ENGLOBAL CORP Form 10-Q May 11, 2009

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

| X | QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  |
|---|---|
|   | EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  |
|   |   |
|   | For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009                       |
|   |   |
|   | TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES |
|   | EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  |

Commission File No. 001-14217

ENGlobal Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

88-0322261

-----

(I.R.S Employer Identification No.)

| 654 N. Sam Hou | ıston Parkway | E., Suite | 400, Houston, | TX | 77073-6033 |
|----------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|----|------------|
|                |               |           |               |    |            |
| (Address       | of principal  | executive | offices)      |    | (Zip code) |

(281) 878-1000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shortened period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes X No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (check one):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer X

Non-Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company

---

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No X

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the close of business of May 7, 2009.

\$0.001 Par Value

Common Stock 27,294,852 shares

2

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and March 31, 2008

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and March 31, 2008

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Oper

Engineering Segment Results Construction Segment Results Automation Segment Results Land Segment Results

- Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
- Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Part II. Other Information

- Item 1. Legal Proceedings
- Item 1A. Risk Factors
- Item 6. Exhibits

Signatures

3

# PART I. - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ENGlobal Corporation
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income
(Unaudited)
(Dollars in Thousands)

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 200 \_\_\_\_\_ \$ 93,489 Revenues \$ 83,005 Operating costs \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 10,484 Gross profit Selling, general and administrative 7,062 Operating income 3,422 Other income (expense): Other income 219 (211) Interest income (expense), net Income before income taxes 3,430 Provision for federal and state income taxes 1,417

|  | \$   | 2,013  | ş      |
|--|------|--------|--------|
|  | ==== |        | ====== |
| Net income per common share:                         |      |        |        |
| Basic  | \$   | 0.07   | \$     |
| Diluted  | \$   | 0.07   | \$     |
| Weighted average shares used in computing net income |      |        |        |
| per share (in thousands):                            |      |        |        |
| Basic  |      | 27,295 |        |
| Diluted  |      | 27,498 |        |
|  | 4    |        |        |

ENGlobal Corporation
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Unaudited)
(Dollars in Thousands)

ASSETS Current Assets: Cash Trade receivables, net Prepaid expenses and other current assets Current portion of notes receivable Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts Deferred tax asset Total Current Assets Property and equipment, net Goodwill Other intangible assets, net Long term notes receivable, net of current portion Deferred tax asset, non-current Other assets Total Assets LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Liabilities: Accounts payable Accrued compensation and benefits Notes payable Current portion of long-term debt and leases Deferred rent Billings and estimated earnings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts Federal and state income taxes payable Other current liabilities

Total Current Liabilities

March 200

> 4 75

> > 2

7

21

\$ 93

\$ 135

\$ 12

15

1

2

\$ 35

Long-Term Debt and Lease, net of current portion Total Liabilities \$ 56 Commitments and Contingencies (Note 9) Stockholders' Equity: Common stock - \$0.001 par value; 75,000,000 shares authorized; 27,294,852 and 27,294,852 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively Additional paid-in capital Retained earnings Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) Total Stockholders' Equity \$ 78 Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity \$ 135 \_\_\_\_

5

ENGlobal Corporation Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) (Dollars in Thousands)

Cash Flows from Operating Activities: Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Depreciation and amortization Share-based compensation expense (Gain)/loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment Deferred income taxes Changes in current assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions: Trade receivables Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts Prepaid expenses and other assets Accounts payable Accrued compensation and benefits Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings Other liabilities Income taxes receivable/payable

Net cash provided by operating activities

Cash Flows from Investing Activities: Property and equipment acquired Proceeds from note receivable Proceeds from sale of other assets 21

36

42

Net cash used in investing activities

Cash Flows from Financing Activities:

Net borrowings (payments) on line of credit
Proceeds from issuance of common stock
Borrowing under capital lease
Long-term debt repayments

Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities

Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash

Net change in cash Cash, at beginning of period

Cash, at end of period

6

#### NOTE 1 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Our condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our Company consolidates all of its wholly-owned subsidiaries and all significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation.

The condensed consolidated financial statements of ENGlobal Corporation (which may be referred to as "ENGlobal," the "Company," "we," "us," or "our") included herein are unaudited for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, and have been prepared from the books and records of the Company pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and in the case of the condensed balance sheet as of December 31, 2008, have been derived from the audited financial statements. These financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments), which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to fairly present the results for the periods presented. Certain information and note disclosures, normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, have been condensed or omitted pursuant to rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. It is suggested that these condensed financial statements be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008, included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company believes that the disclosures made herein are adequate to make the information presented not misleading.

#### NOTE 2 - CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A summary of critical accounting policies is disclosed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our critical accounting policies are further described under the caption "Critical Accounting Policies" in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

NOTE 3 - SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company's 1998 incentive plan ("Option Plan") that provided for the issuance of options to acquire up to 3,250,000 shares of common stock, expired in June 2008. The Option Plan provided for grants of non-statutory options, incentive stock options, restricted stock awards and stock appreciation rights. All stock option grants were for a ten-year term. Stock options issued to executives and management generally vest over a four-year period, one-fifth at grant date and one-fifth at December 31 of each subsequent year until they are fully vested. Stock options issued to directors vested quarterly over a one-year period.

Total share-based compensation expense in the amount of \$148,000 and \$387,000 was recognized in the three months ended March 31, 2009, and March 31, 2008, respectively, all of which was recorded in selling, general and administrative expense. We did not have an income tax benefit recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of income for the share-based arrangements for the three months ended March 31, 2009, but \$90,000 was recognized for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Compensation expense related to outstanding non-vested stock option awards under the Plan of \$591,000 had not been recognized at March 31, 2009. This compensation expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 24 months.

7

The following table summarizes stock option activity for the first quarter of 2009:

|  | Number of<br>Options | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price | Weighted Average<br>Remaining<br>Contractual<br>Term (Years) |
|--|----------------------|--|--|
| Balance at December 31, 2008 Granted Exercised Canceled or expired | 1,173,206            | \$ 6.82                                  | 5.4  |
|  |                      |  |  |
|  |                      |  |  |
|  | (17,102)             | 8.89                                     |  |
| Balance at March 31, 2009  | 1,156,104            | \$ 6.79                                  | 6.2  |
|  | =======              | =====                                    | ====   |
| Exercisable at March 31, 2009                                      | 1,045,504            | \$ 6.42                                  | 6.0  |
|  | ======               | =====                                    | =====  |

\*Based on average stock price for the first quarter of 2009 of \$3.49 per share. The average stock price for the same period in 2008 was \$9.26 per share. The total fair value of vested options outstanding as of March 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$0.6 million and \$4.6 million, respectively.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$86,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2008. There were no options exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2009.

Restricted Stock Unit Awards

On August 8, 2008, the Company issued restricted stock units equivalent to 6,420 shares of common stock to each of its three non-employee directors. These

restricted stock units, issued outside of the Option Plan, were intended to compensate and retain the directors over the one-year service period commencing July 1, 2008. The fair value of the awards was \$93,411 per director based on the market price of \$14.55 per share of the Company's stock on the date the award was granted. Upon vesting, the units are convertible into cash based on the fair value of the Company's shares at the vesting date or, if shareholder approval is obtained, the Company may elect to settle the Units either in cash or in common stock. The units vest in equal quarterly installments beginning on September 30, 2008, so long as the grantee continues to serve as an independent director of the Company. Recognition of compensation expense related to the restricted stock units commenced in the third quarter of 2008. At the end of the fourth quarter 2008, the compensation value of the vested units was measured again and the amount to be settled in cash was classified as a liability. The units that vested in 2008 were settled on March 15, 2009. At the end of the first quarter 2009, the compensation value of the outstanding vested units was measured again and the amount to be settled in cash was classified as a liability. The remaining units are required to be settled by March 15, 2010.

8

#### NOTE 4 - FIXED FEE CONTRACTS

Costs, estimated earnings and billings on uncompleted contracts consisted of the following at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

Costs incurred on uncompleted contracts
Estimated earnings (losses) on uncompleted contracts

Earned revenues Less: billings to date

Net costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts

Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts Billings and estimated earnings in excess of cost on uncompleted contracts

Net costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts

NOTE 5 - LINE OF CREDIT AND DEBT

Schedule of Long-Term Debt:

Comerica Credit Facility - Line of credit, prime (3.25% at March 31, 2009), maturing in August 2010

2009
-----(Dollar
----\$ 23,254
4,520
----27,774
22,168
---\$ 5,606
=======
\$ 7,198
(1,592)

\$ 5,606

March 31,

Cleveland Inspection Services, Inc., CIS Technical Services and F.D. Curtis - Notes payable, discounted at 5% interest, principal payments in installments of \$100,000 due quarterly, maturing in October 2009

ATI Technologies - Note payable, interest at 6%, principal payments in installments of \$30,422 including interest due monthly, matured in January 2009

Michael Lee - Note payable, interest at 5%, principal payments in installments of \$150,000 plus interest due quarterly, maturing in July 2010

Watco Management, Inc. - Note payable, interest at 4%, principal payments in installments of \$137,745 including interest due annually, maturing in October 2010

Frank H McIlwain, PC; James A Walters, PC; William M Bosarge, PC; Matthew R Burton, PC - Notes payable, discounted at 2.38% interest, payments in installments of \$666,667 including interest due annually, maturing in December 2010

Total long-term debt Less: current maturities of long-term debt

Long-term debt, net of current portion Borrowings under capital leases Less: current maturities of capital lease

Total long-term debt

9

#### NOTE 6 - SEGMENT INFORMATION

ENGlobal has four reportable segments: Engineering, Construction, Automation and Land. Our segments are strategic business units that offer different services and products and therefore require different marketing and management strategies. Our segments have grown through strategic acquisitions, which have also served to augment management expertise.

The Engineering segment provides consulting services relating to the development, management and execution of projects requiring professional engineering and related project services. Services provided by the Engineering segment include feasibility studies, engineering, design, procurement, and construction management. The Construction segment provides construction management personnel and services in the areas of inspection, mechanical integrity, vendor and turnaround surveillance, field support, construction, quality assurance and plant asset management. The Automation segment provides services related to the design, fabrication, and implementation of process distributed control and analyzer systems, advanced automation, and information technology projects. The Land segment provides land management, right-of-way, environmental compliance, and governmental regulatory compliance services primarily to pipeline, utility and telecom companies and other owner/operators of infrastructure facilities throughout the United States and Canada.

The accounting policies of each of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of critical accounting policies referenced in Note 2 above. The Company evaluates performance based on profit or loss from operations before interest, income taxes and other income or loss, but after selling, general and administrative expenses attributable to the reportable segments. Transactions between reportable segments are at market rates comparable to terms available from unrelated parties.

(Dollars in Thousands)

Engineering Construction Automation

For the three months ended March 31, 2009

| Revenue before eliminations<br>Inter-segment eliminations | \$ 43,115<br>(540) | \$ 22,550<br>(1,313) | \$ 20,677<br>(86) | \$ 9 <b>,</b> 086<br> |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Revenue   | 42,575             | 21,237               | 20,591            | 9,086                 |
| Gross profit<br>SG&A                                      | 4,616<br>1,326     | 1,640<br>476         | 2,857<br>1,240    | 1,371<br>637          |
| Operating income  | 3,290              | 1,164                | 1,617             | 734                   |
| Other income (expense) Tax provision                      |                    |                      |                   |                       |
| Net income  |                    |                      |                   |                       |

(Dollars in Thousands)
For the three months ended
March 31, 2008

| Revenue before eliminations | \$ 52,035      | \$ 27,017 | \$ 10,557 | \$ 8,835 |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Inter-segment eliminations  | (6)            | (117)     | (155)     |          |
| Revenue                     | 52,029         | 26,900    | 10,402    | 8,835    |
| Gross profit                | 9,882          | 2,028     | 1,044     | 1,392    |
| SG&A                        | 1,295          | 703       | 632       | 677      |
| Operating income            | 8 <b>,</b> 587 | 1,325     | 412       | 715      |

Other income (expense) Tax provision

Net income

10

# Financial information about geographic areas

Revenue from the Company's non-U.S. operations is not material. Long-lived assets (principally leasehold improvements and computer equipment) located in Canada were recorded at \$33,000 as of March 31, 2009, net of accumulated depreciation, stated in U.S. dollars.

### NOTE 7 - FEDERAL AND STATE INCOME TAXES

The components of income tax expense (benefit) for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

| For | the       | Thre     |    | -     | lonths | Ended |
|-----|-----------|----------|----|-------|--------|-------|
| 2   | 2009      |          |    |       | 20     | 008   |
|     | <br>(Doll | <br>Lars | ir | <br>1 | thousa | ands) |

| Effective tax rate  | 41.3%             | 39.9%    |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------|
|                     | ======            | ======   |
| Total tax provision | \$ 1,417          | \$ 2,660 |
|                     |                   |          |
| Deferred            | 36                | (90)     |
| Current             | \$ 1 <b>,</b> 381 | \$ 2,750 |

The estimated effective tax rates are based on estimates using historical rates adjusted by recurring and non-recurring book to tax differences. Estimates at March 31, 2009, are based on results of the 2008 year end and adjusted for estimates of non-recurring differences from the prior year, as well as anticipated book to tax differences for 2009.

#### NOTE 8 - EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table reconciles the number of shares used to compute basic earnings per share to the number of shares used to compute diluted earnings per share ("EPS").

|                                       | For the Three Months Ended March 31, |                 |  |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
|                                       | 2009                                 | 2008            |  |
| Weighted average shares               | (Shares in                           | thousands)      |  |
| outstanding used to compute basic EPS | 27,295                               | 27,060          |  |
| Effect of share-based plan            | 203                                  | 467<br>         |  |
| Shares used to compute diluted EPS    | 27 <b>,</b> 498                      | 27 <b>,</b> 527 |  |

#### NOTE 9 -COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### Employment Agreements

The Company has employment agreements with certain of its executive officers and other officers. Such agreements provide for minimum salary levels. Generally, if the Company terminates the employment of the employee for any reason other than (1) for cause, as defined in the employment agreement, (2) voluntary resignation, or (3) the employee's death, the Company is obligated to provide a severance benefit equal up to twelve months of the employee's salary, and, at its option, an additional six months at 50% to 100% of the employee's salary in exchange for an extension of the employee's agreement not to engage in certain competitive activities. These agreements are renewable for one year at the Company's option.

### Long-term Note Receivable

In the first quarter of 2007, ENGlobal Engineering, Inc. ("EEI") and South Louisiana Ethanol, LLC ("SLE") executed an agreement for engineering, procurement and construction (EPC) services relating to the retro-fit of an ethanol plant in southern Louisiana. In October 2007, SLE executed a promissory note, or "Hand Note," payable to the Company and having a principal balance of approximately \$12.3 million, constituting amounts then due to the Company for its work in connection with the project. The history of the SLE project (the "SLE Project") is described in Note 9 to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements included in its

Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and is discussed further in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, under Litigation, below, and in Part II, "Item 1 - Legal Proceedings" of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

11

#### Accounts Receivable

On March 13, 2009, the Company entered into a letter agreement (the "letter agreement") with a significant client resolving the payment of presently due and past due Accounts Receivable invoices in the amount of \$6.8 million. The principle terms of the letter agreement include the recovery of interest in monthly payments beginning in March 2009 and ending with final payment due in December 2009. Included in the \$6.8 million payment plan is \$4.6 million in sub-contractor obligations which are a part of our Accounts Payable balances and are scheduled to be paid on a pro-rata basis similar to the terms of the letter agreement.

#### Litigation Claims

Due to past due payments on Accounts Receivable invoices for services provided to Bigler, LP ("Bigler") in the amount of \$3,169,000, the Company exercised its statutory right to file a materialman's and mechanic's lien. In response, Bigler filed a petition in Harris County Court asking for relief claiming lack of delivery of notice with respect to the Lien, and requesting declaratory relief from the Court clearing title of the lien, and for unspecified monetary damages for breach of contract. ENGlobal Engineering filed its answer and counterclaim for collection of the fees due, and for foreclosure on the real property and improvements for which the services were performed on April 27, 2009. We believe the invoices are collectible.

In 2007, ENGlobal Engineering, Inc. ("EEI") entered into an Engineering, Procurement & Construction agreement with South Louisiana Ethanol, LLC ("SLE") to refurbish and upgrade SLE's ethanol facility in Belle Chase, LA. EEI commenced work in March 2007 but SLE shut down the project in September 2007 after failing to secure permanent financing for the project. Due to SLE's continued failure to obtain permanent financing, on May 30, 2008, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana, Cause Number 08-3601, seeking damages of \$15.8 million and to foreclose on the acquired mechanics liens of its subcontractors. An independent appraisal, dated March 17, 2008, from the SLE's bridge lending bank's appraiser, Revpro and Associates, indicated a fair market value of SLE's assets of \$35.8 million, an orderly liquidation value of \$25.3 million, and a forced liquidation value of \$20.0 million. While the Company believes that in the event the collateral is liquidated, SLE's obligations to the Company would be paid in full pursuant to the Collateral Mortgage in favor of the Company, collectability is not assured at this time. However, at this time the Company believes that the ultimate disposition of the SLE collateral will not materially adversely affect our liquidity or overall financial position.

From time to time, the Company is involved in various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business alleging, among other things, breach of contract or tort in connection with the performance of professional services, the outcome of which cannot be predicted with certainty. As of the date of this filing, we are party to several legal proceedings that we believe have been reserved for or are covered by

insurance, or that, if determined adversely to us individually or in the aggregate, would not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial position.

#### Insurance

The Company carries a broad range of insurance coverage, including general and business automobile liability, commercial property, professional errors and omissions, workers' compensation insurance, directors and officers liability insurance and a general umbrella policy. The Company is not aware of any claims in excess of insurance recoveries. ENGlobal is partially self-funded for health insurance claims. Provisions for expected future payments are accrued based on the Company's experience.

12

#### NOTE 10 - ACQUISITIONS

The Company had no acquisitions during the three months ended March 31, 2009.

13

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain information contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as other written and oral statements made or incorporated by reference from time to time by the Company and its representatives in other reports, filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, press releases, conferences, or otherwise, may be deemed to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. This information includes, without limitation, statements concerning the Company's future financial position and results of operations; planned capital expenditures; business strategy and other plans for future operations; the future mix of revenue and business sources; customer retention; project reversals; commitments and contingent liabilities; and future demand and industry conditions. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to have been correct. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Generally, the words "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "may," and similar expressions, identify forward-looking statements, which generally are not historical in nature. Actual results could differ materially from the results described in the forward-looking statements due to the risks and uncertainties set forth in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the specific risk factors identified in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and those described from time to time in our future reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The following discussion is qualified in its entirety by, and should be

read in conjunction with, the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

# MD&A Overview

The following list sets forth a general overview of certain significant changes in the Company's financial condition and results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to the corresponding period in 2008.

|                  | During the three months ended March 31, 2009 |
|------------------|--|
| Revenues         | Decreased 4.8%                               |
| Gross profit     | Decreased 26.6%                              |
| Operating income | Decreased 52.1%                              |
| SG&A expense     | Decreased 1.4%                               |
| Net income       | Decreased 50.0%                              |

14

# Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

| Selected Balance Sheet Comparisons                        | As of<br>March 31, Dec |
|---|------------------------|
|   | 2009                   |
|   | (Dollars               |
| Working capital   | \$ 57,644 \$           |
| Total assets  | \$135 <b>,</b> 595 \$  |
| Long-term debt and capital leases, net of current portion | \$ 21,141 \$           |
| Stockholders' equity                                      | \$ 78,887 \$           |

Long-term debt and capital leases, net of current portion, decreased 11.7%, or \$2.8 million, to \$21.1 million at March 31, 2009 from \$23.9 million at December 31, 2008. As a percentage of stockholders' equity, long-term debt decreased to 26.8% from 31.1% at these dates. The decrease in long-term debt primarily relates to a \$2.5 million pay down on our line of credit. On average, our days sales outstanding increased to 72 days for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 from 64 days for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2008 and 62 days for the three-month period ended March 31, 2008. Past due account balances totaling \$11.9 million for three significant clients contributed 11 days to our days sales outstanding for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009. The Company manages its billing and client collection processes toward reducing days of sales outstanding to the extent

practicable. We believe that our allowance for bad debt is adequate to cover any potential non-payment by our customers.

Total stockholders' equity increased 2.7%, or \$2.1 million, from \$76.8 million as of December 31, 2008 to \$78.9 million as of March 31, 2009.

15

# Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

Tax provision

Net income

Consolidated Results of Operations for the Three Mont Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (Unaudited)

| For the three months ended March 31, 2009                              |                    |                      |                   |              |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| (Dollars in Thousands)   | Engineering        | Construction         | Automation        | Land         |
| Revenue before eliminations Inter-segment eliminations                 | \$ 43,115<br>(540) | \$ 22,550<br>(1,313) | \$ 20,677<br>(86) | \$ 9,086<br> |
| Revenue  |                    | 21,237               |                   | 9,086        |
| Gross profit<br>SG&A   | 4,616<br>1,326     | 1,640<br>476         | 2,857<br>1,240    | 1,371<br>637 |
| Operating income   | 3,290              | 1,164                | 1,617             | 734          |
| Other income (expense) Tax provision                                   |                    |                      |                   |              |
| Net income   |                    |                      |                   |              |
| For the three months ended<br>March 31, 2008<br>(Dollars in Thousands) |                    |                      |                   |              |
| Revenue before eliminations Inter-segment eliminations                 | (6)                | , ,                  | (155)             |              |
| Revenue  | 52 <b>,</b> 029    | 26,900               | 10,402            | 8,835        |
| Gross profit<br>SG&A   | 9,882<br>1,295     | 2,028<br>703         | 1,044<br>632      | 1,392<br>677 |
| Operating income   | 8,587              | 1,325                | 412               | 715          |
| Other income (expense)   |                    |                      |                   |              |

Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

We recorded net income of \$2.0 million, or \$0.07 per diluted share, for the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to net income of \$4.0 million, or \$0.15 per diluted share, for the corresponding period last year.

The decline in net income during the three months ended March 31, 2009 was due in part to lower energy commodity prices, lower oil and gas processing margins, and the generally weak economy. These factors have led our clients to spend less through the deferral or cancellation of both capital and maintenance projects. Competition has increased greatly for the amount of project work on the market, putting pressure on our billing rate structures and profit margins. In response to the economic pressures, we have also increased our sales efforts, therefore increasing costs, to focus on winning new work and expanding into new markets and increasing our client base.

The Company recognizes service revenue as soon as the services are performed. The majority of the Company's service revenue historically has been provided through cost-plus contracts, whereas a majority of our fabrication and turnkey EPC projects revenue has been earned on fixed-price contracts.

Revenue on fixed-price contracts is recorded primarily using the percentage-of-completion (cost-to-cost) method. Under this method, revenue on long-term contracts is recognized in the ratio that contract costs incurred bear to total estimated contract costs. Revenue and gross margin on fixed-price contracts are subject to revision throughout the lives of the contracts and any required adjustments are made in the period in which the revisions become known. Losses on contracts are recorded in full as they are identified.

In the course of providing our services, we routinely provide engineering, materials, and equipment and may provide construction services on a direct hire or subcontractor basis. Generally, the materials, equipment and subcontractor costs are passed through to our clients and reimbursed, along with fees, which in total are at margins lower than those of our normal core business. In accordance with industry practice and generally accepted accounting principles, all such costs and fees are included in reported revenue. The use of subcontractor services can change significantly from project to project; therefore, changes in revenue and gross profit, SG&A expense and operating income as a percent of revenue may not be indicative of the Company's core business trends.

Operating SG&A expense includes management and staff compensation, office costs such as rents and utilities, depreciation, amortization, travel and other expenses generally unrelated to specific contracts, but directly related to the support of a segment's operations.

All other SG&A expense is comprised primarily of business development costs, as well as costs related to the executive, investor relations/governance, finance, accounting, safety, human resources, project controls, legal and information technology departments, and other costs generally unrelated to specific projects, but which are incurred to support corporate activities and initiatives.

#### Industry Overview:

In the past, many ENGlobal offices have benefited from significant capital projects in the downstream refinery market, primarily related to increasing capacity, utilizing heavy or sour crude oil, and rebuilding facilities

damaged by accidents or natural disasters. While some such projects are currently underway, some refiners have now chosen to defer significant new spending given the recent economic conditions, lower refining margins and lower refinery utilization. The Company expects a continuation of compliance-driven refining projects, such as EPA environmental initiatives, DOT pipeline integrity requirements, and OSHA safety-related projects, which may result from increased audits of U.S.-based refineries. Also, the Company is seeing opportunities to upgrade obsolete automation and control systems at existing refineries and to plan and manage turnaround projects.

The downstream petrochemical industry has historically been a good source of projects for ENGlobal. While not currently as robust as the refining market, we have seen a steady level of both maintenance and small capital projects from this industry. We believe that major grassroots petrochemical

17

# Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

projects will continue to be undertaken overseas, either closer to product demand in emerging economies, or located closer to less expensive feed stocks. We expect that future petrochemical work undertaken in the U.S. primarily will consist of smaller capital projects or will be maintenance related.

Despite past downturns in the industry, pipeline projects have remained fairly constant. Although pipeline projects tend to require fewer engineering man-hours than similarly sized downstream projects, ENGlobal may also provide a pipeline client with several additional services, such as right-of-way acquisition, regulatory permitting, inspection, and construction management. The drivers we see behind growth in domestic pipeline activity include: (1) natural gas transportation away from the Rocky Mountain area and new gas fields in other parts of the country, (2) natural gas transportation related to LNG import facilities, (3) movement of heavy Canadian crude oil into the United States, and (4) movement of refined products from Gulf Coast refineries to the Midwest and Northeast.

The country's focus on alternative energy has presented the Company with many new project opportunities. The North American Industrial Project Spending Index has recently indicated that capital spending for all alternative energy projects exceeds that for refining and pipeline. To date, ENGlobal has mainly focused its efforts on biomass processes, such as those related to coal-to-liquids projects, the production of ethanol and biofuels, and the gasification of refinery petroleum coke and other feedstocks as an energy source. In addition, the Company has begun pursuing business on electric transmission and distribution projects, as a large amount of capital spending is expected for transporting renewable electric energy produced in remote areas to population centers. In many cases, alternative energy projects are being developed by new and smaller firms, rather than our larger, traditional clients.

Tightening credit markets have triggered substantial uncertainty with respect to the funding of capital expenditures by our customers, and oil and natural gas prices have fallen substantially from their highs in summer 2008. These changes have impacted general business conditions and may continue to reduce demand for certain of our products and services. As mentioned above, some refiners have chosen to defer and cancel significant new spending given the recent narrowing of energy processing margins. Although we are not immune to the current financial and economic events as

evidenced by lower revenues in our Engineering and Construction segments, as well as by our lower consolidated net profits, we believe each of ENGlobal's business segments is well positioned within the industry for the following reasons:

- About half of the states in the U.S. have enacted Renewable Portfolio Standards, which mandate a timeline and percentage for electricity generation from renewable sources such as wind, solar, geothermal, and biomass. Also, the Investment Tax Credit for these renewable energy projects was due to expire on December 31, 2008, but was extended as part of the recent stimulus legislation. We believe these two factors, working together will serve to drive demand for alternative energy projects in the future.
- o Facilities in the energy industry, as well as in many other industries, are aging. No grass roots refinery has been built in the U.S. since 1976, and many of the country's large pipelines were installed over 50 years ago. We anticipate that maintaining and rebuilding this aging infrastructure an ENGlobal core competency will benefit our Company.
- o ENGlobal has served many of our valued clients over a long period of time, and these strong alliance relationships are the foundation of our business. While some clients are basing their purchasing decisions on overall costs rather than existing relationships, we are seeing continued project awards from our long-term clients.
- Our business relies primarily on small to mid-sized projects, many of which fall into the "run and maintain" category. We are not as dependent on large capital projects as many of our competitors. As a result, although we have been affected by delayed or cancelled capital project work and by clients awarding new capital project work based on

18

# Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

price, the impact on our business has not been as significant as it might otherwise have been. In addition, we anticipate that our entry into the renewable energy market will create potential for future growth.

O A significant part of our Automation segment's work is driven by our clients' need to replace aging and obsolete distributed control system (DCS) and analytical equipment. While some of these expenditures can be deferred, the need to replace DCS and other equipment has historically provided a reliable and recurring source of projects. We expect to benefit as manufacturers are currently phasing out their support for heritage DCS platforms with a large installed based, and our clients will therefore need to migrate to newer DCS platforms. We are focusing our efforts on improving operational efficiencies that will allow us to fully capitalize on these opportunities.

The specific segment information contained in this Item provides further detail regarding the reasons for changes in our financial performance from period to period.

#### Revenue:

Revenue decreased \$4.7 million, or 4.8%, to \$93.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$98.2 million for the comparable prior-year period. Of the decrease, approximately \$9.5 million is attributable to our Engineering segment and \$5.7 million to our Construction segment, while we had increases in our Land segment of \$0.3 million and our Automation segment of \$10.2 million. Many of our clients have delayed or canceled scheduled capital projects due to the economy in general as well as lower oil prices. They are focusing more on run and maintain type smaller projects. Competition has increased greatly for the amount of project work on the market.

#### Gross Profit:

Gross profit decreased \$3.8 million, or 26.6\$, to \$10.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$14.3 million for the comparable prior-year period. The \$3.8 million decrease in gross profit is attributable to approximately \$3.1 million in higher costs and increased procurement services and a \$0.7 million decrease in revenue.

As a percentage of revenue, gross profit decreased 3.4% from 14.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2008, to 11.2% for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease in gross profit margin as a percentage of revenue primarily relates to renegotiations of existing contracts to lower margins, increased overhead costs to retain employees even though our level of work has decreased, and increased overhead costs to expand our marketing to new sectors and new clients.

#### Selling, General, and Administrative:

As a percentage of revenue, total SG&A expense increased 0.2% to 7.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from 7.4% for the comparable period in 2008. Total expense for SG&A decreased \$0.1 million, or 1.4%, to \$7.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$7.2 million for the comparable prior-year period.

# Operating Income:

Operating income decreased approximately \$3.7 million, or 52.1%, to \$3.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$7.1 million for the same period in 2008. As a percentage of revenue, operating income decreased 3.6% to 3.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from 7.2% for the comparable prior-year period. Operating income decreased due to the lower revenue levels as well as increased costs for both new sales efforts and maintaining core employees during a time of decreasing projects.

19

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis (contined)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### Other Expense, net:

Other expense decreased \$465,000 to an income of \$8,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. We had other expense of \$457,000 for the comparable prior-year period. This is due to our expected receipt in 2009 of \$300,000 from our Hurricane Ike insurance claim, with the remainder of the expense reduction due to lower interest expense.

## Tax Provision:

Income tax expense decreased \$1.3 million, or 48.1%, to \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$2.7 million for the comparable prior-year period. The estimated effective tax rate was 41.3% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to 39.9% for the comparable

prior-year period.

The estimated effective tax rates are based on estimates using historical rates adjusted by recurring and non-recurring book to tax differences. Estimates at March 31, 2009 are based on results of the 2008 year end and adjusted for estimates of non-recurring differences from the prior year, as well as anticipated book to tax differences for 2009.

#### Net Income:

Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2009 decreased \$2.0 million, or 50.0%, to \$2.0 million from \$4.0 million for the comparable prior-year period. As a percentage of revenue, net income decreased 2.0% to 2.1% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from 4.1% for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

#### Overview

The Company defines liquidity as its ability to pay liabilities as they become due, fund our operations and meet monetary contractual obligations. Our primary source of funds to meet liquidity needs during the period ended March 31, 2009 was borrowings under our senior revolving credit facility. Cash on hand at March 31, 2009 totaled \$4.2 million and availability under the credit facility totaled \$29.1 million, resulting in cash and previously arranged borrowing capacity to meet additional liquidity needs of \$33.3 million. As of March 31, 2009, management believes the Company is positioned to meet its liquidity requirements for the next 12 months.

At March 31, 2009, the amount outstanding on the Company's line of credit was \$20.0 million compared to \$29.7 million at March 31, 2008.

We are a growth company and we manage our business to achieve reasonable growth objectives that are commensurate with profitable operations given existing and anticipated economic conditions. The outlook for our continued organic growth is generally favorable. We also expect opportunities to make strategic acquisitions. We intend to continue to meet our incremental liquidity needs through internally generated profits and existing borrowing arrangements.

The competitive contracting environment exposes us to situations where our clients may become unable or unwilling to complete a contract and meet their obligations to us in the normal course of business. These situations cause unexpected liquidity requirements, lower than expected profits and even losses. We currently are financing more than \$8.6 million relating to the SLE Project, described more fully in Note 9 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. While this situation has caused the Company to incur higher interest costs than would otherwise have been incurred, our liquidity remains sufficient to meet our objectives.

20

Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

Despite the Company's favorable liquidity situation, cash and the availability of cash could be materially restricted if:

(1) circumstances prevent the timely internal processing of invoices,

- (2) amounts billed are not collected or are not collected in a timely manner,
- (3) project mix shifts from cost-reimbursable to fixed-price contracts,
- (4) the Company loses one or more of its major customers,
- (5) the Company experiences material cost overruns on fixed-price contracts,
- (6) our client mix shifts from our historical owner-operator client base to more developer-based clients,
- (7) acquisitions are not accretive or are not integrated timely, or
- (8) we are unable to meet the covenants of the Credit Facility.

If any such event occurs, we would be forced to consider alternative financing options, if such options are available given current market conditions.

# Cash Flows from Operating Activities:

Operations generated approximately \$8.2 million in net cash for the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared with net cash used by operations of \$0.4 million during the same period in 2008.

The primary changes in working capital accounts during the period were:

- Decreased Trade Receivables The decrease of \$20.7 million from December 31, 2008, was primarily the result of an overall decline in operating activity. Our collections on past due Accounts Receivable balances continue to improve although our days sales outstanding has increased from 62 days for the three-month period ended March 31, 2008 and 64 days for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2008 to 72 days at the end of the three-month period ended March 31, 2009. The primary reasons for the increase in our days sales outstanding were three past due client accounts totaling \$11.9 million which added 11 days to our days sales outstanding for the three-month period ending March 31, 2009.
- o Decreased Accounts Payable The decrease of \$6.8 million from December 31, 2008, was primarily the result of payouts of vendor and sub-contractor charges incurred by our Automation segment due to increased operating activity during the three months ended December 31, 2008.
- o Decreased Accrued Compensation and Benefits The decrease of \$9.2 million from December 31, 2008 was primarily due to timing of bi-weekly payroll and benefits payments at March 31, 2009 as well as a decrease of approximately 200 employees.

21

| Management's  | Discuss | sion | and  | Analysi | s | (continued) |
|---------------|---------|------|------|---------|---|-------------|
| Engineering S | Segment | Resu | ılts |         |   |             |
|               |         |      |      |         |   |             |

| Three Months Ended  March 31, |        |    |            |  |  |
|-------------------------------|--------|----|------------|--|--|
| 2009                          |        |    | 2008       |  |  |
| D                             | ollars | in | Thousands) |  |  |
|                               |        |    |            |  |  |

| Revenue before eliminations<br>Inter-segment eliminations | \$ 43,115<br>(540)  |        | \$ 52,035<br>(6)    |        |
|---|---------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|
| Total revenue   | \$ 42,575<br>====== |        | \$ 52,029<br>====== |        |
| Detailed revenue: Detail-design                           | \$ 30 <b>,</b> 506  | 71.7%  | \$ 37 <b>,</b> 935  | 72.9%  |
| Field services  | 10,493              | 24.6%  | 12,988              | 25.0%  |
| Procurement services                                      | 309                 | 0.7%   | 34                  | 0.1%   |
| Fixed-price   | 1,267               | 3.0%   | 1,072               | 2.0%   |
| Total revenue:  | 42,575              | 100.0% | 52,029              | 100.0% |
| Gross profit:   | 4,616               | 10.8%  | 9,882               | 19.0%  |
| Operating SG&A expense:                                   | 1,326<br>           | 3.1%   | 1,295<br>           | 2.5%   |
| Operating income:   | \$ 3,290<br>=====   | 7.7%   | \$ 8,587<br>======  | 16.5%  |

#### Overview of Engineering Segment:

Our Engineering segment has been affected by the current economic conditions. Many of our clients have delayed or canceled scheduled capital projects due to the economy in general and lower commodity prices, as well as lower energy processing margins. They are focusing more on run and maintain type smaller projects. Competition has increased greatly for the amount of project work on the market. We still have certain clients that have been particularly strong for us from whom we continue to receive project awards. We are also focusing on increased marketing efforts not only to expand our opportunities in the chemical, refining and pipeline sectors, but to also grow into other markets within the energy and infrastructure sector.

#### Revenue:

Engineering segment revenue decreased \$9.4 million, or 18.1%, to \$42.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$52.0 million for the comparable prior-year period.

The decrease in Engineering segment revenue resulted primarily from decreased demand for engineering and related professional services for energy related projects. Generally, the first quarter of the year is slower due to client budgeting processes. However, we have also been affected by delayed or canceled capital project work by our clients in reaction to the current economy. Renewable energy appears to be an emerging area of activity and potential growth, with the Company currently focused on biofuels, gasification of various feedstocks, and other biomass processes.

Our detail-design services decreased 19.5%, or \$7.4 million, to \$30.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$37.9 million for the comparable period in 2008. As a percentage of the total Engineering segment revenue during these periods, detail-design revenue decreased 1.2% to 71.7% in 2009 from 72.9% in 2008. The decrease is related to the lower amount of capital project work available for the reasons described above.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

Our field services revenues decreased 19.2%, or \$2.5 million, to \$10.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$13.0 million for the comparable period in 2008. As a percentage of the total Engineering segment revenue during these periods, field services revenue decreased 0.4% to 24.6% in 2009 from 25.0% in 2008.

Revenue from procurement services increased 808.8%, or \$275,000, to \$309,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$34,000 for the comparable period in 2008. As a percentage of the total Engineering segment revenue, procurement services revenue increased 0.6% to 0.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from 0.1% for the comparable period in 2008. The increase is directly related to rebuilding a single refinery. We do not anticipate that a similar project will replace this project on its completion. Procurement services include subcontractor placements, equipment purchases, and other procurement activities necessary to rebuild the damaged facilities.

Fixed-price revenue increased 18.2%, or \$0.2 million, to \$1.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$1.1 million for the comparable period in 2008. As a percentage of the total Engineering segment revenue, fixed-price revenue increased 1.0% to 3.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from 2.0% for the comparable period in 2008. Due to the current economy, more clients are requesting work to be performed on a fixed price basis to control their costs and shift risk to their contractors.

#### Gross Profit:

Our Engineering segment's gross profit decreased \$5.3 million, or 53.5%, to \$4.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$9.9 million for the comparable period in 2008. As a percentage of the total Engineering segment revenue, gross profit decreased by 8.2% to 10.8% from 19.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Of the overall \$5.3 million decrease in gross profit, \$3.5 million was attributable to increased costs, while decreased revenues contributed to \$1.8 million of overall decrease. Generally, clients are awarding new work based on competitive bidding. In response to the decrease in work, we have decreased our number of employees. However, realization of the cost savings associated with reducing our workforce lags a period of increased overhead costs associated with employees being removed from projects and being carried as non-billable employees prior to termination.

#### Selling, General, and Administrative:

Our Engineering segment's SG&A expense remained stable at \$1.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and the comparable period in 2008. As a percentage of the total Engineering segment revenue, due to the decline in revenue, the segment's SG&A costs increased by 0.6% to 3.1% from 2.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

#### Operating Income:

Operating income for the Engineering segment decreased 5.3 million, or 61.6%, to 3.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from 8.6 million for the comparable prior-year period. As a percentage of the total Engineering segment revenue, operating income decreased by 8.8% to 7.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from 16.5% for the comparable prior-year period.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

Construction Segment Results

# Three Months Ended March 31,

|   | 20                   | 09             | 2008               |        |  |
|---|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------|--|
|   |                      |                | Thousands)         |        |  |
| Revenue before eliminations<br>Inter-segment eliminations | \$ 22,550<br>(1,313) |                | \$ 27,017<br>(117) |        |  |
| Total revenue   | \$ 21,237<br>======  |                | \$ 26,900<br>===== |        |  |
| Detailed revenue: Inspection Construction services        | •                    | 85.7%<br>14.3% | \$ 23,394<br>3,506 |        |  |
| Total revenue:  | 21,237               | 100.0%         | 26 <b>,</b> 900    | 100.0% |  |
| Gross profit:   | 1,640                | 7.7%           | 2,028              | 7.5%   |  |
| Operating SG&A expense:                                   | 476<br>              | 2.2%           | 703                | 2.6%   |  |
| Operating income:   | \$ 1,164<br>======   | 5.5%           | \$ 1,325<br>====== | 4.9%   |  |

#### Overview of Construction Segment:

The construction group provides construction management personnel and inspection services in the areas of mechanical integrity, vendor and turnaround surveillance, field support, construction, and high-tech maintenance. Our construction management business provides project managers, instrument technicians, clerical staff, and construction personnel.

#### Revenue:

Our Construction segment's revenue decreased 5.7 million, or 21.2%, to 21.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from 26.9 million for the comparable prior-year period.

Due to the current economic environment, we have experienced decline in our inspection related revenue as a result of project delays, primarily in the area of pipeline construction. We expect that the work for this area will remain down through most of the remainder of this year. Inspection related revenues decreased \$5.2 million, or approximately 22.2%, to \$18.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$23.4 million for the comparable prior-year period.

Construction services revenues decreased \$0.5 million, or 14.3%, to \$3.0 for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$3.5 million for the comparable period in 2008. Revenue in this area decreased slightly due to the delay or cancellation of projects by our clients in response to the current economy. However, we have been focusing on some new opportunities with both biofuels technology providers and gasification technology

providers.

The Construction segment has taken action to develop new business by adding new sales personnel.

24

Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

#### Gross profit:

Our Construction segment's gross profit decreased approximately \$0.4 million, or 20.0%, to \$1.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$2.0 million for the comparable prior-year period and, as a percentage of the total Construction segment revenue, gross profit increased by 0.2% to 7.7% from 7.5% for the respective periods. The decrease in gross profit is primarily attributable to the overall decrease in available work and increased costs incurred in connection with our efforts to win new work resulting in higher overhead costs.

#### Selling, General, and Administrative:

Our Construction segment's SG&A expense decreased \$0.2 million, or 28.6%, to \$0.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$0.7 million for the same period in 2008. As a percentage of the total Construction segment revenue, SG&A expense decreased by 0.4% to 2.2% from 2.6% for the respective periods. The decrease in SG&A expense was related to reductions in salaries and related employee expenses.

#### Operating Income:

Our Construction segment's operating income decreased \$0.1 million, or 7.7%, to \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$1.3 million for the comparable prior-year period. The decrease in operating income is primarily attributable to decreased revenue in our inspection services and our increased costs to win new work. As a percentage of the total Construction segment revenue, operating income increased by 0.6% to 5.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from 4.9% for the comparable prior-year period.

25

Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

Automation Segment Results

Three Months Ended March 31.

|  |                       | March 31,              |  |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--|
|  | 2009                  | 2008                   |  |
|  | (Do                   | llars in Thousands)    |  |
| Revenue before eliminations Inter-segment eliminations | \$ 20,677<br>(86)     | \$ 10,557<br>(155)     |  |
| Total revenue  | (00)<br><br>\$ 20,591 | (133)<br><br>\$ 10,402 |  |
| TOCAL LCVCIIUC   | Q 20,001              | 7 10, 102              |  |

|                         |                 |        | ======           |        |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--------|------------------|--------|
| Detailed revenue:       |                 |        |                  |        |
| Fabrication             | \$ 7,194        | 34.9%  | \$ 6,683         | 64.3%  |
| Non-fabrication         | 13 <b>,</b> 397 | 65.1%  | 3,719            | 35.7%  |
| Total revenue:          | 20,591          | 100.0% | 10,402           | 100.0% |
| Gross profit:           | 2,857           | 13.9%  | 1,044            | 10.0%  |
| Operating SG&A expense: | 1,240           | 6.0%   | 632              | 6.1%   |
| Operating income:       | \$ 1,617        | 7.9%   | \$ 412<br>====== | 4.0%   |

#### Overview of Automation Segment:

Our Automation group provides services relating to the implementation of process controls, advanced automation and information technology projects. We provide clients with a full range of services including front-end engineering feasibility studies and the execution of active engineering, procurement, and construction projects. By focusing on such large-scope projects, we intend to pursue Distributed Control Systems (DCS) conversion and new installation projects by utilizing our own resources as well as resources from our engineering and systems businesses. ENGlobal has proven capabilities for plant automation services and products to respond to an industry progression toward replacing obsolete technology with new open system architecture DCS.

#### Revenue:

Our Automation segment's revenue increased approximately \$10.2 million, or 98.1%, to \$20.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$10.4 million for the comparable prior-year period. This increase was primarily attributable to increased work due to Hurricane Ike recovery projects that included high levels of purchased materials. In addition, approximately \$2.1 million of our revenue increase came from the acquisition of Advanced Control Engineering LLC in September 2008. Our Automation segment has put a new focus on marketing not only to our existing client base, but also expanding our client base outside of the energy sector. We will also be focusing on both domestic and international clients to expand our revenue base.

#### Gross profit:

The Automation segment's gross profit increased approximately \$1.9 million, or 190.0%, to \$2.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$1.0 million for the comparable prior-year period. As a percentage of the total Automation segment revenue, gross profit increased by 3.9% to 13.9%, from 10.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

26

Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

#### Selling, General, and Administrative:

Our Automation segment's SG&A expense increased \$0.6 million, or 100.0%, to \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 from \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Increases in salaries and related employee expenses of \$0.3 million and facilities expenses of \$0.2

million make up the primary increase, with the remainder based on increases in associate relations, professional services and taxes. As a percentage of the total Automation segment revenue, SG&A expense decreased by 0.1% to 6.0%, from 6.1% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

#### Operating Income:

Operating income increased \$1.2 million, or 300.0%, to \$1.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 from \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. As a percentage of the total Automation segment revenue, operating income also increased by 3.9% to 7.9% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from 4.0% for the comparable prior-year period.

27

Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

Land Segment Results

Three Months Ended
March 31.

|   |                 | rarc     | .11 51,         |        |
|---|-----------------|----------|-----------------|--------|
|   | 2009            |          | 20              | 08     |
|   |                 | (Dollars | in Thousands    | )<br>  |
| Revenue before eliminations<br>Inter-segment eliminations | \$9,086<br>     |          | \$8,835<br>     |        |
| Total revenue   | 9,086           | 100.0%   | 8,835           | 100.0% |
| Gross profit:   | 1,371           | 15.1%    | 1,392           | 15.8%  |
| Operating SG&A expense:                                   | 637             | 7.0%     | 677<br>         | 7.7%   |
| Operating income:   | \$ 734<br>===== | 8.1%     | \$ 715<br>===== | 8.1%   |

#### Overview of Land Segment:

Our Land segment possesses a long, reputable history of land management expertise in title research, permitting and acquisition. We provide land and right of way consulting services and a broad menu of complementary solutions primarily to the energy, utility, transportation, electric power and government sectors. We have successfully built a reputation for quality, budget management and focused objectives, as long term alliance partners with our clients.

The Land segment was formed out of our acquisition of WRC Corporation in May 2006, which was renamed ENGlobal Land, Inc. in January 2008. The Land segment provides services to a cross-section of clients in the energy markets. As the country attempts to shift its dependence on foreign energy to reliance on domestic sources, we anticipate that the Land segment will have additional project opportunities.

#### Revenue:

The Land segment's revenue increased approximately \$0.3 million, or 3.4%, to \$9.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$8.8 million for the comparable prior-year period. This increase in Land segment revenue is primarily attributable to expanded market opportunities in the energy and alternative energy industries, as well as expansion geographically with services being provided throughout the United States.

#### Gross profit:

The Land segment's gross profit remained stable at \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and March 31, 2008. As a percentage of the total Land segment revenue, gross profit decreased by 0.7% to 15.1%, from 15.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

#### Selling, General, and Administrative:

The Land segment's SG&A expense decreased approximately \$40,000, or 5.9%, to \$637,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from \$677,000 for the same period in 2008. As a percentage of the total Land segment revenue, SG&A expense decreased by 0.7% to 7.0%, from 7.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Decreases in SG&A costs for the three months ended March 31, 2009 were related to a reduction of bad debt expense in the amounts of \$25,000 and \$19,000 for office expenses.

28

# Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

#### Operating Income:

The Land segment recorded an operating income of \$0.7 million for both the three months ended March 31, 2009 and the three months ended March 31, 2008. As a percentage of the total Land segment revenue, operating income was 8.1% for both the three months ended March 31, 2009 and for the same period in 2008.

29

# ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, notes and capital leases payable, and debt obligations. The book value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and short-term notes payable are considered to be representative of fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments.

We do not utilize financial instruments for trading purposes and we do not hold any derivative financial instruments that could expose us to significant market risk. In the normal course of business, our results of operations are exposed to risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates and currency exchange rates.

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily

to our obligations under the Comerica Credit Facility (the "Credit Facility"). As of March 31, 2009, \$20.0 million had been borrowed under the Credit Facility, accruing interest at an average rate of 2.92% per year, excluding amortization of prepaid financing costs. If it becomes necessary for the Company to replace the Credit Facility in the current economic environment, it may not be able to obtain as favorable a rate structure as the existing arrangement.

In general, our exposure to fluctuating exchange rates relates to the effects of translating the financial statements of our Canadian subsidiary from the Canadian dollar to the U.S. dollar. We follow the provisions of SFAS No. 52 - "Foreign Currency Translation" in preparing our condensed consolidated financial statements. Currently, we do not engage in foreign currency hedging activities.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures of a registrant designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the registrant in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is properly recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's ("SEC") rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include processes to accumulate and evaluate relevant information and communicate such information to a registrant's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

We evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2009, as required by Rule 13a-15 of the Exchange Act. Based on the evaluation described above, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of March 31, 2009, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

No changes in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2009, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

30

# PART II. - OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company received notice of an action filed in the 234th District Court for Harris County, TX on or about March 20, 2009, seeking declaratory relief to clear title to real property and improvements owned by Bigler Chemical on which ENGlobal Engineering, Inc. ("EEI") had filed a statutory mechanics lien statement in the amount of \$3,169,000 on or about February 18, 2009. Bigler also claims breach of contract by EEI and monetary damages. The Company filed its

Answer and Counterclaim for damages on breach of contract, for its attorneys' fees and costs, and to foreclose on its lien interest on April 27, 2009.

As discussed in Note 9 above, in the first quarter of 2007 ENGlobal Engineering, Inc. and South Louisiana Ethanol, LLC ("SLE") executed an agreement for EPC services relating to the retro-fit of an ethanol plan in southern Louisiana. The history of the SLE Project is described in Note 12 to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements included in its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and is discussed further in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. Due to the continued failure of SLE to obtain permanent financing, on May 30, 2008, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana, Cause Number 08-3601. The Company is seeking damages of \$15.8 million.

From time to time, the Company and its subsidiaries become parties to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of normal business activities. While we cannot predict the outcome of these proceedings, in our opinion and based on reports of counsel, any liability arising from such matters, individually or in the aggregate, is not expected to have a material effect upon the consolidated financial position or operations of the Company.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information set forth in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only additional risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial conditions or operating results.

31

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

|             |   |                     | Incorporated k | -            |
|-------------|---|---------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Exhibit No. | Description   | Form or<br>Schedule |                | Filin<br>wit |
| 3.1         | Restated Articles of Incorporation of Registrant dated August 8, 2002   | 10-Q                | 3.1            | 11/14        |
| 3.2         | Amendment to the Restated Articles of<br>Incorporation of the Registrant, filed with the<br>Nevada Secretary of State on June 2, 2006 | 8-A12B              | 3.1            | 12/17        |
| 3.3         | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant dated November 6, 2007  | 10-K                | 3.3            | 03/28        |
| 3.4         | Amendments to Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant dated April 29, 2008.   | 10-Q                | 3.2            | 05/07        |

- \*10.1 Fifth Amendment to the ENGlobal 401(K) Plan effective January 1, 1009.
- \*31.1 Certifications Pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the First Quarter 2009
- \*31.2 Certifications Pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the First Quarter 2009
- \*32.0 Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a 14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for the First Quarter 2009

\*Filed herewith

32

#### SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ENGlobal Corporation

Dated: May 11, 2009

By: /s/ Robert W. Raiford

Robert W. Raiford
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

33