

CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON CO N V

Form DEF 14A

March 23, 2011

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**SCHEDULE 14A  
(Rule 14a-101)**

**INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT  
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION**

**PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (Amendment No. )**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12

**Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V.**  
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
  
- 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
  
- 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
  
- 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
  
- 5) Total fee paid:

- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
  - o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
    - 1) Amount Previously Paid:
    - 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
    - 3) Filing Party:
    - 4) Date Filed:
-

**Table of Contents**

**CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.**

**Oostduinlaan 75  
2596 JJ The Hague, The Netherlands**

**NOTICE OF AND AGENDA FOR ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING  
OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD MAY 4, 2011**

To the Shareholders of:

CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.

You are hereby notified that the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders (the Annual Meeting ) of Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V. will be held at the InterContinental Amstel Amsterdam, Professor Tulpplein 1, 1018 GX Amsterdam, The Netherlands, at 2:00 p.m., local time, on Wednesday, May 4, 2011, for the following purposes:

1. To elect two members of the Supervisory Board to serve until the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2013. The Supervisory Board recommends the election of Gary L. Neale and J. Charles Jennett to fill these positions;
2. To elect two members of the Supervisory Board to serve until the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2014. The Supervisory Board recommends the election of Larry D. McVay and Marsha C. Williams to fill these positions;
3. To approve, by non-binding vote, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers;
4. To recommend, by non-binding vote, the frequency of the advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers;
5. To authorize the preparation of our Dutch statutory annual accounts and the annual report of our Management Board in the English language, to discuss our annual report of the Management Board for the year ended December 31, 2010 and to adopt our Dutch statutory annual accounts for the year ended December 31, 2010;
6. To discharge the sole member of our Management Board from liability in respect of the exercise of its duties during the year ended December 31, 2010;
7. To discharge the members of our Supervisory Board from liability in respect of the exercise of their duties during the year ended December 31, 2010;
8. To approve the extension of the authority of our Management Board, acting with the approval of the Supervisory Board, to repurchase up to 10% of our issued share capital until November 4, 2012 on the open market, through privately negotiated transactions or in one or more self tender offers for a price per share not less than the nominal value of a share and not higher than 110% of the most recent available (as of the time of repurchase) price of a share on any securities exchange where our shares are traded;
9. To appoint Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, who will audit our accounts for the year ending December 31, 2011;
10. To approve the extension of the authority of our Supervisory Board to issue shares and/or grant rights to acquire our shares (including options to subscribe for shares), never to exceed the number of authorized but unissued shares,

and to limit or exclude the preemptive rights of shareholders with respect to the issuance of shares and/or the grant of the right to acquire shares, until May 4, 2016; and

11. To discuss our dividend policy.

Our Dutch statutory annual accounts and the annual report of the Management Board, our Annual Report on Form 10-K, the charters of each of our Audit, Nominating, Organization and Compensation, Corporate Governance and Strategic Initiatives Committees, our Corporate Governance Guidelines and our Code of Ethics can be accessed through our website, [www.cbi.com](http://www.cbi.com), and, along with directions to attend the Annual Meeting, may be obtained free of charge by request to our principal executive offices at Oostduinlaan 75, 2596 JJ The Hague, The Netherlands, and at our administrative offices c/o CB&I, 2103 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77380-2624, Attn:

---

**Table of Contents**

Investor Relations. Copies of the documents listed above are also available for inspection by shareholders free of charge at our offices in The Hague listed above.

**REGISTERED SHAREHOLDERS ARE REQUESTED TO VOTE PROMPTLY, AND IF VOTING BY MAIL, TO COMPLETE, SIGN, DATE AND PROMPTLY MAIL THE ENCLOSED PROXY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. NO POSTAGE IS REQUIRED FOR MAILING IN THE UNITED STATES.**

Walter G. Browning,  
*Secretary*

March 24, 2011

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to be Held on May 4, 2011: The proxy statement and annual report to security holders are available on the Internet at [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com).**

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Corporate Governance</u>	2
	<u>Committees of the Supervisory Board</u>	5
<u>ITEM 1</u>	<u>Election of Two Members of the Supervisory Board to Serve until 2013</u>	10
<u>ITEM 2</u>	<u>Election of Two Members of the Supervisory Board to Serve until 2014</u>	11
	<u>Common Stock Ownership by Certain Persons and Management</u>	14
	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	15
	<u>Determining the Form and Amount of Compensation Elements to Meet Our Compensation Objectives</u>	22
	<u>Executive Officer Compensation Tables</u>	27
	<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	27
	<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	28
	<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	29
	<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	31
	<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	31
	<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control</u>	32
	<u>Director Compensation</u>	38
	<u>Risk Analysis</u>	39
<u>ITEM 3</u>	<u>Advisory (Non-binding) Vote on Executive Compensation</u>	40
<u>ITEM 4</u>	<u>Advisory (Non-binding) Vote on the Frequency of the Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation</u>	41
<u>ITEM 5</u>	<u>Adoption of Annual Accounts for 2010</u>	41
<u>ITEM 6</u>	<u>Discharge of Sole Member of the Management Board</u>	42
<u>ITEM 7</u>	<u>Discharge of Members of the Supervisory Board</u>	42
<u>ITEM 8</u>	<u>Extension of Authority of Management Board to Repurchase up to 10% of our Issued Share Capital Until November 4, 2012</u>	42
<u>ITEM 9</u>	<u>Appointment of our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	43
<u>ITEM 10</u>	<u>Extension of Authority of Supervisory Board to Issue Shares, to Grant the Right to Acquire Shares and to Limit or Exclude Preemptive Rights Until May 4, 2016</u>	43
<u>ITEM 11</u>	<u>Discussion of Dividend Policy</u>	44
	<u>Shareholder Proposals</u>	45

---

**Table of Contents**

**CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.**

**PROXY STATEMENT**

This proxy statement, which is first being mailed or made available to holders of registered shares on or about March 24, 2011, is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies on behalf of Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V. ( we , CB&I or the Company ), who ask you to vote promptly, and if voting by mail, to complete, sign, date and mail the enclosed proxy for use at the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders to be held at the InterContinental Amstel Amsterdam, Professor Tulpplein 1, 1018 GX Amsterdam, The Netherlands, at 2:00 p.m., local time, on Wednesday, May 4, 2011 (the Annual Meeting ), for the purposes set forth in the foregoing notice and agenda.

We are utilizing U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) rules allowing companies to furnish our proxy materials over the Internet. Instead of a paper copy of this proxy statement and our 2010 Annual Report, most of our shareholders are receiving a notice regarding the availability of our proxy materials. The notice includes instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet. The notice also contains instructions on how each shareholder can receive a paper copy of our proxy materials, including this proxy statement, our 2010 Annual Report and a form of proxy card.

Each share entitles the holder thereof to one vote on each matter submitted to a vote at the Annual Meeting. All shares represented by proxies duly executed and received by us within the time indicated on the enclosed proxy (the Voter Deadline ) will be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with the terms of the proxies. If no choice is indicated on the proxy, the proxyholders will vote for the election of Messrs. McVay, Neale and Jennett and Ms. Williams to our Supervisory Board, and for all other proposals described in this proxy statement. If any other business is properly brought before the Annual Meeting under our Articles of Association or Dutch law, the proxies will be voted in accordance with the best judgment of the proxyholders. In general, only those items appearing on the agenda can be voted on at the Annual Meeting.

A shareholder may revoke a proxy by submitting a document revoking it prior to the Voter Deadline, by submitting a duly executed proxy bearing a later date prior to the Voter Deadline or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person (with regard to which the requirements below apply).

Only holders of record of the 99,889,667 registered shares of our share capital, par value EUR 0.01 (the common shares or shares ), issued at the close of business on March 10, 2011 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. Shareholders must give notice in writing to the Management Board of their intention to attend the Annual Meeting prior to April 27, 2011. Admittance of shareholders and acceptance of written voting proxies shall be governed by Dutch law.

Although there is no quorum requirement under Dutch law, abstentions, directions to withhold authority to vote for a Supervisory Director nominee and broker non-votes will be considered present at the meeting but will not be counted to determine the total number of votes cast. Broker non-votes occur when nominees, such as brokers and banks holding shares on behalf of the beneficial owners, are prohibited from exercising discretionary voting authority for beneficial owners who have not provided voting instructions. If you do not give instructions to your bank, brokerage firm or other agent, the bank, brokerage firm or other agent will nevertheless be entitled to vote your shares of common stock in its discretion on routine matters and may give or authorize the giving of a proxy to vote the shares of common stock in its discretion on such matters. The ratification of independent public accountants is generally a routine matter whereas the election of directors is not considered a routine matter. For these reasons, please promptly vote in accordance with the instructions provided by your bank, brokerage firm or other agent.



We will bear the cost of soliciting proxies on the accompanying proxy card. Some of our directors, officers and regular employees may solicit proxies in person or by mail, telephone or fax, but will not receive any additional compensation for their services. We may reimburse brokers and others for their reasonable expenses in forwarding proxy solicitation material to the beneficial owners of our shares. We have also retained The Proxy Advisory Group, LLC to assist in the solicitation of proxies and provide related advice and informational support for a services fee and the reimbursement of customary disbursements. Such fee and disbursements are not expected to exceed \$15,000 in the aggregate.

Shareholders and interested persons may communicate with the Supervisory Board or one or more directors by sending a letter addressed to the Supervisory Board or to any one or more directors in care of Walter G. Browning, Secretary, Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V., Oostduinlaan 75, 2596 JJ The Hague, The Netherlands, in an envelope clearly marked Shareholder Communication. Mr. Browning's office will forward such correspondence unopened to Larry D. McVay, or to another independent director, unless the envelope specifies that it should be delivered to another director.

---

**Table of Contents**

**CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

**Certain Transactions**

***Director Independence***

The Supervisory Board believes that there should be a significant majority of independent directors on the Supervisory Board and generally no more than one director who is also an employee. An independent director means a member of the Supervisory Board who, in conformity with New York Stock Exchange listing standards and the criteria set forth in Exhibit A ( Exhibit A ) to our Corporate Governance Guidelines (which comply with and in some cases are stricter than the New York Stock Exchange listing standards) available through our website, [www.cbi.com](http://www.cbi.com), is independent of management and free from any relationship with the Company or otherwise that, in the opinion of the Supervisory Board, would interfere with his or her exercise of independent judgment as a director. No director qualifies as independent unless the Supervisory Board affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with the Company (either directly or indirectly, such as an officer, director, partner or significant shareholder of an organization that has a material relationship with the Company), and discloses that determination and the basis for the determination in our annual proxy statement. As stated in Exhibit A, a director generally will be considered independent if he or she:

has not been employed by us within the past 5 years;

has not been affiliated with or employed by our present or former auditor within 5 years since the end of either the affiliation or the auditing relationship;

has not been part of an interlocking directorate in which one of our executive officers serves on the compensation committee of another company that concurrently employs or employed the director within the last 5 years;

has not had an immediate family member (other than a family member employed in a non-officer position) in one of the categories listed above within the past 5 years;

is not a paid advisor or consultant to us and receives no financial benefit from any entity as a result of advice or consulting services provided to us by such entity;

is not an officer, director, partner or significant shareholder of any of our significant customers or suppliers, or any other entity having a material commercial, industrial, banking, legal or accounting relationship with us; and

is not an officer or director of a tax-exempt entity receiving more than 5% of its annual contributions from us.

However, in making the determination as to independence, the Supervisory Board will broadly consider all relevant facts and circumstances in evaluating any relationships that exist between a director and the Company. Such determinations, in individual cases, may warrant exceptions to the above general guidelines. Based on these guidelines, the Supervisory Board has determined that the following members of the Supervisory Board do not have a relationship with us, and that each of Messrs. Flury, Jennett, Kissel, McVay, Neale and Underwood and Ms. Williams are independent under the standards described above. Mr. Asherman, our Chief Executive Officer, is not independent. The Supervisory Board has also determined that all members of the Supervisory Board, except Mr. Asherman, are

independent as that term is defined by the Dutch Corporate Governance Code adopted by the Dutch Corporate Governance Committee on December 9, 2003 and subsequently amended and restated in October 2008 (the Dutch Corporate Governance Code ). As part of the independence review process, the Supervisory Board considered that Mr. Underwood was an advisor to the Supervisory Board from September 2006 until his election to the Supervisory Board in May of 2007, and in such capacity he was paid \$25,000, an amount equal to what he would have earned if he had been a member of the Supervisory Board during such time. The Supervisory Board has determined that such service does not establish a material relationship with us.

**Table of Contents**

***Related Party Transactions***

The Nominating Committee of the Supervisory Board is responsible for reviewing all transactions that might represent a conflict or potential conflict of interest on the part of shareholders who hold more than 10% of our shares, directors, officers and employees. The Nominating Committee will analyze such potential conflicts of interest in order to ensure compliance with the Company's Code of Ethics and the Company's Business and Legal Compliance Policy, and make recommendations to the Supervisory Board concerning the granting of waivers, if appropriate, under the Company's Code of Ethics. Each director, officer and employee must make prompt and full disclosure of all conflicts of interest to the President and Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer or the General Counsel of the Company or the Non-Executive Chairman (defined below) or the Chairman of the Audit Committee. A conflict of interest includes any shareholder who holds more than 10% of our shares, a director, officer or employee having a financial interest in any contract with us or in any organization doing business with us, or any such person receiving improper personal benefits or loans as a result of his or her position in the Company. On an annual basis, each Supervisory Director and executive officer is obligated to complete a Director and Officer Questionnaire, which requires disclosure of any transactions with the Company in which the Supervisory Director or executive officer, or any member of his or her immediate family, has a direct or indirect material interest. These obligations are set forth in writing in our Code of Ethics and the Nominating Committee charter available through our website, [www.cbi.com](http://www.cbi.com).

**Nominations for Directors/Director Qualifications**

The Nominating Committee of the Supervisory Board is also responsible for screening potential members of the Supervisory Board and recommending qualified candidates to the Supervisory Board for nomination. Although the Nominating Committee has not established any specific minimum qualifications to be met by a nominee to be a member of the Supervisory Board, it assesses a diverse number of specific factors such as independence, judgment, business experience, financial knowledge and expertise, technical skills and knowledge, knowledge of our core business, international background and experience and other particular skills to enable a Supervisory Board member to make a significant contribution to the Supervisory Board, the Company and our shareholders. Set forth in Appendix I to the Charter of the Nominating Committee ( Appendix I ), available through our website, [www.cbi.com](http://www.cbi.com), are diverse and relevant criteria and characteristics and specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills to be considered by the Nominating Committee in identifying nominees to be a member of the Supervisory Board, including:

holding a position as a chief executive officer or chief operating officer or running a significant division of a public company;

knowledge of our core business, including contracting, energy, building materials (steel) and chemicals;

knowledge of international business;

technological expertise;

financial adeptness, liability/equity management and human relations skills;

outside interests;

participation on other boards;

education;

ability to serve for at least five years;

compatibility with existing Supervisory Board, management and the Company corporate culture; and

independence, as defined in the standards set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

The Nominating Committee and the Supervisory Board prefer nominees who will contribute to a board that is diverse in terms of business training, experience across a range of industries, leadership, background, and education. The Nominating Committee and the Supervisory Board consider how a specific nominee contributes to the diversity of the Supervisory Board by identifying a nominee's experience and background and determining

## **Table of Contents**

how such experience and background will complement the overall makeup of the Supervisory Board. The Nominating Committee identifies nominees through the use of third-party entities whose practice includes outside director searches and by conducting its own searches primarily based on personal knowledge and recommendations of other members of the Supervisory Board and our management. Nominees are evaluated by the Committee as a whole with reference to Appendix I. The Nominating Committee does not solicit director nominees but will consider and evaluate shareholder recommendations that meet the criteria set forth in Appendix I in the same manner as it evaluates other potential nominees. Recommendations should be submitted in writing and addressed to the Chairman of the Nominating Committee, c/o Walter G. Browning, Secretary, Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V., Oostduinlaan 75, 2596 JJ The Hague, The Netherlands.

## **Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight**

The Supervisory Board requires that the Chairman of the Supervisory Board be a non-executive. The Supervisory Board separates the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Supervisory Board in recognition of the differences between the two roles and the commitment required by each role. Separating these positions allows our Chief Executive Officer to focus on our day-to-day business, while allowing the non-executive Chairman of the Supervisory Board (the Non-Executive Chairman), as an independent leader, to lead the Supervisory Board in its fundamental role of providing advice to and independent oversight of management. The Supervisory Board recognizes both the time, effort and energy that the Chief Executive Officer is required to devote to his position in the current business environment, and the commitment required of the Non-Executive Chairman to properly fulfill his role. The Supervisory Board believes this structure is appropriate for the Company not only because of the size and composition of the Supervisory Board, the scope and complexity of the Company's operations and the responsibilities of the Supervisory Board and management, but also as a demonstration of our commitment to good corporate governance.

While the Supervisory Board is ultimately responsible for risk oversight, four Supervisory Board committees assist the Supervisory Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities in certain areas of risk. The Supervisory Board exercises its risk oversight authority through various processes and procedures adopted by the Supervisory Board's Audit Committee, Strategic Initiatives Committee, Organization and Compensation Committee and Corporate Governance Committee.

The Audit Committee assists the Supervisory Board in its involvement in the Company's risk management process by providing oversight for the:

- integrity of the Company's financial statements;

- Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;

- Company's independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence;

- performance of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and our internal audit function; and

- Company's system of disclosure and internal controls regarding finance, accounting, legal compliance and ethics.

The Strategic Initiatives Committee, chaired by the Non-Executive Chairman, participates in and, in certain instances, oversees significant core activities of the Company. The Strategic Initiatives Committee deals directly with risk-related issues facing the Company when and as the Committee carries out its duties to:

review and approve on behalf of the Supervisory Board contracts, purchase orders, subcontracts and change orders in the ordinary course of business whose price exceeds the approval authority of the Chief Executive Officer;

review and make recommendations to the Supervisory Board with respect to matters brought to its attention by the Chief Executive Officer in the ordinary course of business that exceed his approval authority under the authority matrix adopted by the Supervisory Board; and

**Table of Contents**

review and discuss matters brought to its attention by the Chief Executive Officer that the Committee finds appropriate.

The Corporate Governance Committee participates in identifying and participating in the management of risk factors facing the Company through its responsibility to the Supervisory Board to:

provide perspective on economic, business and technology trends and events that could cause the Company to change the allocation of resources among its existing businesses or to enter new business, and to review the business planning process of the Company;

review various policies and practices of management in the areas of corporate governance;

establish and review corporate goals and objectives;

consider the overall relationship of Supervisory Board Directors and the Company's management; and

develop, review and recommend to the Supervisory Board a set of corporate governance guidelines applicable to the Company.

The Organization and Compensation Committee undertakes risk oversight of the Company's compensation programs through its responsibility to the Supervisory Board to:

establish and review the Company's overall compensation philosophy, strategy and guidelines so that the design of the Company's compensation programs does not encourage excessive risk taking;

establish and review annual incentive and long-term incentive compensation plans so that they do not create risks reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company; and

establish and review corporate goals and objectives supported by the Company's compensation programs so that rewards are aligned with the interests of shareholders.

Based on information and reports received by the Supervisory Board from these committees and from regular or special Supervisory Board meetings, appropriate guidance and involvement can be directed to areas which may expose the Company to risks in operation, legal compliance, financial reporting and other aspects of the business of the Company. The Non-Executive Chairman works with the Chief Executive Officer during the strategic planning process to ensure that management strategies, plans and performance metrics are communicated to the Supervisory Board and that concerns of the Supervisory Board are addressed in the development of these plans and attends and participates in quarterly Management Reviews of the performance of the Company. Finally, the Non-Executive Chairman attends and participates in quarterly management meetings in which, as part of the review of the Company's overall performance, various risk issues are identified and addressed.

**COMMITTEES OF THE SUPERVISORY BOARD**

The Supervisory Board has five standing committees to assist the Supervisory Board in the execution of its responsibilities. These committees are the Audit Committee, the Nominating Committee, the Corporate Governance Committee, the Strategic Initiatives Committee and the Organization and Compensation Committee. Each committee is composed of a minimum of three members of the Supervisory Board (except the Corporate Governance Committee, which consists of all non-management members of the Supervisory Board) who satisfy the independence requirements



required by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ), the rules adopted thereunder, the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange in effect from time to time and the Dutch Corporate Governance Code. Each committee functions under a charter adopted by the Supervisory Board that can be accessed through our website, [www.cbi.com](http://www.cbi.com), and is available in print to any shareholder who requests it.

**Audit Committee**

The current members of the Audit Committee are Mr. Underwood (Chairman) and Messrs. Neale and McVay and Ms. Williams. The Supervisory Board has determined that Ms. Williams and Mr. Underwood are each independent as defined in the Exchange Act and under the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual and

**Table of Contents**

meet the definition of *audit committee financial expert*, as such term is defined under the rules of the SEC, and the definition of *financial expert* as defined by the Dutch Corporate Governance Code. The Supervisory Board has also determined that Ms. Williams and Messrs. Neale, McVay and Underwood possess the necessary level of financial literacy required to enable them to serve effectively as Audit Committee members. We maintain an Internal Audit Department to provide the Audit Committee and management with ongoing assessments of our system of internal controls.

The Audit Committee met seven times during 2010. Its primary duties and responsibilities include assisting the Supervisory Board in overseeing:

- the integrity of our financial statements;
- our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;
- our independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence;
- the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm and our internal audit function; and
- our system of disclosure and internal controls regarding finance, accounting, legal compliance and ethics.

The Audit Committee has adopted policies and procedures for pre-approving all audit and permissible non-audit services performed by our independent registered public accounting firm. Under these policies, the Audit Committee pre-approves the use of audit and audit-related services in connection with the approval of the independent registered public accounting firm's audit plan. All services detailed in the audit plan are considered pre-approved. The Audit Committee monitors the audit services engagement as necessary, but no less often than quarterly. It approves any changes in terms, conditions and fees resulting in changes in audit scope, Company structure or other items. Other audit services and non-audit services are pre-approved at the Audit Committee's quarterly meetings. For interim pre-approval of audit and non-audit services, requests and applications are submitted to the Chief Financial Officer, who has been so designated by the Audit Committee for this purpose. The Chief Financial Officer may approve services that are consistent with the permissible services specifically pre-approved by the Audit Committee. Where the services are not specified by the pre-approval policy and the Chief Financial Officer approves the request or application, it is submitted to the Audit Committee Chairman, or appropriate designated member of the Audit Committee, for pre-approval. All such audit and non-audit services and fees are monitored by the Audit Committee at its quarterly meeting.

***Audit Fees***

For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we incurred the following fees for services rendered by our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP:

<b>Fees</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
Audit Fees(1)	\$ 3,527,300	\$ 4,160,000
Audit-Related Fees	0	0
Tax Fees(2)	617,000	1,005,000
All Other Fees(3)	59,900	335,500
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 4,204,200</b>	<b>\$ 5,500,500</b>

- (1) Audit Fees consist of fees and out of pocket expenses for audit of our annual financial statements; audit of our controls over financial reporting; reviews of our quarterly financial statements; statutory and regulatory audits and consents; financial accounting and reporting consultations; and other services related to SEC matters.
- (2) Tax Fees consist of fees for tax consulting services, including transfer pricing documentation, tax advisory services and compliance matters.
- (3) All Other Fees consist of permitted non-audit services.

All of the fees set forth in the table above were approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to its pre-approval policies and procedures described above.

## **Table of Contents**

The Audit Committee considered and concluded that the provision of other services was compatible with maintaining Ernst & Young LLP's independence.

The Audit Committee has established a toll-free number, (866) 235-5687, whereby interested parties may report concerns or issues regarding our accounting or auditing practices to the Audit Committee.

## **Report of the Audit Committee of the Supervisory Board**

The following is the report of the Audit Committee with respect to our audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The Supervisory Board has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee.

We have reviewed and discussed with management the Company's audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010.

We have discussed with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Professional Standards, Vol. 1. AU Section 380, as amended, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) in Rule 3200T.

We have received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the Company's independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and have discussed with them their independence. The Audit Committee has also reviewed the non-audit services provided by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm as described above and considered whether the provision of those services was compatible with maintaining the Company's independent registered public accounting firm's independence.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, we recommended to the Supervisory Board that the audited financial statements referred to above be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for filing with the SEC.

Members of the Audit Committee  
Michael L. Underwood (Chairman)  
Gary L. Neale  
Marsha C. Williams  
Larry D. McVay

## **Organization and Compensation Committee**

The current members of the Organization and Compensation Committee are Messrs. Neale (Chairman), Jennett, Kissel and Underwood and Ms. Williams. The Organization and Compensation Committee met four times in 2010. Its primary duties and responsibilities include the following:

- establishment of compensation philosophy, strategy and guidelines for our executive officers and senior management, including review of compensation programs for excessive risk;

- administration of our long-term and short-term incentive plans;

evaluation and approval of corporate goals and objectives relevant to the Chief Executive Officer's and named executive officers' compensation, evaluation of the Chief Executive Officer's and the named executive officers' performance in light of those goals and objectives and setting the Chief Executive Officer's and the named executive officers' compensation level based on this evaluation;

preparation of the Organization and Compensation Committee report on executive compensation to be included in the proxy statement; and

review succession management programs and practices for our senior management (including our Chief Executive Officer and his direct reports).

**Table of Contents**

***Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation***

No member of the Organization and Compensation Committee was, during fiscal year 2010, an officer or employee of the Company or any of our subsidiaries, was formerly an officer of the Company or any of our subsidiaries or had any relationships requiring disclosure by us under Item 404 of Regulation S-K.

During fiscal year 2010, none of our executive officers served as (i) a member of the compensation committee (or other board committee performing equivalent functions) of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on the Organization and Compensation Committee, (ii) a director of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on the Organization and Compensation Committee, or (iii) a member of the compensation committee (or other board committee performing equivalent functions) of another entity, one of whose executive officers served as a director of the Company.

***Compensation Consultants***

In considering the executive compensation recommendations of management and determining the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and those officers reporting directly to him, the Organization and Compensation Committee regularly receives advice and recommendations from Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC ( Meridian ). At the Committee s request, Meridian evaluates the Company s compensation practices and assists in developing and implementing its executive compensation program and philosophy. Meridian regularly reviews the Company s total compensation pay levels and design practices and offers their comments on comparator companies, benchmarks and how the Company s compensation programs are actually succeeding in meeting the Company s business objectives. Meridian makes recommendations to the Committee at its request, independently of management, on executive compensation generally and on the individual compensation of executive officers. Meridian representatives participate in selected Committee meetings, including executive sessions independent of management, to discuss executive compensation matters.

***Compensation Committee Report***

The Organization and Compensation Committee of the Supervisory Board has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management, and based on such review and discussions, the Organization and Compensation Committee recommended to the Supervisory Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

Gary L. Neale (Chairman)  
J. Charles Jennett  
Marsha C. Williams  
Michael L. Underwood  
W. Craig Kissel

***Nominating Committee***

The current members of the Nominating Committee are Messrs. Jennett (Chairman), Flury and Kissel. The Nominating Committee met four times during 2010. Its primary duties and responsibilities include the following:

identification, review, recommendation and assessment of nominees for election as members of the Supervisory Board and the Management Board;

recommendation to the Supervisory Board regarding size, composition, proportion of inside directors and creation of new positions of the Supervisory Board;

recommendation of the structure and composition of, and nominees for, the standing committees of the Supervisory Board;

recommendation of fees to be paid to non-employee Supervisory Directors; and

review of conflicts or potential conflicts of interest to ensure compliance with our Code of Ethics and our Business and Legal Compliance Policy and making recommendations to the Supervisory Board concerning the granting of waivers.

**Table of Contents**

***Compensation of the Members of the Supervisory Board***

Under our Articles of Association, any decisions on compensation of members of our Supervisory Board are made by our general meeting of shareholders. If any changes need to be made to the compensation of members of our Supervisory Board, the Nominating Committee makes recommendations to the Supervisory Board on compensation for the Supervisory Directors. The Supervisory Board would then approve or modify those recommendations and propose them to the shareholders at a general meeting. In making a recommendation, the Nominating Committee receives advice and recommendations from Meridian, which serves as its director compensation consultants. Meridian evaluates our compensation practices and assists in developing our director compensation program. They review Supervisory Director compensation annually; however, changes to director compensation might not be made every year. Meridian representatives are present at selected Nominating Committee meetings to discuss Supervisory Director compensation.

**Corporate Governance Committee**

The current members of the Corporate Governance Committee are Messrs. McVay (Chairman), Flury, Neale, Jennett, Kissel and Underwood and Ms. Williams. The Corporate Governance Committee met four times during 2010. Its primary duties and responsibilities include the following:

evaluation of the performance of the Supervisory Board and management;

review of policies and practices of management in the areas of corporate governance and corporate responsibility;

recommendation to the Supervisory Board of policies and practices regarding the operation and performance of the Supervisory Board; and

development, review and recommendation to the Supervisory Board of a set of corporate governance guidelines.

The Corporate Governance Committee provides an opportunity for the non-management members of the Supervisory Board to meet in regularly scheduled executive sessions for open discussion without management. The Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee, Larry McVay, presides at these meetings. We have established a toll-free number, (866) 235-5687, whereby interested parties, including shareholders, may contact non-management directors. Calls to this number for non-management directors will be relayed directly to the Chairman of the Audit Committee who will forward it to the appropriate member.

**Strategic Initiatives Committee**

The current members of the Strategic Initiatives Committee are Messrs. Flury (Chairman), McVay and Neale. The Strategic Initiatives Committee met two times during 2010. Its primary duties and responsibilities include the following:

review and approval of contracts, purchase orders, subcontracts and change orders in the ordinary course of business whose price exceeds the approval authority granted by the Supervisory Board to the Chief Executive Officer; and



review and recommendation to the Supervisory Board with respect to other matters exceeding the authority granted by the Supervisory Board to the Chief Executive Officer.

**Information Regarding Meetings**

The Supervisory Board held four meetings in 2010. Each of the Supervisory Directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Supervisory Board and of each committee of which he or she was a member. We expect that each member of the Supervisory Board will attend the Annual Meeting. Last year, each of the members of the Supervisory Board attended the Annual Meeting.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 1 ELECTION OF TWO MEMBERS OF THE SUPERVISORY BOARD TO SERVE UNTIL 2013**

The business and general affairs of the Company and the conduct of the business of the Company by the Management Board are supervised by the Board of Supervisory Directors (the Supervisory Board), the members of which are appointed by the general meeting of shareholders. Under the law of The Netherlands, a Supervisory Director cannot be a member of the Management Board of the Company. Our Articles of Association provide for at least six and no more than 12 Supervisory Directors to serve on the Supervisory Board.

Members of the Supervisory Board are generally elected to serve three-year terms, with approximately one-third of such members' terms expiring each year and two-thirds of such members' terms expiring each two years. The terms of the members of the Supervisory Board expire at the general meeting of shareholders held in the third year following their election, but Supervisory Directors whose terms of office expire may be re-elected. The Supervisory Board has determined that the number of members of the Supervisory Board will continue to be eight. The term of four Supervisory Directors will expire at the date of the Annual Meeting. However, in order to ensure compliance with the New York Stock Exchange rules related to the size of classes for classified boards, the Supervisory Board has determined that for this year, two of the Supervisory Director open positions will be elected for a two-year term, and two of the Supervisory Director open positions will be elected for a three-year term. The term of office of a member of the Supervisory Board expires automatically on the date of the annual general meeting of shareholders in the year following the year during which the director attains the age of 72.

As permitted under Dutch law and our Articles of Association, the Supervisory Board is authorized to make binding nominations of two candidates for each open position on the Supervisory Board, with the candidate receiving the greater number of votes being elected. The binding nature of the Supervisory Board's nomination may be overridden by a vote of two-thirds of the votes cast at the meeting if such two-thirds vote constitutes more than one-half of the issued share capital of the Company. In that case, shareholders would be free to cast their votes for persons other than those nominated below.

Two of the members of the Supervisory Board to be elected will serve until the general meeting of shareholders in 2013. The Supervisory Board has proposed the election of Mr. Neale and Mr. Luciano Reyes for the first of these open director positions, and Dr. Jennett and Mr. Westley S. Stockton for the second of these open director positions.

Based on the guidelines set forth above, the Supervisory Board has determined that neither Mr. Neale nor Dr. Jennett has a material relationship with us and, if elected, each would be considered an independent member of the Supervisory Board. Mr. Reyes and Mr. Stockton were recommended by the Chief Executive Officer, are presently our employees and, if elected, would not be considered independent members of the Supervisory Board.

The Supervisory Board is recommending re-election of Mr. Neale and Dr. Jennett to the Supervisory Board on the basis of their extensive professional and financial knowledge and experience, particularly their knowledge of and experience with the Company and its business gained by them in connection with the outstanding services they have provided to the Company to date as Supervisory Directors.

**The Following Nominations are Made for a Two-Year Term Expiring in 2013:**

***First Position***

*First Nominee*

*Gary L. Neale*, 71, has served as a Supervisory Director since 1997 and is Chairman of the Organization & Compensation Committee and a member of the Audit Committee, Corporate Governance Committee and Strategic

Initiatives Committee. Mr. Neale served as Chairman of the Board of NiSource, Inc. from 1993 to 2007 and as Chief Executive Officer of NiSource, Inc. from 1993 to 2005. He also has served as a director of Northern Indiana Public Service Company since 1989, and as a director of Modine Manufacturing Company (heat transfer products) since 1977. Mr. Neale currently serves as Chairman of the Board of Modine Manufacturing Company. Specifically, he serves as a Supervisory Director because of his service as a chief executive officer of a public company, knowledge of the energy industry, knowledge of international business, financial adeptness, independence and compatibility with existing Supervisory Board members, management and company corporate culture.

**Table of Contents**

*Second Nominee*

*Luciano Reyes*, 40, has served as Vice President and Treasurer since February 2006, previously holding positions of increasing responsibility in CB&I's Treasury Department since joining the Company in 1998. Prior to his service with CB&I, Mr. Reyes held financial positions with a large manufacturing corporation and with several financial institutions. Specifically, he is qualified to be a Supervisory Director because of his financial adeptness and his knowledge of the Company's core business.

***Second Position***

*First Nominee*

*J. Charles Jennett*, 70, has served as a Supervisory Director of the Company since 1997. He is Chairman of the Supervisory Board's Nominating Committee and a member of the Organization and Compensation Committee and Corporate Governance Committee. Dr. Jennett served as President of Texas A&M International University from 1996 to 2001. Upon his retirement in 2001, he was bestowed the title of President Emeritus. From 1992 to 1996, he was Provost and Vice President of Academic Affairs at Clemson University. Dr. Jennett currently serves as a private engineering consultant. Specifically, he serves as a Supervisory Director because of his knowledge of the Company's core business, knowledge of international business, technological expertise, independence, and compatibility with existing Supervisory Board members, management, and company corporate culture.

*Second Nominee*

*Westley S. Stockton*, 40, has served as Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer since 2008. He previously served as Vice President, Financial Operations from 2006 to 2008. Mr. Stockton, a Certified Public Accountant, has worked for CB&I in various financial positions since 2002. Prior to joining CB&I, he worked for two large accounting firms in audit-related roles. Specifically, he is qualified to be a Supervisory Director because of his financial and accounting expertise and knowledge of the Company's core business.

**THE SUPERVISORY BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF MR. NEALE AND DR. JENNETT.**

**ITEM 2 ELECTION OF TWO MEMBERS OF THE SUPERVISORY BOARD TO SERVE UNTIL 2014**

As previously disclosed, the term of four Supervisory Directors will expire at the date of the Annual Meeting. However, in order to ensure compliance with the New York Stock Exchange rules related to the size of classes for classified boards, the Supervisory Board has determined that for this year, two of the Supervisory Director open positions will be elected for a two year term, and two of the Supervisory Director open positions will be elected for a three year term. The term of office of a member of the Supervisory Board expires automatically on the date of the annual general meeting of shareholders in the year following the year during which the director attains the age of 72.

As permitted under Dutch law and our Articles of Association, the Supervisory Board is authorized to make binding nominations of two candidates for each open position on the Supervisory Board, with the candidate receiving the greater number of votes being elected. The binding nature of the Supervisory Board's nomination may be overridden by a vote of two-thirds of the votes cast at the meeting if such two-thirds vote constitutes more than one-half of the issued share capital of the Company. In that case, shareholders would be free to cast their votes for persons other than those nominated below.

Two of the members of the Supervisory Board to be elected will serve until the general meeting of shareholders in 2014. The Supervisory Board has proposed the election of Mr. McVay and Mr. David King for the first of these open director positions, and Ms. Williams and Mr. Luke Scorsone for the second of these open director positions.

Based on the guidelines set forth above, the Supervisory Board has determined that neither Mr. McVay nor Ms. Williams has a material relationship with us and, if elected, each would be considered an independent member of the Supervisory Board. Mr. King and Mr. Scorsone were recommended by the Chief Executive Officer, are presently our employees and, if elected, would not be considered independent members of the Supervisory Board.

**Table of Contents**

The Supervisory Board is recommending the re-election of Mr. McVay and Ms. Williams to the Supervisory Board on the basis of their extensive professional and financial knowledge and experience, particularly their knowledge of and experience with the Company and its business gained by them in connection with the outstanding services they have provided to the Company to date as Supervisory Directors.

**The Following Nominations are Made for a Three-Year Term Expiring in 2014:**

***First Position***

*First Nominee*

*Larry D. McVay*, 63, has been a Supervisory Director since 2008 and is Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee and a member of the Audit Committee and Strategic Initiatives Committee. Mr. McVay has served as Managing Director of Edgewater Energy LLC since 2007 and worked 39 years for Amoco, BP and TNK-BP. Mr. McVay served as the Chief Operating Officer of TNK-BP in Moscow from 2003 until his retirement from BP in 2006. From 2000 to 2003, he held the position of Technology Vice President, Operations, and Vice President of Health, Safety and Environment for BP, based in London. Previously, Mr. McVay served in numerous senior level managerial positions for Amoco. Mr. McVay is currently on the Board of Directors of Callon Petroleum Company and Praxair. Mr. McVay also serves as a member of the Dean's Council of Texas Tech University's Engineering School. Specifically, he serves as a Supervisory Director because of his services as a chief operating officer of a division of a public company, knowledge of the energy industry, knowledge of international business, technological expertise, financial adeptness, ability to serve on the Supervisory Board for five years, independence and compatibility with existing Supervisory Board members, management and company corporate culture.

*Second Nominee*

*David L. King*, 59, has been Sector President of the Company's CB&I Lummus business sector since January 2010. Previously, he served as Group Vice President of CB&I's downstream operations from 2009 to 2010 and as Group Vice President of the Company's Europe, Africa and Middle East Operations from 2006 to 2008. Mr. King, who joined CB&I in 2000 through an acquisition, has more than 30 years of experience in the engineering and construction industry. Specifically, he is qualified to be a Supervisory Director because of his expertise and experience in the Company's core business.

***Second Position***

*First Nominee*

*Marsha C. Williams*, 60, has served as a Supervisory Director of the Company since 1997. She is a member of the Audit Committee, the Corporate Governance Committee and the Organization and Compensation Committee. Ms. Williams served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Orbitz Worldwide from July 2007 until December 31, 2010. From 2002 to 2007, she served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Equity Office Properties Trust, a public real estate investment trust. She served as Chief Administrative Officer of Crate & Barrel from 1998 to 2002, and as Treasurer of Amoco Corporation from 1993 to 1998. Ms. Williams is a director of Davis Funds, Modine Manufacturing Company, Inc. and Fifth Third Bancorp. Specifically, she serves as a Supervisory Director because of her knowledge of the energy industry, knowledge of international business, financial adeptness and human relations skills, ability to serve on the Supervisory Board for five years, independence and compatibility with existing Supervisory Board members, management and company corporate culture.

*Second Nominee*

*Luke V. Scorsone*, 55, has been Sector President of the CB&I Steel Plate Structures business sector since January 2010. Previously he served as Group Vice President of CB&I's upstream operations from 2009 to 2010, and as Group Vice President of CB&I's U.S. operations from 2006 to 2008. Mr. Scorsone, who joined the Company in 2000 through an acquisition, has 32 years of experience in the engineering and construction industry. Specifically, he is qualified to be a Supervisory Director because of his expertise and experience in the Company's core business.

**Table of Contents**

**THE SUPERVISORY BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF MR. MCVAY AND MS. WILLIAMS.**

Certain information with respect to the Supervisory Directors whose terms do not expire this year is as follows:

**Supervisory Directors to Continue in Office with Terms Expiring in 2013:**

*Michael L. Underwood*, 67, has served as a Supervisory Director since 2007 and is Chairman of the Audit Committee and a member of the Organization and Compensation Committee and the Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Underwood worked the majority of his 35-year career in public accounting at Arthur Andersen LLP, where he was a partner. He moved to Deloitte & Touche LLP as a director in 2002, retiring in 2003. He is currently a director and Chairman of the Audit Committee of Dresser-Rand Group. Specifically, he serves as a Supervisory Director because of his financial adeptness, experience with international companies and other companies in the EPC and technology industries, ability to serve on the Supervisory Board for five years, independence and compatibility with existing Supervisory Board members, management and company corporate culture.

**Supervisory Directors to Continue in Office with Terms Expiring in 2012:**

*Philip K. Asherman*, 60, has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of CB&I since 2006. He joined CB&I in 2001 as a senior executive and was promoted to Executive Vice President that same year, reporting directly to the Chairman and CEO. Mr. Asherman has more than 30 years experience in the engineering and construction industry. He also serves as an independent director on the Board of Directors of Arrow Electronics. Specifically, he serves as a Supervisory Director because of his service as chief executive officer of a public company, knowledge of the Company's core business, knowledge of international business, human relations skills, ability to serve on the Supervisory Board for five years, and compatibility with existing Supervisory Board members, management and company corporate culture.

*L. Richard Flury*, 63, has served as Non-Executive Chairman since 2010, as a Supervisory Director of the Company since 2003, and as a consultant to the Supervisory Board since 2002. He is Chairman of the Strategic Initiatives Committee and a member of the Corporate Governance Committee and the Nominating Committee. Previously, Mr. Flury served as Chief Executive, Gas and Power for BP plc from 1998 until his retirement in 2001. He served as Executive Vice President of Amoco, responsible for managing the exploration and production sector, from 1996 to 1998. Prior to that, he served in various other executive capacities with Amoco since 1988. Mr. Flury is also a director of Questar Corporation and Callon Petroleum Corporation. Specifically, he serves as a Supervisory Director because of his executive management in a public company, knowledge of the energy industry, knowledge of international business, financial adeptness, ability to serve on the Supervisory Board for five years, independence and compatibility with the existing Supervisory Board members, management and company corporate culture.

*W. Craig Kissel*, 60, has served as a Supervisory Director since May 2009 and is a member of the Organization and Compensation Committee, Corporate Governance Committee and Nominating Committee. He worked for Trane/American Standard from 1980 until his retirement in 2008, most recently as President of Trane Commercial Systems, a leading supplier of air conditioning and heating systems. From 1998 through 2003, he was President of American Standard's Vehicle Control Systems business in Brussels, Belgium. Prior to that, he held various management positions at Trane, including Executive Vice President and Group Executive of Trane's North American Unitary Products business. From 2001 to 2008, Mr. Kissel served as Chairman of American Standard's Corporate Ethics and Integrity Council responsible for developing the company's ethical business standards. He is currently a director of Global Tube Form. Specifically, he serves as a Supervisory Director because of his service as a division president of a public company, knowledge of international business, technological expertise, ability to serve on the



Supervisory Board for five years, independence and compatibility with existing Supervisory Board members, management and company corporate culture.

**Table of Contents**

**COMMON STOCK OWNERSHIP BY CERTAIN PERSONS AND MANAGEMENT**

**Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners**

We are unaware, based in part on information from filings made with the SEC pursuant to Section 13(d) or 13(g) of the Exchange Act, of any person (including any group as that term is used in Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act) who is the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our issued common shares (based on 99,889,667 shares outstanding as of March 10, 2011).

**Executive Officers**

*Philip K. Asherman*, 60, has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of CB&I since 2006. He joined CB&I in 2001 as a senior executive and was promoted to Executive Vice President that same year, reporting directly to the Chairman and CEO. Mr. Asherman has more than 30 years experience in the engineering and construction industry.

*Beth A. Bailey*, 59, has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Administration Officer since January 2009. Ms. Bailey joined CB&I in 1972, serving in positions of increasing responsibility, most recently as Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer from 2007 to 2009, and as Vice President of Information Technology from 1999 to 2007.

*Ronald A. Ballschmiede*, 55, has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since 2006. Prior to joining CB&I, he was a partner with Deloitte & Touche LLP from 2002 to 2006. Previously, he was a partner with another large accounting firm, where he led the financial statements audits for a number of major manufacturing and construction companies.

*Daniel M. McCarthy*, 60, has served as President, Lummus Technology since January 2009. He joined CB&I through the ABB Lummus acquisition in 2007 and served as Executive Vice President, Lummus Technology from 2007 to 2009. Prior to the acquisition, he was an Executive Vice President of Lummus, the predecessor company.

*Lasse Petterson*, 54, has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer since February 2009. Previously, Mr. Petterson was CEO of Gearbulk (UK) Limited, an operator of gantry craned vessels from 2006 to 2009. Mr. Petterson has 30 years experience in the engineering and construction industry serving in a variety of international executive and operations assignments.

*Edgar C. Ray*, 50, has served as Executive Vice President, Corporate Planning since 2007. He joined CB&I in 2003, serving as Senior Vice President, Global Marketing until 2007. Prior to joining CB&I, Mr. Ray was Executive Director of Strategy and Marketing for a large engineering and construction company.

*Westley S. Stockton*, 40, has served as Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer since 2008. He previously served as Vice President, Financial Operations from 2006 to 2008. Mr. Stockton, a Certified Public Accountant, has worked for CB&I in various financial positions since 2002. Prior to joining CB&I, he worked for two large accounting firms in audit-related roles.

**Table of Contents****Security Ownership of Our Management**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding common shares beneficially owned on March 10, 2011 by (1) each Supervisory Director, (2) each nominee to be a Supervisory Director, (3) each named executive officer and (4) all directors and the executive officers identified on page 14 as a group.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(1)	Percentage of Shares Owned
Philip K. Asherman	847,731	*
Beth A. Bailey	103,540	*
Ronald A. Ballschmiede	212,342	*
David A. Delman(2)	83,249	*
L. Richard Flury	55,242	*
J. Charles Jennett	40,200	*
David L. King	53,870	*
W. Craig Kissel	4,671	*
Daniel M. McCarthy	90,532	*
Larry D. McVay	8,800	*
Gary L. Neale	51,450	*
Lasse Petterson	86,872	*
Luciano Reyes	42,652	*
Luke V. Scorsone	82,268	*
Westley S. Stockton	30,116	*
Michael L. Underwood	12,836	*
Marsha C. Williams	49,387	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (14) in number	1,668,157	1.67%

\* Beneficially owns less than one percent of our outstanding common shares.

(1) Shares deemed beneficially owned include (i) shares held by immediate family members and (ii) shares that can be acquired through stock options exercisable through May 4, 2011.

(2) As of October 11, 2010.

**Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our Supervisory Directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of our common shares to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of common shares (Forms 3, 4 and 5) with the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange. All such persons are required by SEC regulation to furnish us with copies of all such forms that they file.

To our knowledge, based solely on our review of the copies of such reports received by us and on written representations by certain reporting persons that no reports on Form 5 were required to be filed by them, we believe that during the year ended December 31, 2010, our Supervisory Directors, executive officers and 10% shareholders

complied with all Section 16(a) requirements applicable to them.

**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION  
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis ( CD&A ) is provided to assist our shareholders in understanding the compensation awarded, earned by, or paid to the Company s executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table (the named executive officers ) during 2010. In addition, the CD&A is intended to put into perspective for our shareholders the compensation tables on pages 27 through 39 and the narrative information that

## **Table of Contents**

accompanies them. This year, our shareholders should also consider this information in connection with Item 3, the Advisory (Non-Binding) Vote on Executive Compensation, discussed on pages 40 and 41 of this Proxy Statement.

Our executive compensation structure strongly emphasizes pay for performance and at-risk compensation. The major elements are:

Base salary;

Annual cash incentives, based on having to meet specific financial and non-financial performance targets;

Restricted stock, which aligns our executives' interests with those of our shareholders in value creation, while also serving retention purposes; and

Performance shares, which only have value to the extent specific financial metrics are achieved.

In 2010, 85% of the total compensation of our chief executive officer was incentive and stock based compensation; and on average was 77% for our other named executive officers as of December 31, 2010. As stated in the Risk Analysis section below, we believe our compensation practices mitigate against excessive risk-taking and are consistent with market practices and the interests of our shareholders.

The first part of this discussion describes the primary objectives of our compensation programs and what they are designed to reward. Following that, we describe the key elements of our compensation and why we have selected those elements of compensation. Finally, we describe how we determine the form and amount of each compensation element to meet our compensation objectives and support our business objectives.

### **Compensation Objectives, Process and Peer Group**

*Objectives.* We are committed to increasing shareholder value by profitably growing our business in the global marketplace. Our compensation policies and practices are intended to support this commitment by attracting and retaining employees who can manage this growth and rewarding them for profitably growing the Company and achieving the Company's other short and long-term business objectives. We especially want to focus our executive officers (and the others in our management team) on improving financial performance over both the short term and long term, while appropriately balancing risk.

We must compete with a wide variety of construction, engineering, heavy industrial, process technology and related firms in order to engage, develop and retain a pool of talented employees. To meet this competition, we compensate our executive officers at competitive pay levels while emphasizing performance-based compensation. Our specific objectives are to have:

Programs that will attract new talent and retain key people at reasonable cost to us;

A significant focus on pay for performance;

Equity compensation and ownership requirements for top managers to motivate value creation for all shareholders;

Incentives that emphasize our business objectives of high growth and strong execution without encouraging excessive risk-taking; and

Compensation arrangements that can be easily understood by our employees and shareholders.

*Setting Our Executive Compensation.* The decisions on compensation for our executive officers are made by the Organization and Compensation Committee. Our management makes recommendations to the Organization and Compensation Committee on compensation for executive officers' base salary and the opportunity, metrics and targets of our annual cash incentive compensation and our long-term equity awards. These include recommendations by our CEO on the compensation of his direct reports. The Organization and Compensation Committee considers these recommendations in executive session and approves or modifies those recommendations. The Organization and Compensation Committee then determines the compensation for our CEO.

As part of this process, the Organization and Compensation Committee regularly receives independent advice and recommendations from Meridian, which serves as the Organization and Compensation Committee's executive

**Table of Contents**

compensation consultants. Meridian's role is described in more detail under "Committees of the Supervisory Board Organization and Compensation Committee - Compensation Consultants" on page 8.

The Organization and Compensation Committee normally determines base salary and annual incentive compensation opportunities for executive officers for the coming year at its regularly scheduled December meeting. Using the findings and conclusions of the Company's strategic planning process together with assessment of other data, management develops its business plan for the following year. The business plan is then presented to the Supervisory Board at its regularly scheduled February meeting in that following year. Taking into account the Company's long-term strategy and annual business plan, the Organization and Compensation Committee determines annual incentive compensation performance targets, and our long-term equity awards and their relevant performance expectations, for awards granted in that year for executive officers at the February meeting. The Organization and Compensation Committee also at its regularly scheduled February meeting determines the annual incentive compensation amounts earned for the previous year, retaining discretion as to the final incentive compensation determinations. The Organization and Compensation Committee may set salary and grant cash incentive awards and equity awards for executive officers at other times to reflect promotions, new hires or other changes.

*Our Targets and Benchmarks.* We set each of base salary, annual incentive compensation and long-term incentives separately in light of our evaluation of the competitive situation, the executive officer's performance and experience, and the levels of those compensation elements for a comparator group of companies. That process determines the mix of base salary, annual cash incentives and long-term incentives for each of our executives. It also determines the mix of cash and stock compensation, since it is our normal practice to pay base salary and annual incentive compensation in cash and we regularly pay long-term incentives in stock, to further align our executives' interests with those of our shareholders. We then tally the resulting total compensation (including benefits) to confirm that it is appropriate for the position or make adjustments accordingly.

Our general policy is to target executive officers' base salary and annual incentive compensation to be at about the size-adjusted median (50th percentile) level of our comparator companies. Because of our focus on equity-based compensation to align our executive officers' interests with those of our shareholders, our general policy is to target long-term incentive compensation at about the 60th percentile of our comparator companies. Meridian has advised us that within the group of comparator companies, those companies that are our direct competitors in the engineering and construction (E&C) field tend to have higher compensation levels for executive officer positions than the levels of the comparator group as a whole. Therefore, we also may consider the 50th and 60th percentile levels of our direct competitors in evaluating the competitiveness of our compensation. These benchmarks apply to our executive officers on average as a group. An individual executive's salary, annual incentive opportunity and long-term incentives may be above or below these benchmarks depending on specific position factors.

We also review our benefit package and consider the practices of comparable companies for specific types of benefits. Data provided by Meridian indicates that the nature and value of the benefits we provide are competitive with those offered by our comparator companies.

*Our Comparator Companies.* Using competitive market data provided by Meridian, we compare our compensation levels for our senior management, including the named executive officers, to compensation for comparable positions at other public companies that have international business operations. A majority of these companies are our direct competitors in the E&C field. Some others of these companies are similar-size manufacturing and service companies operating in the same geographic areas and competing for management employees in the same areas of expertise as we do. At companies larger than ours, we look at the compensation provided to officers in charge of divisions or operations similar in size and business to us. Meridian's competitive market data for the comparator companies is subject to a regression analysis that adjusts that data to the size of our Company and the scope of the executives' responsibilities. Meridian also advised that for our direct competitors in the E&C industry, comparative compensation

data historically has ranged from approximately 5% to 15% higher for selected positions as measured against the non-E&C comparators.

The Organization and Compensation Committee reviews and approves the selection of comparator companies based on their size, business, and presence in our geographic area. The list of comparator companies that we use



**Table of Contents**

may change from year to year based on Meridian's recommendations and our Organization and Compensation Committee's evaluation of those factors. For 2010, we used the following comparator companies:

AECOM Technology Corporation  
AMEC  
BJ Services Company LLC  
CH2M Hill Companies LTD  
Cooper Industries Ltd  
Donaldson Co Inc.  
Dover Corporation  
Flowserve Corporation  
Fluor Corporation  
FMC Technologies Inc.  
Foster Wheeler Inc.  
Granite Construction Inc.  
Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.  
KBR Inc.  
Kennametal Inc.  
Martin Marietta Materials Inc.  
Mastec Inc.  
McDermott Intl Inc.  
Quanta Services Inc.  
Shaw Group Inc.  
The Timken Company  
Tutor Perini Corporation  
URS Corporation  
USG Corporation  
Vulcan Materials Company  
Worley Parsons

**Elements of Our Compensation**

The four key elements of our executive officers' compensation are:

Base salary;

Incentive compensation;

Long-term incentive compensation; and

Benefits.

***Base Salary***

Base salaries provide an underlying level of compensation security to executives and allow us to attract competent executive talent and maintain a stable management team. Base salaries reflect the executive's position and role, with some variation for individual factors such as experience and performance. Base salary increases allow executives to be rewarded for individual performance and increased experience based on our evaluation process (described later). Base salary increases for individual performance also reward executives for achieving goals that may not be immediately

evident in common financial measurements.

***Incentive Compensation***

*Performance-Based Annual Incentive Compensation.* Performance-based incentive compensation gives our executives an opportunity for cash compensation tied to the performance of the Company as well as the individual. Our executives are rewarded for meeting target short-term (annual) corporate goals and personal performance

**Table of Contents**

metrics. The executive officers' incentive compensation opportunity recognizes their senior-level responsibilities and duties and the competitive environment in which we must recruit and retain our senior management.

Our Incentive Compensation Program sets the terms for awarding cash incentives to our executive officers (and other management employees). Our shareholders last approved the Incentive Compensation Program at our 2010 annual meeting. Our performance-based annual incentive compensation amounts depend on the Company's performance against predetermined target objectives. As described above, considering the Company's annual business plan, we set these targets annually at the regularly scheduled February meeting of our Organization and Compensation Committee. We describe in more detail below the applicable performance measures and goals for fiscal year awards and why these performance measures and goals are chosen. Incentive compensation can be earned each year and is payable after the end of the year.

*Fixed or Discretionary Incentives.* In addition to performance-based incentives, we can pay fixed or discretionary incentives and we may on occasion pay pre-established minimum incentives. We do this when we need to compensate newly-hired executive officers for forfeiture of incentive compensation (or other awards) from their prior employer when they join the Company, or to provide a minimum cash incentive for an executive officer's first year of employment before his or her efforts are fully reflected in Company performance, or, in some circumstances, to encourage retention.

***Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation***

Because of our focus on pay for performance, various forms of other incentive compensation are major elements of pay for our executive officers.

*Long-Term Incentive Plan.* We grant equity awards to our senior managers (including our executive officers) under our 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan ( 2008 LTIP ). Our shareholders approved the 2008 LTIP at our 2008 annual meeting, and approved an amendment to the 2008 LTIP at our 2009 annual meeting. The 2008 LTIP allows us to award long-term compensation in the form of:

Performance shares paying out a variable number of shares depending on goal achievement;

Performance units which involve cash payments based on either the value of the shares or appreciation in the price of the shares upon achievement of specific goals;

Restricted stock shares;

Restricted stock units;

Non-qualified options to purchase shares of Company common stock; and

Qualified incentive stock options to purchase shares of Company common stock.

We cover later in this CD&A how competitive recruiting conditions and the business cycle affect which form of award is granted and the amount of the award.

*Performance Shares.* Performance shares are an award of a variable number of shares. The number of performance shares actually earned and issued to the individual depends on Company performance in meeting prescribed annual goals over a three-year period, consistent with the Company's strategic plan. Performance shares are issued and the award has value only to the extent the performance goals are achieved. Performance goals serve the same objectives

of creating long-term shareholder value as is the case with stock options, with an additional focus on specific financial performance metrics, usually stated as target earnings per share. In addition, performance shares may be less dilutive of shareholder interests than options of equivalent economic value. We do not pay dividend equivalents on performance shares except during the period, if any, after the shares have been earned by performance but before they are actually issued.

Although the LTIP allows us to grant performance units payable in cash, we have not done so to date. We believe that payment of performance shares (and indeed all of our long-term incentive compensation) in stock is desirable to give our senior managers (including our executive officers) a continued general alignment with the interests of our shareholders.

## **Table of Contents**

*Restricted Stock.* Restricted stock represents the right of the participant to vest in shares of stock upon lapse of restrictions. Restricted stock awards are subject to forfeiture during the period of restriction. Depending on the terms of the award, restricted stock may vest over a period of time subject only to the condition that the executive remains an employee ( time vesting ), or may be subject to additional conditions, such as the Company meeting target performance goals ( performance vesting ), or both.

Restricted stock is an incentive for retention and performance of both newly hired and continuing executive officers and other key managers. Unlike options, restricted stock retains some value even if the price declines. Because restricted stock is based on and payable in stock, it serves, like options, to reinforce the alignment of interest between our executives and our shareholders. In addition, because restricted stock has a current value that is forfeited if an executive quits, it provides a significant retention incentive.

Under our LTIP, restricted stock can be either actual shares of stock issued to the participant, subject to transfer restrictions and the possibility of forfeiture until vested ( restricted stock shares ), or it can be a Company promise to transfer the fully vested stock in the future if and when the restrictions lapse ( restricted stock units ). Because of technical tax issues related to the ability to obtain a credit against the Netherlands dividends withholding tax on issued but unvested shares, we usually grant restricted stock in the form of restricted stock units.

During the restriction period, dividend equivalents corresponding to the amount of actual dividends, if any, paid on outstanding shares of common stock, are credited and accumulated and paid at the same time and on the same basis as the underlying restricted stock. For 2010, no dividends were paid or declared.

*Options.* Stock options represent the opportunity to purchase shares of our stock at a fixed price at a future date. Our LTIP requires that the per-share exercise price of our options not be less than the fair market value of a share on the date of grant. (See the discussion on pages 24 and 25 below regarding how we determine fair market value.) This means that our stock options have value for our executives only if the stock price appreciates from the date the options are granted. This design focuses our executives on increasing the value of our stock over the long term, consistent with shareholders' interests. Although our LTIP allows us to grant incentive stock options, all the options we have granted have been non-qualified options.

Prior to 2008, awards of performance shares and restricted stock provided for the grant of nonqualified stock options ( retention options ) upon the vesting of those awards in order to give our senior managers (including our executive officers) an incentive to retain those vested shares. These retention options themselves become vested and exercisable on the seventh anniversary of date of retention option grant. However, this vesting and exercisability is accelerated to the third anniversary of date of retention option grant if the individual still retains ownership of the shares that vested (apart from shares withheld for taxes or interfamily financial planning transfers) in connection with the related performance share or restricted stock award.

Retention options covered 40% of the number of shares that vest under such grants. This percentage was intended to make the retention option grant significant enough to motivate the retention of the underlying restricted stock or performance shares. It also approximated the percentage of restricted stock or performance shares that were withheld on vesting to pay income taxes.

No retention options accompanied the grants of performance and restricted share awards in 2008 or later. However, performance share and restricted stock awards granted before 2008 may carry rights to retention options which will be granted and be outstanding in accordance with their terms.

## ***Benefits***

In general, we cover executive officers under the benefit programs described below to provide them with the opportunity to save for retirement and to provide a safety net of protection against the loss of income or increase in expense that can result from termination of employment, illness, disability, or death. Apart from change-of-control arrangements, the benefits we offer to our executive officers are generally the same as those we offer to our salaried employees, with some variation based on industry practices.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Retirement Benefits.**

*401(k) Plan.* We maintain a 401(k) plan (the 401(k) Plan ), a tax qualified defined contribution plan, for eligible employees, including but not limited to our executive officers. The plan offers a voluntary pretax salary deferral feature under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code ); a dollar-for-dollar Company matching contribution up to 3% of a participating employee s considered earnings; a basic additional Company contribution of 5% of each participating employee s considered earnings; and an additional discretionary Company savings plan contribution. The Company is making an additional 1% discretionary contribution for 2010 for all eligible employees. The plan allocates Company contributions to participants accounts according to the 401(k) Plan formulas. Participants can invest their accounts in any of a selection of mutual funds, plus a Company stock fund, offered under the Plan.

*Excess and Deferred Compensation Plans.* The Code limits tax-advantaged benefits for highly compensated employees under the 401(k) Plan in several ways: nondiscrimination rules that restrict their deferrals and matching contributions based on the average deferrals and matching contributions of non-highly compensated employees; limits on the total dollar amount of additional contributions for any employee; limits on the total annual amount of elective deferrals; and a limit on the considered earnings used to determine benefits under the 401(k) Plan.

We maintain an excess benefit plan (the Excess Plan ) to provide retirement benefits for our senior managers (including our executive officers) on the same basis, in proportion to pay, as we provide retirement benefits to all our salaried employees generally. Therefore, we contribute to the Excess Plan the difference between the amount that would have been contributed by the Company to the participants 401(k) Plan accounts but for the Code limitations, and the contributions by the Company actually made to their 401(k) Plan accounts. We make contributions for the Excess Plan to a so-called rabbi trust, with an independent trustee. Earnings on these contributions are determined by participants designation of investment funds from the same group of funds (other than the Company stock fund) that is available under the 401(k) Plan. Participants can invest their accounts in any of a selection of mutual funds offered under the Excess Plan.

We also maintain a deferred compensation plan (the Deferred Compensation Plan ). This allows our senior managers (including our executive officers) to defer part of their salary and part or all of their cash incentive compensation. These deferrals are paid upon retirement or other termination of employment or other scheduled events as elected by the participant. These deferrals are also held in a rabbi trust. Earnings on these deferrals are determined by participants designation of investment funds from the same group of funds (other than the Company stock fund) that are available under the 401(k) Plan and the Excess Plan.

We do not have any defined benefit, actuarial or supplemental executive retirement arrangements for our executive officers or any other U.S. salaried employees.

### **Change-of-Control Severance Agreements.**

We have change-of-control severance agreements with our CEO and his executive officer direct reports. These agreements are intended to assure the retention and performance of executives if a change of control of the Company is pending or threatened. These agreements are designed to reduce the distraction of our executive officers that might otherwise arise from the personal uncertainties caused by a change of control, to encourage the executive s full attention and dedication to the Company, and to provide the executive with compensation and benefits following a change of control that are consistent with general industry best practices. We describe these agreements in detail beginning on page 33.

### **Employee Stock Purchase Plan.**

We maintain an employee stock purchase plan (the Stock Purchase Plan ) intended to qualify under Section 423 of the Code. The Company adopted the Stock Purchase Plan to give eligible employees the opportunity to buy Company stock in a tax-effective manner and thus help align their interests with those of our shareholders generally. Under the Stock Purchase Plan, employees, including executive officers, electing to participate are granted an option to purchase shares on a specified future date. The purchase price is 85% of the fair market value of such shares on the date of purchase. During specified periods preceding the purchase date, each participating



**Table of Contents**

employee can designate up to 8% of pay (up to a limit of \$25,000 per calendar year) to be withheld and used to purchase as many shares as such funds allow at the discounted purchase price.

**Other Benefits.**

Our executive officers receive other benefits that we provide to our salaried employees generally. These are:

Medical benefits (including post-retirement medical benefits for employees who retire);

Group term life insurance; and

Short-term and long-term disability protection.

We also provide miscellaneous personal benefits to our senior managers (including our executive officers). These may include:

Leased automobiles or automobile allowance, which facilitate travel on Company business;

Club dues, where the club enhances opportunities to meet and network with prospective customers and other business leaders;

Annual physical examinations, to promote good health;

Services to provide effective tax and financial planning; and

Travel and temporary housing expenses to those who have relocated in connection with their employment.

In addition, we have given Messrs. Asherman and Ballschmiede an additional five years of service credit toward retirement eligibility (which is generally attaining age 55 with 10 years of service). Termination of employment by retirement entitles our eligible employees, including our executive officers, to post-retirement medical benefits under our current plan and, subject to the schedule set forth in the particular award and/or approval of the Organization and Compensation Committee, to post-retirement vesting in certain equity awards plus an extended time to exercise stock options. Messrs. Asherman and Ballschmiede joined us relatively late in their careers. This means that they lost potential retirement benefits for which they might have become eligible from their prior employers, but might not have 10 years of service with the Company at the time they or the Company might want to terminate their employment. The additional service credit is intended to place them in approximately the same position for retirement benefit eligibility as peer executive officers of the same general age.

**DETERMINING THE FORM AND AMOUNT OF COMPENSATION  
ELEMENTS TO MEET OUR COMPENSATION OBJECTIVES**

**Base Salaries**

We target base salaries for our senior managers, including our executive officers, at the median of salaries for comparable officer positions at comparator companies. The Organization and Compensation Committee sets the salaries of our executive officers above or below that target based on differences in individual performance, experience and knowledge, and our comparison of the responsibilities and importance of the position with us to the responsibilities and importance of similar positions at comparator companies. We also consider internal equity within our Company and, when reviewing salary of current officers, their current compensation from the Company.

In evaluating performance, we consider the executive's efforts in promoting our values, including, for example, safety; continuing educational and management training; improving quality; developing strong relationships with clients, suppliers, and employees; and demonstrating leadership abilities among coworkers, among other goals.

Base salaries for our named executive officers for 2010 fell within a few percentage points above or below the 50th percentile market value identified for the position by Meridian in their 2010 comparator companies compensation review.

**Table of Contents****Incentive Compensation**

*Annual Incentive Compensation.* For 2010, a target incentive compensation amount was established for each named executive officer as a percentage of his or her base salary. This target was determined after consideration of target incentive compensation among our comparator companies so as to be at about the median (50th percentile) level as identified by Meridian in its compensation review. The 2010 performance measures for annual incentive compensation amounts for senior managers generally (including our named executive officers) were set and communicated to the executives in February 2010, based on our annual operating plan, after discussion and analysis of the Company's business plans, including our principal operating sectors, and approval by the Supervisory Board. Payment of incentive compensation is based on attaining specific corporate-wide financial and/or non-financial performance measures approved by the Organization and Compensation Committee.

For 2010, the potential incentive compensation award for our executive officers and our participating senior managers was determined by target levels and relative weighting of a matrix of performance measures. The performance measures and weighting are selected by the Organization and Compensation Committee to incentivize the accomplishment of key elements of the Company's business plan for the year (and therefore may change from year to year), and the targets for the performance goals reflect performance that is expected to be achievable according to the plan. The degree to which the various measures are accomplished, times the percentage relative weighting of that measure, establishes a percentage, ranging from 0% to 200% (250% in the case of the EPS measure) of the individual's target incentive compensation (established as a percentage of salary) that may be paid as incentive compensation. However, the maximum available incentive compensation for our executive officers is limited to 200% of the individual's target incentive compensation. For 2010, those measures and targets, and their actual achievements, were as follows:

Earnings per share, constituting 40% of the weighting, with goals of \$1.10 per share minimum (0%), \$1.72 target (100%), and \$1.95 maximum (250%), achieved at a level of \$2.04/share for a percentage contribution of 100%;

New awards, constituting 20% of the weighting, with goals of \$3.5 billion minimum (0%), \$4.374 billion target (100%), and \$5.1 billion maximum (200%), achieved at a level of \$3.361 billion for a contribution of 0%;

Free cash flow, constituting 20% of the weighting, with goals of \$50 million minimum (0%), \$150 million target (100%), and \$250 million maximum (200%), achieved at a level of \$329.8 million for a contribution of 40%;

Ethics (measured by unresolved exceptions) constituting 10% of the weighting, with goals of any exceptions (0%), and no exceptions (100%), achieved at the level of no exceptions for a contribution of 10%; and

Safety (measured by lost workday rate and recordables rates, each constituting 5% of the weighting), with goals for lost workday rate of more than 0.08 minimum (0%), 0.08 target (100%), and 0.05 maximum (200%) and a recordables rate of more than 0.34 minimum (0%), 0.34 target (100%) and 0.26 maximum (200%), achieved at levels of 0.02 and 0.20 respectively, for a contribution of 20%.

The overall weighted achievement percentage of 170%, times the target incentive as a percentage of salary, times base salary, yields the dollar figures for each named executive officer shown in column (g) of the Summary Compensation Table.

*Discretion.* Our Organization and Compensation Committee may reduce, but not increase, incentive awards to our executive officers notwithstanding the achievement of specific performance targets. In deciding whether or not to reduce incentive awards and in what amount, the Organization and Compensation Committee may consider, among other things, the Company's performance in areas not reflected in the stated performance measures, and the officer's individual performance in light of individual goals and objectives. The Organization and Compensation Committee did not exercise this discretion respecting any named executive officers for 2010.

**Table of Contents****Long-Term Incentive Awards**

*Our Objectives.* In keeping with our commitment to provide a total compensation package that favors equity components of pay, long-term incentives traditionally have comprised a significant portion of an executive's total compensation package. Our objective is to provide executives with long-term incentive award opportunities that are at about the 60th percentile of our comparator companies (taking into account the somewhat higher levels among our actual competitor companies within the comparator company group, as discussed above on page 18), with the actual realization of the opportunity dependent on the degree of achieving the financial performance or other conditions of the award and the creation of long-term value for shareholders.

*Our Procedures.* We generally make our long-term incentive awards at the regularly scheduled meeting of our Organization and Compensation Committee in February of each year. By this time, we normally have our results for the previous year and our annual operating plan for the current year and we are able to set targets and goals for the current year for any performance based-awards we may grant. Making our long-term incentive awards early in the year lets our executives know what the criteria are for any performance-based long-term incentive awards so they can keep those goals in mind going forward.

*Selecting the Type of Award(s).* Our long-term incentive awards emphasize performance share grants and restricted stock units instead of options. The use of full value shares emphasizes creating long-term shareholder value, reducing shareholder dilution compared to options, effectively managing the financial cost of equity incentives, providing targeted performance incentives (through performance shares) and providing appropriate retention incentives. The actual choice among options, performance shares and restricted stock depends on business conditions and the competitive market for executive talent. These are subject to change periodically, and consequently so is the form of our long-term equity awards.

In 2010, our long-term incentive awards for senior officers were a combination of restricted stock and performance shares. The restricted stock vests 25% per year over a four-year period. The performance shares vest 33 1/3% per year over a three-year period provided performance targets are met. The performance share targets depend upon meeting prescribed annual goals over a three year period. For senior management (including our named executive officers other than Mr. Asherman), the awards in 2010 were structured to provide 50% in value in the form of restricted stock and 50% in value in the form of performance shares. The combination of awards was structured to provide a meaningful retention incentive while giving management both downside risk and upside potential respecting their awards. For Mr. Asherman, in light of his overall responsibility for the Company and to put more of his total compensation at risk based on specific performance factors beyond the stock price, his award is structured to provide 40% in value in the form of restricted stock and 60% in value in the form of performance shares. These awards for 2010 are shown in column (e) of the Summary Compensation Table on page 27; and the same awards for 2010 are shown in more detail in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 28.

*Determining the Amount of Award(s).* When awarding long-term incentives, we consider each executive officer's levels of responsibility, prior experience, historical award data, various performance criteria and compensation practices at our comparator companies. Applying these factors to our benchmark gives us a target dollar value for executive officer long-term incentive awards. These awards are recommended and approved in the form of this target dollar value. Upon approval of this value and the vehicle for the award by our Organization and Compensation Committee, this dollar value is converted into a number of shares (or options, depending on the form of the award) based on the closing price of the Company's stock on the date of the Organization and Compensation Committee meeting which approves the award. This conversion is made through pricing models developed and applied in consultation with Meridian. It gives us a number of shares (or options), subject to rounding, that makes the fair market value of the award equal to the approved dollar amount.

The pricing model we use for this conversion is a Black-Scholes model for stock options, or similar pricing model for other types of awards. The model and the assumptions for the model may differ from those used to determine the grant date fair market value of the award under FASB ASC Topic 718, which is the value reported in the tables on pages 27 through 39. For our grants of restricted stock for February 2010, taking into account the advice of our compensation consultants, we applied an economic value of \$21.02/share to convert the dollar amount of the pro forma awards to stock. This was derived by discounting the grant date closing price of \$22.10/share to reflect the risk of forfeiture. For our grants of performance shares we applied an economic value of \$20.48/share to

## **Table of Contents**

convert the dollar amount of the pro forma awards to stock to reflect the risk of forfeiture and risk of performance. The specific grants for our named executive officers are shown in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 28, giving the number of shares and the value in dollars.

*Results.* As noted above, performance shares vest 33 1/3% per year over a three-year period provided performance targets are met. For minimum performance, 50% of the number of shares vest (and the remainder are forfeited), for target performance 100% of the number of shares vest, and for maximum performance up to 200% of the number of shares vest. The performance measure is EPS, which for 2010 was achieved at \$2.04/share. For the performance shares granted in 2010, the \$2.04/share EPS exceeded the EPS target for maximum performance (\$1.95/share) resulting in vesting, based on 2010 performance, of 200% of target shares. For the performance shares granted in 2009, the \$2.04/share EPS exceeded the EPS target for maximum performance (\$1.75/share) resulting in vesting, based on 2010 performance, of 200% of target shares. For the performance shares granted in 2008, the \$2.04/share EPS fell short of the minimum performance EPS threshold for 2010 and the portion of that grant that might have vested was forfeited.

*Determining Option Timing and Exercise Price.* As discussed above, our LTIP requires that the exercise price for any option must be at least equal to 100% of the fair market value of a share on the date the option is granted. It specifies that the date an option is granted is the day on which the Organization and Compensation Committee acts to award a specific number of shares to a participant at a specific exercise price. In addition, the LTIP stipulates that fair market value is the closing sale price of shares of Company common stock on the principal securities exchange on which they are traded. We follow these requirements in setting the exercise price, which is therefore the grant date closing price.

In the case of retention options, the exercise price is set automatically at the fair market value (closing price) of the stock on the date the retention option was automatically granted, which is the date that the related restricted stock or performance shares vest, which in turn is normally an anniversary of the date the restricted stock was originally granted or the performance shares were earned.

*Target Total Compensation.* For 2010, the target total compensation (base salary plus target annual incentive compensation and LTIP amounts) for each of our named executive officers fell within a few percentage points above or below the market value identified by Meridian in their comparator companies compensation review.

*Discretionary Awards.* The Organization and Compensation Committee can make discretionary awards, and did so to recognize outstanding personal achievement of selected executive officers in 2010. This is reflected in column (d) of the Summary Compensation Table on page 27.

## **Other Matters**

*Adjustment or Recovery of Payments.* We adopted a formal policy for recovering, at the direction of the Organization and Compensation Committee in its sole discretion, all or any portion of incentive payments (or in the case of a stock award, the value realized by sale of the stock) that are negatively affected by any restatement of the Company's financial statements as a result of misconduct or fraud. For this purpose, misconduct or fraud includes any circumstance where the forfeiture of an award is required by law, and any other circumstance where the Organization and Compensation Committee determines in its sole discretion that the individual (i) personally and knowingly engaged in practices that materially contributed to material noncompliance with any financial reporting requirement, or (ii) had knowledge of such material noncompliance or the circumstances giving rise to such noncompliance and failed to take reasonable steps to bring it to the attention of the appropriate individuals within the Company. Requirements of law include Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, under which, if the Company's financials must be restated as a result of misconduct, then our CEO and CFO must repay incentive compensation, equity based compensation, and stock sale profits if received during the 12-month period following the initial filing of the financial

statements that required restatement.

*Tax, Accounting and Regulatory Considerations.* We take tax, accounting, and regulatory requirements into consideration in choosing the particular elements of our compensation and in the procedures we use to set and pay those elements. As discussed above in connection with setting the type of long-term incentive awards, the financial



**Table of Contents**

statement presentation of options compared to other equity awards played a part in our selection of long-term equity compensation vehicles.

We want to pay compensation in the most tax-effective manner reasonably possible and therefore also take tax considerations into account. As discussed above under Elements of our Compensation, our decision to provide restricted stock in the form of restricted stock units rather than restricted stock shares is based on the interplay between The Netherlands taxes and applicable tax credits.

We also consider the requirements of Sections 162(m) and 409A of the Code. Section 162(m) provides that payments of compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 annually to a covered employee (the CEO and each of the three-highest paid executive officers other than the CFO) will not be deductible for purposes of U.S. corporate income taxes unless it is performance based compensation and is paid pursuant to a plan and procedures meeting certain requirements of the Code. Our Incentive Compensation Program and LTIP are designed in a form so that eligible performance based payments under those plans can qualify as deductible performance-based compensation. Since we want to promote, recognize and reward performance which increases shareholder value, we rely heavily on performance-based compensation programs which will normally meet the requirements for performance-based compensation under Section 162(m). However, we pay compensation that does not satisfy the requirements of Section 162(m) where we believe that it is in the best overall interests of the Company.

Section 409A provides that deferred compensation (including certain forms of equity awards) is subject to additional income tax and interest unless it is paid pursuant to a plan and procedures meeting certain requirements of the Code. Our Incentive Compensation Program, LTIP, Deferred Compensation Plan, Excess Plan, and change of control severance agreements have been reviewed and revised to conform to these new requirements.

*Stock Ownership Guidelines.* In 2005, in consultation with Meridian's predecessor, we adopted stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers requiring that they hold certain amounts of our stock. They are:

CEO	Five times base salary
Executive Vice Presidents	Three times base salary
Vice Presidents	One times base salary

Based on industry practice, there is a specified five-year period for our executives to meet the stock ownership targets from the date of appointment to the executive position, with periodic progress reporting to the Organization and Compensation Committee. As of December 31, 2010, all named executive officers met our stock ownership guidelines.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION TABLES**

The following tables summarize the total compensation paid or earned by each of the named executive officers for the year ended December 31, 2010. We have not entered into any employment agreements with any of the named executive officers. The performance-based conditions and criteria for determining amounts payable with respect to our non-equity incentive compensation plan are described above on pages 23 to 25.

**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

& Principal Position (a)	Year (b)	Salary (\$)(c)	Bonus (\$)(d)	Stock Awards(1) (\$)(e)	Option Awards(1) (\$)(f)	Non-Equity Incentive	All Other Compensation(2) (\$)(i)	Total (\$)(j)
						Plan Compensation(2) (\$)(g)		
<b>K. Asherman,</b> <b>President and Chief Executive Officer</b>	2010	\$ 985,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 4,272,063	\$ 316,481	\$ 2,093,125	\$ 311,805	\$ 8,378,477
	2009	\$ 955,000	\$	\$ 4,307,162	\$ 830,567	\$ 1,806,860	\$ 180,571	\$ 8,080,160
	2008	\$ 955,000	\$	\$ 4,740,075	\$ 739,425	\$ 286,249	\$ 253,290	\$ 6,974,039
<b>Petterson,</b> <b>Executive Vice President</b>	2010	\$ 616,911	\$	\$ 1,011,981	\$	\$ 942,786	\$ 157,630	\$ 2,729,308
	2009	\$ 519,232	\$	\$ 1,702,665	\$	\$ 936,540	\$ 80,349	\$ 3,238,826
<b>Chief Operating Officer(3)</b> <b>David A. Ballschmiede,</b> <b>Executive Vice President</b>	2010	\$ 519,234	\$	\$ 1,065,242	\$ 113,691	\$ 705,344	\$ 136,329	\$ 2,539,836
	2009	\$ 505,000	\$	\$ 952,456	\$ 349,594	\$ 764,368	\$ 82,177	\$ 2,653,595
	2008	\$ 505,000	\$	\$ 1,037,111	\$ 245,984	\$ 121,094	\$ 199,810	\$ 2,108,999
<b>Chief Financial Officer</b> <b>David A. Delman,</b> <b>Executive Vice President,</b> <b>Legal Officer</b>	2010	\$ 340,147	\$	\$ 1,517,048	\$ 360,166	\$	\$ 361,948	\$ 2,579,309
	Secretary(4)(5)							
<b>Michael M. McCarthy,</b> <b>Executive Vice President</b>	2010	\$ 422,488	\$ 107,610	\$ 582,667	\$	\$ 609,790	\$ 110,907	\$ 1,833,462
	Executive Vice President							
<b>Chief Administration Officer</b> <b>Robert A. Bailey,</b> <b>Executive Vice President</b>	2010	\$ 380,644	\$ 79,843	\$ 506,002	\$ 19,364	\$ 452,444	\$ 92,275	\$ 1,530,572
	Executive Vice President							

(1) The amounts in columns (e) and (f) represent the aggregate grant date fair market value of equity awards and the aggregate grant date fair market value of option awards under the Long-Term Incentive Plan, each computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Assumptions for the calculation of amounts in columns (e) and (f) are included in note 12 to the Company's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the SEC on February 22, 2011. For the current year, these awards are also reflected in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 28. The performance share grants included in column (e) may vest between 0% and 200% depending on performance, as explained in note (2) to the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table.

- (2) The compensation reported for 2010 represents personal benefits, contributions by us to our 401(k) Plan and Excess Plan, whether vested or unvested, and life insurance premiums for the benefit of the executive. The amount of contributions to the 401(k) Plan and Excess Plan, respectively, whether vested or unvested, contributed or currently expected to be contributed with respect to compensation earned in 2010 for each named executive officer are as follows: Philip K. Asherman, \$22,050, \$229,217; Lasse Petterson, \$22,050, \$117,760; Ronald A. Ballschmiede, \$22,050, \$93,474; Daniel M. McCarthy \$22,050, \$70,463; and Beth A. Bailey \$22,050, \$47,073. Mr. Delman's amount includes \$340,147 related to payments made to him under a transition services agreement. Personal benefits consisted of Company leased vehicles or allowances for vehicles and vehicle maintenance, club membership fees, financial planning assistance and physicals for the executive and his or her spouse, all of which are valued at the actual cost charged to us. Personal benefits in excess of the greater of \$25,000 or 10% of the total amount of personal benefits for such executive officer: Mr. Asherman, car allowance and related fuel and maintenance costs, \$31,541. Mr. Asherman is a member of the Supervisory Board but receives no additional compensation for being a member of the Supervisory Board.
- (3) Mr. Petterson joined the Company on February 9, 2009.

**Table of Contents**

- (4) Mr. Delman resigned from the Company on October 11, 2010.
- (5) Along with the other named executive officers at that time, Mr. Delman received stock awards in February 2010, with an aggregate grant date fair market value (as described in note (1)) of \$676,443. In connection with his resignation from the Company on October 11, 2010, Mr. Delman entered into a transition services agreement which allowed extended vesting on certain stock and option awards. The extended vesting did not change the original number of shares or options granted or the exercise price of the options. However, the shares and options which were given the extended vesting are treated as new grants for the purpose of the Summary Compensation Table, resulting in an incremental fair value of \$1,200,771, as determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The economic value to Mr. Delman of the previously awarded stock and options is the same as he would have received had he remained an employee through the period of his transition services agreement, ending February 28, 2011.

**GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS**

Grant Date (b)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units(3)	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options(4)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh) (k)
	Threshold(1) (\$) (c)	Target (\$) (d)	Maximum (\$) (e)	Threshold (#) (f)	Target (#) (g)	Maximum (#) (h)	(i)	(j)	(k)
2/19/2010	\$ 0	\$ 1,231,250	\$ 2,462,500	58,594	117,188	234,376	76,118		
2/21/2010								9,991	\$ 22.10
2/22/2010								12,351	\$ 22.28
2/19/2010	\$ 0	\$ 554,580	\$ 1,109,160	11,597	23,193	46,386	22,598		
2/19/2010	\$ 0	\$ 414,908	\$ 829,816	12,207	24,414	48,828	23,787		
2/21/2010								4,430	\$ 22.10
2/22/2010								3,602	\$ 22.28
2/19/2010	\$ 0	\$ 278,859	\$ 557,718	7,630	15,259	30,518	14,867		
2/22/2010								750	\$ 22.28
10/23/2010				8,582	17,163	34,326	15,973	22,622	\$ 25.69
2/19/2010	\$ 0	\$ 358,700	\$ 717,400	6,677	13,354	26,708	13,011		
2/19/2010	\$ 0	\$ 266,144	\$ 532,288	5,799	11,597	23,194	11,299		
2/21/2010								465	\$ 22.10
2/22/2010								901	\$ 22.28

(1)

Awards under the Incentive Compensation Program establish threshold (minimum) performance targets, as explained on page 23. However, no incentive compensation is paid for mere threshold (minimum) achievement. Incentive compensation becomes payable only to the extent the thresholds are exceeded. The amount payable for target achievement is shown in column (d). The amount shown in column (e) is the maximum of 200% of such target amount. These amounts are based on the individual's current salary and position. The actual payments resulting from these awards for 2010 are shown in column (g) of the Summary Compensation Table.

- (2) The amounts shown in column (f) reflect the minimum stock awards of performance shares under our Long-Term Incentive Plan which is 50% of the target award shown in column (g). The amount shown in column (h) is 200% of such target award. Performance shares vest 33 1 / 3% per year based on earnings per share targets for the preceding year as of the end of the applicable performance period. The grant date fair market value of these awards for 2010 is also included in column (e) of the Summary Compensation Table. The actual stock awards for 2010, based on 2010 performance for these awards plus performance stock awards granted in 2009 and 2008, are discussed on page 25.
- (3) These awards are restricted stock units made under our Long-Term Incentive Plan, which vest 25% per year over four years on the anniversaries of the grant date. If dividends are paid on shares, participants are paid as compensation at the same time and on the same basis as the underlying restricted stock vests at an amount equal

**Table of Contents**

to accumulated dividends. The grant date fair market value of these awards is also included in column (e) of the Summary Compensation Table.

- (4) These options are retention options under our Long-Term Incentive Plan and were granted upon the vesting of performance shares or restricted stock in an amount equal to 40% of the number of shares that vested under such awards. Each retention option vests in seven years but may vest in three years from the date of grant if the holder has held continuously until such date shares awarded as performance shares or shares granted as restricted shares or units for which restrictions have lapsed.
- (5) The grant date fair market values of stock and option awards are computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END**

Name(a)	Option Awards(1)				Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (b)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (c)	Option Exercise Price (\$) (e)	Option Expiration Date (f)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (g)	Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) (h)	Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (i)	Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) (j)
<b>Philip K. Asherman</b>	7,000		\$ 11.565	7/01/2013	10,890(2)	\$ 358,281		
	9,990		\$ 30.510	2/21/2017	19,508(3)	\$ 641,813	105,402(6)	\$ 3,467,726
	9,136		\$ 29.610	2/28/2017	157,275(4)	\$ 5,174,348	78,125(7)	\$ 2,570,313
	22,902		\$ 9.280	12/5/2018	76,118(5)	\$ 2,504,282		
	80,378	80,379	\$ 8.190	2/20/2019				
		3,380	\$ 14.120	2/12/2014				
		7,000	\$ 13.910	7/01/2014				
		1,126	\$ 23.655	3/09/2015				
		7,000	\$ 22.910	7/01/2015				
		9,991	\$ 45.310	2/21/2018				
		4,356	\$ 45.360	2/22/2018				
		17,473	\$ 47.000	2/27/2018				
		9,991	\$ 8.190	2/21/2019				
		4,356	\$ 8.190	2/22/2019				

Edgar Filing: CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON CO N V - Form DEF 14A

		9,991	\$ 22.100	2/21/2020				
		7,995	\$ 22.280	2/22/2020				
		4,356	\$ 22.280	2/22/2020				
<b>Lasse Petterson</b>					56,250(8)	\$ 1,850,625		
					36,861(4)	\$ 1,212,727	16,469(6)	\$ 541,830
					22,598(5)	\$ 743,474	15,462(7)	\$ 508,700
<b>Ronald A. Ballschmiede</b>	12,110		\$ 9.280	12/5/2018	3,176(2)	\$ 104,490		
	4,430		\$ 30.510	2/21/2017	5,395(3)	\$ 177,496	19,433(6)	\$ 639,346
		4,430	\$ 45.310	2/21/2018	43,497(4)	\$ 1,431,051	16,276(7)	\$ 535,480
		1,270	\$ 45.360	2/22/2018	23,787(5)	\$ 782,592		
		3,790	\$ 47.000	2/27/2018				
	34,003	34,003	\$ 8.190	2/20/2019				
		4,430	\$ 8.190	2/21/2019				
		1,270	\$ 8.190	2/22/2019				
		4,430	\$ 22.100	2/21/2020				
		2,332	\$ 22.280	2/22/2020				
		1,270	\$ 22.280	2/22/2020				
<b>David A. Delman</b>	1		\$ 9.280	12/5/2018	1,875(9)	\$ 61,688		
		750	\$ 45.360	2/22/2018	1,371(9)	\$ 45,106		
		17,675	\$ 8.190	2/20/2019	9,011(9)	\$ 296,462		
					3,716(9)	\$ 122,256		

**Table of Contents**

Name(a)	Option Awards(1)				Stock Awards			Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (b)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (c)	Option Exercise Price (\$) (e)	Option Expiration Date (f)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (g)	Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) (h)	Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (i)	Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) (j)
<b>Daniel M. McCarthy</b>	9,592		\$ 9.280	12/5/2018	2,439(3)	\$ 80,243		
	20,200	20,200	\$ 8.190	2/20/2019	22,117(4)	\$ 727,649	9,881(6)	\$ 325,085
					13,011(5)	\$ 428,062	8,902(7)	\$ 292,876
<b>Beth A. Bailey</b>	7,194		\$ 9.280	12/5/2018	794(2)	\$ 26,123		
					1,524(3)	\$ 50,140	7,686(6)	\$ 252,869
					17,202(4)	\$ 565,946	7,731(7)	\$ 254,350
	640		\$ 6.495	2/13/2012	11,299(5)	\$ 371,737		
	12,928		\$ 6.775	2/21/2012				
	416		\$ 7.660	2/13/2013				
	2,028		\$ 14.120	2/12/2014				
	676		\$ 23.655	3/09/2015				
	464		\$ 30.510	2/21/2017				
	2,237		\$ 29.610	2/28/2017				
		465	\$ 45.310	2/21/2018				
		318	\$ 45.360	2/22/2018				
		2,068	\$ 47.00	2/27/2018				
	12,625	12,625	\$ 8.190	2/20/2019				
	465	\$ 8.190	2/21/2019					
	318	\$ 8.190	2/22/2019					
	465	\$ 22.100	2/21/2020					
	583	\$ 22.280	2/22/2020					
	318	\$ 22.280	2/22/2020					

(1) Options granted December 5, 2008 and expiring December 5, 2018, and options granted February 20, 2009 and expiring February 20, 2019, become vested in two 50% installments on the first and second anniversaries of the



respective grant date. All other options are retention options that vest on the seventh anniversary of the grant of the option, but may vest on the third anniversary of the grant if the holder has held continuously until such date shares awarded as performance shares or granted as restricted shares or units for which restrictions have lapsed.

- (2) Restricted stock awarded 2/22/07 is scheduled to vest ratably each year through 2/22/11.
- (3) Restricted stock awarded 2/22/08 is scheduled to vest ratably each year through 2/22/12.
- (4) Restricted stock awarded 2/20/09 is scheduled to vest ratably each year through 2/20/13.
- (5) Restricted stock awarded 2/19/10 is scheduled to vest ratably each year through 2/19/14.
- (6) Performance shares awarded 2/20/09 are scheduled to vest over each of the three year performance periods, subject to satisfaction of performance criteria for the applicable year.
- (7) Performance shares awarded 2/19/10 are scheduled to vest over each of the three year performance periods, subject to satisfaction of performance criteria for the applicable year.
- (8) Restricted stock scheduled to vest ratably each year through 2/09/13.
- (9) Restricted stock scheduled to vest in February 2011.

**Table of Contents****OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED**

The following table includes information with respect to restricted stock and performance share vesting, and options exercised, by the named executive officers in 2010.

Name(a)	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares	Value Realized	Number of Shares	Value Realized
	Acquired on	on	Acquired on	on
	Exercise	Exercise	Vesting	on Vesting
	(#)	(\$)	(#)	(\$)
	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
Philip K. Asherman	40,639	\$ 678,002	98,044(1)	\$ 2,170,488
			288,930(2)	\$ 10,459,266
Lasse Petterson			31,037(1)	\$ 654,230
			48,400(2)	\$ 1,752,080
Ronald A. Ballschmiede			31,447(1)	\$ 696,036
			55,142(2)	\$ 1,996,140
David A. Delman	26,067	\$ 562,642	12,257(1)	\$ 271,464
			34,328(2)	\$ 1,242,674
Daniel M. McCarthy			8,591(1)	\$ 190,081
			28,666(2)	\$ 1,037,709
Beth A. Bailey	35,912	\$ 777,056	8,452(1)	\$ 187,069
			23,102(2)	\$ 836,292

(1) Restricted stock vesting in 2010.

(2) Performance shares earned in 2010.

**NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION**

We adopted the Excess Plan to provide retirement benefits for our senior management (including executive officers) on the same basis, in proportion to pay, as we provide retirement benefits to all our salaried employees generally. We contribute to the Excess Plan the difference between the amount that would have been contributed by the Company to participants' 401(k) Plan accounts but for the Code limitations, and the contributions actually made to participants' 401(k) Plan accounts. Contributions to the Excess Plan are paid into a rabbi trust, with an independent trustee.

Earnings on these contributions are determined by participants' designation of investment funds from the same group (other than the Company stock fund) that is available under the 401(k) Plan. Executives can change the election of investments at any time without restriction. At the time an Executive becomes a participant, he or she elects whether distribution will occur on a designated date, or upon termination of employment or a designated date thereafter.

Executives are not permitted to make contributions to the Excess Plan.

We have also adopted the Deferred Compensation Plan. Contributions to the Deferred Compensation Plan are paid into a rabbi trust. Earnings on these contributions are determined by participants' designation of investment funds from the same group (other than the Company stock fund) that is available under the 401(k) Plan. Executives make contributions to the Deferred Compensation Plan at the time they are paid compensation. Executives can change the election of investments at any time without restriction.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes certain nonqualified deferred compensation contributions made or currently planned to be made for 2010 pursuant to our Excess Plan. No named executive officers contributed to the Deferred Compensation Plan in 2010.

Name(a)	Executive	Registrant	Aggregate	Aggregate	Aggregate
	Contributions	Contributions	Earnings In	Withdrawals/	Balance at
	in Last	in	Last	Distributions	Last FYE
	FY	Last FY	FY		
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
Philip K. Asherman	\$	\$ 229,217	\$ 26,665	\$	\$ 606,231
Lasse Petterson	\$	\$ 117,760	\$ 1,856	\$	\$ 26,536
Ronald A. Ballschmiede	\$	\$ 93,474	\$ 21,289	\$	\$ 182,006
David A. Delman	\$	\$	\$ 6,562	\$	\$ 57,630
Daniel M. McCarthy	\$	\$ 70,463	\$ 34,352	\$	\$ 361,044
Beth A. Bailey	\$	\$ 47,073	\$ 8,518	\$	\$ 72,839

All amounts reported as contributions have been reported as compensation to the named executive officer in the Summary Compensation Table for the last completed fiscal year. Amounts in the Aggregate Balance column that represent past contributions have been reported in the Summary Compensation Table of the Proxy Statements in prior years. No amounts reported as earnings have been reported as compensation to the named executive officer.

**POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE OF CONTROL****Vesting or Payment of Benefits, Absent a Change of Control.**

*Incentive Compensation Program.* Compensation under the Incentive Compensation Program may be payable in part, and equity awards under the LTIP may continue to vest, on certain terminations of employment. Generally, no incentive compensation is paid if employment terminates before the last day of the incentive compensation year. However, pro rata annual incentive compensation, based on the time the executive officer is actually employed during the incentive compensation year, may be payable if termination of employment occurs by retirement, death or disability. Retirement for this purpose is a termination of employment after age 65, or after 30 years of service, or after age 55 with 10 years of service.

*LTIP.* Generally awards under the LTIP are forfeited if employment terminates before the vesting date provided in the applicable award agreement. However, the award agreements provide that upon termination of employment for death, retirement, disability or dismissal for the convenience of the Company (other than an involuntary termination of employment for willful misconduct or gross negligence as it may be determined by the Organization and Compensation Committee) any unvested options will continue to vest and be exercisable for a period of five years after the date of termination or ten years after the date of grant, whichever is earlier, any unvested restricted stock awards will immediately vest, and any performance shares that would vest for performance in the year of termination will also vest if performance metrics are met for that year. If the retirement, death, disability or dismissal for the convenience of the Company of an executive officer occurred on the last business day of 2010, the number of options and shares of restricted stock that would continue to vest would be the same as the number of unexercisable options and the number of shares that have not vested shown in columns (c) and (g) and (h) (as applicable) of the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End table above.

*Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan.* To the extent elected by the executive, vested nonqualified deferred compensation would be payable upon any termination of employment up to the vested amount of the aggregate account balance as shown in column (f) of the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table above.

*Broad-Based Benefit Arrangements.* The Company also provides post-retirement medical benefits, death and disability benefits, and 401(k) plan benefits upon termination of employment under broad-based plans that do not discriminate in scope, terms or operation in favor of its executive officers and that are available generally to all eligible U.S. salaried employees. Termination of employment by retirement as defined in the applicable plan entitles our officers, including our executive officers, to post-retirement medical benefits under our current plan.

**Table of Contents**

*Payments on Separation.* Mr. Delman resigned from the Company on October 11, 2010. The Company and Mr. Delman entered into an agreement for him to provide transition services during a period extending through February 28, 2011. Under this Agreement, the Company agreed to compensate him at the rate of his base salary through February 28, 2011, to pay him an amount equal to his target annual incentive compensation for 2010, and to provide for vesting of previously awarded options, restricted stock and performance shares that would have vested or been exercisable had he remained an employee through the period of his transition services agreement. The extended vesting did not change the original number of shares or options granted or the exercise price of the options.

**Change of Control and Severance Benefits for Named Executive Officers.**

*Severance Benefits.* We have no general severance benefit plans covering named executive officers. Depending on the circumstances we may enter into specific separation agreements with executive officers (or others) who leave the Company.

*Change of Control Agreements.* As of December 31, 2010, we had substantially identical change of control severance agreements ( Agreements ) with our chief executive officer and his executive officer direct reports. These Agreements are intended to assure the retention and performance of executives if a change of control of the Company is pending or threatened. They are designed to reduce the distraction of our executives that might otherwise arise from the personal uncertainties caused by a change of control, to encourage the executive s full attention and dedication to the Company, and to provide the executive with compensation and benefits following a change of control that are competitive with those of similarly-situated corporations.

Each Agreement provides for certain benefits upon a change of control of the Company and certain additional benefits upon the executive s termination of employment by the Company without cause, or by the executive with good reason, within a three-year period following the change of control. This period is set at three years to avoid giving the post-change Company a financial incentive to avoid severance obligations by keeping the executive employed in an unproductive capacity until his or her entitlement to those benefits expires. The Agreements also address termination within that period by the Company for cause, by the executive other than for good reason, or upon death or disability.

Under the Agreements, change of control generally is defined as the acquisition by any person or group of 25% or more of the beneficial interest in the equity of the Company; failure of the current Supervisory Board (and members nominated by at least 75% of the then-current Supervisory Board members) to comprise at least 50% of the Supervisory Board; Supervisory Board or shareholder approval of a merger or reorganization or consolidation resulting in less than 75% continuing ownership by the pre-merger shareholders; or Supervisory Board or shareholder approval of any transaction as a result of which the Company does not own at least 75% of Chicago Bridge & Iron Company ( Chicago Bridge ), or Chicago Bridge does not own at least 75% of its subsidiary, Chicago Bridge & Iron Company (Delaware). The Agreements use a 25% threshold to define a change of control because the stock ownership of the Company is fairly widely distributed, and a single person (or group) owning 25% of the stock can exercise in practice a disproportionate control over its management and policies.

*Benefits Payable or Provided Solely Upon a Change of Control.* Upon a change of control, the executive is entitled to receive payment of minimum pro-rata target incentive compensation, vesting in options, restricted shares and performance shares, and (if the change of control also meets the conditions of Section 409A of the Code for accelerated payment of deferred compensation), vesting and an immediate lump sum cash payment of all deferred compensation and of the value of all performance shares assuming achievement of target performance goals. The provisions for vesting and payment are intended to avoid the risk of potential non-payment by the post-change Company, and to reflect that, depending on the post-change circumstances of the Company, it may be difficult, impossible or meaningless to apply pre-change targets for performance-based compensation. The applicable amounts of these benefits and the other benefits described here are shown in the tables below for each current named executive

officer.

*Benefits Payable or Provided upon a Change of Control and Termination Without Cause or For Good Reason.* Upon termination of employment by the Company without cause or by the executive for good reason during the three-year period following a change of control, the executive will be entitled to a lump sum payment of three times the sum of his or her annual base salary plus minimum annual incentive compensation (which is at least equal to

**Table of Contents**

target incentive compensation). The factor of three is intended to cover the period that it might take a senior executive to find comparable employment. In addition, the promise of change of control severance benefits in these events is intended generally to supply adequate and sufficient consideration for the executive's non-competition obligations described below. The executive will also be entitled to a payment of pro-rata minimum incentive compensation for the year of termination, payment of deferred compensation (to the extent not paid upon the change of control), continuation for him or her and his or her dependents of medical and other benefits for a three-year period after termination of employment, payment of the amount (if any) of 401(k) Plan benefits forfeited upon termination of employment; and to receive Company-provided outplacement services. Benefit continuation for a three-year period is intended to cover the period that it might take a senior executive to find employment providing comparable benefits and to cushion the executive and his or her family against the possibility that no subsequent employment would provide comparable benefits. The executive has no duty to mitigate these benefits by seeking subsequent employment and they are not reduced for compensation or benefits in subsequent employment. The executive (and dependents if applicable) is further entitled to post-termination medical coverage beginning at the later of age 50 or expiration of the three-year period after termination of employment, at active employee rates until age 65 and at retiree rates after age 65. These medical coverage benefits are secondary to any benefits the executive may receive through subsequent employment.

For purposes of these Agreements, *cause* includes conviction of a felony or of a crime involving moral turpitude, or willful misconduct or breach of the agreement that results in material financial detriment to the Company, but *cause* does not include negligence, actions taken in good faith, actions indemnifiable by the Company, or known to the Company for more than a year before the purported termination. The executive is entitled to certain procedural protections before the Company can terminate employment for *cause*. *Good reason* for resignation generally includes any adverse changes in the executive's duties, title, reporting requirements or responsibilities; failure by the Company to provide the compensation, incentive compensation, work location, plan and other payments, benefits and perquisites called for by the Agreement, other breach of the Agreement by the Company or adverse change in the terms and conditions of the executive's employment, initiating a termination for *cause* without completing the termination within 90 days in compliance with the Agreement, any other purported termination of executive's employment not contemplated by the Agreement, or failure of a successor to assume and perform the Agreement.

*Benefits Payable or Provided upon Change of Control and Voluntary Termination, Death or Disability.* On voluntary termination by the executive without good reason during the three-year period following a change of control, the executive is entitled to payment of pro-rata minimum incentive compensation for the year of termination and payment of deferred compensation (to the extent not paid upon the change of control). On termination for disability or death during that three-year period, the executive (or his or her beneficiaries) is entitled to benefits under the Company's broad-based disability and death plans with no enhancement except that such benefits may not be reduced below the greatest benefit level in effect during the 90-day period preceding the Change of Control. Upon termination for *cause* during the three-year period the executive is entitled to payment of deferred compensation (to the extent not paid upon the change of control). Upon any termination of employment during that three-year period, the executive is entitled to salary and accrued vacation pay through the termination date and reimbursement of business expenses incurred prior to termination.

*Special Payments Relating to a Change in Control.* The Agreements executed before 2011 provide that the Company will pay an amount necessary to reimburse each employee, on an after-tax basis, for any excise tax due under Section 4999 of the Code as a result of such payment being treated as a parachute payment under Section 280G of the Code. Agreements executed subsequent to 2010 no longer include this provision. The Company will also reimburse the executive's legal fees and related costs incurred to obtain benefits under the Agreements as long as the executive had a reasonable basis for the action or was acting in good faith. The Company must maintain a letter of credit and escrow in force to secure this obligation for legal fee reimbursement.



*Applicable Restrictive Covenants.* In exchange for the above benefits, the Agreements impose certain obligations on the executive that apply during employment (before or after a change of control) and after any termination of employment, including terminations of employment before any change of control happens, and regardless of the reason for termination of employment. These are an obligation to maintain the confidentiality of Company confidential information, not to engage directly or indirectly in competition with the Company, and not to

**Table of Contents**

solicit employees, customers, vendors and suppliers away from the Company or otherwise interfere with the Company's customer, vendor and supplier relationships. A competitive business is defined to be any construction and engineering business specializing in the engineering and design, materials procurement, fabrication, erection, repair and modification of steel tanks and other steel plate structures and associated systems and any branch, office or operation thereof, which is a direct and material competitor of the Company wherever in the world the Company does business. The executive agrees that these covenants may be specifically enforced against him or her by injunction.

*Tabular Disclosures of Potential Benefits Paid or Provided Upon Change in Control.* The following tables tally the benefits that would be paid or provided for each of the named executive officers if a change of control and a simultaneous without cause or good reason termination, a voluntary resignation without good reason, or a termination for cause, occurred on the last business day of 2010, applying the closing price of Company stock on that day (which was \$32.90 per share). (Benefits upon death or disability are omitted because they would be the same as under the Company's broad-based plans as discussed above.) A voluntary resignation without good reason on that date by Messrs. Asherman and McCarthy and Ms. Bailey would qualify as a retirement entitling those officers to incentive compensation, equity vesting and eligibility for the Company's retiree medical benefit program, without regard to the change of control severance agreements. In addition, whether or not the termination is a retirement, Messrs. Asherman and McCarthy and Ms. Bailey would be fully vested in their benefits under the 401(k) Plan and the Excess Plan. A voluntary resignation without good reason on that date by Messrs. Ballschmiede and Petterson would not qualify as a retirement and neither of Messrs. Ballschmiede or Petterson would be fully vested in their benefits under the 401(k) Plan or the Excess Plan or be eligible for retiree medical benefits.

The table assumes that upon a termination for cause, the Organization and Compensation Committee would exercise its discretion to reduce any incentive compensation otherwise payable to zero even if the executive would otherwise qualify for retirement under the Incentive Compensation Program, and that no change of control benefits would be payable. (Accordingly, benefits on termination would consist only of unpaid salary through the date of termination and other accrued vested benefits. For this reason, benefits upon termination for cause are omitted from the tables.) For purposes of the Section 4999 gross-up, the amount in the table is based on the assumptions of an excise tax rate of 20%, a marginal federal income tax rate of 35.0%, a 1.45% Medicare tax rate and state income tax rate applicable to the named executive officer, and the assumptions that no amounts will be attributed to reasonable compensation before or after the change of control and that no value will be attributed to the executive's non-competition covenant. The value of health plan benefits is based upon and assumes that the executive will continue paying applicable employee (or retiree) premiums for coverage for the maximum period permitted by the Agreement. The table also assumes that the executive will not incur legal fees or related costs in enforcing the Agreement.

**Table of Contents****CHANGE OF CONTROL BENEFITS PHILIP K. ASHERMAN**

<b>Benefits and Payments Upon Change of Control and Simultaneous Termination</b>	<b>Voluntary Termination</b>	<b>Good Reason or Without Cause Termination</b>
Incentive compensation	\$ 2,093,125	\$ 2,093,125
Equity award vesting		
Options	\$ 2,856,496	\$ 2,856,496
Restricted Stock	\$ 8,678,724	\$ 8,678,724
Performance Shares	\$ 11,509,078	\$ 11,509,078
Deferred Compensation	\$ 606,231	\$ 606,231
Severance payment	\$	\$ 9,234,375
Payment of 401(k) forfeiture	\$	\$
Outplacement	\$	\$ 197,000
Benefit plan continuation		
Medical (including dental and vision)	\$ 40,908	\$ 198,311
Disability	\$	\$ 2,352
Life insurance	\$	\$ 4,653
Excise tax gross-up	\$	\$ 11,498,834

**CHANGE OF CONTROL BENEFITS LASSE PETTERSON**

<b>Benefits and Payments Upon Change of Control and Simultaneous Termination</b>	<b>Voluntary Termination</b>	<b>Good Reason or Without Cause Termination</b>
Incentive compensation	\$	\$ 634,686
Equity award vesting		
Options	\$	\$
Restricted Stock	\$	\$ 3,806,826
Performance Shares	\$	\$ 1,846,710
Deferred Compensation	\$	\$ 26,537
Severance payment	\$	\$ 4,732,416
Payment of 401(k) forfeiture	\$	\$ 35,186
Outplacement	\$	\$ 126,937
Benefit plan continuation		
Medical (including dental and vision)	\$	\$ 214,033
Disability	\$	\$ 2,352
Life insurance	\$	\$ 4,653
Excise tax gross-up	\$	\$ 4,101,131

**Table of Contents****CHANGE OF CONTROL BENEFITS RONALD A. BALLSCHMIEDE**

<b>Benefits and Payments Upon Change of Control and Simultaneous Termination</b>	<b>Voluntary Termination</b>	<b>Good Reason or Without Cause Termination</b>
Incentive compensation	\$	\$ 534,194
Equity award vesting		
Options	\$	\$ 1,067,158
Restricted Stock	\$	\$ 2,495,630
Performance Shares	\$	\$ 2,214,302
Deferred Compensation	\$ 182,006	\$ 182,006
Severance payment	\$	\$ 3,718,614
Payment of 401(k) forfeiture	\$	\$
Outplacement	\$	\$ 106,839
Benefit plan continuation		
Medical (including dental and vision)	\$	\$ 210,115
Disability	\$	\$ 2,352
Life insurance	\$	\$ 4,653
Excise tax gross-up	\$	\$ 3,340,860

**CHANGE OF CONTROL BENEFITS DANIEL M. MCCARTHY**

<b>Benefits and Payments Upon Change of Control and Simultaneous Termination</b>	<b>Voluntary Termination</b>	<b>Good Reason or Without Cause Termination</b>
Incentive compensation	\$ 609,790	\$ 609,790
Equity award vesting		
Options	\$ 499,142	\$ 499,142
Restricted Stock	\$ 1,235,954	\$ 1,235,954
Performance Shares	\$ 1,149,362	\$ 1,149,362
Deferred Compensation	\$ 102,731	\$ 102,731
Severance payment	\$	\$ 3,133,350
Payment of 401(k) forfeiture	\$	\$
Outplacement	\$	\$ 86,932
Benefit plan continuation		
Medical (including dental and vision)	\$ 43,083	\$ 111,429
Disability	\$	\$ 2,352
Life insurance	\$	\$ 4,653
Excise tax gross-up	\$	\$ 2,799,872

**Table of Contents****CHANGE OF CONTROL BENEFITS BETH A. BAILEY**

<b>Benefits and Payments Upon Change of Control and Simultaneous Termination</b>	<b>Voluntary Termination</b>	<b>Good Reason or Without Cause Termination</b>
Incentive compensation	\$ 452,444	\$ 452,444
Equity award vesting		
Options	\$ 345,903	\$ 345,903
Restricted Stock	\$ 1,013,945	\$ 1,013,945
Performance Shares	\$ 936,499	\$ 936,499
Deferred Compensation	\$ 72,839	\$ 72,839
Severance payment	\$	\$ 2,532,908
Payment of 401(k) forfeiture	\$	\$
Outplacement	\$	\$ 78,372
Benefit plan continuation		
Medical (including dental and vision)	\$ 46,947	\$ 128,637
Disability	\$	\$ 2,352
Life insurance	\$	\$ 4,653
Excise tax gross-up	\$	\$ 2,022,954

**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

<b>Name(1) (a)</b>	<b>Fees Earned or</b>		<b>Option Awards (3) (d)</b>	<b>All Other</b>	<b>Total (h)</b>
	<b>Paid in Cash (b)</b>	<b>Stock Awards (c)</b>		<b>Compensation (g)</b>	
Jerry H. Ballengee(5)	\$ 18,488	\$	\$	\$	\$ 18,488
L. Richard Flury(6)	\$ 120,500	\$ 124,995	\$	\$ 1,740	\$ 247,235
J. Charles Jennett	\$ 71,750	\$ 124,995	\$	\$	\$ 196,745
W. Craig Kissel	\$ 62,000	\$ 124,995	\$	\$ 861	\$ 187,856
Larry D. McVay	\$ 71,500	\$ 124,995	\$	\$ 1,009	\$ 197,504
Gary L. Neale(7)	\$ 77,500	\$ 124,995	\$	\$ 1,094	\$ 203,589
Michael L. Underwood	\$ 79,750	\$ 124,995	\$	\$	\$ 204,745
Marsha C. Williams	\$ 66,000	\$ 124,995	\$	\$	\$ 190,995

(1) Philip K. Asherman, President and Chief Executive Officer, is not included in this table as he is our employee and receives no compensation for his services as Supervisory Director. The compensation received by Mr. Asherman as our employee is shown in the Summary Compensation Table on page 27.

(2) Reflects the grant date fair market value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The number of stock awards outstanding at the end of the last completed year for each Supervisory Director is 5,138. The stock awards were granted in May 2010.

- (3) The number of option awards outstanding at the end of the last completed year for each Supervisory Director was 16,000 for Mr. Ballengee and 8,000 for each of Mr. Flury, Dr. Jennett and Ms. Williams. Mr. Neale, Mr. McVay, Mr. Underwood and Mr. Kissel had no option awards outstanding.
- (4) All other compensation includes the 15% discount on shares purchased (described below) and above market interest on deferred compensation, and would have included dividends on stock awards if any such dividends had been paid.
- (5) Mr. Ballengee retired from the Supervisory Board in May, 2010. Mr. Ballengee received 50% of his fees earned in cash and 50% in Company stock issued immediately.

**Table of Contents**

- (6) Mr. Flury became non-executive Chairman of the Supervisory Board in May, 2010. Mr. Flury receives 50% of his fees earned in cash, and as described below defers until 2017 42% of fees in cash and 8% of fees to purchase Company stock.
- (7) Mr. Neale receives 50% of his fees earned in cash, and as described below defers until one year after retirement 42% of fees in cash and 8% to purchase Company stock.

Our shareholders approved an increase in the compensation of the members of the Supervisory Board at our 2010 annual meeting. The increase became effective with the second calendar quarter of 2010. Reflecting that increase, members of the Supervisory Board received in 2010 as compensation for their services as Supervisory Directors an annual retainer of \$50,000 (formerly \$30,000), paid in quarterly installments, and \$1,500 (unchanged) for attendance at each Supervisory Board meeting, except the non-executive Chairman of the Supervisory Board who received an annual retainer of \$120,000 (formerly \$90,000). Committee chairmen receive an annual retainer as follows: Audit Committee Chairman, \$15,000 (formerly \$10,000), Organization and Compensation Committee Chairman, \$15,000 (formerly \$5,000), Nominating Committee Chairman, \$10,000 (formerly \$5,000), Corporate Governance Committee, \$10,000 (formerly \$5,000), and Strategic Initiatives Committee Chairman, \$5,000 (unchanged). Those who serve on Supervisory Board committees received \$1,000 for each committee meeting attended. The amounts of fees earned or paid shown in column (b) represent pro-rata portions of the former and revised retainers and the pro-rata effect of changes in position as non-executive Chairman and in Committee Chairmanships. As approved at the 2010 annual meeting of shareholders, members of the Supervisory Board also receive an annual equity retainer in the amount of \$125,000 paid in restricted stock, priced as of the close of the market on the day the grant is made and which vest on the first anniversary of the date of grant.

Members of the Supervisory Board may elect to receive their compensation in common shares and may elect to defer their compensation in the form of cash or stock. Fees deferred in the form of cash are credited with interest at the rate of prime plus 1%, updated quarterly based on the prime rate for the first business day of each calendar quarter as published in the Wall Street Journal. For fees deferred in the form of stock, the number of shares of our stock is determined by dividing the fees earned by the closing price per share of our stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the first trading day preceding the respective Supervisory Board meeting and such shares earn dividends at the regular rate and are converted into additional shares based on the closing price per share of our stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the dividend payment date. In addition, a member of the Supervisory Board may direct that up to 8% of his or her director's fees be applied to purchase shares at 85% of the closing price per share on the New York Stock Exchange on the first trading day following the end of each calendar quarter. Shares are issued either at the time of purchase or at a specified future date. Members of the Supervisory Board who are full-time employees of the Company receive no compensation for serving as members of the Supervisory Board.

In 2005, we adopted stock ownership guidelines for our Supervisory Directors. They are that each Supervisory Director own shares in our stock equal to at least five times the annual retainer. There is a five-year period for our Supervisory Directors to meet these stock ownership targets. All Supervisory Directors satisfy these stock ownership guidelines.

**RISK ANALYSIS**

The Organization and Compensation Committee has considered the Company's executive compensation structure to identify any design elements that might encourage excessive risk taking; and taking into account the comments of Meridian in their review requested by the Organization and Compensation Committee, does not believe the Company's compensation practices present risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The Company's overall compensation philosophy, peer group selection process, and positioning are consistent with typical market practices. The mix of corporate and individual objectives to measure performance, coupled with the Organization and Compensation Committee's discretion to reduce any annual cash incentive awards otherwise determined by the corporate objectives, should mitigate excessive risk taking by tying payout to multiple elements. Further, the use of both performance shares and restricted stock to provide long-term incentives similarly mitigates the risk of any one vehicle creating undue incentive to take on excessive risk. The Company emphasizes earnings per share as a performance measure, which is consistent with shareholder value creation.



**Table of Contents**

In addition, the Company has share holding requirements for executive officers, and the Organization and Compensation Committee has established a clawback policy that allows it to recover both cash compensation and performance-based equity awards negatively affected by fraud or misconduct resulting in a material restatement of the Company's financial statements. The Organization and Compensation Committee will continue to monitor the Company's compensation structure from the point of view of not encouraging risks inconsistent with the interests of our shareholders.

**ITEM 3 *ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION***

At the Annual Meeting, you will be asked to vote on a non-binding, advisory resolution, commonly known as management say-on-pay, to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and related tables and narrative disclosure on pages 15 to 40 of this Proxy Statement. This advisory shareholder vote gives you the opportunity to endorse or not endorse the compensation we pay our named executive officers.

The Company and its Supervisory Board and Organization and Compensation Committee remain committed to the compensation philosophy, policies and objectives outlined under the heading Compensation Discussion and Analysis in this Proxy Statement. We believe that our compensation policies and procedures are competitive, focused on pay for performance and strongly aligned with the long-term interests of our stockholders. They enable us to attract and retain talented executives who are critical to our business objectives of high growth and strong execution. We believe that our compensation philosophies, policies and objectives do not present or encourage excessive or unacceptable risks. As always, the Organization and Compensation Committee will continue to review all elements of the executive compensation program on a regular basis and external trends in compensation and take any steps it deems necessary to continue to fulfill the objectives of the program. Among other things:

We do not have employment agreements with our named executive officers. Each of them is employed at will and expected to provide exceptional personal performance to remain on the executive team;

We have significant stock ownership by our executives, reinforced by stock ownership guidelines, making their interests congruent with those of our shareholders;

Approximately 77% of the total compensation of our named executive officers was annual cash incentive and long-term equity compensation. This strongly aligns executive rewards with annual, medium and long-term Company performance, including long-term stock price performance;

For annual cash incentive and long-term equity compensation, our named executive officers participate in the same plans under the same formulas as senior management employees generally;

Both the Organization and Compensation Committee and its independent compensation consultant have reviewed our compensation programs to ensure they do not encourage excessive risks;

We do not have defined benefits, supplemental retirement benefits or actuarial retirement benefits. Our named executive officers get the same plan benefits as our salaried employees generally; and

The Organization and Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant does not perform any services for the Company other than those that support the Organization and Compensation Committee.

Shareholders are encouraged to carefully review the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement for a detailed discussion of the Company's executive compensation program.

Because your vote is advisory, it will not be binding upon the Company or the Supervisory Board. This means it will not overrule any decision by the Company or the Supervisory Board, create or change any fiduciary duties of the Company, the Supervisory Board, or its Organization and Compensation Committee, or create, reverse or nullify any legal obligation of the Company. However, the Organization and Compensation Committee will consider the outcome of the vote when reviewing and determining future executive compensation arrangements.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required for the advisory (non-binding) approval of the proposal to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and related tables and narrative disclosure.

**Table of Contents**

**THE SUPERVISORY BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE PROPOSAL TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION OF THE COMPANY S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AS DISCLOSED IN THE COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS AND RELATED TABLES AND NARRATIVE DISCLOSURE.**

**ITEM 4 *ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF THE ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION***

At the Annual Meeting, the shareholders will vote on a non-binding, advisory proposal regarding the frequency of the advisory shareholder vote on executive compensation discussed in Item 3 in this Proxy Statement. Shareholders will have the opportunity to cast an advisory vote on whether the shareholder vote on executive compensation should occur every 1, 2 or 3 years. Shareholders may also abstain from voting on the matter.

The Supervisory Board recommends an advisory vote on executive compensation every three years for several primary reasons. First, three years allows the Supervisory Board to align compensation decisions with the outcome of the implementation of the Company s strategic plan. Strategic initiatives, which are designed to increase long-term shareholder value, generally have a several-year time horizon before they realize their full earnings objectives. Second, our business model involves the pursuit and execution of multi-year large projects. Consequently, we evaluate the compensation of our employees factoring in the lengthy nature of our projects. Third, the three year cycle also corresponds with the long-term cycle of our equity compensation. Our performance shares look to performance over a three-year period, and their appropriateness as compensation should be evaluated over a similar term. Annual adjustments in the long-term compensation process may be inconsistent with the goals and objectives of long-term compensation.

Because your vote is advisory, it will not be binding upon the Company or the Supervisory Board. This means it will not overrule any decision by the Company or the Supervisory Board, create or change any fiduciary duties of the Company, the Supervisory Board, or its Organization and Compensation Committee, or create, reverse or nullify any legal obligation of the Company. However, the Supervisory Board will take into account the outcome of the vote when considering the frequency of the advisory shareholder vote on executive compensation.

The Supervisory Board recommends voting for an advisory stockholder vote on executive compensation every 3 years. We emphasize, however, that you are not voting to approve or disapprove the Supervisory Board s recommendation. Instead, your proxy card provides you with 4 options regarding this non-binding, advisory proposal. You may cast an advisory vote for the stockholder vote on executive compensation to occur every 1, 2 or 3 years, or you may abstain from voting on the matter.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required for advisory (non-binding) approval of one of the alternatives presented (1, 2 or 3 years). If none of the alternatives presented receive a majority vote, we will consider the alternative receiving the highest number of votes cast by our shareholders to be the frequency that has been selected on an advisory basis by our shareholders. However, because the vote is advisory (non-binding), the Supervisory Board may decide that it is in the best interest of the Company to hold an advisory vote more or less frequently than that receiving the highest number of votes.

**THE SUPERVISORY BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE TO HOLD AN ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION EVERY THREE YEARS.**

**ITEM 5 *ADOPTION OF ANNUAL ACCOUNTS FOR 2010***

At the Annual Meeting, you will be asked to authorize the preparation of our Dutch statutory annual accounts and annual report of our Management Board in the English language and to adopt our Dutch statutory annual accounts for the year ended December 31, 2010 (the Annual Accounts ), as required under Dutch law and our Articles of Association.

Our Annual Accounts are prepared in accordance with Dutch generally accepted accounting principles ( Dutch GAAP ) and Dutch law. The Annual Accounts contain certain disclosures not required under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ( US GAAP ). Dutch GAAP generally requires us to amortize goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets, which is not required under US GAAP. In addition, the Management

**Table of Contents**

Report required by Dutch law, similar to the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition included in the 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders ( Annual Report ), also contains information included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and other information required by Dutch law. A copy of the Annual Accounts can be accessed through our website, [www.cbi.com](http://www.cbi.com), and may be obtained free of charge by request to our principal executive offices at Oostduinlaan 75, 2596 JJ The Hague, The Netherlands and at our administrative offices c/o CB&I, 2103 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77380-2624 Attn: Investor Relations.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required to adopt our Annual Accounts and to authorize the preparation of our Dutch statutory annual accounts and annual report in the English language.

**THE SUPERVISORY BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE ADOPTION OF OUR ANNUAL ACCOUNTS AND THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE PREPARATION OF OUR DUTCH STATUTORY ANNUAL ACCOUNTS AND ANNUAL REPORT IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE.**

***ITEM 6 DISCHARGE OF SOLE MEMBER OF THE MANAGEMENT BOARD***

Under Dutch law, at the Annual Meeting shareholders may discharge the members of the Management Board from liability in respect of the exercise of their management duties during the financial year concerned. During 2010, the sole member of the Management Board was Chicago Bridge & Iron Company B.V., our indirect wholly-owned subsidiary. The discharge is without prejudice to the provisions of the law of The Netherlands relating to liability upon bankruptcy and does not extend to matters not disclosed to shareholders.

It is proposed that the shareholders resolve to discharge the sole member of the Management Board from liability in respect of the exercise of its management duties during 2010.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required to so discharge the Management Board.

**THE SUPERVISORY BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE DISCHARGE OF THE SOLE MEMBER OF THE MANAGEMENT BOARD FROM LIABILITY FOR 2010.**

***ITEM 7 DISCHARGE OF MEMBERS OF THE SUPERVISORY BOARD***

Under Dutch law, at the Annual Meeting shareholders may discharge the members of the Supervisory Board from liability in respect of the exercise of their supervisory duties during the financial year concerned. The discharge is without prejudice to the provisions of the law of The Netherlands relating to liability upon bankruptcy and does not extend to matters not disclosed to shareholders.

It is proposed that the shareholders resolve to discharge the members of the Supervisory Board from liability in respect of the exercise of their supervisory duties during 2010.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required to so discharge the Supervisory Board.

**THE SUPERVISORY BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE DISCHARGE OF THE MEMBERS OF THE SUPERVISORY BOARD FROM LIABILITY FOR 2010.**

***ITEM 8 EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY OF MANAGEMENT BOARD TO REPURCHASE UP TO 10% OF OUR ISSUED SHARE CAPITAL UNTIL NOVEMBER 4, 2012***

Under Dutch law and our Articles of Association, the Management Board may, with the prior approval of the Supervisory Board, and subject to certain Dutch statutory provisions, be authorized to repurchase issued shares on our behalf in an amount, at prices and in the manner authorized by the general meeting of shareholders. Adoption of this proposal will allow us to have the flexibility to repurchase our shares without the expense of calling special shareholder meetings. Such authorization may not continue for more than 18 months, but may be given on a rolling basis. At the 2010 annual meeting, you authorized the Management Board, acting with the approval of our

**Table of Contents**

Supervisory Board, to repurchase up to 10% of our issued share capital in open market purchases, through privately negotiated transactions or by means of self-tender offer or offers, at prices ranging up to 110% of the market price at the time of the transaction. Since the 2010 annual meeting and as of March 10, 2011, we had repurchased 2,547,263 shares under this authority. Such authority currently expires November 6, 2011.

The Management Board believes that we would benefit by extending the authority of the Management Board, acting with the approval of our Supervisory Board, to repurchase our shares. For example, to the extent the Management Board believes that our shares may be undervalued at the market levels at which they are then trading, repurchases of our share capital may represent an attractive investment for us. Such shares could be used for any valid corporate purpose, including use under our compensation plans, sale in connection with the exercise of outstanding options or for acquisitions, mergers or similar transactions. The reduction in our issued capital resulting from any such purchases will increase the proportionate interest of the remaining shareholders in our net worth and whatever future profits we may earn. However, the number of shares repurchased, if any, and the timing and manner of any repurchases would be determined by the Management Board, with the prior approval of the Supervisory Board, in light of prevailing market conditions, our available resources and other factors that cannot now be predicted. The number of shares held by us, or our subsidiaries, may generally never exceed 10% of the total number of our issued and outstanding shares.

In order to provide us with sufficient flexibility, the Management Board proposes that the general meeting of shareholders grant authority for the repurchase of up to 10% of our issued share capital (or, based on the number of shares currently outstanding, approximately ten million shares) on the open market, or through privately negotiated repurchases or in self-tender offers, at prices ranging up to 110% of the market price at the time of the transaction. Such authority would extend for 18 months from the date of the Annual Meeting until November 4, 2012.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required to adopt the proposal to extend until November 4, 2012 authorization of the Management Board, acting with the approval of our Supervisory Board, to repurchase up to 10% of our issued share capital on the open market, or through privately negotiated repurchases or self-tender offers, at prices ranging up to 110% of the market price at the time of the transaction.

**THE SUPERVISORY BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE PROPOSAL TO GRANT EXTENDED AUTHORITY TO THE MANAGEMENT BOARD TO REPURCHASE SHARES.**

***ITEM 9 APPOINTMENT OF OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM***

The Audit Committee of the Supervisory Board has recommended that Ernst & Young LLP ( E&Y ) be appointed as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011. E&Y has acted as our independent registered public accounting firm since 2005. Representatives of E&Y are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement, if they desire, and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required to appoint E&Y as our independent registered public accounting firm who will audit our accounts for the year ending December 31, 2011.

**THE SUPERVISORY BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2011.**

***ITEM 10 EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY OF SUPERVISORY BOARD TO ISSUE SHARES, TO GRANT THE RIGHT TO ACQUIRE SHARES AND TO LIMIT OR EXCLUDE PREEMPTIVE RIGHTS UNTIL MAY 4, 2016***

At the Annual Meeting, you will be asked to resolve on a further extension of the designation of the Supervisory Board to issue shares and/or grant rights to acquire shares (including options to subscribe for shares), never to exceed the number of authorized but unissued shares, and to limit or exclude preemptive rights in respect of the issuance of shares or the grant of the right to acquire shares, for a five-year period from the date of the Annual Meeting until May 4, 2016. Under the laws of the Netherlands and our Articles of Association, shareholders have a



**Table of Contents**

pro rata preemptive right to subscribe for any shares issued for cash unless such right is limited or excluded. Shareholders have no preemptive right with respect to any shares issued for consideration other than cash or pursuant to certain employee share plans. Shareholders also have a pro rata preemptive right to participate in any grant of the right to acquire shares for cash, other than certain grants under employee share plans.

If designated for this purpose at the Annual Meeting, the Supervisory Board will have the power to issue and/or grant rights to acquire shares (including options to subscribe for shares), never to exceed the number of authorized but unissued shares, and to limit or exclude preemptive rights with respect to the issuance of shares or the grant of the right to acquire shares. Such a designation may be effective for up to five years and may be renewed on an annual rolling basis. At the 2009 annual meeting, the shareholders designated the Supervisory Board for a five-year period to issue shares and/or grant rights to acquire shares (including options to subscribe for shares) and to limit or exclude preemptive rights with respect to the issuance of shares or the grant of the right to acquire shares. This five-year period will expire on May 7, 2014.

If this proposal is approved by shareholders, the Supervisory Board will have the authority to issue shares at such price (but not less than par value), and upon such terms and conditions, as the Supervisory Board in its discretion deems appropriate, based on the Supervisory Board's determination of what is in the best interests of the Company at the time shares are issued or the right to acquire shares is granted. The Supervisory Board will also, if this proposal is approved by shareholders, have the authority to exclude pre-emptive rights with respect to any issuance of shares or grant of the right to acquire shares, in the event that the Supervisory Board in its discretion believes that exclusion of pre-emptive rights with respect to any issuance of shares, or grant of the right to acquire shares, is in the best interests of the Company.

The authority of the Supervisory Board to issue shares would be subject to compliance with the applicable rules of the New York Stock Exchange.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting, or the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the votes cast if less than 50% of the issued capital of the Company is represented at the meeting, is required to extend the authorization of the Supervisory Board to issue and/or to grant rights to acquire shares (including options to subscribe for shares) and to limit or exclude preemptive rights for a five-year period from the date of the Annual Meeting until May 4, 2016.

**THE SUPERVISORY BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE DESIGNATION OF THE SUPERVISORY BOARD TO ISSUE AND/OR GRANT RIGHTS TO ACQUIRE SHARES (INCLUDING OPTIONS TO SUBSCRIBE FOR SHARES) AND TO LIMIT OR EXCLUDE PREEMPTIVE RIGHTS UNTIL MAY 4, 2016.**

**ITEM 11 *DISCUSSION OF DIVIDEND POLICY***

Under the Dutch Corporate Governance Code, we are required to provide shareholders with an opportunity at our Annual Meeting to discuss our dividend policy and any major changes in that policy. Shareholders will not be entitled to adopt a binding resolution determining our future dividend policy.

Pursuant to our Articles of Association, the Management Board, with the approval of the Supervisory Board, may determine that an amount shall be reserved out of our annual profits. The portion of our annual profits that remains after such reservation is at the disposal of the general meeting of shareholders. Out of our share premium reserve and other reserves available for shareholder distributions under the laws of the Netherlands, the general meeting of shareholders may declare distributions upon the proposal of the Management Board (after approval by the Supervisory Board). We may not pay dividends if the payment would reduce shareholders' equity below the aggregate nominal

value of our common shares outstanding, plus the reserves required to be maintained pursuant to Dutch law or our Articles of Association. The Management Board, with the approval of the Supervisory Board may in any year distribute one or more interim dividends in anticipation of the final dividend for that year.

In the first quarter of 2009, we suspended our quarterly dividend in an effort to, among other things, enhance our financial flexibility in response to the uncertainty surrounding the global economic crisis. In February 2011, our Supervisory Board approved reinstatement of our quarterly dividend effective for the first quarter of 2011. Our Management and Supervisory Board will periodically evaluate dividends in the future based upon general business

**Table of Contents**

and economic conditions, legal and contractual restrictions regarding the payment of dividends, our results of operations and financial condition, our cash requirements and the availability of surplus, and other relevant factors.

**SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS**

Any proposal of a shareholder intended to be presented at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must be received at our principal executive offices no later than November 25, 2011 if the proposal is to be considered for inclusion in our proxy statement relating to such meeting, without prejudice to shareholders' rights to cause a general meeting of shareholders to be convened under article 34.2 of our Articles of Association and without prejudice to shareholders' rights under Dutch law to cause certain items to be placed on the agenda for Annual Meetings. Proposals from shareholders for next year's annual meeting received at our principal executive offices after February 8, 2012 will be considered untimely. With respect to such proposals, we will vote all shares for which the Company has received proxies in the interest of the Company as determined in the sole discretion of its proxies.

By Order of the Board of Supervisory Directors

/s/ L. RICHARD FLURY

*Non-Executive Chairman of the Board of Supervisory Directors*

The Hague, The Netherlands

March 24, 2011

**Table of Contents**

---

**Table of Contents**