PREFORMED LINE PRODUCTS CO

Form 10-12G/A August 24, 2001

1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 3

TO

FORM 10

GENERAL FORM FOR REGISTRATION OF SECURITIES PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED.

PREFORMED LINE PRODUCTS COMPANY (EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

OHIO 34-0676895

(STATE OR OTHER JURISDICTION OF INCORPORATION OR ORGANIZATION)

(I.R.S. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NO.)

660 BETA DRIVE, MAYFIELD VILLAGE, OHIO

44143

(ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES)

(ZIP CODE)

REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE: (440) 461-5200

SECURITIES TO BE REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT: (NONE) $\,$

SECURITIES TO BE REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(q) OF THE ACT:

COMMON SHARES, \$2 PAR VALUE PER SHARE

(TITLE OF CLASS)

2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			PAGE
Cauti	ionary	y Statement With Respect to Forward-Looking Statements	1
Item	1.	Business	2
Item	2.	Financial Information	13
Item	3.	Properties	17
Item	4.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and	
		Management	19
Item	5.	Directors and Executive Officers	20
Item	6.	Executive Compensation	21
Item	7.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	26
Item	8.	Legal Proceedings	26
Item	9.	Market Price of and Dividends on the Registrant's Common	
		Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	26
Item	10.	Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities	27
Item	11.	Description of Registrant's Securities to Be Registered	27
Item	12.	Indemnification of Directors and Officers	29
Item	13.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	31
Item	14.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting	
		and Financial Disclosure	51
Item	15.	Financial Statements and Exhibits	51
SIGNA	ATURES	5	52

i

3

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT WITH RESPECT TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10 contains forward-looking statements regarding the Company's and management's beliefs and expectations. As a general matter, forward-looking statements are those focused upon future plans, objectives or performance (as opposed to historical items) and include statements of anticipated events or trends and expectations and beliefs relating to matters not historical in nature. Such forward-looking statements are subject to uncertainties and factors relating to the Company's operations and business environment, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond the Company's control. Such uncertainties and factors could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those matters expressed in or implied by such forward-looking statements.

The Company believes that the factors set forth under the heading "Risk Factors" and the following factors, among others, could affect the Company's future performance and cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements made in this registration statement:

- The overall demand for cable anchoring and control hardware for electrical transmission and distribution lines on a worldwide basis, which has a slow growth rate in mature markets such as the United States, Canada, Japan and Western Europe;
- The effect on the Company's business resulting from economic uncertainty within Asia-Pacific and Latin American regions;
- Technology developments that affect longer-term trends for communication lines such as wireless communication;
- The Company's success at continuing to develop proprietary technology to meet or exceed new industry performance standards and individual customer

expectations;

- The rate of progress in continuing to reduce costs and in modifying the Company's cost structure to maintain and enhance the Company's competitiveness;
- The Company's success in strengthening and retaining relationships with the Company's customers, growing sales at targeted accounts and expanding geographically;
- The extent to which the Company is successful in expanding the Company's product lines into new areas for inside plant;
- The Company's ability to identify, complete and integrate acquisitions for profitable growth;
- The potential impact of consolidation and deregulation among the Company's suppliers, competitors and customers;
- The relative degree of competitive and customer price pressure on the Company's products;
- The cost, availability and quality of raw materials required for the manufacture of products;
- The effects of fluctuation in currency exchange rates upon the Company's reported results from international operations, together with non-currency risks of investing in and conducting significant operations in foreign countries, including those relating to political, social, economic and regulatory factors;
- Changes in significant government regulations affecting environmental compliance;
- The Company's ability to continue to compete with larger companies who have acquired a substantial number of the Company's former competitors; and
- The continued limited availability of optical fiber in the marketplace that is used in conjunction with the Company's fiber optic products.

1

4

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

BACKGROUND

Preformed Line Products Company ("the Company") is an international designer and manufacturer of products and systems employed in the construction and maintenance of overhead and underground networks for the energy, communications, cable (TV) provider, information (data communication) and other similar industries. The Company's primary products support, protect, connect, terminate and secure cables and wires. The Company also manufactures a line of products serving the voice and data transmission markets. The Company's goal is to continue to achieve profitable growth as a leader in the innovation, development, manufacture and marketing of technically advanced products and services related to energy, communications and cable systems and to take advantage of this leadership position to sell additional quality products in familiar markets.

The Company serves a worldwide market through strategically located

domestic and international manufacturing facilities. Each of the Company's domestic manufacturing facilities and many of the Company's foreign manufacturing facilities are International Standards Organization ("ISO") 9001 certified. The ISO 9001 certification is an internationally recognized quality standard for manufacturing and assists the Company in marketing its products in certain markets. The Company's customers include public and private energy utilities and communication companies, cable operators, financial institutions, governmental agencies, original equipment manufacturers, contractors and subcontractors, distributors and value-added resellers. The Company is not dependent on a single customer or a few customers. No single customer accounts for more than ten percent of the Company's consolidated revenues.

The Company's products include:

- Formed Wire and Related Hardware Products
- Protective Closures
- Data Communication Interconnection Devices

Formed Wire Products are used in the energy, communications and cable industries to support, protect, terminate and secure both power conductor and communication cables and to control cable dynamics (e.g., vibration). These products are based on the principle of forming a variety of stiff wire materials into a helical (spiral) shape. Advantages of using the Company's helical formed wire products are that they are economical, dependable and easy to use. The Company introduced formed wire products to the power industry over 50 years ago and such products enjoy an almost universal acceptance in the Company's markets. Formed wire and related hardware products are estimated to be 46%, 52%, and 47% of the Company's revenues in 1998, 1999 and 2000, respectively.

Protective Closures, including splice cases, are used to protect copper cable or fiber optic cable from moisture, environmental hazards and other potential contaminants. Protective closures are estimated to be 30%, 28% and 29% of the Company's revenues in 1998, 1999 and 2000, respectively.

Data Communication Interconnection Devices are products used in high-speed data systems to connect electronic equipment. Data communication interconnection devices are estimated to be 24%, 20% and 24% of the Company's revenues in 1998, 1999 and 2000, respectively.

CORPORATE HISTORY

The Company was incorporated in Ohio in 1947 to manufacture and sell helically shaped "armor rods," which are sets of stiff helically shaped wires applied on an electrical conductor at the point where it is suspended or held. Thomas F. Peterson, the Company's founder, developed and patented a unique method to manufacture and apply these armor rods to protect electrical conductors on overhead power lines. Over a period of years Peterson and the Company developed, tested, patented, manufactured and marketed a variety of helically shaped products for use by the electrical and telephone industries. Although all of the Peterson

patents have now expired, those patents served as the nucleus for licensing the Company's formed wire products abroad.

The success of the Company's formed wire products in the United States led to expansion abroad. The first international license agreement was established in the mid-1950s in Canada. In the late 1950s the Company's products were being sold through joint ventures and licensees in Canada, England, Germany, Spain and Australia. Additionally, the Company began export operations and promoted products into other selected offshore markets. The Company continued its expansion program, bought out most of the original licensees, and, by the mid-1990s, owned complete operations in Australia, Brazil, Canada, Great Britain, Mexico, South Africa and Spain and held a minority interest in two joint ventures in Japan.

Recognizing the need for a stronger presence in the fast growing Asian market, in 1996 the Company also formed a joint venture in China and, in 2000, became sole owner of this venture. All of the Company's international subsidiaries operate as independent business units with the necessary infrastructure (manufacturing, engineering, marketing and general management) to support local business activities. Each is staffed with local personnel at all levels to ensure that the Company is well versed in local business practices, cultural constraints, technical requirements and the intricacies of local client relationships.

In 1968, the Company expanded into the underground telecommunications field by acquisition of the Smith Company located in California. The Smith Company had a patented line of buried closures and pressurized splice cases. These closures and splice cases protect copper cable openings from environmental damage and degradation. The Company continued to build on expertise acquired through the acquisition of the Smith Company and in 1995 introduced the highly successful Coyote closure line of products. Since 1995 nine domestic and three foreign patents have been granted to the Company on the Coyote closure. None of the Coyote patents has expired. The earliest Coyote patent was filed on April 1995 and will not expire until April 2015.

In 2001, the Company introduced its new Armadillo closure, a plastic pressurized underground, buried and aerial splice case for copper voice, data and video cables. This new product is an alternative to the Company's stainless steel splice case, which for over 30 years has set an industry standard for waterproof, re-enterable underground and buried closures and aerial applications.

In 1993, the Company purchased the assets of Superior Modular Products Company. Located in Asheville, North Carolina, Superior Modular Products is a technical leader in the development and manufacture of high-speed interconnection devices for voice, data and video applications. This acquisition was the catalyst to expand the Company's range of communication products to components for structuring cabling systems used inside a customer's premises.

In 2000, the Company acquired Rack Technologies Pty., Limited, headquartered in Sydney, Australia. Rack Technologies is a specialist manufacturer of rack system enclosures for the communications, electronics and securities industries. This acquisition complements and broadens the Company's existing line of data communication products used inside a customer's premises.

The Company's corporate headquarters is located at 660 Beta Drive, Mayfield Village, Ohio 44143, telephone number (440) 461-5200.

3

6

THE OBSOLESCENCE OF EXISTING PRODUCTS COULD RESULT IN DECLINING REVENUES

The energy, communications and cable operators industries are characterized by rapid technological change. The introduction of products embodying new technologies or the emergence of new industry standards can render existing products or products under development obsolete or unmarketable. For example, satellite, wireless and other communication technologies currently being deployed may represent a threat to copper, coaxial and fiber optic-based systems by reducing the need for wire-line networks. To date, however, the Company believes that these technologies have not had a significant impact on the demand for traditional wire-line network based services, and the Company anticipates that a number of factors, including network capacity requirements, existing investments in wire-line networks, security and long-term cost effectiveness, will result in continued growth of wire-line networks. However, there can be no assurance that future advances or further development of these or other new technologies will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results and financial condition as a result of lost sales.

THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS WILL SUFFER IF THE COMPANY FAILS TO DEVELOP AND SUCCESSFULLY INTRODUCE NEW AND ENHANCED PRODUCTS THAT MEET THE CHANGING NEEDS OF THE COMPANY'S CUSTOMERS

The Company's ability to anticipate changes in technology and industry standards and to successfully develop and introduce new products on a timely basis will be a significant factor in the Company's ability to grow and remain competitive. New product development often requires long-term forecasting of market trends, development and implementation of new designs and processes and a substantial capital commitment. The trend toward consolidation of the communications and data communications industries may require the Company to quickly adapt to rapidly changing market conditions and customer requirements. Although the Company's manufacturing and marketing expertise has enabled it to successfully develop and market new products in the past, any failure by the Company to anticipate or respond in a cost-effective and timely manner to technological developments or changes in industry standards or customer requirements, or any significant delays in product development or introduction or any failure of new products to be widely accepted by the Company's customers, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results and financial condition as a result of reduced net revenues.

BECAUSE THE COMPANY IS DEPENDENT ON THE ENERGY, COMMUNICATIONS AND CABLE INDUSTRIES, THE COMPANY IS SUSCEPTIBLE TO NEGATIVE TRENDS RELATING TO THOSE INDUSTRIES THAT COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THE COMPANY'S OPERATING RESULTS

The Company's sales to the energy, communications and cable industries represent a substantial portion of the Company's historical sales and are expected to continue to do so for the foreseeable future. Demand for products to these industries depends primarily on capital spending by customers for constructing, rebuilding, maintaining or upgrading their systems. The amount of capital spending and, therefore, the Company's sales and profitability are affected by a variety of factors, including general economic conditions, access by customers to financing, government regulation, demand for energy and cable services and technological developments in the broadband communications industry. In addition, deregulation, consolidation and market factors in these sectors have caused some of the Company's customers and potential customers to experience financial difficulties. As a result some may not continue as going concerns, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results and financial condition. Consolidation and deregulation present the additional risk to the Company that combined or deregulated customers will continue supply relationships with a source other than the Company. It may also increase the pressure on suppliers, such as the Company, to sell products at lower prices.

PRICE FLUCTUATIONS OF RAW MATERIALS COULD RESULT IN LOWER EARNINGS

The Company's cost of sales may be materially adversely affected by increases in the market prices of the raw materials used in the Company's manufacturing processes. There can be no assurance that price increases

4

7

in raw materials can be passed on to the Company's customers through increases in product prices. As a result, the Company's operating results could be adversely affected.

THE COMPANY'S INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS SUBJECT THE COMPANY TO ADDITIONAL BUSINESS RISKS

International sales account for a substantial portion of the Company's net sales (35.7%, 36.9% and 39.3% in 1998, 1999 and 2000, respectively) and profits and the Company expects that international sales may increase as a percentage of sales in the future. Due to its international sales, the Company is subject to the risks of conducting business internationally, including unexpected changes in, or impositions of, legislative or regulatory requirements, fluctuations in the U.S. dollar which could materially adversely affect U.S. dollar revenues or operating expenses, tariffs and other barriers and restrictions, potentially longer payment cycles, greater difficulty in accounts receivable collection, reduced or limited protection of intellectual property rights, potentially adverse taxes and the burdens of complying with a variety of international laws and communications standards. The Company is also subject to general geopolitical risks, such as political and economic instability and changes in diplomatic and trade relationships, in connection with its international operations. There can be no assurance that these risks of conducting business internationally will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results and financial condition.

THE COMPANY MAY NOT BE ABLE TO SUCCESSFULLY INTEGRATE BUSINESSES THAT IT MAY ACOUIRE IN THE FUTURE

A portion of the Company's growth in sales and earnings has been generated from acquisitions. The Company expects to continue a strategy of identifying and acquiring businesses with complementary products. In connection with this strategy, the Company faces certain risks and uncertainties relating to acquisitions. The factors affecting this exposure are in addition to the risks faced in the Company's day-to-day operations. Acquisitions involve a number of special risks, including the risks pertaining to integrating acquired businesses. In addition, the Company may incur debt to finance future acquisitions, and the Company may issue securities in connection with future acquisitions that may dilute the holdings of current and future shareholders. Covenant restrictions relating to such indebtedness could restrict the Company's ability to pay dividends, fund capital expenditures, consummate additional acquisitions and significantly increase the Company's interest expense. Any failure to successfully complete acquisitions or to successfully integrate such strategic acquisitions could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results and financial condition.

THE INTENSE COMPETITION IN THE COMPANY'S MARKETS, PARTICULARLY THE DATA COMMUNICATIONS MARKET, MAY LEAD TO REDUCED SALES AND REDUCED PROFITS

The markets in which the Company operates are highly competitive. Further, in connection with the anticipated growth in the communications industry, the level and intensity of competition may increase in the future. The Company's competitors in the data communications market are larger companies with

significant influence over the distribution network. The product lines within this market have thin profit margins. Success in this product line depends upon the Company's ability to increase volume and reduce the cost structure. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to compete successfully against its competitors, many of which may have access to greater financial resources than the Company. In addition, the pace of technological development in the data communications market is rapid and the Company can not assure that these advances (wireless networking, fiber optic network infrastructure, etc.) will not adversely affect the Company's ability to compete in this market.

CONTROL BY PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS COULD INHIBIT POTENTIAL CHANGE OF CONTROL

The Company's officers and directors as a group own or control approximately 55% of the Company's issued and outstanding Common Shares. As a result of such ownership, the officers and directors as a group will be able to elect all of the directors of the Company and to control the Company's affairs. See "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners."

5

8

DEPENDENCE ON KEY PERSONNEL

The future success of the Company depends in part on its ability to attract and retain key executive, engineering, marketing and sales personnel. Competition for qualified personnel is intense, and the loss of certain key personnel could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results and financial condition.

ANY NON-COMPLIANCE BY THE COMPANY WITH THE ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS GOVERNING THE COMPANY COULD RESULT IN A MATERIAL LIABILITY

The Company is subject to a wide variety of federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations and uses a limited number of chemicals that are classified as hazardous or similar substances. Although management believes that the Company's operations are in compliance in all material respects with current environmental laws and regulations, the Company's failure to comply with such laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results and financial condition.

THE MARKET PRICE FOR THE COMPANY'S STOCK MAY BE VOLATILE

The market price of shares of the Company's Common Shares may be highly volatile. Factors such as announcements of technological innovations or new products by the Company or its competitors, developments in patent or other proprietary rights by the Company or its competitors, litigation, fluctuations in the Company's operating results, market conditions for emerging growth stocks or communications industry stocks in general, regulatory developments affecting the communications and energy industries, and other factors outside the control of the Company could have a significant impact on the future price of the Common Shares.

BUSINESS

The demand for the Company's products comes primarily from new, maintenance and repair construction for energy, communication and data communication customers. Over the past several years a significant portion of the Company's growth has been generated by customers of the Company's power transmission and fiber optic products. Maintenance construction by the Company's customers uses many of the Company's products, including formed wire products, to revitalize the aging outside plant infrastructure. Many of the Company's products are used on a proactive basis by the Company's customers to reduce and prevent lost

revenue because a single malfunctioning line could cause the loss of thousands of dollars per hour for a power or communication customer. A malfunctioning fiber cable could also result in substantial revenue loss. Repair construction by the Company's customers generally occurs in the case of emergency or natural disasters, such as hurricanes, tornadoes, earthquakes, floods or ice storms. Under these circumstances, the Company provides 24-hour service to get the repair products to customers as quickly as possible.

The Company has adapted the formed wire products' helical technology for use in a wide variety of fiber optic cable applications that have special requirements. The Company's formed wire products are uniquely qualified for these applications due to the gentle gripping over a greater length of the fiber cable. This is an advantage over traditional pole line hardware clamps that compress the cable to the point of possible fatigue and optical signal deterioration.

The Company's protective closures and splice cases are used to protect cable from moisture, environmental hazards and other potential contaminants. The Company's splice case is an easily re-enterable closure that allows utility maintenance workers access to the cable splice closure to repair or add communications services. Over the years, the Company has made many significant improvements in the splice case that have greatly increased its versatility and application in the market place. The Company also designs and markets custom splice cases to satisfy specific customer requirements. This has allowed the Company to remain a strong partner with several primary customers and has earned the Company the reputation as a responsive and reliable supplier.

6

9

In the early 1980s, fiber optic cable was first deployed in the outside plant environment. Through fiber optic technologies, a much greater amount of both voice and data communication could be transmitted reliably. In addition, this technology solved the cable congestion problem that the large count copper cable was causing in underground, buried and aerial applications. The Company developed and adapted copper closures for use in the emerging fiber optic world. In the late 1980s, the Company developed a series of splice cases designed specifically for fiber application. In the mid-1990s, the Company developed its plastic Coyote closure. The Coyote closure is an example of the Company developing a new line of proprietary products to meet the changing needs of its customers.

The Company also designs and manufactures data communication interconnect devices and enclosures for data communications networks, offering a comprehensive line of copper and fiber optic cross-connect systems. The product line offers a comprehensive network system within a building or premise.

JOINT VENTURES AND LICENSE AGREEMENTS

The Company is currently a minority partner in two joint ventures in Japan, holding a 49% ownership interest in Japan PLP Co. LTD. and a 24% ownership interest in Toshin Denko Kabushiki Kaisha. Neither of these joint ventures is believed to be significant to the Company's overall business. The Company receives royalties under fifteen separate license agreements. The Company does not believe that its business is materially dependent on any one license agreement.

MARKETS

The Company markets its products to the energy, communications, cable provider and information (data communication) industries. While rapid changes in technology have blurred the distinctions between telephone, cable, and data

communication, the energy industry is clearly separate. The Company's role in the energy industry is to supply formed wire products and related hardware used with the electrical conductors, cables and wires that transfer power from the generating facility to the ultimate user of that power. Formed wire products are used to support, protect, terminate and secure both power conductor and communication cables and to control cable dynamics.

Electric Utilities -- Transmission. The electric transmission grid is the interconnected network of high voltage aluminum conductors used to transport large blocks of electric power from generating facilities to distribution networks. Currently, there are three major power grids in the United States: the Eastern Interconnect, the Western Interconnect and the Texas Interconnect. Virtually all electrical energy utilities are connected with at least one other utility by one of these major grids. The Company believes that the transmission grid has been neglected throughout much of the United States for more than a decade. Additionally, because of deregulation, many electric utilities have turned this responsibility over to Independent System Operators (ISOs), who have also been slow to add transmission lines. With demand for power now exceeding supply in some areas like California, the need for the movement of bulk power from the energy-rich states to the energy-deficient areas means that new transmission lines will likely be built and many existing lines will likely be refurbished. In addition, consolidations are also driving the demand for new transmission lines, because merged utilities need to tie their systems together. The Company believes that this will generate growth for the Company's products in this market over at least the next several years. In addition, construction of international transmission grids is occurring in all regions of the world, including North America. However, consolidation in the markets the Company serves may also have an adverse impact on the Company's revenues. See the third risk factor on page 4.

Electric Utilities — Distribution. The distribution market includes those utilities that distribute power from a substation where voltage is reduced to levels appropriate for the consumer. Unlike the transmission market in this era of deregulation, distribution is still handled primarily by local electric utilities. These utilities are motivated to reduce cost in order to maintain and enhance their profitability. The Company believes that its growth in the distribution market will be achieved primarily as a result of incremental gains in market share driven by emphasizing the Company's quality products and service over price. Internationally, in the developing regions there is increasing political pressure to extend the availability of electricity to additional

7

10

populations. To address this demand, the electricity network providers continue to expand through increased construction and investment.

Communication and Cable. The communications and cable industries continue the rapid evolution and expansion they have been experiencing for a number of years, both domestically and worldwide. Major developments, including the Internet and other high-speed data communications technologies, ongoing convergence between the cable and communications industries, and demand for enhanced communications services, have led to a changing regulatory and competitive environment in many markets throughout the world. The deployment of new networks or improvements to existing networks for advanced applications is a national priority for many countries, permitting them to participate and compete in the rapidly emerging information-based global economy.

To meet today's demands and anticipating future demand, cable operators, local communications operators and power utilities are building, rebuilding or upgrading signal delivery networks around the world. These networks are designed to deliver video and voice transmissions and provide Internet connectivity to

individual residences and businesses. Operators deploy a variety of network technologies and architectures, to carry broadband and narrowband signals.

These architectures are constructed of electronic hardware connected via coaxial cables, copper wires or optic fibers. The Company manufactures closures that these industries use when they require connections or splice housings in a secure, protective closure and cable management connectivity systems.

As critical components of the outdoor infrastructure, closures provide protection against weather and vandalism and permit ready access to devices for technicians who maintain and manage the system. Cable operators and local telephone network operators place great reliance on manufacturers of protective closures because any material damage to the signal delivery networks is likely to disrupt communications services. In addition to closures, the Company supplies the communication and cable industry with its formed wire products to hold, support, protect and terminate the copper wires and cables and the fiber optic cables used by that industry to transfer voice or data signals.

Due to the growing demand for communication bandwidth, the industry is finding new technological methods to increase the usage of copper-based plant through high-speed digital subscriber lines (DSLs). The primary driver of this increase is the Internet and data-related communications. This is also contributing to the increased deployment of fiber and coaxial cable in all areas of the communication industry. The Company has been actively pursuing the development of products for the communication operating companies, the Inter-Exchange Carriers (IXCs), and the Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (CLECs), as well as cable operator companies.

Fiber Optic Hardware. Companies seeking to serve the burgeoning Internet needs of their customers are providing substantially increased access speed by installing fiber optic cable onto all types of utility poles and rights-of-way including those used by energy and communication companies. Customers serving the Internet represent an opportunity for the Company to increase its sales of helical formed wire products specifically designed for fiber applications.

Data Communication. The data communication market is being driven by the continual demand for increased bandwidth. Growing Internet Service Providers (ISPs), construction in Wide Area Networks (WANs) and demand for data communication in the workplace are all key elements to the increased demand for the connecting devices made by the Company. This market will be increasingly focused on the systems that provide the highest speed and highest quality signal, such as fiber optic and copper networks. The Company's connecting devices are sold to a number of categories of customers including (i) original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), which use the Company's "patch panels" to make their electronic components, (ii) ISPs, (iii) large companies and organizations which have their own LAN (local area network) for data communication, and (iv) national and international distributors of electronic products for use in the above markets.

Other Markets. The Company's formed wire products can also be used in other industries which require a method of securing or terminating cables, including the metal building and tower and antenna industries, the

11

arborist industry, and various applications within the marine systems industry. Products other than formed wire products are also marketed to other industries. For example, the Company's urethane capabilities allow it to market products to the light rail industry, while plastic processes are utilized to manufacture products for the toy industry. The Company continues to explore new and innovative uses of its manufacturing capabilities; however, these markets remain a small portion of overall consolidated sales.

FOREIGN OPERATIONS

Except for geography, the foreign business segment of the Company is essentially the same as its domestic business. It manufactures in its foreign plants the same types of products as are sold domestically, it sells to the same types of customers and faces the same types of competition (and in some cases the same competitors). Sources of supply of raw materials are not significantly different internationally. See Note J to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information relating to certain foreign and domestic financial data of the Company.

While a number of the Company's foreign plants are in developed countries, the Company believes it has strong market opportunities in developing countries such as Brazil and Mexico and, in particular, China, where the need for the transmission and distribution of electrical power is significant. The Company is now serving the Far East market, other than China and Japan, primarily from Australia. In addition, as the need arises, the Company is prepared to establish new manufacturing facilities abroad. For example, in January 2001 the Company moved its Mexican manufacturing operations from a leased facility in Mexico City, Mexico to a newly constructed facility in Queretaro, Mexico.

SALES AND MARKETING

Nationally and internationally, the Company markets its products through a direct sales force and manufacturer's representatives. The latter are independent organizations that represent the Company as well as other complementary product lines. These organizations are paid a commission based on the sales amount. The direct sales force is employed by the Company and works with the manufacturer's representatives as well as key direct accounts and distributors, who also buy and resell the Company's products.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The Company is committed to providing technical leadership through scientific research and product development in order to continue to expand the Company's position as a supplier to the communications and power industries. Research is conducted on a continuous basis using internal experience in conjunction with outside professional expertise to develop state-of-the-art materials for all of the Company's products that capitalize on cost-efficiency while offering exacting mechanical performance that meets or exceeds industry standards. The Company's research and development activities have resulted in numerous patents being issued to the Company (see "Patents" below).

Early in its history the Company recognized the need to understand the performance of its products and the needs of its customers. To that end, the Company developed its own Research and Engineering Center in Cleveland, Ohio. Using the Research and Engineering Center, engineers and technicians could simulate a wide range of external conditions encountered by the Company's products to ensure quality, durability and performance. The work performed in the Research and Engineering Center included advanced studies and experimentation with various forms of vibration. This work has contributed significantly to the collective knowledge base of the industries the Company serves and is the subject matter of many papers and seminars presented to these industries. The Company also developed the industry's first mobile testing laboratory, the Dynalab, to monitor the phenomena affecting overhead conductor, wire and cable, allowing the Company's sales representatives to work directly with customers in the field for training, problem identification and problem solving.

In 1979, the Company relocated and expanded its Research and Engineering Center as a 29,000-square-foot addition to its World Headquarters in Mayfield

Village, Ohio. The Company believes that this facility is one of the most sophisticated in the world in its specialized field. The expanded Research and Engineering

9

12

Center also has an advanced prototyping technology machine on-site to develop models of new designs where intricate part details are studied prior to the construction of expensive production tooling. Today, the Company's reputation for vibration testing, tensile testing, fiber optic cable testing, environmental testing, field vibration monitoring and third-party contract testing is a major asset. In addition to testing, the work done at the Company's Research and Engineering Center continues to fuel product development efforts. For example, the Company estimates that approximately 15% to 20% of 2000 revenues were attributed to products developed by the Company in the past five years. In addition, the Company's position in the industry is further reinforced by its long-standing leadership role in many key international technical organizations including IEEE (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers), CIGRE (Counsiel Internationale des Grands Reseaux Electriques a Haute Tension), and IEC (International Electromechanical Commission). These organizations are charged with the responsibility of establishing industrywide specifications and performance criteria. See Note A to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information relating to the Company's research and development expenses in 1998, 1999 and 2000.

PATENTS

The Company applies for patents in the United States and other countries, as appropriate, to protect its significant patentable developments. As of March 1, 2001, the Company had in force 37 U.S. patents and 40 foreign patents in 10 countries and had pending three U.S. patent applications and one foreign application. While such domestic and foreign patents expire from time to time, the Company continues to apply for and obtain patent protection on a regular basis. Patents held by the Company in the aggregate are of material importance in the operation of the Company's business. The Company, however, does not believe that any single patent, or group of related patents, is essential to the Company's business as a whole or of any of its businesses. Additionally, the Company owns and uses a substantial body of proprietary information and numerous trademarks. The Company relies on nondisclosure agreements to protect trade secrets and other proprietary data and technology. As of March 1, 2001, the Company had obtained U.S. registration on 26 trademarks and four trademark applications remained pending. Foreign registrations amounted to 146 registrations in 41 countries, with 26 pending foreign registrations.

Since June 8, 1995, United States patents have been issued for terms of 20 years beginning with the date of filing of the patent application. Prior to that time, a U.S. patent had a term of 17 years from the date of its issuance. Patents issued by foreign countries generally expire 20 years after filing. U.S. and foreign patents are not renewable after expiration of their initial term. U.S. and foreign trademarks are generally speaking perpetual, renewable in 10-year increments upon a showing of continued use.

In the normal course of business, the Company from time to time makes and receives inquiries with regard to possible patent and trademark infringement. The extent of such inquiries from third parties has been limited to verbal remarks to Company representatives at industry trade shows. The Company believes that it is unlikely that the outcome of these inquiries will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position. To the knowledge of management the Company has not been subject to any formal allegation or charges of infringement of intellectual property rights by any organization.

COMPETITION

All of the markets that the Company serves are highly competitive. In each market the principal methods of competition are price, performance, and service. The Company believes, however, that several factors (described below) provide the Company with a competitive advantage.

- The Company has a strong and stable workforce. This consistent and continuous knowledge base has afforded the Company the ability to provide superior service to the Company's customers and representatives.
- The Company's Research and Engineering Center maintains a strong technical support function to develop unique solutions to customer problems.

10

13

- The Company is vertically integrated both in manufacturing and distribution, continually upgrading equipment and increasing warehouse space.
- The Company enjoys a reputation with the Company's customers as a responsive and reliable supplier. For example, in each of the last 10 years Verizon Logistics (formally known as GTE Supply, Inc.) has awarded the Company a "Quality Award of Excellence" and last year the Company was one of only two vendors that received "A Decade of Excellence" award. Verizon also awarded the Company "Best in Class" for Outside Plant Products.
- The Company is sensitive to the marketplace and provides an extra measure of service in cases of emergency, storm damage and other rush situations. This high level of customer service and customer responsiveness has become a hallmark of the Company.

Domestically, there are two competitors for formed wire products. Although it has other competitors in many of the countries where it has plants, the Company has leveraged its expertise and is very strong in the global market. The Company believes that it is the world's largest manufacturer of formed wire products. However, the Company's formed wire products compete against other pole line hardware products manufactured by other companies.

Minnesota Manufacturing and Mining Company ("3M") is the primary domestic competitor of the Company for pressurized copper closures. The Company believes that 3M's market share for pressurized closures exceeds that of the Company. Based on its experience in the industry the Company believes its market share exceeds 30%. Internationally, with the exception of Canada, the Company is just beginning to enter the closure market. The fiber optic closure market is one of the most competitive product areas for the Company, with the Company competing against, among others, Tyco International Ltd. and 3M. There are a number of primary competitors and several smaller niche competitors that compete at all levels in the marketplace. The Company believes that it is one of four leading suppliers of fiber optic closures.

The Company's data communication competitors range from assemblers of low cost, low quality components, to well-established multinational corporations. This market is growing worldwide and competition continues to expand to meet this demand. The Company's competitive strength is its technological leadership and worldwide presence. Additionally, the Company provides product to its licensees and other companies on a privately branded basis. Patented technology developed by the Company is currently licensed to many of the largest competitors. Low-cost Asian competitors, however, keep pressure on prices and are expected to continue to do so.

SOURCES AND AVAILABILITY OF RAW MATERIALS

The principal raw materials used by the Company are galvanized wire, stainless steel, aluminized steel wire, aluminum re-draw rod, plastic (polyethylene and PVC) resins, glass-filled plastic compounds, neoprene rubbers and aluminum ingots. The Company also uses certain other materials such as fasteners, packaging materials and communications cable. The Company believes that it has adequate sources of supply for the raw materials used in its manufacturing processes and it regularly attempts to develop and maintain sources of supply in order to extend availability and encourage competitive pricing of these products.

Most plastic resins are purchased under annual contracts to stabilize costs and improve delivery performance. Neoprene rubber is purchased pursuant to ordinary course purchase orders from a single supplier, PolyOne Corporation, with whom the Company has enjoyed a 25 year business relationship. That supplier has numerous plants in North America and uses the Company's formulation. The Company is currently in the process of qualifying two additional suppliers to produce neoprene rubber using the Company's formulation in order to reduce costs. Aluminized steel wire and aluminum re-draw rod are purchased in standard stock diameters and coils under annual contracts available from a number of reliable suppliers. Rolled stainless steel is purchased under annual contracts. Glass-filled plastic compound is purchased under an annual blanket contract with GE Polymerland. The Company is in the process of qualifying alternatives to the glass-filled plastic compound purchased from GE Polymerland in order to improve the Company's cost control efforts.

11

14

The Company also relies on certain other manufacturers to supply products that complement the Company's product lines, such as aluminum and ferrous castings, fiber optic cable and connectors, circuit boards and various metal racks and cabinets. The Company believes there are multiple sources of supply for these products.

There have been no shortages in materials that have had a material adverse effect on the business, and none are expected.

BACKLOG ORDERS

The Company's backlog is not material. The Company's business backlog is generally two to four weeks. All customer orders entered are firm at the time of entry. Substantially all orders are shipped within a two to four week period unless the customer requests an alternative date.

SEASONALITY

The Company markets products that are used by utility maintenance and construction crews worldwide. The products are marketed through distributors and directly to end users, who maintain stock to ensure adequate supply for their customers and construction crews. As a result, the Company does not have wide variation in sales from quarter to quarter.

ENVIRONMENTAL

The Company is subject to extensive and changing federal, state, and local environmental laws, including laws and regulations that (i) relate to air and water quality, (ii) impose limitations on the discharge of pollutants into the environment, (iii) establish standards for the treatment, storage and disposal of toxic and hazardous waste, and (iv) require proper storage, handling,

packaging, labeling, and transporting of products and components classified as hazardous materials. Stringent fines and penalties may be imposed for noncompliance with these environmental laws. In addition, environmental laws could impose liability for costs associated with investigating and remediating contamination at the Company's facilities or at third-party facilities at which the Company has arranged for the disposal treatment of hazardous materials.

Although no assurances can be given, the Company believes that the Company and its operations are in compliance in all material respects with all applicable environmental laws and the Company is not aware of any noncompliance or obligation to investigate or remediate contamination that could reasonably be expected to result in a material liability. The Company does not expect to make any material capital expenditure during the remainder of 2001 or during 2002 for environmental control facilities. The environmental laws continue to be amended and revised to impose stricter obligations, and compliance with future additional environmental requirements could necessitate capital outlays. However, the Company does not believe that these expenditures should ultimately result in a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations. The Company cannot predict the precise effect such future requirements, if enacted, would have on the Company, although the Company believes that such regulations would be enacted over time and would affect the industry as a whole.

EMPLOYEES

At March 31, 2001, the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries had 1,857 employees. Approximately 51% of the Company's employees are located in the United States.

12

15

ITEM 2. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31				
				1997	
	THOU	SANDS OF DOL		PER SHARE DA	ATA
NET SALES AND INCOME					
Net sales	\$207 , 332	\$195 , 245	\$216,244	\$204,644	\$184 , 778
Operating income	17,370	12,743	24,993	23,694	22 , 954
<pre>Income before income taxes</pre>	17,135	14,729	28,464	27,060	22,340
Net income	11,051	10,201	19,006	17,796	15 , 245
Net income to net sales PER SHARE AMOUNTS*	5.3%	5.2%	8.8%	8.7%	8.3%
Net income	\$ 1.91	\$ 1.71	\$ 3.10	\$ 2.90	\$ 2.48
Dividends declared	0.60	0.60	0.575	0.50	0.485
Shareholders' equity OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION	21.47	20.45	19.91	17.78	15.88
Current assets	\$ 87 , 783	\$ 84,531	\$ 84,250	\$ 75 , 217	\$ 65,610
Total assets	170,611	159,664	157 , 717	144,821	133,451
Current liabilities	26,244	24,790	24,002	21,711	20,961
Long-term Debt	20,160	14,507	11,110	13,077	15,102
Shareholders' equity	123,856	119,194	121,776	109,079	97 , 388

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In 2000, domestic operations comprised 60% of the Company's consolidated sales and 28% of operating income. Domestic operating income was 3.8% of domestic sales while foreign operating income was 15.4% of foreign sales in 2000. Operating income as a percentage of sales is lower for domestic operations because gross profits on the domestic data communication product line are lower and a higher percentage of domestic sales are subject to sales commissions. This discussion and analysis of the Company's results of operations and financial condition is generally focused on the Company as a whole because the Company believes this presentation provides the most appropriate understanding of the Company's business. Note J to the Consolidated Financial Statements contains a description of the Company's operations and related financial disclosure for domestic and foreign operations.

In April 2000, the Company acquired the assets of Rack Technologies, an Australian manufacturer of rack system enclosures and accessories for the communications, electronics and security industries, to expand and complement the Company's communications product lines. In addition, in order to focus the Company's attention on more profitable product lines, in February 2000 the Company disposed of its foundry business located in Alabama. Sales associated with Rack Technologies of \$4.1 million in 2000 approximately offset the \$4.7 million decrease in sales resulting from the divestiture of the Company's foundry business. However, the gross profit of Rack Technologies is \$3.2 million better than the gross profit previously realized on sales of products from the foundry business. General and administrative expenses of the two operations approximately offset each other.

2000 RESULTS OF OPERATIONS COMPARED TO 1999

In 2000, consolidated revenues were \$207.3 million, an increase of \$12.1 million, or 6%, over 1999. The impact of volume and price (including mix) were favorable in the domestic market in 2000 and the increase in foreign sales was primarily volume-driven. In 2000, the relatively stronger dollar had a negative impact on sales of \$3.3\$ million when foreign sales were converted from foreign currency to U.S. dollars.

13

16

Gross profit improved \$5.2 million, or 9%, in 2000 compared to 1999 on a sales increase of 6%. This increase was equally attributable to (i) the elimination of the foundry business that carried a negative gross profit, (ii) the additional sales volume associated with Rack Technologies, and (iii) the increase in sales throughout the world. The stronger dollar resulted in \$1.0 million lower gross profit when international operations were translated from foreign currencies to U.S. dollars. As a result of the above, gross profit as a percent of sales improved from 29.9% in 1999 to 30.6% in 2000. Downward pressure on prices in the data communications market will make it difficult to maintain a similar percentage profit level in 2001.

Costs and expenses of \$46.2 million in 2000 represents a modest increase of \$.6 million, or slightly more than 1%, from the \$50.9 million incurred in 1999. The stronger dollar resulted in a decrease in costs and expenses of \$.6 million and expenses associated with the foundry business eliminated \$1 million of costs and expenses. These two items reduced cost and expenses by 3% compared to 1999. Partially offsetting these decreases in expenses was \$1 million of costs and

^{*} Reflects adjustment for two-for-one stock split effected in the form of a 100% stock dividend on June 10, 1998.

expenses of Rack Technologies following the acquisition of its assets. The Company expects costs and expenses to increase at a more significant rate in 2001. Selling expenses associated with introducing data communications products in the international markets may increase expenses up to \$2 million.

Operating income for 2000 increased \$4.6 million, or 36%, compared to 1999. This increase was a result of the 8% increase in gross profit while costs and expenses were relatively flat.

Total other income of \$2 million in 1999 decreased by \$2.2 million resulting in total other expense of \$.2 million in 2000. This decrease is comprised primarily of \$.6 million lower equity earnings resulting from the depressed markets in Japan, higher interest expense of \$.5 million from increased debt as discussed under the caption "Working Capital, Liquidity and Capital Resources" and an adjustment of accumulated amortization of approximately \$.9 million pertaining to the Company's investments in qualified affordable housing project limited partnerships. As adjusted, accumulated amortization equals the portion of the cost of the partnerships that has been realized to date, which is determined based upon the ratio of tax benefits received to date and tax benefits expected to be received over the life of the partnerships.

In 2000, income before income taxes increased \$2.4 million compared to 1999 as a result of the \$4.6 million increase in operating income offset by the decrease in other income of \$2.2 million.

The effective tax rate in 2000 was 35.5% compared to 30.7% in 1999. This difference is primarily the result of the write-down in the partnership investment which is not deductible for tax purposes and higher taxes in Canada as a result of the full utilization of tax credits in 1999. See Note F to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of the differences between the statutory tax rate and the effective tax rate.

Overall, 2000 net income increased \$.9 million, or 8%, from 1999. Earnings per share were \$1.91 in 2000 compared to \$1.71 in 1999.

1999 RESULTS OF OPERATIONS COMPARED TO 1998

In 1999, consolidated sales were \$195.2 million, which was a decrease of \$21.0 million, or 10%, from 1998. At the end of 1998, Lucent, a customer of the Company's data communications products, decided to manufacture product in-house that was previously purchased from the Company. This resulted in a decrease in domestic sales of \$17.6 million as compared to 1998 and represents a permanent reduction in sales. Domestic sales, excluding the impact of the loss of the Lucent sales, increased \$1.8 million. In January 1999 there was a devaluation of the currency in Brazil. This devaluation resulted in a decrease in sales of \$7.2 million in 1999 after converting financial statements denominated in foreign currency to dollars as compared to 1998. Excluding the impact of this devaluation, Brazil's sales would have increased by \$2.1 million. Sales throughout the rest of the foreign operations were flat when taken as a whole.

Gross profit in 1999 decreased \$13.3 million, or 18.5%, compared to 1998. The decrease in sales to Lucent accounts for \$6.3 million of this decrease. The remaining decrease is primarily attributable to the Company's activities in Brazil, lower export sales to Venezuela, higher manufacturing costs at the Alabama foundry, and increased depreciation expense related to additional manufacturing equipment for converting the outsourcing of raw materials to in-house production.

17

In 1999, costs and expenses were \$45.6 million, a decrease of \$1.0 million, or 2%, from the \$46.6 million incurred in 1998. This decrease is entirely related to the devaluation in Brazil.

The decrease in gross profit of \$13.3 million combined with the reduction in costs and expenses of \$1.0 million resulted in a decrease in operating income of \$12.3 million.

Other income -- net decreased from \$3.0 million in 1998 to \$1.4 million in 1999. The prior year amount included a gain on the sale of a plant of \$1.2 million.

Income before income taxes decreased \$13.7 million in 1999 as compared to 1998, primarily as a result of the decrease in gross profit.

Income taxes decreased \$4.9 million in 1999 as compared to 1998 as a result of the lower income. Earnings per share were \$1.71 in 1999 compared to \$3.10 in 1998.

WORKING CAPITAL, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company's cash flows for the years 1998 through 2000 are presented in the Company's statements of consolidated cash flows. Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$8.1 million, or 53%, in 2000 compared to 1999. This increase was primarily a result of a reduction in working capital during 2000, compared to an increase in 1999. The reduction in working capital was a result of the implementation of an initiative to reduce the rate of growth in inventory levels during 2000.

Capital expenditures were \$14.4 million in 2000, an increase of \$1.3 million from 1999. The Company estimates that capital expenditures will be slightly lower in 2001. During 2000 the Company acquired the assets of Rack Technologies for \$5.3 million and acquired 100% of the Company's subsidiary in China by purchasing the minority partner's 20% interest. Under the terms of the Rack Technologies acquisition, the Company is obligated to make additional payments based upon a percentage of future profits earned by the ongoing operation for the years 2000 and 2001. The payments will be recorded as goodwill and amortized over the remaining life of the original goodwill. The Company will make a payment of \$.8 million in 2001 for the year ended December 31, 2000 and anticipates making a payment of approximately \$.8 million in 2002 for the year ended December 31, 2001.

The above acquisitions were financed primarily with borrowings of \$3.0 million. During 1999, the Company began an active share repurchase program spending \$5.5 million on repurchases for the year. During 2000 amounts expended on the repurchase program was reduced to \$.9 million in an effort to conserve cash to finance potential future acquisitions. The Company expects that share repurchases will decrease further in 2001.

In 2000, cash generated from operating activities of \$23.5 million combined with an increase in net borrowings of \$3.0 million and \$1.9 million from the sale of the Alabama foundry business was used for capital expenditures of \$14.4 million, acquisitions of \$5.7 million, payment of dividends of \$3.5 million and stock repurchases of \$.9 million. This activity resulted in positive cash flows of \$3.9 million during 2000. After decreasing cash by \$1.3 million for fluctuations in exchange rates, cash and cash equivalents increased \$2.6 million in 2000 compared to 1999.

The Company's financial position remains strong with a ratio of current assets to current liabilities of 3.3:1 at December 31, 2000 and 3.4:1 at

December 31, 1999 and working capital of \$61.5 million at December 31, 2000. The Company's unused balance under its credit facilities at December 31, 2000 was \$22.6 million. The Company's long-term debt to equity ratio was only 16% at December 31, 2000. The Company believes that its existing credit facilities, internally generated funds and ability to obtain additional financing, if desired, will be sufficient to meet the Company's growth and operating needs for at least the next 12 months.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

The Financial Accounting Standards Board has issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. This statement, along with its amendments SFAS No. 137 and SFAS No. 138, will become effective for the Company for fiscal year

15

18

2001. The Company has evaluated the effects of these Statements on its accounting and reporting policies, and the adoption of the Statement will not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

OUANTITATIVE AND OUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company operates manufacturing facilities and offices around the world and uses fixed and floating rate debt to finance the Company's global operations. As a result, the Company is subject to business risks inherent in non-U.S. activities, including political and economic uncertainty, import and export limitations and market risk related to changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company believes the political and economic risks related to the Company's foreign operations are mitigated due to the stability of the countries in which the Company's largest foreign operations are located. Currently the Company does not use derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swaps or foreign currency forward exchange contracts to manage the Company's market risks nor does the Company hold derivatives for trading purposes.

The Company is exposed to market risk including changes in interest rates. The Company is subject to interest rate risk on its variable rate revolving credit facility, which consisted of borrowings of \$17.4 million at December 31, 2000. A 100 basis point increase in the interest rate would have resulted in an increase in interest expense of approximately \$200,000 for the year ended December 31, 2000.

The Company's primary currency rate exposures are to foreign denominated debt, intercompany debt and cash and short-term investments. The calculation of potential loss in fair values is based on an immediate change in the U.S. dollar equivalent balances of the Company's currency exposures due to a 10% shift in exchange rates. The potential loss in income before tax is based on the change over a one-year period resulting from an immediate 10% change in currency exchange rates. A hypothetical 10% change in currency exchange rates would have a favorable/unfavorable impact on fair values of \$1.9 million and income before tax of \$1 million.

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 COMPARED TO 2000

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

THREE MONTHS ENDED
MARCH 31,

	2001	2000
	THOUSANDS O	- ,
NET SALES AND INCOME		
Net sales	\$50 , 073	\$49,820
Operating income	35,054	34,499
<pre>Income before income taxes</pre>	2,081	3,684
Net income	1,121	2,831
Net income to net sales	2.2%	5.7%
PER SHARE AMOUNTS		
Net income	\$0.19	\$0.49
Dividends declared	0.15	0.15

For the three months ended March 31, 2001, consolidated revenues were \$50.1 million, an increase of \$.3 million over the prior year's three month period. A 12% increase in international revenues was partially offset by a decrease in domestic sales of 6%. The increase in international sales was driven by Rack Technologies which was acquired in April, 2000. Rack Technologies sales are included in the first quarter of 2001 but not 2000 and accounts for 57% of the international sales increase. The decrease in domestic sales is

16

19

attributable to the sale of a foundry in February 2000 which sales are included in the first quarter of 2000 but not 2001. This accounts for 70% of the domestic sales decrease. The Company is also seeing softness in demand for its products from its power utility customers. These customers are experiencing lower revenue as a result of a decrease in demand for power from their industrial customers as well as downward pressure on power prices as a result of deregulation in the industry.

Gross profit of \$15 million was an improvement of \$.1 million or 1% for the first quarter of 2001 compared to the prior year as a result of the increase in revenues.

Costs and expenses of \$12.9 million for the first quarter of 2001 were \$1.4 million higher than the same period in the prior year. The majority of this 12% increase was attributable to the introduction of the data communications product line in the international market. The increase was related to new employees, office rentals and travel expenses. The Company expects these selling expenses to continue throughout the year at a level approximating \$.5 million per quarter. The increase in selling effort is expected to be reflected in sales beginning in the second quarter of 2001. Also contributing to the increase in costs and expenses is the inclusion of Rack Technologies in the first quarter of 2001.

Operating income was \$2.2 million for the first quarter of 2001, a decrease of \$1.2 million compared to the first quarter of 2000. This decrease was caused primarily by the increase in selling expenses related to launching the datacommunication products in the international markets.

Total other expense of \$.1 million in 2001 is a decrease of \$.4 million from other income included in the prior year. This decrease is a result of lower equity earnings from the Company's joint ventures and higher interest expense resulting from a higher borrowing level during the first quarter of 2001.

As a result of a \$1.2 million decrease in operating income and a \$.4 million decrease in other income, income before taxes decreased \$1.6 million.

In 2000 the effective tax rate was reduced due to the sale of a foundry business.

As a result of the above, net income was \$1.1 million which represents a decrease of \$1.7 million from the prior year. Earnings per share for the first three months of 2001 were 19 cents compared to 49 cents in the first three months of 2000.

WORKING CAPITAL, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net cash used for operating activities was \$1.2 million for the first three months of 2001 compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$3.7 million for the comparable period in 2000. This change of \$4.9 million was caused primarily by an increase in inventory of \$1.8 million in 2001 compared to a decrease of \$.6 million in the prior year; a reduction in net income of \$1.7 million; a reduction in income taxes payable of \$1.5 million in 2001 compared to an increase of \$.1 million in 2000; an increase in payables and accruals of \$1.1 million in 2001 compared to an increase of \$1.9 million in 2000, offset by the change in other -- net of \$1.2 million year over year.

Net cash used in investing activities was approximately the same for the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000. Although capital expenditures decreased by \$2.6 million in 2001 compared to 2000, the prior year includes \$1.9 million in proceeds from the sale of property and equipment.

The \$1.2 million net cash used for operating activities and the \$3.1 million cash used in financing activities in 2001 were financed by a \$1.8 million increase in notes payable to banks and a net increase in long-term debt of \$2.7 million.

The above activity combined with \$.9 million in dividends, \$.3 million stock repurchases and a \$.5 million negative foreign currency impact resulted in a decrease in cash of \$1.5 million.

ITEM 3. PROPERTIES

The Company currently owns or leases 16 facilities, which together contain approximately 1.5 million square feet of manufacturing, warehouse, research and development, sales and office space worldwide. Most of

17

20

the Company's international facilities contain space for offices, research and engineering (R&E), warehousing and manufacturing with manufacturing using a majority of the space. The following table provides information regarding the Company's facilities:

LOCA	ATION	USE 	OWNED/LEASED	SQUARE
1.	Mayfield Village, Ohio	Corporate Headquarters Research and Engineering Center	Owned	62 , 0
2.	Rogers, Arkansas	Manufacturing	Owned	310,0
		Warehouse Office		
3.	Melbourne, Florida	Manufacturing	Leased	21,0
		R&E Office		
4.	Albemarle, North Carolina	Manufacturing	Owned	261,0

		Warehouse Office		
5.	Asheville, North Carolina	Manufacturing	Owned	46,3
	,	R&E	Leased	34,2
		Warehouse		,
		Office		
6.	Sydney, Australia	Manufacturing	Leased	17 , 2
		R&E		
		Warehouse		
		Office		
7.	Sydney, Australia	Manufacturing	Owned	90 , 9
		R&E		
		Warehouse		
		Office		
8.	Sao Paulo, Brazil	Manufacturing	Owned	146,2
		R&E		
		Warehouse		
		Office		
9.	Cambridge, Ontario, Canada	Manufacturing	Owned	70,4
		Warehouse		
		Office		
10.	Andover, Hampshire, England	_	Owned	115 , 9
		R&E		
		Warehouse		
		Office		
11.	Queretaro, Mexico	Manufacturing	Owned	50 , 0
		Warehouse		
		Office		
12.	Pietermaritzburg, South Africa	_	Owned	74,2
		R&E		
		Warehouse		
1.0		Office		
13.	Sevilla, Spain	3	Owned	70,0
		R&E		
		Warehouse Office		
		OTTICE		

18

21

LOCA	ATION	USE 	OWNED/LEASED	SQUARE
14.	Beijing, China	Manufacturing Warehouse Office	Owned	37 , 7
15.	Lower Hutt, New Zealand	Manufacturing Warehouse Office	Leased	10,3
16.	Glenrothes Fife, Scotland	Manufacturing Warehouse Office	Leased	30,0

ITEM 4. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table shows the amount of the Company's Common Shares beneficially owned as of July 2, 2001 by (a) the Company's directors, (b) each other person known by the Company to own beneficially more than 5% of the

outstanding Common Shares, (c) the Company's Chief Executive Officer, and the other four most highly compensated executive officers named in the Summary Comparison Table, and (d) the Company's executive officers and directors as a group.

	NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES	PERCENT
NAME OF BENEFICIAL OWNER	BENEFICIALLY OWNED	OF CLASS
Barbara P. Ruhlman(1)	1,537,430(2)	26.7%
Thomas F. Peterson, Jr	617,266(3)	10.7%
Pepper Pike, Ohio 44124		
Jon R. Ruhlman(1)	509,463(4)	8.9%
The Royce Funds	360,000	6.3%
1414 Avenue of the Americas		
New York, New York 10019		
Robert G. Ruhlman(1)	394,312(4)(5)	6.8%
Randall M. Ruhlman	226,266(6)	3.9%
John D. Drinko	521,178(7)	9.1%
1900 East Ninth Street		
3200 National City Center		
Cleveland, Ohio 44114		
Wilber C. Nordstrom	14,000	*
Frank B. Carr	6,000(8)	*
Kenneth W. Brownell	9,500(4)	*
Eric R. Graef	5,400(4)	*
R. Jon Barnes	5,000(4)	*
All Executive Officers and Directors as a Group (14		
persons)	3,141,475	54.7%

^{*} Represents less than 1%.

19

22

Randall M. Ruhlman and his children (these 60,000 shares are also shown as being beneficially owned by Randall M. Ruhlman) and 14,768 shares owned by his wife or held by her as custodian or trustee.

⁽¹⁾ The mailing address for each of Barbara P. Ruhlman, Jon R. Ruhlman and Robert G. Ruhlman is 660 Beta Drive, Mayfield Village, Ohio 44143.

⁽²⁾ Includes 112,776 shares held by The Thomas F. Peterson Foundation, of which Barbara P. Ruhlman is President and a Trustee.

⁽³⁾ Includes 123,240 shares over which Mr. Peterson exercises voting control under a custodial arrangement for the benefit of Mr. Peterson's adult children.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes 5,000 shares that may be acquired pursuant to a currently exercisable stock option.

⁽⁵⁾ Includes 134,144 shares held by the Preformed Line Products Company Profit Sharing Trust, and 60,000 shares held in trust for the benefit of Robert G. Ruhlman and his children and for the benefit of

- (6) Includes 60,000 shares held in trust for the benefit of Randall M. Ruhlman and his children and for the benefit of Robert G. Ruhlman and his children (these 60,000 shares are also shown as being beneficially owned by Robert G. Ruhlman).
- (7) Includes 400,452 shares held in the Ethel B. Peterson Trust for which John D. Drinko acts as Trust Advisor and has voting control. Also includes 10,400 shares held in Mr. Drinko's IRA and 2,000 shares held by his wife.
- (8) Includes 2,000 shares held in Mr. Carr's IRA.

ITEM 5. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The Company's directors are divided into two classes. Class I is comprised of Mrs. Barbara P. Ruhlman and Messrs. Robert G. Ruhlman and Frank B. Carr. Class II is comprised of Messrs. John D. Drinko, Wilber C. Nordstrom, Jon R. Ruhlman and Randall M. Ruhlman. The current term of the Class I directors expires at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held in April 2003. The current term of the Class II directors expires at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held in April 2002. The Company's Executive Officers serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors.

NAME	AGE	POSITION
Jon R. Ruhlman	73 45	Director, Chairman of the Company Director; President and Chief Executive Officer
Frank B. Carr	73	Director
John D. Drinko	80	Director
Wilber C. Nordstrom	82	Director
Barbara P. Ruhlman	68	Director
Randall M. Ruhlman	43	Director
R. Jon Barnes	48	Vice President Marketing and Sales
Eric R. Graef	49	Vice President Finance; Treasurer
William H. Haag	38	Vice President International Operations
Robert C. Hazenfield	47	Vice President Research and Engineering
Michael S. Pezo	50	Vice President Manufacturing
Robert L. Weber	60	Vice President Employee Relations
J. Richard Hamilton	72	Secretary

Each of the officers has held the position identified above for at least the last five years except as noted below.

Jon R. Ruhlman has been the Chairman of the Company since 1975. He served as Chief Executive Officer from 1975 until July 2000. Jon R. Ruhlman joined the Company in 1954 as an engineer in the Company's Research and Engineering Center. He has served as a Director of the Company since 1956.

Robert G. Ruhlman became Chief Executive Officer in July 2000. He had served as President since 1995 (a position he continues to hold) and Chief Operating Officer from 1995 until July 2000. Robert G. Ruhlman joined the Company in 1979 as an engineer in the Company's former Marine Products Division. He served as Vice President, Corporate Planning from 1989 until becoming Executive Vice President in 1992. He has served as a Director of the Company since 1992.

Mr. Carr, a private investor, has served as a Director of the Company since 1975. From 1983 to 1996, Mr. Carr was a Managing Director of McDonald & Company

Securities, Inc., Cleveland, Ohio, an investment banking and brokerage firm, and a partner in its predecessor firm (McDonald & Company) since 1968. Beginning in 1970 until 1994, Mr. Carr was responsible for management of McDonald's corporate finance activities. Mr. Carr also serves as a director of Invacare, Inc.

20

23

Mr. Drinko has been a senior partner in the national law firm Baker & Hostetler LLP since 1986. He has served as a Director of the Company since 1954.

Wilber C. Nordstrom was Executive Vice President and a director of The Standard Products Company until his retirement in 1983. He has served as a Director of the Company since 1977.

Mrs. Barbara P. Ruhlman has been the President of the Thomas F. Peterson Foundation since 1988. She is a director or trustee of a number of Cleveland-based charitable and education organizations. She has served as a Director of the Company since 1988.

Randall M. Ruhlman has been the President of Ruhlman Motorsports since 1987. He has served as a Director of the Company since 1998.

R. Jon Barnes was elected Vice President -- Marketing and Sales in January 1998. He held the position of Vice President -- Telecommunications Sales from 1993 until January 1998.

Eric R. Graef was elected Vice President -- Finance, Treasurer in December 1999. Prior to that time, Mr. Graef was employed by The Lubrizol Corporation, a \$1.7 billion specialty chemical manufacturer, in various financial positions from 1986 until rejoining the Company in December 1999. Mr. Graef was previously employed by the Company from 1978 through 1986.

William H. Haag was elected Vice President -- International Operations in April 1999. He was managing director of the Company's Australian subsidiary in 1995 and 1996. From January 1997 until January 1999 he was a Regional Operations Manager and from January 1999 until April 1999 he was the director of International Operations.

Robert C. Hazenfield has served as Vice President -- Research and Engineering since April 1998. He served as Director of Research and Engineering from January 1998 until April 1998 and as Manager of Telecommunication Engineering from 1987 until December 1997.

Michael S. Pezo was elected Vice President -- Manufacturing in April 1997. Prior to that, from May 1995 until April 1997 he served as Director of Manufacturing.

Robert L. Weber began his employment with the Company in 1960. He was elected Vice President -- Employee Relations in 1986.

J. Richard Hamilton has served as the Secretary of the Company since 1991. He has been a senior partner in the national law firm Baker & Hostetler LLP since 1993.

Barbara P. Ruhlman and Jon R. Ruhlman are married and are the parents of Randall M. Ruhlman and Robert G. Ruhlman.

ITEM 6. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table shows the compensation for 2000 of the Chief Executive Officer and the next four highest-paid executive officers of the Company.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

						NG-TERM ATION AWARDS
	ANI 	NUAL COMPENS	SATION	OTHER ANNUAL	SHARE	ALL OTHER
NAME AND		SALARY	BONUS	COMPENSATION	OPTIONS	COMPENSATI
PRINCIPAL POSITION	YEAR	(\$)	(\$)(1)	(\$)(2)	#	(\$)(3)
Robert G. Ruhlman President and Chief	2000	\$270 , 000	\$108 , 000		10,000	\$ 52 , 347
Executive Officer Jon R. Ruhlman Chairman of the Company(4)	2000	480,000	192 , 000		10,000	126,430

21

24

						NG-TERM ATION AWARDS
NAME AND PRINCIPAL POSITION	YEAR	UAL COMPENS SALARY (\$)	BONUS (\$)(1)	OTHER ANNUAL COMPENSATION (\$)(2)	SHARE OPTIONS #	ALL OTHER COMPENSATI (\$)(3)
Eric R. Graef Vice President Finance and Treasurer	2000	172,500	69,000		10,000	31,161
Kenneth W. Brownell President Superior Modular Products, Inc.	2000	190,000	37,620		10,000	39 , 791
R. Jon Barnes Vice President Sales and Marketing	2000	148,000	59,200		10,000	29 , 183

⁽¹⁾ The Bonus Plan for all named executive officers except for Mr. Brownell is discussed in the Compensation (Salary) Committee Report. The bonus for Mr. Brownell is computed based on the percentage of attainment of the annual earnings goal for Superior Modular Products Incorporated and its affiliated company BBR Ltd.

⁽²⁾ No named executive officer received perquisites and other personal benefits above the threshold amounts specified in the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

⁽³⁾ Reflects the Company's contributions to the Profit Sharing Plan, including accruals to the related Supplemental Plan. Also includes, for Jon R. Ruhlman, premiums paid on "split dollar" life insurance policies covering Mr. and Mrs. Ruhlman, which totaled \$32,173, in 2000.

⁽⁴⁾ Jon R. Ruhlman served as Chief Executive Officer until July 2000.

OPTION GRANTS IN LAST FISCAL YEAR

INDIVIDUAL GRANTS

						_
		PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL OPTIONS GRANTED TO			POTENTIAL I VALUE AT ANNUAL RATI PRICE APPREC	A ES CI
	OPTIONS	EMPLOYEES	EXERCISE OR	EXPIRATION	OPIION .	- C
NAME	GRANTED(1)	IN 2000(2)	BASE PRICE	DATE	5%	
NAME	GRANIED(I)	IN 2000(2)	DASE PRICE	DAIL	56	
Robert G. Ruhlman	10,000	6.45%	\$16.638	2/15/05	\$26 , 658	
Jon R. Ruhlman	10,000	6.45%	16.638	2/15/05	26,658	
Eric R. Graef	10,000	6.45%	15.125	2/15/10	83,388	
Kenneth W. Brownell	10,000	6.45%	15.125	2/15/10	83 , 398	
R. Jon Barnes	10,000	6.45%	15.125	2/15/10	83,388	

⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻

(3) These amounts are based on hypothetical appreciation rates of 5% and 10% and are not intended to forecast the actual future appreciation of our Common Shares. No gain to optionees is possible without an actual increase in the price of our Common Shares, which would benefit all of our shareholders. All calculations are based on a 10-year option period, except for the Ruhlmans' calculations, which are based upon five years.

22

25

AGGREGATED OPTION EXERCISES IN LAST FISCAL YEAR AND FISCAL YEAR-END OPTION VALUES

			NUMBER OF	VALU
			UNEXERCISED	UNEXE
			OPTIONS	IN-THE
			AT FISCAL	OPTIC
	SHARES	VALUE	YEAR-END	YEAR-E
	ACQUIRED ON	REALIZED	EXERCISABLE/	EXERCI
NAME	EXERCISE (#)	(\$)	UNEXERCISABLE	UNEXER
Robert G. Ruhlman			/10,000	/
Jon R. Ruhlman			/10 , 000	/
Eric R. Graef			/10 , 000	/
Kenneth W. Brownell			/10 , 000	/
R. Jon Barnes			/10,000	/

1999 STOCK OPTION PLAN

⁽¹⁾ Each of the options was granted on February 15, 2000 and is subject to a three-year vesting schedule. The options become exercisable 50% one year after the date of the grant, 75% after two years, and 100% after three years from the date of the grant.

⁽²⁾ Based on 155,000 share options granted to all employees in 2000.

Under the 1999 Stock Option Plan, awards of options to purchase shares of Common Stock, \$2.00 par value, of the Company ("Common Shares") may be made to certain employees of the Company or its subsidiaries. The options provided for under the 1999 Stock Option Plan may be either incentive stock options intended to qualify for favorable tax treatment under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code or nonqualified stock options which do not qualify for such treatment.

The aggregate number of Common Shares with respect to which awards may be made under the 1999 Stock Option Plan is 300,000. Such maximum number of Common Shares is subject to appropriate adjustment upon the occurrence of certain events, including stock dividends, recapitalizations, mergers, reorganizations, consolidations, stock splits, stock consolidations or certain other changes in the Common Shares. Common Shares which are not purchased under an option which has terminated or lapsed may be used for the further grant of options under the 1999 Stock Option Plan.

The 1999 Stock Option Plan is administered by the Salary Committee, each member of which is an "outside director" within the meaning of the Code. Subject to the terms of the 1999 Stock Option Plan, the Salary Committee has sole authority to determine and designate persons to whom awards are to be made under the 1999 Stock Option Plan and the nature and terms, including vesting schedules, of such awards.

Options granted under the 1999 Stock Option Plan may not be exercised more than 10 years after the date of grant. The aggregate fair market value (determined on the date of grant) of the Common Shares subject to incentive stock options under all option plans of the Company (and its subsidiary corporations) which are exercisable for the first time by an employee in any calendar year may not exceed \$100,000. In no event shall there be granted under the 1999 Stock Option Plan to any employee in any calendar year options to purchase more than 50,000 Common Shares. The Salary Committee, in its sole discretion, will determine the vesting schedule of each option granted under the 1999 Stock Option Plan; provided, however, that options may not be exercised during the first year after they are granted. The 1999 Stock Option Plan provides that the option price shall not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the Common Shares on the date such option is granted or 110% of such fair market value in the case of an incentive stock option granted to an employee holding more than 10% of the Company's outstanding Common Shares on the date of grant. The purchase price of the Common Shares subject to options must be paid in full by the employee at the time of exercise of such option in either cash or Common Shares.

No cash consideration was received by the Company for granting options under the 1999 Stock Option Plan. Stock options will be granted in consideration of the services rendered or to be rendered to the Company by the employees receiving the options.

23

26

SALARIED EMPLOYEES' PROFIT SHARING PLAN

The Company maintains a tax-qualified profit-sharing plan. Any employee who is paid on a salaried basis and who has completed two full years of service with the Company is eligible to participate in the plan as of the date such service is completed. A participating employee is fully vested in his or her account balance at all times, including any interest or other income credited to the participant's account. Each year, the Company contributes up to 15% of its current net profits for the fiscal year coinciding with the plan year, or such greater or smaller percentage as may from time to time be fixed by the Board of Directors of the Company. Such contribution, however, cannot exceed the current

or accumulated net profits, except as may be necessary to provide statutory mandated minimum contributions for non-highly-compensated employees. Contributions are allocated based upon a formula dependent on years of service and amount of compensation paid to the participant in a particular plan year. Participants are permitted to direct the investment of their account balances in a predetermined set of investment alternatives. One of the investment alternatives is a Company stock fund, which holds both Company stock and cash. The plan generally only makes distributions to vested participants upon their termination of employment (for whatever reason), but does have a provision that permits hardship distributions for employees prior to termination of employment. The plan also permits loans in compliance with the Internal Revenue Code requirements for such plan features.

SUPPLEMENTAL PROFIT SHARING PLAN

In January 1996, the Company adopted a Supplemental Profit Sharing Plan (the "Supplemental Plan") that provided benefits for certain of its executive officers and key employees whose contributions under the profit-sharing plan are limited by the requirements of Internal Revenue Code Sections 401(a)17, 404(a)(3), and/or 415(c)(1).

In order to be eligible to participate in the Supplemental Plan, an individual must be a participant under the Profit Sharing Plan who also is among a select group of management or highly compensated employees within the meaning of Sections 201(2), 301(a)(3), and 401(a)(1) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The Board of Directors of the Company has complete discretionary authority to make this determination. In order to be eligible for contributions to be made under the Supplemental Plan for a particular year, an otherwise eligible employee must have a Company contribution allocated for such plan year under the profit-sharing plan limited or reduced by reason of application of the limitations of the Code Sections cited above. In such case, a participant will have credited or allocated to his account under the Supplemental Plan a benefit equal to the difference between the amount of Company contributions that the participant otherwise would have had allocated to his account under the Profit Sharing Plan for such year (assuming the participant's compensation would not otherwise have been limited under the Code Sections cited above), over the amount of contributions actually allocated to the participant's accounts under the profit sharing plan for such year.

All benefits under this Supplemental Plan are unfunded, and the Company is not required to establish any special or separate fund, or make any other segregation of assets in order to assure payment of any amounts under the Plan. However, the Company is authorized, if it wishes, to create a trust fund for such purpose. The accounts of participants are credited with interest, based on U.S. Treasury obligations, as set by the Board of Directors of the Company from time to time. Benefits generally are payable after termination of employment.

EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT

The Company has a five-year employment contract with Mr. Brownell to serve as President and Chief Executive Officer of Superior Modular Products Inc., which expires December 2, 2003. His salary is established at not less than \$190,000 annually, plus a bonus calculated under a formula based upon the percentage of attainment of annually established profit goals. The Agreement includes a covenant not to compete during the term of the Agreement and for a period of two years after its termination.

24

27

There are no Salary Committee interlocks. John D. Drinko, a member of the Salary Committee, is senior partner of Baker & Hostetler LLP, which firm acts as general legal counsel for the Company.

COMPENSATION (SALARY) COMMITTEE REPORT

The Company's executive compensation program is administered by the Salary Committee, which has responsibility for reviewing all aspects of the compensation program for the executive officers of the Company. The Committee is comprised of the three directors listed at the end of this report, none of whom is an employee of the Company.

The Committee's primary objective with respect to executive compensation is to establish programs which attract and retain key managers and align their compensation with the Company's overall business strategies, values, and performance. To this end, the Committee has established and the Board of Directors has endorsed an executive compensation philosophy to compensate executive officers based on their responsibilities and the Company's overall annual and longer-term performance.

Until 2000, when the Company's shareholders approved its Employee Stock Option Plan, the primary components of the Company's executive compensation program have been (a) base salaries, and (b) annual cash incentive opportunities. These components are discussed below.

Base Salaries. Base salaries for each of the Company's executive officers are reviewed every 18 to 24 months by the Committee using as a guide one or more widely accepted salary evaluation systems, taking into account the size of the Company, expectations for the annual bonus plan described below and company performance, and competitive, inflationary, and internal equity considerations. The salary of Robert G. Ruhlman, Chief Executive Officer, was set by the Committee to be within a range that is competitive with the fixed salaries of chief executive officers of similar size companies with comparable profitability. At the time of his promotion on July 1, 2000, to Chief Executive Officer, the Committee increased his salary from \$240,000 to \$300,000. This brought Mr. Ruhlman's salary to what the Committee believes is nearly the mid-level range of comparable salaries.

Annual Cash Incentives. All officers of the Company are eligible to receive annual cash bonus awards based on a set percentage of their base salary with a maximum bonus attainable equal to 50% of base salary. The percentage of base salary is determined on a sliding scale, based on the return on shareholders' equity. The bonus awards for all officers for the years 1998, 1999 and 2000 were 50%, 30% and 40%, respectively, of base salary.

Stock Options. The Committee has awarded options to purchase 155,000 shares of the Company's Common stock. While the Committee has only had the opportunity to grant stock options for one year, it believes that option grants are a valuable motivating tool and provide a long-term incentive to management. Share option grants reinforce long-term goals by providing the proper nexus between the interests of management and the interests of the Company's shareholders. All options were awarded to retain qualified personnel in positions of significant responsibility with the Company and its subsidiaries. No options were granted to employees who had been with the Company for less than three years and all options contained provisions for periodic vesting. All named executive officers were granted options but no officer received options for more than 10,000 shares. All option grants had exercise prices at least equal to the fair market value of the Company's Common shares on the date of the grant.

John D. Drinko, Chairman Wilber C. Nordstrom Frank B. Carr

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Directors who are not employees receive a yearly cash retainer fee of \$12,000, plus \$1,400 for each board meeting that they attend and \$1,400 for each committee meeting that they attend.

25

28

ITEM 7. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The Company is a sponsor of Ruhlman Motorsports. Ruhlman Motorsports is owned by Randall M. Ruhlman, a director of the Company, and by his wife. In 1998, 1999 and 2000, the Company paid \$390,354, \$707,738 and \$691,004, respectively, to Ruhlman Motorsports in sponsorship fees and for related promotional materials. In addition, in 1998, 1999 and 2000, the Company's Canadian subsidiary, Preformed Line Products (Canada) Ltd., paid \$49,405, \$60,974 and \$80,000, respectively, to Ruhlman Motorsports in sponsorship fees and for related promotional materials. This sponsorship provides the Company with a unique venue to entertain the Company's customers and to advertise on the race car which participates on the Trans-Am racing circuit. The Company believes that its sponsorship contract with Ruhlman Motorsports is as favorable to the Company as a similar contract with a similar independent third-party racing team would be. The Company and Preformed Line Products (Canada) Ltd. have continued to sponsor Ruhlman Motorsports in 2001.

Mr. John D. Drinko, one of the Company's directors, is a senior partner in Baker & Hostetler LLP, which acts as our general outside counsel. The Company expects that Baker & Hostetler LLP will continue to provide legal services in that capacity in 2001.

The Company paid fees of \$268,475, \$96,813 and \$112,838 to Liggett-Stashhower, Inc. during 1998, 1999 and 2000, respectively. The brother of Jon R. Ruhlman, a director of the Company, is an officer of Liggett-Stashhower. Liggett-Stashhower is a Cleveland, Ohio based advertising and public relations firm which assists the Company with its advertising and the publication of its annual report. The Company believes that the fees paid to Liggett-Stashhower were substantially similar to the fees that would have been required to be paid to an unaffiliated third-party public relations firm for similar service. The Company has continued to contract for the services of Liggett-Stashhower in 2001.

ITEM 8. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is not party to any pending legal proceedings that the Company believes would, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 9. MARKET PRICE OF AND DIVIDENDS ON THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The Common Shares of the Company have been traded on the over-the-counter market (OTC) under the ticker symbol PLIN. The Company intends to file an application to have the Common Shares of the Company listed on the Nasdaq System. The Common Share price history below is based on the high and low selling price as quoted by McDonald Investments, Inc., a market maker for the Company's Common Shares. The quotations do not reflect adjustments for retail mark-ups, mark-downs, or commissions and may not necessarily reflect actual transactions.

1999	HIGH	LOW	DIVIDEND
First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter	\$28.25 22.75 21.25 19.00	\$20.75 18.25 15.50 15.00	\$0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15
2000	HIGH	LOW	DIVIDEND
First Quarter. Second Quarter. Third Quarter. Fourth Quarter.	\$16.50 19.00 18.00 16.75	\$13.25 14.00 15.00 13.50	\$0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15
26 29			
2001	HIGH	LOW	DIVIDEND
First Quarter	\$17.25	\$13.00	\$0.15

While the Company expects to continue to pay dividends of a comparable amount in the near term, the declaration and payment of future dividends will be made at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors in light of then current needs of the Company. Therefore, there can be no assurance that the Company will continue to make such dividend payments in the future.

The Company had approximately 220 shareholders of record on March 16, 2001.

ITEM 10. RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES

In 1999 and 2000, an aggregate of 33,301 Common Shares of the Company were transferred to a total of 10 employees or retired former employees of the Company as a distribution to them from the Company's Profit Sharing Plan. See the description of the Plan in Item 6, Salaried Employees' Profit Sharing Plan. All contributions into that Plan are funded entirely by the Company. The Plan allows a participant to elect to receive a percentage of his account balance in Company Common Shares when he is eligible to receive a distribution under the Plan. The Company relies on Rule 701 of the Securities Act to exempt such distributions from registration.

Options to purchase a total of 167,000 Common Shares have been granted to employees of the Company pursuant to the 1999 Employees' Stock Option Plan (see the description of the plan in the 1999 Stock Option Plan). None of such options has to date been exercised. The Company relies on Rule 701 of the Securities Act as an exemption from registration for the issuance of the options. In addition, if these options are exercised, the Company expects to rely on Rule 701 of the Securities Act as an exemption from registration, unless by then the 300,000 Common Shares allocated to the Plan have been registered under the Securities Act of 1933. Options have been granted as follows:

13.88

0.15

- On February 16, 2000, options to purchase a total of 135,000 Common Shares were granted to employees of the Company at an exercise price of \$15.125 per Common Share. A total of 50% of such options vested or first became exercisable February 16, 2001, 25% of such options will become exercisable February 16, 2002, and the remaining 25% of such options will become exercisable February 16, 2003.
- On February 16, 2000, options to purchase a total of 20,000 Common Shares were granted to employees of the Company holding more than 10% of the Company's outstanding Common Shares on the date of grant at an exercise price of \$16.638 per Common Share. A total of 50% of such options vested or first became exercisable February 16, 2001, 25% of such options will become exercisable February 16, 2002, and the remaining 25% of such options will become exercisable February 16, 2003.
- On April 30, 2001, options to purchase a total of 12,000 Common Shares were granted to employees of the Company at an exercise price of \$15.00 per Common Share. A total of 50% of such options will vest or first become exercisable April 30, 2002, 25% of such options will become exercisable April 30, 2003, and the remaining 25% of such options will become exercisable April 30, 2004.

Each option granted under the 1999 Stock Option Plan may be exercised, to the extent vested, for a period of ten years from the date of grant or five years from the date of grant in the case of options granted to an employee holding more than 10% of the Company's outstanding Common Shares on the date of grant.

ITEM 11. DESCRIPTION OF REGISTRANT'S SECURITIES TO BE REGISTERED

The Company is registering its Common Shares, \$2 par value per share. The Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation authorize the issuance of 15 million shares. As of March 30, 2001, there were 5,747,687 Common Shares issued and outstanding and approximately 220 shareholders of record. National City Bank acts as transfer agent and registrant of the Company's Common Shares. The Company has not authorized the issuance of any class of preferred shares. Holders of the Company's Common Shares are entitled to receive dividends, when, as and if declared by the Board of Directors of the Company, out of

27

30

funds legally available therefor. The holders of Common Shares, upon any liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of the Company are entitled to share ratably in any assets remaining after payment in full of all liabilities of the Company. The Common Shares possess ordinary voting rights, each share entitling the holder thereof to one vote. Holders of Common Shares do not have cumulative voting rights in the election of directors and do not have preemptive rights.

In accordance with the Company's Code of Regulations, the Board of Directors is divided into two classes, one class has three directors and one class has four directors. Each class of directors is elected for a term of two years. Therefore, at each annual meeting of shareholders, only a portion of the Board is up for election. Furthermore, any amendment to the provisions of the Company's Code of Regulations dealing with (i) classification of the Board of Directors or (ii) the removal of any board members requires the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the voting power of the Company. The Company's Code of Regulation contains provisions relating to shareholder proposed business at an annual meeting of shareholders. In summary, in order for a shareholder proposed matter to be properly brought before the annual meeting shareholders must provide the Secretary of the Company written notice of the matter containing the required information not less than 90 and not more than 120 days prior to the

one year anniversary of the date of the annual meeting of the previous year.

CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF OHIO LAW

Section 1701.59 of the Ohio Revised Code (the "Ohio Code") provides, with certain limited exceptions, that a director shall be held liable in damages for any action he takes or fails to take as a director only if it is proved by clear and convincing evidence that his action or failure to act involved an act or omission undertaken with deliberate intent to cause injury to the corporation or with reckless disregard for its best interest. In addition, Section 1701.59 of the Ohio Code provides that a director of an Ohio corporation, in determining what he reasonably believes to be in the best interests of the corporation, shall consider the interests of the corporation's shareholders and may consider, in his discretion, any of the following: (i) the interests of the corporation's employees, suppliers, creditors and customers; (ii) the economy of the State of Ohio and the nation; (iii) community and societal considerations; and (iv) the long-term as well as short-term interests of the corporation and its shareholders, including the possibility that these interests may be best served by the continued independence of the corporation.

The Ohio Code also authorizes Ohio corporations to indemnify officers and directors from liability if the officer or director acted in good faith and in a manner reasonably believed by the officer or director to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation and, with respect to any criminal actions, if the officer or director had no reason to believe his action was unlawful. In the case of an action by or on behalf of a corporation, indemnification may not be made (i) if the person seeking indemnification is adjudged liable for negligence or misconduct, unless the court in which such action was brought determines such person is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnification or (ii) if liability asserted against such person concerns certain unlawful distributions. The indemnification provisions of the Ohio Code require indemnification if a director or officer has been successful on the merits or otherwise in defense of any action, suit or proceeding that he was a party to by reason of the fact that he is or was a director or officer of the corporation. The indemnification authorized under Ohio law is not exclusive and is in addition to any other rights granted to officers and directors under the articles of incorporation or code of regulations of the corporation or any agreement between officers and director and the corporation. The Company's Code of Regulations provides for the indemnification of directors and officers of the Company to the maximum extent permitted by Ohio law as authorized by the Board of Directors of the Company, and for the advancement of expenses incurred in connection with the defense of any action, suit or proceeding that he was a party to by reason of the fact that he is or was a director of the Company upon the receipt of an undertaking to repay such amount unless it is ultimately determined that the director is entitled to indemnification. A corporation may purchase and maintain insurance or furnish similar protection on behalf of any officer or director against any liability asserted against him and incurred by him in his capacity, or arising out of the status, as an officer or director, whether or not the corporation would have the power to indemnify him against such liability under the Ohio Code.

28

31

Chapter 1704 of the Ohio Code prohibits certain mergers, dispositions and acquisitions of assets, issuances or purchases of securities, liquidations or dissolutions, or reclassifications of the then outstanding shares of an Ohio corporation with 50 or more shareholders (an issuing public corporation) involving, or for the benefit of, certain holders of shares representing 10% or more of the voting power (other than a current 10% shareholder that does not increase its present proportional interest) (an "Interested Shareholder"), unless (i) the applicable transaction is approved by the directors of the

Company prior to the shareholder becoming an Interested Shareholder, (ii) the acquisition of 10% of the voting power is approved by the directors prior to the shareholder becoming an Interested Shareholder, or (iii) the transaction involves an Interested Shareholder who has been such for at least three years and the transaction is approved by holders of two-thirds of the voting power of the Company (or a lesser proportion provided in the articles of incorporation) and the holders of a majority of the voting power not held by the Interested Shareholder or certain minimum price and form of consideration requirements are met.

Section 1707.041 of the Ohio Code regulates control bids for corporations in Ohio having certain concentrations of Ohio shareholders and permits the Ohio Division of Securities to suspend a control bid if certain information is not provided to offerees. A control bid includes the purchase or offer to purchase any equity security of the Company from a resident of Ohio if, after the purchase of that security, the offeror would be directly or indirectly the beneficial owner of more than 10% of any class of issued and outstanding equity securities of the Company. Section 1707.043 of the Ohio Code, the so-called "green mail disgorgement" statute, provides an Ohio corporation, or in certain circumstances the shareholders of an Ohio corporation, the right to recover profits realized under certain circumstances by persons who dispose of securities of a corporation within 18 months of proposing to acquire such corporation.

It is possible that the foregoing provisions, including the classified Board of Directors, could discourage other persons from making a tender offer for or acquisition of substantial amounts of the Company's Common Shares, or may delay changes in control or management of the Company.

ITEM 12. INDEMNIFICATION OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

As stated above, in Item 11, Ohio Code authorizes Ohio corporations to indemnify officers and directors from liability if the officer or director acted in good faith and in a manner reasonably believed by the officer or director to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation, and, with respect to any criminal actions, if the officer or director had no reason to believe his action was unlawful. In the case of an action by or on behalf of a corporation, indemnification may not be made (i) if the person seeking indemnification is adjudged liable for negligence or misconduct, unless the court in which such action was brought determines such person is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnification, or (ii) if liability asserted against such person concerns certain unlawful distributions. The indemnification provisions of the Ohio Code require indemnification if a director or officer has been successful on the merits or otherwise in defense of any action, suit or proceeding that he was a party to by reason of the fact that he is or was a director or officer of the corporation. The indemnification authorized under Ohio law is not exclusive and is in addition to any other rights granted to officers and directors under the articles of incorporation or code of regulations of the corporation or any agreement between officers and directors and the corporation. A corporation may purchase and maintain insurance or furnish similar protection on behalf of any officer or director against any liability asserted against him and incurred by him in his capacity, or arising out of the status, as an officer or director, whether or not the corporation would have the power to indemnify him against such liability under the Ohio Code.

The Company's Code of Regulations provides for the indemnification of directors and officers of the Company to the maximum extent permitted by Ohio law as authorized by the Board of Directors of the Company for the advancement of expenses incurred in connection with the defense of any action, suit or proceeding that he was a party to by reason of the fact that he is or was a director of the Company upon the receipt of an undertaking to repay such amount unless it is ultimately determined that the director is entitled to

indemnification. The Code of Regulations authorizes the Company to purchase and maintain insurance on behalf of any director, officer, employee or agent of the Company against any liability asserted against them in such capacity or arising out of their status as such, whether or not the Company would have power to

29

32

indemnify such officer, employee or agent against such liability under the provisions of the Code of Regulations of the Company.

The Company maintains a directors' and officers' insurance policy which insures the officers and directors of the Company from any claim arising out of an alleged wrongful act by such persons in their respective capacities as officers and directors of the Company.

30

33

ITEM 13. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUD