

VERITAS SOFTWARE CORP /DE/

Form 10-K

June 14, 2004

**Table of Contents**

---

---

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Washington, D.C. 20549**

---

**Form 10-K**

---

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003**

**OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934**

**For the transition period from        to        .**

**Commission File Number 000-26247**

**VERITAS Software Corporation**

*(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)*

**Delaware**

*(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)*

**77-0507675**

*(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)*

**350 Ellis Street**

**Mountain View, California 94043**

**(650) 527-8000**

*(Address, including Zip Code, of Registrant's Principal Executive Offices and  
Registrant's Telephone Number, including Area Code)*

---

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:**

**None**

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:**

**Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share;**

**Preferred Share Purchase Rights**

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

# Edgar Filing: VERITAS SOFTWARE CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the Registrant's common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, held by non-affiliates of the Registrant on June 30, 2003, the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was \$12.2 billion (based on the closing sales price of the Registrant's common stock on that date). Shares of the Registrant's common stock held by each officer and director and each person who owns 5% or more of the outstanding common stock of the Registrant have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

As of May 28, 2004, 431,842,356 shares of the Registrant's common stock were outstanding.

---

---

## VERITAS SOFTWARE CORPORATION

### INDEX

	<u>Page</u>
<b><u>PART I</u></b>	
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Business</u>	2
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Properties</u>	13
<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	14
<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	15
<b><u>PART II</u></b>	
<u>Item 5.</u> <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	15
<u>Item 6.</u> <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	17
<u>Item 7.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	19
<u>Item 7A.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	49
<u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	53
<u>Item 9.</u> <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	68
<u>Item 9A.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	68
<b><u>PART III</u></b>	
<u>Item 10.</u> <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	70
<u>Item 11.</u> <u>Executive Compensation</u>	75
<u>Item 12.</u> <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	81
<u>Item 13.</u> <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	84
<u>Item 14.</u> <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	84
<b><u>PART IV</u></b>	
<u>Item 15.</u> <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K</u>	85
<u>Signatures</u>	93
<u>Financial Statements</u>	95
<u>Financial Statement Schedule</u>	139
<u>EXHIBIT 10.58</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 10.59</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 10.60</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 10.61</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 10.62</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 21.01</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 23.01</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 31.01</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 31.02</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 32.01</u>	

Edgar Filing: VERITAS SOFTWARE CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

VERITAS, the VERITAS logo and all other VERITAS names are trademarks or registered trademarks of VERITAS Software Corporation or its affiliates in the United States and other countries. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

## **Table of Contents**

*This annual report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Securities Act of 1933 that involve risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements include statements about our revenue, revenue mix, gross margin, operating expense levels, financial outlook, commitments under existing leases, research and development initiatives, sales and marketing initiatives and competition. In some cases, forward-looking statements are identified by words such as believe, anticipate, expect, intend, plan, will, may and similar expressions. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this annual report. All of these forward-looking statements are based on information available to us at this time, and we assume no obligation to update any of these statements. Actual results could differ from those projected in these forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including those identified in the section captioned Factors That May Affect Future Results appearing in Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere in this annual report. We urge you to review and consider the various disclosures made by us in this report, and those detailed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, that attempt to advise you of the risks and factors that may affect our future results.*

## **PART I**

### **Item 1. Business**

#### **Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements**

We have restated our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, including the corresponding interim periods for 2002 and 2001, and the interim periods ended March, June and September 2003. We have also revised our previously announced financial results for the fourth quarter and year ended December 31, 2003. Except as otherwise stated, all financial information contained in this annual report on Form 10-K gives effect to this restatement and revision. For information concerning the background of these matters, the specific adjustments made and management's discussion and analysis of our results of operations for periods giving effect to the restated results, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Selected Quarterly Results of Operations, Controls and Procedures and Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **Overview**

VERITAS Software Corporation is a leading independent supplier of storage and infrastructure software products and services. Our software products operate across a variety of computing environments, from personal computers, or PCs, and workgroup servers to enterprise servers and networking platforms in corporate data centers to protect, archive and recover business-critical data, provide high levels of application availability, enhance and tune system and application performance and enable recovery from disasters. Our solutions enable businesses to reduce costs by efficiently and effectively managing their information technology, or IT, infrastructure as they seek to maximize value from their IT investments. We offer software products focused on three areas:

*Data Protection:* products for ensuring the protection, retention and recovery of data using both disk and tape media.

*Storage Management:* products for optimizing storage hardware utilization, simplifying administration for environments with diverse computer hardware and software architectures and enabling high performance and continuous availability of mission-critical applications.

*Utility Computing Infrastructure:* products for managing IT service levels for high availability, high performance and process automation.

We develop and sell software products for the most widely-used operating systems, including various versions of Windows, UNIX and Linux. We also develop and sell software products that support a wide

## **Table of Contents**

variety of servers, storage devices, databases, applications and network equipment. Our customers include many leading global corporations and small and medium-sized enterprises located around the world and operating in a wide variety of industries. In addition to our software products, we also provide a full range of services to assist customers in assessing, architecting, implementing, supporting and maintaining their storage and infrastructure software solutions.

Our product strategy is to meet the data storage, system availability and performance needs of our customers, while remaining at the forefront of innovation to support our customers' long-term requirements by providing the building blocks for utility computing. Utility computing is a computing model that delivers IT as a measurable service, aligned with business needs and capable of adapting to changing demands. We offer a building block approach that allows our customers to evolve to a utility computing model in an evolutionary and modular fashion while leveraging their existing IT investments.

In 2003, we completed the acquisitions of Precise Software Solutions Ltd. and Jareva Technologies, Inc. These strategic acquisitions provided us with essential components for utility computing, including software that monitors, analyzes and improves the performance of application infrastructure, and automated server provisioning technology that allows businesses to automatically deploy additional servers without manual intervention. In January 2004, we acquired Ejasent, Inc. to add important application migration technology, which allows IT personnel to move an application from one server to another without disrupting or terminating the application, to our growing utility computing portfolio.

With revenue of \$1.75 billion in 2003, VERITAS ranks among the top 10 software companies in the world and, as of December 31, 2003, had 6,518 employees in 39 countries. We were incorporated in Delaware in October 1998. Our predecessor company was originally incorporated in California in 1982 and reincorporated in Delaware in 1997. Our principal offices are located at 350 Ellis Street, Mountain View, California 94043, and our telephone number at that location is (650) 527-8000. Our home page on the Internet is at <http://www.veritas.com>.

## **Products**

VERITAS offers a wide range of leading software products that are broadly categorized into data protection, storage management and utility computing infrastructure solutions. Demand for our software products and services is driven by the ever increasing quantity of data being collected and the need for data to be protected and accessible at all times, particularly in the event of a disaster. Other factors driving demand include the rapid increase in the number of Internet users and companies doing business online, the continuous automation of business processes, increased pressures on companies to lower storage and server management costs and increase the utilization and performance of existing heterogeneous IT assets and the increasing scrutiny on document retention and regulatory compliance. Our products offer our customers scalability for managing the rapid growth of data and the increasing complexity and size of IT environments.

**Table of Contents**

***Data Protection***

We offer software products designed to protect, back up, archive and restore data across a broad range of computing environments from large corporate data centers to remote groups and PC clients, such as desktop and laptop computers. Our data protection products protect and recover data on servers and for clients running most major operating systems and databases. These products integrate to provide solutions to manage data throughout its lifecycle from creation to disposal, both onsite and offsite, across all levels of the storage hierarchy including disk, tape and optical storage media. Our data protection products include:

<b>Product Set</b>	<b>Description</b>
VERITAS NetBackup	VERITAS NetBackup™ software is designed to deliver enterprise-class data protection for the most complex UNIX, Windows, Linux and NetWare environments, including corporate data centers, network attached storage and storage area network environments. It gives organizations the ability to manage all aspects of backup and recovery and allows consistent backup policies to be deployed across the enterprise. NetBackup software provides application- and database-aware backup and recovery solutions for certain data-intensive applications and all major databases. NetBackup software incorporates many advanced capabilities including disk-based data protection and multiple snapshot-based technologies for rapid recovery and improved backup performance. The product is tiered to provide solutions for a range of customers, from Global 1000 companies to medium-sized businesses and remote offices. In addition to the base product, the NetBackup product family includes options that provide advanced capabilities for disaster recovery, avoiding downtime during backups and restores, migrating data to cheaper storage media, protecting desktop and laptop PCs and monitoring and reporting from remote sites.
VERITAS Backup Exec	VERITAS Backup Exec™ software is designed to provide scheduled and automated data backup and restore functions for small- to medium-sized businesses or departments in larger corporations. VERITAS Backup Exec <i>for Windows Servers</i> supports Windows Server 2003, Windows Storage Server 2003, Windows Small Business Server, Windows NT, Windows 2000, Windows XP and Windows 98/ME. Backup Exec software has an array of options to provide disaster recovery and to protect data contained in applications such as Microsoft Exchange, Microsoft SQL and Lotus Domino. In addition to the base product, the Backup Exec family includes options that provide advanced data backup and restore capabilities. VERITAS Backup Exec <i>for NetWare</i> is designed to provide backup and restore functions for Novell NetWare environments, including support for NetWare 6.5, and has an array of options to protect data contained on remote NetWare, Windows NT, Windows 2000 and Windows 2003 servers.

**Table of Contents*****Storage Management***

We offer products for optimizing storage resource utilization, simplifying administration of heterogeneous environments and providing continuous availability of mission-critical applications and data. These products are designed for most UNIX, Linux and Windows servers, and include replication and a storage resource management suite. They are offered in both standalone and application solutions, as agents and options, and are often combined with our utility computing infrastructure and data protection products to deliver high levels of availability and performance. Our storage management products include:

<b>Product Set</b>	<b>Description</b>
Online Storage Management	VERITAS online storage management solutions are based on VERITAS Volume Manager and VERITAS File System, which are the major components in VERITAS Storage Foundation™ product. VERITAS Volume Manager is a storage virtualization technology that provides online disk storage management for UNIX, Linux and Windows servers as well as storage network devices. By creating virtual storage devices from physical disks and disk arrays, VERITAS Volume Manager removes the physical limitations of disks so administrators can dynamically allocate resources where they are needed most. VERITAS File System provides superior performance, data integrity, online manageability and fast recovery from operating system failure or disruption for UNIX and Linux servers. We offer integrated suites of these solutions, which improve performance, availability and manageability of databases and other mission-critical business application environments like Oracle, IBM DB2, Sybase and Microsoft SQL Server and Exchange.
Replication	VERITAS replication products ensure that enterprise-class application data is consistently and continuously recoverable. VERITAS Volume Replicator is a robust, flexible and multi-platform data replication tool designed for enterprise disaster recovery. VERITAS Storage Replicator for Microsoft Windows duplicates files or file systems at multiple locations for complete data protection or information distribution. It is used for centralizing branch office data to data centers for backup and disaster recovery and publishing file system content from one to many servers. We also supply various point-in-time copy features for application data on local disks.
Storage Resource Management	VERITAS storage resource management solutions are focused on reducing storage management costs by optimizing storage resource utilization and simplifying administration. VERITAS SANPoint Control™ software is a centralized management tool designed for enterprise-class storage and storage area networks. It provides information on storage assets, centralized control of heterogeneous environments and automation of complex configuration tasks across multiple operating systems. VERITAS Storage Reporter is a centralized reporting tool that provides information on how storage assets are being used within a business and application context. VERITAS StorageCentral™ software is a storage resource management tool that automatically controls Windows storage. It increases control of Windows server environments to ensure that only business-appropriate files are being stored on corporate resources.



**Table of Contents*****Utility Computing Infrastructure***

Our utility computing infrastructure products include tools for managing application availability and performance service level agreements, maximizing server and storage utilization and automating IT processes for enterprise data centers. These products include clustering/ high availability, application performance management and centralized service level management functionality. These products also include capabilities to measure and report costs incurred and standardized Web-based interfaces that reduce administrative costs. Our utility computing infrastructure products include:

Product Set	Description
Clustering/ High Availability	Clustering/high availability products include VERITAS Cluster Server, VERITAS Storage Foundation/HA, VERITAS Database Edition/HA and VERITAS Database Edition Advanced Cluster <i>for Oracle 9iRAC</i> , and provide high availability for heterogeneous servers. These products use clustering and application workload management technology to automate server failover in the event of planned or unplanned downtime, distribute application workload to maximize efficiency and performance and are designed to meet local area, campus or wide area IT datacenter availability requirements. VERITAS Global Cluster Manager adds an easy to use Web-based interface to manage failover scenarios across geographically distributed sites and centrally monitor application up-time. Using automated server provisioning, VERITAS OpForce™ software automates server discovery and deployment, provisioning servers to meet varying workload demand and reduce administrative cost. These products are supported across multiple operating systems, database vendors and many major software applications.
Application Performance Management	VERITAS i <sup>3</sup> ™ products optimize application performance by rapidly detecting, correlating, diagnosing and enabling correction of application performance problems. This software enables application managers to monitor transactions in real-time as they traverse the IT infrastructure. i <sup>3</sup> software is available for all major application environments including J2EE, Oracle applications, PeopleSoft, SAP, Siebel and Web-based applications. i <sup>3</sup> software also provides in-depth database performance analysis tools for databases such as Oracle, IBM DB2 and Microsoft SQL Server.
Service Level Management	VERITAS CommandCentral™ software is a centralized management Web portal that enables service level management for IT departments and their customers through a business measurement of IT operations and process automation. CommandCentral software enables customers to create service levels, map them to business units or departments, measure and report on IT resource consumption by the department or business unit and allocate cost of resource usage, which are integral elements of utility computing. CommandCentral Service is integrated with VERITAS data protection, storage management and utility computing infrastructure products and can collect information from third-party vendor products as well.

For information regarding revenue and long-lived assets by geographic areas, see Note 21, *Segment Information* in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. For information regarding the amount and percentage of our revenue contributed in each of our product categories, our practices regarding working capital requirements and our financial information, including information about geographic areas in which we operate, see Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Services**

We provide a full range of services to assist our customers in assessing, architecting, implementing, supporting and maintaining their storage and infrastructure software solutions. Our global services organization provides customers with maintenance and technical support, consulting and education services.

#### ***Maintenance and Technical Support***

We believe that providing a high level of customer service and technical support is critical to customer satisfaction and our success in increasing the adoption rate of our solutions. Most of our customers have maintenance and technical support agreements with us that provide for fixed fee, renewable annual maintenance and technical support, consisting of technical and emergency support, bug fixes and product upgrades. Our customers can choose from a variety of support packages to address their specific needs, ranging from one-time incident charges to comprehensive support services with a dedicated single point of contact at VERITAS. We offer seven-day a week, 24-hour a day telephone support, as well as e-mail customer support. In addition, through our Business Critical service, we provide our enterprise customers with support account management, emergency fly-to-site capability and specialized reporting. Some of the value-added resellers, system integrators and original equipment manufacturers that offer our products also provide customer technical support for our products through a frontline/backline arrangement whereby the partner handles the initial customer contact, the frontline, and we provide secondary support and engineering assistance, the backline.

#### ***Consulting***

We offer our customers a full suite of consulting services, ranging from basic product selection and implementation engagements to more complex strategic and analytical services like business continuity readiness assessments and disaster recovery planning. These services help our customers plan for the management and control of enterprise computing in their specific computing environments, including storage area network environments.

#### ***Education Services***

We have a worldwide customer education organization that offers structured training to our customers. The focus of this organization is aligned with our strategy to offer end-to-end software solutions by providing instruction from highly experienced education professionals either at the customer location or in one of our multi-platform classrooms. The training helps our customers optimize their investments in technology and technical personnel through access to high quality, comprehensive instruction.

### **Marketing, Sales and Distribution**

We sell and market our products and related services both directly to end-users and through a variety of indirect sales channels, which include value-added resellers, or VARs, distributors, systems integrators, or SIs, and original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs. Our customers include many leading global corporations and small and medium-sized enterprises around the world operating in a wide variety of industries.

*Direct Sales to End-Users, and VARs.* One of our primary methods of distribution to end-users is through our direct sales, services and technical support organizations that market our products and services throughout the world. Many of our products involve a consultative, solution-oriented sales model that uses the collaboration of technical and sales personnel to propose solutions to specific customer requirements, often in conjunction with hardware, software and managed services providers. We focus our initial sales efforts on senior executives and IT department personnel who are responsible for a customer's business initiatives and data center management. We complement our direct sales efforts with indirect sales channels such as resellers, VARs, distributors and SIs. Single and multiple tier distribution channels are important in our global expansion strategy and are the primary channels for addressing the small to medium-sized enterprise market. We will continue to invest in programs that train and enable our channel partners to market our technologies and utility computing capabilities. We provide our software products to our channel partners and customers

## **Table of Contents**

under non-exclusive license agreements, including shrink-wrap or click-wrap licenses for some products, without transferring title of our software products.

*Other Indirect Channels.* An important element of our sales and marketing strategy is to continue to expand our relationships with third parties, including our strategic partners, to increase market awareness, demand and acceptance of our products. Our strategic partners generate and qualify sales leads, recommend our solutions which interoperate with their products or are related to their value-added services, bring us into opportunities and complete transactions through distribution rights granted by us. We may enter into distribution arrangements for our products with our strategic partners, including granting rights to integrate or bundle our products with the partner's products and services. Some of our strategic partner relationships include:

*Independent Software Vendors:* We collaborate with and license our software to independent software vendors, or ISVs, including enterprise application software, database, infrastructure and other packaged application software vendors. Some of our significant ISV partners include Amdocs, BEA Systems, Inc., Novell, Oracle, SAP and Sybase, Inc. Application vendors can exert significant influence on our joint customers' buying decisions, so we will continue to develop strong, market-oriented relationships with certain ISVs, including joining and investing in their partner programs and demonstrating customer value for our joint solutions. We build, maintain and promote certain application program interfaces within our products that allow interoperability between our products and the ISVs' products. We also market ISV agents, options and extensions that are specifically built to allow interoperability with or optimal performance of our products and ISV products. ISVs may incorporate our product into their product, bundle our products with their products, serve as authorized resellers of our products or use VERITAS with their own products to provide hosted services. Under these arrangements, ISVs are not obligated to sell our products or services.

*System Integrators and Managed Services Providers:* We collaborate with system integrators, or SIs, who may refer their customers to us, utilize us as a subcontractor in some situations, build standard and customized solutions with our products or use our products to deliver hosted services as well as outsourced services. SIs use our products and services in conjunction with optimizing their client's investment in high-end transactional applications and related hardware. Some of our SI relationships include IBM Global Services, Accenture Ltd, Electronic Data Systems Corporation, Computer Sciences Corporation, Cap Gemini Ernst & Young Group and BearingPoint, Inc. Some SIs are authorized resellers of our products and some use our products and services to deliver consultative services or managed services. Under these arrangements, SIs and managed services providers are not obligated to use or sell our products or services.

In general, we receive a fee for each sublicense of our products granted by our partners. In some cases, we grant rights to distribute promotional versions of our products, which have limited functionality or limited use periods, on a non-fee basis. We enter into both object-code only and, when appropriate, source-code licenses of our products. We do not transfer title of our software products to our customers.

*Original Equipment Manufacturers.* Another important element of our sales and marketing strategy involves our strategic relationships with OEM partners. These OEM partners may incorporate our product into their products, bundle our products with their products, endorse our products in the marketplace or serve as authorized resellers of our products. Our OEM partners with whom we generate the greatest distribution and sales of our products include Dell Products L.P., Hewlett-Packard Company, International Business Machines Corporation (or IBM), Microsoft Corporation and Sun Microsystems, Inc. In addition, we have recently entered into strategic relationship with other OEMs, including Cisco Systems, Inc., Fujitsu Ltd., Hitachi Ltd., Intel Corporation, Network Appliance, Inc. and Unisys Corporation. In order to reach new markets and extend the value of our OEM partners, some of our partners may have additional rights to our products and services. These include using VERITAS in a hosted services environment, integrating our support services with their own support services, thereby providing combined services to our joint customers; or reselling our packaged as well as our custom consulting services. These licensing and services rights allow our partners' customers to maximize their system availability, performance and utilization through optimal

## **Table of Contents**

configurations and reliable installations. In general, our OEM partners are not obligated to sell our products or services under these arrangements and are not obligated to continue to include our products in future versions of their products.

*Other Important Relationships.* In addition to the channels of distribution and strategic relationships described above, we also maintain important relationships with various technology partners. Over 100 established and emerging companies, specializing in storage management, data protection or utility computing infrastructure, participate in our technology partner program and interoperability lab services, some gaining access to software development kits, special purpose testing programs and protocols, as well as development support services. By providing configuration guidance to the market, we help reduce customer's implementation time and the total cost of ownership of our products. We support a large and diverse number of hardware and software technology vendors, and, as a leader in storage and infrastructure software, we make significant contributions to industry standards and support them through our technology partner program, an approach consistent with our core strength in heterogeneous, open computing. Some technology partners integrate and distribute our products under licensing arrangements as bundled solutions for vertical markets such as telecommunications, finance and healthcare. Under these arrangements, technology partners are not obligated to sell our products.

## **Customers**

Our software solutions are used by customers in a wide variety of industries, including many leading global corporations and small and medium-sized enterprises around the world, as well as by various governmental entities. In 2003, 2002 and 2001, no end-user customer accounted for more than 10% of our net revenue. In 2003 and 2001, no distributor accounted for more than 10% of our net revenue. In 2002, a distributor that sells our products and services through resellers accounted for approximately 11% of our net revenue.

## **Competition**

The principal markets in which we compete are data protection, file system and volume management, clustering, replication, storage resource management, storage area network management, automated server provisioning, application performance management and centralized service level management. These markets are intensely competitive and rapidly changing. Our future anticipated growth and success will depend on our ability to develop superior products more rapidly and less expensively than our competitors, to educate potential customers as to the benefits of licensing our products rather than relying on alternative products and technologies and to develop additional channels to market.

Many of our strategic partners, including EMC Corporation, Hewlett-Packard, IBM, Microsoft, Oracle Corporation and Sun Microsystems, offer software products that compete with our products or have announced their intention to focus on developing or acquiring their own storage and enterprise management software products. While we may compete with these companies for a share of the market, some also resell our products, and in some cases incorporate our technology into their products or solutions. We also may be involved with them in collaborative efforts to address interoperability issues and to set standards for evolving technology.

In addition, we compete with hardware and software vendors that offer data protection products, file system and volume management products, clustering and replication products, storage area networking management solutions, automated server provisioning solutions and centralized service level management products. We compete with software vendors that offer application performance management solutions and systems management companies that are integrating storage resource management functions into their platforms. Some of our products also compete with enterprise management vendors, including BMC Software, Inc., Computer Associates International, Inc., Mercury Interactive Corporation and Quest Software, Inc.

The principal competitive factors in our industry include product functionality, product integration, platform coverage, price, ability to scale, worldwide sales and marketing infrastructure and global technical support. Although some of our competitors have greater financial, technical, sales, marketing and other

## **Table of Contents**

resources than we do, as well as greater name recognition and a larger installed customer base, we believe we compete favorably on the basis of each of these competitive factors relative to our competitors. We believe that our unique position as an independent software provider, strategy for utility computing infrastructure, hardware independent solutions and proven data protection and storage software market leadership, give us an advantaged position in the market.

Our future anticipated growth and success will depend on our ability to continue to develop products more rapidly than and superior to those of our competitors, educate potential customers as to the benefits of licensing our products rather than purchasing or using competing technologies and develop additional channels to market. Our future and existing competitors could introduce products with superior features, scalability and functionality at lower prices than our products, and could also bundle existing or new products with other more established products to compete with our products. Our competitors could also gain market share by acquiring or forming strategic alliances with our other competitors. Finally, because new distribution methods offered by the Internet and electronic commerce have removed many of the barriers to entry historically faced by start-up companies in the software industry, we may face additional competition from these companies in the future. Increased competition may result in price reductions, reduced gross margins and loss of market share, any of which could adversely affect our business and operating results.

## **Seasonality**

As is typical for many large software companies, our business is seasonal. Software license orders are generally higher in our fourth fiscal quarter and lower in our first fiscal quarter, with a significant decline in license orders in the first quarter of a fiscal year when compared to license orders in the fourth quarter of the prior fiscal year. In addition, we generally receive a higher volume of software license orders in the last month of a quarter, with orders concentrated in the later part of that month. We believe that this seasonality primarily reflects customer spending patterns and budget cycles, as well as the impact of compensation incentive plans for our sales personnel. Software license revenues generally reflect similar seasonal patterns but to a lesser extent than license orders because not all orders received during a quarter are shipped during that quarter, and license revenue is not recognized until an order is shipped and other revenue recognition criteria are met.

## **Unfilled License Orders and Deferred Revenue**

At the end of any quarter, we typically have unfilled license orders. Because we generally ship our software products within 30 days after acceptance of customer orders and revenues in a given quarter are generally dependent upon the amount of customer orders received during such quarter, we do not believe that unfilled license orders are a consistent or reliable indicator of future results.

Unfilled license orders represent cancelable and non-cancelable license orders that have been received from our customers for the license of our software products but have not been shipped as of the end of the applicable fiscal period. Our customers generally do not cancel orders for our software products. Unfilled license orders, which represent an unaudited operating measure, were approximately \$96 million and \$76 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Deferred license revenue represents license orders for our software products that have been billed to and paid by the customer and for which revenue has not yet been earned, but is generally earned within the next year. Deferred license revenue was approximately \$12 million and \$2 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Our unfilled license orders and quarterly license revenue will vary, depending primarily on the amount and timing of orders received and orders shipped. For additional information regarding factors that affect the timing of the recognition of software license revenue, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Revenue Recognition Delivery of Software Products.

Total deferred revenue includes, in addition to deferred license revenue described above, deferred services revenue related to maintenance and technical support contracts and professional services training and

## **Table of Contents**

consulting contracts. Deferred service revenue, which in the case of maintenance and technical support is generally recognized over the maintenance and support period of twelve months, or in the case of professional services, training or consulting services is generally recognized over the period the specific services are rendered, was approximately \$387 million and \$260 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

### **Research and Development**

Our research and development efforts have been directed toward developing new products for UNIX, Linux and Windows, developing new features and functionality for existing products, integrating products across our existing product lines, porting new and existing products to different operating systems and expanding our product portfolio into new markets such as application performance management, server provisioning and centralized service level management.

Our major research and development initiatives include:

*Continued focus on operating system platform expansion.* We have successfully ported the majority of our traditional storage software and enterprise data protection products to UNIX, Windows and Linux and are seeing increased acceptance of new platform offerings in the marketplace. In particular, we are increasing our investment in products for servers based on Intel architecture that we believe will be important to future data center architectures.

*New utility computing infrastructure products, including server provisioning, clustering, application performance management and service level management.* Our current product offerings contain many best-of-class products that serve as building blocks that enable customers to adopt a utility computing model. These products are also unique in their level of heterogeneous platform, application and database support. Future investment is focused on both creating new best-in-class building blocks as we better understand customer utility computing requirements and increasingly integrating these components to provide solution suites that automate IT processes, enable dynamic reconfiguration of the data centers and define, measure and enforce service level agreements.

*Replication, storage resource management embedded storage networking software and next generation virtualization technology.* During 2003, we saw increased acceptance of our replication and storage resource management solutions. Our unique replication approach enables customers to implement data recovery solutions at a much lower cost than traditional array-based approaches and we are increasingly integrating this function into our clustering and data protection technologies to simplify customer deployments. Our focus in storage resource management is to develop, acquire and integrate technology into a single suite for both storage area network management and business level reporting for data centers, and to increase distribution of low-end solutions for high volume servers in medium-sized businesses and remote offices. We also have formal development partnerships with Cisco Systems, Inc. and Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. in which we are embedding our industry leading volume management and replication software in their networking devices. Lastly, we are developing a new architecture for our volume manager that helps customers manage storage across a large number of servers.

*New data protection technologies for disk-based data protection, regulatory compliance and disaster recovery.* NetBackup 5.0, released in the fourth quarter of 2003, added significant new capabilities that enable customers to leverage increasingly inexpensive disk technology to protect their data as a complement to traditional tape based methodologies. We also announced an archiving product in the fourth quarter of 2003, the Data Lifecycle Management Option, that helps existing NetBackup and Backup Exec customers comply with corporate governance and industry regulations for long-term data retention and reporting. The development for this product is complete and we commenced shipment of this product in the first quarter of 2004. Both of these areas will continue to be priorities for new technology development and we will increasingly integrate these products and our storage management offerings into comprehensive solutions for data lifecycle management.

## **Table of Contents**

*Local language support.* We continue to focus on providing local language support for our traditional storage software and enterprise data protection products to increase the acceptance of these products in international markets.

We had research and development expenses, exclusive of in-process research and development associated with acquisitions, of \$301.9 million in 2003, \$274.9 million in 2002 and \$240.5 million in 2001. We believe that technical leadership is essential to our success and we expect to continue to commit substantial resources to research and development. Our future success will depend in large part on our ability to enhance existing products, respond to changing customer requirements and develop and introduce new products in a timely manner that keep pace with technological developments and emerging industry standards. We continue to make substantial investments in new products, which may or may not be successful. We may not complete these research and development efforts successfully and, therefore, future products may not be available on a timely basis or achieve market acceptance.

## **Intellectual Property Rights**

### ***Protective Measures***

We regard some of the features of our internal operations, software and documentation as proprietary and rely on copyright, patent, trademark and trade secret laws, confidentiality procedures, contractual and other measures to protect our proprietary information. Our intellectual property is an important and valuable asset that helps enable us to gain recognition for our products, services and technology and enhance our competitive position.

As part of our confidentiality procedures, we generally enter into non-disclosure agreements with our employees, distributors and corporate partners and license agreements with respect to our software, documentation and other proprietary information. These license agreements are generally non-transferable and have a perpetual term. We also educate our employees on trade secret protection and employ measures to protect our facilities, equipment and networks.

### ***Trademarks, Patents and Copyrights***

VERITAS and the VERITAS logo are trademarks or registered trademarks in the United States and other countries. In addition to VERITAS and the VERITAS logo, we have used, registered and/or applied to register other specific trademarks and service marks to help distinguish our products, technologies and services from those of our competitors in the U.S. and foreign countries and jurisdictions. We enforce our trademark, service mark and trade name rights in the U.S. and abroad. The duration of our trademark registrations varies from country to country and in the U.S., we generally are able to maintain our trademark rights and renew any trademark registrations for as long as the trademarks are in use.

We have a number of U.S. and foreign issued patents and pending patent applications, including patents and rights to patent applications acquired through strategic transactions, which relate to various aspects of our products and technology. The duration of our patents is determined by the laws of the country of issuance and for the U.S. is typically 17 years from the date of issuance of the patent or 20 years from the date of filing of the patent application resulting in the patent, which we believe is adequate relative to the expected lives of our products.

Our products are protected under U.S. and international copyright laws and laws related to the protection of intellectual property and proprietary information. We generally take measures to label such products with the appropriate proprietary rights notices and actively are enforcing such rights in the U.S. and abroad. However, these measures may not provide sufficient protection, and our intellectual property rights may not be of commercial benefit to us or the validity of these rights may be challenged. While we believe that our ability to maintain and protect our intellectual property rights is important to our success, we also believe that our business as a whole is not materially dependent on any particular patent, trademark, license or other intellectual property right.

**Table of Contents****Employees**

As of December 31, 2003, we had 6,518 employees, including 1,848 employees in research and development, 3,727 in sales, marketing, consulting, customer support and strategic initiatives and 943 in general and administrative services. We expect to hire additional employees in 2004, particularly in research and development and in sales, marketing, consulting and customer support. We have not entered into any collective bargaining agreements with our employees and believe that our relations with our employees are good. We believe that our future success will depend in part upon the continued service of our key employees and on our continued ability to hire and retain qualified personnel. We may not be able to retain our key employees and may not be successful in attracting and retaining sufficient numbers of qualified personnel to conduct our business in the future.

**Other Information**

Our Internet website is located at <http://www.veritas.com>. We make available free of charge on our website our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. Other than the information expressly set forth in this annual report, the information contained, or referred to, on our website is not incorporated into this annual report.

The public may also read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet website that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers, such as VERITAS, that file electronically with the SEC. The SEC's Internet site is located at <http://www.sec.gov>.

**Item 2. Properties**

Our properties consist primarily of leased office facilities for sales, research and development, consulting and administrative personnel. Our corporate headquarters consist of approximately 425,000 square feet located in Mountain View, California. Most of our facilities are occupied under leases that expire at various times through 2022. The table below shows the approximate square footage of the facilities that we leased as of December 31, 2003 in the U.S. and abroad, excluding approximately 32 executive suites in North America, 16 in Europe, 10 in Asia and one in South America.

<b>Location</b>	<b>Approximate Total Square Footage(1)</b>
United States	2,041,113
Canada	43,090
Europe/Middle East/Africa	422,387
Asia/ Australia	278,411
South America	15,091
Total	2,800,092

(1) Total square footage excludes approximately 63,003 square feet of space in the U.S. and 28,515 square feet of space in Europe that we sublease to third parties.

We believe our existing and planned facilities will be suitable for our needs. See Note 9, "Accrued Acquisition and Restructuring Costs" of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding our facility restructuring plan approved in the fourth quarter of 2002, Note 11, "Long-Term Debt" of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding our three build-to-suit lease agreements and Note 13, "Commitments" of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding our operating lease obligations.



**Table of Contents**

**Item 3. Legal Proceedings**  
**SEC Related Matters**

*SEC Investigation.* As previously disclosed, since the third quarter of 2002, we have received subpoenas issued by the Securities Exchange Commission in the investigation entitled *In the Matter of AOL/Time Warner*. The SEC has requested information concerning the facts and circumstances surrounding our transactions with AOL Time Warner, or AOL, and related accounting and disclosure matters. Our transactions with AOL, entered into in September 2000, involved a software and services purchase by AOL at a stated value of \$50.0 million and the purchase by us of advertising services from AOL at a stated value of \$20.0 million. In March 2003, we restated our financial statements for 2001 and 2000 to reflect a reduction in revenues and expenses of \$20.0 million. The restatement included an additional reduction in revenues and expenses of \$1.0 million related to two other contemporaneous transactions with other parties entered into in 2000 that involved software licenses and the purchase of on-line advertising services.

In March 2004, we announced our intention to restate our financial statements for 2002 and 2001 and revise our previously announced financial results for 2003. We voluntarily disclosed to the staff of the SEC past accounting practices applicable to our 2002 and 2001 financial statements that we determined were not in compliance with GAAP. For more information regarding the restatement of our financial statements for 2002 and 2001, including the corresponding interim periods for 2002 and 2001, and the interim periods ended March, June and September 2003, and the revision of our previously announced financial results for 2003, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Selected Quarterly Results of Operations and Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

We and our audit committee continue to cooperate with the SEC in its review of these matters. At this time, we cannot predict the outcome of the SEC's review.

*Securities Class Actions.* After we announced in January 2003 that we would restate our financial results as a result of transactions entered into with AOL in September 2000, numerous separate complaints purporting to be class actions were filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California alleging that we and some of our officers and directors violated provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The complaints contain varying allegations, including that we made materially false and misleading statements with respect to our 2000, 2001 and 2002 financial results included in our filings with the SEC, press releases and other public disclosures. On May 2, 2003, a lead plaintiff and lead counsel were appointed. A consolidated complaint was filed by the lead plaintiff on July 18, 2003. On December 10, 2003, the District Court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint, with leave to amend. On May 19, 2004, the District Court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' first amended complaint, with leave to amend. In addition, in 2003 several complaints purporting to be derivative actions were filed in California state court against some of our directors and officers. These complaints are based on the same facts and circumstances as the class actions and generally allege that the named directors and officers breached their fiduciary duties by failing to oversee adequately our financial reporting. The state court complaints have been consolidated into the action *In Re VERITAS Software Corporation Derivative Litigation*, which was filed on May 8, 2003 in the Superior Court of Santa Clara County and is currently pending. All of the complaints generally seek an unspecified amount of damages. The cases are still in the preliminary stages, and it is not possible for us to quantify the extent of our potential liability, if any. An unfavorable outcome in any of these matters could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow. In addition, defending any litigation may be costly and divert management's attention from the day-to-day operations of our business.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Other Litigation**

On January 10, 2003, Raytheon Company sued VERITAS along with Brocade Communications Systems, Oracle Corporation, Overland Storage Inc., Qualstar Corp., QLogic Corporation, Ricoh Corporation and Spectra Logic Corporation in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. Raytheon alleged infringement of a patent entitled Mass Data Storage Library and sought damages and an injunction against all defendants. On February 26, 2004, a confidential settlement was agreed to by Raytheon and VERITAS, and on March 17, 2004, the case was dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the settlement agreement. The settlement agreement did not have a material impact on our financial position or overall results of operations.

On October 23, 2001, Storage Computer Corporation sued VERITAS in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas alleging infringement of one of Storage Computer Corporation's patents. Currently, Storage Computer Corporation is alleging we infringed two of their U.S. patents. We have denied all material allegations in the complaints, filed counterclaims for declaratory judgment of invalidity and non-infringement of the patents-in-suit and alleged their infringement of one of our patents. Storage Computer Corporation is seeking damages of approximately \$50.0 million, treble damages, costs of suit and attorneys' fees and a permanent injunction from further alleged infringement. On March 12, 2004, the Court granted VERITAS' motions for summary judgment of non-infringement of the two patents at issue, and denied Storage Computer's motion for partial summary judgment. The Court did not address additional matters raised in VERITAS' motion. Storage Computer Corporation recently filed a notice of appeal.

In addition to the legal proceedings listed above, we are also party to various other legal proceedings that have arisen in the ordinary course of our business. While we currently believe that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or overall trends in results of operations, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on our results of operations and cash flows for the period in which the ruling occurs. The estimate of the potential impact on our financial position or overall results of operations for the above discussed legal proceedings could change in the future.

### **Item 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders***

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2003, there were no matters submitted to a vote of security holders, through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise.

## **PART II**

### **Item 5. *Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters*** **Price Range of Common Stock**

Our common stock is listed on the Nasdaq National Market under the symbol VRTSE .

Due to our inability to timely file this annual report on Form 10-K, Nasdaq notified us on March 17, 2004 that our securities would be subject to delisting from the Nasdaq National Market and changed our trading symbol from VRTS to VRTSE . After a hearing before The Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel, or the Panel, on April 22, 2004, we received a written determination from the Panel that our common stock would continue to be listed on the Nasdaq National Market provided that, among other things, we file with the SEC and Nasdaq this Form 10-K and our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 on or before June 22, 2004. The Panel determination further stated that the trading symbol for our common stock would be changed back to VRTS after Nasdaq receives confirmation that we have remedied our filing delinquencies.

**Table of Contents**

The table below shows the range of high and low reported sale prices on the Nasdaq National Market for our common stock for the periods indicated.

	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
<b>2004</b>		
First Quarter	\$40.68	\$26.00
<b>2003</b>		
First Quarter	\$20.45	\$15.55
Second Quarter	\$30.71	\$17.40
Third Quarter	\$36.96	\$26.51
Fourth Quarter	\$39.40	\$31.32
<b>2002</b>		
First Quarter	\$49.89	\$32.55
Second Quarter	\$44.50	\$17.93
Third Quarter	\$22.00	\$13.18
Fourth Quarter	\$20.61	\$10.29

As of May 28, 2004, there were approximately 4,928 holders of record of our common stock. Brokers and other institutions hold many of such shares on behalf of stockholders. We estimate the total number of stockholders represented by these record holders to be approximately 217,000.

**Dividend Policy**

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently anticipate that we will retain any future earnings to fund development and growth of our business and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

**Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities**

In August 2003, we issued \$520.0 million of 0.25% convertible subordinated notes due August 1, 2013, for which we received net proceeds of approximately \$508.2 million, to several initial purchasers in a private offering. For more information regarding the terms of the 0.25% convertible subordinated notes due August 1, 2013, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Convertible Subordinated Notes.

The offer and sale of securities in the transaction described above was deemed to be exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance upon Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Regulation D promulgated thereunder, as a transaction by an issuer not involving any public offering. The securities were resold by the initial purchasers upon reliance on Rule 144A. Each initial purchaser made representations that it was an accredited investor, as defined in Rule 501 promulgated under the Securities Act, and as to its compliance with Rule 144A.

**Table of Contents****Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following selected consolidated financial data has been derived from our consolidated financial statements. All financial information set forth below reflect the restatement of our financial statements for fiscal years 2002 and 2001, including the corresponding interim periods for 2002 and 2001, and the interim periods ended March, June and September 2003, and revisions to our previously announced financial information as of and for the fourth quarter and year ended December 31, 2003, as discussed below under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Selected Quarterly Results of Operations and Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The restatement also affects periods prior to 2001 and, accordingly, we have revised our selected consolidated financial data for fiscal years 2000 and 1999 on an unaudited basis. This data should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, and Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

We have not amended our annual reports on Form 10-K or quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods affected by the restatement. The information that has been previously filed or otherwise reported for these periods is superseded by the information in this annual report, and the financial statements and related financial information contained in such reports should no longer be relied upon.

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999
		(As Restated)	(As Restated)	(Unaudited) (7)	(Unaudited) (7)
	(In thousands, except per share data)				
<b>Consolidated Statement of Operations Data:</b>					
Total net revenue	\$ 1,747,087	\$ 1,505,998	\$ 1,489,225	\$ 1,190,114	\$ 602,405
Amortization of developed technology	35,267	66,917	63,086	62,054	35,954
Amortization of goodwill and other intangibles(1)	35,249	72,064	885,397	878,050	510,943
Stock-based compensation(2)	2,680	435	8,079		
Restructuring costs (reversals)(3)		99,308		(4,440)	11,000
In-process research and development(4)	19,400				104,200
Income (loss) from operations	386,985	129,369	(536,810)	(555,804)	(468,196)
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle(6)	353,722	58,266	(635,791)	(620,131)	(498,478)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax(5)	(6,249)				
Net income (loss)	\$ 347,473	\$ 58,266	\$ (635,791)	\$ (620,131)	\$ (498,478)
Income (loss) per share before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle basic	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.14	\$ (1.59)	\$ (1.55)	\$ (1.57)
Income (loss) per share before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle diluted	\$ 0.81	\$ 0.14	\$ (1.59)	\$ (1.55)	\$ (1.57)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle per share basic	\$ (0.01)	\$	\$	\$	\$
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle per share diluted	\$ (0.01)	\$	\$	\$	\$
Net income (loss) per share basic	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.14	\$ (1.59)	\$ (1.55)	\$ (1.57)
Net income (loss) per share diluted	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.14	\$ (1.59)	\$ (1.55)	\$ (1.57)
Number of shares used in computing per share amounts basic	420,754	409,523	399,016	400,034	316,892

Edgar Filing: VERITAS SOFTWARE CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Number of shares used in computing per share amounts diluted	434,446	418,959	399,016	400,034	316,892
--	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------

**Table of Contents**

	December 31,				
	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999
		(As Restated)	(As Restated) (In thousands)	(Unaudited) (7)	(Unaudited) (7)
<b>Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:</b>					
Cash, cash equivalents and investments	\$ 2,503,015	\$ 2,241,321	\$ 1,687,936	\$ 1,255,109	\$ 757,417
Working capital	2,032,095	1,905,752	1,566,977	1,066,223	702,935
Total assets(5)	5,348,466	4,199,335	3,780,329	4,061,196	4,227,693
Long-term debt obligations(5)	905,209	465,252	444,408	429,176	451,044
Accumulated deficit	(1,378,076)	(1,725,549)	(1,783,815)	(1,148,024)	(527,893)
Stockholders equity	3,543,594	2,902,991	2,741,042	2,986,636	3,397,543

- (1) In 1999, we acquired three companies which we accounted for using the purchase method of accounting, and accordingly we recorded developed technology, goodwill and other intangible assets of \$3,752.0 million. Until December 31, 2001, these assets were being amortized over their estimated useful life of four years, and resulted in amortization charges of approximately \$236 million per quarter. On January 1, 2002, upon adoption of newly issued Statement of Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 141, *Business Combinations*, and SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, the total quarterly charges related to the amortization of goodwill and other intangibles decreased as we no longer amortize goodwill.
- (2) In 2003, we recorded \$2.7 million of stock-based compensation primarily related to the January 2003 acquisition of Jareva and the June 2003 acquisition of Precise. In 2001, we recorded a stock-based compensation charge of \$8.1 million primarily related to the acceleration of certain stock options held by our former chief executive officer.
- (3) In 2002, we recorded a restructuring charge of approximately \$99.3 million related primarily to our facility restructuring plan to exit and consolidate certain of our worldwide facilities. In 1999, we recorded a restructuring charge of \$11.0 million related primarily to costs for our duplicative facilities that we planned to vacate, of which \$4.4 million was reversed in 2000 as a result of lower actual exit costs than originally estimated with respect to our duplicative facilities.
- (4) In 2003 and 1999, we recorded non-cash charges of \$19.4 million and \$104.2 million, respectively, related to the write-off of in-process research and development that related to two acquisitions in 2003 and one acquisition in 1999.
- (5) In July 2003, we adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation Number 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, which required us to consolidate our variable interest entities into our financial statements. As a result of consolidating these entities in the third quarter of 2003, we reported a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle in accordance with Accounting Principles Board, or APB, Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes*, with a charge of \$6.2 million which equals the amount of depreciation expense that would have been recorded had these variable interest entities been consolidated from the date the properties were available for occupancy, net of tax. In addition, on July 1, 2003, we recorded property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, equal to \$366.8 million, long-term debt in the amount of \$369.2 million and non-controlling interest of \$11.4 million for a total of \$380.6 million of long-term debt included on the balance sheet.
- (6) Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle for the year ended December 31, 2003 includes an adjustment for an income tax benefit of \$95.1 million related to the March 15, 2004 settlement of certain tax audits associated with our 2000 acquisition of Seagate Technology.
- (7) The unaudited selected consolidated financial data for 2000 and 1999 have been revised to reflect adjustments related to the restatement described below under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The adjustments increased revenue and decreased net loss for 2000 by \$2.7 million and \$8.2 million, respectively, and increased revenue and decreased net loss for 1999 by \$6.3 million and \$4.5 million, respectively. In the accompanying audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2001 these adjustments are reflected as opening adjustments to the Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss).



**Table of Contents**

**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

*This annual report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Securities Act of 1933 that involve risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements include statements about our revenue, revenue mix, gross margin, operating expense levels, financial outlook, commitments under existing leases, research and development initiatives, sales and marketing initiatives and competition. In some cases, forward-looking statements are identified by words such as believe, anticipate, expect, intend, plan, will, may and similar expressions. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this annual report. All of these forward-looking statements are based on information available to us at this time, and we assume no obligation to update any of these statements. Actual results could differ from those projected in these forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including those identified in the section captioned Factors That May Affect Future Results below, and elsewhere in this annual report. We urge you to review and consider the various disclosures made by us in this report, and those detailed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, that attempt to advise you of the risks and factors that may affect our future results.*

**Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements**

We have restated our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, including the corresponding interim periods for 2002 and 2001, and the interim periods ended March, June and September 2003. We have also revised our previously announced financial results for the fourth quarter and year ended December 31, 2003.

In March 2004, we announced our intention to restate our financial statements for 2002 and 2001 and revise our previously announced results for 2003. The decision resulted from the findings of an investigation into past accounting practices that concluded on March 12, 2004. The investigation resulted from concerns raised by an employee in late 2003, which led to a detailed review of the matter in accordance with our corporate governance processes, including the reporting of the matter to the audit committee of our board of directors, and to KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm. The audit committee retained independent counsel to investigate issues relating to past accounting practices, and the audit committee's counsel retained independent accountants to assist with the investigation. The independent investigation included the performance of a number of forensic accounting procedures, a review of internal documents and communications and interviews with both current and former employees.

The independent investigation concluded on March 12, 2004 and identified certain accounting practices under the direction of former financial management that were determined not to be in compliance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, or GAAP. These practices included the incorrect deferral of professional services revenue for 2002 and 2001, the unsubstantiated accrual of certain expenses in periods prior to 2003, and the overstatement of accounts receivable and deferred revenue by approximately \$7 million (unaudited) at June 30, 2002. Upon conclusion of the investigation, we announced on March 15, 2004 our decision to restate our financial statements for 2002 and 2001. We also announced that our previously announced financial results for the year ended December 31, 2003 would be revised to reflect the impact of having made the corrections of the prior periods and to reflect the settlement finalized on March 15, 2004 of tax audits related to our 2000 acquisition of Seagate Technology.

Following the investigation and our determination to restate our financial statements, we performed additional procedures to ensure the accuracy of our financial information. These additional procedures included a further review of internal documents, tests of certain system controls, cut-off procedures and a review of revenue transactions and other cost and expense accounts. As a result, we determined to correct additional errors made in 2003 and prior periods, including errors that were previously not recorded because in each such case and in aggregate we believed the amount of any such error was not material to our consolidated financial statements. We also made certain revenue, expense and balance sheet reclassifications.



## **Table of Contents**

As a result of the foregoing, we have adjusted our financial results as follows:

For the year ended December 31, 2003, total net revenue decreased from \$1.771 billion to \$1.747 billion and net income increased from \$274 million to \$347 million, of which \$95 million related to the March 15, 2004 settlement of certain tax audits associated with our 2000 acquisition of Seagate Technology. Diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2003, increased from \$0.63 to \$0.80 as a result of these adjustments. Excluding the effect of the Seagate settlement, net income would have decreased from \$274 million to \$252 million and diluted earnings per share would have decreased from \$0.63 to \$0.58.(1)

For the year ended December 31, 2002, total net revenue decreased from \$1.507 billion to \$1.506 billion; net income increased from \$57 million to \$58 million and diluted earnings per share remained constant at \$0.14.

For the year ended December 31, 2001, total net revenue decreased from \$1.492 billion to \$1.489 billion; net loss decreased from \$642 million to \$636 million; and diluted loss per share decreased from \$(1.61) to \$(1.59).

The restatement also resulted in a \$12.7 million decrease to our accumulated deficit at December 31, 2000.

The restatement adjustments did not materially affect our reported cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments balance as of December 31, 2003.

See Item 6 Selected Financial Data for additional information regarding adjustments to our accumulated deficit at December 31, 2000 and December 31, 1999; Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Selected Quarterly Results of Operations for additional information regarding our restated quarterly results for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001; and Note 2 Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding the impact of the restatement as of December 31, 2002 and for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001.

## **Overview**

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, or MD&A, is intended to help the reader understand our company. MD&A is provided as a supplement to and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes.

### ***Our Business***

VERITAS Software Corporation is a leading independent supplier of storage and infrastructure software products and services. Our software products operate across a variety of computing environments, from PCs and workgroup servers to enterprise servers and networking platforms in corporate data centers. Our products protect, archive and recover business-critical data, provide high levels of application availability, enhance and tune system and application performance and enable recovery from disasters. Our solutions enable businesses to reduce costs by efficiently and effectively managing their IT infrastructure as they seek to maximize value from their IT investments. See Item 1, Business for a more complete description of our business.

We generate revenues, income and cash flows by licensing software products and selling related services to our customers, which include many leading global corporations and small and medium-sized enterprises around the world operating in a wide variety of industries. We market our products and related services both directly and through a variety of indirect sales channels, which include value added resellers, or VARs, distributors, system integrators, or SIs, and original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs. Specifically, the

---

(1) Net income and diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2003, excluding the impact of the Seagate settlement, represent non-GAAP financial measures. We have included these non-GAAP financial measures because we believe they provide useful information to our investors regarding the impact of the restatement to our financial results for 2003.

## **Table of Contents**

channel mix for 2003 was 59% from sales to end-users and through VARs, and 41% from other indirect sales channels, which includes 10% from our OEM partners.

We invest significantly in research and development activities and in 2003 we spent \$301.9 million on research and development, exclusive of in-process research and development associated with acquisitions. Our research and development efforts have been directed toward developing new products for UNIX, Linux and Windows, developing new features and functionality for existing products, integrating products across our existing product lines, porting new and existing products to different operating systems and expanding our product portfolio into new markets such as application performance management, server provisioning and centralized service level management.

In the fourth quarter of 2003, we revised our revenue reporting categories to more closely align with how we manage our business. Historically, we categorized our revenue between Core and Emerging technologies. We believe the new revenue categories will provide investors with better insight into our results. These new categories are Data Protection, Storage Management and Utility Computing Infrastructure. Our revenue performance in these categories is described in the User License Fees section of MD&A.

### ***Our Strategy***

Our strategy is to continue to compete in our current markets while expanding and integrating our product portfolio in the area of utility computing infrastructure, to continue to expand our product offering across key operating system platforms, including UNIX, Linux and Windows, and to continue to invest for growth in international markets.

We expect to continue to grow the company organically and through acquisitions. In 2003, we completed two key acquisitions that provided us with essential components of our utility computing infrastructure product strategy, including application performance management software and server provisioning technology. In January 2004, we completed the acquisition of Ejasent, Inc., which added application migration technology to our utility computing infrastructure portfolio. We will continue to evaluate new strategic acquisitions in the future.

In 2003, revenue from international sales, consisting of sales of license and services to customers located outside the United States, was \$633.5 million, up 29% from 2002, and represented 36% of our total net revenue. This growth is primarily the result of our increased sales investment in our international geographies, market strength in the emerging market areas in Europe and Asia and a favorable impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates related to the weaker U.S. dollar. We expect to continue to grow international revenue faster than total revenue by increasing the size and breadth of our international operations.

### ***Our Financial Position***

In the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, our operating results were impacted by several factors, including IT spending trends, the growing need of enterprises to effectively manage storage and computing infrastructure, the strength of our product offerings and the contribution of our recent acquisitions. In 2003, we experienced stronger IT spending in our customer base, resulting in stronger demand for our products and growth in our user license fees. The acquisition of Precise and the integration of the acquired products into our product offerings contributed to our growth, as did our increased sales penetration in international markets and the favorable impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Additionally, our services revenue grew significantly due to new service contracts associated with user license fees as well as our success in increasing support contract renewals within our customer base.

Net revenue and net income per share are key measurements of our financial condition. For fiscal 2003, net revenue was a record \$1,747.1 million, an increase of 16% from 2002. Revenue from user license fees was \$1,092.7 million, an increase of 11% from 2002 and representing 63% of total revenue. Services revenue in 2003 was \$654.4 million, an increase of 26% from 2002, and representing 37% of total net revenue. Diluted net income per share was \$0.80 in 2003, up significantly from \$0.14 in 2002, as a result of earnings leverage from revenue growth and also due to significant restructuring charges taken in 2002 as a result of both exiting and

## **Table of Contents**

consolidating certain facilities, as well as the impact on 2003 of the recent settlement of tax audits relating to our 2000 acquisition of Seagate.

We continue to retain a significant balance of cash and short-term investments and to generate cash from operations. As of December 31, 2003, we had approximately \$2,503.0 million in cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, which represented approximately 71% of our tangible assets. We generated cash of approximately \$628.0 million from operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2003. We utilize cash in ways that management believes provides an optimal return on investment. Principal uses of our cash include purchases of property and equipment, acquisition of businesses and technologies and the repurchase of our common stock.

## **Recent Acquisitions**

In June 2003, we acquired Precise Software Solutions Ltd., or Precise, a provider of application performance management products. We acquired Precise to expand our product and service offerings into application performance management. We accounted for the Precise acquisition using the purchase method of accounting for total purchase consideration of \$715.1 million. We have included the results of operations of Precise in our consolidated financial statements beginning July 1, 2003. In connection with the acquisition of Precise, we allocated approximately \$15.3 million of the purchase price to in-process research and development, or IPR&D, that has not yet reached technological feasibility and has no alternative future use. We have expensed this amount as a non-tax deductible charge in our statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2003.

In January 2003, we acquired Jareva Technologies, Inc., or Jareva, a privately held provider of automated server provisioning products that enable businesses to automatically deploy additional servers without manual intervention. We acquired Jareva to integrate its technology into our software products. This technology enables our customers to optimize their investments in server hardware by deploying new server resources on demand. We accounted for the Jareva acquisition using the purchase method of accounting for total purchase consideration of \$68.7 million. We have expensed the acquired IPR&D of \$4.1 million in our statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2003.

During 2001, we completed three acquisitions of privately held companies for total purchase consideration of approximately \$75.6 million using the purchase method of accounting. We have included the results of operations of the acquired businesses in our consolidated financial statements from the respective dates of acquisition.

## **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

We believe that there are several accounting policies that are critical to understanding our historical and future performance, as these policies affect the reported amounts of revenue and other significant areas that involve management's judgments and estimates. These critical accounting policies and estimates include:

revenue recognition;

restructuring expenses and related accruals;

impairment of long-lived assets; and

accounting for income taxes.

These policies and estimates and our procedures related to these policies and estimates are described in detail below and under specific areas within the discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations. Please refer to Note 1, Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of our accounting policies and estimates.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Revenue Recognition***

We make significant judgments related to revenue recognition. For each arrangement, we make significant judgments regarding the fair value of multiple elements contained in our arrangements, judgments regarding whether our fees are fixed or determinable and judgments regarding whether collectibility is probable. We also make significant judgments when accounting for concurrent transactions with our suppliers and in our accounting for potential product returns and, in some cases, we have discretion over the timing of product shipments. These judgments, and their effect on revenue recognition, are discussed below.

### ***Multiple Element Arrangements***

We typically enter into arrangements with customers that include perpetual software licenses, maintenance and technical support. Some arrangements may also include consulting and education services. Software licenses are sold as site licenses or on a per copy basis. Site licenses give customers the right to copy licensed software on either a limited or unlimited basis during a specified term. Per copy licenses give customers the right to use a single copy of licensed software. We make judgments regarding the fair value of each element in the arrangement and generally account for each element separately.

Assuming all other revenue recognition criteria are met, license revenue is recognized upon delivery using the residual method in accordance with SOP No. 98-9, *Modification of SOP No. 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, with Respect to Certain Transactions*. Under the residual method, we allocate and defer revenue for the undelivered elements based on vendor-specific objective evidence, or VSOE, of fair value, and recognize the difference between the total arrangement fee and the amount deferred for the undelivered elements as revenue. Undelivered elements typically include maintenance and technical support, consulting and education services. The determination of fair value of each undelivered element in multiple element arrangements is based on the price charged when the same element is sold separately. If sufficient evidence of fair value cannot be determined for any undelivered item, all revenue from the arrangement will be deferred until VSOE of fair value can be established or until all elements of the arrangement have been delivered. If the only undelivered element is maintenance and technical support for which we cannot establish VSOE, we will recognize the entire arrangement fees ratably over the maintenance and support term.

Our VSOE of fair value for maintenance and technical support is based upon stated renewal rates for site licenses and historical renewal rates for per copy licenses. Maintenance and technical support revenue is recognized ratably over the maintenance term. Our VSOE of fair value for education services is based upon the price charged when sold separately. Revenue is recognized when the customer has completed the course. For annual education passes, revenue is recognized ratably over the one-year term. Our VSOE of fair value for consulting is based upon the price charged when sold separately. Consulting revenue is recognized as work is performed when reasonably dependable estimates can be made of the extent of progress toward completion, contract revenue and contract costs. Otherwise, consulting revenue is recognized when the services are complete.

### ***The Fee is Fixed or Determinable***

We make judgments, at the outset of an arrangement, regarding whether the fees are fixed or determinable. Our customary payment terms are generally within 30 days after invoice date. Arrangements with payment terms extending beyond 90 days after invoice date are not considered to be fixed or determinable, in which case revenue is recognized as the fees become due and payable.

### ***Collection is Probable***

We also make judgments at the outset of an arrangement regarding whether collection is probable. Probability of collection is assessed on a customer-by-customer basis. We typically sell to customers with whom we have a history of successful collections. New customers are subjected to a credit review process to evaluate the customer's financial position and ability to pay. If it is determined at the outset of an arrangement that collection is not probable, revenue is recognized upon receipt of payment.

## **Table of Contents**

### *Indirect Channel Sales*

We generally recognize revenue from licensing of software products through our indirect sales channel upon sell-through or when evidence of an end-user exists. For certain types of customers, such as distributors, we recognize revenue upon receipt of a point of sales report, which is our evidence that the products have been sold through to an end user. For resellers, we recognize revenue when we obtain evidence that an end user exists, which is usually when the software is delivered. For licensing of our software to original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, royalty revenue is recognized when the OEM reports the sale of software to an end-user customer, generally on a quarterly basis. In addition to license royalties, some OEMs pay an annual flat fee and/or support royalties for the right to sell maintenance and technical support to the end-user. We recognize revenue from OEM support royalties and fees ratably over the term of the support agreement.

### *Transactions with our Suppliers*

Some of our customers are also our suppliers. Occasionally, in the normal course of business, we purchase goods or services for our operations from these suppliers at or about the same time we license our software to them. We also have multi-year agreements under which we receive sub-licensing royalty payments from OEMs from whom we may also purchase goods or services. We identify and review significant transactions to confirm that they are separately negotiated at terms we consider to be arm's length. In cases where the transactions are not separately negotiated, we apply the provisions of Accounting Principles Board, or APB, Opinion No. 29, *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*, and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue, or EITF, No. 01-02, *Interpretations of APB Opinion 29*. If the fair values are reasonably determinable, revenue is recorded at the fair values of the products delivered or products or services received, whichever is more readily determinable. If we cannot determine fair value of either of the goods or services involved within reasonable limits, we record the transaction on a net basis. License revenue associated with software licenses entered into with our suppliers at or about the same time that we purchase goods or services from them is not material to our consolidated financial statements.

### *Product Returns*

We estimate potential future product returns based on our analysis of historical return rates and reduce current period product revenue accordingly. Actual returns may vary from estimates if we experience a change from historical sales and returns patterns or if there are unanticipated changes in competitive or economic conditions that affect our actual returns.

### *Delivery of Software Products*

Delivery of our software products is a prerequisite to the recognition of software license revenue. We consider delivery complete when the software products have been shipped and the customer has access to license keys. If arrangements include an acceptance provision, we defer the revenue and recognize it upon the earlier of receipt of written customer acceptance or expiration of the acceptance period. In some cases, we have discretion over the timing of product shipments, which affects the timing of revenue recognition for software license orders. In those cases, we consider a number of factors, including: the impact of the related license revenue on our business plan; the delivery dates requested by customers and resellers; the amount of software license orders received in the quarter; the amount of software license orders shipped in the quarter; the degree to which software license orders received are concentrated at the end of a quarter; and our operational capacity to fulfill software license orders at the end of a quarter.

### *Restructuring Expenses and Related Accruals*

We monitor and regularly evaluate our organizational structure and associated operating expenses. Depending on events and circumstances, we may decide to restructure our operations to reduce operating costs.

We applied the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, Issue No. 94-3, *Liability Recognized for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and other Costs to Exit an Activity (Including Certain Costs*

## **Table of Contents**

*Incurred in a Restructuring*), to all of our restructuring activities initiated before January 1, 2003. For exit or disposal activities initiated on or after January 1, 2003, we apply the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities*.

Our restructuring costs and any resulting accruals involve significant estimates made by management using the best information available at the time the estimates are made, some of which may be provided by third parties. These estimates include facility exit costs, such as lease termination costs, and amount and timing of sublease income and related sublease expense costs, such as brokerage fees.

We regularly evaluate a number of factors to determine the appropriateness and reasonableness of our restructuring accruals. These factors include, but are not limited to, our ability to enter into sublease or lease termination agreements and market data about lease rates, timing and term of potential subleases and costs associated with terminating certain leases on vacated facilities.

Our estimates involve a number of risks and uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control, including future real estate market conditions and our ability to successfully enter into subleases or lease termination agreements upon terms as favorable as those assumed under our restructuring plan. Actual results may differ significantly from our estimates and may require adjustments to our restructuring accruals and operating results in future periods. For example, if the actual proceeds from our sublease agreements were to differ by 10% from the estimate we included in our facility restructuring plan, the facility restructuring charge recorded in operating expenses during the fourth quarter of 2002 would have been different by approximately \$6 million.

### ***Impairment of Long-Lived Assets***

We review our goodwill for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. We are required to test our goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level. We have determined that we have only one reporting unit. The test for goodwill impairment is a two-step process:

Step 1 We compare the carrying amount of our reporting unit, which is the book value of our entire company, to the fair value of our reporting unit, which corresponds to our market capitalization. If the carrying amount of our reporting unit exceeds its fair value, we have to perform the second step of the process. If not, no further work is required.

Step 2 We compare the implied fair value of our reporting unit's goodwill to its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of our reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss will be recognized in an amount equal to that excess.

We completed this test during the fourth quarter of 2003 and were not required to record an impairment loss on goodwill.

We review our long-lived assets, including property and equipment and other intangibles, for impairment whenever events indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. When we determine that one or more impairment indicators are present for an asset, we compare the carrying amount of the asset to net future undiscounted cash flows that the asset is expected to generate. If the carrying amount of the asset is greater than the net future undiscounted cash flows that the asset is expected to generate, we would compare the fair value to the book value of the asset. If the fair value is less than the book value, we would recognize an impairment loss. The impairment loss would be the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over its fair value.

Some of the events that we consider as impairment indicators for our long-lived assets, including goodwill, are:

significant underperformance of our company relative to expected operating results;

our net book value compared to our market capitalization;

significant adverse economic and industry trends;

**Table of Contents**

significant decrease in the market value of the asset;

the extent to which we use an asset or changes in the manner which we use it; and

significant changes to the asset since we acquired it.

Significant assumptions and estimates are made when determining if our goodwill or other long-lived assets have been impaired or if there are indicators of impairment. We base our estimates on assumptions that we believe to be reasonable, but actual future results may differ from those estimates as our assumptions are inherently unpredictable and uncertain. Our estimates include estimates of future market growth and trends, forecasted revenue and costs, expected periods of asset utilization, appropriate discount rates and other variables. Based on our assumptions and estimates, we do not expect to record an impairment loss on our long-lived assets in the near future.

**Accounting for Income Taxes**

We are required to estimate our income taxes in each federal, state and international jurisdiction in which we operate. This process requires that we estimate the current tax exposure as well as assess temporary differences between the accounting and tax treatment of assets and liabilities, including items such as accruals and allowances not currently deductible for tax purposes. The income tax effects of the differences we identify are classified as current or long-term deferred tax assets and liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets. Our judgments, assumptions and estimates relative to the current provision for income tax take into account current tax laws, our interpretation of current tax laws and possible outcomes of current and future audits conducted by foreign and domestic tax authorities. Changes in tax laws or our interpretation of tax laws and the resolution of current and future tax audits could significantly impact the amounts provided for income taxes in our balance sheet and results of operations. We must also assess the likelihood that deferred tax assets will be realized from future taxable income and, based on this assessment, establish a valuation allowance, if required. As of December 31, 2003, we determined the valuation allowance to be \$138.4 million based upon uncertainties related to our ability to recover certain deferred tax assets. These deferred tax assets are in specific geographical or jurisdictional locations or are related to losses on strategic investments that will only be realized with the generation of future capital gains within a limited time period. Our determination of our valuation allowance is based upon a number of assumptions, judgments and estimates, including forecasted earnings, future taxable income and the relative proportions of revenue and income before taxes in the various domestic and international jurisdictions in which we operate. Future results may vary from these estimates, and at this time it is not practicable to determine if we will need to establish an additional valuation allowance and if it will have a material impact on our financial statements.

**Results of Operations****Net Revenues**

	2003	2002	2001
		(As restated)	(As restated)
		(In millions, except percentages)	
Net revenues	\$ 1,747.1	\$ 1,506.0	\$ 1,489.2
Percentage increase over prior period	16%	1%	

In 2003, our total net revenue increased by \$241.1 million or 16% due primarily to the growth in user license fees which grew by 11%, increased sales penetration of international markets which grew by 29% and the continued growth of our services businesses which grew by 26%. During 2003, as part of our strategy to increase our net revenues, we continued expanding our product portfolio and offerings, increased the computer platforms supported by our software and continued to invest in sales and service capacity internationally. In 2002, our total net revenue was impacted by weak general economic and industry conditions resulting in reduced capital spending by our customers, which was partially offset by international growth in license and services revenue. In 2001, our total net revenue increased more slowly than expected because of reduced capital spending by our customers due to weak general economic and industry conditions and due to the events of September 11, 2001. While we believe that the increase in total net revenue achieved in recent periods is not necessarily indicative of future results, we expect total net revenue to increase in 2004 as a result of

**Table of Contents**

increased penetration of international markets, the benefit of new product offerings and continued growth of services revenue, as well as improved general economic conditions.

**International Sales and Operations**

Our international sales consist of sales of licenses and services to customer locations outside the United States and are generated primarily through our international sales subsidiaries. International revenue, a majority of which is collectible in foreign currencies, accounted for approximately 36% of our total revenue in 2003, 32% of our total revenue in 2002 and 29% of our total revenue in 2001. Our international revenue increased 29% to \$633.5 million in 2003 from \$489.3 million in 2002 and 15% in 2002 from \$427.2 million in 2001. During 2003, our international revenue increased across all geographic areas and we saw greater strength in the emerging markets in Europe and Asia-Pacific. Additionally, during 2003 our international sales benefited from favorable foreign currency exchange rate movements relative to the weaker U.S. dollar. Excluding the benefit from foreign currency movement, the increase in international sales would have been 20% from 2002 to 2003. We expect that our international revenue will continue to increase in absolute dollars and as a percent of total revenue in 2004 because of the continued expansion of international markets and the focus and increased investment by our company in these markets.

We believe that a key component of our growth strategy is the continued expansion of our international operations. We currently have sales and services offices and resellers located in Europe, Asia-Pacific and Japan, Latin America, Canada, Africa and the Middle East, and research and development centers in India, the United Kingdom and Israel. International expansion will require us to establish additional foreign offices, hire additional personnel and recruit new international resellers, resulting in the diversion of management attention and the expenditure of financial resources.

**User License Fees**

We market and distribute our software products both as standalone software products and as integrated product suites, which we also refer to as application solutions. We derive our user license fees from the licensing of our technology, segregated into three product areas: Data Protection, which includes our NetBackup and Backup Exec product families; Storage Management, which includes our File Systems, Volume Manager, replication, Database Editions and storage resource management product families; and Utility Computing Infrastructure, which includes our clustering, high-availability offerings, application performance management, or APM, OpForce and CommandCentral Service product families.

	2003	2002	2001
		(As restated)	(As restated)
	(In millions, except percentages)		
<b>User license fees:</b>			
Data protection	\$ 624.7	\$ 599.0	\$ 666.3
Storage management	264.8	251.5	279.0
Utility computing infrastructure	203.2	136.3	143.8
<b>Total user license fees</b>	<b>\$ 1,092.7</b>	<b>\$ 986.8</b>	<b>\$ 1,089.1</b>
<b>As a percentage of user license fees:</b>			
Data protection	57%	61%	61%
Storage management	24	25	26
Utility computing infrastructure	19	14	13
<b>Total user license fees</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>As a percentage of total net revenue</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>73%</b>
<b>Percentage increase (decrease) over prior period:</b>			
Data protection	4%	(10)%	
Storage management	5%	(10)%	



Edgar Filing: VERITAS SOFTWARE CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Utility computing infrastructure	49%	(5)%
Total user license fees	11%	(9)%

**Table of Contents**

During 2003, user license fees increased by \$105.9 million or 11% due to increases across each product category. Data protection increased by \$25.7 million due primarily to increases in our core backup family of products, including our Backup Exec 9.0 which was introduced during the first quarter of 2003 and NetBackup 5.0 which was introduced during the fourth quarter of 2003. Storage management increased \$13.3 million due primarily to increases in our replication and storage resource management products. Utility computing infrastructure increased by \$66.9 million due primarily to the addition of APM products as a result of our acquisition of Precise at June 30, 2003 as well as from increases in clustering, and Database Editions/ Advanced Cluster. During 2002, user license fees decreased by \$102.3 million or 9% due primarily to weaker general economic and industry conditions resulting in a reduction of capital spending by our customers, mainly during the first three quarters of 2002, and fewer large end-user transactions. The reduction in demand during the first three quarters of 2002 was partially offset by an increase in demand during the fourth quarter of 2002, particularly in Europe and emerging international markets. Data protection decreased by \$67.3 million due to decreases in user license revenue in both our core backup products, NetBackup and Backup Exec. Storage management decreased by \$27.5 million due primarily to decreases in license fees from our File System and Database Edition products.

Historically, we have segregated our products into the categories of Core and Emerging technologies. Core technology products include data protection, file system and volume management products. Emerging technology products include clustering and replication, storage area networking and application performance management products. Revenues from core technology products comprise the majority of user license fees and were 84%, 89% and 90% of total user license fees in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Core technology products as a percent of total user license fees decreased during 2003 as revenue from our emerging technology increased 64% in 2003 when compared to 2002. The increase was primarily due to increased penetration in clustering and replication products as well as application performance management products acquired with Precise.

Our user license fees from OEMs decreased 15% to \$129.7 million in 2003 and 23% in 2002 to \$152.1 million from \$197.0 million in 2001. The user license fees from OEMs accounted for 12% of user license fees for 2003 and 15% and 18% for 2002 and 2001, respectively. The decreases in 2003 and 2002 reflect reduced hardware sales by OEMs as their customers reduced technology spending in the weaker economic environment as well as our focus on expanding direct and reseller sales.

During 2003, we completed 51 transactions valued at over \$1.0 million, including services, and 948 transactions valued at over \$100,000. During 2002 and 2001, we completed 50 and 70 transactions valued over \$1.0 million and 938 and 1,137 transactions valued over \$100,000, respectively.

**Services Revenue**

	2003	2002	2001
		(As restated)	(As restated)
		(In millions, except percentages)	
Services revenue	\$654.4	\$519.2	\$400.1
As a percentage of net revenue	37%	34%	27%
Percentage increase over prior period	26%	30%	

We derive our services revenue primarily from contracts for software maintenance and technical support and, to a lesser extent, consulting and education services. The increase in 2003 and 2002 was due primarily to the increase in services and support contracts of 30% and 38% for 2003 and 2002, respectively. The increase in renewals during 2003 was the result of a larger installed base of customers and a greater focus on renewing customer support contracts, particularly internationally.

Our professional services revenue, which consists of training and consulting services, grew by 6% and 2% in 2003 and 2002, respectively. These growth rates are below our objectives. We are investing in professional services and believe that it is important to establish current relationships with our customers. We expect our services revenue to increase in absolute dollars and as a percentage of net revenue as we continue to focus on increasing renewals of maintenance and technical support contracts and an increasing demand for our consulting and education services.

**Table of Contents****Cost of Revenue**

	2003	2002	2001
		(As restated)	(As restated)
		(In millions, except percentages)	
Cost of revenue	\$313.6	\$305.6	\$271.6
As a percentage of net revenue	18%	20%	18%
Percentage increase over prior period	3%	13%	

Gross profit on user license fees, excluding amortization of developed technology, is substantially higher than gross profit on services revenue, reflecting the low materials, packaging and other costs of software products compared with the relatively high personnel costs associated with providing maintenance and technical support, consulting and education services. Cost of services varies depending upon the mix of maintenance and technical support, consulting and education services. We expect gross profit to fluctuate in the future, reflecting changes in royalty rates on licensed technologies, the mix of license and services revenue, the timing of continued investment in our services organization and the recognition of revenue that we expect as a result of these investments.

**Cost of User License Fees (including amortization of developed technology)**

	2003	2002	2001
		(As restated)	(As restated)
		(In millions, except percentages)	
Cost of user license fees:			
User license fees	\$48.7	\$ 36.2	\$ 41.7
Amortization of developed technology	35.3	66.9	63.1
	<u>\$84.0</u>	<u>\$103.1</u>	<u>\$104.8</u>
Percentage decrease over prior period	(19)%	(2)%	
Gross profit:			
User license fees including amortization of developed technology	92%	90%	90%

Cost of user license fees consists primarily of amortization of developed technology, royalties, media, manuals and distribution costs. The amortization of developed technology is related primarily to acquisitions completed during 1999 and the first and second quarters of 2003. If we had excluded the amortization of developed technology from the cost of user license fees, the gross profit on user license fees would have consistently been 96% in each of 2003, 2002 and 2001. The gross profit on user license fees may vary from period to period based on the license revenue mix because some of our products carry higher royalty rates than others. Excluding the amortization of developed technology, we expect gross profit on user license fees to remain relatively constant in 2004.

The decrease in amortization of developed technology in 2003 from 2002 was primarily the result of the developed technology related to the 1999 acquisitions reaching full amortization. This decrease was partially offset by the amortization of developed technology related to the Precise acquisition. The increase in 2002 over 2001 reflects the full year impact of an acquisition that occurred in the fourth quarter of 2001. These amounts mainly represent the amortization of the developed technology recorded upon acquisitions made during 1999. We expect amortization of developed technology to decline to approximately \$16 million during 2004, which includes the impact of our acquisition of Ejasent.

**Table of Contents****Cost of Services**

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
		(As restated)	(As restated)
		(In millions, except percentages)	
Cost of services	\$229.5	\$202.5	\$166.7
Percentage increase over prior period	13%	21%	
Gross profit	65%	61%	58%

Cost of services consists primarily of personnel-related costs in providing maintenance and technical support, consulting and education to customers. The gross profit improvement in 2003 was primarily the result of the increase in maintenance and support revenues of 30%, while related expenses increased only 12% as we continued to take advantage of the economies of scale of the larger installed customer base. We expect gross profit on services revenue to remain stable or increase slightly as a result of higher maintenance and support revenue and reductions in labor costs associated with technical support services by increasing our use of lower cost operations in India.

**Operating Expenses**

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
		(As restated)	(As restated)
		(In millions, except percentages)	
Operating expenses	\$1,046.5	\$1,071.0	\$1,754.5
As a percentage of net revenue	60%	71%	118%
Percentage decrease over prior period	(2)%	(39)%	

In 2003, total operating expenses decreased by \$24.5 million from 2002 due primarily to the net worldwide facilities restructuring charge of \$96.1 million during the fourth quarter of 2002 and other intangibles related to the 1999 acquisitions reaching full amortization, partially offset by an increase in other operating expenses and IPR&D related to the Precise acquisition. The significant decrease in 2002 from 2001 relates primarily to the adoption of SFAS No. 142, which requires that goodwill no longer be amortized, partially offset by the 2002 worldwide facilities restructuring. We believe that the percentage changes in total operating expenses in these periods are not necessarily indicative of future results. Our operating expenses include selling and marketing expenses, research and development expenses, general and administrative expenses, amortization of other intangibles, restructuring and other charges and, prior to 2002, amortization of goodwill.

**Selling and Marketing**

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
		(As restated)	(As restated)
		(In millions, except percentages)	
Selling and marketing	\$534.0	\$478.5	\$508.5
As a percentage of net revenue	31%	32%	34%
Percentage increase (decrease) over prior period	12%	(6)%	

Selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries, related benefits, commissions, consultant fees and other costs associated with our sales and marketing efforts. The increase in 2003 of \$55.5 million was primarily the result of an increase in sales commissions, compensation and benefit costs due to an increase in sales and marketing personnel from 1,914 employees in 2002 to 2,121 employees in 2003 partially resulting from the Precise acquisition and higher sales commissions resulting from the increase in license revenues. The decrease in 2002 of \$30.0 million was primarily the result of a decrease in staffing levels, leading to a reduction in compensation and benefit costs, other employee costs and travel and entertainment expenses, and to a lesser extent, a decrease in promotional costs and expenses. Although our selling and marketing expenses decreased as a percent of total revenues, they remained relatively constant when compared to user license fees at



**Table of Contents**

approximately 49%, 48% and 47% in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. We expect selling and marketing expenses to grow in 2004 and to remain relatively constant as a percentage of user license fees for the full year.

**Research and Development**

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
		(As restated)	(As restated)
		(In millions, except percentages)	
Research and development	\$301.9	\$274.9	\$240.5
As a percentage of net revenue	17%	18%	16%
Percentage increase over prior period	10%	14%	

Research and development expenses consist primarily of salaries, related benefits, third-party consultant fees and other engineering related costs. The increase of \$27.0 million in 2003 was primarily the result of increases in compensation costs from an increase in research and development personnel from 1,521 employees in 2002 to 1,848 employees in 2003 partially due to the Precise acquisition and an increase in outside services used to supplement engineering personnel. The 2002 increase of \$34.4 million was due primarily to increased compensation and benefits and, to a lesser extent, costs associated with outside services, primarily for localization and intellectual property protection. We believe that a significant level of research and development investment is required to remain competitive and we expect to continue to invest in research and development in 2004 at current levels as a percentage of revenue, including increasing our use of lower cost operations in India.

**General and Administrative**

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
		(As restated)	(As restated)
		(In millions, except percentages)	
General and administrative	\$156.0	\$143.1	\$121.7
As a percentage of net revenue	9%	10%	8%
Percentage increase over prior period	9%	18%	

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries, related benefits and fees for professional services, such as legal and accounting services. The increase of \$12.9 million in 2003 was primarily the result of an increase in compensation and benefit costs, depreciation expense as a result of consolidating certain leased buildings (see Liquidity and Capital Resources Long-Term Debt ), the upgrade of our internal use ERP system which we anticipate will be completed in 2005, costs associated with the AOL investigation (see Item 3. Legal Proceedings ) and compliance with our corporate governance initiatives, including those requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, partially offset by a decline in bad debt expense. The 2002 increase of \$21.4 million was primarily due to increased staffing levels, and to a lesser extent, expenses associated with outside services, primarily legal and consulting, and increases in facility costs related to new facilities in Milpitas, California and Reading, UK. We expect general and administrative expenses to remain relatively constant as a percentage of revenue in 2004.

**Amortization of Other Intangibles**

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
		(As restated)	(As restated)
		(In millions, except percentages)	
Amortization of other intangibles	\$35.2	\$72.1	\$72.3
As a percentage of net revenue	2%	5%	5%
Percentage decrease over prior period	(51)%	%	

Amortization of other intangibles principally represents amortization of distribution channels, trademarks and other intangibles related to acquisitions. The decrease in amortization of other intangibles in 2003 compared to 2002 was primarily due to other intangibles related to the 1999 acquisitions reaching full

**Table of Contents**

amortization during the second quarter of 2003. The amortization of other intangibles also includes intangibles from the acquisition of Precise and other 2003 acquisitions which are being amortized over the estimated useful lives of two to four years. We expect amortization of other intangibles, including our recent acquisition of Ejasent, to decline to approximately \$7 million during 2004.

***Amortization of Goodwill***

SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, which became effective January 1, 2002, requires that goodwill no longer be amortized, but continue to be evaluated for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Therefore, there was no amortization of goodwill during 2003 and 2002. During 2001, amortization of goodwill was \$813.1 million.

***In-Process Research and Development***

In connection with our acquisition of Precise in June 2003, we allocated \$15.3 million of the purchase price to IPR&D, which represents technology we identified as having not reached technological feasibility and having no alternative future use. After identifying the IPR&D projects and considering various other factors, we allocated the total purchase price of Precise to the net assets acquired, including IPR&D, based on fair value. We determined the fair value of the IPR&D projects by estimating the expected cash flows from the projects once they are commercially viable and discounting the net cash flows back to their present value, using adjusted discount rates based on the percentage of completion of the in-process projects. We based the net cash flows expected from the identified projects on estimates of revenues and costs from each in-process project.

In connection with our acquisition of Jareva in January 2003, we allocated \$4.1 million of the purchase price to IPR&D. After identifying the IPR&D projects and considering various other factors, we assigned values to IPR&D and other intangibles. We determined the fair value of the IPR&D for the acquisition of Jareva by discounting the expected future cash flows from projects under development to their net present value. We analyzed each project and determined the characteristics and applications of the technology, the complexity, cost and time to complete the remaining development efforts, any alternative future use or current technological feasibility and the stage of completion. We identified and valued two IPR&D projects at the time of our acquisition of Jareva. We based the projected future cash flows from the projects under development on our estimates of revenues and operating profits related to the projects.

***Restructuring Costs***

In 2002, we recorded a net facility restructuring charge to operating expenses of \$96.1 million under a plan, approved by our board of directors, to exit and consolidate certain of our facilities located in 17 metropolitan areas related to facilities that, as of January 31, 2004, have all been vacated. We also recorded net restructuring charges of \$3.2 million related to restructuring plans initiated prior to 2002.

***Interest and Other Income, Net***

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
		(As restated)	(As restated)
		(In millions, except percentages)	
Interest and other income, net	\$43.6	\$41.7	\$64.4
As a percentage of net revenue	2%	3%	4%
Percentage increase (decrease) over prior period	5%	(35)%	

The increase in interest and other income of \$1.9 million in 2003 was due primarily to higher balances of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments held, partially offset by lower interest rates. The decrease of \$22.7 million in 2002 was primarily due to a decrease in interest income and a \$6.1 million charge in connection with the settlement of a litigation matter. The 2002 decrease in interest income was due principally



**Table of Contents**

to the fall in interest rates in 2002, partially offset by the higher level of funds available for investment generated primarily from the net cash provided by operating activities.

**Interest Expense**

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
		(As restated)	(As restated)
		(In millions, except percentages)	
Interest expense	\$ 30.4	\$ 30.3	\$ 29.4
As a percentage of net revenue	2%	2%	2%
Percentage increase over prior period	%	3%	

Interest expense consisted of interest recorded under the 0.25% convertible subordinated notes issued in August 2003, interest recorded under the 1.856% convertible subordinated notes issued in August 1999 and partially redeemed for cash and partially converted to common stock in August 2003, interest recorded under the 5.25% convertible subordinated notes issued in October 1997 and converted to common stock in August 2003 and, commencing July 2003, interest of approximately \$17 million per year as a result of the adoption of Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Interpretation Number, or FIN, 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, which required us to consolidate the properties from our build-to-suit lease agreements and related debt in our financial statements. Previously, interest on the build-to-suit lease agreements was recorded as rent expense in cost of revenue and operating expenses. We expect interest expense in the future to be approximately \$23 million per year representing the interest on the 0.25% convertible subordinated notes and the interest on the build-to-suit lease agreements.

**Provision for Income Taxes**

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
		(As restated)	(As restated)
		(In millions, except percentages)	
Provision for income taxes	\$ 38.2	\$ 70.8	\$ 117.9
Effective tax rate	10%	55%	(23)%
Percentage decrease over prior period	(46)%	(40)%	

Our effective tax rate in 2003 differed from the combined federal and state statutory rates due primarily to the tax effect of international operations and amortization of intangible assets. Income taxes in 2003 also includes a benefit of \$95.1 million due to the settlement of certain Seagate tax audits. Excluding the impact of the Seagate settlement, our effective tax rate would have been approximately 34%. Our effective tax rate in 2002 differed from the combined federal and state statutory rates due primarily to the tax effect of international restructuring charges and losses on strategic investments for which tax benefits were not realized, as well as the amortization of intangible assets other than goodwill. Our effective tax rate in 2001 was negative and differed from the combined federal and state statutory rates due primarily to differences attributable to amortization of goodwill resulting from acquisitions that were non-deductible for tax purposes.

**Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principle, Net of Tax**

We currently have three build-to-suit operating leases, commonly referred to as synthetic leases, which were entered into prior to February 1, 2003. Each synthetic lease is owned by a trust that has no voting rights, no employees, no financing activity other than the lease with us, no ability to absorb losses and no right to participate in gains realized on the sale of the related property. We have determined that the trusts under the leasing structures qualify as variable interest entities for purposes of FIN 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*. Consequently, we are considered the primary beneficiary and consolidated the trusts into our financial statements beginning July 1, 2003. As a result of consolidating these entities in the third quarter of 2003, we reported a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle in accordance with Accounting Principles Board, or APB, Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes*, with a charge of \$6.2 million which equals the amount of depreciation expense that would have been recorded had these trusts been consolidated from the date the properties were available for occupancy, net of tax.



## **Table of Contents**

### **Seagate Technology Transaction**

In 2000, we completed a multi-party transaction, which we refer to as the Transaction, with Seagate Technology, Inc., which we refer to as Seagate, and Suez Acquisition Company (Cayman) Limited, which we refer to as SAC. The Transaction was structured as a leveraged buyout of Seagate pursuant to which Seagate sold all of its operating assets to SAC, and SAC assumed and indemnified Seagate and us for substantially all liabilities arising in connection with those operating assets. We did not acquire Seagate's disk drive business or any other Seagate operating business. At the closing, and after the operating assets and liabilities of Seagate had been transferred to SAC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ours merged with and into Seagate, following which Seagate became our wholly-owned subsidiary and was renamed VERITAS Software Technology Corporation.

As of December 31, 2002, deferred and other income taxes payable recorded in connection with the Transaction totaled \$134.4 million and related to certain tax liabilities that we expected to pay, other current assets included \$21.3 million of indemnification receivable from SAC and other non-current assets included \$18.0 million of indemnification receivable from SAC. Certain of Seagate's federal and state tax returns for various fiscal years have been under examination by tax authorities. We believe that adequate amounts for tax liabilities have been provided for any final assessments that may result from these examinations. On March 15, 2004, we were notified that the federal income tax audits for all periods ended with the date of the Transaction had been completed and all federal tax liabilities had been settled, which resulted in the payment of a net federal tax refund that we have distributed to a trust for the benefit of the former Seagate shareholders. Accordingly, we have reversed the indemnification receivables of \$39.3 million and income taxes payable of \$134.4 million, resulting in a net income tax benefit of \$95.1 million. The benefit has been recorded as a credit to income tax expense in the year ended December 31, 2003. We do not expect to have any material payment obligations with respect to other Seagate taxes as of December 31, 2003, nor do we expect to receive any payments pursuant to the indemnification agreement with SAC with respect to such taxes.

### **Accrued Acquisition and Restructuring Costs**

In the fourth quarter of 2002, our board of directors approved a facility restructuring plan to exit and consolidate certain of our facilities located in 17 metropolitan areas worldwide. The facility restructuring plan was adopted to address overcapacity in our facilities as a result of lower than planned headcount growth in these metropolitan areas. In connection with this facility restructuring plan, we recorded a net restructuring charge to operating expenses of \$96.1 million in the fourth quarter of 2002. This restructuring charge is comprised of (i) \$86.9 million associated with terminating and satisfying remaining lease commitments, partially offset by sublease income net of related sublease costs and (ii) write-offs of \$9.2 million for net assets. Total cash outlays under this restructuring plan are expected to be approximately \$86.9 million.

Restructuring costs will generally be paid over the remaining lease terms, ending at various dates through 2021, or over a shorter period as we may negotiate with our lessors. We expect the majority of costs will be paid by the year ending December 31, 2008.

During the fourth quarter of 2002, we began vacating excess facilities and by January 31, 2004, vacated all excess facilities associated with this restructuring. We began realizing cost savings from exiting these facilities during the third quarter of 2003. We are in the process of seeking suitable subtenants for these facilities. Our estimates of the facility restructure charge may vary significantly depending, in part, on factors that are beyond our control, including the commercial real estate market in the applicable metropolitan areas, our ability to obtain subleases related to these facilities and the time period to do so, the sublease rental market rates and the outcome of negotiations with lessors regarding terminations of some of the leases. Adjustments to the accrued restructuring costs will be made if actual lease exit costs or sublease income differ from amounts currently expected. Because a portion of the accrued restructuring costs relate to international locations, the accrual will be affected by exchange rate fluctuations.

In 2003, we incurred acquisition related costs of \$15.9 million related to the acquisitions of Jareva and Precise. Additionally, during 1999 we incurred acquisition related costs of \$43.4 million and recorded a restructuring charge as a result of the acquisition of \$11.0 million. The restructuring charge related to exit

**Table of Contents**

costs associated with duplicative facilities that we planned to vacate. During 2002, we recorded an additional accrual of \$3.2 million due to a decline in real estate market conditions that resulted in higher actual exit costs than estimated associated with these duplicative facilities and in all years we incurred other acquisition costs for other, immaterial acquisitions.

The components of the accrued acquisition and restructuring costs and movements within these components through December 31, 2003 were as follows:

	Direct Transaction Costs	Involuntary Termination Benefits	Facility Related Costs	Net Asset Write-offs	Total
(In millions)					
Balance at December 31, 2001	\$ 0.8	\$	\$ 10.4	\$	\$ 11.2
Additions	1.3		88.4	10.9	100.6
Cash payments	(1.1)		(2.8)		(3.9)
Non-cash charges			0.9	0.4	1.3
Impact of exchange rates			0.8	0.1	0.9
	—	—	—	—	—
Balance at December 31, 2002	1.0		97.7	11.4	110.1
Additions	9.5	0.4	6.1		16.0
Cash payments	(9.9)	(0.4)	(16.3)		(26.6)
Asset write-offs				(8.9)	(8.9)
Adjustment			0.8	(0.8)	
Impact of exchange rates			3.1	0.4	3.5
	—	—	—	—	—
Balance at December 31, 2003	\$ 0.6	\$	\$ 91.4	\$ 2.1	\$ 94.1

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

See Note 1, Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Recent Accounting Pronouncements in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding recent accounting pronouncements.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources****Cash Flows**

Our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments totaled \$2,503.0 million at December 31, 2003 and represented 71% of our tangible assets. Our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments totaled \$2,241.3 million at December 31, 2002 and represented 76% of our tangible assets. Cash and cash equivalents are highly liquid with original maturities of 90 days or less. Short-term investments consist mainly of commercial paper, auction market securities, asset-backed securities, government securities (taxable and non-taxable) and corporate notes.

Operating activities provided cash of \$628.0 million in 2003 due primarily to net income of \$347.5 million, adjusted for depreciation and amortization of \$128.3 million, amortization of other intangibles and developed technology of \$70.5 million, IPR&D expense of \$19.4 million, tax benefits from stock plans of \$38.3 million, decrease in other assets of \$37.0 million and increases in accrued compensation and benefits of \$16.5 million and deferred revenue of \$124.9 million which were partially offset by a change in deferred and other income taxes of \$58.9 million, increase in accounts receivable of \$62.3 million and decreases in accrued acquisition and restructuring costs of \$31.7 million and other accrued liabilities of \$31.4 million. The increase in accrued compensation and benefits was primarily the result of the accrual of year-end commissions and bonuses for employee goals met during 2003. These were paid to the employees during the first quarter of 2004. The increase in deferred revenue was due primarily to the increase in both new and renewal maintenance and support contracts entered into during 2003. These contracts are typically billed at the beginning of the service year and revenue is recognized ratably during the maintenance term. As of December 31, 2003, we had either collected on these billings or they were included in our outstanding



## **Table of Contents**

accounts receivable. The increase in accounts receivable was due primarily to a large percentage of the total billings and revenue for the fourth quarter of 2003 occurring during the final month of the quarter. The decrease in the accrued acquisition and restructuring costs was due primarily to the continued payments throughout the year for the cost of closing facilities.

Operating activities provided cash of \$589.4 million in 2002 primarily due to net income of \$58.3 million, adjusted for depreciation and amortization of \$122.1 million, amortization of other intangibles and developed technology of \$139.0 million, tax benefits from stock plans of \$19.6 million and other non-cash charges, and increases in accrued acquisition and restructuring costs of \$98.0 million, income and other taxes payable of \$59.8 million, other accrued liabilities of \$40.3 million and deferred revenue of \$35.3 million. These increases are partially offset by a change in deferred and other income taxes of \$19.9 million.

Operating activities provided cash of \$555.1 million in 2001 primarily due to a net loss of \$635.8 million, adjusted for depreciation and amortization of \$102.7 million, amortization of goodwill, other intangibles and developed technology of \$948.5 million, tax benefits from stock plans of \$267.7 million and other non-cash charges, and increases in deferred revenue of \$37.9 million and accrued compensation and benefits of \$22.4 million and a decrease in other assets of \$28.5 million. These increases are partially offset by deferred and other income taxes of \$201.7 million and decreases in accounts payable of \$15.4 million and accrued acquisition and restructuring costs of \$32.1 million.

Investing activities used cash of \$569.1 million in 2003, due primarily to business acquisitions, net of cash acquired of \$398.0 million, the net increase in short-term investments of \$87.6 million and purchases of property and equipment of \$81.2 million. Investing activities used cash of \$442.9 million in 2002, due primarily to the net increase in short-term investments of \$321.7 million and purchases of property and equipment of \$108.2 million. Investing activities used cash of \$1,015.0 million in 2001, due primarily to the net increase in short-term investments of \$787.4 million, purchases of property and equipment of \$145.7 million and \$64.7 million for the acquisition of other businesses and technologies.

Financing activities used cash of \$20.8 million in 2003, arising from the redemption of the convertible subordinated notes of \$391.7 million and the repurchase of common stock of \$316.2 million, offset by the net proceeds from the issuance of convertible subordinated notes of \$508.2 million and the proceeds from the issuance of common stock under our employee stock plans of \$178.9 million. Financing activities provided cash of \$85.6 million in 2002, arising from the issuance of common stock under our employee stock plans. Financing activities provided cash of \$102.4 million in 2001, arising primarily from the issuance of common stock under our employee stock plans.

We continue to evaluate alternative uses of our cash including, but not limited to, strategic acquisitions, repurchasing additional amounts of our common stock, and exercising our purchase option for the properties subject to the build-to-suit lease arrangements, any of which could reduce the amount of available cash and cash equivalents.

### ***Convertible Subordinated Notes***

In August 2003, we issued \$520.0 million of 0.25% convertible subordinated notes due August 1, 2013, or 0.25% Notes, for which we received net proceeds of approximately \$508.2 million, to several initial purchasers in a private offering. The 0.25% Notes were issued at their face value and provide for semi-annual interest payments of \$0.7 million each February 1 and August 1, beginning February 1, 2004. Effective as of January 28, 2004, the 0.25% Notes began accruing additional interest at a rate of 0.25% as a result of our registration statement having not been declared effective by the SEC on or before the 180th day following the original issuance of the 0.25% Notes and the 0.25% Notes continued to accrue additional interest at that rate until April 27, 2004, the 90th day following such registration default. As of April 27, 2004, the 0.25% Notes began to accrue additional interest at a rate of 0.50% and will accrue such additional interest until the registration statement is declared effective or until we are no longer required to maintain the effectiveness of the registration statement. The 0.25% Notes are convertible, under the specified circumstances discussed below, into shares of our common stock at a conversion rate of 21.6802 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$46.13 per share.

**Table of Contents**

The conversion rate of the 0.25% Notes is subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of specified events. The specified circumstances under which the 0.25% Notes are convertible prior to maturity are: (1) during any quarterly conversion period (which periods begin on the eleventh trading day of each fiscal quarter and end on the eleventh trading day of the following fiscal quarter) prior to August 1, 2010, if the closing sale price of our common stock for at least 20 trading days in the 30 trading day period ending on the first day of such conversion period exceeds 120% of the conversion price of the notes on that first day, (2) during the period beginning August 1, 2010 through the maturity date of the notes, if the closing sale price of our common stock is more than 120% of the then current conversion price, (3) during the five consecutive business day period following any five consecutive trading day period in which the average of the trading prices for the 0.25% Notes was less than 95% of the average of the sale price of our common stock multiplied by the then current conversion rate of the notes, (4) our corporate credit rating assigned by Standard & Poor's falls below B- (and if Moody's has assigned a corporate credit rating to us and such rating is lower than B3) or if both such ratings are withdrawn, (5) we call the notes for redemption or (6) upon the occurrence of corporate transactions specified in the indenture governing the notes. Upon any conversion of notes by a holder, we shall have the option to satisfy the conversion obligation in shares of our common stock, in cash or in a combination thereof. It is our intention to satisfy the principal portion of the obligation in cash and the remainder, if any, in shares of our common stock. On or after August 5, 2006, we have the option to redeem all or a portion of the 0.25% Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. On August 1, 2006 and August 1, 2008, or upon the occurrence of a fundamental change involving us, holders of the 0.25% Notes may require us to repurchase their notes at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. Upon a fundamental change, we will have the option to pay the repurchase price in cash, shares of common stock or a combination thereof.

In August 2003, all of our outstanding 5.25% convertible subordinated notes due 2004, or 5.25% Notes, converted into 6.7 million shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$9.56 per share. In August 2003, a portion of our outstanding 1.856% convertible subordinated notes due 2006, or 1.856% Notes, converted into 0.5 million shares of common stock at an effective conversion price of \$31.35 per share. The remaining outstanding principal amount of the 1.856% Notes was redeemed in August 2003 for \$391.8 million in cash including \$0.1 million of accrued interest. In connection with the redemption of the 1.856% Notes for cash, we recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$4.7 million in the third quarter of 2003 related to the unamortized portion of debt issuance costs. This charge is classified as a non-operating expense in our consolidated statement of operations.

At December 31, 2003, we had a ratio of long-term debt to total capitalization of approximately 20%. The degree to which we are leveraged could materially and adversely affect our ability to obtain financing for working capital, acquisitions or other purposes and could make us more vulnerable to industry downturns and competitive pressures. We will require substantial amounts of cash to fund scheduled payments of principal and interest on our indebtedness, future capital expenditures and any increased working capital requirements.

***Long-Term Debt***

In 1999 and 2000, we entered into three build-to-suit lease agreements for office buildings in Mountain View, California, Roseville, Minnesota and Milpitas, California. We began occupying the Roseville and Mountain View facilities in May and June 2001, respectively, and began occupying the Milpitas facility in April 2003. The Mountain View facility includes 425,000 square feet and serves as our corporate headquarters. The Milpitas facility includes 466,000 square feet and is primarily used for technical support, sales and general corporate functions. The Roseville facility includes 204,000 square feet and provides space for technical support and research and development functions. A syndicate of financial institutions financed the acquisition and development of these properties. Prior to July 1, 2003, we accounted for these properties as operating leases in accordance with SFAS No. 13, *Accounting for Leases*, as amended. On July 1, 2003, we adopted FIN 46. Under FIN 46, the lessors of the facilities are considered variable interest entities, and we are considered the primary beneficiary. Accordingly, we began consolidating the variable interest entities on July 1, 2003 and have included the property and equipment and long-term debt on our balance sheet at

**Table of Contents**

December 31, 2003 and the results of their operations in our consolidated statement of operations from July 1, 2003.

Interest only payments under our debt agreements relating to the facilities are generally paid quarterly and are equal to the termination value of the outstanding debt obligations multiplied by our cost of funds, which is based on London Inter Bank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, using 30-day to 180-day LIBOR contracts and adjusted for our credit spread. The termination values of the debt agreements are approximately \$145.2 million, \$41.2 million and \$194.2 million for the Mountain View, Roseville and Milpitas leases, respectively. The terms of these debt agreements are five years with an option to extend the lease terms for two successive periods of one year each, if agreed to by the financial institutions that financed the facilities. The terms of these debt agreements began March 2000 for the Mountain View and Roseville facilities and July 2000 for the Milpitas facility. We have the option to purchase the three facilities for the aggregate termination value of \$380.6 million or, at the end of the term, to arrange for the sale of the properties to third parties while we retain an obligation to the financial institutions that financed the facilities in an amount equal to the difference between the sales price and the guaranteed residual value up to an aggregate \$344.6 million if the sales price is less than this amount, subject to the specific terms of the debt agreements. In addition, we are entitled to any proceeds from a sale of the facilities in excess of the termination values. Payment of the purchase price for these properties would reduce the amount of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments available for funding our research and development efforts, geographic expansion and strategic acquisitions in the future.

In January 2002, we entered into two three-year pay fixed, receive floating, interest rate swaps for the purpose of hedging the cash payments related to the Mountain View and Roseville agreements. Under the terms of these interest rate swaps, we make payments based on the fixed rate and will receive interest payments based on the 3-month LIBOR rate. For the year ended December 31, 2003, our aggregate payments on the debt agreements, including the net payments on the interest rate swaps, were \$16.8 million. The payments for the six months ended December 31, 2003 of \$8.5 million were included in interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations in accordance with FIN 46. For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, our aggregate payments were \$17.0 million and \$16.5 million, respectively. The payments made during the six months ended June 30, 2003 and the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 were classified as rent expense and included in cost of revenue and operating expenses, in accordance with SFAS No. 13. We expect future interest expense from the build-to-suit agreements to be approximately \$17 million per year.

The agreements for each of the facilities described above require that we maintain specified financial covenants, all of which we were in compliance with as of December 31, 2003. The specified financial covenants as of December 31, 2003 require us to maintain a minimum rolling four quarter earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, of \$400.0 million, a minimum ratio of cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable to current liabilities plus the debt consolidated under the build-to-suit lease agreements of 1.2 to 1, and a leverage ratio of total funded indebtedness to rolling four quarter EBITDA of not more than 2 to 1. For purposes of these financial covenants, EBITDA represents our net income for the applicable period, plus interest expense, taxes, depreciation and amortization and all non-cash restructuring charges, less software development expenses classified as capital expenditures. In order to secure the obligations under each agreement, each of the facilities is subject to a deed of trust in favor of the financial institutions that financed the acquisition and development of the respective facility. Bank of America, N.A. was the agent for the syndicate of banks that funded the development of the Mountain View and Roseville facilities, and ABN AMRO Bank, N.V. was the agent for the syndicate of banks that funded the development of the Milpitas facility. We have received waivers from the respective syndicate of banks for each facility in relation to any non-compliance that would have resulted from the delay in filing this Form 10-K or our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.

***Credit Facility***

During 2002, our Japanese subsidiary entered into a short-term credit facility with a multinational Japanese bank in the amount of 1.0 billion Japanese yen (\$9.4 million USD). At December 31, 2003, no amount was outstanding. The short-term credit facility was renewed in March 2004 and is due to expire in



**Table of Contents**

March 2005. Borrowings under the short-term credit facility bear interest at Tokyo Inter Bank Offered Rate plus 0.5%. There are no covenants on the short-term credit facility and the loan has been guaranteed by VERITAS Software Global LLC, one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries.

**Acquired Technology Commitments**

On October 1, 2002, we acquired volume replicator software technology for \$6.0 million and contingent payments of up to another \$6.0 million based on future revenues generated by the acquired technology. The contingent payments will be paid quarterly over 40 quarters, in amounts between \$150,000 and \$300,000. We issued a promissory note payable in the principal amount of \$5.0 million, representing the present value of our minimum payment obligations under the purchase agreement for the acquired technology, which are payable quarterly commencing in the first quarter of 2003 and ending in the fourth quarter of 2012. The contingent payments in excess of the quarterly minimum obligations will be paid as they may become due. The outstanding balance of the note payable was \$4.6 million as of December 31, 2003 and \$5.0 million as of December 31, 2002 and is included in other long-term liabilities.

**Contractual Commitments**

The following table is a summary of the contractual commitments, including principal and interest payments, associated with our debt and lease obligations as of December 31, 2003:

	December 31,						
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Thereafter	Total
	(In thousands)						
Operating lease commitments	\$ 56,322	\$ 47,222	\$ 39,839	\$ 35,180	\$ 31,524	\$ 154,413	\$ 364,500
Convertible subordinated notes	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	525,958	532,458
Long-term debt	16,459	385,477					401,936
Other long-term liabilities	600	600	600	600	600	2,400	5,400
<b>Total contractual commitments</b>	<b>\$ 74,681</b>	<b>\$ 434,599</b>	<b>\$ 41,739</b>	<b>\$ 37,080</b>	<b>\$ 33,424</b>	<b>\$ 682,771</b>	<b>\$ 1,304,294</b>

We believe that our current cash, cash equivalents and short-term investment balances and cash flow from operations will be sufficient to meet our working capital and capital expenditure requirements for at least the next 12 months. After that time, we may require additional funds to support our working capital requirements or for other purposes and may seek to raise such additional funds through public or private equity financing or from other sources. We cannot assure you that additional financing will be available at all or that if available, we will be able to obtain it on terms favorable to us.

**Factors That May Affect Future Results**

In addition to the other information in this annual report on Form 10-K, you should consider carefully the following factors in evaluating VERITAS and our business.

***If we experience lower-than-anticipated revenue in any particular quarter, or if we announce that we expect lower revenue or earnings than previously forecasted, the market price of our securities could decline.***

Our revenue is difficult to forecast and is likely to fluctuate from quarter to quarter due to many factors outside of our control. Any significant revenue shortfall or lowered revenue or earnings forecast could cause the market price of our securities to decline substantially. Factors that could lower our revenue or affect our revenue and earnings forecast include:

the possibility that our customers may cancel, defer or limit purchases as a result of reduced IT budgets or weak and uncertain economic and industry conditions;

the possibility that our customers may defer purchases of our products in anticipation of new products or product updates from us or our competitors;

**Table of Contents**

changes in the competitive landscape due to mergers, acquisitions or strategic alliances that could allow our competitors to gain market share;

the possibility that our strategic partners will introduce, market and sell products that compete with our products;

the unpredictability of the timing and magnitude of our sales through direct sales channels and indirect sales channels, including value-added resellers, or VARs, and other distributors, which tend to occur later in a quarter than revenues received through our original equipment manufacturer, or OEM, partners;

the timing of new product introductions by us and the market acceptance of new products, which may be delayed as a result of weak and uncertain economic and industry conditions;

the seasonal nature of our sales;

the rate of adoption and long sales cycles for new solutions such as utility computing, storage resource management technology and replication;

changes in our pricing and distribution terms or those of our competitors; and

the possibility that our business will be adversely affected as a result of the threat of terrorism, terrorism or military actions taken by the United States or its allies.

You should not rely on the results of prior periods as an indication of our future performance. Our operating expense levels are based, in significant part, on our expectations of future revenue. If we have a shortfall in revenue or orders in any given quarter, we may not be able to reduce our operating expenses quickly in response. Therefore, any significant shortfall in revenue or orders could have an immediate adverse effect on our operating results for that quarter. In addition, if we fail to manage our business effectively over the long term, we may experience high operating expenses, and our operating results may fall below the expectations of securities analysts or investors.

***Because we derive a majority of our license revenue from sales of a few product lines, any decline in demand for these products could severely harm our ability to generate revenue.***

We derive a majority of our revenue from a small number of software products, including our NetBackup and Backup Exec data protection products. In addition, our software products are concentrated within the market for data storage. For example, in 2003, we derived approximately 56% of our user license fees from the NetBackup and Backup Exec products, and a similar percentage of our services revenue from associated maintenance and technical support. As a result, we are particularly vulnerable to fluctuations in demand for these products, whether as a result of competition, product obsolescence, technological change, budget constraints of our potential customers or other factors. If our revenue derived from these software products were to decline significantly, our business and operating results would be adversely affected. In addition, because our software products are concentrated within the market for data storage, a decline in the demand for storage devices, storage software applications or storage capacity could result in a significant reduction in our revenue and adversely affect our business and operating results.

***If we fail to manage our distribution channels effectively, or if our partners choose not to market and sell our products to their customers, our sales could decline.***

We market our products and related services both directly to end-users and through a variety of indirect sales channels, which include VARs, distributors, system integrators and OEMs. If we fail to manage our distribution channels successfully, our distribution channels may conflict with one another or otherwise fail to perform as we anticipate which could reduce our sales and increase our expenses, as well as weaken our competitive position.

## **Table of Contents**

*Direct Sales.* A significant portion of our revenue is derived from sales by our direct sales force to end-users. This sales channel involves special risks, including:

longer sales cycles are associated with direct sales efforts;

we may have difficulty hiring, training, retaining and motivating our direct sales force; and

sales representatives require a substantial amount of training to become productive, and training must be updated to cover new and revised products.

*Indirect Sales Channels.* A significant portion of our revenue is also derived from sales through indirect sales channels, including distributors that sell our products to end-users and other resellers. This channel involves a number of special risks, including:

our lack of control over the delivery of our products to end-users;

our resellers and distributors are not subject to minimum sales requirements or any obligation to market our products to their customers;

our resellers and distributors may terminate their relationships with us at any time; and

our resellers and distributors may market and distribute competing products.

*OEMs.* A portion of our revenue is derived from sales through our OEM partners that incorporate our products into their products. Our reliance on this sales channel involves many risks, including:

our lack of control over the shipping dates or volume of systems shipped;

our OEM partners are not subject to minimum sales requirements or any obligation to market our products to their customers;

our OEM partners may terminate or renegotiate their arrangements with us and new terms may be less favorable in recognition of our increasingly competitive relationship with certain partners;

the development work that we must generally undertake under our agreements with our OEM partners may require us to invest significant resources and incur significant costs with little or no associated revenue;

the time and expense required for the sales and marketing organizations of our OEM partners to become familiar with our products make it more difficult to introduce those products to the market; and

our OEM partners may develop, market and distribute their own products and market and distribute products of our competitors, which could reduce our sales.

***We face intense competition, and our competitors may gain market share in the markets for our products, which could adversely affect the growth of our business and cause our revenues to decline.***

We have many competitors in the markets for our products. If existing or new competitors gain market share in any of these markets, we may experience a decline in revenues, which could adversely affect our business and operating results. Our competitors include the internal development groups of our strategic partners. These groups develop storage management software and utility computing infrastructure for the storage and server hardware products marketed by the strategic partners. We also face competition from software vendors that offer products that directly compete with our products or bundle their software products with storage software offered by another vendor.

Many of our strategic partners and storage hardware vendors offer software products that compete with our products or have announced their intention to focus on developing or acquiring their own storage software products. Storage hardware companies may choose not to offer our products to their customers or limit our access to their hardware platforms. End-user customers may prefer to purchase storage software and hardware that is manufactured by the same company because of greater product breadth offered by the company,

## **Table of Contents**

perceived advantages in price, technical support, compatibility or other issues. In addition, software vendors may choose to bundle their software, such as an operating system, with their own or other vendors' storage software. They may also limit our access to standard product interfaces for their software and inhibit our ability to develop products for their platform.

Many of our competitors have greater financial, technical, sales, marketing and other resources than we do and consequentially may have an ability to influence customers to purchase their products that compete with ours. Our future and existing competitors could introduce products with superior features, scalability and functionality at lower prices than our products, and could also bundle existing or new products with other more established products in order to compete with us. Our competitors could also gain market share by acquiring or forming strategic alliances with our other competitors. Finally, because new distribution methods offered by the Internet and electronic commerce have removed many of the barriers to entry historically faced by start-up companies in the software industry, we may face additional sources of competition in the future.

***If we are unable to develop new and enhanced products that achieve widespread market acceptance, we may be unable to recover product development costs, and our earnings and revenue may decline.***

Our future success depends on our ability to address the rapidly changing needs of our customers by developing, acquiring and introducing new products, product updates and services on a timely basis. We must also extend the operation of our products to new platforms and keep pace with technological developments and emerging industry standards. We intend to commit substantial resources to developing new software products and services, including software products and services for the utility computing infrastructure, the storage area networking and the storage resource management markets. Each of these markets is new and unproven, and industry standards for these markets are evolving and changing. They also may require development of new channels. If these markets do not develop as anticipated, or if demand for our products and services in these markets does not materialize or occurs more slowly than we expect, we will have expended substantial resources and capital without realizing sufficient revenue, and our business and operating results could be adversely affected.

We have provided standards-setting organizations and various partners with access to our standard product interfaces through our VERITAS Enabled Program. If these standards-setting organizations or our partners do not accept our standard product interfaces for use with other products, or if our partners are able to use our standard product interfaces to improve their competitive position against us, then our business and operating results could be adversely affected.

***Our international sales and operations involve special risks that could increase our expenses, adversely affect our operating results and require increased time and attention of our management.***

We derive a substantial portion of our revenue from customers located outside of the U.S. and have significant operations outside of the U.S., including engineering, sales, customer support and production operations. We plan to expand our international operations and our planned growth is contingent upon the successful expansion of our international revenue. Our international operations are subject to risks in addition to those faced by our domestic operations, including:

potential loss of proprietary information due to piracy, misappropriation or laws that may be less protective of our intellectual property rights;

imposition of foreign laws and other governmental controls, including trade and employment restrictions;

fluctuations in currency exchange rates and economic instability such as higher interest rates and inflation, which could reduce our customers' ability to obtain financing for software products or which could make our products more expensive in those countries;

limitations on future growth or inability to maintain current levels of revenue from international sales if we do not invest sufficiently in our international operations;

**Table of Contents**

difficulties in hedging foreign currency transaction exposures;

longer payment cycles for sales in foreign countries and difficulties in collecting accounts receivable;

difficulties in staffing, managing and operating our international operations, including difficulties related to administering our stock plans in some foreign countries;

difficulties in coordinating the activities of our geographically dispersed and culturally diverse operations;

seasonal reductions in business activity in the summer months in Europe and in other periods in other countries;

costs and delays associated with developing software in multiple languages; and

political unrest, war or terrorism, particularly in areas in which we have facilities.

In addition, we receive significant tax benefits from sales to our non-U.S. customers. These benefits are contingent upon existing tax regulations in both the U.S. and in the countries in which our international operations are located. Future changes in domestic or international tax regulations could adversely affect our ability to continue to realize these tax benefits.

***Our products may contain significant defects, which may subject us to liability for damages suffered by end-users.***

Software products frequently contain errors or failures, especially when first introduced or when new versions are released. Our end-user customers use our products in applications that are critical to their businesses, including for data backup and recovery, and may have a greater sensitivity to defects in our products than to defects in other, less critical software products. If a customer loses critical data as a result of an error in or failure of our software products or as a result of the customer's misuse of our software products, the customer could suffer significant damages and seek to recover those damages from us. Although our software licenses generally contain protective provisions limiting our liability, a court could rule that these provisions are unenforceable. If a customer is successful in proving its damages and a court does not enforce our protective provisions, we could be liable for the damages suffered by our customers, which could adversely affect our operating results.

In addition, product defects could cause delays in new product releases or product upgrades, or our products might not work in combination with other hardware or software, which could adversely affect market acceptance of our products. If our customers were dissatisfied with product functionality or performance, or if we were to experience significant delays in the release of new products or new versions of products, we could lose competitive position and revenue and our business and operating results could be adversely affected.

***If we lose key personnel or fail to integrate replacement personnel successfully, our ability to manage our business could be impaired.***

Our future success depends upon the continued service of our key management, technical, sales and other critical personnel. Whether we are able to execute effectively on our business strategy will depend in large part on how well key management and other personnel perform in their positions and are integrated within our company. Our officers and other key personnel are employees-at-will, and we cannot assure you that we will be able to retain them. Key personnel have left our company over the years, and there may be additional departures of key personnel from time to time. The loss of any key employee could result in significant disruptions to our operations, including adversely affecting the timeliness of product releases, the successful implementation and completion of company initiatives and the results of our operations. In addition, the integration of replacement personnel could be time consuming, may cause additional disruptions to our operations and may be unsuccessful.

**Table of Contents**

***If we are unable to attract and retain qualified employees and manage our employee base effectively, we may be unable to develop new and enhanced products, expand our business or increase our revenue.***

We believe that our success depends in part on our ability to hire and retain qualified employees. As our company grows, and our customers demand for our products and services increase, we will need to hire additional management, technical, sales and other personnel. However, competition for people with the specific skills that we require is significant. If we are unable to hire and retain qualified employees, or conversely, if we fail to manage employee performance or reduce staffing levels when required by market conditions, our business and operating results could be adversely affected.

Historically, we have provided stock-based compensation, such as stock option grants and the availability of discounted shares in our Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as an important incentive for our employees. The volatility in our stock price may from time to time adversely affect our ability to retain or attract key employees. In addition, if we are unable to get stockholder approval for anticipated future increases in the number of shares of common stock authorized under our stock plans, or if changes in accounting rules require us to treat all stock-based compensation as an expense, we may reduce the amount of stock-based compensation awarded to employees. Reductions in our stock-based compensation practices may make it more difficult for us to attract and retain employees, which may negatively affect our ability to manage and operate our business.

***We incur considerable expenses to develop products for operating systems that are either owned by others or that are part of the Open Source Community. If we do not receive cooperation in our development efforts from others and access to operating system technologies, we may face higher expenses or fail to expand our product lines and revenues.***

Many of our products operate primarily on the Windows, UNIX and Linux computer operating systems. As part of our efforts to develop products for operating systems that are part of the Open Source Community, we may have to license portions of our products on a royalty free basis or may have to expose our source code. We continue to develop new products for these operating systems. We may not accomplish our development efforts quickly or cost-effectively, and it is not clear what the relative growth rates of these operating systems will be. Our development efforts require substantial capital investment, the devotion of substantial employee resources and the cooperation of the owners of the operating systems to or for which the products are being ported or developed. If the market for a particular operating system does not develop as anticipated, or demand for our products and services in such market does not materialize or occurs more slowly than we expect, we will have expended substantial resources and capital without realizing sufficient revenue, and our business and operating results could be adversely affected.

In addition, for some operating systems, we must obtain from the owner of the operating system a source code license to portions of the operating system software to port some of our products to or develop products for the operating system. Operating system owners have no obligation to assist in these porting or development efforts. If they do not grant us a license or if they do not renew our license, we may not be able to expand our product line into other areas.

***We derive a large amount of revenue from one of our distributors, the loss of which could cause our revenues to decline.***

We derive a large amount of revenue from a distributor that sells our products and services through resellers. For the year ended December 31, 2003, this distributor accounted for less than 10% of our net revenue. If this distributor were to reduce purchases of our products or services, our revenues would decline unless we were able to increase sales through other distributors or direct sales to customers. Our contract does not require this distributor to purchase any specified amount of our product or services. Accordingly, we cannot be sure that this distributor will continue to market and sell our products and services at current levels.

**Table of Contents**

***Cooperating with the SEC in its investigation of our transactions with AOL Time Warner, and in connection with our recent audit committee investigation and related restatement, has required, and may continue to require, a large amount of management time and attention, as well as accounting and legal expense, which may reduce net income or interfere with our ability to manage our business.***

Since the third quarter of 2002, we have received subpoenas and other requests for information issued by the SEC in the investigation entitled *In the Matter of AOL/Time Warner*. We continue to furnish information requested by the SEC and otherwise cooperate with regard to this investigation and related accounting and disclosure matters. In addition, in the first quarter of 2004, we voluntarily disclosed to the SEC past accounting practices that we determined were not in compliance with GAAP. We are cooperating with the SEC's requests for information regarding these matters. The SEC's investigation and inquiries may continue to require significant management attention and accounting and legal resources, or require us to amend our periodic reports for 2003 or prior periods, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and cash flows.

***We have been named as a party to several class action and derivative action lawsuits, and we may be named in additional litigation, all of which could require significant management time and attention and result in significant legal expenses. An unfavorable outcome in one or more of these lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.***

After we announced in January 2003 that we would restate our financial results as a result of transactions entered into with AOL Time Warner in September 2000, numerous separate complaints purporting to be class actions were filed in federal court alleging that we and some of our officers and directors violated provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Several similar complaints purporting to be derivative actions have been filed in state court against some of our directors and officers. In addition, as a result of the current restatement, we are subject to an increased risk that we will be the subject of additional class action securities litigation. The expense of defending any such litigation may be costly and divert management's attention from the day-to-day operations of our business, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, an unfavorable outcome in any such litigation could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and cash flows.

***We have received notification from The Nasdaq Stock Market that our securities may be delisted if we are unable to comply with certain filing deadlines, which delisting could materially and adversely affect the liquidity and trading price of our common stock.***

On March 17, 2004, we received a letter from The Nasdaq Stock Market, or Nasdaq, stating that due to our delinquency in filing our annual report on Form 10-K, our securities are subject to delisting from Nasdaq. We received a similar letter from Nasdaq on May 19, 2004, related to our delinquency in filing our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the first quarter of 2004. After a hearing before The Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel we received a written determination providing for the continued listing of our common stock provided that, among other things, we file with the SEC and deliver to Nasdaq this Form 10-K and our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, on or before June 22, 2004. The Panel determination further stated that we must timely file with the SEC and deliver to Nasdaq periodic reports for all reporting periods ending on or before June 30, 2005. Should we fail to comply with these requirements, our securities could be delisted, which would materially and adversely affect the liquidity and trading price of our common stock.



**Table of Contents**

***Our business strategy includes possible growth through business acquisitions, which involve special risks that could increase our expenses, cause our stock price to decline and divert the time and attention of management.***

As part of our business strategy, we have in the past acquired and expect in the future to acquire other businesses, business units and technologies. Acquisitions involve a number of special risks and challenges, including:

diversion of management's attention from our business;

integration of acquired business operations and employees into our existing business, including coordination of geographically dispersed operations, which in the past has taken longer and has been more complex than initially expected;

incorporation of acquired products and business technology into our existing product lines, including consolidating technology with duplicative functionality or designed on different technological architecture, and our ability to sell the acquired products through our existing or acquired sales channels;

loss or termination of employees, including costly litigation resulting from the termination of those employees;

dilution of our then-current stockholders' percentage ownership;

dilution of earnings if synergies with the acquired business are not achieved;

assumption of liabilities of the acquired business, including costly litigation related to alleged liabilities of the acquired business;

presentation of a unified corporate image to our customers and our employees; and

risk of impairment charges related to potential write-down of acquired assets in future acquisitions.

Acquisitions of businesses, business units and technologies are inherently risky and create many challenges. We cannot provide any assurance that our previous or any future acquisitions will achieve the desired objectives.

***Our effective tax rate may increase or fluctuate, which could increase our income tax expense and reduce our net income.***

Our effective tax rate could be adversely affected by several factors, many of which are outside of our control. Our effective tax rate is directly affected by the relative proportions of revenue and income before taxes in the various domestic and international jurisdictions in which we operate. We are also subject to changing tax laws, regulations and interpretations in multiple jurisdictions in which we operate as well as the requirements of certain tax rulings. In particular, we are subject to a number of tax rulings from the country of Israel related to the activities of Precise. Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of such rulings could significantly impact our effective tax rate. The use of tax benefits available under such rulings is dependent upon the scope of future activities in Israel related to the historic Precise business. We do not have a substantial history of audit activity from various taxing authorities and while we believe we are in compliance with all federal, state and international tax laws, there are various interpretations of their application that could result in additional tax assessments. Our effective tax rate is also influenced by the tax effects of purchase accounting for acquisitions and non-recurring charges, which may cause fluctuations between reporting periods, and may also be influenced by tax assessments against acquired entities with respect to tax periods prior to the acquisition, which may significantly affect our effective tax rate for the period in which the settlements take place.

**Table of Contents**

***Changes to current accounting policies could have a significant effect on our reported financial results or the way in which we conduct our business.***

We prepare our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., which are subject to interpretation by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the SEC and various bodies formed to interpret and create appropriate accounting policies. A change in these policies could have a significant effect on our reported results and may even retroactively affect previously reported transactions. Our accounting policies that recently have been or may in the future be affected by changes in the accounting rules are as follows:

software revenue recognition;

accounting for stock-based compensation;

accounting for variable interest entities; and

accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets.

Changes in these or other rules, or the questioning of current practices, may have a significant adverse effect on our reported financial results or in the way in which we conduct our business. See our discussion above under **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates** for additional information about our critical accounting policies and estimates and associated risks.

***If we do not protect our proprietary information and prevent third parties from making unauthorized use of our products and technology, our revenues could be harmed.***

We rely on a combination of copyright, patent, trademark and trade secret laws, confidentiality procedures, contractual provisions and other measures to protect our proprietary information. All of these measures afford only limited protection. These measures may be invalidated, circumvented or challenged, and others may develop technologies or processes that are similar or superior to our technology. We may not have the proprietary information controls and procedures in place that we need to protect our proprietary information adequately. In addition, because we license the source code for some of our products to third parties, there is a higher likelihood of misappropriation or other misuse of our intellectual property. We also license some of our products under shrink-wrap license agreements that are not signed by licensees and therefore may be unenforceable under the laws of some jurisdictions. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy our products or obtain or use information that we regard as proprietary, which could harm our revenues.

***Third parties claiming that we infringe their proprietary rights could cause us to incur significant legal expenses and prevent us from selling our products.***

From time to time, we receive claims that we have infringed the intellectual property rights of others. As the number of products in the software industry increases and the functionality of these products further overlap, we believe that we may become increasingly subject to infringement claims, including patent, copyright and trademark infringement claims. We have received several trademark claims in the past and may receive more claims in the future from third parties who may also be using the VERITAS name or another name that may be similar to one of our trademarks or service marks. We have also received patent infringement claims in the past and may receive more claims in the future based on allegations that our products infringe upon patents held by third parties. In addition, former employers of our former, current or future employees may assert claims that such employees have improperly disclosed to us the confidential or proprietary information of these former employers. Any such claim, with or without merit, could:

be time consuming to defend;

result in costly litigation;

divert management's attention from our core business;

require us to stop selling, to delay shipping or to redesign our product; and

## **Table of Contents**

require us to pay monetary amounts as damages, for royalty or licensing arrangements, or to satisfy indemnification obligations that we have with some of our customers.

In addition, we license and use software from third parties in our business. These third party software licenses may not continue to be available to us on acceptable terms. Also, these third parties may from time to time receive claims that they have infringed the intellectual property rights of others, including patent and copyright infringement claims, which may affect our ability to continue licensing this software. Our inability to use any of this third party software could result in shipment delays or other disruptions in our business, which could materially and adversely affect our operating results.

***Any disruption in our operations caused by a catastrophic natural disaster or other events outside of our control could have a material adverse effect on our business, resulting in a loss of revenue or in higher expenses.***

Our business is highly automated and any disruptions or failures in our operations due to a catastrophic natural disaster, such as an earthquake or a flood, or to manmade problems, such as inadvertent errors, malicious software programs or terrorism, may result in a loss of revenue or in higher expenses, harming our operating results. Most of our primary operations, which include a significant portion of our research and development activities and other critical business operations, are located near San Francisco, California, an area known for seismic activity. A catastrophic event, such as a major earthquake, which results in the destruction or disruption of our primary operations, could severely and adversely affect our business, including both our primary data center and other internal operations and our ability to communicate with our customers or sell our products over the Internet.

In our highly automated environment, we have tightly integrated systems that support our enterprise, including our financial accounting and e-commerce systems. Maintaining the integrity and security of this enterprise is an issue of critical importance for VERITAS and our customers. Any hardware or software failure or breach in security due to inadvertent error, malicious software programs, such as viruses and worms, break-ins or unauthorized tampering with our computer systems could, if wide-spread and destructive, have a negative effect on our internal operations and could adversely affect our business. We take significant and costly measures which have been effective in protecting our enterprise from such events, however, there is no assurance that these measures will be equally as effective in the future. In addition, other events outside of our control, such as war or acts of terrorism, could have a material adverse and potentially devastating effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

***Some provisions in our charter documents and our stockholder rights plan may prevent or deter an acquisition of VERITAS.***

Some of the provisions in our charter documents may deter or prevent certain corporate actions, such as a merger, tender offer or proxy contest, which could affect the market value of our securities. These provisions include:

our board of directors is authorized to issue preferred stock with any rights it may determine;

our board of directors is classified into three groups, with each group of directors to hold office for three years;

our stockholders are not entitled to cumulate votes for directors and may not take any action by written consent without a meeting; and

special meetings of our stockholders may be called only by our board of directors, by the chairman of the board or by our chief executive officer, and may not be called by our stockholders.

We also have in place a stockholder rights plan that is designed to discourage coercive takeover offers. In general, our stockholder rights plan provides our existing stockholders (other than an existing stockholder that becomes an acquiring person) with rights to acquire shares of our common stock at 50% of its trading price if a

## **Table of Contents**

person or entity acquires, or announces its intention to acquire, 15% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock, unless our board of directors elects to redeem these rights.

Our board of directors could utilize the provisions of our charter documents and stockholder rights plan to resist an offer from a third party to acquire VERITAS, including an offer to acquire our common stock at a premium to its trading price or an offer that is otherwise considered favorable by our stockholders.

### ***Our stock price may be volatile in the future, and you could lose the value of your investment.***

The market price of our common stock has experienced significant fluctuations and may continue to fluctuate significantly, and you could lose the value of your investment. The market price of our common stock may be affected by a number of factors, including:

announcements of our quarterly operating results and revenue and earnings forecasts or those of our competitors or our customers;

rumors, announcements or press articles regarding changes in our management, organization, operations or prior financial statements;

inquiries by the SEC, Nasdaq, law enforcement or other regulatory bodies;

changes in revenues and earnings estimates by securities analysts;

announcements of planned acquisitions by us or by our competitors;

gain or loss of a significant customer;

announcements of new products by us, our competitors or our OEM customers; and

acts of terrorism, the threat of war and economic slowdowns in general.

The stock market in general, and the market prices of stocks of other technology companies in particular, have experienced extreme price volatility, which has adversely affected and may continue to adversely affect the market price of our common stock for reasons unrelated to our business or operating results.

### **Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk***

We are subject to market risk associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and our equity investments, as discussed more fully below. In order to manage the volatility relating to our more significant market risks, we enter into various hedging arrangements described below. We do not execute transactions or hold derivative financial instruments for speculative trading purposes. We do not anticipate any material changes in our primary market risk exposures in fiscal 2004.

#### **Foreign Currency Risk**

We transact business in various foreign currencies and we have established a foreign currency hedging program, utilizing foreign currency forward exchange contracts, or forward contracts, to hedge certain foreign currency transaction exposures. Under this program, increases or decreases in our foreign currency transactions are offset by gains and losses on the forward contracts, so as to mitigate the possibility of foreign currency transaction gains and losses. We do not use forward contracts for speculative or trading purposes. All foreign currency transactions and all outstanding forward contracts are marked-to-market at the end of the period with unrealized gains and losses included in other income (expense). The unrealized gain (loss) on the outstanding forward contracts at December 31, 2003 was immaterial to our consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

Our outstanding forward contracts as of December 31, 2003 are presented in the table below. All forward contract amounts are representative of the expected payments to be made under these instruments. As of December 31, 2003, all forward contracts mature in 35 days or less:

	Local Currency Contract Amount		Contract Amount		Fair Market Value at December 31, 2003 (USD)
(In thousands)					
<b>Contracts to Buy US \$</b>					
British pound	685.0	GBP	1,212.0	USD	\$ 9.9
Euro	99,000.0	EUR	123,608.4	USD	874.2
Israel shekel	11,255.0	ILS	2,564.7	USD	(2.6)
Indian rupee	296,000.0	INR	6,505.5	USD	(15.0)
Mexican peso	7,573.0	MXN	671.7	USD	2.5
Singapore dollar	17,844.0	SGD	10,490.6	USD	16.4
<b>Contracts to Sell US \$</b>					
Brazilian real	8,000.0	BRL	2,743.5	USD	(23.2)
British pound	2,685.0	GBP	4,747.8	USD	(41.7)
Canadian dollar	11,000.0	CAD	8,393.2	USD	(110.9)
Japanese yen	68,116.0	JPY	637.5	USD	3.3
<b>Contracts to Buy Euro</b>					
Danish krone	2,922.0	DKK	392.5	EUR	(0.2)
Swedish krona	13,700.0	SEK	1,509.0	EUR	4.8
Swiss franc	619.0	CHF	397.4	EUR	(0.7)
South African rand	3,200.0	ZAR	379.3	EUR	1.0
<b>Contracts to Sell Euro</b>					
British pound	39,000.0	GBP	55,295.9	EUR	(36.7)
Japanese yen	2,033,000.0	JPY	15,245.7	EUR	224.6
Indian rupee	174,328.0	INR	3,058.9	EUR	22.2
UAE dirham	16,024.0	AED	3,492.1	EUR	26.8
<b>Contracts to Buy SGD \$</b>					
Australian dollar	1,822.0	AUD	2,297.0	SGD	(11.9)
Indian rupee	27,384.0	INR	1,023.7	SGD	(2.3)

In January 2002, we entered into two three-year pay fixed, receive floating, interest rate swaps for the purpose of hedging cash flows on variable interest rate debt of two of our build-to-suit agreements. Under the terms of these interest rate swaps, we make payments based on the fixed rate and will receive interest payments based on the 3-month London Inter Bank Offered Rate, or LIBOR. The payments on our build-to-suit lease agreements are based upon a 3-month LIBOR plus a credit spread. If critical terms of the interest rate swap or the hedged item do not change, the interest rate swap will be considered to be highly effective with all changes in the fair value included in other comprehensive income. If critical terms of the interest rate swap or the hedged item change, the hedge may become partially or fully ineffective, which could result in all or a portion of the changes in fair value of the derivative recorded in the statement of operations. The interest rate swaps settle the first day of January, April, July and October until expiration. As of December 31, 2003, the fair value of the interest rate swaps was \$(7.8) million. As a result of entering into the interest rate swaps, we have mitigated our exposure to variable cash flows associated with interest rate fluctuations. Because the rental payments on the leases were based on the 3-month LIBOR and we receive 3-month LIBOR from the interest rate swap counter-party, we have eliminated any impact to raising interest rates related to our rent payments under the build-to-suit lease agreements. We have deemed this hedge to be highly effective as of December 31, 2003. On July 1, 2003, we began accounting for our variable interest rate debt in accordance

**Table of Contents**

with FIN 46 (see Note 1, Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). In accordance with SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, we had designated the interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of the variability embedded in the rent expense as it is based on the 3-month LIBOR. However, with the adoption of FIN 46, we redesignated the interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of variability in interest expense and it remains highly effective with all changes in the fair value included in other comprehensive income.

**Interest Rate Risk**

We are exposed to interest rate risk primarily on our investment portfolio and the build-to-suit lease agreement for the facility located in Milpitas, California (see (2) below). Our primary investment objective is to preserve principal while at the same time maximizing yields without significantly increasing risk. Our portfolio primarily includes money markets funds, commercial paper, corporate notes, US treasury and government securities (taxable and non-taxable), asset-backed securities and auction market securities. The diversity of our portfolio helps us to achieve our investment objective.

Long-term obligations consist of \$520.0 million of our 0.25% convertible subordinated notes due August 1, 2013 and \$380.6 million of other long-term debt and, for 2002, represented our then-outstanding 5.25% Notes and 1.856% Notes. The nominal interest rate on the 0.25% Notes is fixed and the notes provide for semi-annual interest payments of approximately \$0.7 million each February 1 and August 1, beginning February 1, 2004. Effective as of January 28, 2004, the 0.25% Notes began accruing additional interest at a rate of 0.25% as a result of our registration statement having not been declared effective by the SEC on or before the 180th day following the original issuance of the 0.25% Notes and the 0.25% Notes continued to accrue such additional interest until April 27, 2004, the 90th day following such registration default. As of April 27, 2004, the 0.25% Notes began to accrue additional interest at a rate of 0.50% and will accrue such additional interest until the registration statement is declared effective or until we are no longer required to maintain the effectiveness of the registration statement. The 0.25% Notes are convertible, under specified conditions, into shares of our common stock unless previously redeemed or repurchased and are subject to adjustment under the terms of the notes. Long-term debt consists of the three build-to-suit agreements. The interest rates on the build-to-suit agreements are variable based on a 3-month LIBOR plus a credit spread and provide for quarterly interest payments in January, April, July and October, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Long-Term Debt for more information regarding debt payout.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents the amounts of our cash equivalents, short-term investments and long-term obligations, according to maturity date, that may be subject to interest rate risk and the average interest rates as of December 31, 2003 by year of maturity:

	Amortized Cost			Fair Value	Amortized Cost
	Due in 2004	Due in 2005 and Thereafter	Total	2003	2002
(In thousands, except percentages)					
Cash equivalents and short-term investments(1):					
Fixed rate	\$ 502,649	\$ 733,118	\$ 1,235,767	\$ 1,236,932	\$ 1,359,712
Average fixed rate	1.65%	2.43%	2.11%	2.11%	2.53%
Variable rate	\$ 376,994	\$ 132,733	\$ 509,727	\$ 509,820	\$ 288,575
Average variable rate	1.36%	1.49%	1.40%	1.40%	1.73%
Total cash equivalents and short-term investments	\$ 879,643	\$ 865,851	\$ 1,745,494	\$ 1,746,752	\$ 1,648,287
Average rate	1.52%	2.29%	1.90%	1.91%	2.39%
Long-term obligations:					
Fixed rate	\$ 437	\$ 524,141	\$ 524,578	\$ 524,578	\$ 465,252
Average fixed rate(2)	3.68%	0.28%	0.28%	0.28%	6.27%
Variable rate(3)	\$	\$ 380,630	\$ 380,630	\$ 380,630	\$
Average variable rate		2.45%	2.45%	2.45%	

- (1) For purposes of the above table, cash equivalents consist of commercial paper and government securities.
- (2) Not included in the average fixed rate is the accretion of the underwriting and issuance costs for the \$520.0 million convertible subordinated notes. If this was included, our average fixed rate for these notes would be 1.04% for 2005 and thereafter.
- (3) \$186.4 million of the variable rate long-term debt is, in effect, a fixed rate as the result of the interest rate swaps (see Note 14, Derivative Financial Instruments in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements) entered into by VERITAS. Including the effect of these interest rate swaps, the average fixed rate would be 6.14%.

**Equity Price Risk**

We have made investments in development-stage companies that we believe provide strategic opportunities for us. We intend that these investments will provide access to new technologies and emerging markets, and create opportunities for additional sales of our products and services. We recognize impairment losses on our strategic investments when we determine that there has been a decline in the fair value of the investment that is other than temporary. In 2003, we recognized impairment losses of \$3.5 million on our strategic investments when we determined that there had been a decline in the fair value of the investments that was other than temporary. The losses represented other-than-temporary declines in the fair value of our investments and were determined based on the value of the investee's stock, its inability to obtain additional private financing, its cash position and current burn rate, the status and competitive position of the investee's products and the uncertainty of its financial condition among other factors. As of December 31, 2003, our strategic investments had a carrying value of \$5.4 million, and we have determined that there was no further impairment in these investments at that date. We cannot assure you that our investments will have the above-mentioned results, or even that we will not lose all or any part of these investments.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data***  
**Annual Financial Statements**

Our financial statements required by this item are submitted as a separate section of this Form 10-K. See Item 15(a)(1) for a listing of consolidated financial statements provided in the section titled Financial Statements.

**Selected Quarterly Results of Operations**

The following selected quarterly data should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in this Form 10-K. This information has been derived from unaudited consolidated financial statements of VERITAS that, in our opinion, reflect all recurring adjustments necessary to fairly present our financial information when read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes. This selected quarterly information has been restated for the first three fiscal quarters in 2003 from previously reported information filed on Form 10-Q, and for all quarters of 2002 and 2001 from previously reported information filed on Form 10-Q and Form 10-K, as a result of the restatement of our financial results discussed in this Form 10-K. These restatement adjustments are described below. Also described below is the impact of the Seagate Technology tax settlement which was recorded as a subsequent event adjustment to our previously announced results for the fourth quarter and year ended December 31, 2003. The results of operations for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any future period.

We have not amended our annual reports on Form 10-K or quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods affected by the restatement. The information that has been previously filed or otherwise reported for these periods is superseded by the information in this annual report, and the financial statements and related financial information contained in such reports should no longer be relied upon.



**Table of Contents****Quarterly Consolidated Statements of Operations for 2003**

The following table presents the impact of the financial statement adjustments on our consolidated statements of operations for the first three quarters of 2003.

**Year Ended December 31, 2003**

	First Quarter		Second Quarter		Third Quarter		Fourth Quarter	Year
	(As reported)	(As restated)	(As reported)	(As restated)	(As reported)	(As restated)		
(In thousands, except per share amounts)								
Net revenue:								
User license fees	\$ 254,564	\$ 247,455	\$ 260,962	\$ 252,801	\$ 290,219	\$ 281,814	\$ 310,661	\$ 1,092,731
Services	139,822	142,681	151,894	155,567	160,722	164,811	191,297	654,356
Total net revenue	394,386	390,136	412,856	408,368	450,941	446,625	501,958	1,747,087
Cost of revenue:								
User license fees	11,418	11,917	11,716	11,716	11,177	11,483	13,631	48,747
Services	47,789	52,302	50,345	54,807	54,733	58,948	63,484	229,541
Amortization of developed technology	14,782	14,782	10,554	10,554	5,043	5,043	4,888	35,267
Total cost of revenue	73,989	79,001	72,615	77,077	70,953	75,474	82,003	313,555
Gross profit	320,397	311,135	340,241	331,291	379,988	371,151	419,955	1,433,532
Operating expenses:								
Selling and marketing	122,047	115,298	123,105	121,843	138,968	136,184	160,649	533,974
Research and development	71,383	70,588	72,584	71,468	77,964	77,377	82,447	301,880
General and administrative	38,153	38,179	38,902	39,638	38,396	39,209	39,018	156,044
Amortization of other intangibles	18,191	18,191	12,250	12,250	2,454	2,454	2,354	35,249
In-process research and development	4,100	4,100	15,300	15,300				19,400
Total operating expenses	253,874	246,356	262,141	260,499	257,782	255,224	284,468	1,046,547
Income from operations	66,523	64,779	78,100	70,792	122,206	115,927	135,487	386,985
Interest and other income, net	8,395	11,012	11,274	13,891	16,677	8,653	10,057	43,613
Interest expense	(7,738)	(7,738)	(7,798)	(7,798)	(9,249)	(9,249)	(5,616)	(30,401)
Loss on extinguishment of debt					(4,714)	(4,714)		(4,714)
Loss on strategic investments	(3,518)	(3,518)						(3,518)
Income before income taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	63,662	64,535	81,576	76,885	124,920	110,617	139,928	391,965
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	21,136	21,431	32,837	31,251	41,085	36,250	(50,689)	38,243

Edgar Filing: VERITAS SOFTWARE CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	42,526	43,104	48,739	45,634	83,835	74,367	190,617	353,722
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax					(6,249)	(6,249)		(6,249)
Net income	\$ 42,526	\$ 43,104	\$ 48,739	\$ 45,634	\$ 77,586	\$ 68,118	\$ 190,617	\$ 347,473
Income per share before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle:								
Basic	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.84
Diluted	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.81

**Table of Contents****Year Ended December 31, 2003**

	<b>First Quarter</b>		<b>Second Quarter</b>		<b>Third Quarter</b>		<b>Fourth Quarter</b>	<b>Year</b>
	<b>(As reported)</b>	<b>(As restated)</b>	<b>(As reported)</b>	<b>(As restated)</b>	<b>(As reported)</b>	<b>(As restated)</b>		
<b>(In thousands, except per share amounts)</b>								
<b>Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle:</b>								
Basic	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.01)	\$	\$ (0.01)
Diluted	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.02)	\$	\$ (0.01)
<b>Net income per share:</b>								
Basic	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.83
Diluted	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.80
<b>Number of shares used in computing per share amounts basic</b>								
	412,916	412,916	415,621	415,621	425,153	425,153	428,010	420,754
<b>Number of shares used in computing per share amounts diluted</b>								
	419,380	419,380	427,939	427,939	440,815	440,815	444,914	434,446

**Table of Contents****Quarterly Consolidated Statements of Operations for 2002**

The following table presents the impact of the financial statement adjustments on our consolidated statements of operations for each of the quarters in 2002.

	Year Ended December 31, 2002									
	First Quarter		Second Quarter		Third Quarter		Fourth Quarter		Year	
	(As reported)	(As restated)	(As reported)	(As restated)	(As reported)	(As restated)	(As reported)	(As restated)	(As restated)	
(In thousands, except per share amounts)										
Net revenue:										
User license fees	\$ 259,711	\$ 254,036	\$ 241,876	\$ 236,851	\$ 240,699	\$ 234,926	\$ 264,427	\$ 260,980	\$ 986,793	
Services	110,738	118,004	122,814	128,109	124,985	129,965	141,305	143,127	519,205	
Total net revenue	370,449	372,040	364,690	364,960	365,684	364,891	405,732	404,107	1,505,998	
Cost of revenue:										
User license fees	9,194	9,340	8,778	7,922	8,250	9,069	10,885	9,889	36,220	
Services	41,155	46,198	44,852	50,608	46,686	52,530	46,407	53,129	202,465	
Amortization of developed technology	16,903	16,903	16,903	16,903	16,457	16,457	16,654	16,654	66,917	
Total cost of revenue	67,252	72,441	70,533	75,433	71,393	78,056	73,946	79,672	305,602	
Gross profit	303,197	299,599	294,157	289,527	294,291	286,835	331,786	324,435	1,200,396	
Operating expenses:										
Selling and marketing	126,963	119,387	126,731	118,243	122,042	117,520	129,303	123,386	478,536	
Research and development	65,184	64,680	67,701	67,004	69,182	71,906	71,125	71,342	274,932	
General and administrative	33,113	32,261	34,612	36,079	35,179	36,272	38,542	38,453	143,065	
Amortization of other intangibles	18,016	18,016	18,016	18,016	18,016	18,016	18,016	18,016	72,064	
Loss on disposal of assets							3,122	3,122	3,122	
Restructuring costs							100,263	99,308	99,308	
Total operating expenses	243,276	234,344	247,060	239,342	244,419	243,714	360,371	353,627	1,071,027	
Income (loss) from operations	59,921	65,255	47,097	50,185	49,872	43,121	(28,585)	(29,192)	129,369	
Interest and other income, net	13,153	12,737	13,708	13,743	10,619	11,848	5,029	3,407	41,735	
Interest expense	(7,921)	(7,418)	(7,462)	(7,462)	(7,606)	(7,606)	(7,781)	(7,781)	(30,267)	
Gain (loss) on strategic investments			(14,802)	(14,802)			3,003	3,003	(11,799)	
Income (loss) before income taxes	65,153	70,574	38,541	41,664	52,885	47,363	(28,334)	(30,563)	129,038	
Provision for income taxes	20,687	24,167	12,495	14,516	16,659	13,154	21,028	18,935	70,772	
Net income (loss)	\$ 44,466	\$ 46,407	\$ 26,046	\$ 27,148	\$ 36,226	\$ 34,209	\$ (49,362)	\$ (49,498)	\$ 58,266	

Edgar Filing: VERITAS SOFTWARE CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Net income (loss) per share:

Basic	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.12)	\$ 0.14
Diluted	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.12)	\$ 0.14
Number of shares used in computing per share amounts basic	406,086	406,086	409,465	409,465	410,898	410,898	411,773	411,773	409,523
Number of shares used in computing per share amounts diluted	421,709	421,709	418,719	418,719	416,587	416,587	411,773	411,773	418,959

**Table of Contents****Quarterly Consolidated Statements of Operations for 2001**

The following table presents the impact of the financial statement adjustments on our consolidated statements of operations for each of the quarters in 2001.

	Year Ended December 31, 2001								
	First Quarter		Second Quarter		Third Quarter		Fourth Quarter		Year
	(As reported)	(As restated)	(As reported)	(As restated)	(As reported)	(As restated)	(As reported)	(As restated)	(As restated)
(In thousands, except per share amounts)									
Net revenue:									
User license fees	\$ 308,948	\$ 305,365	\$ 293,611	\$ 287,188	\$ 239,946	\$ 238,590	\$ 267,621	\$ 257,961	\$ 1,089,104
Services	78,343	87,519	96,479	97,494	100,276	104,215	106,704	110,893	400,121
Total net revenue	387,291	392,884	390,090	384,682	340,222	342,805	374,325	368,854	1,489,225
Cost of revenue:									
User license fees	10,668	10,794	9,711	9,822	7,920	8,001	12,603	13,131	41,748
Services	31,670	39,652	34,361	40,674	33,952	40,610	38,447	45,784	166,720
Amortization of developed technology	15,791	15,791	15,713	15,713	15,791	15,791	15,791	15,791	63,086
Total cost of revenue	58,129	66,237	59,785	66,209	57,663	64,402	66,841	74,706	271,554
Gross profit	329,162	326,647	330,305	318,473	282,559	278,403	307,484	294,148	1,217,671
Operating expenses:									
Selling and marketing	139,258	123,199	141,746	135,442	135,325	124,969	132,644	124,842	508,452
Research and development	56,225	55,540	59,881	58,636	61,636	61,005	64,325	65,341	240,522
General and administrative	25,904	26,614	28,486	29,105	29,687	20,925	40,795	45,084	121,728
Amortization of other intangibles and goodwill	221,226	221,226	221,743	221,743	221,801	221,801	221,881	220,627	885,397
Gain on disposal of assets								(1,618)	(1,618)
Acquisition costs (reversals)					(5,000)				
Total operating expenses	442,613	426,579	451,856	444,926	443,449	428,700	459,645	454,276	1,754,481
Loss from operations	(113,451)	(99,932)	(121,551)	(126,453)	(160,890)	(150,297)	(152,161)	(160,128)	(536,810)
Interest and other income, net	18,628	18,949	17,212	16,919	15,697	15,791	13,380	12,732	64,391
Interest expense	(6,970)	(6,970)	(7,325)	(7,325)	(7,552)	(7,552)	(7,535)	(7,534)	(29,381)
Loss on strategic investments					(16,074)	(16,074)			(16,074)
Loss before income taxes	(101,793)	(87,953)	(111,664)	(116,859)	(168,819)	(158,132)	(146,316)	(154,930)	(517,874)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	52,097	57,494	14,818	12,792	(8,994)	(4,826)	55,816	52,457	117,917
Net loss	\$(153,890)	\$(145,447)	\$(126,482)	\$(129,651)	\$(159,825)	\$(153,306)	\$(202,132)	\$(207,387)	\$(635,791)

Edgar Filing: VERITAS SOFTWARE CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Net loss per share:									
Basic	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.37)	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.33)	\$ (0.40)	\$ (0.38)	\$ (0.50)	\$ (0.52)	\$ (1.59)
Diluted	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.37)	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.33)	\$ (0.40)	\$ (0.38)	\$ (0.50)	\$ (0.52)	\$ (1.59)
Number of shares used in computing per share amounts basic									
	394,829	394,829	398,017	398,017	400,455	400,455	402,684	402,684	399,016
Number of shares used in computing per share amounts diluted									
	394,829	394,829	398,017	398,017	400,455	400,455	402,684	402,684	399,016

**Table of Contents****Restatement Adjustments for 2003**

Set forth below are the adjustments and the as adjusted amounts as a result of our restatement of the previously issued financial statements for the first three fiscal quarters of 2003 from previously reported information filed on Form 10-Q. The adjustments and as adjusted amounts for the fourth quarter and year ended December 31, 2003 reflect the impact of the restatement on the results previously announced and furnished to the SEC on Form 8-K.

	Year Ended December 31, 2003				
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Year
	(In thousands)				
User license fees, as reported	\$ 254,564	\$ 260,962	\$ 290,219	\$ 308,986	\$ 1,114,731
Adjustments:					
Reclassification of royalty revenues to services(a)	(4,783)	(4,565)	(4,824)		(14,172)
Reclassification of marketing development funds(b)	(1,187)	(1,040)	(1,404)	(876)	(4,507)
Other user license fee adjustments(g)	(1,139)	(2,556)	(2,177)	2,551	(3,321)
Subtotal of adjustments	(7,109)	(8,161)	(8,405)	1,675	(22,000)
User license fees revenue, as adjusted	\$ 247,455	\$ 252,801	\$ 281,814	\$ 310,661	\$ 1,092,731
Services, as reported	\$ 139,822	\$ 151,894	\$ 160,722	\$ 203,894	\$ 656,332
Adjustments:					
Reclassification of royalty revenues from user license fees(a)	4,783	4,565	4,824		14,172
Out-of-period royalty revenue(d)				(6,455)	(6,455)
Out-of-period maintenance revenue(e)	587	(713)	(380)	(6,170)	(6,676)
Out-of-period professional services revenue(f)	(1,607)				(1,607)
Other services revenue adjustments(g)	(904)	(179)	(355)	28	(1,410)
Subtotal of adjustments	2,859	3,673	4,089	(12,597)	(1,976)
Services, as adjusted	\$ 142,681	\$ 155,567	\$ 164,811	\$ 191,297	\$ 654,356
Cost of revenue and operating expenses, as reported	\$ 327,863	\$ 334,756	\$ 328,735	\$ 361,828	\$ 1,353,182
Adjustments(c):					
Out-of-period expenses(h)	1,134	218	(423)	7,546	8,475
Purchase accounting(i)	65	(257)	719	862	1,389
Reclassification of marketing development funds(b)	(1,187)	(1,040)	(1,404)	(876)	(4,507)
Other errors in the application of GAAP(j)	(2,518)	3,899	3,071	(2,889)	1,563



Edgar Filing: VERITAS SOFTWARE CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Subtotal of adjustments	(2,506)	2,820	1,963	4,643	6,920
Cost of revenue and operating expenses, as adjusted	\$325,357	\$337,576	\$330,698	\$366,471	\$1,360,102

**Table of Contents**

Year Ended December 31, 2003					
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Year
(In thousands)					
Interest and other income and expense, net, as reported	\$ 657	\$ 3,476	\$ 7,428	\$ 4,719	\$ 16,280
Adjustments:					
Other errors in the application of GAAP(j)	2,617	2,617	(8,024)	(278)	(3,068)
Interest and other income and expense, net, as adjusted	\$ 3,274	\$ 6,093	\$ (596)	\$ 4,441	\$ 13,212
Provision for income taxes, as reported	\$21,136	\$32,837	\$41,085	\$ 50,430	\$ 145,488
Adjustments:					
Adjustments as a result of restatement(k)	295	(1,586)	(4,835)	(6,019)	(12,145)
Seagate tax settlement(l)				(95,100)	(95,100)
Subtotal of adjustments	295	(1,586)	(4,835)	(101,119)	(107,245)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes, as adjusted	\$21,431	\$31,251	\$36,250	\$ (50,689)	\$ 38,243
Net income, as reported	\$42,526	\$48,739	\$77,586	\$ 105,341	\$ 274,192
Restatement adjustments	578	(3,105)	(9,468)	(9,824)	(21,819)
Seagate tax settlement				95,100	95,100
Net income, as adjusted	\$43,104	\$45,634	\$68,118	\$ 190,617	\$ 347,473

**Restatement Adjustments for 2002**

Set forth below are the adjustments and the as restated amounts as a result of our restatement of the previously issued financial statements for the first three fiscal quarters of 2002 from previously reported information filed on Form 10-Q, and for the fourth quarter of 2002 from previously reported information filed on Form 10-K.

Year Ended December 31, 2002					
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Year
(In thousands)					
User license fees, as reported	\$259,711	\$241,876	\$240,699	\$264,427	\$1,006,713
Adjustments:					
Reclassification of royalty revenues to services(a)	(4,070)	(3,935)	(4,321)	(4,501)	(16,827)
Reclassification of marketing development funds(b)	(1,376)	(1,297)	(1,678)	(1,648)	(5,999)
	(229)	207	226	2,702	2,906

Edgar Filing: VERITAS SOFTWARE CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Other user license fees adjustments(g)					
	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Subtotal of adjustments	(5,675)	(5,025)	(5,773)	(3,447)	(19,920)
	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
User license fees revenue, as restated	\$254,036	\$236,851	\$234,926	\$260,980	\$ 986,793
	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

**Table of Contents**

	Year Ended December 31, 2002				
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Year
	(In thousands)				
Services, as reported	\$ 110,738	\$ 122,814	\$ 124,985	\$ 141,305	\$ 499,842
Adjustments:					
Reclassification of royalty revenues from user license fees(a)	4,070	3,935	4,321	4,501	16,827
Out-of-period royalty revenue(d)	183	1,098	392	339	2,012
Out-of period maintenance revenue(e)	1,342	(1,362)	2,079	(1,089)	970
Out-of-period professional services revenue(f)	1,788	1,073	(981)	(2,101)	(221)
Other services revenue adjustments(g)	(117)	551	(831)	172	(225)
Subtotal of adjustments	<u>7,266</u>	<u>5,295</u>	<u>4,980</u>	<u>1,822</u>	<u>19,363</u>
Services, as restated	<u>\$ 118,004</u>	<u>\$ 128,109</u>	<u>\$ 129,965</u>	<u>\$ 143,127</u>	<u>\$ 519,205</u>
Cost of revenue and operating expenses, as reported	\$ 310,528	\$ 317,593	\$ 315,812	\$ 434,317	\$ 1,378,250
Adjustments(c):					
Out-of period expenses(h)	(1,879)	(1,167)	683	(2,710)	(5,073)
Purchase accounting(i)	109	381	109	1,059	1,658
Reclassification of marketing development funds(b)	(1,376)	(1,297)	(1,678)	(1,648)	(5,999)
Other errors in the application of GAAP(j)	(597)	(735)	6,844	2,281	7,793
Subtotal of adjustments	<u>(3,743)</u>	<u>(2,818)</u>	<u>5,958</u>	<u>(1,018)</u>	<u>(1,621)</u>
Cost of revenue and operating expenses, as restated	<u>\$ 306,785</u>	<u>\$ 314,775</u>	<u>\$ 321,770</u>	<u>\$ 433,299</u>	<u>\$ 1,376,629</u>
Interest and other income and expense, net, as reported	\$ 5,232	\$ 6,246	\$ 3,013	\$ (2,752)	\$ 11,739
Adjustments:					
Out-of period expenses(h)				(2,777)	(2,777)
Other errors in the application of GAAP(j)	87	35	1,229	1,155	2,506
Subtotal of adjustments	<u>87</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>1,229</u>	<u>(1,622)</u>	<u>(271)</u>
Interest and other income, net, as restated	<u>\$ 5,319</u>	<u>\$ 6,281</u>	<u>\$ 4,242</u>	<u>\$ (4,374)</u>	<u>\$ 11,468</u>
Provision for income taxes, as reported	\$ 20,687	\$ 12,495	\$ 16,659	\$ 21,028	\$ 70,869
Adjustments:	3,480	2,021	(3,505)	(2,093)	(97)

Edgar Filing: VERITAS SOFTWARE CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Adjustments as a result of  
restatement(k)

Provision for income taxes, as restated	\$ 24,167	\$ 14,516	\$ 13,154	\$ 18,935	\$ 70,772
Net income (loss), as reported	\$ 44,466	\$ 26,046	\$ 36,226	\$ (49,362)	\$ 57,376
Net adjustments	1,941	1,102	(2,017)	(136)	890
Net income (loss), as restated	\$ 46,407	\$ 27,148	\$ 34,209	\$ (49,498)	\$ 58,266

**Table of Contents****Restatement Adjustments for 2001**

Set forth below are the adjustments and the as restated amounts as a result of our restatement of the previously issued financial statements for the first three fiscal quarters of 2001 from previously reported information filed on Form 10-Q, and for the fourth quarter of 2001 from previously reported information filed on Form 10-K.

	Year Ended December 31, 2001				
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Year
	(In thousands)				
User license fees, as reported	\$ 308,948	\$ 293,611	\$ 239,946	\$ 267,621	\$ 1,110,126
Adjustments:					
Reclassification of royalty revenues to services(a)		(4,736)	(4,632)	(4,484)	(13,852)
Reclassification of marketing development funds(b)	(1,099)	(547)	(1,342)	(1,325)	(4,313)
Other user license fees adjustments(g)	(2,484)	(1,140)	4,618	(3,851)	(2,857)
Subtotal of adjustments	(3,583)	(6,423)	(1,356)	(9,660)	(21,022)
User license fees revenue, as restated	\$ 305,365	\$ 287,188	\$ 238,590	\$ 257,961	\$ 1,089,104
Services, as reported	\$ 78,343	\$ 96,479	\$ 100,276	\$ 106,704	\$ 381,802
Adjustments:					
Reclassification of royalty revenues from user license fees(a)		4,736	4,632	4,484	13,852
Out-of-period royalty revenue(d)	763	(1,552)	(1,003)	(363)	(2,155)
Out-of period maintenance revenue(e)	1,806	700	1,410	(539)	3,377
Out-of-period professional services revenue(f)	4,285	(2,385)	(819)	747	1,828
Other services revenue adjustments(g)	2,322	(484)	(281)	(140)	1,417
Subtotal of adjustments	9,176	1,015	3,939	4,189	18,319
Services, as restated	\$ 87,519	\$ 97,494	\$ 104,215	\$ 110,893	\$ 400,121
Cost of revenue and operating expenses, as reported	\$ 500,742	\$ 511,641	\$ 501,112	\$ 526,486	\$ 2,039,981
Adjustments(c):					
Out-of period expenses(h)	(4,742)	(2,072)	(3,673)	8,779	(1,708)
Purchase accounting(i)				(2,125)	(2,125)
Reclassification of marketing development funds(b)	(1,099)	(547)	(1,342)	(1,325)	(4,313)
Other errors in the application of GAAP(j)	(2,085)	2,113	(2,995)	(2,833)	(5,800)

Edgar Filing: VERITAS SOFTWARE CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Subtotal of adjustments	(7,926)	(506)	(8,010)	2,496	(13,946)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Cost of revenue and operating expenses, as restated	\$492,816	\$511,135	\$493,102	\$528,982	\$2,026,035
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

**Table of Contents**

	Year Ended December 31, 2001				
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Year
	(In thousands)				
Interest and other income and expense, net, as reported	\$ 11,658	\$ 9,887	\$ 8,145	\$ 5,845	\$ 35,535
Adjustments:					
Other errors in the application of GAAP(j)	321	(293)	94	(647)	(525)
Interest and other income, net, as restated	\$ 11,979	\$ 9,594	\$ 8,239	\$ 5,198	\$ 35,010
Provision for income taxes, as reported	\$ 52,097	\$ 14,818	\$ (8,994)	\$ 55,816	\$ 113,737
Adjustments:					
Adjustments as a result of restatement(k)	5,397	(2,026)	4,168	(3,359)	4,180
Provision for income taxes, as restated	\$ 57,494	\$ 12,792	\$ (4,826)	\$ 52,457	\$ 117,917
Net loss, as reported	\$ (153,890)	\$ (126,482)	\$ (159,825)	\$ (202,132)	\$ (642,329)
Net adjustments	8,443	(3,169)	6,519	(5,255)	6,538
Net loss, as restated	\$ (145,447)	\$ (129,651)	\$ (153,306)	\$ (207,387)	\$ (635,791)

The interim financial information for 2003, 2002 and 2001 has been impacted by the restatement as follows:

**Restatement Adjustments***Reclassification Adjustments*

(a) *Reclassification of Royalty Revenue.* In the fourth quarter of 2003, royalty revenues related to product upgrades for a specific OEM contract, which had previously been reported as user license fees, were reclassified to services revenue to more appropriately reflect the nature of the revenue. As a result of the restatement of our financial statements, we have reclassified revenues from this royalty contract from user license fees to services revenue for all restated periods. The reclassification resulted in a decrease in user license fees for the second quarter of 2001 through the third quarter of 2003 and corresponding increases in services revenue for the same periods.

(b) *Reclassification of Marketing Development Funds.* We reclassified certain marketing development fund expenses to comply with Emerging Issues Task Force 01-09, *Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products)*, which requires certain expenses reimbursed to channel partners to be netted against revenue. Accordingly, there was a decrease in both operating expenses and user license fees of \$4.5 million, \$6.0 million and \$4.3 million for 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

(c) *Reclassification of Technical Support Costs.* We reclassified certain customer support costs that had previously been recorded as operating expenses to cost of revenue for all restated periods to more appropriately reflect the nature of these costs. Accordingly, there was a decrease in operating expenses and an offsetting increase in cost of revenue of \$4.5 million, \$4.8 million, \$4.7 million and \$5.2 million for the four quarters of 2003. Related reclassification adjustments were \$5.1 million, \$5.3 million, \$4.8 million and \$4.7 million for the four quarters of 2002 and \$8.4 million, \$6.9 million, \$7.0 million and \$5.1 million for the four quarters of 2001. This reclassification has not been shown on the reconciliation of adjustments because the reconciliation does not separately describe the impacts between cost of revenue and operating



expenses.

**Table of Contents***Adjustments Impacting Net Income*

(d) *Out-of-Period Royalty Revenue.* Amortization periods were applied incorrectly for a specific OEM support contract which resulted in revenue generally being deferred in 2002, 2001 and prior periods and recognized in subsequent periods. The restatement adjustments result in revenue being recognized in the proper periods. The effect of the adjustments increased service revenue by \$2.0 million for 2002 and decreased service revenue by \$2.2 million for 2001. In conjunction with correcting these errors for 2002, 2001 and prior periods, services revenue was revised for 2003 to reflect a decrease of \$6.5 million in the fourth quarter.

(e) *Out-of-Period Maintenance Revenue.* Amortization periods were applied incorrectly for certain maintenance and technical support contracts which resulted in revenue being deferred in 2002, 2001 and prior periods and recognized in subsequent periods. The restatement adjustments result in revenue being recognized in the proper periods. The effect of the adjustments increased services revenue by \$1.0 million and \$3.4 million for 2002 and 2001, respectively. In conjunction with correcting these errors for 2002, 2001 and prior periods, services revenue was revised for 2003 to reflect a decrease of \$6.7 million, of which \$6.2 million was in the fourth quarter of 2003.

(f) *Out-of-Period Professional Services Revenue.* We determined that for 2002 and 2001 some professional services that had been delivered were incorrectly deferred and recognized in subsequent periods. The restatement adjustments result in revenue being recognized in the proper periods. The effect of the adjustments decreased services revenue by \$0.2 million for 2002 and increased services revenue by \$1.8 million for 2001. In conjunction with correcting these errors for 2002 and 2001, services revenue was revised for 2003 to reflect a decrease of \$1.6 million in the first quarter.

(g) *Other User License Fees and Other Services Revenue Adjustments.* The restatement includes the correction of errors previously made in the application of revenue recognition principles under SOP No. 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition*, and SFAS No. 48, *Revenue Recognition when Right of Return Exists*, that affected the timing of certain revenue recognition. The restatement adjustments result in revenue being recognized in the proper periods. The effect of these adjustments increased user license fees by \$2.9 million for 2002 and decreased user license fees by \$2.9 million for 2001. Services revenue decreased by \$0.2 million for 2002 and increased by \$1.4 million for 2001. In conjunction with correcting these errors for 2002, 2001 and prior periods, user license fees and services revenue for 2003 were also revised. The effect of the adjustments for 2003 increased user license fees by \$1.1 million and decreased services revenue by \$0.7 million. We also made adjustments to 2003 that are unrelated to errors in prior periods. These 2003 adjustments, which related primarily to the deferral of revenue associated with several multiple element arrangements, decreased user license fees and services revenue by \$4.4 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. The adjustments unrelated to errors in prior periods are expected to be recognized as revenue in 2004.

(h) *Out-of-Period Expenses.* Adjustments were recorded to reflect the proper timing of expenses related to certain unsubstantiated expense accruals. For 2002 and 2001, adjustments of \$5.1 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, were made to decrease cost of revenue and operating expenses for out-of-period expenses. Additionally, in the fourth quarter of 2002, an adjustment to decrease other income (included in interest and other income, net) by \$2.8 million was recorded. In conjunction with correcting these errors for 2002, 2001 and prior periods, adjustments of \$8.5 million were made to increase cost of revenue and operating expenses for 2003. Of these adjustments, \$7.5 million were recorded in the fourth quarter of 2003.

(i) *Purchase Accounting.* Adjustments were recorded to correct errors in the application of purchase accounting. Generally, accrued liabilities established as part of certain acquisitions were released in 2003 and 2002 as a reduction in expenses when the accrued liability should have been adjusted as a reduction to goodwill. Accordingly, adjustments for 2003 and 2002 of \$1.4 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, were made to increase cost of revenue and operating expenses for these reversals and, to a lesser extent, to correct accounting for stock-based compensation in connection with acquisitions. In addition, adjustments for \$2.1 million were recorded for 2001 to decrease cost of revenue and operating expenses related to the impact of amortizing less goodwill due to these purchase accounting adjustments and to correct accounting for stock-based compensation in connection with these acquisitions.

**Table of Contents**

(j) *Other Errors in the Application of GAAP.* Adjustments were recorded to correct certain errors in the application of GAAP for a derivative instrument and certain other transactions. As a result, cost of revenue and operating expenses increased by \$1.6 million and \$7.8 million for 2003 and 2002, respectively, and cost of revenue and operating expenses decreased by \$5.8 million for 2001. Interest and other income and expense, net, decreased by \$3.1 million for 2003, increased by \$2.5 million for 2002 and decreased by \$0.5 million for 2001 for adjustments primarily related to the incorrect accounting for the derivative instrument.

(k) *Provision for Income Taxes.* Adjustments were made to the provision for income taxes to account for the tax effect of the restatement adjustments at our effective tax rate, as applicable.

***Subsequent Event Adjustment***

(l) *Seagate Tax Settlement.* The provision for income taxes includes an adjustment for a tax benefit of \$95.1 million related to the March 15, 2004 settlement of certain tax audits associated with our 2000 acquisition of Seagate Technology.

**Table of Contents****Quarterly Consolidated Balance Sheets for 2003**

The following table presents the impact of the financial statement adjustments on our consolidated balance sheets for the first three quarters of 2003.

	March 31, 2003		June 30, 2003		September 30, 2003		December 31, 2003
	(As reported)	(As restated)	(As reported)	(As restated)	(As reported)	(As restated)	
	(In thousands)						
<b>ASSETS</b>							
Current assets:							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,031,404	\$ 1,031,404	\$ 1,017,018	\$ 1,017,018	\$ 722,612	\$ 722,612	\$ 823,171
Short-term investments	1,362,703	1,362,703	1,353,932	1,353,932	1,566,441	1,566,441	1,679,844
Accounts receivable, net	78,277	72,026	13				