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## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
GREIF, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(UNAUDITED)
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

|  | Three months ended April 30, |  |  |  | Six months ended April 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net sales | \$ | 1,050,766 | \$ | 836,580 |  | \$ 1,994,558 | \$ 1,546,262 |
| Cost of products sold |  | 843,412 |  | 668,064 |  | 1,611,119 | 1,240,034 |
| Gross profit |  | 207,354 |  | 168,516 |  | 383,439 | 306,228 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses |  | 113,909 |  | 91,668 |  | 220,362 | 174,050 |
| Restructuring charges |  | 5,020 |  | 4,790 |  | 8,011 | 10,787 |
| (Gain) on disposal of properties, plants and equipment, net |  | $(2,857)$ |  | (701) |  | $(4,936)$ | $(2,029)$ |
| Operating profit |  | 91,282 |  | 72,759 |  | 160,002 | 123,420 |
| Interest expense, net |  | 18,585 |  | 16,759 |  | 35,382 | 31,647 |
| Other expense, net |  | 7,306 |  | 896 |  | 5,416 | 3,659 |
| Income before income tax expense and equity earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affilitates, net |  | 65,391 |  | 55,104 |  | 119,204 | 88,114 |
| Income tax expense |  | 14,775 |  | 10,514 |  | 28,013 | 17,182 |
| Equity earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax |  | (25) |  | 242 |  | 497 | 131 |
| Net income |  | 50,591 |  | 44,832 |  | 91,688 | 71,063 |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests |  | 293 |  | $(2,198)$ |  | 637 | $(3,610)$ |
| Net income attributable to Greif, Inc. | \$ | 50,884 | \$ | 42,634 | \$ | \$ 92,325 | \$ 67,453 |

## Basic earnings per share attributable to Greif,

Inc. common shareholders:
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Class A Common Stock } & \$ & 0.87 & \$ & 0.73 & \$ & 1.58 & \$ & 1.16\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Class B Common Stock } & \$ & 1.31 & \$ & 1.10 & \$ & 2.37 & \$ & 1.73\end{array}$

Diluted earnings per share attributable to Greif, Inc. common shareholders:
Class A Common Stock $\quad \$ \quad 0.87$ \$ $\quad 0.73$ \$ $\begin{array}{llllll}1.58 & \$ & 1.16\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Class B Common Stock } & \$ & 1.31 & \$ & 1.10 & \$ & 2.37 & \$ & 1.73\end{array}$

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
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## GREIF, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED) <br> (Dollars in thousands) <br> ASSETS

|  | April 30, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { October 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 95,548 | \$ | 106,957 |
| Trade accounts receivable, less allowance of \$12,259 in 2011 and \$13,117 |  |  |  |  |
| in 2010 |  | 521,396 |  | 480,158 |
| Inventories |  | 456,105 |  | 396,572 |
| Deferred tax assets |  | 19,135 |  | 19,526 |
| Net assets held for sale |  | 19,679 |  | 28,407 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets |  | 149,446 |  | 134,269 |
|  |  | 1,261,309 |  | 1,165,889 |
| Long-term assets |  |  |  |  |
| Goodwill |  | 731,400 |  | 709,725 |
| Other intangible assets, net of amortization |  | 172,089 |  | 173,239 |
| Deferred tax assets |  | 33,981 |  | 29,982 |
| Assets held by special purpose entities |  | 50,891 |  | 50,891 |
| Other long-term assets |  | 118,237 |  | 93,603 |
|  |  | 1,106,598 |  | 1,057,440 |
| Properties, plants and equipment |  |  |  |  |
| Timber properties, net of depletion |  | 215,517 |  | 215,537 |
| Land |  | 131,171 |  | 121,409 |
| Buildings |  | 447,390 |  | 411,437 |
| Machinery and equipment |  | 1,383,987 |  | 1,302,597 |
| Capital projects in progress |  | 129,712 |  | 112,300 |
|  |  | $2,307,777$ |  | $2,163,280$ |
| Accumulated depreciation |  | $(962,165)$ |  | $(888,164)$ |
|  |  | 1,345,612 |  | 1,275,116 |
| Total assets | \$ | 3,713,519 | \$ | 3,498,445 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
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## GREIF, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED) <br> (Dollars in thousands) <br> LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

|  | April 30, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { October 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 412,828 | \$ | 448,310 |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits |  | 90,411 |  | 90,887 |
| Restructuring reserves |  | 18,626 |  | 20,238 |
| Current portion of long-term debt |  | 12,500 |  | 12,523 |
| Short-term borrowings |  | 105,698 |  | 60,908 |
| Deferred tax liabilities |  | 7,153 |  | 5,091 |
| Other current liabilities |  | 135,782 |  | 123,854 |
|  |  | 782,998 |  | 761,811 |
| Long-term liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Long-term debt |  | 1,088,215 |  | 953,066 |
| Deferred tax liabilities |  | 181,341 |  | 180,486 |
| Pension liabilities |  | 57,076 |  | 65,915 |
| Postretirement benefit obligations |  | 22,515 |  | 21,555 |
| Liabilities held by special purpose entities |  | 43,250 |  | 43,250 |
| Other long-term liabilities |  | 110,480 |  | 116,930 |
|  |  | 1,502,877 |  | 1,381,202 |
| Shareholders equity |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock, without par value |  | 109,469 |  | 106,057 |
| Treasury stock, at cost |  | $(120,299)$ |  | $(117,394)$ |
| Retained earnings |  | 1,366,972 |  | 1,323,477 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss: |  |  |  |  |
| - foreign currency translation |  | 68,337 |  | 44,612 |
| - interest rate and other derivatives |  | (755) |  | $(1,505)$ |
| - minimum pension liabilities |  | $(77,377)$ |  | $(76,526)$ |
| Toal Greif, Inc. shareholders equity |  | 1,346,347 |  | 1,278,721 |
| Noncontrolling interests |  | 81,297 |  | 76,711 |
| Total shareholders equity |  | 1,427,644 |  | 1,355,432 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ | 3,713,519 | \$ | 3,498,445 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
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## GREIF, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED) <br> (Dollars in thousands)

| For the six months ended April 30, | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |
| Net income | 91,688 | $\$$ |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities: |  | 71,063 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 67,702 | 57,179 |
| Asset impairments | $(691)$ | 239 |
| Deferred income taxes | $(4,936)$ | $(2,333$ |
| Gain on disposals of properties, plants and equipment, net | $(497)$ | $(131)$ |
| Equity earnings of affiliates | $(24,419)$ | $(45,346)$ |
| Increase (decrease) in cash from changes in certain assets and liabilities: | $(44,559)$ | $(46,452)$ |
| Trade accounts receivable | $(9,986)$ | $(8,107)$ |
| Inventories | $(47,953)$ | $(99,809)$ |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | $(2,745)$ | $(9,672)$ |
| Accounts payable | $(1,612)$ | 595 |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | $(8,460)$ | 29,464 |
| Restructuring reserves | $(7,879)$ | 4,125 |
| Other current liabilities | $(18,979)$ | $(10,674)$ |
| Pension and postretirement benefit liabilities | $(12,506)$ | $(56,222)$ |
| Other long-term assets, other long-term liabilities and other |  |  |
| Net cash used in operating activities |  |  |

## Cash flows from investing activities:

Acquisitions of companies, net of cash acquired $\quad(28,468)$
Purchases of properties, plants and equipment $\quad(73,676)$
Purchases of timber properties
$(16,615)$
Proceeds from the sale of properties, plants, equipment and other assets
5,498
$(21,817)$
Issuance of notes receivable

Net cash used in investing activities
$(120,013)$
$(191,381)$

## Cash flows from financing activities:

Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt

| $1,551,903$ | $1,517,186$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(1,404,655)$ | $(1,378,976)$ |
| 40,724 | 21,360 |
| $(18,900)$ | 108,600 |
| $(48,830)$ | $(44,100)$ |
| 338 | 364 |
| $(3,060)$ |  |

Net cash provided by financing activities
117,520
224,434

| Effects of exchange rates on cash | 3,590 | $(3,694)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | $(11,409)$ | $(26,863)$ |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 106,957 | 111,896 |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | $\$$ | 95,548 | $\$$ |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

## GREIF, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> April 30, 2011

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Basis of Presentation

The information furnished herein reflects all adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated balance sheets as of April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 and the consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the three month and six month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 of Greif, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company ). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, all wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries and investments in limited liability companies, partnerships and joint ventures in which it has controlling influence. Non-majority owned entities include investments in limited liability companies, partnerships and joint ventures in which the Company does not have controlling influence.
The unaudited consolidated financial statements included in the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (this Form 10-Q ) should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for its fiscal year ended October 31, 2010 (the 2010 Form 10-K ). Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements from the 2010 Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ is specifically incorporated in this Form $10-\mathrm{Q}$ by reference. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements have been included are of a normal and recurring nature.
The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) instructions to Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and include all of the information and disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) for interim financial reporting. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual amounts could differ from those estimates.
The Company sfiscal year begins on November 1 and ends on October 31 of the following year. Any references to the year 2011 or 2010, or to any quarter of those years, relates to the fiscal year or quarter, as the case may be, ending in that year.
Certain and appropriate prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2011 presentation.

## Newly Adopted Accounting Standards

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) amended Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) 860, Transfers and Servicing. The amendment to ASC 860 requires an enterprise to evaluate whether the transaction is legally isolated from the Company and whether the results of the transaction are consolidated within the consolidated financial statements. The Company adopted the new guidance beginning November 1, 2010, and the adoption of the new guidance did not impact the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows, other than the related disclosures.
In June 2009, the FASB amended ASC 810, Consolidation. The amendment to ASC 810 changed the methodology for determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity ( VIE ) from a quantitative risk and rewards based model to a qualitative determination. It also requires enhanced disclosures that will provide users of financial statements with more transparent information about an enterprise s involvement in a VIE. Accordingly, the Company reevaluated its previous ASC 810 conclusions, including (1) whether an entity is a VIE, (2) whether the enterprise is the VIE s primary beneficiary, and (3) what type of financial statement disclosures are required. The Company adopted the new guidance beginning November 1, 2010, and the adoption of the new guidance did not impact the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows, other than the related disclosures.

## Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Effective July 1, 2009, changes to the ASC are communicated through an Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ). As of April 30, 2011, the FASB has issued ASU s 2009-01 through 2011-03. The Company has reviewed each ASU and determined that they will not have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows, other than the related disclosure.
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## NOTE 2 ACOUISITIONS, DIVESTITURES AND OTHER SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTIONS

|  | Purchase <br> Price, |  |  |  |  | Operating | Tangible <br> Assets, | Intangible |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Note: Purchase price, net of cash acquired, does not factor payments for earn-out provisions on prior acquisitions. Revenue and operating profit represent activity only in the year of acquisition. Goodwill in 2010 excludes an immaterial acquisition in our Land Management segment
During the first half of 2011, the Company s acquisition activity was not material.
During 2010, the Company completed twelve acquisitions consisting of seven rigid industrial packaging companies and five flexible products companies and made a contingent purchase price payment related to a 2008 acquisition. The seven rigid industrial packaging companies consisted of a European company purchased in November 2009, an Asian company purchased in June 2010, a North American drum reconditioning company purchased in July 2010, a North American drum reconditioning company purchased in August 2010, a European company purchased in August 2010, a 51 percent interest in a Middle Eastern company purchased in September 2010 and a South American company purchased in September 2010. The five flexible products companies acquired conduct business throughout Europe, Asia and North America and were acquired in February, June, August and September 2010. The rigid industrial packaging acquisitions are expected to complement the Company s existing product lines that together will provide growth opportunities and economies of scale. The drum reconditioning acquisitions, within our Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services segment, and the flexible products acquisitions expand the Company s product and service offerings. The estimated fair value of the net tangible assets acquired was $\$ 104.9$ million. Identifiable intangible assets, with a combined fair value of $\$ 49.5$ million, including trade-names, customer relationships, and certain non-compete agreements, have been recorded for these acquisitions. The excess of the purchase prices over the estimated fair values of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired of $\$ 120.4$ million was recorded as goodwill.
The five flexible products companies were contributed to a joint venture on September 29, 2010. See Flexible Products Joint Venture included in Note 8 for additional information on this joint venture. The aggregate purchase price in the table above includes the reimbursement of $\$ 98.2$ million received from the other joint venture partner relating to its investment and reimbursement of certain costs.
Had the transactions described above occurred on November 1, 2009, results of operations would not have differed materially from reported results.

## NOTE 3 SALE OF NON-UNITED STATES ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Pursuant to the terms of a Receivable Purchase Agreement (the RPA ) between Greif Coordination Center BVBA, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Greif, Inc., and a major international bank, the seller agreed to sell trade receivables meeting certain eligibility requirements that seller had purchased from other indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries of Greif, Inc., including Greif Belgium BVBA, Greif Germany GmbH, Greif Nederland BV, Greif Packaging Belgium NV, Greif Spain SA, Greif Sweden AB, Greif Packaging Norway AS, Greif Packaging France, SAS, Greif Packaging Spain SA, Greif Portugal Lda and Greif UK Ltd, under discounted receivables purchase agreements and from Greif France SAS under a factoring agreement. This agreement is amended from time to time to add additional Greif entities. In addition, Greif Italia S.P.A. also an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Greif, Inc., entered into the Italian Receivables Purchase Agreement with the Italian branch of the major international bank (the Italian RPA ) agreeing to sell trade receivables that meet certain eligibility criteria to such branch. The Italian RPA is similar in structure and terms as the RPA. The maximum amount of receivables that may be financed under the RPA and the Italian RPA is 115 million ( $\$ 168.6$ million) at April 30, 2011.
In October 2007, Greif Singapore Pte. Ltd., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Greif, Inc., entered into the Singapore Receivable Purchase Agreement (the Singapore RPA ) with a major international bank. The maximum
amount of aggregate receivables that may be financed under the Singapore RPA is 15.0 million Singapore Dollars ( $\$ 12.2$ million) at April 30, 2011.
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In October 2008, Greif Embalagens Industriais do Brasil Ltda., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Greif, Inc., entered into agreements (the Brazil Agreements ) with Brazilian banks. There is no maximum amount of aggregate receivables that may be financed under the Brazil Agreements; however, the sale of individual receivables is subject to approval by the banks.
In May 2009, Greif Malaysia Sdn Bhd., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Greif, Inc., entered into the Malaysian Receivables Purchase Agreement (the Malaysian Agreements ) with Malaysian banks. The maximum amount of the aggregate receivables that may be financed under the Malaysian Agreements is 15.0 million Malaysian Ringgits ( $\$ 5.0$ million) at April 30, 2011.
The structure of the transactions provide for a legal true sale, on a revolving basis, of the receivables transferred from the various Greif, Inc. subsidiaries to the respective banks. The bank funds an initial purchase price of a certain percentage of eligible receivables based on a formula with the initial purchase price approximating 75 percent to 90 percent of eligible receivables. The remaining deferred purchase price is settled upon collection of the receivables. At the balance sheet reporting dates, the Company removes from accounts receivable the amount of proceeds received from the initial purchase price since they meet the applicable criteria of ASC 860, Transfers and Servicing, and continues to recognize the deferred purchase price in its accounts receivable. At the time the receivables are initially sold, the difference between the carrying amount and the fair value of the assets sold are included as a loss on sale in the consolidated statements of operations. The receivables are sold on a non-recourse basis with the total funds in the servicing collection accounts pledged to the banks between settlement dates.
At April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, 109.7 million ( $\$ 160.7$ million) and 117.6 million ( $\$ 162.9$ million), respectively, of accounts receivable were sold under the RPA and Italian RPA. At April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, 7.7 million Singapore Dollars ( $\$ 6.3$ million) and 6.7 million Singapore Dollars ( $\$ 5.4$ million), respectively, of accounts receivable were sold under the Singapore RPA. At April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, 32.7 million Brazilian Reais ( $\$ 20.9$ million) and 11.7 million Brazilian Reais ( $\$ 6.9$ million), respectively, of accounts receivable were sold under the Brazil Agreements. At April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, 10.3 million Malaysian Ringgits ( $\$ 3.4$ million) and 6.3 million Malaysian Ringgits ( $\$ 2.0$ million), respectively, of accounts receivable were sold under the Malaysian Agreements.
Expenses associated with the RPA and Italian RPA totaled 0.7 million ( $\$ 1.0$ million) and 0.7 million ( $\$ 0.9$ million) for the three months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively; and 1.5 million ( $\$ 2.0$ million) and 1.4 million ( $\$ 1.9$ million) for the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
Expenses associated with the Singapore RPA totaled 0.1 million Singapore Dollars ( $\$ 0.1$ million) and 0.1 million Singapore Dollars ( $\$ 0.1$ million) for the three months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively; and 0.2 million Singapore Dollars ( $\$ 0.2$ million) and 0.2 million Singapore Dollars ( $\$ 0.2$ million) for the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
Expenses associated with the Brazil Agreements totaled 0.8 million Brazilian Reais ( $\$ 0.5$ million) and 1.0 million Brazilian Reais ( $\$ 0.6$ million) for the three months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively; and 1.8 million Brazilian Reais ( $\$ 1.1$ million) and 2.1 million Brazilian Reais ( $\$ 1.2$ million) for the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
Expenses associated with the Malaysian Agreements totaled 0.2 million Malaysian Ringgits ( $\$ 0.1$ million) and were insignificant for the three months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively; and 0.4 million Malaysian Ringgits ( $\$ 0.1$ million) and were insignificant for the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
Additionally, the Company performs collections and administrative functions on the receivables sold similar to the procedures it uses for collecting all of its receivables, including receivables that are not sold under the RPA, the Italian RPA, the Singapore RPA, the Brazil Agreements, and the Malaysian Agreements. The servicing liability for these receivables is not material to the consolidated financial statements.
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## NOTE 4 INVENTORIES

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, utilizing the first-in, first-out basis. Inventories are summarized as follows (Dollars in thousands):

|  | April 30, | October 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Finished Goods | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |
| Raw materials and work-in-process | $\$ 104,278$ | $\$$92,469 <br>  | 351,827 |

## NOTE 5 NET ASSETS HELD FOR SALE

As of April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, there were twelve and sixteen locations with assets held for sale, respectively. During the first six months of 2011, we sold two locations, added two locations and four locations were placed back in service and depreciation was resumed. The net assets held for sale are being marketed for sale and it is the Company s intention to complete the facility sales within the upcoming year. For the six months ended April 30, 2011, there was a sale of a location in the Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services segment which resulted in a $\$ 2.6$ million gain, a sale of a location in the Paper Packaging segment which resulted in a $\$ 1.2$ million gain and sales of other miscellaneous equipment which resulted in a $\$ 1.1$ million gain.

## NOTE 6 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table summarizes the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by segment for the six month period ended April 30, 2011 (Dollars in thousands):

|  | Rigid Industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Flexible <br>  |  | Paper <br> Packaging |  | Land <br> Management |  | Total |  |
|  | Packaging \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Services |  | Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at October 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | \$ | 570,661 | \$ | 78,261 | \$ | 60,653 | \$ | 150 | \$ | 709,725 |
| Goodwill acquired |  | 11,383 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11,383 |
| Goodwill adjustments |  | 102 |  | $(8,616)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(8,514)$ |
| Currency translation |  | 14,294 |  | 4,512 |  |  |  |  |  | 18,806 |
| Balance at April 30, 2011 | \$ | 596,440 | \$ | 74,157 | \$ | 60,653 | \$ | 150 | \$ | 731,400 |

The goodwill adjustments decreased goodwill by $\$ 8.5$ million and consisted in part of $\$ 8.6$ million of tangible asset valuation adjustments related to three of the 2010 acquisitions within the Flexible Products \& Services segment. Certain business combinations that occurred at or near year end were recorded with provisional estimates for fair value based on management s best estimate.
The Company reviews goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment by a reporting as required by ASC 350, Intangibles Goodwill and Other , either annually or when events and circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. A reporting unit is the operating segment, or a business one level below that operating segment if discrete financial information is prepared and regularly reviewed by segment management. The Company s business segments have been identified as reporting units and the Company concluded that no impairment or impairment indicators exist at this time.
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The following table summarizes the carrying amount of net intangible assets by class as of April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 (Dollars in thousands):

|  | Gross <br> Intangible <br> Assets |  | Accumulated Amortization |  | Net <br> Intangible Assets |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 31, 2010: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trademark and patents | \$ | 42,878 | \$ | 17,184 | \$ | 25,694 |
| Non-compete agreements |  | 20,456 |  | 7,774 |  | 12,682 |
| Customer relationships |  | 153,131 |  | 27,091 |  | 126,040 |
| Other |  | 15,235 |  | 6,412 |  | 8,823 |
| Total | \$ | 231,700 | \$ | 58,461 | \$ | 173,239 |
| April 30, 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trademark and patents | \$ | 43,823 | \$ | 17,290 | \$ | 26,533 |
| Non-compete agreements |  | 21,545 |  | 9,441 |  | 12,104 |
| Customer relationships |  | 158,073 |  | 32,364 |  | 125,709 |
| Other |  | 15,292 |  | 7,549 |  | 7,743 |
| Total | \$ | 238,733 | \$ | 66,644 | \$ | 172,089 |

Gross intangible assets increased by $\$ 7.0$ million for the six month period ended April 30, 2011. The increase in gross intangible assets was attributable to $\$ 1.2$ million in preliminary purchase price allocations related to three of the 2010 acquisitions within the Flexible Products \& Services segment and one 2011 acquisition in the Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services segment and $\$ 5.8$ million was attributable to currency fluctuations. Amortization expense for the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 was $\$ 8.2$ million and $\$ 6.7$ million, respectively. Amortization expense for the next five years is expected to be $\$ 18.6$ million in $2011, \$ 17.5$ million in $2012, \$ 15.4$ million in 2013 , $\$ 14.5$ million in 2014 and $\$ 13.7$ million in 2015.
All intangible assets for the periods presented are subject to amortization and are being amortized using the straight-line method over periods that range from three to 23 years, except for $\$ 12.9$ million related to the Tri-Sure trademark and the trade names related to Blagden Express, Closed-loop, and Box Board, all of which have indefinite lives.

## NOTE 7 RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

The following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending restructuring reserve balances for the six month period ended April 30, 2011 (Dollars in thousands):


The focus for restructuring activities in 2011 continues to be on the integration of recent acquisitions in the Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services and Flexible Products \& Services segments. During the first six months of 2011, the Company recorded restructuring charges of $\$ 8.0$ million, which compares to $\$ 10.8$ million of restructuring charges during the first six months of 2010. The restructuring activity for the six month period ended April 30, 2011 consisted of $\$ 4.2$ million in employee separation costs, $\$ 0.8$ million in asset impairments and $\$ 3.0$ million in other costs. The $\$ 4.2$ million in employee separation costs relates to the realignment of the Company s management structure, plant closings and prior year acquisitions. The $\$ 3.0$ million in other costs relates to professional fees and other administrative costs. The restructuring activity for the six month period ended April 30, 2010 consisted of $\$ 6.7$ million in employee separation costs, $\$ 0.2$ million in asset impairments and $\$ 3.9$ million in other costs.
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The following is a reconciliation of the total amounts expected to be incurred from open restructuring plans which are anticipated to be realized in 2011 and 2012 or plans that are being formulated and have not been announced as of the date of this Form 10-Q (Dollars in thousands):

|  | Amounts Expected to be Incurred |  | Three months ended April 30, 2011 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Six months } \\ & \text { ended } \\ & \text { April 30, } 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  | Amounts Remaining to be Incurred |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee separation costs | \$ | 3,564 | \$ | 961 | \$ | 2,041 | \$ | 1,523 |
| Asset impairments |  | 333 |  | 333 |  | 333 |  |  |
| Other restructuring costs |  | 8,270 |  | 1,010 |  | 2,175 |  | 6,095 |
|  |  | 12,167 |  | 2,304 |  | 4,549 |  | 7,618 |
| Flexible Products \& Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee separation costs |  | 2,328 |  | 2,100 |  | 2,181 |  | 147 |
| Other restructuring costs |  | 1,082 |  | 1,075 |  | 1,050 |  | 32 |
|  |  | 3,410 |  | 3,175 |  | 3,231 |  | 179 |
| Paper Packaging |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee separation costs |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |
| Asset impairments |  |  |  |  |  | 486 |  |  |
| Other restructuring costs |  |  |  | (453) |  | (265) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (453) |  | 237 |  |  |
| Land Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee separation costs |  |  |  | (6) |  | (6) |  |  |
|  | \$ | 15,577 | \$ | 5,020 | \$ | 8,011 | \$ | 7,797 |

The gain recognized within the Paper Packaging segment reflects actual expenditures being less than originally estimated for completed restructuring activities.

## NOTE 8 VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

The Company evaluates whether an entity is a VIE whenever reconsideration events occur. The Company consolidates VIE s for which it is the primary beneficiary. If the Company is not the primary beneficiary and an ownership interest is held, the VIE is accounted for under the equity or cost methods of accounting. When assessing the determination of the primary beneficiary, the Company considers all relevant facts and circumstances, including: the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance and the obligation to absorb the expected losses and/or the right to receive the expected returns of the VIE. The Company performs ongoing reassessments of all VIE s to determine if the primary beneficiary status is appropriate. As of April 30, 2011, the Company is the primary beneficiary of the two VIE s, as discussed below.

## Significant Nonstrategic Timberland Transactions

On March 28, 2005, Soterra LLC (a wholly owned subsidiary) entered into two real estate purchase and sale agreements with Plum Creek Timberlands, L.P. ( Plum Creek ) to sell approximately 56,000 acres of timberland and related assets located primarily in Florida for an aggregate sales price of approximately $\$ 90$ million, subject to closing adjustments. In connection with the closing of one of these agreements, Soterra LLC sold approximately 35,000 acres of timberland and associated assets in Florida, Georgia and Alabama for $\$ 51.0$ million, resulting in a pretax gain of $\$ 42.1$ million, on May 23, 2005. The purchase price was paid in the form of cash and a $\$ 50.9$ million purchase note payable (the Purchase Note ) by an indirect subsidiary of Plum Creek (the Buyer SPE ). Soterra LLC contributed the Purchase Note to STA Timber LLC ( STA Timber ), one of the Company s indirect wholly owned subsidiaries. The

Purchase Note is secured by a Deed of Guarantee issued by Bank of America, N.A., London Branch, in an amount not to exceed $\$ 52.3$ million (the Deed of Guarantee ), as a guarantee of the due and punctual payment of principal and interest on the Purchase Note.
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On May 31, 2005, STA Timber issued in a private placement its 5.20\% Senior Secured Notes due August 5, 2020 (the Monetization Notes ) in the principal amount of $\$ 43.3$ million. In connection with the sale of the Monetization Notes, STA Timber entered into note purchase agreements with the purchasers of the Monetization Notes (the Note Purchase Agreements ) and related documentation. The Monetization Notes are secured by a pledge of the Purchase Note and the Deed of Guarantee. The Monetization Notes may be accelerated in the event of a default in payment or a breach of the other obligations set forth therein or in the Note Purchase Agreements or related documents, subject in certain cases to any applicable cure periods, or upon the occurrence of certain insolvency or bankruptcy related events. The Monetization Notes are subject to a mechanism that may cause them, subject to certain conditions, to be extended to November 5, 2020. The proceeds from the sale of the Monetization Notes were primarily used for the repayment of indebtedness. Greif, Inc. and its other subsidiaries have not extended any form of guaranty of the principal or interest on the Monetization Notes. Accordingly, Greif, Inc. and its other subsidiaries will not become directly or contingently liable for the payment of the Monetization Notes at any time.
The Buyer SPE is deemed to be a VIE since the assets of the Buyer SPE are not available to satisfy the liabilities of the Buyer SPE. The Company is the primary beneficiary because it has (1) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance, and (2) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE.
At April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, assets of the Buyer SPE consisted of $\$ 50.9$ million of restricted bank financial instruments. For the six month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, the Buyer SPE recorded interest income of $\$ 1.2$ million, respectively. The Buyer SPE is a separate and distinct legal entity from the Company. However, it has been consolidated into the operations of the Company.
At April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, STA Timber had long-term debt of $\$ 43.3$ million. For the six month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, STA Timber recorded interest expense of $\$ 1.1$ million, respectively. STA Timber is exposed to credit-related losses in the event of nonperformance by the issuer of the Deed of Guarantee.

## Flexible Products Joint Venture

On September 29, 2010, Greif, Inc. and its indirect subsidiary Greif International Holding Supra C.V. ( Greif Supra, ) formed a joint venture (referred to herein as the Flexible Products JV ) with Dabbagh Group Holding Company Limited and its subsidiary National Scientific Company Limited ( NSC ). The Flexible Products JV owns the operations in the Flexible Products \& Services segment, with the exception of the North American multi-wall bag business. The Flexible Products JV has been consolidated into the operations of the Company as of its formation date of September 29, 2010.
The Flexible Products JV is deemed to be a VIE since the total equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the legal entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. The Company is the primary beneficiary because it has (1) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance, and (2) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE.
The economic and business purpose underlying the Flexible Products JV is to establish a global industrial flexible products enterprise through a series of targeted acquisitions and major investments in plant, machinery and equipment. All entities contributed to the Flexible Products JV were existing businesses acquired by Greif Supra and that were reorganized under Pinwheel Asset Holding B.V. and Pinwheel Trading Holding B.V. ( Asset Co. and Trading Co. ), respectively. The Company has $51 \%$ ownership in Trading Co. and $49 \%$ ownership in Asset Co. However, Greif Supra and NSC have equal economic interests in the Flexible Products JV, notwithstanding the actual ownership interests in the various legal entities.
All investments, loans and capital contributions are to be shared equally by Greif Supra and NSC and each partner has committed to contribute capital of up to $\$ 150$ million and obtain third party financing for up to $\$ 150$ million as required.
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The following table presents the Flexible Products JV total net assets (Dollars in thousands):

| April 30, 2011 | Asset Co. |  | Trading Co. |  | Flexible Products JV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total assets | A | 197,056 | \$ | 162,136 | \$ | 359,192 |
| Total liabilities |  | 94,208 |  | 63,029 |  | 157,237 |
| Net assets | \$ | 102,848 | \$ | 99,107 | \$ | 201,955 |
|  | Asset Co. |  | Trading |  | Flexible Products |  |
| October 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 187,727 |  |  | \$ | 166,956 | \$ | 354,683 |
| Total liabilities |  | 79,243 |  | 65,033 |  | 144,276 |
| Net assets | \$ | 108,484 | \$ | 101,923 | \$ | 210,407 |

Net income (loss) attributable to the non controlling interest in the Flexible Products JV for the three and six months ended April 30, 2011 was (\$1.9) and (\$3.6) million, respectively and was added to net income to arrive at net income attributable to the Company.

## NOTE 9 LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt is summarized as follows (Dollars in thousands):

|  | April 30, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { October 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Credit Agreement | \$ | 429,152 | \$ | 273,700 |
| Senior Notes due 2017 |  | 303,124 |  | 303,396 |
| Senior Notes due 2019 |  | 242,613 |  | 242,306 |
| Trade accounts receivable credit facility |  | 116,100 |  | 135,000 |
| Other long-term debt |  | 9,726 |  | 11,187 |
| Less current portion |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,100,715 \\ (12,500) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 965,589 \\ (12,523) \end{gathered}$ |
| Long-term debt | \$ | 1,088,215 | \$ | 953,066 |

## Credit Agreement

On October 29, 2010, the Company obtained a $\$ 1.0$ billion senior secured credit facility pursuant to an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with a syndicate of financial institutions (the Credit Agreement ). The Credit Agreement provides for a $\$ 750$ million revolving multicurrency credit facility and a $\$ 250$ million term loan, both expiring October 29, 2015, with an option to add $\$ 250$ million to the facilities with the agreement of the lenders. The $\$ 250$ million term loan is scheduled to amortize by $\$ 3.1$ million each quarter-end for the first eight quarters, $\$ 6.3$ million each quarter-end for the next eleven quarters and $\$ 156.3$ million on the maturity date. The Credit Agreement replaced and refinanced the Company s then existing credit agreement that provided the Company with a $\$ 500$ million revolving multicurrency credit facility and a $\$ 200$ million term loan.
The Credit Agreement is available to fund ongoing working capital and capital expenditure needs, for general corporate purposes and to finance acquisitions. Interest is based on a Eurodollar rate or a base rate that resets periodically plus a calculated margin amount. As of April 30, 2011, $\$ 429.2$ million was outstanding under the Credit Agreement. The current portion of the Credit Agreement was $\$ 12.5$ million and the long-term portion was
$\$ 416.7$ million. The weighted average interest rate on the Credit Agreement was $2.14 \%$ for the six months ended April 30, 2011.
The Credit Agreement contains financial covenants that require the Company to maintain a certain leverage ratio and a fixed charge coverage ratio. At April 30, 2011, the Company was in compliance with these covenants.

## Table of Contents

## Senior Notes due 2017

On February 9, 2007, the Company issued $\$ 300.0$ million of $6.75 \%$ Senior Notes due February 1, 2017. Interest on these Senior Notes is payable semi-annually. Proceeds from the issuance of these Senior Notes were principally used to fund the purchase of previously outstanding $8.875 \%$ Senior Subordinated Notes in a tender offer and for general corporate purposes.
The fair value of these Senior Notes due 2017 was $\$ 321.1$ million at April 30, 2011 based upon quoted market prices. The indenture pursuant to which these Senior Notes were issued contains certain covenants. At April 30, 2011, the Company was in compliance with these covenants.

## Senior Notes due 2019

On July 28, 2009, the Company issued $\$ 250.0$ million of $7.75 \%$ Senior Notes due August 1, 2019. Interest on these Senior Notes is payable semi-annually. Proceeds from the issuance of these Senior Notes were principally used for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of amounts outstanding under the Company s revolving multicurrency credit facility, without any permanent reduction of the commitments.
The fair value of these Senior Notes due 2019 was $\$ 274.4$ million at April 30, 2011, based upon quoted market prices. The indenture pursuant to which these Senior Notes were issued contains certain covenants. At April 30, 2011, the Company was in compliance with these covenants.

## United States Trade Accounts Receivable Credit Facility

On December 8, 2008, the Company entered into a $\$ 135.0$ million trade accounts receivable credit facility with a financial institution and its affiliate, as purchasers, with a maturity date of December 8, 2013, subject to earlier termination of the purchasers commitment on September 29, 2011, or such later date to which the purchase commitment may be extended by agreement of the parties. The credit facility is secured by certain of the Company s trade accounts receivable in the United States and bears interest at a variable rate based on the London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ) plus a margin or other agreed-upon rate ( $0.78 \%$ at April 30, 2011). In addition, the Company can terminate the credit facility at any time upon five days prior written notice. A significant portion of the initial proceeds from this credit facility was used to pay the obligations under the previous trade accounts receivable credit facility, which was terminated. The remaining proceeds were and will be used to pay certain fees, costs and expenses incurred in connection with the credit facility and for working capital and general corporate purposes. At April 30, 2011, there was $\$ 116.1$ million outstanding under the credit facility. The agreement for this credit facility contains financial covenants that require the Company to maintain a certain leverage ratio and a fixed charge coverage ratio. At April 30, 2011, the Company was in compliance with these covenants.
Greif Receivables Funding LLC ( GRF ), an indirect subsidiary of the Company, has participated in the purchase and transfer of receivables in connection with these credit facilities and is included in the Company s consolidated financial statements. However, because GRF is a separate and distinct legal entity from the Company and its other subsidiaries, the assets of GRF are not available to satisfy the liabilities and obligations of the Company and its other subsidiaries, and the liabilities of GRF are not the liabilities or obligations of the Company and its other subsidiaries. This entity purchases and services the Company s trade accounts receivable that are subject to this credit facility.

## Other

In addition to the amounts borrowed under the Credit Agreement and proceeds from the Senior Notes and the United States Trade Accounts Receivable Credit Facility, at April 30, 2011, the Company had outstanding other debt of $\$ 115.4$ million, comprised of $\$ 9.7$ million in long-term debt and $\$ 105.7$ million in short-term borrowings, compared to other debt outstanding of $\$ 72.1$ million, comprised of $\$ 11.2$ million in long-term debt and $\$ 60.9$ million in short-term borrowings, at October 31, 2010. The $\$ 43.3$ million increase was primarily due to funding acquisitions, capital expenditures and increased working capital requirements.
At April 30, 2011, the current portion of the Company s long-term debt was $\$ 12.5$ million. Annual maturities, including the current portion, of long-term debt under the Company s various financing arrangements were $\$ 22.2$ million in 2012, $\$ 25.0$ million in 2013, $\$ 141.1$ million in 2014, $\$ 360.4$ million in 2015 and $\$ 545.7$ million thereafter.
At April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, the Company had deferred financing fees and debt issuance costs of $\$ 19.6$ million and $\$ 21.4$ million, respectively, which are included in other long-term assets.
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## NOTE 10 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

## Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivatives from time to time to partially mitigate the effect of exposure to interest rate movements, exposure to currency fluctuations, and energy cost fluctuations. Under ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, all derivatives are to be recognized as assets or liabilities on the balance sheet and measured at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recognized in either net income or in other comprehensive income, depending on the designated purpose of the derivative.
While the Company may be exposed to credit losses in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties to its derivative financial instrument contracts, its counterparties are established banks and financial institutions with high credit ratings. The Company has no reason to believe that such counterparties will not be able to fully satisfy their obligations under these contracts.
During the next six months, the Company expects to reclassify into earnings a net loss from accumulated other comprehensive loss of approximately $\$ 0.7$ million after tax at the time the underlying hedge transactions are realized. ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements for financial and non-financial assets and liabilities. Additionally, this guidance established a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. This hierarchy requires entities to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.
The three levels of inputs used to measure fair values are as follows:
Level 1 Observable inputs such as unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.
Level 2 Observable inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.
Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets and liabilities.

## Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents the fair value adjustments for those assets and (liabilities) measured on a recurring basis as of April 30, 2011 (Dollars in thousands):

|  | Level 1 | Fair Value Measurement <br> Level 2 <br> Level 3 |  | Total | Balance sheet <br> Location <br> Other <br> long-term |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest rate derivatives | $\$$ | $\$$ | $(1,166)$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $(1,166)$ | liabilities <br> Other current |
| Foreign exchange hedges |  |  | $(1,292)$ |  | $(1,292)$ | liabilities <br> Other current |  |
| liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable, accounts payable, current liabilities and short-term borrowings at April 30, 2011 approximate their fair values because of the short-term nature of these items and are not included in this table.


## Interest Rate Derivatives

The Company has interest rate swap agreements with various maturities through 2012. These interest rate swap agreements are used to manage the Company s fixed and floating rate debt mix. Under these agreements, the Company receives interest monthly from the counterparties based upon the LIBOR and pays interest based upon a designated fixed rate over the life of the swap agreements.

The Company has two interest rate derivatives (floating to fixed swap agreements recorded as cash flow hedges) with a total notional amount of $\$ 125$ million. Under these swap agreements, the Company receives interest based upon a variable interest rate from the counterparties (weighted average of $0.21 \%$ at April 30, 2011 and $0.26 \%$ at October 31, 2010) and pays interest based upon a fixed interest rate (weighted average of $1.78 \%$ at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010).
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In the first quarter of 2010, the Company entered into a $\$ 100.0$ million fixed to floating swap agreement which was recorded as a fair value hedge. Under this swap agreement, the Company received interest from the counterparty based upon a fixed rate of $6.75 \%$ and paid interest based upon a variable rate on a semi-annual basis. In the third quarter of 2010, the Company terminated this swap agreement, including any future cash flows. The termination of this swap agreement resulted in a cash benefit of $\$ 3.6$ million ( $\$ 2.2$ million, net of tax).

## Foreign Exchange Hedges

At April 30, 2011, the Company had outstanding foreign currency forward contracts in the notional amount of $\$ 158.8$ million ( $\$ 252.9$ million at October 31, 2010). The purpose of these contracts is to hedge the Company s exposure to foreign currency transactions and short-term intercompany loan balances in its international businesses. The fair value of these contracts at April 30, 2011 resulted in a loss of $\$ 1.2$ million recorded in the consolidated statements of operations and a loss of $\$ 0.1$ million recorded in other comprehensive income. The fair value of similar contracts at October 31, 2010 resulted in a gain of $\$ 0.8$ million in the consolidated statements of operations and a loss of $\$ 2.3$ million recorded in other comprehensive income.

## Energy Hedges

The Company has entered into certain cash flow agreements to mitigate its exposure to cost fluctuations in natural gas prices through October 31, 2011. Under these hedge agreements, the Company agrees to purchase natural gas at a fixed price. At April 30, 2011, the notional amount of these hedges was $\$ 0.9$ million ( $\$ 2.4$ million at October 31, 2010). The other comprehensive gain on these agreements was immaterial at April 30, 2011 and $\$ 0.3$ million at October 31, 2010. As a result of the high correlation between the hedged instruments and the underlying transactions, ineffectiveness did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated statements of operations for the quarter ended April 30, 2011.

## Other financial instruments

The estimated fair value of the Company s long-term debt was $\$ 1,150.5$ million and $\$ 1,021.5$ million at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, respectively. The current portion of the long-term debt was $\$ 12.5$ million at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010. The fair values of the Company s long-term obligations are estimated based on either the quoted market prices for the same or similar issues or the current interest rates offered for debt of the same remaining maturities.

## Non Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The Company has reviewed the fair value adjustments for those assets and (liabilities) measured on a non-recurring basis as of April 30, 2011 discussed herein.

## Net Assets Held for Sale

Net assets held for sale are considered level two inputs which include recent purchase offers, market comparables and/or data obtained from commercial real estate brokers. As of April 30, 2011, the Company had not recognized any impairment related to net assets held for sale.

## Long-Lived Assets

As part of the Company s restructuring plans following recent acquisitions, the Company may close manufacturing facilities during the next few years. The long-lived assets are considered level two inputs which were valued based on bids received from third parties and using discounted cash flow analysis based on assumptions that the Company believes market participants would use. Key inputs included anticipated revenues, associated manufacturing costs, capital expenditures and discount, growth and tax rates. The Company recorded restructuring-related expenses for the period ended April 30, 2011 of $\$ 0.8$ million on long lived assets with net book values of $\$ 1.3$ million.

## Goodwill

On an annual basis, the Company performs an impairment test for goodwill. The Company concluded that no impairment existed at October 31, 2010. There have been no changes during the second quarter of 2011 that would warrant impairment considerations. The 2011 impairment test will be performed during the third quarter of 2011, or earlier if specific impairment indicators are present.
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## NOTE 11 STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Stock-based compensation is accounted for in accordance with ASC 718, Compensation Stock Compensation , which requires companies to estimate the fair value of share-based awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as an expense in the Company s consolidated statements of operations over the requisite service periods. The Company uses the straight-line single option method of expensing stock options to recognize compensation expense in its consolidated statements of operations for all share-based awards. Because share-based compensation expense is based on awards that are ultimately expected to vest, share-based compensation expense will be reduced to account for estimated forfeitures. ASC 718 requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. No stock options were granted in 2011 or 2010. For any options granted in the future, compensation expense will be based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of ASC 718.

## NOTE 12 INCOME TAXES

The effective tax rate was $22.6 \%$ and $19.1 \%$ for the three months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and $23.5 \%$ and $19.5 \%$ for the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 respectively. The change in the effective tax rate is primarily attributable to a change in the global business mix and the loss of the alternative fuel tax credit for the respective periods.
The Company has estimated the reasonably possible expected net change in unrecognized tax benefits through April 30, 2011 based on expected settlements or payments of uncertain tax positions, and lapses of the applicable statutes of limitations of unrecognized tax benefits under ASC 740, Income Taxes. ASC 740 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income tax positions. The Company does not anticipate any significant changes in unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months.
The Company settled audits during the second quarter of 2011 that reduced the Company s uncertain tax positions by $\$ 2.4$ million.

## NOTE 13 RETIREMENT PLANS AND POSTRETIREMENT HEALTH CARE AND LIFE INSURANCE BENEFITS

The components of net periodic pension cost include the following (Dollars in thousands):

|  | Three months ended April 30 |  |  |  | Six months ended April 30 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 2,239 | \$ | 2,293 | \$ | 4,478 | \$ | 4,586 |
| Interest cost |  | 4,159 |  | 3,998 |  | 8,318 |  | 7,996 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | $(4,928)$ |  | $(4,524)$ |  | $(9,856)$ |  | $(9,048)$ |
| Amortization of prior service cost, initial net asset and net actuarial gain |  | 2,160 |  | 1,700 |  | 4,320 |  | 3,400 |
| Net periodic pension costs | \$ | 3,630 | \$ | 3,467 | \$ | 7,260 | \$ | 6,934 |

The Company made $\$ 13.2$ million in pension contributions in the six months ended April 30, 2011. The Company estimates $\$ 29.7$ million of pension contributions for the entire 2011 fiscal year.
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The components of net periodic cost for postretirement benefits include the following (Dollars in thousands):

|  | Three months ended April 30 |  |  |  | Six months ended April 30 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 2 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 4 | \$ | 2 |
| Interest cost |  | 219 |  | 283 |  | 438 |  | 566 |
| Amortization of prior service cost and recognized actuarial gain |  | (334) |  | (251) |  | (668) |  | (502) |
| Net periodic cost for postretirement benefits | \$ | (113) | \$ | 33 | \$ | (226) | \$ | 66 |

## NOTE 14 CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Various lawsuits, claims and proceedings have been or may be instituted or asserted against the Company, including those pertaining to environmental, product liability and safety and health matters. While the amounts claimed may be substantial, the ultimate liability cannot now be determined because of considerable uncertainties that exist. Therefore, it is possible that results of operations or liquidity in a particular period could be materially affected by certain contingencies.
In accordance with ASC 450, Contingencies , the Company accrues for a litigation-related liability when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Based on currently available information known to the Company, the Company believes that its reserves for these litigation-related liabilities are reasonable and that the ultimate outcome of any pending matters is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position or results from operations.

## Environmental Reserves

At April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, the Company had recorded liabilities of $\$ 26.5$ million and $\$ 26.2$ million, respectively, for estimated environmental remediation costs. The liabilities were recorded on an undiscounted basis and are included in other long-term liabilities. At April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, the Company had recorded environmental liability reserves of $\$ 14.3$ million and $\$ 14.5$ million, respectively, for its blending facility in Chicago, Illinois and $\$ 10.6$ million and $\$ 10.3$ million, respectively, for various European drum facilities acquired in November 2006 as well as the facility in Lier, Belgium. These reserves are principally based on environmental studies and cost estimates provided by third parties, but also take into account management estimates.
The estimated liabilities are reduced to reflect the anticipated participation of other potentially responsible parties in those instances where it is probable that such parties are legally responsible and financially capable of paying their respective shares of relevant costs. For sites that involve formal actions subject to joint and several liabilities, these actions have formal agreements in place to apportion the liability.
The Company anticipates that cash expenditures in future periods for remediation costs at identified sites will be made over an extended period of time. Given the inherent uncertainties in evaluating environmental exposures, actual costs may vary from those estimated at April 30, 2011. The Company s exposure to adverse developments with respect to any individual site is not expected to be material. Although environmental remediation could have a material effect on results of operations if a series of adverse developments occur in a particular quarter or year, the Company believes that the chance of a series of adverse developments occurring in the same quarter or year is remote. Future information and developments will require the Company to continually reassess the expected impact of these environmental matters.

## NOTE 15 EARNINGS PER SHARE

The Company has two classes of common stock and, as such, applies the two-class method of computing earnings per share ( EPS ) as prescribed in ASC 260, Earnings Per Share . In accordance with this guidance, earnings are allocated first to Class A and Class B Common Stock to the extent that dividends are actually paid and the remainder allocated assuming all of the earnings for the period have been distributed in the form of dividends.

The Company calculates Class A EPS as follows: (i) multiply $40 \%$ times the average Class A shares outstanding, then divide that amount by the product of $40 \%$ of the average Class A shares outstanding plus $60 \%$ of the average Class B shares outstanding to get a percentage, (ii) divide undistributed net income attributable to Greif, Inc. by the average Class A shares outstanding, then (iii) multiply item (i) by item (ii), and finally (iv) add item (iii) to the Class A cash dividend per share. Diluted shares are factored into the Class A calculation.
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The Company calculates Class B EPS as follows: (i) multiply $60 \%$ times the average Class B shares outstanding, then divide that amount by the product of $40 \%$ of the average Class A shares outstanding plus $60 \%$ of the average Class B shares outstanding to get a percentage, (ii) divide undistributed net income attributable to Greif, Inc. by the average Class B shares outstanding, then (iii) multiply item (i) by item (ii), and finally (iv) add item (iii) to the Class B cash dividend per share. Class B diluted EPS is identical to Class B basic EPS.
The following table provides EPS information for each period, respectively:

## (In thousands except per share data)

Numerator for basic and diluted EPS
Net income attributable to Greif, Inc.
Cash dividends
Undistributed net income attributable to Greif, Inc.

Denominator for basic EPS
Class A common stock
Class B common stock
Denominator for diluted EPS
Class A common stock
Class B common stock
EPS Basic
Class A common stock
Class B common stock
EPS Diluted
Class A common stock
Class B common stock
Dividends per share
Class A common stock
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Class B common stock } & \$ & 0.63 & \$ & 0.57 & \$ & 1.25 & \$ & 1.13\end{array}$

Class A Common Stock is entitled to cumulative dividends of one cent a share per year after which Class B Common Stock is entitled to non-cumulative dividends up to a half-cent a share per year. Further distribution in any year must be made in proportion of one cent a share for Class A Common Stock to one and a half cents a share for Class B Common Stock. The Class A Common Stock has no voting rights unless four quarterly cumulative dividends upon the Class A Common Stock are in arrears. The Class B Common Stock has full voting rights. There is no cumulative voting for the election of directors.

## Common stock repurchases

The Company s Board of Directors has authorized the purchase of up to four million shares of Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock or any combination of the foregoing. During the first six months of 2011, the Company repurchased no shares of Class A Common Stock and 50,000 shares of Class B Common Stock. As of April 30, 2011, the Company had repurchased 2,933,272 shares, including 1,416,752 shares of Class A Common Stock and 1,516,520 shares of Class B Common Stock, under this program. The total cost of the shares repurchased from November 1, 2009 through April 30, 2011 was approximately $\$ 5.8$ million.
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The following table summarizes the Company s Class A and Class B common and treasury shares at the specified dates:

|  | Authorized <br> Shares | Issued <br> Shares | Shares | Treasury <br> Shares |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| April 30, 2011: | $128,000,000$ | $42,281,920$ | $24,832,353$ | $17,449,567$ |
| Class A Common Stock | $69,120,000$ | $34,560,000$ | $22,362,266$ | $12,197,734$ |
| Class B Common Stock |  |  |  |  |
| October 31, 2010: | $128,000,000$ | $42,281,920$ | $24,756,974$ | $17,524,946$ |
| Class A Common Stock | $69,120,000$ | $34,560,000$ | $22,412,266$ | $12,147,734$ |
| Class B Common Stock |  |  |  |  |

The following is a reconciliation of the shares used to calculate basic and diluted earnings per share:

|  | Three months ended April 30 |  | Six months ended April 30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Class A Common Stock: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic shares | 24,825,768 | 24,637,648 | 24,806,813 | 24,591,389 |
| Assumed conversion of stock options | 281,076 | 371,267 | 275,747 | 366,969 |
| Diluted shares | 25,106,844 | 25,008,915 | 25,082,560 | 24,958,358 |
| Class B Common Stock: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic and diluted shares | 22,385,922 | 22,462,266 | 22,399,094 | 22,462,266 |

No stock options were antidilutive for the six month periods ended April 30, 2011 and April 30, 2010, respectively.

## NOTE 16 EQUITY EARNINGS (LOSSES) OF UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES, NET OF TAX AND NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

## Equity earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax

Equity earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax represent investments in affiliates in which the Company does not exercise control and has a 20 percent or more voting interest. Such investments in affiliates are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. If the fair value of an investment in an affiliate is below its carrying value and the difference is deemed to be other than temporary, the difference between the fair value and the carrying value is charged to earnings. The Company has an equity interest in seven affiliates. Equity earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax for the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 were $\$ 0.5$ and $\$ 0.1$ million, respectively. There were no dividends received from the Company s equity method affiliates for the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010.

## Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests

Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests represent the portion of earnings or losses from the operations of the Company s majority owned subsidiaries that were (deducted)/added from net income to arrive at net income attributable to the Company. Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests for the three months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 were $\$ 0.3$ million and ( $\$ 2.2$ ) million, respectively. Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests for the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 were $\$ 0.6$ million and ( $\$ 3.6$ ) million.
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## NOTE 17 COMPREHENSIVE INCOME AND SHAREHOLDERS EOUITY

Comprehensive income is comprised of net income and other charges and credits to equity that are not the result of transactions with the Company s owners. The components of comprehensive income are as follows (Dollars in thousands):

|  | Three months ended April 30 |  |  |  | Six months ended April 30 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| Net income | \$ | 50,591 | \$ | 44,832 | \$ | 91,688 | \$ | 71,063 |
| Other comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment |  | 54,175 |  | $(75,229)$ |  | 23,726 |  | $(92,128)$ |
| Changes in fair value of interest rate derivatives, net of tax |  | 232 |  | 784 |  | 560 |  | 1,467 |
| Changes in fair value of energy and other derivatives, net of tax |  | 35 |  | (189) |  | 189 |  | (11) |
| Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of tax |  | (972) |  | 553 |  | (851) |  | 943 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ | 104,061 | \$ | $(29,249)$ | \$ | 115,312 | \$ | $(18,666)$ |

The following is the income tax benefit (expense) for each other comprehensive income line items:

| Three months ended |  | Six months ended |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 30 |  | April 30 |  |
| 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |

Income tax benefit (expense):
Changes in fair value of interest rate derivatives, net of tax
(125)
(422)
(302)
(790)

Changes in fair value of energy and other derivatives, net of tax
(19)

102 (102)
6
Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of tax 284
(131)

256
(228)

The components of Shareholders Equity from October 31, 2010 to April 30, 2011 (Dollars in thousands):
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Operations in the Flexible Products \& Services segment involve the production and sale of flexible intermediate bulk containers and related services on a global basis and industrial and consumer multiwall bag products in the North America market. Our flexible intermediate bulk containers consist of a polypropylene-based woven fabric that is partly produced at our fully integrated production sites, as well as sourced from strategic regional suppliers. Our flexible products are sold to customers and in market segments similar to those of our Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services segment. Additionally, our flexible products significantly expand our presence in the agricultural and food industries, among others. Our industrial and consumer multiwall bag products are used to ship a wide range of industrial and consumer products, such as seed, fertilizers, chemicals, concrete, flour, sugar, feed, pet foods, popcorn, charcoal and salt, primarily for the agricultural, chemical, building products and food industries.
Operations in the Paper Packaging segment involve the production and sale of containerboard, corrugated sheets and other corrugated products to customers in North America. The Company s corrugated container products are used to ship such diverse products as home appliances, small machinery, grocery products, building products, automotive components, books and furniture, as well as numerous other applications. Operations related to the Company s industrial and consumer multiwall bag products were reclassified from this segment to the Flexible Products \& Services segment in the first quarter of 2010.
Operations in the Land Management segment involve the management and sale of timber and special use properties from approximately 266,900 acres of timber properties in the southeastern United States, which are actively managed, and 23,100 acres of timber properties in Canada, which are not actively managed. The Company s Land Management team is focused on the active harvesting and regeneration of our United States timber properties to achieve sustainable long-term yields. While timber sales are subject to fluctuations, the Company seeks to maintain a consistent cutting schedule, within the limits of market and weather conditions. The Company also sells, from time to time, timberland and special use land, which consists of surplus land, higher and better use ( HBU ) land, and development land. The Company s reportable segments are strategic business units that offer different products. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are substantially the same as those described in the Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies note in the 2010 Form 10-K.
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The following segment information is presented for the periods indicated (Dollars in thousands):

|  | Three months ended April 30, |  |  |  | Six months ended April 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |
| Net sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services | \$ | 743,946 | \$ | 636,544 |  | 1,397,837 |  | 1,201,308 |
| Flexible Products \& Services |  | 134,816 |  | 50,455 |  | 262,824 |  | 61,741 |
| Paper Packaging |  | 166,540 |  | 147,527 |  | 323,304 |  | 275,790 |
| Land Management |  | 5,464 |  | 2,054 |  | 10,593 |  | 7,423 |
| Total net sales |  | 1,050,766 | \$ | 836,580 | \$ | 1,994,558 |  | 1,546,262 |
| Operating profit: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services | \$ | 66,141 | \$ | 64,264 | \$ | 112,203 | \$ | 112,905 |
| Flexible Products \& Services |  | 1,974 |  | 368 |  | 3,426 |  | $(4,310)$ |
| Paper Packaging |  | 20,856 |  | 7,635 |  | 38,996 |  | 11,334 |
| Land Management |  | 2,311 |  | 492 |  | 5,377 |  | 3,491 |
| Total operating profit |  | 91,282 |  | 72,759 |  | 160,002 |  | 123,420 |
| Restructuring charges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services |  | 2,304 |  | 4,718 |  | 4,549 |  | 10,674 |
| Flexible Products \& Services |  | 3,175 |  |  |  | 3,231 |  |  |
| Paper Packaging |  | (453) |  | 72 |  | 237 |  | 113 |
| Land Management |  | (6) |  |  |  | (6) |  |  |
| Total restructuring charges |  | 5,020 |  | 4,790 |  | 8,011 |  | 10,787 |
| Restructuring-related inventory charges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services |  |  |  | 37 |  |  |  | 37 |
| Total restructuring-related inventory charges |  |  |  | 37 |  |  |  | 37 |
| Acquisition-related costs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services |  | 2,699 |  | 941 |  | 4,208 |  | 3,803 |
| Flexible Products \& Services |  | 5,322 |  | 3,646 |  | 12,357 |  | 10,840 |
| Total acquisition-related costs |  | 8,021 |  | 4,587 |  | 16,565 |  | 14,643 |
| Operating profit before special items: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services |  | 71,144 |  | 69,960 |  | 120,960 |  | 127,419 |
| Flexible Products \& Services |  | 10,471 |  | 4,014 |  | 19,014 |  | 6,530 |
| Paper Packaging |  | 20,403 |  | 7,707 |  | 39,233 |  | 11,447 |
| Land Management |  | 2,305 |  | 492 |  | 5,371 |  | 3,491 |
| Total operating profit before special items* | \$ | \$ 104,323 | \$ | 82,173 | \$ | 184,578 | \$ | \$ 148,887 |



## Table of Contents

The following table presents net sales to external customers by geographic area (Dollars in thousands):

|  | Three months ended April 30, |  |  |  | Six months ended April 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North America | \$ | 483,932 | \$ | 420,907 | \$ | 923,780 | \$ | 781,827 |
| Europe, Middle East and Africa |  | 406,499 |  | 288,604 |  | 751,678 |  | 512,918 |
| Asia Pacific and Latin America |  | 160,335 |  | 127,069 |  | 319,100 |  | 251,517 |
| Total net sales | \$ | 1,050,766 | \$ | 836,580 | \$ | ,994,558 | \$ | 1,546,262 |

The following table presents total assets by segment and geographic area (Dollars in thousands):

|  | April 30, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { October 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services | \$ | 2,237,824 | \$ | 2,058,165 |
| Flexible Products \& Services |  | 377,588 |  | 353,715 |
| Paper Packaging |  | 445,209 |  | 435,555 |
| Land Management |  | 275,678 |  | 274,352 |
| Total segments |  | 3,336,299 |  | 3,121,787 |
| Corporate and other |  | 377,220 |  | 376,658 |
| Total assets | \$ | 3,713,519 | \$ | 3,498,445 |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| North America | \$ | 1,515,945 | \$ | 1,895,475 |
| Europe, Middle East and Africa |  | 1,302,416 |  | 1,012,131 |
| Asia Pacific and Latin America |  | 895,158 |  | 590,839 |
| Total assets | \$ | 3,713,519 | \$ | 3,498,445 |

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS <br> <br> GENERAL

 <br> <br> GENERAL}The terms Greif, our company, we, us and our as used in this discussion refer to Greif, Inc. and its subsidiari fiscal year begins on November 1 and ends on October 31 of the following year. Any references in this Form 10-Q to the years 2011 or 2010, or to any quarter of those years, relates to the fiscal year or quarter, as the case may be, ending in that year.
The discussion and analysis presented below relates to the material changes in financial condition and results of operations for our consolidated balance sheets as of April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, and for the consolidated statements of operations for the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements that appear elsewhere in this Form 10-Q and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010 (the 2010 Form 10-K ). Readers are encouraged to review the entire 2010 Form $10-\mathrm{K}$, as it includes information regarding Greif not discussed in this Form 10-Q. This
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information will assist in your understanding of the discussion of our current period financial results.
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All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this Form 10-Q, including without limitation, statements regarding our future financial position, business strategy, budgets, projected costs, goals and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, expect, intend, estimate, anticipate, project, believe, continue, on track or target or the negative th thereon or similar terminology. All forward-looking statements made in this Form 10-Q are based on information currently available to our management. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in forward-looking statements have a reasonable basis, we can give no assurance that these expectations will prove to be correct. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those expressed in or implied by the statements. Such risks and uncertainties that might cause a difference include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) the current and future challenging global economy may adversely affect our business, (ii) historically, our business has been sensitive to changes in general economic or business conditions, (iii) our operations are subject to currency exchange and political risks, (iv) the continuing consolidation of our customer base and our suppliers may intensify pricing pressure, (v) we operate in highly competitive industries, (vi) our business is sensitive to changes in industry demands, (vi) raw material and energy price fluctuations and shortages may adversely impact our manufacturing operations and costs, (vii) we may encounter difficulties arising from acquisitions, (viii) we may incur additional restructuring costs and there is no guarantee that our efforts to reduce costs will be successful, (ix) tax legislation initiatives or challenges to our tax positions may adversely impact our financial results or condition, (x) several operations are conducted by joint ventures that we cannot operate solely for our benefit, (xi) our ability to attract, develop and retain talented employees, managers and executives is critical to our success, (xii) our business may be adversely impacted by work stoppages and other labor relations matters, (xiii) we may be subject to losses that might not be covered in whole or in part by existing insurance reserves or insurance coverage, (xiv) our business depends on the uninterrupted operations of our facilities, systems and business functions, including our information technology and other business systems, (xv) legislation/regulation related to climate change and environmental and health and safety matters and product liability claims could negatively impact our operations and financial performance, (xvi) changing climate conditions may adversely affect our operations and financial performance, and (xvii) the frequency and volume of our timber and timberland sales will impact our financial performance. The risks described above are not all inclusive and given these and other possible risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results. For a more detailed discussion of the most significant risks and uncertainties that could cause Greif s actual results to differ materially from those projected, see Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of the 2010 Form 10-K, updated by Part II, Item 1A of this Form 10-Q. All forward-looking statements made in this Form 10-Q are expressly qualified in their entirety by reference to such risk factors. Except to the limited extent required by applicable law, Greif undertakes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

## OVERVIEW

We operate in four business segments: Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services; Flexible Products \& Services; Paper Packaging; and Land Management.
We are a leading global provider of rigid industrial packaging products, such as steel, fibre and plastic drums, rigid intermediate bulk containers, closure systems for industrial packaging products, water bottles and reconditioned containers, and services, such as container lifecycle management, blending, filling and other packaging services, logistics and warehousing. We sell our industrial packaging products to customers in industries such as chemicals, paints and pigments, food and beverage, petroleum, industrial coatings, agricultural, pharmaceutical and mineral, among others.
We are a leading global provider of flexible intermediate bulk containers and related services and North American provider of industrial and consumer multiwall bag products. Our flexible intermediate bulk containers consist of a polypropylene-based woven fabric that is partly produced at our fully integrated production sites, as well as sourced from strategic regional suppliers. Our flexible products are sold globally and service similar customers and market
segments as our Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services segment. Additionally, our flexible products significantly expand our presence in the agricultural and food industries, among others. Our industrial and consumer multiwall bag products are used to ship a wide range of industrial and consumer products, such as seed, fertilizers, chemicals, concrete, flour, sugar, feed, pet foods, popcorn, charcoal and salt, primarily for the agricultural, chemical, building products and food industries.
We sell containerboard, corrugated sheets and other corrugated products to customers in North America in industries such as packaging, automotive, food and building products. Our corrugated container products are used to ship such diverse products as home appliances, small machinery, grocery products, building products, automotive components, books and furniture, as well as numerous other applications. Operations related to our industrial and consumer multiwall bag products were reclassified to our Flexible Products \& Services segment during the second quarter of 2010.
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As of April 30, 2011, we owned approximately 266,900 acres of timber properties in the southeastern United States, which are actively managed, and approximately 23,100 acres of timber properties in Canada, which are not actively managed. Our Land Management team is focused on the active harvesting and regeneration of our United States timber properties to achieve sustainable long-term yields. While timber sales are subject to fluctuations, we seek to maintain a consistent cutting schedule, within the limits of market and weather conditions. We also sell, from time to time, timberland and special use land, which consists of surplus land, higher and better use ( HBU ) land, and development land.
In 2003, we began a transformation to become a leaner, more market-focused, performance-driven company what we call the Greif Business System. We believe the Greif Business System has and will continue to generate productivity improvements and achieve permanent cost reductions. The Greif Business System continues to focus on opportunities such as improved labor productivity, material yield and other manufacturing efficiencies, along with further plant consolidations. In addition, as part of the Greif Business System, we have launched a strategic sourcing initiative to more effectively leverage our global spending and lay the foundation for a world-class sourcing and supply chain capability. In response to the economic slowdown that began at the end of 2008, we accelerated the implementation of certain Greif Business System initiatives.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( GAAP ). The preparation of these consolidated financial statements, in accordance with these principles, require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our consolidated financial statements.
Our significant accounting policies are discussed in Part II, Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation of the 2010 Form 10-K. We believe that the consistent application of these policies enables us to provide readers of the consolidated financial statements with useful and reliable information about our results of operations and financial condition.
Other items that could have a significant impact on the financial statements include the risks and uncertainties listed in Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors, of the 2010 Form 10-K, as updated by Part II, Item 1A of this Form 10-Q. Actual results could differ materially using different estimates and assumptions, or if conditions are significantly different in the future.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following comparative information is presented for the three-month and six-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010. Historically, revenues and earnings may or may not be representative of future operating results attributable to various economic and other factors.
The non-GAAP financial measure of operating profit before special items is used throughout the following discussion of our results of operations. For our consolidated results, operating profit before special items is equal to operating profit plus restructuring charges, restructuring-related inventory charges and acquisition-related costs. In our Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services segment, operating profit before special items is equal to that segment s operating profit plus restructuring charges, restructuring-related inventory charges and acquisition-related costs. In our Flexible Products \& Services segment, operating profit before special items is equal to that segment s operating profit plus restructuring charges and acquisition-related costs. In our Paper Packaging and Land Management segments, operating profit before special items is equal to that segment s operating profit plus restructuring charges. We use the measure of operating profit before special items because we believe that it provides a better indication of our operational performance since it excludes restructuring charges, restructuring-related inventory charges and acquisition-related costs, which are not representative of ongoing operations, and provides a more stable platform on which to compare our historical performance.
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## Second Quarter Results

The following table sets forth the net sales and operating profit for each of our business segments for the three-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 (Dollars in thousands):

| For the three months ended April 30, | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net sales |  |  |  |
| Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services | 743,946 | $\$$ | 636,544 |
| Flexible Products \& Services | 134,816 | 50,455 |  |
| Paper Packaging | 166,540 | 147,527 |  |
| Land Management | 5,464 | 2,054 |  |
| Total net sales | $\$ 1,050,766$ | $\$$ | 836,580 |
| Operating profit: |  |  |  |
| Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services | $\$ 66,141$ | $\$$ | 64,264 |
| Flexible Products \& Services | 1,974 | 368 |  |
| Paper Packaging | 20,856 | 7,635 |  |
| Land Management | 2,311 | 492 |  |
|  |  | 91,282 | 72,759 |
| Total operating profit |  |  |  |
| Restructuring charges: | 2,304 | 4,718 |  |
| Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services | 3,175 | $(453)$ | 72 |
| Flexible Products \& Services | $(6)$ |  |  |
| Paper Packaging | 5,020 | 4,790 |  |

Restructuring-related inventory charges:
Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services 37
Total restructuring-related inventory charges 37
Acquisition-related costs:
Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services $\quad 2,699 \quad 941$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Flexible Products \& Services } & \text { 3,646 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Total acquisition-related costs } & 8,021 & 4,587\end{array}$
Operating profit before special items:
Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services
Flexible Products \& Services
71,144
69,960
Paper Packaging
10,471
4,014
Land Management 2,305 492

Total operating profit before special items
\$ 104,323 \$ 82,173

## Net Sales

Net sales were $\$ 1,050.7$ million for the second quarter of 2011 compared with $\$ 836.6$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The 25.6 percent increase was primarily due to higher sales volumes, higher selling prices resulting from the pass-through of higher raw material costs, and the positive impact of foreign currency translation. The $\$ 214.1$ million increase was due to Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services ( $\$ 107.4$ million increase), Flexible Products \& Services ( $\$ 84.3$ million increase), Paper Packaging ( $\$ 19.0$ million increase) and Land Management ( $\$ 3.4$ million increase).

## Operating Costs

Cost of products sold, as a percentage of net sales, increased to 80.3 percent for the second quarter of 2011 compared to 79.9 percent for the second quarter of 2010 . The higher cost of products sold as a percentage of net sales was primarily attributable to a shift in product mix and higher raw material costs, especially steel and resin costs, which were partially offset by lower conversion costs due to improved productivity.
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Selling, general \& administrative ( SG\&A ) expenses were $\$ 113.9$ million, or 10.8 percent of net sales, in the second quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 91.7$ million, or 10.9 percent of net sales, in the second quarter of 2010. The dollar increase in SG\&A expenses was primarily due to the SG\&A expenses of acquired companies and higher performance-based incentives as compared to the same period in 2010. Acquisition-related costs of $\$ 8.0$ million and $\$ 4.6$ million were included in SG\&A expenses for the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Acquisition-related costs represent amounts incurred to purchase and integrate our acquisitions.
Restructuring charges were $\$ 5.0$ million and $\$ 4.8$ million for the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Restructuring charges for the second quarter of 2011 consisted of $\$ 3.0$ million in employee separation costs, $\$ 0.3$ million in asset impairments and $\$ 1.7$ million in other costs. The focus of the second quarter of 2011 restructuring activities was on the integration of recent acquisitions in the Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services and the Flexible Products \& Services segments. Restructuring charges for the second quarter of 2010 consisted of $\$ 2.4$ million in employee separation costs, $\$ 0.1$ million in asset impairments and $\$ 2.3$ million in other costs. The focus of the second quarter of 2010 restructuring activities was related to business realignment, acquisition-related integration costs, and further implementation of Greif Business System. Refer to Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item I of Part I of this Form 10-Q for additional disclosures regarding our restructuring activities.
The gain on disposal of properties, plants and equipment, net, increased to $\$ 2.9$ million in the second quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 0.7$ million same period last year primarily due to the sale of an idle facility.

## Operating Profit

Operating profit was $\$ 91.3$ million and $\$ 72.8$ million in the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Operating profit before special items was $\$ 104.3$ million for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 82.2$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The $\$ 22.1$ million increase in operating profit before special items was due to Paper Packaging ( $\$ 12.7$ million increase), Flexible Products \& Services ( $\$ 6.5$ million increase), Land Management ( $\$ 1.7$ million increase) and Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services ( $\$ 1.2$ million increase).

## Segment Review

Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services
Our Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services segment offers a comprehensive line of rigid industrial packaging products, such as steel, fibre and plastic drums, rigid intermediate bulk containers, closure systems for industrial packaging products, water bottles and reconditioned containers. In addition, this segment offers a wide variety of services, such as container lifecycle management, blending, filling and other packaging services, logistics and warehousing. The key factors influencing profitability in the Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services segment are:

Selling prices, customer demand and sales volumes;
Raw material costs, primarily steel, resin and containerboard;
Energy and transportation costs;
Benefits from executing the Greif Business System;
Restructuring charges;
Contributions from recent acquisitions;
Divestiture of facilities; and
Impact of foreign currency translation.
Net sales were $\$ 743.9$ million for the second quarter of 2011 compared with $\$ 636.5$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The 16.9 percent increase in net sales was primarily due to higher selling prices resulting from the pass-through of higher input costs and the positive impact of foreign currency translation.
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Gross profit margin decreased to 19.5 percent from 21.7 percent for the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. This reduction was primarily due to a change in product mix and higher raw material costs, especially steel and resin, partially offset by our cost pass-through mechanisms.
Operating profit was $\$ 66.1$ million and $\$ 64.4$ million for the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Operating profit before special items increased to $\$ 71.2$ million for the second quarter of 2011 from $\$ 70.0$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The $\$ 1.2$ million increase was primarily due to higher selling prices due to the pass through of higher input costs, efficiency improvements and gain on sale of an idle facility, partially offset by higher raw material costs.

## Flexible Products \& Services

Our Flexible Products \& Services segment offers a comprehensive line of flexible products, such as flexible intermediate bulk containers and multiwall bags. The key factors influencing profitability in the Flexible Products \& Services segment are:

Selling prices, customer demand and sales volumes;
Raw material costs, primarily resin and containerboard;
Energy and transportation costs;
Benefits from executing the Greif Business System;
Restructuring charges;
Contributions from recent acquisitions; and
Impact of foreign currency translation.
Net sales were $\$ 134.8$ million for the second quarter of 2011 compared with $\$ 50.5$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The increase was primarily due to the acquisitions of flexible intermediate bulk container companies during the second half of 2010. Both periods include our multiwall bag operations, which were previously included in the Paper Packaging segment, but which have been reclassified in the second quarter of 2010 to conform to the current year s presentation.
Gross profit margin was 21.5 percent and 21.3 percent for the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. The changes in gross margin were primarily due to product mix.
Operating profit was $\$ 2.0$ million and $\$ 0.3$ million for the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Operating profit before special items increased to $\$ 10.5$ million for the second quarter of 2011 from $\$ 4.0$ million for the second quarter of 2010 primarily as a result of the acquisitions during the second half of 2010.

## Paper Packaging

Our Paper Packaging segment sells containerboard, corrugated sheets, and corrugated containers in North America. The key factors influencing profitability in the Paper Packaging segment are:

Selling prices, customer demand and sales volumes;
Raw material costs, primarily old corrugated containers;
Energy and transportation costs;
Benefits from executing the Greif Business System;
Contributions from recent acquisitions;
Divestiture of facilities; and
Restructuring charges.
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Net sales were $\$ 166.5$ million for the second quarter of 2011 compared with $\$ 147.5$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The 12.9 percent increase in net sales was primarily due to higher sales volumes and higher containerboard selling prices.
Gross profit margin increased to 18.8 percent from 13.3 percent for the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. This increase was primarily due to higher net sales and efficiency improvements, partially offset by higher raw material costs, especially old corrugated containers.
Operating profit was $\$ 20.9$ million and $\$ 7.6$ million for the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Operating profit before special items increased to $\$ 20.4$ million for the second quarter of 2011 from $\$ 7.7$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The $\$ 12.7$ million increase was primarily due to higher net sales, improved gross profit margin and lower SG\&A expenses.

## Land Management

As of April 30, 2011, our Land Management segment consists of approximately 266,900 acres of timber properties in the southeastern United States, which are actively harvested and regenerated, and approximately 23,100 acres in Canada. The key factors influencing profitability in the Land Management segment are:

Planned level of timber sales;
Selling prices and customer demand;
Gains (losses) on sale of timberland; and
Gains on the sale of special use properties (surplus, HBU, and development properties).
Net sales were $\$ 5.5$ million and $\$ 2.1$ million for the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively.
Operating profit and operating profit before special items was $\$ 2.2$ million for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 0.5$ million for the second quarter of 2010 . Included in these amounts were profits from the sale of special use properties (surplus, higher and better use, and development properties) of $\$ 0.3$ million for the second quarter of 2011 and $\$ 0.5$ million for the second quarter of 2010.
In order to maximize the value of our timber property, we continue to review our current portfolio and explore the development of certain of these properties in Canada and the United States. This process has led us to characterize our property as follows:

Surplus property, meaning land that cannot be efficiently or effectively managed by us, whether due to parcel size, lack of productivity, location, access limitations or for other reasons.
HBU property, meaning land that in its current state has a higher market value for uses other than growing and selling timber.
Development property, meaning HBU land that, with additional investment, may have a significantly higher market value than its HBU market value.
Timberland, meaning land that is best suited for growing and selling timber.
We report the sale of surplus and HBU property in our consolidated statements of income under gain on disposals of properties, plants and equipment, net and report the sale of development property under net sales and cost of products sold. All HBU, development and surplus property is used by us to productively grow and sell timber until sold. Whether timberland has a higher value for uses other than growing and selling timber is a determination based upon several variables, such as proximity to population centers, anticipated population growth in the area, the topography of the land, aesthetic considerations, including access to lakes or rivers, the condition of the surrounding land, availability of utilities, markets for timber and economic considerations both nationally and locally. Given these considerations, the characterization of land is not a static process, but requires an ongoing review and re-characterization as circumstances change.
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At April 30, 2011, we estimated that there were approximately 57,700 acres in Canada and the United States of special use property, which we expect will be available for sale in the next five to seven years.

## Other Income Statement Changes

Interest expense, net
Interest expense, net, was $\$ 18.6$ million for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 16.8$ million for the same period last year. The increase was primarily due to the higher level of debt resulting from the acquisitions and increased capital requirements.
Other expense, net
Other expense, net was $\$ 7.3$ for the second quarter of 2011 compared to other expense, net of $\$ 0.9$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The increase was primarily attributable to fees associated with the sale of non-United States accounts receivable and the impact of foreign currency exchange.
Income tax expense
The effective tax rate was 22.6 percent in the second quarter of 2011 compared to an effective tax rate of 19.1 percent in the second quarter of 2010. The increase was primarily due to a change in the global business mix and the loss of the 2010 alternative fuel tax credit.
Equity earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax
During the second quarter of 2011, we recorded an insignificant amount of equity losses of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax. During the second quarter of 2010, we recorded $\$ 0.2$ million of equity earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax.
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests represent the portion of earnings or losses from the operations of our majority owned subsidiaries that were (deducted)/added from net income to arrive at net income attributable to us. Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests for the three months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 were $\$ 0.3$ million and ( $\$ 2.2$ ) million, respectively.
Net income attributable to Greif, Inc.
Based on the foregoing, we recorded net income of $\$ 50.9$ million for the second quarter of 2011 compared to net income of $\$ 42.6$ million in the second quarter of 2010.
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Year-to-Date Results
The following table sets forth the net sales and operating profit for each of our business segments for the six-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 (Dollars in thousands):

For the six months ended April 30, $2011 \quad 2010$
Net sales

| Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services | $\$ 1,397,837$ | $\$ 1,201,308$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Flexible Products \& Services | 262,824 | 61,741 |
| Paper Packaging | 323,304 | 275,790 |
| Land Management | 10,593 | 7,423 |
|  |  |  |
| Total net sales | $\$ 1,994,558$ | $\$ 1,546,262$ |
|  |  |  |
| Operating profit: | $\$ 112,203$ | $\$$ |
| Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services | 3,426 | $(4,310)$ |
| Flexible Products \& Services | 38,996 | 11,334 |
| Paper Packaging | 5,377 | 3,491 |

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Total operating profit } & 160,002 & 123,420\end{array}$
Restructuring charges:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services } & 4,549 & 10,674\end{array}$
Flexible Products \& Services 3,231
Paper Packaging 237
113
Land Management (6)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Total restructuring charges } & 8,011\end{array}$
10,787
Restructuring-related inventory charges:
Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services 37
Total restructuring-related inventory charges 37
Acquisition-related costs:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services } & \text { 4,208 3,803 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Flexible Products \& Services } & 12,357 & 10,840\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Total acquisition-related costs } & 16,565 & 14,643\end{array}$
Operating profit before special items:
Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services 120,960
127,419
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Flexible Products \& Services } & 19,014 \\ 6,530\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Paper Packaging } & 39,233\end{array}$
11,447
$\begin{array}{lrr}\text { Land Management } & \text { 3,231 } & \text { 3,491 }\end{array}$
Total operating profit before special items
\$ 184,578 \$ 148,887

## Net Sales

Net sales were $\$ 1,994.5$ million for the first half of 2011 compared with $\$ 1,546.2$ million for first half of 2010. The 29.0 percent increase was primarily due to higher sales volumes, higher selling prices resulting from the pass-through of higher raw material costs, and the positive impact of foreign currency translation. The $\$ 448.3$ million increase was due to Flexible Products \& Services (\$201.1 million increase), Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services (\$196.5 million increase), Paper Packaging ( $\$ 47.5$ million increase) and Land Management ( $\$ 3.2$ million increase).

## Operating Costs

Cost of products sold, as a percentage of net sales, increased to 80.8 percent for the first half of 2011 compared to 80.2 percent for the first half of 2010. The higher cost of products sold as a percentage of net sales was primarily due to a shift in product mix and higher raw material costs, especially steel, resin and old corrugated container costs, which were partially offset by lower conversion costs due to improved productivity.
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SG\&A expenses were $\$ 220.4$ million, or 11.1 percent of net sales, in the first half of 2011 compared to $\$ 174.0$ million, or 11.3 percent of net sales, in the first half of 2010. The dollar increase in SG\&A expenses was primarily due to the SG\&A expenses of acquired companies and higher performance-based incentives as compared to the same period in 2010. Acquisition-related costs of $\$ 16.5$ million and $\$ 14.6$ million were included in SG\&A expenses for the first half of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Acquisition-related costs represent amounts incurred to purchase and integrate our acquisitions.
Restructuring charges were $\$ 8.0$ million and $\$ 10.8$ million for the first half of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Restructuring charges for the first half of 2011 consisted of $\$ 4.2$ million in employee separation costs, $\$ 0.8$ million in asset impairments and $\$ 3.0$ million in other costs. The focus of the first half of 2011 restructuring activities was on the integration of recent acquisitions in the Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services and Flexible Products \& Services segments. Restructuring charges for the first half of 2010 consisted of $\$ 6.7$ million in employee separation costs, $\$ 0.2$ million in asset impairments and $\$ 3.9$ million in other costs. The focus of the first half of 2010 restructuring activities was related to business realignment, acquisition-related integration costs, and further implementation of Greif Business System. Refer to Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item I of Part I of this Form $10-\mathrm{Q}$ for additional disclosures regarding our restructuring activities.
The gain on disposal of properties, plants and equipment, net, increased to $\$ 4.9$ million in the first half 2011 compared to $\$ 2.0$ million same period last year primarily due to the sale of idle facilities.

## Operating Profit

Operating profit was $\$ 160.0$ million and $\$ 123.4$ million in the first half of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Operating profit before special items was $\$ 184.5$ million for the first half of 2011 compared to $\$ 148.8$ million for the first half of 2010. The $\$ 35.7$ million increase in operating profit before special items was due to Paper Packaging ( $\$ 27.8$ million increase), Flexible Products \& Services ( $\$ 12.5$ million increase) and Land Management ( $\$ 1.8$ million increase), partially offset by Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services (\$6.4 million decrease).

## Segment Review

## Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services

Our Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services segment offers a comprehensive line of rigid industrial packaging products, such as steel, fibre and plastic drums, rigid intermediate bulk containers, closure systems for industrial packaging products, water bottles and reconditioned containers. In addition, this segment offers a wide variety of services, such as container lifecycle management, blending, filling and other packaging services, logistics and warehousing. The key factors influencing profitability in the Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services segment are:

Selling prices, customer demand and sales volumes;
Raw material costs, primarily steel, resin and containerboard;
Energy and transportation costs;
Benefits from executing the Greif Business System;
Restructuring charges;
Contributions from recent acquisitions;
Divestiture of facilities; and
Impact of foreign currency translation.
Net sales were $\$ 1,397.8$ million for the first half of 2011 compared with $\$ 1,201.3$ million for the first half of 2010. The 16.4 percent increase in net sales was primarily due to higher sales volumes, higher selling prices resulting from the pass-through of higher input costs and the positive impact of foreign currency translation.
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Gross profit margin decreased to 19.1 percent from 21.2 percent for the first half of 2011 and 2010, respectively. This reduction was primarily due to a change in product mix and higher raw material costs, especially steel and resin, partially offset by our cost pass-through mechanisms.
Operating profit was $\$ 112.2$ million and $\$ 112.9$ million for the first half of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Operating profit before special items decreased to $\$ 121.0$ million for the first half of 2011 from $\$ 127.4$ million for the first half of 2010 . The $\$ 6.4$ million decrease was primarily due to higher raw material costs, partially offset by higher sales volumes, selling prices and efficiency improvements.

## Flexible Products \& Services

Our Flexible Products \& Services segment offers a comprehensive line of flexible products, such as flexible intermediate bulk containers and multiwall bags. The key factors influencing profitability in the Flexible Products \& Services segment are:

Selling prices, customer demand and sales volumes;
Raw material costs, primarily resin and containerboard;
Energy and transportation costs;
Benefits from executing the Greif Business System;
Restructuring charges;
Contributions from recent acquisitions; and
Impact of foreign currency translation.
Net sales were $\$ 262.8$ million for the first half of 2011 compared with $\$ 61.7$ million for the first half of 2010. The increase was primarily due to the acquisitions of flexible intermediate bulk container companies during the second half of 2010. Both periods include our multiwall bag operations, which were previously included in the Paper Packaging segment, but which were reclassified in the second quarter of 2010 to conform to the current year s presentation.
Gross profit margin was 20.4 percent and 23.8 percent for the first half of 2011 and 2010, respectively. The decrease in gross profit margin was primarily due to the change in product mix caused by the 2010 acquisitions.
Operating profit was $\$ 3.5$ million for the first half of 2011 and operating loss was $\$ 4.3$ million for the first half of 2010. Operating profit before special items increased to $\$ 19.0$ million for the first half of 2011 from $\$ 6.5$ million for the first half of 2010 primarily as a result of the acquisitions during the second half of 2010.

## Paper Packaging

Our Paper Packaging segment sells containerboard, corrugated sheets, and corrugated containers in North America. The key factors influencing profitability in the Paper Packaging segment are:

Selling prices, customer demand and sales volumes;
Raw material costs, primarily old corrugated containers;
Energy and transportation costs;
Benefits from executing the Greif Business System;
Contributions from recent acquisitions;
Divestiture of facilities; and
Restructuring charges.

## Table of Contents

Net sales were $\$ 323.3$ million for the first half of 2011 compared with $\$ 275.8$ million for the first half of 2010 . The 17.2 percent increase in net sales was primarily due to higher sales volumes and higher containerboard selling prices. Gross profit margin increased to 18.4 percent from 12.4 percent for the first half of 2011 and 2010, respectively. This increase was primarily due to higher net sales and efficiency improvements, partially offset by higher raw material costs, especially old corrugated containers.
Operating profit was $\$ 39.0$ million and $\$ 11.3$ million for the first half of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Operating profit before special items increased to $\$ 39.2$ million for the first half of 2011 from $\$ 11.4$ million for the first half of 2010 . The $\$ 27.8$ million increase was primarily due to higher net sales, improved gross profit margin and lower SG\&A expenses.

## Land Management

As of April 30, 2011, our Land Management segment consists of approximately 266,900 acres of timber properties in the southeastern United States, which are actively harvested and regenerated, and approximately 23,100 acres in Canada. The key factors influencing profitability in the Land Management segment are:

Planned level of timber sales;
Selling prices and customer demand;
Gains (losses) on sale of timberland; and
Gains on the sale of special use properties (surplus, HBU, and development properties).
Net sales were $\$ 10.6$ million and $\$ 7.4$ million for the first half of 2011 and 2010 , respectively.
Operating profit and operating profit before special items was $\$ 5.3$ million for the first half of 2011 compared to $\$ 3.5$ million for the first half of 2010 . Included in these amounts were profits from the sale of special use properties (surplus, higher and better use, and development properties) of $\$ 1.9$ million for the first half of 2011 and $\$ 0.8$ million for the fist half of 2010.

## Other Income Statement Changes

## Interest expense, net

Interest expense, net, was $\$ 35.4$ million for the first half of 2011 compared to $\$ 31.6$ million for the same period last year. The increase was primarily due to the higher level of debt resulting from the acquisitions and increased working capital requirements.
Other expense, net
Other expense, net was $\$ 5.4$ for the first half of 2011 compared to other expense, net of $\$ 3.7$ million for the first half of 2010. The increase was primarily attributable to fees associated with the sale of non-United States accounts receivable and the impact of foreign currency exchange.
Income tax expense
The effective tax rate was 23.5 percent in the first half of 2011 compared to an effective tax rate of 19.5 percent in the first half of 2010. The increase was primarily due to a change in the global business mix and the loss of the 2010 alternative fuel tax credit.
Equity earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax
We recorded $\$ 0.5$ million and $\$ 0.1$ million of equity earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax, during the first half of 2011 and 2010, respectively.
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Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests for the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 were $\$ 0.6$ million and ( $\$ 3.6$ ) million, respectively.
Net income attributable to Greif, Inc.
Based on the foregoing, we recorded net income of $\$ 92.3$ million for the first half of 2011 compared to net income of $\$ 67.5$ million in the first half of 2010.

## BALANCE SHEET

## Working capital changes

Accounts receivable increased $\$ 41.2$ million from October 31, 2010 to April 30, 2011 primarily as a result of increased sales and extended credit terms with customers.
Inventories increased $\$ 59.5$ million from October 31, 2010 to April 30, 2011 primarily as a result of purchases made in anticipation of steel cost increases and long lead times at certain locations.
Accounts payable decreased by $\$ 35.5$ million from October 31, 2010 to April 30, 2011 primarily as a result of timing of payments and increased utilization of discounts.
Short-term borrowings increased $\$ 44.8$ million from October 31, 2010 to April 30, 2011 primarily as a result of funding acquisitions, capital expenditures and increased working capital requirements.

## Other balance sheet changes

Properties, plants and equipment net increased $\$ 70.5$ million from October 31, 2010 to April 30, 2011 primarily as a result of factory upgrades, capital expenditures and assets acquired through acquisitions, partially offset by depreciation expense.
Goodwill increased by $\$ 21.7$ million from October 31, 2010 to April 30, 2011 primarily as a result of a 2011 acquisition in the Rigid Industrial Packaging \& Services and 2010 acquisitions in the Flexible Products \& Services segments. Certain business combinations that occurred at or near year-end were recorded with provisional estimates for fair value based on management $s$ best estimate.
Long-term debt increased $\$ 135.1$ million from October 31, 2010 to April 30, 2011 primarily as a result of funding acquisitions, capital expenditures and increased working capital requirements.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our primary sources of liquidity are operating cash flows, the proceeds from our trade accounts receivable credit facility, proceeds from the sale of our non-United States accounts receivable and borrowings under our Credit Agreement and Senior Notes, further discussed below. We have used these sources to fund our working capital needs, capital expenditures, cash dividends, common stock repurchases and acquisitions. We anticipate continuing to fund these items in a like manner. We currently expect that operating cash flows, the proceeds from our trade accounts receivable credit facility, proceeds from the sale of our non-United States accounts receivable and borrowings under our Credit Agreement and Senior Notes will be sufficient to fund our currently anticipated working capital, capital expenditures, debt repayment, potential acquisitions of businesses and other liquidity needs for at least 12 months.

## Capital Expenditures

During the first six months of 2011, we invested $\$ 73.7$ million in capital expenditures, excluding timberland purchases of $\$ 0.9$ million, compared with capital expenditures of $\$ 64.6$ million, excluding timberland purchases of $\$ 16.6$ million, during the same period last year.
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We expect capital expenditures, excluding timberland purchases and acquisitions, to be approximately $\$ 150$ million in 2011. The expenditures will replace and improve existing equipment and fund new facilities.

## Acquisitions, Divestitures and Other Significant Transactions

During the first half of 2011, our acquisition activity was not material.
During the first half of 2010, we acquired one European rigid industrial packaging company and one European flexible products company and made a contingent purchase price payment related to a 2008 acquisition. The rigid industrial packaging acquisition complemented our current businesses and provided growth opportunities in Scandinavia. The flexible products acquisition expanded our product offerings. The aggregate purchase price for the two 2010 acquisitions was less than $\$ 150$ million.

## Borrowing Arrangements

## Credit Agreement

We and two of our international subsidiaries are borrowers under a $\$ 1.0$ billion senior secured credit agreement (the Credit Agreement ) with a syndicate of financial institutions. The Credit Agreement provides us with a $\$ 750$ million revolving multicurrency credit facility and a $\$ 250$ million term loan, both expiring October 29,2015 , with an option to add $\$ 250$ million to the facilities with the agreement of the lenders. The $\$ 250$ million term loan is scheduled to amortize by the payment of principal in the amount of $\$ 3.1$ million each quarter-end for the first eight quarters, $\$ 6.3$ million each quarter-end for the next eleven quarters and $\$ 156.3$ million on the maturity date. The revolving credit facility under the Credit Agreement is available to fund ongoing working capital and capital expenditure needs, for general corporate purposes and to finance acquisitions. Interest is based on a Eurodollar rate or a base rate that resets periodically plus an agreed upon margin amount. As of April 30, 2011, a total of $\$ 429.2$ million was outstanding under the Credit Agreement. The weighted average interest rate on the Credit Agreement was $2.14 \%$ for the six months ended April 30, 2011.
The Credit Agreement contains certain covenants, which include financial covenants that require us to maintain a certain leverage ratio and a fixed charge coverage ratio. The leverage ratio generally requires that at the end of any fiscal quarter we will not permit the ratio of (a) our total consolidated indebtedness, to (b) our consolidated net income plus depreciation, depletion and amortization, interest expense (including capitalized interest), income taxes, and minus certain extraordinary gains and non-recurring gains (or plus certain extraordinary losses and non-recurring losses) and plus or minus certain other items for the preceding twelve months ( adjusted EBITDA ) to be greater than 3.75 to 1 (or 3.5 to 1 , during any collateral release period). The fixed charge coverage ratio generally requires that at the end of any fiscal quarter we will not permit the ratio of (a) (i) our adjusted EBITDA, less (ii) the aggregate amount of certain of our cash capital expenditures, and less (iii) the aggregate amount of our federal, state, local and foreign income taxes actually paid in cash (other than taxes related to asset sales not in the ordinary course of business), to (b) the sum of (i) our consolidated interest expense to the extent paid or payable in cash and (ii) the aggregate principal amount of all of our regularly scheduled principal payments or redemptions or similar acquisitions for value of outstanding debt for borrowed money, but excluding any such payments to the extent refinanced through the incurrence of additional indebtedness, to be less than 1.5 to 1 , during the applicable trailing twelve month period. On April 30, 2011, we were in compliance with these two covenants.
The terms of the Credit Agreement limit our ability to make restricted payments, which include dividends and purchases, redemptions and acquisitions of our equity interests. The repayment of amounts borrowed under the Credit Agreement are secured by a security interest in the personal property of Greif, Inc. and certain of our United States subsidiaries, including equipment and inventory and certain intangible assets, as well as a pledge of the capital stock of substantially all of our United States subsidiaries. The repayment of amounts borrowed under the Credit Agreement will also be secured, in part, by capital stock of the non-U.S. subsidiaries that are parties to the Credit Agreement and their non-U.S. parent companies, following the completion of a corporate reorganization. However, in the event that we receive and maintain an investment grade rating from either Moody s Investors Service, Inc. or Standard \& Poor Corporation, we may request the release of such collateral. The payment of outstanding principal under the Credit Agreement and accrued interest thereon may be accelerated and become immediately due and payable upon our default in its payment or other performance obligations or our failure to comply with the financial and other covenants in the Credit Agreement, subject to applicable notice requirements and cure periods as provided in the Credit

Agreement.
Refer to Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item I of Part I of this Form 10-Q for additional disclosures regarding the Credit Agreement.
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## Senior Notes

We have issued $\$ 300.0$ million of our $6.75 \%$ Senior Notes due February 1, 2017. Proceeds from the issuance of these Senior Notes were principally used to fund the purchase of our previously outstanding senior subordinated notes and for general corporate purposes. These Senior Notes are general unsecured obligations of Greif, Inc., provide for semi-annual payments of interest at a fixed rate of $6.75 \%$, and do not require any principal payments prior to maturity on February 1, 2017. These Senior Notes are not guaranteed by any of our subsidiaries and thereby are effectively subordinated to all of our subsidiaries existing and future indebtedness. The Indenture pursuant to which these Senior Notes were issued contains covenants, which, among other matters, limit our ability to create liens on our assets to secure debt and to enter into sale and leaseback transactions. These covenants are subject to a number of limitations and exceptions as set forth in the Indenture. At April 30, 2011, we were in compliance with these covenants.
We have issued $\$ 250.0$ million of our $7.75 \%$ Senior Notes due August 1, 2019. Proceeds from the issuance of these Senior Notes were principally used for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of amounts outstanding under our revolving multicurrency credit facility, without any permanent reduction of the commitments. These Senior Notes are general unsecured obligations of Greif, Inc., provide for semi-annual payments of interest at a fixed rate of $7.75 \%$, and do not require any principal payments prior to maturity on August 1, 2019. These Senior Notes are not guaranteed by any of our subsidiaries and thereby are effectively subordinated to all of our subsidiaries existing and future indebtedness. The Indenture pursuant to which these Senior Notes were issued contains covenants, which, among other matters, limit our ability to create liens on our assets to secure debt and to enter into sale and leaseback transactions. These covenants are subject to a number of limitations and exceptions as set forth in the Indenture. At April 30, 2011, we were in compliance with these covenants.
Refer to Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of Part I of this Form 10-Q for additional disclosures regarding the Senior Notes.

## United States Trade Accounts Receivable Credit Facility

We have a $\$ 135.0$ million trade accounts receivable facility (the Receivables Facility ) with a financial institution and its affiliate (the Purchasers ). The Receivables Facility matures in December 2013, subject to earlier termination by the Purchasers of their purchase commitment in September 2011. In addition, we can terminate the Receivables Facility at any time upon five days prior written notice. The Receivables Facility is secured by certain of our United States trade receivables and bears interest at a variable rate based on the London Interbank Offered Rate plus a margin or other agreed-upon rate. Interest is payable on a monthly basis and the principal balance is payable upon termination of the Receivables Facility. The Receivables Facility contains certain covenants, including financial covenants for leverage and fixed charge ratios identical to the Credit Agreement. Proceeds of the Receivables Facility are available for working capital and general corporate purposes. At April 30, 2011, $\$ 116.1$ million was outstanding under the Receivables Facility.
Refer to Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of Part I of this Form 10-Q for additional disclosures regarding this credit facility.

## Sale of Non-United States Accounts Receivable

Certain of our international subsidiaries have entered into discounted receivables purchase agreements and factoring agreements (the RPAs ) pursuant to which trade receivables generated from certain countries other than the United States and which meet certain eligibility requirements are sold to certain international banks or their affiliates. The structure of these transactions provides for a legal true sale, on a revolving basis, of the receivables transferred from our various subsidiaries to the respective banks. The banks fund an initial purchase price of a certain percentage of eligible receivables based on a formula with the initial purchase price approximating 75 percent to 90 percent of eligible receivables. The remaining deferred purchase price is settled upon collection of the receivables. At the balance sheet reporting dates, we remove from accounts receivable the amount of proceeds received from the initial purchase price since they meet the applicable criteria of ASC 860 Transfers and Servicing, and continue to recognize the deferred purchase price in our accounts receivable. The receivables are sold on a non-recourse basis with the total funds in the servicing collection accounts pledged to the respective banks between the settlement dates. The maximum amount of aggregate receivables that may be financed under our various RPAs was $\$ 185.8$ million at April 30, 2011. At April 30, 2011, total accounts receivable of $\$ 191.3$ million were sold under the various RPAs. The Brazil RPA
does not have a maximum amount that may be financed, and its portion of the total balance was $\$ 20.9$ million at April 30, 2011.
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At the time the receivables are initially sold, the difference between the carrying amount and the fair value of the assets sold are included as a loss on sale and classified as other expense in the consolidated statements of operations. Expenses associated with the various RPAs totaled $\$ 3.4$ million for the six months ended April 30, 2011. Additionally, we perform collections and administrative functions on the receivables sold similar to the procedures we use for collecting all of our receivables. The servicing liability for these receivables is not material to the consolidated financial statements.
Refer to Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of Part I of this Form 10-Q for additional information regarding these various RPAs.

## Other

In addition to the amounts borrowed under the Credit Agreement and proceeds from the Senior Notes and the United States trade accounts receivable credit facility, at April 30, 2011, we had outstanding other debt of $\$ 115.4$ million, consisting of $\$ 9.7$ million in long-term debt and $\$ 105.7$ million in short-term borrowings. The $\$ 43.3$ million increase is primarily due to funding acquisitions, capital expenditures and increased working capital requirements.
At April 30, 2011, the current portion of our long-term debt was $\$ 12.5$ million. Annual maturities, including the current portion, of long-term debt under our various financing arrangements were $\$ 22.2$ million in 2012, $\$ 25.0$ million in 2013, $\$ 141.1$ million in 2014, $\$ 360.4$ million in 2015 and $\$ 545.7$ million thereafter.
At April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, we had deferred financing fees and debt issuance costs of $\$ 19.6$ million and $\$ 21.4$ million, respectively, which were included in other long-term assets.

## Financial Instruments

## Interest Rate Derivatives

We have interest rate swap agreements with various maturities through January 2012. These interest rate swap agreements are used to manage our fixed and floating rate debt mix. Under these swap agreements, we receive interest monthly from the counterparties based upon LIBOR, and we pay interest based upon a designated fixed rate over the life of the swap agreements.
We have two interest rate derivatives (floating to fixed swap agreements recorded as cash flow hedges) with a total notional amount of $\$ 125$ million. Under these swap agreements, we receive interest based upon a variable interest rate from the counterparties (weighted average of $0.21 \%$ at April 30, 2011 and $0.26 \%$ at October 31, 2010) and pay interest based upon a fixed interest rate (weighted average of $1.78 \%$ at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010).
In the third quarter of 2010, we terminated a $\$ 100.0$ million fixed to floating swap, including any future cash flows, which had been recorded as a fair value hedge. Under this swap agreement, we received interest from the counterparty based upon a fixed rate of $6.75 \%$ and paid interest based upon a variable rate on a semi-annual basis. The termination of this swap agreement resulted in a cash gain of $\$ 3.6$ million.

## Foreign Exchange Hedges

At April 30, 2011 we had outstanding foreign currency forward in the notional amount of $\$ 158.8$ million ( $\$ 252.9$ million at October 31, 2010). The purpose of these contracts is to hedge our exposure to foreign currency transactions and short-term intercompany loan balances in our international businesses. The fair value of these contracts at April 30, 2011 resulted in a loss of $\$ 1.2$ million recorded in the consolidated statements of operations and a loss of $\$ 0.1$ million recorded in other comprehensive income. The fair value of similar contracts at October 31, 2010 resulted in a gain of $\$ 0.8$ million in the consolidated statements of operations and a loss of $\$ 2.3$ million recorded in other comprehensive income.

## Energy Hedges

We have entered into certain cash flow hedge agreements to mitigate our exposure to cost fluctuations in natural gas prices through October 31, 2011. Under these hedge agreements, we have agreed to purchase natural gas at a fixed price. At April 30, 2011, the notional amount of these hedges was $\$ 0.9$ million ( $\$ 2.4$ million at October 31, 2010). The other comprehensive gain on these agreements was immaterial at April 30, 2011 and $\$ 0.3$ million at October 31, 2010. As a result of the high correlation between the hedged instruments and the underlying transactions, ineffectiveness has not had a material impact on our consolidated statements of operations for the quarter ended April 30, 2011.
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## Contractual Obligations

As of April 30, 2011, we had the following contractual obligations (Dollars in millions):
Payments Due by Period


Note: Amounts presented in the contractual obligation table include interest.
Our unrecognized tax benefits under ASC 740, Income Taxes have been excluded from the contractual obligations table because of the inherent uncertainty and the inability to reasonably estimate the timing of cash outflows.

## Stock Repurchase Program and Other Share Acquisitions

Our Board of Directors has authorized us to purchase up to four million shares of Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock or any combination of the foregoing. During 2011, we repurchased no shares of Class A Common Stock, and we repurchased 50,000 shares of Class B Common Stock (see Item 2 to this Form 10-Q for additional information regarding these repurchases). As of April 30, 2011, we had repurchased 3,058,072 shares, including $1,416,752$ shares of Class A Common Stock and $1,641,320$ shares of Class B Common Stock, under this program. The total cost of the shares repurchased from November 1, 2009 through April 30, 2011 was $\$ 5.8$ million.

## VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

We evaluate whether an entity is a variable interest entity ( VIE ) whenever reconsideration events occur. We consolidate VIE s for which we are the primary beneficiary. If we are not the primary beneficiary and an ownership interest is held, the VIE is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. When assessing the determination of the primary beneficiary, we consider all relevant facts and circumstances, including: the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance and the obligation to absorb the expected losses and/or the right to receive the expected returns of the VIE. We perform ongoing reassessments of all VIE s to determine if the primary beneficiary status is appropriate. As of April 30, 2011, we are the primary beneficiary of the two VIE s, as discussed below.

## Significant Nonstrategic Timberland Transactions

On March 28, 2005, Soterra LLC (a wholly owned subsidiary) entered into two real estate purchase and sale agreements with Plum Creek Timberlands, L.P. ( Plum Creek ) to sell approximately 56,000 acres of timberland and related assets located primarily in Florida for an aggregate sales price of approximately $\$ 90$ million, subject to closing adjustments. In connection with the closing of one of these agreements, Soterra LLC sold approximately 35,000 acres of timberland and associated assets in Florida, Georgia and Alabama for $\$ 51.0$ million, resulting in a pretax gain of $\$ 42.1$ million, on May 23, 2005. The purchase price was paid in the form of cash and a $\$ 50.9$ million purchase note payable (the Purchase Note ) by an indirect subsidiary of Plum Creek (the Buyer SPE ). Soterra LLC contributed the Purchase Note to STA Timber LLC ( STA Timber ), one of our indirect wholly owned subsidiaries. The Purchase Note is secured by a Deed of Guarantee issued by Bank of America, N.A., London Branch, in an amount not to exceed $\$ 52.3$ million (the Deed of Guarantee ), as a guarantee of the due and punctual payment of principal and interest on the Purchase Note.

On May 31, 2005, STA Timber issued in a private placement its 5.20\% Senior Secured Notes due August 5, 2020 (the Monetization Notes ) in the principal amount of $\$ 43.3$ million. In connection with the sale of the Monetization Notes, STA Timber entered into note purchase agreements with the purchasers of the Monetization Notes (the Note Purchase Agreements ) and related documentation. The Monetization Notes are secured by a pledge of the Purchase Note and the Deed of Guarantee. The Monetization Notes may be accelerated in the event of a default in payment or a breach of the other obligations set forth therein or in the Note Purchase Agreements or related documents, subject in certain cases to any applicable cure periods, or upon the occurrence of certain insolvency or bankruptcy related events. The Monetization Notes are subject to a mechanism that may cause them, subject to certain conditions, to be extended to November 5, 2020. The proceeds from the sale of the Monetization Notes were primarily used for the repayment of indebtedness. Greif, Inc. and its other subsidiaries have not extended any form of guaranty of the principal or interest on the Monetization Notes. Accordingly, Greif, Inc. and its other subsidiaries will not become directly or contingently liable for the payment of the Monetization Notes at any time. The Buyer SPE is a separate and distinct legal entity from us; however the Buyer SPE has been consolidated into our operations.
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The Buyer SPE is deemed to be a VIE since the assets of the Buyer SPE are not available to satisfy the liabilities of the Buyer SPE. We are the primary beneficiary because we have (1) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance, and (2) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

## Flexible Products Joint Venture

On September 29, 2010, Greif, Inc. and its indirect subsidiary Greif International Holding Supra C.V. formed a joint venture (referred to herein as the Flexible Products JV ) with Dabbagh Group Holding Company Limited and its subsidiary National Scientific Company Limited. The Flexible Products JV owns the operations in the Flexible Products \& Services segment, with the exception of the North American multi-wall bag business. The Flexible Products JV has been consolidated into our operations as of its formation date of September 29, 2010.
The Flexible Products JV is deemed to be a VIE since the total equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the legal entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. We are the primary beneficiary because we have (1) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance, and (2) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

## RECENT ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

## Newly Adopted Accounting Standards

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) amended Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) 860, Transfers and Servicing . The amendment to ASC 860 requires an enterprise to evaluate whether the transaction is legally isolated from us and whether the results of the transaction are consolidated within the consolidated financial statements. We adopted the new guidance beginning November 1, 2010, and the adoption of the new guidance did not impact our financial position, results of operations or cash flows, other than the related disclosures.
In June 2009, the FASB amended ASC 810, Consolidation. The amendment to ASC 810 changed the methodology for determining the primary beneficiary of a VIE from a quantitative risk and rewards based model to a qualitative determination. It also requires enhanced disclosures that will provide users of financial statements with more transparent information about an enterprise s involvement in a VIE. Accordingly, we reevaluated our previous ASC 810 conclusions, including (1) whether an entity is a VIE, (2) whether the enterprise is the VIE s primary beneficiary, and (3) what type of financial statement disclosures are required. We adopted the new guidance beginning November 1, 2010, and the adoption of the new guidance did not impact our financial position, results of operations or cash flows, other than the related disclosures.

## Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Effective July 1, 2009, changes to the Codification are communicated through an Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ). As of April 30, 2011, the FASB has issued ASU s 2009-01 through 2011-03. We have reviewed each ASU and determined that they will not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows, other than the related disclosures.

## ITEM 3. OUANTITATIVE AND OUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

There has not been a significant change in the quantitative and qualitative disclosures about our market risk from the disclosures contained in the 2010 Form 10-K.
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## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

With the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, our management has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act )), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report:

Information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission;
Information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure; and Our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.
There has been no change in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no other material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in the 2010 Form 10-K under Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EOUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Issuer Purchases of Class A Common Stock

|  | Total Number of Shares | Average Price Paid Per | Total Number of Shares <br> Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or | Maximum Number (or <br> Approximate Dollar <br> Value) of Shares that <br> May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Purchased |  | Programs (1) | (1) |
| November 2010 |  |  |  | 1,116,728 |
| December 2010 |  |  |  | 1,116,728 |
| January 2011 |  |  |  | 1,116,728 |
| February 2011 |  |  |  | 1,116,728 |
| March 2011 |  |  |  | 1,066,728 |
| April 2011 |  |  |  | 1,066,728 |

## Issuer Purchases of Class B Common Stock

|  | r Filing: GR |  | NC - Form |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased |  | Average <br> Price <br> Paid Per <br> Share | Part of Publicly Announced Plans or <br> Programs (1) | May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs (1) |
| November 2010 |  |  |  |  | 1,116,728 |
| December 2010 |  |  |  |  | 1,116,728 |
| January 2011 |  |  |  |  | 1,116,728 |
| February 2011 |  |  |  |  | 1,116,728 |
| March 2011 | 50,000 | \$ | 61.20 | 50,000 | 1,066,728 |
| April 2011 |  |  |  |  | 1,066,728 |

(1) Our Board of Directors has authorized a stock repurchase program which permits us to purchase up to 4.0 million shares of our Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock, or any combination thereof. As of April 30, 2011, the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased was $1,066,728$ shares, which may be any combination of Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock.
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## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a.) Exhibits

## Exhibit No. Description of Exhibit

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a 14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a 14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereto duly authorized.

Greif, Inc.
(Registrant)
Date: June 9, 2011
/s/ Robert M. McNutt
Robert M. McNutt,
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Duly Authorized Signatory)

