

MEDICAL PROPERTIES TRUST INC

Form 10-Q

August 08, 2008

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-32559

MEDICAL PROPERTIES TRUST, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

MARYLAND

**(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)**

20-0191742

**(I. R. S. Employer
Identification No.)**

**1000 URBAN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 501
BIRMINGHAM, AL**

(Address of principal executive offices)

35242

(Zip Code)

REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE: (205) 969-3755

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

As of August 8, 2008, the registrant had 66,338,724 shares of common stock, par value \$.001, outstanding.

**MEDICAL PROPERTIES TRUST, INC.
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2008
Table of Contents**

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1 Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 10 |
| <u>Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 18 |
| <u>Item 4 Controls and Procedures</u> | 19 |
| <u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1 Legal Proceedings</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u> | 20 |
| <u>Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 21 |
| <u>Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 22 |
| <u>Item 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 22 |
| <u>Item 5 Other Information</u> | 22 |
| <u>Item 6 Exhibits</u> | 22 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 24 |
| <u>INDEX TO EXHIBITS</u> | 25 |
| <u>EX-10.1 SECOND AMENDMENT TO REVOLVING CREDIT AND TERM LOAN AGREEMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.2 SECOND AMENDMENT TO PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.3 THIRD AMENDMENT TO PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.4 FOURTH AMENDMENT TO PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.5 FIFTH AMENDMENT TO PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.6 SIXTH AMENDMENT TO PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.1 SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION OF THE CEO</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.2 SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION OF THE CFO</u> | |
| <u>EX-32 SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION OF THE CEO AND CFO</u> | |
| <u>EX-99.1 CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF PRIME HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC. AS OF MARCH 31, 2008</u> | |

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements.****MEDICAL PROPERTIES TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | June 30, 2008 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2007 (Note 2) |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Real estate assets | | |
| Land, buildings and improvements, and intangible lease assets | \$ 910,694,696 | \$ 568,552,263 |
| Mortgage loans | 185,000,000 | 185,000,000 |
| Real estate held for sale | | 81,411,361 |
| Gross investment in real estate assets | 1,095,694,696 | 834,963,624 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (23,614,558) | (14,772,109) |
| Net investment in real estate assets | 1,072,080,138 | 820,191,515 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 3,676,748 | 94,215,134 |
| Interest and rent receivable | 12,226,256 | 10,234,436 |
| Straight-line rent receivable | 19,467,852 | 14,855,564 |
| Other loans | 142,397,757 | 80,758,273 |
| Assets of discontinued operations | 2,005,804 | 13,227,885 |
| Other assets | 13,933,430 | 18,177,879 |
| Total Assets | \$ 1,265,787,985 | \$ 1,051,660,686 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Debt | \$ 567,397,087 | \$ 480,525,166 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 27,760,233 | 21,091,374 |
| Deferred revenue | 18,399,668 | 20,839,338 |
| Lease deposits and other obligations to tenants | 11,540,347 | 16,006,813 |
| Total liabilities | 625,097,335 | 538,462,691 |
| Minority interests | 3,405,162 | 77,552 |
| Stockholders equity | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value. Authorized 10,000,000 shares; no shares outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value. Authorized 100,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 64,952,407 shares at June 30, 2008, and 52,133,207 shares at December 31, 2007 | 64,952 | 52,133 |
| Additional paid in capital | 672,210,390 | 540,501,058 |
| Distributions in excess of net income | (34,727,511) | (27,170,405) |
| Treasury shares, at cost | (262,343) | (262,343) |
| Total stockholders equity | 637,285,488 | 513,120,443 |

| | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | \$ 1,265,787,985 | \$ 1,051,660,686 |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

Table of Contents

MEDICAL PROPERTIES TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income
(Unaudited)

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Rent billed | \$ 21,273,619 | \$ 8,512,373 | \$ 36,317,026 | \$ 17,472,225 |
| Straight-line rent | 2,279,995 | 2,974,429 | 3,939,779 | 3,327,106 |
| Interest and fee income | 7,544,536 | 9,798,596 | 14,254,577 | 15,219,519 |
| Total revenues | 31,098,150 | 21,285,398 | 54,511,382 | 36,018,850 |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Real estate depreciation and amortization | 5,338,292 | 2,223,502 | 8,865,887 | 4,196,407 |
| General and administrative | 4,772,314 | 2,987,114 | 9,186,449 | 7,601,233 |
| Total operating expenses | 10,110,606 | 5,210,616 | 18,052,336 | 11,797,640 |
| Operating income | 20,987,544 | 16,074,782 | 36,459,046 | 24,221,210 |
| Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest income | 14,810 | 99,506 | 117,488 | 277,721 |
| Interest expense | (12,403,689) | (5,381,638) | (19,523,555) | (10,394,872) |
| Net other expense | (12,388,879) | (5,282,132) | (19,406,067) | (10,117,151) |
| Income from continuing operations | | | | |
| | 8,598,665 | 10,792,650 | 17,052,979 | 14,104,059 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 5,241,995 | 718,932 | 8,021,463 | 7,611,475 |
| Net income | \$ 13,840,660 | \$ 11,511,582 | \$ 25,074,442 | \$ 21,715,534 |
| Net income per common share basic | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.22 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.31 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.16 |
| Net income | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.47 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic | 64,991,168 | 49,040,141 | 59,013,695 | 45,948,878 |
| Net income per share diluted | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.22 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.31 |
| | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.16 |

Edgar Filing: MEDICAL PROPERTIES TRUST INC - Form 10-Q

Income from discontinued
operations

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------------|----|------------|----|------------|----|------------|
| Net income | \$ | 0.21 | \$ | 0.23 | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.47 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding diluted | | 65,173,660 | | 49,293,328 | | 59,164,138 | | 46,155,705 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

Table of Contents

MEDICAL PROPERTIES TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 25,074,442 | \$ 21,715,534 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 9,620,854 | 5,576,423 |
| Straight-line rent revenue | (5,378,976) | (3,989,652) |
| Share-based compensation | 3,668,592 | 1,586,653 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | (9,327,935) | (4,061,626) |
| Straight-line rent write off | 9,548,559 | |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 3,144,033 | (13,082,545) |
| Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs and debt discount | 4,452,579 | 556,212 |
| Other adjustments | 2,977,839 | (671,457) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 43,779,987 | 7,629,542 |
| Investing activities | | |
| Real estate acquired | (345,180,638) | (28,401,269) |
| Principal received on loans receivable | 8,927,199 | 48,629,745 |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate | 89,981,709 | 68,203,802 |
| Investment in loans receivable | (67,180,769) | (123,283,327) |
| Construction in progress and other | (74,369) | (10,447,925) |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (313,526,868) | (45,298,974) |
| Financing activities | | |
| Additions to debt | 348,147,874 | 123,200,000 |
| Payments of debt | (262,369,757) | (191,862,008) |
| Distributions paid | (29,081,994) | (25,509,266) |
| Sale of common stock | 128,028,831 | 135,809,396 |
| Other financing activities | (5,516,459) | 517,349 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 179,208,495 | 42,155,471 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents for period | (90,538,386) | 4,486,039 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 94,215,134 | 4,102,873 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 3,676,748 | \$ 8,588,912 |
| Interest paid, including capitalized interest of \$0 in 2008 and \$1,335,413 in 2007 | \$ 12,549,166 | \$ 9,494,105 |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing activities: | | |
| Construction in progress transferred to land and building | | 66,202,763 |
| Interest and other receivables recorded as deferred revenue | | 3,027,433 |
| Interest and other receivables transferred to loans receivable | 78,010 | 4,621,677 |
| Other non-cash investing activities | | 749,940 |

Edgar Filing: MEDICAL PROPERTIES TRUST INC - Form 10-Q

Supplemental schedule of non-cash financing activities:

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Distributions declared, unpaid | 17,937,645 | 13,343,278 |
| Other non-cash financing activities | 24,898 | 30,679 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MEDICAL PROPERTIES TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

1. Organization

Medical Properties Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the Company), was formed on August 27, 2003 under the General Corporation Law of Maryland for the purpose of engaging in the business of investing in and owning commercial real estate. The Company's operating partnership subsidiary, MPT Operating Partnership, L.P. (the Operating Partnership) through which it conducts all of its operations, was formed in September 2003. Through another wholly owned subsidiary, Medical Properties Trust, LLC, the Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. Presently, the Company directly owns substantially all of the limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership.

We have operated as a real estate investment trust (REIT) since April 6, 2004, and accordingly, elected REIT status upon the filing in September 2005 of our calendar year 2004 federal income tax return. Accordingly, the Company will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax, provided that it continues to qualify as a REIT and its distributions to its stockholders equal or exceed its taxable income. Certain activities the Company undertakes must be conducted by entities which elect to be treated as taxable REIT subsidiaries (TRSs). TRSs are subject to both federal and state income taxes.

The Company's primary business strategy is to acquire and develop real estate and improvements, primarily for long term lease to providers of healthcare services such as operators of general acute care hospitals, inpatient physical rehabilitation hospitals, long-term acute care hospitals, surgery centers, centers for treatment of specific conditions such as cardiac, pulmonary, cancer, and neurological hospitals, and other healthcare-oriented facilities. The Company manages its business as a single business segment as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Unaudited Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements: The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information, including rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2008. The condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2007 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements.

For further information about our significant accounting policies, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended December 31, 2007.

New Accounting Pronouncements: The following is a summary of recently issued accounting pronouncements which have been issued but not adopted by the Company.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position APB 14-1, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlements)* (FSP) that affects the accounting for our exchangeable notes. The FSP requires that the initial debt proceeds from the sale of our exchangeable notes be allocated between a liability component and an equity component. The resulting debt discount would be amortized over the period the debt is expected to be outstanding as additional interest expense. The FSP is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and requires retroactive application to all periods presented and does not grandfather existing instruments. The Company is currently evaluating the requirements of this FSP and has not yet determined its effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (SFAS 141R). SFAS 141R changes the accounting model for business combinations from a cost allocation standard to a standard that provides, with limited exceptions, for the recognition of all identifiable assets and liabilities of the business acquired at fair value, regardless of whether the acquirer acquires 100% or a lesser controlling interest of the business. SFAS 141R defines the acquisition date of a business acquisition as the date on which control is achieved (generally the closing date of the acquisition). SFAS 141R requires the recognition of assets and liabilities arising from contractual contingencies and non-contractual contingencies meeting a more-likely-than-not threshold at fair value at the acquisition date. SFAS 141R also provides for the recognition of acquisition costs as expenses when incurred and for certain expanded disclosures. SFAS 141R is effective for business acquisitions with acquisition dates on or after January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 141R will require the company to expense all transaction costs for business combinations completed after January 1, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51* (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for a parent company's noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. Under SFAS 160, non-controlling interests in consolidated subsidiaries (formerly known as minority interests) are reported in the consolidated statement of financial position as a separate component within stockholders' equity. Net earnings and comprehensive income attributable to the controlling and non-controlling interests are to be shown separately in the consolidated statements of earnings and comprehensive income. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and is to be applied prospectively, except that the presentation and disclosure requirements are to be applied retrospectively for all periods presented. Except for having to present minority interest in the Stockholders' Equity section of our Consolidated Balance Sheets, we do not believe this pronouncement will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why derivative instruments are used, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. We currently do not have any derivative instruments.

Reclassifications: Certain reclassifications have been made to the condensed consolidated financial statements to conform to the 2008 consolidated financial statement presentation. Properties sold or held for sale during the first six months of 2008 have been reclassified to discontinued operations in accordance with SFAS No. 144 (see Note 7 Discontinued Operations). These reclassifications have no impact on stockholders' equity or net income.

3. Real Estate and Lending Activities

In the second quarter of 2008, the Company completed the acquisition of 18 properties from a single seller, and completed the acquisition of the remaining two facilities in July 2008. These 20 facilities represent an investment of approximately \$357.2 million. In May 2008, the Company acquired a long-term acute care hospital at a cost of \$10.8 million from an unrelated party and entered into an operating lease with Vibra Healthcare (Vibra). The Company financed these acquisitions using proceeds from its March 2008 issuance of debt and equity (see Note 4 Debt and Note 5 Common Stock), from its existing revolving credit facilities and from the sale of three rehabilitation facilities to Vibra in May 2008 with proceeds (including lease termination fees and loan prepayment) totaling \$105.0 million (see Note 7 Discontinued Operations).

In June 2008, the Company entered into a \$60 million financing arrangement with affiliates of Prime related to three southern California hospital campuses operated by Prime. In July 2008, the Company subsequently purchased one of the facilities from the Prime affiliate for approximately \$15.0 million and entered into a 10 year lease with the Prime affiliate. The company expects to purchase the remaining two hospitals for an aggregate cost of approximately \$45 million and enter into leases with Prime on similar terms.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, revenue from Vibra accounted for 15.9% and 17.7% respectively, of total revenue. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, revenue from Vibra accounted for 15.6% and 18.4%, respectively, of total revenue. For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, revenue from affiliates of

Prime Healthcare Services, Inc. (Prime) accounted for 28.0% and 28.2%, respectively, of total revenue. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, revenue from affiliates of Prime accounted for 32.1% and 31.1%, respectively, of total revenue.

Table of Contents

In June 2008, the Company received notification from one of its tenants that the tenant was terminating operations at a single facility with two hospital campuses. The tenant subsequently entered bankruptcy proceedings and is no longer paying rent for the facility beginning in July 2008. The Company has begun an evaluation of options to sell or re-lease the facility. The Company believes that any proceeds from sale, lease and security for the property will be sufficient to recover the Company's investment in the facility.

4. Debt

The following is a summary of debt:

| | As of June 30, 2008 | | As of December 31, 2007 | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| | Balance | Interest Rate | Balance | Interest Rate |
| Revolving credit facilities | \$ 131,000,000 | 3.97% - 5.75% | \$ 154,985,897 | 7.800% |
| Senior unsecured notes - fixed rate through July and October, 2011, due July and October, 2016 | 125,000,000 | 7.333% - 7.871% | 125,000,000 | 7.333% - 7.871% |
| Exchangeable senior notes due November, 2011 | 135,069,718 | 6.125% | 134,704,269 | 6.125% |
| Exchangeable senior notes due April, 2013 | 80,822,369 | 9.25% | | |
| Term loans | 95,505,000 | 4.49% - 8.00% | 65,835,000 | 6.830% |
| | \$ 567,397,087 | | \$ 480,525,166 | |

As of June 30, 2008, maturities are as follows:

| | |
|------------|----------------|
| 2008 | \$ 1,260,000 |
| 2009 | 1,860,000 |
| 2010 | 117,860,000 |
| 2011 | 323,594,719 |
| 2012 | 42,000,000 |
| Thereafter | 80,822,368 |
| Total | \$ 567,397,087 |

In March 2008, the Company's Operating Partnership issued and sold, in a private offering, \$75.0 million of Exchangeable Senior Notes (the Exchangeable Notes) and received proceeds of \$72.8 million. In April 2008, the Operating Partnership sold an additional \$7.0 million of Exchangeable Notes (under the initial purchasers overallotment option) and received proceeds of \$6.8 million. The Exchangeable Notes will pay interest semi-annually at a rate of 9.25% per annum and mature on April 1, 2013. The notes have an initial exchange rate of 80.8898 shares of the Company's common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the notes, representing an exchange price of approximately \$12.36 per common share. The notes are senior unsecured obligations of the Operating Partnership, guaranteed by the Company.

In June 2008, the Company signed a term loan agreement for \$30.0 million. That facility has a maturity of November 2010, and the maximum amount of borrowings may be increased, subject to market conditions, to \$75.0 million. The loan has a floating interest rate of 400 basis points in excess of LIBOR. The new term loan enabled the Company to terminate, without utilizing, the short-term bridge facility that was committed by a syndicate of banks in March 2008 in order to facilitate the \$357.2 million acquisition from a single seller. As a result of terminating the short-term bridge facility, the Company recorded a charge of approximately \$3.2 million of financing costs in the second quarter.

5. Common Stock

In the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company completed the sale of 12,650,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$10.75 per share. After deducting underwriters' commissions and offering expenses, the Company realized proceeds of \$128.0 million.

6. Stock Awards

The Company has adopted the Second Amended and Restated Medical Properties Trust, Inc. 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (the Equity Incentive Plan) which authorizes the issuance of options to purchase shares of common stock, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, deferred stock units, stock appreciation rights, performance units and other stock-based awards, including profits interest in the

Table of Contents

Operating Partnership. The Equity Incentive Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. At June 30, 2008, the Company has reserved 4,631,330 shares of common stock for awards under the Equity Incentive Plan.

In the six month period ended June 30, 2008, the Company awarded 401,762 shares of restricted stock to management and independent directors. The awards to management vest based on service over five years in equal annual amounts beginning in February 2009. The awards to directors vest based on service over three years in equal annual amounts beginning in February 2009.

7. Discontinued Operations

In the second quarter of 2008, the Company sold the real estate assets of three inpatient rehabilitation facilities to Vibra for proceeds of approximately \$105.0 million, including \$7.0 million in early lease termination fees and \$8.0 million of a loan pre-payment. The sale was completed on May 7, 2008, with the Company realizing a gain on the sale of approximately \$9.3 million. The Company also wrote off approximately \$9.5 million in related straight-line rent receivable upon completion of the sales. The three Vibra properties were classified as held for sale and were reflected in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at \$81.4 million at December 31, 2007.

As previously disclosed, in 2006, the Company terminated leases for a hospital and medical office building (MOB) complex and repossessed the real estate. In January 2007, the Company sold the hospital and MOB complex and recorded a gain on the sale of real estate of approximately \$4.1 million. During the period between termination of the lease and sale of the real estate, the Company substantially funded through loans the working capital requirements of the hospital s operator pending the operator s collection of patient receivables from Medicare and other sources. In July 2008, the Company received from Medicare the substantial remainder of amounts that it expects to collect and based thereon has written off in the second quarter approximately \$2.1 million (net of approximately \$1.2 million in tax benefits) of remaining uncollectible receivables from the operator.

The following table presents certain results of discontinued operations:

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Revenues | \$ (871,061) | \$3,305,893 | \$2,705,110 | \$7,017,317 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 189,375 | 567,572 | 757,584 | 1,134,531 |
| Net profit | 5,241,995 | 718,932 | 8,021,463 | 7,611,475 |
| Earnings per share basic and diluted | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.16 |

8. Earnings Per Share

The following is a reconciliation of the weighted average shares used in net income per common share to the weighted average shares used in net income per common share assuming dilution:

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Weighted average number of shares issued and outstanding | 64,927,404 | 48,983,790 | 58,949,931 | 45,899,407 |
| Vested deferred stock units | 63,764 | 56,351 | 63,764 | 49,471 |
| Weighted average shares basic | 64,991,168 | 49,040,141 | 59,013,695 | 45,948,878 |
| Common stock options and unvested restricted stock | 182,492 | 253,187 | 150,443 | 206,827 |
| Weighted average shares diluted | 65,173,660 | 49,293,328 | 59,164,138 | 46,155,705 |

Table of Contents

9. Contingencies

In October 2006, two of our subsidiaries terminated their respective leases with Stealth, L.P. (Stealth), the operator of a hospital and medical office building complex that we owned in Houston, Texas. Pursuant to our subsidiaries' rights under these leases, we took possession of the real estate and contracted with a third party to operate the facilities for an interim period. In January 2007, we completed the sale of these properties to Memorial Hermann Healthcare System (Memorial Hermann). Several limited partners of Stealth filed suit against the general partner of Stealth, our subsidiaries, the interim operator and several other parties in December 2006, in Harris County, Texas District Court, generally alleging that the defendants breached duties, interfered with the plaintiffs' partnership rights and misappropriated assets of Stealth. Further amended petitions filed by the plaintiffs added Memorial Hermann as a defendant and, while dropping some of the original claims, alleged new claims that the MPT defendants' conduct violated the antitrust laws and constituted tortious interference with Stealth's business contracts and relationships. In May 2007, Stealth itself filed a cross claim against our subsidiaries and the interim operator, later amended to include us, our operating partnership and Memorial Hermann, broadly alleging, among other things, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, breaches of contract and warranty, and that we operated all our subsidiaries as a single enterprise and/or conspired with our subsidiaries to commit the other tort claims asserted. Stealth recently consolidated all of its claims against us in a consolidated petition that added claims of breach of fiduciary duty and seeking actual and punitive money damages. Memorial Hermann has agreed to defend and indemnify us against one of Stealth's breach of contract claims.

The plaintiffs and Stealth jointly seek more than \$120 million in actual damages and more than \$350 million in punitive damages. We believe that all of the claims asserted by Stealth and its limited partners are without merit and we intend to continue defending them vigorously.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis of the consolidated financial condition and consolidated results of operations should be read together with the consolidated financial statements of Medical Properties Trust, Inc. and notes thereto contained in this Form 10-Q and the financial statements and notes thereto contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K (as amended) for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Forward-Looking Statements.

This report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results or future performance, achievements or transactions or events to be materially different from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, the risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K (as amended) for the year ended December 31, 2007, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such factors include, among others, the following:

National and local economic, business, real estate and other market conditions;

The competitive environment in which the Company operates;

The execution of the Company's business plan;

Financing risks;

Acquisition and development risks;

Potential environmental, contingencies, and other liabilities;

Other factors affecting real estate industry generally or the healthcare real estate industry in particular;

Our ability to attain and maintain our status as a REIT for federal and state income tax purposes;

Table of Contents

Our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel; and,

Federal and state healthcare regulatory requirements.

Overview

We were incorporated under Maryland law on August 27, 2003 primarily for the purpose of investing in and owning net-leased healthcare facilities across the United States. We have operated as a real estate investment trust (REIT) since April 6, 2004, and accordingly, elected REIT status upon the filing in September 2005 of our calendar year 2004 federal income tax return. We acquire and develop healthcare facilities and lease the facilities to healthcare operating companies under long-term net leases. We also make mortgage loans to healthcare operators secured by their real estate assets. In addition, we selectively make loans to certain of our operators through our taxable REIT subsidiary, the proceeds of which are used for acquisitions and working capital.

At June 30, 2008, our portfolio consisted of 46 properties: 43 facilities which we own are leased to 14 tenants and the remaining assets are in the form of first mortgage loans to two operators. Our owned facilities consisted of 18 general acute care hospitals, 13 long-term acute care hospitals, 6 inpatient rehabilitation hospitals, and 6 wellness centers. The non-owned facilities on which we have made mortgage loans consist of general acute care facilities. In the second quarter of 2008, the Company completed the acquisition of 18 properties from a single seller, and completed the acquisition of the remaining two facilities in July 2008. These 20 facilities represent an investment of approximately \$357.2 million. In May 2008, we also completed the sale of three rehabilitation facilities to Vibra and realized proceeds from the sale and related lease termination fees and loan pre-payment totaling \$105.0 million. In May 2008, the Company acquired a long-term acute care hospital in Detroit, Michigan at a cost of \$10.8 million from an unrelated party and entered into an operating lease with Vibra.

We have 26 employees as of August 1, 2008. We believe that any adjustments to the number of our employees will have only immaterial effects on our operations and general and administrative expenses. We believe that our relations with our employees are good. None of our employees are members of any union.

Key Factors that May Affect Our Operations

Our revenues are derived from rents we earn pursuant to the lease agreements with our tenants and from interest income from loans to our tenants and other facility owners. Our tenants operate in the healthcare industry, generally providing medical, surgical and rehabilitative care to patients. The capacity of our tenants to pay our rents and interest is dependent upon their ability to conduct their operations at profitable levels. We believe that the business environment of the industry segments in which our tenants operate is generally positive for efficient operators. However, our tenants' operations are subject to economic, regulatory and market conditions that may affect their profitability. Accordingly, we monitor certain key factors, changes to which we believe may provide early indications of conditions that may affect the level of risk in our lease and loan portfolio.

Key factors that we consider in underwriting prospective tenants and borrowers and in monitoring the performance of existing tenants and borrowers include the following:

- the historical and prospective operating margins (measured by a tenant's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and facility rent) of each tenant or borrower and at each facility;
- the ratio of our tenants' and borrowers' operating earnings both to facility rent and to facility rent plus other fixed costs, including debt costs;
- trends in the source of our tenants' or borrowers' revenue, including the relative mix of Medicare, Medicaid/MediCal, managed care, commercial insurance, and private pay patients; and

the effect of evolving healthcare regulations on our tenants' and borrowers' profitability.

Certain business factors, in addition to those described above, that directly affect our tenants and borrowers, will likely materially influence our future results of operations. These factors include:

Table of Contents

trends in the cost and availability of capital, including market interest rates, that our prospective tenants may use for their real estate assets instead of financing their real estate assets through lease structures;
potential changes in healthcare regulations that may limit the opportunities for physicians to participate in the ownership of healthcare providers and healthcare real estate;
reductions in reimbursements from Medicare, state healthcare programs, and commercial insurance providers that may reduce our tenants' profitability and our lease rates;
competition from other financing sources; and

the ability of our tenants and borrowers to access funds in the credit markets.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

In order to prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, we must make estimates about certain types of transactions and account balances. We believe that our estimates of the amount and timing of lease revenues, credit losses, fair values and periodic depreciation of our real estate assets, stock compensation expense, and the effects of any derivative and hedging activities will have significant effects on our financial statements. Each of these items involves estimates that require us to make subjective judgments. We rely on our experience, collect historical data and current market data, and develop relevant assumptions to arrive at what we believe to be reasonable estimates. Under different conditions or assumptions, materially different amounts could be reported related to the accounting policies described below. In addition, application of these accounting policies involves the exercise of judgment on the use of assumptions as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could materially differ from these estimates. Our accounting estimates include the following:

Revenue Recognition. Our revenues, which are comprised largely of rental income, include rents that each tenant pays in accordance with the terms of its respective lease reported on a straight-line basis over the initial term of the lease. Since some of our leases provide for rental increases at specified intervals, straight-line basis accounting requires us to record as an asset, and include in revenues, straight-line rent that we will only receive if the tenant makes all rent payments required through the expiration of the term of the lease.

Accordingly, our management determines, in its judgment, to what extent the straight-line rent receivable applicable to each specific tenant is collectible. We review each tenant's straight-line rent receivable on a quarterly basis and take into consideration the tenant's payment history, the financial condition of the tenant, business conditions in the industry in which the tenant operates, and economic conditions in the area in which the facility is located. If it becomes probable that we will not collect some or all of the straight-line rent that we have accrued, we record an increase in our allowance for uncollectible accounts or record a direct write-off of the specific rent receivable.

We make loans to our tenants and from time to time may make construction or mortgage loans to facility owners or other parties. We recognize interest income on loans as earned based upon the principal amount outstanding. These loans are generally secured by interests in real estate, receivables, the equity interests of a tenant, or corporate and individual guarantees and are usually cross-defaulted with their leases and/or other loans. We periodically evaluate loans to determine what amounts, if any, may not be collectible. Accordingly, a provision for losses on loans receivable is recorded when it becomes probable that the loan will not be collected in full. The provision is an amount which reduces the loan to its estimated net receivable value based on a determination of the eventual amounts to be collected either from the debtor or from the collateral, if any. At that time, we discontinue recording interest income on the loan to the tenant.

Investments in Real Estate. We record investments in real estate at cost, and we capitalize improvements and replacements when they extend the useful life or improve the efficiency of the asset. While our tenants are generally responsible for all operating costs at a facility, to the extent that we incur costs of repairs and maintenance, we expense those costs as incurred. We compute depreciation using the straight-line method generally over the estimated useful life of 40 years for buildings and improvements, three to seven years for equipment and fixtures, and the shorter of the useful life or the remaining lease term for tenant improvements and leasehold interests.

Table of Contents

When circumstances indicate a possible impairment of the value of our real estate investments, we review the recoverability of the facility's carrying value. The review of recoverability is based on our estimate of the future undiscounted cash flows, excluding interest charges, from the facility's use and eventual disposition and from other security. Our forecast of these cash flows considers factors such as expected future operating income, market and other applicable trends, and residual value, as well as the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors. If impairment exists due to the inability to recover the carrying value of a facility, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value of the facility.

Purchase Price Allocation. We record above-market and below-market in-place lease values, if any, for the facilities we own which are based on the present value (using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over a period equal to the remaining non-cancelable term of the lease. We amortize any resulting capitalized above-market lease values as a reduction of rental income over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the respective leases. We amortize any resulting capitalized below-market lease values as an increase to rental income over the initial term and any fixed-rate renewal periods in the respective leases. Because our strategy to a large degree involves the origination of long term lease arrangements at market rates at the same time we acquire the property, we do not expect the above-market and below-market in-place lease values to be significant for many of our anticipated transactions. We measure the aggregate value of other intangible assets to be acquired based on the difference between (i) the property valued with existing leases adjusted to market rental rates and (ii) the property valued as if vacant. Management's estimates of value are made using methods similar to those used by independent appraisers (e.g., discounted cash flow analysis). Factors considered by management in its analysis include an estimate of carrying costs during hypothetical expected lease-up periods considering current market conditions, and costs to execute similar leases. We also consider information obtained about each targeted facility as a result of our pre-acquisition due diligence, marketing, and leasing activities in estimating the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets acquired. In estimating carrying costs, management also includes real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses and estimates of lost rentals at market rates during the expected lease-up periods, which we expect to range primarily from three to 18 months, depending on specific local market conditions. Management also estimates costs to execute similar leases including leasing commissions, legal costs, and other related expenses to the extent that such costs are not already incurred in connection with a new lease origination as part of the transaction.

The total amount of other intangible assets to be acquired, if any, is further allocated to in-place lease values and customer relationship intangible values based on management's evaluation of the specific characteristics of each prospective tenant's lease and our overall relationship with that tenant. Characteristics to be considered by management in allocating these values include the nature and extent of our existing business relationships with the tenant, growth prospects for developing new business with the tenant, the tenant's credit quality, and expectations of lease renewals, including those existing under the terms of the lease agreement, among other factors.

We amortize the value of in-place leases to expense over the initial term of the respective leases, which range primarily from 10 to 15 years. The value of customer relationship intangibles is amortized to expense over the initial term and any renewal periods in the respective leases, but in no event will the amortization period for intangible assets exceed the remaining depreciable life of the building. Should a tenant terminate its lease, the unamortized portion of the in-place lease value and customer relationship intangibles would be charged to expense.

Loans and Losses from Rent Receivables and Loans. We record provisions for losses on rent receivables and loans when it becomes probable that the receivable or loan will not be collected in full. The provision is an amount which reduces the rent or loan to its estimated net realizable value based on a determination of the eventual amounts to be collected either from the debtor or from the collateral, if any. The determination of when to record a provision for loss on loans and rent requires us to estimate amounts to be recovered from collateral, the ability of the tenant and borrower to repay, and the ability of the tenant and borrower to improve its operations.

Accounting for Derivative Financial Investments and Hedging Activities. We account for our derivative and hedging activities, if any, using SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended by SFAS No. 137 and SFAS No. 149, which requires all derivative instruments to be carried at fair value on the balance

sheet.

Table of Contents

Derivative instruments designated in a hedge relationship to mitigate exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. We formally document all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as our risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking each hedge transaction. We review quarterly the effectiveness of each hedging transaction, which involves estimating future cash flows. Cash flow hedges, if any, will be accounted for by recording the fair value of the derivative instrument on the balance sheet as either an asset or liability, with a corresponding amount recorded in other comprehensive income within stockholders' equity. Amounts are reclassified from other comprehensive income to the income statement in the period or periods the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. Derivative instruments designated in a hedge relationship to mitigate exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, which affect the Company primarily in the form of interest rate risk or variability of interest rates, are considered fair value hedges under SFAS No. 133. We are not currently a party to any derivatives contracts designated as cash flow hedges.

In 2006, we entered into derivative contracts as part of our offering of Exchangeable Senior Notes (the exchangeable notes). The contracts are generally termed capped call or call spread contracts. These contracts are financial instruments which are separate from the exchangeable notes themselves, but affect the overall potential number of shares which will be issued by us to satisfy the conversion feature in the exchangeable notes. The exchangeable notes can be exchanged into shares of our common stock when our stock price exceeds \$16.50 per share, which is the equivalent of 60.6080 shares per \$1,000 note. The number of shares actually issued upon conversion will be equivalent to the amount by which our stock price exceeds \$16.50 times the 60.4583 conversion rate. The capped call transaction allows us to effectively increase that exchange price from \$16.50 to \$18.94. Therefore, our shareholders will not experience dilution of their shares from any settlement or conversion of the exchangeable notes until the price of our stock exceeds \$18.94 per share rather than \$16.50 per share. When evaluating this transaction, we have followed the guidance in Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 00-19 *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock*. EITF No. 00-19 requires that contracts such as this capped call which meet certain conditions must be accounted for as permanent adjustments to equity rather than periodically adjusted to their fair value as assets or liabilities. We have evaluated the terms of these contracts and recorded this capped call as a permanent adjustment to stockholders' equity in 2006.

The exchangeable notes which we sold in 2006 and 2008 also contain the conversion feature described above. SFAS No. 133 also states that certain embedded derivative contracts must follow the guidance of EITF No. 00-19 and be evaluated as though they also were a freestanding derivative contract. Embedded derivative contracts such as the conversion feature in the notes should not be treated as a financial instrument separate from the note if it meets certain conditions in EITF No. 00-19. We have evaluated the conversion feature in the exchangeable notes and have determined that it should not be reported separately from the debt. However, the FASB has issued a new pronouncement which would require us to allocate some of the proceeds from the conversion feature to equity. While this new pronouncement would not require accounting for the embedded conversion feature as a derivative contract, it would require us to restate previously issued financial statements in future filings. The restatement would result in an increase to stockholders' equity, a decrease to debt, and a decrease to net income. We are currently evaluating this pronouncement to determine the amounts of any changes to our financial statements.

Variable Interest Entities. In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*. In December 2003, the FASB issued a revision to FIN 46, which is termed FIN 46(R). FIN 46(R) clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, *Consolidated Financial Statements*, and provides guidance on the identification of entities for which control is achieved through means other than voting rights, guidance on how to determine which business enterprise should consolidate such an entity, and guidance on when it should do so. This model for consolidation applies to an entity in which either (1) the equity investors (if any) do not have a controlling financial interest or (2) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity's activities without receiving additional subordinated financial support from other parties. An entity meeting either of these two criteria is a variable interest entity, or VIE. A VIE must be consolidated by any entity which is the primary beneficiary of the VIE. If an entity is not the primary beneficiary of the VIE, the VIE is not consolidated. We periodically evaluate the terms of our relationships with our tenants and borrowers to determine whether we are the

primary beneficiary and would therefore be required to consolidate any tenants or borrowers that are VIEs.

Stock-Based Compensation. Prior to 2006, we used the intrinsic value method to account for the issuance of stock options under our equity incentive plan in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. SFAS No. 123(R) became effective for our annual and interim periods beginning January 1, 2006, but had no material effect on the results of our operations. During the three month period ended June 30, 2008, we recorded approximately \$1.8 million of expense for share-based compensation related to grants of restricted common stock, deferred stock units and other stock-based awards. In 2006, we also granted

Table of Contents

performance-based restricted share awards. Because these awards will vest based on the Company's performance, we must evaluate and estimate the probability of achieving those performance targets. Any changes in these estimates and probabilities must be recorded in the period when they are changed. In 2007, the Compensation Committee made awards which are earned only if the Company achieves certain stock price levels, total shareholder return or other market conditions. Beginning in 2007, we began recording expense over the expected or derived vesting periods using the calculated value of the awards. We must record expense over these vesting periods even though the awards have not yet been earned and, in fact, may never be earned. In some cases, if the award is not earned, we will be required to reverse expenses recognized in earlier periods. As a result, future stock-based compensation expense may fluctuate based on the potential reversal of previously recorded expense.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

In the first quarter of 2008, we sold 12.65 million shares of common stock and \$75 million face amount of exchangeable notes, realizing net proceeds of approximately \$128.0 million and \$72.8 million, respectively. In addition, we sold \$7.0 million face amount of exchangeable notes in April 2008, realizing net proceeds of approximately \$6.8 million. In June 2008, we signed a term loan agreement for \$30.0 million. This term loan may be increased to \$75.0 million, subject to market conditions. In order to facilitate our \$357.2 million purchase of healthcare facilities from a single seller, a syndicate of bank lenders committed up to \$300.0 million in the form of a short-term bridge loan facility. To avoid the bridge loan's higher interest costs, short-term refinancing risks in an extremely volatile and constrained credit market, and restrictive financial and other covenants, we entered into the \$30.0 million term loan facility. As a result, we wrote-off approximately \$3.2 million in costs associated with the bridge facility in the second quarter. In June 2008, the Company entered into a \$60 million financing arrangement with affiliates of Prime related to three southern California hospital campuses operated by Prime funded partially by the term loan and revolving credit facility.

As of June 30, 2008, we had approximately \$3.7 million in cash and cash equivalents and approximately \$65 million available for borrowing under our credit facilities. Subsequent to June 30, 2008, we used \$28 million and \$10 million of our borrowing capacity to fund acquisitions and pay dividends, respectively.

Short-term Liquidity Requirements: We believe that the liquidity available to us mentioned above is sufficient to provide the resources necessary for operations, distributions in compliance with REIT requirements and a limited amount of acquisitions in the near term. In the event that we elect to make more than a limited amount of acquisitions in the near term, we will need to access additional capital. Based on current conditions in the capital markets, we believe that while such capital may be available, there is no assurance that we could obtain acquisition capital at prices that we consider acceptable.

Long-term Liquidity Requirements: We believe that cash flow from operating activities subsequent to 2008 will be sufficient to provide adequate working capital and make required distributions to our stockholders in compliance with our requirements as a REIT. In order to continue acquisition and development of healthcare facilities after 2008, we will require access to more permanent external capital, possibly including equity capital. If equity capital is not available at a price that we consider appropriate, we may increase our debt, selectively dispose of assets, utilize other forms of capital, if available, or reduce our acquisition activity.

Results of Operations***Three months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to June 30, 2007***

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2008 was \$13,840,660 compared to \$11,511,582 for the three months ended June 30, 2007, a 20.2% increase. Since April 1, 2007, we have invested approximately \$555.7 million in new income-earning healthcare real estate assets, and disposed of approximately \$129.2 million in such assets. The effects on revenue of these investing activities are summarized in the table below.

Table of Contents

A comparison of revenues for the three month period ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, is as follows, as adjusted in 2007 for discontinued operations:

| | 2008 | % of Total | 2007 | % of Total | Year over Year Change |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Base rents | \$ 21,317,775 | 68.6% | \$ 8,460,426 | 39.8% | 152.0% |
| Straight-line rents | 2,279,995 | 7.3% | 2,974,429 | 14.0% | (23.3%) |
| Percentage rents | (44,156) | (0.1%) | 51,947 | 0.2% | (185%) |
| Fee income | 967,285 | 3.1% | 2,597,004 | 12.2% | (62.8%) |
| Interest from loans | 6,577,251 | 21.1% | 7,201,592 | 33.8% | (8.9%) |
| Total revenue | \$ 31,098,150 | 100.0% | \$ 21,285,398 | 100.0% | 46.1% |

At June 30, 2008, we owned 43 rent producing properties compared to 22 at June 30, 2007, which accounted for the increase in rent revenues. Vibra accounted for 15.9% and 17.7% of total revenues during the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and affiliates of Prime accounted for 28.0% and 28.2% of total revenue, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization during the second quarter of 2008 was \$5,338,292, compared to \$2,223,502 during the second quarter of 2007, a 140.1% increase. All of this increase is related to the addition of rent producing properties from April 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008.

General and administrative expenses in the second quarter of 2008 increased compared to the same period in 2007 by \$1,785,200, or 59.8%, from \$2,987,114 to \$4,772,314. The increase in general and administrative expenses is attributed to an increase in stock compensation expense of \$1,004,274 (from \$791,406 for the three months ended June 2007 to \$1,795,680 for the current three month period). In addition, we have experienced a slight increase in salaries and wages expense due to an increase in the number of employees in 2008 and higher travel expenses as a result of the expansion of our portfolio.

Interest expense for the quarters ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 totaled \$12.4 million and \$5.4 million, respectively. The increase in interest expense was the result of higher debt balances from the acquisition of \$342.6 million in real estate in 2008 along with the \$3.2 million charge for the write-off of costs associated with the short-term bridge facility that was terminated in June 2008.

In addition to the items noted above, net income for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 included gains on sales of real estate of approximately \$9.3 million compared to \$0 in the year earlier quarter, a write off of straight-line rent of \$9.5 million compared to \$0, and early lease and loan termination fee income of \$7.0 million compared to \$2.3 million. We also recorded a \$2.1 million charge (net of approximately \$1.2 million in tax benefits) for the write-off of uncollectible receivables associated with operations that were discontinued in 2006; no such charges were included in the 2007 periods.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to June 30, 2007

Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2008, was \$25,074,442 compared to net income of \$21,715,534 for the six months ended June 30, 2007, a 15.5% increase.

A comparison of revenues for the six month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, is as follows, as adjusted in 2007 for discontinued operations:

| | 2008 | % of Total | 2007 | % of Total | Year over Year Change |
|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Base rents | \$ 36,236,314 | 66.6% | \$ 17,346,111 | 48.2% | 108.9% |

Edgar Filing: MEDICAL PROPERTIES TRUST INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------|
| Straight-line rents | 3,939,779 | 7.2% | 3,327,106 | 9.2% | 18.4% |
| Percentage rents | 80,712 | 0.1% | 126,114 | 0.3% | (36.0%) |
| Fee income | 1,093,041 | 2.0% | 2,666,103 | 7.4% | (59.0%) |
| Interest from loans | 13,161,536 | 24.1% | 12,553,416 | 34.9% | 4.8% |
| Total revenue | \$ 54,511,382 | 100.0% | \$ 36,018,850 | 100.0% | 51.3% |

At June 30 2008, we owned 43 rent producing properties compared to 22 at June 30, 2007, which accounted for the increase in rent revenue. Fee income in the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the same period in 2007 decreased due to a fee of approximately \$2.3 million received from the prepayment of one of our mortgage loans in June 2007. Interest income from loans in the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the same period in 2007 was relatively flat. Vibra accounted for 15.6% and 18.4% of our gross revenues during the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and affiliates of Prime accounted for 32.1% and 31.1% of total revenue, respectively.

Table of Contents

Depreciation and amortization during the first half of 2008, was \$8,865,887, compared to \$4,196,407 during the same period of 2007, a 111.3% increase. All of this increase is related to an increase in the number of rent producing properties from 2007 to 2008.

General and administrative expenses in the first two quarters of 2008 and 2007 totaled \$9,186,449, and \$7,601,233, respectively, an increase of 20.9%. The increase of general and administrative expenses is related to higher stock compensation expense of \$2,082,000 from our equity incentive plan.

Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2008, totaled \$19,523,555. Interest expense was higher than the prior year due to larger debt balances in 2008 compared to 2007 and from \$342.6 million in acquisitions of real estate property along with the \$3.2 million non-cash charge for the write-off of costs associated with the short-term bridge facility that was terminated in June 2008. Capitalized interest for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, totaled \$0 and \$1,335,413, respectively. Capitalized interest decreased due to our final development under construction being placed into service in April 2007.

In addition to the items noted above, net income for the six months ended June 30, 2008 included gains on sales of real estate of approximately \$9.3 million compared to \$4.1 million in the year earlier, write off of straight-line rent of \$9.5 million compared to \$1.6 million, and early lease and loan termination fee income of \$7.0 million compared to \$2.3 million. We also recorded a \$2.1 million charge (net of approximately \$1.2 million in tax benefits) for the write off of uncollectible receivables associated with operations that were discontinued in 2006; no such charges were included in the 2007 periods.

Discontinued Operations

In the second quarter of 2008, the Company sold the real estate assets of three inpatient rehabilitation facilities to Vibra for proceeds of approximately \$105.0 million, including \$7.0 million in early lease termination fees and \$8.0 million of a loan pre-payment. The sale was completed on May 7, 2008, with the Company realizing a gain on the sale of approximately \$9.3 million. The Company also wrote off approximately \$9.5 million in related straight-line rent receivable upon completion of the sales. The three Vibra properties were classified as held for sale and were reflected in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at \$81.4 million at December 31, 2007.

As previously disclosed, in 2006, the Company terminated leases for a hospital and medical office building (MOB) complex and repossessed the real estate. In January 2007, the Company sold the hospital and MOB complex and recorded a gain on the sale of real estate of approximately \$4.1 million. During the period between termination of the lease and sale of the real estate, the Company substantially funded through loans the working capital requirements of the hospital's operator pending the operator's collection of patient receivables from Medicare and other sources. In July 2008, the Company received from Medicare the substantial remainder of amounts that it expects to collect and based thereon has recorded a charge of approximately \$2.1 million (net of approximately \$1.2 million in tax benefits) of remaining uncollectible receivables from the operator.

Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Investors and analysts following the real estate industry utilize funds from operations, or FFO, as a supplemental performance measure. While we believe net income available to common stockholders, as defined by generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), is the most appropriate measure, our management considers FFO an appropriate supplemental measure given its wide use by and relevance to investors and analysts. FFO, reflecting the assumption that real estate asset values rise or fall with market conditions, principally adjusts for the effects of GAAP depreciation and amortization of real estate assets, which assume that the value of real estate diminishes predictably over time.

As defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, FFO represents net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (losses) on sales of real estate, plus real estate related depreciation and amortization and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We compute FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition. FFO should not be viewed as a substitute measure of the Company's operating performance since it does not reflect either depreciation and amortization costs or the level of capital expenditures and leasing costs necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, which are significant economic costs that could materially impact our results of operations.

Table of Contents

The following table presents a reconciliation of FFO to net income:

| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Net income | \$ 13,840,660 | \$ 11,511,582 | \$ 25,074,442 | \$ 21,715,534 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | |
| Continuing operations | 5,338,292 | 2,223,502 | 8,865,887 | 4,196,407 |
| Discontinued operations | 189,375 | 567,572 | 757,584 | 1,134,531 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | (9,327,935) | | (9,327,935) | (4,061,626) |
| Funds from operations FFO | \$ 10,040,392 | \$ 14,302,656 | \$ 25,369,978 | \$ 22,984,846 |

Per diluted share amounts:

| | For the Three Months | | For the Six Months | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| | Ended | | Ended | |
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Net income | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.47 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | |
| Continuing operations | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.09 |
| Discontinued operations | | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | (0.14) | | (0.16) | (0.09) |
| Funds from operations FFO | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.50 |

Distribution Policy

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT commencing with our taxable year that began on April 6, 2004 and ended on December 31, 2004. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gain, to our stockholders.

The table below is a summary of our distributions paid or declared during the two year period ended June 30, 2008:

| Declaration Date | Record Date | Date of Distribution | Distribution per Share |
|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| May 22, 2008 | June 13, 2008 | July 11, 2008 | \$.27 |
| February 28, 2008 | March 13, 2008 | April 11, 2008 | \$.27 |
| November 16, 2007 | December 13, 2007 | January 11, 2008 | \$.27 |
| August 16, 2007 | September 14, 2007 | October 19, 2007 | \$.27 |
| May 17, 2007 | June 14, 2007 | July 12, 2007 | \$.27 |
| February 15, 2007 | March 29, 2007 | April 12, 2007 | \$.27 |
| November 16, 2006 | December 14, 2006 | January 11, 2007 | \$.27 |
| August 18, 2006 | September 14, 2006 | October 12, 2006 | \$.26 |
| May 18, 2006 | June 15, 2006 | July 13, 2006 | \$.25 |

We intend to pay to our stockholders, within the time periods prescribed by the Internal Revenue Code (Code), all or substantially all of our annual taxable income, including taxable gains from the sale of real estate and recognized gains on the sale of securities. It is our policy to make sufficient cash distributions to stockholders in order for us to maintain our status as a REIT under the Code and to avoid corporate income and excise tax on undistributed income.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Market risk includes risks that arise from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices and other market changes that affect market sensitive instruments. In pursuing our business plan, we expect that the primary market risk to which we will be exposed is interest rate risk. In addition to changes in interest rates, the value of our facilities will be subject to fluctuations based on changes in local and regional economic conditions and changes in the ability of our tenants to generate profits, all of which may affect our ability to refinance our debt if necessary.

Table of Contents

If market rates of interest on our variable rate debt increase by 1%, the increase in annual interest expense on our variable rate debt would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$2,265,000 per year. If market rates of interest on our variable rate debt decrease by 1%, the decrease in interest expense on our variable rate debt would increase future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$2,265,000 per year. This assumes that the amount outstanding under our variable rate debt remains approximately \$226.5 million, the balance at June 30, 2008.

We currently have no assets denominated in a foreign currency, nor do we have any assets located outside of the United States. We also have no current exposure to derivative financial instruments.

Our 2006 exchangeable notes were initially exchangeable into 60.3346 shares of our stock for each \$1,000 note. This equates to a conversion price of \$16.57 per share. This conversion price adjusts based on a formula which considers increases to our dividend subsequent to the issuance of the notes in November 2006. Our dividends declared since we sold the exchangeable notes have adjusted our conversion price as of June 30, 2008, to \$16.49 per share which equates to 60.6533 shares per \$1,000 note. Future changes to the conversion price will depend on our level of dividends which cannot be predicted at this time. Any adjustments for dividend increases until the notes are settled in 2011 will affect the price of the notes and the number of shares for which they will eventually be settled.

Our 2008 exchangeable notes have a similar conversion adjustment feature which could effect its stated exchange ratio of 80.8898 common shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, equating to an exchange price of approximately \$12.36 per common share. Our dividend declared since we sold the 2008 exchangeable notes have not adjusted our conversion price as of June 30, 2008. Future changes to the conversion price will depend on our level of dividends which cannot be predicted at this time. Any adjustments for dividend increases until the 2008 exchangeable notes are settled in 2013 will affect the price of the notes and the number of shares for which they will eventually be settled.

At the time we issued the 2006 exchangeable notes, we also entered into a capped call or call spread transaction. The effect of this transaction was to increase the conversion price from \$16.57 to \$18.94. As a result, our shareholders will not experience any dilution until our share price exceeds \$18.94. If our share price exceeds that price, the result would be that we would issue additional shares of common stock upon exchange.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

We have adopted and maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As required by Rule 13a-15(b), under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, we have carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the quarter covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that the Company files with the SEC. There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

In October 2006, two of our subsidiaries terminated their respective leases with Stealth, L.P. (Stealth), the operator of a hospital and medical office building complex that we owned in Houston, Texas. Pursuant to our subsidiaries' rights under these leases, we took

Table of Contents

possession of the real estate and contracted with a third party to operate the facilities for an interim period. In January 2007, we completed the sale of these properties to Memorial Hermann Healthcare System (Memorial Hermann). Several limited partners of Stealth filed suit against the general partner of Stealth, our subsidiaries, the interim operator and several other parties in December 2006, in Harris County, Texas District Court, generally alleging that the defendants breached duties, interfered with the plaintiffs' partnership rights and misappropriated assets of Stealth. Further amended petitions filed by the plaintiffs added Memorial Hermann as a defendant and, while dropping some of the original claims, alleged new claims that the MPT defendants' conduct violated the antitrust laws and constituted tortious interference with Stealth's business contracts and relationships.

In May 2007, Stealth itself filed a cross claim against our subsidiaries and the interim operator, later amended to include us, our operating partnership and Memorial Hermann, broadly alleging, among other things, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, breaches of contract and warranty, and that we operated all our subsidiaries as a single enterprise and/or conspired with our subsidiaries to commit the other tort claims asserted. Stealth recently consolidated all of its claims against us in a consolidated petition that added claims of breach of fiduciary duty and seeking actual and punitive money damages. Memorial Hermann has agreed to defend and indemnify us against one of Stealth's breach of contract claims.

The plaintiffs and Stealth jointly seek more than \$120 million in actual damages and more than \$350 million in punitive damages. We believe that all of the claims asserted by Stealth and its limited partners are without merit and we intend to continue defending them vigorously.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Other than set forth below, there have been no material changes to the Risk Factors as presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K (as amended) for the year ended December 31, 2007 as filed with the Commission on March 14, 2008.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS AND GROWTH STRATEGY

We incurred additional debt in order to consummate our recent acquisition of a healthcare property portfolio which will expose us to increased risk of property losses and may have adverse consequences on our business operations and our ability to make distributions to stockholders.

We incurred additional debt in order to consummate our recent acquisition of a healthcare property portfolio, including \$82.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our Operating Partnership's exchangeable senior notes due 2013 and we borrowed under our credit facilities in order to fund a portion of the purchase price of the acquisition. As of June 30, 2008, we had total outstanding indebtedness of approximately \$567.4 million and \$65 million available to us for borrowing under our existing revolving credit facilities.

Our substantial indebtedness could have significant effects on our business. For example, it could:

- require us to use a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to service our indebtedness, which would reduce the available cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, development projects and other general corporate purposes and reduce cash for distributions;
- require payments of principal and interest that may be greater than our cash flow from operations;
- force us to dispose of one or more of our properties, possibly on disadvantageous terms, to make payments on our debt;
- increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions; limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;
- restrict us from making strategic acquisitions or exploiting other business opportunities;

make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations;

place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt; and

Table of Contents

limit our ability to borrow additional funds or dispose of assets.

In addition, our borrowings under our loan facilities may bear interest at variable rates in addition to the approximately \$226.5 million in variable interest rate debt that we had outstanding as of June 30, 2008. If interest rates were to increase significantly, our ability to borrow additional funds may be reduced and the risk related to our substantial indebtedness would intensify.

We may not be able to refinance or extend our existing debt as our access to capital is affected by prevailing conditions in the financial and capital markets and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. If we cannot repay, refinance or extend our debt at maturity, in addition to our failure to repay our debt, we may be unable to make distributions to our stockholders at expected levels or at all.

In addition, if we are unable to restructure or refinance our obligations, we may default under our obligations. This could trigger cross-default and cross-acceleration rights under then-existing agreements. If we default on our debt obligations, the lenders may foreclose on our properties that secure those loans and any other loan that has cross-default provisions.

Even if we are able to refinance or extend our existing debt, the terms of any refinancing or extension may not be as favorable as the terms of our existing debt. If the refinancing involves a higher interest rate, it could adversely affect our cash flow and ability to make distributions to stockholders.

We may be subject to additional risks arising from our acquisitions of healthcare property.

In addition to the risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K (as amended) for the year ended December 31, 2007 relating to healthcare facilities that we may purchase from time to time, we are subject to additional risks in connection with our recent acquisition of a healthcare property portfolio, including without limitation the following:

- we have no previous business experience with the tenants at the facilities acquired, and we may face difficulties in the integration of them;
- underperformance of the acquired facilities due to various factors, including unfavorable terms and conditions of the existing lease agreements relating to the facilities, disruptions caused by the integration of tenants with us or changes in economic conditions;
- diversion of our management's attention away from other business concerns;
- exposure to any undisclosed or unknown potential liabilities relating to the newly acquired facilities; and
- potential underinsured losses on the newly acquired facilities.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to integrate new portfolio of properties without encountering difficulties or that any such difficulties will not have a material adverse effect on us.

In addition, some of the properties may be acquired through our acquisition of all of the ownership interests of the entity that owns such property. Such an acquisition at the entity level rather than the asset level may expose us to any additional risks and liabilities associated with the acquired entity.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

(a) On April 2, 2008, our Operating Partnership issued and sold, in a private offering, an additional \$7.0 million of its 9.25% Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2013 (the "notes") pursuant to the exercise of an over-allotment option granted to initial purchasers of its \$75.0 million notes in March 2008. The notes may be exchanged for shares of our common stock in certain circumstances. The information with respect to the notes and the exchange thereof for shares of our common stock has been previously included in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on March 27, 2008.

Table of Contents

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

Not applicable.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

Our annual meeting of stockholders was held on May 22, 2008.

Proxies for the annual meeting were solicited pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act. There were no solicitations in opposition to management's nominees for the board of directors or other proposals listed in our proxy statement. All nominees listed in the proxy statement were elected and all proposals listed in the proxy statement were approved.

The election of eight directors for the ensuing year was voted upon at the annual meeting. The number of votes cast for and withheld for each nominee for director is set forth below:

| Nominee: | For: | Withheld: |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Edward K. Aldag, Jr. | 55,699,490 | 2,497,901 |
| Virginia A. Clarke | 52,973,574 | 5,223,817 |
| G. Steven Dawson | 55,497,073 | 2,700,318 |
| R. Steven Hamner | 55,245,186 | 2,952,205 |
| Robert E. Holmes, Ph.D. | 51,313,984 | 6,883,407 |
| Sherry A. Kellett | 57,586,023 | 611,368 |
| William G. McKenzie | 55,477,811 | 2,719,580 |
| L. Glenn Orr, Jr. | 51,314,193 | 6,883,198 |

A proposal to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008 was voted upon at the Annual Meeting. The number of votes that were cast for and against this proposal and the number of abstentions and broker non-votes are set forth below:

| For: | Against: | Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes: |
|-------------|-----------------|--|
| 56,091,317 | 284,301 | 1,821,775 |

Item 5. Other Information.

Not applicable.

Item 6. Exhibits.

The following exhibits are filed as a part of this report:

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|---------------------------|--|
| 10.1 | Second Amendment to Revolving Credit and Term Loan Agreement dated June 26, 2008 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|---------------------------|--|
| 10.2 | Second Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement among MPT Operating Partnership, L.P., HCP, Inc., FAEC Holdings (BC), LLC, HCPI Trust, HCP DAS Petersburg VA, LP, and Texas HCP Holding, L.P., dated April 1, 2008 |
| 10.3 | Third Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement among MPT Operating Partnership, L.P., HCP, Inc., FAEC Holdings (BC), LLC, HCPI Trust, HCP DAS Petersburg VA, LP, and Texas HCP Holding, L.P., dated April 17, 2008 |
| 10.4 | Fourth Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement among MPT Operating Partnership, L.P., HCP, Inc., FAEC Holdings (BC), LLC, HCPI Trust, HCP DAS Petersburg VA, LP, and Texas HCP Holding, L.P., dated May 14, 2008 |
| 10.5 | Fifth Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement among MPT Operating Partnership, L.P., HCP, Inc., FAEC Holdings (BC), LLC, HCPI Trust, HCP DAS Petersburg VA, LP, and Texas HCP Holding, L.P., dated June 18, 2008 |
| 10.6 | Sixth Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement among MPT Operating Partnership, L.P., HCP, Inc., FAEC Holdings (BC), LLC, HCPI Trust, HCP DAS Petersburg VA, LP, and Texas HCP Holding, L.P., dated June 30, 2008 |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 99.1 | Consolidated Financial Statements of Prime Healthcare Services, Inc. as of March 31, 2008. Since affiliates of Prime Healthcare Services, Inc. lease more than 20% of our total assets under triple net leases, the financial status of Prime may be considered relevant to investors. Prime's most recently available financial statements (unaudited, as of and for the period ended March 31, 2008) are attached as Exhibit 99.1 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. We have not participated in the preparation of Prime's financial statements nor do we have the right to dictate the form of any financial statements provided to us by Prime. |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MEDICAL PROPERTIES TRUST, INC.

By: /s/ R. Steven Hamner
R. Steven Hamner
Executive Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer
(On behalf of the Registrant and as the
Registrant's Principal Financial and
Accounting Officer)

Date: August 8, 2008

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit

Number Description

- | | |
|------|---|
| 10.1 | Second Amendment to Revolving Credit and Term Loan Agreement dated June 26, 2008 |
| 10.2 | Second Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement among MPT Operating Partnership, L.P., HCP, Inc., FAEC Holdings (BC), LLC, HCPI Trust, HCP DAS Petersburg VA, LP, and Texas HCP Holding, L.P., dated April 1, 2008 |
| 10.3 | Third Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement among MPT Operating Partnership, L.P., HCP, Inc., FAEC Holdings (BC), LLC, HCPI Trust, HCP DAS Petersburg VA, LP, and Texas HCP Holding, L.P., dated April 17, 2008 |
| 10.4 | Fourth Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement among MPT Operating Partnership, L.P., HCP, Inc., FAEC Holdings (BC), LLC, HCPI Trust, HCP DAS Petersburg VA, LP, and Texas HCP Holding, L.P., dated May 14, 2008 |
| 10.5 | Fifth Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement among MPT Operating Partnership, L.P., HCP, Inc., FAEC Holdings (BC), LLC, HCPI Trust, HCP DAS Petersburg VA, LP, and Texas HCP Holding, L.P., dated June 18, 2008 |
| 10.6 | Sixth Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement among MPT Operating Partnership, L.P., HCP, Inc., FAEC Holdings (BC), LLC, HCPI Trust, HCP DAS Petersburg VA, LP, and Texas HCP Holding, L.P., dated June 30, 2008 |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 99.1 | Consolidated Financial Statements of Prime Healthcare Services, Inc. as of March 31, 2008 |