

IRONWOOD PHARMACEUTICALS INC
Form DEF 14A
April 18, 2014

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

IRONWOOD PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: IRONWOOD PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form DEF 14A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

301 Binney Street
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142

**NOTICE OF 2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF
IRONWOOD PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2014
Time: 9:00 a.m. - 10:00 a.m. Eastern Time
Place: Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
301 Binney Street
Cambridge, MA 02142
Purpose: We are holding the annual meeting for stockholders to consider three company sponsored proposals as follows:

1. To elect our Class I directors, each for a three-year term;
2. To hold an advisory vote on named executive officer compensation; and
3. To ratify our audit committee's selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our auditors for 2014.

We will also consider action on any other matter that may be properly brought before the meeting or any postponement(s) or adjournment(s) thereof.

Our board of directors recommends you vote "for" each of the nominees for Class I director (proposal no. 1); "for" an advisory vote on named executive officer compensation (proposal no. 2) and "for" ratification of our selection of auditors (proposal no. 3). Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 10, 2014 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting.

We are pleased to take advantage of the Securities and Exchange Commission rules that allow us to furnish proxy materials to our stockholders on the internet. We believe these rules allow us to provide you with the information that you need while lowering the costs of delivery and reducing the environmental impact of the annual meeting.

You are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting in person. To ensure that your vote is counted at the annual meeting, however, please vote as promptly as possible.

Proxy Material Mailing Date:

Sincerely,

April 18, 2014

*Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer, and
Secretary*

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>General Information</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>Directors and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Proposal No. 2 Advisory Vote on Named Executive Officer Compensation</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Executive and Director Compensation</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Proposal No. 3 Ratification of our Selection of Auditors</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Stockholder Communications, Proposals and Nominations for Directorships</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>SEC Filings</u>	<u>44</u>

Table of Contents

301 Binney Street
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142

PROXY STATEMENT FOR 2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

GENERAL INFORMATION

Our board of directors is soliciting proxies for the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders. This proxy statement explains the agenda, voting information and procedures for the meeting. Please read it carefully. This proxy statement and related materials are first being made available to stockholders on or about April 18, 2014 and the notice of internet availability of proxy materials is first being sent to our stockholders on the same day.

In this proxy statement, references to "the company" or "Ironwood" and, except within the Audit Committee Report and the Compensation Committee Report, references to "we", "us" or "our" mean Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc. LINZESS® and CONSTELLA® are trademarks of Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Any other trademarks referred to in this proxy statement are the property of their respective owners. All rights reserved.

The contents of our website are not incorporated into this document and you should not consider information provided on our website to be part of this document.

Who can vote. Only stockholders of record of either of our two series of common stock, our Class A common stock and our Class B common stock, at the close of business on April 10, 2014 can vote at the meeting.

Quorum. In order to hold and complete the business of the annual meeting, we must have a majority of the votes entitled to be cast represented in person or by proxy at the meeting. On our record date, April 10, 2014, we had 138,034,912 shares of our common stock outstanding and entitled to vote (119,482,881 shares of our Class A common stock and 18,552,031 shares of our Class B common stock).

With respect to all matters that will come before the meeting, each share is entitled to one vote, and holders of shares of our Class A common stock and of our Class B common stock will vote together as a single class.

Notice of internet availability of proxy materials. Pursuant to rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials via the internet. Accordingly, we are sending a notice of internet availability of proxy materials to our stockholders. All stockholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials on the website referenced in the notice and to request to receive a printed set of the proxy materials by mail. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the internet and how to request a printed copy may be found in the notice. In addition, stockholders may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by email on an ongoing basis. We encourage stockholders to take advantage of the availability of the proxy materials on the internet or through email to help reduce the environmental impact of our annual meetings.

Voting Procedures Stockholders of Record and Beneficial Owners. You are a stockholder of record if your shares of our stock are registered directly in your own name with our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., or Computershare. You are a beneficial owner if a brokerage

Table of Contents

firm, bank, trustee or other agent, called a "nominee", holds your stock. This is often called ownership in "street name" because your name does not appear in the records of Computershare. If you hold your shares in street name, you should receive a voting instruction form from your broker nominee.

How to vote your shares.

If you are a stockholder of record, there are four ways to vote:

In person. You may vote in person at the annual meeting. We will give you a ballot when you arrive. Directions to the annual meeting, which is being held at our corporate headquarters located at 301 Binney Street, Cambridge, MA 02142, are available through the About Us section of our website at www.ironwoodpharma.com, under the heading Contact Us.

Via the Internet. You may vote by proxy via the internet by following the instructions provided on the notice of internet availability of proxy materials or the proxy card. You must have the control number that is on either the notice or the proxy card when voting.

By Telephone. If you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail and you live in the United States or Canada, you may vote by proxy by calling the toll free number found on the proxy card. You must have the control number that is on the proxy card when voting.

By Mail. If you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you may vote by proxy by filling out the proxy card and sending it back in the envelope provided.

If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in street name, there are four ways to provide voting instructions:

In person. If you wish to vote in person at the annual meeting, you must obtain a legal proxy from the nominee that holds your shares. Please contact that nominee for instructions regarding obtaining a legal proxy. Directions to the annual meeting, which is being held at our corporate headquarters located at 301 Binney Street, Cambridge, MA 02142, are available through the About Us section of our website at www.ironwoodpharma.com, under the heading Contact Us.

Via the Internet. You may provide voting instructions via the internet by following the instructions provided on your voting instruction form.

By Telephone. If it is allowed by your nominee, you may provide voting instructions by calling the toll free number found on your voting instruction form.

By Mail. You may provide voting instructions by filling out the voting instruction form and sending it back in the envelope provided.

How you may revoke your proxy or voting instructions. If you are a stockholder of record, you may revoke or amend your proxy at any time before it is voted at the annual meeting by writing to us directly "revoking" your earlier proxy, submitting a new proxy with a later date by mail, over the telephone or on the internet, or by attending the meeting and voting in person. Your last dated proxy timely received prior to or vote cast at the annual meeting will be counted. If you hold your shares in street name, you must follow the instructions on your voting instruction form to revoke or amend any prior voting instructions.

What if you receive more than one notice of internet availability of proxy materials, proxy card or voting instruction form? This means that you may have more than one account at Computershare and/or with a nominee. Your notice of internet availability of proxy materials, proxy card or voting instruction form lists the number of shares you are voting. Please vote the shares on all notices of internet availability of proxy materials, proxy cards and voting instruction forms that you receive.

Table of Contents

We recommend you consolidate your holdings under the same name, address and tax identification number, if possible. This will eliminate some duplication of mailings and reduce costs. Please contact your nominee to consolidate accounts, or our transfer agent, Computershare, at (800) 662-7232, as applicable.

Abstentions and "broker non-votes". If you are a stockholder of record and you vote "abstain" or "withhold" on any matter, your shares will not be voted on that matter and will not be counted as votes cast in the final tally of votes on that matter. However, your shares will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present. If you are a beneficial owner holding through a broker nominee, you may instruct your nominee that you wish to abstain from voting on a proposal or withhold authority to vote for one or more nominees for director.

A broker nominee generally may not vote on "non-routine" matters without receiving your specific voting instructions. This is called a "broker non-vote." Like abstentions, broker non-votes are counted as present and entitled to vote for quorum purposes, but are not counted as votes cast. At the annual meeting, your broker nominee will not be able to submit a vote on the election of directors or the advisory vote on named executive officer compensation unless it receives your specific instructions. If your nominee does not receive your specific instructions for these proposals, it will submit a broker non-vote. The broker nominee will, however, be able to vote on the ratification of the selection of our independent auditors even if it does not receive your instructions, so we do not expect any broker non-votes will exist in connection with that proposal.

Discretionary authority. If you are a stockholder of record and you properly submit your proxy without making any specific selections, your shares will be voted on each matter before the annual meeting in the manner recommended by our board. If other matters not included in this proxy statement properly come before the annual meeting, the persons named on the proxy card, or otherwise designated, will have the authority to vote on those matters for you as they determine. At this time, we are not aware of any matters that will come before the annual meeting other than those disclosed in this proxy statement. If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in street name, please see the discussion above regarding broker non-votes and the rules related to voting by nominees.

Vote required. The required vote for each of the proposals expected to be acted upon at the annual meeting is described below.

1.

Proposal No. 1 Election of Class I Directors: the three nominees for director with the highest number of affirmative votes will be elected as directors to serve for three-year terms and until their successors are duly elected and qualified or until their death, resignation or removal. Because there is no minimum vote required, abstentions and broker non-votes will not affect the outcome of this proposal.

2.

Proposal No. 2 Advisory (non-binding) vote on named executive officer compensation, or "say-on-pay": because this proposal calls for a non-binding, advisory vote, there is no "required vote" that would constitute approval. However, our board, including our compensation and HR committee, values the opinions of our stockholders and, to the extent there are a substantial number of votes cast against the named executive officer compensation disclosed in this proxy statement, we will consider our stockholders' concerns and evaluate what actions may be appropriate to address those concerns. Broker nominees do not have discretion to vote on this proposal without your instruction; if you do not instruct your nominee how to vote on this proposal, your nominee will deliver a non-vote.

3.

Proposal No.3 Ratification of Auditors: the approval of this proposal requires a majority of the votes cast for or against the proposal. Abstentions will not affect the outcome of this proposal. Further, because we believe this matter to be routine, a broker nominee may vote on your behalf if you do not otherwise provide instructions, and we do not expect there will be any broker non-votes on this matter.

Table of Contents

Results of the voting. We expect to announce the preliminary voting results at the annual meeting. The final voting results will be tallied by the inspector of election and published in a Current Report on Form 8-K, which we are required to file with the SEC within four business days following the annual meeting.

Costs of solicitation. We will pay the costs of soliciting proxies. We will solicit proxies by email from stockholders who are our employees or who previously requested to receive proxy materials electronically. Our directors, our officers and our employees also may solicit proxies on our behalf, personally, electronically or by telephone or other means, without additional compensation. We may also utilize the assistance of third parties in connection with our proxy solicitation efforts, and we would compensate such third parties for their efforts. We have engaged one such third party, The Proxy Advisory Group, LLC, to assist in the solicitation of proxies and provide related advice and informational support, for a services fee and the reimbursement of expenses that are not expected to exceed \$13,750 in the aggregate.

Audio of annual meeting to be broadcast on our website. The audio portion of our annual meeting will be broadcast live over the internet through a webcast that will be accessible through the Investors section of our website at www.ironwoodpharma.com. The contents of our website are not incorporated into this document and you should not consider information provided on our website to be part of this document.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock at March 31, 2014 for:

each person whom we know beneficially owns more than five percent of our common stock;

each of our directors;

each of our named executive officers; and

all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

The number of shares beneficially owned by each stockholder is determined under rules issued by the SEC. Under these rules, beneficial ownership includes any shares as to which the individual or entity has sole or shared voting power or investment power. Each of the stockholders listed has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares beneficially owned by the stockholder unless noted otherwise, subject to community property laws where applicable.

The percentage of common stock beneficially owned by each person is based on 138,008,245 shares of common stock outstanding on March 31, 2014 (119,473,214 shares of Class A common stock and 18,535,031 shares of Class B common stock). Each share of Class B common stock is convertible at any time into one share of Class A common stock. Shares of common stock that may be acquired within 60 days following March 31, 2014 pursuant to the exercise of options are included in the holdings of each stockholder, as applicable, and are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of such holder. Such amounts, however, are not included in the holdings of any other stockholder in the table and are not deemed to be outstanding for computing the percentage ownership of any other holder shown in the table. Beneficial ownership representing less than one percent is denoted with an "*."

Edgar Filing: IRONWOOD PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Unless otherwise indicated, the address for each of the stockholders in the table below is c/o Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 301 Binney Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Class A		Class B		% Total Voting Power(1)
	Common Stock Shares	%	Common Stock Shares	%	
Officers and Directors					
Peter M. Hecht(2)	516,334	*	5,199,751	26.3	4.1
Michael J. Higgins(3)	234,167	*	720,000	3.8	*
Thomas A. McCourt(4)	151,075	*	240,000	1.3	*
Mark G. Currie(5)	247,416	*	1,165,000	6.0	1.0
George H. Conrades(6)	25,768	*	827,907	4.5	*
Joseph C. Cook, Jr.(7)	50,578	*	410,188	2.2	*
David A. Ebersman	9,339	*	71,519	*	*
Marsha H. Fanucci	31,339	*	44,863	*	*
Terrance G. McGuire(8)	13,880	*	40,000	*	*
Julie H. McHugh	6,775	*	*	*	*
Edward P. Owens	97,672	*	*	*	*
Bryan E. Roberts(9)	1,042,570	*	1,995,994	10.8	2.2
David E. Shaw	64,306	*	324,729	1.8	*
Christopher T. Walsh	9,339	*	303,026	1.6	*
All executive officers and directors as a group (15 persons)(10)	2,645,092	2.2	11,495,227	53.6	10.0

5% Security Holders

FMR LLC (Fidelity)(11)	15,717,418	13.2	*	11.4
Wellington Management Company, LLP(12)	13,625,326	11.4	*	9.9
Entities associated with BVF(13)	9,575,649	8.0	*	6.9
Entities associated with Morgan Stanley(14)	8,254,925	6.9	*	6.0
Blackrock, Inc.(15)	7,423,981	6.2	*	5.4

(1) Percentage total voting power represents voting power with respect to all shares of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock, as a single class, on matters in which holders of our Class B common stock are entitled to one vote per share. Each share of Class A common stock and each share of Class B common stock has one vote per share, except (a) on the following matters (on which each share of Class A common stock has one vote per share and each share of Class B common stock has ten votes per share), if submitted to a vote of stockholders: (i) adoption of a merger or consolidation agreement involving Ironwood; (ii) a sale of all or substantially all of Ironwood's assets; or (iii) a dissolution or liquidation of Ironwood; and (b) on every matter if and when any individual, entity or "group" (as such term is used in Regulation 13D of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act) has, or has publicly disclosed (through a press release or a filing with the SEC) an intent to have, beneficial ownership of 30% or more of the number of outstanding shares of Class A common stock and Class B common stock, combined. Holders of shares of Class A common stock and Class B common stock vote together as a single class on all matters (including those set forth in this proxy statement) submitted to a vote of stockholders, unless otherwise required by our certificate of incorporation or bylaws. The Class B common stock is convertible at any time by the holder into shares of Class A common stock on a share-for-share basis.

(2) Includes 442,916 shares of Class A common stock and 1,225,000 shares of Class B common stock issuable to Dr. Hecht upon the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days following March 31, 2014.

Edgar Filing: IRONWOOD PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

- (3) Includes 184,167 shares of Class A common stock and 420,000 shares of Class B common stock issuable to Mr. Higgins upon the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days following March 31, 2014.
- (4) Includes 142,084 shares of Class A common stock and 240,000 shares of Class B common stock issuable to Mr. McCourt upon the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days following March 31, 2014.
- (5) Includes 245,250 shares of Class A common stock and 855,000 shares of Class B common stock issuable to Dr. Currie upon the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days following March 31, 2014.
- (6) Includes 254,152 shares of Class B common stock held by the Conrades Family, LLC, of which Mr. Conrades is a managing member. Also includes 483,755 shares of Class B common stock held by Longfellow Venture Partners I, LLC, of which Mr. Conrades is the sole manager.
- (7) Includes 43,025 shares of Class B common stock held by Farview Management Company, L.P. Also includes 297,163 shares of Class B common stock and 40,304 shares of Class A common stock held by Mr. Cook and his wife, Judith E. Cook. Mr. Cook has shared voting and investment authority over these shares. Also includes 5,000 shares of Class B common stock issuable to Mr. Cook upon the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days following March 31, 2014.
- (8) Includes 1,626 shares of Class A common stock held by Polaris Venture Management Co. II, L.L.C., and 40,000 shares of Class B common stock held by Bartlett Partners, LLC. Mr. McGuire is a managing member of Bartlett Partners, LLC and Polaris Venture Management Co. II, L.L.C. and has shared voting and investment authority over these shares.
- (9) Includes 1,919,704 shares of Class B common stock held by Venrock Associates; 88,262 shares of Class A common stock held by Venrock Associates V, L.P.; 7,483 shares of Class A common stock held by Venrock Partners V, L.P.; 36,290 shares of Class B common stock held by Venrock Entrepreneurs Fund, L.P.; 756,383 shares of Class A common stock held by Venrock Healthcare Capital Partners, L.P.; 143,617 shares of Class A common stock held by VHCP Co-Investment Holdings, LLC; 105 shares of Class A common stock held by Venrock Management, LLC; 18,910 shares of Class A common stock held by VHCP Management, LLC and 3,255 shares of Class A common stock and 40,000 shares of Class B common stock held by VR Management, LLC. Dr. Roberts is a general partner of Venrock Associates and a member of the general partners of Venrock Associates V, L.P., Venrock Partners V, L.P., Venrock Entrepreneurs Fund, L.P., and Venrock Healthcare Capital Partners, LP, a member of the manager of VHCP Co-Investment Holdings, LLC and a member of Venrock Management, LLC, VHCP Management, LLC and VR Management, LLC, and as such, he may be deemed to have voting and investment power with respect to these shares. Dr. Roberts disclaims beneficial ownership with respect to these shares except to the extent of his indirect pecuniary interest therein. Further, under an agreement between Dr. Roberts and VR Management, LLC, Dr. Roberts is deemed to hold 9,534 shares of the Class A common stock registered in his name for the sole benefit of VR Management, LLC, and must hold or sell the shares solely upon the direction of VR Management, LLC. Dr. Roberts disclaims beneficial ownership with respect to these shares except to the extent of his indirect pecuniary interest therein. The address of Venrock Associates (including entities mentioned above) is 3340 Hillview Avenue, Palo Alto, CA 94304.
- (10) Includes 1,152,022 shares of Class A common stock and 2,897,250 shares of Class B common stock issuable upon the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days following March 31, 2014.
- (11) Based upon the information provided by FMR LLC ("FMR") and Edward C. Johnson, III in a Schedule 13G/A filed on February 14, 2014, reporting as of December 31, 2013. According to this Schedule 13G/A, (i) FMR has sole voting power with respect to 890,036 of these shares, sole dispositive power with respect to all of these shares, and shared voting and dispositive power with

Table of Contents

respect to none of these shares, and (ii) Mr. Johnson has neither sole nor shared voting power with respect to these shares and sole dispositive power with respect to all of these shares and shared dispositive power with respect to none of these shares. FMR and Mr. Johnson also reported in this Schedule 13G/A that Fidelity Management & Research Company ("FMRC"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR and an investment adviser registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, is the beneficial owner of 9,701,321 of these shares, representing 8.1% of our outstanding Class A common stock and 7.0% of our outstanding Class A and Class B common stock combined. The address of FMR, Mr. Johnson and FMRC is 245 Summer Street, Boston, MA 02210.

(12) Based upon the information provided by Wellington Management Company, LLP ("Wellington") in a Schedule 13G/A filed on February 14, 2014, reporting as of December 31, 2013. According to this Schedule 13G/A, Wellington has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to none of these shares, shared voting power with respect to 8,335,008 of these shares, and shared dispositive power with respect to all of these shares. The address of Wellington is 280 Congress Street, Boston, MA 02210.

(13) Based upon the information provided by Biotechnology Value Fund, L.P. ("BVF"), Biotechnology Value Fund II, L.P. ("BVF2"), BVF Investments, L.L.C. ("BVLLC"), Investment 10, L.L.C. ("ILL10"), BVF Partners L.P. ("Partners"), BVF Inc., and Mark N. Lampert (collectively, the "BVF Persons") in a Schedule 13G/A filed on February 14, 2014, reporting as of December 31, 2013. According to this Schedule 13G/A, (i) BVF beneficially owns 1,071,830 of these shares, having sole voting and dispositive power with respect to none of such shares and shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 1,071,830 of such shares, (ii) BVF2 beneficially owns 629,228 of these shares, having sole voting and dispositive power with respect to none of such shares and shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 629,228 of such shares, (iii) BVLLC beneficially owns 7,577,683 of these shares, having sole voting and dispositive power with respect to none of such shares and shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 7,577,683 of such shares, (iv) ILL10 beneficially owns 296,908 of these shares, having sole voting and dispositive power with respect to none of such shares and shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 296,908 of such shares, and (v) each of Partners, BVF Inc. and Mr. Lampert may be deemed to beneficially own all 9,575,649 of these shares, having sole voting and dispositive power with respect to none of such shares and shared voting and dispositive power with respect to all of such shares. The address of the BVF Persons is 900 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100, Chicago, IL 60611.

(14) Based upon the information provided by Morgan Stanley and Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc. ("MSIM") in a Schedule 13G/A filed on February 11, 2014, reporting as of December 31, 2013. According to this Schedule 13G/A, each of Morgan Stanley and MSIM has sole voting power with respect to 8,159,649 of these shares, sole dispositive power with respect to all of these shares and shared voting and dispositive power with respect to none of these shares. Morgan Stanley and MSIM also reported in this Schedule 13G/A that the securities being reported upon by Morgan Stanley as a parent holding company are owned, or may be deemed to be beneficially owned, by MSIM, an investment adviser in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E) of the Exchange Act, and that MSIM is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Morgan Stanley. The address of Morgan Stanley is 1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036. The address of MSIM is 522 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10036.

(15) Based upon the information provided by Blackrock, Inc. ("Blackrock") in a Schedule 13G/A filed on January 29, 2013, reporting as of December 31, 2013. According to this Schedule 13G/A, Blackrock has sole voting power with respect to 7,126,353 of these shares, sole dispositive power with respect to all of these shares, and shared voting and dispositive power with respect to none of these shares. The address of Blackrock is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Since January 1, 2013, except as described below, there has not been, nor is there currently proposed, any transaction or series of similar transactions to which we were or are a party in which the amount involved exceeded or exceeds \$120,000 and in which any of our directors, executive officers, holders of more than 5% of any class of our voting securities, or any member of the immediate family of any of the foregoing persons, had or will have a direct or indirect material interest, other than compensation arrangements with directors and executive officers, which are described under the caption *Executive and Director Compensation* appearing elsewhere in this proxy statement.

Registration Rights

Each of Messrs. Conrades and Cook, and Dr. Hecht (and certain of their affiliated entities), as well as Venrock, have registration rights with respect to certain shares of capital stock that they hold. These registration rights are contained in our eighth amended and restated investors' rights agreement and are described below. The registration rights under the investors' rights agreement will expire on or about February 2, 2015, or, with respect to an individual holder, when such holder holds less than 1% of the outstanding shares of Class B common stock and is able to sell all of its shares pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, in any 90 day period. Further, in April 2013, the holders of the majority of the registrable securities under the investors' rights agreement entered into an agreement to waive any registration rights and notice rights held by stockholders party to the investors' rights agreement with respect to offerings of our Class A common stock under the shelf registration statement we filed with the SEC on February 8, 2012. Except as modified by this waiver, all other rights under the investors' rights agreement remain the same.

Demand Registration Rights

The holders of shares of common stock having demand registration rights under the investors' rights agreement have the right to require that we register their shares of Class A common stock into which their shares of Class B common stock convert, provided such registration relates to not less than 20% in aggregate of our then outstanding shares of Class B common stock having demand registration rights and the anticipated aggregate offering price to the public is at least \$5,000,000. In response to these demand registration rights, we are only obligated to effect two registrations for each series of our outstanding preferred stock that was converted into Class B common stock upon the completion of our initial public offering. We may postpone the filing of a registration statement for up to 90 days once in any 12-month period if our board of directors determines in good faith that the filing would be seriously detrimental to our stockholders or us. The underwriters of any underwritten offering have the right to limit the number of shares to be included in a registration statement filed in response to the exercise of these demand registration rights. We must pay all expenses, except for underwriters' discounts and commissions, incurred in connection with the exercise of these demand registration rights.

Piggyback Registration Rights

If we register any securities for public sale, the stockholders with piggyback registration rights under the investors' rights agreement have the right to include their shares in the registration, subject to specified exceptions. The underwriters of any underwritten offering have the right to limit the number of shares registered by these stockholders due to marketing reasons. We must pay all expenses, except for underwriters' discounts and commissions, incurred in connection with the exercise of these piggyback registration rights.

Table of Contents

S-3 Registration Rights

The stockholders with S-3 registration rights under the investors' rights agreement can request that we register their shares, provided that the total price of the shares of common stock offered to the public is at least \$500,000. These S-3 registration rights are wholly distinct from the demand registration rights and piggyback registration rights described above. A holder of S-3 registration rights may not require us to file a registration statement on Form S-3 if we have already effected two registrations on Form S-3 at the request of such holder in the last 12-month period. We may postpone the filing of a Form S-3 registration statement for up to 90 days once in any 12-month period if our board of directors determines in good faith that the filing would be seriously detrimental to our stockholders or us. The holders of S-3 registration rights must pay all expenses associated with any registrations on Form S-3 after the first six registrations on Form S-3.

Indemnification Agreements

We have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our current directors and certain of our officers. These agreements require us to indemnify these individuals to the fullest extent permitted under Delaware law against liabilities that may arise by reason of their service to us, and to advance expenses incurred as a result of any proceeding against them as to which they could be indemnified. We intend to enter into indemnification agreements with our future directors and executive officers.

Procedures for Related Party Transactions

Under our code of business conduct and ethics, our employees, officers and directors are discouraged from entering into any transaction that may create or give the appearance of a conflict of interest. In addition, they must report any potential conflict of interest, including related party transactions, to certain members of our management or the chair of our audit committee. Pursuant to its charter, our audit committee must approve any related party transactions, including those transactions involving our directors. In approving or rejecting a proposed transaction, the audit committee considers the relevant facts and circumstances available to and deemed relevant by the audit committee, including the material terms of the transaction, risks, benefits, costs, availability of other comparable services or products and, if applicable, the impact on a director's independence. Our audit committee will approve only those transactions that, in light of known circumstances, are in, or are not inconsistent with, our best interests, as our audit committee determines in the good faith exercise of its discretion. A copy of our code of business conduct and ethics, and our audit committee charter are available through the Investors section of our website at www.ironwoodpharma.com, under the heading Corporate Governance.

PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

*Our board recommends that you vote for each of the
Class I directors up for election.*

Our board of directors currently consists of 11 members, ten of whom are non-employee members. In accordance with the terms of our certificate of incorporation, our board of directors is divided into three classes, and the directors in each class serve for three-year terms. Upon the expiration of the term of a class of directors, directors in that class will be eligible to be elected for a new three-year term at the annual meeting in the year in which their term expires. The current members of each class are set forth in the table below under *Directors*.

Our board has nominated each of our current class I directors Drs. Hecht and Roberts, Mr. Shaw and Ms. McHugh for election at the 2014 annual meeting. In April 2014, Mr. Shaw declined the

Table of Contents

nomination and announced his intention to retire as a member of our board at the 2014 annual meeting, and, as a result, our board has elected to reduce the number of directors from 11 to ten at that time. Each of Drs. Hecht and Roberts and Ms. McHugh has indicated his or her willingness to serve if elected. Should any nominee become unavailable for election at the annual meeting, the persons named on the enclosed proxy as proxy holders may vote all proxies given in response to this solicitation for the election of a substitute nominee chosen by our board.

Vote Required

The three nominees for director with the highest number of affirmative votes will be elected as directors to serve for three-years and until their successors are duly elected and qualified or until their death, resignation or removal. Because there is no minimum vote required, abstentions and broker non-votes will not affect the outcome of this proposal.

DIRECTORS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Board Composition and Structure

Our certificate of incorporation states that our board shall consist of between one and 15 members, and the precise number of directors shall be fixed by a resolution of our board. Each director holds office until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified or until his or her death, resignation or removal. Our certificate of incorporation provides that our directors may be removed only for cause by a majority of the stockholders entitled to vote on such removal. Any vacancy in the board, including a vacancy that results from an increase in the number of directors, may be filled by a vote of the majority of the directors then in office. Our board has elected to reduce the number of directors from 11 to ten upon Mr. Shaw's retirement, which will occur at the 2014 annual meeting. Any additional directorships resulting from an increase in the number of directors will be apportioned by our board among the three classes.

We separate the roles of chair of the board and chief executive officer. Our board believes that this structure enhances the board's oversight of, and independence from, management, and enables the board to carry out its responsibilities on behalf of our stockholders. This leadership structure also allows Dr. Hecht, our chief executive officer, to focus his time and energy on operating and managing the company, while leveraging the experience and perspective of Dr. Roberts, our chair of the board. As set forth in our corporate governance guidelines, our board of directors currently anticipates that its chairperson shall rotate every five years, unless the governance and nominating committee recommends otherwise. Based on this schedule, the next rotation would take place in 2015.

Directors

We believe that our board of directors should be comprised of individuals with sophistication and experience in many substantive areas that will help us achieve our goals of creating medicines that make a difference for patients, building value to earn the continued support of our fellow stockholders, and empowering our team to passionately pursue excellence.

The core criteria that we use in evaluating each nominee to our board consists of the following: (a) a commitment to represent both the short and long-term interests of our stockholders, demonstrated, in part, through ownership of our capital stock; (b) strong personal and professional ethics, integrity and values; (c) strong business acumen; (d) a genuine passion for our business and the patients whom we serve; (e) demonstrated achievement in the nominee's field of expertise; (f) the absence of conflicts of interest that would impair the nominee's ability to represent the interests of our stockholders; (g) the ability to dedicate the time necessary to regularly participate in meetings of the board and committees of our board; and (h) the potential to contribute to the diversity of our board of directors, as a result of the nominee's professional background, expertise, gender, age or ethnicity. We believe that all current members of our board of directors possess the professional and personal qualifications necessary to serve on our board of directors.

Edgar Filing: IRONWOOD PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Our governance and nominating committee identifies potential candidates through referrals and recommendations, including by incumbent directors, management and stockholders, as well as through business and other organizational networks. To date, our governance and nominating committee has not retained or paid any third party to identify or evaluate, or assist in identifying or evaluating, potential director nominees, although it reserves the right to engage executive search firms and other third parties to assist in finding suitable candidates.

The following table sets forth certain information, as of April 18, 2014, with respect to each of our directors:

Name	Age	Class	Year term expires	Audit committee	Governance and Nominating committee	Compensation and HR committee
Bryan E. Roberts, Ph.D., Chair	47	I	2014			
Julie H. McHugh	49	I	2014	ü		
Peter M. Hecht, Ph.D, Chief Executive Officer	50	I	2014			
David E. Shaw(1)	62	I	2014			C
George H. Conrades	75	II	2015		C	ü
Joseph C. Cook, Jr.	72	II	2015	ü		
David A. Ebersman	44	II	2015			ü
Marsha H. Fanucci	60	III	2016	C		
Terrance G. McGuire	58	III	2016	ü	ü	
Edward P. Owens	67	III	2016		ü	
Christopher T. Walsh, Ph.D.	70	III	2016		ü	

(1)

Mr. Shaw will be retiring from our board at the 2014 annual meeting.

"C" indicates chair of the committee.

Class I Directors (accepted nomination for election at the 2014 annual meeting)

Bryan E. Roberts has served as a director since 2001 and as chair of our board since 2010. Dr. Roberts joined Venrock, a venture capital investment firm, in 1997, where he serves as partner. From 1989 to 1992, Dr. Roberts worked in the corporate finance department of Kidder, Peabody & Co., a brokerage company. Dr. Roberts serves as a director of ZELTIQ Aesthetics, Inc., Achaogen, Inc. and Castlight Health, Inc., as well as on the board of several private companies, and he has previously served on the board of directors of athenahealth, Inc., XenoPort, Inc. and Sirna Therapeutics, Inc. He received a B.A. from Dartmouth College and a Ph.D. in chemistry and chemical biology from Harvard University. Dr. Roberts brings to our board substantial experience in the life sciences industry, having served on the board of directors of several private and public companies. Dr. Roberts' experiences with facilitating the growth of healthcare and biotechnology companies, together with his historical perspective on the company, are critical as we continue to gain experience as a public company and as we continue to commercialize linaclotide and advance our other product candidates.

Julie H. McHugh joined our board of directors in February 2014. Ms. McHugh most recently served as chief operating officer for Endo Health Solutions, Inc., from March 2010 through May 2013, where she was responsible for the specialty pharmaceutical and generic drug businesses. Prior to joining Endo, Ms. McHugh was the chief executive officer of Nora Therapeutics, Inc., a venture capital backed biotech start-up company focused on developing novel therapies for the treatment of infertility disorders. Before that she served as company group chairman for Johnson & Johnson's worldwide virology business unit, and previously she was president of Centocor, Inc., a J&J subsidiary. While at J&J, Ms. McHugh oversaw the development and launches of several products, including Remicade® (infliximab), Prezista® (darunavir) and Intelence® (etravirine), and she was responsible for oversight of

Table of Contents

an R&D portfolio including compounds for HIV, hepatitis C, and tuberculosis. Prior to joining Centocor, Ms. McHugh led the marketing communications for gastrointestinal drug Prilosec® (omeprazole) at Astra-Merck Inc. She currently serves on the board of visitors for the Smeal College of Business of the Pennsylvania State University as well as on the board of directors for The New Xellia Group, a privately held company, and she previously served on the board of directors for ViroPharma Inc., the Biotechnology Industry Organization (BIO), the Pennsylvania Biotechnology Association and the New England Healthcare Institute (NEHI). Ms. McHugh received her masters of business administration degree from St. Joseph's University and her Bachelor of Science degree from Pennsylvania State University. Ms. McHugh's experience as a chief executive officer and a chief operating officer at large multinational pharmaceutical companies make her a valuable member of our board of directors, particularly as we evolve as a company and seek to maximize our current product and execute on our corporate strategy and associated pipeline.

Peter M. Hecht has served as our chief executive officer and a director since our founding in 1998. Prior to founding Ironwood, Dr. Hecht was a research fellow at Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research. Dr. Hecht earned a B.S. in mathematics and an M.S. in biology from Stanford University, and holds a Ph.D. in molecular biology from the University of California at Berkeley. Dr. Hecht's experiences as one of our founders and his tenure as our chief executive officer make him a valuable member of our board of directors.

Class II Directors (term expires at the 2015 annual meeting)

George H. Conrades has served as a director since 2005. Mr. Conrades is the chairman of Akamai Technologies, Inc., a position he has held since August 2010, prior to which he was Executive Chairman since 2005. Mr. Conrades was both chairman and chief executive officer of Akamai Technologies, Inc. from 1999 to 2005 and has served as a director from 1998 to present. Mr. Conrades has also been a venture partner of Polaris Venture Partners, an early stage investment company, from August 1998 to present. From August 1997 to July 1998, Mr. Conrades served as executive vice president of GTE and president of GTE Internetworking, an integrated telecommunications services firm. Mr. Conrades served as chief executive officer of BBN Corporation, a national internet services provider and internet technology research and development company, from January 1994 until its acquisition by GTE Internetworking in July 1997. Prior to joining BBN Corporation, Mr. Conrades was a senior vice president at International Business Machines Corporation, or IBM, a developer of computer systems, software, storage systems and microelectronics, and a member of IBM's corporate management board. Mr. Conrades is currently a director of Harley Davidson, Inc., a motorcycle manufacturer, and Oracle Corporation, an enterprise software company, and currently serves as Life Trustee on the Board of Ohio Wesleyan University. Mr. Conrades received a B.A. in physics and math from Ohio Wesleyan University and an M.B.A. from the University of Chicago. Mr. Conrades' experience as chief executive officer of two public companies and as division president at two additional high technology companies, coupled with his past and present directorships and trusteeships make him an important member of our board of directors, particularly with respect to our corporate governance, growth strategy and business plans.

Joseph C. Cook, Jr. has served as a director since co-founding our company in 1998, and he served as our chair of the board of directors from 1998 to 2010. Mr. Cook is a principal and co-founder of Mountain Group Capital, LLC, and The Limestone Fund, LLC, both private investment companies. He currently is serving as Executive Chairman and President of NuSirt Sciences, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company. He also serves on the board of directors for Corcept Therapeutics, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company, Castle BioSciences, Inc., a molecular diagnostics company, and Diabetes Care Group, Inc., a care delivery company focused on diabetes. Mr. Cook served as chairman of the board of Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. from 1998 to 2009 and was chief executive officer from 1998 to 2003. He spent 28 years at Eli Lilly and Co., retiring from Lilly in 1993 after spending his last seven years there in a

Table of Contents

variety of senior management positions. In 2009, Mr. Cook received the Pinnacle Award for Life Science Leadership from the Rady School of Management at the University of California at San Diego. Mr. Cook received a B.S. in Engineering from the University of Tennessee in 1965. Based on Mr. Cook's extensive history in leading, managing and advising life sciences companies, we believe that Mr. Cook provides a valuable perspective and useful insight to our board as we continue to commercialize LINZESS and advance our other product candidates.

David A. Ebersman has served as a director since 2009. Mr. Ebersman is currently chief financial officer of Facebook, Inc. Prior to joining Facebook, he held a number of positions at Genentech, Inc., a leading public biotechnology company, until its acquisition by Hoffmann-La Roche in March 2009. At Genentech, he was appointed executive vice president in January 2006 and chief financial officer in March 2005. Previously, he served as senior vice president, finance from January 2005 through March 2005 and senior vice president, product operations from May 2001 through January 2005. He joined Genentech in February 1994 as a business development analyst and subsequently served as manager, business development from February 1995 to February 1996, director, business development from February 1996 to March 1998, senior director, product development from March 1998 to February 1999 and vice president, product development from February 1999 to May 2001. Prior to joining Genentech, Mr. Ebersman held the position of research analyst at Oppenheimer & Company, Inc. Mr. Ebersman was selected as a Fellow in the Henry Crown Fellowship Program. Mr. Ebersman serves as a director of Castlight Health, Inc. Mr. Ebersman received an A.B. in economics and international relations from Brown University. Mr. Ebersman brings to our board fifteen years of business, manufacturing, strategic planning and financial experience with Genentech, one of the original biotechnology companies.

Class III Directors (term expires at the 2016 annual meeting)

Marsha H. Fanucci has served as a director since 2009. Ms. Fanucci served as senior vice president and chief financial officer of Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc. from July 2004 through January 2009, where she was responsible for corporate strategy, treasury, financial planning and reporting and operations. While at Millennium, she also served as vice president, finance and corporate strategy and vice president, corporate development and strategy. Previously, she was vice president of corporate development and strategy at Genzyme Corporation, a biotechnology company, from 1998 to 2000. From 1987 to 1998, Ms. Fanucci was employed at Arthur D. Little, Inc. where she most recently served as vice president and director. Ms. Fanucci presently serves on the board of directors of Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, Inc. She received her B.S. in pharmacy from West Virginia University and her M.B.A. from Northeastern University. Because of her extensive financial experiences at Millennium Pharmaceuticals and Genzyme in addition to her directorships at Momenta Pharmaceuticals and Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, we believe that Ms. Fanucci provides valuable industry insight and essential financial expertise as we execute our corporate objectives.

Terrance G. McGuire has served as a director since 1998. Mr. McGuire was a co-founder and is currently a general partner of Polaris Partners. Prior to starting Polaris Partners in 1996, Mr. McGuire spent seven years at Burr, Egan, Deleage & Co., investing in early stage medical and information technology companies. He serves on the board of directors of Acceleron Pharma Inc., Trevena, Inc. and several private companies and has served on the boards of Akamai Technologies, Inc., Aspect Medical Systems, Inc., Cubist Pharmaceuticals, Inc., deCODE genetics, Inc. and various private companies. Mr. McGuire is the former chairman of the National Venture Capital Association, which represents ninety percent of the venture capitalists in the U.S., chairman of the board of the Thayer School of Engineering at Dartmouth College, and a member of the boards of The David H. Koch Institute for Integrative Cancer Research at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and The Arthur Rock Center for Entrepreneurship at Harvard Business School. Mr. McGuire earned a B.S. in physics and economics from Hobart College, an M.S. in engineering from The Thayer School at Dartmouth College, and an M.B.A from Harvard Business School. Mr. McGuire brings to our board extensive experience as a

Table of Contents

venture capitalist focused on the biotechnology industry, and he has many years of experience helping companies evolve from the start-up phase to successful public companies.

Edward P. Owens has served as a director since 2013. Mr. Owens was previously partner, portfolio manager and global industry analyst with Wellington Management Company, LLP where he worked in investment management since 1974. He was the portfolio manager of the Vanguard Health Care Fund for 28 years from its inception in May 1984 until his retirement from Wellington in December 2012. Mr. Owens has a B.S. in physics from the University of Virginia and an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School. He brings to our board extensive experience in evaluating and investing in life sciences companies, and this experience will help us as we continue to strive towards our goal of maximizing long-term shareholder value.

Christopher T. Walsh has served as a director since 2003. Dr. Walsh has been the Hamilton Kuhn Professor of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology at Harvard Medical School since 1991 and formerly was president of the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and chairman of the Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology at Harvard Medical School. He has performed extensive research in enzyme stereochemistry, reaction mechanisms and the mechanisms of action of anti-infective and immunosuppressive agents. Dr. Walsh serves on the Scientific Advisory Board for Abide Therapeutics Inc., Epizyme Corporation, LS9, Inc. and the Bioventures Group of Health Care Ventures LLC. Dr. Walsh is also a board member of Achaogen, Inc. and Proteostasis Therapeutics, Inc. Dr. Walsh received an A.B. in biology from Harvard University and a Ph.D. in life sciences from The Rockefeller University, New York. Based on his expertise in biological chemistry and molecular pharmacology, Dr. Walsh has been, and will continue to be, instrumental as we discover, develop and commercialize innovative medicines targeting important therapeutic needs.

Director Independence

Under NASDAQ Rule 5605, a majority of a listed company's board of directors must be comprised of independent directors. In addition, NASDAQ rules require that, subject to specified exceptions, each member of a listed company's audit, compensation and governance and nominating committees be independent and that audit and compensation committee members also satisfy additional independence criteria set forth in Rule 10A-3 and 10C-1, respectively, under the Exchange Act. Under NASDAQ Rule 5605(a)(2), a director will only qualify as an "independent director" if, in the opinion of that company's board of directors, that person does not have a relationship that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director.

Based upon information requested from and provided by each director concerning their background, employment and affiliations, including family relationships, our governance and nominating committee has determined that none of Messrs. Conrades, Cook, Ebersman, McGuire, Owens and Shaw, Mses. Fanucci and McHugh, and Drs. Roberts and Walsh, representing ten of our 11 current directors, has a relationship that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director and that each of these directors is "independent" as that term is defined under NASDAQ Rule 5605(a)(2). Our governance and nominating committee also determined that each of the current members of our audit committee, our governance and nominating committee, and our compensation and HR committee satisfies the independence standards for such committee established by Rule 10A-3 and 10C-1 under the Exchange Act, the SEC rules and the NASDAQ rules, as applicable. In making such determination, our governance and nominating committee considered the relationships that each such non-employee director has with our company and all other facts and circumstances our governance and nominating committee deemed relevant in determining their independence.

Although Mr. Cook was a founder of our company, he is not now, nor has he been in the past, involved with the operating activities of the company.

Table of Contents

Risk Oversight

Our board retains ultimate responsibility for risk oversight, and our management retains the responsibility for risk management. In carrying out its risk oversight responsibilities, our board reviews the long- and short-term internal and external risks facing the company through its participation in long-range strategic planning, and the annual review and evaluation of corporate risks that the audit committee reports. Our board also believes that separating the roles of chair of the board and chief executive officer enhances the board's ability to oversee risk in an objective manner.

We have implemented and continue to refine a formalized enterprise risk management process. On an ongoing basis, we identify key risks, assess their potential impact and likelihood, and, where appropriate, implement operational measures and controls or purchase insurance coverage in order to help ensure adequate risk mitigation. On a quarterly basis, key risks, status of mitigation activities, and potential new or emerging risks are reported to and discussed with senior management and further addressed with our board. On at least an annual basis, a long-term comprehensive enterprise risk management update is provided to our board. The long-term goal of our enterprise risk management process is to ingrain a culture of risk awareness and mitigation throughout the organization that can be applied to our current business activities as well as our assessment and pursuit of future business opportunities.

As set forth in its charter, our audit committee discusses with management and our independent registered public accounting firm any significant risks or exposures facing Ironwood, evaluates the steps management has taken or proposes to take to mitigate such risks, and reviews our compliance with such mitigation plans. As part of fulfilling these responsibilities, the audit committee meets regularly with Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, and members of our management, including our chief financial officer, our vice president, corporate finance, and our chief legal officer. In addition, our audit committee reviews the risk factors presented in each of our annual report on Form 10-K and quarterly reports on Form 10-Q that we file with the SEC.

As part of our board's risk oversight role, our compensation and HR committee, reviews and evaluates the risks associated with our compensation programs and succession plans, as it is responsible under its charter for approving the compensation of all of our executive officers and overseeing succession planning for members of our senior management. Likewise, our governance and nominating committee is responsible for evaluating the performance, operations and composition of our board and the sufficiency of our corporate governance guidelines, either of which may impact our risk profile from a governance perspective.

In performing their risk oversight functions, each committee has full access to management, as well as the ability to engage outside advisors.

Hedging Policy

As part of our insider trading prevention policy, our directors and executive officers are prohibited from engaging in any hedging or monetization transactions of our common stock, including through the use of financial instruments such as prepaid variable forwards, equity swaps, collars and exchange funds.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

We have adopted corporate governance guidelines which are accessible through the Investors section of our website at www.ironwoodpharma.com, under the heading Corporate Governance, and which also are available in print to any stockholder who requests them from our Secretary. Our board believes that sound governance practices and policies provide an important framework to assist it in fulfilling its duties to stockholders, and relies on these guidelines to provide that framework. Among

Table of Contents

other things, the guidelines help to ensure that our board is independent from management, that our board adequately performs its oversight functions, and that the interests of our board and management align with the interests of our stockholders.

Board Meetings

Our board of directors held five meetings during 2013, including a two-day strategic and operational review of the company in November.

As stated in our corporate governance guidelines, we expect our board members to rigorously prepare for, attend and participate in all board and applicable committee meetings. Each board member is expected to ensure that other existing and planned future commitments do not materially interfere with his or her service as a director. We also expect that all of our board members up for election at, or who have a term that continues after, an annual meeting of stockholders will attend such annual meeting. In 2013, each director attended at least 75% of all meetings of the board and all committees of the board on which he or she served, except Dr. Walsh who attended more than 70% of such meetings. Edward P. Owens was elected to our board as of March 1, 2013 and did not attend any meetings prior to that date. Ten of our 11 directors (at that time, Julie H. McHugh was not on our board) attended our 2013 annual meeting of stockholders.

Committees

Our board of directors has established an audit committee, a governance and nominating committee and a compensation and HR committee. Each committee operates under a charter that has been approved by our board. Copies of each charter are accessible through the Investors section of our website at www.ironwoodpharma.com, under the heading Corporate Governance, and are also available in print to any stockholder who requests them from our Secretary. The chair of each of our committees is expected to rotate every three to five years.

Audit Committee. We have a separately designated standing audit committee established by our board for the purpose of overseeing our accounting and financial reporting processes and audits of our financial statements. In 2013, the members of our audit committee were Messrs. Cook and McGuire and Ms. Fanucci. Ms. McHugh joined our audit committee when she joined our board in February 2014. Ms. Fanucci chairs the audit committee. Our audit committee met seven times during 2013. Our audit committee assists our board of directors in its oversight of significant risks facing our company, the integrity of our financial statements and our independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications, independence and performance.

Our audit committee's responsibilities include:

reviewing and discussing with management and our independent registered public accounting firm our annual and quarterly financial statements, earnings releases and related disclosures;

reviewing and discussing with management and our independent registered public accounting firm our internal controls and internal auditing procedures, including any material weaknesses in either;

discussing our accounting policies and all material correcting adjustments with our management and our independent registered public accounting firm;

discussing with our management and our independent registered public accounting firm any significant risks facing the company and the related mitigation plans, as well as monitoring our internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures;

appointing, overseeing, and approving the compensation for and, when necessary, terminating our independent registered public accounting firm;

Table of Contents

approving all audit services and all permitted non-audit, tax and other services to be performed by our independent registered public accounting firm, in each case, in accordance with the audit committee's pre-approval policy;

discussing with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence and ensuring that it receives the written disclosures regarding these communications required by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board;

reviewing and approving all transactions or series of similar transactions to which we were or are a party in which the amount involved exceeded or exceeds \$120,000 and in which any of our directors, executive officers, holders of more than 5% of any class of our voting securities, or any member of the immediate family of any of the foregoing persons, had or will have a direct or indirect material interest, other than compensation arrangements with directors and executive officers;

recommending whether the audited financial statements should be included in our annual report and preparing the audit committee report required by SEC rules;

reviewing all material communications between our management and our independent registered public accounting firm;

reviewing, updating and recommending to our board approval of our code of business conduct and ethics; and

establishing procedures for the receipt, retention, investigation and treatment of accounting related complaints and concerns.

Ms. Fanucci is an audit committee financial expert, as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K.

Audit Committee Report

In the course of our oversight of Ironwood's financial reporting process, we have (i) reviewed and discussed with management the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, (ii) discussed with Ernst & Young LLP, the company's independent registered public accounting firm, the matters and communications required to be discussed pursuant to applicable auditing standards, and (iii) received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with us concerning independence, discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence, and considered whether the provision of non-audit services by the independent registered public accounting firm is compatible with maintaining its independence.

Based on the foregoing review and discussions, we recommended to the board that the audited financial statements be included in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for filing with the SEC.

By the Audit Committee,

Marsha H. Fanucci, Chair

Joseph C. Cook, Jr.

Terrence G. McGuire

Governance and Nominating Committee. In 2013, the members of our governance and nominating committee were Messrs. Conrades, McGuire and Owens and Dr. Walsh. Mr. Owens joined our governance and nominating committee when he joined our board in March 2013. Mr. Conrades chairs the governance and nominating committee. Our governance and nominating committee met two times during 2013.

Table of Contents

Our governance and nominating committee's responsibilities include:

identifying individuals qualified to become members of our board of directors;

recommending to our board of directors the persons to be nominated for election as directors;

assisting our board of directors in recruiting such nominees;

recommending to our board of directors qualified individuals to serve as committee members;

performing an annual evaluation of our board of directors;

evaluating the need and, if necessary, creating a plan for the continuing education of our directors; and

assessing and reviewing our corporate governance guidelines and recommending any changes to our board of directors.

Compensation and HR Committee. In 2013, the members of our compensation and HR committee were Messrs. Conrades, Ebersman and Shaw. Mr. Shaw chairs our compensation and HR committee. Our compensation and HR committee met three times during 2013. Our compensation and HR committee assists our board in fulfilling its responsibilities relating to the compensation of our board and our executive officers.

Our compensation and HR committee's responsibilities include:

reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to executive officer compensation and evaluating the performance of executive officers in light of those goals and objectives;

reviewing and approving executive officer compensation, including salary, bonus and incentive compensation, deferred compensation, perquisites, equity compensation, benefits provided upon retirement, severance or other termination of employment, and any other forms of executive compensation;

reviewing and approving our chief executive officer's compensation based on its evaluation of the chief executive officer's performance;

overseeing and administering our incentive compensation plans and equity based plans and recommending the adoption of new incentive compensation plans and equity based plans to our board of directors;

making recommendations to our board of directors with respect to director compensation;

reviewing and discussing with management the compensation discussion and analysis required to be included in our filings with the SEC and recommending whether the compensation discussion and analysis should be included in such filings;

preparing the compensation and HR committee report required by the SEC; and

making recommendations to our board of directors with respect to management succession planning, including planning with respect to our chief executive officer.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of the members of our compensation and HR committee is or has at any time during the past fiscal year been an officer or employee of Ironwood. None of the members of our compensation and HR committee has formerly been an officer of Ironwood. None of our executive officers serve, or in the past fiscal year has served, as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any other entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our board of directors

Table of Contents

or compensation and HR committee. None of the members of our compensation and HR committee had any relationship with us that requires disclosure under any paragraph of Item 404 of Regulation S-K under the Exchange Act.

PROPOSAL NO. 2 ADVISORY VOTE ON NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

Our board recommends that you approve the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement.

Background

At our 2014 annual meeting, we are providing our stockholders with the opportunity to cast an advisory (non-binding) vote on named executive officer compensation, or a "say-on-pay" vote. This is a non-binding vote on the compensation of our "named executive officers," as described in the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* section, the tabular disclosure regarding such compensation, and the accompanying narrative disclosure, all as set forth in this proxy statement.

Under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, as well as the provisions of Section 14A of the Exchange Act, we must hold the advisory (non-binding) vote on named executive officer compensation at least once every three years. Based on the recommendation of our stockholders, our board determined to provide our stockholders the opportunity to vote (on an advisory basis) on named executive officer compensation on this schedule. Our previous say-on-pay vote was at our 2011 annual meeting and was approved by more than 99% of the votes cast on such matter.

The objective of our compensation policies is to provide compensation and incentives which align employee actions and motivations with the interests of our stockholders, attract, motivate and reward outstanding talent across our company through well-communicated programs that are aligned with our core values and business mission, and support a positive company culture. In 2013, the compensation program for our named executive officers consisted principally of base salary, cash bonus and long-term equity incentive compensation in the form of stock options. While we offer reasonably competitive base salaries and cash bonuses, our compensation program is weighted toward long-term equity incentive compensation as opposed to short-term or cash-based compensation as we believe this better aligns our executives with our fellow stockholders' interests and the creation of long-term stockholder value. If we achieve our corporate goals over the long term, we expect our stock price to reflect our performance and the stock option awards currently held by our named executive officers to become an even more significant component of overall compensation. Our compensation and HR committee believes that this approach to executive compensation links compensation directly to continuous improvements in corporate performance and, ultimately our stock price, or "pay for performance." The *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* section starting on page 21 provides a more detailed discussion of our executive compensation program and compensation philosophy.

Vote Required

Because this proposal seeks a non-binding, advisory vote, there is no "required vote" that would constitute approval. However, our board, including our compensation and HR committee, values the opinions of our stockholders and, to the extent there are a substantial number of votes cast against the executive officer compensation as disclosed in this proxy statement, we will consider our stockholders' concerns and evaluate which actions may be appropriate to address those concerns. Broker nominees do not have discretion to vote on this proposal without your instruction; if you do not instruct your nominee how to vote on this proposal, your nominee will deliver a non-vote.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION****Executive Officers**

The following table sets forth the name, age and position of each of our executive officers as of April 18, 2014:

Name	Age	Position
Peter M. Hecht, Ph.D.	50	Chief Executive Officer, Director
Michael J. Higgins	51	Senior Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer
Mark G. Currie, Ph.D.	59	Senior Vice President, Chief Scientific Officer and President of R&D
Halley E. Gilbert*	44	Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer, and Secretary
Thomas A. McCourt	56	Senior Vice President, Marketing and Sales and Chief Commercial Officer

*

Ms. Gilbert became an executive officer of the company in March 2014.

Peter M. Hecht's biography is available above under the caption *Directors and Corporate Governance Directors Class I Directors (accepted nomination for election at the 2014 annual meeting)*.

Michael J. Higgins serves as our senior vice president, chief operating officer and chief financial officer, and has led our finance, operations and strategy efforts since joining us in 2003. Prior to 2003, Mr. Higgins held a variety of senior business positions at Genzyme Corporation, including vice president of corporate finance. Mr. Higgins earned a B.S. from Cornell University and an M.B.A. from the Amos Tuck School of Business Administration at Dartmouth College.

Mark G. Currie serves as our senior vice president, chief scientific officer and president of research and development, and has led our research and development efforts since joining us in 2002. Prior to joining Ironwood, Dr. Currie directed cardiovascular and central nervous system disease research as vice president of discovery research at Sepracor Inc. Previously, Dr. Currie initiated, built and led discovery pharmacology and also served as director of arthritis and inflammation at Monsanto Company. Dr. Currie earned a B.S. in biology from the University of South Alabama and holds a Ph.D. in cell biology from the Bowman-Gray School of Medicine of Wake Forest University.

Halley E. Gilbert serves as our senior vice president, chief legal officer, and secretary and has led our legal function since joining us in 2008. Prior to joining us, Ms. Gilbert was vice president and deputy general counsel at Cubist Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and corporate counsel at Genzyme Corp., and prior to that, she was an associate at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP. Ms. Gilbert received her J.D. from Northwestern University School of Law and a B.A. from Tufts University.

Thomas A. McCourt has served as our senior vice president of marketing and sales and chief commercial officer since joining Ironwood in 2009. Prior to joining Ironwood, Mr. McCourt led the U.S. brand team for denosumab at Amgen Inc. from April 2008 to August 2009. Prior to that, Mr. McCourt was with Novartis AG from 2001 to 2008, where he directed the launch and growth of Zelnorm for the treatment of patients with IBS-C and CIC and held a number of senior commercial roles, including vice president of strategic marketing and operations. Mr. McCourt was also part of the founding team at Astra Merck Inc., leading the development of the medical affairs and science liaison group and then serving as brand manager for Prilosec and NEXIUM®. Mr. McCourt has a degree in pharmacy from the University of Wisconsin.

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee Report

We have:

1. reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis found below; and
2. based on the review and discussions referred to in paragraph (1) above, we recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the company's proxy statement on Schedule 14A for filing with the SEC.

By the Compensation and HR Committee,

David E. Shaw, Chair
George H. Conrades
David A. Ebersman

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This section discusses the principles underlying our policies and decisions with respect to the compensation of our executive officers who are named in the *Summary Compensation Table*, or our "named executive officers", and all material factors we believe are relevant to an analysis of these policies and decisions. Our named executive officers are:

Peter M. Hecht, Ph.D., chief executive officer;

Michael J. Higgins, senior vice president, chief operating officer and chief financial officer;

Mark G. Currie, Ph.D., senior vice president, chief scientific officer and president of research and development; and

Thomas A. McCourt, senior vice president, marketing and sales, and chief commercial officer.

Executive Summary

Our compensation and HR committee emphasizes the achievement of corporate goals in making its decisions around the three primary elements of our compensation program for each of our named executive officers: base salary, cash bonus and long-term equity incentive compensation. In 2013, our corporate performance goals were divided into three categories: (i) maximizing linaclotide, including successfully introducing LINZESS (linaclotide) to appropriate patients in the United States, strengthening its label, and developing and marketing linaclotide globally, (ii) building and advancing our pipeline of product candidates and furthering our discovery efforts, and (iii) meeting certain financial and other corporate performance goals focused on building a superb organization and driving operational excellence. These goals and their associated achievements and weightings are set forth below under the caption *Compensation Actions in 2013 and 2014 Goals*.

Edgar Filing: IRONWOOD PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the compensation actions taken by our compensation and HR committee in early 2014 for each of our named executive officers in recognition of the company's and his performance in 2013 and to motivate him toward achievement of our goals in 2014:

Named Executive Officer	Peter M. Hecht, Ph.D.	Michael J. Higgins Senior Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer	Mark G. Currie, Ph.D.	Thomas A. McCourt
Title	Chief Executive Officer		Senior Vice President, Chief Scientific Officer and President of R&D	Senior Vice President, Marketing and Sales and Chief Commercial Officer
Base salary increase		(1\$ 10,900	\$ 11,400	\$ 11,000
2013 base salary	\$ 100,000	\$ 360,900	\$ 376,700	\$ 366,600
2014 base salary	\$ 100,000	\$ 371,800	\$ 388,100	\$ 377,600
Cash bonus		(1\$ 86,616	\$ 90,408	\$ 81,825
Stock option award in lieu of base salary increase and cash bonus(2)	175,000(3)			
Stock option award(2)	150,000	85,000	85,000	80,000

- (1) Our compensation and HR committee recommended a salary increase and a cash bonus for Dr. Hecht, but he declined to accept either.
- (2) These options were granted under our Amended and Restated 2010 Employee, Director and Consultant Equity Incentive Plan, or our 2010 Plan, have an exercise price of \$14.11 per share (the closing price of our Class A common stock on the grant date of March 3, 2014) and vest over four years as to 2.08333% of the award on each monthly anniversary of January 1, 2014.
- (3) Our compensation and HR committee consulted with Pearl Meyer & Partners, or PM&P, to understand competitive market trends for CEO total direct compensation and elected to grant Dr. Hecht an additional annual stock option award, which is discussed further below, in order to keep his overall compensation competitive with that of our peers while accounting for his declination of a salary increase or a cash bonus.

We employ certain procedures and tools to ensure effective governance of compensation plans and decisions, including:

our compensation and HR committee has the authority to hire independent counsel and other advisors;

our compensation and HR committee conducts a regular review and assessment of risk as it relates to our compensation policies and practices;

as part of our insider trading prevention policy, our executive officers are prohibited from engaging in any hedging or monetization transactions of our common stock, including through the use of financial instruments such as prepaid variable forwards, equity swaps, collars and exchange funds;

we do not have employment agreements with any of our employees, and in particular, our named executive officers;

other than broad-based health, transportation and insurance-related benefits that we provide to all of our employees, we have no executive perquisites;

our 2010 Plan prohibits options' repricing (absent stockholder approval) and options' backdating; and

Table of Contents

our Change of Control Severance Benefit Plan, which applies to all of our employees, including our executive officers, does not provide for tax gross-ups.

Based on the recommendation of our stockholders, our board determined to provide our stockholders the opportunity to vote (on an advisory basis) on named executive officer compensation once every three years. We conducted our first advisory vote on named executive officer compensation at our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders. More than 99% of the votes cast on the advisory vote on named executive officer compensation were in favor of our named executive officer compensation as disclosed in our proxy statement for that meeting. For many years, our senior management has consulted with many of our significant stockholders with respect to our compensation program and philosophy to further align our compensation programs with our stockholders' interests, and we intend to continue to do so going forward. We believe that the insights we obtain during this ongoing dialogue, as well as the overwhelming support our compensation programs received from our stockholders at our last advisory vote on named executive officer compensation, have been, and will continue to be, a substantial consideration for our compensation and HR committee during its ongoing review of our compensation program.

Compensation Philosophy

We are an entrepreneurial pharmaceutical company focused on creating medicines that make a difference for patients, building value to earn the continued support of our fellow stockholders, and empowering our team to passionately pursue excellence. If we do these things well, we hope to earn the right to continue doing them and, one step at a time, build an enduring pharmaceutical company that helps patients lead better lives. The objective of our compensation policies is to provide compensation and incentives which align employee actions and motivations with the interests of our stockholders, attract, motivate and reward outstanding talent across Ironwood through well-communicated programs that are aligned with our core values and business mission, and support a positive company culture. Our core values are to:

build a thriving and sustainable business by always focusing on creating long term value;

maintain a collaborative environment that fosters innovation;

recognize and develop the abilities and interests of our employees, consistent with the needs of Ironwood;

act with integrity and humanity, and treat people with respect; and

have fun.

In addition, we have incorporated into our performance management and compensation philosophy the concept of "critical success factors" that we believe provide a useful framework for being a productive and successful member of our team. Among other uses, these success factors enable managers to use a common language of expected behaviors upon which individual performance can be managed and evaluated.

We are guided by the following principles with respect to our compensation determinations:

design compensation and incentive programs that align employee actions and motivations with the interests of our stockholders, support our business objectives and reward the achievement of key goals and milestones;

foster and support our performance-driven culture by setting clear, high-value, aggressive goals, rewarding outstanding performers, and making sure our best performers know clearly that we value their contributions;

Table of Contents

as with all spending, serve as careful stewards of our stockholders' assets when making decisions to increase compensation or to make equity awards;

maximize our employees' sense of ownership so that they have a long-term owner's perspective, can see the impact of their efforts on our success, and can share in the benefits of that success through the opportunity to become stockholders of Ironwood via stock options and awards;

recognize that compensation is one of a number of tools to stimulate and reward productivity and great drug making, together with recognizing individual growth potential, providing a great workplace culture, and sharing in our success;

foster a strong team culture, focused on our principles of great drug making and commercializing those drugs that we discover or in-license and develop, which is reinforced through our compensation and incentive programs;

design compensation and incentive programs that are fair, equitable and competitive; and

design compensation and incentive programs that are simple and understandable.

Basis for Our Compensation Policies and Decisions

Our compensation policies and individual compensation determinations are based on an annual evaluation, and we take into consideration our results of operations, our long and short-term goals, individual goals, market data, the competitive market for our executives and general economic factors. As set forth in our compensation and HR committee's written charter, our compensation and HR committee has the responsibility of reviewing and approving the compensation of our executive officers; annually reviewing and determining our chief executive officer's compensation based on the committee's evaluation of his performance; recommending to the full board the adoption of new compensation plans; administering our existing plans; recommending director and committee compensation to the full board; and overseeing succession planning for our senior management. In addition, our compensation and HR committee is responsible for ensuring that our compensation policies are aligned with our compensation philosophy and guiding principles.

Our compensation and HR committee makes all of the compensation determinations with respect to each of our named executive officers. In making its determinations with respect to Dr. Hecht, our compensation and HR committee takes into account the feedback from the other members of our Board, as well as the feedback from each of our other named executive officers, each of whom is Dr. Hecht's direct report, and a number of other members of the management team. In making its determinations with respect to each of our named executive officers other than Dr. Hecht, our compensation and HR committee takes into account the feedback and recommendations from Dr. Hecht and the feedback from each of the named executive officer's direct reports and other members of the management team.

We do not have employment agreements with our named executive officers. Each component of each of our executive officer's initial compensation package was based on numerous factors, including:

the individual's particular background and circumstances, including prior relevant work experience and compensation paid prior to joining us;

the individual's role with us and the compensation paid to similar persons in the companies represented in the compensation data that we reviewed;

the demand for people with the individual's specific expertise and experience at the time of hire;

performance goals and other expectations for the position;

Table of Contents

comparison to other executives within Ironwood having similar levels of expertise and experience; and

uniqueness of industry skills.

Our compensation and HR committee has the authority to select and retain independent advisors and consultants to assist it with carrying out its responsibilities, and we are required to pay any related expenses approved by the committee. In 2013, our compensation and HR committee exercised its authority to engage PM&P as a compensation consultant. PM&P reported directly to our compensation and HR committee and did not provide us with any services other than those requested by our compensation and HR committee and the review of this *Compensation, Discussion and Analysis* for conformance with best practices. Based on the scope of our compensation and HR committee's engagements with PM&P, it was determined that PM&P does not have a conflict of interest in its role as compensation consultant.

PM&P conducted a competitive assessment of compensation for our named executive officers with respect to:

base salary;

actual total cash compensation (which is base salary plus the last bonus paid);

target total cash compensation (which is base salary plus the target bonus);

long-term equity incentives;

actual total direct compensation (which is actual total cash compensation plus the value of the most recent long-term incentive grant); and

target total direct compensation (which is target total cash compensation plus the value of the most recent long-term incentive grant).

In performing this competitive assessment, PM&P used two data sources – our peer group (which is discussed in more detail in the following paragraphs) and data from the Radford Global Life Sciences Survey employing the appropriate revenue, industry and executive role perspectives. Our peer group is comprised of fifteen publicly-traded companies in the pharmaceutical, biotechnology and life sciences industries that represent competitors for executive talent and capital. In recognition that our peer group companies tend to be larger than us with respect to revenues and market capitalization, our compensation and HR committee reviewed data representing the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles for peer pay positions to better understand how competitive pay varied with peer size and other factors. PM&P also prepared a financial performance analysis of our peer group on a calendar-year basis and a detailed equity dilution analysis of Ironwood as compared with the companies in our peer group.

PM&P's assessment of executive compensation, which was a composite of peer group data and broad industry data for companies our size, reflected that we are, in general, paying competitive target total compensation and expect to have a pay mix that has greater emphasis on equity. The table below reflects our actual pay in comparison to the results from the two data sources (our peer group and the Radford Global Life Sciences Survey).

	vs. Peer Group (percentile)		vs. Radford Survey (percentile)	
	CEO	Average for Other NEOs	CEO	Average for Other NEOs
Base Salary	<25 th	<25 th	<25 th	45 th
Total Cash	<25 th	<25 th	<25 th	40 th
Equity	35 th	30 th	50 th	65 th
Total Direct Compensation	<25 th	25 th	35 th	60 th

Edgar Filing: IRONWOOD PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

The results of PM&P's assessment were presented to our compensation and HR committee and have been, and will continue to be, taken into consideration when making compensation decisions, but will not be used to mandate any specific actions.

Our peer group, which was compiled by PM&P with input from us, our board, and our compensation and HR committee, is reviewed annually by our compensation and HR committee for composition and appropriateness. We take a rules-based approach in reviewing and setting our peer group and apply a qualitative lens to the result to help focus the group on the companies with which we are competing for talent. We first identify a potential pool of peer companies from a number of sources, including the companies listing Ironwood in their peer groups and the other companies listed in such peer companies' peer groups, as well as companies included in third-party peer group assessments. We then apply certain size filters including revenue, market capitalization and number of employees, as well as certain business model filters including whether the company has a commercial drug or drug candidate in later stage development and comparable research and development expense. As a result of the 2013 peer group assessment, our compensation and HR committee, with input from our management team and PM&P, removed Amylin Pharmaceuticals, LLC and Human Genome Sciences, Inc. because each was acquired and is no longer a stand-alone public company and added Medivation, Inc. and NPS Pharmaceuticals, Inc., each of which met all or most of the business model and size filters at the time of our review. As a result, our peer group is composed of the following fifteen companies, which at the time of our review had a median revenue of approximately \$396 million, a median market capitalization of approximately \$2.7 billion, a median of approximately 500 employees, and a commercial drug or drug candidate in later stage development:

Acorda Therapeutics, Inc.;

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;

Alkermes plc;

AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;

Auxilium Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;

Cubist Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;

Incyte Corporation;

Medivation, Inc.;

NPS Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;

Onyx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;

Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;

Salix Pharmaceuticals, Ltd.;

Theravance, Inc.;

United Therapeutics Corporation; and

Vertex Pharmaceuticals Incorporated.

Elements of Executive Compensation and Determination of Amounts

In 2013, the compensation program for our executive officers consisted principally of base salary, cash bonus and long-term equity incentive compensation in the form of stock options. Our compensation program is weighted toward long-term equity incentive compensation as opposed to short-term or cash-based compensation as we believe this better aligns our employees with our fellow

Table of Contents

stockholders' interests and the creation of long-term stockholder value. If we achieve our corporate goals over the long term, we expect our stock price to reflect our performance and the stock option awards currently held by our executives to become the major component of overall compensation.

Base Salary

Base salaries for our executive officers are determined at commencement of employment and are generally re-evaluated annually and adjusted, if warranted, to realign salaries with market levels and to reflect the performance of the executive. In determining whether to adjust an executive's base salary, our compensation and HR committee takes into consideration factors such as our performance in prior years, individual performance, general economic factors and compensation parity among our executive officers. Our compensation and HR committee sets base salaries primarily on the abilities, performance and experience of our named executive officers. Our compensation and HR committee also reviews our named executive officers' past compensation and compensation data from the PM&P competitive assessment discussed above.

Bonus

Our cash bonus program is designed to reward the achievement of our annual corporate goals and to foster and support our performance-driven culture by setting clear, high-value goals, rewarding outstanding performers, and making sure our employees know clearly that we value their contributions. Each cash bonus award is made annually, and is based on whether and to what extent we achieved our corporate goals for the preceding year as well as the employee's individual performance in that year against his or her individual goals. Consistent with 2012, in 2013 each of our named executive officers had an individual bonus target of 30% of his base salary, multiplied by the percent achievement of our corporate goals for the year and multiplied by an individual performance achievement multiplier between zero and three. We believe that the bonus targets for our named executive officers are below our peer group, but are consistent with our compensation philosophy and overall compensation mix which emphasizes equity over total cash compensation.

Equity-Based Compensation

To reward and incentivize our named executive officers in a manner that best aligns their interests with our stockholders' interests, we use stock options as the primary incentive vehicles for long-term compensation. To date, stock options have been granted with both time- and milestone-based vesting conditions to each of our named executive officers. We believe that stock options are an effective tool for meeting our compensation goal of increasing long-term stockholder value by tying the value of the stock options to our future performance. Because employees are able to profit from stock options only if our stock price increases relative to the stock option's exercise price, we believe stock options provide meaningful incentives to employees to increase the value of our stock over time. By linking the ultimate value of the award to the performance of our stock price, we believe stock options strongly reinforce the concept of "pay for performance." We have not granted any equity awards other than stock options to our named executive officers to date.

We do not currently have any security ownership requirements for our named executive officers. In addition, we have never had a program or policy in place to coordinate equity grants with the release of material non-public information. However, as part of our insider trading prevention policy, our executive officers are prohibited from engaging in any hedging or monetization transactions of our common stock, including through the use of financial instruments such as prepaid variable forwards, equity swaps, collars and exchange funds.

Table of Contents

Initial Stock Option Awards

We make an initial award of stock options to all new employees, including our executives, in connection with the commencement of their employment. Since our initial public offering in February 2010, these options are to purchase shares of our Class A common stock, whereas earlier stock options were to purchase shares of our Class B common stock. These initial grants have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date and typically vest over four years as to 25% of the shares on the first anniversary of the date of hire and as to 1/48th of the total shares each month thereafter for the next 36 months. The initial stock option awards are intended to provide the employee with the incentive to build value in the organization over an extended period of time and to maintain competitive levels of total compensation.

Annual Stock Option Awards

Our practice is to make annual, performance-based stock option awards to all employees, including our executives, as part of our annual compensation program, and historically we have granted such awards in February or March of each year based on our performance in the prior year. Since our initial public offering in February 2010, these options (including those awarded for our 2009 performance) are to purchase shares of our Class A common stock, whereas earlier stock options were to purchase shares of our Class B common stock. These grants have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date. Historically, these grants generally vested over four years as to 1.25% of the award on each monthly anniversary of the vesting commencement date, which is January 1 of the applicable year, for the first 36 months, and as to 4.5833% of the award on each month thereafter. In June 2013, our compensation and HR committee elected to modify the vesting schedule of our annual stock option awards, effective January 1, 2014, to vest over four years as to 2.08333% of the award on each monthly anniversary of the vesting commencement date, which is January 1 of the applicable year.

Our compensation and HR committee does not apply a rigid formula in allocating stock options to executives as a group or to any particular executive, but does emphasize the achievement of corporate goals in determining approximately 50% of each annual performance award for our named executive officers, other than Dr. Hecht. Substantially all of Dr. Hecht's annual performance award is based on the achievement of our corporate goals. In addition, our compensation and HR committee leverages its experience, exercising its judgment and discretion, and considers, among other things, the role and responsibility of the executive, the executive's performance, competitive factors, input from PM&P, the amount of stock-based equity compensation already held by the executive, the non-equity compensation received by the executive and the total number of options to be granted to all participants during the year. Based upon these factors, our compensation and HR committee determines the size of the long-term equity incentives at levels it considers appropriate to create a meaningful opportunity for reward predicated on the creation of long-term stockholder value. Throughout the year, our compensation and HR committee may award additional grants as circumstances warrant.

Milestone-Based Stock Option Awards

Our named executive officers and many of our employees have a portion of their incentive compensation in milestone-based equity awards that vest upon the achievement of major value-creating events which may occur many years from the date of grant. We believe milestone-based equity awards align our employees with our stockholders' best interests and motivate our employees to apply their best efforts toward the accomplishment of these long-term value-creating events.

Table of Contents

Change of Control Severance Benefit Plan

We have a change of control plan that applies to all of our employees and provides for certain payments and benefits in connection with or following a termination of employment associated with a "change of control" (as defined below under the caption *Potential Payments Under Change of Control Severance Benefit Plan*). The payment and benefit framework under the change of control plan is the same for all employees, regardless of title or role. We adopted this change of control plan on the premise that innovative ideas and the associated intellectual property generated from these ideas are the basis upon which economic value is created in the biopharmaceutical industry and that our employees are the source of these value-creating ideas. The potential for a change of control or other event that could substantially change the nature and structure of Ironwood could therefore adversely affect our ability to recruit and motivate employees. The change of control plan was designed to encourage employees to bring forward their best ideas by providing them with the knowledge that if a change of control occurs, and their employment is terminated as a result thereof, they will have an opportunity to share in the value that they helped create for our stockholders, regardless of their employment status at Ironwood after the change of control. The key goals of our change of control plan are to recognize the value of employees' contributions to us through the acceleration of equity awards solely with time-based vesting, and to ensure employees have a reasonable period of time within which to locate suitable employment without undue financial hardship. We believe that our change of control plan is a positive recruitment tool in attracting top talent to Ironwood.

A further description of the change of control plan and the potential payments to our named executive officers pursuant to the plan is set forth below under the caption *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control*.

Other Compensation

We maintain broad-based benefits that are provided to all employees, including health insurance, life and disability insurance, dental insurance, fitness and transportation stipends, and a 401(k) plan with a 75% matching company contribution on the first \$8,000 of an employee's annual contribution. Other than these broad-based benefits, none of our executives receive perquisites of any nature.

Process for Determining Individual Compensation and Role of Executive Officers

At the beginning of the year, a target percentage of our budget is allocated toward salary increases, primarily on the basis of market guidelines, and cash bonuses, on the basis of 100% achievement of corporate goals. Similarly, a stock option pool is established at a set percentage of our issued and outstanding shares, assuming 100% achievement of corporate goals. In January, our compensation and HR committee, in conjunction with our senior management, finalizes its assessment of our corporate performance for the prior year. Upon completion of our goal assessment, the bonus and stock option pools are calibrated for corporate performance and approved by our compensation and HR committee. Our compensation and HR committee assigns a portion of each of these pools to all of our employees other than our named executive officers, and delegates the allocation of these portions to our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer. Our compensation and HR committee also approves the salary increase, cash bonus and stock option grant for our chief executive officer and, in consultation with our chief executive officer, for each of our other named executive officers. In making these compensation-related decisions, our compensation and HR committee and senior management consider the competitive assessment prepared by PM&P and described in more detail above, as well as the other factors described in this *Compensation Discussion and Analysis*.

Table of Contents

Tax and Accounting Considerations

While our compensation and HR committee generally considers the tax and accounting implications of its executive compensation decisions, neither element was a material consideration in the compensation awarded to our named executive officers in 2013.

Relationship of Elements of Compensation

Our compensation structure is comprised primarily of base salary, cash bonus and stock options. In setting executive compensation, our compensation and HR committee considers the aggregate compensation payable to an executive officer and the form of such compensation. Our cash bonus program serves to reward the achievement of our annual corporate goals and, in the case of our named executive officers other than Dr. Hecht, individual goals, make our total cash compensation more competitive with market rates, and to foster and support our performance-driven culture. While we offer reasonably competitive base salaries and cash bonuses, we believe stock-based compensation is a significant motivator in attracting and motivating the kind of owner-oriented employees we seek, and have structured our compensation packages accordingly. We believe that stock options best tie individual compensation to continuous improvements in corporate performance and the creation of stockholder value, or "pay for performance." Awards of stock options generally have either long-term vesting schedules, typically four years, or vest upon the achievement of important, long-term, value-creating milestones. If an employee leaves our company before the completion of the vesting period, then that employee does not receive any benefit from the non-vested portion of his or her award.

Our compensation and HR committee manages the expected number of option awards, salary increases and cash bonuses payable to our named executive officers by requiring that the size of any such payments or awards be tied to the attainment of corporate and, in the case of our named executive officers other than Dr. Hecht, individual goals.

Our compensation and HR committee may decide, as appropriate, to modify the mix of base salary, bonus, and annual and long-term incentives to best fit an executive officer's specific circumstances or, if required by competitive market conditions, to attract and motivate skilled personnel. For example, our compensation and HR committee may decide to award additional stock options to an executive officer if that officer receives a base salary or cash bonus award significantly below that of his or her counterparts in our peer group, despite successful attainment of our corporate or his or her individual goals. We believe that this discretion and flexibility allows our compensation and HR committee to better achieve our compensation objectives.

Determining Compensation for the Chief Executive Officer

Dr. Hecht's salary of \$100,000 represents the salary that he has been receiving since he began serving as chief executive officer in 1998. Dr. Hecht's compensation is reviewed and approved annually by our compensation and HR committee. In January 2014, our compensation and HR committee recommended an increase to Dr. Hecht's base salary to be market competitive with his peers, as well as a bonus based on our achievement of 80% of our corporate goals, but Dr. Hecht declined to accept any increase or bonus. Further, Dr. Hecht has indicated to our compensation and HR committee that he would not expect or desire his cash compensation to increase in the future. We recognize that Dr. Hecht's level of stock ownership significantly aligns his interest with stockholders, and our compensation philosophy is weighted toward long-term equity incentive compensation as opposed to short-term or cash-based compensation as we believe this better aligns our employees with our fellow stockholders' interests and the creation of long-term stockholder value. Accordingly, our compensation and HR committee granted Dr. Hecht an additional stock option award of 175,000 shares. We would expect that Dr. Hecht's total compensation mix will be more focused on equity than our other named executive officers as long as he requests that his cash compensation remain at \$100,000 per year.

Table of Contents**Compensation Actions in 2013 and 2014**Goals

For 2013, allocations of cash and stock options were, in large part, dependent upon us meeting certain weighted corporate performance goals. We thoughtfully work with our compensation and HR committee and other members of our board of directors to establish what we believe to be challenging corporate goals. Our corporate goals for 2013 encompassed three categories: (i) maximizing linaclotide, including successfully introducing LINZESS to appropriate patients in the United States, strengthening its label, and developing and marketing linaclotide globally, (ii) building and advancing our pipeline of product candidates and furthering our discovery efforts, and (iii) meeting certain financial and other corporate performance goals focused on building a superb organization and driving operational excellence. Dr. Hecht's performance evaluation was based primarily on the achievement of our corporate goals. Our other named executive officers were evaluated on the achievement of corporate goals and additional individual goals which contribute toward, and relate directly to, the accomplishment of our corporate goals.

Our performance against 2013 corporate goals was used to determine compensation awards and adjustments in early 2014. In January 2014, our compensation and HR committee determined that we achieved 80% of our 2013 corporate goals. These goals, and our actual level of achievement of these goals in 2013, are as follows:

Corporate Goal	Target Percentage (%)	Actual Level of Achievement (%)
Linaclotide: successful introduction of LINZESS to appropriate patients in the United States, strengthen its label and developing and marketing linaclotide globally	70%	50% + 10% for stretch goals
Pipeline: Progress internal research and in-licensing efforts that are in pre-clinical and clinical development	15%	10% + 0% for stretch goals
Corporate Performance: achieve corporate financial goals and drive operational excellence, including through talent development, expense management and improved collaboration	15%	10% + 0% for stretch goals
Totals	100%	80%

In addition to the 2013 corporate goals identified above, for which each of our named executive officers is directly accountable, the following is a summary of the 2013 individual goals for our named

Edgar Filing: IRONWOOD PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

executive officers, other than Dr. Hecht, who is compensated primarily on the basis of the achievement of our corporate goals.

Named Executive Officer

Michael J. Higgins

Summary of Individual Goals

Serve as a strategic partner to CEO in all aspects of our business

Impart financial discipline throughout our organization to achieve the company's corporate financial goals

Maintain a strong balance sheet and appropriately manage investments to enable the company to meet its corporate cash objectives

Establish an organizational plan and structure for the company that builds a foundation to achieve our five year strategic plan

Lead team in global strategy development and implementation

Mark G. Currie, Ph.D.

Ensure global product supply is secure and redundancy plan is in place

Serve as a strategic partner to CEO in all aspects of our business

Strengthen the LINZESS (linaclotide) label in the United States

Develop long-term strategy to broaden linaclotide-based product opportunities

Thomas A. McCourt

Advance pipeline and in-licensing efforts through effective cross-functional collaboration

Serve as a strategic partner to CEO in all aspects of our business

Educate and build brand awareness for linaclotide

Secure reimbursement for LINZESS

Demonstrate Ironwood and Forest collaboration excellence

Establish a global brand for linaclotide

Grow a commercial field sales force with a culture of patient-centered care

Base Salary

During 2013, our named executive officers received the following base salaries: Dr. Hecht \$100,000, Mr. Higgins \$360,900, Dr. Currie \$376,700, and Mr. McCourt \$366,600. Each of Mr. Higgins, Dr. Currie and Mr. McCourt received an increase in base salary during 2013 for 2012 performance in recognition of each of their respective individual performance and taking into account market data. Dr. Hecht was offered a base salary increase in 2013 for his performance in 2012, but he declined it.

In January 2014, our compensation and HR committee reviewed and approved the following base salaries for 2014 for our named executive officers other than Dr. Hecht: Mr. Higgins received a \$10,900 increase in base salary from \$360,900 to \$371,800, Dr. Currie received a \$11,400 increase in base salary from \$376,700 to \$388,100, and Mr. McCourt received a \$11,000 increase in base salary from \$366,600 to \$377,600. The increase in base salary for each of Mr. Higgins, Dr. Currie and Mr. McCourt was in recognition of the achievement of a majority of each of their respective individual performance goals in 2013, and taking into account market data.

Dr. Hecht's salary of \$100,000 represents the salary that he has been receiving since he began serving as chief executive officer in 1998. Dr. Hecht's compensation is reviewed and approved annually by our compensation and HR committee. In January 2014, our compensation and HR committee recommended an increase to Dr. Hecht's base salary to be market competitive with his peers, but Dr. Hecht declined to accept any increase.

Table of ContentsBonus

In January 2014, our compensation and HR committee reviewed and approved the following bonuses for 2013 performance for our named executive officers other than Dr. Hecht: Mr. Higgins \$86,616, Dr. Currie \$90,408, and Mr. McCourt \$81,825. Mr. Higgins, Dr. Currie and Mr. McCourt met a majority of their individual goals in 2013. Our compensation and HR committee recommended a bonus to Dr. Hecht based on our achievement of 80% of our corporate goals for 2013, but he declined to accept such bonus. Further, Dr. Hecht has indicated to our compensation and HR committee that he would not expect or desire such cash compensation in the future.

Annual Stock Option Awards

Our compensation and HR committee set the option pool in 2013 based on its determination that we achieved 92% of our 2012 corporate goals; however, in light of our extraordinary accomplishments in 2012 (including obtaining first pass regulatory approvals of linaclotide in both the United States and the European Union), it elected, with the support of our board, to award the company a one-time bonus that equated to the company meeting 100% of our corporate goals, and therefore the options pool was set at 100% achievement rather than 92%. Mr. Higgins and Dr. Currie met a majority of their individual goals in 2012, Mr. McCourt met his individual goals in 2012, and, as described above, Dr. Hecht's performance evaluation was based primarily on the achievement of our corporate goals. Additionally, to recognize Dr. Currie's enormous contribution to the discovery, development and commercialization of linaclotide, he was promoted to Senior Vice President, Chief Scientific Officer and President of Research & Development, and was given a one-time promotional grant of 110,000 stock options in 2013. Further, our compensation and HR committee elected to grant Dr. Hecht an additional annual stock option award of 175,000 stock options in 2013 in order to keep his overall compensation competitive with that of our peers while accounting for his declination of a salary increase or a cash bonus, as discussed further above. The equity amounts were determined by market based grants from peer group and survey data, and our compensation and HR committee adjusted these amounts after considering relative company performance and, in the case of our named executive officers other than Dr. Hecht, individual performance. Accordingly, in January 2013, each of our named executive officers was awarded the following stock option grant of Class A common stock based on performance during 2012 (including the promotion award described above for Dr. Currie):

Named Executive Officer	2013 Annual Option Grant for 2012 Performance (# of Shares of Class A Common Stock Subject to Option)	Stock Option Award in Lieu of	One-Time Stock Option Award (# of Shares of Class A Common Stock Subject to Option)
		Base Salary Increase & Cash Bonus (# of Shares of Class A Common Stock Subject to Option)	
Peter M. Hecht, Ph.D.	200,000	175,000	
Michael J. Higgins	90,000		
Mark G. Currie, Ph.D.	90,000		110,000
Thomas A. McCourt	110,000		

These options were granted under our 2010 Plan, have an exercise price of \$13.08 per share (the closing price of our Class A common stock on the grant date of February 1, 2013) and vest as to 1.25% of the award on each monthly anniversary following January 1, 2013 for the first 36 months, and as to 4.5833% of the award on each month thereafter until fully vested.

Our compensation and HR committee set the option pool in 2014 based on our achievement of 80% of our 2013 corporate goals. Mr. Higgins, Dr. Currie and Mr. McCourt met a majority of their individual goals in 2013, and, as described above, Dr. Hecht's performance evaluation was based primarily on the achievement of our corporate goals. Further, our compensation and HR committee elected to grant Dr. Hecht an additional annual stock option award of 175,000 stock options in 2014 in

Table of Contents

order to keep his overall compensation competitive with that of our peers while accounting for his declination of a salary increase or a cash bonus, as discussed further above. The equity amounts were determined by market based grants from peer group and survey data, and our compensation and HR committee adjusted these amounts after considering relative company performance and, in the case of our named executive officers other than Dr. Hecht, individual performance. Accordingly, in January 2014, each of our named executive officers was awarded the following stock option grant of Class A common stock based on performance during 2013:

Named Executive Officer	2014 Annual Option Grant for 2013 Performance (# of Shares of Class A Common Stock Subject to Option)	Stock Option Award in Lieu of Base Salary Increase & Cash Bonus (# of Shares of Class A Common Stock Subject to Option)
Peter M. Hecht, Ph.D.	150,000	175,000
Michael J. Higgins	85,000	
Mark G. Currie, Ph.D.	85,000	
Thomas A. McCourt	80,000	

These options were granted under our 2010 Plan, have an exercise price of \$14.11 per share (the closing price of our Class A common stock on the grant date of March 3, 2014) and vest over four years as to 2.08333% of the award on each monthly anniversary following January 1, 2014.

Compensation Practices and Risk

Our compensation and HR committee has reviewed our compensation policies as generally applicable to our employees and believes that our policies do not encourage excessive and unnecessary risk-taking, and that the level of risk that they do encourage is not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on Ironwood. In making this determination, our compensation and HR committee considered the following:

our use of different types of compensation vehicles provides a balance of long and short-term incentives with fixed and variable components;

we grant equity based awards with time-based vesting and milestone-based vesting, both of which encourage participants to look to long-term appreciation in equity values;

our annual bonus determinations for each employee are dependent on achievement of company goals, which we believe promote long-term value; and

our system of internal control over financial reporting and code of conduct and ethics, among other things, reduce the likelihood of manipulation of our financial performance to enhance payments under any of our incentive plans.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth information regarding the compensation paid or accrued to each of our named executive officers during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan	All Other Compensation (\$)(3)	Total (\$)
				Compensation (\$)(2)		
Peter M. Hecht, Ph.D. Chief Executive Officer	2013	100,000	2,302,688		7,440	2,410,128
	2012	100,000	2,208,660		7,440	2,316,100
	2011	100,000	1,014,772		4,440	1,119,212
Michael J. Higgins Senior Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer	2013	360,900	552,645	86,616	7,440	1,007,601
	2012	350,000	625,787	99,750	7,440	1,082,977
	2011	325,000	405,909	42,656	4,440	778,005
Mark G. Currie, Ph.D. Senior Vice President, Chief Scientific Officer and President of R&D	2013	376,700	1,228,100	90,408	7,440	1,702,648
	2012	365,400	809,842	104,139	7,440	1,286,821
	2011	350,000	637,857	57,422	4,440	1,049,719
Thomas A. McCourt Senior Vice President, Marketing and Sales and Chief Commercial Officer	2013	366,600	675,455	81,825	7,440	1,131,320
	2012	354,500	699,409	111,668	7,440	1,173,017
	2011	339,600	550,876	55,716	4,440	950,632

(1) Reflects the fair value of time-based stock option awards on the date of grant. The value is calculated in accordance with ASC 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation*. For a discussion of the assumptions used in the valuation of awards made in 2011, see Note 15 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K that we filed with the SEC on February 29, 2012. For a discussion of the assumptions used in the valuation of awards made in 2012, see Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K that we filed with the SEC on February 21, 2013. See also our discussion of stock-based compensation under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. For a discussion of the assumptions used in the valuation of awards made in 2013, see Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K that we filed with the SEC on February 7, 2014 and that accompanies this proxy statement.

The amount for 2013 for Dr. Currie includes the value of the one-time promotional grant of 110,000 stock options he received in 2013 in connection with his promotion to Senior Vice President, Chief Scientific Officer and President of Research & Development.

(2) Consists of payments made under our cash bonus program in the following year for performance in the identified year, as described above under the caption *Compensation Actions in 2013 and 2014 Bonus* in the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* section.

(3) Consists of matching contributions made under our 401(k) plan, as well as a transportation stipend and a fitness stipend.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan-Based Awards (2013)**

The following table sets forth information regarding non-equity and equity awards granted to each of our named executive officers during the year ended December 31, 2013. All non-equity incentive plan awards were made pursuant to our cash bonus program described in more detail above under the caption *Elements of Executive Compensation and Determination of Amounts Bonus* in the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* section. Other than Dr. Currie's promotional grant described above, the only equity awards granted to our named executive officers in 2013 were stock option awards in recognition of each officer's performance in 2012. All stock options granted in 2013 consisted of options to purchase shares of our Class A common stock that were granted under our 2010 Plan with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our Class A common stock on the date of grant. The vesting schedule of each option included in the following table is described in the footnotes to the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End (2013) table.

Name	Grant Date	Compensation and HR Committee Approval Date (if different than Grant Date)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1) Target (\$)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(2)
Peter M. Hecht, Ph.D.	2/1/2013	1/29/2013	30,000	375,000	13.08	2,302,688
Michael J. Higgins	2/1/2013	1/29/2013	108,270	90,000	13.08	552,645
Mark G. Currie, Ph.D.(3)	2/1/2013	1/29/2013	113,010	200,000	13.08	1,228,100
Thomas A. McCourt	2/1/2013	1/29/2013	109,980	110,000	13.08	675,455

(1) Consists of the target cash bonus payment for 2013 performance under our cash bonus program. As described in more detail above under the caption *Elements of Executive Compensation and Determination of Amounts Bonus* in the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* section, each of our named executive officers has an individual bonus target of 30% of his base salary, multiplied by the percent achievement of our corporate goals for 2013 (which was not determined as of December 31, 2013) and an individual performance multiplier (the range of which was not determined as of December 31, 2013). Actual bonus payments for 2013 performance are set forth in the *Summary Compensation Table* above.

(2) For a discussion of the assumptions used in the valuation of awards, see Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K that we filed with the SEC on February 7, 2014 and that accompanies this proxy statement.

(3) The number of stock options and associated grant date fair value include the one-time promotional grant of 110,000 stock options Dr. Currie received in 2013 in connection with his promotion to Senior Vice President, Chief Scientific Officer and President of Research & Development.

Edgar Filing: IRONWOOD PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End (2013)

The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding equity awards held by each of our named executive officers on December 31, 2013 the last day of our last fiscal year.

Name	Equity Incentive Plan Awards:			Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option to Purchase Class Common Stock	Option Expiration Date
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unearned			
Peter M. Hecht, Ph.D.	50,000			0.60	B	2/24/2014(3)
	100,000			0.60	B	2/24/2014(4)
	75,000			0.60	B	2/24/2014(2)
	90,000			0.60	B	3/1/2015(2)
	60,000			0.60	B	3/1/2015(3)
	60,000			0.60	B	3/1/2015(5)
	580,000		220,000	1.56	B	3/14/2016(6)
	35,000			1.56	B	3/13/2016(2)
	100,000			2.94	B	1/22/2017(2)
	140,000			3.76	B	1/31/2018(2)
	110,000			4.89	B	2/11/2019(2)
			40,000	5.48	B	7/28/2019(7)
	125,000			11.25	A	2/2/2020(2)
76,564	98,436		11.11	A	2/1/2021(2)	
86,250	213,750		14.72	A	2/1/2022(2)	
51,563	323,437		13.08	A	2/1/2023(2)	
Michael J. Higgins	150,000		50,000	1.56	B	3/14/2016(8)
	35,000			1.56	B	3/13/2016(2)
	50,000			2.94	B	1/22/2017(2)
	90,000			3.76	B	1/31/2018(2)
	95,000			4.89	B	2/11/2019(2)
			40,000	5.48	B	7/28/2019(7)
	85,000			11.25	A	2/2/2020(2)
	30,626	39,374		11.11	A	2/1/2021(2)
	24,439	60,561		14.72	A	2/1/2022(2)
	12,375	77,625		13.08	A	2/1/2023(2)
Mark G. Currie, Ph.D.	35,000			0.60	B	2/24/2014(2)
	95,000			0.60	B	3/1/2015(2)
	300,000			1.56	B	3/14/2016(9)
	75,000			1.56	B	3/14/2016(2)
	90,000			2.94	B	1/22/2017(2)
			300,000	2.94	B	1/22/2017(10)
	120,000			3.76	B	1/31/2018(2)
	50,000			4.89	B	2/11/2019(11)
	125,000			4.89	B	2/11/2019(2)
			40,000	5.48	B	7/28/2019(7)
	90,000			11.25	A	2/2/2020(2)
	48,126	61,874		11.11	A	2/1/2021(2)
31,626	78,374		14.72	A	2/1/2022(2)	
27,500	172,500		13.08	A	2/1/2023(2)	
Thomas A. McCourt	160,000			5.48	B	9/7/2019(1)
	80,000		80,000	5.48	B	9/7/2019(12)
	20,000			11.25	A	2/2/2020(2)
	41,564	53,436		11.11	A	2/1/2021(2)
	27,314	67,686		14.72	A	2/1/2022(2)
	15,125	94,875		13.08	A	2/1/2023(2)

(1)

The options vest as to 25% of the shares on the first anniversary of the vesting commencement date and 1/48th of the shares each month thereafter for the next 36 months.

Edgar Filing: IRONWOOD PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

- (2) The options vest as to 1.25% on each monthly anniversary of the vesting commencement date for the first 36 months, and as to 4.5833% of the award on each monthly anniversary thereafter until fully vested.
- (3) The options vested in equal installments on each monthly anniversary of the vesting commencement date for 12 months.
- (4) The options vested as to all of the shares upon the commencement of our first Phase 2b trial.
- (5) The option vested as to all of the shares upon the completion of a substantial transaction as determined by our compensation and HR committee.
- (6) The option vested as to (a) 10% of the shares immediately upon the first acceptance by the FDA of an NDA filed by us and the remaining portion of the option vests as to an additional 40% of the shares in equal monthly installments over the ensuing two year period; (b) 7.5% of the shares immediately upon the first commercial sale of our first product, and an additional 30% of the shares in equal monthly installments over the ensuing two year period; and the remaining portion of the option vests as to (c) 12.5% of the shares immediately upon our achievement of an average market capitalization of at least \$20.00 per share of Class A common stock for forty-five days out of any sixty day period on a split-adjusted basis; and (d) all unvested shares remaining on January 1, 2016.
- (7) The options vest as to (a) 50% of the shares upon the achievement of \$1 billion in annual (calendar year) net global pharmaceutical product sales (including partnered or licensed product revenue) and (b) 50% of the shares upon acceptance by the FDA of a second NDA for a product from an internal or external development program (excluding supplemental NDAs for linaclotide, but including NDAs for linaclotide combination products). External development programs shall be pre-qualified for milestone vesting eligibility by our compensation and HR committee as of the time of program initiation at Ironwood.
- (8) The option vested as to (a) 50% of the shares immediately upon the first acceptance by the FDA of an NDA filed by us; (b) 25% of the shares immediately upon the first commercial sale of our first product; and the remaining portion of the option vests as to (c) 25% of the shares immediately upon our achievement of an average market capitalization of at least \$20.00 per share of Class A common stock for forty-five days out of any sixty day period on a split-adjusted basis; and (d) all unvested shares remaining on January 1, 2016.
- (9) The option vested as to (a) 50% of the shares immediately upon the first acceptance by the FDA of an NDA filed by us and (b) 50% of the shares immediately upon the first commercial sale of our first product.
- (10) The option vests as to (a) 25% of the shares immediately upon the entry of a novel Ironwood drug candidate (other than certain Ironwood compounds or linaclotide for gastrointestinal indications) into Phase 3 clinical studies; (b) 50% of the shares immediately upon the first acceptance by the FDA of an NDA filed by us for a novel Ironwood-derived drug candidate (other than certain Ironwood compounds or linaclotide for gastrointestinal indications); (c) 25% of the shares immediately upon our achievement of an average market capitalization of at least \$20.00 per share of Class A common stock for forty-five days out of any sixty day period on a split-adjusted basis; and (d) all unvested shares remaining on January 22, 2017.
- (11) The option vested as to 100% of the shares on the grant date.
- (12) The option vested as to (a) 25% of the shares immediately upon the first acceptance by the FDA of an NDA filed by us; (b) 25% of the shares upon the first commercial sale of linaclotide; and the remaining portion of the option vests as to (c) 25% of the shares upon the achievement of \$1 billion in annual (calendar year) net global pharmaceutical product sales (including partnered or licensed product revenue); and (d) 25% of the shares upon acceptance by the FDA of a second NDA for a product from an internal or external development program (excluding supplemental NDAs for linaclotide, but including NDAs for linaclotide combination products). External development programs shall be pre-qualified for milestone vesting eligibility by our compensation and HR committee as of the time of program initiation at Ironwood.

Options Exercised and Stock Vested Table

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the exercise of options to purchase our common stock held by our named executive officers during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Named Executive Officer	Option Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)(1)

Edgar Filing: IRONWOOD PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form DEF 14A

Peter M. Hecht, Ph.D.	100,000(2)	995,000
Michael J. Higgins	358,334(3)	4,462,000
Mark G. Currie, Ph.D.	100,000(4)	1,391,000
Thomas A. McCourt	40,000	468,000

(1) Computed by determining the difference between the market price of our Class A common stock upon exercise and the exercise price of the exercised stock option.

Table of Contents

- (2) Represents 100,000 shares of our Class B common stock that Dr. Hecht acquired through an option exercise and then held, thereby increasing his ownership of our Class B common stock by 100,000 shares. As of the date hereof, Dr. Hecht continues to hold these shares. All stock options exercised were expiring in 2013.
- (3) Includes 108,334 shares of our Class B common stock and 50,000 shares of our Class A common stock that Mr. Higgins acquired through option exercises and then held, thereby increasing his ownership of our common stock by such amounts. All stock options exercised were expiring in 2013.
- (4) Represents 100,000 shares of our Class B common stock that Dr. Currie acquired through an option exercise and then held, thereby increasing his ownership of our Class B common stock by 100,000 shares.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control

Change of Control Severance Benefit Plan

We have a change of control plan that applies to all of our employees regardless of title or role, including our named executive officers, and provides for certain payments and benefits in connection with or following a termination of employment associated with a change of control. Pursuant to our change of control plan, in the event of a "Covered Termination", our employees are entitled to receive the following from Ironwood or its successor:

a lump-sum payment in an amount equal to six months of such employee's base salary at the time of termination;

a lump-sum payment in an amount equal to such employee's target bonus for the year in which the termination occurred, prorated for the portion of the year during which the employee was employed;

acceleration of all outstanding equity awards subject solely to time-based vesting as of the date of termination; and

continuation of health and dental benefits for six months following termination.

A "Covered Termination" consists of a termination of employment in connection with a "Change of Control" of Ironwood. Under the change of control plan, a "Change of Control" occurs when:

any person becomes, pursuant to a transaction or a series of transactions not approved by our board, the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of Ironwood securities representing more than 50% of the total voting power;

a merger or consolidation of Ironwood, whether or not approved by our board, which results in the holders of our voting securities holding less 50% of the combined voting power of the surviving entity immediately after such merger or consolidation;

the sale or disposition of more than $\frac{2}{3}$ of the assets of Ironwood; or

the date a majority of members of our board is replaced during any 12-month period by directors whose appointment or election is not endorsed by a majority of members of our board before the date of the appointment or election.

We will require any successor to assume and agree to perform the change of control plan. See *Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis Change of Control Severance Benefit Plan* for more information about our change of control plan.

Edgar Filing: IRONWOOD PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Receipt of any payments or benefits under the change of control plan at the time of termination will be conditioned on the employee executing a written release of Ironwood from any and all claims arising in connection with his or her employment.

Potential Payments Under Change of Control Severance Benefit Plan

The following table presents our estimate of the amount of severance benefits to which each of the named executive officers would be entitled under the change of control plan in the event a Covered Termination of each named executive officer occurred on December 31, 2013. There are currently no other agreements or arrangements pursuant to which the named executive officers would receive severance benefits including termination without cause, termination for cause, termination by the executive for good reason, death or disability.

Name	Cash Severance (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (Prorated Target Bonus) (\$)	Equity Acceleration (\$)(1)	Continuation of Health Benefits (\$)	Total (\$)
Peter M. Hecht, Ph.D.	50,000	30,000	51,281	9,545	140,826
Michael J. Higgins	180,450	108,270	21,090	9,545	319,355
Mark G. Currie, Ph.D.	188,350	113,010	32,422	3,546	337,328
Thomas A. McCourt	183,300	109,980	27,048	9,545	329,873

(1)

Reflects the in-the-money value of the unvested portion of such named executive officer's options that have vesting provisions based solely on time, and not performance milestones. The value is calculated by multiplying the amount (if any) by \$11.61, the closing price of our Class A common stock on December 31, 2013, which exceeds the exercise price of the option by the number of shares subject to the accelerated portion of the option.

Director Compensation

The following table sets forth information regarding the compensation earned during the year ended December 31, 2013 by each of our directors other than Dr. Hecht, who does not receive compensation for his service as a director.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(2)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
George H. Conrades	9,974(3)				9,974
Joseph C. Cook, Jr. David Ebersman					
Marsha H. Fanucci	10,000(4)				10,000
Terrance G. McGuire Julie H. McHugh(5)					
Edward P. Owens(6)		124,495			124,495
Bryan E. Roberts, Ph.D.	9,974(7)				9,974
David E. Shaw	9,974(8)				9,974
Christopher T. Walsh, Ph.D.				47,500(9)	47,500

(1)

As discussed in more detail below, during the year ended December 31, 2009, each non-employee member of our board of directors, except Ms. McHugh and Mr. Owens, received a restricted stock grant in the amount of 40,000 shares of Class B common stock for future service to Ironwood during years 2010 through 2013 and pursuant to the terms of our previous director compensation

Table of Contents

program. As of December 31, 2013, all shares from each restricted stock award had vested in full but remained subject to the transfer restrictions described in more detail below.

- (2) No stock options were granted to non-employee directors in 2013. Further, as of December 31, 2013, none of our directors, other than Mr. Cook, held any outstanding, unexercised stock options. As of such date, Mr. Cook held 5,000 Class B stock options (all of which are fully vested).
- (3) Mr. Conrades received this compensation for his service as the chair of our governance and nominating committee in 2013. Pursuant to our previous director compensation program, Mr. Conrades elected to receive this compensation in unrestricted shares of our Class A common stock. Mr. Conrades received a total of 813 shares of our Class A common stock for such chair service in 2013.
- (4) Ms. Fanucci received this compensation for her services as the chair of our audit committee in 2013.
- (5) Ms. McHugh was elected a director in February 2014.
- (6) Mr. Owens was elected a director effective March 1, 2013. On such date, and in connection with his election, Mr. Owens received a prorated award of 8,333 restricted shares of our Class A common stock pursuant to the terms of our previous director compensation program. As of December 31, 2013, all such shares of restricted stock had vested in full but remained subject to the transfer restrictions described in more detail below.
- (7) Dr. Roberts received this compensation for his service as the chair of our board in 2013. Pursuant to our previous director compensation program, Dr. Roberts elected to receive this compensation in unrestricted shares of our Class A common stock. Dr. Roberts received a total of 813 shares of our Class A common stock for such chair service in 2013.
- (8) Mr. Shaw received this compensation for his services as the chair of our compensation and HR committee in 2013. Pursuant to our previous director compensation program, Mr. Shaw elected to receive this compensation in unrestricted shares of our Class A common stock. Mr. Shaw received a total of 813 shares of our Class A common stock for such chair service in 2013.
- (9) Dr. Walsh received this compensation for his service as chair of our Pharmaceutical Advisory Committee in 2013.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, and in accordance with our previous director compensation program, each non-employee member of our board of directors, other than Ms. McHugh and Mr. Owens, received a restricted stock grant in the amount of 40,000 shares for future service to Ironwood during years 2010 through 2013. Ms. McHugh did not receive any portion of such award because she did not join our board until February 2014. In connection with his election in March 2013, Mr. Owens received an award of 8,333 restricted shares of our Class A common stock, representing a pro-rated amount of the 2009 director awards under our previous director compensation program. The forfeiture rights with respect to the awards under our previous director compensation program lapsed in quarterly increments of 2,500 shares over the period starting January 1, 2010 and ended December 31, 2013, provided that the recipient was still one of our board members on such dates. Subject to certain limited exceptions, no director may transfer any of the shares of restricted stock while such person is a director of Ironwood.

In 2013, our board, upon the recommendation of our compensation and HR committee, approved a new director compensation plan, effective January 1, 2014. The new director compensation plan replaced our previous director compensation program, which was implemented in 2009 and was intended to compensate our directors through December 31, 2013. The new director compensation plan provides that, commencing at our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, and at each annual meeting thereafter, our non-employee directors will receive an annual grant of the number of restricted shares

Table of Contents

of our Class A common stock calculated by dividing (i) the dollar amount for total director compensation approximating the 25th percentile of our current peer group on the date of grant, by (ii) the average closing price of our Class A common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market for the six months preceding the month in which the applicable annual meeting of stockholders occurs. Such restricted shares will vest 25% on each three-month anniversary of the grant date over a nine-month period and the remaining 25% on the day before the date of the annual meeting of stockholders for the next calendar year. In January 2014, each non-employee director received a prorated grant of restricted shares of our Class A common stock as compensation for the period from January 2014 through the date of our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, which such shares vest in two equal installments on March 31, 2014 and on the day before our 2014 annual meeting. In each case, vesting is contingent on each non-employee director continuing to serve as a member of the board on the last day of each applicable vesting period. Subject to certain limited exceptions, and whether the shares of restricted stock are vested or not, no director may transfer any shares of restricted stock while such person is a director of Ironwood.

The vast majority of the compensation that our non-employee directors receive for service on our board is paid in the form of restricted stock, which such shares are subject to forfeiture and transfer restrictions as described in detail above. We believe these forfeiture and transfer restrictions under each of our previous director compensation program and new director compensation plan effectively create stock ownership guidelines for our directors in that they ensure that the interests of our directors, each of whom has equity in the business, are aligned with those of our stockholders and they focus our directors on maximizing long-term value.

In addition, pursuant to each of our previous director compensation program and our new director compensation plan, the chair of our board and each of the committee chairs receives annual compensation of \$10,000, payable quarterly in unrestricted stock or cash at the individual director's election. Shares of our Class A common stock issued to our directors under our new director compensation plan are granted under our 2010 Plan, in which our directors are eligible to participate. Further, non-employee directors are reimbursed for reasonable travel and other expenses incurred in connection with attending meetings of the board of directors and its committees.

PROPOSAL NO. 3 RATIFICATION OF OUR SELECTION OF AUDITORS

*Our board recommends that you ratify the selection of
Ernst & Young LLP as our auditors for fiscal year 2014.*

Our audit committee has appointed Ernst & Young LLP to serve as our auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014. The firm of Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the books and accounts of Ironwood since 1998 and has audited our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Detailed disclosure of the audit and tax fees we paid to Ernst & Young LLP in 2013 and 2012 are set forth below. Based on these disclosures and information in the audit committee report on page 17 of this proxy statement, our audit committee is satisfied that our auditors are sufficiently independent of management to perform their duties properly. Although not legally required to do so, our board considers it desirable to seek, and recommends, stockholder ratification of its selection of auditors for fiscal year 2014.

Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to attend the annual meeting to answer any questions and will have the opportunity to make a statement if they wish.

The table below presents aggregate fees for professional audit services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 for the audits of our annual financial

Table of Contents

statements, and fees billed for other services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP during those periods. It is the audit committee's policy that all audit and non-audit services to be performed by Ernst & Young LLP be pre-approved. The audit committee annually reviews and pre-approves the permissible services that may be provided by Ernst & Young LLP without obtaining specific pre-approval from the audit committee to assure the provision of such services does not impair the auditor's independence. In accordance with the pre-approval policy, our management informs the audit committee of each service performed by Ernst & Young LLP pursuant to the pre-approval policy. Requests to provide services that require separate approval by the audit committee are submitted to the audit committee by both our chief financial officer or vice president, corporate finance and Ernst & Young LLP. All of the services described in the following fee table were approved in conformity with the audit committee's pre-approval policy.

	2013	2012
Audit	\$ 628,468	\$ 620,990
Audit-related		\$ 7,100
Tax	\$ 149,480	\$ 175,513
All other		
	\$ 777,948	\$ 803,603

Audit fees for 2013 and 2012 were for professional services rendered for the audits of our financial statements, including accounting consultation, and reviews of quarterly financial statements, as well as for services that are normally provided in connection with regulatory filings or engagements.

Tax fees for 2013 and 2012 were for professional services for the preparation of our federal and state tax returns and tax advice.

Other than the foregoing, Ernst & Young LLP did not provide any other services to us in 2013 or 2012.

Vote Required

The approval of the proposal to ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our auditors requires a majority of the votes cast for or against the proposal. Abstentions will not affect the outcome of this proposal.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Our directors, executive officers and beneficial owners of more than 10% of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock, combined, are required under Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership of our securities with the SEC. Our staff assists our directors and executive officers in preparing ownership reports and reporting ownership changes, and typically files these reports on their behalf. Based on a review of the copies of reports filed by us or by our 10% stockholders and representations that no other reports were required, we believe that during 2013, our directors, executive officers and 10% stockholders complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements.

STOCKHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS, PROPOSALS AND NOMINATIONS FOR DIRECTORSHIPS

Communications

A stockholder may send general communications to our board, any committee of our board or any individual director by directing such communication to Chief Legal Officer, Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 301 Binney Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142. All communications will be

Table of Contents

reviewed by our chief legal officer and, if requested by the stockholder, forwarded to our board or an individual director, as applicable. Our chief legal officer reserves the right not to forward to our board or any individual director any abusive, threatening or otherwise inappropriate materials.

Any request for materials or other communications directed to our Secretary should be sent to: Secretary, Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 301 Binney Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142.

Proposals and Nominations

Stockholders who wish to present a proposal for inclusion in our proxy materials for our 2015 annual meeting should follow the procedures prescribed in Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act and our bylaws. Those procedures require that we receive a stockholder proposal in writing no later than December 19, 2014 in order for such proposal to be included in our proxy materials.

Under our bylaws, stockholders who wish to nominate a director or include a proposal in our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders (but do not wish to include such proposal in our proxy materials) must give us timely notice. To be timely, a notice of director nomination or other proposal for the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders must be received by us no earlier than March 5, 2015 and no later than April 4, 2015, unless the date of the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders is more than 30 days from the anniversary date of the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, in which event the notice must be received by us on or before 15 days after the day on which the date of the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders is first disclosed in a public announcement. The notice must contain specified information that is prescribed in our bylaws about you and the director nominee or the proposal, as applicable. If any director nomination or stockholder proposal is submitted after April 4, 2015, our bylaws provide that the nomination or the proposal shall be disregarded.

SEC FILINGS

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, as well as other information with the SEC. You can obtain any of them from the SEC at its website at www.sec.gov or at its Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. The documents are also available from us without charge by requesting them in writing or by telephone from Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 301 Binney Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142, Attention: Corporate Communications, telephone: (617) 621-8304.

