CVB FINANCIAL CORP Form 10-K March 01, 2007

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the transition period from N/A to N/A

Commission file number 1-10140 CVB FINANCIAL CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 701 N. Haven Avenue, Suite 350

701 N. Haven Avenue, Suite 350 Ontario, California

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

95-3629339

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) **91764**

(Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code (909) 980-4030

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock, no par value Preferred Stock Purchase Rights NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated Filer o Non-accelerated filer o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No b

As of June 30, 2006, the aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$899,009,320.

Number of shares of common stock of the registrant outstanding as of February 22, 2007: 84,283,333.

Documents Incorporated By Reference

Part of

Definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders which will be filed within 120 days of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

Part III of Form 10-K

CVB FINANCIAL CORP.

2006 ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1.	Business	2
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	14
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	17
Item 2.	Properties	17
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	17
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a vote of Security Holders	17
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for the Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer	
	Purchases of Equity Securities	18
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	20
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and the Results of	
	Operations	21
	General	21
	Overview	21
	Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates	22
	Analysis of the Results of Operations	24
	Analysis of Financial Condition	33
	Risk Management	43
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	53
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	54
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	54
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	54
Item 9B.	Other Information	56
	PART III	
<u>Item 10.</u>	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governace	57
<u>Item 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	57
<u>Item 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related	
	Stockholder Matters	57
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independe	58
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	58
	PART IV	
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	59
HIBIT 10.23	LAMORS and I maneral Statement Schedules	3)
HIBIT 10.25		
HIBIT 10.26		
<u>HIBIT 21</u> <u>HIBIT 23.1</u>		
HIBIT 31.1		
<u> </u>		

EXHIBIT 31.2 EXHIBIT 32.1 EXHIBIT 32.2 EXHIBIT 99.1

1

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

Certain statements in this report constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or Exchange Act, and as such involve risk and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements relate to, among other things, expectations of the environment in which we operate, projections of future performance, perceived opportunities in the market and strategies regarding our mission and vision. Our actual results may differ significantly from the results discussed in such forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include but are not limited to economic conditions, competition in the geographic and business areas in which we conduct our operations, fluctuations in interest rates, credit quality and government regulation, and failure to obtain appropriate governmental or shareholder approval for the merger with First Coastal Bancshares or failure of any other condition of consummation of the merger. For additional information concerning these factors, see Item 1A. Risk Factors And any additional information as set forth in our periodic reports filed pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We do not undertake any obligation to update our forward-looking statements to reflect occurrences or unanticipated events or circumstances arising after the date of such statement except as required by laws.

PART I

Item 1. Business

CVB Financial Corp.

CVB Financial Corp. (referred to herein on an unconsolidated basis as CVB and on a consolidated basis as we or the Company) is a bank holding company incorporated in California on April 27, 1981 and registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the Bank Holding Company Act). The Company commenced business on December 30, 1981 when, pursuant to a reorganization, it acquired all of the voting stock of Chino Valley Bank. On March 29, 1996, Chino Valley Bank changed its name to Citizens Business Bank (the Bank). The Bank is our principal asset. The Company has three other inactive subsidiaries: CVB Ventures, Inc.; Chino Valley Bancorp; and ONB Bancorp. In March 2006, we merged two of our operating subsidiaries, Community Trust Deed Services and Golden West Enterprises, Inc. into the Bank to increase the lending limit of Golden West s leasing operations and to improve efficiency. The Company is also the common stockholder of CVB Statutory Trust I, CVB Statutory Trust II and CVB Statutory Trust III. CVB Statutory Trusts I and II were created in December 2003 and CVB Statutory Trust III was created in January 2006 to issue trust preferred securities in order to raise capital for the Company.

CVB s principal business is to serve as a holding company for the Bank and for other banking or banking related subsidiaries, which the Company may establish or acquire. We have not engaged in any other activities to date. As a legal entity separate and distinct from its subsidiaries, CVB s principal source of funds is, and will continue to be, dividends paid by and other funds advanced from the Bank. Legal limitations are imposed on the amount of dividends that may be paid and loans that may be made by the Bank to CVB. See Item 1. Business Supervision and Regulation Dividends and Other Transfers of Funds. At December 31, 2006, the Company had \$6.09 billion in total consolidated assets, \$3.07 billion in net loans and \$3.41 billion in deposits.

The principal executive offices of CVB and the Bank are located at 701 North Haven Avenue, Suite 350, Ontario, California. Our phone number is (909) 980-4030.

Citizens Business Bank

The Bank commenced operations as a California state chartered bank on August 9, 1974. The Bank s deposit accounts are insured under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act up to applicable limits. The Bank is not a member of the Federal

Reserve System. At December 31, 2006, the Bank had \$6.09 billion in assets, \$3.07 billion in net loans and \$3.44 billion in deposits.

As of December 31, 2006, we had 39 Business Financial Centers located in the Inland Empire, San Gabriel Valley, Orange County, Los Angeles County, Madera County, Fresno County, Tulare County, and Kern County

2

Table of Contents

areas of California. Of the 39 offices, we opened twelve as de novo branches and acquired the other twenty-seven in acquisition transactions. We added five offices in 2003 and an additional three offices in 2005. During the second quarter of 2006, we consolidated two of our business financial centers in Arcadia and moved into a new location within the city.

Through our network of banking offices, we emphasize personalized service combined with a full range of banking and trust services for businesses, professionals and individuals located in the service areas of our offices. Although we focus the marketing of our services to small-and medium-sized businesses, a full range of retail banking services are made available to the local consumer market.

We offer a wide range of deposit instruments. These include checking, savings, money market and time certificates of deposit for both business and personal accounts. We also serve as a federal tax depository for our business customers.

We provide a full complement of lending products, including commercial, agribusiness, consumer, real estate loans and equipment and vehicle leasing. Commercial products include lines of credit and other working capital financing, accounts receivable lending and letters of credit. Agribusiness products are loans to finance the operating needs of wholesale dairy farm operations, cattle feeders, livestock raisers, and farmers. We provide lease financing for municipal governments. Financing products for consumers include automobile leasing and financing, lines of credit, and home improvement and home equity lines of credit. Real estate loans include mortgage and construction loans.

We also offer a wide range of specialized services designed for the needs of our commercial accounts. These services include cash management systems for monitoring cash flow, a credit card program for merchants, courier pick-up and delivery, payroll services, electronic funds transfers by way of domestic and international wires and automated clearinghouse, and on-line account access. We make available investment products to customers, including mutual funds, a full array of fixed income vehicles and a program to diversify our customers funds in federally insured time certificates of deposit of other institutions.

We offer a wide range of financial services and trust services through our Financial Advisory Services Group (formerly known as Wealth Management Division). These services include fiduciary services, mutual funds, annuities, 401K plans and individual investment accounts.

Business Segments

We are a community bank with Business Financial Centers (branches) being the focal points for customer sales and services. As such, these Business Financial Centers comprise the biggest segment of the Company. The next largest business unit is the Treasury Department. This department manages all of the investments for the Company. As a result, we have two reportable operating segments consisting of Business Financial Centers and the Treasury Department. All administrative and other smaller operating departments are combined into Other category. See the sections captioned Results of Segment Operations in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 19 Business Segments in the notes to consolidated financial statements.

Competition

The banking and financial services business is highly competitive. The increasingly competitive environment faced by banks is a result primarily of changes in laws and regulation, changes in technology and product delivery systems, and the accelerating pace of consolidation among financial services providers. We compete for loans, deposits, and customers with other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, savings banks, securities and brokerage companies, mortgage companies, insurance companies, finance companies, money market funds, credit unions, and

other nonbank financial service providers. Many competitors are much larger in total assets and capitalization, have greater access to capital markets, including foreign-ownership, and/or offer a broader range of financial services.

3

Table of Contents

Economic Conditions, Government Policies, Legislation, and Regulation

Our profitability, like most financial institutions, is primarily dependent on interest rate differentials. In general, the difference between the interest rates paid by us on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and other borrowings, and the interest rates received by us on our interest-earning assets, such as loans to customers and securities held in the investment portfolio, will comprise the major portion of our earnings. These rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control, such as inflation, recession and unemployment, and the impact which future changes in domestic and foreign economic conditions might have on us cannot be predicted.

Our business is also influenced by the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government and the policies of regulatory agencies, particularly the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the FRB). The FRB implements national monetary policies (with objectives such as curbing inflation and combating recession) through its open-market operations in U.S. Government securities by adjusting the required level of reserves for depository institutions subject to its reserve requirements, and by varying the target federal funds and discount rates applicable to borrowings by depository institutions. The actions of the FRB in these areas influence the growth of bank loans, investments, and deposits and also affect interest earned on interest-earning assets and interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The nature and impact on us of any future changes in monetary and fiscal policies cannot be predicted.

From time to time, federal and state legislation is enacted which may have the effect of materially increasing the cost of doing business, limiting or expanding permissible activities, or affecting the competitive balance between banks and other financial services providers. We cannot predict whether or when potential legislation will be enacted, and if enacted, the effect that it, or any implementing regulations, would have on our financial condition or results of operations. In addition, the outcome of any investigations initiated by state authorities or litigation raising issues such as whether state laws are preempted by federal law may result in necessary changes in our operations, additional regulation and increased compliance costs.

Supervision and Regulation

General

We and our subsidiaries are extensively regulated under both federal and certain state laws. This regulation and supervision by the federal and state banking agencies is intended primarily for the protection of depositors and the deposit insurance fund and not for the benefit of stockholders. Set forth below is a summary description of key laws and regulations which relate to our operations. These descriptions are qualified in their entirety by reference to the applicable laws and regulations.

The Company

As a bank holding company, we are subject to regulation and examination by the FRB under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the BHCA). We are required to file with the FRB periodic reports and such additional information as the FRB may require.

The FRB may require us to terminate an activity or terminate control of or liquidate or divest certain subsidiaries, affiliates or investments if the FRB believes the activity or the control of the subsidiary or affiliate constitutes a significant risk to the financial safety, soundness or stability of any bank subsidiary. The FRB also has the authority to regulate provisions of certain bank holding company debt, including the authority to impose interest ceilings and reserve requirements on such debt. Under certain circumstances, we must file written notice and obtain FRB approval prior to purchasing or redeeming our equity securities. Further, we are required by the FRB to maintain certain levels

of capital. See Capital Standards.

We are required to obtain prior FRB approval for the acquisition of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of any class of voting securities or substantially all of the assets of any bank or bank holding company. Prior FRB approval is also required for the merger or consolidation of a bank holding company with another bank holding company. Similar state banking agency approvals may also be required. Certain competitive, management, financial and other factors are considered by the bank regulatory agencies in granting these approvals.

4

Table of Contents

With certain exceptions, bank holding companies are prohibited from acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of the outstanding voting shares of any company that is not a bank or bank holding company and from engaging directly or indirectly in activities other than those of banking, managing or controlling banks, or furnishing services to subsidiaries. However, subject to prior notice or FRB approval, bank holding companies may engage in any, or acquire shares of companies engaged in, those nonbanking activities determined by the FRB to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto.

It is the policy of the FRB that each bank holding company serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to its subsidiary bank or banks. A bank holding company s failure to meet its obligations to serve as a source of strength to its subsidiary banks will generally be considered by the FRB to be an unsafe and unsound banking practice or a violation of FRB regulations or both. The FRB s bank holding company rating system emphasizes risk management and evaluation of the potential impact of nondepository entities on safety and soundness.

We are also a bank holding company within the meaning of the California Financial Code. As such, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to examination by, and may be required to file reports with, the California Department of Financial Institutions (DFI).

The Bank

As a California chartered bank, the Bank is subject to primary supervision, periodic examination, and regulation by the DFI and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), as well as certain regulations promulgated by the FRB. If, as a result of an examination, the FDIC determines that the financial condition, capital resources, asset quality, earnings prospects, management, liquidity, or other aspects of our banking operations are unsatisfactory or that we are violating or have violated any law or regulation, various remedies are available to the FDIC, including the power to enjoin unsafe or unsound practices; require affirmative action to correct any conditions resulting from any violation or practice; issue an administrative order that can be judicially enforced, to direct an increase in capital, to restrict our growth; assess civil monetary penalties; remove officers and directors; and ultimately to terminate deposit insurance, which would result in a revocation of the Bank's charter. See Safety and Soundness Standards.

The DFI also possesses broad powers to take corrective and other supervisory actions to resolve the problems of California state-chartered banks. These enforcement powers include cease and desist orders, the imposition of fines, the ability to take possession of the Bank and the ability to close and liquidate the Bank.

Changes such as the following in federal or state banking laws or the regulations, policies or guidance of the federal or state banking agencies could have an adverse cost or competitive impact on our bank operations:

- (i) In December, 2006, the federal banking agencies issued final guidance to reinforce sound risk management practices for bank holding companies and banks in commercial real estate (CRE) loans which establishes CRE concentration thresholds as criteria for examiners to identify CRE concentration that may warrant further analysis. The implementation of these guidelines could result in increased reserves and capital costs for banks with CRE concentration. The Bank s CRE portfolio as of December 31, 2006 would meet the definition of CRE concentration as set forth in the guidelines. The Bank analyzes this concentration on a quarterly basis and monitors same through various reports it prepares. The Bank believes that it complies with the analytical and monitoring expectations as set forth in the aforementioned guidance. Furthermore, this concentration is considered in the methodology for the Allowance for Credit Losses.
- (ii) In September, 2006, the federal banking agencies issued final guidance on alternative residential mortgage products that allow borrowers to defer repayment of principal and sometimes interest, including interest-only

mortgage loans, and payment option adjustable rate mortgages where a borrower has flexible payment options, including payments that have the potential for negative amortization. While acknowledging that innovations in mortgage lending can benefit some consumers, the final guidance states that management should (1) assess a borrower s ability to repay the loan, including any principal balances added through negative amortization, at the fully indexed rate that would apply after the introductory period, (2) recognize that certain nontraditional mortgages are untested in a stressed environment and warrant strong risk

5

Table of Contents

management standards as well as appropriate capital and loan loss reserves, and (3) ensure that borrowers have sufficient information to clearly understand loan terms and associated risks prior to making a product or payment choice. The Bank believes its products and disclosures are in conformance with the requirements of the guidance.

(iii) Pursuant to the Financial Services Regulatory Relief Act of 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the FRB have released, as Regulation R, joint proposed rules expected to be finalized by midyear to implement exceptions provided for in the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLBA) for bank securities activities which banks may conduct without registering with the SEC as securities brokers or moving such activities to a broker-dealer affiliate. The proposed Regulation R push out rules exceptions would allow a bank, subject to certain conditions, to continue to conduct securities transactions for customers as part of the Bank s trust and fiduciary, custodial and deposit sweep functions, and to refer customers to a securities broker-dealer pursuant to a networking arrangement with the broker-dealer. The proposed rules, if adopted, are not expected to have a material effect on the current securities activities which the Bank now conducts for customers.

Because California permits commercial banks chartered by the state to engage in any activity permissible for national banks, the Bank can form subsidiaries to engage in the many so-called closely related to banking or nonbanking activities commonly conducted by national banks in operating subsidiaries, but also expanded financial activities to the same extent as a national bank, subject to the state or FDIC requirements. However, in order to form a financial subsidiary, the Bank must be well-capitalized; well-managed and in satisfactory compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act. Further, the Bank must exclude from its assets and equity all equity investments, including retained earnings, in a financial subsidiary. The assets of the subsidiary may not be consolidated with the Bank s assets. The Bank must also have policies and procedures to assess financial subsidiary risk and protect the Bank from such risks and potential liabilities and would be subject to the same capital deduction, risk management and affiliate transaction rules as applicable to national banks. Generally, a financial subsidiary is permitted to engage in activities that are financial in nature or incidental thereto, even though they are not permissible for the national bank to conduct directly within the Bank. The definition of financial in nature includes, among other items, underwriting, dealing in or making a market in securities, including, for example, distributing shares of mutual funds. The subsidiary may not, however, under present law engage as principal in underwriting insurance (other than credit life insurance), issue annuities or engage in real estate development or investment or merchant banking.

Interstate Banking and Branching

Subject to certain size limitations under the Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking Act, bank holding companies and banks have the ability to acquire and merge with banks in other states; and, subject to certain state restrictions, banks may also acquire or establish new branches outside their home states. Interstate branches are subject to certain laws of the states in which they are located.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 addressed accounting oversight and corporate governance matters and, among other things,

required executive certification of financial presentations;

increased requirements for board audit committees and their members;

enhanced disclosure of controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting;

enhanced controls on, and reporting of, insider trading; and

increased penalties for financial crimes and forfeiture of executive bonuses in certain circumstances.

The legislation and its implementing regulations have resulted in increased costs of compliance, including certain outside professional costs. To date these costs have not had a material impact on our operations.

6

Table of Contents

Dividends and Other Transfers of Funds

Dividends from the Bank constitute the principal source of income to the Company. An FRB policy statement provides that a bank holding company should pay cash dividends only to the extent that the holding company s net income for the past year is sufficient to cover both the cash dividends and a rate of earnings retention that is consistent with the holding company s capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. The policy statement also provides that it would be inappropriate for a company experiencing serious financial problems to borrow funds to pay dividends. Furthermore, under the federal prompt corrective action regulations, the FRB may prohibit a bank holding company from paying any dividends if the holding company s bank subsidiary is classified as undercapitalized. See Prompt Corrective Action and Other Enforcement Mechanisms below.

The Bank is subject to various statutory and regulatory restrictions on its ability to pay dividends. Under such restrictions, the amount available for payment of dividends to the Company by the Bank totaled \$128.5 million at December 31, 2006. In addition, the banking agencies have the authority to prohibit the Bank from paying dividends, depending upon the Bank s financial condition, if such payment is deemed to constitute an unsafe or unsound practice.

Capital Standards

The federal banking agencies have adopted risk-based minimum capital guidelines for bank holding companies and banks which are intended to provide a measure of capital that reflects the degree of risk associated with a banking organization s operations for both transactions reported on the balance sheet as assets and transactions which are recorded as off balance sheet items. The risk-based capital ratio is determined by classifying assets and certain off-balance sheet financial instruments into weighted categories, with higher levels of capital being required for those categories perceived as representing greater risk. Under the capital guidelines, a banking organization s total capital is divided into tiers. Tier I capital consists of (1) common equity, (2) qualifying noncumulative perpetual preferred stock, (3) a limited amount of qualifying cumulative perpetual preferred stock and (4) minority interests in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries (including trust-preferred securities), less goodwill and certain other intangible assets. Qualifying Tier I capital may consist of trust-preferred securities, subject to certain criteria and quantitative limits for inclusion of restricted core capital elements in Tier I capital. Tier II capital consists of hybrid capital instruments, perpetual debt, mandatory convertible debt securities, a limited amount of subordinated debt, preferred stock and trust-preferred securities that do not qualify as Tier I capital, a limited amount of the allowance for loan and lease losses and a limited amount of unrealized holding gains on equity securities. Tier III capital consists of qualifying unsecured subordinated debt. The sum of Tier II and Tier III capital may not exceed the amount of Tier I capital.

The risk-based capital guidelines require a minimum ratio of qualifying total capital to risk-adjusted assets of 8% and a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-adjusted assets of 4%. In addition to the risk-based guidelines, the federal bank regulatory agencies require banking organizations to maintain a minimum amount of Tier 1 capital to total assets, referred to as the leverage ratio. For a banking organization rated in the highest of the five categories used by regulators to rate banking organizations, the minimum leverage ratio of Tier 1 capital to total assets must be 3%.

The federal banking agencies possess broad power under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, or FDI Act, to take prompt corrective action to resolve the problems of insured depository institutions, including but not limited to those institutions that fall within any undercapitalized category. Each federal banking agency has promulgated regulations defining the following five categories in which an insured depository institution will be placed, based on its capital ratios:

well capitalized;

adequately capitalized ;
undercapitalized ;
significantly undercapitalized ; and
critically undercapitalized.

7

Table of Contents

The regulations use an institution s risk-based capital, leverage capital and tangible capital ratios to determine the institution s capital classification. An institution is treated as well capitalized if its total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio is 10.00% or more; its core capital to risk-weighted assets ratio is 6.00% or more; and its core capital to adjusted total assets ratio is 5.00% or more. The regulatory capital guidelines as well as our actual capitalization on a consolidated basis and for the Bank as of December 31, 2006 are set forth below and confirm that both the Bank and the Company capital ratios exceed the minimum percentage of the federal bank regulatory agencies for being deemed well capitalized.

The following table presents the amounts of regulatory capital and the capital ratios for the Company, compared to its minimum regulatory capital requirements as of December 31, 2006:

	As of December 31, 2006 Actual Required			Excess	s	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount (Amounts in tl	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Leverage ratio	\$ 469,960	7.8%	\$ 240,389	4.0%	\$ 229,571	3.8%
Tier 1 risk-based ratio	\$ 469,960	12.3%	\$ 153,081	4.0%	\$ 316,879	8.3%
Total risk-based ratio	\$ 499,430	13.1%	\$ 306,164	8.0%	\$ 193,266	5.1%

The following table presents the amounts of regulatory capital and the capital ratios for the Bank, compared to its minimum regulatory capital requirements as of December 31, 2006:

		A	As of Decembe	r 31, 2006			
	Actual		Required		Exces	S	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
	(Amounts in thousands)						
Leverage ratio	\$ 422,946	7.1%	\$ 239,969	4.0%	\$ 182,977	3.1%	
Tier 1 risk-based ratio	\$ 422,946	11.1%	\$ 152,826	4.0%	\$ 270,120	7.1%	
Total risk-based ratio	\$ 452,416	11.8%	\$ 305,686	8.0%	\$ 146,730	3.8%	

The current risk-based capital guidelines are based upon the 1988 capital accord of the International Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. A new international accord, referred to as Basel II, which emphasizes internal assessment of credit, market and operational risk; supervisory assessment and market discipline in determining minimum capital requirements, currently becomes mandatory outside the U.S. in 2008. In October 2006, the U.S. federal banking agencies issued a notice of proposed rulemaking for comment to implement Basel II for U.S. banks with certain differences from the international Basel II framework and which would not be fully in effect for U.S. banks until 2012. Further, the U.S. banking agencies propose to retain the minimum leverage requirement and prompt corrective action regulatory standards. Additionally, in December 2006, the federal banking agencies issued another notice of proposed rulemaking for comment, referred to as Basel IA, which proposed alternative capital requirements for smaller U.S. banks which may be negatively impacted competitively by certain provisions of Basel II. At this time the impact that proposed changes in capital requirements may have on the cost and availability of different types of credit and the compliance cost of implementing Basel II or Basel IA, as applicable, are uncertain.

Safety and Soundness Standards

In addition to measures taken under the banking agencies prompt corrective action authority and other capital guidelines, commercial banking organizations may be subject to potential enforcement actions by the federal and/or state banking agencies for unsafe or unsound practices in conducting their businesses or for violations of any law, rule, regulation, or any condition imposed in writing by the agency or any written agreement with the agency. The federal banking agencies have adopted guidelines designed to assist examiners in identifying and addressing potential safety and soundness concerns before capital becomes impaired. The guidelines set forth operational and managerial standards relating to: (i) internal controls, information systems and internal audit systems, (ii) loan documentation, (iii) credit underwriting, (iv) asset quality and growth, (v) earnings, and (vi) compensation, fees and benefits.

8

Table of Contents

Premiums for Deposit Insurance

Through the Bank Insurance Fund (BIF), the FDIC insures our customer deposits up to prescribed limits for each depositor. The amount of FDIC assessments paid by each BIF member institution is based on its relative risk of default as measured by regulatory capital ratios and other supervisory factors. The assessment rate currently ranges from zero to 27 cents per \$100 of domestic deposits. The FDIC may increase or decrease the assessment rate schedule on a semi-annual basis. Due principally to continued growth in deposits, the BIF is nearing its minimum ratio of 1.25% of insured deposits as mandated by law. The enactment in February 2005 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2006, or FDIRA, provided, among other things, for changes in the formula and factors to be considered by the FDIC in calculating the FDIC reserve ratio, assessments and dividends, and a one-time aggregate assessment credit for depository institutions in existence on December 31, 1996 (or their successors) which paid assessments to recapitalize the insurance funds after the banking crises of the late 1980s and early 1990s. The FDIC issued final regulations, effective January 1, 2007, implementing the provisions of FDIRA. The Bank expects to receive a one-time assessment credit that is expected to exceed any increase in assessments by the FDIC in 2007.

The FDIC is authorized to terminate a depository institution s deposit insurance upon a finding by the FDIC that the institution s financial condition is unsafe or unsound or that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices or has violated any applicable rule, regulation, order or condition enacted or imposed by the institution s regulatory agency. The termination of deposit insurance for a subsidiary bank would generally have a material adverse effect on the earnings of the Bank s holding company.

All FDIC-insured depository institutions must also pay an annual assessment to provide funds for the payment of interest on bonds issued by the Financing Corporation, a federal corporation chartered under the authority of the Federal Housing Finance Board. The bonds, commonly referred to as FICO bonds, were issued to capitalize the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation. The FICO assessment rate for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006 was 1.24 basis points for each \$100 of assessable deposits. The FICO assessments are adjusted quarterly to reflect changes in the assessment bases of the FDIC s insurance funds and do not vary depending on a depository institution s capitalization or supervisory evaluations.

Loans-to-One Borrower Limitations

With certain limited exceptions, the maximum amount of obligations, secured and unsecured, that any borrower (including certain related entities) may owe to a California state bank at any one time may not exceed 25% of the sum of the shareholders equity, allowance for loan losses, capital notes and debentures of the Bank. Unsecured obligations may not exceed 15% of the sum of the shareholders equity, allowance for loan losses, capital notes and debentures of the Bank. At December 31, 2006, the Bank s largest single lending relationship had an outstanding balance of \$27.0 million, and consisted of a loan partially secured by first trust deeds on single family residences and commercial buildings in the Bank s lending area which was performing in accordance with its terms.

Extensions of Credit to Insiders and Transactions with Affiliates

The Federal Reserve Act and FRB Regulation O place limitations and conditions on loans or extensions of credit to:

a bank s or bank holding company s executive officers, directors and principal shareholders (i.e., in most cases, those persons who own, control or have power to vote more than 10% of any class of voting securities);

any company controlled by any such executive officer, director or shareholder; or

any political or campaign committee controlled by such executive officer, director or principal shareholder.

Loans and leases extended to any of the above persons must comply with the loan-to-one-borrower limits, require prior full board approval when aggregate extensions of credit to the person exceed specified amounts, must be made on substantially the same terms (including interest rates and collateral) as, and follow credit-underwriting procedures that are not less stringent than, those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with non-insiders, and must not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features. In addition, Regulation O provides that the aggregate limit on extensions of credit to all insiders of a bank as a group

9

Table of Contents

cannot exceed the Bank s unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus. Regulation O also prohibits a bank from paying an overdraft on an account of an executive officer or director, except pursuant to a written pre-authorized interest-bearing extension of credit plan that specifies a method of repayment or a written pre-authorized transfer of funds from another account of the officer or director at the Bank.

The Bank also is subject to certain restrictions imposed by Federal Reserve Act Sections 23A and 23B and FRB Regulation W on any extensions of credit to, or the issuance of a guarantee or letter of credit on behalf of, any affiliates, the purchase of, or investments in, stock or other securities thereof, the taking of such securities as collateral for loans, and the purchase of assets of any affiliates. Such restrictions prevent any affiliates from borrowing from the Bank unless the loans are secured by marketable obligations of designated amounts. Further, such secured loans and investments to or in any affiliate are limited, individually, to 10.0% of the Bank s capital and surplus (as defined by federal regulations), and such secured loans and investments are limited, in the aggregate, to 20.0% of capital and surplus. Some of the entities included in the definition of an affiliate are parent companies, sister banks, sponsored and advised companies, investment companies whereby the Bank s affiliate serves as investment advisor, and financial subsidiaries. Additional restrictions on transactions with affiliates may be imposed under the FDI Act prompt corrective action provisions and the supervisory authority of the federal and state banking agencies. See Capital Standards and Safety and Soundness Standards.

USA Patriot Act

The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 and its implementing regulations significantly expanded the anti-money laundering and financial transparency laws. Under the USA PATRIOT Act, financial institutions are required to establish and maintain anti-money laundering programs which include:

the establishment of a customer identification program;

the development of internal policies, procedures, and controls;

the designation of a compliance officer;

an ongoing employee training program; and

an independent audit function to test the programs.

We have adopted comprehensive policies and procedures to address the requirements of the USA PATRIOT Act. Material deficiencies in anti-money laundering compliance can result in public enforcement actions by the banking agencies, including the imposition of civil money penalties and supervisory restrictions on growth and expansion. Such enforcement actions could also have serious reputation consequences for the Company and the Bank.

Consumer Protection Laws and Regulations

Examination and enforcement by the state and federal banking agencies for non-compliance with consumer protection laws and their implementing regulations have become more intense. The Bank is subject to many federal consumer protection statutes and regulations, some of which are discussed below.

The Home Ownership and Equal Protection Act of 1994, or HOEPA, requires extra disclosures and consumer protections to borrowers for certain lending practices. The term predatory lending, much like the terms safety and soundness and unfair and deceptive practices, is far-reaching and covers a potentially broad range of behavior. As such, it does not lend itself to a concise or a comprehensive definition. Typically predatory lending involves at least

one, and perhaps all three, of the following elements:

making unaffordable loans based on the assets of the borrower rather than on the borrower s ability to repay an obligation (asset-based lending);

inducing a borrower to refinance a loan repeatedly in order to charge high points and fees each time the loan is refinanced (loan flipping); and/or

engaging in fraud or deception to conceal the true nature of the loan obligation from an unsuspecting or unsophisticated borrower.

10

Table of Contents

Federal Reserve regulations and OCC guidelines aimed at curbing predatory lending significantly widen the pool of high cost home secured loans covered by HOEPA. In addition, the regulations bar certain refinances within a year with another loan subject to HOEPA by the same lender or loan servicer. Lenders also will be presumed to have violated the law—which says loans should not be made to people unable to repay them—unless they document that the borrower has the ability to repay. Lenders that violate the rules face cancellation of loans and penalties equal to the finance charges paid. We do not expect these rules and potential state action in this area to have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operation.

Privacy policies are required by federal banking regulations which limit the ability of banks and other financial institutions to disclose non-public information about consumers to nonaffiliated third parties. Pursuant to those rules, financial institutions must provide:

initial notices to customers about their privacy policies, describing the conditions under which they may disclose nonpublic personal information to nonaffiliated third parties and affiliates;

annual notices of their privacy policies to current customers; and

a reasonable method for customers to opt out of disclosures to nonaffiliated third parties.

These privacy protections affect how consumer information is transmitted through diversified financial companies and conveyed to outside vendors. In addition, state laws may impose more restrictive limitations on the ability of financial institution to disclose such information. California has adopted such a privacy law that among other things generally provides that customers must opt in before information may be disclosed to certain nonaffiliated third parties.

The Fair Credit Reporting Act, as amended by the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act, or FACT Act, requires financial firms to help deter identity theft, including developing appropriate fraud response programs, and gives consumers more control of their credit data. It also reauthorizes a federal ban on state laws that interfere with corporate credit granting and marketing practices. In connection with FACT Act, the federal financial institution regulatory agencies proposed rules that would prohibit an institution from using certain information about a consumer it received from an affiliate to make a solicitation to the consumer, unless the consumer has been notified and given a chance to opt out of such solicitations. A consumer selection to opt out would be applicable for at least five years. The agencies have also proposed guidelines required by the FACT Act for financial institutions and creditors which require financial institutions to identify patterns, practices and specific forms of activity, known as Red Flags, that indicate the possible existence of identity theft and require financial institutions to establish reasonable policies and procedures for implementing these guidelines.

The Check Clearing for the 21st Century Act, or Check 21, facilitates check truncation and electronic check exchange by authorizing a new negotiable instrument called a substitute check, which is the legal equivalent of an original check. Check 21 does not require banks to create substitute checks or accept checks electronically; however, it does require banks to accept a legally equivalent substitute check in place of an original. In addition to its issuance of regulations governing substitute checks, the Federal Reserve has issued final rules governing the treatment of remotely created checks (sometimes referred to as demand drafts) and electronic check conversion transactions (involving checks that are converted to electronic transactions by merchants and other payees).

The Equal Credit Opportunity Act, or ECOA, generally prohibits discrimination in any credit transaction, whether for consumer or business purposes, on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, marital status, age (except in limited circumstances), receipt of income from public assistance programs, or good faith exercise of any rights under the Consumer Credit Protection Act.

The Truth in Lending Act, or TILA, is designed to ensure that credit terms are disclosed in a meaningful way so that consumers may compare credit terms more readily and knowledgeably. As a result of TILA, all creditors must use the same credit terminology to express rates and payments, including the annual percentage rate, the finance charge, the amount financed, the total of payments and the payment schedule, among other things.

The Fair Housing Act, or FH Act, regulates many practices, including making it unlawful for any lender to discriminate in its housing-related lending activities against any person because of race, color, religion, national

11

Table of Contents

origin, sex, handicap or familial status. A number of lending practices have been found by the courts to be, or may be considered, illegal under the FH Act, including some that are not specifically mentioned in the FH Act itself.

The Community Reinvestment Act, or CRA, is intended to encourage insured depository institutions, while operating safely and soundly, to help meet the credit needs of their communities. The CRA specifically directs the federal regulatory agencies, in examining insured depository institutions, to assess a bank s record of helping meet the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound banking practices. The CRA further requires the agencies to take a financial institution s record of meeting its community credit needs into account when evaluating applications for, among other things, domestic branches, mergers or acquisitions, or holding company formations. The agencies use the CRA assessment factors in order to provide a rating to the financial institution. The ratings range from a high of outstanding to a low of substantial noncompliance. In its last examination for CRA compliance, as of February 2005, the Bank was rated satisfactory.

The Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, or HMDA, grew out of public concern over credit shortages in certain urban neighborhoods and provides public information that will help show whether financial institutions are serving the housing credit needs of the neighborhoods and communities in which they are located. The HMDA also includes a fair lending aspect that requires the collection and disclosure of data about applicant and borrower characteristics as a way of identifying possible discriminatory lending patterns and enforcing anti-discrimination statutes. The Federal Reserve Board amended regulations issued under HMDA to require the reporting of certain pricing data with respect to higher priced mortgage loans for review by the federal banking agencies from a fair lending perspective. We do not expect that the HMDA data reported by the Bank will raise material issues regarding the Bank s compliance with the fair lending laws.

The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, or RESPA, requires lenders to provide borrowers with disclosures regarding the nature and cost of real estate settlements. Also, RESPA prohibits certain abusive practices, such as kickbacks, and places limitations on the amount of escrow accounts. Penalties under the above laws may include fines, reimbursements and other penalties.

The National Flood Insurance Act, or NFIA, requires homes in flood-prone areas with mortgages from a federally regulated lender to have flood insurance. Hurricane Katrina focused awareness on this requirement. Lenders are required to provide notice to borrowers of special flood hazard areas and require such coverage before making, increasing, extending or renewing such loans. Financial institutions which demonstrate a pattern and practice of lax compliance are subject to the issuance of cease and desist orders and the imposition of per loan civil money penalties, up to a maximum fine which currently is \$125,000. Fine payments are remitted to the Federal Emergency Management Agency for deposit into the National Flood Mitigation Fund.

Due to heightened regulatory concern related to compliance with HOEPA, FACT, ECOA, TILA, FH Act, CRA, HMDA, RESPA and NFIA generally, the Bank may incur additional compliance costs or be required to expend additional funds for investments in its local community.

Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) System

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco. Among other benefits, each FHLB serves as a reserve or central bank for its members within its assigned region. Each FHLB is financed primarily from the sale of consolidated obligations of the FHLB system. Each FHLB makes available loans or advances to its members in compliance with the policies and procedures established by the Board of Directors of the individual FHLB. FHLB members are required to own a certain amount of capital stock in the FHLB.

Federal Reserve System

The Federal Reserve Board requires all depository institutions to maintain non-interest bearing reserves at specified levels against their transaction accounts (primarily checking, NOW, and Super NOW checking accounts) and non-personal time deposits. At December 31, 2006, we were in compliance with these requirements.

12

Table of Contents

Non-bank Subsidiaries

The Company s non-bank subsidiaries also are subject to regulation by the FRB and other applicable federal and state agencies. Other non-bank subsidiaries of the Company are subject to the laws and regulations of both the federal government and the various states in which they conduct business.

Employees

At December 31, 2006, we employed 752 persons, 522 on a full-time and 230 on a part-time basis. We believe that our employee relations are satisfactory.

Available Information

Reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission) include our proxy statements, annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K. These reports and other information on file can be inspected and copied at the public reference facilities of the Commission on file at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington D.C., 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the public reference loans by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The Commission maintains a Web Site that contains the reports, proxy and information statements and other information we file with them. The address of the site is http://www.sec.gov. The Company also maintains an Internet website at http://www.cbbank.com. We make available, free of charge through our website, our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and current Report on Form 8-K, and any amendment there to, as soon as reasonably practicable after we file such reports with the SEC. None of the information contained in or hyperlinked from our website is incorporated into this Form 10-K.

Executive Officers

The following tables set forth certain information regarding our Executive Officers as of February 28, 2007:

Executive Officers:

Name	Position		
Christopher D. Myers	President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the Bank	44	
Edward J. Biebrich Jr.	Chief Financial Officer of the Company and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Bank	63	
Jay W. Coleman	Executive Vice President/Sales and Service Division of the Bank	64	
Edward J. Mylett, Jr.	Executive Vice President/Credit Management Division of the Bank	58	
Anthony Q. Evans	Executive Vice President/Service Division of the Bank	56	

Mr. Myers assumed the position of President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the Bank on August 1, 2006. Prior to that, Mr. Myers served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Mellon First Business Bank from 2004 to 2006. From 1996 to 2003, Mr. Myers held several management positions with Mellon First Business Bank, including Executive Vice President, Regional Vice President, and Vice President/Group Manager.

Mr. Biebrich assumed the position of Chief Financial Officer of the Company and Executive Vice President/Chief Financial Officer of the Bank on February 2, 1998. From 1983 to 1990, he served as Chief Financial Officer for Central Pacific Corporation and Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Manager of the Finance and

Operations Division for American National Bank. From 1990 to 1992, he was Vice President of Operations for Systematics Financial Services Inc. From 1992 to 1998, he served as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of ARB, Inc.

Mr. Coleman assumed the position of Executive Vice President of the Bank on December 5, 1988. Prior to that, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Southland Bank, N.A. from March 1983 to April 1988.

Mr. Mylett assumed the position of Executive Vice President and Senior Loan Officer of the Bank on March 1, 2006. Prior to that, he served as Senior Vice President Regional Manager of the Bank from July 2003 to March 2006 and the Burbank Business Financial Center Manager from June 2002 to July 2003. Prior to that, Mr. Mylett served

13

Table of Contents

as Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and Senior Credit Officer for Western Security Bank from 1992 to June 2002.

Mr. Evans assumed the position of Executive Vice President and Service Division Manager of the Bank on December 29, 2006. Prior to that, he served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operations Officer for Mellon First Business Bank from 2005 to 2006. From 1998 to 2005, Mr. Evans served as Senior Vice President and Director of Operations for Community Bank of Pasadena.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Risk Factors That May Affect Future Results In addition to the other information contained in this annual report, the following risks may affect us. If any of these risks occurs, our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects could be adversely affected.

Our Southern and Central California business focus and economic conditions in Southern and Central California could adversely affect our operations Our operations are concentrated in Southern and Central California, and in particular in San Bernardino County, Riverside County, Orange County, Madera County, Fresno County, Tulare County, Kern County, and the eastern portion of Los Angeles County in Southern California. As a result of this geographic concentration, our business is directly affected by factors such as economic, political and market conditions, broad trends in industry and finance, legislative and regulatory changes, changes in government monetary and fiscal policies and inflation, all of which are beyond our control. Deterioration in economic conditions could result in the following consequences, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects:

problem assets and foreclosures may increase,

demand for our products and services may decline,

low cost or non-interest bearing deposits may decrease, and

collateral for loans made by us, especially real estate, may decline in value, in turn reducing customers borrowing power, and reducing the value of assets and collateral associated with our existing loans.

In view of the concentration of our operations and the collateral securing our loan portfolio in Southern and Central California, we may be particularly susceptible to the adverse effects of any of these consequences, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We are dependent on key personnel and the loss of one or more of those key personnel may materially and adversely affect our prospects Competition for qualified employees and personnel in the banking industry is intense and there are a limited number of qualified persons with knowledge of, and experience in, the California community banking industry. The process of recruiting personnel with the combination of skills and attributes required to carry out our strategies is often lengthy. Our success depends to a significant degree upon our ability to attract and retain qualified management, credit quality, loan origination, finance, administrative, marketing and technical personnel and upon the continued contributions of our management and personnel. In particular, our success has been and continues to be highly dependent upon the abilities of our executive officers. The loss of the services of any one of our key executives or other executives or our inability to find suitable replacements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our business is subject to interest rate risk and variations in interest rates may negatively affect our financial performance Our earnings are impacted by changing interest rates. Changes in interest rates impact the level of loans, deposits and investments, the credit profile of existing loans and the rates received on loans and securities and the rates paid on deposits and borrowings. Significant fluctuations in interest rates may have a material adverse affect on our financial condition and results of operations.

A substantial portion of our income is derived from the differential or spread between the interest earned on loans, securities and other interest-earning assets, and interest paid on deposits, borrowings and other interest-bearing liabilities. Because of the differences in the maturities and repricing characteristics of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, changes in interest rates do not produce equivalent changes in interest income

14

Table of Contents

earned on interest-earning assets and interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities. At December 31, 2006 our balance sheet was liability sensitive and, as a result, our net interest margin tends to decline in a rising interest rate environment and expand in a declining interest rate environment. Accordingly, fluctuations in interest rates could adversely affect our interest rate spread and, in turn, our profitability. In addition, loan origination volumes are affected by market interest rates. Rising interest rates, generally, are associated with a lower volume of loan originations while lower interest rates are usually associated with higher loan originations. Conversely, in rising interest rate environments, loan repayment rates may decline and in falling interest rate environments, loan repayment rates may increase. In addition, in a rising interest rate environment, we may need to accelerate the pace of rate increases on our deposit accounts as compared to the pace of future increases in short-term market rates. Accordingly, changes in levels of market interest rates could materially and adversely affect our net interest spread, asset quality, loan origination volume, business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

The types of loans in our portfolio have a higher degree of risk and a downturn in our real estate markets could hurt our business. A downturn in our real estate markets could hurt our business because many of our loans are secured by real estate. Real estate values and real estate markets are generally affected by changes in national, regional or local economic conditions, fluctuations in interest rates and the availability of loans to potential purchasers, changes in tax laws and other governmental statutes, regulations and policies and acts of nature. If real estate prices decline, particularly in California, the value of real estate collateral securing our loans could be reduced. Our ability to recover on defaulted loans by foreclosing and selling the real estate collateral would then be diminished and we would be more likely to suffer losses on defaulted loans. As of December 31, 2006, approximately 42.75% of the book value of our loan portfolio consisted of loans collateralized or secured by various types of real estate. Substantially all of our real estate collateral is located in California. If there is a significant decline in real estate values, especially in California, the collateral for our loans will provide less security. Real estate values could also be affected by, among other things, earthquakes and national disasters particular to California. Any such downturn could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We are subject to extensive government regulation. These regulations may hamper our ability to increase our assets and earnings Our operations and those of the Bank are subject to extensive regulation by federal, state and local governmental authorities and are subject to various laws and judicial and administrative decisions imposing requirements and restrictions on part or all of our operations. Because our business is highly regulated, the laws, rules and regulations applicable to us are subject to regular modification and change. We cannot assure you that these proposed laws, rules and regulations or any other laws, rules or regulations will not be adopted in the future, which could make compliance much more difficult or expensive, restrict our ability to originate, broker or sell loans, further limit or restrict the amount of commissions, interest or other charges earned on loans originated or sold by us or otherwise adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We are exposed to risk of environmental liabilities with respect to properties to which we take title
In the course of our business, we may foreclose and take title to real estate, and could be subject to environmental liabilities with respect to these properties. We may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, personal injury, investigation and clean-up costs incurred by these parties in connection with environmental contamination, or may be required to investigate or clean-up hazardous or toxic substances, or chemical releases at a property. The costs associated with investigation or remediation activities could be substantial. In addition, if we are the owner or former owner of a contaminated site, we may be subject to common law claims by third parties based on damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination emanating from the property. If we become subject to significant environmental liabilities, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be adversely affected.

If we cannot attract deposits, our growth may be inhibited Our ability to increase our asset base depends in large part on our ability to attract additional deposits at favorable rates. We intend to seek additional deposits by offering deposit

products that are competitive with those offered by other financial institutions in our markets and by establishing personal relationships with our customers. We cannot assure you that these efforts will be successful. Our inability to attract additional deposits at competitive rates could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

15

Table of Contents

Our allowance for credit losses may not be adequate to cover actual losses A significant source of risk arises from the possibility that we could sustain losses because borrowers, guarantors, and related parties may fail to perform in accordance with the terms of their loans and leases. The underwriting and credit monitoring policies and procedures that we have adopted to address this risk may not prevent unexpected losses that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Unexpected losses may arise from a wide variety of specific or systemic factors, many of which are beyond our ability to predict, influence, or control.

Like all financial institutions, we maintain an allowance for credit losses to provide for loan and lease defaults and non-performance Our allowance for credit losses may not be adequate to cover actual loan and lease losses, and future provisions for credit losses could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. The allowance for credit losses reflects our estimate of the probable losses in our loan and lease portfolio at the relevant balance sheet date. Our allowance for credit losses is based on prior experience, as well as an evaluation of the known risks in the current portfolio, composition and growth of the loan and lease portfolio and economic factors. The determination of an appropriate level of the allowance for credit losses is an inherently difficult process and is based on numerous assumptions. The amount of future losses is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other conditions, including changes in interest rates, that may be beyond our control and these losses may exceed current estimates. Federal and state regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, review our loans and leases and allowance for credit losses. While we believe that our allowance for credit losses is adequate to cover current losses, we cannot assure you that we will not increase the allowance for credit losses further or that regulators will not require us to increase this allowance. Either of these occurrences could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We rely on communications, information, operating and financial control systems technology from third-party service providers, and we may suffer an interruption in those systems that may result in lost business and we may not be able to obtain substitute providers on terms that are as favorable if our relationships with our existing service providers are interrupted. We rely on third-party service providers for much of our communications, information, operating and financial control systems technology. Any failure or interruption or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or interruptions in our customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, servicing and/or loan origination systems. We cannot assure you that such failures or interruptions will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed by us or the third parties on which we rely. The occurrence of any failures or interruptions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. If any of our third-party service providers experience financial, operational or technological difficulties, or if there is any other disruption in our relationships with them, we may be required to locate alternative sources of such services, and we cannot assure you that we could negotiate terms that are as favorable to us, or could obtain services with similar functionality as found in our existing systems without the need to expend substantial resources, if at all. Any of these circumstances could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, and prospects.

We face strong competition from financial services companies and other companies that offer banking services which could hurt our business We conduct our operations exclusively in California. Increased competition in our markets may result in reduced loans and deposits. Ultimately, we may not be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors. Many competitors offer the banking services that we offer in our service areas. These competitors include national banks, regional banks and other community banks. We also face competition from many other types of financial institutions, including savings and loan associations, finance companies, brokerage firms, insurance companies, credit unions, mortgage banks and other financial intermediaries. In particular, our competitors include major financial companies whose greater resources may afford them a marketplace advantage by enabling them to maintain numerous locations and mount extensive promotional and advertising campaigns. Additionally, banks and other financial institutions with larger capitalization and financial intermediaries not subject to bank regulatory

restrictions may have larger lending limits which would allow them to serve the credit needs of larger customers. Areas of competition include interest rates for loans and deposits, efforts to obtain loan and deposit customers and a range in quality of products and services provided, including new technology-driven products and services. Technological innovation continues to contribute to greater competition

16

Table of Contents

in domestic and international financial services markets as technological advances enable more companies to provide financial services. We also face competition from out-of-state financial intermediaries that have opened loan production offices or that solicit deposits in our market areas. If we are unable to attract and retain banking customers, we may be unable to continue our loan growth and level of deposits and our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may be adversely affected.

Anti-takeover provisions and federal law may limit the ability of another party to acquire us, which could cause our stock price to decline Various provisions of our articles of incorporation and by-laws could delay or prevent a third-party from acquiring us, even if doing so might be beneficial to our shareholders. These provisions provide for, among other things, a shareholder rights plan and the authorization to issue blank check preferred stock by action of the board of directors acting alone, thus without obtaining shareholder approval. The Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and the Change in Bank Control Act of 1978, as amended, together with federal regulations, require that, depending on the particular circumstances, either Federal Reserve approval must be obtained or notice must be furnished to the Federal Reserve and not disapproved prior to any person or entity acquiring control of a state member bank, such as the Bank. These provisions may prevent a merger or acquisition that would be attractive to shareholders and could limit the price investors would be willing to pay in the future for our common stock.

We may face other risks. From time to time, we detail other risks with respect to our business and/or financial results in our filings with the Commission.

For further discussion on additional areas of risk, see Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and the Results of Operations Risk Management.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None

Item 2. Properties

The principal executive offices of the Company and the Bank are located at 701 North Haven Avenue, Suite 350, Ontario, California, which is owned by the Company.

At December 31, 2006, the Bank occupied the premises for thirty-three of its offices under leases expiring at various dates from 2007 through 2020, at which time we can exercise options that could extend certain leases through 2026. We own the premises for eleven of our offices, including our data center, located in Ontario, California.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time the Company and the Bank are parties to claims and legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. After taking into consideration information furnished by counsel, we believe that the ultimate aggregate liability represented thereby, if any, will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to shareholders during the fourth quarter of 2006.

17

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Our common stock is traded on the Nasdaq National Market under the symbol CVBF. The following table presents the high and low closing sales prices and dividend information for our common stock during each quarter for the past two years. The share prices for all periods have been restated to give retroactive effect, as applicable, to the ten percent stock dividend declared in December 2006 and paid January 19, 2007, the 5-for-4 stock split declared in December 2005, which became effective January 10, 2006, and the 5-for-4 stock split declared in December 2004, which became effective December 29, 2004. Cash dividends per share are not adjusted for these stock dividends and splits. The Company had approximately 1,944 shareholders of record as of January 5, 2007.

Two Year Summary of Common Stock Prices

	Quarter Ended	High	Low	Dividends
3/31/2005		\$ 15.49	\$ 12.80	\$0.11 Cash Dividend
6/30/2005		\$ 14.63	\$ 12.36	\$0.11 Cash Dividend
9/30/2005		\$ 15.93	\$ 13.12	\$0.11 Cash Dividend
12/31/2005		\$ 15.20	\$ 12.63	\$0.09 Cash Dividend
				5-for-4 Stock Split
3/31/2006		\$ 15.60	\$ 14.71	\$0.09 Cash Dividend
6/30/2006		\$ 15.59	\$ 13.25	\$0.09 Cash Dividend
9/30/2006		\$ 14.24	\$ 12.83	\$0.09 Cash Dividend
12/31/2006		\$ 14.13	\$ 12.83	\$0.085 Cash Dividend
				10% Stock Dividend

For information on the ability of the Bank to pay dividends and make loans to the Company, see Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity Risk .

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

In October 2001, the Company s Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 2.0 million shares (without adjustment for stock dividends and splits) of our common stock. There were no repurchases made in 2006. During 2005 and 2004, we repurchased 676,033 shares and 99,504 shares of common stock under this repurchase plan, for the total price of \$12.3 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2006, 875,163 shares are available to be repurchased in the future under this repurchase plan.

18

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The following Performance Graph and related information shall not be deemed soliciting material or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each as amended, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates it by reference into such filing.

The following graph compares the yearly percentage change in CVB Financial Corp. s cumulative total shareholder return (stock price appreciation plus reinvested dividends) on common stock (i) the cumulative total return of the Nasdaq National Market; and (ii) a published index comprised by Hemscott, Inc. of banks and bank holding companies in the Pacific region (the industry group line depicted below).

The graph assumes an initial investment of \$100 on January 1, 2002, and reinvestment of dividends through December 31, 2006. Points on the graph represent the performance as of the last business day of each of the years indicated. The graph is not necessarily indicative of future price performance. On June 11, 2001, CVB Financial Corp s common stock ceased trading on the American Stock Exchange and began trading on the Nasdaq National Market System on the following business day.

COMPARE 5-YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN AMONG CVB FINANCIAL CORP., NASDAQ MARKET INDEX AND HEMSCOTT GROUP INDEX

ASSUMES \$100 INVESTED ON JAN. 01, 2002 ASSUMES DIVIDEND REINVESTED FISCAL YEAR ENDING DEC. 31, 2006

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
CVB FINANCIAL						
CORP.	100.00	138.20	148.84	209.33	203.64	185.42
HEMSCOTT GROUP	100.00	07.02	146.05	170.40	107 00	106.03
INDEX NASDAQ MARKET	100.00	97.03	146.95	179.40	187.88	196.02
INDEX	100.00	69.75	104.88	113.70	116.19	128.12

19

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following table reflects selected financial information at and for the five years ended December 31. Throughout the past five years, the Company has acquired other banks. This may affect the comparability of the data.

	2006 (Amou	ınt	2005	December 31, 2004 thousands exc	ept	2003 per share amo	un	2002 ts)
Interest Income Interest Expense	\$ 316,660 147,464	\$	246,948 77,436	\$ 197,702 46,517	\$	166,346 37,053	\$	154,323 40,439
Net Interest Income	169,196		169,512	151,185		129,293		113,884
Provision for Credit Losses Other Operating Income Other Operating Expenses	3,000 33,258 95,824		27,505 90,053	27,907 89,722		29,989 77,794		29,018 66,056
Earnings Before Income Taxes Income Taxes	103,630 31,724		106,964 36,346	89,370 27,884		81,488 28,656		76,846 27,101
NET EARNINGS	\$ 71,906	\$	70,618	\$ 61,486	\$	52,832	\$	49,745
Basic Earnings Per Common Share(1)	\$ 0.85	\$	0.84	\$ 0.74	\$	0.64	\$	0.60
Diluted Earnings Per Common Share(1)	\$ 0.85	\$	0.83	\$ 0.73	\$	0.63	\$	0.59
Cash Dividends Declared Per Common Share	\$ 0.355	\$	0.420	\$ 0.480	\$	0.480	\$	0.540
Cash Dividends paid Dividend Pay-Out	27,876		27,963	23,821		21,638		20,800
Ratio(3) Weighted Average Common Shares(1):	38.77%		39.60%	38.74%		40.96%		41.81%
Basic Diluted Common Stock Data:	84,154,216 84,813,875		84,139,254 84,911,893	83,221,496 84,258,933		82,813,541 84,408,373		82,475,422 84,280,226
Common shares outstanding at year end(1) Book Value Per Share(1) Financial Position:	\$ 84,281,722 4.62	\$	84,073,227 4.08	\$ 83,416,193 3.81	\$	82,997,315 3.45	\$	82,304,822 3.16

Edgar Filing: CVB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Assets	\$ 6,094,262	\$ 5,422,971	\$ 4,511,011	\$ 3,854,349	\$ 3,123,411
Investment Securities					
available-for-sale	2,582,902	2,369,892	2,085,014	1,865,782	1,430,599
Net Loans	3,042,459	2,640,659	2,117,580	1,738,659	1,424,343
Deposits	3,406,808	3,424,046	2,875,039	2,660,510	2,309,964
Borrowings	1,189,250	1,496,000	1,186,000	786,500	468,000
Junior Subordinated					
debentures	16,156	82,476	82,746	82,476	
Stockholders Equity	36,477	342,877	317,483	286,721	259,821
Equity-to-Assets Ratio(2)	0.59%	6.32%	7.04%	7.44%	8.32%
Financial Performance:					
Return on:					
Beginning Equity	20.97%	22.24%	21.44%	20.33%	22.53%
Average Equity	19.75%	20.87%	20.33%	19.17%	20.45%
Average Assets	1.25%	1.45%	1.47%	1.54%	1.83%
Net Interest Margin (TE)	3.31%	3.86%	3.99%	4.18%	4.66%
Efficiency Ratio	48.04%	45.71%	50.10%	48.84%	46.22%
		20			

	At December 31,										
		2006		2005		2004		2003		2002	
	(Amounts	and	numbers	in t	housands exc	ept	t per share	am	ounts)	
Credit Quality:											
Allowance for Credit Losses	\$	27,737	\$	23,204	\$	22,494	\$	21,282	\$	21,666	
Allowance/Total Loans		0.90%		0.87%		1.05%		1.21%		1.50%	
Total Non Performing Loans	\$		\$		\$	2	\$	548	\$	824	
Non Performing Loans/Total Loans		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.03%		0.06%	
Allowance/Non Performing Loans						1,124,698%		3,884%		2,629%	
Net (Recoveries)/Charge-offs	\$	(1,533)	\$	46	\$	(1,212)	\$	1,418	\$	1,128	
Net (Recoveries)/Charge-Offs/Average											
Loans		-0.05%		0.00%		-0.06%		0.09%		0.09%	
Regulatory Capital Ratios											
For the Company:											
Leverage Ratio		7.8%		7.7%		8.3%		8.6%		7.6%	
Tier 1 Capital		12.3%		11.3%		12.6%		13.2%		10.2%	
Total Capital		13.1%		12.0%		13.4%		14.5%		11.2%	
For the Bank:											
Leverage Ratio		7.1%		7.3%		7.8%		8.6%		7.6%	
Tier 1 Capital		11.1%		10.8%		11.9%		13.2%		10.2%	
Total Capital		11.8%		11.5%		12.7%		14.2%		11.3%	

- (1) All earnings per share information has been retroactively adjusted to reflect the 10% stock dividend declared December 20, 2006 and paid January 19, 2007, the 5-for-4 stock split declared on December 21, 2005, which became effective January 10, 2006, the 5-for-4 stock split declared December 15, 2004, which became effective December 29, 2004, the 10% stock dividend declared December 17, 2003 and paid January 2, 2004, and the 5-for-4 stock split declared December 18, 2002, which became effective January 3, 2003. Cash dividends declared per share are not restated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.
- (2) Stockholders equity divided by total assets.
- (3) Cash dividends divided by net earnings.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and the Results of Operations.

GENERAL

Management s discussion and analysis is written to provide greater detail of the results of operations and the financial condition of CVB Financial Corp. and its subsidiaries. This analysis should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements contained within this report including the notes thereto.

OVERVIEW

We are a bank holding company with one bank subsidiary, Citizens Business Bank. We have three other inactive subsidiaries: CVB Ventures, Inc.; Chino Valley Bancorp and ONB Bancorp. In March 2006, we merged two of our operating subsidiaries, Community Trust Deed Services and Golden West Enterprises, Inc. into the Bank to increase

the lending limit of Golden West s leasing operations and to improve efficiency. We are also the common stockholder of CVB Statutory Trust I, CVB Statutory Trust II and CVB Statutory Trust III. CVB Statutory Trust I and II were created in December 2003 and CVB Statutory Trust III was created in January 2006 to issue \$84.0 million and \$25.0 million, respectively, in trust preferred securities in order to increase the capital of the Company. We are based in Ontario, California in what is known as the Inland Empire. Our geographical market area encompasses the City of Madera (the middle of the Central Valley) in the center of California to the City of Laguna Beach (in Orange County) in the southern portion of California. Our mission is to offer the finest financial products and services to professionals and businesses in our market area. As opportunities present themselves, we

21

Table of Contents

will continue to pursue acquisition opportunities and other opportunities for growth which will enable us to meet our business objectives and enhance shareholder value.

Our primary source of income is from the interest earned on our loans and investments and our primary area of expense is the interest paid on deposits, borrowings, salaries and benefits. As such our net income is subject to fluctuations in interest rates and their impact on our income statement. The flat interest rate environment has compressed our net interest margin. We are also subject to competition from other financial institutions, which may affect our pricing of products and services, and the fees and interest rates we can charge on them.

Economic conditions in our California service area impact our business. We have seen housing slow down and this has had an impact on us by means of the slower growth in construction loans and the decrease in deposit balances from escrow companies. Unemployment remains low, but job growth is slowing.

Over the past few years, we have been active in acquisitions and we will continue to pursue acquisition targets which will enable us to meet our business objectives and enhance shareholder value. Since 2000, we have acquired four banks and a leasing company, and we have opened four de novo branches; Glendale, Bakersfield, Fresno and Madera. While we have been active in acquisitions, our desire is also to grow organically.

Our growth in loans and investments during 2006 compared with 2005 has allowed our interest income to grow. The Bank has always had an excellent base of interest free deposits primarily due to our specialization in businesses and professionals as customers. This has allowed us to have a low cost of deposits, currently 1.91% for the year ended December 31, 2006. However, the rise in interest expense resulting primarily from an increase in average interest-bearing liabilities and an increase in the cost of these liabilities has caused our net interest margin to decline to 3.14% for 2006, compared to 3.73% for 2005.

Our total occupancy expense, exclusive of furniture and equipment expense, for the year ended December 31, 2006, was \$8.6 million. We believe that our existing facilities are adequate for our present purposes. The Company believes that if necessary, it could secure suitable alternative facilities on similar terms without adversely affecting operations. For additional information concerning properties, see Notes 6 and 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report. See Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplemental Data.

Our net income increased to \$71.9 million in 2006 compared with \$70.6 million in 2005, an increase of \$1.3 million or 1.83%. Diluted earnings per share, when restated for the ten percent stock dividend declared in December 2006, increased \$0.02, from \$0.83 in 2005 to \$0.85 in 2006.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties, and could potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that our most critical accounting policies upon which our financial condition depends, and which involve the most complex or subjective decisions or assessment, are as follows:

Allowance for Credit Losses: Arriving at an appropriate level of allowance for credit losses involve a high degree of judgment. Our allowance for credit losses provides for probable losses based upon evaluations of known and inherent risks in the loan and lease portfolio. The determination of the balance in the allowance for credit losses is based on an analysis of the loan and lease finance receivables portfolio using a systematic methodology and reflects an amount that, in our judgment, is adequate to provide for probable credit losses inherent in the portfolio, after giving consideration to the character of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions, past credit loss experience, and such other factors as deserve current recognition in estimating inherent credit losses. The provision for credit losses is

charged to expense. For a full discussion of our methodology of assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, see Risk Management in Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation.

Investment Portfolio: The investment portfolio is an integral part of our financial performance. We invest primarily in fixed income securities. Accounting estimates are used in the presentation of the investment portfolio and these estimates do impact the presentation of our financial condition and results of operations. Many of the securities included in the investment portfolio are purchased at a premium or discount. The premiums or discounts

22

Table of Contents

are amortized or accreted over the life of the security. For mortgage-backed securities (MBS), the amortization or accretion is based on estimated average lives of the securities. The lives of these securities can fluctuate based on the amount of prepayments received on the underlying collateral of the securities. The amount of prepayments varies from time to time based on the interest rate environment (i.e., lower interest rates increase the likelihood of refinances) and the rate of turn over of the mortgages (i.e., how often the underlying properties are sold and mortgages are paid-off). We use estimates for the average lives of these mortgage backed securities based on information received from third parties whose business it is to compile mortgage related data and develop a consensus of that data. We adjust the rate of amortization or accretion regularly to reflect changes in the estimated average lives of these securities.

We classify securities as held-to-maturity those debt securities that we have the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity. Securities classified as trading are those securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term. All other debt and equity securities are classified as available-for-sale. Securities held-to-maturity are accounted for at cost and adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts. Trading securities are accounted for at fair value with the unrealized holding gains and losses being included in current earnings. Securities available-for-sale are accounted for at fair value, with the net unrealized gains and losses, net of income tax effects, presented as a separate component of stockholders—equity. At each reporting date, available-for-sale securities are assessed to determine whether there is an other-than-temporary impairment. Such impairment, if any, is required to be recognized in current earnings rather than as a separate component of stockholders—equity. Realized gains and losses on sales of securities are recognized in earnings at the time of sale and are determined on a specific-identification basis. Purchase premiums and discounts are recognized in interest income using the interest method over the terms of the securities. Our investment in Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock is carried at cost.

Income Taxes: We account for income taxes by deferring income taxes based on estimated future tax effects of temporary differences between the tax and book basis of assets and liabilities considering the provisions of enacted tax laws. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included on our balance sheets. We must also assess the likelihood that any deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and establish a valuation allowance for those assets determined to not likely be recoverable. Our judgment is required in determining the amount and timing of recognition of the resulting deferred tax assets and liabilities, including projections of future taxable income. Although we have determined a valuation allowance is not required for all deferred tax assets, there is no guarantee that these assets are recoverable.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets: We have acquired entire banks and branches of banks. Those acquisitions accounted for under the purchase method of accounting have given rise to goodwill and intangible assets. We record the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their fair value. These fair values are arrived at by use of internal and external valuation techniques. The excess purchase price is allocated to assets and liabilities respectively, resulting in identified intangibles. The identified intangibles are amortized over the estimated lives of the assets or liabilities. Any excess purchase price after this allocation results in goodwill. Goodwill is tested on an annual basis for impairment.

23

ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table summarizes net earnings, earnings per common share, and key financial ratios for the periods indicated.

	For the Years Ended December 31,									
	2006 2005					2004				
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share									
			ar	nounts)						
Net earnings	\$	71,906	\$	70,618	\$	61,486				
Earnings per common share:										
Basic(1)	\$	0.85	\$	0.84	\$	0.74				
Diluted(1)	\$	0.85	\$	0.83	\$	0.73				
Return on average assets		1.25%		1.45%		1.47%				
Return on average shareholders equity		19.75%		20.87%		20.33%				

(1) All earnings per share information has been retroactively adjusted to reflect the 10% stock dividend declared December 20, 2006 and paid January 19, 2007, the 5-for-4 stock split declared on December 21, 2005, which became effective January 10, 2006, and the 5-for-4 stock split declared December 15, 2004, which became effective December 29, 2004.

Earnings

We reported net earnings of \$71.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This represented an increase of \$1.3 million, or 1.83%, over net earnings of \$70.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. Net earnings for 2005 increased \$9.1 million to \$70.6 million, or 14.85%, over net earnings of \$61.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. Diluted earnings per share were \$0.85 in 2006, as compared to \$0.83 in 2005, and \$0.73 in 2004. Basic earnings per share were \$0.85 in 2006, as compared to \$0.84 in 2005, and \$0.74 in 2004. Diluted and basic earnings per share have been adjusted for the effects of a ten percent dividend declared December 20, 2006 and paid on January 19, 2007, a 5-for-4 stock split declared December 21, 2005, which became effective January 10, 2006, and a 5-for-4 stock split declared December 15, 2004, which became effective December 29, 2004.

The increase in net earnings for 2006 compared to 2005 was primarily the result of an increase in other operating income, offset by a decrease in net interest margin and increase in other operating expenses. Our financial results and operations have been affected by competition which has manifested itself with increased pricing pressures for loans and deposits, thus compressing our net interest margin. Because of the pressure on the net interest margin, other operating income has become a more important element in the total revenue of the Company. The increase in net earnings for 2005 compared to 2004 was also the result of an increase in net interest income offset by an increase in other operating expenses and a decrease in other operating income.

For 2006, our return on average assets was 1.25%, compared to 1.45% for 2005, and 1.47% for 2004. Our return on average stockholders equity was 19.75% for 2006, compared to a return of 20.87% for 2005, and 20.33% for 2004.

Net Interest Income

The principal component of our earnings is net interest income, which is the difference between the interest and fees earned on loans and investments (earning assets) and the interest paid on deposits and borrowed funds (interest-bearing liabilities). Net interest margin is the taxable-equivalent of net interest income as a percentage of average earning assets for the period. The level of interest rates and the volume and mix of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities impact net interest income and net interest margin. The net interest spread is the yield on average earning assets minus the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities. Our net interest income, interest spread, and net interest margin are sensitive to general business and economic conditions. These conditions include short-term and long-term interest rates, inflation, monetary supply, and the strength of the economy, in general, and the local economies in which we conduct business. Our ability to manage the net interest income during changing interest rate environments will have a significant impact on its overall performance. Our balance sheet is currently

24

Table of Contents

liability-sensitive; meaning interest-bearing liabilities will generally reprice more quickly than earning assets. Therefore, our net interest margin is likely to decrease in sustained periods of rising interest rates and increase in sustained periods of declining interest rates. We manage net interest income through affecting changes in the mix of earning assets as well as the mix of interest-bearing liabilities, changes in the level of interest-bearing liabilities in proportion to earning assets, and in the growth of earning assets.

Our net interest income, after provision for credit losses totaled \$166.2 million for 2006. This represented a decrease of \$3.3 million, or 1.96%, from net interest income of \$169.5 million for 2005. Net interest income for 2005 increased \$18.3 million, or 12.12%, over net interest income of \$151.2 million for 2004. The decrease in net interest income of \$3.3 million for 2006 resulted from an increase of \$69.7 million in interest income offset by an increase of \$70.0 million in interest expense and \$3.0 million increase in provision for credit losses. The increase in interest income of \$69.7 million resulted from the \$847.5 million increase in average earning assets and the increase in yield on earning assets to 6.05% in 2006 from 5.56% in 2005. The increase of \$70.0 million in interest expense resulted from the increase in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities to 3.70% in 2006 from 2.48% in 2005, and an increase of \$877.8 million in average interest-bearing liabilities.

The major reason for the decrease in net interest income was the flattening of the yield curve and its affect on our liabilities. Our interest-bearing liabilities are comprised of customer deposits and borrowings from primarily the FHLB or other correspondent banks. The borrowings are at market rates and have reset upwards as rates have risen. Our rates on customer deposits have risen also, but slower than rates on borrowings. These increases in rates have continued even though the Federal Reserve Bank has not raised the Fed Funds Target Rate since June 2006. Our deposit rates have continued to rise due to increased competition.

The increase in net interest income of \$18.3 million for 2005 as compared to 2004 resulted from an increase of \$49.2 million in interest income offset by a \$30.9 million increase in interest expense. This increase in interest income of \$49.2 million resulted from the \$628.3 million increase in average earning assets and the increase in yield on earning assets to 5.56% in 2005 from 5.17% in 2004. The increase of \$30.9 million in interest expense was the result of an increase in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities to 2.48% in 2005 from 1.76% in 2004, and an increase of \$474.7 million in average interest-bearing liabilities.

Interest income totaled \$316.7 million for 2006. This represented an increase of \$69.7 million, or 28.23%, compared to total interest income of \$246.9 million for 2005. For 2005, total interest income increased \$49.2 million, or 24.91%, from total interest income of \$197.7 million for 2004. The increase in total interest income was primarily due to an increase in volume of interest earning assets and increase in interest rates in 2006, 2005, and 2004.

Interest expense totaled \$147.5 million for 2006. This represented an increase of \$70.0 million, or 90.43%, over total interest expense of \$77.4 million for 2005. For 2005, total interest expense increased \$30.9 million, or 66.47%, over total interest expense of \$46.5 million for 2004.

25

Table of Contents

Table 1 represents the composition of average interest-earning assets and average interest-bearing liabilities by category for the periods indicated, including the changes in average balance, composition, and yield/rate between these respective periods:

TABLE 1 Distribution of Average Assets, Liabilities, and Stockholders Equity; Interest Rates and Interest Differentials

			20	006				Years En		Decembe	2004				
		Average A Balance Interest			Average Rate		Balance		Interest nounts in	Average Rate thousands)	Average Balance	I	Interest	Avera Rat	
ETS															
stment Securities ble(1) preferenced(2) stment in FHLB	\$ 1	1,907,713 604,222	\$	91,029 26,545		4.80% 5.90%	\$	1,774,842 425,877	\$	76,573 19,078		\$ 1,631,431 339,452	\$	66,109 15,087	4.0 5.8
		74,368		4,290		5.77%		64,144		2,623	4.09%	46,443		1,960	4.2
ral Funds & Interest ing Deposits other		,		•				•		•				•	
tutions		1,843		92		4.99%		8,908		253		311		3	
s(3)(4)	1	2,811,782		194,704	(6.92%		2,277,304		148,421	6.52%	1,905,145		114,543	6.0
I Earning Assets I Non Earning		5,399,928		316,660	ı	6.05%		4,551,075		246,948	5.56%	3,922,782		197,702	5.1
ts		365,017						318,077				269,760			
l Assets	\$:	5,764,945					\$	4,869,152				\$ 4,192,542			
BILITIES AND S	<u> TO(</u>	CKHOLDE	<u>ERS</u>	<u>EQUIT</u> Y	Y										
ngs Deposits(5)	\$	1,220,441	\$	26,637		2.18%	\$	1,140,703	\$			\$ 1,042,447	\$		0.7
e Deposits		940,634		40,543		4.31%		539,433		15,001	2.78%	505,102		7,800	1.5
l Deposits	,	2,161,075		67,180		3.11%		1,680,136		28,908	1.72%	1,547,549		15,508	1.0
r Borrowings		1,826,532		80,284		4.40%		1,429,632		48,528		1,087,534		31,009	
est Bearing															
ilities		3,987,607		147,464		3.70%		3,109,768		77,436	2.48%	2,635,083		46,517	1.7
interest bearing															
sits		1,354,014						1,382,968				1,213,884			
r Liabilities		59,296						38,057				41,201			
kholders Equity		364,028						338,359				302,374			

Liabilities and Kholders Equity \$ 5,764,945

\$ 4,869,152

\$ 4,192,542

nterest income	\$ 169,196	\$ 169,512	\$ 151,185
nterest spread			
quivalent	2.35%	3.08%	3.
nterest margin	3.14%	3.73%	3.
nterest margin			
quivalent	3.31%	3.86%	3.
nterest margin			
iding loan fees	3.04%	3.52%	3.
nterest margin			
iding loan fees			
quivalent	3.20%	3.65%	3.

- (1) Includes short-term interest bearing deposits with other institutions.
- (2) Non tax equivalent rate for 2006 was 4.44%, 2005 was 4.54%, and 2004 was 4.51%
- (3) Loan fees are included in total interest income as follows, (000)s omitted: 2006, \$5,818, 2005, \$8,003, and 2004, \$7,353.
- (4) Non performing loans are included in net loans as follows, (000)s omitted: 2006, \$0; 2005, \$0; and 2004, \$2.
- (5) Includes interest bearing demand and money market accounts

26

Table of Contents

As stated above, the net interest margin measures net interest income as a percentage of average earning assets. Our tax effected (TE) net interest margin was 3.31% for 2006, compared to 3.86% for 2005, and 3.99% for 2004. The decreases in the net interest margin over the last three years are the result of the increasing interest rate environment, which impacted interest earned and interest paid as a percent of earning assets. Although the yield on earning assets increased, this was offset by higher interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities.

It is difficult to attribute the net interest margin changes to any one factor. However, the banking and financial services businesses in our market areas are highly competitive. This competition has an influence on the strategies we employ. In addition, the general increase in interest rates had an impact on interest earned and interest paid as a percent of earning assets. Although the yield on earning assets increased, this was offset by higher interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities.

We did not grow our non-interest-bearing deposits in 2006 as we have in the past. This was due primarily to the competition for these types of deposits. As a result, we needed to borrow more funds, increasing our costs and decreasing our net interest income.

The decline in net interest margin is due to the cost of interest-bearing liabilities rising faster than the increase in yields on earning assets. This decline in net interest margin has been mitigated by the strong growth in the balance sheet. Average earning assets increased from \$3.9 billion in 2004, to 4.6 billion in 2005, and to \$5.4 billion in 2006. This represents an 18.65% increase in 2006 from 2005 and a 16.02% increase in 2005 from 2004. In addition, the Company has approximately \$1.36 billion, or 40.02%, of its deposits in interest free demand deposits.

The net interest spread is the difference between the yield on average earning assets less the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities. The net interest spread is an indication of our ability to manage interest rates received on loans and investments and paid on deposits and borrowings in a competitive and changing interest rate environment. Our net interest spread (TE) was 2.35% for 2006, 3.08% for 2005, and 3.41% for 2004. The decrease in the net interest spread for 2006 as compared to 2005 resulted from a 49 basis point increase in the yield on earning assets offset by a 122 basis point increase in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, thus generating a 73 basis point increase in the net interest spread. The decrease in the net interest spread for 2005 resulted from a 39 basis point increase in the yield on earning assets and a 72 basis point increase in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, thus generating a 33 basis point decrease in the net interest spread.

The yield (TE) on earning assets increased to 6.05% for 2006, from 5.56% for 2005, and reflects an increasing interest rate environment and a change in the mix of earning assets. Investments as a percent of earning assets decreased to 46.52% in 2006 from 48.36% in 2005. The yield on loans for 2006 increased to 6.92% as compared to 6.52% for 2005 primarily as a result of the increasing interest rate environment. The yield on investments for 2006 increased to 5.06% as compared to 4.64% in 2005. The yield on loans for 2005 increased to 6.52% as compared to 6.01% for 2004. The yield on investments increased to 4.64% in 2005 as compared to 4.38% in 2004. The increase in the yield on earning assets for 2006 and 2005 was the result of higher yields on loans and investments.

The cost of average interest-bearing liabilities increased to 3.70% for 2006 as compared to 2.48% for 2005, and increased to 2.48% for 2004 as compared to 1.76% for 2004. These variations reflected a change in the mix of interest-bearing liabilities and an increasing interest rate environment in 2006 and 2005. Borrowings as a percent of interest-bearing liabilities increased to 45.81% for 2006 as compared to 45.97% for 2005 and 41.27% for 2004. Borrowings typically have a higher cost than interest-bearing deposits. The cost of interest-bearing deposits for 2006 increased to 3.11% as compared to 1.72% for 2005 and 1.00% for 2004, reflecting an increasing interest rate environment in 2005 and 2006. The cost of borrowings for 2006 increased to 4.40% as compared to 3.39% for 2005, and 2.85% for 2004, also reflecting the same increasing interest rate environment. The FDIC has approved the

payment of interest on certain demand deposit accounts. This could have a negative impact on our net interest margin, net interest spread, and net earnings, should this be implemented fully. Currently, the only deposits for which we pay interest on are NOW, Money Market and TCD Accounts.

Table 2 presents a comparison of interest income and interest expense resulting from changes in the volumes and rates on average earning assets and average interest-bearing liabilities for the years indicated. Changes in interest income or expense attributable to volume changes are calculated by multiplying the change in volume by the initial average interest rate. The change in interest income or expense attributable to changes in interest rates is

27

Table of Contents

calculated by multiplying the change in interest rate by the initial volume. The changes attributable to interest rate and volume changes are calculated by multiplying the change in rate times the change in volume.

TABLE 2 Rate and Volume Analysis for Changes in Interest Income, Interest Expense and Net Interest Income

					(Compariso	on (of Years l	End	ed Dece	mbe	er 31,					
			20	06 Compa	arec	l to 2005					200)5 Compa	ire	d to 2004			
			Inc	rease (Dec	rea	se) Due to	•			I		_		se) Due t			
						Rate/				Rate/							
	V	olume		Rate	1	olume		Total	V	olume		Rate	V	olume		Total	
						(.	Am	ounts in	tho	usands)							
Interest Income:																	
Taxable investment																	
securities	\$	6,211	\$	8,467	\$	(222)	\$	14,456	\$	6,109	\$	4,062	\$	293	\$	10,464	
Tax-advantaged securities		10,299	Ψ	(383)	4	(2,449)	Ψ	7,467	Ψ	5,383	Ψ	407	Ψ	(1,799)	4	3,991	
Fed funds sold &		,		(===)		(-, ,		.,		-,				(-,)		-,	
interest-bearing deposits																	
with other institutions		(201)		192		(152)		(161)		83		6		161		250	
Investment in FHLB stock		418		1,078		171		1,667		747		(60)		(24)		663	
Loans		34,848		9,109		2,326		46,283		22,367		9,716		1,795		33,878	
						·		•						•			
Total interest on earning																	
assets		51,575		18,463		(326)		69,712		34,689		14,131		426		49,246	
Interest Expense:																	
Savings deposits		973		10,951		821		12,745		727		5,004		468		6,199	
Time deposits		11,153		8,253		6,121		25,527		529		6,263		409		7,201	
Other borrowings		13,642		14,640		3,474		31,756		9,885		5,954		1,680		17,519	
Total interest on																	
interest-bearing liabilities		25,768		33,844		10,416		70,028		11,141		17,221		2,557		30,919	
Net Interest Income	\$	25,807	\$	(15,381)	\$	(10,742)	\$	(316)	\$	23,548	\$	(3,090)	\$	(2,131)	\$	18,327	

Interest and Fees on Loans

Our major source of revenue is interest and fees on loans, which totaled \$194.7 million for 2006. This represented an increase of \$46.3 million, or 31.18%, over interest and fees on loans of \$148.4 million for 2005. For 2005, interest and fees on loans increased \$33.9 million, or 29.58%, over interest and fees on loans of \$114.5 million for 2004. The increase in interest and fees on loans for 2006 and 2005 reflects increases in the average balance of loans and increases in interest rates. The yield on loans increased to 6.92% for 2006, compared to 6.52% for 2005 and 6.01% 2004. Deferred loan origination fees, net of costs, totaled \$10.6 million at December 31, 2006. This represented a decrease of \$863,000 million, or 7.51%, from deferred loan origination fees, net of costs, of \$11.5 million at December 31, 2005.

In general, we stop accruing interest on a loan after its principal or interest becomes 90 days or more past due. When a loan is placed on non-accrual, all interest previously accrued but not collected is charged against earnings. There was no interest income that was accrued and not reversed on non-performing loans at December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004. For 2006 and 2005 we had no non-performing loans. For 2004, our non-performing loans were less than \$2,000. As a result, the interest which would have been collected was de minimus.

Fees collected on loans are an integral part of the loan pricing decision. Loan fees and the direct costs associated with the origination of loans are deferred and deducted from total loans on our balance sheet. Deferred net loan fees are

28

Table of Contents

recognized in interest income over the term of the loan in a manner that approximates the level-yield method. We recognized loan fee income of \$5.8 million for 2006, \$8.0 million for 2005 and \$7.4 million for 2004.

Table 3 summarizes loan fee activity for the Bank for the years indicated.

	2006		2005 s in thous	2004		
	(AIII	Juiit	s III tilous	anus	·)	
Fees Collected	\$ 5,261	\$	10,634	\$	14,513	
Fees and costs deferred	(2,376)		(7,342)		(11,224)	
Accretion of deferred fees and costs	2,933		4,711		4,064	
Total fee income reported	\$ 5,818	\$	8,003	\$	7,353	
Deferred net loan origination fees at end of year	\$ 10,624	\$	10,766	\$	9,266	

Interest on Investments

The second most important component of interest income is interest on investments, which totaled \$117.6 million for 2006. This represented an increase of \$21.9 million, or 22.92%, over interest on investments of \$95.7 million for 2005. For 2005, interest on investments increased \$14.5 million, or 17.80%, over interest on investments of \$81.2 million for 2004. The increase in interest on investments for 2006 and 2005 reflected increases in the average balance of investments and an increase in interest rates. The interest rate environment and the investment strategies we employ directly affect the yield on the investment portfolio. We continually adjust our investment strategies in response to the changing interest rate environments in order to maximize the rate of total return consistent within prudent risk parameters, and to minimize the overall interest rate risk of the Company. The weighted-average yield on investments was 5.06% for 2006, compared to 4.64% for 2005 and 4.38% for 2004.

Provision for Credit Losses

We maintain an allowance for inherent credit losses that is increased by a provision for credit losses charged against operating results. Provision for credit losses is determined by management as the amount to be added to the allowance for probable credit losses after net charge-offs have been deduced to bring the allowance to an adequate level which, in management s best estimate, is necessary to absorb probable credit losses within the existing loan portfolio. As such, we made a provision for credit losses of \$3.0 million in 2006. We did not make a provision for credit losses during 2005 and 2004. We believe the allowance is appropriate. The ratio of the allowance for credit losses to total loans as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 was 0.90% and 0.87%, respectively. No assurance can be given that economic conditions which adversely affect the Company s service areas or other circumstances will not be reflected in increased provisions for credit losses in the future. The nature of this process requires considerable judgment. The net recoveries totaled \$1.5 million in 2006, net charge-offs totaled \$46,000 in 2005, and net recoveries totaled \$1.2 million in 2004. See Risk Management Credit Risk herein.

29

Other Operating Income

The components of other operating income were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,							
	2006 2005 2004							
		(Dollars i	in th	ousands, e	xcej	ot per		
		:	shar	e amounts)			
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$	13,080	\$	13,251	\$	13,663		
Financial Advisory services		7,385		6,652		6,054		
Bankcard services		2,486		2,453		1,781		
BOLI Income		3,051		2,797		2,432		
Other		6,199		4,668		5,058		
Gain/(Loss) on sale of securities, net		1,057		(46)		5,219		
Impairment charge on investment securities				(2,270)		(6,300)		
Total other operating income	\$	33,258	\$	27,505	\$	27,907		

Other operating income, including realized gains on the sales of investment securities, totaled \$33.3 million for 2006. This represents an increase of \$5.8 million, or 20.91%, over other operating income, including loss on the sales of investment securities, of \$27.5 million for 2005. During 2005, other operating income, including realized losses on the sales of investment securities, decreased \$402,000 or 1.44%, from other operating income, including realized gains on the sales of investment securities and real estate, of \$27.9 million for 2004.

Other operating income as a percent of net revenues (net interest income before loan loss provision plus other operating income) was 16.67% for 2006, as compared to 13.96% for 2005 and 15.58% for 2004.

Service charges on deposit accounts totaled \$13.1 million in 2006. This represented a decrease of \$171,000 or 1.29% from service charges on deposit accounts of \$13.3 million in 2005. Service charges for demand deposit (checking) accounts for business customers are generally charged based on an analysis of their activity and include an earnings allowance based on their average balances. Contributing to the decrease in service charges on deposit accounts in 2006 was the higher average demand deposit balances that resulted in a higher account earnings allowance, which offsets services charges and the implementation of a revised service charge schedule. Service charges on deposit accounts in 2005 decreased \$412,000, or 3.02% from service charges on deposit accounts of \$13.7 million in 2004. Service charges on deposit accounts represented 39.33% of other operating income in 2006, as compared to 48.18% in 2005 and 48.96% in 2004.

Financial Advisory Services Group consists of Trust Services and Investment Services. Trust Services provides a variety of services, which include asset management services (both full management services and custodial services), estate planning, retirement planning, private and corporate trustee services, and probate services. Investment Services provides mutual funds, certificates of deposit, and other non-insured investment products. Financial Advisory Services Group generated fees of \$7.4 million in 2006. This represents an increase of \$733,000, or 11.02% over fees generated of \$6.7 million in 2005. The increase is primarily due to an increase in assets under administration of \$3.1 billion. Fees generated by Financial Advisory Services Group represented 22.20% of other operating income in 2006, as compared to 24.19% in 2005 and 21.69% in 2004.

Bankcard Services, which provides merchant bankcard services, generated fees totaling \$2.5 million in 2006. This represented an increase of \$33,000, or 1.34% over fees generated of \$2.5 million in 2005. Bankcard fees in 2005 increased by \$672,000, or 37.73% over fees generated of \$1.8 million in 2004. The increases are primarily due to growth of the transaction volumes with our customer base and the controlling of costs in processing these transactions. Fees generated by Bankcard represented 7.48% of other operating income in 2006, as compared to 8.92% in 2005 and 6.38% in 2004.

Bank Owned Life Insurance (BOLI) income totaled \$3.1 million in 2006. This represents an increase of \$254,000, or 9.08%, over BOLI income generated of \$2.8 million for 2005. BOLI income in 2005 increased \$365,000, or 14.99% over BOLI income generated of \$2.4 million for 2004. The increase in BOLI income in 2006 compared with 2005 was due to the purchase of \$25.0 million in BOLI in September 2006.

30

Other fees and income, which includes wire fees, other business services, international banking fees, check sale, ATM fees, miscellaneous income, etc, generated fees totaling \$6.2 million in 2006. This represented an increase of \$1.5 million, or 32.80% over other fees and income generated of \$4.7 million in 2005. The increase in 2006 is primarily due to the gain on sale of the Arcadia and former Data Center buildings of \$726,000 and a legal settlement of \$750,000. Other fees and income in 2005 decreased by \$390,000, or 7.72% from fees generated of \$5.1 million in 2004. This decrease is primarily due to decrease of volume in other banking service fees.

The impairment charge on investment securities was \$2.3 million in 2005 and \$6.3 million in 2004. These charges were due to two issues of Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) preferred stock which were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired. These securities pay dividends based on LIBOR and perform like a bond. Since there was a loss of value that was deemed to be other-than-temporary, we charged \$6.3 million against the earnings in the first quarter of 2004 to adjust for the impairment of the two issues of preferred stock.

We wrote these same securities down by an additional \$2.3 million at December 31, 2005. Although these securities reset with LIBOR (one issue resets to the 3-month LIBOR rate every three months and the other resets to the 12-month LIBOR every twelve months), the market value of the Freddie Mac preferred stock has not recovered accordingly.

During the third quarter of 2006, we sold all of our shares of Freddie Mac Preferred Stock at a net gain of \$1.1 million based on our book values after write downs of \$8.6 million in prior periods.

The sales of securities generated a realized gain of \$1.1 million in 2006 and a realized loss of \$46,000 in 2005 and a realized gain of \$5.2 million in 2004. The gains/losses on sales of securities in prior years were primarily due to repositioning of the investment portfolio to take advantage of the current interest rate cycle.

Other Operating Expenses

The components of other operating expenses were as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,								
	2006 2005 200								
	(Dollar	rs in thousands,	except per						
		share amount	ts)						
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 50,500	9 \$ 51,535	\$ 47,292						
Occupancy	8,572	2 8,327	7,891						
Equipment	7,02	5 7,578	8,003						
Stationery and supplies	6,492	2 5,569	4,987						
Professional services	5,89	6 4,268	4,776						
Promotion	6,25	1 5,835	5,148						
Amortization of Intangibles	2,35	3 2,061	1,185						
Other	8,720	6 4,880	10,440						
Total other operating expenses	\$ 95,824	4 \$ 90,053	\$ 89,722						

Other operating expenses totaled \$95.8 million for 2006. This represents an increase of \$5.8 million, or 6.41%, over other operating expenses of \$90.1 million for 2005. During 2005, other operating expenses increased \$330,000, or 0.37%, over other operating expenses of \$89.7 million for 2004.

For the most part, other operating expenses reflect the direct expenses and related administrative expenses associated with staffing, maintaining, promoting, and operating branch facilities. Our ability to control other operating expenses in relation to asset growth can be measured in terms of other operating expenses as a percentage of average assets. Operating expenses measured as a percentage of average assets decreased to 1.66% for 2006, compared to 1.85% for 2005, and 2.14% for 2004. The decrease in the ratio indicates that management is controlling greater levels of assets with proportionately smaller operating expenses, an indication of operating efficiency.

31

Table of Contents

Our ability to control other operating expenses in relation to the level of net revenue (net interest income plus other operating income) is measured by the efficiency ratio and indicates the percentage of net revenue that is used to cover expenses. For 2006, the efficiency ratio was 48.04%, compared to 45.71% for 2005 and 50.10% for 2004.

Salaries and related expenses comprise the greatest portion of other operating expenses. Salaries and related expenses totaled \$50.5 million for 2006. This represented a decrease of \$1.0 million, or 1.99%, from salaries and related expenses of \$51.5 million for 2005. Salary and related expenses increased \$4.2 million, or 8.97%, over salaries and related expenses of \$47.3 million for 2004. At December 31, 2006, we employed 752 persons, 522 on a full-time and 230 on a part-time basis, this compares to 719 persons, 493 on a full-time and 226 on a part-time basis at December 31, 2005, and 674 persons, 472 on a full-time and 202 on a part-time basis at December 31, 2004. The increases primarily resulted from increased staffing levels as a result the overall growth of the Company. Salaries and related expenses as a percent of average assets decreased to 0.88% for 2006, compared to 1.23% for 2005, and 1.13% for 2004. The decrease in 2006 was primarily due to higher deferred loan origination costs. The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS 123R on January 1, 2006. For further information, see Notes 1 and 15 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

Stationery and supplies expense totaled \$6.5 million for 2006, compared to \$5.6 million in 2005 and \$5.0 million in 2004. The increase was primarily due to the overall internal growth of the business.

Professional services totaled \$5.8 million for 2006. This represented an increase of \$1.6 million or 38.13%, over expense of \$4.3 million for 2005. The increase was primarily due to professional expenses incurred for recruitment of new associates and legal fees due to outstanding litigation. For 2005, professional services decreased \$507,000, or 10.62%, from expense of \$4.8 million for 2004.

Promotion expense totaled \$6.3 million for 2006. This represented an increase of \$416,000, or 7.14%, over expense of \$5.8 million for 2005. Promotion expense increased in 2005 by \$687,000, or 13.34%, over expense of \$5.1 million for 2004. The increase in promotional expenses was primarily associated with increases in advertising expense as we expand our market area.

Other operating expenses totaled \$8.7 million for 2006. This represented an increase of \$3.8 million, or 78.81%, over expense of \$4.9 million for 2005. The increase in 2006 was primarily due to the reversal of our prior accrual for the settlement of a robbery loss of \$2.6 in first quarter of 2005 and increases in third-party data processing and loan expenses during 2006. Other operating expenses decreased for 2005 by \$5.6 million, or 53.26%, from an expense of \$10.4 million for 2004. The decrease in 2005 was primarily due to the estimated robbery loss of \$2.3 million in 2004 and the income from the settlement of robbery loss of \$2.6 in first quarter of 2005.

Results of Segment Operations

The following table summarizes consolidated pre-tax income by segment:

For the Years Ended December 31, 2006 2005 2004 (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Business Financial Centers	\$ 135,072	\$ 105,434	\$ 73,565
Treasury	6,103	19,306	41,690

Other (37,545) (17,776) (25,885)

Consolidated Pre-tax Income \$ 103,630 \$ 106,964 \$ 89,370

Business Financial Centers

The Business Financial Centers reported pre-tax income of \$135.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This represented an increase of 29.6 million, or 28.11%, over pre-tax income of \$105.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. Pre-tax income for 2005 increased \$28.9 million to \$105.4 million, or 37.71%, over pre-tax income of \$76.6 million for 2004.

32

Treasury

The Treasury department reported pre-tax income of \$6.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This represented a decrease \$13.2 million, or 68.4%, from pre-tax income of \$19.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. Pre-tax income for 2005 decreased \$22.4 million to \$19.3 million, or 53.69%, from pre-tax income of \$41.7 million for 2004.

Other

The Company s administration and other operating department reported pre-tax loss of \$37.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This represented an increase of \$19.8 million, or 111.2%, over pre-tax loss of \$17.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. Pre-tax loss for 2005 decreased \$8.1 million to \$17.8 million, or 31.3%, from pre-tax loss of \$25.9 million for 2004.

Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate for 2006 was 30.61%, compared to 33.98% for 2005, and 31.20% for 2004. The effective tax rates are below the statutory combined Federal and State tax rate of 42.0% as a result of tax preference income from certain investments for each period. The majority of tax preference income is derived from municipal securities and leases.

Subsequent Event

On February 8, 2007, we announced the execution of a definitive merger agreement to acquire First Coastal Bancshares and First Coastal Bank (First Coastal). First Coastal is headquartered in Manhattan Beach, California and has four offices. These offices will become offices of Citizens Business Bank following completion of the merger. As of December 31, 2006, First Coastal has \$238 million in assets, \$157 million in loans, and \$190 million in deposits. The purchase price is \$35 million. One half of the purchase price is payable in cash and the balance will be paid through the issuance of CVB common stock.

On February 21, 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company approved the repurchase of an additional 2.0 million shares of the Company common stock. The Company has 775,163 shares left to be repurchased from its October 2001 authorization. The total number of shares to be repurchased as of February 21, 2007 was 2,775,163 shares.

ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

The Company reported total assets of \$6.1 billion at December 31, 2006. This represented an increase of \$671.3 million, or 12.38%, over total assets of \$5.4 billion at December 31, 2005.

Investment Securities

The Company maintains a portfolio of investment securities to provide interest income and to serve as a source of liquidity for its ongoing operations. The tables below set forth information concerning the composition of the investment securities portfolio at December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, and the maturity distribution of the investment securities portfolio at December 31, 2006. At December 31, 2006, we reported total investment securities of \$2.58 billion. This represents an increase of \$213.0 million, or 8.99%, over total investment securities of \$2.37 billion at December 31, 2005.

Under SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities , securities held as available-for-sale are reported at current market value for financial reporting purposes. The related unrealized gain or loss, net of income taxes, is recorded in stockholders equity. At December 31, 2006, securities held as available-for-sale had a fair market value of \$2.58 billion, representing 100.00% of total investment securities with an amortized cost of \$2.61 billion. At December 31, 2006, the net unrealized holding loss on securities available-for-sale was \$22.8 million that resulted in accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$13.2 million (net of \$9.6 million in deferred taxes).

33

The composition of the investment portfolio at December 31, 2006 consists of the following:

l							Maturing						
			Ī	After one							F	Balance as	ļ
	One	Weighted		Year through	Weighted	After five Years	Weighted			Weighted		of	Weight
	Year or Less	Average Yield		Five Years	Average Yield	through Ten Years	Average S Yield	A	After Ten Years	Average Yield	De	ecember 31, 2006	, Avera Yield
ligations	\$	0.00%	\$	970	4.83%	\$	0.00%	\$		0.00%	\$	970	4.8
cy and sored													
i	9,032	3.14%		59,268	4.90%		0.00%			0.00%	\$	68,300	4.6
securities	1,115	4.91%		893,881	4.40%	182,374	5.24%		480	5.81%	\$	1,077,850	
İ	14,591	2.46%		754,910	4.82%	17,770	5.50%			0.00%	\$	787,271	4.7
1)	8,771	4.38%		187,521	5.26%	207,782	2 4.23%		241,711	4.13%	\$	645,785	4.4
	\$ 33,509	3.22%	\$	1,896,550	4.66%	\$ 407,926	6 4.74%	\$	242,191	4.13%	\$	2,580,176	4.6

(1) The weighted average yield is not tax-equivalent. The tax-equivalent yield is 5.90%.

The above table excludes securities without stated maturities. The maturity of each security category is defined as the contractual maturity except for the categories of mortgage-backed securities and CMO/REMICs whose maturities are defined as the estimated average life. The final maturity of mortgage-backed securities and CMO/REMICs will differ from their contractual maturities because the underlying mortgages have the right to repay such obligations without penalty. The speed at which the underlying mortgages repay is influenced by many factors, one of which is interest rates. Mortgages tend to repay faster as interest rates fall and slower as interest rate rise. This will either shorten or extend the estimated average life. Also, the yield on mortgages-backed securities and CMO/REMICs are affected by the speed at which the underlying mortgages repay. This is caused by the change in the amount of amortization of premiums or accretion of discount of each security as repayments increase or decrease. The Company obtains the estimated average life of each security from independent third parties.

The weighted-average yield (TE) on the investment portfolio at December 31, 2006 was 4.61% with a weighted-average life of 4.7 years. This compares to a yield of 4.46% at December 31, 2005 with a weighted-average life of 4.0 years. The weighted average life is the average number of years that each dollar of unpaid principal due remains outstanding. Average life is computed as the weighted-average time to the receipt of all future cash flows, using as the weights the dollar amounts of the principal pay-downs.

Composition of the Fair Value of Securities Available-for-Sale:

		At Decen	ıber 31,							
200	6	200)5	2004						
Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent					
(Amounts in thousands)										

Edgar Filing: CVB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

U.S. Treasury Obligations Government agency and	\$ 970	0.04%	\$ 497	0.02%	\$ 496	0.02%
government- sponsored			.			
enterprises	68,300	2.64%	54,089	2.28%	18,757	0.90%
Mortgage-backed securities	1,077,851	41.73%	1,184,608	49.99%	1,360,334	65.25%
CMO/REMICs	787,270	30.48%	609,912	25.74%	345,627	16.58%
Municipal bonds	645,785	25.00%	463,900	19.57%	306,577	14.70%
FHLMC Preferred Stock			56,070	2.37%	52,705	2.53%
Other securities	2,726	0.11%	816	0.03%	518	0.02%
TOTAL	\$ 2,582,902	100.00%	\$ 2,369,892	100.00%	\$ 2,085,014	100.00%

Approximately 97.09% of securities issued by the U.S. government or U.S. government agencies guarantee payment of principal and interest.

34

Composition of the Fair Value and Gross Unrealized Losses of Securities Available-for-Sale:

		December 31, 2006										
	L	ess than 1	12 M	lonths		12 Months	or L	onger		Tot	al	
			(Gross				Gross				Gross
			Un	realized			Un	realized			Un	realized
			H	olding			H	Iolding			H	lolding
		Fair										
Description of Securities		Value	Ι	Losses	F	air Value]	Losses	F	air Value]	Losses
						(Amounts i	n the	ousands)				
U.S. Treasury Obligations	\$	970	\$	1	\$		\$		\$	970	\$	1
Government agency & government- sponsored												
enterprises		12,040		45		41,101		457		53,141		502
Mortgage-backed securities		74,274		388		880,162		27,175		954,436		27,563
CMO/REMICs		53,681		241		454,693		6,386		508,374		6,627
Municipal bonds		276,512		3,474		60,065		1,382		336,577		4,856
	\$	417,477	\$	4,149	\$	1,436,021	\$	35,400	\$	1,853,498	\$	39,549

					Decemb	oer 3	1, 2005				
	Le	ss than 1	2 M	onths	12 Mont	hs or	Longer		Tot	al	
			Un	Gross realized Iolding	Fair		Gross nrealized Holding			Un	Gross realized Iolding
Description of Securities	Fair	· Value]	Losses	Value (Amounts	in th	Losses lousands)	F	Fair Value]	Losses
U.S. Treasury Obligations Government agency & government- sponsored	\$	497	\$	1	\$	\$;	\$	497	\$	1
enterprises		2,972		28	18,463	3	560		21,435		588
Mortgage-backed securities		459,242		8,385	634,731	1	20,850		1,093,973		29,235
CMO/REMICs		444,431		5,198	119,603	3	2,158		564,034		7,356
Municipal bonds		162,193		3,624	8,737	7	374		170,930		3,998
	\$ 1,	069,335	\$	17,236	\$ 781,534	4 \$	23,942	\$	1,850,869	\$	41,178

The table above shows the Company s investment securities gross unrealized losses and fair value by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at December 31, 2006 and 2005. We have reviewed individual securities classified as available-for-sale to determine

whether a decline in fair value below the amortized cost basis is other-than-temporary. If it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of a debt security not impaired at acquisition, an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred. If an other-than-temporary impairment occurs the cost basis of the security would be written down to its fair value as a new cost basis and the write down would be accounted for as a realized loss. A summary of our analysis of these securities and the unrealized losses is described more fully in Note 2 Investment Securities in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Loans

At December 31, 2006, the Company reported total loans, net of deferred loan fees, of \$3.07 billion. This represents an increase of \$406.3 million, or 15.25%, over total loans of \$2.66 billion at December 31, 2005.

Table 4 presents the distribution of our loan portfolio at the dates indicated.

35

	2006	2005 (An	December 31, 2004 nounts in thousand	2003 s)	2002
Commercial and Industrial(1)	\$ 1,050,189	\$ 980,602	\$ 905,139	856,373	\$ 667,316
Real Estate					
Construction	299,112	270,436	235,849	156,287	105,486
Mortgage(1)	1,141,322	877,481	553,078	388,626	396,707
Consumer, net of unearned					
discount	54,125	59,801	51,187	44,645	26,750
Municipal Lease Finance	,	,	•	,	,
Receivables	126,393	108,832	71,675	37,866	17,852
Auto and equipment leases	51,420	39,442	34,753	28,497	21,193
Dairy and Livestock	358,259	338,035	297,659	255,039	214,849
Gross Loans	3,080,820	2,674,629	2,149,340	1,767,333	1,450,153
Less:					
Allowance for Credit Losses	27,737	23,204	22,494	21,282	21,666
Deferred Loan Fees	10,624	10,765	9,266	7,392	4,144
Total Net Loans	\$ 3,042,459	\$ 2,640,660	\$ 2,117,580	5 1,738,659	\$ 1,424,343

Commercial and industrial loans are loans to commercial entities to finance capital purchases or improvements, or to provide cash flow for operations. Real estate loans are loans secured by conforming first trust deeds on real property, including property under construction, commercial property and single family and multifamily residences. Consumer loans include installment loans to consumers as well as home equity loans and other loans secured by junior liens on real property. Municipal lease finance receivables are leases to municipalities. Agribusiness loans are loans to finance the operating needs of wholesale dairy farm operations, cattle feeders, livestock raisers, and farmers.

Table 5 provides the maturity distribution for commercial and industrial loans, real estate construction loans and agribusiness loans as of December 31, 2006. The loan amounts are based on contractual maturities although the borrowers have the ability to prepay the loans. Amounts are also classified according to re-pricing opportunities or rate sensitivity.

36

⁽¹⁾ Included in Commercial and Industrial and Real Estate Mortgage loans are loans totaling \$115.0 million for 2006, \$102.5 million for 2005, \$94.9 million for 2004, \$79.8 million for 2003, and \$70.9 million for 2002 that represent loans to agricultural concerns for commercial or real estate purposes.

TABLE 5 Loan Maturities and Interest Rate Category at December 31, 2006

Within One Year	V Fiv	But Within ve Years				Total
202,643 273,695 240,028 10,604	\$	323,555 3,307 117,974 90,567	\$	1,364,776 22,110 257 431,304	\$	1,890,974 299,112 358,259 532,475
726,970	\$	535,403	\$	1,818,447	\$	3,080,820
703,268	·	329,196		862,052	·	1,186,304 1,894,516 3,080,820
	202,643 273,695 240,028 10,604 726,970	Within Pine Year Fix 202,643 \$ 273,695 240,028 10,604 726,970 \$ 23,702 \$ 703,268	Within Five Years (Amounts in Section 1988) 202,643 \$ 323,555 273,695 \$ 3,307 240,028 \$ 117,974 10,604 \$ 90,567 726,970 \$ 535,403 23,702 \$ 206,207 703,268 \$ 329,196	But Within Pine Year 202,643 \$ 323,555 273,695 240,028 117,974 10,604 90,567 726,970 \$ 535,403 \$ 23,702 703,268 \$ 206,207 329,196	But Within One Year Within Five Years (Amounts in thousands) After Five Years (Amounts in thousands) 202,643 \$ 323,555 \$ 1,364,776 273,695 3,307 22,110 240,028 117,974 257 10,604 90,567 431,304 726,970 \$ 535,403 \$ 1,818,447 23,702 \$ 206,207 \$ 956,395 703,268 329,196 862,052	But Within One Year Within Five Years (Amounts in thousands) After Five Years (Amounts in thousands) 202,643 \$ 323,555 \$ 1,364,776 \$ 273,695 \$ 3,307 22,110 240,028 117,974 257 257 10,604 90,567 431,304 726,970 \$ 535,403 \$ 1,818,447 \$ 23,702 \$ 206,207 \$ 956,395 \$ 703,268 \$ 329,196 862,052

(1) Includes approximately \$840.8 million in fixed rate commercial real estate loans. These loans are classified as real estate mortgage loans for the financial statements, but are accounted for as commercial and industrial loans on the Company s books.

As a normal practice in extending credit for commercial and industrial purposes, we may accept trust deeds on real property as collateral. In some cases, when the primary source of repayment for the loan is anticipated to come from the cash flow from normal operations of the borrower, real property as collateral is not the primary source of repayment but has been taken as an abundance of caution. In these cases, the real property is considered a secondary source of repayment for the loan. Since we lend primarily in Southern California, our real estate loan collateral is concentrated in this region. At December 31, 2006, substantially all of our loans secured by real estate were collateralized by properties located in Southern California. This concentration is considered when determining the adequacy of our allowance for credit losses.

Non-performing Assets

Non-performing assets include non-performing loans, nonaccrual loans, loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest, and restructured loans (see Risk Management Credit Risk herein). We had no non-performing loans at December 31, 2006 and 2005. In addition, there were no loans classified as impaired at December 31, 2006 and 2005. A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that a creditor will be unable to collect all amounts (contractual interest and principal) according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement.

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, we had no loans on which interest was no longer accruing (nonaccrual). Loans are put on nonaccrual after 90 days of non-performance. They can also be put on nonaccrual if, in the judgment of

management, the collectability is doubtful. All accrued and unpaid interest is reversed out. The Bank allocates specific reserves which are included in the allowance for credit losses for potential losses on nonaccrual loans.

A restructured loan is a loan on which terms or conditions have been modified due to the deterioration of the borrower s financial condition. At December 31, 2006, and 2005 we had no loans that were classified as restructured.

Table 6 provides information on non-performing loans and other real estate owned at the dates indicated.

37

TABLE 6 Non-Performing Assets

	2006	2005	20	ber 31, 04 n thous	2	2003 s)	2	2002
Nonaccrual loans Loans past due 90 days or more Restructured loans Other real estate owned (OREO)	\$	\$	\$	2	\$	548	\$	190 634
Total nonperforming assets	\$	\$	\$	2	\$	548	\$	824
Percentage of nonperforming assets to total loans outstanding & OREO	0.00%	0.00%	(0.00%		0.03%		0.06%
Percentage of nonperforming assets to total assets	0.00%	0.00%	(0.00%		0.01%		0.03%

Except for non-performing loans as set forth in Table 6 and loans disclosed as impaired, (see Risk Management Credit Risk herein) we are not aware of any loans as of December 31, 2006 for which known credit problems of the borrower would cause serious doubts as to the ability of such borrowers to comply with their present loan repayment terms, or any known events that would result in the loan being designated as non-performing at some future date. We cannot, however, predict the extent to which the deterioration in general economic conditions, real estate values, increase in general rates of interest, change in the financial conditions or business of a borrower may adversely affect a borrower s ability to pay.

At December 31, 2006, and 2005 the Company held no properties as other real estate owned.

Deposits

The primary source of funds to support earning assets (loans and investments) is the generation of deposits from our customer base. The ability to grow the customer base and deposits from these customers are crucial elements in the performance of the Company.

We reported total deposits of \$3.41 billion at December 31, 2006. This represented a decrease of \$17.2 million, or 0.50%, from total deposits of \$3.42 billion at December 31, 2005.

The amount of non-interest-bearing demand deposits in relation to total deposits is an integral element in achieving a low cost of funds. Non-interest-bearing deposits represented 40.02% of total deposits as of December 31, 2006 and 43.53% of total deposits as of December 31, 2005. Non-interest-bearing demand deposits totaled \$1.36 billion at December 31, 2006. This represented a decrease of \$127.2 million, or 8.53%, from total non-interest-bearing demand deposits of \$1.49 billion at December 31, 2005.

Table 7 provides the remaining maturities of large denomination (\$100,000 or more) time deposits, including public funds, at December 31, 2006.

Table 7 Maturity Distribution of Large Denomination Time Deposits

/			•	41	1 \
	Λm	annt	ın	thous	andel
•	7111	vunt		uivus	anusi

		(Amount in th	ousanus)
3 months or less Over 3 months through 6 months		\$	557,091 139,924
Over 6 months through 12 months Over 12 months			11,012 25,628
Total		\$	733,655
	38		

Other Borrowed Funds

To achieve the desired growth in earning assets we fund that growth through generating a source of funds. The first source of funds we pursue is non-interest-bearing deposits (the lowest cost of funds to the Company), next we pursue growth in interest-bearing deposits and finally we supplement the growth in deposits with borrowed funds. Borrowed funds, as a percent of total funding (total deposits plus demand notes plus borrowed funds), was 38.65% at December 31, 2006, as compared to 30.50% at December 31, 2005.

During 2006, 2005 and 2004, we entered into short-term borrowing agreements with the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB). We had outstanding balances of \$887.9 million, \$830.0 million and \$226.0 million under these agreements at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. FHLB held certain investment securities of the Bank as collateral for those borrowings. On December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, we entered into an overnight agreement with certain financial institutions and our customers to borrow an aggregate of \$301.4 million, \$86.0 million and \$130.0 million, respectively. We maintained cash deposits with the financial institutions as collateral for these borrowings. The increase was primarily due to funding for the growth of earning assets.

In June 2006, the Company purchased securities totaling \$250.0 million. This purchase was funded by a repurchase agreement of \$250.0 million with a double cap embedded in the repurchase agreement. The interest rate on this agreement is tied to three-month LIBOR and reset quarterly. In November 2006, we began a repurchase agreement product with our customers. This product, known as Citizens Sweep Manager, sells our securities overnight to our customers under an agreement to repurchase them the next day. As of December 31, 2006, total funds borrowed under these agreements were \$344.4 million. These amounts are included in short-term borrowings on the Company s consolidated balance sheet.

The following table summarizes the short-term borrowings:

	Federal Funds Purchased and Repurchase Agreements		В	Other nort-Term orrowings		Total	
		(D	ollaı	s in thousands	ls)		
At December 31, 2006							
Amount outstanding	\$	301,350	\$	887,900	\$	1,189,250	
Weighted-average interest rate		5.08%		4.28%		4.49%	
For the year ended December 31, 2006							
Highest amount at month-end	\$	301,350	\$	1,677,000	\$	1,978,350	
Daily-average amount outstanding	\$	101,756	\$	1,295,704	\$	1,397,460	
Weighted-average interest rate		5.06%		3.90%		3.99%	
At December 31, 2005							
Amount outstanding	\$	86,000	\$	830,000	\$	916,000	
Weighted-average interest rate		4.14%		3.35%		3.42%	
For the year ended December 31, 2005							
Highest amount at month-end	\$	107,000	\$	830,000	\$	937,000	
Daily-average amount outstanding	\$	71,484	\$	778,137	\$	849,621	
Weighted-average interest rate		3.21%		2.97%		2.99%	

At December 31, 2004

Amount outstanding	\$	130,000	\$ 226,000	\$ 356,000
Weighted-average interest rate	2.27% 2.14%		2.19%	
For the year ended December 31, 2004				
Highest amount at month-end	\$	130,000	\$ 447,000	\$ 577,000
Daily-average amount outstanding	\$	84,586	\$ 328,156	\$ 412,742
Weighted-average interest rate		1.35%	1.75%	1.67%

During 2006 and 2005, we entered into long-term borrowing agreements with the FHLB. We had outstanding balances of \$950.0 million and \$580.0 million under these agreements at December 31, 2006 and 2005, with weighted-average interest rates of 5.3% and 3.6% in 2006 and 2005 respectively. We had an average outstanding

39

Table of Contents

balance of \$319.0 million and \$493.5 million as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The FHLB held certain investment securities of the Bank as collateral for those borrowings.

At December 31, 2006, borrowed funds totaled \$2.1 billion. This represented an increase of \$644.1 million, or 42.87%, over total borrowed funds of \$1.50 billion at December 31, 2005. For 2005, total borrowed funds increased \$310.0 million, or 26.14%, over a balance of \$1.19 billion at December 31, 2004. The maximum outstanding at any month-end was \$2.08 billion during 2006, \$1.50 billion during 2005, and \$1.19 billion during 2004.

At December 31, 2006, junior subordinated debentures totaled \$108.3 million, an increase of \$25.8 million, or 31.25%, over junior subordinated debentures of \$82.5 million at December 31, 2005. The increase was due to the issuance of an additional \$25.8 million in junior subordinated debentures in 2006.

Aggregate Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes the aggregate contractual obligations as of December 31, 2006:

		Less Than One	One Year to Three	Four Year to Five	After Five	
	Total	Year	Years	Years	Years	
		(Amor	unts in thousar	nds)		
2006						
Deposits	\$ 3,406,808	\$ 3,375,399	\$ 18,411	\$ 9,545	\$ 3,453	
FHLB and Other Borrowings	2,139,250	1,189,250	850,000	100,000		
Junior Subordinated Debentures	108,250				108,250	
Deferred Compensation	7,946	751	1,582	1,606	4,007	
Operating Leases	18,489	4,580	6,303	2,985	4,621	
Total	\$ 5,680,730	\$ 4,569,967	\$ 876,296	\$ 114,136	\$ 120,331	

Deposits represent non-interest bearing, money market, savings, NOW, certificates of deposits, brokered and all other deposits held by the Company.

FHLB and Other Borrowings represent the amounts that are due to the Federal Home Loan Bank. These borrowings have fixed maturity dates. Other borrowings represent the amounts that are due to overnight Federal funds purchases, Treasury, Tax and Loan amounts.

Junior subordinated debentures represent the amounts that are due from the Company to CVB Statutory Trust I, CVB Statutory Trust II & CVB Statutory Trust III. The debentures have the same maturity as the Trust Preferred Securities. CVB Statutory Trust I and II, which mature in 2033 and become callable in whole or in part in 2008. CVB Statutory Trust III which matures in 2036 and becomes callable in whole or in part in 2011.

Deferred compensation represents the amounts that are due to former employees salary continuation agreements as a result of acquisitions.

Operating leases represent the total minimum lease payments under noncancelable operating leases.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At December 31, 2006, we had commitments to extend credit of approximately \$680.6 million, obligations under letters of credit of \$64.8 million and available lines of credit totaling \$1.31 billion from certain financial institutions. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to customers, provided there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Commitments are generally variable rate, and many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon. As such, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. We use the same credit underwriting policies in granting or accepting such commitments or contingent obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments, which consist of evaluating customers creditworthiness individually.

40

Table of Contents

Standby letters of credit written are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the financial performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. When deemed necessary, we hold appropriate collateral supporting those commitments. We do not anticipate any material losses as a result of these transactions.

The following table summarizes the off-balance sheet items:

		Maturity by Period							
	Total	Less Than One Year	One Year to Three Year	Four Year to Five Years	After Five Years				
		(Am	ounts in thous	ands)					
2006									
Commitment to extend credit	680,575	244,383	38,243	51,512	346,437				
Obligations under letters of credit	64,810	47,776	17,034						
Total	\$ 745,385	\$ 292,159	\$ 55,277	\$ 51,512	\$ 346,437				

Liquidity and Cash Flow

Since the primary sources and uses of funds for the Bank are loans and deposits, the relationship between gross loans and total deposits provides a useful measure of the Bank s liquidity. Typically, the closer the ratio of loans to deposits is to 100%, the more reliant the Bank is on its loan portfolio to provide for short-term liquidity needs. Since repayment of loans tends to be less predictable than the maturity of investments and other liquid resources, the higher the loans to deposit ratio the less liquid are the Bank s assets. For 2006, the Bank s loan to deposit ratio averaged 79.99%, compared to an average ratio of 74.35% for 2005 and 68.99% for 2004.

CVB is a company separate and apart from the Bank that must provide for its own liquidity. Substantially all of CVB s revenues are obtained from dividends declared and paid by the Bank. The remaining cash flow is from rent paid by a third party on office space in our corporate headquarters. There are statutory and regulatory provisions that could limit the ability of the Bank to pay dividends to CVB. At December 31, 2006, approximately \$128.5 million of the Bank s equity was unrestricted and available to be paid as dividends to CVB. Management of CVB believes that such restrictions will not have an impact on the ability of CVB to meet its ongoing cash obligations. As of December 31, 2006, neither the Bank nor CVB had any material commitments for capital expenditures.

For the Bank, sources of funds normally include principal payments on loans and investments, other borrowed funds, and growth in deposits. Uses of funds include withdrawal of deposits, interest paid on deposits, increased loan balances, purchases, and other operating expenses.

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$70.9 million for 2006, \$89.1 million for 2005, and \$75.7 million for 2004. The decrease in 2006 compared to 2005 was primarily the result of the decrease in net interest income as a result of the higher yields on interest-bearing deposits and borrowings.

Cash used in investing activities totaled \$680.7 million for 2006, compared to \$761.4 million for 2005 and \$695.4 million for 2004. The funds used for investing activities primarily represented increases in investments and

loans for each year reported. Funds obtained from investing activities for each year were obtained primarily from the sale and maturity of investment securities.

Funds provided by financing activities totaled \$626.0 million for 2006, compared to \$718.0 million for 2005 and \$592.1 million for 2004. The decrease in 2006 compared to 2005 was primarily the result of a decrease in transaction deposits and repayment of borrowings, offset by advances from FHLB, issuance of junior subordinated debt, and repurchase agreements.

At December 31, 2006, cash and cash equivalents totaled \$146.4 million. This represented an increase of \$16.3, or 12.50%, over a total of \$130.1 million at December 31, 2005.

41

Capital Resources

Historically, the primary source of capital for the Company has been the retention of operating earnings. In order to ensure adequate levels of capital, we conduct an ongoing assessment of projected sources and uses of capital in conjunction with projected increases in assets and the level of risk.

Total stockholders equity was \$389.3 million at December 31, 2006. This represented an increase of \$46.5 million, or 13.55%, over total stockholders equity of \$342.9 million at December 31, 2005. For 2005, total stockholders equity increased \$25.4 million, or 8.0%, over total stockholders equity of \$317.5 million at December 31, 2005.

The following table presents the amounts of regulatory capital and the capital ratios for the Company, compared to its minimum regulatory capital requirements as of December 31, 2006.

	Actua	Excess				
	Amount	Ratio	Amount (Amounts in th	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Lavaraga ratio	\$ 469,960	7.8%	\$ 240,389	4.0%	\$ 229,571	3.8%
Leverage ratio Tier 1 risk-based ratio Total risk-based ratio	469,960 469,430	12.3% 13.1%	153,081 306,164	4.0% 4.0% 8.0%	316,879 193,266	8.3% 5.1%

The following table presents the amounts of regulatory capital and the capital ratios for the Bank, compared to its minimum regulatory capital requirements as of December 31, 2006.

	Actua		As of Decembe Requir	,	Excess						
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio					
		(Amounts in thousands)									
Leverage ratio	\$ 422,946	7.1%	\$ 239,969	4.0%	\$ 182,977	3.1%					
Tier 1 risk-based ratio	422,946	11.1%	152,826	4.0%	270,120	7.1%					
Total risk-based ratio	452,416	11.8%	305,686	8.0%	146,730	3.8%					

For purposes of calculating capital ratios, bank regulators have excluded adjustments to stockholders equity that result from mark-to-market adjustments of available-for-sale investment securities. At December 31, 2006, we had an unrealized loss on investment securities net of taxes of \$13.2 million, compared to an unrealized loss net of taxes of \$13.4 million at December 31, 2005.

Bank regulators have established minimum capital adequacy guidelines requiring that qualifying capital be at least 8.0% of risk-based assets, of which at least 4.0% must be Tier I capital (primarily stockholders equity). These ratios represent minimum capital standards. Under Prompt Corrective Action rules, certain levels of capital adequacy have been established for financial institutions. Depending on an institution s capital ratios, the established levels can result in restrictions or limits on permissible activities. In addition to the aforementioned requirements, the Company and Bank must also meet minimum leverage ratio standards. The leverage ratio is calculated as Tier I capital divided by

the most recent quarter s average total assets.

The highest level for capital adequacy under Prompt Corrective Action is Well Capitalized . To qualify for this level of capital adequacy an institution must maintain a total risk-based capital ratio of at least 10.00% and a Tier I risk-based capital ratio of at least 6.00%.

During 2006, the Board of Directors of the Company declared quarterly cash dividends that totaled \$0.355 per share for the full year. We do not believe that the continued payment of cash dividends will impact the ability of the Company to continue to exceed the current minimum capital standards.

In October 2001, the Company s Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 2.0 million shares (without adjustment to reflect stock dividends and splits) of our common stock. During 2006, we did not repurchase any shares of common stock. During 2005 and 2004, we repurchased 676,033 and 99,504, shares of common stock, for the total price of \$12.3 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2006, 875,163 shares are available to be repurchased in the future under this repurchase plan.

42

RISK MANAGEMENT

We have adopted a Risk Management Plan and a Risk Management Committee of the Board to ensure the proper control and management of all risk factors inherent in the operation of the Company and the Bank. Specifically, credit risk, interest rate risk, liquidity risk, transaction risk, compliance risk, strategic risk, reputation risk, price risk and foreign exchange risk, can all affect the market risk exposure of the Company. These specific risk factors are not mutually exclusive. It is recognized that any product or service offered by the Company may expose the Bank to one or more of these risks.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is defined as the risk to earnings or capital arising from an obligor s failure to meet the terms of any contract or otherwise fail to perform as agreed. Credit risk is found in all activities where success depends on counter party, issuer, or borrower performance. Credit risk arises through the extension of loans and leases, certain securities, and letters of credit.

Credit risk in the investment portfolio and correspondent bank accounts is addressed through defined limits in our policy statements. In addition, certain securities carry insurance to enhance credit quality of the bond. Limitations on industry concentration, aggregate customer borrowings, geographic boundaries and standards on loan quality also are designed to reduce loan credit risk. Senior Management, Directors Committees, and the Board of Directors are provided with information to appropriately identify, measure, control and monitor the credit risk of the Bank.

Implicit in lending activities is the risk that losses will occur and that the amount of such losses will vary over time. Consequently, we maintain an allowance for credit losses by charging a provision for credit losses to earnings. Loans determined to be losses are charged against the allowance for credit losses. Our allowance for credit losses is maintained at a level considered by us to be adequate to provide for estimated probable losses inherent in the existing portfolio, and unused commitments to provide financing, including commitments under commercial and standby letters of credit.

The allowance for credit losses is based upon estimates of probable losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio. The nature of the process by which we determine the appropriate allowance for credit losses requires the exercise of considerable judgment. The amount actually observed in respect of these losses can vary significantly from the estimated amounts. We employ a systematic methodology that is intended to reduce the differences between estimated and actual losses.

Our methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance is conducted on a regular basis and considers all loans. The systematic methodology consists of two major elements.

The first major element includes a detailed analysis of the loan portfolio in two phases. The first phase is conducted in accordance with SFAS No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for the Impairment of a Loan , as amended by SFAS No. 118, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan Income Recognition and Disclosures. Individual loans are reviewed to identify loans for impairment. A loan is impaired when principal and interest are deemed uncollectible in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan. Impairment is measured as either the expected future cash flows discounted at each loan s effective interest rate, the fair value of the loan s collateral if the loan is collateral dependent, or an observable market price of the loan (if one exists). Upon measuring the impairment, we will ensure an appropriate level of allowance is present or established.

Central to the first phase and our credit risk management is the loan risk rating system. The originating credit officer assigns borrowers an initial risk rating, which is reviewed and possibly changed by Credit Management, which is based primarily on a thorough analysis of each borrower s financial capacity in conjunction with industry and economic trends. Approvals are made based upon the amount of inherent credit risk specific to the transaction and are reviewed for appropriateness by senior line and credit management personnel. Credits are monitored by line and credit management personnel for deterioration in a borrower s financial condition, which would impact the ability of the borrower to perform under the contract. Risk ratings are adjusted as necessary.

43

Table of Contents

Loans are risk rated into the following categories: Impaired, Doubtful, Substandard, Special Mention and Pass. Each of these groups is assessed for the appropriate amount to be used in determining the adequacy of our allowance for losses. While each loan is looked at annually to determine its proper classification, the Impaired and Doubtful loans are analyzed on an individual basis for allowance amounts. The other categories have formulae used to determine the needed allowance amount.

The Bank began a credit review function engaging an outside party to review our loans. This was done in the last quarter of 2006 and will be performed quarterly in the upcoming year. The purpose of this review, amoung others, is to determine the loan rating and if there is any deterioration in the credit quality of the portfolio.

Based on the risk rating system specific allowances are established in cases where management has identified significant conditions or circumstances related to a credit that we believe indicates the probability that a loss has been incurred. We perform a detailed analysis of these loans, including, but not limited to, cash flows, appraisals of the collateral, conditions of the marketplace for liquidating the collateral and assessment of the guarantors. We then determine the inherent loss potential and allocate a portion of the allowance for losses as a specific allowance for each of these credits.

The second phase is conducted by evaluating or segmenting the remainder of the loan portfolio into groups or pools of loans with similar characteristics in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. In this second phase, groups or pools of homogeneous loans are reviewed to determine a portfolio formula allowance. In the case of the portfolio formula allowance, homogeneous portfolios, such as small business loans, consumer loans, agricultural loans, and real estate loans, are aggregated or pooled in determining the appropriate allowance. The risk assessment process in this case emphasizes trends in the different portfolios for delinquency, loss, and other-behavioral characteristics of the subject portfolios.

The second major element in our methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance consists of our consideration of all known relevant internal and external factors that may affect a loan s collectibility. This includes our estimates of the amounts necessary for concentrations, economic uncertainties, the volatility of the market value of collateral, and other relevant factors. The relationship of the two major elements of the allowance to the total allowance may fluctuate from period to period.

In the second major element of the analysis which considers all known relevant internal and external factors that may affect a loan s collectibility is based upon our evaluation of various conditions, the effects of which are not directly measured in the determination of the formula and specific allowances. The evaluation of the inherent loss with respect to these conditions is subject to a higher degree of uncertainty because they are not identified with specific problem credits or portfolio segments. The conditions evaluated in connection with the second element of the analysis of the allowance include, but are not limited to the following conditions that existed as of the balance sheet date:

then-existing general economic and business conditions affecting the key lending areas of the Company,

then-existing economic and business conditions of areas outside the lending areas, such as other sections of the United States, Asia and Latin America,

credit quality trends (including trends in non-performing loans expected to result from existing conditions),

collateral values,

loan volumes and concentrations,

seasoning of the loan portfolio,

specific industry conditions within portfolio segments,

recent loss experience in particular segments of the portfolio,

duration of the current business cycle,

bank regulatory examination results and

findings of our internal credit examiners.

44

We review these conditions in discussion with our senior credit officers. To the extent that any of these conditions is evidenced by a specifically identifiable problem credit or portfolio segment as of the evaluation date, our estimate of the effect of such condition may be reflected as a specific allowance applicable to such credit or portfolio segment. Where any of these conditions is not evidenced by a specifically identifiable problem credit or portfolio segment as of the evaluation date, our evaluation of the inherent loss related to such condition is reflected in the second major element of the allowance. Although we have allocated a portion of the allowance to specific loan categories, the adequacy of the allowance must be considered in its entirety.

We maintain an allowance for inherent credit losses that is recorded as a provision for credit losses and charged against operating results. The allowance for credit losses is also increased by recoveries on loans previously charged off and reduced by actual loan losses charged to the allowance. We recorded a \$3.0 million provision for credit losses for 2006. We did not record a provision for credit losses for 2005 and 2004.

At December 31, 2006, we reported an allowance for credit losses of \$27.7 million. This represents an increase of \$4.5 million, or 19.54%, over the allowance for credit losses of \$23.2 million at December 31, 2005. During 2006, we recorded a provision for credit losses of \$3.0 million and net recoveries of \$1.5 million. At December 31, 2005, we reported an allowance for credit losses of \$23.2 million. This represented an increase of \$710,000, or 3.16%, over the allowance for credit losses of \$22.5 million at December 31, 2004. During the year 2005, we did not make a provision for credit losses. The increase of \$710,000 was due to the allowance for credit losses in the acquisition of Granite State Bank of \$756,000, offset by net charge-offs of \$46,000. (See Table 8 Summary of Credit Loss Experience.)

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, we had no impaired or non-performing loans.

For 2006, total loans charged-off were \$200,000, offset by the recoveries of loans previous charged-off of \$1.7 million resulting in net recoveries of \$1.5 million. For 2005, total loans charge-off were \$1.4 million, offset by the recoveries of loans previously charged-off of \$1.3 million resulting in net charge-offs of \$46,000.

Table 8 presents a comparison of net credit losses, the provision for credit losses (including adjustments incidental to mergers), and the resulting allowance for credit losses for each of the years indicated.

TABLE 8 Summary of Credit Loss Experience

	As of and For Years Ended December 31,									
		2006		2005		2004		2003		2002
	(Ar					nts in thousa				
Amount of Total Loans at End of Period(1)	\$	3,070,196	\$	2,663,863	\$	2,140,074	\$	1,759,941	\$	1,446,009
Average Total Loans Outstanding(1)	\$	2,811,782	\$	2,277,304	\$	1,905,145	\$	1,529,944	\$	1,247,384
Allowance for Credit Losses at Beginning of Period	\$	23,204	\$	22,494	\$	21,282	\$	21,666	\$	20,469
Loans Charged-Off: Real Estate				780		1,002		982		41

Commercial and Industrial Lease Finance Receivables	90 79	243 91	943 110	1,507 396	2,048
Consumer Loans	31	266	265	132	320
Total Loans Charged-Off	200	1,380	2,320	3,017	2,409

45

	2006	As of and For 2005	Years Ended D 2004	December 31, 2003	2002
			ounts in thousar		
Recoveries:					
Real Estate Loans	1,140	572	775	336	1,062
Commercial and Industrial	400	543	2,558	889	176
Lease Finance Receivables	82	101	86	262	
Consumer Loans	111	118	113	112	43
Total Loans Recovered	1,733	1,334	3,532	1,599	1,281
Net Loans Charged-Off (Recovered)	(1,533)	46	(1,212)	1,418	1,128
Provision Charged to Operating Expense	3,000				
Adjustments Incident to Mergers and reclassifications		756		1,034	2,325
Allowance for Credit Losses at End of period	\$ 27,737	\$ 23,204	\$ 22,494	\$ 21,282	\$ 21,666
Net Loans Charged-Off (Recovered)					
to Average Total Loans Net Loans Charged-Off (Recovered)	-0.05%	0.00%	-0.06%	0.09%	0.09%
to Total Loans at End of Period	-0.05%	0.00%	-0.06%	0.08%	0.08%
Allowance for Credit Losses to Average Total Loans	0.99%	1.02%	1.18%	1.39%	1.74%
Allowance for Credit Losses to Total					
Loans at End of Period	0.90%	0.87%	1.05%	1.21%	1.50%
Net Loans Charged-Off (Recovered)					
to Allowance for Credit Losses	-5.53%	0.20%	-5.39%	6.66%	5.21%
Net Loans Recovered to Provision for					
Credit Losses	-51.10%				

(1) Net of deferred loan origination fees.

While we believe that the allowance at December 31, 2006, was adequate to absorb losses from any known or inherent risks in the portfolio, no assurance can be given that economic conditions which adversely affect our service areas or other circumstances will not be reflected in increased provisions or credit losses in the future.

Table 9 provides a summary of the allocation of the allowance for credit losses for specific loan categories at the dates indicated. The allocations presented should not be interpreted as an indication that loans charged to the allowance for credit losses will occur in these amounts or proportions, or that the portion of the allowance allocated to each loan category represents the total amount available for future losses that may occur within these categories.

46

	204	0.4	200	05	Decemb	,	200	2002		
	200	96 % of	200	05 % of	200	04 % of	200	03 % of	200	02 % of
		Loans		Loans		Loans		Loans		Loans
		to		to		to		to		to
		Total		Total		Total		Total		Total
		Loans		Loans		Loans		Loans		Loans
	Allowance for	in	Allowance for	in	Allowance for	in	Allowance for	in	Allowance for	in
	Credit	Each	Credit	Each	Credit	Each	Credit	Each	Credit	Each
	Losses	Category	Losses	Category	Losses	Category	Losses	Category	Losses	Category
				((Amounts in	thousands)				
eal Estate ommercial	\$ 9,905	46.8%	\$ 10,536	42.7%	\$ 7,214	36.6%	\$ 3,892	30.8%	\$ 4,158	34.69
dustrial	17,215	51.5%	15,408	49.2%	16,232	55.8%	15,508	62.9%	16,020	60.99
onsumer	297	1.7%		8.1%	126	7.6%	•	6.3%	· ·	4.59
nallocated	320		(2,964)		(1,078)		1,733		1,286	
otal	\$ 27,737	100.0%	\$ 23,204	100.0%	\$ 22,494	100.0%	\$ 21,282	100.0%	\$ 21,666	100.09

Market Risk

3,042,459

6.92%

In the normal course of its business activities, we are exposed to market risks, including price and liquidity risk. Market risk is the potential for loss from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates (interest rate risk). Liquidity risk arises from the possibility that we may not be able to satisfy current or future commitments or that we may be more reliant on alternative funding sources such as long-term debt. Financial products that expose us to market risk includes securities, loans, deposits, debt, and derivative financial instruments.

The table below provides the actual balances as of December 31, 2006 of interest-earning assets (net of deferred loan fees and allowance for credit losses) and interest-bearing liabilities, including the average rate earned or paid for 2006, the projected contractual maturities over the next five years, and the estimated fair value of each category determined using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies.

					ļ			
	Balance	Average		Two	Three	Four	Five Years	Estimat
	December 31,	Rate	One Year	Years	Years	Years in thousands)	and Beyond	Fair Val
est-Earning Assets stment securities able for sale(1)	\$ 2,580,176	4.61%	\$ 20,127	\$ 104,982	\$ 824,102	\$ 564,818	\$ 1,066,147	\$ 2,580,

Table of Contents 89

210,938

104,330

101,835

1,898,386

3.041.

726,970

s and lease finance	
vables, net	

\$ 5,622,635		\$	747,097	\$	315,920	\$	928,432	\$	666,653	\$	2,964,533	\$	5,621,
\$ 2,043,397	3.11%	\$	2,011,988	\$	17,439	\$	972	\$	9,332	\$	3,666		2,041,
													ŀ
7,245	4.34%		7,245										7,
2,139,250	4.27%		1,189,250		850,000						100,000		2,137,
108,250	6.51%										108,250		132,
\$ 4,298,142		\$	3,208,483	\$	867,439	\$	972	\$	9,332	\$	211,916	\$	4,318,
\$	2,139,250 108,250	\$ 2,043,397 3.11% 7,245 4.34% 2,139,250 4.27% 108,250 6.51%	\$ 2,043,397 3.11% \$ 7,245 4.34% 2,139,250 4.27% 108,250 6.51%	\$ 2,043,397 3.11% \$ 2,011,988 7,245 4.34% 7,245 2,139,250 4.27% 1,189,250 108,250 6.51%	\$ 2,043,397 3.11% \$ 2,011,988 \$ 7,245 4.34% 7,245 2,139,250 4.27% 1,189,250 108,250 6.51%	\$ 2,043,397 3.11% \$ 2,011,988 \$ 17,439 7,245 4.34% 7,245 2,139,250 4.27% 1,189,250 850,000 108,250 6.51%	\$ 2,043,397 3.11% \$ 2,011,988 \$ 17,439 \$ 7,245 4.34% 7,245 2,139,250 4.27% 1,189,250 850,000 108,250 6.51%	\$ 2,043,397 3.11% \$ 2,011,988 \$ 17,439 \$ 972 7,245 4.34% 7,245 2,139,250 4.27% 1,189,250 850,000 108,250 6.51%	\$ 2,043,397	\$ 2,043,397	\$ 2,043,397	\$ 2,043,397	\$ 2,043,397

⁽¹⁾ Excludes securities with no maturity dates.

47

Table of Contents

Interest Rate Risk

During periods of changing interest rates, the ability to re-price interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities can influence net interest income, the net interest margin, and consequently, our earnings. Interest rate risk is managed by attempting to control the spread between rates earned on interest-earning assets and the rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities within the constraints imposed by market competition in our service area. Short-term re-pricing risk is minimized by controlling the level of floating rate loans and maintaining a downward sloping ladder of bond payments and maturities. Basis risk is managed by the timing and magnitude of changes to interest-bearing deposit rates. Yield curve risk is reduced by keeping the duration of the loan and bond portfolios relatively short. Options risk in the bond portfolio is monitored monthly and actions are recommended when appropriate.

We monitor the interest rate sensitivity risk to earnings from potential changes in interest rates using various methods, including a maturity/re-pricing gap analysis. This analysis measures, at specific time intervals, the differences between earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for which re-pricing opportunities will occur. A positive difference, or gap, indicates that earning assets will re-price faster than interest-bearing liabilities. This will generally produce a greater net interest margin during periods of rising interest rates, and a lower net interest margin during periods of declining interest rates. Conversely, a negative gap will generally produce a lower net interest margin during periods of rising interest rates and a greater net interest margin during periods of decreasing interest rates.

48

TABLE 10 Asset and Liability Maturity/Repricing Gap

	90 Days or Less		٩	Over 90 Days to 180 Days (Am	Over 80 Days to 365 Days ats in thousand	Over 365 Days nds)			Total
2006 Earning Assets: Investment Securities at									
carrying value	\$	140,153	\$	152,523	\$ 214,686	\$	2,075,540	\$	2,582,902
Total Loans		1,003,580	\$	157,742	\$ 281,823	\$	1,599,314		3,042,459
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	\$	1,143,733	\$	310,265	\$ 496,509	\$	3,674,854	\$	5,625,361
Savings Deposits	\$	780,720	\$		\$	\$	434,699	\$	1,215,419
Time Deposits Demand Note to U.S. Treasury		596,882 7,245	\$	128,776	\$ 71,080	\$	31,240		827,978 7,245
Other Borrowings Junior subordinated debentures		1,234,250	\$	85,000	\$ 120,000	\$	700,000 108,250		2,139,250 108,250
Total		2,619,097		213,776	191,080		1,274,189		4,298,142
Period GAP	\$	(1,475,364)	\$	96,489	\$ 305,429	\$	2,400,665	\$	1,327,219
Cumulative GAP	\$	(1,475,364)	\$	(1,378,875)	\$ (1,073,446)	\$	1,327,219		
2005 Earning Assets: Interest-bearing deposits with									
other institution Investment Securities at	\$	1,883	\$		\$	\$		\$	1,883
carrying value		109,526		104,448	230,582		1,925,336		2,369,892
Total Loans		828,783		157,485	281,538		1,372,853		2,640,659
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	\$	940,192	\$	261,933	\$ 512,120	\$	3,298,189	\$	5,012,434
Savings Deposits	\$	717,585	\$		\$	\$	432,671	\$	1,150,256
Time Deposits		477,617		106,864	160,746		37,950		783,177
Demand Note to U.S. Treasury		6,433							6,433
Other Borrowings		361,000		35,000	520,000		580,000		1,496,000
Junior subordinated debentures							82,476		82,476
Total		1,562,635		141,864	680,746		1,133,097		3,518,342
Period GAP	\$	(622,443)	\$	120,069	\$ (168,626)	\$	2,165,092	\$	1,494,092

Cumulative GAP \$ (622,443) \$ (502,374) \$ (671,000) \$ 1,494,092

Table 10 provides the Bank s maturity/re-pricing gap analysis at December 31, 2006, and 2005. We had a negative cumulative 180-day gap of \$1.38 billion and a negative cumulative 365-days gap of \$1.07 billion at December 31, 2006. This represented an increase of \$876.5 million, or 174 times, over the 180-day cumulative negative gap of \$502.4 million at December 31, 2005. In theory, this would indicate that at December 31, 2006, \$1.38 billion more in assets than liabilities would re-price if there were a change in interest rates over the next 180 days. If interest rates increase, the negative gap would tend to result in a lower net interest margin. If interest rates decrease, the negative gap would tend to result in an increase in the net interest margin. However, we do have

49

Table of Contents

the ability to anticipate the increase in deposit rates, and the ability to extend interest-bearing liabilities, offsetting, in part, the negative gap.

The interest rates paid on deposit accounts do not always move in unison with the rates charged on loans. In addition, the magnitude of changes in the rates charged on loans is not always proportionate to the magnitude of changes in the rate paid on deposits. Consequently, changes in interest rates do not necessarily result in an increase or decrease in the net interest margin solely as a result of the differences between re-pricing opportunities of earning assets or interest-bearing liabilities. The fact that the Bank reported a negative gap at December 31, 2006 for changes within the following 365 days does not necessarily indicate that, if interest rates decreased, net interest income would increase, or if interest rates increased, net interest income would decrease.

Approximately \$1.87 billion, or 72.21%, of the total investment portfolio at December 31, 2006 consisted of securities backed by mortgages. The final maturity of these securities can be affected by the speed at which the underlying mortgages repay. Mortgages tend to repay faster as interest rates fall, and slower as interest rates rise. As a result, we may be subject to a prepayment risk resulting from greater funds available for reinvestment at a time when available yields are lower. Conversely, we may be subject to extension risk resulting, as lesser amounts would be available for reinvestment at a time when available yields are higher. Prepayment risk includes the risk associated with the payment of an investment s principal faster than originally intended. Extension risk is the risk associated with the payment of an investment s principal over a longer time period than originally anticipated. In addition, there can be greater risk of price volatility for mortgage-backed securities as a result of anticipated prepayment or extension risk.

We also utilize the results of a dynamic simulation model to quantify the estimated exposure of net interest income to sustained interest rate changes. The sensitivity of our net interest income is measured over a rolling two-year horizon.

The simulation model estimates the impact of changing interest rates on interest income from all interest-earning assets and interest expense paid on all interest-bearing liabilities reflected on our balance sheet. This sensitivity analysis is compared to policy limits, which specify a maximum tolerance level for net interest income exposure over a one-year horizon assuming no balance sheet growth, given a 200 basis point upward and a 200 basis point downward shift in interest rates. A parallel and pro rata shift in rates over a 12-month period is assumed.

The following reflects our net interest income sensitivity analysis as of December 31, 2006:

Simulated Rate Changes	Estimated Net Interest Income Sensitivity
+ 200 basis points	(3.41%)
– 200 basis points	6.70%

The Company is currently more liability sensitive. The estimated sensitivity does not necessarily represent a forecast and the results may not be indicative of actual changes to our net interest income. These estimates are based upon a number of assumptions including: the nature and timing of interest rate levels including yield curve shape, prepayments on loans and securities, pricing strategies on loans and deposits, and replacement of asset and liability cash-flows. While the assumptions used are based on current economic and local market conditions, there is no assurance as to the predictive nature of these conditions including how customer preferences or competitor influences might change. See NOTE 19 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk to earnings or capital resulting from our inability to meet obligations when they come due without incurring unacceptable losses. It includes the ability to manage unplanned decreases or changes in funding sources and to recognize or address changes in market conditions that affect our ability to liquidate assets quickly and with minimum loss of value. Factors considered in liquidity risk management are stability of the deposit base; marketability, maturity, and pledging of investments; and the demand for credit.

In general, liquidity risk is managed daily by controlling the level of fed funds and the use of funds provided by the cash flow from the investment portfolio. To meet unexpected demands, lines of credit are maintained with correspondent banks, the Federal Home Loan Bank and the FRB. The sale of bonds maturing in the near future can

50

Table of Contents

also serve as a contingent source of funds. Increases in deposit rates are considered a last resort as a means of raising funds to increase liquidity.

Transaction Risk

Transaction risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from problems in service or product delivery. This risk is significant within any bank and is interconnected with other risk categories in most activities throughout the Bank. Transaction risk is a function of internal controls, information systems, associate integrity, and operating processes. It arises daily throughout the Bank as transactions are processed. It pervades all divisions, departments and branches and is inherent in all products and services the Bank offers.

In general, transaction risk is defined as high, medium or low by the internal auditors during the audit process. The audit plan ensures that high risk areas are reviewed at least annually. We utilize a third party audit firm to provide internal audit services.

The key to monitoring transaction risk is in the design, documentation and implementation of well-defined procedures. All transaction related procedures include steps to report events that might increase transaction risk. Dual controls are also a form of monitoring.

Compliance Risk

Compliance risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from violations of, or non-conformance with, laws, rules, regulations, prescribed practices, or ethical standards. Compliance risk also arises in situations where the laws or rules governing certain Bank products or activities of the Bank s customers may be ambiguous or untested. Compliance risk exposes the Bank to fines, civil money penalties, payment of damages, and the voiding of contracts. Compliance risk can also lead to a diminished reputation, reduced business value, limited business opportunities, lessened expansion potential, and lack of contract enforceability.

There is no single or primary source of compliance risk. It is inherent in every Bank activity. Frequently, it blends into operational risk and transaction processing. A portion of this risk is sometimes referred to as legal risk. This is not limited solely to risk from failure to comply with consumer protection laws; it encompasses all laws, as well as prudent ethical standards and contractual obligations. It also includes the exposure to litigation from all aspects of banking, traditional and non-traditional.

Our Compliance Management Policy and Program and the Code of Ethical Conduct are the cornerstone for controlling compliance risk. An integral part of controlling this risk is the proper training of associates. The Compliance Officer is responsible for developing and executing a comprehensive compliance training program. The Compliance Officer will ensure that each associate receives adequate training with regard to their position to ensure that laws and regulations are not violated. All associates who deal in compliance high risk areas are trained to be knowledgeable about the level and severity of exposure in those areas and the policies and procedures in place to control such exposure.

Our Compliance Management Policy and Program includes an audit program aimed at identifying problems and ensuring that problems are corrected. The audit program includes two levels of review. One is in-depth audits performed by an external firm and the other is periodic monitoring performed by the Compliance Officer.

The Bank utilizes an external firm to conduct compliance audits as a means of identifying weaknesses in the compliance program itself. The external firm s audit plan includes a periodic review of each branch and department of the Bank.

The branch or department that is the subject of an audit is required to respond to the audit and correct any violations noted. The Compliance Officer will review audit findings and the response provided by the branch or department to identify areas which pose a significant compliance risk to the Bank.

The Compliance Officer conducts periodic monitoring of the Bank s compliance efforts with a special focus on those areas that expose the Bank to compliance risk. The purpose of the periodic monitoring is to ensure that Bank associates are adhering to established policies and procedures adopted by the Bank. The Compliance Officer will notify the appropriate department head and the Compliance Committee of any violations noted. The branch or

51

Table of Contents

department that is the subject of the review will be required to respond to the findings and correct any noted violations.

The Bank recognizes that customer complaints can often identify weaknesses in the Bank s compliance program which could expose the Bank to risk. Therefore, all complaints are given prompt attention. The Bank s Compliance Management Policy and Program includes provisions on how customer complaints are to be addressed. The Compliance Officer reviews all complaints to determine if a significant compliance risk exists and communicates those findings to Senior Management.

Strategic Risk

Strategic risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from adverse decisions or improper implementation of strategic decisions. This risk is a function of the compatibility between an organization s goals, the resources deployed against those goals and the quality of implementation.

Strategic risks are identified as part of the strategic planning process. Offsite strategic planning sessions are held annually. The strategic review consists of an economic assessment, competitive analysis, industry outlook and legislative and regulatory review.

A primary measurement of strategic risk is peer group analysis. Key performance ratios are compared to three separate peer groups to identify any sign of weakness and potential opportunities. The peer group consists of:

- 1. All banks of comparable size
- 2. High performing banks
- 3. A list of specific banks

Another measure is the comparison of the actual results of previous strategic initiatives against the expected results established prior to implementation of each strategy.

The corporate strategic plan is formally presented to all branch managers and department managers at an annual leadership conference.

Reputation Risk

Reputation risk is the risk to capital and earnings arising from negative public opinion. This affects the Bank s ability to establish new relationships or services, or continue servicing existing relationships. It can expose the Bank to litigation and, in some instances, financial loss.

Price and Foreign Exchange Risk

Price risk arises from changes in market factors that affect the value of traded instruments. Foreign exchange risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from movements in foreign exchange rates.

Our current exposure to price risk is nominal. We do not have trading accounts. Consequently, the level of price risk within the investment portfolio is limited to the need to sell securities for reasons other than trading. The section of this policy pertaining to liquidity risk addresses this risk.

We maintain deposit accounts with various foreign banks. Our Interbank Liability Policy limits the balance in any of these accounts to an amount that does not present a significant risk to our earnings from changes in the value of foreign currencies.

Our asset liability model calculates the market value of the Bank s equity. In addition, management prepares, on a monthly basis, a capital volatility report that compares changes in the market value of the investment portfolio. We have as our target to always be well-capitalized by regulatory standards.

The Balance Sheet Management Policy requires the submission of a Fair Value Matrix Report to the Balance Sheet Management Committee on a quarterly basis. The report calculates the economic value of equity under

52

Table of Contents

different interest rate scenarios, revealing the level or price risk of the Bank s interest sensitive asset and liability portfolios.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Recent Accounting Pronouncements, in the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in the market prices and interest rates. Our market risk arises primarily from interest rate risk inherent in our lending and deposit taking activities. We currently do not enter into futures, forwards, or option contracts. For greater discussion on the risk management of the Company, see Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and the Results of Operations Risk Management.

53

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

	Page
Consolidated Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2006 and 2005	61
Consolidated Statements of Earnings Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	62
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	63
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	64
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	66
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	95

All schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, not material or because the information is included in the financial statements or the notes thereto.

For information about the location of management s annual reports on internal control, our financial reporting and the audit report of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP thereon. See Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

1) Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of CVB Financial Corp., together with its consolidated subsidiaries (the Company), is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company s principal executive and principal financial officers to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company s financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As of December 31, 2006, management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this assessment, management has determined that the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 is effective.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of assets; provide reasonable assurances that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in

accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and the directors of the Company; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company s assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements. Management s assessment of the effectiveness of the firm s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 has been audited by McGladrey & Pullen, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report appearing at 9A(2) below.

54

Table of Contents

2) Auditor attestation

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors CVB Financial Corp. Ontario, California

We have audited management s assessment, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, that CVB Financial Corp. and subsidiaries (the Company) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management s assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management s assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of the Company, and our report dated February 28, 2007 expressed an

unqualified opinion.

/s/ McGladrey & Pullen, LLP McGladrey & Pullen, LLP

Pasadena, California February 28, 2007

55

Table of Contents

3) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We maintain controls and procedures designed to ensure that information is recorded and reported in all filings of financial reports. Such information is reported to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to allow timely and accurate disclosure based on the definition of disclosure controls and procedures in SEC Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e).

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

During the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2006, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

56

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governace

Except as hereinafter noted, the information concerning directors and executive officers of the Company and our audit committee financial expert is incorporated by reference from the section entitled Discussion of Proposals recommended by the Board Proposal 1: Election of Directors and Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance and Audit Committee of our definitive Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the last fiscal year. For information concerning directors and executive officers of the Company, see Item 1 of part I Business Executive Officers and Directors.

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all of the Company s employees, including the Company s principal executive officers, the principal financial and accounting officer, and all employees who perform these functions. A copy of the Code of Ethics is available to any person without charge by submitting a request to the Company s Chief Financial Officer at 701 N. Haven Avenue, Suite 350, Ontario, CA 91764.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information concerning management remuneration and transactions is incorporated by reference from the section entitled Executive Compensation of our definitive Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the last fiscal year.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management And Related Stockholder Matters

The following table summarizes information as of December 31, 2006 relating to our equity compensation plans pursuant to which grants of options, restricted stock, or other rights to acquire shares may be granted from time to time.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

				Number of Securities			
	Number of Securities to			Remaining Available for			
	be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options,	Exercis	ed-average e Price of tanding	Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans			
Plan Category	Warrants and Rights (a)	Opt Warra	tions, ants and hts (b)	(excluding securities reflected in column (a))			
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	2,398,359	\$	9.91	3,970,618			

Total 2,398,359 \$ 9.91 3,970,618

Information concerning security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is incorporated by reference from the sections entitled Stock Ownership of our definitive Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the last fiscal year.

57

Table of Contents

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independee

Information concerning certain relationships and related transactions with management and others is incorporated by reference from the section entitled Executive Compensation Certain Relationships and Related Transactions of our definitive Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the last fiscal year.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Information concerning principal accounting fees and services is incorporated by reference from the section entitled Ratification of Appointment of Independent Public Accountants of our definitive Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the last fiscal year.

58

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

Financial Statements

Reference is made to the Index to Financial Statements at page 54 for a list of financial statements filed as part of this Report.

Exhibits

See Index to Exhibits at Page 96 of this Form 10-K.

59

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 28th day of February 2007.

Cvb Financial Corp.

By: /s/ Christopher D. Myers Christopher D. Myers President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ George A. Borba	Chairman of the Board	February 28, 2007
George A. Borba		
/s/ John A. Borba	Director	February 28, 2007
John A. Borba		
/s/ Ronald O. Kruse	Vice Chairman	February 28, 2007
Ronald O. Kruse		
/s/ Robert M. Jacoby	Director	February 28, 2007
Robert M. Jacoby		
/s/ James C. Seley	Director	February 28, 2007
James C. Seley		
/s/ San E. Vaccaro	Director	February 28, 2007
San E. Vaccaro		
/s/ D. Linn Wiley	Vice Chairman	February 28, 2007
D. Linn Wiley		
/s/ Christopher D. Myers	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	February 28, 2007
Christopher D. Myers		

/s/ Edward J. Biebrich, Jr.

Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

February 28, 2007

Edward J. Biebrich, Jr.

60

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31, 2006 (Amounts i	December 3 2005 s in thousands)			
ASSETS					
Investment securities available-for-sale	\$ 2,582,902	\$	2,369,892		
Interest-bearing balances due from depository institutions	, ,	·	1,883		
Investment in stock of Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB)	78,866		70,770		
Loans and lease finance receivables	3,070,196		2,663,864		
Allowance for credit losses	(27,737)		(23,204)		
Total earning assets	5,704,227		5,083,205		
Cash and due from banks	146,411		130,141		
Premises and equipment, net	44,963		40,020		
Intangibles	10,121		12,474		
Goodwill	31,531		32,357		
Cash value life insurance	99,861		71,811		
Accrued interest receivable	30,225		24,147		
Deferred tax asset	18,434		18,420		
Other assets	8,489		10,396		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 6,094,262	\$	5,422,971		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQ	QUITY				
Liabilities:					
Deposits:					
Noninterest-bearing	\$ 1,363,411	\$	1,490,613		
Interest-bearing	2,043,397		1,933,432		
Total deposits	3,406,808		3,424,045		
Demand Note to U.S. Treasury	7,245		6,433		
Repurchase agreements	344,350				
Short-term borrowings	844,900		916,000		
Long-term borrowings	950,000		580,000		
Accrued interest payable	16,156		15,047		
Deferred compensation	7,946		7,102		
Junior subordinated debentures	108,250		82,476		
Other liabilities	19,268		48,991		
TOTAL LIABILITIES	5,704,923		5,080,094		
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES Stockholders Equity:					

Edgar Filing: CVB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Preferred stock (authorized, 20,000,000 shares without par; none issued or outstanding)

Common stock (authorized, 122,070,312 shares without par; issued and outstanding 84,281,722 (2006) and 84,073,227 (2005)

Retained earnings

36,478

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax

(13,221)

(13,386)

TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY 389,339 342,877

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY \$ 6,094,262 \$ 5,422,971

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

61

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS Three Years Ended December 31, 2006

		2005 ounts in thousa t earnings per s	•
INTEREST INCOME: Loans, including fees	\$ 194,704	\$ 148,421	\$ 114,543
Investment securities: Taxable Tax-advantaged	91,029 26,545	76,573 19,078	66,109 15,087
	117,574	95,651	81,196
Dividends from FHLB Federal funds sold Interest-bearing deposits with other institutions	4,290 32 60	2,623 2 251	1,960 3
Total interest income	316,660	246,948	197,702
INTEREST EXPENSE: Deposits Short-term borrowings Long-term borrowings Junior subordinated debentures	67,180 55,859 17,520 6,905	28,908 25,487 17,701 5,340	15,508 6,930 18,731 5,348
Total interest expense	147,464	77,436	46,517
NET INTEREST INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR CREDIT LOSSES PROVISION FOR CREDIT LOSSES	169,196 3,000	169,512	151,185
NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR CREDIT LOSSES	166,196	169,512	151,185
OTHER OPERATING INCOME: Service charges on deposit accounts Financial Advisory services Bankcard services BOLI Income Other Gain/(Loss) on sale of securities, net	13,080 7,385 2,486 3,051 6,199 1,057	13,251 6,652 2,453 2,797 4,668 (46)	13,663 6,054 1,781 2,432 5,058 5,219
Impairment charge on investment securities		(2,270)	(6,300)

Edgar Filing: CVB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Total other operating income	33,258	27,505	27,907
OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES:			
Salaries and employee benefits	50,509	51,535	47,292
Occupancy	8,572	8,327	7,891
Equipment	7,025	7,578	8,003
Stationery and supplies	6,492	5,569	4,987
Professional services	5,896	4,268	4,776
Promotion	6,251	5,835	5,148
Amortization of Intangibles	2,353	2,061	1,185
Other	8,726	4,880	10,440
Total other operating expenses	95,824	90,053	89,722
EARNINGS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	103,630	106,964	89,370
INCOME TAXES	31,724	36,346	27,884
NET EARNINGS	\$ 71,906	\$ 70,618	\$ 61,486
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 72,071	\$ 48,340	\$ 53,098
BASIC EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.74
DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.73
CASH DIVIDENDS PER COMMON SHARE	\$ 0.355	\$ 0.420	\$ 0.480

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

62

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY Three Years Ended December 31, 2006

	Common			Accumulated Other		
	Shares Outstanding	Common Stock (Ar	Earnings	Comprehensi@ Income/(Loss) hares in thousa	Income	Total
Balance January 1, 2004 Issuance of common stock 5-for-4 stock split	48,289 345 12,132	\$ 232,959 1,281	\$ 36,482	\$ 17,280	\$	5 286,721 1,281
Repurchase of common stock Tax benefit from exercise	(100)	(159)	(1,833))		(1,992)
of stock options Cash dividends (\$0.48 per		2,196				2,196
share) Comprehensive income:			(23,821))		(23,821)
Net earnings Other comprehensive income/(loss): Unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale			61,486		61,486	61,486
net	,			(8,388)	(8,388)	(8,388)
Comprehensive income					53,098	
Balance December 31, 2004 Issuance of common stock 5-for-4 stock split Repurchase of common	60,666 460 15,284	236,277 1,789	72,314	8,892		317,483 1,789
stock Shares issued for acquisition of Granite State	(676)	(863)	(11,423))		(12,286)
Bank Tax benefit from exercise	696	13,427				13,427
of stock options Cash dividends (\$0.42 per		2,087				2,087
share) Comprehensive income:			(27,963))		(27,963)
Net earnings Other comprehensive			70,618		70,618	70,618
income(loss):				(22,278)	(22,278)	(22,278)

Unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale, net

Comprehensive income	\$	48,340
----------------------	----	--------

Balance December 31, 2005 Issuance of common stock 10% Stock Dividend	76,430 190 7,662	\$ 252,717 983 111,098	\$ 103,546 (111,098)	\$ (13,386)		\$ 342,877 983
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options		331				331
Stock-based Compensation		331				331
Expense		953				953
Cash dividends (\$0.36 per						
share)			(27,876)			(27,876)
Comprehensive income: Net earnings Other comprehensive income(loss): Unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale,			71,906	165	\$ 71,906	71,906
net				165	165	165
Comprehensive income					\$ 72,071	
Balance December 31, 2006	84,282	\$ 366,082	\$ 36,478	\$ (13,221)		\$ 389,339

			At December 31,			
	2	2006	2005		2004	
		ands	ıds)			
Disclosure of reclassification amount						
Unrealized holding (losses)gains on securities arising during the period		1,341	(40,679)		(15,453)	
Tax benefit (expense)		(563)	17,058		6,438	
Less:						
Reclassification adjustment for (gain)/loss on securities included in net						
income		(1,057)	2,316		1,081	
Add:						
Tax expense on reclassification adjustments		444	(973)		(454)	
Net unrealized (loss) gain on securities	\$	165	(22,278)	\$	(8,388)	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

63

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Three Years Ended December 2006 2005 (Dollar amounts in thousa				
			,		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:					
Interest received	\$ 310,651	\$ 250,202	\$ 204,471		
			·		
Service charges and other fees received	31,426	29,779	28,526		
Interest paid	(146,355)	(71,290)	(42,967)		
Cash paid to suppliers and employees	(93,786)	(88,507)	(84,184)		
Income taxes paid	(31,050)	(31,100)	(30,196)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	70,886	89,084	75,650		
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Proceeds from sales of investment securities available-for-sale			84,777		
Proceeds from sales of MBS	57,127	126,598	,		
Proceeds from repayment of MBS	416,723	414,804	433,365		
Proceeds from repayment of investment securities available-for-sale	55	122	,		
Proceeds from maturity of investment securities	7,608	18,598	36,006		
Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale	(234,841)	(177,415)	(115,351)		
Purchases of MBS	(489,488)	(677,451)	(687,538)		
Purchases of FHLB stock	(8,096)	(077,431) $(17,205)$	(15,935)		
Net increase in loans					
	(394,603)	(449,842)	(372,431)		
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	2,253	73	4,392		
Purchase of premises and equipment	(11,617)	(11,881)	(11,376)		
Cash acquired from purchase of Granite State Bank, net of cash paid	(-)	12,232	(= 0.000)		
Purchase of Bank Owned Life Insurance	(25,000)		(50,000)		
Investment in common stock of CVB Statutory Trust III	(774)				
Other investing activities			(1,282)		
Net cash used in investing activities	(680,653)	(761,367)	(695,373)		
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Net (decrease) increase in transaction deposits	(62,038)	163,718	292,521		
Net increase (decrease) in time deposits	44,802	282,786	(77,992)		
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	850,000	370,000	500,000		
Repayment of advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	(620,000)	(106,000)	(68,000)		
Net (decrease) increase in short-term borrowings	319,711	45,980	(29,882)		
Net increase in repurchase agreements	94,350	75,700	(27,002)		
Cash dividends on common stock	•	(27.062)	(23,821)		
	(27,876)	(27,963)			
Repurchase of common stock	25 774	(12,286)	(1,992)		
Issuance of junior subordinated debentures	25,774	1.700	1.201		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	983	1,789	1,281		
Tax benefit related to exercise of stock options	331				

Edgar Filing: CVB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Net cash provided by financing activities	626,037	718,024	592,115
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	16,270	45,741	(27,608)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	130,141	84,400	112,008
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 146,411	\$ 130,141	\$ 84,400

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

64

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)

	Three Years Ended December 3					-
		2006 (Dollar	2005	2004 thousands)		
		(Donar	am	ditts iii tiiou	Sam	us)
RECONCILIATION OF NET EARNINGS TO NET CASH						
PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES:						
Net earnings	\$	71,906	\$	70,618	\$	61,486
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating						
activities:						
(Gain)/loss on sale of investment securities		(1,057)		46		(5,219)
Gain on sale of premises and equipment		(436)		34		140
Impairment charge on investment securities				2,270		6,300
Increase in cash value of life insurance		(3,051)		(2,253)		(2,432)
Net amortization of premiums on investment securities		7,061		13,195		14,302
Provisions for credit losses		3,000				
Stock-based compensation		953				
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options		(331)				
Depreciation and amortization		8,036		8,435		7,125
Change in accrued interest receivable		(7,712)		(5,471)		(2,667)
Change in accrued interest payable		1,109		6,147		3,550
Deferred tax provision		4,813		(585)		(3,537)
Change in other assets and liabilities		(13,405)		(3,352)		(3,398)
Total adjustments		(1,020)		18,466		14,164
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$	70,886	\$	89,084	\$	75,650
Supplemental Schedule of Noncash Investing and Financing Activities						
Purchase of Granite State Bank:						
Assets acquired	\$	826	\$	85,898		
Goodwill		(826)		12,777		
Intangible assets		,		8,399		
Liabilities assumed				(105,879)		
Stock issued				(13,427)		
Purchase price of acquisition, net of cash received	\$		\$	(12,232)		
Securities purchased and not settled	\$		\$	25,854	\$	

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

65

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Three Years Ended December 31, 2006

1. Summary Of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting and reporting policies of CVB Financial Corp. and subsidiaries are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and conform to practices within the banking industry. A summary of the significant accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements follows.

Principles of Consolidation The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CVB Financial Corp. (the Company) and its wholly owned subsidiaries: Citizens Business Bank (the Bank); CVB Ventures, Inc.; Chino Valley Bancorp; and ONB Bancorp after elimination of all intercompany transactions and balances. The Company is also the common stockholder of CVB Statutory Trust I, CVB Statutory Trust II and CVB Statutory Trust III. CVB Statutory Trusts I and II were created in December 2003 and CVB Statutory Trust III was created in January 2006 to issue trust preferred securities in order to raise capital for the Company. In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46R Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN No. 46R), these trusts do not meet the criteria for consolidation.

Nature of Operations The Company's primary operations are related to traditional banking activities, including the acceptance of deposits and the lending and investing of money through the operations of the Bank. The Bank also provides automobile and equipment leasing, and brokers mortgage loans to customers through its Golden West Financial Division and trust services to customers through its Financial Advisory Services Group and Business Financial Centers (branch offices). The Bank's customers consist primarily of small to mid-sized businesses and individuals located in San Bernardino County, Riverside County, Orange County, Madera County, Fresno County, Tulare County, Kern County, and the eastern portion of Los Angeles County in Southern California. The Bank operates 39 Business Financial Centers with its headquarters located in the city of Ontario.

The Company s operating business units have been combined into two main segments: Business Financial Centers and Treasury. Business Financial Centers (branches) comprise the loans, deposits, products and services the Bank offers to the majority of its customers. The other segment is Treasury Department, which manages the investment portfolio of the Company. The Company s remaining centralized functions and eliminations of inter-segment amounts have been aggregated and included in Other.

The internal reporting of the Company considers all business units. Funds are allocated to each business unit based on its need to fund assets (use of funds) or its need to invest funds (source of funds). Net income is determined based on the actual net income of the business unit plus the allocated income or expense based on the sources and uses of funds for each business unit. Non-interest income and non-interest expense are those items directly attributable to a business unit.

Investment Securities The Company classifies as held-to-maturity those debt securities that the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity. Securities classified as trading are those securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term. All other debt and equity securities are classified as available-for-sale. Securities held-to-maturity are accounted for at cost and adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts. Trading securities are accounted for at fair value with the unrealized holding gains and losses being included in current earnings. Available-for-sale securities are accounted for at fair value, with the net unrealized gains and losses, net of income tax effects, presented as a separate component of stockholders equity. At each

reporting date, available-for-sale securities are assessed to determine whether there is an other-than-temporary impairment. Such impairment, if any, is required to be recognized in current earnings rather than as a separate component of stockholders—equity. Realized gains and losses on sales of securities are recognized in earnings at the time of sale and are determined on a specific-identification basis. Purchase premiums and discounts are recognized in interest income using the interest method over the terms of the securities. For mortgage-backed securities (MBS), the amortization or accretion is based on estimated average lives of the securities. The lives of these securities can fluctuate based on the amount of prepayments received on the underlying

66

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

collateral of the securities. The Company s investment in Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock is carried at cost.

Loans and Lease Finance Receivables Loans and lease finance receivables are reported at the principal amount outstanding, less deferred net loan origination fees and the allowance for credit losses. Interest on loans and lease finance receivables is credited to income based on the principal amount outstanding. Interest income is not recognized on loans and lease finance receivables when collection of interest is deemed by management to be doubtful.

The Bank receives collateral to support loans, lease finance receivables, and commitments to extend credit for which collateral is deemed necessary. The most significant categories of collateral are real estate, principally commercial and industrial income-producing properties, real estate mortgages, and assets utilized in agribusiness.

Nonrefundable fees and direct costs associated with the origination or purchase of loans are deferred and netted against outstanding loan balances. The deferred net loan fees and costs are recognized in interest income over the loan term in a manner that approximates the level-yield method.

Provision and Allowance for Credit Losses The determination of the balance in the allowance for credit losses is based on an analysis of the loan and lease finance receivables portfolio using a systematic methodology and reflects an amount that, in management s judgment, is adequate to provide for probable credit losses inherent in the portfolio, after giving consideration to the character of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions, past credit loss experience, and such other factors as deserve current recognition in estimating inherent credit losses. The estimate is reviewed periodically by management and various regulatory entities and, as adjustments become necessary, they are reported in earnings in the periods in which they become known. The provision for credit losses is charged to expense.

A loan for which collection of principal and interest according to its original terms is not probable is considered to be impaired. The Company s policy is to record a specific valuation allowance, which is included in the allowance for credit losses, or charge off that portion of an impaired loan that exceeds its fair value. Fair value is usually based on the value of underlying collateral.

Premises and Equipment Premises and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation, which is provided for in amounts sufficient to relate the cost of depreciable assets to operations over their estimated service lives using the straight-line method. Properties under capital lease and leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of estimated economic lives of 15 years or the initial terms of the leases. Estimated lives are 3 to 5 years for computer and equipment 5 to 7 years for furniture, fixtures and equipment, and 15 to 40 years for buildings and improvements.

Other Real Estate Owned Other real estate owned represents real estate acquired through foreclosure in satisfaction of commercial and real estate loans and is stated at fair value, minus estimated costs to sell (fair value at time of foreclosure). Loan balances in excess of fair value of the real estate acquired at the date of acquisition are charged against the allowance for credit losses. Any subsequent operating expenses or income, reduction in estimated values, and gains or losses on disposition of such properties are charged to current operations.

Business Combinations, Goodwill and Intangible Assets The Company has engaged in the acquisition of financial institutions and the assumption of deposits and purchase of assets from other financial institutions in its market area. The Company has paid premiums on certain transactions, and such premiums are recorded as intangible assets, in the form of goodwill or other intangible assets. In accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, goodwill is not being amortized whereas

identifiable intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their useful lives. On an annual basis, the Company tests goodwill for impairment. The Company completed its annual impairment test as of June 30, 2006; there was no impairment of goodwill.

67

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Bank Owned Life Insurance The Bank invests in Bank-Owned Life Insurance (BOLI). BOLI involves the purchasing of life insurance by the Bank on a chosen group of employees. The Bank is the owner and beneficiary of these policies. BOLI is recorded as an asset at cash surrender value. Increases in the cash value of theses policies, as well as insurance proceeds received, are recorded in other non-interest income and are not subject to income tax.

Income Taxes Deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences in future years of the Company s differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at each year-end, based on enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income.

Earnings per Common Share Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during each year. The computation of diluted earnings per share considers the number of tax-effected shares issuable upon the assumed exercise of outstanding common stock options. Earnings per common share and stock option amounts have been retroactively restated to give effect to all stock splits and dividends. A reconciliation of the numerator and the denominator used in the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share is included in Note 14.

Statement of Cash Flows Cash and cash equivalents as reported in the statements of cash flows include cash and due from banks and federal funds sold. Cash flow from loans and deposits are reported net.

Stock Compensation Plans At December 31, 2006, the Company has three stock-based employee compensation plans, which are described more fully in Note 15.

The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS No. 123R) on January 1, 2006, using the modified prospective method. Under this method, awards that are granted, modified, or settled after December 31, 2005, are measured and accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 123R. Also under this method, unvested stock awards as of December 31, 2005 are recognized over the remaining service period with no change in historical reported earnings. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company accounted for stock compensation under the intrinsic value method permitted by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB No. 25) and related interpretations. Accordingly, the Company previously recognized no compensation cost for employee stock options that were granted with an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. The Company provided pro forma disclosure amounts in accordance with SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure (SFAS No. 148), as if the fair value method defined by SFAS No. 123 had been applied to its stock-based compensation.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company presented all tax benefits of deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. SFAS 123R requires the tax benefits resulting from deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) to be classified as financing cash flows. The Company has \$331,000 of excess tax benefit resulting from disqualified dispositions classified as financing activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2006.

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share had the Company accounted for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS 123R for the years ended December 31:

	(1	2005 Dollars in	2004 (sands)
Net income, as reported	\$	70,618	\$ 61,486
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects		1,114	1,150
Pro forma net income	\$	69,504	\$ 60,336
Earnings per share:			
Basic as reported	\$	0.84	\$ 0.74
Basic pro forma	\$	0.83	\$ 0.73
Diluted as reported	\$	0.83	\$ 0.73
Diluted pro forma	\$	0.82	\$ 0.72

Financial Advisory Services Group The Company maintains funds in trust for customers. The amount of these funds and the related liability have not been recorded in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets because they are not assets or liabilities of the Bank or Company, with the exception of any funds held on deposit with the Bank.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. A material estimate that is particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relates to the determination of the allowance for credit losses. Other significant estimates which may be subject to change include fair value disclosures, impairment of investments and goodwill, and valuation of deferred tax assets and other intangibles.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. This Interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return, and provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. This Interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently assessing the impact of the Interpretation on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure of fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements

that require or permit fair value measurements and accordingly, does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. Management is currently evaluating the effect of adoption of SFAS No. 157, but does not expect the adoption to have a material effect on the Company s consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In September 2006, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a final consensus on Issue 06-4, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements (EITF 06-4). EITF 06-4 requires that for a split-dollar life insurance arrangement, an employer should recognize a liability for future benefits in accordance with SFAS 106, Employers Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions or APB Opinion No. 12, Omnibus Opinion 1967. Under the

69

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

guidance, the purchase of an endorsement type policy does not constitute a settlement since the policy does not qualify as nonparticipating because the policyholders are subject to the favorable and unfavorable experience of the insurance company. EITF 06-4 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The Company is currently assessing the impact of the adoption of EITF 06-4 on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the EITF reached a final consensus on Issue 06-5, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance (EITF 06-05). EITF 06-5 provides guidance on FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance. Under the guidance, the policyholder should consider any additional amounts included in the contractual terms of the policy in determining the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract. In addition, the policyholder should also determine the amount that could be realized under the life insurance contract assuming the surrender of an individual-life by individual-life policy. EITF 06-5 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company does not expect the adoption of EITF 06-5 to have a material effect on the Company s consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108). SAB 108 provides guidance on how to evaluate prior period financial statement misstatements for purposes of assessing their materiality in the current period, including both the carryover and reversing effects of prior year misstatements, using both a rollover and iron curtain approach. If the prior period effect is material to the current period, then the prior period is required to be corrected. Correcting prior year financial statements would not require an amendment of prior year financial statements, but such corrections would be made the next time the company files the prior year financial statements. Upon adoption, SAB 108 allows a one-time transitional cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings for corrections of prior period misstatements required under this statement. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2006. The Company does not expect the adoption of SAB 108 to have a material effect on the Company s consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities-Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115. SFAS 159 permits an entity to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Most of the provisions of SFAS 159 are elective, however, the amendment to SFAS 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, applies to all entities with available for sale or trading securities. For financial instruments elected to be accounted for at fair value, an entity will report the unrealized gains and losses in earnings. SFAS 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity s first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. SFAS 159 was recently issued and the Company is currently assessing the financial impact this Statement will have on our financial statements.

Reclassifications Certain amounts in the prior years financial statements and related footnote disclosures have been reclassified to conform to the current-year presentation with no impact on previously reported net income or stockholders equity.

70

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Investment Securities

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities are shown below. The majority of securities held are publicly traded, and the estimated fair values were obtained from an independent pricing service.

	December 31, 2006								
			Un	Gross realized		Gross nrealized			
	A	mortized Cost		lolding Coin	I	Holding		Fair Value	Total Percent
		Cost	Gain Loss (Amounts in thousands)					i ci cent	
Investment Securities									
Available-for-Sale:									
U.S. Treasury Obligations	\$	971	\$		\$	(1)	\$	970	0.04%
Government agency &									
government-sponsored enterprises		68,679		124		(503)		68,300	2.64%
Mortgage-backed securities		1,103,664		1,793		(27,606)		1,077,851	41.73%
CMO s / REMICs		791,265		2,589		(6,584)		787,270	30.48%
Municipal bonds		638,391		12,249		(4,855)		645,785	25.00%
Other securities		2,726						2,726	0.11%
Total Investment Securities	\$	2,605,696	\$	16,755	\$	(39,549)	\$	2,582,902	100.00%

				De	cembe	r 31, 200	5		
	Amortized Cost		Unre Ho	Gross Gross Unrealized Unrealized Holding Holding Gain Loss (Amounts in thousand			nds)	Fair Value	Total Percent
Investment Securities Available-for-Sale:									
U.S. Treasury securities Government agency &	\$	498	\$		\$	(1)	\$	497	0.02%
government-sponsored enterprises		54,608		69		(588)		54,089	2.28%
Mortgage-backed securities	1,	211,869		1,974	(29,235)		1,184,608	49.99%
CMO s / REMICs		617,031		237		(7,356)		609,912	25.74%
Municipal bonds		452,080		15,818		(3,998)		463,900	19.57%
FHLMC preferred stock		56,070						56,070	2.37%
Other securities		816						816	0.03%

Total Investment Securities

\$ 2,392,972

\$ 18,098

\$ (41,178)

\$ 2,369,892

100.00%

At December 31, 2006, approximately 97% of the mortgage-backed securities and CMO/REMICs (which represent collateralized mortgage obligations and real estate mortgage investment conduits) securities are issued by U.S. government agencies that guarantee payment of principal and interest of the underlying mortgages.

Gross realized gains were \$1.73 million, \$1.38 million, and \$5.59 million for years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. Gross realized losses were \$670,000, \$1.42 million, and \$374,000 for years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

The remaining CMO/REMICs are backed by agency-pooled collateral or whole loan collateral. All non-agency CMO/REMICs issues held are rated A or better by either Standard & Poor s or Moody s, as of December 31, 2006.

71

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

					Decembe	r 31	, 2006				
	I	less than	12 M	onths	12 Months or Longer			Total			
			(Gross			Gross		(Gross	
			Un	realized		Ur	realized		Un	realized	
			H	olding		F	Iolding	Fair	Н	lolding	
		Fair									
Description of Securities		Value	Ι	Losses	Fair Value Amounts in		Losses usands)	Value]	Losses	
U.S. Treasury Obligations	\$	970	\$	1	\$	\$		\$ 970	\$	1	
Government agency & government- sponsored											
enterprises		12,040		45	41,101		458	53,141		503	
Mortgage-backed securities		74,274		388	880,162		27,218	954,436		27,606	
CMO/REMICs		53,681		241	454,693		6,343	508,374		6,584	
Municipal bonds		276,512		3,474	60,065		1,381	336,577		4,855	
	\$	417,477	\$	4,149	\$ 1,436,021	\$	35,400	\$ 1,853,498	\$	39,549	

]	Decembe	r 31	, 2005				
	Le	ss than 1	2 M	onths	12	12 Months or Longer			Total			
			Un	Gross realized Iolding		Fair	Ur	Gross realized Holding		Fair	Un	Gross realized Iolding
Description of Securities	Fair	Value]	Losses		Value nounts in		Losses ousands)		Value]	Losses
U.S. Treasury Obligations Government agency & government- sponsored	\$	497	\$	1	\$		\$		\$	497	\$	1
enterprises		2,972		28		18,463		560		21,435		588
Mortgage-backed securities	4	459,242		8,385		634,731		20,850		1,093,973		29,235
CMO/REMICs	4	444,431		5,198		119,603		2,158		564,034		7,356
Municipal bonds		162,193		3,624		8,737		374		170,930		3,998
	\$ 1,	069,335	\$	17,236	\$	781,534	\$	23,942	\$	1,850,869	\$	41,178

The tables above show the Company s investment securities gross unrealized losses and fair value by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at December 31, 2006 and 2005. The Company has reviewed individual securities classified as available-for-sale to

determine whether a decline in fair value below the amortized cost basis is other-than-temporary. If it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of a debt security not impaired at acquisition, an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred. If an other-than-temporary impairment occurs, the cost basis of the security would be written down to its fair value as a new cost basis and the write down accounted for as a realized loss.

The following summarizes our analysis of these securities and the unrealized losses. This assessment was based on the following factors: i) the length of the time and the extent to which the market value has been less than cost; ii) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer; iii) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in a security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value; and iv) general market conditions which reflect prospects for the economy as a whole, including interest rates and sector credit spreads.

U.S. Treasury Obligations and Government Agency & Government-Sponsored Enterprises (GSE) The U.S. Treasury Obligations and Government-Agency securities are backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Treasury and Agencies of the U.S. Government. All GSE debt is sponsored by the federal government. Debt

72

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

securities issued by GSEs are considered to be of high credit quality. The senior debt of the GSEs is rated AAA/Aaa. These securities are bullet securities, that is, they have a defined maturity date on which the principal is paid. The contractual term of these investments provides that the Bank will receive the face value of the bond at maturity which will equal the amortized cost of the bond. Interest is received throughout the life of the security. The unrealized loss greater than 12 months of \$457,000 is comprised of ten issues: three Fannie Mae, four Freddie Mac and three Federal Home Loan Bank securities. These securities have maturities from 1.5 months to 3.9 years. The agency securities are rated A s. Because the decline in market value is attributable to the changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and the Bank has the ability and intent to hold these investments until recovery of fair value, which may be at maturity, the Bank considers these securities only temporarily impaired, the Bank does not consider these investments to be classified other-than-temporarily impaired (as defined by EITF 03-1) at December 31, 2006.

Mortgage-Backed Securities and CMO/REMICs The mortgage-backed and CMO/REMICs securities are issued and guaranteed by the government sponsored enterprise such as Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. These securities are collateralized or backed by the underlying mortgages. All mortgage-backed securities are rated AAA with average life from 0.61 years to 6.61 years. The contractual cash flows of these investments are guaranteed by agencies of the U.S. government or private insurance companies. Accordingly, it is expected the securities would not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost of the bond. The unrealized loss greater then 12 months on these securities at December 31, 2006 is \$33.6 million. This loss is comprised of three main blocks of securities: FNMA s with a loss of \$17.2 million, Freddie Mac with a loss of \$14.7 million, Ginnie Mae with a loss of \$184,000 and non government sponsored enterprises such a financial institution with a loss of \$1.4 million. This loss is caused by the increase in interest rates over the last 2.5 years. Because the decline in market value is attributable to the changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and the Bank has the ability and intent to hold these securities until recovery of fair value, which may be at maturity, the Bank considers these securities only temporarily impaired, the Bank does not consider these investments to be classified other-than-temporarily impaired (as defined by EITF 03-1) at December 31, 2006.

Municipal Bonds The municipal bonds in the Bank s portfolio are all rated AAA and they are insured by the largest bond insurance companies with maturities from 1 month to 19 years. The unrealized loss greater than 12 months on these securities at December 31, 2006 is \$1.4 million. As with the other securities in the portfolio, this loss is due to the rising rate environment not the credit risk of these securities. The Bank diversifies its holdings by owning selections of securities from different issuers and by holding securities from geographically diversified municipal issuers, thus reducing the Bank s exposure to any single adverse event. Because the decline in market value is attributable to the changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and the Bank has the ability and intent to hold these securities until recovery of fair value, which may be at maturity, the Bank considers these securities only temporarily impaired, the Bank does not consider these investments to be classified other-than-temporarily impaired (as defined by EITF 03-1) at December 31, 2006.

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, investment securities having an amortized cost of approximately \$2.44 billion and \$2.04 billion, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits, short and long-term borrowings, and for other purposes as required or permitted by law.

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities at December 31, 2006, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Although mortgage-backed securities and CMO/REMICs have contractual maturities through 2027, expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to prepay such obligations without penalty. Mortgage-backed securities and CMO/REMICs are included in maturity categories based upon

estimated prepayment speeds.

73

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	Available-for-sale						
	Amortized Cost Fair Value			Weighted- Average			
	Cost	Yield ds)					
		(1111041	ıts in thousan	(4.5)			
Due in one year or less	\$ 33,6	09 \$	33,509	3.22%			
Due after one year through five years	1,917,3	14	1,896,550	4.66%			
Due after five years through ten years	407,9	10	407,926	4.74%			
Due after ten years	244,1	37	242,191	4.13%			
	\$ 2,602,9	70 \$	2,580,176	4.61%			

The above table excludes securities without stated maturities.

3. Loan and Lease Finance Receivables

The following is a summary of the components of loan and lease finance receivables at December 31:

	2006 (Amounts in			
Commercial and Industrial	\$ 1,050,189	\$ 980,602		
Real Estate:				
Construction	299,112	270,436		
Mortgage	1,141,322	877,481		
Consumer	54,125	59,801		
Municipal lease finance receivables	126,393	108,832		
Auto and equipment leases	51,420	39,442		
Dairy and Livestock	358,259	338,035		
Gross Loans Less:	3,080,820	2,674,629		
Allowance for credit losses	(27,737)	(23,204)		
Deferred net loan fees	(10,624)	(10,765)		
Net Loans	\$ 3,042,459	\$ 2,640,660		

At December 31, 2006, the Company held approximately \$1.2 billion of fixed rate loans. These fixed rate loans bear interest at rates ranging from 3 to 12 percent and have contractual maturities between 1 and 30 years. Substantially all of the Company s real estate loans are secured by real properties located in California. Declines in the California

economy and in real estate values could have a significant effect on the collectibility of the Company s loans and on the level of allowance for loan losses required.

4. Transactions Involving Directors and Shareholders

In the ordinary course of business, the Bank has granted loans to certain directors, executive officers, and the businesses with which they are associated. All such loans and commitments to lend were made under terms that are consistent with the Bank s normal lending policies. All related party loans were current as to principal and interest at December 31, 2006 and 2005.

74

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following is an analysis of the activity of all such loans:

	s of Decemb 006 (Amounts thousands	2005 in	
Outstanding balance, beginning of year Credit granted, including renewals Repayments	7,303 \$ 3,128 1,552)	5,251 3,930 (1,878)	
Outstanding balance, end of year	\$ 8,879 \$	7,303	

5. Allowance for Credit Losses and Other Real Estate Owned

Activity in the allowance for credit losses was as follows:

	2006 2005 200 (Amounts in thousands)						
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 23,204	\$ 22,494	\$ 21,282				
Provision charged to operations	3,000						
Acquisition of Granite State Bank		756					
Loans charged off	(200)	(1,380)	(2,320)				
Recoveries on loans previously charged off	1,733	1,334	3,532				
Balance, end of year	\$ 27,737	\$ 23,204	\$ 22,494				

The allowance for off-balance sheet credit exposure relates to commitments to extend credit, letters of credit and undisbursed funds on lines of credit. The Company evaluates credit risk associated with the loan and lease portfolio at the same time it evaluates credit risk associated with the off-balance sheet commitments.

The Bank measures an impaired loan by using the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the calculated measurement of an impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, a portion of the Bank s general reserve is allocated as an impairment reserve.

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Bank had no loans classified as impaired. The average recorded investment in impaired loans during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 was approximately \$177,000, \$3,000, and \$744,000, respectively. No interest income was recognized, based on cash receipts, on impaired loans during the years

ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. Interest income of \$1,000 was recognized during the year ended December 31, 2004.

The accrual of interest on impaired loans is discontinued when the loan becomes 90 days past due, or when the full collection of principal and interest is in doubt. When an asset is placed on nonaccrual status, previously accrued but unpaid interest is reversed against income. Subsequent collections of cash may be applied as reductions to the principal balance, or recorded as income, depending on management s assessment of the ultimate collectibility of the asset. Nonaccrual assets may be restored to accrual status when principal and interest become current and full payment of principal and interest is expected. For 2006 and 2005, there were no non-performing or non-accrual loans.

The Company has no other real estate owned or allowance for other real estate owned losses at December 31, 2006 or 2005. There were no expenses incurred in 2006, 2005, and 2004 related to holding and disposition of OREO.

75

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

6. Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment consist of:

	As of December 31,				
	2006			2005	
	(A	Amounts in	thou	usands)	
Land	\$	7,231	\$	8,263	
Bank premises		38,371		29,084	
Furniture and equipment		39,636		42,169	
Leased property under capital lease		649		649	
		85,887		80,165	
Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(40,924)		(40,145)	
	\$	44,963	\$	40,020	

In 2006, the Bank sold its old data center building and recorded a gain of \$494,000. The cost of the new data center was \$7.05 million

7. Income Taxes

Income tax expense consists of the following:

	For the Years Ended December 31, 2006 2005 2004 (Amounts in thousands)						
Current provision: Federal State	\$ 17,402 9,509 26,911	\$ 25,874 11,057 36,931	\$ 21,707 9,714 31,421				
Deferred provision(benefit): Federal State	3,701 1,112	(585)	(2,759) (778)				
	4,813	(585)	(3,537)				

\$ 31,724 \$ 36,346 \$ 27,884

76

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Income tax asset (liability) consists of the following:

		December 31,		
	2006 (Amour thousa			
Current:				
Federal	\$,	\$	-
State		(2,432)		(1,850)
		6,976		872
Deferred:				
Federal		10,771		14,572
State		2,716		3,848
		13,487		18,420
	\$	20,463	\$	19,292
The components of the net deferred tax (liability) asset are as follows:				

	December 31,			
	2006	2005		
	(Amounts in	thousands)		
Federal				
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Depreciation	\$ 5,243	\$ 2,704		
Other Intangibles	158	199		
Intangible Western Security Bank	596	875		
Intangible Kaweah National Bank	1,122	1,339		
Intangible Granite State Bank	2,322	2,381		
Leases	56	38		
Deferred income	7,242	5,222		
Other, net	197	284		
Gross deferred tax liability	16,936	13,042		
Deferred tax assets:				

Edgar Filing: CVB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

California franchise tax	2,928	3,103
Bad debt and credit loss deduction	10,288	8,684
Net operating loss carryforward	1,470	1,587
Deferred compensation	2,756	3,162
Other-than-temporary impaired securities		3,000
Unrealized loss on investment securities, net	7,978	8,078
Capital loss carryforward	2,287	
Gross deferred tax asset	27,707	27,614
Net deferred tax (liability) asset federal	\$ 10,771	\$ 14,572

77

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	December 31, 2006 2005 (Amounts in thousands)				
State Deferred tax liabilities: Depreciation Other Intangibles Intangibles Western Security Bank Intangibles Kaweah National Bank Intangibles Granite State Bank	\$ 736 49 184 347 719	\$ 61 271 415 780			
Leases Deferred income Other, net Gross deferred tax liability	16 2,244 56 4,351	6 1,618 88 3,239			
Deferred tax assets: Depreciation Bad debt and credit loss deduction Net operating loss carryforward Deferred compensation Other-than-temporary impaired securities Unrealized loss on investment securities, net	3,195 793 775 1,596	5 2,707 793 1,037 929 1,616			
Capital loss carryforward Gross deferred tax asset Net deferred tax (liability) asset state	708 7,067 \$ 2,716	7,087			

A reconciliation of the statutory income tax rate to the consolidated effective income tax rate follows:

	For Years Ended December 31, 2006 2005 2004									М		
				Percent Amoun						mount	Percent	
Federal income tax at statutory rate State franchise taxes, net of federal	\$	36,271	3:	5.0%	\$	37,437	3	35.0%	\$	31,280	35.0%	
benefit		7,358	,	7.1%		7,595		7.1%		6,345	7.1%	

Edgar Filing: CVB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

	0.0%		0.0%
\$ 36,346	34.0%	\$ 27,884	31.2%
	Ψ 30,3π0	φ 30,3 1 0 31.0 //	φ 30,340 - 34.070 - φ 27,004

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. Deposits

The composition of deposits is as follows:

	December 31, 2006 December 31, 20 (Amounts in thousands)						
Non-interest bearing deposits							
Demand deposits	\$ 1,363,411	40.0%	\$ 1,490,613	43.5%			
Interest bearing deposits							
Savings Deposits	1,215,419	35.7%	1,150,256	33.6%			
Time deposits	827,978	24.3%	783,176	22.9%			
Total deposits	\$ 3,406,808	100.0%	\$ 3,424,045	100.0%			

Time certificates of deposit with balances of \$100,000 or more amounted to approximately \$733.7 million and \$591.0 million at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Interest expense on such deposits amounted to approximately \$31.6 million (2006), \$11.1 million (2005), and \$4.8 million (2004).

At December 31, 2006, the scheduled maturities of time certificates of deposit are as follows (000 s omitted):

2007	\$ 796,569
2008	17,439
2009	972
2010	9,332
2011 and thereafter	3,666
	\$ 827,978

At December 31, 2006, the Company had a single depositor with certificates of deposit balances of approximately \$140.9 million.

The Company has \$0 and \$95.4 million of brokered certificates of deposits with the individual balances of under \$100,000 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

9. Borrowings

During 2006 and 2005, the Bank entered into short-term borrowing agreements with the FHLB. The Bank had outstanding balances of \$887.9 million and \$830.0 million under these agreements at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, with weighted-average interest rates of 4.28% and 3.35%, respectively. FHLB held certain investment

securities of the Bank as collateral for those borrowings. The average outstanding balance of short-term borrowings for 2006 and 2005 was \$1.3 billion and \$778.1 million, respectively. The maximum outstanding at any month-end was \$1.7 billion during 2006 and \$830.0 million during 2005. On December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Bank entered into an overnight agreements with certain financial institutions and customers to borrow an aggregate of \$301.4 million and \$86.0 million, respectively, at a weighted average annual interest rate of 5.08% and 3.21%, respectively. The Bank maintained cash deposits with the financial institutions as collateral for these borrowings.

In June 2006, the Company purchased securities totaling \$250.0 million. This purchase was funded by a repurchase agreement of \$250.0 million with a double cap embedded in the repurchase agreement. The interest rate on this agreement is tied to three-month LIBOR and reset quarterly. The Company entered into this arrangement to protect itself from continued rising rates while benefiting from declining rates. The amount of the repurchase agreement is carried in borrowed funds on the balance sheet. In November 2006, we began a repurchase agreement product with our customers. This product, known as Citizens Sweep Manager, sells our securities overnight to our customers under an agreement to repurchase them the next day. As of December 31, 2006, total funds borrowed

79

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

under these agreements were \$94.4 million. These amounts are included in short-term borrowings on the Company s consolidated balance sheet.

The Bank entered into an agreement, known as the Treasury Tax & Loan (TT&L) Note Option Program, in 1996 with the Federal Reserve Bank and the U.S. Department of the Treasury in which federal tax deposits made by depositors can be held by the Bank until called (withdrawn) by the U.S. Department of the Treasury. The maximum amount of accumulated federal tax deposits allowable to be held by the Bank, as set forth in the agreement, is \$15.0 million. On December 31, 2006 and 2005, the amounts held by the Bank in the TT&L Note Option Program were \$7.2 million and \$6.4 million respectively, collateralized by securities. Amounts are payable on demand. The Bank borrows at a variable rate of 75 and 43 basis points less than the average weekly federal funds rate, which was 5.08% and 3.21% at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The average amounts held in 2006 and 2005 were \$3.9 million and \$4.1 million, respectively.

During 2006 and 2005, the Bank entered into long-term borrowing agreements with the FHLB. The Bank had outstanding balances of \$950.0 million and \$580.0 million under these agreements at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, with weighted-average interest rates of 5.26% and 3.62% in 2006 and 2005 respectively. FHLB held certain investment securities of the Bank as collateral for those borrowings. The maturity dates of the outstanding balances at December 31, 2006 are as follows: \$850.0 million in 2008 and \$100.0 million in 2011.

10. Junior Subordinated Debentures

On December 17, 2003, CVB Statutory Trust I completed a \$40,000,000 offering of Trust Preferred Securities and used the gross proceeds from the offering and other cash totaling \$41,238,000 to purchase a like amount of junior subordinated debenture of the Company. The junior subordinated debenture was issued concurrent with the issuance of the Trust Preferred Securities. The interest on junior subordinated debenture, paid by the Company to CVB Statutory Trust I, represent the sole revenues of CVB Statutory Trust I and the sole source of dividend distribution to the holders of the Trust Preferred Securities. The Company has fully and conditionally guaranteed all of CVB Statutory Trust I s obligations under the Trust Preferred Securities. The Company has the right, assuming no default has occurred, to defer payments of interest on the junior subordinated debenture at any time for a period not to exceed 20 consecutive quarters. The Trust Preferred Securities will mature on December 17, 2033, but become callable in part or in total on December 17, 2008 by CVB Statutory Trust I. The Trust Preferred Securities have a fixed interest rate of 6.51% during the first five years, after which the interest rate will float and reset quarterly at the three-month Libor rate plus 2.85%.

On December 15, 2003, CVB Statutory Trust II completed a \$40,000,000 offering of Trust Preferred Securities and used the gross proceeds from the offering and other cash totaling \$41,238,000 to purchase a like amount of junior subordinated debenture of the Company. The junior subordinated debenture was issued concurrent with the issuance of the Trust Preferred Securities. The interest on junior subordinated debenture, paid by the Company to CVB Statutory Trust II, represent the sole revenues of CVB Statutory Trust II and the sole source of dividend distribution to the holders of the Trust Preferred Securities. The Company has fully and conditionally guaranteed all of CVB Statutory Trust II s obligations under Trust Preferred Securities. The Company has the right, assuming no default has occurred, to defer payments of interest on the junior subordinated debenture at any time for a period not to exceed 20 consecutive quarters. The Trust Preferred Securities will mature on December 15, 2033, but become callable in part or in total on December 15, 2008 by CVB Statutory Trust II. The Trust Preferred Securities have a fixed interest rate of

6.46% during the first five years, after which the interest rate will float and reset quarterly at the three-month Libor rate plus 2.85%.

On January 31, 2006, CVB Statutory Trust III completed a \$25,000,000 offering of Trust Preferred Securities and used the gross proceeds from the offering and other cash totaling \$25,744,000 to purchase a like amount of junior subordinated debenture of the Company. The junior subordinated debenture was issued concurrent with the issuance of the Trust Preferred Securities. The interest on junior subordinated debenture, paid by the Company to CVB Statutory Trust III, represent the sole revenues of CVB Statutory Trust III and the sole source of dividend

80

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

distribution to the holders of the Trust Preferred Securities. The Company has fully and conditionally guaranteed all of CVB Statutory Trust III s obligations under the Trust Preferred Securities. The Company has the right, assuming no default has occurred, to defer payments of interest on the junior subordinated debenture at any time for a period not to exceed 20 consecutive quarters. The Trust Preferred Securities will mature on March 15, 2036, but become callable in part or in total on March 15, 2011 by CVB Statutory Trust III. The Trust Preferred Securities have a variable per annum rate equal to LIBOR (as defined in the indenture dated as of January 31, 2006 (Indenture) between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, as debenture trustee) plus 1.38% (the Variable Rate). As of December 31, 2006, the six-month LIBOR was 5.37%.

11. Commitments and Contingencies

Leases

The Company leases land and buildings under operating leases for varying periods extending to 2020, at which time the Company can exercise options that could extend certain leases through 2026. The future minimum annual rental payments required for leases that have initial or remaining noncancelable lease terms in excess of one year as of December 31, 2006, excluding property taxes and insurance, are as follows (000 s omitted):

2007	\$ 4,580
2008	3,747
2009	2,556
2010	1,816
2011	1,169
Succeeding years	4,621
Total minimum payments required	\$ 18,489

Total rental expense for the Company was approximately \$4.2 million (2006), \$4.0 million (2005), and \$3.4 million (2004).

Commitments

At December 31, 2006, the Company had commitments to extend credit of approximately \$680.6 million and obligations under letters of credit of \$64.8 million. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to customers, provided there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Commitments are generally variable rate, and many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon. As such, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank uses the same credit underwriting policies in granting or accepting such commitments or contingent obligations as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments, which consist of evaluating customers creditworthiness individually.

Standby letters of credit written are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the financial performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. When deemed necessary, the Bank holds appropriate collateral supporting those commitments. Management does not anticipate any material losses as a result of these transactions.

The Bank has available lines of credit totaling \$1.31 billion from certain financial institutions of which \$978.0 million were secured by pledged securities and loans.

81

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Shareholder Rights Plan

In 2000, the Company adopted a shareholder rights plan designed to maximize long-term value and to protect shareholders from improper takeover tactics and takeover bids which are not fair to all shareholders. In accordance with the plan, preferred share purchase rights were distributed as a dividend at the rate of one right to purchase one one-thousandth of a share of our Series A Participating Preferred Stock at an exercise price of \$50.00 (subject to adjustment) upon the occurrence of certain triggering events.

The rights become exercisable, and will begin to trade separately from the Common Stock of the Company, upon the earlier of (i) 10 days following a public announcement that a person or group of affiliated persons has acquired, or obtained the right to acquire, beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the outstanding Common Stock or (ii) ten business days (or such later day as determined by the Board) after a person or group announces a tender offer or exchange offer, the consummation of which would result in ownership by a person or group of 20% or more of our Common Stock. Each right will entitle the holder to purchase Common Stock of the Company having a current market value of twice the exercise price of the right. If the Company is acquired through a merger or other business combination transaction, or if there is a sale of more than 50% of our assets or earning power, each right will entitle the holder (other than rights held by the acquiring person) to purchase, at the exercise price, common stock of the acquiring entity having a value of twice the exercise price at the time.

The Company s Board of Directors has the option, at any time after a person becomes a 20% holder of our outstanding common stock, to exchange all or part of the rights (other than rights held by the acquiring person) for shares of common stock of the Company provided the Company may not make such an exchange after the person becomes the beneficial owner of 50% or more of our outstanding stock.

The Company may redeem the rights for \$.01 each at any time on, or prior to, public announcement that a person has become the beneficial owner of 20% or more of our common stock. The rights will expire on June 21, 2010, unless earlier redeemed or exchanged.

Other Contingencies

In the ordinary course of business, the Company becomes involved in litigation. Based upon the Company s internal records and discussions with legal counsel, the Company records reserves for estimates of the probable outcome of all cases brought against them.

12. Deferred Compensation Plans

As a result of the acquisition of Citizens Commercial Trust and Savings Bank of Pasadena (CCT&SB) in 1996, the Bank assumed deferred compensation and salary continuation agreements with several former employees of CCT&SB. These agreements call for periodic payments at the retirement of such employees who have normal retirement dates through 2021. In connection with these agreements, the Bank assumed life insurance policies, which it intends to use to fund the related liability. Benefits paid to retirees amounted to approximately \$106,000 in 2006, \$108,000 in 2005, and \$109,000 in 2004.

The Bank also assumed a death benefit program for certain former employees of CCT&SB, under which the Bank will provide benefits to the former employees beneficiaries: 1) in the event of death while employed by the Bank; 2) after termination of employment for total and permanent disability; 3) after retirement, if retirement occurs after age 65. Amounts are to be paid to the former employees beneficiaries over a 10-year period in equal installments. Further, the Bank assumed life insurance policies to fund any future liability related to this program. Amounts paid for the benefit of retirees totaled approximately \$87,000 in 2006, \$135,000 in 2005, and \$170,000 in each of 2004.

The Company assumed certain deferred compensation and salary continuation agreements as a result of the merger with Orange National Bancorp (ONB) in 1999. These agreements called for periodic payments over

82

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

180 months in the event that ONB experienced a merger, acquisition, or other act wherein the employees were not retained in similar positions with the surviving company. Amounts paid under these agreements totaled approximately \$60,000 in each of 2006, 2005, and 2004.

The Company assumed certain deferred compensation and salary continuation agreements as a result of the merger with Western Security Bank (WSB) in 2002. These agreements called for periodic payments over 180 months in the event that WSB experienced a merger, acquisition, or other act wherein the employees were not retained in similar positions with the surviving company. Amounts paid under these agreements totaled approximately \$498,000 in each of 2006, 2005 and 2004.

In 2003, the acquired Kaweah National Bank (KNB) had severance arrangements with several of its officers should they not retain a similar position upon a change of control. These monies totaling \$879,000 were paid into a Rabbi Trust by KNB prior to the closing of the acquisition. Amounts paid under these agreements totaled approximately \$48,750 in 2006.

In February 2006, the acquired Granite State Bank (GSB) had a severance arrangement with an officer should he not retain a similar position upon a change of control. The total of \$1.2 million was paid into a Rabbi Trust by GSB prior to the closing of the acquisition. No amount was paid under this agreement in 2006.

The total expense recorded under these deferred compensation agreements was \$349,000 in 2006, \$462,000 in 2005, and \$873,000 in 2004.

On December 22, 2006, the Company approved a deferred compensation plan for its President and Chief Executive Officer, Christopher D. Myers. Under the Plan, which shall become effective on January 1, 2007, Mr. Myers may defer up to 75% of his base salary and up to 100% of his bonus for each calendar year in which the Plan is effective. The Company has the discretion to make additional contributions to the Plan for the benefit of Mr. Myers.

13. 401(k) and Profit-Sharing Plan

The Bank sponsors a 401(k) and profit-sharing plan for the benefit of its employees. Employees are eligible to participate in the plan after 12 months of consecutive service, provided they have completed 1,000 service hours in the plan year. Employees may make contributions to the plan under the plan s 401(k) component. The Bank contributes 3%, non-matching, to the plan to comply with ERISA s safe harbor provisions. The Bank may make additional contributions under the plan s profit-sharing component, subject to certain limitations. The Bank s total contributions are determined by the Board of Directors and amounted to approximately \$2.7 million in 2006, \$2.6 million in 2005 and \$2.5 million in 2004.

14. Earnings Per Share Reconciliation

Weighted
Average
Income Shares Per Share
(Numerator) (Denominator) Amount

(Amount and share in thousands, except per share amount)

2006 Basic EPS				
Income available to common stockholders	\$	71,906	84,154	\$ 0.85
Effect of Dilutive Securities				
Incremental shares from assumed exercise of outstanding options	}		660	0.00
Diluted EPS Income available to common stockholders	\$	71,906	84,814	\$ 0.85
83				

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	Weighted Average Income Shares (Numerator) (Denominator)				r Share mount				
	(Amount and share in thousands, except per share amount)								
2005 Basic EPS Income available to common stockholders Effect of Dilutive Securities Incremental shares from assumed exercise of outstanding options	\$	70,618	84,139 773	\$	0.84 (0.01)				
Diluted EPS Income available to common stockholders	\$	70,618	84,912	\$	0.83				
2004 Basic EPS Income available to common stockholders Effect of Dilutive Securities Incremental shares from assumed exercise of outstanding options	\$	61,486	83,221 1,038	\$	0.74 (0.01)				
Diluted EPS Income available to common stockholders	\$	61,486	84,259	\$	0.73				

15. Stock Option Plans and Restricted Stock Grants

In May 2000, the Company approved a stock option plan that authorizes the issuance of up to 6,499,024 shares (all share amounts have been adjusted to reflect stock dividends and splits) of our stock, and expires in March 2010. The Company also has a stock option plan approved in 1991 that authorized the issuance of up to 3,882,209 shares and expired in February 2001. The stock option plans were established to help the Company retain and motivate key employees and to compensate outside directors for their service to the Company. Under both plans option prices are determined at the fair market value of such shares on the date of grant; those options generally vest based on 5 years of continuous service, which is the requisite service period, and have 10-year contractual terms.

As a result of adopting SFAS 123R on January 1, 2006, the Company expensed \$953,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006. This had the effect of reducing net income by \$760,000 compared with the income that would have been recorded had the Company continued to account for stock-based compensation under APB Opinion No. 25. As a result, basic earnings per share decreased by one cent per share.

The estimated fair value of the options granted during 2006 and prior years was calculated using the Black-Scholes options pricing model. There were 604,946, 141,625, and 643,075 options granted during 2006, 2005, and 2004

respectively. The fair value of each stock option granted in 2006, 2005 and 2004 was estimated on the date of grant using the following weighted-average assumptions:

		2006	2005	2004
Dividend Yield		2.2%	1.8%	1.8%
Volatility		40.0%	40.4%	36.2%
Risk-free interest rate		5.1%	4.4%	3.6%
Expected life		7.4 years	6.9 years	7.3 years
Fair Value	9	5.67	\$ 5.54	\$ 4.17
	84			

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The expected volatility is solely based on the daily historical stock price volatility over the expected option life. The expected life of options granted is derived from the output of the option valuation model and represents the period of time an optionee will hold an option before exercising it. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury five-year constant maturity yield curve in effect at the time of the grant.

Option activity under the Company s stock option plan as of December 31, 2006 and changes for the year ended December 31, 2006 were as follows:

Options	Number of Stock Options Outstanding (000)	A: Ex	eighted verage xercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in Years)	In	gregate trinsic Value \$000)
Outstanding at January 1, 2006 Granted Exercised Forfeited or expired	2,060 605 (154) (113)	\$ \$ \$	8.50 14.00 6.40 11.03			
Outstanding at December 31, 2006 Vested or expected to vest at December 31, 2006	2,398 1,273	\$	9.91 7.25	6.14 4.23	\$ \$	8,471 7,510
Exercisable at December 31, 2006	1,331	\$	7.25	4.23	\$	7,888

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted was \$5.67, \$5.54 and \$4.17 for 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the year ended 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$1.2 million, \$7.0 million and \$6.49 million, respectively. SFAS 123R requires an estimate of forfeitures be used in the calculation. The Company estimates its forfeiture rates based on its historical experience. The forfeiture rate for 2006 was 4.37%.

As of December 31, 2006, there was \$3.7 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested options granted under the Plan. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 4.2 years. The total fair value of options vested was \$1.1 million during 2006 and 2005 and \$567,000 during 2004.

As of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company had 3,970,618, 4,463,003, and 4,575,797 shares of common stock, respectively, available for granting of future options under the 2000 Stock Option Plan.

At December 31, 2006, options for the purchase of 2,398,359 shares of Company common stock were outstanding under the above plans, of which options to purchase 1,331,104 shares were exercisable at prices ranging from \$1.55 to

\$15.53; 3,970,618 shares of common stock were available for the granting of future options under the May 2000 plan.

On August 1, 2006, we granted 50,000 (55,000 after the 10% stock dividend) shares of restricted stock at \$13.02 per share to our new President and Chief Executive Officer, Christopher D. Myers. The fair values of nonvested shares is determined based on the closing trading price of the Company s stock on the grant date. The stock will vest, in equal installments, over a five-year period. As of December 31, 2006, no shares were vested. Compensation cost is recognized over the requisite service period, which is five years, and amounted to \$60,000 during the year ended December 31, 2006. Total unrecognized compensation cost related to shares was \$656,000 at December 31, 2006.

85

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

A summary of the status of the Company s nonvested shares as of December 31, 2006 and changes during the year ended December 31, 2006, is presented below:

Nonvested Shares	Shares (000)	2006 Weighted Average Fair Value
Nonvested at January 1, Granted Vested Forfeited	55	\$ \$ 13.02 \$ \$
Nonvested at December 31,	55	\$ 13.02

All per share prices and number of shares have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the 10% stock dividend declared December 20, 2006 and paid January 19, 2007, the 5-for-4 stock split declared on December 21, 2005, which became effective January 10, 2006, and the 5-for-4 stock split declared December 15, 2004, which became effective December 29, 2004.

The Company has a policy of issuing new shares to satisfy share option exercises.

16. Regulatory Matters

The Company (on a consolidated basis) and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking regulatory agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory—and possibly additional discretionary—actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct, material effect on the Company—s and the Bank—s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgment by the regulators about components, risk-weightings, and other factors. Prompt corrective action provisions are not applicable to bank holding companies.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier I capital (primarily common stock and retained earnings, less goodwill) to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier I capital to average assets. Management believes that, as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, the most recent notifications from the FDIC categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based, and Tier I leverage (tangible Tier I capital divided by average total assets) ratios as set forth in the table below must be maintained. There are no conditions or events since said notification that management believes have changed the Bank s category.

86

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

To Re Well

The Company has issued \$108.3 million of trust-preferred securities, which are included in Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes. The actual amount and capital ratios of the Company and the Bank at December 31 are as follows:

								To Be Capitalize	
					For Caj			-	
	Actual				Adequa Purpos	•		Prompt Co Action Pro	
	1	Amount	t		Amount			Amount	
		(000s)	Ratio		(000s)	Ratio		(000s)	Ratio
As of December 31, 2006:									
Total Capital (to Risk- Weighted									
Assets) Company	\$	499,430	13.1%	\$	306,164	≥8.0%			N/A
Bank	\$	452,416	11.8%	\$	305,686	≥8.0%	\$	382,108	≥10.0%
Tier I Capital (to Risk-Weighted									
Assets) Company	\$	469,960	12.3%	\$	153,081	≥4.0%			N/A
Bank	\$	422,946	11.1%	\$	152,826	≥4.0%	\$	229,239	≥6.0%
Tier I Capital (to Average-Assets)									
Company	\$	469,960	7.8%	\$	240,389	≥4.0%			N/A
Bank	\$	422,946	7.1%	\$	239,969	≥4.0%	\$	299,962	≥5.0%
As of December 31, 2005:									
Total Capital (to Risk- Weighted									
Assets) Company	\$	419,554	12.0%	\$	279,702	≥8.0%			N/A
Bank	\$	402,464	11.5%	\$	279,245	≥8.0%	\$	349,058	≥10.0%
Tier I Capital (to Risk-Weighted									
Assets) Company	\$	394,617	11.3%	\$	139,811	≥4.0%			N/A
Bank	\$	377,527	10.8%	\$	139,566	≥4.0%	\$	209,350	≥6.0%
Tier I Capital (to Average-Assets)									
Company	\$	394,617	7.7%	\$	206,066	≥4.0%			N/A
Bank	\$	377,527	7.3%	\$	205,737	≥4.0%	\$	257,171	≥5.0%

In addition, California Banking Law limits the amount of dividends a bank can pay without obtaining prior approval from bank regulators. Under this law, the Bank could, as of December 31, 2006, declare and pay additional dividends of approximately \$128,454,000.

Banking regulations require that all banks maintain a percentage of their deposits as reserves at the Federal Reserve Board (FRB). On December 31, 2006, this reserve requirement was approximately \$200,000.

87

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

17. Fair Value Information

The following disclosure of the estimated fair value of financial instruments is made in accordance with the requirements of SFAS No. 107, Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments. The estimated fair value amounts have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is required to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented below are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could have realized in a current market exchange as of December 31, 2006 and 2005. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

	2006					2005				
	(Carrying	I	Estimated		Carrying	Estimated			
	Amount			'air Value		Amount	Fair Valu			
		(Amounts in thousand								
Assets										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	146,411	\$	146,411	\$	130,141	\$	130,141		
Interest-bearing balances due from depository										
institutions						1,883		1,883		
FHLB Stock		78,866		78,866		70,770		70,770		
Investment securities available for sale		2,582,902		2,582,902		2,369,892		2,369,892		
Loans and lease finance receivables, net		3,042,459		3,041,813		2,640,660		2,648,921		
Accrued interest receivable		30,225		30,225		24,147		24,147		
Liabilities										
Deposits:										
Noninterest-bearing	\$	1,363,411	\$	1,363,411	\$	1,490,613	\$	1,490,613		
Interest-bearing		2,043,397		2,041,416		1,933,433		1,930,887		
Demand note to U.S. Treasury		7,245		7,245		6,433		6,433		
Short-term borrowings		1,189,250		1,189,250		916,000		916,000		
Long-term borrowings		950,000		947,849		580,000		569,396		
Junior subordinated debentures		108,250		132,293		82,476		74,593		
Accrued interest payable		16,156		16,156		15,047		15,047		
Funds due on security purchase						25,854		25,854		

The methods and assumptions used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value are explained below:

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents is considered to be a reasonable estimate of fair value. For investment securities, fair values are based on quoted market prices, dealer quotes, and prices obtained from an independent pricing service.

The carrying amount of loans and lease finance receivables is their contractual amounts outstanding, reduced by deferred net loan origination fees and the allocable portion of the allowance for credit losses. Variable rate loans are composed primarily of loans whose interest rates float with changes in the prime interest rate. The carrying amount of variable rate loans, other than such loans on nonaccrual status, is considered to be their estimated fair value.

The fair value of fixed rate loans, other than such loans on nonaccrual status, was estimated by discounting the remaining contractual cash flows using the estimated current rate at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit risk characteristics and for the same remaining maturities, reduced by deferred net loan origination fees and the allocable portion of the allowance for credit losses. Accordingly, in determining the

88

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

estimated current rate for discounting purposes, no adjustment has been made for any change in borrowers credit risks since the origination of such loans. Rather, the allocable portion of the allowance for credit losses is considered to provide for such changes in estimating fair value.

The fair value of loans on nonaccrual status has not been specifically estimated because it is not practicable to reasonably assess the credit risk adjustment that would be applied in the marketplace for such loans. As such, the estimated fair value of total loans at December 31, 2006 and 2005 includes the carrying amount of nonaccrual loans at each respective date.

The fair value of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit were not significant at either December 31, 2006 or 2005, as these instruments predominantly have adjustable terms and are of a short-term nature.

The amounts of accrued interest receivable on loans and lease finance receivables and investments are considered to be stated at fair value.

The amounts payable to depositors for demand, savings, money market accounts, the demand note to the U.S. Treasury, short-term borrowings, and the related accrued interest payable are considered to be stated at fair value. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The fair value of long-term borrowings and junior subordinated debentures is estimated using the rates currently offered for borrowings of similar remaining maturities.

The fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of December 31, 2006 and 2005. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since that date, and therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented above.

18. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

In February 2005, the Bank acquired GSB. At the date of acquisition, GSB had \$62.8 million in loans, \$103.1 million in deposits, and \$111.4 million in total assets. The Company issued 696,049 common shares and paid \$13.3 million in cash in connection with the purchase of GSB. This transaction gave rise to \$8.4 million in amortizable intangibles and \$12.8 million in goodwill. The weighted average amortization period was 7 years. The initial allocation of the purchase price was based on preliminary data. Upon final valuation of certain assets the goodwill was adjusted to \$12.0 million.

During 2003, the Company acquired KNB and recorded an intangible asset classified as core deposit intangible in the amount of \$3.1 million. The weighted average amortization period was 8 years.

89

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following is a summary of amortizable intangible assets, which consist of core deposit intangibles, at December 31:

	2006					2005					
	Gross Carrying Amount		Accumulated Amortization (Amounts in		Gross Carrying Amount n thousands)			mulated rtization			
Amortizing intangible assets	\$	19,636	\$	(9,515)	\$	19,636	\$	(7,162)			
Aggregate Amortization Expense:											
For year ended December 31,	\$	2,353			\$	2,061					
Estimated Amortization Expense:											
For the year ended December 31:											
For the year ended 2007	\$	2,353									
For the year ended 2008	\$	2,353									
For the year ended 2009	\$	1,752									
For the year ended 2010	\$	1,698									
For the year ended 2011	\$	1,603									

At December 31, 2006 the weighted average remaining life of intangible assets is approximately 4.6 years.

The change in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, are as follows:

	2006 (Amounts in	2005 thousands)
Balance as of January 1 Goodwill acquired during the year	\$ 32,357	\$ 19,580 12,777
Purchase price adjustment related to acquisition of Granite State Bank	(826)	
Balance as of December 31	\$ 31,531	\$ 32,357

19. Business Segments

The Company s subsidiary bank has 39 Business Financial Centers (branches) which are the focal points for customer sales and services. The company utilizes an internal reporting system to measure the performance of various operating segments within the Bank which is the basis for determining the Bank s reportable segments. The Bank s branches are considered operating segments and have been aggregated for segment reporting purposes because the products and services are similar and are sold to similar types of customers, have similar production and distribution processes, and

have similar economic characteristics. The Company has identified two principal operating segments for purposes of management reporting: Business Financial Centers and the Treasury Department. The Treasury Department s primary focus is managing the Bank s investments, liquidity, and interest risk. Information related to the Company s remaining operating segments which include construction lending, dairy and livestock lending, SBA lending and leasing, centralized functions and eliminations of intersegment amounts have been aggregated and included in Other. In addition, the Company allocates internal funds transfer pricing to the segments using a methodology that charges users of funds interest expense and credits providers of funds interest income with the net effect of this allocation being recorded in administration.

90

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table represents the selected financial information for these two business segments. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America do not have an authoritative body of knowledge regarding the management accounting used in presenting these numbers. The accounting policies for each of the business units is the same as those policies identified for the consolidated Company and identified in the footnote included in the summary of significant accounting policies. The income numbers represent the actual income and expenses of each business unit. In addition, each segment has allocated income and expenses based on management s internal reporting system, which allows management to determine the performance of each of its business units. Loan fees, included in the Business Financial Centers category are the actual loan fees paid to the Company by its customers. These fees are eliminated and deferred in the Other category, resulting in deferred loan fees for the consolidated financial statements. All income and expense items not directly associated with the two business segments are grouped in the Other category. Future changes in the Company s management structure or reporting methodologies may result in changes in the measurement of operating segment results.

The following tables present the operating results and other key financial measures for the individual operating segments for the year ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

	Business Financial Centers		Financial		Other		Total
2006 Interest income, including loan fees Credit for funds provided(1)	\$	148,595 71,068	\$	122,007	\$	46,058 6,821	\$ 316,660 77,889
Total interest income		219,663		122,007		52,879	394,549
Interest expense Charge for funds used(1)		50,762 7,707		87,821 28,018		8,881 42,164	147,464 77,889
Total interest expense		58,469		115,839		51,045	225,353
Net interest income		161,194		6,168		1,834	169,196
Provision for credit losses						3,000	3,000
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	\$	161,194	\$	6,168	\$	(1,166)	\$ 166,196
Non-interest income Non-interest expense		15,136 41,258		1,058 1,123		17,064 53,443	33,258 95,824
Segment pretax profit (loss)	\$	135,072	\$	6,103	\$	(37,545)	\$ 103,630
Segment assets as of December 31, 2006	\$	3,354,892	\$	2,271,341	\$	468,029	\$ 6,094,262

Table of Contents

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

]	Business Financial Centers	Treasury Otho		Other	Other 7		
2005 Interest income, including loan fees Credit for funds provided(1)	\$	115,102 42,372	\$	98,588	\$	33,258 2,232	\$	246,948 44,604
Total interest income		157,474		98,588		35,490		291,552
Interest expense Charge for funds used(1)		22,041 4,191		49,687 26,059		5,708 14,354		77,436 44,604
Total interest expense		26,232		75,746		20,062		122,040
Net interest income Provision for credit losses		131,242		22,842		15,428		169,512
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	\$	131,242	\$	22,842	\$	15,428	\$	169,512
Non-interest income Non-interest expense		12,256 38,064		2 3,538		15,247 48,451		27,505 90,053
Segment pretax profit (loss)	\$	105,434	\$	19,306	\$	(17,776)	\$	106,964
Segment assets as of December 31, 2005	\$	3,164,269	\$	1,856,453	\$	402,249	\$	5,422,971
2004 Interest income, including loan fees Credit for funds provided(1)	\$	90,080 15,274	\$	83,228	\$	24,394 1,806	\$	197,702 17,080
Total interest income		105,354		83,228		26,200		214,782
Interest expense Charge for funds used(1)		11,800 1,088		29,205 9,828		5,512 6,164		46,517 17,080
Total interest expense		12,888		39,033		11,676		63,597
Net interest income		92,466		44,195		14,524		151,185
Provision for credit losses Net interest income after provision for credit losses	\$	92,466	\$	44,195	\$	14,524	\$	151,185
Non-interest income Non-interest expense		15,630 34,531		(1,079) 1,426		13,356 53,765		27,907 89,722

170

Segment pretax profit (loss)	\$	73,565	\$ 41,690	\$ (25,885)	89,370
Segment assets as of December 31, 2004	\$ 2	2,733,645	\$ 1,464,386	\$ 312,979	\$ 4,511,010

(1) Credit for funds provided and charge for funds used is eliminated in the consolidated presentation.

92

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

20. Condensed Financial Information of Parent Company

BALANCE SHEETS

	2006 2005 (Amounts in thousands)
Assets: Investment in subsidiaries Other assets, net	\$ 451,377
Total assets	\$ 506,319 \$ 433,662
Liabilities Stockholders equity	\$ 116,980
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 506,319 \$ 433,662

STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

	2006 (Amo	unt	2005 s in thousa	2004 usands)	
Excess in net earnings of subsidiaries Dividends from the Bank Other expense, net	\$ 42,229 34,560 (4,883)	\$	38,483 35,150 (3,015)	\$	27,143 38,050 (3,707)
Net earnings	\$ 71,906	\$	70,618	\$	61,486

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

		2006		2005		2004		
	(Amounts in thousands)							
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:								
Net earnings	\$	71,906	\$	70,618	\$	61,486		

5 789)		
,,,,,,,	(73,633)	(65,193)
2,182)	(984)	194
3,971)	(74,617)	(64,999)
7,065)	(3,999)	(3,513)
(77.4)		
` /	25 150	20.050
1,560	35,150	38,050
3,786	35,150	38,050
	2,182) 3,971) 7,065) (774) 4,560	(984) (984) (74,617) (7,065) (3,999) (774) (4,560) (35,150)

CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	2006 (Amo	2004 ands)		
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Cash dividends on common stock	(27,876)	(27,963)	(23,821)	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	983	1,789	1,281	
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	331			
Repayment of advance from the Bank		(2,336)		
Repurchase of common stock		(12,286)	(1,992)	
Issuance of junior subordinated debentures	25,774			
Net cash (used in) financing activities	(788)	(40,796)	(24,532)	
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH				
EQUIVALENTS	25,933	(9,645)	10,005	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR	9,026	18,671	8,666	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR	34,959	\$ 9,026	\$ 18,671	

21. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Summarized quarterly financial data follows:

	Three Months Ended									
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31						
	(Amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)									
2006										
Net interest income	\$ 43,826	\$ 43,161	\$ 41,972	\$ 40,237						
Provision for credit losses	250	900	1,250	600						
Net earnings	18,240	18,917	18,455	16,294						
Basic earnings per common share	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.19						
Diluted earning per common share	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.19						
2005										
Net interest income	\$ 40,624	\$ 41,886	\$ 42,584	\$ 44,418						
Provision for credit losses										
Net earnings	17,701	17,478	18,267	17,172						
Basic earnings per common share	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.20						
Diluted earning per common share	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.20						

22. Subsequent Event

On February 8, 2007, we announced the execution of a definitive merger agreement to acquire First Coastal Bancshares and First Coastal Bank (First Coastal). First Coastal is headquartered in Manhattan Beach, California and has four offices. These offices will become offices of Citizens Business Bank following completion of the merger. As of December 31, 2006, First Coastal has \$238 million in assets, \$157 million in loans, and \$190 million in deposits. The purchase price is \$35 million. One half of the purchase price is payable in cash and the balance will be paid through the issuance of CVB common stock.

On February 21, 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company approved the repurchase of an additional 2.0 million shares of the Company common stock. The Company has 775,163 shares left to be repurchased from its October 2001 authorization. The total number of shares to be repurchased as of February 21, 2007 was 2,775,163 shares.

* * * * * *

94

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors CVB Financial Corp. Ontario, California

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of CVB Financial Corp. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, stockholders—equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company—s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CVB Financial Corp. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment in 2006 and changed its method of accounting for stock-based compensation.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of CVB Financial Corp. and subsidiaries—internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 28, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on management—s assessment of the effectiveness of CVB Financial Corp. s internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of CVB Financial Corp. s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ McGladrey & Pullen, LLP McGladrey & Pullen, LLP

Pasadena, California February 28, 2007

95

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit	
No.	

		Page
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of the Company, as amended.(1)	*
3.2	Bylaws of Company, as amended.(2)	*
3.3	Reserved.	*
4.1	Form of Registrant s Common Stock certificate.(3)	*
4.2	Preferred Shares Rights Agreement, dated as of June 21, 2000, between CVB Financial Corp. and U.S. Stock Transfer Corp., including the Certificate of Determination, the form of Rights Certificate and the Summary of Rights.(4)	*
4.3	Certificate of Determination of Participating Preferred Stock of Registrant(5)	*
4.4	Form of Rights Certificate(6)	*
4.5	Summary of Rights(7)	*
4.6	CVB Statutory Trust I Junior Subordinated Indenture dated December 17, 2003 entered into between CVB Financial Corp. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee(8)	*
4.7	CVB Statutory Trust I Form of Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debenture (included as an exhibit to Exhibit 4.6)(8)	*
4.8	Amended and Restated Declaration of CVB Statutory Trust I(8)	*
4.9	CVB Statutory Trust I Form of Capital Security Certificate (included as an exhibit to Exhibit 4.8)(8)	*
4.10	CVB Statutory Trust I Form of Common Security Certificate (included as an exhibit to Exhibit 4.8)(8)	*
4.11	CVB Statutory Trust I Guarantee Agreement between CVB Financial Corp. and U.S. Bank National Association(8)	*
4.12	CVB Statutory Trust II Junior Subordinated Indenture dated December 15, 2003 entered into between CVB Financial Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee(8)	*
4.13	CVB Statutory Trust II Form of Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debenture (included as an exhibit to Exhibit 4.12)(8)	*
4.14	Amended and Restated Declaration of CVB Statutory Trust II(8)	*
4.15	CVB Statutory Trust II Form of Capital Security Certificate (included as an exhibit to Exhibit 4.14)(8)	*
4.16	CVB Statutory Trust II Form of Common Security Certificate (included as an exhibit to Exhibit 4.14)(8)	*
4.17	CVB Statutory Trust II Guarantee Agreement between CVB Financial Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association(8)	*
4.18	CVB Statutory Trust III Junior Subordinated Indenture dated January 31, 2006 entered into between CVB Financial Corp. and U.S. Bank, National Association, as Trustee(9)	*
4.19	CVB Statutory Trust III Form of Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debenture (included as an exhibit to Exhibit 4.20)(9)	*
4.20	Amended and Restated Declaration of CVB Statutory Trust III(9)	*
4.21	CVB Statutory Trust III Form of Capital Security Certificate (included as an exhibit to Exhibit 4.20)(9)	*
4.22	CVB Statutory Trust III Form of Common Security Certificate (included as an exhibit to Exhibit 4.20)(9)	*
4.23	CVB Statutory Trust III Guarantee Agreement between CVB Financial Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association(9)	*

10.1	Reserved			
10.2	Agreement by and among Christopher D. Myers, CVB Financial Corp. and Citizens Business	*		
	and dated June 1, 2006. (10)			
10.3	Chino Valley Bank Profit Sharing Plan, as amended. (11)	*		
10.4	Form of Indemnification Agreement. (12)	*		
10.5	1991 Stock Option Plan, as amended. (13)	*		
10.6	2000 Stock Option Plan. (14)	*		
10.7	Form of Option Agreement under 2000 Stock Option, as amended (15)	*		
96				

Table of Contents

Exhibit

No.			Page
	10.10	Severance Compensation Agreement dated April 1, 2004 with Jay Coleman (16)	*
	10.11	Severance Compensation Agreement dated April 1, 2004 with Edward J. Biebrich (17)	*
	10.12	Severance Compensation Agreement dated April 1, 2004 with D. Linn Wiley (18)	*
	10.13	Severance Compensation Agreement dated June 14, 2005 with R. Scott Racusin (19)	*
	10.14	Severance Compensation Agreement dated August 31, 2005 with Edward J. Mylett (20)	*
	10.15	Schedule of Director Fees (21)	*
	10.16	Salaries for Named Executive Officers (22)	*
	10.17	Discretionary Performance Compensation Plan 2006 (23)	*
	10.18	Amendment to Severance Compensation Agreement for D. Linn Wiley, dated March 15, 2006 (24)	*
	10.19	Amendment to Severance Compensation Agreement for Edward J. Biebrich, dated March 15, 2006 (25)	*
	10.20	Amendment to Severance Compensation Agreement for Jay W. Coleman, dated March 15, 2006 (26)	*
	10.21	Amendment to Severance Compensation Agreement for Edward J. Mylett, dated March 15, 2006 (27)	*
	10.22	Amendment to Severance Compensation Agreement for R. Scott Racusin, dated March 15, 2006 (28)	*
	10.23	Deferred Compensation Plan dated December 22, 2006 for Christopher D. Myers	
	10.24	Restricted stock agreement by and between CVB Financial Corp. and Christopher D. Myers dated June 1, 2006 (29)	*
	10.25	Severance Compensation Agreement for Anthony Q. Evans, dated January 10, 2007, 2006	
	10.26 12	Deferred Compensation Plan dated February 21, 2007 for Directors and Executive Officers Statement regarding computation of ratios (included in Form 10-K)	
	21	Subsidiaries of Company.	
	23.1	Consent of McGladrey & Pullen, LLP.	
	31.1	Certification of Christopher D. Myers pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
	31.2	Certification of Edward J. Biebrich, Jr. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
	32.1	Certification of Christopher D. Myers pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
	32.2	Certification of Edward J. Biebrich, Jr. pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
	99.1	Definitive Merger Agreement between CVB Financial Corp. and First Coastal Bancshares dated February 8, 2007.	

* Not applicable.

- (1) Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant s statement on Form 8-A12G on January 13, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (2) Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant s statement on Form 8-A12G on June 26, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.

- (3) Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant s Statement on Form 8-A12G on June 11, 2001, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (4) Filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant s Statement on Form 8-A12G on June 11, 2001, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (5) Filed as Exhibit 4.3 to Registrant s Statement on Form 8-A12G on June 11, 2001, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (6) Filed as Exhibit 4.4 to Registrant s Statement on Form 8-A12G on June 11, 2001, Commission file number 0-10140, which are incorporated herein by this reference.
- (7) Filed as Exhibit 4.5 to Registrant s Statement on Form 8-A12G on June 11, 2001, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (8) Filed as Exhibits 4.6 thru 4.17 to Registrant s Statement on Form 10K on March 15, 2004, Commission file number 1-10140, which are incorporated herein by this reference.

97

Table of Contents

- (9) Filed as Exhibits 4.1 thru 4.6 to Registrant s Statement on Form 8-A12G on February 2, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which are incorporated herein by this reference.
- (10) Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant s Statement on Form 8-A12G on June 7, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (11) Filed as Exhibits 10.3 to Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1990, Commission file number 1-10394, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (12) Filed as Exhibit 10.13 to Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1988, Commission file number 1-10394, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (13) Filed as Exhibit 10.17 to Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1998, Commission file number 1-10394, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (14) Filed as Exhibit 10.18 and 10.19 respectively to Registrant s Statement on Form S-8 on July 12, 2000, Commission file number 333-41198, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (15) Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant s statement on Form 8-A12G on June 26, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (16) Filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, Commission file number 1-10394, which is incorporated herein by reference.
- (17) Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, Commission file number 1-10394, which is incorporated herein by reference.
- (18) Filed as Exhibit 10.5 to Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, Commission file number 1-10394, which is incorporated herein by reference.
- (19) Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant s statement on Form 8-A12G on June 17, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (20) Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant s statement on Form 8-A12G on March 3, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (21) Filed as Exhibit 10.15 to Registrant s Statement on Form 10K on March 14, 2005, Commission file number 1-10140, which are incorporated herein by this reference.
- (22) Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant s statement on Form 8-A12G on March 21, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (23) Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant s statement on Form 8-A12G on March 21, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (24) Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant s statement on Form 8-A12G on March 21, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.

- (25) Filed as Exhibit 10.3 to Registrant s statement on Form 8-A12G on March 21, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (26) Filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Registrant s statement on Form 8-A12G on March 21, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (27) Filed as Exhibit 10.5 to Registrant s statement on Form 8-A12G on March 21, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (28) Filed as Exhibit 10.6 to Registrant s statement on Form 8-A12G on March 21, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.
- (29) Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant s statement on Form 8-A12G on June 7, 2006, Commission file number 0-10140, which is incorporated herein by this reference.

98