TOWER AUTOMOTIVE INC Form S-3/A April 30, 2002

AS FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ON APRIL 30, 2002

REGISTRATION NO. 333-85454

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 2

TO

FORM S-3

REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE other jurisdiction

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

41-1746238 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5211 CASCADE ROAD SE, SUITE 300 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49546 (616) 802-1600

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

ANTHONY A. BARONE

VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

5211 CASCADE ROAD SE, SUITE 300

GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49546

(616) 802-1600

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

COPIES OF ALL COMMUNICATIONS, INCLUDING COMMUNICATIONS SENT TO AGENT FOR SERVICE, SHOULD BE SENT TO:

DENNIS M. MYERS, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS 200 EAST RANDOLPH DRIVE CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60601 (312) 861-2000 RICHARD J. SANDLER, ESQ.
JOSEPH A. HALL, ESQ.
DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL
450 LEXINGTON AVENUE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017
(212) 450-4000

APPROXIMATE DATE OF COMMENCEMENT OF PROPOSED SALE TO THE PUBLIC: As soon as practicable after the effective date of this registration statement. If the only securities being registered on this Form are being offered pursuant to dividend or interest reinvestment plans, please check the following box. []
If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box. []
If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. []
If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. []
If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box. []
THE REGISTRANT HEREBY AMENDS THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT ON SUCH DATE OR DATES AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO DELAY ITS EFFECTIVE DATE UNTIL THE REGISTRANT SHALL FILE A FURTHER AMENDMENT WHICH SPECIFICALLY STATES THAT THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT SHALL THEREAFTER BECOME EFFECTIVE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 8(a) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 OR UNTIL THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE ON SUCH DATE AS THE COMMISSION, ACTING PURSUANT TO SAID SECTION 8(a), MAY DETERMINE.
THE INFORMATION IN THIS PROSPECTUS IS NOT COMPLETE AND MAY BE CHANGED. WE MAY NOT SELL THESE SECURITIES UNTIL THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION IS EFFECTIVE. THIS PROSPECTUS IS NOT AN OFFER TO SELL THESE SECURITIES AND WE ARE NOT SOLICITING OFFERS TO BUY THESE SECURITIES IN ANY STATE WHERE THE OFFER OR SALE IS NOT PERMITTED.
PROSPECTUS (Subject to Completion)
Issued April 30, 2002
15,000,000 Shares
[TOWER AUTOMOTIVE LOGO] COMMON STOCK
Tower Automotive, Inc. is offering 15,000,000 shares of its common stock.
Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "TWR." On April 26, 2002, the reported last sale price of the common stock on the New York Stock Exchange was \$14.40 per share.
INVESTING IN THE COMMON STOCK INVOLVES RISKS. SEE "RISK FACTORS" BEGINNING ON

PAGE 10.

PRICE \$ A SHARE

	PRICE TO PUBLIC	UNDERWRITING DISCOUNTS AND COMMISSIONS	PROCEEDS TO COMPANY
Per Share	\$	\$	\$

Tower Automotive has granted the underwriters the right to purchase an additional 2,250,000 shares of common stock to cover over-allotments.

The Securities and Exchange Commission and state securities regulators have not approved or disapproved these securities, or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, on behalf of the underwriters, expects to deliver the shares of common stock to purchasers on $\,$, 2002.

MORGAN STANLEY

JPMORGAN

ROBERT W. BAIRD & CO.
SALOMON SMITH BARNEY

, 2002

[ILLUSTRATION DEPICTING THE PRINCIPAL PRODUCTS OF TOWER AUTOMOTIVE]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Prospectus Summary	1
Tower Automotive	1
The Offering	5
Summary Historical Financial Data	6
Risk Factors	8
Forward-Looking Statements	13
The Company	14
Use of Proceeds	17
Market Price for Common Stock	17
Dividend Policy	17
Capitalization	18
Selected Historical Financial Data Management's Discussion and Analysis	19
of Financial Condition and Results	
of Operations	20
Business	34

	PAGE
Management	46
Certain Relationships and Related	
Transactions	49
Security Ownership of Certain	
Beneficial Owners and Management	50
Description of Capital Stock	52
Description of Certain Financing	
Arrangements	54
Underwriters	59
Legal Matters	61
Experts	61
Where You Can Find Other	
Information	61
Incorporation of Certain Documents By	0.1
Reference	62
Index to Financial Statements	F-1
THACK TO I THANGTAI DEACEMENTS	т т

In this prospectus, the terms "us," "we," "our" and "Tower Automotive" refer to Tower Automotive, Inc., its consolidated subsidiaries and their respective predecessors.

WE HAVE NOT AUTHORIZED ANYONE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION DIFFERENT FROM THAT CONTAINED IN, OR INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE IN, THIS PROSPECTUS OR ANY PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT. WE ARE OFFERING TO SELL, AND SEEKING OFFERS TO BUY, SHARES OF COMMON STOCK ONLY IN JURISDICTIONS WHERE OFFERS AND SALES ARE PERMITTED.

i

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. This summary is not complete and may not contain all of the information that you should consider before deciding to invest in our common stock. We urge you to read this entire prospectus carefully, including the "Risk Factors" section and the consolidated financial statements and the notes to those statements.

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE

OUR COMPANY

We believe that, based on revenues, we are the largest independent global supplier of automotive structural components and assemblies and the world's leading supplier of full vehicle frames. We supply parts for substantially all models produced by Ford, Honda and Toyota in North America. In addition, we also supply DaimlerChrysler with substantially all of its full frame requirements for

its pickup trucks and sport utility vehicles, or "SUVs," and believe that we are the largest supplier of frames, engine cradles, body structures and suspension components to Ford. Our other major automotive original equipment manufacturer, or "OEM," customers include General Motors, Nissan, Fiat, Hyundai/Kia, BMW, Volkswagen Group and Isuzu. We currently employ more than 13,000 colleagues in 60 locations worldwide. Our principal products include body structures and assemblies, lower vehicle structures, suspension modules and systems and suspension components.

Since our inception in April 1993, our revenues have grown rapidly through a focused strategy of internal growth and a highly disciplined acquisition program. Since 1993, we have successfully completed 14 acquisitions and established joint ventures in China, Mexico, Korea, Japan and the United States. As a result of these acquisitions and internal growth, our revenues have increased from approximately \$86 million in 1993 to approximately \$2.5 billion in 2001. We have incurred significant indebtedness to finance our acquisitions and joint ventures. As of December 31, 2001, we had \$977.8 million of indebtedness.

OUR INDUSTRY

We operate in the large and highly fragmented structural segment of the automotive supply industry, which continues to undergo significant consolidation. In order to lower costs and improve quality, OEMs are reducing their supplier base by awarding sole-source contracts to full-service suppliers who are able to supply larger portions of a vehicle on a global basis. OEMs' criteria for supplier selection include not only cost, quality and responsiveness, but also full-service design, engineering and program management capabilities. OEMs are increasingly seeking suppliers capable of providing complete systems or modules rather than suppliers who only provide separate component parts. In addition, OEMs are increasingly requiring their suppliers to have the capability to design and manufacture their products in multiple geographic markets. As a full-service supplier with strong OEM relationships, we expect to continue to benefit from these trends within the structural segment of the automotive supply industry.

The automotive industry is highly cyclical and is dependent on consumer spending. During the third and fourth quarters of 2000, OEMs reduced production levels in response to a decline in consumer demand. The decline continued throughout 2001 and resulted in a decline in our revenues

1

in 2001 as compared to 2000. In addition, because we have significant fixed production costs, this decline in our customers' production levels had a negative impact on our profitability in 2001. In response to this production decline, we focused on reducing the capacity of the enterprise and improving the efficiency of our continuing operations. These efforts resulted in restructuring and asset impairment charges of \$75.0 million, \$383.7 million and \$141.3 million in the first quarter of 2002, and the fourth quarters of 2001 and 2000, respectively. Including the impact of these charges, we reported net losses of \$35.0 million, \$267.5 million and net income of \$13.4 million for those periods.

OUR COMPETITIVE STRENGTHS

We have developed key competitive strengths that allow us to capitalize on industry trends and provide us the opportunity to successfully execute our

operating and growth strategies. These key strengths include:

- -- Our scale position as the largest independent supplier of automotive structural components and assemblies, which enhances our ability to successfully and profitably win new programs and increase our market share of the expense of less capable suppliers;
- -- Our comprehensive technical design and engineering support, which helps us to minimize potential launch and manufacturing inefficiencies as well as to optimize the amount and type of manufacturing capital deployed for a given product, leading to lower-cost products for our customers and improved profitability and returns on invested capital for us.
- -- We have formed strong relationships with our major OEM customers due to our high level of product quality, engineering capabilities, product design and customer service and, more recently, because of our role as incumbent supplier on many critical programs.
- We believe that we produce the largest array of structural products in the automotive supply industry, are the only supplier able to deliver a full range of structural components and assemblies on a global basis and possess program management skills that enable us to efficiently coordinate the sourcing of components produced by Tier II suppliers and assemble these into complete modules.

OUR BUSINESS STRATEGY

Our strategy is to capitalize upon our position as the largest independent global supplier of automotive structural components and assemblies in order to take advantage of the opportunities arising from the consolidation, globalization and modular sourcing trends in the automotive supply industry. The ultimate goal of this strategy is to maximize return on invested capital and create long-term value for shareholders. Key elements of our operating and growth strategies are outlined below:

OPERATING STRATEGY

Offer Full-Service Technical Design, Engineering and Program Management Capabilities. We continue to build our competitive advantage through investment in product development, advanced engineering and program management.

Further Enhance Global Presence. We strive to offer manufacturing and support services to our customers on a global basis through a combination of international wholly owned subsidiaries and by entering into joint ventures and partnerships with foreign suppliers.

Continue to Optimize Manufacturing Efficiency and Quality. In order to maximize return on invested capital, we increasingly employ flexible manufacturing processes that allow us to maximize equipment utilization in meeting customer expectations for product quality and timely delivery.

Maintain Decentralized, Participative, Incentive-Based Culture. Our decentralized approach to managing our manufacturing facilities encourages decision making and colleague participation in areas such as manufacturing processes and customer service.

GROWTH STRATEGY

Our growth strategy comprises two fundamental elements: increased organic growth and the pursuit of select strategic acquisitions, alliances and partnerships. Since 1993, strategic acquisitions, alliances and partnerships have contributed significantly to our growth. In the future, we expect an increasing proportion of our growth to be derived organically.

Increase Organic Growth. We actively pursue increased organic growth from both new and replacement vehicle programs for both existing and new customers. It is our belief that our competitive strengths have played, and will continue to play, an important role in achieving our organic growth objectives.

Pursue Strategic Acquisitions, Alliances and Partnerships. We continue to believe that consolidation in the automotive supply industry will provide further attractive opportunities to either acquire, or purchase a majority or minority ownership interest in, companies that complement our existing business.

Our ability to implement our business strategy is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. For example, the automotive component supply industry is highly competitive and some of our competitors are larger and have greater financial and other resources than we do. We also may not realize all of the revenue expected from new business awards since our customers generally have the right to discontinue a program or replace us with another supplier at any time for a variety of reasons. Part of our business strategy is to continue to broaden our geographic coverage, which could increase the risks we face from foreign operations. For more information, see "Risk Factors—Risk Factors Relating to Tower Automotive and the Automotive Supply Industry."

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

RECENT OPERATING RESULTS

On April 16, 2002, we announced our operating results for the first quarter ended March 31, 2002. For the first quarter of 2002, revenues were \$668 million, compared with \$628 million in the 2001 period. Net income for the first quarter of 2002, adjusted for the restructuring and impairment charges and gain on sale described below, was \$12 million, or \$0.25 per diluted share. Including the after-tax effect of those one-time items, the reported net loss was \$35 million for the first quarter of 2002, or a loss of \$0.72 per diluted share. This compares with reported net income of \$13 million, or earnings of \$0.28 per diluted share, in the first quarter of 2001.

The first quarter of 2002 included previously announced restructuring and asset impairment charges of \$75 million (or a charge of \$1.01 per diluted share after-tax) associated with the closure of our Milwaukee Press Operations

facility. Additionally, we realized a gain of \$3.8 million (or

3

income of \$0.05 per diluted share after-tax) on the sale to a Hyundai affiliate of our Iwahri, Korea plant that assembles the Kia Sportage lower vehicle module. This plant had sales of approximately \$139 million in 2001 and operating income of approximately \$0.5 million. We will continue to manufacture body structure components in Korea, including those components used in the Sportage lower vehicle module.

In the first quarter of 2002, we also adopted the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," and as a result no longer record amortization expense of our goodwill. In the first quarter of 2001, net income of \$13 million included an after-tax charge of \$3.5 million related to goodwill amortization expense.

These unaudited results for the quarter ended March 31, 2002 reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) that management considers necessary for a fair presentation of the financial information for this period. The results of operations for the quarter ended March 31, 2002 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be expected for the full year.

MATTERS PERTAINING TO ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP

Our independent certified public accountant, Arthur Andersen LLP, has informed us that on March 14, 2002, it was indicted on federal obstruction of justice charges arising from the government's investigation of Enron Corp. Arthur Andersen has indicated that it intends to contest vigorously the indictment. Our Audit Committee has been carefully monitoring this situation. As a public company, we are required to file with the SEC periodic financial statements audited or reviewed by an independent, certified public accountant. The SEC has said that it will continue accepting financial statements audited by Arthur Andersen, and interim financial statements reviewed by it, so long as Arthur Andersen is able to make certain representations to its clients. Our access to the capital markets and our ability to make timely SEC filings could be impaired if the SEC ceases accepting financial statements audited by Arthur Andersen, if Arthur Andersen becomes unable to make the required representations to us or if for any other reason Arthur Andersen is unable to perform required audit-related services for us. In such a case, we would promptly seek to engage new independent certified public accountants or take such other actions as may be necessary to enable us to maintain access to the capital markets and timely financial reporting.

4

THE OFFERING

Common stock offered.....

Common stock to be outstanding immediately after the offering.....

Use of proceeds.....

15,000,000 shares

63,222,012 shares

We estimate that our net proceeds from the offering will be approximately \$204.2 million, based on an assumed public offering price of \$14.40 per share. We will use the net proceeds from the offering to repay borrowings under our

	senior	credit	facility.	See	"Use	of	Proceeds."
NYSE symbol	TWR						

The number of shares that will be outstanding after the offering is based on the number of shares outstanding as of March 15, 2002, and excludes:

- -- 7,600,000 shares of common stock reserved for issuance under our stock option plan and employee stock discount purchase plans, of which options to purchase 2,998,770 shares were outstanding;
- -- 15,926 shares issuable upon the conversion of notes issued in connection with the acquisition of Edgewood Tool and Manufacturing Company and its affiliate, Ann Arbor Assembly Corporation, which we collectively refer to as "Edgewood;"
- -- 8,424,908 shares issuable upon conversion of our 6 3/4% Convertible Trust Preferred Securities; and
- -- 7,728,850 shares of issuable upon conversion of our 5% Convertible Subordinated Notes due 2004.

Unless we specifically state otherwise, the information in this prospectus does not take into account the issuance of up to 2,250,000 shares of common stock which the underwriters have the option to purchase solely to cover over-allotments. If the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full, 65,472,012 shares of common stock will be outstanding after the offering.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We were incorporated under the laws of Delaware in April 1993. Our principal executive offices are located at 5211 Cascade Road SE, Suite 300, Grand Rapids, Michigan 49546, and our telephone number is (616) 802-1600.

5

SUMMARY HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA

The following information is qualified in its entirety by our consolidated financial statements. The following summary consolidated financial data for and as of the end of each of the years in the five-year period ended December 31, 2001, is derived from Tower Automotive's consolidated financial statements, which have been audited by Arthur Andersen LLP, independent public accountants. The consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2000 and 2001 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 and the report of independent public accountants thereon are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The consolidated financial statements at December 31, 1997, 1998 and 1999 and for the years ended December 31, 1997 and 1998 are not included herein. The following summary consolidated financial information should be read in conjunction with "Selected Financial Data," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the consolidated financial statements of Tower Automotive and the related notes thereto.

	YEAR	ENDED DECEMB	ER 31,	
2001	2000	1999	1998	1997

(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

INCOME STATEMENT DATA:										
Revenues	\$2	2,467,433	\$2	2,531,953	\$:	2,170,003	\$ 2	1,836,479	\$	1,235
Cost of sales	2	2,190,248	2	2,160,359		1,823,103	-	1,562,167		1,058
Selling, general and										
administrative expense		139,203		137,003		105,950		85 , 169		57
Amortization expense		24,804		21,517		15,803		13,472		9
Restructuring and asset impairment										
charge		383 , 739		141,326						
Operating income (loss)		(270,561)		71,748		225,147		175,671		109
Interest expense, net		73 , 765		64,711		37 , 981		40,318		28
Provision (benefit) for income										
taxes		(73,312)		2,619		74,866		54,143		32
Net income (loss)		(267,524)		13,434		117,088		88,040		46
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$	(5.87)	\$	0.29	\$	2.50	\$	1.91	\$	
Diluted earnings (loss) per										
share	\$	(5.87)	\$	0.28	\$	2.10	\$	1.68	\$	
OTHER DATA:										
Capital expenditures, net	\$	193 , 955	\$	93 , 588	\$	197,315	\$	185,138	\$	117
Depreciation and amortization		159 , 893		144,805		111,611		87,372		47
EBITDA(1)		(110,668)		216,553		336,758		263,043		157
Adjusted EBITDA(2)		273,071		357 , 879		336 , 758		263,043		157
Cash provided by (used in):										
Operating activities		513,815		92,648		212,003		221,098		100
Investing activities		(199 , 373)		(266,782)		(583,894)		(267,401)	(1,006
Financing activities		(296,048)		173,890		372,074		49,737		866
Working capital		(379 , 785)		78 , 753		126,940		106,936		140

6

	AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2001		
	ACTUAL	AS ADJUSTED(3)	
BALANCE SHEET DATA:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 21,767	\$ 21,767	
Working capital	(379 , 785)	(379 , 785)	
Total assets	2,533,436	2,532,813	
Long-term debt	805,688	601,488	
Mandatorily redeemable trust preferred securities	258 , 750	258,750	
Stockholders' investment	447,408	650 , 985	

^{(1) &}quot;EBITDA" is operating income plus depreciation and amortization. EBITDA does not represent and should not be considered as an alternative to net income or cash flow from operations, as determined by generally accepted accounting principles, and our calculation thereof may not be comparable to that reported by other companies. We believe that it is widely accepted that EBITDA provides useful information regarding a company's ability to service and/or incur indebtedness. This belief is based on our negotiations with our lenders who have indicated that the amount of indebtedness we will be permitted to incur will be based, in part, on our EBITDA. EBITDA does not take into account our working capital requirements, debt service

requirements and other commitments and, accordingly, is not necessarily indicative of amounts that may be available for discretionary use.

- (2) "Adjusted EBITDA" is EBITDA plus restructuring and asset impairment charges.
- (3) Adjusted to reflect the sale of 15,000,000 shares of common stock and the application of the net proceeds as set forth under "Use of Proceeds."

7

RISK FACTORS

Before purchasing any of the shares covered by this prospectus, you should carefully read and consider the risk factors set forth below. You should be prepared to accept the occurrence of any and all of the risks associated with purchasing the shares, including a loss of all of your investment.

RISKS RELATING TO TOWER AUTOMOTIVE AND THE AUTOMOTIVE SUPPLY INDUSTRY

WE ARE DEPENDENT ON FORD AND DAIMLERCHRYSLER AS OUR LARGEST CUSTOMERS AND ON SELECTED VEHICLE PROGRAMS

We are dependent on Ford and DaimlerChrysler as our largest customers. Our revenues from Ford and DaimlerChrysler represented approximately 35% and 25%, respectively, of our revenues in 2001. The contracts we typically enter into with our customers, including Ford, DaimlerChrysler and GM, provide for supplying the customer's requirements for a particular vehicle platform or model, rather than for manufacturing a specific quantity of components. These contracts range from one year to the life of the platform or model, usually three to ten years, and do not require the purchase by the customer of any minimum number of components. Therefore, any significant reduction in demand for vehicles manufactured by Ford or DaimlerChrysler for which we produce components and assemblies or for certain other key vehicle models or a group of related vehicle models sold by any of our other major customers could have a material adverse effect on our existing and future revenues and net income.

AUTOMOTIVE OEMS HAVE HISTORICALLY HAD SIGNIFICANT LEVERAGE OVER THEIR OUTSIDE SUPPLIERS

The automotive component supply industry is fragmented and serves a limited number of automotive OEMs. As a result, OEMs have historically had a significant amount of leverage over their outside suppliers. For example, outside suppliers such as us are subject to substantial continuing pressure from the major OEMs to reduce the cost of their products. If we are unable to generate sufficient production cost savings in the future to offset price reductions, our gross margin and profitability would be adversely affected. In addition, OEMs can unexpectedly change their purchasing policies or payment practices, which could have a negative impact on our short-term working capital.

CYCLICALITY AND SEASONALITY IN THE AUTOMOTIVE MARKET COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR REVENUES AND NET INCOME

The automotive market is highly cyclical and is dependent on consumer spending. For example, during the third and fourth quarters of 2000, OEMs reduced production levels in response to a decline in consumer demand. The decline continued throughout 2001. Economic factors adversely affecting automotive production and consumer spending could adversely impact our revenues and net income. For example, production cuts by Ford, DaimlerChrysler and GM in 2001 have adversely affected our revenues and net income. Our revenues in 2001 declined by approximately \$64.5 million, or 2.5%, compared to our revenues in 2000. In addition, because we have significant fixed production costs,

relatively modest declines in our customers' production levels can have a significant adverse impact on our profitability. Our gross margin has declined from 16.0% in 1999 to 14.7% in 2000 and 11.2% in 2001 primarily as a result of these lower production levels.

Our business is also somewhat seasonal. We typically experience decreased revenue and operating income during the third calendar quarter of each year due to the impact of scheduled OEM plant shutdowns in July and August for vacations and new model changeovers.

WE ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH OUR FOREIGN OPERATIONS THAT COULD HARM OUR REVENUES AND PROFITABILITY

We have significant international operations, specifically in Europe, Asia and South America. Certain risks are inherent in international operations, including:

-- we may have difficulty enforcing agreements and collecting receivables through certain foreign legal systems;

8

- -- foreign customers may have longer payment cycles than customers in the United States;
- -- tax rates in certain foreign countries may exceed those in the United States, and foreign earnings may be subject to withholding requirements or the imposition of tariffs, exchange controls or other restrictions;
- -- general economic and political conditions in countries where we operate may have an adverse effect on our operations in those countries;
- -- we may find it difficult to manage a large organization spread throughout various countries; and
- -- we may find it difficult to comply with foreign laws and regulations.

As we continue to expand our business globally, our success will depend, in part, on our ability to anticipate and effectively manage these and other risks. The occurrence of any of the foregoing risks could have a significant effect on our international operations and, as a result, our revenues and profitability.

CURRENCY EXCHANGE RATE FLUCTUATIONS COULD HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT ON OUR REVENUES AND FINANCIAL RESULTS

We generate a significant portion of our revenues and incur a significant portion of our expenses in currencies other than U.S. dollars. To the extent that we are unable to match revenues received in foreign currencies with costs paid in the same currency, exchange rate fluctuations in any such currency could have an adverse effect on our revenues and financial results. For example, the weakening of European currencies in relation to the U.S. dollar had a negative impact on our revenues in 2000 and 2001.

OUR BUSINESS MAY BE DISRUPTED SIGNIFICANTLY BY WORK STOPPAGES AND OTHER LABOR MATTERS

Many OEMs and their suppliers have unionized work forces. Work stoppages or slow-downs experienced by OEMs or their suppliers could result in slow-downs or closures of assembly plants where our products are included in assembled

vehicles. For example, strikes by The International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America ("UAW") led to the shutdown of most of GM's North American assembly plants in June and July of 1998. We estimate that this work stoppage at GM's facilities had an unfavorable impact of approximately \$24.7 million on our 1998 revenues. In the event that one or more of our customers experiences a material work stoppage, such a work stoppage could have a material adverse effect on our business.

In addition, approximately 5,300 of our colleagues are unionized (representing approximately 41% of our colleagues as of December 31, 2001). We may encounter strikes, further unionization efforts or other types of conflicts with labor unions or our colleagues, any of which could have an adverse effect on our ability to produce our structural components and assemblies or may limit our flexibility in dealing with our workforce.

OUR OPERATING RESULTS MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THE IMPACT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND SAFETY REGULATIONS TO WHICH WE ARE SUBJECT

We are subject to foreign, federal, state, and local laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment and occupational health and safety, including laws regulating the generation, storage, handling, use and transportation of hazardous materials; the emission and discharge of hazardous materials into the soil, ground or air; and the health and safety of our colleagues. We are also required to obtain permits from governmental authorities for certain operations. It is possible that we may not have been or may not be at all times in complete compliance with such laws, regulations and permits. If we violate or fail to comply with these laws, regulations or permits, we could be fined or otherwise sanctioned by regulators. In some instances, such a fine or sanction could be material.

We are also subject to laws imposing liability for the cleanup of contaminated property. Under these laws, we could be held liable for costs and damages relating to contamination at our past or present facilities and at third party sites to which these facilities sent waste containing hazardous substances. The amount of such liability could be material.

9

OUR INABILITY TO COMPETE EFFECTIVELY IN THE HIGHLY COMPETITIVE AUTOMOTIVE SUPPLY INDUSTRY COULD RESULT IN THE LOSS OF CUSTOMERS, WHICH COULD HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT ON OUR REVENUES AND OPERATING RESULTS

The automotive component supply industry is highly competitive. Some of our competitors are companies, or divisions or subsidiaries of companies, that are larger and have greater financial and other resources than we do. In addition, with respect to certain of our products, we compete with divisions of our OEM customers. Our products may not be able to compete successfully with the products of these other companies, which could result in the loss of customers and, as a result, decreased revenues and profitability. In addition, our competitive position in the automotive component supply industry could be adversely affected in the event that we are unsuccessful in making strategic acquisitions or establishing joint ventures that will enable us to continue to expand our global presence.

We principally compete for new business both at the beginning of the development of new models and upon the redesign of existing models by our major customers. New model development generally begins two to five years prior to the marketing of such models to the public. The failure to obtain new business on new models or to retain or increase business on redesigned existing models could adversely affect our business and financial results. In addition, as a result of the relatively long lead times required for many of our complex structural components, it may be difficult in the short-term for us to obtain new sales to

replace any unexpected decline in the sale of existing products. We may incur significant expense in preparing to meet anticipated customer requirements which may not be recovered.

WE MAY NOT REALIZE ALL OF THE REVENUE EXPECTED FROM NEW PROGRAM AWARDS

The realization of additional revenues from new program awards is inherently subject to a number of important risks and uncertainties, including the number of vehicles that our customers will actually produce as well as the timing of such production. In addition, our customers generally have the right to discontinue a program or replace us with another supplier at any time for a variety of reasons. As a result, all of the expected \$760 million in annual new program revenue, resulting from business awarded to us in 2001, and anticipated to be fully realized by 2005, may not actually result in firm orders from customers. We also incur costs and make capital expenditures for new program awards based upon certain estimates of production volumes for certain vehicles. While we attempt to establish the price of our products for variances in production volumes, if the actual production of certain vehicle models is significantly less than planned, our revenues and net income may be adversely affected. We cannot predict our customers' demands for the products we supply either in the aggregate or for particular reporting periods.

WE REQUIRE A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF CASH TO SERVICE OUR INDEBTEDNESS, WHICH REDUCES THE CASH AVAILABLE TO FINANCE OUR ORGANIC GROWTH AND OUR STRATEGIC ACQUISITIONS, ALLIANCES AND PARTNERSHIPS

We have a significant amount of indebtedness. As of December 31, 2001, our total indebtedness including current maturities was \$977.8 million, and we had the ability to borrow an additional \$103.0 million under our revolving credit facility. Our required debt service payments under our senior credit facility during 2002, 2003 and 2004 are \$55.0 million, \$70.0 million and \$70.0 million, respectively.

Our ability to make payments on our indebtedness, as well as to fund our operations and future growth, depends upon our ability to generate cash. Our success in doing so depends upon the results of our operations and upon general economic, financial, competitive, and other factors beyond our control.

Our indebtedness could:

- -- make us more vulnerable to unfavorable economic conditions;
- -- make it more difficult to pursue strategic acquisitions, alliances and partnerships;
- -- require us to dedicate or reserve a large portion of our cash flow from operations to making payments on our indebtedness, which would prevent us from using it for other purposes; and

10

-- make us susceptible to fluctuations in market interest rates that affect the cost of our borrowings to the extent not covered by interest rate hedge agreements because a portion of our indebtedness is payable at variable rates.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMMON STOCK

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE MAY CAUSE THE MARKET PRICE FOR OUR COMMON STOCK TO DROP SIGNIFICANTLY, EVEN IF OUR BUSINESS IS DOING WELL

Future sales of our common stock or the availability of shares for future sale may have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock from time to time. As of March 15, 2002, we had outstanding 48,222,012 shares of our common stock. Substantially all of these shares are either freely tradable in the public market, unless acquired by our affiliates, or are "restricted securities" as that term is defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act and eligible for immediate sale in the public market pursuant to Rule 144, subject to certain volume and manner of sale limitations. As of December 31, 2001, options to purchase an aggregate of 2,998,770 shares of our common stock were outstanding under our stock option plans. Other shares of our common stock issued in the future may become available for resale in the public market from time to time, and the market price of shares of our common stock could drop significantly if the holders of these shares sell them or are perceived by the market as intending to sell them.

ANTI-TAKEOVER EFFECT OF CERTAIN CHARTER AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS

Our certificate of incorporation and by-laws contain provisions which (1) permit us to issue so-called "flexible" preferred stock, (2) limit the filling of board vacancies to the remaining directors, and (3) limit stockholders' ability to call special meetings. We are also subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, or DGCL, which restricts us from engaging in certain business combinations with "interested stockholders." Although not intended, the foregoing provisions may adversely affect the marketability of the common stock by discouraging potential investors from acquiring our common stock. In addition, these provisions could make more difficult a merger, tender offer or proxy contest involving us, or impede an attempt to acquire a significant or controlling interest in us, even if such events might be beneficial to us and our stockholders. See "Description of Capital Stock."

POSSIBLE VOLATILITY OF OUR SHARE PRICE INCREASES THE RISK OF YOUR INVESTMENT

The market price for our common stock may be significantly affected by factors such as the announcement of new program awards or other strategic initiatives by us or our competitors, technological innovations by us or our competitors, the growth and expansion of our business, trends and uncertainties affecting the automotive supply industry as a whole, issuances and repurchases of common stock, quarterly variations in our operating results or the operating results of our competitors, investors' expectations of our prospects, changes in earnings estimates by analysts or reported results that vary materially from such estimates and general economic and other conditions, including the cyclicality and seasonality of our business. In addition, in recent years global equity markets have experienced extreme price fluctuations. This volatility has had a substantial effect on the market prices of securities issued by many companies for reasons unrelated to the operating performance of the specific companies. These broad market fluctuations may adversely effect the market price of our common stock. See "Market Price for Common Stock."

RESTRICTIONS IN OUR SENIOR CREDIT FACILITY AND OTHER DEBT INSTRUMENTS MAY LIMIT OUR ABILITY TO PAY DIVIDENDS, INCUR ADDITIONAL DEBT, MAKE ACQUISITIONS AND MAKE OTHER INVESTMENTS

Our senior credit facility and other debt instruments contain covenants that restrict our ability to make distributions to stockholders or other payments unless we satisfy certain financial tests and comply with various financial ratios. If we do not do so, our creditors could declare a default under our debt instruments, and our indebtedness could be declared immediately due and payable. Our ability to comply with the provisions of our debt instruments may be affected by changes in economic or business conditions beyond our control. See "Dividend Policy."

Our senior credit facility and other debt instruments also contain covenants that limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness, acquire other businesses and make capital expenditures, and impose various other restrictions, including certain coverage ratios which restrict our ability to borrow under our revolving credit facility. At December 31, 2001, we had borrowed approximately \$101 million under our \$825 million revolving credit facility but as a result of such coverage ratio restrictions, our unused availability under the revolving facility was limited to approximately \$103 million. These covenants could affect our ability to operate our business and may limit our ability to take advantage of potential business opportunities as they arise. See "Description of Certain Financing Arrangements."

OUR EARNINGS COULD DECLINE IF WE WRITE-OFF GOODWILL

As a result of purchase accounting for our various acquisitions, we have accumulated a substantial amount of goodwill, amounting to \$567.1 million as of December 31, 2001. During 2001, we recorded goodwill and long-lived asset impairment writedown provisions of \$333.0 million, which largely resulted from the downturn in the automotive market and the resulting restructuring of our operations. Due to new accounting standards that went into effect on January 1, 2002, goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized but rather tested for impairment annually. As a result, we are currently in the process of performing our initial goodwill impairment review under these new accounting standards. We expect to complete this initial review during the second quarter of 2002 and if we determine that significant impairment has occurred, we would be required to write-off the impaired portion of goodwill, which would be recorded as a cumulative effect adjustment effective as of January 1, 2002. Preliminary indications suggest that we will record a transitional impairment charge in the range of \$100 million to \$200 million. However, we are in the process of hiring an independent appraiser to assist us in finalizing the amount of the charge we will take, if any. It is possible that the amount of any charge that we take after completing the formal appraisal process may not fall within the range suggested by our preliminary analysis. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations -- Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements."

12

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties. You should not place undue reliance on those statements because they only speak as of the date of this prospectus. Forward-looking statements include information concerning our possible or assumed future results of operations, including descriptions of our business strategy. These statements often include words such as "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "estimate," or similar expressions. These statements are based on assumptions that we have made in light of our experience in the industry as well as our perceptions of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors we believe are appropriate under the circumstances. As you read and consider this prospectus, you should understand that these statements are not guarantees of performance or results. They involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Although we believe that these forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, you should be aware that many factors could affect our actual financial results or results of operations and could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. These factors include:

-- the degree to which we are leveraged;

- -- our reliance on major customers and selected models;
- -- the cyclicality and seasonality of the automotive market;
- -- the failure to realize the benefits of recent acquisitions and joint ventures;
- -- obtaining new business on new and redesigned models;
- -- our ability to continue to implement our acquisition strategy;
- -- the ability to achieve the anticipated volume of production from new and planned supply programs;
- -- general economic or business conditions affecting the automotive industry (which is dependent on consumer spending), either nationally or regionally, being less favorable than expected;
- -- our failure to develop or successfully introduce new products;
- -- increased competition in the automotive components supply market;
- -- unforeseen problems associated with international sales, including gains and losses from foreign currency exchange;
- -- implementation of or changes in the laws, regulations or policies governing the automotive industry that could negatively affect the automotive components supply industry;
- -- changes in general economic conditions in the United States and Europe; and
- -- various other factors beyond our control.

All future written and oral forward-looking statements by us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to above. Except for our ongoing obligations to disclose material information as required by the federal securities laws, we do not have any obligation or intention to release publicly any revisions to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances in the future or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. YOU SHOULD ALSO READ CAREFULLY THE FACTORS DESCRIBED IN THE "RISK FACTORS" SECTION OF THIS PROSPECTUS.

13

THE COMPANY

Since our inception in April 1993, our revenues have grown rapidly through a focused strategy of internal growth and a highly disciplined acquisition program. Since 1993, we have successfully completed 14 acquisitions and established joint ventures in China, Mexico, Korea, Japan and the United States. As a result of these acquisitions and internal growth, our revenues have increased from approximately \$86 million in 1993 to approximately \$2.5 billion in 2001.

Set forth below is a brief summary of our significant strategic acquisitions, divestitures and investments in joint ventures since 1993:

Presskam. In November 2000, we completed the acquisition of Strojarne

Malacky, a.s. ("Presskam"), a manufacturer of upper body structural assemblies for Volkswagen, Porsche and Skoda, located near Bratislava, Slovakia. We paid total consideration of approximately \$10 million for Presskam and intend to use the investment to further support Volkswagen's Bratislava assembly operation.

Yorozu. In September 2000, we acquired a 17% equity interest in Yorozu Corporation ("Yorozu"), a supplier of suspension modules and structural parts to the Asian and North American automotive markets, from Nissan Motor Co. Ltd. ("Nissan"). Yorozu is based in Japan and is publicly traded on the first tier of the Tokyo Stock Exchange. Its principal customers include Nissan, Auto Alliance, General Motors, Ford, and Honda. We will pay Nissan approximately \$38 million over two and one half years for the 17% interest. In conjunction with the original investment, we had an option to increase our holdings in Yorozu by 13.8% through the purchase of additional Yorozu shares. In February 2001, we exercised the right to purchase the additional equity interest and will pay Nissan approximately \$30 million over two and one half years for the additional 13.8% interest. The remaining obligation to Nissan, as of December 31, 2001, was \$29.5 million, and is recorded as indebtedness on our balance sheet. The price we paid for the Yorozu equity interest is higher than the current trading price of Yorozu shares on the Tokyo Stock Exchange. We periodically assess our investment in Yorozu to determine the proper carrying value for the investment in our financial statements. Our periodic assessment of value takes into account market value of shares, operating performance and the company's book or liquidation value in ascertaining whether an other than temporary impairment has occurred in the investment. Based on this assessment we do not believe at this time that our investment in Yorozu has suffered an other than temporary impairment.

Caterina. In July 2000, we acquired the remaining 60% equity interest in Metalurgica Caterina S.A. ("Caterina") for approximately \$42 million. The initial 40% interest was acquired in March 1998 for approximately \$48 million. Caterina is a supplier of structural stampings and assemblies to the Brazilian automotive market. This investment (1) provided Tower Automotive with a substantial manufacturing presence in one of the fastest growing automotive markets in the world and (2) added Volkswagen and Mercedes-Benz as new customers in Brazil.

Algoods. In May 2000, we acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Algoods, Inc. ("Algoods") for total consideration of approximately \$33 million. Algoods manufactures aluminum heat shields and impact discs for the North American automotive industry from aluminum mini-mill and manufacturing operations located in Toronto, Canada. Its primary customer is DaimlerChrysler. The acquisition of Algoods represents a significant investment in processing technology for lightweight materials which complements our existing heat shield capabilities and provides opportunities for application in other lightweight vehicle structural products.

Dr. Meleghy. In January 2000, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of Dr. Meleghy GmbH & Co. KG Werkzeugbau und Presswerk, Bergisch Gladbach ("Dr. Meleghy") for approximately \$86 million. Dr. Meleghy designs and produces structural stampings, exposed surface panels and modules for the European automotive industry. Dr. Meleghy also designs and manufactures tools and dies for use in its production and for the external market. Dr. Meleghy operates three facilities in Germany and one facility in each of Hungary and Poland. Dr. Meleghy's principal customers include DaimlerChrysler, Audi, Volkswagen, Ford, Opel and BMW. Products offered by Dr. Meleghy include body side panels, floor pan assemblies, and miscellaneous structural stampings. Under the original purchase agreement, we have paid an additional \$29.6 million for this acquisition based on Dr. Meleghy achieving certain operating targets in 2000.

Seojin. In October 1999, we invested \$21 million for new shares representing a 49% equity interest in Seojin Industrial Company Limited ("Seojin"). Seojin is a supplier of frames, modules and structural components to the Korean automotive industry. In addition, we advanced \$19 million to Seojin in exchange for variable rate convertible bonds due October 30, 2009. The bonds are unsecured and rank equally with all other present and future obligations of Seojin. Interest on the bonds is payable annually beginning October 30, 2000 and each October 30 thereafter until maturity. Under the joint venture investment agreement, we had the right to convert the bonds into common stock of Seojin any time on or after October 30, 2000. The conversion rate is based upon a predetermined formula that increases our equity interest to approximately 66%. On October 31, 2000, we exercised our right to convert the bonds into 17% of the common stock of Seojin. Based upon the formula for conversion of the Seojin variable rate convertible bonds, we paid \$1.2 million for the additional equity interest.

Active. In July 1999, we acquired all of the outstanding stock of Active Tool Corporation and Active Products Corporation (collectively, "Active") for total approximate consideration of \$315 million. Active, which has five facilities, designs and produces a variety of large unexposed structural stampings, exposed surface panels, and modules to the North American automotive industry. Active's main customers include DaimlerChrysler, Ford, General Motors, and Saturn. Products offered by Active include body sides, pick-up box sides, fenders, floor pan assemblies, door panels, pillars, and heat shields. The acquisition of Active enhances our ability to manufacture large and complex structures, as well as exposed surface panels.

IMAR and OSLAMT. In July 1998, we acquired IMAR s.r.l. ("IMAR") and OSLAMT S.p.A. ("OSLAMT"). IMAR designs and manufactures structural parts and assemblies from two facilities in Italy, primarily for Fiat. OSLAMT designs and manufactures tools and assemblies for the automotive market from its facility in Turin, Italy. The purchase price consisted of approximately \$32 million cash plus the assumption of approximately \$17 million of indebtedness. Under the acquisition agreement, we also paid an additional amount of \$15 million, based on the achievement of certain operating targets.

Metalsa. In October 1997, we acquired a 40% equity interest in Metalsa S. de R.L. ("Metalsa"). In addition, we have entered into a technology sharing arrangement which will allow us to utilize the latest available product and process technology. Metalsa is the largest supplier of vehicle frames and structures in Mexico. We paid approximately \$120 million for our equity interest with an additional amount of up to \$45 million payable based upon Metalsa's net earnings through December 31, 2000. Through February 2002, we have paid approximately \$26 million under the earnout provisions of the joint venture investment agreement.

SIMES. In May 1997, we acquired Societa Industria Meccanica e Stampaggio S.p.A. ("SIMES"), an Italian automotive parts manufacturer, for approximately \$51 million in cash, plus an additional \$3 million based on achievement by SIMES of certain operating targets following the acquisition. The acquisition of SIMES (1) significantly expanded our global capabilities by providing us with a manufacturing presence in Europe, (2) added Fiat as a new customer and (3) enhanced our design and engineering capabilities.

APC. In April 1997, we acquired Automotive Products Company ("APC") from A.O. Smith Corporation for approximately \$700 million in cash. APC is a leading designer and producer of structural and suspension components for the automotive, light truck and heavy truck markets. We believe that the acquisition of APC provided several strategic benefits, including:

⁻⁻ expanded product offerings and modular product opportunities;

- -- increased customer penetration within each of the three major North American OEMs and within certain foreign OEMs with manufacturing operations in North America;
- -- increased penetration in the light truck segment and other key models;
- -- complementary new technology;
- -- opportunities to reduce costs and improve operational efficiency; and

15

-- an expanded presence in China, Japan and South America, which complemented our current European initiatives to provide expanded global production capabilities for both North American and international OEMs.

MSTI. In May 1996, we acquired MascoTech Stamping Technologies, Inc. ("MSTI") from MascoTech, Inc. ("MascoTech") for approximately \$79 million, plus an additional \$30 million in earnout payments as certain operating targets were achieved by the MSTI facilities in the first three years following the acquisition. The MSTI acquisition:

- -- expanded our product capabilities into chassis and suspension components;
- -- provided chassis and suspension technology as well as value-added processing technologies including assembling, painting and welding; and
- -- increased our content per vehicle on key light truck and sport utility vehicles such as the Ford F-Series, Explorer and Windstar and the Dodge Ram and Dakota as well as on high volume passenger cars such as the Ford Taurus/Sable.

J.L. French. In October 1999, we loaned \$30 million to J.L. French Automotive Castings, Inc., ("J.L. French") in exchange for a convertible subordinated promissory note due October 14, 2009. In November 2000, we exercised our option to convert the note into 7,124 shares of Class A "1" Common Stock and invested an additional \$2.9 million through the purchase of Class P Common Stock. In May 2000, we invested an additional \$11.0 million through the purchase of Class A Common Stock. During the fourth quarter of 2001, we evaluated our investment in J.L. French and determined it was impaired and therefore, recorded a charge of \$46.3 million to write off our entire investment in J.L. French.

Roanoke Heavy Truck Business. In December 2000, we sold our Roanoke, Virginia heavy truck rail manufacturing business to our joint venture partner, Metalsa, for net proceeds of approximately \$55 million, which approximated the book value of the net assets sold, plus an earnout of up to \$30 million based on achieving certain profit levels over the next three years. The net proceeds were used to repay outstanding indebtedness under the revolving credit facility.

16

The net proceeds from this offering are estimated to be approximately \$204.2 million, or approximately \$235.0 million if the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full. We expect to use the net proceeds to reduce our term loan borrowings under our senior credit facility.

Our senior credit facility has a scheduled final maturity of July 2006. The senior credit facility bears interest at a rate per annum equal to the financial institutions' reference rate, LIBOR, or the Eurodollar rate plus a margin ranging from 0 to 200 basis points depending on the ratio of the consolidated funded debt for our restricted subsidiaries to our total EBITDA (as defined in the senior credit facility). The weighted average interest rate for such borrowing was 7.0% for the year ended December 31, 2001. Borrowings under our term loan were used to refinance indebtedness incurred in connection with previous acquisitions.

In order to borrow under our \$825 million revolving credit facility, we must meet certain covenant ratios. Based on these covenants, the amount of unused availability under the revolving facility was approximately \$103 million at December 31, 2001. Assuming we had completed this offering and used the net proceeds to reduce our term loan borrowings under our senior credit facility as of December 31, 2001, we would have had approximately \$307.2 million, or approximately \$338.0 million if the underwriters exercised their over-allotment option in full, of unused availability under the revolving facility. We expect to continue to incur borrowings under our revolving credit facility from time to time for general corporate and working capital purposes. We do not have the ability to reborrow amounts repaid under the term loan.

MARKET PRICE FOR COMMON STOCK

Our common stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol "TWR." The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low closing sale prices for the common stock on the NYSE.

	LOW	HIGH
2000		
First Quarter	\$11.63	\$17.50
Second Quarter	11.63	17.63
Third Quarter	9.13	13.56
Fourth Quarter	7.13	11.00
2001		
First Quarter	\$ 8.50	\$11.65
Second Quarter	8.70	11.21
Third Quarter	7.01	14.71
Fourth Quarter	5.90	9.65
2002		
First Quarter	\$ 8.19	\$14.00
Second Quarter (through April 26, 2002)	12.73	15.21

The reported last sale price of the common stock on the NYSE as of a recent date is set forth on the cover page of this prospectus. As of March 15, 2002, there were approximately 2,893 holders of record of our outstanding common stock.

DIVIDEND POLICY

During the last five years, we have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock. We have no current intention of paying any cash dividends on our common stock in 2002. Our ability to pay cash dividends on our common stock is dependent on the receipt of dividends or other payments from our operating subsidiaries. The payment of cash dividends to us by such operating subsidiaries for the purpose of paying cash dividends on our common stock is limited by the terms of our senior credit facility. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition."

17

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth as of December 31, 2001: (1) our actual consolidated capitalization; and (2) our as adjusted capitalization giving effect to the sale of common stock offered hereby and the application of the net proceeds as described under "Use of Proceeds." This table should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this prospectus.

	 DECEMBER		
	ACTUAL	_	
	 (IN THOUSA SHARE A	NDS,	EXCEPT
Cash and cash equivalents	21,767		21 , 767
Short-term debt (including current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations)	172 , 083		172 , 083
Long-term debt: Senior credit facility(1): Revolving credit facility. Term loan Industrial development revenue bonds(2). 9.25% senior notes(3). Other foreign subsidiary indebtedness(4). Other(5). 5.0% convertible subordinated notes. Edgewood notes. Less current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations.	325,000 43,765 133,560 136,987 37,817 199,984 50 (172,083)		120,800 43,765 133,560 136,987 37,817 199,984 50 (172,083)
Total long-term debt	805 , 688		601,488
Convertible Trust Preferred Securities(6)			258 , 750
Stockholders' investment: Preferred stock, par value \$1.00; 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding Common Stock, par value \$.01; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 48,077,142 shares outstanding actual;			
63,077,142 shares outstanding as adjusted	481		631

Additional paid-in capital	456,627 40,432 (15,571)	660,677 39,809 (15,571)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	` ' '	(34,561)
Total stockholders' investment	447,408	650 , 985
Total capitalization	\$1,511,846	\$1,511,223
	========	========

- (3) In July, 2000, we sold E150,000 of 9.25% senior notes due 2010. These Euro-denominated notes have been translated into U.S. dollars using a rate of \$0.8901=E1.00, the noon buying rate on December 31, 2001. On April 26, 2002, the noon buying rate was \$0.8980=E1.00.
- (4) Includes: (1) an aggregate of \$94,075 of indebtedness of Seojin, (2) \$16,008 of indebtedness of Dr. Meleghy, and (3) other foreign indebtedness of \$26,904.
- (5) Includes: (1) capitalized lease obligations of \$7,343, (2) \$29,468 of future payment obligations to Nissan in connection with our investment in Yorozu, and (3) other indebtedness of \$1,006.
- (6) Represents the 6 3/4% Convertible Trust Preferred Securities (the "Trust Preferred Securities") issued by the Tower Automotive Capital Trust in June 1998. The sole assets of the Trust are approximately \$266.8 million in principal amount of Tower Automotive's 6 3/4% Convertible Subordinated Debentures due June 30, 2018, such amount being the sum of the stated liquidation preference of the Trust Preferred Securities and the capital contributed by Tower Automotive in exchange for the common securities of the Trust.

18

SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA

The selected consolidated financial data for Tower Automotive presented below for and as of the end of each of the years in the five-year period ended December 31, 2001, is derived from Tower Automotive's consolidated financial statements, which have been audited by Arthur Andersen LLP, independent public accountants. The consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2000 and 2001 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 and the report of independent public accountants thereon are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The consolidated financial statements at December 31, 1997, 1998 and 1999 and for the years ended December 31, 1997 and 1998 are not included herein. The selected consolidated financial data below should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto of Tower Automotive included elsewhere in this prospectus and "Management's Discussion"

⁽¹⁾ The weighted average interest rate for such borrowings was 7.0% at December 31, 2001. Our senior credit facility provides for revolving credit borrowings of up to \$825,000 and a term loan of \$325,000.

⁽²⁾ Reflects indebtedness from the issuance of industrial development revenue bonds to finance the construction of our Bardstown facility and the purchase of related equipment.

and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition."

			·	
2001	2000	1999	1998	1997

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,

	2001	2000	1999	1998	1997
		(IN THOUSANDS,	EXCEPT PER	SHARE AMOUNTS)	
INCOME STATEMENT DATA:					
Revenues	\$2,467,433	\$2,531,953	\$2,170,003	\$1,836,479	\$ 1,235,829
Cost of sales Selling, general and	2,190,248	2,160,359	1,823,103	1,562,167	1,058,720
administrative expense	139,203	137,003	105,950	85 , 169	57 , 869
Amortization expense	24,804	21,517	15,803	13,472	9 , 537
Restructuring and asset					
impairment charge	383,739	141,326			
Operating income (loss)	(270,561)	71,748	225,147	175 , 671	109 , 703
<pre>Interest expense, net Provision (benefit) for income</pre>	73 , 765	64,711	37,981	40,318	28,962
taxes	(73,312)	2,619	74,866	54,143	32,290
Net income (loss)Basic earnings (loss) per	(267 , 524)	13,434	117,088	88,040	46,244
shareDiluted earnings (loss) per	\$ (5.87)	\$ 0.29	\$ 2.50	\$ 1.91	\$ 1.14
share	\$ (5.87)	\$ 0.28	\$ 2.10	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.09
OTHER DATA:					
Capital expenditures, net Depreciation and	\$ 193,955	\$ 93,588	\$ 197,315	\$ 185,138	\$ 117 , 379
amortization	159,893	144,805	111,611	87 , 372	47 , 966
EBITDA(1)	(110,668)	216,553	336,758	263,043	157 , 669
Adjusted EBITDA(2)	273,071	357 , 879	336,758	263,043	157 , 669
Operating activities	513,815	92,648	212,003	221,098	100,904
Investing activities	(199 , 373)	(266,782)	(583 , 894)	(267,401)	(1,006,949
Financing activities BALANCE SHEET DATA:	(296,048)	173 , 890	372,074	49,737	866 , 449
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 21,767	\$ 3,373	\$ 3,617	\$ 3,434	\$
Working capital	(379, 785)	78,753	126,940		140,592
Total assets	2,533,436		2,552,550		1,680,088
Long-term debt Mandatorily redeemable trust	805,688		921,221		743,934
preferred securities	258,750	258,750	258,750	258,750	
Stockholders' investment	447,408	700,095	727,135		515 , 279

^{(1) &}quot;EBITDA" is operating income plus depreciation and amortization. EBITDA does not represent and should not be considered as an alternative to net income or cash flow from operations, as determined by generally accepted accounting principles, and our calculation thereof may not be comparable to that reported by other companies. We believe that it is widely accepted that EBITDA provides useful information regarding a company's ability to service and/or incur indebtedness. This belief is based on our negotiations with our lenders who have indicated that the amount of indebtedness we will be permitted to incur will be based, in part, on our EBITDA. EBITDA does not take into account our working capital requirements, debt service requirements and other commitments and, accordingly, is not necessarily indicative of amounts that may be available for discretionary use.

^{(2) &}quot;Adjusted EBITDA" is EBITDA plus restructuring and asset impairment charges.

19

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

INTRODUCTION

Since our inception in April 1993, when we were formed to acquire R.J. Tower Corporation, our revenues have grown rapidly through a focused strategy of internal growth and a highly disciplined acquisition program. Since 1993, we have successfully completed 14 acquisitions and established six joint ventures in China, Mexico, Korea, Japan and the United States. As a result of these acquisitions and internal growth, our revenues have increased from approximately \$86 million in 1993 to approximately \$2.5 billion in 2001.

Initially, our growth came primarily from acquisitions of North American-based automotive suppliers, some of which had international operations. We succeeded in consolidating a portion of the North American automotive supplier base for structural components and assemblies and established ourselves as a key supplier of those products. Our more recent acquisitions have been intended to strengthen our ability to supply products on a global basis, grow our technology and manufacturing capabilities, and diversify our customer base. See "Recent Transactions" below.

Our rapid growth through acquisitions coincided with an extended period of increased automotive production that resulted in high levels of utilization of our acquired resources and capacity and contributed to periods of strong operating results. Beginning in late 2000, automotive production declined relative to prior periods, leading us to focus our efforts on reducing the capacity of the enterprise and improving the efficiency of our continuing operations. These efforts resulted in two significant restructurings, described in more detail below, that reduced excess capacity, eliminated redundant overhead costs, and reorganized the management structure of our U.S. and Canadian operations. These efforts also involved the divestiture of certain non-core functions, including the sale of our heavy truck business, in December 2000, to our joint venture partner, Metalsa. Prior to these restructurings, we did not undertake any significant reductions in the scope of our operations or any significant capacity rationalizations as a result of or following any of our prior acquisitions.

Our most recent objective has been to reduce indebtedness by maximizing cash flow. Several initiatives, such as extending our accounts payable terms to coincide with prevailing industry practices and accelerating collections from customers took place in 2001. As a result, and as described in more detail below under "Liquidity and Capital Resources," we were able to significantly reduce our indebtedness in 2001 by making net repayments of \$335 million, despite last year's downturn in the automotive industry.

The automotive market continues to be highly cyclical and dependent upon consumer spending. During the third and fourth quarters of 2000, OEMs reduced production levels in response to a decline in consumer demand. This decline continued throughout 2001. Due to the relatively long lead times required to produce many of our complex structural components, it may be difficult, in the short term, for us to obtain new sales to replace any decline in the sales of existing products. As a result, we have implemented and continue to pursue the actions necessary to mitigate the effects of any production downturn, focusing on reducing costs, maximizing our cash return on invested capital, reducing debt balances and matching capital expenditures with operating cash flow.

Our growth in Europe and with foreign transplant operations in the U.S. has

reduced our reliance on Ford and DaimlerChrysler. As a result, revenues from Ford and DaimlerChrysler decreased from 37% and 31% in 2000, respectively, to 35% and 25% in 2001, respectively. We expect this trend to continue as a result of our anticipated organic growth outside the U.S. and from recent awards to supply foreign transplant operations in the U.S.

RECENT TRANSACTIONS

During the last two years, we extended the geographic scope of our manufacturing base through both acquisitions and joint ventures. In November 2000, we acquired Presskam for approximately \$10 million. Located near Bratislava, Slovakia, Presskam manufactures upper body structural assemblies for Volkswagen, Porsche and Skoda. We also acquired a 30.8% interest in Yorozu, a supplier of suspension modules and

20

structural parts to the Asian and North American automotive markets. The total purchase price of approximately \$68 million is payable over a three-year period beginning in September 2000. The remaining obligation of \$29.5 million as of December 31, 2001, is recorded as indebtedness on our balance sheet.

In July 2000, we acquired for approximately \$42 million, the remaining 60% interest in Caterina, a supplier of structural stampings and assemblies to the Brazilian automotive market, including Volkswagen and Mercedes-Benz. In May 2000, we paid approximately \$33 million to acquire Algoods, a Canadian manufacturer of aluminum heat shields and impact discs for the North American automotive industry. The acquisition represented a significant investment in processing technology for lightweight materials to complement our existing heat shield capabilities and provides opportunities for application in other lightweight vehicle structural products. Finally, in January 2000, we acquired German-based Dr. Meleghy for approximately \$86 million, plus an earnout payment of \$29.6 million. Dr. Meleghy designs and produces structural stampings, assemblies, exposed surface panels and modules for the European automotive industry. Dr. Meleghy operates three facilities in Germany and one facility in both Hungary and Poland. Its main customers include DaimlerChrysler, Audi, Volkswagen, Ford, Opel and BMW.

In addition to the purchase of Algoods, we invested in two joint ventures to further improve our technology and manufacturing process capabilities. In January 2001, we invested approximately \$2 million in a joint venture with Carron Industries, located in Inkster, Michigan, to provide us with detail stamping and tooling capabilities, as well as full frame prototyping. In March 2000, we invested \$2.1 million in the formation of a product technology and development joint venture with Defiance Testing & Engineering Services, Inc., a subsidiary of GenTek. This entity provides us with product testing services and allows us to have access to a broader and more cost efficient range of testing capabilities.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth certain income statement data expressed as percentage of our revenue for the years indicated:

YEAR	ENDED	DECEMBER	31,
2001	2(000	1999

INCOME STATEMENT DATA:			
Revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of sales	88.8	85.3	84.0
S, G & A expenses	5.6	5.4	4.9
Amortization expense	1.0	0.8	0.7
Interest expense, net	3.0	2.6	1.8
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(3.0)	0.1	3.4
Equity in earnings of joint ventures	0.7	0.9	0.7

COMPARISON OF THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 TO THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000

Minority interest, net......(0.6) (0.4)

Revenues. Revenues for the year ended December 31, 2001 were \$2,467.4 million, a 2.5% decrease, compared to \$2,532.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. The decrease was comprised of U.S. and Canada volume declines of \$263.0 million, primarily in the following platforms: Dodge Dakota, Durango and Ram pickup; Chrysler LH; Ford Econoline, Focus, Explorer, Expedition, Taurus and Ranger; and Lincoln LS/Jaguar S-Type. Other declines in revenues of \$126.0 million were attributable to a decline in GM light truck program sales and the sale of our heavy truck business in December 2000. These declines were offset by \$324.4 million in incremental revenues associated with the acquisitions of Algoods (May 2000), Caterina (July 2000), Presskam (November 2000) and Seojin (November 2000) and the consolidation of Tower Golden Ring (July 2001).

2.1

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales as a percent of revenues for the year ended December 31, 2001 was 88.8% compared to 85.3% for the year ended December 31, 2000. The decline in the gross profit margin was primarily due to decreased production volumes and product mix changes on light truck, sport utility and other models served by us in North America and increasing sales with lower margins in Europe, Asia and South America. Increased costs associated with the launch of the Ford Explorer, Dodge Ram Truck and Cadillac CTS programs also contributed to the decline in 2001 gross margins as compared to 2000. We experienced an unusually high number of launches in 2001. The costs incurred with respect to these launches exceeded planned costs due to both a greater than expected number of engineering changes from our customers as well as unanticipated launch inefficiencies.

S, G & A Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to \$139.2 million, or 5.6% of revenues, for the year ended December 31, 2001 compared to \$137.0 million, or 5.4% of revenues, for the year ended December 31, 2000. This increase was due primarily to incremental costs of \$12.4 million associated with our acquisition of Algoods, Caterina, Presskam, and Seojin and the consolidation of Tower Golden Ring, offset by \$10.2 million in decreased costs due mainly to reductions in headcount in the consolidation of our engineering and support activities.

Amortization Expense. Amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2001 was \$24.8 million compared to \$21.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. The increase was due to incremental goodwill amortization related to the acquisitions of Algoods, Caterina, Presskam and Seojin.

Interest Expense, net. Interest expense (net of interest income) for the year ended December 31, 2001 was \$73.8 million compared to \$64.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. Interest expense increased due to the (1) full year effect in 2001 of increased borrowings to fund our acquisitions of Algoods, Caterina, Presskam and Seojin and additional equity investment in Yorozu totaling \$23.5 million, offset by (2) decreased interest rates and decreased

(0.5)

spreads associated with the new credit agreement of \$12.7 million, and (3) increased capitalized interest on construction projects of \$1.7 million.

Income Taxes. The effective income tax rate is not comparable between the years as a result of the loss in 2001 compared to income in 2000. The entire amount of the loss in 2001 did not receive tax benefit due to amortization and write-off of nondeductible goodwill, and the provision of a valuation allowance for a capital loss carryforward.

Equity in Earnings of Joint Ventures. Equity in earnings of joint ventures net of tax, was \$17.2 million and \$22.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. These amounts represent our share of the earnings from our joint venture interests in Metalsa, Tower Golden Ring, Yorozu, and DTA Development, in the 2001 period and Metalsa, Caterina, Tower Golden Ring, and Seojin in the 2000 period. The decrease in 2001 was due primarily to the consolidation of Tower Golden Ring beginning in the third quarter of 2001.

Minority Interest. Minority interest for the years ended December 31, 2001 represents dividends, net of income tax benefits, on the 63/4% Convertible Trust Preferred Securities and the minority interest held by the 40% joint venture partners in Tower Golden Ring. Minority interest for the year ended December 31, 2000 represents dividends, net of income tax benefits on the 63/4% Convertible Trust Preferred Securities. The increase in minority interest expense in 2001 was due primarily to the consolidation of Tower Golden Ring in the third quarter of 2001.

COMPARISON OF THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000 TO THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999

Revenues. Revenues for the year ended December 31, 2000 were \$2,532.0 million, a 16.7% increase, compared to \$2,170.0 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. The increase was primarily due to \$506.0 million related to the acquisitions of Active in July 1999, Dr. Meleghy effective January 2000, Algoods in May 2000, Caterina in July 2000, Seojin in November 2000, and Presskam in November 2000. These increases were offset by declines in GM truck programs of \$114.0 million and heavy truck rail manufacturing sales of \$56.6 million, as result of the sharp decline in automotive production in the second half of 2000. Overall net increases on all other platforms, including the Ford Focus, Taurus, Explorer, Expedition,

22

Excursion, and Lincoln LS/Jaguar S-Type, Nissan Xterra and Dodge Dakota, of \$26.6 million composed the balance of the revenue change.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales as a percent of revenues for the year ended December 31, 2000 was 85.3% compared to 84.0% for the year ended December 31, 1999. Despite the incremental sales growth year over year, which occurred predominantly in the first half of 2000, we experienced a decline in gross profit margin due primarily to the combined effects of volume declines in the GM truck sales and heavy truck rail sales, the DaimlerChrysler plant shutdowns, production slowdowns at Ford due to the Firestone tire recall and increasing lower margin foreign sales in 2000 as compared to 1999. The beginning of the launch of the new Ford Explorer program, which was delayed beyond the original start date, also adversely impacted gross profit margin.

S, G & A Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to \$137.0 million, or 5.4% of revenues, for the year ended December 31, 2000 compared to \$106.0 million, or 4.9% of revenues, for the year ended December 31, 1999. The increased expense was due to incremental costs associated with our acquisitions of Active, Dr. Meleghy, Algoods, Caterina, Seojin and Presskam of

\$20.5 million and increased engineering, program development, and launch costs related to new business of approximately \$8.6 million. The realization of gains on the cash settlement of amounts due under the interest rate swap and lock agreements during 1999, had the effect of reducing the 1999 expense by \$1.9 million.

Amortization Expense. Amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2000 was \$21.5 million compared to \$15.8 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. The increase was due to the incremental goodwill amortization related to the acquisitions of Active in 1999 and Dr. Meleghy, Algoods, Caterina, and Presskam in 2000.

Interest Expense, net. Interest expense (net of interest income) for the year ended December 31, 2000 was \$64.7 million compared to \$38.0 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. Interest expense increased due to (1) increased borrowings incurred to fund our acquisitions of Active, Dr. Meleghy, Algoods, Caterina and Presskam of \$23.0 million, (2) increased borrowings to fund our joint venture interest in Yorozu of \$0.6 million, (3) increased interest rates and spreads associated with the new credit agreement of \$8.7 million, and (4) the issuance of the senior Euro notes of \$5.3 million. These increases were offset by increased interest income from the convertible notes in J.L. French and Seojin of \$4.9 million and increased capitalized interest on construction projects of \$6.0 million.

Income Taxes. The effective income tax rate was 37.2% for the year ended December 31, 2000 and 40% for the year ended December 31, 1999. The decrease in the effective rates in 2000 is due primarily as a result of increased income in lower tax jurisdictions.

Equity in Earnings of Joint Ventures. Equity in earnings of joint ventures net of tax was \$22.5 million and \$15.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively. These amounts represent our share of the earnings from our joint venture interests in Metalsa, Caterina, Tower Golden Ring and Seojin. The increase in 2000 was due primarily to the full year impact of earnings related to the Seojin joint venture.

Minority Interest. Minority interest for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999 represents dividends, net of income tax benefits, on the 6 3/4% Convertible Trust Preferred Securities.

RESTRUCTURING AND ASSET IMPAIRMENT CHARGE

Our growth through acquisitions coincided with an extended period of high automotive production that resulted in higher levels of utilization of our acquired resources and capacity and contributed to periods of strong operating results. More recently, as automotive production has declined from previous levels, we have focused our efforts on reducing the capacity of the enterprise and improving the efficiency of our continuing operations. During the 18 month period beginning in the fourth quarter of 2000, we:

- -- divested our non-core heavy truck business,
- -- consolidated our manufacturing operations by closing manufacturing locations in Kalamazoo, Michigan; Sebewaing, Michigan; and certain operations in Milwaukee, Wisconsin,

23

- -- reduced redundant overhead through a consolidation of our technical activities and a reduction of other salaried colleagues, and
- -- reorganized the management of our U.S. and Canada region.

These were accomplished through two restructurings, described in more detail below. The first restructuring, or "2000 Plan," was initiated in October 2000, while the second restructuring, or "2001 Plan," was initiated in October 2001.

The restructuring and asset impairment charges consist of both restructuring charges and non-restructuring related asset impairments, major components of which are discussed in the sections below. The following table summarizes the principal components of these charges for each of the last two years (in millions):

	2001 PLAN	2000 PLAN
Restructuring and related asset impairments		
Asset impairments	\$127.4	\$103.7
Severance and outplacement costs	24.6	25.2
Loss contracts		8.1
Other exit costs	26.1	4.3
Total	178.1	141.3
Other goodwill and asset impairments	170.1	141.5
Goodwill write down	108.6	
Other asset impairments	50.7	
Investment impairment	46.3	
Total	205.6	
Total restructuring and asset impairment charges	\$383.7	\$141.3
	=====	=====
Non-cash charges	\$333.0	\$103.7
	=====	=====
Cash charges	\$ 50.7	\$ 37.6
		=====

Under the 2000 Plan, we realized cash savings of approximately \$32 million in 2001 as a result of reductions in payroll costs directly related to restructuring activities. These cash savings from permanent payroll reductions are expected to be realized annually. Further, under the 2001 Plan, we are expected to realize an additional annual cash savings of approximately \$35 million attributable to permanent payroll reductions that will begin in 2002.

Sebewaing and Milwaukee Press Operations (2001 Plan):

In October 2001, our board of directors approved a restructuring of the enterprise that included the closing of the Sebewaing, Michigan facility. In addition, in December 2001, our board of directors approved a restructuring plan that relates to the consolidation of technical activities and a reduction of other salaried colleagues in conjunction with a reorganization of our U.S. and Canada operations and the relocation of some component manufacturing from our Milwaukee Press Operations to other company locations. As a result of the 2001 Plan, we recorded a restructuring charge in the fourth quarter of 2001 of \$178.1 million, which reflects the estimated qualifying "exit costs" to be incurred over the next 12 months pertaining to the 2001 Plan.

The 2001 Plan charge includes costs associated with asset impairments, severance and outplacement costs related to colleague terminations and certain other exit costs. These activities are anticipated to result in a reduction of more than 700 colleagues in our technical and administrative centers in Novi,

Rochester Hills, and Grand Rapids, Michigan; Milwaukee, Wisconsin; and our U.S. and Canada manufacturing locations. Through December 31, 2001, we had eliminated approximately 270 colleagues pursuant to the 2001 Plan. The

24

estimated restructuring charge does not cover certain aspects of the 2001 Plan, including movement of equipment and colleague relocation and training. These costs will be recognized in future periods as incurred.

The asset impairments consist of long-lived assets, including fixed assets, buildings and manufacturing equipment from the facilities we intend to dispose of or discontinue, and goodwill. The carrying value of the long-lived assets written off was \$127.4 million as of December 31, 2001. For assets that will be disposed of currently, we measured impairment based on estimated proceeds on the sale of the facilities and equipment. These asset impairments have arisen only as a consequence of us making the decision to exit these activities during the fourth quarter of 2001.

Based on the current plan, we anticipate this charge recorded under the 2001 Plan will require cash payments of \$34.9 million combined with the write-off of assets having a book value of \$127.4 million and other future obligations of \$15.8 million. The asset write-offs include \$87.5 million of goodwill associated with Sebewaing and Milwaukee Press Operations, \$20.6 million of property, plant and equipment associated with the Sebewaing operations and \$12.1 million of property, plant and equipment associated with the Milwaukee Press Operations business that will be discontinued. Additionally, there was \$7.2 million of property and building write-downs associated with the decision to consolidate our technical centers.

The accrual for the 2001 Plan is included in accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2001. The table below summarizes the accrued operational realignment and other charges through December 31, 2001 (in millions):

		SEVERANCE AND		
	ASSET	OUTPLACEMENT	OTHER EXIT	
	IMPAIRMENTS	COSTS	COSTS	TOTAL
Provision	\$ 127.4	\$24.6	\$26.1	\$ 178.1
Plan			5.9	5.9
Cash payments		(0.7)	(0.6)	(1.3)
Non-cash charges	(127.4)			(127.4)
Balance at December 31, 2001	\$	\$23.9	\$31.4	\$ 55.3
	======	=====	=====	======

On January 31, 2002, we announced that we will be discontinuing the remaining stamping and ancillary processes currently performed at our Milwaukee Press Operations and relocating the remaining work to other company locations or Tier II suppliers. We expect to complete the transfer process during the second quarter of 2002. As a result of these efforts, we expect to record a restructuring charge in the first quarter of 2002 totaling approximately \$75 million comprised of expected cash payments of \$15 million, asset impairment charges of \$47 million and other future obligations of \$13 million.

Heavy Truck and Kalamazoo Stamping Operations (2000 Plan):

In October 2000, our board of directors approved the 2000 Plan, which was intended to improve our long-term competitive position and lower our cost structure. The 2000 Plan included phasing out the heavy truck rail manufacturing in Milwaukee, Wisconsin; reducing stamping capacity by closing the Kalamazoo, Michigan facility; and consolidating related support activities across the enterprise. We recognized a charge to operations of approximately \$141.3 million in the fourth quarter of 2000, which reflected the estimated qualifying "exit costs" to be incurred over the next 12 months under the 2000 Plan.

The 2000 Plan charge included costs associated with asset impairments, severance and outplacement costs related to colleague terminations, loss contract provisions and certain other exit costs. These activities resulted in a reduction of approximately 850 colleagues.

The asset impairments consisted of long-lived assets, including fixed assets, manufacturing equipment and land, from the facilities we intend to dispose of or discontinue. For assets that were disposed of currently, we measured impairment based on estimated proceeds on the sale of the facilities and equipment. The carrying value of the long-lived assets held for sale on disposal is approximately \$3.8 million as of

25

December 31, 2001. For assets that will be held and used in the future, we prepared a forecast of expected undiscounted cash flows to determine whether asset impairment existed, and used fair values to measure the required write-downs. These asset impairments have arisen only as a consequence of us making the decision to exit these activities during the fourth quarter of 2000.

The 2000 Plan included an accrual of \$37.6 million for expected cash payments and other exit costs, combined with asset impairment charges of \$103.7 million. The accrual for the 2000 Plan has been fully utilized and revised as of December 31, 2001. The table below summarizes the accrued operational realignment and other charges through December 31, 2001 (in millions):

	ASSET IMPAIRMENTS	SEVERANCE AND OUTPLACEMENT COSTS	LOSS CONTRACTS	OTHER EXIT COSTS	TOTAL
Provision for operational					
realignment and other	A 100 F	÷ 05 0	* 0 1		
charges	\$ 103.7	\$ 25.2	\$ 8.1	\$ 4.3	\$ 141.3
Cash payments		(8.7)	(2.5)	(0.3)	(11.5)
Non-cash charges	(103.7)				(103.7)
Balance at December 31,					
2000		16.5	5.6	4.0	26.1
Cash payments		(13.6)	(4.2)	(2.4)	(20.2)
Revision of estimate		(2.9)	(1.4)	(1.6)	(5.9)
Balance at December 31,					
2001	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

======

=====

The following table summarizes the major components of the asset impairment

======

======

charge for the 2000 Plan (in millions):

	CARRYING AMOUNT
Milwaukee Heavy Truck Rail Manufacturing	\$ 47.3
Milwaukee Press Operations Machinery & Equipment	7.9
Milwaukee Shared Services Land & Equipment	19.8
Milwaukee Prototype & Technical Center Building, Machinery &	
Equipment	14.0
Kalamazoo Stamping Operations Land, Building & Equipment	5.7
Granite City Stamping Operations Machinery & Equipment	4.6
Related Stamping & Assembly Machinery & Equipment	4.4
Total	\$103.7
	======

The triggering event for each major component's asset impairment charge was the decision to exit the activities, which was made by our board of directors on October 2, 2000.

Non-restructuring Asset Impairments:

The other goodwill and asset impairment charges recorded in 2001 are a result of our review of the carrying amount of certain of our goodwill, fixed assets and certain investments, in light of our current operating environment, worldwide economic conditions and trends in our industry. Based upon a review of anticipated cash flows, we determined that goodwill assigned to two of our plants was impaired and was written down. In addition, we identified assets which no longer had sufficient cash flows to support their carrying amounts and were written down to fair value, including our investment in J.L. French. At December 31, 2001, we had approximately \$567 million of unamortized goodwill. During the second quarter of 2002, we will perform a review of our goodwill to determine the extent to which any further impairment charges are necessary under the new accounting requirements of SFAS 142. See "--Critical Accounting Policies" and "--Recently Issued Accounting Policies."

26

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The following summarizes our primary sources and uses of cash (in millions):

	YEAR ENDED D	DECEMBER 31,
	2001	2000
Sources of Cash:		
Net income (loss) before depreciation and amortization,		
deferred income taxes, extraordinary loss, equity in		
joint venture earnings, and restructuring and asset		
<pre>impairment charges(1)</pre>	\$ 178.1	\$ 256.7
Proceeds from sale of receivables	15.2	

Decreases in accounts receivable	74.5	111.7
Decrease in inventories	21.4	6.8
Decrease (increase) in prepaid tooling and other assets	129.3	(115.8)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	120.5	(28.3)
Increase (decrease) in accrued liabilities	13.1	(91.5)
Changes in other assets and liabilities	(38.3)	(47.0)
Net cash provided by operating activities	513.8	92.6
Proceeds from issuance of stock	39.0	6.8
Proceeds from debt issuances and net borrowings		207.3
Proceeds from divestiture		55.4
Total sources of cash		\$ 362.1
Uses of Cash:		======
Capital expenditures, net(2)	194.0	93.6
Acquisitions and investments in joint ventures	5.4	228.5
Repurchase of stock		40.2
Increase (decrease) in cash balances	18.4	(0.2)
Net repayments of debt	335.0	
Total uses of cash	\$ 552.8	 \$ 362.1
	======	======

⁽¹⁾ Net income before depreciation and amortization, deferred income taxes, extraordinary loss, equity in joint venture earnings, restructuring and asset impairment charges is computed as follows (in millions):

		======
	\$ 178.1	\$ 256.7
Restructuring and asset impairment charges	383.7	141.3
Equity in earnings of joint ventures	(17.2)	(22.5)
Extraordinary loss on extinguishment of debt		3.0
Deferred income tax benefit	(80.8)	(23.3)
Depreciation and amortization	159.9	144.8
Net income (loss)	\$(267.5)	\$ 13.4

(2) We lease certain equipment utilized in our operations under operating lease agreements. If certain equipment had been purchased instead of leased, capital expenditures would have been \$265.7 million and \$181.8 million in 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Sources of Cash

Our principal sources of cash are cash flow from operations, commercial borrowings and capital markets activities. During the year ended December 31, 2001, we generated \$513.8 million of cash from operations. This compares with \$92.6 million generated during the same period in 2000. Net income before depreciation and amortization, deferred income taxes, extraordinary loss, equity in joint venture earnings, and restructuring and asset impairment charges was \$178.1 million and \$256.7 million for 2001 and 2000, respectively.

2000 for cash restructuring payments, and was increased by net tax refunds of \$12.9 million in 2001 and decreased as a result of net tax payments of \$18.8 million in 2000. In total, working capital and other operating items increased operating cash flow by \$335.7 million during 2001 and decreased operating cash flow by \$164.1 million during 2000.

In August 2001, we realized net proceeds of \$37.5 million from the issuance of 3,636,400 shares of common stock at a price of \$11.00 per share in a private placement transaction. The issuance of stock from our colleague stock purchase plan and option plan contributed an additional \$1.5 million and \$6.8 million to cash flow for 2001 and 2000, respectively.

In June 2001, we entered into a financing agreement whereby our domestic operating units sell eligible customer receivables on an ongoing basis to a newly formed, fully consolidated, financing subsidiary. The financing subsidiary subsequently sells its interest in the receivables to a third party funding agent in exchange for cash and a subordinated interest in the unfunded receivables transferred. We act as an administrative agent in the management and collection of accounts receivable sold. Through December 31, 2001, we realized net cash proceeds of \$15.2 from the sale of receivables.

In July 2000, we replaced our previous \$750 million amortizing credit agreement with a new six-year \$1.15 billion senior unsecured credit agreement. The new credit agreement includes an \$825 million non-amortizing revolving facility and a \$325 million amortizing term loan. The credit agreement also includes a multi-currency borrowing feature that allows us to borrow up to \$500 million in certain freely-tradable offshore currencies and letter of credit sublimits of \$100 million. As of December 31, 2001, \$20.0 million of the outstanding borrowings are denominated in Japanese yen, \$55.6 million are denominated in Euros and \$15.7 million are denominated in Canadian dollars. Interest on borrowings under the credit agreement is at the financial institutions' reference rate, LIBOR, or the Eurodollar rate plus a margin ranging from 0 to 200 basis points depending on the ratio of the consolidated funded debt for our restricted subsidiaries to our total earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA as defined in the credit agreement). The weighted average interest rate for such borrowings was 7.0% for year ended December 31, 2001. The credit agreement has a final maturity of 2006. As a result of the debt replacement, we recorded an extraordinary loss, net of tax, of \$3.0 million during the third quarter of 2000.

At December 31, 2001, we had borrowed approximately \$101 million under our revolving credit facility of \$825 million. In order to borrow under the revolving facility, we must meet certain covenant ratios. Based on these covenants, the amount of unused availability under the revolving facility was approximately \$103 million at December 31, 2001, compared to unused availability of approximately \$326 million at December 31, 2000. This reduction in availability resulted from a decrease in trailing four-quarter EBITDA, offset in part by an increase in availability due to the reduction of indebtedness (as defined in the credit agreement) between the periods. The credit agreement requires us to meet certain financial tests, including but not limited to a minimum interest coverage and maximum leverage ratio. The covenant conditions contained in the credit agreement also limit our ability to pay dividends to the available borrowings under the revolving facility. As of December 31, 2001, we were in compliance with all debt covenants. We are currently pursuing other financing alternatives in order to refinance existing bank indebtedness and thereby reduce our dependence on commercial lenders. Our ability to complete these transactions will depend on future capital market conditions.

In September 2000, we entered into an interest rate swap contract to hedge against interest rate exposure on approximately \$160 million of our floating rate indebtedness under the credit agreement. The contracts have the effect of converting the floating rate interest to a fixed rate of approximately 6.9%,

plus any applicable margin required under the revolving credit facility. The interest rate swap contract was executed to balance our fixed-rate and floating-rate debt portfolios and it expires in September 2005.

In July 2000, R.J. Tower Corporation, our wholly owned subsidiary, issued Euro-denominated senior unsecured notes in the amount of E150 million (\$133.6 million at December 31, 2001). The notes bear interest at a rate of 9.25%, payable semi-annually. The notes rank equally with all of our other unsecured and unsubordinated debt. The net proceeds after issuance costs were used to repay a portion of our existing Euro-denominated indebtedness under our credit facility. The notes mature on August 1, 2010.

2.8

Uses of Cash

Our principal uses of cash are debt repayment, capital expenditures and acquisitions and investments in joint ventures. Net cash used in investing activities was \$199.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2001, as compared to \$266.8 million in the prior period. Net capital expenditures totaled \$194.0 million and \$93.6 million for the comparable 2001 and 2000 periods, respectively. Net cash used in financing activities totaled \$296.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, compared with net cash provided by financing activities of \$173.9 million in the prior period. Net repayments of debt were \$335.0 million in 2001, with net proceeds from borrowings of \$207.3 million in 2000.

Capital expenditures were \$194.0 million and \$93.6 million in 2001 and 2000, respectively. We lease ceratin equipment utilized in our operations under operating lease agreements. If certain equipment had been purchased instead of leased, capital expenditures would have been \$265.7 million and \$181.8 million in 2001 and 2000, respectively. The equipment leased during 2001 and 2000 was primarily associated with new customer programs such as the Dodge Ram and Cadillac CTS programs that launched during 2001. We intend to continue to utilize operating lease financing on occasion when the effective interest rate equals or is lower than our cost of capital and the lease terms match the expected life of the respective program. Annual operating lease payments under our lease agreements range from \$54 million to \$48 million over the next five years. Operating lease expense is included in cost of sales in our statements of operations.

Capital expenditures in 2001 also included investment in other new programs, additional capabilities in Europe, and maintenance, safety and productivity improvements. We estimate our 2002 capital expenditures will be approximately \$155 million. Where appropriate, we may lease rather than purchase such equipment, which would have the effect of reducing this anticipated level of capital expenditures.

Acquisitions and investments in joint ventures were approximately \$5.4 million and \$228.5 million for the 2001 and 2000 periods, respectively, offset by proceeds from the sale of our heavy truck business of \$55.4 million during 2000. During 2000, we used cash of \$40.2 million to repurchase common stock.

Working Capital

During the year ended December 31, 2001, working capital decreased by approximately \$458.5 million. During that year, we focused specifically on working capital improvement in executing our objectives of reducing indebtedness by maximizing free cash flow. In addition to the items listed above, several key initiatives were utilized, the results of which contributed to the working capital decrease during the year and resulted in the significant improvement in cash generated from operations. Approximately \$120.5 million of the decrease in

working capital was comprised of an increase in accounts payable by renegotiating terms with key suppliers to terms that are more reflective of industry norms. A decrease of accounts receivable of approximately \$74.5 million resulted primarily from our participation in specific receivable programs with key customers. These programs allow for accelerated collection of receivables from key customers, subject to interest charges ranging from 7.25% to 8.5% at an annualized rate. Working capital also decreased as a result of the collection of tooling receivables and the receipt of proceeds from certain operating lease arrangements that were finalized in 2001 but pertained to dedicated capital costs incurred in 2000 in anticipation of the completion of those leases. We also emphasized the maintenance of low inventory levels.

We expect to continue to maintain a low working capital position through a continuation of the efforts discussed above and continued focus on minimizing the length of the cash flow cycle. We believe that the available borrowing capacity under our credit agreement, together with funds generated by operations, should provide sufficient liquidity and capital resources to pursue our business strategy for the foreseeable future, with respect to working capital, capital expenditures, and other operating needs.

29

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

Our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2001 are as follows:

PAYMENTS DUE BY PERIOD

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS	TOTAL	LESS THAN 1 YEAR	1-3 YEARS	4-5 YEARS	AFTER 5 YEARS
Long-term debt Convertible Subordinated	\$ 770,444	\$169 , 360	\$174 , 842	\$232,331	\$193 , 911
Notes(1)	199,984		199,984		
Capital lease obligations	7,343	3,227	4,116		
Operating leases	383,244	54 , 177	106,853	100,787	121,427
Balance at December 31, 2001	\$1,361,015	\$226 , 764	\$485 , 795	\$333 , 118	\$315 , 380

⁽¹⁾ The Convertible Subordinated Notes are due on August 1, 2004 and are convertible into our common stock at a conversion price of \$25.88 per share; therefore, they have been included as part of the contractual obligations in the 1-3 year period above.

LOSS CONTRACTS, FACILITY SHUTDOWN AND PAYROLL RELATED COSTS

We are committed under certain existing agreements, assumed in connection with prior acquisitions, to supply product to our customers at selling prices that are not sufficient to cover the direct costs to produce those parts. We are obligated to supply these products for the life of the related vehicles, which is typically three to ten years. Accordingly, we recognize losses at the time

At December 31, 2001, our commercial commitments included \$100 million of standby letters of credit which are available under the terms of our \$1.15 billion senior unsecured credit agreement.

these losses are probable and reasonably estimable at an amount equal to the minimum amount necessary to fulfill our obligations to our customers. The reserves established in connection with these recognized losses are reversed as the product is shipped to the customers.

Our acquisitions have been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the assets acquired and liabilities assumed have been recorded at fair value as of the dates of the acquisitions. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed has been recorded as goodwill. Results of operations for these acquisitions have been included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements since the dates of acquisition.

In conjunction with our acquisitions, reserves have been established for certain costs associated with facility shutdown and consolidation activities, for general and payroll related costs primarily for planned colleague termination activities, and for provisions for acquired loss contracts. A rollforward of these reserves is as follows (in millions):

	FACILITY SHUTDOWN COSTS	PAYROLL RELATED COSTS	LOSS CONTRACTS
December 31, 1999 Additions Utilizations	\$13.8	\$ 6.4	\$ 24.8
	1.0		12.3
	(7.5)	(2.6)	(8.4)
December 31, 2000	7.3	3.8	28.7
	(2.1)	(2.7)	(11.7)
December 31, 2001	\$ 5.2	\$ 1.1	\$ 17.0
	====	=====	=====

The timing of facility shutdown and consolidation activities were adjusted to reflect customer concerns with supply interruption. As of December 31, 2001, the facilities have been shutdown, but we continue to incur costs related to maintenance, taxes and other costs related to buildings that are held for sale. These reserves

30

have been utilized as originally intended and management believes the liabilities recorded for shutdown and consolidation activities are adequate but not excessive as of December 31, 2001.

EFFECTS OF INFLATION

Inflation generally affects us by increasing the interest expense of floating-rate indebtedness and by increasing the cost of labor, equipment and raw materials. Management believes that inflation has not significantly affected our business over the past 12 months. However, because selling prices generally cannot be increased until a model changeover, the effects of inflation must be offset by productivity improvements and volume from new business awards.

MARKET RISK

We are exposed to various market risks, including changes in foreign

currency exchange rates and interest rates. Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as foreign currency exchange and interest rates. Our policy is to not enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. We periodically enter into financial instruments to manage and reduce the impact of changes in interest rates.

Interest rate swaps are entered into as a hedge of underlying debt instruments to effectively change the characteristics of the interest rate without actually changing the debt instrument. Therefore, these interest rate swap agreements convert outstanding floating rate debt to fixed rate debt for a period of time. For fixed rate debt, interest rate changes affect the fair market value but do not impact earnings or cash flows. Conversely for floating rate debt, interest rate changes generally do not affect the fair market value but do impact future earnings and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant.

At December 31, 2001, we had total debt and obligations under capital leases of \$977.8 million. The debt is comprised of fixed rate debt of \$493.6 million and floating rate debt of \$484.2 million. The pre-tax earnings and cash flows impact for the next year resulting from a one percentage point increase in interest rates on variable rate debt would be approximately \$4.8 million, holding other variables constant. A one percentage point increase in interest rates would not materially impact the fair value of the fixed rate debt.

A portion of our revenues were derived from manufacturing operations in Europe, Asia and South America. The results of operations and financial position of our foreign operations are principally measured in its respective currency and translated into U.S. dollars. The effects of foreign currency fluctuations in Europe, Asia and South America are somewhat mitigated by the fact that expenses are generally incurred in the same currency in which revenues are generated. The reported income of these subsidiaries will be higher or lower depending on a weakening or strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the respective foreign currency.

A portion of our assets are based in our foreign operations and are translated into U.S. dollars at foreign currency exchange rates in effect as of the end of each period, with the effect of such translation reflected as a separate component of stockholders' investment. Accordingly, our consolidated stockholders' investment will fluctuate depending upon the weakening or strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the respective foreign currency.

Our strategy for management of currency risk relies primarily upon conducting our operations in a country's respective currency and may, from time to time, engage in hedging programs intended to reduce the our exposure to currency fluctuations. As of December 31, 2001, we held no foreign currency hedge positions. Management believes the effect of a one percent appreciation or depreciation in foreign currency rates would not materially affect our financial position or results of operations for the periods presented.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

We believe the following represent our critical accounting policies:

Goodwill and Impairment of Long-Lived Assets--We perform impairment analyses of our recorded goodwill and long-lived assets whenever events and circumstances indicate that they may be impaired. When

31

the undiscounted cash flows, without interest or tax charges, are less than the

carrying value of the assets being reviewed for impairment, the assets are written down to fair market value. During 2001, we recorded goodwill and long-lived asset impairment writedown provisions of \$333.0 million, which largely resulted from the downturn in the automotive market and the resulting restructuring of our operations. Future events could cause us to conclude that additional impairment indicators exist and that goodwill associated with our acquired business is further impaired. Any resulting impairment loss could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

In 2002, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" (SFAS 142) became effective and as a result, we will cease to amortize approximately \$567 million of goodwill. We had recorded approximately \$21 million of amortization on these amounts during 2001 and would have recorded approximately \$16 million of amortization during 2002 after recording 2001 goodwill writedowns of approximately \$196 million. In lieu of amortization, we are required to perform an initial impairment review of our goodwill in 2002 and an annual impairment review thereafter. The initial review is expected to be completed during the second quarter of 2002. There can be no assurance that at the time the review is completed a material impairment charge will not be recorded.

Other Loss Reserves—We have other loss reserves such as purchase accounting reserves, restructuring reserves, and loss contract reserves that require the use of estimates and judgment with regard to risk exposure and ultimate liability. Reserves for loss contracts are estimated by determining which parts are being sold pursuant to loss contracts and determining an estimate of the per-part negative margin. Additionally, we must estimate the volumes that we are committed to sell over the life of the related production cycle. Other losses are estimated using consistent and appropriate methods; however, changes to the assumptions could materially affect the recorded liabilities for loss.

Customer Tooling and Other Design Costs—As indicated in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, we incur costs related to tooling. Based on the fact that we have the contractual right to use the tool over the life of the supply arrangement, these costs are capitalized and amortized over the life of the related product.

Pension and Other Post-Employment Benefits—The determination of the obligation and expense for pension and other postretirement benefits is dependent on the selection of certain assumptions used by actuaries in calculating such amounts. Those assumptions are described in Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and include, among others, the discount rate, expected long—term rate of return on plan assets and rates of increase in compensation and healthcare costs. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, actual results that differ from these assumptions are accumulated and amortized over future periods and therefore, generally affect the recognized expense and recorded obligation in such future periods. While we believe that the assumptions are appropriate, significant differences in the actual experience or significant changes in the assumptions may materially affect the pension and other postretirement obligations and the future expense.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

On June 29, 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 141, "Business Combinations," and SFAS 142, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets." Major provisions of these Statements are as follows: all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 must use the purchase method of accounting; the pooling of interest method of accounting is prohibited except for transactions initiated before July 1, 2001; intangible assets acquired in a business combination must be recorded separately from goodwill if they arise from contractual or other legal rights or are separable

from the acquired entity and can be sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either individually or as part of a related contract, asset or liability; goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized but tested for impairment annually, except in certain circumstances, and whenever there is an impairment indicator; all acquired goodwill must be assigned to reporting units for purposes of impairment testing; effective January 1, 2002, goodwill is no longer subject to amortization.

32

We will apply the new rules on accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2002. As of December 31, 2001, we have unamortized goodwill of \$567.1 million that will be subject to the transition provisions of the Statements. We have not yet determined the impact of adopting these Statements on our earnings and financial position, including whether we will be required to recognize any transitional impairment losses as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle. Application of the nonamortization provisions of the Statements is expected to result in a reduction in goodwill amortization expense of approximately \$16 million in fiscal 2002, after reflecting the writedowns of goodwill totaling \$196.1 million, which were recorded during 2001.

In July 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The provisions of this Statement provide a single accounting model for impairment of long-lived assets. The adoption of SFAS No. 144 on January 1, 2002 is not expected to have a material impact on our financial position or our results of operations.

33

BUSINESS

BACKGROUND

We are a leading global designer and producer of structural components and assemblies used by every major automotive original equipment manufacturer, or "OEM," including Ford, DaimlerChrysler, General Motors ("GM"), Honda, Toyota, Renault/Nissan, Fiat, Hyundai/Kia, BMW, Volkswagen Group, and Isuzu. Our current products include automotive body structural stampings and assemblies including exposed sheet metal ("Class A") components, lower vehicle structural stampings and assemblies, and suspension components, modules and systems. We believe we are the largest independent global supplier of structural components and assemblies to the automotive market (based on net revenues).

We have over 60 manufacturing, product development, and administrative facilities located in the U.S., Canada, Mexico, Brazil, India, Germany, Hungary, Poland, Slovakia, Italy, France, Spain, Japan, China and Korea. We continue to broaden our geographic coverage and strengthen our ability to supply products on a global basis as a result of our acquisition strategy, targeted organic growth and disciplined manufacturing efficiency and productivity initiatives.

Since our inception in April 1993, when we were formed to acquire R.J. Tower Corporation, our revenues have grown rapidly through a focused strategy of internal growth and a highly disciplined acquisition program. Since 1993, we have successfully completed 14 acquisitions and established six joint ventures in China, Mexico, Korea, Japan and the United States. As a result of these acquisitions and internal growth, our revenues have increased from approximately \$86 million in 1993 to approximately \$2.5 billion in 2001.

We operate in the large and highly fragmented structural segment of the automotive supply industry, which has continued to undergo significant consolidation. In order to lower costs and improve quality, OEMs are reducing their supplier base by awarding sole-source contracts to full-service suppliers who are able to supply larger portions of a vehicle on a global basis. OEMs' criteria for supplier selection include not only cost, quality and responsiveness, but also full-service design, engineering and program management capabilities. OEMs are increasingly seeking suppliers capable of providing complete systems or modules rather than suppliers who only provide separate component parts. In addition, OEMs are increasingly requiring their suppliers to have the capability to design and manufacture their products in multiple geographic markets. As a full-service supplier with strong OEM relationships, we expect to continue to benefit from these trends within the structural segment of the automotive supply industry.

Approximately 72% of our 2001 revenues were generated from sales in North America. We supply products for many of the most popular car, light truck and sport utility models, including the Honda Accord and Civic, Toyota Camry, Ford Taurus, Focus, Explorer, Ranger and F-Series pickups, Chevrolet Silverado and GMC Sierra pickups, and Dodge Ram pickup. Approximately 11% of our 2001 revenues were generated from sales in Europe and 15% in Asia. The remaining 2% of revenue was generated from sales in South America.

OUR BUSINESS STRATEGY

Our strategy is to capitalize upon our position as the largest independent global supplier of automotive structural components and assemblies in order to take advantage of the opportunities arising from the consolidation, globalization and modular sourcing trends in the automotive supply industry. The ultimate goal of this strategy is to maximize return on invested capital and create long-term value for shareholders. Key elements of our operating and growth strategies are outlined below:

OPERATING STRATEGY

Offer Full-Service Technical Design, Engineering and Program Management Capabilities. We continue to build our competitive advantage through investment in product development, advanced engineering and program management. As a result of this investment, and of consolidation among suppliers of automotive structural components and assemblies, we believe that we are one of only a select group of suppliers able to

34

provide automotive OEMs with full service technical design, engineering and program management capabilities with respect to the entire body structure of a vehicle on a global basis. We work with OEMs throughout the product development process from concept vehicle and prototype development through the design and implementation of manufacturing processes to provide full-service capabilities to our customers. In some cases, we place design engineers at customer facilities to coordinate our product design efforts with those of our OEM customers.

Further Enhance Global Presence. We strive to offer manufacturing and support services to our customers on a global basis through a combination of international wholly owned subsidiaries and by entering into joint ventures and partnerships with foreign suppliers. Outside of North America, we have technical/customer service centers in Yokohama, Japan; Turin, Italy; Hyderabad, India; Bergisch-Gladbach, Germany; and Sao Paulo, Brazil. We also have relocated certain technical personnel resources to locations where OEMs are developing "world cars" which can be designed in one vehicle center to a single global

standard but produced and sold in different geographic markets. We believe that these global, technical and manufacturing capabilities have led to the award of several, major new programs to us.

Continue to Optimize Manufacturing Efficiency and Quality. In response to OEMs' increasingly stringent production specifications, we have implemented manufacturing practices designed to maximize product quality and timeliness of delivery to reduce waste and enhance efficiency. We have continued to upgrade our manufacturing equipment and processes through selective investment in new equipment, maintenance of existing equipment and efficient utilization of manufacturing engineering personnel. In order to maximize return on invested capital, we increasingly employ flexible manufacturing processes that allow us to maximize equipment utilization in meeting customer expectations for product quality and timely delivery. Consistent with this strategy, we, where appropriate, outsource the production of select commodity components to Tier II manufacturers, as well as seek to provide administrative services to these manufacturers to maximize supply chain efficiency and return on invested capital. We monitor existing manufacturing capacity relative to expected capacity, which is determined primarily by current and expected business backlog and by opportunities to outsource commodity operations to Tier II manufacturers. As a result, beginning in the second half of 2000, we began restructuring our operations to reduce excess manufacturing capacity and improve the efficiency of our operations.

Maintain Decentralized, Participative, Incentive-Based Culture. Our decentralized approach to managing our manufacturing facilities encourages decision making and colleague participation in areas such as manufacturing processes and customer service. Our leadership team meets frequently at various company locations in order to maintain a unified corporate culture. To increase colleague productivity, we utilize incentive programs for all salaried and hourly colleagues that provide incentives for colleagues who take advantage of continuous improvement programs and who provide cost savings ideas.

GROWTH STRATEGY

Our growth strategy comprises two fundamental elements; increased organic growth and the pursuit of strategic acquisitions, alliances and partnerships.

Increase Organic Growth. We actively pursue increased organic growth from both new and replacement vehicle programs. Specifically, it is our belief that the following competitive strengths have played, and will continue to play, an important role in achieving our organic growth objectives:

- -- Scale position as the largest independent supplier of automotive structural components and assemblies,
- -- Full-service technical design, engineering and program management capabilities,
- -- Global engineering and manufacturing presence,
- -- Modular product capabilities,

35

- -- Strong customer relationships with key domestic and foreign automotive OEMs, and
- -- Strong financial position relative to most competitors.

As a result of these competitive strengths, and the efforts to increase

organic growth, we were awarded programs in 2001 that, based on independent estimates of expected program volumes and current expectations of program pricing, represent more than \$760 million in annual revenues. These programs are scheduled to launch over the next three years and be in full production by 2005. These program awards represent business associated with new platforms or platforms for which we were not the previous supplier and will help to further the diversification of our customer base. The proportion of revenues from Ford and DaimlerChrysler, our two largest customers, has decreased from 68% in 2000 to 60% in 2001. Of the \$760 million in annual revenues represented by the new program awards described above, 43% is expected to be derived from Ford and DaimlerChrysler and 57% is expected to be derived from other customers including Nissan, GM and BMW. With the exception of the Dodge Durango frame, we also continue to retain a substantial portion of our revenue associated with existing vehicle platforms as they are replaced by newer models.

In order to better target acceptable returns on new business, beginning in 2000, we adopted a return on invested capital-based approach to evaluating the attractiveness of potential new programs. Specifically, the objective is to take on only those programs that are expected to provide an acceptable return on invested capital after taking into account such factors as expected unit volume and pricing, ability to utilize existing manufacturing capacity for that program, requirements for investment in new capital equipment and the flexibility to use such new capital equipment for other programs.

Pursue Strategic Acquisitions, Alliances and Partnerships. Strategic acquisitions, alliances and partnerships have contributed significantly to our growth. We continue to believe that consolidation in the automotive supply industry will provide further attractive opportunities to either acquire, or purchase a majority or minority ownership interest in, companies that complement our existing business. We seek to make acquisitions that:

- -- Provide additional product, manufacturing and technical capabilities,
- -- Broaden our geographic coverage domestically and strengthen our ability to supply products on a global basis,
- -- Increase both the number of models for which we supply products and the content supplied for existing models,
- -- Add new customers,
- -- Facilitate outsourcing opportunities from the in-house operations of new and existing customers,
- -- Facilitate capacity rationalization and restructuring opportunities, and
- -- Provide at least a minimum targeted return on invested capital.

In 2001, over 70% of total worldwide passenger vehicle production occurred outside North America. As a result, a particular focus for us has been and will continue to be to pursue acquisitions or develop strategic partnerships and alliances that strengthen our ability to supply our products on a global basis. Consistent with this strategy, we have formed, or are in the process of forming, strategic alliances with other suppliers throughout the world, including those located in Europe, Asia and South America. For example, we have majority-owned operations in Asia through our 66% interest in Seojin in Korea and our 60% interest in Tower Golden Ring, which manufactures structural components in China. We also have equity interests in Mexico through our 40% interest in Metalsa and in Japan through our 30.8% interest in Yorozu. Increased international sales are intended to mitigate the effects of cyclical downturns in a given geographic region and further diversify our OEM customer base.

36

INDUSTRY TRENDS

Our performance and growth is directly related to certain trends within the automotive market, including the consolidation of the component supply industry, the increase in global sourcing and the growth of system/ modular sourcing. It is also directly related to automotive production, which is cyclical and depends on general economic conditions and consumer confidence.

Continuation of Supplier Consolidation. In order to lower costs and improve quality, OEMs have continued to reduce their supply base by awarding sole-source contracts to full-service suppliers who are able to supply larger segments of a vehicle. OEMs' criteria for supplier selection include not only cost, quality and responsiveness, but also full-service design, engineering and program management capabilities. As a result, over the past decade, the automotive supply industry has been undergoing significant consolidation. Furthermore, in 2001 a number of suppliers experienced financial difficulties, which is likely to result in further consolidation activity as the operations of these suppliers are acquired and rationalized by larger, more capable suppliers. For full-service suppliers such as Tower Automotive, this environment provides an opportunity to grow both organically, by obtaining business previously provided by other non-full service suppliers, and through acquisition, by acquiring suppliers that further enhance product, manufacturing and service capabilities. OEMs rigorously evaluate suppliers on the basis of product quality, cost control, reliability of delivery, product design capability, financial strength, new technology implementation, quality and condition of facilities and overall management. Suppliers that obtain superior ratings are considered for sourcing new business. Although these new OEM policies have already resulted in significant consolidation of component suppliers in certain segments, we believe that consolidation within the structural and suspension component segments of the automotive industry will continue to provide attractive opportunities to acquire or partner with companies that complement our existing business.

Global Sourcing. Regions such as Asia, Latin America, Mexico and Eastern Europe are expected to experience significant growth in vehicle demand over the next ten years. OEMs are positioning themselves to reach these emerging markets in a cost-effective manner by seeking to design and produce "world cars" which can be designed in one vehicle center to a single global standard but produced and sold in different geographic markets, thereby allowing OEMs to reduce design costs, take advantage of low-cost manufacturing locations and improve product quality and consistency. OEMs increasingly are requiring their suppliers to have the capability to design and manufacture their products in multiple geographic markets. In order to best meet these OEM requirements, we have over 24 manufacturing facilities outside the U.S., including locations in Canada, Brazil, Germany, Italy, Poland, Slovakia, Hungary, Korea, and China. In addition, our alliance/ partnership relationships provide access to new geographic markets and customers.

A more recent trend beyond the development of "world cars," is the consolidation of platforms across OEMs through joint development efforts. GM and Fiat have announced plans to combine platforms across the two companies. DaimlerChrysler with Mitsubishi and Renault with Nissan will combine platforms as well. OEMs also plan to create common component sets across platforms in areas such as powertrain, axles, suspensions, HVAC, and other areas, thereby reducing the degree of product differentiation.

System/Modular Sourcing. OEMs are increasingly seeking suppliers capable of providing complete systems or modules. A system is a group of components,

which may be dispersed throughout the vehicle, yet operate together to provide a specific engineering function. Modules, on the other hand, consist of subassemblies at a specific location in the vehicle, incorporating components from various functional systems, which are assembled and shipped to the OEM ready for installation in a vehicle as a unit. By outsourcing complete systems or modules, OEMs are able to reduce their costs associated with the design and integration of different components and improve quality by enabling their suppliers to assemble and test major portions of the vehicle prior to production. We have capitalized on the system/modular sourcing trend among OEMs by offering customers higher value-added supply capabilities through an increasing focus on the production of assemblies consisting of multiple component parts that are welded or otherwise fastened together by us. We have the ability to supply OEMs with modules consisting of integrated assemblies and component parts that can be installed as a unit in a vehicle at the OEM assembly plant.

37

OEM Consolidation. The recent acquisition and consolidation activity among select OEMs has not led to the disadvantage of the smaller OEMs in the industry as previously predicted. Rather, smaller OEMs such as Peugeot, Honda, Hyundai/Kia, and BMW have strengthened their positions in the industry and their financial performance, while some of the larger OEMs have struggled to successfully integrate acquisitions. Our global capabilities have allowed us to continue to serve as a valuable supplier to those smaller producers.

PRODUCTS

We produce a broad range of structural components and assemblies, many of which are critical to the structural integrity of a vehicle. Many of our stamped, formed and welded components and assemblies are attached directly to the frame of an automobile at the OEM assembly plant and comprise the major structure of a vehicle. Our products generally can be classified into the following categories: body structures and assemblies; lower vehicle structures; suspension modules and systems; and suspension components. A brief summary of each of our principal product categories follows:

PRODUCT CATEGORY/DESCRIPTION

Body structures and assemblies:

Products that form the basic upper body structure of the vehicle and include large metal stampings such as body pillars, roof rails, side sills, parcel shelves and intrusion beams. Current assemblies include a broad array of highly engineered parts such as fuel filler assemblies, which are attached to both lower vehicle and body structures. This category also includes Class A surfaces and modules. Class A surfaces include exposed sheet metal components such as body sides, pick-up box sides, door panels and fenders. The capability to produce these types of components complements our substantial presence in lower vehicle and body structures and allows for the combination of these offerings into modules for supply to customers.

Lower vehicle structures:

Products that form the basic lower body structure of the vehicle and include large metal stampings from both traditional and hydroforming methods, such as pickup truck and SUV full frames, automotive engine cradles, floor pan components and cross members. Critical to the strength and safety of vehicles, these products carry the load of the vehicle and provide crash integrity.

Suspension modules and systems:

Products include axle assemblies which consist of stamped metal trailing axles, assembled brake shoes, hoses and tie rods and front and rear structural suspension modules/systems, which consist of control arms, suspension links and value-added assemblies and systems comprised of components that it manufactures as well as those produced by other manufacturers. Assemblies are groups of components grouped according to their relative location, while systems are components grouped based upon providing functionality. We sell this expertise to OEMs who have been increasingly outsourcing assemblies and systems in order to reduce production and inventory management costs.

Suspension components:

Products include stamped, formed and welded products such as control arms, suspension links, track bars, spring and shock towers and trailing axles. Critical to the ride, handling and noise characteristics of a vehicle, suspension components are a natural extension of our larger structural components.

Other:

We manufacture a variety of other products, including heat shields and other precision stampings, for our OEM customers.

38

The following table summarizes the approximate composition by product category of our global revenues for the last two fiscal years:

	YEAR I	
PRODUCT CATEGORY	2001	2000
Body structures & assemblies (including Class A surfaces)	39%	39%
Lower vehicle structures	36	41
Suspension modules & systems	15	11
Suspension components	8	8
Other	2	1
TOTAL	100%	100%
	===	===

CUSTOMERS AND MARKETING

The North American automotive manufacturing market is dominated by GM, Ford and DaimlerChrysler, with the Japanese and European OEMs representing approximately 23% of production in this market in 2001. We currently supply our products primarily to Ford, DaimlerChrysler, GM, Honda, Toyota, and Nissan in North America. As a result of past growth strategies, we have further expanded our global presence and have increased penetration into certain existing customers and added new customers such as Fiat, BMW, Volkswagen, Nissan, and Hyundai/Kia.

OEMs typically award contracts that cover parts to be supplied for a particular vehicle model or platform. Such contracts range from one year to over the life of the model, which is generally three to ten years and do not require the purchase by the OEM of any minimum number of parts. We also compete for new

business to supply parts for successor models and therefore are subject to the risk that the OEM will not select us to produce parts on a successor model. We supply parts for a broad cross-section of both new and mature models, thereby reducing our reliance on any particular model. For example, we supply parts for substantially all models produced by Ford, Honda and Toyota in North America and also currently supply DaimlerChrysler with substantially all of its full frame requirements.

Following is a summary of the global composition of significant customers for the last two fiscal years:

	YEAR DECEME	ENDED ER 31,
CUSTOMER	2001	2000
Ford/Jaguar/Volvo	35%	37%
DaimlerChrysler	25 12	31 4
General Motors	4	5
Fiat	4	4
VW Group	4	2
Honda	3	3
Toyota	2	2
Nissan	1	1
Other	10	11
TOTAL	100%	100%
	===	===

39

Below is a summary of our sales by geographic region for the last two fiscal years:

	YEAR DECEMB	
GEOGRAPHIC CATEGORY	2001	2000
U.S. and Canada	72%	85%
Asia	15	4
Europe	11	10
Mexico and South America	2	1
TOTAL	100%	100%
		===

The following table presents an overview of the major models for which we supply products:

CUSTOMER	CAR MODELS	TRUCK MODELS
Ford	Taurus/Sable, Mustang, Focus, Escort, Crown Victoria/Grand Marquis, Cougar, Continental, Lincoln LS/ Jaguar S-Type, Thunderbird, Towncar, Mondeo, Fiesta	Explorer/Mountaineer, Explorer Sport Trac, Explorer Sport, Econoline, Villager, Windstar, Escape, Expedition, Excursion, Ranger, F-Series LD & HD, Lincoln Blackwood, Transit, Medium Duty Trucks
DaimlerChrysler	Concorde/Intrepid/300M, Neon, Stratus/Sebring, Sebring Convertible	Ram Pick-up, Dakota, Grand Cherokee, Voyager/Caravan/Town & Country, Ram Van, Jeep Cherokee Wrangler, Durango, Jeep Liberty, PT Cruiser
Mercedes	A-Class, C-Class, E-Class, S-Class, SLK, CLK	Sprinter
General Motors	Cadillac CTS	Silverado/Sierra, Astro/Safari, Medium Duty Trucks
Saturn	LS/LW	Saturn VUE
Opel	Omega, Astra, Agila, Corsa, Vectra	
Honda	Accord, Civic, Acura TL	Odyssey, Passport
Mazda	Mazda 626	Tribute, B-Series Pickup
Toyota	Avalon, Camry, Solara, Corolla	Sienna, Tacoma, Tundra, Sequoia
Nissan	Sentra, Altima	Quest, Xterra, Frontier
Isuzu		Rodeo, Amigo
VW	Passat, Cabrio, Jetta, Golf/Bora, Gol	Transporter/Van, LT
Audi	A3, A4, A6, TT, Cabrio	
Skoda	Felicia/Fabia, Octavia	
BMW	3 Series, 5 Series, 7 Series	X5 SUV
Fiat	Marea, Punto, Bravo/Brava, Palio, Panda, Stilo	Multipla, Ducato
Alfa Romeo	146/147, 156, 166 GTV, Spider	
Lancia	Lybra, Kappa, Y	
Hyundai		Teracan, Falloper, Starex, Libero, Craco, SantaFe
Kia	Spectra, Rio, Optima, Enterprise, Potentia	Sportage, Carens, Retona, Pregio, Carnival, Frontier

40

Most of the parts we produce have a lead time of two to five years from product development to production. See "Design and Engineering Support." The selling prices of these products are generally negotiated between us and our customers and are typically not subject to a competitive bid process.

Sales of our products to OEMs are made directly by the sales and engineering teams, located at our technical/customer service centers in Novi, Michigan; Rochester Hills, Michigan; Yokohama, Japan; Turin, Italy; Bergisch-Gladbach, Germany; Sao Paolo, Brazil; and Hyderabad, India. Through our technical centers, we service our OEM customers and manage our continuing programs of product design improvement and development. We periodically place engineering staff at various customer facilities to facilitate the development of new programs.

Our sales and marketing efforts are designed to create overall awareness of our engineering, program management, manufacturing and assembly expertise to acquire new business and to provide ongoing customer service. Our sales group is organized into customer-dedicated teams within product groups. From time to

time, we also participate in industry and customer specific trade and technical shows.

DESIGN AND ENGINEERING SUPPORT

We strive to maintain a technological advantage through investment in product development and advanced engineering capabilities. Our manufacturing engineering capabilities enable us to design and build high-quality and efficient manufacturing systems, processes and equipment and to continually improve our production processes and equipment. Our manufacturing engineers are located at each of our manufacturing facilities. Our engineering staff currently consists of approximately 330 full-time engineers, whose responsibilities range from research and development, advanced product development, product design, testing and initial prototype development to the design and implementation of manufacturing processes.

Because assembled parts must be designed at an early stage in the development of new vehicles or model revisions, we are increasingly given the opportunity to utilize our product engineering resources early in the planning process. Advanced development engineering resources create original engineering designs, computer-aided designs, feasibility studies, working prototypes and testing programs to meet customer specifications. We also have full-service design capability for chassis components. Our Hyderabad, India technical center allows for 24 hour engineering globally, thereby optimizing product design and analysis capabilities, leading to reduced development costs.

MANUFACTURING

Our manufacturing operations consist primarily of stamping operations, system and modular assembly operations, roll-forming and hydroforming operations and associated coating and other ancillary operations.

Stamping involves passing metal through dies in a stamping press to form the metal into three-dimensional parts. We produce stamped parts using over 640 precision single-stage, progressive and transfer presses, ranging in size from 150 to 4,000 tons, which perform multiple functions as raw material proceeds through the press and is converted into a finished product. We continually invest in our press technology to increase flexibility, improve safety and minimize die changeover time.

After forming is completed, stampings that are to be used in assemblies are placed in work-in-progress staging areas from which they are fed into cell-oriented assembly operations that produce complex, value-added assemblies through the combination of multiple parts that are welded or fastened together. Our assembly operations are performed on either dedicated, high-volume welding/fastening machines or on flexible-cell oriented robotic lines for units with lower volume production runs. The assembly machines attach additional parts, fixtures or stampings to the original metal stampings. In addition to standard production capabilities, our assembly machines are also able to perform various statistical control functions and identify improper welds and attachments. We continually work with manufacturers of fixed/robotic welding systems to develop faster, more flexible machinery. We designed several of our welding systems.

41

In manufacturing our products, we use various grades and thicknesses of steel and aluminum, including high strength hot and cold rolled, galvanized, organically coated, stainless and aluminized steel. We also produce exposed sheet metal components, such as exterior body panels. See "Suppliers and Raw Materials."

OEMs have established quality rating systems involving rigorous inspections of suppliers' facilities and operations. OEMs' factory rating programs provide a quantitative measure of a company's success in improving the quality of its operations. We have received quality awards from Ford (Q1) and DaimlerChrysler (Pentastar). The automotive industry adopted a quality rating system known as QS-9000. All of our existing operating facilities in North America have received QS-9000 certification in compliance with the automotive industry requirements.

COMPETITION

We operate in a highly competitive, fragmented market segment of the automotive supply industry, with a limited number of competitors generating revenues in excess of \$200 million. The number of our competitors has decreased in recent years and is expected to continue to decrease due to the supplier consolidation resulting from changing OEM policies. Our major competitors include The Budd Company, a subsidiary of Thyssen-Krupp AG, Magna International, Inc. ("Magna"), Dana Corporation and divisions of OEMs with internal stamping and assembly operations, all of which have substantial financial resources. We compete with other significant competitors in various segments of our product lines and in various geographic markets. We view Magna as our strongest competitor across most of our product lines; however, we believe that no single competitor can provide the same range of products and capabilities as us in all of the major international automotive markets.

We principally compete for new business both at the beginning of the development of new models and upon the redesign of existing models. New model development generally begins two to five years before the marketing of such models to the public. Once a supplier has been designated to supply parts for a new program, an OEM usually will continue to purchase those parts from the designated producer for the life of the program, although not necessarily for a redesign. Competitive factors in the market for our products include product quality and reliability, cost and timely delivery, technical expertise and development capability, new product innovation and customer service. In addition, there is substantial and continuing pressure at the OEMs to reduce costs, including the cost of products purchased from outside suppliers such as us. Historically, we have been able to generate sufficient production cost savings to offset these price reductions.

SUPPLIERS AND RAW MATERIALS

The primary raw material used to produce the majority of our products is steel. We purchase hot and cold rolled, galvanized, organically coated, stainless and aluminized steel from a variety of suppliers. We employ just—in—time manufacturing and sourcing systems enabling us to meet customer requirements for faster deliveries while minimizing our need to carry significant inventory levels. We have not experienced any significant shortages of raw materials and normally do not carry inventories of raw materials or finished products in excess of those reasonably required to meet production and shipping schedules. Raw material costs represented approximately 56% of our revenues in 2001.

Ford, Honda and DaimlerChrysler currently purchase all of the steel that we use for their models directly from steel producers. As a result, we have minimal exposure to changes in steel prices for parts supplied to Ford, Honda and DaimlerChrysler, which collectively represented 63% of our revenues in 2001.

We expect that the content level of metal in cars and light trucks will remain constant or increase slightly due to the trend toward increased vehicle size and a greater emphasis on metal recycling. Although the search for improved fuel economy and weight reduction has resulted in attempts to reduce the sheet metal content of light vehicles, an efficient, cost-effective substitute for

steel used in our structural products has not been found. While various polymers have been used recently for fenders, hoods and decks, such products do not have the inherent strength or structural integrity on a cost-effective basis to be used for structural components. We are involved in ongoing evaluations of the potential for the use of aluminum and of specialty steel in our products.

42

Other raw materials that we purchase include dies, fasteners, tubing, springs, rivets and rubber products, all of which are available from numerous sources.

COLLEAGUES

As of December 31, 2001, we had approximately 13,000 colleagues worldwide, of whom approximately 5,300 are covered under collective bargaining agreements. These collective bargaining agreements expire between 2002 and 2005. We believe that our future success will depend in part on our ability to continue to recruit, retain and motivate qualified personnel at all levels of Tower Automotive. We have instituted a large number of colleague incentive programs to increase colleague morale and expand our colleagues' participation in our business. Since our inception in 1993, we have not experienced any work stoppages and consider our relations with our colleagues to be good.

PROPERTIES

The following table provides information regarding our principal facilities. We maintain several manufacturing facilities located in close proximity to many of the high-volume vehicle assembly plants of its customers. Our facilities are geographically located in such a way as to enable us to optimize our management and logistical capabilities on a regional basis:

LOCATION	SQUARE FOOTAGE	TYPE OF INTEREST	DESCRIPTION OF USE
Milwaukee, Wisconsin	3,118,000	Owned	Manufacturing
Elkton, Michigan	1,100,000	Owned	Manufacturing
Caserta, Italy(2 locations)	751,000	Owned	Manufacturing
Milan, Tennessee	531,000	Owned	Manufacturing
Turin, Italy (4 locations)	512,000	Mixed	Manufacturing/Office
Granite City, Illinois	458,000	Owned	Manufacturing
Malacky, Slovakia	453,600	Owned	Manufacturing
Zwickau, Germany	409,000	Owned	Manufacturing
Clinton Township, Michigan	385,000	Owned	Manufacturing
Gent, Belgium	376 , 000	Owned	Manufacturing
Sebewaing, Michigan	366,000	Owned	Manufacturing
Toronto, Ontario	329,400	Owned	Manufacturing/Office
Bardstown, Kentucky	300,000	Owned	Manufacturing
Plymouth, Michigan	294,000	Leased	Manufacturing
Corydon, Indiana	290,000	Leased	Manufacturing
Lansing, Michigan	250,000	Leased	Manufacturing
Hwasung kun, Korea	219,000	Owned(2)	Manufacturing
Kunpo City, Korea	200,000	Owned(2)	Manufacturing
Sao Paolo, Brazil	193,000	Owned	Manufacturing/Office
Kalamazoo, Michigan	180,000	Owned	Manufacturing
Traverse City, Michigan	170,000	Owned	Manufacturing
Greenville, Michigan	156,000	Owned	Manufacturing/Office
Changchun, China	140,500	Leased(1)	Manufacturing
Auburn, Indiana	132,000	Owned	Manufacturing/Office

Kendallville, Indiana	131,000	Owned	Manufacturing
Bellevue, Ohio	126,000	Owned	Manufacturing
Bluffton, Ohio	102,000	Owned	Manufacturing
Bergisch-Gladbach, Germany	102,000	Owned	Manufacturing/Engineering/Office
Shiheung City, Korea	93,000	Owned(2)	Manufacturing

43

LOCATION	SQUARE FOOTAGE	TYPE OF INTEREST	DESCRIPTION OF USE
Rochester Hills, Michigan	89,000 76,000 72,000	Leased Leased Leased	Office/Engineering/Design Manufacturing Manufacturing
Belcamp, Maryland Kwangju City, Korea Minas Gerais, Brazil Upper Sandusky, Ohio	70,000 64,000 59,000 56,000	Owned Owned (2) Owned Owned	Manufacturing Manufacturing Manufacturing Manufacturing
Ansan City, Korea	56,000 54,000 54,000	Owned (2) Owned Owned	Manufacturing Manufacturing Manufacturing Manufacturing
Youngchun City, Korea Novi, Michigan Bowling Green, Kentucky Fenton, Missouri	50,000 47,000 46,000 41,000	Owned(2) Leased Owned Leased	Manufacturing Engineering/Design/Sales Manufacturing Warehouse
Ulsan City, Korea Tokod, Hungary Grand Rapids, Michigan	29,000 22,000 18,000	Owned (2) Owned Leased	Manufacturing Manufacturing Corporate Headquarters
Hyderabad, India Yokohama, Japan	2,800 1,000	Leased Leased	Engineering/Design Sales

⁽¹⁾ Facility is leased by a joint venture in which we hold a 60% equity interest.

Management believes that substantially all of our property and equipment is in good condition. In order to increase efficiency, we expect to continue to make capital expenditures for equipment upgrades at our facilities as necessary.

We believe that our existing facilities will be adequate to meet our production demands for the foreseeable future. Our facilities were specifically designed for the manufacturing of our products. The utilization and capacity of such facilities are dependent upon the mix of products being produced by us.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not currently involved in any material lawsuits. We believe we maintain adequate insurance, including product liability coverage. We historically have not been required to pay any material liability claims.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

We are subject to foreign, federal, state and local laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment and occupational health and safety,

⁽²⁾ Facility is owned by a joint venture in which we hold a 66% equity interest.

including laws regulating the generation, storage, handling, use and transportation of hazardous materials; the emission and discharge of hazardous materials into the soil, ground or air; and the health and safety of our colleagues. We are also required to obtain permits from governmental authorities for certain operations. We cannot assure that we have been or will be at all times in complete compliance with such laws, regulations and permits. If we violate or fail to comply with these laws, regulations or permits, we could be fined or otherwise sanctioned by regulators. In some instances, such a fine or sanction could be material. We do not expect that our capital expenditures for environmental controls will be material for the current or succeeding fiscal year.

We are also subject to laws imposing liability for the cleanup of contaminated property. Under these laws, we could be held liable for costs and damages relating to contamination at our past or present facilities and at

44

third party sites to which these facilities sent wastes containing hazardous substances. The amount of such liability could be material.

As part of our acquisition of Active in 1999, we acquired a facility located in Sebewaing, Michigan. In 2001, the Sebewaing facility received a claim from the Village of Sebewaing for costs associated with the removal of sludge from the Village's wastewater treatment lagoon. The Village alleged that wastewater discharges from the facility resulted in contamination of the sludge, which, in turn, increased the disposal costs incurred by the Village. The amount of the Village's claim is \$208,000. We are in discussions with the Village regarding the resolution of this claim. We also acquired from Active a facility located in Elkton, Michigan. We are in the process of investigating contamination at the Elkton facility, and at a nearby waste disposal site that was allegedly used for the disposal of wastes from the Elkton facility in the 1970s. These investigations are being conducted under the oversight of the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality ("MDEQ"). Because the MDEQ has not yet approved a Remedial Action Plan for these sites, the cost to remediate the sites is not known, although it is not expected to exceed the \$14 million in the escrow established for indemnification, described below.

In connection with our acquisition of Active, we are entitled to indemnification for losses (including reasonable legal fees and expenses) resulting from claims arising from certain pre-closing matters, including pre-closing environmental matters. The indemnity covers losses that exceed \$1 million, up to the amount of escrowed funds, which is currently about \$14 million. The indemnity is limited to the claims we submitted within two years after acquiring Active. We submitted several claims under this indemnity, including claims for the Sebewaing wastewater matter, the Elkton contamination matters described above and several non-environmental claims. We are currently in negotiations with the former shareholders of Active to reach agreement regarding the amount funds that will be paid out of the escrow to settle indemnified claims.

We acquired Algoods, Inc. in 2000, with a facility in Toronto, Ontario. Prior operations had resulted in contamination at the facility. Alcan Aluminum, Ltd., a former owner of the property, is in the process of investigating and remediating this contamination. Until 2008, we have agreed to contribute up to \$100,000 (CDN) per year for remediation activities. After 2008, we have agreed to be responsible for on-site contamination and Alcan has agreed to be responsible for off-site contamination. In 2000, we had preliminarily estimated that the present value of our cost to address these issues was \$5.5 million (CDN).

45

MANAGEMENT

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our directors and executive officers as of December 31, 2001:

NAME	AGE	POSITION
S.A. Johnson Dugald K. Campbell	61 55	Chairman of the Board of Directors President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
James W. Arnold	49	Vice President
Anthony A. Barone	52	Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Richard S. Burgess	47	Vice President
Kathy J. Johnston	44	Vice President
Tommy G. Pitser	54	Vice President
Scott D. Rued	45	Vice President and Director
Antonio R. Zarate	57	Vice President
Jurgen M. Geissinger	42	Director
Ali Jenab	39	Director
Joe Loughrey	52	Director
James R. Lozelle	56	Director
Georgia R. Nelson	51	Director
Enrique Zambrano	46	Director

S.A. (TONY) JOHNSON has served as Chairman and a director since April 1993. Mr. Johnson is the founder of Hidden Creek Industries ("Hidden Creek"), a private industrial management company based in Minneapolis which has provided certain management and other services to Tower Automotive. Mr. Johnson is also the Managing Partner of J2R Partners ("J2R"), an investment partnership that participated in the acquisition of R.J. Tower Corporation. Prior to forming Hidden Creek, Mr. Johnson served from 1985 to 1989 as Chief Operating Officer of Pentair, Inc., a diversified industrial company. From 1981 to 1985, Mr. Johnson was President and Chief Executive Officer of Onan Corp., a diversified manufacturer of electrical generating equipment and engines for commercial, defense and industrial markets. Mr. Johnson currently serves as Chairman and a director of Dura Automotive Systems, Inc., a manufacturer of mechanical assemblies and integrated systems for the automotive industry, and served as Chairman and a director of Automotive Industries Holding, Inc., a supplier of automotive interior trim components, from May 1990 until its sale to Lear Corporation in August 1995.

DUGALD K. CAMPBELL has served as President, Chief Executive Officer and a director since December 1993. From 1991 to 1993, Mr. Campbell served as a consultant to Hidden Creek. From 1988 to 1991, he served as Vice President and General Manager of the Sensor Systems Division of Siemens Automotive, a manufacturer of engine management systems and components. From 1972 to 1988, he held various executive, engineering and marketing positions with Allied Automotive, a manufacturer of vehicle systems and components and a subsidiary of AlliedSignal, Inc.

JAMES W. ARNOLD has served as Vice President since 1999, with current responsibility for our North American and Asian strategy. Mr. Arnold joined Tower Automotive in 1998. From 1977 to 1998, Mr. Arnold held a variety of

manufacturing, sales, marketing and Asian general management positions at AlliedSignal.

ANTHONY A. BARONE has served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since May 1995. From 1984 to 1995, Mr. Barone served as Chief Financial Officer of O'Sullivan Corporation, a manufacturer of interior trim components for the automotive industry.

46

RICHARD S. BURGESS has served as Vice President with responsibility for colleague growth and development since January 1996. From June 1994 to January 1996, Mr. Burgess served as the colleague growth and development leader during the start-up of the Bardstown, Kentucky operation. From October 1991 to June 1994, Mr. Burgess filled various roles in colleague growth and development of R.J. Tower Corporation.

KATHY J. JOHNSTON has served as Vice President since June 2000 with responsibility for enterprise strategy and commercial development. From 1997 to 2000, Ms. Johnston served as Vice President Planning and Business Development at TRW Automotive in Cleveland, Ohio. From 1981 to 1997, Ms. Johnston served in finance, sales and marketing, purchasing, operations and strategic planning roles at TRW's vehicle safety systems group in Detroit, Michigan.

TOMMY G. PITSER has served as Vice President since 1996, with current responsibility for our European strategy. Mr. Pitser previously had responsibility for our South American strategy and our joint venture investment in China and operations in Barrie, Ontario; Plymouth, Michigan; Yokohama, Japan; Romulus, Michigan; Manchester, Michigan and Novi, Michigan, since May 1996. Prior to joining us, Mr. Pitser served in various sales and marketing capacities at MSTI. Prior to joining MSTI, Mr. Pitser served as Market Director-Automotive at AE Goetze North America. From 1969 to 1992, Mr. Pitser was an employee of Borg-Warner Corporation, most recently as General Manager-Marine & Industrial Transmissions.

SCOTT D. RUED has served as Vice President and a director since April 1993. Mr. Rued is the Chief Executive Officer and President of Hidden Creek. Mr. Rued, a partner of J2R, has also served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Hidden Creek from January 1994 through 2001, and served as its Vice President—Finance and Corporate Development from June 1989 through 1993. Mr. Rued served as Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and a director of Automotive Industries Holding, Inc. from April 1990 until its sale to Lear Corporation in August 1995. Mr. Rued is also a director of The Rottlund Company, Inc., a corporation engaged in the development and sale of residential real estate.

ANTONIO R. ZARATE has served as Vice President since May 2000 with responsibility for our strategy in Mexico and South America. From 1994 to 2000, Mr. Zarate served as President of the Automotive Division of Proeza, S.A. de C.V., a diversified international company that has operations primarily in the automotive and citrus juice processing industries.

JURGEN M. GEISSINGER has served as a director since May 2000. Dr. Geissinger has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of INA Holding GmbH & Co. KG, a global manufacturer of bearings, linear guidance systems, automotive transmissions and engine systems since November 1998. From 1992 to October 1998, Dr. Geissinger served in various positions at ITT Automotive, most recently as Senior Vice President, with responsibility for the Brakes and Chassis Engineering Division worldwide.

ALI JENAB has served as a director since January 2001. Mr. Jenab was named

President and Chief Operating Officer of VA Linux Systems, Inc. in February 2001. From August 2000, until February 2001, Mr. Jenab served as that company's Senior Vice President and General Manager, Systems Division. From 1983 through August 2000, Mr. Jenab held various positions at Amdahl Corporation, a provider of high-end integrated computing solutions.

JOE LOUGHREY has served as a director since November 1994. Mr. Loughrey joined Cummins Inc. in 1974 and has served as Executive Vice President and President—Engine Business since October 1999. Before then, Mr. Loughrey served as Executive Vice President and Group President—Industrial and Chief Technical Officer from 1996 to 1999. Mr. Loughrey is also a director of Sauer—Danfoss, Inc., a worldwide leader in the design, manufacture and sale of engineered hydraulic systems and components.

JAMES R. LOZELLE has served as a director since May 1994. Mr. Lozelle served as Executive Vice President for Tower Automotive, with responsibility for Tower Automotive's operations in Milwaukee, Wisconsin and Roanoke, Virginia, from April 1997 to January 1999. From the acquisition of Edgewood in May 1994 until March 1997, Mr. Lozelle served at the Tower Automotive Technical Centers, with responsibility for advanced product development and customer service. Mr. Lozelle served as President of Edgewood from 1982 until it was acquired by Tower Automotive. Mr. Lozelle is chairman of the Near Zero Stamping research project of the Autobody Consortium.

47

GEORGIA R. NELSON was appointed to the board of directors in May 2001. Ms. Nelson has served as President of Midwest Generation EME, LLC, an Edison International company since it was established in 1999 as a subsidiary of Edison Mission Energy. Midwest Generation is a wholesale power generation company. Since January 1, 2002, Ms. Nelson has served as General Manager of Edison Mission Energy—Americas, a global independent power operating, development and trading company. From 1996 to 1999, Ms. Nelson was Senior Vice President of Worldwide Operations and Division President of the Americas region of Edison Mission Energy. From 1993 to 1996, she served as Senior Vice President of Southern California Edison, a large U.S. electric utility.

ENRIQUE ZAMBRANO has served as a director since December 1997. Mr. Zambrano has served as Chief Executive Officer and a director of Proeza, S.A. de C.V., a diversified international company that has operations primarily in the automotive and citrus juice processing industries, since 1988. Mr. Zambrano is also a director of IMSA, a steel processing company, Pyosa, a chemical special manufacturing company, SENDA, a leading regional transportation company, XIGNUX, a Mexican private industrial conglomerate, and ITESM, the largest private University in Mexico.

There are no family relationships between any of the directors or any of the executive officers.

48

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Two of our directors, Messrs. S.A. Johnson and Scott Rued, are partners in Hidden Creek. Tower Automotive was formed in April 1993 at the direction of Hidden Creek to acquire R.J. Tower Corporation in a leveraged transaction. The principal equity investors in such acquisition were Onex Corporation, a publicly owned holding company based in Canada ("Onex"), and J2R, an investment partnership formed by the partners of Hidden Creek. Onex and J2R sold substantially all of their Tower Automotive common stock in 1996 and 1997 and,

as a result, do not have a material economic interest in any of the securities or capital stock of Tower Automotive. Since 1993, Hidden Creek has provided certain strategic, financial and acquisition services to us, including analyzing acquisition opportunities, conducting due diligence and contract negotiations, and assisting in financing activities. To facilitate such services, certain employees of Hidden Creek serve as non-employee officers of Tower Automotive. We currently pay Hidden Creek approximately \$20,000 per month for general management consulting services, plus reimbursement of expenses, and in the past we have retained them as advisers for certain strategic, financial, and acquisition services, for which we pay them negotiated fees. We expect to pay Hidden Creek a fee of approximately \$250,000 in connection with this offering. Our total payments to Hidden Creek were approximately \$600,000 in 2001, \$4,400,000 in 2000 and \$3,100,000 in 1999. We believe that the terms of such transactions with Hidden Creek are no less favorable than those that could have been obtained pursuant to transactions with unaffiliated parties.

49

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

Unless otherwise noted, the following table sets forth certain information regarding ownership of our common stock as of March 22, 2002, by (1) the beneficial owners of more than 5% of our common stock, (2) each of our directors and named executive officers, and (3) all directors and named executive officers as a group. To our knowledge, each of such stockholders has sole voting and investment power as to the shares shown unless otherwise noted. Beneficial ownership of the common stock listed in the table has been determined in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

		OWNERSHIP OF STOCK(1)
DIRECTORS,	NUMBER OF	PERCENT OF
OFFICERS AND 5% SHAREHOLDERS	SHARES	CLASS
S.A. Johnson(2)	315,610	*
Dugald K. Campbell(2)(3)	574 , 723	1.2%
James W. Arnold(2)	63 , 058	*
Anthony Barone (2)	129,415	*
Tom G. Pitser(2)	104,905	*
Antonio Zarate(2)	41,604	*
Scott D. Rued(2)	79 , 185	*
Jurgen M. Geissinger(2)	7,640	*
Ali Jenab(2)	3,027	*
Joe Loughrey(2)	77,298	*
James R. Lozelle(2)	434,925	*
Georgia Nelson	0	0
Enrique Zambrano(2)	17,298	*
American Express Financial Corporation(4)	2,535,588	5.2%
Dimensional Funds Advisors, Inc.(5)	3,573,000	7.3%
Hartford Mutual Funds, Inc.(6)	2,429,045	5.0%
Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co.(7)	3,194,090	6.5%
Pioneer Global Asset Management(8)	2,956,810	6.0%
State of Wisconsin Investment Board(9)	4,875,000	9.9%
Wellington Management Company, LLP(10)	2,454,545	5.0%

All Directors and Officers as a group (15 persons).......... 1,958,565 4.0%

- (1) The number of shares includes shares that may be purchased under options that are exercisable in 60 days. The percent of class is calculated based on the number of shares outstanding plus such option shares.
- (2) Includes the following number of shares issuable as deferred compensation for the following individuals: Mr. Johnson-17,298; Mr. Campbell--90,147; Mr. Arnold--13,435; Mr. Barone--23,628; Mr. Pitser--23,100; Mr. Zarate--3,604; Mr. Rued--7,207; Mr. Geissinger--7,640; Mr. Jenab--3,027; Mr. Loughrey--17,298; Mr. Lozelle--18,223; and Mr. Zambrano--17,298.
- (3) Includes 259,495 shares held in trusts, of which Mr. Campbell or his wife are the trustees. Mr. Campbell disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held in trust.
- (4) American Express Company ("AEC") and American Express Financial Corporation ("AEFC") each reported as of December 31, 2001, shared dispositive power with respect to 2,535,588 shares of our common stock and shared voting power with respect to 537,000 shares of our common stock. The address for AEFC is 200 AXP Financial Center, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55474.
- (5) Dimensional Fund Advisors, Inc. reported as of January 30, 2002, sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 3,573,000 shares of our common stock. The address for Dimensional Fund Advisors, Inc. is 1299 Ocean Avenue, 11th Floor, Santa Monica, California 90401.

(footnotes on next page)

50

- (6) The Hartford Mutual Funds, Inc. reported as of February 14, 2002, shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 2,429,045 shares of our common stock. Its address is 200 Hopmeadow Street, Simsbury, CT 06089.
- (7) Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co. reported as of February 13, 2002, shared voting power with respect to 3,044,390 shares of our common stock and shared dispositive power with respect to 3,194,090 shares of our common stock. The address for Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co. is 1585 Broadway, New York, New York 10036.
- (8) Pioneer Global Asset Management, S.p.A reported as of January 28, 2002, sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 2,956,810 shares of our common stock. The address for Pioneer Global Asset Management is Galleria San Carlo 6, 20122 Milan, Italy.
- (9) The State of Wisconsin Investment Board reported as of February 15, 2002, sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 4,875,000 shares of our common stock. The address of the Board is P.O. Box 7842, Madison, Wisconsin 53707.
- (10) Wellington Management Company, LLP reported as of February 14, 2002, shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 2,454,545 shares of our common stock. The address for Wellington Management Company, LLP is 75 State Street, Boston, MA 02109.

^{*} Less than one percent.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

The authorized capital stock of Tower Automotive consists of 200,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, of which 48,222,012 shares were issued and outstanding as of March 15, 2002 and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$1.00 par value per share, of which no shares are issued or outstanding. The following description of our capital stock and certain provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and by-laws is a summary of all material terms of our capital stock and is qualified in its entirety by the provisions of the amended and restated certificate of incorporation and by-laws, copies of which have been filed with the SEC and are available for inspection. See "Where You Can Find More Information."

COMMON STOCK

Holders of our common stock are entitled to one vote for each share held on all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders, including the election of directors. Accordingly, holders of a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote in any election of directors may elect all of the directors standing for election if they choose to do so. The amended and restated certificate of incorporation does not provide for cumulative voting for the election of directors. Holders of common stock will be entitled to receive ratably such dividends, if any, as may be declared from time to time by our board of directors out of funds legally available therefor, and will be entitled to receive, pro rata, all assets of Tower Automotive available for distribution to such holders upon liquidation. Holders of common stock have no preemptive, subscription or redemption rights. All outstanding shares of our common stock, including the shares offered hereby, are fully paid and nonassessable. As of March 15, 2002, our common stock was held of record by 2,893 stockholders.

As of March 15, 2002, we had reserved for issuance:

- 7,600,000 shares of common stock under our stock option plans and employee stock discount purchase plans, of which options to purchase 2,998,770 shares were outstanding;
- -- 15,926 shares issuable upon the conversion of notes issued in connection with the acquisition of Edgewood;
- -- 8,424,908 shares issuable upon conversion of our 6 3/4% Convertible Trust Preferred Securities; and
- -- 7,728,850 shares issuable upon conversion of our 5% Convertible Subordinated Notes due 2004.

PREFERRED STOCK

Pursuant to the amended and restated certificate of incorporation, we are authorized to issue "blank check" preferred stock, which may be issued from time to time in one or more series upon authorization by our board of directors. The board of directors, without further approval of the stockholders, is authorized to fix the dividend rights and terms, conversion rights, voting rights, redemption rights and terms, liquidation preferences, and any other rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions applicable to each series of the preferred stock. The issuance of preferred stock, while providing flexibility in connection with possible acquisitions and other corporate purposes could, among other things, adversely affect the voting power of the holders of common stock and, under certain circumstances, make it more difficult for a third party to gain control of us, discourage bids for our common stock at a premium or otherwise adversely affect the market price of the common stock.

ANTI-TAKEOVER EFFECTS OF OUR CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION AND BYLAWS

Some provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may be deemed to have an anti-takeover effect and may delay or prevent a tender offer or takeover attempt that a stockholder might consider to be in its best interest, including those attempts that might result in a premium over the market price for the shares held by stockholders.

52

These provisions include:

Board Vacancies. Our certificate of incorporation authorizes the board of directors to fill vacant directorships or increase the size of the board of directors, which may deter a stockholder from removing incumbent directors and simultaneously gaining control of the board of directors by filling the vacancies created by this removal with its own nominees.

Cumulative Voting. Our certificate of incorporation does not authorize our stockholders the right to cumulative voting in the election of directors. As a result, stockholders may not aggregate their votes for a single director.

Special Meetings of Stockholders. Our certificate of incorporation provides that special meetings of our stockholders may be called only by the chairman of the board of directors, the president and chief executive officer, any member of the board of directors or holders of at least 25% of the shares entitled to vote generally in the election of directors.

Authorized but Unissued Shares. Our authorized but unissued shares of common stock and preferred stock are available for future issuance without stockholder approval. These additional shares may be utilized for a variety of corporate purposes, including future public offerings to raise additional capital, corporate acquisitions and employee benefit plans. The existence of authorized but unissued shares of common stock and preferred stock could render more difficult or discourage an attempt to obtain control of a majority of our common stock by means of a proxy contest, tender offer, merger or otherwise.

SECTION 203 OF DELAWARE GENERAL CORPORATION LAW

We are subject to the "business combination" statute of the Delaware General Corporation Law. In general, such statute prohibits a publicly held Delaware corporation from engaging in various "business combination" transactions with any "interested stockholder" for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an "interested stockholder," unless:

- -- the transaction is approved by the board of directors prior to the date the interested stockholder obtained such status,
- -- upon consummation of the transaction which resulted in the stockholder becoming an "interested stockholder," the "interested stockholder" owned at least 85% of the voting stock of the corporation outstanding at the time the transaction commended, excluding specified shares, or
- on or subsequent to such date the "business combination" is approved by the board of directors and authorized at an annual or special meeting of the stockholders, and not by written consent, by the affirmative vote of at least 66 2/3% of the outstanding voting stock which is not owned by the "interested stockholder."

A "business combination" includes mergers, asset sales and other transactions resulting in financial benefit to a stockholder. An "interested stockholder" is a person who, together with affiliates and associates, owns (or within three years, did own) 15% or more of a corporation's voting stock. The statute could prohibit or delay mergers or other takeover or change in control attempts with respect to us and, accordingly, may discourage attempts to acquire us.

TRANSFER AGENT

Equiserve Trust Company N.A. is the transfer agent for our common stock.

53

DESCRIPTION OF CERTAIN FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS

SENIOR CREDIT FACILITY

Our wholly owned subsidiary, R.J. Tower Corporation, entered into a senior credit facility on July 25, 2000 with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, as syndication agent, and other lenders named therein. The senior credit facility provides for a six-year multicurrency revolving credit facility of up to \$825.0 million, with a letter of credit sublimit of \$100.0 million. The senior credit facility also provides a \$325.0million amortizing term loan. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility may be used for working capital and other general corporate purposes. As of December 31, 2001, R.J. Tower Corporation had total borrowings under the senior credit facility of approximately \$426.0 million. At December 31, 2001, we had borrowed approximately \$101 million under the revolving credit facility. In order to borrow under the revolving credit facility, we must meet certain covenant ratios. Based on these covenants, the amount of unused availability under the revolving facility was approximately \$103 million at December 31, 2001. Assuming we had completed this offering and used the net proceeds to reduce our term loan borrowings under our senior credit facility as of December 31, 2001, we would have had approximately \$307.2 million of unused availability under the revolving facility. We do not have the ability to reborrow amounts repaid under the term loan. We expect to continue to incur borrowings under our revolving facility from time to time for general corporate and working capital purposes.

The senior credit facility has a final maturity of July 2006. Loans made under the term loan were available in a single borrowing on July 25, 2000. The term loan is generally subject to quarterly amortization of principal, based upon the annual amounts set forth below:

YEAR	AMOUNT
2002	\$55,000,000
2003	70,000,000
2004	70,000,000
2005	82,500,000
2006	47,500,000

Tower Automotive and each of our existing and future domestic subsidiaries guarantee the indebtedness under the senior credit facility, which may also be quaranteed in the future by certain material foreign subsidiaries of R.J. Tower

Corporation in the event that such a guarantee does not result in material adverse tax consequences to R.J. Tower Corporation. Currently, no foreign subsidiaries are guarantors under the senior credit facility.

The borrowings under the senior credit facility bear interest at a rate equal to (a) the Eurodollar rate plus the Applicable Margin or (b) the Base Rate (defined as the higher of (i) the Bank of America reference rate and (ii the Federal Funds rate plus .50%) plus the Applicable Margin. The Applicable Margin is determined as follows:

BASIS POINTS

RATIO OF TOTAL FUNDED DEBT TO EBITDA(1)	APPLICABLE MARGIN FOR EURODOLLAR RATE LOANS	APPLI COMMI FE
	100.0	
less than2.25x greater than or equal to2.25x and less	100.0	20
than2.75xgreater than or equal to2.75x and less	125.0	25
than3.25xgreater than or equal to3.25x and less	150.0	35
than3.75x	175.0	40
greater than or equal to3.75x	200.0	50

⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻

The senior credit facility requires R.J. Tower Corporation to meet certain financial tests, including a minimum interest coverage ratio (defined as EBITDA to interest expense) of 2.75×1000 and

54

increasing to 3.75x as of June 30, 2004 and a maximum leverage ratio (defined as indebtedness to EBITDA) of 4.25x on July 25, 2000 and decreasing to 3.25x as of June 30, 2004. The senior credit facility also contains covenants which, among other things, limit:

- -- the incurrence of additional indebtedness (subject to a basket of up to 15% of net worth) and contingent obligations,
- -- the creation of liens and encumbrances,
- -- restricted payments (subject to a \$125 million basket for cash dividends in connection with common stock repurchases),
- -- additional investments,
- -- prepayments of subordinated indebtedness,
- -- asset sales, acquisitions, joint ventures, mergers and consolidations,
- -- transactions with affiliates, and

⁽¹⁾ As defined in the senior credit facility.

-- other matters customarily restricted in such agreements.

The senior credit facility contains customary events of default including but not limited to:

- -- payment defaults,
- -- breach of representations and warranties,
- -- noncompliance with covenants,
- -- bankruptcy,
- -- judgments in excess of specified amounts,
- -- failure of any guaranty or pledge agreement supporting the senior credit facility to be in full force and effect,
- -- defaults under other instruments or agreements of indebtedness, and
- -- a change of control (as such term is defined in the senior credit
 facility).

9.25% SENIOR NOTES

In July 2000, R.J. Tower Corporation issued E150,000,000 of 9.25% Senior Notes due 2010 pursuant to an indenture, dated as of July 25, 2000, among R.J Tower Corporation, as issuer, the guarantors named therein and U.S. Trust Corporation, as trustee. The Euro-denominated senior notes are limited in aggregate principal amount to E200,000,000. The senior notes will mature on August 1, 2010 and interest on the senior notes is payable semiannually on February 1 and August 1 of each year. The senior notes are listed on the Luxembourg Stock Exchange. The net proceeds of the offering of the senior notes were used to repay outstanding indebtedness.

The senior notes are unsecured obligations of R.J. Tower Corporation, equal in right of payment with all other unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness of R.J. Tower Corporation and senior in right of payment to the outstanding and future subordinated indebtedness of R.J. Tower Corporation. Each of the U.S. subsidiaries of R.J. Tower Corporation that have guaranteed indebtedness under the senior credit facility unconditionally guarantee payment on a joint and several basis of all of R.J. Tower Corporation's obligations under the senior notes indenture and the senior notes. Tower Automotive unconditionally guarantees the senior notes on a senior unsecured basis. The guarantees are unsecured obligations of the guarantors, equal in right of payment with all other unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness of the guarantors and senior in right of payment to the outstanding and future subordinated indebtedness of the guarantors.

55

The senior notes are redeemable at any time and from time to time at the option of R.J. Tower Corporation, in whole or in part, upon not less than 30 nor more than 60 days' notice to each holder. The redemption price is equal to the greater of:

- -- 100% of the principal amount of the senior notes to be redeemed, or
- -- as determined by Chase Manhattan International Limited or Bank of America International Limited, the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the senior

notes discounted to the date of redemption, on a semiannual basis, at the Reference Dealer Rate (defined to mean with respect to Chase Manhattan International Limited or Bank of America International Limited and any redemption date, the midmarket annual yield to maturity, as determined by Chase Manhattan International Limited or Bank of America International Limited, of the German Government Bund 5.25% due July 1, 2010 or, if that security is no longer outstanding, a similar security in the reasonable judgment of Chase Manhattan International Limited or Bank of America International Limited, at 11:00 a.m., London time, on the third business day in London preceding such redemption date quoted in writing to U.S. Trust Corporation) plus 50 basis points,

plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption.

If a change of control occurs, R.J. Tower Corporation will be required to offer to purchase the senior notes at 101% of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any, to the date of purchase. Change of control is generally defined under the senior notes indenture to mean:

- -- the direct or indirect sale, lease, transfer, conveyance or other disposition (other than by merger or consolidation), in one or a series of related transactions, of all or substantially all of the properties or assets of R.J. Tower Corporation and its subsidiaries taken as a whole to any person (as that term is used in Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act),
- -- the adoption of a plan relating to the liquidation or dissolution of R.J. Tower Corporation,
- -- the first day on which we cease, either directly or indirectly, to own all of the outstanding equity interest of R.J. Tower Corporation,
- -- the consummation of any transaction (including, without limitation, any merger or consolidation) the result of which is that any "person" (as defined above), other than an employee trust sponsored by R.J. Tower Corporation, becomes the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of more than 50% of the voting stock of R.J. Tower Corporation, measured by voting power rather than number of shares, or
- -- the first day on which a majority of the members of the board of directors of R.J. Tower Corporation are not continuing directors (defined to include members of the board of directors of R.J. Tower Corporation on the date of the senior notes indenture or members who were nominated for election or elected to such board of directors with the approval of a majority of the continuing directors who were members of the board of directors at the time of such nomination or election).

The senior notes indenture also contains covenants which, among other things, limit:

- -- the creation of liens and encumbrances, and
- -- certain sale and lease-back transactions with respect to the owned manufacturing facility properties of R.J. Tower Corporation or any subsidiary.

The senior notes indenture includes various events of default customary for such type of agreements, such as failure to pay principal and interest when due

on the senior notes, cross defaults on other indebtedness and certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency and reorganization.

5.0% CONVERTIBLE SUBORDINATED NOTES

The 5.0% convertible subordinated notes were issued pursuant to an indenture, dated as of July 28, 1997, between Tower Automotive and The Bank of New York, as trustee. The convertible notes are limited in

56

aggregate principal amount of \$200.0 million. The net proceeds from the sale of the convertible notes were used to repay a portion of the bank indebtedness incurred by R.J. Tower Corporation to finance the acquisitions of APC in April 1997 and SIMES in May 1997. The convertible notes were initially sold in an underwritten private placement.

The convertible notes will mature on August 1, 2004. The convertible notes bear interest at the rate of 5.0% per annum, payable semiannually on February 1 and August 1 of each year, to the persons in whose names such convertible notes are registered at the close of business on the January 15 and July 15 immediately preceding such interest payment date.

The convertible notes are among our general unsecured obligations, subordinated in right of payment to all of our existing and future senior indebtedness. Senior indebtedness is generally defined under the convertible notes indenture to include, subject to limited exceptions, all our obligations to pay the principal of, and premium, if any, interest and rent payable on or in connection with, and all fees, costs, expenses and other amounts accrued or due on or in connection with, any of our indebtedness, unless the instrument creating or evidencing such indebtedness provides that such indebtedness is not senior or superior in right of payment to the convertible notes or which is pari passu with, or subordinated to, the convertible notes. In addition, the convertible notes are effectively subordinated to all of the obligations of our subsidiaries, including trade creditors. The convertible notes indenture does not restrict our or our subsidiaries' incurrence of senior indebtedness or other indebtedness.

The convertible notes are convertible into shares of common stock of us at any time prior to the close of business on August 1, 2004, unless previously redeemed or repurchased, at a conversion price of \$25.88 per share (equivalent to a conversion rate of 38.6473 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of convertible notes), subject to adjustment in certain events, for an aggregate of 7,728,850 shares of common stock.

The convertible notes can be redeemed at our option, in whole or in part, upon not less than 30 nor more than 60 days' notice to each holder, at the following redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) if redeemed during the 12-month period commencing August 1 of the years indicated below, in each case (subject to the right of holders of records on a record date to receive interest due on an interest payment date that is on or prior to such redemption date) together with accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any, to the redemption date:

YEAR	PERCENTAGE
2000	102.143

2003	100.714
2004	100.000

If a change of control occurs, we will be required to offer to purchase the convertible notes at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any, to the date of purchase. Change of control is generally defined under the convertible notes indenture to mean:

- -- an event or series of events as a result of which any person or group is or becomes, directly or indirectly, the beneficial owner of more than 50% of the combined voting power of the then outstanding securities entitled to vote generally in elections of our (or any successor entity's) board of directors, managers or trustees, as applicable;
- -- the completion of any consolidation with or merger by us into any other person, or our sale, conveyance, transfer or lease of all or substantially all of our assets to any person, or any merger of any other person into us in a single transaction or series of related transactions, and, our outstanding stock is changed or exchanged, unless our stockholders immediately following such transaction own, directly or indirectly, at least a majority of the combined voting power of the outstanding voting securities of the person resulting from such transaction in substantially the same proportion as their

57

- ownership of the securities entitled to vote generally in elections of our (or any successor entity's) board of directors immediately before such transaction; or
- -- such time as the continuing directors do not constitute a majority of our board of directors (or, if applicable, the board of directors of any successor corporation).

The convertible notes indenture does not contain any financial covenants or any restrictions on the payment of dividends, the repurchase of securities of us or the incurrence of senior indebtedness. The convertible notes indentures contains no covenants or other provisions to afford protection to holders of convertible notes in the event of a highly leveraged transaction or a change of control of Tower Automotive, except to the limited extent described above relating to a change of control.

CONVERTIBLE TRUST PREFERRED SECURITIES

On June 9, 1998, Tower Automotive Capital Trust, or "Trust," a statutory business trust created at Tower Automotive's direction, completed the offering of \$258.8 million of 6 3/4% Convertible Trust Preferred Securities resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$249.7 million. The net proceeds of the offering of the Trust Preferred Securities were used to repay outstanding indebtedness. We own all of the outstanding common securities issued by the Trust. The sole assets held by the Trust are the 6 3/4% subordinated convertible debentures due 2018 that we issued in an aggregate principal amount of \$266.8 million. The Trust Preferred Securities are redeemable, in whole or in part, on or after June 30, 2001, and all Trust Preferred Securities must be redeemed no later than June 30, 2018. The Trust Preferred Securities are subject to redemption at the following percentages of the liquidation amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid distributions, if any, to the date fixed for redemption if redeemed during the twelve-month period commencing on June 30, in each of the following years indicated:

YEAR	PERCENTAGE
2001	104.725%
	104.7236
2002	104.050
2003	103.375
2004	102.700
2005	102.025
2006	101.350
2007	100.675
2008 and thereafter	100.000

The Trust Preferred Securities are convertible, at the option of the holder, into our common stock at a rate of 1.6280 shares of common stock for each Trust Preferred Security, which is equivalent to a conversion price of \$30.713 per share.

58

UNDERWRITERS

Under the terms and subject to the conditions contained in an underwriting agreement dated the date of this prospectus, the underwriters named below, for whom Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated and Salomon Smith Barney Inc. are acting as the representatives, have severally agreed to purchase, and Tower Automotive has agreed to sell to them, severally, the number of shares indicated below:

NAME	NUMBER	OF	SHARES
Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated. J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated. Salomon Smith Barney Inc.			
TOTAL	15,(====	00,	000

The underwriters are offering the shares of common stock subject to their acceptance of the shares from Tower Automotive and subject to prior sale. The underwriting agreement provides that the obligations of the several underwriters to pay for and accept delivery of the shares of common stock offered by this prospectus are subject to the approval of certain legal matters by their counsel and to certain other conditions. The underwriters are obligated to take and pay for all of the shares of common stock offered by this prospectus if any such shares are taken. However, the underwriters are not required to take or pay for the shares covered by the underwriters' over-allotment option described below.

The underwriters initially propose to offer part of the shares of common stock directly to the public at the public offering price listed on the cover page of this prospectus and part to certain dealers at a price that represents a concession not in excess of \$ a share under the public offering price. Any underwriter may allow, and such dealers may reallow, a concession not in

excess of \$ a share to other underwriters or to certain dealers. After the initial offering of the shares of common stock, the offering price and other selling terms may from time to time be varied by the representatives.

Tower Automotive has granted to the underwriters an option, exercisable for 30 days from the date of this prospectus, to purchase up to an aggregate of 2,225,000 additional shares of common stock at the public offering price set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, less underwriting discounts and commissions. The underwriters may exercise this option solely for the purpose of covering over-allotments, if any, made in connection with the offering of the shares of common stock offered by this prospectus. To the extent the option is exercised, each underwriter will become obligated, subject to certain conditions, to purchase about the same percentage of the additional shares of common stock as the number listed next to the underwriter's name in the preceding table bears to the total number of shares of common stock listed next to the names of all underwriters in the preceding table. If the underwriters' option is exercised in full, the total price to the public would be \$, the total underwriters' discounts and commissions would be \$ and total proceeds to Tower Automotive would be \$.

The underwriting discounts and commissions were determined by negotiations between Tower Automotive and the representatives and are a percentage of the offering price to the public. The primary factors considered in determining the discounts and commissions were the size of the offering, the nature of the securities offered and the discounts and commissions charged in comparable transactions. The estimated offering expenses payable by Tower Automotive, in addition to the underwriting discounts and commissions, are approximately \$1,000,000, which includes legal, accounting and printing costs and various other fees associated with registering and listing the common stock.

The underwriters have informed Tower Automotive that they do not intend sales to discretionary accounts to exceed five percent of the total number of shares of common stock offered by them.

Tower Automotive's common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "TWR."

59

Each of Tower Automotive and its directors and executive officers has agreed that, without the prior written consent of Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated on behalf of the underwriters, it will not, during the period ending 90 days after the date of this prospectus:

- offer, pledge, sell, contract to sell, sell any option or contract to purchase, purchase any option or contract to sell, grant any option, right or warrant to purchase, lend, or otherwise transfer or dispose of directly or indirectly, any shares of common stock or any securities convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for common stock; or
- enter into any swap or other arrangement that transfers to another, in whole or in part, any of the economic consequences of ownership of the common stock;

whether any such transaction described above is to be settled by delivery of common stock or such other securities, in cash or otherwise. The restrictions described in this paragraph do not apply to:

-- the sale of shares to the underwriters;

- -- the issuance by Tower Automotive of shares of common stock upon the exercise of an option or a warrant or the conversion of a security outstanding on the date of this prospectus of which the underwriters have been advised in writing;
- -- transactions by any person other than Tower Automotive relating to shares of common stock or other securities acquired in open market transactions after the completion of the offering of the shares;
- -- the issuance or granting by Tower Automotive of options, securities or other awards under any of its employee benefit plans; provided that such options, securities or other awards do not become exercisable or vest during the 90-day lock-up period;
- -- the exercise or conversion (including a cashless exercise or conversion) by directors or executive officers of an option, warrant or other security outstanding as of the date hereof, of which the underwriters have been advised in writing; provided that any securities received upon such exercise or conversion are deemed as common stock acquired prior to the date hereof; or
- -- transfers by directors or executive officers of shares of common stock or any securities convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for common stock as a bona fide gift or by will or the law of descent,

provided that each recipient of any such transfer pursuant to the last bullet point above will be required to execute a duplicate lock-up agreement covering the remainder of the 90-day period.

In order to facilitate the offering of the common stock, the underwriters may engage in transactions that stabilize, maintain or otherwise affect the price of the common stock. Specifically, the underwriters may sell more shares than they are obligated to purchase under the underwriting agreement, creating a short position. A short sale is covered if the short position is no greater than the number of shares available for purchase by the underwriters under the over allotment option. The underwriters can close out a covered short sale by exercising the over allotment option or purchasing shares in the open market. In determining the source of shares to close out a covered short sale, the underwriters will consider, among other things, the open market price of shares compared to the price available under the over allotment option. The underwriters may also sell shares in excess of the over allotment option, creating a naked short position. The underwriters must close out any naked short position by purchasing shares in the open market. A naked short position is more likely to be created if the underwriters are concerned that there may be downward pressure on the price of the common stock in the open market after pricing that could adversely affect investors who purchase in the offering. As an additional means of facilitating the offering, the underwriters may bid for, and purchase, shares of common stock in the open market to stabilize the price of the common stock. The underwriting syndicate may also reclaim selling concessions allowed to an underwriter or a dealer for distributing the common stock in the offering, if the syndicate repurchases previously distributed common stock to cover syndicate short positions or to stabilize the price of the common stock. These activities may raise or maintain the market price of the common stock above independent market levels or prevent or retard a decline in the market price of the

common stock. The underwriters are not required to engage in these activities, and may end any of these activities at any time.

From time to time, each of the representatives has provided, and continues to provide, investment banking services to Tower Automotive.

As of February 13, 2002, Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co., the parent company of Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, was deemed to beneficially own 3,194,090 shares of our common stock as a result of accounts managed, including by its wholly owned subsidiary Morgan Stanley Investments L.P., in its capacity as investment advisor.

Affiliates of some of the underwriters are parties to Tower Automotive's senior credit facility and will receive their proportionate shares of the debt repayments made from the proceeds of this offering. See "Use of Proceeds." Citibank, an affiliate of Salomon Smith Barney Inc., is a lender, and JP Morgan Chase Bank, an affiliate of J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., is the administrative agent and a lender, under the six-year \$1.15 billion senior credit facility. Because more than 10% of the proceeds of the offering will be paid to members or affiliates of members of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. participating in this offering, this offering will be conducted in accordance with Rule 2710(c)(8) of the Conduct Rules of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.

Tower Automotive and the underwriters have agreed to indemnify each other against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act.

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the shares of common stock offered hereby will be passed on for Tower Automotive, Inc. by Kirkland & Ellis (a partnership that includes professional corporations), Chicago, Illinois. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the underwriters by Davis Polk & Wardwell. Kirkland & Ellis has from time to time represented, and may continue to represent, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated in connection with certain legal matters.

EXPERTS

The financial statements of Tower Automotive, Inc. included in this prospectus have been audited by Arthur Andersen LLP, independent public accountants, as indicated in their report with respect thereto, and are included herein in reliance upon the authority of said firm as experts in giving said report.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND OTHER INFORMATION

We are subject to the informational reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and in accordance therewith file reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. You can inspect and copy these reports, proxy statements and other information at the Public Reference Room of the SEC, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549 and, at prescribed rates, you can obtain copies of these materials from the Public Reference Room. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of the Public Reference Room. Our SEC filings will also be available to you on the SEC's Web site. The address of this site is http://www.sec.gov.

We have filed with the SEC a registration statement (which term shall include all amendments, exhibits and schedules thereto) on Form S-3 under the Securities Act, with respect to the shares offered hereby. This prospectus does not contain all the information set forth in the registration statement, certain parts of which are omitted in accordance with the rules and regulations of the

SEC, and to which reference is hereby made. Statements made in this prospectus as to the contents of any document referred to are not necessarily complete. With respect to each such document filed as an exhibit to the registration statement, reference is made to the exhibit for a more complete description of the matter involved, and each such statement shall be

61

deemed qualified in its entirety by such reference. The registration statement may be inspected at the public reference facilities maintained by the SEC at Room 1024, Judiciary Plaza, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549 and is available to you on the SEC's Web site.

INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS BY REFERENCE

The SEC allows us to incorporate by reference into this prospectus the information we file with the SEC, which means that we can disclose important information to you by referring you to those documents. The information incorporated by reference is considered to be part of this prospectus, and information we file later with the SEC will automatically update and supersede this information. We incorporate by reference the documents listed below and any future filings made by us with the SEC under Sections 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act until the sale of all of the shares of common stock that are part of this offering. The documents we are incorporating by reference are as follows:

- -- our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001;
- -- our Current Reports on Form 8-K/A and Form 8-K, as applicable, dated January 3, 2002, February 1, 2002 and April 22, 2002; and
- -- our Proxy Statement dated April 3, 2002 for the 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Any statement contained in a document incorporated by reference will be modified or superseded for all purposes to the extent that a statement contained in this prospectus (or in any other document that is subsequently filed with the SEC and incorporated by reference) modifies or is contrary to that previous statement. Any statement so modified or superceded will not be deemed a part of this prospectus except as so modified or superseded.

You may request a copy of these filings at no cost (other than exhibits unless such exhibits are specifically incorporated by reference) by writing or telephoning us at the following address and telephone number:

Tower Automotive, Inc.
5211 Cascade Road SE
Suite 300
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49546
(616) 802-1600
Attention: Investor Relations

62

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Public Accountants	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2001 and	
2000	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended	
December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Investment for the	
years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended	
December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	

F-1

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

To Tower Automotive, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Tower Automotive, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' investment and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Tower Automotive, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

As explained in Note 2 to the financial statements, effective January 1, 2001, the Company adopted the new requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities."

Arthur Andersen LLP

Minneapolis, Minnesota, January 25, 2002

F-2

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	DECEMBER 31,		
	2001		
	(AMOUNTS IN EXCEPT SHAP	•	
ASSETS			
Current Assets: Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 21,767 216,638 112,536 89,229	\$ 3,373 278,707 132,478 222,119	
Total current assets	440,170	636,677	
Property, Plant and Equipment, net	1,120,259 243,198 61,461 567,080	1,111,780	
\$13,360	101,268	71,070	
	\$2,533,436		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' INVESTMEN			
Current Liabilities:			
Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	\$ 172,083 368,910 278,962	\$ 149,066 248,389 160,469	
Total current liabilities	819,955	557,924	
Long-Term Debt, net of current maturities Obligations Under Capital Leases, net of current	601,084	933,442	
maturities	4,620 199,984 	200,000	
Deferred Income Taxes Other Noncurrent Liabilities	201,635	33,884 200,194	
Total noncurrent liabilities	1,007,323		
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 4, 6 and 12) Mandatorily Redeemable Trust Convertible Preferred Securities	258 , 750	258 , 750	
Preferred stock, par value \$1; 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding			
outstanding	481 456,627 40,432 (15,571) (34,561)	(9,672)	
Treasury stock, at cost: 4,112,100 shares in 2000 Total stockholders' investment	447,408	(40,178) 700,095	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated balance sheets.

F-3

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
		2000		
		OUSANDS, EXCEPT		
Revenues	\$2,467,433 2,190,248	\$2,531,953 2,160,359	\$2,17 1,82	
Gross profit Selling, general and administrative expenses Amortization expense Restructuring and asset impairment charges	277,185 139,203 24,804 383,739	371,594 137,003 21,517 141,326	34 10	
Operating income (loss)	(270,561) 80,319 (6,554)	71,748 71,162 (6,451)	22 3 (
<pre>Income (loss) before provision for income taxes, equity in earnings of joint ventures and minority interest</pre>	(344,326) (73,312)		18 7	
<pre>Income (loss) before equity in earnings of joint ventures, minority interest and extraordinary item</pre>	(271,014) 17,250 (13,760)		11 1 (1	
Income (loss) before extraordinary item Extraordinary loss on early extinguishment of debt, net	(267,524)	16,422 2,988	11	
Net income (loss)	\$ (267,524)	\$ 13,434	 \$ 11	
Basic earnings (loss) per share (Note 4): Income (loss) before extraordinary loss	\$ (5.87)	\$ 0.35 (0.06)	===== \$	
Net income (loss)	\$ (5.87)	\$ 0.29	\$	
Diluted earnings (loss) per share (Note 4): Income (loss) before extraordinary loss	\$ (5.87)	\$ 0.34 (0.06)	\$	
Net income (loss)	\$ (5.87)	\$ 0.28	\$	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' INVESTMENT

	COMMON S'		ADDITIONAL	DETATMED	WARRANTS
	SHARES	AMOUNT	PAID-IN CAPITAL	RETAINED EARNINGS	ACQUIRE COMMON ST
			NTS IN THOUSA	NDS, EXCEPT	SHARE AMOUN
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 1998	46,281,880	\$463	\$426,471	\$ 177,434	\$ 2,000
Conversion of Edgewood notes	250 , 000	3	755		
Exercise of options Sales of stock under Employee Stock	125,000	1	996		
Discount Purchase Plan	222,574	2	3 , 579		
Deferred Income Stock Plan			4,484		
Non-employee options grant Collection of common stock			897		
subscriptions receivable			28		
Net income Other comprehensive loss foreign				117,088	
currency translation adjustment Total comprehensive income					
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 1999	46,879,454	469	437,210	294,522	2 , 000
Conversion of warrants	400,000	4	5 , 596		(2,000
Exercise of options Sales of stock under Employee Stock	56,000	1	348		
Discount Purchase Plan	224,342	2	2,843		
Deferred Income Stock Plan	24,595		4,458		
Common share repurchase					
Net income				13,434	
Other comprehensive loss foreign currency translation adjustment					
Total comprehensive income					
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2000 Conversion of Edgewood and 5%	47,584,391	476	450,455	307,956	
convertible notes	273,862	3	825		
Exercise of options	42,750		268		
Sales of stock under Employee Stock	,				
Discount Purchase Plan	172,502	2	1,167		
Deferred Income Stock Plan			1,279		
Restricted stock issued in exchange for					
stock options			5 , 350		
Private placement of common stock	3 , 637		(2,717)		
Net loss				(267,524))
Other comprehensive loss foreign					
currency translation adjustment					
Transition adjustment relating to loss on qualifying cash flow hedges Unrealized loss on qualifying cash flow					

hedges Minimum pension liability Total comprehensive loss				
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2001	48,077,142	\$481 ====	\$456,627 \$	40,432 \$
	TREASURY		ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE	TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS'
		AMOUNT	INCOME (LOSS)	INVESTMENT
	(AMOUNTS	S IN THOUSA	NDS, EXCEPT SHAP	RE AMOUNTS)
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 1998 Conversion of Edgewood notes		\$ 	\$ 428 	\$ 606 , 796 758
Exercise of options Sales of stock under Employee Stock				997
Discount Purchase Plan Deferred Income Stock Plan				3,581
Non-employee options grant Collection of common stock				897
subscriptions receivable				28
Net income				
currency translation adjustment Total comprehensive income			(3,010)	114,078
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 1999			(2 , 582) 	727 , 135 3 , 600
Exercise of options				349
Discount Purchase Plan				2,845
Deferred Income Stock Plan Common share repurchase	 (4,112,100)	 (40,178)		 (40,178)
Net income	(4,112,100)	(40,170)		(40,170)
currency translation adjustment Total comprehensive income			(7,090)	6 , 344
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2000	(4,112,100)	(40,178)	(9,672)	700,095
Conversion of Edgewood and 5% convertible notes				828
Exercise of options Sales of stock under Employee Stock				268
Discount Purchase Plan Deferred Income Stock Plan	 479 , 337			1,169
Restricted stock issued in exchange for	479,337			
stock options Private placement of common stock	3,632,763	 40,178		37 , 461
Net loss				
Other comprehensive loss foreign currency translation adjustment Transition adjustment relating to loss			(2,115)	
on qualifying cash flow hedges Unrealized loss on qualifying cash flow			(4,200)	
hedges			(4,102)	
Minimum pension liability Total comprehensive loss			(14,472)	(292,413)
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2001		\$	\$ (34,561)	\$ 447 , 408

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-5

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	2001	2000	1999	
		JNTS IN THOUSAN		
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Net income (loss)	\$ (267,524)	\$ 13,434	\$ 117,088	
Depreciation and amortization	159 , 893			
Deferred income tax provision (benefit) Extraordinary loss on extinguishment of debt,	(80,758)	(23, 373)	45 , 528	
net		2,000		
Equity in earnings of joint ventures, net		(22,480)		
Restructuring and asset impairment charge Change in other operating items:	383 , 739	141,326		
Accounts receivable	·	111,706		
Inventories	21,415	•	` '	
Prepaid tooling and other	129,339	(115,780)	52,270	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	148,802	(119,763)	· ·	
Other assets and liabilities	(38,356)	(47,004)	(56,474)	
Net cash provided by operating activities				
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Capital expenditures, net	(193,955)	(93,588)	(197,315)	
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(2,689)	(93,588) (182,252)	(320,662)	
Acquisition of joint venture interests and other Net proceeds from sale of Roanoke Heavy Truck	(2 , 729)	(46,295)	(68,594)	
Business		55 , 353		
Change in restricted cash			2,0,,	
Net cash used for investing activities	(199,373)			
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Proceeds from borrowings	2,308,821	3,372,311	2,208,667	
Repayments of debt	(2,643,860)	(3,299,737)	(1,841,229)	
Net proceeds from issuance of senior Euro notes				
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	1,530			
Net proceeds from private placement of common				
stock	37,461			
Payments for repurchase of common shares		(40,178)		
Net cash provided by (used for) financing				
activities	(296,048)	173,890	372,074	

Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents		•		(244)		183
Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of year		3 , 373		3,617		3,434
Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of year	\$	21,767	\$	3,373	\$	3,617
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:	===		===	======	===	======
Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized						36,023
Income taxes (refunded) paid		(12,853)		18,808		17,136
NON CASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	===		===	======	===	
Notes payable converted to common stock	\$	828	\$			758
Non-employee options grant	\$		\$		\$	897
Deferred Income Stock Plan	\$	1,279	\$	4,458	\$, -
Issuance of restricted stock for options		5,350				
	===		===	======	===	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-6

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION:

Tower Automotive, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") produces a broad range of assemblies and modules for vehicle frames, upper body structures and suspension systems for the global automotive industry. Including both wholly-owned subsidiaries and investments in joint ventures, the Company has facilities in the United States, Canada, Italy, Germany, Hungary, Poland, Brazil, India, Slovakia, Korea, Japan, China, and Mexico.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION:

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Tower Automotive, Inc., its wholly-owned subsidiaries, and its majority-owned and majority-controlled investments. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

As part of the acquisition of Automotive Products Company in 1997, the Company acquired a 60 percent joint venture interest in Tower Golden Ring, which produces certain parts in China. The remaining 40 percent of the joint venture is owned by unrelated third parties. Prior to the third quarter of 2001, this investment was accounted for using the equity method since all significant business decisions required the approval of 80 percent of the joint venture partners. During the third quarter of 2001, the Company determined that its relationship with the other investors and the fact that representatives appointed by the Company hold key management positions within the joint venture allowed it to exercise significant control over significant business decisions. As a result, this joint venture was consolidated effective as of the third quarter of 2001. The Company's investments in Metalsa and Yorozu are accounted for using the equity method. The Company's minority-controlled investments (less than 20 percent ownership) are accounted for under the cost method.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS:

Cash and cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less. Cash equivalents are stated at cost which approximates fair value.

SUBORDINATED INTEREST IN ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE:

In June 2001, the Company entered into a financing agreement whereby its domestic operating units sell eligible customer receivables on an ongoing basis to a newly formed, fully consolidated, financing entity. The financing entity subsequently sells its interest in the receivables to a third party funding agent in exchange for cash and a subordinated interest in the unfunded receivables transferred. The Company acts as an administrative agent in the management and collection of accounts receivable sold.

At December 31, 2001, the Company sold approximately \$111.2 million of net accounts receivable in exchange for \$15.2 million of cash and a retained subordinated interest in the receivables sold of approximately \$96.0 million. The receivables sold represented amounts owed to the Company from customers as of November 30, 2001. The majority of such receivables were collected in December 2001 and as a result, the Company's retained interest in accounts receivable is not significant as of December 31, 2001 and is not presented separately from accounts receivable. The net proceeds from the sale of the receivables were used to pay down borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2001, the Company recorded a liability to the funding agent of \$15.2 million, which represents receivables for which the Company has received collections from customers and are required to be submitted to the funding agent. Settlement of amounts due to the funding agent, as well as the cost of funding at a rate of approximately 7.6 percent, occurs during the month subsequent to the sale of the receivables.

F-7

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

INVENTORIES:

Inventories are valued at the lower of first-in, first-out ("FIFO") cost or market.

Inventories consisted of the following (in thousands):

	DECEMBER 31,		
	2001	2000	
Raw materials Work-in-process Finished goods	24,636	\$ 54,958 40,281 37,239	
	\$112,536 ======	\$132,478 ======	

TOOLING AND OTHER DESIGN COSTS:

Tooling and other design costs represent costs incurred by the Company in the development of new tooling used in the manufacture of the Company's products. The Company follows the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 99-5, "Accounting for Pre-Production Costs Related to Long-Term Supply Arrangements," that requires all pre-production tooling costs incurred for tools that the Company will not own and that will be used in producing products to be supplied under long-term supply agreements be expensed as incurred unless the supply agreement provides the supplier with the noncancellable right to use the tools or the reimbursement of such costs is contractually guaranteed by the customer. At the time that the customer awards a contract to the Company, the customer agrees to reimburse the Company for certain of its tooling costs either in the form of a lump sum payment or by reimbursement on a piece price basis. When the part for which tooling has been developed reaches a production-ready status, the Company is reimbursed by its customers for the cost of the tooling (in instances of lump sum payment), at which time the tooling becomes the property of the customers. For those costs related to other tooling and design costs reimbursed through the piece price as contractually quaranteed, such costs are capitalized as property, plant and equipment and amortized using the unit of production method over the life of the related product. The Company has certain other tooling costs related to tools for which the Company has the contractual right to use the tool over the life of the supply arrangement, which are capitalized as property, plant and equipment and amortized over the life of the related product. The components of capitalized tooling costs are as follows (in thousands):

	DECEME	BER 31,
	2001	2000
Reimbursable pre-production design and development costs Customer-owned tooling	\$ 5,628 51,019 28,533	\$ 2,442 85,416 18,197
Total	\$85,180	\$106,055

All tooling amounts owned by the customer for which the Company expects reimbursement are recorded in other current and other long-term assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. If the Company forecasts that the amount of capitalized tooling and design costs exceeds the amount to be realized through the sale of product, a loss is recognized currently.

F-8

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT:

Property, plant and equipment consisted of the following (in thousands):

DECEMBER	31,
2001	2000

Land Buildings and improvements Machinery and equipment Construction in progress	348,200 1,095,955	\$ 7,126 310,129 1,028,365 165,260
Less-Accumulated depreciation		1,510,880 (399,100) \$1,111,780
nee property, prane and equipment	========	========

Property, plant and equipment acquired in the acquisitions discussed in Note 6 was recorded at its fair value, determined based on appraisals, as of the respective acquisition dates. Additions to property, plant and equipment following the acquisitions are stated at cost. For financial reporting purposes, depreciation and amortization are provided using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings	and	improvements	15 to	40 years
Machinery	and	equipment	3 to	20 years

Accelerated depreciation methods are used for tax reporting purposes.

Interest is capitalized during the construction of major facilities and is amortized over their estimated useful lives. Interest of \$14.6 million was capitalized during the year ended December 31, 2001, \$12.9 million was capitalized during the year ended December 31, 2000 and \$6.9 million was capitalized during the year ended December 31, 1999.

Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Major betterments and improvements which extend the useful life of the related item are capitalized and depreciated. The cost and accumulated depreciation of property, plant and equipment retired or otherwise disposed of are removed from the related accounts, and any residual values after considering proceeds are charged or credited to income.

GOODWILL:

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired, and through December 31, 2001, was being amortized on a straight-line basis over 40 years.

The Company periodically evaluates whether events and circumstances have occurred which may affect the estimated useful life or the recoverability of the remaining balance of its goodwill and other long-lived assets. If such events or circumstances were to indicate that the carrying amount of these assets were not recoverable, the Company would estimate the future cash flows expected to result from the use of the assets and their eventual disposition. If the sum of the expected future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) were less than the carrying amount of goodwill, the Company would recognize an impairment loss. Impairment losses are measured by comparing fair value of the goodwill as determined by discounting the future cash flows at a market rate of interest.

During the fourth quarter of 2001, the Company recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$87.5 million as a result of the restructuring of certain operations (See Note 3). In addition, based upon the Company's current operating

plans (including the organizational realignment initiative discussed in Note 3) and current and forecasted trends in the automotive industry, the Company re-evaluated the carrying amount of goodwill

F-9

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

and other long-lived assets. Based upon this analysis, the Company determined that an additional \$108.6 million of goodwill and \$97.0 million of manufacturing equipment and other assets were impaired. As a result, these assets were written down to their estimated fair value as discussed above (See Note 3).

OTHER ASSETS:

Other assets consist primarily of deferred rent expense and debt issuance costs. Debt issue costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the term of the related obligations.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS:

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and revolving credit facilities approximates fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments. The carrying amount of the Company's long-term debt approximates fair value because of the variability of the interest cost associated with these instruments. The fair value of the Company's Convertible Subordinated Notes and Preferred Securities approximated \$167.5 million and \$111.3 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2001.

DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS:

The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 133 "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," effective January 1, 2001. SFAS No. 133 establishes accounting and reporting standards requiring that every derivative instrument, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts be recorded in the balance sheet as either an asset or liability measured at its fair value. SFAS No. 133 requires that changes in the derivative's fair value be recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge criteria are met, and requires that a company must formally document, designate and assess the effectiveness of transactions that receive hedge accounting. The effect of this change as of January 1, 2001, was a pretax charge to accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$6.8 million (\$4.2 million net of income tax benefit).

The Company uses derivative financial instruments principally to manage the risk that changes in interest rates will affect the amount of its future interest payments. Interest rate swap contracts are used to adjust the proportion of total debt that is subject to variable and fixed interest rates. Under these agreements, the Company agrees to pay an amount equal to a specified fixed rate times a notional principal amount, and to receive in return an amount equal to a specified variable rate times the same notional principal amount. The notional amounts of the contract are not exchanged. No other cash payments are made unless the contract is terminated prior to maturity, in which case the amount paid or received in settlement is established by agreement at the time of termination, and usually will represent the net present value, at current rates of interest, of the remaining obligation to exchange payments under the term of the contract.

The interest rate swap contracts are recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheet as accrued liabilities and the related gains or

losses on these contracts are deferred in stockholders' investment (as a component of other comprehensive income (loss)). Amounts to be paid or received under the contracts are accrued as interest rates change and are recognized over the life of the contracts as an adjustment to interest expense. The net effect of this accounting is that interest expense on the portion of variable rate debt being hedged is generally recorded based on fixed interest rates.

During September 2000, the Company entered into an interest rate swap contract to hedge against interest rate exposure on approximately \$160 million of its floating rate indebtedness under its \$1.15 billion senior unsecured credit facility. The contract has the effect of converting the floating rate interest to a fixed rate of approximately 6.9 percent, plus any applicable margin required under the revolving credit facility. The interest rate swap contract was executed to balance the Company's fixed-rate and floating-rate debt portfolios and expires in September 2005. As of December 31, 2001, this is the only swap contract the Company has

F - 10

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

outstanding. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement at December 31, 2001 and 2000 was a liability of \$13.4 million and \$6.9 million, respectively, representing the cost that would be incurred to terminate the agreement. This swap contract has been designated as a highly effective cash flow hedge and accordingly, gains or losses on any ineffectiveness was not material to any period.

OTHER NONCURRENT LIABILITIES:

Other noncurrent liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	DECEMBI	ER 31,
	2001	2000
Post-retirement benefits Purchase accounting reserves Other	•	\$ 93,668 68,369 38,157
	\$201,635 ======	\$200,194 ======

REVENUE RECOGNITION AND SALES COMMITMENTS:

The Company recognizes revenue as its products are shipped to its customers. The Company enters into agreements to produce products for its customers at the beginning of a given vehicle's life. Once such agreements are entered into by the Company, fulfillment of the customers' purchasing requirements is the obligation of the Company for the entire production life of the vehicle, with terms of three to ten years and the Company has no provisions to terminate such contracts. In certain instances, the Company may be committed under existing agreements to supply product to its customers at selling prices which are not sufficient to cover the direct cost to produce such product. In such situations, the Company records a liability for the estimated future amount of such losses. Such losses are recognized at the time that the loss is probable

and reasonably estimable and is recorded at the minimum amount necessary to fulfill the Company's obligations to its customers. Losses are discounted and are estimated based upon information available at the time of the estimate, including future production volume estimates, length of the program and selling price and production cost information.

INCOME TAXES:

The Company recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using currently enacted tax rates.

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS):

Comprehensive income (loss) reflects the change in equity of a business enterprise during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. For the Company, comprehensive income (loss) represents net income (loss) adjusted for foreign currency translation adjustments, minimum pension liability adjustments, and gains or losses on qualifying cash flow hedges in accordance with SFAS No. 133.

SEGMENT REPORTING:

In accordance with SFAS No. 131, the Company uses the "management approach" to reporting segment disclosures. The management approach designates the internal organization that is used by management for

F-11

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

making operating decisions and assessing performance as the source of the Company's reportable segments. SFAS No. 131 also requires disclosures about products and services, geographic areas, and major customers.

STOCK OPTIONS:

The Company accounts for stock options under the provisions of Accounting Principles Board opinion ("APB") No. 25, under which no compensation expense is recognized when the stock options are granted to employees and directors at fair market value. The pro forma effects had the Company followed the provisions of SFAS No. 123 are included in Note 4. The Company may also grant stock options to outside consultants. The fair value of these option grants are expensed over the period services are rendered based on the Black-Scholes valuation model.

USE OF ESTIMATES:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The actual results could differ from those estimates.

FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION:

Assets and liabilities of the Company's foreign operations are translated

into U.S. dollars using the year-end rates of exchange. Results of operations are translated at average rates prevailing throughout the period. Translation gains or losses are accumulated as a separate component of "other comprehensive loss" in the accompanying consolidated statements of stockholders' investment.

RECLASSIFICATIONS:

Certain prior year amounts were reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS:

On June 29, 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS 141, "Business Combinations," and SFAS 142, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets." Major provisions of these Statements are as follows: all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 must use the purchase method of accounting; the pooling of interest method of accounting is prohibited except for transactions initiated before July 1, 2001; intangible assets acquired in a business combination must be recorded separately from goodwill if they arise from contractual or other legal rights or are separable from the acquired entity and can be sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either individually or as part of a related contract, asset or liability; goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized but tested for impairment annually, except in certain circumstances, and whenever there is an impairment indicator; all acquired goodwill must be assigned to reporting units for purposes of impairment testing; effective January 1, 2002, goodwill is no longer subject to amortization.

The Company will apply the new rules on accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2002. As of December 31, 2001, the Company has unamortized goodwill of \$567.1 million that will be subject to the transition provisions of the Statements. The Company has not yet determined the impact of adopting these Statements on its earnings and financial position, including whether it will be required to recognize any transitional impairment losses as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle. Application of the nonamortization provisions of the Statements is expected to result in a

F-12

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

reduction in goodwill amortization expense of approximately \$16 million in fiscal 2002, after reflecting 2001 goodwill writedowns of \$196.1 million.

In July 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The provisions of this Statement provide a single accounting model for impairment of long-lived assets. The adoption of SFAS No. 144 on January 1, 2002 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or its results of operations.

3. RESTRUCTURING AND ASSET IMPAIRMENT CHARGES:

SEBEWAING AND MILWAUKEE PRESS OPERATIONS:

In October 2001, the Company's board of directors approved a restructuring of the enterprise that included the closing of the Sebewaing, Michigan facility. In addition, in December 2001, the Company's board of directors approved a restructuring plan that related to the consolidation of technical activities and

a reduction of other salaried colleagues in conjunction with a reorganization of the Company's U.S. and Canada operations and the relocation of some component manufacturing from the Company's Milwaukee Press Operations to other Tower locations. As a result of these realignment efforts (the "2001 Plan"), the Company recorded a restructuring charge in the fourth quarter of 2001 of \$178.1 million, which reflects the estimated qualifying "exit costs" to be incurred over the next 12 months pertaining to the 2001 Plan.

The 2001 Plan charge includes costs associated with asset impairments, severance and outplacement costs related to employee terminations and certain other exit costs. These activities are anticipated to result in a reduction of more than 700 colleagues in the Company's technical and administrative centers in Novi, Rochester Hills, and Grand Rapids, Michigan; Milwaukee, Wisconsin; and its U.S. and Canada manufacturing locations. Through December 31, 2001, the Company had eliminated approximately 270 colleagues pursuant to the 2001 Plan. The estimated restructuring charge does not cover certain aspects of the 2001 Plan, including movement of equipment and employee relocation and training. These costs will be recognized in future periods as incurred.

The asset impairments consist of long-lived assets, including fixed assets, buildings and manufacturing equipment from the facilities the Company intends to dispose of or discontinue, and goodwill. The carrying value of the long-lived assets written off was approximately \$127.4 million as of December 31, 2001. Fixed assets that will be disposed of as part of the 2001 Plan were written down to their estimated residual values. For assets that will be sold currently, the Company measured impairment based on estimated proceeds on the sale of the facilities and equipment. These asset impairments have arisen as a consequence of the Company making the decision to exit these activities during the fourth quarter of 2001.

Based on the current plan, the Company anticipates this charge will require cash payments of approximately \$34.9 million combined with the \$127.4 million write-off of assets and other future obligations of \$15.8 million. The asset write-offs include \$87.5 million of goodwill associated with Sebewaing and Milwaukee Press Operations, \$20.6 million of property, plant and equipment associated with the Sebewaing operations and \$12.1 million of property, plant and equipment associated with the Milwaukee Press Operations business that will be discontinued. Additionally, there was \$7.2 million of property and building write downs associated with the decision to consolidate the Company's technical centers.

F-13

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The accrual for operational realignment and other costs is included in accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2001. The table below summarizes the accrued operational realignment and other charges through December 31, 2001 (in millions):

		SEVERANCE AND		
	ASSET IMPAIRMENTS	OUTPLACEMENT COSTS	OTHER EXIT COSTS	TOTAL
Provision and reclassifications	\$ 127.4	\$24.6	\$26.1	\$ 178.1
Reclassification from 2000 Plan			5.9	5.9
Cash payments		(0.7)	(0.6)	(1.3)

Non cash charges	(127.4)			(127.4)
Balance at December 31, 2001	\$	\$23.9	\$31.4	\$ 55.3
		=====	=====	======

SUBSEQUENT EVENT (UNAUDITED):

On January 31, 2002, the Company announced that it will discontinue the remaining stamping and ancillary processes currently performed at the Company's Milwaukee Press Operations and relocate the remaining work to other Tower locations or Tier II suppliers. The Company expects to complete the transfer process during the second quarter of 2002. As a result of these efforts, the Company expects to record a restructuring charge in the first quarter of 2002 totaling approximately \$75 million.

HEAVY TRUCK AND KALAMAZOO STAMPING OPERATIONS:

In October 2000, the Company's board of directors approved a comprehensive operational realignment plan (the "2000 Plan") to improve the Company's long-term competitive position and lower its cost structure. The 2000 Plan included phasing out the heavy truck rail manufacturing in Milwaukee, Wisconsin; reducing stamping capacity by closing the Kalamazoo, Michigan facility; and consolidating related support activities across the enterprise. The Company recognized a charge to operations of approximately \$141.3 million in the fourth quarter of 2000, which reflected the estimated qualifying "exit costs" to be incurred over the ensuing twelve months.

The 2000 Plan charge included costs associated with asset impairments, severance and outplacement costs related to employee terminations, loss contract provisions and certain other exit costs. These activities resulted in a reduction of approximately 850 employees.

The asset impairments consisted of long-lived assets, including fixed assets, manufacturing equipment and land, from the facilities the Company intends to dispose of or discontinue. For assets that were disposed of currently, the Company measured impairment based on estimated proceeds on the sale of the facilities and equipment. The carrying value of the long-lived assets held for sale or disposal was approximately \$3.8 million as of December 31, 2001. For assets that will be held and used in the future, the Company prepared a forecast of expected undiscounted cash flows to determine whether asset impairment existed, and used fair values to measure the required write-downs. These asset impairments have arisen as a consequence of the Company making the decision to exit these activities during the fourth quarter of 2000.

The Company anticipated this charge would require cash payments of approximately \$37.6 million combined with the write-off of assets having a book value of approximately \$103.7 million. Actual amounts have been revised by \$5.9 million compared to the original estimate. The assets written off included Milwaukee heavy truck rail manufacturing machinery and equipment of approximately \$47.3 million, Milwaukee and corporate campus support operating assets of approximately \$46.1 million, Kalamazoo stamping operation's land, buildings and equipment of approximately \$5.7 million and Granite City stamping, machinery and equipment of \$4.6 million.

F - 14

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The accrual for the 2000 Plan has been fully utilized and revised as of

December 31, 2001. The table below summarizes the accrued operational realignment and other charges through December 31, 2001 (in millions):

	ASSET IMPAIRMENTS	SEVERANCE AND OUTPLACEMENT COSTS	LOSS CONTRACTS	OTHER EXIT	TOTAL
Provision Cash payments Non cash charges	\$ 103.7	\$ 25.2	\$ 8.1	\$ 4.3	\$ 141.3
		(8.7)	(2.5)	(0.3)	(11.5)
	(103.7)				(103.7)
Balance at December 31, 2000 Cash payments Revision of estimate		16.5	5.6	4.0	26.1
		(13.6)	(4.2)	(2.4)	(20.2)
		(2.9)	(1.4)	(1.6)	(5.9)
Balance at December 31, 2001	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	======	======	====	====	======

NON-RESTRUCTURING ASSET IMPAIRMENTS:

The restructuring and asset impairment charges line on the accompanying consolidated statement of operations is comprised of both restructuring and non-restructuring related asset impairments. The components of that line are as follows for each of the three years ending December 31, 2001 (in millions):

	2001	2000	1999
Restructuring and related asset impairments			
Other goodwill and asset impairments	205.6		
Total	\$383.7	\$141.3	\$

The other goodwill and asset impairment charges recorded in 2001 are a result of the Company's review of the carrying amount of certain of its goodwill, fixed assets, and certain investments based upon the Company's current operating plans (including the organizational realignment initiative discussed above) and current and forecasted trends in the automotive industry. Based upon a review of anticipated cash flows, the Company determined that goodwill assigned to two of its plants was impaired and was written off. In addition, the Company identified assets which no longer had sufficient cash flows to support their carrying amounts and were written down to fair value, including its investment in J.L. French.

The total of the other goodwill and asset impairment charges included above is as follows (in millions):

Goodwill writedownOther asset impairments	
Investment in J.L. French impairment	46.3
Total	\$205.6

4. STOCKHOLDERS' INVESTMENT:

SALE OF COMMON STOCK:

On August 30, 2001, the Company issued 3,636,400 shares of common stock (par value \$0.01 per share) at a price of \$11.00 per share in a private placement transaction. The Company used the net proceeds of approximately \$37.5 million to repay outstanding indebtedness under its revolving credit facility.

F-15

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

STOCK REPURCHASE:

On May 26, 2000, the Company announced that its board of directors approved the purchase of up to \$100 million of its common stock, if authorized by the executive committee of the board. The shares may be purchased in the open market at prevailing prices and at times and amounts to be determined by the board's executive committee as market conditions and the Company's capital position warrant. During the year ended December 31, 2000, approximately 4.1 million shares at a total cost of approximately \$40.2 million were purchased. The repurchased shares were placed in treasury and were reissued during 2001 for general corporate purposes. There were no shares repurchased during 2001.

EARNINGS PER SHARE:

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per share for the 1999 period was calculated on the following assumptions: (i) the Edgewood notes were converted at the beginning of the respective periods, (ii) the Convertible Subordinated Notes were converted at the beginning of the respective periods, and (iii) the Preferred Securities (as defined in Note 5) were converted at the beginning of the period. The Convertible Subordinated Notes and Preferred Securities were not included in the computation of earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, due to their anti-dilutive effect. In addition, common stock equivalents relating to options and Edgewood notes totaling approximately 230,000 shares, using the treasury stock method, were excluded from the calculation of earnings per share in 2001 because their impact was anti-dilutive.

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	2001	2000	1999	
	(IN THOUSANDS,	EXCEPT PER	SHARE DATA)	
Net income (loss) Interest expense on Edgewood notes, net of tax Interest expense on Convertible Subordinated Notes,	\$ (267 , 524) 	\$13 , 434 30	\$117 , 088	
net of tax			6,508	
Dividends on Preferred Securities, net of tax			10,480	
Net income (loss) applicable to common stockholdersdiluted	\$(267,524)	\$13,464	\$134 , 109	

	=======	======	=======
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	45,597	47,100	46,934
Dilutive effect of outstanding stock options and warrants after application of the treasury stock			
method Dilutive effect of Edgewood notes, assuming		171	560
conversion Dilutive effect of Convertible Subordinated Notes,		289	326
assuming conversion			7,729
Dilutive effect of Preferred Securities, assuming conversion			8,425
Diluted shares outstanding	45 , 597	47,560	63,974
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ (5.87)	\$ 0.29	\$ 2.50
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ (5.87)	\$ 0.28	\$ 2.10
	=======	======	=======

F-16

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

STOCK OPTION PLAN:

The Company sponsors the 1994 Key Employee Stock Option Plan (the "Stock Option Plan"), under which any person who is a full-time, salaried employee of the Company (excluding non-management directors) is eligible to participate in the Stock Option Plan (an "Employee Participant"). A committee of the board of directors selects the Employee Participants and determines the terms and conditions of the options. The Stock Option Plan provides for the issuance of options up to 3,000,000 shares of Common Stock at exercise prices equal to the stock market price on the date of grant, subject to certain adjustments reflecting changes in the Company's capitalization. Information regarding the Stock Option Plan is as follows:

				WEIGHTED	
			WEIGHTED	AVERAGE FAIR	ľ
	SHARES		AVERAGE	VALUE OF	EXERC
	UNDER		EXERCISE	OPTIONS	AT E
	OPTION	EXERCISE PRICE	PRICE	GRANTED	ΥE
Outstanding, December 31, 1998	1,853,350	\$ 4.00 - 25.75	\$17.89	\$10.43	252
Granted	795 , 500	19.25	19.25		
Exercised	(125,000)	4.00 - 18.94	8.54		
Forfeited	(81,000)	7.56 - 22.97	20.05		ļ
Outstanding, December 31, 1999	2,442,850	4.00 - 25.75	13.07	9.51	552
Exercised	(56,000)	4.00 - 7.56	6.48		ļ
Forfeited	(366, 500)	4.00 - 25.75	19.20		
Outstanding, December 31, 2000	2,020,350	4.00 - 22.97	19.00	9.72	978
Exercised	(42,750)	4.00 - 7.56	6.60		ŀ
Converted to restricted stock	(1,251,500)	17.13 - 22.97	19.98		ļ
Forfeited	(223,500)	4.00 - 22.97	19.70		

Outstanding, December 31, 2001	502,600	\$ 4.00 - 22.97	\$17.29	\$ 8.85	378

The weighted average exercise price of options exercisable at end of year was \$16.59 at December 31, 2001, \$18.13 at December 31, 2000 and \$16.48 at December 31, 1999.

All options granted in the stock option plan have a contractual life of 10 years from the date of grant and vest ratably over a four-year period from the date of grant.

F-17

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

In March 1999, the Company's board of directors adopted and shareholders approved the Tower Automotive Inc. Long Term Incentive Plan ("Incentive Plan"). The Incentive Plan is designed to promote the long term success of the Company through stock based compensation by aligning the interests of participants with those of its stockholders. Eligible participants under the Incentive Plan include key company colleagues, directors, and outside consultants. Awards under the Incentive Plan may include stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance shares, and other stock based awards. The Incentive Plan provides for the issuance of up to 3,000,000 shares of common stock. A committee of the board of directors is responsible for administration, participant selection, and determination of terms and conditions of the Incentive Plan. Information regarding the Incentive Plan is as follows:

	SHARES UNDER OPTION	EXERCISE PRICE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE FAIR VALUE OF OPTIONS GRANTED	EXERCIS AT END YEAR
	405,000	\$ 19.25	\$19.25 26.81		
Granted	121 , 490	26.81	20.81		
Outstanding, December 31, 1999	526,490	19.25 - 26.81	20.99	\$9.08	
Granted	1,315,480	13.19	13.19		
Granted	120,000	15.56	15.56		
Granted	60,000	12.06	12.06		
Granted	5,000	11.94	11.94		
Granted	5,000	9.63	9.63		
Granted	5,000	10.75	10.75		
Granted	10,000	10.19	10.19		
Granted	•	9.13	9.13		
Forfeited	(179,000)	13.19 - 19.25	18.44		
Outstanding, December 31, 2000		9.13 - 26.81		7.94	70,0
	918,450		11.33		
Converted to restricted stock	(252,000)	19.25	19.25		
Forfeited	(273,450)	9.13 - 13.19	10.62		
Outstanding, December 31, 2001	2,380,970	\$ 9.63 - 26.81	\$13.36	\$7.48	373 , 7

Options granted in each of the past three years have a remaining contractual life of five to 10 years and vest ratably over a four-year period from the date of grant. The weighted average exercise price of options exercisable under the Incentive Plan was \$13.65 at December 31, 2001 and \$19.25 at December 31, 2000. No options issued under the Incentive Plan were exercisable as of December 31, 1999.

INDEPENDENT DIRECTOR STOCK OPTION PLAN:

In February 1996, the Company's board of directors approved the Tower Automotive, Inc. Independent Director Stock Option Plan (the "Director Option Plan") that provides for the issuance of options to Independent Directors, as defined, to acquire up to 200,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock, subject to certain adjustments reflecting changes in the Company's capitalization. The option exercise price must be at least equal to the fair value of the Common Stock at the time the option is issued. Vesting is determined by

F-18

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

the board of directors at the date of grant and in no event can be less than six months from the date of grant. Information regarding the Director Option Plan is as follows:

	SHARES UNDER OPTION	EXERCISE PRICE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE FAIR VALUE OF OPTIONS GRANTED	EXERCISABLE AT END OF YEAR
Outstanding, December 31,					
1998	123,000	\$7.56 - 22.97	\$15.37	\$8.38	49,800
Granted	40,000	19.25	19.25		
Outstanding, December 31,					
1999	163,000	7.56 - 22.97	16.32	8.70	90,600
Forfeited	(41,000)	7.56 - 22.97	15.37		
Outstanding, December 31,					
2000	•			8.80	91,200
Forfeited	(6,800)	19.25	19.25		
Outstanding, December 31,					
2001	115 200	\$7 56 - 22 97	\$16.49	\$8.75	108,400
2001	======	=========	=====	VO.75	100,400

The weighted average exercise price of options exercisable under the Director Option Plan was \$16.31 at December 31, 2001, \$15.59 at December 31, 2000 and \$13.56 at December 31, 1999.

EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN:

The Company also sponsors an employee stock discount purchase plan which provides for the sale of up to 1,400,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at discounted purchase prices, subject to certain limitations. The cost per share under this plan is 85 percent of the market value of the Company's Common Stock at the date of purchase, as defined. During the year ended December 31, 2001, 172,502 shares of Common Stock were issued to employees pursuant to this plan, 224,342 shares of Common Stock were issued during the year ended December 31, 2000, and 222,574 shares of Common Stock were issued during the year ended December 31, 1999. The weighted average fair value of shares sold in 2001, 2000, and 1999 was \$6.64, \$11.23, and \$16.09, respectively.

DEFERRED STOCK PLANS:

The Company sponsors the Tower Automotive, Inc. Key Leadership Deferred Income Stock Purchase Plan and the Tower Automotive, Inc. Director Deferred Stock Purchase Plan (the "Deferred Stock Plans"), which allow certain employees to defer receipt of all or a portion of their annual cash bonus and outside directors to defer all or a portion of their annual retainer. The Company makes a matching contribution of one-third of the deferral. The Company matching contribution vests on the 15th day of December of the second plan year following the date of the deferral. In accordance with the terms of the plans, the deferral and Company's matching contribution may be placed in a "Rabbi" trust, which invests solely in the Company's Common Stock. This trust arrangement offers a degree of assurance for ultimate payment of benefits without causing constructive receipt for income tax purposes. Distributions from the trust can only be made in the form of the Company's Common Stock. The assets in the trust remain subject to the claims of creditors of the Company and are not the property of the employee or outside director; therefore, they are included as a separate component of stockholders' investment under the caption Deferred Compensation Plans. The Company recorded \$1.3 million, \$4.5 million and \$4.4 million of expense related to these plans during the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

F-19

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

RESTRICTED STOCK:

In July 2001, the Company offered to its existing colleagues, and certain consultants, the right to exchange the Company stock options, having an exercise price of \$17.125 or more, for shares of restricted stock. As a result of the offer, effective September 17, 2001, the Company issued approximately 530,671 shares of its common stock in exchange for the surrender of options to purchase a total of 1,503,500 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The cost of this exchange was recorded in stockholders' investment as deferred compensation based upon the fair value of stock issued and is being expensed as the restrictions lapse.

SUPPLEMENTAL RETIREMENT PLAN:

During 2001, the Company's board of directors approved the Tower Automotive Supplemental Retirement Plan (the "Supplemental Retirement Plan"), which allows certain employees who are restricted in their contributions to the Tower Automotive Retirement Plan by certain statutory limitations on benefits to defer receipt of all or a portion of their annual cash compensation. The Company makes a matching contribution based on the terms of the plan. The Company's matching contributions vests on the first day of the third plan year following the date of the employee's deferral. The Company recorded \$0.4 million of compensation

expense related to this plan during the year ended December 31, 2001.

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS:

As discussed above, the Company has three stock option plans: the Stock Option Plan, the Long Term Incentive Plan and the Independent Director Stock Option Plan. Additionally the Company has two stock purchase plans: the Employee Stock Purchase Plan and the Deferred Income Stock Plan. The Company has elected to continue to account for these plans under APB No. 25, under which no compensation cost has been recognized for employee groups and directors eligible for the plans. Had compensation cost for these plans been determined as required under SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," the Company's proforma net income (loss) and pro forma earnings per share would have been as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,				31,	
	2	2001	2	000	 1 	.999
Net income (loss)						
As Reported	\$ (2	267,524)	\$1	3,434	\$11	7,088
Pro Forma	(2	271,396)		5,001	10	9,003
Basic earnings (loss) per share						
As Reported	\$	(5.87)	\$	0.29	\$	2.50
Pro Forma		(5.95)		0.11		2.33
Diluted earnings (loss) per share						
As Reported	\$	(5.87)	\$	0.28	\$	2.10
Pro Forma		(5.95)				

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: Risk free interest rates of 4.88 percent in 2001, 5.56 percent to 6.72 percent in 2000, and 4.54 percent in 1999; expected life of seven years for 2001, 2000, and 1999; expected volatility of 52 percent in 2001, 49 percent in 2000, and 40 percent in 1999; expected dividends of zero.

F-20

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

OTHER COMMON STOCK EQUIVALENTS:

In connection with the acquisition of Edgewood Tool and Manufacturing Company ("Edgewood") in May 1994, the Company issued options to acquire 205,968 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$3.28 per share. These options are fully exercisable through 2004. As of December 31, 2001, all of these options were exercisable.

In connection with the acquisition of MSTI in May 1996, the Company issued warrants to MascoTech, Inc. ("MascoTech") to acquire 400,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$9 per share. On May 5, 2000, MascoTech exercised all of the warrants outstanding under this agreement.

In addition, the Company has Convertible Subordinated Notes outstanding as discussed in Note 8, and Convertible Preferred Securities as discussed in Note 5.

DIVIDENDS:

The Company has not declared or paid any cash dividends in the past. As discussed in Note 8, the Company's debt agreements restrict the amount of dividends the Company can declare or pay. As of December 31, 2001, under the most restrictive debt covenants, the Company could not have paid any cash dividends.

5. MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE TRUST CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED SECURITIES:

On June 9, 1998, Tower Automotive Capital Trust (the "Preferred Issuer"), a wholly owned statutory business trust of the Company, completed the offering of \$258.8 million of its 6 3/4 percent Trust Convertible Preferred Securities ("Preferred Securities"), resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$249.7 million. The Preferred Securities are redeemable, in whole or in part, on or after June 30, 2001 and all Preferred Securities must be redeemed no later than June 30, 2018. The Preferred Securities are convertible, at the option of the holder, into common stock of the Company at a rate of 1.6280 shares of common stock for each Preferred Security, which is equivalent to a conversion price of \$30.713 per share. The net proceeds of the offering were used to repay outstanding indebtedness. Minority interest reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations represents dividends on the Preferred Securities at a rate of 6 3/4 percent, net of income tax benefits at the Company's incremental tax rate of 39 percent in 2001 and 40 percent in 2000 and 1999.

No separate financial statements of the Preferred Issuer have been included herein. The Company does not consider that such financial statements would be material to holders of Preferred Securities because (i) all of the voting securities of the Preferred Issuer are owned, directly or indirectly, by the Company, a reporting company under the Exchange Act, (ii) the Preferred Issuer has no independent operations and exists for the sole purpose of issuing securities representing undivided beneficial interests in the assets of the Preferred Issuer and investing the proceeds thereof in 6 3/4 percent Convertible Subordinated Debentures due June 30, 2018 issued by the Company and (iii) the obligations of the Preferred Issuer under the Preferred Securities are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Company.

6. ACQUISITIONS AND INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURES:

ACQUISITIONS:

On November 30, 2000 the Company completed the acquisition of Strojarne Malacky, a.s. ("Presskam"), a manufacturer of upper body structural assemblies for Volkswagen, Porsche and Skoda, located in Bratislava, Slovakia. The Company paid total consideration of approximately \$10 million for Presskam and intends to use the investment to further support Volkswagen's Bratislava assembly operation.

F-21

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

On July 6, 2000, the Company acquired the remaining 60 percent equity interest in Metalurgica Caterina S.A. ("Caterina") for approximately \$42 million. The initial 40 percent interest was acquired in March 1998, for approximately \$48 million. Caterina is a supplier of structural stampings and assemblies to the Brazilian automotive market, including Volkswagen and Mercedes-Benz.

On May 3, 2000, the Company acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Algoods, Inc. ("Algoods") for total consideration of approximately \$33 million. Algoods manufactures aluminum heat shields and impact discs for the North American automotive industry from aluminum mini-mill and manufacturing operations located in Toronto, Canada. Its primary customer is DaimlerChrysler. The acquisition of Algoods represents a significant investment in processing technology for lightweight materials which complements the Company's existing heat shield capabilities and provides opportunities for application in other lightweight vehicle structural products.

Effective January 1, 2000, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of Dr. Meleghy GmbH & Co. KG Werkzeugbau und Presswerk, Bergisch Gladbach ("Dr. Meleghy") for approximately \$86 million plus earnout payments of \$2.7 million paid in 2001 and \$26.9 million to be paid in 2002. Dr. Meleghy designs and produces structural stampings, assemblies, exposed surface panels and modules to the European automotive industry. Dr. Meleghy also designs and manufactures tools and dies for use in its production and for the external market. Dr. Meleghy operates three facilities in Germany and one facility in both Hungary and Poland. Dr. Meleghy's main customers include DaimlerChrysler, Audi, Volkswagen, Ford, Opel, and BMW. Products offered by Dr. Meleghy include body side panels, floor pan assemblies, and miscellaneous structural stampings.

On October 29, 1999, the Company invested \$21 million for new shares representing a 49 percent equity interest in Seojin Industrial Company Limited ("Seojin"). Seojin is a supplier of frames, modules and structural components to the Korean automotive industry. In addition, the Company advanced \$19 million to Seojin in exchange for variable rate convertible bonds (the "Bonds") due October 30, 2009. The conversion rate was based on a predetermined formula that would increase the Company's equity interest to 66 percent. On October 31, 2000, the Company exercised its right to convert the bonds into 17 percent of the common stock of Seojin. Based upon the formula for conversion of the Seojin bonds, the Company paid an additional \$1.2 million for the 17 percent equity interest.

On July 29, 1999, the Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of Active Tool Corporation and Active Products Corporation (collectively, "Active") for total approximate consideration of \$315 million. Active, which has five facilities, designs and produces a variety of large unexposed structural stampings, exposed surface panels, and modules to the North American automotive industry. Active's main customers include DaimlerChrysler, Ford, General Motors, and Saturn. Products offered by Active include body sides, pickup box sides, fenders, floor pan assemblies, door panels, pillars, and heat shields. The acquisition of Active enhances the Company's ability to manufacture large and complex structures, as well as exposed surface panels.

These acquisitions have been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the assets acquired and liabilities assumed have been recorded at fair value as of the dates of the acquisitions. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed has been recorded as goodwill. Results of operations for these acquisitions have been included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements since the dates of acquisition.

In conjunction with its acquisitions, reserves have been established for certain costs associated with facility shutdown and consolidation activities, for general and payroll related costs primarily for planned

F-22

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

employee termination activities, and for provisions for acquired loss contracts. A rollforward of these reserves is as follows (in millions):

	FACILITY SHUTDOWN COSTS	PAYROLL RELATED COSTS	LOSS CONTRACTS
December 31, 1999	\$13.8	\$ 6.4	\$ 24.8
	1.0		12.3
	(7.5)	(2.6)	(8.4)
December 31, 2000	7.3 (2.1)	3.8 (2.7)	28.7 (11.7)
December 31, 2001	\$ 5.2	\$ 1.1	\$ 17.0
	====	=====	=====

The timing of facility shutdown and consolidation activities were adjusted to reflect customer concerns with supply interruption. As of December 31, 2001, the facilities have been shutdown, but the Company continues to incur costs related to maintenance, taxes and other costs related to buildings that are held for sale. These reserves have been utilized as originally intended and management believes the liabilities recorded for shutdown and consolidation activities are adequate but not excessive as of December 31, 2001.

A reconciliation of the purchase accounting liabilities detailed in the table above to the total purchase accounting liabilities shown in Note 2 follows (in millions):

	DECEMB:	ER 31,
	2001	2000
Facility shutdown costs	\$ 5.2	\$ 7.3
Payroll-related costs	1.1	3.8
Loss contracts	17.0	28.7
Environmental liabilities	10.8	12.5
Customer obligations	2.7	4.4
Legal and other	6.3	11.7
Total purchase accounting reserves	\$43.1	\$68.4

INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURES:

On January 2, 2001, the Company invested approximately \$2 million in the formation of a prototyping joint venture with Carron Industries. The joint venture, Carron Prototype Center, located in Inkster, Michigan, provides the Company with detail stamping and tooling capabilities and has capacity for full frame prototypes and vehicle builds. The Company accounts for this investment using the cost method.

On September 21, 2000, the Company acquired a 17 percent equity interest in

Yorozu Corporation ("Yorozu"), a supplier of suspension modules and structural parts to the Asian and North American automotive markets, from Nissan Motor Co. Ltd. ("Nissan"). Yorozu is based in Japan and is publicly traded on the first tier of the Tokyo Stock Exchange. Its principal customers include Nissan, Auto Alliance, General Motors, Ford, and Honda. The Company will pay Nissan approximately \$68 million over two and one half years for the original 17 percent interest and an option to increase its holdings in Yorozu by 13.8 percent through the purchase of additional Yorozu shares, which was exercised on February 20, 2001. As of December 31, 2001, \$29.5 million remains to be paid under these arrangements and is recorded as indebtedness on the Company's balance sheet. As of December 31, 2001, the traded market value of shares held in Yorozu was \$17.6 million and the Company's investment in Yorozu was \$54.8 million. The Company

F-23

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

has determined that the investment in Yorozu has not suffered a permanent decline in market value. This determination is based on the long-term nature of the investment and the fact that the Company believes that there is a significant premium associated with the large block of stock held.

On March 23, 2000, the Company invested \$2.1 million in the formation of a product technology and development joint venture with Defiance Testing & Engineering Services, Inc., a subsidiary of GenTek Inc. The joint venture, DTA Development, located in Westland, Michigan, provides the Company with product-testing services. Traditionally, the Company utilizes both internal and external product testing extensively to validate complex systems during the development stage of a program. This joint venture allows the Company to have access to a broader and more cost efficient range of testing capabilities. DTA Development blends the benefits of chassis product technology and development activities with leading edge commercial testing services.

On October 14, 1999, the Company loaned \$30.0 million to J. L. French Automotive Castings, Inc., ("J.L. French") in exchange for a convertible subordinated promissory note due October 14, 2009 that bears interest at 7.5 percent. On November 30, 2000, the Company exercised its option to convert the note into 7,124 shares of Class A "1" Common Stock of J. L. French, which has a 7.5 percent pay-in-kind dividend right.

Additionally, on November 30, 2000, the Company invested \$2.9 million in J. L. French through the purchase of Class P Common Stock, which has an 8 percent pay-in-kind dividend right. On May 24, 2000, the Company invested \$11.0 million in J. L. French through the purchase of Class A Common Stock. At December 31, 2001, the Company has an ownership interest of approximately 16 percent in J. L. French. As discussed in Note 3, the Company evaluated its investment in J.L. French and determined that the investment has been impaired. Due to this impairment, the Company recorded a charge of \$46.3 million to write off the entire investment in J.L. French during the fourth quarter of 2001.

The Company is a 40 percent partner in Metalsa S. de R.L. ("Metalsa") with Promotora de Empresas Zano, S.A. de C.V. ("Proeza"). Metalsa is the largest supplier of vehicle frames and structures in Mexico. In addition, the parties have entered into a technology sharing arrangement that enables both companies to utilize the latest available product and process technology. Metalsa is headquartered in Monterrey, Mexico and has manufacturing facilities in Monterrey and San Luis Potosi, Mexico. Metalsa's customers include DaimlerChrysler, General Motors, Ford, and Nissan. In connection with the original agreement, the Company paid \$120 million to Proeza, with an additional amount of up to \$45

million payable based upon net earnings of Metalsa for the years 1998, 1999 and 2000. Based upon Metalsa's 1998 and 1999 net earnings, the Company paid Proeza \$9.0 million and \$7.9 million of additional consideration during 1999 and 2000, respectively. Based upon Metalsa's 2000 net earnings, the Company paid \$8.6 million of additional consideration during the first quarter of 2002.

F - 24

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Summarized unaudited financial information for Metalsa is as follows (in thousands):

	DECEMBER 31,			
	2001	2000	1999	
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS Revenues	\$280,543 \$ 31,940 \$ 21,520	\$258,951 \$ 38,355 \$ 31,001 =======	\$239,902 \$ 54,104 \$ 28,679	
CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS Current assets Noncurrent assets	\$115,728 303,717		\$ 78,712 147,901	
Current liabilities	\$419,445 ====== \$ 64,502 157,819 197,124	\$313,287 ====== \$ 58,550 105,517 149,220	\$226,613 ====== \$ 45,288 55,293 126,032	
	\$419,445 ======	\$313 , 287	\$226,613 ======	

The accompanying unaudited consolidated pro forma results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2000 give effect to the following as if they were completed at the beginning of the year: (i) the acquisitions of Algoods, Caterina, Seojin and Presskam, (ii) the refinancing of bank indebtedness under the new senior credit facility (Note 8), and (iii) the completion of the sale of the senior Euro notes and the application of the net proceeds therefrom (Note 8). The accompanying unaudited consolidated pro forma results of operations for the year ended December 31, 1999 give effect to the transactions described above and the following as if they were completed at the beginning of the year: (i) the acquisition of Active, (ii) the investment in Seojin, and (iii) the \$325 million term loan add on facility (Note 8). The unaudited pro forma financial information does not purport to represent what the Company's results of operations would actually have been if such transactions in fact had occurred at such date or to project the Company's results of future operations (in thousands, except per share data):

PRO FORMA FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,

	2000	1999
Revenues	\$2,920,727	\$2,896,176
Net income	\$ 9,276	\$ 123,109
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.20	\$ 2.63
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.20	\$ 2.19

7. DIVESTITURES:

On December 7, 2000, the Company sold its Roanoke, Virginia heavy truck rail manufacturing business (the "Roanoke Heavy Truck Business") to its joint venture partner, Metalsa, for net proceeds of approximately \$55 million, which approximated the book value of the net assets sold, plus an earnout of up to \$30 million based on achieving certain profit levels over the three years following the sale. Through December 31, 2001, no additional payments have been earned. The net proceeds were used to repay outstanding indebtedness under the revolving credit facility. The results of operations of the Roanoke Heavy Truck Business are not significant to the operating results of the Company as a whole and have, therefore, been excluded from the pro forma financial information in Note 6.

F-25

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

8. LONG-TERM DEBT:

Long-term debt consisted of the following (in thousands):

	DECEM	BER 31,
	2001	2000
Revolving credit facility, due July 2006, interest at prime or LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 0 to 200 basis points (3.5 percent at December 31, 2001 and 8.45 percent at		
December 31, 2000)	\$ 9,300	\$ 274,000
December 31, 2001 and 5.89 percent at December 31, 2000) Term credit facility, due in quarterly repayments beginning June 2002 to July 2006. Interest at prime or LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 0 to 200 basis points (3.85 percent at December 31, 2001 and 8.27 percent at December 31,	91,308	71,919
2000)	325,000	325,000
August 2010	133,560	141,330
at December 31, 2000)	43,765	43,765
percent payable quarterly Other foreign subsidiary indebtedness, consisting primarily	50	878

of borrowings at Seojin, interest ranging from 4.53		
percent to 13.82 percent, renewable annually	136,987	151 , 171
Other	30,474	72,969
Less Current maturities		1,081,032 (147,590)
	\$ 601,084	\$ 933,442

Future maturities of long-term debt as of December 31, 2001 are as follows (in thousands):

2002	\$169,360
2003	97 , 157
2004	77,685
2005	84,223
2006	148,108
Thereafter	193,911
	\$770,444
	=======

On July 25, 2000, the Company replaced its previous \$750 million amortizing credit agreement with a new six-year \$1.15 billion senior unsecured credit agreement. The new credit agreement includes a non-amortizing revolving facility of \$825 million along with an amortizing term loan of \$325 million. The new facility also includes a multi-currency borrowing feature that allows the Company to borrow up to \$500 million in certain freely tradable offshore currencies, and letter of credit sublimits of \$100 million. As of December 31, 2001, \$20.0 million of the outstanding borrowings are denominated in Japanese yen, \$55.6 million are denominated in Euro, and \$15.7 million are denominated in Canadian dollars. Interest on the new credit

F-26

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

facility is at the financial institutions' reference rate, LIBOR, or the Eurodollar rate plus a margin ranging from 0 to 200 basis points depending on the ratio of the consolidated funded debt for restricted subsidiaries of the Company to its total EBITDA. The weighted average interest rate for such borrowings was 7.0 percent and 7.3 percent for years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The new credit agreement has a final maturity of 2006. As a result of the debt replacement, the Company recorded an extraordinary loss, net of tax, of \$3.0 million during the third quarter of 2000.

The Credit Agreement requires the Company to meet certain financial tests, including but not limited to a minimum interest coverage and maximum leverage ratio. The credit agreement limits the Company's ability to pay dividends. As of December 31, 2001, the Company was in compliance with all debt covenants.

On July 25, 2000, R. J. Tower Corporation (the "Issuer"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, issued Euro-denominated senior unsecured notes in the

amount of E150 million (\$133.6 million at December 31, 2001). The notes bear interest at a rate of 9.25 percent, payable semi-annually. The notes rank equally with all of the Company's other unsecured and unsubordinated debt. The net proceeds after issuance costs were used to repay a portion of the Company's existing Euro-denominated indebtedness under its credit facility. The notes mature on August 1, 2010.

During September 2000, the Company entered into an interest rate swap contract to hedge against interest rate exposure on approximately \$160 million of its floating rate indebtedness under its \$1.15 billion senior unsecured credit facility. The contracts have the effect of converting the floating rate interest to a fixed rate of approximately 6.9 percent, plus any applicable margin required under the revolving credit facility. The interest rate swap contract was executed to balance the Company's fixed-rate and floating-rate debt portfolios and expires in September 2005.

For the periods presented through July 24, 2000, the Company's Credit Agreement included an amortizing revolving credit facility that provided for borrowings of up to \$750 million on an unsecured basis with a letter of credit sublimit of \$75 million. Interest on the credit facility was at the prime rate or LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 17 to 50 basis points depending upon the ratio of the consolidated indebtedness of the Company to its total capitalization.

In July 1997, the Company completed the offering of \$200 million of Convertible Subordinated Notes (the "Notes"). The Notes bear interest at 5 percent, are unsecured, due on August 1, 2004 and are convertible into Common Stock at a conversion price of \$25.88 per share. The Company may make optional redemptions of the Notes after August 1, 2000 at amounts ranging from 102.857 percent to 100.714 percent of face value. In the event of a change in control (as defined) the holders of the Notes may require the Company to redeem the Notes at face value plus accrued interest. Proceeds from the Notes were used to repay outstanding indebtedness under the revolving credit facility.

In 1994 and 1995, the Company issued \$25.0 million and \$20.0 million, respectively, of industrial development revenue bonds related to the construction and equipping of a manufacturing facility in Bardstown, Kentucky. The bonds are collateralized by letters of credit.

F - 27

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

9. INCOME TAXES:

The provision for income taxes consisted of the following (in thousands):

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			ER 31,
	2001 2000		2000	1999
Currently payable				
Domestic	\$	201	\$ 19 , 383	\$25,422
Foreign		7,245	6,609	3,916
Total Deferred		7,446	25 , 992	29,338

Domestic Foreign	, , ,	. , ,	•
Total	(80,758)	(23, 373)	45 , 528
Total	\$ (73,312)	\$ 2,619	\$74 , 866

A reconciliation of income taxes computed at the statutory rates to the reported income tax provision is as follows (in thousands):

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	2001	2000	1999	
Taxes at federal statutory rates	\$(120,514)	\$2,463	\$65,508	
State income taxes, net of federal benefit Effect of permanent differences, primarily interest	2,503	. ,	4,940	
expense and nondeductible goodwill	32,174 16,254	(696) 	4,418	
Foreign provision in excess of (less than) U.S. tax rate	(3,729)			
Total	\$ (73,312)	 \$2,619	 \$74,866	
	=======	=====	======	

The summary of income (loss) before provision (benefit) for income taxes, equity in earnings of joint ventures, minority interests and extraordinary items consisted of the following (in thousands):

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	2001	2000	1999
Domestic	,	,	
Foreign	20 , 362	11,706 	4,053
Total	\$(344,326) ======	\$ 7,037	\$187 , 166

F-28

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

A summary of deferred income tax assets (liabilities) is as follows (in thousands):

DECEMBER 31,

	2001	2000
Deferred Income Tax Assets:		
Accrued compensation costs	\$ 11,569	\$ 8,446
Postretirement benefit obligations	31,952	39,435
Loss contracts	5,397	14,950
	48,009	37,626
Facility closure and consolidation costs	76,618	34,365
Net operating loss carryforwards and tax credits	•	34,303
Investment valuation adjustments	16,254	
Other reserves and accruals not currently deductible for	10 075	22 524
tax purposes	10,975	23,524
	200,774	158,346
Tanan Walushian allamana	•	130,340
Less: Valuation allowance	(16, 254)	
Total deferred income tax assets	184,520	158,346
Deferred Income Tax Liabilities Depreciation lives and	104, 520	130,340
methods	(96,736)	(151,320)
mechous	(90, 730)	(131,320)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 87,784	\$ 7,026
NEC METETIEM CAY ASSECS	=======	7,020

Net current deferred tax assets of \$26.3 million in 2001 and \$29.3 million in 2000 have been recorded in other current assets. The valuation allowance has been provided due to the uncertainty of the use of the tax benefit associated with a specific reserve recorded against the carrying value of a cost-based investment.

The Company has an alternative minimum tax ("AMT") credit carryforward of approximately \$21.1 million. The AMT credit has an indefinite carryforward period. The Company has federal net operating loss carryforwards ("NOL's") of approximately \$69.5 million which expire 2020 through 2021 and various state NOL's that expire through 2021.

The Company has not recorded deferred income taxes applicable to undistributed earnings of its foreign joint venture operations as all such earnings are deemed to be indefinitely reinvested in those operations. If the earnings of such joint ventures were not indefinitely reinvested, a deferred liability would have been required which would not have been material as of December 31, 2001 or 2000. Undistributed amounts, if remitted in the future, may not result in additional U.S. income taxes because of the use of available foreign tax credits at that time.

F-29

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

10. SEGMENT INFORMATION:

The Company produces a broad range of assemblies and modules for vehicle body structures and suspension systems for the global automotive industry. These operations have similar characteristics including the nature of products, production processes and customers and produce lower vehicle structures, body structures (including Class A surfaces), suspension components, and modular assemblies for the automotive industry. Management reviews the operating results of the Company and makes decisions based upon two operating segments: United States/Canada and International. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies (see

Note 2). Financial information by segment is as follows (in thousands):

	UNITED STATES/ CANADA	INTERNATIONAL	TOTAL
2001:			
Revenues	\$1,777,361	\$690,072	\$2,467,433
Interest income	4,859	1,695	6,554
Interest expense	66,580	13,739	80,319
Operating income (loss)	(315,387)	44,826	(270,561)
Total assets	2,041,851	491,585	2,533,436
Capital expenditures, net	131,455	62,500	193,955
Depreciation and amortization expense	126,863	33,030	159,893
Restructuring and asset impairment charges	383,739		383,739
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(80,008)	6,696	(73,312)
2000:			
Revenues	\$2,163,358	\$368,595	\$2,531,953
Interest income	5 , 955	496	6,451
Interest expense	63,194	7,968	71,162
Operating income	45,463	26,285	71,748
Total assets	2,516,000	376,747	2,892,747
Capital expenditures, net	78 , 512	15,076	93,588
Depreciation and amortization expense	126,011	18,794	144,805
Restructuring and asset impairment charges	141,326		141,326
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(2,963)	5,582	2,619
1999:			
Revenues	\$2,042,998	\$127,005	\$2,170,003
Interest income	1,338	172	1,510
Interest expense	35 , 965	3 , 526	39,491
Operating income	216,492	8,655	225,147
Total assets	2,377,849	174,701	2,552,550
Capital expenditures, net	185,926	11,389	197,315
Depreciation and amortization expense	104,731	6 , 880	111,611
Restructuring and asset impairment charges			·
Provision for income taxes	73,139	1,727	74,866

F - 30

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The following is a summary of revenues and long-lived assets by geographic location (in thousands):

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,

	20	 01	20	00	1999		
	REVENUES	LONG-LIVED ASSETS	REVENUES	LONG-LIVED ASSETS	REVENUES	LON A	
United States and Canada Europe	\$1,777,361 278,789 376,040	\$ 852,887 121,993 159,940	\$2,163,358 256,970 91,270	\$ 985,215 114,920 118,254	\$2,042,998 127,005	\$1,	

Mexico and South America	35,243	12,972	20,355	11,863		
	\$2,467,433	\$1,147,792	\$2,531,953	\$1,230,252	\$2,170,003	\$1,
	=======	========	========	========	========	===

Revenues are attributed to geographic locations based on the location of specific production. Long-lived assets consist of net property, plant and equipment and capitalized tooling, and excludes intangible assets.

The following is a summary of the approximate composition by product category of the Company's revenues (in thousands):

		YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,				
		2001		2000		1999
Body structures and assemblies (including Class A surfaces)	Ś	971,858	Ś	998,407	Ś	543,175
Lower vehicle structures	т	895,118		,029,596		,205,873
Suspension modules and systems		355,981 198,296		270,892 199,567		208,700
Other		46,180		33,491		6,341
	\$2	,467,433	 \$2	,531,953	\$2	,170,003
	==		==		==	

The Company sells its products directly to automotive manufacturers. Following is a summary of customers that accounted for 10 percent or more of consolidated revenues in any of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001:

	2001	2000	1999
	250	270	200
Ford			
DaimlerChrysler			
Hyundai/Kia	12	4	
General Motors	4	5	10

Receivables from these customers represented 41 percent of total accounts receivable at December 31, 2001, 57 percent of total accounts receivable at December 31, 2000 and 65 percent of total accounts receivable at December 31, 1999.

11. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS:

The Company sponsors various pension and other postretirement benefit plans for its employees.

RETIREMENT PLANS:

The Company's UAW Retirement Income Plan and the Tower Automotive Pension Plan provides for substantially all union employees. Benefits under the plans are based on years of service. Contributions by the Company are intended to

provide not only for benefits attributed to service to date, but also for those benefits

F-31

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

expected to be earned in the future. The Company's funding policy is to contribute annually the amounts sufficient to meet the higher of the minimum funding requirements set forth in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 or the minimum funding requirements under the Company's union contracts.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the changes in the benefit obligations and fair value of assets for the defined benefit pension plans (in thousands):

	2001	
RECONCILIATION OF FAIR VALUE OF PLAN ASSETS: Fair value of plan assets at the beginning of the year Actual return on plan assets	\$104,884 (10,746) 	\$ 68,266 6,089 32,455
Benefits paid	(4,783)	(1,926)
Fair value of plan assets at the end of the year	\$ 89,355 ======	\$104,884 ======
CHANGE IN BENEFIT OBLIGATIONS: Benefit obligations at the beginning of the year Service cost	\$115,525 9,956 9,883	\$ 99,282 11,676 8,126
Plan amendments Actuarial loss (gain) Benefits paid Curtailment loss (gain) Settlements	11,171 (4,783) 1,422	3,187 (4,298) (1,926) (1,107) 585
Special termination benefit	311	
Benefit obligations at the end of the year	\$143,485 ======	\$115 , 525
FUNDED STATUS RECONCILIATION: Funded status		\$ (10,642) (98) 23,702 (8,378)
Net amount recognized	\$(19,345) ======	\$ 4,584 ======
AMOUNTS RECOGNIZED IN THE BALANCE SHEET AS OF EACH YEAR END: Accrued benefit liability	\$(51,396) 9,787 22,264	\$(10,772) 15,356
Net amount recognized	\$(19,345) ======	\$ 4,584 ======

The Tower Automotive Pension Plan was the only pension plan with an

accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets. The plan's accumulated benefit obligation was \$133.9 million and \$108.9 million at September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The fair value of the assets was \$84.1 million and \$98.5 million at September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

F - 32

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

In connection with the comprehensive realignment plans discussed in Note 3, benefits for certain employees covered by the Tower Automotive Pension Plan and the UAW Retirement Income Plan are accounted for as a curtailment for the periods ending December 31, 2001 and 2000.

The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit cost for the plans for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999 (in thousands):

	2001	2000	1999
Service cost	\$ 9,956	\$11 , 677	\$11,350
Interest cost	9,883	8,126	4,702
Expected return on plan assets	(9,815)	(7,431)	(2,980)
Amortization of transition asset	(31)	(31)	(31)
Amortization of prior-service cost	1,077	2,533	1,902
Amortization of net (gains) losses	(287)	(158)	202
Curtailment loss (gain)	12,839	(572)	
Special termination benefit	311	586	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$23 , 933	\$14,730	\$15 , 145
	======	======	======

The assumptions used in the measurement of the Company's benefit obligation are as follows:

	2001	2000
Weighted-average assumptions of each year end:		
Discount rate	7.5%	8.1%
Expected return on plan assets	9.5%	9.5%
Rate of compensation increase	4.5%	4.5%
Measurement date	9/30/2001	9/30/2000

The Company contributes to a union sponsored multi-employer pension plan providing defined benefits to certain Michigan hourly employees. Contributions to the pension plan are based on rates set forth in the Company's union contracts. The expense related to this plan was \$0.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 and \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 1999.

The Company also contributes to a union sponsored multi-employer pension plan providing defined benefits for certain hourly employees of the Milwaukee

facility. Expense relating to this plan was \$0.6 million, \$0.5 million and \$1.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. The expense is determined based on contractual rates with the union.

The Company also maintains a qualified profit sharing retirement plan and 401(k) employee savings plan covering certain salaried and hourly employees. The expense related to these plans was \$11.0 million during 2001 and 2000, and \$9.7 million during 1999.

The Company sponsors a 401(k) employee savings plan covering certain union employees. The Company matches a portion of the employee contributions made to this plan. The expense under this plan in each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 was not material.

POSTRETIREMENT PLANS:

The Company provides certain medical insurance benefits for retired employees. Certain employees of the Company are eligible for these benefits if they remain employed until age 55 or 59 and fulfill other eligibility requirements specified by the plans. Certain retirees between the ages of 55 and 62 must contribute

F - 33

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

100 percent of the group rate for active employees. No contributions are required for retirees 62 or older. Benefits are continued for dependents of eligible retiree participants after the death of the retiree.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the changes in the benefit obligations for the retiree medical plans (in thousands):

	2001	
RECONCILIATION OF FAIR VALUE OF PLAN ASSETS: Fair value of plan assets at the beginning of the year Employer contributions	\$ 12,435 (12,435)	12,606 (12,606)
Fair value of plan assets at the end of the year		
CHANGE IN BENEFIT OBLIGATIONS: Benefit obligations at the beginning of the year Service cost Interest cost Actuarial loss (gain) Benefits paid Curtailment loss (gain)	28,671 (12,435)	(12,606) (1,007)
Benefit obligations at the end of the year	\$ 145,552	
FUNDED STATUS RECONCILIATION: Funded status	42,572	15 , 385
Net amount recognized	\$(102,980)	\$(102,278)

Accrued benefit liability	\$(102,980)	\$(102,278)
AMOUNTS RECOGNIZED IN THE BALANCE SHEET AS OF EACH YEAR END:		
	=======	=======

The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit cost for the plans for the years ended December 31, (in thousands):

	2001	2000	1999
Service cost	\$ 862	\$ 1,524	\$ 1,511
Interest cost	10,676	9,174	8,023
Amortization of net loss	1,484	3,227	477
Curtailment loss	115		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$13 , 137	\$13 , 925	\$10,011

The discount rate used to measure the Company's post retirement medical benefit obligation was 8.1 percent in 2001 and 2000.

For measurement purposes, an 11.5 percent annual rate of increase in per capita cost of covered health care benefits was assumed for 2001. The rate was assumed to decrease gradually to 5.5 percent for 2006 and remain at that level thereafter.

F-34

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the post retirement medical plans. A one percentage point change in assumed health care costs trend rates would have the following effects (in thousands):

	INCREASE	DECREASE
ONE PERCENTAGE POINT:		
Effect on total service and interest cost components	\$ 184	\$ 167
	=====	=====
Effect on the accumulated benefit obligation	\$2,700	\$2,409
	=====	======

12. COMMITMENTS:

LEASES:

The Company leases office and manufacturing space and certain equipment under lease agreements which require it to pay maintenance, insurance, taxes and other expenses in addition to annual rentals. The Company has entered into several leasing commitments with maturities of between 2002 and 2015. The

properties covered under these transactions include manufacturing equipment, facilities and administrative offices. The leases provide for a substantial residual value guarantee (less than 90 percent of the total cost), which may become payable upon the termination of the transaction, and include purchase and renewal options. As of December 31, 2001, residual value guarantees in connection with these leases totaled approximately \$103.4 million. Upon termination of the leases, the Company expects the fair market value of the leased properties to reduce substantially or eliminate entirely the payment under the residual value guarantees. Future annual rental commitments at December 31, 2001 under these leases are as follows (in thousands):

YEAR	OPERATING	CAPITAL
2002	•	\$3,227
2003	53,288 53,565	4,449 275
2005	53,108	
2006 Thereafter	47,679 121,427	
	\$383,244 ======	\$7 , 951
Less-amount representing interest		608
Present value of minimum lease payments		\$7 , 343
		=====

Total rent expense for all operating leases totaled \$55.2 million, \$21.5 million and \$15.0 million in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Rent commitments associated with acquired facilities which will not be utilized by the Company have been excluded from the above amounts and were provided for in the recording of the related acquisition, as discussed in Note 6.

LITIGATION:

The Company is party to certain claims arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, based upon the advice of legal counsel, the outcomes of such claims are not expected to be material to the Company's financial position and statements of operations.

F-35

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

13. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS:

The Company has made payments to Hidden Creek Industries, an affiliate of the Company, for certain acquisition related and other management services totaling \$0.6 million during 2001, \$4.4 million during 2000 and \$3.1 million during 1999.

14. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED):

The following is a condensed summary of quarterly results of operations for

2001 and 2000. The restructuring and asset impairment charges described in Note 3 are reflected in the fourth quarter 2001 and 2000 amounts. The sum of the per share amounts for the quarters does not equal the total for the year due to the effects of rounding and the anti-dilutive effects of certain common stock equivalents (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	REVENUES	GROSS PROFIT	OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	NET INCOME (LOSS)	BASIC EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE	DILUTE EARNING (LOSS) PER SHA
2001:						1
First	\$ 628,376	\$ 79 , 271	\$ 37 , 894	\$ 12,861	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.28
Second	642,407	85,261	44,111	16,672	0.38	0.35
Third	557 , 785	55 , 419	16,185	(1,364)	(0.03)	(0.03
Fourth	638,865	57 , 234	(368,751)	•	(6.15)	(6.15
	\$2,467,433	\$277 , 185	\$(270,561)		\$(5.87)	\$(5.87
2000:	=======	======	======	=======	=====	=====
First	\$ 685,364	\$111 , 722	\$ 71 , 967	\$ 37,123	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.65
Second	681,020	114,371	75,822	39,293	0.83	0.68
Third	536,210	64,728	27 , 617	6,965	0.15	0.15
Fourth	629,359	·	(103,658)	(69,947)	(1.54)	(1.54
	\$2,531,953	\$371 , 594	\$ 71 , 748	\$ 13,434	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.28
	=======	=======	=======	=======	=====	=====

15. CONSOLIDATING GUARANTOR AND NON-GUARANTOR FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

The following consolidating financial information presents balance sheets, statements of operations and cash flow information related to the Company's business. Each Guarantor, as defined, is a direct or indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company and has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the 9.25 percent senior unsecured notes issued by R. J. Tower Corporation, on a joint and several basis. Tower Automotive, Inc. (the parent company) has also fully and unconditionally guaranteed the note and is reflected as the Parent Guarantor in the consolidating financial information. The Non-Guarantors are the Company's foreign subsidiaries. Separate financial statements and other disclosures concerning the Guarantors have not been presented because management believes that such information is not material to investors.

F-36

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE INC.

CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS AT DECEMBER 31, 2001

R. J. TOWER	PARENT	GUARANTOR	NON-GUARANTOR	
CORPORATION	GUARANTOR	COMPANIES	COMPANIES	ELIMI
		(AMOUNTS	IN THOUSANDS)	

ASSETS

Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable Inventories	\$ 	\$ 	\$ 2,444 140,402 72,003	\$ 19,323 76,236 40,533 36,991	\$
Prepaid tooling and other			52 , 238		
Total current assets			267 , 087	173 , 083	
Property, plant and equipment, net	237,834 744,808 9,659		824,437 4,177	295,822 1,187 282,264	(1,1
	\$992 , 301		\$1,523,887	\$752 , 356	\$(1,1 =====
Current liabilities: Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	\$ 67,381 	\$ 	200,000	\$101,979 105,110	\$
Accrued liabilities	7 , 234	4,167	•	63 , 729	
Total current liabilities	74,615	4,167	470,355	270,818	
Long-term debt, net of current maturities Obligations under capital leases, net of current maturities Convertible subordinated notes Due to/(from) affiliates Other noncurrent liabilities	472,373 (27,392) 	 199,984	44,765 4,620	83,946 52,556 50,996	
Total noncurrent liabilities			628,061		
Manditorily redeemable trust convertible preferred securities			439,943	304,865	(1,1
Total stockholders' investment	472,705	447,408	425,471	294,040	(1,1
	\$992 , 301	\$ 457 , 108	\$1,523,887	\$752 , 356	\$ (1,1

F-37

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE INC.

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001

	R. J. TOWER CORPORATION	PARENT GUARANTOR	GUARANTOR COMPANIES	NON-GUARANTOR COMPANIES	ELIMINATI
			(AMOUNTS	S IN THOUSANDS)	
Revenues Cost of sales	\$ 	•	\$1,644,357 1,467,062	\$823,076 723,186	\$ - -
Gross profit Selling, general and			177,295		
administrative expenses Restructuring and asset			103,591	35,612	-
impairment charges Amortization expense	1,774		383,614 14,660		_
Operating income (loss) Interest expense, net			(324,570) (7,773)	57,084	- -
<pre>Income (loss) before provision for income</pre>					
taxes Provision (benefit) for income taxes			(316,797) (57,803)		_
Income (loss) before equity in earnings of joint ventures and	(24, 334)	(3, 433)	(37,003)		
minority interest Equity in earnings of joint ventures and subsidiaries,	(38,061)	(5,378)	(258,994)	31,419	=
net	(213,429) 		300	 (3,104)	481 , 86 -
Net income (loss)	\$ (251,490)		\$ (258,694)	•	\$481,86 ======

F-38

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE INC.

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001

	R. J. TOWER	PARENT GUARANTOR	GUARANTOR COMPANIES	NON- GUARANTOR COMPANIES	ELIMINATIO
			(AMOUNTS	IN THOUSANDS)	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net income (loss)	\$ (251,490)	\$(267,524)	\$(258,694)	\$ 28,315	\$ 481,869
Depreciation and amortization	1,774	1,301	122,026	34,792	
Deferred income tax provision (benefit)			(65 , 976)	(14,782)	

Equity in earnings of joint ventures, net	(16,950)		(300)		
impairment charge Changes in other operating			383,614	125	
items	251 , 214		•	(2,562)	(194,682
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(15,452)	(265,842)	•	•	287 , 187
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			(140 050)	(51 700)	
Capital expenditures, net Acquisitions and other, net	 366 , 055	226,851		5,145	(287,187
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	366,055		(458,535)	(46,557)	(287,187
FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from borrowings	2,201,333			107,488	
Repayments of debt Net proceeds from private placement of stock			(2,630)	(108,066)	
Net proceeds from the issuance of common stock		1,530			
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	(331,831)	38,991	(2,630)	(578)	
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and Cash Equivalents,	18,772		869	(1,247)	
Beginning of Period	(18,772)		1,575	20,570	
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$	\$	\$ 2,444	•	\$

F-39

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE INC.

CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS AT DECEMBER 31, 2000

	R. J. TOWER CORPORATION	PARENT GUARANTOR	GUARANTOR COMPANIES	NON-GUARANTOR COMPANIES	ELIMIN
			(AMOUNTS	S IN THOUSANDS)	
		ASSE	ETS		1
Current assets:					,
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ (18,772)	\$	\$ 1,575	\$ 20,570	\$
Accounts receivable	6 , 983	381	172,332	99,011	ŗ
Inventories	2,032		83,479	46,967	ŗ
Prepaid tooling and other	24,704		171,107	26,308	ļ
Total current assets	14,947	381	428,493	192 , 856	

Property, plant and equipment, net	21,527		536 , 142	238,360 308,403	(1,27
	\$ 836,352	\$ 789 , 918	\$1,802,950	\$739 , 619	\$(1,27
	====== LIABILITI	====== ES AND STOCKI	======= HOLDERS' INVES'	====== TMENT	=====
Current liabilities Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease					
obligations	\$ 56 , 569		\$ 1,477	\$ 91,020	\$
Accounts payable	(13,260)		156,724	104,925	
Accrued liabilities	35 , 183	4,167		20,118	
Total current liabilities	78,492	4,167	259,202	216,063	
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	800,401			88,254	
net of current maturities			8,458		
Convertible subordinated notes		200,000			
Due to/(from) affiliates	(822,981)	(373,094)	915,331	280,744	
Deferred income taxes	29,102		(631)	5,413	
Other noncurrent liabilities	9,060		132,105	59 , 029	
Total noncurrent					
liabilities	15 , 582	(173,094)		433,440	
Mandatorily redeemable trust convertible preferred					
securities		258 , 750			
Stockholders' investment Accumulated other comprehensive	744,296	700,095	443 , 698	97 , 770	(1,27
loss	(2,018)			(7 , 654)	
Total stockholders' investment		700,095		90,116	(1,27
	\$ 836,352	 \$ 789,918		 \$739,619	 \$(1,27
	=======	=======	=======	=======	======

F - 40

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE INC.

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000

				NON-		
	R. J. TOWER	PARENT	GUARANTOR	GUARANTOR		
	CORPORATION	GUARANTOR	COMPANIES	COMPANIES	ELIMIN	ATIONS
			(AMOUNTS	IN THOUSANDS)		
Revenues	\$ 77 , 723	\$	\$1,911,493	\$542 , 737	\$	
Cost of sales	47,389		1,627,593	485,377		

Gross profit Selling, general and	30,334		283,900	57,360	
3. 3	5,030		108,636	23,337	
<u> </u>	12,465		128,861		
Amortization expense	2,921	1,305	•		
imereración empendet					
Operating income (loss)	9,918	(1,305)	33,193	29,942	
Interest expense, net	63 , 795	7 , 906	(15,044)		
<pre>Income (loss) before provision for income</pre>					
taxes Provision (benefit) for income	(53 , 877)	(9,211)	48,237	21,888	
	(21,550)		19 , 289	•	
Income (loss) before equity in earnings of joint ventures and					
<pre>minority interest Equity in earnings of joint ventures and subsidiaries,</pre>					
net	64 , 755	29,440			(71,715)
Minority interest, net		(10,476)			
Income before					
extraordinary item Extraordinary loss on early extinguishments of debt,	32 , 428	13,434	28 , 948	13,327	(71,715)
	2 , 988				
Net income	\$ 29,440	\$ 13,434	\$ 28,948	\$ 13,327	\$(71,715)
	======	=======	========	======	

F - 41

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE INC.

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000

	R. J. TOWER CORPORATION	PARENT GUARANTOR	GUARANTOR COMPANIES (AMOUNTS	NON- GUARANTOR COMPANIES IN THOUSANDS)	ELIMINATI
OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net income	\$ 29,440	\$ 13,434	\$ 28,948	\$ 13,327	\$(71,71
Depreciation and amortization	6,426	1,305	115,873	21,201	-
Deferred income tax provision (benefit) Extraordinary loss on	(23, 335)		(631)	593	_
extinguishments of debt	2,988				_

Equity in earnings of joint ventures, net	(22,480) 12,465		 128,861		-
items	(144,253)	(381)	(184,533)	165 , 115	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(138,749)	14,358	88 , 518	•	(71 , 71
INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Capital expenditures, net Acquisitions and other, net Net proceeds from the sale of Roanoke Heavy Truck Business		19,026	(85,898) (35,082) 55,353	(119,225)	- 71,71 -
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(185,781)		(65,627)	(106,115)	71,71
FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from borrowings Repayments of debt Net proceeds from issuance of senior Euro notes	3,304,062 (3,135,316) 134,700		21 (21,821)	68,228 (142,600)	-
Net proceeds from the issuance of common stock		6,794 (40,178)			-
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	303,446	(33, 384)	(21,800)	(74,372)	
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period				19 , 749	
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$ (18,772)	\$	\$ 1,575	\$ 20,570	 \$ -

F - 42

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE INC.

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999

	R. J. TOWER CORPORATION	PARENT GUARANTOR	GUARANTOR COMPANIES	NON-GUARANTOR COMPANIES	ELIMINAT
			(AMOUNTS	S IN THOUSANDS)	
Revenues Cost of sales	\$ 79,265 74,401	\$	\$1,814,273 1,492,879	\$276,465 255,823	\$
Gross profit	4,864		321,394	20,642	

administrative expenses Amortization expense	6,398 3,075	 1,304	10,031	6,182 1,393	
Operating income (loss) Interest expense, net			217,993 (5,000)	•	
•					
<pre>Income (loss) before provision for income</pre>					
taxes Provision (benefit) for income	(34,724)	(10,816)	222,993	9,713	
taxes	(13,890)	(4,327)	89 , 198	3 , 885	
<pre>Income (loss) before equity in earnings of joint ventures and minority</pre>					
<pre>interest Equity in earnings of joint ventures and subsidiaries,</pre>	(20,834)	(6,489)	133,795	5,828	
net	154,891	134,057			(273 , 6
Minority interest, net	·	(10,480)			
Net income	\$134 , 057	\$117 , 088	\$ 133,795	\$ 5,828	\$(273 , 6
		=======		=======	

F-43

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE INC.

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999

	R. J. TOWER CORPORATION	PARENT GUARANTOR	GUARANTOR COMPANIES	NON-GUARANTOR COMPANIES	ELIMINATIONS
			(AMOUNTS	S IN THOUSANDS)	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net income	\$ 134,057	\$ 117,088	\$ 133,795	\$ 5,828	\$(273,680)
Depreciation and amortization	6,614	1,304	96,613	7,080	
Deferred income tax provision (benefit)	34,894		13,208	(2,574)	
Equity in earnings of joint ventures, net	(15,268)				
items	(346,604)	9	297 , 982	1,657	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(186,307)	118,401	541,598	11,991	(273,680)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Capital expenditures, net Acquisitions and other,	(5,338)		(192,835)	858	

net				(20,475)	273 , 680
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(174,669)	(123,037)	(540,251)	(19,617)	273,680
FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from borrowings Repayments of debt Net proceeds from the			6,632 (7,418)		
issuance of common stock		4,636			
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	362 , 603	4,636	(786)	5 , 621	
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	1,627 685			(2,005) 2,826	
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$ 2,312	 \$	 \$ 484	\$ 821	s
	========	=======		======	=======

F - 44

[GLOBAL MAP SHOWING LOCATION OF TOWER AUTOMOTIVE'S FACILITIES]

[TOWER AUTOMOTIVE LOGO]

PART II

INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS

ITEM 14. OTHER EXPENSES OF ISSUANCE AND DISTRIBUTION.

The following is a statement of expenses, to be paid solely by Tower Automotive, Inc., of the issuance and distribution of the securities being registered hereby. All amounts are estimated except the SEC registration fee and the New York Stock Exchange listing fee:

Securities and Exchange Commission registration fee New York Stock Exchange listing fee Blue Sky fees and expenses (including attorneys' fees and	\$	20,615 93,300
expenses)		
Printing expenses		200,000
Accounting fees and expenses		150,000
Legal fees and expenses		250,000
Miscellaneous expenses		286,085
Total	\$1	,000,000
	==	======

ITEM 15. INDEMNIFICATION OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS.

GENERAL CORPORATION LAW

Section 145 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware (the "Delaware Statute") provides that a Delaware corporation may indemnify any persons who are, or are threatened to be made, parties to any threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative (a "proceeding"), other than an action by or in the right of such corporation, by reason of the fact that such person is or was an officer, director, employee or agent of such corporation, or is or was serving at the request of such corporation as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation or enterprise (an "indemnified capacity"). The indemnity may include expenses, including attorneys' fees, judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by such person in connection with such action, suit or proceeding, provided such person acted in good faith and in a manner he reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the corporation's best interests and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe that his conduct was illegal. Similar provisions apply to actions brought by or in the right of the corporation, except that no indemnification shall be made without judicial approval if the officer or director is adjudged to be liable to the corporation. Where an officer or director is successful on the merits or otherwise in the defense of any action referred to above, the corporation must indemnify him against the expenses which such officer or director has actually and reasonably incurred. Section 145 of the Delaware Statute further authorizes a corporation to purchase and maintain insurance on behalf of any indemnified person against any liability asserted against him and incurred by him in any indemnified capacity, or arising out of his status as such, regardless of whether the corporation would otherwise have the power to indemnify him under the Delaware Statute.

The certificate of incorporation of Tower Automotive, Inc. ("Issuer") provides that each person who was or is made a party or is threatened to be made a party to or is otherwise involved in any action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, by reason of the fact that he or she is or was a director or officer of Issuer or is or was serving at the request of Issuer as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation or of a partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise, including service with respect to an employee benefit plan, whether the basis of such proceeding is alleged action in an official capacity as a director, officer, employee or agent or in any other capacity while serving as a director, officer, employee or agent, shall be indemnified and held harmless by Issuer to the fullest extent authorized by the Delaware Statute, as the same exists or may thereafter be amended (but, in the case of any such amendment, only to the extent that such amendment permits Issuer to provide broader indemnification rights than permitted prior thereto), against all expense, liability and loss (including attorney's fees, judgments, fines,

II-1

ERISA excise taxes or penalties and amounts paid in settlement) reasonably incurred or suffered by such indemnitee in connection therewith and such indemnification shall continue as to an indemnitee who has ceased to be a director, officer, employee or agent and shall inure to the benefit of the indemnitee's heirs, executors and administrators; provided, however, that except as otherwise provided in the certificate of incorporation, with respect to proceedings to enforce rights to indemnification, the Issuer shall indemnify any such indemnitee in connection with a proceeding (or part thereof) initiated by such indemnitee only if such proceeding (or part thereof) was authorized by the board of directors of Issuer. The right to indemnification conferred in the

certificate of incorporation is a contract right and includes the right to be paid by Issuer the expenses incurred in defending any such proceeding in advance of its final disposition; provided, however, that, if the Delaware Statute requires, an advancement of expenses incurred by an indemnitee in his or her capacity as a director or officer (and not in any other capacity in which service was or is rendered by such indemnitee, including, without limitation, service to an employee benefit plan) shall be made only upon delivery to Issuer of an undertaking, by or on behalf of such indemnitee, to repay all amounts so advanced if it shall ultimately be determined by final judicial decision from which there is no further right to appeal that such indemnitee is not entitled to be indemnified for such expenses. If a claim under the certificate of incorporation is not paid in full by Issuer within sixty days after a written claim has been received by Issuer, except in the case of a claim for an advancement of expenses, in which case the applicable period shall be twenty days, the indemnitee may at any time thereafter bring suit against Issuer to recover the unpaid amount of the claim.

The certificates of incorporation of the Issuer provides that, to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware Statute, no director of the corporation shall be personally liable to the corporation or its stockholders for monetary damages for a breach of fiduciary duty as a director.

ITEM 16. EXHIBITS.

The attached Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 17. UNDERTAKINGS.

- (a) The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes:
- (1) to file, during any period in which offers or sales are being made, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement:
 - (i) To include any prospectus required by Section $10\,(a)\,(3)$ of the Securities Act of 1933;
 - (ii) To reflect in the prospectus any facts or events arising after the effective date of the registration statement (or the most recent post-effective amendment thereof) which, individually or in the aggregate, represent a fundamental change in the information set forth in the registration statement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any increase or decrease in volume of securities offered (if the total dollar value of securities offered would not exceed that which was registered) and any deviation from the low or high end of the estimated maximum offering range may be reflected in the form of prospectus filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 424(b) if, in the aggregate, the changes in volume and price represent no more than a 20% change in the maximum aggregate offering price set forth in the "Calculation of Registration Fee" table in the effective registration statement;
 - (iii) To include any material information with respect to the plan of distribution not previously disclosed in the registration statement or any material change to such information in the registration statement;

provided, however, that paragraphs (a) (1) (i) and (a) (1) (ii) do not apply if the registration statement is on Form S-3, Form S-8 or Form F-3, and the information required to be included in a post-effective amendment by those paragraphs is contained in periodic reports filed with or furnished to the Commission by the registrant pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that are incorporated by reference in the

registration statement.

TT-2

- (2) That, for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each such post-effective amendment shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof.
- (3) To remove from registration by means of a post-effective amendment any of the securities being registered which remain unsold at the termination of the offering.
- (b) The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes that, for purposes of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each filing of the registrant's annual report pursuant to section 13(a) or section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (and, where applicable, each filing of an employee benefit plan's annual report pursuant to section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) that is incorporated by reference in the registration statement shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at the time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof.
- (c) Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the registrant has been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Act and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the registrant of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person of the registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the registrant will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

II-3

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the registrant certifies that it has reasonable grounds to believe that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form S-3 and has duly caused this Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on April 29, 2002.

TOWER AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

By: /s/ ANTHONY A. BARONE

Anthony A. Barone

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

SIGNATURES	CAPACITY	DATES
*	Chairman and Director	April 29, 2002
S.A. Johnson		
/s/ DUGALD K. CAMPBELL	President, Chief Executive Officer	April 29, 2002
Dugald K. Campbell	(Principal Executive Officer) and Director	
*	Director	April 29, 2002
James R. Lozelle		
*	Director	April 29, 2002
Scott D. Rued		
*	Director	April 29, 2002
F.J. Loughrey		
*	Director	April 29, 2002
Enrique Zambrano		
*	Director	April 29, 2002
Jurgen M. Geissinger		
*	Director	April 29, 2002
Ali Jenab		
	Director	April 29, 2002
Georgia Nelson		
/s/ ANTHONY A. BARONE	Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal	April 29, 2002
Anthony A. Barone	Accounting Officer)	

* The undersigned, by signing his name hereto, does execute this Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 on behalf of the above-named officers and/or directors of the registrant pursuant to the Power of Attorney executed by such officers and/or directors on the signature pages to the Registration Statement previously filed on April 3, 2002.

*By: /s/ ANTHONY A. BARONE

Anthony A. Barone Attorney-In-Fact

II-5

EXHIBIT INDEX

EXHIBIT	
NO.	DESCRIPTION
1.1	Form of Underwriting Agreement (previously filed)
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Tower
	Automotive, Inc., as Amended by the Certificate of Amendment
	to Certificate of Incorporation, dated June 2, 1997(1)
3.2	Amended and Restated By-laws of Tower Automotive, Inc.(2)
4.1	Form of Common Stock Certificate(3)
5.1	Opinion of Kirkland & Ellis
23.1	Consent of Arthur Andersen LLP
23.2	Consent of Kirkland & Ellis (Included in Exhibit 5.1)
24.1	Powers of Attorney (Included in Part II to the Registration
	Statement, previously filed)

⁽¹⁾ Incorporated by reference to exhibit 4.2 of the registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-38827).

⁽²⁾ Incorporated by reference to exhibit 3.2 of the registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 33-80320).

⁽³⁾ Incorporated by reference to exhibit 4.1 of the registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-38827).