

C H ROBINSON WORLDWIDE INC
Form DEF 14A
March 24, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant ☒

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant ☐

Check the appropriate box:

☐ Preliminary Proxy Statement

☐ Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

☒ Definitive Proxy Statement

☐ Definitive Additional Materials

☐ Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-12

C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

☒ No fee required

☐ Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

☐ Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Edgar Filing: C H ROBINSON WORLDWIDE INC - Form DEF 14A

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

14701 Charlson Road

Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55347

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

May 12, 2011

TO OUR SHAREHOLDERS:

C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.'s Annual Shareholders' Meeting will be held on Thursday, May 12, 2011, at 1:00 p.m., Central Daylight Time. The meeting will be conducted at our office located at 14800 Charlson Road, Eden Prairie, Minnesota. The purposes of the meeting are:

1. To elect three directors to serve for three-year terms;
2. To hold an advisory vote on the compensation of named executive officers;
3. To hold an advisory vote on the frequency of advisory vote on the compensation of named executive officers;
4. To ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011;
5. To conduct any other business, including a shareholder proposal, that properly comes before the meeting.

Our Board of Directors has selected March 15, 2011, as our record date. Shareholders who own shares of our Common Stock on the record date are entitled to be notified of, and to vote at, our Annual Meeting.

We use the internet to distribute proxy materials to our shareholders. We believe it is an efficient and cost-effective way to provide the material, and it reduces the environmental impact of our annual meeting. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting, the Proxy Statement, and the Annual Report are available at www.proxyvote.com.

By April 1, 2011, we will have completed mailing to our shareholders the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. The notice has instructions on how to access our 2011 Proxy Statement and Annual Report and vote online. Shareholders who have requested hard copies will receive the Proxy Statement and Annual Report by mail.

Your vote is important. Please vote as soon as possible by using the internet or by telephone. If you receive a paper copy of the proxy card by mail, please sign and return the enclosed proxy card.

Edgar Filing: C H ROBINSON WORLDWIDE INC - Form DEF 14A

By Order of the Board of Directors
Ben G. Campbell

Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary

April 1, 2011

C.H. ROBINSON WORLDWIDE, INC.

14701 Charlson Road

Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55347

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR THE

2011 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

May 12, 2011

This Proxy Statement is soliciting your proxy for use at the 2011 C.H. Robinson Annual Meeting of Shareholders. A proxy enables you to vote your shares of Common Stock. Our Annual Meeting will be held at 1:00 p.m. Central Daylight Time on Thursday, May 12, 2011, at our office located at 14800 Charlson Road in Eden Prairie, Minnesota. The proxy can also be used at any adjournment of the Annual Meeting. If you need special assistance at the Annual Meeting because of a disability, you may contact Ben G. Campbell, our Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary, by telephone at (952) 937-7829, by e-mail at ben.campbell@chrobinson.com, or by writing to him at 14701 Charlson Road, Eden Prairie, MN 55347.

This proxy is requested by the Board of Directors of C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc. (the company, we, us, C.H. Robinson) for the following purposes:

1. To elect three directors to serve for three-year terms;
2. To hold an advisory vote on the compensation of named executive officers;
3. To hold an advisory vote on the frequency of advisory vote on the compensation of named executive officers;
4. To ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011; and
5. To conduct any other business, including a shareholder proposal, that properly comes before the meeting.

We provide our shareholders with the choice of accessing the 2011 Annual Meeting proxy materials over the internet. A Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials is being mailed to all of our shareholders, except those who have previously provided instructions to receive paper copies of our proxy materials. The notice contains instructions on how to access and review our proxy materials on the internet and how to vote your shares over the internet. The notice will also tell you how to request our proxy materials in printed form or by e-mail, at no charge, if that is your preference. The notice contains a 12-digit control number that you will need to vote your shares. Please keep the notice for your reference until after our Annual Meeting.

We will have completed mailing the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to our shareholders April 1, 2011.

General Information

Who is entitled to vote?

Holders of record of C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc. Common Stock, par value \$0.10 per share, at the close of business on March 15, 2011, are entitled to vote at our Annual Meeting. March 15, 2011 is referred to as the record date. As of the record date, 162,974,173 shares of Common Stock were outstanding. Each share is entitled to one vote. There is no cumulative voting.

Shares are counted as present at the Annual Meeting if either the shareholder is present and votes in person at the Annual Meeting, or has properly submitted a proxy by mail, by telephone or by internet. In order to achieve a quorum and conduct business at the Annual Meeting, a majority of our issued and outstanding Common Stock as of March 15, 2011 must be present and entitled to vote. If a quorum is not represented at the Annual Meeting, the shareholders and proxies entitled to vote will have the power to adjourn the Annual Meeting until a quorum is represented.

How can I vote?

If you submit your vote before the Annual Meeting using any of the following methods, your shares of Stock will be voted as you have instructed:

By Internet: You can vote your shares by the internet, at www.proxyvote.com. You may access this website 24 hours a day, and voting is available through 11:59 pm Eastern Daylight Time on Wednesday, May 11, 2011. You will need the 12-digit control number that was included in the notice of proxy materials that was mailed to you. The internet voting website has easy to follow instructions and allows you to confirm that the system has properly recorded your votes. If you hold shares in street name, please follow the internet voting instruction in the notice you received from your bank, broker, trustee, or other record holder.

By Telephone: You can vote your shares by telephone. In order to vote your shares by telephone, please go to www.proxyvote.com and log in using the 12-digit control number provided on your notice of proxy materials. At that site, you will be provided with a telephone number for voting. Alternatively, if you request paper copies of the proxy materials, your proxy card, or voting instruction form will have a toll-free telephone number that you may use to vote your shares. Telephone voting is available through 11:59 pm Eastern Daylight Time on Wednesday, May 11, 2011. When you vote by telephone, you will be required to enter your 12-digit control number, so please have it available when you call. As with internet voting, you will be able to confirm that the system has properly recorded your votes.

By Mail: If you choose to receive paper copies of the proxy materials by mail and you are a holder of record, you can vote by marking, dating, and signing your proxy card and returning it by mail in the postage-paid envelope provided to you. If you choose to receive paper copies of the proxy materials by mail, and you hold your shares in street name, you can vote by completing and mailing the voting instruction form provided by your bank, broker, trustee, or holder of record.

Your vote is important, and we encourage you to vote promptly. Internet and telephone voting are available through 11:59 pm Eastern Daylight Time on Wednesday, May 11, 2011, for all shares entitled to vote. If you are a beneficial shareholder (you hold your shares through a nominee, such as a broker), your nominee can advise you whether you will be able to submit voting instructions by telephone or via the internet. Submitting your proxy will not affect your right to vote in person, if you decide to attend the Annual Meeting.

What happens if I return my proxy without voting instructions, or withhold or abstain on a proposal?

If you do not return voting instructions with your proxy, your proxy will be voted:

FOR the election of the nominees for director named in this Proxy Statement;

FOR approval of the compensation of named executive officers;

FOR the recommendation that an advisory vote on the compensation of named executive officers be conducted on an annual basis;

FOR the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP, the member firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, and their respective affiliates (collectively, Deloitte & Touche) as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011; and

AGAINST the shareholder proposal on declassification of the Board of Directors.

Generally, a shareholder who does not vote in person or by proxy on a proposal (including a broker non-vote) is not deemed to be present for the purpose of determining whether a nominee is elected or a proposal has been approved. Brokers cannot vote on their customers' behalf on non-routine proposals without receiving voting instructions from a customer.

What is the effect of an abstention or broker non-vote on each proposal?

With regard to the election of directors, the ratification of Deloitte & Touche and the shareholder proposal:

If you abstain from voting on a nominee or a proposal, your shares will be considered present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of determining a quorum and for purposes of calculating the shares present and entitled to vote on these proposals and, accordingly, will have the effect of a vote against the nominee or proposal.

If you do not vote (including a broker non-vote) on a nominee or a proposal, your shares will not be deemed present for the purposes of determining whether a nominee is elected or a proposal is approved.

With regard to the advisory proposals on the compensation of our named executive officers and the frequency for conducting the vote on the compensation of our named executive officers:

If you abstain or do not vote (including a broker non-vote) on one of these proposals, your vote or failure to vote will not have any impact on the outcome of these proposals.

What is the required vote on each matter?

Pursuant to our bylaws, each of the proposals in this Proxy Statement (other than the advisory votes on the compensation of our named executive officers and on the frequency of future advisory votes on the compensation of our named executive officers) requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock present in person or by proxy at the meetings and entitled to vote, provided that a quorum is present at the meeting. Regarding the advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers, we will consider shareholders to have approved this proposal if the number of votes cast FOR the proposal exceed the number of votes cast AGAINST the proposal. Regarding the advisory vote on the frequency of future advisory votes on the compensation of our named executive officers, we will treat the option selected by a plurality (that is, the most frequently selected) of the total votes cast by holders of shares present in person or by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote as the option selected by the shareholders. *How do I revoke my proxy?*

You may revoke your proxy and change your vote at any time before the voting closes at the Annual Meeting. You may do this by submitting a properly executed proxy with a later date, or by delivering a written revocation, to the Secretary's attention at the company's address listed above, or in person at the Annual Meeting.

Shareholder Proposals and Other Matters

In November, 2010, we received written notice of a shareholder proposal, and that shareholder proposal is described in detail within this Proxy Statement. As of the date of this Proxy Statement, except for the shareholder proposal and the other matters described in this Proxy Statement, neither the company nor the Board of Directors knows of any other business that will be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting. If any other matters are properly brought before the Annual Meeting, the persons named in the proxy card will have discretionary authority to vote on such matters and will vote according to their best judgment.

PROPOSAL ONE: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The C.H. Robinson Board of Directors is divided into three classes. Shareholders elect the members of each class to serve three-year terms with the term of office of each class ending in successive years. Robert Ezrilov, Wayne M. Fortun, and Brian P. Short are directors in the class whose term expires at the 2011 Annual Meeting. On the recommendation of our Governance Committee, the Board of Directors has nominated Messrs Ezrilov, Fortun, and Short for election to the Board of Directors at the Annual Meeting for terms of three years. Each has indicated a willingness to serve.

The other directors will continue in office for their existing terms. David W. MacLennan, John P. Wiehoff and James B. Stake serve in the class of Directors whose term expires in 2012. ReBecca Koenig Roloff and Michael W. Wickham serve in the class whose term expires in 2013. Mr. Polacek, an independent director whose term would have expired in 2013, resigned from the Board effective January 1, 2011.

John P. Wiehoff and Ben G. Campbell will vote the proxies received by them for the election of Messrs Ezrilov, Fortun, and Short, unless otherwise directed. If any nominee becomes unavailable for election at the Annual Meeting, John P. Wiehoff and Ben G. Campbell may vote for a substitute nominee at their discretion as recommended by the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors has determined that all of the directors, except for John P. Wiehoff, are independent under the current standards for independence established by the NASDAQ Global Market, on which C.H. Robinson's stock is listed. In connection with its evaluation of director independence, the Board of Directors considered two relationships of Mr. Short. Together with a number of his family members, Mr. Short holds a controlling interest in Admiral Merchants Motor Freight, Inc. (AMMF), which purchases services from our subsidiary, T-Chek Systems, Inc. In 2010, AMMF also provided services to C.H. Robinson as a contracted motor carrier. In addition, we receive legal services from Dorsey & Whitney LLP, of which Marianne D. Short, the sister of Mr. Short, is the managing partner. The Board considered these relationships and their significance in determining that Mr. Short is independent. Information concerning the incumbent directors is below.

Director Biographies and Qualifications

Robert Ezrilov

(Nominee with term proposed to expire in 2014)

Robert Ezrilov, 66 years old, has been a director of the company since 1995. Currently, Mr. Ezrilov is an employee of Cogel Management Company (an investment management company). From July 1997 to April 2001, he was president of Metacom, Inc. From April 1995 to July 1997, Mr. Ezrilov was self-employed as a business consultant. Prior to that, he was a partner with Arthur Andersen LLP, which he joined in 1966 after obtaining a BSB degree at the University of Minnesota. He also serves on the Board of Directors of Christopher & Banks, Inc. (NYSE: CBK), and as an advisory board member to Holiday Companies.

With 15 years of service on the company's board, Mr. Ezrilov is our longest serving director and has developed a deep knowledge of our business. He also has significant management experience as a former chief executive officer and, by training and through his years of service with Arthur Andersen, LLP, he has extensive accounting experience and insight. Mr. Ezrilov meets the definition of an Audit Committee Financial Expert as established by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Mr. Ezrilov also has public company experience from his service as a director of Christopher & Banks.

Wayne M. Fortun

(Nominee with term

proposed to expire in 2014)

Wayne M. Fortun, 62 years old, has been a director of C.H. Robinson since 2001. He is president and chief executive officer of Hutchinson Technology Inc. (NASDAQ: HTCH), a global technology manufacturer. Mr. Fortun joined Hutchinson Technology Inc. in 1975 and until 1983 he held various positions in engineering, marketing, and operations. In 1983, he was elected director, president, and chief operating officer of Hutchinson

Technology Inc., and in May 1996, he was appointed its chief executive officer. Mr. Fortun also serves on the Board of Directors of G&K Services, Inc. (NYSE: GKSR). Through Mr. Fortun's long tenure with Hutchinson, including as chief executive officer and member of the board, he possesses significant leadership and strategic planning skills. Because of Hutchinson's worldwide footprint, Mr. Fortun has broad international business experience relevant to the company's operations. He also has public company board experience through his membership on the boards of Hutchinson and G&K.

Brian P. Short

(Nominee with term

proposed to expire in 2014)

Brian P. Short, 61 years old, has been a director of the company since 2002. He is chief executive officer of Leamington Co., a holding company with interests in transportation, community banking, agricultural production, and real estate. Leamington operates Admiral Merchants Motor Freight, Inc., St. Paul Flight Center, Inc., First Farmers & Merchants Banks, and Benson Parking Services, Inc. Mr. Short also serves as a legal mediator and previously served as a United States Magistrate. His community service has included service on the Board of Directors of Catholic Charities, St. Joseph's Home for Children, Saint Thomas Academy, University of St. Thomas School of Law, and William Mitchell College of Law. Mr. Short has an undergraduate degree in economics from the University of Notre Dame and is also a graduate of its law school.

Mr. Short has significant executive experience and, in particular, has experience in the trucking industry through his leadership position at Admiral Merchants Motor Freight, a trucking and transportation services company. In addition, with Mr. Short's legal background and experience, he provides extremely helpful insight into the company's enterprise risk management areas. Mr. Short meets the definition of an Audit Committee Financial Expert as established by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

David W. MacLennan

(Director with term

Expiring in 2012)

David W. MacLennan, 51 years old, joined C.H. Robinson as a director in 2010. Mr. MacLennan is senior vice president, chief financial officer, and a member of the Board of Directors of Cargill Incorporated, a privately held company and world leading producer and marketer of food, agricultural, financial, and industrial products and services. He joined Cargill in 1991 and has held various management positions within Cargill, both in the US and abroad, including within the financial and risk management, energy and animal protein businesses. Prior to joining Cargill, Mr. MacLennan worked in the financial services sector in Chicago and for U.S. Bancorp Piper Jaffray in Minneapolis. In addition to the C.H. Robinson and Cargill boards, Mr. MacLennan also serves on the Board of Directors of Admission Possible (a St. Paul-based non-profit organization). He holds a bachelor's degree in English from Amherst College and an M.B.A. in finance from the University of Chicago.

Through his service as Cargill's senior vice president and chief financial officer, Mr. MacLennan has developed significant leadership and strategic planning skills, as well as a demonstrated ability to understand and apply complex accounting principles. Mr. MacLennan's experience as a member of Cargill's board of directors provides him with valuable perspective on the role of a large company board of directors. Mr. MacLennan's foreign leadership positions with Cargill enable him to analyze and evaluate the company's international operations.

James B. Stake

(Director with term

expiring in 2012)

James B. Stake, 58 years old, joined C.H. Robinson as a director in 2009. Mr. Stake retired from 3M Company in 2008, serving most recently as executive vice president of 3M's Enterprise Services. He served in a variety of leadership positions at 3M Company, leading global health care, industrial, and commercial businesses ranging in size from \$100 million to over \$3 billion. During his career he served over 12 years of foreign assignments in Europe and South America. In addition to his career at 3M, Mr. Stake serves as a board member for Otter Tail Corporation (NASDAQ: OTTR), as a board member and chairman of the finance committee for Twin Cities Public Television, as a board member for Ativa Medical Corp., and as an adjunct professor at the University of Minnesota's Carlson School of Management. Mr. Stake holds a Bachelor of Science from Purdue University and a Master of Business Administration from the Wharton School at the University of Pennsylvania.

Throughout his career at 3M, Mr. Stake gained extensive public company senior management experience at a large company that operates worldwide. In particular, Mr. Stake's foreign leadership positions and his position with Enterprise Services, a shared services organization, provide valuable perspective to the company's international operations and its information technology systems. Mr. Stake also has prior public company board experience with Otter Tail. Mr. Stake meets the definition of an Audit Committee Financial Expert as established by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

John P. Wiehoff

(Director with term

expiring in 2012)

John P. Wiehoff, 49 years old, has been chief executive officer of C.H. Robinson since May 2002, president of the company since December 1999, a director since 2001, and became the chairman in January 2007. Previous positions with the company include senior vice president from October 1998, chief financial officer from July 1998 to December 1999, treasurer from August 1997 to June 1998, and corporate controller from 1992 to June 1998. Prior to that, Mr. Wiehoff was employed by Arthur Andersen LLP. Mr. Wiehoff also serves on the Board of Directors of Polaris Industries Inc. (NYSE: PII) and Donaldson Company, Inc., (NYSE: DCI). He holds a Bachelor of Science degree from St. John's University.

Mr. Wiehoff has more than 18 years with the company, including as its chief financial officer and as chief executive officer since 2002. He has deep and direct knowledge of the company's business and operations. He also has significant public company board experience with Polaris and Donaldson.

ReBecca Koenig Roloff

(Director with term

expiring in 2013)

ReBecca Koenig Roloff, 56 years old, has been a director of the company since 2004. She has been the chief executive officer of the Minneapolis YWCA since May 2005. Prior to that, she was a senior vice president at American Express Financial Advisors, where she had been since 1988, serving as an executive in several field management and operations roles. Prior to joining American Express Financial Advisors, Ms. Roloff worked for The Pillsbury Company in a variety of supply chain management, marketing, and business management positions, including serving as vice president and business manager of Green Giant Fresh Vegetables. She started her career at Cargill, Inc. Ms. Roloff holds a Master of Business Administration with distinction from Harvard Business School and a Bachelor of Arts from St. Catherine University in St. Paul, Minnesota. She has chaired several

community Board of Trustees including The Blake School in Hopkins, Minnesota, St. Catherine University, and The Children's Theatre Company. She currently serves on the Board of Directors for Allina Hospitals and Clinics and is president of the Minnesota Women's Economic Roundtable.

Ms. Roloff's leadership positions at The Pillsbury Company, American Express Financial Advisors, and the Minneapolis YWCA provide her with extensive management and strategic planning skills. In addition, her work at The Pillsbury Company has provided her with insight into the produce sourcing business in which we engage.

Michael W. Wickham

(Director with term

expiring in 2013)

Michael W. Wickham, 64 years old, has been a director of the company since 2004. He retired as chairman of the Board of Roadway Corporation in December, 2003, where he was chairman and chief executive officer from 1996 to 2003. Prior to that, he was the president of Roadway Express, where he held a variety of management positions during his 35-year career with the company. Mr. Wickham founded and was chairman of the Board of the Motor Freight Carriers Association. He also founded and chaired American Transportation Research Institute, and is a director of Republic Services (NYSE: RSG) and Douglas Dynamics, Inc. (NYSE: PLOW). He has served on the Board of Directors of Children's Hospital in Akron, Ohio and on its Foundation Board.

Through his leadership roles at Roadway Corporation, Mr. Wickham has management and operations experience in the transportation and logistics industry in which C.H. Robinson operates. His significant and long-standing involvement in transportation-related associations provides him with industry insight. His service as a director of Roadway and of Republic, and as chairman of Roadway, has provided him with significant public company board experience.

BOARD VOTING RECOMMENDATION

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election of Robert Ezrilov, Wayne M. Fortun, and Brian P. Short as directors of C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS GOVERNANCE MATTERS

The Board of Directors has a policy that all directors nominated for election at the Annual Meeting are expected to attend the Annual Meeting, and all other directors are encouraged to attend.

During 2010, the Board of Directors held four meetings. Each director holding office during the year attended at least 75 percent of the aggregate of the meetings of the Board of Directors (held during the period for which he or she had been a director) and the meetings of the Committees of the Board on which he or she served (held during the period for which he or she served).

Our Board of Directors has three committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the Governance Committee. Currently, members and chairs of these committees are:

| Independent Directors | Audit Chair | Compensation Chair | Governance Chair |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Robert Ezrilov | | x | x |
| Wayne Fortun | | | |
| David MacLennan | | | x |
| ReBecca Roloff | x | x | |
| Brian Short | x | | x |
| James Stake | x | x | |
| Michael Wickham | | x | |

Board Leadership Structure

Our Board of Directors is led by John P. Wiehoff, who has been our president since 1999 and our chief executive officer since 2002. Mr. Wiehoff joined the Board of Directors in 2001 and was appointed Chairman of the Board in 2007, upon retirement of our former Chairman of the Board.

As stated in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board believes it is beneficial to have flexibility in allocating the responsibilities of the offices of Chairman and of Chief Executive Officer in the manner the Board determines to be in the best interests of the company. When the Board appointed Mr. Wiehoff as Chairman, the Board considered numerous factors, including the benefits to the decision-making process with a leader who fills both offices, the significant operating experience and qualifications of Mr. Wiehoff, the importance of in-depth C.H. Robinson knowledge to being able to optimize board leadership, the size and complexity of our business, and the significant business experience and tenure of our other directors.

The Board does not have a lead director. However, under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Chair of the Governance Committee is expected to preside at the executive sessions of the independent directors, coordinate and develop the agenda for those executive sessions, act as a liaison between the independent directors and management, and handle responses to stockholder inquiries that are directed to the independent directors. Mr. Wickham is the current Chair of the Governance Committee.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Chairman, in consultation with other Board members, sets the agenda for regular meetings of the Board, and the chair of each committee sets the agendas for the meetings of the applicable committee. Directors and committee members are encouraged to suggest agenda items and may raise other matters at meetings.

We believe that our leadership structure supports the Board's risk oversight function. While Mr. Wiehoff serves as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, strong independent directors with significant tenure on the Board chair the Committees most directly involved in the risk oversight function, there is open communication between management and the Board, and all Directors are involved in the risk oversight function.

Risk Oversight

The Board is actively involved in the oversight of risks that could affect the company. This oversight is conducted primarily through the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee Charter establishes that one of the responsibilities of the Audit Committee is to review the risk management of the company on an annual basis. To assist it in this oversight function, the chief risk officer of the company presents a risk management update at each of the quarterly Audit Committee meetings. In addition, management and the internal audit group conduct an annual risk assessment of the company which includes interviews of various key personnel within the

company and members of the audit committee. The results of the annual risk assessment are presented to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee provides periodic risk assessment updates to the Board and solicits input from the Board regarding the company's risk management practices. In addition, the Compensation Committee periodically reviews enterprise risks to ensure that the company's compensation programs do not encourage excessive risk-taking. Additional review or reports on enterprise risks are conducted as needed by the Board or the Committees.

The Audit Committee

All of our Audit Committee members are independent under applicable NASDAQ listing standards and Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations. Our Board of Directors has determined that three members of the Audit Committee, Messrs Ezrilov, Short, and Stake, meet the definition of an Audit Committee Financial Expert as established by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling their oversight responsibilities relating to the quality and integrity of the financial reports of the company. The Audit Committee has the sole authority to appoint, review and discharge our independent auditors, and has established procedures for the receipt, retention, and response to complaints regarding accounting, internal controls, or audit matters. In addition, among other responsibilities in the Audit Committee Charter, the Audit Committee is responsible for:

- (1) Reviewing the scope, results, timing, and costs of the audit with the company's independent auditors and reviewing the results of the annual audit examination and any accompanying management letters;
- (2) Assessing the independence of the outside auditors on an annual basis, including receipt and review of a written report from the independent auditors regarding their independence consistent with Rule 3526 of the Public Accounting Oversight Board;
- (3) Reviewing and approving in advance the services provided by the independent auditors;
- (4) Overseeing the internal audit function;
- (5) Reviewing the company's significant accounting policies, financial results and earnings releases, and the adequacy of our internal controls and procedures; and
- (6) Reviewing the risk management status of the company.

The Audit Committee held five meetings during 2010. The Audit Committee has engaged Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent auditors for fiscal year 2011 and is recommending that the company's shareholders ratify this appointment at the Annual Meeting. The report of the Audit Committee is found on page 34 of this Proxy Statement.

The Compensation Committee

All of our Compensation Committee members are independent under applicable NASDAQ listing standards, and Internal Revenue Service and Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations. The Compensation Committee assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to executive compensation, employee compensation and benefits programs and plans, and leadership development and succession planning. In addition, among other responsibilities in the Compensation Committee Charter, the Compensation Committee is responsible for:

- (1) Reviewing corporate performance and the performance of the chief executive officer;
- (2) Determining the compensation and benefits for the chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and other named executive officers of the company;

Edgar Filing: C H ROBINSON WORLDWIDE INC - Form DEF 14A

- (3) Reviewing and approving the company's compensation policies and practice; and
- (4) Ensuring appropriate design and administration of the company's incentive compensation, benefit, and stock plans.

The Compensation Committee held four meetings during 2010. See 2010 Compensation Discussion and Analysis III. Compensation Process for a discussion of the role played by our chief executive officer in compensation decisions. The Compensation Committee report on executive compensation is found on page 20 of this Proxy Statement.

The Governance Committee

All members of our Governance Committee are independent under applicable NASDAQ listing standards. The Governance Committee serves in an advisory capacity to the Board of Directors on matters of organization and the conduct of Board activities. In addition, among other responsibilities in the Governance Committee Charter, the Governance Committee is responsible for:

- (1) Periodically reviewing and making recommendations to the Board as to the size and composition of the Board, and criteria for director nominees;
- (2) Identifying and recommending candidates for service on the Board of Directors;
- (3) Revising and recommending to the Board as to the company's Corporate Governance Guidelines;
- (4) Leading the Board of Directors in its annual review of the performance of the Board and the Board Committees;
- (5) Recommending directors for Board Committees;
- (6) Making recommendations to the Board on whether each director is independent under all applicable requirements; and
- (7) Periodically reviewing the company's Corporate Compliance Program with the company's general counsel to recommend any appropriate changes to the Board.

The Governance Committee considers Board of Director nominees recommended by shareholders. The process for receiving and evaluating these nominations from shareholders is described below under the caption Nominations.

The Governance Committee held four meetings during 2010.

The charters for each of the Committees of the Board of Directors, our Corporate Governance Guidelines, and our company's Code of Ethics, which are all a part of our Corporate Compliance Program, are posted under the Corporate Governance section of the Investors page of our website at www.chrobinson.com.

Shareholder Communications with Board

C.H. Robinson shareholders and other interested parties may send written communications to the Board of Directors or to any individual director by mailing it to the C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc. Board of Directors, c/o C.H. Robinson Corporate Secretary, 14701 Charlson Road, Eden Prairie, MN 55347. These communications will be compiled by the Secretary and periodically submitted to the Board or individual director.

Nominations

The Governance Committee considers director nominee recommendations from a wide variety of sources, including members of the Board of Directors, business contacts, community leaders, and members of management. The Governance Committee will also consider shareholder recommendations for director nominees. The Governance Committee may also engage search firms to assist in the director recruitment process.

Edgar Filing: C H ROBINSON WORLDWIDE INC - Form DEF 14A

The Governance Committee determines the selection criteria and qualifications of director nominees based upon the needs of the company. The Board of Directors believes that the directors should possess the highest

personal and professional ethics and integrity, and be committed to representing the long-term interests of the company's shareholders. Preferred qualifications also include current or recent experience as a chief executive officer or expertise in a particular business discipline. Directors should be able to provide insights and practical wisdom based on their experience and expertise. While the company does not have a policy regarding the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees, the company's Corporate Governance Guidelines provide, and the Governance Committee believes, that creating a board with a diversity of talent, experience, accomplishments, and perspectives is in the best interests of the company and our shareholders. The company is committed to considering candidates for the Board regardless of gender, ethnicity, and national origin. Any search firm retained to assist the Governance Committee in seeking director candidates will be instructed to consider these commitments.

Shareholders who wish to recommend individuals for consideration by the Governance Committee to become nominees for election to our Board of Directors may do so by submitting a written recommendation to the Governance Committee, c/o Corporate Secretary, 14701 Charlson Road, Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55347. Submissions must include a written recommendation and the reason for the recommendation, biographical information concerning the recommended individual, a description of the recommended individual's past five years of employment history, and any past and current board memberships. The submission must be accompanied by a written consent of the individual to stand for election if nominated by the Governance Committee and to serve if elected by the Board of Directors by our shareholders, as applicable. Alternatively, shareholders may directly nominate a person for election to our Board of Directors by complying with the procedures in our Bylaws, any applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and any applicable laws, as summarized below.

Shareholders who would like to directly nominate a director candidate must give written notice to the company's Corporate Secretary, either by personal delivery or by United States mail at the following address: 14701 Charlson Road, Eden Prairie, MN 55347. The shareholder's notice must be received by the Secretary not later than (a) 90 days before to the anniversary date of the previous year's Annual Meeting, or (b) the close of business on the tenth day following the date on which notice of a special meeting of shareholders for election of directors is first given to shareholders. For each proposed nominee, the shareholder's notice must include all information that is required to be disclosed under applicable Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations. This must include a written consent of the proposed nominee, agreeing to serve as a director if elected. The shareholder's notice must also include:

- (1) The name and address of the shareholder making the nomination;
- (2) The number of C.H. Robinson shares entitled to vote at the meeting held by the shareholder;
- (3) A representation that the shareholder is a holder of record of C.H. Robinson stock entitled to vote at the meeting and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the person named in the notice; and
- (4) A description of all arrangements or understandings between the shareholder and each nominee.

The Governance Committee initially evaluates a prospective nominee based on his or her resume and other background information that has been provided to the Committee. A member of the Committee will contact for further review those candidates whom the Committee believes are qualified, who may fulfill a specific need of the Board of Directors and who would otherwise best make a contribution to the Board of Directors. Based on the information the Governance Committee learns during this process, it determines which nominee(s) to recommend to the Board of Directors to submit for election. The Governance Committee uses the same process for evaluating all nominees, regardless of the source of the nomination.

No candidates for director nominations were submitted to the Governance Committee by any shareholder for the 2011 Annual Meeting. Any shareholder interested in presenting a nomination for consideration by the Governance Committee prior to the 2012 Annual Meeting should do so as early as possible, to provide adequate time to consider the nominee and comply with our Bylaws.

Compensation of Directors

In 2010, each independent director of C.H. Robinson was paid an annual retainer of \$60,000 and no meeting fees. The Audit Committee chair received an additional annual retainer of \$10,000, and the chairs of the Governance and Compensation Committees each received an additional annual retainer of \$5,000. Other members of the Audit Committee received an additional annual retainer of \$5,000, and other members of the Governance and Compensation Committees received additional annual retainers of \$2,500. Retainers are paid in quarterly installments, at the end of each calendar quarter. Before the retainers are earned, the directors may elect to receive all or a portion of their retainers in cash, stock, or restricted stock units that are immediately vested and are payable to the directors after their service on the Board of Directors has ended.

Directors are required to own a minimum of five times their annual board retainer in company stock no later than five years after joining the Board of Directors. In 2010, the Board of Directors granted each director a fully vested restricted stock unit award valued at \$50,000, deliverable after leaving the Board of Directors. C.H. Robinson also reimburses non-employee directors for reasonable expenses incurred in attending Board of Directors meetings and for expenses incurred in obtaining continuing education related to service on our Board of Directors.

Directors who are also employees of C.H. Robinson are not separately compensated for being a member of the Board of Directors.

Director Compensation Table

| Name | Total | Fees Earned or Paid in Cash | (1) Stock Awards | Option Awards | Non-Stock Incentive Plan Compensation | All Other Compensation |
|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Robert Ezrilov | \$ 125,000 | \$ 75,000 | \$ 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wayne M. Fortun | 116,250 | 66,250 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brian P. Short | 117,500 | 67,500(2) | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| David W. MacLennan | 81,250 | 31,250(3) | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| James B. Stake | 117,500 | 67,500(3) | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ReBecca Koenig Roloff | 117,500 | 67,500 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Michael W. Wickham | 118,125 | 68,125(3) | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Steven L. Polacek | 117,500 | 67,500(4) | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

- (1) The dollar value in this column was awarded as fully vested restricted stock units of the company. Shares equal to the number of restricted stock units will be distributed to the director after their board membership terminates.
- (2) The director has elected to receive the dollar value of these fees in restricted stock units of the company. Shares equal to the number of restricted stock units will be distributed after termination of board membership.
- (3) The director has elected to receive one half of the dollar value of these fees in restricted stock units of the company. Shares equal to the number of restricted stock units will be distributed after termination of board membership.
- (4) Mr. Polacek elected to receive his board annual retainer in restricted stock units of the company. Shares equal to the number of restricted stock units will be distributed approximately one (1) year after his service on the Board was terminated. He elected to receive the dollar value of his committee fees in unrestricted common shares of the company. Mr. Polacek's service on the Board terminated on January 1, 2011.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The members of the Compensation Committee are Wayne M. Fortun, Robert Ezrilov, ReBecca Koenig Roloff, Michael W. Wickham, and James B. Stake. The Compensation Committee members have no interlocking relationships requiring disclosure under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

2010 COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

I. Compensation Philosophy

Performance-based compensation and alignment of individual, company, and shareholder goals are integral components of C.H. Robinson's company culture and management approach. Within our branch network, our sales employees and managers are paid in large part based on the profitability of their branch. Approximately 3,200 employees, or 42 percent of our total employees, hold equity they received through our Omnibus Stock Plan, which promotes long-term ownership and aligns them with our company-wide performance goals.

C.H. Robinson, with guidance and oversight from our Compensation Committee, has adopted an executive compensation philosophy that is intended to be consistent with our overall compensation approach and to achieve the following basic goals:

- (1) Provide a level of total compensation necessary to attract, retain, and motivate high quality executives;
- (2) Provide incentive compensation aligned with company earnings at various levels;
- (3) Emphasize team and company performance;
- (4) Balance incentive compensation to achieve both short-term and long-term profitability and growth; and
- (5) Encourage executives to make long-term career commitments to C.H. Robinson and our shareholders.

Compensation decisions regarding individual executives are based on factors including individual performance, level of responsibility, unique skills of the executive, and demands of the position.

II. Elements of Compensation

Base salary

Annual base salary is designed to compensate our executives for sustained performance as part of a total compensation package necessary to attract, retain, and motivate high quality executives. The base salary is intended to provide a minimum level of fixed compensation. While we strive to provide a fair base salary and benefits, our compensation philosophy allocates a significant portion of compensation to incentive, performance-based compensation.

Base salaries are reviewed annually but are not adjusted frequently. Annual compensation adjustments are more often made through adjustments to incentive compensation, which is variable based on our profitability. The salary column of the Summary Compensation Table below contains the annual base salary earned for 2010 for each of the executive officers named in this proxy.

Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (incentive compensation)

C.H. Robinson incentive compensation is designed to reward our executives for maintaining and growing C.H. Robinson's earnings. Our incentive compensation rewards executives for corporate performance and aligns their interests with those of our shareholders. We reward executives based on our pre-tax earnings. In order to emphasize the importance of company profitability as a measure of executive performance, approximately 57 percent of the total cash compensation earned by our executives in 2010 was non-equity incentive compensation.

Approximately 70 percent of our employees have incentive compensation based on the profits of their branch or business unit. Consistent with that performance-based approach, and given their broader responsibilities, our chief executive officer's and chief financial officer's incentive compensation is based on overall company pre-tax income before deducting the expense of the executive non-equity incentive plan award compensation (adjusted pre-tax income). Incentive compensation for the other named executive officers is based on the adjusted pre-tax income of the group of branches they supervise. The Compensation Committee

approves an incentive compensation plan award for each named executive officer prior to the beginning of the calendar year. Incentive compensation is calculated based on each executive's individual incentive award. Each award pays varying percentages of adjusted pre-tax income based upon either various ranges of adjusted pre-tax income of the company, or the group of branches they supervise. Incentive compensation begins with the first dollar of profitability and grows as our profits grow. Our incentive compensation program distributes incentive compensation across various levels of actual profitability and is not based on achievement of pre-established targets.

While the basic philosophy for calculating incentive compensation is the same for all executives, each executive has an individual annual incentive plan compensation award. Individual incentive compensation opportunity is determined based on the executive's role, responsibilities, and performance. These compensation awards are reviewed annually. Total compensation is periodically compared to various executive compensation surveys.

The executive incentive compensation awards allow executives to receive a portion of their incentive compensation in semi-monthly payments. The maximum amount an executive could elect to receive in semi-monthly payments in 2010 is the sum of the executive's 2009 salary plus the amount of their projected 2009 non-equity incentive payout (based on the executive's annual incentive compensation award) multiplied by 60 percent less the executive's 2010 base salary, then divided by 24 (the number of semi-monthly pay periods in the year). Executives were required to make their 2010 semi-monthly payment election before December 31, 2009 and were not allowed to make changes in their elections thereafter. The payments are considered compensation and are not subject to forfeiture, even if the amount is not earned based on the executive's annual compensation award. The Compensation Committee can suspend the semi-monthly payments to an executive during the year. This approach is consistent with branch employee and manager incentive compensation. The incentive compensation amounts for 2010 exceeded the semi-monthly payments for all the named executive officers. In limited circumstances, portions of these incentives may be guaranteed for some periods due to role transition or other subjective factors. The Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table below contains the 2010 annual incentive compensation earned for each of the executive officers named in this proxy.

Equity

We use equity awards as our primary tool for aligning our executives with long term shareholder interests, rewarding them for the achievement of overall company performance, and retaining our executives. We believe these awards are an integral component of meeting our compensation goals as outlined in our compensation philosophy above. Our shareholder-approved Omnibus Stock Plan is designed to give us flexibility to achieve these objectives. It allows us to grant stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and other types of equity based compensation. Officers, other employees, trusts for the benefit of employees, directors, consultants, and eligible independent contractors of C.H. Robinson may receive equity awards. The amount of awards is determined based on the participant's role, performance, and total compensation.

Restricted Stock

In 2003, we began periodically issuing performance based restricted stock and restricted stock units as our primary equity awards. We believe these awards are an effective tool for creating long term ownership and aligning our employees' interests with those of our shareholders. Prior to 2003, we used restricted stock grants occasionally, including a grant in 2000 of 338,984 shares to Mr. Wiehoff that vests in equal annual installments over 15 years.

For most of our restricted stock and unit awards, restricted shares and units are available to vest for up to five calendar years, based on company performance. The vesting percentage for each year is equal to the average of the year-over-year percentage growth in income from operations and diluted net income per share plus five percent. Therefore, if we average our long term growth goal of 15 percent during that five year period, our restricted stock and units will be fully vested by the end of the fifth year. Any shares or units that are unvested at the end of the five years are forfeited back to the company.

Some restricted stock awards were granted in multi-year cycles. During 2009, management recommended to the Compensation Committee to change to annual equity grants for all recipients. This change aligns the frequency and administration of leader awards with key employee awards and improves our flexibility to annually match award size to role and performance. Our executives and other certain management employees received restricted stock awards in 2003, 2005, 2008, 2009, 2010 with vesting commencing in 2003, 2006, 2009, 2010, and 2011 respectively. Restricted shares granted to active employees of this group are in the Deferred Compensation Plan.

Due to our strong performance during 2003, 2004, and 2005, the 2003 restricted stock awards fully vested in three years. The vesting percentage based on the formula above was 23, 32, and 45 percent, respectively. Restricted shares granted in 2005 are available to vest over five calendar years, beginning in 2006. These shares vested 35 percent in 2006, 27 percent in 2007, 17 percent in 2008, 7 percent in 2009, and 13 percent in 2010 based on this formula. The 2005 award vested 99 percent, with the remaining 1 percent forfeited back to the company. Restricted shares granted in 2008 are available to vest over five calendar years, beginning in 2009. These shares vested 7 percent in 2009, and 13 percent in 2010. Restricted shares granted in 2009 are available to vest over five calendar years, beginning in 2010. These shares vested 13 percent in 2010. This calendar year restricted stock vesting percentage information is also set forth in the following table:

| Calendar | Restricted Share |
|----------|--------------------|
| Year | Vesting Percentage |
| 2003 | 23% |
| 2004 | 32% |
| 2005 | 52% |
| 2006 | 35% |
| 2007 | 27% |
| 2008 | 17% |
| 2009 | 7% |
| 2010 | 13% |

The stock award column of the Summary Compensation Table contains the fair value for financial reporting purposes of the restricted stock granted for each of the named executive officers. Details regarding these awards for the named executive officers can be found in the Grants of Performance Based Awards table.

For our executives, delivery of the vested shares for the 2003 award, which are held in the non-qualified Deferred Compensation Plan, begins six months after termination of employment, and the shares are delivered in five equal annual installments. For the 2005, 2008, 2009, 2010 awards, delivery of the vested shares occurs on the earlier of two years after termination or January 2013, January 2016, January 2017, and January 2018, respectively. However, the executives were allowed the option to elect different delivery of the vested shares. The specific choice for delivery was made by the named executive officer before the restricted shares began vesting and can be found in the footnotes to the Grants of Performance Based Awards Table.

Dividends are paid to participants in cash on all restricted shares, vested or unvested, except Mr. Wiehoff's 2000 restricted stock award, which uses the dividends to purchase additional shares. The dividends paid to participants on restricted shares are considered wages by the Internal Revenue Service until the shares are delivered to the participant. Dividends paid on unvested restricted equity awards for the last three years for the named executive officers are in the Dividends Paid on Restricted Shares of Stock footnote below.

The fair value of each share-based payment award is established on the date of grant. For grants of restricted shares and restricted units, the fair value is established based on the market price on the date of the grant,

discounted for post-vesting holding restrictions. The discount of 12 percent for both 2003 and 2005 grants, 22 percent for the 2008 grant, 21 percent for the 2009 grant, and 18 percent for the 2010 grant, was calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The determination of the fair value of share-based awards is affected by our stock price and a number of assumptions, including expected volatility, expected life, risk-free interest rate, and expected dividends. The fair value, on the date of grant, of restricted stock and units is expensed as they vest.

Stock Options

C.H. Robinson awarded stock options from 1997 to 2003. After receiving Board approval for the grants, the grant date for those awards was based on the date the company finalized the list of recipients and amounts of awards. The strike price for the options was based on the closing price on the grant date. Our Omnibus Stock Plan allows the grant of both incentive stock options intended to qualify for preferential tax treatment under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and nonqualified stock options that do not qualify for such treatment. In order to encourage option exercises and share ownership, these grants permitted the exercise of options using shares of our common stock to pay the exercise price and withholding taxes, if any. Upon such exercise, we grant the employee a restoration stock option (commonly referred to as a reload option) for the number of shares surrendered. Restoration options are non-qualified options, exercisable at the then-current market price for the remainder of the original option's term. Additional restoration options are not granted upon the exercise of restoration options. The shareholder-approved 2005 Omnibus Plan amendment eliminates the ability to grant restoration stock options upon the exercise of stock options granted after May 19, 2005 (the effective date of the amendment). Other than restoration options, all employee options granted vested 25 percent annually, beginning on the second anniversary date of the option grant, and are available to be exercised for up to 10 years from the date of grant. Options can only be exercised while the executive officer is an employee or subject to a non-competition agreement.

During 2010, there were no stock option grants issued by the company, other than the grant of restoration options. The option awards column of the Summary Compensation Table contains the fair value of the restoration options granted to each of the named executive officers as well as the fair value for the options that vested during 2008, 2009, and 2010. The fair value was calculated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The determination of the fair value of share-based awards is affected by our stock price and a number of assumptions, including expected volatility, expected life, risk-free interest rate, and expected dividends.

Equity Plan Acceleration

We do not have a cash separation pay plan for any employee, including executives. The Compensation Committee has the discretion to accelerate vesting of restricted share and unit awards made during 2003 through 2007 in the case of a change-in-control of the company. Vesting of Mr. Wiehoff's 2000 restricted share award explicitly cannot change for any reason, including a change in control. These plan characteristics are intended to align participant interests with shareholder interests.

The 2008, 2009, and 2010 U.S. Management Restricted Stock agreements include provisions to accelerate vesting for change in control, death, or disability for certain management and executive awardees. Additionally, the agreement allows for vesting two years post employment if the employee adheres to their management employee agreement (see "Employment Agreements" below). Post employment vesting provides protections to the company, our employees, customers, and suppliers. This is the only separation post termination compensation agreement for managers or executives.

The 2008, 2009, and 2010 U.S. and non-U.S. Restricted Stock Unit Program agreements and 2010 France Restricted Stock Unit Program agreement include the provision for accelerated vesting in the case of death or disability.

Stock Ownership Requirements

In order to ensure alignment with our shareholders, the Compensation Committee has established stock ownership requirements for our officers. The Committee believes that linking a significant portion of the executive officer's personal holdings to the company's success, as reflected in the stock price, provides officers a stake similar to that of our shareholders. Therefore, executive officers are expected to acquire and hold a significant amount of C.H. Robinson stock. The Committee has established stock ownership requirements based on all shares of company stock owned by an executive officer, including vested stock options, stock obtained in the company 401k Plan, vested and unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units, deferred stock units or shares, and stock beneficially owned by the officer, including owned in a trust, by a spouse, or dependent children for our executive officers as follows:

chief executive officer: ten times base salary

senior vice presidents: seven and a half times base salary

other executive officers: three times base salary

New officers are expected to meet their ownership requirement within five years of being named an executive officer. As of the end of 2010, all the executive officers had met their ownership requirements.

Employment Agreements

C.H. Robinson uses employment agreements to protect us from former employees soliciting our employees, customers, and suppliers. All employees sign agreements acknowledging their understanding of company policies and committing to confidentiality. Additionally, incentive-eligible sales employees sign an agreement with a more specific non-solicitation clause. Certain restricted stock grant recipients, including all executives, sign a management employment agreement that includes a more restrictive non-competition and non-solicitation covenant. These agreements do not commit to post-termination compensation. The company does not have severance plan commitments to any employees, except for the continued vesting provision listed above in the equity award acceleration section which applies to certain manager and executive 2008, 2009, and 2010 restricted stock awardees.

Employee 401(k) Retirement Plan

We believe that saving for retirement is important for our employees. C.H. Robinson maintains a 401(k) retirement plan that meets the requirements of an ERISA qualified plan and the Internal Revenue Code. Our U.S. employees are eligible to contribute up to 50 percent of their cash compensation to the 401(k) plan, subject to Internal Revenue Service limitations. To support our compensation objectives, the company currently matches 100 percent of the first 4 percent of eligible compensation that employees contribute to the plan during the year. In addition, the company has historically made a profit sharing contribution to the 401(k) plan for eligible employees, including those who do not contribute to the 401(k) plan. For 2010, the company contributed an additional 4 percent of every eligible employee's cash compensation into his or her account in the plan, for a total of 8 percent for those employees also contributing at least 4 percent of their cash compensation to the plan. Employees control their investment decisions for money in their 401(k) account. Investment in company stock is one of the investment options. There are no requirements to hold any amount of company stock in the 401(k) plan, nor are there any restrictions on changing an investment election from company stock to another investment choice. Employees may not transfer balances from other investments into company stock.

Employees of our U.S. companies who regularly work more than 20 hours per week become eligible for the 401(k) match on the first day of the month following 30 days of employment. Most employees of our U.S. companies become eligible for the profit sharing contribution on the first January 1 or July 1 after one year of continuous service. Eligible employees who are employed at the end of each year are awarded a percentage of their eligible cash compensation. Management determines the contribution percentage based on the company's financial performance. This award is placed into the 401(k) plan as a profit sharing contribution. The Registrant Contributions to Defined Contributions column of the Supplemental All Other Compensation Table lists the company contributions for each of the named executive officers.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP)

Because we believe in aligning employee interests with our shareholders and our long-term company performance, C.H. Robinson maintains an employee stock purchase plan (ESPP) that meets the requirements of an ERISA qualified plan and the Internal Revenue Code. At the end of each quarter, dollars contributed to the plan by employees are used to purchase shares of C.H. Robinson stock from the company. The employees pay 85 percent of the closing price for our company's stock on the last day of the quarter. The shares are placed into a brokerage account shortly after the end of each quarter and are available for sale by the employees as soon as the shares are in the account.

Eligible employees can set aside up to 10 percent of their compensation but no more than \$10,000 during any calendar year for ESPP purchases. Employees who regularly work more than 20 hours per week become eligible to contribute money to the employee stock purchase plan on the first January 1 or July 1 after one year of continuous service. Eligible employees can change their contribution election on a quarterly basis. The Discounted Securities Purchases column of the Supplemental All Other Compensation Table lists the company contributions for each of the named executive officers.

Employee Health and Welfare Benefits

To support our goal to provide competitive compensation and benefits, the company sponsors a number of health and welfare benefit plans for our employees; health, dental, vision; flexible medical and dependent care spending; short term disability and long term disability; life insurance; and holiday and vacation time. Where applicable, plans meet the qualified plan requirements of ERISA and Internal Revenue Code.

Officer-Only Benefit Plans

C.H. Robinson places a high value on all roles throughout our company and on consistency of culture and management approach. For that reason, we provide our executives and managers with unique perquisites and compensation plans only where doing so is essential to our goal to attract and retain high quality executives and managers. The only executive specific perquisites in 2010 were:

- (1) Annual reimbursement for company approved tax and estate planning services up to \$5,000.
- (2) Executive Life Insurance Policy. This death benefit of one-times annual cash compensation plus \$500,000, not to exceed \$800,000, is only a perquisite for executives with annual cash compensation of less than \$800,000 since the standard employee benefit is one-times annual cash compensation.
- (3) The company pays withholding taxes for the executive life insurance and executive tax programs described above.
- (4) Eligibility for Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan. This plan allows officers to defer salary or incentive cash awards into the plan. The deferral and distribution elections are designed to meet the requirements of Internal Revenue Code Section 409A so as to defer taxation to the executive until the assets are transferred from the plan to the officer. In addition, this plan holds restricted stock awards made to officers and certain other managers of the company. The restricted shares remain in this plan until delivered to the recipient. Dividends on undelivered performance based restricted awards are paid to the participants through our company's payroll system. Dividends on Mr. Wiehoff's time based restricted award are paid into this plan and used to purchase fully vested shares of company stock which are delivered after termination of employment.
- (5) Effective December, 2008, the company allows personal use of the corporate aircraft by the chief executive officer for up to 30 hours per year provided he reimburses the company at the higher of the appropriate Internal Revenue Service established Standard Industry Fare Level rates or the incremental costs of the personal use. During 2010, Mr. Wiehoff had 2.6 hours of personal use of the corporate aircraft.

The Supplemental All Other Compensation table contains information about each of the officer-only benefits for each of the executive officers named in this proxy statement. For 2011 and subsequent years, the benefits set forth in subsections (1), (2), and (3) above have been discontinued.

III. Compensation Process

The Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for assisting the Board of Directors in:

- (1) Reviewing the performance of the chief executive officer;
- (2) Determining the compensation and benefits for the chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and other named executive officers of the company;
- (3) Reviewing and approving the company's compensation policies and practice; and
- (4) Ensuring appropriate design and administration of the company's incentive compensation, benefit, and stock plans.

The Compensation Committee held four meetings during 2010. The Compensation Committee report on executive compensation is found on page 30 of this Proxy Statement.

Cash Compensation

Prior to the beginning of each calendar year, our chief executive officer presents to the Compensation Committee his recommendations on cash compensation for the company's executive officers reporting to him, including each of the other named executive officers. Mr. Wiehoff does not make a recommendation on his own compensation. The Compensation Committee determines the chairman and chief executive officer's compensation, as well as approves the compensation for the other named executive officers. Periodically, as part of the compensation design process, the Compensation Committee consults independent experts. In 2005 and 2007, the Compensation Committee engaged Towers Perrin, a nationally recognized and reputable executive compensation consulting firm, to present various compensation surveys to the Compensation Committee in preparation for executive compensation decisions. The surveys included the compensation elements of salary, incentive compensation, and equity compensation, both separately and combined. The report included general industry surveys, surveys of transportation companies done by many compensation consulting firms, and proxy data from trucking, transportation, services, and Minnesota-based companies. Since there is not an evident group of peer companies, these surveys were averaged to determine reference points. Towers Perrin provided the survey data and explained the data and reports to the Committee. The data was used to determine the market reference points for our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and other executive officers, for consideration when determining their total cash and total direct compensation. In 2010 the Compensation Committee engaged Hewitt Associates to conduct a similar survey which the Compensation Committee considered in setting 2011 compensation. We believe that conducting the study every two or three years is sufficient given the compensation philosophy which weighs other factors such as performance, responsibilities, position tenure, and experience more heavily than market comparisons when setting compensation plans.

Equity Compensation

C.H. Robinson has consistently issued equity compensation awards since its initial public offering in October of 1997. Prior to November 2003, the company awarded stock options. In 2003 we moved to performance based restricted share and unit awards.

Our chief executive officer presents equity recommendations to the Compensation Committee for our executive officers, excluding himself. A schedule of summarized proposed award values for all other employees is also presented to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee determines the chairman and

chief executive officer's equity award value. The Compensation Committee approves the award levels for each of the executive officers and approves the equity to be granted to all other recipients through the Non-Executive Stock Award Committee. The grant date of awards for all employees, including the executive officers, is the date of Compensation Committee approval. For grants of restricted shares and restricted units, the fair value is established based on the closing market price on the date of the grant, discounted for post-vesting holding restrictions. The number of shares granted to executives is determined by dividing the award value by the grant date closing market price of the company's stock, rounded up to the nearest ten shares. For all other participants, the number of shares granted is determined by dividing the award value by the grant date closing market price of the company's stock, rounded up to the nearest share.

IV. Named Executive Compensation

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Performance Evaluation and Compensation

John P. Wiehoff, President and Chief Executive Officer

The Compensation Committee annually conducts an evaluation of the chairman and chief executive officer's performance. Based on this evaluation, the Compensation Committee determines base salary, incentive compensation, and equity compensation of the chairman and chief executive officer.

The Compensation Committee set John P. Wiehoff's base salary at \$400,000 in 2008, 2009, and 2010. As described above, base salaries are reviewed but not necessarily adjusted annually. In 2010, Mr. Wiehoff earned non-equity incentive compensation of \$1,309,345 which was paid in cash on January 31, 2011. The amount was calculated based on his annual incentive compensation award, as described in Section II above. Mr. Wiehoff's incentive compensation award awarded compensation for achieving adjusted earnings in certain ranges. The growth in 2010 incentive compensation compared to 2009 was primarily the result of an increase of approximately 6 percent in our company's adjusted pre-tax income in 2010 compared to 2009. The table below shows how Mr. Wiehoff's non-equity incentive compensation would have varied at other levels of 2010 adjusted pre-tax income growth or decline compared to 2009.

Incentive Compensation and Adjusted Pre-Tax Income Variance: John P. Wiehoff

| Year-over-year change in adjusted pre-tax income | -20% | -15% | -10% | -5% | 0% | 5% | 10% | 15% | 20% |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Non-equity incentive compensation | \$ 1,138,510 | \$ 1,206,444 | \$ 1,253,882 | \$ 1,293,325 | \$ 1,322,974 | \$ 1,375,245 | \$ 1,434,543 | \$ 1,493,840 | \$ 1,546,196 |

Mr. Wiehoff was granted 120,000 restricted shares during 2005, 101,510 restricted shares during 2008, 27,470 restricted shares during 2009, and 29,170 restricted shares during 2010 pursuant to the Omnibus Stock Plan. Restricted shares granted in 2005, 2008, 2009, and 2010 are in the Deferred Compensation Plan and are available to vest over five calendar years, beginning in 2006, 2009, 2010, and 2011 respectively. As described in Section II above, the vesting percentage for each year is equal to the average of the year-over-year percentage growth in income from operations and diluted net income per share, plus five percent. Any shares that are unvested at the end of the five years are forfeited back to the company. Restricted shares granted in 2005 vested 35 percent in 2006, 27 percent in 2007, 17 percent in 2008, 7 percent in 2009, and 13 percent in 2010 based on this formula. One percent of the 2005 grant was forfeited. Restricted shares granted in 2008 vested 7 percent in 2009 and 13 percent in 2010 based on this formula. Restricted shares granted in 2009 vested 13 percent in 2010 based on this formula. Mr. Wiehoff was also granted restricted shares in 2003. These shares are in the Deferred Compensation Plan and are fully vested. Based on the same formula, the vesting percentage was 23, 32, and 45 percent respectively for the three vesting years of the 2003 restricted stock award.

Other Named Executive Officers Performance Evaluation and Compensation

Each of the other named executive officers is paid the same types of compensation elements as the chairman and chief executive officer. The determination of the other named executive officers' 2010 base salary, incentive

compensation award, and equity compensation followed the policies explained above for executive compensation. Each member of this group is evaluated and their compensation is based on a number of different factors including, but not limited to, the following:

- (1) Title, role, and relative experience;
- (2) Tenure in their position;
- (3) Individual performance;
- (4) Financial performance of the company as a whole;
- (5) Financial performance of the branches supervised, where applicable.

Chad M. Lindbloom, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

During 2010, Chad M. Lindbloom's base salary remained the same as in 2009 and 2008, at \$260,000. As described above, base salaries are reviewed periodically but not necessarily adjusted annually. Mr. Lindbloom elected to receive semi-monthly payments during 2010. He earned non-equity incentive compensation of \$404,138 for 2010; \$120,000 was paid in semi-monthly payments and the balance was paid in cash on January 31, 2011. Mr. Lindbloom's incentive compensation award compensated him for the company achieving adjusted pre-tax earnings in certain ranges. The growth in 2010 incentive compensation compared to 2009 was primarily the result of an increase of approximately 6 percent in our company's adjusted pre-tax income in 2010 compared to 2009. The table below shows how Mr. Lindbloom's non-equity incentive compensation would have varied at other levels of 2010 adjusted pre-tax income growth or decline compared to 2009.

Incentive Compensation and Adjusted Pre-Tax Income Variance: Chad M. Lindbloom

Year-over-year change in

| adjusted pre-tax income | -20% | -15% | -10% | -5% | 0% | 5% | 10% | 15% | 20% |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Non-equity incentive compensation | \$ 302,314 | \$ 312,417 | \$ 330,206 | \$ 350,660 | \$ 374,379 | \$ 398,098 | \$ 421,363 | \$ 439,152 | \$ 456,941 |

Mr. Lindbloom was granted 45,000 restricted shares during 2005, 38,290 restricted shares during 2008, 10,360 restricted shares during 2009, and 8,920 in 2010 pursuant to the Omnibus Stock Plan.

James P. Lemke, Senior Vice President, Produce

During 2010, James P. Lemke's base salary remained the same as in 2009, at \$200,000. Mr. Lemke elected to receive semi-monthly payments during 2009. He earned non-equity incentive compensation of \$491,233 in 2010; \$214,600 was paid in semi-monthly payments and the balance was paid in cash on January 31, 2011. Mr. Lemke's non-equity incentive compensation was based on the adjusted earnings of the branches he supervised. The decline in 2010 incentive compensation compared to 2009 was primarily the result of a decline of approximately 12 percent in the adjusted earnings of the branches he supervised in 2010 compared to 2009. The table below shows how Mr. Lemke's non-equity incentive compensation would have varied at other levels of 2010 adjusted pre-tax income growth or decline of the branches he supervised compared to 2009.

Incentive Compensation and Adjusted Pre-Tax Income Variance: James P. Lemke

| Year-over-year change in | -20% | -15% | -10% | -5% | 0% | 5% | 10% | 15% | 20% |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|

Edgar Filing: C H ROBINSON WORLDWIDE INC - Form DEF 14A

adjusted pre-tax income

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Non-equity incentive compensation | \$ 467,951 | \$ 483,448 | \$ 495,963 | \$ 505,295 | \$ 515,626 | \$ 526,957 | \$ 537,289 | \$ 547,620 | \$ 557,951 |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|

Mr. Lemke was granted 35,000 restricted shares during 2005, 38,290 restricted shares during 2008, 10,360 restricted shares during 2009, and 8,110 during 2010 pursuant to the Omnibus Stock Plan.

James E. Butts, Scott A. Satterlee, and Mark A. Walker, Senior Vice Presidents, Transportation

During 2010, James E. Butts, Scott A. Satterlee, and Mark A. Walker, each received the same \$200,000 base salary they received in 2009. They each also earned non-equity incentive compensation for 2010 of \$487,895. Mr. Butts and Mr. Satterlee elected to receive a portion of their incentive compensation award as semi-monthly payments during 2010 and received \$191,200 and \$190,000, respectively, in semi-monthly payments with the balance paid in cash on January 31, 2011. Mr. Walker received his entire incentive compensation award in cash on January 31, 2011. The executives' 2010 non-equity incentive compensation was awarded for achieving adjusted earnings in certain ranges. The 2010 non-equity incentive compensation awards exceeded the 2009 awards because of the growth in adjusted earnings for the group of branches they supervise. Messrs. Butts, Satterlee, and Walker's incentive agreements awarded compensation for achieving adjusted earnings of the branches they supervised in certain ranges. The growth in 2010 incentive compensation compared to 2009 was primarily the result of an increase of approximately 5 percent in the adjusted earnings of the branches they supervised in 2010 compared to 2009. The table below shows how each of their non-equity incentive compensation would have varied at other levels of 2010 adjusted pre-tax income growth or decline of the branches they supervise compared to 2009.

Incentive Compensation and Adjusted Pre-Tax Income Variance: James E. Butts, Scott A. Satterlee, and Mark A. Walker

Year-over-year change in

| adjusted pre-tax income | -20% | -15% | -10% | -5% | 0% | 5% | 10% | 15% | 20% |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Non-equity incentive compensation | \$ 360,251 | \$ 382,767 | \$ 406,339 | \$ 433,358 | \$ 460,377 | \$ 487,395 | \$ 514,414 | \$ 537,861 | \$ 560,377 |

Each of these three named executive officers was granted 45,000 restricted shares during 2005, 38,290 restricted shares during 2008, and 10,360 restricted shares during 2009. In 2010, Mr. Butts and Mr. Walker each received 8,110 restricted shares pursuant to the Omnibus Stock Plan. In 2010, Mr. Satterlee received 10,540 restricted shares pursuant to the Omnibus Stock Plan.

Section 162(m) Disclosure

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally limits the corporate deduction for compensation paid to executive officers to \$1.0 million, unless the compensation qualifies as performance-based compensation under the Code. The Committee reviewed the potential consequences for the company of Section 162(m) and believes that this provision did not affect the deductibility of compensation paid to our executive officers in 2010. The adoption by the shareholders at the 2010 Annual Meeting of the 2010 Non-Equity Incentive Plan and the amended and restated Omnibus Stock Plan permits the company to issue compensation that qualifies as performance-based compensation.

Summary Compensation Table

| Name and Principal Position | | Plan-Based Awards | | | | | Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$) | Total |
|---|------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------|
| | | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | (1) Stock Awards (\$) | (2) Option Awards (\$) | (3) Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) | | |
| John P. Wiehoff | 2010 | \$ 400,000 | \$ 0 | \$ 1,847,628 | \$ 789,623 | \$ 1,390,345 | \$ 0 | \$ 30,839 | \$ 4,458,435 |
| President and Chief Executive Officer | 2009 | 400,000 | 0 | 1,210,054 | 362,203 | 1,322,975 | 0 | 26,445 | 3,321,677 |
| | 2008 | 400,000 | 0 | 4,021,826 | 0 | 1,314,197 | 0 | 31,566 | 5,767,589 |
| Chad M. Lindbloom | 2010 | 260,000 | 0 | 564,993 | 48,707 | 404,138 | 0 | 27,605 | 1,305,443 |
| Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | 2009 | 260,000 | 0 | 451,953 | 21,242 | 374,380 | 0 | 21,407 | 1,128,982 |
| | 2008 | 260,000 | 0 | 1,517,050 | 182,881 | 372,099 | 0 | 23,946 | 2,355,976 |
| Scott A. Satterlee | 2010 | 200,000 | 0 | 667,604 | 106,250 | 487,895 | 0 | 24,736 | 1,486,485 |
| Senior Vice President, Transportation | 2009 | 200,000 | 0 | 451,953 | 0 | 458,389 | 0 | 23,537 | 1,133,879 |
| | 2008 | 200,000 | 0 | 1,517,050 | 71,075 | 435,500 | 0 | 25,930 | 2,249,555 |
| James E. Butts | 2010 | 200,000 | 0 | 513,687 | 59,657 | 487,895 | 0 | 33,163 | 1,294,402 |
| Senior Vice President, Transportation | 2009 | 200,000 | 0 | 451,953 | 0 | 458,389 | 0 | 28,214 | 1,138,556 |
| | 2008 | 200,000 | 0 | 1,517,050 | 0 | 435,500 | 0 | 33,173 | 2,185,723 |
| James P. Lemke | 2010 | 200,000 | 0 | 513,687 | 5,225 | 491,233 | 0 | 28,456 | 1,238,601 |
| Senior Vice President, Produce | 2009 | 200,000 | 0 | 451,953 | 6,555 | 492,809 | 0 | 25,081 | 1,176,398 |
| | 2008 | 175,000 | 0 | 1,517,050 | 0 | 463,540 | 0 | 31,319 | 2,186,909 |
| Mark A. Walker | 2010 | 200,000 | 0 | 513,687 | | 487,895 | 0 | 26,177 | 1,227,759 |
| Senior Vice President, Transportation | 2009 | 200,000 | 0 | 451,953 | 316,958 | 458,389 | 0 | 26,612 | 1,453,912 |
| | 2008 | 200,000 | 0 | 1,517,050 | 65,541 | 435,500 | 0 | 25,744 | 2,243,835 |

- (1) The 2008, 2009 and 2010 restricted stock grants which begin vesting in 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively, are available to vest over a 5 year period based on the financial performance of the Company. The actual vesting percentage for each year is determined by the following formula: year over year growth rates in income from operations and diluted net income per share are averaged, and then five percentage points are added to that number. Any shares unvested after five years are forfeited back to the company. The actual vesting percentage was 17% in 2008, 7% in 2009 and 13% in 2010.
- (2) Includes the expense related to the grant of restoration options granted during the year.
- (3) The dollar amount in this column represents the amount the named executive earned during the respective year under their individual non-equity incentive award.

Supplemental All Other Compensation Table

| Name | Year | (1) Perks and Other | | (2) Earnings on Deferred Compensation | | (3) Tax Reimbursements | | (4) Discounted Securities Purchases | | (5) Payments/ Accruals on Termination Plans | | (6) Registrant Contributions to Defined Contributions | | (7) Increase in Pension Value | | (8) Actuarial Insurance Premiums | | (9) Other | | Total |
|---------------------------|------|------------------------|-------|--|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--|-------|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| | | Personal Benefits | Other | Compensation | Reimbursements | Discounted | Securities Purchases | Termination | Plans | Registrant Contributions to Defined Contributions | Registrant Contributions to Defined Contributions | Registrant Contributions to Defined Contributions | Registrant Contributions to Defined Contributions | Registrant Contributions to Defined Contributions | Registrant Contributions to Defined Contributions | Registrant Contributions to Defined Contributions | Registrant Contributions to Defined Contributions | Registrant Contributions to Defined Contributions | Registrant Contributions to Defined Contributions | |
| John P. Wiehoff | 2010 | \$ 5,000 | | \$ 0 | \$ 4,799 | | \$0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 19,600 | \$ 0 | \$ 1,440 | \$ 0 | \$ 1,440 | \$ 0 | \$ 30,839 | | | | |
| President and Chief | 2009 | 4,100 | | 0 | 3,755 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,150 | 0 | 1,440 | 0 | 1,440 | 0 | 26,445 | | | | |
| Executive Officer | 2008 | 5,000 | | 0 | 4,426 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,700 | 0 | 1,440 | 0 | 1,440 | 0 | 31,566 | | | | |
| Chad M. Lindbloom | 2010 | 3,361 | | 0 | 3,204 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,600 | 0 | 1,440 | 0 | 1,440 | 0 | 27,605 | | | | |
| Senior Vice President and | 2009 | 1,425 | | 0 | 1,392 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,150 | 0 | 1,440 | 0 | 1,440 | 0 | 21,407 | | | | |
| Chief Financial Officer | 2008 | 1,225 | | 0 | 1,061 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,700 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 23,946 | | | | |
| Scott A. Satterlee | 2010 | 1,983 | | 0 | 2,193 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,600 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 24,736 | | | | |
| Senior Vice President, | 2009 | 2,155 | | 0 | 1,514 | | 1,758 | 0 | 0 | 17,150 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 23,537 | | | | |
| Transportation | 2008 | 2,560 | | 0 | 1,710 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,700 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 25,930 | | | | |
| James E. Butts | 2010 | 5,000 | | 0 | 4,435 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,600 | 0 | 4,128 | 0 | 4,128 | 0 | 33,163 | | | | |
| Senior Vice President, | 2009 | 3,560 | | 0 | 3,538 | | 1,758 | 0 | 0 | 17,150 | 0 | 2,208 | 0 | 2,208 | 0 | 28,214 | | | | |
| Transportation | 2008 | 5,000 | | 0 | 3,502 | | 1,763 | 0 | 0 | 20,700 | 0 | 2,208 | 0 | 2,208 | 0 | 33,173 | | | | |
| James P. Lemke | 2010 | 5,000 | | 0 | 2,896 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,600 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 28,456 | | | | |
| Senior Vice President, | 2009 | 2,720 | | 0 | 2,493 | | 1,758 | 0 | 0 | 17,150 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 25,081 | | | | |
| Produce | 2008 | 5,000 | | 0 | 2,896 | | 1,763 | 0 | 0 | 20,700 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 31,319 | | | | |
| Mark A. Walker | 2010 | 5,000 | | 0 | 3,502 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,467 | 0 | 2,208 | 0 | 2,208 | 0 | 26,177 | | | | |
| Senior Vice President, | 2009 | 8,150 | | 0 | 7,146 | | 1,758 | 0 | 0 | 7,350 | 0 | 2,208 | 0 | 2,208 | 0 | 26,612 | | | | |
| Transportation | 2008 | 0 | | 0 | 1,073 | | 1,763 | 0 | 0 | 20,700 | 0 | 2,208 | 0 | 2,208 | 0 | 25,744 | | | | |

(1) Represents the amount paid for tax services under the executive tax program.

(2) Represents tax reimbursements on the executive tax program and the executive life insurance program.

(3) Represents the discount on shares purchased under the company's qualified employee stock purchase plan.

(4) Represents matching and profit sharing contributions under the company's qualified 401(k) plan.

(5) Represents taxable portion of premiums paid for life insurance for the named executive officer under the company's qualified Group Life Plan.

Dividends Paid on Unvested Restricted Shares of Company Stock

| Name and Position | Year | Performance Based Restricted Shares (1) Unvested Shares | Time Based Restricted Shares (2) Unvested Shares |
|--|-------------|--|---|
| John P. Wiehoff President and Chief Executive Officer | 2010 | \$ 152,681 | \$ 141,017 |
| | 2009 | 129,776 | 153,447 |
| | 2008 | 65,402 | 162,712 |
| Chad M. Lindbloom Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | 2010 | 56,947 | 0 |
| | 2009 | 48,898 | 0 |
| | 2008 | 24,580 | 0 |
| Scott A. Satterlee Senior Vice President, Transportation | 2010 | 57,417 | 0 |
| | 2009 | 48,898 | 0 |
| | 2008 | 24,580 | 0 |
| James E. Butts Senior Vice President, Transportation | 2010 | 56,712 | 0 |
| | 2009 | 48,898 | 0 |
| | 2008 | 24,580 | 0 |
| James P. Lemke Senior Vice President, Produce | 2010 | 54,932 | 0 |
| | 2009 | 46,861 | 0 |
| | 2008 | 21,160 | 0 |
| Mark A. Walker Senior Vice President, Transportation | 2010 | 56,712 | 0 |
| | 2009 | 48,898 | 0 |
| | 2008 | 24,580 | 0 |

- (1) Dividends paid on these shares were paid directly to the named executive officer through the company's payroll system.
- (2) Dividends paid on these shares were paid into the Deferred Compensation Plan and were used to purchase additional fully vested shares of company stock. All vested shares under this award are paid after Mr. Wiehoff terminates employment with the company.

Grants of Performance Based Awards

| Name | Year | (1) Performance Based Stock and Stock- Based Incentive Plans: Number of Shares, Units or Other Rights | (2) Grant Date Fair Value Under ASC 718 | Performance Based Options: Number of Underlying Securities Options | Non-Stock Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Units or Other Rights | Dollar Amount of Paid for Award, if any | Grant Date for Stock or Awards | Estimated Future Payouts | | | |
|---------------------------|------|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | | Performance or Other Period Until Vesting or Payout and Option Expiration Date | Threshold (#) | Target (#) | Maximum (#) |
| John P. Wiehoff | 2010 | 29,170(3) | \$ 1,847,628 | 0 | 0 | \$ 0 | | 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | 29,170 |
| President and Chief | 2009 | 27,470(4) | 1,210,054 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27,470 |
| Executive Officer | 2008 | 101,510(5) | 4,021,826 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101,510 |
| Chad M. Lindbloom | 2010 | 8,920(6) | 564,993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,920 |
| Senior Vice President and | 2009 | 10,260(7) | 451,953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,260 |
| Chief Financial Officer | 2008 | 38,290(8) | 1,517,050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38,290 |
| Scott A. Satterlee | 2010 | 10,540(9) | 667,604 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,540 |
| Senior Vice President, | 2009 | 10,260(10) | 451,953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,260 |
| Transportation | 2008 | 38,290(11) | 1,517,050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38,290 |
| James E. Butts | 2010 | 8,110(12) | 513,687 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,110 |
| Senior Vice President, | 2009 | 10,260(13) | 451,953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,260 |
| Transportation | 2008 | 38,290(14) | 1,517,050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38,290 |
| James P. Lemke | 2010 | 8,110(15) | 513,687 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,110 |
| Senior Vice President, | 2009 | 10,260(16) | 451,953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,260 |
| Produce | 2008 | 38,290(17) | 1,517,050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38,290 |
| Mark A. Walker | 2010 | 8,110(18) | 513,687 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,110 |
| Senior Vice President, | 2009 | 10,260(19) | 451,953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,260 |
| Transportation | 2008 | 38,290(19) | 1,517,050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38,290 |

- (1) These restricted shares granted in 2008, 2009 and 2010 are available to vest over five calendar years beginning in 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively. The actual vesting percentage for each year is determined by the following: year-over-year growth rates in income from operations and diluted net income per share are averaged, and then five percentage points are added to that number. Any shares unvested after five years are forfeited back to the company.
- (2) The amounts in this column represent the grant date fair value under ASC 718 for the respective awards. These restricted shares, vested and unvested, earn dividends at the same rate as Common Stock. Because these dividends are considered compensation under the Internal Revenue Code, the dividends are paid to each named executive officer through the company's payroll system.
- (3) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Wiehoff upon the earlier of January 2018 or six months after termination of employment.
- (4) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Wiehoff upon the earlier of January 2017 or six months after termination of employment.
- (5) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Wiehoff upon the earlier of January 2016 or six months after termination of employment.
- (6) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Lindbloom upon the earlier of January 2018 or six months after termination of employment.
- (7) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Lindbloom upon the earlier of January 2017 or six months after termination of employment.
- (8) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Lindbloom in three equal annual installments beginning upon the earlier of January 2016 or six months after termination of employment.
- (9) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Satterlee upon the earlier of January 2018 or six months after termination of employment.
- (10) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Satterlee upon the earlier of January 2017 or six months after termination of employment.
- (11) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Satterlee in January 2016.
- (12) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Butts upon the earlier of January 2018 or six months after termination of employment.
- (13) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Butts in January 2017.
- (14) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Butts in January 2016.
- (15) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Lemke in January 2018.
- (16) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Lemke in five equal annual installments beginning upon the earlier of January 2017 or six months after termination of employment.
- (17) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Lemke in five equal annual installments beginning in January 2016.
- (18) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Walker upon the earlier of January 2018 or six months after termination of employment.
- (19) All vested shares will be paid out to Mr. Walker six months after termination of employment.

Grants of All Other Equity Awards

(Restoration Grant Detail)

| Name(1) | Year | (1) Number of Securities Underlying Stock Options Granted (#) | Exercise or Base Price (\$ per Share) | Expiration Date | Number of Shares of Stock or Units Granted (#) | Vesting Date | Grant Date |
|---|------|--|---|--------------------|---|--------------|------------|
| John P. Wiehoff President and Chief Executive Officer | 2010 | 42,355 | \$ 65.20 | 2/7/2013 | | 7/30/2010 | 7/30/2010 |
| | 2010 | 31,069 | 65.20 | 2/15/2012 | | 7/30/2010 | 7/30/2010 |
| | 2010 | 1,534 | 65.20 | 2/1/2011 | | 7/30/2010 | 7/30/2010 |
| | 2009 | 41,941 | 53.97 | 2/1/2011 | | 7/24/2009 | 7/24/2009 |
| | 2009 | 1,770 | 56.49 | 1/31/2010 | | 10/27/2009 | 10/27/2009 |
| Chad M. Lindbloom Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | 2010 | 1,879 | 53.21 | 2/7/2013 | | 3/2/2010 | 3/2/2010 |
| | 2010 | 1,879 | 53.21 | 2/15/2012 | | 3/2/2010 | 3/2/2010 |
| | 2010 | 2,230 | 53.21 | 2/1/2011 | | 3/2/2010 | 3/2/2010 |
| | 2009 | 4,198 | 57.57 | 1/31/2010 | | 8/21/2009 | 8/21/2009 |
| | 2008 | 162 | 54.44 | 2/15/2009 | | 2/11/2008 | 2/11/2008 |
| | 2008 | 11,262 | 54.44 | 2/7/2013 | | 2/11/2008 | 2/11/2008 |
| | 2008 | 711 | 54.44 | 1/31/2010 | | 2/11/2008 | 2/11/2008 |
| Scott A. Satterlee Senior Vice President, Transportation | 2010 | 5,918 | 52.44 | 2/7/2013 | | 2/5/2010 | 2/5/2010 |
| | 2010 | 5,409 | 52.44 | 2/15/2012 | | 2/5/2010 | 2/5/2010 |
| | 2008 | 282 | 54.15 | 1/31/2010 | | 2/6/2008 | 2/6/2008 |
| | 2008 | 2,069 | 54.15 | 2/1/2011 | | 2/6/2008 | 2/6/2008 |
| | 2008 | 2,813 | 54.15 | 2/7/2013 | | 2/6/2008 | 2/6/2008 |
| | 2007 | 288 | 52.89 | 1/31/2010 | | 2/2/2007 | 2/2/2007 |
| | 2007 | 5,155 | 52.89 | 2/7/2013 | | 2/2/2007 | 2/2/2007 |
| James E. Butts Senior Vice President, | 2010 | 1,619 | 61.77 | 2/7/2013 | | 5/3/2010 | 5/3/2010 |
| | 2010 | 3,162 | 61.77 | 2/15/2012 | | 5/3/2010 | 5/3/2010 |
| Transportation | 2010 | 1,814 | 61.77 | 2/1/2011 | | 5/3/2010 | 5/3/2010 |
| James P. Lemke Senior Vice President, | 2010 | 938 | 65.20 | 2/1/2011 | | 7/30/2010 | 7/30/2010 |
| | 2009 | 878 | 55.83 | 2/1/2011 | | 11/27/2009 | 11/27/2009 |
| Produce | | | | | | | |
| Mark A. Walker Senior Vice President, | 2009 | 9,716 | 57.60 | 2/1/2011 | | 8/25/2009 | 8/25/2009 |
| | 2009 | 9,858 | 57.60 | 2/15/2012 | | 8/25/2009 | 8/25/2009 |
| | 2009 | 9,330 | 57.60 | 2/7/2013 | | 8/25/2009 | 8/25/2009 |
| | 2008 | 5,397 | 54.44 | 1/31/2010 | | 2/11/2008 | 2/11/2008 |
| Transportation | 2008 | 1,759 | 54.44 | 2/15/2009 | | 2/11/2008 | 2/11/2008 |

- (1) The options shown in this table are non-qualified restoration stock options and are granted pursuant to the company's Omnibus Stock Plan. A restoration option (also referred to as a reload option) is granted when an original option is exercised and payment of the exercise price or tax withholding obligation is made by delivery of previously owned shares of company Common Stock. Each restoration option is granted for the number of shares tendered as payment for the exercise price and tax withholding obligation, has a per share exercise price equal to the fair market value of a share of Common Stock on the date of grant, is exercisable in full on the date of grant, and expires on the same date as the original option.

Outstanding Equity Awards At Fiscal Year-End

| Name | Option Awards | | | | Restricted Shares (1) | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable | Option Exercise Price (\$) | Option Expiration Date | Number of Shares or Units of Stock Held That Have Not Vested (#) | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock Held That Have Not Vested (\$) |
| John P. Wiehoff President and Chief Executive Officer | 31,069 42,355 | 0 0 | \$ 65.20000 65.20000 | 2/15/2012 2/7/2013 | 247,272 | \$ 19,828,707 |
| Chad M. Lindbloom Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | 1,879 1,054 11,262 | 0 0 0 | 53.21000 53.90000 54.44000 | 2/7/2013 2/7/2013 2/7/2013 | 48,565 | 3,894,443 |
| Scott A. Satterlee Senior Vice President, Transportation | 4,395 | 0 | 67.77000 | 2/7/2013 | 50,185 | 4,024,351 |
| James E. Butts Senior Vice President, Transportation | 3,162 1,619 | 0 0 | 61.77000 61.77000 | 2/15/2012 2/7/2013 | 47,755 | 3,829,489 |
| James P. Lemke Senior Vice President, Produce | 6,444 30,000 | 0 0 | 14.62500 14.82000 | 2/15/2012 2/7/2013 | 47,755 | 3,829,489 |
| Mark A. Walker Senior Vice President, Transportation | 9,858 9,330 | 0 0 | 57.60000 57.60000 | 2/15/2012 2/7/2013 | 47,755 | 3,829,489 |

(1) Market Value has been determined based on the last sale price of our Common Stock as reported by The NASDAQ National Market on December 31, 2010 (\$80.19).

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

| Name of Executive Officer | | No. of Shares Acquired on Exercise or Vesting (#) | Value Realized Upon Exercise or Vesting (\$) | Grant Date Fair Value Previously Reported in Summary Compensation Table (\$) |
|---|---------|--|--|--|
| John P. Wiehoff | Options | 190,617 | \$ 7,872,742 | \$ 1,276,770 |
| President and Chief Executive Officer | Stock | 54,966(1) | 4,404,108 | 1,546,843 |
| Chad M. Lindbloom | Options | 51,219 | 1,451,471 | 469,476 |
| Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | Stock | 12,175(2) | 976,273 | 456,555 |
| Scott A. Satterlee | Options | 55,256 | 1,595,641 | 467,531 |
| Senior Vice President, Transportation | Stock | 12,175(2) | 976,273 | 456,555 |
| James E. Butts | Options | 29,914 | 1,345,728 | 192,328 |
| Senior Vice President, Transportation | Stock | 12,175(2) | 976,273 | 456,555 |
| James P. Lemke | Options | 9,872 | 421,703 | 65,187 |
| Senior Vice President, Produce | Stock | 12,175(2) | 976,273 | 456,555 |
| Mark A. Walker | Options | 9,716 | 74,526 | 85,598 |
| Senior Vice President, Transportation | Stock | 12,175(2) | 976,273 | 456,555 |

- (1) This number reflects 32,367 restricted shares vesting due to the financial performance of the company and 22,599 restricted shares vesting under a time based vesting award.
- (2) This number reflects restricted shares vesting based on the financial performance of the company.

Nonqualified Defined Contribution and Other Deferred Compensation Plans

| Name | Executive Contributions in Last FY | Registrant Contributions in Last FY | Aggregate Earnings in Last FY | Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions | Aggregate Balance at Last FYE |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| John P. Wiehoff | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| President and Chief Executive Officer | | | | | |
| Chad M. Lindbloom | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | | | | | |
| Scott A. Satterlee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior Vice President, Transportation | | | | | |
| James E. Butts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior Vice President, Transportation | | | | | |
| James P. Lemke | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior Vice President, Produce | | | | | |
| Mark A. Walker | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior Vice President, Transportation | | | | | |

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

One of our directors, Brian P. Short, is the president, chief executive officer and, with a number of his family members, holds a controlling interest in Admiral Merchants Motor Freight, Inc. (AMMF), a privately held trucking and transportation services company. In 2010, C.H. Robinson engaged AMMF as a carrier to haul approximately 700 truck loads. The company paid approximately \$1,172,000 to AMMF for these services, which represented approximately 1 percent of AMMF's revenues for 2010. In addition, during 2010, AMMF used T-Chek services for its truck drivers. The total fees paid to T-Chek by AMMF were \$203,250 during 2010 or approximately 0.4 percent of T-Chek's total revenue for the year.

In 2010, C.H. Robinson purchased legal services from Dorsey & Whitney LLP (Dorsey) in the amount of \$640,109. Marianne Short and Stephen Lucke are partners at Dorsey, and Marianne Short currently serves as the firm's managing partner. Marianne Short is Mr. Short's sister and Stephen Lucke is Mr. Short's brother-in-law. Dorsey has represented that the fees it received from C.H. Robinson in 2010 were not a material portion of its gross revenues.

C.H. Robinson's transactions with AMMF and Dorsey were reviewed by our Audit Committee consistent with our Related Party Transaction policy. Mr. Short abstained from the Committee's review of these matters. Management reported to the Committee that the prices paid for the trucking services provided by AMMF were consistent with similar loads carried by other third party vendors using comparable equipment. Since T-Chek's services are fee-based, the company's management confirmed that the fees paid by AMMF to T-Chek were comparable to the fees paid to T-Chek by other similar customers. Management also confirmed that the legal fees paid to Dorsey were consistent with the fees charged to C.H. Robinson by other firms for similar services. The Audit Committee considered C.H. Robinson's transactions with AMMF and Dorsey in light of the factors listed in its Related Party Transactions policy. Based on its review, the Committee unanimously determined that the company's transactions conducted with AMMF and Dorsey were fair and reasonable to the company and on terms no less favorable to C.H. Robinson than could be obtained in a comparable arm's length transaction with an unrelated third party. In approving these transactions, the Committee also unanimously determined that they were in the best interests of C.H. Robinson.

The Governance Committee also considered C.H. Robinson's transactions with AMMF and Dorsey in its assessment of Mr. Short's independence.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section with C.H. Robinson management and concurs that it accurately represents the compensation philosophy of the company. Based on its review and discussion with management, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section be included in this Proxy Statement. The Compensation Committee charter is posted on the Investor Relations page of the C.H. Robinson Worldwide website at www.chrobinson.com.

Wayne M. Fortun

Robert Ezrilov

ReBecca Koenig Roloff

James B. Stake

Michael W. Wickham

The Members of the Compensation Committee

of the Board of Directors

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table contains information regarding beneficial ownership of C.H. Robinson's Common Stock as of March 15, 2011, by (i) each person who is known by the company to own beneficially more than five percent of the Common Stock, (ii) each director or nominee, and each executive officer of the company named in the Summary Compensation Table under the heading "Executive Compensation" above, and (iii) all company directors and executive officers as a group. Unless otherwise noted, the shareholders listed in the table have sole voting and investment powers with respect to the shares of Common Stock owned by them.

| | Number of Shares Beneficially Owned (1) | Percentage of Outstanding Shares |
|--|--|---|
| PRIMECAP Management Company (2) | 11,693,128 | 7.04% |
| 225 South Lake Avenue, #400 | | |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | | |
| FMR LLC (3) | 9,556,549 | 5.76 |
| 82 Devonshire Street | | |
| Boston, MA 02109 | | |
| BlackRock Inc. (4) | 9,317,515 | 5.61 |
| 40 East 52nd Street | | |
| New York, NY 10022 | | |
| John P. Wiehoff (5) | 1,081,322 | * |
| James E. Butts (6) | 438,354 | * |
| Mark A. Walker (7) | 313,893 | * |
| James P. Lemke (8) | 307,707 | |
| Chad M. Lindbloom (9) | 209,955 | * |
| Scott A. Satterlee (10) | 165,138 | * |
| Robert Ezrilov (11) | 97,424 | * |
| Wayne M. Fortun (12) | 41,272 | * |
| Brian P. Short (13) | 36,269 | * |
| ReBecca Koenig Roloff | 12,508 | * |
| Michael W. Wickham | 11,549 | * |
| David W. MacLennan | 1,223 | * |
| James B. Stake | 3,072 | * |
| All executive officers and directors as a group (14 persons) | 2,777,606 | 1.67 |

* Less than 1%

- (1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and includes generally voting power and/or investment power with respect to securities. Shares of Common Stock subject to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 15, 2011 ("Currently Exercisable Options"), are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage beneficially owned by the person holding such options, but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage beneficially owned by any other person.
- (2) Disclosure is made in reliance upon a statement on Schedule 13G/A, dated as of February 4, 2011, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. PRIMECAP Management Company has sole voting power over 2,156,480 shares and sole dispositive power over 11,693,128 shares. PRIMECAP Management Company, filing as an investment adviser, reported that no one client accounts for more than five percent of the total outstanding Common Stock.
- (3) Disclosure is made in reliance upon a statement on Schedule 13G/A, dated as of February 16, 2010, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. FMR LLC, filing as a parent holding company, has sole voting power over 227,166 shares and sole dispositive power over 9,556,549 shares. FMR LLC reported that its wholly owned subsidiary Fidelity Management & Research Company serves as an investment advisor and that no one client accounts for more than five percent of the total outstanding Common Stock.

- (4) Disclosure is made in reliance upon a statement on Schedule 13G/A, dated as of January 21, 2011, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. BlackRock Inc., filing as a parent holding company, has sole voting power over 9,317,515 shares and sole dispositive power over 9,317,515 shares. BlackRock Inc. reported that various persons have the right to receive or the power to direct to receive or the proceeds from the sale of the Common Stock, but that no one person's interests in the Common Stock is more than five percent of the total outstanding Common Stock.
- (5) Includes 58,108 shares owned by Mr. Wiehoff's spouse and children, and includes 73,424 shares underlying options exercisable within 60 days. Also includes 741,791 restricted shares.
- (6) Includes 4,781 shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding options and 21,000 shares owned by Mr. Butts' children. Also includes 131,760 restricted shares. Includes 12,970 shares held by Mr. Butts in a securities brokerage account, which in certain circumstances under the terms of the standard brokerage account form may involve a pledge of such shares as collateral.
- (7) Includes 19,188 shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding options and 1,000 shares owned by Mr. Walker's children. Also includes 132,284 restricted shares.
- (8) Includes 36,444 shares underlying options exercisable within 60 days. Also includes 126,984 restricted shares. Includes 57,238 shares held by Mr. Lemke in a securities brokerage account, which in certain circumstances under the terms of the standard brokerage account form may involve a pledge of such shares as collateral.
- (9) Includes 12,664 shares owned by Mr. Lindbloom's spouse and includes 14,195 shares underlying options exercisable within 60 days. Also includes 144,138 restricted shares. Includes 12,187 shares held by Mr. Lindbloom in a securities brokerage account, which in certain circumstances under the terms of the standard brokerage account form may involve a pledge of such shares as collateral.
- (10) Includes 4,395 shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding options. Also includes 145,758 restricted shares.
- (11) Includes 10,000 shares underlying options exercisable within 60 days.
- (12) Includes 22,000 shares underlying options exercisable within 60 days.
- (13) Includes 10,000 shares underlying options exercisable within 60 days.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires the company's executive officers and directors and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of the company's Common Stock to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the Commission. Such executive officers, directors, and greater than ten percent beneficial owners are required by the regulations of the Commission to furnish the company with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file.

Based solely on a review of the copies of such reports furnished to the company and written representations from the executive officers and directors, we believe that all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our executive officers and directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners were complied with in 2010.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. A copy of the charter can be found on the Investor Relations page of the C.H. Robinson Worldwide website at www.chrobinson.com. The Audit Committee of the company's Board of Directors is comprised of the following independent directors: Robert Ezrilov, ReBecca Koenig Roloff, Brian P. Short, and James B. Stake. The Board of Directors has reviewed the status of each of the members of its Audit Committee and has confirmed that each meets the independence requirements of the current NASDAQ listing standards that apply to Audit Committee members, and that Mr. Ezrilov, Mr. Short, and Mr. Stake each qualifies as an Audit Committee Financial Expert, as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Management is responsible for the company's internal controls and the financial reporting process. C.H. Robinson's independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an independent audit of our financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and to issue a report thereon. The Audit Committee's responsibility is to hire, monitor, and oversee the independent auditors.

In this context, the Audit Committee has met and held discussions with management and Deloitte & Touche LLP, the company's independent accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010. Management represented to the Audit Committee that the company's consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the consolidated financial statements with management and the independent accountants. The Audit Committee discussed with the independent accountants matters required to be discussed by *Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 (Communications with Audit Committees)*.

Our independent accountants also provided to the Audit Committee the written disclosures required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding our independent accountants' communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and the Audit Committee discussed with the independent accountants that firm's independence. The Audit Committee also considered whether the provision of any non-audit services was compatible with maintaining the independence of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the company's independent auditors.

Based upon the Audit Committee's discussions with management and the independent accountants, and the Audit Committee's review of the representation of management and the report of the independent accountants to the Audit Committee, the Audit Committee recommended that the Board of Directors include the audited consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Robert Ezrilov

ReBecca Koenig Roloff

Brian P. Short

James B. Stake

The Members of the Audit Committee

of the Board of Directors

PROPOSAL TWO: ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS (SAY-ON-PAY)

C.H. Robinson is providing its shareholders the opportunity to cast a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of its named executive officers as required by Section 951 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. C.H. Robinson, with guidance and oversight from our Compensation Committee, has adopted an executive compensation philosophy that is intended to be consistent with our overall compensation approach and to achieve the following goals:

- 1) Provide a level of total compensation necessary to attract, retain, and motivate high quality executives;
- 2) Provide incentive compensation aligned with company earnings at various levels;
- 3) Emphasize team and company performance;
- 4) Balance incentive compensation to achieve both short-term and long-term profitability and growth; and
- 5) Encourage executives to make long-term career commitments to C.H. Robinson and our shareholders.

We believe our executive compensation program is aligned with the long-term interest of our shareholders. In considering this proposal we encourage you to review the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement beginning on page 12 as it provides detailed information with regard to our executive compensation, including our compensation philosophy and objectives and the 2010 compensation of our named executive officers.

C.H. Robinson requests shareholder approval of the compensation of its named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission's compensation disclosure rules, which disclosures include the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and compensation tables contained in this Proxy Statement.

As an advisory vote, this Proposal is non-binding. However, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee value the opinions of our shareholders and will consider the results of the vote when making future compensation decisions for our named executive officers.

BOARD VOTING RECOMMENDATION:

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers.

PROPOSAL THREE: ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

As described in Proposal No. 2 above, C.H. Robinson's shareholders are being provided with the opportunity to vote on a non-binding proposal to approve the compensation of its named executive officers. This Proposal No. 3 offers shareholders the opportunity to cast a non-binding advisory vote on how often C.H. Robinson should include a proposal to approve the compensation of its named executive officers in its proxy materials for future annual shareholder meetings or special shareholder meetings for which C.H. Robinson must include executive compensation information in the Proxy Statement for that meeting.

C.H. Robinson believes that an advisory vote on the compensation of its named executive officers should be held every year so that shareholders may annually express their views on the company's executive compensation program. Annual advisory votes will allow our shareholders to more directly respond to the compensation philosophies and programs disclosed in each proxy statement which will make the results of the vote more relevant and meaningful to the Board of Directors.

As an advisory vote, this Proposal is not binding upon C.H. Robinson. However, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee value the opinions expressed by shareholders in their vote on this proposal and will consider the outcome of the vote when making future decisions regarding the frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation.

BOARD VOTING RECOMMENDATION:

The Board of Directors recommends that an advisory vote on the compensation of named executive officers be conducted on an annual basis (as opposed to every two (2) years or every three (3) years).

PROPOSAL FOUR: SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The Audit Committee has selected Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent public accountants for C.H. Robinson for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011. Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP will be present at our Annual Meeting, will have an opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so, and will be available to answer shareholder questions. If the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP is not ratified by the shareholders, the Audit Committee is not obligated to appoint other accountants, but the Audit Committee will give consideration to such unfavorable vote.

Independent Auditors Fees

The following table summarizes the total fees for audit services provided by the independent auditor for the audit of our annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010, and December 31, 2009. The table also includes fees billed for other services provided by the independent auditor during the same periods.

| Fees | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Audit Fees (a) | \$ 1,145,243 | \$ 1,191,286 |
| Audit-Related Fees (b) | 36,000 | 33,057 |
| Tax Fees (c) | 363,531 | 271,303 |
| Total | \$ 1,507,617 | \$ 1,495,646 |

(a) Fees for audit services billed or expected to be billed relating to 2010 and 2009 consisted of:

Audit of the company's annual financial statements

Reviews of the company's quarterly financial statements

Statutory and regulatory audits, consents, and other services related to Securities and Exchange Commission matters

(b) Fees for audit-related services billed or expected to be billed consisted of:

Employee benefit plan audit in 2010 and 2009

(c) Fees for tax services billed for tax compliance and tax planning and advice:

Fees for tax compliance services totaled \$331,965 and \$191,461 in 2010 and 2009, respectively. Tax compliance services are services provided based upon facts already in existence or transactions that have already occurred to document, compute, and obtain government approval for amounts to be included in tax filings.

Fees for tax planning and advice services totaled \$31,566 and \$79,842 in 2010 and 2009, respectively. Tax planning and advice are services provided for proposed transactions or that alter a transaction to obtain a particular tax result.

In considering the nature of the services provided by the independent auditor, the Audit Committee determined that such services are compatible with the provision of independent audit services. The Audit Committee discussed these services with the independent auditor and our management to determine that they are permitted under the rules and regulations concerning auditor independence promulgated by the Securities

Edgar Filing: C H ROBINSON WORLDWIDE INC - Form DEF 14A

and Exchange Commission to implement the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as well as the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. All services provided by the independent auditor during 2010 and 2009 were pre-approved following the policies and procedures of the Audit Committee.

Pre-Approval Policy

This company policy describes the permitted audit, audit-related, tax, and other services (collectively, the *Disclosure Categories*) that the independent auditor may perform. The policy requires that before work begins, a description of the services (the *Service List*) expected to be performed by the independent auditor, in each of the *Disclosure Categories*, be presented to the Audit Committee for approval.

Any requests for audit, audit-related, tax, and other services not included on the *Service List* must be submitted to the Audit Committee for specific pre-approval and cannot begin until approval has been granted. Normally, pre-approval is provided at regularly scheduled meetings. However, the authority to grant specific pre-approval between meetings, as necessary, has been delegated to the chairman of the Audit Committee. The chairman must update the Audit Committee at the next regularly scheduled meeting of any services that were granted specific pre-approval.

In addition, although not required by the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Audit Committee generally requests a range of fees associated with each proposed service on the *Service List* and any services that were not originally included on the *Service List*. Providing a range of fees for a service incorporates appropriate oversight and control of the independent auditor relationship, while permitting the company to receive immediate assistance from the independent auditor when time is of the essence.

The Audit Committee reviews the status of services and fees incurred year-to-date against the original *Service List* and the forecast of remaining services and fees.

The policy contains a *de minimis* provision that enables retroactive approval for permissible non-audit services under certain circumstances. The provision allows for the pre-approval requirement to be waived if all of the following criteria are met:

1. The service is not an audit, review, or other attest service;
2. The total amount of all such services provided under this provision does not exceed the lesser of \$20,000 or five percent of total fees paid to the independent auditor in a given fiscal year;
3. The services were not recognized at the time of the engagement to be non-audit services;
4. The services are promptly brought to the attention of the Audit Committee and approved by the Audit Committee or its designee; and
5. The service and fee are specifically disclosed in the Proxy Statement as meeting the *de minimis* requirements of Regulation S-X of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

BOARD VOTING RECOMMENDATION

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the company's independent auditors.

PROPOSAL FIVE: SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL ON DECLASSIFICATION OF

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

C.H. Robinson has been advised that one of its shareholders, The Nathan Cummings Foundation, intends to present a proposal at our annual meeting. Please contact our Secretary, orally or in writing, if you would like The Nathan Cummings Foundation address and stock ownership information. If The Nathan Cummings Foundation continues to qualify to propose a shareholder proposal under applicable law and it, or its representative, is present at the annual meeting and submits this proposal for a vote, then the shareholder proposal will be voted upon at our Annual Meeting. As applicable proxy regulations require, we have included the proposed resolution and supporting statement, exactly as submitted by the shareholder, both of which are set forth below under the heading Shareholder Proposal. We disclaim all responsibility for the content of the proposal and the supporting statement.

For the reasons set forth in its Statement in Opposition to Proposal No. 5 immediately following the shareholder proposal below, the Board of Directors does not support this proposal and urges you to vote AGAINST this proposal.

Shareholder Proposal

RESOLVED, that shareholders of C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc. urge the Board of Directors to take all necessary steps (other than any steps that must be taken by shareholders) to eliminate the classification of the Board of Directors, and to require that, commencing no later than the annual meeting of 2013, all directors stand for elections annually.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

This resolution, submitted by the Nathan Cummings Foundation with the assistance of the American Corporate Governance Institute, LLC, urges the board of directors to facilitate a declassification of the board. Such a change would enable shareholders to register their views on the performance of all directors at each annual meeting. Having directors stand for elections annually makes directors more accountable to shareholders, and could thereby contribute to improving performance and increasing firm value.

Over the past decade, many S&P 500 companies have declassified their board of directors. According to FactSet Research Systems, between 2000 and 2009, the number of S&P 500 companies with classified boards declined from 300 to 164. Furthermore, according to Georgeson reports, there were 187 shareholder proposals to declassify boards during the five proxy seasons of 2006 through 2010. The average percentage of votes cast in favor of proposals to declassify exceeded 65% in each of these five years.

The significant shareholder support for proposals to declassify boards is consistent with evidence in academic studies that classified boards could be associated with lower firm valuation and/or worse corporate decision-making. Studies report that:

takeover targets with classified boards are associated with lower gains to shareholders (Bebchuk, Coates, and Subramanian, 2002);

classified boards are associated with lower firm valuation (Bebchuk and Cohen, 2005);

firms with classified boards are more likely to be associated with value-decreasing acquisition decisions (Masulis, Wang, and Xie, 2007); and

classified boards are associated with lower sensitivity of compensation to performance and lower sensitivity of CEO turnover to firm performance (Faleye, 2007).

Although one study (Bates, Becher and Lemmon, 2008) reports that classified boards are associated with higher takeover premiums, this study also reports that classified boards are associated with a lower likelihood of an acquisition, and that classified boards are associated with lower firm valuation.

Please vote for this proposal to make directors more accountable to shareholders.

C.H. Robinson's Statement in Opposition to Proposal No 5.

The Board recommends a vote AGAINST the shareholder proposal set out above for the reasons described below. After careful consideration of this proposal, the Governance Committee and the entire Board have determined that retention of a classified board structure remains in the best long-term interests of C.H. Robinson and our shareholders. The Board believes that the benefits of a classified board structure do not come at the expense of director accountability. In addition, the stability and continuity, independence, and takeover protection provided by a staggered board structure have all contributed to the success of the company. Moreover, the Board believes that our strong financial performance validates the Board's commitment to the company and our shareholders.

Financial Results and Shareholder Value

While the proposal suggests that a declassified board would increase financial returns for our shareholders, there is limited objective evidence to confirm this suggestion and this is contrary to our long track record of strong financial performance. Since becoming a publicly-traded company in 1997, we have achieved compounded annual growth of 16% in net revenues and 20% in diluted earnings per share excluding the unusual items related to our initial public offering in 1997. We have also returned a significant amount of our earnings to our shareholders in cash, through prudent share repurchases and increasing dividends. The Board believes that these financial results, especially through the economic recession, are evidence of management's ability to appropriately manage the company for long-term success, and of the Board's having membership who (i) have served long enough to learn our company's business and therefore possess intimate knowledge of the company, (ii) have contributed to the development of our strategy to create long-term shareholder value and have overseen management's implementation of that strategy and (iii) are pursuing C.H. Robinson's long term business plans and goals.

This long term success has generated significant returns to our shareholders. The price of our initial offering on October 15, 1997, adjusted for stock splits, was \$4.50. Our closing price on October 15, 2010, thirteen years later, was \$72.24. This increase represents a compounded annual growth rate of 24%. In addition, we paid cumulative quarterly cash dividends of \$5.21 over that same period. Our current quarterly dividend is \$0.29.

Stability and Continuity

Our Board is structured into classes to provide stability, continuity, and independence, while also enhancing long-term planning. Our Board structure is also designed to ensure that, at any given time, our Board has experienced directors who are knowledgeable about C.H. Robinson's businesses, services, markets, opportunities and challenges. Our classified board also benefits our company and our shareholders because it helps attract and retain highly qualified director candidates who are willing to make long-term commitments of the time and resources necessary to understand C.H. Robinson, our operations and our competitive environment. This commitment is critical to achieve our strategic goals and one that is best fulfilled by a stable and continuous Board.

Independence

We believe we have sound corporate governance processes and procedures in place. Our Board is comprised entirely of independent directors, with the exception of our Chief Executive Officer. C.H. Robinson's director

independence standards reflect both the NASDAQ Stock Market and the Securities and Exchange Commission director independence standards. We have adopted a Code of Ethics which applies to all officers and directors. In addition, all of the standing committees of our Board are chaired by and consist solely of independent directors.

Electing directors to three-year terms enhances the independence of our non-employee directors by providing them with a longer term of office. This longer term provides enhanced independence from management or from special interest groups who may have an agenda contrary to the long-term interests of C.H. Robinson and our shareholders. As a result, independent directors are able to make decisions that are in the best interest of the company and our shareholders without having to consider annual elections.

Accountability to Shareholders

In accordance with our By-Laws, the Board is divided into three classes that serve staggered three-year terms. Directors elected to three-year terms are equally accountable to shareholders as directors elected annually, since all directors are required to uphold their fiduciary duties to C.H. Robinson and our shareholders regardless of their term. Furthermore, the Board believes that the annual election of one-third of the directors provides shareholders with an effective means to achieve change and evaluate the performance of the company and the directors.

Preserving Long-Term Value for Our Shareholders

Our classified board structure enables our Board and our management to make decisions that are in the interest of C.H. Robinson's long-term success and therefore our long-term owners, rather than being unduly influenced by short-term interests advanced by a minority position. An example of our long-term approach is our focus on maintaining a healthy balance sheet for the long-term success of the company. The classified Board gives the company, and long-term shareholders, the ability to weigh management decisions objectively and to keep future shareholder value in mind. We are concerned that some organizations seeking to declassify our Board have an objective to influence short-term decisions that may not be in the long-term interest of our shareholders, and our company, overall.

We also believe that a classified board enables us to better negotiate with potential acquirers. It prevents a hostile purchaser from gaining control of the board in a single annual meeting. A classified board does not preclude a takeover, but provides the board with the time and flexibility to negotiate the best arrangement on the shareholders' behalf.

For the reasons noted above, the Board of Directors recommends a vote AGAINST Proposal No. 5 on declassification of the Board of Directors.

SOLICITATION OF PROXIES

C.H. Robinson is paying the costs of solicitation, including the cost of preparing and mailing the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and this Proxy Statement. Proxies are being solicited primarily over the internet, but the solicitation may be followed by solicitation in person, by mail, by telephone, by facsimile, or by regular employees of C.H. Robinson without additional compensation. C.H. Robinson will reimburse brokers, banks and other custodians, and nominees for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in sending proxy materials to the company's shareholders. Furthermore, with respect to any proposal that a shareholder desires to be included in the company's 2012 proxy materials, such notice must be received at the above address no later than February 12, 2012.

PROPOSALS FOR THE 2012 ANNUAL MEETING

Consistent with our Bylaws and federal securities laws, any shareholder proposal to be presented at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must be received at C.H. Robinson's executive offices, 14701 Charlson Road, Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55347, not less than 90 days before the first anniversary of the prior year's meeting. Assuming that our 2011 Annual Meeting is held on schedule, we must receive notice pertaining to the 2012 Annual Meeting no later than February 12, 2012. Proposals should be sent to the attention of the Secretary, and must include certain information about the shareholder, and the business they want to be conducted. These requirements are provided in greater detail in our company Bylaws. C.H. Robinson will exercise its discretionary authority with respect to any matter not properly presented by February 12, 2012.

GENERAL

Our Annual Report and Form 10K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, in connection with this Proxy Statement, are available on the internet at www.proxyvote.com. The Annual Report is not part of the soliciting materials.

Please vote using the internet or by telephone or, if you elect to receive paper copies of the proxy materials by mail. Please sign, date, and return your proxy or voting instruction form in the prepaid envelope you received. We encourage you to attend the May 12, 2011, Annual Meeting. We will not require tickets for admission to the meeting. However, to assure that attendance is limited to shareholders, if you are not a registered shareholder please bring with you some proof of C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc. common stock ownership, such as a current brokerage statement, and a form of identification bearing a photograph. No cameras, mobile telephones, or pagers will be allowed to be used in the meeting room.

The information in this Proxy Statement under the caption "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," the "Compensation Committee Report," and "Audit Committee Report" are not incorporated by reference into any filing by the company under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that in any such filing the company expressly so incorporates such information by reference. Additionally, the "Compensation Committee Report," and "Audit Committee Report" are not soliciting material or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

By Order of the Board of Directors
Ben G. Campbell

Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary

April 1, 2011

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting: The Notice & Proxy Statement, Annual Report is/are available at www.proxyvote.com.

C.H. ROBINSON WORLDWIDE, INC.

Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Thursday, May 12, 2011

1:00 p.m., Central Daylight Saving Time

This Proxy is solicited by the C.H. Robinson Board of Directors. Please vote your Proxy as soon as possible.

By signing this document, I appoint John P. Wiehoff and Ben G. Campbell, or either of them, with full power of substitution to each, as proxy to represent me at the C.H. Robinson Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and at any associated adjournment(s). I also appoint each of them to vote all shares of Common Stock I am entitled to vote at the meeting as I have directed on the reverse side for each of the proposals in the Proxy Statement, and in their discretion on any other matters that may properly come before the meeting. C.H. Robinson's Annual Meeting of Shareholders will be held at their office located at 14800 Charlson Road, Eden Prairie, Minnesota, on May 12, 2011 at 1:00 PM local time.

This Proxy, when properly executed, will be voted as you directed. If you do not give any direction, this Proxy will be voted FOR the election of each of the director nominees listed under Proposal 1, FOR the item in Proposal 2, FOR the 1 Year period in Proposal 3, For the item in Proposal 4 and Against the item in Proposal 5. The tabulator cannot vote the shares unless you vote by telephone, Internet, or by mail. If you choose to mail your Proxy, you must sign and return this proxy.
Address change/comments:

(If you noted any Address Changes and/or Comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)

Continued and to be signed on reverse side

Edgar Filing: C H ROBINSON WORLDWIDE INC - Form DEF 14A

1a. Robert Ezrilov

.. ..

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following proposal:

1b. Wayne M. Fortun

1c. Brian P. Short

.. ..

For Against Abstain

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following proposal:

For Against Abstain

4 Ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011.

.. ..

2 To approve, by non-binding vote, named executive officer compensation.

.. ..

The Board of Directors recommends you vote AGAINST the following proposal:

For Against Abstain

5 Shareholder Board Declassification Proposal.

.. ..

The Board of Directors recommends you vote for the 1 YEAR period on the following proposal:

1 year 2 years 3 years Abstain

NOTE: The Board of Directors shall consider such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

3 To recommend, by non-binding vote, frequency of executive compensation votes.

.. ..

For address change/comments, mark here.

..

(see reverse for instructions)

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name, by authorized officer.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX]

Signature (Joint Owners)

Date

***** Exercise Your *Right* to Vote *****

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the
Shareholder Meeting to Be Held on May 12, 2011**

C. H. ROBINSON WORLDWIDE, INC.

Meeting Information

Meeting Type: Annual Meeting
For holders as of: March 15, 2011
Date: May 12, 2011 **Time:** 1:00 PM CDT
Location: C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.
14800 Charlson Rd.

Eden Prairie, MN 55347

You are receiving this communication because you hold shares in the above named company.

This is not a ballot. You cannot use this notice to vote these shares. This communication presents only an overview of the more complete proxy materials that are available to you on the Internet. You may view the proxy materials online at www.proxyvote.com or easily request a paper copy (see reverse side).

We encourage you to access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials before voting.

See the reverse side of this notice to obtain proxy materials and voting instructions.

Before You Vote

How to Access the Proxy Materials

Proxy Materials Available to VIEW or RECEIVE:

1. Notice & Proxy Statement
2. Annual Report

How to View Online:

Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow g XXXX XXXX XXXX (located on the following page) and visit: www.proxyvote.com.

How to Request and Receive a PAPER or E-MAIL Copy:

If you want to receive a paper or e-mail copy of these documents, you must request one. There is NO charge for requesting a copy. Please choose one of the following methods to make your request:

- | | |
|-------------------------|--|
| 1) <i>BY INTERNET:</i> | www.proxyvote.com |
| 2) <i>BY TELEPHONE:</i> | 1-800-579-1639 |
| 3) <i>BY E-MAIL*:</i> | sendmaterial@proxyvote.com |

* If requesting materials by e-mail, please send a blank e-mail with the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow g xxxx xxxx xxxx (located on the following page) in the subject line.

Requests, instructions and other inquiries sent to this e-mail address will NOT be forwarded to your investment advisor. Please make the request as instructed above on or before April 28, 2011 to facilitate timely delivery.

How To Vote

Please Choose One of the Following Voting Methods

Vote In Person: Many shareholder meetings have attendance requirements including, but not limited to, the possession of an attendance ticket issued by the entity holding the meeting. Please check the meeting materials

Edgar Filing: C H ROBINSON WORLDWIDE INC - Form DEF 14A

for any special requirements for meeting attendance. At the meeting, you will need to request a ballot to vote these shares.

Vote By Internet: To vote now by Internet, go to www.proxyvote.com. Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow g xxxx xxxx xxxx available and follow the instructions.

Vote By Mail: You can vote by mail by requesting a paper copy of the materials, which will include a proxy card.

Voting items

**The Board of Directors recommends you vote
FOR the following:**

**1. Election of Directors
Nominees**

NOTE: The Board of Directors shall consider such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

1a. Robert Ezrilov

1b. Wayne M. Fortun

1c. Brian P. Short

**The Board of Directors recommends you vote
FOR the following proposal:**

- 2** To approve, by non-binding vote, named executive officer compensation.

**The Board of Directors recommends you vote
for the 1 YEAR period on the following
proposal:**

- 3** To recommend, by non-binding vote, frequency of executive compensation votes.

**The Board of Directors recommends you vote
FOR the following proposal:**

- 4** Ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011.

**The Board of Directors recommends you vote
AGAINST the following proposal:**

- 5** Shareholder Board Declassification Proposal.