BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP INC Form 10-Q May 15, 2009 Table of Contents

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from t

Commission File Number: 000-53197

BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

Georgia (State or other jurisdiction of

58-2265980 (IRS Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

415 East Paces Ferry Road

Atlanta, Georgia 30305

(Address of principal executive offices)

(404) 504-2557

(Issuer s telephone number)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Check whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No"

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No x

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common equity, as of May 8, 2009: Common Stock, \$0.01 par value 6,314,213 shares outstanding.

BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

AND SUBSIDIARY

INDEX

Part I.	EINANCI	IAL INFORMATION	Page
rait I.	Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
		Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
		Consolidated Statements of Operations	4
		Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)	5
		Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders Equity	6
		Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	7
		Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
	Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	13
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	32
	Item 4T.	Controls and Procedures	35
Part II.	OTHER I	<u>NFORMATION</u>	
	Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	36
	Item 1A.	Risk factors	36
	Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	36
	Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	36
	Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	36
	Item 5.	Other Information	36
	Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	36
Signatur	es		37

2

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands) ASSETS		March 31 2009 (Unaudited)		ecember 31 2008		Iarch 31 2008 naudited)
Cash and due from banks	\$	23,570	\$	5.042	\$	8,511
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	Φ	313	Ф	1,138	Ф	317
Federal funds sold		75,086		23,674		32,774
reactal funds sold		75,000		23,074		32,114
Securities available for sale, at fair value		112,255		104,015		146,496
Restricted equity securities, at cost		3,276		3,408		2,508
Total investment securities		115,531		107,423		149,004
Loans held for sale		3,500		5,349		3,406
Loans, net of unearned income		696,592		712,961		689,922
Allowance for loan losses		(14,765)		(12,114)		(11,167)
Net loans		681,827		700,847		678,755
INCLIDATIS		001,047		700,847		076,733
Bank premises and equipment		10,044		10,286		10,809
Accrued interest receivable		4,101		4,572		4,696
Goodwill		17,320		17,320		32,660
Other intangible assets						2,297
Other real estate owned		36,656		29,549		14,051
Other assets		5,877		4,757		7,202
Total assets	\$	973,825	\$	909,957	\$	944,482
LIABILITIES						
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$	41,453	\$	36,605	\$	77,346
Interest-bearing deposits		816,868		730,268		713,052
Total deposits		858,321		766,873		790,398
Short-term borrowings		34,549		55,035		25,159
Long-term debt		16,000		16,000		19,000
Junior subordinated debt		15,465		15,465		15,465
Accrued interest payable		2,918		2,930		3,760
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		642		596		1,470
Total liabilities		927,895		856,899		855,252
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY						
Special stock, no par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; none issued						
Common stock, par value \$0.01; 20,000,000 shares authorized; 6,314,213, 6,314,213 and						
6,313,813 shares issued and outstanding, respectively		63		63		63

Capital surplus	72,552	72,550	72,536
Retained earnings (deficit)	(25,728)	(19,155)	16,366
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(875)	(307)	393
Deferred compensation	(82)	(93)	(128)
Total shareholders equity	45,930	53,058	89,230
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 973,825	\$ 909,957	\$ 944,482

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)(Unaudited) INTEREST INCOME	Th	ree Months E 2009	nded N	March 31 2008
Loans, including fees	\$	8,172	\$	12,673
Securities:	Φ	0,172	Ф	12,073
Taxable		824		1,048
		57		235
Tax-exempt Federal funds sold and short-term investments		13		284
Dividends		(14)		30
Dividends		(14)		30
Total interest income		9,052		14,270
INTEREST EXPENSE				
Deposits		6,577		8,246
Short-term borrowings		184		207
Junior subordinated debt		135		250
Long-term debt		150		28
Long-term deot		150		20
Total interest expense		7,046		8,731
NET INTEREST INCOME		2,006		5,539
Provision for loan losses		5,064		2,122
NET INTEREST INCOME/(LOSS) AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES		(3,058)		3,417
NONINTEREST INCOME				
Gain (loss) on sale of loans held for sale		41		(34)
Gain/(loss) on securities available for sale, net		830		1
Other noninterest income		150		248
Total noninterest income		1,021		215
NONINTEREST EXPENSE				
Salaries and employee benefits		1,921		2,899
Occupancy expenses		592		583
Advertising and marketing		93		156
Legal and other professional services		388		228
Provision for OREO losses		301		248
Other operating expenses		1,241		1,105
Total noninterest expense		4,536		5,219
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES		(6,573)		(1,587)
Income tax benefit		(0,010)		(668)
NET LOSS	\$	(6,573)	\$	(919)

LOSS PER SHARE

Basic	\$	(1.04)	\$	(0.15)
Diluted ¹	\$	(1.04)	\$	(0.15)
WEIGHTED-AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING				
Basic	6,317,091		6,305,7	
Diluted	6,	398,487	6,	421,209
CASH DIVIDENDS PER SHARE	\$		\$	

¹ Calculated using average basic common shares for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 *The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

	Three Mont Marcl	
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	2009	2008
Net loss	\$ (6,573)	\$ (919)
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Unrealized holding gains arising during period on securities available for sale, net of tax of \$0, and \$351, respectively	262	673
Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in net loss	(830)	(1)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(568)	672
Comprehensive loss	\$ (7,141)	\$ (247)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

	Commor	stocl	k	Capital	Retained Earnings	Com	cumulated Other prehensive Income	e Do	eferred	Sha	Total reholders
(Dollars in thousands, except share data)	Shares	Par	Value	Surplus	(Deficit)		(Loss)	Com	pensation]	Equity
Balance, December 31, 2007	6,315,813	\$	63	\$ 72,584	\$ 17,285	\$	(279)	\$	(181)		89,472
Net loss					(919)						(919)
Restricted stock forfeiture	(2,000)			(50)					50		
Stock-based compensation				2					3		5
Other comprehensive income (loss)							672				672
Balance, March 31, 2008 (Unaudited)	6,313,813	\$	63	\$ 72,536	\$ 16,366	\$	393	\$	(128)	\$	89,230
Balance, December 31, 2008	6,314,213	\$	63	\$ 72,550	\$ (19,155)	\$	(307)	\$	(93)		53,058
Net loss					(6,573)						(6,573)
Stock-based compensation				2					11		13
Other comprehensive income (loss)							(568)				(568)
Balance, March 31, 2009 (Unaudited)	6,314,213	\$	63	\$ 72,552	\$ (25,728)	\$	(875)	\$	(82)	\$	45,930

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Three Months Endo March 31	
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	2009	2008
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net loss	\$ (6,573)	\$ (919)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	253	266
Amortization of intangible assets	- 0 < 4	70
Provision for loan losses	5,064	2,122
Provision for OREO losses	301	248
Net gains on securities	(830)	(1)
Net (gains) losses on sales of loans	(41)	34
Losses on sales of other real estate	39	2
Stock-based compensation	13	5
Decrease (increase) in loans held for sale	1,890	(2,208)
Decrease in interest receivable	471	(4.0.5)
Decrease in interest payable	(12)	(125)
Net other operating activities	(1,280)	(1,329)
Net cash used in operating activities	(705)	(1,835)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Net decrease in interest-bearing deposits in banks	825	1,947
Purchases of securities available for sale	(97,397)	(53,060)
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale	84,491	
Proceeds from calls and maturities of securities available for sale	4,823	17,214
Net redemptions (purchases) of restricted equity securities	132	(747)
Net increase in federal funds sold	(51,412)	(9,346)
Net decrease (increase) in loans	4,026	(19,691)
Proceeds from sales of other real estate	2,781	4,431
Net purchases of bank premises and equipment	2	(98)
Cash used for business combination		(13,375)
Net cash used in investing activities	(51,729)	(72,725)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net increase in deposits	91,448	34,650
Net change in short-term borrowings	(20,486)	5,589
Net proceeds from long-term debt		19,000
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock		4,675
Net cash provided by financing activities	70,962	63,914
Change in cash and due from banks	18,528	(10,646)
Cash and due from banks at beginning of period	5,042	19,157
Cash and due from banks at end of period	\$ 23,570	\$ 8,511

Supplemental Disclosures:

Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 7,058	\$ 8,856
Income taxes	\$	\$
Non-cash investing activities:		
Transfer of loans to other real estate owned	\$ 9,930	\$ 5,146

 $\label{thm:companying} \textit{ notes are an integral part of these financial statements}.$

Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1 Accounting Policies and Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. (Buckhead or the Company) and its subsidiary, The Buckhead Community Bank (the Bank) have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim information and with the instructions to Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, these financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the full year or any other interim periods.

8

Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 2 Loss Per Share

The following table reflects the reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of the basic EPS computation to the diluted EPS computation for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. There is no dilution from dilutive securities due to the antidilutive effect of the net loss for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

	Three Months Ende March 31		
(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)(Unaudited)	2009	2008	
Basic			
Net loss available to common shareholders	(6,573)	(919)	
Average basic common shares	6,317	6,306	
Loss per average common share - basic	\$ (1.04)	\$ (0.15)	
Diluted			
Net loss available to common shareholders	\$ (6,573)	\$ (919)	
Average basic common shares	6,317	6,306	
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options and restricted stock	81	115	
Average diluted common shares	6,398	6,421	
Loss per average common share - diluted ¹	\$ (1.04)	\$ (0.15)	

1 Calculated using average basic common shares for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 **Note 3** Fair Value

As defined in SFAS No. 157, fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In determining fair value, the Company uses various methods including market, income and cost approaches. Based on these approaches, the Company often utilizes certain assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and or the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated, or generally unobservable inputs. The Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

On April 9, 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly. This FSP reaffirmed SFAS No. 157 s statement that the objective of fair value measurement is to reflect how much an asset would be sold for in an orderly transaction (as opposed to a distressed or forced transaction) at the date of the financial statements under current market conditions. It emphasizes the need to use judgment to ascertain if a formerly active market has become inactive and in determining fair values when markets have become inactive. This FSP is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009, but entities may early adopt this FSP for the interim and annual periods ending after March 15, 2009. We did not elect to early adopt this FSP, and are evaluating its impact on our financial position and results of operations.

On April 9, 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 29-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. This FSP increased the frequency of disclosures related to financial instruments that are not currently reflected on the balance sheet at fair value. This FSP is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009, but entities may early adopt this FSP for the interim and annual periods ending after March 15, 2009. We did not elect to early adopt this FSP, and do not expect it to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

On April 9, 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*. This FSP requires an entity to assess whether it intends to sell a security or is more likely than not to be required to sell a security prior to recovery when determining other-than-temporary impairment. For debt securities, this guidance separates impairment into a component related to credit loss, (which is recognized in earnings) and a component related to other factors, (which is recognized in other comprehensive income). This FSP is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009, but entities may early adopt this FSP for the interim and annual periods ending after March 15, 2009. We did not elect to early adopt this FSP, and are evaluating its impact on our financial position and results of operations.

Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Based on the observability of the inputs used in the valuation techniques the Company is required to provide the following information according to the fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy ranks the quality and reliability of the information used to determine fair values. Financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value will be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market, as well as U.S. Treasury securities that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets

Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments, as well as U.S. Government and agency mortgage backed debt securities whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying balance sheet, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Available-for-Sale Securities

Where quoted market prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities would include highly liquid government bonds, mortgage products and exchange traded equities. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or discounted cash flows. Level 2 securities include U.S. agency securities, mortgage-backed agency securities, obligations of states and political subdivisions and certain corporate, asset backed and other securities. In certain cases where Level 1 or Level 2 inputs are not available, securities are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy. Broker quotes are not necessarily determinative of fair value if an active market does not exist for the security. Currently, all of the Company s available-for-sale securities are considered to be Level 2 securities.

Loans held for sale

Loans held for sale are those loans the Company has the intent to sell in the foreseeable future and they are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. The fair value of loans held for sale is based on what secondary markets are offering for loans with similar characteristics, therefore the Company classifies those assets as Level 2.

Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

The following table presents financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2009, Using

	Quoted Prices in Active								
		Markets							
	Assets/Liabilities	for							
	Measured at Fair	Identical							
	Value	Assets	Significant Other	Significant					
	March 31,	(Level	Observable Inputs	Unobservable Inputs					
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	2009	1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)					
Securities available for sale	\$ 112,255	\$	\$ 112,255	\$					
Loans held for sale	\$ 3,500	\$	\$ 3,500	\$					

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2008, Using

	Quoted Prices in Active									
		Markets								
	Asset	ts/Liabilities	for							
	Meas	ured at Fair	Identical							
		Value		Value Assets		Signi	ficant Other	Signi	ficant	
	N	Iarch 31,	(Level	Observable Inputs		Unobserva	ble Inputs			
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)		2008		2008		(Level 2)		(Lev	el 3)	
Securities available for sale	\$	146,496	\$	\$	146,496	\$				
Loans held for sale	\$	5,349	\$	\$	5,349	\$				
4 . 16 1 . T · T · 1 37 · D ·										

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying balance sheet, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Impaired Loans

Loan impairment is reported when full payment under the loan terms is not expected. Impaired loans are carried at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan is existing rate, or the fair value of collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. A portion of the allowance for loan losses is allocated to impaired loans if the value of such loans is deemed to be less than the unpaid balance. If these allocations cause the allowance for loan losses to require increase, such increase is reported as a component of the provision for loan losses. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectability of a loan is confirmed. During the first three months of 2009, certain impaired loans were partially charged-off or re-evaluated for impairment resulting in a remaining balance for these loans, net of specific allowances, of \$105.9 million as of March 31, 2009. This valuation would be considered Level 3, consisting of appraisals of underlying collateral and discounted cash flow analysis.

Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate assets acquired through or in lieu of foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at fair value. Any write-downs to fair value at the time of transfer to foreclosed assets are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. This valuation would be considered Level 3, consisting of appraisals of real estate collateral, along with other factors known to management.

The following table presents financial assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, for which impairment was recognized in the current period.

11

Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2009, Using

Quoted Prices in Active

Markets

		Identical				17.	aluation																
	Carrying	Identical	Significant Other	Ç:	ignificant	V	aiuation																
	Carrying	Assets	Significant Other	3.	Significant		Significant		Significant		S		8		8		8		8		8		vances as of
	Value as of	(Level	Observable Inputs	Unobs	ervable Inputs	puts March																	
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	March 31, 2009	1)	(Level 2)	((Level 3)		2009																
Impaired loans	\$ 105,915	\$	\$	\$	105,915	\$	(9,105)																
Other real estate owned	36,656				36,656		(1.907)																

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2008, Using

Quoted Prices in Active

Markets

for **Identical** Valuation Carrying **Significant Other** Significant Assets Allowances as of Value as of **Observable Inputs Unobservable Inputs** (Level March 31, (Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited) March 31, 2008 1) (Level 2) (Level 3) 2008 18,719 Impaired loans 18,719 \$ (3,150)Other real estate owned 14,051 14,051 (495)

In February, 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115.* SFAS No. 159 allows companies to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The changes in fair value are recognized in earnings and the assets and liabilities measured under this methodology are required to be displayed separately on the balance sheet. While SFAS No. 159 became effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2008, the Company has not elected the fair value option that is offered by this statement.

ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations General

The following is management s discussion and analysis of certain significant factors which have affected the financial position and operating results of the Company and the Bank, during the period included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The purpose of this discussion is to focus on information about our financial condition and results of operations that are not otherwise apparent from our consolidated financial statements. Reference should be made to those statements and the selected financial data presented elsewhere in this report for an understanding of the following discussion and analysis.

Throughout this Item, the terms we, us and our refer to the Company and the Bank together on a consolidated basis.

The Company is a corporation which was organized under the laws of the state of Georgia to be a holding company for the Bank. Like most community bank holding companies, the Company derives substantially all of its income from the earnings of its subsidiary Bank. The Bank is a bank chartered under the laws of the State of Georgia that opened for business on February 16, 1998. The Bank is a full service commercial bank located in Atlanta, Georgia, with a primary service area consisting of the community of Atlanta and the surrounding areas within Fulton, Cobb, Forsyth and Hall Counties. The principal business of the Bank is to accept deposits from the public and to make loans and other investments.

Forward Looking Statements

Some of the statements in this Report, including, without limitation, matters discussed under the caption Management s Discussion and Analysis, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements relate to future events or our future financial performance, and include statements about the competitiveness of the banking industry, potential regulatory obligations, our entrance and expansion into other markets, integration of recently acquired banks, pending or proposed acquisitions, our other business strategies, our expectations with respect to our allowance for loan losses and impaired loans, , and other statements that are not historical facts. When we use words like may, plan, contemplate, project, predict, anticipate, believe, intend, will, and similar expressions, you should consider them as identifying forward-looking statements, although we may use other phrasing. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and are based on our beliefs and assumptions, and on the information available to us at the time that these disclosures were prepared. These forward looking statements include risks and uncertainties and may not be realized due to a variety of factors, including, but not limited to the following: (1) competitive pressures among depository and other financial institutions; (2) changes in the interest rate environment; (3) the effects of future economic conditions; (4) legislative or regulatory changes, including changes in accounting standards; (5) costs or difficulties related to the integration of our businesses; (6) deposit attrition, customer loss or revenue loss; (7) the failure of assumptions underlying the establishment of reserves for possible loan losses; and (8) changes in the equity markets.

Many of such factors are beyond our ability to control or predict, and readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on such forward-looking statements. We disclaim any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements contained in this Report, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Executive Summary

During the first quarter of 2009, our financial performance was under continued pressure, and we recorded a net loss of \$6.6 million. Interest reversals on non-performing loans and related provisions to valuation allowances continued to have a negative impact on earnings. However, management s efforts to improve our liquidity position were successful during the first quarter of 2009. Total deposits increased approximately \$91.4 million, or 11.9% from December 31, 2008. In addition, management continues to evaluate and consider various strategic alternatives to improve our capital position.

13

Critical Accounting Estimates

We have adopted various accounting policies that govern the application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States in the preparation of our financial statements. Certain accounting policies involve significant judgments and assumptions by us that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. We consider these accounting judgments and assumptions to be our critical accounting estimates. The judgments and assumptions we use are based on historical experience and other factors, which we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Because of the nature of the judgments and assumptions we make, actual results could differ from these judgments and estimates which could have a material impact on our carrying values of assets and liabilities and our results of operations.

Allowance for Loan Losses

We believe the allowance for loan losses is a critical accounting estimate that requires the most significant judgments and assumptions used in preparation of our consolidated financial statements. Because the allowance for loan losses is replenished through a provision for loan losses that is charged against earnings, our subjective determinations regarding the allowance affect our earnings directly. Refer to the portion of this discussion that addresses our allowance for loan losses for a description of our processes and methodology for determining our allowance for loan losses.

A loan is considered impaired, based on current information and events, if it is probable that we will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Uncollateralized loans are measured for impairment based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the historical effective interest rate, while all collateral-dependent loans are measured for impairment based on the fair value of the collateral.

We use several factors in determining if a loan is impaired. The internal asset classification procedures include a thorough review of significant loans and lending relationships and include the accumulation of related data. This data includes loan payment status, borrowers financial data, and borrowers operating factors such as cash flows, operating income or loss, etc.

The allowance for loan losses is established through charges to earnings in the form of a provision for loan losses. Increases and decreases in the allowance due to changes in the measurement of the impaired loans are included in the provision for loan losses. Loans continue to be classified as impaired unless they are brought fully current and the collection of scheduled interest and principal is considered probable. When a loan or portion of a loan is determined to be uncollectible, the portion deemed uncollectible is charged against the allowance and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

Management s periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance also considers non-impaired loans and takes into consideration our past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrowers ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral, and current economic conditions. While management believes that it has established the allowance in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and has taken into account the views of its regulators and the current economic environment, there can be no assurance that in the future our regulators or the economic environment will not require further increases in the allowance.

Goodwill Impairment Analysis

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of the acquisition over the fair value of the net assets acquired. The Company tests goodwill for impairment on an annual basis, or more often if events or circumstances indicate that there may be impairment. The Company has elected to perform its annual testing as of September 30 each year. The goodwill impairment test is a two-step process, which requires management to make judgments in determining the assumptions used in the calculations. The first step involves estimating the fair value of each reporting unit and comparing it to the reporting unit s carrying value,

14

Table of Contents

which includes the allocated goodwill. If the estimated fair value is less than the carrying value, then a second step is performed to measure the actual amount of goodwill impairment. The second step first involves determining the implied fair value of goodwill. This requires the Company to allocate the estimated fair value to all the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit. Any unallocated fair value represents the implied fair value of goodwill which is compared to its corresponding carrying value. If the carrying value exceeds the implied fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess.

Fair values of reporting units were estimated using discounted cash flow models derived from internal earnings forecasts. The key assumptions used to estimate the fair value of each reporting unit included earnings forecasts for 5 years, terminal values based on future growth rates and discount interest rates. Changes in market interest rates, depending on the magnitude and duration, could impact the discount interest rates used in the impairment analysis. Generally, and with all other assumptions remaining the same, increasing the discount interest rate used in the analysis would tend to lower the fair value estimates, while lowering the discount interest rate would tend to increase the fair value estimates. The Company performed its goodwill impairment analysis according to the aforementioned method as of September 30, 2008. This analysis indicated that no goodwill impairment was present; therefore no impairment loss was recognized. Due to the decline in market valuations for financial institutions and increased levels of nonperforming assets and reserves, during the fourth quarter of 2008, an interim impairment test was performed by an outside party as of December 31, 2008. As a result of the interim impairment test, the Company recorded a \$15.3 million goodwill impairment loss in the fourth quarter of 2008, reducing the balance of goodwill to the implied fair value from the second step of the impairment test. In addition, we recorded an impairment charge of \$2.1 million during the fourth quarter of 2008, related to our core deposit intangible assets. No events have occurred since December 31, 2008 that would indicate the need for an updated impairment analysis.

Estimates of Fair Value

The estimation of fair value is significant to a number of the Company s assets and liabilities, including, but not limited to, investment securities, impaired loans, other real estate owned (OREO), and other intangible assets. Investment securities are recorded at fair value while impaired loans, other real estate owned, and other intangible assets are recorded at either cost or fair value, whichever is lower.

Fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices, and if not available, quoted prices on similar instruments. The fair values of impaired loans and other real estate owned are typically determined based on third-party appraisals less estimated costs to sell. At the time of foreclosure, it is our policy to obtain current appraisals from a list of approved appraisers to assist in the valuation of OREO. We reassess the value of OREO properties at least every 60 days with any updated information obtained by management, and establish reserves when deemed appropriate. Other intangible assets are periodically evaluated to determine if any impairment might exist. The estimation of fair value and subsequent changes of fair value of investment securities, impaired loans, other real estate owned, and other intangible assets can have a significant impact on the value of the Company, as well as have an impact on the recorded values and subsequently results of operations.

Income taxes

The determination of our overall income tax provision is complex and requires careful analysis. As part of the overall business strategy, we may enter into business transactions that require management to consider tax laws and regulations that apply to the specific facts and circumstances under consideration. This analysis includes evaluating the amount and timing of the realization of income tax liabilities or benefits. Management continually monitors tax developments as they affect our overall tax position. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are reflected at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets or liabilities are expected to be realized or settled. As changes in tax laws or rates

15

are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes. If management believes that it is more-likely-than-not that all net deferred tax assets will not be realized, a valuation allowance is established against such assets. As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company carried a valuation allowance related to its deferred tax assets.

Balance Sheet Review

At March 31, 2009, we had total assets of \$973.8 million compared to \$910.0 million as of December 31, 2008. The increase in assets was primarily attributable to a \$69.9 million increase in cash and due from banks and federal funds sold. Total liabilities at March 31, 2009 increased to \$927.9 million from \$856.9 million at December 31, 2008, primarily due to an \$86.6 million increase in interest-bearing deposits, offset by a \$20.5 million decrease in short-term borrowings. Shareholder s equity totaled \$45.9 million at March 31, 2009, a decrease of \$7.1 million, or 13.4% when compared to December 31, 2008. The decrease was primarily driven by a net loss of \$6.6 million for the first three months of 2009.

Investment Portfolio

The fair value of the securities available for sale portfolio as of March 31, 2009 was \$112.3 million compared to \$104.0 million as of December 31, 2008. In an effort to improve our capital ratios and increase liquidity, we repositioned our investment portfolio during the first quarter of 2009. We sold approximately \$16.6 million in municipal securities, which were not able to be pledged as collateral, and replaced them with securities that had a lower risk weighting for capital ratio purposes. Also, due to our deposit growth during the first quarter of 2009, we invested in additional U.S. Treasury and agency securities, as well as replaced several mortgage-backed securities, in order to improve yield and increase our capital ratios, where possible. We realized a net gain of \$830 thousand on the sale of available for sale securities during the first quarter of 2009.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when conditions warrant such evaluation. During the first quarter of 2009, we recorded \$250 thousand in other-than-temporary impairment within gain/(loss) on securities available for sale, net. This impairment charge was related to one security held in a financial institution that was taken into receivership by the FDIC on May 1, 2009.

	March 31, 2009 Dec		December 31, 2008			March 31, 2008		2008			
	Aı	mortized	Fair	Aı	nortized		Fair	Aı	mortized		Fair
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)		Cost	Value		Cost		Value		Cost		Value
U.S. Treasury government sponsored securities, agencies and corporations	\$	54,958	\$ 55,057	\$	29,030	\$	29,677	\$	85,830	\$	86,655
Trust Preferred Securities		1,450	805		1,450		1,120		450		444
Corporate bonds					250		167		250		251
State and municipal securities		2,736	2,593		19,458		17,948		24,540		24,204
Mortgage-backed securities		53,986	53,800		54,042		55,103		34,826		34,942

Total securities available for sale

\$113,130 \$112,255 \$104,230 \$104,015 \$145,896 \$146,496

The carrying value of investment securities at March 31, 2009, by contractual maturity, is shown below. All of our debt securities are classified as available-for-sale, which means that we carry them at estimated fair value with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of stockholders equity until realized. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations without call or prepayment penalties.

16

Maturity Distribution and Weighted Average Yield on Investments

	One Year	or Less	After One Throug Year	gh 5	After 5		After 10	Years	Total	s
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
Carrying value:										
March 31, 2009										
U.S. Government sponsored securities,										
agencies and corporations	\$ 14,964	0.34%	\$ 21,450	2.68%	\$ 9,047	4.30%	\$ 9,596	4.42%	\$ 55,057	2.61%
Trust preferred securities							805	6.33%	805	6.33%
State and municipal securities					837	4.23%	1,756	4.21%	2,593	4.22%
Mortgage-backed securities			3,351	4.91%			50,449	4.57%	53,800	4.59%
			,				ŕ		ŕ	
Total securities available for sale	\$ 14,964		\$ 24,801		\$ 9,884		\$ 62,606		\$ 112,255	

Loan Portfolio

Our intent is to derive a substantial percentage of our earnings from loans. The Company s loan portfolio decreased \$16.5 million, or 2.3% from December 31, 2008 to March 31, 2009. The following table presents various categories of loans contained in the loan portfolio of the Company as of March 31, 2009, December 31, 2008, and March 31, 2008:

	March 31 December 31 2009 2008					n 31 8
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	Amount	% of Loans	Amount	% of Loans	Amount	% of Loans
Breakdown of loan receivables:						
Commercial	\$ 84,412	12.10%	\$ 88,950	12.46%	\$ 74,075	10.73%
Real estate - mortgage	265,830	38.11%	268,023	37.55%	229,677	33.26%
Real estate - construction	336,220	48.21%	345,268	48.37%	373,997	54.15%
Consumer	10,989	1.58%	11,584	1.62%	12,861	1.86%
Total loans	697,451	100.00%	713,825	100.00%	690,610	100.00%
Less: Allowance for loan losses	14,765		12,114		11,167	
Less: Unearned loan fees	859		864		688	
Net loans	\$ 681,827		\$ 700,847		\$ 678,755	

Ratio of the allowance for loan losses to total loans 2.12%

The major components of the loan portfolio at March 31, 2009 were real estate construction and mortgage and represented 86.3% of the loan portfolio. In the context of this discussion, we define a real estate mortgage loan and a real estate construction loan as any loan, secured by real estate, regardless of the purpose of the loan. We follow the common practice of financial institutions in our market area of obtaining a security interest in real estate whenever possible, in addition to any other available

1.62%

Table of Contents 23

17

collateral. We take this collateral to reinforce the likelihood of the ultimate repayment of the loan; however, this tends to increase the magnitude of our real estate loan portfolio component. Generally, we target our loan-to-value ratio to be consistent with the supervisory loan to value limit guidelines provided by the banking regulators. In order to reduce collateral risk, we attempt to maintain a relatively diversified portfolio. To moderate credit risk in our declining real estate environment, in the third quarter of 2007, management began requiring at least 20% cash equity on all new real estate development loans. As a further measure, during 2008, we ceased making speculative construction loans, we ceased making loans out of our market area, and we ceased our purchase of loan participations.

We have a large percentage of real estate construction loans in our portfolio for builders and developers. These loans are considered more risky than other types of loans. The primary credit risks associated with construction lending are underwriting, project risks, and market risks. Project risks include cost overruns, borrower credit risk, project completion risk, general contractor credit risk, and environmental and other hazard risks. Market risks are risks associated with the sale of the completed residential units. They include affordability risk, which means the risk that borrowers cannot obtain affordable financing, product design risk, and risks posed by competing projects. Given the current environment, the non-performing loans in our construction portfolio may continue to increase in 2009, and these non-performing loans could result in a material level of charge-offs, which will negatively impact our capital and earnings.

The homebuilding industry has experienced a significant and sustained decline in demand for new homes and an oversupply of new and existing homes and lots available for sale in various markets, including some of the markets in which we lend. Our customers who are builders and developers face greater difficulty in selling their homes in markets where these trends are more pronounced. Consequently, we are facing increased delinquencies and non-performing assets as these builders and developers are forced to default on their loans with us. We do not know when the housing market will improve, and accordingly, additional downgrades, provisions for loan losses, and charge-offs related to our loan portfolio may occur.

Maturities and sensitivity of loans to changes in interest rates

The information in the following table is based on the contractual maturities of individual loans, including loans that may be subject to renewal at their contractual maturity. Renewal of such loans is subject to review and credit approval, as well as modification of terms upon their maturity. Actual repayments of loans may differ from maturities reflected below because borrowers have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

The following table summarizes major classifications of portfolio loans by maturities as of March 31, 2009:

(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	One Year or Less	After One, but within Five Years	After Five Years	Total
Commercial	\$ 57,227	22,003	5,182	\$ 84,412
Real estate mortgage	149,896	96,100	19,834	265,830
Real estate construction	322,910	12,828	482	336,220
Consumer	8,473	2,440	76	10,989
Total	\$ 538,506	133,371	25,574	\$ 697,451

18

The following table represents the rate structure for loans as of March 31, 2009:

(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	Variable Rate	Fixed Rate
Commercial	\$ 66,424	\$ 17,988
Real estate mortgage	171,351	94,479
Real estate construction	320,337	15,883
Consumer	5,401	5,588
Total	\$ 563,513	\$ 133,938

Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses

We have developed policies and procedures for evaluating the overall quality of the credit portfolio and the timely identification of potential credit problems. Additions to the allowance for loan losses are made to maintain the allowance at an appropriate level based on our analysis of the potential risk in the loan portfolio. Our judgment about the adequacy of the allowance is based upon a number of assumptions about future events which we believe to be reasonable, but which may or may not be accurate. Because of the inherent uncertainty of assumptions made during the evaluation process, there can be no assurance that loan losses in future periods will not exceed the allowance for loan losses or that additional allocations will not be required.

As of March 31, 2009 the allowance for loan losses was \$14.8 million or 2.12% of outstanding loans, as compared to \$12.1 million or 1.70% at December 31, 2008. The Company s current economic environment is turbulent, and the real estate values of our loan collateral are rapidly shifting. We continually monitor the adequacy of our allowance and we have employed independent external loan review consultants to complement management s evaluation of the allowance. The Company is committed to following generally accepted accounting principles, including the December 2006 Interagency Policy Statement on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, as it determines the level of the allowance.

Our judgment in determining the adequacy of the allowance is based on evaluations of the collectability of loans. These evaluations take into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions that may affect the borrower s ability to pay, overall portfolio quality, and review of specific problem loans. In determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, we use a two-part loan grading system—one for performing loans and one for non-performing loans. Performing loans are categorized by type and assigned loss ranges based on a combination of management—s perception of environmental factors for each category and a loss history for each category, resulting in a general reserve for each loan type. For non-performing loans, certain grades representing criticized or classified loans are assigned a specific loss based on management—s estimate of the potential loss, and are generally based on a specific review of the circumstances surrounding each individual loan, as well as consideration of any deficiency in underlying collateral value. The combination of the performing loan general reserve and the non-performing specific reserve comprises our total reserve. This amount is compared monthly to the recorded allowance for loan losses and material differences are adjusted by increasing or decreasing the provision for loan losses.

19

The process of reviewing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses requires management to make numerous judgments and assumptions about current events and subjective judgments, including the likelihood of loan repayment, risk evaluation, extrapolation of historical losses of similar banks, and similar judgments and assumptions. If these assumptions prove to be incorrect, charge-offs in future periods could exceed the allowance for loan losses.

Management considers the allowance for loan losses to be adequate and sufficient to absorb possible future losses; however, there can be no assurance that charge-offs in future periods will not exceed the allowance for loan losses or that additional provisions to the allowance will not be required

The following table shows an analysis of allowance for loan loss, including charge-off activity, for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	Three months ended Marc		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 12,114	\$ 9,787	
Loans charged off:			
Commercial	290	326	
Real estate - mortgage	568		
Real estate - construction	1,545	416	
Consumer	13		
Total loans charged off	2,416	742	
Recoveries of losses previously charged off: Commercial Real estate - mortgage Real estate - construction Consumer	3		
Total recoveries	3		
Net loans charged off	2,413	742	
Provision for loan losses	5,064	2,122	
Allowance for loan losses at end of period	\$ 14,765	\$ 11,167	

Net loans charged off, as a percent of average loans outstanding (annualized) 1.37% 0.44% Our provision for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$5.1 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, which was \$2.7 million more than net charge-offs for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and \$1.4 million higher than net charge-offs for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The loan loss provision increased from 2008 to 2009, due to increases in non-performing loans and net charge-offs. Net charge-offs for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 represented 1.37% and 0.44% of average loans, respectively. As the real estate market and general economic conditions continued to decline in 2009, we took the majority of our losses on construction type loans.

The following tables show the allocation of the allowance and the percentage of the allowance allocated to each category of total loans:

	March 31 2009		December 31 2008			
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Commercial	\$ 414	2.80%	\$ 986	8.14%	\$ 1,561	13.98%
Real estate mortgage	2,061	13.96%	1,637	13.51%	3,540	31.70%
Real estate construction	12,175	82.46%	9,379	77.42%	5,930	53.10%
Consumer	115	0.78%	112	0.93%	136	1.22%
Total	\$ 14,765	100.00%	\$ 12,114	100.00%	\$ 11,167	100.00%

Non-performing Assets

It is our policy to classify loans as non-accrual generally when they are past due in principal or interest payments for more than 90 days or if it is otherwise not reasonable to expect collection of principal and interest under the original terms. Exceptions are allowed for 90 days past due loans when such loans are well secured and in the process of collection. Generally, payments received on non-accrual loans are applied directly to principal.

We have adopted the principles of SFAS No. 114 and No. 118 relating to accounting for impaired loans and as of March 31, 2009, our impaired loans, totaled \$115.0 million and had associated reserves of approximately \$9.1 million. This is compared to impaired loans and associated reserves of \$94.7 million and approximately \$6.7 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2008. A loan is considered impaired when it is probable, based on current information and events, the Company will be unable to collect all principal and interest payments due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, the loan s obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. The amount of impairment, if any, and any subsequent changes are included in the allowance for loan losses. Interest on accruing impaired loans is recognized as long as such loans do not meet the criteria for nonaccrual status.

Non-performing assets, comprised of non-accrual loans, other real estate owned, other repossessed assets and loans for which payments are more than 90 days past due totaled \$147.5 million at March 31, 2009, compared to \$113.9 million at December 31, 2008. Non-accrual loans were \$92.8 million at March 31, 2009, an increase of \$24.6 million from non-accrual loans of \$68.2 million at December 31, 2008. The Company had loans ninety days past due and still accruing at March 31, 2009 of \$18.0 million as compared to \$16.2 million as of December 31, 2008. As mentioned above, our policy allows for us to continue accruing interest on loans that are 90 days past due loans when such loans are well secured and in the process of collection. Net other real estate owned totaled \$36.7 million as of March 31, 2009, compared to \$29.5 million at December 31, 2008.

The current credit deterioration has been driven by a real estate slowdown that accelerated in 2008 and has continued into 2009. This slowdown has had a greater impact on community banks in the Company s trade area than previous downturns in the economic cycle. The prolonged decline in the housing market and generally slowing economic conditions continue to have a negative impact on our loan portfolio, particularly in real estate construction.

At March 31, 2009, we had non-performing loans, defined as non-accrual and accruing loans past due more than 90 days, of \$110.8 million or 15.9% of total loans. Non-performing loans for December 31, 2008 were \$84.3 million or 11.8% of total loans. Interest that would have been recorded on non-accrual

loans had they performed in accordance with their original terms, amounted to \$1.4 million and \$399.9 thousand, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and March 31, 2008. Interest income on non-accrual loans, recorded on the cash basis, included in the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, totaled approximately \$60.5 thousand and \$104 thousand, respectively.

A summary of nonperforming assets as of March 31, 2009, December 31, 2008 and March 31, 2009 is presented below:

(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	March 31 2009	December 31 2008	March 31 2008
Non-accrual loans	\$ 92,825	\$ 68,166	\$ 21,869
Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing	17,997	16,156	6,632
Total non-performing loans	110,822	84,322	28,501
All other real estate owned, net	36,656	29,549	14,051
All other repossessed assets	47	35	40
Total non-performing assets 1,2	\$ 147,525	\$ 113,906	\$ 42,592
As a percent of total loans at end of period:			
Non-accrual loans	13.31%	9.55%	3.17%
Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing	2.58%	2.26%	0.96%
Total non-performing assets to total loans plus OREO and other repossessed assets	20.09%	15.32%	6.04%

Total non-performing assets includes non-performing loans guaranteed by the Small Business Administration. At March 31, 2009, December 31, 2008, and March 31, 2008, the guaranteed portion of these loans amounted to \$5.9 million, \$7.8 million, and \$5.4 million, respectively

The increase to our non-accrual loans during the first three months of 2009 is the net result of the following changes:

	March 31
(Dollars in thousands)	2009
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 68,116
Loans reclassified to non-accrual status in 2009	38,878
Payments received on non-accrual loans during 2009	(2,659)
Non-accrual loans charged-off during 2009	(2,345)
Non-accrual loans reclassified to other real estate	(9,165)
Balance at March 31, 2009	\$ 92,825

During 2009, additions to loans on nonaccrual status consisted of 59 real estate secured loans totaling \$37.8 million, 10 commercial loans totaling \$220 thousand, 3 consumer loans totaling \$46 thousand and one commercial SBA loan totaling \$825 thousand.

Loans whose terms were modified in a troubled debt restructuring not included in the table above totaled \$ 13.5 million as of March 31, 2009, \$6.7 million as of December 31, 2008 and zero as of March 31, 2008.

At March 31, 2009, non-accrual loans consisted of the following:

	Amount	Number of loans
Construction and land development	\$ 80,135	69
Secured by farmland	1,413	1
1-4 family residential properties	1,514	11
Nonfarm nonresidential properties	8,090	9
Commercial	1,102	13
Consumer	571	5
	\$ 92,825	108

At March 31, 2009, the Company s Other Real Estate Owned consisted of 79 properties totaling \$36.7 million, compared to 54 properties totaling \$29.5 million as of December 31, 2008. The increase in OREO during the first three months of 2009 was the result of the foreclosure of 27 construction loan properties, 3 real estate mortgage loans, and one SBA real estate loan. Six properties were sold during the first three months of 2009.

At March 31, 2009, December 31, 2008 and March 31, 2008, the Company s OREO consisted of the following:

	March 31	Dece	mber 31	March 31
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	2009	2	2008	2008
Construction and land development	\$ 33,555	\$	28,111	\$ 11,116
1-4 family residential properties	1,429		260	1,500
Commercial properties	1,672		1,178	1,435
Total other real estate owned	\$ 36,656	\$	29,549	\$ 14,051

The following is a summary of OREO activity for the first three months of 2009:

(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 29,549
Transfers into OREO	9,930
Sales of OREO	(2,781)
Loss on sale of OREO	(39)
Capitalized improvements	44
Other	(47)
Balance at March 31, 2009	\$ 36,656

Our OREO procedures currently determine disposition value, the valuation used to place the property into OREO, based primarily upon the most recent appraisal of the property that we have at the time, less estimated costs to sell the property. Any difference between the disposition value and the loan balance is recommended for charge off. Once the property is in OREO, the property is listed with a realtor to begin sales efforts. Listing prices are also considered when determining the fair value of OREO.

Management continually monitors the loan portfolio to ensure that all loans potentially having a material adverse impact on future operating results, liquidity or capital resources have been classified as non-performing. Should economic conditions deteriorate, the inability of distressed customers to service their existing debt could cause higher levels of non-performing loans.

It is our general policy to stop accruing interest income and place the recognition of interest on a cash basis when a loan is placed on non-accrual status and any interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed against current income. Generally, a loan is placed on non-accrual status when it is over 90 days past due and there is reasonable doubt that all principal will be collected.

In addition to loans formally classified as non-accrual or non-performing, management maintains a list of monitored loans which may eventually become so classified. Individual loan officers are responsible for assessing business and credit risk for each loan in their respective portfolios. The monitored loan list exists as a vehicle for measuring and monitoring identified assets with heightened risk characteristics. Heightened risk characteristics would include a history of poor payment performance, poor financial performance, as well as the potential for adverse earnings impact from deteriorating collateral values. Management meets monthly to re-assess the ongoing status of credits on this list. As of March 31, 2009, there were loans totaling \$95.6 million on the monitored loan list, \$92.8 million on nonaccrual status and \$18.0 million that were past due 90 days or more and still accruing. As of December 31, 2008, there were loans totaling \$102.5 million on the monitored loan list, \$68.2 million on nonaccrual status, and \$16.2 million that were past due 90 days or more and still accruing.

Deposits

Deposits provide the primary funding source for our loan portfolio and other earning assets. As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, brokered deposits, including CDARs balances, totaled \$201.2 million and \$277.7 million, respectively. CDARs balances were \$63.3 million at March 31, 2009, compared to \$90.5 million as of December 31, 2008. Total deposits increased \$91.4 million, or 11.9%, from December 31, 2008. This increase was attributable to a successful deposit campaign and management s efforts to increase liquidity.

The maturity distribution of time deposits of \$100,000 or more as of March 31, 2009 was as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	
Three months or less	\$ 40,727
Over three through six months	67,833
Over six through twelve months	118,705
Over twelve months	41,150
Total	\$ 268,415

Borrowed Funds

Short-term:

We have access to various short-term borrowings, including the purchase of federal funds and borrowing arrangements from other financial institutions. The Company had no federal funds purchased as of March 31, 2009 or March 31, 2008.

Overnight customer sweep agreements totaled \$15.5 million at March 31, 2009 compared to \$21.0 million as of December 31, 2008. These short-term borrowings are collateralized by securities and generally mature within one day from the transaction date. The agreements are reflected at the amount of

Table of Contents

cash received in connection with the transaction. The Company may be required to provide additional collateral based on the fair value of the underlying securities.

As of March 31, 2009, other borrowings included in short-term borrowings totaled \$19.0 million in Federal Home Loan bank advances maturing in the next twelve months, compared to \$24.0 million of FHLB advances as of December 31, 2008.

Long-term:

We had \$6.0 million in long-term FHLB borrowings as of March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008. These 3.49% fixed rate advances mature in July of 2010.

At March 31, 2009, long-term debt also consisted of \$10.0 million in subordinated capital notes. This borrowing has a variable rate and matures on March 31, 2020. Beginning in March 2010, quarterly principal payments of \$250 thousand are required through maturity. For regulatory capital purposes, these notes are included in Tier 2 capital. The December 31, 2008 balance of long-term subordinated capital notes was also \$10.0 million.

Junior Subordinated Debt

Buckhead Community Bancorp Statutory Trust I

In August, 2004 we formed a wholly-owned grantor trust to issue cumulative trust preferred securities. The grantor trust has invested the proceeds of the trust preferred securities in junior subordinated debentures of the Company. The junior subordinated debentures can be redeemed prior to maturity at the option of the Company on or after August 11, 2009. The sole assets of the guarantor trust are the junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures of the Company (the first series of debentures) held by the grantor trust. The first series of debentures have the same interest rate (three month LIBOR plus 2.90%, floating) as the trust preferred securities. We have the right to defer interest payments on the first series of debentures at any time or from time to time for a period not exceeding 20 consecutive quarters provided that no extension period may extend beyond the stated maturity of the related first series of debentures. During any such extension period, distributions on the trust preferred certificates would also be deferred.

Payment of periodic cash distributions and payment upon liquidation or redemption with respect to the trust preferred securities are guaranteed by the Company to the extent of funds held by the grantor trust (the Preferred Securities Guarantee I). The Preferred Securities Guarantee I, when taken together with the Company s other obligations under the first series of debentures, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee, on a subordinated basis, by the Company of payments due on the trust preferred securities.

The trust preferred securities and the related first series of debentures were issued on August 11, 2004. Distributions on the trust preferred securities are paid quarterly on March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31 of each year, beginning September 30, 2004. Interest on the first series of debentures is paid on the corresponding dates. The aggregate principal amount of the first series of debentures outstanding at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$5,155,000. Certain issue costs have been deferred and recorded in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The issue costs are being amortized over the life of the first series of debentures, and the outstanding balance of the unamortized issue costs at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was approximately \$84 and \$85 thousand, respectively.

25

Buckhead Community Bancorp Statutory Trust II

In May 2006, we formed a second wholly-owned grantor trust to issue cumulative trust preferred securities. The grantor trust has invested the proceeds of the trust preferred securities in junior subordinated debentures of the Company. The junior subordinated debentures can be redeemed prior to maturity at the option of the Company on or after July 7, 2011. The sole assets of the guarantor trust are the junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures of the Company (the second series of debentures) held by the grantor trust. The second series of debentures have the same interest rate (LIBOR plus 1.55%, floating) as these trust preferred securities. We have the right to defer interest payments on the second series of debentures at any time or from time to time for a period not exceeding 20 consecutive quarters provided that no extension period may extend beyond the stated maturity of the related second series of debentures. During any such extension period, distributions on the trust preferred certificates would also be deferred.

Payment of periodic cash distributions and payment upon liquidation or redemption with respect to the trust preferred securities are guaranteed by the Company to the extent of funds held by the grantor trust (the Preferred Securities Guarantee II). The Preferred Securities Guarantee II, when taken together with our other obligations under the second series of debentures, constitutes a full and unconditional guarantee, on a subordinated basis, by the Company of payments due on the trust preferred securities.

The trust preferred securities and the related second series of debentures were issued on May 24, 2006. Distributions on the trust preferred securities are paid quarterly on April 7, July 7, October 7 and January 7 of each year, beginning July 7, 2006. Interest on the second series of debentures is paid on the corresponding dates. The aggregate principal amount of the second series of debentures outstanding at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$10,310,000. There were no issue costs associated with the issuance of the second series of debentures.

Interest Payment Deferral

On March 6, 2009, the Company began exercising its right to defer quarterly interest payments for each of the debentures. For the first series of debentures, we have provided notice of our deferral of interest payments from March 31, 2009 to December 31, 2009, and for the second series of debentures, we have provided notice of our deferral of interest payments from April 7, 2009 to January 7, 2010. During this period of deferral, the Company is precluded from repurchasing shares of common stock and from paying dividends on outstanding common stock. We will continue to accrue for the interest payable during the deferral period in our statement of operations.

Income Statement Review

We reported a net loss of \$6.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, a decrease in earnings of \$5.7 million compared to the same period of the prior year. Basic and diluted loss per share amounted to \$(1.04) respectively, compared to (\$0.15) basic and diluted loss per share for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Increased costs to carry nonperforming assets, including provisions to associated valuation allowances and accrued interest reversals continued to have a negative impact on earnings for the first quarter of 2009. Margin compression also contributed to the increased loss.

Net Interest Income/Margin

Net interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was \$2.0 million, a decrease of \$3.5 million or 63.8% from the same period of 2008. The decrease in net interest income was driven by interest reversals on non-performing loans and declining interest rates.

26

Table of Contents

Average loans for the first quarter of 2009 were \$716.7 million, compared to \$684.0 million for the same period in 2008. The average yield on loans decreased from 7.45% for the three months ended March 31, 2008 to 4.62% for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Interest reversals related to non-performing loans contributed to the decline, along with interest rate cuts from the Federal Reserve. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, average securities available for sale were \$85.9 million compared to \$108.7 million for the same period of 2008.

The average cost of funds decreased 125 basis points to 3.40% for the first quarter of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008, primarily due to decreases in the interest rates paid on certificates of deposits. Average time deposits for the first quarter of 2009 were \$652.0 million, compared to \$577.6 million for the same period of 2008, an increase of 12.9%. The average rate paid on time deposits decreased from 5.08% for the three months ended March 31, 2008 to 3.73% for the same period of 2009. While the Federal Reserve continued to reduce the federal funds target rate during 2008, average rates paid on these deposits typically lag these rate reductions due to the longer terms of the accounts.

The banking industry uses two ratios to measure relative profitability of net interest income. The net interest rate spread measures the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The interest rate spread eliminates the impact of non-interest-bearing deposits and gives a direct perspective on the effect of market interest rate movements. The net interest margin is defined as net interest income as a percent of average total interest-earning assets and takes into account the positive impact of investing non interest-bearing deposits.

The Company s net interest margin continues to experience compression compared to the prior year. As a loan is identified as non-performing, it may result in the reversal of previously accrued interest income on that loan. Due to the current economic environment, we are experiencing a significant level of loans classified as non-performing, resulting in considerable reversals of previously recognized interest income. In addition, from an asset/liability management perspective, we are in an asset sensitive position, meaning our earning assets reprice more immediately with a rate change than the cost of our funding and deposits. In a declining rate environment, asset sensitivity has a negative impact on net interest margin and earnings. Therefore, in the declining rate environment of the past year, we have experienced a significant decline in earnings as our earning assets reprice immediately downward with each rate change, while our funding costs adjust over a longer period of time. Management has implemented measures to combat the compression associated with interest rate declines, such as interest rate floors on loans, no changes to lending rates despite reductions in the Prime rate, and the continued collection of fees on renewing loans.

For the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the net interest spread was 1.01% and 2.28%, respectively, while the net interest margin was 0.98% and 2.69%, respectively. As noted above, a couple of primary factors contributed to the net interest spread and net interest margin compression from a year ago. Additionally, competition for deposits has increased and we have had to offer relatively higher rates to retain deposits and to attract new core deposits for liquidity purposes.

27

The following tables show the relationship between interest income and expense and the average balances of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 March 31, 2008					
	Average Income/ Yield/			Average Income/		Yield/
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	Balance	Expense	Rate	Balance	Expense	Rate
ASSETS:						
Federal funds sold	\$ 29,373	\$ 10	0.14%	\$ 33,277	\$ 261	3.15%
Interest bearing deposits	895	3	1.36%	2,174	23	4.26%
Investment securities available for sale						
Taxable	80,618	810	4.02%	84,917	1,078	5.02%
Nontaxable ¹	5,245	57	4.35%	23,785	235	6.01%
Loans	716,655	8,172	4.62%	683,958	12,673	7.45%
Total interest earning assets	832,786	9,052	4.41%	828,111	14,270	6.93%
All other assets	100,826			76,621		
Total assets	\$ 933,612			\$ 904,732		
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:						
Interest bearing demand deposits and savings	\$ 117,722	583	2.01%	\$ 133,155	952	2.88%
Time	651,978	5,994	3.73%	577,586	7,294	5.08%
Junior subordinated debt	15,465	135	3.54%	15,465	250	6.50%
Short-term borrowings	38,537	184	1.94%	24,748	207	3.36%
Long-term borrowings	16,000	150	3.80%	3,946	28	2.85%
Total interest bearing liabilities	839,702	7,046	3.40%	754,900	8,731	4.65%
Noninterest-bearing deposits	38,709			45.002		
Other liabilities	3,580			13,146		
Shareholders equity	51,621			91,684		
Shareholders equity	31,021			71,004		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 933,612			\$ 904,732		
N. C. C. L.			1.016			2.200
Net interest spread			1.01% 0.98%			2.28% 2.69%
Net interest margin on average earning assets			0.98%			2.09%
Net interest income		\$ 2,006			\$ 5,539	

Nonaccrual loans and the interest income which was recorded on these loans, if any, are included in the yield calculation for loans in all periods reported. Loan fees totaled \$671.9 thousand and \$628.7 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and are included with interest income on loans.

Table of Contents 34

28

¹ Yield is on a tax-equivalent basis for March 31, 2008

Other Income and Other Expense

For the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, total noninterest income totaled \$1.0 million and \$215 thousand, respectively. There was an \$829 thousand increase in gains on sales of securities available for sale compared to the first quarter of 2008.

Total noninterest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2009 decreased \$683 thousand, or 13.1% from 2008. The primary component of total noninterest expense was salary and employee benefits, which totaled \$1.9 million and \$2.9 million for the first quarter of 2009 and 2008, respectively. The \$1.0 million, or 33.7% decrease in salary and employee benefits primarily resulted from a reduction in force of approximately 30% of headcount during the third quarter of 2008. We had 91 full time equivalent employees at March 31, 2009, compared to 120 full time equivalent employees at March 31, 2008. Other operating expenses increased \$136 thousand, or 12.3% from the three months ended March 31, 2008, primarily due to a \$407 thousand increase in provision for OREO losses and OREO expenses. The OREO-related increase was partially offset by decreases in other categories, such as advertising and marketing, and other expenses, as management continued its efforts to reduce discretionary expenses.

The following table shows the components of noninterest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

	Three	Three months	
	ended N	ended March 31	
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	2009	2008	
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 1,921	\$ 2,899	
Occupancy expenses	592	583	
Advertising and marketing	93	156	
Legal and other professional services	388	228	
FDIC premiums	167	168	
Provision for OREO losses	301	248	
OREO expenses	490	136	
Amortization of intangibles		71	
Data processing and technology	362	343	
Other expenses	222	387	
Total noninterest expense	\$ 4,536	\$ 5,219	

Income Taxes

We had no tax benefit for the first quarter of 2009, compared to an income tax benefit of \$668 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Effective tax rates for the periods were 0% and (42.1)%, respectively.

Liquidity

We must maintain, on a daily basis, sufficient funds to cover the withdrawals from depositors accounts and to supply new borrowers with funds. To meet these obligations, we keep cash on hand, maintain account balances with our correspondent banks, and purchase and sell federal funds and other short-term investments. Asset and liability maturities are monitored in an attempt to match these to meet liquidity needs. We seek to monitor our liquidity to meet regulatory requirements and local funding requirements. Management is constantly monitoring our liquidity in an effort to improve our position; and maintaining an adequate level of liquidity continues to be a top priority of management.

Our primary sources of liquidity are our deposits, the scheduled repayments on our loans, and interest and maturities of our investments. All securities have been classified as available for sale, which means they are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of other comprehensive income. If necessary, we have the ability to sell a portion of our unpledged investment securities to manage interest sensitivity gap or liquidity. Our pledged securities totaled \$60.8 million at March 31, 2009 compared to \$57.2 million at December 31, 2008. Cash and due from banks and federal funds sold may also be utilized to meet liquidity needs. During the first quarter of 2009, we increased our core deposits by approximately 34% from December 31, 2008 and invested the funds in short-term, liquid investments and cash. Our liquidity position has substantially improved since December 31, 2008, and is in compliance with our policy guidelines.

At March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had arrangements with a correspondent and commercial banks for short-term unsecured advances up to \$18.5 million and \$33.5 million, respectively. At March 31, 2009, none of these available facilities were being utilized. Subsequent to March 31, 2009, we will be required to collateralize any advances under these facilities. As our access to unsecured, short-term advances has diminished, we will need to continue to rely on non-brokered deposit generation for liquidity. As mentioned above, our deposit campaigns in 2009 have been successful to date.

Our cash flows are composed of three classifications: cash flows from operating activities, cash flows from investing activities, and cash flows from financing activities. Cash and due from banks increased \$18.5 million from December 31, 2008, to a total of \$23.6 million at March 31, 2009. Cash used in operating activities totaled \$705 thousand for the first quarter of 2009. Cash used in investing activities totaled \$51.7 million for the first quarter of 2009, primarily due to an increase in federal funds sold. Cash provided by financing activities totaled \$71.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, primarily due to proceeds from increased deposits.

Capital Resources

We are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by our respective federal banking regulators. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, both the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company s and the Bank s respective capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weighting, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulations to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier I capital to average assets, as such terms are defined in the regulations.

As of March 31, 2009, the most recent regulatory notification categorized the Bank as adequately capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. Our failure to meet capital guidelines for well capitalized status could subject us to a variety of enforcement remedies from our regulators. As a result of our current adequately capitalized status, we are prohibited from accepting or renewing additional brokered deposits without the prior formal waiver of this prohibition by our bank regulators. Our Board of Directors and management are currently engaged in strategic initiatives to restore the well-capitalized status of the Bank and Company.

30

The minimum capital requirements and the actual capital ratios on a consolidated and bank-only basis are as follows:

	Tier 1 Leverage	Tier 1 Risk-based	Total Risk-based
Minimum required	4.00%	4.00%	8.00%
Minimum required to be well capitalized	5.00%	6.00%	10.00%
Actual ratios at March 31, 2009			
The Buckhead Community Bank	4.69%	5.71%	8.27%
Consolidated	4.85%	5.79%	8.35%

The terms of our informal MOU, among other things, direct us to increase our capital ratios to levels at or above the relevant thresholds for a well capitalized institution, and to achieve a leverage ratio several percent higher than required of a well capitalized institution. Specifically, the MOU provides for a Tier I Leverage Ratio of 8%, a Tier 1 Risk Based Ratio of 6% and Total Risk Based Capital Ratio of 10%. We have not reached these elevated capital levels since coming under the MOU. As mentioned above, our Board of Directors and management are currently engaged in strategic initiatives to improve our capital position.

In light of the requirement to improve the capital ratios of the Bank and to reestablish well capitalized status, management is pursuing a number of strategic alternatives. Current market conditions for banking institutions, the overall uncertainty in financial markets and the Company s high level of non-performing assets are potential barriers to the success of these strategies. Failure to adequately address the regulatory concerns may result in actions by the banking regulators including, but not limited to, entry into a formal written agreement. Ongoing failure to adequately address regulatory concerns could ultimately result in the eventual appointment of a receiver or conservator of the Bank s assets. If current adverse market factors continue for a prolonged period of time, new adverse market factors emerge, and/or the Company is unable to successfully execute its plans or adequately address regulatory concerns in a sufficiently timely manner, it could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations and financial position.

Off-Balance-Sheet Items

Our financial statements do not reflect various commitments and contingent liabilities that arise in the normal course of business. These off-balance-sheet financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These financial instruments are included in the financial statements when funds are distributed or the instruments become payable. We use the same credit policies in making commitments as we do for on-balance sheet instruments. Our exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instruments for commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and credit card commitments is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments.

31

The table below contains a summary of our commitments as of March 31, 2009, December 31, 2008 and March 31, 2008:

(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	March 31 2009	ember 31 2008	March 31 2008
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 59,450	\$ 75,849	\$ 136,620
Standby letters of credit	6,143	8,178	13,633
	\$ 65,593	\$ 84,027	\$ 150,253

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Interest Rate Sensitivity Management

The absolute level and volatility of interest rates can have a significant impact on the Company s profitability. The objective of interest rate risk management is to identify and manage the sensitivity of net interest income to changing interest rates, in order to achieve the Company s overall financial goals. Based on economic conditions, asset quality and various other considerations, management establishes tolerance ranges for interest rate sensitivity and manages within these ranges.

The Company s net interest income and the fair value of its financial instruments are influenced by changes in the level of interest rates. We manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates through policies established by the asset/liability committee of the board of directors. The asset/liability committee meets periodically and has responsibility for approving asset/liability management policies, formulating and implementing strategies to improve balance sheet positioning and/or earnings and reviewing the Company s interest rate sensitivity.

One of the tools management utilizes to estimate the sensitivity of net interest revenue to changes in interest rates is an interest rate simulation model. Such estimates are based upon a number of assumptions for each scenario, including the level of balance sheet growth, deposit repricing characteristics and the rate of prepayments. The simulation model measures the potential change in net interest revenue over a twelve-month period under six interest rate scenarios. The first scenario assumes rates remain flat over the next twelve months and is the scenario that all others are compared to in order to measure the change in net interest income. The second scenario is a most likely scenario that projects the most likely change in rates over the next twelve months based on the slope of the yield curve. The Company models ramp scenarios that assume gradual increases and decreases of 300 basis points each over the next twelve months. At March 31, 2009, our simulation model indicated that a 300 basis point increase in rates over the next twelve months would cause an approximate 18.31% increase in net interest income and a 300 basis point decrease in rates over the next twelve months would cause an approximate 17.91% decrease in net interest income. At December 31, 2008, our simulation model indicated that a 300 basis point increase in rates over the next twelve months would cause an approximate 19.23% increase in net interest income and a 300 basis point decrease in rates over the next twelve months would cause an approximate 19.23% increase in net interest income and a 300 basis point decrease in rates over the next twelve months would cause an approximate 13.47% decrease in net interest income.

Interest rate sensitivity is a function of the repricing characteristics of the portfolio of assets and liabilities. These repricing characteristics are the time frames within which the interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities are subject to change in interest rates either at replacement, repricing or maturity during the life of the instruments. Interest rate sensitivity management focuses on the maturity structure of assets and liabilities and their repricing characteristics during periods of changes in market interest rates. Effective interest rate sensitivity management seeks to ensure that both assets and liabilities respond to changes in interest rates within an acceptable timeframe, thereby minimizing the impact of interest rate changes on net interest revenue. Interest rate sensitivity is measured as the difference between

the volumes of assets and liabilities in the Company s current portfolio that are subject to repricing at various time horizons: immediate; one to three months; four to twelve months; one to five years; over five years, and on a cumulative basis. The differences are known as interest sensitivity gaps.

		Interest Sensitivity by Month As of March 31, 2009				
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	Immediate	1 to 3	4 to 12	13 to 60	Over 60	Total
Interest-earning assets:						
Federal funds sold	\$ 75,086					75,086
Interest Bearing due from accounts	313					313
Investment securities		16,375	26,897	45,278	26,981	115,531
Loans		572,931	68,785	43,150	11,726	696,592
Total earning assets	75,399	589,306	95,682	88,428	38,707	887,522
<i>8</i>	,	,	,	,	,	,-
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Non-interest bearing deposits		1,299	3,894	10,380	25,880	41,453
Interest bearing deposits (NOW)	42,387	,	2,22	- ,,	- ,	42,387
Savings deposits (includes MMkt)	80,590					80,590
Time deposits	,	143,268	428,068	122,456	99	693,891
Short-term borrowings	24,549	,	10,000	,		34,549
Long-term debt		10,000		6,000		16,000
Junior subordinated debt		15,465				15,465
Total interest-bearing liabilities	147,526	170,032	441,962	138,836	25,979	924,335
	217,020	,	,		,_,	, _ 1,000
Interest sensitivity gap	(72,127)	419,274	(346,280)	(50,408)	12,728	
	¢ (70 107)	247 147	0.67	(40.541)	(2(012)	
Cumulative interest-sensitivity gap	\$ (72,127)	347,147	867	(49,541)	(36,813)	
Ratio of cumulative interest-sensitivity gap to total earning assets	-8.13%	39.11%	0.10%	-5.58%	-4.15%	

As demonstrated in the preceding table, 82.2% of interest-bearing liabilities will reprice within twelve months compared with 85.7% of interest-earning assets, however such changes may not be proportionate with changes in market rates within each balance sheet category. In addition, the Company may have some discretion in the extent and timing of deposit repricing depending upon the competitive pressures in the markets in which it operates. Changes in the mix of earning assets or supporting liabilities can either increase or decrease the net interest margin without affecting interest rate sensitivity. The interest rate spread between an asset and its supporting liability can vary significantly even when the timing of repricing for both the asset and the liability remains the same, due to the two instruments repricing according to different indices.

Varying interest rate environments can create unexpected changes in prepayment levels of assets and liabilities that are not reflected in the interest rate sensitivity gap analysis. These prepayments may have significant impact on the net interest margin. Because of these limitations, an interest sensitivity gap analysis alone generally does not provide an accurate assessment of exposure to changes in interest rates.

Table of Contents

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The effect of relative purchasing power over time due to inflation has not been taken into effect in our financial statements. Rather, the statements have been prepared on a historical cost basis in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States.

Since most of the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature, the effect of changes in interest rates will have a more significant impact on our performance than will the effect of changing prices and inflation in general. Interest rates may generally increase as the rate of inflation increases, although not necessarily in the same magnitude.

The table below summarizes the return on average assets, return on average equity, and average equity to average assets for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

	Three mo	nths
	ended	i
	March	31
	2009	2008
Return on average assets	-2.86%	-0.41%
Return on average equity	-51.64%	-4.03%
Average equity to average assets	5,53%	10.13%

Table of Contents

ITEM 4T. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (Disclosure Controls). Disclosure Controls, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), are procedures that are designed with the objective of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this Quarterly Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms. Disclosure Controls are also designed with the objective of ensuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our Disclosure Controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Based upon their controls evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that our Disclosure Controls are effective at a reasonable assurance level

There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during our first fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

35

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to claims and suits arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of these pending claims and legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described above and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The Company made no repurchases of its common stock during the quarter ended March 31, 2009.

ITEM 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

ITEM 5. Other Information

None.

ITEM 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No. 31.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
Exhibit No. 31.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
Exhibit No. 32.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
Exhibit No. 32.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc.

(Registrant)

DATE: May 15, 2009 BY: /s/ Marvin Cosgray

Marvin Cosgray

President and Chief Executive Officer

DATE: May 15, 2009 BY: /s/ Dawn Kinard

Dawn Kinard

Chief Financial Officer

37