 31, 2006, PifCo had outstanding U.S.\$1,041.3 million in long-term lines of credit due between 2008 and 2017, as compared to U.S.\$1,194.7 million on December 31, 2005.

On July 24, 2006, PifCo concluded its debt repurchase offer (Tender) announced on July 18, 2006. The amount of notes tendered for five series of notes listed below was U.S.\$888.3 million. Including the notes previously repurchased by us and our affiliates, also included in the tender, the total value reached U.S.\$1,215.7 million. The purpose of this initiative was to reduce total debt outstanding and simplify the debt profile, thus benefiting from PifCo's current strong cash generation. The transaction was settled on July 27, 2006 and all the notes tendered were canceled from this date. Upon conclusion of the Tender, PifCo incurred in expenses in the total amount of U.S.\$160.0 million.

Table of Contents

	Interest	Maturity	Principal Amount (in millions of
Securities Repurchased	Rate	Date	U.S.dollars)
Global step-up notes	12.375%	2008	U.S.\$265.4
Senior notes	9.875%	2008	211.8
Senior notes	9.750%	2011	313.6
Global notes	9.125%	2013	251.7
Global notes	8.375%	2018	173.2
			U.S.\$1,215.7

After the Tender, the outstanding amount of the other long-term borrowings are:

U.S.\$524.6 million (U.S.\$500 million current portion) in two series of long-term Senior Notes due to 2008 and 2011. On July 24, 2006 these notes were tendered in the amount of U.S.\$525.4 million. The notes bear interest of 9.875% and 9.75%, respectively.

U.S.\$329.9 million (current portion) in 4.75% Senior Exchangeable Notes due 2007, issued on October 17, 2002, in connection with our purchase of Perez Companc S.A. (currently known as Petrobras Energia Participaciones PEPSA). In exchange, PifCo received notes issued by Petrobras International Braspetro BV (PIB BV), a related party, in the same amount, terms and conditions as the Senior Exchangeable Notes. In connection with the acquisition of Perez Companc, PifCo also provided PIB BV with a loan for U.S.\$724.5 million, with an interest rate of 4.79%.

U.S.\$134.6 million in Global Step-up Notes due April 2008. The notes bear interest from March 31, 2003 at a rate of 12.375% per year until April 1, 2006 and at a rate of 12.375% per year thereafter, with interest payable semiannually. On April 1, 2006, the noteholders had the right to exercise a put option and require PifCo to repurchase the notes, in whole or in part, at par value. Noteholders have not exercised this put option. PifCo used the proceeds from this issuance principally to repay trade-related debt and inter-company loans. On July 24, 2006 these notes were tendered in the amount of U.S.\$265.4 million.

U.S.\$464.4 million (U.S.\$65.0 million current portion) in connection with our exports prepayment program. On December 21, 2001, the Trust (PF Export) issued to PFL, PifCo's subsidiary, U.S.\$750 million of Senior Trust Certificates in four series and U.S.\$150 million of Junior Trust Certificates. In addition, on May 13, 2003, the Trust issued U.S.\$550 million in 6.436% Senior Trust Certificates due 2015, and on May 14, 2003, the Trust issued U.S.\$200 million in 3.748% Senior Trust Certificates due 2013 and an additional U.S.\$150 million of Junior Trust Certificates. In May 2004, PFL and the PF Export Trust executed an amendment to the Trust Agreement allowing the Junior Trust Certificates to be set-off against the related Notes, rather than paid in full, after fulfillment of all obligations pursuant to the Senior Trust Certificates. The effect of this amendment is that amounts related to the Junior Trust Certificates are now presented net, rather than gross in PifCo's consolidated financial statements, and thus U.S.\$150 million has been reduced from the current portion of long term debt and from the long-term debt liability caption with respect to sales of rights to future receivables, with a similar reduction to the asset line item assets related to export prepayments.

On September 1, 2005, PFL prepaid the floating rate Senior Trust Certificates (Series A2 and C) in accordance with the applicable provisions of the governing agreements. In order to facilitate this advance payment, we prepaid to PFL an amount of U.S.\$330.3 million related to the export prepayment program.

On March 1, 2006, PFL prepaid the fixed rate Senior Trust Certificates (Series A1 and B), in accordance with the applicable provisions of the governing agreements, in the amount of U.S.\$333.9 million.

On May 26, 2006, PFL successfully completed a solicitation of consents from holders of the Series 2003-A 6.436% Senior Trust Certificates due 2015 issued by PF Export Receivables Master Trust. The amendments sought

Table of Contents

to eliminate exports of bunker fuel from the transaction so that the securities have been collateralized only by receivables from sales of fuel oil exported by us and to reduce the minimum average daily gross exports of fuel oil for any rolling twelve-month period. PFL also obtained the consent from the holders of Series 2003-B 3.748% due 2013. The amendments became effective on June 1, 2006.

As a result of these amendments, the premium rate of the guarantee of the Series 2003-B was reduced from 1.8% to 1.1%.

U. S.\$2,181.4 million in Global Notes, of which U.S.\$500 million were issued on July 2, 2003 and are due July 2013. The notes bear interest at the rate of 9.125% per year, payable semi-annually. In September 2003, PifCo issued an additional U.S.\$250 million in Global Notes, which form a single fungible series with PifCo's U.S.\$500 million Global Notes due July 2013. The proceeds from these issuances were used principally to repay trade-related debt and inter-company loans. On July 24, 2006 these notes were tendered in the amount of U.S.\$251.7 million. On December 10, 2003, PifCo issued an additional U.S.\$750 million of Global Notes due December 2018. The notes bear interest at the rate of 8.375% per year, payable semiannually. On July 24, 2006 these notes were tendered in the amount of U.S.\$173.2 million. In September 2004, PifCo issued an additional U.S.\$600 million of Global Notes due 2014. The notes bear interest at the rate of 7.75% per year, payable semiannually. The proceeds from the issuance of these notes were used principally for general corporate purposes, including the financing of the purchase of oil product imports and the repayment of existing trade-related debt and inter-company loans. On October 6, 2006, PifCo issued Global Notes of U.S.\$500,000 due October 2016. The notes bear interest at the rate of 6.125% per year, payable semiannually. PifCo used the proceeds from this issuance principally to repay trade-related debt and inter-company loans.

U.S.\$293.9 million (¥35 billion) in Japanese Yen Bonds issued on September 2006 and due to September 2016. The issue was a private placement in the Japanese market with a partial guarantee by the Japan Bank for International Cooperation (JBIC) and its main purposes were to retap the Japanese market, access a new investors base and reduce the financial cost. The bonds bear interest at the rate of 2.15% per year, payable semiannually. On the same date, PifCo entered into a swap agreement with Citibank, swapping the total amount of this debt to a U.S. dollar denominate debt.

On December 31, 2006, PifCo had available standby committed facilities in the amount of U.S.\$675 million, which are not specific as to use requirements. PifCo has no drawdown amounts related to these facilities and, as of the date of this filing, has not scheduled a date for the drawdown.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the sources of PifCo's current and long-term debt at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

CURRENT AND LONG-TERM DEBT

	December 31, 2006		December 31, 2005	
	Current	Long-term	Current	Long-term
	(in millions of U.S. dollars)			
Financing institutions	U.S.\$329.2	U.S.\$1,041.2	U.S.\$493.6	U.S.\$1,194.7
Senior notes	533.9	524.6	53.5	1,550.0
Global step-up notes	4.2	134.6	9.0	400.0
Global notes	32.7	2,181.4	26.3	2,115.3
Sale of right to future receivables	68.4	614.4	567.4	679.4
Senior exchangeable notes	333.7		3.7	329.9
Japanese yen bonds	1.7	293.9		
Assets related to export prepayment to be offset against sales of rights to future receivables		(150.0)	(150.0)	(150.0)
Repurchased securities			(4.7)	(210.9)
	U.S.\$1,303.8	U.S.\$4,640.1	U.S.\$998.8	U.S.\$5,908.4

Extinguished securities

On December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, we had amounts invested abroad in an exclusive investment fund that held debt securities of some of our group companies in the amount of U.S.\$245 million and U.S.\$2,078 million, respectively. Once these securities are purchased by the fund, the related amounts, together with applicable interest, are removed from the presentation of marketable securities and long-term debt. See Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As noted above, all of our project financings are on-balance sheet. As of December 31, 2006, neither we nor PifCo had off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a material effect on our financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

*Uses of Funds**Capital Expenditures*

In the pursuit of the goals outlined in our strategic plan we continue to prioritize capital expenditures for the development of crude oil and natural gas production projects through internal investments and through structured undertakings with partners. We invested a total of U.S.\$14,643 million in 2006, a 41.3% increase as compared to our investments in 2005. Our increased capital expenditures in 2006 were primarily directed towards increasing our production capabilities in the Campos basin, modernizing our refineries and expanding our pipeline transportation and distribution systems. Of the total amount of capital expenditures in 2006, U.S.\$7,329 million was made in connection with exploration and development projects mainly in the Campos basin (50.1%), which includes investments financed through project financing structures. PifCo primarily utilizes funds to finance its oil trading activities.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth our consolidated capital expenditures (including project financings and investments in gas-fired power plants) for each of our business segments for 2006, 2005 and 2004:

CONSOLIDATED CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

	For the Year Ended		
	December 31		
	2006	2005	2004
	(in millions of U.S. dollars)		
Exploration and Production	\$ 7,329	\$ 6,127	\$ 4,574
Supply	1,936	1,749	1,367
Distribution	351	207	47
Gas and Power	1,664	694	782
International			
Exploration and Production	2,304	1,067	666
Supply	202	79	43
Distribution	77	16	12
Gas and Power	54	13	6
Corporate	726	413	221
Total	\$ 14,643	\$ 10,365	\$ 7,718

On June 30, 2006, we announced our Business Plan, which contemplates total budgeted capital expenditures of U.S.\$87.1 billion from 2007 to 2011, approximately U.S.\$74.9 billion of which will be directed towards our activities in Brazil, while U.S.\$12.2 billion will be directed to our activities abroad. We expect that the majority of our capital expenditures from 2007 to 2011, approximately U.S.\$49.2 billion, will be directed towards exploration and production, of which U.S.\$40.7 billion is slated for our activities in Brazil.

Our Business Plan through 2011 contemplates greater domestic expenditures in our construction activities and other projects. We estimate that of the U.S.\$74.9 billion in domestic capital expenditures through 2011, at least U.S.\$49.8 billion (66%) will be utilized to pay for equipment and services provided by Brazilian contractors, suppliers and other service providers.

Our capital expenditures budget for the year 2007, including our project financings, is U.S.\$23.7 billion, allocated among each of our business segments as follows: (i) Exploration and Production: U.S.\$11.2 billion; (ii) Supply: U.S.\$4.4 billion; (iii) International: U.S.\$3.0 billion; (iv) Gas and Power: U.S.\$4.1 billion; (v) Distribution: U.S.\$0.4 billion; and (vi) Corporate: U.S.\$0.6 billion.

We plan to meet our budgeted capital expenditures primarily through internally generated cash and issuances in the international capital markets. Our actual capital expenditures may vary substantially from the projected numbers set forth above as a result of market conditions and the cost and availability of the necessary funds.

Dividends

In 2006 we paid dividends of approximately U.S.\$3,213 million (U.S.\$0.73 per share). Approximately 76% of such amount was paid in the form of interest on capital.

On April 2, 2007, the Ordinary General Meeting approved dividends referring to the year-end 2006, amounting to U.S.\$3,693, corresponding to U.S.\$0.84 per common and preferred share, including interest on shareholders' equity, for which U.S.\$2,052 was made available to the shareholders on January 4, 2007. This amount corresponds to U.S.\$0.47 per share, based on the share position as of October 31, 2006. U.S.\$923 was provided on March 30, 2007, based on the share position as of December 28, 2006, corresponding to U.S.\$0.21 per share. The remaining balance of U.S.\$718, corresponding to U.S.\$0.16 per share, was provided within the legal term, on May 17, 2007, based on the share position as of April 2, 2007.

Table of Contents

The dividends are restated according to the Selic interest rate from December 31, 2006 to May 17, 2007, the date payment of each portion commenced.

Contractual obligations

Petrobras

The following table summarizes our outstanding contractual obligations at December 31, 2006.

	Payments due by period (in millions of U.S. dollars)				
	Total	Less than year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Contractual Obligations					
Balance Sheet Items:					
Long Term Debt Obligations	12,616	2,106	2,265	2,353	5,892
Pension Fund Obligations (1)	17,238	830	1,887	2,274	12,247
Project Financings Obligations	6,374	2,182	2,245	1,067	880
Capital (Finance) Lease obligations	1,055	231	460	285	79
Total Balance Sheet Items	37,283	5,349	6,857	5,979	19,098
Other Long-Term Contractual Obligations					
Natural Gas Ship-or-Pay	6,467	491	988	996	3,992
Contract Service Obligations	8,444	3,432	3,726	825	461
Natural Gas Supply Agreements	7,577	822	1,227	1,106	4,422
Operating Lease Obligations	8,261	2,590	3,800	1,164	707
Purchase Obligations	2,736	1,104	964	234	434
International Purchase Obligations	3,262	895	493	535	1,339
Total Other Long-Term Obligations	36,747	9,334	11,198	4,860	11,355
Total	74,030	14,683	18,055	10,839	30,453

(1) There are plan assets in the amount of U.S.\$12,395 million that guarantee the pension plan obligations. These assets are presented as a reduction to the net actuarial liabilities. See Note 16 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006.

PifCo

The following table sets forth PifCo's contractual obligations as of December 31, 2006, and the period in which the contractual obligations come due.

Payments due by period
(in millions of U.S. dollars)

	TOTAL	less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	more than 5 years
Contractual Obligations					
Long-term debt	5,697.6	1,057.5	988.4	704.9	2,946.8
Notes Payable Long-term	7,441.7			3,927.1	3,514.6
Purchase obligations Long-term	3,272.8	954.6	1,129.7	528.7	659.8
Total	16,412.1	2,012.1	2,118.1	5,160.7	7,121.2

116

Table of Contents*Stockholder s Equity***Capital Increase**

In September 2006, we, following the Board of Directors recommendation, changed the designation of U.S.\$120 million in advances for future capital and U.S.\$180 million in notes receivable from PifCo into a capital increase.

Exchange Offering

On January 4, 2007, PifCo announced an offer for the exchange of securities (Exchange Offering) totaling up to U.S.\$500 million for five series of Notes.

The objective of the Exchange was offer to the investors the opportunity to substitute the five old notes listed below with PifCo s new benchmark, issued on October 6, 2006 with a 6.125% per year coupon and maturity in 2016.

The settlement of the Exchange Offer occurred on February 7, 2007 and as result, PifCo received and accepted a tender amount of U.S.\$399.1 million (face value of the Notes). All the Notes received were cancelled in the same day and as consequence, PifCo issue U.S.\$399.1 million of Global Notes due 2016 that bear interest at the rate of 6.125% per year, payable semiannually. The new Notes constitute a single fungible series with the U.S.\$500 million Global Notes due 2016 issued in October 2006. In total, there will be U.S.\$899.1 in outstanding bonds due 2016. PifCo also paid to the investors a cash amount equivalent to U.S.\$56 million as a result of the Exchange. The table below presents the result of the Exchange.

	(in millions of U.S. dollars)			
	Interest		Principal Outstanding after Exchange	Total Amount Tendered
PifCo Old Notes	Rate	Maturity		
Global Step-Up Notes	12.375%	2008	U.S.\$126.9	U.S.\$7.8
Senior Notes	9.875%	2008	224.2	14.0
Senior Notes	9.750%	2011	235.4	51.0
Global Notes	9.125%	2013	374.2	124.1
Global Notes	7.750%	2014	397.9	202.2
			U.S.\$1,358.6	U.S.\$399.1

	(in millions of U.S. dollars)			
	Interest		Principal Outstanding after Exchange	Total Reopened
PifCo New Notes	Rate	Maturity		
Global Notes	6.125%	2016	U.S.\$899.1	U.S.\$399.1
			U.S.\$899.1	U.S.\$399.1

Table of Contents**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The following discussion describes those areas that require the most judgment or involve a higher degree of complexity in the application of the accounting policies that currently affect our financial condition and results of operations. The accounting estimates we make in these contexts require us to make assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain. In each case, if we had made other estimates, or if changes in the estimates occur from period to period, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially affected.

The discussion addresses only those estimates that we consider most important based on the degree of uncertainty and the likelihood of a material impact if we used a different estimate. There are many other areas in which we use estimates about uncertain matters, but the reasonably likely effect of changed or different estimates is not material to our financial presentation.

Oil and Gas Reserves

Evaluations of oil and gas reserves are important for the effective management of upstream assets. They are used to make investment decisions about oil and gas properties. Oil and gas reserve quantities are also used as the basis for calculation of unit-of-production rates for depreciation and evaluation for impairment. Oil and gas reserves are divided between proved and unproved reserves. Proved reserves are estimated quantities of crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions, i.e., prices and costs as of the date the estimate is made. Unproved reserves are those with less than reasonable certainty of recoverability and are classified as either probable or possible. Probable reserves are reserves that are more likely to be recovered than not and possible reserves are less likely to be recovered than not.

The estimation of proved reserves is an ongoing process that takes into account engineering and geological information such as well logs, pressure data and fluid sample core data. Proved reserves can also be divided in two categories: developed and undeveloped. Developed proved reserves are expected to be recovered from existing wells including line pack, or when the costs necessary to put them in production are relatively low. For undeveloped proved reserves, significant investments are necessary, including drilling new wells and installing production or transportation facilities.

We use the *successful efforts* method to account for our exploration and production activities. Under this method, costs are accumulated on a field-by-field basis with certain exploratory expenditures and exploratory dry holes being expensed as incurred. Exploratory wells that find oil and gas in an area requiring major capital expenditure before production can begin are evaluated annually to ensure that commercial quantities of reserves have been found or that additional exploration work is under way or planned in a timeframe reasonable for the Petrobras development cycle and with consideration to ANP timing requirements. Exploratory well costs not meeting either of these criteria are charged to expense. Costs of productive wells and development dry holes are capitalized and amortized on the unit-of-production method because it provides a more timely accounting of the success or failure of our exploration and production activities.

Impact of Oil and Gas Reserves on Depreciation and Depletion

The calculation of unit-of-production depreciation and depletion is a critical accounting estimate that measures the depreciation and depletion of upstream assets. It is the ratio of (1) actual volumes produced to (2) total proved developed reserves (those proved reserves recoverable through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods) applied to (3) asset cost. Proved undeveloped reserves are considered in the amortization of leasehold acquisition costs. The volumes produced and asset cost are known and while proved developed reserves have a high probability of recoverability they are based on estimates that are subject to some variability. This variability may result in net upward or downward revisions of proved reserves in existing fields, as more information becomes available through research and production. We revised our proved reserves in the last three years, increasing our proved reserves by 425.5 million barrels of oil equivalent in 2006, increasing our proved reserves by 258.4 million barrels of oil equivalent in 2005 and decreasing our proved reserves by 431.3 million barrels of oil equivalent in 2004.

Table of Contents*Impact of Oil and Gas Reserves and Prices on Testing for Impairment*

At December 31, 2006, our property, plant, and equipment, net of accumulated depletion, amounted to U.S.\$59 billion. A substantial part of this amount consisted of oil and gas producing properties. These properties are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts may not be recoverable. We estimate the future and discounted cash flows of the affected properties to judge the recoverability of carrying amounts. In general, analyses are based on proved reserves, except in circumstances where it is probable that additional non-proved reserves will be developed and contribute to cash flows in the future; the percentage of probables that we include in cash flows does not exceed our past success ratios in developing probable reserves.

We perform asset valuation analyses on an ongoing basis as a part of our management program. These analyses monitor the performance of assets against corporate objectives. They also assist us in reviewing whether the carrying amounts of any of our assets may not be recoverable. In addition to estimating oil and gas reserve volumes in conducting these analyses, it is also necessary to estimate future oil and gas prices.

In general, we do not view temporarily low oil prices as a trigger event for conducting impairment tests. The markets for crude oil and natural gas have a history of significant price volatility. Although prices will occasionally drop precipitously, industry prices over the long term will continue to be driven by market supply and demand fundamentals. Accordingly, any impairment tests that we perform make use of our long-term price assumptions for the crude oil and natural gas markets. These are the same price assumptions that are used in our planning and budgeting processes and our capital investment decisions, and they are considered to be reasonable, conservative estimates given market indicators and past experience. Significantly lower future oil and gas prices could lead to impairments in the future, if such decreases were considered to be indicative of long-term trends. In addition, significant changes in production curve expectation, discount and/or required production and lifting costs, could affect impairment analysis. While such uncertainties are inherent to this estimation process, the amount of impairment charges in past years has been small relative to the total value of oil and gas producing properties: U.S.\$21 million in 2006, U.S.\$156 million in 2005 and U.S.\$65 million in 2004. Based on our experience, we believe that future variability in estimates will have a small impact on both assets and expense.

Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits

The determination of the expense and liability relating to our pension and other post-retirement benefits involves the use of judgment in the determination of actuarial assumptions. These include estimates of future mortality, withdrawal, changes in compensation and discount rate to reflect the time value of money as well as the rate of return on plan assets. These assumptions are reviewed at least annually and may differ materially from actual results due to changing market and economic conditions, regulatory events, judicial rulings, higher or lower withdrawal rates or longer or shorter life spans of participants.

According to the requirements of SFAS 87, and subsequent interpretations, the discount rate should be based on present value for settling the pension obligation. The use of the precepts of SFAS 87 in Brazil, which has been subject to inflation from time to time, creates certain issues to the extent that the ability for a company to settle a pension obligation at a future point in time may not exist because long-term financial instruments of suitable grade may not exist locally.

Although the Brazilian market has been demonstrating signs of stabilization as reflected in market interest rates, interest rates may be unstable.

In 2004, our Executive Board approved a change to the mortality table relating to actuarial assumptions of our pension and healthcare plans in Brazil. This new mortality table reflects changes with respect to the profile of employees, retirees and pensioners, based on longevity, age of invalidity and invalid mortality tables. The main purpose of the change was to strengthen our benefit plans in light of a more accurate evaluation of the greater life expectancy of the plan beneficiaries.

The progressive increase in longevity has direct impact on the plan's estimated and provisioned volume of commitments and obligations and in our liabilities under the line Employees' post-retirement benefits obligation

Table of Contents

Pension and our shareholders' equity under the line Postretirement benefit reserves adjustments net of tax pension cost .

The change of the mortality table has been affecting the results for the years subsequent to 2004 due to an increase of expenses related to the interest costs and amortization of Postretirement benefit reserves adjustments net of tax pension.

Postretirement benefit reserves adjustments net of tax pension cost are values calculated as the difference between the forecasted restatement of the net value of the obligations according to the actuarial assumptions and the variations effectively occurring over time. These amounts are to be amortized and posted to the results of subsequent fiscal years over the average life expectancy of the pension plan's members.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158 Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Post-retirement Plans an Amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R), which became effective for us on December 31, 2006. This standard requires that we recognize the over funded or under funded status of each of our defined benefit pension and other post-retirement benefit plans as an asset or liability and to reflect changes in the funded status through Accumulated other comprehensive income, as a separate component of stockholders' equity.

Upon adoption of SFAS 158, as of December 31, 2006 the liabilities related to pension plan increased by U.S.\$131 million and the liabilities related to health care increased by U.S.\$1,495 million. The stockholders' equity reduced by U.S.\$1,083 million, net of income taxes (See Note 16 (d) to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006).

Litigation, Tax Assessments and Other Contingencies

Claims for substantial amounts have been made against us arising in the normal course of business. We are sometimes held liable for spills and releases of oil products and chemicals from our operating assets. In accordance with the guidance provided by U.S. GAAP, we accrued for these costs when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and reasonable estimates of the liability can be made. At December 31, 2006, we had accrued U.S.\$233 million for litigation contingencies. Significant management judgment is required to comply with this guidance and it includes management's discussion with our attorneys, taking into account all of the relevant facts and circumstances. We believe that payments required to settle the amounts related to these claims, in case of loss, will not vary significantly from our estimated costs, and thus will not have a material adverse effect on our operations or cash flows. In past periods, the difference between the actual payout and the amount of the provision liability, with respect to contingency estimation, has been insignificant, with no material income statement impact in the period of the payout. In the last five years, our annual cash payouts for contingencies relating to claims against us, the parent company, reached an average of U.S.\$68 million per year.

Asset Retirement Obligations and Environmental Remediation

Under various contracts, permits and regulations, we have material legal obligations to remove equipment and restore the land or seabed at the end of operations at production sites. Our most significant asset removal obligations involve removal and disposal of offshore oil and gas production facilities worldwide. We accrue the estimated discounted costs of dismantling and removing these facilities at the time of installation of the assets. We also estimate costs for future environmental clean-up and remediation activities based on current information on costs and expected plans for remediation. The aggregate amount of estimated costs on a discounted basis for asset retirement and environmental remediation provision at December 31, 2006 was U.S.\$1,473 million. Estimating asset retirement, removal and environmental remediation costs requires performing complex calculations that necessarily involve significant judgment because our obligations are many years in the future, the contracts and regulation have vague descriptions of what removal and remediation practices and criteria will have to be met when the removal and remediation events actually occur and asset removal technologies and costs are constantly changing, along with political, environmental, safety and public relations considerations. Consequently, the timing and amounts of future cash flows are subject to significant uncertainty. However, given the significant amount of time to the ultimate retirement date, any modifications in technological specifications, legal requirement, or other matters, would not have a materially adverse effect on any one reporting period.

Table of Contents

In 2006, we reviewed and revised our estimated costs associated with well abandonment and the demobilization of oil and gas production areas, considering new information about date of expected abandonment and revised cost estimates to abandon. The changes to estimated asset retirement obligation were principally related to changing expectations about Brent prices, which led the correlated fields to have longer economic lives. This review resulted in a decrease in the related provision of U.S.\$112 million with a gain recognized in net income, and recorded in the line titled exploratory costs for oil and gas exploration. See note 9(d) to our audited consolidated financial statements, as of December 31, 2006.

Derivative transactions

SFAS 133 requires that we recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet and measure those instruments at fair value. Accounting for derivative transactions requires us to employ judgment to arrive at assumptions to compute fair market values, which are used as the basis for recognition of the derivative instruments in the financial statements. Such measurement may depend on the use of estimates such as estimated future prices, long term interest rates and inflation indexes, and becomes increasingly complex when the instrument being valued does not have counterparts with similar characteristics traded in an active market.

In the course of our business we have entered into contracts that meet the definition of derivatives under SFAS 133, certain of which have not qualified to receive hedge accounting. For the majority of these contracts, the estimates involved in the calculations for the fair value of such derivative instruments have not been considered likely to have a material impact in our financial position had we used different estimates, due to the majority of our derivative instruments being traditional over the counter instruments with short term maturities.

Impact of New Accounting Standards**SFAS No. 157**

In September 2006, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157), which will become effective for us on January 1, 2008. This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements but would apply to assets and liabilities that are required to be recorded at fair value under other accounting standards. The impact, if any, to us from the adoption of SFAS 157 in 2008 will depend on our assets and liabilities at that time that are required to be measured at fair value.

SFAS No. 158

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158 Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Post-retirement Plans an Amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R), (SFAS 158), which became effective for us on December 31, 2006. This standard requires that we recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of each of our defined benefit pension and other post-retirement benefit plans as an asset or liability and to reflect changes in the funded status through Accumulated other comprehensive income, as a separate component of stockholders equity.

Upon adoption of SFAS 158, as of December 31, 2006 the liabilities related to pension plan increased by U.S.\$131 million and the liabilities related to health care increased by U.S.\$1,495 million. The stockholders equity reduced by U.S.\$1,083 million, net of income taxes (See Note 16(d) to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006).

SFAS No. 159

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 159 The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 permits the measurement of certain financial instruments at fair value. Entities may choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates, reporting unrealized gains and losses on such items at each subsequent reporting period. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We are currently evaluating the potential impact of the fair value option but it is not expected to have a significant effect on our reported financial position or statements of income.

Table of Contents

FIN 48

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, An Interpretation of FASB Statement 109 (FIN 48), that clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements and prescribes a threshold of more-likely-than-not for recognition of tax benefits of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides related guidance on measurement, derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, and disclosure. The provisions of FIN 48 will be effective for the Company on January 1, 2007, with any cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. We are in the process of assessing the impact of adopting FIN 48 on our results of operations and financial position. We do not expect that the adoption of FIN 48 will have a material effect on our financial position or results from operations.

Research and Development

Since 1966, we have maintained a dedicated research and development facility in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. As of December 31, 2006, we had 1,811 employees working in this facility. We engage in joint research projects with universities and other research centers in Brazil and abroad. We spent U.S.\$179.7 million in 2006 on joint projects with Brazilian universities and technological institutions, as compared to U.S.\$54 million in 2005 and U.S.\$32 million in 2004. In addition, we participate in technology exchange and assistance projects with other oil and gas and oil field service companies for other areas of our business. These transfers of technology are based on partnership agreements focusing on the exchange of information with respect to offshore systems and development of deepwater technologies and involve no material cost to us.

Our research and development facility researches various aspects of our oil and gas operations, including exploration, drilling, production, reservoir engineering and geology, fluid separation, well completion and refining process technology. This facility also engages in research on industrial catalysts, lubricants, fine chemicals, fuels, additives, petrochemicals and polymers for other areas of our business. Our research facility is also responsible for the basic design of new offshore fixed and semi-submersible platforms and sub-sea production systems, as well as new and reconstructed refining units, and has facilitated the development of important technologies, including semi-submersible production platforms capable of operating in water depths of up to 3,000 meters (9,843 feet).

As of December 31, 2006, we had 30 floating production systems in operation (13 semi-submersibles, 16 FPSO and 1 FSO). We have obtained 48 patents in Brazil and 179 abroad for a significant number of the technologies produced through research and development activities during the three-year period ended December 31, 2006.

Of the projects in which we are currently involved, three programs are key to our technological development activities. The first program, originally named PROCAP, is devoted to deepwater offshore activities and has been implemented in phases. The first phase, named simply PROCAP, started in 1986, to research deepwater technology to enable us to develop fields discovered in water depths up to 1,000m (3,281ft), aiming at development in the recently discovered fields of Albacora and Marlim, at the Campos basin. In 1992, after successful conclusion and implementation of the first phase, we launched the second phase, PROCAP 2000, which pursued the same objectives of PROCAP but for depths up to 2,000m (6,562ft), by the year 2000. Following the discovery of the Roncador field, the third phase, PROCAP 3000, was started in 2000, with a budget of U.S.\$128 million over five years to provide technological solutions to produce and support the development of ultra-deep water fields, in water as deep as 3,000m (9,843ft). The targets were the next phases of development of Marlim Sul, Roncador, Marlim Leste, Albacora Leste, Jubarte, the deep and ultra-deep blocks of the Santos and Espírito Santo basins, the Gulf of Mexico and West Africa, in order to achieve production and extraction in water depths up to 3,000 meters (9,843 feet).

The second program, the Renewable Energy Technology Program – PROGER was created in 2004 to promote the research and development of technologies to enable and optimize the use of renewable energy sources. Such sources provide light, heating, air conditioning, mechanical force, transportation, telecommunications and fuel with minimum impact to the environment, reducing the effects of world climate changes caused by the use of hydrocarbons. The challenge we face with this program is to make the use of such energy sources more economical and to enable their widespread use. This program focuses on the research and development of wind energy, solar

Table of Contents

energy, biomass energy, bio-fuels (including bio-diesel), and energy from the sea and geo-thermal energy, among other sources.

The third program, the Strategic Refining Technological Program PROTER, was created in 1994 to develop heavy crude oil refining technologies to optimize the capacity of existing facilities and to increase the bottom of the barrel conversion. This program has a portfolio of projects targeting the development of new technologies and the optimization of existing ones for our domestic heavy oil refining in a cost-effective way. We have been making substantial investments to accomplish this goal to provide the market with premium fuels and high added value products. These developments are carried out in our modern labs and pilot plants and sometimes a prototype technology evaluation is also needed before availability for industrial use. Many innovations developed under this program have been implemented in our refineries. In addition to these programs, we have developed several other programs designed to:

decrease and control the environmental impact caused by our activities;

increase our oil reserves and production through the improvement of our oil recovery levels;

reduce the geological risk and the exploration costs associated with the exploration of hydrocarbons;

create oil products meeting new market demands and stricter environmental controls;

improve the reliability, performance and duration of pipelines and reduce the operational costs, investments and risks associated with pipelines;

improve refining systems and procedures to reduce the costs associated with refining;

develop technologies for the exploration and production of heavy oils in offshore fields;

promote the use of natural gas; and

provide and anticipate technological solutions and knowledge in physical and numerical simulations of geological processes, and in data base management of parameters for basin modeling.

Among PROTER projects, we highlight the research on the HBIO process, which were successfully concluded in laboratory and pilot studies during 2006. This technology introduces a renewable oil source in diesel fuel production, taking advantage of existing plants. The HBIO process involves a hydroconversion of the mixture of diesel fractions and vegetable oil. The converted product contributes to improve the diesel pool quality in the refinery, mainly increasing the cetane number, and reducing the sulphur content and density. The diesel pool quality upgrade will be a consequence of the percentage of vegetable oil used in the HBIO process. Our HBIO technology introduces a new way to include renewable feedstocks for bio-fuels production in addition to the Brazilian Biodiesel Program, which is progressing, due to a fast track development plan. In 2007, the HBIO process will be tested on an industrial scale in several of our refineries.

PifCo does not engage in research and development.

Market Trend

Crude oil prices

International oil prices increased at a record rate in 2006. The main factors driving this price increase include: the substantial growth in demand for oil products, with little impact resulting from the oil price increase;

increased pressure on oil production and refining facilities; and

Table of Contents

conflicts in the Middle East.

Although our oil prices are influenced by international oil prices, the price we charge for oil is generally lower than Brent prices. The main reasons for such spread relate to the fact that the oil we produce is heavier, which requires more refining expenses, and there is less refining capacity available capable of processing our heavy oil.

Oil products prices

The prices for fuel oil did not grow as much as other oil products. With the increase in demand for oil products, refineries used more heavy oil that produces more residues, including fuel oil, than light oil. Because the demand was concentrated on light and medium oil products, there was an excess supply of fuel oil. This generated an increase in the price difference between heavy and light oil products, and consequently, in heavy and light crudes.

Refining

The use of substantially all available refining capacity in 2006 resulted in a year of record profit margins for the refining industry, despite new specifications for oil products and the substitution of MTBE (methyl tertiary-butyl ether) in the U.S. refining industry.

We expect that several of the structural factors contributing to growth in demand in 2006 will continue to influence the market. As a result, we believe that the trends described above will continue over the next few years.

For a description of other trends that might affect our financial condition and results of operation, see Item 4.

Information on the Company Competition.

ITEM 6. DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES

Directors and Senior Management

Directors of Petrobras

Our board of directors is composed of a minimum of five and a maximum of nine members and is responsible for, among other things, establishing our general business policies. The members of the board of directors are elected at the annual general meeting of shareholders.

Under Brazilian Corporate Law, shareholders representing at least 10% of the company's voting capital have the right to demand that a cumulative voting procedure be adopted to entitle each common share to as many votes as there are board members and to give each common share the right to vote cumulatively for only one candidate or to distribute its votes among several candidates.

Furthermore, our bylaws enable (i) minority preferred shareholders that together hold at least 10% of the total capital stock (excluding the controlling shareholders) to elect and remove one member to our board of directors; and (ii) minority common shareholders to elect one member to our board of directors, if a greater number of directors is not elected by such minority shareholders by means of the cumulative voting procedure. Our bylaws provide that, regardless of the rights above granted to minority shareholders, the Brazilian government always has the right to elect the majority of our directors, independently of their number. In addition, as per Law 10,683, dated May 28, 2003, one of the Board members elected by the Brazilian government must be indicated by the Minister of Planning Budget and Management. The maximum term for a director is one year, but re-election is permitted. In accordance with the Brazilian Corporate Law, the shareholders may remove any director from office at any time with or without cause at an extraordinary meeting of shareholders. Following an election of board members under the cumulative vote procedure, the removal of any board member by an extraordinary meeting of shareholders will result in the removal of all the other members, after which new elections must be held.

Table of Contents

We currently have nine directors. The following table sets forth certain information with respect to these directors:

BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF PETROBRAS

Name	Date of Birth	Position	Current Term	Business Address
Dilma Vana Rousseff (1)	Dec. 14, 1947	Chair	March 2008	Casa Civil Praça dos Três Poderes Palácio do Planalto 4º andar Salas 57 e 58 Brasília DF Cep 70.150-900
Silas Rondeau Cavalcanti Silva (1)	Dec. 15, 1952	Member	March 2008	Esplanada dos Ministérios Bloco U 8º andar Sala 809 Brasília DF Cep 70.065-900
Guido Mantega (1)	Apr. 7, 1949	Member	March 2008	Esplanada dos Ministérios Bloco P 5º andar Brasília DF Cep 70.048-900
J.S. Gabrielli de Azevedo (1)	Oct. 3, 1949	Member	March 2008	Avenida República do Chile, nº 65 23º andar Rio de Janeiro RJ Cep 20.031-912
Francisco Roberto de Albuquerque	May, 17, 1937	Member	March 2008	Alameda Carolina, 594 Itú São Paulo Cep 13.306-410
Arthur Antonio Sendas (1)	Jun. 16, 1935	Member	March 2008	Rodovia Presidente Dutra, 4.674 São João de Meriti RJ Cep 25.565-350
Roger Agnelli (1)	May 3, 1959	Member	March 2008	Rua Graça Aranha, 26 18º andar Rio de Janeiro RJ Cep 20.030-900
Fabio Colletti Barbosa (2)	Oct. 3, 1954	Member	March 2008	Av. Paulista, 1.374 3º andar Cerqueira César São Paulo SP Cep 01310-916
Jorge Gerdau Johannpeter (3)	Dec. 8, 1936	Member	March 2008	Av. Farrapos, 1.811 Porto Alegre RS Cep 90.220-005

(1) Appointed by
the controlling

shareholder.

(2) Appointed by the minority common shareholders.

(3) Appointed by the minority preferred shareholders.

Dilma Vana Rousseff Ms. Rousseff has been the Chair of the Board of Directors of Petrobras and Petrobras Distribuidora S.A. BR since January 3, 2003. She has been the Chief State Minister of the Civil Cabinet of the Presidency of the Republic of Brazil since June 14, 2005. She is a member of the *Conselho de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social* CDES (Economic and Social Development Council), an advisory body to the Brazilian Government. She served as: Minister of Mines and Energy of Brazil (2003-2005); State Secretary of Energy, Mines and Communications of the State of Rio Grande do Sul (1993-1994 and 1999-2002); President of the *Fundação de Economia e Estatística do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul* (Economy and Statistics Foundation of the State of Rio Grande do Sul, 1991-1993); and Secretary of Finance of Porto Alegre (1986-1988). Ms. Rousseff has participated, as Coordinator of the Infrastructure Group, in the previous Governmental Transition Team, which was created to facilitate the transition of power to the current government. Ms. Rousseff has a Bachelor's Degree in Economics from the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (1977), a Master's Degree in Economic Theory from the University of Campinas, São Paulo (1979) and is currently pursuing a Doctorate Degree in Monetary and Financial Economy at the University of Campinas.

Table of Contents

Silas Rondeau Cavalcante Silva Mr. Silva has been a member of our Board of Directors since April 3, 2006 and is also a member of the Board of Directors of Petrobras Distribuidora S.A. - BR. He has been the Minister of Mines and Energy of Brazil since July 8, 2005. In addition, he is the Chairman of the Boards of Directors of Centrais Elétricas Brasileiras S.A. - ELETROBRÁS and Empresa de Pesquisas Energética - EPE (Energy Research Enterprise), companies linked to the Brazilian Ministry of Mines and Energy, and a member of the Board of Directors of Itaipu Binacional. Mr. Silva has a degree in Electrical Engineering from the Federal University of Pernambuco (UFPE) and a specialized degree in Transmission Lines Engineering from the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ). Mr. Silva served as the President of Eletrobras (2004-2005), Eletronorte (2003-2004), Manaus Energia (2000-2002), Energy Company of Amazonas (2000-2002) and Boa Vista Energia (2002-2003).

Guido Mantega Mr. Mantega has been a member of our Board of Directors since April 3, 2006 and is also a member of the Board of Directors of Petrobras Distribuidora S.A. - BR. He has been the Minister of Finance of Brazil since March 28, 2006. He is a member of the *Conselho de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social - CDES* (Economic and Social Development Council), an advisory body to the Brazilian Government. Mr. Mantega received a Bachelor's Degree in Economics from the School of Economics and Administration of the University of São Paulo in 1971 and a PhD in Development Sociology from the Philosophy, Sciences and Liberal Arts School at the University of São Paulo, and completed specialized studies at the Institute of Development Studies (IDS) at the University of Sussex, England in 1977. He was a Professor of Economics at the Catholic University of São Paulo's (PUC-SP) Master and PhD programs from 1982 to 1987 and Deputy Dean of the Catholic University of São Paulo (PUC-SP) from 1984 to 1987. He was the Budget Director and Chief of Staff of the São Paulo Municipal Planning Secretariat from 1989 to 1992, a member of the Workers' Party (PT) Economic Program Coordinating Group in the 1984, 1989 and 1998 Presidential elections, Economic Advisor to President Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva from 1993 to 2002, and one of the Workers' Party (PT) Economic Program coordinators in the 2002 Presidential Campaign. Mr. Mantega was also a State Minister of Planning, Budget and Management (named in January 2003, post held until November 2004) and President of the *Banco Nacional de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social - BNDES* (The Brazilian Development Bank) (named in November 2004, post held until March 2006.)

J.S. Gabrielli de Azevedo Mr. Gabrielli has been our President and CEO since July 22, 2005. He previously served as our Chief Financial Officer and Investor Relations Officer (2003-2005). Presently, he is a member of the Boards of Directors of Petrobras and Petrobras Distribuidora S.A. - BR. He is also Chairman of the Boards of Directors of other Petrobras subsidiaries in Brazil. Mr. Gabrielli holds a Ph.D. in Economics from Boston University (1987). He was a Visiting Researcher at the London School of Economics and Political Science in 2000 and 2001. He is a full Professor of Economics on leave from the Universidade Federal da Bahia.

Francisco Roberto de Albuquerque Mr. de Albuquerque has been a member of our Board of Directors since April 2, 2007. He earned a Bachelor's degree in Military Sciences from the *Academia Militar das Agulhas Negras* (AMAN) in Resende, Rio de Janeiro (1958) and in Economics from the University of São Paulo (1968), a Master's in Military Sciences from the *Escola de Aperfeiçoamento de Oficiais* (1969), and a Phd in Military Sciences from the *Escola de Comando e Estado-Maior do Exército* in Rio de Janeiro (1977). Throughout his military career, Mr. de Albuquerque was awarded 22 national and 16 international medals. As a General Officer, he served in the following missions, among others: Military Mediator of the peace process between Ecuador and Peru from 1995 to 1996; Undersecretary of the Brazilian Army in Brasília, Distrito Federal from 1996 to 1997; Secretary General of the Brazilian Army in Brasília, Distrito Federal from 1997 to 2000; Head of the former Services (Logistics) Department in Brasília, Distrito Federal from 2000 to 2001; Information and Technology Secretary in Brasília, Distrito Federal in 2001; Military Commander of the Southeast region, São Paulo, SP from 2001 to 2002; and Commander of the Brazilian Army in Brasília, Distrito Federal from 2003 to 2007.

Arthur Antonio Sendas Mr. Sendas has been a member of our Board of Directors since March 29, 2004 and is also a member of the Board of Directors Petrobras Distribuidora S.A. - BR. Mr. Sendas is President of the *Grupo Sendas* (Sendas S.A.; Sendas Empreendimentos e Participações Ltda.; Sendas Agropecuária S.A.; Sendas Comércio Exterior S.A.; Casa Show S.A.) and the Board of Directors of Sendas Distribuidora S.A., which ranks as the leader in the retail sector in the state of Rio de Janeiro. Sendas Distribuidora S.A., through its various subsidiaries, owns approximately one-half of the supermarket chain under the following four brand names in the State of Rio de Janeiro:

Sendas, Pão de Açúcar, Extra and ABC Barateiro Comprebem. Mr. Sendas is Vice-President of the Advisory Council of the *Associação Brasileira de Supermercados Abras* (Brazilian Supermarkets Association) and for five years represented the private sector on the *Conselho Monetário Nacional - CMN* (National

Table of Contents

Monetary Council). Mr. Sendas owns significant equity stakes in large shopping centers, engages in residential and commercial construction projects and organizes coffee exports to the United States, Europe, Asia and the rest of Latin America, among other activities. Mr. Sendas also sits on the Advisory Council of Companhia Brasileira de Distribuição Pão de Açúcar, a group that coordinates the supervision of supermarket chains across 12 Brazilian states, and is a member of the Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro Development Council.

Roger Agnelli - Mr. Agnelli has been a member of our Board of Directors since April 3, 2006 and is also a member of the Board of Directors of Petrobras Distribuidora S.A. - BR. He has been the CEO and President of Companhia Vale do Rio Doce (CVRD) since July 2001. He was the Chairman of the Board of Directors of CVRD from May 2000 until July 2001. He joined Bradesco Financial Group in 1981 and stayed until 2001, serving as the Executive Director of Banco Bradesco from 1998 to 2000 and as the CEO and President of Bradespar S.A. from 2000 to 2001. He is a former member of the Board of Directors of several major companies in Brazil, such as Companhia Paulista de Força e Luz, Companhia Siderúrgica Nacional, Latas de Alumínio - Latasa, VBC Energia, Brasmotor, Mahle Metal Leve, Rio Grande Energia and Serra da Mesa Energia, and was also a Director of UGB Participações and Vice-President of the *Associação Nacional dos Bancos de Investimento - ANBID* (Brazil's National Association of Investment Banks). He is a member of the Boards of Directors of ABB (Asea Brown Boveri), Spectra Energy Corporation, and Suzano Petroquímica. He is a member of the *Conselho de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social - CDES* (Economic and Social Development Council), an advisory body to the Brazilian Government, and a member of the International Investments Council, formed to advise the President of South Africa, Dr. Thabo Mbeki. He recently became a member of the International Advisory Committee of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). Mr. Agnelli earned a Bachelor's Degree in Economics from *Fundação Armando Álvares Penteado* (Armando Álvares Penteado Foundation), in São Paulo, Brazil, in 1981.

Fabio Colletti Barbosa - Mr. Barbosa has been a member of our Board of Directors since January 3, 2003 and is also a member of the Board of Directors of Petrobras Distribuidora S.A. - BR. He is the Chief Executive Officer of the ABN AMRO Bank Latin America and Chief Executive Officer of the Banco ABN Amro Real S.A. He served as: Chief Executive Officer of ABN Amro Bank/São Paulo (1996-1998); Director of Corporate Banking & Finance of ABN Amro Bank/São Paulo (1995-1996); President of LTCB Latin America Ltda. (1992-1995), the Latin American affiliate of the Long Term Credit Bank of Japan; Corporate Finance Executive Director of Citibank (1986-1992); and member of the Treasury Department of Nestlé (1974-1986). Mr. Barbosa is also the Chairman of the Board of Directors and the Executive Board of the *Federação Brasileira das Associações de Bancos* (Brazilian Bank Associations Federation-FEBRABAN). Mr. Barbosa has a Bachelor's Degree in Management from Fundação Getúlio Vargas - São Paulo (1976) and an MBA from the Institute for Management and Development - Lausanne / Switzerland (1979).

Jorge Gerdau Johannpeter - Mr. Johannpeter has been a member of our Board of Directors since October 19, 2001 and is also a member of the Board of Directors of Petrobras Distribuidora S.A. - BR. Since 1983, he has been the President of the Gerdau Group, the largest long steel producer of the Americas. From January 2007 he has no longer served on the Executive Committee, but will retain his position as President of the Board of Directors of Gerdau Group. Under his leadership, Gerdau Group became an international company and currently occupies 14th place in the Metal Bulletin ranking of the largest global steelmakers. Mr. Johannpeter actively participates in efforts to improve the quality of life in the Americas, and especially in Brazil, the country where he lives. He coordinates *Ação Empresarial* (Business Action), one of the most active movements in Brazil for the implementation of the structural reforms necessary for the country's growth. He is the leader of the *Programa Gaúcho da Qualidade e Produtividade* (Rio Grande do Sul Quality and Productivity Program), a movement in the area of Total Quality focused on increasing the efficiency of companies and government entities in Rio Grande do Sul. He is also a member of the *Fundação Nacional da Qualidade - FNQ* (National Foundation of Quality). Mr. Johannpeter heads the *Movimento Brasil Competitivo* (Competitive Brazil Movement), the result of a nation-wide joint effort between companies and the government that seeks to improve competitiveness in the country's public and private sectors. He is the Brazilian representative for the American Society for Quality (ASQ), an entity that aims to improve business results through the exchange of knowledge. He is a member of the Board of Directors and Executive Committee of the International Iron and Steel Institute (IISI), of the Board of the *Instituto Brasileiro de Siderurgia - IBS* (Brazilian Steel Institute), an

entity for which he served as president for two terms, as well as of the *Conselho de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social* CDES (Economic and Social Development Council), an advisory body to the Brazilian Government. Mr. Johannpeter received a Bachelor's degree in Law and Social Sciences from

Table of Contents

Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (Rio Grande do Sul Federal University), in Porto Alegre, State of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, in 1961.

Directors of PifCo

PifCo is managed by a board of directors, consisting of three members, and by its executive officers. The board of directors is responsible for preparing PifCo's year-end accounts, convening shareholders' meetings and reviewing and monitoring its financial performance and strategy. Although not required by PifCo's memorandum and articles of association, it is PifCo's policy that the Chairman and all of its executive officers be Petrobras employees.

PifCo's directors serve indefinite terms and can be removed with or without cause. The following table sets forth certain information about PifCo's board of directors.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF PifCo

Name	Date of Birth	Position	Year of Appointment
Daniel Lima de Oliveira	December 29, 1951	Chairman	2005
Marcos Antonio Silva Menezes	March 24, 1952	Director	2003
Nilo Carvalho Vieira Filho	October 26, 1954	Director	2003

Daniel Lima de Oliveira. Mr. Lima de Oliveira has been PifCo's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Petrobras' Executive Manager of Corporate Finance since September 1, 2005. Before this position, he served as executive officer of PifCo, appointed on April 19, 2000. He joined Petrobras in 1976 as a supply engineer in the Commercial Department. In 1982 he moved to the Financial Department where he worked in the short-term credit division and served as Assistant to the General Manager. From 1984 until 1988, he served as Financial Manager of Petrobras' London office. From 1988 to 1992, Mr. Lima de Oliveira served as manager at Braspetro. From 1992 to 1995, he served as Long-Term Credit Division Manager at Petrobras Financial Department. From 1995 to 1999, he served as a financial manager of Petrobras' New York office. Since January 2002, he has been a director of Petrobras International Braspetro BV (PIB BV) and Braspetro Oil Services Company BRASOIL and since March 2004 he has been a member of the Board of Directors of REFAP S/A. Mr. Lima de Oliveira graduated in Mechanical Engineering at São José dos Campos' Industrial Engineering School in 1975.

Marcos Antonio Silva Menezes. Mr. Menezes has been PifCo's Director and Chief Accountant Officer of Petrobras since 1998. He joined Petrobras in 1976 and served as Deputy Superintendent of the former SEFIN' Financial Services (1995-1998). He currently serves as a member of the Fiscal Council and of the Audit Committee of BRASKEM S.A. (since 2005), as well as the chairman of the Fiscal Council of *Instituto Brasileiro de Petróleo e Gás* (since 1998) and *Organização Nacional das Indústrias de Petróleo ONIP* (since 1999). He also served as President of the Fiscal Council of Fundação Petrobras de Seguridade Social PETROS and as a member of the Fiscal Council of Companhia de Gás de Minas Gerais GASMIG and Bahiagás. Mr. Menezes is currently a Director of the American Chamber of Commerce AMCHAM/RJ and is a member of *Associação Brasileira das Companhias Abertas - ABRASCA* and its Auditing and Accounting Rules Commission CANC. Mr. Menezes graduated in Accounting (1975) and in Business Management (1977) at Faculdade Moraes Júnior. He has a specialization from Fundação Dom Cabral INSEAD and a post-graduate degree in Financial Management from Fundação Getúlio Vargas.

Nilo Carvalho Vieira Filho. Mr. Vieira has been PifCo's Executive Manager of Marketing and Trading since June 25, 2004. He joined Petrobras in March 1985 as a Commercialization and Supply Analyst. Since then, he has occupied the positions of supply manager at Petrobras (1990-1994), head of external trading (1995-1998), superintendent of Supply Marketing (1998-1999), Director of Braspetro (2000-2001) and Director of Eg3 in Argentina (2002-2004). Mr. Vieira graduated in Mechanical Engineering from the Federal Fluminense University in Rio de Janeiro in 1978.

Table of Contents*Executive Officers of Petrobras*

Our board of executive officers, composed of one president and up to six executive officers, is responsible for our day-to-day management. Under our bylaws, the board of directors elects the executive officers, including the president. The president is chosen from among the members of the board of directors. All of the executive officers are Brazilian nationals and reside in Brazil. According to our by-laws the election of officers by the Board of Directors must consider their personal qualification, notorious knowledge and specialization in their respective areas. The maximum term for executive officers is three years, but re-election is permitted. The board of directors may remove any executive officer from office at any time with or without cause. Five of the current executive officers are experienced Petrobras career managers, engineers or technicians.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our executive officers:

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF PETROBRAS

Name	Date of Birth	Position	Current Term
José Sérgio Gabrielli de Azevedo	October 3, 1949	President	April 2008
Almir Guilherme Barbassa	May 19, 1947	Chief Financial Officer and Investor Relations Officer	April 2008
Renato de Souza Duque	September 29, 1955	Manager of Corporate Services	April 2008
Guilherme de Oliveira Estrella	April 18, 1942	Manager of Exploration and Production	April 2008
Paulo Roberto Costa	January 1, 1954	Manager of Refining, Transportation and Marketing	April 2008
Ildo Luís Sauer	September 3, 1954	Manager of Gas and Power	April 2008
Nestor Cuñat Cerveró	August 15, 1951	Manager of International Activities	April 2008

J. S. Gabrielli de Azevedo. Mr. Gabrielli has been our President since July 2005 and a member of our board of directors since July 2005. For biographical information regarding Mr. Gabrielli see Directors and Senior Management of Petrobras Our Board of Directors.

Almir Guilherme Barbassa. Mr. Barbassa has been our Chief Financial Officer and Investor Relations Officer since July 22, 2005. He joined Petrobras in 1974 and worked in several financial and planning capacities, both in Brazil and abroad (Middle East and North Africa). From August 1989 to September 1992 he was the Financial Manager of the American subsidiary in Houston, Texas, oversaw the establishment and consolidation of the company, which explores and produces oil and gas, trades oil products and does procurement worldwide. From April 1993 he was the Finance

Director of BRASPETRO, the international arm of Petrobras. From July 1999 to July 22, 2005 he was Petrobras corporate finance and treasury manager of Petrobras. He is former Chairman of Petrobras International Finance Co., Petrobras Finance Ltd, and Petrobras Netherlands BV, the companies that carry out Petrobras' international financial activities. In addition, he was a professor in the economics department of the Petrópolis Catholic University and of the Faculdades Integradas Bennett from 1973 to 1979 and holds a Master's degree in Economics from Getulio Vargas Foundation of Rio de Janeiro.

Renato de Souza Duque. Mr. Duque has been our Executive Director of Services since January 31, 2003. Currently, Mr. Duque was a member of the boards of directors of Petrobras Energía Participaciones S.A., Petrobras Energía S.A. until April, 2006 and he is a member of the board of directors of Petrobras Gás S.A. GASPETRO and Chief Executive Officer of Petrobras Negócios Eletrônicos S.A. With a degree in Electrical Engineering of the Universidade Federal Fluminense and an MBA from the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, he has been at our company since 1978 as a Petroleum Engineer. He has held several positions including: Manager of E&P Human Resources, Manager of Drilling Operations in the Campos Basin, and Manager of Petrobras Owned Marine Drilling Rigs.

Table of Contents

Guilherme de Oliveira Estrella. Mr. Guilherme Estrella graduated in 1966 from the School of Geology of the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro. At Petrobras, he has been the Managing Director of Exploration and Production since January 31, 2003. He worked at the company from 1965 to 1994, when he retired as a geologist of the Exploration Department. Before his retirement, he held several other positions, including: General Superintendent (1989-1993); Superintendent of Research and Development for Exploration, Drilling and Production (1985-1989); Head of the Exploration Division (1981-1985); Head of the Organic Geochemistry Sector (1981); Head of the Brazilian East Coast Basin Interpretation Sector of the Exploration Department DEPEX/RJ (1978-1981); and Exploration Manager of Petrobras Internacional S.A. BRASPETRO for Iraq (1976-1978). Mr. Estrella was also a member of the Board of Directors of the controlled companies in Argentina, Petrobras Energía Participaciones S.A. and Petrobras Energía S.A. until April 28, 2006. He was Director of the Instituto Brasileiro de Petróleo e Gás (Brazilian Oil and Gas Institute), from 1993-1994, and since 2003, he has been the Chairman of the Board.

Paulo Roberto Costa. Mr. Paulo Roberto has been our Executive Director of Refining, Transportation and Marketing since May 14, 2004. From 1979 to 1994 he worked on platform installation and production development at the Campos basin in the areas of Engineering, Support Management and as Superintendent of the Southeastern Production Region. In 1995 he was promoted to General Manager of the Southern Brazil Exploration and Production, or E&P, with responsibility for the Santos and Pelotas basins. In 1996 he became general manager for Logistics in the E&P area. From May 1997 to 1999 he headed up the Gas Segment, responsible for commercialization of natural gas. He was Director of Petrobras Gas S.A.-Gaspetro from May 1999 to December 2000. From January 2001 to April 2003, he was General Manager for Logistics at Petrobras of Natural Gas Segment. He was Managing Director of TBG-Transportadora Brasileira Gasoduto Bolívia-Brasil from April 2003 to May 2004. In May 14, 2004 he was appointed Downstream Director of Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. - Petrobras. Mr. Paulo Roberto graduated in Mechanical Engineering from the Federal University of Paraná in 1976.

Ildo Luis Sauer. Since January 2003, Dr. Ildo Luís Sauer has been the Gas and Power Director for Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. - PETROBRAS. He graduated in Civil Engineering from Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul UFRGS (1977). He also holds a Master's degree in Science in Nuclear Engineering & Energy Planning from COPPE/UFRJ - Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (1981). He obtained a Ph.D. degree in Nuclear Engineering from MIT - Massachusetts Institute of Technology (USA) (1985). He is a full Professor of Energy at USP/IEE, São Paulo University - Institute of Electrotechnical and Energy, where he has taught since 1991. He has supervised more than 40 Master and Ph.D. degree theses and dissertations and published upwards of 100 scientific papers, articles and books. Dr. Sauer has rendered consultancy services to private Companies such as Microlab S.A. He was the Project Manager for the primary nuclear reactor circuit design for the Brazilian Navy Nuclear Submarine development (1986 to 1989). He served as the President of the University of Sao Paulo Pos-Graduation Commission and also the Coordinator of USP's Graduation courses in Energy (1999 to 2003). Dr. Sauer was granted the Energy Personality Award by the São Paulo Engineer's Association and later, received the 2003 Gas Professional of the Year Award during the Fifth Natural Gas Market Professional Gathering. Over the last fifteen years, he has performed as an analyst and policy formulator for the Brazilian energy sector, mainly in the electrical energy industry.

Nestor Cuñat Cerveró. Mr. Cerveró has been our Executive Director of International Business since January 31, 2003 and was a member of the boards of directors of Petrobras Energía Participaciones S.A. and Petrobras Energía S.A. until April, 2006. He has been with Petrobras since 1975, holding several positions including: Energy Manager, *Programa de Termelétricas* (gas-fired power plants program); gas-fired power plants Manager of the Participations Superintendency; assistant to the CEO for the development of new ventures and partnerships; and Head of the Energy Sector of our industrial area. He has also represented our company at the boards of directors of several gas-fired energy companies and acted as assistant to the *Presidência da Comercializadora Brasileira de Energía Emergencial* (Presidency of the Brazilian Supplier of Emergencial Energy - CBEE) of the Ministry of Mines and Energy. Mr. Cerveró received a degree in Chemical Engineering from Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, and graduate education in Processing Engineering at Petrobras. He also holds an MBA (Executive Management) from Fundação Getúlio Vargas - FGV.

Table of Contents*Executive Officers of PifCo*

All of the current executive officers are experienced managers from Petrobras, some of whom have served on the boards of directors of Petrobras subsidiaries and in representative offices abroad. The executive officers work as a board and are responsible for PifCo's day-to-day management. PifCo's executive officers serve indefinite terms and can be removed with or without cause.

The following table sets forth certain information about PifCo's executive officers.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF PifCo

Name	Date of Birth	Position	Year of Appointment
Daniel Lima de Oliveira	December 29, 1951	Chairman	2005
Guilherme Pontes Galvão França	January 18, 1959	Commercial Manager	2005
Sérvio Túlio da Rosa Tinoco	June 21, 1955	Financial Manager	2005
Mariângela Monteiro Tizatto	August 9, 1960	Accounting Manager	1998
Nilton Antônio de Almeida Maia	June 21, 1957	Legal Manager	2000
Gérson Luiz Gonçalves	September 29, 1953	Auditor	2000
Ana Claudia Medeiros Borges	December 27, 1967	Secretary	2006

Daniel Lima de Oliveira Mr. Lima de Oliveira has been PifCo's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Petrobras' Executive Manager of Corporate Finance since September 1, 2005. For biographical information regarding Mr. Lima de Oliveira see Directors and Senior Management of Petrobras' Board of Directors of PifCo.

Guilherme Pontes Galvão França Mr. França became an executive officer of PifCo on March 7, 2005. He has been General Manager of Petroleum and Industrial Products' Supply and Trading since October 1, 2005. He joined Petrobras in 1982 and worked as a Commercialization and Supply Analyst in our logistics area from 1982 to 1990. In 1990 he moved to the trading area specializing in Lubricants and fuel oil. From 1993 to 2000, Mr. França served as Manager of Special Products Domestic Sales. From 2001 to 2004, he served as Manager of LPG Trading and Domestic Sales and Manager of Clean Products Supply and Trading in 2005. Mr. França graduated in Chemical Engineering from the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro in 1981.

Sérvio Túlio da Rosa Tinoco Mr. Tinoco became an executive officer of PifCo on September 1, 2005. Mr. Tinoco is the financial manager of PifCo. He joined Petrobras in 1993 as an Economist in the Financial Department. Since 2000, he has served as Manager of Corporate Financing Division. From 1996 to 1999 he served as Manager of Trade Finance, Guarantees and Foreign Exchange Transactions. From 1995 to 1996 he served as Manager of Credit and Collection. From 1999 to 2000, he served as a financial manager of Petrobras' New York office. Mr. Tinoco holds a Bachelor's degree in Economics from Universidade Oswaldo Cruz, São Paulo in 1978 and had a MBA from Fundação Getúlio Vargas, São Paulo in 1983 partially completed with a one year in Institut Supérieur des Affaires' ISA/HEC France.

Mariângela Monteiro Tizatto Ms. Tizatto has served as PifCo's Accounting Manager since April 4, 1998. She joined Petrobras in 1989 as an accountant in the Accounting Department. Since 1999, she has served as Petrobras' General Manager for Accounting Operations. From 1990 to 1995, she was Manager of Petrobras' Consolidated Accounting System, and from 1995 to 1999, she served as Manager of Petrobras' Division of Corporate Accounting. Before joining Petrobras, Ms. Tizatto was Manager of Auditing for Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, where she worked for seven years. Ms. Tizatto has a Bachelor's degree in Accounting from the Cândido Mendes University and an Executive MBA from COPPEAD' Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro. She was also a professor of Advanced Accounting at the Moraes Junior University in Rio de Janeiro (1990). Ms. Tizatto was a Fiscal Council member of Companhia

Potiguar de Gás POTIGAS, during 2003 and 2004; Petrobras Gás S/A GASPETRO during 2005; and a Fiscal Counsel alternate for Fundação Petrobras de Seguridade Social PETROS (Petrobras Pension Fund) during 2003 and 2004. She is a Fiscal Council member of Petrobras Distribuidora S.A. BR and since 1995 she has been member of the Comissão de Auditoria e Normas Contábeis da ABRASCA Associação Brasileira das Companhias Abertas.

Nilton Antônio de Almeida Maia. Mr. Maia has served as PifCo's Legal Manager since April 19, 2000. He joined Petrobras in 1984 as an internal auditor. He has served as a tax consultant to Petrobras Legal Department,

Table of Contents

and since early 2000, has served as General Manager for the Finance and Tax Division. Mr. Maia also currently serves as General Counsel for Petrobras. He has completed post-graduate degrees in law, with specializations in energy and tax law, from the Universidade Cândido Mendes and the Universidade Estácio de Sá.

Gerson Luiz Gonçalves. Mr. Gonçalves has served as PifCo's Auditor Manager since April 19, 2000. He joined the Internal Audit Department of Petrobras in 1976 and has been Petrobras' Executive Manager for Internal Auditing for the last six years. He is responsible for all of Petrobras' internal accounting control activities. Mr. Gonçalves is a member of the Brazilian Institute of Internal Auditors (AUDIBRA) and of the United States' Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA). He received a Bachelor's degree in Accounting from Universidade de São Paulo - USP in 1975.

Ana Claudia Medeiros Borges. Ms. Borges holds a Bachelor's degree in Economics from Universidade Gama Filho, Brazil and Postgraduate degree in Strategic Management from Candido Mendes University. Ms. Borges has served as PifCo's Secretary since March 2006. She joined us in 1998 as Economist at Petrobras Internacional S.A. BRASPETRO. In 2000 she moved to our Financial Department and joined the Platforms Financing Control Section, where she worked until 2002. Ms. Borges served as Accounting Information Coordinator from 2002 to 2006. She has been PifCo's Businesses Coordinator since April 2006. Since March 2006 she has also been a member of the Petrobras Química S.A.'s PETROQUISA Fiscal Council.

Compensation

Petrobras

For 2006, the aggregate amount of compensation we paid to all members of the board of directors and executive officers was approximately U.S.\$3 million.

In addition, the members of the board and the executive officers receive certain additional benefits generally provided to our employees and their families, such as medical assistance, payment of educational expenses and supplementary social security benefits.

We have no service contracts with our directors providing for benefits upon termination of employment. We have a compensation and succession committee in the form of an advisory committee. See *Advisory Committees Petrobras PifCo*

PifCo's directors and executive officers are paid by Petrobras in respect of their function as Petrobras' employees, but they do not receive any additional compensation, pension or other benefits from PifCo or Petrobras in respect of their functions as PifCo's directors or officers, as the case may be.

Share Ownership

Petrobras

As of May 31, 2007, the members of our board of directors, our executive officers, the members of our Fiscal Council, and close members of their families, as a group, beneficially held a total of 9,904 common shares and 27,792 preferred shares of our company. Accordingly, on an individual basis, and as a group, our directors, executive officers, Fiscal Council members, and close members of their families beneficially owned less than one percent of any class of our shares. The shares held by our directors, executive officers, Fiscal Council members, and close members of their families have the same voting rights as the shares of the same type and class that are held by our other shareholders. None of our directors, executive officers, Fiscal Council members, or close members of their families holds any options to purchase common shares or preferred shares. Petrobras does not have a stock option plan for its directors, officers or employees.

Table of Contents*PifCo*

As of December 31, 2006, PifCo's share capital was composed of 300,050,000 shares of common stock. All of PifCo's issued and outstanding shares of common stock are owned by us.

Fiscal Council

We have established a permanent Fiscal Council (*conselho fiscal*) in accordance with applicable provisions of the Brazilian Corporate Law, composed of up to five members. As required by the Brazilian Corporate Law our Fiscal Council is independent of our management and external auditors. The Fiscal Council's responsibilities include, among others: (i) monitoring management's activities and (ii) reviewing our annual report and financial statements. The members and their respective alternates are elected by the shareholders at the annual general shareholder's meeting. Holders of preferred shares without voting rights and minority common shareholders are each entitled, as a class, to elect one member and his respective alternate to the Fiscal Council. The Brazilian government has the right to appoint the majority of the members of the Fiscal Council and their alternates. One of these members and his respective alternate are appointed by the Minister of Finance representing the Brazilian Treasury. The members of the Fiscal Council are elected at our annual general shareholders' meeting for a one-year term and reelection is permitted.

The following table lists the current members of the Fiscal Council:

FISCAL COUNCIL

Name	Year of First Appointment
Marcus Pereira Aucélio	2005
Erenice Alves Guerra	2006
Túlio Luiz Zamin	2003
Nelson Rocha Augusto	2003
Maria Lúcia de Oliveira Falcón	2003

The following table lists the alternate members of the Fiscal Council:

Name	Year of First Appointment
Eduardo Coutinho Guerra	2005
Marcelo Cruz	2006
Edison Freitas de Oliveira	2002
Maria Auxiliadora Alves da Silva	2003
Celso Barreto Neto	2002

Audit Committee Petrobras

We have an Audit Committee that advises our Board of Directors, composed exclusively of members of our Board of Directors.

On June 17, 2005, our Board of Directors approved the appointment of our Audit Committee to satisfy the audit committee requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The Audit Committee is responsible for, among other things: (1) making recommendations to our Board of Directors with respect to the appointment, compensation and retention of our independent auditor; (2) assisting in the resolution of conflicts between management and the independent auditor with respect to our financial statements; and (3) establishing procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal control and auditing matters, including procedures for the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters. On December 16, 2005, our Audit Committee's

Table of Contents

charter was amended to meet the audit committee requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including the incorporation of the powers mentioned above.

The current members of our Audit Committee are Directors Fabio Colletti Barbosa, Francisco Roberto de Albuquerque and Arthur Antonio Sendas. All our Audit Committee members are independent as defined in 17 CRF 240.10A-3.

Other Advisory Committees

The bylaws of Petrobras also provide for the creation of a *Comitê de Remuneração e Sucessão* (Compensation and Succession Committee), and a *Comitê de Meio Ambiente* (Environmental Committee).

PifCo

PifCo does not have any committees of its board of directors.

Employees and Labor Relations

Petrobras

We had 62,266 employees on December 31, 2006, compared to 53,904 employees on December 31, 2005 and 52,037 on December 31, 2004. The increase in the number of our employees in 2006 is primarily a result of the implementation of a hiring policy designed to meet our demand for more employees. This increased demand has resulted from the growth of our business and our desire to reduce the number of outsourced personnel.

Of the 62,266 employees of Petrobras on December 31, 2006, the parent company employed 47,955. Of these 47,955 employees, 32,265 occupied mid-level positions related to operations and administrative support, and 14,809 worked as upper-level employees in engineering and administration. The remaining 881 employees of the parent company were maritime employees. 69% of the parent company's workforce was located in the Southeast region of Brazil, 25% was located in the Northeast region, and the remaining 6% was elsewhere.

Expenses relating to employees of the parent company amounted to approximately R\$4,776 million (U.S.\$2,234 million) in 2006, R\$4,166 million (U.S.\$1,711 million) in 2005 and R\$3,546 million (U.S.\$1,212 million) in 2004. During 2006, these expenses represented 67% of our consolidated employee expenses.

We negotiate annually collective bargaining agreements with the Oil Workers' Unified Federation, the union to which our onshore employees are affiliated, and the Maritime Employees' Union, the union to which our maritime employees are affiliated. On December 8, 2006, we executed an amendment to the Collective Bargaining Agreement of 2005 for our onshore employees to amend certain economic clauses of the agreement. These new economic clauses are retroactive to September 1, 2006, and are valid until August 31, 2007. The social clauses of the Agreement, negotiated in 2005, are also valid until August 31, 2007. The collective bargaining agreement with the maritime employees' union was signed on May 9, 2007. This agreement is retroactive to November 1, 2006, and is valid until October 31, 2007.

Under the new terms of the collective bargaining agreement for our onshore employees, we agreed to increase the salary of oil workers by 2.80%, which reflects an increase in inflation in that period, as measured by the *Índice do Custo de Vida* (ICV - DIEESE), or Cost of Living Index, and we granted a single level pay scale raise to all employees. We also gave an extra payment to all our employees in the amount of 80% of their monthly salary. We consider our relations with our employees and with the Oil Workers' Unified Federation and maritime employees' union to be good and respectable.

We have had no major labor strikes since 1995. We spent approximately R\$328.7 million (U.S.\$151.1 million) on employee training in 2006 at our training centers, as compared to R\$311.9 million (U.S.\$128.1 million) in 2005.

With the enactment of the Oil Law and the emergence of competitors in the Brazilian oil sector, we have developed a strategic plan to provide incentives to attract new employees and to retain existing ones. As part of our

Table of Contents

employee incentives, we have merit-based promotions and, as permitted by Brazilian law, a profit sharing plan with predetermined criteria. Pursuant to this plan, the amount of the profit sharing is determined by our Board of Directors and the manner of distribution is determined by negotiation with the labor unions representing our employees. However, under Brazilian law, the profit sharing plan will be subject to an annual limit equal to 25% of total proposed dividends for the year.

Our profit sharing distributions to our employees within the entire Petrobras Group were R\$1,197 million (U.S.\$560 million) for 2006, R\$1,006 million (U.S.\$430 million) for 2005 and R\$783 million (U.S.\$295 million) for 2004. At our annual general shareholders meeting held on April 2, 2007, our shareholders approved a profit sharing distribution to Petrobras employees (excluding subsidiaries) of R\$993 million (U.S.\$465 million) for 2006. Our subsidiaries approved a total profit sharing distribution to their employees of R\$204 million (U.S.\$95 million) at their annual general shareholders meetings in April of 2007.

Pension and Health Care Plans

We sponsor a contributory defined benefit pension plan known as PETROS, which covers approximately 60.4% of our employees. The principal objective of PETROS has been to supplement the social security pension benefits of our employees, as well as employees of our Brazilian subsidiaries and affiliates, certain other companies and PETROS itself. Employees that participate make mandatory monthly contributions. Our historical funding policy has been to make annual contributions to the plan in the amount determined by actuarial appraisals. Contributions are intended to provide not only for benefits attributed to service to date but also for those expected to be earned in the future. We paid benefits of U.S.\$713 million in 2006, as compared to U.S.\$570 million in 2005. We made contributions totaling U.S.\$187 million in 2006, as compared to U.S.\$155 million in 2005. We recorded a liability of U.S.\$4,843 million in 2006, U.S.\$3,833 million in 2005 and U.S.\$3,081 million in 2004 for the excess of the actuarial value of our obligation to provide future benefits over the fair value of the plan assets used to satisfy that obligation. See Note 16 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

In addition, some of our consolidated subsidiaries, including PEPSA and Liquigás, have their own defined benefit plans.

Because the PETROS plan is not admitting new participants since August 9, 2002, employees hired since that date are covered by specific insurance policies, and will continue to be covered by such policies until we are able to offer them a supplemental pension plan.

In 2003, we formed a task force with representatives of the National Union of Oil Workers (FUP) and with PETROS, among others, in order to evaluate alternatives to a new model for the our supplementary pension plan, including analyses of negotiated arrangements for the settlement of actuarial deficits.

We have worked to develop proposals with the petroleum union and others representatives, in order to evaluate alternatives for a new model for our supplementary pension plan. One of the principal objectives was to define a solution to the technical deficit of the PETROS Plan and also to solve problems of structural issues while always complying with the limits imposed by Brazilian law.

On April 19, 2006, the Company, aiming to achieve an agreement regarding its Supplementary Pension Plan, presented to employee participants and retirees a proposal to bring equilibrium to the current PETROS Plan.

Execution of the proposal presented by the Company's Executive Board was subject to a number of conditions, including the renegotiation of the PETROS Plan Regulations, in relation to the means of readjusting the benefits and pensions, considering a significant rate of individual accession of employees and dependants.

On February 28, 2007, the renegotiation target was met and the proposal submitted by the Company became effective, and PETROS is calculating the amounts to be funded by the sponsors, that will reduce the deficit of the PETROS Plan, as established by Brazilian pension law.

As the target was met, the proposal submitted by the Company became effective, which changed two conditions of the plan: i) salary increases of active employees will no longer be passed on to retired employees, who will be entitled to inflation indexation (IPCA); and ii) eventual decreases in pensions provided by the governmental

Table of Contents

plan will no longer be absorbed by PETROS. These changes will not materially affect the projected benefit obligation.

In return for accepting the renegotiation, in March 2007, the participants, retired members and pensioners received the aggregate financial incentive of U.S.\$498.

Two main judicial proceedings have been taken by some pensioners against PETROS because of: i) the lowering of the minimum age to receive benefits for employees who joined Petrobras in 1978/1979 and; ii) the lack of same coverage of governmental pension for widows. Petrobras is waiting for the final settlement of those proceedings to determine whether the requests taken to court should be included in the actuarial premises calculation and whether to consider alternatives to fund the pension plan in case of loss.

On October 20, 2006, our Board of Directors approved the introduction of the PETROS 2 Plan for employees who currently have no pension plan. The New Supplementary Pension Plan was formulated according to the Variable Contribution Model. In this model, the contributions are capitalized in the individual's account, and the pension benefits are established according to the account balances. This plan also includes risk benefits with coverage for illness, incapacity and death, and a lifetime income. The PETROS 2 Plan also includes a minimum benefit for payment of annuities, which guarantees coverage of the benefit to ensure that it does not have a monetary value of under 30% of the average contribution salary. On December 20, 2006 the Department for Coordinating and Controlling State Companies (DEST) decided to approve the proposed PETROS 2 Plan, which was also approved on January 5, 2007 by the Ministry of Mines and Energy.

On January 19, 2007, the PETROS Board of Directors approved the PETROS 2 Plan, which will be referred for assessment and statement by the Supplementary Pensions Office - SPC, for the proper final approval, whereupon it may be offered to the employees who are not presently covered by a supplementary pension plan sponsored by the Company. According to SFAS No. 87 - Employers' Accounting for Pensions (SFAS 87) the new plan is considered a defined-benefit pension plan and the liability related to future benefits will be calculated on an annual basis by an independent actuary and will be recorded as component of the sponsor companies' liabilities.

We maintain a health care benefit plan (AMS), which offers defined benefits and covers all employees (active and inactive) together with their dependents. We manage the plan, with the employees contributing fixed amounts to cover principal risks and a portion of the costs relating to other types of coverage in accordance with participation tables defined by certain parameters, including salary levels.

Our commitment related to future benefits to plan participants is calculated on an annual basis by an independent actuary, based on the Projected Unit Credit method. The health care plan is not funded or otherwise collateralized by assets. Instead, we make benefit payments based on annual costs incurred by plan participants.

Until 2006, the actuarial gains and losses, related to the health care benefit plan, generated by the differences between the values of the obligation determined based on projections and the actual figures, were respectively included or excluded when defining the net actuarial obligation.

As of December 31, 2006, according to SFAS 158 (See Note 16(d) to our financial statements), the actuarial gains and losses generated by the differences between the values of the obligation determined based on projections and the actual figures, are respectively included or excluded from the calculation of the actuarial obligation and recorded as

Post-retirement benefit reserves adjustments net of tax- health care cost, as Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, in shareholders' equity. The gains and losses recorded as Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income are amortized over the average remaining service period of the active employees.

Upon adoption of SFAS 158, as of December 31, 2006 the liabilities related to health care increased by U.S.\$1,495 and stockholders' equity reduced by U.S.\$987, net of income taxes.

On December 15, 2006, we implemented the Medical Benefit, which provides special terms on the acquisition of certain medication by members of the AMS from participating drugstores, located throughout Brazil. See Item 5.

Operating and Financial Review and Prospects - Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates - Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits

Table of Contents*PifCo*

With the exception of twenty-five employees of Petrobras Europe Limited, or PEL, PifCo's personnel consist solely of our employees, and PifCo relies on us to provide all administrative functions.

ITEM 7. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**Major Shareholders***Petrobras*

Our capital stock is composed of common shares and preferred shares, all without par value. On May 31, 2007, there were 2,536,673,672 outstanding common shares and 1,850,364,698 outstanding preferred shares.

On July 22, 2005, our shareholders approved a resolution to split each share of our capital stock into four shares. As a result of the stock split, the ratio of our common and preferred shares ADRs changed to four shares to one ADR. The stock split and change of ADR ratio became effective on September 1, 2005.

Under the Brazilian Corporate Law, as amended, the number of non-voting shares of our company may not exceed two-thirds of the total number of shares. The Brazilian government is required by law to own at least a majority of our voting stock and currently owns 55.7% of our common shares, which are our only voting shares. The Brazilian government does not have any special voting rights, other than the right to always elect a majority of our directors, irrespective of the rights our minority shareholders may have to elect directors, set forth in our by-laws.

The following table sets forth information concerning the ownership of our common shares and preferred shares as of May 31, 2007 by the Brazilian government, certain public sector entities and our officers and directors as a group. We are not aware of any other shareholder owning more than 5% of our common shares.

Shareholder	Common Shares	%	Preferred Shares	%	Total Shares	%
Brazilian government	1,413,258,228	55.7			1,413,258,228	32.2
BNDES Participações S.A.-BNDESPAR	47,246,164	1.9	287,023,667	15.5	334,269,831	7.6
Other Brazilian public sector entities	1,779,280	0.1	775,072	0.04	2,554,352	0.1
All directors and executive officers as a Group (15 persons)	9,904		27,792		37,696	
Others	1,074,380,096	42.3	1,562,538,167	84.5	2,636,918,263	60.1
Total	2,536,673,672	100.0	1,850,364,698	100.0	4,387,038,370	100.0

As of May 31, 2007, approximately 37.2% of our preferred shares and approximately 27.6% of our common shares were held of record in the United States directly or in the form of American Depositary Shares. As of May 31, 2007, we had approximately 171,938,924 record holders of preferred shares, or American Depositary Shares representing preferred shares, and approximately 175,050,997 record holders of common shares, or American Depositary Shares representing common shares, in the United States. The ratio of our common and preferred share ADRs is four shares to one ADR. This ratio was changed by the stock split effective September 1, 2005.

PifCo

As of December 31, 2006, PifCo's capital stock was composed of 300,050,000 shares of common stock. All of PifCo's issued and outstanding shares are owned by us.

Petrobras Related Party Transactions*Board of Directors*

Direct transactions with interested members of our board of directors or our executive officers require the approval of our board of directors, and must follow the conditions of an arms-length transaction and market

Table of Contents

practices guiding transactions with third parties. None of the members of our board of directors, our executive officers or close members of their families has had any direct interest in any transaction we effected which is or was unusual in its nature or conditions or material to our business during the current or the three immediately preceding financial years or during any earlier financial year, which transaction remains in any way outstanding or unperformed. In addition, we have not entered into any transaction with related parties which is or was unusual in its nature or conditions during the current or the three immediately preceding financial years, nor is any such transaction proposed, that is or would be material to our business.

We have no outstanding loans or guarantees to the members of our board of directors, our executive officers or any close member of their families.

For a description of the shares beneficially held by the members of our board of directors and close members of their families, see Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees Share Ownership.

Brazilian Government and PETROS

We engage in numerous transactions in the ordinary course of business with our controlling shareholder, the Brazilian government, and with other companies controlled by it, including financings from BNDES and banking, asset management and other transactions with Banco do Brasil S.A. The above-mentioned transactions with Banco do Brasil had a net amount of U.S.\$4,497 million as of December 31, 2006. (See Note 24 to our audited consolidated financial statements.) As of December 31, 2006, we had a receivable (the Petroleum and Alcohol Account) from the Brazilian government, our controlling shareholder, of U.S.\$368 million secured by a U.S.\$53 million blocked deposit account. See Item 4. Regulation of the Oil and Gas Industry in Brazil The Petroleum and Alcohol Account. (See Note 24 to our audited consolidated financial statements.)

We also have restricted deposits made by us, which serve as collateral for legal proceedings involving the Brazilian government. As of December 31, 2006, these deposits amounted to U.S.\$676 million. (See Note 24 to our audited consolidated financial statements.)

In addition, according to Brazilian law, we are only permitted to invest in securities issued by the Brazilian Government in Brazil. This restriction does not apply to investment outside of Brazil. As of December 31, 2006, the value of these government securities that has been directly acquired and held by us amounted to U.S.\$67 million. (See Note 24 to our audited consolidated financial statements.)

We also have accounted for related party transactions with PETROS, basically composed of government securities advanced by us to compose the plans assets. As of December 31, 2006, the value of these securities amounted to U.S.\$479 million. In addition, PETROS also makes direct investments in government securities. (See Note 24 to our audited consolidated financial statements.)

For additional information regarding our principal transactions with related parties, see Note 24 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

PifCo Related Party Transactions

As a result of being our wholly-owned subsidiary, PifCo has numerous transactions with us and other affiliated companies in the ordinary course of business. PifCo's primary business is to serve as an intermediary between third-party oil suppliers and us by engaging in crude oil and oil product purchases from international suppliers and reselling crude oil and oil products in U.S. dollars to us on a deferred payment basis, at a price which represents a premium to compensate PifCo for its financing costs. Substantially all of PifCo's revenues are generated by transactions with us.

Since PifCo's inception there have been no, and there are no proposed, material transactions with any of PifCo's officers and directors. PifCo does not extend any loans to its officers and directors.

Table of Contents

PifCo's transactions with related parties resulted in the following balances in 2006 and 2005:

	December 31, 2006		December 31, 2005	
	Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
	(in millions of U.S. dollars)			
Assets				
Current				
Accounts receivable	10,658.9		8,681.1	
Notes receivable(1)	6,114.7		3,329.3	
Marketable Securities	627.3			
Exports Prepayment	67.8		414.5	
Others	1.5		1.5	
Other non current				
Marketable securities	1,151.6		2,165.7	
Notes receivable	239.7		580.0	
Exports prepayment	464.4		529.4	
Liabilities				
Current				
Trade accounts Payable		1,142.9		950.7
Notes payable(1)		5,386.8		4,346.1
Unearned income		248.7		176.5
Long-term liabilities				
Notes payable (1)		7,441.7		3,734.1
Total	19,325.9	14,220.1	15,701.5	9,207.4
Current	17,470.2	6,778.4	12,426.4	5,473.3
Long-term	1,855.7	7,441.7	3,275.1	3,734.1

(1) PifCo's notes receivable from and payable to us for the majority of the loans bear interest at LIBOR plus 3.0% per year.

Table of Contents

PifCo's principal transactions with related parties are as follows:

	2006		Year ended December 31, 2005		2004	
	Income	Expense	(in millions of U.S. dollars)		Income	Expense
Sales of crude oil and oil products and services						
PETROBRAS	9,729.9		7,025.7		6,374.3	
REFAP S.A.	1,484.1		1,405.1		972.1	
Petrobras America, Inc. PAI	2,967.8		5,487.9		2,734.5	
BR Distribuidora			1.8		3.5	
EG3 S.A.					12.9	
PESA	47.4		49.5		21.1	
Petrobras Bolívia	5.8		4.4			
Petrobras Paraguay Distribución	1.5					
Cost of sales						
PETROBRAS		(6,044.3)		(5,931.6)		(3,236.7)
Petrobras America, Inc. PAI		(227.2)		(459.4)		(375.3)
Braspetro Oil Services Company BRASOIL						(74.7)
Companhia MEGA S.A.		(505.8)		(367.5)		(299.4)
Eg3 S.A.						(60.4)
PESA		(257.5)		(187.8)		(72.1)
PIB B.V		(14.1)		(152.0)		(158.3)
PEBIS		(226.0)		(164.3)		(110.3)
REFAP		(206.1)		(109.9)		(4.1)
Ecuadortlc S.A.		(252.6)		(211.8)		
Petrobras Colombia		(271.5)		(196.0)		
Others		(116.9)				
Selling, general and administrative expense						
PETROBRAS		(176.4)		(158.0)		(97.0)
Others		(13.3)		(0.1)		(1.7)
Financial income						
PETROBRAS	623.8		580.9		466.1	
REFAP S.A.	28.3		24.2		16.8	
Braspetro Oil Company BOC	4.9		15.6		11.0	
Braspetro Oil Services Company BRASOIL	2.3		11.5		15.4	
PIB B.V	161.7		82.8		56.7	
PNBV	118.3		29.9		0.7	
AGRI BV	56.1		17.1			
Others	3.8		3.5		1.9	
Financial expense						
PETROBRAS		(722.4)		(409.5)		(168.4)
Others				(0.3)		(0.6)

**Other Income and
Expense**
PNBV

(0.5)

Total	15,235.7	(9,034.1)	14,739.9	(8,348.2)	10,687.0	(4,659.5)
--------------	----------	-----------	----------	-----------	----------	-----------

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Petrobras Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information

See Item 18. Financial Statements and Index to Financial Statements.

PifCo Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information

See Item 18. Financial Statements and Index to Financial Statements.

140

Table of Contents**Legal Proceedings***Petrobras*

We are currently subject to numerous proceedings relating to civil, criminal, administrative, environmental, labor and tax claims. Several individual disputes account for a significant part of the total amount of claims against us. Our audited consolidated financial statements only include provisions for probable and reasonably estimable losses and expenses we may incur in connection with pending litigation, including the proceedings described below under

Environmental Claims. See Note 19 to our audited consolidated financial statements. The table below sets forth our recorded financial provisions by type of claim: PROVISIONS BY TYPE OF CLAIM(1).

	As of December 31,	
	2006	2005
	(in millions of U.S. dollars)	
Labor claims	38	7
Tax claims	47	87
Civil claims	97	79
Commercial claims and other contingencies	51	62
Total	233	235

- (1) Excludes provisions for contractual contingencies and tax assessments by the INSS.

Claims against Petrobras, the parent company, as of December 31, 2006, corresponded to approximately 49.0% of the total amount of claims against us and the amounts paid by us in respect of legal claims against Petrobras in the last five years averaged U.S.\$68 million per year. As of December 31, 2006, we estimated that the total amount of claims against us, excluding disputes involving non-monetary claims or claims not easily evaluated in the current stage of the proceedings, was approximately U.S.\$13.5 billion.

The most significant claims are described below:

Civil claims

On November 23, 1992, Porto Seguro Imóveis Ltda., a minority shareholder of Petroquisa, filed a lawsuit against us for alleged losses suffered as a result of the sale of the share participation held by Petroquisa in various petrochemical companies included in the National Privatization Program (*Programa Nacional de Desestatização*). The plaintiff in the lawsuit requests that we, as controlling shareholder of Petroquisa, be compelled to reinstate the damages made to Petroquisa's equity, as a consequence of the corporate acts that approved the minimum sales price attributed to its share participation in the capital of the privatized companies. An initial decision on January 14, 1997 held us liable to Petroquisa for damages in an amount equivalent to U.S.\$3,406 million. In addition, we were required to pay the plaintiff 5% of the indemnification amount as a premium as well as lawyers' fees of 20% over that amount. However, since the amount due is payable to Petroquisa, not the plaintiff, and we own 100.0% of Petroquisa's share capital, the actual disbursement, in the case that the decision is not dismissed, will be limited to 25% of the damages amount, or U.S.\$851 million. We appealed and prevailed in canceling the judgment, but a subsequent appellate decision on March 30, 2004 found us liable for U.S.\$2,359 million, plus a 5% premium and 20% of attorney's fees, the latter in favor of Porto Seguro. Further, we filed appeals to both the Superior Justice Tribunal and to the Federal Supreme Court, and we are waiting for a final decision on these appeals.

On May 28, 1981, Kallium Mineração S.A. brought an action against Companhia de Pesquisa de Recursos Minerais - CPRM seeking an indemnification of approximately U.S.\$450 million for the early termination of a contract for the exploration of a potassium salt mine in Sergipe. The Brazilian Government, which had previously granted CPRM the right to develop a exploration project for the potassium salt mine, cancelled the concession to CPRM and transferred it to our former subsidiary, Petromisa. CPRM, on its turn, terminated its contract for the exploration of the mine with Kallium Mineração S.A. As a result, CPRM brought us and the Brazilian Government into the proceedings as co-defendants. On August 10, 1999, the court denied most of Kallium's claims, but required us to indemnify all expenses incurred by Kallium for research conducted in connection with the exploration of the mine, which correspond to approximately U.S.\$1 million. In September 1999, Kallium and we appealed the decision

Table of Contents

and both appeals were denied. We have filed additional appeals and are awaiting a judgment. The total damages amount that may be payable will be subject to monetary adjustment and to interest at 6% calculated as of the date of the filing of the lawsuit.

Several individuals have filed a collective lawsuit (an *ação popular*) against us, Repsol-YPF and the Brazilian government seeking to unwind the 2001 exchange of certain of our operating assets in Brazil for some of YPF's operating assets in Argentina. The plaintiffs maintain that the assets exchanged were not properly valued and that, therefore, the transaction was not in our best interests. On September 5, 2002, the court granted an injunction to the plaintiffs. The Superior Court of Justice of Brazil suspended the injunction, stressing that the transaction had been approved by the Brazilian antitrust authorities, the ANP and the Brazilian Federal Audit Court. On May 15, 2005, the lawsuit was judged on the merits in our favor and the other parties appealed. We are awaiting a final disposition on the merits.

On March 9, 2006, Barracuda Caratinga Leasing Company B.V. (BCLC), the special purpose company that currently owns the assets of the project, represented by Petrobras (as Construction and Operations Manager), commenced an arbitration against KBR pursuant to the provisions of the EPC Contract as amended between BCLC and KBR. BCLC is seeking damages in the amount of approximately U.S.\$220 million plus interest for the costs of monitoring and replacing defective stud bolts, plus the costs and expenses of the arbitration. On March 17, 2006, KBR responded with its counter-notice seeking dismissal of BCLC's claim and approximately U.S.\$22 million in damages for replacement costs of stud bolts that were replaced by KBR. The arbitration panel has been formed and the arbitration is currently in the submissions phase.

On January 18, 2000, a pipeline connecting one of our terminals to a refinery in Guanabara Bay ruptured, causing a release of approximately 341,000 gallons of crude oil into the bay. We undertook action to control the spill in an effort to prevent the oil from threatening additional areas. As a result of this spill, several individual damage lawsuits were filed by fishermen of the State of Rio de Janeiro. The lawsuits currently in course correspond to an aggregate amount of approximately R\$52 million. In addition, the Federation of Fishermen of the State of Rio de Janeiro filed a lawsuit against us claiming damages of approximately R\$537 million. On February 7, 2002, the judge hearing this matter found that damages were due, but not in the amount claimed. Both parties appealed this decision. On October 8, 2002, the Court of Appeals of the State of Rio de Janeiro denied the appeal filed by the plaintiff and dismissed the claim with respect to all fishermen who had already settled their claims against us or who had already filed individual lawsuits against us, and also with respect to certain other fishermen. These dismissals dramatically reduced the number of plaintiffs who could be entitled to damages. Further appeals (*agravos de instrumento*) by both sides presented on June 26, 2003, to the STJ and the STF, respectively, were denied. On February 2, 2007, the judge who heard the case in the first instance published a decision accepting in part the court expert report that defined the period in which Guanabara Bay's fish would be affected by the spill. This decision, which overturned the appellate court's decision, established the parameters for calculating the indemnity due, given that the decision was based upon the same value for each fisherman affected, which represent R\$1,102 million through December 2005 (without interests and monetary indexation after that date). We will appeal this decision.

In November 2005, two employees from Finarge Armamento Genoveses S.r.l., an Italian company that renders transportation services to our Company, filed a lawsuit against us in the Genova courts, requesting indemnification for rescuing an adrift platform. This lawsuit is based on Sections 2, 3 and 10 of the Brussels Convention, which provide that those who assist adrift vessels are entitled to an indemnification. The amount of the indemnification will be established by the Italian judge, but it shall not exceed the value of the transported platform. The plaintiffs estimated the value of the platform at U.S.\$130 million. We have not yet presented an answer and, according to our insurance policy for this platform, we believe the value of the platform does not exceed U.S.\$20 million.

Labor Claims

We are a defendant in five labor lawsuits filed by oil workers unions with labor courts in Rio de Janeiro, Sergipe and São Paulo related to our alleged failure to index salaries in accordance with the official inflation rates published by the Brazilian government in 1989. In Rio de Janeiro and Sergipe, we lost two lawsuits and the decisions are currently being enforced. We appealed one of such lawsuits and the decision is currently under examination by the court. The allegations in Rio de Janeiro and Sergipe refer to the months of February and August

Table of Contents

of 1989. In Rio de Janeiro (relating to a suit in Macaé), we were successful in definitively revoking one decision, while in São Paulo we are awaiting the judgment of one appeal before the Federal Supreme Court.

Tax Claims

We received several tax assessments from the INSS alleging irregular presentation of documentation by construction companies and other service providers under contract with us with regard to their INSS contributions. The INSS seeks to hold us jointly and severally liable for contributions not made by these providers, as set forth by applicable law. We are analyzing each of the INSS assessments in order to attempt to recover payments that we made to the INSS with respect to these tax assessments. In addition, we intend to take action against service providers in order to recover any amounts paid and not recovered from the INSS, based on our right to contribution. Because it is unlikely that we will successfully obtain a reversal of the INSS decision through the agency's administrative procedures, at December 31, 2006, we had a balance of U.S.\$25 million in our provision to cover future payments to the INSS.

Federal tax authorities (*Delegacia da Receita Federal*) have served us with a tax assessment of approximately R\$566 million related to a withholding tax (IRRF) that they believe should have been paid in connection with remittances we made abroad between 1998 and 2002. On December 31, 2006, this amount corresponded to approximately R\$666 million (approximately U.S.\$311 million). The remittances were related to the purchase of imported oil by us. According to the federal tax authorities, such remittances corresponded to interest payments, which they believe would give rise to the tax levy they claim. However, the importation documents do not make reference to the alleged interest payments. In May 2006, we were notified that the *Delegacia da Receita Federal* denied the tax assessment. An appeal (*recurso de ofício*) to a higher administrative body (*conselho de contribuintes*) is pending that seeks to modify the decision favorable to us.

The Brazilian Revenue Service has filed two tax assessments against us in connection with the withholding tax, or IRRF on foreign remittances of payments related to the charter of vessels of movable platforms. On February 17, 2003, the Brazilian Revenue Service served us with a tax assessment notice for R\$93 million (approximately U.S.\$32 million) covering disputed taxes for 1998. On December 31, 2006, this amount corresponded to approximately R\$117 million (approximately U.S.\$55 million). On June 27, 2003, the Brazilian Revenue Service served us with a tax assessment notice for R\$3,064 million (approximately U.S.\$1,066 million) covering disputed taxes for the period from 1999 to 2002. On December 31, 2006, this amount corresponded to R\$3,914 million (approximately U.S.\$1,832 million). We appealed the two unfavorable rulings from the Brazilian Revenue Service with respect to these tax assessments to a higher administrative court. The administrative court denied both of our appeals, upholding the tax assessments imposed by the Federal Revenue Office in Rio de Janeiro and declaring that the tax in question is not applicable to us. We still have two pending appeals to the highest administrative level (*câmara superior de recursos fiscais*). If necessary, we will bring suit at the federal judicial level.

Certain independent fuel distributors located throughout Brazil have brought claims against us. Collectively, these claims total approximately R\$821.48 million (U.S.\$394 million) and aim at the restitution of the Sales Taxes (ICMS) retained from such distributors and collected by us in favor of many states, plus damages. We believe these taxes were properly collected and represent valid state tax credits. However, in connection with these claims, approximately R\$76 million (U.S.\$32 million) in injunctive relief was declared against us in various local courts and seized from our accounts in several jurisdictions in anticipation of favorable judgments for the distributors. Upon appeal, these rulings were subsequently overruled.

We have sold imported naphtha, to a company named Braskem, provided that the naphtha would be applied in the production of petrochemical raw materials, instead of the production of gasoline or diesel. On December 12, 2006, the Brazilian Revenue Service filed a tax assessment (*auto de infração*) against us, in the amount of R\$1,288 million (U.S.\$600 million) (including interest and penalties), in connection with CIDE (*Contribuição de Intervenção no Domínio Econômico* or Contribution for Intervention in the Economic Sector, an excise tax applied to the sale and import of crude oil, oil products and natural gas products), on the importation of naphtha, on the grounds that we did not prove that the naphtha was not used to produce gasoline or diesel. As we have issued the relevant invoices that contain a clarification that the naphtha will not be applied to the production of gasoline or diesel, and considering that Braskem has confirmed that the naphtha was used solely in

Table of Contents

petrochemical activities, as agreed in our contract, we believe these imports are not taxable. We asked for a review of the assessment and will continue to appeal at the federal administrative level and later at the federal judicial level, if necessary.

Environmental Claims

In the period between 2001 to 2006, we experienced several accidents, some of which led to significant oil spills: 77,402 gallons in 2006, 71,141 gallons in 2005, 140,000 gallons in 2004, 73,000 gallons in 2003 and 52,000 gallons in 2002. In connection with these accidents, several administrative, civil and criminal investigations and proceedings have not yet been concluded, the most significant of which are specified below. We cannot predict whether additional litigation will result from those accidents or whether any such additional proceedings would have a material adverse effect on us. See Note 19(d) to our audited consolidated financial statements.

January 2000 spill Guanabara Bay

On January 18, 2000, a pipeline connecting one of our terminals to a refinery in Guanabara Bay ruptured, causing a release of approximately 341,000 gallons of fuel into the bay. We undertook action to control the spill in an effort to prevent the oil from threatening additional areas. We have spent approximately R\$104 million in connection with the clean-up efforts and fines imposed by IBAMA in connection with this spill, and are subject to several legal proceedings that remain pending as a result of this spill, including a criminal proceeding instituted on January 24, 2001 by the Public Ministry of the State of Rio de Janeiro. The ruling declared the proceeding invalid by virtue of the Federal Constitution of Brazil, which permits only individuals, not legal entities, to be held criminally liable. This ruling is not subject to appeal. In addition, on April 30, 2002, a decision from the court determined the conclusion of the criminal proceeding. Although this decision is favorable to us and is not subject to appeal by the plaintiff, we nevertheless appealed to the Brazilian Court of Justice (*Superior Tribunal de Justiça*, or STJ) to seek an additional ruling in our favor based on constitutional grounds, in order to set a favorable precedent.

July 2000 Spill Curitiba

On July 16, 2000, an oil spill occurred at our President Getúlio Vargas refinery, located approximately 15 miles (24 kilometers) from Curitiba, capital of the State of Paraná, releasing approximately 1.06 million gallons of crude oil into the surrounding area. We spent approximately R\$74 million on the clean-up effort and fines imposed by the State of Paraná authorities. In addition, in relation to this spill:

on August 1, 2000, IBAMA imposed fines in the amount of R\$168 million. We contested these fines, but IBAMA subsequently upheld them. On February 3, 2003, we filed a lawsuit in order to challenge these fines and obtained an injunction that allows us to pursue a decision to this claim without posting a bond in the amount of the fines. The judge decided in favor of the joinder of this proceeding with other civil petitions, and we asked to the Regional Federal Court of Appeals (TRF) to reverse this decision. We are currently awaiting a final disposition of this case;

several civil actions have been filed against us, the most important of which is a civil action filed on January 1, 2001 by the Federal Public Ministry and the Paraná State Public Ministry seeking damages of approximately R\$2,300 million. On April 4, 2001, we filed our response and are still awaiting a decision. At present, the proceedings have been suspended, while awaiting the results of the technical survey; and

the Federal Public Ministry instituted a criminal action against us, our former president and our former superintendent of the REPAR refinery. A habeas corpus petition has currently suspended the action in favor of us, our former president and the former superintendent of the REPAR refinery. In addition, with respect to our former president and REPAR refinery's former superintendent, the STF and STJ have each concluded their criminal proceedings. The *habeas corpus* petition was judged by the STJ on September 2, 2006, and the court granted *habeas corpus* to Luiz Eduardo Valente Moreira, the former superintendent of REPAR. In the same decision, the STJ suspended the penal action against us and our former President (Henri Philippe Reichstul).

Table of Contents

February 2001 spill Rivers in the State of Paraná

On February 16, 2001, our Araucária-Paranaguá pipeline ruptured as a result of an unusual movement of the soil and spilled approximately 15,059 gallons of fuel oil into several rivers located in the State of Paraná. On February 20, 2001, we finalized the cleaning of the river surfaces, recovering approximately 13,738 gallons of fuel oil. As a result of the accident:

the *Instituto Ambiental do Paraná*, or IAP fined us approximately R\$150 million. We contested this fine, and IAP reduced the fine to R\$90 million. We contested the reduced fine, but the legal proceeding was suspended by decision of the court;

the Federal Public Ministry and the Paraná State Public Ministry filed a public civil action against us seeking damages of approximately R\$3.7 billion and to oblige us to take certain remedial steps to prevent future accidents. On July 19, 2002, we filed our response, but the legal proceeding was suspended by decision of the court;

in the civil action presented by the Federal Public Ministry, the judge determined that the action must be sent to the State Court, which has authority over this case. The Federal Public Ministry appealed to the Federal Regional Court. The proceeding has been handled by the attorney for the Federal Public Ministry since October 21, 2005. Although the Federal Regional Court has not yet decided if the authority to make a determination belongs to the Federal Court or to the State Court, the State Court of Morretes judge has decided to suspend the lawsuit;

the Instituto Ambiental do Paraná (IAP) presented a civil action requiring the recovery of the damages and the indemnity of those for whom damages could not be recovered, in the value of R\$150 million. On October 5, 2006, the judge decided to suspend the lawsuit and the upcoming hearing, in which the terms of the planned survey were to be discussed. That hearing will instead be held after the judgment by the Federal Regional Court as to the proper jurisdiction of the Federal and State Courts; and

the Federal Police office in the State of Paraná conducted a criminal investigation, which has been concluded.

March 2001 gas explosion and spill Roncador field

On March 15, 2001, a gas explosion inside one of the columns of the P-36 production platform, located in the Roncador field (75 miles off the Brazilian coast) led to the death of 11 employees and eventual sinking of the platform. The accident also caused 396,300 gallons of oil to spill into the ocean. As a result of the accident:

the Federal Public Ministry filed a lawsuit on January 23, 2002 seeking the payment of R\$100 million as environmental damages, among other demands. We have presented our defense to these claims and are awaiting a decision; and

IBAMA fined us approximately R\$7 million. We are contesting these fines through administrative proceedings. One of these proceedings has ended and the fine (in the amount of R\$2 million) has been upheld by IBAMA. We shall, in the near future, file a lawsuit seeking the cancellation of this fine.

October 2002 FPSO accident

On October 13, 2002, a power blackout in FPSO P-34, which is located in the Barracuda-Caratinga fields, affected the ship's water balance system and caused water to move from storage tanks located in one side of the ship to the tanks located in the opposite side, causing the FPSO to roll up to an angle of 40 degrees. Four days later, the stability of the ship had been restored, without casualties or spill of oil into the sea. As a result of the investigation of this accident, several measures to prevent similar accidents were incorporated into our *Programa de Excelência Operacional*, or PEO (Operational Excellence Program). In connection with the accident:

we executed a *Termo de Ajustamento de Conduta* (Agreement for Regularization of Conduct), or TAC, with IBAMA, relating to our production activities in the Campos Basin, pursuant to a Presidential

Table of Contents

Decree enacted on December 12, 2002. Under the TAC, we agreed to conduct certain actions in the Campos Basin to reduce the risk of environmental damage;

following the FPSO P-34 accident, the *Comissão Estadual de Controle Ambiental* (State Commission for Environment Control, or CECA) fined us in R\$1 million because our exploration license in Campos Basin had allegedly expired. We are contesting this fine through administrative proceedings; and

on January 16, 2003, the Federal Public Ministry filed a motion for a protective order with a request for an injunction against us, IBAMA and *Agência Nacional do Petróleo* (National Petroleum Agency, or ANP), in order to challenge the validity of the letter of intent and of the TAC and prevent us from obtaining from IBAMA new licenses for our platforms located in the Campos Basin. The trial judge partially accepted the plaintiff's request for an injunction. The court suspended the injunction, upholding the validity of the TAC, which is not subject to appeal. The proceedings at the trial court will continue until the trial judge makes a final decision on the merits of the complaint, a decision that would be subject to further appeals.

Campos Basin Drilling Operations

On February 3, 2006 IBAMA imposed a fine on us in the amount of R\$213.2 million for the performance of some drilling operations in the Campos basin in alleged breach of the agreement (*termo de ajustamento de conduta*) executed between Petrobras and IBAMA on August 11, 2004. On February 16, 2006, we contested the fine through an administrative proceeding with IBAMA, but no decision has been issued yet. We believe the drilling performed by us along the Brazilian coast, including the drilling performed on the Campos Basin, is legitimate based on IBAMA's Previous Drilling License, Federal Government Decree of December 9, 2002, and the agreement (*termo de ajustamento de conduta*) executed between Petrobras and IBAMA, which has been modified and is valid through June 30, 2007.

Pollution

On January 15, 1986, the Public Ministry of the State of São Paulo and the *União dos Defensores da Terra* (Union for Defense of the Earth), filed a public civil action against us and 23 other companies in the State Court of São Paulo for alleged damages caused by pollution. This lawsuit is entering the discovery phase. Although the plaintiffs alleged damages of U.S.\$89,500 in an initial pleading filed with the Court, the Public Ministry of the State of São Paulo has publicly stated that U.S.\$800 million ultimately will be required to remedy the alleged environmental damage. The Court refused to assert joint and several liability of the defendants, and we believe that it will be difficult to determine the environmental damage attributable to each defendant.

PifCo

There is no litigation or governmental proceeding pending or, to PifCo's knowledge, threatened against PifCo or any of its subsidiaries that, if adversely determined, would have a significant effect on its financial position or profitability.

Table of Contents**Dividend Distribution***Petrobras*

The tables below describe our dividend payments for the last five fiscal years, including amounts paid in the form of interest on shareholders' equity.

	2006	For the Year Ended December 31,			2002
		2005	2004	2003	
		(in millions of U.S. dollars)			
Dividends paid to shareholders	3,144	2,104	1,785	941	999
Dividends paid to minority interests	69	6	24	2	19
	3,213	2,110	1,809	943	1,018

For Brazilian Corporate Law's on minimum dividend distribution requirements, see Item 10. Additional Information Memorandum and Articles of Incorporation of Petrobras Payment of Dividends and Interest on Shareholders' Equity and Item 10. Additional Information Memorandum and Articles of Incorporation of Petrobras Mandatory Distribution. We may change our dividend policy at any time within the limits set forth by Brazilian law.

PifCo

For a description of PifCo's dividend distribution policy, see Items 111 through 118 Amended and Restated Memorandum and Articles of Association of PifCo Dividends.

ITEM 9. THE OFFER AND LISTING**Petrobras***Trading Markets*

Our shares and ADSs are listed or quoted on the following markets:

Common Shares	São Paulo Stock Exchange (BOVESPA)	São Paulo (ticker symbol PETR3); Mercado de Valores Latinoamericanos en Euros (LATIBEX)	Madrid, Spain (ticker symbol XPBR)
Preferred Shares	São Paulo Stock Exchange (BOVESPA)	São Paulo (ticker symbol PETR4); Mercado de Valores Latinoamericanos en Euros (LATIBEX)	Madrid, Spain (ticker symbol XPBRA)
Common ADSs	New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	New York (ticker symbol PBR)	
Preferred ADSs	New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	New York (ticker symbol PBRA)	
Common Shares	Bolsa de Comercio de Buenos Aires (BCBA)	Buenos Aires, Argentina (ticker symbol APBR)	
Preferred Shares	Bolsa de Comercio de Buenos Aires (BCBA)	Buenos Aires, Argentina (ticker symbol APBRA)	

Our common and preferred shares are traded on the São Paulo Stock Exchange since 1968. Our ADSs representing four common shares and our ADSs representing four preferred shares have been traded on the New York Stock Exchange since 2000 and 2001, respectively. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. serves as the depository for both the common and preferred ADSs. Our common and preferred shares have been traded on the LATIBEX since 2002. The LATIBEX is an electronic market created in 1999 by the Madrid Stock Exchange in order to enable trading of Latin American equity securities in euro denominations.

Our common and preferred shares have been traded on the *Bolsa de Comercio de Buenos Aires* (Buenos Aires Stock Exchange) since April 27, 2006.

Table of Contents*Price Information*

São Paulo Stock Exchange

The tables below set forth reported high and low closing sale prices in *reais* per common and preferred share and the reported average daily trading volume in common and preferred shares on the São Paulo Stock Exchange for the periods indicated. The table also sets forth prices in U.S. dollars per common and preferred share at the selling market rate for the purchase of U.S. dollars, as reported by the Central Bank of Brazil, for each of the dates of such quotations. See Item 3. Key Information Exchange Rates for information with respect to exchange rates applicable during the periods set forth below.

COMMON SHARES TRADED ON BOVESPA

	<i>reais</i> per Common Share		U.S. dollars		Average Number of
	High	Low	per Common Share		Common Shares
	High	Low	High	Low	Traded per Day
2002	15.78	9.47	6.73	2.45	1,630,562
2003	21.13	11.50	7.26	3.22	1,290,235
2004	26.93	19.14	10.09	5.99	1,330,191
2005	41.80	25.40	18.37	9.39	973,131
2006	55.40	40.65	26.85	17.69	1,127,049
2005:					
First Quarter	33.08	25.40	12.40	9.39	1,224,093
Second Quarter	31.50	26.08	13.21	10.16	894,337
Third Quarter	41.80	29.58	18.33	12.49	996,648
Fourth Quarter	41.30	33.31	18.37	14.82	782,600
2006:					
First Quarter	51.69	42.30	23.34	18.09	1,092,195
Second Quarter	55.40	40.65	26.85	17.69	1,212,664
Third Quarter	51.79	42.15	23.74	19.09	973,913
Fourth Quarter	54.49	42.69	25.51	19.72	1,241,273
2007:					
First Quarter	55.75	44.85	26.16	21.02	1,576,872
2006:					
November	51.00	46.75	23.56	21.82	1,200,516
December	54.49	50.50	25.51	23.31	1,103,042
2007:					
January	55.75	48.25	26.16	22.60	1,705,886
February	52.45	47.85	24.91	22.58	1,622,411
March	51.74	44.85	25.14	21.02	1,416,464
April	54.49	50.75	26.80	24.89	1,154,640
May	53.40	50.30	27.45	24.91	1,312,968

Table of Contents**PREFERRED SHARES TRADED ON BOVESPA**

	<i>reais</i> per Preferred Share		U.S. dollars		Average Number of
	High	Low	per Preferred Share		Preferred Shares
	High	Low	High	Low	Traded per Day
2002	15.08	8.79	6.43	2.27	4,269,481
2003	19.37	10.40	6.67	2.90	4,584,203
2004	24.47	16.80	9.17	5.26	4,825,476
2005	37.21	22.74	16.50	8.37	4,578,877
2006	49.80	36.50	23.33	15.89	6,559,601
2005:					
First Quarter	28.94	22.74	10.86	8.37	4,957,720
Second Quarter	27.70	22.98	11.62	8.87	3,952,243
Third Quarter	37.01	26.03	16.25	10.84	4,638,194
Fourth Quarter	37.21	29.46	16.50	13.11	4,790,216
2006:					
First Quarter	47.00	38.09	21.50	16.29	6,257,082
Second Quarter	48.15	36.50	23.33	15.89	6,735,861
Third Quarter	46.25	38.14	21.26	17.20	6,058,653
Fourth Quarter	49.80	38.80	23.31	17.92	7,238,668
2007:					
First Quarter	50.45	40.17	23.67	18.76	9,664,942
2006					
November	45.83	42.45	21.17	19.82	7,452,290
December	49.80	45.30	23.31	20.91	6,584,957
2007:					
January	50.45	43.35	23.67	20.31	9,388,510
February	47.30	42.60	22.46	20.18	9,860,462
March	46.14	40.17	22.46	18.76	9,768,836
April	48.65	45.20	23.93	22.24	8,920,665
May	47.20	44.36	24.26	21.97	9,239,191

Table of Contents

New York Stock Exchange

The tables below set forth the reported high and low closing sale prices per ADSs representing four common shares and ADSs representing four preferred shares and their reported average daily trading volume on the New York Stock Exchange for the periods indicated.

COMMON SHARE ADS TRADED ON THE NYSE

	<i>reais per ADS</i>		U.S. dollars per		Average Number of ADS representing Four Common Shares Traded per Day
	representing		ADS representing		
	High	Low	High	Low	
2002	63.58	36.91	27.30	9.55	1,223,509
2003	84.77	46.22	29.27	12.94	1,044,189
2004	107.74	77.77	40.37	24.35	1,371,604
2005	167.06	101.24	73.40	37.41	1,754,301
2006	220.63	161.25	106.92	70.18	2,594,727
2005:					
First Quarter	131.47	101.24	49.81	37.41	1,967,233
Second Quarter	126.29	104.29	52.97	41.00	1,313,044
Third Quarter	167.06	118.03	73.37	49.54	1,808,566
Fourth Quarter	166.45	132.48	73.40	58.95	1,941,263
2006:					
First Quarter	209.26	173.71	94.50	74.72	2,267,705
Second Quarter	220.63	161.25	106.92	70.18	3,320,289
Third Quarter	206.76	165.57	94.94	76.67	2,486,914
Fourth Quarter	219.96	169.98	102.99	78.51	2,298,808
2007:					
First Quarter	213.22	180.16	101.32	84.52	3,099,114
2006:					
November	203.79	186.40	94.15	86.98	2,131,052
December	219.96	203.46	102.99	93.90	1,834,865
2007:					
January	213.22	194.09	99.66	90.93	3,082,485
February	209.41	189.34	99.46	89.68	2,800,289
March	207.96	180.16	101.32	84.52	3,372,308
April	218.69	203.63	107.58	99.87	2,467,030
May	212.50	200.53	109.22	99.30	2,832,331

Table of Contents**PREFERRED SHARE ADS TRADED ON THE NYSE**

	<i>reais per ADS</i> representing		U.S. dollars per ADS representing		Average Number of ADS representing Four Preferred Shares Traded per Day
	Four Preferred Shares High	Four Preferred Shares Low	Four Preferred Shares High	Four Preferred Shares Low	
2002	60.81	34.40	25.95	8.90	683,403
2003	77.50	41.57	26.79	11.63	671,236
2004	97.94	66.59	36.70	20.85	818,145
2005	150.34	89.91	66.20	33.43	1,184,789
2006	199.84	144.98	93.55	63.10	1,252,695
2005:					
First Quarter	115.73	90.84	43.62	33.43	1,567,575
Second Quarter	110.87	89.91	46.50	35.60	904,878
Third Quarter	147.74	103.74	64.93	42.78	1,161,931
Fourth Quarter	150.34	118.14	66.20	52.57	1,121,729
2006:					
First Quarter	190.88	157.93	86.20	67.75	1,317,177
Second Quarter	193.04	144.98	93.55	63.10	1,612,795
Third Quarter	185.66	153.18	85.09	69.16	1,101,849
Fourth Quarter	199.84	154.80	93.28	71.50	979,983
2007:					
First Quarter	194.44	161.62	90.88	75.51	1,619,225
2006:					
November	183.77	168.87	84.90	78.80	984,610
December	199.84	183.05	93.28	84.48	788,195
2007:					
January	194.44	173.33	90.88	81.20	1,512,015
February	189.39	169.77	90.11	80.41	1,627,249
March	186.26	161.62	90.75	75.51	1,709,760
April	194.36	181.36	95.61	89.22	1,438,028
May	187.73	177.15	96.49	87.72	1,530,198

*Markets***The São Paulo Stock Exchange**

In Brazil, securities are traded only on the São Paulo Stock Exchange, with the exception of electronically traded public debt securities. If you were to trade in our common or preferred shares on the São Paulo Stock Exchange, your trade would settle in three business days after the trade without adjustment of the purchase price for inflation. The seller is ordinarily required to deliver the shares to the exchange on the third business day following the trade date. Delivery of and payment for shares are made through the facilities of the clearinghouse, or *Companhia Brasileira de Liquidação e Custódia*, known as CBLC.

The São Paulo Stock Exchange is a non-profit entity owned by its member brokerage firms. Trading on each exchange is limited to member brokerage firms and a number of authorized non-members. The São Paulo Stock Exchange opens electronic trading sessions each day from 11:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Brazil local time, except during daylight savings time in the United States. During daylight savings time in the United States, the sessions are from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Brazil local time, to closely mirror New York Stock Exchange trading hours. Trading is also conducted between 11:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., or between 10:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. during daylight savings time in the

United States, on an automated system known as the *Sistema de Negociação Assistida por Computador* (Computer Assisted Trading System) on the São Paulo Stock Exchange. The São Paulo Stock Exchange also permits trading from 6:45 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. (or from 5:45 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. during daylight savings time in the United States) on an online system connected to traditional and internet brokers called the After Market. Trading on the After Market is subject to regulatory limits on price volatility and on the volume of shares transacted through internet brokers. There are no specialists or officially recognized market makers for our shares.

In order to better control volatility, the São Paulo Stock Exchange adopted a circuit breaker system pursuant to which trading sessions may be suspended for a period of thirty minutes or one hour whenever the indices

Table of Contents

of these stock exchanges fall below the limits of 10% or 15%, respectively, in relation to the index registered in the previous trading session.

The São Paulo Stock Exchange is less liquid than the New York Stock Exchange. At December 31, 2006, the aggregate market capitalization of the 352 companies listed on the São Paulo Stock Exchange was approximately U.S.\$ 722.6 billion and the ten largest companies represented approximately 51.3 % of the total market capitalization of all listed companies. All the outstanding shares of an exchange-listed company may trade on the São Paulo Stock Exchange, but in most cases, less than half of the listed shares are actually available for trading by the public. The remainder is held by small groups of controlling persons, by governmental entities or by one principal shareholder.

Trading on the São Paulo Stock Exchange by a holder not deemed to be a resident of Brazil for Brazilian tax and regulatory purposes (a non-Brazilian holder) is subject to certain limitations under Brazilian foreign investment legislation. With limited exceptions, non-Brazilian holders may only trade on the São Paulo Stock Exchange in accordance with the requirements of Resolution No. 2,689 of January 26, 2000 of the National Monetary Council. Resolution No. 2,689 requires that securities held by non-Brazilian holders be maintained in the custody of, or in deposit accounts with, financial institutions duly authorized by the Central Bank of Brazil and the CVM. In addition, Resolution No. 2,689 requires non-Brazilian holders to restrict their securities trading to transactions on Brazilian stock exchanges or qualified over-the-counter markets. With limited exceptions, non-Brazilian holders may not transfer the ownership of investments made under Resolution No. 2,689 to other non-Brazilian holders through a private transaction.

The Brazilian custodian for the common and preferred shares underlying the ADSs must, on behalf of the depositary for the ADSs, register with the Central Bank of Brazil to remit U.S. dollars abroad for payments of dividends, any other cash distributions or sales proceeds upon the disposition in Brazil of the shares. In the event that a holder of ADSs exchanges ADSs for common or preferred shares, the holder will be entitled to continue to rely on the custodian's registration for five business days after the exchange. Thereafter, the holder may not be able to obtain and remit U.S. dollars abroad upon the disposition of the common or preferred shares or distributions relating to the common shares, unless the holder obtains a new registration. See Item 10. Additional Information Exchange Controls and Additional Information Brazilian Tax Considerations Taxation of Gains for a description of exchange controls and certain tax benefits extended to non-Brazilian holders who qualify under Resolution No. 2,689.

Regulation of the Brazilian Securities Markets

The Brazilian securities markets are principally governed by Law No. 6,385 of December 7, 1976, and the Brazilian Corporate Law, each as amended and supplemented, and by regulations issued by the CVM, which has regulatory authority over the stock exchanges and securities markets generally, the National Monetary Council, and the Central Bank of Brazil, which has licensing authority over brokerage firms and regulates foreign investment and foreign exchange transactions. These laws and regulations, among others, provide for disclosure requirements applicable to issuers of traded securities, restrictions on insider trading and price manipulation and protection of minority shareholders. They also provide for licensing and oversight of brokerage firms and governance of the Brazilian stock exchanges. However, the Brazilian securities markets are not as highly regulated and supervised as the U.S. securities markets.

Under the Brazilian Corporate Law, a company is either public (*companhia aberta*), such as we are, or privately held (*companhia fechada*). All public companies, including us, are registered with the CVM and are subject to reporting requirements. A company registered with the CVM may have its securities traded on the Brazilian stock exchanges or in the Brazilian over-the-counter market. Our common and preferred shares are listed and traded on the São Paulo Stock Exchange and may also be traded privately, subject to some limitations.

In order to be listed on the São Paulo Stock Exchange, a company must apply for registration with the CVM and the São Paulo Stock Exchange.

We have the option to ask that trading in our securities on the São Paulo Stock Exchange be suspended in anticipation of a material announcement. Trading may also be suspended on the initiative of the São Paulo Stock Exchange or the CVM, among other reasons, based on or due to a belief that a company has provided inadequate

Table of Contents

information regarding a material event or has provided inadequate responses to the inquiries by the CVM or the São Paulo Stock Exchange.

The Brazilian over-the-counter market consists of direct trades between individuals in which a financial institution registered with the CVM serves as intermediary. No special application, other than registration with the CVM, is necessary for securities of a public company to be traded in this market. The CVM requires that it be given notice of all trades carried out in the Brazilian over-the-counter market by the intermediaries.

PifCo

PifCo's common stock is not registered and there is no trading market for it. PifCo's Senior Notes are listed in the Luxembourg Stock Exchange. PifCo's Global Notes due 2016 are registered on the New York Stock Exchange. PifCo's other debt securities have not been listed on any securities exchange.

ITEM 10. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Memorandum and Articles of Incorporation of Petrobras

General

We are a publicly traded company duly registered with the CVM under No. 951-2. Article 3 of our bylaws establishes our corporate purposes as research, prospecting, extraction, processing, trade and transportation of crude oil from wells, shale and other rocks, of its derivatives, natural gas and other fluid hydrocarbons, as well as other related or similar activities, such as activities connected with energy, including research, development, production, transportation, distribution, sale and trade of all forms of energy, as well as other related or similar activities. We may conduct outside Brazil, directly or through our subsidiaries, any of the activities within our corporate purpose.

Qualification of Directors

Brazilian law provides that only shareholders of a company may be appointed to its board of directors, but there is no minimum share ownership or residency requirement for qualification as a director. Members of our board of executive officers must be Brazilian nationals and reside in Brazil. Our directors and executive officers are prevented from voting on any transaction involving companies in which they hold more than 10% of the total capital stock or of which they have held a management position in the period immediately prior to their taking office. Under our bylaws, shareholders set the aggregate compensation payable to directors and executive officers. The Board of Directors allocates the compensation among its members and the executive officers.

Allocation of Net Income

At each annual general shareholders' meeting, our board of directors is required to recommend how net profits for the preceding fiscal year are to be allocated. The Brazilian Corporate Law defines net profits as net income after income taxes and social contribution taxes for such fiscal year, net of any accumulated losses from prior fiscal years and any amounts allocated to employees' and management's participation in our profits. In accordance with the Brazilian Corporate Law, the amounts available for dividend distribution or payment of interest on shareholders' equity equals net profits less any amounts allocated from such net profits to the legal reserve.

We are required to maintain a legal reserve, to which we must allocate 5% of net profits for each fiscal year until the amount for such reserve equals 20% of our paid-in capital. However, we are not required to make any allocations to our legal reserve in a fiscal year in which the legal reserve, when added to our other established capital reserves, exceeds 30% of our capital. The legal reserve can only be used to offset losses or to increase our capital.

As long as we are able to make the minimum mandatory distribution described below, we must allocate an amount equivalent to 0.5% of subscribed and fully paid-in capital at year-end to a statutory reserve. The reserve is used to fund the costs of research and technological development programs. The accumulated balance of this reserve cannot exceed 5% of the subscribed and fully paid-in capital stock.

Table of Contents

Brazilian law also provides for three discretionary allocations of net profits that are subject to approval by the shareholders at the annual general shareholders meeting, as follows:

first, a percentage of net profits may be allocated to a contingency reserve for anticipated losses that are deemed probable in future years. Any amount so allocated in a prior year must be either reversed in the fiscal year in which the reasons justifying the reserve cease to exist, or written off in the event that the anticipated loss occurs;

second, if the mandatory distributable amount exceeds the sum of realized net profits in a given year, this excess may be allocated to an unrealized revenue reserve. The Brazilian Corporate Law defines realized net profits as the amount of net profits that exceeds the sum of the net positive result of equity adjustments and profits or revenues from operations whose financial results take place after the end of the next succeeding fiscal year; and

third, a portion of our net profits that exceeds the minimum mandatory distribution may be allocated to fund working capital needs and investment projects, as long as such allocation is based on a capital budget previously approved by our shareholders. Capital budgets for more than one year must be reviewed at each annual shareholders meeting.

Mandatory Distribution

Under Brazilian Corporate Law, the bylaws of a Brazilian corporation may specify a minimum percentage of the amounts available for distribution by such corporation for each fiscal year that must be distributed to shareholders as dividends or interest on shareholders equity, also known as the mandatory distributable amount, which cannot be lower than 25% of the adjusted net profit for the fiscal year. Under our bylaws, the mandatory distributable amount has been fixed at an amount equal to not less than 25% of our net profits, after the allocations to the legal reserve, contingency reserve and unrealized revenue reserve. Furthermore, the net profits that are not allocated to the reserves above to fund working capital needs and investment projects as described above or to the statutory reserve must be distributed to our shareholders as dividends or interest on shareholders equity.

The Brazilian Corporate Law, however, permits a publicly held company, such as ours, to suspend the mandatory distribution if the board of directors and the Fiscal Council report to the annual general shareholders meeting that the distribution would be inadvisable in view of the company's financial condition. The suspension is subject to approval of holders of common shares. In this case, the board of directors must file a justification for such suspension with the CVM. Profits not distributed by virtue of the suspension mentioned above shall be allocated to a special reserve and, if not absorbed by subsequent losses, shall be distributed as soon as the financial condition of the company permits such payments.

Payment of Dividends and Interest on Shareholders Equity

We are required by the Brazilian Corporate Law and by our bylaws to hold an annual general shareholders meeting by the fourth month after the end of each fiscal year at which, among other things, the shareholders have to decide on the payment of an annual dividend. The payment of annual dividends is based on the financial statements prepared for the relevant fiscal year.

Law No. 9,249 of December 26, 1995, as amended, provides for distribution of interest attributed to shareholders equity to shareholders as an alternative form of distribution. Such interest is limited to the daily *pro rata* variation of the TJLP interest rate, the Brazilian government's long-term interest rate.

We may treat these payments as a deductible expense for corporate income tax and social contribution purposes, but the deduction cannot exceed the greater of:

50% of net income (before taking into account such distribution and any deductions for income taxes and after taking into account any deductions for social contributions on net profits) for the period in respect of which the payment is made; or

50% of retained earnings.

Table of Contents

Any payment of interest on shareholders' equity to holders of ADSs or common shares, whether or not they are Brazilian residents, is subject to Brazilian withholding tax at the rate of 15% or 25%. The 25% rate applies if the beneficiary is resident in a tax haven. See Brazilian Tax Considerations. The amount paid to shareholders as interest attributed to shareholders' equity, net of any withholding tax, may be included as part of any mandatory distribution of dividends. Under the Brazilian Corporate Law, we are required to distribute to shareholders an amount sufficient to ensure that the net amount received, after payment by us of applicable Brazilian withholding taxes in respect of the distribution of interest on shareholders' equity, is at least equal to the mandatory dividend.

Under the Brazilian Corporate Law and our bylaws, dividends generally are required to be paid within 60 days following the date the dividend was declared, unless a shareholders' resolution sets forth another date of payment, which, in either case, must occur prior to the end of the fiscal year in which the dividend was declared. The amounts of dividends due to our shareholders are subject to financial charges at the SELIC rate (an interest rate applicable to certain Brazilian government securities) from the end of each fiscal year through the date we actually pay such dividends. Shareholders have a three-year period from the dividend payment date to claim dividends or interest payments with respect to their shares, after which the amount of the unclaimed dividends reverts to us.

Holders of preferred shares are entitled to priority in the distribution equal to the greater of a 5% of their pro rata share of our paid-in capital, or 3% of their shares book value with a participation equal to the common shares in corporate capital increases obtained from the incorporation of reserves and profits.

Our board of directors may distribute dividends or pay interest based on the profits reported in interim financial statements. The amount of interim dividends distributed cannot exceed the amount of our capital reserves.

Shareholders' Meetings

Our shareholders have the power to decide on any matters related to our corporate purposes and to pass any resolutions they deem necessary for our protection and development, through voting at a general shareholders' meeting.

We convene our shareholders' meetings by publishing a notice in the *Diário Oficial da União* (Official Gazette), *Jornal do Commercio*, *Gazeta Mercantil* and *Valor Econômico*. The notice must be published no fewer than three times, beginning at least 15 calendar days prior to the scheduled meeting date. The notice must contain the meeting's agenda and, in the case of a proposed amendment to the bylaws, an indication of the subject matter. For ADS holders, we are required to provide notice to the ADS depositary at least 30 calendar days prior to a shareholders' meeting.

The board of directors or, in some specific situations set forth in the Brazilian Corporate Law, the shareholders, call our general shareholders' meetings. A shareholder may be represented at a general shareholders' meeting by an attorney-in-fact, so long as the attorney-in-fact was appointed within a year of the meeting. The attorney-in-fact must be a shareholder, a member of our management, a lawyer or a financial institution. The attorney-in-fact's power of attorney must comply with certain formalities set forth by Brazilian law.

In order for a valid action to be taken at a shareholders' meeting, shareholders representing at least one quarter of our issued and outstanding common shares must be present at the meeting. However, in the case of a general meeting to amend our bylaws, shareholders representing at least two-thirds of our issued and outstanding common shares must be present. If no such quorum is present, the board may call a second meeting giving at least eight calendar days notice prior to the scheduled meeting in accordance with the rules of publication described above. The quorum requirements will not apply to the second meeting, subject to the voting requirements for certain matters described below.

Voting Rights

Pursuant to the Brazilian Corporate Law and our bylaws, each of our common shares carries the right to vote at a general meeting of shareholders. The Brazilian government is required by law to own at least a majority of our voting stock. Pursuant to our bylaws, our preferred shares generally do not confer voting rights.

Holders of common shares, voting at a general shareholders' meeting, have the exclusive power to:

Table of Contents

amend our bylaws;

approve any capital increase beyond the amount of the authorized capital;

approve any capital reduction;

approve the appraisal of any assets used by a shareholder to subscribe for our shares;

elect or dismiss members of our board of directors and Fiscal Council, subject to the right of our preferred shareholders to elect or dismiss one member of our board of directors and to elect one member of our Fiscal Council;

receive the yearly financial statements prepared by our management and accept or reject management's financial statements, including the allocation of net profits for payment of the mandatory dividend and allocation to the various reserve accounts;

authorize the issuance of debentures, except for the issuance of non-convertible unsecured debentures, which may be approved by our board of directors;

suspend the rights of a shareholder who has not fulfilled the obligations imposed by law or by our bylaws;

accept or reject the valuation of assets contributed by a shareholder in consideration for issuance of capital stock;

pass resolutions to approve corporate restructurings, such as mergers, spin-offs and transformation into another type of company;

participate in a centralized group of companies;

approve the disposal of the control of our subsidiaries;

approve the disposal of convertible debentures issued by our subsidiaries and held by us;

establish the compensation of our senior management;

approve the cancellation of our registration as a publicly-traded company;

decide on our dissolution or liquidation;

waive the right to subscribe to shares or convertible debentures issued by our subsidiaries or affiliates; and

choose a specialized company to work out the appraisal of our shares by economic value, in cases of the canceling of our registry as a publicly-traded company or deviation from the standard rules of corporate governance defined by a stock exchange or an entity in charge of maintaining an organized over-the-counter market registered with the CVM, in order to comply with such corporate governance rules and with contracts that may be executed by us and such entities.

Except as otherwise provided by law, resolutions of a general shareholders' meeting are passed by the majority of the outstanding common shares. Abstentions are not taken into account.

The approval of holders of at least one-half of the issued and outstanding common shares is required for the following actions involving our company:

reduction of the mandatory dividend distribution;

156

Table of Contents

merger into another company or consolidation with another company, subject to the conditions set forth in the Brazilian Corporate Law;

participation in a group of companies subject to the conditions set forth in the Brazilian Corporate Law;

change of our corporate purpose, which must be preceded by an amendment in our bylaws by federal law as we are controlled by the government and our corporate purpose is established by law;

cessation of the state of liquidation;

spin-off of a portion of our company, subject to the conditions set forth in the Brazilian Corporate Law;

transfer of all our shares to another company or receipt of shares of another company in order to make the company whose shares are transferred a wholly-owned subsidiary of such company, known as *incorporação de ações*; and

approval of our liquidation.

According to the Brazilian Corporate Law, the following actions shall be submitted for approval by the outstanding adversely affected preferred shares before they are submitted for approval of at least half of the issued and outstanding common shares:

creation of preferred shares or increase in the existing classes of preferred shares, without preserving the proportions to any other class of preferred shares, except as set forth in or authorized by the company's bylaws;

change in the preferences, privileges or redemption or amortization conditions of any class of preferred shares; and

creation of a new class of preferred shares entitled to more favorable conditions than the existing classes.

Decisions on our transformation into another type of company require the unanimous approval of our shareholders, including the preferred shareholders, and an amendment of our bylaws by the federal law.

Our preferred shares will acquire voting rights if we fail to pay the minimum dividend to which such shares are entitled for three consecutive fiscal years. The voting right shall continue until payment has been made. Preferred shareholders also obtain the right to vote if we enter into a liquidation process.

Under Brazilian Corporate Law, shareholders representing at least 10% of the company's voting capital have the right to demand that a cumulative voting procedure be adopted to entitle each common share to as many votes as there are board members and to give each common share the right to vote cumulatively for only one candidate or to distribute its votes among several candidates. Furthermore, minority common shareholders holding at least 10% of our voting capital also have the right to appoint or dismiss one member to or from our Fiscal Council.

Preferred shareholders holding, individually or as a group, 10% of our total capital have the right to appoint and/or dismiss one member to or from our board of directors. Preferred shareholders have the right to separately appoint one member to our Fiscal Council.

Our bylaws provide that, independently from the exercise of the rights above granted to minority shareholders, through cumulative voting process, the Brazilian government always has the right to appoint the majority of our directors.

Table of Contents

Preemptive Rights

Pursuant to the Brazilian Corporate Law, each of our shareholders has a general preemptive right to subscribe for shares or securities convertible into shares in any capital increase, in proportion to the number of shares held by them. In the event of a capital increase that would maintain or increase the proportion of capital represented by the preferred shares, holders of preferred shares would have preemptive rights to subscribe to newly issued preferred shares only. In the event of a capital increase that would reduce the proportion of capital represented by the preferred shares, holders of preferred shares would have preemptive rights to subscribe to any new preferred shares in proportion to the number of shares held by them, and to common shares only to the extent necessary to prevent dilution of their interests in our total capital.

A period of at least 30 days following the publication of notice of the issuance of new shares or securities convertible into shares is allowed for exercise of the right, and the right is negotiable. According to our bylaws, our board of directors may eliminate preemptive rights or reduce the exercise period in connection with a public exchange made to acquire control of another company or in connection with a public offering of shares or securities convertible into shares.

In the event of a capital increase by means of the issuance of new shares, holders of ADSs, of common or preferred shares, would have, except under circumstances described above, preemptive rights to subscribe for any class of our newly issued shares. However, you may not be able to exercise the preemptive rights relating to the preferred shares underlying your ADSs unless a registration statement under the Securities Act is effective with respect to those rights or an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act is available. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to our Equity and Debt Securities.

Redemption and Rights of Withdrawal

Brazilian law provides that, under limited circumstances, a shareholder has the right to withdraw his or her equity interest from the company and to receive payment for the portion of shareholder's equity attributable to his or her equity interest.

This right of withdrawal may be exercised by the holders of the adversely affected common or preferred shares in the event that we decide:

to create preferred shares or to increase the existing classes of preferred shares, without preserving the proportions to any other class of preferred shares, except as set forth in or authorized by our bylaws; or

to change the preferences, privileges or redemption or amortization conditions of any class of preferred shares or to create a new class of preferred shares entitled to more favorable conditions than the existing classes.

Holders of our common shares may exercise their right of withdrawal in the event we decide:

to merge into another company or to consolidate with another company, subject to the conditions set forth in the Brazilian Corporate Law; or

to participate in a centralized group of companies as defined under the Brazilian Corporate Law and subject to the conditions set forth therein.

The right of withdrawal may also be exercised by our dissenting shareholders in the event we decide:

to reduce the mandatory distribution of dividends;

to change our corporate purposes;

to spin-off a portion of our company, subject to the conditions set forth in the Brazilian Corporate Law;

Table of Contents

to transfer all of our shares to another company or to receive shares of another company in order to make the company whose shares are transferred a wholly-owned subsidiary of our company, known as *incorporação de ações*; or

to acquire control of another company at a price, which exceeds the limits set forth in the Brazilian Corporate Law, subject to, the conditions set forth in the Brazilian Corporate Law.

This right of withdrawal may also be exercised in the event that the entity resulting from a merger, *incorporação de ações*, as described above, or consolidation or spin-off of a listed company fails to become a listed company within 120 days of the shareholders' meeting at which such decision was taken.

Any redemption of shares arising out of the exercise of such withdrawal rights would be made based on the book value per share, determined on the basis of the last balance sheet approved by our shareholders. However, if a shareholders' meeting giving rise to redemption rights occurred more than 60 days after the date of the last approved balance sheet, a shareholder would be entitled to demand that his or her shares be valued on the basis of a new balance sheet dated within 60 days of such shareholders' meeting. The right of withdrawal lapses 30 days after publication of the minutes of the shareholders' meeting that approved the corporate actions described above. We would be entitled to reconsider any action giving rise to withdrawal rights within 10 days following the expiration of such rights if the withdrawal of shares of dissenting shareholders would jeopardize our financial stability.

Other Shareholders' Rights

According to the Brazilian Corporate Law, neither a company's bylaws nor actions taken at a general meeting of shareholders may deprive a shareholder of some specific rights, such as:

the right to participate in the distribution of profits;

the right to participate equally and ratably in any remaining residual assets in the event of liquidation of the company;

the right to supervise the management of the corporate business as specified in the Brazilian Corporate Law;

the right to preemptive rights in the event of a subscription of shares, debentures convertible into shares or subscription bonuses (other than with respect to a public offering of such securities, as may be set out in the bylaws); and

the right to withdraw from the company in the cases specified in the Brazilian Corporate Law.

Liquidation

In the event of a liquidation, holders of preferred shares are entitled to receive, prior to any distribution to holders of common shares, an amount equal to the paid-in capital with respect to the preferred shares.

Conversion Rights

According to our bylaws, our common shares are not convertible into preferred shares, nor are preferred shares convertible into common shares.

Liability of Our Shareholders for Further Capital Calls

Neither Brazilian law nor our bylaws provide for capital calls. Our shareholders' liability for capital calls is limited to the payment of the issue price of the shares subscribed or acquired.

Table of Contents

Form and Transfer

Our shares are registered in book-entry form and we have hired Banco do Brasil to perform all the services of safe-keeping and transfer of shares. To make the transfer, Banco do Brasil makes an entry in the register, debits the share account of the transferor and credits the share account of the transferee.

Our shareholders may choose, at their individual discretion, to hold their shares through CBLC. Shares are added to the CBLC system through Brazilian institutions, which have clearing accounts with the CBLC. Our shareholder registry indicates which shares are listed on the CBLC system. Each participating shareholder is in turn registered in a registry of beneficial shareholders maintained by the CBLC and is treated in the same manner as our registered shareholders.

Dispute Resolution

Our bylaws provide for mandatory dispute resolution through arbitration, in accordance with the rules of the *Câmara de Arbitragem do Mercado* (Market Arbitration Chamber), with respect to any dispute regarding us, our shareholders, the officers, directors and Fiscal Council members and involving the provisions of the Brazilian Corporate Law, our bylaws, the rules of the National Monetary Council, the Central Bank of Brazil and the CVM or any other capital markets legislation, including the provisions of any agreement entered into by us with any stock exchange or over-the-counter entity registered with the CVM, relating to adoption of differentiated corporate governance practices.

However, decisions of the Brazilian government, as exercised through voting in any general shareholders meeting, are not subject to this arbitration proceeding, in accordance with Article 238 of the Brazilian Corporate Law.

Self-dealing Restrictions

Our controlling shareholder, the Brazilian government, and the members of our board of directors, board of executive officers and Fiscal Council are required, in accordance with our bylaws, to:

refrain from dealing with our securities either in the one-month period prior to any fiscal year-end, up to the date when our financials are published, or in the period between any corporate decision to raise or reduce our stock capital, to distribute dividends or stock, and to issue any security, up to the date when the respective public releases are published; and

communicate to us and to the stock exchange their periodical dealing plans with respect to our securities, if any, including any change or default in these plans. If the communication is an investment or divestment plan, the frequency and planned quantities must be included.

Restrictions on Non-Brazilian Holders

Non-Brazilian holders face no legal restrictions on the ownership of our common or preferred shares or of ADSs based on our common or preferred shares, and are entitled to all the rights and preferences of such common or preferred shares, as the case may be.

However, the ability to convert dividend payments and proceeds from the sale of common or preferred shares or preemptive rights into foreign currency and to remit such amounts outside Brazil is subject to restrictions under foreign investment legislation which generally requires, among other things, the registration of the relevant investment with the Central Bank of Brazil. Nonetheless, any non-Brazilian holder who registers with the CVM in accordance with Resolution No. 2,689 may buy and sell securities on the São Paulo Stock Exchange without obtaining a separate certificate of registration for each transaction.

In addition, Annex III to Resolution No. 1,289 of the National Monetary Council, as amended, known as Annex III Regulations, allows Brazilian companies to issue depositary receipts in foreign exchange markets. We currently have an ADR program for our common and preferred shares duly registered with the CVM and the Central

Table of Contents

Bank of Brazil. The proceeds from the sale of ADSs by holders outside Brazil are free of Brazilian foreign investment controls.

Transfer of Control

According to Brazilian law and our bylaws, the Brazilian government is required to own at least the majority of our voting shares. Therefore, any change in our control would require a change in the applicable legislation.

Disclosure of Shareholder Ownership

Brazilian regulations require that any person or group of persons representing the same interest that has directly or indirectly acquired or sold an interest corresponding to 5% of the total number of shares of any type or class must disclose its share ownership or divestment to the CVM and the São Paulo Stock Exchange. In addition, a statement containing the required information must be published in the newspapers. Any subsequent increase or decrease by 5% or more in ownership of shares of any type or class must be similarly disclosed.

Memorandum and Articles of Association of PifCo

Register

PifCo is an exempted company incorporated with limited liability in the Cayman Islands under the Companies Law, as amended, with company registration number 76600. PifCo registered and filed its Memorandum and Articles of Association with the Registrar of Companies on September 24, 1997. The company adopted a revised amended and restated memorandum and articles of association by sole shareholder special resolution on May 7, 2007. PifCo was initially incorporated with the name Brasoil Finance Company, which name was changed by special resolution of PifCo's shareholders to Petrobras International Finance Company on September 25, 1997. The last amendment to PifCo's Memorandum & Articles of Association occurred on May 7, 2007, to increase the capital stock and to amend the stated purpose of PifCo.

Objects and Purposes

PifCo's Memorandum and Articles of Association grants PifCo full power and authority to conduct marketing, sales, financing, purchase, storage and transportation of petroleum, natural gas and all other hydrocarbons and by-products thereof and any business incidental thereto.

As a matter of Cayman Islands law, PifCo cannot trade in the Cayman Islands except in furtherance of the business carried on outside the Cayman Islands.

Directors

Directors may vote on a proposal, arrangement or contract in which they are interested. However, interested directors must declare the nature of their interest at a directors' meeting. If the interested directors declare their interest, their votes are counted and they are counted in the quorum of such meeting.

The directors may, in PifCo's name, exercise their powers to borrow money, issue debt securities and to mortgage or charge any of the undertaking or property of PifCo and are generally responsible for its day-to-day management and administration.

Directors are not required to own shares.

Rights and Obligations of Shareholders

Dividends

Shareholders may declare dividends in a general meeting but the dividends cannot exceed the amount recommended by the directors. The directors may pay the shareholders interim dividends and may, before

Table of Contents

recommending any dividend, set aside reserves out of profits. The directors can invest these reserves in their discretion or employ them in PifCo's business.

Dividends may be paid in cash or in kind but may only be paid out of profits or, subject to certain restrictions of Cayman Islands law, a share premium account.

Voting Rights

Votes may be cast at a general meeting by a show of hands or by a poll. On a vote by a show of hands, each shareholder or shareholder represented by proxy has one vote. On a vote by a poll, each shareholder or shareholder represented by proxy has one vote for each share owned.

Directors are elected by ordinary resolution by the shareholders at general meetings or by a board resolution of the directors. Shareholders are not entitled to vote at a general meeting unless calls or other amounts payable on their shares have been paid. In lieu of voting on a matter at a general meeting, the shareholders entitled to vote on that matter may adopt the matter by signing a written resolution.

Redemption

PifCo may issue shares, which are redeemable by PifCo or by its shareholders, on such terms and in such manner as the Directors may determine before the issuance of such shares. PifCo may repurchase its own shares on such terms and in such manner as the Directors may determine and agree with the relevant shareholder.

Shareholder Rights Upon Liquidation

If PifCo is liquidated, the liquidator may (in accordance with an ordinary shareholder resolution):

set a fair value on PifCo's assets, divide all or part of PifCo's assets among the shareholders and determine how the assets will be divided among shareholders or classes of shareholders; and

vest all or part of PifCo's assets in trustees.

Shareholders will not be compelled to accept any securities on which there is a liability.

Calls on Shares

Directors may make calls on the shareholders to the extent any amounts remain unpaid on their shares. Each shareholder shall pay to the company the amounts called on such shares.

Change to Rights of Shareholders

Shareholders may change the rights of their class of shares by:

getting the written consent of two-thirds of the shareholders of that class; or

passing a special resolution at a meeting of the shareholders of that class.

There are no general limitations on the rights to own shares specified by the articles.

General Meetings

A general meeting may be convened:

by the directors at any time; or

by any two shareholders holding not less than 10% of the paid-up voting share capital of PifCo, by written request.

Notice of a general meeting is given to all shareholders.

Table of Contents

All business carried out at a general meeting is considered special business except:
sanctioning a dividend;

consideration of the accounts, balance sheets, and ordinary report of the directors and auditors;

appointment and removal of directors; and

fixing of remuneration of the auditors.

Unanimous shareholder consent is required to carry out special business at a meeting unless notice of the special business is given in the notice of the meeting. A quorum of shareholders is required to be present at any meeting in order to carry out business. One or more shareholders holding at least a majority of the shares of PifCo that are present in person or represented by proxy is a quorum.

There is no requirement under Cayman Islands law to convene an annual meeting or to convene any general meeting of the shareholders. The directors are permitted to designate any general meeting of shareholders as an annual general meeting.

Liability of Shareholders

In normal circumstances, the liability of any shareholder to PifCo is limited to the amount, which such shareholder has agreed to pay in respect of the subscription of his shares.

Changes in Capital

PifCo may increase its authorized share capital by ordinary resolution. The new shares will be subject to all of the provisions to which the original shares are subject.

PifCo may also by ordinary resolution:

consolidate and divide all or any of its share capital into shares of a larger amount than its existing shares;

convert all or any part of its paid-up shares into stock and reconvert that stock into paid-up shares of any denomination;

split existing shares into shares of a smaller amount, subject to the provisions of Section 13 of the Companies Law; and

cancel any shares, which, at the date of the resolution, are not held or agreed to be held by any person and diminish the amount of its share capital by the amount of the shares so cancelled.

PifCo may reduce its share capital and any capital redemption reserve by special resolution in accordance with relevant provision of Cayman Islands law.

Indemnity

PifCo's directors and officers are indemnified out of its assets and funds against all actions, proceedings, costs, charges, expenses, losses, damages or liabilities which they incur or sustain in or regarding the conduct of PifCo's business or affairs in the execution or discharge of their respective duties, powers, authorities or discretions. Under PifCo's Memorandum of Association, directors and officers are excused from all liability to PifCo, except for any losses, which arise as a result of such party's own dishonesty.

Table of Contents

Accounts

Accounts relating to PifCo's affairs are kept in such manner as may be determined from time to time by the directors and may be audited in such manner as may be determined from time to time by the directors. There is, however, no requirement as a matter of Cayman Islands law to have PifCo's accounts audited.

Amendment of the Articles

PifCo may, by special resolution of the shareholders, amend its memorandum and articles of association.

Transfer out of Jurisdiction

PifCo may, by special resolution of the shareholders, transfer out of the Cayman Islands into any jurisdiction permitting such transfer.

Material Contracts

Petrobras

Concession Agreements with the ANP

As provided in the Oil Law, we were granted the exclusive right, for a period of 27 years from the declaration of commercial feasibility, to exploit the crude oil reserves in all fields where we had previously commenced production. In addition, the Oil Law established a procedural framework for us to claim exclusive exploratory rights for a period of up to three years, which was later extended to five years, with respect to areas where we could demonstrate that we had established prospects. In case of drilling success in this exploration period we could claim development rights. To perfect our claim to explore and develop these areas, we had to demonstrate that we had the required financial capacity to carry out these activities, either alone or through cooperative arrangements.

On August 6, 1998, we signed concession contracts with the ANP relating to 397 areas, consisting of 231 production areas, 115 exploration areas and 51 development areas. In May 1999, we relinquished 26 exploratory areas out of the 115 initially granted to us by the ANP, and obtained an extension of our exclusive exploration period from three to five years with respect to 34 exploration areas aggregating 44.0 million acres (178,033 square kilometers) and from three to six years with respect to two exploration areas aggregating 7.3 million acres (29,415 square kilometers).

The areas of the concessions not awarded to us by the ANP have been, and will continue to be, awarded through public auctions conducted by the ANP. Regarding the eight auctions conducted thus far, we have executed several concession contracts and currently retain concessionaire rights under 459 of these contracts. It should also be clarified that 305 of these contracts refer to areas where we are already conducting exploitation activities and 154 refer to areas where we have exploration rights.

Although we have also acquired rights to execute contracts for 21 new concession areas in Bid Round 8, the concession contracts related thereto have not been signed yet due to an injunction granted in November 2006 by Brazilian Courts in order to suspend the auction. See Item 4. Information on the Company Exploration, Development and Production Exploration Activities Exploration Bidding Rounds.

According to the Oil Law and under our concession agreements with the ANP we are required to pay the following:

signature bonuses;

royalties;

special participation charge; and

Table of Contents

rentals for the occupation or retention of areas.

The minimum signature bonuses are published in the bidding rules for the concessions being auctioned, but the actual amount is based on the amount of the winning bid and has to be paid upon the execution of the concession agreement. The rentals for the occupation and retention of the concession areas are also provided for in the related bidding rules and are payable annually. For a discussion of royalties, special participation fees and rentals, see Item 5.

Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Effect of Taxes on Our Income.

With respect to onshore fields, the Oil Law also requires us to pay the owner of the land a special participation fee that varies between 0.5% and 1.0% of the net operating revenues derived from the production of the field.

For information concerning our other material contracts, see Item 4. Information on the Company and Item 5.

Operating and Financial Review and Prospects.

PifCo

For a description of PifCo's material agreements, see PifCo Senior Notes, PifCo Global Notes and Sale of Future Receivables.

Statements contained in this annual report regarding the contents of any contract or other document are not necessarily complete, and, where the contract or other document is an exhibit to the annual report, each of these statements is qualified in all aspects by the provisions of the actual contract or other documents.

Exchange Controls Petrobras

There are no restrictions on ownership of the common or preferred shares by individuals or legal entities domiciled outside Brazil.

The right to convert dividend payments and proceeds from the sale of shares into foreign currency and to remit such amounts outside Brazil may be subject to restrictions under foreign investment legislation, which generally requires, among other things, that the relevant investments be registered with the Central Bank of Brazil. If any restrictions are imposed on the remittance of foreign capital abroad, they could hinder or prevent *Companhia Brasileira de liquidação e Custódia*, or CBLC, as custodian for the common and preferred shares represented by the American Depositary Shares, or registered holders who have exchanged American Depositary Shares for common shares or preferred shares, from converting dividends, distributions or the proceeds from any sale of such common shares or preferred shares, as the case may be, into U.S. dollars and remitting the U.S. dollars abroad.

Foreign investors may register their investment under Law No. 4,131 of September 3, 1962 or Resolution No. 2,689. Registration under Resolution No. 2,689 affords favorable tax treatment to foreign investors who are not resident in a tax haven, as defined by Brazilian tax laws. See Brazilian Tax Considerations.

Under Resolution No. 2,689, foreign investors may invest in almost all financial assets and engage in almost all transactions available in the Brazilian financial and capital markets, provided that certain requirements are fulfilled. In accordance with Resolution No. 2,689, the definition of foreign investor includes individuals, legal entities, mutual funds and other collective investment entities, domiciled or headquartered abroad.

Under Resolution No. 2,689, a foreign investor must:

appoint at least one representative in Brazil, with powers to perform actions relating to its investment;

appoint an authorized custodian in Brazil for its investments;

register as a foreign investor with the CVM; and

register its foreign investment with the Central Bank of Brazil.

Table of Contents

Securities and other financial assets held by a Resolution No. 2,689 investor must be registered or maintained in deposit accounts or under the custody of an entity duly licensed by the Central Bank of Brazil or the CVM. In addition, any transfer of securities held under Resolution No. 2,689 must be carried out in the stock exchanges or through organized over-the-counter markets licensed by the CVM, except for transfers resulting from a corporate reorganization or occurring upon the death of an investor by operation of law or will.

Holders of American Depositary Shares who have not registered their investment with the Central Bank of Brazil could be adversely affected by delays in, or refusals to grant, any required government approval for conversions of payments made in *reais* and remittances abroad of these converted amounts.

Annex III Regulations provide for the issuance of depositary receipts in foreign markets with respect to shares of Brazilian issuers. The depositary of the ADSs has obtained from the Central Bank of Brazil an electronic certificate of registration with respect to our existing ADR program. Pursuant to the registration, the custodian and the depositary will be able to convert dividends and other distributions with respect to the relevant shares represented by ADSs into foreign currency and to remit the proceeds outside Brazil. Following the closing of an international offering, the electronic certificate of registration will be amended by the depositary with respect to the ADSs sold in the international offering and will be maintained by the Brazilian custodian for the relevant shares on behalf of the depositary.

In the event that a holder of ADSs exchanges such ADSs for the underlying shares, the holder will be entitled to continue to rely on such electronic registration for five business days after the exchange. Thereafter, unless the relevant shares are held pursuant to Resolution No. 2,689 by a duly registered investor, or a holder of the relevant shares applies for and obtains a new certificate of registration from the Central Bank of Brazil, the holder may not be able to convert into foreign currency and to remit outside Brazil the proceeds from the disposition of, or distributions with respect to, the relevant shares, and the holder, if not registered under Resolution No. 2,689, will be subject to less favorable Brazilian tax treatment than a holder of ADSs. In addition, if the foreign investor resides in a tax haven jurisdiction, the investor will be also subject to less favorable tax treatment. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Equity and Debt Securities and Brazilian Tax Considerations.

PifCo

There are:

no governmental laws, decrees or regulations in Cayman Islands that restrict the export or import of capital, including dividend and other payments to holders of notes who are not residents of the Cayman Islands, provided that such holders are not resident in countries subject to certain sanctions by the United Nations or the European Union, and

no limitations on the right of nonresident or foreign owners imposed by Cayman Island law or PifCo's Memorandum of Association to hold or vote PifCo's shares.

Taxation relating to our ADSs and common and preferred shares

The following summary contains a description of material Brazilian and U.S. federal income tax considerations that may be relevant to the purchase, ownership and disposition of preferred or common shares or ADSs by a holder. This summary does not describe any tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, locality or taxing jurisdiction other than Brazil and the United States.

This summary is based upon the tax laws of Brazil and the United States as in effect on the date of this annual report, which are subject to change (possibly with retroactive effect). This summary is also based upon the representations of the depositary and on the assumption that the obligations in the deposit agreement and any related documents will be performed in accordance with their respective terms.

This description is not a comprehensive description of all of the tax considerations that may be relevant to any particular investor, including tax considerations that arise from rules of general application to all taxpayers or to certain classes of investors or that are generally assumed to be known by investors. **Prospective purchasers of**

Table of Contents**common or preferred shares or ADSs should consult their own tax advisors as to the tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of common or preferred shares or ADSs.**

There is no income tax treaty between the United States and Brazil. In recent years, the tax authorities of Brazil and the United States have held discussions that may culminate in such a treaty. We cannot predict, however, whether or when a treaty will enter into force or how it will affect the U.S. holders of common or preferred shares or ADSs.

*Brazilian Tax Considerations***General**

The following discussion summarizes the material Brazilian tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of preferred or common shares or ADSs, as the case may be, by a holder that is not domiciled in Brazil, also called a non-Brazilian holder, for purposes of Brazilian taxation and, in the case of a holder of preferred or common shares, which has registered its investment in preferred or common shares at the Central Bank of Brazil as a U.S. dollar investment.

Under Brazilian law, investors may invest in the preferred or common shares under Resolution No. 2,689 or under Law No. 4,131 of September 3, 1962. Investments under Resolution No. 2,689 afford favorable tax treatment to foreign investors who are not resident in a tax haven jurisdiction. The rules of Resolution No. 2,689 allow foreign investors to invest in almost all instruments and to engage in almost all transactions available in the Brazilian financial and capital markets, provided that certain requirements are met. In accordance with Resolution No. 2,689, the definition of foreign investor includes individuals, legal entities, mutual funds and other collective investment entities, domiciled or headquartered abroad.

Pursuant to this rule, foreign investors must: (1) appoint at least one representative in Brazil with powers to perform actions relating to the foreign investment; (2) complete the appropriate foreign investor registration form; (3) register as a foreign investor with the CVM; and (4) register the foreign investment with the Central Bank of Brazil.

Securities and other financial assets held by foreign investors pursuant to Resolution No. 2,689 must be registered or maintained in deposit accounts or under the custody of an entity duly licensed by the Central Bank of Brazil or the CVM. In addition, securities trading is restricted to transactions carried out in the stock exchanges or organized over-the-counter markets licensed by the CVM.

Taxation of Dividends

Dividends paid by us, including stock dividends and other dividends paid in property to the depositary in respect of the ADSs, or to a non-Brazilian holder in respect of the preferred or common shares, are currently not subject to withholding tax in Brazil.

We must pay to our shareholders (including holders of common or preferred shares or ADSs) interest on the amount of dividends payable to them, at the SELIC rate (the interest rate applicable to certain Brazilian government securities), from the end of each fiscal year through the date of effective payment of those dividends. These interest payments are considered as fixed-yield income and are subject to withholding income tax at varying rates depending on the length of period of interest accrual. The tax rate ranges from 15%, in case of interest accrued for a period greater than 720 days, to 22.5%, in case of interest accrued for a period up to 180 days. However, holders of ADSs and holders of common or preferred shares not resident or domiciled in tax haven jurisdictions (see *Beneficiaries Residing or Domiciled in Tax Havens or Low Tax Jurisdictions*) investing under Resolution No. 2,689 are subject to such withholding tax at a reduced rate, currently at 15%.

Taxation on Interest on Shareholders' Equity

Any payment of interest on shareholders' equity (see *Memorandum and Articles of Incorporation of Petrobras Payment of Dividends and Interest on Shareholders' Equity*) to holders of ADSs or preferred or common shares, whether or not they are Brazilian residents, is subject to Brazilian withholding income tax at the

Table of Contents

rate of 15% at the time we record such liability, whether or not the effective payment is made at that time. In the case of non-Brazilian residents that are resident in a tax haven jurisdiction, the applicable withholding income tax rate is 25% (see Beneficiaries Residing or Domiciled in Tax Havens or Low Tax Jurisdictions). The payment of interest at the SELIC rate that is applicable to payments of dividends applies equally to payments of interest on shareholders equity. The determination of whether or not we will make distributions in the form of interest on shareholders equity or in the form of dividends is made by our board of directors at the time distributions are to be made. We cannot determine how our board of directors will make these determinations in connection with future distributions.

Taxation of Gains

For purposes of Brazilian taxation, there are two types of non-Brazilian holders of ADSs or preferred or common shares: (1) non-Brazilian holders that are not resident or domiciled in a tax haven jurisdiction (see Beneficiaries Residing or Domiciled in Tax Havens or Low Tax Jurisdictions), and that, in the case of holders of preferred or common shares, are registered before the Central Bank of Brazil and the CVM to invest in Brazil in accordance with Resolution No. 2,689; and (2) other non-Brazilian holders, which include any and all non-residents of Brazil who invest in equity securities of Brazilian companies through any other means (including under Law No. 4,131 of 1962) and all types of investors that are located in tax haven jurisdictions. The investors identified in clause (1) above are subject to favorable tax treatment in Brazil, as described below.

According to Law n° 10,833, dated December 29, 2003, capital gains realized on the disposition of tangible assets located in Brazil, by non-Brazilian residents, whether or not to other non-residents and whether made outside or within Brazil, are subject to taxation in Brazil at a rate of 15% (a rate of 25% is applicable if realized by investors resident in a tax haven jurisdiction, i.e. a country that does not impose any income tax or that imposes tax at a maximum rate of less than 20%). We understand the ADSs do not fall within the definition of tangible assets located in Brazil for the purposes of this law, but there is still no pronouncement from tax authorities nor judicial court rulings in this respect. Therefore, we are unable to predict whether such understanding will prevail in the courts of Brazil.

The deposit of preferred or common shares in exchange for ADSs may be subject to Brazilian capital gains at the rate of 15% if the amount previously registered with the Central Bank of Brazil as a foreign investment in the preferred or common shares is lower than:

(1) the average price per preferred or common share on a Brazilian stock exchange on which the greatest number of such shares were sold on the day of deposit; or

(2) if no preferred or common shares were sold on that day, the average price on the Brazilian stock exchange on which the greatest number of preferred or common shares were sold in the 15 trading sessions immediately preceding such deposit. In such a case, the difference between the amount previously registered and the average price of the preferred or common shares calculated as above, will be considered a capital gain. Investors registered under Resolution No. 2,689 and not located in a tax haven jurisdiction are exempt from this type of taxation. The withdrawal of ADSs in exchange for preferred or common shares is not subject to Brazilian tax. On receipt of the underlying preferred or common shares, the non-Brazilian holder registered under Resolution No. 2,689 will be entitled to register the U.S. dollar value of such shares with the Central Bank of Brazil as described below in Registered Capital.

Non-Brazilian holders are not subject to tax in Brazil on gains realized on sales of preferred or common shares that occur abroad to non-Brazilian holders.

Non-Brazilian holders which are not located in a tax haven jurisdiction are subject to income tax imposed at a rate of 15% on gains realized on sales or exchanges of the preferred or common shares that occur in Brazil or with a resident of Brazil, other than in connection with transactions on the Brazilian stock, future or commodities exchanges. With respect to proceeds of a redemption or of a liquidating distribution with respect to the preferred or common shares, the difference between the amount effectively received by the shareholder and the amount of foreign currency registered with the Central Bank of Brazil, accounted for in *reais* at the commercial market rate on the date of the redemption or liquidating distribution, will be also subject to income tax at a rate of 15% given that such transactions are treated as a sale or exchange not carried out on the Brazilian stock, future and commodities exchanges.

Table of Contents

Gains realized arising from transactions on the Brazilian stock, future or commodities exchanges by an investor registered under Resolution No. 2,689 who is not located in a tax haven jurisdiction are exempt from Brazilian income tax. Otherwise, gains realized on transactions related to the Brazilian stock, future or commodities exchanges are subject to income tax at a rate of 20%.

Therefore, non-Brazilian holders are subject to income tax imposed at a rate of 20% on gains realized on sales or exchanges of preferred or common shares that occur on the stock exchange unless such a sale is made by a non-Brazilian holder who is not resident in a tax haven jurisdiction and:

(1) such sale is made within five business days of the withdrawal of such preferred or common shares in exchange for ADSs and the proceeds thereof are remitted abroad within such five-day period; or

(2) such sale is made under Resolution No. 2,689 by registered non-Brazilian holders who obtain registration with the CVM.

In these two cases, the transaction will not be subject to taxation in Brazil. The gain realized is for tax purposes the difference between the amount in *reais* realized on the sale or exchange and the acquisition cost measured in *reais*, without any adjustment to account for inflation of the shares sold. The gain realized as a result of a transaction that occurs other than on the stock exchange will be the positive difference between the amount realized on the sale or exchange and the acquisition cost of the preferred or common shares, both such values to be taken into account in *reais*. There are reasonable grounds, however, to hold that the gain realized should be calculated based on the foreign currency amount registered with the Central Bank of Brazil, such foreign currency amount to be translated into *reais* at the commercial market rate on the date of such sale or exchange.

Any exercise of preemptive rights relating to the preferred or common shares will not be subject to Brazilian taxation. Any gain on the sale or assignment of preemptive rights relating to the preferred or common shares by the depositary on behalf of holders of the ADSs will be subject to Brazilian income taxation according to the same rules applicable to the sale or disposition of preferred or common shares, unless such sale or assignment is performed on the stock exchange by an investor under Resolution No. 2,689 who is not resident in a tax haven jurisdiction, in which case the gains are exempt from income tax.

There is no assurance that the current preferential treatment for holders of the ADSs and some non-Brazilian holders of the preferred or common shares under Resolution No. 2,689 will continue in the future.

Taxation of Foreign Exchange Transactions (IOF/Câmbio)

Under Decree No. 4,494 of December 3, 2002, the conversion into Brazilian currency of proceeds received by a Brazilian entity from a foreign investment in the Brazilian securities market (including those in connection with an investment in preferred or common shares or the ADSs and those under Resolution No. 2, 689) and the conversion into foreign currency of proceeds received by a non-Brazilian holder is subject to a tax on exchange transactions known as IOF/Câmbio, which is currently applicable at a zero percent rate in most transactions. However, according to Law No. 8,894 of June 21, 1994, the IOF/Câmbio rate may be increased at any time to a maximum of 25% by a decision of the Minister of Finance, but only in relation to exchange transactions carried out after the increase of the applicable rate.

Taxation on Bonds and Securities Transactions (IOF/Títulos)

Law No. 8,894 created the Tax on Bonds and Securities Transactions, or IOF/Títulos, which may be imposed on any transactions involving bonds and securities carried out in Brazil, even if these transactions are performed on the Brazilian stock, futures or commodities exchange. As a general rule, the rate of this tax is currently zero but the Brazilian government may increase such rate up to 1.5% per day, but only in relation to transactions carried out after the increase of the applicable rate.

Other Brazilian Taxes

There are no Brazilian inheritance, gift or succession taxes applicable to the ownership, transfer or disposition of preferred or common shares or ADSs by a non-Brazilian holder, except for gift and inheritance taxes

Table of Contents

which are levied by some states of Brazil on gifts made or inheritances bestowed by individuals or entities not resident or domiciled in Brazil to individuals or entities resident or domiciled within such states in Brazil. There are no Brazilian stamp, issue, registration, or similar taxes or duties payable by holders of preferred or common shares or ADSs.

Tax on Bank Account Transactions (CPMF)

The *Contribuição Provisória sobre Movimentação Financeira* (Tax on Bank Account Transactions, or CPMF), is imposed on any debit to bank accounts. As a result, transactions by the depositary or by holders of preferred or common shares, which involve the transfer of Brazilian currency through Brazilian financial institutions, are subject to the CPMF tax at a rate of 0.38%. These transactions include situations where a non-Brazilian holder transfers the proceeds from the sale or assignment of preferred or common shares by an exchange transaction, in which case the CPMF tax will be levied on the amount to be remitted abroad in *reais*. If we have to perform any exchange transaction in connection with ADSs or preferred or common shares, we will also be subject to the CPMF tax. The financial institution that carries out the relevant financial transaction will be responsible for collecting the applicable CPMF tax.

Withdrawals from deposit accounts of Brazilian or non-Brazilian residents, for the acquisition of shares in public offerings registered with CVM, but not in stock exchange, are subject to a zero percent CPMF tax rate, provided that the issuer is registered for negotiation of the shares in a stock exchange.

The CPMF will not be levied in the liquidation of stock acquisitions in public offers registered with the *Comissão de Valores Mobiliários* (Securities and Exchange Commission, or CVM), provided that the issuing company is listed in a stock exchange.

Beneficiaries Resident or Domiciled in Tax Havens or Low Tax Jurisdictions

Law No. 9,779 of January 1, 1999 states that, except for limited prescribed circumstances, income derived from transactions by a beneficiary, resident or domiciliary of a country considered a tax haven is subject to withholding income tax at the rate of 25%. Tax havens are considered to be countries which do not impose any income tax or which impose such tax at a maximum rate of less than 20%. Accordingly, if the distribution of interest attributed to shareholders equity is made to a beneficiary resident or domiciled in a tax haven jurisdiction, the applicable income tax rate will be 25% instead of 15%. Capital gains are not subject to this 25% tax, even if the beneficiary is resident in a tax haven jurisdiction. See Taxation of Gains.

Registered Capital

The amount of an investment in preferred or common shares held by a non-Brazilian holder who obtains registration under Resolution No. 2,689, or by the depositary representing such holder, is eligible for registration with the Central Bank of Brazil; such registration (the amount so registered being called registered capital) allows the remittance outside Brazil of foreign currency, converted at the commercial market rate, acquired with the proceeds of distributions on, and amounts realized with respect to dispositions of, such preferred or common shares. The registered capital for each preferred or common share purchased as part of the international offering or purchased in Brazil after the date hereof, and deposited with the depositary will be equal to its purchase price (in U.S. dollars). The registered capital for a preferred or common share that is withdrawn upon surrender of an ADS will be the U.S. dollar equivalent of:

the average price of a preferred or common share on the Brazilian stock exchange on which the greatest number of such shares were sold on the day of withdrawal; or

if no preferred or common shares were sold on that day, the average price on the Brazilian stock exchange on which the greatest number of preferred or common shares were sold in the 15 trading sessions immediately preceding such withdrawal.

The U.S. dollar value of the average price of preferred or common shares is determined on the basis of the average of the U.S. dollar/*real* commercial market rates quoted by the Central Bank of Brazil information system on that date (or, if the average price of preferred or common shares is determined under the second option above, the

Table of Contents

average of such average quoted rates on the same 15 dates used to determine the average price of preferred or common shares).

A non-Brazilian holder of preferred or common shares may experience delays in effecting such registration, which may delay remittances abroad. Such a delay may adversely affect the amount, in U.S. dollars, received by the non-Brazilian holder. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Equity and Debt Securities. *U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations*

The statements regarding U.S. tax law set forth below are based on U.S. law as in force on the date of this annual report, and changes to such law subsequent to the date of this annual report may affect the tax consequences described herein. This summary describes the principal tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of common or preferred shares or ADSs, but it does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all of the tax consequences that may be relevant to a decision to hold or dispose of common or preferred shares or ADSs. This summary applies only to purchasers of common or preferred shares or ADSs who will hold the common or preferred shares or ADSs as capital assets and does not apply to special classes of holders such as dealers in securities or currencies, holders whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar, holders of 10% or more of our shares (taking into account shares held directly or through depository arrangements), tax-exempt organizations, financial institutions, holders liable for the alternative minimum tax, securities traders who elect to account for their investment in common or preferred shares or ADSs on a mark-to-market basis, and persons holding common or preferred shares or ADSs in a hedging transaction or as part of a straddle or conversion transaction.

EACH HOLDER SHOULD CONSULT SUCH HOLDER'S OWN TAX ADVISOR CONCERNING THE OVERALL TAX CONSEQUENCES TO IT, INCLUDING THE CONSEQUENCES UNDER LAWS OTHER THAN U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX LAWS, OF AN INVESTMENT IN COMMON OR PREFERRED SHARES OR ADSs.

Shares of our preferred stock will be treated as equity for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In general, for purposes of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code), a holder of an ADS will be treated as the holder of the shares of common or preferred stock represented by those ADSs, and no gain or loss will be recognized if you exchange an ADS for the shares of common or preferred stock represented by that ADS.

In this discussion, references to ADSs refer to ADSs with respect to both common and preferred shares, and references to a U.S. holder are to a holder of an ADS that:

is a citizen or resident of the United States of America,

is a corporation organized under the laws of the United States of America or any state thereof; or

is otherwise subject to U.S. federal income taxation on a net basis with respect to the shares or the ADS.

Taxation of Distributions

A U.S. holder will recognize ordinary dividend income for U.S. federal income tax purposes in an amount equal to the amount of any cash and the value of any property we distribute as a dividend to the extent that such distribution is paid out of our current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes, when such distribution is received by the custodian, or by the U.S. holder in the case of a holder of common or preferred shares. The amount of any distribution will include the amount of Brazilian tax withheld on the amount distributed, and the amount of a distribution paid in *reais* will be measured by reference to the exchange rate for converting *reais* into U.S. dollars in effect on the date the distribution is received by the custodian, or by a U.S. holder in the case of a holder of common or preferred shares. If the custodian, or U.S. holder in the case of a holder of common or preferred shares, does not convert such *reais* into U.S. dollars on the date it receives them, it is possible that the U.S. holder will recognize foreign currency loss or gain, which would be ordinary loss or gain, when the *reais* are converted into U.S. dollars. Dividends paid by us will not be eligible for the dividends received deduction allowed to corporations under the Code.

Table of Contents

Subject to certain exceptions for short-term and hedged positions, the U.S. dollar amount of dividends received by an individual prior to January 1, 2011 with respect to the ADSs will be subject to taxation at a maximum rate of 15% if the dividends are qualified dividends. Dividends paid on the ADSs will be treated as qualified dividends if (i) the ADSs are readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States and (ii) the Company was not, in the year prior to the year in which the dividend was paid, and is not, in the year in which the dividend is paid, a passive foreign investment company (PFIC). The ADSs are listed on the New York Stock Exchange, and will qualify as readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States so long as they are so listed. Based on the Company's audited financial statements and relevant market and shareholder data, the Company believes that it was not treated as a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes with respect to its 2005 or 2006 taxable year. In addition, based on the Company's audited financial statements and its current expectations regarding the value and nature of its assets, the sources and nature of its income, and relevant market and shareholder data, the Company does not anticipate becoming a PFIC for its 2007 taxable year. Based on existing guidance, it is not clear whether dividends received with respect to the shares will be treated as qualified dividends, because the shares are not themselves listed on a U.S. exchange. In addition, the U.S. Treasury has announced its intention to promulgate rules pursuant to which holders of ADSs and intermediaries through whom such securities are held will be permitted to rely on certifications from issuers to treat dividends as qualified for tax reporting purposes. Because such procedures have not yet been issued, it is not clear whether the Company will be able to comply with the procedures.

Distributions out of earnings and profits with respect to the shares or ADSs generally will be treated as dividend income from sources outside of the United States and generally will be treated as passive income (or, for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2006, as passive category income) for foreign tax credit purposes. Subject to certain limitations, Brazilian income tax withheld in connection with any distribution with respect to the shares or ADSs may be claimed as a credit against the U.S. federal income tax liability of a U.S. holder if such U.S. holder elects for that year to credit all foreign income taxes. Alternatively, such Brazilian withholding tax may be taken as a deduction against taxable income. Foreign tax credits may not be allowed for withholding taxes imposed in respect of certain short-term or hedged positions in securities or in respect of arrangements in which a U.S. holder's expected economic profit is insubstantial. U.S. holders should consult their own tax advisors concerning the implications of these rules in light of their particular circumstances.

Holders of ADSs that are foreign corporations or nonresident alien individuals (non-U.S. holders) generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax or withholding tax on distributions with respect to shares or ADSs that are treated as dividend income for U.S. federal income tax purposes unless such dividends are effectively connected with the conduct by the holder of a trade or business in the United States.

Holders of shares and ADSs should consult their own tax advisers regarding the availability of the reduced dividend tax rate in the light of the considerations discussed above and their own particular circumstances.

Taxation of Capital Gains

Upon the sale or other disposition of a share or an ADS, a U.S. holder will generally recognize gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The amount of the gain or loss will be equal to the difference between the amount realized in consideration for the disposition of the share or the ADS and the U.S. holder's tax basis in the share or the ADS. Such gain or loss generally will be subject to U.S. federal income tax and will be treated as capital gain or loss. The net amount of long-term capital gain recognized by an individual holder before January 1, 2011 generally is subject to taxation at a maximum rate of 15%. Capital losses may be deducted from taxable income, subject to certain limitations.

A non-U.S. holder will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax or withholding tax on gain realized on the sale or other disposition of a share or an ADS unless:

such gain is effectively connected with the conduct by the holder of a trade or business in the United States; or

such holder is an individual who is present in the United States of America for 183 days or more in the taxable year of the sale and certain other conditions are met.

Table of Contents**Backup Withholding and Information Reporting**

Dividends paid on, and proceeds from the sale or other disposition of, the ADSs or common or preferred shares to a U.S. holder generally may be subject to the information reporting requirements of the Code and may be subject to backup withholding unless the U.S. holder provides an accurate taxpayer identification number or otherwise establishes an exemption. The amount of any backup withholding collected from a payment to a U.S. holder will be allowed as a credit against the U.S. holder's U.S. federal income tax liability and may entitle the U.S. holder to a refund, provided that certain required information is furnished to the Internal Revenue Service.

A non-U.S. holder generally will be exempt from these information reporting requirements and backup withholding tax, but may be required to comply with certain certification and identification procedures in order to establish its eligibility for such exemption.

Taxation relating to PifCo's notes

The following summary contains a description of material Cayman Islands, Brazilian and U.S. federal income tax considerations that may be relevant to the purchase, ownership, and disposition of PifCo's debt securities. This summary does not describe any tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, locality or taxing jurisdiction other than the Cayman Islands, Brazil and the United States.

This summary is based on the tax laws of the Cayman Islands, Brazil and the United States as in effect on the date of this annual report, which are subject to change (possibly with retroactive effect). This description is not a comprehensive description of all of the tax considerations that may be relevant to any particular investor, including tax considerations that arise from rules of general application to all taxpayers or to certain classes of investors or that are generally assumed to be known by investors. **Prospective purchasers of notes should consult their own tax advisors as to the tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of notes.**

There is no tax treaty to avoid double taxation between the Cayman Islands and the United States, the Cayman Islands and Brazil or Brazil and the United States. In recent years, the tax authorities of Brazil and the United States have held discussions that may culminate in such a treaty. We cannot predict, however, whether or when a treaty will enter into force or how it will affect the U.S. holders of notes.

Cayman Islands Taxation

Under current law, PifCo is not subject to income, capital, transfer, sales or other taxes in the Cayman Islands.

PifCo was incorporated as an exempted company under the laws of the Cayman Islands on September 24, 1997. PifCo has received an Undertaking as to Tax Concessions pursuant to Section 6 of the Tax Concessions Law (1999 Revision) which provides that, for a period of twenty years from the date thereof no law hereafter enacted in the Cayman Islands imposing any tax or duty to be levied on income or on capital assets, gains or appreciation will apply to any of PifCo's income or property and which is deemed to provide that no tax is to be levied on profits, income, gains or appreciations or which is in the nature of estate duty or inheritance tax shall be payable or in respect of shares, debentures or other of PifCo's obligations, or by way of withholding of any part of a payment of principal due under a debenture or other of PifCo's obligations.

No Cayman Islands withholding tax applies to distributions by PifCo in respect of the notes. Noteholders are not subject to any income, capital, transfer, sales or other taxes in the Cayman Islands in respect of their purchase, holding or disposition of the notes.

Noteholders whose notes are brought into or issued in the Cayman Islands will be liable to pay stamp duty of up to C.I.\$250 on each note.

Table of Contents*Brazil Taxation*

The following discussion is a summary of the Brazilian tax considerations relating to an investment in the notes by a non-resident of Brazil. The discussion is based on the tax laws of Brazil as in effect on the date hereof and is subject to any change in Brazilian law that may come into effect after such date. The information set forth below is intended to be a general discussion only and does not address all possible consequences relating to an investment in the notes. **INVESTORS SHOULD CONSULT THEIR OWN TAX ADVISERS AS TO THE CONSEQUENCES OF PURCHASING THE NOTES, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE RECEIPT OF INTEREST AND THE SALE, REDEMPTION OR REPAYMENT OF THE NOTES OR COUPONS.**

Generally, an individual, entity, trust or organization domiciled for tax purposes outside Brazil (a Non-resident) is taxed in Brazil only when income is derived from Brazilian sources. Therefore, any gains or income paid by PifCo in respect of the notes issued by it in favor of Non-resident noteholders are not subject to Brazilian taxes.

Interest (including original issuer discount, or OID, fees, commissions, expenses and any other income payable by a Brazilian resident to a non-resident) is generally subject to income tax withheld at source. Currently, the rate of withholding tax is 15% or such other lower rate as provided for in an applicable tax treaty between Brazil and another country. If the recipient of the payment is domiciled in a tax haven jurisdiction, as defined by Brazilian tax regulations, the rate will be 25%.

If the payments with respect to the notes are made by a Brazilian source, the noteholders will be indemnified so that, after payment of all applicable Brazilian taxes collectable by withholding, deduction or otherwise, with respect to principal, interest (including the OID) and additional amounts payable with respect to the notes (plus any interest and penalties thereon), a noteholder will retain an amount equal to the amounts that such noteholder would have retained had no such Brazilian taxes (plus interest and penalties thereon) been payable. The Brazilian obligor will, subject to certain exceptions, pay additional amounts in respect of such withholding or deduction so that the holder receives the net amount due.

According to Law n° 10,833, dated December 29, 2003, capital gains realized on the disposition of tangible assets located in Brazil, by non-Brazilian residents, whether or not to other non-residents and whether made outside or within Brazil, are subject to taxation in Brazil at a rate of 15% (a rate of 25% is applicable if realized by investors resident in a tax haven jurisdiction, i.e. a country that does not impose any income tax or that imposes tax at a maximum rate of less than 20%). We understand the notes do not fall within the definition of tangible assets located in Brazil for the purposes of this law, but there is still no pronouncement from tax authorities nor judicial court rulings in this respect. Therefore, we are unable to predict whether such understanding will prevail in the courts of Brazil.

Generally, there are no inheritance, gift, succession, stamp, or other similar taxes in Brazil with respect to the ownership, transfer, assignment or any other disposition of the notes by a Non-resident, except for gift and inheritance taxes imposed by some Brazilian states on gifts or bequests by individuals or entities not domiciled or residing in Brazil to individuals or entities not domiciled or residing within such states.

U.S. Federal Income Taxation

The following summary sets forth certain United States federal income tax considerations that may be relevant to a holder of a note that is, for U.S. federal income purposes, a citizen or resident of the United States or a domestic corporation or that otherwise is subject to the United States federal income tax on a net income basis in respect of the notes (a U.S. holder). This summary is based upon the Code, its legislative history, existing and proposed U.S. Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder, published rulings by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, and court decisions, all in effect as of the date hereof, all of which authorities are subject to change or differing interpretations, which changes or differing interpretations could apply retroactively. This summary does not purport to discuss all aspects of the United States federal income taxation which may be relevant to particular investors, such as financial institutions, insurance companies, dealers or traders in securities or currencies, regulated investment companies, tax-exempt organizations, certain short-term holders of notes, persons that hedge their

Table of Contents

exposure in the notes or hold notes as part of a position in a straddle or as part of a hedging transaction or conversion transaction for U.S. federal tax purposes, persons that enter into a constructive sale transaction with respect to the notes or U.S. Holder whose functional currency as defined in Section 985 of the code is not the U.S. dollar. U.S. holders should be aware that the U.S. federal income tax consequences of holding the notes may be materially different for investors described in the prior sentence.

In addition, this summary does not discuss any foreign, state or local tax considerations. This summary only applies to original purchasers of notes who purchase notes at the original issue price and hold the notes as capital assets (generally, property held for investment) within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Code.

EACH HOLDER SHOULD CONSULT SUCH HOLDER'S OWN TAX ADVISOR CONCERNING THE OVERALL TAX CONSEQUENCES TO IT, INCLUDING THE CONSEQUENCES UNDER LAWS OTHER THAN U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX LAWS, OF AN INVESTMENT IN THE NOTES.

Payments of interest

Payments of qualified stated interest (as defined below) on a note (including additional amounts, if any) generally will be taxable to a U.S. holder as ordinary interest income when such interest is accrued or received, in accordance with the U.S. holder's regular method of tax accounting. In general, if the issue price of a note is less than the stated redemption price at maturity by more than a *de minimis* amount, such note will be considered to have OID. The issue price of a note is the first price at which a substantial amount of such notes are sold to investors. The stated redemption price at maturity of a note generally includes all payments other than payments of qualified stated interest (as defined below).

In general, each U.S. holder of a note, whether such holder uses the cash or the accrual method of tax accounting, will be required to include in gross income as ordinary interest income the sum of the daily portions of OID on the note for all days during the taxable year that the U.S. holder owns the note. The daily portions of OID on a note are determined by allocating to each day in any accrual period a ratable portion of the OID allocable to that accrual period. In general, in the case of an initial holder, the amount of OID on a note allocable to each accrual period is determined by (a) multiplying the adjusted issue price, as defined below, of the note at the beginning of the accrual period by the yield to maturity of the note, and (b) subtracting from that product the amount of qualified stated interest allocable to that accrual period. U.S. holders should be aware that they generally must include OID in gross income as ordinary interest income for U.S. federal income tax purposes as it accrues, in advance of the receipt of cash attributable to that income. The adjusted issue price of a note at the beginning of any accrual period will generally be the sum of its issue price (generally including accrued interest, if any) and the amount of OID allocable to all prior accrual periods, reduced by the amount of all payments other than payments of qualified stated interest (if any) made with respect to such note in all prior accrual periods. The term qualified stated interest generally means stated interest that is unconditionally payable in cash or property (other than debt instruments of the issuer) at least annually during the entire term of a note at a single fixed rate of interest, or subject to certain conditions, based on one or more interest indices.

Interest income, including OID, in respect of the notes will constitute foreign source income for United States federal income tax purposes and, with certain exceptions, will be treated separately, together with other items of passive income (or, for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2006, of passive category income), for purposes of computing the foreign tax credit allowable under the United States federal income tax laws. The calculation of foreign tax credits, involves the application complex of rules that depend on a U.S. holder's particular circumstances. U.S. holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the availability of foreign tax credits and the treatment of additional amounts.

Sale or disposition of notes

A U.S. holder generally will recognize capital gain or loss upon the sale, exchange, retirement or other disposition of a note in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized upon such sale, exchange, retirement or other disposition (other than amounts attributable to accrued qualified stated interest, which will be taxed as such) and such U.S. holder's adjusted tax basis in the note. A U.S. Holder's adjusted tax basis in the note generally will equal the U.S. holder's cost for the note increased by any amounts included in gross income by such

Table of Contents

U.S. holder as OID and reduced by any payments other than payments of qualified stated interest on that note. Gain or loss realized by a U.S. Holder on the sale, exchange, retirement or other disposition of a note generally will be the United States source gain or loss for the United States federal income tax purposes unless it is attributable to an office or other fixed place of business outside the United States and certain other conditions are met. The gain or loss realized by a U.S. holder will be capital gain or loss, and will be long-term capital gain or loss if the notes were held for more than one year. The net amount of long-term capital gain recognized by an individual holder before January 1, 2011 generally is subject to taxation at a maximum rate of 15%.

Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

A U.S. holder may, under certain circumstances, be subject to backup withholding with respect to certain payments to that U.S. holder, unless the holder (i) is a corporation or comes within certain other exempt categories, and demonstrates this fact when so required, or (ii) provides a correct taxpayer identification number, certifies that it is not subject to backup withholding and otherwise complies with applicable requirements of the backup withholding rules. Any amount withheld under these rules generally will be creditable against the U.S. holder's U.S. federal income tax liability. While Non-U.S. holders generally are except from backup withholding, a Non-U.S. holder may, in certain circumstances, be required to comply with certain information and identification procedures in order to prove entitlement to this exemption.

Non-U.S. Holder

A holder or beneficial owner of a note that is not a U.S. holder (a non-U.S. holder) generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income or withholding tax on interest received on the notes. In addition, a non-U.S. holder will not be subject to U.S. federal income or withholding tax on gain realized on the sale of notes unless, in the case of gain realized by an individual non-U.S. holder, the non-U.S. holder is present in the United States for 183 days or more in the taxable year of the sale and certain other conditions are met.

Documents on Display

Statements contained in this annual report regarding the contents of any contract or other document are not necessarily complete, and, where the contract or other document is an exhibit to the annual report, each of these statements is qualified in all respects by the provisions of the actual contract or other documents.

We are subject to the information requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, applicable to a foreign private issuer, and accordingly, we file or furnish reports, information statements and other information with the SEC. These reports and other information filed by us can be inspected at, and subject to the payment of any required fees, copies may be obtained from, the Public Reference Room of the SEC, 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. As a foreign private issuer, we were not required to make filings with the SEC by electronic means prior to November 4, 2002, although we were permitted to do so. Any filings we make electronically will be available to the public over the internet at the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Reports and other information may also be inspected and copied at the offices of the New York Stock Exchange, 20 Broad Street, New York, New York 10005. As a foreign private issuer, however, we are exempt from the proxy requirements of Section 14 of the Exchange Act and from the short-swing profit recovery rules of Section 16 of the Exchange Act, although the rules of the New York Stock Exchange may require us to solicit proxies from our shareholders under some circumstances. Our website is located at <http://www.petrobras.com.br>. The information on our website is not part of this annual report.

The Prepayment Agreement.

Pursuant to a prepayment agreement entered into by us and PFL, we undertook to deliver, for as long as any Senior and Junior Trust Certificates remain outstanding, in each quarterly period, a quantity of Eligible Products having a market value equal to any scheduled payments of interest on and principal of the Senior and Junior Trust Certificates.

The Master Export Contract

As long as any Senior Trust Certificates or any amounts payable to the insurers remain outstanding, we will deliver, in each quarterly period, a quantity of Eligible Products having a value equal to any scheduled payments of

Table of Contents

interest, principal or other amounts due under the Senior Trust Certificates. Under the Master Export Contract, we export and sell Eligible Products to PFL during each quarterly period:

in an amount equal to at least 80% of the total volume of all fuel oil (Heavy Fuel Oil) exported by us during that quarterly period; and

with a value (based upon the net invoice price at which such Eligible Products are actually sold by PFL) equal to at least:

(a) the highest aggregate amount scheduled to be paid by the Trustee in any quarterly period during the remaining term of any series of Senior Trust Certificates at the time outstanding, with respect to interest, principal and other amounts due under the Senior Trust Certificates multiplied by

(b) a factor that fluctuates between 2.0 and 3.0, depending upon the level of sales of Eligible Products by PFL that are contracted to be made under arrangements that provide for a minimum price per barrel or other hedging arrangements and the relevant minimum price or price established by such hedging arrangements.

We also agree that our average daily gross exports of fuel oil for any rolling twelve-month period will be equal to at least 50,000 barrels of fuel oil. We are not relieved of our obligations to deliver Eligible Products under the Master Export Contract or the Prepayment Agreement, for any reason, including, without limitation, as a result of *force majeure* or on non-payment by PFL.

The summary of the Master Export Contract that is presented above reflects the amendments executed after the consent. The amendments became effective June 1, 2006.

ITEM 11. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Petrobras

General

We are exposed to a number of market risks arising from our normal business activities. Such market risks principally involve the possibility that changes in commodity prices, currency exchange rates or interest rates will adversely affect the value of our financial assets and liabilities or future cash flows and earnings.

Although we currently produce approximately 80% of our crude oil requirements for Petrobras refineries in Brazil, we import a substantial amount of crude oil, as well as smaller quantities of diesel, liquefied petroleum gas, naphtha and other oil products. We also export crude oil, bunker fuel, fuel oil and gasoline. Virtually all of the prices for these imports and exports are payable in U.S. dollars even though substantially all our revenues are collected in *reais* (despite the fact these prices are partly based on international prices). In addition, a substantial portion of our indebtedness and some of our operating expenses are, and we expect them to continue to be, denominated in or indexed to U.S. dollars or other foreign currencies. See Item 4. Information on the Company Regulation of the Oil and Gas Industry in Brazil for the manner in which the Brazilian government has controlled the prices we charge.

The principal market for our products is Brazil and substantially all of our revenues are denominated in *reais*. We have described above under Item 4. Information on the Company Regulation of the Oil and Gas Industry in Brazil Price Regulation the manner in which the Brazilian government has regulated the prices we charge.

Risk Management

The market risks we face consist principally of commodity price risk, and to a lesser extent, interest rate risk and exchange rate risk.

Table of Contents

Our management of risk exposures is evolving under the policies of our executive officers, acting as a group, most of whom have been in office since February 2003. In 2004, we created a Risk Management Committee comprised of members of all our business areas to promote an integrated management of our risk exposures and to establish the main guidelines to be adopted by us to handle risks related to our activities. As described below, we enter into contracts, such as energy futures, forwards, swaps and options, designed to hedge against the risk of price changes relating to our imports and exports. Such derivative commodity instruments are used only to offset market exposures resulting from these imports and exports, and are not used for trading purposes. The results of our derivative activities are reviewed by senior management from time to time to permit the goals and strategies of the program to be periodically adjusted in response to market conditions.

By using derivative instruments, we expose ourselves to credit and market risk. Credit risk is the failure of a counter party to perform under the terms of the derivative contract. Market risk is the adverse effect on the value of a financial instrument that results from a favorable change in interest rates, currency exchange rates or commodity prices. We address credit risk by restricting the counterparties to such derivative financial instrument to major financial institutions. Our executive officers manage market risk.

Commodity Price Risk

Our sales of crude oil and oil products are based on international prices, thus exposing us to price fluctuations in the international markets.

In order to mitigate the impact of such fluctuations, we have entered into derivative transactions, primarily futures contracts, options and swaps. Our futures contracts provide economic hedges for anticipated crude oil purchases and sales, generally forecast to occur within a 30- to 360-day period. Our exposure on these contracts is limited to the difference between contract value and market value on the volumes hedged.

For 2006, we carried out derivative transactions on 26.4% of our total trade volume, as compared to 26.8% of our total trade volume for 2005 and 33.1% of our total trade volume for 2004. This decrease in our derivative transactions is a result of normal fluctuations in our operations. The open positions on the futures market, compared to spot market value, resulted in recognized losses of U.S.\$1.6 million in 2006, U.S.\$0.6 million in 2005 and U.S.\$2 million in 2004.

In January of 2001, we sold put options for 52 million barrels of West Texas Intermediate oil over a period from 2004 to 2007. We executed the transaction in order to protect the quantity of oil from price fluctuations and provide the institutions financing the Barracuda/Caratinga project with a minimum guaranteed margin to cover debt servicing. The puts were structured to guarantee a minimum return on investment for the institutions financing the project. The value of our position with respect to this put option resulted in no gain or loss at December 31, 2006.

In connection with the long-term contract to buy gas (The Gas Supply Agreement or GSA) to supply gas-fired power plants and for other uses in Brazil, we entered into a contract, with the company Empresa Petrolera ANDINA, a gas producer in Bolivia, that constituted a derivative financial instrument under SFAS 133. This contract, the Natural Gas Price Volatility Reduction Contract (the PVRC), was executed with the purpose of reducing the effects of price volatility under the GSA.

The terms of the PVRC included a straight fixed for floating price swap for the period between inception and 2004, and for the period from 2005 to 2019, a collar with us receiving cash payments when the calculated price is over the established ceiling and we making cash payments when the price is below the established floor, with no cash payments being made when the price is between the ceiling and the floor.

The PVRC was being accounted for under SFAS No. 133 as a derivative instrument, since we did not satisfy the documentation required for hedge accounting, and was being marked to its calculated fair value with changes in such value recognized in income. At inception, the PVRC had a positive value to us of U.S.\$169 million, which is deemed a deferred purchase incentive and is being amortized into income on the basis of the volumes anticipated under the PVRC.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2005, we recorded a derivative asset based on the fair value calculation in the amount of U.S.\$547 million and a liability in the amount of U.S.\$144 million, which was deemed a deferred purchase incentive, which was being amortized into cost of sales on the basis of the volumes anticipated under the PVRC.

Due to the new Hydrocarbons Law of Bolivia, the other party involved in the PVRC challenged the contract, alleging among others factors, *force majeure* and excessive onus. On August 12, 2006, the parties agreed to cancel the PVRC. As a result, we received the amount of U.S.\$41 million from Andina and wrote off certain account receivables related to the PVRC in the amount of U.S.\$77 million.

We also recorded a financial expense related to fair value asset adjustments in the amount of U.S.\$328 million during the first quarter of 2006, due to the effect of recent tax increases in Bolivia, and U.S.\$94 million during the second quarter of 2006 as a consequence of the cancellation of the contract.

As of May 1, 2006, Supreme Decree 28,701 came into force in Bolivia, through which the natural hydrocarbon resources in that country were nationalized. As a result, all the petroleum companies are obliged to deliver all their production to YPFB, which in representation of the State, is the sole economic agent enabled to commercialize the hydrocarbon products, defining the conditions, volumes and prices for the domestic market, exports and industrialization. The Decree establishes that during a 180-day period known as the Transition Period, or until the new operation contracts come into force, operators of fields with a certified average natural gas production of over 100 million cubic feet per day (as of 2005), as is the case with the San Alberto and San Antonio fields where we operate, would be required to pay an additional amount to YPFB of 32% over of the production value, increasing the Bolivian government's interest to a total of 82%. On October 28, 2006, the end of the Transition Period, new operation contracts were executed with YPFB. These new operation contracts provide or ratify that (i) all hydrocarbon resources are property of YPFB, (ii) we maintain our status as operator of the oil and gas fields, but sales must be made through YPFB, and (iii) we have the right to recover our costs and to have a share of the profit generated by the production. The new operation contracts came in to force in May 2007. As a result of these requirements, we paid the additional fee to YPFB of 32% on our hydrocarbon production, an amount of U.S.\$144.9 million, between May 2006 and April 2007.

International hedging activities in 2006 represented an average of 284,880 barrels of oil equivalent per day of physical movements, of which 24.7% was related to fuel oil, 14.9% was related to diesel, 26.1% was related to gasoline and 31.2% was related to crude oil, as compared to our international hedging activities in 2005 that represented an average of 255,700 barrels of oil equivalent per day of physical movements, of which 20.8% was related to fuel oil, 36.8% was related to gasoline, 15.3% was related to diesel, and 19.8% was related to crude oil. This increase in our international derivative transactions was a result of normal fluctuations in our operations. Of our total hedging activities in 2006, 69.4% were carried out by Petrobras, 18.9% by PifCo and 11.7% by PAI.

The following table sets forth a sensitivity analysis demonstrating the net change in fair value of a 10% adverse change in the price of the underlying commodity as of December 31, 2006, which is a 10% increase in the price of the underlying commodity for Options, Futures and Swaps and a 10% decrease for Options maturing 2007-2008.

Table of Contents

	Petrobras Fair		Petrobras America Inc. Fair		PifCo Fair Value (1)		Total Fair		+10%
	Quantity (1,000 bbl)	Value(1) (U.S.\$ millions)	Quantity (1,000 bbl)	Value(1) (U.S.\$ millions)	Quantity (1,000 bbl)	Value (U.S.\$ millions)	Quantity (1,000 bbl)	Value(1) (U.S.\$ millions)	Sensitivity (U.S.\$ millions)
Maturing in 2006									
Options									
Buy contracts	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
Sell contracts	0,000		0,000				0,000		
Futures									
Buy contracts	7,464	(5,902)	172	0,692	4,565	0,001	12,201	(5,209)	(4,688)
Sell contracts	4,840		435		4,371		9,646		
Swaps									
Receive variable/ pay fixed	2,754	3,340	0,000	0,000	2,164	0,246	4,918	3,586	3,227
Receive fixed/ pay variable	5,458		0,000		1,551		7,009		
Options maturing 2007-2008(2)									
Sell contracts	13000	0,000							0,000

(1) Fair value represents an estimate of gain or loss that would be realized if contracts were settled at the balance sheet date.

(2) 13 million barrels per year.

Interest Rate and Exchange Rate Risk

The interest rate risk to which we are exposed is a function of our long-term debt and, to a lesser extent, our short-term debt. Our long-term debt consists principally of notes and borrowings incurred primarily in connection with capital expenditures and investments in exploration and development projects and loans to affiliated companies. Approximately 78% of our long-term debt is denominated in currencies other than *reais*, principally U.S. dollars, and to a lesser extent, Japanese Yen and euro-linked European currencies. Our short-term debt consists principally of U.S. dollar denominated import and export financing and working capital borrowings from commercial banks. In general, our foreign currency floating rate debt is principally subject to fluctuations in LIBOR. Our floating rate debt denominated in *reais* is principally subject to fluctuations in the *Taxa de Juros de Longo Prazo* (Brazilian long-term

interest rate, or TJLP), as fixed by the National Monetary Council. See Note 12 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

We currently do not utilize derivative instruments to manage our exposure to interest rate fluctuation. We have been considering various forms of derivatives to reduce our exposure to interest rate fluctuations and may utilize these financial instruments in the future.

The exchange rate risk to which we are exposed is limited to the balance sheet and derives principally from the incidence of non-*real* denominated obligations in our debt portfolio. In the event of a depreciation of the *real* against the foreign currency in which our debt is denominated, we will incur a monetary loss with respect to such debt. However, a considerable part of our operating revenue is linked to the U.S. dollar since our oil product prices are based on international prices, while some expenses are not. See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects General .

Table of Contents

The table below provides summary information regarding our exposure to interest rate and exchange rate risk in our total debt portfolio for 2006 and 2005. Total debt portfolio includes long-term debt, capital leases, project financings, and current portions thereof, and short-term debt.

	Total Debt Portfolio	
	2006	2005
<i>Real</i> denominated	17.9%	9.6%
o/w* fixed rate	0.0	0.0
o/w floating rate	17.9	9.6
Dollar denominated	78.1	87.3
o/w fixed rate	37.4	44.7
o/w floating rate (includes short-term debt)	40.7	42.6
Other currencies (primarily Yen)	4.0	3.1
o/w fixed rate	3.6	2.8
o/w floating rate	0.4	0.3
Total	100.0%	100.0%

	Total Debt Portfolio	
	2006	2005
Floating Rate Debt		
<i>Real</i> denominated	17.8%	9.6%
Foreign Currency Denominated	41.2	42.9
Fixed Rated Debt		
<i>Real</i> denominated	0.0	0.0
Foreign Currency Denominated	41.0	47.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%

	Total Debt Portfolio	
	2006	2005
U.S. dollars	78.12%	87.32%
Euro	1.08	0.86
Japanese Yen	2.93	2.20
Brazilian <i>reais</i>	17.87	9.62
Total	100.0%	100.0%

* o/w signifies of which

Table of Contents

The table below provides information about our total debt obligations as of December 31, 2006, which are sensitive to changes in interest rates and exchange rates. This table presents, by expected maturity dates and currency, the principal cash flows and related average interest rates of these obligations. Variable interest rates are based on the applicable reference rate, LIBOR, TJLP, IGP-M, CDI (*Certificado de Depósito Interbancário*, or Interbank Deposit Certificate) as of December 31, 2006:

(in million of U.S. dollars, except for percentages)

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012-2023	Total	Fair Value as of December 31, 2006
Debt in EURO:								
Fixed rate debt	168	2	1				171	171
Average interest rate	6.6%	5.7%	5.7%					
Variable rate debt	7	7	7	7	7	26	59	59
Average interest rate	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%		
Debt in Japanese Yen:								
Fixed rate debt	62	87	47	27	25	344	591	606
Average interest rate	2.6%	2.4%	2.2%	1.8%	1.7%	2.1%		
Variable rate debt	2	2	9	15	8		35	35
Average interest rate	4.1%	4.1%	4.7%	4.8%	4.8%			
Debt in U.S. dollars:								
Fixed rate debt	2,004	832	382	700	606	3,455	7,978	8,751
Average interest rate	7.5%	9.6%	8.9%	9.0%	8.9%	7.6%		
Variable rate debt	2,146	1,785	1,207	988	523	2,042	8,690	8,778
Average interest rate	6.7%	6.1%	6.5%	6.7%	6.2%	6.7%		
Debt in Brazilian reais:								
Variable rate debt	1,424	252	366	656	132	983	3,813	4,134
Average interest rate	11.4%	12.6%	10.8%	13.8%	11.7%	12.9%		
Total debt obligations	5,812	2,967	2,018	2,392	1,300	6,850	21,338	22,534

We remain in one of the three zero-cost foreign exchange collar (combined put and call options) transactions that we entered into in 2000. The purpose of this outstanding transaction is to reduce our exposure to variations between the U.S. dollar and Euro exchange rate. This collar establishes a ceiling and a floor for the associated exchange rate. If the exchange rate falls below the defined floor, we will pay the counterparty the difference between the actual rate and the floor rate on the notional amount. Conversely, if the exchange rate increases above the defined ceiling, the counterparty will pay us the difference between the actual rate and the ceiling rate on the notional amount. We do not account for these derivative contracts as hedge derivative instruments.

Table of Contents

The table below provides information about our remaining zero-cost foreign exchange collar. The table presents the notional amount of the related debt obligation, the floor and ceiling rates, the fair values of the put and call options and the expiration date of the contract.

Notional amount of debt (U.S.\$ in millions)	177.2
Contractual rates(EUR/USD)	
Interest payments	
Floor	0.94
Ceiling	1.18
Final principal payments	
Floor	1.0725
Ceiling	1.1800
Fair value as of December 31, 2006 (U.S.\$ in millions)	
Put Option	(0.001)
Call Option	21.29
Expiration date	2007

PifCo

PifCo makes limited use of derivatives, which are contracted by Petrobras on behalf of PifCo. PifCo does not hold derivative instruments for trading purposes or for leverage.

At September 12, 2006, PifCo entered into cross currency swap in which it swaps principal and interest payments on Yen-denominated bonds for U.S. dollar amounts. Under U.S. GAAP, foreign currency cash flow hedges can only be designated as such when hedging the risk to the entity's functional currency, and therefore, this cross currency swaps is qualified for a hedge accounting designation, taking into account that PifCo's functional currency is the U.S. dollar, and the assessment of hedge effectiveness indicates that the change in fair value of the designated hedging instrument is highly effective.

The hedge item is a ¥ 35 billion bond, with a ten-year maturity, carrying a semi-annual coupon of 2.15% per year. The hedge instrument is a cross-currency swap, with a ten year maturity, under which U.S. dollars are paid and Japanese Yen are received according to the obligations of the bond. The effectiveness test was made at the inception of the hedge based on the hypothetical derivative method. The effectiveness test will be made on an ongoing basis every three months.

The transaction gain or loss arising from the remeasurement of Yen-denominated bonds would be offset by a related amount reclassified each period from other comprehensive income to earnings. The cross currency swap at December 31, 2006 has a negative fair value of U.S.\$9 million due to the devaluation of the Japanese Yen as compared to the U.S. dollar since the inception of the instrument. In the normal course of business, PifCo faces market risks, including interest rate risk and oil and oil products price risk. Neither we nor PifCo have entered into derivative contracts or made other arrangements to hedge against interest rate risk. PifCo has historically passed on its financing costs to us by selling crude oil and oil products to us at a premium to compensate for its financing costs. Although we are considering methods of continuing this practice in the future, we cannot assure you that this practice will continue.

PifCo's short-term debt borrowings are derived mainly from commercial banks and include trade lines of credit and commercial paper, which are primarily intended for the purchase of crude oil and oil products, and with interest rates ranging from 5.52% to 8.06%. The weighted average annual interest rate for PifCo's short-term debt at December 31, 2006 was 6.76%, compared to 5.02% at December 31, 2005.

The table below sets forth the amounts and related weighted average annual interest rates by expected maturity dates for PifCo's long-term debt obligations at December 31, 2006:

Table of Contents

CALENDAR YEAR OF EXPECTED MATURITY DATE FOR DEBT
(in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for percentages)
December 31, 2006

Debt Obligations	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013-2018	Total	Fair Value Dec 31, 2006
Debt in U.S. Dollars:								
Fixed rate debt	441,698	67,718	68,738	356,164	70,928	2,299,669	3,304,915	3,679,951
Average interest rate	10.0%	5.5%	5.5%	8.9%	5.5%	7.8%		
Variable rate debt	329,500	149,500	259,500	20,500	22,250	260,000	1,041,250	1,074,014
Average interest rate	6.9%	7.3%	6.8%	7.8%	7.4%	7.2%		
Debt in Japanese Yen:								
Fixed rate debt						293,969	293,969	293,969
Average interest rate						2.2%		
Total debt obligations	771,198	217,218	328,238	376,664	93,178	2,853,638	4,640,134	5,047,634
						December 31, 2006	December 31, 2005	
Total Debt Portfolio								
U.S. Dollars:								
Fixed rate debt						74.5%	79.8%	
Floating rate debt						20.5%	20.2%	
Japanese Yen:								
Fixed rate debt						5.0%	0.0%	
Floating rate debt						0.0%	0.0%	
Total debt portfolio						100.0%	100.0%	

At December 31, 2006, 20% of PifCo's debt was dollar-denominated floating rate debt and 75% of PifCo's debt was dollar-denominated fixed rate debt. Since 95% of PifCo's debt is dollar denominated, it is not subject to material foreign exchange rate risk.

ITEM 12. DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

Not Applicable.

ITEM 13. DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES

None.

ITEM 14. MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 15. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Both PifCo and we have evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2006. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives. Based upon our evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2006 were effective to provide reasonable assurance that

Table of Contents

information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the applicable rules and forms, and that it is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The managements of Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. PETROBRAS and subsidiaries and Petrobras International Finance Company PifCo and subsidiaries (each, a Company) are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for their assessments of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

Each Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, each Company's Audit Committee, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and effected by each Company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Each Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to consolidated financial statements preparation and presentation. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of each Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations - COSO of the Treadway Commission. Based on that assessment, management has concluded that as of December 31, 2006 each Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective.

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of each Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 has been audited by KPMG Auditores Independentes, the Companies' independent registered public accounting firm, which opinion is stated in their report, dated April 5, 2007, included in the financial statements to this Annual Report.

There were no significant changes in our internal controls or the internal controls of PifCo or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls during the entire year of 2006 and subsequent to the date of managements evaluations.

Table of Contents

Integrated Internal Control Systems and Methods Program Prisma

The Integrated Internal Control Systems and Methods Program, Prisma, part of our strategic agenda and currently overseen by the Company's General Internal Control Management Office, has concluded its work to meet the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Prisma's activities in 2006 were carried out with the guidance of the Internal Control Management Committee and monitored by the Audit Committee. These activities included the mapping, documentation, and maintenance of the internal control structure in order to mitigate any risks associated with our system of consolidated financial reports. Our General Internal Control Management Office continued to implement the best corporate governance and control practices with respect to all aspects of our business, services, financial and information technology sectors, according to the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), as well as the Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology (COBIT).

We have approved the design of the processes and controls that would impact the consolidated financial statements. Any weaknesses that could potentially undermine the certification of our internal controls were eliminated. The system's internal audits, organized by the Board of Directors, applied new control effectiveness tests. The tests indicated no deficiencies or weaknesses that could compromise our judgment regarding our control structure, either at particular entities or relating to our processes and information technology, more broadly.

The background documentation of our designs of the processes, controls, and effectiveness tests is saved regularly in an integrated internal control management system which automatically monitors the flow of paper and responsibility, and enables all levels of management to sign off on the internal control structure, including the Financial Director and President. Any managers, the General Internal Control Management Office, Internal Audits, Senior Management, and the Audit Committee, can view the updated status of our system's internal controls at any time.

ITEM 16A. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

On June 17, 2005 our Board of Directors approved the appointment of an audit committee for purposes of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Our Board of Directors has determined that Fabio Colletti Barbosa is the audit committee financial expert, and he is independent, as defined in 17 CRF 240.10A-3. PifCo's board of directors currently serves as its audit committee for purposes of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. PifCo's board of directors has determined that Marcos Antonio Silva Menezes is an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of this Item 16A. Mr. Menezes is not independent as defined in 17 CRF 240.10A-3.

ITEM 16B. CODE OF ETHICS

We have adopted a Code of Ethics applicable to our employees and executive officers and a Code of Good Practices applicable to our directors and executive officers, both of which are also applicable to PifCo. In 2006, we revised and updated our Code of Ethics. No waivers of the provisions of the Code of Ethics or Code of Good Practices are permitted. Both documents are available on our website: www.petrobras.com.br/investorrelations/corporategovernance.

Table of Contents**ITEM 16C. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES****Principal Accountant Fees****Audit and Non-Audit Fees***Petrobras*

The following table sets forth the fees billed to us by our independent auditors, KPMG Auditores Independentes, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, and Ernst & Young Auditores Independentes S/S, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005:

	Year ended December 31,	
	2006	2005
	(in thousand of <i>reais</i>)	
Audit fees	17,254	10,876
Audit-related fees	3,939	3,441
Tax fees	1,467	584
Total fees	22,660	14,901

Audit fees in the above table are the aggregate fees billed by KPMG Auditores Independentes and Ernst & Young Auditores Independentes S/S in connection with the audit of our annual financial statements (U.S. GAAP and Brazilian GAAP), interim reviews (U.S. GAAP and Brazilian GAAP), subsidiary audits (U.S. GAAP and Brazilian GAAP, among others) and review of periodic documents filed with the SEC. In 2006, Audit fees include the aggregate fees billed by KPMG Auditores Independentes, in the amount of R\$2,063 thousand, related to the audit of the internal controls. Audit-related fees in the above table are the aggregate fees billed by KPMG Auditores Independentes and Ernst & Young Auditores Independentes S/S for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or reviews of our financial statements and are not reported under Audit fees nor under Audit fees related to SOX .

Tax fees in the above table are fees billed by KPMG Auditores Independentes and Ernst & Young Auditores Independentes S/S for services related to tax compliance reviews of the annual federal tax return and procedures with respect to income and sales taxes.

PifCo

The following table sets forth the fees billed to PifCo by its independent auditors KPMG Auditores Independentes, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, and Ernst & Young Auditores Independentes S/S, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005:

	Year ended December 31,	
	2006	2005
	(in thousand of <i>reais</i>)	
Audit fees	252.8	318.3
Audit-related fees	39.8	
Total fees	292.6	318.3

Audit Fees are the aggregate fees billed by KPMG Auditores Independentes and Ernst & Young Auditores Independentes S/S for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or reviews of PifCo financial statements and are not reported under Audit fees. Fees disclosed under the category

Audit-Related Fees are mainly related to services provided in connection with the issuance of PifCo's notes in the international capital markets and its exports prepayment program.

Table of Contents

Audit Committee Approval Policies and Procedures

Our audit committee has the authority to recommend pre-approval policies and procedures to our Board of Directors for the engagement of our or PifCo's independent auditor for services. At present, our Board of Directors has not established such pre-approval policies and procedures. Our Board of Directors expressly approves on a case-by-case basis any engagement of our independent auditors for all services provided to our subsidiaries or to us. Our bylaws prohibit our independent auditor from providing any consulting services to our subsidiaries or to us during the term of such auditor's contract.

ITEM 16D. EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES

Under the listed company audit committee rules of the NYSE and the SEC, we must comply with Exchange Act Rule 10A-3, which requires that we establish an audit committee composed of members of the Board of Directors that meets specified requirements. In reliance on the exemption in Rule 10A-3(b)(iv)(E), we have designated two members to our audit committee, Francisco Roberto de Albuquerque and Arthur Antônio Sendas, who are designees of the Brazilian government, which is one of our affiliates. In our assessment, these members acts independently in performing the responsibilities of an audit committee member under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and satisfy the other requirements of Exchange Act Rule 10A-3.

ITEM 16E. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS

Petrobras

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, neither any affiliated purchaser, as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act, nor we have purchased any of our equity securities. On December 15, 2006, we approved a share repurchase program and we may repurchase shares during 2007.

ITEM 17. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 18. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

See pages F-1 through F-170, incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

ITEM 19. EXHIBITS

No.	Description
1.1	Amended By-Laws of Petróleo Brasileiro S.A.-Petrobras (together with an English version) (incorporated by reference to the Annual Report on Form 20-F of Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. Petrobras, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 30, 2004 (File No. 1-15106)).