

UROPLASTY INC
Form 424B3
June 07, 2007

Table of Contents

**PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT NO. 24
(To Prospectus dated May 1, 2006)**

Filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)
Registration No. 333-133072

**UROPLASTY, INC.
1,918,809 Shares of Common Stock
and
1,180,928 Shares of Common Stock
Issuable Upon Exercise of Warrants**

This prospectus supplement relates to shares of our common stock that may be sold at various times by certain selling shareholders. You should read this prospectus supplement no. 24, the prior prospectus supplements and the prospectus dated May 1, 2006, which are to be delivered with this prospectus supplement. Our May 1, 2006 prospectus is a combined prospectus under Rule 429(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, with our prior prospectus dated July 29, 2005 and supplements thereto (See Registration No. 333-126737 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 20, 2005 and declared effective on July 29, 2005).

This prospectus supplement contains our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007. This report was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 6, 2007. The attached information supplements and supersedes, in part, the information contained in the prospectus.

Our common stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol UPI. On June 6, 2007, the closing price of our common stock on the American Stock Exchange was \$4.50 per share.

This investment is speculative and involves a high degree of risk. See Risk Factors on page 6 of the prospectus to read about factors you should consider before buying shares of the common stock.

Neither the SEC nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved these securities or passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

Prospectus Supplement dated June 7, 2007

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-KSB

Annual Report Pursuant To Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2007

Commission File No. 000-20989

UROPLASTY, INC.

(Name of Small Business Issuer in its Charter)

Minnesota

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

41-1719250

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

5420 Feltl Road

Minnetonka, Minnesota 55413-2820

(Address of principal executive offices)

(952) 426-6140

(Issuer's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: Common Stock, \$.01 par value (Title of class)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Company was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES NO

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of Company's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES NO

Issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year: \$8,311,001

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the stock was sold or the average bid and asked prices of such stock as of May 29, 2007 was \$40,998,000.

The number of shares outstanding of the issuer's only class of common stock on May 29, 2007 was 13,160,700.

Documents Incorporated By Reference: Portions of our Proxy Statement for our 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the Proxy Statement), are incorporated by reference in Part III.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format: YES NO

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1. Description of Business

Item 2. Description of Property

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

PART II

Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations

Item 7. Financial Statements

Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Item 8A. Controls and Procedures

Item 8B. Other Information

PART III

Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Item 10. Executive Compensation

Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Item 13. Exhibits and Reports

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

SIGNATURES

Table of Contents

PART I

Uroplasty, Inc. may from time to time make written or oral **forward-looking statements**, including our statements contained in this report with the Securities and Exchange Commission and in our reports to stockholders, as well as elsewhere. Forward-looking statements are statements such as those contained in projections, plans, objectives, estimates, statements of future economic performance, and assumptions related to any of the foregoing, and may be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as may, expect, anticipate, estimate, goal, comparable terminology. By their very nature, forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties relating to our future performance that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results, to differ materially from those expressed or implied in any such forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are contained in the Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation and other sections of this report. Various factors and risks (not all of which are identifiable at this time) could cause our results, performance or achievements to differ materially from that contained in our forward-looking statements. We caution investors that any forward-looking statement contained herein or elsewhere is qualified by and subject to the warnings and cautionary statements contained above and in, particular, in the Risk Factors discussion contained in the Description of Business section of this report.

We do not undertake and assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statement that we may make from time to time.

Item 1. Description of Business**Overview**

We are a medical device company that develops, manufactures and markets innovative products for the treatment of voiding dysfunctions. Our minimally invasive products treat urinary and fecal incontinence and overactive bladder symptoms. We believe that our company is uniquely positioned because we offer a broad and diverse set of products to address the various preferences of doctors and patients, as well as the quality of life issues presented by voiding dysfunctions. We currently offer three medical devices for the treatment of incontinence and overactive bladder symptoms.

Our Strategy

Our goal is to gain market share in the voiding dysfunction market by expanding our portfolio of minimally invasive products for the treatment of voiding dysfunctions, with a particular focus on products and applications for outpatient and office-based procedures. We believe that, with a suite of innovative products, we can increasingly garner the attention of key physicians, our independent sales representatives and distributors to enhance market acceptance of our products. The key elements of our strategy are to:

Focus on office-based solutions for physicians. We believe that our company is uniquely positioned to provide a broad product offering of office-based solutions for physicians. By expanding our U.S. presence, we intend to develop long-standing relationships with leading physicians treating incontinence and overactive bladder symptoms. These relationships will provide us with a source of new product ideas and a conduit through which to introduce new products. We also intend to develop marketing programs to assist physicians in marketing their practices and to provide innovative programs focused on helping physicians attract patients and develop referral networks. Building these relationships is an important part of our growth strategy, particularly for the development and introduction of new products.

Grow our U.S. sales and international distribution. We believe that in addition to international markets, the U.S. is a significant opportunity for future sales of our products. In order to grow our U.S. business, we have expanded our sales organization, consisting of direct field sales and independent sales representatives, marketing organization and reimbursement department to market our products directly to our customers. We anticipate further increasing, as needed, our sales and marketing organization in the United States to support our sales growth. In addition, we intend to expand our European presence by creating new distribution partnerships.

Educate physicians and patients about the benefits of our Urgent[®] PC neuromodulation system. We believe education of physicians and patients regarding the benefits of our Urgent PC is critical to the successful adoption

of this product. To this end, we have initiated a clinical trial, which is a U.S. multi-center randomized prospective study comparing the Urgent PC device to the most commonly prescribed pharmaceutical treatment

Table of Contents

for OAB symptoms. We believe the results of this and other studies, if successful, will allow us to expand our marketing and sales efforts. These sales and marketing efforts may include physician training and education programs which will emphasize the clinical efficacy and ease of use of our Urgent PC product as well as patient-oriented marketing materials for physicians to use to inform patients of the availability and potential benefits of our Urgent PC product.

Provide patient-driven alternatives. Patients often weigh the quality of life benefits of electing to undergo a surgical procedure against the invasiveness of the procedure. We intend to continue to expand our marketing efforts to build patient awareness of these treatment alternatives and encourage patients to see physicians. We believe this will help physicians build their practices and simultaneously increase sales of our products.

Develop, license or acquire products. We believe that our broad and diverse product offering is an important competitive advantage because it allows us to address the various preferences of doctors and patients, as well as the quality of life issues presented by voiding dysfunctions. An important part of our growth strategy is to broaden our product line further to meet customer needs by developing new products internally, licensing or acquiring new products through acquisitions.

Our Products

Macroplastique® Implants is a minimally invasive, implantable soft tissue bulking agent for the treatment of urinary incontinence. When Macroplastique is injected into tissue around the urethra, it stabilizes and bulks tissues close to the urethra, thereby providing the surrounding muscles with increased capability to control the release of urine. Macroplastique has been sold for urological indications in over 40 countries outside the United States since 1991. In October 2006, we received from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) pre-market approval for the use of Macroplastique to treat female stress urinary incontinence. We began marketing this product in the United States in early 2007. We cannot assure that we can market Macroplastique profitably in the U.S. Our other proprietary, implantable soft tissue bulking agents that we sell outside the United States include PTQ® Implants for fecal incontinence, VOX® Implants for vocal cord rehabilitation and Bioplastique® Implants for dermal augmentation. The Urgent® PC neuromodulation system is a minimally invasive device designed for office-based treatment of overactive bladder symptoms of urinary urge incontinence, urinary urgency and urinary frequency. This product uses percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation to deliver an electrical pulse that travels to the sacral nerve plexus, a control center for bladder function. We received regulatory approvals for the sale of Urgent PC in the United States and Canada in October 2005 and in Europe in November 2005. Subsequently, we launched the product for sale in those markets. We launched our second generation Urgent PC product in 2006.

I-Stop™ is a minimally invasive biocompatible, polypropylene, tension-free sling for the treatment of female urinary incontinence. Our I-Stop sling can correct stress urinary incontinence by providing tension-free, hammock-type support for the urethra to prevent its downward movement and the associated leakage of urine. We stopped selling this product in the U.S. in March 2007, but continue selling it in the United Kingdom.

Sales, Marketing and Distribution

We are focusing our sales and marketing efforts primarily on office-based and outpatient surgery-based urologists, urogynecologists and gynecologists with significant patient volume. We believe the United States is a significant opportunity for future sales of our products. In order to grow our United States business, we have expanded our sales organization, consisting of direct field sales and independent sales representatives, marketing organization and reimbursement department to market our products directly to our customers. By expanding our United States presence, we intend to develop long-standing relationships with leading physicians treating incontinence and overactive bladder symptoms.

Outside of the United States, we sell our products primarily through a direct sales organization in the United Kingdom and primarily through distributors in other markets. Each of our distributors has a territory-specific distribution agreement, including requirements that they may not sell products that directly compete with ours. Collectively, our distributors accounted for approximately 52% and 65% of total net sales for fiscal 2007 and 2006, respectively.

We use clinical studies and scientific community awareness programs to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our products. This data is important to obtain regulatory approval and to support our sales staff and distributors in securing product reimbursement in their territories. Publications of clinical data in peer-reviewed journals add to the scientific community awareness of our products, including therapeutic applications, treatment techniques and expected outcomes. We provide a range of activities designed to support surgeons in their clinical evaluation study design, abstract preparation, manuscript creation and/or review and submission.

Table of Contents**Voiding Dysfunctions**

Voiding dysfunctions affect urinary or fecal control and can result in unwanted leakage (urinary or fecal incontinence) or uncontrolled sensations (overactive bladder symptoms). We believe we are uniquely positioned to offer minimally invasive products to treat each of these voiding dysfunctions.

The Problem of Urinary Incontinence

Urinary incontinence, the uncontrolled leakage of urine, is a problem suffered by millions of people worldwide in varying degrees of severity. Because of the social stigma associated with this condition, it is often underreported. It can result in a substantial decrease in a person's quality of life, and is often the main reason a family moves an elderly person to nursing home care. The Agency for Health Care Policy and Research (AHCPR), a division of the Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, estimates that urinary incontinence affects about 13 million people in the United States, of which 85% (11 million) are women. The same agency estimates the total cost of treating all types of incontinence (management and curative approaches) in the United States to be \$15 billion. Researchers at the University of California, Los Angeles determined a 38% prevalence rate of urinary incontinence among the 23 million adult women surveyed by the National Center for Health Statistics. We expect the incidence of urinary incontinence will rise as the percentage of elderly population grows.

Causes of Urinary Incontinence

The mechanisms of urinary continence are complicated and involve the interaction among several anatomical structures. In females, urinary continence is controlled by the sphincter muscle and pelvic floor support structures that maintain proper urethral position. The sphincter muscle surrounds the urethra and provides constrictive pressure to prevent urine from flowing out of the bladder. Urination occurs when the sphincter relaxes as the bladder contracts, allowing urine to flow through the urethra. The urinary sphincter and pelvic floor support are also responsible for maintaining continence during periods of physical stress. Incontinence may result when any part of the urinary tract fails to function as intended. Incontinence may be caused by damage during childbirth, pelvic trauma, spinal cord injuries, neurological diseases (e.g., multiple sclerosis and poliomyelitis), birth defects (e.g., spina bifida) and degenerative changes associated with aging.

For men, urinary incontinence is most often associated with prostate conditions or nerve problems, such as complications arising from diabetes, stroke or Parkinson's disease. Enlargement of the prostate gland (the gland surrounding the male urethra just below the bladder) may impact urinary control. Approximately 400,000 prostate surgeries are performed each year in the United States for prostate enlargement or for prostate cancer. Up to 20% of men undergoing such surgery develop incontinence following the procedure.

Types of Urinary Incontinence

There are four types of urinary incontinence:

Stress Urinary Incontinence - Stress urinary incontinence (SUI), refers to the involuntary loss of urine due to an increase in intra-abdominal pressure from ordinary physical activities, such as coughing, sneezing, laughing, straining or lifting. For the majority of women with SUI (9 million of the 11 million in the U.S.), their incontinence is caused by urethral hypermobility. Urethral hypermobility—abnormal movement of the bladder neck and urethra—occurs when the anatomic supports for the bladder neck and urethra have weakened. This anatomical change is often the result of childbirth. Stress urinary incontinence can also be caused by intrinsic sphincter deficiency, or the inability of the sphincter muscle to function properly. Intrinsic sphincter deficiency can be due to congenital sphincter weakness or can result from deterioration of the urethral muscular wall due to changes of aging or damage following trauma, spinal cord lesion or radiation therapy. The National Association for Continence (NAFC) estimates up to 15% of female stress urinary incontinence is a result of intrinsic sphincter deficiency (ISD). For many women, their SUI is a combination of urethral hypermobility and ISD.

Urge Incontinence - Urge incontinence refers to the involuntary loss of urine associated with an abrupt, strong desire to urinate. Urge incontinence often occurs when neurological problems cause the bladder to contract and empty with little or no warning.

Overflow Incontinence - Overflow incontinence is associated with an over-distention of the bladder. This can be the result of an under-active bladder or an obstruction in the bladder or urethra.

Mixed Incontinence - Mixed incontinence is the combination of both urge and stress incontinence (and, in some cases, overflow). Clinicians estimate that 30% of women suffering from stress urinary incontinence also exhibit symptoms of urge incontinence. Since prostate enlargement often obstructs the urethra, older men often have urge incontinence coupled with overflow incontinence.

Table of Contents**Management and Curative Treatment of Urinary Incontinence**

There are two general approaches to dealing with urinary incontinence. One approach is to manage symptoms with products such as pads or diapers. The other approach is to undergo curative treatments in an attempt to restore continence, such as injection of urethral tissue bulking agents or by invasive surgeries. We believe the treatment of urinary incontinence should start first with the least invasive therapy and then move to more invasive therapies only when needed.

Management of Urinary Incontinence

Absorbent Products. Absorbent products are the most common form of management for urinary incontinence because men and women can use them without consulting a physician. The cost of adult diapers and pads can be substantial and create a continuous financial burden for patients. Additionally, this management technique may require frequent changing of diapers and pads to control patient embarrassment due to odor or soiling.

Behavior Modification. Techniques used in behavior modification include bladder training, scheduled voiding and pelvic floor muscle exercises known as Kegels. Some of the tools used in conjunction with these training regimes are vaginal cones or weights, biofeedback devices and pelvic floor stimulation. Because these techniques rely on active, frequent participation of the individual, these techniques are seldom effective.

Occlusion and Compression Devices. Penile clamps, pessaries and urethral occlusion devices are typically reserved for temporary use. Complications such as tissue erosion, urinary tract infections, edema, pain and obstruction are associated with extended or improper use.

Urinary Catheters and Collection Devices. The type and severity of incontinence and an individual's physical and mental condition determine the choice of catheter. Catheters may be inserted as needed for bladder drainage and may be a closed, indwelling system or an external collection device.

Drug Therapy. Drug treatment is used to manage multiple types of urinary incontinence. Therapeutic drug activity is matched to the individual's urinary dysfunction, e.g., activity targeted to contract muscle tissue of the bladder or bladder neck or to improve the quality of the bladder neck and urethra mucosal lining. Drugs are most often used to treat symptoms of overactive bladder but drugs seldom cure stress urinary incontinence. Common side effects of drugs may include dry mouth, constipation, headache, fatigue, urinary retention, nausea, dizziness, blurred vision, anxiety and the possibility of unwanted interactions with other drugs.

Curative Treatment of Urinary Incontinence

Injectable Urethral Tissue Bulking Agents. Urethral tissue bulking agents are inserted with a needle into the area around the urethra, augmenting the surrounding tissue for increased capacity to control the release of urine. Hence, these materials are often called bulking agents or injectables. Urethral bulking agents may be either synthetic or biologically derived and are an attractive alternative to surgery because they are considerably less invasive. Active women benefit from the use of urethral bulking agents since they will often return to normal activities in a matter of days instead of weeks of recovery following invasive surgical procedures. Bulking agents also represent a desirable treatment option for the elderly or infirm who may not otherwise be able to withstand the trauma and morbidity resulting from a fully invasive surgical procedure. Additionally, the use of a urethral bulking agent does not preclude the use of more invasive treatments if required.

Biologically derived bulking agents include a patient's own fat cells, polysaccharides (not commercially available in the United States) or bovine collagen. Fat injections involve complex, invasive harvesting of the patient's own fat cells and re-injecting them into the bladder neck. Collagen injections require pre-treatment allergy skin tests and, since the body absorbs collagen over time, the patient may require subsequent re-injections.

Synthetic bulking agents include solid silicone elastomers, pyrolytic carbon-coated beads, and calcium hydroxylapatite.

Surgery. In women, stress urinary incontinence can be surgically corrected through a procedure in which the physician elevates and stabilizes the urethra and bladder neck, often with a sling to support these structures. Numerous publications cite sling procedure efficacy greater than 85%.

In men, the surgical options for treating urinary incontinence are a male sling or an implanted artificial urinary sphincter, a patient-controlled device that keeps the urethra closed until the patient is ready to urinate. Surgery to place the artificial sphincter requires general or spinal anesthesia.

Table of Contents

Uroplasty Solutions for Urinary Incontinence

We believe that we are uniquely positioned with differentiable, minimally invasive products to address both causes of SUI.

Macroplastique® Implants

Macroplastique® Implants is a minimally invasive, injectable soft-tissue bulking agent used to treat stress urinary incontinence, the most common form of urinary incontinence in women. It is designed to restore the patient's urinary continence immediately following treatment. Additionally, men who experience incontinence as a result of prostate surgery are also candidates for treatment by Macroplastique, which is approved for such use outside of the United States.

Macroplastique is a soft-textured, permanent implant placed endoscopically around the urethra distal to the bladder neck. When Macroplastique is injected into tissue around the urethra, it stabilizes and bulks tissues close to the urethra, thereby providing the surrounding muscles with increased capability to control the release of urine.

Macroplastique is a proprietary composition of heat vulcanized, solid, soft, irregularly shaped polydimethylsiloxane (solid silicone) implants suspended in a biocompatible carrier gel. We believe our compound is better than other commercially available bulking agents because it does not degrade, is not absorbed into surrounding tissues and does not migrate from the implant site due to its unique composition, shape and size. This reduces the need for follow-up treatments. Additionally, there is no need for special storage, cumbersome preparation or mixing for use or for patient allergy testing.

We have sold Macroplastique for urological indications in over 40 countries outside the United States since 1991. In October 2006, we received from the FDA pre-market approval for the use of Macroplastique to treat female SUI. We began marketing this product in the United States in early 2007. We cannot assure that we can market Macroplastique profitably in the U.S.

Although Macroplastique is traditionally implanted with the aid of an endoscope, we also market outside the United States a patented, non-endoscopic product placement kit, or delivery kit, called the Macroplastique Implantation System, or MIS, for office-based treatment of female stress urinary incontinence. Our MIS, approved for use outside the United States, enables easy and consistent product placement without the use of an endoscope.

I-Stop Sling

The I-Stop™ tape, a biocompatible, tension-free, mid-urethral sling, is FDA-approved and CE-marked for the treatment of female urinary incontinence due to urethral hypermobility. If the urethra is no longer appropriately supported by the surrounding tissues and ligaments, the urethra may move too easily and may no longer properly close. A sling provides a hammock-type support for the urethra to prevent its downward movement, and associated leakage of urine, during periods of increased abdominal pressure.

I-Stop, the only synthetic, mid-urethral sling made of monofilament knitted polypropylene, has closed loop edges, which we believe make it non-damaging to surrounding tissue without the need for a delivery sheath. We also believe that the I-Stop design provides greater strength and controlled flexibility, and improved resistance to fragmentation, stretching and deformity during the outpatient implant procedure, than competitive sling devices.

We sell the I-Stop only in the United Kingdom under an exclusive distribution agreement ending in 2010 with the manufacturer, CL Medical SAS of Lyon, France. Under the agreement, we have minimum purchase requirements each year. If we fail to reach the minimum purchase requirements, CL Medical has the right to terminate our exclusive distribution rights. We discontinued selling the I-Stop in the United States in March 2007.

The Problem of Overactive Bladder

Overactive bladder (OAB) is a prevalent and challenging urologic problem affecting 16% of the adult population. An estimated 34 million Americans suffer from overactive bladder, although fewer than 40% seek medical help. A survey of individuals with OAB estimated the total U.S. economic cost of OAB (direct and indirect costs) to be \$12 billion. For individuals with overactive bladder, the nervous system control for bladder filling and urinary voiding is incompetent. Signals to indicate a full bladder are sent early and frequently, triggers to allow the bladder to relax for filling are ineffective and nervous control of the urethral sphincter, to keep the bladder closed until an appropriate time, is inadequate. An individual with OAB may exhibit one or all of the symptoms that characterize overactive bladder: urinary urgency, urinary frequency and urge incontinence. Urgency is the strong, compelling need to urinate.

Frequency is a repetitive need to void. Normal urinary voiding is eight times per day. Individuals with an overactive bladder may seek to void over 20 times per day and at least two times during the night, thereby causing significant sleep pattern disturbances. Urge incontinence is an immediate, compelling need to urinate that typically results in an accident before the individual can reach the restroom.

Table of Contents

Treatment of Overactive Bladder Symptoms

Drug Therapy. The most common treatment for OAB is drug therapy using an anticholinergic agent. However, for some individuals, the drugs are ineffective or the side effects so bothersome that the patient discontinues the medications. Common side effects include dry mouth, constipation, headache, fatigue, urinary retention, nausea, dizziness, blurred vision, anxiety and the possibility of unwanted interactions with other drugs.

Biofeedback and Behavioral Modification. Bladder training and scheduled voiding techniques, often accompanied by the use of voiding diaries, are a non-invasive approach to managing OAB. Because these techniques rely on the diligence and compliance of the individual, these techniques are seldom effective. In addition, for OAB symptoms, these techniques may not affect the underlying cause of the condition.

Neuromodulation. Normal urinary control is dependent upon properly functioning neural pathways and coordination among the central and peripheral nervous systems, the nerve pathways, bladder and sphincter. Unwanted, uncoordinated or disrupted signals along these pathways can lead to overactive bladder symptoms. Therapy using neuromodulation incorporates electrical stimulation to target specific neural tissue and jam the pathways transmitting unwanted signals. To alter bladder function, the stimulation must be delivered to the sacral nerve plexus, the neural tissue affecting bladder activity. Neuromodulation for OAB is presently conducted through sacral nerve stimulation or percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation.

The sacral nerve stimulator uses a small device, a neurostimulator, to send mild electrical pulses to the sacral nerve. The sacral nerve is located in the lower back, just above the tailbone. The surgically implanted neurostimulator contains a battery and electronics to create the electrical pulses and is connected to a neurostimulation lead (an insulated wire) containing electrodes through which stimulation is delivered to the nerve. The device is most frequently placed under the skin of the buttock, with the lead under the skin near the spine. Patients need to have subsequent surgeries performed to replace the stimulator battery and, if needed, to replace a malfunctioning unit or correct for a dislodged lead.

Alternatively, percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) delivers stimulation to the sacral nerve plexus by temporarily applying electrical pulses to the tibial nerve. The tibial nerve is an easily accessed nerve in the lower leg. We believe neuromodulation using PTNS has a similar therapeutic effect as the implantable sacral nerve stimulator, but requires no surgery. PTNS is minimally invasive, has a low risk of complication and is typically performed in a physician's office.

Uroplasty Solutions for Overactive Bladder

Urgent[®] PC Neuromodulation System

The Urgent PC is a minimally invasive nerve stimulation device designed for office-based treatment of urge incontinence, urinary urgency and urinary frequency symptoms of an overactive bladder. Using percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation just above the ankle, the product delivers an electrical pulse that travels to the sacral nerve plexus, a control center for bladder function.

We believe that the Urgent PC system is the only non-surgical neuromodulation device in the U.S. market for treatment of overactive bladder symptoms. Components of the Urgent PC system include a hair-width needle electrode, a lead set and an external, handheld, battery-powered stimulator. For each 30-minute office-based therapeutic session, the physician temporarily inserts the needle electrode in the patient's lower leg and connects the electrode to the stimulator. Typically, a patient undergoes 12 treatment sessions at one-week intervals, with follow up treatments as required to maintain symptom reduction.

In April 2005, we entered into an exclusive manufacturing and distribution agreement with CystoMedix, Inc., an Andover, Minnesota medical device company, for the exclusive rights to manufacture and market the Urgent PC neuromodulation system for the U.S., Canada and all countries recognizing the CE mark. Although the Urgent PC as marketed by CystoMedix was CE marked and 510(k) cleared, following minor revisions to the product, we secured 510(k) clearance for the device in October 2005 and CE mark in November 2005. Subsequently, we launched the product for sale in those markets. In 2006, we received additional regulatory clearance and launched our second generation Urgent PC product.

In April 2007 we acquired from CystoMedix certain intellectual property assets related to the Urgent PC product and terminated the April 2005 exclusive manufacturing and distribution agreement.

Table of Contents

The Problem of Fecal Incontinence

Fecal incontinence, prevalent in 2-6% of the adult population, with women suffering up to four times more often than men, is an extremely disabling and embarrassing condition. Approximately 25% of women with stress urinary incontinence are also diagnosed with fecal incontinence.

Fecal continence relies on an intact and functioning anal sphincter. The internal anal sphincter (IAS) provides most of the resting anal pressure and is the main muscle responsible for the prevention of anal leakage. Degeneration or disruption of the IAS characteristically leads to fecal incontinence or soiling. Degeneration can result from childbirth, surgical trauma or accident.

Treatment of Fecal Incontinence

The internal sphincter cannot be surgically repaired, as it is extremely thin (approximately 2-3 mm) and, as a circular muscle, is under tension. Antidiarrheal drugs and diet modification help some patients, but this is not a satisfactory, long-term solution for most patients.

Uroplasty Solutions for Fecal Incontinence

We have two minimally invasive products to address fecal incontinence. Our PTQ Implants, implanted circumferentially into the submucosa of the anal canal, offer a minimally invasive treatment for patients with fecal incontinence. This soft-textured, permanent implant creates a bulking and supportive effect for the internal anal sphincter. This product is CE marked and currently sold outside the U.S. in various international markets. We also secured the CE mark for the application of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for the treatment of fecal incontinence. Our Urgent PC is sold for the treatment of fecal incontinence in countries recognizing the CE mark.

Other Uroplasty Products

In addition to urological applications, we market our proprietary tissue bulking material outside the United States for reconstructive and cosmetic plastic surgery under the trade name Bioplastique® Implants and for otolaryngology vocal cord rehabilitation applications under the trade name VOX® Implants.

In The Netherlands and United Kingdom only, we distribute certain wound care products in accordance with a distribution agreement.

Manufacturing and Suppliers

We have two manufacturing facilities: A facility in Eindhoven, The Netherlands, and a facility in Minnetonka, Minnesota. We are in the process of transitioning our production from our Eindhoven facility, which we plan to close, to our facility in Minnesota. We expect to complete this manufacturing transition in late 2007, pending FDA qualification of our facility in Minnesota. If we do not receive timely FDA qualification of our facility in Minnesota, we will have to delay our plans to exit our Eindhoven facility.

We manufacture our tissue bulking products in our manufacturing facilities. Our facilities utilize dedicated heating, cooling, ventilation and high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filtration systems to provide cleanroom and other controlled working environments. Our trained technicians perform all critical manufacturing processes in qualified environments according to validated written procedures. We use qualified vendors to sterilize our products using validated methods.

Our manufacturing facilities and systems are periodically audited by regulatory agencies and other authorities to ensure compliance with ISO 13485 (medical device quality management systems), and applicable European and Canadian medical device requirements, as well as for compliance with U.S. federal Quality Systems Regulations (QSR). We are also subject to additional state, local, and U.S. federal government regulations applicable to the manufacture of our products. While we believe we are compliant with all applicable regulations, we can not guarantee that we will pass each regulatory audit.

We purchase several medical grade materials and other components for use in our finished products from single source suppliers meeting our quality and other requirements. Although we believe our supply sources could be replaced if necessary without due disruption, it is possible that the process of qualifying new suppliers could cause an interruption in our ability to manufacture our products, which could have a negative impact on sales.

Table of Contents

We source our I-Stop sling from CL Medical, who designs and manufactures the product. We currently subcontract the manufacturing of the Urgent PC and its related components.

Competition

The market for voiding dysfunction products is intensely competitive. Competitors offer management and curative treatments, including commercialized tissue bulking agents, urethral sling products and neurostimulation devices. Indirect and future competitors include drug companies and firms developing new or improved treatment methods. We believe the principal decision factors among treatment methods include physician and patient acceptance of the treatment method and cost, availability of third-party reimbursement, marketing and sales coverage and the existence of meaningful patent protection. In addition to addressing the decision factors, our ability to effectively compete in this market will also depend on the consistency of our product quality as well as delivery and product pricing. Other factors affecting our success include our product development and innovation capabilities, clinical study results, ability to obtain required regulatory approvals, ability to protect our proprietary technology, manufacturing and marketing capabilities and ability to attract and retain skilled employees.

Soft-tissue injectable bulking agents competing directly with Macroplastique[®], both outside and in the U.S. include FDA-approved Contigen[®] bulking agents manufactured by C.R. Bard, Inc.; Zuidex[®] and Deflux[®] (Deflux FDA approved for vesico-ureteric reflux (VUR) use only) manufactured by Q-Med AB; Durasphere[®] (FDA-approved for female SUI) manufactured by Carbon Medical Technologies; and Coaptite[®] manufactured by BioForm, Inc. for Boston Scientific. In contrast to the competitors products currently approved for sale, Macroplastique, is a synthetic material that will not degrade, resorb or migrate, has no special preparation or storage requirements and does not require the patient to have a skin test prior to the procedure. The silicone-elastomer material has been studied for over 50 years in medical use for such urological applications as artificial urinary sphincters, penile implants, stents and catheters. Our patented Macroplastique[®] Implantation System offers a unique, non-endoscopic, minimally invasive out-patient procedure that can be performed in the physician's office.

Sling procedures have become the preferred method for treating urethral hypermobility. The tension-free sling market is dominated by Gynecare's TVT Tension-free Support device. Other companies competing in this market include American Medical Systems, C.R. Bard, Boston Scientific and Coloplast Corporation. We believe our I-Stop sling offers benefits of multiple surgical approaches for the physician and a design to resist stretching, deformity and fragmentation.

The Urgent[®]PC neurostimulation device is an alternative to the more invasive Medtronic InterStim[®] device. The Medtronic unit, which stimulates the sacral nerve, requires surgical implantation in the upper buttocks or abdomen, with recurring surgical intervention to replace the stimulator battery and, if needed, to replace a malfunctioning unit or correct for a dislodged lead. In contrast, the Urgent PC device allows minimally invasive stimulation of the sacral nerve plexus in an office-based setting without surgical intervention. Neotonus markets a non-surgical device to deliver extracorporeal magnetic neuromodulation. In addition, Boston Scientific's BioRx Microstimulator, a device implanted with a needle-like instrument to stimulate the pudendal nerve, is CE mark approved for the treatment of urinary urge incontinence and is undergoing clinical studies in the U.S.

Many medications treat symptoms of overactive bladder, some by preventing unwanted bladder contractions, others by tightening the bladder or urethra muscles and some by relaxing bladder muscles. Sometimes, these drugs have unwanted side effects such as dry mouth, vision problems or constipation. Among these medications are Detrol[®] (Pfizer Inc.), Ditropan[®] (Alza Corporation), Enablex[®] (Novartis), Vesicare[®] (GlaxoSmithKline) and Flomax[®] (Abbott Laboratories).

Many of our competitors and potential competitors have significantly greater financial, manufacturing, marketing and distribution resources and experience than we have. In addition, many of our competitors offer broader product lines within the urology market, which may give these competitors the ability to negotiate exclusive, long-term supply contracts and to offer comprehensive pricing for their products. It is possible other large health care and consumer products companies may enter this industry in the future. Furthermore, smaller companies, academic institutions, governmental agencies and other public and private research organizations will continue to conduct research, seek patent protection and establish arrangements for commercializing products. These products may compete directly with any products that we may offer in the future.

Government Regulation

The design, testing, manufacturing, promotion, marketing and distribution of our products in the United States, Europe and other parts of the world are subject to regulation by numerous governmental authorities, including the FDA, the European Union and other analogous agencies.

Table of Contents

United States

The FDA under the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act regulates our products in the United States as medical devices. Noncompliance with applicable requirements can result in, among other things:

 fines, injunctions, and civil penalties;

 recall or seizure of products;

 operating restrictions, or total or partial suspension of production;

 denial of requests for 510(k) clearance or pre-market approval of new products;

 withdrawal of existing approvals; and

 criminal prosecution.

Depending on the degree of risk posed by the medical device and the extent of controls needed to ensure safety and effectiveness, there are two pathways for FDA marketing clearance of medical devices. For devices deemed by FDA to pose relatively less risk (Class I or Class II devices), manufacturers, in most instances, may submit a pre-market notification (510(k) clearance) requesting permission for commercial distribution. Devices deemed by the FDA to pose the greatest risk (Class III devices), such as life-sustaining, life-supporting or implantable devices, or a device deemed not to be substantially equivalent to a previously cleared 510(k) device, require the submission of a pre-market approval (PMA) application. The FDA can also impose restrictions on the sale, distribution or use of devices at the time of their clearance or approval, or subsequent to marketing.

510(k) Clearance. To obtain 510(k) clearance, the pre-market notification must demonstrate that the proposed device is substantially equivalent in intended use and in safety and effectiveness to a previously 510(k) cleared device or a device that was commercially distributed before May 28, 1976 and for which FDA has not yet called for submission of a pre-market approval application. The FDA attempts to respond to a 510(k) pre-market notification within 90 days of submission of the notification, but the response may be a request for additional information, sometimes including clinical data. As a practical matter, 510(k) clearance can take significantly longer than 90 days, including up to one year or more.

After a device receives 510(k) clearance for a specific intended use, modifications or enhancements that could significantly affect the safety or effectiveness of the device or that would constitute a major change to the intended use of the device will require a new 510(k) pre-market notification submission or, depending upon the changes, could require pre-market approval. The FDA requires each manufacturer to make this determination initially, but the FDA can review any such decision. If the FDA disagrees with a manufacturer's determination that a new clearance or approval is not required for a particular modification, the FDA can require the manufacturer to cease marketing or recall the modified device until 510(k) clearance or pre-market approval is obtained. Also, in these circumstances, a company may be subject to significant regulatory fines or penalties.

Pre-market Approval. A pre-market approval application must be submitted if the device cannot be cleared through the 510(k) process. The pre-market approval process is much more demanding than the 510(k) notification process. A pre-market approval applicant must provide extensive preclinical and clinical trial data as well as information about the device and its components regarding, among other things, device design, manufacturing and labeling. As part of the pre-market approval process, applicants must file an Investigational Device Exemption, or IDE, application prior to commencing human clinical trials. If the FDA approves the IDE application, human clinical trials may begin at a specific number of investigational sites with a maximum number of patients. The results of clinical testing may not be sufficient to obtain approval of the product.

After the FDA determines that a pre-market approval application is complete, the FDA accepts the application and begins an in-depth review of the submitted information. The FDA, by statute and regulation, has 180 days to review an accepted pre-market approval application, although the review generally occurs over a significantly longer period of time, and can take up to several years. During this review period, the FDA may request additional information or

clarification of information already provided. Also during this review period, an advisory panel of experts from outside the FDA may be convened to review and evaluate the application and provide recommendations to the FDA as to the approvability of the device. In addition, the FDA will conduct a pre-approval inspection of the manufacturing facility to ensure compliance with the Quality System Regulations. New pre-market approval applications or supplemental pre-market approval applications are required for significant modifications to the manufacturing process, labeling, use and design of a device that is approved through the pre-market approval process. Pre-market approval supplements often require submission of the same type of information as a pre-market approval, except that the supplement is limited to information needed to support any device changes not covered by the original pre-market approval application, and may not require as extensive clinical data as the original submission or the convening of an advisory panel.

Table of Contents

Continuing FDA Regulation. After a device is placed on the market, numerous regulatory requirements apply. These include:

Quality System Regulations, which require manufacturers to follow design, testing, control, documentation and other quality assurance procedures during the manufacturing process;

labeling regulations, which govern product labels and labeling, prohibit the promotion of products for unapproved or off-label uses and impose other restrictions on labeling and promotional activities;

medical device reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA if their device may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or malfunctioned in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if it were to recur;

post-market surveillance activities monitor use of the products placed in the market place; and

notices of correction or removal, and recall regulations.

FDA Oversight of Manufacturing Operations. The Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act requires that medical devices be designed and manufactured in accordance with the FDA's current Quality System Regulations, which require, among other things, that we:

regulate our design and manufacturing processes and control them by the use of written procedures;

investigate any deficiencies in our manufacturing process or in the products we produce;

keep detailed records and maintain a corrective and preventative action plan; and

allow the FDA to inspect our manufacturing facilities on a periodic basis to monitor our compliance with Quality System Regulations.

European Union and Other Regions

The European Union has adopted rules that require that medical products receive the right to affix the CE mark, which stands for *Conformité Européenne*. The CE mark demonstrates adherence to quality assurance standards and compliance with relevant European medical device directives. Products that bear the CE mark can be imported to, sold or distributed within, the European Union.

We currently sell our products in approximately 40 foreign countries, including those within the European Union. Requirements pertaining to medical devices vary widely from country to country, ranging from no health regulations to detailed submissions such as those required by the FDA. We believe the extent and complexity of regulations for medical devices are increasing worldwide. We anticipate that this trend will continue and that the cost and time required to obtain approval to market in any given country will increase.

Third-Party Reimbursement

In both U.S. markets and markets outside the U.S., sales of our products will depend in part on the availability of reimbursement from third-party payors. Outside of the United States, government managed health care systems and private insurance control reimbursement for devices and procedures. Reimbursement systems in international markets vary significantly by country. In the European Union, reimbursement decision-making is neither regulated nor integrated at the European Union level. Each country has its own system, often closely protected by its corresponding national government. Reimbursement for Macropastique and other tissue bulking products has been successful in multiple international markets where hospitals and physicians have been able to get budgets approved by fund-holder trusts or global hospital budgets.

Table of Contents

In the U.S., third-party payors consist of government programs, such as Medicare, private health insurance plans, managed care organizations and other similar programs. For any product, three factors are critical to reimbursement: coding, which ensures uniform descriptions of procedures, diagnoses and medical products;

coverage, which is the payor's policy describing the clinical circumstances under which it will pay for a given treatment; and

payment amount.

As a relatively new therapy, nerve simulation using the Urgent PC has not been assigned a reimbursement code unique to the technology. However, a number of practitioners are using an existing reimbursement code that closely describes the procedure. In addition, Aetna and several Blue Cross Blue Shield organizations in several states have published policies providing coverage for PTNS under an existing reimbursement code. We will need to continue to work with third-party payers for coverage policies and the American Medical Association to develop definitive and uniform reimbursement for the therapy. In addition, we will need to provide customer reimbursement support as we market the product and secure medical community acceptance.

We believe that for our U.S. market there are appropriate reimbursement codes describing endoscopic use of Macroplastique to treat female SUI. However, we will still need to foster coverage policies and payer acceptance of Macroplastique. There is no guarantee that Macroplastique will be covered or reimbursed at the levels expected by us, if at all.

Patents, Trademarks and Licenses

Our success depends in part on our ability to obtain and maintain patent protection for our products, preserve our trade secrets and operate without infringing the proprietary rights of third parties. We seek to protect our technology by filing patent applications for technologies important to the development of our business following an analysis of the cost of obtaining a patent, the likely scope of protection, the relative benefits of patent protection compared to trade secret protection and other business considerations.

We hold multiple patents covering our Macroplastique materials, processes and applications. As of the date of this report, we have four issued U.S. patents and 20 granted patents in the United Kingdom, Japan, Germany, France, Spain, Italy, Portugal, The Netherlands and Canada. Our patents will expire in the U.S. at various times between 2011 and 2016 and in other countries between 2009 and 2017. In addition, in April 2007 we acquired one granted and several pending patents when we purchased from CystoMedix certain intellectual property assets related to the Urgent PC. We are awaiting prosecution of the patent protection applications we filed in 2006 for the Urgent PC. We cannot assure that we will obtain this or any other patent protection. There can also be no assurance any of our issued patents are of sufficient scope or strength to provide meaningful protection of our products nor can there be any assurance that any current or future U.S. and foreign patents of ours will not be challenged, narrowed, invalidated or circumvented by competitors or others, or that our patents will provide us with any competitive advantage. Any legal proceedings to maintain, defend or enforce our patent rights could be lengthy and costly, with no guarantee of success.

Although we intend to apply for additional patents and vigorously defend issued patents, management believes our business success will depend primarily upon our development and sales and marketing skills, and the quality and economic value of our products rather than on our ability to obtain and defend patents.

We also seek to protect our trade secrets by requiring key employees, consultants, and other parties to sign confidentiality and noncompetition agreements, and by limiting access by outside parties to confidential information. There can be no assurance, however, these measures will prevent the unauthorized disclosure or use of this information or that others will not be able to independently develop this information.

We have registered Macroplastique®, Uroplasty®, VOX®, PTQ® and Bioplastique® as trademarks with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. In addition, Macroplastique is registered throughout the European Union. CystoMedix has U.S. registration of the Urgent® PC trademark and, as part of our exclusive manufacturing and distribution agreement, licensed the mark to us. We acquired the trademark rights in April 2007 when we purchased from CystoMedix certain intellectual property assets. In addition, CL Medical has licensed its non-registered trademark for the I-Stop sling to us as part of our agreement with it.

We have a royalty agreement with three individuals, two of whom are former officers and directors. Under this royalty agreement, we pay aggregate royalties of three to five percent of net sales of Macroplastique and Bioplastique, subject to a monthly minimum of \$4,500. The royalties payable under this agreement will continue until the patent referenced in the agreement expires in 2010.

Table of Contents

In October 1998, we received an absolute assignment from a British surgeon of a patent relating to the Macroplastique Implantation System in return for a royalty of £10 for each unit sold during the life of the patent. We began commercialization of the product outside the U.S. in March 2000.

Research and Development

We have a research and development program to develop, enhance existing, and evaluate potential new incontinence products. Additionally, this program incurs costs for regulatory submissions, regulatory compliance and clinical research. Clinical research includes studies for new products, new applications or indications for existing products, post-approval marketing, and reimbursement approval by third party payors. Our expenditures for research and development totaled \$2.3 and \$3.3 million for fiscal 2007 and 2006, respectively. None of these costs were borne directly by customers.

Product Liability

The medical device industry is subject to substantial litigation. As a manufacturer of a long-term implantable device, we face an inherent risk of liability for claims alleging adverse effects to the patient. We currently carry \$2 million of worldwide product liability insurance. There can be no assurance, however, our existing insurance coverage limits are adequate to protect us from any liabilities we might incur, including if liability claims exceed our coverage limits. Product liability insurance is expensive and in the future may not be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all. Furthermore, we do not expect to be able to obtain insurance covering our costs and losses as a result of any product recall. A successful claim in excess of our insurance coverage could materially deplete our assets. Moreover, any claim against us could generate negative publicity, which could decrease the demand for our products and our ability to generate revenues.

Compliance with Environmental Laws

Compliance by us with applicable environmental requirements during fiscal years 2007 and 2006 has not had a material effect upon our capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position.

Dependence on Major Customers

During fiscal 2007, two customers each accounted for approximately 10% of our net sales. During fiscal 2006, the same two customers accounted for approximately 14% and 11% of our net sales.

Employees

As of March 31, 2007, we had 51 employees, of which 48 were full-time and 3 were part-time. No employee has a collective bargaining agreement with us. We believe we maintain good relations with our employees.

Incorporation and Current Subsidiaries

We were incorporated in January 1992 as a Minnesota corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of our original parent. In February 1995, we became a stand-alone, privately held company pursuant to a Plan of Reorganization confirmed by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court. We became a reporting company pursuant to a registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in July 1996.

Our wholly owned foreign subsidiaries and their respective principal functions are as follows:

Uroplasty BV	Incorporated in The Netherlands, distributes the Urgent PC and wound care products, and is the manufacturer of Macroplastique, Bioplastique, VOX Implants, PTQ Implants and all of their accessories. Products are sold primarily through distributors. We plan to discontinue our manufacturing operations in The Netherlands and transition the production to our facility in Minnesota in calendar 2007.
Uroplasty LTD	Incorporated in the United Kingdom and acts as the sole distributor of Urgent PC, Macroplastique, Bioplastique, PTQ Implants, all of their accessories, and wound care products in the United Kingdom and Ireland. Also distributes the I-Stop in the United Kingdom. Products are sold primarily through a direct sales organization.

Table of Contents**RISK FACTORS**

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risk factors set forth below and all other information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB before purchasing our common stock. If the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be seriously harmed, the price of our common stock could decline and you could lose part or all of your investment.

We continue to incur losses and may never reach profitability

We have incurred net losses in each of the last five fiscal years. As of March 31, 2007, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$16 million primarily as a result of costs relating to the development, including seeking regulatory approvals, and commercialization of our products. We expect our operating expenses relating to sales and marketing activities, product development and clinical trials, including for FDA-mandated post-market clinical study for our Macroplastique product will continue to increase during the foreseeable future. To achieve profitability, we must generate substantially more revenue than we have in prior years. Our ability to achieve significant revenue growth will depend, in large part, on our ability achieve widespread market acceptance for our products and successfully expand our business in the U.S., which we cannot guarantee will happen. We may never realize significant revenue from the sale of our products or be profitable.

We will require additional financing in the future which may not be available to us when required, or may be available only on unfavorable terms.

Our future liquidity and capital requirements will depend on numerous factors including: the timing and cost involved in manufacturing scale-up and in expanding our sales, marketing and distribution capabilities in the United States markets; the cost and effectiveness of our marketing and sales efforts with respect to our existing products in international markets; the effect of competing technologies and market and regulatory developments; and the cost involved in protecting our proprietary rights. Because we have yet to achieve profitability and generate positive cash flows, we will need to raise additional financing to support our operations and planned growth activities beyond fiscal 2008. Any equity financing could substantially dilute your equity interests in our company and any debt financing could impose significant financial and operational restrictions on us. There can be no guarantee that we will be successful, as we currently have no committed sources of, or other arrangements with respect to, additional equity or debt financing. We therefore cannot assure you that we will obtain additional financing on acceptable terms, or at all.

If we are not able to attract, retain and motivate our sales force and expand our distribution channels, our sales and revenues will suffer.

In the U.S., we have a sales organization consisting of direct sales and a nationwide network of independent sales representatives and a marketing organization to market our products directly and support our distributor organizations. We anticipate continuing to expand our sales and marketing organization, as needed to support our growth. We have and will continue to incur significant continued and additional expenses to support this organization. We may not be able to recruit, train, motivate or retain qualified sales and marketing personnel or independent sales representatives. Our ability to increase product sales in the U.S. will largely depend upon our ability to develop and maintain the sales organization. Outside of the United States and United Kingdom, we sell our products in foreign markets primarily through a network of independent distributors. Our ability to increase product sales in foreign markets will largely depend on our ability to develop and maintain relationships with our existing and additional distributors. We may not be able to retain distributors who are willing to commit the necessary resources to market and sell our products to the level of our expectations. Failure to expand our distribution channels or to recruit, retain and motivate qualified personnel could have a material adverse effect on our product sales and revenues.

We are primarily dependent on sales of one product and our business would suffer if sales of this product decline.

We are dependent on sales of our products that contain our Macroplastique bulking agent. Our Macroplastique product line accounted for 51% and 67%, respectively, of total net sales during fiscal 2007 and 2006. If our Macroplastique products were no longer available for sale in any key market because of regulatory, intellectual property or any other reason, our net sales from these products would significantly decline. A significant decline in our net sales could also negatively impact our product development activities and therefore our business prospects.

Table of Contents

We are unable to predict how quickly or how broadly the market will accept our products. If demand for our products fails to develop as we expect, our revenues will decline or we may be unable to increase our revenues and be profitable.

Our failure to achieve sufficient market acceptance of our products in the U.S., particularly for the Urgent PC, will limit our ability to generate revenue and be profitable. Market acceptance of our products will depend on our ability to demonstrate the safety, clinical efficacy, perceived benefits and cost-effectiveness of our products compared to products or treatment options of our competitors, and to train physicians in the proper application of our products. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in educating the marketplace about the benefits of using our products. Even if customers accept our products, this acceptance may not translate into sales if our competitors have developed similar products that our customers prefer. Furthermore, if our products do not achieve increasing market acceptance in the U.S. and internationally, our revenues will decline or we may be unable to increase our revenues and be profitable.

Our products and facilities are subject to extensive regulation with which compliance is costly and which exposes us to penalties for non-compliance. We may not be able to obtain required regulatory approvals for our products in a cost-effective manner or at all, which could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

The production and marketing of our products and our ongoing research and development, preclinical testing and clinical trial activities are subject to extensive regulation and review by numerous governmental authorities both in the United States and abroad. U.S. and foreign regulations applicable to medical devices are wide-ranging and govern, among other things, the testing, marketing and pre-market review of new medical devices, in addition to regulating manufacturing practices, reporting, advertising, exporting, labeling and record keeping procedures. We are required to obtain regulatory approval or clearance before we can market our products in the United States and certain foreign countries. The regulatory process requires significant time, effort and expenditures to bring our products to market, and we cannot assure that any of our products will be approved or continue to be approved for sale. Any failure to obtain or retain regulatory approvals or clearances could prevent us from successfully marketing our products, which could adversely affect our business and results of operations. Our failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements could result in governmental agencies:

- imposing fines and penalties on us;

- preventing us from manufacturing or selling our products;

- bringing civil or criminal charges against us;

- delaying the introduction of our new products into the market;

- enforcing operating restrictions;

- recalling or seizing our products; or

- withdrawing or denying approvals or clearances for our products.

If any or all of the foregoing were to occur, we may not be able to meet the demands of our customers and our customers may cancel orders or purchase products from our competitors, which could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Even if we receive regulatory approval or clearance of a product, the approval or clearance could limit the uses for which we may label and promote the product, which may limit the market for our products. Further, for a marketed product, its manufacturer and manufacturing facilities are subject to periodic reviews and inspections by FDA and foreign regulatory authorities. Subsequent discovery of problems with a product, manufacturer or facility may result in restrictions on the product, manufacturer or facility, including withdrawal of the product from the market or other enforcement actions. In addition, regulatory agencies may not agree with the extent or speed of corrective actions relating to product or manufacturing problems.

If additional regulatory requirements are implemented in the foreign countries in which we sell our products, the cost of developing or selling our products may increase. In addition, we may rely on our distributors outside the United States in seeking regulatory approval to market our devices in particular countries. To the extent we do so, we are dependent on persons outside of our direct control to make regulatory submissions and secure approvals, and we do or will not have direct access to health care agencies in those markets to ensure timely regulatory approvals or prompt resolution of regulatory or compliance matters. If our distributors fail to obtain the required approvals or do not do so in a timely manner, our net sales from our international operations and our results of operations may be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

In addition, our business and properties are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, natural resources and worker health and safety and the use, management, storage, and disposal of hazardous substances, wastes, and other regulated materials. The costs of complying with these various environmental requirements, as they now exist or may be altered in the future, could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

If third parties claim that we infringe upon their intellectual property rights, we may incur liabilities and costs and may have to redesign or discontinue selling the affected product.

The medical device industry is litigious with respect to patents and other intellectual property rights. Companies operating in our industry routinely seek patent protection for their product designs, and many of our principal competitors have large patent portfolios. Companies in the medical device industry have used intellectual property litigation to gain a competitive advantage. Whether a product infringes a patent involves complex legal and factual issues, the determination of which is often uncertain. We face the risk of claims that we have infringed on third parties intellectual property rights. Our efforts to identify and avoid infringing on third parties intellectual property rights may not always be successful. Any claims of patent or other intellectual property infringement, even those without merit, could:

be expensive and time consuming to defend;

result in us being required to pay significant damages to third parties;

cause us to cease making or selling products that incorporate the challenged intellectual property;

require us to redesign, reengineer or rebrand our products, if feasible;

require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements in order to obtain the right to use a third party's intellectual property, which agreements may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all;

divert the attention of our management; or

result in our customers or potential customers deferring or limiting their purchases or use of the affected products until resolution of the litigation.

In addition, new patents obtained by our competitors could threaten a product's continued life in the market even after it has already been introduced.

If we are unable to adequately protect our intellectual property rights, we may not be able to compete effectively and we may not be profitable.

Our success depends in part on our ability to protect our proprietary rights to the technologies used in our products. We rely on patent protection, as well as a combination of trademark laws and confidentiality, noncompetition and other contractual arrangements to protect our proprietary technology. However, these legal means afford only limited protection and may not adequately protect our rights or permit us to gain or keep any competitive advantage. Our patents and patent applications if issued, may not be broad enough to prevent competitors from introducing similar products into the market. Our patents, if challenged or if we attempt to enforce them, may not necessarily be upheld by the courts of any jurisdiction. In addition, patent protection in foreign countries may be different from patent protection under U.S. laws and may not be favorable to us. As a result, we may not be able to compete effectively. We also rely on unpatented proprietary technology. We cannot assure you that we can meaningfully protect all of our rights in our unpatented proprietary technology or that others will not independently develop substantially equivalent products or processes or otherwise gain access to our unpatented proprietary technology. We attempt to protect our trade secrets and other unpatented proprietary technology through the use of confidentiality and noncompetition agreements with our current key employees and with other parties to whom we have divulged trade secrets. However, these agreements may not be enforceable or may not provide meaningful protection for our proprietary information in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure or other breaches of the agreements or in the event competitors discovery

or independently develop similar proprietary information.

Product liability claims could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

The manufacture and sale of medical devices exposes us to significant risk of product liability claims, some of which may have a negative impact on our business. Our existing products were developed relatively recently and defects or risks that we have not yet identified may give rise to product liability claims. Our existing \$2 million of worldwide

Table of Contents

product liability insurance coverage may be inadequate to protect us from any liabilities we may incur or we may not be able to maintain adequate product liability insurance at acceptable rates. If a product liability claim or series of claims is brought against us for uninsured liabilities or in excess of our insurance coverage and it is ultimately determined that we are liable, our business could suffer. Additionally, we could experience a material design or manufacturing failure in our products, a quality system failure, other safety issues or heightened regulatory scrutiny that would warrant a recall of some of our products. A recall of any of our products likely would be costly, would be uninsured and could also result in increased product liability claims. Further, while we train our physician customers on the proper usage of our products, we cannot ensure that they will implement our instructions accurately. If our products are used incorrectly by our customers, injury may result and this could give rise to product liability claims against us. Any losses that we may suffer from any liability claims, and the effect that any product liability litigation may have upon the reputation and marketability of our products, may divert management's attention from other matters and may have a negative impact on our business and our results of operations.

If we are not able to successfully scale-up production of our products, our sales and revenues will suffer.

In order to commercialize our products in the United States and international markets, we need to be able to produce, or subcontract the production of, our products in a cost-effective way on a large scale to meet demand, while maintaining high standards for quality and reliability. If we fail to successfully commercialize our products, we will not be profitable.

We may experience manufacturing and control problems as we begin to scale-up our future manufacturing operations, and we may not be able to scale-up manufacturing in a timely manner or at a reasonable cost to enable production in sufficient quantities. If we experience any of these problems, we may not be able to have our products manufactured and delivered in a timely manner.

The I-Stop sling is designed and manufactured by CL Medical in France for our distribution in the United Kingdom. If CL Medical experiences problems with manufacturing or control, encounters regulatory or compliance problems, or incurs delays, we may not receive the I-Stop product in a timely manner. This would limit our ability to generate revenues.

The loss or interruption of materials from any of our key suppliers could slow down the manufacture of our products, which would limit our ability to generate sales and revenues.

We currently purchase several key materials used in our products from single source suppliers. Our reliance on a limited number of suppliers subjects us to several risks, including an inability to obtain an adequate supply of required materials, price increases, untimely delivery and difficulties in qualifying alternative suppliers. We cannot be sure that acceptable alternative arrangements could be made on a timely basis. Additionally, the qualification of materials and processes as a result of a supplier change could be deemed as unacceptable to regulatory authorities and cause delays and increased costs due to additional test requirements. A significant interruption in the supply of materials, for any reason, could delay the manufacture and sale of our products, which would limit our ability to generate revenues.

If we are not able to maintain sufficient quality controls, regulatory approvals by the European Union, the FDA or other relevant authorities of our products could be delayed or denied and our sales and revenues will suffer.

Approval of our products could be delayed by the FDA, European Union or other related authorities if our manufacturing facilities do not comply with applicable manufacturing requirements. The FDA's Quality System Regulations impose extensive testing, control, documentation and other quality assurance requirements. Canada and the European Union also impose requirements on quality systems of manufacturers, which are inspected and certified on a periodic basis and may be subject to additional unannounced inspections. Further, our suppliers are also subject to these regulatory requirements. Failure by any of our suppliers or us to comply with these requirements could prevent us from obtaining or retaining approval for and marketing of our products. We cannot assure you that our suppliers or our manufacturing facilities will comply with applicable regulatory requirements on a timely basis or at all.

Even with approval to market our products in the European Union, the United States and other countries, we must continue to comply with relevant manufacturing and distribution requirements. If violations of applicable requirements are noted during periodic inspections of our manufacturing facilities, we may not be able to continue to market our products and our revenues could be materially adversely affected.

If we are not able to acquire or license other products, our business and future growth prospects could suffer.

As part of our growth strategy, we intend to acquire or license additional products and product candidates for development and commercialization. The success of this strategy depends upon our ability to identify, select and acquire the right products.

Table of Contents

Any product candidate we license or acquire may require additional development efforts prior to sale, including clinical testing and approval by the FDA and other regulatory bodies. Product candidates may fail to receive or experience a significant delay in receiving the necessary approvals. In addition, we cannot assure you that any approved products that we acquire or license will be manufactured economically, successfully commercialized or widely accepted in the marketplace. Other companies, including those with greater financial, marketing and sales resources, may compete with us for the acquisition or license of product candidates or approved products. We may not be able to acquire or license the right to other products on terms that we find acceptable, or at all. Even if we complete future acquisitions, our business, financial condition and the results of operations could be negatively affected because:

we may be unable to integrate the acquired business successfully and realize anticipated economic, operational and other benefits in a timely manner; and

the acquisition may disrupt our ongoing business, distract our management and divert our resources.

The loss of our key customers could result in a material loss of revenues.

We had two customers, each accounting for approximately 10% of our net sales in fiscal 2007. During fiscal 2006, the same two customers accounted for approximately 14% and 11% of our net sales. As a result, we face the risk that one or more of our key customers may decrease business or terminate relationships with us. If we are unable to replace any decrease in business from these customers, it could result in a material decrease in our revenue. This could adversely affect our financial condition.

Negative publicity regarding the use of silicone material in medical devices could harm our business and result in a material decrease in revenues.

Macroplastique is comprised of medical grade, heat-vulcanized polydimethylsiloxane, which results in a solid, flexible silicone elastomer. In the early 1990 s, the United States breast implant industry became the subject of significant controversies surrounding the possible effects upon the human body of the use of semi-liquid silicone gel in breast implants, resulting in product liability litigation and leading to the bankruptcy of several companies, including our former parent, Bioplasty, Inc. We use only medical grade solid silicone material in our tissue bulking products and not semi-liquid silicone gel, as was used in breast implants. Negative publicity regarding the use of silicone materials in our products or in other medical devices could have a significant adverse affect on the overall acceptance of our products. We cannot assure you that the use of solid silicone in medical devices implanted in the human body by us and others will not result in negative publicity.

The risks inherent in operating internationally and the risks of selling and shipping our products and of purchasing our components and products internationally may adversely impact our net sales, results of operations and financial condition.

We still derive a substantial portion of our net sales from customers and operations in international markets. We expect non-United States sales to continue to represent a significant portion of our revenues until we achieve sufficient market acceptance from United States customers of the already FDA-approved products, and in particular the Urgent PC. The sale and shipping of our products and services across international borders, as well as the purchase of components and products from international sources, subject us to extensive U.S. and foreign governmental trade regulations. Compliance with such regulations is costly and exposes us to penalties for non-compliance. Any failure to comply with applicable legal and regulatory obligations could impact us in a variety of ways that include, but are not limited to, significant criminal, civil and administrative penalties, including imprisonment of individuals, fines and penalties, denial of export privileges, seizure of shipments, restrictions on certain business activities, and exclusion or debarment from government contracting. Also, the failure to comply with applicable legal and regulatory obligations could result in the disruption of our shipping and sales activities.

In addition, many of the countries in which we sell our products are, to some degree, subject to political, economic and/or social instability. Our international sales operations expose us and our representatives, agents and distributors to risks inherent in operating in foreign jurisdictions. These risks include:

the imposition
of additional
U.S. and
foreign
governmental
controls or
regulations;

	29		
Total other income, net		215	77
Loss before income tax		(1,160)	(1,598)
Income tax provision		(10)	(34)
Net loss		\$(1,170)	\$(1,632)
Net loss per common share:			
Basic		\$(0.09)	\$(0.15)
Diluted		\$(0.09)	\$(0.15)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:			
Basic		12,698,934	10,912,334
Diluted		12,698,934	10,912,334

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

CREXENDO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015
(In thousands, except share data)
(unaudited)

	Common Stock		Additional	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-in Capital	Deficit	Stockholders' Equity
Balance, January 1, 2015	12,681,617	\$ 13	\$ 55,413	\$ (50,882)	\$ 4,544
Expense for stock options granted to employees	-	-	371	-	371
Issuance of common stock from contingent consideration	19,007	-	40	-	40
Net loss	-	-	-	(1,170)	(1,170)
Balance, March 31, 2015	12,700,624	\$ 13	\$ 55,824	\$ (52,052)	\$ 3,785

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

CREXENDO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In thousands)
(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net loss	\$(1,170)	\$(1,632)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Amortization of prepaid rent	81	27
Depreciation and amortization	87	226
Expense for stock options issued to employees	371	164
Gain on disposal of property and equipment	-	(1)
Amortization of deferred gain	(24)	(8)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:		
Trade receivables	88	359
Equipment financing receivables	39	(48)
Inventories	23	71
Prepaid expenses and other	(30)	(45)
Other long-term assets	(65)	26
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other	(134)	(84)
Income tax payable	5	-
Deferred revenue	(27)	(333)
Net cash used in operating activities	(756)	(1,278)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Acquisition of property and equipment	(6)	-
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	-	2,002
(Acquisition)/redemption of certificate of deposit	-	(1)
Change in restricted cash	2	3
Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities	(4)	2,004
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from exercise of options	-	43
Payments of contingent consideration	(61)	(20)
Net cash (used in)/provided by financing activities	(61)	23
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(821)	749
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT THE BEGINNING OF THE PERIOD	2,906	3,076
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT THE END OF THE PERIOD	\$2,085	\$3,825
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Income taxes	\$(6)	\$(11)
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing information:		

Prepayment of rent with common stock	\$-	\$966
Issuance of common stock from contingent consideration related to business acquisition	\$40	\$-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

CREXENDO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

1. Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business - Crexendo, Inc. is incorporated in the state of Delaware. As used hereafter in the notes to consolidated financial statements, we refer to Crexendo, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries, as “we,” “us,” or “our Company”. We are a hosted services company that provides hosted telecommunications services, hosted website service, website development software, and broadband internet services for businesses and entrepreneurs. Our services are designed to make enterprise-class hosting services available to small, medium and enterprise-sized businesses at affordable monthly rates. The Company has two operating segments, which consist of Hosted Telecommunications Services and Web Services.

The Company has transformed into a start-up company with the inherent risks and uncertainties of funding operations until profitability is achieved. We currently plan to fund our operations during the next twelve months using our cash and cash equivalents of \$2,085,000. However, after considering the Company’s historical negative cash flow from operating activities as well as internal forecasts, such amount does not appear adequate to fund our anticipated cash needs for the next twelve months. Accordingly, the Company will be required to obtain additional debt or equity financing such as that available from its CEO to sustain operations. The Company has the ability to call outstanding warrants to provide additional liquidity for approximately \$690,000, if needed. In addition, the Company received a commitment from the CEO, and major shareholder, in May 2015 that, if needed, he would provide up to \$1,000,000 of financial support to enable the Company to fund its operations through May 31, 2016. As such, the Company believes it will have sufficient funds to sustain its operations during the next twelve months as a result of the sources of funding detailed above.

Basis of Presentation – The consolidated financial statements include the accounts and operations of Crexendo, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries, which include Avail 24/7 Inc., Crexendo Business Solutions, Inc., StoresOnline Inc., StoresOnline International Canada ULC, StoresOnline International, Inc., StoresOnline International Ltd., Internet Training Group, Inc., Crexendo International, Inc., Crexendo Telecom, Inc., and Crexendo Property Management, LLC. All intercompany account balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, consistent in all material respects with those applied in our financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014. Because these financial statements address interim periods, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. Such interim financial information is unaudited but reflects all adjustments that in the opinion of management are necessary for the fair presentation of the interim periods presented. The results of operations presented in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2015 or for any future periods. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company’s audited financial statements and footnotes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

Cash and Cash Equivalents - We consider all highly liquid, short-term investments with maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had cash and cash equivalents in financial institutions in excess of federally insured limits in the amount of \$1,631,000 and \$2,487,000, respectively.

Restricted Cash – We classified \$131,000 and \$133,000 as restricted cash as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. Cash is restricted for state licensing letters of credit and compensating balance requirements on

merchant accounts, and purchasing card agreements. As of March 31, 2015, we had restricted cash in financial institutions in excess of federally insured limits in the amount of \$131,000.

Trade Receivables – We have historically offered to our web site development software customers the option to finance, typically through 24 and 36-month extended payment term arrangements (“EPTAs”), purchases made at our suspended Internet Training Workshops through our Web Services segment. EPTAs are reflected as short-term and long-term trade receivables, as applicable, as we have the intent and ability to hold the receivables for the foreseeable future, until maturity or payoff. EPTAs are recorded on a nonaccrual cash basis beginning on the contract date. Trade receivables from our hosted telecommunications and web services segments are recorded at invoiced amounts.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts – For sales made through EPTA contracts, we record an allowance for doubtful accounts each reporting period based on the Company’s ongoing assessment of collectability. The allowance represents estimated losses resulting from customers’ failure to make required payments. The allowance for doubtful accounts for EPTAs is netted against the current and long-term trade receivables balances. The allowance estimate is based on historical collection experience, specific identification of probable bad debts based on collection efforts, aging of trade receivables, customer payment history, and other known factors, including current economic conditions. We believe that the allowance for doubtful accounts is adequate based on our assessment to date, however, actual collection results may differ materially from our expectations. Because revenue generated from customers financing through EPTAs is deferred and not recognized prior to the collection of cash, adjustments to the allowance for doubtful accounts related to our EPTA contracts increase or decrease deferred revenue. Trade receivables are written off against the allowance when the related customers are no longer making required payments and the trade receivables are determined to be uncollectible, typically 90 days past their original due date. For sales made in our Hosted Telecommunications Services and Web Services segments, the allowance for doubtful accounts reflects our best estimate of probable losses inherent in the accounts receivable balance. We determine the allowance based on known troubled accounts, historical experience, and other currently available evidence.

Interest Income - Interest income is primarily earned from EPTA contracts. EPTA contract terms generally contain an 18% simple interest rate. Interest income is recognized on these accounts only to the extent cash is received as the receivables are generally 24 and 36-months in length and collection of the full amount of the receivable is not probable. We recognized \$6,000 and \$48,000 in interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Inventory - Telecommunications equipment inventory is stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, we regularly evaluate whether inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market.

Certificate of Deposit - We hold a \$251,000 certificate of deposit as collateral for merchant accounts, which automatically renews every 12 months. The certificate of deposit is classified as long-term in the consolidated balance sheets.

Property and Equipment - Depreciation expense is computed using the straight-line method in amounts sufficient to allocate the cost of depreciable assets over their estimated useful lives ranging from two to five years. The cost of leasehold improvements is amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the asset or the term of the related lease. Depreciation expense is included in general and administrative expenses and totaled \$21,000 and \$177,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Depreciable lives by asset group are as follows:

Computer software	3 years
Furniture and fixtures	4 years
Leasehold improvements	2 to 5 years

Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. The cost and accumulated depreciation of property and equipment sold or otherwise retired are removed from the accounts and any related gain or loss on disposition is reflected in net income or loss for the year.

Goodwill – Goodwill is tested for impairment using a fair-value-based approach on an annual basis (December 31) and between annual tests if indicators of potential impairment exist.

Intangible Assets - Our intangible assets consist primarily of assets acquired in the acquisition of PBX Central and OSV (Note 3), which include customer relationships and developed technology. The fair value of identifiable intangible assets is based upon the lower of discounted future cash flow projections or the amount paid in an arm's length transaction. The intangible assets are amortized following the patterns in which the economic benefits are consumed. Amortization expense is included in general and administrative expenses and totaled \$66,000 and \$49,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

We periodically review the estimated useful lives of our intangible assets and review these assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. The determination of impairment is based on estimates of future undiscounted cash flows. If an intangible asset is considered to be impaired, the amount of the impairment will be equal to the excess of the carrying value over the fair value of the asset.

Use of Estimates - In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management makes assumptions, estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of net sales and expenses during the reported periods. Specific estimates and judgments include inventory valuation and obsolescence, valuation of goodwill and intangible assets in connection with business acquisitions, allowances for doubtful accounts, sales returns and allowances, uncertainties related to certain income tax benefits, valuation of deferred income tax assets, valuations of share-based payments and recoverability of long-lived assets. Management's estimates are based on historical experience and on our expectations that are believed to be reasonable. The combination of these factors forms the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from our current estimates and those differences may be material.

Revenue Recognition - In general, we recognize revenue when all of the following conditions are satisfied: (1) there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement; (2) the product or service has been provided to the customer; (3) the amount of fees to be paid by the customer is fixed or determinable; and (4) the collection of our fees is probable. We recognize revenue from our Hosted Telecommunications Services and Web Services segments on an accrual basis, with the exception of our EPTA cash receipts which are recognized on a cash basis. Specifics to revenue category are as follows:

Software licenses and DVD training courses sold under EPTAs are recognized as revenue upon receipt of cash from customers and not at the time of sale. Accounting standards require revenue to be deferred until customer payments are received if collection of the original principal balance is not probable.

We enter into agreements where revenue is derived from multiple deliverables including any mix of products and/or services. For these arrangements, we determine whether the delivered item(s) has value to the customer on a stand-alone basis, and in the event the arrangement includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item(s), whether the delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially in our control. If these criteria are met, the arrangement consideration is allocated to the separate units of accounting based on each unit's relative selling price. If these criteria are not met, the arrangement is accounted for as a single unit of accounting which would result in revenue being recognized ratably over the contract term or deferred until the earlier of when such criteria are met or when the last undelivered element is delivered. The amount of product and services revenue recognized for arrangements with multiple deliverables is impacted by the allocation of arrangement consideration to the deliverables in the arrangement based on the relative selling prices. In determining our selling prices, we apply the selling price hierarchy using vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) when available, third-party evidence of selling price ("TPE") if VSOE does not exist, and best estimated selling price ("BESP") if neither VSOE nor TPE is available.

VSOE of fair value for elements of an arrangement is based upon the normal pricing and discounting practices for a deliverable when sold separately. In determining VSOE, we require that a substantial majority of the selling prices fall within a reasonably narrow pricing range, generally evidenced by a substantial majority of such historical stand-alone transactions falling within a reasonably narrow range of the median rate. In addition, we consider major service groups, geographies, customer classifications, and other variables in determining VSOE.

We are typically not able to determine TPE for our products or services. TPE is determined based on competitor prices for similar deliverables when sold separately. Generally, our offerings contain a significant level of differentiation such that the comparable pricing of products with similar functionality is difficult to obtain. Furthermore, we are unable to reliably determine what similar competitor products' selling prices are on a stand-alone basis.

When we are unable to establish the selling price using VSOE or TPE, we use BESP in our allocation of arrangement consideration. The objective of BESP is to determine the price at which we would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a stand-alone basis. We determine BESP for a product or service by considering multiple factors including, but not limited to, cost of products, gross margin objectives, pricing practices, geographies, customer classes and distribution channels.

We recognize revenue for delivered elements only when we determine there are no uncertainties regarding customer acceptance. Changes in the allocation of the sales price between delivered and undelivered elements can impact the timing of revenue recognized but does not change the total revenue recognized on any agreement.

Professional Services Revenue - Fees collected for professional services, including website design and development, search engine optimization services, link-building, paid search management services, and telecom installation services are recognized as revenue, net of expected customer refunds, over the period during which the services are performed, based upon the value for such services.

Web and Telecommunications Services Hosting Revenue - Fees collected for hosting revenue are recognized ratably as services are provided. Customers are billed for these services on a monthly or annual basis at the customer's option. We recognize revenue ratably over the applicable service period. When we provide a free trial period, we do not begin to recognize subscription revenue until the trial period has ended and the customer has been billed for the services.

Equipment Sales and Financing Revenue - Fees generated from the sale of telecommunications equipment are recognized when the devices are installed and hosted telecommunications services begin.

Fees generated from renting our hosted telecommunication equipment (IP or cloud telephone devices) through leasing contracts are recognized as revenue based on whether the lease qualifies as an operating lease or sales-type lease. The two primary accounting provisions which we use to classify transactions as sales-type or operating leases are: 1) lease term to determine if it is equal to or greater than 75% of the economic life of the equipment and 2) the present value of the minimum lease payments to determine if they are equal to or greater than 90% of the fair market value of the equipment at the inception of the lease. The economic life of most of our products is estimated to be three years, since this represents the most frequent contractual lease term for our products, and there is no residual value for used equipment. Residual values, if any, are established at the lease inception using estimates of fair value at the end of the lease term. The vast majority of our leases that qualify as sales-type leases are non-cancelable and include cancellation penalties approximately equal to the full value of the lease receivables. Leases that do not meet the criteria for sales-type lease accounting are accounted for as operating leases. Revenue from sales-type leases is recognized upon installation and the interest portion is deferred and recognized as earned. Revenue from operating leases is recognized ratably over the applicable service period.

Commission Revenue - We have affiliate agreements with third-party entities that are resellers of satellite television services and internet service provider bandwidth. We receive commissions when the services are bundled with our hosted service offerings.

Cost of Revenue – Cost of Hosted Telecommunications Service revenue primarily consists of fees we pay to third-party telecommunications and business internet providers, personnel costs related to system implementation, customer service and travel costs related to system implementation, and the costs associated with the purchase of phones and other third party equipment. Cost of Web Services revenue consists primarily of salaries and outsourcing fees related to fulfillment of our web services and customer service costs.

Prepaid Sales Commissions - For arrangements where we recognize revenue over the relevant contract period, we defer related commission payments to our direct sales force and amortize these amounts over the same period that the related revenues are recognized. This is done to match commissions with the related revenues. Commission payments are nonrefundable unless amounts due from a customer are determined to be uncollectible or if the customer subsequently changes or terminates the level of service, in which case commissions which were paid are recoverable by us. Prepaid sales commissions as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were \$403,000 and \$308,000, respectively, and are included in prepaid expenses and other on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Research and Development - Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Costs related to internally developed software are expensed as research and development expense until technological feasibility has been achieved, after which the costs are capitalized.

Fair Value Measurements - The fair value of our financial assets and liabilities was determined based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, that may be used to measure fair value which are the following:

Level 1 — Unadjusted quoted prices that are available in active markets for the identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2 — Other observable inputs available at the measurement date, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, either directly or indirectly, including:

Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
Quoted prices for identical or similar assets in non-active markets;
Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and
Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by other observable market data.

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that cannot be corroborated by observable market data and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management's estimates of market participant assumptions.

Income Taxes - We recognize a liability or asset for the deferred tax consequences of all temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years when the reported amounts of the assets and liabilities are recovered or settled. Accruals for uncertain tax positions are provided for in accordance with accounting guidance. Accordingly, we may recognize the tax benefits from an uncertain tax position only if it is more-likely-than-not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Accounting guidance is also provided on de-recognition of income tax assets and liabilities, classification of current and deferred income tax assets and liabilities, accounting for interest and penalties associated with tax positions, and income tax disclosures. Judgment is required in assessing the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the financial statements or tax returns. Variations in the actual outcome of these future tax consequences could materially impact our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we evaluate all significant available positive and negative evidence, including historical operating results, estimates of future taxable income and the existence of prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. We have placed a full valuation allowance on net deferred tax assets, see Note 8.

Interest and penalties associated with income taxes are classified as income tax expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

We do not intend to indefinitely reinvest the undistributed earnings of our United Kingdom subsidiary, therefore, we have provided for U.S. deferred income taxes on such undistributed foreign earnings. All other foreign subsidiaries are considered disregarded foreign entities for US tax purposes.

Stock-Based Compensation - For equity-classified awards, compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period based on the computed fair value on the grant date of the award. Equity classified awards include the issuance of stock options.

Comprehensive Loss – There were no other components of comprehensive loss other than net loss for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

Operating Segments - Accounting guidance establishes standards for the way public business enterprises are to report information about operating segments in annual financial statements and requires enterprises to report selected information about operating segments in financial reports issued to stockholders. The Company has two operating segments, which consist of Hosted Telecommunications Services and Web Services. Research and development expenses are allocated to Hosted Telecommunications Services and Web Services segments based on the level of effort, measured primarily by wages and benefits attributed to our engineering department. Indirect sales and marketing expenses are allocated to the Hosted Telecommunications Services and Web Services segments based on level of effort, measured by month-to-date contract bookings. General and administrative expenses are allocated to both segments based on revenue recognized for each segment. Accounting guidance also establishes standards for related disclosure about products and services, geographic areas and major customers. We generate over 90% of our total revenue from customers within North America (United States and Canada) and less than 10% of our total revenues from customers in other parts of the world.

Significant Customers – No customer accounted for 10% or more of our total revenue or total accounts receivable as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2015 or as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Recently Adopted Accounting Guidance - In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-09 that introduces a new five-step revenue recognition model in which

an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. This ASU also requires disclosures sufficient to enable users to understand the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers, including qualitative and quantitative disclosures about contracts with customers, significant judgments and changes in judgments, and assets recognized from the costs to obtain or fulfill a contract. This standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. The Company is currently evaluating the new guidance to determine the impact it will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period. This ASU requires that a performance target that affects vesting and could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. A reporting entity should apply existing guidance in ASC 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation, as it relates to such awards. ASU 2014-12 is effective for us in our first quarter of fiscal 2017 with early adoption permitted using either of two methods: (i) prospective to all awards granted or modified after the effective date; or (ii) retrospective to all awards with performance targets that are outstanding as of the beginning of the earliest annual period presented in the financial statements and to all new or modified awards thereafter, with the cumulative effect of applying ASU 2014-12 as an adjustment to the opening retained earnings balance as of the beginning of the earliest annual period presented in the financial statements. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this pronouncement to its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15. This ASU requires management to assess an entity's ability to continue as a going concern by incorporating and expanding upon certain principles that are currently in U.S. auditing standards. Specifically, the ASU (1) provides a definition of the term substantial doubt, (2) requires an evaluation every reporting period including interim periods, (3) provides principles for considering the mitigating effect of management's plans, (4) requires certain disclosures when substantial doubt is alleviated as a result of consideration of management's plans, (5) requires an express statement and other disclosures when substantial doubt is not alleviated, and (6) requires an assessment for a period of one year after the date that the financial statements are issued (or available to be issued). This standard is effective for the fiscal years ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. Early application is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the new guidance to determine the impact it will have on its consolidated financial statements.

2. Net Loss Per Common Share

Basic net loss per common share is computed by dividing the net loss for the period by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per common share is computed giving effect to all dilutive common stock equivalents, consisting of common stock options and warrants. Diluted net loss per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 is the same as basic net loss per common share because the common share equivalents were anti-dilutive due to the net loss. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net loss per common share:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
Net loss (in thousands)	\$(1,170)	\$(1,632)
Weighted-average share reconciliation:		
Weighted-average basic shares outstanding	12,698,934	10,912,334
Diluted shares outstanding	12,698,934	10,912,334
Net loss per common share:		
Basic	\$(0.09)	\$(0.15)
Diluted	\$(0.09)	\$(0.15)

Common stock equivalent shares are not included in the computation of diluted loss per share, because the Company has a net loss and the inclusion of such shares would be anti-dilutive due to the net loss. At March 31, 2015 and 2014, the common stock equivalent shares were, as follows:

	March 31, 2015	March 31, 2014
Shares of common stock issuable under equity incentive plans outstanding	3,228,290	2,394,312
Shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of warrants	500,000	-
Common stock equivalent shares excluded from diluted net loss per share	3,728,290	2,394,312

3. Acquisitions

One Stop Tech Solutions, LLC Acquisition

On June 1, 2014, we acquired certain assets from One Stop Tech Solutions, LLC, dba One Stop Voice (OSV), a privately-held provider of IP Telecom and Cloud communications located in Scottsdale, Arizona. The aggregate purchase price of approximately \$540,000 consisted of \$195,000 of cash paid at closing and 40,521 shares of our common stock with an estimated fair value of approximately \$134,000. The fair value of the issuance of common stock issued as consideration for OSV was determined on the basis of the closing market price of the Company's common stock on the acquisition date. In addition, the Company recorded as part of the purchase price approximately \$211,000 of contingent consideration it estimates will be paid during the earn-out period. The contingent consideration of \$211,000 will be paid out in cash and stock at a split of 60% cash and 40% stock. The Company's consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of OSV from the date of acquisition. The historical results of operations of OSV were not significant to the Company's consolidated results of operations for the periods presented. The total purchase consideration was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their estimated fair values as of the date of acquisition, as determined by management based on a valuation performed by an independent third party valuation firm. The excess of the purchase price over the amounts allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed has been recorded as goodwill. The goodwill arising from the acquisitions discussed above consists largely of the synergies and economies of scale we hope to achieve from combining the acquired assets and operations with our historical operations. In accordance with current accounting standards, goodwill associated with the OSV acquisition will not be amortized and will be tested for impairment at least annually.

The following table presents the purchase price allocation of OSV (in thousands):

Consideration (including estimated unpaid contingent consideration):

Cash	\$195
Common stock	134
Contingent consideration	211
Total consideration	\$540

Recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed:

Identifiable intangible assets	\$353
Commission liability	(10)
Total identifiable net assets	343
Goodwill	197
Total consideration	\$540

The following were the identified intangible assets acquired and the respective estimated periods over which such assets will be amortized (in thousands):

Intangible Assets:	Amount	Weighted Average useful life (in years)
Customer relationships	\$335	7
Technology	18	3
Total intangible assets	\$353	

In determining the purchase price allocation, the Company considered, among other factors, its intention to use the acquired assets and the historical and estimated future demand for One Stop Voice services. The estimated fair value of customer relationships was based upon the income approach. The income approach relies on an estimation of the present value of the future monetary benefits expected to flow to the owner of an asset during its remaining economic life. This approach requires a projection of the cash flow that the asset is expected to generate in the future. The projected cash flow is discounted to its present value using a rate of return, or discount rate that accounts for the time value of money and the degree of risk inherent in the asset. The income approach may take the form of a “relief from royalty” methodology, a cost savings methodology, a “with and without” methodology, or excess earnings methodology, depending on the specific asset under consideration.

The relief from royalty approach was used to determine the fair value of the technology license acquired from One Stop Voice. The relief from royalty approach estimates the value of the intangible asset by quantifying the aggregate expenditures that would be required to replace the intangible asset. The customer relationships were valued using a form of the income approach known as the multi-period excess earnings method. Inherent in the multi-period excess earnings method is the recognition that, in most cases, all of the assets of the business, both tangible and intangible, contribute to the generation of the cash flow of the business and the net cash flows attributable to the subject asset must recognize the support of the other assets which contribute to the realization of the cash flows. This future cash flow was then discounted using an estimated required rate of return for the asset to determine the present value of the future cash flows attributable to the asset. The key assumptions used in valuing the customer relationships acquired are as follows: discount rate of 12.5%, tax rate of 39.3%, contributory asset charges for technology license and tangible assets used to deliver services, assembled workforce, and estimated economic life of 7 years.

The total weighted average amortization period for the identified intangible assets acquired from One Stop Voice is 7 years. The goodwill resulting from the One Stop Voice acquisition is not currently deductible for income tax purposes.

4. Trade Receivables, net

Our trade receivables balance consists of traditional trade receivables and residual Extended Payment Term Agreements (EPTAs) sold prior to July 2011. Below is an analysis of the days outstanding of our trade receivables as shown on our balance sheet (in thousands):

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Trade receivables	\$368	\$433
Conforming EPTAs	188	192
Non-Conforming EPTAs:		
1 - 30 days	1	19
31 - 60 days	-	28
61 - 90 days	22	3
Gross trade receivables	579	675
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	(60)	(68)
Trade receivables, net	\$519	\$607
Current trade receivables, net	\$460	\$543
Long-term trade receivables, net	59	64
Trade receivables, net	\$519	\$607

All current and long-term EPTAs in the table above had original contract terms of greater than one year. The Company wrote off \$5,000 of EPTAs during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and \$158,000 during the year ended December 31, 2014, of which, all had original contract terms of greater than one year.

5. Equipment Financing Receivables

We rent certain hosted telecommunication equipment (IP telephone devices) through leasing contracts that we classify as either operating leases or sale-type leases. Equipment finance receivables arising from the rental of our hosted telecommunications equipment through sales-type leases, were as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Gross financing receivables	\$1,461	\$1,610
Less unearned income	(874)	(984)
Financing receivables, net	587	626
Less: Current portion of finance receivables, net	(164)	(171)
Finance receivables due after one year	\$423	\$455

Equipment finance receivables are expected to be collected over the next thirty-six to sixty months.

6. Intangible Assets

The net carrying amount of intangible assets is as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Customer relationships	\$941	\$941
Technical know-how	60	60
Non-compete	60	60
Developed technology	198	198
Less accumulated amortization		
Customer relationships	(358)	(316)
Technical know-how	(60)	(60)
Non-compete	(60)	(60)
Developed technology	(171)	(147)
Total	\$610	\$676

7. Fair Value Measurements

We have financial instruments as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 for which the fair value is summarized below (in thousands):

	March 31, 2015		December 31, 2014	
	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
Assets:				
Trade receivables, net	\$519	\$519	\$607	\$607
Equipment financing receivables	587	587	626	626
Certificate of deposit	251	251	251	251
Liabilities:				
Acquisition related contingent consideration	\$110	\$110	\$211	\$211

Assets and liabilities for which fair value is recognized in the balance sheet on a recurring basis are summarized below as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

Description	March 31, 2015	Fair value measurement at reporting date		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Certificate of deposit	\$251	\$-	\$251	\$-
Liabilities:				
Acquisition related contingent consideration	110	-	-	110
Description	December 31, 2014	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Certificate of deposit	\$251	\$-	\$251	\$-
Liabilities:				
Acquisition related contingent consideration	211	-	-	211

The carrying amount of certificates of deposit approximates fair value, as determined by certificates of deposit with similar terms and conditions.

The recurring Level 3 measurement of our contingent consideration liability includes the following significant unobservable inputs at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively (in thousands):

Contingent consideration liability	Fair Value at March 31, 2015	Valuation technique	Unobservable inputs	Range
Revenue - based payments	\$110	Discounted cash flow	Discount Rate	12.5%
			Probability of milestone payment	90%
			Projected year of payments	2014 - 2015
Contingent consideration liability	Fair Value at December 31, 2014	Valuation technique	Unobservable inputs	Range
Revenue - based payments	\$211	Discounted cash flow	Discount Rate	12.5%
			Probability of milestone payment	90%
			Projected year of payments	2014 - 2015

Level 3 instruments are valued based on unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and reflect the Company's own assumptions in measuring fair value. Future changes in fair value of the contingent financial milestone consideration, as a result of changes in significant inputs such as the discount rate and estimated probabilities of financial milestone achievements, could have a material effect on the statement of operations and

balance sheet in the period of the change.

The progression of the Company's Level 3 instruments fair valued on a recurring basis for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014 are shown in the table below (in thousands):

	Acquisition Related Contingent Consideration
Balance at January 1, 2014	\$ 51
Change in fair value	3
Cash payments	(54)
Additions	211
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ 211
Cash payments	(61)
Issuance of common stock from contingent consideration	(40)
Balance at March 31, 2015	\$ 110

8. Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 was (0.9)% and (2.1)%, respectively, which resulted in an income tax provision of \$(10,000) and \$(34,000), respectively. The tax provision for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 were due to state tax payments made with extensions filed.

Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes and in determining whether deferred tax assets will be realized in full or in part. In assessing the recovery of the deferred tax assets, we considered whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of our deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income in the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. We considered the scheduled reversals of future deferred tax assets, projected future taxable income, the suspension of the sale of product and services through the seminar sales channel, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. As a result, we determined it was more likely than not that the deferred tax assets would not be realized; accordingly, we recorded a full valuation allowance. Subsequent to placing a full valuation allowance on our net deferred tax assets, adjustments impacting our tax rate have been and are expected to continue to be insignificant.

9. Prepaid Expenses and Other

Prepaid expenses consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Current prepaid rent	\$322	\$322
Prepaid commissions	403	308
Other	337	402
Total current prepaids	\$1,062	\$1,032
Long-term prepaid rent	295	376
Total prepaid and other	\$1,357	\$1,408

10. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Accrued wages and benefits	\$414	\$345
Accrued accounts payable	178	318
Accrued legal costs	-	231
Accrued sales taxes	194	209
Other	286	228
Total accrued expenses	\$1,072	\$1,331

11. Commitments and Contingencies

Operating Leases

We lease certain of our equipment and corporate offices under non-cancelable operating lease agreements expiring at various dates through 2016. The operating leases for our Reno, NV and Draper, UT offices contain customary escalation clauses.

Rental expense for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 was approximately \$30,000 and \$30,000, respectively.

Sale-Leaseback

On February 28, 2014, the Company sold and leased back the land, building and furniture associated with the corporate headquarters in Tempe, Arizona to a Company that is owned by the major shareholder and CEO of the Company for \$2.0 million in cash. The Company recognized a deferred gain of \$281,000 on sale-leaseback, which will be amortized over the initial lease term of 36 months to offset rent expense. The net deferred gain is included in other long-term liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

The lease agreement called for rent payments for the initial three-year term to be made in advance in the form of 300,000 shares of common stock of Crexendo, Inc. The fair value price per share at the time of the lease was \$3.22 per share, resulting in rent expense of \$322,000 per year for three years. Prepaid rent included in the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, is as follows:

Rent expense incurred on the sale-leaseback during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, net of deferred gain amortization of \$24,000 and \$8,000, respectively, was \$57,000 and \$19,000, respectively.

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Current prepaid rent	\$322,000	\$322,000
Long-term prepaid rent	295,000	376,000
Total prepaid rent, net	\$617,000	\$698,000

12. Segments

Management has chosen to organize the Company around differences based on its products and services. Hosted Telecommunications Services segment generates revenue from selling hosted telecommunication services and broadband internet services. Web Services segment generates revenue from website hosting, managing e-commerce or lead generation offerings, websites, search engine optimization/management and online promotional needs for small, medium, and enterprise sized businesses. The Company has two operating segments, which consist of Hosted Telecommunications Services and Web Services. Effective April 1, 2014, the Company changed its reporting segments to reflect changes in how the Chief Operating Decision Maker (CODM) internally measures performance and allocates resources. Segment operating results for the prior year have been revised to conform to current year segment operating results presentation. Segment revenue and income (loss) before income tax provision was as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
Revenue:		
Hosted Telecommunications Services	\$1,324	\$955
Web Services	528	1,117
Consolidated revenue	1,852	2,072
Loss from Operations:		
Hosted Telecommunications Services	(1,350)	(1,508)
Web Services	(25)	(167)
Total operating loss	(1,375)	(1,675)
Other Income, net:		

Hosted Telecommunications Services	21	20
Web Services	194	57
Total other income	215	77
Income/(Loss) before income tax provision		
Hosted Telecommunications Services	(1,329)	(1,488)
Web Services	169	(110)
Loss before income tax provision	\$(1,160)	\$(1,598)

Depreciation and amortization was \$65,000 and \$122,000 for the Hosted Telecommunications Services segment for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Depreciation and amortization was \$22,000 and \$104,000 for the Web Services segment for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Interest income was \$6,000 and \$48,000 for the Web Services segment for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Interest expense was \$10,000 and \$0 for the Web Services segment for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This section and other parts of this Form 10-Q contain forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements can be identified by words such as “anticipates,” “expects,” “believes,” “plans,” “predicts,” and similar terms. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and our Company's actual results may differ significantly from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in Part II, Item 1A, “Risk Factors,” which are incorporated herein by reference. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 (the “2014 Form 10-K”) filed with the SEC and the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in the 2014 Form 10-Qs and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q. We assume no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason, except as required by law.

OVERVIEW

We are a hosted services company that provides website hosting, hosted telecommunications services, e-commerce software, website development software, and broadband internet services for businesses and entrepreneurs. Our services are designed to make enterprise-class hosting services available to small, medium-sized and enterprise-sized businesses at affordable monthly rates. The Company has two operating segments, which consist of Hosted Telecommunications Services and Web Services.

Hosted Telecommunications Services segment - Our hosted telecommunications services transmit calls using IP or cloud technology, which converts voice signals into digital data packets for transmission over the Internet or cloud. Each of our calling plans provides a number of basic features typically offered by traditional telephone service providers, plus a wide range of enhanced features that we believe offer an attractive value proposition to our customers. This platform enables a user, via a single “identity” or telephone number, to access and utilize services and features regardless of how the user is connected to the Internet or cloud, whether it's from a desktop device or a mobile device.

We generate subscription fees from our hosted telecommunications and broadband Internet services. Our hosted telecommunications contracts typically have a thirty-six to sixty month term. We generate product revenue and equipment financing revenue from the sale and lease of our hosted telecommunications equipment. Revenues from the sale of equipment, including those from sales-type leases, are recognized at the time of sale or at the inception of the lease, as appropriate.

Web Services segment - We generate website hosting revenue and professional services revenue primarily from search engine optimization services, link building, paid search management services, conversion rate optimization services, and website design and development. These services are typically billed on a fixed price basis or on a monthly recurring basis with an initial term of six to twelve months. During the quarter ended September 30, 2013, the Company made a strategic decision to limit our provision of web services to our enterprise sized customers.

OUR SERVICES AND PRODUCTS

Our goal is to provide a broad range of Cloud-based products and services that nearly eliminate the cost of a businesses' technology infrastructure and enable businesses of any size to more efficiently run their business. By providing a variety of comprehensive and scalable solutions, we are able to provide these solutions on a monthly basis to businesses and entrepreneurs without the need for expensive capital investments, regardless of where their business is in its lifecycle. Our products and services can be categorized in the following offerings:

Hosted Telecommunications Services - Our hosted telecommunications service offering includes hardware and software and unified communication solutions for businesses using IP or cloud technology over any high-speed internet connection. These services are rendered through a variety of devices and user interfaces such as a Crexendo branded desktop phones, mobile and desktop applications. Some examples of mobile devices are Android cell phones, iPhones, iPads or Android tablets. These services enable our customers to seamlessly communicate with others through phone calls that originate/terminate on our network or PSTN networks. Our hosted telecommunications services are powered by our proprietary implementation of standard Internet, Web and IP or cloud technologies. Our services also use our complex infrastructure that we build and manage based on industry standard best practices to achieve greater efficiencies and customer satisfaction. Our infrastructure comprises of computing, storage, network technologies, 3rd party products and vendor relationships. We also develop end user portals for account management, license management, billing and customer support and adopt other cloud technologies through our partnerships.

Crexendo's hosted telecommunication service offers a wide variety of essential and advanced features for small and medium-size businesses. Many of these features included in the service offering are:

Business Productivity Features such as dial-by extension and name, transfer, conference, call recording,
Unlimited calling to anywhere in the US and Canada, International calling, Toll free (Inbound and Outbound)
Individual Productivity Features such as Caller ID, Call Waiting, Last Call Return, Call Recording,
Music-On-Hold, Voicemail, Unified Messaging, Hot-Desking
Group Productivity Features such as Call Park, Call Pickup, Interactive Voice Response (IVR), Individual and
Universal Paging, Corporate Directory, Multi-Party Conferencing, Group Mailboxes
Call Center Features such as Automated Call Distribution (ACD), Call Monitor, Whisper and Barge,
Automatic Call Recording
Advanced Unified Communication Features such as Find-Me-Follow-Me, Sequential Ring and Simultaneous
Ring
Mobile Features such extension dialing, transfer and conference and seamless hand-off from Wifi to/from 3G
and 4G, as well as other data services. These features are also available on CrexMo, an intelligent mobile
application for iPhones and Android smartphones, as well as iPads and Android tablets
Traditional PBX Features such as Busy Lamp Fields, System Hold. 16-48 Port density Analog Devices
Expanded Desktop Device Selection such as Entry Level Phone, Executive Desktop, DECT Phone for roaming
users
Advanced Faxing solution such as Cloud Fax (cFax) allowing customers to send and receive Faxes from their
Email Clients, Mobile Phones and Desktops without having to use a Fax Machine simply by attaching a file
Web based online portal to administer, manage and provision the system.

Many of these services are included in our basic offering to our customers for a monthly recurring fee and do not require a capital expense. Some of the advanced features such as Automatic Call Recording and Call Center Features require additional monthly fees. Crexendo continues to invest and develop its technology and SaaS offerings to make them more competitive and profitable.

Hosted Website Services - Our website hosting services allows businesses and entrepreneurs to host their websites in our data center for a monthly fee.

Search Engine Optimization (SEO) - There are two general aspects to Search Engine Optimization (“SEO”). First, the tactical level, that includes conditioning a website and/or its pages to be relevant and search-engine friendly. Second, we help businesses strategically select keywords and keyword phrases. The popularity of a site plays a role in what keyword phrases a business can compete on versus what keyword phrases might be “out of their league”. We focus on the strategic selection of keywords and prioritize keywords that have healthy search volumes and high ‘win’ capability. Our experience coupled with our software allow us to strategically select the best choices for keyword phrases to target which provide the highest probability of getting high search engine positions and draws maximum traffic to the website. Our SEO packages include a keyword interview, strategic keyword research, baseline ranking report, search engine optimization plan, and comparison ranking report.

Link Building - Link building is a critical component of off-page SEO. To be effective, a link building campaign must be done manually. Search engines can detect links obtained via automated submission. Also, links need to come from many different types of sites, not just one or two. Link building is closely related to search engine optimization, as such; we carefully synchronize all our link building efforts and anchor text with our search engine optimization efforts.

An effective link building effort is labor intensive, with no real shortcuts. We use a broad based approach for link building that follows search engine webmaster guidelines. We use strategies that include, but aren’t limited to: Web 2.0 sites, social media and social bookmarking sites, vertical portals, local directories, live directories, and others.

Paid Search Management - We offer paid search management services, such as management of Google® AdWords™, Yahoo and Microsoft Advertising adCenter™ accounts for our customers.

Modern paid search networks are incredibly sophisticated and require a tremendous amount of experience and expertise to avoid the many potential pitfalls of paid search. We assist customers by taking a conservative approach to paid search management. By using a combination of proprietary automation tools, split test dedicated landing pages, as well as the practiced eye of an expert monitoring our customer accounts on a daily basis, we are able to consistently raise conversion rates and lower the cost of pay-per-click (PPC) acquisition.

Website Design and Development - Using our proprietary software and processes we design and develop websites with “conversion” in mind. The term conversion means different things to different websites. To a lead-generation website, it means getting prospects to submit their contact information so the sales team can contact them. For an e-commerce website, conversion means getting an online customer to complete an order.

Our website design packages range from a semi-custom template based design package to a completely custom design package. We incorporate analytics into every website we build. Proper analytics allow identification of weak spots in the conversion process. Once weak spots are identified, the site can be adjusted to smooth out the process and help turn more prospects into customers.

Once the site is complete, we provide tutorials and tools to allow customers to make changes to their sites as often as necessary without having to pay additional programming fees. Alternatively, customers can elect to have us manage the changes to their websites for an additional fee.

USE OF NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

To evaluate our business, we consider and use non-generally accepted accounting principles (Non-GAAP) net income (loss) and Adjusted EBITDA as a supplemental measure of operating performance. These measures include the same adjustments that management takes into account when it reviews and assesses operating performance on a period-to-period basis. We consider Non-GAAP net income (loss) to be an important indicator of overall business performance because it allows us to evaluate results without the effects of share-based compensation, rent expense paid with common stock, and amortization of intangibles. We define EBITDA as U.S. GAAP net income (loss) before interest income, interest expense, other income and expense, provision for income taxes, and depreciation and amortization. We believe EBITDA provides a useful metric to investors to compare us with other companies within our industry and across industries. We define Adjusted EBITDA as EBITDA adjusted for share-based compensation, and rent expense paid with stock. We use Adjusted EBITDA as a supplemental measure to review and assess operating performance. We also believe use of Adjusted EBITDA facilitates investors' use of operating performance comparisons from period to period, as well as across companies.

In our May 5, 2015 earnings press release, as furnished on Form 8-K, we included Non-GAAP net loss, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA. The terms Non-GAAP net loss, EBITDA, and Adjusted EBITDA are not defined under U.S. GAAP, and are not measures of operating income, operating performance or liquidity presented in analytical tools, and when assessing our operating performance, Non-GAAP net loss, EBITDA, and Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for net loss or other consolidated income statement data prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Some of these limitations include, but are not limited to:

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect our cash expenditures or future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;

they do not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

they do not reflect the interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debt that we may incur;

they do not reflect income taxes or the cash requirements for any tax payments;

although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will be replaced sometime in the future, and EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements;

while share-based compensation is a component of operating expense, the impact on our financial statements compared to other companies can vary significantly due to such factors as the assumed life of the options and the assumed volatility of our common stock; and

other companies may calculate EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA differently than we do, limiting their usefulness as comparative measures.

We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our U.S. GAAP results and using Non-GAAP net income (loss), EBITDA, and Adjusted EBITDA only as supplemental support for management's analysis of business performance. Non-GAAP net income (loss), EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are calculated as follows for the periods presented.

RECONCILIATION OF NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

In accordance with the requirements of Regulation G issued by the SEC, we are presenting the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP financial measures and reconciling the unaudited Non-GAAP financial metrics to the comparable U.S. GAAP measures.

Reconciliation of U.S. GAAP Net Loss to Non-GAAP Net Loss
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
(In thousands)		
U.S. GAAP net loss	\$(1,170)	\$(1,632)
Share-based compensation	371	164
Amortization of rent expense paid in stock, net of deferred gain	57	19
Amortization of intangible assets	66	49
Non-GAAP net loss	\$(676)	\$(1,400)

Reconciliation of U.S. GAAP Net Loss to EBITDA to Adjusted EBITDA
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
(In thousands)		
U.S. GAAP net loss	\$(1,170)	\$(1,632)
Depreciation and amortization	87	226
Interest expense	10	-
Interest and other income	(225)	(77)
Income tax provision	10	34
EBITDA	\$(1,288)	\$(1,449)
Share-based compensation	371	164
Amortization of rent expense paid in stock, net of deferred gain	57	19
Adjusted EBITDA	\$(860)	\$(1,266)

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

In preparing our financial statements, we make estimates, assumptions and judgments that can have a significant impact on our revenue, operating income or loss and net income or loss, as well as on the value of certain assets and liabilities on our balance sheet. We believe that the estimates, assumptions and judgments involved in our accounting policies described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 have the greatest potential impact on our financial statements, so we consider them to be our critical accounting policies and estimates. Our senior management has reviewed the development and selection of our critical accounting policies and estimates and their disclosure in this Form 10-Q with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and other financial information included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

Results of Consolidated Operations (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
Revenue	\$1,852	\$2,072
Loss before income taxes	(1,160)	(1,598)
Income tax (provision) benefit	(10)	(34)
Net loss	(1,170)	(1,632)
Basic net loss per share	\$(0.09)	\$(0.15)
Diluted net loss per share	\$(0.09)	\$(0.15)

Three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to three months ended March 31, 2014

Revenue

Total revenue decreased 11% or \$220,000, to \$1,852,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$2,072,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Hosted Telecommunications Services segment revenue increased 39% or \$369,000, to \$1,324,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$955,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Web Services segment revenue decreased 53% or \$589,000, to \$528,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$1,117,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decline in Web Services segment revenue is related to \$254,000 decrease in EPTA revenue, \$177,000 decrease in web management service revenue due to shift in focus, and \$120,000 decrease in website hosting revenue.

Loss Before Income Taxes

Loss before income tax decreased 27% or \$438,000, to \$1,160,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to loss before income tax of \$1,598,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in loss before income tax is primarily due to the decrease in operating expenses of 14% or \$520,000, to \$3,227,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$3,747,000 for the three months ending March 31, 2014.

Income Tax Provision

Our effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 was (0.09)% and (2.1)%, respectively, which resulted in a provision for income taxes of \$(10,000) and \$(34,000), respectively. The provision for income taxes relates to minimum state tax requirements.

Segment Operating Results

The Company has two operating segments, which consist of Hosted Telecommunications Services and Web Services. Effective April 1, 2014, the Company changed its reporting segments to reflect changes in how the Chief Operating Decision Maker (CODM) internally measures performance and allocates resources. Segment operating results for the prior periods have been modified to conform to current year segment operating results presentations. The information below is organized in accordance with our two reportable segments. Segment operating income (loss) is equal to segment net revenue less segment cost of revenue, sales and marketing, research and development, and general and administrative expenses.

Operating Results of our Hosted Telecommunications Services Segment (in thousands)

Hosted Telecommunications Services	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
Revenue	\$1,324	\$955
Operating expenses:		
Cost of revenue	744	663
Research and development	186	245
Selling and marketing	600	618
General and administrative	1,144	937
Total operating expenses	2,674	2,463
Operating loss	(1,350)	(1,508)
Other income	21	20
Loss before tax provision	\$(1,329)	\$(1,488)

Three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to three months ended March 31, 2014

Revenue

Hosted Telecommunications Services segment revenue increased 39% or \$369,000, to \$1,324,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$955,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Equipment sales, including sales of equipment under sales-type leases, increased 15% or \$37,000, to \$284,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$247,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Interest revenue associated with the sales-type leases increased 20% or \$19,000, to \$116,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$97,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Revenue from recurring and one-time services increased 51% or \$313,000 to \$924,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$611,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. A substantial portion of Hosted Telecommunications Services segment revenue is generated through thirty-six to sixty month service contracts. As such, we believe growth in Hosted Telecommunications Services segment will initially be seen through increases in our backlog. Backlog represents contracts signed with no service or payment provided at March 31, 2015 and 2014.

Below is a table which displays the Hosted Telecommunications Services segment revenue backlog as of January 1, 2015 and 2014, and March 31, 2015 and 2014, which we expect to recognize as revenue within the next thirty-six to sixty months (in thousands):

Hosted Telecommunications Services backlog as of January 1, 2015	\$9,763
Hosted Telecommunications Services backlog as of March 31, 2015	\$10,987
Hosted Telecommunications Services backlog as of January 1, 2014	\$7,019
Hosted Telecommunications Services backlog as of March 31, 2014	\$7,152

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue consists primarily of fees we pay to third-party telecommunications and business internet providers, personnel costs related to system implementation, customer service, travel costs related to system implementation, and the costs associated with the purchase of phones and other third party equipment. Cost of revenue increased 12% or \$81,000, to \$744,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$663,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in cost of revenue was primarily due to an increase in bandwidth costs of \$68,000 and

an increase in salary and benefit expenses of \$13,000 related to our customer service department.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses primarily consist of salaries and benefits, and related expenses, related to the development of new hosted telecommunications products. Research and development expenses decreased 24% or \$59,000, to \$186,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$245,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease was primarily due to changes in staffing which resulted in lower salaries and benefits for the engineering department.

Selling and Marketing

Selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of direct sales representative salaries and benefits, dealer channel commissions, and the production of marketing materials. Selling and marketing expenses decreased 3% or \$18,000, to \$600,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$618,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in salaries and benefits of \$200,000, which was offset by an increase in commissions of \$114,000, an increase in business development costs of \$45,000 and other sales and marketing costs of \$23,000.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses consist of salaries and benefits, and related expenses for executives, administrative personnel, legal, rent, accounting and other professional services, and other general corporate expenses. General and administrative expenses increased 22% or \$207,000, to \$1,144,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$937,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. General and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2015 were higher than the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to an increased allocation of corporate expenses being allocated at a higher rate resulting from increased revenue in the Hosted Telecommunications Services segment along with an increase in rent expense of \$38,000 related to the sale-leaseback of the building on February 28, 2014. As Web Services revenue decreased significantly for three months ended March 31, 2015, we allocated less of the general and administrative expenses to the Web Services segment and more expenses to the Hosted Telecommunications Services segment. Consolidated general and administrative expenses decreased 11%, or \$190,000 to \$1,560,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$1,750,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Other Income

Other income primarily relates to the allocated portion of sublease rental income. Other income increased \$1,000, to \$21,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$20,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. This increase is primarily due to the decrease in Web Services revenue and the increase in Hosted Telecommunications Services revenue, which led to a higher allocation for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Operating Results of Web Services segment (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
Web Services		
Revenue	\$528	\$1,117
Operating expenses:		
Cost of revenue	117	267
Research and development	17	169
Selling and marketing	3	35
General and administrative	416	813
Total operating expenses	553	1,284
Operating loss	(25)	(167)
Other income	194	57
Income/(loss) before tax provision	\$169	\$(110)

Three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to three months ended March 31, 2014

Revenue

Web Services segment revenue decreased 53% or \$589,000, to \$528,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$1,117,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in revenue from the prior year is primarily related to the decrease in cash collected on EPTAs of \$292,000 and our shift in focus to only providing web services to enterprise-sized customers, resulting in a decrease of \$177,000, and a decrease in hosting revenue of \$120,000. Revenue from Web Services is generated primarily through website hosting and Search Engine Optimization (“SEO”) services, link building, search engine management services, conversion rate optimization services, and website design and development services. A portion of Web Services revenue is generated through three to twelve month service contracts. Our typical EPTA agreement has a term of two to three years. As such, while we no longer offer EPTAs to our customers as a result of the suspension of our direct mail seminar sales, we will continue to recognize revenue from those EPTA contracts executed prior to July 2011 as cash is collected from those contracts.

Below is a table which displays the Web Services revenue backlog as of January 1, 2015 and 2014, and March 31, 2015 and 2014, which is expected to be recognized as revenue within the next twelve months (in thousands):

Web Services backlog as of January 1, 2015	\$28
Web Services backlog as of March 31, 2015	\$31
Web Services backlog as of January 1, 2014	\$553
Web Services backlog as of March 31, 2014	\$208

Revenue related to cash collected under EPTA agreements decreased 74% or \$237,000 to \$83,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to \$320,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Our typical EPTA agreement has a term of two to three years. As such, while we no longer offer EPTAs to our customers as a result of the suspension of our direct mail seminar sales, we will continue to recognize revenue from those EPTA contracts executed prior to July 2011 as cash is collected from those contracts. EPTAs were originally recognized in our balance sheet, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts, through our deferred revenue balance. The remaining deferred revenue balance is expected to be recognized as revenue, however, at a decreasing rate over the next twelve months. The following table summarizes the activity within deferred revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

EPTA deferred revenue as of January 1, 2015	\$186
Cash collected on Principal of EPTA Contracts	(83)
Adjustments of EPTA deferred revenue	62
EPTA deferred revenue as of March 31, 2015	\$165
EPTA deferred revenue as of January 1, 2014	\$545
Cash collected on Principal of EPTA Contracts	(320)
Adjustments of EPTA deferred revenue	149
EPTA deferred revenue as of March 31, 2014	\$374

Revenue related to cash collected on previously written off bad debt decreased 38% or \$41,000, to \$68,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$109,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue consists primarily of salaries and outsourcing fees related to fulfillment of our web services and customer service costs. Cost of revenue decreased 56% or \$150,000, to \$117,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$267,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease is primarily related to a decrease in pay per click advertising costs of \$48,000, a reduction in salaries and benefits associated with the fulfillment of website management services of \$25,000, a reduction in customer service costs for website hosting of \$37,000, and a decrease in other cost of revenue of \$40,000.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses primarily consist of salaries and benefits, and related expenses which are attributable to the development of our website development software products. Research and development expenses decreased 90% or \$152,000, to \$17,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$169,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease was due to decreased salaries and benefits related to the discontinued development of our website development software.

Selling and Marketing

Selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries and benefits, commissions as well as advertising expenses. Selling and marketing expense decreased 91% or \$32,000, to \$3,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$35,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease was primarily attributable to our shift in focus to only providing web services to enterprise-sized customers, thus there was no allocation of salaries and expenses during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and a reduction in web commissions during the three months ended March 31, 2015 to a minimal amount.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses consist of salaries and benefits, and related expenses for executives, administrative personnel, legal, rent, accounting and other professional services, and other general corporate expenses. General and administrative expenses decreased 49% or \$397,000, to \$416,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$813,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in general and administrative expenses is primarily due to less of an allocation of corporate general and administrative expenses resulting from the 53% decrease in revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, and a company-wide reduction in general and administrative expenses as we continue to cut unnecessary expenses. Consolidated general and administrative expenses decreased 11%, or \$190,000 to \$1,560,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$1,750,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Other Income

Other income increased 240% or \$137,000, to \$194,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to \$57,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. This increase is primarily related to the reversal of certain legal accruals totaling \$193,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2015 that were determined to no longer have a reasonable possibility of being paid out.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company has transformed into a start-up company with the inherent risks and uncertainties of funding operations until profitability is achieved. We currently plan to fund our operations during the next twelve months using our cash and cash equivalents of \$2,085,000. However, after considering the Company's historical negative cash flow from operating activities as well as internal forecasts, such amount does not appear adequate to fund our anticipated cash needs for the next twelve months. Accordingly, the Company will be required to obtain additional debt or equity financing such as that available from its CEO to sustain operations. The Company has the ability to call outstanding warrants to provide additional liquidity for approximately \$690,000, if needed. In addition, the Company received a commitment from the CEO, and major shareholder, in May 2015 that, if needed, he would provide up to \$1,000,000 of financial support to enable the Company to fund its operations through May 31, 2016. As such, the Company believes it will have sufficient funds to sustain its operations during the next twelve months as a result of the sources of funding detailed above.

Working Capital

Working capital decreased 30% or \$654,000, to \$1,500,000 as of March 31, 2015 as compared to \$2,154,000 for the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in working capital was primarily related to the decrease in cash and cash equivalents of \$821,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents decreased 28% or \$821,000, to \$2,085,000 at March 31, 2015 as compared to \$2,906,000 as of December 31, 2014. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we used \$756,000 in cash for operating activities, \$4,000 in cash for investing activities, and \$61,000 for financing activities.

Trade Receivables

Current and long-term trade receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, decreased 14% or \$88,000, to \$519,000 at March 31, 2015 as compared to \$607,000 at December 31, 2014. Long-term trade receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, decreased 8% or \$5,000, to \$59,000 at March 31, 2015 as compared to \$64,000 at December 31, 2014. Historically, we offered our customers a contract with payment terms between 24 and 36 months, as one of several payment options. The payments that become due more than 12 months after the end of the fiscal period are classified as long-term trade receivables. The decrease in our accounts receivable balance is primarily related to a decrease in our Hosted Telecommunications Services trade receivables of \$74,000 and cash collections of EPTA agreements during the three months ended March 31, 2015 of \$15,000.

Accounts Payable

Accounts payable increased 266% or \$125,000, to \$172,000 at March 31, 2015 as compared to \$47,000 at December 31, 2014. Our accounts payable as of March 31, 2015 were generally within our vendors' terms of payment. The increase is primarily related to timely processing of invoices which resulted in a decrease in our accrued liability for accounts payable of \$140,000.

Capital

Total stockholders' equity decreased 17% or \$759,000, to \$3,785,000 at March 31, 2015 as compared to \$4,544,000 at December 31, 2014. The significant changes in stockholders' equity during the three months ended March 31, 2015 included an increase of additional paid-in capital of \$371,000 for options granted and issuance of common stock in connection with a business acquisition of \$40,000, offset by a net loss of \$1,170,000 for the three month period ending March 31, 2015.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of March 31, 2015, we are not involved in any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of SEC Regulation S-K.

Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-09 that introduces a new five-step revenue recognition model in which an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. This ASU also requires disclosures sufficient to enable users to understand the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers, including qualitative and quantitative disclosures about contracts with customers, significant judgments and changes in judgments, and assets recognized from the costs to obtain or fulfill a contract. This standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. The Company is currently evaluating the new guidance to determine the impact it will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period. This ASU requires that a performance target that affects vesting and could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. A reporting entity should apply existing guidance in ASC 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation, as it relates to such awards. ASU 2014-12 is effective for us in our first quarter of fiscal 2017 with early adoption permitted using either of two methods: (i) prospective to all awards granted or modified after the effective date; or (ii) retrospective to all awards with performance targets that are outstanding as of the beginning of the earliest annual period presented in the financial statements and to all new or modified awards thereafter, with the cumulative effect of applying ASU 2014-12 as an adjustment to the opening retained earnings balance as of the beginning of the earliest annual period presented in the financial statements. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this pronouncement to its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15. This ASU requires management to assess an entity's ability to continue as a going concern by incorporating and expanding upon certain principles that are currently in U.S. auditing standards. Specifically, the ASU (1) provides a definition of the term substantial doubt, (2) requires an evaluation every reporting period including interim periods, (3) provides principles for considering the mitigating effect of

management's plans, (4) requires certain disclosures when substantial doubt is alleviated as a result of consideration of management's plans, (5) requires an express statement and other disclosures when substantial doubt is not alleviated, and (6) requires an assessment for a period of one year after the date that the financial statements are issued (or available to be issued). This standard is effective for the fiscal years ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. Early application is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the new guidance to determine the impact it will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Forward-Looking Statements and Factors That May Affect Future Results and Financial Condition

With the exception of historical facts, the statements contained in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, which reflect our current expectations and beliefs regarding our future results of operations, performance and achievements. These statements are subject to risks and uncertainties and are based upon assumptions and beliefs that may or may not materialize. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning:

- our belief that our target market will increasingly look to Internet solutions providers who leverage industry and customer practices, increase predictability of success of their Internet initiatives and decrease implementation risks by providing low-cost, scalable solutions with minimal lead time;

our belief that we can compete successfully by relying on our infrastructure and marketing strategies as well as techniques, systems and procedures, and by adding additional products and services in the future;

our belief that we can continue our success by periodic review and revision of our methods of doing business and by continuing our expansion into domestic and international markets;

our belief that a key component of our success comes from a number of new, recently developed proprietary technologies and that these technologies and advances distinguish our services and products from our competitors and further help to substantially reduce our operating costs and expenses;

our contention that we do not offer our customers a “business opportunity” or a “franchise” as those terms are defined in applicable statutes of the states in which we operate;

our belief that there is a large, fragmented and under-served population of small businesses and entrepreneurs searching for professional services firms that offer business-to-consumer e-commerce solutions coupled with support and continuing education;

our expectation that our offering of products and services will evolve as some products are replaced by new and enhanced products intended to help our customers achieve success with their Internet-related businesses; and

our expectation that the costs and expenses we incur will be insignificant as deferred revenue amounts are recognized as product and other revenues when cash is collected.

We caution readers that our operating results are subject to various risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results and outcomes to differ materially from those discussed or anticipated, including changes in economic conditions and internet technologies, interest rate fluctuations, and the factors set forth in the section entitled, “Risk Factors,” under Part I, Item 1A of the 2014 Form 10-K. We also advise readers not to place any undue reliance on the forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-Q, which reflect our beliefs and expectations only as of the date of this Report. We assume no obligation to update or revise these forward-looking statements to reflect new events or circumstances or any changes in our beliefs or expectations, other than as required by law.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Not required

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”)) as of the end of the period covered by this Report, have concluded that, based on the evaluation of these controls and procedures, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the three months ended March 31, 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are involved in lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. There are no matters pending or threatened that we expect to have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There are many risk factors that may affect our business and the results of our operations, many of which are beyond our control. Information on certain risks that we believe are material to our business is set forth in “Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors” of the 2014 Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
<u>31.1</u>	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
<u>31.2</u>	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
<u>32.1</u>	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
<u>32.2</u>	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
101.INS*	XBRL INSTANCE DOCUMENT
101.SCH*	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION SCHEMA DOCUMENT
101.CAL*	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT
101.DEF*	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT
101.LAB*	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION LABEL LINKBASE DOCUMENT
101.PRE*	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

* In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) documents are furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Crexendo, Inc.

May 5, 2015

By: /s/ Steven G. Mihaylo
Steven G. Mihaylo
Chief Executive Officer

May 5, 2015

By: /s/ Ronald Vincent
Ronald Vincent
Chief Financial Officer

31
